





DOCUMENTS

CLOSED  
STACKS

5



Book No.

ACCESSION

33

352 Sa52:7

484001

NOT TO BE TAKEN FROM THE LIBRARY

GOVERNMENT INFORMATION CENTER

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

FORM 3427-5M-2-39



SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY



3 1223 90119 6841















Vol. 33

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

No. 1

Monday, January 3, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.



8

Monday, January 3, 1938

\*352  
Sa 52:7 33

484001

Journal of Proceedings  
Board of Supervisors  
City and County of San Francisco

no. 22  
missing  
not publ



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JANUARY 3, 1938, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, January 3, 1938,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Quorum present.

President Shannon being absent, Supervisor Meyer was elected to preside.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of December 27, 1937, was considered read and approved.

### SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

The following matter was taken up:

#### Hearing of Appeal, Rezoning of Easterly Line of Lyon Street, 132.122 Feet Southerly from Marina Boulevard.

Appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1703, dated November 4, 1937, denying application to rezone from First Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the easterly line of Lyon street, 132.122 feet southerly from the southerly line of Marina Boulevard.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Milton Marks, attorney representing the appellants, was granted the privilege of the floor and requested that the matter be continued one week.

He claimed that there was ample time since under the Charter he was entitled to forty days.

J. Sweeney, attorney representing opposing property owners, opposed continuance. He declared that attorney for appellant had not notified him as usual of his intention to ask for a continuance and hence all his people had come to this hearing.

Ralph Isaacs, attorney representing property owners, opposed a continuance on the ground that the opponents were there and would be put to great inconvenience if they had to leave their homes and work again.

Earnest L. West, representing Marina Home Owners' Association, also opposed continuance of the hearing.

Geo. Gearhardt, secretary of Civic League, also opposed postponement.



### Motions.

Supervisor Colman moved postponement for one week.

Supervisor Brown moved as an amendment that we hear those who have come here and postpone action on the resolution until next week.

(Chair declared amendment out of order.)

City Attorney O'Toole advised that the appeal must be filed not less than ten and not later than 30 days after decision of Planning Commission and after date of hearing is fixed it must be concluded in ten days.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that this matter be heard here today.

Supervisor Brown moved as an amendment that we proceed to hear those present. Action on the matter may be deferred later.

Motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt—6.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Reilly, Roncovieri, Uhl—4.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

(Supervisor Reilly excused at 3 p. m.)

### Privilege of the Floor.

Milton Marks, attorney for the appellant, thereupon stated that he represented the applicant for the zone change and again requested a continuance of the hearing until next meeting.

No speaker on the other side wishing to be heard, Supervisor Brown moved that if no one wanted to talk to the Board of Supervisors that the matter go over for one week.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri—6.

Noes—Supervisors Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—3.

Absent—Supervisors Reilly, Shannon—2.

### Explanations of Vote.

Supervisor Uhl explained his vote by saying: I feel that I want to be fair to both sides and would like to be present when the matter is heard. I feel, on the other hand, that Attorney Milton Marks has had the matter in hand for thirty days. I'm against postponement.

Supervisor Schmidt explained his vote as follows: I just wanted to explain my vote. I am going to vote against this continuance for the reason I feel that a request was made that this date be set—that it was in the hands of the Board for a month, and I don't think this proposition is so involved and requires such deep consideration that it cannot be heard today. We are always being accused of procrastination, and on that basis I am going to vote against continuance.

### Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the matter be made a Special Order for 2 p. m. next Monday.

*So ordered.*

### Sale of \$2,000,000 of Tax Anticipation Notes.

Sealed bids for the purchase of Tax Anticipation Notes issued by the City and County of San Francisco, pursuant to Ordinance No. 9.0339, in the amount of Two Million Dollars (\$2,000,000) were received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m., on Monday, January 3, 1938, and opened by said Board at said time.

The Tax Anticipation Notes are described as follows, to-wit:

Notes in the amount of Two Million Dollars (\$2,000,000) in denominations of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) each to be dated as of the day of delivery thereof and to be payable to bearer on May 15, 1938, and issued under authority of Ordinance No. 9.0339 and payable exclu-



sively out of taxes levied by said City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1937-1938 without preference or priority of any one note over any other note. All of said notes shall constitute a first lien and charge against said taxes collected during the half of the fiscal year 1937-1938, in which said money represented by said notes respectively shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes and before any part thereof is used for any other purpose. Any of said notes not paid at maturity shall nevertheless be paid out of moneys received from the taxes for said fiscal year 1937-1938 irrespective of the date the same shall be so received.

Said Notes shall bear interest at the rate or rates not to exceed six (6) per cent per annum as shall be named by the bidder, said interest to be paid at maturity of said Notes.

The said Notes will be sold and awarded to the bidder or bidders offering to purchase the same at the lowest rate or rates of interest computed from the date fixed for the presentation of bids to May 15, 1938. If two or more bidders offer to purchase said Notes at the same lowest rate or rates of interest, the Board of Supervisors shall determine which bid shall be accepted. Interest shall be computed on the basis of three hundred sixty-five (365) days per year.

The right is reserved by the Board of Supervisors to reject any and all bids.

All proposals for the purchase of said Notes shall be accompanied by a deposit of five (5) per cent of the amount of the bid in lawful money of the United States, or by the deposit of a certified check for said five (5) per cent payable to J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, provided that no deposit exceed the sum of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000); which deposit of money or check shall be forfeited by the bidder in case he fails to accept and pay for the Notes bid for by him if his bid is accepted.

The approval of Messrs. Orrick, Palmer & Dahlquist, attorneys at law, San Francisco, California, as to the legality of the aforesaid notes, will be furnished to the successful bidder or bidders for said notes without cost.

#### Bids.

The following bids were received, opened, read and *referred to the Finance Committee*:

**American Trust Company, Bankamerica Company, by American Trust Company.** For the two million dollars (\$2,000,000) par value legally issued Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco, as advertised in your regular printed notice of sale, we bid you par, plus a premium of thirty-one dollars (\$31); said notes to be dated as of the day of delivery; said notes to bear interest at the rate of forty-five hundredths of one per centum (.45%) per annum, said interest to be paid at maturity of said notes (May 15, 1938).

**R. H. Moulton & Company; Weeden & Co.** For \$2,000,000 City and County of San Francisco Tax Anticipation .60% Notes of the denomination of \$10,000 each, to be dated January 4, 1938, and maturing May 15, 1938, interest payable at maturity at the office of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, we will pay you \$2,000,000, being the par value of said notes, together with a premium of \$77.

**Wells Fargo Bank & Union Trust Co.** For all, but not for any part less than all, of two million dollars (\$2,000,000) legally issued City and County of San Francisco Tax Anticipation Notes, dated as of the day of delivery thereof, and maturing May 15, 1938, we bid you the sum of two million dollars (\$2,000,000) face value, plus a premium of two hundred eighty-six and 73/100 dollars (\$286.73), said notes to bear interest at the rate of one-half of one per cent (.50%) per annum from the date of delivery to May 15, 1938.

**Schwabacher & Co.** For \$2,000,000 par value City and County of San Francisco Tax Anticipation Notes, as described in your notice of



December 29th, 30th, and 31st, and due May 15, 1938, we bid the sum of \$2,000,000, plus a premium of \$2 (two dollars), notes to bear interest at the rate of  $\frac{1}{2}$  of 1% (one-half of one per cent) per annum, this interest computed from the date fixed for the presentation of bids which is today, January 3, 1938.

### Adopted.

Subsequently during the meeting the Finance Committee recommended the following resolution which was *adopted* by the following vote:

### Sale of \$2,000,000 Tax Anticipation Notes.

(Code No. 9.033)

Resolution No. 3724, as follows:

Whereas, after due notice given as provided by Ordinance No. 9.0339 that sealed proposals for the purchase of two million (\$2,000,000) dollars Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco would be received and considered up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, January 3, 1938; and

Whereas, four bids were received and opened in accordance with the aforesaid notice of sale, and the same having been duly considered; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the bid of American Trust Company, Bankamerica Company is hereby accepted as follows: For the two million dollars (\$2,000,000) par value legally issued Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco, as advertised in your regular printed notice of sale, we bid you par, plus a premium of thirty-one dollars (\$31); said notes to be dated as of the day of delivery; said notes to bear interest at the rate of forty-five hundredths of one per centum (.45%) per annum, said interest to be paid at maturity of said notes (May 15, 1938).

The above bid is made with the understanding that, if successful, we shall be furnished, at no expense to ourselves, with the legal opinion of Messrs. Orrick, Dahlquist, Neff & Herrington, attorneys at law, San Francisco, California (successors to Messrs. Orrick, Palmer & Dahlquist), approving the legality of the above described notes in all respects.

As evidence of our good faith, we hand you herewith a cashier's check for ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) with the understanding that this check is to be applied as part payment if our bid is accepted, otherwise it is to be promptly returned to us.

Respectfully submitted,

AMERICAN TRUST COMPANY  
BANKAMERICA COMPANY

By American Trust Company

D. W. Chapman, Assistant Vice-President.

The Clerk is directed to return the checks to the successful bidder.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Reilly, Shannon—2.

### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

#### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

#### Amendment of Juvenile Court Salary Ordinance for Part-Time Position as Required by Civil Service Commission.

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 1489, Ordinance No. 9.053120, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 27 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 by changing Item 2 to a part-time position at \$70.



Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 27 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 is hereby amended to read as follows:

### Section 27. JUVENILE COURT—DETENTION HOME

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title		Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	C104	Janitor .....	\$	155
2	1	I2	Kitchen Helper (deduct for R.) part-time .....		70
3	1	I12	Cook (deduct for R.) .....		130
4	1	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for R. & B.) ..		135
5	1	T2	Male Attendant (deduct for R. & 2 M.) ..		161.50
6	1	T2	Male Attendant (deduct for R. & 2 M.) ..		135
7	2	T2	Male Attendant (deduct for R. & 2 M.) ..		145
8	1	T2	Male Attendant (deduct for R. & 1 M.) ..		145
9	6	T4	Woman Attendant (deduct for R. & B.) ..		122.50
10	1	T4	Woman Attendant (deduct for R.) .....		100
11	1	T10	Assistant Superintendent (deduct for R. & B.) .....		175
12	1	T12	Superintendent, Juvenile Det. Home (deduct for R. & B.) .....		207.50

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Reilly, Shannon—2.

### Supplemental Appropriation of \$1,924 for Completion of Drainage System Along Arguello Boulevard Side of Rossi Playground—A WPA Project.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1490, Ordinance No. 9.051440, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$1,924, the surplus existing in the Recreation Fund Appropriation No. 713.600.03, to the credit of Appropriation No. 713.500.00 to accommodate completion of drainage system, including gutters and catch basins along Arguello boulevard side of Rossi Playground, as a WPA project.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$1,924 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the Recreation Fund Appropriation No. 713.600.03, to the credit of Appropriation No. 713.500.00 to accommodate completion of drainage system, including gutters and catch basins, along Arguello boulevard side of Rossi Playground, as a WPA project.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Reilly, Shannon—2.

### Changing Sidewalk Widths on Cuvier Street at Bosworth Street.

(Code No. 12.0731)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1485, Ordinance No. 12.0731113, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Eight Hundred and Seventeen (817) thereof.



Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office December 1, 1937, by amending Section Eight Hundred and Seventeen (817) thereof to read as follows:

Section 817. The width of sidewalks on Cuvier street between Bosworth street and a point 181 feet southerly therefrom shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Cuvier street (the easterly side of), between a point 181 feet southerly from Bosworth street and a point 11.80 feet southerly from the second angle point southerly from Bosworth street, shall be the width between the property and curb lines; said curb line shall be a reverse curve connecting 10-foot curb lines at said points.

The width of sidewalks on Cuvier street (the westerly side of), between a point 181 feet southerly from Bosworth street and a point 16.70 feet southerly from the second angle point southerly from Bosworth street, shall be the width between the property and curb lines; said curb line shall be a reverse curve connecting 10-foot curb lines at said points.

The width of sidewalks on Cuvier street between the second angle point southerly from Bosworth street and Cayuga avenue shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks on Cuvier street between Cayuga avenue and the first angle point northerly, and on Cayuga avenue between Milton street and Alemany boulevard." Dated November, 1937.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Reilly, Shannon—2.

#### **Establishing Sidewalk Widths on Cayuga Avenue Between Alemany Boulevard and Milton Street.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1486, Ordinance No. 12.0731114, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and One (1201).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office December 1, 1937, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and One (1201), to read as follows:

Section 1201. The width of sidewalks on Cayuga avenue between Alemany boulevard and Milton street shall be as shown on that certain map, entitled "Map showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks on Cuvier street between Cayuga avenue and the first angle point northerly, and on Cayuga avenue between Milton street and Alemany boulevard." Dated November, 1937.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Reilly, Shannon—2.



**Accepting the Roadway of Bright Street Between Garfield Street  
and Holloway Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 1487, Ordinance No. 12.081134, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Bright street between Garfield street and Holloway avenue, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Bright street between Garfield street and Holloway avenue, including the curbs.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Reilly, Shannon—2.

**Accepting the Roadway of Funston Avenue Between Taraval and  
Ulloa Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 1488, Ordinance No. 12.081135, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Funston avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Funston avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets, including the curbs.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Reilly, Shannon—2.

**NEW BUSINESS.**

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Wage Scale—Private Employment on Public Contracts.**

(Code No. 9.092)

Resolution No. 3714, as follows:

Resolved, That the highest general prevailing rate of wages paid in private employment to various crafts in the City and County of San Francisco, including wages paid on holidays and for overtime work, is hereby determined and declared to be as herein set forth. Except as herein otherwise specifically provided, the wages herein fixed are based on an eight-hour day, five days per week.

## SECTION 1—BUILDING AND CONSTRUCTION TRADES

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Asbestos Workers .....	\$1.25	Double time after 8 hours.
Asphalt Rakers and Ironers..	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Asphalt Shovelers .....	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Bricklayers and Stone Ma- sons (6-hour day), (includ- ing granite curbs) .....	1.75	Double time after 6 hours.
Bricklayers' tenders and hod carriers (6-hour day), (\$1 day extra for work under- ground) .....	1.25	Double time after 6 hours.
Carpenters & cabinet makers (including hardwood floor- men) .....	1.25	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Cement Finishers .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours.
Concrete Laborers .....	.79	Time and one-half first 4 hours, after 8 hours; double thereafter.
Cribbers .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours, after 8 hours; double thereafter.
Electrical Workers (including Fixture Hangers) .....	1.37½	Double time after 8 hours
Elevator Constructors .....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Elevator Constructors' Helpers	1.05	Double time after 8 hours
Engineers:		
Compressor operators (on steel erection) .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Derricks and Asphalt Plant.	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Building Material Hoists..	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Pile Drivers, Locomotive Cranes .....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Roller Engineers, Dragline and Clamshell Operators, Concrete Mixers and Me- chanical Finishers, As- phalt Burners and Con- crete Busters .....	1.43	Double time after 8 hours
Trench Machine .....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Firemen (Asphalt Plants).	1.10	Double time after 8 hours
Truck Crane Engineers....	1.66⅔	Double time after 8 hours
Caterpillar Engineer, 50 h.p. and Over .....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Operators of Power Shovels and/or Other Excavating Equipment with Power Shovel Control When Used on Excavating Operations (6-hour day) .....	2.00	Double time after 6 hours
Shovel Firemen, Watchman Oiler (6-hour day) .....	1.33⅓	Double time after 6 hours
Glass Workers, Including Art Glass .....	1.21	Time and one-half first 2 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Gunite Workers:		
Nozzle Men .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Rod Men .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Finishers .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Ground Wire Men.....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Gun Men .....	.90	Double time after 8 hours
Mixer Men .....	.87½	Double time after 8 hours



<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Rebound Men .....	.75	Double time after 8 hours
Foremen (\$1 per day above highest craftsman) .....		Double time after 8 hours
Housesmiths:		
Reinforced Concrete .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Iron Workers:		
Bridge, Structural, Rigger. ....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Derrick Engineers .....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Bronze and Ornamental (including erection of steel and iron fences) .....	1.25	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Jackhammer Men .....	.90	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter

Laborers:		
.....	...	Overtime after 8 hours; and Saturday morning time and one-half after the first 4 hours; double thereafter
Construction and General..	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Mixer Operators .....	.87½	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter

Hours of Work for Laborers: Hours of work for laborers shall not exceed eight hours in any one day, said eight hours to commence at 8:00 a. m. and to continue for eight continuous hours thereafter, provided, however, that where shift work is necessary the following conditions shall prevail:

First shift shall commence at 8:00 a. m. and continue for eight hours of continuous work, and the second shift shall commence at 5:00 p. m. and continue for eight hours continuous work. Provided further, that the time not exceeding one hour allowed for meals during any one shift shall not be deemed to break the continuity of work. Where more than two shifts are employed, each shift shall work seven hours of continuous work.

Compensation for all service between 8:00 a. m. and 5:00 p. m., 75 cents per hour. Where second shift only is used, 75 cents per hour. Where three shifts are used, eight hours' pay at 75 cents per hour for seven hours' work, except in shift work all time before 8:00 a. m. and after 5:00 p. m. overtime rate shall prevail.

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Lathers (6-hour day) wood and/or metal .....	1.60	Double time after 6 hours
Marble Trades:		
Shopmen and Helpers.....	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Polishers .....	.78¾	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Bed Rubbers .....	.84¾	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Cutters, Copers, Carborundum Men .....	.90	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Carvers .....	1.02½	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Marble Masons and Setters..	1.31¼	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Mosaic and Terazzo Workers.	1.12½	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Mosaic and Terazzo Workers' Helpers .....	.75	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Mucker .....	.90	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Painters . . . . .	1.21 $\frac{3}{7}$	Time and one-half after 7 hours
Painters, Structural Iron Work . . . . .	1.37 $\frac{1}{2}$	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Painters, Varnishers and Pol- ishers . . . . .	1.10	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Pile Drivers . . . . .	1.40	Double time after 8 hours
Plasterers (6-hour day) . . . . .	1.66 $\frac{2}{3}$	Double time after 6 hours
Plasterers' Tenders and Hod Carriers (6-hour day) . . . . .	1.40	Double time after 6 hours
Plumbers and Gas Fitters (including pipe calking) . . . . .	1.37 $\frac{1}{2}$	Double time after 8 hours; Sat- urday morning at straight time on existing installations.
Ornamental Plasterers:		
Casters (6-hour day) . . . . .	1.50	Double time after 6 hours
Model Makers (6-hour day) . . . . .	1.50	Double time after 6 hours
Modelers (6-hour day) . . . . .	2.00	Double time after 6 hours
Roofers and Waterproofers . . . . .	1.12 $\frac{1}{2}$	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Sheet Metal Workers . . . . .	1.25	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Sprinkler Fitters . . . . .	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Steam Fitters . . . . .	1.37 $\frac{1}{2}$	Double time after 8 hours
Stone Cutters:		
Soft and granite, including granite curbs . . . . .	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Stone Derrickmen . . . . .	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Tile Setters . . . . .	1.37 $\frac{1}{2}$	Double time after 8 hours
Tile Setters' Helpers . . . . .	.87 $\frac{1}{2}$	Double time after 8 hours
Timberman (tunnel) . . . . .	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Dump Truck Drivers (7-hour Day)		
2 yards or less, water level per day . . . . .		\$ 7.00
3 yards, water level per day . . . . .		7.50
4 yards, water level per day . . . . .		8.00
5 yards, water level per day . . . . .		8.00
6 yards, water level per day . . . . .		8.50
7 yards or over, water level per day . . . . .		9.00
Truck Drivers of Concrete Mixer Trucks (7-hour Day)		
2 yards or less per day . . . . .		\$7.50
3 yards per day . . . . .		8.00
4 yards per day . . . . .		8.50
5 yards per day . . . . .		8.50
6 yards per day . . . . .		9.00
Tractor Driver (up to 35 h.p.)		
(6-hour day) . . . . .	8.00	Time and one-half after 6 hours
Tractor Driver (over 35 h.p. to 50 h.p.) (6-hour day) . . . . .		
. . . . .	9.00	Time and one-half after 6 hours
(Working time for truck drivers, tractor drivers and all engineers shall be reckoned by half day and full day. Overtime for truck drivers at time and a half after seven hours.)		

## SECTION 2—BUILDING TRADE—SHOP RATES

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>
Cabinet Workers, Millmen, Machine and Bench Hands (shop) . . . . .	\$1.10
Varnishers and Polishers (shop) . . . . .	1.10



## SECTION 3—METAL TRADES—FIELD RATES

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Blacksmiths .....	1.30	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Boilermakers .....	1.37½	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Boilermakers' Helpers .....	1.10	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Machinists .....	1.10	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Machinists' Helpers .....	.75	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Machinist (maintenance) ...	1.12½	Time and one-half after 8 hours

## SECTION 4—METAL TRADES—SHOP RATES

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Pattern Makers (based on 7-hour day) .....	1.50	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 7 hours; double thereafter
Molders and Coremakers.....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Blacksmiths .....	1.30	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Blacksmiths' Helpers .....	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Boilermakers .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Boilermakers' Helpers .....	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Machinists .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Machinists' Helpers .....	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Structural and Ornamental Ironworkers (shop) .....	.90	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Toolmaker .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter

## SECTION 5—MISCELLANEOUS TRADES

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Well Drillers .....	1.10	
Well Drillers (hand tool foremen) .....	1.10	
Diamond Drillers .....	1.10	
Powderman .....	1.10	
Washers, Polishers and Greasers (garagemen) .....	.75	

## SECTION 6—CULINARY WORKERS

(8-hour day—5-day week)

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Head Cook .....	\$37.50	\$1.50 hour
Other Cooks .....	32.50	\$1.50 hour
Cooks' Helpers .....	25.00	\$1.50 hour
		(Short shifts, not less than 3 hours, \$1 hour)
Waiters .....	21.00	\$0.75 hour
Waitresses .....	21.00	\$0.75 hour
Dishwasher and Vegetable Man (straight shift).....	17.50	\$0.50 hour
Dishwasher and Vegetable Man (broken shift).....	20.00	\$0.50 hour

## SECTION 7—FURNITURE TRADES

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Carpet Layers, Cutters and Measurers— from Jan. 1, 1938, to July 31, 1938 .....	10.00	Double time after 8 hours
Carpet Seamstresses (large machines)— from Jan. 1, 1938, to July 31, 1938 .....	6.60	Double time after 8 hours
Carpet Seamstresses (small machines)— from Jan. 1, 1938, to July 31, 1938 .....	6.05	Double time after 8 hours
Carpet Layers' Apprentices:		
1st 6 months out .....	5.00	Double time after 8 hours
2d 6 months out .....	5.50	Double time after 8 hours
3d 6 months out .....	6.25	Double time after 8 hours
4th 6 months out .....	7.00	Double time after 8 hours
5th 6 months out .....	8.00	Double time after 8 hours
6th 6 months out .....	9.00	Double time after 8 hours
Shade and Drapery Makers and Hangers (including Venetian Blinds)— from Jan. 1, 1938, to July 31, 1938 .....	8.80	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Upholsterers— from Jan. 1, 1938, to July 31, 1938 .....	8.80	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Furniture Handlers, Packers and Strippers— from Jan. 1, 1938, to July 31, 1938 .....	6.60	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Drapery Seamstresses— from Jan. 1, 1938, to July 31, 1938 .....	5.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter

## SECTION 8—DREDGE BOATS

(8-hour day—4-hour Saturday)

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Dredge Captain .....	\$270	Double time after 8 hours
Leverman . . . . .	245	Double time after 8 hours
Fireman . . . . .	170	Double time after 8 hours
Deckhand . . . . .	170	Double time after 8 hours
Bargeman . . . . .	170	Double time after 8 hours

Wherever welding processes are involved the rate paid for such shall be as herein fixed for the crafts performing the work.

Saturdays and holiday work at double time. Holidays are New Year's Day, Decoration Day, Fourth of July, Labor Day, Admission Day, Thanksgiving and Christmas.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Reilly, Shannon—2.

## Refunds of Erroneous Payment of Taxes.

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 3721, as follows:

Resolved, that the following amounts be and are hereby authorized to be paid to the following named, being refunds of erroneous and duplicate payments of taxes:



*From Duplicate Tax Fund—Appropriation 905*

(1)	Jeanette S. Clark, per Vol. 3, Bill 2236, Lot 28, Block 510, Second Installment, Fiscal Year 1932.....	\$ 10.69
(2)	Calif. Pacific Title & Trust Co., per Vol. 44, Bill 2339, Lot 5, Block 7217, Both Installments, Fiscal Year 1936.....	42.38
(3)	Elsie M. Barth, per Vol. 38, Bill 2663, Lot 17, Block 6479, Both Installments, Fiscal Year 1936.....	59.40
(4)	Residential Development Co. of S. F., per Vol. 21, Bill 1538, Lot 13, Block 3047; per Vol. 21, Bill 1539, Lot 14, Block 3047, Both Second Installments, Fiscal Year 1936.....	50.33
(5)	Gustav Wolf, per Vol. 19, Bill 408, Lot 45-A, Block 2619, Both Installments, Fiscal Year 1936.....	47.68
(6)	R. M. Cuthbert, per Vol. 6, Bill 2823, Lot 17, Block 1003, Second Installment, Fiscal Year 1936.....	39.35
(7)	Peter J. Ivicivich, per Vol. 22, Bill 2148, Lot 13-B, Block 3211, First Installment, Fiscal Year 1937.....	20.90
(8)	Gustav Wolf, per Vol. 19, Bill 400, Lot 45-A, Block 2619, First Installment, Fiscal Year 1937.....	24.39
(9)	Title Insurance & Guaranty Co., per Vol. 19, Bill 1769, Lot 24, Block 2692, First Installment, Fiscal Year 1937.....	25.16
(10)	Marjorie Furner, per Vol. 25, Bill 2160, Lot 108, Block 3727, First Installment, Fiscal Year 1937.....	126.97
(11)	Mrs. A. B. Gilpin, per Vol. 15, Bill 384, Lot 32, Block 1886, First Installment, Fiscal Year 1937.....	6.77
(12)	Wells Fargo Bank & Union Trust Co., per Vol. 14, Bill 431, Lot 13, Block 1800, Both Installments, Fiscal Year 1937	25.16
(13)	George P. Taylor, per Vol. 11, Bill 3297, Lot 29, Block 1616, First Installment, Fiscal Year 1937.....	49.36
(14)	American Trust Co., per Vol. 28, Bill 724-A, Lot 8, Block 4338, First Installment, Fiscal Year 1937.....	21.87
(15)	David Pattyn, per Vol. 32, Bill 465, Lot 40, Block 5319, First Installment, Fiscal Year 1937.....	7.74
(16)	Pacific Realty Co., per Vol. 1, Bill 2888, Lot 23, Block 153, First Installment, Fiscal Year 1937.....	95.61
(17)	Chester Coleman, per Vol. 23, Bill 1705, Lot 69, Block 3549, First Installment, Fiscal Year 1937.....	26.13
(18)	Thomas P. Sharman, per Vol. 3, Bill 1890, Lot 1, Block 493, First Installment, Fiscal Year 1937.....	186.39
(19)	William E. Bonton, per Vol. 8, Bill 709, Lot 1, Block 1233; per Vol. 24, Bill 1429, Lot 28, Block 3601, Both First Installments, Fiscal Year 1937.....	138.39
(20)	Geo. A. Hynes, per Vol. 6, Bill 3429, Lot 28/29, Block 1038, First Installment, Fiscal Year 1937.....	111.29
(21)	Julia Rinrade, per Vol. 16, Bill 1903, Lot 16, Block 2139, First Installment, Fiscal Year 1937.....	46.45
(22)	Pedro Malendez, per Vol. 30, Bill 596½, Lot 13-B, Block 4914, Second Installment, Fiscal Year 1936.....	3.72

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Reilly, Shannon—2.

### Cancellation Duplicate Assessment.

(Code No. 9.0411)

Also, Resolution No. 3722, as follows:

Whereas, the Assessor has reported that improvements on Lot 2, Block 3935, and on Lot 4, Block 3909, were acquired by the Western Pacific Railroad Company prior to the first Monday in March, 1936, and were returned to the State Board of Equalization for assessment in 1936 and 1937 and are included in the assessment of improvements in the rolls for those years; and

Whereas, the Improvements on Lot 2, Block 3935, and on Lot 4, Block 3909, were assessed against the former owners on the Assessment Rolls of the City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1936-1937; therefore, be it

Resolved, that with the consent of the City Attorney and the recommendation of the Controller, in conformity with Section 3804 of the Political Code, the following assessments are hereby cancelled:

Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co., Lot 2, Block 3935, Fiscal Year 1936-1937.

Frank J. Foran, Lot 4, Block 3909, Fiscal Year 1936-1937.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Reilly, Shannon—2.

### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

### Authorizing Sale of Portion of Lot 1, Block 6113.

(Code No. 12.1729)

Bill No. 1499, Ordinance No. 12.172910, as follows:

Authorizing Sale of Portion of Lot 1, Block 6113.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the southeasterly line of Woolsey street and the southwesterly line of Dartmouth street; running thence southwesterly along said line of Woolsey street sixty (60) feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly one hundred (100) feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly sixty (60) feet to the southwesterly line of Dartmouth street; thence at a right angle northwesterly along said line of Dartmouth street one hundred (100) feet to the point of commencement.

Section 2. The above described land shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Reilly, Shannon—2.

### Adopted.

The following resolution was presented by Supervisor Roncovieri:

### Approval of Public Welfare Department Recommendations.

(Code No. 19.02)

Resolution No. 3723, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Public Welfare Department containing the names and amounts to be paid as Old Age Security Aid, Blind Pensions and Widows' Pensions for the month of January, 1938, including amounts, additions, decreases, cancellations and denials and other transactions, are hereby approved, and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is directed to transmit this approval to the Controller.

Further Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors declares an exten-



sion of time as necessary in certain cases as requested by the Public Welfare Department.

Further Resolved, That Resolution No. 3718 is hereby rescinded.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Reilly, Shannon—2.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

*Adopted.*

The following recommendations of Mayor were taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Supervisor Dewey Mead.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3725, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Dewey Mead, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing January 9, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Reilly, Shannon—2.

**Leave of Absence—Supervisor Adolph Uhl.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 3726, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Adolph Uhl, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing January 8, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Reilly, Shannon—2.

**Citizens' Committee, National Defense Week.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 3727, as follows:

Whereas, it is imperative that the United States maintain an adequate National Defense; and

Whereas, there has been held annually for several years National Defense Week to focus public attention on the need of building and maintaining an adequate army and navy; and

Whereas, it is vital that the fullest military protection be given to San Francisco, and the Pacific Coast; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor is hereby directed and authorized to appoint a Citizens' Committee to arrange for an appropriate celebration of National Defense Week from February 12 to 22, 1938.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Reilly, Shannon—2.

## Memorializing Congress to Pass Dingel Bill Permitting Federal Aid for Municipal Airports.

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

Resolution No. 3728, as follows:

Whereas, there is now pending in the Congress of the United States H. R. No. 6972, known as the Dingel Bill, which would permit the Federal Government to appropriate monies to assist cities in the development and maintenance of their airports; and

Whereas, it is becoming widely recognized that because much air transportation is interstate, this industry holds a position analogous to interstate rail, steamship and highway transportation and should therefore, be given cognizance by the Federal Government as an object of interstate and national character and one properly entitled to monetary assistance; and

Whereas, in the matter of national defense, airports constitute a most important factor and should therefore, with Federal assistance be so constructed, and provided with such facilities, as would make them available for use by Government's aviation forces, and this is particularly true of airports situate at vital or strategic points; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco is developing what will ultimately be one of the finest airports in the country, both for land and amphibian planes, to serve the United States Coast Guard and the leading air lines of the nation, a project certainly not of purely local concern, but rather, of national and interstate importance; and

Whereas, because in the event of armed conflict, the probability of the Federal Government commandeering the San Francisco Airport is so likely as to justify governmental participation in its development and maintenance, through financial contribution; now therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby memorialize the Congress of the United States and does urge the passage of H. R. No. 6972, known as the Dingel Bill, to permit of Federal assistance to cities in the development and maintenance of their airports; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be forwarded to the California delegation in the House of Representatives; to Senator Hiram W. Johnson and William G. McAdoo, as well as to Vice-President John M. Garner and President Franklin D. Roosevelt.

### Statement of Supervisor Roncovieri.

Gentlemen of the Board:

Hon. Frank Couzens, Mayor of Detroit, addressing the U. S. Conference of Mayors, said: At the present time it has been ruled by the legal division of the Department of Commerce that while the Federal Government is authorized to expend federal monies on the development of airways, that these airways stop at the boundaries of every city airport. Consequently, it is impossible for the Federal Government to share any of the cities' expense even though they may recognize the justice of it.

May I take the liberty of calling to your attention a bill which was offered in Congress at the last session, H. R. No. 6972, known as the Dingel Bill which was designed to remedy this situation and make it legal and possible for the Federal Government to share its logical and fair portion of the development of a national system of airports. For those who are not familiar with the Dingel Bill, it merely authorizes the Federal Government to share with cities and other political subdivisions of the United States the cost of improvement and maintenance of publicly-owned airports to insure the safe operation of aircraft.



Under the terms of the bill, the Secretary of Commerce is directed to make a study of the airports of the United States and report to the Congress annually, recommending the appropriation necessary for the improvement and maintenance of city, county and other publicly-owned airports.

This bill is a very simple one and in effect merely an enabling act which would bring to each and every city airport the assistance which is almost mandatory. Coming before the budget annually it would tend to meet the situations which are bound to occur in the future but which none of us are able to foretell.

May I point out that since the Federal Government has extended aid toward the development of railroads, steamship lines and highways, it cannot be charged that federal assistance toward the development of aerial transportation is in any way a departure from established practice and certainly cannot be termed a radical or revolutionary step.

The resultant increase in commerce, it is believed, would equal proportionately the volume of business created by the extensive construction of railways, highways and steamship lines in this country.

The Dingel Bill has been generally recognized as constructive legislation and its passage will depend primarily upon the force with which every city with an airport, impresses the seriousness of its individual problems upon its representatives in Congress.

Militant support of this bill will not only solve our city airport problems but will help make these United States assume the position of leadership in the field of aviation as it has in other means of transportation.

The problem of our city airports is not merely a local and individual one. Because it is a mutual problem it is naturally a national problem, and we should, therefore, unite and do something about it. We can all help materially by lending our whole-hearted support in urging the passage of the Dingel Bill.

This bill was approved by the Mayors' Conference and I now move that the resolution be adopted.

#### Motion.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved the suspension of the rules and the adoption of the resolution.

Supervisor Uhl objected to the adoption of the resolution and asked its reference to the Public Utilities Committee on the ground that the subject-matter would probably be discussed in the Mayors' Annual Message which would be before the Board of Supervisors next week.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Roncovieri's motion for suspension of the rules, and the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt—6.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Uhl—2.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Reilly, Shannon—3.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was *referred to the Public Utilities Committee*.

#### Citizens' Committee, S. F. Chronicle's Sixth Annual Veterans' Fete, Washington's Birthday.

Supervisor Colman moved that the Mayor be requested to appoint Citizens Committee to observe the S. F. Chronicle's Sixth Annual Veterans' Fete, on Washington's Birthday.

*Motion carried.*

**Bus Transportation Study.**

Supervisor Uhl requested authorization of the Board, on his trip east, to visit various cities and study bus transportation. He requested similar authorization, also, for Supervisor Mead.

*Request granted.*

**Public Utilities Commission to Furnish Copies of Its Minutes.**

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Board request of the Public Utilities Commission, copies of minutes of meetings since July 1, 1937, and that the Commission supply the Board with copies of minutes of each meeting in the future.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

**Land for Street Purposes at Duboce Avenue and Market Street.**

Communication from Director of Works, re acquisition of land for street purposes, at Duboce and Market, read by the Clerk and *referred to the Finance Committee.*

**In Memoriam—Imelda Shannon.**

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Board adjourn out of respect to the memory of the late Mrs. Shannon, and that the Chair be requested to appoint a committee to draw up the proper memorial.

*So ordered.*

**Resolution.**

The Resolutions Committee reported the following, which was *adopted* by a rising vote:

The Board of Supervisors learns with deep sorrow the passing of Imelda Shannon, the beloved wife of our colleague, Warren Shannon.

Be It Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors in this session assembled, that we commiserate with Supervisor Shannon and his family and relatives on so irreparable a loss, that we extend our sympathy to him and to them, and that when we adjourn today we do so in respect to her revered memory.

**Meeting Announcements.**

Fire, Safety and Police Committee, January 7, 1937, 10 a. m.

Public Utilities Committee, January 4, 3 p. m.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors at 4:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors January 10, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.



Vol. 33

FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

No. 2

Saturday, January 8, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

SATURDAY, JANUARY 8, 1938, 12 NOON.

The Board of Supervisors met Saturday, January 8, 1938, at noon, pursuant to provisions of the Charter, for inauguration of recently elected members of the Board.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following members were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

## Bonds of Supervisors Filed.

The following was presented, read by the Clerk and *ordered filed*:

January 5, 1938.

The Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Please be advised that official bonds for Supervisors, as required by Section 10 of the Charter, elected at the General Election held in the City and County of San Francisco on the 2nd day of November, 1937, have been filed in the office of the Controller, as follows:

Name	Bonding Company	Bond Amount
James B. McSheehy,	Hartford Accident & Indemnity.....	\$5,000
George R. Reilly,	Massachusetts Bonding & Insurance.....	5,000
John M. Ratto,	Fidelity & Deposit Co.....	5,000
Adolph Uhl,	Aetna Casualty & Surety Co.....	5,000
Adolph E. Schmidt,	Fireman's Fund Indemnity Co.....	5,000

In accordance with the provisions of Sections 26 and 67 of the Charter, these bonds have been approved as to form by the City Attorney and by the Controller as to the sufficiency and solvency of the sureties thereon and under date of January 5, 1938, as provided by Section 55 of Bill 724, Ordinance 4.042, transmitted to the Recorder for proper recordation.

You are further advised that copies of oaths of office, as originally filed with the Registrar of Voters, for all of the above-named officials, are on file in this office.

Yours very truly,

HAROLD J. BOYD,  
Controller.

In accordance with the certification of the Registrar of Voters dated November 18, 1937, the above named Supervisors were duly declared inducted in office.

## Election of President.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I move that Honorable Warren Shannon be elected President of this Board of Supervisors for the ensuing two years.

President Shannon's services as presiding officer should be appreci-

ated and he should be continued in his position as President of the Board. He always conducted the proceedings with utmost dignity, impartiality and fairness and with due regard to the rights of the members and the interest of the public.

Motion seconded by Supervisors Uhl and Reilly and *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Excused from voting—Supervisor Shannon—1.

### Remarks of Members.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I extend congratulations and heartiest good will to all my colleagues who have been returned to office, and also, to those who are continuing members of this Board.

We have all been signally honored by the people. We must, therefore, recognize the responsibility of the high trust that has been placed in us.

The people have a right to demand that the great public questions which will most engross us, be lifted far above petty prejudices and personal differences. They expect us to be loyal to their interests; solving their problems to the best of our abilities.

The people will permit of no division into a solid majority, or a solid minority, solely for political expediency.

I venture the hope that each of us will think of himself as an independent member of this Board, unaffected except as his conscience may dictate in the solution of the people's problems, and that when the votes are counted for or against any measure they will at all times represent the composite thought of eleven Supervisors.

The sad side of public life, both in high and in low places, is the fact that it is all too often made up of cunning and intrigue, of seeking selfish ends at any cost to others, or preferring vain glory and personal advantage rather than the achievement of the common good; these are the weeds of political life that make the name politician a byword, and a term of opprobrium, when it should stand for the highest ideals in statesmanship.

Let us avoid these faults in order that the spirit of our actions may be fair—governed always by an innate love of justice.

Let courtesy, dignity and discriminating intelligence guide us in our relations one to the other, and to the questions before us for solution.

Let us be steady-minded men of vision.

Let us think of ourselves as builders for the people; savers, not wasters, of time, in order to expedite our work and establish public confidence.

Remember that what we *do*, and not what we *say*, is the *only* thing that counts with the people. The old adage is still true: "*Actions speak louder than words.*" Therefore, when inclined to talk at length on any subject, remember the old Hindu proverb: "*Wisdom is made up of ten parts—nine parts silence and one part brevity.*"

If we are staunch, loyal and true to ourselves, we shall be staunch, loyal and true to the people and to each other. "*To thine ownself be true, and it must follow, as the night the day, thou canst not then be false to any man.*"

And now, with these high ideals, I, as a member of this Board, rededicate myself to work constructively, conscientiously and wholeheartedly to the solution of every problem that is, and will be ours to solve, to the end that San Francisco may grow and prosper as the city loved 'round the world. With God's help, I shall keep the faith!

### SUPERVISOR UHL:

Of course, this is a happy meeting. I am particularly happy over having received 81,400 votes, for which I am truly thankful, at a very small campaign expense. It is indeed encouraging to know it is not



necessary to spend huge sums of money to be re-elected. Therefore, I feel gratified that on my record I have been re-elected.

In 1933 the public elected me upon a definite platform of policy. At the inaugural ceremonies before the Board of Supervisors on January 8, 1934, I submitted a program of policy to the Board. The major accomplishments in my platform of policy are listed below:

1. Consolidation of police stations, motorization of police department. This makes for economy and more efficient service to the public. I now suggest that the abandoned police stations be made available for meeting places of our citizens, and that the North End Station be used as a branch library.

2. Of greater importance is the removal of Calvary and Laurel Hill Cemeteries which will make available for home building upward of 30 blocks of land. Judging by the demand for home building sites in the subdividing of the old Masonic and Odd Fellows Cemeteries and houses erected thereon, it is a conservative prediction to say that within five years after Calvary and Laurel Hill Cemeteries subdivisions are placed on the market, homes will be erected thereon and millions added to the assessment rolls. Playground should be provided within the area of these cemeteries particularly adjacent to the Geary School where playground facilities are nil.

---

Today I submit the following propositions which I trust will merit your approval:

Charter Amendments to be submitted to the electorate at the first election:

1. Reduce number of Supervisors to 7; serve full time; salary \$6,000 a year. Board meetings daily at 10 o'clock; all matters acted upon within 30 days. Committee meetings daily at 2 p. m., all matters to be reported back to Board within 10 days. This amendment, if voted, to become effective January —, 1942. I feel the change is necessary to speed up the work of the Board.

2. *Term of Mayor and Supervisors.* Renewing my suggestion of 1934, six-year term and lapse of one term before qualifying for re-election.

3. *Amending Section 22.* It was not the thought of the Board of Freeholders that a Supervisor elected by the people cannot suggest to a Commission of the Director of a Department, constructive legislation. Therefore, Section 22 should be amended whereby a Supervisor can offer a suggestion covering civic matters in which the community is interested to a Commission or the Director of a Department.

4. *Recall.* Substitute for the Recall Provisions in the present charter the provisions of the Recall as set forth in Sections 12, 2, 3, 4 and 5 of the previous charter, one of which sections provides "one petition is competent to propose the removal and election of one or more elective officials."

5. *Purchase of Patented or Proprietary Articles.* The Purchaser shall be required to advertise for competitive bids on a basis of specifications submitted, and permitting manufacturers of, or dealers in, other articles made and sold for the same purpose, to bid. I note that the Manager of Utilities during his term of office has purchased only White Coaches. No bids called for. Therefore, believing the City will carry on extensive purchase of buses, and that competitive bids should be called for.

6. *Licensing for Revenue.* Due to charter provisions licensing for revenue is not permissible. The Controller recently reported that license revenues are \$500,000 less than in the past year. Therefore,

this amount must be shifted to the shoulders of the taxpayer. In many cases there should be licenses for revenue.

7. *Lake Merced and Aquatic Park.* Lake Merced should be placed under either the jurisdiction of the Park Commission or the Recreation Commission. It should be made most attractive during the Exposition year. It can be done by stringing electric lights around the edge of the lake.

*Provision for Canoeing.* Canoe racks built, and also facilities in the way of tables and benches where families desiring to spend a Sunday or a holiday can avail themselves of same.

8. Amending Section 10 of the charter, which reads: "At twelve o'clock noon on the 8th day of January next following their election, . . ."

In place of same, to read "at twelve o'clock on the first Monday in January, etc."

9. *Police Department.* In order to bring the activities of the Chief of Police closer to the public I suggest the Police Commission become an advisory commission, that the Mayor appoint the Chief of Police in order that the Mayor becomes responsible for the acts of the Chief of Police; disciplinary measures to be meted out by the Police Commission.

10. *Planting and Care of Trees.* Trees mean so much in the beautification of a city I suggest the planting and care of trees between property lines on a thoroughfare should be under the jurisdiction of the Park Commission in place of the Department of Public Works.

11. *Consolidation of Offices.* Consolidation of the office of Tax Collector with the office of Treasurer, Controller to check receipts of Treasurer. Consolidating the office of Recorder with the office of County Clerk. Consolidating the office of Coroner with the office of the Health Officer. This consolidation will make for economy as well as efficiency.

12. *Matters of Policy.* Submission to the electorate at the first election the question of the sale of land lying between Ocean avenue and Greenwood, Phelan avenue and Plymouth. This tract covers approximately 12 blocks. It is desirable for homes. Neither Mr. Freeman, the eminent Engineer who laid out in detail the plans of supplying homes in San Francisco with Hetch Hetchy water, nor the plans of Mr. M. M. O'Shaughnessy, included this tract as a reservoir site. These 12 blocks should be subdivided for home sites and the Ocean avenue frontage for commercial purposes. It means putting a huge sum on the assessment roll.

13. I will introduce the following ordinances in the near future:

Eliminating sidewalks on one side of alleys wherever possible in order to afford additional parking space.

Placing the seal of San Francisco on all city-owned automobiles and trucks.

Prescribing the number of feet of depressed curb permissible for garages, parking lots, gas stations and apartment garages. In many cases more than 50 per cent of the curb space is at present being removed from parking facilities.

Cost of painting curbs red and white denoting parking restrictions. Inasmuch as the painting of the curb red or white is for the benefit of the tenant or the owner of said property, it is but fair that the cost of same should be borne by the owner or tenant in place of passing this cost on to the taxpayer.

Subdivisions in future should have no lot less than 33 feet in width, and no residence should be erected without having at least 3 feet



clearance on all sides, except where, under one ownership, at the present time, a lot is either 25 or 50 feet in width.

*Matters of Policy.* Desirability of acquiring the southeast corner of Army and Kansas streets, thereby city ownership of the entire block bounded by Kansas and De Haro, Army to the alley on the south. The old incinerator should be removed, property leveled and made available as a hard baseball field. The hard baseball field in the Rolph Playground has recently been turned into a soft baseball field. In time this will become a very valuable piece of property. In the meantime it should be made available as a baseball field.

Request the Federal Government to build a road along the Ocean from Old Fort Point to Baker's Beach, making connection with road leading to West Clay street.

There is demand for a close in picnic ground that can be reached by street car. Such a site is owned by the City on Junipero Serra boulevard, close to Alemany and Junipero Serra boulevard, accessible to the "M" Line. This tract belongs to the Water Department. It is an abandoned pumping station. At moderate cost the buildings can be remodeled. The tract is beautifully wooded and ideal as a picnic site. There is a considerable demand through the summer months for such a picnic site, and it can be rented at an attractive price.

By purchase or exchange, acquire the lands adjacent to Twin Peaks boulevard, thereby perpetuating for all time the marvelous, unexcelled view from Twin Peaks boulevard, and also by purchase or exchange to secure ownership of the properties which obstruct the beautiful view up Telegraph Hill. Said properties are on Filbert street between Kearny and Montgomery streets.

Recognition of outstanding citizens who have contributed to the making of San Francisco, the City known around the world, by erecting some sort of a monument in a suitable location where a bust of such men as Alvord, one of the founders of Golden Gate Park, along with his colleagues, should be placed, and a bust of other men who have likewise had vision and did their part in making San Francisco, "The City Beautiful." It would be a most suitable place for a bust of our dear John McLaren.

Every effort should be put forth by the members of the Board during their coming term to secure control of our harbor facilities. San Francisco should positively own and manage its own harbor.

*Industrial Lands.* A request should be made of His Excellency, Governor Merriam, to have legislation enacted whereby the State will cede to San Francisco all tide lands lying south of Islais Creek in order that the City can reclaim same for industrial sites.

*Bringing to Grade Streets in South Basin.* Purchase by the City of lands in South Basin and providing in the budget \$150,000 annually for the purchase of the tide lands in South Basin and adjacent lands to be used to fill in the tide lands purchased by the City. San Francisco will thereby be enabled to bid for industries seeking locations.

*Elevated Limited Ways.* There have been many persons killed on Potrero avenue due to the tremendous auto traffic on said avenue. Therefore, immediate steps should be taken to divert much of the vehicular traffic from Potrero avenue by means of an elevated limited way, location to be recommended by the City Engineer. We are lacking in vision if we do not appreciate the necessity of immediate action in so vital a matter, especially as it requires at least three years to take care of necessary studies, plans, survey and construction of such a contemplated elevated limited way. Appropriation should be approved by the Mayor in the 1938-39 budget in order to start this matter on its way.

*Unemployment.* Humane care of our citizens should be given such of our citizens as find it necessary to seek Relief, and a sufficient amount should be set up in the 1938-39 budget to alleviate this unfortunate condition.

*Rapid Transit.* There is no gainsaying the fact that our street car service is abominable and that some other means of rapid transportation of our citizens than that now used, must be devised. Bus operation seems the only answer. The fact that Mr. Cahill requests fifteen thousand dollars for a further study of the Uhl bus plan evidences the fact that bus operations have merit.

In place of spending fifteen thousand dollars for a report, I suggest placing in the 1938-39 budget, sixty thousand dollars covering a first payment on sixty 41-passenger buses costing approximately six hundred thousand dollars, the balance to be paid in annual installments over a period of six years, and such installments to be paid out of the Depreciation Fund, said buses to be operated on the following routes:

Route (a). Thirty-second avenue and California street to Bush and Market.

Route (b). From Fifth and Mint avenue to the County Line and Huron. Operation of these two bus routes will demonstrate the practicability of bus operations and the desirability of adding additional bus routes. Bear in mind the fact that the East Bay interurban trains will be in operation between the East Bay and San Francisco next December, cars with 104 seating capacity and every passenger guaranteed a seat. Compare this with passengers packed like sardines hanging on the steps of our trolley cars. I say, "Wake up, Public Utilities Commission."

*Parking Area.* There is crying need for parking space in the Triangle District. Provision was made that the surface below Union Square can be used for a garage. Tentative plans have been prepared for a two-story sub-garage with 1000 car capacity, the cost of said garage being estimated at \$1,000,000. The promoters of this plan have been unable to finance same. I feel if private parties are not able to finance such a garage it might behoove San Francisco to do so. And I therefore suggest that the City Engineer in collaboration with the Manager of Utilities be requested to furnish the Board with an estimate of cost for construction of such a garage and a financial prospectus of revenues and operating costs.

*Fourth of July Observance.* Judged by the interest shown on the part of many of our citizens regarding the Fourth of July events held last year in the Kezar Stadium there is no doubt that a contest between drill teams, mass calisthenics, and athletic sports will prove most popular and keep many of our citizens in San Francisco on the Fourth of July. I, therefore, move at this time in order that such a program can be arranged that the Mayor be requested to appoint a Citizens Committee for the Fourth of July observance.

In conclusion, I desire to assure you of my wholehearted support for any constructive legislation. In order to maintain the dignity of the Board to refrain from personalities. The injection of personalities certainly cheapens the standing of this Honorable Board.

**SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY:** Mr. Chairman, members of the Board, my colleagues, City officials, and ladies and gentlemen:

We are gathered here today to install five members of the Board of Supervisors who were elected on November the 2d of last year. During the number of years that I have served as a member of this Board never yet have I participated in an inauguration in which all of the incumbents were re-elected. I am sure that we five members of this Board who were re-elected to the office that we now occupy feel very grateful to the people of San Francisco for re-electing us to



the office; it shows the confidence that they have in the members of the Board that they re-elected.

The Board of Supervisors today is a different board from what it was some years ago. A new Charter was carried by the people of this City some five or six years ago, and that Charter made this Board a legislative body only; that is, all we have to do is to legislate for this great City of San Francisco, and that is no easy task. There are problems before us today that should be carefully considered. There is one problem, the problem of transportation, and this Board should give great time and great study to that problem. Conditions have changed, and if we don't do something in the way of giving to the people of our City transportation, as I have stated many times on this Board, the people of our City will leave this City and reside down the peninsula or across the bay, or over in Marin County, so we must give transportation. Now to do it we simply have to study the problem and work hard, and bring to this Board some way of giving proper transportation to our people. It is a problem, and one that requires intense study, and I feel, after being re-elected to the office that I now occupy, as one member of this Board, I intend to give that problem study, because it means work and study. The ramifications of the problem are many, and the conditions are such that this Board must meet day in and day out, and bring to the people of our City a solution of this grave problem.

There are a great many other problems, and personally, speaking for myself, I feel that the water problem is an important problem, and I hope that some day, members of this Board, that we will construct legislation so that we can give to the people of San Francisco the seventy million gallons of water that are pouring into the Pacific Ocean every day. The people of this City are hungry for water. We should beautify our City, and the only way we can do it is by planting trees and allowing the residents of our City to plant shrubs and properly cultivate their gardens. It is a problem, and one that we must look after.

Now, there are other problems before us, problems of finance, problems of relief, and a great many other problems, and I dare say that every member of this Board has within himself some one problem that he is going to try, during the next two or three years, to convert a majority of the members of this Board to his way of thinking on that particular problem, and all I can say to you, as one member of this Board, personally speaking for myself, I will go into every problem with an open mind, so that I can justify myself in trying to help you to solve these problems. I have no fixed views and intend to have none on these problems; perhaps you have. I think that every member of this Board, and especially the five re-elected should have some particular problems which they should take upon themselves to advocate during the next two years, and I tell you frankly now I intend to give up every minute of my time that I can give up to convert the members of this Board to my way of thinking on the water problem. I have taken the initiative in that matter, and shall continue to take the initiative on that problem; and on transportation I will do everything that I possibly can, as one member of this Board, to help solve that problem.

I might take my position rather seriously, and I will say that I have taken it very seriously from the first day that I was elected to the office of Supervisor, and I will continue to take my position seriously. I made a statement on that day, the day I was first inaugurated—and it is a long time ago—that I would give the same detailed attention to this office that I have given to my own private business, and I have given it a lot of attention. I have no apologies to make, and I am more than pleased to think that the people of San Francisco re-elected me to the office I now occupy, and I here pledge myself

that as a Supervisor I will do everything in my power that will mean the upbuilding of this great City of San Francisco.

Mr. President, members of the Board, I thank you.

**SUPERVISOR REILLY:** Chairman Warren Shannon, members of the Board, and their wives and friends:

I have listened with a great deal of interest to what has been said today. I have no special program. I am going to try to put common sense in the things I do as a member of this Board, and if I do that I feel that I might be of some assistance in solving some of these weighty problems that come before us.

There are a few things that I believe San Francisco should give some serious thought to. I believe that in our Police Department no ranking officer should hold more than one specified position, not numerous. They should not hold two or more, and I believe that the duties of the department should be divided as much and as equally as possible among those who can take on that responsibility.

I believe that San Francisco men and women should be put to work on projects when San Francisco money is spent on them.

Next, I believe that we should encourage our industries in San Francisco, and do all we can to stop them from crossing the bay and looking to Southern California where cheaper labor conditions give them a chance to compete with San Francisco and our conditions here with our high standards of living, and we should do all that we can to encourage and keep them here so that the outside chiselers will stop coming to San Francisco and taking away the cream from our City and our taxpayers.

Next, I believe that our City employees, in standardizing their salaries, it seems to me that we should be perfectly fair to them; we have the cream of the employees in our City government, they possess high class and take a high standard of examinations, and you cannot compare, sometimes, a clerk in our City government with clerks on the outside who have not had the same experience and have not competed by examinations. We all have the same opportunity to study for examinations and to study for better positions, and the one who gives time and study to promoting himself should not be restandardized down, and he should at least be kept where he is.

My friends in these chambers, and the young men of San Francisco, and the Young Men's Council, I wish to thank. I cherish the thoughts of the last campaign. I enjoyed meeting Adolph Uhl, for example, and although we used to go to it occasionally, but for some reason all of the members of this Board have been courteous and have been kind, and we do like each other occasionally. We don't always get along, but nevertheless we do try the best we can.

There is one man in my life, and I more or less feel like I am receiving another diploma today, and that is Supervisor Roncovieri, because back in 1917 I have a picture of the diploma you gave me in the old Sunset Grammar School, and I am very proud after all of these years, coming from the Mission, from the poorer district, from Glen Park, and I am very proud as I sit here at your side, beside the man who sent me on my way from the Sunset Grammar School.

I repeat again, that I believe in the square deal for all of the people of San Francisco, and I believe that our great form of government, that the democratic government of the United States is the greatest thing that we have, and in a small way we must exemplify and perpetuate that form of government and permit no forms of isms or Communistic, or radical elements to come into our government that would disrupt it. I am proud to read in the Examiner an attack upon those that would undermine the very foundations of our government. Let's look at the thing right, with loads of confidence. We have a great City, we have a great people, we come from a great nation, and let's



fight our battles together and let common sense rule the membership on this Board of Supervisors.

The re-elected Supervisors received the congratulations and fecilitations of President Shannon and of each member of the Board.

#### Letter From Mayor.

The following was received at 1 p. m. and read to the Board:

January 8, 1938.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall.

Gentlemen: I have just received the following telephone message from Honorable Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor:

"Will you please send over to the Board of Supervisors my extreme regret at my inability to be present at the inauguration of its five members.

"I am attending the final rites of the late beloved Tom Finn and it seems unlikely I shall be back in time to extend my felicitations both to the re-elected members and the incumbent members for the work they have done during the past year.

"Say to them that I wish them all well throughout 1938 and that I propose with them to work even more intensely for the future progress, development and growth of our mutually beloved city."

Yours sincerely,

ERIC CULLENWARD,  
Executive Secretary.

#### Citizens' Committee, Fourth of July.

Supervisor Uhl moved that his Honor the Mayor be requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee for the observance of July 4, 1938.

Motion *carried*.

#### In Memoriam—Thomas F. Finn and Charles Fay.

Supervisor Reilly moved that the Board adjourn out of respect to the memory of the late Thomas Finn and Charles Fay, and that the chair appoint a committee to draw up appropriate expressions of sympathy of the Board.

Chair appointed as Memorial Committee Supervisors Reilly, McSheehy, Shannon.

#### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board of Supervisors at 3:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors January 24, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.





Vol. 33

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

No. 3

Monday, January 10, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JANUARY 10, 1938, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, January 10, 1938,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Uhl—2.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of January 3, 1938, was  
considered read and approved.

Resignation of Wm. P. Filmer, Golden Gate Bridge Director.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

January 5, 1938.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San  
Francisco.

Gentlemen: I hereby resign as a member of the Board of Directors  
of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District under appointment  
of your Honorable Board, representing the City and County of San  
Francisco, to take effect at midnight on January 10th, 1938.

Yours very truly,

(Signed): WILLIAM P. FILMER.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I am going to move that the resignation  
of Mr. Filmer be accepted and then if I can receive a second, I would  
like to make a few remarks.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: Second the motion.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I think the people of San Francisco real-  
ize the splendid public service that has been rendered by Mr. Filmer  
in fulfilling the duties of Director of the Golden Gate Bridge and  
Highway District and as President of the Board of Directors of that  
great district representing the monument standing at the northern en-  
trance to San Francisco as a monument to Mr. Filmer's untiring  
energy, integrity and ability—the greatest bridge in the world.

Mr. Filmer served ever since the inception of the bridge and high-  
way district as the President of that body and under his guidance  
the bridge took form and now stands as a completed reality, and I  
think this Board of Supervisors, in accepting Mr. Filmer's resignation,  
should do so with an expression of approbation of the splendid work

Mr. Filmer has done and regret for the fact that his personal affairs make it necessary for him to tender his resignation at this time.

I therefore move that Mr. Filmer's resignation be accepted and the Clerk directed to draw up a suitable motion of regret and approbation in acceptance of the resignation.

**SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY:** Mr. President—

**PRESIDENT SHANNON:** Just before the vote is taken, I would like to add a word to what Mr. Brown has said:

As a member of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District from its inception, along with Mr. Filmer, it was a great pleasure for me to have Mr. Filmer as a member of that Bridge and Highway District on account of my long personal acquaintanceship with him. Mr. Filmer was a personal friend of mine and Mr. Filmer's father was a personal friend of my father, such close personal friends that my father was made the executor of his will. I think the Filmer family have established themselves in San Francisco as outstanding citizens, and in every action of Mr. Filmer on the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District he did what he thought for the best interests of the district and of San Francisco and it is a pleasure for me to second the motion made by Supervisor Brown, and if there is no objection, the motion will be carried.

Whereupon, Supervisor Brown moved that a committee of the Board be appointed to draw up suitable expressions of the regard for the worth and service of Mr. Filmer, and regret for his severance with the Golden Gate Bridge Board of Directors, the same to be transmitted to Mr. Filmer. Motion seconded by Supervisor Shannon.

*Motion carried.*

#### **Adopted.**

Thereupon, the following recommendation of the Public Utilities Committee was presented and on motion of Supervisor Meyer was *adopted* by the following vote:

**Appointment of James E. Ricketts as a Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District Director, Vice William P. Filmer, Resigned.**

(Code No. 12.111)

Resolution No. 3735, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of this City and County does hereby elect James E. Ricketts as a director of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District for the City and County of San Francisco for the unexpired term of William P. Filmer, resigned.

This appointment to become effective from and after January 11, 1938.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Uhl—2.

#### **SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.**

**Hearing of Appeal, Rezoning of Easterly Line of Lyon Street, 132.122 Feet Southerly from Marina Boulevard.**

Appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1703, dated November 4, 1937, denying application to rezone from First Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the easterly line of Lyon street, 132.122 feet southerly from the southerly line of Marina boulevard.

Milton Marks, attorney representing appellants, declared that he had made his opening statement, that he stood on the record and re-



quested that the protestants proceed and that he be given the right to close.

Chair (President Shannon) ruled that the appellants proceed, state their case.

F. W. Terney of Heller, Ehrman, White & McAuliffe, representing Marina Property Owners Association, agreed with President's ruling that appellants open the hearing and state their ground for appeal.

### Refused Adoption.

The attorney for the appellant refusing to make further statement, the following resolution was presented and *refused adoption* by the following vote:

**Disapproving Decision of City Planning Commission Denying Application to Rezone From First Residential District to Commercial District, Property Located at the Easterly Line of Lyon Street 132.122 Feet Southerly From Marina Boulevard.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 3741, as follows:

Resolved, That the decision of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1703, dated November 4, 1937, denying application to rezone from First Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the easterly line of Lyon street, 132.122 feet southerly from the southerly line of Marina boulevard, is hereby disapproved.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Uhl—3.

(Supervisor McSheehy appeared presently and declared that had he been present he would have voted in the negative.)

### HEARING OF PROTESTS, STREET WORK—2 P. M.

Hearing of protests of property owners against assessment for street work on Williams avenue, between Third and Newhall streets. The Fay Improvement Co., contractor.

The hearing was announced and no protest being made, the Clerk was instructed to so inform the Department of Public Works.

### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

#### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee heretofore passed for second reading were taken up:

**Authorizing Lease of Certain Water Department Land Opposite Belmont Pump Station.**

(Code No. 12.17352)

Bill No. 1492, Ordinance No. 12.173525, as follows:

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 93 of the Charter, and in accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for leasing a certain tract of San Francisco Water Department land situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, which land is a portion of Parcel No. 35, San Mateo County Lands as per deed from Spring Valley Water Company to City and County of San Francisco, recorded March 3, 1930, in Volume 491, page 1, San Mateo County Records, said tract of land being more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the northwesterly boundary line of said Parcel No. 35 with the southwesterly line of El Camino Real; thence southwesterly along said northwesterly line 90 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 110 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 88 feet more or less to the southwesterly line of El Camino Real; thence northwesterly along last named line 112 feet more or less to the point of commencement. Together with the existing buildings located on said land. Right reserved to construct, maintain, operate and repair pipe lines and other water works structures on the above described property.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Uhl—2.

### **Supplemental Appropriation of \$250 for Purchase of Stationery and Supplies, Jail Stores.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1493, Ordinance No. 9.051441, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$250, out of the surplus existing in the General Fund by virtue of the increase in revenue from the sale of merchandise in the county jails, to the credit of Appropriation No. 707.300.04 for the purpose of providing funds for the purchase of stationery and supplies required for the operation of the jail stores.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$250 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the General Fund by virtue of the increase in revenue from the sale of merchandise in the county jails, to the credit of Appropriation No. 707.300.04 for the purpose of providing funds for the purchase of stationery and supplies required for the operation of the jail stores.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Uhl—2.

### **Action Deferred.**

The following matter was, on motion, *laid over two weeks*:

### **Supplemental Appropriation of \$10,000 for Holding Civil Service Examination.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1495, Ordinance No. 9.051443, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$10,000 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 771.102.01, for the purpose of providing funds for the Civil Service Commission for holding examinations for certain Civil Service classes of employments, the creation of the resulting Civil Service lists being essential to the uninterrupted service of the several departments of the city and county government.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$10,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 771.102.01, for the purpose of providing funds for the Civil Service Commission for holding examinations for certain Civil Service classes of employments, the creation of the resulting Civil Service lists being essential to the uninterrupted service of the several departments of the city and county government.



**NEW BUSINESS.****Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

**Land Purchase—LaSalle Avenue Extension.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Resolution No. 3729, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from T. T. Shoaf, et ux., a portion of Lot 1, Assessor's Block 5291, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the opening of LaSalle avenue, for the sum of \$83.10, payable from Appropriation No. 748,930.17. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property. Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property for a particular description of said parcel of land.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Uhl—2.

**Cancellation of Tax Sale and Assessments.**

(Code Nos. 9.0411 and 9.0412)

Also, Resolution No. 3730, as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, has been leasing Lots 16 and 16A, Assessor's Block 819, San Francisco, from Leavenworth-McAllister Realty Corporation, for the Hayes Valley Recreation Center; and

Whereas, the Controller has reported that said property was sold to the State of California for delinquent taxes on June 29, 1932, and that being property used for municipal purposes said sale and the following assessments should be cancelled:

Lots 16 and 16A, Block 819:

<i>Year</i>	<i>Tax</i>	<i>Del. Pen.</i>	<i>Cost</i>
1931 .....	\$ 420.16	\$42.01	\$ .50
1932 .....	768.24		
1933 .....	675.12		
1934 .....	749.54		
1935 .....	714.30		
1936 .....	734.10		
1937 .....	750.98		
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
	\$4,812.44	\$42.01	\$ .50

And, whereas, the City Attorney has consented thereto; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be and is hereby authorized to cancel said sale and assessments in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804(a) of the Political Code of the State of California.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Uhl—2.

**Refunds of Erroneous Payment of Taxes.**

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 3731, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized

to be paid to the following named, being refunds of erroneous and duplicate payments of taxes:

*From Duplicate Tax Fund—Appropriation No. 905.*

- |   |         |
|---|---------|
| (1) Marie Fylling, per Vol. 21, Bill 531, Lot 28A/29, Block 2983,<br>2d Installment, fiscal year 1936.....                | \$45.60 |
| (2) Title Insurance & Guaranty Co., per Vol. 12, Bill 2172, Lot<br>15, Block 1666, 1st Installment, fiscal year 1937..... | 43.55   |
| (3) Steve H. Stilanes, per Vol. 24, Bill 2314, Lot 41, Block 3613,<br>1st Installment, fiscal year 1937.....              | 31.75   |
| (4) Edward F. Bryant, per Vol. 2, Bill 352½, Lot 12A, Block 180,<br>2d Installment, fiscal year 1936.....                 | 69.18   |

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly,  
Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Uhl—2.

**Referred.**

The following recommendations of Public Health Committee were referred to the Finance Committee:

**An Ordinance Defining Classes of Meats, Retail Cuts of Meat and Regulating the Advertisement Thereof, Defining Offenses and Providing Penalties for the Violation Thereof.**

(Code No. 17.04)

Bill No. 1497, Ordinance No. 17.046, as follows:

An ordinance defining classes of meats, retail cuts of meat and regulating the advertisement thereof, defining offenses and providing penalties for the violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Every advertisement offering meat for sale at retail shall state the class of meat so offered (in type not less than one-half the size of type used to name the particular cut advertised). Any portion or "cut" of meat which is defined in this ordinance, must conform to such definition if advertised for sale at retail. Terms such as those used by the United States Department of Agriculture to establish grades of meat, such as "Prime", "A-1", or "Choice", shall not be used in advertising meat for sale at retail unless such meat is in fact of such grade. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, co-partnership, association or corporation selling meat at retail, to misrepresent the classes of meat in their advertising or placards, or in any manner whatsoever.

Section 2. For the purposes of this ordinance, the classes of meat are defined as follows:

(A) "BEEF" is defined as flesh from animals of the bovine species, divided into the following classes:

(1) "Baby beef" is from steers or heifers aged from eight to eighteen months at the time of slaughter, showing finished fed condition, excellent conformation and quality.

(2) "Steer beef" is from a male that was castrated before he advanced far enough toward maturity to make reproduction possible. The animal must also have progressed beyond the veal or calf stages. The term "steer beef" shall not be applied to heifer, cow, stag, or bull beef.

(3) "Heifer beef" is from a female that has passed beyond the veal or calf stages, but has never had a calf, and has not reached advanced pregnancy.

(4) "Cow beef" is from a mature female that has had one or more calves, or was advanced in pregnancy at time of slaughter.

(5) "Veal" or "Calf" is from a bovine animal less than eight



months of age at time of slaughter. "Milk Veal" is from a calf that has not been weaned.

(B) "Lamb" is defined as the flesh of animals of the sheep family or ovine species, not over twelve months of age at time of slaughter.

(1) "Spring Lamb" is from lambs less than six months of age at the time of slaughter. This term shall not be used in describing lambs offered for sale after October 1st, in any year, nor until the new crop of lambs arrives on the market about March 1st, in any year.

(2) "Fed Lambs" or "Lamb" is from lambs which have been weaned and which are sold for slaughter at from six months to twelve months of age.

(3) "Yearling Mutton" is defined as the flesh of animals of the sheep or ovine family which are from twelve to twenty months of age at time of slaughter. Such animals must be designated and sold as "Yearling mutton".

(4) All animals of this species which have passed the "Yearling mutton" stage must be designated and sold as "mutton", and shall not be sold or offered for sale as "lamb". Ewes, which are hereby defined as mature females of the ovine species, must be designated and sold as "Ewe mutton".

(C) "Pork" is from animals of the porcine species. Boars or sows over eighteen months of age shall not be advertised or sold as "Young Pork" or "Pig Pork".

(D) In all cases of doubt arising under these definitions, the standards prescribed by the United States Department of Agriculture shall prevail.

Section 3. The following terms, used in describing the various retail cuts of meat shall be used only as defined herein, as follows:

(A) In naming the ribs of the carcass, the first or Number One rib shall be that which is closest to the neck, the other ribs being counted from that point.

(B-1) "Prime rib roast" shall consist of from the eighth to thirteen ribs inclusive, of a beef carcass. "Short cut" shall be used only when the rib bone is not more than five inches in length from the junction of the eye and the rib. "Long cut" shall have the plate removed at a point parallel to the Chine-bone and making a twelve-inch wing.

(2) "Beef round" shall consist of the buttocks cut to include the section from the socket bone to the gambrel or hock.

(3) "Round steak" shall be cut from the round, including top and bottom with or without bone. In advertising any cut or round steak, the particular kind of round as defined herein must be stated.

(A) "Top round steak" shall be cut from the upper or inside portion of the round with or without bone.

(B) "Bottom round steak" shall be cut from the under or outside portion of the round without bone.

(C) "Heel of round" shall be the lower portion of the round, triangular in shape.

(C-1) "Forequarter of lamb" shall consist of not less than thirteen ribs, shoulder, breast, shank and neck.

(2) "Shoulder of lamb" shall consist of the shoulder in one piece including not less than three ribs, and may include the neck and shank. "Shoulder of lamb, Boston style" shall not be used with the term "leg" or "leg of lamb". Its use shall be confined to shoulders of lamb as herein defined.

(3) Any part of the shoulder of lamb cut to contain less than three ribs shall be described as "Yoke of lamb". Any yoke of lamb cut with the major part of the breast attached must be advertised and sold as "Yoke of lamb with breast".

(D-1) "Pork loin" shall be cut at the leg end at a point two and one-half inches above the Aitch-bone on the pork leg, and shall include thirteen ribs and no further portion of the shoulder, and the belly shall be removed at a point not to exceed five inches from the Chine-bone at the center part of the loin.

(2) "Pork loin roast" shall consist of not less than half the loin.  
 (3) "Pork loin chops" shall be cut from the fifth rib to the hip-bone to the Aitch-bone inclusive.

(4) "Pork leg" shall consist of the hind leg, with foot removed through the hock-joint; the butt shall be rounded and the tail removed. "Leg pork roast" shall consist of not less than half a leg.

Section 4. Whenever a conflict arises in the definitions as set out herein, the standards of the United States Department of Agriculture shall prevail and conform in the operation of this ordinance.

Section 5. Any person violating any provision of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and each day's continuance of such violation shall constitute a separate offense.

Section 6. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each section, sub-section, sub-division, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, sub-sections, sub-divisions, sentences, clauses or phrases is declared unconstitutional or invalid for any reason.

**An Ordinance Providing for the Regulation of Meat Cutters and Meat Salesmen in Retail Meat Markets, for the Licensing of Meat Cutters, Meat Salesmen in Retail Meat Markets: for the Examination and Inspection of Meat Cutters, Meat Salesmen in Retail Meat Markets: Defining Offenses and Providing Penalties for the Violation Thereof.**

(Code No. 17.04)

Also, Bill No. 1498, Ordinance No. 17.047, as follows:

An ordinance providing for the regulation of meat cutters and meat salesmen in retail meat markets, for the licensing of meat cutters, meat salesmen in retail meat markets: for the examination and inspection of meat cutters, meat salesmen in retail meat markets: defining offenses and providing penalties for the violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. (A) Every person desiring to act as a meat cutter or meat salesman in a retail meat market in the City and County of San Francisco shall first make application to the Department of Public Health and shall pay thereto a fee of Five Dollars (\$5.00) to cover examination of applicant by the said Department. Each applicant shall at such time and place and before the Examining Board hereinafter provided for submit to such examination covering his competency as a meat cutter or meat salesman as the said Department may determine. Such examination shall have for its purpose proof of the applicant's ability to recognize the various classes and cuts of meat, his ability to prepare retail cuts of meat as herein described and his ability by the senses to recognize in meat, decomposed and putrid conditions, rendering it unfit for human consumption. The examination shall be conducted in accordance with such reasonable rules as the Department of Public Health may from time to time prescribe.

(B) Upon successfully passing such examination and upon certification thereof by the Department of Public Health, the applicant shall be issued a serial numbered certificate of competency by the Department of Public Health and such certificate shall be valid until revoked for cause or until it expires under the terms of this ordinance.

(C) All meat cutters or meat salesmen so engaged at the time this ordinance shall take effect shall apply for and take the examination above set forth in order to qualify under the terms hereof, but no fee therefor shall be charged them for said application or examination. Meat cutters and meat salesmen so engaged at the time this ordinance shall take effect are those who submit to the Department of



Health satisfactory evidence of employment as qualified meat cutters or meat salesmen.

(D) The license provided for herein must be renewed annually, without further examination, or the payment of any fee for such renewal to one who has a valid unrevoked certificate for the immediately preceding term.

(E) An apprentice permit may be issued by the Board without payment of any fee to an applicant who shows that his primary occupation will be that of an apprentice meat cutter or meat salesman and shall be valid for three years unless sooner revoked. The application for such permit shall show the name of the employer and the address of the establishment, and the name of the certified meat cutter or meat salesman under whose supervision the applicant is to be placed.

(F) No person shall act as a meat cutter or meat salesman in a retail meat market unless he holds a valid certificate of competency; except that apprentices holding apprentice permits may so act under the immediate supervision and control of a holder of such certificate of competency.

Section 2. The Director of the Department of Public Health is hereby directed and authorized to carry out all the provisions of this ordinance and to enforce the same. The Director of Public Health after due and proper hearing shall have the power to suspend, revoke or cancel any certificate of competency if in his opinion it is deemed necessary for the protection of public health.

Section 3. Every retail meat market in the City and County of San Francisco shall pay an annual fee of Ten Dollars (\$10.00) to cover the costs of inspection and administration required to secure compliance with all the terms of this ordinance.

Section 4. The examination referred to herein shall be conducted by an Examining Board consisting of a representative of the Department of Public Health, an employing retail meat market owner and an employee of a retail meat market, which Board shall be appointed by the Chief Administrative Officer and shall serve at his pleasure. Said Board shall have full power to determine the competency of meat cutters and meat salesmen in accordance with the rules, regulations, definitions and standards promulgated by the United States Department of Agriculture and the State of California Department of Agriculture, and the laws and ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco and the rules and regulations pursuant thereto with respect to all animal flesh carcasses and parts thereof of the bovine, ovine or porcine species, designed or intended for human consumption.

Section 5. Every license issued hereunder shall be plainly posted in a conspicuous place for the public to see on the premises of the retail meat market at which the licensee is engaged in working.

Section 6. Deliveries of meat to retail markets shall be limited to carcasses or portions thereof bearing the inspection stamp showing inspection by Federal, State or local inspectors.

Section 7. The term "retail meat market" as used herein shall include every establishment or department of any establishment, where uncured or uncooked meats are sold at retail for human consumption. The term "meat" as used herein shall mean all uncured or uncooked meats sold for human consumption. The term "meat cutter" as used in this ordinance shall be deemed and construed to mean and include all natural persons cutting, or cutting and selling or disposing of uncooked meat to consumers. The term "meat salesman" as used in this ordinance shall be deemed and construed to mean and include all natural persons selling or disposing of uncooked meat to consumers.

Section 8. Any person preparing meat for sale at retail, or selling meat at retail for human consumption without possession of a certificate of competency as herein provided shall be guilty of a misdemeanor. Any person violating any provision of this ordinance shall

be guilty of a misdemeanor, and each day's continuance of such violation shall constitute a separate offense. Any person employing, engaging or allowing another to prepare or to sell meat at retail, on his behalf, when such employee does not possess the certificate required by this ordinance, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor. Any person convicted of any violation of this ordinance or of any other ordinance governing the sale of meat at retail shall be liable to forfeiture of his certificate of competency at the discretion of the Court, in addition to such other penalties as the court may lawfully impose.

Section 9. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each section, sub-section, sub-division, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, sub-sections, sub-divisions, sentences, clauses or phrases is declared unconstitutional or invalid for any reason.

#### **Adopted.**

The following recommendation of Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee was taken up:

#### **Fixing Date of Hearing of Appeal, Rezoning of Southwest Corner of Bacon Street and Bay Shore Boulevard.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 3734, as follows:

Resolved, That the date for hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1718, dated December 2, 1937, granting application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the southwest corner of Bacon street and Bay Shore boulevard, is hereby set for Monday, January 17, 1938, at 2 p. m.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Uhl—2.

#### **Obligation of Market Street Railway to Repair Between Its Tracks.**

(Code No. 15.091)

The following recommendation of Public Utilities Committee was taken up:

Resolution No. 3672, as follows:

Whereas, much of the street surface adjacent to the tracks of the Market Street Railway Company is in a deplorable state of disrepair; and

Whereas, this condition constitutes a hazard and a menace to the safety and comfort of pedestrians as well as automobilists in the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, officials of the City and County of San Francisco have repeatedly importuned the Market Street Railway Company to comply with the plain provision of its franchises and operating permit which requires the Company to pave and keep in good condition the street area between and for a distance of two feet outside its rails and tracks, to the satisfaction of the Department of Public Works; and

Whereas, the Market Street Railway Company has failed and neglected to comply with said provision of its franchises and operating permit; and

Whereas, no assurance has been forthcoming from the Market Street Railway Company that it will endeavor to properly fulfill its obligations; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize and instruct its Clerk to communicate with the Market Street Railway



Company, calling attention to its laxity in this respect and requesting the Company to inform this Board, within fifteen days thereafter, of its position and attitude in this matter and what it proposes to do by way of complying in this regard with its obligations under its franchises and operating permit; and be it

Further Resolved, That unless within the aforementioned period of time, this Board of Supervisors receives definite assurance from the Market Street Railway Company to the effect that it will immediately embark upon a comprehensive program of street repair and reconstruction, as required by its franchises and operating permit, which program shall be satisfactory to the Department of Public Works and this Board and convinces them of an earnest desire on the part of the Company to properly and expeditiously fulfill its obligations, then and in that event the City Attorney shall be authorized and instructed to immediately institute such proceedings as are necessary to compel the Market Street Railway Company to perform the paving and reconstruction work required to be done by the Company under its franchises and operating permit.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. Newton, representing the Market Street Railway Company, read letter of Samuel Kahn, president of the company, as to the money spent last year under the obligation of the company to repair pavement between tracks of its railway system in the sum of over \$600,000, which amount is disputed by the Department of Public Works.

Supervisor Brown moved reference of the matter to the Department of Public Works, with request that it be ascertained whether or not the program indicated is satisfactory.

Supervisor Mead agreed to reference to Public Utilities Committee, provided a signed agreement from President Samuel Kahn is presented to the Board of Supervisors at next meeting, guaranteeing work as set forth by Mr. Newton.

#### Referred.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was *referred to the Public Utilities Committee* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—6.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Ratto, Reilly, Uhl—4.

#### Adopted.

The following recommendations of the Public Utilities Committee were taken up:

#### Memorializing Congress to Pass Dingel Bill, Permitting Federal Assistance for Municipal Airports.

(Code No. 5.2)

Resolution No. 3728, as follows:

Whereas, there is now pending in the Congress of the United States, H. R. No. 6972, known as the Dingel Bill, which would permit the Federal Government to appropriate moneys to assist cities in the development and maintenance of their airports; and

Whereas, it is becoming widely recognized that because much air transportation is interstate, this industry holds a position analogous to interstate rail, steamship and highway transportation and should therefore be given cognizance by the Federal Government as an object of interstate and national character and one properly entitled to monetary assistance; and

Whereas, in the matter of national defense, airports constitute a most important factor and should therefore, with Federal assistance,

be so constructed and provided with such facilities as would make them available for use by the Government's aviation forces, and this is particularly true of airports situate at vital or strategic points; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco is developing what will ultimately be one of the finest airports in the country, for land, sea and amphibian planes, to serve the United States Coast Guard and the leading air lines of the nation, a project certainly not of purely local concern, but rather, of national and interstate importance; and

Whereas, because in the event of armed conflict, the probability of the Federal Government commandeering the San Francisco Airport is so likely as to justify governmental participation in its development and maintenance, through financial contribution; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby memorialize the Congress of the United States and does urge the passage of H. R. No. 6972, known as the Dingel Bill, to permit of Federal assistance to cities in the development and maintenance of their airports; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be forwarded to the California delegation in the House of Representatives; to Senators Hiram W. Johnson and William G. McAdoo, as well as to Vice-President John N. Garner and President Franklin D. Roosevelt.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Uhl—2.

### **Extending Time of Furnishing Water to Martha A. Arnold Property.**

(Code No. 15.034)

Also, Resolution No. 3732, as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco, under authority of Resolution No. 25673 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, accepted a certain deed dated May 11, 1926, from Martha A. Arnold, et al., to certain property including a sub-surface easement for a portion of the Foothill Tunnel of the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct in Tuolumne County, California; and

Whereas, said deed provides that under certain conditions, if the natural flow of water in any springs on the land of the grantors shall disappear or diminish, the City shall furnish to the grantors a continuous supply of water sufficient for the present domestic, irrigation and stock watering requirements of the grantors; and

Whereas, said deed provides a time limit for the ascertainment of the amount of any damage which may be caused by the disappearance or diminution of the natural flow of water in said springs; and

Whereas, said time limit was extended to October 1, 1936, by Resolution No. 2343, of this Board, adopted January 13, 1936; and

Whereas, said Martha A. Arnold, et al., have requested the Director of Property to further extend said time limit to October 1, 1938, and the Public Utilities Commission has recommended the further extension of said time limit; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a written agreement with Martha A. Arnold, et al., extending said time limit of said deed to and until October 1, 1938, subject to all conditions contained in said deed, except as expressly modified in said agreement.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Uhl—2.



**Easement Acquisition—Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2.**

(Code No. 15.0241)

Also, Resolution No. 3733, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, that the City and County of San Francisco accept that certain deed dated December 22, 1937, from Barbara E. Garke, et vir., to an easement in San Bruno avenue, adjoining Lots 4 and 5, Block 16, City of Visitacion, San Mateo County, California, required for Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Uhl—2.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS,  
BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR  
REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

Leave of Absence, Mayor Rossi.

January 10, 1938.

To the Honorable The Board of Supervisors, City Hall.

Gentlemen: May I ask that your Honorable Board grant me permission to leave the State of California for a period of two weeks, commencing January 12th, to attend the hearings before two senatorial committees on behalf of Pacific Coast shipping.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI,  
Mayor.

**Adopted.**Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:**Leave of Absence—Hon. Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3736, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor, Mayor Angelo J. Rossi, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of two weeks, commencing January 12, 1938, with permission to leave the State, to attend the hearings before two senatorial committees on behalf of Pacific Coast shipping.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Uhl—2.

**Leave of Absence—Hon. J. W. Mailliard, Jr.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 3737, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. J. W. Mailliard, Jr., President of the Board of Police Commissioners, is hereby granted a leave of absence from January 23 to February 3, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Uhl—2.

**Mayor to Appoint Committee—Lincoln's Birthday.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Meyer presented:

Resolution No. 3740, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee to arrange for the proper observance of Lincoln's Birthday, February 12, 1938.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Uhl—2.

**Mayor to Appoint Committee of Three to Recommend Best Method of Giving Transportation to the People of San Francisco.**

(Code No. 15.094)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 3738, as follows:

Whereas, transportation is the most important problem confronting this Board of Supervisors and the people of San Francisco; and

Whereas, if some immediate steps are not taken to alleviate the chaotic traffic condition now obtaining on the streets of San Francisco many residents of our City will move across the Bay or down the Peninsula; and

Whereas, a number of suggestions have been made as to how this problem could be solved, among which was a bond issue of \$49,250,000 submitted to the people last November, which measure being defeated by a large majority, showed that this suggestion was extremely unpopular; and

Whereas, the Charter governing this City and County places the responsibility of giving transportation to the people of San Francisco in the hands of the Mayor, the City Attorney, and the Board of Supervisors; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor appoint a Committee of Three, consisting of the following:

- 1 Supervisor
- 1 employee of the Public Utilities Commission
- 1 attorney of the City Attorney's staff

Further Resolved, That this committee report its findings as to the best method of giving transportation to the people of the City and County of San Francisco, to the Board of Supervisors, within sixty (60) days from the date of its appointment by his Honor the Mayor.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.***Requesting His Honor the Mayor to Ask Public Utilities Commission to Revoke Order Cutting Service on Municipal Railway Until the Return of the Manager of Utilities.**

(Code No. 15.04)

Resolution No. 3739, as follows:

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission, through its Manager, E. G. Cahill, in October of 1937, increased the service on some of the lines of the Municipal Railway to give better transportation to patrons living in districts served by said railway; and

Whereas, said additional service has brought in more revenue and given comfort to passengers who ride these cars, besides providing employment for approximately eighty men at decent wages; and

Whereas, Mr. Cahill, prior to leaving San Francisco, issued an order directing Manager Boeken to discontinue this increased service on Monday, January 10, 1938. Said service amounting to seventeen eight-



hour runs and, thereby, throwing thirty-four men back on the extra list and causing a decrease in their purchasing power; and

Whereas, the Street Car Men's Union, Division 518, does hereby condemn such action as being arbitrary and unnecessary in view of the fact that the receipts of the Municipal Railway are still higher than a like period of 1936; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors request his Honor the Mayor to ask the Public Utilities Commission to revoke the order of cutting service on the Municipal Railway until the return to San Francisco of the Manager of the Public Utilities Commission.

*Referred to City Attorney.*

### Impounding Autos.

Supervisor Brown presented:

Communication from Police Department, Seattle, re impounding automobiles.

Clerk requested to respond and communication *referred to Fire, Safety and Police Committee.*

### Building Law Amendment—Wallboard.

Supervisor Brown presented:

Communication from H. F. Badger, Manager-Secretary, Board of Fire Underwriters of the Pacific, expressing disapproval of proposed amendment to Building Law permitting use of wallboard instead of metal lath and hard wall plaster.

*Referred to Committee on Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning.*

### Traffic Light, Thirtieth Avenue and Geary Street.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Communication from property owners for traffic light on Thirtieth avenue, at Geary, which was *referred to Captain Goff.*

### In Memoriam—Dr. Emmett Rixford.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: With your permission, Mr. Chairman, I would like to bring it up at this time and call to the attention of the Board and citizens of San Francisco the passing of one of the truly great men of our City, in the person of Doctor Emmett Rixford.

Unfortunately not every one could know Doctor Rixford as those close to him personally and in the medical profession did. It happens that he was a man who was not only a leader in his chosen profession of surgery, but in many other fields of activity. He was a scientist; he was an horticulturalist, and a research scholar of the first rank, and I dare say that Doctor Rixford did more than any other man in recent years to put San Francisco on the surgical map. He was not only known in San Francisco, but he was known throughout the entire surgical world, and as a result all parts of the world knew San Francisco. He was a man with truly a colossal mind, a man who was original in many lines of his work, one of the discoverers of the now famous San Joaquin disease, in which he did most of the original research work.

There is a lasting monument that he has established in being truly the founder of the Lane Medical Library, and to give you some idea of the monumental work that was and the credit that it is to San Francisco way out here in the West, we have the distinction of having the fourth largest medical library in the country, it happens that the largest in the world is the Surgeon General's Medical Library in Washington. Outside the library of the Surgeon General at Washington, the Stanford University Library—the Lane Library—ranks as third, which is truly a distinction, and it has done a great deal towards making San Francisco a medical center, and through that

Doctor Rixford's name will live. He was truly a scholar and a deep research student and one of the cleverest surgeons San Francisco ever developed, and he was, strictly speaking, a San Francisco product. So I would like to move at this time that when this Board adjourns, that it do so out of respect to the memory of Doctor Rixford, and that a suitable letter be sent to the members of his family so notifying them.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Second the motion.  
Motion *adopted* unanimously by rising vote.

#### Meetings Announced.

Public Utilities, January 12, 1938, 4 p. m.

Streets Committee, January 12, 1938, 2:30 p. m.

#### Special Exposition Committee.

Supervisor Shannon announced that Special Exposition Committee, consisting of Mayor, Chief Administrative Officer, and Exposition Committee of the Board, would meet in the Mayor's office at 11 a. m., January 11, 1938, to discuss San Francisco's participation in the proposed 1939 Golden Gate International Exposition.

#### ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors adjourned at 3:25 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors January 17, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.









Monday, January 17, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



Journal of Proceedings  
Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JANUARY 17, 1938, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, January 17, 1938,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Uhl—3.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of January 10, 1938, was considered read and approved.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

### Rezoning Southwest Corner of Bacon Street and Bay Shore Boulevard.

Appeal from decision of City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1718, dated December 2, 1937, granting application to rezone property located at the southwest corner of Bacon street and Bay Shore boulevard, from Second Residential District to Commercial District.

### Privilege of the Floor.

Ray Williamson, representing appellant and property owners within the 300-foot area, opposed the decision of the City Planning Commission, rezoning property at the southwest corner of Bay Shore boulevard and Bacon street from second residential to commercial on the ground that the Commission had not a basis in public necessity and convenience for such a change.

Allison E. Schofield, attorney representing the applicant, was heard in support of the decision of the Commission. He contended that all those objecting were directly or indirectly related to the owner of an oil station in the immediate vicinity whose only interest, it was alleged, was to prevent competition of another service station.

### Refused Adoption.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *refused adoption* by the following vote:

Disapproving Decision of City Planning Commission Granting Application to Rezone from S. R. District to Commercial District, Property Located at the Southwest Corner of Bacon Street and Bay Shore Boulevard.

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 3748, as follows:

Resolved, That the decision of the City Planning Commission, by

its Resolution No. 1718, dated December 2, 1937, granting application to rezone from second residential district to commercial, property located at the southwest corner of Bacon street and Bay Shore boulevard is hereby disapproved.

Ayes—None.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Uhl—3.

### **Hearing Protests Improvements Funston Avenue Between Taraval and Ulloa Streets.**

Hearing of protests of property owners against assessment for paving and other work on Funston avenue, between Taraval and Ulloa streets, by Fay Improvement Company, as described in Declaration of Intention Order No. 6306 of the Department of Public Works.

The foregoing hearing was announced and no protest being made, the Clerk was directed to so notify the Department of Public Works.

### **UNFINISHED BUSINESS.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee heretofore passed for second reading was taken up:

#### **Authorizing Sale of Portion of Lot 1, Block 6113.**

(Code No. 12.1729)

Bill No. 1499, Ordinance No. 12.172910, as follows:

Authorizing Sale of Portion of Lot 1, Block 6113.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the southeasterly line of Woolsey street and the southwesterly line of Dartmouth street; running thence southwesterly along said line of Woolsey street sixty (60) feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly one hundred (100) feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly sixty (60) feet to the southwesterly line of Dartmouth street; thence at a right angle northwesterly along said line of Dartmouth street one hundred (100) feet to the point of commencement.

Section 2. The above described land shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Uhl—3.

### **NEW BUSINESS.**

#### **Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

#### **Junior College Site and Playground.**

(Code No. 12.175)

Resolution No. 3742, as follows:

Whereas, on July 6, 1936, this Board adopted Resolution No. 2666, transferring certain City-owned real property to the Board of Education for the Junior College site; and



Whereas, the Board of Education desires additional adjoining land from the Recreation Department for the Junior College; and

Whereas, the Recreation Department, by resolution adopted December 16, 1937, consented to transferring Parcel 1, hereinafter described, to the Board of Education for the sum of \$15,000, which resolution also provides that the Board of Education approve transferring to the Recreation Department Parcel 2, hereinafter described; and

Whereas, on December 21, 1937, the Board of Education adopted Resolution No. D-783 approving the proposals set forth in the Recreation Department's resolution of December 16, 1937; and

Whereas, the Mayor has recommended that said transfers be made; and

Whereas, this Board has considered the proposed transfers, and hereby determines that Parcels 1 and 2 are no longer necessary for the departments having jurisdiction over the same, that Parcel 1 can be advantageously used by the Board of Education and that Parcel 2 can be advantageously used by the Recreation Department; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the control of Parcel 1 be and is hereby transferred from the Recreation Department to the Board of Education, and that the control of Parcel 2 be and is hereby transferred from the Board of Education to the Recreation Department. Said parcels of City-owned land are situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and are more particularly described as follows:

PARCEL 1. Commencing at the intersection of the northerly property line of Ocean avenue and the easterly line of Phelan avenue as said avenues existed prior to Resolution No. 3148 adopted by the Board of Supervisors, March 15, 1937; thence northerly along the easterly property line of Phelan avenue 1305.376 feet; thence deflecting to the right 90 degrees 7 minutes 28 seconds and running easterly 734 feet to the true point of beginning; thence along the last-named course in an easterly direction 188.385 feet; thence in an easterly direction to the southwesterly corner of the westerly end of Havelock street; thence along the southerly line of Havelock street in an easterly direction to a point 718.719 feet measured westerly along said line from the westerly line of the Southern Pacific Company right of way; thence deflecting to the right 90 degrees and running southerly 607.00 feet; thence deflecting to the left 90 degrees and running easterly to the westerly line of the Southern Pacific Company right of way; thence along the said Southern Pacific Company right of way and in a southerly direction to the northeasterly corner of Parcel 2 described in this Board's Resolution No. 2666 adopted July 6, 1936; thence along the northerly line of said Parcel 2 and in a westerly direction 833.802 feet; thence deflecting to the right 120 degrees 21 minutes 22 seconds and running northeasterly 253.417 feet; thence deflecting to the left 30 degrees 26 minutes 52 seconds and running northerly 175.875 feet; thence deflecting to the left 90 degrees and running westerly 375.00 feet; thence deflecting to the right 90 degrees and running northerly 250.00 feet; thence deflecting to the right 90 degrees and running easterly 290.00 feet; thence deflecting to the left 90 degrees and running northerly 188.00 feet to the true point of commencement.

Containing 15 acres more or less.

PARCEL 2. Commencing at the intersection of the northerly property line of Ocean avenue and the westerly boundary line of the southern Pacific Company right of way; thence westerly along the northerly property line of Ocean avenue 298.50 feet; thence deflecting to the right 90 degrees and running northerly 287.72 feet; thence deflecting to the right 90 degrees and running easterly 386.91 feet to the westerly line of the Southern Pacific Company right of way; thence along the westerly line of the Southern Pacific Company right of way in a southerly direction 301.08 feet to the point of commencement.

Containing 2.25 acres more or less.

Be It Further Resolved, That said sum of \$15,000 be deposited in

the Recreation Department Reserve for Land Purchases to be used for the purchase of additional land required for the Sigmund Stern Recreation Grove. The transfer of said Parcels 1 and 2 shall not become effective until said sum of \$15,000 has been credited to the Recreation Department Reserve for Land Purchases.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Uhl—3.

### Action Deferred.

For lack of nine votes to pass, the following matter was *laid over one week*:

### Appropriation of \$200 for Sick Leave of Telephone Operators.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1500, Ordinance No. 9.051445, as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$200 from Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 702,900.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 736,102.00 for the payment of sick leave of telephone operators in the Department of Public Works.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$200 is hereby set aside from the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 702,900.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 736,102.00 for the payment of sick leave of telephone operators for the fiscal year 1937-38.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund, as it is necessary to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the telephone exchange and insufficient money was set up in the Salary Ordinance for the fiscal year 1937-38 to provide for sick leave.

Recommended by W. H. Worden, Director Department of Public Works.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

### Appropriation of \$16.38 for Gasoline Bills for May and June.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1501, Ordinance No. 9.051446, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$16.38 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 600,000.00 for the purpose of providing funds to pay gasoline bills of the months of May and June, 1937.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$16.38 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 600,000.00 for the purpose of providing funds to pay gasoline bills of the months of May and June, 1937.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended and approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Uhl—3.



**Appropriation of \$2,500 for Reconstruction of Minnesota Street.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1502, Ordinance No. 9.051447, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$2,500 out of surplus existing in Unappropriated County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 748.929.00 for the reconstruction of Minnesota street, between Eighteenth and Nineteenth streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,500 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the Unappropriated County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 748.929.00 for the reconstruction of Minnesota street, between Eighteenth and Nineteenth streets.

Recommended by W. H. Worden, Director of Department of Public Works.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Acting Mayor.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovi, Schmidt, Shannon—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Uhl—3.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Streets Committee were taken up:

**Approving Map Showing the Opening of Richardson Avenue From Lombard to Lyon Street.**

(Code No. 12.0821)

Resolution No. 3743, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain diagram entitled "Map Showing the Opening of Richardson Avenue From Lombard Street to Lyon Street," approved the 15th day of December, 1937, by Director of Public Works Order No. 7445, be and is hereby approved and made official and parcels numbered 1 to 35, inclusive, shown hatched thereon, are hereby declared to be open public streets to be known by the names as shown upon said map.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovi, Schmidt, Shannon—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Uhl—3.

**Approving Map Showing the Opening of Portions of Rossi Avenue, Edward Street and Willard Street North.**

(Code No. 12.0821)

Also, Resolution No. 3744, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain diagram entitled "Map Showing the Opening of Portions of Rossi Avenue, Edward Street and Willard Street North," approved the 22d day of December, 1937, by Director of Public Works Order No. 7486, be and is hereby approved and made official and the parcels shown hatched thereon are hereby declared to be open public streets to be known by the names as shown upon said map.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovi, Schmidt, Shannon—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Uhl—3.

**Approving Map Showing the Opening of Castro Street From  
Duboce Avenue to Waller and Divisadero Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0821)

Also, Resolution No. 3745, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain diagram entitled "Map Showing the Opening of Castro Street From Duboce Avenue to Waller and Divisadero Streets," approved the 29th day of December, 1937, by Director of Public Works Order No. 7520, be and is hereby approved and made official and parcels numbered 1 to 19, inclusive, shown hatched thereon, are hereby declared to be an open public street to be known as Castro street.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Uhl—3.

**Approving Map Showing the Opening of Newburg Street Between  
Duncan and Twenty-Seventh Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0821)

Also, Resolution No. 3746, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain diagram entitled "Map Showing the Opening of Newburg Street Between Twenty-Seventh and Duncan Streets, Also the Realignment of Twenty-Seventh and Duncan Streets From Castro to Diamond Streets," approved the 5th day of January, 1938, by Director of Public Works Order No. 7547, be and is hereby approved and made official and the parcel shown hatched thereon is hereby declared to be an open public street to be known as Newburg street.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Uhl—3.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up:

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Athens Street Between Madison  
Street and Avalon Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Bill No. 1503, Ordinance No. 12.0731108, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Two Hundred and Eighty-six (286) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office January 5, 1938, by amending Section Two Hundred and Eighty-six (286) thereof to read as follows:

*Section 286:*

The width of sidewalks on Athens street, the easterly side of, between Madison street and Avalon avenue, shall be 29.5 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Athens street, the westerly side of, between Madison street and Avalon avenue, shall be 10 feet.



The width of sidewalks on Athens street, between Avalon and Amazon avenues, shall be 15 feet.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Uhl—3.

### Adopted.

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up:

### Intention to Change Grades on Athens Street and Peru Avenue.

(Code No. 12.0721)

Resolution No. 3747, as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above City base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Order No. 7513 of the Director of Public Works, dated December 29, 1937, making written recommendation of said action, filed with said Board January 5, 1938, to-wit:

<i>Athens Street:</i>	<i>Feet</i>
Westerly line of, at Madison street southwesterly line.....	238
(The same being the present official grade.)	
At a point formed by the intersection of the southwesterly line of Madison street with a line parallel with the easterly line of Athens street and 19.5 feet westerly therefrom .....	241
Westerly line of, at right angles to the last-described point .....	241
At a point 10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 200 feet northerly from Peru avenue.....	351.20
At a point 10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 150 feet northerly from Peru avenue.....	359.67
At a point 10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 100 feet northerly from Peru avenue.....	363.47
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
29.5 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 200 feet northerly from Peru avenue .....	351.20
29.5 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 150 feet northerly from Peru avenue .....	359.76
29.5 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 100 feet northerly from Peru avenue .....	363.85
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Peru avenue..	366.44
29.5 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Peru avenue.	367.56
17.5 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Peru avenue.	368
Easterly line of, at Peru avenue.....	372.18
10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 100 feet south- erly from Peru avenue .....	368.98
10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 140 feet south- erly from Peru avenue .....	371.74
10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 180 feet south- erly from Peru avenue .....	378
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
29.5 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 100 feet south- erly from Peru avenue .....	369.30
29.5 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 140 feet south- erly from Peru avenue .....	371.82

*Athens Street—Continued**Feet*

29.5 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 180 feet south- erly from Peru avenue .....	378
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 310 feet south- erly from Peru avenue .....	404
10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 340 feet south- erly from Peru avenue .....	408.50
10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 370 feet south- erly from Peru avenue .....	410
10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 400 feet south- erly from Peru avenue .....	408.40
10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 430 feet south- erly from Peru avenue .....	403.59
(Compound vertical curve passing through the last five described points.)	
29.5 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 310 feet south- erly from Peru avenue .....	404
29.5 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 340 feet south- erly from Peru avenue .....	408.50
29.5 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 370 feet south- erly from Peru avenue .....	410
29.5 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 400 feet south- erly from Peru avenue .....	408.43
29.5 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 430 feet south- erly from Peru avenue .....	403.72
(Compound vertical curve passing through the last five described points.)	
Westerly line of, at Avalon avenue .....	367
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Easterly line of, at Avalon avenue .....	369
(The same being the present official grade.)	

*Peru Avenue:*

Moscow street .....	414
(The same being the present official grade.)	
At Athens street easterly line .....	372.18
17.5 feet westerly from Athens street easterly line.....	368
29.5 feet westerly from Athens street easterly line.....	367.56
10 feet easterly from Athens street westerly line.....	366.44
2 feet westerly from Athens street westerly line.....	366
Northerly line of, at Vienna street easterly line.....	319.36
(The same being the present official grade.)	
12 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Vienna street easterly line .....	319.36
(The same being the present official grade.)	
12 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Vienna street easterly line .....	319.82
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Southerly line of, at Vienna street easterly line.....	319.82
(The same being the present official grade.)	
On Athens street between Madison street and Avalon avenue, and on Peru avenue between Vienna and Moscow streets, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given there- for.	

These proceedings are instituted in accordance with the "Change of Grade Act of 1909."

The San Francisco News is hereby designated as the newspaper in which this resolution shall be published.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades.

The Director of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be con-



spicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this Resolution of Intention.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Uhl—3.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of the Streets Committee were taken up:

**Amending Traffic Ordinance to Prohibit Parking on Jessie Street  
Between Fourth and Fifth Streets.**

(Code No. 11.02)

Bill No. 1504, Ordinance No. 11.0237, as follows:

Amending Section 37, Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 37, Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto the following words: "Jessie street between Fourth and Fifth streets."

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Uhl—3.

**Accepting the Roadway of Twenty-Ninth Avenue Between Quin-  
tara and Rivera Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 1505, Ordinance No. 12.081136, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Twenty-ninth avenue between Quintara and Rivera streets, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Twenty-ninth avenue between Quintara and Rivera streets, including the curbs.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Uhl—3.

**Ordering the Construction of Sidewalks on the North One-Half  
of Agua Way Between Teresita Boulevard and Chaves Ave-  
nue, and at Other Locations.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 1506, Ordinance No. 12.061192, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and

authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, January 3, 1938, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

#### The improvement of

Agua way (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Teresita boulevard and Chaves avenue  
 Army street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Diamond and Douglass streets  
 Army street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Diamond and Douglass streets  
 Castro street (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Army street and 57' north  
 Funston avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Lawton street and 475' south  
 Italy avenue (S. W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Lisbon street and 100' southeast  
 Kirkham street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Fifteenth avenue and Lurline street  
 Kirkham street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Sixteenth avenue and 148' 6" east  
 Kirkham street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Sixteenth and Seventeenth avenues  
 Moraga street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 57' 6" and 82' 6" west of Eleventh avenue  
 Moraga street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 82' 6" and 107' 6" west of Twelfth avenue  
 Moscow street (N. W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 225' and 300' northeast of Italy avenue  
 Teresita boulevard (N. E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Reposa way and Marietta drive  
 Twenty-first street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Grand View avenue and 83.76' east

by the construction of two-course concrete sidewalks, six (6) feet in width, where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks, six (6) feet or more in width, are not already constructed;

#### and the improvement of

Bourbon street (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Eddy street and 100' north  
 California street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 107' 6" and 132' 6" east of Funston avenue  
 Carl street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 177' 5" and 229' 5" west of Willard street  
 Clay street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Baker street and 31' 3" west  
 Cole street (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 125' and 150' south of Oak street  
 Diamond street between Surrey and Sussex streets  
 Eddy street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Bourbon street and 28' east  
 Eighth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 150' and 175' south of Geary boulevard  
 Eleventh avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 300' and 350' south of Lincoln way  
 Eleventh avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 200' and 225' south of Irving street  
 Ellis street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 137' 6" and 165' east of Gough street  
 Ellis street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Laguna street and 75' east  
 Ellis street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 175' and 225' east of Laguna street



Federal street (S. E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Second street and 187' 6" northeast  
Fifth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Judah street and 25' north

Fillmore street (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 87' 6" and 137' 6" south of California street

Fourth street (S. W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 80' and 105' southeast of Howard street

Fourth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 35' and 60' south of Hugo street

Funston avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 225' and 250' south of Judah street

Funston avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Kirkham street and 25' north

Green street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 62' 6" and 87' 6" east of Polk street

Harrison street (N. W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Third street and 80' southwest

Harrison street (N. W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 100' and 125' southwest of Ritch street

Harrison street (S. E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 155' and 180' southwest of Fourth street

Harrison street (S. E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 275' and 325' northeast of Fifth street

Hayes street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 137' 6" and 162' 6" east of Octavia street

Hayes street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 197' 6" and 222' 8" east of Fillmore street

Hugo street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 95' and 120' west of Arguello boulevard

Hugo street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Third avenue and 95' east

Hugo street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Sixth avenue and 120' west

Irving street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 57' 6" and 82' 6" west of Ninth avenue

Judah street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 65' and 90' west of Tenth avenue

Judah street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Twelfth avenue and 32' 6" east

Judah street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Seventeenth avenue and 82' 6" west

Laguna street (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Sutter street and 112' 6" north

Laguna street (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Ellis street and 24' north

Laguna street (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 85' and 110' south of Fell street

Lincoln way (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Funston avenue and 32' 6" east

Lincoln way (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Fifteenth avenue and 30' east

Ninth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 125' and 150' south of Lincoln way

Ninth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 200' and 225' north of Irving street

O'Farrell street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 100' and 137' east of Divisadero street

Page street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 123' and 148' east of Ashbury street

Polk street (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 125' and 150' south of Filbert street

Sacramento street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 180' 5" and 210' 1" east of Pierce street

Sixth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 100' and 125' north of Hugo street

Sixth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Hugo street and 25' north

Sixth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Judah street and 25' north

Sixth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 50' and 75' south of Irving street

Sixth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 25' and 50' south of Judah street

Sutter street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Laguna street and 82' 6" west

Tenth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 175' and 200' south of Lincoln way

Tenth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 250' and 275' south of Lincoln way

Twelfth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Judah street and 100' north

Valencia street (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 195' and 260' north of Twenty-fifth street

Vallejo street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Hodges alley and 109' 3" east

Washington street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 82' 6" and 137' 6" west of Taylor street

by the construction or reconstruction of two-course concrete sidewalks of the full official width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks are defective or not already constructed to the official grade;

and the improvement of

Bosworth street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 25' and 50' west of Rousseau street

Judah street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Twelfth avenue and 60' west

Twelfth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Judah street and 100' north

by the construction or reconstruction of two-course concrete sidewalks

six (6) feet in width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks are defective or not already constructed to the official grade;

and the improvement of

Kearny street (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 120' and 128' 9" south of Green street by the construction of a two-course concrete sidewalk of the full official width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalk of the full official width is not already constructed.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated, and numbered respectively as:

Block No.	Lot No.	Block No.	Lot No.
1860-A	1, 22, 23, 24, 25	2959	7, 8
1861-A	12, 13, 14, 15	6338	12
1862-A	1, 8C, 9, 10	6350	6
1931	17, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 30A, 31, 32, 33, 34	6561	7, 8, 8A, 10, 18, 18A, 18B, 19
2038-A	36	6563	17
2753	17, 18A	6583	1, 16, 17, 17A, 18, 19, 24, 26, 29
2945-A	1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 11, 12, 13		

Block No.	Lot No.	Block No.	Lot No.
134	5, 8, 9	1739	10, 11
214	1A	1741	20, 36, 39
525	21	1742	23, 33
548	14	1744	4, 8, 9
634	21	1749	11
653	22	1751	22
675	5	1753	2
720	17	1760	24, 48
722	8, 13	1761	19
730	12	1766	6, 26
817	20	1772	5A
821	33	1840	7, 16
830	2	1843	48
999	7	1848	49
1099	14	3733	3
1223	8	3751	18, 25
1225	25	3761	54, 55, 59
1275	50B, 51	3774	48, 49, 50, 51, 52
1372	22	6514	9, 9A
1536	3A	6729	1, 2, 3, 4, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59
1736	34	6730	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 12, 13
1738	43		

Block No.	Lot No.	Block No.	Lot No.
1767	21	6752	52

Block No.	Lot No.
132	1F

all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Uhl—3.



**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of Public Utilities Committee was taken up:

**Mayor to Appoint Committee of Three to Recommend Best Method of Giving Transportation to the People of San Francisco.**

(Code No. 15.094)

Resolution No. 3738, as follows:

Whereas, transportation is the most important problem confronting this Board of Supervisors and the people of San Francisco; and

Whereas, if some immediate steps are not taken to alleviate the chaotic traffic condition now obtaining on the streets of San Francisco many residents of our City will move across the Bay or down the Peninsula; and

Whereas, a number of suggestions have been made as to how this problem could be solved, among which was a bond issue of \$49,250,000 submitted to the people last November, which measure being defeated by a large majority, showed that this suggestion was extremely unpopular; and

Whereas, the Charter governing this City and County places the responsibility of giving transportation to the people of San Francisco in the hands of the Mayor, the City Attorney, and the Board of Supervisors; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor appoint a Committee of Three, consisting of the following:

- 1 Supervisor
- 1 employe of the Public Utilities Commission
- 1 attorney of the City Attorney's staff

Further Resolved, That this committee be appointed to sit in with and become an integral part of the Citizens' Committee appointed by his Honor the Mayor for the purpose of considering all rapid transit proposals.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-vieri, Schmidt, Shannon—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Uhl—3.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Hon. John Bakewell, Jr., Member Art Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3749, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. John Bakewell, Jr., member of the Art Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of three months, commencing January 19, 1938.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-vieri, Schmidt, Shannon—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Uhl—3.

**Leave of Absence—Dr. Howard McKinley.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 3750, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Dr. Howard McKinley, member of the Civil Service Com-

mission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing January 12, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Uhl—3.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

### Art Commission Requested to Erect Flag Pole at the Summit of Bernal Heights.

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 3751, as follows:

Resolved, That, in consonance with the expressed wish of the residents and property owners of the Bernal Heights District, the Board of Supervisors go on record as favoring the erection by the Art Commission of a flag pole at the summit of Bernal Heights so that the resident and passer-by may have the beauty of the eminence brought more forcefully to his attention.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Uhl—3.

### Mayor Requested to Appoint a Citizens' Committee to Conduct San Francisco Health Week, the Week Beginning Monday, March 7, 1938.

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 3752, as follows:

Whereas, the well being of a community is dependent largely on the preservation and conservation of the health of its citizens; and

Whereas, during the first week of March, 1937, there was inaugurated San Francisco Health Week, which period was devoted to the dissemination of health instruction and advice to the citizens of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the San Francisco Health Week received wide commendation and approval from citizens and health professions and the public press for the service rendered and the benefits derived therefrom; and

Whereas, the inauguration of San Francisco Health Week advertised to the entire nation that San Francisco was concerned with the preservation of the health of its citizens; and

Whereas, there is being conducted at the present time the very commendable nation-wide effort to combat the scourge of infantile paralysis; and

Whereas, there are many other diseases against which citizens can, with proper instruction and advice, guard themselves; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco respectfully request his Honor the Mayor to appoint a Citizens' Committee to conduct San Francisco Health Week for the week beginning Monday, March 7, 1938.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Uhl—3.



**Annual Message from Mayor.**

The following was presented and referred to President for distribution to various Committees of the Board:

**Letter of the Mayor****MAYOR'S OFFICE**

San Francisco

January 11, 1938.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Once again it becomes my pleasure, as provided in Section 25 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, to render to you my annual report of municipal affairs, to comment and make suggestions for the future.

Herein I touch upon many of the problems of city government and draw your attention to more detailed analysis of the various departments and activities in the annual message which accompanies this letter.

We have passed through a difficult year but have emerged from it with our financial standing still among the highest in the country. With the 1939 Golden Gate International Exposition in the offing, I look for a stimulated business throughout 1938.

However, there are two major problems before us which we must face resolutely. They are the maintenance of adequate and proper relief for those unable to work as well as the maintenance and proper care of our indigent poor, blind and sick.

The care and welfare of our own people is and must continue to be our first and main consideration.

The second great problem before us is that of auto traffic and transportation. If we are to continue a great metropolis; if we are to continue our steady growth; if we are to continue to attract and maintain our population, then a solution to this problem which is agitating every large city in the country, must be found.

There is no question in my mind that this solution rests upon a common desire of all districts throughout the city to find a common ground for that solution having for its objective the common good of the entire city.

Few will disagree that today it is a great mistake to continue to maintain a railway right-of-way on the main street of this metropolis, Market street.

Four tracks on Market street have proved a deterrent to auto traffic and to transportation both. Removal of at least two are essential and the four as soon as practicable.

**Unification Again Urged**

Substitution of a subway out Market street, which would virtually mean the construction of a new street, would benefit everyone in San Francisco and make of Market street one of the finest and most picturesque thoroughfares in the world. Michigan boulevard in Chicago or Fifth avenue and Park avenue in New York are examples of what wonderful boulevards can be made when unhampered by surface trolleys.

Removal of the four tracks would eventually lead to a unified transportation system for the city. In my annual message of last year I said:

"... it is essential that we enter into negotiations with the privately owned street car systems of San Francisco, toward bringing them into a single unified system of mass transportation, under municipal operation, which will insure the universal transfer privilege to our people."

I still believe it essential to enter into such negotiations—and as soon as possible.

Due to the vote of the people in November, 1930, many of the franchises of privately owned companies were extended and the majority now have 17 years to run. Meantime, no extensions of service are being offered the public by these companies. The citizens of San Francisco cannot wait for the expiration of franchises before agreeing upon the most essentially needed improvements in our transportation system.

A solution is needed and needed NOW. I do not propose to set myself up as an expert on just what is the proper method of solving this pressing problem but I do suggest and urge that a comprehensive plan which will serve all the people be worked out by engineers who are expert in this line and have accurate knowledge of the needs of this city.

### Remove Bridge Tolls.

Another of our transportation problems is caused by the hindrance of free traffic by the tolls charged on the Bay bridges. These bridges are in fact main arterial highways for the State system, and every effort should be made to find a solution to reduce the tolls to a minimum and entirely to eliminate them at the earliest possible date.

It is indicated that if the bridge to Oakland were a free bridge, it would be impossible at times to carry the traffic that is asking for free admission into San Francisco.

One only needs to look at the heavy traffic to and fro on the Peninsula where the roads are free to know that this is correct.

Large amounts of money have been allocated by the State Highway Commission for luxury highways.

The bridge is permitted by law to receive money from the State Highway Commission, and one can visualize the difference in traffic that would flow over the Bay Bridge if toll free in comparison to the traffic that now goes over any of these luxury highways.

Each year an allocation should be made from the apportionment of highway funds in Northern California to meet the sinking fund requirements on the bridge for that year and so open the bridge to the public at a nominal charge or preferably none at all. This would better serve the needs of more than half of the population of Northern California than spending an equivalent sum of money on the construction of new roads or reconstruction of old. Unquestionably this road would provide the heaviest traffic of any in Northern California.

Rearrangement of auto traffic must depend upon rearrangement of street car traffic. The two are closely related.

While we are seeking an answer to the one, I urge a close study of the other and during it, a more rigid enforcement of present vehicular rules, particularly as to double parking and illegal parking, which blocks the easy flow of traffic, so that the entire movement of automobiles may be intensified.

I further demand such strict adherence to present laws to the end that the terrific toll of life and limb now being taken by automobiles may be materially reduced and the general welfare of both autoists and pedestrians be more fully insured.

### None Shall Go Hungry.

Reverting to the first major problem—the welfare and care of our unfortunates—I insist that none should go hungry in this city and that as many as is humanly possible who desire to work should have jobs.

This problem of public welfare is a national one, indeed so much so that a special committee of the United States Senate is investigating it. The recently conducted unemployment census shows that the solution of this problem has not yet been reached. No single municipality can solve it alone. At the present time in this metropolis work relief



from the Works Progress Administration is given to approximately 14,000 cases; unemployment relief, under the jurisdiction of the State, being given to more than 8500 cases, and the city, caring for the unemployable group, gives relief to 3200 cases.

May I pause at this time to express not only my own, but I am sure the thanks of every member of the Board of Supervisors to the Federal and State governments for their splendid assistance here and throughout the country in caring for those in need.

Nor can I permit this opportunity to pass without congratulating the newly created Public Welfare Commission and Public Welfare Department, created during 1937, on the splendid work they have done locally.

The magnitude of the public welfare problem need not be emphasized. San Francisco stands ready to continue to the utmost of its ability, its care of the needy. Continuation of Federal and State participation is absolutely essential.

Closely linked with the care of the unfortunate is the housing problem. I recently communicated with Governor Frank F. Merriam urging that a special session of the Legislature consider the United States Housing Act of 1937 (Wagner-Steagall Act) with a view to passing an enabling act which would permit this State to enjoy its benefits.

#### Housing Act Favored.

The United States Housing Act makes it possible to obtain Federal assistance for this purpose, provided a qualified local housing authority is established. While San Francisco does not have slums, nevertheless there are areas of sub-standard housing in the city which clearly fall within the definition of slums as contained in the United States Housing Act. There are considerable numbers of families of low income in the city who cannot afford to pay enough rent either to secure decent housing or to make it profitable for private enterprise to provide it.

Because of these two facts I urge that this city undertake an intelligent, long-range program, properly related to other factors of city planning and city development, to eliminate slum dwellings and rehabilitate areas of sub-standard housing, and to provide decent dwelling accommodations for low income families who can secure them in no other way than by a public housing program.

The system of Federal loans and annual contributions provided for under the act makes it possible for a local housing authority to conduct such a program at no cost to the taxpayers, except for the initial administrative expenses of the authority and the cost of preliminary surveys. The program is not centralized in Washington, but is a matter of local responsibility, with the Federal government providing financial assistance.

The broad social benefits of such a program are too well understood to require repetition. In addition, the high municipal costs in areas of sub-standard housing, by reason of greater fire hazard and higher rates of crime, delinquency and disease, can be materially reduced by the elimination of sub-standard dwellings and the provision of decent housing.

These benefits are being secured by cities in thirty states which already have adopted laws enabling the establishment of local housing authorities. California is not one of these states and is thus excluded from the program until the enabling legislation is adopted.

I strongly urge a special session of the Legislature in the near future so that legislation may be passed permitting California to share in the act's benefits. San Francisco can then establish a housing authority and formulate an intelligent housing program under the terms of the Wagner-Steagall Act.

### Exposition Aid Pledged.

Now to a subject near and dear to the hearts of every San Franciscan. We are about to hold another great exposition and the memories of the 1915 Exposition still linger with many of us.

During 1937 the Golden Gate International Exposition has fulfilled many promises and has progressed to a midway point in preparation that assures a comprehensive "Pageant of the Pacific" next year—a lodestar that will draw millions of eager visitors to San Francisco.

Active support of the entire city administration, collectively and individually, has been a great factor in the now-assured success of America's official World's Fair of the West. More than 2000 citizens are now at work on Exposition projects, direct and indirect, and it is reliably estimated that the World's Fair activities will reach \$50,000,000 in scope and will provide a total of 5000 man-years of employment.

The Public Utilities Commission has been particularly close to the scene of progress, being involved in the letting of major contracts and the supervision of construction of approximately \$8,000,000 in building construction well under way on Treasure Island. December figures showed the structural contracts on the two hangar buildings to be 100 per cent completed; the Air Terminal building, 86 per cent completed; the six major Exposition exhibit halls, 98 per cent completed; and other items too numerous to detail, ranging from seven to 99 per cent finished.

Construction in general is reported as six weeks in advance of schedule, and other phases are equally advanced. The active, friendly co-sponsorship of the eleven Western States has been enlisted, and their efforts, with those of the transportation people and the Exposition itself, means a \$3,000,000 program of travel promotion that should bring about the greatest westward tourist movement in history in 1939.

The State of California, in appropriating \$5,000,000 to tell the story of the Golden State, set the pace for a State program that will probably include every State in the Union. Exactly half of them already have enacted appropriations or appointed commissions to plan their participation.

When the Federal government appropriated \$1,500,000 it led the way toward a governmental program that already includes assured participation of Japan, Guatemala, Peru, Egypt, Italy, Nicaragua, El Salvador, Cuba, Honduras, Costa Rica, the Netherlands East Indies, Mexico, Ecuador, Santo Domingo, French-Indo-China, and British Columbia; territorial participation is also assured from Hawaii, Alaska, Puerto Rico and the Philippine Commonwealth.

This World's Fair will have the international flavor essential to success.

### Improve City's Appearance.

Industrial exhibitors now number more than 40, many of them the ranking leaders in their respective fields.

I bespeak for this Exposition the most active support of every official and every citizen. San Francisco can and will show the world the way in Expositions.

Let's all put our shoulders to the wheel in this great enterprise that cannot help but result in splendid and lasting advertising for this city.

To prepare for this major event, we must clean up and beautify our city. We must be spick and span when our hundreds of thousands of guests arrive.

Not only must all city-owned property, consisting of streets, buildings, parks and squares, be in first-class condition, but with the generous cooperation of our citizens, this campaign must extend to the general improvement of the appearance of the entire city, with special attention to the reconditioning of building fronts and residences. A part of this program, already under way, provides for the planting of trees and shrubs along the streets and the general tidying of vacant property.

In this undertaking, due thought is being given to the improvement of all our recreational grounds and the facilities for outdoor play.



It is believed that by the time the Exposition opens its gates, the City will have completed most of this work and will be able to show itself at its best.

To the Citizens' Committee who are forwarding this enterprise, and the civic and other associations who are so ably and unselfishly assisting, my thanks are tendered most sincerely.

### Broadcasts Aid City.

And may I also take this opportunity before turning from the Exposition and city beautification to thank those advertisers who are so generously helping spread the news of the Exposition to all corners of the earth and to the local radio stations also.

Officials of the radio stations have been more than kind to this city. Not only has N. B. C. donated a weekly broadcast to affairs of municipal government—sent out directly from the office of the Mayor—but all stations have done their utmost to promote the well-being of San Francisco.

To these I offer my heartfelt thanks. That their efforts bear fruit is proved beyond doubt by the hundreds of letters I have received in the past two weeks as a result of the recent Christmas carol broadcast. These letters come from all sections of the United States and express a warmth of feeling for San Francisco.

However, while addressing you so optimistically regarding the affairs of our City, I must again draw attention to a deficiency in our structure. I refer to harbor control.

In my last year's message to your Honorable Board I said in this regard:

"San Francisco's harbor has been at a disadvantage in competing with other harbors in California and along the Pacific Coast, because all these major ports have been locally administered. San Francisco's harbor has been under the control of a State Board, not necessarily responsive to local requirements. This condition remains an intolerable one for the people of San Francisco."

Again I urge upon your Honorable Board an active and definite program to gain for San Francisco that which is enjoyed by all other California ports.

### Campaign for Harbor.

I urge a working campaign—a concerted drive upon our legislators in Sacramento to the end that this injustice may be speedily remedied. Last year's experience would indicate that little success may be hoped for unless adequate funds are provided for the campaign. San Francisco's harbor properties are valued conservatively at fifty million dollars. We should not begrudge an adequate fund to conduct a successful campaign.

Recent legal activities make the matter of the distribution of our hydro-electric power one which should receive the most careful consideration, not only by the Public Utilities Commission but also by the Board of Supervisors. The Federal Government, acting through the Secretary of the Interior, has instituted litigation in the District Court of the United States to restrain the City from continuing to distribute its power through the agency of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company.

The case, in the very able hands of City Attorney John J. O'Toole, has been tried and is now awaiting argument before Judge Michael J. Roche. While I am not anticipating a decision against the City, we should be prepared for any eventuality which may arise, for the reason that should the City be restrained from distributing its power as it is now doing, it must be ready to adopt a plan for municipal distribution or forego an approximate revenue of two million dollars annually.

This reduction in revenue will mean an increase in the tax rate of at least 25 cents on each one hundred dollars of the assessment.

I therefore recommend to both the Board of Supervisors and to the Public Utilities Commission that the matter of the distribution of our hydro-electric power directly by the City should not be overlooked, but that tentative plans should be worked out which will provide a method for its distribution which may be promptly submitted to the people should the occasion demand.

In conclusion, I cannot permit this opportunity to escape without thanking the Honorable Board of Supervisors for their cooperation during the past year and without wishing them a happy and prosperous New Year.

To the press of San Francisco, my appreciation of their permanent policy aimed to advance this beloved city.

Nor can I permit this opportunity to pass without deepest thanks not only to heads of Departments, Commissions and Boards in my administration for their valuable assistance in meeting the problems of local government, but to men and women constituting the faithful workers in municipal government. I cannot help but boast that the municipal employees of San Francisco stand second to none in the United States in efficiency and ability.

Yours sincerely,

ANGELO J. ROSSI,  
Mayor.

### Mayor's Annual Message.

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR, SAN FRANCISCO

January 11, 1938.

The Honorable the Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: My message as required by the Charter follows:

#### Controller.

With the death of Leonard Sumner Leavy, the first Controller of San Francisco, on June 11, 1937, the citizens lost a far-sighted, able executive and those of us who are employed by the citizens lost a sincere friend.

My appointment of Harold J. Boyd as Mr. Leavy's successor was approved by the Board of Supervisors on June 17th. The responsibilities of the Controller are manifold and Mr. Leavy's eminent performance of his duties places on his successor an added burden. The principles which Mr. Leavy laid down, the rules established, the policies formulated, provide the road which Mr. Boyd has pledged himself to follow.

In the task which is his, I am confident all officials and employees will continue in the coming year, as they have in the past six months, to render every possible co-operation.

The following information from the reports of Controller Boyd indicates that a sound general financial condition exists in San Francisco, and that its fiscal affairs continue to show marked improvement.

The peak of tax delinquency, which amounted to 5.37 per cent, was reached in 1933, while the tax delinquency for the year ended June 30, 1937, was only 1.45 per cent. The delinquency on the first installment of the current year's taxes, which were paid as of December 5th last, amounted to 2.79 per cent as compared with 2.83 per cent for the same period of the preceding fiscal year.

The Cash Reserve Fund of the City has grown to reach a total of \$2,859,227.

The buyers of City and County bonds and tax notes have expressed their confidence in San Francisco's financial soundness in effective interest rates on securities sold during the year. Tax notes were sold for as low an interest rate as 15/100 of 1 per cent, and bonds were sold for as low an effective interest rate as 2.47 per cent. The average effective rate on bonds for the entire year was 2.68 per cent.



San Francisco's requirements from taxation for bond interest and redemption have decreased from the peak burden of 96.9 cents in the tax rate of 1934-1935 to 90.9 cents for the current period.

The tax requirements have decreased from the peak amount of \$33,177,550 in 1930-31 to \$31,795,936 for the current year, despite extraordinary added expenditures for relief, hospitalization, needy, aged, blind, widows' pensions, etc., which increase amounts to approximately \$3,750,000 over the year 1930-31.

#### Radio Broadcasts.

Since 1932, I have sent broadcasts from the Mayor's office weekly, concerning the actual functioning of the several departments of the municipality. These broadcasts, provided through the courtesy of the National Broadcasting Company, reach a constantly increasing audience of students interested in the operation of municipal government.

As many commendatory letters and messages attest, these weekly talks are also arousing extended interest among our citizens and taxpayers, as well as those of neighboring municipalities.

San Francisco was the first ranking American city to undertake such a plan for reaching and teaching the populace as to such matters. I wish again to tender due thanks to Mr. Don Gilman, vice-president of the National Broadcasting Company, for his constant and cordial co-operation, in making continuance of the broadcasts possible, and absolutely without cost to the City and County of San Francisco. To his most courteous staff and announcers, these thanks go also.

Beginning on Christmas Eve, 1934, by courtesy of the National Broadcasting Company, San Francisco's broadcast of Yuletide carols and hymns was made each year. That of last Christmas Eve brought to me a flood of telegrams and letters from all parts of the United States and Canada, many describing holiday scenes in remote places and thanking San Francisco for contributing the program. This annual courtesy of the National Broadcasting Company, receiving worldwide appreciation, is one which merits sincere thanks of all our people.

#### San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge.

On January 12, 1938, the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge will have been in operation for fourteen months and will have had approximately 10,750,000 vehicles crossing the great span, carrying almost 25,000,000 persons between San Francisco and transbay points. Thus the big structure has justified the dreams and plans of San Franciscans for generations, and the \$77,000,000 investment has unquestionably proven a success.

A breakdown of traffic figures as of December 1, 1937, showed that from November 12, 1936, 9,721,328 vehicles used the Bay Bridge. Of this number 9,247,521 were passenger automobiles. The daily average number of vehicles throughout the year approximated 25,600.

The total number of buses, as of December 1, was 92,410, with the number crossing the span into San Francisco increasing monthly. During the month of November, 1937, 10,090 buses had used the bridge. A total of 688,524,183 freight pounds were transported by 322,372 trucks and truck trailers over the great span in the first twelve and one-half months of its operation.

Other totals for the first twelve and one-half months are: Auto trailers, 16,660; motorcycles, 34,240; tri-cars, 8125; 2,036,598 extra passengers were transported across the bridge during that period.

A survey made by the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce on the bridge's first anniversary on November 12, 1937, called attention to the powerful business stimulant provided by the millions of vehicles that crossed the structure since it opened. This survey emphasized an increase of by more than two-thirds in transbay travel since the opening of the span. The survey pointed out the increase in attendance, since the bridge opened, at sporting events, including football, baseball, and boxing, and indicated that the bridge was "one of the

important causal factors behind the fact that real estate sales from January to September, 1937, increased 20 per cent in number and 31 per cent in value over the corresponding value of 1936."

By the end of 1938 it is expected that the electric interurban railway will be operating across the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, knitting the San Francisco Bay communities even closer together.

The \$2,250,000 terminal on Mission, between First and Fremont streets, in San Francisco, is well under way, while massive redwood ties are already being placed on the bridge proper. Work is also rapidly progressing on the viaduct which will bring the trains from the bridge into the station. The terminal, located as it is, will take 50 per cent of the commuters from the East Bay to within walking distances of their places of employment.

Plans for the terminal call for trains to loop from the bridge into the 780-foot structure at elevation. Walking distance within the building has been cut to a minimum. Passengers taking streetcars need only pass from the trains on the upper level to a covered ramp on the mezzanine floor level, over which streetcars will loop at elevation into the station.

San Francisco can well anticipate a stimulation of business as a result of the improved transportation facilities provided by the electric railway, just as it enjoyed them last year because of the fine and speedy highway over the span itself.

### Golden Gate Bridge.

The prediction, in my past message, that this magnificent structure would be ready for dedication in May, 1937, was realized. The Bridge was opened to traffic at noon, May 28th, with dazzling ceremonial.

Financed by bond issue, authorized by the taxpayers of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, comprising six counties, its cost was approximately \$35,000,000. It is the longest and highest single span suspension bridge in existence, having a span of 4200 feet, 700 feet longer than its nearest rival, the George Washington Bridge, recently completed at New York City.

The record of traffic, May 27th to December 1st, 1937, shows the following statistics: Passenger autos, 2,030,482; trucks, 59,858; automobiles and trailers, 11,954; non-revenue traffic, 40,966. The total number of vehicles passing over the bridge was 2,166,759. Pedestrians, 363,159.

The total revenue amounted to \$1,109,241. And the average toll per vehicle, \$.5036. The averages per day: 11,618 vehicles; pedestrians, 1937.

### Federal Projects.

Honorable W. Arthur Newman, District Engineer of the Procurement Division of the Treasury Department, gives me a most inspiring account of the status of Federal projects for the City and County of San Francisco.

The new Mint, anchored in solid rock facing Duboce avenue, was dedicated May 15th. This, the world's most modern and impregnable mint, has the following outstanding features: electric super-protection, silver storage vaults, tear-gas system, precipitator equipment for reclaiming metals, sound detectors, radio call signal system, electric melting furnaces, and shooting gallery. The cost, \$1,199,055, to date. An appropriation of \$220,000 has just been made for additional vault storage facilities.

A Federal allotment has been made of \$2,000,000 for the new Parcels Post Building, to be erected near the Interurban Depot of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge.

For the new Appraisers' and Immigration Building, to adjoin the Custom House, an allotment of \$4,250,000 has been made.

On a site awaiting selection, the San Francisco Quarantine Station will be constructed at a cost of \$300,000. Work on plans for these projects is now going forward.



For remodeling and improvements to the San Francisco Post Office and Court House, \$164,000 has been allocated. The work is now under contract.

The old Mint, at Fifth and Mission, will probably be sold during 1938.

### Municipal Court.

For the period January 1st to November 15th, inclusive, the following is a concise report of the administration of the Municipal Court of the City and County of San Francisco:

In the twelve Municipal Courts, there were 11,040 civil actions; 5941 small claims—total, 16,981; and in the criminal department, 103,875 proceedings were filed.

Official and pauper actions filed in the civil department, 474. Small claims, 1978.

Receipts, \$217,401.25. Expenditures, \$188,110.76. Excess of receipts over expenditures, \$29,290.49.

### Public Defender.

The office handled 1163 cases in all courts; made 2201 appearances in the courts. In the Superior Court 476 cases were handled, which is approximately 40 per cent of all the cases handled in the criminal departments of the Superior Court. One hundred fifty-nine defendants represented by the Public Defender on felony charges entered pleas of guilty in the Municipal Court and were certified to the Superior Court, thereby saving much time to the courts and considerable expense to the City and County. The office also appeared for 24 persons on insanity hearings in the civil courts at the request of the judges presiding, although the Charter does not provide for this service. The courts justly feel that people without funds on insanity hearings should have representation so that it cannot be said that they were "railroaded". In addition to this service, although further not provided for in the Charter, advice was given to 3086 indigent persons seeking information on civil matters.

The office of the Public Defender is being conducted under a budget of \$18,980.

### Police Department.

The following is a resume of the activities and accomplishments of this Department during the calendar year 1937:

From facts secured in both a nationwide and local survey, a detailed "Summary of Survey and Report" of the San Francisco Police Department was made and submitted to the Mayor on January 9, 1937. This survey report proposed that all of its recommendations be made effective by February, 1938. Some of the recommendations required radical Charter provision changes, and consequently sections 35, 146, 148 and 172 of the Charter were materially amended. Thus, by an affirmative vote of the electors of San Francisco, the proposed reorganization of the San Francisco Police Department became an actual fact. To date the following recommendations have been put into effect without interfering in any way with the normal functioning of the Department:

1. Six new key posts, under the Chief of Police, created to improve the organizational structure of the Department, have been filled. These positions are: Deputy Chief of Police, Department Secretary, Supervising Captain of Districts, Director of Personnel, Director of Bureau of Criminal Information and Director of Bureau of Special Services.
2. A modern "show-up" room inside the City Prison was completed. Its location and equipment are ideal and it is doubtful whether there is a better "show-up" room in any Police Department in the nation.
3. With the abolition of the rank of Corporal in the Police Department, patrol sergeants were assigned to patrol cars for the purpose of improving patrol and fixed-post supervision. After several months of experiment, however, the Police Department did not find this system practical and has recently returned patrol sergeants to foot patrol in the downtown and most populous residential and business districts.

4. The bookkeeping system and handling of departmental accounts were completely reorganized. It is now possible instantly to arrive at an absolute financial statement showing the four individual groups comprising the grand total of the annual budget appropriation, thereby enabling the Department to budget its expenditures in strict accordance with the "one-twelfth rule" required under Charter provisions.

5. To enable the Bureau of Inspectors to check personally on visitors to persons charged with serious offenses, the duty of issuing visitors' permits to the City Prison was transferred from the Bureau of Records to the Bureau of Inspectors.

6. The establishment of a Bureau of Personnel permits an equitable distribution of police numerical strength in the various stations and bureaus, whose needs are determined through personal investigation rather than through extent of territory, population, precedent, etc., as heretofore. A system of transfer of commissioned and non-commissioned officers has also been inaugurated.

7. The personnel records of the Department were completely reorganized so that a detailed record of each member and employee of the Department is instantly available.

8. The Bureau of Criminal Information has, as its major unit, the Communications Bureau which was established in December of 1936. It includes also the Record Bureau, which contains a complete record of all warrants, both local and foreign, and maintains complete files on all crime and accident reports, complete statistical information on *modus operandi* and all information concerning crime that may be needed to compile criminal statistics. Certain functions of the Bureau of Inspectors—namely, the operation of local and state teletype systems, the indexing and filing of warrants, crime records and reports and the broadcasting of radio messages—were transferred to the Bureau of Criminal Information. The telephone exchange of the Department was removed from the Central Station to the Hall of Justice and the teletype and radio equipment were likewise transferred so that the three units are consolidated in the Communications Bureau. These changes were made under a W. P. A. project with Federal funds granted, amounting to \$2,562. A "Monitor Board" of ten of the Department's trunk lines was established to facilitate the handling of emergency calls. A record is kept of radio cars in and out of service, their assignments and action taken. Bank and burglar alarms ring directly into the Communications Bureau, from whence radio cars are instantly dispatched. A central index of local teletype messages is also kept, thereby relieving various district police stations and bureaus of that routine.

9. The Bureau of Special Services was established to prevent crime and suppress commercialized vice. It investigates all complaints relating to gambling, prostitution, violations of narcotic laws, degeneracy, etc. The Big Brother Bureau, designed to reduce juvenile delinquency, is a unit of the Bureau of Special Services. During the past year over 765 boys were contacted by the Big Brother Bureau. A number of boys' athletic clubs have been organized under the direction of members of the Big Brother Bureau. The activities of this bureau have been extended to include transient boys who are a definite police problem, inasmuch as every one is a potential criminal. With the abandonment of the Potrero Police Station, the Police Department requested that the building be turned into a shelter for transient boys. The St. Vincent De Paul Society volunteered to operate such a shelter and the Mayor agreed to turn the old Potrero Station over to the society at a nominal rental. The St. Vincent De Paul Boys' Center was opened, as a result, on May 26, 1937, and up until November 30th had cared for 600 transient boys, most of whom were picked up by the police as delinquents or in the company of undesirable and sent to the Juvenile Detention Home and to the Center. Most of these boys were influenced to return to their homes; some were placed in employment and others helped to enlist in the Army, Navy, Marines or C. C. C. None of the boys transgressed the law



while staying at the Center. The Police Big Brother Movement, started by the San Francisco Police Department, has spread until it is now a unit of the California State Peace Officers' Association, International Association of Chiefs of Police and the World Police Association.

10. Through the consolidation of certain police districts, the number of district stations has been decreased from fourteen to nine, thereby relieving for patrol duty a number of officers who had been on office duty taking in "ring-ins" from patrolling officers and doing other clerical work incidental to the operation of a station. The districts consolidated are as follows: Potrero with Southern, and known as Southern; North End with Ellis-Polk, and now known as Northern; Western Addition with Stanyan, and now known as Park; part of Golden Gate Park with Richmond, and known as Richmond; Bayview with Ingleside, and known as Ingleside; the rest of Golden Gate Park with Taraval, and known as Taraval.

11. Every Police District has been equipped with a full quota of radio cars, each of which rings in every hour to its station, in addition to being at all times within radio call from the Communications Bureau, thereby insuring a city-wide fleet of radio cars at all times, ready to act as a unit in the capture of fleeing criminals, etc.

12. The indexing of teletype messages and general orders to Company Commanders in the various district police stations has been discontinued and a master index is kept in the General Office at the Hall of Justice, thereby eliminating considerable clerical work at the stations.

13. A uniform system of recording overtime has been installed, all such records now being kept at Headquarters instead of at the respective police stations.

14. A bookkeeper has been employed in the police garage to carry out the newly installed uniform system of computing the cost and maintenance of all automotive equipment.

15. Through a system of "staggering" or overlapping the three watches into which the twenty-four hours are divided, there is at no time a complete turnover of the police force which would leave our citizens unprotected during a change of watch.

16. A Traffic Accident Division, designed to reduce traffic accidents through a study of accidents immediately after their occurrence and apply all possible corrective measures which are suggested through such study, has also been established.

17. A modern academy for recruits to the Department has recently been established. The ten most recently appointed officers are now in their third month of study and training at this school. The curriculum covers every phase of police duty, as well as instruction in first aid, water life-saving, military drill, etc.

18. In connection with the complete change in departmental form reports and record system, Hollerith Statistical Tabulating Equipment has been installed and is now operating in the record division of the Bureau of Criminal Information.

Despite these radical changes in the organization of our department, it has functioned smoothly and efficiently. San Francisco has maintained its reputation as being one of the safest cities in the United States for, according to figures released by the Federal Bureau of Criminal Identification at Washington, D. C., we are among the leaders in cities of 500,000 and over in keeping crime at a minimum.

In this class San Francisco is again in the first three for the minimum number of fatal traffic accidents, although our records show that we had more such accidents in 1936-37 than in the preceding year. We are also third nationally in cities of comparable size in the lowest gross total of traffic accidents for the fiscal year 1936-37.

With 3971 automobiles reported stolen in San Francisco in 1936-37, we show a total of 3926 recoveries, or 99.01 per cent. According to a report of the National Automobile Theft Bureau, San Francisco leads

other metropolitan cities of the United States in the percentage of automobile recoveries.

Largely through the efforts of our 3000 School Traffic Patrol Boys not a single death to school-going children has occurred at the 550 school crossings during the past year.

The following statistics reflect in a general manner the immense volume of police activities during the fiscal year 1936-37: Missing persons located, 2494; lost children returned to parents, 409; insane persons cared for, 284; sick and injured taken to hospitals, 5999; fires attended, 4596; subpoenas served, 8002; letters received and answered, 18,310; radio messages broadcast to locate missing persons on account of deaths in families, etc., 5438; warrants of arrest handled, 10,201; convictions obtained, 21,956; prisoners brought to stations, 51,978; value of property recovered, \$158,076.56; arrests made, 78,972.

We point with pride to the fact that during the past year San Francisco has been entirely free from the operations of organized criminals, and gangsterism is still unknown to our citizens. We did not have a single bank holdup or attempted bank holdup during the past year—a record which is probably unequaled by any metropolitan city of comparable size.

Despite the fact that during the past year San Francisco was host to approximately three million visitors, drawn here by conventions, the opening of the Golden Gate Bridge or as tourists to view the two great bridges, bunco-men did not operate here. It is worthy of note that during the past year our Department has been working on plans for the protection of the visitors who are expected here during the 1939 Exposition and an exchange has been established with other cities to the end that members of this Department can thoroughly acquaint themselves with the photographs, descriptions and modus operandi of criminals who have operated during such celebrations in other cities and who might attempt to operate here.

#### Fire Department.

The records of the San Francisco Fire Department show that during the last fiscal year it has responded to 8917 alarms of fire, against 8492 alarms of the previous year, or an increase of 425 alarms.

Throughout the year this department has functioned competently and smoothly, maintaining its usual high standard of efficiency, which has earned for it the reputation of being one of the finest and most effective fire-fighting organizations in existence.

This year has seen the completion of the extensions to the auxiliary high pressure water system and the underground fire cisterns installed from the 1933 Bond Issue, and these improvements add materially to the security from fire dangers of the districts which they cover, namely: the Potrero District, the Mission District, the South of Market District, the Clay Street Hill District, the North Beach District, the Marina and Golden Gate Valley District, the Hayes Valley District, the Park-Presidio District, the Sunset District, the Western Addition District, the Bay Bridge Approach and several sections of the Waterfront.

With these added facilities, I feel confident that the Fire Department will be well equipped to meet any possible emergency, and that it will continue to furnish adequate and satisfactory fire protection. Purchases of apparatus during 1937 have materially assisted in putting this department, mechanically, in step with any similar fire-fighting organization in the United States.

#### Civil Service Commission.

The Civil Service Commission completed during the past year 103 examinations. Under the present Charter all civil service eligible lists automatically expire after four years. Civil service emergency appointments may not continue beyond ninety days in any fiscal year. The number of classifications for which examinations must be held has been very much increased because of Charter provisions. The



terms of the present Charter have therefore brought about a tremendous increase in the number of examinations required of the Civil Service Commission and while these provisions are sound and wise and have strengthened and vitalized the merit system principle, it is to be expected that the cost of examinations will increase.

The Commission has completed during the past year an extensive investigation of wages being paid in private employment and in other comparable governmental organizations in this state. These data have been analyzed and interpreted and the Civil Service Commission is holding hearings relating thereto, preliminary to formulating and submitting to the Board of Supervisors its recommendations for salary standardization schedules to be applied to approximately 8500 municipal employments, as provided under Section 151 of the Charter. These recommendations for standard salaries will be submitted to the Board of Supervisors during the early part of January and it is hoped the Supervisors will see fit to adopt salary standardization and put into effect the provisions of Section 151 of the Charter before the adoption of the budget for the coming fiscal year.

In addition to these activities, the Commission has continued to enforce strictly all provisions of the Charter governing civil service, in the belief that the fundamentals of the merit principle in public service are more deeply rooted in the San Francisco municipal service than ever before.

#### Board of Education.

To our citizens no single function of government is of greater interest—none touches our homes more vitally than our public school system.

During the fiscal year, ending June 30, 1937, we expended for education, including new buildings, bond interest and redemption, and, most important, salaries for instruction of pupils, the total sum of \$12,625,770.40 to maintain, operate and improve our public school system. On the basis of enrollment more than 100,000 children from kindergarten through junior college age are taught in the public schools. Actual cost of operation of this branch of the government was \$9,588,733; \$4,626,585.46 of which was paid by the state government on the basis of average daily pupil attendance.

Our most pressing problem of public education today is to provide, at an early date, permanent quarters for the newly established San Francisco Junior College. An excellent site has already been located at Balboa Park, Ocean and Phelan avenues. The Board of Education has appropriated, awaiting plans and specifications for more than a year, a sum in excess of \$600,000 for erection of the first unit of permanent junior college buildings. I am happy to report that the plans have been completed and within a few days a foundation contract will be awarded for the first building. Barring delays, junior college students will be housed in their permanent home at Balboa Park within the next year.

The campus of the new college was originally occupied as the county jail. After abandonment of the site by the sheriff's office, the land was placed at the disposal of the Recreation Commission without cost. This Commission now recognizes the urgency of the need for the Junior College and has transferred the land for use by the School Department to accommodate the college buildings and campus. Another section of the same site, comprising thirty acres, is under the jurisdiction of the Park Commission, which body has also recognized the needs of the new Junior College. It is hoped that transfers of this property to the Board of Education will be speedily executed.

The new Sunshine School for orthopedic children and those inclined toward ill health was opened in the Mission District in August, in a new two-story fireproof building of modern design. As will be recalled, construction of this building was recommended by the Superintendent of Public Schools, the Board of Education, the Director of Public Health, the County Medical Society and the Grand Jury. It is

a source of satisfaction to note that this new type school building has been declared a vast improvement over the old Buena Vista Health and Sunshine Schools which occupied separate buildings in other locations.

Another recent development in public education was the opening of the Samuel Gompers Trades School at Twenty-second and Bartlett streets, replacing the old Agassiz School, a wooden building erected in 1892. The first unit of the trades school, a three-story reinforced concrete building, was opened for pupils in August, 1937. The trades school operates from noon each day through the evening hours and is intended to give supplementary instruction to apprentices already engaged in trades as well as to high school students who have shown a definite tendency toward proficiency in certain crafts or trades. Demand for instruction in the subjects offered has already exceeded the physical facilities of the Gompers Trades School. For the past three months instruction, approved by both employers and employees, is being given at other quarters in sheet metal working, painting and paper hanging, cabinet making and steam fitting. It is hoped, through this phase of education, to improve the technique of our young people and point the way for them to become proficient in recognized trades at an early age.

Reduction in the size of classes in elementary schools to a level suggested by Joseph P. Nourse, Superintendent of Public Schools, was authorized by the Board of Education during the past year. The cost of this operation was largely offset by a slight decline in the school population at the elementary level, a reflection in our schools of the national decline in birth rate.

Restoration of teachers' salaries to the 1930 level and the restoration of annual increments, excepting to those teachers already enjoying maximum salaries, has been in effect for the past two years. The cost of this service last year was \$108,261.08. The Board of Education has recognized the rights of teachers to the annual increments, and all sides agree that these increments have now been restored to the 1930 level. The Board also recognizes that any attempt to increase salaries to new high levels must be undertaken with caution, having in mind the rights of all affected.

As part of the building program now in progress, the Board of Education has opened within the past three months fireproof auditorium additions to two new elementary schools, and plans the erection of two high school gymnasiums, one at Lowell High School and another for George Washington High School; an auditorium at Portola Junior High School, classroom additions to the Franklin Elementary School and an auditorium addition to the Francis Scott Key Elementary School. All are included in the present school building program. Together with the estimated cost of the first unit of the San Francisco Junior College, this program represents an investment of \$1,160,175.10, for which \$638,096.30 has already been appropriated in the current school department budget. On my recent trip to Washington, I interviewed federal authorities in the hope of receiving favorable action on San Francisco's application for a federal grant of \$522,000, under the terms of an application filed more than a year ago. At the present time indications are that the federal appropriations will NOT be forthcoming within the immediate future. This means that the school building program must be carried over into the next fiscal year.

It was a source of satisfaction to me as Mayor to renominate to membership on the Board of Education, Honorable C. Harold Caulfield and Mrs. Edwin R. Sheldon, and to find that these choices were overwhelmingly confirmed by the voters at the recent November election. The past services of these commissioners of education is a guarantee that our public schools will be managed in a manner which will insure continued success.



### Employees' Retirement System.

The Retirement System included 11,583 employees at June 30, 1937. During the fiscal year ending on that date 181 members were retired because of age or disability and 114 active members died. Many of the positions thus vacated were not filled. During 1936-37, 127 retired persons died, and on June 30, 1937, there were 1730 persons receiving allowances under the Retirement System, this number including aged and disabled members retired from the several departments and also retired firemen and policemen, and their widows, where death resulted while in performance of duty.

The administration of the State Compensation Insurance Law, as it affects all City employees, is centralized under the Retirement Board in accordance with the new Charter, instead of being handled by the various departments in which injured persons are employed. Reports of all injuries among approximately 11,750 employees are made to the Retirement Office and claims are adjusted and benefits paid in accordance with the State law and Charter, the City and County now acting as self-insurer in relation to all employees. During the fiscal year 1936-37, 940 compensation cases were handled, under which either weekly benefits or medical expenses were paid. Approximately 1522 additional cases were handled which were not of sufficient severity to qualify for compensation benefits of any kind. The investments of the Retirement Fund at June 30, 1937, totaled \$19,643,000, as against \$17,768,000 as of June 30, 1936.

### Assessor.

The Assessor's efforts, in 1936, to gain a more equitable distribution of the tax burden as between real property and personal property resulted in an addition of \$10,500,000 to the tax base.

Maintaining this policy in 1937 resulted in another increase of \$5,000,000 in tangible personal property and \$9,500,000 in intangible personalty. Present plans of the Assessor call for an active continuation of this policy; deputies are in the field now making preliminary surveys of the personal property of apartment houses and businesses as a basis for the 1938 assessment roll.

To prevent any over-emphasis in the assessment of personal property and to maintain an equitable distribution of the tax burden, the Assessor has now employed Civil Service Land Appraisers and Building Appraisers from lists recently made available by the cooperation of the Civil Service Commission. These deputies are now actively engaged in making a thorough inspection of real property, using as a guide the newly designed building valuation and land valuation cards, to show a complete picture of the condition of these properties.

#### Comparison Assessment Roll—1937 and 1936.

	1937	1936	Increase	Decrease
Land .....	\$324,542,933	\$325,333,772	.....	\$790,839
Improvements .....	336,291,216	334,818,024	\$ 1,473,192	.....
Tangible Personal Property .....	78,692,121	73,763,997	4,928,124	.....
Solvent Credits .....	132,169,286	122,620,479	9,548,807	.....
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
	\$871,695,556	\$856,536,272	\$15,950,123	\$790,839
Less Veteran Exempts.	6,362,970	6,172,383	.....	.....
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total Non-Operative property ...	\$865,332,586	\$850,363,889	\$14,968,697	.....
Property assessed by State Board of Equalization .....	125,902,660	126,712,050	.....	\$809,390
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total .....	\$991,235,246	\$977,075,939	\$14,159,307	.....

Improvement in procedure and service to the 250,000 people who visit the Assessor's Office annually, started on its way by improved working conditions and equipment, has been continued. The recently created Department of Public Service and Information has been of vital assistance to the tax-paying public.

### Sheriff.

The report of Daniel C. Murphy, Sheriff of the City and County of San Francisco, for the calendar year 1937, to December 1st, indicates the following activities:

Total amount received, \$351,119.98. Process and papers filed and received, 20,121; personal property sales consummated, 112; amount, \$113,157.68; writs of possession received or filed, 271; possession to plaintiff, 223; unexecuted, 48; forcible evictions, 31.

Average monthly population of County Jails, numbers 1, 2, 3 and 4, was 683.4. Federal prisoners received, male, 313; female, 22; daily average, 53. Amount received for maintenance and sustenance, fiscal year ended June 30, 1937, \$15,012.

There were transported from the Detention Hospital to various State institutions, 872 male and 461 female patients. From County Jails, 119 male and 4 female prisoners were taken to State penitentiaries and other correctional institutions. While the Sheriff, under State law, is permitted to retain surplus moneys received from such sources, the Sheriff believes they should be used for the benefit of the taxpayers; therefore, as was the case last year, he has deposited them with the Treasurer, in the amount of \$6,293.82.

Profits from articles sold for the convenience of prisoners in the County Jail Stores amounted to \$5,253.38, which was deposited with the Treasurer, as provided in Ordinance No. 7061, Bill No. 860.

### Public Utilities Commission.

San Francisco's municipal utilities added to their record of improvement of service and profitable operation during the past year. I refer briefly in the following paragraphs to the operations of individual utilities during 1937:

#### *Municipal Railway*

The Municipal Railway has completed its twenty-fifth year of operation and has continued to render the best service possible to patrons and residents of San Francisco.

Revenues have continued to increase. Operating revenue for the year 1937 amounted to \$3,286,000, an increase of about \$147,000, or approximately 4.7 per cent over the preceding year. Partially, this increase is due to the fact that the larger of the two competing privately-owned street car companies in San Francisco was granted a 2c transfer charge on July 6, 1937, which resulted in increased patronage of the Municipal Railway lines. This healthful increase in street car riding for the fourth successive year is gratifying. Although service has had to be added to keep pace with the increase in travel, and the cost of wage concessions, etc., further increased operating costs, a net income for the year 1937 amounting to \$200,000 is found, as against the net income of \$165,000 for the year 1936.

The increase in patronage of the Municipal Railway during the past year resulted in serious overcrowding of street cars. Following its policy of placing profits back into the system in the form of increased service, the Public Utilities Commission added 151 extra car trips daily to the various municipal lines starting in September and October. All available street cars owned by the Municipal Railway are now in operation during peak hours of travel.

Ordinance No. 4.0521, which provides for sick leaves with pay for employees, required an expenditure of \$19,000 by the Municipal Railway for the six months' period, July to December, 1937.



Track, roadway and equipment have been maintained in excellent condition, the sum of \$342,000 being expended for this purpose.

Fifty-six car bodies and nine buses and service trucks were overhauled and painted, 325 Thermit weld rail joints were installed, 850 lineal feet of single track were reconstructed, 80,000 square feet of asphalt paving were renewed and 37,800 square feet of concrete paving base were placed.

Capital expenditures and replacements amounted to \$170,000. This sum includes \$23,000 for the railway's proportion of cost of the line "L" extension from Forty-sixth avenue and Taraval street to a terminal on Wawona street, near Fleishhacker Playfield, a total of .90 of a mile of single track; \$17,400 for resetting trolley poles on Van Ness avenue, Market street to North Point street; \$46,000 for reconstruction of Market street, Geary street to Sutter street; \$5,000 for track reconstruction on Market street, Fremont street to Embarcadero, and \$13,800 for miscellaneous track and equipment replacements.

Six new modern buses were purchased at a cost of \$60,000 to replace obsolete equipment and improve service. One old tower truck was replaced by a new tower truck at a cost of \$4,800.

Rail operation on the Bay Bridge is scheduled to be inaugurated in November, 1938, and it is of vital importance to the residents of San Francisco that they be furnished with improved transportation facilities.

On December 1st the sum of \$100,000 in bonds of the railway was retired from earnings so that there now remains an indebtedness of only \$1,500,000 against the Municipal Railway properties, which are conservatively valued at \$10,000,000.

Over 84,400,000 passengers were carried during the year, an increase of over 4,000,000 passengers over the previous year. Street cars and buses traveled a distance of 9,000,000 miles. Car service has been increased; a total of 23,000 car hours over the preceding year was operated.

#### *Water Rates*

I desire to call the particular attention of the citizens of San Francisco to the fact that four reductions in water rates have been accomplished during less than eight years of municipal ownership of our water system.

The latest reduction, effective January 1, 1938, will go far toward making San Francisco attractive to new payroll industries and at the same time will retain for us existing industrial and commercial consumers who may have otherwise been attracted elsewhere by lower water rates.

We feel certain that increased sales of water and increased revenue resulting from these new rates will make further rate reductions possible in the near future.

The San Francisco Water Department's record is highly significant in view of the history of repeated rate increases under private ownership of the system prior to 1930.

With surplus available, it is good business for San Francisco to obtain additional water revenue by sales to outside communities so that this revenue may be applied to reduce the cost of water for our own citizens.

#### *Water Department*

As the San Francisco Water Department approaches the close of its eighth year under City ownership, it presents a very substantial record of achievement. Progress has been made in service rendered, both as to quantity and quality of water supplied. Although rates have been lowered four times, a very sound financial status has been maintained.

These four water rate reductions within less than eight years of municipal operation contrast with operation of the water system during the prior decade by a private company. Under private ownership San Francisco water rates were raised in 1918 and again in 1921.

The four reductions in water rates ordered since March, 1930, when the City acquired the water system are:

Effective October 26, 1932, rates were materially reduced for fire sprinkler services. Before this time charges for standby service of this character had ranged from \$9 to \$24 per month. Under the new rates the charge is \$3 per month. This reduction is designed to improve fire protection and to stimulate the installation of private automatic fire protection systems.

On December 1, 1934, all water rates were reduced 10 per cent. This reduction included equal lowering of the service charge from 78c to 70c per month in the case of residential consumers. Consumers have saved approximately \$1,800,000 through this reduction.

Effective January 1, 1937, special "Inducement Rates" were placed in effect to increase sales of water in San Francisco and to peninsula communities. This reduction was also because of surplus waters available from the Hetch Hetchy project.

Effective January 1, 1938, industrial and commercial rates were further reduced. Under these new rates more than six hundred large payroll industries in San Francisco will be able to obtain water at a saving of approximately 50 per cent below rates formerly charged.

Total savings to consumers through these several rate reductions amount to more than \$2,000,000. The new water rates just made effective undoubtedly will further stimulate the sale of water, particularly to San Mateo and Santa Clara County communities. This will bring additional revenue to the Water Department, which, in turn, will make possible further material rate reductions to San Francisco consumers.

Water consumption for this year has averaged 59.2 million gallons daily, which is a gain of .8 of a million gallons daily over the preceding year and is an all-time peak consumption.

Water sales for the first ten months of the year amounted to \$5,818,095, with net profit from operations of \$2,755,908 as compared to \$2,622,421 for the same period of last year.

The special rates in the higher usage brackets for new and increased usage, put into effect January 1, 1937, with the view of stimulating the sale of available surplus Hetch Hetchy water, have resulted in approximately \$190,000 of increased revenue to the Department.

Construction of a pipe line is at present under way for the purpose of supplying water to the City of Palo Alto and other peninsula communities. The cost of this pipe line, which has been underwritten by the San Francisco Water Department, will be paid for by the consumers served, through a surcharge on the existing water rates.

Constant effort has been made to attract new business and to increase sales, and in line with this policy negotiations are now under way looking toward sale of water to the City of San Jose.

With the Hetch Hetchy sources to draw upon, it has been possible to maintain maximum storage in local peninsula and Alameda reservoirs. Storage in these reservoirs reached an all-time high of 59 billion gallons at the end of the last runoff season, and at the close of the year will approximate 40 billion gallons—the same as last year. Draft on the Hetch Hetchy system was greatly curtailed during the year due to abundant local runoff from the winter's rains. The total delivery to date from Hetch Hetchy sources now approximates 36 billion gallons, almost the equivalent of the present combined local storage.

Maintenance work has been carried on as usual, with a generally high state of efficiency resulting for all of the Department's properties. Construction work necessary to the betterment of service and to serve new consumers has been carried on with due consideration to economy of operation.



The \$12,095,000 Public Works Administration project is rapidly nearing completion, with all contracts awarded and only two projects remaining to be finished. Aside from the Bond Issue Program, the most notable new project undertaken during the year was the construction of the pipe line to serve the City of Palo Alto and adjacent territory as above mentioned.

A resume of the financial results of City's operation of the Water Department from March 3, 1930, to November 1, 1937, shows gross income of \$50,391,762, with operating expenses of \$30,370,834. The net income of \$20,020,928 was used for:

Contribution to General Fund.....	\$6,740,603
Bond Redemption .....	7,515,094
Additions and Betterments .....	4,441,917
Contributions to Hetch Hetchy Project.....	1,314,432
Miscellaneous .....	9,882

#### *Hetch Hetchy and Utility Construction*

The enlargement of O'Shaughnessy Dam, raising the crest to a height of 312 feet above stream bed and 430 feet above the lowest point of the foundation, is completed, except for a portion of the work of grouting, which will be finished very early in 1938. The enlarged dam provides for a reservoir capacity of 117 billion gallons, an increase of 70 per cent over the former capacity, and will make it possible to operate Moccasin power plant at full capacity throughout the year.

At Moccasin and at Priest Reservoir nine cottages are being added to the existing housing for employees. At Tesla Portal, where the San Joaquin pipe line of the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct connects to the Coast Range tunnel, a chlorinating plant was added to the system.

On the San Francisco peninsula, Crystal Springs pipe line No. 2, 60 inches in diameter, paralleling the 52-year-old 44-inch line No. 1, is completed. This provides for delivery of a much greater flow by gravity from Crystal Springs reservoir to University Mound reservoir for distribution to the downtown district and other relatively low-lying sections of the City.

Work has been commenced on construction of the Palo Alto pipe line, a 36-inch line 5½ miles long to extend from the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct at Redwood City to the southerly section of Palo Alto.

Most of the building construction work so far undertaken for the Golden Gate International Exposition on Yerba Buena Shoals has been carried on under P. W. A. contracts, with the City acting as sponsor through the Public Utilities Commission. This department has supervised all such construction. The larger buildings, including ferry terminals, administration building, hangars, and exhibit palaces, are completed, and the remainder of the work under the Commission will be finished in the spring of 1938.

The detail planning and preparation of contracts for the enlargement of the airport, to be financed from the bond issue approved by the electorate in November, 1937, are in progress. The beautiful, up-to-date new administration building of the airport was opened with appropriate ceremonies October 24, 1937.

#### *Hetch Hetchy Power*

In March, the Public Utilities Commission's Plan Seven for distributing Hetch Hetchy power was placed before the voters in the form of a charter amendment. This amendment would have permitted the Public Utilities Commission to issue revenue bonds in the amount of \$50,000,000 for the purpose of acquiring and completing a power distribution system. When this amendment failed to pass, the Secretary of the Interior wrote me that he would allow fifteen days for the City to submit some other program of procedure, which would meet his interpretation of the Raker Act power clause. He further stated that if the City failed to act as stated, he would bring suit to terminate the present contract with the Pacific Gas and Electric Company. As there

was no other plan to be submitted, and feeling that the present contract with the Pacific Gas and Electric Company is legal, the Secretary was asked to bring suit to settle this matter permanently. This he did. The hearing was held in the United States District Court in October, but as yet no decision has been handed down.

The Hetch Hetchy power property is being maintained in such a way as to render creditable service. During the spring the water wheels were overhauled and brought up to modern standards of efficiency.

The water situation has permitted continual operation at full capacity throughout the year and there is still sufficient water in storage to continue operation well into the year 1938. Under normal conditions runoff will be available before stored water is exhausted.

#### *Street and Public Building Lighting*

The City of San Francisco now owns approximately 3000 of the 21,000 street lights in service. The widening and improvement of streets throughout the City has made it necessary to remove old street lighting fixtures and these in almost every instance have been replaced with new municipally owned lamps of modern and efficient type.

A large number of the streets south of Market street have been relighted, as have a number of the important roadways approaching the Golden Gate Bridge. Van Ness avenue and Taylor street have been equipped with new lamps. As the cost of operating the City-owned lamps is considerably below that of company-owned lamps, these improvements have not appreciably added to the annual bill. This in spite of the fact that in most cases the effectiveness of the lighting has been doubled or tripled.

As many portions of the City are still poorly lighted, I would recommend that the City add to the municipally-owned street lighting system every year. The increasing use of automobiles makes dark streets very hazardous.

#### *Rapid Transit*

The Public Utilities Commission's plan for the construction of rapid transit lines reaching to all parts of the City was finally ordered placed on the November ballot by the Board of Supervisors in August. A Citizens' Rapid Transit Committee did everything within its power to educate the public as to the necessity for this improvement.

The privately-owned railway lines, appreciating that the system proposed would materially cut into their proceeds, indirectly opposed the bond issue very vigorously through a central committee and neighborhood improvement clubs. As a two-thirds vote was necessary for approval, the time allowed for educational purposes was not sufficient to overcome the organized opposition; and, on November 2nd, the plan was decisively defeated at the polls.

For the best interests of our City and the good of every citizen, some practical solution must be found for our public transportation problem. This should be done soon, as we are already laboring under a great handicap which is laying a heavy toll in time and money on everyone. The Public Utilities Commission plan is, I believe, the only effective and permanent solution yet submitted.

#### *San Francisco Airport*

San Francisco Airport's position in the world's air commerce has been enhanced materially during the past year. As a definite guarantee that this position will be maintained and that our Airport facilities will meet the demands of phenomenal increases in airplane size and performance that will be forthcoming during the next few years, the people of San Francisco at the election of November 2, endorsed by a vote of 108,573 to 46,683 an Airport bond issue in the amount of \$2,850,000.

Major developments to be provided under the bond issue include



completion of the seaplane base now under construction at the field, and the addition of some 300 acres of land to the Airport proper by reclamation of tide flats along the east border of the landing area. Runways varying in length from 4200 to 6000 feet will be provided.

The seaplane base will be the Pacific Coast headquarters of Pan American Airways, largest transoceanic air transport line in the world. The establishment of its base at our air terminal will assure San Francisco a dominant position in the great field of transpacific air commerce, which is now so successfully being pioneered. With inauguration of transatlantic air schedules our City will become an important link in round-the-world air service.

Congress has authorized establishment of a U. S. Coast Guard air base with seaplanes, amphibians, hangars, and a personnel of some sixty men on our seaplane harbor. U. S. Army engineers have been authorized to provide one-half the cost of channel dredging and all maintenance costs.

Additional improvements to be financed by the bond issue include hangars, shops, paving, drainage, radio and lighting equipment.

A major acquisition of the year with regard to domestic air commerce was the inauguration of service between San Francisco Airport and the east coast by Transcontinental & Western Air, Inc. A permit to pioneer a second transcontinental air route from San Francisco was granted this major airline by the Interstate Commerce Commission. Schedules were inaugurated on September 5, with San Francisco Airport as the western terminus and base. The new route runs via the southern Sierras, Las Vegas, Nevada, and Albuquerque, New Mexico. It is considered one of the most scenic in the world.

United Air Lines' operations at our terminal have been greatly improved during the year. Transports of greater speed and twice the size of those in use a year ago have been brought into service. Some of these are of de luxe "skysleeper" and "skylounge" design.

Our Airport operations offices are now housed in a new \$160,000 Administration Building, unexcelled in Airport administrative structures. The building embodies a happy combination of beauty and efficiency. It is topped by a double-deck traffic control tower with latest type radio equipment. The new building was publicly dedicated on October 24, with an afternoon's aerial program attended by throngs of San Francisco citizens, and broadcast over NBC radio lanes.

Airport traffic figures for the calendar year 1937 show:

Passengers, arrivals and departures (excluding "through" passengers) .....	50,800
Air Mail poundage "in" and "out" .....	1,165,100
Air Express poundage "out" .....	169,600
Transport planes "in" and "out" .....	16,000

Commercially and privately based operators at the Airport, apart from the airlines, include the Coast's leading airplane sales and service organization, five airplane agencies, and four flying schools. Forty-two airplanes are based at the field. The terminal is used also by itinerant aircraft from all parts of the nation.

San Francisco's second airport of the future, now in the process of construction at the Treasure Island fair site, has taken definite form during the year. Buildings of the Golden Gate International Exposition, for which purpose the site is to be used during 1939, are rapidly springing up, and the future Airport Administration Building and hangars are nearing completion. The need of providing this second Airport is clearly indicated by the great strides being made in air commerce.

#### Office of the Treasurer.

The Treasurer's Office is conducted strictly under the provisions of the laws of the State of California, the Charter and the ordinances and resolutions of the Board of Supervisors. The following is a brief summary of the business of the office for the fiscal year 1936-1937:

Cash received, \$77,571,203.59. Cash disbursed, \$80,796,008.45. Total cash, \$158,367,212.04. Interfund and departmental accounts, \$105,966,008.17. Interest earnings on the deposit of public funds in banks, \$121,255.40. Cash on hand at the close of business June 30, 1937, \$23,783,115.59. Tax anticipation notes sold, \$7,500,000.00.

Inheritance tax collected for the State of California, \$1,928,319.31. Commissions and fees earned for collecting the tax and deposited in the treasury, \$12,369.74. The collection is not a municipal function but is a responsibility imposed upon county treasurers by State law.

During the fiscal year the office handled twenty-two P. W. A. accounts under Federal and local control. Funds allocated to these accounts are frozen under the terms of the agreements and grants of the Federal government. Moneys in these funds cannot be borrowed for current expenses under Section 31 of Article IV of the Constitution of the State of California.

The National City Bank of New York, the designated fiscal agent, paid bond redemption, \$3,063,100.00, and bond interest, \$3,697,176.25 to eastern bondholders.

The securities of the Retirement System of the City and County in the custody of the Treasurer amount to \$18,227,087.50.

The Treasurer's Office handles the funds of the Islais Creek Reclamation District without compensation.

The annual audit at the close of the fiscal year showed the accounting and cash exactly correct.

### Parks.

With the approach of the International Exposition in 1939 and its attendant thousands of visitors and tourists to our city from all quarters of the globe, our park system and the beautification of our public places assume an added importance.

Many thousands of Fair visitors will take a trip through our Golden Gate Park and Zoological Gardens, while in the city, and with this in mind every effort is being made to refurbish our more familiar beauty spots.

San Franciscans who live in the Richmond and Sunset districts now find in use a modern, high-speed crossover drive through Golden Gate Park, connecting Nineteenth avenue in the Sunset with Twenty-fifth avenue in the Richmond. It is hoped that this road will be utilized in the future as an auxiliary approach to the Golden Gate Bridge, especially in connection with the Bridge link to Thirteenth avenue now under construction. This work was undertaken and carried to a successful conclusion by the Works Progress Administration.

A large new meadow immediately east of the Golden Gate Park Stadium is rapidly nearing completion and it is hoped that it will be available for healthful recreation facilities this coming spring.

The Golden Gate Park Stadium itself, with its training quarters, has undergone complete restoration and now has available for the use of spectators at all types of athletic contests eight thousand modern stadium seats, overlooking the interior of the Stadium.

The alteration of the cooling sheds and the surrounding area for the use of those who take part in the trotting races and polo games is an active W. P. A. project, and it is hoped that the entire plant will be completed and ready for use for the many athletic activities that will take place there during the Exposition year.

The famous tennis center in Golden Gate Park has been resurfaced, additional courts added and other features installed to improve this ever-popular San Francisco activity.

The Zoological Garden at the Herbert Fleishhacker Playfield is rapidly nearing completion and hundreds of large trees have been moved in to create a park-like appearance on an otherwise sandy terrain.

The modernistic bathhouse and recreation center at the Aquatic Park is also about 75 per cent complete and the work thereon is still progressing satisfactorily.



Artists of the Works Progress Administration have completed the embellishment of the Mothers' House at the Fleishhacker Playfield and are adding a touch of brightness by mural decoration at the Beach Chalet, at the west end of Golden Gate Park. The portion of this work that has already been completed has received very favorable comment in national art circles.

An unusual recreation feature for our future anglers is now completed and in operation immediately west of the Stadium in Golden Gate Park. It is a series of pools for the use of fly casters. These pools also serve as a reservoir for the irrigation of the Park.

The restoration work at the Palace of Fine Arts is rapidly terminating. As this is the only remaining structure of the Panama Pacific International Exposition, it will no doubt be seen and commented upon by large numbers of visitors to the new Exposition. The restoration of this classic structure had been a source of satisfaction to all good San Franciscans.

Under the guiding genius of John McLaren, dean of all park superintendents, our parks, with their many and varied forms of amusement, beauty spots and landscaped vistas, present a well-rounded recreation system for the young and old of San Francisco.

### Recreation Department.

The Recreation Department in the past year, in keeping with the trend of the times, has provided a stimulating program of varied activities to meet the needs of young and old. The facilities have been taxed to the limit as many of our people find themselves forced by circumstances to seek their recreation within areas under jurisdiction of this Department.

The personnel of the Department, year by year, becomes more efficient and resourceful. The staff has had the added responsibility of an extensive W. P. A. program which it has directed with success.

It is the policy of the Commission to anticipate and foster new recreational interests. In line with this policy, gardening has been made a major interest, and a city-wide response has been most gratifying. The first hobby show held in the San Francisco Art Museum was visited by many thousands. The Department recognizes hobbies as an important form of recreation, and ideas and directions are given at the Junior Museum.

Within the budget, land has been purchased in the following districts: Bay View, Corona Heights, Eureka Valley, Haight-Ashbury, Longfellow, Sunset and Upper Noe Valley. Eleven recreation areas are being developed.

The president of the Commission has added four acres to Stern Recreation Grove. This purchase makes possible a permanent and adequate entrance into that area.

Camp Mather was improved, the season extended, and the attendance the greatest in its history.

Cooperating with the Golden Gate Bridge Committee, Columbus Day Committee and the Golden Gate International Exposition on Treasure Island, hundreds of children trained by the personnel of the Department took part in the celebrations during the year.

Attendance has increased on all grounds. Reports from directors-at-large still show a lessening of delinquency and recidivism. With the addition of two directors-at-large, all districts in San Francisco will come under this unique plan of delinquency prevention. Many of the citizens are not familiar with this work, which is widely recognized as an original approach to the problem.

The Department wishes to thank all city departments that have generously cooperated with it as this cooperation has made the Recreation Department more efficient.

### Board of Permit Appeals.

During the six years of its existence, under the new Charter, this Board has heard 389 cases. It has given adequate consideration to all appeals, endeavoring to satisfy those who have come before it. During 1937, it heard 64 appeals.

The Board of Permit Appeals gives thoughtful consideration to appeals taken from the decisions of the Chief of the Police Department, Chief Engineer of the Fire Department, the Director of Public Health, the Director of Public Works, the Department of Electricity and the License Department of the Tax Collector's office.

Many important projects have been expedited by this body, which is ably justifying its existence.

### City Planning Commission.

The City Planning Commission reports that the draft of proposed amendments to the zoning ordinance referred to in my report last year is now complete and will shortly be forwarded for consideration of, and action by the Board of Supervisors. The proposed amendments will serve to clarify the wording of the present ordinance and introduce a proceeding which will allow the Commission some latitude in the application of some of its provisions which now may be considered somewhat inequitable.

The W. P. A. land use survey project sponsored by this Commission was completed about two months ago. Now available are 14 new maps graphically showing present land uses throughout San Francisco, together with an examination sheet of the use of each parcel of property in every block. As time permits the information thus made available will be studied to determine what changes are indicated in the zoning plan of San Francisco, following which such changes as are considered desirable will be recommended to the Board of Supervisors.

Eighty-one applications proposing the reclassification of property under the zoning ordinance were filed this year. This is a further increase over the corresponding period of last year. In each instance the particular property involved in a proposed change was visited personally by the Commission and public hearings held thereon.

Seven applications proposing the establishment or change of building set-back lines were filed during the year. The Commission on its own motion, however, established building set-back lines in some 14 instances, among which was the establishment of such a line along the westerly side of Junipero Serra boulevard from Ocean avenue to Nineteenth avenue. This action was taken in order to provide sufficient area for the continuance of a service road paralleling Junipero Serra boulevard and conforming to the service road north of Ocean avenue to Sloat boulevard. It is planned to continue this scheme southerly from Nineteenth avenue to the County Line. The San Mateo County Planning Commission has been apprised of our plan for Junipero Serra boulevard development and it has been suggested that a similar plan be adopted for its improvement in San Mateo county.

Before the close of this fiscal year the Commission will, on its own motion, establish building set-back lines in residential districts in various sections of San Francisco as funds permit as was done last year. This process will be continued until such has been done in all residential districts throughout the city. Set-back lines were established for street widening purposes on Army street, San Jose avenue, Third street and Portola drive.

The City Planning Commission, on its own motion, established a building set-back line and advocated the acquisition of a strip of land along the easterly side of Portola drive, between Twenty-fourth street and Twenty-sixth street. This property, located on the southeasterly slope of Twin Peaks, affords one of the most beautiful panoramic views of San Francisco. From this point the visitor to San Francisco, from the south, gets his first glimpse of the city. It is proposed that this



small area be appropriately parked and improved to serve as "Observation Point."

The Commission has initiated a W. P. A. project to make a 50-foot to the inch scale plat of every block throughout San Francisco on which will be shown every use made of the land, improvements erected thereon, their construction, height, etc. In the streets will be shown all sub-surface utilities. These maps will be invaluable not alone to this department, but to the Assessor, Department of Public Works, Health Department, Fire Department, and others; the finished maps being a complete inventory of each block.

Charter Section 24 provides that no permit or license affected by the zoning or set-back line ordinance shall be issued without the prior approval of the City Planning Commission. The Bureau of Building Inspection and the Fire Department have complied with this requirement. The Department of Electricity is now collaborating in this connection. Negotiations with the Department of Public Health to similarly effect such cooperation have been started. Other departments affected will be contacted to the same end as time permits.

Numerous plans of proposed sub-division and re-subdivision of undeveloped area have been studied by the Commission during the past year. The Charter makes it mandatory that the City Planning Commission shall make recommendations on all sub-divisions of land laid out in building lots in the City and County.

Outstanding and of tremendous importance in this field of activity is the proposed development of that vast unimproved area lying westerly from Junipero Serra boulevard to the ocean shore, and southerly from Sloat boulevard to the County Line, more commonly known as "Lake Merced Lands." There is no question that this area, properly planned and developed, will become one of the choicest residential sections in our city. Careful and deliberate consideration should be given to its ultimate development. The northeasterly portion of this area, bounded by Sloat boulevard, Junipero Serra boulevard and Nineteenth avenue, is now under development. The owners of this undeveloped tract of land have evidenced a willingness to collaborate in this work and have submitted a tentative master plan which is now being studied.

Consideration is being given by the Commission of the possible development of Lombard street as to the Use Classification of the property fronting on this street, in view of its contemplated use as the main east and west on and off approach to the Golden Gate Bridge.

### War Memorial Trustees.

The two great buildings which constitute San Francisco's War Memorial still stand as a unique monument of respect and honor to those who have served their country in its wars. There are probably no two buildings in the municipal family group, here or anywhere in America, which serve a greater cross-section of our citizenry than the War Memorial Opera House and Veterans' Building. Ever on the up-growth, their activities reached a new high during 1937.

It is hard to estimate the exact number of San Franciscans who use the Opera House in a given year, but a conservative estimate would easily reach a total well over 500,000. It is the home of the opera and the symphony—the temple in which all great visiting artists make their appearances. It is the place of graduation for the pupils of our high schools, and in other ways serves many groups of citizens. The Opera House is the haven of all music lovers, and San Francisco is known the world over as one of the foremost music-loving American cities. The 1937 opera season was so outstanding that our great sister city of Los Angeles commandeered our entire organization—principals, chorus, ballet, orchestra, and scenic productions, for a supplementary season in the southern city. The advertising derived from this one source puts the name of San Francisco on the lips of cultured people throughout the world. If it is a forerunner of future possibilities, and if our opera company is called North as well as South, it will not be

long ere San Francisco will be known as the "Salzburg of America," and the investment in the Opera House and the operation thereof by the City, will become infinitesimal as compared with the valuable exploitation along this line alone.

The Opera House last year had a particularly busy season, and the productions excelled anything heretofore attempted. The symphony enjoyed an augmented number of concerts, together with an important series for school children, and visiting artists came to be heard at the Opera House during the concert season as often, in some instances, as three and four times in a week. In addition to a regular subscription series of eleven operas, the Saturday night popular-priced series was continued, opening up a great vista of music to the public at large. Again there was not a vacant seat for any of the eighteen performances given. The capacity of the Opera House makes possible the annual visit of a popular priced opera company, to which the best seats are available at no more than \$1 each. This season of three weeks brings within the reach of everyone very fine grand opera performances.

One of the busiest spots in the entire City is the Veterans' Building, twin of the Opera House in outward appearance, but, of course, entirely different within its four granite walls. The activities in this building increase year by year, and definite statistics reveal that there were 4453 meetings held during the year, an average of 371 per month, and that the attendance in this building during the year, including meetings and attendance at affairs in the auditorium, but not including the use of the offices on the ground floor, the use of the club rooms, the library and lounge, or the number of patrons using the facilities of the Art Museum exceeded 700,000. Improvements in this building are constantly under way. Further comforts are provided and a dignified and becoming atmosphere maintained everywhere. It is indeed the finest shrine to veterans in America, and the number of citizens that found use for its various facilities proves beyond peradventure that the Veterans' Building is one of the most appreciated of the City's many assets.

Year by year, the activities of the San Francisco Museum of Art on the fourth floor of this building bring public attention to this section of the Memorial group. More than 160,000 people have availed themselves of the facilities of the spacious museum galleries during the last year. Three hundred sixty lectures, class meetings, assemblies of art groups, etc., one for every day of the year, have been held. Twenty major exhibits have brought to San Francisco the finest of contemporary and recent art compositions. One especially noteworthy exhibit, from the standpoint of public interest, was devoted to land-scaping. A current exhibit of great interest is known as the hobby show. As is generally known, this museum is open evenings, and is probably the only major palace of art in the nation in which such a condition prevails. The beautiful grounds and gardens of the War Memorial buildings are becoming more beautiful. They are among the most artistic park plantings in the City, and have rapidly taken a place among the most important of San Francisco's notable land-scaping features.

The War Memorial buildings are a constant tribute to the finest elements of the community. San Francisco's famous Civic Center is fringed with these magnificent edifices which form its western back-ground. The War Memorial is operated by a board of eleven trustees, of which Allison E. Schofield was president last year.

#### Art Commission.

With the obvious indications of its scope and work accomplished since its establishment, the San Francisco Art Commission, supervising and controlling the City's policy for the advancement of art and music, has become one with the fullest power and jurisdiction of any similar body in the country.

Music continued to play an outstanding part in the activities of the Commission during the past year. The subsidy, the only one of



its kind in the United States provided by Charter amendment, has been an important factor in re-establishing the San Francisco Symphony Orchestra to a high place among the major orchestras of the country. The municipal series with the symphony, and internationally known ballets, world famed soloists, our own municipal chorus and distinguished local soloists have continued to attract thousands of music lovers to the Civic Auditorium and the Opera House. In conformity with the established policy of the Art Commission, these events were offered to the public at prices ranging from 20 cents to 80 cents. Surely there is no city in America or in European music centers that offers to its citizens such a standard of music attractions at such nominal prices within the reach of all.

The activities of the Art Commission in other fields, such as architecture, painting, sculpture and landscaping have been numerous. Whether it be the acceptance of any work of art ranging from the plans of the largest public building to the most insignificant lamp post on any public street, the Commission has looked to the protection of the City and its citizens who under other circumstances might have had forced upon them various works or buildings of inferior merit or mediocre execution.

Gratifying to the municipality is the assurance that this Commission, composed of architects, artists, musicians, litterateurs and laymen of distinction are unselfishly giving time and study to the aesthetic and cultural problems confronting them, and have worked with acknowledged success. This is a source of satisfaction to those who were instrumental in the conception and development of the San Francisco Art Commission.

It is with regret that the limitations of the physical health of Mr. Edgar Walter, the former president of the Art Commission and a member of seven years, compelled him to submit his resignation. His distinguished services and leadership have meant much to the Commission, who hope to be favored by a continuance of his valued advice and excellent judgment.

### San Francisco Museums.

The year 1937 was marked by gratifying progress in the development of the two municipal museums of San Francisco. Nearly 900,000 people visited the California Palace of the Legion of Honor and the M. H. deYoung Memorial Museum.

Of outstanding importance was the exhibition of paintings, drawings, and prints by Francisco Goya, celebrated Spanish painter of the late Eighteenth and early Nineteenth century, held at the California Palace of the Legion of Honor during June. This exhibition, containing a comprehensive group of paintings by the master, together with a notable series of his drawings and practically all his graphic work, was the largest representation of Goya's work ever assembled in this country. Presented at a time when Spanish affairs were claiming world-wide attention, the exhibition attracted no less than 50,000 visitors during the four weeks that it was shown.

A second exhibition of special interest was the large collection of sculpture by Anna Hyatt Huntington, which was held at the California Palace of the Legion of Honor during the month of July. This exhibition was made possible through the generosity of the sculptress and her husband, Archer M. Huntington, both of whom have long been active patrons of the museum. On the occasion of this exhibition, Mrs. Huntington's large equestrian statue of The Cid, presented to the museum some time ago by Mr. Herbert Fleishhacker, was placed in front of the building as a companion piece to the Joan of Arc, donated several years ago by Mr. Huntington. Numerous additional monthly shows have provided an exhibition schedule of varied interest.

The extensive work of reconstruction, which considerably handicapped the exhibition activities at the M. H. deYoung Memorial Museum during 1936, was completed in January, 1937. To inaugurate the new galleries, a comprehensive exhibition of Islamic art was held at

the M. H. deYoung Memorial Museum during the period from February 24 to April 7. Not only was this exhibition the first of its kind to be brought to San Francisco, but it also provided the most exhaustive survey of the arts of the Near Eastern countries ever shown in America. More than 120,000 people attended the exhibition. A variety of smaller loan exhibitions, embracing the plastic and graphic arts of Europe and America, served to round out the schedule of exhibitions for the year.

A number of important works of art have been added to the permanent collection of the California Palace of the Legion of Honor. Mr. and Mrs. H. K. S. Williams, whose generous benefactions have, during the past few years, so notably enriched the museum's collection, signified their continued interest in the California Palace of the Legion of Honor by presenting three paintings. These are: "A Portrait of the Gardner Children" by William Beechey, the Eighteenth Century English master; a landscape by Frederick Lee, English artist of the Nineteenth Century, and the portrait of a Spanish Peasant Girl by Martin Baer, contemporary American painter. The fine "Portrait of a Young Man" by the well-known French artist of the first half of the Eighteenth Century, Jean Francois de Troy, has been acquired for the Collis P. Huntington Memorial Collection from funds provided by Mr. Archer M. Huntington. Lastly, the museum was fortunate in acquiring a particularly important example of the work of Ferdinand Bol, the Dutch artist of the Seventeenth Century who was the favorite and gifted pupil of Rembrandt. The painting in question is a monumental canvas entitled, "The Archery Prize," a picture generally acknowledged to be one of Bol's most significant works. In connection with the Goya exhibition, the museum acquired a complete set of the master's famous series of aquatints: "The Disasters of War," eighty in number, and a partial set of his equally celebrated aquatints: "The Proverbs."

Four California period rooms form a special feature of the newly reconstructed west wing of the M. H. deYoung Memorial Museum: a bedroom of 1850; a sitting room of 1865; a parlor of 1870, and a boudoir of 1885. This last room was the generous gift of Mrs. Herbert E. Clayburgh, presented as a memorial to her mother, Carrie Heller Newman.

The permanent collection of the M. H. deYoung Memorial Museum was also augmented through valuable additions made possible by funds left by the late Mr. M. H. deYoung. Among these were the handsome portraits of Mr. and Mrs. Jerome Hunerwadel, by the great French artist of the early Nineteenth Century, Francois Gerard; a beautiful French mantelpiece of the early Eighteenth Century, and a colossal stone hand, a rare example of Chinese sculpture of the T'ang Period (618-907 A. D.).

The educational activities of both museums have progressed in a most satisfactory manner, lectures, radio talks, etc., given by members of the museum staff, having met with a gratifying response on the part of schools, clubs and the general public.

In short, the year 1937 has been a most auspicious one in the development of our two City museums.

#### San Francisco Public Library.

The Library Department consists of the main library in the Civic Center, twenty-one branch libraries, and seven deposit stations. The collection of books is approximately 500,000 volumes. The circulation of books for home reading during the past year amounted to 3,500,000 volumes. While no record is kept of the material used in the various reference and reading rooms of the main library and branches, it is estimated that it would more than equal the home circulation of books. During the year about 9,000,000 people availed themselves of the facilities of the library.

During the last fiscal year, \$100,000 was spent for books which is the largest book fund that the library has had for many years. From



this amount, the main library and branches were supplied with new books, as well as complete collections of up-to-date reference material. Five thousand dollars was spent for art books, which were secured from European as well as American markets. It is a pleasure to state that the library now has one of the finest art divisions in the West. The division of history was enriched by a large collection which was purchased through the European second-hand book markets. In this way, much valuable material was replaced which was destroyed in the fire of 1906.

The appropriation for the current fiscal year amounts to \$470,000, which is an increase of \$20,000 over that of the last fiscal year.

In preparation for the large number of visitors who will be in San Francisco during 1939, the library has started an extensive program of cleaning and repairing at the main library and branches. One of the major improvements was the installation of proper lighting facilities in the main reading and reference rooms. This adjustment proved a source of much comfort to the reading public.

Extensive renovations were completed on the Richmond and Mission branches. These improvements not only made the branches named more attractive, but also made it possible to serve more effectively the large number of adults and children who use them. The Sunset branch was reorganized. A children's room, similar to the ones at the Mission and Richmond branches, was installed. The Presidio and North Beach branches were also cleaned and repaired. The remaining branches will receive necessary attention during the coming year.

The Business branch in the Russ building was moved to larger quarters from the eleventh to the sixth floor. Many improvements have been made and new equipment installed which makes this service to the public more efficient and convenient.

As an experiment, a small deposit station was opened at the Potrero Hill Neighborhood House. If this venture is successful it will be tried in other districts of the city.

The library has been working in close cooperation with the Convention and Tourist Bureau, in the endeavor to have the American Library Association hold its annual meeting in San Francisco in 1939. It is estimated that this convention will bring about 4000 visitors from all parts of the country to San Francisco.

During the year, the library received many interesting and useful gifts of books, music and pamphlets. One of the most important gifts was the library of the late Joseph Bluxome which included many rare volumes. These proved a valuable addition to the collection. Many educational, literary and musical lectures and exhibitions were held in the main library during the year, attracting large audiences.

On account of the lack of Federal funds, the building of the branch libraries in the West Portal and Bernal districts has been delayed. However, through the cooperation of the Public Welfare Commission and the Library Commission, funds have been supplied and the work on the West Portal branch building will begin the first part of the year. It is hoped that the Bernal branch building will begin shortly after.

The library suffered a great loss in the death of George W. Kelham, who was chairman of the Building Committee for many years. He was succeeded by Maurice E. Harrison. Sylvester Andriano resigned as Library Commissioner, to accept a vacancy on the Police Commission. He was succeeded by Daniel J. Murphy.

#### Chief Administrative Officer.

Through the adoption of up-to-date methods and the installation of modern equipment in the various departments under his jurisdiction, economies have been effected by the Chief Administrative Officer and a high degree of efficiency obtained.

The major projects, completed during the past year, by the Department of Public Works are fully described in the attached report.

Work planned for the near future includes the construction of the

Funston avenue approach to the Golden Gate Bridge through the Presidio Reservation for which negotiations with the Federal government over a period of five years have just been satisfactorily completed.

The widening of Lombard street, to be undertaken in the immediate future, will solve a difficult traffic problem and afford more ready access from the eastern portions of the city to the Golden Gate Bridge.

The construction of the sewage disposal plant for the entire western drainage area is in progress and should be completed during the calendar year of 1938.

The street traffic problem has been the subject of intensive study by the City Engineer. The Street Traffic Advisory Board has held monthly meetings and recommended many necessary installations of equipment and the widening of traffic arteries.

Since the defeat by the voters of the elimination of dead-end streets on Market street opposite Fifth and opposite Grant avenue, the City Engineer has begun a study of the possibilities of underground or overhead vehicular passages across Market street. No determination, however, has yet been reached on this subject.

The opening of the Psychopathic Building by the Department of Public Health marks a decided advance in the treatment of mental ailments.

The adoption of the health bonds to the extent of \$1,600,000 will make available necessary additions to the Relief Home and the Hassler Health Home.

A major change has been the creation of the County Welfare Department, which now is in charge of relief activities instead of the Chief Administrative Officer.

The Chief Administrative Officer is appreciative of the splendid cooperation of the various department heads and employees under his jurisdiction.

#### Department of Finance and Records.

This Department includes the functions and personnel of the offices of the Registrar of Voters, County Clerk, Recorder, Public Administrator and Tax Collector. Herewith are the reports of the offices named. As you will note, the figures are not all complete to the end of this calendar year, as at this date it is impossible to arrive at them. However, in most cases an estimate has been arrived at, which from previous experience should be approximate.

The total expense of this office for the calendar year 1937 is \$7,936.49. All requisitions, purchase orders and warrants connected with the five departments clear through this office, and a complete account is kept of their expense and operation.

#### Tax Collector.

Tax Collector Edward F. Bryant reports that real estate and secured personal property taxes collected amounted to \$28,163,457.03; unsecured personal property taxes amounted to \$957,256.85.

The Bureau of Delinquent Revenue collected unsecured personal property taxes and various delinquent accounts from other departments, in amount, \$85,818.39; for the San Francisco Hospital, \$19,939.55; for the Sonoma State Home, \$7,466.81; for the County Welfare Department, \$4,341.50.

In the Bureau of Licenses, for the year ending June 30, 1937, there was collected various license and inspection fees amounting to \$351,410.88. The total collections for the office were \$29,589,691.01.

#### Registrar of Voters.

During the current year, two public elections were conducted by this office, a Special Election held March 9, 1937, at which were submitted eighteen proposed Charter amendments and two ordinances,



and the General Municipal Election held November 2, 1937, to fill certain municipal offices and to vote on nine sundry propositions.

In addition to these, this department was also required to conduct the election of the municipal employees to select the Directors of the Health Service Board. This election was held from April 25 to May 7, at which 9335 votes were cast. There were forty-eight candidates, of which number nine were elected.

At the Special Election held March 9, the total registration was 281,146, of which 152,469 voted, and for the General Municipal Election, out of a total registration of 290,019, 176,200 voted.

During the year this office registered 15,646 new electors and also re-registered on account of changing residence 14,271 electors, or a total of 29,917.

In addition, this office was called upon to change the political affiliations of 3732. These figures in the aggregate do not seem formidable when compared to the total registration but call for a great deal of detail work.

No record is made of the certificates of registration issued to applicants who want them for identification purposes, for civil service requirements or for old-age pension necessities, but the number issued runs into the thousands and keeps the clerks busy constantly hunting up necessary records.

Six initiative and referendum petitions—aggregating 160,134 signatures—were submitted to this office for checking and were certified to the proper officers. At the General Municipal Election 1267 voting machines were used and again demonstrated their efficiency and correctness in recording the will of the voters.

Again, I would urge the building of a central warehouse, properly equipped to protect all voting machines under one roof. The present set-up is very unsatisfactory and does not provide necessary protection, either from the standpoint of possible miscreants or from climatic conditions. The \$1,500,000 invested in these voting machines should warrant such protection as I suggest.

At the Municipal Election there were 3368 officers of election, the great majority of whom are efficient and trustworthy and imbued with a civic spirit to do their part for the general government. They are on duty practically fourteen or fifteen hours on election day besides other time devoted to their instructions as to their duties. I would urge that serious consideration be given to increasing their compensation to \$10, as the increase will induce a more competent body of electors to apply for the positions as inspectors and judges of election. And, while on this matter, I believe an appeal to the employers of San Francisco to encourage their employees—particularly the younger men and women—to do service as election officers, would provide this office with a more efficient and competent corps.

The budget allowances for this current fiscal year did not take into consideration any special elections to be consolidated with the municipal election and it may be necessary to readjust the finances of this office to provide for regular expenditures until June 30, 1938.

#### County Clerk.

Extensive changes and alterations planned for the County Clerk's Office have been completed during the past year. These changes include the adoption of flat files for original papers, photographic copying of records, the adoption of a central cashier system, and rearrangement of office departments.

The relative volume of business of the office as compared with the year previously reported is as follows, covering the year preceding November 1, 1937:

Civil actions filed, 7592 as against 7632 for previous year; probate matters filed, 3110, an increase of 203; marriage licenses totaled 6758, an increase of 62. Criminal actions were 1130 in number.

Fees in all departments for the period named amounted to \$115,986.56.

Law Library fees were \$14,649, which brings the total of moneys collected for fees and fines to \$130,635.56, a gain of \$2,705.99.

#### Public Administrator.

The report of the operations of the office of Public Administrator, covering the period from January 2, 1937, to November 30, 1937, is summarized below:

Number of estates wherein Public Administrator took possession from January 2, 1937, to November 30, 1937, 320; number of estates in which final accounts have been settled and allowed, 229; Administrator's fees collected and paid into County Treasury, \$29,849.37; attorney's fees collected and paid into County Treasury, \$29,854.38; total fees paid into Treasury, \$59,703.75; total cost of operation of office from January 2, 1937, to date, \$36,175.87; profit, \$23,527.88.

#### Recorder.

For the calendar year 1937 the Recorder reports receipts of recording fees amounting to \$131,682.95; paid out for salaries during the year, \$95,777.77; excess, receipts over salaries, \$35,905.18.

During the year this department copied, free of charge, for veterans and their dependents, for the United States and for this State and its political subdivisions, some 3255 documents containing 15,593 folios.

My 1936 report stated that owing to the heavy increase in volume of work received, the copying department was some 96,000 folios behind. With the help of extra copyists during part of 1937, the copying department is now almost up to date with its work.

#### Department of Electricity.

During the calendar year 1937 this department manufactured, installed and maintained fire alarm boxes, police boxes, traffic-control devices and street signs.

Radio receiving sets were installed in 70 Fire and Police Department cars, 37 motorcycles and 19 police stations.

There have been installed 19 fire alarm boxes, making a total of 1528 boxes in service. Monthly tests of fire alarm boxes totaled 15,184. Total number of signals transmitted, 44,064.

In the Inspection Bureau, 17,784 applications were received; inspections made, 46,422; installations approved, 15,875. Inspection fees and other revenue received, \$72,700.03. Inspections of overhead line construction numbered 3833.

There were manufactured in the machine shop 53 traffic signals, five fire alarm boxes, seven police boxes and two beacon reflector units.

Total expenditures in the department amounted to \$201,219.

#### Real Estate Department.

A right of way 5 miles in length is being acquired by the City for the Palo Alto pipe line. This pipe line will furnish Hetchy water to the towns of Atherton, Menlo Park and Palo Alto.

The department is continuing to purchase land for widening Nineteenth avenue from Lincoln way to Sloat boulevard and Army street westerly from Bryant street. Most of the buildings affected by the widening of these streets are being relocated by the owners at the City's expense. A contract has been let for grading and paving the section of Nineteenth avenue from Taraval street to Sloat boulevard.

About 140 parcels of real property have been bought by the City during the year 1937 for various projects, including parks, playgrounds, schools, pipe lines, reservoirs, streets and the airport, at a total cost approximating \$683,000.

Appraisals have been made for the proposed extension of Fifth street and Grant avenue; also for a proposed new street south of Market street, between Third and Fourth streets; and for many other projects.



In July, the Director of Property assisted the Board of Supervisors, sitting as a Board of Equalization, in passing upon requests for reductions in 1937-38 assessments on taxable real property in San Francisco.

Two 20-year leases have been entered into by the City as lessor. One lease covers the property on Kansas street near Army street, the other lease pertains to the block at Fifteenth and De Haro streets, at total rentals of \$43,200 and \$105,000, respectively. A parcel of City land at the corner of Portola drive and Woodside avenue has been leased for 10 years.

Twenty-one parcels of City land have been sold for the sum of \$91,266. Many homes are being constructed on this property and hereafter the City will derive taxes from these lands and improvements.

For the last six years under the new Charter the revenues for each year received for the use of the Civic Auditorium have exceeded the expenditures. Prior to that time there had been an annual deficit in the operation of the building.

### Department of Public Works.

Available statistics indicate unabated activities with commensurate progress and achievement by the Department of Public Works, which has functioned smoothly and effectively during the year. Major projects follow:

Final projects under the 1933 Sewer Bond Issue Program are now in process of execution as follows:

No. 1. Richmond Sewer Tunnel—total estimated cost \$444,444.44—90 per cent complete. No. 2. Richmond-Sunset Sewage Treatment Plant—total estimated cost \$435,389. Will be completed November, 1938. No. 3. Richmond Connecting Sewer, between Richmond-Sunset Sewage Treatment Plant and Forty-first avenue and Fulton street—total estimated cost \$28,640. Will be completed June, 1938. With the completion of these three projects, San Francisco will have taken a long step in the ultimate objective of totally eliminating pollution from our beaches.

Under the 1933 High Pressure Bond Issue the program has advanced during 1937 as reflected, following:

New cisterns constructed at various locations—total cost \$80,417. High pressure extensions completed: Embarcadero, Sec. "C"; Park-Presidio, Sec. "C"; Potrero District, Sec. "E" and "F"; Clay Street Hill, Marina District, Mission District and Sunset District, total cost \$223,678.57.

In addition to these new cisterns and high pressure extensions, a new maintenance yard has been established on City property on Jerrold avenue, at a cost of \$26,442, which will terminate a previously existing annual rental cost of \$12,000 for the maintenance yard site, formerly at Sixth and Hubbell streets.

Alemaney Sewer, Bond Issue of 1929, with P. W. A. Aid: Sections "E" and "D" completed in 1937, at a cost of \$523,033.30; Boulevard Bond Issue, 1927: Alemany boulevard, Naglee-Lawrence streets, completed November 15th, 1937, at a cost of \$18,969.36. Hospital Bond Issue, 1929: Psychopathic-Cancer Building, completed April 10, 1937, at a cost of \$771,861. School Construction, 1933 Bond Issue and P. W. A. The following schools were completed during 1937: Agassiz School, costing \$188,220; Marina Junior High School, Shop Building, costing \$218,636; Sunshine-Buena Vista School, costing \$268,985, and Glen Park School Auditorium, costing \$62,590. In addition to these completed buildings, Architect T. E. Pflueger has been appointed under contract to prepare preliminary plans for the San Francisco Junior College on Ocean avenue, which have been approved by the Board of Education. The architect's final plans for the Science Building have also been approved, and bids for the foundation of the first unit will be received on January 12, 1938.

First Quarter-Cent Gas Tax: Nineteenth avenue, Sloat boulevard to Taraval street, contract awarded and work under way, cost \$110,316.90;

land being purchased from Taraval street to Lincoln way. Contracts will be awarded in 1938; Park-Presidio boulevard from Fulton to Presidio, Thirteenth-Fourteenth avenues. Contract will be awarded in 1938; boulevard constructed, connecting Sloat boulevard with Sky-line boulevard, cost \$225,621.02.

Second Quarter-Cent Gas Tax: Laguna Honda boulevard from Lawton to Plaza, contract awarded, cost \$55,691.30; Valencia street from Market to Mission, work being performed by W. P. A. and City and County purchasing materials, etc., at a cost of \$50,000; Geneva avenue, W. P. A. labor, City contributing, \$50,000.

County Road Funds—One-Cent Gas Tax: Third street widening, from Bayshore boulevard to Burke avenue, W. P. A. labor, City and County contributing \$60,000 for materials, etc.; Seventeenth street from Market street to Harrison, widening, W. P. A. labor, City contributing \$24,000 for materials, etc.; Golden Gate avenue widening from Van Ness avenue to Masonic avenue, W. P. A. labor, City contributing \$8,000.

Traffic striping of boulevards and major streets has advanced throughout the City, and schedules as adopted will be consummated during the remainder of the fiscal year within limitations of appropriations made for this purpose.

Health Bond Issue, 1937: Favorable action by the voters of San Francisco at the November election will provide \$1,600,000 for additions to Laguna Honda Home and certain improvements and alterations at the San Francisco Hospital and Hassler Health Farm, which work will be under way early in 1938. Architects Riedy and Meyer have been appointed under contract to prepare necessary plans and specifications.

All bureaus of the Department are performing their respective functions with required efficiency, and the various operations of each bureau under budget appropriations are being creditably discharged. With the exception of track areas of the Market Street Railway Company, our streets throughout the City are in excellent condition. Nevertheless, many of our sewers and sub-drainage structures are troublesome and the maintenance costly, due to their many years of service and consequential deterioration. The financial necessities of the Department, in this respect, will be reflected in our 1938-1939 budget requests.

The Parker avenue slide area is still existent, without any outward visible progress toward proper repair and restoration. However, during 1937, boring and test hole operations have been carried on under direction of the City Engineer, and all necessary factual data have been acquired by geologists, permitting procedure on the legal aspects involved for final clearance and ultimate execution of the field work at the site.

#### Department of Public Health.

General health conditions on the basis of impressions gained from available vital statistics were slightly better for 1937 than for 1936. No very great change is apparent in the death rate, the crude rate for 1937 being 13.7 as compared with 13.01 for 1936. A greater and more significant rise is indicated in the birth rate (10.5 for 1936—11.7 for 1937). Infant mortality shows a marked decrease, with no change in the relative rank of those causes of death taking greatest toll in this under-one-year group. The rate for 1937, as indicated at the present time, will be about 32 per 1000 live births. Last year this rate was 42. A definite decline in the number of cancer deaths is noted, while deaths from heart disease reach a new high level. Early in the year 1937 the city experienced a mild epidemic of influenza and accompanying respiratory infections, which fact accounts for the increased totals in the number of deaths from influenza and lobar pneumonia. It appears, however, that the death rate from pulmonary tuberculosis will be slightly lower than that for 1936. The influence of this epidemic is felt in the increased incidence of reportable diseases, an estimate of



23,000 cases for this year, 2681 of which were from influenza. Highest among these was mumps, with 2863 reported cases, and whooping cough with 1434 cases reported. The occurrence of four deaths from this disease again emphasizes the dangers of whooping cough during the early years of life. Infantile paralysis showed a sudden rise this summer but subsided quickly, ending with but 21 cases for the year. This was truly gratifying, as the East and Middle West witnessed greater incidence. Recently a late model of the Drinker Respirator, or "iron lung," for the treatment of infantile paralysis has been acquired. This machine has many new features for the comfort and safety of the patient as well as ease of handling. Pneumonia continues on the same level as last year, and the Director has recently formed a Pneumonia Committee which will undertake special studies in an effort to reduce not only the mortality from this disease but the morbidity also.

Publicity given to the study and analysis of venereal diseases has emphasized the importance of reporting such cases, with the result that 1937 will show a definite increase in both case reports and the number of deaths where syphilis is a contributing factor. A Wassermann survey was instituted several months ago. This permits any person to obtain a blood test at any Emergency Hospital one day weekly. To date 2700 persons have had tests. They have shown 6.5 per cent positive. Of these latter about 50 per cent had no history or knowledge of the infection. Many papers and talks have been furnished during the year in cooperation with the National Publicity Campaign. In addition, the services of three public health nurses have been made available through Social Security funds and the State Department of Public Health for epidemiological follow-up public health work in the venereal disease campaign.

The campaign against tuberculosis has received new impetus since the opening of the new quarters for the Chest Clinic in the San Francisco Hospital. This consists of a spacious, well-lighted area, and the fact that it is an attractive center has already increased the attendance until now it is double that of five years ago.

Child health activities, including public health nursing, were further expanded this last year with the addition of four public health nurses and two dental hygienists. In the schools 42,500 children were examined by physicians, and 3000 vaccinations and 3000 diphtheria immunizations given. Eight thousand tuberculin tests were made. School nurses made 56,000 home visits. There was a total of 1003 well baby conferences held during the year, with 1800 vaccinations and 2000 diphtheria immunizations. Over 3000 children were seen for the first time at the conferences and a total of 33,922 examinations were made. It is estimated that almost 15,000 defects discovered in school children were corrected during the year.

In the technical sections of the department the routine inspection of restaurants and markets revealed a satisfactory condition of affairs with the exception of the poultry business, and it is very apparent that this industry is inadequately supervised. Inquiries revealed that the poultry industry, not only locally but throughout the United States, lacks proper supervision because the control is handled in connection with the meat inspection laws. An ordinance formulated for the purpose of correcting conditions would go a long way towards insuring people of San Francisco sound, wholesome poultry supply.

The amount of milk shipped into San Francisco has not increased and is still 99 per cent Grade A Pasteurized, the balance being Certified. Notwithstanding the educational work on the advantages of milk in an adequate diet, the consumption does not increase. The department is now paying for the milk for needy children in the schools formerly supplied from other funds.

In the chemical laboratories over 11,000 samples of milk and cream were examined and in addition a new test to determine whether the milk has been properly pasteurized or not was evolved. In addition to many specimens from salvage dealers, miscellaneous preparations

such as cosmetics and canned goods were examined. Bacteriological work in addition to the milk examinations and routine examination of cultures contributed to the investigation of material suspected of causing food poisoning.

The Housing Division continued its Clean-up Campaign and succeeded in having 125 insanitary structures demolished. The Director of Public Health is actively engaged in promoting a low-cost housing project in conjunction with other agencies of the City. In addition, over 12,000 inspections were made and 358 plans for new buildings and alterations of existing buildings were reviewed. Surveys are constantly being carried on, especially in new districts, to prevent the erection of "refugee" type of shacks, buildings without foundations, rat-proofing or sewer connections. Kindergartens, nursery schools, convalescent and nursing homes have also been routinely inspected to maintain local requirements.

In the institutional section of the department the San Francisco Hospital took care of 14,000 patients and the Laguna Honda Home, 1880. Complete social histories were taken on 16,847 prospective patients and plans for patients from the hospital to homes or other disposition made for 15,227 patients. The population is divided about equally between hospital and ambulatory groups and the demand for hospital beds is constantly increasing. In fact, there is a waiting list owing to the lack of beds for the care of these cases. Again, the National Security Act has a marked effect upon the employment in the institution. Formerly the able-bodied aged inmates were used in various occupations. Today this group, on reaching the age of 65, goes out on pension. Others do not come in to take their places, and we are forced to increase the number of employees constantly to meet this deficiency, thus necessarily increasing the cost of maintenance of the institution. As the years pass this will become more and more apparent. About 25 per cent of the new arrivals are aged pensioners who come in as hospital cases to end their days. It is also noticeable that these aged people who leave here to go out on pension return after a short period as bed cases, this due to lack of supervision of diet and other care.

To meet the hospital program for aged chronics imposed by changing conditions outlined above, the people of this City have just voted the sum of \$1,000,000. This will give an additional building of some 400 beds, will remodel one of the existing buildings of 400 beds for hospital purposes and will construct all the necessary adjuncts to the hospital, such as surgery, X-ray, laboratory, pharmacy, etc. Consolidating the hospital in one location rather than in two, as it now is, will give modern, up-to-date and sanitary conditions.

An additional bond issue of \$400,000 was voted by the community to enlarge the capacity scope of the work for the tuberculous at the Hassler Health Home, as well as \$200,000 for modernization of X-ray and other equipment at the San Francisco Hospital.

City physicians attended nearly 16,000 people during the year.

The Emergency Hospital, with two new ambulances put in service during the year, made 30,000 ambulance calls and treated over 60,000 patients in addition to covering all polo and football games with ambulance service. Representatives from eastern cities continue to be deeply interested in the organization of the Emergency Hospital Service.

The department maintained its record of the previous year in scientific publications. The Director of Public Health and his associates prepared scientific articles for publication, outstanding among which was the Handbook of Public Health Bacteriology, which has created nationwide comment and approbation. The articles published were:

Two Outbreaks of Food Poisoning; Influenza: The San Francisco Outbreak of January, February, 1937; Health Department Remedies for Sick Housing; Enteritis of Unknown Origin; Infant Mortality in San Francisco; Staphylococci in Raw Oysters; The Use of the Phosphate Test in Detecting Under-pasteurized Milk in San Francisco; Rela-



tion of the Hospital Interne to Preventive Medicine; How Clean Is Your Home? Tuberculin Test in Children in the San Francisco Schools.

In addition to the above, monthly articles dealing with historical aspects of the department appeared in the Bulletin of the San Francisco County Medical Society.

The Department of Public Health took an active part in the practical training of the School of Health Officers and Sanitarians conducted by the University of California under the Social Security Act. These men, coming from the western states, received actual instruction and field work supplementing the theoretical work which they have received at the University.

The educational side of the Health Department is growing rapidly. Reaching, as it does, all groups of people, including school children, the opportunities for educating the public are exceptional. An additional effort will be made in this direction each succeeding year.

### Juvenile Probation Department.

In the report of Chief Probation Officer R. R. Miller, it is shown that, during 1936-1937, a total of 1407 new cases passed through the Juvenile Court, as against 1289 for the year previous; 3526 children were cared for during that period as against 3364 the previous year. The Detention Home during the fiscal year cared for 1663 children.

During 1936-1937, \$530,218 was expended for maintenance of minors. Reimbursements from the State, Federal government, parents, etc., amounted to \$182,190. The cost of State School commitments was \$17,425.

### Public Welfare Commission and Public Welfare Department.

The Public Welfare Commission and the Department of Public Welfare were created by Charter amendment, voted upon and passed by the people in March, 1937, and ratified by the California State Legislature in April, 1937. For the first time there is official recognition of the need for a permanent organization within this governmental unit for the administration of the several public welfare activities of the City and County of San Francisco in one coordinated program.

At the present time the Department includes four heretofore separately existing units having to do with: (1) relief to the unemployed; (2) public assistance to the needy aged, blind and half-orphaned children; (3) certain phases of the Works Progress Administration, and finally (4) the accounting related to these functions.

While centralization of administration is clearly defined in the Charter amendment, now Section 61.1 of the Charter, actual and effective coordination is difficult of immediate accomplishment because the various units of the Department are housed in ten offices in six different locations at widely scattered points in the city. Many of the difficulties related to this phase of the Department's activities will be corrected, in all probability, with the occupancy of a building of moderate cost soon to be constructed on the site of the old Moulder School, as a W. P. A. project. The new quarters, which probably will be ready for occupancy during the late summer of 1938, will provide space for most of the employees and services of the Department, thereby relieving other Departments of the necessity of providing space for the Public Welfare Department, and at the same time permitting of the development of the related services of the Department into the coordinated and integrated organization that was intended under the Charter amendment.

Several factors have operated to increase the cost of public welfare administration in San Francisco during these past several months. Last February and March the relief case load was at the lowest level it has been for many months. Since that time, and during these intervening ten months the case load has almost doubled (1700 to 3100). This rather startling condition is a result of certain factors: (1) the State Relief Administration has made further restrictions

in its rules of eligibility, and (2) the recent "business recession" has manifested itself in increased numbers of applicants for direct relief for unemployment and, in turn, for the unemployable unemployed. An added factor, reflected in the total cost of relief, though not affecting the amount paid in direct relief, involves the installation of permanent employees on a civil service basis, in the newly created Department, more specifically the County Relief Division. At the present time 3100 cases are carried on relief at a total monthly cost of approximately \$120,000.

The cost of public assistance to the needy aged, blind and half-orphan groups, likewise, has increased during recent months because of the liberalization of State laws in the 1937 session of the California State Legislature and because of the acceptance of certain additional responsibilities by the City and County of San Francisco. Under the present legislation, with less rigid requirements of age, residence and citizenship, effective September 1, 1937, increased costs were imminent. The result has been manifest, not only in the expenditures for direct maintenance of these specific groups, but also in personnel and other administrative costs. Public assistance, in San Francisco at the present time, is being given to approximately 7500 needy aged, 400 needy blind and 500 families in which there are 1300 children who have lost their natural protectors. The total cost, per month, for these three groups, combined, approximates \$295,000.

During 1937-1938 the coordination of various Works Progress Administration projects in which the City and County of San Francisco made a sponsor's contribution, was made a responsibility of the Public Welfare Commission. These W. P. A. work projects in San Francisco employ approximately 12,000 persons. All labor costs and part of the non-labor costs are borne by the Federal government and the City and County of San Francisco bears the remainder of the non-labor costs, which will approximate \$360,000 during the current fiscal year.

It is my belief, and I am certain that it is yours, that the public welfare of San Francisco is being ably administered by the Public Welfare Commission. That the problems incident to the administration of relief, public assistance and public welfare in general are of increasing importance can be denied by no one. These complications of present-day living probably will remain with us for some time to come. It is gratifying to me, as the Mayor of San Francisco and as a citizen, that the people of San Francisco have recognized the importance of public welfare as a governmental responsibility and that appropriate provision has been made in the Charter for its permanent administration in keeping with modern trends.

#### Coroner.

Dr. T. B. W. Leland, Coroner of the City and County of San Francisco, reports a most active period from January 1 to November 1, 1937. His statistics are estimated for November and December, 1937.

Number of cases handled, 2800; inquests held, 2333; jurors summoned and serving, 803; autopsies performed, 1902; pathological examinations, 1753; toxicological examinations, 2054.

Motor vehicle fatalities show an increase, 96 as against 84 last year. Of the 96, 72 were pedestrians. Sixty-one of these deaths occurred at intersections. Sixty-four occurred during the night. Happily, a decrease in the number of motor vehicle deaths among children under fifteen years: five as against eight for 1936. San Francisco's record of no deaths having occurred to school children going to or coming from school or in zones patrolled by school-boy traffic officers, is still upheld.

Suicides increased over 1936 by 39, the total having been 210. Homicides show an increase of eight over last year. Falls continued to lead, 133 deaths having resulted, as against 161 in 1936.

Juries made 31 recommendations. Money received and deposited with City and County Treasurer, \$1,006; for uncalled for effects of deceased persons, \$123.85.



Since the last report, W. P. A. has completed construction work and San Francisco now has a most beautiful municipal mortuary, unique in that it is probably the only one of its kind in the United States.

This mortuary has, as a distinguishing feature, a mural painting by the distinguished artist, Gottardo Piazzoni. The municipal mortuary offers the opportunity for bereaved people, without means to defray expense of a private funeral, to hold their services here without expense whatever.

In the case of a practical Catholic, a grave is donated by the Archbishop and the parish priest officiates at the funeral. A further service at the grave is held by the priest detailed at the cemetery.

In the case of a Protestant, graves have been generously donated by the Protestant cemeteries and a minister of the appropriate denomination offers his services at the funeral. If the deceased is a Protestant, and denomination is not known, the Salvation Army volunteer their services and furnish the music with a choir, and so with other deceased persons, according to their belief. The City provides the casket and the City undertaker attends to the interment.

#### **Purchasing Department.**

A recapitulation of purchasing activities for the year 1937 follows:

A total of 46,965 requisitions for materials, supplies, equipment and contractual service was received, which made necessary the issuance of 49,084 purchase orders, involving an expenditure of \$5,587,277.83. This reflects an increase of 5.34 per cent in purchase orders and 12.66 per cent in money orders over the preceding year. A condensed summary of the number of purchase orders issued and the expenditures are: General and miscellaneous departments, 25,971 orders, \$2,485,028.42; education, 5465 orders, \$753,810.74; Health Department, 5375 orders, \$842,966.42; Water Department, 3706 orders, \$313,311.20; utilities (exclusive of Water Department), 4519 orders, \$580,926.77; Works Progress Administration, 3196 orders, \$517,590.75; unemployment relief, 852 orders, \$93,643.53. Average cost per order, \$1.46. Ratio of purchasing cost to total value of purchases, .012.

Municipal purchases are of a great interest to the industrial and business community. The city is a large customer and highly rated as a credit risk. The operations of the city require a great stream of materials, supplies, equipment and contractual services to carry on its manifold activities. The purchasing is done under strict Charter provisions. This rigid regulation provides a clear guide to proper conduct of the office, reasonable safeguards, and adherence to the proved reliance upon specifications, competitive bidding and similar practices. Experience has proven that a competent purchasing department is a very effective means of assuring smooth and economical operation and a source of savings to every department of the city government.

#### **Agricultural Commissioner.**

The inspection of all inter and intrastate shipments of plants, bulbs and seeds falls under the responsibility of this department. During 1937, to December 1st, 1678 shipments of incoming nursery stock, making a total of 22,973 packages were inspected, with 18 rejections. Nurseries inspected, 115; private homes, 16 inspected at request of owners. Nursery stock and cut flowers shipped interstate and inspected by this office, 3594 shipments, 4928 packages. Shipments to points outside of the United States, 277 shipments, 5773 packages.

Retail store inspection: fruits and vegetables, 1919 stores visited, 1296 packages reconditioned, 65 packages remarked and 1494¼ packages dumped. Eggs, 176 stores visited, 1637 dozen reconditioned and 173 dozen remarked. Honey, 1185 stores visited, 787 jars remarked.

Wholesale fruit and vegetable market: 1387 inspections, 17,864 packages reconditioned, 11,377 packages remarked and 29,752 packages dumped.

There were inspected 7128 shipments for export, comprising a total of 437,594 packages.

All city institutions' products were inspected, with only 28 rejections during the period named.

The revenue taken for export work amounted to \$7,884.07 and for city institutions, \$863.86.

#### **San Francisco-San Mateo Livestock Exposition Buildings.**

The Livestock Exposition building, for which \$250,000 was appropriated by the city to equal a State appropriation of a like amount in 1934, is progressing satisfactorily. I am informed that Number One-a District Agricultural Association and the San Francisco Bay Exposition have reached an agreement by which the building under construction and other permanent buildings to be erected, will be used by the Bay Exposition during the year 1939 for its livestock, horse show and other Exposition purposes. This project has received liberal contributions from the Federal government, and when completed by additional State and national help will undoubtedly be the largest and best equipped livestock exposition building in America.

The use of these buildings by the Golden Gate International Exposition will bring to the mainland a large and most necessary part of the Exposition for 1939. San Francisco has long sought to have such a plant as this; which, when completed, will continue San Francisco's proud place, as the center of the livestock industry of the eleven western states.

The management of this building and the expositions to be held therein, except during the year 1939, will be in the hands of Number One-a District Agricultural Association, which is a State institution, functioning through eight trustees appointed by the Governor who, in turn, elect a president, secretary and treasurer. The district, which is one of several similar districts in California, embraces San Francisco and San Mateo counties. The plant and buildings are located on the county line between the two counties and thus the people of both counties have participation in this project. When completed there will be invested by the State, City and County of San Francisco, San Mateo County, and the Federal government, approximately three million dollars. The plant to be erected will be the largest, best appointed and most complete plant of its kind in the United States. Not only is this money well invested from the standpoint of assisting the livestock industry, which is the second in importance in California, but the erection of this plant during the depression gave much needed employment to qualified labor and relief workers. Both W. P. A. and P. W. A. have participated in its building. Not only will the wealth of the community be augmented, by this splendid development, but it will afford an opportunity for the youth of both counties to become better acquainted with the livestock industry and serve to bring the peoples of the great hinterland of San Francisco into closer contact with the people of the city, with consequent financial and social benefits to both.

#### **District Attorney.**

During the year 1937, this office participated in and conducted approximately 17,100 court hearings; issued 17,700 citations; conducted 14,000 citation hearings; was represented at all sessions of the Grand Jury during the year, both regular and special, and collected and turned into the City Treasurer's office in bail money the total sum of \$625,840.

In addition to the above, this office participated in all Coroner's inquests wherein suspicion of crime in connection with deaths was present, attended all meetings of the parole board, all meetings of the traffic committee on safety matters, met with groups of merchants and organizations interested in the various phases of the Unfair Practices Act and prepared and gave instructions and lectures on the



same to such groups for the purpose of averting law violations and court prosecutions.

The total cost of conducting the office for the year was \$110,780. I am informed this is lower than that of any other District Attorney's office of comparable size in the United States.

Of the cases handled by the office of the District Attorney during the year 1937, of the 17,100 court hearings, approximately 1200 were felony cases that reached the Superior Court, and approximately 15,500 were misdemeanor cases disposed of in the Municipal Court; approximately 250 were crimes involving children, disposed of in the Juvenile Court.

Of the total number of approximately 17,700 citations issued, 9000 were issued by the Bureau of Domestic Relations of this office, dealing with failure to provide for minor children, indigent wives and aged parents and similar domestic cases; 2300 were issued by the Fraud Bureau of this office, dealing with crimes of frauds, swindles and violations of the Corporate Securities Act, misleading advertising and various other rackets; 6000 were issued by the Warrant and Bond Office dealing with miscellaneous offenses, felony and misdemeanor alike, and with violations of City ordinances; 400 were issued by the deputy in charge of the Juvenile Court work relating particularly to sex offenses and juvenile delinquencies. Hearings on citations were approximately as follows: Bureau of Domestic Relations, 8700; Fraud Bureau, 2000; Warrant and Bond Office, 6000; Juvenile Department, 350.

The Warrant and Bond Clerk's Department, aside from the issuance of citations as above noted, prepared 40,000 complaints and 10,500 warrants were issued upon these complaints.

Out of 192 narcotic cases disposed of during the year 1937, 179 were convictions. These figures pertain to proceedings in the Superior Court.

#### City Attorney.

An increased amount of business over the previous year passed through this department. This increase was reflected, not only in the number of actions filed against and for the City, but also in the additional work coming from the several departments. It would serve no purpose to itemize the various matters in litigation, save than to say that most of the cases prosecuted for the City were proceedings in eminent domain instituted to acquire land for public purposes.

There were no new actions filed affecting our water rights on the Tuolumne River. All of the actions heretofore commenced are still pending, for the reason that the Supreme Court has made no final disposition of any of them.

The case instituted by Meridian, Ltd., against the City, which was the first of the riparian cases to be tried, was argued in the Supreme Court several months ago and is still under submission.

The case of Williams et al. v. City and County of San Francisco, which also involved riparian rights on the lower stretches of the Tuolumne River, was tried in the Superior Court of Merced County early during the year. The decision was in favor of the City. An appeal was taken to the Appellate Court of the Third Appellate District and the case will be argued before that court on the 6th day of the present month.

#### *Hetch Hetchy Power Litigation*

In May of the present year the United States of America brought an action in the United States District Court to enjoin the City from continuing the distribution of its hydro-electric power through the agency of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company. This action was tried during the month of November. On behalf of the City I have filed a 200-page brief setting forth the City's contention in the matter. The case has been continued until February 2 for argument.

### *One-Man Car Case*

The appeal in this case has been perfected and the record has been filed with the United States Circuit Court of Appeals. In all probability, the case will be disposed of during the months of March or April.

### *Street Railway Cases*

There has been the usual number of actions arising out of claims for damages in the operation of the Municipal Railway. These cases are all carefully defended, as will be shown from the reports submitted by the Public Utilities Commission setting forth the amount of damages paid on these claims, the amount paid during 1937 being less than any previous year, notwithstanding the large increase in the number of passengers carried.

### *Board of Education Litigation*

The actions affecting the increases in teachers' salaries which have been pending since 1934 have been partially heard and determined. Judgments in these cases are now being prepared. While some increases in salaries were allowed, on the whole the result of the litigation was in favor of the City.

There were thirty other cases instituted during the year against the Board of Education, some arising in damages for personal injuries sustained by pupils and others were instituted by teachers to determine their status, or to prevent removal or compel reinstatement.

The principal judgment rendered against the City during the year was in the case of Belva Bellman v. Board of Education for injuries sustained while participating in athletic exercise. A judgment of \$15,850 was rendered against the City. This judgment was set aside and the case is now before the Supreme Court on a petition for rehearing.

### *Tax Litigation*

During the year an additional action was commenced by the Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company to recover taxes paid under protest on its utility property. The amount involved was approximately \$200,000. A similar suit was filed in the previous year which is still pending. Similar actions have been commenced by the Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company against all the counties and the principal cities in the State. The actions against San Francisco will possibly be tried in February or March of the present year.

The question as to the right of the City to license for revenue is still pending in the Supreme Court. The lower court has held that under our present Charter the City can license for regulation only. The test case has been briefed and is now pending in the Supreme Court.

During the year 229 actions have been brought against the City. Many of these have been tried and determined, while others are still pending. One hundred and three of these cases were for damages arising out of the operation of the Municipal Railway. The remaining ones, involving nearly every form of litigation, arose in the other departments of the City government.

During the year the City instituted 13 suits, 10 of these being in eminent domain, and three to abate nuisances.

From January 1, 1937, to the end of the year, 74 opinions were written and transmitted to the several departments in response to their requests for legal advice.

All of the work of the office is up to date and is being disposed of as rapidly as possible and the only cases that are permitted to be continued from time to time are those wherein the continuance is to the advantage of the City.



**Appropriation for Civil Service Examination.**

Supervisor Reilly announced that he was no longer objecting to appropriation of \$10,000 from Mayor's Reserve Fund for the Civil Service Commission for examination purposes, and requested that due to the urgency of the situation, it be brought up for final passage. Appropriation was set as Special Order, 2 p. m., January 24, 1938, by motion of Supervisor McSheehy, and Civil Service Commission (Mr. Henderson) to be notified to be present.

**Meeting Announced.**

Public Utilities Committee, January 21, 10 a. m.

**Appeal from Decision of Welfare Department—Old Age Pensions.**

On motion of Supervisor Roncovieri the Clerk was directed to communicate with the Public Welfare Department, asking for detailed information as to rights of applicants for Old Age Pension to appeal from decision of Public Welfare Department to Board of Supervisors; also to proper procedure for exercising such rights.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board of Supervisors at 4 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, January 24, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.





Vol. 33

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

No. 5

Monday, January 24, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JANUARY 24, 1938, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, January 24, 1938,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Shannon, Uhl—3.

Quorum present.

President Shannon being absent, Supervisor McSheehy was elected to preside.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of January 17, 1938, was considered read and approved.

## Correction.

The Journal of January 8, 1938, was on motion of Supervisor Brown laid over for correction by insertion of remarks of all members who spoke at the inaugural ceremonies.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

### Supplemental Appropriation of \$10,000 for Holding Civil Service Examination.

The following matter was taken up:

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1495, Ordinance No. 9.051443, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$10,000 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 771.102.01, for the purpose of providing funds for the Civil Service Commission for holding examinations for certain Civil Service classes of employments, the creation of the resulting Civil Service lists being essential to the uninterrupted service of the several departments of the city and county government.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$10,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 771.102.01, for the purpose of providing funds for the Civil Service Commission for holding examinations for certain Civil Service classes of employments, the creation of the resulting Civil Service lists being essential to the uninterrupted service of the several departments of the city and county government.

## Action Deferred.

After discussion by the members and agreement with Controller in which he consented to make certain transfers to carry on employments until next Monday, the foregoing matter, because of lack of nine votes, was *laid over one week*.

**UNFINISHED BUSINESS.****Action Deferred.**

The following recommendation of Finance Committee, for want of sufficient votes, was *laid over one week*:

**Appropriating \$200 From Emergency Reserve Fund for the Payment of Sick Leaves of Telephone Operators in the Department of Public Works.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1500, Ordinance No. 9.051445, as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$200 from Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 702,900.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 736,102.00, for the payment of sick leave of telephone operators in the Department of Public Works.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$200 is hereby set aside from the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 702,900.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 736,102.00, for the payment of sick leave of telephone operators for the fiscal year 1937-38.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund, as it is necessary to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the telephone exchange and insufficient money was set up in the Salary Ordinance for the fiscal year 1937-38 to provide for sick leave.

**NEW BUSINESS.****Abandonment of Street Car Service on Twentieth Avenue.**

The following matter was taken up as a Special Order at 3 p. m.:

(Code No. 19.091)

Resolution No. 3762, as follows:

Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company be and is hereby directed to discontinue street railway service on Twentieth avenue, between Irving street and Wawona street.

**Committee of the Whole.**

Supervisor Ratto moved that the Board of Supervisors resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole for the purpose of hearing all interested parties.

*So ordered.*

Supervisor McSheehy elected to preside.

Russell Powell, representing Parkside District Improvement Club, urged that track on Twentieth avenue be put in satisfactory condition or be removed.

Ray Shiller, representing Sunset District; S. W. Douglas, representing Market Street Railway Carmen's Union, Division No. 1004; Andrew J. Gallagher, resident of the district affected; Mrs. J. Easedall, Geo. Hynes, John Cummings, of 1567 Twentieth avenue, Edward Kenny.

**Communications.**

The following were presented and read by the Clerk:

**OFFICE OF MANAGER OF UTILITIES**

December 28, 1937.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, 235 City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: The Public Utilities Commission is averse to seeing any



transportation in the City of San Francisco abolished, because the Commission is of the opinion that what we need in San Francisco is more transportation, not less.

The Commission is, therefore, of the opinion that the Market Street Railway should not be forced to discontinue its line on Twentieth avenue in the Sunset District. Albeit the transportation along Twentieth avenue is bad, it is, nevertheless, better than no transportation; and there are parts of San Francisco which have no transportation and which deserve to have it.

If, however, your Honorable Board sees fit to eject the Market Street Railway from Twentieth avenue, the Public Utilities Commission will establish a bus line running along either Nineteenth or Twentieth avenue, as the engineers may decide at present and subject to change at a later time. This bus line will operate from Taraval street to Judah street, and from Judah street back to Taraval street, transferring to both the N cars and the L cars in both directions.

Very truly yours,

E. G. CAHILL, Manager of Utilities.

### Protest Against Removal of No. 17 Car Line.

San Francisco, California.

January 21, 1938.

Clerk, Board of Supervisors, Room 228, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Dear Sir: Re: Meeting held 10:00 a. m. Friday, January 21st, regarding removal car tracks, Twentieth avenue.

A copy of the following protest from Mrs. Leo V. Cox, 1930 Twenty-first avenue, was requested by Supervisors McSheehy to become a part of the record of the meeting. In keeping with that request, I am herewith giving you the information as stated at the meeting, and would appreciate your making it a part of the record as requested by Supervisor McSheehy:

"As an interested property owner I desire to register a protest against the removal of the No. 17 car line from Twentieth avenue, thereby taking away from residents of the district dependent upon this car line through service to the downtown district.

"A definite need for this line is greater today than in the early days of its operation, which to my knowledge has been approximately fifteen years ago.

"I am absolutely opposed to busses either on through service to town or connecting with either of the present municipal lines now serving the Sunset District. Busses are a very unsatisfactory substitution for street cars as they do not permit of the same facilities for handling the people or coping with the traffic.

"Myself, along with a considerable number of other people contacted, want through street car service to the downtown business district as evidenced by the petition and personal letters presented before this Board a couple of weeks ago."

Thanking you, I am

Yours very truly,

(Signed: Frances L. Cox)

MRS. LEO V. COX.

1930 Twenty-first avenue.

### Maintenance of Car Service on Twentieth Avenue.

Communication from Sunset Community Improvement Club, complaining of car service on the No. 17 car line, and urging that something to be done to improve service.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

Also, urging maintenance of Twentieth avenue car line, opposing bus service, and suggesting a loop service from Judah street at Twentieth avenue and likewise from Taraval over Twentieth avenue.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

### Motion.

Supervisor Meyer moved that the matter be carried on the calendar and that pending further action the Mayor and chairman of the Public Utilities Committee be empowered to arrange for conferences with the Public Utilities Commission, Board of Public Works and other interested parties and report back to the Board.

*Motion carried by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt—7.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Shannon, Uhl—3.

### Adopted.

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Clerk to Advertise for Bids for \$650,000 Airport Bonds, 1938.**

(Code No. 15.051)

Resolution No. 3753, as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors be, and he is hereby directed to advertise in the official newspaper, a Notice of Sale that on the 7th day of February, 1938, the Board of Supervisors will receive sealed proposals up to the hour of 3 p. m. on said date for the purchase of the following bonds of the City and County of San Francisco:

\$650,000 "Airport Bonds, 1938," comprising 65 bonds of \$1,000 denomination, maturing each year 1940 to 1949, inclusive.

The said described bonds will bear interest at a rate or rates not exceeding 6 per centum per annum, as shall be named by the bidder, and bidders for said bonds shall specify in their bids the interest rate or rates desired, not exceeding 6 per centum per annum. Interest on said bonds will be payable semi-annually, July 1 and January 1. Said bonds will not be sold at a price less than the par value thereof, together with accrued interest, at the rate or rates named, on said bonds to date of delivery.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Shannon, Uhl—3.

### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Appropriation of \$650,000 for Improvements at San Francisco Airport.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1507, Ordinance No. 9.051448, as follows:

Authorizing an appropriation of \$650,000 out of the 1938 Airport Bond Issue, to the credit of Appropriation No. 99.900.00, for the purpose of making the improvements at the San Francisco Airport, as provided in Ordinance No. 15.0512.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$650,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the 1938 Airport Bond Issue, to the credit of Appropriation



No. 99.900.00, for the purpose of making the improvements at the San Francisco Airport, as provided in Ordinance No. 15.0512.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved by the Mayor.

Approved by the Controller.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Shannon, Uhl—3.

### Adopted.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

### Land Purchase—Proposed McLaren Park.

(Code No. 12.1713)

Resolution No. 3754, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Park Department, that the City and County of San Francisco accept deeds from the following named parties to certain land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the proposed McLaren Park, and that the sums set forth below be paid for said property from Appropriation No. 712.600.03.

Margaret E. Lawler, lot 3, Assessor's Block 6181.....	\$500.00
Flora J. Deasy, lot 6, Assessor's Block 6184.....	100.00
A. H. Witte, one-half interest in lot 1, Assessor's Block 6182 .....	250.00
Annie Brown, lot 2, Assessor's Block 6185.....	100.00
Albert M. Folsom, guardian of estate and person of Sarah J. Wood, incompetent, lot 4, Assessor's Block 6291 .....	300.00
Dorothy Woll, lot 1, Assessor's Block 6184.....	450.00

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Shannon, Uhl—3.

### Palo Alto Pipe Line—Easement Purchase.

(Code No. 15.0241)

Also, Resolution No. 3755, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from John S. Mulpeter and Alma Mulpeter, to a 35-foot easement over the northeasterly portion of Lot 1, Block C, Oak Grove Park, San Mateo County, and that the sum of \$400 be paid for said easement, \$98 from Appropriation No. 66.977.57 and the balance of \$302 from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Mateo County, Superior Court Case No. 27646. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Shannon, Uhl—3.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Appropriation of \$2,297.50 for Creating Positions of One Assistant Supervisor of Disbursements, One Typist-Clerk and One Office Assistant (Controller's Office).**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1508, Ordinance No. 9.051449, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$2,297.50 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 760.101.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 760.101.00 for the purpose of creating the positions of one Assistant Supervisor of Disbursements at \$225 per month, one Typist-Clerk at \$155 per month and one Office Assistant, part-time, at \$79.50 per month, in the office of the Controller.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,297.50 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 760.101.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 760.101.00 for the purpose of creating the positions of one Assistant Supervisor of Disbursements at \$225 per month, one Typist-Clerk at \$155 per month and one Office Assistant, part-time, at \$79.50 per month, in the office of the Controller.

Recommended as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Warren Shannon, Acting Mayor.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Shannon, Uhl—3.

**Amending Salary Ordinance—Controller.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1509, Ordinance No. 9.053121, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 67 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 by increasing the number of positions heretofore established under Item 20 by one position, adding Item 10½, and increasing the number of positions heretofore established under Item 45½ by one and by reducing the number of positions heretofore established under Items 3 and 25 by one in each case.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 67 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 67. CONTROLLER**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Controller .....	\$ 833.33
2	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	200
3	7	B4	Bookkeeper .....	185
4	4	B4	Bookkeeper .....	180
5	6	B4	Bookkeeper .....	175
6	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	225
7	3	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	210
8	4	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	200
9	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	190
10	1	B7	Asst. Supervisor of Disbursements ....	240
10½	1	B7	Asst. Supervisor of Disbursements ....	225
11	1	B8	Supervisor of Disbursements .....	275
12	1	B10	Accountant .....	225



## Section 67. CONTROLLER (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
13	1	B14	Senior Accountant .....	325
14	2	B14	Senior Accountant .....	285
15	1	B21	Chief Assistant Controller .....	625
16	1	B26	Supervisor of Budget Statistics .....	250
17	1	B28	Supervisor of General Audits .....	400
18	1	B30	Supervisor of Utilities Audits .....	400
19	1	B55	Supervisor of Pay Rolls .....	325
20	2	B210	Office Assistant (part time) .....	79.50
21	3	B222	General Clerk .....	200
22	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
23	2	B222	General Clerk .....	185
24	3	B222	General Clerk .....	175
25	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	250
26	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	200
27	1	B234	Head Clerk (6 months at \$200, 6 months at \$210) .....	
28	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	300
29	2	B234	Head Clerk .....	225
30	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	240
30½	1	B237	Tax Redemption Clerk .....	200
31	1	B301	Pay Roll Machine Operator .....	190
32	3	B301	Pay Roll Machine Operator .....	175
33	3	B301	Pay Roll Machine Operator .....	165
34	2	B302	Addressing Machine Operator .....	155
35	1	B310b	Tabulating Numerical Key Punch Operator .....	175
36	1	B310b	Tabulating Numerical Key Punch Operator .....	155
37	1	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator .....	175
38	3	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator .....	165
39	1	B312	Senior Bookkeeping Machine Operator..	185
40	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
41	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
42	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
43	1	B417	Executive Secretary to the Controller..	250
44	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator .....	155
44½	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator (part time) .....	75
45	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
45½	3	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	155
46	1	K6	Senior Attorney—Civil .....	400
47			Seasonal Clerical Services at rates fixed in Salary Standardization Report.	
48			Field Bookkeepers or Accountants (Construction Work outside S. F.) (as needed) at rates fixed in Salary Standardization Report.	

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Shannon, Uhl—3.

#### Adopted.

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

#### Refunds of Erroneous Payment of Taxes. (Code No. 9.059)

Resolution No. 3756, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized

to be paid to the following named, being refunds of erroneous and duplicate payments of taxes:

*From Duplicate Tax Fund—Appropriation No. 905.*

(1) Joseph M. Sala, per Vol. 28, Bill 634, Lot 1-J, Block 4335, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937, \$38.71, and per Vol. 28, Bill 631, Lot 1-J, Block 4335, 1st installment, \$40.87, and 2d installment, \$37.84, fiscal year 1936.....	\$117.42
(2) J. Sheldon Potter, per Vol. 3, Bill 3015, Lot 28, Block 533, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937.....	135.49
(3) John McGraw, per Vol. 3, Bill 2169-C, lot 3-F, Block 504, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937.....	23.03
(4) Carl L. Bowmen, per Vol. 7, Bill 1526, lot 2, Block 1131, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937.....	53.81
(5) E. W. Foge, per Vol. 14, Bill 893, Lot 19, Block 1814, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937.....	38.71
(6) Northern Counties Title Ins. Co., per Vol. 14, Bill 1373, Lot 18-A, Block 1827, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937...	10.65
(7) Mrs. Caroline Handley, per Vol. 16, Bill 194, Lot 6, Block 2059, both installments, fiscal year 1936.....	13.24
(8) City Title Insurance Co., per Vol. 18, Bill 1201, Lot 10, Block 2422, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937.....	30.97
(9) Occidental Life Ins. Co. of California, per Vol. 15, Bill 3103, Lot 22, Block 2046, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937.	8.71
(10) Oscar Heyman, per Vol. 34, Bill 2563, Lot 3-A, Block 5801, overpayment 1st installment, fiscal year 1937.....	7.74
(11) Northern Counties Title Insurance Co., per Vol. 42, Bill 894, Lot 25, Block 6928, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937....	111.29

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Shannon, Uhl—3.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Bonding Positions in Public Welfare Department.**

(Code No. 4.04)

Bill No. 1510, Ordinance No. 4.044, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 4.042 by adding a new section to be numbered 36½, providing for the bonding of certain employments in the Public Welfare Department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A new section to be numbered 36½ is hereby added to Ordinance No. 4.042 to read as follows:

**Section 36½. PUBLIC WELFARE DEPARTMENT.**

Director of Public Welfare.....	\$25,000
Director, Indigent Relief Division.....	10,000
Director, County Welfare Division.....	10,000
Bookkeeper .....	1,000
Senior Clerk .....	1,000

Recommended by J. P. Gray, M. D., Director of Public Welfare.

Approved by F. M. McAuliffe, Chairman, Public Welfare Commission.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Shannon, Uhl—3.



**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Land Purchase—Bernal Heights Boulevard.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Resolution No. 3757, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco accept deeds from the following named parties to certain land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, which is required for the Bernal Heights boulevard, and that the sums set forth opposite their names be paid from Appropriation No. 88.902.17:

Palmira Catelli, Lots 24 and 47, Assessor's Block 5549...\$220

Lilla Horstmann, et al., Lot 19, Assessor's Block 5632.... 250

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Shannon, Uhl—3.

**Land Purchase—Eureka Valley Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 3758, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Bertha Carosso and John Carosso, Lot 26, Assessor's Block 2694, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Eureka Valley Playground, for the sum of \$4,500, payable from Appropriation No. 713.600.04. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Shannon, Uhl—3.

**Land Purchase—Corona Heights Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 3759, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Recreation Department, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Florence P. Kahn, to Lot 15, Assessor's Block 2621, San Francisco, required for the Corona Heights Playground, and that the sum of \$350 be paid for said lot from Appropriation No. 713.600.12.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Shannon, Uhl—3.

**Land Purchase—Longfellow Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 3760, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Recrea-

tion Department, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Josephine Novak, to Lot 13, Assessor's Block 6474, San Francisco, required for the Longfellow Playground, and that the sum of \$5,241 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 713.600.06.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Shannon, Uhl—3.

### **Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct, San Joaquin Division—Land Purchase.**

(Code No. 12.17151)

Also, Resolution No. 3761, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Charles E. MacLean to one acre of land situated partly in the southwest  $\frac{1}{4}$  of Section 28 and partly in the northwest  $\frac{1}{4}$  of Section 33, T. 3 S., R. 7 E., M. D. B. & M., Stanislaus County, California, required for the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct, and that the sum of \$100 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 92.500.16.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Shannon, Uhl—3.

### **Islais Creek Reclamation Warrants.**

(Code No. 12.08)

Also, Resolution No. 3762, as follows:

Be It Resolved, That the following warrants of Islais Creek Reclamation District: No. 812, to The San Francisco News, for \$22.79; No. 813, to Coldwell, Cornwall & Banker, for \$23.64; No. 814, to D. Matheson, for \$6.70; No. 815, to Geo. F. Lyon, for \$131.19; No. 816, to Arthur L. Fisher, Harry P. Fisher, Caroline L. Sahlein and Ida F. Raas, for \$119.51; No. 817, to The Islais Co., Ltd., for \$871.25; No. 818, to The Islais Co., Ltd., for \$115.06; No. 819, to The Islais Co., Ltd., for \$311.55; No. 820, to The Islais Co., Ltd., for \$1,755.21; No. 821, to The Islais Co., Ltd., for \$2,061.69; No. 822, to The Islais Co., Ltd., for \$135.85; No. 823, to The Islais Co., Ltd., for \$70.65, and No. 824, to Allen G. Wright, for \$300, payable out of the funds of said district, be and the same are hereby approved; and that the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as Chairman of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and the Clerk of said Board be and they are hereby authorized and directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Shannon, Uhl—3.

### **Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:



**Appropriation of \$3,254.33, Extra Work, Potrero District Extension  
—High Pressure System.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1511, Ordinance No. 9.051450, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$3,254.33 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 96.940.11 to the credit of Appropriation No. 96.940.01 for the purpose of paying for extra work in excess of 10 per cent of the certified estimated contract price in connection with the installation of Section "F", Potrero District Extension, High Pressure System, P. W. A. Docket 1531-14E.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$3,254.33 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 96.940.11 to the credit of Appropriation No. 96.940.01 for the purpose of paying for extra work in excess of 10 per cent of the certified estimated contract price in connection with the installation of Section "F", Potrero District Extension, High Pressure System, P. W. A. Docket 1531-14E.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Recommended by W. H. Worden, Director, Department of Public Works.

Approved by Warren Shannon, Acting Mayor, for Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor of San Francisco.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Shannon, Uhl—3.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Exposition Affairs and Industrial Affairs Committee was taken up:

**Golden Gate International Exposition Building Ordinance.**

(Code No. 5.95)

Bill No. 1483, Ordinance No. 5.951, as follows:

An ordinance to be known as the "Golden Gate International Exposition Building Ordinance" governing the erection, use, repair, demolition and control of buildings and structures, and the installation, use, repair and control of plumbing, sewerage, water, gas, steam, and other pipes, and the installation, use, repair and control of electric light, power and other wires, conduits and other apparatus, and the erection and maintenance of steam boilers, steam, gas and other engines and other apparatus and governing other matters of building construction or repair, necessary, proper or expedient for the safety, health, convenience and welfare of the public within that portion of the City and County of San Francisco located on Yerba Buena shoals now in course of reclamation selected as the site of the Golden Gate International Exposition and now held under a lease heretofore granted to the San Francisco Bay Exposition, a non-profit corporation by the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. This ordinance shall be known as the "Golden Gate International Exposition Building Ordinance" of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. This ordinance shall apply to and govern the erection, use, alteration, repair, demolition and control of buildings and struc-

tures of every nature and description; the installation, use, repair and control of plumbing, water, gas, steam, sewerage and other pipes and other instrumentalities; the installation, use, repair and control of electric light, power and other wires, conduits and apparatus; the erection and maintenance of steam boilers, steam, gas and other engines and other apparatus; and governing any and every other matter of building construction or repair necessary, proper or expedient for the safety, health, convenience and welfare of the public, within that portion of the City and County of San Francisco located on Yerba Buena shoals now in course of reclamation, selected as the site of the Golden Gate International Exposition, hereinafter referred to as the "Exposition", and now held under lease by the San Francisco Bay Exposition, a non-profit corporation, hereinafter referred to as the "Exposition Company," which is sponsoring said Exposition, the said lease having been made by the City and County of San Francisco as lessor and said Exposition Company as lessee under date of August 22, 1936, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.173544, Bill No. 1064, of the City and County of San Francisco, approved August 4, 1936.

Section 3. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby declares that this ordinance is necessary in the public interest. It hereby finds that the site of said Exposition in, on and to which this ordinance will apply is a portion of the City and County of San Francisco separated from the mainland thereof and entirely surrounded by the waters of the Bay of San Francisco except as it is connected with Yerba Buena Island by a Causeway approximately three hundred (300) yards in length; that said site is now being improved by the City and County of San Francisco out of funds supplied by the Federal Government and said Exposition Company with certain buildings, structures and other improvements of a permanent character now in course of construction intended for municipal use in the operation of a public municipal airport on said site after the close of said Exposition; that said site is now being improved and will be further improved by or for said Exposition Company with certain buildings, structures and other improvements of a temporary character for the use of said Exposition in the conduct of said Exposition, which by the terms of said lease are to be demolished and removed by the Exposition Company following the close of said Exposition and on or before the 31st day of December, 1940; that by reason of the location and surrounding of said site and by reason of the temporary character of the buildings, structures and improvements to be placed thereon and subsequently removed by the Exposition Company, this site and the temporary use and improvement thereof for Exposition purposes present problems which differ in character from those concerned with the mainland and which can be adequately provided for in the interest of public safety, health, convenience and welfare by standards of construction, maintenance and operation different from those prevailing on the mainland and more suitable to the intended temporary use of said site for Exposition purposes; and that the Exposition Company has issued and published certain "Rules and Regulations for Grounds and Buildings," approved by it on May 11, 1937, duly certified copies of which have been transmitted to and filed with the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County, which "Rules and Regulations for Grounds and Buildings" prescribe certain and definite standards for works of construction on said site, and for the maintenance and operation thereof, which, if duly observed, will provide for safe and sanitary buildings and structures and for proper protection against fire hazard and will adequately provide for the public safety, health, convenience and welfare.

Section 4. (a) The Exposition Company may erect, use, alter, demolish and control buildings and structures of every nature and description, including therein all provisions for plumbing, water, gas, steam, sewerage and other pipes and instrumentalities, and electric



light, power and other wires and conduits in or used in connection with any building or structures erected, used, altered or controlled under the provisions hereof, on the condition that electrical distribution system, wiring, installation, apparatus and electrical equipment shall be subject to the inspection and approval of the Chief of the Department of Electricity of the City and County of San Francisco, and on condition that the plans and specifications for the erection of any such building or structure which said plans and specifications shall show the provisions made for the installation of plumbing, pipes and appliances and the scheme of electric wiring shall first be submitted to and approved in writing by the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety before works of construction thereunder shall be commenced and provided that any plans and specifications for alterations and repairs to any such building or structure estimated to exceed one thousand dollars (\$1,000) in cost shall likewise be so submitted and approved before such alterations or repairs are commenced.

(b) During or after the erection of any building or structure under the provisions hereof, the same or any portion thereof, may be altered, used or demolished by said Exposition Company, and it may erect, use, maintain and remove booths of every description, railings, cases and shelving for exhibitors, and may install in any such building, structure or improvement any plumbing, water, gas, steam, sewerage and other pipes and instrumentalities, electric light, power and other wires and conduits, steam boilers, steam, gas and other engines and apparatus subject to inspection and approval as hereinbefore provided in subparagraph (a) of this section.

(c) The Exposition Company may install, use and control any and all plumbing, water, gas, steam, sewerage and other pipes and instrumentalities which it shall determine to be necessary, proper or expedient for the safety, convenience and welfare of the public within the site of said Exposition.

(d) The Exposition Company may install, use and control all electric light, power and other wires, conduits, generating, transmitting and other apparatus which it shall deem to be necessary, proper or expedient for the safety, convenience and welfare of the public within the said site subject to inspection and approval as hereinbefore provided in subparagraph (a) of this section.

(e) The Exposition Company may erect, maintain and use all boilers, steam, gas and other engines and apparatus which it shall deem to be necessary, proper or expedient for the safety, convenience and welfare of the public within the said site.

(f) The Exposition Company may erect such buildings and install such appliances for the storage and use of and may store and use such crude oil, gasoline and similar substances, as it shall determine to be necessary, proper or expedient for the safety, convenience and welfare of the public within the said site.

(g) The Exposition Company may establish, maintain and use such emergency hospitals and make such provision for the sanitation of that portion of the City and County of San Francisco within the said site as it shall determine to be necessary, proper or expedient for the safety, convenience and welfare of the public within the said site, provided that in matters affecting the public health the Exposition Company shall cooperate with and be subject to the inspection and approval of the health officer of the City and County of San Francisco.

(h) The Exposition Company may erect, maintain and operate such places of amusement, including theatres and moving picture shows, as it shall determine to be necessary, proper or expedient for the safety, convenience and welfare of the public within the said site.

(i) The Exposition Company may maintain and operate concessions for restaurants, sales of curios, pictures and any and all classes and kinds of goods, wares and merchandise.

Section 5. All work hereinabove in Section 4 of this ordinance provided for may be done by, and all privileges therein given to the

Exposition Company shall inure to the benefit of, the employees, contractors and licensees of the Exposition Company, provided that no general powers or privileges therein given to the Exposition Company shall be delegated by it, and that it shall not by its own act be relieved of any of its obligations hereunder, and provided, further, that all of the work to be done and all of the privileges to be exercised hereunder shall be in accordance with said "Rules and Regulations for Grounds and Buildings."

Section 6. The Exposition Company shall save free and harmless the said City and County of San Francisco and its officers and employees of and from the claims and demands of any and all persons for injuries or damages of every nature and description, claimed or growing out of any of the work or things for which provision is herein made. And the City and County of San Francisco, in recognizing the existence of the Exposition Company and any rights or authority granted hereunder to it does not assume any responsibility or obligation for any claim or demand of any person for injuries or damages of any nature or description growing out of any of the work or things for which provision is herein made.

Section 7. Save and except as herein provided, no permit or license shall be required for the doing of any of the work or things herein provided for by said Exposition Company, its employees, contractors or licensees, and no charge or fee shall be made against or required or demanded from the said Exposition Company, its employees, contractors or licensees, for the doing of any of the work or things herein provided for, except that the Exposition Company shall pay to the City and County of San Francisco the direct cost of electrical inspection within the limits of the site of the Exposition, provided, however, that all electrical or fixture work on the Exposition grounds or in, or about, any building or structure thereon, shall be subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 11.114, approved August 18, 1936, and all contractors or other persons doing or performing any such work shall be registered in accordance with the provisions of said ordinance and pay the registration fees therein provided.

Section 8. All ordinances and regulations or parts thereof in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance shall, so long as the provisions of this ordinance are in full force and effect, be of no force and effect in that portion of the City and County of San Francisco located on Yerba Buena Shoals, now in course of reclamation, selected as the site of said Exposition, and now let and demised to said Exposition Company under and by authority of the lease thereof, hereinabove described, or with regard to any works of construction or repair or any installations on said site or the maintenance, operation or control thereof, but with regard to all such matters the provisions of this ordinance shall alone be in force and effect during the effective period of this ordinance.

Section 9. The provisions of this ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after the effective date hereof until January 1, 1941.

Section 10. If any section, sentence, clause or part of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or in conflict with or violative of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, such decision shall not affect the remaining portions of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each section, sentence, clause and part thereof despite the fact that one or more sections, sentences, clauses or parts thereof be declared unconstitutional or in conflict with or violative of said Charter.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Shannon, Uhl—3.



**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS,  
BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR  
REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Mayor to Appoint Citizens' Committee—Columbus Day.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Resolution No. 3763, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor is hereby authorized and requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee to make arrangements for the proper observance of Columbus Day, Wednesday, October 12, 1938.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Shannon, Uhl—3.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were taken up:

**Leave of Absence, Hon. John P. McLaughlin, Member Public  
Utilities Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3764, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. John P. McLaughlin, member of the Public Utilities Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing January 31, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Shannon, Uhl—3.

**Leave of Absence, Mr. J. H. Threlkeld, Member Board of Trustees  
of the War Memorial.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 3765, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mr. J. H. Threlkeld, member of the Board of Trustees of the War Memorial, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of four weeks, commencing January 21st, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Shannon, Uhl—3.

**Curtailment of Service on Municipal Railway.**

(Code No. 15.04)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 3766, as follows:

Whereas, the management of the Municipal Railway has caused service on various of its lines to be so curtailed to such an extent that numerous complaints have been made; and

Whereas, the complaints may or may not be justified, it is however, the opinion of hundreds of our citizens and patrons of the Municipal Railway that such curtailment of service is unwarranted, and discommoding to thousands of car riders who are compelled to wait on

street corners for service as long as 20 to 30 minutes; therefore, be it Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, in regular session assembled this 24th day of January, 1938, request the management of the Municipal Railway to give a full and complete report on the curtailment of service of the Municipal Railway as quickly as possible.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

#### **Fish Canning and Reduction Plant Nuisance Protested.**

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Communication from Bay View Civic Club, calling attention to nuisance arising from operation of fish canning and reduction plants in Bay View District, together with copy of resolution of said organization urging the removal of such establishments.

*Referred to Health Committee.*

#### **Underground Wires—Oak Street.**

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Communication from Hayes Valley Merchants' and Property Owners' Association, urging that overhead wires in Oak street be placed underground.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

### **REPORT BY SUPERVISOR ALFRED RONCOVIERI OF PROCEEDINGS OF UNITED STATES CONFERENCE OF MAYORS HELD IN WASHINGTON, D. C., NOVEMBER 15, 16, 17, 1937.**

#### **LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL.**

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors:

Gentlemen: I am presenting to you, for the record, the highlights of the United States Conference of Mayors held in Washington, D. C., November 15, 16 and 17, 1937.

At the conference there were present the Mayors and other officials of one hundred and fifty of the nation's larger cities. The topics of discussion affecting municipalities, covered a wide range; among them were the municipal airport problem, social diseases, relief and unemployment, the new federal housing program, and industrial disputes.

You will find this report full of important information of benefit to San Francisco.

Respectfully,

ALFRED RONCOVIERI,

Supervisor, Delegate to the United States Conference of Mayors, Washington, D. C.

#### **MONDAY, NOVEMBER 15, 1937.**

The Conference was opened by Honorable Fiorello H. LaGuardia, Mayor of New York City, and President of the United States Conference of Mayors, who delivered the following address:

In reporting the activities of the past year I am sure that it is not necessary to tell you that we have had a very busy time. We find that more and more this conference is looked upon as the official spokesman of the mayors of the country. Therefore, I find that our responsibility is constantly increasing. This is due to the fact that we have sought to be accurate in the presentation of facts. To date I believe that every survey which we have made has been found by experience afterwards to have been correct.

Sometimes we are criticized for our efforts, and perhaps anxiety, in reporting conditions and in seeking aid. The mayor of a city is closer



to the problem than any other official under our dual form of government. I can speak from actual experience when I say that it is far easier to be a legislator and tell the whole world and the other fellow what to do than to be a mayor and have to do it yourself.

Our first problem after we adjourned last year was to get some action on public works where cities had held bond elections. The P. W. A., as you all know, tapered down to a point where there were practically no new applications granted. Many of the cities had held elections on bond issues and found themselves having carried an election, and with all preparations and plans, but no resources to carry through.

We made arrangements with the Public Works Administrator, who is always understanding and desirous of being cooperative. We prevailed upon him to consider and grant applications where bond elections had been held and carried. To that extent we relieved many municipalities of embarrassing situations.

The next important matter, I think, was that of housing. We took a very careful survey of all the cities and received from you full and complete data concerning the housing situation and slum conditions throughout the country. Under your direction I appeared before the Senate Committee and then the House Committee, presented the facts, and urged the passage of some measure which would bring Federal aid to this important question of housing.

I need not review the opposition—you will find that in the minutes of the hearings it was the usual story of governmental interference and encroachment upon the field of private industry. But finally the Steagall-Wagner Act was passed and signed by the President.

It is yet too early to say just how many municipalities will be able to avail themselves of the provisions of that act. As you know, it requires an initial contribution on the part of the municipality or agency in charge of housing or undertaking the construction of low-rent housing. Once the initial contribution period has been met, the bill is generous in its provisions in making low rents in decent, sanitary dwellings possible.

One encouraging feature is that the President of the United States has appointed as Housing Administrator one who is familiar with housing conditions and is thoroughly conversant with municipal finances and the limitations of cities. That he is an excellent and well-qualified person I am sure you will understand when I tell you that he was one of my housing commissioners of the Municipal Housing Authority of New York City. A better choice, of course, the President could not have made.

The states and cities having housing authorities who can qualify under the law should be quick in making preliminary preparations and filing their applications for loans and the subsidy provisions. The bill provides for apportionment throughout the states, with a maximum limit for any one state, so that every section of the country is fully protected.

You gentlemen from the midwest will be happy to hear that the recommendation of this conference for a natural gas regulatory law was received by the House of Representatives and passed the House at the last session. We got it through very quickly, but I am too seasoned to say whether or not we slipped one through the House or whether the utility interests are waiting to fight it in the Senate. So, you gentlemen who are interested please get busy with your Senators. We will do all that we can to bring the information desired to the appropriate committee of the Senate and to urge its passage. The bill is now in the Senate and should pass at this session. I fear that we may find opposition in the Senate, prompted and motivated by the utility interests, so let us not rejoice until it is passed.

We endeavored to have the Federal Reserve Act amended so as to permit interest paying on city deposits. Unfortunately we failed, and, as you know, banks are not permitted to pay interest on city deposits. Many cities have met that condition by making time deposits. That,

of course, is not easy, and there is a loss to the cities even though the interest rate is very low. I, therefore, commend for your consideration again a request to Congress to amend the law, so as to permit interest on city deposits.

New York City has made arrangements for time deposits on certain portions of its cash balance. We were getting only quarter of one per cent, but when we have a cash balance of from ninety to one hundred twenty million dollars all of the time, of course, every quarter or every fraction of one per cent counts. I do not see why we are not entitled to interest on our money, unless, of course they want to force the municipalities into the banking business. We could not do any worse than is being done now. (Laughter.) We are not supposed to be business men. (Laughter.)

We have been very successful you will be glad to hear, in breaking up combinations in restraint of trade. Through the initiation of Mr. Paul Betters, director of our conference, we have established a clearing house for comparisons of prices on material and supplies purchased by municipalities. In fact, we have been the chief client of the Federal Trade Commission during the past year. We have filed several complaints where we had absolute proof of collusion in bidding for cities' business. Among the chief commodities are electric cable manufactures, cast iron soil pipes, water works valves and hydrants, turbine generators, cement, and even paper cups.

The Federal Trade Commission is acting very promptly on our complaints, and we are getting very satisfactory results. On the other hand, I want to commend to you the necessity of cooperating with the Federal Trade Commission by giving force and effect to its desist orders, not only on the supplies which we purchase by a reverse clearance, but when you get a notice from the director of your conference that there is a desist order see to it that you get the benefit of honest competition when you next advertise for the purchase of the given commodity.

I had an opportunity in New York City to give very effective force to one of the Federal Trade Commission decisions which did not affect the city purchases. This order was issued on certain candy manufacturers that featured gambling in the sale of their candy. You know, a given color receives a prize or a nickel back, and all sorts of chances, in the sale of candy. Needless to say, the candy was of inferior quality, and we had quite a problem in the large cities, because it really created and stimulated an appetite for gambling among the younger school children. The desist order was in effect several months when my attention was called to it, and I simply invoked the gambling laws of the State of New York and put the police on the job, and we have cleaned New York City of inferior candy with perfectly no-good prizes.

I hope you will give the Federal Trade Commission orders your consideration, because they are giving splendid assistance.

From candy we go to airports. We have received many complaints, and a great deal of dissatisfaction has been engendered because of the uncertainty of requirements of commercial airports. Many cities have invested large amounts in building airports, to find that by the time the airport is completed, it no longer conforms to the Department of Commerce requirements. Now, either the art is progressing more rapidly than airports can be constructed, or airports are not progressing sufficiently rapidly to keep up with the progress in the art. The fact remains that many of the airports which were supposed to be the last word, on completion were found to be too small and have been discontinued from the main lines.

Assistant Secretary of Commerce Johnson has been invited to speak on the subject, and mayors having that problem before them I am sure will be interested in hearing him and discussing the subject with him.

Another innovation which we are seeking to bring about in order to reduce the costs of supplies and materials purchased by cities, is to avail ourselves of omnibus contracts and prices obtained by the Federal



government. The Procurement Division of the Federal government, as you know, contracts for Federal supplies and materials used by the various departments of the Federal government, which naturally obtains low prices by reason of the quantity purchased or to be purchased.

It occurred to us that municipalities that have not their own purchasing department or that require but occasional purchases of a given commodity, or small quantities, could avail themselves of the prices thus obtained by the Federal government, and draw upon the manufacturers by requisition of any commodity contracted for by the Federal government. We, therefore, asked the Procurement Division of the Treasury Department if they would write a stipulation on all of their specifications giving to any city the right to purchase at the same price and under the same terms and conditions as the United States government. They were very anxious to cooperate, but found that they could not do so, under the law.

We have prepared a bill which we shall submit for your consideration; and I hope that you will all give it your approval, so that we may have it introduced in Congress. And if the contractors' association and the manufacturers' association do not oppose it too strenuously, I think we shall be able to have it passed. If it is passed, it will result in a very substantial saving to many municipalities and, in fact, to all municipalities that desire to take advantage of that provision.

You will recall that last year this conference approved the resolution forming a Public Health Committee, consisting of the United States Public Health Service, the Public Health Institute, the Academy of Medicine, and other medical organizations, to establish standard and uniform requirements and qualifications for public health officers. We have now learned that public health is a special and different branch of medicine than the practice of medicine and surgery; that actual experience can only be obtained in the public service; that public health service is now one of the principal responsibilities and functions of municipal government, and that it was difficult for large, medium-size and small cities to know where they could get the right kind of experienced and trained personnel for their public health work.

To that end this committee was organized at your request, and gave a whole year of study and consideration to the problem. We have received their report setting forth standards of experience and qualifications and classifications so that the smaller cities may be able to obtain the best available and experienced talent, and that larger cities may draw from the smaller cities, thereby creating regular "career" municipal public health officers.

As you know, in New York City—much to the disappointment and chagrin of politicians—I went out of the city to select a public health officer. I have done that on several occasions. And the results in New York City justify the hope that by establishment of such a national municipal career, all cities may be able to have at all times first-class service and uniformity in health and disease prevention throughout the country.

The next step, when you receive the report of the committee, is to carry out the intent of your original resolution and establish a list of eligibles from which the various cities may draw.

Another very important matter, which I can take up only in very sketchy manner, is the electrical code problem. Now, somebody has been "getting away with something" for a long time. There are 704 cities throughout the United States enforcing municipal electrical ordinances. These ordinances are commonly known as electrical codes, and are rules and regulations governing the installation of electrical equipment. The municipal officials enforcing that code are the municipal director, the inspector, and other city officials. Broad powers are enforced by these officials, such as electrical contracts, installation of electric wiring, regulations concerning fire underwriters, and the

like, and establishing penalties for noncompliance. These 704 cities have a total population of approximately fifty million people.

This master code is formed by a private group composed chiefly of manufacturers and fire underwriters. Their purpose is the practical safeguarding of persons, buildings and their contents. The National Electrical Code originated as a fire insurance code or standard, and slight changes are made from time to time.

Now, we have ascertained that cities are not getting the full benefit of improvement in the art; that improvements in wiring and other electrical installation appliances are purposely withdrawn in order to consume stock on hand, and that the most economical means are not employed in the rules and regulations of the code. While the code is revised every year, yet we of the 704 cities seemingly must follow the code of the manufacturers and fire underwriters. To give you an idea of how it works out: There are 47 votes, I think, in this Code Committee. And out of the 47 votes—mark you, representing all the municipalities—New York City—a municipality—has one vote; and the National Municipal Signal Association, which seems to be a public organization, has one.

There are two methods of approach. One is to seek to obtain larger representation on this code committee. I do not see how that would be very helpful, because even were they to increase the representation, we would still be in a minority. And the other method, and the one which I prefer, is that we establish our own code committee and have our code committee consist of the municipal officer in charge of that work in the various cities, have them meet once a year, and then have them coordinate with this private organization so that we may be kept informed of what they are doing and they may be kept informed of what we require, and at the same time utilize the benefit of our actual experience-to-be—and take the lead rather than be told by the industry just what we should buy and how we should buy it. We commend that to your consideration, and hope you will give it action.

We had very successful regional meetings at Philadelphia and were very hospitably entertained by Mayor Wilson. We took up matters concerning the cities of the east. We had a regional meeting at Los Angeles, where we were entertained by Mayor Shaw, and took up some of their problems there. I hope that this system of regional meetings will be continued by my successor, because I find that at these regional meetings there is more time for going into the details of the various problems confronting the mayors.

Last year, after the great flood, we decided that it might be well to approve some rules and regulations on emergency action by cities. As you know, Mayor Wilson of Philadelphia, was selected chairman, and I believe that committee is ready to make a report to this conference.

Now, gentlemen, we all come here burdened with a great many problems. I have had the pleasure of speaking with many of my colleagues yesterday afternoon and yesterday evening, and I sincerely trust that we will have full and frank discussion of the problems which are confronting the cities of this country. If necessary we might have a meeting this evening, so that mayors of the various cities may have an opportunity of taking the floor and expressing their thoughts and suggestions on some of these problems, and how they should be met.

I know that I express the regret of every mayor present that we are unable definitely to report an improvement in the unemployment situation. Each year as we gathered and received the survey of conditions in our cities it has been our fond hope that in the next conference we could see an improvement and be able to so announce it.

Gentlemen, we are not legislators. We are not the Congress; nor do we control the industries of the country. We are just mayors. We are confronted with actual conditions and we are bound to report realistically conditions as we find them, and only as the mayors of the cities of this country know them.

In the course of this conference the director of the United States



Conference of Mayors will report the findings of our last survey, upon which we must base our estimates of relief requirements for the balance of the fiscal year and the fiscal year 1938-1939.

In this regard we find ourselves in a most unpleasant situation. We are charged with appearing constantly in the role of beggars for Federal funds, and we are daily being hard pressed for more relief. Only through the stress of necessity and the anxiety to alleviate suffering do we assume this role. The administration of the Federal Works Progress Administration locally, on the whole has improved, and is now reaching the point of being well organized, with less and less loss of motion, effort, and waste of funds. Home relief, or direct relief administered by states, counties and municipalities, is likewise being well administered, with trained and experienced personnel—all, of course, within the limited resources of the fund-providing agency. Though the amounts required are staggering, yet no one will be able to point to any schedule of allowance for relief that is either extravagant or over the bare necessity of keeping body and soul together. The cost of administration is reducing constantly, to the point where a standard of proper administrative cost may be established. Frankly, I believe we will have to ask for a deficiency appropriation if the present trend of industry and employment either continues or becomes worse. It follows, therefore, that our estimates will indicate a large amount for the fiscal year 1938-1939.

Now, gentlemen, every mayor that I have spoken with, and they represented almost every section of the country, has felt the responsibility, and has felt that conditions for the winter will indicate an increase of unemployment. It would appear as though we are confronted with a recession in industry and in business. That is bad enough, but I want to take this opportunity of registering an emphatic protest against those who take great joy, and gloat in ballyhooing a return of this situation. I believe that a great deal of fear and timidity has been created by those who are ballyhooing what they call another depression. It is doing the country no good; it is doing our people no good; but, above all—they do not seem to know it—it is doing the very people who are doing the ballyhooing more harm than they can at this time contemplate.

Naturally there has been a reduction in production in the heavy industries. The result of that is that the vast public works program of the Federal government is just about completed. There was no complaint when the government was doing the ordering and doing the buying. Everybody seemed to be happier. When I say "everybody" I am referring to this group who are now complaining. On the one hand they want the orders, and on the other hand they do not want the government to spend any money. That is about the only suggestion received from that particular quarter to date.

There has been complaint and criticism of the various methods of caring, or failing to care for, unemployables in the various cities. I need not submit that it is manifestly unfair for cities that provide generously for unemployables and a large percentage of employables, and states that do likewise, to bear not only the cost of relief carried on by them, but also to contribute their share of the cost of relief for states and localities which make little or no provision. I can speak feelingly on the subject, gentlemen, because it happens that my town, along with some of the others, is caring not only for the unemployables, but for a large percentage of the employables. In other words, we are spending in New York City slightly over 10 million dollars a month for relief. That includes the city's share of about five and three-quarter million dollars a month, and the state's contribution of slightly over 4 million dollars a month. That means that we are taking over part of the load which, under the formula announced by the administration here in Washington, should be borne by the Federal government—that is, caring for the employables.

Now, then, if any state fails to contribute any part of the relief, and

depends entirely on the relief situation and what the Federal government does, you can readily see that they are receiving 100 per cent of relief and paying but a small fraction for it, while other communities that have the additional burden must contribute a large percentage of the general cost. We must work out some formula. It is not as easily done as said. I had a talk with Mr. Hopkins yesterday, and he is hopeful that we may be able to work out some formula.

Therefore, I would suggest that the committee that takes up this question should confer with the officials in Mr. Hopkins' office who have the data and the figures, so that we may make some suggestion to Mr. Hopkins, the Works Progress Administrator, on just how this is to work out. This is important, my colleagues, because if Congress attempts to re-write a fixed contribution on relief for employables, there are very few cities in this country that will be able to qualify under such a formula. It is not pleasant for me to make that statement, but somebody must make it, and we cannot be anything but realistic when we are dealing with a problem as human as that of relief, where we have millions of American families in need, through no fault of their own. We must be frank about it.

This brings up the question of cost, and its concomitant, taxes, federal, state and local. Loud is the grumbling about existing taxes, and with some justification, but, gentlemen, I repeat, we are not legislators. Many of us have been, and when we were legislators, we wanted to run the country. They would not let us. So here we are as mayors now.

When we talk about taxes we, as mayors, do not escape our share of abuse on local assessments and taxes. Every mayor will agree with me that our share of abuse on taxes is not only voluminous, but insistent and persistent. There is not a city in the country that has not its own tax troubles, and is on very thin ice in keeping the local budget balanced. Additional revenues from real property are simply not possible. When I say that I believe I am speaking for every mayor in this country. Sources for additional revenue for cities are naturally preempted either by the state or the Federal government. The prospect of maturing bonds issued for public works or for relief is not a pleasant one for any of us to contemplate. I point that out to show that cities have borne their just share of the depression costs. It is conceded that no city or state can possibly bear the entire cost of carrying the unemployed. That being so, the Federal government likewise is confronted with a critical condition. Responsibility cannot be avoided. It seems to me that in the matter of taxes uniformity might help a great deal in spreading the burden.

You will all recall our conference with the President of the United States two years ago, and the profound impression he made when he sensed most of our troubles by putting his finger on the taxing sore spot of our country. He pointed out the many, many tax collecting agencies and told us that he was bearing in mind the necessity of a tax reform program which would eliminate some of these agencies and thereby reduce some of the troubles, difficulties and burdens of our multiple taxing system.

It occurred to me that in the administration of income taxes it would not be difficult for the Federal government to adopt a system which would bring uniformity throughout the entire country. This would be advantageous to states that have attempted income taxes and would not put them at a disadvantage as compared with states which refused to do so and brazenly advertised the fact in order to attract industry within their confines.

I would suggest the Ramseyer formula, which has been adopted by the Federal government in the collection of Federal inheritance taxes. The formula was at first suggested by former Representative Ramseyer, from the state of Iowa, when an increase in the inheritance and gift taxes was proposed by Congress. It soon developed that states not having inheritance taxes hurried to write exemptions in their statutes



and even in their constitutions. This resulted in the migration of millionaires and multi-millionaires to the non-inheritance tax states. Such conditions gave rise to the Ramseyer formula, which provided credit for the state taxes of a certain percentage of the Federal tax. If the state imposed that percentage, the Federal tax was reduced to that extent. If not, the Federal tax was imposed and the revenue turned into the United States Treasury. We now have practical uniformity in inheritance taxes throughout the country. The same system should be adopted in income taxes. A casual survey would indicate an average of state income taxes which could be figured for a fair percentage allowance of the Federal taxes, and thereby not only bring about uniformity of income taxes, both personal and corporate, but would prevent what might be called unfair competition among the states. It would do a great deal to eliminate unfair competition, and also to establish a more uniform rate of wages in industry. I commend this plan for your consideration and action.

Not until the question of labor is definitely and satisfactorily settled can we hope to arrive at a point where we can estimate on a minimum permanent relief requirement. We are still struggling along, seemingly, blind, with the same old vicious circle—lack of purchasing power, reduced production; reduced production, reduced employment; increased relief. This conference has repeatedly expressed itself as to the necessity of creating a greater spread of employment and putting that vicious circle in reverse. This, in turn, is translated into increased purchasing power, increased production, increased employment, and decreased relief. It has now been accepted, I hope, by all, that the rural sections of our country and the cities are in the same boat. I point out that because I remember my early days as a member of Congress twenty years ago, when the cities used to oppose agricultural legislation and the rural representatives used to oppose measures affecting the industries. Rehabilitation of industry without the prosperity of the farm is as impossible as prosperity for the farmer without rehabilitation of industry. A way must be found to balance the surplus man-power of the one with the surplus crops of the other.

In establishing the so-called spread by a shorter working day and a shorter working week, it is necessary to give industry fair consideration, but industry should be willing to cooperate in this legislation instead of opposing and fighting it blindly. Eventually it will result in reduced taxes by reason of reduced appropriations for relief. It might take some time to reach this point. In the meantime I suggest for your consideration a recommendation to Congress to consider the advisability of providing, during the initial and adjustment period, some tax credit to industry that will adjust itself to shorter hours and a shorter week, thereby increasing the number of employees. This may well be worked out on the basis of percentage of employment increase.

We hear a great deal these days about the troubles of industry, but, gentlemen, unless we establish purchasing power in this country industry will have no market. I will concede that what business in this country requires is stability. On the other hand, what labor requires is security, and if we have security for one we can have stability for the other.

We hear a great deal now about so-called hard-headed financiers. The trouble, gentlemen, is that they are not hard-headed financiers. They thought they were. They were hard-hearted financiers, and soft-headed. They knew more about the design of a stock certificate than they knew about sound finances, which caused most of our troubles.

I welcome the situation of hard-headed financiers, but to date I have not heard or seen any constructive or helpful suggestions. If there ever was a time when this country required cooperation among all of its people in the face of a great emergency, it is this very moment. I know that I speak for the mayors of our cities when I call upon in-

dustry, finance and labor to get together and to work together for the mutual benefit and welfare of our country. (Applause.)

On the labor side of this question, the mayors are in a position to speak from first-hand information. While there may be a division of theories on the labor question, the fact remains that every mayor must sleep with his labor troubles. We do not get our information from surveys or reports; it comes right to our desks every morning.

On two separate occasions has this conference made direct appeal to organized labor for unity in its ranks. No matter how sympathetic a mayor may be to the cause of labor, it is extremely difficult to improve labor conditions as long as there is a split within the ranks of organized labor. Just as soon as we have been relieved by the settling of a given labor dispute, invariably we are confronted with the fact that another group of organized labor has started a strike in the very same industry or, perhaps, in the very same shop. To see one group of organized labor strike against an employer who has just settled with another group of organized labor is not only unsatisfactory but is most discouraging.

There is but one objective of organized labor, and that is to see that the wage-earner is fully protected against exploitation, to improve working conditions, and to insist upon fair, equitable, and just wages. That being so, it is devoutly to be wished that pending differences between two great labor groups be speedily adjusted and that organized labor again operate through united leadership, heading one family.

At this very time it is encouraging to know that there is being held here in Washington a meeting of the representatives of the two groups of organized labor. I, therefore, commend to your consideration the preparation of another message to the present labor conference here in Washington, urging and pleading for a speedy adjustment, as I have just indicated.

That, gentlemen, will briefly indicate the problems that we shall take up during the sessions of the next three days. A program has been arranged, bringing here outstanding authorities on the subjects they will discuss.

One of the immediate matters before us is that of obtaining an accurate unemployment census. The mayors of the cities have been called upon to appoint advisory committees. I am sure you have all done so. In New York City we have an advisory committee that is now waging an educational campaign. We have now recruited or put into service our entire relief administration, consisting of several thousand investigators. This will be followed up, during the last three days, by concerted police action in checking to see that every one has properly filled out the questionnaires. It is important that we get an accurate census. Not for our benefit, gentlemen; we know the conditions; but to convince many—I won't say many; but some people—in this country, who are under the belief that there is work and people refuse to take employment. It is, therefore, necessary to record the actual conditions which you and I and every other mayor of the United States know.

I now take pleasure in presenting to you the Director of the Unemployment Census, Mr. John D. Biggers, who will go into detail on the subject. (Applause.)

**Address of Dr. Thomas Parran, Surgeon-General of the United States Public Health Service, on "The Cities and the War Against Social Disease."**

Dr. Thomas Parran, Surgeon-General of the United States Public Health Service, addressed the United States Conference of Mayors on the subject: "The Cities and the War Against Social Disease."

Dr. Parran discussed this problem in a frank and scholarly manner, calling the attention of the United States Conference of Mayors that syphilis is essentially a "city" disease, being four times more preva-



lent in cities than in the rural sections, and that Health and other municipal authorities can do much to stamp it out.

The two principal sources of original infection are the prostitute and the clandestine.

He told the Conference that every city in the country is paying for syphilis whether controlled or not. He assured the Conference that the control of the disease would pay big dividends. Its control is one of the most urgent problems of public health, because syphilis is so insidious that one-half of the people infected with it are not aware of its existence.

He pointed out that in many cases the first symptoms are both slight and transitory. They appear for a short while and then entirely disappear, except for positive showing in a blood test.

Dr. Parran called attention to the case of a man in high standing, who consulted him professionally. Dr. Parran told this gentleman that if he, the doctor, were not so well acquainted with him, because of his splendid reputation as a professor in one of our colleges, that he would say to him: "Professor, you have all the symptoms of syphilis." As a personal friend of this distinguished gentleman, Dr. Parran, after making the proper test, found that it was a case of congenital syphilis in the professor's grandchild who had passed the disease to the grandmother who fondled the child, and from the grandmother to the grandfather, and all due to their son-in-law, who believed that he had been cured before his marriage.

The congenital cases represent syphilis in its most tragic form. Sixty thousand cases of congenital syphilis are discovered annually, and these are *entirely preventable*.

A mother treated in the first months of her pregnancy has a chance of having a normal healthy child; while one without treatment suffering from the disease has ten out of eleven chances of delivering an infant which will be a victim.

It is not a social disease like tuberculosis. It is an anti-social disease. Ten to twelve per cent of all heart attacks are the result of heart syphilis. Ten percent of all admissions to insane asylums are the result of syphilis.

The war to eradicate syphilis involves large expenditures, but these expenditures are justified on humanitarian grounds. Money cannot be spent for a better purpose than to prevent still births, blind and crippled children, insanity, sudden heart deaths, misery and untold suffering.

Wherever syphilis is attacked it recedes. In all Sweden, a country of 6,500,000 people, there were last year only 369 known cases, and only 12 congenital cases in Copenhagen.

And finally, Dr. Parran said that a good clinic is the backbone of the control of this disease, and that every city should make every effort to aid in the eradication of this horrible disease.

### The Municipal Airport Problem.

Colonel J. Monroe Johnson, Assistant Secretary of Commerce, outlined the importance of aviation and called attention to the accelerating tempo of technological progress in aviation. He stressed the fact that cities are encountering extreme difficulty in keeping step with the rapidly increasing demands of the larger aircrafts. He said that the development is progressing faster and faster all the time, and the rate of progress is increasing. The whole movement is speeded up. Every significant discovery makes the next one easier. Every significant invention forms the source from which a dozen others spring. The whole process of aviation ramifies, intensifies and accelerates and there is an irresistible trend toward greater size and mechanical intricacy. We know that air traffic, in some localities, has increased as much as 200 and 300 per cent during the past few years; that during 1937 we will fly about one million passengers on our scheduled air-

lines, an increase of 25 per cent over last year. We know that our express business had doubled in the past twelve months; that frequency of schedules has markedly increased; that transport aircraft weighing 83,000 pounds are now under construction, and that one company, at the present time, is designing a transport plane weighing 186,000 pounds, compared to about 24,000 pounds, the present maximum. The cold fact staring us in the face is the realization that within the next twelve months there will be mammoth transport planes in the air which, in all probability, cannot satisfactorily use more than five or six, at most, of the airports in this country in their present condition.

The Bureau of Air Commerce is making a factual survey of all the airports in the United States as to their physical facilities, character, possibility of extension, personnel and service, meteorological data, together with all relevant facts concerning landing strips, the runways, the aprons, the hangars, the administration buildings, the lighting, the marking and identification, the obstructions on or near the field. These features determine the airport's present classification.

The Assistant Secretary of Commerce recommended that all obstructions, such as high smokestacks and towers of all kinds, be eliminated in proximity to the airports, for the reason that modern planes travel several miles before they get to a safe altitude and that the new planes weighing 186,000 pounds will require greater space in which to gain elevation. In concluding his address, he said: "... the municipalities of the United States and the United States itself will not be parsimonious to this new form of transportation that is revolutionizing the relationships of mankind, redesigning the pathways and cross-roads of transportation, and removing the handicap of remoteness. No municipality in our nation can be wise that fails to make such sacrifice and expenditures as will make certain and sure that these modern roads of the air come to their domain and at their doors."

---

Mayor Allen of Augusta, Georgia, said that the question of airports is the concern of three agencies: First, the municipality itself; second, the Federal Government; and third, the airlines that use or hope to use these fields.

The Government should aid municipalities in construction and maintenance of airports, primarily for national defense; and secondly, for a first-class mail service that its citizens are entitled to. The type of ships now being flown by American lines can be readily converted into highly efficient bombers, and it is for this great potential defense reason that our Government should be more generous in its assistance to municipalities.

The Government subsidies for carrying the mail are the principal support of the airlines, but the Government has done little for the airports. If the Government changes its regulations from time to time as to minimum length of runways, it should pay for the increase in the length needed.

Airport aid is one of the most justifiable expenditures that the Government can indulge in, in relation to assisting municipalities. Not so much revenue as yet can be expected from airlines. These airlines cannot, in view of the rapid obsolescence of equipment, expensive operation, and always new and expensive safety measures, make money by hauling passengers. If the fares charged were on this basis, we would see only a few hundred people in this country able to ride in aeroplanes. The airlines must make their money on the subsidies for carrying the mail, and for national defense.

The main problem is the rapidly increasing, and seemingly never ending demands being made on municipalities by the Government and the airlines, for enlarged airports and better accommodations. Three thousand five hundred foot runways are about the maximum now. It



is conceded that runways should be increased for the reason that the heavy planes now being constructed require greater length. He said: "... the runway behind the aviator is not worth a damn. What is in front of him is what counts. The Government should pay for the increase in the length."

---

Honorable Frank Couzens, Mayor of Detroit, said that progress in aviation in the last seven years has been so rapid and amazing that one hesitates to prognosticate the advances that may be expected in the next decade.

A very large percentage of operation carried on at city airports is devoted entirely to interstate travel and traffic. Every airport is a potential port for interstate operation.

This brings up the question as to whether or not it is the sole responsibility of an individual city to carry the burden of providing interstate facilities.

A few years ago when the financial problem of cities was less severe and when the romance and enthusiasm of the general public were at a high peak, little attention was paid to this question of interstate travel, but now it is being questioned by city officials and taxpayers alike.

Exhaustive and fair-minded study of the situation makes it apparent that the cities logically should bear a major portion of the expense of operating a city airport for the general advantage of fast transportation to its citizens, but that the Federal Government should also share in the cost of providing a general national system of airports.

There is nothing new or radical in this idea, it has a perfect analogy in the development of federal highways linking one city with another. It has a further analogy in the tremendous sums which have been devoted to the development of rivers and harbors for ship transportation, and for navigational aids along these rivers and harbors. Still another analogy is found in the subsidizing of railroads in times past.

The Federal Government has still another and all-important reason for the development of a national system of airports and that is for the benefit of national defense. Quoting Major-General Oscar Westover, Chief of the Air Corps of the United States:

"The principal basis for defense against air attack is the network of civil airports, extending into all the strategic areas of the continental United States. It is fortunately situated in possessing, in most areas, an adequate number of civil airports for the purposes of defense. In several strategic areas, due to sparsity of population and consequent lack of demand, civil airports are inadequate in number at the present.

"Where large defensive air forces are operating, a considerable number of airports are required to permit the desirable amount of dispersion of the air units. The fewer the number of planes located on a given airdrome the less remunerative is the target they present to enemy air attack.

"A large number of airports available also permits frequent changes in locations of the operating units, so that one day an airport may be occupied and the next day not. This tends to render extremely difficult the attack operations of enemy aircraft. This same multiplicity of airports favors the third device of defense—concealment.

"With a large number of airports available, some of which are unoccupied, and with frequent shifts, concealment is more apt to succeed, since the enemy may assume that an airport where airplanes are well concealed is not in use."

At the present time it has been ruled by the legal division of the Department of Commerce that while the Federal Government is authorized to expend federal monies on the development of airways, that these airways stop at the boundaries of any city airport. Consequently, it is impossible for the Federal Government to share any

of the cities' expense even though they may recognize the justice of it.

May I take the liberty of calling to your attention a bill which was offered in Congress at the last session, H. R. No. 6972, known as the Dingel Bill, which was designed to remedy this situation and make it legal and possible for the Federal Government to share its logical and fair portion of the development of a national system of airports. For those who are not familiar with the Dingel Bill, it merely authorizes the Federal Government to share with cities and other political subdivisions of the United States the cost of improvement and maintenance of publicly-owned airports to insure the safe operation of aircraft.

Under the terms of the bill, the Secretary of Commerce is directed to make a study of the airports of the United States and report to the Congress annually, recommending the appropriation necessary for the improvement or maintenance of city, county and other publicly-owned airports.

This bill is a very simple one and in effect merely an enabling act which would bring to each and every city airport the assistance which is almost mandatory. Coming before the budget annually it would tend to meet the situations which are bound to occur in the future but which none of us are able to foretell.

May I point out that since the Federal Government has extended aid toward the development of railroads, steamship lines and highways, it cannot be charged that federal assistance toward the development of aerial transportation is in any way a departure from established practice and certainly cannot be termed a radical or revolutionary step.

The resultant increase in commerce, it is believed, would equal proportionately the volume of business created by the extensive construction of railways, highways and steamship lines in this country.

The Dingel Bill has been generally recognized as constructive legislation and its passage will depend primarily upon the force with which every city with an airport impresses the seriousness of its individual problems upon its representatives in Congress.

Militant support of this bill will not only solve our city airport problems but will help make these United States assume the position of leadership in the field of aviation as it has in other means of transportation.

The problem of our city airports is not merely a local and individual one. Because it is a mutual problem it is naturally a national problem, and we should, therefore, unite and do something about it. We can all help materially by lending our whole-hearted support in urging the passage of the Dingel Bill.

---

Hon. James W. Cole, Assistant to the Honorable Harlee Branch, Second Assistant Postmaster General, read a paper entitled "Airmail Service and City Airports." Mr. Branch was unable to be present, due to an automobile accident.

With the development of larger, heavier and faster airplanes arises a serious airport problem. During the past four years this problem has grown more and more acute.

Many of the airports along our commercial airways were laid out and constructed for planes in service at the time of their construction. Neither the engineers nor anyone else could foresee the remarkable development which has come about, and airports which were adequate at the time became inadequate with the introduction of larger and faster types of planes.

While cities are reaching their limits to provide funds for airport development, the development of larger, heavier and faster planes goes on all the time, and almost every year the factories are turning out new models which cannot land on or take off from airports which a few months previous were considered perfectly adequate.



The total amount of money invested in airports in the United States is approximately three hundred and forty-two million dollars, and of this amount the Government, through the Public Works program, has expended seventy million dollars.

Municipalities appreciating the advantage of being on regularly scheduled commercial airlines, have, almost without exception, been eager to provide adequate airports and in many cases they have willingly incurred a financial strain in order to do so. Therefore, one can easily imagine their dismay when they receive notice that new types of planes are being installed on the routes which serve them, and that their airports are inadequate for the landing and taking off of such planes, so that service must be discontinued at their cities until such time as the airports can be improved and expanded, or until entirely new airports can be provided.

This has happened quite frequently in the past two years. Some cities have had their service interrupted for months, and some for more than a year, while they were enlarging their old airports or constructing new airports, and what is more disturbing is that when airports have been expanded and when new airports have been constructed, the cities owning them have no assurance that within a year or two still larger and still faster planes may not again disrupt their service and call for further heavy airport expenditures.

Let us consider a concrete example. About two years ago fourteen passenger, one hundred and seventy-five mile-per-hour planes were put in operation on all of our transcontinental lines, and during the past year these lines have added twenty-one passenger, one hundred and ninety mile-per-hour planes. With the installation of the fourteen-passenger planes some airports became inadequate, and service had to be withdrawn until they could be improved, and when the twenty-one passenger planes were put into service, other airports became inadequate and, in fact, some of those which had been enlarged to serve the fourteen-passenger planes would not accommodate the twenty-one-passenger planes.

Cities which lost service because of these conditions very naturally and very vigorously complained. They had expended hundreds of thousands of dollars on their airports and were amazed to find them practically useless. A few cities gave up altogether; said they had reached their limit and could provide no more money. Other cities insisted that the airlines should be made to provide equipment which could use their airports, and practically all of them demanded assurance that if they met the airport requirements of the new planes, they would not next year, or the year after, find themselves in a similar situation.

Within the next year, four-engine, thirty-two and forty passenger, two hundred and fifteen mile-per-hour planes will be in operation on some of our transcontinental routes.

Another airport problem that must be seriously considered is the selection of a site susceptible of expansion, and not too close to rivers, highways, telegraph lines, mountains, smokestacks, tall buildings and other approach obstructions.

Notwithstanding the airport and its problems, the Government has built up a remarkable and marvelously efficient air transport system in the United States. Rarely a month passes that we do not have representatives of foreign governments coming to the United States to look over our airlines and to study our operations.

In our domestic airmail system, which embraces practically all of the commercially operated transport airlines, we have thirty-two thousand route miles, over which planes fly more than fifty million miles a year. There is not a minute of the day or night when transport planes are not winging their way over some of these routes.

Every route in our airmail system is now being operated with multi-motored planes.

During the past five years airmail volume and revenues from airmail postage have practically doubled, while there has been a very considerable reduction in the cost for transporting airmail.

For the fiscal year 1937 the Department paid the domestic airmail contractors approximately twelve million nine hundred thousand dollars for the transportation of nineteen million pounds of airmail, from which the postage revenues amounted to more than twelve million dollars.

Included in the nineteen million pounds of airmail transported during the last fiscal year over our domestic airlines were more than one hundred and fifty million letters which were posted by more than fifteen million firms and individuals. A large percentage of it was important business mail, and much of it was of an emergency character.

This foreign airmail service extends from the United States to all the Latin American countries, to Puerto Rico, to the Virgin Islands, to the principal islands of the Windward and Leeward groups, to Canada, and across the Pacific Ocean to Hawaii, the Philippines, and the Orient. We have airmail service between the islands of the Hawaiian group and in Alaska.

We expect to have service to Bermuda, and across the Atlantic Ocean to Europe, and within the next two years we hope to have a line operating from some point in the northwestern section of the United States into Alaska, connecting with the service now being operated in the Territory.

With such growth and development it is not surprising that many serious problems are encountered. The Assistant Postmaster General assured the Conference that the airport problem, as serious as it is, is just one of a number of very perplexing questions, all of which require much careful study for constructive solution.

---

Mayor Carson of Portland said it should not be the sole responsibility of cities to provide proper airport facilities. The Government has subsidized railroads in the past and should subsidize any increase in requirements of municipal airports.

---

Mayor Wilson of Philadelphia said the construction and improvement of airports is just as much the duty of the Government as the building of battleships. Planes of 150-foot wingspread are being built and require greater and corresponding accommodations. The Mayors at the Conference represent forty million people and they have a right to demand assistance from the Government to improve the airports. For a municipality to go on spending money to meet advance and improvement in aviation in order to accommodate the Government for air defense is as ridiculous as if a municipality should provide railroad stations and post offices.

The problem of airports, and there are 728 of them in the United States, is a national one for the benefit of the entire nation. To keep airports abreast of the rapid advance in aviation demands that the United States Government shall pay for the improvements and the changes required from now on.

---

Mayor Mansfield of Boston said that the cities should not be expected any longer to further the development of airports for Government purposes.

---

A letter was read from Miss Jeanette Randolph in which she recommends that the one-cent collected on gasoline used by airplanes should be turned over to the airports for improvements.



Mayor LaGuardia of New York called attention to the fact that the railroads received land grants and subsidies in the early days; that the Government has done practically nothing for aviation; in fact, aviation has developed in spite of the Government; that the Government must be awakened from its indifference and that even now there is a woeful lack of safety appliances.

We have received many complaints, and a great deal of dissatisfaction has been engendered because of the uncertainty of requirements of commercial airports. Many cities have invested large amounts in building airports, to find that by the time the airport is completed, it no longer conforms to the Department of Commerce requirements. Now, either the art is progressing more rapidly than airports can be constructed, or airports are not progressing sufficiently rapidly to keep up with the progress in the art. The fact remains that many of the airports which were supposed to be the last word, on completion were found to be too small, and have been discontinued from the main lines.

He said that under the dual control of the Department of Commerce and the Post Office Department, aviation cannot reach perfection. The Post Office Department is political and kicks aviation around to suit itself. The authority over aviation should be transferred to the Department of Commerce and to the Interstate Commerce Commission.

---

At the conclusion of the Conference, the following resolution calling upon the Federal Government to establish a national policy with regard to airports was adopted unanimously:

"Whereas, The major cities of the United States have expended millions of dollars for the construction and reconstruction of public airports and the combined investment of cities in air terminals now reaches a substantial sum, and

"Whereas, Many of the airports built by the cities during the past few years under established rules and regulations of the United States Bureau of Air Commerce have already become or will shortly become obsolete due to the rapid development of larger and heavier aircraft, and

"Whereas, The cities are unable financially to continue pouring local funds into the enlargement of airports in order to keep abreast of the requirements of present and potential types of equipment used by the air transportation industry and approved by the Federal Government, and

"Whereas, Cities should not be expected nor does there exist any reason why they should subsidize a form of transportation that is now almost completely inter-state in character both as to passenger travel and airmail service, and

"Whereas, Air transportation and the terminals required are now analogous to rail and bus transportation for which the cities are not responsible for maintaining terminal facilities as well as comparable to highways and rivers and harbors, in which fields the Federal interest has been accepted for many years, and

"Whereas, It is agreed, from the standpoint of national defense, that many of the municipal airports are of greatest importance and are vested with a national interest, and

"Whereas, Up to the present time no definite and long-term federal policy has been established with regard to *airports* as a phase of the publicly-subsidized air transportation industry,

"Therefore, Be It Resolved, That this Annual Conference of the United States Conference of Mayors directly representing the major cities of the nation involved in this problem call upon the President and the Congress to initiate legislation providing for a federal airport policy which, when applied in cooperation with the aid of the cities, will meet the present situation, and

"Be It Further Resolved, That in view of the national interest and responsibility this proposed legislation provide for adequate and proper federal *financial* responsibility for constructing and maintaining, in cooperation with the cities, those air terminals forming a part of the national airways system."

#### Resolution on Federal Aid for Airports.

The following resolution on the subject "Federal Aid for Airports" was submitted by the Honorable Frank L. Shaw, Mayor of Los Angeles, at the United States Conference of Mayors:

Whereas, there are 758 municipal airports now operating in the United States; and

Whereas, these airports constitute an important and vitally necessary unit of the aerial transportation system and of the aviation industry generally, rendering a public service of great benefit to the entire nation; and

Whereas, the municipal airports are indispensable to the United States as a facility of national defense; and

Whereas, with the exception of such funds as have been made available under the various Emergency Relief Programs, the burden of the cost of acquisition, construction, development and maintenance of these airports has fallen almost in its entirety upon the municipalities, notwithstanding the national and extra-municipal scope of the service rendered to the public and the aviation industry by the said airports; and

Whereas, large sums have been, are now, and will be required in the future to keep the development of facilities of these said airports abreast of the progress and expansion made in the manufacturing and operating divisions of the aviation industry, and to insure the safe and efficient operation of all types of aircraft; and

Whereas, adequate funds with which to prosecute necessary and desirable improvement and expansion programs are not readily available from their own revenues to most of the municipalities operating municipal airports; and

Whereas, the restriction of development of ground facilities, resulting from the lack of such funds involves the safety of air transportation and threatens seriously to impede and hinder the continued advancement of aviation and of the service rendered the public by aviation; and

Whereas, the need of modern, improved ground facilities at airports is a continuing one, and one which, therefore, demands a permanent program of financing in order satisfactorily to meet conditions as they arise; and

Whereas, the Federal Government, recognizing the importance to the nation of its transportation systems, has as a matter of public policy, rendered financial and other assistance in the construction and development of the railroads, in the construction and development of marine shipping, in the construction and development of harbors and inland waterways, and in the construction and development of a national highway system; and

Whereas, the adoption of a policy of Federal financial assistance and cooperation in the development of the public airports of the nation would not, therefore, mark any departure from established public policy, inasmuch as safe and adequate airports are absolutely essential to the satisfactory functioning of aerial transportation; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the United States Conference of Mayors petition the Congress of the United States to enact such legislation as is necessary and competent to provide for and authorize a permanent program of Federal financial cooperation in the construction, improvement, development and expansion of publicly owned airports, to the end that adequate funds will be available, when needed, to carry on and complete such projects of construction, improvement, development and



expansion as are necessary to meet the requirements of public safety, public convenience and the proper functioning of the aviation industry in its service to the people of the United States.

(Resolution adopted unanimously.)

TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 16, 1937.

### GOVERNMENT AND INDUSTRIAL DISPUTES.

Address by Honorable J. Warren Madden, Chairman National Labor Relations Board, on "Industrial Disputes From the National Viewpoint."

When the Congress decided in 1935 to undertake the regulation of employers in their labor relations, on an extensive scale, it made use again of the same device which it had used to regulate railroads, wire and radio communications companies, trade practices, and so forth. It set up a Board and gave it power to investigate and adjudicate cases of unfair labor practices by employers, in which the national government had an interest by reason of the effect of these practices upon interstate commerce. It did not attempt to duplicate laws and enforcement machinery already in operation in the states. It recognized that there was already plenty of state law regulating the conduct of employees who had struck or been locked out by their employers. The very physical facts of the situation put such employees out in an exposed position where they were within easy reach of numerous state and local laws.

The problem of administration of the law as to employees is largely a problem of policing the scene of the trouble. That is a difficult and delicate job which sometimes requires large numbers of officers available on short notice. It is a field into which the Federal Government should not enter unless it is willing to set up a large police force scattered over the country so that the Federal men could take charge of strike situations displacing state, county, and local police officers. No government can take the responsibility for a job of law enforcement without, at the same time developing the power to do the job.

But on the employer side, there was an almost complete absence of state law. If an employer refused to recognize and bargain with representatives of his employees, thus leaving them no recourse except a strike when he could probably have saved the community and the country all of the inconvenience and danger and financial loss by bargaining with them, there was no law to reach him, though there was plenty of law to reach his employees after they had struck. If the employer discharged employees who took positions of leadership in their unions, thus practically compelling their fellow employees to strike or surrender all possibility of having a union to improve their bargaining position, there was no law to reach him, though he was the sole original cause of the strike and its consequences.

Here then was an open field, untouched by existing state law, which desperately needed to be brought under legal regulation. The condition of the law in placing all legal responsibility for labor troubles upon the workers who were frequently the victims of the real wrong, and were obliged to get into the street to preserve their self-respect, was a public scandal and was recognized by Congress as such. It therefore provided that there should be some law for employers, to accompany the large amount of existing law for employees. It did not enact criminal law with the accompaniments of policemen's clubs, patrol wagons, jails and police courts. It provided for a mild administrative hearing after due notice, leading, if a violation is proved, to an order to "cease and desist" which must be reviewed and approved in a Circuit Court of Appeals of the United States before it can be enforced.

Many of you know some of our field people, in your regions, very well. We do not have agents located in all of the important cities,

but only in twenty-two, each office serving a region. Some of these regions cover several states, while others cover only one, or a part of one state, depending on the density of the population. It would be well if our field people would call in and get acquainted with the mayors, for we are all dealing, from different angles, with common problems. We are attempting to achieve and preserve industrial peace coupled with freedom and self-respect for American workmen. America today is a shining example of the virtues of that political freedom for the common man which is called democracy. In a world of dictatorships of various brands, some of them achieving much physical neatness and good order under governmental compulsion, what decent American would exchange his free political status for the condition of the subjects of those countries, even though the blunders of his American governments, national and local, are frequent and costly.

Being as devoted as ever to political democracy after long experience with it, there is no reason whatever for Americans to be pessimistic about the practicability of industrial democracy. And that industrial democracy can come only through the organization of workmen into strong, self-reliant unions. Every informed person knows that the individual workman is helpless, so far as having any control over his wages, hours, conditions of employment and the security of his job is concerned.

This is said with full recognition of the fact that there are many employers who out of benevolence or enlightened self-interest may voluntarily give to their employees advantages approximating those which could be gained by organization and equal bargaining. But such employees are just as helpless and economically dependent as are those of the worst type of employer. You have, no doubt, frequently heard it said "Why does the union bother the X company? It treats its men the best of any employer in the community. Why doesn't the union limit its activities to Y and Z who are bad employers?" I have no doubt that in the days of human slavery, many slaves were treated better by their owners than they were treated by the world after they were given their freedom. But that was never regarded as a reason for freeing only the slaves of vicious masters, and leaving those of benevolent masters in slavery. There can be no question about the right of unions to organize the employees of benevolent employers, nor of the right of such employees to join unions if they choose.

We come back then to the premise that American workmen need to organize to escape economic helplessness. The law, in the administration of which I have a part, is an attempt on the part of the National government to protect workers in their enjoyment of the right to organize. The National government's interest in the question, which forms the constitutional basis of the statute, is that denials of this right have, in the past, habitually caused industrial strife which has disturbed the flow of commerce in more states than one. It must be conceded that these problems are also of vital interest to the states. But, as is true in many other situations under our American system of dual sovereignty, where both the national government and the local government have an interest in a problem, the interest of the national government takes precedence. As Dean Inge has said "If two will ride a horse, one must ride in front."

The statute does not, as you know, apply to all employers, but only to those whose business is sufficiently related to interstate commerce. That leaves a large number of employers for the states alone to deal with, and several important states have this year enacted statutes similar to the federal statute. But all of you have in operation within your states the federal statute. The achievement of the purposes of the federal statute will have many local effects which will be of interest to you. If employees of interstate enterprises in your communities secure the right to have unions, through the federal statute, this desire



for freedom will undoubtedly spread to employees of local enterprises, and they will resent, even more than they have in the past, interferences by their employers with this right. You will have then the task of persuading your local employers to concede this right to their employees, even though you have no state statute to compel them to do so. Your justifications for trying to so persuade them is that it is morally indefensible for an employer to deny to his employees this right, regardless of any law, and that by doing so he invites and incites industrial strife. Another effect on the national law, which effect should be temporary is that there may be, accompanying this new freedom a certain amount of difficulty in achieving the numerous and somewhat delicate adjustments which are necessary in the new relation. You may have had, on the one hand, an employer enterprise which has spent years and large sums in inculcating into every one of its officers and supervisory employees, down to the last sub-foreman, the idea that it was required of them to hunt out and discharge any employee who dared to take a serious interest in unionization. You then have had, on the other hand, a mass of workers who never dared to discuss or hear discussed in open and frank American fashion, the problems of unionization or the proper methods and manners of industrial statesmanship. There had been no opportunity whatever for the gradual discovery and development of leadership, for an assumption of union leadership marked a man and his family for economic destruction.

Then came the National Labor Relations Act with its promise of freedom from this kind of suppression. Attempts to exercise the new freedom followed, but were ruthlessly suppressed by many employers in complete defiance of the law. The disappointed promise of the law and the delay in its enforcement caused by litigation merely deepened the growing resentment. Then, in some cases by means of strikes, in other cases by reason of a realization on the part of executives of great enterprises that this kind of tactics was leading to probable disaster, agreements were made between the high executives of the companies and of the unions. But whence were to come all the thousands of lesser leaders, from the ranks of both management and men, who were to man this fundamentally new relationship and make it an effective orderly thing down in the ranks where the men work and foremen direct.

There followed the inevitable period of reconstruction which always has and always will follow fundamental changes in human relationships. There followed also the senseless cry from the thoughtless, and from others who must know better and whose good faith must therefore be questioned, "see what you get when you attempt to deal with a union which hasn't developed adequate and responsible leadership." Does it not occur to these alarmists that the public got just what the companies in question had been engaged for years in deliberately manufacturing for them; dissension between company supervisors and foremen who were expected to deal with unions when they had for years been meticulously trained to suppress unions, and men now in positions of local leadership in unions, who had ruthlessly denied any opportunity to develop their qualities of leadership by experience. In truth, the results have been surprisingly good, as many of you know, and both sides have accommodated themselves to the new situation with more success than might reasonably have been expected.

In any event, and whatever the difficulties of reconstruction are to be in any industry, there is no possible way to completely escape such a period. If we wait for industrial democracy in any industry or in any community until a sufficient number of leaders on both sides experienced in the practice of industrial democracy come forward to man the new enterprise, we have lost our common sense and are waiting for the impossible.

For those of you whose communities have been bedeviled by these problems of reconstruction, and by resistance and non-compliance which has denied to your communities the benefits of this law, I can only say that I hope and believe that such troubles are temporary and that you can help to mould public opinion in the direction of compliance with the law and ultimate industrial peace.

*I should be less than frank in addressing a gathering of mayors if I should fail to point out some of the shortcomings which we have observed on the part of some mayors who may or may not be members of my audience today. There are some cities in which the law of the land as represented in the National Labor Relations Act is being frustrated with the connivance of the mayor and other officials who are sworn to uphold the law. Mayhem, murderous assault, and kidnapping have been committed with impunity in some cities against American citizens whose only offense has been that they were union organizers. Some of these crimes have been committed under circumstances in which the criminals could not possibly have escaped detection unless the police had been under instructions that crimes against these people were to go unpunished. I have seen a man who is as gentle and as deserving of the protection of the law as you and I are whose head was hammered to a bloody pulp with an iron hammer on the main street of a great American city by a gang of criminals in plain view of many witnesses. He was a union organizer. No arrests were made.*

*Some communities have sold their souls to the proprietors of runaway shops, and their leading citizens, mayors, and police regard it as a part of the bargain that they must violate their own criminal laws and prevent their workmen from enjoying their rights under the National Labor Relations Act, lest the runaway shall again run away. I do not think that any community really profits from the presence of an industry which constantly threatens that it will not stay unless the citizens and public authorities participate in or connive at violence and crime. It may keep its working people in subjection through fear of physical injury and economic destruction for a time. But there is something essentially decent about American people and they will not tolerate for long this kind of anarchy. Many closed towns have been opened up in recent months. The constitutional liberties of freedom of speech and freedom of assembly and the right of American citizens to walk the streets without risk of being arrested for some imaginary crime and given by some company controlled magistrate the choice of getting out of town or going to jail, have come for the first time to many communities. And these liberties will come to the communities where they are still denied. If the influential people in these communities are wise, they will concede these fundamental rights and bring their communities back into the normal civilization of the United States. They will not continue to give working people the well-grounded impression that the law is not made for them, but for the more fortunate classes. In this way the period of reconstruction can be shortened and in many cases entirely avoided.*

In the Board's consideration of cases under the Act, it frequently appears that contracts have been entered into by the employer with some purported representatives of his employees. Sometimes the employer addresses to the board an argument based upon such a contract which, when analyzed, is to this effect: "Yes, I did violate the terms of the law; I did prevent my employees from exercising their rights to have representatives of their own choosing; I did coerce them into accepting representatives of my own choosing. But I have made a 'contract' with the representatives I have chosen for my employees, and there is nothing you can do about it."

That argument would make lawful a yellow-dog promise exacted from employees, or an arrangement with a company-dominated union,



simply because those promises or arrangements had been reduced to the form of a contract. That argument amounts to say that the Constitution protects the employer against the application of the law because he took it upon himself to make a contract in the teeth of the law, and this notwithstanding the fact that the law has been fully sustained as constitutional by the Supreme Court. That argument in short would permit employers to nullify at will any and all provisions of the law, simply by making a "contract" about them or inconsistent with them.

No thoughtful person would expect a board honestly devoted to the effectuation of the policies of the law to agree with such an argument. The present board has refused to do so from the very beginning of its administration of the law, and it will continue in this position until the courts, which have the last word on these matters, hold to the contrary. The fact is that the courts have already repeatedly rejected any such argument in situations where, as here, it would nullify the congressional purpose expressed in valid legislation. In a very important recent decision, Chief Justice Hughes wrote for the Supreme Court: "Contracts may create rights of property, but when contracts deal with a subject matter which lies within the control of the Congress, they have a congenital infirmity. Parties cannot remove their transaction from the reach of dominant constitutional power by making contracts about them." (*The Gold Clause case*, 294 U. S. 240, 307-8.) In that same case the Chief Justice cites a series of illustrations from the cases going back over many years holding that contracts inconsistent with the law cannot stand; for example, contracts between shippers and carriers stipulating rates contrary to those prescribed by the Interstate Commerce Commission, even where the contract is a charter granted by a state or where one of the contracting parties is a municipality; contracts in restraint of trade, contracts providing for the exemption of the carrier from liability under the Employers' Liability Act; and so forth. The so-called gold clause in railroad and other bonds is the most recent illustration of the paramount authority of the Congress.

There is a vast difference between a contract embodying terms inconsistent with the law or made with a representative set up in violation of the law, and the traditional valid trade union agreement between authorized representatives of labor and the employer. Such valid agreements are the objective of the Act, and it fosters and promotes their making. But in order that the way may be open for such valid agreements, the law must refuse to give effect to pretended agreements, not made with freely selected representatives of the employees. To tolerate such pretended agreements brought about by the interference or coercion of the employer would be to perpetuate the condition which Congress sought to abolish.

The Federal government has now undertaken a definite role in the labor relations of your communities. It has guaranteed to working people in important enterprises the right to have unions if they want them. Among the results should be a more intelligent and self-respecting citizenry, a greater equality of bargaining power, and the substitution of the procedure of the conference table for the strike and the lockout. These results will be advantageous to nation, states, and cities. The responsibility for good government rests upon the officials of all these governmental units. To the extent to which our law succeeds, your burdens are lightened. To the extent to which your communities are given liberal, orderly government, our burdens are lightened. Toward these common objectives we and our staff offer you our cooperation.

**Address by Honorable William H. Davis. Chairman New York State Meditation Board, on "The Responsibility of State Government in Industrial Disputes."**

The subject given to me for discussion is "The Responsibility of State Governments in Industrial Disputes." Such qualifications as I may have to discuss that subject arise out of my experience in the state of New York. Many other states have set up agencies for dealing with such disputes, but I shall not attempt to discuss the institutions of any other state than my own. Nor do I understand that I am expected to say anything about the police powers of the states—the responsibility of state governments to maintain public order and to prevent or punish common law or statutory crimes. I shall confine my discussion to state agencies for adjusting industrial disputes, their relations to one another and to the federal agencies.

In New York state the agencies set up by the state government for handling industrial disputes may be classified under three heads: (1) a law enforcement agency, the New York State Labor Relations Board which deals with unfair labor practices as defined in the State Labor Relations Act and with the orderly selection of bargaining representatives; (2) mediation agencies, the New York State Board of Mediation and the Bureau of Mediation and Arbitration in the State Department of Labor, which have no law enforcement function, and (3) fact-finding agencies equipped to investigate the causes of industrial disputes.

*Law Enforcement.*

The New York State Labor Relations Act, which became effective July 1st of this year, and which is generally referred to as the "Little Wagner Act," provides for a labor relations board of three members and gives to that board powers and duties generally comparable to those of the National Labor Relations Board; although there are in the state act some interesting departures from the provision of the federal law. In it, as in the Wagner Act, unfair labor practices are defined and the board is given the power to restrain them by the issuance of cease and desist orders. Its orders are reviewable in the supreme court of the state, either upon petition from enforcement by the Labor Relations Board or on petition of the person against whom the order was issued, just as the orders of the National Labor Relations Board are reviewable in the federal courts of appeals. The State Labor Relations Board, like the National Board, also has the power to determine, by holding elections or by other suitable method, and to certify who are the chosen representatives of the employees; and in this connection it has the power to designate the bargaining unit. This power is, however, unlike the power of the national board, limited by the proviso that in any case where the majority of the employees of a particular craft shall so decide the board shall designate such craft as a unit appropriate for the purpose of collective bargaining. A proviso inserted in the state law on behalf of the craft unions at the instance of the A. F. of L. The state board is expressly forbidden to investigate any question or controversy between the individuals or groups within the same labor organization or between labor organizations affiliated with the same parent labor organization. This prohibition embraces, I suppose, jurisdictional disputes, but apparently not, under present circumstances, disputes between the A. F. of L. and the C. I. O. The state board is also forbidden to include on any ballot or certification any association of employees which it finds to be a company union, as defined in the act. And, unlike the national board, the New York state board may, under carefully-defined circumstances including a public hearing, entertain requests initiated by the employer for the designation of employee bargaining representatives. The state board, like the national board, has wide investigatory powers and right of subpoena for the purpose of all hearings and investigations which in



the opinion of the board are necessary and proper for the exercise of the powers vested in it.

The act creating the State Labor Relations Board went through the legislature concurrently with the act creating the New York State Board of Mediation, and the legislature, enlightened by experience in the federal field, took great care to separate the functions of the two boards, so that law enforcement would not be mixed up with mediation or mediation with law enforcement. Thus the Labor Relations Act provides, first, that neither the board nor any of its agents or employees shall engage in any effort to mediate, conciliate, or arbitrate any labor dispute, and, secondly, that the power of the board to prevent unfair labor practices shall not be affected or impaired by any means of adjustment, mediation or conciliation in labor disputes that have been or may hereafter be established by law. The labor relations board has, of course, the power to adjust and accept the withdrawal of charges of unfair labor practices, on agreement of the parties, just as a court of law may suggest and approve an agreement to settle a legal controversy. But the board may not, for example, offset by mediation concessions of improved working conditions against charges of unfair labor practices. And so likewise the mediation board may not condone any infractions of the law or make compromises that involve unfair labor practices.

#### *Mediation and Arbitration.*

By a second act, which also became effective on July 1, 1937, the state legislature provided for a New York State Board of Mediation composed of five members compensated on a *per diem* basis. The act declares the public policy of the state to be that the best interests of the people of the state are served by the prevention or prompt settlement of labor disputes; that strikes and lockouts are forces productive ultimately of economic waste; that the interests and rights of the consumers and of the people of the state, although they are not direct parties to the labor disputes, should nevertheless be considered, respected and protected; and that the voluntary mediation of such disputes under the guidance and supervision of a governmental agency will tend to promote permanent industrial peace and the health, welfare, comfort and safety of the people of the state. The board has no law enforcement function, no power to issue orders or exert compulsion in the settlement of labor disputes. It acts wholly by persuasion and not at all by force. The duties, rather than the powers, conferred upon it are that upon its own motion in an existing imminent or threatened labor dispute the board may, and upon direction of the Governor the board must, take such steps as it may deem expedient to effect a voluntary and expeditious adjustment of the settlement of the issues between employer and employees which have precipitated or culminated in, or threaten to precipitate or culminate in, such labor disputes; and to this end the duty is imposed upon the board to arrange for and hold conferences between the disputants; to invite the disputants to attend such conferences and to submit at the conferences their grievances and differences; to discuss such grievances and differences, with the disputants and to assist in negotiating and drafting agreements that will terminate or avoid the labor dispute.

The powers of the board, thus limited to voluntary mediation, do not include any form or suggestion of compulsory arbitration. It is, however, a matter of experience that the disputants, when they have reached a partial or a complete agreement, frequently agree upon arbitration of particular points or of future disputes and provide in the arbitration agreement that the arbitrators shall, in the last resort, be designated by the mediation board. For that purpose, and even for the purpose of acting as mediators in particular cases under the direction and supervision of the board, the board has set up throughout the state panels of prominent citizens from which it may choose, as occasion demands, individuals or groups to act as arbitrators and mediators.

The mediation board is not the only mediation agency in the state.

The industrial commissioner of the state, under the act creating the Department of Labor, has had for some years the power and duty to endeavor to effect amicable settlement of labor disputes, and that duty has been entrusted by him to a Bureau of Mediation and Arbitration created within the department for that purpose. The bureau has trained mediators who are full-time employees of the state and devote all of their time to mediation work. Since the creation of the mediation board the Bureau of Mediation and Arbitration has, under the direction of the commissioner, worked in close contact with the mediation board. The bureau and the board now have common offices and in practical effect work as one organization. The extent of the work that has been done by the Bureau of Mediation, apart from the mediation board, may be indicated by a few figures. In 1934, 75 strikes were reported to the bureau and it intervened actively and exclusively in 38 of them. In 1935 these figures rose to 330 strikes and 260 interventions; in 1936, 347 strikes and 270 interventions. This year the number is running much larger, not necessarily because there are more strikes but because of the growing activity of the bureau. This year the number of disputes handled by the bureau has been in the neighborhood of four disputes a day.

The mediation board takes over disputes which the bureau has not been able to settle and also takes up directly a great number of disputes that come directly to it, for one reason or another. In the four months of the existence of the mediation board disputes have been brought to it at the rate of approximately 40 per month. Experience has been that in this formative period about half the disputes brought to the attention of the board have been of a character which it was not authorized to, or could not, handle. The disputes actually handled by the board have been about 20 per month.

Before the creation of the State Mediation Board Mayor LaGuardia set up in New York City an industrial relations board that hung up a record of brilliant achievement in its brief history. When the state board was created Mayor LaGuardia disbanded the city board, and he has since displayed toward the state board that spirit of wholehearted cooperation that is one of his most endearing characteristics.

#### *Fact-Finding.*

It is understood that within the law enforcement field—the field of unfair labor practices and designation of chosen representatives—the Labor Relations Board has full fact-finding powers. Outside of the law enforcement field, within the field in which the mediation board operates, the fact-finding powers of the mediation board are practically limited, as the law is now interpreted, to cases in which the disputants voluntarily accept the mediation of the board. The industrial commissioner of labor of the state has, however, under the act creating the Department of Labor, the power to inquire into the cause of all strikes, lockouts and other industrial controversies and to that end he has the power, which he may delegate to other officers of the department, to issue subpoenas and to take testimony in the manner prescribed by law. This power has rarely been exercised, but it is always available. In combination with the powers of the Bureau of Mediation and Arbitration and of the mediation board it creates the possibility of following in the state a procedure quite analogous to the federal procedure under the Railway Labor Act, except that the state law does not in any wise provide for the compulsory maintenance of the *status quo* pending settlement of the dispute. That is to say, under the state law if mediation is unsuccessful and arbitration is declined there may follow the appointment of an official fact-finding agency with subpoena power to find out what the facts are that led to the dispute and to make the facts available to the public. There is no way, however, in which the state authorities can prevent a rupture of industrial relations in the meantime, except by the power of persuasion and the pressure of public opinion.



*Summary.*

I am not sufficiently familiar with the details of the work of the State Labor Relations Board to discuss them authoritatively; but it is perhaps enough for the present purpose to say that the board discharges the law enforcement responsibility imposed upon it by the state law in substantially the same way that the National Labor Relations Board discharges the national responsibility. I am told that cases have been coming into the state board at the rate of about 100 a month. The line that divides the jurisdiction of the state board from that of national board is the line that divides intrastate from interstate commerce within the meaning of the Wagner law—a line that I shall certainly not attempt to draw today. The state board and the national board exchange information quite freely and I am told that no difficult questions of jurisdiction have arisen. It may be of interest to give an example. In the case of a large chain store company, with retail stores and warehouses within the state, complaints of unfair labor practices in the retail stores were handled by the state board and complaints as to the ware houses were handled by the national board because of their direct participation in interstate commerce.

I think it is important to emphasize that the Labor Relations Board acts to enforce a law (safeguarding employee organization, collective bargaining and free choice of bargaining representatives) put on the statute books as the result of a political decision. The state law is an expression of the public policy of the nation. Some employers approved unhampered self-organization of their employees and collective bargaining with freely chosen representatives, while other employers opposed and interfered with these things. Issue was joined in the political arena and the legislature decided that the denial of employers of the right of employees to organize and the refusal by employers to accept the procedure of collective bargaining violated the natural rights of the employees and was contrary to public policy; and forbade it. It is a typical instance of substantive statutory law. The restriction of the freedom of individual action of some citizens to protect the liberties of others. The imposition of a pattern of procedure upon individual members of society following legislative determination that a contrary procedure is inimical to the public welfare. To effect in the industrial world the full application of that legislative decision is a law enforcement job; and may I here express my opinion, based upon fairly close observation, that this job is in thoroughly competent hands in the Federal field and also in New York state.

Mediation activities are of quite a different sort. They depend upon reduction of heat, increase of light and persuasion, and they proceed, I suppose, upon the sound and ancient theory that enduring progress in civilization may be achieved, step by step, by a succession of victories of reason over force. That is, by education. I suppose everyone would agree that at the millenium labor disputes will be settled in every case by direct negotiations between the parties to them. In the meantime, during what I think may be regarded as the early stages of collective bargaining in this country, our experience seems to indicate that the legislature was right in supposing that voluntary mediation under the guidance and supervision of a governmental agency will *tend* to promote industrial peace. It is not, of course, so desirable a road to peace as direct negotiations between responsible and experienced bargaining representatives if that road to peace is open; and it certainly has very definite limitations in practical application. But experience shows that there are many cases, in the present state of affairs, where the interposition of an impartial agency overcomes difficulties which otherwise would disturb industrial relations. I suppose that if negotiators were endowed with infinite wisdom and so could always clearly see in all their significance the alternatives that

confront them, they would always be able to choose the better and reject the worse. But in our experience we have not met any negotiators endowed with infinite wisdom, and in the present state of progress we have been able sometimes to lessen friction, to clarify the issues, to identify and evaluate the alternatives and so to substitute reason for force. Our motto may be said to be "Call us before you call a strike," and we always try even though we frequently fail.

Statistics recently published by the Federal Department of Labor covering the ten years from 1927 to 1936, inclusive, show that 45 per cent of the strikes that have occurred in the United States in that period have been chiefly concerned with questions of wages and hours, 35 per cent with union organization matters, and 18 per cent with other issues. The percentage has not been the same in every year; there is observable a definite tendency for the percentage of disputes that arise out of union recognition to increase during times of business prosperity and to decrease during times of depression. But the percentage I have given is the over-all percentage for the ten years. And as Chairman Madden and Senator Wagner have remarked, the disputes that have involved questions of organization, which predominate only in the United States, always have a core of bitterness which is not present when the cause of the strike is nothing more than the determination of the workers collectively to quit work because they are not satisfied with the terms of employment offered by the employer. For this type of dispute there now exists, in the labor relations boards, a legally established mechanism for judicial determination, subject to review in the state and Federal courts. If we could visualize a state of society in which all such disputes are determined by this new available legal procedure, industrial disturbances would be cut substantially in two; and if we could go farther and visualize a state of society in which collective bargaining was universally established and in the hands of responsible and experienced negotiators we could perhaps anticipate even a very much greater reduction of industrial disturbances. In the meantime the responsibility of the state in industrial disputes has led to the creation of these agencies which I have tried to describe. They are a new type of social institution. Indeed the labor relations boards, in the particular legislative form which they have taken in this country, are a result of a situation peculiar to this country, and are so far as I know unique. It is, however, by the creation of such institutions, and by the gradual development of a customary procedure under them, that in a free country liberty of individual action is reconciled with the general welfare. The building up of such procedure—its acceptance as a habit of society—takes a lot of time. It is the function of these boards, as I understand it, to discharge the responsibility of the state in labor disputes by gradually and persistently pushing forward the development of such habits. We look forward, for our children's sake, to a time when all industrial disputes may be settled by direct negotiations between freely-chosen, responsible and experienced representatives.

WILLIAM H. DAVIS.

Address by Honorable Cornelius D. Scully, Mayor. City of Pittsburgh, on Labor Disputes From the Viewpoint of Municipal Government.

This discussion of labor disputes from the viewpoint of municipal government proceeds from the basic assumption that workers have the right to organize and bargain collectively through agents of their own choosing.

This right has been incorporated into Federal law and into the laws of several states, including my own Pennsylvania. So normal and right does this seem that we hardly realize what a momentous step forward in our collective thinking the common acceptance of free



association of workers represents. It is assuming the shape of a basic civil liberty. Collective bargaining is today the law of the land; tomorrow it may be a folkway as well.

Historically, the principle of trade unionism has been a logical and inevitable development accompanying the advance of industrialization and large-scale finance. In this country, as in others, unionism followed the organization of capital and the formation of trade associations among entrepreneurs.

Trade associations are as old as any form of activity directed towards the distribution of goods and service.

In the history of modern Europe, of which we are an integral part, the Merchant Guilds of the Middle Ages, the Hanseatic League and the London and Dutch trading companies were the predecessors of our Chambers of Commerce, our Maritime Associations, our Iron and Steel Institute. They sought precisely the same advantages now procured by our million and one organizations of producers and distributors.

The story of trade unions is a much shorter one. This simple historical fact may in itself explain much of the cause for present-day labor problems. Trade unions were, in part, born out of the disappointments of journeymen who saw that the increasing number of workers on the steps leading from apprenticeship to master status meant that many were doomed to failure.

It was the Industrial Revolution, however, which fixed the trade union as an organization of workers to protect their common interests. The Industrial Revolution gathered large numbers of workers into factories; it supplied tool and machine to replace hand labor; it spurred the development of large urban communities in which lived thousands of workers intimately associated with one another.

In this new world the trade union flourished despite every governmental and private effort to discourage or even suppress it. Trade unions were an inevitable accompaniment of the new industrialism. For almost a century now British unions have been recognized by law. Some of these unions are so old and well established that they take on and exhibit all of the sturdy caution and conservatism of the Ancient Guild of Cordwainers.

One can hardly imagine Mr. William Green or Mr. John L. Lewis receiving the accolade of knighthood from a conservative administration in this country. Yet in Great Britain a Tory Prime Minister included Walter Citrine, secretary of the Trades Union Congress, in his Honors List.

In America, chiefly because of the ever-expanding frontier up to this time and the almost unlimited natural resources at our disposal, trade union development has been slower, but it has been just as sure.

I have taken these few minutes to survey the development of trade unionism for the purpose of enlarging the shadow under which our current labor problems rest. In history and in law the principle of labor organization is established. We find the principle of collective bargaining, which entails free association, mutual recognition, the conference method, and the acceptance of contractual responsibility by employers and employees, equally well established. All democratic societies now recognize the obvious fact that the individual worker cannot strike his own bargain with his employer. It is likewise reasonably clear that unaffiliated unions cannot deal effectively with nation-wide syndicates of producers. In a free society, therefore, it is imperative that the power of employer groups banded together for mutual advantage must be balanced by independent workers' organization.

Our present problems are such, however, that governmental interference has been found necessary at many points. We are witnessing today a great effort to organize all of American labor, the unskilled as well as the skilled. We have two vigorous labor organizations

contesting in the keenest sort of rivalry for membership. We are in the midst of years of economic flux, in which the business index moves in peaks and valleys, rather than plateaus.

Conflict is inevitable in a period of transition. Many men in all ranks prefer "the good old days" and try desperately to maintain them.

Self-discipline in labor relations, whether on the part of the employer or employee is just as difficult to establish as it is in any other relationship of life. The newly-formed unions are filled with men to whom it is a new experience to belong to labor organizations. Their disciplining is a task requiring the utmost patience. And by the same token, our mills are filled with executives, minor and major, to whom it is a new experience to deal with their men on labor union terms. Their education likewise requires patience. It is significant that, until recent times, no great engineering school, to my knowledge, has included in its curriculum, courses on labor relations.

In this period of tension the role of government becomes exceedingly important. Governments exist to serve the needs of all the people within a given constituency. Since the effects of labor disputes are felt by those not directly involved as well as by the participants, the government must seek at all times to facilitate orderly labor relations.

This is what we have sought to do in Pittsburgh.

To whatever sources we owe it, we have had in Pittsburgh in the last year, a remarkable exhibition of self-discipline and tolerance on the part of both capital and labor.

In the past year the city of Pittsburgh has been the fortunate beneficiary of enlightened labor policy on the part of both employers and union leaders.

It was not always so. The tradition of the Homestead strike of 1892 lingered long in Pittsburgh and western Pennsylvania. Until very recently, the extent of unionization in the city was very largely confined to the building and printing trades.

One act of what has been truly termed industrial statesmanship, formulated in a specific agreement, erased the memory of bloody Homestead and established collective bargaining as the keynote of industrial relationships in the Pittsburgh district. I refer to the written contract entered into between the subsidiaries of the United States Steel Corporation and the Steel Workers Organizing Committee of the C. I. O.

None of us can praise too much the initiative, intelligence, and public spirit of the leaders of the great steel corporation and of the new steel workers' union. Myron Taylor and John L. Lewis who framed the agreement; Ben. F. Fairless, of the Carnegie-Illinois Steel Corporation, and Philip Murray and Clinton S. Golden, of the S. W. O. C., who have carried out the agreement so successfully, are industrial statesmen in the true sense.

While this agreement may have saved Pittsburgh lives and property, the greatest saving it brought to our city was the preservation of the spirit of civic unity. The representatives of capital and labor have struck hands in the interests of a greater community for whose good they are commonly striving.

The C. I. O.-Carnegie-Illinois agreement has served as a model of industrial relations in the whole Pittsburgh district. Smaller steel plants and industries of every description have followed the lead of U. S. Steel, and established amicable collective bargaining. So closely has business generally followed the leader in our community that I know of one plant where the operators rejected a proposed and possibly a more favorable agreement to accept in lieu thereof the then somewhat tested terms of the "Big Fellows" agreement.

Pittsburgh also in this period of labor transition has the good fortune to be located in a coal mining district organized by the United Mine Workers—a union so ably led, so indomitable in the spirit of



its membership, so honest in its adherence to agreements, that its example and its men are a beacon guide to all union membership in the Pittsburgh district.

Pittsburgh has by no means been free from strikes. In this past year there have been more than a hundred, I should say. But our strikes have been free from violence and they have been settled in a fashion leading to permanent adjustment of labor difficulties in the establishments affected.

Perhaps 35 per cent of our wage earners have been on strike or have threatened strike action in the past year.

A brief strike took place at our major steel plant which employs 12,000 men at peak production. Two smaller steel fabricating plants had stoppages lasting a month or more. Approximately 2000 persons were involved.

A large cannery employing 2000 workers was closed by a strike.

Two large hotels, many restaurants, and a number of small manufacturing shops have been affected. There was a brief strike in the baking industry, and our four major laundries have been strike-bound.

The full figures are not available, but if all the many troubles, some of which might not even have achieved the dignity of full-blown strikes, were listed, I am sure the figure would reach more than one hundred.

But to date no one has been killed in Pittsburgh and no one seriously injured in a labor difficulty in the past year. Less than a dozen persons have required hospital attention and less than a dozen arrests have been necessary. This record compares most favorably with that achieved in handling the traffic, scalpers, cheering sections, and intoxicants at three major football crowds this autumn.

The sit-down wave barely touched Pittsburgh and the few small sit-downs we have had have settled themselves.

To my knowledge, only one strike in violation of an agreement has taken place and in that case the international union involved, having final judgment, promptly disciplined its members.

We have been very, very fortunate. But despite our fortune, we are groping along with the rest of the cities of America for a permanent policy for dealing with labor disputes during this interim period of transition into general collective bargaining. Anything I say today must represent therefore only my observations on various aspects of the problems involved, rather than the exposition of a full-blown philosophy on the subject.

First, I submit that the shame of our cities in the past has been the product of the alliance of municipal government and privilege-seeking industry. The use of the police power as a strike-breaking weapon has been a commonplace occurrence in the history of our cities. This I submit to you as the truth. I wish that I might be presenting it as evidence of a barbarous day quite unknown to us. Such is not yet the case entirely.

There are indications, however, that the use of the police power as the exclusive arm of one side or the other in industrial disputes is almost over.

This, of course, leads us to the very vital question of what the police activity shall be. I should say first that the ordinary civil liberties must always be preserved—that the rights of free speech, free press, and free assembly shall not be denied. Secondly, police action should always be aimed to prevent trouble, not start it; to avoid clashes, violence, injury, and death. Human life once taken cannot be restored.

I have found that the average employer does not press for the "whiff of grapeshot" method of dealing with his striking employees.

Sociological investigation may show us formulae for the course of strikes, which will aid in predetermining governmental action.

But for the present, I see no substitute for broad tolerance and exacting patience.

One temptation of the future is almost certain to be the use of the police power as a means to win strikes for a minority of workmen unable to win them for themselves. This, it seems to me, would be repetition in reverse of the errors of the past.

Another major aspect of the role of municipal government is that of mediation. We have witnessed the growth during the past four years of a vast network of labor relations boards—federal, state and municipal. I am convinced that these services are necessary. Certainly, they have justified their creation by performing valuable services in determining the instrumentalities of collective bargaining. The National Labor Relations Board, in every contact we have had with it in Pittsburgh, has been most constructive and most useful. Several of our largest disputes were settled through its medium of government-regulated elections.

On the municipal level, certain dangers of the mediation board system seem to me to deserve some mention here. In the first place, it is quite possible that municipal labor boards may overlap the functions which fall to the lot of state and national boards. It is also possible that the services of government boards can be so extended as to hamper the development of and tend to add a formality to procedures which are in essence informal natural bargaining relations between the parties affected. While this is certainly not true on the national scene at the present time, it may be true where overlapping boards function at cross-purposes.

There is another point also. Governments change periodically in democracies. Have we any assurance that labor boards will function well if manned by men whose political affiliations may be such as will turn them out of sympathy with the purposes proposed by the law creating their official existence?

The essence of the matter lies, I believe, in the acceptance of the responsibility for carrying on negotiations by the parties involved as soon as their respective organizations are prepared for this step. It is my belief that the future place of the labor board is not as a policing and enforcing agency but as an agency for the provision of the machinery of adjustment.

Certainly, the good offices of every public official should always be extended to the disputing parties in a labor controversy but I am not yet prepared to accept any established form for the extension of those good offices.

There are times when they work best under cover; there are other times when the best results are obtained in the full glow of publicity.

We cannot proceed on the assumption that in any case someone is absolutely right or someone is absolutely wrong. There are human relationships and every man is at some time fallible.

Trial and error may well be the best recipe for labor problems in this transitional period.

I read these two letters, one from an employer, another from a union representative, as examples of the goals which the municipal government must see in its participation in labor disputes.

Pittsburgh, Pa., July 12, 1937.

Hon. Cornelius D. Scully,  
City County Building,  
Pittsburgh, Pa.

Dear Mr. Mayor:

Your kindness, clear vision and impartiality in lending your aid has settled a small civil war in our company.

For fourteen weeks we have been experiencing a condition that was most distressing to employee and employer alike.



Father arrayed against son, mother against daughter and brother against brother.

Our company has been continuous for more than sixty-six years, and many of our employees have been with us thirty years or more, and their children have joined us when seeking employment.

Using your formula of conference and open mind we have reached an amicable agreement, and today we are again one happy big family thanks to you.

Sincerely yours,

UNITED LAUNDRIES,

J. R. Fullerton, President.

Pittsburgh, Pa., Nov. 3, 1937.

The Honorable Cornelius D. Scully,  
Mayor's office,  
Pittsburgh, Pa.

Dear Mr. Scully:

The members of the United Department Store Employees, Local No. 101, United Retail Employees of America—C. I. O., have asked that a communication be sent you expressing their appreciation and gratitude for the fine attitude manifested by the Pittsburgh police on the occasion of the strike at (Blank's) last Tuesday, October 26, 1937.

These workers engaged in a struggle for a living wage and humane working conditions, will not soon forget that your administration demonstrated in a practical way that it is mindful of the rights of workers and is not a puppet creature of the employers. Their application, too, was of a practical nature and was today given expression.

As this is written, the returns indicate that thousands of other workers in this district have done likewise.

Accept our sincere congratulations on your victory.

Respectfully,

JESSIE HARTMAN,

Secretary-Treasurer,

United Dept. Store Employees Union, Local 101.

One method of labor procedure in my own city has my full admiration and I think, illustrates the goal of self-settlement of labor disputes I have set here today.

It is employed by the Pittsburgh Railways Company—our trolley system—and the Amalgamated Association of Street Railway and Motor Coach Employees. It is very seldom that a city official in Pittsburgh has anything good to say of that company—their service is terrible—but they have perfected a splendid system of labor relations.

Without governmental interference of any sort, each side chooses a representative. They choose a third. And it has been possible for company and union to agree on such men as Dr. J. Warren Madden and Dr. John A. Lapp for this crucial third place.

The arbitration board holds public hearings which are fully reported in the newspapers into the financial status of the company, the needs of the men, their demands and the possibility of granting them.

The finding of the board is binding. The result has been a steady series of wage increases and improvements in working conditions of the employees with no loss of earnings through strike action and no interruption of a vital public service.

If Pittsburgh is any type for the nation, our industrial relations are steadily improving in tolerance, in understanding, and in benefits to the general welfare. There are dangers ahead, of course, but with careful attention we should steer clear of many of them.

There is always the danger of labor leaders becoming seekers of special privilege for themselves, just as industrialists have been seekers of special privilege.

And there is the danger of labor-management crises arising from a period of business recession, wage cuts, and unemployment.

On this point, I wish to close with an expression of a personal belief. I would not be true to my own inmost philosophy if I failed to state what I consider the true cause of severe labor troubles. And at this time, I do not mean the little things that arise from seniorities and jurisdictions, but the real prolonged and widespread disputes.

In the last analysis, the conflict between capital and labor is a conflict over what is left to each of them by the land. We have the interests of land, labor, and capital—and land fixes the terms on which capital and labor can and do work.

**Settlement of Industrial Disputes by Honorable Edward D. Vandeleur, Secretary, California State Federation of Labor.**

**Presented by Honorable Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor of San Francisco.**

The question of settling industrial disputes is one that should be given careful and sincere consideration in every community.

Basically, it might be said, that industrial disputes can easily be adjusted where a spirit of good will exists between employer and employees.

Usually where disputes arise and develop into stoppage of work it is due to the fact that conditions under which employees are working have through some combination of circumstances, or lack of understanding, become so intolerable that the workers have become embittered. With this feeling in their minds they look with a great deal of distrust upon any offer of the employer and are perhaps antagonistic to him, thus the dispute.

Many of our large employers very seldom, if ever, have a real get-together meeting with their employees. They know very little of the actual conditions existing in their industry so far as the actual treatment or condition of the employees are concerned.

The welfare, moral and otherwise, of the workers is left in the hands of a superintendent or other executive. The day has arrived when the employer should know his employees and their problems and treat them as humans if he wants to escape industrial disputes.

Now, of course, this does not go for all employers. There are many who profit by an intimate understanding of the problems of their employees. We are discussing here those employers who become engaged in industrial disputes because of lack of understanding of the workers' problems or conditions.

Another element which enters into the control of industrial disputes is the fact that too often the employer, in the belief that he is practicing self-preservation, or to make certain that he will outsmart the employees, hires one of the most learned attorneys he can obtain. He knows full well that very few groups of employees have sufficient funds to employ legal advice.

Too frequently, attorneys hired by panic-stricken employers have no sympathy or understanding with the problems or conditions of the workers. They go into the conferences on the same theory they would go into court to win, regardless of circumstances. In many cases a lack of understanding of individual situations by such counsel provokes a wider breach than originally existed.

In any event, where counsel is hired, the employer has the advantage. Bitterness grows in the minds of the workers and suspicions develop that are not easily overcome.

There are exceptions to the presence of counsel, of course, where they understand the particular problem or groups with which they are dealing.

But, all in all, many troublesome disputes are happily adjusted when attorneys are asked to leave conferences.

I wish to call attention to a recent situation in California, affecting more than 60,000 cannery workers. And, let me say that 80 per cent



of this group of workers are bona fide residents and home owners, with families in the various communities in which they work. For the first time in history, and the first time any such a large group was thus represented in California, representatives of these cannery workers met with the employers.

Only a few conferences were held, and because of the fact that the employers were present and could ask any question they wished of the workers, and the workers could do likewise of the employers, they became friendly. And, it was indeed a happy moment for both groups, when, in a space of about two weeks, a satisfactory agreement was reached.

This is one very good example of direct negotiations, although no actual open dispute existed at the time negotiations began, but might have developed had action been postponed.

This agreement saved the \$250,000,000 to \$300,000,000 California cannery crops, due to the fact that no labor disputes developed and the great volume of crops moved to market without spoilage or delay.

This was due to direct cooperation between employers and employees.

In San Francisco, we have been successful in bringing disputing groups together, either through the good offices of a major labor leader, or a ranking city executive. The plan, followed in many communities, has been to call one side in at a time, and then arrange a joint conference.

Again, no counsel has been present and the dispute is settled.

When settlement is agreed upon, that is the time and place for the legal minds to appear and draw the final agreement, if legal approval of such agreements is deemed necessary.

I would say that 90 per cent of the employers in California are ready and willing at all times to deal with their employees, and to deal honestly and fairly.

This is proven by the fact that 90 per cent of our industries have had no labor disputes this year.

The other 10 per cent of the employers will do everything in their power to destroy the morale and happiness of their employees. Their general attitude destroys the confidence of the workers in such employers, and continued impositions, such as long hours and low wages, result in an industrial dispute.

Cooperation between employer and employee is the answer to avoiding industrial disputes.

And honest and sincere efforts of both employer and employees to effect a satisfactory adjustment of problems, when they arise, is the answer to settling industrial disputes.

Let both sides sit down together, with a minimum of outside advice from persons unfamiliar with the particular problem, and virtually any dispute can be adjusted quickly and happily, if both groups deal fairly.

**Settlement of Industrial Disputes by Honorable J. W. Howell,  
President of the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, and Mr.  
Reed Funsten.**

**Presented by Honorable Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor of San Francisco.**

Your question, as to how industrial disputes might be handled, is, of course, one which the group with which I am associated has spent a great deal of thought in the past months. My belief is that there is no formula by which industrial disputes can be settled. Each one is a problem of human relations differing from every other one. A plan which will settle one controversy may be ineffective as to the next one.

There are, however, certain fundamentals which in my opinion assist in avoiding industrial disputes or tend to bring them to an end when they occur.

First, I would say that employers under the present law and in

view of the prevailing attitude of people generally must recognize the right of employees to organize and must meet with their actual representatives in an honest effort to reach a settlement that will recognize the employees' needs, and the business's ability to pay. Dividends should be sacrificed if paying them means continuation of starvation wages.

Secondly, the employees should be represented by men of their own choosing and who are familiar with their particular problems. Too frequently their spokesmen are professional organizers whose objective is either political or the creation of a position of power for themselves. It must be remembered that the average working man is unable to speak for himself or to express his own desires. If those few who can do so are prevented by force, it is easily possible for a very small minority, many of whom are communistically inclined, to control a large group in a way that is not in its best interest.

Thirdly, while the right to strike should be recognized and the right of labor to notify the public that it considers a particular employer unfair should be admitted, violence in a picket line, or the use of force preventing those who wish to patronize that business from doing so, or a breach of law in compelling men to refrain from working if they desire to do so, should not be condoned. In my mind the worst effect of our labor disturbances is the growing disregard for law and order and the fact that a small minority may disrupt the activities of an entire community. This can only be prevented, and both employers and employees properly protected by prompt and vigorous action by the constituted legal authorities. Too frequently the attitude of the police force is that it do nothing until violence occurs instead of taking steps to prevent it.

I do not think it is within the duties of a head of a city government to act as an arbitrator in labor disputes. His position should be one of strict neutrality, allowing both sides to act within their respective rights, but immediately using all the means within his power to prevent either group from violating the law or interfering with the lives of those who make up the general public. If a police force is numerically inadequate to do this it can be supplemented by volunteers acting under the orders of the Chief of Police. If peace cannot be preserved in this way local government has failed and an appeal for help should be made to the State.

### Resolution Adopted.

The United States Conference of Mayors unanimously adopted the following resolution on "Labor:"

Whereas, it is to the best interest of all concerned that the jurisdictional differences existing between the A. F. of L. and the C. I. O. be eliminated; and

Whereas, the experience of the mayors of the cities of the United States has demonstrated the great benefit which would result from the elimination of these jurisdictional disputes; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That there be conveyed to the officers of these organizations the view of the United States Conference of Mayors that it is of the greatest public interest, including the best interests of labor, industry and the general public, that, at the earliest possible time, the A. F. of L. and the C. I. O. adjust all differences between them and thus enable their members to devote their united efforts to the recovery of industry and the full return of prosperity.

### RELIEF AND UNEMPLOYMENT.

Address of John D. Biggers, Administrator of the National Unemployment Census.

As a result of unemployment your municipalities have found it necessary enormously to increase indebtedness. Unemployment has disturbed your financial programs by greatly increasing the demand



for social service and recreational facilities. In many cases it has hampered the proper and necessary expansion of your school facilities.

Unemployment has in fact brought upon you a double burden, because while it has decreased the ability of your citizens to pay taxes, it has made necessary the increasing of the gross amounts raised by taxation.

I know, therefore, that you are directly and deeply concerned in the development of any national plan which will put people back to work.

But I know that your concern over the evils of unemployment extends beyond these enlarged financial problems. You have been able intimately to observe the damaging effects of unemployment on the morale of your communities.

Mental depression is contagious and when any substantial segment of a community is without the exhilarating stimulation of productive activity, the spirit of the whole community suffers and your capacity for going ahead with constructive measures is impaired. I feel under very great obligations to the mayors of American cities for the constructive help they have given in getting the message of the unemployment census to the people.

The wholehearted manner in which every city and town executive cooperated demonstrates in my opinion, that we as a nation, have in spite of our troubles, not lost our zeal and our zest for public undertakings aimed at the common good. As a result of your cooperation and the aid of your local committee organizations, and the endorsement of leaders in national thought, I have every expectation that the results will conform to the President's expressed desire that this census shall be complete, honest and accurate.

The great problem of America today is to get all of our willing workers back to productive work. All of our efforts will have been wasted unless this census enterprise makes available to the President, to Congress, and to all thinking people throughout the land, the basic facts of unemployment which can serve as a comprehensive corrective plan. Our future economic and social welfare demands the evolution of a plan under which the capabilities of *all* our people can be enlisted in the attainment of national happiness and security.

Statement to the United States Conference of Mayors by Alan  
Johnstone, Counsel to the Special Committee of the Senate to  
Investigate Unemployment and Relief.

He said the Senate of the United States in June last authorized the appointment of a Special Committee to investigate Unemployment and Relief in this country. The Vice-President has appointed, in response to that resolution, a committee consisting of seven members of the Senate.

The subject of the committee's investigation is perhaps the most important subject in the country today. Even a cursory view of it reveals that it touches the life of all the people at many points and affects the condition of their lives at more points than any other domestic problem. To a very large group of our people, happily being reduced in numbers, it means want and privation and in many cases despair. To the balance of us, it means the loss of the productive labor of the unemployed, lack of their powers to purchase the goods and services resulting from our labors, tax burdens and large outlays for relief and security. To the unemployed it means a failure to share in and contribute to the full life of the commonwealth. To the rest of us it means a decrease in the total volume of the national income and prosperity. As has been said, "unemployment is still the black cloud that impedes recovery"; and as may well be added, relief, the artificial and costly substitute for employment according to the American tradition. The problem is arresting. Regardless of many preconceptions or widely varying convictions, there are some

points of general agreement that: any rational approach to this problem must take into account the total problem of dependency in the country. Specifically, in considering measures for amelioration of relief and the points at which tax funds may be withdrawn from the income of all the people, consideration must, of course, be given to the total burden and how it has been or may, in future, be borne.

Unemployment, while it may be a phenomenon of long standing, is an abnormal condition. The normal way for an American citizen to get a living is to work, and that for wages, salary, or as his own employer. The first attack, therefore, must employ all possible force and means to reduce the number of the unemployed by the adoption of any policy rightfully open to business or agriculture or to the government, and likewise by the abandonment of any policy that prevents or impedes normal employment.

Insurance for workers of yesterday and unemployment compensation for workers of today have been adopted by the general government and by the states as a policy of social security for them and for all the people.

Work on public projects at security wages paid from public funds is now used as an emergency measure and as the second line of defense against insecurity resulting from unemployment. Stated relief payments or public assistance, varying in amount from state to state, to certain of the aged, the young and the handicapped, in the form of categorical aid is also the recently adopted policy of the country.

Finally, grants from public funds without compensating work is the catch-all into which those dependents fall who may not or cannot be served by the foregoing. And to this type of aid, meagre in spots, generous in others, these forgotten men cling with declining hope. So much for our current program.

This brief review of what I have called points or facts on which we may all agree may serve as the background against which we may pose, though not attempt to answer here, certain questions:

(a) Why do men go hungry, half clothed, ill housed and without a job in America?

(b) What can be done to solve this economic paradox of hungry, idle men in this abundant and busy country?

(c) By what units of government and in what proportions shall the cost of security, public work and relief be borne, and on what terms?

(d) How, in the long reach, may public programs for necessary security, public work and relief be best administered?

To find the right answers to these questions, in whole or in part, is an undertaking which will require non-partisan, national thinking and fact-finding and will tax to the utmost the ingenuity and good temper of all men who claim to be Americans.

In just that spirit, I think, this problem must be approached. There must be no shirking or shadow boxing at any stage of the play.

To you gentlemen who represent the people in the great centers of population of this country where full two-thirds of the dependent live, I present these questions in the frankest spirit of real inquiry. And, in so far as I may appropriately speak, I say that the fairest hope of a successful issue of the investigation of the Senate Committee lies in common counsel with you and all other responsible men at whose official door the American people, including those who are dependent, stand and wait for our answer. I trust that you will join with me in the hope that they shall not stand for long nor wait in vain.

#### Address by Mayor Frank L. Shaw of Los Angeles on the Indigent Transient Problem.

Reliefs and remedies for unemployment remain our major concern, despite improved conditions. The plight of the migratory unemployed, and the serious situation presented by this group to municipal gov-



ernments has been little changed by the relief programs of the last four years. You and I, as public officials, confront this fact every day. Every day we must deal with it as practical administrators, while we continue to hope for some ultimate solution.

The cities of the Pacific Coast and certain sections of the south are more keenly aware of the transient unemployed than those of other parts of the country. The study prepared for the Works Progress Administration by Mr. John N. Webb, discussing the migratory-casual worker, reveals that, out of five hundred cases examined, more than one-fifth sought their principal employment in the three Pacific Coast states. Add to this proportion, the larger part of fugitives from drought and flood areas, who come directly to Southern California, and you will understand our deep concern with the problem. But it cannot be our problem alone.

As early as 1933 the Federal government recognized the special problem of the migratory unemployed, and undertook measures to relieve these people. The transient camps, established and maintained by the Federal program, contained some three hundred and six thousand persons in September, 1935. Incidentally, while California has but four and seven-tenths per cent of the population of the United States, fourteen per cent of the transient unemployed of the country at that time were in the Federal camps in our State. Then, with little warning, the Federal program was completely liquidated in three months, leaving the problem apparently as big as ever, and certainly no nearer solution than before.

Today it is probable that somewhere between five hundred thousand and six hundred thousand persons, including many family groups, are wandering through this country without ample means of support. The net annual earnings of the great majority average less than three hundred dollars each. They forage as they go. They huddle together in unsanitary squatter settlements and in jungles, that radiate disease throughout the community. Their children do not receive any education much of the time, and when they are enrolled in school, they find themselves retarded two or three years behind children of their own age. It is not surprising that more fortunate people, who have established permanent homes and possess substantial occupations, dislike having such migrants in their midst. They are foreigners, everywhere in their own native land. In Los Angeles, disagreeable as the facts appear, we find it necessary to charge a large part of our crime to these transients. In the winter of 1936, we found that twenty-four per cent of all vagrants arrested by our police had previous felony records. A study just completed by the Los Angeles Police Department shows that for the fiscal year 1936-37 of those arrested and sentenced from our city to the state penitentiaries, thirty-two per cent of the homicides, thirty-four per cent of the robberies, twenty-one per cent of the burglaries, fifteen per cent of the forgeries, and twenty-one per cent of the thefts, were committed by persons who had been in California less than one year. It is our experience that our total crime rate increases twenty per cent during the winter months, and that this increase is entirely accounted for by transients from outside the state. I do not doubt that other cities of the west and southeast can verify every one of these facts from their own records.

I direct no indictment against the transient unemployed as individuals. I recognize that it is superficial thinking which dismisses them as "worthless bums", "undesirables" and the like. The immediate problem is not one of morals or ethics. It is an exceedingly critical problem of economics and social administration.

The seasonal migratory worker, who follows the crops or the industrial activities through the country, fills a definite economic need. In grain crops he is in demand throughout the states west of the Mississippi. In fruit crops he is best known to the Pacific States, and to Georgia and Florida. In beet and berry crops, he travels up and down the Pacific Coast and the Mississippi Valley. Railroad work takes

him throughout the United States, but especially in the North Central section. Logging operations take him through the northwest and into California. In normal times he is self-supporting, on a low level of subsistence. He is typically without family ties. He becomes a serious social problem only during off-seasonal periods, when he and his fellows tend to congregate in our western cities to spend their small savings in dissolute relaxation, and then to become public charges in jails, or charitable institutions, until they can again wander forth in search of work. The problem imposed upon us by migrants of this class is to better their working conditions, raise and stabilize their incomes, give intelligent direction to their movements from place to place, and improve their standards until such time as agriculture and industry may be so organized as to reduce the seasonal factor to a minimum.

In a report filed with the United States Senate on July 3, 1937, the Secretary of Labor declared that two hundred thousand people have migrated from the drought area recently, and more of them are expected to move in the near future. Four-fifths of them the Secretary declares, have gone to California. The state has received an additional influx of indigent migrants from the flood regions of the Mississippi Valley. Inevitably, some of these people join the ranks of the seasonal workers. For the rest, we have at present only two choices. We must either care for them and secure a foothold for them at the expense of our own taxpayers, or we must round them up like cattle and ship them back to the states they came from. The Far West is no longer a frontier, with tillable soil to be had for the asking, and a living to be made by anyone with an axe and a plow. With more than one hundred and twenty thousand employable residents of California still on our relief rolls, it is not easy to see how we can "crowd over" to make room for these newcomers. And we recognize, as well as anyone, that transporting the homeless back and forth across the country is an aggravation of the problem rather than a solution.

Our Social Welfare Act of 1933 in California provides that no person is eligible to public relief until he or she has been a resident in the state for three years and in the county for one year. We are compelled, by circumstances I have described, to enforce that law strictly. And yet, local private and public agencies in Los Angeles even now are expending sixteen thousand dollars a month for emergency relief, averaging nearly six hundred cases a day, of transients who are unable to claim permanent aid under the law. We repatriate transient applicants for relief as rapidly as their places of legal residence can be determined. This policy means that thousands are careful not to apply for relief. They do one of three things. They starve. They turn to lives of crime. Or they go out and take jobs away from our resident workers by underbidding them in the labor market. Our State Relief Administration reports that between eleven and fifteen per cent of the transients who apply for relief are found to have no legal place of residence in any state of the Union, although by far the larger part of them are natives of the United States. These are turned off to swell the ranks of those others, who become a menace to themselves and to society. We are offered no help whatever from the outside, toward solution of this problem which the outside has sent to us. In response to a most urgent appeal, which I directed to the Works Progress Administration last July, I was advised that, under the terms of the emergency relief appropriation act of this year, no program for transient relief could be developed, except at the expense of local W. P. A. quotas. Since we now carry approximately sixty per cent of our local relief load on local resources, we cannot entertain such an alternative.

At this point, permit me to make an additional comment upon a phase of this problem that deserves special consideration. I have already mentioned the educational difficulties that arise for the children of indigent transient families. The other side of the same situation, is the extraordinary burden imposed upon the educational facilities of



the communities concerned. I have just received a report that seven thousand and twenty-three pupils were enrolled in the high schools of Los Angeles this fall, none of whom ever attended a school in our city before. Most of these have entered the high schools in the low-rent area, causing serious congestion. Seven thousand new pupils amount to more than two hundred classrooms full of children. At an average of twenty classrooms per school, this would require ten new high schools for proper accommodation of the overload. Twenty-six per cent of this increase comes from the *drought* region. We estimate, in Los Angeles, that we must have a fixed capital investment of six hundred and seventy-five dollars and seventy cents to provide accommodations and equipment for each high school student. In addition to that, the current operating cost of our high school establishments amounts to one hundred and forty-eight dollars and fifty-seven cents for each pupil for one year. In other words, the taxpayers of Los Angeles are immediately called upon to provide eight hundred and twenty-four dollars and twenty-seven cents for each of these new pupils. These costs are not excessive, being approximately the average for the entire United States. The parents of the overwhelming majority of these new pupils are practically non-tax-producing. Where Los Angeles taxpayers are going to get four or five million dollars immediately to care for this abnormal migration of these children of unemployed is no easy question to answer. I have mentioned only the high school problem. The elementary school problem has not been estimated.

The only alternative to the undue local burden for education of these children at the present time is that they shall not be educated. This alternative is a serious one.

We find it necessary to use legal compulsion on many transient families in order to get them to enroll their children in school anywhere. They evade the school laws in every possible way, in the hope that the children may be kept free to earn a few cents. I dread to think of the future lives of these children. How many of them will be recruited into the ranks of crime and dependency within the next ten years?

As I have already remarked, while most of the information I have recited is based upon our California experience, nevertheless it is a problem for all of us, in one way or another. Other cities suffer similar situations, in greater or lesser degree. Those that do not, are certain to feel the reaction of any defensive measures adopted by communities that are feeling the burden. Nineteen states of the Union are represented in the migration of *drought and flood refugees* to Los Angeles alone in the last two years. Some of them are represented to the extent of tens of thousands of cases. They cannot escape the consequences of any decision that is made, or any program that is adopted.

It has become the fashion, in recent years, whenever we are confronted by great difficulties, to insist at once that these are problems for the Federal government. There is not a little justification for our attitude. In so many factors of modern life, state boundary lines are simply fictions. But in this problem there is an older precedent for asking Federal intervention. That is the distinctly interstate character of the problem. Any attempts at solution on the part of the separate states will unavoidably involve a snarl of reprisals, that will only complicate the difficulty. Local efforts, unable to contemplate the entire situation, will produce only superficial results. Purely local relief will mean aggravation of the trouble in other quarters. *Only the Federal Government* can rise above such efforts, and carry out a program that will be at once effective and fair to all.

The resolutions adopted by the Trenton Conference on Transients and Settlement Laws, held in New Jersey last year, the Council of State Governments held in the national capitol last January, and the Midwest Conference called at St. Paul last March, all agree there is no hope for solution without adequate Federal support and supervision of whatever program may be devised. In the words of the Trenton resolutions, they agree that transiency is a problem having its roots in con-

ditions beyond the control of the individual states. In one respect, the Pacific states will disagree with the resolutions of these three conferences, and our disagreement proves even more plainly the need for Federal action. That point is the proposal for uniform laws on establishment of residence. So long as our Pacific region continues to be the principal goal of the depression transient, and so long as we have no compensating assistance from other states or the Federal government, we must protect ourselves with higher residence restrictions than other sections. We cannot do otherwise, in simple fairness to our own people. Nor can we consent to the Midwest Conference proposal, that indigents shall not be returned to their places of legal residence without the permission of those places. I believe that, both in our agreements and our differences, *we thus confirm the claim upon the prompt aid of the Federal government.*

There are four things that the Federal government can obviously do. First, it can provide immediate relief by restoring suitable transient camps, and by compensating local subdivisions for emergency medical and hospital aid required. Second, it can adjust W. P. A. quotas so that non-residents may be given employment in suitable numbers and for limited periods, and charged to the quotas of their places of legal residence. Third, it can give increased rehabilitation aid to distressed regions, which will induce residents of those sections to remain where they are. Finally, it can direct, through the Federal employment service and the Resettlement Administration, the flow of migrants to places where jobs are available, or where permanent settlement is feasible, and discourage their movements to other quarters where they will only become public burdens. To quote Congressman Voorhis, "California needs Federal aid to help meet its non-resident relief problem. *But a far more constructive approach, from the standpoint of California, is Federal action to guide, stabilize, and reduce this whole migratory movement.*"

I conclude with the words of a resolution adopted at the Los Angeles Regional Meeting of the United States Conference of Mayors on May 15, 1937. "Those cities and communities which receive undue influx of migratory indigents are confronted with extraordinary emergencies of relief, social welfare, and crime control far in excess of those with which such local jurisdictions should be expected to cope. These migrations are interstate in character, and therefore constitute a national problem and responsibility."

Honorable Harry L. Hopkins, Administrator of the Works Progress Administration, addressed the Conference on the subject of "The National Problem of Relief and Unemployment." Mr. Hopkins referred, at the start of his remarks, to a survey of the unemployed recently made by the United States Chamber of Commerce. This survey, he said, represented one hundred cases taken from the direct relief rolls of Baltimore. It showed that fifty per cent of these unemployed never had jobs but for brief periods, even before the enactment of the W. P. A. law.

The survey, Mr. Hopkins said, was entirely misleading and when the W. P. A. investigated, it found that 95 per cent of the cases covered were those of unemployed and for the most part, old and infirm persons.

"I am fully convinced," said Mr. Hopkins, "that there is still plenty of work to be done—enough for 20 years to come and work which is desirable and important to be done." Mr. Hopkins also said he was convinced that public opinion was with the W. P. A. when it came to providing work for people who want to work and are unable to find employment in industry. He stated he had no sympathy for those persons who would put such persons on direct relief. He said that out of eight million people one million five hundred thousand have left W. P. A. for other work but that now there is a constant change and that new persons come on the rolls. The unemployed left the W. P. A.



at the rate of four per cent per month but a certain number return on the list. Old-age pensions is the technique of social security. Groups of old people and unemployed are becoming more articulate every day. One-third of our population is not properly housed, fed and clothed and have no real security. They do not know from day to day what is to become of them. Mr. Hopkins finally said there is no reason why in America we cannot proceed to abolition of poverty.

Address by Honorable Mayor Harold H. Burton of Cleveland on  
"An Industrial City Looks at Relief."

*Is there need for governmental relief?*

*If there be such need, how can it be reduced or ended?*

*While the need exists, how should it be met?*

I am asked to answer these questions in the light of conditions in Cleveland. I am asked to use Cleveland so that, by applying your knowledge of the differences between your city and mine, you will deal with facts and avoid dependence on averages drawn from everywhere but representing actual conditions nowhere.

*Is there need for governmental relief?*

In any metropolitan industrial center, the answer is emphatically and tragically "Yes." The need, actually, is so clear, so great and so tragic that it is a cause for grave concern that enough doubt exists to raise the question.

I have found no doubt on this point among Federal officials. This may well be because they are especially familiar with conditions in the metropolitan industrial centers of the nation. In each of these the need repeats and emphasizes itself so clearly that no one thinks of questioning it there. However, among officials of our state of Ohio, there are some who find it hard to believe that the direct relief requirements of Greater Cleveland are actually about one-third of those of the entire state, although its population is only about one-sixth of that of the state. The explanation of this difficulty of understanding is probably the fact that about one-half of the population of Ohio is in communities having little or no relief problem and that even among our cities the relief needs increase in proportion to the industrial congestion rather than to mere population. An industrial city of a million is not ten cities of 100,000 each, laid end to end. It is more accurately ten cities of 100,000 each, laid on top of each other.

Our duty in every industrial city is, therefore, two-fold. We must make sure of our facts and then so present them that our needs will be as clear to others as they are to the mayor himself. We have as yet no adequate unemployment census. We have tried unsuccessfully in Cleveland to secure this, either through private resources or through a W. P. A. project. Today we are cooperating fully with the Federal voluntary unemployment census in the hope that this will either develop the facts or will demonstrate the need for a still better census of unemployment. The figures we do have are those of our relief load. They amply establish the existing need. The peak of total relief occurred in Greater Cleveland in April, 1935, with 80,000 cases, representing about 250,000 people, or 20 per cent of the population. One year ago this peak had been reduced to 60,000 cases, 35,000 of which were on Federal work relief and 25,000 on local direct relief. Today it is down to about 42,000 cases, representing about 125,000 people, or one-tenth of the population. This is a reduction of almost fifty per cent from the peak of April, 1935, and of thirty per cent from this time last year.

While we have reviewed and tested these cases in many ways and are continually reducing the load, we are also receiving applications of persons entitled to be added to the rolls. If, therefore, to avoid

all argument we suppose that some reasonable number of those on relief can be shown by further investigation not to deserve it, we are perfectly confident that, substantially more than 100,000 people are, beyond every possible doubt, relief cases whose needs are vital and urgent. These people find themselves citizens of a national, state and local government, under which they are unable, except with governmental relief, to earn tomorrow's food for themselves or their children. If we cut off governmental relief to these bona fide cases, we will find in Cleveland 100,000 people compelled to choose between starvation on the one hand or lawless search for food on the other. To present such a choice is not to promote law and order; it is to foment anarchy. To the mayor of any industrial city with 100,000 people facing that issue, it is not necessary to argue the point further.

To bring out the picture more clearly, I add the following facts: In about two-thirds of the relief cases they represent families of two or more individuals, sometimes as many as ten. In the remaining one-third they represent, in about equal numbers, unattached men and women. There is an average of about one school child to every case. The 100,000 people would probably include about 34,000 needy school children. About eighty-five per cent have no income whatever. The remaining fifteen per cent have incomes, so small that it must be supplemented to provide even the relief scale of living. Sometimes this income represents the work of a child, sometimes the part-time employment of the father or mother, sometimes a small pension or occasional gifts.

Some are employable, but many are completely unemployable. If we use the tests of employability used in qualifying for W. P. A. about one-third are unable to qualify for even those simple types of work. This includes the physically, mentally and emotionally handicapped persons, those of advanced age and those women who, as heads of families, cannot possibly take employment outside of the home. It includes the crippled, those with high blood pressure, bad hearts, defective eyesight, hernias and other handicaps which are tragically present in fact, although not always obvious to the casual observer.

In the industrial centers of these post-depression days, personal savings are gone, and friendly neighbors or relatives are on relief or on the border line. In the past thirty days applications for relief have more than doubled in number. The fact, therefore, must be faced that in the industrial centers there today exists a need for relief in addition to what can be met by the permanent statutory relief services, such as those for the widows, aged, blind, disabled, or the paupers. This need extends to something like 10 per cent of the population, and is now met solely by Federal work relief and local direct relief. The need for this governmental relief is both undeniable and substantial.

*If there be need for governmental relief, how can that need be reduced or ended?*

Destitution is in great part a shadow cast by unemployment. In Cleveland, we have estimated that about 70 per cent of the destitute now on relief, are eligible for W. P. A. work relief—and in fact about 50 per cent out of the 70 per cent are there now. Many of these certainly would be able and glad to accept some kind of private employment if that should become available to them. With this challenge before us, every governmental official owes his best efforts to assist in the development of every reasonable program to develop private employment.

It is not for us, in this conference, to borrow the troubles of the national administration and undertake to deal with the development of foreign trade, the encouragement of new inventions, the increasing of volumes of production, the raising of real wages or the struggle with the general economic trends of the day. As mayors of industrial cities



we do, however, owe a deep obligation to cooperate aggressively with all constructive efforts made toward recovery and which depend for their success in any degree upon the cooperation of our respective governmental units.

We also should contribute, in our respective cities, every possible bit of initiative toward the development of new industries and the encouragement of private employment. Even though the gaining new industries may sometimes mean the taking of them from one city to another, this competitive effort to locate industries in those places best fitted for them is a healthy development. We can also definitely contribute to the success of private industry by following a policy of local government dedicated to economy of operation, to the maintenance of law and order, the creation of confidence on the part of both employer and employee, to the principle of serving the interest only of the community as a whole, rather than any special interest whatsoever. Such a policy includes a direct drive against extortion and all forms of racketeering, unfailing emphasis upon competency of individuals serving the city, support of Civil Service and the subordination of all partisan interests to public interests.

Using Cleveland again as the example and without attempting to attribute progress and employment any more than partially to the efforts of the city government, we find that the average of employment in our city has for the past year exceeded by five to ten per cent, the average of the nation as a whole. During the past two years we have sought to encourage the location of new enterprises there. We have secured more than fifty new industries, bringing employment to more than 3500 men and women at jobs that did not ever exist there before. In addition, several steel plants have enlarged their capacity so that with the recovery of the steel industry they will put to work about 3000 men at places never before occupied. We have sought to bring to the aid of our industrial center, some of the funds of those who live in surrounding areas that are not so directly burdened with the problem of industrial relief. We have done this through two years of the Great Lakes Exposition on the shores of Lake Erie, which attracted millions of visitors and brought millions of dollars to the community, to say nothing of employing between 6000 and 7000 people each summer. We have been favored with many conventions and large industrial exhibits. We have just opened a privately-owned million-dollar sport arena, built to serve the population within at least 100 miles of our city.

The Federal government has been helpful through its P. W. A. and similar projects. Cleveland and Ohio have benefited in many indirect ways by such projects even when constructed at great distances from us, but calling for materials which we manufacture. Locally we have not been able to make use of this opportunity as much as we would have liked because our state laws have so restricted the ability of the cities to provide local funds that we have rarely been able to command a Federal grant or loan on the conditions prescribed. The effect of these projects upon the need for relief is necessarily indirect. The need for continuance of such aid therefore, can be measured better by the Federal government rather than by the local governments. If there is to be reduction in Federal expenditures, a reduction of it in this field would cause far less tragic immediate consequences than the reduction of aid in the field of Federal work relief, generally referred to as W. P. A.

We must also emphasize the possibility of reducing the relief load by the careful removal and exclusion from relief of all who are not fairly entitled to it. The responsibility for this is local. In Cleveland all relief clients have been required to re-register since May 1st. Careful examination is made of their connections and resources of all kinds. All must be registered with the Federal, state and city employment office, and if capable of doing work, must be ready and

willing to accept it when available. Under this system of investigation, an average of but one out of two cases applying for relief is found qualified. Following admission to the relief roll, visitation of clients is essential. This should occur at least once a month. We advocate a load of not more than one hundred cases per visitor. Beyond that point it becomes more expensive to economize on visitors than to pay for additional visitors.

To avoid blindness to our mistakes, we have employed the services of an out-of-town experienced auditing and credit concern to which we refer cases when doubt is raised as to their right to relief. Out of six thousand cases this investigation has eliminated from 15 to 20 per cent. On the other hand, we have found that often where we have dropped people from the relief rolls after special examination, it has not been because of misrepresentation of facts in securing relief, but rather when they have been able to find some kind of partial or full-time employment they have continued to accept the relief payments in addition to their new income, so that they might pay up past due rents or bills, or buy some needed clothing or household articles that were badly needed but which they had been unable to buy out of the relief allowance itself. They have left it to the relief agency to cut off the relief and have merely failed to cut themselves off from it. Another obligation is to transfer from relief rolls those who belong under such permanent provisions as are made for dependent children, the aged, the permanently disabled, the paupers, the blind, and for soldiers' and sailors' relief.

In 1936, in Greater Cleveland, whereas our average case load of direct relief cases was about 25,000 we eliminated during the year about 40,000 or an average of 3300 cases per month. New cases took their places, but the net result was the gradual reduction of the load to about 20,000 cases. During the same year the number of employees on the relief staff dropped from 2200 to 700.

It is essential that the administration of relief command the confidence of the community in which it is administered. To do this we believe there must be a competent and adequate staff of workers. There must be absolute freedom from political considerations both in the employment of the workers and the administration of relief. We find an advantage in the use of trained social workers over amateurs who obtain their training at the expense of the relief agency and the relief clients. A low turnover in the staff of employees is of the greatest importance. Each relief case with which they deal is an individual tragedy and familiarity with it spells competency in its handling. We welcome suggestions and complaints from the public and follow up promptly information supplied by the public as to abuses of relief. In doubtful cases we require the client to come in and make affirmative demonstration of his need for his relief order before he receives it. Relief standards are not high enough to render them more attractive than employment. The average allowance for food to an individual member of a family of five is about nineteen cents per person per day.

The cities can contribute most to the reduction of the relief rolls by the promotion of private industry, the prompt exclusion from relief of those not deserving relief, and the constant practice of trustworthy, economical local government.

*While the need for governmental relief exists, how should it be met?*

This brings us to the issue which is so real to the mayor of every industrial city but which the general taxpaying public hates to face, namely, the actual presence of about 10 per cent of the population who have no means whatsoever of securing their daily food or their needed shelter or clothing without governmental relief. This issue



must be treated apart from philosophical discussions of policies of recovery and of any long-term methods of reducing the load.

In Cleveland, with at least 100,000 people in need of relief, 70 per cent of them belonging to families some one or more of whom is capable of doing some kind of simple work, the argument for "work relief" as against "the dole" seems unanswerable. To permit 30,000 employable cases, out of a total of 42,000 cases, to remain idle when they could work and would like to work is a reflection on the good sense of the governmental agencies which should seek to preserve the productive capacity of these cases for future industrial use. But even more pressing is the fact that such a great volume of idleness cannot from the mere point of view of local safety and law and order, be permitted to continue.

This presents a matter of tremendous importance to the stability and morale, not only of the city directly concerned, but of the state and nation of which it is a part. The nation has done an extraordinary service to the country and to the localities within the country through the W. P. A. work relief programs to meet this emergency. I strongly urge their continued support with Federal funds. The Federal government appreciates the needs of the large industrial centers. It can deal with them on a fair and comparable basis. A state which has in it but one or perhaps two such areas has difficulty in seeing the problem in its true perspective. A state tends to weigh the needs of its largest municipal industrial center on the same set of scales as the small community which has no relief burden. The bulk of the metropolitan relief load will not fit such a scale and cannot be fairly weighed upon it.

Our state also is restricted by constitutional and statutory provisions in the financing of work programs which present great difficulties. The state Constitution prevents the issuance of state bonds in any substantial sum and there is no reasonable possibility of securing a constitutional amendment for relief purposes. The need for work relief nevertheless is just as clear in Ohio as elsewhere from a national standpoint and the recognition of this nation-wide need by the Federal government has been an essential factor in meeting it.

From another standpoint, the Federal W. P. A. program has been of great value to Cleveland. Restricted by state laws and constitutional limitations adapted to the smaller communities, Cleveland, without W. P. A. is unable today to carry on any substantial construction program although the need is clear, the plans and supervision are ready, and the laborers are available on the relief rolls.

Faced with this situation for the past two years Cleveland has presented its program and condition in detail to the Federal government, has offered the most careful planning and supervision of W. P. A. projects, has presented its sponsor's share of the projects and in some cases has secured voluntary contributions from other interests so as to provide such sponsor's share. The result has been that Cleveland has been able to carry more than one-half of its relief cases on Federal W. P. A. and N. Y. A. projects. While this has not absorbed all of the employables, it has met many crying needs for improvements. Over the life of W. P. A., and extending to June 30, 1938, we have had a \$40,000,000 program, of which about \$5,000,000 represented the local contribution. Our method of handling the subject has been to appoint an executive assistant to the mayor in charge of Federal relations. To him was assigned the responsibility for securing and supervising all projects involving Federal aid. This centralization of authority has proved successful and convenient. The projects have included the enlargement of our airport, the building of a lake-front highway, the paving of fifty miles of streets, the construction of many sewers, the digging of a cut to improve the course of a river, the excavation of a reservoir, the grading of municipal cemeteries, the rehabilitation of parks and play-

grounds, the rehabilitation of public buildings, the making of clothing for relief and also important art, educational and music projects.

From the experience in Cleveland, the need for the continuance of W. P. A. work relief on substantially the same basis and the same scope as at present is clear. If there are to be reductions in Federal expenditures, W. P. A. is not the right place to make the reduction until there is a reduction in the emergency for the employment of the laborers now entirely dependent on this source of income for their living.

If there is to be any change in Federal contributions or any decentralization of the source of funds for this service, it is of the greatest importance that those who secure such changes shall also secure a new source to take up, without the intervention of a single day, the responsibility for human needs thus transferred. The decentralization of administration may have advantages if properly supported in the states, but the redistribution of the source of funds is too vital a matter to be left to experiment.

To put it simply, if the taxpaying interests of the nation are seeking a change, they should also see to it that the need is actually met through state or other local agencies before serious disorders are forced upon local communities with no adequate means to prevent or to control them. Those who seek to make changes must realize the actual presence and needs of the unemployed people. They must realize that any local government within whose boundaries these people are living will have to sacrifice every orderly agency of government to meet this need rather than permit anarchy to result. To throw this burden from the Federal government to the cities spells local disaster at the most critical points in the stability of popular government. There could be no more futile or shameful procedure than for the taxpaying interests of this nation to create any impression that the Federal government can be taken out of the work relief program before supplying some adequate substitute for that work relief on a scale that is at least state-wide. These taxpaying interests must not represent that they wish the Federal government to shift the load through decentralization to the local communities and then appear before those local or state authorities and attempt to dodge the expense of the burden, which they themselves thus shifted from Washington to their respective states and communities. Those who argue for the reduction of Federal work relief work should at the same time provide a firm underwriting by the states to carry in some manner the human needs of the thousands of people thus actually cut off from every available means of earning food, clothing or shelter. The unavoidable and primary consideration must be the human needs of those who are in need. To my mind this points clearly to the wisdom of the continuance of the Federal sponsoring of W. P. A. projects to meet the needs of the employable relief cases in accordance with the originally stated and often reiterated policy of the U. S. Conference of Mayors.

In the field of direct relief, as distinguished from Federal work relief, Cleveland now supplies direct relief to about fifty per cent of her total relief load and inevitably must continue to supply relief to about thirty per cent of that load, as these are the actual unemployables. The situation in Cleveland is so extraordinarily critical due to failure of the state to meet the situation, that our experience may serve as a warning of what should be avoided. In 1937, in Ohio, the state government, through sales taxes, carried much of the cost of direct relief up to May 1st. On that date the state abandoned the relief load. It not only stopped its appropriations but also disbanded its relief machinery. Even the counties, through which relief funds had been administered, were advised that they no longer could administer relief for the many cities and villages having a common problem within their county. The position taken by the state immediately



forced each city and village to look after its own relief load and prohibited any from contributing to the help of another. The result was that Cleveland's suburbs which benefit by the prosperity of Cleveland and which, through county taxation, had willingly carried their share of the relief load of the Greater Cleveland area, now found themselves without means of contributing to this effort. The state had provided neither funds nor enabling legislation to meet the need. It simply adopted the policy of discontinuing relief and of waiting to see what would happen.

As an emergency measure we secured permission to divert for relief purposes funds previously required to be set aside to retire scrip issued during the depression and also to divert to relief any taxes on intangible property received in excess of the original estimates. The available funds from these sources have been enough to cover about one month. The only other resources which developed was the receipt of delinquent real estate taxes in excess of budget estimates. There was an extraordinary need to use these funds to do what the city had left undone when the taxes had been left unpaid. The city, however, sacrificed all needs for police, fire and hospital equipment, and all chance for enlargement of its police and hospital service, and poured the local funds into relief. These funds lasted until about the middle of October. The state declined to call a special session before election and has only now consented to call it on November 29. Under the present laws there is no way in which a city can raise funds to meet relief requirements for the balance of 1937 and none by which they can levy a tax even on real estate for 1938 without requiring a 65 per cent vote of the people. It is possible under the home rule charters of certain cities to amend those charters by a fifty per cent popular vote, but this also would not help the 1937 emergency. There is readily available in the state an opportunity to renew utility, malt and admission taxes for relief on a state-wide basis. Such a program has been presented by the cities. It collects taxes locally and returns them to the point of origin. No program has been agreed upon by the state authorities, and the best that can be hoped for at present is that upon the opening of the special session on November 29th there will be an emergency appropriation of state funds for local relief purposes to be charged against and future authorization of relief funds during the special session of the Assembly.

This means that this week the city of Cleveland is compelled to sacrifice street cleaning services, rubbish and garbage collection service, and otherwise sacrifice essential regular operations to help provide emergency funds until the Assembly meets. This means that the absence of a state program is today beginning to cripple regular city service. I mention all this because if there should be any discontinuance of the Cleveland Federal W. P. A. program—or any attempted transfer of it to the state of Ohio—the difficulty would be double that which is now impending.

The organized taxpaying interests of Ohio, in seeking to prevent all possible state or local taxation, are creating an emergency in the administration of relief. It is to this situation that I refer when I urge that whatever policy be adopted on the Federal program, it must not include an abandonment of work relief until such time, if ever, that adequate provision has been made in the states to absorb that added burden in the industrial centers.

Returning to the three original questions:

First: *Is there need for governmental relief?* I answer emphatically yes, in the industrial centers of the nation.

Second: *If there be such need, how can it be reduced or ended?* It can be reduced permanently or ended only through industrial and agricultural recovery, which is a subject beyond our jurisdiction. It

can be reduced partially by local cooperation with all constructive national recovery programs, by the aggressive promotion of local initiative in business, by the economical operation of local governments, by the careful supervision of the administration of relief itself, and by the maintenance of a generally high standard of local government and law enforcement.

Third: *While the need for governmental relief exists, how should it be met?* Work relief should be continued on a Federal basis. This should include the employment on Federal projects of all employable relief cases. While there might be more decentralization of administration there certainly should be no decentralization of the source of funds for such work relief until adequate new sources are clearly established.

At the foundation lies the absolute necessity for the continuance at present of a clear policy of adequate relief, although the amount required has been greatly reduced during the past two years. It is the duty of the industrial cities to present the facts, without equivocation, to the Federal government, to their respective states and to the taxpayers and voters in each area. Our cities stand today in the front line of defense of popular government in America. Our cities are fighting this battle on the frontier of democracy. They must not be abandoned. I have full confidence in the ability of popular government to meet this test. The foundation of success is a willingness to face the facts in a united drive for recovery, but never forgetting in the midst of that drive, to feed and clothe the men, women and children who are the victims of existing conditions.

To fail to strive for recovery is treason to democracy, but to fail to so conduct our recovery program as to care for our needy men, women and children in the meantime, is treason to humanity.

### Resolutions Adopted.

The United States Conference of Mayors adopted the following resolutions:

#### *Indigent Transients.*

Whereas, the unemployment problem of many sections of the east, as well as other parts of the United States, is greatly aggravated and distorted by the mass movements of indigent transients into such areas; and

Whereas, those cities and communities which receive undue influx of such migration of indigent transients, seasonally or continuously, are confronted with extraordinary emergencies of relief, social welfare, and crime control far in excess of those with which such local jurisdictions should be expected to cope; and

Whereas, these migrations of indigent transients are interstate in character, and therefore constitute a national problem and responsibility; therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Annual Conference of the United States Conference of Mayors recommend to the Congress of the United States and to the appropriate committees thereof suitable action to accomplish a solution of this urgent problem.

#### *Relief.*

Whereas, judging from the estimates filed with the United States Conference of Mayors by the major cities of the nation, present relief rolls during the past few weeks have been increasing and the trend is upward; and

Whereas, in the event the relief load continues to increase during the next few months, it is apparent that the W. P. A. must increase its employment quota in the affected areas, in order to meet these needs; and

Whereas, to do this it would be necessary to request a deficiency appropriation; and



Whereas, the formula of dividing the fund appropriated for the present fiscal year into twelve monthly allotments is unscientific; and

Whereas, if we are to continue the present American program of providing work for those who are able to work, it is necessary to make these recommendations; therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Annual Conference of the United States Conference of Mayors indicate the above facts at this time and direct the Executive Committee to conduct a survey of conditions in December and report the findings of such survey, together with specific recommendations, to the Congress when it convenes in January, 1938.

### ORGANIZED CRIME.

Address by Honorable Philip J. McCook, Justice of the Supreme Court, State of New York.

Mr. President and members of the United States Conference of Mayors:

One night eighteen years ago, when your President and I went campaigning in the Bronx, we were ushered into a tiny clubroom for one of our round of speeches. He was then mayor, not twice-elected Mayor La Guardia, and running for the presidency of the Board of Aldermen. The audience consisted of a dozen people, four of whom, as we entered, were playing pool. We made our speeches, while the four in the back of the room kept right on playing pool. You are more polite than they, and we thank you for interrupting your pleasant conference to listen to a subject which has been of particular interest to us both. We have been friends for a quarter century, but his sentiments and practices on the duty of government towards organized crime are as well known to you as to me, although, like myself, he realizes that the need for crime prevention and rehabilitation is never to be forgotten.

A judge usually talks about the law and his experiences on the bench to members of bar associations who are, as officers of the court, able at least to get his point of view and presumably willing to put up with a certain amount of dullness. Each of you represents an important city. You are fellow officials, each in some measure charged with the duty of law enforcement. I therefore count on your sympathy and understanding. My primary aim will be to speak as an observer rather than as commentator or adviser. From his high bench the judge has an unparalleled opportunity for observation. His difficulty is to describe what he observes vividly enough to be interesting without offending the proprieties.

Mr. Justice Cardozo, while he was chief judge of the Court of Appeals of New York, said in the course of a series of lectures:

"My analysis of the judicial process comes then to this, and little more: logic, and history, and custom, and utility, and the accepted standards of right conduct, are the forces which singly or in combination shape the progress of the law. Which of these forces shall dominate in any case, must depend largely upon the comparative importance or value of the social interests that will be thereby promoted or impaired. One of the most fundamental social interests is that law shall be uniform and impartial. There must be nothing in its action that savors of prejudice or favor or even arbitrary whim or fitfulness. Therefore in the main there shall be adherence to precedent."

In a different connection he quotes Mr. Justice Holmes when the latter observed:

"The law has grown, and even if historical mistakes have contributed to its growth, it has tended in the direction of rules consistent with human nature . . . ."

Judge Cardozo also stated, in yet another place:

"There is nothing new in this notion of the subordination of legal concepts to expediency and justice, though as with many an old truth, there is need to restate it now and again. What is new, per-

haps, is the readiness to avow what has always been practiced more or less intermittently, and at times with scant appreciation of the nature of the motive force."

In March, 1935, one of the regular grand juries of New York county, exercising its ancient and undoubted privilege, took the bit in its teeth and became what has since been known as the run-away grand jury. Disagreeing with the district attorney, they went to Governor Lehman and laid before him what they considered to be certain alarming conditions in that county. The outcome was the Governor's famous order or proclamation of June 28th, which appointed an Extraordinary Special and Trial Term of the New York Supreme Court, and designated myself to hold it. The purpose stated was that of inquiring into "(1) any and all acts of racketeering and vice; (2) any and all acts of organized crime or any other crime; (3) any connection between such acts and any law enforcement officials . . ." committed within New York County. (In passing please note that there are five counties in New York City, each with a district attorney independent of any other, and no district attorney and no grand jury can act on crimes taking place entirely outside the county in question.)

This action of the Governor was taken after consulting the Association of the Bar of the City of New York. In view of the way the matter came up, it was decided to name a special prosecutor, and he appointed Thomas E. Dewey, who was sworn in, caused the first grand jury to be selected, and began his work.

What is a racket? This is what I said in my charge to these grand jurors:

"Racketeering is a comparatively new expression. When loosely used it is broad enough to include all crookedness of a systematized or organized kind under business forms. As employed in the Governor's order, you may take it to mean something more definite and limited, namely, extortion, by intimidation or otherwise, from legitimate or illegitimate business."

No such crime is known to the law of the State of New York as racketeering, although the institution has existed in New York City for at least a generation, and the idea probably is as old as community life. Extortion, however, is a crime and most of the prosecutions which I am about to discuss were for extortion, that is, organized extortion. Neither the special prosecutor nor myself ever had any idea that he would or should attack ordinary crimes, no matter how serious, or ordinary vice, however common. These were to be left to the elected district attorney. When, however, punishable vice or any other crime was found to be organized or systematized, that obviously fell within the scope of the investigation.

The inquiry had, before a year was up, grown to such proportions that the Governor named an additional justice and created a second special and trial term of court, providing for another grand jury. To conduct the new term he designated the Honorable Ferdinand Pecora, and ever since then the two terms and two grand juries, with the help of the same special prosecutor, have worked harmoniously side by side. Many indictments have been handed up. Four have been tried in the Supreme Court and many misdemeanors before the Court of Special Sessions, upon informations filed there by direction of one grand jury or the other. There have been 71 convictions out of 73 trials. No conviction was reversed. Indeed, as the investigation progressed, pleas of guilty became more and more common.

I am giving you these and subsequent details because your President has told me that you would not be alarmed at the thought of listening to law, and would be truly interested in procedure which might bear upon your own problems.

The historian Macaulay indicates that one of the first recorded racketeers was Robert, later Lord Clive. As a boy in rural England, it seems that the future conqueror of India organized a local gang which terrorized the tradesmen by breaking windows, and sold immunity for apples and half pence. Strange to say, the first defendant



caught in the special prosecutor's net was a window-breaker, a youthful second offender who had almost killed a baby in a tenement with a brick thrown to make good an extortion threat. The early information was that he was a member of a ring, but when he pleaded guilty, no evidence of accomplices was found. He was sent to Elmira Reformatory.

Next to appear above the surface were the "loan sharks", and for the first time the public saw, in the form which later became so familiar, Prosecutor Dewey's peculiar technique. After long and careful preparation, with no necessary detail omitted, and in the utmost secrecy, even among his assistants and a special force of police, he suddenly pounced, and placed all the principal defendants at once in custody. Even then no publicity was permitted until identification of the prisoners by the witnesses had, so far as possible, been made. I need scarcely point out that this procedure had at least two important advantages—the defendants were less likely to escape and intimidation of witnesses was less probable.

Next, so far as I was concerned, came the so-called vice prosecution, ultimately involving Luciano and twelve others, charged alike with "conducting and directing on a large scale the business of prostituting women, with features of wholesale oppression and corruption," and including the systematic abuse of bail bonds. All defendants were convicted and sentenced to various terms from seven and a half to fifty years in State Prison. Luciano was not caught in the original raid and was not at that time under indictment. He was arrested in the State of Arkansas, fought extradition vigorously and was returned to New York after proceedings of an unusual and interesting character, which displayed the utmost resourcefulness on both sides.

Before the vice trial and after the original indictment antedating the one which included Luciano, the New York Legislature passed an amendment to Section 279 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (Ch. 328, Laws of 1936). This celebrated law permitted the indictment and trial of several distinct offenses, if similar in character or connected together as parts of a common scheme or plan. A companion statute (Sec. 2190 of the Penal Law) made provision for sentence in such a case. Each section was expressly made applicable to all indictments or informations subsequently filed, whether the offenses alleged were committed before or after enactment.

This third conspicuous prosecution at which I presided was that of the restaurant racket. Preparation had been going on practically since the first day of the investigation. Some of the most interesting developments in the whole inquiry were connected with this difficult pursuit of what was charged to be a complete industrial market. In September, 1935, the treasurer of the Metropolitan Restaurant and Cafeteria Association, a man named Grossell, later convicted upon the main trial, was brought before me charged with contempt through failure to deliver subpoenaed books. He was punished by imprisonment for fifteen days and the documents were then surrendered. This incident was not without importance, but was followed by others far more symptomatic. It was reported that witness after witness was failing to disclose the truth. The usual history of the matter was that he would first hesitate to talk at all, then tell a little, but whenever the crux of the matter was approached, he would evade, lie, and in short, substantially foil the purpose of the inquiry. The situation was brought to a head by the case of *People v. Finkel*. The defendant, a respectable business man and employer, was charged with criminal contempt. He was found guilty and sentenced to jail for thirty days. Extracts from the opinion give the picture:

"After being examined by a district attorney in the latter's office, he was taken before the grand jury of the Extraordinary Special and Trial Term of this court sitting for the investigation of rackets, and more particularly considering the activities of persons controlling a certain organization known as the Metropolitan Restaurant and Cafeteria Association, the alleged commission of extortion by those

persons, and the alleged illegal relationship existing between that organization and certain labor unions. He was there questioned during five separate sessions. His testimony occupies about 250 pages of the record, exclusive of exhibits."

"He failed to give any reasonable explanation except that he had been 'fishing for answers', or to add to the so-called information given to the grand jury."

"The simplest form of obstructing justice is to refuse to answer a proper question. Another form is to assert failure of memory when there is in fact no such failure. A third is to profess at all times that one has nothing to conceal and is telling the truth, but to pretend to forget or to pretend to remember what is not, so whichever may be handiest at the time, to lie when one can, to tell the truth when one must, and by glibness and insolence waste more time than would be possible under either of the other methods. It is an interesting technique—also a dangerous one. If it can be employed with impunity, it is likely to spell the end of any attempt to procure evidence of organized and lucrative crime in which normally well-disposed citizens have become involved on a large scale through cupidity, timidity or passivity."

The judgment was affirmed with one dissent in the Appellate Division of my court. Presiding Justice Martin expressed the view of the majority, writing in part as follows:

"The answers were not only false and perjurious, they were answers in form only and were clearly tantamount to a refusal to answer, and they therefore constitute a criminal contempt of court. The dissenting opinion stresses the fact that the power exerted by the court is a dangerous innovation. Courts have had this power from time immemorial and it has not been abused. Many writers assert that such a power is inherent in the courts. There is very little danger of an abuse of such a power, especially in view of the fact that there is a complete remedy by appeal. The far-fetched cry of safeguarding the defendant or a witness has reached the point where it is used to defeat detection and prosecution of those who violate our laws. We must realize that the time has come when we must give more attention to protecting the honest citizens."

Unanimous affirmance by the Court of Appeals brought almost instantaneous results. Witnesses began to crack, one after the other, and indictments followed. The defendants indicted almost immediately raised the constitutionality of the statute permitting the joinder of separate crimes, which I have already described, by demurring to the indictment. I held the indictment valid and the legislation constitutional, whereupon the defendants raised the same point by *habeas corpus*. One of these writs (*People ex. rel. Pincus v. Adams*) was heard by Mr. Justice Wasservogel, who said:

"The amendments to Section 279 of the Code of Criminal Procedure created no new offense, imposed no greater punishment and took away no defense. I am in accord with Mr. Justice McCook, who overruled the demurrer to the indictment, and I hold that Chapter 328 of the Laws of 1936 works a change in procedure only and affects no substantial rights of the relators."

After the restaurant racket trial, which resulted in the conviction of all defendants except one (as to whom a mistrial was declared for ill health) and sentences of from five to twenty years in state prison, an appeal from the Wasservogel decision was taken direct to the Court of Appeals. In unanimously affirming the decision, the court (Judge Finch writing) treated the different angles of a question which was entirely novel in New York, though the new statute followed laws which had been held constitutional in the Supreme Court of the United States and several state jurisdictions.



An attempt to persuade the Supreme Court of the United States to review the question failed. Mr. Justice Cardozo, to whom application was made, wrote: "I find appellant's grievance to be unsubstantial", and disallowed appeal.

The appeal from the restaurant conviction (*People v. Coulcher and others*) has not yet been perfected. I have laid so much stress upon *People ex rel. Finkel v. McCook* and *People ex rel. Pincus v. Adams* and quoted so fully the reasoning of the courts, because of their importance to the whole investigation, and their novelty and historical significance.

The last of the three major cases which I tried was the garment trucking racket. An entire association of truckmen had been shaken down by two gangsters or mobsters. These men, with their confederate, later killed, had established themselves as persons to be feared in the industry, by terror, through stench bombs, destruction of the engines of trucks by emery powder mixed with the oil, and beating up truckmen. They dominated an association of truck owners in downtown New York. But they were not satisfied with their territory and so decided to move in on the garment district uptown. One night in 1933, the president of the uptown association was accosted on the street by one of the gangsters and told that his whole association would have to pay for protection. He pleaded with the racketeers and finally asked: "Suppose we don't pay up." The gangster looked him over and said, "If you don't pay, we'll come uptown, bust the trucks of every member you've got and break their heads." The president of the association replied that he would talk to the board of directors, and he did. Someone made a timid suggestion that they all go down to the district attorney's office. It was vetoed because they were afraid of their lives, and so the association began to pay monthly tribute, and here is the way the matter was handled:

Checks were drawn each month to the directors as salary, although the directors had never before received salary and did not intend to keep it now. They would turn these checks over to a man who would cash them and slip the cash to one of the mob on the street. This had been going on for years.

At the close of the people's case the defendants pleaded guilty and were sentenced to state prison for from three to ten years. I have felt freer in describing the facts of this case and making comment because, unlike some of the others, it is entirely concluded, and the truth of the charges has been established without hope of appeal.

For the same reason I may without impropriety describe what I have been informed was the procedure on the part of the prosecutor in preparation of the same case. First the books were subpoenaed and examined by accountants. Then began the task of confronting each witness with the cashed directors' checks, continuous questioning of the signers and the individuals who had delivered the cash. At first they stood pat and stuck to the story that they had kept the salaries. They were then played off, one against the other, using part of the truth told by some to break the lies of others. Finally, enough was pieced together to prove that at an early directors' meeting they had agreed to pay the mob. As they became aware through subtle hints that enough of them had broken secretly for all to be safe in testifying together, they were for the first time assembled. The ground was traversed in the presence of all; turning first to one, then to another, admission was piled on admission, and a substantial addition was made to the knowledge already accumulated. The tension relaxed. Fear ended as witnesses realized that each had been afraid to talk because the others might not. There remained the president of the association. He was the only witness actually threatened by the gangsters. He had been worked on to the point where he knew that some of the others had cracked and that he was in the middle. At last the whole story came out. He declared himself ready to tell the truth and did so, though avowing that he would never testify. That was the link needed. He

did testify and, as I have already said, when the people's case was in the two defendants pleaded guilty.

All this took several months of work. I have previously told you how long was required to break the restaurant racket, and why. The organized vice case took less time, the loan shark racket least of all.

The Court of Appeals has not yet decided the appeal from the judgment upon the verdict of the jury in *People v. Luciano and others*. The appellate division has unanimously affirmed it. Nearly a year after the verdict Luciano and some of the other defendants moved for a new trial upon the recantation of three out of the people's sixty witnesses, and the motion was denied; the denial has been affirmed by the appellant division, though by a divided court, and the Court of Appeals has eliminated from the record on appeal from the judgment all proceedings upon the motion for a new trial, so I take it that to that extent the decision of the trial justice stands. Since a thousand pages of affidavits were submitted on one side and the other, and one of the chief contentions was that various members of the prosecutor's office had been unfair, oppressive and even fraudulent in their methods, a good legal test of the technique previously described was presented. The part of the opinion which deals with that contention reads:

"Every step of each of the three recanters in supplying information to the prosecutor, from the moment of first contact to the time she took the stand, has been followed and described by the member or members of the staff to whom the information was given firsthand. Every doubt sought to be thrown by their latest affidavits on any particular transaction on the chronology and relation of the different transactions is fully cleared. After reading both sets of papers, any impartial person must, I feel sure, conclude that the information used was fairly and honestly elicited by proper means and accurately recorded, and that any contrary version now put forward is untrue."

Clearly, substantial progress has been made in the past two years. In March, 1935, the regular County Grand Jury advised Judge Koenig, of the Court of General Sessions, as follows:

"We have heard evidence on various forms of organized crime, including commercial prostitution, bail bond abuses, policy operations and other so-called 'rackets'. The evidence submitted, while incomplete and inconclusive, has convinced us that these types of crime are rampant and have reached such proportions as to constitute a public menace, with potentialities for the corruption of the agencies of law enforcement. It has become evident to us that the uncovering of organized crime is not a mere police routine but a major undertaking. . . ."

Commenting on this report, the speaker said in his charge to his first grand jury:

"If common report is accurate, rackets at the expense of legitimate business have indeed reached such proportions as to constitute a public menace, by levying on the whole city a tribute of formidable size, and our people, employers and employees, taxpayers and tenants, residents and visitors, are alike victims of an intolerable system. No community can long sustain a burden so costly and demoralizing. You will have no difficulty in imagining, if such a condition should be permitted to continue unchecked, important business drawn away from our city, with the loss of its well earned leadership in trade and in industry."

Since I suppose it is considerations like those suggested by this contrast, which have caused you to ask me to address you on the subject of organized crime, perhaps you would like me, so far as time permits, to call your attention to conclusions which the special prosecutor says he has reached. I am further moved to do this because some of you may find in your own communities parallel situations to which similar remedies would apply. On an automobile trip across the Mississippi Valley in the summer of 1935, just before the present investigation began, I took occasion to stop in three large cities for the express purpose of inquiring into local situations for my own information and



guidance. In the first instance, I was told that the place had been racket-ridden but the racketeers had recently been driven out; in the second, that nothing of the kind had been known in twenty years; in the third, that they scarcely were aware what the expression meant. Perhaps you are not all so fortunate as that. Well-informed persons have told me they believe no city of 100,000 or more is free from racketeering.

Of course, as I indicated in the beginning, there is nothing new in the idea of extortion by fear. The new aspect, if any, is the large scale on which it has been practiced in certain places, from the viewpoint of the number of individuals engaged, the perfection of the organization, the skill and power of the leaders, the terror displayed by the victims, and the almost incredible sums of money at stake. Opinions differ respecting the part played by the attempted enforcement of the prohibition amendment to the United States Constitution and the disastrous failure of that attempt. At all events, we have heard more about racketeering since 1919 than we did before—that is certainly a conservative assertion—which seems a striking coincidence, at least.

What I am quoting Mr. Dewey for, was said within two months to the annual convention of the Association of International Chiefs of Police, at Baltimore, Maryland:

"But suppose one man strikes another over the head with a piece of pipe on a city street. The policemen on the beat promptly arrests the slugger, rounds up several bystanders as witnesses and takes them all to the police station. The cop's job is done then, because an assault has occurred, an arrest has been made, and by ordinary standards the case is ready to be marked 'closed' in the police files. But why did the prisoner slug the victim? Was it a simple assault case, or was there something more significant behind it? While the matter is new and before the witnesses forget what they know, that is the time for the modern police officer to exercise his judgment. He must quickly analyze the situation, examine it for motives, and if he has the slightest reason to believe that there is something behind the slugging, the prosecutor's office with its specialized knowledge should be called upon to assist. Paired together, these two agencies may find that the slugging meant that a mob is taking over some racket, or beginning a new one. Both agencies must then move promptly to investigate and suppress the racket. The slugging is a symptom of danger—not a single criminal act to be punished and forgotten.

"In the modern racket, the tool who throws the stench bomb or conducts the shake-down of a business man often does not even know why he does it. He only knows who told him to do it. His immediate boss often does not even know the name of the ultimate boss. The police may know, from rumors or underworld gossip, the name of the Number One Man, but the job of tracing the command for the slugging through several intermediaries up to the rich and powerful criminal leader is a long and difficult job. This is not the job of the patrolman on the beat, the precinct detective or the headquarters detective. They have no power of subpoena. They have no accountants. They cannot trace on the books of the frightened victims the essential links in the chain of evidence. The job requires organization, long range drive and absolute freedom from political influence. And unless the job is done, the arrest of the tool of the mob does not stop, does not even interrupt, the racket."

Those are suggestions from the man since elected District Attorney of New York County under the state system for the coming four years. You may be interested also in what the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York, George Z. Medalie, thought about the future in June of 1931:

"I think in large measure the remedy lies in the hands of business, and particularly in the hands of our business leaders. I believe also the remedy lies partly in the creation of police and detective forces adequately organized to meet the threat of racketeering in any industry

at any time that it shows itself. Both are worth trying and I am convinced that before long they can produce substantial results. Primarily they can contribute to the creation of a new public viewpoint of courage and resistance to the terrorism exercised by society's parasites."

Finally, some brief remarks on my own account. The first thing which occurs to almost everyone when he finds a bad situation is: let us radically change the machinery and start all over again. If one were to accept the views of a French civil lawyer about the reasons for the failure of American criminal justice, as described in a recent article on the subject by a specialist from Louisiana, the Honorable Pierre Crabites, one might conclude that the grand jury is the relic of a caste-ridden state of society, in the habit of placing blots on the characters of the innocent and, therefore, resentfully and instinctively opposed by the petty jury, even where evidence of guilt is unmistakable, and thus responsible for unwarranted acquittals. Such a critic would abolish the whole system or adopt some other drastic means to the end desired.

I am not now arguing the merits of the grand jury as contrasted with some other agency such as, I am told, has been created and found effective in other states. Yet the fact remains that in New York whatever the present investigation has accomplished has been produced with the tools of the trade supplied by existing law. It was only when Mr. Dewey found himself faced with a rusty or clearly inadequate implement that he sought new laws or a new interpretation of the old.

My personal view is that, to the extent that good has been done and evils have been overcome, it is largely because we found, ready for the occasion, grand juries aware of their power and courageous enough to use it; a governor sensitive to a grand jury's complaint and the needs of the situation; a legislature which abstained from playing party politics and responded to public demand, a mayor and other local officials willing to cooperate and make themselves personally responsible for the cost in appropriations; a police commissioner prepared to reward by commendation and promotion intelligent devotion to duty; a bold and resourceful prosecutor; and courts alert to new demands resulting from new conditions, and wise enough to meet them.

Consider in this last connection, the duty of the lawyer. We have the recent illustration of an interesting judicial pronouncement delivered in civil disbarment proceedings and of wide application, in line with a decision on similar facts by the highest court of Pennsylvania, and again from our First Department Appellate Division in New York:

"The license to practice law does not permit attorneys to engage in criminal activities with their clients, nor does it permit them to aid or abet the commission of crimes. . . . When the conduct and actions of an attorney over a period of years clearly show that his purpose and intention was to aid and guide a combination of persons engaged in crime, he becomes in effect a member of the criminal organization and forfeits his right to membership in an honorable profession."

What I have been telling you does not mean that we have overlooked the sanctity of the bill of rights, for words and phrases, when all is said and done, are relative. Take the application of the constitutional word "excessive" to bail, for example. True, the object of requiring bail is to produce the accused at his trial, but the expression should surely be construed in the light of a modern temptation—that of a defendant, charged with an illegal "take" running into six figures, to decamp until he has disposed of the witnesses. When provision was made by the fathers against the possible tyranny of judges, they did not intend to approve insolent defiance by a grand jury witness in the specious form of compliance. With the growth of organized crime, novel situations present themselves.

In the last analysis, it is the old question of the man and the hour. It is the old story that honest people can get relief, no matter how difficult the problem, if they awake from their lethargy and call loudly enough upon their chosen representatives to hear and act.



With one more illustration I shall end my paper. After the first important conviction, several friends said to me: "What's the use? Will not the racket start all over again, in a slightly new form and with new leaders—indeed, has not a new racket already started?" My reply was: "Perhaps; but do you fail to cut your hair because it grows out again?" I might have added: "Gentlemen, you are more pessimistic than I about the determination of the American people, once roused, to defend themselves against organized crime."

### WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 17, 1937.

Honorable Nathan Straus, United States Housing Administrator, on beginning his address, stated that Senate confirmation of the \$500,000,000 slum clearance program was assured. He invited all the mayors to "come and get it." Mr. Straus told representatives of 68 local housing authorities that he wanted to "do some earmarking of funds today, tomorrow and the next day" for cities meeting the following preliminary requirements:

1. Applications must come from technically and financially acceptable municipal housing authorities.
2. Applicant authorities should present a definite plan for meeting the 10 per cent local contribution required by the Housing Act.
3. Applications must be accompanied by assurances that projects in question have a direct relation to a general city plan.

Straus tentatively settled an important question: "Are bathrooms to be considered rooms in the slum clearance and low-rent housing program?"

He stated bathrooms would not count as rooms, and that neither would utility rooms, laundries, closets, entries and halls. This would permit the construction of larger family dwelling units under the program, since the law limits such units to four rooms.

The nation is on its greatest housing construction boom. The Administration's 3-billion dollar *private* housing bill has already been approved by the House of Representatives. Its final adoption by the Senate has been delayed by the filibuster on the anti-lynch bill but it is certain to pass.

Meantime Honorable Nathan Straus, the United States Housing Administrator, has earmarked \$158,995,000 to 44 cities for slum clearance and low-cost housing projects, and this is in addition to the 51 other Federal projects on housing that have been approved and actually received their quotas running into millions of dollars. All of these 51 projects have already begun work and some have almost completed their projects.

I visited some of the projects in New York and Chicago. Their scope is of tremendous size and importance, for example, the "Jane Addams Houses" project was being built on a slum site of 17 acres, three-story apartments, 2501 rooms, 723 living units, \$5,316,759.70 allotted therefor.

The "Julia C. Lathrop Houses," a vacant site of 35 acres was purchased. The structure will be three-story apartments and two-story row houses and two-story flats. It will contain altogether 3254 rooms, or 925 living units. The government's allotment was \$5,862,000.

In New York they have cleared away a slum site of 24 acres. There will be 5688 rooms or 1622 living units. The government's allotment was \$13,459,000. The Harlem River houses in New York received an allotment of \$4,219,000. The buildings that I saw have a fine appearance and they will be heated throughout by steam. Hot water and refrigeration will be furnished and the rent per room will be \$7.10.

Address by Honorable Nathan Straus, United States Housing  
Administrator.

Honorable Nathan Straus then addressed the Conference as follows:

This talk is made informally among friends. I have not attempted to write it out in full. You, mayors of the cities, are friends of mine and friends of housing.

In particular my own mayor, Fiorello LaGuardia, has for years been a steadfast worker on behalf of better conditions of living for the underprivileged and above all for better housing.

Your executive secretary, Mr. Paul Betters, was of the greatest help in bringing about the enactment of the Wagner-Steagall Act, creating the United States Housing Authority. I congratulate you upon having so excellent, so indefatigable and so effective a worker, permanently engaged in representing the cause of the cities in Washington.

May I, in opening these brief remarks, express my sense of the importance of the United States Conference of Mayors as an effective instrument of good government.

We are partners in a big job. You have, up to now, carried the ball. Your efforts, combined with the efforts of other like-minded groups, especially in the ranks of organized labor, have been effective in bringing about the enactment of the housing law.

I know that our great President, the leader in this cause of decent low-cost housing, is well aware of the services you have performed in aiding him.

That is the past. What of the future?

What does this Wagner-Steagall Act do? How does it differ from earlier housing legislation?

The Wagner-Steagall Act sets up slum clearance and low-cost housing for the first time in the history of our government as a *permanent* activity and as a decentralized activity. The corporation created by the act is, in the words of the act, to be of "*perpetual*" duration. For the first time, housing is recognized as an obligation of government on a plane with construction of roads, fire protection, water supply, and similar governmental functions.

Moreover, for the first time the policy of decentralization is established in the law. Heretofore construction of low-cost housing has been done by the national government. Hereafter the localities will initiate, purchase the site, construct and operate the low-cost housing projects. The United States Housing Authority will establish standards, supply funds, supervise construction and operation.

My job as I see it will be to help each of you to do for his city what you yourselves want to do, in clearing slums and rehousing slum dwellers. It will be my task to be guided by you and the voters who elected you.

There has been some confusion between the Federal Housing Administration, or F. H. A., and the United States Housing Authority—the U. S. H. A. This confusion is a confusion of name and not a confusion of tasks. The F. H. A. is designed to promote private building by insuring mortgages on private property. The F. H. A. promotes the use of private capital to finance homes for the middle income group of the population. This is a government activity of the greatest importance.

The U. S. H. A. will provide Federal funds, to be used in conjunction with state and local funds as capital to finance on a lending basis the construction of homes for the lowest-income group of the population. It will also provide subsidies in an amount necessary to bring the rentals of these projects within the economic reach of present slum dwellers. This is, I believe, a government activity of the greatest importance.

The F. H. A. has, I believe, never insured a mortgage on a building that could re-house a slum dweller. The U. S. H. A. will never finance or subsidize projects except to re-house slum dwellers.



I hope that this brief summary of the differences between the two government agencies will serve to clear up some of the existing confusion.

The question may be in the minds of many of you as to when and how you can make application for loans or subsidies for projects in your cities. I would like to answer you as definitely as possible that we hope to be able to entertain applications on January 1, 1938.

The reason for the delay between November 1, the date of my appointment, and the sixty-day period which I have set for preparation can be frankly told to you.

I came into this job, not new to the cause of housing, but new to Federal work. I did not know my staff. Neither I nor anyone else had worked out the details of operation under the Wagner-Steagall Act. It seemed to me wiser to proceed slowly at the beginning and to establish a detailed policy on every point in question under the act before attempting to accept applications. Moreover, I must add that the Federal projects, transferred to me by executive order from the Housing Division of the P. W. A., are taking an immense amount of time and thought. The total number of these projects is 51. Their total value is \$134,000,000. During the following eight or ten months, a number of these projects will be completed and arrangements must be made for selection of tenants, and for local management. It is the intent of the law, and it is my purpose, to turn all of these projects over to the localities as soon as possible. Pending sale, they should be leased to the localities, and toward this end your cooperation is essential.

For instance, there are now in process of completion the following projects:

<i>Location and Name</i>	<i>Number of Dwellings</i>
Birmingham, Alabama—Smithfield Court .....	544
Cambridge, Massachusetts—New Towne Court.....	294
Chicago, Illinois—	
Jane Addams Houses .....	304
Trumbull Park Homes .....	925
Julia C. Lathrop Homes .....	462
Lexington, Kentucky—Blue Grass Park—Aspendale.....	286
Louisville, Kentucky—LaSalle Place .....	210
Nashville, Tennessee—Cheatham Place .....	314
Wayne, Pennsylvania—Highland Homes .....	50
Indianapolis, Indiana—Lockefield Garden Apts. ....	748
Louisville, Kentucky—College Court.....	125
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania—Hill Creek .....	258
Boston, Massachusetts—Old Harbor Village.....	1016
Camden, New Jersey—Westfield Acres.....	514
Memphis, Tennessee—	
Lauderdale Courts .....	449
Dixie Homes .....	633

and all of these should be opened before the end of this year.

We are endeavoring to establish the new policies under which we will operate, using from the outset what I may term the new technique. Those policies must be set up under the provisions of the Wagner-Steagall Housing Act. But obviously there is a certain latitude in every act for interpretation and administration by the head of the authority. So far as such latitude exists in the United States Housing Authority Act, I hope that I will be guided in its use by the wishes of the states and, above all, of the cities by which and in which the housing is to be built. We are starting anew—not only under a new act but under a new philosophy of housing. You must be the moving force, if I understand the wishes of the Congress, in administering the housing law aright.

In pursuance of this policy I am inviting, as you doubtless know,

the various local authorities to meet with us in groups, beginning on November 22. That policy of mutual consultation then established will be continued until all questions in connection with the interpretation of the act, have, so far as possible, been resolved.

This method of procedure will, I hope, set a pattern for all future activities of the United States Housing Authority. We are attempting to begin on the right basis and I know we will have your cooperation not only in this beginning but in pursuance of the same policy of close cooperation throughout all of our activities.

Meanwhile, we are studying the part which the U. S. H. A. will be called upon to play in the administration of this act. While you cannot yet make applications for loans, it seems to me that you can do certain things which will promote prompt action after January 1.

As you know, *the cities are called upon to bear at least 10 per cent of the capital costs of projects. Are you ready to provide that share of the capital cost of your first project? Are you making provision to finance your local housing authorities so that they may set up a working organization? Is your housing authority making a study of building costs to be quite certain that your project will come within the limitations of the act, namely, less than \$1,000 per room in cities of less than a half million inhabitants and less than \$1,250 per room in cities over a half million inhabitants? Remember also that localities must bear their share, in an amount not less than 20 per cent of what the Federal government contributes, of the subsidies toward rent reduction. Are you ready to make this contribution, in the form of cash, services, or tax remissions?*

Please study your part, the part of the cities. The city or state's share of the annual subsidy may be in the form of tax exemption. But I hope you members of the United States Conference of Mayors will study means of providing the cities' share of the annual grant by a wiser and more just way of assessing the costs. Tax exemption is a concealed subsidy. Tax exemption is a source of irritation to owners of other property which pays full taxes. Tax exemption, partial or complete, may be the easiest way but it is not the best, or the frankest, or the most equitable way to provide a subsidy. I ask you to study this subject and to make recommendations that seem good to you to your city councils. That is all that I am prepared to say on this subject at this time.

If you will immediately, upon your return to your cities, begin to work toward the answers to these questions, we will be able to make much more rapid progress when you submit your applications after January 1.

Remember that you and I jointly will try to make each housing project an integral part of an improved city plan. City planning is an activity that has been sadly neglected in most cities of this country. Like Topsy in Uncle Tom's Cabin—they have just grown. The operation of the U. S. H. A. will enable you to have a basis for urging upon your city councils the necessity for a comprehensive plan for improving the design of your cities.

In closing, may I offer a note of warning.

When the Wagner-Steagall bill, as finally enacted, became law with the signature of our great President, there were many notes of misgiving, even among friends of housing. We were told that the law was impracticable and unworkable. We were told that it had been so amended as to be a useless, or at most, a very weak instrument of decent, low-cost housing. Those prophets of evil were rightly condemned as unworthy of much attention.

However, I feel that today we are in danger of falling into an error almost equally great. There is a sense of complacency I find among the "housers," so many of whom are also officials of wide-awake city governments. This is almost as dangerous to the housing program as counsels of despair.

On the one hand the law is not unworkable in my belief. On the



other hand, we must admit that it has not yet worked. Until it does, let us apply ourselves earnestly to the task of making it work. To build one hundred thousand or more minimum cost homes within the meaning and intent of this law in a period of three years is at best not a simple nor an easy task.

I rely on you, each of you, to work with me and with the United States Housing Authority, under the leadership of our President, to make this act an effective instrument of better life in America.

### HOUSING.

Honorable Nathan Straus, Administrator, Department of the Interior, United States Housing Authority, Washington, Gave the Following Analysis of the United States Housing Act of 1937.

The United States Housing Act of 1937, commonly known as the Wagner-Steagall Housing Act, creates the United States Housing Authority as a permanent corporation in the Department of the Interior. The purpose of this Authority is to promote the general welfare by relieving present and recurring unemployment and to extend financial assistance to public housing agencies for the housing of low-income families who are living under slum conditions. To initiate this program \$500,000,000 will be available for loans by the issuance of federally-guaranteed bonds of the Authority. In addition, the sum of \$26,000,000 is authorized to be appropriated for the purpose of providing funds to meet Federal contributions and grants and to pay administrative expenses of the Authority.

The Act contemplates a non-Federal program consisting entirely of projects locally constructed, locally owned and locally operated. Unlike the Housing Division of the Public Works Administration, which undertook a program of Federal construction, the United States Housing Authority will have no power to construct projects, but will be limited in its functions to furnishing financial and technical assistance to local public housing agencies.

To get a general understanding of how this Act works, it is advisable to consider its seven salient provisions.

*Agencies Eligible for Aid.* In the first place, only public housing agencies are eligible to borrow money and receive a subsidy from the Federal Authority. No aid under the Act may be given to individuals or private corporations. A "public housing agency" is defined in the Act to include local housing authorities, municipalities, counties and states which are authorized to undertake and finance housing projects under proper enabling state legislation. It is generally expected that the public instrumentality which will participate most in the national housing program will be the local housing authority, which is a public corporation, without taxing power, created under state law for the sole purpose of undertaking low-rent housing and slum-clearance projects. At the present time 30 states have laws which provide for the creation of such housing authorities, although some of these laws are not adequate.

*Local Contribution Toward Construction Cost of Project.* The second important requirement of the Act relates to the local contribution toward the construction cost of a project. Where annual contributions or capital grants are made for a low-rent housing project, the local housing authority may borrow only 90 per cent of the cost of constructing the project from the Federal Authority; consequently it must obtain the remaining 10 per cent from other sources. This portion of the cost of the project may be obtained from the municipality in which the authority operates as a subsidy in the form of cash or land. It may also be obtained through the sale of bonds of the local housing authority on the open market or to the municipality. The loans by the Federal Authority will bear interest at not less than

3 per cent (present going Federal interest rate plus  $\frac{1}{2}$  of 1 per cent) and must be repaid within a period not to exceed 60 years.

*Forms of Federal Subsidy.* The third salient provision of the Act relates to the two alternative forms of subsidy which may be made by the authority: the annual contribution method and the capital grant method. Under the annual contribution form of subsidy, annual contributions may be made by the authority over a period of years not exceeding sixty. These contributions are limited to the amounts and periods necessary to assure the low rent character of the project, and may not exceed  $3\frac{1}{2}$  per cent (present going Federal interest rate plus 1 per cent) of the cost of the project. Such annual contributions must first be applied towards interest or principal of any loan due to the authority from a public housing agency. Contracts for total annual contributions may not exceed \$5,000,000 per year for the first year and an additional \$7,500,000 per year for each of the succeeding two years.

The capital grant method, an alternative method of making grants to assure the low rent character of housing projects, may be made when a public housing agency demonstrates that this method is better suited than the annual contribution method for achieving and maintaining low rentals. Capital grants may not exceed 25 per cent of the cost of the project, except that for the payment of labor used in the development of a project, a supplemental grant of 15 per cent of the development cost of a project may be made from funds for the relief of unemployment upon allocation of such funds by the President to the authority. Contracts by the authority for capital grants (not including supplemental grants) may not exceed \$10,000,000 for the first year and an additional \$10,000,000 for each of the succeeding two years.

*Local Contributions to Supplement Federal Subsidy.* The fourth principal requirement of the Act relates to local contributions to match the Federal subsidy. To supplement the Federal annual contributions, an annual local contribution must be made in an amount equal to one-fifth of the annual Federal contribution. This is the minimum annual local contribution, however. The fact is that the local contribution must be sufficient, together with the annual Federal contribution, to provide housing at rentals which can be paid by families of low income now living under slum conditions. This form of local contribution may be in the form of cash or tax remissions and exemptions. The contribution represented by full tax exemption of a project would generally be sufficient to meet the requirement of a 20 per cent local contribution.

To supplement the capital grant, the state or political subdivision must contribute at least 20 per cent of the development or acquisition cost of the project. This form of local contribution may be in the form of cash, land, community services, tax remissions or tax exemptions.

*Elimination of Unfit Dwellings.* The fifth requirement of the Act relates to the elimination or repair of unfit dwellings. The Act requires the elimination, by demolition, condemnation and effective closing, or the compulsory repair or improvement, of an unsafe or insanitary dwelling unit in the locality for every new dwelling unit in a housing project. The elimination of slum dwellings may be deferred in localities where an acute housing shortage exists, but, even then, the slum elimination must be accomplished as soon as this shortage is relieved.

*Limitations on Construction Cost.* The sixth requirement of the Act relates to the limitations on construction costs. To assure that durable housing projects will be constructed at costs low enough to achieve low rentals, the Act limits the cost of construction (excluding land, cost of demolition and non-dwelling facilities) of any housing projects. In cities having a population under 500,000, the average



room cost cannot exceed \$1,000 and the average family dwelling unit cost cannot exceed \$4,000. In larger cities, the limits are \$1,250 per room and \$5,000 per family dwelling unit where such higher cost is justified by reason of higher costs of labor and materials and other construction costs. The Act also requires inexpensive designs and materials, economy both in construction and operation, and average construction costs not exceeding the average cost of dwellings produced by private enterprise under similar conditions.

*Low-rent Character of Project.* The seventh requirement of the Act, and one of utmost importance, relates to the character of projects which may be aided. The Act is designed to serve only those who are both ill-housed and under-privileged. The local public housing agency must demonstrate that there is a need for the project in the community and that the rents in the project will be low enough to meet that need. The Act makes it very clear that dwelling accommodations are to be provided only for those who lack the amount of income necessary to enable them to live in decent housing without over-crowding. Furthermore, in order to be sure that other families are not accepted as tenants in public housing projects, the Act prohibits the acceptance of a family as a tenant whose aggregate income exceeds five times the rental of the quarters to be furnished or, in the case of families with three or more dependents, six times the rental.

The foregoing discussion of the United States Housing Act is somewhat general. Perhaps it will be a little clearer to take a specific example to illustrate the manner in which this Act would work in a particular city.

*Specific Example Illustrating How Act Works.* Assume that an application is filed with the United States Housing Authority for a housing project costing \$1,250,000 containing 1000 rooms, and located in a city where surveys show that the families of low income who need the project can afford to pay only \$4 per room per month. Further assume that the public housing agency requests aid by way of a loan and annual contributions. The local agency would proceed to borrow \$1,125,000, or 90 per cent of the cost of the project, from the United States Housing Authority, and would obtain the remaining \$125,000 from local sources.

While the loan from the Federal government, supplemented by 10 per cent in local funds, will make it possible to construct a housing project, it will not be possible to fix \$4 rents in the project without a subsidy. This subsidy would have to be enough to make up the difference between the economic or unsubsidized rent that would otherwise have to be charged in the project and the \$4 rental which the slum dwellers can afford. The economic rent in the project would be based upon the total amortization charges and the total operating expenses of the project. Thus, to take an example where tax exemption is granted, if the annual charge for interest, depreciation and amortization is \$45,000 and the annual cost of maintenance and operation is \$40,000, the total annual fixed charges would amount to \$85,000. These fixed charges would require a total annual economic rental from the project equal to \$85,000.

However, the rentals on 1000 rooms at \$4 per room per month would be only \$48,000 per year. When this amount is applied toward payment of the annual charges, a balance of \$37,000 per year remains which must be made up in order to prevent an operating loss. This sum of \$37,000 would be the amount of the annual cash subsidy needed to operate one project.

The local contribution must equal at least one-fifth of the annual Federal contribution. The fact is, however, that the local contribution would have to be sufficient, together with the Federal contribution, to provide housing at monthly rentals of \$4 per room. Tax exemption would undoubtedly be necessary to the achievement of this rental, for

full taxes on the public housing project would generally increase this total monthly rental per room to almost \$8. Consequently, if a project is to be undertaken which will be of the low rent character contemplated by the United States Housing Act and which will meet the local needs, the local contribution should take the form of full tax exemption. This form of contribution would be sufficient to meet the minimum requirement of a 20 per cent local contribution to supplement the Federal subsidy. Since the local contribution takes the form of tax exemption, the Federal annual contribution would be \$37,000 in this particular case, or less than 3 per cent of the cost of the project.

### THE BACKGROUND OF HOUSING.

The need for "housing" (by which term we usually mean housing for families of low income) is revealed in a number of ways; first, by an analysis of existing housing; second, by the cost of new housing compared to the capacity of families to pay for such housing; third, by the decline in building caused by the depression; and fourth, by the need for new housing in the future.

### SUMMARY ANALYSIS OF EXISTING HOUSING.

In 1934 the Department of Commerce made Real Property Inventories in 64 typical United States cities. The significant results of this investigation which may be considered typical for all urban communities were as follows:

18.1 per cent of all dwellings either needed major repairs or were unfit for habitation.

16.7 per cent were more than 40 years old.

20.6 per cent rented for less than \$15 per month.

16.8 per cent were overcrowded.

8.1 per cent lacked gas or electricity.

5.0 per cent had no running water.

13.5 per cent had no private indoor water closet.

20.2 per cent had neither bath tub nor shower.

These figures were compiled from study of 2,633,135 dwellings. From them we can conclude that between one-sixth and one-fifth of all urban residential structures in the country are distinct liabilities. The standards on which these buildings were judged were liberal and many authorities have claimed that, if rural housing is to be included, as much as one-third of all American homes are substandard.

### COST VERSUS CAPACITY TO PAY.

At the present time, the minimum cost of adequate new housing does not fall much below \$3,500 to \$5,000 per family unit, depending on location. The higher figure, of course, pertains in large metropolitan areas. In terms of rent this means roughly from \$30 to \$50 per month. Twenty per cent of a man's income is considered a normal rent budget. It is said that in 1933 one-third of families in northern states had total incomes of less than \$630 and two-thirds had less than \$1,415. In western states the corresponding figures were \$652 and \$1,468. In the southeast, however, these figures dropped to \$444 and \$1,271. On this basis, even in 1929, more than 42 per cent of the families in the country could not afford to live in new housing. What they could afford was second-hand homes approaching obsolescence and dilapidation. To date the building industry has been unable to match the progress of other industries and has failed utterly to provide a product which the low-income worker could buy without aid.

Attempts are being made to mechanize the industry and through mass production to lower costs. However, an infinite number of difficulties, which need not be enumerated here, tend to delay mechanization and for some time to come there is little likelihood of achieving such a goal.



## DECLINE IN BUILDING.

Even at the normal rate of replacement, we have noted how obsolescence outruns desired production. The percentage of inadequacy of housing is increasing and will not drop until production moves faster than decay. The building industry has probably suffered more in the depression than any other. Three million building trades workers are idle. By 1934, national construction had fallen off 92 per cent from 1925. Residential construction, the staple, had dropped 88 per cent. A normal volume, noted in 257 typical American cities by the Bureau of Labor Statistics was that of 1925, when 491,222 dwellings were constructed. In the same cities in 1934, only 22,063 were built.

## FUTURE NEED.

Within the next ten years, we can expect two types of growth—first, growth in gross population; second, a more accelerated growth in households. It is estimated that these increases will create a need for six and three-quarter million new dwellings. If we count replacement of substandard housing, now extant and that which will accrue in ten years, it is estimated that another six and one-half million dwellings will be needed. The total in ten years is put at 13,196,000 (statements made before Senate Committee on Education and Labor, April, 1936).

## EFFECTS OF BAD HOUSING.

The effects of bad housing have been assessed in terms of health, crime, and municipal deficits. It is true that most figures contained in this statement apply specifically to large cities. The reason is simply that these are the only communities which have to date taken and published complete inventories of their bad housing. These conditions exist in no less degree in the villages and towns of every state in the Union. "Middletown" has its slums just off Main street or "back of the tracks" identical with those of Birmingham and Buffalo, Louisville and Los Angeles.

*Health.*

In the slums of New York, death from tuberculosis is 220 per cent higher than in the city as a whole, death from spinal meningitis is 247 per cent, and death from all causes is 87 per cent higher. In the slums of Louisville tuberculosis is three times more prevalent than in the city as a whole. Infant mortality and children's diseases, pulmonary and social diseases invariably have a higher incidence in areas of bad housing.

*Crime.*

In a slum area in Cleveland lives  $2\frac{1}{2}$  per cent of the city's population. Yet in this area 21 per cent of all murders are committed; 26 per cent of disorderly houses are located; 6.8 per cent of juvenile delinquency is centered. In one slum area of Chicago 26 per cent of all boys between the ages of 10 and 16 passed through the juvenile court in one year. These are not isolated phenomena but the typical social pattern of every urban slum area in the country.

## COST OF BAD HOUSING.

Studies in Cleveland, Chicago and Boston (among many other cities) have indicated the cost to taxpayers of maintaining slum areas in their present deplorable state. During 1932, in a certain slum area in Cleveland, where 2.47 per cent of the population of the city dwelt on 0.73 per cent of the land area, the tax income was \$225,035, whereas the total cost of maintaining the section was \$1,972,435, or a net loss of \$1,747,402.

Similar results are reported from smaller cities which have made a social survey—Birmingham found that her "blighted areas—one-fifth of the city area, consume nearly one-half of the annual budget."

Indianapolis found that "26 per cent of all of the money spent in Indianapolis for public service (tax expenditure and charitable contributions) goes for the benefit of 10 per cent of the population (living in slums)."

Assuming as these figures may be, they are typical in a general way of every city of America where there are slums, and this means practically every one.

## REMEDIES.

### A. *Private Enterprise.*

Every energy of the private construction industry is being turned to the production of better and cheaper homes. It is reasonable to suppose that the American genius which has created the low-cost automobile will, in time, be able to produce a comparable low-cost house, yet there are millions of Americans who will still be unable to live in this house just as there are millions who cannot own new cars. If these millions are to live in decent, safe, and sanitary dwellings some additional financial aid must be offered.

### B. *Government Aid.*

Every major country in Europe has undertaken to cure these evils with government aid. In most cases the assistance has been given by the central government to local, non-profit, private agencies. In some, a joint subsidy has been provided by central and local governments to private, controlled, housing societies and in others housing has been constructed directly by municipalities. Government aid in this country has been offered both by Federal and state governments. During the depression emergency programs designed primarily to afford employment to building trades workers were instituted. Some of these programs have been put on a permanent basis. In general, they fall into two major classifications: aid to (1) private enterprise, (2) public enterprise.

## A. EMERGENCY PROGRAMS.

### 1. Aid to Private Enterprise.

The Farm Credit Administration, the Home Owners Loan Corporation and the Reconstruction Finance Corporation have made many direct loans for the improvement of existing housing and a few for the construction of new housing. The Housing Division of the Public Works Administration at one time made direct loans for the construction of controlled housing but after building 7 projects withdrew from this field.

### 2. Aid to Public Enterprise.

The Housing Division of P. W. A. and the Resettlement Administration built large scale, low-rent housing projects under direct government responsibility. The Housing Division's activities were confined to urban areas, the Resettlement Administration's, to suburban and rural areas. These emergency agencies, financed with relief funds, have now been dissolved.

## B. PERMANENT PROGRAMS.

### 1. Aid to Private Enterprise.

The Federal Home Loan Bank Board through its affiliated organizations, the Federal Home Loan Banks, the Federal Savings and Loan Association, and the Federal Savings and Loan Insurance Corporation facilitates home building through extension of credit and insurance of investment in building loans.

The Federal Housing Administration insures mortgages on the eligible home mortgage loans made by approved lending agencies from private capital. It builds no housing nor does it lend money.

Some states offer partial tax exemption to housing projects which



are constructed by private limited dividend corporations and which conform to maximum and minimum standards set up by the states.

## 2. Aid to Public Enterprise.

The United States Housing Authority makes loans and contributions to local public housing agencies such as Municipal Housing Authorities to aid them in the construction of large scale, low rent housing projects. The authority does no building nor does it deal in any way with private corporations. It has absorbed the projects of the P. W. A. Housing Division and will continue either to manage them itself or to lease them for operation to local public housing agencies until such time as they can be taken over by these agencies.

The present program of the authority provides for loans up to \$500,000,000. By means of the subsidy in the form of either annual contributions or capital grants, it aims to rehouse slum dwellers of an even lower income group than that reached by the P. W. A. Housing Division.

Slum clearance in an amount equal to the number of new dwellings constructed is mandatory under the terms of the United States Housing Act of 1937, which created the authority.

## LOCAL ACTIVITY.

Any community which hopes to participate in the program of the United States Housing Authority must first possess a legally authorized public housing agency. Next, it must take comprehensive inventory of present housing conditions for low income groups. It must develop a clear-cut and feasible plan for rehabilitation of its slum areas for consideration by the United States Housing Authority.

### *Notes for Both Private and Public Enterprise.*

"We have built altogether since the war, 2,000,000 dwellings of all kinds. Of these the municipalities have built 800,000 for the lower paid class and private enterprise has been building 1,400,000 for the better to do people. During the last year we built 216,000 houses in the one year; of those 44,000 were built by municipalities for the lower paid classes and private enterprise added its boom by building 172,000 houses during the last year to sell speculatively."—Sir Raymond Unwin, outstanding British housing authority.

"The most pitiful victim of city life is not the slum child who dies, but the slum child who lives. Every time a child dies the nation loses a prospective citizen, but in every slum child the nation has a probable consumptive and a possible criminal. You cannot let people live like pigs and expect them to be good citizens."—Jacob Riis.

## Health Department Remedies for Sick Housing.

### Notes by Dr. J. C. Geiger, Director of Public Health.

For many years departments of public health have condemned dwellings as unfit for human habitation, and places of business as insanitary and rodent-infested. In a sense, then, the efforts applied by departments of public health to the problems of housing and health have been negative and destructive.

If a health department decides in its wisdom to destroy tenements that are unfit for human dwellings, then it is equally logical to demand decent dwellings for replacement.

Many of these dwellings are the forgotten houses, derelicts from the past, forsaken by their owners and left as decaying, run-down structures, where people of low incomes must live. They are the first cousins to the slum tenements; blights on the city—socially, economically, and from the standpoint of public health.

America has always had a consciousness toward slums, and there is seen today a dawning perception of our inherent right to decent condi-

tions of living. Bad housing conditions must have cost this nation so much that posterity cannot begin to liquidate the debt. The vast army of the unemployed may always remain industrially inefficient because of disadvantageous living conditions. Forbidding atmospheres of the home, the school, and the shop may exert themselves in many problems, even to become a cause of inebrity.

It is a fact that San Francisco represents more than any other city, excepting perhaps a few, a concentration of population in more or less restricted areas, which results in the overcrowding of the lot and block areas of these respective districts.

Apparently the Federal government is trying to correct the conspicuous lack of coordination which has been manifest in America. It is attempting to reach a solution of our housing problem in order to remedy the conditions which not only may affect the health of the people, but also the stability of our government. Every American should have a plot of ground and a breathing space for the promotion of health, if health is to be promoted.

The term "slum clearance" is quite obnoxious to many people, particularly to the dweller in the city. The low-cost and low-rent houses under Federal auspices, or through philanthropic foundations, or limited dividend companies, should be a reality. There is no better reason from a public standpoint why the state as well as the city should not offer some way of subsidizing homes for the poor. This has been true both in England and Germany, which have made substantial progress in this direction. The lack of any consistent program in the United States is a striking contrast to what has been accomplished in Europe and on other continents of the world. It cannot be said up to the present that the United States has any housing program whatever, and quite often the new dwelling units erected in a city do not reach in numbers the homes that have been destroyed by fire.

The difficulty with us apparently is that we are romantic enough to cling to the individualism of the past and think that all the housing problems can be solved by private commercial enterprise. It may be brutal to assert that in some of our populations the income is too low to permit housing of the standards of health that we desire. Either the lower income groups must have wages raised, the standards to be set by the government, or the government must subsidize housing for this lower income group. If such be the case, then there is need for a permanent Federal Housing Agency to stimulate and coordinate local initiative. It has been estimated that there is need for at least six million low-cost dwelling units, and just to build a few of these at a few thousand apiece would call for Federal expenditures in billions of dollars.

Not only should the Federal government realize what the problem means, but likewise should the state and the city. To build a house is not satisfactory to the health officer. The house must be correlated with town planning, with the type of architecture, with heating, ventilation, lighting and with home safety. An intelligent community today seeks pure milk, pure water; it knows the value of diphtheria immunization, knows the problem of the control of tuberculosis, and is now beginning to understand the problems of syphilis, and that our efforts for suitable hygienic houses for American people is well worth while.

There is no doubt that living will be made healthier and happier in a suitable home provided that it can be acquired by certain groups at a low level of rental cost.

#### Resolution on Housing Adopted.

The United States Conference of Mayors unanimously adopted the following resolution on Housing:

Whereas, there has now been enacted into law the Wagner-Steagall Housing Bill in substantially the form recommended to the Congress



by the last two Annual Conferences of the United States Conference of Mayors; and

Whereas, the housing program authorized under this legislation now makes it possible for cities having slum areas to wipe out said areas and to construct low-rent housing if they so desire; and

Whereas, under the new Housing Law, the cities are charged with the responsibility for initiating, constructing and operating low-rent housing projects; and

Whereas, the newly appointed head of the United States Housing Authority has appeared before the Annual Conference and has indicated that the cooperation of the cities is essential if the new program is to succeed; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Annual Conference of the United States Conference of Mayors pledge its cooperation to Administrator Straus; and be it

Further Resolved, That the President and Executive Committee of the Conference place the facilities of the Conference at the disposal of Administrator Straus to the end that the cities and the Federal government may cooperatively work out the details and procedure for cities to follow under the new housing program.

#### Resolution on Rehabilitation of Subnormal Homes Under Wagner-Steagall Act Adopted.

The United States Conference of Mayors unanimously adopted the following resolution on Rehabilitation of Sub-Normal Homes Under Wagner-Steagall Act:

Whereas, it does not appear that the rehabilitation of existing property may be financed or aided under the provisions of the existing Wagner-Steagall Act; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Executive Committee use its good offices with Congress and the President to obtain legislation so as to make possible rehabilitation of sub-standard homes and blighted areas and to provide adequate, sanitary and cheerful housing for the low income bracket group.

#### Merit System for Heads of All Major City Departments.

The United States Conference of Mayors made public the report of its *National Health Officers' Qualifying Board* created early this year under a resolution enacted at the 1936 Annual Conference. This board, consisting of the leading public health authorities of the United States, was established for the specific purpose of formulating the professional qualifications which Commissioners of Public Health in the larger cities of the nation should have. The job was undertaken as the first step in the long-term program of the Conference of Mayors in improving the professional qualifications for those who hold important municipal offices throughout the country.

The membership of the National Health Officers' Qualifying Board of the Conference of Mayors is as follows:

Joseph W. Mountin, M. D., Surgeon, U. S. Public Health Service, chairman;

Allen W. Freeman, M. D., Professor of Public Health Administration and Dean, Johns Hopkins University, School of Hygiene and Public Health;

John L. Rice, M. D., Commissioner of Health, New York City;

Wilson G. Smillio, M. D., Professor of Public Health Administration, Harvard School of Public Health;

Huntington Williams, M. D., Commissioner of Health, Baltimore;

Carl V. Reynolds, M. D., Secretary and State Health Officer of North Carolina;

Edward F. Godfrey, M. D., Commissioner of Health of New York State.

The committee's report, which has been awaited by the medical and public health professions, provides for the following technical qualifications for chief municipal health officers:

### QUALIFICATIONS FOR CITY HEALTH OFFICERS.

#### GRADE I.

(Applicable, in general, to cities of 500,000 population and over.)

The minimum qualifications for health officers in this class shall consist of:

Graduation in medicine from a Grade A Medical School and not less than 6 years' full-time experience in public health work, 3 years of which must be in a responsible administrative position; 2 of the 3 years of general experience may be substituted by a course in public health of not less than one scholastic year in residence at a recognized institution of learning.

#### GRADE II.

(Applicable, in general, to cities of from 100,000 to 500,000 population.)

The minimum qualifications for health officers in this class shall consist of:

Graduation in medicine from a Grade A Medical School and not less than 4 years' full-time experience in public health work, 1 year of which must be in a responsible administrative position; 2 of the 3 years of general public health experience may be substituted by a course in public health of not less than one scholastic year in residence at a recognized institution of learning.

#### GRADE III.

(Applicable, in general, to cities under 100,000 population.)

The minimum qualifications for health officers in this class shall consist of:

Graduation in medicine from a Grade A Medical School and not less than 2 years of full-time experience in public health work, or, 1 year of such full-time experience and the completion of a course in public health of not less than 1 year in residence at a recognized institution of learning.

The board will act, at the request of any city, to determine whether candidates for the office of Health Commissioner possess the qualifications adopted by the board. If so, the board will certify to the city this fact. In effect, the board will be constituted as a voluntary nation-wide civil service commission for the cities.

It is noteworthy that the qualifications for public health officers for the first time impose definite and specific medical and *public health* training and experience.

President LaGuardia, in commenting on the report, said:

"We are now beginning to make progress. If every city will adopt the recommendations now made by our special public health committee, we can avoid having the so-called 'pill doctor' or the family physician appointed to the important office of Commissioner of Health. Public health administration involves factors requiring personnel with *public health* experience and not only just general medical training. Consequently, if we can establish accepted standards or qualifications which all persons must have who seek the highest public health position in a city, we are insuring a real merit system.

"We have taken public health first because we have had the cooperation of the public health profession itself. We shall next establish committees in other fields—finance, fire, police, et cetera, and endeavor to develop qualifications for the major posts in the municipal service in these fields. Reformers have been talking for fifty years about the merit system. But it has remained for the mayors, acting collectively, to make the first real contribution in professionalizing the public service, in so far as the highest municipal offices are concerned."



**Resolution on Qualifications for Municipal Health Officers Adopted.**

The United States Conference of Mayors Unanimously adopted the following resolution on Qualifications for Municipal Health Officers:

Whereas, the United States Conference of Mayors has now received the report of its committee of seven outstanding public health authorities; and

Whereas, this report sets up standard professional qualifications for Commissioners of Health in the larger cities of the country; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the United States Conference of Mayors recommend to the cities of the country that the suggested standard qualifications for health officers be followed by all cities when appointments to the office of Health Commissioner are made in the future.

**Resolution on Codes Adopted.**

The following resolution was unanimously adopted by the United States Conference of Mayors:

Whereas, there exist a number of so-called model codes, including those dealing with electrical wiring, plumbing, et cetera; and

Whereas, these codes, on which ordinances in most of the cities are based, are formulated and adopted by national code committees composed largely of delegates of private as distinguished from public or municipal agencies; and

Whereas, it is essential to have more adequate *public* representation on these national code committees, including particularly the electric code committee, if the public interests are to be given proper consideration; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Annual Conference of the United States Conference of Mayors direct the Executive Committee to take such steps as may be necessary to bring about the desired result.

**Resolution on Purchasing Adopted.**

The United States Conference of Mayors unanimously adopted the following resolution on Purchasing:

Whereas, the Federal government holds a number of so-called term contracts with vendors covering a number of materials, supplies and equipment commonly purchased by both the Federal government and the cities; and

Whereas, it would be in the interests of the taxpayers if the cities in a number of cases could purchase materials, supplies and equipment under the Federal contracts; therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Annual Conference of the United States Conference of Mayors request of Congress legislation which would authorize public bodies to purchase under contracts entered into by the Federal government, thus giving to public agencies the same price levels for public purchases as are obtained by the Federal government.

**Resolution on Private Home Building Adopted.**

The following resolution was unanimously adopted by the United States Conference of Mayors:

Whereas, under the present law establishing the Federal Housing Administration, that agency is empowered to insure construction loans up to 80 per cent of the value involved; and

Whereas, there is now under consideration a proposal to raise such insurance up to 90 per cent of the value involved; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the United States Conference of Mayors go on record in favor of this larger stimulation to private home building.

**Resolution on Interest on Public Deposits Adopted.**

The United States Conference of Mayors unanimously adopted the following resolution:

Whereas, under the provisions of existing Federal law member banks of the Federal Reserve System are estopped from paying interest on demand deposits of all public authorities; and

Whereas, this Federal inhibition conflicts with a number of state laws; and

Whereas, the cities of the nation have hundreds of millions of dollars which can only be placed on *demand* as distinguished from *time* deposits; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the United States Conference of Mayors petition the Congress to enact legislation amending the present Federal statutes in order that member banks of the Federal Reserve System may be authorized to pay interest on public demand deposits.

#### Resolution on Natural Gas Adopted.

The following resolution was unanimously adopted by the United States Conference of Mayors:

Resolved, That the United States Conference of Mayors, on behalf of hundreds of cities receiving natural gas from interstate pipe lines, recommend passage of the Lea Natural Gas Bill (H. R. 6586) by the current special session of the Congress of the United States, so that municipalities may be aided in their efforts to obtain lower gas rates; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Secretary of the Interior be requested to assist in conserving natural gas resources by assigning an expert to assist interested cities locate and obtain natural gas supplies at low cost, so that waste of natural gas may be eliminated through the economic marketing thereof.

#### Proclamation.

The following proclamation was unanimously adopted by the United States Conference of Mayors:

The United States Conference of Mayors, consisting of executive officers of all political parties, desire to call attention to the fact that the problem of unemployment challenges the very existence of our industrial institutions.

We cannot continue forever to multiply production of our commodities by technological advance, and build an increasing demand for these goods, if at the same time we continue to destroy jobs and thereby virtually slaughter the purchasing power of these millions of unemployed.

The problem concerns all nations, and is of such magnitude that it threatens to unbalance all governmental budgets, to destroy the morale and threatens the home life of millions of Americans while undermining the greatest of all markets for our goods—the American buyer.

We warn the nation that this is too serious a problem to be used as a political bludgeon for any person or party but cries out to every red-blooded American for solution.

We appeal to every good citizen to do his utmost to cooperate with every possible agency, whether governmental or private, to study this problem, both as to cause and to effect and then to do his utmost to promote whatever remedy is finally evolved to the utmost.

---

#### Andrew Furuseth.

Acting President, Supervisor James B. McSheehy, informed the Board of the death of Andrew Furuseth in Washington, D. C., and the following was ordered spread in the Journal:

Andrew Furuseth, president of the International Sailors' Union, who passed away recently in Washington, was foremost among men who devoted their lives in the interests of their fellow men. He was one of the organizers of the old Sailors' Union in San Francisco some fifty years ago, and from that time till the day he was stricken, all his



energy, all his effort, all his indefatigable energy was devoted to the cause of the sailors.

In the thirty-year struggle in Washington he succeeded in having the Federal statute changed eliminating the imprisonment penalties which practically made seamen slaves.

In the council of the American Federation of Labor his advice was sought and his wisdom was appreciated. His loyalty to the labor cause was unequalled by anyone.

His devotion to the United States of America at the time of the World War was outstanding among the great patriots. His loyalty was appreciated by President Woodrow Wilson, who often sought his advice and appointed Andrew Furuseth a delegate to the London Conference. In this conference he was associated with the great statesmen of the world, and his magnificent intellect and wide grasp of world affairs made him the peer of all his colleagues.

The early years of his life were spent at sea, and he never departed from his calling. When he became secretary of the Sailors' Union he continued to hold his rating as an able seaman and never sought preferment or emolument other than that received by his shipmates.

The memory of Andrew Furuseth will remain forever enshrined in the hearts of all men who go down to the sea. He gained and won the respect, the confidence and friendship of two generations of American statesmen. His life is a monument to fidelity to a cause.

The Board of Supervisors adjourns this day out of respect to the memory of Andrew Furuseth.

*Adopted by rising vote.*

### ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors at the hour of 5:50 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, January 31, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.





**Vol. 33**

**SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.**

**No. 6**

**Monday, January 31, 1938**

# **Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors**

**City and County of San Francisco**



**The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.**

January 22, 1902

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JANUARY 31, 1938, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, January 31, 1938,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

Quorum present.

President Shannon being absent, Supervisor Meyer was elected to  
preside.

Supervisor Shannon was noted present at 2:15 p. m.

Supervisor Brown was noted present at 2:25 p. m.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of January 24, 1938, was  
considered read and approved.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

The following matter, laid over from last meeting, was taken up:

**Supplemental Appropriation of \$10,000 for Holding Civil Service  
Examination.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1495, Ordinance No. 9.051443, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$10,000 out of the  
Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 771.102.01,  
for the purpose of providing funds for the Civil Service Commission  
for holding examinations for certain Civil Service classes of employ-  
ments, the creation of the resulting Civil Service lists being essential  
to the uninterrupted service of the several departments of the city  
and county government.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Fran-  
cisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$10,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside  
out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation  
No. 771.102.01, for the purpose of providing funds for the Civil Service  
Commission for holding examinations for certain Civil Service classes  
of employments, the creation of the resulting Civil Service lists being  
essential to the uninterrupted service of the several departments of  
the city and county government.

## Statement of Supervisor Reilly.

The following statement was read by Supervisor Reilly and ordered  
made part of the record:

During past sessions of this Board I strenuously opposed the pas-  
sage of this resolution which appropriates to the Civil Service Com-

mission the sum of \$10,000. In view of the fact that my objection to its passage has been made a public issue by the Civil Service Commission and its Personnel Director, Mr. Henderson, I wish at this time to set forth and extend my remarks, thereby giving my reasons for resisting said appropriation. I am convinced that by reason of the conduct of the Civil Service Commission in setting arbitrary ages for particular Civil Service examinations said Commission is violating the plain provisions of the Charter of this City and County, and I feel that a vote by a member of this Board to appropriate funds to carry out said illegal act would be and is contrary to public policy, if not positively illegal.

The Charter of the City and County of San Francisco is our organic instrument wherein the rights and duties of the Civil Service Commission, and of this Board of Supervisors, are clearly set forth. Therein also can be found the positive language which permits our citizens and residents to participate freely in competitive examinations for employment in and by this municipality.

The matter of Civil Service is dealt with in the Charter commencing with Section 140. The duty of the Civil Service Commission is outlined therein. So are the rights of our citizens to participate in Civil Service examinations. Section 140 establishes a Civil Service Commission and charges it with the duty of providing qualified persons for appointment to the service of the City and County. All appointments in the public service must be made for the good of the public service and solely upon merit and fitness *as established by appropriate tests without regard to partisan, political, social or other considerations.*

Section 147 of the Charter positively states that no person or officer shall by himself or in co-operation with other persons *defeat or obstruct any person in respect to his or her right of examination.* Section 144, in very definite and specific language, states that any citizen having the qualifications prescribed by Section 7 of the Charter may submit himself for any examination under the conditions established by the Civil Service Commission. This language brings us immediately to the qualifications prescribed by Section 7 and there we find that all employees of the City and County shall be citizens and shall have been residents thereof for at least one year prior to appointment, unless otherwise specifically provided in the Charter. Said Section 7 further provides that members of the Police and Fire Departments, in addition to being citizens, must be residents of the City and County for at least five years preceding appointment. Section 7 makes further exception where positions are to be filled outside of the City and County or where expert or technical services are necessary. Section 7 is of vital importance in this discussion and the qualifications therein contained must be borne in mind. They are simple and may be restated as follows: For membership in Police and Fire Departments citizenship and five years' residence. For all other intra-County employees, experts and technicians excepted, citizenship and one-year residence.

Let me revert once more to Section 144, which states that any citizen having the qualifications just referred to may submit himself for any examination under the conditions established by the Civil Service Commission. This again requires us to analyze and construe the language *"under conditions established by the Civil Service Commission."* Little pause need be made to reasonably construe the language just referred to, for Section 145 of the Charter gives the answer. This section has for its title "Qualifications and Tests." It tells us that all applicants for places in the classified service shall submit *to tests which shall be competitive.* The tests may be written, oral, mechanical, or physical, or any combination of them, practical in character and related to matters fairly to test the relative capacity of the applicant for the positions to be filled. As we read Section 145 we find no age limit prescribed except for entrance positions in the uniformed forces of the Police and Fire Departments. There we are told in express language that applicants for entrance positions in said uniformed forces shall be not less



than 21 years of age nor more than 35 years of age at the time of appointment.

Let me remind the Civil Service Commission that the people of this City and County have a great and controlling interest in the provisions of our Charter; that they, above all, have a right to demand that its provisions be heeded; that they are the ones who supply the funds to carry out the provisions of the Charter; that they are interested in seeing that every citizen coming within the Charter provisions be given the opportunity to compete in Civil Service examinations. If they intended to impose an age condition for public employees in general, they would have written that language into the Charter. They did desire an age limit for the personnel of their Police and Fire Departments, and they made that known in no uncertain terms. The Civil Service Commission has on its Board, a brilliant and distinguished lawyer. Surely he must be acquainted with the meaning of the Latin maxim, "inclusio unius est exclusio alterius," which, translated into English, means "the inclusion of one is the exclusion of another." Consequently, when the people of this City and County set forth in their Charter, age limits for the Police and Fire Department personnel, they clearly intended to exclude them from all other classes. Any other construction would nullify the sound rule found in the Latin maxim just quoted. True, in all Civil Service examinations, the tests may be written, may be oral, may be mechanical, or may be physical, or, may be any combination of them, but I respectfully submit that the age of an applicant (with the exception mentioned) is not involved in any of said tests. It is elementary that age cannot be made the basis of a competitive test. Two applicants cannot compete in a test regarding ages. Their ages are set by the laws of nature and nature's God. They have no control over their ages. So when we speak of competitive tests in the Charter, age is not included and no such question is involved.

It may be said that notwithstanding the fact the Charter mentions no age requirement for departments, other than police and fire, nevertheless, by some implied power the Civil Service Commission may set such an arbitrary standard, but let me remind the Civil Service Commission, that the Supreme Court of this State in *Couell v. Martin*, 43 Cal. 605, definitely sets forth that "when a statute prescribes the particular method in which a public officer, acting under a special authority, shall perform his duties, the mode is the measure of power." In other words the tests prescribed by the Charter, limit the power of the Civil Service Commission and any extraneous conditions imposed by them is illegal and void. Let us also remember that the Supreme Court of this State in *Ex parte Daniels*, 183 Cal. 636, said that "it is settled law that language purporting to define the powers of a municipal corporation is to be strictly construed, and that any fair, reasonable doubt concerning the existence of a power is to be resolved against the corporation." That this is a wholesome rule, cannot be questioned particularly where a commission desires to impose an arbitrary condition which ipso facto, excludes a considerable number of our citizens and residents from competing in Civil Service examinations. Again I say that the test of eligibility is determined by competitive examinations and not by age, except in the limited cases referred to. Civil Service is called the merit system. Under that system we seek, in accordance with law, to select persons for public employment who are best fitted to perform their duties, but the fitness of such persons must be ascertained by competitive examinations and by those alone.

It may be said that the Civil Service Commission may by rule prescribe an age qualification, although such a requirement is not found in the Charter. Again the courts have informed us that while a Civil Service Commission may make rules governing the holding of examinations, such rules must not be contrary to nor inconsistent with existing laws. (See 5 Ruling Case Law, page 611.)

The Civil Service Commission, or at least Mr. Milton S. Maxwell of that Commission, has served notice through the public press that he will cite me for official misconduct because of my opposition to this

appropriation and in this connection he cites Section 22 of the Charter. An examination of this section, even by a layman, readily discloses that my opposition to this appropriation is not prohibited by Section 22 or by any other section of the Charter. It is true that I am prohibited from dictating, suggesting or interfering with appointments, promotions, disciplinary actions, contracts, requisitions for purchases or other administrative recommendations or actions of the Chief Administrative Officer, or department heads under the respective Boards and Commissions. In analyzing Section 22, let me say that the Civil Service Commission is not a department head under the Chief Administrative Officer; that under Section 49 of the Charter the Civil Service Commission is a department head under the Mayor. Furthermore, I have not interfered with a department head responsible to or under any Board or Commission. Even if it were true that the Civil Service Commission was a department head under the Chief Administrative Officer, my conduct in opposing this appropriation would not be in violation of Section 22. I am not dictating, suggesting, or interfering with appointments or promotions, for the simple reason that before an appointment or promotion can be made effective, or even given consideration, you must first have an eligible list. (See Sections 146, 148 and 149 of the Charter.) I am not interfering with compensations, with disciplinary actions, with contracts, with requisitions for purchases, or with any legal or valid administrative recommendation or action of any officer, board, commission, or department head. As I outlined in the very beginning of this statement, I am opposed to appropriating public money for the accomplishment of an act which I sincerely believe to be contrary to public policy, contrary to the best interest of the merit system, contrary to the best interests of San Francisco, and one which I believe is positively forbidden by Section 147 of the Charter which, to restate, says, that no person or officer shall by himself or in co-operation with other persons, defeat or obstruct any person in respect to *his or her right of examination*. From my study of the Charter, I can find no justification for imposing the arbitrary age condition as a qualification to participate in a Civil Service examination, except in the case of police and firemen. My conviction in this respect is strengthened by Section 158 of the Charter, which extends retirement provisions to officers and employees who have not attained the age of 70 years, and their retirement from the service is not made compulsory until they have reached said age of 70 years. In the language of a great American, let me answer Mr. Maxwell, by saying if he believes my action in opposing this appropriation is official misconduct, then let him make the most of it. Let me also tell Mr. Maxwell, that as a native San Franciscan, and a citizen of this great City, I am not unmindful of the rights and privileges of our citizens and of our taxpayers; that I am not unmindful, and I have not forgotten the Declaration of Rights set forth in our State Constitution, which says that no citizen, or class of citizens, shall be granted privileges or immunities which, upon the same terms, shall not be granted to all citizens. I regard the merit system as being a valuable asset to our people in carrying out efficient and decent government. The merit system presupposes equal opportunity within the law for our men and women to participate in competitive, fair, and impartial Civil Service examinations. I am not concerned with the winner nor the loser, nor with their ages, except in so far as the last condition is prescribed by the Charter. Let us get our public employees from those best qualified to serve, and I know of no way in which we can carry out this sound public policy and one sanctioned by American fair play and traditional San Francisco justice, except by allowing the men 41 years of age to compete with the men of 39 years of age, and the women of 36 years of age to compete with the women of 34 years of age, and the candidate who wins and who attains the higher standing on the eligible list is and will be entitled to the position—a position of trust and responsibility, a position which involves the expenditure of public funds and the giving of the highest possible return to the taxpayer. If I am to be condemned for this stand,



and if I am to be ousted from office for proclaiming and upholding such an American principle, then I am ready for the contest and the sacrifice, if necessary, for I know that American justice and American fair play will eventually vindicate me.

By reason of the foregoing, I now vote "No" on this resolution.

**Letter of W. L. Henderson, Assistant Secretary, Civil Service Commission.**

The following letter was read by Mr. Henderson:

January 31, 1938.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: We desire to place before your honorable Board frankly and fully all the facts regarding the situation that has arisen in connection with the request now pending before you for a supplemental appropriation of \$10,000 to the Civil Service Commission to be used for holding examinations.

We quote herewith an excerpt from Section 149 of the Charter:

"If a non-Civil Service emergency appointment is authorized, the Commission shall immediately hold an examination and establish an eligible list for such position. If its annual appropriation is insufficient to meet the cost of said examination, it shall report to the Mayor the estimated cost thereof, and the Mayor shall request and the Supervisors shall make supplemental appropriation therefor in the manner provided herein for supplemental appropriations."

On November 24, 1937, pursuant to the terms of this provision, we notified Mayor Rossi that emergency non-Civil Service appointments in the absence of proper lists of Civil Service eligibles had been authorized by this Commission and that, as a consequence, examinations to establish lists of Civil Service eligibles must be held within ninety days. We further advised that the annual appropriation heretofore available to us was not sufficient to hold these examinations and requested a supplemental appropriation of \$10,000. Mayor Rossi approved the request and recommended to your honorable Board that the appropriation be made. That request has now been pending before you for more than a month. When the appropriation came up for final passage, one member of the Board of Supervisors asked that action be postponed and he has stated publicly, both before the Board of Supervisors and the Civil Service Commission, that he will vote against the appropriation unless the Civil Service Commission removes the 40-year maximum age limit from its scope circulars announcing examinations for bus operator, chauffeur, ambulance driver and patrol wagon driver.

Refusal or failure to appropriate sufficient funds to the Civil Service Commission has been one of the most commonly used devices for sabotaging Civil Service. It has been used effectively in many jurisdictions throughout the United States, including San Francisco in past years. There was no mandatory provision in the former Charter that sufficient funds be appropriated. The consequence was that insufficient funds were provided the Civil Service Commission and positions that should have been filled from lists of Civil Service eligibles were held for ten, fifteen and twenty years by non-Civil Service employees. It was to correct this glaring abuse that Section 149 was incorporated in our present Charter.

If necessary examinations are to be held, the funds must be provided, and if this request for funds is not granted today, our examination work will be substantially curtailed and our temporary employees must be dismissed because we have exhausted all available funds.

We urge upon your honorable Board that in view of the provisions of Section 149 of the Charter and in view of the fact that our examination work will be immediately suspended for lack of funds, favorable action be taken on our request for additional funds today.

There is another phase of this matter that is equally disturbing to us. It is our intention to show every possible courtesy to the members of

your honorable Board. This Commission will always consider most carefully and sympathetically any matter presented by any member of the Board. That has always been our policy. In accordance with that policy, the Civil Service Commission last Wednesday postponed the four examinations in which maximum age limits have been fixed for four weeks to give the opponents of that policy an opportunity for further hearing and so that the appointing officers might give the matter further study. But we say most respectfully that under Section 22 of the Charter, this Commission is charged with the duty of conducting the affairs under its jurisdiction without interference or dictation. The conduct of examinations is one of the most vital and important of the affairs under the jurisdiction of the Civil Service Commission.

There has been so much discussion during the past week or two regarding maximum age limits in Civil Service examinations that we desire to acquaint your Board with all the facts. The Civil Service Commission announced examinations for bus operators (a promotive examination open only to motormen and conductors now employed on the Municipal Railway), for chauffeurs, ambulance drivers, and patrol wagon drivers. Various department heads of the Utilities Commission, with the approval of the Manager of Utilities, requested that the maximum age for admission to the chauffeurs' and bus operators' examinations be fixed at age 35. The Police Department made a similar request regarding patrol wagon drivers and the Director of Health asked that the maximum age of ambulance drivers be fixed at 35. The Civil Service Commission investigated and found that these requests by responsible administrative heads conform as to policy with the practice in other jurisdictions and in comparable organizations. However, we raised the maximum age limits to age 40 in all except the patrol wagon driver. In that case, we fixed the maximum at age 35 because the Charter limits entrance into the uniformed force of the Police Department to men not more than 35 years of age and we felt that it was wise to maintain the same Charter provision regarding age and physical qualifications in the case of patrol wagon drivers. Regarding bus operators, we found that the Key Route recruits its bus operators from among its platform men who are under 35 years of age. The Market Street Railway recruits its bus operators from among its platform men who are under 40 years of age. Both companies require in addition rigid physical examinations before appointment and periodic re-examination. The Pacific Greyhound Company has a policy of not employing new drivers above age 32 except in unusual cases. They also require periodic re-examination. Commissioner Wolff obtained information from a few eastern companies. In Chicago and New York, the transit company will not assign a platform man to bus operator's duties who is above the age of 40. They also require physical examination and periodic re-examination. Information was also requested of Detroit and Washington, but has not been received up to now.

It is the duty of the Civil Service Commission to secure for the municipal service the most competent and efficient employees. To that end, it is necessary to establish minimum requirements for admission to some examinations. In certain examinations such as the crafts, minimum experience qualifications are established. In other examinations, such as social workers, minimum educational qualifications are established. In others, minimum professional qualifications are established. In still others, maximum age limits and minimum physical standards are established. These standards are not arbitrarily fixed. They are determined on the basis of the duties involved in the position and the hazards and conditions of employment and after an investigation of the practice pursued in other jurisdictions in recruiting such employees.

Bus operators are employed on an eight-hour shift. They are operating heavy buses carrying large numbers of passengers through the streets, crowded with the traffic of a metropolitan city. The safe performance of such work requires strength, endurance, stamina, good eyesight, and instant co-ordination of physical and mental faculties. We believe that



the establishment of maximum age limits in these examinations is essential for the good of the service. After all, the interest and welfare of the public must be given consideration. When the examinations were announced by the Civil Service Commission, we were of the opinion that consideration for the safety of passengers and the public required the establishment of definite standards for those operating motor equipment for the City and County, and particularly bus operators. We believe that these public considerations transcend the interest of the relatively small group of men employed on the platforms of the Municipal Railway who are over 40 years of age, especially since these men are in no way disturbed in their present positions.

May we call your attention again to the fact that the Civil Service Commission has set maximum age standards in only 14 of the last 118 examinations we have conducted. Each of these 14 examinations was for specialized service which, in the judgment of the Civil Service Commission and department heads, necessitated the establishment of maximum age limits. We recently had occasion to check over examinations conducted by other Civil Service Commissions in California and we find that it is a common practice in these other jurisdictions to establish maximum age limits in almost all the examinations conducted by them. Yet the record shows that in only 12 per cent of our examinations have any maximum age limits been fixed.

In closing, may we point out that it is most unfortunate that the question of age limits in a few examinations has been injected into the matter of an appropriation. There are a large number of other examinations pending on which there is no dispute. Yet in the absence of favorable action all examinations will be curtailed.

Very respectfully,

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,  
W. L. HENDERSON,  
Assistant Secretary.

#### Letter of the Civil Service Commission.

The following was read by the Clerk:

December 17, 1937.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall.

Gentlemen: I am in receipt of a letter from the Civil Service Commission, copy of which is herewith enclosed, in which is requested an appropriation of \$10,000 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 770.102.01, for the purpose of providing funds for the Civil Service Commission for holding examinations for certain civil service classes of employment. All resulting civil service lists are essential to the uninterrupted operation of the several departments of the City and County government.

Inasmuch as this request has been approved by the Controller as to the availability of funds, I most respectfully recommend to your Honorable Board that the appropriation of \$10,000 from the Emergency Reserve Fund be allowed.

Very truly yours,  
(Signed) ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

November 24, 1937.

Hon. Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Dear Mr. Mayor: Under the provisions of Section 149 of the Charter we respectfully request that we be allowed an additional appropriation of \$10,000 for the purpose of rating examination papers in examinations that have been held, and for the purpose of holding new examinations that must be held during the remainder of the present fiscal year.

Examinations for the following named classes have just been held

but the examination papers of the 5580 participants have not been rated.

<i>Class Number and Title</i>	<i>No. of Participants</i>
B222M General Clerk (male) .....	658
B222F General Clerk (female) .....	1272
B222M General Clerk (male) and B247 Meter Reader.....	1259
B247 Meter Reader .....	63
C104 Janitor .....	1251
H40 Battalion Chief, Fire Department.....	58
N52 Food and Restaurant Inspector .....	898
T158 Supervisor of Inquiries .....	74
T218 Supervisor of Single Men's Registry.....	40
U215a Head Pump Operator .....	7

Examinations for the following named classes have been announced but the examinations have not yet been held:

<i>Class Number and Title</i>	
A416 Chief Plumbing Inspector	
B164b Senior Civil Law Clerk, County Clerk's Office	
B234m Head Clerk, Civil Service Commission	
B236 W. P. A. Supervisor	
B239 Statistician	
B362 Produce Buyer	
C102 Janitress	
F664 Traffic Engineer	
I116 Orderly	
J160 Track Welder	
J162 Car Repairer Welder	
L16 Assistant Director of Public Health	
N56 Market Inspector	
P304 Instructor of Nursing	
T2 Male Attendant, Juvenile Detention Home	
T4 Woman Attendant, Juvenile Detention Home	
U114 Main Pipe Foreman	

Following is a list of classes for which, under Section 149 of the Charter, examinations must be held immediately because emergency appointments to them have been authorized:

<i>Class Number and Title</i>	
G8 Building Appraiser	
B420 Phonographic Reporter	
O6 Ambulance Driver	
O8 Morgue Ambulance Driver	
B301 Pay Roll Machine Operator	
O1 Chauffeur	
B408 General Clerk-Stenographer	
E4 Electrical Inspector	
O166 Fireman of Stationary Steam Engine	
N154 Horticultural Inspector	
N155 Senior Horticultural Inspector	
P102 Registered Nurse	
B460 Secretarial Telephone Operator	
P204 Anaesthetist	
I154 Laundress	
I158 Sorter	
I172 Head Washer	
I170 Washer	
L202 Dietitian	
L70 Physiotherapist	
P103 Special Nurse	
I14 Junior Chef	



*Class Number and Title.*

I56	Waiter
B238	Hospital Statistician
C52	Elevator Operator
L56	Bacteriologist
I152	Flatwork Ironer
L52	Bacteriological Laboratory Technician
I156	Starcher
P208	Operating Room Nurse
L370	Epidemiologist
I164	Marker and Distributor
I304	Instructor of Weaving
I204	Porter
I120	Senior Orderly (male)
I2	Kitchen Helper
E120	Governorman
M206	Sub-Foreman Car Repairer
M268	Foreman Machinist
A370	Foreman Car and Auto Painter
U206	Water Department Worker
E154	Lineman
M202	Car Repairer
U140	General Foreman, Main Pipes
M544	Auto Machinist
M208	Foreman Car Repairer
U136	General Foreman, Service and Meters
U130	Reservoir Keeper
J168	General Foreman of Track Maintenance
B356	Senior Storekeeper
N252	Street Inspector
U228	Country Meterman
F558	Structural Engineer
F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman
O202	Sewer Pumping Station Attendant
A154	Carpenter
F204	Civil Engineering Inspector
O282	Foreman Asphalt Finisher
F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman
B510	Braille Typist
M108	Blacksmith
B310b	Tabulating Numerical Key Punch Operator
R114	Swimming Instructor (male)
F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman
E109	Stage Electrician

Because of the large number of applicants in the recent examinations for General Clerks (male), General Clerks (female), Meter Readers, Janitors, and Food and Restaurant Inspectors, we were obliged to use the Exposition Auditorium and we were charged rent to the amount of \$825 (half rate) by the Director of Property for the three days we used it.

The probable cost for holding and completing the examinations for the last above named five classes of positions is as follows:

Examination scope-circulars .....	\$ 63
Mimeograph paper (204 reams at \$.80) for questions....	164
Application forms .....	72
Circular of Explanations and Instructions.....	96
Credentials . . . . .	16
Handbook of Laws for applicants to study.....	288
Stamped envelopes .....	243
Mimeograph ink (10 lbs. at \$2.50).....	25
Trucks for hauling tables and chairs.....	391

Janitors to set up tables and chairs.....	192
Monitors .....	360
Sixteen assistant examiners for three weeks to rate papers of General Clerks at 75c an hour.....	1,500
Rent of auditorium .....	825
	<hr/>
	\$4,235

The list of eligible policemen will expire in May next and we should have a new list of eligibles ready to take its place. This examination has three major parts, namely, the medical examination, the athletic test, and the written test. We expect to have about 2500 applicants for this examination.

Superintendent Boeken is about to ask us to hold new examinations for motormen and conductors. These examinations will also have several thousand applicants.

In addition, lists of eligibles have expired for 186 other classes of positions and when requisitions are received for eligibles for appointment to any of these classes, examinations must, under Section 149 of the Charter, be held for such classes.

Unless we are allowed the requested additional appropriation we shall not be able to complete the examination work required of us by Section 149 of the Charter.

Yours respectfully,

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION.

\_\_\_\_\_, Secretary.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Milton Sapiro, representing the American Legion, and N. F. Stewart, representing U. S. Employment Service and California Veterans' representative, and Mr. Foley, representing Carmen's Union, were heard in opposition to policy of limiting the applicants for Civil Service examination to those 40 years of age and under.

Wm. J. Varley, representing Central Council of Civic Clubs, was also heard in opposition to the 40-year limitation.

City Attorney John J. O'Toole advised that Civil Service Commission had power under the Charter to make such limitation and quoted the decision handed down in the case of *Maxwell v. Fire Department*.

#### Final Passage.

Thereupon, Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Roncovieri, presented the following substitute bill, with the understanding that no part of the \$8,500 would be used for the examinations in question, and with said understanding said bill was *finally passed* as an emergency ordinance, to-wit:

#### Supplemental Appropriation of \$8,500 for Holding Civil Service Examinations.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1495, Ordinance No. 9.051443, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$8,500 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 771.102.01, for the purpose of providing funds for the Civil Service Commission for holding examinations for certain Civil Service classes of employments, the creation of the resulting Civil Service lists being essential to the uninterrupted service of the several departments of the City and County government; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:



Section 1. The sum of \$8,500 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 771.102.01, for the purpose of providing funds for the Civil Service Commission for holding examinations for certain Civil Service classes of employments, the creation of the resulting Civil Service lists being essential to the uninterrupted service of the several departments of the City and County government.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors by the vote by which this ordinance is passed does hereby declare that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective forthwith. The nature of said emergency being as follows, to-wit:

That the said appropriation of \$8,500 is necessary for the uninterrupted operation of the Civil Service Commission of the City and County of San Francisco and for the holding of examinations by said Civil Service Commission as provided for in Section 149 of the Charter.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Subsequently, W. L. Henderson, who had agreed with the foregoing stipulation, appeared before the Board and stated that he had been in communication with the members of his Commission and that they would not agree to any stipulation.

#### Action Rescinded.

Whereupon, Supervisor Reilly moved to rescind vote, whereby Bill No. 1495 was finally *passed*.

*Motion carried by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

#### Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy thereupon moved that the appropriation (\$8,500) be passed.

Supervisor Brown moved as an amendment that the amount be fixed at \$2,000, the amount that would be required for the ensuing month as stated by Mr. Henderson.

Amendment *accepted* by Supervisor McSheehy.

#### Refused Passage.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Bill 1495 (amended to read \$2,000) and the same was *refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri—5.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—5.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor McSheehy's motion to pass Bill 1495 appropriating \$8,500 for holding civil service examinations, and the same was *refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Uhl—4.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt—6.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**UNFINISHED BUSINESS.****Final Passage.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

**Appropriation of \$16.38 for Gasoline Bills for May and June, 1937.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1501, Ordinance No. 9.051446, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$16.38 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 600.000.00 for the purpose of providing funds to pay gasoline bills of the months of May and June, 1937.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$16.38 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 600.000.00 for the purpose of providing funds to pay gasoline bills of the months of May and June, 1937.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

**Appropriation of \$2,500 for Reconstruction of Minnesota Street  
Between Eighteenth and Nineteenth Streets.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1502, Ordinance No. 9.051447, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$2,500 out of surplus existing in Unappropriated County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 748.929.00 for the reconstruction of Minnesota street, between Eighteenth and Nineteenth streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,500 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the Unappropriated County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 748.929.00 for the reconstruction of Minnesota street, between Eighteenth and Nineteenth streets.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Athens Street Between Madison  
Street and Avalon Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

On recommendation of Streets Committee:

Bill No. 1503, Ordinance No. 12.0731115, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Two Hundred and Eighty-six (286) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office January 5, 1938, by amending Section Two Hundred and Eighty-six (286) thereof to read as follows:



**Section 286:**

The width of sidewalks on Athens street, the easterly side of, between Madison street and Avalon avenue, shall be 29.5 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Athens street, the westerly side of, between Madison street and Avalon avenue, shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Athens street, between Avalon and Amazon avenues, shall be 15 feet.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

**Amending Traffic Ordinance to Prohibit Parking on Jessie Street  
Between Fourth and Fifth Streets.**

(Code No. 11.02)

Also, Bill No. 1504, Ordinance No. 11.0237, as follows:

Amending Section 37, Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 37, Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto the following words: "Jessie street between Fourth and Fifth streets."

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

**Accepting the Roadway of Twenty-Ninth Avenue Between Quintara and Rivera Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 1505, Ordinance No. 12.081136, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Twenty-ninth avenue between Quintara and Rivera streets, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Twenty-ninth avenue between Quintara and Rivera streets, including the curbs.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

**Ordering the Construction of Sidewalks on the North One-Half  
of Agua Way Between Teresita Boulevard and Chaves Avenue,  
and at Other Locations.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 1506, Ordinance No. 12.061192, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the

City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, January 3, 1938, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

#### The improvement of

Agua way (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Teresita boulevard and Chaves avenue  
 Army street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Diamond and Douglass streets  
 Army street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Diamond and Douglass streets  
 Castro street (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Army street and 57' north  
 Funston avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Lawton street and 475' south  
 Italy avenue (S. W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Lisbon street and 100' southeast  
 Kirkham street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Fifteenth avenue and Lurline street  
 Kirkham street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Sixteenth avenue and 148' 6" east  
 Kirkham street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Sixteenth and Seventeenth avenues  
 Moraga street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 57' 6" and 82' 6" west of Eleventh avenue  
 Moraga street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 82' 6" and 107' 6" west of Twelfth avenue  
 Moscow street (N. W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 225' and 300' northeast of Italy avenue  
 Teresita boulevard (N. E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Reposa way and Marietta drive  
 Twenty-first street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Grand View avenue and 83.76' east

by the construction of two-course concrete sidewalks, six (6) feet in width, where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks, six (6) feet or more in width, are not already constructed;

and the improvement of

Bourbon street (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Eddy street and 100' north  
 California street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 107' 6" and 132' 6" east of Funston avenue  
 Carl street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 177' 5" and 229' 5" west of Willard street  
 Clay street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Baker street and 31' 3" west  
 Cole street (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 125' and 150' south of Oak street  
 Diamond street between Surrey and Sussex streets  
 Eddy street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Bourbon street and 28' east  
 Eighth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 150' and 175' south of Geary boulevard  
 Eleventh avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 300' and 350' south of Lincoln way  
 Eleventh avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 200' and 225' south of Irving street  
 Ellis street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 137' 6" and 165' east of Gough street



Ellis street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Laguna street and 75' east  
 Ellis street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 175' and 225' east of Laguna street  
 Federal street (S. E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Second street and 187' 6" northeast  
 Fifth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Judah street and 25' north  
 Fillmore street (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 87' 6" and 137' 6" south of California street  
 Fourth street (S. W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 80' and 105' southeast of Howard street  
 Fourth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 35' and 60' south of Hugo street  
 Funston avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 225' and 250' south of Judah street  
 Funston avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Kirkham street and 25' north  
 Green street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 62' 6" and 87' 6" east of Polk street  
 Harrison street (N. W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Third street and 80' southwest  
 Harrison street (N. W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 100' and 125' southwest of Ritch street  
 Harrison street (S. E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 155' and 180' southwest of Fourth street  
 Harrison street (S. E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 275' and 325' northeast of Fifth street  
 Hayes street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 137' 6" and 162' 6" east of Octavia street  
 Hayes street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 197' 6" and 222' 8" east of Fillmore street  
 Hugo street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 95' and 120' west of Arguello boulevard  
 Hugo street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Third avenue and 95' east  
 Hugo street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Sixth avenue and 120' west  
 Irving street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 57' 6" and 82' 6" west of Ninth avenue  
 Judah street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 65' and 90' west of Tenth avenue  
 Judah street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Twelfth avenue and 32' 6" east  
 Judah street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Seventeenth avenue and 82' 6" west  
 Laguna street (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Sutter street and 112' 6" north  
 Laguna street (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Ellis street and 24' north  
 Laguna street (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 85' and 110' south of Fell street  
 Lincoln way (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Funston avenue and 32' 6" east  
 Lincoln way (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Fifteenth avenue and 30' east  
 Ninth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 125' and 150' south of Lincoln way  
 Ninth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 200' and 225' north of Irving street  
 O'Farrell street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 100' and 137' east of Divisadero street  
 Page street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 123' and 148' east of Ashbury street  
 Polk street (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 125' and 150' south of Filbert street  
 Sacramento street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 180' 5" and 210' 1" east of Pierce street  
 Sixth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 100' and 125' north of Hugo street  
 Sixth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Hugo street and 25' north  
 Sixth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Judah street and 25' north  
 Sixth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 50' and 75' south of Irving street  
 Sixth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 25' and 50' south of Judah street  
 Sutter street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Laguna street and 82' 6" west  
 Tenth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 175' and 200' south of Lincoln way  
 Tenth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 250' and 275' south of Lincoln way  
 Twelfth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Judah street and 100' north  
 Valencia street (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 195' and 260' north of Twenty-fifth street  
 Vallejo street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Hodges alley and 109' 3" east  
 Washington street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 82' 6" and 137' 6" west of Taylor street  
 by the construction or reconstruction of two-course concrete sidewalks of the full official width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks are defective or not already constructed to the official grade; and the improvement of  
 Bosworth street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 25' and 50' west of Rousseau street  
 Judah street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Twelfth avenue and 60' west  
 Twelfth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Judah street and 100' north

by the construction or reconstruction of two-course concrete sidewalks six (6) feet in width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks are defective or not already constructed to the official grade; and the improvement of

Kearny street (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 120' and 128' 9" south of Green street by the construction of a two-course concrete sidewalk of the full official width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalk of the full official width is not already constructed.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated, and numbered respectively as:

<i>Block</i> <i>No.</i>	<i>Lot</i> <i>No.</i>	<i>Block</i> <i>No.</i>	<i>Lot</i> <i>No.</i>
1860-A	1, 22, 23, 24, 25	2959	7, 8
1861-A	12, 13, 14, 15	6338	12
1862-A	1, 8C, 9, 10	6350	6
1931	17, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 30A, 31, 32, 33, 34	6561	7, 8, 8A, 10, 18, 18A, 18B, 19
2038-A	36	6563	17
2753	17, 18A	6583	1, 16, 17, 17A, 18, 19, 24, 26, 29
2945-A	1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 11, 12, 13		

<i>Block</i> <i>No.</i>	<i>Lot</i> <i>No.</i>	<i>Block</i> <i>No.</i>	<i>Lot</i> <i>No.</i>
134	5, 8, 9	1739	10, 11
214	1A	1741	20, 36, 39
525	21	1742	23, 33
548	14	1744	4, 8, 9
634	21	1749	11
653	22	1751	22
675	5	1753	2
720	17	1760	24, 48
722	8, 13	1761	19
730	12	1766	6, 26
817	20	1772	5A
821	33	1840	7, 16
830	2	1843	48
999	7	1848	49
1099	14	3733	3
1223	8	3751	18, 25
1225	25	3761	54, 55, 59
1275	50B, 51	3774	48, 49, 50, 51, 52
1372	22	6514	9, 9A
1536	3A	6729	1, 2, 3, 4, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59
1736	34	6730	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 12, 13
1738	43		

<i>Block</i> <i>No.</i>	<i>Lot</i> <i>No.</i>	<i>Block</i> <i>No.</i>	<i>Lot</i> <i>No.</i>
1767	21	6752	52

<i>Block</i> <i>No.</i>	<i>Lot</i> <i>No.</i>
132	1F

all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

Finally passed by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.



**NEW BUSINESS.****Action Deferred.**

The following matter from Public Utilities Committee without recommendation was *continued one week*:

**Abandonment of Street Car Service on Twentieth Avenue.**

(Code No. 19.091)

Resolution No. 3762, as follows:

Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company be and is hereby directed to discontinue street railway service on Twentieth avenue, between Irving street and Wawona street.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

**Appropriating \$200 From Emergency Reserve Fund for the Payment of Sick Leaves of Telephone Operators in the Department of Public Works.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1500, Ordinance No. 9.051445, as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$200 from Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 702.900.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 736.102.00 for the payment of sick leave of telephone operators in the Department of Public Works.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$200 is hereby set aside from the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 702.900.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 736.102.00 for the payment of sick leave of telephone operators for the fiscal year 1937-38.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund, as it is necessary to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the telephone exchange and insufficient money was set up in the Salary Ordinance for the fiscal year 1937-38 to provide for sick leave.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Refunds of Erroneous Payment of Taxes.**

(Code No. 9.059)

Resolution No. 3767, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized to be paid to the following named, being refunds of erroneous and duplicate payments of taxes:

*From Duplicate Tax Fund—Appropriation No. 905.*

- |  |          |
|--|----------|
| (1) A. C. Taber, Tax Agent, Southern Pacific Co., per Vol. 31, Bill 785, Lot 1, Block 5230, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937 .....  | \$ 48.39 |
| (2) Herman H. Faks, per Vol. 14, Bill 274, Lot 2, Block 1796 (\$13.28), and per Vol. 9, Bill 1549, Lot 13, Block 1387 (\$34.33), both 1st installments, fiscal year 1936 ..... | 47.61    |
| (3) A. R. Redmore, per line 16, page 116, Vol. 3, fiscal year 1937 .....   | 410.83   |
| (4) Northern Counties Title Ins. Co., per Vol. 36, Bill 1435, Lot 2-E, Block 6075, 2d installment, fiscal year 1936 .....  | 29.33    |

(5) Bank of America, per Vol. 26, Bill 330, Lot 35, Block 3753, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937.....	26.75
(6) Henry Rothberg, per Vol. 12, Bill 2576, Lot 25, Block 1675, 2d installment, fiscal year 1933.....	53.37
(7) Frank J. Mahoney, per Vol. 20, Bill 2389, Lot 13/14, Block 2932, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937.....	39.87
(8) City Title Insurance Co., per Vol. 19, Bill 1715, Lot 15, Block 2691, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937.....	18.39
(9) Henry P. Curtis, per Vol. 18, Bill 2565, Lot 8, Block 2483, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937.....	36.39
(10) Wells Fargo Bank & Union Trust Co., per Vol. 6, Lot 20, Block 991, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937.....	107.03
(11) Mary A. Harrington, per Vol. 4, Bill 1661, Lot 25, Block 629, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937.....	41.03
(12) Anna Foge, per Vol. 13, Bill 1968, Lot 10, Block 1752 (\$267.56), and per Vol. 13, Bill 575, Lot 3, Block 1716 (\$54.35), both 1st installments, fiscal year 1937.....	321.91

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

#### **Approval, Recommendations of Public Welfare Department.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 3768, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Public Welfare Department containing the names and amounts to be paid as Old Age Security Aid, Blind Pensions and Widows' Pensions for the month of February, 1938 (and prior thereto), including amounts, decreases, cancellations and denials and other transactions, are hereby approved, and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is directed to transmit this approval to the Controller.

Further Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors declares an extension of time as necessary in certain cases as requested by the Public Welfare Department.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

#### **Authorizing Sale of Mountain House Buildings.**

(Code No. 12.17252)

Also, Resolution No. 3769, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, that the Director of Property be and is hereby authorized to sell at public auction, the buildings located on certain property in San Mateo County, California, acquired from Hester Ballhaus, et al., known as the Mountain House. The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bills of sale to be executed by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by Raymond J. Bianchi, Assistant City Attorney.

Approved as to form by Joseph J. Phillips, Director of Property.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.



**Action Deferred.**

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

**Meat Inspection Ordinance.**

(Code No. 17.04)

Bill No. 1512, Ordinance No. 17.048, as follows:

Providing for the inspection of meat and meat food products sold or delivered or offered for sale or delivery within the City and County of San Francisco; authorizing the Director of Public Health to adopt, promulgate and enforce regulations governing such inspection; providing for the payment and collection of fees to defray the cost of such inspection; defining certain terms used in this ordinance; and providing penalties for the violation of this ordinance; and repealing certain ordinances in conflict herewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. *Definitions.* "Department" as used in this ordinance shall mean the Department of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco.

"The Director" as used in this ordinance shall mean the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco.

The term "meat" as used in this ordinance shall mean the edible part of the carcass of any cattle, calf, sheep, lamb, goat or swine which is not manufactured, cured, smoked, processed or otherwise treated.

"Meat food products" as used in this ordinance shall mean any article of food, or any article which enters into the composition of food for human consumption which is derived or prepared in whole or in part from any portion of the carcass of any of the animals mentioned in Section 2 of this ordinance, if such portion is all, or a considerable and definite portion of the article, except such articles as meat juices or meat extracts which are only for medical purposes and are advertised only to the medical profession.

"Federal inspection" as used in this ordinance shall mean any service for the inspection of meat and meat food products maintained by the Government of the United States.

"State inspection" as used in this ordinance shall mean any service for the inspection of meat and meat food products maintained by the State of California.

"Local inspection" as used in this ordinance shall mean any service for the inspection of meat and meat food products maintained by the City and County of San Francisco under approval of the Department of Agriculture of the State of California.

"Other approved inspection service" as used in this ordinance shall mean any meat and meat food products inspection service maintained by any city or county, which said service has been approved and continues to be approved by the Department of Agriculture of the State of California.

Section 2. *Meat Inspection Brands.* No person, firm or corporation shall expose for sale or offer for sale, or sell or otherwise dispose of, or have in his possession, in the City and County of San Francisco, any meat of any cattle, calf, sheep, lamb, goat or swine or any meat food products thereof, which does not have thereon the inspection mark or brand and stamp of approval of either the federal inspection, state inspection, local inspection or other approved inspection service. If any carcass of any animal heretofore named or meat food products are kept, or offered for sale, or exposed within the City and County of San Francisco which does not bear one of the aforesaid stamps or brands, said Department shall take possession of and destroy said meat or meat food products.

Section 3. *No Meat Without Inspection to Be Shipped.* No person, firm or corporation shall ship, send, bring or cause to be brought into

the City and County of San Francisco, the meat of any cattle, sheep, lamb, goat or swine, or any meat food products thereof, which does not bear the meat inspection brand or other mark of identification recognized by the Department, and/or the Department of Agriculture of the State of California.

Section 4. *Calves.* The carcasses of calves in good healthy condition and weighing more than 55 pounds for smaller breeds or 65 pounds for larger breeds, exclusive of head, heart, lungs and liver, may be brought into the City and County of San Francisco, and each of said carcasses of such calves must be inspected and stamped and marked by the Department at the point of arrival of said carcasses of such calves in the City and County of San Francisco, or at their first place of rest.

Section 5. *Unsound, Unhealthful, etc., Meats.* All meats or meat food products which are unsound, unhealthful, unwholesome or otherwise unfit for food, shall be stamped or otherwise marked by the Department "San Francisco Department of Public Health Inspected and Condemned" and shall be destroyed or otherwise disposed of as provided by rule of the Department.

Section 6. *Reinspection.* All meats or meat food products sold or offered for sale in the City and County of San Francisco shall be subject to reinspection and condemnation by the Department.

Section 7. *Unlawful to Forge, Alter, etc., Brands.* It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to forge, counterfeit, simulate or falsely represent, or without proper authority to use or detach or wrongfully alter, deface or destroy any of the stamps or marks or brands recognized by the Department, on any cattle, calf, sheep, lamb, goat or swine, or any meat food products thereof, or any carcass, or any part or parts of any carcass or carcasses named in Section 2 of this ordinance, except that the processor thereof may remove or destroy any stamp or mark before said carcass or portion thereof is processed, or any retail butcher may destroy said stamp or mark before any portion of said carcass is delivered to the ultimate consumer thereof.

Section 8. *Authority to Make Regulations.* The Department is authorized to adopt, promulgate and enforce such rules and regulations regarding the slaughter houses and places where meat food products are manufactured, as well as such rules and regulations relative to the inspection of meats and meat food products, as will enable the Department to enforce and carry out the meaning and intent of this ordinance, and to maintain the standard of meat inspection of the Department of Agriculture of the State of California.

Section 9. *State Laws.* All of the provisions of the Agricultural Code of the State of California, as well as the rules and regulations made under authority of said code, regarding the inspection and examination of any of the animals mentioned in Section 2 of this ordinance, as well as regarding the killing of said animals and the inspection, keeping and handling of the meat of said animals, and meat food products thereof, except in so far as the same are changed or modified by this or other ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco, or by rules made under authority of said ordinances, shall apply to the inspection and examination and killing of said animals mentioned in said Section 2 and to the inspection, keeping and handling of the meat of said animals.

Section 10. *Fees and Charges.* For the purpose of meeting and providing for the cost of the inspection and examinations provided for in this ordinance, the following fees shall be paid to the Tax Collector of the City and County:

(a) All persons, firms and corporations killing, dressing and making ready for market in the City and County of San Francisco any of the animals mentioned in Section 2 of this ordinance shall pay the sum of one (1c) cent per each one hundred pounds of the meat of said animals as weighed after said animals have been killed and dressed; pro-



vided, that the minimum monthly sum paid shall be not less than (\$10) dollars.

(b) Any person, firm or corporation in the City and County of San Francisco manufacturing and offering for sale any meat food products shall pay an annual inspection fee of fifty (\$50) dollars per year, payable semi-annually, in advance, and, in addition to the said annual inspection fee, shall pay the following amounts based upon the amount of meat food products manufactured per month, namely:

<i>Exceeding</i>	<i>But Not Exceeding</i>	
5,000 lbs.	10,000 lbs. per month.....	\$ 2.08 per month
10,000 "	20,000 " " " .....	4.17 " "
20,000 "	30,000 " " " .....	6.25 " "
30,000 "	40,000 " " " .....	8.33 " "
40,000 "	50,000 " " " .....	10.42 " "
50,000 "	60,000 " " " .....	12.50 " "
60,000 "	70,000 " " " .....	14.58 " "
70,000 "	80,000 " " " .....	16.67 " "
80,000 "	90,000 " " " .....	18.75 " "
90,000 "	100,000 " " " .....	20.83 " "

Any person, firm or corporation in the City and County of San Francisco engaged in the business of a retail meat dealer and who corns meat which has thereon the inspection mark or brand and stamp of approval of either the federal inspection, state inspection, local inspection or other approved inspection service, and which corning of meat is an incident to their regular retail fresh meat business, shall be exempt from the payment of the fees provided for manufacturers of meat food products as set forth in sub-section (b) of Section 10 of this ordinance in so far as they relate to the corning of such meat.

All of said persons, firms or corporations mentioned in Subdivisions (a) and (b) of this section shall keep full, true and correct books of account showing in pounds the amount of meat killed or dressed, as well as the amount of meat food products manufactured, and each of said persons shall on or before the 10th day of each calendar month file with the Department and with the Tax Collector a verified statement showing in pounds the amount of meat killed or dressed, or the amount of meat food products manufactured during the preceding calendar month, and shall thereupon pay to said Tax Collector the amount due for the inspection of the same, as in this section provided.

The books of account mentioned herein shall at all times be opened to the inspection of the Department or of the Controller or his representatives.

The Controller shall provide for the method of billing, collecting and accounting for all amounts to become due under this ordinance.

Section 11. All persons, firms or corporations selling, or offering for sale, any meat or meat food products from any vehicle, wagon, truck, cart or automobile, shall keep said vehicle, wagon, truck, cart or automobile in a clean and sanitary condition, and the same shall be subject to inspection by the Department.

Any person, firm or corporation, without a fixed or established place of business within the City and County of San Francisco engaging in the business of selling, or offering for sale, any meat or meat food products from any vehicle, wagon, truck, cart or automobile, shall first obtain a permit from the Department, and shall pay an annual inspection fee of fifty dollars (\$50), payable quarterly in advance for each such vehicle.

Each such vehicle shall have printed conspicuously on both sides the firm name, address and Department permit number of the vehicle in letters and figures not less than three (3) inches in height.

Every person, firm, or corporation paying the fees provided for in this section shall be exempt from the provisions of Bill No. 141, Ordinance No. 3.04112, covering the same classification.

**Section 12. Penalties.** Any person, firm or corporation, or their agents, violating any of the provisions of this ordinance, or failing to comply with any direction or order of the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco, given pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance, or any agent of said Director, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction shall be punished by a fine not less than fifty (\$50) dollars, nor more than five hundred (\$500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of not less than ten (10) days nor more than three (3) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment; and any violation of the provisions of this ordinance shall subject the violator thereof to revocation of any and all permits held.

Each day that the violation of this ordinance or the failure to comply with the directions of the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco, given in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance, shall continue, shall constitute a new and separate offense and shall be punishable accordingly as herein provided.

**Section 13. Repeals and Exemptions.** Ordinance No. 1265 (New Series), and Section 67, Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), are hereby repealed, and any person, firm or corporation paying the fees provided in this ordinance shall be exempt from the payment of the fees provided for in Section 1, Ordinance No. 3.0412.

**Section 14.** Neither the adoption of this ordinance nor the repeal hereby of any ordinance of the City and County of San Francisco, or any portion of any such ordinance or ordinances shall in any manner affect the prosecution for the violation of the provisions of any such ordinance or ordinances committed prior to the effective date of this ordinance, and the adoption of this ordinance shall not constitute a waiver of any of the fees or money or penalties thereon required to be paid under the provisions of any other ordinance unpaid at the effective date of this ordinance.

**Section 15.** The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each section, sub-section, subdivision, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, sub-sections, subdivisions, sentences, clauses or phrases is declared unconstitutional or invalid for any reason.

#### Adopted.

The following recommendations of Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee were taken up:

**Set-Back Lines, Easterly Side of St. Charles Avenue, Between Alemany Boulevard and Payson Street; Westerly Side of St. Charles Avenue, From 100 Feet Northerly From Palmetto Avenue, Running Thence Northerly 300 Feet.**

(Code No. 13.03)

Resolution No. 3770, as follows.

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1743, passed January 20, 1938, reading as follows:

Resolved, That subject to approval of the Board of Supervisors in accordance with Section 117 of the Charter, the following building set-back lines be, and the same are hereby established:

Along the easterly side of St. Charles avenue, between Alemany boulevard and Payton street, set-back line to be 10 feet, and along the westerly side of St. Charles avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Palmetto avenue and running thence northerly 300 feet, set-back line to be 8 feet,

is hereby approved.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.



**Set-Back Lines, Easterly Side of Junipero Serra Boulevard, 103.77 Feet Southerly From Southerly Line of Holloway Avenue, to Northerly Line of Shields Street.**

(Code No. 13.03)

Also Resolution No. 3771, as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1742, passed January 20, 1938, reading as follows:

Resolved, That subject to the approval of the Board of Supervisors in accordance with Section 117 of the Charter, the following building set-back line be, and the same is hereby established:

Along the easterly side of Junipero Serra boulevard, commencing at a point 103.77 feet southerly from the southerly line of Holloway avenue and running thence southerly to the northerly line of Shields street, set-back line to be 33 feet back from the now existing private property line, is hereby approved.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Set-Back Lines, Easterly Side of Chester Avenue, From 100 Feet Northerly From Palmetto Avenue to a Point 150 Feet Northerly Therefrom; Northerly to the Southerly Line of Payson Street. Westerly Side of Chester Avenue From Point 100 Feet Northerly From Palmetto Avenue to a Point 50 Feet Northerly Therefrom.**

(Code No. 13.03)

Also, Resolution No. 3772, as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1744, passed January 20, 1938, reading as follows:

Resolved, That subject to the approval of the Board of Supervisors in accordance with Section 117 of the Charter, the following building set-back lines be, and the same are hereby established:

Along the easterly side of Chester avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Palmetto avenue and running thence northerly 150 feet, set-back line to be 8 feet; thence northerly to the southerly line of Payson street, set-back line to be 15 feet, and along the westerly side of Chester avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Palmetto avenue and running thence northerly 50 feet, set-back line to be 7 feet; thence northerly 350 feet, set-back line to be 10 feet, is hereby approved.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Leave of Absence—Hon. Charles F. Traung, Member Recreation Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

The following recommendation of his Honor the Mayor was taken up:

Resolution No. 3773, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Charles F. Traung, member of the Recreation Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing February 5, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS,  
BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR  
REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**City Hall Plaque for Crew of Lost Samoan Clipper.**

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 3775, as follows:

Whereas, on January 11, 1938, the Pan-American Airways suffered its first catastrophe through the loss of the Samoan Clipper; and

Whereas, the intrepid airmen who made up the crew of that ill-fated plane were leaders in their profession; courageous beyond words; modest in the extreme; engaging in their hazardous work with infinite caution and zeal unsurpassed; and

Whereas, these brave gentlemen, whose lives were so summarily abbreviated, performed an heroic service and a work of inestimable value in their inaugural efforts on behalf of transpacific commercial aviation; and

Whereas, a sorrowing but grateful people will require a perpetuation to the memory of these American aviator aces and to their achievements; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby request his Honor the Mayor to take such action as is necessary for the installation of a plaque, in a fitting place in this City Hall, to constitute a permanent expression of honor, gratitude and respect to the memories of Captain E. C. Musick, C. G. Sellers, Paul S. Brunk, Jr., F. J. McLean, J. W. Stickrod, J. A. Brooks and T. J. Findley.

*Referred to Public Welfare Committee.*

**Publicity and Advertising Fund—Citizens' City Beautiful Committee.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 3776, as follows:

Whereas, in response to a resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors, his Honor, Mayor Angelo J. Rossi, appointed a Citizens' City Beautiful Committee for the purpose of effecting the cleaning-up and beautification of San Francisco in anticipation of the opening of the Golden Gate International Exposition on February 18, 1939, and such committee met and organized on December 16, 1937, and since that time has been, and is now, actively pursuing the purpose for which it was appointed; and

Whereas, the cleaning-up and beautification of San Francisco will create employment for hundreds of men and women throughout the present year who might not otherwise be employed and will absorb large quantities of building materials, lumber, paint, hardware, cement, etc., and in addition will advertise San Francisco to the nation and the world as a progressive city that is preparing fittingly to greet the 20,000,000 persons expected to visit the Exposition during 1939; and

Whereas, Supervisor Adolph E. Schmidt, chairman of the Citizens' City Beautiful Committee, did submit an abbreviated budget of finances required by the committee to permit it to function to the end of the present fiscal year of 1937-38; but was informed by the Chief Administrative Officer of the city that the Publicity and Advertising Fund could not finance the committee for the current fiscal year ending June 30, 1938; and

Whereas, the Citizens' City Beautiful Committee in pursuance of its important activities must rely upon the financial support of public-spirited citizens and concerns for its budget requirements for the re-



mainder of the present fiscal year because of the failure of public funds, a situation devoutly to be regretted; and

Whereas, it is common knowledge that \$300,000 or more is to be included in the Publicity and Advertising Fund in the new budget for the fiscal year 1938-39 for the purpose of advertising San Francisco at the Exposition as a city of beauty, charm, cleanliness and business opportunity, which renders all the more important that the purposes of the Citizens' City Beautiful Committee be successfully carried out and the city be made clean, sanitary and beautiful for our citizens and the 20,000,000 visitors; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the sense of the Board of Supervisors that the clean-up and beautification campaign being conducted by the Citizens' City Beautiful Committee will, through rehabilitation of properties and otherwise, create much-needed employment, assist business, advertise San Francisco as a progressive city and render more effective the expenditure of the \$300,000 or more of public funds to advertise our city at the Golden Gate International Exposition during 1939; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the sense of this Board of Supervisors that, in addition to the \$300,000 or more intended to be included in the Publicity and Advertising Fund of the city to advertise San Francisco at the Exposition, there also be included the further sum of seven thousand dollars in the Publicity and Advertising Fund of the city for the use of the Citizens' City Beautiful Committee, beginning with the new fiscal year of 1938-39 on July 1, 1938, and continuing through to the opening of the Exposition on February 18, 1939, so that the said committee in performance of its service to the city will not require to be financed by private subscriptions of funds beyond the end of the current fiscal year, June 30, 1938.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

#### Observations Covering Bus Operations on Eastern Trip as Follows.

Supervisor Uhl presented the following statement:

New York leads in bus operations among cities having more than 400,000 population. Mayor LaGuardia is quoted as being bus-minded covering surface transportation in New York. In the near future surface transportation in New York will be 100 per cent buses.

Substitution of buses for street cars in the City of New York has resulted in:

1. Speeding up vehicular traffic.
2. Eliminating noise of street cars.
3. Removal of rails is followed by smooth pavement, which contributes to making New York more beautiful.

New York is certainly the Wonder City, the City of Achievements, the City that Knows How.

Buses in New York *are not* feeders to the subways; as a matter of fact buses have cut into the revenues of the subways due to:

1. Curb loading.
2. Speed.
3. Frequency of service.
4. Disagreeable underground travel.

The most marked improvement noted in New York is substitution of buses for the old street cars on Broadway. Those cars gummed up traffic terribly. I know. I rode on them.

Buses honeycomb New York. Found them most popular, a pleasure to ride in them. Fare five cents, 2-cent transfer. Most important single deck buses are those on Madison, Broadway and Eighth avenue.

After paying from earnings \$140,582.23 on account of buses purchased, the Madison Coach Company paid a dividend of \$200,000.

After paying from earnings \$114,522 on account of buses purchased, the Eighth Avenue Coach Corporation paid a dividend of \$370,000. That certainly evidences the popularity of buses.

My visit to Public Service Corporation in Newark was worth while. Public Service Corporation is outstanding for its progressive leadership. In 1925 the corporation took its initial step in an experiment with bus transportation; purchased following: 25 Macks, 25 Whites, 25 Fageols, 25 Yellows.

Public Service now operates 2,800 buses. Whereas in 1925 Public Service operated 2,600 trolley cars, now operates only 200 trolley cars.

Following from Twenty-eighth Annual Report of the Public Service Corporation:

"Gratifying results have followed in every instance where all service vehicles have been substituted for street cars. Patronage has increased, riders have been attracted by the quiet operation of the vehicle."

Besides riding on the gas bus, I rode on the Diesel bus electrically operated. Smooth riding, rapid acceleration, takes hills beautifully. I believe it is the coming bus.

Whereas Mr. Thos. McCarter, President of Public Service Corporation, 12 years ago was 100 per cent street car-minded, Mr. McCarter today is 100 per cent bus-minded.

Mr. Arthur T. Warren, General Traffic Manager of Public Service informed me Public Service contributed to the development of the street car named President Conference Car, but Public Service will, under no circumstances, deviate from bus operation, chiefly due to curb loading.

Traffic congestion at Broad and Market streets, Newark, is comparable to congestion at Market and Third, San Francisco. As many as six buses at one time will stop on a block, load and then go their respective routes. "No Parking" strictly enforced. Fare five cents.

Many of the 41-passenger buses have been operating ten years *and over*.

My visit with Mr. Nolan, Superintendent of the Detroit Street Railway, municipally operated, was most interesting. Mr. Nolan has made a radical change in size of bus, having changed from 41-passenger buses to exclusively 25-passenger buses. Two reasons for the change:

1. Greater speed; an average of 17 miles per hour. Mr. Nolan states that greater number of 25-passenger buses does not congest traffic, inasmuch as the 25-passenger bus can maneuver easily through traffic, and has proved popular with patrons.

2. Mr. Nolan stated 41-passenger buses were operating in the red, whereas the 25's because of lower operating costs, were operating at a profit.

3. Mr. Nolan feels he can depreciate the 25's in three years and purchase new buses, believing the late models have their public appeal. Mr. Ford has made Detroit a price of \$3,700 on the 25-passengers.

Mr. Nolan parks the Fords in open parking lot, having been informed by Ford Company the buses need not be housed, regardless of snow or ice.

The Detroit Municipal Railway paid in taxes last year \$835,000.

Believing a talk by Mr. Nolan on bus operation would be of much benefit to the Board of Supervisors, I asked Mr. Nolan if he would be able to come to San Francisco and address the Board of Supervisors, if they so requested, expense of trip paid by them. Mr. Nolan stated he would be pleased to do so. I would so recommend. You would receive valuable information first hand.

My three-hour visit with officials of the Capital Transit Company at Washington was most interesting. They informed me:

In 1934, bus mileage was 5,947,019 miles.

In 1937, 14,607,951 miles—an increase of 250 per cent.

In 1934 street car mileage was 18,902,549 miles.

In 1937, 16,366,782 miles, a loss of 12½ per cent.

Capital Transit Company operates 1,100 buses. The company was confronted with either rebuilding the Connecticut avenue line at a possible cost of \$400,000 or substituting buses. Decision was for buses.



Removal of tracks has made Connecticut avenue a beautiful boulevard.

It was estimated 62 buses would be sufficient to provide equal service to the service given by the street car; such was not the case, pressure was brought to bear upon the company to extend the route, and in so doing, it was necessary to purchase an additional thirty 41-passenger buses.

The officials feel they should have rebuilt the tracks. I rode on a Connecticut-avenue bus as far as its terminal, about two-thirds the distance of the entire Connecticut route.

Over the driver's seat was painted in distinct numerals, 65. That figure indicates the passenger capacity of the bus, 41 seated, 24 standees.

Within seven blocks 65 passengers boarded the bus. Driver displaced sign "FULL." As soon as bus is full, no further stops are made to take on passengers, and here is where bus operation scores. Bus after bus was passed. Gas bus operation makes that possible. The loaded bus by-passes the bus stopping for passengers, something street cars are incapable of doing. At peak 4:30 to 5:45 headway on some routes is 15 seconds. Fare ten cents, tokens  $8\frac{1}{3}$  cents. Recently raised from  $7\frac{1}{2}$  cents.

Street cars in Washington have wooden platforms for loading; not in keeping with the surroundings in beautiful Washington.

Chicago. Day spent in Chicago was most interesting. Chicago's transit predicament is somewhat similar to ours, a decade behind the times.

It was my good fortune to be accorded an interview by Mr. Philip Harrington, Traction Engineer of the City of Chicago. Mr. Harrington compiled for Mayor Edward J. Kelly, a comprehensive Local Transportation Plan for the City of Chicago. A number of Mr. Harrington's conclusions and recommendations fit San Francisco, namely:

1. That while our local transit systems are reasonably safe, substantial portions of each are neither attractive nor efficient.
2. That to a considerable degree the city has a "rapid transit" system inadequate for present needs, due to the age of equipment, indirect routing and limited zone influence.
3. That our surface systems are outmoded.
4. That there exists an intensive use of the private automobile with consequent aggravated congestion of streets and a high traffic accident rate.

#### *Modern Service Transit Facilities.*

Mr. Harrington proposes that the operating companies in consideration of a franchise be required to:

"Extend local service into many districts which have developed beyond the reach of the present routes, involving 130 miles of single-way motor bus routes are recommended."

"Conversion of 360 miles of street railway track into modern trolley or gas bus operation."

"The purchase of modern street railway cars, trolley and gas buses."

"In New York conversion of obsolete street railway lines to modern bus operation has resulted in phenomenal increase of revenue traffic."

"At this time my long-range program of future improvements must recognize the permanent advent of the automotive bus, the electrically-driven rubber-tired coach, the lighter weight and modern type rapid transit car and the private automobile as practical and usable means available for light and heavy urban transportation."

#### *Progress of Modernization in Other Cities.*

"There is no program for modernization in Chicago. In contrast with this statement in *Transit Journal's* September 15 issue, that the transit industry in this country as a whole may be expected to replace 70 per cent of its old equipment in the next five years. In a confidential survey of the industry it is shown that the new equipment expected to be purchased amounts to 26,000 units as follows:

*"New Equipment to Be Purchased—Transit Industry, 1937-1941"*

16,220	gas buses
5,880	street cars
3,530	trolley buses
500	rapid transit cars

---

26,130 units.

I had the pleasure of a three-hour conference with Mr. Chas. Gordon, Managing Director of the American Transit Association. A thoroughly organized association of the privately operated transit companies of the United States, including the manufacturers of the motive and street car industry. Clearance, such as foregoing is made through the American Transit Association. It would be desirable for San Francisco to have membership in this association in order to keep abreast of the times.

Continuing Mr. Harrington's statements:

"Notable progress has been made in a number of cities. Examples of surface transit modernization in the larger cities follow:

"New York—All street railway service has been discontinued by the New York Railways, Madison Avenue Railways and Eighth Avenue Railways, and service replaced with 800 modern buses. Similar replacements have been carried out by the Jamaica Railways and by the operating companies on Staten Island. The Brooklyn and Queens Transit Corporation has purchased 200 new street cars since 1930 and in its affiliated company the Brooklyn Bus Company has purchased 325 buses in the same period. All of the bus equipment is modern and the new equipment on car lines represents 20 per cent of the peak requirements.

"Boston—Since 1930, 416 buses were purchased. This represents 25 per cent of the peak surface transit units.

"Washington, D. C.—Consolidation of the three local transportation systems was effected during the past three years. This brought about considerable street car re-routing, and the substitution of buses for street cars on several important lines. More express bus lines were added. Since 1930, 35 new street cars and 344 new buses were purchased, representing 44 per cent of their peak equipment requirements.

"St. Louis, Missouri—Since 1930 St. Louis Public Service, Inc. has purchased 264 gas buses. In some instances substituting bus service for railway service. Buses carry over 25 per cent of the passengers.

"Portland, Oregon—Following the granting of a new franchise last year the Portland Traction began a program of modernization with the purchase of 120 trolley buses and 35 gas buses. These new vehicles will take care of nearly half of the city's transit service.

"Detroit, Michigan—The Department of Street Railways has developed a policy of expansion through installing small buses operating on frequent headways. Since 1930 about 1,000 buses (mostly of the small type) have been purchased.

"Newark, New Jersey—Public Service Coordinated Transport, which serves most of the cities in the eastern portion of the state, and now carries most of its passengers on buses, has, since 1930, purchased about 1,000 buses of various types.

"Milwaukee, Wisconsin—The Milwaukee Electric Railway & Light Company is carrying on a continuous program of transit modernization. Since 1930 this company has acquired 177 gas buses and 50 trolley buses. The latter replaced street car service. The modern units represent 30 per cent of the peak requirements.

"Indianapolis, Indiana—The Indianapolis Railways have presented the outstanding example of city-wide modernization. Its program was actively under way early in 1933. In all, the modern equipment purchased up to January 1, 1937, for this program consists of 79 street cars, 43 gas buses and 152 trolley buses. But four major trolley lines were retained. These are being equipped with up-to-date cars; the



remainder of the service has been replaced by modern trolley buses and auxiliary gas bus feeder lines."

*Conversion of Car Lines to Bus Operations.*

Mr. Harrington states: "There are many locations in the city where service could be more economically rendered with greater frequency and more comfort and at faster, yet safe operating speeds, with the use of buses in place of street cars.

"Buses will provide the greater safety and convenience by reason of loading at the curb and eliminate the need for the existing safety islands with their hazard to traffic."

Mr. Harrington then recommends in table 8 the following:

Track abandonment of 189.39 miles.

Purchase of 285 40-seat buses, 54 23-seat and 16 20-seat buses.

468 street cars to be replaced by above 555 buses.

In table 9, Mr. Harrington proposes:

Track abandonment of 360.89 miles.

Purchase of 900 40-seat buses, 83 32-seat and 25 20-seat buses.

765 street cars to be replaced by above 1008 gas buses.

Referring again to Mr. Harrington's statements:

"The Chicago Motor Coach Co. will bring to the combined system herein referred to, its valuable asset of 20 years' bus operating experience."

I had the good fortune of a two-hour conference with W. J. Sherwood, General Manager, for the past twenty years, of Chicago Motor Coach Co. Mr. Sherwood's ideas regarding bus operations are progressive and most valuable.

Following are a few high-lights from an address by Bernard J. Youngbluth, President and General Manager, International Railway Company, Buffalo, N. Y., before the American Transit Association, White Sulphur, September 23, 1937:

"In Buffalo we are using small buses on heavy duty lines. We operate 327 buses, of which 260 are 25-passenger. We have 60 more of the same type on order. The other 67 are 32-passenger buses, which have been in service for a number of years and are used only during rush hours.

"Comparing results of small buses substituted for street cars on West Utica Route:

Length of route—4¼ miles.

Policy headway with street cars—10 minutes.

Average peak headway with buses—3 minutes.

Average base headway buses—6 minutes.

Miles increase 103 per cent.

Revenue increased—96 per cent.

Profit increased—128 per cent.

"Comparing results of small buses substituted for street cars on Elwood Road:

Length of route—6 miles.

Average peak headway improved from 5½ minutes to 2½ minutes.

Average bus headway improved from 9½ minutes to 6 minutes.

Revenue increased 25 per cent; profit increased 138 per cent."

Bus routes in San Francisco will average 6 miles. If improvements in speeding up service, as noted in foregoing statements, is possible in Boston, it should also be possible in San Francisco.

Members of the Board, two things struck me most forcibly as I taxied from Third and Townsend Depot to my office:

(1) Deplorable paving condition.

(2) Obsolete noisy street cars.

If a contest were held as to which city comparable in size to San Francisco has the most deplorable street motor paving conditions and the most obsolete street cars, San Francisco would win first prize.

The foregoing statements are from outstanding transit officials and the Traction Engineer of the City of Chicago, Mr. Philip Harrington. Not one unfavorable comment regarding bus operations—quite the contrary.

Despite the favorable opinion I had of bus operations, predicated on East Bay bus operations, I went East with an open frame of mind, but from what I found there covering bus operations, I return 100 per cent bus-minded.

Besides the desirability of curb loading, eliminating noise, flexibility of bus; a most important factor in favor of bus operation is the matter of pavements.

Buses are efficiently operated. I timed the ingress and egress of passengers.

Time covering bus stop—7 passengers boarding bus and bus on its way—10 seconds; 12 boarding bus—15 seconds; three passengers out—5 seconds.

The elimination of tracks in New York City, with improved paving, has made New York City more beautiful—comparatively speaking—a new suit to a shabby old one.

Shabbiness was the impression I received on my return to San Francisco, of the tracks and paving conditions here. If a contest were held as to which city with a population over 500,000 has the most obsolete street cars and worst track pavements, San Francisco would be it. And San Francisco is the "City That Knows How."

Where does the responsibility of this situation lie?

I say with the Public Utilities Commission. The Public Utilities Commission has been in existence four years—street car service has gone from bad to worse during their four years' administration.

There is no gainsaying the fact, immediate steps must be taken to speed up transportation in order to meet competition from the East Bay in December, at which time trains from the East Bay will be routed to the Interurban Depot at First and Mission—luxurious trains—seats for every passenger—104 seats to the car.

Delivery of buses can be had within six months after funds are made available. Funds can be made available for buses as a capital expenditure by inclusion of an amount in the 1938-39 budget by the Supervisors.

I renew my suggestion that at this time two bus routes be approved as follows:

1. From California and Thirty-second avenue, via California, Presidio, Bush to Market.

2. County Line, via San Jose avenue, Alemany boulevard, Bay Shore, Potrero avenue, Brannan and Fifth, to Mint avenue.

I urge the immediate employment of a Traction Engineer, who will pass on bus routes, having in mind inclusion of a sufficient amount in the 1938-39 budget to start foregoing two routes on their way and other bus routes by a bond election.

The Supervisors have the power to submit to the electorate a matter of policy in the event the Public Utilities Commission refuses to approve the Engineer's report.

*Immediate action is necessary!*

### Differential in Freight Rates Between Bay Cities Opposed.

(Code No. 5.3)

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 3774, as follows:

Whereas, there is a matter now pending before the Railroad Commission of the State of California, designated Case No. 4088, Part N and V, Decision No. 30370; and

Whereas, this matter is of vital interest to San Francisco because it imposes a penalty against less-than-carload lots of freight originating or terminating in San Francisco through the imposition of a charge



varying from twenty to thirty cents per hundred pounds in excess of the charge for freight originating in or destined for East Bay Cities; and

Whereas, this increased charge to San Francisco shippers and recipients of freight is, in the opinion of this Board of Supervisors, unfair, unjustifiable, and constitutes a serious detriment to local business; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby record itself as opposed to the aforementioned differential in freight rates; that it does hereby memorialize the Railroad Commission of the State of California and does urge that said rates be lowered and made to coincide with the rates for East Bay cities; and be it

Further Resolved, That this Board does hereby authorize and instruct the City Attorney to intervene in the case now pending before the Railroad Commission and to present such arguments as will convince the Railroad Commission of the necessity for compliance with our request.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

### **Motions.**

Supervisor Colman moved that the Board of Supervisors direct the City Attorney to file a petition with the Maritime Commission, requesting time to intervene and present our objections to proposed change of freight rates from Stockton to European destinations.

*Motion carried.*

### **Mayor to Appoint Chairman of Fourth of July Committee.**

Supervisor Uhl moved that his Honor the Mayor be requested to appoint a Chairman of the July 4th Celebration Committee.

*Motion carried.*

### **Retirement of Major General Geo. S. Simonds.**

Supervisor Brown moved that the Clerk be directed to communicate with the Mayor and inform him that Major General Geo. S. Simonds, in charge of the Presidio, is retiring on March 31, in order that some official recognition can be tendered him for his interest in and attitude toward San Francisco.

*Motion carried.*

### **Meeting Hall for Unemployed Relief Council.**

Supervisor McSheehy moved that matter of providing office and assembly hall for Labor Unions' Unemployed Relief Council of San Francisco be referred to his Honor the Mayor. Supervisor McSheehy will confer with his Honor.

*Motion carried.*

### **Meetings Announced.**

Public Utilities Committee, February 1, 4 p. m.

Health Committee, February 3, 10:15 a. m.

Fire, Safety and Police Committee, February 4, 10 a. m.

### **ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board of Supervisors at 6:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, February 7, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.









Vol. 33

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

No. 7

Monday, February 7, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 7, 1938, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, February 7, 1938,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of January 31, 1938, was considered read and approved.

## HEARING OF PROTESTS—2 P. M.

Hearing of protests of property owners and interested persons against plans, assessment and costs for the improvement of Thirty-fifth avenue, between Quintara and Rivera, and Quintara, between Thirty-fifth and Thirty-sixth avenues. The Fay Improvement Co., contractor.

The hearing was announced, and there being no protest made, the Clerk was directed to so notify the Department of Public Works.

Hearing of protests of property owners and interested persons against assessment diagram or warrant for pay of the cost of improvement of Ardenwood way, west  $\frac{1}{2}$ , between Sloat boulevard and 87 feet north, and others, by the construction or reconstruction of sidewalks. M. Bertolino, contractor.

The hearing was announced, and there being no protest made, the Clerk was directed to so notify the Department of Public Works.

## SALE OF BONDS—3 P. M.

Sealed bids for the purchase of certain bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, were received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, February 7, 1938, and opened by said Board at said time.

The bonds offered are described as follows:

\$650,000 "Airport Bonds, 1938," comprising 65 bonds of \$1,000 denomination, maturing each year 1940 to 1949, inclusive.

The said described bonds will bear interest at a rate or rates not exceeding 6 per centum per annum, as shall be named by the bidder, and bidders for said bonds shall specify in their bids the interest rate or rates desired, not exceeding 6 per centum per annum. Interest on said bonds will be payable semi-annually July 1 and January 1. Said bonds will not be sold at a price less than the par value thereof, together with accrued interest, at the rate or rates named, on said bonds to date of delivery.

The right is reserved by the Board of Supervisors to reject any and all bids.

The bonds offered are tax exempt, State and Federal.

No alternative bids will be considered by the Board of Supervisors.

All proposals for the purchase of said bonds shall be accompanied by a deposit of 5 per cent of the amount bid, in lawful money of the United States, or by a deposit of a certified check payable to J. S. Dunigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, for a like amount, provided that no deposit need exceed the sum of \$10,000, and that no deposit need be given by the State of California, which money or check shall be forfeited by the bidder in case he fails to accept and pay for the bonds bid for by him, if his bid is accepted.

The bonds will be awarded to the bidder or bidders offering to purchase the same, bearing the lowest rate or rates of interest, and if two or more bidders offer to purchase the bonds bearing the same lowest rate or rates of interest, the bonds will be awarded to the bidder offering to purchase the same at such rates of interest and in such amounts that the net interest cost to the City and County of San Francisco of the accepted bid will be the lowest net interest cost, considering the amount of interest to be paid on said bonds during the life thereof at the rates specified, and deducting any premium or premiums bid in addition.

The approval of Orrick, Dahlquist, Neff & Herrington, attorneys, San Francisco, as to the legality of these bonds will be furnished to the successful bidder without cost.

#### Bids.

The following bids were presented, opened, read, and *referred to the Finance Committee*:

1. F. S. Moseley & Co., Paine, Webber & Co., Morse Bros. & Co., Gibbons, Newlands & Hess, Brush, Slocumb & Co., by Brush, Slocumb & Co., per Waite H. Stephenson:

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$650,000 and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery, the bonds to bear interest as follows:

\$65,000 bonds due in each of the years 1940-1947, inclusive, at 2¼ per cent,

\$65,000 bonds due in each of the years 1948-1949, inclusive, at 2 per cent.

2. Bankamerica Company, Blyth & Co., Inc., American Trust Company, R. W. Pressprich & Co., by Bankamerica Company, by A. K. Brown:

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$650,141 and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery, all of said bonds to bear interest at the rate of 2 per cent, payable semi-annually.

3. W. Leonard Renick, Jr., for William R. Staats Co.; The Illinois Company; Griffith, Wagenseller & Durst:

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$652,145 and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery at the rate of 2¼ per cent.

4. Kean, Taylor Co., L. F. Rothschild & Co., Eldredge & Co., Inc., Strassburger & Co., by Strassburger & Co., Harry W. Sack, partner:

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$655,453.50 and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery, at the rate of two and one-quarter (2¼%) per cent per annum; being \$650,000 par value City and County of San Francisco (Airport Bonds, 1938) comprising 65 bonds of \$1,000 denomination, maturing each year January 1st, 1940 to 1949, inclusive.

5. Harris Trust & Savings Bank, Chicago; Chase National Bank of the City of New York; Smith, Barney and Company, New York; Northern Trust Company, Chicago, by Wells Fargo Bank & Union Trust Co. as agent for Harris Trust & Savings Bank, Geo. Colby, assistant cashier:



For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$650,063 and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery, \$260,000 par value, maturing \$65,000 par value each year 1940 through 1943, inclusive, to bear interest at the rate of two and one-quarter (2¼%) per cent per annum from date of issue to maturity; \$390,000 par value, maturing \$65,000 par value each year 1944 through 1949, inclusive, to bear interest at the rate of two (2%) per cent per annum from date of issue to maturity.

6. Lazard Freres & Co., Inc., New York; Dick & Merle-Smith, New York; Donnellan & Co., Incorporated, San Francisco, by Ross Thomson:

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$653,828.50 and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery, all bonds to bear interest at the rate of two and one-quarter (2¼%) per cent per annum.

7. Schwabacher & Co., Account Manager; Mercantile-Commerce Bank & Trust Co., St. Louis; Washburn & Co., Inc., by L. P. Sargent, Manager, Municipal Department, Schwabacher & Co.:

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$655,213 and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery, said \$650,000 par value to bear coupon of 2¼ per centum per annum.

8. R. H. Moulton & Company, Dean Witter & Co., by R. H. Moulton & Company, by V. E. Breeden:

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$654,176 and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery, all of said bonds to bear interest at the rate of 2¼ per cent.

9. Brown, Harriman & Co., Weeden & Co., by Vernon Kimball, Weeden & Co.:

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$655,007 and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery, at the rate of two and one-quarter (2¼%) per cent per annum.

10. The Anglo California National Bank, Heller, Bruce & Co., by The Anglo California National Bank, per Alger J. Jacobs:

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$653,951 and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery, said bonds to bear interest at the rate of two and one-quarter (2¼%) per cent per annum.

11. The First Boston Corporation, Bankers Trust Company, New York:

For all but not for any part of the above bonds we will pay par (\$650,000) plus a premium of \$104. Bonds to bear interest as follows: \$65,000 par value maturing January 1, 1940, to bear interest at the rate of 4 per cent per annum, and \$585,000 par value maturing January 1, 1941, to January 1, 1949, inclusive, to bear interest at the rate of 2 per cent per annum.

12. Lehman Brothers, Phelps, Fenn & Co., Stone & Youngberg, by Lehman Brothers (Account Managers):

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$650,714.35 for bonds bearing interest at the rate of 2 per cent per annum and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery.

13. The National City Bank of New York, Estabrook & Company, C. F. Childs & Company, MacKey Dunn & Company, Inc., by C. F. Childs & Company, Benj. R. Brindley, Assistant Vice-President:

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$650,207 and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery, all to bear interest at the rate of 2¼ per cent.

14. Halsey, Stuart & Co., Inc., Bancamerica-Blair Corporation, Stone & Webster and Blodget, Inc., First of Michigan Corporation, Union Bank & Trust Co. of Los Angeles, Banks, Huntley & Co., by Halsey, Stuart & Co., Inc.:

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$653,042 (Six Hundred Fifty-Three Thousand Forty-Two Dollars) and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery, all of said bonds to bear interest at the rate of two and one-quarter (2¼%) per cent per annum, payable semi-annually July 1 and January 1.

**Adopted.**

Subsequently, the Finance Committee reported and recommended the following resolution:

**Sale of \$650,000 Airport Bonds, 1938.**

(Code No. 15.051)

Resolution No. 3792, as follows:

Whereas, due notice was given as provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco that sealed proposals for the purchase of certain bonds of said City and County would be received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, February 7, 1938, and opened and considered by said Board at said time.

The bonds offered are described as follows:

\$650,000 "Airport Bonds, 1938," comprising 65 bonds of \$1,000 denomination, maturing each year 1940 to 1949, inclusive.

Whereas, sundry bids were received and opened in accordance with the aforesaid notice of sale, and the same having been duly considered; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the bid of Lehman Brothers, Phelps, Fenn & Co., Stone & Youngberg, by Lehman Bros. (Account Managers), for all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$650,714.35 for bonds bearing interest at the rate of 2 per cent per annum and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery, be and the same is hereby accepted, and said bonds are hereby struck off and sold to said Lehman Brothers, Phelps, Fenn & Co., Stone & Youngberg, by Lehman Bros. (Account Managers), in accordance with the foregoing bid.

That all other bids for said bonds be rejected and the Clerk is hereby directed to return certified checks accompanying the same.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Reilly—1.

**UNFINISHED BUSINESS.****Final Passage.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee heretofore passed for second reading were taken up:

**Appropriation of \$650,000 for Improvements at San Francisco Airport.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1507, Ordinance No. 9.051448, as follows:

Authorizing an appropriation of \$650,000 out of the 1938 Airport Bond Issue, to the credit of Appropriation No. 99.900.00, for the purpose of making the improvements at the San Francisco Airport, as provided in Ordinance No. 15.0512.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$650,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the 1938 Airport Bond Issue, to the credit of Appropriation No. 99.900.00, for the purpose of making the improvements at the San Francisco Airport, as provided in Ordinance No. 15.0512.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.



**Appropriation of \$2,297.50 for Creating Positions of One Assistant Supervisor of Disbursements, One Typist-Clerk and One Office Assistant (Controller's Office).**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1508, Ordinance No. 9.051449, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$2,297.50 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 760.101.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 760.101.00 for the purpose of creating the positions of one Assistant Supervisor of Disbursements at \$225 per month, one Typist-Clerk at \$155 per month and one Office Assistant, part-time, at \$79.50 per month, in the office of the Controller.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,297.50 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 760.101.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 760.101.00 for the purpose of creating the positions of one Assistant Supervisor of Disbursements at \$225 per month, one Typist-Clerk at \$155 per month and one Office Assistant, part-time, at \$79.50 per month, in the office of the Controller.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Amending Salary Ordinance—Controller.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1509, Ordinance No. 9.053121, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 67 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 by increasing the number of positions heretofore established under Item 20 by one position, adding Item 10½, and increasing the number of positions heretofore established under Item 45½ by one and by reducing the number of positions heretofore established under Items 3 and 25 by one in each case.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 67 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 67. CONTROLLER**

Item	No. of No. Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Controller . . . . .	\$ 833.33
2	1	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	200
3	7	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	185
4	4	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	180
5	6	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	175
6	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper . . . . .	225
7	3	B6	Senior Bookkeeper . . . . .	210
8	4	B6	Senior Bookkeeper . . . . .	200
9	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper . . . . .	190
10	1	B7	Asst. Supervisor of Disbursements . . . . .	240
10½	1	B7	Asst. Supervisor of Disbursements . . . . .	225
11	1	B8	Supervisor of Disbursements . . . . .	275
12	1	B10	Accountant . . . . .	225
13	1	B14	Senior Accountant . . . . .	325
14	2	B14	Senior Accountant . . . . .	285
15	1	B21	Chief Assistant Controller . . . . .	625
16	1	B26	Supervisor of Budget Statistics . . . . .	250
17	1	B28	Supervisor of General Audits . . . . .	400
18	1	B30	Supervisor of Utilities Audits . . . . .	400
19	1	B55	Supervisor of Pay Rolls . . . . .	325

## Section 67. CONTROLLER (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
20	2	B210	Office Assistant (part time) .....	79.50
21	3	B222	General Clerk .....	200
22	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
23	2	B222	General Clerk .....	185
24	3	B222	General Clerk .....	175
25	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	250
26	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	200
27	1	B234	Head Clerk (6 months at \$200, 6 months at \$210) .....	
28	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	300
29	2	B234	Head Clerk .....	225
30	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	240
30½	1	B237	Tax Redemption Clerk .....	200
31	1	B301	Pay Roll Machine Operator .....	190
32	3	B301	Pay Roll Machine Operator .....	175
33	3	B301	Pay Roll Machine Operator .....	165
34	2	B302	Addressing Machine Operator .....	155
35	1	B310b	Tabulating Numerical Key Punch Operator .....	175
36	1	B310b	Tabulating Numerical Key Punch Operator .....	155
37	1	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator .....	175
38	3	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator .....	165
39	1	B312	Senior Bookkeeping Machine Operator ..	185
40	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
41	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
42	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
43	1	B417	Executive Secretary to the Controller ..	250
44	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator .....	155
44½	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator (part time) .....	75
45	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
45½	3	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	155
46	1	K6	Senior Attorney—Civil .....	400
47			Seasonal Clerical Services at rates fixed in Salary Standardization Report.	
48			Field Bookkeepers or Accountants (Construction Work outside S. F.) (as needed) at rates fixed in Salary Standardization Report.	

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Bonding Positions in Public Welfare Department.

(Code No. 4.04)

Also, Bill No. 1510, Ordinance No. 4.044, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 4.042 by adding a new section to be numbered 36½, providing for the bonding of certain employments in the Public Welfare Department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A new section to be numbered 36½ is hereby added to Ordinance No. 4.042 to read as follows:

### Section 36½. PUBLIC WELFARE DEPARTMENT.

Director of Public Welfare.....\$25,000  
 Director, Indigent Relief Division..... 10,000



Director, County Welfare Division.....	10,000
Bookkeeper .....	1,000
Senior Clerk .....	1,000

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Appropriation of \$3,254.33, Extra Work, Potrero District Extension  
—High Pressure System.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1511, Ordinance No. 9.051450, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$3,254.33 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 96.940.11 to the credit of Appropriation No. 96.940.01 for the purpose of paying for extra work in excess of 10 per cent of the certified estimated contract price in connection with the installation of Section "F", Potrero District Extension, High Pressure System, P. W. A. Docket 1531-14E.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$3,254.33 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 96.940.11 to the credit of Appropriation No. 96.940.01 for the purpose of paying for extra work in excess of 10 per cent of the certified estimated contract price in connection with the installation of Section "F", Potrero District Extension, High Pressure System, P. W. A. Docket 1531-14E.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Golden Gate International Exposition Building Ordinance.**

(Code No. 5.95)

On recommendation of Committee on Exposition Affairs and Commercial Development.

Bill No. 1483, Ordinance No. 5.951, as follows:

An ordinance to be known as the "Golden Gate International Exposition Building Ordinance" governing the erection, use, repair, demolition and control of buildings and structures, and the installation, use, repair and control of plumbing, sewerage, water, gas, steam, and other pipes, and the installation, use, repair and control of electric light, power and other wires, conduits and other apparatus, and the erection and maintenance of steam boilers, steam, gas and other engines and other apparatus and governing other matters of building construction or repair, necessary, proper or expedient for the safety, health, convenience and welfare of the public within that portion of the City and County of San Francisco located on Yerba Buena shoals now in course of reclamation selected as the site of the Golden Gate International Exposition and now held under a lease heretofore granted to the San Francisco Bay Exposition, a non-profit corporation by the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. This ordinance shall be known as the "Golden Gate International Exposition Building Ordinance" of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. This ordinance shall apply to and govern the erection, use, alteration, repair, demolition and control of buildings and structures of every nature and description; the installation, use, repair and control of plumbing, water, gas, steam, sewerage and other pipes and other instrumentalities; the installation, use, repair and control

of electric light, power and other wires, conduits and apparatus; the erection and maintenance of steam boilers, steam, gas and other engines and other apparatus; and governing any and every other matter of building construction or repair necessary, proper or expedient for the safety, health, convenience and welfare of the public, within that portion of the City and County of San Francisco located on Yerba Buena shoals now in course of reclamation, selected as the site of the Golden Gate International Exposition, hereinafter referred to as the "Exposition", and now held under lease by the San Francisco Bay Exposition, a non-profit corporation, hereinafter referred to as the "Exposition Company," which is sponsoring said Exposition, the said lease having been made by the City and County of San Francisco as lessor and said Exposition Company as lessee under date of August 22, 1936, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.173544, Bill No. 1064, of the City and County of San Francisco, approved August 4, 1936.

Section 3. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby declares that this ordinance is necessary in the public interest. It hereby finds that the site of said Exposition in, on and to which this ordinance will apply is a portion of the City and County of San Francisco separated from the mainland thereof and entirely surrounded by the waters of the Bay of San Francisco except as it is connected with Yerba Buena Island by a Causeway approximately three hundred (300) yards in length; that said site is now being improved by the City and County of San Francisco out of funds supplied by the Federal Government and said Exposition Company with certain buildings, structures and other improvements of a permanent character now in course of construction intended for municipal use in the operation of a public municipal airport on said site after the close of said Exposition; that said site is now being improved and will be further improved by or for said Exposition Company with certain buildings, structures and other improvements of a temporary character for the use of said Exposition in the conduct of said Exposition, which by the terms of said lease are to be demolished and removed by the Exposition Company following the close of said Exposition and on or before the 31st day of December, 1940; that by reason of the location and surrounding of said site and by reason of the temporary character of the buildings, structures and improvements to be placed thereon and subsequently removed by the Exposition Company, this site and the temporary use and improvement thereof for Exposition purposes present problems which differ in character from those concerned with the mainland and which can be adequately provided for in the interest of public safety, health, convenience and welfare by standards of construction, maintenance and operation different from those prevailing on the mainland and more suitable to the intended temporary use of said site for Exposition purposes; and that the Exposition Company has issued and published certain "Rules and Regulations for Grounds and Buildings," approved by it on May 11, 1937, duly certified copies of which have been transmitted to and filed with the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County, which "Rules and Regulations for Grounds and Buildings" prescribe certain and definite standards for works of construction on said site, and for the maintenance and operation thereof, which, if duly observed, will provide for safe and sanitary buildings and structures and for proper protection against fire hazard and will adequately provide for the public safety, health, convenience and welfare.

Section 4. (a) The Exposition Company may erect, use, alter, demolish and control buildings and structures of every nature and description, including therein all provisions for plumbing, water, gas, steam, sewerage and other pipes and instrumentalities, and electric light, power and other wires and conduits in or used in connection with any building or structures erected, used, altered or controlled under the provisions hereof, on the condition that electrical distribu-



tion system, wiring, installation, apparatus and electrical equipment shall be subject to the inspection and approval of the Chief of the Department of Electricity of the City and County of San Francisco, and on condition that the plans and specifications for the erection of any such building or structure which said plans and specifications shall show the provisions made for the installation of plumbing, pipes and appliances and the scheme of electric wiring shall first be submitted to and approved in writing by the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety before works of construction thereunder shall be commenced and provided that any plans and specifications for alterations and repairs to any such building or structure estimated to exceed one thousand dollars (\$1,000) in cost shall likewise be so submitted and approved before such alterations or repairs are commenced.

(b) During or after the erection of any building or structure under the provisions hereof, the same or any portion thereof, may be altered, used or demolished by said Exposition Company, and it may erect, use, maintain and remove booths of every description, railings, cases and shelving for exhibitors, and may install in any such building, structure or improvement any plumbing, water, gas, steam, sewerage and other pipes and instrumentalities, electric light, power and other wires and conduits, steam boilers, steam, gas and other engines and apparatus subject to inspection and approval as hereinbefore provided in subparagraph (a) of this section.

(c) The Exposition Company may install, use and control any and all plumbing, water, gas, steam, sewerage and other pipes and instrumentalities which it shall determine to be necessary, proper or expedient for the safety, convenience and welfare of the public within the site of said Exposition.

(d) The Exposition Company may install, use and control all electric light, power and other wires, conduits, generating, transmitting and other apparatus which it shall deem to be necessary, proper or expedient for the safety, convenience and welfare of the public within the said site subject to inspection and approval as hereinbefore provided in subparagraph (a) of this section.

(e) The Exposition Company may erect, maintain and use all boilers, steam, gas and other engines and apparatus which it shall deem to be necessary, proper or expedient for the safety, convenience and welfare of the public within the said site.

(f) The Exposition Company may erect such buildings and install such appliances for the storage and use of and may store and use such crude oil, gasoline and similar substances, as it shall determine to be necessary, proper or expedient for the safety, convenience and welfare of the public within the said site.

(g) The Exposition Company may establish, maintain and use such emergency hospitals and make such provision for the sanitation of that portion of the City and County of San Francisco within the said site as it shall determine to be necessary, proper or expedient for the safety, convenience and welfare of the public within the said site, provided that in matters affecting the public health the Exposition Company shall cooperate with and be subject to the inspection and approval of the health officer of the City and County of San Francisco.

(h) The Exposition Company may erect, maintain and operate such places of amusement, including theatres and moving picture shows, as it shall determine to be necessary, proper or expedient for the safety, convenience and welfare of the public within the said site.

(i) The Exposition Company may maintain and operate concessions for restaurants, sales of curios, pictures and any and all classes and kinds of goods, wares and merchandise.

Section 5. All work hereinabove in Section 4 of this ordinance provided for may be done by, and all privileges therein given to the Exposition Company shall inure to the benefit of, the employees, contractors and licensees of the Exposition Company, provided that no general powers or privileges therein given to the Exposition Company

shall be delegated by it, and that it shall not by its own act be relieved of any of its obligations hereunder, and provided, further, that all of the work to be done and all of the privileges to be exercised hereunder shall be in accordance with said "Rules and Regulations for Grounds and Buildings."

Section 6. The Exposition Company shall save free and harmless the said City and County of San Francisco and its officers and employees of and from the claims and demands of any and all persons for injuries or damages of every nature and description, claimed or growing out of any of the work or things for which provision is herein made. And the City and County of San Francisco, in recognizing the existence of the Exposition Company and any rights or authority granted hereunder to it does not assume any responsibility or obligation for any claim or demand of any person for injuries or damages of any nature or description growing out of any of the work or things for which provision is herein made.

Section 7. Save and except as herein provided, no permit or license shall be required for the doing of any of the work or things herein provided for by said Exposition Company, its employees, contractors or licensees, and no charge or fee shall be made against or required or demanded from the said Exposition Company, its employees, contractors or licensees, for the doing of any of the work or things herein provided for, except that the Exposition Company shall pay to the City and County of San Francisco the direct cost of electrical inspection within the limits of the site of the Exposition, provided, however, that all electrical or fixture work on the Exposition grounds or in, or about, any building or structure thereon, shall be subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 11.114, approved August 18, 1936, and all contractors or other persons doing or performing any such work shall be registered in accordance with the provisions of said ordinance and pay the registration fees therein provided.

Section 8. All ordinances and regulations or parts thereof in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance shall, so long as the provisions of this ordinance are in full force and effect, be of no force and effect in that portion of the City and County of San Francisco located on Yerba Buena Shoals, now in course of reclamation, selected as the site of said Exposition, and now let and demised to said Exposition Company under and by authority of the lease thereof, hereinabove described, or with regard to any works of construction or repair or any installations on said site or the maintenance, operation or control thereof, but with regard to all such matters the provisions of this ordinance shall alone be in force and effect during the effective period of this ordinance.

Section 9. The provisions of this ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after the effective date hereof until January 1, 1941.

Section 10. If any section, sentence, clause or part of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or in conflict with or violative of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, such decision shall not affect the remaining portions of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each section, sentence, clause and part thereof despite the fact that one or more sections, sentences, clauses or parts thereof be declared unconstitutional or in conflict with or violative of said Charter.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Action Deferred.

The following matter, from Public Utilities Committee without recommendation, was *laid over one week*:



**Abandonment of Street Car Service on Twentieth Avenue.**

(Code No. 19.091)

Resolution No. 3762, as follows:

Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company be and is hereby directed to discontinue street railway service on Twentieth avenue, between Irving street and Wawona street.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

**Cancellation Tax Sales and Assessments.**

(Code Nos. 9.0411 and 9.0412)

Resolution No. 3777, as follows:

Whereas, the Tax Collector has reported that certain property was sold to the State for unpaid taxes.

The following property now recorded in the name of the City and County of San Francisco was sold to the State for delinquent taxes. Being City property, these sales should be cancelled:

Lot	Block	Assessed to	Portion	Sale Year	Tax	Del. Pen.	Cost
10A	2518	M. Eiben .....	1538	1935	\$ 8.10	\$0.58	
				1936	8.32		
				1937	8.52		
22	2414	Cal. Pac. Title & Trust Co.	1312	1936	42.76	1.28	\$0.50
			Portion				
7A	3208A	T. Cerruti .....	1741	1936	10.78	.32	

The following properties were sold to the State for delinquent taxes. This was in error, the taxes having been paid, but not credited:

Lot	Block	Assessed to	Sale	1936 Tax	Del. Pen.	Cost
12A	180	G. Ghiotto, et al .....	57	\$68.68	\$2.06	\$0.50
43	3118	P. and N. Hallisy .....	1672	44.84	1.35	.50
21	3106	P. and N. Hallisy .....	1667	15.89	.48	.50

Therefore, Be It Resolved, That the Controller be directed to cancel the foregoing sales and assessments in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Refund Erroneous Payment of Taxes.**

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 3778, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amount be and is hereby authorized to be paid to the following named, being refund of erroneous payment of taxes:

*From General Fund, Appropriation No. 60.969.00.*

Northern Counties Title Insurance Company, Lot 5, Block

2750 .....\$53.63

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Land Purchase—Stanley Street Parkway.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3779, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Paul Frances, Lot 33, Assessor's Block 7135, situated in the City and

County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Stanley Street Parkway as an approach to Alemany boulevard, for the sum of \$300, payable from Appropriation No. 83.919.17.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### Land Acquisition—Nineteenth Avenue.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3780, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain parcels of land situated in San Francisco, California, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, and that the sums set forth below be paid for said property from Appropriation No. 951.908.57, Project No. 5-A:

Nellie V. Wilson, portion of Lot 1-C, Assessor's Block 1834 .....	\$3,200
Wm. H. Wholey, et ux., portions of Lots 40 and 41, Assessor's Block 1835 .....	2,181

The above sums include damages in full to improvements now located on the property, said improvements to be relocated by the grantors within thirty days after recording the deeds to the City.

Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above-named parties for particular descriptions of said parcels of land.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### Land Purchase—Portola Drive Widening.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3781, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Fat Boy Barbecue Cabins, Ltd., to Lot 2, Assessor's Block 2848, San Francisco, required for the widening of Portola drive, and that the sum of \$6,910 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 777.934.17.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### Land Purchase—Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct, Oakdale Supply Yard.

(Code No. 12.17151)

Also, Resolution No. 3782, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Security Title Insurance and Guarantee Company of Modesto



to the west  $\frac{1}{2}$  of Lots 9 and 11, the west  $\frac{3}{4}$  of Lot 13 and all of Lot 15, lying between First and Second avenues, in Oakdale, California, required for the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct, and that the sum of \$500 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 92.500.18.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **Authorizing Sale of O'Farrell Street Police Station Building.**

(Code No. 12.1729)

Also, Resolution No. 3783, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Police Department, that the Director of Property be and is hereby authorized to sell at public auction the former Western Addition Police Station building, located on the south side of O'Farrell street, between Divisadero and Broderick streets, San Francisco.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale to be executed by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **Memorializing Congress to Favorably Consider H. R. 7186 (Steagall Bill).**

(Code No. 5.2)

Also, Resolution No. 3784, as follows:

Whereas, there is pending before the Congress of the United States H. R. 7186 (Steagall Bill), which will remove conflict between Federal and State laws regarding payment of interest upon public money on deposit with member banks of the Federal Reserve; and

Whereas, it is of the interest of all counties that the Steagall Bill be enacted, as it has been favorably recommended by the House Committee on Banking and Currency; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby respectfully urge upon the Senators and Representatives in Congress to give favorable consideration to the Steagall Bill H. R. 7168, and enable its enactment so that interest on public moneys on deposits may be paid, thereby benefiting taxpayers.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

#### **Membership in League of California Municipalities.**

(Code No. 5.39)

Bill No. 1513, Ordinance No. 5.391, as follows:

Authorizing the continuance of the membership of the City and County of San Francisco in the League of California Municipalities and providing for the payment of the expense thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It will be for the interest and benefit of the City and County of San Francisco that said City and County continue its membership in the League of California Municipalities.

Section 2. The Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to continue the membership of the City and County of San Francisco in the said League of California Municipalities.

Section 3. That the annual expense of said membership of the City and County of San Francisco in said League of California Municipalities be paid out of such funds as may be annually appropriated for said purpose.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **Adopted.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

#### **Approval of Supplemental Recommendations, Public Welfare Department, for February, 1938.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Resolution No. 3785, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Public Welfare Department, containing the additional names of persons and amounts to be paid as Old Age Security, Blind Pensions and Widows' Pensions for the month of February, 1938, and also denials are hereby approved, and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is directed to transmit this approval to the Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **Re-referred.**

The following bill was *re-referred to the Finance Committee:*

#### **Meat Inspection Ordinance.**

(Code No. 17.04)

Bill No. 1512, Ordinance No. 17.048, as follows:

Providing for the inspection of meat and meat food products sold or delivered or offered for sale or delivery within the City and County of San Francisco; authorizing the Director of Public Health to adopt, promulgate and enforce regulations governing such inspection; providing for the payment and collection of fees to defray the cost of such inspection; defining certain terms used in this ordinance; and providing penalties for the violation of this ordinance; and repealing certain ordinances in conflict herewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. *Definitions.* "Department" as used in this ordinance shall mean the Department of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco.

"The Director" as used in this ordinance shall mean the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco.

The term "meat" as used in this ordinance shall mean the edible part of the carcass of any cattle, calf, sheep, lamb, goat or swine which is not manufactured, cured, smoked, processed or otherwise treated.

"Meat food products" as used in this ordinance shall mean any article of food, or any article which enters into the composition of food for human consumption which is derived or prepared in whole or in part from any portion of the carcass of any of the animals mentioned in Section 2 of this ordinance, if such portion is all, or a considerable and definite portion of the article, except such articles as meat juices or meat



extracts which are only for medical purposes and are advertised only to the medical profession.

"Federal inspection" as used in this ordinance shall mean any service for the inspection of meat and meat food products maintained by the Government of the United States.

"State inspection" as used in this ordinance shall mean any service for the inspection of meat and meat food products maintained by the State of California.

"Local inspection" as used in this ordinance shall mean any service for the inspection of meat and meat food products maintained by the City and County of San Francisco under approval of the Department of Agriculture of the State of California.

"Other approved inspection service" as used in this ordinance shall mean any meat and meat food products inspection service maintained by any city or county, which said service has been approved and continues to be approved by the Department of Agriculture of the State of California.

Section 2. *Meat Inspection Brands.* No person, firm or corporation shall expose for sale or offer for sale, or sell or otherwise dispose of, or have in his possession, in the City and County of San Francisco, any meat of any cattle, calf, sheep, lamb, goat or swine or any meat food products thereof, which does not have thereon the inspection mark or brand and stamp of approval of either the federal inspection, state inspection, local inspection or other approved inspection service. If any carcass of any animal heretofore named or meat food products are kept, or offered for sale, or exposed within the City and County of San Francisco which does not bear one of the aforesaid stamps or brands, said Department shall take possession of and destroy said meat or meat food products.

Section 3. *No Meat Without Inspection to Be Shipped.* No person, firm or corporation shall ship, send, bring or cause to be brought into the City and County of San Francisco, the meat of any cattle, sheep, lamb, goat or swine, or any meat food products thereof, which does not bear the meat inspection brand or other mark of identification recognized by the Department, and/or the Department of Agriculture of the State of California.

Section 4. *Calves.* The carcasses of calves in good healthy condition and weighing more than 55 pounds for smaller breeds or 65 pounds for larger breeds, exclusive of head, heart, lungs and liver, may be brought into the City and County of San Francisco, and each of said carcasses of such calves must be inspected and stamped and marked by the Department at the point of arrival of said carcasses of such calves in the City and County of San Francisco, or at their first place of rest.

Section 5. *Unsound, Unhealthful, etc., Meats.* All meats or meat food products which are unsound, unhealthful, unwholesome or otherwise unfit for food, shall be stamped or otherwise marked by the Department "San Francisco Department of Public Health Inspected and Condemned" and shall be destroyed or otherwise disposed of as provided by rule of the Department.

Section 6. *Reinspection.* All meats or meat food products sold or offered for sale in the City and County of San Francisco shall be subject to reinspection and condemnation by the Department.

Section 7. *Unlawful to Forge, Alter, etc., Brands.* It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to forge, counterfeit, simulate or falsely represent, or without proper authority to use or detach or wrongfully alter, deface or destroy any of the stamps or marks or brands recognized by the Department, on any cattle, calf, sheep, lamb, goat or swine, or any meat food products thereof, or any carcass, or any part or parts of any carcass or carcasses named in Section 2 of this ordinance, except that the processor thereof may remove or destroy any stamp or mark before said carcass or portion thereof is processed, or

any retail butcher may destroy said stamp or mark before any portion of said carcass is delivered to the ultimate consumer thereof.

Section 8. *Authority to Make Regulations.* The Department is authorized to adopt, promulgate and enforce such rules and regulations regarding the slaughter houses and places where meat food products are manufactured, as well as such rules and regulations relative to the inspection of meats and meat food products, as will enable the Department to enforce and carry out the meaning and intent of this ordinance, and to maintain the standard of meat inspection of the Department of Agriculture of the State of California.

Section 9. *State Laws.* All of the provisions of the Agricultural Code of the State of California, as well as the rules and regulations made under authority of said code, regarding the inspection and examination of any of the animals mentioned in Section 2 of this ordinance, as well as regarding the killing of said animals and the inspection, keeping and handling of the meat of said animals, and meat food products thereof, except in so far as the same are changed or modified by this or other ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco, or by rules made under authority of said ordinances, shall apply to the inspection and examination and killing of said animals mentioned in said Section 2 and to the inspection, keeping and handling of the meat of said animals.

Section 10. *Fees and Charges.* For the purpose of meeting and providing for the cost of the inspection and examinations provided for in this ordinance, the following fees shall be paid to the Tax Collector of the City and County:

(a) All persons, firms and corporations killing, dressing and making ready for market in the City and County of San Francisco any of the animals mentioned in Section 2 of this ordinance shall pay the sum of one (1c) cent per each one hundred pounds of the meat of said animals as weighed after said animals have been killed and dressed; provided, that the minimum monthly sum paid shall be not less than ten (\$10) dollars.

(b) Any person, firm or corporation in the City and County of San Francisco manufacturing and offering for sale any meat food products shall pay an annual inspection fee of fifty (\$50) dollars per year, payable semi-annually, in advance, and, in addition to the said annual inspection fee, shall pay the following amounts based upon the amount of meat food products manufactured per month, namely:

<i>Exceeding</i>	<i>But Not Exceeding</i>	
5,000 lbs.	10,000 lbs. per month.....	\$ 2.08 per month
10,000 "	20,000 " " " .....	4.17 " "
20,000 "	30,000 " " " .....	6.25 " "
30,000 "	40,000 " " " .....	8.33 " "
40,000 "	50,000 " " " .....	10.42 " "
50,000 "	60,000 " " " .....	12.50 " "
60,000 "	70,000 " " " .....	14.58 " "
70,000 "	80,000 " " " .....	16.67 " "
80,000 "	90,000 " " " .....	18.75 " "
90,000 "	100,000 " " " .....	20.83 " "

Any person, firm or corporation in the City and County of San Francisco engaged in the business of a retail meat dealer and who corns meat which has thereon the inspection mark or brand and stamp of approval of either the federal inspection, state inspection, local inspection or other approved inspection service, and which corning of meat is an incident to their regular retail fresh meat business, shall be exempt from the payment of the fees provided for manufacturers of meat food products as set forth in sub-section (b) of Section 10 of this ordinance in so far as they relate to the corning of such meat.

All of said persons, firms or corporations mentioned in Subdivisions (a) and (b) of this section shall keep full, true and correct books of account showing in pounds the amount of meat killed or dressed, as well



as the amount of meat food products manufactured, and each of said persons shall on or before the 10th day of each calendar month file with the Department and with the Tax Collector a verified statement showing in pounds the amount of meat killed or dressed, or the amount of meat food products manufactured during the preceding calendar month, and shall thereupon pay to said Tax Collector the amount due for the inspection of the same, as in this section provided.

The books of account mentioned herein shall at all times be opened to the inspection of the Department or of the Controller or his representatives.

The Controller shall provide for the method of billing, collecting and accounting for all amounts to become due under this ordinance.

Section 11. All persons, firms or corporations selling, or offering for sale, any meat, or meat food products from any vehicle, wagon, truck, cart or automobile, shall keep said vehicle, wagon, truck, cart or automobile in a clean and sanitary condition, and the same shall be subject to inspection by the Department.

Any person, firm or corporation, without a fixed or established place of business within the City and County of San Francisco engaging in the business of selling, or offering for sale, any meat or meat food products from any vehicle, wagon, truck, cart or automobile, shall first obtain a permit from the Department, and shall pay an annual inspection fee of fifty dollars (\$50), payable quarterly in advance for each such vehicle.

Each such vehicle shall have printed conspicuously on both sides the firm name, address and Department permit number of the vehicle in letters and figures not less than three (3) inches in height.

Every person, firm, or corporation paying the fees provided for in this section shall be exempt from the provisions of Bill No. 141, Ordinance No. 3.04112, covering the same classification.

Section 12. *Penalties.* Any person, firm or corporation, or their agents, violating any of the provisions of this ordinance, or failing to comply with any direction or order of the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco, given pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance, or any agent of said Director, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction shall be punished by a fine not less than fifty (\$50) dollars, nor more than five hundred (\$500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of not less than ten (10) days nor more than three (3) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment; and any violation of the provisions of this ordinance shall subject the violator thereof to revocation of any and all permits held.

Each day that the violation of this ordinance or the failure to comply with the directions of the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco, given in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance, shall continue, shall constitute a new and separate offense and shall be punishable accordingly as herein provided.

Section 13. *Repeals and Exemptions.* Ordinance No. 1265 (New Series), and Section 67, Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), are hereby repealed, and any person, firm or corporation paying the fees provided in this ordinance shall be exempt from the payment of the fees provided for in Section 1, Ordinance No. 3.0412.

Section 14. Neither the adoption of this ordinance nor the repeal hereby of any ordinance of the City and County of San Francisco, or any portion of any such ordinance or ordinances shall in any manner affect the prosecution for the violation of the provisions of any such ordinance or ordinances committed prior to the effective date of this ordinance, and the adoption of this ordinance shall not constitute a waiver of any of the fees or money or penalties thereon required to be paid under the provisions of any other ordinance unpaid at the effective date of this ordinance.

Section 15. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each section, sub-section, subdivision,

sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, sub-sections, subdivisions, sentences, clauses or phrases is declared unconstitutional or invalid for any reason.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of Streets Committee were taken up:

**Changing Sidewalk Widths on Athens Street Between Avalon and Excelsior Avenues.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Bill No. 1514, Ordinance No. 12.0731116, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Two Hundred and Eighty-six (286) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office January 21, 1938, by amending Section Two Hundred and Eighty-six (286) thereof to read as follows:

Section 286. The width of sidewalks on Athens street, the easterly side of, between Madison street and Avalon avenue, shall be 29.5 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Athens street, the westerly side of, between Madison street and Avalon avenue, shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Athens street, between Avalon and Excelsior avenues, shall be as shown on that certain map, titled map showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of walks on Athens street, between Avalon and Excelsior avenues.

The width of sidewalks on Athens street, between Avalon and Amason avenues, shall be 15 feet.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Amending the So-Called Bayshore Franchise to the Southern Pacific Company.**

(Code No. 15.095)

Also, Bill No. 1515, Ordinance No. 15.0951, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Resolution No. 2902, adopted by this Board on November 16, 1936, the Southern Pacific Company, a corporation, and the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, entered into a written agreement providing among other things for the widening of a portion of Williams avenue and for the closing of certain streets in exchange for other lands required for street purposes; and

Whereas, on December 20, 1937, this Board adopted Resolution No. 3697, closing said streets subject to the terms and conditions set forth in the above mentioned agreement; and

Whereas, said agreement requires that the City release the Southern Pacific Company from certain obligations under the so-called Bayshore Franchise (Ordinance No. 1095, approved January 7, 1904), and the City agreed to accept the new bridge at Williams avenue as complete satisfaction of said franchise obligations; and

Whereas, the closing of the above mentioned streets will not interfere with railroad or other service to the public; now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Southern Pacific Company is hereby released from its obligations under Section 2 of Ordinance No. 1095, approved by the Mayor of San Francisco on January 7, 1904, to construct and main-



tain overhead crossings and/or crossings of any description at Yosemite avenue and Carroll avenue as then or now existing, and said company is hereby released from any franchise obligations that may have been imposed upon it over the street areas closed by Resolution No. 3697, adopted by this Board on December 20, 1937. The City and County of San Francisco hereby accepts the new bridge at Williams avenue as complete satisfaction of said franchise obligations.

Section 2. The provisions of this ordinance shall not become effective until the necessary deeds referred to in said Resolution No. 3697 have been executed and recorded.

*Approved by J. J. Phillips, Director of Property.*

*Approved as to form by Dion Holm, Assistant City Attorney.*

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Adopted.

The following recommendations of the Streets Committee were taken up:

#### Approving Map Showing the Widening of Mendosa Avenue at Tenth Avenue.

(Code No. 12.0821)

Resolution No. 3786, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain diagram entitled "Map Showing the Widening of Mendosa Avenue at Tenth Avenue," approved the 19th day of January by Director of Public Works Order No. 7616, be and is hereby approved.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### Approving Map Showing Grade Change on Army Street and Declaring Intention to Change and Establish Grades in Accordance Therewith.

(Code No. 12.0821)

Also, Resolution No. 3787, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain diagram entitled "Grade Map Showing the Proposed Change and Establishment of Official Grades on Army Street Between Diamond Street and a Point 280 Feet East of Castro Street; on Castro Street Between the North Line of Army Street and a Line 114 Feet South of Duncan Street; on Twenty-seventh Street Between Diamond and Noe Streets; on Duncan Street between Diamond Street and a Point 100 Feet East of Castro Street, and on Newburg Street Between Twenty-seventh Street and Duncan Streets," approved the 19th day of January, 1938, by Director of Public Works Order No. 7621, be and is hereby approved; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on Army street between Diamond street and a point 280 feet east of Castro street; on Castro street between the north line of Army street and a line 114 feet south of Duncan street; on Twenty-seventh street between Diamond and Noe streets; on Duncan street between Diamond street and a point 100 feet east of Castro street, and on Newburg street between Twenty-seventh street and Duncan street at the points specified and at the elevations above City base as shown upon said map.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades.

The Director of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the streets upon which such change or modi-

fication of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this Resolution of Intention.

These proceedings are instituted in accordance with the "Change of Grade Act of 1909." The "San Francisco News" is hereby designated as the newspaper in which this resolution shall be published.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Approving Supplemental Project Statements, State Highways  
Within the City and County.**

(Code No. 12.111)

Also, Resolution No. 3788, as follows:

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby approve a supplemental project statement to the Memorandum of Agreement entered into between the City and County of San Francisco for expenditures covering the biennium 1937-1939, on September 13, 1937, and the State Department of Public Works on September 23, 1937, covering portions of the State Highway System within the City and County of San Francisco by which in its regular order moneys allocated by the State out of the  $\frac{1}{4}$ c Gas Tax State Highway Improvement Fund shall be expended:

No. 1—Nineteenth avenue, Sloat boulevard to Lincoln way, additional rights of way .....	\$50,000
No. 2—Safety Island on Alemany boulevard and Bay-shore boulevard intersection .....	2,400
No. 3—Safety Island, Bay Bridge approach at Fifth street .....	1,000
No. 4—Underpass extension on State Highway Route 55, Skyline boulevard .....	14,000
No. 5—Traffic stripes on State Highway Routes 2, 68 and 55 .....	10,000
	<hr/>
	\$77,400

It Is Further Resolved, That the Chief Administrative Officer be and is hereby authorized and requested to execute the foregoing project statement for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco and transmit it to the District Engineer of the State Department of Public Works.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Designating Certain Additional Streets of Major Importance.**

(Code No. 5.31)

Resolution No. 3789, as follows:

Resolved, That the following additional streets be and are hereby designated as streets of major importance upon which moneys accruing to the credit of the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund shall be expended:

Bryant street, Tenth street to Eleventh street.  
El Camino del Mar, The Presidio to Point Lobos avenue.  
Evans avenue, Army street to Third street.  
Franklin street, Market street to Grove street.  
Franklin street, McAllister street to Bay street.  
Monterey boulevard, San Jose avenue to Santa Clara avenue.  
Persia avenue, Alemany boulevard to Visitacion avenue extended.  
Post street, Market street to Polk street.  
Santa Clara avenue, Monterey boulevard to Portola drive.



Santa Rosa avenue, Congo street and Flood avenue to Alemany boulevard.

Scott street, Lombard street to Marina boulevard.

Sixth street extension, Sixteenth street to Third street.

Sunset boulevard, Sloat boulevard through Golden Gate Park to Fulton street.

Trumbull street, Mission street to Alemany boulevard.

Vicente street, Portola drive to Nineteenth avenue.

Visitacion avenue, Persia avenue extended to Bay Shore boulevard.

Webster street extension from Duboce avenue and Webster street to Market street opposite Dolores street.

Further Resolved, That copy of this resolution be sent to the State Department of Public Works, State Building, Civic Center, San Francisco, California, and to Col. John H. Skeggs, Division Engineer, State Department of Public Works.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisor Reilly—1.

#### Explanation of Vote.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I am going to vote No in reference to No. 24 on the Calendar, making commitments for the expenditure of certain sums of money for this coming fiscal year for the following reasons:

No. 1. That it is illegal for us to make expenditures of moneys before we receive them;

No. 2. That it is poor business for any representative body of men to recommend the expenditure of a huge sum of money, some \$25,000 additional street improvements, without a financial statement as to the cost of same.

I wish the record to show and these words incorporated in the record and made a part of the record.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendations of the Streets Committee were taken up:

#### Ordering the Improvement of Wherenots on Brewster Street and at Other Locations.

(Code No. 12.0611)

Bill No. 1516, Ordinance No. 12.061193, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 15, 1938, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the following listed streets, where not already improved:

Brewster street, between Costa street and Faith street;  
 Flournoy street, between DeLong street and Rhine street;  
 France avenue, between Athens street and Moscow street;  
 Moscow street, between Brazil avenue and Excelsior avenue;  
 Moultrie street, between Crescent avenue and southerly termination;  
 Redondo street, between Ingerson avenue and Jamestown avenue;  
 Rhode Island street, between Twenty-fifth street and Twenty-sixth street;  
 Rivera street, between Thirty-third avenue and Thirty-fourth avenue;  
 Sawyer street, between Visitacion avenue and Leland avenue;  
 Venus street, between Thornton avenue and Williams avenue;

by the construction of the following:

Item No.	Item.
1.	Asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch Class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.
2.	6-inch Class "E" concrete pavement.
3.	2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.
4.	Armored concrete curb.
5.	Unarmored concrete curb.
6.	6-inch vitrified clay pipe side sewers.
7.	Vertical fibre brick pavement on 6-inch Class "F" concrete base.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as: Lots 17, 18 and 19 of Block 2184; Lots 10 and 11 of Block 4282A; Lot 12 of Block 4971; Lot 26 of Block 5406; Lots 9 and 12 of Block 5556; Lot 39 of Block 5811; Lot 1 of Block 6022; Lot 10 of Block 6258; Lot 24 of Block 6289; and Lots 29 and 30 of Block 7166; all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above mentioned improvement.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Changing Grades, San Bruno Avenue Between Bay Shore and Alemany Boulevards.**

(Code No. 12.0722)

Also, Bill No. 1517, Ordinance No. 12.072232, as follows:

Changing and re-establishing the official grades on San Bruno avenue between Bay Shore and Alemany boulevards.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 29th day of November, 1937, by Resolution No. 3664 declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on San Bruno avenue between Bay Shore and Alemany boulevards;

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Director of Public Works within ten days after the first publication



of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days have elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

***San Bruno Avenue:***

***Feet***

On a line at right angles to the northwesterly line of, 68.46 feet northeasterly from Hilton street easterly line.....	9.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
On a line at right angles to the northwesterly line of, at Hilton street easterly line .....	9.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Northwesterly curb line of, 243.29 feet northeasterly from Tompkins avenue (measured along the curb line).....	9.00
Easterly curb line of, at Dickinson street.....	9.00
Westerly curb line of, 113.39 feet northerly from Tompkins avenue (measured along the curb line).....	10.00
Westerly curb line of, 83 feet northerly from Alemany boulevard .....	10.00
Northerly end of the easterly curb return to Alemany boulevard .....	9.00
Northerly end of the westerly curb return to Alemany boulevard .....	9.00
Southerly end of the westerly curb return to Alemany boulevard .....	9.00
Southerly end of the easterly curb return to Alemany boulevard .....	9.00
8 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 69.47 feet southerly from Alemany boulevard .....	9.00
8 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 1.12 feet southerly from Perasto street .....	9.00
On a line at right angles to the center line of, 140 feet northerly from the first angle northerly from Rickard street .....	10.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	

***Jarboe Avenue:***

San Bruno avenue northwesterly line.....	9.00
On a line at right angles to the southerly line of, at San Bruno avenue northwesterly line.....	9.00
Holladay avenue northeasterly corner.....	52.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Holladay avenue southeasterly corner.....	47.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	

***Hilton Street:***

Easterly line of, at San Bruno avenue northwesterly line... (The same being the present official grade.)	9.00
Westerly line of, 47.83 feet northerly from San Bruno avenue .....	9.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Westerly line of, at San Bruno avenue northwesterly line...	9.00

***Holladay Avenue:***

Jarboe avenue, southwest corner .....	52.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Jarboe avenue, southeast corner .....	47.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	

*Holliday Avenue—Continued.*

Feet

On a line at right angles to the easterly line of, at San Bruno avenue northwesterly line.....	9.00
Westerly line of, at San Bruno avenue northwesterly line..	9.00

*Tompkins Avenue:*

Northerly line of, at San Bruno avenue westerly line.....	10.00
Northerly line of, at Peralta avenue easterly line.....	10.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	

*Crescent Avenue:*

At right angles to the northwesterly line of, at Peralta avenue westerly line .....	10.00
At right angles to the northwesterly line of, at Bradford street easterly line .....	10.80
(The same being the present official grade.)	

*Peralta Avenue:*

Westerly line of, at Tompkins avenue southerly line.....	10.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Westerly line of, at Crescent avenue northwesterly line...	10.00

*Dickinson Street:*

Abolish grades between San Bruno avenue and a point 100 feet southeasterly from Marengo street.....	....
---	------

On San Bruno avenue between the Bay Shore boulevard and a line at right angles to the center line of, 140 feet northerly from the first angle northerly from Rickard street; on Jarboe avenue between San Bruno avenue and Holladay avenue; on Hilton street between San Bruno avenue and a line at right angles to the westerly line of, and 47.83 feet northerly from San Bruno avenue; on Holladay avenue between Jarboe avenue and San Bruno avenue; on Tompkins avenue between San Bruno avenue and Peralta avenue; on Crescent avenue between San Bruno avenue and a line at right angles to the northwesterly line of, at Bradford street easterly line; on Peralta avenue between Tompkins avenue and Crescent avenue, and on Dickinson street between San Bruno avenue and a point 100 feet southeasterly from Marengo street be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

These proceedings are instituted in accordance with the "Change of Grade Act of 1909." The San Francisco News is hereby designated as the newspaper in which this resolution shall be published.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades.

The Director of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this Resolution of Intention.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Streets Committee were taken up:

**Intention to Change and Establish Grades on Athens Street  
Between Avalon and Excelsior Avenues.**

(Code No. 12.0721)

Resolution No. 3790, as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above City base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Order No. 7629 of the Director



of Public Works dated January 19, 1938, making written recommendation of said action, filed with said Board January 25, 1938, to-wit:

<i>Athens Street:</i>	<i>Feet</i>
Westerly line of, at Avalon avenue.....	367
(The same being the present official grade)	
Easterly line of, at Avalon avenue.....	369
(The same being the present official grade)	
Westerly curb line of, at the southerly end of the curb return to Avalon avenue.....	367.36
Easterly curb line of, at the southerly end of the curb return to Avalon avenue.....	368.07
Easterly curb line of, 100 feet southerly from Avalon avenue..	366.64
Westerly curb line of, 200 feet northerly from Excelsior avenue.	364.38
Easterly curb line of, 200 feet northerly from Excelsior avenue.	364.38
Easterly curb line of, 45.61 feet northerly from Excelsior avenue ..	345.12
Easterly curb line of, 18.17 feet southerly from the northerly end of the curb return to Excelsior avenue.....	341.27
Westerly line, at Excelsior avenue.....	339.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Excelsior avenue northerly line .....	339.43
(The same being the present official grade)	
Easterly line of, at Excelsior avenue.....	342
(The same being the present official grade)	

On Athens street between Avalon and Excelsior avenues be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

These proceedings are instituted in accordance with the "Change of Grade Act of 1909". The San Francisco News is hereby designated as the newspaper in which this resolution shall be published.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades.

The Director of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this Resolution of Intention.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### Intention to Change and Establish Grades on Carroll Avenue.

(Code No. 12.0721)

Also, Resolution No. 3791, as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above City base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Order No. 7630 of the Director of Public Works dated January 19, 1938, making written recommendation of said action, filed with said Board January 25, 1938, to-wit:

<i>Carroll Avenue:</i>	<i>Feet</i>
At a point 10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Third street westerly line.....	9.75
At a point formed by the intersection of the westerly line of Third street and a line parallel with the southerly line of Carroll avenue and 10 feet northerly therefrom.....	9.96
On a line at right angles to the southerly line of, 500 feet westerly from Third street.....	15.22
10 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 601.55 feet westerly from Third street.....	16.80
70 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 601.55 feet westerly from Third street.....	16.50

On Carroll avenue between Third street and a line at right angles to the southerly line of, 601.55 feet westerly from Third street be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

These proceedings are instituted in accordance with the "Change of Grade Act of 1909". The San Francisco News is hereby designated as the newspaper in which this resolution shall be published.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades.

The Director of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this Resolution of Intention.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of the Streets Committee were taken up:

#### **Changing Sidewalk Widths on Duncan Street Between San Jose Avenue and Castro Street.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Bill No. 1518, Ordinance No. 12.0731117, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Fifty-one (51) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office January 24, 1938, by amending Section Fifty-one (51) thereof, to read as follows:

Section 51: The width of sidewalks on Duncan street between San Jose avenue and Castro street shall be 12 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Duncan street between Castro and Diamond streets shall be as shown on that certain map, titled map showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks on Army street between Noe and Diamond streets; on Twenty-seventh street and Duncan street between Castro and Diamond streets; on Newburg street between Twenty-seventh and Duncan streets; and on Castro street between Army and Twenty-seventh streets.

The width of sidewalks on Duncan street between Diamond and Burnham streets shall be 12 feet.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **Changing Sidewalk Widths on Army Street Between Diamond and Noe Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1519, Ordinance No. 12.0731118, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Two (1202).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of



Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office January 24, 1938, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Two (1202), to read as follows:

Section 1202: The width of sidewalks on Army street between Diamond and Noe streets shall be as shown on that certain map, titled map showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks on Army street between Noe and Diamond streets; on Twenty-seventh street and Duncan street between Castro and Diamond streets; on Newburg street between Twenty-seventh and Duncan streets; and on Castro street between Army and Twenty-seventh streets.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### **Changing Sidewalk Widths on Twenty-seventh Street Between Diamond and Castro Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1520, Ordinance No. 12.0731119, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Three (1203).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office January 24, 1938, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Three (1203), to read as follows:

Section 1203: The width of sidewalks on Twenty-seventh street between Diamond and Castro streets shall be as shown on that certain map, titled map showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks on Army street between Noe and Diamond streets; on Twenty-seventh street and Duncan street between Castro and Diamond streets; on Newburg street between Twenty-seventh and Duncan streets; and on Castro street between Army and Twenty-seventh streets.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### **Changing Sidewalk Widths on Newburg Street Between Twenty-seventh and Duncan Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1521, Ordinance No. 12.0731120, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Four (1204).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office January 24, 1938, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Four (1204) to read as follows:

Section 1204: The width of sidewalks on Newburg street between Twenty-seventh and Duncan streets shall be as shown on that certain map, titled Map showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks on Army street between Noe and Diamond streets; on Twenty-seventh street and Duncan street between Castro

and Diamond streets; on Newburg street between Twenty-seventh and Duncan streets; and on Castro street between Army and Twenty-seventh streets.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Changing Sidewalk Widths on Castro Street Between Army and Twenty-seventh Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1522, Ordinance No. 12.0731121, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Five (1205).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office January 24, 1938, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Five (1205) to read as follows:

Section 1205: The width of sidewalks on Castro street between Army and Twenty-seventh streets shall be as shown on that certain map, titled Map showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks on Army street between Noe and Diamond streets; on Twenty-seventh street and Duncan street between Castro and Diamond streets; on Newburg street between Twenty-seventh and Duncan streets; and on Castro street between Army and Twenty-seventh streets.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Civil Service Appropriations.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

February 3, 1938.

To the Honorable The Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: I am in receipt of a letter from the Civil Service Commission, in which supplemental appropriations are requested in the amount of \$10,000 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund, in two items, as follows:

(a) \$5,635 for the purpose of holding examinations in which emergency non-civil service appointments are now authorized in the absence of lists of eligibles and which, under Section 149 of the Charter, must be held immediately.

(b) \$4,365 for the purpose of holding examinations which are necessary, but in which no emergency appointments are now authorized, and for the carrying on of other necessary work of the Civil Service Commission.

I approve these requests and respectfully urge that your Honorable Board take action by emergency ordinance at your meeting on February 7th in order to make the requested appropriations of \$5,635 available to the Civil Service Commission forthwith for the reason that, pursuant to Section 149 of the Charter, it is mandatory upon both the Mayor



and the Board of Supervisors to provide funds to hold examinations in all cases where emergency appointments have been allowed, and I am advised that this appropriation is to be used exclusively for this purpose.

The appropriation of \$4,365 is recommended for the reason that many lists now existing in the Civil Service Commission are fast approaching their expiration by reason of the limitation of time provided for in the Charter and, to the end that the policy so definitely set forth in the Charter should be lived up to, non-civil service appointments should not be made when there is any possibility of providing eligible lists.

If it were not for the urgency of the situation I would be slow in recommending an appropriation from the Emergency Reserve Fund for this purpose, but after a most careful investigation of the matter I believe that these requested appropriations come directly within the purposes for which the Emergency Reserve Fund was created.

Both appropriations have been approved by the Controller as to availability of funds.

I therefore bespeak your prompt action on the allotment of the respective amounts to the Civil Service Commission.

Yours very truly,

ANGELO J. ROSSI,  
Mayor.

#### Final Passage.

Thereupon, the following emergency bills were presented and *finally passed* by the following vote:

#### Supplemental Appropriation of \$5,635 for Holding Civil Service Examination for Positions Held by Emergency Non-Civil Service Appointees.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1523, Ordinance No. 9.051451, as follows:

An ordinance authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$5,635 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 771.102.01 for the purpose of providing funds for the Civil Service Commission for holding examinations for certain civil service classes of employments in which emergency non-civil service appointments have been authorized, the creation of the resulting civil service lists being mandatory under the Charter and essential to the uninterrupted service of the Civil Service Commission and the several departments of the City and County Government; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$5,635 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 771.102.01 for the purpose of providing funds for the Civil Service Commission with which to conduct examinations for certain civil service classifications of employments in which emergency non-civil service appointments are now authorized pending the creation of proper lists of eligibles.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors by the vote by which this ordinance is passed does hereby declare that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective forthwith, the nature of the said emergency being as follows, to-wit, that the said appropriation of \$5,635 is necessary to insure the uninterrupted operation of the Civil Service Commission of the City and County of San Francisco and for the holding of examinations by the said Civil Service Commission, which examinations are required to be held pursuant to the provisions of Section 149 of the Charter.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncoviari, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Supplemental Appropriation of \$4,695 for Civil Service Examinations  
and Other Essential Services.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1524, Ordinance No. 9.051452, as follows:

An ordinance authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$4,365 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 771.102.01 for the purpose of providing funds for the Civil Service Commission for holding examinations and for conducting other essential services of the Civil Service Commission; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$4,365 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 771.102.01 for the purpose of providing funds for the Civil Service Commission for holding examinations and for carrying on other essential services and functions of the Civil Service Commission.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure for the reason that same must be made effective forthwith, and the Board of Supervisors does by the vote by which this ordinance is enacted hereby declare that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective forthwith, the nature of said emergency being that the Civil Service Commission is without the necessary funds for the uninterrupted operation of its department, to-wit: the holding of necessary examinations to provide eligible lists to fill vacancies in the municipal service, and the amount of said appropriation is necessary for said purposes.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Proposed Charter Amendment—Civil Service Examinations.**

Presented by Supervisor Reilly.

*Qualifications and Tests.*

Section 145. All applicants for places in the classified service shall submit to tests, which shall be competitive and without charge to the applicants. The commission shall control all examinations and may employ suitable persons in or out of the public service to act as examiners. The tests may be written, oral, mechanical or physical, or any combination of them, practical in character and related to matters fairly to test the relative capacity of applicants for the positions to be filled. The commission shall be the sole judge of the adequacy of the tests to rate the capacity of the applicants to perform service for the City and County. The commission may, for each examination, establish a passing mark or may determine the total number of persons who shall constitute the list of eligibles. The commission shall prepare from the returns of the examiners the list of eligibles, arranged in order of relative excellence. No question submitted to applicants shall refer to political or religious opinions or fraternal affiliations.

Applicants for entrance positions in the uniformed forces of the Fire and Police Departments shall be not less than twenty-one years of age, nor more than thirty-five years of age at the time of appointment and shall have the physical qualifications required for enlistment in the United States Army, Navy and Marine Corps.

*Applicants for entrance positions in the classified service other than the uniformed forces of the Fire and Police Departments, shall not be less than 21 years of age nor more than 65 years of age at the time of appointment. For promotional examinations in the classified service, including Fire and Police Departments, no age less than the compulsory retirement age or ages which may be provided for in other sections of this charter shall be made a condition of appointment.*



Applicants for positions in the mechanical trades and occupations may, in the discretion of the commission, be rated solely on experience and physical qualifications which may be demonstrated by such evidence and in such manner as the commission may direct, and such applicants may be permitted to such further tests as the commission may require. Examinations of laborers shall relate only to physical qualifications and experience, and laborers establishing their fitness shall rank upon the register in order of priority of application.

The commission may remove all names from the list of eligibles after they have remained thereon for more than two years and all names thereon shall be removed at the expiration of four years. The commission may, however, provide in the scope-circular of any examination that the list of eligibles secured thereby shall automatically expire at a date not less than two or more than four years after the adoption of such list.

Veterans with thirty days or more actual service, and widows of such veterans, who become eligible for appointment by attaining the passing mark in any examination, shall be allowed an additional credit of 5 per cent in making up the list of eligibles secured by such examination. The term "veteran" as used in this section shall be taken to mean any person who has been mustered into, or served in, the Army, or enlisted in, or served in, the Navy or Marine Corps, of the United States, in time of war and received an honorable discharge or certificate of honorable active service. In the case of promotive examinations, when the passing mark has been attained, a credit of 3 per cent shall be allowed to veterans or to widows of such veterans. No more than one such entrance preference, or one such promotive preference may be allowed to any one person. The Civil Service Commission may, for services or employment specified by the commission, allow general or individual preference, but not less than 10 per cent, for entrance appointment of veterans who have suffered permanent disability in line of duty, provided that such disability would not prevent the proper performance of the duties required under such service, or employment, and provided that such disability is of record in the United States Veterans Bureau.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

### **Mayor to Appoint Citizens' Committee for the Observance of Mothers' Day.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 3793, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor Mayor Rossi be and he is hereby requested to appoint a Committee of Citizens to arrange for the proper observance and celebration of Mothers' Day at the National Memorial Grove in Golden Gate Park on Sunday, May 8, 1938.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### **Report of Special Committee Appointed to Investigate Request of S. F. Trade Union Unemployed Council for Assembly Hall Accommodations.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors.

Gentlemen: Your committee appointed to investigate the request of the San Francisco Trade Union Unemployed Council begs leave to report as follows:

(1) The Director of Property, Mr. Joseph J. Phillips, has informed

your committee that there is no available space in any public building for the purposes requested.

(2) The City Attorney, Honorable John J. O'Toole, is of the opinion that it would be an illegal expenditure of public monies to rent space for an unofficial agency.

Your committee therefore recommends that the San Francisco Trade Union Unemployed Council be informed that its request cannot be legally complied with.

Yours respectfully

ALFRED RONCOVIERI,

Chairman.

C. E. HEALY,

Member.

J. GRAY,

Member.

**Amending Ordinance Providing Per Diem Allowance for Traveling Expenses.**

(Code No. 9.056)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Bill No. 1525, Ordinance No. 9.0566, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 9.0565 entitled as follows: "Providing for the amount per diem for the fiscal year 1937-1938 for officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco for traveling expenses exclusive of transportation and Pullman charges and excluding traveling expenses incurred in the discharge of routine duty, and directing the Controller to establish rules for payment of claims for traveling expenses," by providing therein the amounts which will be allowed as traveling expenses, and the time for which the same will be paid.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 9.0565 entitled as above is hereby amended to read as follows:

During the fiscal year 1937-1938 any officer or employee of the City and County of San Francisco, except in the discharge of routine duties, shall, under the authority of law or ordinance, leave the City and County for the purpose of performing any official duty for or on behalf of the City and County; or for the purpose of rendering any service to, or for the City and County, or for the purpose of officially representing said City and County, or any commission or department thereof, said officer or employee shall be allowed as the expense incident to said service the actual cost of transportation, including Pullman charges, together with an amount not to exceed fifteen dollars per day for each and every day while said officer or employee is absent on said official business.

Section 2. Not more than the following amounts shall be allowed for each of the following expense items incurred pursuant to this ordinance:

Hotel accommodations, \$5 per day; meals while not traveling, \$5 per day; meals on train, \$4.50 per day. An amount equal to fifteen per cent of the cost of any meal may be added as a service charge. Necessary taxi or bus charges and charges for handling baggage shall be included as a traveling expense, as will the cost of telephone communications and telegrams when same are for official business. Receipts need not be presented for meals, nor for taxi, bus or baggage charges, unless the latter are called for by the Controller. A sum of \$1 per day shall be allowed for incidental expenses, for which no voucher shall be required. Amounts in excess of the allowance herein provided for may be allowed by vote of the Board of Supervisors when



said amounts have been actually expended for the benefit of the City and County.

When traveling expenses are incurred for the purpose of attending any meeting, expenses shall be allowed only for the actual period of said meeting plus one day prior to the commencement thereof and one day subsequent to the end of said meeting.

Section 3. The Controller shall establish rules for the payment of all amounts payable pursuant to Section 1 hereof, and for the presentation of such vouchers as he shall deem proper in connection with expenditures made pursuant to said section. No allowance shall be made for traveling expense provided for in this ordinance unless appropriations for such expense have been made by annual or supplemental appropriation ordinance enacted in accordance with the provisions of the Charter.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

#### **Underground System, Oak Street.**

Supervisor McSheehy presented letter addressed to himself by Michael J. Sullivan, urging installation of underground system on Oak street.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

#### **Supervisor Uhl's Bus Proposal.**

Supervisor Uhl requested that his bus proposal be heard by the Public Utilities Committee before next Monday, February 14; otherwise, he asks that it be considered by the full Board.

#### **Proposed Amendment to Building Law re Concrete Residences.**

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Communication from Portland Cement Association submitting draft of proposed amendment to Building Law re construction of concrete residences.

*Referred to Public Building, Land and City Planning Committee.*

#### **Meeting Announcements.**

Public Utilities Committee, February 11, 3 p. m., in Public Utilities Commission's office.

Fire, Safety and Police, February 11, 4 p. m.

Building Committee, February 11, 11 a. m.

#### **ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business the Board, at the hour of 4:45 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, February 14, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.





Vol. 33

No. 8

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

Monday, February 14, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 14, 1938, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, February 14, 1938,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of February 7, 1938, was considered read and approved.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

**Appropriating \$200 From Emergency Reserve Fund for the Payment of Sick Leaves of Telephone Operators in the Department of Public Works.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1500, Ordinance No. 9.051445, as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$200 from Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 702.900.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 736.102.00, for the payment of sick leave of telephone operators in the Department of Public Works.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$200 is hereby set aside from the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 702.900.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 736.102.00, for the payment of sick leave of telephone operators for the fiscal year 1937-38.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund, as it is necessary to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the telephone exchange and insufficient money was set up in the Salary Ordinance for the fiscal year 1937-38 to provide for sick leave.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

## NEW BUSINESS.

## Action Deferred.

The following matter from Public Utilities Committee without recommendation was *continued one week*:

**Abandonment of Street Car Service on Twentieth Avenue.**

(Code No. 19.091)

Resolution No. 3762, as follows:

Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company be and is hereby directed to discontinue street railway service on Twentieth avenue, between Irving street and Wawona street.

## Adopted.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

**Refund of Erroneous and Duplicate Tax.**

(Code No. 9.059)

Resolution No. 3794, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized to be paid to the following named, being refunds of erroneous and duplicate payments of taxes:

*From Duplicate Tax Fund—Appropriation No. 905.*

(1) James Karris (Liberty Grocery), per Unsecured Personal Property, year 1933, Vol. 17, page 18, line 12 .....	\$ 37.92
(2) Wren Middlebrook, per Vol. 20, Bill 2276, Lot 21, Block 2927, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937 .....	35.80
(3) Tide Water Associated Oil Co., per Vol. 25, Bill 1579, Lot 19, Block 3716, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937 .....	13.55
(4) Joseph Ageno, per Vol. 36, Bill 1255, Lot 10, Block 6051, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937 .....	35.61
(5) American Trust Co., per Vol. 28, Bill 744, Lot 3, Block 4339, 2nd installment, fiscal year 1936 .....	142.47
(6) American Trust Co., per Vol. 28, Bill 743, Lot 2, Block 4339, 2nd installment, fiscal year 1936 .....	97.63
(7) American Trust Co., per Vol. 10, Bill 1362, Lot 7, Block 1469-A, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937 .....	38.79
(8) Harry H. Ellis, per Vol. 28, Bill 850, Lot 16, Block 4578, both installments, fiscal year 1937 .....	1.94
(9) Arthur R. Tucker, per Unsecured Personal Property, year 1937, Vol. 4, page 79, line 5 .....	2.46
(10) Bank of America, per Vol. 8, Bill 128, Lot 26, Block 1211, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937 .....	75.48
(11) Title Insurance & Guaranty Co., per Vol. 35, Bill 558, Lot 11-A, Block 5857, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937 .....	1.94
(12) The Texas Co., per Vol. 25, Bill 1556, Lot 2, Block 3713, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937 .....	19.36
(13) Bank of America, per Vol. 22, Bill 472, Lot 36, Block 3140, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937 .....	5.81
(14) Calif. Pacific Title & Trust Co., per Vol. 15, Bill 586, Lot 5, Block 1893, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937 .....	19.86
(15) Calif. Pacific Title & Trust Co., per Vol. 15, Bill 730, Lot 35, Block 1896, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937 .....	17.77
(16) Wesley G. Meyer, per Vol. 8, Bill 1718, Lot 17, Block 1266, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937 .....	75.87
(17) Peter E. Williams, per Vol. 6, Bill 3276, Lot 3, Block 1030, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937 .....	42.00



*From General Fund—Appropriation No. 60.969.00.*

- (18) Ada M. Bender, refund of taxes erroneously assessed on personal property at 1261 Broderick street, paid November 20, 1937 ..... 27.10
- (19) Thos. L. Gray, refund of duplicate payment, per Vol. 3, page 127, line 3, 1935 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls..... 2.08

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Land Purchase—Longfellow Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 3795, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Recreation Department, that the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Antonio Garguilo, et ux., Lot 12, Assessor's Block 6474, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Longfellow Playground, for the sum of \$7,300, payable from Appropriation No. 713.600.06.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Land Purchase—Corona Heights Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 3796, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Recreation Department, that the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Corona Heights Playground, for the sums set forth opposite their names, payable from Appropriation No. 713.600.12.

Helen Gertrude McManus, et al., Lot 15, Assessor's Block 2614 .....	\$150
J. O. England, Trustee in the Matter of Reinhold Investment Co., Ltd., a corporation bankrupt, Lot 25, Assessor's Block 2622 .....	350

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Action Deferred.**

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

**Sigmund Stern Recreational Grove Land Purchase Agreement.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Resolution No. 3797, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Recreation Department, that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, enter into a written agreement with George I. Butler, for the right and privilege of using and purchasing the following described

real property, or a portion or portions thereof, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, subject to the terms and conditions set forth in said agreement:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Wawona street with the center line of Twenty-first avenue, running thence easterly along the southerly line of Wawona street 576 feet to the proposed new westerly line of Nineteenth avenue; thence deflecting 90 degrees 22 minutes 23 seconds to the right and running southerly along last-named line 600.013 feet to the northerly boundary of that certain tract of land conveyed by Nettie Beckwith, et al., to the City and County of San Francisco by deed dated April 30, 1937, and recorded May 19, 1937, in Book 3145, page 117, Official Records of San Francisco; thence deflecting 90 degrees 22 minutes 23 seconds to the right and running westerly along said northerly boundary 572.094 feet to the center line of Twenty-first avenue; thence northerly along said center line 600 feet to the point of commencement.

Being all of Assessor's Blocks 2488 and 2489, and portions of Twentieth avenue and Twenty-first avenue.

Said right to purchase said property, and to use the same for recreational and other purposes prior to the acquisition thereof shall be upon the terms and conditions set forth in the written agreement therefor prepared by the Director of Property, approved by the City Attorney and the Recreation Department, and this day presented to this Board for its approval. It is understood that the City and County of San Francisco may purchase the above described land for the sum of \$50,000, according to the terms and conditions of said agreement; be it

Further Resolved, That said written agreement as presented be and is hereby approved, and that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute the same on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation; and be it

Further Resolved, That upon said agreement becoming effective, that the sum of \$15,000 be paid for the tract of land described as Parcel 1 in said agreement, and that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed to said Parcel 1. Said sum of \$15,000 shall be payable from the Recreation Department Reserve for Land Purchases. Nothing contained in this resolution or in said agreement shall be construed to obligate the City and County of San Francisco to complete the purchase of any of the parcels described in said agreement, excepting Parcel 1.

#### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

#### Appropriating \$600 for Replacing Machine Shop Roof at San Francisco Airport.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1526, Ordinance No. 9.051453, as follows:

Appropriating the sum of six hundred (\$600) dollars from the Emergency Reserve Fund Appropriation No. 702,900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 764,213.00 for the purpose of paying the necessary expenses for replacing the roof of the machine shop at San Francisco Airport destroyed by storm on February 9, 1938, and declaring this an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of six hundred (\$600) dollars is hereby appropriated and set aside from the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 702,900.00 for the credit of Appropriation No. 764,213.00 for the payment of the cost of replacing and repairing the roof on the machine shop destroyed by storm on February 9, 1938.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund for the reason that it is necessary to provide for the uninterrupted



operation of the San Francisco Airport and for the purpose of so doing the roof on the machine shop of said airport destroyed by storm on February 9, 1938, must be forthwith replaced and that there are no available funds in the San Francisco Airport Fund.

Section 3. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does, by the vote by which this ordinance is passed, declare and find an actual emergency existing which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective forthwith. The nature of said emergency being as follows, to-wit: That on the 9th day of February, 1938, the roof of the machine shop at the San Francisco Airport was damaged by storm, and that the amount of the above mentioned appropriation is necessary to replace said roof, and that the replacement of said roof is necessary in order to provide for the uninterrupted operation of said airport, and that there are no funds available in the San Francisco Airport Fund which may be used to defray the cost of the replacement of said roof.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Appropriation recommended by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

#### Amending Salary Ordinance, Bureau of Building Repair.

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 1527, Ordinance No. 9.05312<sup>1</sup>, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 43 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 by adding Item 2 thereto to provide for the assignment of one hodcarrier whose position is heretofore established under Item 4 of Section 48.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 43 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 is hereby amended to read as follows:

#### Section 43. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— BUREAU OF BUILDING REPAIR

Employments as required on miscellaneous repair of public buildings, including schools, as provided in Section 95 of the Charter. Number of employments is enumerated wherever the employee has attained permanent civil service tenure in this department. The employments are *not* established as continuing positions but "as needed" when the services are required and the funds are provided.

#### INTERDEPARTMENTAL

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title		
2	1	A52	Hodcarrier . . . . .	day	\$ 10
3		A58	Marble Setter's Helper . . . . .	day	6
4		A60	*Marble Setter . . . . .	day	10
5		A62	Tile Setter . . . . .	day	10
6	21	A154	Carpenter . . . . .	day	9
7		A158	Sub-Foreman Carpenter . . . . .	day	9.50
7½	1	A160	Foreman Carpenter . . . . .	day	10
8		A162	Lather . . . . .	day	10
9		A153	Hardwood Floorman . . . . .	day	10
10	10	A202	Cement Finisher Helper . . . . .	day	8
11	3	A204	Cement Finisher . . . . .	day	9
12	2	A252	Glazier . . . . .	day	8.80

Section 43. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—  
BUREAU OF BUILDING REPAIR (Continued)  
INTERDEPARTMENTAL (Continued)

Item	No. of	Class	Class Title	
No.	Employees	No.		
13	1	A252	Glazier . . . . .day	9.50
14	1	A302	Locksmith . . . . .day	9
15	1	A302	Locksmith . . . . .per month	200
16	27	A354	Painter . . . . .day	9.75
17		A380	Paper Hanger . . . . .day	10
18	1	A392	Plasterer . . . . .day	12
19	24	A404	Plumber . . . . .day	10
20	11	A456	Sheet Metal Worker . . . . .day	10
21	1	A458	Sub-Foreman Sheet Metal Worker.day	10.50
22	10	A504	Steamfitter . . . . .day	10
23	1	A551	Apprentice . . . . .day	7
24	1	A551	Apprentice . . . . .day	6.50
25	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .day	7
26	1	C152	Watchman . . . . .per month	145
27	1	C202	Window Cleaner . . . . .per month	155
28	1	E108	Electrician . . . . .per month	225
29	6	E108	Electrician . . . . .day	10
30	1	J4	Laborer . . . . .day	6

Teams and trucks at rates established by  
purchaser's contract.

\*Maximum wage is \$9.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Meat Inspection Ordinance.**

(Code No. 17.04)

Also, Bill No. 1512, Ordinance No. 17.048, as follows:

Providing for the inspection of meat and meat food products sold or delivered or offered for sale or delivery within the City and County of San Francisco; authorizing the Director of Public Health to adopt, promulgate and enforce regulations governing such inspection; providing for the payment and collection of fees to defray the cost of such inspection; defining certain terms used in this ordinance; and providing penalties for the violation of this ordinance; and repealing certain ordinances in conflict herewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. *Definitions.* "Department" as used in this ordinance shall mean the Department of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco.

"The Director" as used in this ordinance shall mean the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco.

The term "meat" as used in this ordinance shall mean the edible part of the carcass of any cattle, calf, sheep, lamb, goat or swine which is not manufactured, cured, smoked, processed or otherwise treated.

"Meat food products" as used in this ordinance shall mean any article of food, or any article which enters into the composition of food for human consumption which is derived or prepared in whole or in part from any portion of the carcass of any of the animals mentioned in Section 2 of this ordinance, if such portion is all, or a considerable and definite portion of the article, except such articles as meat juices or meat extracts which are only for medical purposes and are advertised only to the medical profession.

"Federal inspection" as used in this ordinance shall mean any service



for the inspection of meat and meat food products maintained by the Government of the United States.

"State inspection" as used in this ordinance shall mean any service for the inspection of meat and meat food products maintained by the State of California.

"Local inspection" as used in this ordinance shall mean any service for the inspection of meat and meat food products maintained by the City and County of San Francisco under approval of the Department of Agriculture of the State of California.

"Other approved inspection service" as used in this ordinance shall mean any meat and meat food products inspection service maintained by any city or county, which said service has been approved and continues to be approved by the Department of Agriculture of the State of California.

Section 2. *Meat Inspection Brands.* No person, firm or corporation shall expose for sale or offer for sale, or sell or otherwise dispose of, or have in his possession, in the City and County of San Francisco, any meat of any cattle, calf, sheep, lamb, goat or swine or any meat food products thereof, which does not have thereon the inspection mark or brand and stamp of approval of either the federal inspection, state inspection, local inspection or other approved inspection service. If any carcass of any animal heretofore named or meat food products are kept, or offered for sale, or exposed within the City and County of San Francisco which does not bear one of the aforesaid stamps or brands, said Department shall take possession of and destroy said meat or meat food products.

Section 3. *No Meat Without Inspection to Be Shipped.* No person, firm or corporation shall ship, send, bring or cause to be brought into the City and County of San Francisco, the meat of any cattle, sheep, lamb, goat or swine, or any meat food products thereof, which does not bear the meat inspection brand or other mark of identification recognized by the Department, and/or the Department of Agriculture of the State of California.

Section 4. *Calves.* The carcasses of calves in good healthy condition and weighing more than 55 pounds for smaller breeds or 65 pounds for larger breeds, exclusive of head, heart, lungs and liver, may be brought into the City and County of San Francisco, and each of said carcasses of such calves must be inspected and stamped and marked by the Department at the point of arrival of said carcasses of such calves in the City and County of San Francisco, or at their first place of rest.

Section 5. *Unsound, Unhealthful, etc., Meats.* All meats or meat food products which are unsound, unhealthful, unwholesome or otherwise unfit for food, shall be stamped or otherwise marked by the Department "San Francisco Department of Public Health Inspected and Condemned" and shall be destroyed or otherwise disposed of as provided by rule of the Department.

Section 6. *Reinspection.* All meats or meat food products sold or offered for sale in the City and County of San Francisco shall be subject to reinspection and condemnation by the Department.

Section 7. *Unlawful to Forge, Alter, etc., Brands.* It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to forge, counterfeit, simulate or falsely represent, or without proper authority to use or detach or wrongfully alter, deface or destroy any of the stamps or marks or brands recognized by the Department, on any cattle, calf, sheep, lamb, goat or swine, or any meat food products thereof, or any carcass, or any part or parts of any carcass or carcasses named in Section 2 of this ordinance, except that the processor thereof may remove or destroy any stamp or mark before said carcass or portion thereof is processed, or any retail butcher may destroy said stamp or mark before any portion of said carcass is delivered to the ultimate consumer thereof.

Section 8. *Authority to Make Regulations.* The Department is authorized to adopt, promulgate and enforce such rules and regulations

regarding the slaughter houses and places where meat food products are manufactured, as well as such rules and regulations relative to the inspection of meats and meat food products, as will enable the Department to enforce and carry out the meaning and intent of this ordinance, and to maintain the standard of meat inspection of the Department of Agriculture of the State of California.

**Section 9. State Laws.** All of the provisions of the Agricultural Code of the State of California, as well as the rules and regulations made under authority of said code, regarding the inspection and examination of any of the animals mentioned in Section 2 of this ordinance, as well as regarding the killing of said animals and the inspection, keeping and handling of the meat of said animals, and meat food products thereof, except in so far as the same are changed or modified by this or other ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco, or by rules made under authority of said ordinances, shall apply to the inspection and examination and killing of said animals mentioned in said Section 2 and to the inspection, keeping and handling of the meat of said animals.

**Section 10. Fees and Charges.** For the purpose of meeting and providing for the cost of the inspection and examinations provided for in this ordinance, the following fees shall be paid to the Tax Collector of the City and County:

(a) All persons, firms and corporations killing, dressing and making ready for market in the City and County of San Francisco any of the animals mentioned in Section 2 of this ordinance shall pay the sum of one (1c) cent per each one hundred pounds of the meat of said animals as weighed after said animals have been killed and dressed; provided, that the minimum monthly sum paid shall be not less than ten (\$10) dollars.

(b) Any person, firm or corporation in the City and County of San Francisco manufacturing and offering for sale any meat food products shall pay an annual inspection fee of fifty (\$50) dollars per year, payable semi-annually, in advance, and, in addition to the said annual inspection fee, shall pay the following amounts based upon the amount of meat food products manufactured per month, namely:

<i>Exceeding</i>	<i>But Not Exceeding</i>	
5,000 lbs.	10,000 lbs. per month.....	\$ 2.08 per month
10,000 "	20,000 " " " .....	4.17 " "
20,000 "	30,000 " " " .....	6.25 " "
30,000 "	40,000 " " " .....	8.33 " "
40,000 "	50,000 " " " .....	10.42 " "
50,000 "	60,000 " " " .....	12.50 " "
60,000 "	70,000 " " " .....	14.58 " "
70,000 "	80,000 " " " .....	16.67 " "
80,000 "	90,000 " " " .....	18.75 " "
90,000 "	100,000 " " " .....	20.83 " "

Any person, firm or corporation in the City and County of San Francisco engaged in the business of a retail meat dealer and who corns meat which has thereon the inspection mark or brand and stamp of approval of either the federal inspection, state inspection, local inspection or other approved inspection service, and which corning of meat is an incident to their regular retail fresh meat business, shall be exempt from the payment of the fees provided for manufacturers of meat food products as set forth in sub-section (b) of Section 10 of this ordinance in so far as they relate to the corning of such meat.

All of said persons, firms or corporations mentioned in Subdivisions (a) and (b) of this section shall keep full, true and correct books of account showing in pounds the amount of meat killed or dressed, as well as the amount of meat food products manufactured, and each of said persons shall on or before the 10th day of each calendar month file with the Department and with the Tax Collector a verified statement showing in pounds the amount of meat killed or dressed, or the amount of meat



food products manufactured during the preceding calendar month, and shall thereupon pay to said Tax Collector the amount due for the inspection of the same, as in this section provided.

The books of account mentioned herein shall at all times be opened to the inspection of the Department or of the Controller or his representatives.

The Controller shall provide for the method of billing, collecting and accounting for all amounts to become due under this ordinance.

Section 11. All persons, firms or corporations selling, or offering for sale, any meat or meat food products from any vehicle, wagon, truck, cart or automobile, shall keep said vehicle, wagon, truck, cart or automobile in a clean and sanitary condition, and the same shall be subject to inspection by the Department.

Any person, firm or corporation, without a fixed or established place of business within the City and County of San Francisco engaging in the business of selling, or offering for sale, any meat or meat food products from any vehicle, wagon, truck, cart or automobile, shall first obtain a permit from the Department, and shall pay an annual inspection fee of fifty dollars (\$50), payable quarterly in advance for each such vehicle.

Each such vehicle shall have printed conspicuously on both sides the firm name, address and Department permit number of the vehicle in letters and figures not less than three (3) inches in height.

Every person, firm, or corporation paying the fees provided for in this section shall be exempt from the provisions of Bill No. 141, Ordinance No. 3.04112, covering the same classification.

Section 12. *Penalties.* Any person, firm or corporation, or their agents, violating any of the provisions of this ordinance, or failing to comply with any direction or order of the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco, given pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance, or any agent of said Director, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction shall be punished by a fine not less than fifty (\$50) dollars, nor more than five hundred (\$500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of not less than ten (10) days nor more than three (3) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment; and any violation of the provisions of this ordinance shall subject the violator thereof to revocation of any and all permits held.

Each day that the violation of this ordinance or the failure to comply with the directions of the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco, given in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance, shall continue, shall constitute a new and separate offense and shall be punishable accordingly as herein provided.

Section 13. *Repeals and Exemptions.* Ordinance No. 1265 (New Series), and Section 67, Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), are hereby repealed, and any person, firm or corporation paying the fees provided in this ordinance shall be exempt from the payment of the fees provided for in Section 1, Ordinance No. 3.0412.

Section 14. Neither the adoption of this ordinance nor the repeal hereby of any ordinance of the City and County of San Francisco, or any portion of any such ordinance or ordinances shall in any manner affect the prosecution for the violation of the provisions of any such ordinance or ordinances committed prior to the effective date of this ordinance and the adoption of this ordinance shall not constitute a waiver of any of the fees or money or penalties thereon required to be paid under the provisions of any other ordinance unpaid at the effective date of this ordinance.

Section 15. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each section, sub-section, subdivision sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any

one or more sections, sub-sections, subdivisions, sentences, clauses or phrases is declared unconstitutional or invalid for any reason.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Action Deferred.

The following recommendations of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police were taken up and on motion *laid over one week*:

### Curfew Ordinance.

(Code No. 11.00)

Bill No. 1530, Ordinance No. 11.0016, as follows:

Regulating the presence of minors on public streets and other public places between certain hours at night, and providing for the investigation by peace officers and juvenile probation officers of minors found on said public streets and other public places between said hours, and repealing Ordinance No. 371 (New Series).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any minor under the age of sixteen years to loiter, wander, stroll, or play in, on, or about any public place, street, park or square between the hours of ten (10) o'clock p. m. and daylight of the following day.

Section 2. It shall be unlawful for any minor under the age of eighteen years to loiter, wander, stroll, or play in, on or about, any public place, street, park or square between the hours of 12 o'clock midnight and daylight immediately following.

Section 3. The provisions of Sections 1 and 2 of this ordinance shall not apply where the minors dealt with respectively in said Sections 1 and 2 are accompanied by parent, guardian or other adult person having the care and custody of such minor; or where the presence of said minors in a public place, street, park or square, is connected with, and required by, some legitimate pursuit in which said minor is engaged.

Section 4. Whenever a peace officer or juvenile probation officer discovers or has his or her attention called to the fact that any minor is in any public place or on a public street or in any park or square contrary to the provisions of Sections 1 and 2 of this ordinance and that said minor is not accompanied by one of the persons specified in Section 3 of this ordinance, said peace officer or juvenile probation officer shall make immediate investigation for the purpose of ascertaining whether or not the presence of said minor is connected with, or required by any legitimate pursuit or business in which said minor may be engaged. If the investigation reveals that the presence of said minor in said public place or on said public street, or in said park or square is not connected with, or required by, any legitimate pursuit or business in which said minor may be engaged, then said peace officer or juvenile probation officer shall cause said minor to be taken to the home or place of residence of said minor, or if said officer deems that it will be for the best interest of said minor, said minor may be taken to the Juvenile Detention Home for a further investigation for the purpose of ascertaining if the actions of said minor are such as to bring him or her within the provisions of Section 700 of the Welfare and Institutions Code; and if from such investigation it should be determined that the actions of said minor are such as to bring him or her within the provisions of said Section 700 of the Welfare and Institutions Code, then said minor shall be dealt with by the Juvenile Court or by the juvenile probation officer in the manner provided by State law. Should said investigation, however, show that said minor has not violated this or any other ordinance or law, said minor shall be immediately released from custody and returned to his or her home or to the custody of his or her parent or guardian.



Section 5. Any minor who shall violate the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor.

Section 6. Ordinance No. 371 (New Series) is hereby repealed.

### **Sale of Goods, Wares or Merchandise by Minors in Taverns.**

(Code No. 11.00)

Also, Bill No. 1531, Ordinance No. 11.0017, as follows:

Regulating the sale, or the offering for sale, of goods, wares or merchandise, or the rendition of service, by minors under the age of eighteen years in places where alcoholic beverages are sold, offered for sale or dispensed for consumption on the premises.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. No minor under the age of eighteen years shall, for the purpose of selling, disposing of, or offering for sale or disposal any goods, wares or merchandise, or for the purpose of rendering any service to any person on or about said premises, enter any place or premises wherein alcoholic beverages are sold or dispensed for consumption at or upon said place or premises.

Section 2. It shall be unlawful for the proprietor or other persons in charge of the place or premises referred to in Section 1 of this ordinance to permit a minor under the age of eighteen years to enter said place or premises, or to remain therein, for the purpose of selling or offering for sale any goods, wares or merchandise, or for the purpose of rendering any service to any person in or about said premises.

Section 3. The provisions of Sections 1 and 2 of this ordinance shall not apply to the sale, by minors under the age of eighteen years, of goods, wares or merchandise, other than alcoholic beverages, at baseball games, football games and other like gatherings, where the sale or dispensing of alcoholic beverages for consumption upon the place or premises is only incidental to the exhibition or venture carried on or engaged in.

Section 4. Any person who shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof, shall be punished by a fine not to exceed five hundred (\$500) dollars or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

### **Adopted.**

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Hon. Richard E. Doyle, President Board of Education.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3798, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Richard E. Doyle, President of the Board of Education, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing February 19, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Leave of Absence—Hon. Joseph P. Nourse, Superintendent of Public Schools.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 3799, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Joseph P. Nourse, Superintendent of Public Schools,

is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing February 19, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

### Consideration of Supervisor Uhl's Bus Plan.

The following excerpt and recommendation taken from "Observations Covering Bus Operations by Supervisor Uhl" was taken up for consideration:

"I renew my suggestion that at this time two bus routes be approved as follows:

"1. From California and Thirty-second avenue, via California, Presidio, Bush to Market.

"2. County Line, via San Jose avenue, Alemany boulevard, Bay Shore, Potrero avenue, Brannan and Fifth, to Mint avenue.

"I urge the immediate employment of a Traction Engineer, who will pass on bus routes, having in mind inclusion of a sufficient amount in the 1938-39 budget to start foregoing two routes on their way and other bus routes by a bond election.

"The Supervisors have the power to submit to the electorate a matter of policy in the event the Public Utilities Commission refuses to approve the Engineer's report.

"Immediate action is necessary!"

Subject discussed at length by Supervisor Colman and other members of the Board.

### Motion.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Board of Supervisors request the Public Utilities Commission to inform us on a two-minute schedule—how many 41-passenger buses would be required on his proposed bus service from California and Thirty-second avenue via California, Presidio, Bush to Market street, and County Line via San Jose, Alemany boulevard, Bay Shore, Potrero, Brannan and Fifth to Mint avenue—what would be the revenue and what would be the service.

Supervisor Meyer moved that this matter remain on the calendar until the Citizens' Committee is appointed and ask the Mayor to set up his Committee as soon as possible and then have all our transportation matters go before this Committee.

### Action Deferred.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment to the amendment that this matter remain on the calendar until next Monday and request the Mayor to appoint his Citizens' Committee between now and next Monday.

Amendment *carried*.

**Citizens' Committee to Arrange for Observance of Opening Day of  
Golden Gate International Exposition, Commemorating Construc-  
tion of Bay Bridge.**

(Code No. 5.95)

Resolution No. 3645, as follows:

The following resolution, heretofore presented by Supervisor Reilly and referred to the Exposition Affairs Committee, was called out of said Committee by Supervisor Reilly and *adopted* by the following vote:

Whereas, the Golden Gate International Exposition, commemorating



the completion of the two great Bay Bridges, will be opened in 1939; and Whereas, this will be an epochal event in the history of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee to arrange for the proper observance, by San Francisco, of this historical triumph.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following bills were taken up:

**Appropriation of \$60,000—Improvements Laguna Honda Home and Hassler Health Farm.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On motion of Supervisor Shannon:

Bill No. 1528, Ordinance No. 9.051454, as follows:

Authorizing an appropriation of \$60,000 out of the 1938 Hospital Bond Issue, to the credit of Appropriation No. 80.900.00 for the purpose of making the improvements at the Laguna Honda Home, San Francisco Hospital, and Hassler Health Farm as provided for in Ordinance No. 12.1232.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$60,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the 1938 Hospital Bond Issue, to the credit of Appropriation No. 80.900.00 for the purpose of making the improvements at the Laguna Honda Home, San Francisco Hospital and Hassler Health Farm as provided for in Ordinance No. 12.1232.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Appropriation of \$930—Tabulating Alphabet Key Punch Operator, Assessor's Office.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On motion of Supervisor Shannon:

Also, Bill No. 1529, Ordinance No. 9.051455, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$930 out of the surplus existing in the funds of the Assessor's Appropriation No. 703.101.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 703.101.00, and creating an additional position of one permanent B310A Tabulating Alphabet Key Punch Operator at the rate of \$155 per month.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$930 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the funds of the Assessor's Appropriation No. 703.101.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 703.101.00, and creating an additional position of one permanent B310A Tabulating Alphabet Key Punch Operator at the rate of \$155 per month.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Governor to Include in Call for Special Session of Legislature Legislation Re Parking Automobiles.**

Supervisor Brown moved that the City Attorney prepare the proper message asking the Governor to include in the call for special session

of the Legislature, legislation for the further regulation of parking of automobiles in large municipalities.

*Motion carried.*

**President Shannon's Remarks Re Requested Resignation of Richard J. Welch, Golden Gate Bridge Director.**

Supervisor Shannon repeated the following remarks made by him to the Directors of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, at the time of his election as President, regarding resignation of Hon. Richard J. Welch as Director, and requested that copy of his remarks be printed in the Journal, and, also, be sent to Hon. Richard J. Welch, to-wit:

**PRESIDENT SHANNON:** Members of the Board: On the occasion of my being elected president of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, I made some remarks to the board of directors, and after I finished, Director Maxwell, who is Chairman of the Board of Supervisors of Napa County, told me that my remarks, while he agreed with them entirely, should be repeated before the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco, and I realized that it would be necessary and should be done. Therefore, I desire to read what I said at that time. But before reading what I stated before the board of directors, I want to read from the Bridge and Highway District Act:

"Method of Appointment of Directors to the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District: One director for each county having a population of 40,000 or less; two directors for a county having a population of over 40,000 and not more than 100,000; three directors for a county having a population of more than 100,000 and less than 500,000; and five for a county or city and county having a population of 500,000 and over; provided, that in any county or city and county having a population of more than 500,000 the number of directors appointed shall be equal to the total number of directors appointed from all of the counties or cities and counties within the district having a population of less than 500,000."

Marin County, having over 40,000 and less than 100,000, has two directors. Sonoma County, the same, two directors. Napa County, one director. Mendocino, one director. Del Norte, one director; making seven, and as a result of the law, San Francisco has an equal number with all the northern counties combined, which is seven. Therefore, there are fourteen members on the board of directors, San Francisco having seven, and all the northern counties having seven.

After thanking the members of the board of directors of the bridge district for electing me president, and congratulating the board upon having James Rickett as an added director, I stated:

"I have one further thought that I want to present. It is rather bold, but I think it is something that should have been attended to immediately after the bridge was completed, and that is, I feel that Richard J. Welch, our Congressman, should resign from this board. Mr. Welch has a very able brain, he has been a very valuable man to the district; he attended the first meeting that was held in Santa Rosa on the 13th of January, 1923, and his interest has continued from that day up until the present moment. He has had all the honors that the bridge district could give him; he has been recognized on all occasions; his name is out on the plaque; he has got honorable mention in this book, and I feel that as he is absent in Washington on his official duties, that we should have the advice of the fourteen men on this board. It was determined at the outset, when the bridge district was formed, that San Francisco should have an equal representation with the northern counties. It is a great pleasure to me this morning, that the matter of the selection of a president was made without any bickering or pulling or hauling or sawing—that while San Francisco needed the odd vote, it is not a matter of politics that I refer to this condition, but I feel that we need the advice of as many heads as we can



possibly get together under the law to carry on. Just how to proceed with this matter is something that is rather delicate. It has been suggested to Mr. Welch since the completion of the bridge, and I have been informed second hand that he has said that he will do it shortly. I don't know possibly but what the press may say something about this in tomorrow's papers and it may reach the ears of Mr. Welch; but if he does not do it voluntarily I am going to take it upon myself as president of the district to write a letter asking his resignation. I would not have taken this stand today if he had taken the opportunity since last May to send in his resignation voluntarily, but for one reason or another he is hanging on, and we need the fourteen men on this board to carry on the very important work involved. Therefore, I am not going to ask the press not to take note of what I say."

The particular point I want to make is that I stated in this statement of mine that I was going to write him a letter and ask him to resign. That is the point that I want to make. I am not going to do that. I was thinking of the position of the president of the board of directors of the bridge district, but it is a Board of Supervisors' matter. We accept resignations, we make appointments in this board, and I feel it is a matter for this Board to decide. Mr. Welch is absent, has been absent since the first of the year, and will be absent for a number of months. San Francisco has six votes and the northern counties have seven. I cannot understand why Mr. Welch is continuing his membership on the board of directors. We at times have sorely needed a vote. San Francisco is responsible for 85 per cent of any debt that will accrue and there is a possibility of an assessment going on its third year. Mr. Welch will be away for a number of months. There is nothing more that Mr. Welch can get in the way of honor that I know of. The book that I referred to is a 5000 edition of a very comprehensive story of the origin of the Golden Gate Bridge, and its history up to date. Mr. Welch is mentioned as being the man that was the first one to introduce a resolution in this Board of Supervisors to have a sum of money appropriated for the preliminary work. His name is out on the plaque on the San Francisco and Marin sides of the bridge.

I have never had anything but the friendliest feelings for Mr. Welch, and as I stated in my statement, I was told on reliable authority that he was going to resign shortly, and while I regret having made this publicly, since last May when the bridge was completed he has had ample time to resign. San Francisco should have a full representation on that board, of seven members, as the northern counties have seven, on matters that come before us; and furthermore, there are important matters that come before the bridge directors that I feel San Francisco should have the seven minds to look out for its interests.

I present this matter to you for your consideration and I sincerely trust that some action will be taken that will give to the board of directors and San Francisco the representation we so need. A man cannot vote unless he is present at the meeting of the board of directors. Therefore, Mr. Welch's vote cannot be transmitted from Washington on any matter, and any of the matters connected with the bridge where Washington is considered, we know that Mr. Welch will look out for San Francisco's interests. He has done it by his activity and interest from the time when we first met in Santa Rosa on the 13th of January, 1923. It was my pleasure to be in attendance at that meeting. I went up with Mayor Rolph. Mr. Welch has been one of the most active men in California in the promulgation of this important problem and bringing it to San Francisco, but I do feel the time has come now for him to step aside and let someone fill his place. Mr. Filmer carried on during the period in which the bridge district was just formed and up until its completion. Mr. Filmer has stepped aside and his place has been filled by Mr. Ricketts of the Building Trades Council, and I feel that, as the Building Trades Council has accepted, it should have been represented previously on the board of directors due to the fact that a great number of men of the Building Trades Council work on the bridge. These are the thoughts that I had at that time, and I feel that some action

should be taken by this Board in bringing the matter to Mr. Welch's attention.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Do you want to discuss this now, Mr. Chairman?

THE CHAIRMAN: I would like to hear some expression. Does Mr. Brown desire to discuss it?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I think the proper action would be reference to the proper committee of the Board, which I think is the Utilities Committee.

THE CHAIRMAN: The Judiciary Committee.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Isn't it the Utilities Committee?

THE CHAIRMAN: The Utilities Committee is the committee that has always made recommendations in the past.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I will move reference to the Public Utilities Committee, Mr. Chairman.

THE CHAIRMAN: If there is no objection, such will be the order. May I request that a copy of my remarks be transcribed so that I may send them to Mr. Welch? Is there objection to that? So ordered. And printed in the Journal.

#### Effect of Maloney Bill (S. 3255) on State and Municipal Financing.

Supervisor Brown presented communication from Weeden & Co., together with statement from The Daily Bond Buyer, February 2, 1938, on the effect of the Maloney bill (S. 3255) upon State and municipal financing.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

#### Retention of CCC Camp SP 35 in Bay Region for Aid in Fire Fighting.

Clerk read telegram from Eastbay Regional Park District, urging the Board to intercede with President Roosevelt and others for retention of CCC Camp SP 35, because of valuable aid in fire fighting in San Francisco Bay Region.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

#### Divisadero Street—Street of Major Importance.

(Code No. —)

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 3801, as follows:

Resolved, That Divisadero street, from Fell street to Sacramento street, be and is hereby designated as a street of major importance upon which moneys accruing to the credit of the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund shall be expended; and be it

Further Resolved, That copy of this resolution be sent to the State Department of Public Works, State Building, Civic Center, San Francisco, California, and to Col. John H. Skeggs, Division Engineer, State Department of Public Works.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

#### Congratulating Mr. Eamon de Valera and People of Ireland.

(Code No. 5.1)

Resolution No. 3800, as follows:

Whereas, eighty United States Senators, one hundred and eighty-eight United States Congressmen and nineteen Governors of our American States recently joined in a message of congratulations to Mr. Eamon de Valera as Prime Minister of Ireland, expressing their good wishes on the birth of the State of Ireland, December 29, 1937, consequent upon the coming into effect of the new Constitution ratified by popular vote; and



Whereas, the ties between our country and Ireland have always been strong and cordial, and as legislative representatives of American citizens dedicated to the principles of democracy through and by democratic constitutions, we regard the adoption of the new Irish Constitution as an event of the utmost importance, because we see in it the devotion of the Irish people to a genuine democratic government; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That we, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, join with our United States Senators, Congressmen and Governors in conveying our best wishes and congratulations to Mr. Eamon de Valera and to the people of Ireland upon the attainment of this great objective, and at the same time we express the hope that the establishment of a government in Ireland responsible to the will of the Irish people will bring about that international friendship which is so material to world peace and good will.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

**In Memoriam—William A. Connolly, George Taaffe and Nicolas Marisch.**

Supervisor Reilly moved that the Board adjourn out of respect to the memory of the late William A. Connolly, business agent of the Laundry and Cleaning Drivers' Union, and that appropriate expressions of sympathy be sent to his family.

Superior McSheehy moved that the Board adjourn out of respect to the memory of the late George Taaffe, Deputy in the Registrar's Office; also, adjourn out of respect to the memory of the late Nicolas Marisch.

**Meeting Announcements.**

Fire, Safety and Police, Friday, February 18, 1938, 10 a. m.

Judiciary Committee, Thursday, February 17, 1938, 4 p. m.

Health Committee, Friday, February 18, 1938, 10:15 a. m.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board of Supervisors at 5:40 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, February 21, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.





Vol. 33

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
MEDICAL DEPT.

No. 9

Monday, February 21, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 21, 1938, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, February 21, 1938,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

## Communication.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

February 17, 1938.

Mr. Warren Shannon, President, Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Dear Mr. Shannon: I have been called out of town and will therefore be unable to be present at the meeting of the Board of Supervisors, Monday, the 21st.

Will you kindly ask the Board to excuse me in accordance?

Yours very truly,

ARTHUR M. BROWN, JR.,

Member, Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

*Moved and carried* that Supervisor Brown be excused.

*No objection and so ordered.*

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of February 14, 1938, was considered read and approved.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

Hearing of protests against assessment warrant or diagram for payment of the cost of improvement of the crossing of Quintara street and Thirty-fourth avenue. Christ Panacci, contractor.

The foregoing hearing was announced, and no protest being made, the Clerk was directed to so notify the Department of Public Works.

## Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

### Supervisor Uhl's Bus Plan.

Consideration of Supervisor Uhl's bus plan laid over from last meeting.

**UNFINISHED BUSINESS.****Final Passage.**

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

**Membership in League of California Municipalities.**

(Code No. 5.39)

Bill No. 1513, Ordinance No. 5.391, as follows:

Authorizing the continuance of the membership of the City and County of San Francisco in the League of California Municipalities and providing for the payment of the expenses thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It will be for the interest and benefit of the City and County of San Francisco that said City and County continue its membership in the League of California Municipalities.

Section 2. The Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to continue the membership of the City and County of San Francisco in the said League of California Municipalities.

Section 3. That the annual expense of said membership of the City and County of San Francisco in said League of California Municipalities be paid out of such funds as may be annually appropriated for said purpose.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

**Changing Sidewalk Widths on Athens Street Between Avalon and Excelsior Avenues.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

The following recommendations of Streets Committee were taken up:

Bill No. 1514, Ordinance No. 12.0731116, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Two Hundred and Eighty-six (286) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office January 21, 1938, by amending Section Two Hundred and Eighty-six (286) thereof to read as follows:

Section 286. The width of sidewalks on Athens street, the easterly side of, between Madison street and Avalon avenue, shall be 29.5 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Athens street, the westerly side of, between Madison street and Avalon avenue, shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Athens street, between Avalon and Excelsior avenues, shall be as shown on that certain map, titled map showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of walks on Athens street, between Avalon and Excelsior avenues.

The width of sidewalks on Athens street, between Avalon and Amazon avenues, shall be 15 feet.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.



**Amending the So-Called Bayshore Franchise to the Southern Pacific Company.**

(Code No. 15.095)

Also, Bill No. 1515, Ordinance No. 15.0951, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Resolution No. 2902, adopted by this Board on November 16, 1936, the Southern Pacific Company, a corporation, and the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, entered into a written agreement providing among other things for the widening of a portion of Williams avenue and for the closing of certain streets in exchange for other lands required for street purposes; and

Whereas, on December 20, 1937, this Board adopted Resolution No. 3697, closing said streets subject to the terms and conditions set forth in the above mentioned agreement; and

Whereas, said agreement requires that the City release the Southern Pacific Company from certain obligations under the so-called Bayshore Franchise (Ordinance No. 1095, approved January 7, 1904), and the City agreed to accept the new bridge at Williams avenue as complete satisfaction of said franchise obligations; and

Whereas, the closing of the above mentioned streets will not interfere with railroad or other service to the public; now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Southern Pacific Company is hereby released from its obligations under Section 2 of Ordinance No. 1095, approved by the Mayor of San Francisco on January 7, 1904, to construct and maintain overhead crossings and/or crossings of any description at Yosemite avenue and Carroll avenue as then or now existing, and said company is hereby released from any franchise obligations that may have been imposed upon it over the street areas closed by Resolution No. 3697, adopted by this Board on December 20, 1937. The City and County of San Francisco hereby accepts the new bridge at Williams avenue as complete satisfaction of said franchise obligations.

Section 2. The provisions of this ordinance shall not become effective until the necessary deeds referred to in said Resolution No. 3697 have been executed and recorded.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

**Ordering the Improvement of Wherenots on Brewster Street and at Other Locations.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 1516, Ordinance No. 12.061193, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 15, 1938, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor

by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the following listed streets, where not already improved:

Brewster street, between Costa street and Faith street;  
 Flounoy street, between DeLong street and Rhine street;  
 France avenue, between Athens street and Moscow street;  
 Moscow street, between Brazil avenue and Excelsior avenue;  
 Moultrie street, between Crescent avenue and southerly termination;  
 Redondo street, between Ingerson avenue and Jamestown avenue;  
 Rhode Island street, between Twenty-fifth street and Twenty-sixth street;

Rivera street, between Thirty-third avenue and Thirty-fourth avenue;  
 Sawyer street, between Visitacion avenue and Leland avenue;  
 Venus street, between Thornton avenue and Williams avenue;

by the construction of the following:

Item No.

Item.

1. Asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch Class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.
2. 6-inch Class "E" concrete pavement.
3. 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.
4. Armored concrete curb.
5. Unarmored concrete curb.
6. 6-inch vitrified clay pipe side sewers.
7. Vertical fibre brick pavement on 6-inch Class "F" concrete base.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as: Lots 17, 18 and 19 of Block 2184; Lots 10 and 11 of Block 4282A; Lot 12 of Block 4971; Lot 26 of Block 5406; Lots 9 and 12 of Block 5556; Lot 39 of Block 5811; Lot 1 of Block 6022; Lot 10 of Block 6258; Lot 24 of Block 6280; and Lots 29 and 30 of Block 7166; all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above mentioned improvement.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
 vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

**Changing Grades, San Bruno Avenue Between Bay Shore and  
 Alemany Boulevards.**

(Code No. 12.0722)

Also, Bill No. 1517, Ordinance No. 12.072232, as follows:

Changing and re-establishing the official grades on San Bruno avenue between Bay Shore and Alemany boulevards.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 29th day of November, 1937, by Resolution No. 3664 declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on San Bruno avenue between Bay Shore and Alemany boulevards;



Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Director of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days have elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

*San Bruno Avenue:*

*Feet*

On a line at right angles to the northwesterly line of, 68.46 feet northeasterly from Hilton street easterly line.....	9.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
On a line at right angles to the northwesterly line of, at Hilton street easterly line .....	9.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Northwesterly curb line of, 243.29 feet northeasterly from Tompkins avenue (measured along the curb line).....	9.00
Easterly curb line of, at Dickinson street.....	9.00
Westerly curb line of, 113.39 feet northerly from Tompkins avenue (measured along the curb line).....	10.00
Westerly curb line of, 83 feet northerly from Alemany boulevard .....	10.00
Northerly end of the easterly curb return to Alemany boulevard .....	9.00
Northerly end of the westerly curb return to Alemany boulevard .....	9.00
Southerly end of the westerly curb return to Alemany boulevard .....	9.00
Southerly end of the easterly curb return to Alemany boulevard .....	9.00
8 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 69.47 feet southerly from Alemany boulevard .....	9.00
8 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 1.12 feet southerly from Perasto street .....	9.00
On a line at right angles to the center line of, 140 feet northerly from the first angle northerly from Rickard street .....	10.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	

*Jarboe Avenue:*

San Bruno avenue northwesterly line.....	9.00
On a line at right angles to the southerly line of, at San Bruno avenue northwesterly line.....	9.00
Holladay avenue northeasterly corner.....	52.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Holladay avenue southeasterly corner.....	47.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	

*Hilton Street:*

Easterly line of, at San Bruno avenue northwesterly line...	9.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Westerly line of, 47.83 feet northerly from San Bruno avenue .....	9.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Westerly line of, at San Bruno avenue northwesterly line...	9.00

*Holladay Avenue:*

Jarboe avenue, southwest corner .....	52.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Jarboe avenue, southeast corner .....	47.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
On a line at right angles to the easterly line of, at San Bruno avenue northwesterly line.....	9.00
Westerly line of, at San Bruno avenue northwesterly line..	9.00

*Tompkins Avenue:*

Northerly line of, at San Bruno avenue westerly line.....	10.00
Northerly line of, at Peralta avenue easterly line.....	10.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	

*Crescent Avenue:*

At right angles to the northwesterly line of, at Peralta avenue westerly line .....	10.00
At right angles to the northwesterly line of, at Bradford street easterly line .....	10.80
(The same being the present official grade.)	

*Peralta Avenue:*

Westerly line of, at Tompkins avenue southerly line.....	10.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Westerly line of, at Crescent avenue northwesterly line...	10.00

*Dickinson Street:*

Abolish grades between San Bruno avenue and a point 100 feet southeasterly from Marengo street.....

On San Bruno avenue between the Bay Shore boulevard and a line at right angles to the center line of, 140 feet northerly from the first angle northerly from Rickard street; on Jarboe avenue between San Bruno avenue and Holladay avenue; on Hilton street between San Bruno avenue and a line at right angles to the westerly line of, and 47.83 feet northerly from San Bruno avenue; on Holladay avenue between Jarboe avenue and San Bruno avenue; on Tompkins avenue between San Bruno avenue and Peralta avenue; on Crescent avenue between San Bruno avenue and a line at right angles to the northwesterly line of, at Bradford street easterly line; on Peralta avenue between Tompkins avenue and Crescent avenue, and on Dickinson street between San Bruno avenue and a point 100 feet southeasterly from Marengo street be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

These proceedings are instituted in accordance with the "Change of Grade Act of 1909." The San Francisco News is hereby designated as the newspaper in which this resolution shall be published.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades.

The Director of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this Resolution of Intention.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

### Changing Sidewalk Widths on Duncan Street Between San Jose Avenue and Castro Street.

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1518, Ordinance No. 12.0731117, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Fifty-one (51) thereof.



Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office January 24, 1938, by amending Section Fifty-one (51) thereof, to read as follows:

Section 51: The width of sidewalks on Duncan street between San Jose avenue and Castro street shall be 12 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Duncan street between Castro and Diamond streets shall be as shown on that certain map, titled map showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks on Army street between Noe and Diamond streets; on Twenty-seventh street and Duncan street between Castro and Diamond streets; on Newburg street between Twenty-seventh and Duncan streets; and on Castro street between Army and Twenty-seventh streets.

The width of sidewalks on Duncan street between Diamond and Burnham streets shall be 12 feet.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncivieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

#### **Changing Sidewalk Widths on Army Street Between Diamond and Noe Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1519, Ordinance No. 12.0731118, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Two (1202).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office January 24, 1938, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Two (1202), to read as follows:

Section 1202: The width of sidewalks on Army street between Diamond and Noe streets shall be as shown on that certain map, titled map showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks on Army street between Noe and Diamond streets; on Twenty-seventh street and Duncan street between Castro and Diamond streets; on Newburg street between Twenty-seventh and Duncan streets; and on Castro street between Army and Twenty-seventh streets.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncivieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

#### **Changing Sidewalk Widths on Twenty-seventh Street Between Diamond and Castro Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1520, Ordinance No. 12.0731119, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Three (1203).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in

accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office January 24, 1938, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Three (1203), to read as follows:

Section 1203: The width of sidewalks on Twenty-seventh street between Diamond and Castro streets shall be as shown on that certain map, titled map showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks on Army street between Noe and Diamond streets; on Twenty-seventh street and Duncan street between Castro and Diamond streets; on Newburg street between Twenty-seventh and Duncan streets; and on Castro street between Army and Twenty-seventh streets.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

**Changing Sidewalk Widths on Newburg Street Between Twenty-seventh and Duncan Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1521, Ordinance No. 12.0731120, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Four (1204).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office January 24, 1938, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Four (1204) to read as follows:

Section 1204: The width of sidewalks on Newburg street between Twenty-seventh and Duncan streets shall be as shown on that certain map, titled Map showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks on Army street between Noe and Diamond streets; on Twenty-seventh street and Duncan street between Castro and Diamond streets; on Newburg street between Twenty-seventh and Duncan streets; and on Castro street between Army and Twenty-seventh streets.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

**Changing Sidewalk Widths on Castro Street Between Army and Twenty-seventh Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1522, Ordinance No. 12.0731121, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Five (1205).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office January 24, 1938, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Five (1205) to read as follows:

Section 1205: The width of sidewalks on Castro street between Army and Twenty-seventh streets shall be as shown on that certain map, titled Map showing the location of street and curb lines and the



width of sidewalks on Army street between Noe and Diamond streets; on Twenty-seventh street and Duncan street between Castro and Diamond streets; on Newburg street between Twenty-seventh and Duncan streets; and on Castro street between Army and Twenty-seventh streets.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Adopted.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

### Authorizing Acquisition of Lands for Army Street Widening by Condemnation Proceedings.

(Code No. 6.0211)

Resolution No. 3702, as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following described parcels of real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

*Parcel 1.* Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Florida street, distant thereon 29.781 feet northerly from the northerly line of Army street, said point being in the northerly line of the property now or formerly owned by Moritz Ittig and Lene Ittig; thence northerly along said line of Florida street, 6.264 feet to a line parallel with and distant 36 feet at right angles northerly from aforesaid line of Army street; thence deflecting 92 degrees 51 minutes 34 seconds to the right and running easterly along said parallel line, 75.093 feet to the westerly line of the property now or formerly owned by John A. Johnson and Esther M. Johnson; thence deflecting 87 degrees 08 minutes 26 seconds to the right and running southerly along last named line, 2.535 feet to aforesaid line of the Ittig property; thence at right angles westerly along last named line, 75 feet to the easterly line of Florida street and the point of beginning.

*Parcel 2.* Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Army street and the easterly line of Alabama street; thence easterly along said line of Army street, 100.125 feet to the westerly line of the property now or formerly owned by Walter T. Moldenhauer and Matilda Moldenhauer; thence deflecting 92 degrees 51 minutes 34 seconds to the left and running northerly along last named line, 35.594 feet to the southerly line of the property now or formerly owned by Clara Jones; thence at right angles westerly along last named line, 100 feet to the easterly line of Alabama street; thence at right angles southerly along said line of Alabama street, 31 feet to the northerly line of Army street and the point of beginning.

*Parcel 3.* Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Army street and the westerly line of Alabama street; thence westerly along said line of Army street, 50.250 feet to the easterly line of the property now or formerly owned by Joseph Esola and Maud Esola; thence deflecting 87 degrees 08 minutes 26 seconds to the right and running northerly along last named line, 36.045 feet to a line parallel with and distant 36 feet at right angles northerly from said line of Army street; thence deflecting 92 degrees 51 minutes 34 seconds to the right and running easterly along said parallel line, 50.250 feet to the westerly line of Alabama street; thence deflecting 87 degrees 08 minutes 26 seconds to the right and running southerly along said line of Alabama street 36.045 feet to the northerly line of Army street and the point of beginning.

*Parcel 4.* Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Alabama street, distant thereon 31 feet northerly from the northerly line of Army street, said point being in the northerly line of the property now or formerly owned by Antonio Fiorito and Rosalia Fiorito; thence northerly along said line of Alabama street, 5.045 feet to a line parallel with and distant 36 feet at right angles northerly from said line of Army street; thence deflecting 92 degrees 51 minutes 34 seconds to the right and running easterly along said parallel line, 100.125 feet to the westerly line of the property now or formerly owned by Walter T. Moldenhauer and Matilda Moldenhauer; thence deflecting 87 degrees 08 minutes 26 seconds to the right and running southerly along last named line, 0.451 feet to aforesaid line of the Fiorito property; thence at right angles westerly along last named line, 100 feet to the easterly line of Alabama street and the point of beginning.

*Parcel 5.* Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Army street, distant thereon 150.250 feet westerly from the westerly line of Alabama street, said point being in the westerly line of the property now or formerly owned by Marcel Lascurettes and Nellie Lascurettes; thence westerly along said line of Army street, 25 feet to the easterly line of the property now or formerly owned by John Anderson and Emily Anderson; thence deflecting 87 degrees 08 minutes 26 seconds to the right and running northerly along last named line, 36.045 feet to a line parallel with and distant 36 feet at right angles northerly from said line of Army street; thence deflecting 92 degrees 51 minutes 34 seconds to the right and running easterly along said parallel line, 25 feet to aforesaid line of the Lascurettes property; thence deflecting 87 degrees 08 minutes 26 seconds to the right and running southerly along last named line, 36.045 feet to the northerly line of Army street and the point of beginning.

Be it Further Resolved, That said lands are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: for the widening of Army street between Bryant and Harrison streets. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use. The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcels of lands and any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

#### **Confirming Lease of Belmont Property to Marguerite Hyde Nobbs.**

(Code No. 12.17352)

Also, Resolution No. 3803, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.173525, Bill No. 1492, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids would be received by him on January 31, 1938, for leasing a certain tract of San Francisco Water Department land situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, which land is a portion of Parcel No. 35, San Mateo County Lands, as per deed from Spring Valley Water Company to City and County of San Francisco, recorded March 3, 1930, in Volume 491, page 1, San Mateo County Records, said tract of land being more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the northwesterly boundary line of said Parcel No. 35 with the southwesterly line of El Camino Real; thence southwesterly along said northwesterly line 90 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 110 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 88 feet more or less to the southwesterly line of El Camino Real; thence northwesterly along last named line 112 feet



more or less to the point of commencement. Together with the existing buildings located on said land.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, Marguerite Hyde Nobbs offered to lease said property for a period of five years at a rental of \$35 per month, provided that she be allowed to spend not more than \$500 for repairing the main building on said land, and that the amount of such expenses be credited as rental; and

Whereas, Marguerite Hyde Nobbs has paid the sum of \$35 to the Director of Property as a deposit in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, no higher bids were made or received, and the Public Utilities Commission has recommended that said property be leased upon the above mentioned terms; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute the necessary lease to Marguerite Hyde Nobbs.

The lessee shall abide by all laws, ordinances and regulations controlling or relating in any way to the lessee's use of the premises. Right reserved by the City and County of San Francisco to construct maintain, operate and repair pipe lines and other water works structures on said property.

The Director of Property and the City Attorney shall approve the form of the lease.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

#### Authorizing Exchange of Lands With City Title Insurance Company.

(Code No. 12.1743)

Bill No. 1532, Ordinance No. 12.17433, as follows:

Authorizing exchange of lands with City Title Insurance Company.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, the Director of Property, in lieu of sale, is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for trading certain City-owned land hereinafter referred to as Parcel A to the City Title Insurance Company, in exchange for certain real property hereinafter referred to as Parcels 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6.

Section 2. Said Parcel A is that certain tract of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and more particularly described in Ordinance No. 12.172910, Bill No. 1499, approved by the Mayor of San Francisco on January 19, 1938, which parcel was proposed to be sold under the provisions of Ordinance No. 12.172910.

Section 3. The Director of Property has made an appraisal of all of said real property and estimates the value of the land to be traded to the City Title Insurance Company to be equal to the total value of the parcels to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 4. Said Parcels 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 hereinbefore referred to are situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and are more particularly described as follows:

*Parcel 1.* Commencing at a point on the northwesterly line of Bow street, distant thereon sixty-three and seventy-one one hundredths

(63 71/100) feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Yale street; thence running northeasterly along said northwesterly line of Bow street twenty-five (25) feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly one hundred (100) feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly twenty-five (25) feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly one hundred (100) feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Block No. 10, Reis Tract, and Block No. 126, University Mound Tract Survey.

*Parcel 2.* Commencing at a point on the southwesterly line of Madison street, distant thereon fifty (50) feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Dwight street, and running thence northwesterly and along said southwesterly line of Madison street fifty (50) feet; thence at right angles southwesterly ninety-five (95) feet; thence at right angles southeasterly fifty (50) feet, and thence at right angles northeasterly ninety-five (95) feet to the southwesterly line of Madison street and the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Block 179, University Extension and Homestead Association.

*Parcel 3.* Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Gundlach street, if said street is prolonged or extended southerly, distant thereon 468 feet  $\frac{1}{2}$  of an inch southerly from the southerly line of Sunnydale avenue; running thence southerly along the said easterly line of Gundlach street, 50 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 110 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 50 feet and thence at a right angle westerly 110 feet to the easterly line of Gundlach street and the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Visitacion Rancho.

*Parcel 4.* Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Gundlach street, if said street is prolonged or extended southerly, distant thereon 543 feet  $\frac{1}{2}$  of an inch southerly from the southerly line of Sunnydale avenue, running thence southerly and along the said easterly line of Gundlach street, as extended, 41 feet  $\frac{3}{4}$  of an inch; thence at a right angle easterly 110 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 41 feet  $\frac{3}{4}$  of an inch and thence at a right angle westerly 110 feet to the easterly line of Gundlach street and the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Visitacion Rancho.

*Parcel 5.* Lot No. 1, in Block No. 5 of Reis Tract, as per map filed May 19, 1904, in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and recorded in Map Book 1 at pages 241 and 242.

*Parcel 6.* Commencing at a point on the northeasterly line of Amherst street, distant thereon 100 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Mansell street; thence running southeasterly along said northeasterly line of Amherst street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 25 feet and thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Block No. 125, University Mound Tract Survey and Block No. 11, Reis Tract.

Section 5. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute the necessary deed for the conveyance of Parcel A to the City Title Insurance Company, or its assignee. The Director of Property shall deliver said conveyance to the grantee upon receipt of the necessary deed to Parcels 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6, and shall record the latter deed.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.



**Action Deferred.**

The following matter, on motion of Supervisor Uhl, was *laid over one week*:

**Sigmund Stern Recreational Grove Land Purchase Agreement.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Resolution No. 3797, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Recreation Department, that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, enter into a written agreement with George I. Butler, for the right and privilege of using and purchasing the following described real property, or a portion or portions thereof, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, subject to the terms and conditions set forth in said agreement:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Wawona street with the center line of Twenty-first avenue, running thence easterly along the southerly line of Wawona street 576 feet to the proposed new westerly line of Nineteenth avenue; thence deflecting 90 degrees 22 minutes 23 seconds to the right and running southerly along last-named line 600.013 feet to the northerly boundary of that certain tract of land conveyed by Nettie Beckwith, et al., to the City and County of San Francisco by deed dated April 30, 1937, and recorded May 19, 1937, in Book 3145, page 117, Official Records of San Francisco; thence deflecting 90 degrees 22 minutes 23 seconds to the right and running westerly along said northerly boundary 572.094 feet to the center line of Twenty-first avenue; thence northerly along said center line 600 feet to the point of commencement.

Being all of Assessor's Blocks 2488 and 2489, and portions of Twentieth avenue and Twenty-first avenue.

Said right to purchase said property, and to use the same for recreational and other purposes prior to the acquisition thereof shall be upon the terms and conditions set forth in the written agreement therefor prepared by the Director of Property, approved by the City Attorney and the Recreation Department, and this day presented to this Board for its approval. It is understood that the City and County of San Francisco may purchase the above described land for the sum of \$50,000, according to the terms and conditions of said agreement; be it

Further Resolved, That said written agreement as presented be and is hereby approved, and that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute the same on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation; and be it

Further Resolved, That upon said agreement becoming effective, that the sum of \$15,000 be paid for the tract of land described as Parcel 1 in said agreement, and that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed to said Parcel 1. Said sum of \$15,000 shall be payable from the Recreation Department Reserve for Land Purchases. Nothing contained in this resolution or in said agreement shall be construed to obligate the City and County of San Francisco to complete the purchase of any of the parcels described in said agreement, excepting Parcel 1.

**Final Passage.**

The following emergency bill recommended by the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Appropriation of \$9,000 for Milk for Indigent, Undernourished School Children.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1534, Ordinance No. 9.051456, as follows:

Authorizing an appropriation of \$9,000 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 750.350.18 for the purpose of

providing funds for the purchase of milk to indigent, undernourished school children; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of nine thousand dollars (\$9,000) is hereby appropriated out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 750.350.18 for the purpose of providing funds for the purchase of milk to indigent, undernourished school children.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that a natural emergency exists as the Department of Public Health is without funds to provide milk for the indigent, undernourished school children.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by J. C. Geiger, Director of Public Health.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendations of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police were taken up:

#### Curfew Ordinance.

(Code No. 11.00)

Bill No. 1530, Ordinance No. 11.0016, as follows:

Regulating the presence of minors on public streets and other public places between certain hours at night, and providing for the investigation by peace officers and juvenile probation officers of minors found on said public streets and other public places between said hours, and repealing Ordinance No. 371 (New Series).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any minor under the age of sixteen years to loiter, wander, stroll, or play in, on, or about any public place, street, park or square between the hours of ten (10) o'clock p. m. and daylight of the following day.

Section 2. It shall be unlawful for any minor under the age of eighteen years to loiter, wander, stroll, or play in, on or about, any public place, street, park or square between the hours of 12 o'clock midnight and daylight immediately following.

Section 3. The provisions of Sections 1 and 2 of this ordinance shall not apply where the minors dealt with respectively in said Sections 1 and 2 are accompanied by parent, guardian or other adult person having the care and custody of such minor; or where the presence of said minors in a public place, street, park or square, is connected with, and required by, some legitimate pursuit in which said minor is engaged.

Section 4. Whenever a peace officer or juvenile probation officer discovers or has his or her attention called to the fact that any minor is in any public place or on a public street or in any park or square contrary to the provisions of Sections 1 and 2 of this ordinance and that said minor is not accompanied by one of the persons specified in Section 3 of this ordinance, said peace officer or juvenile probation officer shall make immediate investigation for the purpose of ascertaining whether or not the presence of said minor is connected with, or required by any legitimate pursuit or business in which said minor may be engaged. If the investigation reveals that the presence of said minor in said public place or on said public street, or in said park or square is not connected



with, or required by, any legitimate pursuit or business in which said minor may be engaged, then said peace officer or juvenile probation officer shall cause said minor to be taken to the home or place of residence of said minor, or if said officer deems that it will be for the best interest of said minor, said minor may be taken to the Juvenile Detention Home for a further investigation for the purpose of ascertaining if the actions of said minor are such as to bring him or her within the provisions of Section 700 of the Welfare and Institutions Code; and if from such investigation it should be determined that the actions of said minor are such as to bring him or her within the provisions of said Section 700 of the Welfare and Institutions Code, then said minor shall be dealt with by the Juvenile Court or by the juvenile probation officer in the manner provided by State law. Should said investigation, however, show that said minor has not violated this or any other ordinance or law, said minor shall be immediately released from custody and returned to his or her home or to the custody of his or her parent or guardian.

Section 5. Any minor who shall violate the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor.

Section 6. Ordinance No. 371 (New Series) is hereby repealed.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

### **Sale of Goods, Wares or Merchandise by Minors in Taverns.**

(Code No. 11.00)

Also, Bill No. 1531, Ordinance No. 11.0017, as follows:

Regulating the sale, or the offering for sale, of goods, wares or merchandise, or the rendition of service, by minors under the age of eighteen years in places where alcoholic beverages are sold, offered for sale or dispensed for consumption on the premises.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. No minor under the age of eighteen years shall, for the purpose of selling, disposing of, or offering for sale or disposal any goods, wares or merchandise, or for the purpose of rendering any service to any person on or about said premises, enter any place or premises wherein alcoholic beverages are sold or dispensed for consumption at or upon said place or premises.

Section 2. It shall be unlawful for the proprietor or other persons in charge of the place or premises referred to in Section 1 of this ordinance to permit a minor under the age of eighteen years to enter said place or premises, or to remain therein, for the purpose of selling or offering for sale any goods, wares or merchandise, or for the purpose of rendering any service to any person in or about said premises.

Section 3. The provisions of Sections 1 and 2 of this ordinance shall not apply to the sale, by minors under the age of eighteen years, of goods, wares or merchandise, other than alcoholic beverages, at baseball games, football games and other like gatherings, where the sale or dispensing of alcoholic beverages for consumption upon the place or premises is only incidental to the exhibition or venture carried on or engaged in.

Section 4. Any person who shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof, shall be punished by a fine not to exceed five hundred (\$500) dollars or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of Judiciary Committee was taken up:

**Congratulating Mr. Eamon de Valera and the People of Ireland.**

(Code No. 5.1)

Resolution No. 3800, as follows:

Whereas, 80 United States Senators, 188 United States Congressmen, and 19 governors of our American states, recently joined in a message of congratulation to Mr. Eamon de Valera as Prime Minister of Ireland, expressing their good wishes on the birth of the State of Ireland, December 29th, 1937, consequent upon the coming into effect of the new constitution ratified by popular vote; and

Whereas, the ties between our country and Ireland have always been strong and cordial, and as legislative representatives of American citizens dedicated to the principles of democratic government through and by democratic constitutions, we regard the adoption of the new Irish constitution as an event of the utmost importance, because we see in it the devotion of the Irish people to a genuine democratic government; therefore, be it

Resolved, That we, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, join with our United States Senators, Congressmen and Governors in conveying our best wishes and congratulations to Mr. Eamon de Valera and to the people of Ireland upon the attainment of this great objective, and at the same time we express the hope that the establishment of a government in Ireland responsible to the will of the Irish people will bring about that international friendship which is so material to world peace and good will.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

**Re Per Diem Expense Allowance for Officials.**

(Code No. 9.056)

The Judiciary Committee recommends against the passage of the following bill:

Bill No. 1533, Ordinance No. 9.0567, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 9.0565, entitled as follows: "Providing for the Amount Per Diem for the Fiscal Year 1937-1938 for Officers and Employees of the City and County of San Francisco for Traveling Expenses Exclusive of Transportation and Pullman Charges and Excluding Traveling Expenses Incurred in the Discharge of Routine Duty, and Directing the Controller to Establish Rules for Payment of Claims for Traveling Expenses," by providing therein the amounts which will be allowed as traveling expenses, and the time for which the same will be allowed.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Ordinance No. 9.0565, entitled as above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 1. During the fiscal year 1937-1938 any officer or employee of the City and County of San Francisco, except in the discharge of routine duties, who shall, under the authority of law or ordinance, leave the City and County for the purpose of performing any official duty for or on behalf of the City and County; or for the purpose of rendering any service to, or for the City and County, or for the purpose of officially representing said City and County, or any commission or department thereof, shall be allowed as the expense incident to said service the actual cost of transportation, including Pullman charges, together with an amount not to exceed fifteen dollars per day for each



and every day while said officer or employee is absent on said official business.

Section 2. Allowance for traveling expense shall be based upon the most efficient, direct and economical mode of transportation required by the occasion. In extraordinary or emergency cases, however, with the approval of the Controller, transportation may be contracted in the most expeditious and expedient manner.

Section 3. The number of days which shall be used as the basis for computing the allowance for expenses other than transportation hereunder shall not exceed the number of days required in traveling and in attending to the business or to the purpose for which the trip is made.

Section 4. The Controller shall establish rules for the payment of all amounts payable pursuant to Section 1 hereof, and for the presentation of such vouchers as he shall deem proper in connection with expenditures made pursuant to said section. No allowance shall be made for traveling expense provided for in this ordinance unless an appropriation for such expense has been made by annual or supplemental appropriation ordinance enacted in accordance with the provisions of the Charter.

Section 5. Not more than the following amounts shall be allowed for any of the following expense items incurred pursuant to this ordinance:

Hotel accommodations, \$5 per day; meals while not traveling, \$5 per day; meals on train, \$4.50 per day. An amount equal to 15 per cent of the cost of any meal may be added as a service charge. Necessary taxi or bus charges and charges for handling baggage shall be included as a traveling expense, as will the cost of telephone communications and telegrams when same are for official business. Receipts need not be presented for meals, nor for taxi, bus or baggage charges, unless the latter are called for by the Controller. A sum of \$1 per day shall be allowed for incidental expenses, for which no voucher shall be required. Amounts in excess of the allowances herein provided for may be allowed by vote of the Board of Supervisors when said amounts have been actually expended for the benefit of the City and County.

Section 6. The Controller may advance the sums necessary for traveling expenses, but proper account and return must be made of said sums so advanced by the person receiving the same within ten days after said person returns to the City and County of San Francisco.

#### Bill Refused Passage.

After discussion, the roll was called on the recommendation of the Judiciary Committee that the foregoing bill *do not pass* and the recommendation was *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon—7.

Noes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

#### Action Deferred.

The following from Public Utilities Committee without recommendation was *laid over one week*:

#### Abandonment of Street Car Service on Twentieth Avenue.

(Code No. 19.091)

Resolution No. 3762, as follows:

Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company be and is hereby directed to discontinue street railway service on Twentieth avenue, between Irving street and Wawona street.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Public Health Committee were taken up:

**Abatement Proceedings—649 Chestnut Street.**

(Code No. 17.09)

Resolution No. 3804, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Health, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, does hereby declare that the premises numbered, known and designated as 649 Chestnut street, in the City and County of San Francisco be and the same are hereby declared to be a public nuisance and the City Attorney of said City and County is hereby directed to abate the same in conformity with the provisions of Section 731 of the Code of Civil Procedure of the State of California.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

**Abatement Proceedings—539 to 549 Frederick Street and 543 A-B-C Frederick Street.**

(Code No. 17.09)

Also, Resolution No. 3805, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Health, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, does hereby declare that the premises numbered, known and designated as 539 to 549 Frederick street, together with rear building numbered, known and designated as 543 A-B-C Frederick street, in the City and County of San Francisco, be and the same are hereby declared to be a public nuisance and the City Attorney of said City and County is hereby directed to abate the same in conformity with the provisions of Section 731 of the Code of Civil Procedure of the State of California.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Necessity of Pacific Gas and Electric Company to Apply for Franchise for Distributing Power for Heat and Power Purposes.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

February 21, 1938.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Dear Sirs: Some time ago you referred to me the matter as to whether the Pacific Gas and Electric Company had the right to continue the distribution of electric energy for heating and power purposes and gas for heating purposes without a franchise.

Since that time I have had many conferences with the officials of the company and have concluded, with the exception of its constitutional franchises—that is, those franchises which the company acquired prior to October 10, 1911, under the provisions of Section 19, Article XI of



the Constitution, that the company is without a franchise for the furnishing of either gas or electricity for heating or power purposes. The company does claim some rights, however, under Resolution No. 15139, passed by the Board of Supervisors on April 25, 1881, which granted permission to the California Electric Light Company to erect and maintain poles along and upon streets for the purpose of placing electric light wires thereon, and also under Resolution No. 2727, adopted by the Board of Supervisors on April 24, 1899, which granted to the Mutual Electric Light Company the privilege to erect poles and posts along certain public streets outside of the fire limits of the City and County.

I doubt, however, if any rights could have been granted by these resolutions and believe that they were merely passed for the purpose of enabling the grantees to make use of the privileges they had under Section 19 of Article XI of the Constitution.

The last session of the State Legislature adopted a statute the purpose of which was to permit municipalities to grant a franchise to construct and use poles, wires and conduits for the purpose of transmitting and distributing electricity and also to use and lay pipes and appurtenances for the purpose of transmitting and distributing gas.

This act provides that an applicant for any of the franchises above mentioned shall make application to the legislative body of the municipality setting forth the name of the applicant, the purpose and term of the franchise and the applicant, if granted a franchise, will pay such municipality during the life of such franchise, 2 per cent of the gross annual receipts arising from the operation or use of the franchise, provided that such payment shall, in no event, be less than 1 per cent of the gross annual receipts of the applicant derived from the exercise of the franchise within the municipality.

The act further provides that if the application shall be for a franchise in addition to any franchise held under the Constitution, the applicant will pay such sum annually as shall be equivalent to 2 per cent of the gross annual receipts arising from the sale of electricity and that such payment shall, in no event, be less than one-half of the gross annual receipts derived by the applicant from the sale of electricity under the constitutional franchise and under the new franchise.

The act further provides, if the application be for a gas franchise, that the amount to be paid shall be equivalent to 2 per cent of the gross annual receipts from the sale of gas provided that such payment shall, in no event, be less than 1 per cent of the gross receipts which may be derived by the applicant from the sale of gas under the constitutional franchise as well as under the new franchise.

The act then provides as to what action the Board shall take upon the application. The franchise may be granted for a definite or for an indefinite term. If granted for an indefinite term it shall remain in full force and effect until the same, with the consent of the Railroad Commission, shall be abandoned by the applicant, or until the State of California or some municipal or public corporation shall acquire or condemn the property.

The act further provides that any franchise granted pursuant to its terms shall, in no way, impair or affect the right of the municipality to acquire the property of the grantee, either by purchase or by eminent domain proceedings; and further, that any such franchise granted shall never be given any value before any court or other public authority in any proceeding to determine the value of the properties of the grantee, save and except that it may be valued at whatever amount the applicant may have paid to the municipality for the franchise.

Under these conditions, it is my advice to the Board that the Pacific Gas and Electric Company should make application to the Board for a franchise under the 1937 act, and that the application should receive the prompt attention of the Board. Upon the hearing of the application, the Board may give consideration as to the exact terms and conditions on which the franchise may be issued.

I am therefore enclosing herewith a resolution directing the City Attorney to notify the Pacific Gas and Electric Company that if it desires to continue the distribution of gas and electricity for purposes other than for lighting, it should make application for a franchise under the act above mentioned.

Very truly yours,

(Signed) JOHN J. O'TOOLE,  
City Attorney.

**Directing the City Attorney of the City and County of San Francisco to Notify the Pacific Gas and Electric Company That, if It Is Desirous of Continuing to Furnish Electric Energy for Power and Heating Purposes and Gas for Heating Purposes Within the City and County of San Francisco, to Apply to the Board of Supervisors of Said City and County for a Franchise to So Do.**

(Code No. 15.096)

Resolution No. 3807, as follows:

Whereas, the Legislature of the State of California, at its 1937 session, duly passed an act "Providing for the Granting by Legislative Bodies of Municipalities of Franchises for the Transmitting and Distributing of Electricity or Gas and Providing for the Duration and Terms of Such Franchises and the Conditions for the Granting of Same and Providing for the Forfeiture Thereof for Non-Compliance Therewith;" which said act was approved by the Governor on the 29th day of June, 1937, and became effective on August 27, 1937; and

Whereas, said act provides for the granting of franchises for the distribution of gas and electric energy for heating and power purposes within municipalities and for the payment to said municipalities of a certain percentage of the gross income received by the person, firm or corporation enjoying said franchise; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco that the Pacific Gas and Electric Company is distributing electric energy and gas for heating and power purposes within the City and County of San Francisco without any franchise or authority to so do; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be, and he is, hereby directed to notify said Pacific Gas and Electric Company, if it is desirous of continuing the distribution of electric energy and gas in the City and County of San Francisco for heating or power purposes, that said company must obtain a franchise to so do, said franchise to be issued under and pursuant to the provisions of the above entitled act of the Legislature of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That said City Attorney be, and he is, hereby directed to request said Pacific Gas and Electric Company to forthwith make application for said franchise.

**Mayor Requested to Appoint Citizens Committee for Proper Observance of Memorial Day.**

(Code No. 5.92)

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 3806, as follows:

Whereas, the thirtieth day of May, 1938, will be Memorial Day; and

Whereas, it is customary, and most right and proper, that we who live and enjoy the blessings of liberty and security under the benign government of these United States of America should on Memorial Day pay tribute to the revered memory of those patriotic men and women who died in the service of our country so that America might continue to be secure; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby respectfully request his Honor the Mayor



to appoint a Citizens Committee to arrange and provide for the proper observance of Memorial Day.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

**Memorializing Legislature and Governor for Enactment of Enabling  
Act for Participation in Federal Slum Clearance and Low Cost  
Housing Projects.**

(Code No. 5.35)

Supervisor Mead presented:

Resolution No. 3807, as follows:

Whereas, the Federal Government has recently enacted legislation to provide financial assistance to the States, and through the States to subdivisions thereof, for slum clearance and low cost housing projects; and

Whereas, in order that San Francisco and other political subdivisions of the State may be in a position to enjoy the benefits provided under the aforementioned legislation, it will be necessary for the State of California to enact enabling provisions to permit thereof; and

Whereas, Governor Merriam will, in his call for a Special Session of the Legislature to convene some time in March of this year, include a proposal for the consideration of such legislation as would, if enacted, place the State and its political subdivisions in a position to accept the benefits provided for in the aforementioned Federal legislation; and

Whereas, there is a vital necessity in San Francisco for the demolition of many old and dilapidated houses and the construction of new, modern, low-cost houses to supplant them, and this, particularly, in view of the impending Golden Gate International Exposition; and

Whereas, an opportunity for San Francisco to participate in the benefits conferred under this Federal legislation would mean the expenditure in San Francisco of a sum approximating \$10,000,000 which would provide a stimulus for the building trade crafts and local materialmen; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby memorialize the Legislature and does urge that when the legislation on this subject is presented to it at the forthcoming session, it be enacted with all dispatch; and be it

Further Resolved, That if and when the enabling legislation on this subject be enacted by the Legislature of the State of California, His Excellency Governor Merriam is respectfully requested to immediately approve and sign all the Bills comprising such enabling legislation; and be it

Further Resolved, That the San Francisco delegation in the Legislature of the State of California be and is hereby requested to exert its best efforts to the end that the legislation hereinbefore referred to may be enacted into law at the forthcoming Special Session; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be sent to His Excellency Frank F. Merriam, Governor of the State of California, to State Senator Walter McGovern and to the members of the San Francisco delegation in the Assembly.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

**Commendation of Hearst Newspapers' Program for  
Humanizing Warfare.**

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 3808, as follows:

Whereas, there are now two great, undeclared wars raging in the world, bringing death and destruction to thousands of non-combatants; and

Whereas, this frightful slaughter of innocent women and children in Spain and in China is inhuman and animal barbarity and may be vested upon our city and nation if we ever become involved in a world conflict; and

Whereas, the Hearst newspapers have pointed out that humanization has been applied to all factors of living but that of warfare; and

Whereas, the unmitigated slaughter of defenseless women and children, through use of bombs rained on non-military centers, is only uncontrolled murder of those who have no part in the hostilities; and

Whereas, such military maneuvers will tend to destroy our civilization; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That we endorse the effort to write a new code of rules for conduct of wars with the objective that the innocents be protected from inhuman attacks; and be it

Further Resolved, That we commend the program of humanization undertaken by the Hearst newspapers.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Hon. Jesse C. Colman, Member Board of Supervisors.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3810, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Jesse C. Colman, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing March 5, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

**Leave of Absence—Rev. Leo W. Powleson, Member Recreation Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 3811, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Rev. Father Leo W. Powleson, a member of the Recreation Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing March 4, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.



**Leave of Absence—Hon. John H. McCallum, Member Public Utilities Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 3812, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable John H. McCallum, member of the Public Utilities Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of two weeks, commencing March 6, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

**Re Requested Resignation of Richard J. Welch From Directorship Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

February 18, 1938.

To the Honorable The Board of Supervisors.

Gentlemen:

*Report of Public Utilities Committee.*

At a meeting of the Public Utilities Committee, held February 17, 1938, the question was considered whether the Board of Supervisors should transmit a copy of the remarks of Supervisor Shannon to Congressman Welch anent the resignation of the Congressman from the Board of Directors of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District.

The Committee recommends against the transmission by the Board of Supervisors of said remarks. The Committee further recommends against requesting the resignation of Congressman Welch as a director of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District.

J. MCSHEEHY,  
Member.

(I move adoption of Committee's report.)

*Communication From Upper Noe Valley Improvement Association.*

February 19, 1938.

Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

At the regular meeting of our association, held on Wednesday evening, February 9, 1938, the question of a resolution now pending before the Public Utilities Committee of the Board of Supervisors requesting the resignation of the Honorable Richard J. Welch as a director of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District. After much discussion on this very important question, the following resolution was unanimously adopted:

Whereas, the Honorable Richard J. Welch, as a director of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District since its inception, has served the taxpayers of the City and County of San Francisco with honor and distinction in his capacity as such; and

Whereas, the Honorable Richard J. Welch sponsored the Golden Gate Bridge and many of the beautiful highways in the City and County of San Francisco and adjoining counties; and

Whereas, the resignation of the Honorable Richard J. Welch at this time would retard the development of our City and be a detriment to the interests of the taxpayers who are responsible for 85 per cent of the Golden Gate Bridge bonds; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the members of the Upper Noe Valley Improvement Association, as citizens and taxpayers of the City and County of San Francisco, do unanimously oppose any movement originating from any source whatsoever at this time, towards the removal of the Honorable Richard J. Welch as a director of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway

District or any attempt to impede his capacity or authority as such; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be sent to his Honor the Mayor, the Board of Supervisors, the Chamber of Commerce and all fraternal and civic organizations, interested in the future development and well-being of our fair City.

J. MAHONEY,  
President.  
J. LAMB,  
Secretary.

#### Adopted.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the foregoing report was adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

No—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

#### Standardization of Nurses' Salaries.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Honorable Franck R. Havenner, Congressman, calling attention to complaint of nurses in the Field Nursing Division of the Department of Public Health, that their salary standardization adopted by the Civil Service Commission for classes Nos. P-52, P-54, P-58 and P-101 are lower than the salaries paid for comparable positions in the State of California, and requesting that the matter be given attention with a view to rectifying alleged injustice.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

#### Amendment to Billboard Ordinance.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from the Eureka Valley Promotion Association regarding the advisability of amending the ordinance regulating the construction, etc., of billboards in San Francisco, especially at that part of Market street west of Seventeenth and Castro streets.

*Referred to Fire, Safety and Police Committee.*

#### Protest Salary Standardization—Garage Men.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Melvin Huber, J 66, acting representative for garage men in the employ of the City, protesting reduction of garage men's pay from \$6.50 per day to \$6 per day and requesting that they be increased to \$7.50 per day on the grounds that head garage men in the Palace Garage, 450 Sutter street, and Medical-Dental Building, are paid as much as \$42.50 per week and a minimum of \$6.60 or more per day.

*Referred to Civil Service Committee.*

#### Telegram Protest Against Minor Legislation Prohibiting Peddling in Taverns, Etc.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, California.

Hon. Warren Shannon, Chairman, Board of Supervisors, City Hall.

This association strongly opposes Bill No. 1529 on your calendar today as totally unnecessary and futile. Believe present Juvenile Act sufficient for all purposes. Proposed ordinance makes it a misdemeanor for a proprietor or bartender to permit a newsboy, shoeshine boy, or flower peddler under 18 years of age to enter or remain in any tavern to transact his business. Can ordinance be referred to committee for rehearing?

TAVERN OWNERS' ASSOCIATION OF CALIFORNIA, INC.

Ordered filed.



**Re W. P. A. Employments.**

P. B. Frye, 2142 Twenty-third street, representing Labor Unions' Unemployed Relief Council, was granted the privilege of the floor on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, and stated in effect that there are plenty of federal funds for the employment of many more white collar PWA workers; that there are many projects to be sponsored by the Board of Supervisors making these funds available for the employment, and incidentally removing many of these workers from the relief rolls. He stated further that he was being given the run-around by the Public Welfare Commission and others in authority in his attempt to have something done in order that these funds may be made available for white collar workers. He requested that the Board of Supervisors take the matter under consideration and afford a remedy for the condition complained of.

Louis Solock, secretary of the Unemployed Relief Council, also addressed the Board with reference to the quota now employed in the Public Library whose employment is about to be discontinued, and requesting that work be found to keep them on.

*Moved and carried* that the subject matter of the foregoing statement be referred to the Public Welfare Committee for investigation and report.

**Delegate Appointed to Appear Before Interstate Commerce Commission Re Threatened Loss of T. W. A. Franchise.**

Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Roncovieri, moved that the President of the Board appoint a member of the Board to go to Washington, D. C., to appear before the Interstate Commerce Commission in the matter of threatened loss of franchise of the T. W. A.

Motion *carried* and President appointed Supervisor Colman.

**Re Shaffer Continuous Sub-Surface Rapid Transit Plan.**

Supervisor Schmidt moved that the Shaffer Continuous Sub-Surface Rapid Transit Plan, previously presented to the Board and referred by the Public Utilities Committee to the City Attorney for opinion as to jurisdiction in the matter, if publicly or privately financed, be referred to the Citizens' Committee on Rapid Transit.

Motion *carried*.

**Appointees to State Supervisors' Convention.**

President Shannon announced appointment of Supervisors Ratto (chairman), Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt and McSheehy to attend Supervisors' Convention in San Diego, March 23, 24 and 25, 1938.

**Meeting Announcement.**

Streets Committee, Wednesday, February 23, 1938, 2:30 p. m.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors at the hour of 5:15 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, February 28, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.





Vol. 33

No. 10

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

Monday, February 28, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 28, 1938, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, February 28, 1938,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Reilly—2.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

Supervisor Reilly noted present at 3:15 p. m.

Supervisor Mead noted present at 3:25 p. m.

President Shannon was excused at 5 p. m. and Supervisor Reilly was called to the Chair.

Supervisor Brown excused at 5:10 p. m.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of February 21, 1938, was considered read and approved.

## Action Deferred.

On motion of Supervisor Roncovieri the following matter was removed from the Calendar pending report of Citizens' Tourist Committee.

## Supervisor Uhl's Bus Plan.

Consideration of Supervisor Uhl's bus plan laid over from last meeting.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

### Meat Inspection Ordinance.

(Code No. 17.04)

Bill No. 1512, Ordinance No. 17.048, as follows:

Providing for the inspection of meat and meat food products sold or delivered or offered for sale or delivery within the City and County of San Francisco; authorizing the Director of Public Health to adopt, promulgate and enforce regulations governing such inspection; providing for the payment and collection of fees to defray the cost of such inspection; defining certain terms used in this ordinance; and providing penalties for the violation of this ordinance; and repealing certain ordinances in conflict herewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. *Definitions.* "Department" as used in this ordinance shall mean the Department of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco.

"The Director" as used in this ordinance shall mean the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco.

The term "meat" as used in this ordinance shall mean the edible part of the carcass of any cattle, calf, sheep, lamb, goat or swine which is not manufactured, cured, smoked, processed or otherwise treated.

"Meat food products" as used in this ordinance shall mean any article of food, or any article which enters into the composition of food for human consumption which is derived or prepared in whole or in part from any portion of the carcass of any of the animals mentioned in Section 2 of this ordinance, if such portion is all, or a considerable and definite portion of the article, except such articles as meat juices or meat extracts which are only for medical purposes and are advertised only to the medical profession.

"Federal inspection" as used in this ordinance shall mean any service for the inspection of meat and meat food products maintained by the Government of the United States.

"State inspection" as used in this ordinance shall mean any service for the inspection of meat and meat food products maintained by the State of California.

"Local inspection" as used in this ordinance shall mean any service for the inspection of meat and meat food products maintained by the City and County of San Francisco under approval of the Department of Agriculture of the State of California.

"Other approved inspection service" as used in this ordinance shall mean any meat and meat food products inspection service maintained by any city or county, which said service has been approved and continues to be approved by the Department of Agriculture of the State of California.

Section 2. *Meat Inspection Brands.* No person, firm or corporation shall expose for sale or offer for sale, or sell or otherwise dispose of, or have in his possession, in the City and County of San Francisco, any meat of any cattle, calf, sheep, lamb, goat or swine or any meat food products thereof, which does not have thereon the inspection mark or brand and stamp of approval of either the federal inspection, state inspection, local inspection or other approved inspection service. If any carcass of any animal heretofore named or meat food products are kept, or offered for sale, or exposed within the City and County of San Francisco which does not bear one of the aforesaid stamps or brands, said Department shall take possession of and destroy said meat or meat food products.

Section 3. *No Meat Without Inspection to Be Shipped.* No person, firm or corporation shall ship, send, bring or cause to be brought into the City and County of San Francisco, the meat of any cattle, sheep, lamb, goat or swine, or any meat food products thereof, which does not bear the meat inspection brand or other mark of identification recognized by the Department, and/or the Department of Agriculture of the State of California.

Section 4. *Calves.* The carcasses of calves in good healthy condition and weighing more than 55 pounds for smaller breeds or 65 pounds for larger breeds, exclusive of head, heart, lungs and liver, may be brought into the City and County of San Francisco, and each of said carcasses of such calves must be inspected and stamped and marked by the Department at the point of arrival of said carcasses of such calves in the City and County of San Francisco, or at their first place of rest.

Section 5. *Unsound, Unhealthful, etc., Meats.* All meats or meat food products which are unsound, unhealthful, unwholesome or otherwise unfit for food, shall be stamped or otherwise marked by the Department "San Francisco Department of Public Health Inspected and



Condemned" and shall be destroyed or otherwise disposed of as provided by rule of the Department.

Section 6. *Reinspection.* All meats or meat food products sold or offered for sale in the City and County of San Francisco shall be subject to reinspection and condemnation by the Department.

Section 7. *Unlawful to Forge, Alter, etc., Brands.* It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to forge, counterfeit, simulate or falsely represent, or without proper authority to use or detach or wrongfully alter, deface or destroy any of the stamps or marks or brands recognized by the Department, on any cattle, calf, sheep, lamb, goat or swine, or any meat food products thereof, or any carcass, or any part or parts of any carcass or carcasses named in Section 2 of this ordinance, except that the processor thereof may remove or destroy any stamp or mark before said carcass or portion thereof is processed, or any retail butcher may destroy said stamp or mark before any portion of said carcass is delivered to the ultimate consumer thereof.

Section 8. *Authority to Make Regulations.* The Department is authorized to adopt, promulgate and enforce such rules and regulations regarding the slaughter houses and places where meat food products are manufactured, as well as such rules and regulations relative to the inspection of meats and meat food products, as will enable the Department to enforce and carry out the meaning and intent of this ordinance, and to maintain the standard of meat inspection of the Department of Agriculture of the State of California.

Section 9. *State Laws.* All of the provisions of the Agricultural Code of the State of California, as well as the rules and regulations made under authority of said code, regarding the inspection and examination of any of the animals mentioned in Section 2 of this ordinance, as well as regarding the killing of said animals and the inspection, keeping and handling of the meat of said animals, and meat food products thereof, except in so far as the same are changed or modified by this or other ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco, or by rules made under authority of said ordinances, shall apply to the inspection and examination and killing of said animals mentioned in said Section 2 and to the inspection, keeping and handling of the meat of said animals.

Section 10. *Fees and Charges.* For the purpose of meeting and providing for the cost of the inspection and examinations provided for in this ordinance, the following fees shall be paid to the Tax Collector of the City and County:

(a) All persons, firms and corporations killing, dressing and making ready for market in the City and County of San Francisco any of the animals mentioned in Section 2 of this ordinance shall pay the sum of one (1c) cent per each one hundred pounds of the meat of said animals as weighed after said animals have been killed and dressed; provided, that the minimum monthly sum paid shall be not less than ten (\$10) dollars.

(b) Any person, firm or corporation in the City and County of San Francisco manufacturing and offering for sale any meat food products shall pay an annual inspection fee of fifty (\$50) dollars per year, payable semi-annually, in advance, and, in addition to the said annual inspection fee, shall pay the following amounts based upon the amount of meat food products manufactured per month, namely:

<i>Exceeding</i>	<i>But Not Exceeding</i>	
5,000 lbs.	10,000 lbs. per month.....	\$ 2.08 per month
10,000 "	20,000 " " " .....	4.17 " "
20,000 "	30,000 " " " .....	6.25 " "
30,000 "	40,000 " " " .....	8.33 " "
40,000 "	50,000 " " " .....	10.42 " "
50,000 "	60,000 " " " .....	12.50 " "
60,000 "	70,000 " " " .....	14.58 " "
70,000 "	80,000 " " " .....	16.67 " "
80,000 "	90,000 " " " .....	18.75 " "
90,000 "	100,000 " " " .....	20.83 " "

Any person, firm or corporation in the City and County of San Francisco engaged in the business of a retail meat dealer and who corns meat which has thereon the inspection mark or brand and stamp of approval of either the federal inspection, state inspection, local inspection or other approved inspection service, and which corning of meat is an incident to their regular retail fresh meat business, shall be exempt from the payment of the fees provided for manufacturers of meat food products as set forth in sub-section (b) of Section 10 of this ordinance in so far as they relate to the corning of such meat.

All of said persons, firms or corporations mentioned in Subdivisions (a) and (b) of this section shall keep full, true and correct books of account showing in pounds the amount of meat killed or dressed, as well as the amount of meat food products manufactured, and each of said persons shall on or before the 10th day of each calendar month file with the Department and with the Tax Collector a verified statement showing in pounds the amount of meat killed or dressed, or the amount of meat food products manufactured during the preceding calendar month, and shall thereupon pay to said Tax Collector the amount due for the inspection of the same, as in this section provided.

The books of account mentioned herein shall at all times be opened to the inspection of the Department or of the Controller or his representatives.

The Controller shall provide for the method of billing, collecting and accounting for all amounts to become due under this ordinance.

Section 11. All persons, firms or corporations selling, or offering for sale, any meat or meat food products from any vehicle, wagon, truck, cart or automobile, shall keep said vehicle, wagon, truck, cart or automobile in a clean and sanitary condition, and the same shall be subject to inspection by the Department.

Any person, firm or corporation, without a fixed or established place of business within the City and County of San Francisco engaging in the business of selling, or offering for sale, any meat or meat food products from any vehicle, wagon, truck, cart or automobile, shall first obtain a permit from the Department, and shall pay an annual inspection fee of fifty dollars (\$50), payable quarterly in advance for each such vehicle.

Each such vehicle shall have printed conspicuously on both sides the firm name, address and Department permit number of the vehicle in letters and figures not less than three (3) inches in height.

Every person, firm, or corporation paying the fees provided for in this section shall be exempt from the provisions of Bill No. 141, Ordinance No. 3.04112, covering the same classification.

Section 12. *Penalties.* Any person, firm or corporation, or their agents, violating any of the provisions of this ordinance, or failing to comply with any direction or order of the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco, given pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance, or any agent of said Director, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction shall be punished by a fine not less than fifty (\$50) dollars, nor more than five hundred (\$500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of not less than ten (10) days nor more than three (3) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment; and any violation of the provisions of this ordinance shall subject the violator thereof to revocation of any and all permits held.

Each day that the violation of this ordinance or the failure to comply with the directions of the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco, given in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance, shall continue, shall constitute a new and separate offense and shall be punishable accordingly as herein provided.

Section 13. *Repeals and Exemptions.* Ordinance No. 1265 (New Series), and Section 67, Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), are hereby repealed, and any person, firm or corporation paying the fees provided in this ordinance shall be exempt from the payment of the fees pro-

Section 14. Neither the adoption of this ordinance nor the repeal



hereby of any ordinance of the City and County of San Francisco, or any portion of any such ordinance or ordinances shall in any manner affect the prosecution for the violation of the provisions of any such ordinance or ordinances committed prior to the effective date of this ordinance and the adoption of this ordinance shall not constitute a waiver of any of the fees or money or penalties thereon required to be paid under the provisions of any other ordinance unpaid at the effective date of this ordinance.

Section 15. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each section, sub-section, subdivision, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, sub-sections, subdivisions, sentences, clauses or phrases is declared unconstitutional or invalid for any reason.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Ronco-vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Reilly—2.

### **Appropriation of \$60,000—Improvements Laguna Honda Home and Hassler Health Farm.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1528, Ordinance No. 9.051454, as follows:

Authorizing an appropriation of \$60,000 out of the 1938 Hospital Bond Issue, to the credit of Appropriation No. 80.900.00 for the purpose of making the improvements at the Laguna Honda Home, San Francisco Hospital, and Hassler Health Farm as provided for in Ordinance No. 12.1232.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$60,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the 1938 Hospital Bond Issue, to the credit of Appropriation No. 80.900.00 for the purpose of making the improvements at the Laguna Honda Home, San Francisco Hospital and Hassler Health Farm as provided for in Ordinance No. 12.1232.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Ronco-vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Reilly—2.

### **Amending Salary Ordinance, Bureau of Building Repair.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1527, Ordinance No. 9.053121, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 43 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 by adding Item 2 thereto to provide for the assignment of one hodcarrier whose position is heretofore established under Item 4 of Section 48.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 43 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 is hereby amended to read as follows:

#### **Section 43. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— BUREAU OF BUILDING REPAIR**

Employments as required on miscellaneous repair of public buildings, including schools, as provided in Section 95 of the Charter. Number of employments is enumerated wherever the employee has attained permanent civil service tenure in this department. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when the services are required and the funds are provided.

## INTERDEPARTMENTAL

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title		
2	1	A52	Hodcarrier . . . . .	day	\$ 10
3		A58	Marble Setter's Helper . . . . .	day	6
4		A60	*Marble Setter . . . . .	day	10
5		A62	Tile Setter . . . . .	day	10
6	21	A154	Carpenter . . . . .	day	9
7		A158	Sub-Foreman Carpenter . . . . .	day	9.50
7½	1	A160	Foreman Carpenter . . . . .	day	10
8		A162	Lather . . . . .	day	10
9		A153	Hardwood Floorman . . . . .	day	10
10	10	A202	Cement Finisher Helper . . . . .	day	8
11	3	A204	Cement Finisher . . . . .	day	9
12	2	A252	Glazier . . . . .	day	8.80
13	1	A252	Glazier . . . . .	day	9.50
14	1	A302	Locksmith . . . . .	day	9
15	1	A302	Locksmith . . . . .	per month	200
16	27	A354	Painter . . . . .	day	9.75
17		A380	Paper Hanger . . . . .	day	10
18	1	A392	Plasterer . . . . .	day	12
19	24	A404	Plumber . . . . .	day	10
20	11	A456	Sheet Metal Worker . . . . .	day	10
21	1	A458	Sub-Foreman Sheet Metal Worker . . . . .	day	10.50
22	10	A504	Steamfitter . . . . .	day	10
23	1	A551	Apprentice . . . . .	day	7
24	1	A551	Apprentice . . . . .	day	6.50
25	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	day	7
26	1	C152	Watchman . . . . .	per month	145
27	1	C202	Window Cleaner . . . . .	per month	155
28	1	E108	Electrician . . . . .	per month	225
29	6	E108	Electrician . . . . .	day	10
30	1	J4	Laborer . . . . .	day	6

Teams and trucks at rates established by purchaser's contract.

\*Maximum wage is \$9.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Reilly—2.

### Appropriation of \$930—Additional Position, Tabulating Key Punch Operator.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1529, Ordinance No. 9.051455, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$930 out of the surplus existing in the funds of the Assessor's Appropriation No. 703.101.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 703.101.00, and creating an additional position of one permanent B310A Tabulating Alphabet Key Punch Operator at the rate of \$155 per month.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$930 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the funds of the Assessor's Appropriation No. 703.101.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 703.101.00, and creating an additional position of one permanent B310A Tabulating Alphabet Key Punch Operator at the rate of \$155 per month.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Reilly—2.



## NEW BUSINESS.

## Adopted.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

## Refunds, Erroneous Payments of Taxes.

(Code No. 9.059)

Resolution No. 3813, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized to be paid to the following named, being refunds of erroneous payments of taxes:

*From Duplicate Tax Fund—Appropriation No. 905.*

- |   |          |
|---|----------|
| (1) Gilmore Oil Company, per Vol. 26, Bill 2034, Lot 1, Block 3994, 1st installment, \$282.58; per Vol. 25, Bill 1578, Block 3716, 1st installment, \$34.84; per Vol. 25., Bill 1578, Lot 1, Block 3716, 1st installment, \$34.84, all fiscal year 1937.... | \$352.26 |
| (2) Hancock Oil Company, per Vol. 27, Bill 212, Lot 1, Block 4045, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937.....   | 34.84    |
| (3) Signal Oil Company, per Vol. 26, Bill 2036, Lot 1, Block 3994, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937.....   | 37.74    |
| (4) Lillian Bohringer, per Vol. 11, Bill 3035, Lot 17, Block 1610, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937.....   | 46.26    |
| (5) Franc-Strohmenger & Cowan Corp., per Vol. 2, page 46, line 9, fiscal year 1937, Unsecured Personal Property.....  | 23.12    |

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Reilly—2.

## Sunset Reservoir—Land Purchase.

(Code No. 12.17152)

Also, Resolution No. 3814, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Bridge Investment Co., to Lot 9, Assessor's Block 2144, San Francisco, required for Sunset Reservoir, and that the sum of \$450 be paid for said lot, \$125 from Appropriation No. 93.905.05-57, and the balance of \$325 from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Francisco, Superior Court Case No. 262443.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Reilly—2.

## Land Acquisition—Nineteenth Avenue.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3815, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Andrew C. Anderson, et al., a portion of Lot 29, Assessor's Block 1835, San Francisco, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, and that the sum of \$3,010 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 951.908.57, Project No. 5-A.

The above sum includes damages in full to the improvements now

located on the property, said improvements to be relocated by the grantors within thirty days after recording the deed to the City.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above-named parties for a particular description of said parcel of land.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Reilly—2.

**Land Purchase—Sloat Boulevard Realignment West of Thirty-  
Ninth Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3816, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco, accept a deed from Marie Eiben and Henry Eiben, to portion of Lot 10, Assessor's Block 2518, San Francisco, required for the realignment of Sloat boulevard, west of Thirty-ninth avenue, for the sum of \$800, \$463 payable from Appropriation No. 951.902.57 and the balance of \$337 from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Francisco, Superior Court Case No. 257138.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above-named parties for a particular description of said land.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Reilly—2.

**Approving Plan for Eliminating Vehicular Ferry Competition with  
Bridges.**

(Code No. 15.093)

Presented by Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 3817, as follows:

Whereas, the Governor of the State of California has stated that he will recommend to the California Toll Bridge Authority a plan providing for the elimination of the vehicular ferries in competition with the Transbay and Golden Gate Bridges if such plan was approved by the legislative bodies of San Francisco and Alameda Counties; and

Whereas, the owners of said vehicular ferry systems have indicated that they are willing to surrender the franchises under which said ferries are operated upon terms and conditions to be agreed upon with said California Toll Bridge Authority; and

Whereas, the elimination of said ferries will provide additional income to said California Toll Bridge Authority and to the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District and will bring about a reduction in the tolls charged by said bridges; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby approve the plan submitted to the California Toll Bridge Authority to eliminate the vehicular ferries in competition with the Transbay and Golden Gate Bridges and respectfully urges the Governor of the State of California to recommend to the California Toll Bridge Authority the elimination of said ferry



systems by acquiring the franchises under which the same are operated; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forthwith transmitted to the Governor of the State of California, to the California Toll Bridge Authority and to the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District.

#### Communications.

The following communications were presented and read by the Clerk:

February 21, 1938.

The Hon. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, California, City Hall.

Gentlemen: At the time the Six Minute Ferry Company was organized and secured franchise to operate vehicle ferry boats between San Francisco and Oakland I became an authorized salesman to sell stock in the corporation and sold a large block of the stock to the Southern Pacific Company which would give it control of the corporation and virtual ownership of the franchise and boats under construction.

When the transaction had become practically consummated, Paul Shoup, then Executive Vice-President of the Southern Pacific Company, double-crossed me in the transaction and purchased the franchise and boats instead of the stock through Herbert Fleishhacker and acquired title by first having the franchise and boats conveyed to James Rolph, Jr., then Mayor of San Francisco, and afterwards conveyed to the Southern Pacific Company who became the owner and operated since vehicle ferries under the franchise.

It is my contention that this transaction gave me a vested interest in the franchise and boats and I have made demand upon the Southern Pacific to recognize my interest and I hereby notify the Hon. the Board of Supervisors and the City and County of San Francisco that I have a vested interest in the franchise and the boats. It is further my contention that if any deal is made between the Southern Pacific Company to cancel the franchise and discontinue the ferry system that it must have my sanction and the deal must be ratified by the Board of Supervisors in a legal way.

I understand that the Highway Board is to appear before the Supervisors today to have the Board adopt a resolution favoring the deal, but it is my contention that it is beyond the province of the Supervisors to pass any such resolution. It would be malfeasance in office and furthermore I have a letter from the General Counsel of the Southern Pacific in which he states that the Southern Pacific Company has disposed of the franchise and the boats and have no power to recognize my vested interest. It goes without saying that if they have no power to deal with me that they have no power to deal with the Highway Bridge Control and I respectfully suggest that the Board ask an explanation of this assertion by Mr. E. J. Foulds, the Company's General Counsel.

Trusting that you will give this communication consideration, I am

Very truly yours,

MARCELLUS KRIGBAUM.

February 28, 1938.

To the Hon. the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: I have a copy of a letter addressed to the Board by City Attorney O'Toole and I desire to call the attention of the Board to the circumstance that he does not advise the Board on the prevalent points raised in my communication.

I will further advise the Board that the assignment of the franchise by the Six Minute Ferry Company to Mayor Rolph was void and that the franchise is still vested in the Six Minute Ferry Company. Rolph

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 28, 1938.

having approved the franchise as Mayor could not afterwards become the Owner and any attempted assignment to the Southern Pacific Company was void.

Being the holder of all the outstanding stock of the Six Minute Ferry Company, I am the actual owner of the franchise and any attempt on the part of the Board to ratify the transaction pending between the Toll Bridge Authority and the alleged owner of the franchise is simply a proposition for graft. Governor Merriam has a real estate broker's license to do business from the State Capitol in Sacramento, and is to receive the commission on this transaction. Under the law he neither can be prosecuted or impeached and under the Real Estate Act he is not required to have a Business Opportunity Broker's license in order to collect the commission on this transaction.

Rolph and Fleishhacker both committed a felony when they took over the assignment of the franchise and as Fleishhacker has been held guilty of fraud by two Federal Judges and has confessed judgment in a third fraud case, it must be apparent that the Board should be most cautious in any further dealings in reference to this transaction as I have every reason to believe that Fleishhacker is in reality engineering this entire transaction.

Governor Merriam controls the Toll Bridge Authority Board and does not carry a Real Estate Broker's license for the honor. It is notoriously for graft and the amount of the commission on the transaction is alluring to His Excellency.

I ask the Board to take no further action until the ownership of the franchise is determined, and I will take necessary court action if the Board does not instruct the City Attorney to take action on the matter. The Board should pass a resolution rescinding the franchise of the Six Minute Ferry Company except as to me and the Toll Bridge Authority could bring an action enjoining the ferries from further operation under the franchise. The proposed action is beyond the province of the supervisors and ought to be submitted to a vote of the people of the bay district.

Yours very respectfully,

MARCELLUS KRIGBAUM.

SOUTHERN PACIFIC COMPANY

65 Market Street, San Francisco, California  
Law Department

January 28, 1938.

Mr. Marcellus Krigbaum, care The California Real Estate Exchange,  
105 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, California.

Dear Mr. Krigbaum: Mr. McDonald has referred to me your letter of January 24th, in which you ask whether the Southern Pacific Company will recognize a vested interest on your part in the franchises and boats.

Southern Pacific Company disposed of its interest in vehicular ferries, including the franchises and boats adapted to that service, quite a number of years ago, and therefore could not recognize any interest which you claim therein, even if it were willing to do so.

Very truly yours,

E. J. FOULDS.

*(Copies of the foregoing ordered sent to Governor Merriam.)*

JOHN J. O'TOOLE  
City Attorney, City Hall  
San Francisco, California

February 24, 1938.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, Calif.

Dear Sirs: I have your letter of the 21st instant whereby you refer



to me a letter addressed to the Board of Supervisors by Marcellus Krigbaum and Company relative to certain interests in the Six Minute Ferry Company.

I desire to advise you that, in my opinion, this is a matter in which the Board is not interested. In the first place, the Board is not purchasing any interest in the Six Minute Ferry or in the ferries owned by any of the successors of said company. The only action that the Board might take would be to approve or disapprove the intended purchase by the Interurban Railroad Company and other companies which are about to operate over the Bay Bridge. The last mentioned matter is one entirely in the hands of the California Toll Bridge Authority and Mr. Krigbaum should address his protest to that body.

Secondly, it would appear from Mr. Krigbaum's letter that if he has any grievance it is because of the Six Minute Ferry Company or its successors in interest and all he can do is take legal action or call his grievance to the attention of the intending purchaser.

You are therefore advised that, in my opinion, there is no action necessary on Mr. Krigbaum's letter.

Yours very truly,

J. J. O'TOOLE, City Attorney.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Geo. Allen, representing the Central Council of Civic Clubs, and Andrew J. Gallagher, representing Southern Promotion Association, were heard urging that no hasty action be taken and that the matter be laid over one week.

Discussion: Supervisors Shannon, Roncovieri, Uhl, Brown, Colman, McSheehy et al.

#### Motions.

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Ratto, moved that the foregoing matter be laid over one week and considered in Committee of the Whole as a Special Order of Business Monday, March 7, 1938, at 3 p. m. State Engineer Purcell and F. McAuliffe, attorney for T. B. A., to be invited to attend.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Reilly, moved as an amendment that the matter be discussed until 5 p. m. and then acted upon.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Reilly, Roncovieri, Uhl—3.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon—8.

#### Action Deferred.

Thereupon Supervisor McSheehy's motion was *adopted unanimously*.

#### Extension of Pipe Yard Lease.

(Code No. 12.1739)

Also, Resolution No. 3818, as follows:

Whereas, on December 21, 1936, this Board adopted Resolution No. 2990, authorizing renewal of the pipe yard lease from the Southern Pacific Company for a period of one year ending June 30, 1937; and

Whereas, said lease provided that in case the lessee holds over the term of the lease, that such holding over shall be deemed a tenancy on a month-to-month basis, subject to the terms and conditions contained in said lease; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco held over the term of said lease for the period of six months beginning July 1, 1937, and ending December 31, 1937, and vacated the premises as of the latter date; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said lease be and is hereby extended for the period

of six months ending December 31, 1937, and that the sum of \$4,852.82 be paid to Southern Pacific Company, from Appropriation No. 96.926.00, as rental for said period.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Reilly—2.

### **Approval, Recommendations of Public Welfare Department.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 3819, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Public Welfare Department containing the names and amounts to be paid as Old Age Security Aid, Blind Pensions and Widows' Pensions for the month of March, 1938 (and prior thereto), including amounts, decreases, cancellations and denials and other transactions, are hereby approved, and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is directed to transmit this approval to the Controller; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors declares an extension of time as necessary in certain cases as requested by the Public Welfare Department.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Reilly—2.

### **Sigmund Stern Recreational Grove Land Purchase Agreement.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 3797, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Recreation Department, that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, enter into a written agreement with George I. Butler, for the right and privilege of using and purchasing the following described real property, or a portion or portions thereof, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, subject to the terms and conditions set forth in said agreement:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Wawona street with the center line of Twenty-first avenue, running thence easterly along the southerly line of Wawona street 576 feet to the proposed new westerly line of Nineteenth avenue; thence deflecting 90 degrees 22 minutes 23 seconds to the right and running southerly along last-named line 600.013 feet to the northerly boundary of that certain tract of land conveyed by Nettie Beckwith, et al., to the City and County of San Francisco by deed dated April 30, 1937, and recorded May 19, 1937, in Book 3145, page 117, Official Records of San Francisco; thence deflecting 90 degrees 22 minutes 23 seconds to the right and running westerly along said northerly boundary 572.094 feet to the center line of Twenty-first avenue; thence northerly along said center line 600 feet to the point of commencement.

Being all of Assessor's Blocks 2488 and 2489, and portions of Twentieth avenue and Twenty-first avenue.

Said right to purchase said property, and to use the same for recreational and other purposes prior to the acquisition thereof shall be upon the terms and conditions set forth in the written agreement therefor prepared by the Director of Property, approved by the City Attorney and the Recreation Department, and this day presented to this Board for its approval. It is understood that the City and County of San Francisco may purchase the above described land for the sum of \$50,000, according to the terms and conditions of said agreement; be it

Further Resolved, That said written agreement as presented be and is



hereby approved, and that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute the same on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation; and be it

Further Resolved, That upon said agreement becoming effective, that the sum of \$15,000 be paid for the tract of land described as Parcel 1 in said agreement, and that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed to said Parcel 1. Said sum of \$15,000 shall be payable from the Recreation Department Reserve for Land Purchases. Nothing contained in this resolution or in said agreement shall be construed to obligate the City and County of San Francisco to complete the purchase of any of the parcels described in said agreement, excepting Parcel 1.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Reilly—2.

### Intention to Close Portion of Lloyd Street.

(Code No. 12.0721)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 3820, as follows:

Resolved, That public interest requires that the following described portion of Lloyd street, lying between Divisadero street and the Castro-Divisadero Divisional Highway, San Francisco, California, be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of this Board to close and abandon said portion of Lloyd street which is more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Divisadero street with the southerly line of Lloyd street; running thence easterly along the southerly line of Lloyd street 76.0642 feet to the westerly line of the proposed Castro-Divisadero Divisional Highway; thence deflecting 110 degrees 42 minutes to the left and running northwesterly along last-named line 31.7582 feet to the northerly line of Lloyd street; thence deflecting 69 degrees 18 minutes to the left and running westerly along last-named line 65.0052 feet to the easterly line of Divisadero street; thence southerly in a straight line 29.708 feet to the point of commencement.

Said closing and abandonment of said portion of Lloyd street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

As per Resolution No. 2565, adopted by this Board on May 11, 1936, it is understood that the above described portion of Lloyd street shall be deeded to E. W. Bennett, et ux., as part of the consideration for certain land acquired by the City for the Castro-Divisadero Divisional Highway by deed recorded June 17, 1936. The deed to E. W. Bennett, et ux., shall be made pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 690, 1911 Statutes of California.

The Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of Lloyd street in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Reilly—2.

**Approving Map Showing Grade Change on Cuvier Street and Cayuga Avenue and Declaring Intention to Change and Establish Grades in Accordance Therewith.**

(Code No. 12.0721)

Also, Resolution No. 3821, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain diagram entitled "Grade Map Showing the proposed Change and Establishment of Grades on Cuvier Street Between Cayuga Avenue and a Line at Right Angles to the Westerly Line of 55.53 Feet Southerly from the First Angle Northerly from Cayuga Avenue; and on Cayuga Avenue Between Milton Street and Alemany Boulevard," approved the 2nd day of February, 1938, by Director of Public Works Order No. 7699, be and is hereby approved, and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on Cuvier street between Cayuga avenue and a line at right angles to the westerly line of 55.53 feet southerly from the first angle northerly from Cayuga avenue, and on Cayuga avenue between Milton street and Alemany boulevard at the points specified and at the elevations above City base as shown upon said map.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades.

The Director of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this Resolution of Intention.

These proceedings are instituted in accordance with the "Change of Grade Act of 1909." The "San Francisco News" is hereby designated as the newspaper in which this resolution shall be published.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Reilly—2.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up:

**Acceptance of the Roadway of Moraga Street Between Twenty-ninth Avenue and Thirtieth Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.0811)

Bill No. 1535, Ordinance No. 12.081137, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Moraga street between Twenty-ninth avenue and Thirtieth avenue, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Moraga street between Twenty-ninth avenue and Thirtieth avenue, including the curbs.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Reilly—2.



**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee were taken up:

**Set-Back Lines, Westerly Side of Portola Drive Between Sydney Way and Waltham Way.**

(Code No. 13.03)

Resolution No. 3822, as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1755, passed February 17, 1938, reading as follows:

Resolved, That subject to approval of the Board of Supervisors, in accordance with Section 117 of the Charter, the following building set-back line be, and the same is hereby approved:

Between Sydney way and Laguna Honda boulevard, set-back line to be a line described as follows: Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Sydney way distant thereon 19.23 feet westerly from the westerly end of the 15-foot radius curve at Portola drive; thence southeasterly on the arc of a 15-foot radius curve to the right, tangent to said line of Sydney way, 27.92 feet to tangency with the southwesterly prolongation of the northwesterly line of Portola drive north of Fowler avenue; thence southwesterly along said prolongation, 95.66 feet; thence on the arc of a 1000-foot radius curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, 175.70 feet; thence set-back line to be 50 feet for a distance of 391.70 feet; thence westerly on the arc of a 15-foot radius curve to the right, tangent to said set-back line, 25.18 feet to tangency with the northeasterly line of Laguna Honda boulevard at a point thereon distant 50.30 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly end of the 15-foot radius curve at Portola drive.

Between Waltham way and Laguna Honda boulevard, set-back line to be a line described as follows: Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Waltham way distant thereon 50.09 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly end of 15-foot radius curve at Portola drive; thence easterly on the arc of a 15-foot radius curve to the left, tangent to said line of Waltham way, 24.54 feet; thence set-back line to be 50 feet for a distance of 504.04 feet; thence northwesterly on the arc of a 15-foot radius curve to the left, tangent to said set-back line, 20.82 feet to tangency with the southwesterly line of Laguna Honda boulevard at a point thereon distant 50.88 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly end of the 15-foot radius curve at Portola drive.

Resolved Further, That a copy of this resolution shall forthwith be transmitted to the Board of Supervisors in accordance with the provisions of Section 117 of the Charter.

is hereby approved.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Reilly—2.

**Fixing Date for Hearing of Appeal, Rezoning of Northwest Corner of Carolina Street and Southern Heights Avenue.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Also, Resolution No. 3823, as follows:

Resolved, That the time for hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission, denying an application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the northwest corner of Carolina street and Southern Heights avenue, is hereby set for Monday, March 7, 1938, at 2 o'clock p. m.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Reilly—2.

**Action Deferred.**

The following matter from the Public Buildings and Lands and City Planning Committee without recommendation was *laid over two weeks*:

**Prohibiting the Construction or Erection of Grandstands in or About the Civic Center.**

(Code No. 11.08)

Bill No. 1255, Ordinance No. 11.0822, as follows:

Prohibiting the construction or erection of grandstands in or about the area known as the Civic Center, and providing a penalty therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation, organization or association to construct, erect, build or maintain stands or grandstands in or about the area known and designated as the Civic Center, and situate, lying and being between the following boundaries: From Leavenworth street west on McAllister street to Franklin street; south on Franklin street to Grove street; east on Grove street to Hyde street; north on Hyde street to Fulton street; east on Fulton street to Leavenworth street; and north on Leavenworth street to McAllister street; and it shall be unlawful for any officer, Board or Commission to issue a permit therefor, save and except that a review stand may be constructed or built in front of the steps of the City Hall, a permit for such erection having first been obtained from the Department of Public Works.

Section 2. Any person, firm, corporation, association or organization which shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and, upon conviction thereof, shall be punishable by a fine not to exceed five hundred dollars (\$500) or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force immediately.

**Abandonment of Street Car Service on Twentieth Avenue.**

(Code No. 19.091)

The following resolution by Supervisor Uhl and reported out of Public Utilities Committee without recommendation was taken up:

Resolution No. 3762, as follows:

Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company be and is hereby directed to discontinue street railway service on Twentieth avenue, between Irving street and Wawona street.

**Communication from Manager of Utilities.**

The following was read by the Clerk:

March 2, 1938.

*Subject: Estimates—Switches, Twentieth Avenue.  
Streamlined Cars.*

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Attention Mr. J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk

Gentlemen: I acknowledge receipt of your letter of March 1, 1938, which contains a resolution of your Honorable Board introduced by Supervisor McSheehy. I note that the resolution requests the Public Utilities Commission to submit two estimates to your Honorable Board:

1. Cost of construction of two double switches, one at Twentieth avenue and Judah street, and one at Twentieth avenue and Taraval



street, and for the reballasting of the double tracks on Twentieth avenue between Judah and Taraval streets.

2. An estimate for the furnishing complete of ten streamlined cars somewhat similar to those now in use in Los Angeles.

For the answer to No. 1 above please be referred to my letter of February 10th directed to the Public Utilities Committee of the Board of Supervisors, copy of which I send you herewith.

In answer to No. 2, please be advised that Los Angeles has in use some new "so-called" President's Conference Cars. These cost \$18,000 each. Ten of them would, therefore, cost \$180,000.

I have carefully investigated the possibility of using this car in San Francisco and submitted to the manufacturer several months ago, drawings of both vertical and horizontal curves over which these cars would have to operate in San Francisco. This was done with the intention of learning if the manufacturers could guarantee the cars for use in San Francisco. To date I have had no reply from the inquiry. This confirms my belief that the President's Conference Car, with its low wheel, does not have sufficient under-body clearance to pass over some of the vertical curves experienced in San Francisco. The trucks of the President's Conference Car have been specially made to use small diameter rubber cushioned wheels. To change the wheel diameter would necessitate the entire reconstruction of the car and trucks. This would make the cost of a small order, such as ten cars, prohibitive.

Very truly yours,

E. G. CAHILL,  
Manager of Utilities.

February 10, 1938.

*Costs—Rails, Twentieth Avenue between Judah and Taraval Streets.*

Public Utilities Committee of Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, Calif.

Attention Mr. S. H. Holton, Clerk.

Gentlemen: In answer to your letter of February 2nd, subject "Cost for Rails on Twentieth Avenue, Judah to Taraval Street", please be advised as follows: I quote below your questions:

1. "The cost of replacing street car rails on Twentieth Avenue, between Judah and Taraval Streets, together with installation of two  $\frac{1}{4}$  turn switches to make connections with the 'L' and the 'N' car lines. The cost to include all street work from curb to curb."

Answer. This request covers not only track work but the cost of all street work from curb to curb. I am unable to give you the cost of the work outside the street car tracks. The City Engineer's office informs me that they have been requested by your Committee to supply the cost of the street work outside the track right of way, so that by adding the City Engineer's figure to the figure given below for Question No. 2 will provide the answer to Question No. 1. I understand the City Engineer's estimate is not yet complete.

2. "The cost of installing street car rails on Twentieth Avenue, between Judah and Taraval streets, together with installation of two  $\frac{1}{4}$  turn switches to make connections with the 'L' and 'N' car lines. The cost to include all street work between the rails and for distance of two feet outside the rails.

Answer. To completely reconstruct the tracks on Twentieth Avenue between Judah and Taraval Streets, using new materials, and connecting them with the Municipal Railway lines at both ends, rebuilding the overhead construction, and providing power supply, will cost \$250,000. To which should be added the cost of removing the rails abandoned and repaving the streets south of Taraval Street and north of Judah Street, \$20,000. Total cost, \$270,000.

3. "Also, cost of reballasting present rails now on Twentieth Avenue, between Judah and Taraval Streets, together with installation

of two  $\frac{1}{4}$  turn switches to make connections with the 'L' and 'N' car lines."

Answer. To reconstruct the existing tracks on 20th Avenue between Judah Street and Taraval Street, using the old rail on new ties, and making connections to the Taraval and Judah Street tracks and repaving the street without doing any work on the overhead system, but furnishing power supply, \$187,000. To this should be added the cost of removing the rails abandoned and repaving the streets north of Lincoln Way and south of Taraval Street, \$20,000. Total cost, \$207,000.

Very truly yours,

E. G. CAHILL,  
Manager of Utilities.

Thereupon Supervisor McSheehy read his minority report requesting the following:

From Public Utilities Commission—cost of construction of double switches at Twentieth avenue and Taraval street, and at Twentieth avenue and Judah street; also cost of rebalasting of the tracks on Twentieth avenue between Judah and Taraval streets; also cost for furnishing complete, ten streamlined cars somewhat similar to those now in use in Los Angeles.

From Department of Public Works—estimate for resurfacing Twentieth avenue, curb to curb, between Judah and Taraval streets, with two inches of Topeka, Warranite or Asphalt; also estimate of cost of resurfacing of street, curb to curb, between Lincoln way and Wawona streets, covered with any one of these three wearing surfaces. This work to be so done as not to interrupt the continuous normal flow of street car traffic on Twentieth avenue.

*So ordered.*

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. Newton, representing the Market Street Railway Co., was heard as to repairs to the roadbed on Twentieth avenue made by the company two weeks ago, stating that Mr. Cahill had agreed that the tracks would be in satisfactory condition and damage to adjoining property greatly eliminated.

#### Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was *laid over one week*.

#### Adopted.

The following recommendation of His Honor the Mayor was taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Hon. Arthur M. Brown, Jr., Member Board of Supervisors.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3824, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Arthur M. Brown, Jr., member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of seven days, commencing February 24, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Reilly—2.



# ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

## Mayor Requested to Appoint Citizens' Committee for Proper Reception of Mrs. Franklin D. Roosevelt.

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 3825, as follows:

Whereas, Mrs. Franklin D. Roosevelt will visit San Francisco on Monday, March 14, 1938; and

Whereas, Mrs. Roosevelt should be accorded proper recognition, not only as the wife of the President of the United States, but also as an outstanding individual, and a leader among the women of our country; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That His Honor the Mayor be respectfully requested to appoint a suitable Citizens' Committee to do honor to this distinguished visitor.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

## Protest Against Freight Rates Increase on Drugs Distributed Out of San Francisco.

(Code No. 5.3)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 3826, as follows:

Whereas, there is pending before the State Railroad Commission and up for hearing on March 15th, a proposition to increase intrastate rail and truck rates seriously affecting the wholesale industry of San Francisco by changing drugs and sundry articles from a third-class to a first-class rating, which means an addition of from 40 to 150 per cent on the cost of all goods of this kind distributed from San Francisco to points in California; and

Whereas, many San Francisco firms will be disastrously affected by such a ruling including such well-known houses as McKesson, Langley and Michaels, who pay taxes here in excess of twenty-five thousand dollars annually; Coffin-Redington Company, whose tax bill amounts to over \$20,000 annually; Johnson & Johnson who handle 450 cars of freight in and out of San Francisco annually; Colgate, Palmolive, Peet Company; Bristol Myers Company; Ex-Lax Manufacturing Company; J. S. O'Callaghan, representing eight national manufacturing companies; Bayer Aspirin, handling six or seven lines of drugs; E. R. Squibb; the Owl Drug Company, also a large shipper; G. B. Dean Co., distributors of pharmaceutical lines; Morgan and Sampson; and

Whereas, such a ruling would seriously affect not only these firms but also our local hospitals which are doing a great deal of charity work and would also mean the throwing out of employment of many hundreds of our citizens, teamsters, warehousemen and others incidentally employed in the drug industry; and

Whereas, San Francisco is the drug shipping center of the Pacific Coast, embracing ten or more western states and the business of drug distributing is one of our most important industries, involving annual shipments of from 35,000 to 50,000 tons, and affecting the employment of hundreds of our San Francisco residents; therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors go on record as protesting this change in rate classification and that we cooperate with the drug industry of San Francisco in opposing any such ruling by authorizing and requesting the City Attorney to appear before the State Railroad Commission on March 15, 1938, and to intervene in the hearing to be held at that time, with instructions to oppose vigorously the change proposed.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Supervisor Jesse Colman Delegated to Appear Before Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D. C., in Defense of Franchise of T. W. A. Air Service.**

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 3827, as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco deems that the public interest and necessity of the people of the City and County of San Francisco require that they be provided with all possible and necessary facilities for air commerce between San Francisco and other cities of the United States, and that all possible facilities for transport of air passengers, air mail and air express be maintained; and

Whereas, there is now pending before the Interstate Commerce Commission a proceeding instituted by Braniff Airways Incorporated which it is sought to obtain the termination or restriction of the passenger or express service of Transcontinental and Western Air Incorporated, between Chicago, Illinois and Kansas City, Missouri; and

Whereas, Transcontinental and Western Air Incorporated is one of the companies operating out of San Francisco Airport, furnishing air commerce over its routes between San Francisco and other cities of the United States; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That in the public interest and necessity of the people of the City and County of San Francisco, the Board of Supervisors does hereby record its opposition to the attempt of the Braniff Airways, Incorporated to obtain the termination or restriction in any way of the passenger and express service of the Transcontinental and Western Air, Incorporated, between Chicago, Illinois and Kansas City, Missouri, in the proceeding by the Interstate Commerce Commission; and be it further

Resolved, That it is the opinion of the Board of Supervisors that the public convenience and necessity require the continuance of such service by Transcontinental and Western Air, Incorporated, and in furtherance thereof, the Board of Supervisors hereby authorizes and designates Supervisor Jesse C. Colman as its representative to appear in such proceeding and give testimony in opposition to the complaint of the Braniff Airways, Incorporated, and in favor of the service rendered by Transcontinental and Western Air, Incorporated, and in furtherance of that, a copy of this resolution be transmitted by the Clerk of this Board to the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D. C.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.



**Memorializing Congress to Authorize Construction of Centrally Located Graving Dock, and Pointing Out Natural Advantages of San Francisco Bay for Such Use.**

(Code No. ———)

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 3828, as follows:

Whereas, the settled policy of the United States government is to construct, equip, and maintain a Navy "second to none"; and

Whereas, the greater part of the United States Fleet is now, and has been for some time past, based in the Northern Pacific; and

Whereas, capital ships are now becoming obsolete requiring replacement over an extended period of time; and

Whereas, the Navy Department is now preparing plans for replacements, contemplating heavier ships in many instances; and

Whereas, the entire Pacific Coast line of about 1000 miles, exclusive of Alaska, contains but two graving docks capable of taking ships that can pass through the Panama Canal; and

Whereas, the Atlantic Coast, with only a small portion of the fleet, has six or seven graving docks capable of taking capital ships; and

Whereas, of the two graving docks on the Pacific Coast, the one owned by the government is located on the right flank about 100 miles from a foreign country, and over 1000 miles from the probable location of any Pacific naval conflict; and

Whereas, the second graving dock is centrally located, privately owned, and adequate for all peace-time purposes; and

Whereas, if circumstances should make it necessary for the centrally located, privately owned graving dock to be occupied for an extensive period of time in the repair of merchant ships, the entire naval repair program of any possible conflict in the Pacific would be indefinitely delayed because of the lack of adequate docking facilities for capital ships; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco most courteously and most earnestly petitions the Congress of the United States to authorize the construction of a centrally located graving dock capable of serving any ship which can pass through the Panama Canal; and be it

Further Resolved, That San Francisco Bay has many of the natural advantages necessary for the adequate operation of such a drydock; and be it

Further Resolved, That such a drydock should be considered as a "standby plant" of the Navy; and be it

Further Resolved, That this resolution be forwarded to the Congress of the United States, preferably in support of H. R. 8166, introduced by Congressman Franck R. Havenner, for such action as may be deemed appropriate; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be sent to the Representatives and Senators of the State of California and other interested officials.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

**Vending Machine Ordinance.**

(Code No. ———)

Supervisor Mead presented:

Bill No. ———, Ordinance No. ———, as follows:

An ordinance relating to automatic vending machines and prohibiting the vending or sale of cigarettes, cigars or tobacco by the use of such vending machines, and providing penalties for the violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, company, corporation, or other agency to vend or sell cigarettes, cigars or tobacco by the use of automatic vending machines, or to locate, install, keep, maintain or use, or permit the location, installation, keeping, maintenance or use upon his or its premises of any vending machine, automatic vending machine, or coin controller, or coin operating machine or other mechanical device used, or engaged to be used, for the sale or distribution of cigarettes, cigars, or other tobacco products by such method.

Section 2. Any person, firm, company, corporation or other agency violating the provisions of Section 1 hereof shall, upon conviction, be fined any sum not exceeding three hundred (\$300) dollars, or imprisoned in the County Jail for a term not exceeding ninety (90) days, or may be both fined and imprisoned.

*Referred to Fire, Safety and Police Committee.*

#### **Per Diem Travel Allowance for Officials.**

(Code No. 9.056)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Bill No. ———, Ordinance No. ———, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 9.0565, entitled as follows: "Providing for the amount per diem for the fiscal year 1937-1938 for officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco for traveling expenses exclusive of transportation and Pullman charges and excluding traveling expenses incurred in the discharge of routine duty, and directing the Controller to establish rules for payment of claims for traveling expenses", by providing therein the amounts which will be allowed as traveling expenses, and the time for which the same will be paid.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 9.0565, entitled as above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

During the fiscal year 1937-1938 any officer or employee of the City and County of San Francisco, except in the discharge of routine duties, shall, under the authority of law or ordinance, leave the City and County for the purpose of performing any official duty for or on behalf of the City and County; or for the purpose of rendering any service to, or for the City and County, or for the purpose of officially representing said City and County, or any commission or department thereof, said officer or employee shall make the trip to and from the meeting to which said officer or employee has been assigned in the most direct manner, and shall be allowed the expense covering meals, hotel room and incidental expenses incident to said service, the actual cost of transportation, including Pullman charges, together with an amount not to exceed fifteen (\$15) dollars per day each and every day while said officer or employee is absent on said official business.

Section 2. When traveling expenses are incurred for the purpose of attending any meeting, expenses shall be allowed only for the actual period of said meeting.

Section 3. The Controller shall establish rules for the payment of all amounts covering transportation, meals, hotel bills and incidental expenses payable pursuant to Section 1 hereof, and for the presentation of vouchers. No allowance shall be made for traveling expense provided for in this ordinance unless appropriations for such expense have been made by annual or supplemental appropriation ordinance enacted in accordance with the provisions of the Charter.

#### **Point of Order.**

Supervisor McSheehy raised the point of order that the motion to pass was a "Trivolt motion" and should not be referred to a committee of this Board.



Chairman (Supervisor Reilly) ruled Supervisor McSheehy's point of order out of order and referred the bill to the Judiciary Committee.

Supervisor McSheehy appealed from the decision of the Chair.

Supervisor Colman, being called upon, put the question, "Shall the decision of the Chair be the decision of the Board?" A vote "Aye" sustains the Chair, a vote "No" overrules the Chair.

#### Chair Sustained.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the Chair was *sustained* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Uhl—5.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Meyer, Roncovieri—3.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

Whereupon the foregoing Bill was *referred to Judiciary Committee*.

#### Redwood Empire Association Meeting at Ukiah.

Supervisor Ratto moved that a committee be appointed to attend meeting of directors of the Redwood Empire Association, March 18, 1938, at Ukiah, for consideration of highways to be included in the coming budget.

Motion *carried*.

#### \$500,000 Request for Agricultural District No. 1A—in Special Legislative Session.

Supervisor Ratto moved that the Governor be requested to include in his call for special session of the legislature, consideration of appropriation of \$500,000 for Agricultural District 1-A.

Motion *carried*.

#### Reemployment of Park Employees.

Supervisor Reilly moved that his Honor, the Mayor, be requested to call a meeting of the Board of Park Commissioners in an effort to secure reemployment for park employees who have been laid off.

*Carried*.

#### Improvement of Ennis Avenue.

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Communication from property owners on Ennis avenue re inclusion of sewer where street is being improved by grading. Property value too low and in need of City aid.

Supervisor Uhl requested that the president and secretary of the Hunter's Point Improvement Association be notified when matter is considered.

#### Referred to Department of Public Works

Whereupon, the communication was ordered referred to the Department of Public Works for investigation and report.

#### Meetings Announced.

Public Utilities Committee, Thursday, March 3, at 4:00 p. m.

Fire, Safety and Police, Friday, March 4, at 10:00 a. m.

#### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board of Supervisors at 5:55 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors March 7, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.









Vol. 33

No. 11

FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

Monday, March 7, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MARCH 7, 1938, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, March 7, 1938,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of February 28, 1938, was considered read and approved.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

Hearing of Appeal, Rezoning of Northwest Corner of Southern Heights Avenue and Carolina Street.

Hearing appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1740, dated January 20, 1938, denying application to rezone property located at the northwest corner of Carolina street and Southern Heights avenue.

## Privilege of the Floor.

Geo. Anderson, attorney representing appellant, stated that he has filed a petition containing more than 20 per cent of the people affected by the rezoning who are in favor. The purpose of the rezoning is to enable his client to erect a barber shop and beauty shop on his property at Southern Heights boulevard and Carolina street.

Amelia Anderson, representing Potrero Neighborhood House and property owners; Mrs. W. Palmer Lucas, representing the Golden Gate Kindergarten Association, and Dr. Hunter, representing Extension Board of the Potrero Neighborhood House, opposed the rezoning.

Mark Jorgensen, Secretary, City Planning Commission, explained that the Commission opposed the change on the ground that no representation was made as to the actual necessity of such rezoning.

## Refused Adoption.

Thereupon, the following resolution was presented and *refused adoption*:

**Disapproving Decision of City Planning Commission in Denying Application to Rezone From Second Residential District to Commercial District, Property Located at the Northwest Corner of Carolina Street and Southern Heights Avenue.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 3845, as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1740, dated January 20, 1938, denying application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the northwest corner of Carolina street and Southern Heights avenue, is hereby *disapproved*.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.**

**Proposed Purchase of Bay Ferries.**

(Code No. 15.093)

Resolution No. 3817, as follows:

Whereas, the Governor of the State of California has stated that he will recommend to the California Toll Bridge Authority a plan providing for the elimination of the vehicular ferries in competition with the Transbay and Golden Gate Bridges if such plan were approved by the legislative bodies of San Francisco and Alameda Counties; and

Whereas, the owners of said vehicular ferry systems have indicated that they are willing to surrender the franchises under which said ferries are operated upon terms and conditions to be agreed upon with said California Toll Bridge Authority; and

Whereas, the elimination of said ferries will provide additional income to said California Toll Bridge Authority and to the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District and will bring about a reduction in the tolls charged by said bridges; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby approve the plan submitted to the California Toll Bridge Authority to eliminate the vehicular ferries in competition with the Transbay and Golden Gate Bridges and respectfully urges the Governor of the State of California to recommend to the California Toll Bridge Authority the elimination of said ferry systems by acquiring the franchises under which the same are operated; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forthwith transmitted to the Governor of the State of California, to the California Toll Bridge Authority and to the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District.

*February 28, 1938—On motion of Supervisor McSheehy laid over one week for consideration in Committee of the Whole as a Special Order of Business at 3 p. m. State Engineer Purcell and Attorney McAuliffe to be invited.*

President Shannon announced that the Bay Bridge Authority called him on the phone and wanted to be informed if there was to be a hearing today on this matter.

Supervisor Colman moved that the Bay Bridge Authority be so notified. *So ordered.*

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the matter be laid over one week and heard in Committee of the Whole as a Special Order of Business at 3 p. m. Monday, March 14, 1938.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

Fred E. Reed, 801 Syndicate Building, Oakland, representing East Bay Regional Planning Association and thirty-one other organizations, was heard in opposition to postponement of the hearing.



Jas. J. Chapman, Post Office Building, Oakland, representing California Citizenship Foundation, Inc., was also heard urging no postponement of hearing.

Clerk Dunnigan, having been directed to contact the Governor's office in Sacramento as to correctness of statement that the subject matter of ferry franchise purchase would be discussed and acted upon in conference between the Governor and officials of T. B. A. Tuesday, March 8th, reported that he had talked with the Governor's secretary, who advised him that there will be no discussion or action on ferry franchise matter at the conference in Sacramento tomorrow; also that he spoke with Earl Lee Kelly, who said that the matter to be considered tomorrow is one relative to a proposed appropriation of \$750,000 for bridge construction work.

J. C. Sterrit, attorney-at-law, representing the Apartment House Industry of California, consisting of 3200 members, many in San Francisco, was heard urging no postponement of hearing.

Wm. Ferriter, attorney-at-law, requested an opportunity to be heard when the question comes up on its merits.

Geo. Allen, representing the Central Council of Civic Clubs, stated that he was willing to accept postponement on the promise given by the Clerk of the Board, speaking for the Governor's secretary and for Earl Lee Kelly, that the matter would not be acted upon tomorrow at Sacramento and that that statement be wired to Governor Merriam.

Mrs. Madden, representing Oakland Civic Center, suggested wiring to T. B. A. to postpone meeting at Sacramento until pending bill is considered.

Harry Young, attorney, was granted the privilege of the floor and said if it was proposed to postpone hearing today he would hold his statement until next week.

A communication from Herbert Fleischhacker, repudiating charge of Mr. Krigbaum as to his connection with any transaction transferring six-minute ferry franchise to the Southern Pacific Co., was also *laid over one week*.

#### Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor McSheehy's motion and the same *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### Telegram to Governor.

On motion by Supervisor Uhl the following telegram was sent to his Excellency, Governor Frank F. Merriam:

"Due to inability of the Honorable Charles H. Purcell and the Honorable Florence McAuliffe to be present at the Board of Supervisors' meeting this day and predicated upon the statement of your secretary and Earl Lee Kelly to the Clerk of the Board over the telephone that the matter of the proposed franchise of the San Francisco Bay Ferries would not be considered at the meeting tomorrow in Sacramento between the Toll Bridge Authority and the Reconstruction Finance Corporation; therefore, this Board has postponed action on your request for approval of the purchase of the ferry franchise until Monday, March 14th, at 3 p. m.

"J. S. DUNNIGAN,

"Clerk, Board of Supervisors, San Francisco."

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

## Final Passage.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

**Authorizing Exchange of Lands With City Title Insurance Company.**

(Code No. 12.1743)

Bill No. 1532, Ordinance No. 12.17433, as follows:

Authorizing exchange of lands with City Title Insurance Company.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, the Director of Property, in lieu of sale, is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for trading certain City-owned land hereinafter referred to as Parcel A to the City Title Insurance Company, in exchange for certain real property hereinafter referred to as Parcels 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6.

Section 2. Said Parcel A is that certain tract of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and more particularly described in Ordinance No. 12.172910, Bill No. 1499, approved by the Mayor of San Francisco on January 19, 1938, which parcel was proposed to be sold under the provisions of Ordinance No. 12.172910.

Section 3. The Director of Property has made an appraisal of all of said real property and estimates the value of the land to be traded to the City Title Insurance Company to be equal to the total value of the parcels to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 4. Said Parcels 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 hereinbefore referred to are situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and are more particularly described as follows:

*Parcel 1.* Commencing at a point on the northwesterly line of Bow street, distant thereon sixty-three and seventy-one one hundredths ( $63 \frac{71}{100}$ ) feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Yale street; thence running northeasterly along said northwesterly line of Bow street twenty-five (25) feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly one hundred (100) feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly twenty-five (25) feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly one hundred (100) feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Block No. 10, Reis Tract, and Block No. 126, University Mound Tract Survey.

*Parcel 2.* Commencing at a point on the southwesterly line of Madison street, distant thereon fifty (50) feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Dwight street, and running thence northwesterly and along said southwesterly line of Madison street fifty (50) feet; thence at right angles southwesterly ninety-five (95) feet; thence at right angles southeasterly fifty (50) feet, and thence at right angles northeasterly ninety-five (95) feet to the southwesterly line of Madison street and the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Block 179, University Extension and Homestead Association.

*Parcel 3.* Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Gundlach street, if said street is prolonged or extended southerly, distant thereon 468 feet  $\frac{1}{2}$  of an inch southerly from the southerly line of Sunnydale avenue; running thence southerly along the said easterly line of Gundlach street, 50 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 110 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 50 feet and thence at a right angle westerly 110 feet to the easterly line of Gundlach street and the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Visitacion Rancho.

*Parcel 4.* Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Gundlach street, if said street is prolonged or extended southerly, distant there-



on 543 feet  $\frac{1}{2}$  of an inch southerly from the southerly line of Sunnydale avenue, running thence southerly and along the said easterly line of Gundlach street, as extended, 41 feet  $\frac{3}{4}$  of an inch; thence at a right angle easterly 110 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 41 feet  $\frac{3}{4}$  of an inch and thence at a right angle westerly 110 feet to the easterly line of Gundlach street and the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Visitacion Rancho.

*Parcel 5.* Lot No. 1, in Block No. 5 of Reis Tract, as per map filed May 19, 1904, in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and recorded in Map Book 1 at pages 241 and 242.

*Parcel 6.* Commencing at a point on the northeasterly line of Amherst street, distant thereon 100 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Mansell street; thence running southeasterly along said northeasterly line of Amherst street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 25 feet and thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Block No. 125, University Mound Tract Survey and Block No. 11, Reis Tract.

Section 5. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute the necessary deed for the conveyance of Parcel A to the City Title Insurance Company, or its assignee. The Director of Property shall deliver said conveyance to the grantee upon receipt of the necessary deed to Parcels 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6, and shall record the latter deed.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### **Curfew Ordinance.**

(Code No. 11.00)

The following recommendations of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police were taken up:

Bill No. 1530, Ordinance No. 11.0016, as follows:

Regulating the presence of minors on public streets and other public places between certain hours at night, and providing for the investigation by peace officers and juvenile probation officers of minors found on said public streets and other public places between said hours, and repealing Ordinance No. 371 (New Series).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any minor under the age of sixteen years to loiter, wander, stroll, or play in, on, or about any public place, street, park or square between the hours of ten (10) o'clock p. m. and daylight of the following day.

Section 2. It shall be unlawful for any minor under the age of eighteen years to loiter, wander, stroll, or play in, on or about, any public place, street, park or square between the hours of 12 o'clock midnight and daylight immediately following.

Section 3. The provisions of Sections 1 and 2 of this ordinance shall not apply where the minors dealt with respectively in said Sections 1 and 2 are accompanied by parent, guardian or other adult person having the care and custody of such minor; or where the presence of said minors in a public place, street, park or square, is connected with, and required by, some legitimate pursuit in which said minor is engaged.

Section 4. Whenever a peace officer or juvenile probation officer dis-

covers or has his or her attention called to the fact that any minor is in any public place or on a public street or in any park or square contrary to the provisions of Sections 1 and 2 of this ordinance and that said minor is not accompanied by one of the persons specified in Section 3 of this ordinance, said peace officer or juvenile probation officer shall make immediate investigation for the purpose of ascertaining whether or not the presence of said minor is connected with, or required by any legitimate pursuit or business in which said minor may be engaged. If the investigation reveals that the presence of said minor in said public place or on said public street, or in said park or square is not connected with, or required by, any legitimate pursuit or business in which said minor may be engaged, then said peace officer or juvenile probation officer shall cause said minor to be taken to the home or place of residence of said minor, or if said officer deems that it will be for the best interest of said minor, said minor may be taken to the Juvenile Detention Home for a further investigation for the purpose of ascertaining if the actions of said minor are such as to bring him or her within the provisions of Section 700 of the Welfare and Institutions Code; and if from such investigation it should be determined that the actions of said minor are such as to bring him or her within the provisions of said Section 700 of the Welfare and Institutions Code, then said minor shall be dealt with by the Juvenile Court or by the juvenile probation officer in the manner provided by State law. Should said investigation, however, show that said minor has not violated this or any other ordinance or law, said minor shall be immediately released from custody and returned to his or her home or to the custody of his or her parent or guardian.

Section 5. Any minor who shall violate the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor.

Section 6. Ordinance No. 371 (New Series) is hereby repealed.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### **Prohibiting Sale of Goods, Wares and Merchandise by Minors in Places Where Liquor is Sold.**

(Code No. 11.00)

Also, Bill No. 1531, Ordinance No. 11.0017, as follows:

Regulating the sale, or offering for sale, of goods, wares or merchandise, or the rendition of service, by minors under the age of eighteen years in places where alcoholic beverages are sold, offered for sale or dispensed for consumption on the premises.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. No minor under the age of eighteen years shall, for the purpose of selling, disposing of, or offering for sale or disposal any goods, wares or merchandise, or for the purpose of rendering any service to any person on or about said premises, enter any place or premises wherein alcoholic beverages are sold or dispensed for consumption at or upon said place or premises.

Section 2. It shall be unlawful for the proprietor or other person in charge of the place or premises referred to in Section 1 of this ordinance to permit a minor under the age of eighteen years to enter said place or premises, or to remain therein, for the purpose of selling or offering for sale any goods, wares or merchandise, or for the purpose of rendering any service to any person in or about said premises.

Section 3. The provisions of Sections 1 and 2 of this ordinance shall not apply to the sale, by minors under the age of eighteen years, of goods, wares or merchandise, other than alcoholic beverages, at baseball games, football games and other like gatherings, where the sale or dispensing of alcoholic beverages for consumption upon the place or



premises is only incidental to the exhibition or venture carried on or engaged in.

Section 4. Any person who shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof, shall be punished by a fine not to exceed five hundred (\$500) dollars or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Adopted.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

#### Land Purchase—Bayview Playground.

(Code No. 12.1714)

Resolution No. 3829, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Recreation Department, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Anna L. Beisswanger, to Lot 17, Assessor's Block 5310, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Bayview Playground, for the sum of \$3,125, payable from Appropriation No. 713.600.01.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### Damage Claim—Castro-Divisadero Divisional Highway.

(Code No. 6.0222)

Also, Resolution No. 3830, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the sum of \$114.50 be paid to E. W. Bennett in settlement of all claim of damage to Lot 29, Assessor's Block 1260, and the improvements thereon, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, caused or to be caused by the construction of the Castro-Divisadero Divisional Highway. Said sum shall be payable from Appropriation No. 748.905.17.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### San Francisco Airport—Land Purchase.

(Code No. 12.17154)

Also, Resolution No. 3831, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, that the City and County of San Francisco accept deeds from the following named parties to certain land in the County of San Mateo, State of California, required for the San Fran-

cisco Airport, and that the sums set forth below be paid for said property from Appropriation No. 99.901.57.

Water Front Tide Land Company, NW  $\frac{1}{4}$  of the SE  $\frac{1}{4}$  of Section 35, T. 3 S., R. 5 W., M. D. B. & M., containing 40 acres .....\$ 2,000

The Morgan Oyster Company, S  $\frac{1}{2}$  of the SE  $\frac{1}{4}$ , W  $\frac{1}{2}$  of the NE  $\frac{1}{4}$  of the SE  $\frac{1}{4}$  and the SE  $\frac{1}{4}$  of the NE  $\frac{1}{4}$  of the SE  $\frac{1}{4}$  of Section 35, T. 3 S., R. 5 W., M. D. B. & M.; also the NW  $\frac{1}{4}$  of the NW  $\frac{1}{4}$  of Section 1 and the N  $\frac{1}{2}$  of the NE  $\frac{1}{4}$  of Section 2, T. 4 S., R. 5 W., M. D. B. & M., containing 230 acres..... 11,500

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### Land Acquisition—Nineteenth Avenue.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3832, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain parcels of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, for the sums set forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 951.908.57, Project No. 5-A:

Veterans' Welfare Board and Robley Appel, portion of Lot 24, Assessor's Block 1835.....\$3,699  
Walter H. Eames, et ux., portion of Lot 24-A, Assessor's Block 1835 ..... 3,645  
Adolph Hoffman, et ux., portion of Lot 23-A, Assessor's Block 1835 ..... 3,749  
Gus F. Wagner et ux., portion of Lot 23, Assessor's Block 1835 ..... 4,293

The above sums include damages in full to the improvements now located on the property, said improvements to be relocated by the grantors within thirty days after recording the deeds to the City.

Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above-named parties for a particular description of said parcels of land.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### Land Purchase—Army Street Widening.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3833, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named owners certain land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Army street, for the sum set forth below, payable from money on deposit with the County Clerk.

Olivia S. Drewes, et al., portion of Lot 7H, Assessor's Block 4336 .....\$4,775

The above sum includes damages in full to the improvements now located on the property.



Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above-named parties for a particular description of said parcel of land.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Land Purchase—La Salle Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3834, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Julia F. Guinee, attorney in fact for John M. Segrue, all of Lot 3, Assessor's Block 5291, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the opening of LaSalle avenue, for the sum of \$115, payable from Appropriation No. 748,930.17.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property for a particular description of said parcel of land.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Land Purchase—Easement for Palo Alto Pipe Line.**

(Code No. 15.0241)

Also, Resolution No. 3835, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Josephine E. Fleming to a 40-foot easement over the north-easterly portion of Lots 37, 38 and 39, "Dominga Tract," San Mateo County, California, required for the Palo Alto Pipe Line, for the sum of \$300, \$18 from Appropriation No. 66,977.57 and the balance of \$282 from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Mateo County, Superior Court Case No. 27646.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**City Attorney to Compromise Claim for Damages—Flora Frontera.**

(Code No. 6.0222)

Also, Resolution No. 3836, as follows:

Whereas, on the 23d day of July, 1937, Flora Frontera was injured as the result of a fall due to the defective and dangerous condition of the sidewalk on the north side of Greenwich street, between Mason and Powell streets, which defective condition consisted of a deep hole in the paved portion of said Greenwich street; and

Whereas, as the result of said defective condition of said pavement said Flora Frontera suffered a severe fracture of the left ankle and foot; and

Whereas, the City Attorney believes that there is liability on the part of the City and County of San Francisco and has entered into an

agreement and compromise of said claim against the City and County of San Francisco for the sum of three hundred seventy-five dollars (\$375), which sum he believes to be fair, just and reasonable; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and empowered to compromise and settle said claim for the sum of three hundred seventy-five dollars (\$375), and the Controller is directed to draw his warrant in the said sum in full settlement of said claim.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **Approval Supplemental Recommendations, Public Welfare Department, for March, 1938.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 3837, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Public Welfare Department, containing the additional names of persons and amounts to be paid as Old Age Security and Blind Pensions and Widows' Pensions for the month of March, 1938, and also denials, are hereby approved, and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is directed to transmit this approval to the Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **Refund Erroneous Payments of Taxes.**

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 3838, as follows:

*From Duplicate Tax Fund—Appropriation No. 905.*

- |   |         |
|---|---------|
| (1) George H. Freeman, per Vol. 7, Bill 315, Lot 24, Block 1053, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937.....             | \$49.54 |
| (2) Henry Doelger Building, Inc., per Vol. 15, Bill 2701, Lot 5, Block 2032, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937..... | 9.68    |
| (3) Catherine Lambert, per Vol. 16, Bill 2391, Lot 29, Block 2164, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937.....           | 4.06    |
| (4) Western Loan Association, per Vol. 23, Bill 2388, Lot 81, Block 3565, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937.....    | 43.55   |

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **Cancellation Erroneous Assessment.**

(Code No. 9.0411)

Also, Resolution No. 3839, as follows:

Whereas, the Assessor has reported that taxes on Lot 38, Block 6590, were assessed for the year 1936-1937, due to clerical error in the Assessor's office, Veteran Exemption Affidavit having been filed, but not having been made a record on the Assessment Roll; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That with the consent of the City Attorney and the recommendation of the Controller, in conformity with Section 3804 of the Political Code, assessment on Lot 38, Block 6590, fiscal year 1936-1937, be and is hereby cancelled.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.



**Referred to City Attorney.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up and referred to the City Attorney for his opinion as legality of the bill.

**Appropriation of \$30 to Replace Counterfeit Bills.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1536, Ordinance No. 9.051457, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$10 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 500.000.00 and \$20 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 600.000.00 for the purpose of reimbursing the Assessor's Revolving Fund in the amount of \$30 to replace counterfeit bills, one in the amount of \$10 received with the tax collections of the fiscal year 1935-36, and one in the amount of \$20 received with tax collections of the fiscal year 1936-37.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$30 is hereby appropriated to the Assessor's Revolving Fund, \$10 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 500.000.00, and \$20 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 600.000.00.

Section 2. This appropriation is made necessary as the Assessor accepted, with the collection of taxes for the fiscal year 1935-36, a \$10 counterfeit bill and, with the collection of taxes for the fiscal year 1936-37 accepted a \$20 counterfeit bill. The same were deposited by the Assessor with the Treasurer and, when examined by experts, these bills were found to be counterfeit. It is impossible to ascertain from whom said \$10 counterfeit bill and \$20 counterfeit bill were received in payment of said taxes, and, further, as it is impossible to charge the sum back against the persons presenting the same, these bills being perfect counterfeits, it is felt that it is impossible for the deputy accepting the said bills to have detected the fact that they were counterfeits. The Controller is therefore directed to draw his warrant to reimburse the Assessor's Revolving Fund, in the amount of \$30, out of the accounts indicated herein and to make the necessary entries on the books of the Assessor and the Controller to properly reflect this transaction and to carry out the purpose of this ordinance.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Recommended by Russell L. Wolden, Assessor.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Directing the City Attorney of the City and County of San Francisco to Notify the Pacific Gas and Electric Company That, if It Is Desirous of Continuing to Furnish Electric Energy for Power and Heating Purposes and Gas for Heating Purposes Within the City and County of San Francisco, to Apply to the Board of Supervisors of Said City and County for a Franchise to So Do.

(Code No. 15.096)

The following recommendation of Public Utilities Committee was taken up:

Resolution No. 3809, as follows:

Directing the City Attorney of the City and County of San Francisco to notify the Pacific Gas and Electric Company that, if it is desirous of continuing to furnish electric energy for power and heating purposes and gas for heating purposes within the City and County of San Francisco, to apply to the Board of Supervisors of said City and County for a franchise to so do.

Whereas, the Legislature of the State of California, at its 1937 session, duly passed an act "Providing for the Granting by Legislative

Bodies of Municipalities of Franchises for the Transmitting and Distributing of Electricity or Gas and Providing for the Duration and Terms of Such Franchises and the Conditions for the Granting of Same and Providing for the Forfeiture Thereof for Non-Compliance Therewith;" which said act was approved by the Governor on the 29th day of June, 1937, and became effective on August 27, 1937; and

Whereas, said act provides for the granting of franchises for the distribution of gas and electric energy for heating and power purposes within municipalities and for the payment to said municipalities of a certain percentage of the gross income received by the person, firm or corporation enjoying said franchise; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco that the Pacific Gas and Electric Company is distributing electric energy and gas for heating and power purposes within the City and County of San Francisco without any franchise or authority to so do; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be, and he is, hereby directed to notify said Pacific Gas and Electric Company, if it is desirous of continuing the distribution of electric energy and gas in the City and County of San Francisco for heating or power purposes, that said company must obtain a franchise to so do, said franchise to be issued under and pursuant to the provisions of the above entitled act of the Legislature of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That said City Attorney be, and he is, hereby directed to request said Pacific Gas and Electric Company to forthwith make application for said franchise.

#### **Letter From Congressman Havenner.**

Supervisor McSheehy presented letter from Congressman Havenner relative to the foregoing which was read by the Clerk.

#### **Action Deferred.**

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Meyer, moved that this entire matter remain on the calendar for one week and made a Special Order of Business for 2:30 p. m. and considered in Committee of the Whole, City Attorney O'Toole to be notified to attend.

*So ordered.*

#### **Adopted.**

The following recommendation of Public Utilities Committee was taken up:

#### **Approval of Bill for Additional Drydock in San Francisco Bay Area.**

(Code No. 5.2)

Resolution No. 3840, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby approve the bill presented by Representative Honorable Franck R. Havenner, H. R. 8166, providing for the construction and operation of an additional drydock in the San Francisco Bay area.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **Re-referred.**

The following resolution was on motion of Supervisor McSheehy *re-referred to the Public Utilities Committee*:

#### **Abandonment of Street Car Service on Twentieth Avenue.**

(Code No. 19.091)

Resolution No. 3762, as follows:

Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company be and is hereby directed to discontinue street railway service on Twentieth avenue, between Irving street and Wawona street.



**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Hon. Sylvester Andriano, Member of the Police Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3841, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Sylvester Andriano, a member of the Police Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of three months, commencing March 25 to June 26, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Leave of Absence—Mr. Albert Greenbaum, Member of the Art Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 3842, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mr. Albert Greenbaum, member of the Art Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing March 7, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Mayor to Appoint Citizens' Committee for Celebration of Army Day, Honoring Major General Albert J. Bowley.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 3843, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee to arrange for the proper observance and celebration of Army Day, April 3, 1938, in honor of Major General Albert J. Bowley.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Mayor to Appoint Citizens' Committee to Collaborate in United Irish Societies in Celebration of St. Patrick's Day.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Resolution No. 3844, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee to collaborate with and assist the United Irish Societies in its plans and preparations for the celebration of St. Patrick's Day and the Annual Grand Ball to be held in the Civic Auditorium next Saturday night, March 12; its Banquet on March 20, and its Parade on March 20, 1938.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Investigation of Old Age Pension Cases.

Supervisor Reilly moved that Dr. Gray, or some other responsible representative of the Public Welfare Department be requested to appear before the Board next Monday (March 14, 1938), at 2:30 p. m. to give the Board an outline of investigation of cases, etc.

Miss Schenk was requested, also, to bring to the Board next Monday at 2:30 p. m. files in the cases of Charles Strickler and Murray Meyer.

*Motion carried.*

### Building Trades Resolution Endorsing Salary Standardization.

Supervisor Mead presented resolution adopted by the S. F. Building Trades Council, March 3, 1938, urging prompt and favorable consideration be given to proposed salary schedules as submitted by the Civil Service Commission, and asking that meeting be arranged between the Joint Finance and Civil Service Committee of the Board and a Committee from the Building Trades Council to consider the proposed salary standardization proposals.

*Motion carried.*

### Committee to Attend Special Legislative Session Re Bridge Financing, Etc.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that three members of the Board of Supervisors be appointed by the Chair to go to Sacramento on Tuesday, March 8, 1938, in reference to bridge financing and several other matters for which the special session of the Legislature has been called.

*Motion carried* and the Chair appointed Supervisors McSheehy, Ratto and Mead.

### In Memoriam—Edgar Walter, Art Commission.

On motion of Supervisor Uhl the following was *adopted unanimously* by a rising vote:

The Board of Supervisors learns with great regret of the untimely passing of Edgar Walter. Edgar Walter served the City as a member and as President of the Art Commission, and devoted his great talent and intellect to those things which made for the betterment of the City which he loved.

His passing is a distinct loss to his many friends and to the citizens of the City. The Board of Supervisors extends to you deep sympathy in your bereavement.

### In Memoriam—Dr. Arthur O'Neill, City Physician.

On motion of Supervisor Schmidt, the following was *unanimously adopted* by a rising vote:

The Board of Supervisors learns with profound sorrow of the passing of Dr. Arthur A. O'Neill, City Physician, for the City and County of San Francisco. The death of Dr. O'Neill terminated a career in medicine and public health during which he served as City Physician, Chief Surgeon at the Isolation Hospital and Police Surgeon.

The Board of Supervisors extends deep condolences to the widow of the late Dr. O'Neill and adjourns out of respect to the memory of the deceased.

### Re San Francisco's Contribution to Joint Highway District No. 9, Ocean Shore Highway.

Supervisor Ratto presented letter from H. R. Judah, Chairman, California Highway Commission, requesting assurance relative to San Francisco's contribution (\$66,000 per year for four years) toward cost of construction of next unit of Ocean Shore Highway.

*Referred to Finance Committee. Committee to get advice of City Attorney.*



**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board of Supervisors at the hour of 4:15 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, March 14, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.





Vol. 33

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

No. 12

Monday, March 14, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.

Journal of Proceedings  
Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MARCH 14, 1938, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, March 14, 1938,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of March 7, 1938, was considered read and approved.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

Hearing of Protests—Sidewalks, Forty-second West One-Half Between Judah and Kirkham.

Hearing of protests against work assessment diagram or warrant for sidewalk construction on Forty-second avenue, west ½, between Judah and Kirkham street, and certain other streets. Contractor, M. Bertolino.

The Chair announced the hearing of the foregoing protests and no one appearing and no protest being made, the Clerk was directed to so notify the Department of Public Works.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

The following recommendation of Public Utilities Committee was taken up:

Directing the City Attorney of the City and County of San Francisco to Notify the Pacific Gas and Electric Company That, if It Is Desirous of Continuing to Furnish Electric Energy for Power and Heating Purposes and Gas for Heating Purposes Within the City and County of San Francisco, to Apply to the Board of Supervisors of Said City and County for a Franchise to So Do.

(Code No. 15.096)

Resolution No. 3809, as follows:

Directing the City Attorney of the City and County of San Francisco to notify the Pacific Gas and Electric Company that, if it is desirous of continuing to furnish electric energy for power and heating purposes and gas for heating purposes within the City and County of San Francisco, to apply to the Board of Supervisors of said City and County for a franchise to so do.

Whereas, the Legislature of the State of California, at its 1937 session, duly passed an act "Providing for the Granting by Legislative Bodies of Municipalities of Franchises for the Transmitting and Dis-

tributing of Electricity or Gas and Providing for the Duration and Terms of Such Franchises and the Conditions for the Granting of Same and Providing for the Forfeiture Thereof for Non-Compliance Therewith;" which said act was approved by the Governor on the 29th day of June, 1937, and became effective on August 27, 1937; and

Whereas, said act provides for the granting of franchises for the distribution of gas and electric energy for heating and power purposes within municipalities and for the payment to said municipalities of a certain percentage of the gross income received by the person, firm or corporation enjoying said franchise; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco that the Pacific Gas and Electric Company is distributing electric energy and gas for heating and power purposes within the City and County of San Francisco without any franchise or authority to so do; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be, and he is, hereby directed to notify said Pacific Gas and Electric Company, if it is desirous of continuing the distribution of electric energy and gas in the City and County of San Francisco for heating or power purposes, that said company must obtain a franchise to so do, said franchise to be issued under and pursuant to the provisions of the above entitled act of the Legislature of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That said City Attorney be, and he is, hereby directed to request said Pacific Gas and Electric Company to forthwith make application for said franchise.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Richard Powell, editor and publisher of the Pacific News, was granted the privilege of the floor and heard opposing the granting of the franchise at this time to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company for the distribution of heat and power.

#### Communication From Congressman Franck R. Havenner.

The following was read by the Clerk:

CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES  
House of Representatives  
Washington, D. C.

March 12, 1938.

Honorable Dewey Mead,  
Board of Supervisors,  
City Hall,  
San Francisco, California.

Dear Mr. Mead:

Since writing to you last week, I have received from City Attorney O'Toole a reply to the letter which I wrote him concerning the proposed new franchise for the Pacific Gas and Electric Company which is now under consideration. I enclose copy of another letter which I have written to the City Attorney.

It seems to me that it would be the height of folly for the City to give away this valuable franchise before the Federal Court renders its decision in the Hetch Hetchy case. If the decision should be adverse to the City, and the franchise had not yet been granted, I can see no reason why San Francisco would not be able to negotiate with the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, on the same grounds which Los Angeles employed so successfully, for the purchase, by arbitration, of the municipal distribution system which may be so desperately needed.

Sincerely yours,

(Signed) FRANCK R. HAVENNER.

#### Action Deferred.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the foregoing matter was *re-referred to the Public Utilities Committee. Supervisor Colman requested to be recorded as voting "No."*



## SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

## Proposed Purchase of Bay Ferries.

(Code No. 15.093)

Resolution No. 3817, as follows:

Whereas, the Governor of the State of California has stated that he will recommend to the California Toll Bridge Authority a plan providing for the elimination of the vehicular ferries in competition with the Transbay and Golden Gate Bridges if such plan were approved by the legislative bodies of San Francisco and Alameda Counties; and

Whereas, the owners of said vehicular ferry systems have indicated that they are willing to surrender the franchises under which said ferries are operated upon terms and conditions to be agreed upon with said California Toll Bridge Authority; and

Whereas, the elimination of said ferries will provide additional income to said California Toll Bridge Authority and to the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District and will bring about a reduction in the tolls charged by said bridges; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby approve the plan submitted to the California Toll Bridge Authority to eliminate the vehicular ferries in competition with the Transbay and Golden Gate Bridges and respectfully urges the Governor of the State of California to recommend to the California Toll Bridge Authority the elimination of said ferry systems by acquiring the franchises under which the same are operated; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forthwith transmitted to the Governor of the State of California, to the California Toll Bridge Authority and to the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District.

## Committee of the Whole.

On motion duly made and *carried*, the Board of Supervisors resolved itself into a Committee of the Whole for the purpose of hearing all persons interested.

Supervisor Uhl moved suspension of the rules for the purpose of limiting all speakers to five minutes instead of ten minutes as allowed by the rules.

No objection and *so ordered*.

Supervisor Uhl moved that all speakers be allowed five minutes to present their cases.

No objection and *so ordered*.

## Privilege of the Floor.

Emily R. Kneiss, 2534 Leavenworth street, was heard in opposition to the proposed re-financing to permit purchase of the S. P. ferry franchise.

Andrew J. Gallagher, representing himself as a citizen and taxpayer, was also heard in opposition. Also, Jas. P. Sweeny, 920 Van Ness avenue, representing Marina Home Owners' Association, was heard in opposition.

Fred Reed of Oakland, representing a number of East Bay organizations, and J. J. Chapman of Oakland, representing East Bay organizations, were heard in opposition.

Geo. Allen, president of Central Council of Civic Clubs, and G. W. Schultze, representing United German Societies, opposed proposed franchise purchase.

Jno. C. Stiratt, attorney for the East Bay group and associate of Jos. A. Brown, representing the Apartment House Industry of California; C. C. Howard, 1545 Santa Clara avenue, Alameda, representing Taxpayers' League of Alameda, and E. A. Crocker, representing East Bay interests, were also heard in opposition.

Florence McAuliffe, attorney for the T. B. A., spoke in favor of the proposed purchase.

Chas. E. Andrews, assistant chief engineer for the T. B. A., spoke in favor of the proposed purchase.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Reilly, the Committee of the Whole arose and recommended that the subject matter remain on the calendar for one week and be made a Special Order of Business for Monday, March 21, 1938.

#### Action Deferred.

Thereupon, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Reilly, the foregoing matter was *laid over for further consideration until Monday, March 21, 1938, and made a Special Order of Business for 3 p. m. on that day.*

### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

#### Final Passage.

The following bill, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up:

#### Acceptance of the Roadway of Moraga Street Between Twenty-ninth Avenue and Thirtieth Avenue.

(Code No. 12.0811)

Recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1535, Ordinance No. 12.081137, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Moraga street between Twenty-ninth avenue and Thirtieth avenue, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Moraga street between Twenty-ninth avenue and Thirtieth avenue, including the curbs.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

### NEW BUSINESS.

#### Adopted.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

#### Land Purchase—Eureka Valley Playground.

(Code No. 12.1714)

Resolution No. 3846, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Jacob H. E. Eiben, Lot 14, Assessor's Block 2694, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the



Eureka Valley Playground, for the sum of \$10,000, payable from Appropriation No. 713.600.04.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

**Appropriation of \$515 for Street Work on Sweeney Street.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1537, Ordinance No. 9.051458, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of five hundred fifteen (\$515) dollars out of surplus existing in Appropriation No. 748.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 748.933.00 for City aid necessary for street construction work on Sweeney street between Boylston and Bowdoin streets, said work being performed under the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of five hundred fifteen (\$515) dollars is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 748.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 748.933.00 for City aid necessary for street construction work on Sweeney street between Boylston and Bowdoin streets.

Under Section 111 of the Charter no street assessment authorized paid in installments over a period of ten years shall exceed 25 per cent of the assessed valuation of the land on which the assessment is levied; therefore, this appropriation is necessary to make the assessment valid.

Recommended by W. H. Worden, Director of Public Works.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Amending Salary Ordinance, Tabulating Alphabetic Key Punch Operator.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1538, Ordinance No. 9.053123, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 5 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 by adding to Item 15 one B310a Tabulating Alphabetic Key Punch Operator at \$155 per month. Funds for this additional position have been provided by a supplemental appropriation authorized by the Board of Supervisors in Ordinance No. 9.051455 and approved March 1, 1938.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 5 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 is hereby amended to read as follows:

### Section 5. ASSESSOR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Assessor . . . . .	\$ 666.66
2	1	B52	Research Assistant . . . . .	250
3	1	B120	Supervisor, Accounts and Records Assessor's Office . . . . .	300
4	2	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	250
5	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	200
6	1	B418	Confidential Secretary (Ex. Sec. 28) . . . . .	200
7	8	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	190
8	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	300
9	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	190
10	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	200
11	1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	300
12	1	B235	Director of Service . . . . .	250
13	1	B242	Blockbook Draftsman . . . . .	225
14	1	B302	Addressing Machine Operator . . . . .	155
15	2	B310a	Tabulating Alphabetic Key Punch Operator . . . . .	155
16	1	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator . . . . .	165
17	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	200
18	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	200
19	2	B454	Telephone Operator . . . . .	150
20	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	190
21	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	175
22	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman . . . . .	200
23	1	G4	Supervising Land Appraiser . . . . .	300
24	1	G5	Chief Land Appraiser . . . . .	330
25	4	G10	Supervising Building Appraiser . . . . .	300
26	1	G11	Chief Building Appraiser . . . . .	330
27	5	G16	Supervising Personal Property Appraisers . . . . .	300
28	1	G17	Chief Personal Property Appraiser . . . . .	330
29	1	G20	Chief Assistant Assessor . . . . .	400
30*	11	B222	General Clerks . . . . .	160
31*	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	150
32			Seasonal Clerical Services and other temporary services at rates not in excess of salary standardization schedules.	

\*Occupants of these positions are paid from appropriations for temporary services and have acquired permanent status under the rule of the Civil Service Commission adopted pursuant to Section 148 of the Charter.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Amending Section 20 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), "License Ordinance," by Modifying the Fee for Boxing and Wrestling Exhibitions.

(Code No. 3.041)

Also, Bill No. 1283, Ordinance No. 3.04170, as follows:

Amending Section 20 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), entitled, "Imposing License Taxes on Certain Businesses, Callings, Trades or Employments Within the City and County of San Francisco," by modifying the fee for boxing and wrestling exhibitions.



Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 20 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 20. *Boxing and Wrestling Exhibitions.* Every person, firm or corporation conducting, carrying on or managing a boxing or wrestling exhibition in National Hall, shall pay a license fee of ten (\$10) dollars for each such exhibition.

Every person, firm or corporation conducting, carrying on or managing a boxing or wrestling exhibition in Dreamland Auditorium, shall pay a license fee of forty (\$40) dollars for each such exhibition.

Every person, firm or corporation conducting, carrying on or managing a boxing or wrestling exhibition in the Civic Auditorium, shall pay a license fee of forty (\$40) dollars for each such exhibition.

Every person, firm or corporation conducting, carrying on or managing a boxing or wrestling exhibition in Seals Stadium, shall pay a license fee of one hundred (\$100) dollars for each such exhibition.

Every person, firm or corporation conducting, carrying on or managing a boxing or wrestling exhibition in Kezar Stadium, shall pay a license fee of one hundred (\$100) dollars for each such exhibition.

Provided that no license shall be exacted from bona fide athletic organizations where boxing or wrestling exhibitions are given for the entertainment of the members thereof and to which no admission fee is charged, directly or indirectly; or where a boxing or wrestling exhibition is given, the net proceeds of which are to be devoted to charitable purposes.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

#### **Appropriation of \$4,000 for Repairing Storm Damage to Hetch Hetchy System.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1543, Ordinance No. 9.051459, as follows:

Authorizing an appropriation of \$4,000 out of the surplus in the Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 767.214.01 to provide funds for repairing damage done by heavy storms to Moccasin Diversion Dam, Grizzly Canal, South Fork Road, Moccasin Spur Track and Delco System at Eleanor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$4,000 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 767.214.01 for the purpose of providing funds to repair the damage occasioned by recent heavy storms to Moccasin Diversion Dam, Grizzly Canal, South Fork Road, Moccasin Spur Track and Delco System at Eleanor.

Approved as to form by Dion R. Holm, Assistant City Attorney.

Recommended by Edward G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities.

Approved, Public Utilities Commission Resolution No. 2525, Forrest B. Gibbon, Secretary, Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**City Attorney to Compromise Claim for Damages—Flora Frontera.**

(Code No. 6.0222)

Resolution No. 3836, as follows:

Whereas, on the 23d day of July, 1937, Flora Frontera was injured as the result of a fall due to the defective and dangerous condition of the sidewalk on the north side of Greenwich street, between Mason and Powell streets, which defective condition consisted of a deep hole in the paved portion of said Greenwich street; and

Whereas, as the result of said defective condition of said pavement said Flora Frontera suffered a severe fracture of the left ankle and foot; and

Whereas, the City Attorney believes that there is liability on the part of the City and County of San Francisco and has entered into an agreement and compromise of said claim against the City and County of San Francisco for the sum of three hundred seventy-five dollars (\$375), which sum he believes to be fair, just and reasonable; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and empowered to compromise and settle said claim for the sum of three hundred seventy-five dollars (\$375), and the Controller is directed to draw his warrant in the said sum in full settlement of said claim.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Action Deferred.**

The following matter from Public Buildings and Lands and City Planning Committee without recommendation was *laid over one week*:

**Prohibiting the Construction or Erection of Grandstands in or About the Civic Center.**

(Code No. 11.08)

Bill No. 1255, Ordinance No. 11.0822, as follows:

Prohibiting the construction or erection of grandstands in or about the area known as the Civic Center, and providing a penalty therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation, organization or association to construct, erect, build or maintain stands or grandstands in or about the area known and designated as the Civic Center, and situate, lying and being between the following boundaries: From Leavenworth street west on McAllister street to Franklin street; south on Franklin street to Grove street; east on Grove street to Hyde street; north on Hyde street to Fulton street; east on Fulton street to Leavenworth street, and north on Leavenworth street to McAllister street; and it shall be unlawful for any officer, Board or Commission to issue a permit therefor, save and except that a review stand may be constructed or built in front of the steps of the City Hall, a permit for such erection having first been obtained from the Department of Public Works.

Section 2. Any person, firm, corporation, association or organization which shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and, upon conviction thereof, shall be punishable by a fine not to exceed five hundred dollars (\$500) or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force immediately.



**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of Streets Committee were taken up:

**Amending Traffic Ordinance to Prohibit Parking on the South Side of Oregon Street Between The Embarcadero and Drumm Street.**

(Code No. 11.02)

Bill No. 1539, Ordinance No. 11.0238, as follows:

Amending Section 37a, Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled, "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 37a, Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto, the following words: "South side of Oregon street between The Embarcadero and Drumm street."

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Ordering the Construction and Reconstruction of Sidewalks at Various Locations.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 1540, Ordinance No. 12.061194, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the Assessment District, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, March 7, 1938, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of:

Capitol avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Montana and Minerva streets.  
 Capitol avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Montana and Thrift streets.  
 Capitol avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Thrift street and Lakeview avenue.  
 Capitol avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Thrift street and 125 feet north.  
 Chenery street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Mizpah street and 25.79 feet west.  
 Forty-fourth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Kirkham street and 500 feet south.  
 Forty-fifth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Vicente street and 100 feet south.  
 Forty-fifth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Wawona street and Sloat boulevard.

- Forty-sixth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Wawona street and Sloat boulevard.
- Forty-sixth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Wawona street and Sloat boulevard.
- Forty-sixth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Santiago and Taraval streets.
- Forty-sixth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 250 feet and 462 feet 6 inches south of Rivera street.
- Forty-seventh avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Santiago street and 525 feet north.
- Forty-seventh avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Santiago and Taraval streets.
- Forty-seventh avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Wawona street and 300 feet north.
- Forty-seventh avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Wawona street and 300 feet north.
- Grafton avenue (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Jules avenue and 60 feet west.
- Kirkham street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Twenty-fifth avenue and 82 feet 6 inches west.
- Kirkham street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Twenty-sixth and Twenty-seventh avenues.
- Lawton street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 82 feet 6 inches and 157 feet 6 inches west of Twenty-second avenue.
- Mizpah street (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Chenery street and 121.39 feet north.
- Montana street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Faxon avenue and 125 feet east.
- Montana street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Capitol avenue and 355 feet west.
- Ortega street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Twentieth and Twenty-first avenues.
- Rae avenue (SE.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 100 feet and 160 feet northeast of Whipple avenue.
- Twenty-fourth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Kirkham street and 450 feet south.
- Twenty-fourth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 200 feet and 525 feet south of Vicente street.
- Thirtieth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Vicente street and Escolta way.
- Thirty-first avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 100 feet and 250 feet north of Judah street.
- Thirty-first avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Judah street and Kirkham street.
- Wawona street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Forty-sixth and Forty-seventh avenues.
- Woodland avenue (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) fronting Lot 11 of Block 2644;
- by the construction of two-course concrete sidewalks, six (6) feet in width, where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks, six (6) feet or more in width, are not already constructed.
- And the improvement of:
- Baker street (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Union street and 110 feet north.
- Forty-seventh avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 250 feet and 275 feet south of Kirkham street.
- Forty-eighth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 75 feet and 100 feet south of Kirkham street.
- Forty-eighth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 100 feet and 200 feet south of Rivera street.
- Lawton street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Twentieth avenue and 130 feet west.
- Moraga street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Twentieth avenue and 32 feet 6 inches west.
- Moraga street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 32 feet 6 inches and 63 feet 9 inches west of Forty-seventh avenue.
- Noriega street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Forty-eighth avenue and Great Highway.
- Quintara street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Twentieth and Twenty-first avenues.
- Seventeenth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Moraga street and 100 feet north.
- Twentieth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 125 feet and 224 feet north of Kirkham street.
- Twentieth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 150 feet and 200 feet south of Judah street.
- Twenty-first avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Noriega street and 100 feet south.



Twenty-first avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 30 feet and 60 feet north of Vicente street.

Twenty-second avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 150 feet and 250 feet south of Judah street.

Twenty-second avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Moraga street and 100 feet south.

Twenty-fifth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 250 feet and 275 feet south of Judah street.

Thirty-eighth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 150 feet and 175 feet north of Judah street;

by the construction or reconstruction of two-course concrete sidewalks, six (6) feet in width, where existing sidewalks, six (6) feet in width, are defective or not already constructed to the official grade.

And the improvement of:

Buchanan street (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Page and Haight streets.

Capitol avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Grafton avenue and 325 feet north.

Capitol avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Broad street and 125 feet north.

Folsom street (SE.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Fifth street and 125 feet northeast.

Folsom street (NW.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 75 feet and 150 feet northeast of Fifth street.

Folsom street (SE.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 125 feet and 150 feet northeast of Sixth street.

Forty-seventh avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Wawona street and Sloat boulevard.

Lincoln way (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Twenty-third avenue and 82 feet 6 inches east.

Twentieth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 200 feet and 225 feet south of Lincoln way.

Twenty-eighth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 159 feet 4 inches and 191 feet 8 inches north of Irving street;

by the construction or reconstruction of two-course concrete sidewalks of the full official width where existing sidewalks of the full official width are defective or not already constructed to the official grade.

And the improvement of:

Capitol avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 350 feet and 375 feet south of Holloway avenue.

Capitol avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Broad street and 100 feet north.

Third avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Parnassus avenue and 98 feet  $8\frac{1}{2}$  inches north;

by the construction or reconstruction of two-course concrete sidewalks, nine (9) feet in width, where existing sidewalks, nine feet in width, are defective or not already constructed to the official grade.

The Assessment District hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as:

Block No.	Lot Nos.	Block No.	Lot Nos.
1786	8, 11.	2449	2.
1822	1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13, 14.	2450	18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27.
1827	15, 16, 17, 21.		
1828	5C.	2451	1.
1870	1, 2A, 3, 5, 6, 7.	2465A	1, 10, 11, 12.
1890	1, 2, 4, 5A, 5B, 5C, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13.	2472	3, 3A, 3B, 3D, 3I, 3J.
		2514	1, 2.
1921	52, 53, 54.	2515	1.
2057	21, 22, 23, 26.	2644	11.
2303	8, 9, 10, 11, 14, 15, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43.	6709	15.
		6987	18.
		7053	13, 14, 15.
		7054	2.
2374	21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 30, 31, 32, 34, 35.	7055A	8, 10, 10A.
		7056	20, 22A.
2375	26, 27, 28, 29, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42.	7069	1, 1A, 2, 3, 4, 5, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43.
		7097	5, 5A.

Block No.	Lot Nos.
948	4.
1793	16.
1829	35.
1831	4, 7.
1833	4.
1834	22, 25.
1866	18.
1892	19.
1893	41.

Block No.	Lot Nos.
1896	20A.
1923	15.
1926	15, 15A, 15B, 15C.
2001	18, 19, 20, 21, 22.
2028	25.
2057	43.
2197	1, 2N.
2302	28.
2422	19.

Block No.	Lot Nos.
850	1, 2.
1722	11.
1728	47.
1730	6.
2515	1.

Block No.	Lot Nos.
3733	26, 27.
3752	81, 82, 82A, 83.
3753	128.
3985	2, 7, 10, 13, 14.
7106	5.

Block No.	Lot Nos.
1757	19.
6984	30.

Block No.	Lot Nos.
7107	24.

All being designated on the Maps and Books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the Assessment Book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Changing Grades on Athens Street Between Madison Street and Avalon Avenue and on Peru Avenue Between Vienna Street and Moscow Street.**

(Code No. 12.0722)

Also, Bill No. 1541, Ordinance No. 12.072233, as follows:

Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Athens street between Madison street and Avalon avenue and on Peru avenue between Vienna street and Moscow street.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 17th day of January, 1938, by Resolution No. 3747, declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Athens street between Madison street and Avalon avenue and on Peru avenue between Vienna street and Moscow street.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Director of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said Resolution of Intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days have elapsed since the first publication of said Resolution of Intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:



*Athens Street:**Feet*

Westerly line of, at Madison street southwesterly line.....	238
(The same being the present official grade.)	
At a point formed by the intersection of the southwesterly line of Madison street with a line parallel with the easterly line of Athens street and 19.5 feet westerly therefrom .....	241
Westerly line of, at right angles to the last-described point .....	241
At a point 10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 200 feet northerly from Peru avenue.....	351.20
At a point 10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 150 feet northerly from Peru avenue.....	359.67
At a point 10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 100 feet northerly from Peru avenue.....	363.47
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
29.5 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 200 feet northerly from Peru avenue .....	351.20
29.5 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 150 feet northerly from Peru avenue .....	359.76
29.5 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 100 feet northerly from Peru avenue .....	363.85
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Peru avenue..	366.44
29.5 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Peru avenue.	367.56
17.5 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Peru avenue.	368
Easterly line of, at Peru avenue.....	372.18
10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 100 feet south-erly from Peru avenue .....	368.98
10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 140 feet south-erly from Peru avenue .....	371.74
10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 180 feet south-erly from Peru avenue .....	378
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
29.5 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 100 feet south-erly from Peru avenue .....	369.30
29.5 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 140 feet south-erly from Peru avenue .....	371.82
29.5 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 180 feet south-erly from Peru avenue .....	378
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 310 feet south-erly from Peru avenue .....	404
10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 340 feet south-erly from Peru avenue .....	408.50
10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 370 feet south-erly from Peru avenue .....	410
10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 400 feet south-erly from Peru avenue .....	408.40
10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 430 feet south-erly from Peru avenue .....	403.59
(Compound vertical curve passing through the last five described points.)	
29.5 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 310 feet south-erly from Peru avenue .....	404
29.5 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 340 feet south-erly from Peru avenue .....	408.50

*Athens Street—Continued**Feet*

29.5 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 370 feet south- erly from Peru avenue .....	410
29.5 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 400 feet south- erly from Peru avenue .....	408.43
29.5 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 430 feet south- erly from Peru avenue .....	403.72
(Compound vertical curve passing through the last five described points.)	
Westerly line of, at Avalon avenue .....	367
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Easterly line of, at Avalon avenue .....	369
(The same being the present official grade.)	

*Peru Avenue:**Feet*

Moscow street .....	414
(The same being the present official grade.)	
At Athens street easterly line .....	372.18
17.5 feet westerly from Athens street easterly line.....	368
29.5 feet westerly from Athens street easterly line.....	367.56
10 feet easterly from Athens street westerly line.....	366.44
2 feet westerly from Athens street westerly line.....	366
Northerly line of, at Vienna street easterly line.....	319.36
(The same being the present official grade.)	
12 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Vienna street easterly line .....	319.36
(The same being the present official grade.)	
12 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Vienna street easterly line .....	319.82
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Southerly line of, at Vienna street easterly line.....	319.82
(The same being the present official grade.)	

On Athens street between Madison street and Avalon  
avenue, and on Peru avenue between Vienna and Moscow  
streets, be changed and established to conform to true  
gradients between the grade elevations above given there-  
for.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto,  
Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### **Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Castro Street Between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-sixth Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1542, Ordinance No. 12.0731132, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of  
Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new  
section to be numbered twelve hundred six (1206).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Fran-  
cisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of  
Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in  
accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works,  
filed in this office March 1, 1938, by adding thereto a new section to be  
numbered twelve hundred and six (1206), to read as follows:

Section 1206. The width of sidewalks on Castro street between  
Twenty-fourth and Twenty-sixth streets shall be 12 feet.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto,  
Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.



**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of his Honor the Mayor was taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Hon. Milton S. Maxwell, Member Civil Service Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3847, as follows:

Resolved, that in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Milton S. Maxwell, member of the Civil Service Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period from March 19 to March 31, inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS,  
BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR  
REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**W. P. A. Sewing Project.**

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 3849, as follows:

Inasmuch as the City and County of San Francisco is a substantial contributor to the San Francisco Women's Sewing Project of the Works Progress Administration, employing approximately 3200 women, many of whom are widows who have dependents; and

Inasmuch as there is at present a contemplated change in the management and program of the said San Francisco Women's Sewing Project which is deemed unfavorable to the morale and the success of the project program as originally outlined; now, therefore,

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco views with alarm and concern any change in the management and program of the said sewing project which will tend to destroy the morale of the project and throw upon relief hundreds of women and cause same project to incur the displeasure of various business, labor and employer groups of San Francisco.

*Referred to the Public Welfare Committee.*

**State to Assume Relief Burden.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 3848, as follows:

Whereas, at the time that the present State administration took office there was an accrued deficit in the Treasury estimated at approximately \$30,000,000; and

Whereas, in order to meet this deficit and balance the budget, several additional forms of taxation were imposed upon the people of the State of California; and

Whereas, it is reported that the aforementioned debt will be liquidated and that there will be a surplus of \$40,000,000 in the State Treasury at the end of the present biennium; and

Whereas, taxes for social welfare and relief are becoming an ever-increasing burden on the ratepayers of every county in the State of California; and

Whereas, the State Chamber of Commerce has estimated that an expenditure of \$186,355,000 will be necessary for social welfare and relief in the State of California during the fiscal year 1937-1938, of which amount the Federal Government will contribute \$94,761,000; the

State, \$42,002,000, and the fifty-eight counties throughout the State will contribute \$49,592,000; and

Whereas, the counties throughout the State are sorely stressed as a result of the continually mounting costs for relief and social service and there is no way of meeting this ever-increasing burden, under the present system, except through the imposition of additional taxes upon real estate within the counties; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby authorize those of its members who will be official delegates to the State Supervisors' Convention, to be held in San Diego on the 23d of March, to urge the Convention to adopt a resolution having for its purpose full assumption by the State of California of the total cost, exclusive of Federal aid, for unemployment relief and social service throughout the entire State.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

#### **In Memoriam.**

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Board adjourn out of respect to the memory of the late brother of the Clerk of the Board and the late Mrs. Julian Alco, and that appropriate expressions of the sympathy of the Board be sent to their families.

#### **Meeting Announcements.**

Fire, Safety and Police Committee, March 18, 2:30 p. m.

Public Utilities Committee, March 16, 4 p. m.

Health Committee, March 18, 10:10 a. m.

Public Welfare Committee, March 22, 4 p. m.

#### **ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board of Supervisors at 6:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, March 21, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.









Vol. 33

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

No. 13

Monday, March 21, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MARCH 21, 1938, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, March 21, 1938,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl

—7.  
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Brown was noted present at 2:15 p. m.

Supervisor Mead was noted present at 2:20 p. m.

Supervisor Roncovieri was noted present at 2:30 p. m.

President Shannon was noted present and in the Chair at 2:20 p. m.

Supervisor Reilly was elected to preside until the arrival of President Shannon.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of March 14, 1938, was considered read and approved.

## Action Deferred.

After discussion and explanation by Dr. Gray of the procedure followed by his department in handling old age pension applications, the subject matter was *continued until 2 P. M., March 28, 1938.*

## SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

### Investigation of Old Age Pension Cases.

Supervisor Reilly moved that Dr. Gray, or some other responsible representative of the Public Welfare Department be requested to appear before the Board next Monday (March 14, 1938) at 2:30 p. m. to give the Board an outline of investigation of cases, etc.

Miss Schenk was requested, also, to bring to the Board next Monday at 2:30 p. m. files in the cases of Charles Strickler and Murray Meyer.

Motion *carried.*

## SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

The following matter was taken up:

### Proposed Purchase of Bay Ferries.

(Code No. 15.093)

Resolution No. 3817, as follows:

Whereas, the Governor of the State of California has stated that he will recommend to the California Toll Bridge Authority a plan pro-

viding for the elimination of the vehicular ferries in competition with the Transbay and Golden Gate Bridges if such plan were approved by the legislative bodies of San Francisco and Alameda Counties; and

Whereas, the owners of said vehicular ferry systems have indicated that they are willing to surrender the franchises under which said ferries are operated upon terms and conditions to be agreed upon with said California Toll Bridge Authority; and

Whereas, the elimination of said ferries will provide additional income to said California Toll Bridge Authority and to the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District and will bring about a reduction in the tolls charged by said bridges; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby approve the plan submitted to the California Toll Bridge Authority to eliminate the vehicular ferries in competition with the Transbay and Golden Gate Bridges and respectfully urges the Governor of the State of California to recommend to the California Toll Bridge Authority the elimination of said ferry systems by acquiring the franchises under which the same are operated; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forthwith transmitted to the Governor of the State of California, to the California Toll Bridge Authority and to the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District.

#### Committee of the Whole.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the Board of Supervisors resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole. *So ordered.*

Supervisor McSheehy moved that President Shannon preside. *So ordered.*

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the hearing of interested parties be terminated at 4 p. m. and that those heard at last meeting be not heard again. *So ordered.*

Donzell Stoney, 3581 Clay street, Chairman Taxation Committee, San Francisco Real Estate Board. Clerk read statement.

#### Communication From Real Estate Board.

The following was read and made a part of the record:

Whereas, it appears that the Chief Engineer of the California Toll Bridge Authority has recommended to that body the purchase by the Toll Bridge Authority of the existing franchise of the Southern Pacific-Golden Gate Ferries operating between San Francisco and Oakland; and

Whereas, it appears that the 1937 income, under conditions now existing due to the cut rate in effect by the ferries, shows an income of \$4,391,000 on the basis of a 50c fare on the bridge, and that if the ferries are removed from the bay a base fare of 40c, or a reduction of 20 per cent from the existing fare on the bridge, and a corresponding reduction in automobile commute rates will produce an annual income of over \$5,000,000 the first year; and

Whereas, it appears that if a rate war should be undertaken a diversion from the ferries of not less than 1,520,000 cars would be necessary to enable the Toll Bridge Authority to meet financial requirements of the Reconstruction Finance Corporation agreement; and

Whereas, it appears that the Bay Bridge cannot meet the reduced fare now in effect by the Ferry Company without default in the existing bond indenture; and

Whereas, the acquisition of the aforesaid franchise by the California State Toll Bridge Authority would improve the security of the bonds and enable the Toll Bridge Authority to effect a large saving thereby in interest charges; and

Whereas, the Directors of the San Francisco Real Estate Board have investigated all of the facts in connection with the proposed purchase and are of the opinion that it is in accordance with sound business practice and in the interest of the public; now, therefore, be it



Resolved, By the Directors of the San Francisco Real Estate Board that this organization recommend the proposed plan to purchase the ferry franchise, provided the transaction involves the surrender of the existing ferry franchise across the Golden Gate; and

Provided Further, That the entire cost to the Toll Bridge Authority does not exceed the sum of \$3,750,000.

Resolution adopted by the Board of Directors, San Francisco Real Estate Board, January 11th, 1938.

Sylvester J. McAtee, 15 Eighteenth avenue, representing Downtown Association, favored proposed purchase of ferry franchise.

Geo. W. Gerhardt, 546 Thirty-ninth avenue, Secretary of Civic League of Improvement Clubs, favored purchase, provided a lower figure than \$3,750,000 could be arrived at.

E. A. Crocker, Berkeley, representing San Francisco Anti-Ferry Franchise Purchase League (Jos. A. Brown, attorney, President.)

Mrs. Frank Caverly, 125 Arleta street, favored purchase of ferry franchise.

Mrs. Rose Walker, Longfellow Mt. Vernon Improvement Association, favored the purchase.

W. J. Varley, 133 Rae avenue, representing Southern Council of Civic Clubs, and Mrs. Francis C. Maiden, Oakland Civic Center, Inc., opposed proposed purchase.

Mrs. Annie Scanlon opposed the purchase of the ferry franchise.

Geo. T. Baker, 17 San Jose avenue, Citizen Voters' Council.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I move that the entire matter be taken into the hands of this Board sitting as a committee of the whole.

SUPERVISOR MEAD: Second the motion.

THE PRESIDENT: Any objection? (No response.)

Such will be the order. How much time do you desire to devote to this discussion?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Now that it is in the hands of the committee of the whole—

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor McSheehy, I say how much time do you desire to devote to this discussion?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Well, I would say one hour.

THE PRESIDENT: Not over an hour?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Not over an hour.

THE PRESIDENT: Any objection?

SUPERVISOR UHL: I am not going to commit myself to any time on this, Mr. President. It is a very important matter. I am not going to use much time in the discussion, but I don't think there is any need to limit the time. Let's carry it to a conclusion, whatever time it takes.

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor McSheehy has made a motion that the committee devote an hour to it. Supervisor Uhl objects.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Call the roll.

THE PRESIDENT: Roll call on Supervisor McSheehy's motion that an hour be devoted to the discussion of this matter.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Brown?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Colman?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor McSheehy?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Mead?

SUPERVISOR MEAD: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Meyer? (Absent.) Supervisor Ratto?

SUPERVISOR RATTO: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Reilly?

SUPERVISOR REILLY: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Roncovieri?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Schmidt?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Shannon?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Not to exceed an hour—Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Uhl?

SUPERVISOR UHL: No.

THE CLERK: Nine "Ayes", one "No", one absent.

THE PRESIDENT: The motion is carried. Supervisor McSheehy?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Now, members of the Board, we are sitting here as a committee of the whole hearing the resolution as read by our Clerk. I am going to offer an amendment to that resolution, and I would like the Clerk to read the amendment. I would like to pass—as far as I have them—copies to the various members of the Board, of the amendment.

SUPERVISOR RATTO: While you are considering that, on the old age pension I notice quite a few people here. If we are going to discuss this for an hour or more, do you think it is proper to ask them to remain, or put that over until next Monday?

THE PRESIDENT: What is the pleasure of the Board? Supervisor Uhl?

SUPERVISOR UHL: It is Supervisor Reilly's motion.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: Supervisor Uhl has the floor. I thought I had finished.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I believe it is a matter so important that these people will be satisfied to wait in order that they can have a hearing today, just as long as they do get a hearing. Another thing, unless we pass old age pension today they will be shut out entirely for the month of March. Therefore, I would ask those people who are interested to remain until this is disposed of, when we can take it up.

THE PRESIDENT: Proceed, Mr. Clerk.

(Amendment offered by Supervisor McSheehy read by the Clerk, as follows):

"That these several political subdivisions urge the California Toll Bridge Authority to defer consideration of the purchase of the ferry franchises and for a period of not less than thirty days to reduce the tolls on the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge to an individual toll not greater than that at present paid per automobile on the ferry boats, which test, we believe, will determine the advisability of purchasing the ferry franchises and will at the same time demonstrate whether or not the financing of the bridge can be carried on a sound basis at this reduced fare."

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I move that as an amendment.

SUPERVISOR MEAD: Second the motion.

THE PRESIDENT: It has been regularly moved and seconded that the resolution just read by the Clerk be offered as an amendment to the resolution on our calendar.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: May I just say a few words—

SUPERVISOR UHL: I would just like to ask a question, through the Chair, of Supervisor McSheehy.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: You may.

THE PRESIDENT: You rise to a question of information?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Yes. Supervisor McSheehy, the last paragraph of this resolution says: "The above resolution was also concurred in by James B. McSheehy, Supervisor of the City and County of San Francisco." Do I understand that you concurred in that as a Supervisor representing this Board, or simply as an individual?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I concurred, not representing this Board, but I am a Supervisor 24 hours in the day, every day in the year, until my time expires. I was over there, with five other members of this Board. I am very sorry you didn't find the time to attend that meeting, but there were five members of this Board who attended the



meeting. I seconded the amendment as offered by Mayor McCracken, and was so recorded, acting as an individual, and not representing this Board, but simply meeting with that other body.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Just so it is understood we are not committed by your action.

SUPERVISOR MEAD: I attended that meeting, and we are not committed to anything.

THE PRESIDENT: What is your point, Supervisor Uhl?

SUPERVISOR UHL: That the Board has not been committed.

THE PRESIDENT: Oh, in no way. Supervisor McSheehy has the floor.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Now, members of the Board, I have offered this amendment, and in doing so I am trying to be practical. We have heard this matter now three consecutive days. Some of us have been fortunate enough to attend the meeting over there, but you know the subject-matter as well as we do, it has been well explained on both sides.

Now what position do we find ourselves in? We find ourselves in the position that Alameda County has gone on record asking that we proceed as outlined in the resolved in this amendment; and that we proceed and determine exactly the conditions that will arise after a reduction in the fare has taken place. Now, members of the Board, be practical. There is no way in the world to put any practice on any matter into effect except to do it the same way yourself. If you take any line of business—take the line that Doctor Schmidt is engaged in. If some new remedy is introduced in one of the hospitals, that particular remedy is administered to hundreds of patients before the medical fraternity take up and adopt it. And that is true in every line. You do that in your own line, Supervisor Uhl, and every man on this Board.

Now, we find our sister cities across the Bay are practical. Why not follow the same lines. They are in the same position we are in today.

You know how this matter came to us—and one point that was made by one of the speakers here struck me very forcibly. The statement was made that \$71,000,000 has been expended for the Bay Bridge. We have a wonderful Bay Bridge, but were we asked by the Toll Bridge Authority to supervise any of that expenditure? We were not. They supervised that entire matter. Now why come to us at this time? Why does the Governor of the State ask the citizens of the Bay region to countenance the purchase of the ferry franchises? The last little lady who spoke, I don't know her name, made a point. It is not alone the \$3,750,000 we are expending for the purchase of the franchises, but they also want to refinance, and the refinancing will cost around \$3,000,000. So we find ourselves with a \$6,000,000 expenditure. Why not play safe and go along and find out if this reduction in tolls can take place? Why not follow our sister cities across the Bay? I trust we are in no antagonistic frame of mind towards them. I hope some day to see the entire Bay region united, I think it will have to take place as a matter of self preservation, which is the first law of nature. We all know the conditions that exist in the south. We know that Los Angeles will, and has, and will continue to pass legislation favoring Los Angeles, and not favoring the northern section of the State, and the time will come when we will have to unite for the furtherance of the interest of this section, and I hope no situation will be created where one city is antagonistic to the other. This bridge has brought us together, and it should remain so.

Now as I have often said, we represent the largest corporation north of the Tehachapi, the City of San Francisco. We have been elected by the people of this city to represent them. The man that introduced this resolution, his Honor the Mayor of the City of Oakland, is the author of this resolution, and they passed this resolution asking that this entire matter be taken under advisement, and that practical tests be made.

So I say to you I hope that you will not attempt to place this city in

a position in which it is going to countenance the expenditure of \$3,750,000 to purchase these franchises from the Southern Pacific Company. A great deal has been said about the thousand dollars a day that we are losing in reference to our own Golden Gate Bridge. Do you realize that we are not being treated right in reference to our Golden Gate Bridge today? Do you realize that the Bay Bridge is receiving maintenance cost to the amount of \$500,000 a year from the gas tax, and we not receiving a dollar in reference to the Golden Gate Bridge. Why should we not receive our maintenance cost? Is there any reason for that? Of course, we are told today that this great loss will take place, and we know it will take place in the winter time, but it will not take place in the summer time, and why allow us to pay for the franchises that enormous sum? We are told by Supervisor Uhl that the franchise has only 1250 days to run. Multiply that by \$500 and it amounts to six hundred odd thousand dollars, and we are asked to pay \$3,750,000 plus financing. I ask, gentleman, that you look at this as a board of directors interested in your city. I never lose track of that fact. I have some very close friends who represent some corporate interests, and I have often differed with them, and one man in particular said one day, "I can't understand how you are always looking after this," and I said, "Well, you always look after the interests of your corporation, don't you?" I never lose track of the fact that I am representing the City of San Francisco in the little position I occupy, and I never will. We are here today representing the citizenry of this city, and I hope and trust, gentlemen, you will not countenance the purchase of these franchises, and that you will follow the lead of our sister cities across the Bay and vote for the amendment I have proposed. It is safe and sane, you can't lose, it is practical.

THE PRESIDENT: Doctor Schmidt.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: I would like to ask a question of Mr. McCauliffe: You heard the reading of the resolution that was offered by Mayor McCracken and which has been introduced as an amendment by Supervisor McSheehy, advocating the reduction of the tolls on the Trans-Bay Bridge to an amount equal to that of the ferries at the present time, for an experimental period of we will say one month. Is that possible under the Reconstruction Finance Corporation contract?

MR. McCauliffe: No, that is not possible.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: It is not possible at all?

MR. McCauliffe: No, and we have prepared here—Mr. Andrews has blueprints worked out to answer any of these technical questions, and I prefer to give them to you in dollars and cents if you would like to have them that way.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Andrews.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Just a minute, please. This is in the hands of the Board. If you want to read any documents and submit the same to the members of the Board you may do so, and have the Clerk read it, but if we start to read documents from outside parties we are not living up to our own rules. It should be read by the Clerk.

THE PRESIDENT: As I understand the situation when it was taken into the hands of the committee officials would be permitted the privilege of the floor. Doctor Schmidt has asked a question of an official of the California State Toll Bridge Authority, Mr. McCauliffe, and Mr. McCauliffe has referred to Mr. Andrews to answer the question for him. Do you object?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: No, not under the statement you have just made.

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Uhl?

SUPERVISOR UHL: In order to go right to the root of the thing, this proposal by Supervisor McSheehy says, "To reduce the tolls on the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge to an individual toll not greater than that at present paid per automobile on the ferry boats." Which is 30 cents one way, and 50 cents round trip. Therefore, I would like to ask the direct question: What would be the result if such a toll were



installed—in your judgment as one of the officials of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, on the basis of the toll called for?

MR. McAULIFFE: I will refer that to Mr. Andrews.

MR. ANDREWS: When I was listening to Supervisor McSheehy I jotted down some figures here. The average fare on the ferries today is a little less than 31 cents, and I take it that Supervisor McSheehy has reference to that fare. The present traffic on the Bay Bridge is running around 8,500,000 cars, and the present ferry traffic 3,200,000 cars. Now to meet the annual requirements of about 4,075,000 under the R. F. C. agreement, or \$4,200,000 under the proposed agreement, you would have to have in the first instance about 13,400,000 cars, and in the last instance about 14,000,000 cars.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Will you repeat that?

MR. ANDREWS: If we are figuring on the present R. F. C. agreement it would require about thirteen million five or six hundred thousand cars a year, and under the new contract, or proposed contract, about 14,000,000 cars, which multiplied by 31 cents would meet the actual requirements; and the present total crossing the Bay on both ferries and bridge is approximately 11,800,000 cars; so if you got all the traffic on the ferries and all the traffic on the bridge, you would fall short about 3,000,000 automobiles.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Will you be kind enough to state the formula that has been agreed upon between the Toll Bridge Authority and the Refinance Corporation—what is the formula?

MR. ANDREWS: On toll reductions?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Yes.

MR. ANDREWS: There are two requirements. First, that there must be a reserve fund of \$4,000,000 if the ferries are not purchased; and if they are purchased a reserve fund of \$2,000,000 up to and including 1941. The second requirement is, that—and this is the sticker in all toll reductions—and it is that tolls cannot be reduced until such time as traffic has increased to a point whereby the number of vehicles—or rather the income of the year previous, reduced to vehicles, multiplied by the new proposed toll, will meet the annual requirements for the next year, plus 20 per cent.

SUPERVISOR UHL: That is, the total number of automobiles that pass over the bridge in the preceding year, multiplied by say 30 cents, or 40 cents, or whatever it may be, must equal—what is it that it must equal?

MR. ANDREWS: The debt service, plus 20 per cent.

SUPERVISOR UHL: That is the formula that is adopted by the R. F. C.?

MR. ANDREWS: Yes, but I would correct your statement, that it is not the number of automobiles as we understand it, it is the income of the year previous, reduced to automobiles.

SUPERVISOR RATTI: You are not figuring any increase, then?

MR. ANDREWS: Well, they don't allow that, no. For instance, if we were trying to reduce tolls today, or on the 1st of March, we would have to take last year's income and apply it to the new proposed toll, and that would have to equal the debt service for the next year plus 20 per cent.

SUPERVISOR UHL: What was the estimated figure originally, as to the anticipated number of automobiles?

MR. ANDREWS: We made three estimates in the Hoover-Young report, I believe. One of them—I don't recall exactly—was estimated on 65-cent fare, then 50-cent, and on a 40-cent fare.

SUPERVISOR UHL: On the 50-cent toll, how much?

MR. ANDREWS: It was around about 8,900,000 cars.

THE PRESIDENT: Doctor Schmidt, was your question answered?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Yes, it was.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: May I ask Mr. Crocker a question?

THE PRESIDENT: I think Doctor Schmidt has a question?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: I just had this handed to me; maybe

you can enlighten me, Mr. Andrews. (Inaudible discussion between Supervisor Schmidt and Mr. Andrews.)

MR. ANDREWS: This applies to an entirely different agreement.

MR. CHAPMAN: That is the proposed new agreement.

MR. ANDREWS: No.

MR. CHAPMAN: That is what it is labeled, that is what you compiled. Now give the Board the facts as tabulated by you, not misinformation. That is your own figure. Let's have the truth.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Now, Mr. President, I will object, in all fairness. We must be fair, and the attorneys will have to respect this Board as they would respect a court.

MR. CHAPMAN: I am very sorry.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Let's be fair in this matter.

MR. ANDREWS: This proposes a reduction to 43 cents toll in 1938, and shows a traffic of 11,050,000 cars under that agreement, or under that condition.

SUPERVISOR UHL: When was that tabulation made, Mr. Andrews?

MR. ANDREWS: This was made the 7th of February.

SUPERVISOR UHL: This year?

MR. ANDREWS: Yes.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Did you make the estimate? This gentleman made the statement that you made it.

MR. ANDREWS: Yes, that is one of the tables our office made up.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Well, is there a defect in it, or error in it, or is that bona fide? You say eleven million—how many cars?

MR. ANDREWS: 11,050,000 cars. That is with the toll reduced to 43 cents.

SUPERVISOR UHL: You just gave me the figure, as I understood it, based on 43 cents toll of 8,900,000 cars. Now you have there a report referring to 11,050,000 cars.

MR. ANDREWS: No, that is on a 50-cent fare, 53-cent fare.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Then on a 43-cent fare, will you say there will be 11,000,000 instead of 8,000,000?

MR. ANDREWS: I will say this, if we had continued throughout the year 1938—or I should say 1937, without a toll reduction in the fare that would be—

SUPERVISOR UHL: Is that 1936?

MR. ANDREWS: No, this is 1937, and this anticipates a natural increase in traffic in 1938.

SUPERVISOR UHL: What I don't understand is this: You have given me here at the present time traffic on the bridge of 8,500,000 cars.

MR. ANDREWS: That is right.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Now this is March; and there you are giving an estimate in February of this year that at 43 cents there would be 11,000,000, so naturally it is obvious that if the toll was put to 43 cents, if we can get it to that, it would bring in a greater increase?

MR. ANDREWS: There are so many of these tables I would have to check up on the basis of this table.

SUPERVISOR UHL: In other words, I take it if these figures are correct, that the toll bridge might tomorrow reduce the tolls across the Oakland bridge to 43 cents and realize a greater increase than at present.

MR. ANDREWS: We could, providing we got all the traffic.

THE PRESIDENT: But Mr. McAuliffe has just told you it can't be done.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Here is a statement that it can.

MR. ANDREWS: If we could apply the toll reductions, but that is not as made up, not under the present agreement.

MR. CHAPMAN: That is the agreement you brought back from Washington.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I suggest any discussion about the 43-cent rate is out of order.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, as the Chair understands it, we are discussing whether there should be a 30-day continuance granted. That



seems to be the purport of Supervisor McSheehy's resolution. Supervisor Colman?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: The question is whether the rate should be reduced to the same as the ferries.

MR. ANDREWS: This is with the fares reduced to 43 cents, and we contend at all times that you can reduce to 43 cents under this new agreement, if the ferries are eliminated.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Then that 11,000,000 is with the ferries eliminated? Does it say so?

MR. ANDREWS: This entire table is just a blank sheet. The statement that was asked of me a short time ago was: Whether you could reduce to a 31-cent toll, not a 43-cent toll, and that is an entirely different matter.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: May I ask a question: Your answer is that if the fare were reduced to the fare now charged by the ferries, that assuming you had 100 per cent of the traffic, you wouldn't be able to take in enough revenue to meet bond interest and redemption and fixed charges?

MR. ANDREWS: In round figures, 3,000,000 cars short.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: May I ask a question? I would like to ask Mr. Crocker one or two questions.

THE PRESIDENT: You have finished with Mr. Andrews?

SUPERVISOR UHL: I have.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. McSheehy.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Mr. Crocker, you carefully prepared that paper handed around to the members of the Board—

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Pardon me, may I rise to a point of order: That Supervisor McSheehy's question only officials.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Officials?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Yes, we ruled that the matter be taken into the hands of the committee.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Well, if you raise the point of order I imagine the Chair will sustain it.

THE PRESIDENT: I will have to sustain it.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I know you will, too.

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Uhl?

SUPERVISOR UHL: I would like to ask some questions of the Toll Bridge Authority representative, Mr. McAuliffe or Mr. Purcell. Gentlemen, I have here a document issued by the Anti-Ferry Franchise Purchase League, signed John C. Stirrat, and John Crocker, under date of March 2, 1938, and they state as follows: "The McAuliffe-Purcell report states that the ferries earned \$500 per day." They quote you. Is that a correct statement?

MR. MCAULIFFE: That is correct. I might say that all of these statements issued by us were made by Mr. Purcell's staff after a very careful study, and Mr. Andrews is the man in charge of that staff, and he is here with all his books and figures and that is why I referred to him for accuracy as to the amounts. He has his figures here.

SUPERVISOR UHL: The only reason I asked you is because your name is mentioned.

MR. MCAULIFFE: Yes, this plan is popularly called The McAuliffe Plan, and I am glad to have the honor of having my name attached to it. But the fact of the matter is this is the Jones Plan, Mr. Jones being the chairman of the Reconstruction Finance Corporation, the banker who loaned us the money.

SUPERVISOR UHL: But so it is clear, the statement here is correct, "The McAuliffe-Purcell Report states that the ferries earned \$500 per day"?

THE PRESIDENT: Will you answer the question, Mr. Andrews?

MR. ANDREWS: That, Supervisor Uhl, is brought out in the Railroad Commission hearing, from their records, from the only records we have ever been able to get from their books, and it covers about a year's period.

If you will allow me, I would like to correct a statement I made

on that table. We have so many tables, so many different conditions, I didn't recognize it because the heading was torn off. I made the statement that that table assumed the purchase of the ferries. That is not true. It assumes the ferries on an equal fare basis with the bridge. That was one of the exhibits to be presented to the Railroad Commission in our fight for equality in fares, and that is the basis of that table, which is virtually the same as if the ferries were purchased.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I understand.

Now, Mr. President, in the first place I feel if you take the resolution that was read, that is before us today, it would seem to me that the amendment Supervisor McSheehy is offering does not conform. It says: "Whereas the Governor of the State of California has stated that he will recommend to the California Toll Bridge Authority a plan providing for the elimination of the vehicular ferries in competition with the Transbay and Golden Gate bridges if such plan were approved by the legislative bodies of San Francisco and Alameda counties, and whereas the owners of said vehicular ferry system have indicated that they are willing to surrender the franchises under which said ferries are operated upon terms and conditions to be agreed upon with said California Toll Bridge Authority—" without reading it all, I find no suggestion from the Governor that if we were not satisfied with his recommendation that we should submit an alternative. I think we are beside the matter in submitting to His Excellency, the Governor, an amendment, and I certainly feel it is either a question of accepting this plan as set forth instead of coming back with a proposal for a different arrangement.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, Doctor Schmidt asked Mr. McAuliffe if this could be put in effect, and Mr. McAuliffe said it could not be legally done.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Yes, it would seem to me that that would end that matter.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Then let's get to a vote.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Gentlemen of the Board, I have a right to close.

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Brown?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: If the Chair will permit me I would like to make a brief statement as to my feelings on the main question, in order to save time, because it has a bearing on my views on the amendment. I was very much interested in everything that has gone on here, everything that has been said. I think that our friends from across the Bay have presented their views to us as representing the views of the people of the Transbay cities, and we are glad to have those views. However, there are considerations which confront San Francisco in this matter that are peculiarly San Francisco's problems, and have little or nothing to do with the Oakland and Alameda County viewpoint on the transaction before us.

At last Wednesday's meeting of the Golden Gate Bridge & Highway District, the general manager of the District made a statement to the Board, and quoted some figures which I will give to the Board. For the week beginning Monday, March 7th, the gross revenue of the Golden Gate Bridge was as follows: Monday, \$2,630. Tuesday, \$2,404. Wednesday, \$2,493. Thursday, \$2,642. Friday, \$2,530. Saturday, \$3,055. Sunday, \$4,835. Total revenue for the week \$20,589, or an average of \$2,941 per day.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: What should it have been?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: The requirements to meet bond interest and redemption, and so forth, is approximately \$4,800 per day.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Average?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: This is on the basis of seven days, and the average per day is \$2,941. So we lose over a thousand dollars a day.

SUPERVISOR UHL: What was the average last year in March?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: The bridge wasn't operating in March of last year.



SUPERVISOR UHL: Just how many months have you been operating?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: As I remember the figures, in a discussion about a month ago, the treasurer of the bridge district and the traffic expert were questioned, and they stated that the revenue for the year would produce an approximate loss of about a thousand to fifteen hundred dollars a day, depending on the traffic of course from now until the end of the fiscal year. That at the present time, meaning during the winter months, the deficit was running about \$1,200 a day, compared with—not the per diem necessity—but the per diem necessity budgeted according to previous traffic experience, that is weighted so the winter months contribute less than the summer months.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: What did you say the average estimated loss was?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Between a thousand and fifteen hundred dollars a month.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Didn't you make a statement at the Lafayette Club that the losses would amount to about \$360,000 a year, and didn't Mr. .... correct you that it was only \$180,000?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: \$180,000 for the six months. As a matter of fact, the figures showed more than that. That was the minimum. At the present time, members of the Board, the bridge is producing an average daily revenue of \$2,941 as against necessities of \$4,800. Giving effect to seasonal fluctuation, that represents losses on a budgeted basis of about \$1,200 a day. It means anywhere from \$350,000 to \$400,000 losses for the year. San Francisco's share of that loss, figuring roughly one cent to \$70,000 in the tax rate, would be about 4½ cents added to our tax rate. Now I think the figures, the details, the arguments we have had advanced are very illuminating, but they entirely miss the point, which is that if the ferries are eliminated some three thousand cars a day using the ferries, will, in considerable part, use the bridge. In the opinion of our experts that additional traffic will be sufficient to make up the deficit of the bridge. That is Mr. Taylor's considered statement. In other words, if this deal goes through there will be no deficit on the Golden Gate Bridge. If it doesn't go through, the deficit will continue.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Do I understand from your statement that if the boats and the ferries were discontinued that in March—or in April the business that would be added would offset the \$1,200 per day—would offset the deficit?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: That is correct—on a budgeted basis.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Is the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District allowed anything by the state authorities for maintenance?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: The Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District is not allowed anything by the state authorities for maintenance on the bridge itself, but the State of California does contribute to the maintenance of the approaches to the bridge, the Waldo cut.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: That is a separate thing; we are not responsible for the approaches. Do you know how much the maintenance cost would amount to on the bridge if the same proportion were allowed as is allowed to the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I think the two costs of maintenance would be comparable; I think there is some additional cost on the Bay Bridge, but I think it is around \$240,000.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Then if that amount were allowed by the state there would be no deficit?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: The deficit would be reduced by \$240,000.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: But that is not allowed?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: That is not allowed; and there is no chance of getting it.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: There is a chance of getting it.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Can you tell me what the ferry earnings on the Marin County side are, May 1st to June 1st, say?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Well, I have not the exact figures, but approximately the annual traffic of the ferry is about 3000 cars a day.

SUPERVISOR UHL: But can you tell me in period, because today they don't average anything like that.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: That is true, but that is the only figure I have; the average figure.

SUPERVISOR MEAD: Would you say that the average traffic on the bridge is above normal, due to the fact that the first 30 or 40 days there were people desiring to cross the bridge?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I don't think there is any question but what there was some traffic which was induced by the novelty of the bridge, but what the effect will be we can't tell until we have the figures on May, June and July.

Now speaking about the reduction—this experiment of reducing tolls, the Golden Gate Bridge tried that for a short period. They got about 95 per cent of the traffic during that period, and succeeded in increasing their operating loss to about \$500 a day. In other words, even if they had gotten all the traffic they still would have lost money—and there was an interesting development, which was that the induced traffic which we have heard a lot about did not materialize in any noticeable number, but approximately the total traffic increased about five per cent. If it had gotten all of the traffic the loss would still have been greater than that which existed prior to the time the experiment was made.

SUPERVISOR UHL: From your experience, then, on the Golden Gate Bridge, would you say the same thing is liable to happen if the tolls were reduced on the Oakland Bay Bridge?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Mr. Andrews just gave you the figures, indicating that if they got all the traffic that is now going across there would still be about 3,000,000 cars a year short of meeting their costs.

Now we listened today to three San Francisco organizations—four. Three of them were favorable—at least two were favorable, one didn't oppose it, and the fourth, represented by Mrs. Scanlon, spoke against it. Those speaking in favor were the Real Estate Board, and the Downtown Association; and the Civic League of Improvement Clubs said they didn't see any other way out. There has been a lot of talk about the benefits of the bridges, and saying if the bridge tolls have to be kept up the bridges cannot be justified. I think the best answer to that is that the bridges have already justified themselves by reducing the average toll on the San Francisco-Sausalito run, for example, from 90 cents or thereabouts, to 50 cents. In other words, the mere existence of the bridge has already forced the ferry company to reduce their tolls first to 50, and now to 30 cents, one way, so that a two-thirds reduction of the tolls has already been achieved by the Golden Gate Bridge. Where it used to cost 90 cents for a car and four passengers, it now costs 30 cents. I think in that one fact the bridges have justified their existence.

Summing up briefly, there is no doubt good reason for the position taken by our neighbors across the bay, in their opinion; but I think San Francisco has to look at the thing from San Francisco's point of view. We have on the one hand a promise made of a 40-cent toll, a reduction of 10 cents in tolls across the Bay Bridge. We also have the elimination of an increase of at least 4 cents and possibly 5 cents in the tax rate in the future. On the other hand, we have a continuation of the present toll, with no reductions, and not only the possibility but the extreme probability that next year will see a tax levy from the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District. Considering it from those standpoints alone, I don't see how the Board of Supervisors, representing the taxpayers of San Francisco, can do otherwise than to endorse this amendment.

SUPERVISOR UHL: The amended plan?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: No, the plan itself. We are not paying out any money from San Francisco. As a matter of fact, it has been shown conclusively that the added traffic on the Bay Bridge will pay



what will be the cost of buying the ferries. San Francisco will not be out one cent from its tax rate or any other source if the ferries are purchased. From our standpoint I don't see how there could be much argument. On the other hand, we stand to gain a 40 cent toll.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Again I rise to a point of order.

THE PRESIDENT: State your point of order.

SUPERVISOR UHL: My point of order is that the matter on the calendar is entirely different than the proposal submitted by Supervisor McSheehy. If this matter on the calendar is voted down I can see how he can bring in a resolution to this effect, but it certainly doesn't follow the request of the Governor. I am ready, if you will rule on my point of order, that it is well taken, to offer another amendment along the line of the matter before us on the calendar.

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor McSheehy?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Before you rule, may I as the author of the amendment state this: I can't see how the Supervisor can present—

THE PRESIDENT: To save time, Supervisor McSheehy, I will rule that the point of order is not well taken.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Thank you, Mr. President.

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Colman?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Before I give my views I would like to ask a question of Mr. Charles H. Purcell. May I ask you a question, Mr. Purcell? After careful consideration of this problem, and the consultation with your respective heads, your recommendation to this Board is that this plan is for the best interests of the people of California, and you recommend to this Board the express approval of it. Do I quote you correctly?

MR. PURCELL: You quote me correctly. I recommend this, after careful consideration, as best for the bridge, and best for the people around the Bay, because I know of no other way under our present bond indenture, or any bond indenture I have had any opportunity to negotiate, of increasing the business of the bridge, which will result in a lower toll.

SUPERVISOR UHL: May I ask a question of Mr. Purcell?

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Colman has the floor.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I will yield to a question, gladly.

SUPERVISOR UHL: May I ask you a question: It is estimated there are 1250 days that the franchise still has to run, until October 3, 1941?

MR. PURCELL: Yes, sir.

SUPERVISOR UHL: And figuring \$500, as set forth in your report, \$500 per day, or \$635,000 in round figures—

MR. PURCELL: Yes, sir.

SUPERVISOR UHL: How then can you justify the price of \$3,750,000 for this franchise?

MR. PURCELL: Well, that is the lowest price, after a long period of negotiation, starting with \$6,000,000, that Mr. McAuliffe or any representative of the Toll Bridge Authority have been able to get. In the second place, based on the volume of traffic on the ferries it amounts to more than \$500 a day, because we get a million dollars' worth of business going on to our bridge, which will increase the traffic, reduce fares, and thus make a saving to the public. Answering your other question, our counsel advised us that although the franchise expired in 1941, that legal questions have been raised, that make it clear that to settle the legal question would take at least two years, and some have said higher than that—three years, because it will actually go through the several courts. So, therefore, although we based our estimate only to 1941, in justifying the deal we have a perfect right to plead a longer time.

SUPERVISOR UHL: For the first time today I ran into the franchise, which a Board of Supervisors granted, and it was a six-minute ferry company. The Southern Pacific were in nowise a party to it, and

even a jury, if it read what I have read in today's Journal, I would feel that the point is not well taken.

MR. PURCELL: Well, I depend on the advice of our counsel.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I appreciate that.

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Colman?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: In speaking against the amendment, I, too, ask the privilege accorded the previous speaker of giving my views in favor of the resolution as it appears on the calendar, and after that I will express my disapproval of the amendment—

THE PRESIDENT: The Chair would prefer that you confine yourself to the amendment, due to the fact that there are only 10 minutes left to discuss it, and Supervisor McSheehy is really entitled to ten minutes to close. And when the matter is taken in the hands of the Board for the consideration of the matter I think you would have ample time at that time to express yourself on the main question.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Then I will simply content myself now with expressing my disapproval of the amendment, and speak in favor of the other later.

THE PRESIDENT: Do you wish to proceed?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I will simply say I will vote against this amendment, and speak in favor of the resolution later.

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor McSheehy will close.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Members of the Board: You are sitting as a Committee of the Whole, and you will rise and report in reference to my amendment, and in reference to the matter on the calendar today. I offered this amendment in good faith. Supervisor Brown told you of a deficit, and I asked him a practical question in reference to the maintenance cost, and he said \$240,000, and he told you there would be a deficit of perhaps \$300,000. That is an estimated deficit for next year, because it is naturally figured on the assertion that the amount of the maintenance cost would not be allowed by the Toll Bridge Authority, or the State of California. Now don't divorce yourself from this: The Toll Bridge Authority in the State of California, as represented by His Excellency, the Governor, is really one. Don't forget that. Now what position do we find ourselves in? We find ourselves in this position, that \$500,000 a year is allotted for a maintenance cost out of the funds of the State of California for the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge today, and they will have to allow the same to the Golden Gate Bridge.

I don't want to, but I have been a party to the naming of the majority of the members of the Golden Gate Bridge directorate, I think a majority were elected by the membership of this Board, and if they have been derelict in not asking for this maintenance, very well, that is their business and not mine, but it is coming to us, and will come to us, and therefore there will be no deficit if that maintenance cost is allowed.

Now, coming back to my resolution—it is not my resolution, but it is a resolution that was offered by the Mayor of Oakland, our sister city, and concurred in by all of our neighbors in the County of Alameda across the Bay, and that county altogether has a population that is a little over the population of the City and County of San Francisco, so that Alameda County is some county. Now those counties—Rather, all of the political subdivisions of that county have concurred in this resolution. What does this resolution mean? It is simply a safeguard, it asks us to go out, I will read it to you, especially the last resolved so that you can see the language. It was carefully thought out, it didn't happen in a moment, but the Mayor of Oakland made this resolution upon my suggestion; he prepared it and very carefully prepared this resolution:

"These several political subdivisions urge the California Toll Bridge Authority to defer consideration of the purchase of the ferry franchises and for a period of not less than 30 days to reduce the tolls on the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge to an individual toll not greater



than that at present paid per automobile on the ferry boats, which test, we believe, will determine the advisability of purchasing the ferry franchises and will at the same time demonstrate whether or not the financing of the bridge can be carried on a sound basis at this reduced fare."

Now, Mr. McAuliffe is attorney for the Toll Bridge Authority and he states it cannot be done. Now I have great respect for Mr. McAuliffe, and I know him very well, but Mr. McAuliffe is simply attorney for the Toll Bridge Authority, and the Toll Bridge Authority is the Governor of the State of California. The Governor of the State of California says to you, "Yes, I will agree to this refinancing, I will agree to give the Southern Pacific Company \$3,500,000, but, gentlemen, go to the dear people of the entire Bay Region and see if they will agree." So we have one-half of the people of the Bay Region or a little more, led by their Mayor. Our Mayor has not led us, it has been put right in our laps. We go to the people there and they say, "We would like to make an investigation, we would like to be practical, we would like to get the figures." You know, figures are the easiest thing in the world to transpose, I have been in industry all of my life, and I know how you can transpose figures, transpose them one way or another to make them read any way you want. But the Governor of the State says, to whom—to the Southern Pacific Company, the greatest corporation in the State, "Gentlemen, I will confer with you with reference to the purchase of this franchise for three and one-half million dollars; I will confer with you, Mr. Bondholders, with reference to the entire matter so that commissions on refinancing of almost another \$3,000,000 will take place; but, gentlemen, go to the dear people, they placed me where I am, and I am responsible to them, but you go to the people." I say to you now, gentlemen, we are responsible to this great city of San Francisco, and some of the members come up and say "No, I don't favor this investigation, I don't favor going forth and being practical, and I do not for this reason." Very well, I have no argument with those gentlemen, they are entitled to their opinions, and I have associated with them for years and I respect their opinions, but, gentlemen, we are here representing the people and some day we are going to have to account to the people for our stewardship. The people of this great city were very gracious here last November, they did something that the people never did in the history of San Francisco before, they returned the entire personnel of this Board of Supervisors to office—

THE PRESIDENT: One minute, Supervisor.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: All right, Mr. Chairman, you have been very fair with all of us, I will have to compliment you on that, but I have this to say to you; that I hope and trust you will vote for this amendment. This amendment is nothing but a safeguard, and nothing will take place, nothing will happen except a reduction will go on for 30 days, and then the matter will come back to us, and, of course, if we are wrong we will right the wrong, and if we are right, we will go to our neighbors and say this: "We have taken safeguards in protecting your rights, the same as the Mayor of the City of Oakland, and the Councilmen of Oakland, and the same as all of the leaders of the various subdivisions across the Bay."

THE PRESIDENT: While you have the floor, will you make the proper motion, please?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I move that we rise and report, the motion as read by our Clerk as presented to this Board by me.

THE PRESIDENT: On the main question.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: On the main question.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there any objection? (Bringing down the gavel.)

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I move that we now reconvene as a Board of Supervisors.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Now just a minute. Is that the proper procedure?

THE PRESIDENT: Yes, the motion was made to rise and report; you were sitting as a Committee of the Whole, and Mr. Brown has now made the proper motion that we reconvene as a Board of Supervisors.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Yes, Mr. Chairman, I see your point.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there any objection? (Bringing down the gavel.) We are now sitting as a Board of Supervisors.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Is the matter still open for discussion?

THE PRESIDENT: The matter now is on the motion offered by Supervisor McSheehy.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Yes. Now I still feel that we are not responding to the request of His Excellency, the Governor, and the Toll Bridge Authority, and we have heard today, we have been told very definitely that to attempt to pass this amendment is impossible—

THE PRESIDENT: Is illegal.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Yes, is illegal; and I also feel, if I may express myself, I am going to vote against this. I feel the price here is—

THE PRESIDENT: Will you confine yourself to the amendment, please, Supervisor?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Yes, I am out of place on that. Then the question is whether or not to vote on the amendment offered by Supervisor McSheehy. All right, I say I am opposed to it; I say to you that the Toll Bridge Authorities have advised us they cannot carry through on the 1-cent toll and that it is illegal to do so. That is sufficient grounds on which to say I am going to vote against it.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Call the roll.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Just a minute. I can't say much, Mr. Uhl has advised us he is opposed to my motion, being opposed to the people across the Bay, where he lived for a great many years. I can only say this, members of the Board, you can vote my motion down if you wish, you can vote it down, and after you vote I would like Mr. Uhl, if he has anything better to offer, to do so; but I hate to think that a man can condone himself to the extent that he stands out on that little flimsy issue, and I say this to you, that it is a very, very flimsy issue, and that Mr. McAuliffe, the attorney for the Toll Bridge Authority, has raised this issue, and he says that it is illegal, but we have a City Attorney, Supervisor Uhl, we have our own City Attorney, and Mr. Uhl says, "I condone myself tonight, I shall go before the various improvement clubs and tell them what we can do, I am the advocate of the common people," but yet he allows himself, after the story by the counsel for the Toll Bridge Authority—

SUPERVISOR UHL: Now just a minute; I would like to give the Supervisor all the time he wants, and he takes so much time any way, but he is going off into the sky and talking bubbles—

THE PRESIDENT: You rise to a point of order, Supervisor Uhl?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Yes, I rise to a point of order, Mr. Chairman.

THE PRESIDENT: The point of order is well taken.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Call the roll.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Call the roll.

THE PRESIDENT: Call the roll, Mr. Clerk. On the amendment by Supervisor McSheehy.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Brown?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Colman?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor McSheehy?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Mead?

SUPERVISOR MEAD: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Meyer?

SUPERVISOR MEYER: No.



THE CLERK: Supervisor Ratto?

SUPERVISOR RATTO: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Reilly?

SUPERVISOR REILLY: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Roncovieri? (Absent.) Supervisor Schmidt?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Shannon?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Uhl?

SUPERVISOR UHL: No.

THE CLERK: Eight "Noes," two "Ayes," and one absent.

THE PRESIDENT: The motion is lost. Now on the main question.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Now on the main question?

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Roncovieri, had you been here how would you like to have voted, how would you like to have the record show you voted on Supervisor McSheehy's amendment?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: "No."

SUPERVISOR UHL: I should like to ask, Mr. President, through the Chair, of our Clerk if there has been any further legislation since the enactment of the franchise granted to the Six Minute Ferry Company; that franchise—

THE CLERK: Not here, not on that subject.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Not on that subject. Now, members of the Board, that franchise very definitely grants the Six Minute Ferry Company a 25-year franchise—

THE CLERK: 20-year.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Yes, a 20-year franchise, and the franchise, as I understand it, was originally taken over by Mayor Rolph and transferred to the Southern Pacific Company, which brings it up to date. They, the Southern Pacific Company, were not granted the franchise, and certainly anyone reading that who would be sitting on a jury—and certainly there are often laymen who decide these matters as jurors—I don't see any ground for the claim that the franchise can be extended. Now that brings me up to the point, gentlemen, on the toll bridge itself. We know that the ferry claims to be making \$500 a day, and there are 1250 days remaining on the original franchise, or \$625,000. How in the world can anyone come along and tell us that the value on that franchise today is \$3,500,000? And then the statement is made that the money we are going to make on the San Francisco-Oakland Bridge will amount to \$1,000,000 a year profit, and therefore they want a profit of \$2,500,000. That's pretty sharp reasoning, if they can get away with it, that's just the point. Here is a franchise they are making \$500 a day on, and they cannot base the price on anything, in my judgment, more than they can realize out of the matter themselves, not what we realize, that is the benefit we intend should go to our people who use the bridge. Therefore, I want to emphasize the fact that this \$3,500,000 is purely an arbitrary figure, and I certainly for one, I am not going to vote for this thing now. I appreciate thoroughly—I am here representing the people of San Francisco, and it is up to us to see that they are protected. We are rather in a jam, we have been advised, given notice, that the Golden Gate Bridge is losing money, and if this deal goes through then, according to the figures we have been given, the bridge will carry itself and there will be no demand for a tax on the part of our San Francisco people.

It just so happens that Oakland, the East Bay communities, were fortunate in not being obliged to carry any part of the Golden Gate Bridge load, and I understand why they would like to see that deal made on account of the Oakland-Bay Bridge, and let us struggle along the best way we can with the Golden Gate Bridge.

Now, gentlemen, this is not our problem, at no time have we taken into consideration any of these matters. The Governor has never said, "What is your feeling on this, that, or the other thing," they have always carried it through as they saw fit. Now then, on this toll bridge,

if they think this is such a fine deal, why come to us and put it on our doorstep? The reason they do that and then they will come back to us and say, "Why, you asked for this thing."

I will say this to you, in any business deal, if the Southern Pacific Company can get \$3,500,000, why, I believe they would be glad to get \$1,000,000. I rather feel like suggested by the Central Council of Civic Clubs, that I would favor this deal if the price was reasonable, and I figure that would be a reasonable price. Now later on, if this doesn't work out right they can come back on us, so I have this feeling; that there is no deadline on this, no hurry, and I therefore am going to move—and I hope that I will get a second—that we table this matter, and that allows us to take it up some time later, and when we find that the Governor, or the Toll Bridge Authority, may be able to make a much better deal, and I therefore favor the matter being tabled.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I am going to second the motion made by Supervisor Uhl, and I have a reason for it. I feel that a great mistake will be made if we pass this, and if it is tabled now we can take it up again next Monday and go over the whole thing; I think we should sleep on it for at least a week. Supervisor Uhl and I differ on many things, but I will gladly second any motion that anyone makes, if I think it is right, whether I agree with them at all times or not, and I don't feel that we should go through with this purchase and place ourselves on record, because if we do I am afraid that \$3,500,000 will be paid for the franchise, and I am afraid that the bondholders will have a nice little contract of refinancing that will cost another \$3,000,000, and it has been well said that \$6,000,000 at the usual rate of interest will mean a cost to this city of \$12,000,000, and remember, the people of the city are responsible for the entire deal, and we will pay our portion, and therefore I want to second the motion by Supervisor Uhl.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Call the roll.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Call the roll.

THE PRESIDENT: Call the roll, Mr. Clerk.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Brown?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Colman?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor McSheehy?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Mead?

SUPERVISOR MEAD: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Meyer?

SUPERVISOR MEYER: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Ratto?

SUPERVISOR RATTO: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Reilly?

SUPERVISOR REILLY: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Roncovieri?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Schmidt?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Shannon?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Uhl?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Aye.

THE CLERK: Nine "Noes" and two "Ayes."

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I would like to speak in favor of the resolution as it appears upon the calendar: It seems to me that as the discussion today unfolded itself, and bearing in mind all of the discussions of the two previous weeks', that as far as I am concerned the problem has rather adequately solved itself. I don't doubt the sincerity and earnestness of the people across the Bay. However, I think we are in a position to look upon the problem and judge it just as clearly and just as disinterestedly and from the same good motives



that I am sure I am very happy to ascribe to them, but they have offered us nothing, nothing that can be done. Two weeks ago the proposal was, "If you will only wait the Legislature will then allocate the gas tax money to guarantee the bonds and we will be able to refinance the bonds and effect large savings in interest so we won't have to buy the ferries." I think most of the members of the Board felt that would be done, and we waited, and it was not done, and can't be done now. Now, however, a proposal is made to meet the competition of the ferries and we are told legally that cannot be done, and we are told by the traffic expert that if we do buy the business there would still be a deficit amounting to the toll on 3,000,000 automobiles.

Now, gentlemen, what is the answer and how are we best serving the people of San Francisco, and of the Bay District, and the entire State? Will they be more pleased if we do nothing, and don't decrease the toll, or would they prefer an immediate toll reduction of 10 cents, followed in December of next year by a further reduction of 5 cents? If it comes to the point of going before the voters for their approval, I think it will be received much more happily and much more pleasantly if we can point to a reduction in the tolls caused by a legitimate realistic business proposition. Now I don't think anyone needs to be afraid the people will say that we are servants of the corporation if the members of the Board know they are not, that is the answer, and, of course, it is definitely true we are not. But nevertheless if we get rid of competition who gets the money is the matter for us to consider. If we get rid of competition it will clear the road for lower rates and be a saving, because if an investment of \$3,500,000 makes for a saving of over a million dollars, as stated by the Toll Bridge Authorities, that is good business, and, after all, running the bridges is merely a business proposition and the people will reap the benefits of it. Now the statistics furnished by our friends across the Bay were no doubt honestly compiled—

MR. REED: No, and they have not been challenged to date.

THE PRESIDENT: Order, please—

MR. REED: Yes, and I am on my way—

THE PRESIDENT: I will ask the assistance of the sergeant at arms.

MR. REED: You don't need it.

THE PRESIDENT: Put him out.

(Thereupon Mr. Reed was assisted from the chambers by the sergeant at arms.)

THE PRESIDENT: Proceed, Supervisor Colman.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Our friends are very friendly when you agree with them, but not quite so pleasant when you disagree. I credit them with all fairness in drawing up their statistics, and that was the statement I made a few minutes ago; but I prefer, Mr. President, and members of the Board, to take the figures of the Toll Bridge Authority whenever there is a conflict, and I asked, because I wanted the members of the Board, and the citizens of San Francisco here, to hear Mr. Charles H. Purcell make the recommendation personally that he considered this for the best interests of the State of California that we buy the railroad—buy the franchise of the ferry boats. Now Mr. Purcell is known to me but slightly, my personal acquaintance with him is a slight one, but my respect and admiration for him as an engineer is very great. The bridge is there, and Mr. Purcell built it, and Mr. Purcell stakes his reputation as an engineer, as head of the Toll Bridge Authority, and after much more consideration than we can give it—it has been before him for months, and months—of earnest application to this problem, and he comes before us with sound, plausible, reasonable arguments, that I am not able to refute, and he makes this recommendation, and I am very glad to go along with him because I know that it is an honest and honorable recommendation made in great sincerity by a very splendid public official.

Now, gentlemen, we are in a position where we do disagree with our friends across the Bay, but, after all, these differences of opinion, they

have happened before and no doubt will happen again, and it is with no attempt to belittle them that I now simply take the opposite view.

Now on the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, enough of a saving has been shown to me to warrant my approval of this resolution, and in addition to that we have the angle of the Golden Gate Bridge, which was so well explained by Director Brown. Now we have a decided interest there, and whereas it may be true they are losing money, and it may be true they may not continue service very long, but they are in operation today and will be day after tomorrow, and I don't know for how long they will be in operation and neither do you, Mr. President, in your capacity as president of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, nor does the Golden Gate Highway and Bridge District Board, and we do know that we are doing something constructive when we eliminate any possibility of a deficit to the Bridge District, which is shared proportionately by all of the counties in the district. Now to me, I cannot see—the price—well, no matter what price is determined upon finally, the same reason, I presume, can be stated. Well, maybe it is too high, I really don't know; I didn't sit in on the negotiations, but I have confidence that is a reasonable price, and the proof of it is it shows a profit to the people of the State of California and to the several counties interested, and a saving greater than the amount of the franchise, and accordingly I am going to vote "Aye."

SUPERVISOR REILLY: Mr. President, I have dictated an explanation of my vote on this matter which I desire to read at this time, and which I wish to have made a part of the record.

THE PRESIDENT: It will be made a part of the record.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: I wish to explain my vote on Resolution Number 3817, and I desire that my explanation be made part of the Journal of this Board. I intend to vote in favor of this resolution. I believe that a vote against this resolution is a vote against reducing the bridge tolls. I believe that a vote against this resolution is a vote in favor of continuing the present ruinous competition which the ferries provide for the Golden Gate Bridge. Now I would like the audience to listen to the Golden Gate Bridge problem, because you will be paying the deficit if there is a deficit. I believe that a decent regard for the taxpayers of San Francisco makes it compulsory upon me, as a member of this Board, to do everything in my power to eliminate the present competition against the Golden Gate Bridge for the reason that any deficit of the Golden Gate Bridge will become a very substantial burden on the property owners of San Francisco.

I respect the right of Mr. Reed and his associates to protest against anything in which they do not believe. The protestants have been given ample opportunity, in my judgment, to present their case. In my judgment, their case is a very weak one. The widest possible latitude has been accorded them. Letters have been read into the record of this Board which, in my judgment, were clearly libelous. The motives and the good intent of the Governor of the State of California have been impugned by Mr. Kraigbaum.

The attitude the Governor has assumed in this case is rather difficult to understand. The problem facing him is one which is covered by State regulations and agreements made in pursuance of State enactments. Responsibility under the law lies with definite State officials and State executives. This being so, the question should be faced courageously and directly by those charged with legal responsibility. We are told that a question of policy is involved, but this is the first time in my experience that a matter of State policy was referred to a municipal or county board of supervisors for determination or sanction. If a contention is unsound or weak or does not stand the light of analysis, mere noise does not supply the deficiency and political timidity should not be the basis for shifting of responsibility. I might say, in passing, and in all fairness to the members of this Board, that where responsibility is theirs they face the problem courageously, and where a determination is to be made they boldly face facts and accept responsibility.



The City and County of San Francisco, while it may not be directly and primarily involved in the solution of this problem, it nevertheless has a substantial and material interest from a collateral standpoint. As the representatives of the taxpayers of this City and County, we know that any deficit existing in the Golden Gate Bridge will reflect itself in our tax rate and that our taxpayers will suffer accordingly. It is elementary, of course, that ruinous competition will bring about such deficit and that any reasonable and fair proposition which tends to remove such deficit will react to the benefit of our property owners and taxpayers.

I believe that the California Toll Bridge Authority should endeavor to bargain further with the ferry companies with the view of endeavoring to obtain the purchase of the ferries at a considerable less sum than is now being suggested by the California Toll Bridge Authority. In voting for this resolution, I trust that Mr. McAuliffe will bring back to the California Toll Bridge Authority a request that it continue negotiations with a viewpoint that it at least endeavor to purchase the ferries for a considerable sum less than is now being proposed.

Consequently, I feel that the best interests of the people of this City and County demand that we approve of the proposal, and for reasons indicated, I vote "Aye" on this resolution.

THE PRESIDENT: The explanation of vote by Supervisor Reilly will be made a part of the record.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Mr. President—

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Uhl, just a minute, first. Does any other member of the Board desire to speak before Supervisor Uhl is given the floor again?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: I should like to explain my vote, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Chairman, and members of the Board, I have listened with a great deal of interest for the past few weeks when this matter has been before us, and we have been told the facts and figures. I might say that I am trying to approach this problem from purely a business standpoint. Who the individuals, or who the corporations, involved are, I am not so much concerned with as the effect this is going to have upon the matter of the public, the effect it is going to have on the taxpayers of San Francisco. The point is that we have it before us and we are all today merely trying to do all we can in helping to solve it. I think that—Recognizing that it is a problem, a problem that as it stands today is a certain burden upon our people, what is the best thing to do for them, is my method of approach. I feel that the facts and figures that have been given us at the hands of Mr. Purcell and his corps of experts—and I have every confidence in Mr. Purcell and his staff, and I feel that the people of San Francisco have every confidence in them—and therefore in answer to several questions that I propounded to him or his representatives I have been satisfied that it is the best thing to do for the people of San Francisco under the circumstances. I wish, like a good many members of this Board here, and like the people of San Francisco, that we didn't have to buy anything, but nevertheless we have this problem, and the question is what is the best thing to do under the circumstances. When Supervisor Brown mentioned to me the possibility of an increase in the tax rate of 4½ cents it certainly made me take notice, and I think that that is something that the people of San Francisco should ever bear in mind, that we have our liability to the extent of 85 per cent as regards any deficit on the Golden Gate Bridge.

Again, in answer to questions, the only way that we can get a reduction in tolls on the Trans-Bay Bridge is by following a course of this kind, and I have stood consistently and persistently for lower tolls on the bridges, and if this is going to help to lower tolls, I am going to be for it, because we are going to have immediately a 20 per cent reduction in tolls, which is something worthwhile, and we are going to have, a short time in the future, a reduction down to 35 cents, and when we get that reduction to 35 cents, we will certainly continue and hammer it down further, and we will find ways and means to do it. Now if this is the only way of our getting a reduction in tolls we cer-

tainly ought not to take the attitude of cutting off our own noses to spite our faces, and I think that would be the attitude we would be taking if we did not approve of this plan, that any other course would be simply cutting off our noses to spite our faces, and I think that would be a great mistake, and I am looking at it from purely a business standpoint. After I have heard this accumulative mass of evidence I cannot come to any other conclusion than that we should get the problem behind us and that this is the best way to do it, so let's face it and get it behind us; I think that's the best way to do it.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Roll call.

SUPERVISOR RATTO: Roll call.

SUPERVISOR UHL: May I ask, through the Chair, of any members of the Toll Bridge Authority, or anyone else, who can answer it, if it is a fact that the Southern Pacific Company let it be known to the Railroad Commission that they would go along with the 40 cent toll?

SUPERVISOR RATTO: That's on the Sausalito side.

MR. McAULIFFE: Never heard of it.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I have heard it from several sources, although I haven't checked it up with the Railroad Commission; that this matter is before the Railroad Commission. Now then, has the Toll Bridge Authority asked the Railroad Commission to delay their decision in this matter which is now before them while this present matter is being considered?

MR. McAULIFFE: The Railroad Commission did grant permission to the ferries for a reduction in toll, they did grant the reduction, and we have made a request for a rehearing, but we have not as yet had the rehearing.

SUPERVISOR UHL: You made no request that this be delayed?

MR. McAULIFFE: We continued it from day to day until this matter is disposed of, this hearing.

SUPERVISOR UHL: That's what I mean, thank you.

Now you heard Mr. Purcell say that the company in the first instance asked for \$6,000,000, and then reduced it to three and one-half millions, and we are informed also that the ferries will earn \$650,000 during the term of the present franchise, and therefore I consider that the franchise value is not more than \$650,000, and that there is an excess price asked here of \$3,000,000. Now it is not a question that must be decided today, there is no dead line, the Governor simply asks: What about the people who are interested in this matter? And the people on the east side of the Bay have decided they don't want this sum paid to the Southern Pacific Company, and we are remaining to be heard from; the last legislative body to be heard from, the citizens of San Francisco. Now I am satisfied from all that I have heard that the Toll Bridge Authority and his Excellency, the Governor, will go along with the purchase of this franchise for three and one-half million dollars, and I am certainly not going to be a party to a transaction where, from my 40 or 50 years of experience in the business world I know how these deals can be whittled down and whittled down, and I know that the full value of that franchise today is \$650,000 and today is not the dead line, and I certainly cannot vote for that, and will have to vote "No."

THE PRESIDENT: Does any member of the Board desire to speak? If not, as the author of this resolution, I would like to close. Supervisor Ratto, would you take the chair for a short time while I explain my position on this matter?

(Thereupon, Supervisor Ratto took the chair.)

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Members of the Board, as the author of this resolution, as a Supervisor and a citizen and taxpayer of San Francisco, and as a director of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, I sincerely trust that the members of the Board will vote in favor of the resolution.

Members of this Board, Messrs. Roncovieri, McSheehy, Colman, and myself well remember 14 months of hearings of long span bridge engineers when we were considering letting a private franchise for a



bridge across the Bay, and where did the opposition come from? From the same source that the opposition to this resolution comes from today, from Oakland. And when the bridge was finally financed by revenue bonds what happened during the period when this hearing was being held with the long span bridge engineers that wanted to build this bridge, every one of them was asked, "Will you build the bridge strong enough to carry transcontinental trains?" Every one said "Yes." Here is the same thing today, and the bridge that was built is not strong enough for that purpose, and Alameda County is here again for the same purpose. San Francisco City and County slept while that proposition was being put through.

Supervisor Uhl makes the charge that \$650,000 is involved. Mr. Uhl overlooks that he is only considering \$500 a day, and that is the income from the San Francisco-Oakland Bridge, but figuring again and taking into account the Golden Gate Bridge for another \$500 makes it \$1,000, and multiply 650,000 by two and you get thirteen hundred thousand, one and a half to two million dollars, which is a whole lot different.

I feel, members of the Board, that there is nothing for us to do but vote for it. We have men of the type of Mr. McAuliffe, Mr. Purcell, and the expert, Mr. Andrews, who tell us they have negotiated for this; if this is permitted to run for a few years longer and come up to 1941, we know how easy it is to carry these matters into the court and continue them indefinitely, and the loss will continue and become a loss to the Bridge District, and it will be about \$1,275,000, and we would then have to be assessing the people of San Francisco if this loss continued, in the neighborhood of  $4\frac{1}{2}$  or 5 cents. However, I realize if we put this resolution through and the Toll Bridge Authority places the matter before the Governor with our acquiescence in a very short time tolls will be reduced and \$1,500, not \$500, a day, will be saved.

I sincerely trust the members of the Board will vote in favor of the resolution.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: You have taken the floor. May I ask you a question?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Yes, Supervisor.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: You have taken the floor, and I ask you now, as a member of this Board, Mr. Supervisor, you are a member of the Golden Gate Bridge directorate?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: I am.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: And you were appointed by this Board?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: I was.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: At any time during your incumbency of office in that directorate has the matter come up about the maintenance cost of the Golden Gate Bridge?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: I do recall something coming up about it several years ago.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: At any time during incumbency in office did you know that the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge was relieved of the maintenance cost?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: I did.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Was there any effort on the part of your board of directors to receive the same consideration from the State that the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge receives?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: There was.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: When was that effort made?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: About three years ago.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Has any effort been made in that direction since?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Not to my recollection, due to the fact that it was refused owing to the fact that the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District is a separate entity, it is not like the San Francisco-Oakland Bridge, it is entirely divorced from the State of California, and the maintenance cost cannot be taken from the tax—from the gas tax.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: You heard when Supervisor Brown stated that maintenance cost is somewhere around \$240,000?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: I heard him.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Is that correct?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: I couldn't state.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: You do know that the statement you just made in reference to the four or five cent increase in the tax rate would amount to about \$240,000, in round figures, four cents amounting to about \$280,000 in our tax rate?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: I said about 4½ or 5 cents.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: It fluctuates all the time. Then there has been no effort on the part of the directorate, or you as a member of the Board, except this one attempt three years ago, to receive the same consideration the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge receives from the State of California, to get an elimination of the maintenance cost on that bridge?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: The one answer given us was so definite that it could not be done, that it was illegal, that we did not try again.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: If you will pardon me, my recollection is we were informed that any action on the part of the State by way of any contribution from the gas tax under the present set-up would be illegal.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: You are simply in this position, Supervisor Shannon, you are a member of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District board, so how do you condone the fact if that is illegal, why isn't it illegal for the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge to receive \$500,000 a year?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I am informed that the Toll Bridge Authority is a part of the State of California and as such is able legally to receive that amount.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I say they are a part of the State government. Who informed you to that effect?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: The attorneys for the Bridge District.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I should like to ask Supervisor Shannon a question, I just want to ask him, or any member of the Board: What is the dead line in this matter in which the Governor has to act?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: May I be permitted to answer that, because I have given a lot of thought to that matter, and there is no dead line.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Therefore I feel that we should put the matter over so that the Toll Bridge Authority can again deal with the Southern Pacific.

Mr. President, I asked a question: What is the dead line on this particular deal?

THE PRESIDENT: I couldn't state with any degree of accuracy.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: I notice that in this resolution it says: "Whereas, the owners of said vehicular ferry systems have indicated that they are willing to surrender the franchises under which said ferries are operated upon terms and conditions to be agreed upon with said California Toll Bridge Authority." There is no definite figure in this thing at all, and I am leaving the matter to Mr. Purcell's judgment to get the best price he can; he is our agent in the matter; and I feel that if he can bring the price down to \$2,000,000 or down to \$1,000,000 even he will do it. I haven't anything to say, I think I am voting on the resolution and taking it for granted this amount will be as low as they can make it, I am placing confidence in these men, knowing they will get the best price possible for the people.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Call the roll.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Call the roll.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Mr. President, I don't know that I have anything to say that would change the vote of any one member of this Board. I have listened to several members very carefully state their reasons why they are going to vote for this resolution—



SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I have to telephone, and when the gentleman is through, call me in; I want to vote on this.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I have been interrupted before, and I have been hit so often, slapped so many times, that it doesn't mean anything to me. I will say in closing that I don't think, gentlemen, that you, as the Board of Directors of this city, in a matter of this kind, should vote for this resolution. I am inclined to feel that you are voting in favor of this purchase for \$3,500,000 and simply following the statement of what is indicated by the Toll Bridge Authority, and, as I have stated before, the entire thing emanated from no less a person than the Governor of the State, who appointed the Toll Bridge Authority, they are the creatures of the Governor of the State, and the attorney for the Toll Bridge Authority. Supervisor Uhl made a motion, and I was glad to second his motion to lay it on the table, but now this is the final step in the entire matter, this is the final step, and every member of this Board will some day give an accounting of his stewardship to the people. Some day it will come back to you.

Our President told you just a minute ago that Oakland created a condition on the toll bridge, the San Francisco-Oakland Bridge, that no transcontinental trains could pass over that toll bridge. As one member of this Board I did everything I could to try and see that we could have transcontinental trains here, and in trying to show that we were making a great mistake in approving the plans at that particular time, especially when it did not involve the running of transcontinental trains across the bridge.

Supervisor Reilly read a nicely prepared explanation why he is going to vote for it, just as other and various members had their reasons for voting as they did. Now you have your reasons for giving to the Southern Pacific Company \$3,500,000 for a franchise that really doesn't exist, and you are also creating a refinancing that will cost you another \$3,000,000. Well, I wish you good luck on your views in this matter, members of the Board, but I can't see it, and I trust and hope you will vote against this resolution, I hope that the membership of this Board will not vote for the franchise as recommended by the finance committee here today.

THE PRESIDENT: Call the roll.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Call the roll.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Just one very brief statement. I want to say to Supervisor Uhl, that while the Southern Pacific makes the \$500 a day profit, please don't forget that in making that profit they are taking in over \$2,500 a day, and that that gross which the Southern Pacific Company takes in becomes a net profit for the San Francisco people and the Golden Gate Bridge. I hope you realize there is quite a difference there, and that we get all of that revenue. That puts quite a different appearance on the matter with reference to the Golden Gate Bridge particularly.

THE PRESIDENT: Call the roll, Mr. Clerk.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Brown?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Colman?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor McSheehy?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Mead?

SUPERVISOR MEAD: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Meyer?

SUPERVISOR MEYER: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Ratto?

SUPERVISOR RATTO: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Reilly?

SUPERVISOR REILLY: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Roncovieri?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Schmidt?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Shannon?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Uhl?

SUPERVISOR UHL: No.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Mr. President, before the Clerk announces the vote, I wish to make a motion.

THE PRESIDENT: Very well.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I wish to give notice of reconsideration, I change my vote from "No" to "Aye" and give notice that this matter will be taken up at the meeting on next Monday for reconsideration.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I move immediate reconsideration. I move suspension of the rules and immediate reconsideration of the matter now.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there a second to the motion by Supervisor Colman for suspension of the rules for the purpose of immediate consideration?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I second the motion.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Members of the Board, as I said before, I don't think that anything I can say to you perhaps would change your minds here today, but remember this, you are about to vote to close the only one road that I have, and that Supervisor Uhl has, in reference to this matter, a road and a right that is recognized by every legislative body in the United States, and that is, the right to reconsider. Now it takes eight votes to change our rules, and if four members of this Board deem this to be important enough to reconsider they simply take it over for a week, and, very well, support my motion for reconsideration, it takes eight votes. Now if you do not reconsider the matter will be acted upon forthwith today as written on this calendar, you simply will purchase this franchise and you will place yourselves in a position of opposing everything our sister cities have done in this matter. You will make a record vote, going on record here today telling the Governor of this State to purchase this franchise, and creating a refinancing condition of our entire bridge project. I can't begin to tell you what you are doing, but it takes eight members of this Board, and I hope, for the sake of safe, sound legislation you at least allow yourselves to sleep on this matter for one week, and in one week I have to come here and tell you why you should oppose this motion, the laboring oar is on me to tell you why you should reconsider this matter. We have reconsidered many important matters and when we see proof we realize we have been in error, and I trust and hope that eight members of this Board will not agree at this time to vote for a suspension of the rules for immediate reconsideration of this matter.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: We have already given the matter thorough attention and covered the situation, and I would feel Supervisor McSheehy justified in having the matter reconsidered on next Monday had we not already had two hearings on it; and one other factor that the Board have thoroughly in mind in postponing, and that is that it is costing the Golden Gate Bridge District \$1,000 a day.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: May I just say one word, and I know that I probably cannot change the viewpoint of anyone on this Board, but putting this matter over one week there is nothing lost, and Supervisor Uhl asked the direct question a minute ago, and no one has shown we are losing \$1,000 a day, and I can't see where there will be any \$1,000 lost in this matter, because it will simply mean that the Governor of this State will advise the Toll Bridge Authority to purchase these bonds—now that is simply a matter of figures, and I have shown you it is the same entity. If the directors of the Golden Gate Bridge had used the same energy they are using in trying to put this over, in seeking the same treatment the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge is receiving today, you would have no deficit. Now don't try to, and



don't think there is any loss, I say it is perfectly safe and sound to put this matter over one week and sleep on it during that time.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: By a vote of nine to two you vote approval of the matter on the calendar, and we have had three postponements, one week, and another week, and a third week, we have heard the matter from A to Z, and nothing new can be said on the problem, and it has not been changed and will not be in a week. I am assuming the attitude of the other members of the Board is the same as mine, that we have given this matter careful consideration and we have made up our minds, and I would like to have the matter behind us very much indeed, rather than go through all these hearings again next week; that is the reason I am going to vote for this resolution.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Supervisor Colman made the statement that nothing can be changed; but there is something that can be changed; if the case which is before the Railroad Commission, if that decision comes in it will make a difference, and I say that you are making a terrible mistake in acting on this matter now when there is no dead line.

THE PRESIDENT: The question is on reconsideration.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Mr. President—

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I object. I have to leave in a little while, and I want to vote.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I want to ask the Clerk to have a stenographic report, this subject is of such importance—to have the entire proceedings here today in reference to this matter written up—

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: And a copy sent to each member of the Board.

THE PRESIDENT: What? The entire proceeding? I think that is not in order right now. The question first is on reconsideration. You may take that up later.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Very well.

THE PRESIDENT: Call the roll, Mr. Clerk.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Brown?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Colman?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor McSheehy?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Mead?

SUPERVISOR MEAD: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Meyer?

SUPERVISOR MEYER: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Ratto?

SUPERVISOR RATTO: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Reilly?

SUPERVISOR REILLY: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Roncovieri?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Schmidt?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Shannon?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Uhl?

SUPERVISOR UHL: No.

THE CLERK: Nine "Ayes" and two "Noes."

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Now let me make that motion—

THE PRESIDENT: We have the matter of reconsideration before us; that comes up first. The question before us now is shall the vote by which the resolution was passed be reconsidered. A vote "No" denies the reconsideration.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Call the roll.

THE PRESIDENT: Call the roll, Mr. Clerk.

- THE CLERK: Supervisor Brown?  
 SUPERVISOR BROWN: No.  
 THE CLERK: Supervisor Colman?  
 SUPERVISOR COLMAN: No.  
 THE CLERK: Supervisor McSheehy?  
 SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Aye.  
 THE CLERK: Supervisor Mead?  
 SUPERVISOR MEAD: No.  
 THE CLERK: Supervisor Meyer?  
 SUPERVISOR MEYER: No.  
 THE CLERK: Supervisor Ratto?  
 SUPERVISOR RATTO: No.  
 THE CLERK: Supervisor Reilly?  
 SUPERVISOR REILLY: No.  
 THE CLERK: Supervisor Roncovieri?  
 SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: No.  
 THE CLERK: Supervisor Schmidt?  
 SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: No.  
 THE CLERK: Supervisor Shannon?  
 SUPERVISOR SHANNON: No.  
 THE CLERK: Supervisor Uhl?  
 SUPERVISOR UHL: Aye.  
 THE CLERK: Nine "Noes" and two "Ayes."  
 SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Now, Mr. President, will you allow me to make the motion that the Clerk have a stenographic report made of the entire proceedings of today?  
 THE PRESIDENT: You mean by that the entire statements of everybody that participated?  
 SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Yes, everybody. It doesn't mean much. And a copy sent to every member of this Board.  
 SUPERVISOR UHL: I want to amend that motion to make it cover the statements by the members of this Board.  
 THE PRESIDENT: After we were through hearing the citizens, as a Committee of the Whole?  
 SUPERVISOR UHL: Yes, I will accept that.  
 THE PRESIDENT: Is there any objection? Hearing none, it is so ordered.

### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

None.

### NEW BUSINESS.

#### Adopted.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

#### Refunds, Erroneous Payments of Taxes.

(Code No. 9.059)

Resolution No. 3849, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized to be paid to the following named, being refunds of erroneous payments of taxes:

#### *From Appropriation No. 905—Duplicate Tax Fund.*

- |  |          |
|--|----------|
| (1) Mrs. J. W. McDougall, per Vol. 15, Bill 1617, Lot 1E, Block 1927A, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937.....  | \$ 21.29 |
| (2) Northern Counties Title & Insurance Company, per Vol. 15, Bill 222, Lot 1, Block 1881, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937 .....   | 53.61    |
| (3) Bank of America, per Vol. 16, Bill 2740, Block 2182, \$3.87; per Vol. 16, Bill 2744, Lot 11A, Block 2182, \$36.77; per Vol. 41, Bill 1999, Lot 25/28, Block 6769, \$31.74; all fiscal year 1937, 1st installment ..... | 72.38    |



- (4) Otto A. Schoning, per Vol. 23, Bill 369, Lot 1/2, Block 3519,  
1st installment, fiscal year 1937..... 196.07
- (5) Ruth M. Rainville, per Vol. 40, Bill 2100, Lot 23, Block  
6655, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937..... 15.26

*From General Fund—Appropriation No. 60.969.00.*

- (6) C. Tromp, per Vol. 15, page 4, line 11, Assessment Roll 1934,  
erroneous excessive assessment ..... 58.71

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt,  
Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

**Authorizing Acquisition of Certain Lands for Nineteenth Avenue  
Widening by Condemnation Proceedings.**

(Code No. 6.0211)

Also, Resolution No. 3851, as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following described parcels of real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

PARCEL 1: Beginning at the intersection of the southerly line of Lincoln way with the westerly line of Nineteenth avenue; thence running southerly along said line of Nineteenth avenue 150 feet; thence at right angles westerly 30 feet to a line parallel with and distant 30 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Nineteenth avenue; thence at right angles northerly along said parallel line 150 feet to the southerly line of Lincoln way; thence at right angles easterly along said line of Lincoln way 30 feet to the point of beginning of this description.

Being a portion of Outside Lands Block 654.

PARCEL 2: Beginning at the intersection of the westerly line of Nineteenth avenue with the northerly line of Irving street; thence running northerly along said line of Nineteenth avenue 75 feet; thence at right angles westerly 30 feet to a line parallel with and distant 30 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Nineteenth avenue; thence at right angles southerly along said parallel line 75 feet to the northerly line of Irving street; thence at right angles easterly along said line of Irving street 30 feet to the point of beginning of this description.

Being a portion of Outside Lands Block 654.

PARCEL 3: Beginning at the intersection of the westerly line of Nineteenth avenue with the southerly line of Irving street; thence running southerly along said line of Nineteenth avenue 50 feet; thence at right angles westerly 30 feet to a line parallel with and distant 30 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Nineteenth avenue; thence at right angles northerly along said parallel line 50 feet to the southerly line of Irving street; thence at right angles easterly along said line of Irving street 30 feet to the point of beginning of this description.

Being a portion of Outside Lands Block 691.

PARCEL 4: Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Nineteenth avenue distant thereon 321.917 feet southerly from the southerly line of Irving street; said point being on the southerly line of the property now or formerly owned by Earl H. Smith and Agnes E. Smith; thence running southerly along said line of Nineteenth avenue 30.875 feet to the northerly line of the property now or formerly owned by Hannah Kelleher; thence at right angles westerly along last named line 29.324 feet; thence northerly along the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to a line deflecting 88 degrees 31 minutes 45 seconds to the right from the preceding course at the latter point, radius 2,050 feet, central angle 0 degrees 49 minutes 20 seconds a distance of 20.413 feet to the southerly

property line of the said Smiths; thence deflecting 87 degrees 50 minutes 46 seconds to the right from a line tangent to the preceding curve at the latter point and running easterly along last named property line 29.904 feet to the point of beginning of this description.

Being a portion of Outside Lands Block 691.

PARCEL 5: Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Nineteenth avenue, distant thereon 147.208 feet northerly from the northerly line of Judah street; thence running northerly along the said line of Nineteenth avenue 25 feet; thence at right angles westerly 26.916 feet; thence deflecting 91 degrees 52 minutes 45 seconds to the left and running southerly 25.013 feet; thence deflecting 88 degrees 07 minutes 15 seconds to the left and running easterly 26.096 feet to the point of beginning of this description.

Being a portion of Outside Lands Block 691.

PARCEL 6: Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Nineteenth avenue, distant thereon 122.208 feet northerly from the northerly line of Judah street; thence running northerly along the said line of Nineteenth avenue 25 feet; thence at right angles westerly 26.096 feet; thence deflecting 91 degrees 52 minutes 45 seconds to the left and running southerly 25.014 feet; thence deflecting 88 degrees 07 minutes 15 seconds to the left and running easterly 25.276 feet to the point of beginning of this description.

Being a portion of Outside Lands Block 691.

PARCEL 7: Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Nineteenth avenue, distant thereon 97.208 feet northerly from the northerly line of Judah street; thence running northerly along the said line of Nineteenth avenue 25 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25.276 feet; thence deflecting 91 degrees 52 minutes 45 seconds to the left and running southerly 25.013 feet; thence deflecting 88 degrees 07 minutes 15 seconds to the left and running easterly 24.455 feet to the point of beginning of this description.

Being a portion of Outside Lands Block 691.

PARCEL 8: Beginning at the intersection of the westerly line of Nineteenth avenue, with the southerly line of Judah street; thence running southerly along the said line of Nineteenth avenue 51 feet; thence at right angles westerly 16.963 feet; thence deflecting 88 degrees 07 minutes 15 seconds to the right and running northerly 51.028 feet to the southerly line of Judah street; thence deflecting 91 degrees 52 minutes 45 seconds to the right and running easterly along said line of Judah street 18.636 feet to the point of beginning of this description.

Being a portion of Outside Lands Block 750.

PARCEL 9: Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Nineteenth avenue, distant thereon 50 feet northerly from the northerly line of Kirkham street; thence running northerly along said line of Nineteenth avenue 25 feet; thence at right angles westerly 1.411 feet; thence deflecting 91 degrees 52 minutes 45 seconds to the left and running southerly 11.062 feet; thence continuing southerly along the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, radius 1950 feet, central angle 0 degrees 24 minutes 35 seconds, a distance of 13.949 feet; thence deflecting 88 degrees 31 minutes 50 seconds to the left from a line tangent to the preceding curve at the latter point and running easterly 0.641 feet to the point of beginning of this description.

Being a portion of Outside Lands Block 750.

PARCEL 10: Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Nineteenth avenue, distant thereon 150 feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street; thence running southerly along the said line of Nineteenth avenue 25 feet; thence at right angles easterly 17.160 feet; thence deflecting 91 degrees 52 minutes 45 seconds to the left and running northerly 25.013 feet; thence deflecting 88 degrees 07 minutes 15 seconds to the left and running westerly 16.339 feet to the point of beginning of this description.

Being a portion of Outside Lands Block 751.



PARCEL 11: Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Nineteenth avenue, distant thereon 175 feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street; thence running southerly along the said line of Nineteenth avenue 25 feet; thence at right angles easterly 17.980 feet; thence deflecting 91 degrees 52 minutes 45 seconds to the left and running northerly 25.014 feet; thence deflecting 88 degrees 07 minutes 15 seconds to the left and running westerly 17.160 feet to the point of beginning of this description.

Being a portion of Outside Lands Block 751.

PARCEL 12: Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Nineteenth avenue, distant thereon 200 feet southerly from the southerly line of Judah street; thence running southerly along the said line of Nineteenth avenue 25 feet; thence at right angles easterly 18.800 feet; thence deflecting 91 degrees 52 minutes 45 seconds to the left and running northerly 25.013 feet; thence deflecting 88 degrees 07 minutes 15 seconds to the left and running westerly 17.980 feet to the point of beginning of this description.

Being a portion of Outside Lands Block 751.

PARCEL 13: Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Nineteenth avenue, distant thereon 200 feet northerly from the northerly line of Kirkham street; thence running northerly along the said line of Nineteenth avenue 25 feet; thence at right angles easterly 23.721 feet; thence deflecting 88 degrees 07 minutes 15 seconds to the right and running southerly 25.013 feet; thence deflecting 91 degrees 52 minutes 45 seconds to the right and running westerly 24.541 feet to the point of beginning of this description.

Being a portion of Outside Lands Block 751.

PARCEL 14: Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Nineteenth avenue, distant thereon 100 feet southerly from the southerly line of Kirkham street; thence running southerly along the said line of Nineteenth avenue 25 feet; thence at right angles easterly 30 feet to a line parallel with and distant 30 feet at right angles easterly from the easterly line of Nineteenth avenue; thence at right angles northerly along said parallel line 25 feet; thence at right angles westerly 30 feet to the point of beginning of this description.

Being a portion of Outside Lands Block 786.

PARCEL 15: Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Nineteenth avenue, distant thereon 125 feet southerly from the southerly line of Kirkham street; thence running southerly along the said line of Nineteenth avenue 25 feet; thence at right angles easterly 30 feet to a line parallel with and distant 30 feet at right angles easterly from the easterly line of Nineteenth avenue; thence at right angles northerly along said parallel line 25 feet; thence at right angles westerly 30 feet to the point of beginning of this description.

Being a portion of Outside Lands Block 786.

PARCEL 16: Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Nineteenth avenue, distant thereon 150 feet southerly from the southerly line of Kirkham street; thence running southerly along the said line of Nineteenth avenue 25 feet; thence at right angles easterly 30 feet to a line parallel with and distant 30 feet at right angles easterly from the easterly line of Nineteenth avenue; thence at right angles northerly along said parallel line 25 feet; thence at right angles westerly 30 feet to the point of beginning of this description.

Being a portion of Outside Lands Block 786.

PARCEL 17: Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Nineteenth avenue, distant thereon 175 feet southerly from the southerly line of Kirkham street; thence running southerly along the said line of Nineteenth avenue 25 feet; thence at right angles easterly 30 feet to a line parallel with and distant 30 feet at right angles easterly from the easterly line of Nineteenth avenue; thence at right angles northerly along said parallel line 25 feet; thence at right angles westerly 30 feet to the point of beginning of this description.

Being a portion of Outside Lands Block 786.

Be It Further Resolved, That said lands are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County, to-wit: For the widening of Nineteenth avenue, between Lincoln way and Lawton street. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use. The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcels of land and any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

#### **Land Purchase—Upper Terrace and Masonic Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3852, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco purchase from The Hibernia Savings and Loan Society, a corporation, portion of Lot 42, Assessor's Block 2618A, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of the intersection of Upper terrace and Masonic avenue, for the sum of \$20, payable from Appropriation No. 748.956.17.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above-named corporation for a particular description of said parcel of land.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

#### **Land Acquisition—Nineteenth Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3853, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain parcels of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, for the sums set forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 951.908.57, Project No. 5-A:

George H. Bradner, et ux., portion of Lot 17, Assessor's Block 1774 .....	\$3,100
W. B. Steubinger, et ux., portion of Lot 18, Assessor's Block 1774 .....	3,000

The above sums include damages in full to the improvements now located on the property, said improvements to be relocated by the grantors within thirty days after recording the deeds to the City.

Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above-named parties for a particular description of said parcels of land.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.



**Declaring San Francisco Call-Bulletin to Be Official Advertising Newspaper of City and County of San Francisco for the Year 1938-1939.**

(Code No. 3.03)

Also, Resolution No. 3854, as follows:

Whereas, the Purchaser of Supplies has advertised for bids for the official advertising for the City and County of San Francisco for the period of one year, from April 1, 1938, to March 31, 1939; and

Whereas, Hearst Publications Incorporated, publisher of the San Francisco Call-Bulletin, was the lowest and best bidder for doing of said advertising, and the bid of the said Hearst Publication Incorporated was accepted, and the contract for doing said official advertising was awarded to said Hearst Publications Incorporated; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the San Francisco Call-Bulletin, a daily newspaper of general circulation, published in the City and County of San Francisco, and having a bona fide daily circulation of at least eight thousand copies, is hereby selected as and declared to be the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco for one year from April 1, 1938.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

**Accepting Bequest of Augusto Brunetti.**

(Code No. 9.029)

Also, Resolution No. 3855, as follows:

Whereas, Augusto Brunetti, also known as August Brunetti, died on or about the 23d day of June, 1937, leaving a last will and testament wherein and whereby he devised to the City and County Hospital of the place wherein he would die, the said bequest being in the words and figures following, to-wit: "I give and bequeath one-third (1/3) of all my estate to the City and County Hospital of wheresoever I may die;" and

Whereas, a decree of settlement of first and final account and of distribution has been duly made and entered in the above mentioned estate wherein there is distributed to the City and County of San Francisco for the benefit of the San Francisco Hospital, which is the hospital named in the last will and testament of Augusto Brunetti, also known as August Brunetti, deceased, as follows:

Seven (7) shares of Transamerica Corporation stock;

One (1) share Bancamerica N. P. T. and S. A.; and

Cash in the sum of one thousand fifty-seven and 60/100 dollars (\$1,057.60);

Now, Therefore, Be It Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby accept for and on behalf of the City and County the aforementioned devise and does hereby request the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco to receive and receipt for same and deposit the same in the Treasury of the City and County of San Francisco in a special fund for the benefit of the San Francisco Hospital, the same to be spent and administered by the Director of Public Health with the approval of the Chief Administrative Officer; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Purchaser of Supplies be, and he is, hereby authorized to sell such stock in the open market whenever, in the opinion of the Controller, such sale shall be necessary to carry out the purposes of such bequest.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

**Land Acquisition—Nineteenth Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3856, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Harry Sparrow and Annie E. Sparrow, a portion of Lot 18-A, Block 1774, San Francisco, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, and that the sum of \$2,860 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 951.908.57.

The above sum includes damages in full to the improvement now located on the property, said improvements to be relocated by the grantors within thirty days after recording the deed to the City.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above-named parties for a particular description of said parcel of land.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

**Authorizing Acquisition of Property at Masonic Avenue and Loma Vista Terrace by Condemnation Proceedings.**

(Code No. 6.0211)

Also, Resolution No. 3850, as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following described real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Masonic avenue and the westerly line of Loma Vista terrace; thence westerly along said line of Masonic avenue, 36.50 feet to the easterly line of the property now or formerly owned by Geo. A. Applegarth; thence at right angles southerly along last named line 4.094 feet; thence southeasterly on an arc of the curve to the right, whose tangent deflects 83 degrees 44 minutes 15 seconds to the left from the preceding course, radius 46.020 feet, central angle 58 degrees 11 minutes 18 seconds, 46.737 feet to the westerly line of Loma Vista terrace; thence deflecting 154 degrees 27 minutes 04 seconds to the left from the tangent to the preceding curve, and running northerly along the said line of Loma Vista terrace, 29.992 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Block 16, Flint Tract as per map thereof recorded in Map Book 1 at page 148, Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Be It Further Resolved, That said land is suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the realignment of Masonic avenue and Loma Vista terrace. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use. The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcel of land and any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.



**Sunset Reservoir—Land Purchase.**

(Code No. 12.17152)

Also, Resolution No. 3857, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from George A. Johnstone, to Lot 14, Assessor's Block 2107, San Francisco, required for Sunset Reservoir, and that the sum of \$1,433.04 be paid for said lot, \$258.04 from Appropriation No. 93.905.05-57, and the balance of \$1,175 from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Francisco, Superior Court Case No. 262443.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

**Land Purchase—Proposed McLaren Park.**

(Code No. 12.1713)

Also, Resolution No. 3858, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Park Department that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Florence Koenig and William C. Koenig, her husband, to Lot 4, Assessor's Block 6001, Lot 11, Assessor's Block 6213 and Lot 31, Assessor's Block 6214, San Francisco, required for the proposed McLaren Park, and that the sum of \$750 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 712.600.03. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said land.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

**Land Purchase—Proposed McLaren Park.**

(Code No. 12.1713)

Also, Resolution No. 3859, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Park Department, that the City and County of San Francisco accept deeds from the following named parties to certain land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the proposed McLaren Park, and that the sums set forth below be paid for said property from Appropriation No. 712.600.03:

Hallett K. Mitchell, et ux., Lot 2, Assessor's Block 6182...\$125

Charles A. Louis, et ux., Lot 13, Assessor's Block 6184... 100

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

**Land Purchase—Proposed McLaren Park Roadway.**

(Code No. 12.1713)

Also, Resolution No. 3860, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Park De

partment, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Eufrasina M. Costo, to Lot 8, Assessor's Block 6260, required for the proposed McLaren Park Roadway, and that the sum of \$1,200 be paid for this land from Appropriation No. 712.600.03. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said land.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

#### Final Passage.

The following emergency ordinance was recommended by the Finance Committee:

#### **Appropriation of \$10,000 for Compensation of Temporary Social Service Investigators, Etc.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1544, Ordinance No. 9.051460, as follows:

Authorizing an appropriation of \$10,000 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 756.102.01-ER for the purpose of providing funds for the compensation of temporary Social Service investigators and clerical assistants in the Department of Public Welfare; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$10,000 is hereby appropriated out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 756.102.01-ER for the purpose of providing funds for the compensation of temporary Social Service investigators and clerical assistants in the Department of Public Welfare.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists, as immediate action is required to comply with the time limitations provided by law and also to provide for the uninterrupted operation of this department.

Recommended by Dr. Gray, Public Welfare Director.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved by F. M. McAuliffe, Public Welfare Commission.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney, by Henry Heidelberg, Deputy City Attorney.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

#### **Appropriation of \$1,000 for Photographic and Photostatic Equipment for Engineering Department.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1545, Ordinance No. 9.051461, as follows:

Authorizing supplemental appropriation of one thousand (\$1,000) dollars out of surplus existing in Appropriation No. 740.995.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 740.400.00, for the purpose of purchasing photostatic and photographic equipment for the Bureau of Engineering Photostatic Department.



Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of one thousand (\$1,000) dollars is hereby appropriated and set aside out of surplus existing in Appropriation No. 740.995.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 740.400.00, for the purpose of purchasing photostatic and photographic equipment for the Bureau of Engineering Photostatic Department.

Recommended by W. H. Worden, Director, Department of Public Works.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

### **Appropriation of \$73,000 for Cost of Increased Service on Municipal Railway.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1546, Ordinance No. 9.051462, as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$73,000 out of the surplus of the Municipal Railway Operative Fund to defray the cost of increasing service on the Municipal Railway.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In order to accommodate increased passenger travel with consequent increase in revenue, anticipated for the remaining portion of the fiscal year on the Municipal Railway, and pursuant to Resolution No. 2528 of the Public Utilities Commission, there is hereby appropriated the sum of \$73,000 out of the surplus of the Municipal Railway Operative Fund to be used for the purpose herein stated, and crediting the said sum to the following appropriations in the amounts specified:

Appropriation No. 765.103.00—Wages, \$30,000;

Appropriation No. 765.200.00—Contractual services, \$5,000;

Appropriation No. 765.300.00—Materials and supplies, \$5,000;

Appropriation No. 765.231.00—Heat-light-power, \$30,000; and

Appropriation No. 765.102.00—Temporary salaries, \$3,000.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by Edward G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities.

Approved, P. U. C. Resolution No. 2528, by Forrest Gibbin, Secretary, Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

### **Final Passage.**

The following emergency ordinance was recommended by the Finance Committee:

### **Amend Salary Ordinance, Department of Electricity.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 1547, Ordinance No. 9.053124, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 53 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 by adding Item 29 to establish a classification as needed for temporary work; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 53 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 53. DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A354	Painter at \$9.75 per day.....	
2	1	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 225
3	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
4	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	250
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
6	4	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
7	1	E2	Line Inspector .....	225
8	16	E4	Electrical Inspector .....	250
9	1	E8	Chief Electrical Inspector.....	275
10	8	E52	Fire Dispatcher .....	225
11	1	E54	Chief Fire Dispatcher .....	275
12	1	E108	Electrician .....	240
13	1	E108	Electrician at \$10 per day.....	
14	1	E110	Radio Maintenance Man at \$8 per day..	
15	1	E116	Superintendent of Plant, Department of Electricity .....	325
16	1	E154	Lineman .....	220
17	10	E154	Lineman .....	215
18	2	E154	Lineman .....	200
19	1	E156	Cable Splicer at \$9.50 per day.....	
20	2	E160	Foreman Lineman .....	240
21	1	F366	Chief, Department of Electricity.....	500
22	3	J4	Laborer at \$6 per day.....	
23	1	J12	Labor Foreman .....	195
24	1	J66	Garageman .....	162.50
25	1	J76	Traffic Button Maintenance Man at \$9 per day .....	
26	2	M254	Machinist .....	225
27	5	M260	Instrument Maker .....	225
28	1	M264	Foreman Instrument Maker.....	250
29		E155	Cable Splicer Helper, as needed, at \$8 per day .....	

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists, to-wit, the establishment of the temporary employments herein authorized is necessary in order to insure the continued operation of departmental work without interruption.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Delegates to Supervisors' Convention to Urge That State Bear Greater Part of Cost of Maintenance of Aged, Dependent Minors, Etc.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Resolution No. 3848, as follows:

Whereas, the counties throughout the State are sorely stressed as a result of the continually mounting costs for relief and public assistance



and there is no way of meeting this ever-increasing burden, under the present system, except through the imposition of additional taxes upon real estate within the counties; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby authorize those of its members who will be official delegates to the State Supervisors Convention, to be held in San Diego, commencing on the 23d of March, to urge the Convention to adopt a resolution having for its purpose the assumption by the State of California of a greater proportion of the burden now borne by the counties for the relief of the needy aged, dependent minors, needy blind, as well as those classified generally as indigent persons, excluding institutional care.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

(President Shannon announced that he had appointed Supervisor Mead as official delegate instead of Supervisor Reilly, who is unable to attend.)

#### Adopted.

The following recommendation of Public Health Committee was taken up:

#### Abatement Proceedings—2089-2091 Folsom Street.

(Code No. 17.09)

Resolution No. 3863, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Health, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, does hereby declare that the premises numbered, known and designated as 2089-2091 Folsom street, in the City and County of San Francisco, be and the same is hereby declared to be a public nuisance and the City Attorney of said City and County is hereby directed to abate the same in conformity with the provisions of section 731 of the Code of Civil Procedure of the State of California.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

#### Spur Track—Walkup Drayage and Warehouse Company.

(Code No. 12.20)

Bill No. 1548, Ordinance No. 12.2022, as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Walkup Drayage and Warehouse Company, to lay down, construct, maintain and operate standard gauge spur tracks in Hawthorne street between Howard and Folsom streets, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors is hereby granted to Walkup Drayage and Warehouse Company, to lay down, construct, maintain and operate standard gauge spur tracks along and upon Hawthorne street between Howard and Folsom streets, said locations being more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Track No. 1. Beginning at a point in the existing track in the center of Hawthorne street approximately 113 feet northerly from

the northerly line of Folsom street, thence northerly on a curve to the right to a point in the easterly line of Hawthorne street approximately 203 feet northerly from the northerly line of Folsom street, thence into private property.

Track No. 2. Beginning at a point in the existing track in the center of Hawthorne street approximately 126 feet northerly from the northerly line of Folsom street, thence northerly along the center line of Hawthorne street to a point that is 155 feet southerly from the southerly line of Howard street, thence continuing northerly on a curve to the right to a point that is nine (9) feet westerly from the easterly line of Hawthorne street and 75 feet southerly from the southerly line of Howard street.

Provided that said spur tracks shall be laid under the supervision of and to the lines and grades as provided by the Bureau of Engineering, Department of Public Works, and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the tracks, restoration of the pavement, and any additional requirements for surface drainage are to be paid for by the Walkup Drayage and Warehouse Company.

Provided further, that girder rail is to be installed within the lines of the street; all drainage intercepted by the tracks is to be provided for by constructing catchbasins and connecting the same with the sewer and where the tracks are over or cross over the existing sewer, the sewer shall be reinforced where necessary.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

### **Action Deferred.**

The following matter from the Public Buildings and Lands and City Planning Committee without recommendation was *laid over one week*:

#### **Prohibiting the Construction or Erection of Grandstands in or About the Civic Center.**

(Code No. 11.08)

Bill No. 1255, Ordinance No. 11.0822, as follows:

Prohibiting the construction or erection of grandstands in or about the area known as the Civic Center, and providing a penalty therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation, organization or association to construct, erect, build or maintain stands or grandstands in or about the area known and designated as the Civic Center, and situate, lying and being between the following boundaries: From Leavenworth street west on McAllister street to Franklin street; south on Franklin street to Grove street; east on Grove street to Hyde street; north on Hyde street to Fulton street; east on Fulton street to Leavenworth street, and north on Leavenworth street to McAllister street; and it shall be unlawful for any officer, Board or Commission to issue a permit therefor, save and except that a review stand may be constructed or built in front of the steps of the City Hall, a permit for such erection having first been obtained from the Department of Public Works.

Section 2. Any person, firm, corporation, association or organiza-



tion which shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and, upon conviction thereof, shall be punishable by a fine not to exceed five hundred dollars (\$500) or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force immediately.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Supervisor Arthur M. Brown, Jr.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3861, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Arthur M. Brown, Jr., a member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of three weeks, commencing March 26, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

**Leave of Absence—Hon. John H. McCallum, Member, Public Utilities Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 3862, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. John H. McCallum, member of the Public Utilities Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days beginning on March 25, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS,  
BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR  
REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Adopted.**

The following matters were taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Supervisor Dewey Mead.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3864, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Dewey Mead, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of fifteen days, commencing March 22, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Leaves of Absence—Supervisors Alfred Roncovieri, John M. Ratto, Warren Shannon and James B. McSheehy.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3865, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Alfred Roncovieri, Hon. John M. Ratto, Hon. Warren Shannon and Hon. James B. McSheehy, members of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby granted leaves of absence for a period of two weeks, commencing March 22, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Leaves of Absence—Miss Josephine D. Randall, Superintendent of Recreation, and Mr. James Lang, Supervisor of Athletics, Recreation Department.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3866, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Miss Josephine D. Randall, Superintendent of Recreation, and Mr. James Lang, Supervisor of Athletics in the Recreation Department, are hereby granted leaves of absence for the period April 11 to 18, inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Appointment of Citizens' Committee, Apartment House Week.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 3867, as follows:

Whereas, it has been customary to conduct Apartment House Week in the City and County of San Francisco in recognition of that important industry in San Francisco; and

Whereas, it is proposed to hold Apartment House Week in San Francisco beginning April 18th and terminating April 24th, 1938; and

Whereas, it is sought to obtain official recognition of that week; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That Apartment House Week be officially sponsored by the City and County of San Francisco from April 18th to April 24th, 1938, inclusive, without any financial obligation or responsibility for the City or of the Committee appointed in connection therewith; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco appoint a Citizens' Committee for Apartment House Week without any financial responsibility on its part.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Telegram Re Maintenance of Intercoastal Ships on Pacific Coast.**

On motion of Supervisor Mead, the following was *ordered sent* to President Roosevelt:

San Francisco, California, March 21, 1938.

To the President, White House, Washington, D. C.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco notes with sincere regret reports that you oppose legislation designed to maintain the operation of intercoastal ships. Such action would have a devastating effect on West Coast commerce and industry and



would constitute a serious blow to the defense of the Pacific Coast. We respectfully and most earnestly urge reconsideration of this most vital legislation and express the hope that these ships may be permitted to continue in operation on the intercoastal run.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS,  
City and County of San Francisco

**In Memoriam, Harry I. Stafford.**

On motion of Supervisor James B. McSheehy, the Board of Supervisors, by unanimous vote moved and *adopted* the following:

The Board learns with regret of the untimely passing of Harry Stafford, noted San Francisco attorney. His many friends are equally shocked at his untimely death. As a mark of respect, the Board sends this expression of sympathy to his family and adjourns this meeting in memory of the late Harry Stafford.

**City Attorney to Intervene and Oppose Increase in Street Car Fares.**

(Code No. 6.0229)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Resolution No. —, as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney is hereby directed to intervene in the matter of the application of the Market Street Railway Company before the Railroad Commission of the State of California, in its request for permission to increase the car fare from five to seven cents; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby instructed to oppose said application for any increase in street car fares now charged by the Market Street Railway Company in the City and County of San Francisco.

*Referred to the Judiciary Committee.*

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board of Supervisors at 6:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, March 28, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.





Vol. 33

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

No. 14

Monday, March 28, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MARCH 28, 1938, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, March 28, 1938,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of March 21, 1938, was considered read and approved.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

### Hearing of Protests—Street Work.

Hearing of protests of all persons interested in the assessment, diagram or warrant issued for the cost of the payment for the improvement of Girard between Ward street and Mansell street, including the intervening intersection, and of Mansell street between San Bruno avenue and Girard street by grading and the construction of sewers, etc. The Fay Improvement Company, contractor.

The foregoing hearing was announced and no protest being made, the Clerk was directed to so notify the Department of Public Works.

### Hearing of Protests—Street Work.

Hearing of protests of all persons interested in the assessment, diagram or warrant issued for the cost of the payment for the improvement of Carl street, south one-half, between 177 feet 5 inches and 203 feet 5 inches west of Willard street, and others, by the construction of sidewalks. M. Bertolino, contractor.

The foregoing hearing was announced and no protest being made, the Clerk was directed to so notify the Department of Public Works.

### Hearing Protests Against Grade Change, Athens Street Between Excelsior and Avalon Streets.

Hearing of protests against the proposed change and establishment of grades on Athens street between Excelsior and Avalon streets.

Protest of Rixe Birch and Leda B. Birch, et al., against proposed grade change was read by the Clerk.

## Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the subject matter was *deferred one week for hearing before the Streets Committee.*

### Hearing Protests Against Grade Change, Army Street Between Diamond and Castro Streets.

Hearing of protests against the proposed change and establishment of grades on Army street between Diamond and Castro streets.

#### Action Deferred.

Geo. A. Connelly, representing Annie T. O'Donnell, protesting property owner, was heard in opposition and the subject matter was *deferred one week for hearing in Streets Committee.*

### SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

#### Investigation of Old Age Pension Cases.

Supervisor Reilly moved that Dr. Gray, or some other responsible representative of the Public Welfare Department be requested to appear before the Board next Monday (March 14, 1938) at 2:30 p. m. to give the Board an outline of investigation of cases, etc.

Miss Schenk was requested, also, to bring to the Board next Monday at 2:30 p. m. files in the cases of Charles Strickler and Murray Meyer.

*Motion carried.*

#### Privilege of the Floor.

The following applicants were presented by Supervisor Uhl:

Mrs. Elizabeth Estes, living at 996 Union street, complained that moneys paid on her life insurance monthly by her daughters as premiums were being deducted from her \$35 monthly allowance.

Mrs. Ermine Dickenson, residing at Willard Hotel, 161 Ellis street, complained of delay in granting application which was filed in October, 1937. Report of welfare investigators disclosed that son in Nevada has assets amounting to \$105,000 and that he was sending her \$25 per month. Claim was made that he not being a resident of the State could not be compelled to support her.

Mr. Chas. S. Stricker complained of delay in granting pension, application filed March, 1937. Dr. Gray explained that age given by applicants at various times were so conflicting and inconsistent that difficulty was being found in arriving at a correct idea. He believed that it would shortly be settled.

Dr. Jacques P. Gray and Miss Eugenie Schenk, representing the Public Welfare Department, were heard in explanation of the mandatory procedure followed by the Department in investigations of applications which must be followed under the law. They declared that the applications of those who appeared today were under consideration and investigations continuing.

#### Referred.

Whereupon, the foregoing matters on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Brown, were *referred to the Public Welfare Department* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—8.

Noes—Supervisors Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—3.

### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

#### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

#### Appropriation of \$515 for Street Work on Sweeny Street.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1537, Ordinance No. 9.051458, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of five hundred fifteen (\$515) dollars out of surplus existing in Appropriation No. 748.900.00



to the credit of Appropriation No. 748.933.00 for City aid necessary for street construction work on Sweeny street between Boylston and Bowdoin streets, said work being performed under the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of five hundred fifteen (\$515) dollars is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 748.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 748.933.00 for City aid necessary for street construction work on Sweeny street between Boylston and Bowdoin streets.

Under Section 111 of the Charter no street assessment authorized paid in installments over a period of ten years shall exceed 25 per cent of the assessed valuation of the land on which the assessment is levied; therefore, this appropriation is necessary to make the assessment valid.

Finally passed by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Amending Salary Ordinance, Tabulating Alphabetic Key Punch Operator.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1538, Ordinance No. 9.053123, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 5 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 by adding to Item 15 one B310a Tabulating Alphabetic Key Punch Operator at \$155 per month. Funds for this additional position have been provided by a supplemental appropriation authorized by the Board of Supervisors in Ordinance No. 9.051455 and approved March 1, 1938.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 5 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 is hereby amended to read as follows:

### Section 5. ASSESSOR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Assessor . . . . .	\$ 666.66
2	1	B52	Research Assistant . . . . .	250
3	1	B120	Supervisor, Accounts and Records	
			Assessor's Office . . . . .	300
4	2	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	250
5	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	200
6	1	B418	Confidential Secretary (Ex. Sec. 28) . . . . .	200
7	8	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	190
8	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	300
9	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	190
10	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	200
11	1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	300
12	1	B235	Director of Service . . . . .	250
13	1	B242	Blockbook Draftsman . . . . .	225
14	1	B302	Addressing Machine Operator . . . . .	155
15	2	B310a	Tabulating Alphabetic Key Punch Operator . . . . .	155
16	1	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator . . . . .	165
17	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	200
18	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	200
19	2	B454	Telephone Operator . . . . .	150
20	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	190
21	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	175
22	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman . . . . .	200
23	1	G4	Supervising Land Appraiser . . . . .	300
24	1	G5	Chief Land Appraiser . . . . .	330
25	4	G10	Supervising Building Appraiser . . . . .	300

## Section 5. ASSESSOR (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
26	1	G11	Chief Building Appraiser .....	330
27	5	G16	Supervising Personal Property Appraisers .....	300
28	1	G17	Chief Personal Property Appraiser....	330
29	1	G20	Chief Assistant Assessor .....	400
30*	11	B222	General Clerks .....	160
31*	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	150
32			Seasonal Clerical Services and other temporary services at rates not in excess of salary standardization schedules.	

\*Occupants of these positions are paid from appropriations for temporary services and have acquired permanent status under the rule of the Civil Service Commission adopted pursuant to Section 148 of the Charter.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### Appropriation of \$4,000 for Repairing Storm Damage to Hetch Hetchy System.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1543, Ordinance No. 9.051459, as follows:

Authorizing an appropriation of \$4,000 out of the surplus in the Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 767.214.01 to provide funds for repairing damage done by heavy storms to Moccasin Diversion Dam, Grizzly Canal, South Fork Road, Moccasin Spur Track and Delco System at Eleanor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$4,000 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 767.214.01 for the purpose of providing funds to repair the damage occasioned by recent heavy storms to Moccasin Diversion Dam, Grizzly Canal, South Fork Road, Moccasin Spur Track and Delco System at Eleanor.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### Amending Traffic Ordinance to Prohibit Parking on the South Side of Oregon Street Between The Embarcadero and Drumm Street.

(Code No. 11.02)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1539, Ordinance No. 11.0238, as follows:

Amending Section 37a, Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled, "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 37a, Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto, the following words: "South side of Oregon street between The Embarcadero and Drumm street."

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.



### Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Castro Street Between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-sixth Streets.

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1542, Ordinance No. 12.0731132, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered twelve hundred six (1206).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office March 1, 1938, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered twelve hundred and six (1206), to read as follows:

Section 1206. The width of sidewalks on Castro street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-sixth streets shall be 12 feet.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Ordering the Construction and Reconstruction of Sidewalks at Various Locations.

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 1540, Ordinance No. 12.061194, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the Assessment District, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, March 7, 1938, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of:

Capitol avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Montana and Minerva streets.  
 Capitol avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Montana and Thrift streets.  
 Capitol avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Thrift street and Lakeview avenue.  
 Capitol avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Thrift street and 125 feet north.  
 Chenery street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Mizpah street and 25.79 feet west.  
 Forty-fourth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Kirkham street and 500 feet south.  
 Forty-fifth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Vicente street and 100 feet south.  
 Forty-fifth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Wawona street and Sloat boulevard.

- Forty-sixth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Wawona street and Sloat boulevard.
- Forty-sixth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Wawona street and Sloat boulevard.
- Forty-sixth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Santiago and Taraval streets.
- Forty-sixth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 250 feet and 462 feet 6 inches south of Rivera street.
- Forty-seventh avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Santiago street and 525 feet north.
- Forty-seventh avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Santiago and Taraval streets.
- Forty-seventh avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Wawona street and 300 feet north.
- Forty-seventh avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Wawona street and 300 feet north.
- Grafton avenue (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Jules avenue and 60 feet west.
- Kirkham street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Twenty-fifth avenue and 82 feet 6 inches west.
- Kirkham street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Twenty-sixth and Twenty-seventh avenues.
- Lawton street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 82 feet 6 inches and 157 feet 6 inches west of Twenty-second avenue.
- Mizpah street (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Chenery street and 121.39 feet north.
- Montana street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Faxon avenue and 125 feet east.
- Montana street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Capitol avenue and 355 feet west.
- Ortega street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Twentieth and Twenty-first avenues.
- Rae avenue (SE.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 100 feet and 160 feet northeast of Whipple avenue.
- Twenty-fourth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Kirkham street and 450 feet south.
- Twenty-fourth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 200 feet and 525 feet south of Vicente street.
- Thirtieth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Vicente street and Escolta way.
- Thirty-first avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 100 feet and 250 feet north of Judah street.
- Thirty-first avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Judah street and Kirkham street.
- Wawona street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Forty-sixth and Forty-seventh avenues.
- Woodland avenue (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) fronting Lot 11 of Block 2644;  
by the construction of two-course concrete sidewalks, six (6) feet in width, where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks, six (6) feet or more in width, are not already constructed.
- And the improvement of:
- Baker street (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Union street and 110 feet north.
- Forty-seventh avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 250 feet and 275 feet south of Kirkham street.
- Forty-eighth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 75 feet and 100 feet south of Kirkham street.
- Forty-eighth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 100 feet and 200 feet south of Rivera street.
- Lawton street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Twentieth avenue and 130 feet west.
- Moraga street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Twentieth avenue and 32 feet 6 inches west.
- Moraga street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 32 feet 6 inches and 63 feet 9 inches west of Forty-seventh avenue.
- Noriega street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Forty-eighth avenue and Great Highway.
- Quintara street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Twentieth and Twenty-first avenues.
- Seventeenth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Moraga street and 100 feet north.
- Twentieth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 125 feet and 224 feet north of Kirkham street.
- Twentieth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 150 feet and 200 feet south of Judah street.
- Twenty-first avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Noriega street and 100 feet south



Twenty-first avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 30 feet and 60 feet north of Vicente street.

Twenty-second avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 150 feet and 250 feet south of Judah street.

Twenty-second avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Moraga street and 100 feet south.

Twenty-fifth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 250 feet and 275 feet south of Judah street.

Thirty-eighth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 150 feet and 175 feet north of Judah street;

by the construction or reconstruction of two-course concrete sidewalks, six (6) feet in width, where existing sidewalks, six (6) feet in width, are defective or not already constructed to the official grade.

And the improvement of:

Buchanan street (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Page and Haight streets.

Capitol avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Grafton avenue and 325 feet north.

Capitol avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Broad street and 125 feet north.

Folsom street (SE.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Fifth street and 125 feet northeast.

Folsom street (NW.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 75 feet and 150 feet northeast of Fifth street.

Folsom street (SE.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 125 feet and 150 feet northeast of Sixth street.

Forty-seventh avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Wawona street and Sloat boulevard.

Lincoln way (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Twenty-third avenue and 82 feet 6 inches east.

Twentieth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 200 feet and 225 feet south of Lincoln way.

Twenty-eighth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 159 feet 4 inches and 191 feet 8 inches north of Irving street;

by the construction or reconstruction of two-course concrete sidewalks of the full official width where existing sidewalks of the full official width are defective or not already constructed to the official grade.

And the improvement of:

Capitol avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 350 feet and 375 feet south of Holloway avenue.

Capitol avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Broad street and 100 feet north.

Third avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Parnassus avenue and 98 feet 8 $\frac{1}{2}$  inches north;

by the construction or reconstruction of two-course concrete sidewalks, nine (9) feet in width, where existing sidewalks, nine feet in width, are defective or not already constructed to the official grade.

The Assessment District hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as:

Block No.	Lot Nos.	Block No.	Lot Nos.
1786	8, 11.	2449	2.
1822	1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13, 14.	2450	18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27.
1827	15, 16, 17, 21.		
1828	5C.	2451	1.
1870	1, 2A, 3, 5, 6, 7.	2465A	1, 10, 11, 12.
1890	1, 2, 4, 5A, 5B, 5C, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13.	2472	3, 3A, 3B, 3D, 3I, 3J.
1921	52, 53, 54.	2514	1, 2.
2057	21, 22, 23, 26.	2515	1.
2303	8, 9, 10, 11, 14, 15, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43.	2644	11.
		6709	15.
		6987	18.
		7053	13, 14, 15.
		7054	2.
2374	21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 30, 31, 32, 34, 35.	7055A	8, 10, 10A.
		7056	20, 22A.
2375	26, 27, 28, 29, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42.	7069	1, 1A, 2, 3, 4, 5, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43.
		7097	5, 5A.

Block No.	Lot Nos.	Block No.	Lot Nos.
948	4.	1896	20A.
1793	16.	1923	15.
1829	35.	1926	15, 15A, 15B, 15C.
1831	4, 7.	2001	18, 19, 20, 21, 22.
1833	4.	2028	25.
1834	22, 25.	2057	43.
1866	18.	2197	1, 2N.
1892	19.	2302	28.
1893	41.	2422	19.
850	1, 2.	3733	26, 27.
1722	11.	3752	81, 82, 82A, 83.
1728	47.	3753	128.
1730	6.	6985	2, 7, 10, 13, 14.
2515	1.	7106	5.

Block No.	Lot Nos.	Block No.	Lot Nos.
1757	19.	7107	24.
6984	30.		

All being designated on the Maps and Books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the Assessment Book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Changing Grades on Athens Street Between Madison Street and Avalon Avenue and on Peru Avenue Between Vienna Street and Moscow Street.**

(Code No. 12.0722)

Also, Bill No. 1541, Ordinance No. 12.072233, as follows:

Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Athens street between Madison street and Avalon avenue and on Peru avenue between Vienna street and Moscow street.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 17th day of January, 1938, by Resolution No. 3747, declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Athens street between Madison street and Avalon avenue and on Peru avenue between Vienna street and Moscow street; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Director of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said Resolution of Intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days have elapsed since the first publication of said Resolution of Intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

**Athens Street:**

Westerly line of, at Madison street southwesterly line..... 238  
(The same being the present official grade.)

At a point formed by the intersection of the southwesterly line of Madison street with a line parallel with the easterly line of Athens street and 19.5 feet westerly therefrom ..... 241

*Feet*



*Athens Street—Continued**Feet*

Westerly line of, at right angles to the last-described point .....	241
At a point 10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 200 feet northerly from Peru avenue.....	351.20
At a point 10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 150 feet northerly from Peru avenue.....	359.67
At a point 10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 100 feet northerly from Peru avenue.....	363.47
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
29.5 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 200 feet northerly from Peru avenue .....	351.20
29.5 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 150 feet northerly from Peru avenue .....	359.76
29.5 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 100 feet northerly from Peru avenue .....	363.85
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Peru avenue..	366.44
29.5 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Peru avenue.	367.56
17.5 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Peru avenue.	368
Easterly line of, at Peru avenue.....	372.18
10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 100 feet southerly from Peru avenue .....	368.98
10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 140 feet southerly from Peru avenue .....	371.74
10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 180 feet southerly from Peru avenue .....	378
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
29.5 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 100 feet southerly from Peru avenue .....	369.30
29.5 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 140 feet southerly from Peru avenue .....	371.82
29.5 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 180 feet southerly from Peru avenue .....	378
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 310 feet southerly from Peru avenue .....	404
10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 340 feet southerly from Peru avenue .....	408.50
10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 370 feet southerly from Peru avenue .....	410
10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 400 feet southerly from Peru avenue .....	408.40
10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 430 feet southerly from Peru avenue .....	403.59
(Compound vertical curve passing through the last five described points.)	
29.5 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 310 feet southerly from Peru avenue .....	404
29.5 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 340 feet southerly from Peru avenue .....	408.50
29.5 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 370 feet southerly from Peru avenue .....	410
29.5 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 400 feet southerly from Peru avenue .....	408.43
29.5 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 430 feet southerly from Peru avenue .....	403.72
(Compound vertical curve passing through the last five described points.)	

*Athens Street—Continued**Feet*

Westerly line of, at Avalon avenue .....	367
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Easterly line of, at Avalon avenue .....	369
(The same being the present official grade.)	

*Peru Avenue:**Feet*

Moscow street .....	414
(The same being the present official grade.)	
At Athens street easterly line .....	372.18
17.5 feet westerly from Athens street easterly line.....	368
29.5 feet westerly from Athens street easterly line.....	367.56
10 feet easterly from Athens street westerly line.....	366.44
2 feet westerly from Athens street westerly line.....	366
Northerly line of, at Vienna street easterly line.....	319.36
(The same being the present official grade.)	
12 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Vienna street easterly line .....	319.36
(The same being the present official grade.)	
12 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Vienna street easterly line .....	319.82
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Southerly line of, at Vienna street easterly line.....	319.82
(The same being the present official grade.)	
On Athens street between Madison street and Avalon avenue, and on Peru avenue between Vienna and Moscow streets, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.	

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**NEW BUSINESS.****Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

**Approval of Public Welfare Department Recommendations.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Resolution No. 3868, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Public Welfare Department containing the names and amounts to be paid as Old Age Security Aid Blind Pensions and Widows' Pensions for the month of April, 1938 (and prior thereto), including amounts, decreases, cancellations and denials and other transactions, are hereby approved, and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is directed to transmit this approval to the Controller; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors declares an extension of time as necessary in certain cases as requested by the Public Welfare Department.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Land Purchase—Roosevelt Junior High School.**

(Code No. 12.1712)

Also, Resolution No. 3869, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Mae Brenner and Jules E. Brenner, her husband, Lot 16A, Assessor's



Block 1061, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Roosevelt Junior High School, for the sum of \$12,000, payable from Appropriation No. 770.600.02.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing Acquisition of Lands for O'Shaughnessy Boulevard by  
Condemnation Proceedings.**

(Code No. 6.0211)

Also, Resolution No. 3870, as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following described parcels of real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

PARCEL 1. Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Twenty-eight street, distant thereon 155 feet westerly from the westerly line of Kenyon avenue, said point being on the westerly line of the property conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco by Wells Fargo and Company, recorded July 30, 1924, in Volume 901, Official Records, page 170; thence westerly along the said line of Twenty-eighth street, 332.131 feet to the southwesterly line of the proposed O'Shaughnessy boulevard; thence deflecting 118 degrees 48 minutes 56 seconds to the left and running southeasterly along the last-named line, 247.484 feet; thence continuing southeasterly on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 490 feet, central angle 1 degree 30 minutes 01 seconds, a distance of 12.830 feet to the northerly line of Valley street; thence deflecting 59 degrees 41 minutes 03 seconds to the left from a line tangent to the preceding curve at the latter point and running easterly along said line of Valley street, 206.514 feet to the westerly line of the property conveyed to the City as hereinabove mentioned; thence at right angles northerly along last-named line, 228 feet to the southerly line of Twenty-eight street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Block 53 of the Map of Stanford Heights, filed July 10, 1891, in Map Book "E" and "F," pages 154 and 155, Official Records of San Francisco, California.

PARCEL 2. Beginning at the intersection of the northerly line of Clarkson street with the easterly line of Burnett avenue; thence easterly along said line of Clarkson street 305 feet to the westerly line of the property now or formerly owned by Gustave Schnee; thence at right angles northerly along the last-named property line 114 feet to the southerly line of the property conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco by Wells Fargo and Company, recorded July 30, 1924, in Volume 901, Official Records, page 170; thence at right angles westerly along last-named line 200 feet; thence at right angles northerly, 12.50 feet; thence at right angles westerly and continuing along the southerly line of the said property conveyed to the City, 105 feet to the easterly line of Burnett avenue; thence at right angles southerly along said line of Burnett avenue, 126.50 feet to the northerly line of Clarkson street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Block 78 of the Map of Stanford Heights, filed July 10, 1891, in Map Book "E" and "F," pages 154 and 155, Official Records of San Francisco, California.

PARCEL 3. Beginning at the most northerly corner of Lot A, Block "O," of Additions to Castro Street Addition and Glen Park Terrace, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "G," pages 60 to 63, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence north-

erly along the westerly line of Bosworth street, 52.08 feet; thence westerly on the arc of a curve to the left, whose tangent deflects 85 degrees 26 minutes 24 seconds to the left from the preceding course, radius 180 feet, central angle 23 degrees 26 minutes 32 seconds, a distance of 73.65 feet; thence southwesterly, tangent to the preceding curve, 63.62 feet to the westerly boundary line of the lands of the Crocker Estate Company, as shown on aforesaid map; thence deflecting 63 degrees 23 minutes 06 seconds to the left and running southerly along last-named line, 67.11 feet; thence deflecting 116 degrees 36 minutes 54 seconds to the left and running northeasterly 93.69 feet; thence easterly on a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, radius 120 feet, central angle 17 degrees 42 minutes 18 seconds, a distance of 37.08 feet to the northwesterly line of aforesaid Lot A; thence deflecting 22 degrees 32 minutes 22 seconds to the left from the tangent to the preceding curve and running northeasterly along last-named line, 18.32 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of aforesaid Block "O."

PARCEL 4. Beginning at the most northerly corner of Lot A, Block "O," of Additions to Castro Street Addition and Glen Park Terrace, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "G," pages 60 to 63, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence southeasterly along the southwesterly line of Bosworth street, 29.24 feet to the northwesterly line of Lot B of aforesaid Block "O"; thence deflecting 115 degrees 02 minutes to the right and running southwesterly along last-named line, 1.22 feet; thence westerly on the arc of a curve to the left, whose tangent deflects 57 degrees 11 minutes 28 seconds to the right from the preceding course, radius 120 feet, central angle 20 degrees 21 minutes 10 seconds, a distance of 42.63 feet to the northwesterly line of aforesaid Lot A; thence deflecting 157 degrees 27 minutes 38 seconds to the right from the tangent to the preceding curve and running northeasterly along last-named line 18.32 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of aforesaid Lot A.

Be It Further Resolved, That said lands are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the construction, maintenance, operation and use of O'Shaughnessy boulevard as a public street. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use. The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcels of land and any and all interest therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

**Appropriation, \$2400, from Emergency Reserve Fund, for  
Emergency Hospitals.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1549, Ordinance No. 9.051463, as follows:

Appropriating twenty-four hundred dollars (\$2400) out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the Emergency Hospitals; twelve hundred dollars (\$1200) to the credit of Appropriation 754.200.00; six hundred fifty dollars (\$650) to the credit of Appropriation 754.300.00; five hundred fifty dollars (\$550) to the credit of Appropriation 733.318.54.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of twenty-four hundred dollars (\$2400) is hereby



appropriated and set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of the following appropriations for the purposes and in the amounts indicated:

Contractual Services: Appropriation 754,200.00.....	\$1,200
Materials and Supplies: Appropriation 754,300.00.....	650
Gasoline: Appropriation 733,318.54.....	550

Section 2. This appropriation is made necessary to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the Emergency Hospitals.

Approved as to form: John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Funds Available: H. J. Boyd, Controller.

Recommended by J. C. Geiger, Director of Public Health.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

*Recommended for immediate passage by the Controller.*

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Adopted.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

### Refunds, Erroneous Payments of Taxes.

(Code No. 9.059)

Resolution No. 3873, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized to be paid to the following named, being refunds of erroneous payments of taxes:

#### *From Duplicate Tax Fund—Appropriation 905.*

1. Sadie Hickey, per Vol. 33, Bill 424, Lot 16, Block 5514, both installments, Fiscal Year 1935.....\$ 21.72
2. Celia Sasnick, per Vol. 7, Bill 1659, Lot 5, Block 1138, 1st installment, Fiscal Year 1937..... 25.55
3. Bank of America, per Vol. 16, Bill 1554, Lot 1-I, Block 2123-B, \$4.85; per Vol. 37, Bill 2085, Lot 29-B, Block 6274, \$21.68—Both, 1st installment, Fiscal Year 1937..... 26.63
4. Capital Company, per Vol. 20, Bill 1291, Lot 16, Block 2881, 1st installment, Fiscal Year 1937..... 70.26
5. James B. Herring, per Vol. 21, Bill 1502, Lot 27, Block 3046, 1st installment, Fiscal Year 1937..... 132.97

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Set-Back Lines, Thirty-Sixth Avenue, Easterly Side Of.

(Code No. 13.03)

On recommendation of Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee.

Resolution No. 3871, as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1563, passed October 15, 1936, reading as follows:

Resolved, That subject to approval by the Board of Supervisors in accordance with Section 117 of the Charter, the following building set-back lines be, and the same are hereby established:

Along the easterly side of Thirty-sixth avenue, commencing at Sloat boulevard and running thence northerly to Yorba street; set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along the easterly side of Thirty-sixth avenue, commencing at Yorba street and running thence northerly to Wawona street; set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along the easterly side of Thirty-sixth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet southerly from Vicente street and running thence southerly 25 feet; set-back line to be 3 feet; thence southerly 25 feet; set-back line to be 6 feet; thence southerly to Wawona street; set-back line to be 9 feet,

is hereby approved.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Leave of Absence—William J. Quinn, Chief of Police.**

(Code No. 4.053)

On recommendation of his Honor the Mayor:

Resolution No. 3872, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. William J. Quinn, Chief of Police, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of ten days, commencing April 1, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Re-referred.**

The following matter presented by the Public Buildings and Lands and City Planning Committee without recommendation was taken up and on motion *re-referred to the Committee*:

**Prohibiting the Construction or Erection of Grandstands in or About the Civic Center.**

(Code No. 11.08)

Bill No. 1255, Ordinance No. 11.0822, as follows:

Prohibiting the construction or erection of grandstands in or about the area known as the Civic Center, and providing a penalty therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation, organization or association to construct, erect, build or maintain stands or grandstands in or about the area known and designated as the Civic Center, and situate, lying and being between the following boundaries: From Leavenworth street west on McAllister street to Franklin street; south on Franklin street to Grove street; east on Grove street to Hyde street; north on Hyde street to Fulton street; east on Fulton street to Leavenworth street, and north on Leavenworth street to McAllister street; and it shall be unlawful for any officer, Board or Commission to issue a permit therefor, save and except that a review stand may be constructed or built in front of the steps of the City Hall, a permit for such erection having first been obtained from the Department of Public Works.

Section 2. Any person, firm, corporation, association or organization which shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and, upon conviction thereof, shall be punishable by a fine not to exceed five hundred dollars (\$500) or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force immediately.



# ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

## Proposed Purchase of the Bay Ferries.

**SUPERVISOR BROWN:** Mr. Chairman, tomorrow at Sacramento there will be a hearing, as I understand it, before the Toll Bridge Authority, on the question which was discussed last week by the Board of Supervisors; that is, the purchase of the Golden Gate Ferries by the Toll Bridge Authority. It is my understanding that those opposed to the purchase will be there and present their side of the argument. I think it highly advisable the Board of Supervisors, if the Board is of the same mind that they were last Monday,—and I think they are,—that a message be sent to the Governor, advising him that this Board, by a nine to two vote, voted in favor of the purchase of the ferries, and asking the Governor to give due consideration to that fact when the subject comes before the Toll Bridge Authority. I will make that in the form of a motion.

**THE PRESIDENT:** Is there any objection?

**SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY:** Yes, by all means.

**THE PRESIDENT:** Supervisor McSheehy?

**SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY:** Mr. President, and Members of the Board: I object, naturally, to the motion, for I am unalterably opposed to the purchase of those franchises. I want to be as fair as I can. I know nine members of this Board voted in favor of the purchase, last Monday, and I assume nine votes will support Supervisor Brown in his motion, but I simply want to say this: I was asked to send a telegram up there, and I said, "No, I didn't think it was fair for me to send one;" but if this Board sends a telegram, of course I will send one. I was also asked to appear in person, and I said, "No, I didn't think it would be right for me to do so." However, if this Board wants to send a committee of three up there I would like to go with the committee, and place the minority views before the Governor. I want to say to the members of this Board that this matter came before the Redwood Empire Association, and an effort was made to have the Redwood Empire endorse this purchase. The Redwood Empire tabled that motion.

I want to tell the members of the Board that from the reactions I have gotten during the week, I have no apologies to make as to my stand, being one of the two members who voted as opposed to it. I think if it were brought to a vote of the people I have my doubts that you would ever be sanctioned in paying that enormous sum of \$3,750,000 for these franchises.

**THE PRESIDENT:** What is the motion again?

**SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY:** So, if you are going to send a telegram—

**THE PRESIDENT:** I would like to hear the motion.

**SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY:** I would like to amend the motion.

**THE PRESIDENT:** The Chair hasn't got the motion clearly before it. What is the motion?

**SUPERVISOR BROWN:** The motion is that the Clerk be directed to address a telegram to the Governor of the State of California, calling attention to the nine to two vote last Monday by which this Board of Supervisors favored the purchase of the ferries by the Toll Bridge Authority.

**SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI:** Why not send him a copy of the resolution?

**THE PRESIDENT:** The resolution has not come back from the Mayor's office.

**SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI:** He has not signed it yet?

**THE PRESIDENT:** No.

**SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY:** Is there a second to the motion?

**THE PRESIDENT:** I would say that the motion is out of order.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: You will hold that the motion is out of order?

THE PRESIDENT: Yes.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Would it be out of order to send him a telegram stating that the Board of Supervisors so voted? He asked, I think, for the opinion of the legislative bodies of the Bay area. I don't know whether he asked for a resolution. I don't want to be technical, but—

THE PRESIDENT: No, I don't think that motion is out of order. That is the vote by which we passed the resolution.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I see no harm in so advising him. We are not assuming to say whether the nine acted wisely or unwisely, but we are conveying to him the vote by which the resolution was passed.

THE PRESIDENT: The Chair will entertain that motion.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I think the Board should do that. We did take that action after hearing the question thoroughly, and we did it for a purpose, to indicate that we favored it, and we want the Governor to know we did take that action.

THE PRESIDENT: Call the roll—Supervisor McSheehy?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I am not going to attempt to amend that motion, but I am going to give this notice, and I think I have this right as a member of the Board—I know the motion will carry—but I am going to send a telegram, as a member of this Board of Supervisors, and I am going to state as briefly as possible in that telegram the outline of what transpired here last Monday, to the Governor—

SUPERVISOR UHL: May I suggest, through the Chair, that a transcript of the record, which has been furnished us by the Clerk, be sent to the Governor?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I beg your pardon?

SUPERVISOR UHL: A transcript of the entire proceedings, wherein the Board sat as a committee of the whole. My thought is to send him that transcript of what was stated here by the members of the Board.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Your thought is that we send a telegram to the Governor, together with the transcript? With the suggestion made by Supervisor Uhl I will amend the motion—and I do this in the line of all fairness: That this Board send to the Governor the journal, that portion of it which gives the verbatim statement of every word that transpired in this Board last Monday.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Second the motion.

THE PRESIDENT: It has been regularly moved and seconded that a copy of the transcript of the proceedings be sent to the Governor. Are you ready for the question?—Supervisor Brown?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I would just like to ask that it be sent special delivery, so it will reach him.

THE PRESIDENT: Yes, of course.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: And I will ask if Supervisor McSheehy has any objection to sending a telegram?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I have an objection to sending the telegram. I have made an amendment to the motion, and if the amendment is carried my motion will prevail instead of sending the telegram.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Did the amendment receive a second?

THE PRESIDENT: Yes, Supervisor Uhl seconded the amendment.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I will offer a substitute amendment:

That a transcript of the record be sent, and a telegram be also sent.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: What will be the language of the telegram?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I have already outlined it to the Board.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I would suggest that you include the resolution that we adopted on last Monday, and say:

"Your Excellency, we adopted such and such a resolution on such and such a date."

THE CLERK: If I am not intruding, if I am directed to do so, I will send the Journal out tonight, and it will reach the Governor to-



morrow morning. That contains the whole thing, the resolution and the vote, and the transcript of everything that was said.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: A marked copy?

THE CLERK: Oh, yes.

THE PRESIDENT: On what page is that roll call—Oh, I see it. The whole thing is there. However, there is no harm in sending the telegram. You can vote on that.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I think it is advisable to send the telegram, for this reason: That the Governor is a very busy man, and I think we can rest assured that even if the transcript reached him he would not have time to go through that entire document. My thought in asking that the telegram be sent was that in a few words all that is contained in the transcript could be placed before him.

THE PRESIDENT: If the Board would permit a suggestion, why not send a telegram saying a transcript of the proceedings of the Board on last Monday is being sent, and the vote was nine to two in favor of the purchase?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I will accept that.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there any objection to that?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I do, because it doesn't give the proceedings, except in a general way. Either send him the proceedings without one word of comment, so the man may get it just as is, so the record will show just what happened, or—

THE PRESIDENT: An amendment is made to the motion, that a copy of the transcript and the telegram be sent. You asked for the language of the telegram, and I tried to give it to you.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I am willing to adopt the language of the Chair.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Will you repeat the language?

THE PRESIDENT: That the telegram be sent to the Governor, that a copy of the proceedings held last Monday, is being forwarded by air mail, special delivery, and that the vote was nine to two in favor of the purchase.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: No objection to that.

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor McSheehy.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I object to that, Members of the Board, and I do so simply on what I term fair play. You are sending the Governor of this state that entire matter. Now I said this before, and I say it again: Beware of Greeks bearing gifts. And he presents to us a gift, that he wants this Board to condone the purchase. Now the entire Alameda County is actually protesting against it, and you will find the same in Marin, and the northern counties, because we had it up at a breakfast in San Diego last week, and the motion was tabled. We are the only city that is sanctioning this particular purchase. We are the only city sanctioning this purchase for \$3,750,000, plus the refinancing charge of the entire project, which will mean \$6,000,000, and eventually mean \$12,000,000 to the tax payers of this State of California. It is not our problem, and I hope we won't lend ourselves in any form to sanctioning this purchase, which will allow the Governor to condone the tremendous expenditure of \$3,750,000 for this purchase. He is justifying himself with us, and we are the only ones he can hang his hat on. Everybody else around the Bay region is opposed to it, and I as one member, am unalterably opposed to it.

Again, I say to you, the right thing, and the fair thing to do is to just send him the proceedings and nothing else, and I trust you will do that, and nothing else. If you send him a telegram, I will personally send him a telegram as one member of this Board, so the record will be complete, so he can't come out and say he didn't know, and he will know as far as I am concerned, and the people of San Francisco will know that they are being robbed of \$3,750,000 in the sanction of this purchase, under this flimsy excuse which the Governor is handing to the people; and I hope this will not be carried by the Board.

SUPERVISOR UHL: May I ask a question, through the Chair, of

Supervisor Brown; What was your statement? That the matter will come before the Toll Bridge Authority tomorrow?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: So I have been informed.

SUPERVISOR UHL: If it is in order I would like to make a motion that a committee of three be appointed, Supervisor McSheehy being one of the committee representing the minority, to go to Sacramento and lay the position of this Board before the Toll Bridge Authority so that it is absolutely clear.

SUPERVISOR MEAD: Second the motion.

THE PRESIDENT: That motion is not in order.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I can't add that to the present parliamentary situation?

THE PRESIDENT: No.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: It seems to me that the resolution speaks for itself. We adopted a resolution, yes or no. Send that resolution to the Chief Executive of this State, saying this resolution was adopted by the following vote.

THE PRESIDENT: That is the purport of Supervisor Brown's amendment.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: And you are going to telegraph him the text of the resolution?

THE PRESIDENT: No, we are going to send him the resolution.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: The text of the resolution as we adopted it?

THE PRESIDENT: That is the proposal.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: The telegram and the text of the resolution.

THE PRESIDENT: That is the amendment, that is what we are considering.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: There is no objection to that.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: If nobody else wishes to speak, I would like to close?

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Brown.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I would just like to take issue with one statement made by Supervisor McSheehy; that the people of San Francisco are being robbed of \$3,750,000. As a matter of cold fact, the people of San Francisco are not contributing one cent to the purchase. As a matter of cold fact, the people of San Francisco are being saved from a contribution of between four and five cents in the tax rate because of an expected deficit in the Golden Gate Bridge. As a matter of further fact, we are told that this situation will eventually result in a reduction of tolls from 50 to 40 cents, which will result in a saving, on every person who travels from San Francisco across the Oakland Bay Bridge, of 10 cents each way. The money for this purchase, we are informed by the Toll Bridge Authority, will be made available by the increased traffic which will use the bridge by virtue of the elimination of the ferries. There is no foundation whatsoever for any statement that the people of San Francisco are being robbed of \$3,750,000, or for that matter, one dollar.

THE PRESIDENT: Call the roll.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Mr. President.

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Brown closed the debate.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I am not going to debate the matter, I am going to offer a suggestion to my motion.

THE PRESIDENT: We are not voting on your motion. We are voting on Supervisor Brown's amendment to the motion, and he closed the debate.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I am going to offer, if you will permit me, a substitution for the whole.

THE PRESIDENT: You can not do it. There are two amendments.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Very well, if you so rule.

THE PRESIDENT: Call the roll.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Brown?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Aye.



THE CLERK: Supervisor Colman?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor McSheehy?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Mead?

SUPERVISOR MEAD: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Meyer?

SUPERVISOR MEYER: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Ratto?

SUPERVISOR RATTO: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Reilly?

SUPERVISOR REILLY: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Roncovieri?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Schmidt?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Shannon?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Uhl?

SUPERVISOR UHL: No.

THE CLERK: Nine "Ayes," two "Noes."

THE PRESIDENT: The amendment is carried. Supervisor Uhl?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Now, if I am in order, I would move that a committee of three be appointed, one of the members of the committee to be Supervisor McSheehy, regarding the passage of this resolution at last Monday's meeting. If I am in order, I would like to make that motion, that a committee of three be appointed to be present at the meeting of the Toll Bridge Authority tomorrow, regarding the purchase.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I will second that motion.

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Brown?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I will offer a substitute, that the Chair be authorized to appoint a committee of three to attend the meeting at Sacramento.

THE PRESIDENT: I didn't get the last?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I will offer a substitute, that the Chair be authorized to appoint a committee of three to attend the meeting at Sacramento tomorrow.

THE PRESIDENT: I trust that the members of the Board will not pass either one of these motions. I think the testimony that is going to be sent there special air mail is sufficient, without any additional expense to the City in sending representatives up there.

SUPERVISOR RATTO: Right.

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor McSheehy?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I think that is a correct statement, Mr. President; I am opposed to it.

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor McSheehy?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Last Monday I offered here an amendment to the resolution on the calendar, which resolution called for the sanction of this purchase. I offered the exact amendment Oakland had passed. I stated that that amendment was introduced at the meeting over there, and there wasn't a dissenting voice of anybody present, except Supervisor Roncovieri. I will give the Supervisor his due, he did raise a dissenting voice in that committee. I seconded it, and it was carried; and I tried hard to have that same amendment presented to this Board. If I went to Sacramento tomorrow I would offer that amendment to the Governor, and I would state my views, as briefly as possible, because the Governor is a very shrewd old gentleman, he places a time limit on everything. My views would be presented in a very orderly way. I think as a minority member of this Board that I have that right, to go to that meeting tomorrow which involves a matter that is so important, and so complicated.

I won't go into details, but I think I spiked Supervisor Brown's statement of the loss to the Golden Gate Bridge, and the increase in the tax rate of  $4\frac{1}{2}$  cents. We have never received one cent of main-

tenance cost on the Golden Gate Bridge, and that maintenance cost would pay that 4½ cents alone. I showed that as plain as anything could be shown. Of course, if you don't want to see you won't see; and yet it has been going on for years, and your present members of the Golden Gate Bridge directorate have been derelict in their duties, in not receiving from the State of California this maintenance cost that they have been receiving on the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge.

I think these views should be presented to the Governor. If you don't want a committee to go there, very well; but I know if I went there that is what I would cover. I know my time would be about five minutes, and I would use that time entirely to bring home that fact to the Governor, and to show what the other counties, what Alameda has done, and what I am sure the other counties to the north would do because of their tabling of the motion down at San Diego, which showed conclusively their views.

I think we are making one of the greatest mistakes in the history of this city. I only know of one other to compare with it, and that was the time we allowed ourselves to purchase \$21,000,000 worth of Hetch Hetchy bonds for \$18,000,000. That was away back in 1921, that is when we made that mistake, and I told the Board of the mistake, and we corrected it, because we have created a condition in our charter now that will not permit the purchase of bonds only for the amount of money needed at the time. I think we are making a mistake to make this purchase, it is simply to relieve the Governor of one of his whims in reference to this purchase, so I hope and trust you will send a committee. I would like to go to present that matter, and send any other two members you wish.

THE PRESIDENT: Call the roll—Supervisor Brown.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I would like to suggest to Supervisor McSheehy that before he criticizes the Golden Gate Bridge directors, that he familiarize himself with the facts of the matter. I don't think, personally, that criticism has any place on this Board, but when he makes the statement that the directors of the Golden Gate Bridge have been derelict in their duty, he must be misinformed, if he predicates that remark on our inability to procure from the state government the assistance of the state in the maintenance of the bridge. For his information I will state to him that last week, subsequent to our meeting here I took the matter up with the attorney for the bridge district; and he again advised me that the matter legally can not be done, as I told the Supervisor last week when the subject came up. Furthermore the amount which the bridge district expends each year in maintenance is not as great as the amount of the deficit. If you want the figures as I gave them last Monday, the amount of maintenance cost is about \$240,000. The amount of the deficit will be very nearly twice that. So even if the entire cost of the maintenance were contributed by the State there would still be a deficit.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Mr. President.

THE CHAIRMAN: Supervisor McSheehy.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I have always felt in the handling of figures that I can handle figures as well as any man, and I have fairly stated this matter I think. Supervisor Brown stated clearly last Monday, that it was \$280,000, the amount of the maintenance of the bridge, and he stated that the amount—at least not the amount—or the amount of the deficit—the amount of the maintenance would be about \$240,000. So there is a difference there of \$40,000, and not \$250,000. Now I say it, and I say it again, and I was one of the members of this Board who voted for the directors of the Golden Gate Bridge District, but I will positively make this statement now, that I will not vote to replace any other member on that Board, on the Golden Gate Bridge. I have been elected by the people for four years, and with God's help I hope to be here for four years, and perhaps there will be other members of that Board that will come before us, and it takes a majority of the members of this Board to elect them.



I say the members have been derelict as members of that Board of Directors in allowing that bridge to ride along the way it has, with no moneys whatsoever being contributed by the state, where the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge has been the recipient of \$500,000 a year. I am responsible to the people, and these directors are responsible to the members of this Board; and I serve notice right now that I will not vote for the replacement of one man who is a member of that board, and who has been derelict in his duties.

So I say this thing has come to an impasse, that something should be done, and I hope we will not sit quietly by and see the Governor of this state purchase these franchises for this enormous amount of money.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Mr. President.

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Brown.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: This matter has gotten down to a personal debate in which I do not propose to join, but I will say this: It is Supervisor McSheehy's privilege who he votes for as to membership on the Golden Gate Bridge directorate. I regret he takes the position he does with regard to me; but it is not within his right to make false statements in this Board, or to quote any member of the Board as saying something that is not true. The best evidence of that is the record, which I will read from.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: What page?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Page 373. Supervisor McSheehy says: "... We are not responsible for the approaches. Do you know how much the maintenance cost would amount to on the bridge if the same proportion were allowed as is allowed to the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge?"—

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Where is that?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: The bottom of page 373:

"Supervisor Brown: I think the two costs of maintenance would be comparable; I think there is some additional cost on the Bay Bridge, but I think it is around \$240,000"—That was the figure which I quoted just a few minutes ago.

"Supervisor McSheehy: Then if that amount were allowed by the state there would be no deficit?

"Supervisor Brown: The deficit would be reduced by \$240,000."

I think that speaks for itself.

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Colman.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: The question before the Board is: Do we want to send a committee to Sacramento, and Supervisor McSheehy wants representation on that committee?

THE PRESIDENT: A committee of three, and one of the three to be Supervisor McSheehy.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I am opposed to that. I think today, in sending to the Governor the result of the vote, with a copy of the transcript, and a copy of the resolution, we have done all we have been asked to do. He didn't ask us to come up there and convince him as to our position. He asked us, as representatives of the People of San Francisco to state our views on whether we recommended the purchase of the franchise of the ferries. This information we are giving him. That is all we could do regarding our action. I want to say further, that if I had it to do over again tomorrow, I would vote the same way. With the greatest respect to the people of Oakland, and the other sections, I can't concede to them any greater knowledge or ability than possessed by this Board; and certainly, we, in the consideration of this problem are much more vitally concerned than are the representatives of any other county, except the other counties involved in the Golden Gate Bridge. So we have met this problem, and met it honestly. I am going to ignore this "selling out" talk of our friend. I don't think he has any monopoly on honesty and integrity in comparison with the other members of the Board. I think their motives are just as clean and pure as those of our good

friend Supervisor McSheehy. However, we won't go into that unless it becomes necessary, and then, of course, nobody shirks a duty. However, I am convinced, and I don't think it is advisable to send a committee.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Mr. President.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there anybody else who desires the floor before Supervisor McSheehy again takes the floor?—Supervisor McSheehy.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: There is a citizen here, but I am sure if I asked for the privilege of the floor for him it would be denied, so I wish to ask this citizen just a few questions in reference to certain information that he just attempted to tell me, and I would like to have him tell the entire Board. Mr. Reed—

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Just a minute. I will rise to a point.

THE PRESIDENT: What is your point of order?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I would like to ask if the matter on which Supervisor McSheehy intends to interrogate this gentleman is the question of sending a committee to Sacramento? If it is not, I will object.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: That is the question entirely.

THE PRESIDENT: Proceed.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Mr. Reed, will you kindly tell this Board just what you told me a moment ago in reference to certain people going to Sacramento?

MR. REED: The City Attorney of Alameda, and the City Manager of Alameda, are tomorrow to present the resolution of Alameda to the Toll Bridge Authority; and the City Attorney of San Leandro, the City Attorney of Albany, and the City Attorney of Berkeley, are to do the same for those cities. The Board of Supervisors of Alameda County will be represented by one of the District Attorney's deputies who will also present the position of the Alameda County Supervisors, and the joint action of all the group who met at the Supervisors' meeting.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Now, Members, you have heard the statement of Mr. Reed. San Francisco is going to do nothing except to send up the Journal, and that is all—just merely sending that up, stating nine members acquiesced in the Governor's request that this purchase be made. I tried last Monday that it means a great deal to San Francisco to have the good will of the cities across the Bay. They are unalterably opposed to this purchase, and I hope you will give some consideration, and I trust you will send this committee up there to present your side of this controversy. If you send the committee up, and it is composed of two members of the majority, and one member of the minority, I can simply make this promise,—going up there as a member of the minority,—that I will place in writing my resolution. I will place in writing my statement to the Governor of this state, and my statement simply will contain the facts briefly outlined, not over 200 words, and that is all, and those 200 words will be placed in writing. There will be two members representing this Board, and the other members can treat the other—treat the entire matter just as they please. But I think the position of the minority membership of this Board, and their views, should be stated to His Excellency, I think that should be done in the interest of fair play. The hearing will take place tomorrow at 11 o'clock, in the Capitol Building, so I was informed today, and I trust this Board in wisdom will allow this committee to go up there, and present this all-important matter as it should be presented, and not attempt to condone it, by merely presenting this resolution and the vote. You have the votes, do as you please, but fair play, and the people of this City should be given some consideration, especially in reference to an expenditure that will eventually call for \$12,000,000, and immediately call for an expenditure of \$6,000,000.

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Reilly.



SUPERVISOR REILLY: I would like to express my viewpoint in opposing the motion before us to send a committee to attend this hearing tomorrow. I believe, Supervisor McSheehy, that this Board acted in the best interests of San Francisco whom we represent. I have been elected by the people of San Francisco, not by the people of Alameda County. I have the greatest respect for those gentlemen, but I am not so sure that they have the particular welfare of the taxpayers of San Francisco at heart. Probably they have. However, I am a representative of the people of San Francisco. I believe furthermore that the Golden Gate Bridge is a problem that this Board has to be interested in, because the people of the East Bay will not be called upon to pay any deficit of the Golden Gate Bridge, but the taxpayers of San Francisco will have to meet the bill, and as a member of the finance committee, I know our problem will be a very serious one, and we must take into consideration the problem of this county. We have, by a vote of nine to two, given our expression to the Governor, and when we caused that resolution to be passed we tell them just what we think. Alameda, and the East Bay community have their say at this time, and they are unanimously opposed, but they look upon the problem differently than we do. I feel furthermore the suggestion that has been made by Supervisor McSheehy regarding the maintenance, or obtaining around \$240,000, is a very good point, and if it is possible to obtain those funds, and our citizens will be in a better position if they can be received, and the bridge will be on a substantially sound paying basis. Again, I feel that the East Bay communities have a problem different than ours, and the matter will be presented to His Excellency by this resolution, and it is a protection to the San Francisco taxpayers. I feel, being one of their elected officials, that we should take into consideration our own taxpayers, and I don't believe any member of this Board today should have any personal criticism in voting as he saw fit last Monday. It is all very fine for our neighbors to come here, and I stated we appreciated their views. We appreciated hearing from them, but after all we are the elected officials of San Francisco, and when I can save the taxpayers of San Francisco a sum of money, and I can be of any assistance in reducing the taxpayers' burden, and protecting their tremendous investment in this bridge, I feel it is my duty to vote as I voted last Monday, and I am not afraid to tell the people of San Francisco, if called upon, to give my views, the way I voted. This thing of dragging out a red herring, and clouding this entire matter with suspicion does not bespeak the dignity of this Board. We have had the courage of sending our views to the Governor, and we did act in our opinion for the best interests of the people we represent. It is all very fine to talk about this, that, or the other thing, and to cloud this entire problem with suspicion, but there is nothing to be suspicious about. It is a cold, business transaction. It is the problem of the taxpayers of San Francisco, and not the problem of our sister cities across the Bay. I believe we have been very kind to our sister cities. We have given their citizens an opportunity to make a living here. The ferries are crowded each night with those who receive employment in San Francisco, and whose salaries are paid by San Francisco employers; and I think it is about time that this Board gives some consideration to San Francisco taxpayers, and for those who use the bridge. Shouldn't they then pay their share of the cost of the bridge? They are fundamentally the greater users of the bridge, and the man who uses the bridge the most, the one who receives the greater amount of service should pay the greater cost of the bridge, and when I say we have been kind to them, we have not been kind with the counties involved in the Bay Bridge. San Francisco, to the tune of 85 per cent, is responsible for the deficits of our great bridge, and together with our sister counties in the north we are responsible for it if something happens; and if a large deficit takes place, and if the deficit results in an increase in the tax rate of 9 or 10 or 11 or 12 cents, we are the

ones who will pay it. I would like to hear what some of our citizens will have to say about the action of members of this Board in that case. It is my duty, first, to protect the interests of San Francisco's citizens, and after that is done then I am willing to look forward and help the other fellow, but let's take care of home first. Self preservation is the first law of nature, and I want to say to the members of the Board I believe we have acted wisely in this matter. We are protecting a tremendous investment, and I think experience will show, as time goes on, that we have acted wisely in protecting our investment, and reducing tolls on both bridges, and I say to the nine members of the Board who have voted favorably, that I think the citizens will say to you, "Well done, my good and faithful servants."

THE PRESIDENT: Roll call.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Will the clerk please state the question?

THE PRESIDENT: The motion is that a committee of three be sent to Sacramento, and Supervisor McSheehy be made a member of the committee.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Supervisor Brown offered as a substitute that a committee of three be appointed.

THE PRESIDENT: It failed of a second.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: I would like to explain my vote. There is nothing personal in my voting against this, nothing personal against Supervisor McSheehy.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Oh, no, I realize that, Supervisor.

THE PRESIDENT: Call the roll.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Brown?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Colman?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor McSheehy?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Mead?

SUPERVISOR MEAD: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Meyer?

SUPERVISOR MEYER: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Ratto?

SUPERVISOR RATTO: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Reilly?

SUPERVISOR REILLY: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Roncovieri?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Schmidt?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Shannon?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Uhl?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Aye.

THE CLERK: Eight "Noes," three "Ayes."

THE PRESIDENT: The motion is lost.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I am going to ask the Board's permission to have a transcript of this matter prepared. There have been many personal allusions here which are not in consonance with the facts, and I believe the record should be clear.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there any objection? So ordered.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: Mr. President, is there any possibility of getting a letter from the attorney of the Bridge District as to the matter of obtaining assistance from the state in the way of maintenance? I would like to have that made a matter of record if possible.

THE PRESIDENT: To have a communication sent from this Board to the attorney of the bridge district—Is there any objection to that?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: May I ask, along the same lines—

THE PRESIDENT: Is there any objection to this? So ordered.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: May I ask, along those same lines,



that the Golden Gate Bridge District send to this Board of Supervisors a statement as to its finances, the amount of receipts and disbursements from the time that the bridge was opened to the 1st day of March of this year?

THE PRESIDENT: You can do that under roll call. We are under Supervisor Brown's name, and Supervisor Colman is next.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: You permitted Supervisor Reilly to make a statement, and you permitted Supervisor Brown to make a statement.

THE PRESIDENT: To make a statement, but not a motion.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: I didn't make it as a motion.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: You didn't make it as a motion?

THE PRESIDENT: I say we are under roll call, and I am trying to get to Supervisor Colman. We have been under Mr. Brown's name all this time.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: That is true, but Supervisor, in fairness, you allowed Supervisor Reilly to make this request—

THE PRESIDENT: What did I allow Supervisor Reilly?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: You allowed Supervisor Reilly's request for the attorney to give certain information. There was no objection. You allowed Supervisor Brown—

THE PRESIDENT: What is your request?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: My request is that the Golden Gate Bridge District give to this Board a financial statement as to receipts and disbursements from the time it opened to March 1st of this year; and also that the Golden Gate Bridge give to this Board the data of the date that a request was made from the state authorities for a maintenance charge on the Golden Gate Bridge.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there any objection to that method of proceeding? It is so ordered. Will you call the next name on roll call?

### **Declaring Necessity for Housing Authority and Requesting Mayor to Appoint Commissioners.**

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Mead presented:

Resolution No. 3874, as follows:

Whereas, the Legislature of the State of California, in extraordinary session commencing on the 7th day of March, 1938, enacted Assembly Bill No. 4 declaring the necessity of creating public bodies corporate and politic to be known as housing authorities to undertake slum clearance to provide dwelling accommodations for persons of low income and to create such housing authorities in cities, cities and counties, and in counties, etc.; and

Whereas, said Act has received the approval of the Governor of the State of California and has been filed with the Secretary of State and is now chaptered and designated as Chapter of Law No. 4 of Laws enacted at said extraordinary session; and

Whereas, it is provided in said Act that whenever the governing body of any municipality adopts the resolution finding and determining the things hereinafter mentioned and shall notify the Mayor of the adoption of said resolution, the Mayor shall appoint five (5) persons as Commissioners of the Authority authorized pursuant to the aforesaid Act; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, being the governing body of said City and County, does hereby find and declare that:

1. There is need of the housing authority in the City and County of San Francisco;

2. Insanitary and unsafe inhabited dwelling accommodations exist within the City and County of San Francisco;

3. There is a shortage of safe and sanitary dwelling accommodations in the City and County of San Francisco available to persons of low income at rentals which they can afford.

In finding and determining the aforesaid facts and things this Board of Supervisors has taken into consideration the degree of overcrowding, the percentage of land coverage, the light, air, space and access available to the inhabitants of such dwelling accommodations, the size and arrangement of the rooms, the sanitary facilities, and the extent to which conditions exist in such buildings which endanger life or property by fire or other causes; and be it

Further Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors, through the Clerk thereof, notify the Mayor of the adoption of this resolution and request said Mayor to appoint five (5) persons as Commissioners of the Housing Authority of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, said persons to be appointed and to serve in accordance with the provisions of Section 5 of the above mentioned bill enacted and approved as aforesaid.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### **Children's Hospital Race Day Endorsed.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Meyer presented:

Resolution No. 3875, as follows:

Whereas, Saturday, April 16, 1938, has been designated as Children's Hospital Race Day at Bay Meadows Race Track; and

Whereas, advance ticket sales for this day are now being conducted by the members of the Auxiliary of Children's Hospital, whose treasury will receive all proceeds of this advance ticket sale; and

Whereas, the worthy women who comprise the membership of the Auxiliary of Children's Hospital have for many years staged, to the hospital's great advantage, various public affairs similar to this one, in which money is being raised for the hospital's building fund; and

Whereas, public support of this project will help materially to further the advances already made by medical science, as well as to continue the medical service which has been made available through these years to those in need; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco is heartily in favor of the purpose for which the Children's Hospital Race Day will be held at Bay Meadows on Saturday, April 16, 1938; and be it

Further Resolved, That this Board respectfully suggests that our fellow citizens lend their support to this cause.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### **Citizens' Committee, California Youths' Model Legislature.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 3876, as follows:

Whereas, the California Youth's Model Legislature will convene in the Civic Auditorium, for a three-day session, commencing May 6th, 1938; and

Whereas, the movement sponsored by this group is a laudable one and will inevitably redound to public advantage; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee to assist in every way possible in the plans for and conduct of the California Youth's Model Legislature.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.



**Leave of Absence, Supervisor Arthur M. Brown.**

(Code No. 4.053)

On recommendation of his Honor the Mayor:

Resolution No. 3879, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, that Honorable Arthur M. Brown, Jr., a member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of three (3) weeks, commencing March 29, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Designating Delegates to National Convention of American Legion.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 3877, as follows:

Whereas, San Francisco Police Post No. 456 of the American Legion consists of members of the San Francisco Police Department who are veterans of the World War; and

Whereas, Service Post No. 97 of the American Legion consists of members of the San Francisco Fire Department who are veterans of the World War; and

Whereas, each of the aforementioned posts have uniformed bodies consisting of band, drum and bugle corps and drill team, who are desirous of attending the National Convention of the American Legion to be held in Los Angeles, California, during the month of September, 1938, which event will attract great numbers of people from all parts of the United States; and

Whereas, the Golden Gate International Exposition is to be held in San Francisco during the year 1939, and the people of San Francisco are desirous of advertising our fair City to Legionnaires and visitors who will attend said American Legion Convention at Los Angeles; and

Whereas, the uniformed organizations of the above mentioned posts, have, by their attendance at former conventions, received good and lasting comment of their activities from the newspapers, both reportorially and editorially, along the entire Pacific Coast, redounding to the good of the City of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the San Francisco County Council of the American Legion requests the Board of Supervisors to designate by resolution that San Francisco Police Post No. 456 and Service Post No. 97, be the official representatives of this City at the National Convention of the American Legion to be held in Los Angeles, September 19, 20, 21, 22 and 23, 1938; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors in regular session assembled, hereby designate said San Francisco Police Post No. 456 and said Service Post No. 97, to be the official representatives of the City and County of San Francisco at the National Convention of the American Legion to be held in Los Angeles, California, on the dates mentioned herein.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Requesting the Mayor to Hold Conference on W. P. A. Projects.**

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Brown presented:

Resolution No. 3880, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be respectfully requested to arrange a conference between the heads of the various City depart-

ments, the Controller, Dr. Gray of the Public Welfare Department, Mr. Clyde Healy of the Department of Public Works and representatives of the various groups of those employed on W. P. A., and those seeking work, for the purpose of stimulating employment by the sponsoring of new projects for which Federal funds may become available.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **Relative to Payment of Maintenance Cost of Golden Gate Bridge by the State.**

Supervisor Reilly moved that the Clerk be directed to inquire from the attorney of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, as to the possibility of obtaining the \$240,000 maintenance cost of the Golden Gate Bridge from the State.

*Motion carried.*

#### **Financial Statement Requested From Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District.**

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District be requested to give to the Board a financial statement as to the receipts and disbursements from the day it opened to March 1 of this year; also give date request was made of State authorities for maintenance cost of the Golden Gate Bridge.

*Motion carried.*

#### **Copies of Housing Legislation to Be Obtained From Sacramento.**

Supervisor Roncovieri requested that the Clerk send to Sacramento for eleven or twelve copies of recently enacted legislation re appointment of commission for slum clearance, new housing, etc.

*So ordered.*

#### **In Memoriam, Mrs. Martin Fay.**

Supervisor Reilly moved that the Board adjourn out of respect to the memory of the late Mrs. Martin Fay, and that a letter expressing the sympathy of the Board be sent to her family.

*Motion adopted unanimously by rising vote.*

#### **Shaffer Rapid Transit Plans to Be Transmitted to Citizens' Committee.**

Supervisor Schmidt requested that the Clerk ask the Public Utilities Commission to forward the "Cleve Shaffer" various rapid transit proposals which have heretofore been presented to the Commission to the Citizens' Committee on Rapid Transit.

*So ordered.*

#### **Meeting Announcements.**

Streets Committee, March 30, 2:30 p. m.

Health Committee, April 1, 10:15 a. m.

Building Committee, March 31, 4 p. m.

Judiciary Committee, March 30, 3 p. m.

Finance and Judiciary (Salary Standardization), April 1, 2:30 p. m.

#### **ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board of Supervisors at 5:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.



Approved by the Board of Supervisors, April 4, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Journal of Proceedings  
Board of Supervisors





Vol. 33

No. 15

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

Monday, April 4, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, APRIL 4, 1938, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, April 4, 1938,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown (on leave).

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of March 28, 1938, was considered read and approved.

## Hearing of Protests—Street Work.

Hearing of protests of all persons interested in the assessment, diagram or warrant issued for the cost of the payment for the improvement of the southerly intersection of Barneveld avenue and Ricard street by the construction of pavement, etc. E. J. Treacey, contractor.

The foregoing hearing was announced and there being *no protest* the Clerk was directed to so notify the Department of Public Works.

## Hearing Protests Against Grade Change, Athens Street Between Excelsior and Avalon Streets.

Hearing of protests against the proposed change and establishment of grades on Athens street between Excelsior and Avalon streets.

## Adopted.

Whereupon the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

## Overruling Protest Against Grade Change on Athens Street Between Excelsior and Avalon Streets.

(Code No. 12.072)

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Resolution No. 3889, as follows:

Resolved, That the protest of Rex E. Birch et al. against the change and establishment of grades on Athens street, between Excelsior and Avalon streets, filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, March 11th, 1938, be and is hereby overruled.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Brown, Mead, Reilly—3.

### Hearing Protests Against Grade Change, Army Street Between Diamond and Castro Streets.

Hearing of protests against the proposed change and establishment of grades on Army street between Diamond and Castro streets.

**Adopted.**

### Sustaining Protest Against Grade Change on Army Street Between Diamond and Castro Streets.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

(Code No. 12.072)

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Resolution No. 3890, as follows:

Resolved, That the protest of Mrs. Annie T. O'Donnell against the change and establishment of grades on Army street between Diamond and Castro streets, filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, March 12th, 1938, be and is hereby sustained.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Brown, Mead, Reilly—3.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS

### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

### Appropriation of \$1,000 for Photographic and Photostatic Equipment, Bureau of Engineering.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1545, Ordinance No. 9.051461, as follows:

Authorizing supplemental appropriation of one thousand (\$1,000) dollars out of surplus existing in Appropriation No. 740.995.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 740.400.00, for the purpose of purchasing photostatic and photographic equipment for the Bureau of Engineering Photostatic Department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of one thousand (\$1,000) dollars is hereby appropriated and set aside out of surplus existing in Appropriation No. 740.995.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 740.400.00, for the purpose of purchasing photostatic and photographic equipment for the Bureau of Engineering Photostatic Department.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Appropriation of \$73,000 for Cost of Increased Service on Municipal Railway.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1546, Ordinance No. 9.051462, as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$73,000 out of the surplus of the Municipal Railway Operative Fund to defray the cost of increasing service on the Municipal Railway.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:



Section 1. In order to accommodate increased passenger travel with consequent increase in revenue, anticipated for the remaining portion of the fiscal year on the Municipal Railway, and pursuant to Resolution No. 2528 of the Public Utilities Commission, there is hereby appropriated the sum of \$73,000 out of the surplus of the Municipal Railway Operative Fund to be used for the purpose herein stated, and crediting the said sum to the following appropriations in the amounts specified:

Appropriation No. 765.103.00—Wages, \$30,000;  
 Appropriation No. 765.200.00—Contractual services, \$5,000;  
 Appropriation No. 765.300.00—Materials and supplies, \$5,000;  
 Appropriation No. 765.231.00—Heat-light-power, \$30,000; and  
 Appropriation No. 765.102.00—Temporary salaries, \$3,000.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### **Spur Track—Walkup Drayage and Warehouse Company.**

(Code No. 12.20)

The following recommendation of Streets Committee matter was taken up:

Bill No. 1548, Ordinance No. 12.2022, as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Walkup Drayage and Warehouse Company, to lay down, construct, maintain and operate standard gauge spur tracks in Hawthorne street between Howard and Folsom streets, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors is hereby granted to Walkup Drayage and Warehouse Company, to lay down, construct, maintain and operate standard gauge spur tracks along and upon Hawthorne street between Howard and Folsom streets, said locations being more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Track No. 1. Beginning at a point in the existing track in the center of Hawthorne street approximately 113 feet northerly from the northerly line of Folsom street, thence northerly on a curve to the right to a point in the easterly line of Hawthorne street approximately 203 feet northerly from the northerly line of Folsom street, thence into private property.

Track No. 2. Beginning at a point in the existing track in the center of Hawthorne street approximately 126 feet northerly from the northerly line of Folsom street, thence northerly along the center line of Hawthorne street to a point that is 155 feet southerly from the southerly line of Howard street, thence continuing northerly on a curve to the right to a point that is nine (9) feet westerly from the easterly line of Hawthorne street and 75 feet southerly from the southerly line of Howard street.

Provided that said spur tracks shall be laid under the supervision of and to the lines and grades as provided by the Bureau of Engineering, Department of Public Works, and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the tracks, restoration of the pavement, and any additional requirements for surface drainage are to be paid for by the Walkup Drayage and Warehouse Company.

Provided further, that girder rail is to be installed within the lines of the street; all drainage intercepted by the tracks is to be provided for by constructing catchbasins and connecting the same with the sewer and where the tracks are over or cross over the existing sewer, the sewer shall be reinforced where necessary.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance

No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

## NEW BUSINESS

### Adopted.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

#### Land Purchase—Nineteenth Avenue.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Resolution No. 3882, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain parcels of land situated in San Francisco, California, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, and that the sums set forth below be paid for said property from Appropriation No. 951.908.57, Project No. 5-A:

James P. Johnson, et ux., portion of Lot 37, Assessor's Block 1835 .....	\$2,500
Edmond Haynes, et ux., portion of Lot 38, Assessor's Block 1835 .....	2,500
J. Scott Leary, et ux., portion of Lot 39, Assessor's Block 1835 .....	2,500

The above sums include damages in full to the improvements now located on the property, said improvements to be relocated by the grantors within thirty days after recording the deeds to the City.

Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above-named parties for particular descriptions of said parcels of land.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### Authorizing Extension of Agreement Between City and County of San Francisco and Louise B. Price.

(Code No. 15.034)

Also, Resolution No. 3883, as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco, under authority of Resolution No. 25034 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, accepted a certain deed dated January 26, 1926, from R. L. Price and Louise B. Price, his wife, to a subsurface easement for a portion of the Foothill Tunnel of the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct; and

Whereas, said deed provides that under certain conditions if the natural flow of water in any springs on the land of the grantor shall disappear or diminish the City shall furnish the grantor a continuous supply of water sufficient for the domestic irrigation and stock watering requirements of the grantor; and



Whereas, said deed provides a time limit for the ascertainment of the amount of any damage which may be caused by the disappearance or diminution of the natural flow of water in said springs; and

Whereas, said time limit was extended to April 1, 1938, by Resolution No. 3242 of this Board; and

Whereas, R. L. Price is deceased and said Louise B. Price has requested a further extension of said time limit to April 1, 1939; and

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission has recommended an extension of said time limit to April 1, 1939; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a written agreement between the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and Louise B. Price, extending said time limit of said deed to April 1, 1939, subject to all conditions contained in said deed, except as expressly modified in said agreement.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Authorizing Quitclaim Deed to Robert E. Hale, Portion of Old  
Pilarcitos Pipe Line Right of Way.**

(Code No. 15.0242)

Also, Resolution No. 3884, as follows:

Whereas, the San Francisco Water Department has long since abandoned the right of way over the herein mentioned real property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute a quitclaim deed relinquishing to Robert E. Hale, or his assignee, whatever interest, if any, the City and County of San Francisco, as successor in interest to Spring Valley Water Company, may own in a certain water pipe line easement over a portion of Lot No. 9, Block "L," Railroad Homestead Association, San Francisco, California.

The above mentioned easement was conveyed to Spring Valley Water Company by E. H. Payson, et al., by deed dated July 8, 1910, and recorded July 11, 1910, Book 421 of Deeds, page 200, Official Records of San Francisco. The portion of the Pilarcitos Pipe Line, formerly located on said easement, has been removed from said property and relocated in the adjoining public streets.

Said portion of Lot No. 9 owned by Robert E. Hale is more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Farallones street, distant thereon 280 feet westerly from the westerly line of Capitol avenue; running thence westerly and along said line of Farallones street 50 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 125 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 50 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 125 feet to the point of commencement.

To defray the cost of preparing the necessary papers, the Director of Property shall collect \$5 from the owner of said property before delivering the quitclaim deed.

Approved as to form by Dion R. Holm, Deputy City Attorney.

Approved by Joseph J. Phillips, Director of Property.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**City Attorney to Settle Damage Claim of Minnie Landis and  
Virginia Landis Rajewski and A. W. Eschler.**

(Code No. 6.0222)

Also, Resolution No. 3885, as follows:

Whereas, on the 20th day of November, 1937, and on the 9th day of December, 1937, due to the backing-up and overflowing of the sewer leading to the premises known as 927A Church street, San Francisco, said premises being occupied by Minnie Landis and Virginia Landis Rajewski, tenants, causing damage to personal property of said tenants in the sum of three hundred (\$300) dollars and compelling said tenants to vacate said premises; and

Whereas, A. W. Eschler, the owner of said premises, sustained damages to his said property in the sum of one hundred fifty (\$150) dollars; and

Whereas, the City Attorney believes that there is liability on the part of the City and County of San Francisco and has entered into an agreement with the respective parties subject to approval and compromise of their respective claims against the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be, and he is, authorized and empowered to settle the aforesaid claim of Minnie Landis and Virginia Landis Rajewski for the sum of three hundred (\$300) dollars and the claim of A. W. Eschler, the landlord, for the sum of one hundred fifty (\$150) dollars; and the Controller is requested to issue warrants in said sums to said claimants in full settlement.

Approved by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney, by Robt. McMahon, Deputy City Attorney.

Approved by W. H. Worden, Director of Public Works.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Appropriation of \$2,051 for Removal of Dead Whale From Point  
Lobos Beach.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1550, Ordinance No. 9.051465, as follows:

Authorizing an appropriation of \$2,051 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 702.900.00-2 to pay the cost of the removal of a dead whale from Point Lobos Beach.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,051 is hereby appropriated out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 702.900.00-2 to pay the cost of the removal of a dead whale from Point Lobos Beach which threatened the health and welfare of a large number of our citizens.

Section 2. The payment of the emergency obligations incurred in connection therewith are hereby authorized.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Recommended by W. H. Worden, Director of Public Works.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.



**Final Passage.**

The following emergency bill, recommended by the Finance Committee, was taken up:

**Appropriation of \$17,000, Transfers From Emergency Reserve.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1551, Ordinance No. 9.051465, as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$17,000 from the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 702.900.00 to the credit of the following appropriations in the amounts and for the purposes indicated:

Appropriation No. 738.200.00, \$94, Bureau of Building Repair, Contractual Services.

Appropriation No. 738.319.00, \$258, Bureau of Building Repair, Engine Room Supplies.

Appropriation No. 742.300.00, \$633, Bureau of Street Cleaning, Materials and Supplies.

Appropriation No. 742.900.00, \$258, Bureau of Street Cleaning, Service of Other Departments.

Appropriation No. 743.103.00, \$1,952, Bureau of Sewer Repair, Per Diem Wages.

Appropriation No. 743.300.00, \$4,311, Bureau of Sewer Repair, Materials and Supplies.

Appropriation No. 743.900.00, \$1,932, Bureau of Sewer Repair, Service of Other Departments.

Appropriation No. 733.318.36, \$19, Director's Office, Gasoline and Oil.

Appropriation No. 733.318.37, \$155, Bureau of Accounts, Timekeeping Division, Gasoline and Oil.

Appropriation No. 733.318.40, \$103, Bureau of Engineering, Gasoline and Oil.

Appropriation No. 733.318.42, \$1,753, Bureau of Street Cleaning, Gasoline and Oil.

Appropriation No. 733.318.43, \$283, Bureau of Sewer Repair, Gasoline and Oil.

Appropriation No. 733.337.42, \$1,000, Bureau of Street Cleaning, Tires and Tubes.

Appropriation No. 733.209.40, \$112, Bureau of Engineering, Shop Expense.

Appropriation No. 733.209.42, \$2,332, Bureau of Street Cleaning, Shop Expense.

Appropriation No. 733.209.43, \$1,805, Bureau of Sewer Repair, Shop being necessary for the operation of the above mentioned bureaus. An emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$17,000 is hereby set aside from the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 702.900.00 to the credit of the following appropriations:

Appropriation No. 738.200.00, \$94, Bureau of Building Repair, Contractual Services.

Appropriation No. 738.319.00, \$258, Bureau of Building Repair, Engine Room Supplies.

Appropriation No. 742.300.00, \$633, Bureau of Street Cleaning, Materials and Supplies.

Appropriation No. 742.900.00, \$258, Bureau of Street Cleaning, Service of Other Departments.

Appropriation No. 743.103.00, \$1,952, Bureau of Sewer Repair, Per Diem Wages.

Appropriation No. 743.300.00, \$4,311, Bureau of Sewer Repair, Materials and Supplies.

Appropriation No. 743.900.00, \$1,932, Bureau of Sewer Repair, Service of Other Departments.

Appropriation No. 733.318.36, \$19, Director's Office, Gasoline and Oil.

Appropriation No. 733.318.37, \$155, Bureau of Accounts, Timekeeping Division, Gasoline and Oil.

Appropriation No. 733.318.40, \$103, Bureau of Engineering, Gasoline and Oil.

Appropriation No. 733.318.42, \$1,753, Bureau of Street Cleaning, Gasoline and Oil.

Appropriation No. 733.318.43, \$283, Bureau of Sewer Repair, Gasoline and Oil.

Appropriation No. 733.337.42, \$1,000, Bureau of Street Cleaning, Tires and Tubes.

Appropriation No. 733.209.40, \$112, Bureau of Engineering, Shop Expense.

Appropriation No. 733.209.42, \$2,332, Bureau of Street Cleaning, Shop Expense.

Appropriation No. 733.209.43, \$1,805, Bureau of Sewer Repair, Shop Expense.

being necessary for the operation of the above mentioned bureaus.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists and funds must be immediately provided for the uninterrupted operation of the Bureaus of the Department of Public Works hereinabove enumerated.

Approved by W. H. Worden, Director of Public Works.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Adopted.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

### Approval Supplemental Recommendations, Public Welfare Department, for April, 1938.

(Code No. 19.02)

Resolution No. 3886, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Public Welfare Department, containing the additional names of persons and amounts to be paid as Old Age Security and Blind Pensions and Widows' Pensions for the month of April, 1938, and also denials, are hereby approved, and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is directed to transmit this approval to the Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Cancellation of Tax Sale and Assessments.

(Code Nos. 9.0411 and 9.0412)

Also, Resolution No. 3887, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that Lot 1A, Block 2435, was transferred to the Veterans' Welfare Board, September 23, 1931, but through error was not so recorded on the assessment roll until 1937; and

Whereas, said property, Lot 1A, Block 2435, was sold to the State



for delinquent taxes on September 23, 1933, and that being State property, the sale and assessments should be cancelled, as follows:

<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Assessed to</i>	<i>Sale</i>	<i>Year</i>	<i>Tax</i>	<i>Del. Pen.</i>	<i>Cost</i>
1A	2435	T. J. Sullivan	3034	1932	\$72.86	\$5.83	\$0.50
				1933	58.82		
				1934	65.30		
				1935	62.22		
				1936	63.94		
				1937	65.42		

and

Whereas, the City Attorney has consented thereto; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be and is hereby authorized to cancel said sale and assessments in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code of the State of California.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### Passed for Second Reading

The following recommendation of Public Health Committee was taken up:

#### Amending Private Ambulance Ordinance.

(Code No. 17.13)

Bill No. 1552, Ordinance No. 17.132, as follows:

Amending Section 5 of Bill No. 456, Ordinance No. 17.131, entitled "Regulating the Use of Private Ambulances in the City and County of San Francisco; Defining the Term Ambulance; Providing for the Issuance, Transfer and Revocation of Permits Therefor; Fixing Minimum and Maximum Rates to Be Charged for Transportation of Persons in Private Ambulances, and for Service Connected Therewith; Providing for Rules and Regulations to Be Issued by the Director of Public Health; Authorizing the Director of Public Health to Inspect, Investigate and Control Operation and Maintenance of All Private Ambulances; Providing Fees to Be Paid for Permits For, and Signs Permitted On, Private Ambulances; Restricting Use of Private Ambulances and Permitting Exclusive Color Schemes Thereof: Authorizing the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco to Enforce the Provisions of This Ordinance and His Rules and Regulations and Providing Penalties for the Violation Thereof."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 5 of Bill No. 456, Ordinance No. 17.131, the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 5. Subdivision 1. No person, firm or corporation owning, operating or controlling any private ambulance shall charge other minimum rates than in this section provided, nor maximum rates in excess of \$1 over such minimum rates.

Subdivision 2. The district within the City and County of San Francisco hereinafter described, shall for the purpose of this ordinance be known as the "First District." Such district is bounded and more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at the intersection of The Embarcadero and Broadway, thence along the northerly and easterly waterfront to Channel street, thence along Channel street to Division street, thence along Division street to Potrero avenue, along Potrero avenue to San Bruno avenue, thence along San Bruno avenue to Cortland avenue, along Cortland avenue to Mission street, thence to Thirtieth street, thence

westerly on Thirtieth street to Twin Peaks, along the easterly and northerly sides of Twin Peaks to the outer edge of Sutro Forest to Lawton street, thence west on Lawton street to Tenth avenue, thence northerly along Tenth avenue to the waterline, thence easterly to the point of commencement. Within the said district both sides of all streets named are included.

The charge for transport from any one place to any other place within said First District shall be \$5 during the day time period and \$6 during the night time period.

For the purpose of this ordinance 7 a. m. to 7 p. m. shall be deemed day time and 7 p. m. to 7 a. m. night time.

Subdivision 3. All other territory within the City and County of San Francisco outside of the area described in the "First District" shall, for the purpose of this ordinance, be known as the "Second District."

The charge for transport from any place in the City and County of San Francisco to or from any place in the Second District shall be \$6 during the day time and \$7 during the night time period.

No commissions, rebates or allowances of any kind shall be made to any person, firm, corporation or association whatsoever except that 25 per cent discount may be made to clinics and hospital benevolent associations, directly operated by a hospital in the City and County of San Francisco; provided, however, that orders for same must come direct from the departments of hospitals or from the doctors in charge thereof.

Waiting time of ambulance and crew at point of call or discharge in excess of ten minutes shall be at the rate of \$5 per hour calculated in quarter hour periods.

X-ray or treatment cases where ambulance is held for the return trip a charge for delay of ambulance and crew shall be at the rate of \$5 per hour; provided, however, that an allowance of twenty minutes shall be made without charge where ambulance and crew is so held.

Where one ambulance is used for double-stretcher cases the charge shall be a one and one-half rate.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### City Attorney to Intervene and Oppose Increase in Street Car Fares.

(Code No. 6.0229)

The following recommendation of the Judiciary, Legislative and Civil Service Committee was taken up:

Resolution No. 3886, as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney is hereby directed to intervene in the matter of the application of the Market Street Railway Company before the Railroad Commission of the State of California, in its request for permission to increase the car fare from 5 to 7 cents; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby instructed to oppose said application for any increase in street car fares now charged by the Market Street Railway Company in the City and County of San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and is hereby instructed vigorously to oppose any attempt to zone for street car fares in connection with the application before the Railroad Commission for permission to increase the street car fare.



**Motion.**

Supervisor Colman moved re-reference to the Judiciary Committee for the purpose of holding a hearing and getting more detailed information as to the effect of the proposed fare increase on the Municipal Railway to be furnished by Messrs. Cahill and Ellis.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisor Colman—1.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Adopted.**

Whereupon, the roll was called and the resolution *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

**Changing and Establishing Grades on Athens Street Between  
Avalon and Excelsior Avenues.**

(Code No. 12.0722)

Bill No. 1553, Ordinance No. 12.072234, as follows:

Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Athens street between Avalon and Excelsior avenues.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 7th day of February, 1938, by Resolution No. 3790, declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Athens street between Avalon and Excelsior avenues.

Whereas, said Resolution was so published for ten days, and the Director of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said Resolution of Intention caused notices of the passage of said Resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the Resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days have elapsed since the first publication of said Resolution of Intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

<i>Athens Street:</i>	<i>Feet</i>
Westerly line of, at Avalon avenue.....	367
(The same being the present official grade)	
Easterly line of, at Avalon avenue.....	369
(The same being the present official grade)	
Westerly curb line of, at the southerly end of the curb return to Avalon avenue.....	367.36
Easterly curb line of, at the southerly end of the curb return to Avalon avenue.....	368.07
Easterly curb line of, 100 feet southerly from Avalon avenue..	366.64
Westerly curb line of, 200 feet northerly from Excelsior avenue.	364.38
Easterly curb line of, 200 feet northerly from Excelsior avenue.	364.38
Easterly curb line of, 45.61 feet northerly from Excelsior ave- nue . . . . .	345.12
Easterly curb line of, 18.17 feet southerly from the northerly end of the curb return to Excelsior avenue.....	341.27
Westerly line of, at Excelsior avenue.....	339.00
(The same being the present official grade)	

*Athens Street—Continued**Feet*

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Excelsior avenue northerly line .....	339.43
(The same being the present official grade)	
Easterly line of, at Excelsior avenue.....	342
(The same being the present official grade)	

On Athens street between Avalon and Excelsior avenues be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

These proceedings are instituted in accordance with the "Change of Grade Act of 1909". The San Francisco News is hereby designated as the newspaper in which this resolution shall be published.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades.

The Director of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this Resolution of Intention.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Delegates Authorized and Provided For to Attend Meeting of  
Western Division of National Recreation Association.

(Code No. 23.041)

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 3891, as follows:

Whereas, in the 1937-1938 Budget provision was made for traveling expenses for representatives of the Recreation Commission to attend the meeting of the National Recreation Association, both national and regional; and

Whereas, a meeting of the Western Division of the National Recreation Association has been called and scheduled to be held in Salt Lake City, Utah, on April 13th, 14th and 15, 1938; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That Josephine D. Randall, Superintendent of the Recreation Department of the City and County of San Francisco, and James Lang, Superintendent of Athletics, be, and they are, hereby authorized to attend said meeting of said Western Division of said National Recreation Association to be held in Salt Lake City on April 13th, 14th and 15th, 1938, and to represent the Recreation Department of the City and County of San Francisco at said meeting, and that their expenses of attending said meeting shall, in accordance with the provisions of Section 219 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and Ordinance No. 9.0565 heretofore adopted by this Board of Supervisors, be made a charge against Recreation Department Appropriation Contractual Fund No. 713.200.00.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**In Memoriam: Mrs. Maryla Spoor.**

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 3892, as follows:

Whereas, Almighty God, in his omniscience, has summoned to her



eternal reward, Maryla Spoor, beloved wife of Ralph L. Spoor, for many years an attache of this Board of Supervisors; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors notes with sincere regret of the passing of Maryla Spoor and takes this opportunity to express its heartfelt sympathy to Mr. Spoor and the relatives of Mrs. Spoor; and be it

Further Resolved, That when this Board of Supervisors adjourns this day, it does so out of respect to the memory of the late Maryla Spoor; and be it

Further Resolved, That a suitably engrossed copy of this resolution be sent to Mr. Ralph L. Spoor.

*Adopted unanimously by a rising vote.*

**Governor to Intervene With California State Fair Commission for Appropriation of \$500,000 to Complete Livestock Pavilion, Agricultural District No. 1A.**

(Code No. 18.06)

Resolution No. 3893, as follows:

Whereas, San Francisco has two hundred and fifty thousand dollars invested in the Livestock Pavilion under the jurisdiction of Agricultural District No. 9, and said appropriation was unanimously passed by the Board upon the declaration filed with this Board by the Directors of our forthcoming International Exposition, that they intended to hold livestock at said pavilion during the World's Fair as per communication attached hereto; and

Whereas, thereafter the California Legislature passed a measure appropriating five million dollars for said Exposition purposes, with the distinct understanding that one-half million of same would be set up for completion of building and the holding of a livestock show on the site of the pavilion at Visitacion Valley; and further, that an agreement was signed between the Exposition officials and the Directors of Agricultural District No. 1 to that effect; furthermore, his Excellency, Governor Merriam in appointing the California State Fair Commission, charged that Committee to keep said pledges and expressed his own opinion that the livestock pavilion and grounds should and must be completed; and

Whereas, the said State Commission has apparently decided to appropriate money to hold the livestock exhibit at Treasure Island in temporary buildings and has appropriated the sum of two hundred and seventy-five thousand dollars for that purpose; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors respectfully requests his Excellency, Governor Merriam, to intervene with said State Commission to the end that agreements solemnly made will be kept; that the livestock show will be held where promised and the southern part of San Francisco and this community be much benefited thereby; and be it

Further Resolved, That upon the adoption of this resolution or motion to the same effect, that copies of this resolution be forwarded to the members of the State Commission on World's Fair and to the directors of the Golden Gate International Exposition.

*Referred to Exposition Affairs and Industrial Development Committee.*

**In Memoriam: John P. Flanagan.**

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 3894, as follows:

Whereas, Almighty God has summoned John P. Flanagan to the Halls of Eternal Peace; and

Whereas, John P. Flanagan was president of the Home Laundry, an

outstanding business leader in this community, a model citizen, a loving husband and father; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors learns with sincere regret of the passing of Mr. John P. Flanagan and takes this opportunity to extend its condolences to his bereaved wife and family; and be it

Further Resolved, That when this Board of Supervisors adjourns this day, it does so out of respect to the memory of the late John P. Flanagan; and be it

Further Resolved, That a suitably engrossed copy of this resolution be sent to the family of the late John P. Flanagan.

Supervisor Colman also expressed his regard for Mr. Flanagan, a man of splendid character, high principle and sound integrity, and extended his condolences to the family of the deceased.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was *adopted unanimously by rising vote.*

**Mayor to Appoint Citizens' Committee to Celebrate Diamond Jubilee of the Founding of St. Mary's College.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 3895, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee to co-operate in the Diamond Jubilee Celebration, commemorating the founding of St. Mary's College.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Relative to 5-Cent Fare to Golden Gate International Exposition.**

(Code No. 5.95)

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 3896, as follows:

Whereas, when President Leland W. Cutler of the Exposition Company and other proponents of the so-called Yerba Buena Shoals exposition site in San Francisco Bay were seeking approval by the Board of Supervisors for use of the site by the Exposition Company for the Golden Gate International Exposition, they made the pledge and promise that if approval were voted the Exposition Company would establish a rate of transportation between San Francisco and the site not in excess of the street car fare of five cents for which visitors could attend the Exposition were it located at a site on the mainland of San Francisco; and

Whereas, when the selection and preference of a site was submitted to the electors of San Francisco for their decision, the Board of Supervisors submitted the selection and preference with the understanding and promise that the fare to the shoals site, were it selected and preferred, would not be more than the street car fare of five cents each way, for which amount visitors could attend the Exposition were it located at a site on the mainland of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors made such promise relying on the promises of the Exposition Company; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does formally request and demand that the Exposition Company respect the pledges and promises made of a five cent fare and keep faith with the Board of Supervisors and the citizens of San Francisco, and by so doing enable the Board of Supervisors to make good its promise to the voters and citizens of San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be transmitted to the Exposition Company, Leland W. Cutler, president; the State Rail-



road Commission, the Governor of California and all other authorities concerned in establishing the rate of transportation between the mainland of San Francisco and Treasure Island and the Golden Gate International Exposition.

*Referred to Exposition Affairs and Commercial Development Committee.*

**Leave of Absence—J. H. Threlkeld, President Board of Trustees, San Francisco War Memorial.**

(Code No. 4.053)

On recommendation of his Honor the Mayor:

Resolution No. 3897, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, J. H. Threlkeld, President of the Board of Trustees, San Francisco War Memorial, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of ten days, commencing March 30, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Leave of Absence—Mrs. Edwin R. Sheldon, Member Board of Education.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 3898, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mrs. Edwin R. Sheldon, member of the Board of Education, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of four months, commencing April 29, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Recess—Good Friday.**

(Code No. 4.054)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 3899, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor is hereby requested to declare a recess on Good Friday, April 15, 1938, between the hours of 12 noon and 3 o'clock p. m., to permit employees of the City who desire to participate in religious exercises that day to do so between the hours mentioned.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Knights of Columbus Benefit.**

The following were read by the Clerk:

Communication from San Francisco Chapter, Knights of Columbus, inviting donations for its Monster Charity Whist and Bridge Party, Friday evening, April 29, 1938, at Knights of Columbus Building, 150 Golden Gate avenue.

Ordered *filed*.

**Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District Financial Statement.**

Communication from Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District stating that its books are open for inspection during business hours and that it will gladly furnish any financial statements published and special statements required must be paid for by the Board of Supervisors.

*Referred to Supervisor McSheehy.*

**Increased Service for Municipal Railway.**

Communication from E. G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities, as to use of \$73,000 appropriation for increased service for Municipal Railway.

*Ordered filed.*

**Appointment of Frederick J. Koster to Board of War Memorial Trustees.**

Communication from his Honor, the Mayor, notice of appointment of Frederick J. Koster as member of Board of Trustees of the War Memorial, for the unexpired term of Charles J. Kendrick, resigned.

On motion by Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Roncovieri, the appointment was *confirmed*.

**Supervisor Ratto Appointed Delegate to Meeting of Shoreline Highway Association.**

Following reading of communication from Chamber of Commerce, Fort Bragg, Supervisor Colman moved that Supervisor Ratto be delegated to meeting at Fort Bragg, April 7, 1938, at 7 p. m., of the Shoreline Highway Association.

*Motion carried.*

**Radio Broadcast—"Your San Francisco."**

Communication from Junior Chamber of Commerce re KSFO Broadcasters "Your San Francisco" enterprise and requesting that departmental representatives be appointed.

On motion duly made and *carried* President Shannon was delegated to respond and given full power to act.

**Meetings Announced.**

Exposition Committee, April 5, 10 a. m.

Health Committee, April 13, 10:15 a. m.

**RECESS.**

There being no further business the Board of Supervisors at 5 p. m. took a recess until tomorrow at 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, April 11, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.









SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

Tuesday, April 5, 1938

Monday, April 11, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



THE  
 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
 OF THE COUNTY OF ALBANY

IN SENATE

APRIL 21, 1871

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

1871



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

TUESDAY, APRIL 5, 1938, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Tuesday, April 5, 1938,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors re-assembled pursuant to recess of Monday, April 4, 1938, for the purpose of giving consideration to Resolution No. 38, heretofore presented by Supervisors Ratto and Uhl requesting the Governor to intervene with the California State Fair Commission for a \$500,000 appropriation for Live Stock Show, Agricultural District No. 1A at Visitation Valley.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Schmidt, Uhl—4.

Supervisors Brown, Mead, Schmidt, Uhl excused—on leave.

Supervisor Reilly requested to be excused at 3:30 p. m.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

## DISCUSSION.

President Shannon explained in detail the proceedings had in the Exposition Affairs Committee this morning.

After discussion participated in by members of the Board of Supervisors and Frank McLaughlin, representing the Exposition Company; C. H. Sooy, president of Agricultural District No. 1A, and Andrew J. Gallagher, it was agreed to defer action until Friday at 4 p. m., when the Exposition Affairs Committee will meet again to consider this resolution, at which meeting Mr. McLaughlin offered to submit copies of the minutes of the California State Fair Co. at which this matter was determined.

At suggestion of Mr. Gallagher and on motion of Supervisor Roncovieri, the following was *adopted* by the following vote:

"That the officials of the San Francisco Bay Exposition, Inc., be respectfully requested not to sign any contracts involving the expenditure of the \$275,000 appropriation for live stock exhibition on the Shoals pending action of the Board of Supervisors on the Resolution of Supervisor Ratto, which will be considered in committee of the whole as a special order of business at 2:30 p. m. next Monday, April 11, 1938."

The roll was called with the following result:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Meyer, Roncovieri, Shannon—4,

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Ratto—2,

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—5,  
and the presiding officer declared the Motion carried.

MONDAY, APRIL 11, 1938.

Whereupon, Supervisor Colman raised to the point of order that the Motion did not carry on the ground, he declared, that four votes cannot bind eleven members of the Board of Supervisors. The Chair thereupon ruled the point of order not well taken.

Whereupon, Supervisor Colman requested that the City Attorney be asked for his opinion as to the correctness of the ruling of President Shannon.

#### Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the subject matter was laid over for further hearing in Committee on Friday and final consideration in the Board of Supervisors sitting as a Committee of the Whole at 2:30 p. m. Monday, April 11, 1938.

The Clerk was thereupon directed to write Leland Cutler and Florence McAuliffe of the Exposition Co. informing them of the action of the Board of Supervisors requesting that no contracts be signed pending further action of the Board.

#### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board of Supervisors at the hour of 4 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

---

#### MONDAY, APRIL 11, 1938, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, April 11, 1938, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

#### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown (on leave)—1.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

#### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of April 11, 1938, was considered read and approved.

Mrs. Florence Turner, State Department of Social Welfare,  
Presented.

Mrs. Florence Turner, representing the State Department of Social Welfare, was presented to the Board of Supervisors and introduced to each of its members by President Shannon.

Mrs. Turner wished the Board of Supervisors to know that the State Department is ready at all times to co-operate with San Francisco in the solution of social welfare problems, that it is not a dictatorial body, but that it has certain rules and regulations imposed upon it by law which must be enforced. It cannot have one rule for San Francisco County and another for other cities and counties of the State.



## SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P.M.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

## Final Passage.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

**Appropriation, \$2,400, from Emergency Reserve Fund, for  
Emergency Hospitals.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1549, Ordinance No. 9.051463, as follows:

Appropriating twenty-four hundred dollars (\$2,400) out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the Emergency Hospitals; twelve hundred dollars (\$1,200) to the credit of Appropriation 754.200.00; six hundred fifty dollars (\$650) to the credit of Appropriation 754.300.00; five hundred fifty dollars (\$550) to the credit of Appropriation 733.318.54.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of twenty-four hundred dollars (\$2,400) is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of the following appropriations for the purposes and in the amounts indicated:

Contractual Services: Appropriation 754.200.00.....	\$1,200
Materials and Supplies: Appropriation 754.300.00.....	650
Gasoline: Appropriation 733.318.54.....	550

Section 2. This appropriation is made necessary to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the Emergency Hospitals.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

## NEW BUSINESS.

## Adopted.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

**Islais Creek Reclamation District Warrants.**

(Code No. 12.04)

Resolution No. 3900, as follows:

Be It Resolved, That the following warrants of Islais Creek Reclamation District: No. 825, to Reinhart Lumber & Planing Mill Company, for \$385.12; No. 826, to Wm. Taaffe & Co., Edward J. Taaffe and Page Taaffe, for \$179.72, and No. 827, to The San Francisco News, for \$7.66; payable out of the funds of said district, be and the same are hereby approved; and that the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as Chairman of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and the Clerk of said Board be and they are hereby authorized and directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Refunds of Erroneous Payment of Taxes.

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 3901, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized, to be paid to the following named, being refunds of erroneous and duplicate payments of taxes:

*From Duplicate Tax Fund—Appropriation No. 905.*

- |   |         |
|---|---------|
| (1) Sol Schwartz, per Vol. 7, Bill 1573, Lot 2, Block 1134, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937.....            | \$24.19 |
| (2) L. S. Page, per Vol. 9, Bill 2418, Lot 11, Block 1417, 2d installment, fiscal year 1936 .....             | 53.11   |
| (3) Henry Dolger, Inc., per Vol. 16, Bill 1858, Lot 9, Block 2138, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937.....     | 10.65   |
| (4) Bank of America, per Vol. 26, Bill 309, Lot 6, Block 3753, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937.....         | 9.68    |
| (5) S. Walter Burke, per Vol. 44, Bill 1707, Lot 46, Block 7159, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937.....       | 25.36   |
| (6) American Trust Company, per Vol. 44, Bill 2193, Lot 4, Block 7207, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937..... | 14.32   |

*From General Fund—Appropriation No. 60.969.00.*

- |   |      |
|---|------|
| (7) General Industries Corporation, Ltd., erroneous payment of taxes, per Assessor's Receipt No. 14262..... | 8.29 |
|---|------|

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Land Purchase—Army Street Widening.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3902, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named owners certain land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Army street, for the sums set forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 777.924.17:

Olivia S. Drewes, et al., portion of Lot 7H, Assessor's Block 4336 .....	\$4,775
Albino Maiero, et al., portion of Lot 15, Assessor's Block 4338 .....	2,400
Clara Jones, et vir., portion of Lot 11, Assessor's Block 4337 .....	890

The above sums include damages in full to the improvements now located on the property.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above-named parties for a particular description of said parcel of land.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Resolution No. 3833, adopted by this Board on March 7, 1938, is hereby repealed.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:



**Abolishing Position of Bond and Ordinance Clerk and Creating  
Position of General Clerk-Stenographer, Board of Supervisors.**  
(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 1554, Ordinance No. 9.053125, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 3 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 by abolishing Item 4 and by inserting Item 7½ to read 1 B408 General Clerk-Stenographer at \$155.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 3 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 3. BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

Item	No. of	Class	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
No.	Employees	No.		
1	11		Supervisors .....	\$ 200
2	1	B88	Chief Assistant Clerk of the Board of Supervisors .....	400
3	1	B90	Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.....	550
5	1	B222	General Clerk .....	175
6	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
7	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	160
7½	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
8	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
9	3	B413	Assistant Clerk—Board of Supervisors..	250
10	1	D4	Sergeant-at-Arms, Board of Supervisors	200
11	2	O1	Chauffeur .....	250

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Appropriation of \$3,600 for Payment of Interest and Legal Expenses, Tax Anticipation Notes.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1555, Ordinance No. 9.051466, as follows:

Appropriating \$3,600 from the Emergency Reserve Fund—\$3,000 to the credit of Appropriation No. 726.803.01 for the payment of interest on tax anticipation notes, and \$600 to the credit of Appropriation No. 726.803.01-1 for the payment of legal expense in connection with the sale of tax anticipation notes.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$3,600 is hereby appropriated from the Emergency Reserve Fund—\$3,000 to the credit of Appropriation No. 726.803.01 for the payment of interest on tax anticipation notes, and \$600 to the credit of Appropriation No. 726.803.01-1 for the payment of legal expense in connection with the sale of tax anticipation notes.

Section 2. This appropriation is made necessary to meet the actual obligations incurred in connection with the sale of tax anticipation notes, as insufficient funds were heretofore appropriated for the purpose.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Recommended by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Appropriation of \$335 for Continuance of Position of City Planning Draftsman in the City Planning Commission.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1556, Ordinance No. 9.051467, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$335 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 761.101.00 for the purpose of providing funds for the continuance of the position of City Planning Draftsman in the City Planning Commission for the balance of the fiscal year.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$335 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 761.101.00 for the purpose of providing funds for the continuance of the position of City Planning Draftsman in the City Planning Commission for the balance of the fiscal year.

Section 2. This appropriation is made necessary to provide funds for the uninterrupted operation of this department.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Recommended by Mark Jorgensen, Secretary, City Planning Commission.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Land Purchase—Grattan School Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Resolution No. 3903, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Recreation Department, that the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Cecilia C. Simon, Lot 10, Assessor's Block 1283, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Grattan School Playground, for the sum of \$3,750, payable from Appropriation No. \_\_\_\_\_.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Land Purchase—Upper Noe Valley Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 3904, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Recreation Department, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Jeannette M. Beltramo, to Lot 26, Assessor's Block 6638, San Francisco, required for the Upper Noe Valley Playground, and that the sum of \$2,900 be paid for said property from Appropriation No. 713.600.02.



The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### Land Purchase—Bayview Playground.

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 3905, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Recreation Department, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Thomas F. O'Brien, et ux., to Lots 18 and 19, Assessor's Block 5310, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Bayview Playground, for the sum of \$5,000, payable from Appropriation No. 713.600.01.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### Land Purchase—Bayview Playground.

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 3906, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Recreation Department, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Nicholas J. Grant and Catherine A. Powers, to Lots 2, 3 and 4, Assessor's Block 5310, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Bayview Playground, for the sum of \$7,250, payable from Appropriation No. 713.600.01.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### Cancellation of Assessments.

(Code No. 9.0411)

Also, Resolution No. 3907, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that the State of California has been in possession of Lots 37 and 38, Block 3764, since 1935, but the deed was not placed on record until March 11, 1938; and

Whereas, taxes on said Lots 37 and 38, Block 3764, have been levied for the years 1936-1937 and 1937-1938, and that being State property, the assessments against said property should be cancelled, as follows:

Lots 37-38, Block 3764, assessed to P. E. and H. C. Deniville, 1936 tax \$123.36, del. pen. \$8.63, cost \$0.50, 1937 tax \$126.20; and

Whereas, the City Attorney having consented thereto; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be and is hereby authorized to cancel said sale and assessments in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code of the State of California.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Governor to Intervene with California State Fair Commission for Appropriation to Complete Livestock Pavilion, Agricultural District No. 1A.**

(Code No. 18.06)

Also, Resolution No. 3893, as follows:

Whereas, San Francisco has two hundred and fifty thousand dollars invested in the Livestock Pavilion under the jurisdiction of Agricultural District No. 1A, and said appropriation was unanimously passed by the Board upon the declaration filed with this Board by the directors of our forthcoming International Exposition, that they intended to hold livestock at said pavilion during the World's Fair as per communication attached hereto; and

Whereas, thereafter the California Legislature passed a measure appropriating five million dollars for said exposition purposes, with the distinct understanding that one-half million of same would be set up for completion of building and the holding of a livestock show on the site of the pavilion at Visitacion Valley; and further, that an agreement was signed between the Exposition officials and the directors of Agricultural District No. 1A to that effect; furthermore, his Excellency, Governor Merriam, in appointing the California State Fair Commission, charged that committee to keep said pledges and expressed his own opinion that the livestock pavilion and grounds should and must be completed; and

Whereas, the said State Commission has apparently decided to appropriate money to hold the livestock exhibit at Treasure Island in temporary buildings and has appropriated the sum of two hundred and seventy-five thousand dollars for that purpose; therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors respectfully requests his Excellency, Governor Merriam, to intervene with said State Commission to the end that agreements solemnly made, will be kept; that the livestock show will be held where promised, and the southern part of San Francisco and this community be much benefited thereby; and be it further

Resolved, That upon the adoption of this resolution or motion to the same effect, that copies of this resolution be forwarded to the members of the State Commission on World's Fair and to the directors of the Golden Gate International Exposition, and to the Governor of the State of California.

#### **Communication From Exposition Company Livestock Show, Agricultural District 1-A.**

The Clerk read a communication from Leland Cutler, President of the Exposition Company, reciting the history of the Livestock Pavilion and its relation to the Exposition, declaring that the Exposition Company did everything possible to provide the 1939 Livestock Show at the Agricultural District 1-A site, at Visitacion Valley, but that legal difficulties and long delays in construction of plant now necessitates holding the show on Treasure Island. The time element, in the opinion of the Livestock Committee of the Exposition, is the determining factor. He urged that the Board of Supervisors do nothing in the matter to embarrass the Exposition.

#### **Privilege of the Floor.**

Wm. Hornblower, speaking for the San Francisco delegation of the State Legislature; Edgar C. Levy, member of the State Legislature;



H. H. Smith, President of the San Mateo County Board of Supervisors; Geo. Allen, representing the Central Council of Civic Clubs, and C. H. Sooley, President of Agricultural District No. 1-A, were heard in support of the resolution recommended by the Exposition Affairs and Commercial Development Committee.

### Adopted.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

No—Supervisor Colman—1.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Committee to Discuss With Governor, Question of Appropriation for Livestock Pavilion, Agricultural District 1-A.

Supervisor Reilly moved that a committee of three be appointed by the President to present to the Governor, Resolution No. 3893 and discuss with him the advisability of furnishing funds for Livestock Pavilion. Motion *carried*.

The Chair appointed Supervisors Reilly, Ratto and Meyer.

### ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

#### President of Board to Appoint Three Members to Attend Convention of California League of Municipalities.

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 3908, as follows:

Whereas, the League of California Municipalities will hold a convention in Santa Barbara on September 19 to 22, 1938, inclusive; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the President of the Board appoint three members of the Board to attend the convention on the dates mentioned.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

#### Settlement of Damage Claim, Minnie Landis and Virginia Landis Rajewski.

(Code No. 6.0222)

On recommendation of Finance Committee:

Resolution No. 3885, as follows:

Whereas, on the 20th day of November, 1937, and on the 9th day of December, 1937, due to the backing-up and overflowing of the sewer leading to the premises known as 927-A Church street, San Francisco, said premises being occupied by Minnie Landis and Virginia Landis Rajewski, tenants, causing damage to personal property of said tenants in the sum of three hundred (\$300) dollars and compelling said tenants to vacate said premises; and

Whereas, the City Attorney believes that there is liability on the part of the City and County of San Francisco and has entered into an agreement with the respective parties subject to approval and compromise of their respective claims against the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be, and he is, authorized and empowered to settle the aforesaid claim of Minnie Landis and Virginia Landis Rajewski for the sum of three hundred (\$300) dollars, and the

Controller is requested to issue warrants in said sum to said claimants in full settlement out of Appropriation No. 760.705.01.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Mayor Requested to Appoint Citizens' Committee of 250 to Celebrate National Airmail Week, May 15 to 21, 1938, Inclusive.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 3909, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee of 250 upon which shall be represented the Chamber of Commerce, labor organizations, parent-teacher organizations and other civic, fraternal and social groups for the purpose of promoting interest in National Airmail Week, which is to be celebrated pursuant to proclamation of the Postmaster General and under the auspices of the Federal Government, in San Francisco and other cities of the United States, during the week of May 15 to 21, 1938, inclusive.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**President Roosevelt to Withdraw Opposition to McAdoo-Welch Resolution in Favor of Intercoastal Liners on Pacific Coast.**

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 3910, as follows:

Whereas, the fast intercoastal liners of the Panama Pacific and Grace Lines were forced to abandon their service to the Pacific due to a provision of the Maritime Act of 1936 cancelling all operating subsidy to ships in intercoastal trade; and

Whereas, these ships were major units as naval auxiliaries and in their intercoastal runs readily accessible in an emergency; and

Whereas, world-wide conditions, especially in the Pacific, demand that the greatest of naval strength be concentrated in American waters; and

Whereas, the Welch and McAdoo bills now before Congress will correct the present disastrous situation; and

Whereas, McAdoo-Welch resolution would give one year's grace for the Panama Pacific Liners, until this corrective legislation can be acted upon; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco implores the President of the United States and the Maritime Commission to withdraw their opposition to the McAdoo-Welch resolution and make every effort to retain at least the Panama Pacific Liners in their present essential intercoastal service; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors commends the action of the Merchant Marine and Fisheries Committee and the House of Representatives for passing the H. J. Res. 613, known as the Welch resolution, and urges the passage by the Senate Committee on Commerce and the Senate of S. J. Res. 272, known as the McAdoo resolution—identical legislation to the Welch resolution.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.



**Mayor to Appoint Citizens' Committee for Proper Observance of  
"Massing of the Colors" Day, Sunday, May 29, 1938.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Mead presented:

Resolution No. 3911, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby requested to appoint a suitable committee for the proper observance fo "Massing of the Colors" Day, Sunday, May 29, 1938.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Communication From the Mayor Re: Curtailment of Expenses and  
Denial of Salary Increases.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

April 11, 1938.

The Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: For the past two weeks I have carefully studied estimates of revenues and changes in the revenue structure in connection with the budget which I must shortly submit.

I have given detailed consideration to the departmental requests as filed with me.

These requests, if approved, would necessitate a tax rate of \$4.87. This is unthinkable. I will not permit.

It is my duty to drastically curtail the proposed expenditures. I propose to do so. There can be no salary increases in the ensuing year. There can be no extension of departmental service. There can be no new jobs.

Any departmental plans, however desirable, which conflict with this policy of retrenchment, must be abandoned. Additions to existing equipment must be restricted to items of urgent necessity within the most literal meaning of the words "urgent necessity."

Our moral obligations to needy San Franciscans and our legal obligations to creditors have always been met and we will continue to meet them.

Many requests have been filed with me by various groups seeking extension or liberalization of the service now rendered by many departments. However desirable these extensions may be, the answer to those requests must be—simply—"This year the taxpayers cannot afford to provide those increased services."

It is inevitable that there should be certain salary inequalities in a personnel as large and as varied as ours. There are cases, I know, where earnest effort and application to duty on the part of municipal employees warrant salary increases. I do not deny the justice of such claims, but I must defer consideration of them.

There are departments of local government which are understaffed. I regret this, but I now call upon all departmental executives and all employees to do a little more than their share of work, confident in the belief that if they do, the people of San Francisco—their employers—will later reward them.

On the subject of San Francisco's participation in the Exposition and on the matter of co-operating with whatever program the Federal Government evolves for the stimulation of employment and business, I will shortly submit a special message to your honorable Board.

We are going to trim our sails, because the skies look cloudy. When the clouds lift, we will again unfurl them. Until they do, no one has

MONDAY, APRIL 11, 1938.

the right to exact from the taxpayers a penny more than is essentially necessary to carry on the essential obligations of government.

To that policy I stand irrevocably committed.

Yours very truly,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

#### **Motion.**

Supervisor Roncovieri moved that for the present the matter of salary standardization be not further considered and that report on standardization of salaries, as submitted by the Civil Service Commission, be returned to the Civil Service Commission for further study and without prejudice.

*Motion carried.*

#### **City Attorney to Advise as to Necessity of Franchise for Operation of Ferries Between San Francisco and Exposition Shoals.**

Supervisor Uhl requested that City Attorney be requested to furnish written opinion as to whether operation of ferries between San Francisco mainland and the San Francisco Shoals (Exposition site) will require a franchise.

*Motion carried.*

#### **Old Age Security Application Form.**

The following was read by Supervisor Uhl, under his name, at roll call:

Form Aged 29, October, 1937,  
State of California,  
Department of Social Welfare.  
13077-OA

#### *Notice to Applicant of Approval by Board of Supervisors Old Age Security*

Your application for aid under the Old Age Security Act was approved by the San Francisco County Board of Supervisors for \$22.50, effective April 1, 1938. This grant is based on your present circumstances and is in accordance with the existing laws. It is subject to revision with a change in your circumstances. If you are dissatisfied with the action of the Board of Supervisors, you may write the State Department of Social Welfare, located in the State Office Building, at Sacramento, but we suggest you first discuss the matter with the County Welfare Department, at Room 105, Health Center Building.

Supervisor Uhl thereupon called attention to the sentence being in line six, "If you are dissatisfied, etc., etc.," and declared that the language of the application form put the blame on the Board of Supervisors for decisions made by the Welfare Commission, of which the Board of Supervisors knew nothing. He requested that the Welfare Commission be requested to correct the form, eliminating the language in the seventh line "with the action of the Board of Supervisors."

*Referred to Public Welfare Committee.*

#### **Meeting Announcements.**

Fire, Safety and Police, April 15, 10 a. m.

Streets Committee, April 13, 2:30 p. m. (G. E. spur track).

Health Committee, April 13, 10:15 a. m.

Buildings, Lands and City Planning, April 15, 9 a. m.

#### **ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board of Supervisors at 5:35 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.



Approved by the Board of Supervisors, April 18, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journals of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

RECEIVED  
JAN 10 1907  
U. S. DEPT. OF AGRICULTURE  
BUREAU OF PLANT INDUSTRY



Vol. 33

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

No. 17

Monday, April 18, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.

January, April, July, October

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, APRIL 18, 1938, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, April 18, 1938,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meetings of April 5 and 11, 1938, were considered read and approved.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up:

### **Appropriation of \$2,051 for Removal of Dead Whale From Point Lobos Beach.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1550, Ordinance No. 9.051464, as follows:

Authorizing an appropriation of \$2,051 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 702.900.00-2 to pay the cost of the removal of a dead whale from Point Lobos Beach.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,051 is hereby appropriated out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 702.900.00-2 to pay the cost of the removal of a dead whale from Point Lobos Beach which threatened the health and welfare of a large number of our citizens.

Section 2. The payment of the emergency obligations incurred in connection therewith are hereby authorized.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Recommended by W. H. Worden, Director of Public Works.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Finally passed by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Amending Private Ambulance Ordinance.**

(Code No. 17.13)

On recommendation of the Public Health Committee:

Bill No. 1552, Ordinance No. 17.132, as follows:

Amending Section 5 of Bill No. 456, Ordinance No. 17.131, entitled "Regulating the Use of Private Ambulances in the City and County of San Francisco; Defining the Term Ambulance; Providing for the Issuance, Transfer and Revocation of Permits Therefor; Fixing Minimum and Maximum Rates to Be Charged for Transportation of Persons in Private Ambulances, and for Service Connected Therewith; Providing for Rules and Regulations to Be Issued by the Director of Public Health; Authorizing the Director of Public Health to Inspect, Investigate and Control Operation and Maintenance of All Private Ambulances; Providing Fees to Be Paid for Permits For, and Signs Permitted On, Private Ambulances; Restricting Use of Private Ambulances and Permitting Exclusive Color Schemes Thereof: Authorizing the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco to Enforce the Provisions of This Ordinance and His Rules and Regulations and Providing Penalties for the Violation Thereof."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 5 of Bill No. 456, Ordinance No. 17.131, the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 5. Subdivision 1. No person, firm or corporation owning, operating or controlling any private ambulance shall charge other minimum rates than in this section provided, nor maximum rates in excess of \$1 over such minimum rates.

Subdivision 2. The district within the City and County of San Francisco hereinafter described, shall for the purpose of this ordinance be known as the "First District." Such district is bounded and more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at the intersection of The Embarcadero and Broadway, thence along the northerly and easterly waterfront to Channel street, thence along Channel street to Division street, thence along Division street to Potrero avenue, along Potrero avenue to San Bruno avenue, thence along San Bruno avenue to Cortland avenue, along Cortland avenue to Mission street, thence to Thirtieth street, thence westerly on Thirtieth street to Twin Peaks, along the easterly and northerly sides of Twin Peaks to the outer edge of Sutro Forest to Lawton street, thence west on Lawton street to Tenth avenue, thence northerly along Tenth avenue to the waterline, thence easterly to the point of commencement. Within the said district both sides of all streets named are included.

The charge for transport from any one place to any other place within said First District shall be \$5 during the day time period and \$6 during the night time period.

For the purpose of this ordinance 7 a. m. to 7 p. m. shall be deemed day time and 7 p. m. to 7 a. m. night time.

Subdivision 3. All other territory within the City and County of San Francisco outside of the area described in the "First District" shall, for the purpose of this ordinance, be known as the "Second District."

The charge for transport from any place in the City and County of San Francisco to or from any place in the Second District shall be \$6 during the day time and \$7 during the night time period.

No commissions, rebates or allowances of any kind shall be made to any person, firm, corporation or association whatsoever except that 25 per cent discount may be made to clinics and hospital benevolent associations, directly operated by a hospital in the City and County of San Francisco; provided, however, that orders for same must come direct from the departments of hospitals or from the doctors in charge thereof.



Waiting time of ambulance and crew at point of call or discharge in excess of ten minutes shall be at the rate of \$5 per hour calculated in quarter hour periods.

X-ray or treatment cases where ambulance is held for the return trip a charge for delay of ambulance and crew shall be at the rate of \$5 per hour; provided, however, that an allowance of twenty minutes shall be made without charge where ambulance and crew is so held.

Where one ambulance is used for double-stretcher cases the charge shall be a one and one-half rate.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### **Changing and Establishing Grades on Athens Street Between Avalon and Excelsior Avenues.**

(Code No. 12.0722)

On recommendation of the Streets Committee:

Bill No. 1553, Ordinance No. 12.072234, as follows:

Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Athens street between Avalon and Excelsior avenues.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 7th day of February, 1938, by Resolution No. 3790, declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Athens street between Avalon and Excelsior avenues.

Whereas, said Resolution was so published for ten days, and the Director of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said Resolution of Intention caused notices of the passage of said Resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the Resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days have elapsed since the first publication of said Resolution of Intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

<i>Athens Street:</i>	<i>Feet</i>
Westerly line of, at Avalon avenue.....	367
(The same being the present official grade)	
Easterly line of, at Avalon avenue.....	369
(The same being the present official grade)	
Westerly curb line of, at the southerly end of the curb return to Avalon avenue.....	367.36
Easterly curb line of, at the southerly end of the curb return to Avalon avenue.....	368.07
Easterly curb line of, 100 feet southerly from Avalon avenue..	366.64
Westerly curb line of, 200 feet northerly from Excelsior avenue..	364.38
Easterly curb line of, 200 feet northerly from Excelsior avenue..	364.38
Easterly curb line of, 45.61 feet northerly from Excelsior avenue ..	345.12
Easterly curb line of, 18.17 feet southerly from the northerly end of the curb return to Excelsior avenue.....	341.27
Westerly line of, at Excelsior avenue.....	339.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Excelsior avenue northerly line .....	339.43
(The same being the present official grade)	
Easterly line of, at Excelsior avenue.....	342
(The same being the present official grade)	

On Athens street between Avalon and Excelsior avenues be changed

and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

These proceedings are instituted in accordance with the "Change of Grade Act of 1909". The San Francisco Call-Bulletin is hereby designated as the newspaper in which this resolution shall be published.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades.

The Director of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this Resolution of Intention.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Adopted.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

#### Authorizing Acquisition of Lands for Corona Heights Playground by Condemnation Proceedings.

(Code No. 6.0211)

Resolution No. 3912, as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following described parcels of real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Parcel 1: Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Sixteenth street with the easterly boundary line of lot 20 in block 11, as per map hereinafter referred to; running thence westerly along said line of Sixteenth street, 30 feet; thence northerly 90 feet, more or less, to a point on the northerly line of said lot No. 20, distant thereon 20 feet westerly from the said easterly boundary line of lot 20; thence easterly along said northerly line 20 feet to the easterly boundary line of said lot 20; thence southerly along said last-named line 90 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of lot 20 in block 11, according to map entitled "Map of the Lands of the Flint Tract Homestead Association", filed April 10, 1874, and recorded in Map Book 1 at page 148, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 2: Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of President street, distant thereon 75 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Flint street; running thence northwesterly along said line of President street, 125 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 119 feet 9 inches; thence at a right angle southeasterly 125 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 119 feet 9 inches to the point of beginning.

Being all of lots No. 62 and 63 and a portion of lot No. 61 in block No. 9, as said lots and block are delineated and so designated upon that certain map entitled "Map of the Lands of the Flint Tract Homestead Association," recorded April 10, 1874, in Liber 1 of Maps at page 148, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 3: Beginning at the point of intersection of the southwesterly line of President street with the northwesterly line of Flint street; running thence northwesterly along said line of President street 75 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 119 feet 9 inches; thence at a right angle southeasterly 75 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 119 feet 9 inches to the point of beginning.



Being lot No. 60 and a portion of lot No. 61 in block No. 9 as said lots and block are delineated and so designated upon that certain map entitled "Map of the Lands of The Flint Tract Homestead Association", recorded April 10, 1874, in Liber 1 of Maps at page 148, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it further Resolved, That said lands are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the Corona Heights Playground. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use. The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to commence proceedings in Eminent Domain against the owners of said parcels of lands and any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **Fixing Rate for Publishing and Distributing Delinquent Tax List.**

(Code No. 3.03)

Also, Resolution No. 3913, as follows:

Resolved, That the official rate for printing, publishing and distributing the Delinquent Tax List of the City and County of San Francisco, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1938, is hereby set at 2.5 cents per twelve em line of six point type solid, per issue, for the Delinquent Tax List and 2.5 cents per twelve em line of six point type solid for the Sales List. These rates are to include the entire cost for the three publications, together with all charges appertaining to the publication of the Tax List, including all specially bound books and other conditions necessary for the legal publications as specified and outlined by the Tax Collector.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **Refund of Taxes—Mohrmann.**

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 3914, as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, pursuant to Resolution No. 1504, adopted by this Board on July 23, 1934, filed a condemnation suit in the Superior Court of San Francisco, Case No. 262443, for the acquisition of certain lands required for the Sunset Reservoir; and

Whereas, on June 1, 1936, the Court executed an order granting the City immediate possession of the property, including Lot 21, Assessor's Block 2146, San Francisco; and

Whereas, Adelheide E. Mohrmann, as successor in interest to William Mohrmann, deceased, erroneously paid the second installment of 1936-1937 taxes and the first installment of 1937-1938 taxes, amounting to \$14.76 and \$15.10 respectively, on said Lot 21; and

Whereas, the City Attorney has consented to a refund of said taxes; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, in accordance with Section 3804 of the Political Code of the State of California, that the sum of \$29.86 be paid to Adelheide E. Mohrmann from Appropriation No. 760.969.00 as a refund of said taxes.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Appropriation \$6,500, Street Work, Silver Avenue.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1557, Ordinance No. 9.051468, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation ordinance of \$6,500 from Appropriation No. 748.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 748.935.00 for the construction of street work on Silver avenue from Scotia street to a point 545 feet easterly therefrom, being City's obligation in accordance with resolution of the Board of Supervisors No. 29,251, new series, approved July 9, 1928.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$6,500 is hereby appropriated and set aside from Appropriation No. 748.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 748.935.00 for the construction of street work on Silver avenue from Scotia street to a point 545 feet easterly therefrom, being City's obligation in accordance with resolution of the Board of Supervisors No. 29,251, new series, approved July 9, 1928.

Note—Resolution No. 29,251.

"Resolved, that the offer of sale made by the following named company to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the widening of Silver avenue, for the sum set forth opposite its name, be accepted:

"H. Moffat Co. \$1600—Portion of Lot No. 7, Block 5335A, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

"As a further consideration the street work on Silver avenue in front of the remaining property of H. Moffat Co. will be done at the sole expense of the City and County of San Francisco; said street work to include grading, sewers, curbs, pavement and sidewalk.

"The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

"Adopted by the following vote:

"Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

"Absent—Supervisors Colman, Marks—2."

Recommended by W. H. Worden, Director, Department of Public Works.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor, City and County of San Francisco.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Re-Referred.**

The following recommendation of the Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee was taken up and on motion of Supervisor Uhl re-referred to said Committee:



**Set-back Lines Westerly Side of Beverly Street.**

(Code No. 13.03)

Resolution No. 3918, as follows:

Resolved, that the action of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1778, passed April 7, 1938, reading as follows:

Resolved, that subject to the approval of the Board of Supervisors, in accordance with Section 117 of the Charter, the building set-back line as now established along the westerly side of Beverly street, between Holloway avenue and Garfield street, be, and the same is hereby changed so as to be as follows:

Along the westerly side of Beverly street, commencing at a point on the northerly side of Garfield street and running thence northerly 75 feet, set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 75 feet, set-back line to be 8 feet; thence northerly 75 feet, set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 75 feet, set-back line to be 4 feet; thence northerly 75 feet, set-back line to be 2 feet, is hereby approved.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of the Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee was taken up:

**Adding Section 31-A to Ordinance No. 1008 (NS), "Building Law", Relating to Ceramic Facing.**

(Code No. 11.08)

Bill No. 1562, Ordinance No. 11.0824, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), entitled "Regulating the Construction, Erection, Enlargement, Raising, Alteration, Repair, Removal, Maintenance, Use and Height of Buildings: Regulating Character and Use of Materials in and for Buildings: Establishing Fire Limits and Repealing All Ordinances in Conflict With This Ordinance", *by adding thereto a new section to be known as Section 31-A relating to ceramic facing.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto a new section to be known as Section 31-A relating to ceramic facing, to read as follows:

**CERAMIC FACING.****Section 31-A:**

Buildings may be faced with slabs of terra cotta which for the purpose of this section are defined as having more than seventy-two (72) square inches of superficial area.

In no case shall the ceramic facing be considered in computing the strength of the structural frame or bearing walls, nor shall it be considered a part of the required thickness of the wall.

Walls to which ceramic facing is to be applied shall be rigid and free from oil. Just prior to installation the backing wall shall be wire brushed and put in condition to assure proper adhesion.

Ceramic facing may be attached to backing wall either with metal anchors, or by adhesion only without anchors.

If anchored the terra cotta facing shall be not less than one and one-quarter inch (1¼") in thickness and shall be tied to backing wall with substantial non-corrosible metal anchors, not less than No. 6 gauge wire, in horizontal bed joints on about twelve inch (12") centers, and in no case more than sixteen inch (16") centers. The facing shall be set with back of terra cotta spaced not less than one and one-half inch (1½") from face of backing wall and the space shall be filled solidly with grout of Portland cement mortar and top gravel in the proportion of not less than one to six (1 to 6). Just before setting,

the backing wall and the ceramic facing shall be drenched with clean water and shall be distinctly damp when grout is poured.

If not anchored the terra cotta facing shall be not more than one inch (1") in thickness with units not to exceed thirty inches (30") in any one dimension and having not more than five hundred forty (540) square inches of superficial area and shall have corrugations or vertical scorings on the back thereof. Just before setting ceramic facing, each piece shall be soaked in clean water for one hour or more and the surface of the backing wall shall be saturated with water applied through a hose nozzle at a pressure of at least twenty-five pounds (25 lbs.) per square inch. A brush coat of neat Portland cement and water shall then be applied both to the backing and to the back side of the ceramic facing. Mortar shall average three-quarter inch ( $\frac{3}{4}$ ") in thickness. The proportions of this mortar shall be as follows:

Approved Portland cement .....	1 cu. ft.
High calcium slacked lime putty screened and aged at least 20 days, containing not more than 4 per cent magnesium oxide .....	$\frac{1}{2}$ " "
Clean sharp siliceous sand.....	4 " "
Ammonium stearate paste, or approved equal.....	1 quart

Half of same shall be applied to the piece and half to the backing just prior to setting. Pieces disturbed after having been tapped into place shall be removed immediately, after which, additional mortar shall be applied as required above and the piece reset. A unit shearing strength between backing and facing of not less than fifty (50) pounds per square inch shall be developed.

Leo G. Levy, representing Gladding McBean and the Clay Products Institute, explained the necessity for the proposed legislation.

Whereupon, the foregoing Bill was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### Adopted.

The following recommendation of the Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee was taken up:

#### Fixing Date for Hearing of Appeal, Rezoning of Southeast Corner of California and Scott Streets.

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 3920, as follows:

Resolved, that the time for hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission, denying application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the southeast corner of California and Scott streets, is hereby set for Monday, April 25, 1938, at 2 o'clock p. m.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### Adopted.

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up:

#### Granting Permission to the Regal Amber Brewing Company to Construct Overhead Conveyor Across Treat Avenue.

(Code No. 1.06101)

Resolution No. 3915, as follows:

Resolved, that the Regal-Amber Brewing Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to



construct, maintain and operate an overhead conveyor across the roadway of Treat avenue, from No. 675 to No. 676, said conveyor to be constructed in accordance with plans and specifications to be approved by the Central Permit Bureau.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up:

**Granting Spur Track Permit to Western Pacific Railroad Company  
Harrison Street Between Eighth and Ninth Streets.**

(Code No. 12.20)

Bill No. 1558, Ordinance No. 12.2023, as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to the Western Pacific Railroad Company, to lay down, construct, maintain and operate a standard gauge spur track in Harrison street, between Eighth and Ninth streets, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to the Western Pacific Railroad Company, to lay down, construct, maintain and operate a standard gauge spur track along and upon Harrison street between Eighth and Ninth streets, said location being more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in the center line of the existing spur track of the Western Pacific Railroad Company as said spur track is located in Harrison street, said point being approximately 22.6 feet southeasterly at right angles from the northwestern line of Harrison street and approximately 117.65 feet northeasterly at right angles from the northwestern line of Ninth street; thence northeasterly in a direct line 23.34 feet to a point distant 19.7 feet from the said northwestern line of Harrison street; thence northerly on the arc of a curve to the left having a radius of 143.42 feet and tangent to said line at the last mentioned point, a distance of approximately 60 feet to a point in said northwestern line of Harrison street, distant approximately 62.3 feet northeasterly thereon from the northeastern line of Gordon street; thence continuing along last mentioned curve into private property.

Provided that that portion of the existing track crossing Harrison street and to be reconstructed, shall be raised to conform to the existing roadway grades. New ballast and ties shall be installed and a standard asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a six inch Class "F" concrete base and a two inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface shall be constructed. Girder rails shall be installed within the street lines with concrete rail stringers. A standard brick catchbasin shall be installed and connected to the main sewer with a ten inch V.C.P. culvert. Any additional drainage required by the Department of Public Works shall be installed by the Railroad Company. All work shall be done to the satisfaction and in accordance with the requirements of the Department of Public Works.

Provided further, that there shall be no switching of cars upon Harrison street between the hours of 6:00 a. m. and 10:00 p. m.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Harold J. Crane, representing Crane Co. and General Electric Realty Co., was heard in support of the measure.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the bill was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up:

**Designating Baker Street as Restricted Traffic Street.**

(Code No. 11.02)

Bill No. 1559, Ordinance No. 11.0239, as follows:

Amending Section 25, Article IV, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series) entitled "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith".

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 25, Article IV, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series) the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 25. *Restricted Traffic Streets.* The following streets are designated as restricted traffic streets.

*Baker street from the north line of Richardson avenue to the north line of Marina boulevard;*

Bay street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the east line of Laguna street;

Cervantes boulevard from Fillmore street to Marina boulevard;

Fell street from the west line of Baker street to the east line of Stanyan street;

Francisco street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the east line of Laguna street;

The upper two roadways of the Great Highway from the south line of Fulton street to the north line of Sloat boulevard;

Marina boulevard from the east line of Laguna street to the west line of Lyon street;

Park-Presidio drive from Golden Gate Park to the Presidio of San Francisco;

Sunset boulevard from the south line of Lincoln way to the south line of Sloat boulevard, thence southerly to Lake Merced boulevard and its termination at Skyline boulevard.

It shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle designed or used for the transportation of commodities or materials to operate said vehicles upon any restricted traffic street for a distance greater than one block or for any purpose other than to make a pickup or delivery within said block.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Action Deferred.**

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was, on motion of Supervisor Uhl, *laid over one week*:

**Closing Portion of Lloyd Street.**

(Code No. 12.0622)

Resolution No. 3916, as follows:

Whereas, on the 28th day of February, 1938, the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco duly and regularly passed Resolution No. 3820, Code No. 12.0621, which resolution was presented to



his Honor the Mayor for his approval and was duly and regularly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco on the 1st day of March, 1938, said resolution being in words and figures as follows:

INTENTION TO CLOSE PORTION OF LLOYD STREET.

(Code No. 12.0621)

Resolution No. 3820, as follows:

Resolved, That public interest requires that the following described portion of Lloyd street, lying between Divisadero street and the Castro-Divisadero Divisional Highway, San Francisco, California, be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of this Board to close and abandon said portion of Lloyd street which is more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Divisadero street with the southerly line of Lloyd street; running thence easterly along the southerly line of Lloyd street 76.0642 feet to the westerly line of the proposed Castro-Divisadero Divisional Highway; thence deflecting 110 degrees 42 minutes to the left and running northwesterly along last-named line 31.7582 feet to the northerly line of Lloyd street; thence deflecting 69 degrees 18 minutes to the left and running westerly along last-named line 65.0052 feet to the easterly line of Divisadero street; thence southerly in a straight line 29.708 feet to the point of commencement.

Said closing and abandonment of said portion of Lloyd street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

As per Resolution No. 2565, adopted by this Board on May 11, 1936, it is understood that the above described portion of Lloyd street shall be deeded to E. W. Bennett, et ux., as part of the consideration for certain land acquired by the City for the Castro-Divisadero Divisional Highway by deed recorded June 17, 1936. The deed to E. W. Bennett, et ux., shall be made pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 690, 1911, Statutes of California.

The Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of Lloyd street in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

*Adopted* by the Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, February 28, 1938.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovi, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Reilly—2.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved, San Francisco, March 1, 1938.

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

And whereas, the Clerk of the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco did transmit to the Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco a certified copy of said resolution and the said Department of Public Works did, upon receipt of said resolution, cause to be posted in the manner and as required by

law, notices of the passage of said resolution and did also cause in the manner and as required by law, a notice similar in substance to be published for a period of ten days in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the public interest and convenience requires said improvement to be done as specifically described in Resolution No. 3820, Code No. 12.0621; and

Whereas, the Supervisors have acquired jurisdiction to order that that portion of Lloyd street, described in Resolution No. 3820, Code No. 12.0621, be closed and abandoned; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it be ordered and it is hereby ordered that that portion of Lloyd street, as specifically described and proposed in said Resolution No. 3820, Code No. 12.0621, be closed and abandoned, subject to the following conditions:

Pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 690, 1911 Statutes of California, the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed for the conveyance of said portion of Lloyd street to Edwin M. Bennett and H. M. Bennett, his wife, or their successors in interest. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the grantees.

Further Resolved, That the entire damages, costs and expenses of closing that portion of Lloyd street as described in Resolution No. 3820, Code No. 12.0621, shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco as proposed and provided in Resolution No. 3820, Code No. 12.0621; and be it

Further Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of that portion of Lloyd street, as described in Resolution No. 3820, Code No. 12.0621, shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Department of Public Works and that the Department of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law, and the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the official newspaper as required by law.

#### **Adopted.**

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up:

#### **Abandoning Sewer Easement in Block 2127, Forest Hill Court.**

(Code No. 12.1011)

Resolution No. 3917, as follows:

Whereas, the map of Forest Hill Court recorded September 11, 1913, in Map Book "H" at page 2, Records of the City and County of San Francisco, provides a 5-foot sewer easement along the northwesterly line of lot 13, block 2127; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco has not availed and does not intend at any time availing itself of such sewer easement; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco abandon whatever interest it may have in and to the above-described sewer easement.

Further Resolved, That the entire damages, cost and expense of abandoning said sewer easement shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.



**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up:

**Changing and Establishing Grades on Cuvier Street and on Cayuga Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.0722)

Bill No. 1560, Ordinance No. 12.072235, as follows:

Changing and Re-establishing the Official Grades on Cuvier street between Cayuga avenue and a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 55.53 feet southerly from the first angle northerly from Cayuga avenue; also on Cayuga avenue between Milton street and Alemany boulevard.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 28th day of February, 1938, by Resolution No. 3821, declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Cuvier street between Cayuga avenue and a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 55.53 feet southerly from the first angle northerly from Cayuga avenue; also on Cayuga avenue between Milton street and Alemany boulevard.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for two days, and the Director of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said Resolution of Intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than thirty days have elapsed since the first publication of said Resolution of Intention; therefore,

Be It Ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as shown on map entitled "Grade Map Showing the Proposed Change and Establishment of Grades on Cuvier Street, between Cayuga Avenue and a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 55.53 feet southerly from the first angle northerly from Cayuga Avenue; and on Cayuga Avenue, between Milton Street and Alemany Boulevard."

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Establishing Grades on Nueva Avenue Between Blanken and McKinley Avenues.**

(Code No. 12.071)

Also, Bill No. 1561, Ordinance No. 12.07112, as follows:

Establishing Grades on Nueva Avenue between Blanken and McKinley Avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Nueva avenue between Blanken and McKinley avenues are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above City base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, filed in this office April 5, 1938.

<i>Nueva Avenue</i>	<i>Feet</i>
12 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at McKinley avenue southerly line .....	59.70
12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at McKinley avenue southerly line produced.....	61.24

<i>Nueva Avenue</i>	<i>Feet</i>
12 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 390 feet northerly from Blanken avenue.....	48.62
12 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 340 feet northerly from Blanken avenue .....	45.34
12 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 290 feet northerly from Blanken avenue .....	42.43
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 390 feet northerly from Blanken avenue .....	49.75
12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 340 feet northerly from Blanken avenue .....	46.37
12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 290 feet northerly from Blanken avenue .....	43.43
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
12 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Blanken avenue northerly line .....	26.60
(The same being the present Official Grade.)	
12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Blanken avenue northerly line .....	27.60
(The same being the present Official Grade.)	

On Nueva avenue between McKinley avenue and Blanken avenue be established to conform to true gradients between the elevations above given therefor.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### Adopted.

The following recommendation of His Honor the Mayor was taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Hon. William P. Wobber, Member City Planning Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3919, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. William P. Wobber, member of the City Planning Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of ninety days from and after the 1st of May, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### Distribution of Hetch Hetchy Power.

THE CLERK: Supervisor McSheehy?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Here is a resolution.

THE CLERK: Supervisor McSheehy presents the following:

(Code No. 15.09)

Resolution No. 3922, as follows:

"Whereas, since 1879, the Pacific Gas and Electric Company has had a franchise in the City and County of San Francisco for the sale of gas and electricity for illuminating purposes only; and

"Whereas, during most of this time and now, the said company, without any authority, has been distributing and selling gas and elec-



tricity for other than illuminating purposes in the City and County of San Francisco; and

"Whereas, this company's operation without a franchise for the distribution and sale of power (other than heat), has resulted in a loss to this City and County of over \$300,000 in each of the last several years which loss this City is now sustaining and will continue to suffer at the rate of approximately \$1,000 each day; and

"Whereas, the failure of the City to bring an action against the company for past delinquencies has permitted the running of the Statute of Limitations on its claims against the company and the loss to the City of several millions of dollars; now, therefore, be it

"Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby instructed to file suit forthwith on behalf of the City against the Pacific Gas and Electric Company to recover as damages the amounts due the City from the company by reason of its selling and disposing of gas and electricity for other than lighting purposes without any franchise or other legal right so to do."

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Mr. President?

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor McSheehy.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I would just like to say a word before I ask for the suspension of the rules, and that is this: As I state in this resolution—and I brought a similar resolution over a year ago—we are losing over a thousand dollars a day, and that is a lot of money, simply because the Pacific Gas and Electric Company has no franchise, and according to law they should be paying two per cent for the operation of their plant today, and that amounts to the huge sum of about \$300,000 a year.

In this resolution all I am asking is that action be brought against that company by our own City Attorney. We have had this matter up here several times, and I am going to ask at this time for a suspension of the rules and the adoption of this resolution so as to start the machinery in motion, because if you do suspend the rules and adopt this resolution under these conditions you will permit your City Attorney to bring an action—and every day that it is delayed there is that loss of between \$800 and \$1,000 every day, and time is running against it, the franchise is going on. Do you realize that the Pacific Gas and Electric Company are selling to the people of the City of San Francisco—their gross income is \$24,000,000 some odd hundred thousand dollars, annually, and it only takes \$30,000,000—the entire tax rate amounts to \$30,000,000; and just think, \$24,000,000, the gross receipts of this company, and they are running without a franchise.

So I say to you members of this Board I trust and hope under these conditions you will not send this to committee, because sending it to committee will mean delay, and I hope that you will suspend the rules and ask the City Attorney to bring action against the Pacific Gas and Electric Company.

I will move, under those conditions, members of the Board, the suspension of the rules.

SUPERVISOR MEAD: Second the motion.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: Mr. Chairman.

THE CHAIRMAN: Suspension of the rules has been requested. Is there objection?

SUPERVISOR REILLY: I object to the suspension of the rules, Mr. President. First of all, on the ground that I believe it is a very important question, the question of power, and it is a very important question to us at this time. This should go to committee, and that will not result in any unusual delay, I don't believe. There has been an unusual delay already, evidently several years' delay, but due to a case in Los Angeles that has been called to the attention of the members of the Board of Supervisors, and our officials, to the effect that we have the right to this revenue, or the granting of a new franchise according to the suggestion you are making in this resolution, and to receive revenue for San Francisco. Now that we want to do, but I don't believe we should take hasty action, and this is hasty legislation.

I believe that the Utilities Committee of the Board should go into the entire phase of this with the City Attorney to determine by law how many years we can go back in a retroactive figure, and before we jump at conclusions, be informed by our City Attorney, and have the recommendation of the Public Utilities Committee as to the action we should take. I trust the committee will meet this week and hear the subject matter of your resolution, but I am opposed at this time to hasty legislation. It should go to committee, and I oppose the suspension of the rules.

**SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY:** I realize the objection of one supervisor will naturally send it to committee.

**THE PRESIDENT:** Supervisor Colman?

**SUPERVISOR COLMAN:** Mr. President, a resolution—I don't know whether it is the same as this—did come before the Board, and it was brought at the request of the City Attorney, and it authorized the City Attorney to begin negotiations between the City and the company for the purpose of getting this income. That came from your committee, Supervisor Meyer, I think. Now that was postponed before because of Supervisor McSheehy. I think at that time some of us voted against further delay, but Supervisor McSheehy held it up. At that time we were ready to pass it. Now I agree with Supervisor Reilly, I don't know the wording of this resolution, I have just heard it read, but I agree with him that it should be gone over by the City Attorney and the Public Utilities Committee of our Board, and brought back to the Board with the proper recommendation after study. But the delay heretofore was, I think, three times our colleague, Supervisor McSheehy, asked to have it put over, and asked to have it referred back to his own committee. I may be in error on that,—am I, Mr. Chairman of the committee?

**SUPERVISOR MEYER:** Yes, that is correct.

**SUPERVISOR COLMAN:** That was my recollection. Now, if we have a different set up it ought to have the careful scrutiny of the City Attorney.

**SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY:** Mr. President, I realize that the objection of one member can have any resolution sent back to committee, but in reply to Supervisor Colman I want to say that this is an entirely different matter. It is not on all-fours in any shape or manner with the other. The other resolution was one in which the Pacific Gas and Electric Company asked us to ask them to apply for a franchise, which places us in an entirely different position.

However, Supervisor Reilly has objected, and so therefore it goes back to committee, and I have no objection—I could not object if I wanted to, because our rules are very strict.

**THE PRESIDENT:** Public Utilities Committee.

**SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY:** I have another resolution, Mr. President.

**THE CLERK:** Supervisor McSheehy presents the following:

(Code No. —)

Resolution No. 3923, as follows:

"Whereas, the United States District Court for the Northern District of California (Southern Division) recently rendered a decision declaring illegal the sale by the City and County of San Francisco to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company of hydro-electric power developed on the Hetch Hetchy project, resulting in a loss to the City of over \$2,000,000 a year; and

"Whereas, this decision makes imperative an amendment to the charter to permit the City to engage in the sale and distribution of electric energy produced on the Hetch Hetchy Project through revenue bonds; now, therefore, be it

"Resolved, That Charter Amendment No. 1, submitted to the voters of this City and County on the 9th day of March, 1937, entitled:



"Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the charter of the City and County of San Francisco by adding a new section thereto to be known as Section 121.1, relating to a system for the generation and distribution of hydro-electric power, and providing for the financing of the cost of said system by the issuance of bonds or other obligations payable wholly out of the revenue of said system, in an amount not to exceed \$50,000,000,"

be re-submitted to the voters at a special election to be consolidated with the state primary election to be held on the 30th day of August, 1938; and be it

"Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby requested to prepare the necessary legislation for the re-submission of Charter Amendment No 1."

SUPERVISOR REILLY: I move reference to committee, Mr. President. That is a very important matter.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Is that your resolution?

SUPERVISOR REILLY: No.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: As author of the resolution, I think I have a right to state my position. I would say naturally I am not going to ask for the suspension of the rules because I realize this resolution has to go to committee. Therefore, I suggest it be sent to the utilities committee of this board.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Mr. President.

THE PRESIDENT: It also considers the adoption of a charter amendment?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: I feel that it should go to the judiciary committee.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I have no objection.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Mr. President.

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Colman.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I would like, with your permission, the privilege, although perhaps out of order, I might go back to my name on roll call—I would like to have the privilege of briefly discussing the proposed amendment.

THE PRESIDENT: Upon the conclusion of the roll call we can re-refer to your name, but the resolution has gone to the judiciary committee.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Well, have you so ruled?

THE PRESIDENT: No, I really did not.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Before you rule, could I discuss it? It is a matter of such importance, and my own views may be different from those of other members of the Board, and it seems to me it would be timely, if you would allow me a few minutes.

THE PRESIDENT: The Chair has no objection; but not now. Immediately upon conclusion of the roll call then you can refer back to your name. Supervisor Roncovieri?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I think an error has been made by yourself, and by Supervisor McSheehy, in even presenting this at this time. I had the same thought, and took it up with the City Attorney, and with Mr. Cahill, and they declare that section 119 of our charter is controlling in the matter of the distribution of electricity or anything else. That section reads:

"Whenever the board of supervisors, as provided in sections 101 to 104, inclusive, of this charter, shall determine that the public interest or necessity demands the acquisition, construction and completion of any public utility or utilities by the city and county, or whenever the electors shall petition the supervisors, as provided in sections 105, 179 and 180 of this charter, for the acquisition of any public utility or utilities, the supervisors must procure a report from the public utilities commission thereon."

Mr. O'Toole, whom I consulted, bringing to him a copy of the charter amendment that had been voted on and defeated, said, "You must

follow Section 119 of the charter," and I have had Mr. O'Toole prepare a resolution which I will present under my name, which calls upon the public utilities commission to lay out the plan, and tell us the cost. If you adopt the resolution presented by Supervisor McSheehy, everything would go awry, because Mr. Cahill has declared to me that Plan 7, which he recommended, and which we adopted, is no longer in its entirety, as written, entirely applicable. Many things have happened since Plan 7 was submitted. The Pacific Gas and Electric Company has invested millions of dollars in improvements. That alters largely the situation as it was then, and as it is now. It is possible that when the—Mr. Cahill declared at that time that the cost would be approximately \$50,000,000. He said to me it is up very materially; just how much I can't say, but I would not be surprised if it went up to 55 or even 60 million dollars. So that plan which called for the sum of \$50,000,000 is out of date, and it must be studied further, and this morning in a further consultation with Mr. Cahill he said it would take several months to prepare a new plan—based upon Plan 7, of course—that was the meat of the whole cocoon—to bring it up to date, and he said it would take several months to do that. I asked him if it was possible to finish it in time to submit it to the people at the August primary, and he said he doubted very much if he could do so, and that after all, being a charter amendment, it would not take effect on the vote of the people, if the people should adopt it, that it would have to wait until at least January of the coming year so that the legislature would approve the amendment as adopted by the people, if the people did adopt it, and he said there is no real hurry, and I need several months. He tried to consult Mr. Ost over the phone, who was not in, but he said it is very difficult for me to give you an approved plan in time for the August primaries.

I say this, because, while it would do no harm to go to the judiciary committee, nothing can come from that resolution at present, and later on I will start the wheels moving in the direction that Supervisor McSheehy desires by presenting a resolution in consonance with Section 119, and this has been prepared by the City Attorney, and they both approved the method.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Mr. President?

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Colman.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: You allowed Supervisor Roncovieri to speak on the same line, he is speaking on that, and I would like the privilege of speaking briefly on the question.

THE PRESIDENT: I don't think that I can deny it. I don't feel you are referring back to your name now, you are discussing as to what committee it should go, is that correct?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: No, I am discussing the matter along the same line as Supervisor Roncovieri.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, objection has been made to the consideration of the resolution at this time, and reference has been asked to committee.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Yes, but—

THE PRESIDENT: And Supervisor Roncovieri was showing that the resolution was in error, and it was out of order. Proceed.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: My point is this: I think Supervisor McSheehy's motion is out of order—not out of order, but untimely, for this reason:—

THE PRESIDENT: He has not made any motion.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: His resolution which has been referred to committee.

THE PRESIDENT: That we are considering referring to committee.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: The Board of Supervisors have gone on record requesting the City Attorney to appear before the United States Court for the purpose of establishing the point that San Francisco is already reasonably legally complying with the Raker Act. It is quite true that the decision was rendered as stated by Supervisor Ron-



covieri, but the public utilities commission immediately authorized the City Attorney to take an appeal of that case to a higher court, the United States Court of Appeals, I think it is called, and the City Attorney feels, and the public utilities commission feels—

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Mr. President, may I ask—

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: —that this should be determined by the highest court of the land—

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I would like the City Attorney to be sent for.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I have no objection. But in view of that fact—

SUPERVISOR REILLY: I rise to a point of order.

THE PRESIDENT: State your point of order.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: The point of order is that this entire discussion is out of order.

THE PRESIDENT: It is all out of order, but I have allowed Supervisor Roncovieri latitude, and it is difficult to refuse Supervisor Colman. However, if you raise the point of order that Supervisor Colman is out of order—

SUPERVISOR REILLY: I raise the point of order.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I wish you wouldn't do that. I will be through in two or three minutes.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: I withdraw my point of order.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Will you ask the City Attorney to come here, Mr. President?

THE PRESIDENT: Well, just a minute, about the City Attorney. We are not going into any discussion of this resolution, and if Supervisor McSheehy will state why he wants the City Attorney brought here I will be in a position to determine whether to do that or not.

SUPERVISOR UHL: May I say that under my name, under roll call, I am going to ask for the City Attorney to come in, and I have some questions I want to ask about this.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I have here several questions that I carefully compiled on that decision. I think the entire Board is interested, and therefore I ask you kindly to send for the City Attorney.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I will be through in a moment.

THE PRESIDENT: Very well, send for the City Attorney.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I claim the next step in the city's interest is to appeal the decision to a higher court, and I think action has been taken along that line. For the Board of Supervisors to now introduce an amendment along this line is a practical admission of the fact that the city's case is weak, and that in the opinion of this Board the city is not complying with the Raker Act. I think that position is inconsistent, and it is not my sincere belief, nor is it the expressed opinion of the Board of Supervisors or of the City Attorney in the brief which he filed before Judge Roche. Also in the decision of Judge Roche he ruled that this contract was not legal. It might be that the City could enter into another contract which is legal. Also there is another course open to the City—a logical consistent course, and that is, after we are fully aware of the legal status of the City—and you will recall that was our purpose, to find that out, in the telegram we sent to the Secretary of the Interior—after we find that out, the next logical step would be to try to have the Raker Act amended by Congress, and have the particular section either amended or stricken out. Now that is my firm belief in the matter, that we are doing harm to nobody, that we are doing great harm to our people to lose this revenue, and we should make every effort to conserve this revenue. The question is a long way from being permanently settled, and we are a long way from settling the legal questions; and that is my thought, that Supervisor McSheehy's motion is not in order, and is not timely.

THE PRESIDENT: Judiciary committee.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: May I say this—I am asking for the same privilege granted to Supervisor Roncovieri, and Supervisor Colman. I won't take much of your time—

**THE PRESIDENT:** I just want to say to the members of the Board, you can't blame the chair for trying to enforce the rules, and when one man is given latitude every member of the Board has a right and privilege to speak. I permitted Supervisor Roncovieri to speak out of order, Supervisor Colman has spoken out of order, and now Supervisor McSheehy wants the same privilege, and I can't stop him.

**SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY:** All right, I won't abuse it; I simply say this to Supervisor Roncovieri: I think, Supervisor Roncovieri, we are entirely in accord, with one thought in mind, and that is to present to the people of San Francisco a bond issue for the purpose of purchasing a distributing system. I won't go into details—I won't attempt it—but if you have a resolution that is better than the one I have asked to be presented to committee, very well, if it goes to the same committee I can assure you as chairman of that committee it will receive due consideration, and the proper consideration, irrespective of any resolution that I introduce, because I don't look upon the verbiage of a resolution as meaning anything, it is the intent of the resolution which is important. I say this to the members of the Board, I naturally noted in the press that you contemplated presenting a resolution—or one day you contemplated it, and another day it was not contemplated, but irrespective of what you do I know what I am going to do, and trying to do, and what I will do, if I can receive the support of six members of this Board, and that is to present an ordinance to the City of San Francisco asking them to authorize revenue bonds to the amount of \$50,000,000, or thereabouts, for the purpose of a distributing system for our hydro-electric power. We have a huge investment there, and if you go into the details you will find it amounts to approximately \$160,000,000—that includes the interest that has been spent on this project. So I say it is a very important matter that is before us, and let's not quibble on who introduced the resolution. Let's introduce the correct resolution, and let's have the resolution presented in the form that the majority of the people will adopt.

**SUPERVISOR REILLY:** I would like to comment on the resolution.

**THE PRESIDENT:** Proceed, Supervisor Reilly.

**SUPERVISOR REILLY:** I would just like to say this, that I am equally interested with Supervisor McSheehy and the others to determine some course to follow on the problem of power and the possible revenue for San Francisco; but I can't at this time let the controversy go by without just out of line a little reminding the members of the Board that this contract was a 24-hour contract, and if some of those who are so serious about this water problem had attacked it some time previous to today, there was nothing to stop this Board, or any member of the Board, from attempting to break the contract. Probably it should have been broken. I don't know. But certainly we should give a little time and consideration to it, and it has been carried along, and in checking the record, as far as I can, I don't think any member of this Board has ever attempted to break the contract with the P. G. & E. I think that probably should have been done a long time ago, and I am not going to be frightened at this time into following somebody's ideas on power. I am going to give it consideration, but I do say, I wonder why a contract has been allowed to go so long without any attempt having been made to break it.

**THE PRESIDENT:** The matter has been referred to the public utilities committee—

**THE CLERK:** Judiciary committee. There is a charter amendment involved.

**THE PRESIDENT:** The judiciary committee, yes.

\* \* \* \* \*

**THE CLERK:** Supervisor Roncovieri?

**SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI:** I will ask that this resolution be read.



THE CLERK: Supervisor Roncovieri presents the following:

(Code No. ....)

Resolution No. 3928, as follows:

"Whereas, the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco provides that it is the declared purpose and intention of the people of the City and County, when public interest and necessity demand, that public utilities shall be gradually acquired and ultimately owned by the City and County; and

"Whereas, by reason of the decision of the District Court of the United States, in and for the Northern District of California, it has been determined that the present method of distributing the hydro-electric power generated on the Hetch Hetchy system is a violation of Section 6 of the Raker Act; and

"Whereas, by reason of said decision public interest and necessity demand the acquisition of a municipal distribution system for the purpose of distributing directly to the people of San Francisco the hydro-electric power generated on the Hetch Hetchy project; now, therefore, be it

"Resolved, That the public utilities commission of the City and County of San Francisco be requested to submit a report to this Board of Supervisors for the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco of a distribution system for the purpose of distributing the hydro-electric power generated on the Hetch Hetchy project directly to the people of San Francisco; said system to be in accordance with Plan No. 7 heretofore submitted by said Public Utilities Commission to this Board of Supervisors, and said Public Utilities Commission is hereby requested to bring said Plan No. 7 down to the present date."

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Mr. Chairman, I would request that this be sent to committee for study, and that they report as early as possible—that is, the public utilities committee?

THE PRESIDENT: No, judiciary committee.

THE CLERK: This is not a charter amendment.

THE PRESIDENT: Isn't it? I thought it was. Perhaps you are right.

THE CLERK: Utilities committee. It is the same as it was before, it is just asking for a report.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I think—I would like to be corrected if I am wrong—but there was a special committee that was appointed before, the public utilities committee of this Board, and the finance committee, when we studied the various plans submitted by Mr. Cahill. If that is the way it was done, probably it should be done that way this time.

THE PRESIDENT: We have a very fine public utilities committee; let it go to the public utilities committee.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Mr. President, not to conflict, I don't want to get into any debate, but I introduced a resolution, and we won't talk about which one is right or wrong, but you referred it to the judiciary committee—

THE PRESIDENT: Yes, yours is a proposed charter amendment.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Well, this does likewise, doesn't it?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Oh, no.

THE PRESIDENT: No, this is an appeal to the commission to render a report to this Board. Entirely different.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Asking the public utilities commission to report to this Board on the Plan 7.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: To me it doesn't matter what committee it goes to. As Supervisor Roncovieri said, there was a special Hetch Hetchy Committee appointed a couple of years ago, that consisted of a number of members of the Board, and the last matter that was brought in was brought in by that particular committee. If you want to refer it to that committee, it is all right. I would rather see

it go to a large committee, because some of us are looking for results, irrespective of what committee it goes to.

THE PRESIDENT: I have sent your resolution to the judiciary committee.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: If you want to send this to the public utilities committee, I have no objection. I would ask that you send mine to the utilities committee, because I don't want to see a duplication.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, then, I will refer it to a joint committee of public utilities, and judiciary.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: That is satisfactory to me. Both resolutions will be referred to that joint committee?

THE PRESIDENT: That is correct.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: And I recognize Supervisor Meyer as the chairman of the joint committee, you having named the joint committees of the public utilities and judiciary, and he stated a meeting would be called for next Friday, at two o'clock. That is satisfactory to me, and I would ask that the clerk send the proper notices.

SUPERVISOR MEYER: That means-Supervisor Ratto, and Supervisor Mead.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: That is satisfactory to me.

THE CLERK: A letter addressed to the "Honorable Warren Shannon, President, Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, California," reading as follows:

"April 12, 1938.

"Dear Supervisor Shannon:

"I have just been informed by the Interior Department that the Federal court has decided against the city in the Hetch Hetchy power case, and has sustained the government on every point. Thus far I have not seen the decision, so I am not personally familiar with its details.

"In my opinion, the logical thing for the city to do now is to do exactly what Los Angeles did two years ago and file suit against the P. G. & E., to restrain the corporation from further illegal use of the streets for the distribution and sale of electricity and gas for any purpose other than lighting. The city should file at the same time corollary suits for damages against the company for illegal use of the streets in the past, within the statute of limitations. It should then immediately begin negotiations with the P. G. & E. for the purchase of its distribution system.

"As I have told you before, Los Angeles succeeded in compelling the Los Angeles Gas and Electric Company to stipulate in court that the franchise under which it was operating two years ago did not authorize it to distribute or sell either electricity or gas for any purpose other than lighting, and the city exacted from the Los Angeles Gas and Electric Company an agreement to sell its electric distribution system to the city at a price to be fixed by arbitration before it would grant the company a new franchise of which it was in absolute need.

"The present situation in San Francisco is on all fours with the situation which existed in Los Angeles when that city finally acquired the private distribution system. It would be nothing short of treachery to the best interests of the City for San Francisco to pursue any course other than exactly the same course which Los Angeles pursued two years ago. The City ought to retain as an advisor one of the legal experts of the Los Angeles Water and Power Bureau who was connected with the litigation to which I have referred.

"At the same time, in my opinion, the city should prepare a charter amendment authorizing the issuance of revenue bonds for the purchase of the electric distribution system from the Pacific Gas and Electric Company in San Francisco. Unless the experts of the Public Utilities Commission raise some valid objection I can see no reason why this charter amendment should not be identical with the one submitted to the voters last year. The date of submission of this charter amend-



ment should be fixed by the Board of Supervisors at a time which it considers most appropriate and favorable to its passage.

"If I have seemed to state my opinions on this subject in rather positive terms, please pardon me, for as you know I have been vitally interested in this matter for many years. San Francisco is confronted with an extremely critical problem, which should be dealt with in forceful and forthright manner for the public good.

"With kindest regards, Sincerely yours, Franck R. Havenner."

THE PRESIDENT: I will refer that to the joint committee.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Sidewalk Elevator on Market Street, Between Van Ness Avenue and Franklin Street, Declared Nuisance.

(Code No. ....)

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Resolution No. 3826, as follows:

Whereas, John A. Sullivan, owner of certain property situate on the northwest side of Market Street between Van Ness Avenue and Franklin Street is maintaining in said street in front of his said property certain structures, to-wit, certain sidewalk elevators and certain retaining walls which unlawfully obstruct the free passage and use of said Market Street between Van Ness Avenue and Franklin Street, and does unduly interfere with the traffic over said street and the improvement of said street; now, therefore, it is hereby

Declared by the Board of Supervisors that the aforesaid obstructions maintained by the owner of the aforesaid property do constitute and are a public nuisance, and the City Attorney be and he is hereby directed to abate said nuisance forthwith pursuant to the provisions of Section 4156 of the Political Code of the State of California.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

### Adopted.

The following resolutions were presented and *adopted*:

Appointment of Citizens Committee for American National Red Cross Convention, May 2-5, 1938.

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Brown presented:

Resolution No. 3921, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor, the Mayor, be requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee to represent the City and County of San Francisco at the forthcoming convention of the American National Red Cross, to be held in San Francisco, May 2 to 5, inclusive, 1938 and to extend the hospitality and courtesy of the City and County of San Francisco to Hon. Harry H. Woodring, Secretary of War, and to other dignitaries during their visits in San Francisco for that occasion.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Resolution Favoring Passage of the Wagner-Van Nuys Bill (H. R. 1504) to Stop Lynch Law.

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 3924, as follows:

Whereas, in response to rising indignation of the American people, as expressed through individual and organized protests, against the

crime of lynching which has taken the lives of more than 5,000 persons, men and women, Negro and white, despite the efforts of and in many cases with the connivance of local law enforcement agencies, there has been introduced in the Congress of the United States by Senators Robert Wagner of New York and Frederick Van Nuys of Indiana a bill designated Act H. R. 1504 which provides for the federal enforcement of law against the crime of lynching; and

Whereas, this measure now lies in committee of the United States Senate, where it was referred after a filibuster against it; and

Whereas, the enactment of this bill will be of vital significance to the people of California and of San Francisco, raising the status of the Negro people in their respective communities, ensuring the ability of democratic processes to withstand strain at points of great pressure, contributing to a spirit of peace and harmony, and expressing the true cosmopolitan and progressive spirit of this state and city; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the President and the Senate of the United States are hereby respectfully urged to enact the Wagner-Van Nuys Anti-Lynching Bill before the close of the present session of Congress; and be it further

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to prepare and transmit copies of this Resolution to the President and to Senators William McAdoo and Hiram Johnson.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **President to Appoint Committee—Salinas Rodeo.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Resolution No. 3925, as follows:

Resolved, That the President of this Board be and is hereby authorized and requested to appoint a Committee of Supervisors to attend and represent the City and County of San Francisco at the Salinas Rodeo to be held at Salinas from July 14 to July 17, inclusive.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **Mayor to Appoint Citizens' Committee—"Union Label Week".**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 3927, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby directed to appoint a Citizens' Committee for the proper observance of "Union Label Week," May 8 to 15, inclusive:

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **Leave of Absence—Supervisor Arthur M. Brown, Jr.**

(Code No. 4.053)

On recommendation of His Honor the Mayor:

Resolution No. 3929, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the request of his Honor the Mayor, Supervisor Arthur M. Brown, Jr., is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of seven (7) days commencing April 19, 1938, with permission to leave the state.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.



**Committee to Attend Los Banos Barbecue.**

Supervisor Brown moved that the Chair appoint a committee of three to represent the Board at barbecue to be held at Los Banos, commencing April 29, 1938.

*Motion carried.*

**Mayor's Public Hearing on Budget and Co-ordinated Program for Exposition Participation.**

Communication from His Honor, the Mayor read by the Clerk, in which he announces public hearing on the Budget and invites members of the Board to be present. The Mayor also expresses the desire that a committee of the Board confer with him, following public hearings on the Budget, to the end that there may be formulated a co-ordinated program for Exposition participation and for the financing of such participation.

*Exposition committee appointed to confer with the Mayor.*

**Salary Standardization.**

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Finance and Judiciary Committee take up immediately the question of salary standardization.

*Motion referred to Joint Finance and Judiciary Committee.*

**Comparative Statement of Electrical Rates.**

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Public Utilities Commission and Mr. Randall Ellis furnish him, for comparison purposes, the rates charged for electricity for domestic and commercial purposes by the Pacific Gas & Electric Company, with and without taxation, and the rates charged by the Municipal Power Commission of the City of Los Angeles, for the month of April, 1938.

*So ordered.*

**Proposed Charter Amendment—Power Distribution System.**

Communication received from Franck R. Havenner, urging the re-submission of proposal for Charter Amendment to provide for acquisition of power distribution system to be financed by means of revenue bonds.

*Referred to joint Public Utilities and Judiciary Committee.*

**Milk Supply and Labor Disputes Affecting Same.**

Communication from "Committee of 43" re milk supply and labor disputes affecting same, received and referred to Public Welfare Committee.

**Meeting Announcements.**

Fire, Safety and Police, April 22, 10 a. m.

Joint Public Utilities and Judiciary, April 22, 2 p. m.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board of Supervisors at 3:45 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

MONDAY, APRIL 18, 1938.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, April 25, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.



Vol. 33

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

No. 18

Monday, April 25, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.

Monday, April 22, 1884

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, APRIL 25, 1938, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, April 25, 1938,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

Supervisor Mead requested and was granted leave to retire at 3 p. m.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of April 18, 1938, was considered read and approved.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

### Hearing of Protests of Property Owners Against Assessment Warrant or Diagram for Improvement of Several Streets.

Hearing of protests of property owners against assessment warrant or diagram for improvement of Duncan street between Diamond and Castro streets, proposed diagonal street between the southwest corner of Twenty-seventh and Castro streets and Duncan street, by construction of sewers, etc. M. J. Lynch, contractor.

The foregoing hearing was announced and no protest being made the Clerk was directed to so notify the Department of Public Works.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

### Hearing of Appeal, Rezoning of Southeast Corner California and Scott Streets.

Appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1762, dated March 17, 1938, denying application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the southeast corner of California and Scott streets.

Marshall Leahy, representing Mrs. Rayfish, and S. J. Wall, representing Gilmore Oil Co., were heard in opposition to the decision.

W. M. Willet, 2028 Scott street; Lilly O. Nestor, 2701 California street; Annie Scanlon, Mrs. Dr. T. H. Shumate, Frank Corneau, property owners, and Clarence Drucker, representing Mrs. Drucker of 1290 Grove street, were heard in opposition to the rezoning.

Mark Jorgenson, representing the City Planning Commission, was also heard.

## Action Deferred.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Uhl, the foregoing matter was laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for 2 p. m. Monday, May 2, 1938.

**Adopted.**

The following resolution was *adopted* on motion of Supervisor Reilly by the following vote:

**Fixing Date for Hearing of Appeal, Rezoning of Northeast Corner of Alemany Boulevard and Geneva Avenue.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 3930, as follows:

Resolved, That the time for hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission, denying application to rezone property located at the northeast corner of Alemany boulevard and Geneva avenue from First Residential District to Commercial District, is hereby set for Monday, May 2, 1938, at 2 o'clock p. m.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**UNFINISHED BUSINESS.****Re-referred.**

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was on motion *re-referred to said Committee*:

**Abolishing Position of Bond and Ordinance Clerk and Creating Position of General Clerk-Stenographer, Board of Supervisors.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 1554, Ordinance No. 9.053125, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 3 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 by abolishing Item 4 and by inserting Item 7½ to read 1 B408 General Clerk-Stenographer at \$155.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 3 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 3. BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	11		Supervisors .....	\$ 200
2	1	B88	Chief Assistant Clerk of the Board of Supervisors .....	400
3	1	B90	Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.....	550
5	1	B222	General Clerk .....	175
6	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
7	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	160
7½	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
8	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
9	3	B413	Assistant Clerk—Board of Supervisors..	250
10	1	D4	Sergeant-at-Arms, Board of Supervisors	200
11	2	O1	Chauffeur .....	250

**Final Passage.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee heretofore passed for second reading were taken up:

**Appropriation of \$3,600 for Payment of Interest and Legal Expenses, Tax Anticipation Notes.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1555, Ordinance No. 9.051466, as follows:

Appropriating \$3,600 from the Emergency Reserve Fund—\$3,000 to the credit of Appropriation No. 726.803.01 for the payment of interest



on tax anticipation notes, and \$600 to the credit of Appropriation No. 726.803.01-1 for the payment of legal expense in connection with the sale of tax anticipation notes.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$3,600 is hereby appropriated from the Emergency Reserve Fund—\$3,000 to the credit of Appropriation No. 726.803.01 for the payment of interest on tax anticipation notes, and \$600 to the credit of Appropriation No. 726.803.01-1 for the payment of legal expense in connection with the sale of tax anticipation notes.

Section 2. This appropriation is made necessary to meet the actual obligations incurred in connection with the sale of tax anticipation notes, as insufficient funds were heretofore appropriated for the purpose.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Recommended by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **Appropriation of \$335 for Continuance of Position of City Planning Draftsman in the City Planning Commission.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1556, Ordinance No. 9.051467, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$335 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 761.101.00 for the purpose of providing funds for the continuance of the position of City Planning Draftsman in the City Planning Commission for the balance of the fiscal year.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$335 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 761.101.00 for the purpose of providing funds for the continuance of the position of City Planning Draftsman in the City Planning Commission for the balance of the fiscal year.

Section 2. This appropriation is made necessary to provide funds for the uninterrupted operation of this department.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Recommended by Mark Jorgensen, Secretary, City Planning Commission.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### **NEW BUSINESS.**

#### **Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

#### **Refunds of Erroneous Payments of Taxes.**

(Code No. 9.059)

Resolution No. 3931, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized

to be paid to the following named, being refunds of erroneous payments of taxes:

*From Duplicate Tax Fund—Appropriation No. 905.*

(1) Joanna F. Harrington, per Vol. 26, Bill 934, Lot 96, Block 3763, both installments, fiscal year 1936.....	\$ 33.30
(2) Vida M. Ray, per Vol. 21, Bill 688, Lot 4, Block 2988A, both installments, fiscal year 1936 .....	268.66
(3) Mrs. D. Giannelli, per Vol. 28, Bill 956, Lot 1, Block 4611, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937 .....	15.48
(4) Eugene I. Mariani, per Vol. 21, Bill 179, Lot 24, Block 2971, both installments, fiscal year 1937 .....	53.80
(5) William R. Hagerty, per Vol. 8, Bill 1400, Lot 26, Block 1255, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937 .....	54.19
(6) Northern Counties Title Ins. Co., per Vol. 22, Bill 2095, Lot 5E, Block 3211, 2d installment, fiscal year 1937.....	13.55
(7) G. R. Oppie, per Vol. 44, Bill 800, Lot 35-37, \$31.90; Vol. 44, Bill 795, Lot 35-37, \$32.16; Vol. 43, Bill 1534, Lot 35-37, \$32.84; all block 7115, both installments, fiscal years 1935, 1936 and 1934, respectively .....	96.90
(8) Sarah L. Morris, per Vol. 18, Bill 2135, Lot 9, Block 2465A, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937 .....	7.85

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Acceptance of California Street Cable Railroad Franchise Percentage for Calendar Year 1937.**

(Code No. 15.09)

Also, Resolution No. 3932, as follows:

Resolved, That the statement of the California Street Cable Railroad Company showing gross receipts from passenger fares for the calendar year 1937, upon which a percentage in the sum of \$4,436.27 is due the City and County of San Francisco, is hereby accepted; and be it

Further Resolved, That the California Street Cable Railroad is hereby directed to deposit with the Treasurer of the City and County the above mentioned sum of \$4,436.27, the same to be placed to the credit of the General Fund.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Land Acquisition—Nineteenth Avenue Widening.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3933, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties or the legal owners certain parcels of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, for the sums set forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 951.908.57, Project No. 5-A:

Alice Kerr and George R. Kerr, portion of Lot 14, Assessor's Block 1774 .....\$ 610

Theresia Steinauer and Matilda T. Altvater, portion of Lot 1, Assessor's Block 1834 ..... 1,000

The above sums include damages in full to the improvements now located on the property, said improvements to be relocated by the grantors within thirty days after recording the deed to the City.

Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of



the Director of Property from the above-named parties for a particular description of said parcels of land.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved by Joseph J. Phillips, Director of Property.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Approval of Public Welfare Department Recommendations.

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 3934, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Public Welfare Department containing the names and amounts to be paid as Old Age Security Aid, Blind Pensions and Widows' Pensions for the month of May, 1938 (and prior thereto), including amounts, decreases, cancellations and denials and other transactions, are hereby approved and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is directed to transmit this approval to the Controller; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors declares an extension of time as necessary in certain cases as requested by the Public Welfare Department.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

### Amendment to Salary Ordinance, Assessor's Office.

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 1563, Ordinance No. 9.053126, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 5 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 by adding Item 16½—1 B352 Storekeeper (part-time) at \$79.50 a month, which item was omitted through a clerical oversight when this section was amended by Ordinance No. 9.053123 on March 14, 1938.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 5 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 is hereby amended to read as follows:

#### Section 5. ASSESSOR

Item	No. of Class			Maximum Monthly Rate
No.	Employees	No.	Class Title	
1	1		Assessor . . . . .	\$ 666.66
2	1	B52	Research Assistant . . . . .	250
3	1	B120	Supervisor, Accounts and Records	
			Assessor's Office . . . . .	300
4	2	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	250
5	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	200
6	1	B418	Confidential Secretary (Ex. Sec. 28) . . . . .	200
7	8	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	190
8	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	300
9	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	190
10	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	200
11	1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	300

## Section 5. ASSESSOR (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
12	1	B235	Director of Service .....	250
13	1	B242	Blockbook Draftsman .....	225
14	1	B302	Addressing Machine Operator .....	155
15	2	B310a	Tabulating Alphabetic Key Punch Operator .....	155
16	1	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator .....	165
16½	1	B352	Storekeeper (part-time) .....	79.50
17	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
18	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
19	2	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
20	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	190
21	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
22	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman.....	200
23	1	G4	Supervising Land Appraiser .....	300
24	1	G5	Chief Land Appraiser .....	330
25	4	G10	Supervising Building Appraiser .....	300
26	1	G11	Chief Building Appraiser .....	330
27	5	G16	Supervising Personal Property Appraisers .....	300
28	1	G17	Chief Personal Property Appraiser....	330
29	1	G20	Chief Assistant Assessor .....	400
30*	11	B222	General Clerks .....	160
31*	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	150
32			Seasonal Clerical Services and other temporary services at rates not in excess of salary standardization schedules.	

\*Occupants of these positions are paid from appropriations for temporary services and have acquired permanent status under the rule of the Civil Service Commission adopted pursuant to Section 148 of the Charter.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### Final Passage.

The following Emergency Bills, recommended by the Finance Committee, were taken up:

#### Appropriation of \$5,000 for Compensation of Visiting Judges.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1564, Ordinance No. 9.051469, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$5,000 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 721.900.00 for the purpose of paying the compensation of visiting judges; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$5,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 721.900.00, for the purpose of paying the compensation of visiting judges.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists in order to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the Superior Court, as this depart-



ment is without funds to compensate visiting judges essential to its conduct.

Approved as to form by Dion R. Holm, Assistant City Attorney.

Recommended by Thomas S. Mulvey, Superior Court.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **Appropriation of \$1,500, Court Reporting, Superior Court.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1565, Ordinance No. 9.051470, as follows:

Authorizing an appropriation of \$1,500 from the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 723.106.00 to provide for the cost of court reporting in the Superior Court, Department 2, Juvenile; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$1,500 is hereby appropriated and set aside from the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 723.106.00 to provide for the cost of court reporting in the Superior Court, Department 2, Juvenile.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists, as immediate action is required to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the Superior Court, Department 2, Juvenile.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by Frank H. Dunne, Superior Court.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **Appropriation of \$5,000, Raker Act Litigation.**

(Code No. 9.051)

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

Bill No. 1566, Ordinance No. 9.051471, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$5,000 out of the surplus existing in the Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 767.604.00 for the purpose of paying expenses in connection with the Raker Act litigation.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$5,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 767.604.00 for the purpose of paying expenses in connection with the Raker Act litigation.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by Edward G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities.

Approved by Forrest B. Gibbon, Secretary, Public Utilities Commission.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

### Discussion.

**THE PRESIDENT:** Number 11 on the Calendar, the appropriation of \$5,000, Raker Act litigation.

**SUPERVISOR UHL:** Supervisor McSheehy asked temporary postponement.

**SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY:** Yes. Mr. President, and members of the Board, I have asked the City Attorney to be here. He was also asked by Supervisor Uhl to be here on another matter. I wanted to ask the City Attorney about this appropriation, and I have asked him the direct question: Does the expenditure of this money come out of the surplus of the Hetch Hetchy power operating fund? Of course, that eventually finds itself into our own budget, or the water department, so it is six of one and half a dozen of the other. So I am asking the City Attorney about this expenditure.

**MR. O'TOOLE:** Well, that amount of \$5,000—I think it has been mostly expended already—but under the authority given in the charter, when this litigation was about to be tried the Public Utilities Commission desired to get assistant counsel who was familiar with the early history of this Hetch Hetchy contract, and on the recommendation of the manager of utilities and with the approval of the commission, the approval of the Mayor, they retained Mr. Robert Searls. A portion of this sum will go for that purpose. The other will be—some of it, I suppose another five or six hundred dollars, will go to pay for the transcript of the testimony taken on the trial, and the remainder will go to provide, when it gets to another court—in other words, we can't fight without the sinews of war, Supervisor. That is about all I know. I don't know as I could break it down any more than that. Mr. Searls stated that if he were to fix his fee in advance he would necessarily have to fix a much higher fee, and if we would pay him as it went along it would be much more reasonable. Now when you come to litigation that means to the city the sum of two or three million dollars a year it is rather sizeable litigation and deserves an adequate fee.

**SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY:** Mr. President, and members of the Board: This matter is an old matter, has been before this Board some twenty odd years, right in the Board for 12 years, the simple matter of our own investment in Hetch Hetchy. We have made that investment, and you have heard me tell you what it amounts to, that it amounts to the sum of \$160,000,000.

Now I know Mr. Searls. I was on this Board quite a number of years ago when Mr. Searls was assistant City Attorney, and he was, and now is, an advocate of the present 24 hour contract. I think Mr. Searles wrote that contract, and is a known advocate of selling this power to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company. Mr. Searls is a good lawyer, but he doesn't think as some members of this Board are thinking, or as some of these members some 12 years ago thought, and he doesn't think as the majority of the people of San Francisco today think; and I remember well when every advocate of the present method of selling the power to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company were defeated for the office that they aspired, even including his own superior officer. There has been no change that I know of. We have tried three different times to purchase—to have the people of this city authorize bonds to purchase that power distributing system, and three times the Pacific Gas and Electric Company have defeated that; and it was only the other day that it was broadcast in our own papers, that their expenditures in 1937, boldly stated, that they had expended the sum of \$25,234, for the purpose of defeating the last bond issue—a year ago last March. Now it is a sad condition when a company that sells an output to this city of twenty-four million and one hundred and some odd thousand dollars can go to the people and say, "Yes, I have spent this money, what are you going to do about it?" It goes in as an expenditure, and you will have to pay for it as increased rates. Now you are asking some of the members of this Board, who have been



consistently advocating the sale of our own power, to approve an expenditure of \$5,000 for the purpose of going out, and asking the repeal of the decision of Judge Roche in reference to this all important matter. You are asking some of us to employ a man who, in season and out of season, has advocated the power contract as it is written today; and who is the author of the particular contract in question. There is no one who has, in season and out of season, advocated the power contract more than Attorney Searls. Now I have got to be right with myself as a member of this Board, and I can't, and will not allow myself to vote for an appropriation of this kind under these conditions.

MR. O'TOOLE: Just let me ask you a question: We have been given six months by Judge Roche, when the injunction will become effective. There is no more chance of San Francisco distributing its power within six months, whether it is buy or build, than there is of my reaching up to the ceiling while standing on the floor. Now as far as Mr. Searls is concerned, under the direction of this Board, and with the approval of the Utilities Commission, the City Attorney—not the direction of this Board, but on the motion of Supervisor Shannon, the Secretary of the Interior was requested to bring suit to determine the legality of this contract, and the matter is in the courts now. All that you say of Mr. Searls may be absolutely true. I am no advocate of the Pacific Gas and Electric, and I guess, as far as my record in San Francisco, it will compare with anyone else's on the question of public ownership; but as a lawyer, when I have a job to do it is my duty to throw everything I have into the case to win that case, and I wouldn't want anybody sitting at my side assisting me who was convinced that the other side of the controversy was the right one. As long as we were sent into court it was the sworn duty of the City Attorney, and every assistant in his office, to make every effort to win the case. When the case is finally determined—And Judges are not always right, and I am offering no criticism of the opinion of Judge Roche, but still I can say that I differ with it in a great many particulars, and that does not mean I am not for public ownership. This \$5,000 will be used, not only in this litigation, but to carry out the purposes of your next resolution, number 15 on the Calendar, wherein I was directed on your motion to negotiate with the Pacific Gas and Electric Company and see what we should do toward recovering back pay to the city due on amounts of electricity sold in the city; and if I didn't think we needed \$5,000 I wouldn't ask for it. As I say, most of it has been already expended, or I should say has already been incurred, and we have a moral obligation to pay it. As far as the \$5,000 is concerned it will make no difference in the stand of the City Attorney on Municipal ownership. The City Attorney will continue to fight this case until somebody in authority tells him not to; and as I say, it is very difficult to carry on litigation, especially in the Federal court, where the fees are very high, without the sinews of war.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I am not differing with the City Attorney as to his duty, but I have a duty as a supervisor that I want to perform as my conscience dictates. I want to be consistent, and I have advocated throughout the city distribution of our own power. I have gone into details, and given a lot of time to it, probably as much in time as anyone on this Board; and I can not consistently vote for this appropriation of \$5,000. I am sorry if our City Attorney has allowed himself to go so far as to spend some of this money—

MR. O'TOOLE: Oh, don't worry about that.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: —and I am very desirous of not having any personal difference between you and I, because I don't want that. I am sorry, nevertheless, but I say to this Board, you voted—you advocated, the membership of this Board, to place before the People of this city a bond issue for the purpose of distributing our own power. We had a wonderful bond issue, well written, and I

hope this Board in its wisdom will again attempt to place before the people of the City and County a bond issue saying that they can expend \$50,000,000 for the purpose of distributing our own hydro electric power.

MR. O'TOOLE: That is in the progress of preparation now.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I know it is; and I say to you, and to the membership of this Board, if the Board took a firm stand against the appeal of this decision, and not attempt to appeal it, and carried it forth to the people in the form of a bond issue, asking the people to issue revenue bonds for that amount of money so that they could distribute their own power, so that we could give the people of this city cheap electricity, and so that we could place this city in the position Los Angeles is in today, I think we would have accomplished something. Our city is going back, not forward. I say this to you, members of the Board, and I say it earnestly, and I will say it, and broadcast it wherever I can: It is up to this Board. This Board has the responsibility. You can blow hot, and you can blow cold, or you can take a firm stand on matters of this kind; and if we do take a firm stand on this matter today it will mean to the people that this Board is firmly in favor of public ownership. I know the membership of this Board as it was constituted 10 years ago, because nine members of this Board were elected positively through the stand they took on this all important matter of public ownership. Every officer of this city government that went up for election, who took part in that campaign favoring the so-called 24 hour contract was defeated—new men were elected throughout this entire city government. I don't think the people have changed, but the Pacific Gas and Electric Company has got itself in the position that they can go out today and say, "I can spend anything I wish to spend for the purpose of attacking the public ownership of utilities, in other words the distribution of your own power; that that should not take place," and they spend a huge sum of money, \$25,254, and you are paying for it in your rates. So I say to you, if you want to go on record and show you are consistent, in season and out of season, you will vote this particular appropriation down.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Mr. President, may I ask this question: Didn't the Board of Supervisors vote to have the City Attorney go into court on this case?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Yes.

SUPERVISOR UHL: If we voted that, naturally it is within the discretion of the City Attorney to furnish his own assistant. I presume Section 22 of the Charter prevents us from saying who he should employ.

MR. O'TOOLE: Yes—he was employed by the Public Utilities Commission. They asked especially for him.

SUPERVISOR UHL: It seems to me we have nothing else to do but to appropriate the money.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Mr. President.

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Colman.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: If the Board did not vote to appropriate this money to appeal this decision, the Board would be taking \$2,200,000 of the tax payers money and throwing it in the river. The Board of Supervisors unanimously, practically, as stated by the City Attorney, requested Secretary Ickes—stated that it was the desire of the Board to have the legal status of the city determined. Accordingly, the first suit was filed in Judge Roche's court. It is true, as has been stated, that his decision has been adverse; but it is a common practice, when the amount involved is so large, and the legal point is so important, that an appeal is taken. If the next decision is adverse it might be advisable to take it to a higher court of the land, and if that fails there is still another step, namely, trying to have the Raker Act amended.

The people of San Francisco determined only last March—March



the 9th—that they did not want to go into the hydro electric energy business, and they did not authorize the issuance of revenue bonds by even a majority vote. There were 13,000 more “No” votes than “Aye” votes; and it is interesting to note in that connection, that in the Fifth Congressional District, a majority favored revenue bonds, and in the Fourth a sufficient majority to offset the 8 or 9 hundred majority in the Fifth, and rolling up a 13,000 majority of “No” votes against it. Now the very points stated about Mr. Searls, apparently being opposed to him, would mean that he is the right man to represent San Francisco. Obviously we can not have an attorney who does not believe in the case of his client. We must have a man who feels that his client is right, and he is a man who has been in this fight from its very inception, and who sincerely believes that the city is reasonably complying with the terms of the Raker act. And I want to state that there is a very large—a reasonable proportion of our citizenry who feel the same way; and until such matter is legally determined by the higher court, when, of course, in that instance, every one will bow to that knowledge. But the thing to do today, by all means, is to continue this litigation until the city definitely finds out where it stands.

THE PRESIDENT: Call the roll on number eleven.

#### Explanation of Vote.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: May I explain my vote, Mr. President? That in voting for this appropriation that I do not believe I am blowing hot or blowing cold. This Board authorized that this money be spent for the purpose of the City Attorney carrying out our wishes. I don't think I am blowing hot or cold, when I have confidence in the City Attorney doing a job that we asked him to do—and Supervisor McSheehy voted along with the rest of us, asking him to do the job.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Mr. President.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there anybody else who desires the floor before Supervisor McSheehy again takes it?—Proceed, Supervisor McSheehy.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: The remarks of Supervisor Reilly seem to indicate some joke. I don't seem to be able to get it—and Supervisor Uhl is trying to condone his opinion in this matter—

SUPERVISOR UHL: Now, Mr. President, I made no such statement, and the Supervisor is entirely out of order. I made no such statement to condone anything. I want that clearly understood.

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor McSheehy, Mr. Uhl denies the allegation.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Because it is clearly out of order.

THE PRESIDENT: And he evidently defies the “allegator”.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Mr. President, and members of the Board, Supervisor Colman has just stated that we authorized our City Attorney to proceed—that Supervisor Shannon made a motion several months ago, asking that Secretary Ickes carry out his threat if he so wished in regard to the Raker Act. Well, he carried it out, and it was referred to the Federal court, and the Federal court has decided it. Now they are going on record, by a record vote, asking our City Attorney to appeal from the decision of the Federal court, and there it will go to the United States Supreme Court—

MR. O'TOOLE: The Circuit Court of Appeals.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Not being a lawyer I am not familiar with the courts. But I imagine this Board will then carry it to the United States Supreme Court, and what will be the outcome there, of course none of us know. What will be the outcome of the decision of the Circuit Court of Appeals we don't know. We do know the Board's position, and we do know we are making a record vote. We do know we have the right to determine this question today, and if we should decide to take a stand today that we oppose carrying this matter any further, of course our City Attorney will abide by the record; and we

will make a record, an honest to God record that we are in favor of public ownership. Blow hot or blow cold as you please. Six members of this Board can tell the City Attorney what he shall do by not making this appropriation. I don't say they can tell him what to do, but they can place him in a position where I don't think he would be very keen to attempt to carry this further, if six members of this Board feel an appeal should not be taken. Now this is a serious matter, gentlemen. This Board has started the machine in motion to have a bond issue in reference to the purchase of the Pacific Gas and Electric properties, to a degree that we can distribute our own power. I have told you so often in reference to the amount of money they are receiving annually—\$24,000,000, and in the year 1936, all the taxes for the entire City and County of San Francisco, for the last fiscal year, was a little less than \$30,000,000 a year, and yet this company sells to the people of this city electricity and gas that amounts to \$24,150,000. One-third of their entire output for this northern section of California is distributed in San Francisco. What are we trying to do? Very well, go along with this. I hope the people of this city will sometime recognize there are certain members of this Board in favor of public ownership—there are certain members of this Board who want to distribute our power, and perhaps there are certain members opposed to public ownership, and don't want to distribute our power, but the day will come—it came 12 years ago, and it will come again, and people are realizing the conditions that exist today here in our City of San Francisco.

Now, members, do your duty, vote this appropriation down, and you will make a record vote as to just how you stand in reference to distribution of your own hydro electric power.

**SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT:** I would like to ask Mr. O'Toole a question. From a legal standpoint, isn't it right and proper that we pursue this matter to a higher court? In other words, I feel the Board of Supervisors want to know where they stand from a legal standpoint, and the people of San Francisco want to know that.

**MR. O'TOOLE:** I think possibly that last remark is very pertinent. I think the people themselves do want to know what right they have under the Raker Act, and therefore they want to get a decision of at least the Circuit Court of Appeals. Of course, we may never get into the Supreme Court of the United States. But I look at it this way, if that decision should become final, the injunction becomes operative in six months, and even admitting that you could hold a bond election within a period of six months, if you wanted to call a special election, and even admitting that revenue bonds can carry, they would not be floated, and the amendment would not be approved until January, and the six months would have long passed before we reach the month of January, and Judge Roche's injunction would become effective, and we would have to cease collecting any money for the distribution of this power. An appeal will stay the effective date of that injunction, and at the same time there is nothing, in taking the appeal, that prevents us going right ahead, getting our house in order, and submitting the matter again to the public, in the hope that they will recognize Judge Roche's decision, and possibly vote the bonds. There is the whole situation. And if we do—if we had to stop in six months this amount of over \$2,000,000 would be cut off before the 1st of December.

**THE PRESIDENT:** Call the roll.

**SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY:** Just a minute. I must reply to that. The people by no vote of their own have said to carry on this appeal. We represent the people, and if six members of the Board tell the City Attorney not to carry on the appeal, well and good. Now, in reference to the \$2,000,000, Secretary Ickes has made the statement through the press, and we all know, if this matter comes to a bond issue, and the people of this city by a majority vote vote the revenue bonds for the purpose of purchasing this property, and for the purpose



of distributing our own power, we know that Secretary Ickes or no other member of the Cabinet, or of the United States Government, would think of confiscating our property for the purposes outlined. They wouldn't think of it. And we all know that, and we all know—we are trying hard to put this before the people, and get it before the people in the form of a bond issue that will carry, because we know that the company will spend a huge sum of money for the purpose of defeating this bond issue. They are not spending their money, they are spending your money and my money because it goes into the rates.

Now, Members of the Board, think this over. You are starting here this afternoon to instruct your City Attorney to proceed with the appeal. It is a step in the wrong direction. Your City Attorney—

**SUPERVISOR REILLY:** May I ask the City Attorney a question? In this resolution, number 11 on the Calendar, it states here that the sum of \$5,000 is being requested. It further states "For the purpose of paying expenses in connection with"—get this, Supervisor McSheehy, because I don't think you are fair with the Board—"for the purpose of paying expenses in connection with the Raker Act litigation." Did you not tell this Board that most of this money has been expended?

**MR. O'TOOLE:** It has been pledged.

**SUPERVISOR REILLY:** And I can't see that this prevents this Board from submitting another bond proposition for the purchase from the P. G. & E. Now there is another proposition. I say it is blowing hot, and I say it is blowing cold, when you tell me this Board is responsible for that condition. I want to let you know that it is because of the Los Angeles litigation that we found out we weren't receiving pay for this franchise, and we are taking steps just as soon as we found that out. But when you stand up before this Board, and when you seem to insinuate this Board is unfriendly to the citizens, tax payers, and municipal ownership, that is not stating the facts, because we are taking steps to make this retroactive, to go back for three years, and force the P. G. & E. to pay the amount they should have paid in the business of having that franchise. Secondly, the 24 hour contract, this \$2,000,000 to the city, I have heard about this Board that came in and went out with the wind, and I recall also when that tax rate jumped from \$3.60 to \$4.13, and if they went out because of the P. G. & E. they went out for the other reason, too; they went out for both. Let's be fair about it. And nothing has been done by the "Ins" to cancel the 24 hour contract, to my knowledge. The record doesn't show it if there was. Did Supervisor McSheehy ever introduce anything to stop the boys or the city from getting the \$2,000,000? We have a great problem before us, but I am not going to be bluffed, and kicked around, by someone who wants me to agree with everything he or she thinks about this. We have the problem before us to deal with. We gave the bond issue to the voters last March. Whose fault is it that they failed to pass it? Certainly, large sums were spent to defeat it, but we will give it to the people again. We are not shirking our responsibility, this has nothing to do with that question, it is being clouded and wind-bagged for the benefit of people who sit in these chambers. It has been here a long time, it is a hot and cold baby. I am not a new member here, and I am not afraid to give the people an opportunity to vote again, but I am not going to try to sand bag the members, and go out and make speeches, "Hip, hip hooray, they are all out of step but Jim." I say somebody else is out of step, and I am not.

**THE PRESIDENT:** Call the roll.

**THE CLERK:** Supervisor Brown?

**SUPERVISOR BROWN:** Aye.

**THE CLERK:** Supervisor Colman?

**SUPERVISOR COLMAN:** Aye.

**THE CLERK:** Supervisor McSheehy?

**SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY:** No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Mead? (No response.) Supervisor Meyer?

SUPERVISOR MEYER: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Ratto?

SUPERVISOR RATTO: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Reilly?

SUPERVISOR REILLY: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Roncovieri?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Schmidt?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Shannon?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Uhl?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Aye.

THE CLERK: Eight "Ayes," two "Noes," one absent.

THE PRESIDENT: The ordinance has failed of passage.

THE CLERK: It is on passage for second reading, Mr. President.

THE PRESIDENT: It has failed of passage. That is the ruling of the Chair.

THE CLERK: Mr. President, may I explain, it only takes six votes.

THE PRESIDENT: How many would it take on final passage?

THE CLERK: Six votes.

#### Chair Declared Motion Lost.

THE PRESIDENT: The Chair's ruling stands, and refer my decision to the City Attorney.

MR. O'TOOLE: What was your decision?

THE PRESIDENT: My decision is that the ordinance has failed passage, as it needs nine votes.

THE CLERK: Respectfully suggest it does not need nine votes.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I will ask the City Attorney to render an opinion on that, if he will?

MR. O'TOOLE: I would have to get the charter, and give you a ruling, an opinion before next meeting. It won't come up again for two weeks.

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Brown?

#### Appeal From Decision of the Chair.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I will appeal from the decision of the Chair on that matter.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I second the appeal.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I second it.

THE PRESIDENT: The decision of the Chair has been appealed from. I would suggest to Supervisor Brown respectfully that he hold the decision of the Board until we hear from the City Attorney at the next meeting.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: The City Attorney says he can not render a decision today?

MR. O'TOOLE: I wouldn't want to render a decision without looking at the charter, as long as I am personally interested.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: What is the immediate need of the \$5,000?

MR. O'TOOLE: Just as I told you, number 12 is more immediate than this number 11.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: If the City Attorney is in no immediate need for the decision, I see no objection to postponing it a week. Therefore I move the matter go over one week, the matter of the appeal from the decision of the Chair, and that it be made a special order of business.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: May I ask, as a matter of information, what was your reason for stating that six votes would not carry it?

THE PRESIDENT: I would much prefer that the matter go over to next Monday, and if I have to give the reasons I will do so.



MR. O'TOOLE: Oh, Mr. President, I think the matter is clear now. This is not an emergency matter at all. This is merely authorizing a supplemental appropriation out of the surplus, and carries by a majority. I was thinking of the \$2500 in the next resolution. This doesn't require nine votes.

THE PRESIDENT: I would prefer the matter go over one week so that I could discuss it with you.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I think if six votes have already passed this ordinance that you are exceeding your rights, other than to state the matter is passed.

MR. O'TOOLE: This is not an emergency measure of any kind.

THE PRESIDENT: There are things, Supervisor Colman, that I would rather not say in the open, but if I am forced to I will.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I have no objection to saying it in the open.

THE PRESIDENT: Then I will tell you that the Public Utilities Commission is not paying, it is running in the red, and this must have nine votes. That is the reason.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Well, I dispute that statement.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, let's dispute it next Monday. You are disputing with me my decision. The City Attorney has been asked to render his decision, and Supervisor Brown who has taken the appeal has agreed to allow it to go over until next Monday. How are you going to open it up?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I move that the matter go over, but I did not receive a second, so I withdraw my motion.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: There is nothing personal in this, Mr. President—

THE PRESIDENT: I know, but why take an appeal from the decision of the Chair that may be correct.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: It cannot be correct, and whether the Public Utilities Commission is in the red or not has no bearing on your decision.

THE PRESIDENT: Yes, it has.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: No, it has not. Six members of this Board passed this matter—there were only two "No" votes—nine to two—

THE PRESIDENT: Eight to two.

SUPERVISOR COLEMAN: Correct. Then that becomes passage for second reading, and in my opinion you have not the right or power, as President of this Board, to do anything else except to state that it is passed for second reading. In the meantime, on final passage, if you want to look up anything you have that right, but I don't think the position of the Utilities Commission—

THE PRESIDENT: You say I have no right to render any other decision. Suppose it was out of the Mayor's emergency fund, and it was eight to two. Would I have a right to give that decision?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: You would be then stating a fact, that the motion fails of passage, because that law requires nine votes. This is not such an ordinance, and it requires six votes.

THE PRESIDENT: Very well, I see no objection to taking the appeal, and in the meantime let the City Attorney decide whether the Board is in error or I am in error.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: The City Attorney has decided that the Board is not in error. He said it is not an emergency measure, and can be passed by six members.

THE PRESIDENT: May I ask the City Attorney if he is of the same opinion still?

MR. O'TOOLE: I am, because it is coming out of the surplus. I think I know what you have in mind. You have that provision in the charter in mind that the rates charged for any utility must be sufficient to pay the expenses of that utility, unless the board, by nine votes I think it is, votes that into the budget?

THE PRESIDENT: That is correct.

MR. O'TOOLE: But that only comes in when you are dealing with the particular appropriation and making the appropriation. As far as I am concerned, if it goes over a week it doesn't make any difference to me, but—

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Brown has withdrawn his motion which he claims was not seconded, and an appeal has been taken from the decision of the Chair, and seconded by Supervisor Colman. Supervisor Reilly, will you kindly put the question—Supervisor McSheehy?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Yes, I wish to say a word on the question. I realize the position you are in, and you are perfectly within your rights, because you are our presiding officer, and it is your interpretation of this resolution. I say this respectfully to our City Attorney: The president's interpretation of the resolution can be that it is an emergency according to a certain section of our charter, and you are within your rights, and the City Attorney, who is a very wise man, can see the question. Now we are starting out on an important matter, and we are either right or wrong. Any citizen can mandamus the Controller with reference to the expenditure of this money under these conditions, and it would not sound very well either for the City Attorney or the Board of Supervisors to pass an illegal amount of money. So I say this to the Board, as friendly as I can—I know very little attention has been paid to me, but attention will be paid some time—so I simply say to the membership of this Board that you can, if you wish, put this over, I think the City Attorney is simply asking that the matter go over for a week—

SUPERVISOR UHL: Has the City Attorney made that request?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: No, he has not; he has made a request that he be allowed time to consider this matter.

SUPERVISOR UHL: May I say this to you: My understanding is that he makes the definite statement that it is on second reading, and takes six votes to pass. Is that right, Mr. O'Toole?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I don't want to rise to a point of order, but I have the floor.

### Chair Overruled.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: A point of order. An appeal has been taken, and you are allowing Supervisor McSheehy—

SUPERVISOR BROWN: You are the Chairman.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: Very well. An appeal has been taken from the decision of the Chair. Shall the decision of the Chair stand as the decision of the Board? A vote "Aye" sustains the Chair; a vote "No" overrules the Chair.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Members of the Board—

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I rise to a point of order. As I understand on an appeal the only one that is qualified to speak are those making the motion and the Chairman.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: That is the decision. The appeal is before the Board. Call the roll.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I beg your pardon, did you sustain the point of order?

SUPERVISOR REILLY: I did, on the grounds an appeal has been taken, and there should not be any further argument, excepting the person making the appeal, and the President.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I don't care for your explanation. I just want to know if you sustain the point of order?

SUPERVISOR REILLY: I am just putting the appeal.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I didn't ask for any explanation. If you sustain it, I shall sit down.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: I sustain the point of order.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Thank you.

THE CLERK: Shall the decision of the Chair be the decision of



the Board. A vote "Aye" sustains the Chair. A vote "No" overrules the Chair. Supervisor Brown?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Colman?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor McSheehy?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Mead? (No response.) Supervisor Meyer?

SUPERVISOR MEYER: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Ratto?

SUPERVISOR RATTO: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Reilly?

SUPERVISOR REILLY: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Roncovieri?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Schmidt?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Shannon?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: I will ask to be excused.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Uhl?

SUPERVISOR UHL: No.

THE CLERK: Eight "Noes," one "Aye," one excused.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: The decision of the Chair is overruled.

THE PRESIDENT: I would like to have the City Attorney give his opinion at the next meeting, in writing.

MR. O'TOOLE: That will be here for you.

### Final Passage.

The following Emergency Ordinance, recommended by the Finance Committee, was taken up:

#### **Appropriation of \$2,500 for Uninterrupted Operation of City Attorney's Office.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1567, Ordinance No. 9.051472, as follows:

Authorizing an appropriation of \$2,500 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 704.200.00 for the purpose of providing funds for the uninterrupted operation of the office of the City Attorney.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,500 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 704.200.00 for the purpose of providing funds for the uninterrupted operation of the office of the City Attorney.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists, as immediate action is necessary to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the office of the City Attorney.

Approved as to form and recommended by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

## Re-referred.

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up and *re-referred to said Committee*:

**Appropriation of \$7,891.58, Land Purchase, Construction of Service Road Adjacent to Junipero Serra Boulevard.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1568, Ordinance No. 9.051473, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation ordinance of \$7,871.58 from Appropriation No. 748.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 748.934.00 for land purchase necessary for the construction of a service road adjacent to and parallel with the easterly line of Junipero Serra boulevard from the southerly line of Eucalyptus drive to a point 2000 feet more or less southerly therefrom.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$7,871.58 is hereby appropriated and set aside from Appropriation No. 748.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 748.934.00 for land purchase necessary for the construction of a service road adjacent to and parallel with the easterly line of Junipero Serra boulevard from the southerly line of Eucalyptus drive to a point 2000 feet more or less southerly therefrom.

Recommended by W. H. Worden, Director, Department of Public Works.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

**Final Passage.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Emergency Appropriation, \$5,000, for U. S. Housing Project.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1569, Ordinance No. 9.051474, as follows:

Authorizing an appropriation of \$5,000 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 760.819.00 for the purpose of making a loan to the San Francisco Housing Authority for paying its preliminary expenses to June 30, 1938, an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$5,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 760.819.00 for the purpose of making a loan to the San Francisco Housing Authority for paying its preliminary expenses to June 30, 1938.

Section 2. The City Attorney is hereby directed to prepare an acknowledgment of the obligation of the San Francisco Housing Authority arising hereunder, and the Controller is hereby authorized, upon the receipt of said acknowledgment duly executed, to deliver to the San Francisco Housing Authority a warrant on the Treasury for \$5,000.

Section 3. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists as funds must be immediately made available to provide for the operation of



the San Francisco Housing Authority so that preliminary studies and surveys required as a prerequisite to Federal grant may be made.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to funds available by H. J. Boyd, Controller.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### Adopted.

The following recommendation of the Committee on Public Utilities and Judiciary was taken up:

#### City Attorney to Negotiate With Pacific Gas and Electric Company in Matter of Payment to City of Amounts Due for Electricity Sold for Other Than Lighting Purposes.

(Code No. 15.09)

Resolution No. 3922, as follows:

Whereas, since 1879, the Pacific Gas and Electric Company has had a franchise in the City and County of San Francisco for the sale of gas and electricity for illuminating purposes only; and

Whereas, during most of this time and now, the said company, without authority, has been distributing and selling gas and electricity for other than illuminating purposes in the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, this company's operation without a franchise for the distribution and sale of power (other than heat), has resulted in a loss to this City and County of over \$300,000 in each of the last several years, which loss this City is now sustaining and will continue to suffer at the rate of approximately \$1,000 each day; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby instructed to negotiate with the Pacific Gas and Electric Company the matter of the payment to the City and County of San Francisco of all amounts due to the City by reason of the company selling gas or electricity for other than for lighting purposes in the City and County of San Francisco, and that the Public Utilities Commission be and it is hereby requested to join with and aid the City Attorney in said negotiations; and be it

Further Resolved, That said City Attorney be and he is hereby directed to report the result of said negotiations to the Board of Supervisors, and that in the event that said negotiations are not successful in adjusting the payment of any amounts due from the Pacific Gas and Electric Company by reason of its selling or distributing gas or electricity for other than for lighting purposes, the City Attorney is hereby directed to institute legal proceedings against said company to recover all amounts due from said company on account of its selling or distributing gas or electricity in the City and County of San Francisco for other than lighting purposes.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### Re-referred.

The following recommendation of Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee was *re-referred to the said Committee for report next Monday*:

#### Set-back Lines Westerly Side of Beverly Street.

(Code No. 13.03)

Resolution No. 3918, as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1778, passed April 7, 1938, reading as follows:

Resolved, That subject to the approval of the Board of Supervisors, in accordance with Section 117 of the Charter, the building set-back line as now established along the westerly side of Beverly street, between Holloway avenue and Garfield street, be, and the same is hereby changed so as to be as follows:

Along the westerly side of Beverly street, commencing at a point on the northerly side of Garfield street and running thence northerly 75 feet, set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 75 feet, set-back line to be 8 feet; thence northerly 75 feet, set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 75 feet, set-back line to be 4 feet; thence northerly 75 feet, set-back line to be 2 feet, is hereby approved.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

**Closing Portion of Lloyd Street.**

(Code No. 12.0622)

Resolution No. 3916, as follows:

Whereas, on the 28th day of February, 1938, the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco duly and regularly passed Resolution No. 3820, Code No. 12.0621, which resolution was presented to his Honor the Mayor for his approval and was duly and regularly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco on the 1st day of March, 1938, said resolution being in words and figures as follows:

**INTENTION TO CLOSE PORTION OF LLOYD STREET.**

(Code No. 12.0621)

Resolution No. 3820, as follows:

Resolved, That public interest requires that the following described portion of Lloyd street, lying between Divisadero street and the Castro-Divisadero Divisional Highway, San Francisco, California, be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of this Board to close and abandon said portion of Lloyd street which is more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Divisadero street with the southerly line of Lloyd street; running thence easterly along the southerly line of Lloyd street 76.0642 feet to the westerly line of the proposed Castro-Divisadero Divisional Highway; thence deflecting 110 degrees 42 minutes to the left and running northwesterly along last-named line 31.7582 feet to the northerly line of Lloyd street; thence deflecting 69 degrees 18 minutes to the left and running westerly along last-named line 65.0052 feet to the easterly line of Divisadero street; thence southerly in a straight line 29.708 feet to the point of commencement.

Said closing and abandonment of said portion of Lloyd street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

As per Resolution No. 2565, adopted by this Board on May 11, 1936, it is understood that the above described portion of Lloyd street shall be deeded to E. W. Bennett, et ux., as part of the consideration for certain land acquired by the City for the Castro-Divisadero Divisional Highway by deed recorded June 17, 1936. The deed to E. W. Bennett, et ux., shall be made pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 690, 1911, Statutes of California.



The Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of Lloyd street in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

*Adopted* by the Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, February 28, 1938.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Reilly—2.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved, San Francisco, March 1, 1938.

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

And whereas, the Clerk of the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco did transmit to the Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco a certified copy of said resolution and the said Department of Public Works did, upon receipt of said resolution, cause to be posted in the manner and as required by law, notices of the passage of said resolution and did also cause in the manner and as required by law, a notice similar in substance to be published for a period of ten days in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the public interest and convenience requires said improvement to be done as specifically described in Resolution No. 3820, Code No. 12.0621; and

Whereas, the Supervisors have acquired jurisdiction to order that that portion of Lloyd street, described in Resolution No. 3820, Code No. 12.0621, be closed and abandoned; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it be ordered and it is hereby ordered that that portion of Lloyd street, as specifically described and proposed in said Resolution No. 3820, Code No. 12.0621, be closed and abandoned, subject to the following conditions:

Pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 690, 1911 Statutes of California, the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed for the conveyance of said portion of Lloyd street to Edwin M. Bennett and H. M. Bennett, his wife, or their successors in interest. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the grantees.

Further Resolved, That the entire damages, costs and expenses of closing that portion of Lloyd street as described in Resolution No. 3820, Code No. 12.0621, shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco as proposed and provided in Resolution No. 3820, Code No. 12.0621; and be it

Further Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of that portion of Lloyd street, as described in Resolution No. 3820, Code No. 12.0621, shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Department of Public Works and that the Department of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law, and the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the official newspaper as required by law.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

MONDAY, APRIL 25, 1938.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Mr. Albert Greenbaum, Member Art Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3935, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mr. Albert Greenbaum, member of the Art Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing April 25, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Leave of Absence—Mr. John Bakewell, Jr., Member Art Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 3936, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mr. John Bakewell, Jr., member of the Art Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of three months, commencing April 25, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

## **ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

### **Final Passage.**

The following Emergency Bill was presented by the Finance Committee and finally passed by the following vote:

**Appropriation of \$6,000 for Foodstuffs for County Jail.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

Bill No. 1570, Ordinance No. 9.051475, as follows:

Authorizing an appropriation of \$6,000 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Sheriff's Appropriation No. 707.350.03 for the purchase of foodstuffs for the jails; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$6,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Sheriff's Appropriation No. 707.350.03 for the purchase of foodstuffs for the jails.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists, as immediate action is necessary to provide for the uninterrupted operation of this department.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by Daniel C. Murphy, Sheriff.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Mead—1.



**Condemnation of Market Street Sidewalk Obstruction, John A. Sullivan Elevator Declared Nuisance.**

(Code No. 6.0211)

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Resolution No. 3926, as follows:

Whereas, John A. Sullivan, owner of certain property situate on the northwest side of Market street between Van Ness avenue and Franklin street is maintaining in said street in front of his said property certain structures, to-wit, certain sidewalk elevators and certain retaining walls which unlawfully obstruct the free passage and use of said Market street between Van Ness avenue and Franklin street, and does unduly interfere with the traffic over said street and the improvement of said street; now, therefore, it is

Hereby Declared, By the Board of Supervisors that the aforesaid obstructions maintained by the owner of the aforesaid property do constitute and are a public nuisance, and the City Attorney be and he is hereby directed to abate said nuisance forthwith pursuant to the provisions of Section 4156 of the Political Code of the State of California.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Mead—1.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Hon. Harry K. Wolff, Member Civil Service Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3939, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Harry K. Wolff, member of the Civil Service Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period from May 3rd to May 25th, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Mead—1.

**Leave of Absence—Hon. Charles F. Traung, Member Board of Police Commissioners.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 3940, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Charles F. Traung, member of the Board of Police Commissioners, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period not to exceed thirty days, commencing May 5, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Mead—1.

**Californians Incorporated Commended.**

(Code No. 5.94)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 3938, as follows:

Whereas, through the instrumentality of Californians Incorporated, and the various advertising mediums which it has at its disposal, the City and County of San Francisco is receiving a wealth of beneficial attention; and

Whereas, this advertising is particularly welcome and gratifying because of the impending Exposition; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors commends most highly the efforts of Californians Incorporated on behalf of San Francisco and expresses its sincere gratitude therefor.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Mead—1.

**Supervisors' Reception Committee for Mayors of Neighboring Counties on Tour of Treasure Island Exposition Site.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Meyer presented:

Resolution No. 3937, as follows:

Whereas, the Mayors from various cities and towns in San Mateo and Santa Clara Counties will visit his Honor Mayor Rossi on May 9, 1938, prior to an inspection tour of Treasure Island, now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the President of this Board appoint a committee of three Supervisors to arrange for a proper reception to the visiting Mayors from our neighboring counties.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Mead—1.

**Flood Control Projects in Los Angeles.**

Supervisor Brown presented communication from Supervisor Herbert C. Legg of Los Angeles, relative to flood control projects in Los Angeles County and requesting advices as to a harmonious presentation of this matter to the next Legislature.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.***Supervisor Ratto Delegate to Redwood Empire Meeting at Napa.**

Supervisor Ratto presented communication from Redwood Empire Association inviting attendance at meeting to be held at Napa on Friday, April 29, 1938.

*Chair appointed Supervisor Ratto to attend.***Additional Men for Police Department.**

Supervisor McSheehy declared that he had been informed that there are forty vacancies in the Police Department and that there is an eligible list that will expire automatically next month; moreover, that there is a surplus in the Police Funds to permit the employment of these forty men whose services are required. Therefore he moved that the Board of Supervisors instruct the Police Department to make requisitions on



the Civil Service Commission for the employment of these men as provided for in the Budget of the present fiscal year.

Supervisor Brown moved reference to Police Committee.

Supervisor Roncovieri declared that there was no money to pay for the additional men.

Whereupon, the Roll was called on Supervisor McSheehy's motion and the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Meyer, Shannon—3.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—5.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri—3.

#### Meeting Announcement.

Public Utilities Committee, April 28, 3 p. m.

#### ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon the Board of Supervisors, at 5:10 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors May 2, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

of the United States Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, is hereby notified that the following land is being offered for sale to the public at the lowest price for which it can be sold, to-wit: 100 acres of land, situated in the County of ... State of ...

LAND OFFERING

ADJUDICATION

Whereas the Board of Land Commissioners of the United States Department of the Interior, has determined that the following land is being offered for sale to the public at the lowest price for which it can be sold, to-wit: 100 acres of land, situated in the County of ... State of ...

Whereas the Board of Land Commissioners of the United States Department of the Interior, has determined that the following land is being offered for sale to the public at the lowest price for which it can be sold, to-wit: 100 acres of land, situated in the County of ... State of ...

That the land is being offered for sale to the public at the lowest price for which it can be sold, to-wit: 100 acres of land, situated in the County of ... State of ...



Vol. 33

No. 19

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

Monday, May 2, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MAY 2, 1938, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, May 2, 1938,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of April 25, 1938, was considered read and approved.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

**Rezoning Northeast Corner Alemany Boulevard and Geneva Avenue.**

Hearing of appeal from decision of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1766, dated March 17, 1938, denying application to rezone from First Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the northeast corner of Alemany boulevard and Geneva avenue.

Jack Flynn, 1916 Jefferson street, representing petition, was heard, requesting that Commission be overruled.

### Action Deferred.

Thereupon, the matter was *laid over one week and made a Special Order for 2 p. m.*, Clerk to request Commission to attend.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

**Hearing of Appeal, Rezoning of Southeast Corner California and Scott Streets.**

Appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1762, dated March 17, 1938, denying application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the southeast corner of California and Scott streets.

### Communication From Charles Goff, Captain of Traffic.

The following was presented by Supervisor Mead and read by the Clerk:

OFFICE OF TRAFFIC BUREAU  
Company "K"

Friday, April 29, 1938.

Subject: Letter No. 48484-12. Dewey Mead, Supervisor, Room 235

City Hall, April 27, 1938. Requesting report on number of accidents adjacent to auto supply stations.

William J. Quinn, Chief of Police.

Sir: In regard to the attached communication from Dewey Mead, Supervisor, wherein he requests a report showing the number of accidents involving personal injuries which have occurred to, and which might be attributable to, automobile supply stations, I respectfully advise.

That the records of this Bureau do not show *any injury accidents* attributable to the location of automobile supply stations, and in tallying thousands of reports our recollection is that there have been few accidents attributable to said stations.

Respectfully submitted,  
CHARLES GOFF,  
Captain of Traffic.

Supervisor Ratto inquired as to distance of proposed station from school and was advised that the application fulfilled all requirements in that respect.

#### Adopted.

Whereupon, the roll was called and the following resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

**Disapproving Decision of City Planning Commission, Denying Application to Rezone From Second Residential to Commercial District, Property at Southeast Corner of California and Scott Streets.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 3949, as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1762, dated March 17, 1938, denying application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the southeast corner of California and Scott streets, is hereby disapproved.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt—8.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Shannon, Uhl—3.

Resolution was thereupon declared adopted and the Commission *overruled*.

#### Explanations of Vote.

Supervisor Uhl explained his vote by saying that he had gone carefully into the matter and that in his opinion there is no question that proposed station is close to the school, that he has no objection to a Gilmore Station, but the present station on the southwest is sufficient for needs of traffic and there is a real danger from accidents by reason of nearness of school.

Supervisor Colman declared that he would vote for the proposed station, overruling the Commission. California and Scott streets, he said, are two major traffic streets and auto traffic there may logically be expected to increase. It is better to grant another permit in such a location rather than scatter into the adjacent district where not so logically suited.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

##### Final Passage.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up:

**Appropriation \$6,500, Street Work, Silver Avenue.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1557, Ordinance No. 9.051468, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation ordinance of \$6,500 from



Appropriation No. 748.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 748.935.00 for the construction of street work on Silver avenue from Scotia street to a point 545 feet easterly therefrom, being City's obligation in accordance with resolution of the Board of Supervisors No. 29,251, new series, approved July 9, 1928.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$6,500 is hereby appropriated and set aside from Appropriation No. 748.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 748.935.00 for the construction of street work on Silver avenue from Scotia street to a point 545 feet easterly therefrom, being City's obligation in accordance with resolution of the Board of Supervisors No. 29,251, new series, approved July 9, 1928.

Note—Resolution No. 29,251.

"Resolved, that the offer of sale made by the following named company to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the widening of Silver avenue, for the sum set forth opposite its name, be accepted:

"H. Moffat Co. \$1600—Portion of Lot No. 7, Block 5335A, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

"As a further consideration the street work on Silver avenue in front of the remaining property of H. Moffat Co. will be done at the sole expense of the City and County of San Francisco; said street work to include grading, sewers, curbs, pavement and sidewalk.

"The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

"Adopted by the following vote:

"Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

"Absent—Supervisors Colman, Marks—2."

Recommended by W. H. Worden, Director, Department of Public Works.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor, City and County of San Francisco.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adding Section 31-A to Ordinance No. 1008 (NS), "Building Law", Relating to Ceramic Facing.**

(Code No. 11.08)

On recommendation of Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee.

Bill No. 1562, Ordinance No. 11.0824, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), entitled "Regulating the Construction, Erection, Enlargement, Raising, Alteration, Repair, Removal, Maintenance, Use and Height of Buildings; Regulating Character and Use of Materials in and for Buildings; Establishing Fire Limits and Repealing All Ordinances in Conflict With This Ordinance", *by adding thereto a new section to be known as Section 31-A relating to ceramic facing.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto a new section to be known as Section 31-A relating to ceramic facing, to read as follows:

### CERAMIC FACING.

#### Section 31-A:

Buildings may be faced with slabs of terra cotta which for the purpose of this section are defined as having more than seventy-two (72) square inches of superficial area.

In no case shall the ceramic facing be considered in computing the strength of the structural frame or bearing walls, nor shall it be considered a part of the required thickness of the wall.

Walls to which ceramic facing is to be applied shall be rigid and free from oil. Just prior to installation the backing wall shall be wire brushed and put in condition to assure proper adhesion.

Ceramic facing may be attached to backing wall either with metal anchors, or by adhesion only without anchors.

If anchored the terra cotta facing shall be not less than one and one-quarter inch ( $1\frac{1}{4}$ " ) in thickness and shall be tied to backing wall with substantial non-corrosible metal anchors, not less than No. 6 gauge wire, in horizontal bed joints on about twelve inch (12") centers, and in no case more than sixteen inch (16") centers. The facing shall be set with back of terra cotta spaced not less than one and one-half inch ( $1\frac{1}{2}$ " ) from face of backing wall and the space shall be filled solidly with grout of Portland cement mortar and top gravel in the proportion of not less than one to six (1 to 6). Just before setting, the backing wall and the ceramic facing shall be drenched with clean water and shall be distinctly damp when grout is poured.

If not anchored the terra cotta facing shall be not more than one inch (1") in thickness with units not to exceed thirty inches (30") in any one dimension and having not more than five hundred forty (540) square inches of superficial area and shall have corrugations or vertical scorings on the back thereof. Just before setting ceramic facing, each piece shall be soaked in clean water for one hour or more and the surface of the backing wall shall be saturated with water applied through a hose nozzle at a pressure of at least twenty-five pounds (25 lbs.) per square inch. A brush coat of neat Portland cement and water shall then be applied both to the backing and to the back side of the ceramic facing. Mortar shall average three-quarter inch ( $\frac{3}{4}$ " ) in thickness. The proportions of this mortar shall be as follows:

Approved Portland cement .....	1 cu. ft.
High calcium slacked lime putty screened and aged at least 20 days, containing not more than 4 per cent magnesium oxide .....	$\frac{1}{2}$ " "
Clear sharp siliceous sand.....	4 " "
Ammonium stearate paste, or approved equal.....	1 quart

Half of same shall be applied to the piece and half to the backing just prior to setting. Pieces disturbed after having been tapped into place shall be removed immediately, after which, additional mortar shall be applied as required above and the piece reset. A unit shearing strength between backing and facing of not less than fifty (50) pounds per square inch shall be developed.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.



**Granting Spur Track Permit to Western Pacific Railroad Company  
Harrison Street Between Eighth and Ninth Streets.**

(Code No. 12.20)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1558, Ordinance No. 12.2023, as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to the Western Pacific Railroad Company, to lay down, construct, maintain and operate a standard gauge spur track in Harrison street, between Eighth and Ninth streets, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to the Western Pacific Railroad Company, to lay down, construct, maintain and operate a standard gauge spur track along and upon Harrison street between Eighth and Ninth streets, said location being more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in the center line of the existing spur track of the Western Pacific Railroad Company as said spur track is located in Harrison street, said point being approximately 22.6 feet southeasterly at right angles from the northwestern line of Harrison street and approximately 117.65 feet northeasterly at right angles from the northwestern line of Ninth street; thence northeasterly in a direct line 23.34 feet to a point distant 19.7 feet from the said northwestern line of Harrison street; thence northerly on the arc of a curve to the left having a radius of 143.42 feet and tangent to said line at the last mentioned point, a distance of approximately 60 feet to a point in said northwestern line of Harrison street, distant approximately 62.3 feet northeasterly thereon from the northeastern line of Gordon street; thence continuing along last mentioned curve into private property.

Provided that that portion of the existing track crossing Harrison street and to be reconstructed, shall be raised to conform to the existing roadway grades. New ballast and ties shall be installed and a standard asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a six inch Class "F" concrete base and a two inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface shall be constructed. Girder rails shall be installed within the street lines with concrete rail stringers. A standard brick catchbasin shall be installed and connected to the main sewer with a ten inch V.C.P. culvert. Any additional drainage required by the Department of Public Works shall be installed by the Railroad Company. All work shall be done to the satisfaction and in accordance with the requirements of the Department of Public Works.

Provided further, that there shall be no switching of cars upon Harrison street between the hours of 6:00 a. m. and 10:00 p. m.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Designating Baker Street as Restricted Traffic Street.**

(Code No. 11.02)

Also, Bill No. 1559, Ordinance No. 11.0239, as follows:

Amending Section 25, Article IV, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series) entitled "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith".

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 25, Article IV, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series) the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 25. *Restricted Traffic Streets.* The following streets are designated as restricted traffic streets.

*Baker street from the north line of Richardson avenue to the north line of Marina boulevard;*

Bay street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the east line of Laguna street;

Cervantes boulevard from Fillmore street to Marina boulevard;

Fell street from the west line of Baker street to the east line of Stanyan street;

Francisco street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the east line of Laguna street;

The upper two roadways of the Great Highway from the south line of Fulton street to the north line of Sloat boulevard;

Marina boulevard from the east line of Laguna street to the west line of Lyon street;

Park-Presidio drive from Golden Gate Park to the Presidio of San Francisco;

Sunset boulevard from the south line of Lincoln way to the south line of Sloat boulevard, thence southerly to Lake Merced boulevard and its termination at Skyline boulevard.

It shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle designed or used for the transportation of commodities or materials to operate said vehicles upon any restricted traffic street for a distance greater than one block or for any purpose other than to make a pickup or delivery within said block.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### **Changing and Establishing Grades on Cuvier Street and on Cayuga Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.0722)

Also, Bill No. 1560, Ordinance No. 12.072235, as follows:

Changing and Re-establishing the Official Grades on Cuvier street between Cayuga avenue and a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 55.53 feet southerly from the first angle northerly from Cayuga avenue; also on Cayuga avenue between Milton street and Alemany boulevard.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 28th day of February, 1938, by Resolution No. 3821, declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Cuvier street between Cayuga avenue and a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 55.53 feet southerly from the first angle northerly from Cayuga avenue; also on Cayuga avenue between Milton street and Alemany boulevard.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for two days, and the Director of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said Resolution of Intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than thirty days have elapsed since the first publication of said Resolution of Intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as shown on map entitled "Grade Map Showing the Proposed Change and Establishment of Grades on Cuvier Street, between Cayuga Avenue and a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 55.53 feet southerly from the first angle



northerly from Cayuga Avenue; and on Cayuga Avenue, between Milton Street and Alemany Boulevard."

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### **Establishing Grades on Nueva Avenue Between Blanken and McKinley Avenues.**

(Code No. 12.071)

Also, Bill No. 1561, Ordinance No. 12.07112, as follows:

Establishing Grades on Nueva Avenue between Blanken and McKinley Avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Nueva avenue between Blanken and McKinley avenues are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above City base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, filed in this office April 5, 1938.

<i>Nueva Avenue</i>	<i>Feet</i>
12 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at McKinley avenue southerly line .....	59.70
12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at McKinley avenue southerly line produced.....	61.24
12 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 390 feet northerly from Blanken avenue.....	48.62
12 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 340 feet northerly from Blanken avenue .....	45.34
12 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 290 feet northerly from Blanken avenue .....	42.43
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 390 feet northerly from Blanken avenue .....	49.75
12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 340 feet northerly from Blanken avenue .....	46.37
12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 290 feet northerly from Blanken avenue .....	43.43
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
12 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Blanken avenue northerly line .....	26.60
(The same being the present Official Grade.)	
12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Blanken avenue northerly line .....	27.60
(The same being the present Official Grade.)	

On Nueva avenue between McKinley avenue and Blanken avenue be established to conform to true gradients between the elevations above given therefor.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### **NEW BUSINESS.**

#### **Wage Scale—Private Employment on Public Contracts.**

(Code No. 9.092)

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up: Resolution No. 3941, as follows:

Resolved, That the highest general prevailing rate of wages paid in

private employment to various crafts in the City and County of San Francisco, including wages paid on holidays and for overtime work, is hereby determined and declared to be as herein set forth. Except as herein otherwise specifically provided, the wages herein fixed are based on an eight-hour day, five days per week.

### SECTION 1—BUILDING AND CONSTRUCTION TRADES

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Asbestos Workers .....	\$1.25	Double time after 8 hours.
Asphalt Rakers and Ironers..	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Asphalt Shovelers .....	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Bricklayers and Stone Ma- sons (6-hour day), (includ- ing granite curbs).....	1.75	Double time after 6 hours.
Bricklayers' tenders and hod carriers (6-hour day), (\$1 day extra for work under- ground) .....	1.25	Double time after 6 hours.
Carpenters & cabinet makers (including hardwood floor- men) .....	1.25	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Cement Finishers .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours.
Concrete Laborers .....	.79	Time and one-half first 4 hours, after 8 hours; double thereafter.
Cribbers .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours, after 8 hours; double thereafter.
Electrical Workers (including Fixture Hangers) .....	1.37½	Double time after 8 hours
Elevator Constructors .....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Elevator Constructors' Helpers	1.05	Double time after 8 hours
<b>Engineers:</b>		
Compressor operators (on steel erection) .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Derricks and Asphalt Plant.	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Building Material Hoists..	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Pile Drivers, Locomotive Cranes .....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Roller Engineers and Clam- shell Operators, Concrete Mixers and Mechanical Finishers, Asphalt Burn- ers and Concrete Busters.	1.43	Double time after 8 hours
Trench Machine .....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Firemen (Asphalt Plants).	1.10	Double time after 8 hours
Truck Crane Engineers....	1.66⅔	Double time after 8 hours
Caterpillar Engineer, 50 h.p. and Over .....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Operators of Power Shovels and/or Other Excavating Equipment with Power Shovel Control When Used on Excavating Operations (6-hour day) .....	2.00	Double time after 6 hours
Shovel Firemen, Watchman Oiler (6-hour day) .....	1.33⅓	Double time after 6 hours
Glass Workers, Including Art Glass .....	1.21	Time and one-half first 2 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter



<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
<b>Gunite Workers:</b>		
Nozzle Men .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Rod Men .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Finishers .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Ground Wire Men.....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Gun Men .....	.90	Double time after 8 hours
Mixer Men .....	.87½	Double time after 8 hours
Rebound Men .....	.75	Double time after 8 hours
Foremen (\$1 per day above highest craftsman) .....		Double time after 8 hours
<b>Housesmiths:</b>		
Reinforced Concrete .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
<b>Iron Workers:</b>		
Bridge, Structural, Rigger.	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Derrick Engineers .....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Bronze and Ornamental (in- cluding erection of steel and iron fences).....	1.25	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Jackhammer Men .....	.97	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter

<b>Laborers:</b>		
.....	...	Overtime after 8 hours; and Sat- urday morning time and one- half after the first 4 hours; dou- ble thereafter
Construction and General..	.81¼	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Mixer Operators .....	.87½	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter

Hours of Work for Laborers: Hours of work for laborers shall not exceed eight hours in any one day, said eight hours to commence at 8:00 a. m. and to continue for eight continuous hours thereafter, provided, however, that where shift work is necessary the following conditions shall prevail:

First shift shall commence at 8:00 a. m. and continue for eight hours of continuous work, and the second shift shall commence at 5:00 p. m. and continue for eight hours continuous work. Provided further, that the time not exceeding one hour allowed for meals during any one shift shall not be deemed to break the continuity of work. Where more than two shifts are employed, each shift shall work seven hours of continuous work.

Compensation for all service between 8:00 a. m. and 5:00 p. m., 81¼ cents per hour. Where second shift only is used, 81¼ cents per hour. Where three shifts are used, eight hours' pay at 81¼ cents per hour for seven hours' work, except in shift work all time before 8:00 a. m. and after 5:00 p. m. overtime rate shall prevail.

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
<b>Lathers (6-hour day) wood and/or metal .....</b>		
	1.60	Double time after 6 hours
<b>Marble Trades:</b>		
Shopmen and Helpers.....	.81¼	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Polishers .....	.78¾	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Bed Rubbers .....	.84¾	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Cutters, Copers, Carborun- dum Men .....	.90	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Carvers .....	1.02½	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Marble Masons and Setters..	1.31¼	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Mosaic and Terazzo Workers.	1.12½	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Mosaic and Terazzo Workers' Helpers .....	.75	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Mucker .....	.90	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Painters .....	1.21 3/7	Time and one-half after 7 hours
Painters, Structural Iron Work .....	1.37½	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Painters, Varnishers and Pol- ishers .....	1.10	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Pile Drivers .....	1.40	Double time after 8 hours
Plasterers (6-hour day).....	1.66⅔	Double time after 6 hours
Plasterers' Tenders and Hod Carriers (6-hour day).....	1.40	Double time after 6 hours
Plumbers and Gas Fitters (including pipe calking) ..	1.37 ½	Double time after 8 hours; Sat- urday morning at straight time on existing installations.
Ornamental Plasterers:		
Casters (6-hour day) .....	1.50	Double time after 6 hours
Model Makers (6-hour day)	1.50	Double time after 6 hours
Modelers (6-hour day).....	2.00	Double time after 6 hours
Roofers and Waterproofers...	1.12½	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Sheet Metal Workers .....	1.25	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Sprinkler Fitters .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Steam Fitters .....	1.37 ½	Double time after 8 hours
Stone Cutters:		
Soft and granite, including granite curbs .....	1.12½	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Stone Derrickmen .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Tile Setters .....	1.37 ½	Double time after 8 hours
Tile Setters' Helpers .....	.87 ½	Double time after 8 hours
Timberman (tunnel) .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Dump Truck Drivers (7-hour Day)		
2 yards or less, water level per day.....		\$ 7.00
3 yards, water level per day .....		7.50
4 yards, water level per day .....		8.00
5 yards, water level per day .....		8.00
6 yards, water level per day .....		8.50
7 yards or over, water level per day.....		9.00
Truck Drivers of Concrete Mixer Trucks (7-hour Day)		
2 yards or less per day.....		\$7.50
3 yards per day .....		8.00
4 yards per day .....		8.50
5 yards per day.....		8.50
6 yards per day .....		9.00
Tractor Driver (up to 35 h.p.) (6-hour day) .....	8.00	Time and one-half after 6 hours
Tractor Driver (over 35 h.p. to 50 h.p.) (6-hour day).....	9.00	Time and one-half after 6 hours
(Working time for truck drivers, tractor drivers and all engineers shall be reckoned by half day and full day. Overtime for truck drivers at time and a half after seven hours.)		



## SECTION 2—BUILDING TRADE—SHOP RATES

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>
Cabinet Workers, Millmen, Machine and Bench Hands (shop) . . . . .	\$1.10
Varnishers and Polishers (shop) . . . . .	1.10

## SECTION 3—METAL TRADES—FIELD RATES

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Blacksmiths . . . . .	1.30	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Boilermakers . . . . .	1.37½	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Boilermakers' Helpers . . . . .	1.10	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Machinists . . . . .	1.10	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Machinists' Helpers . . . . .	.75	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Machinist (maintenance) . . . . .	1.12½	Time and one-half after 8 hours

## SECTION 4—METAL TRADES—SHOP RATES

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Pattern Makers (based on 7- hour day) . . . . .	1.50	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 7 hours; double thereafter
Molders and Coremakers . . . . .	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Blacksmiths . . . . .	1.30	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Blacksmiths' Helpers . . . . .	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Boilermakers . . . . .	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Boilermakers' Helpers . . . . .	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Machinists . . . . .	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Machinists' Helpers . . . . .	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Structural and Ornamental Ironworkers (shop) . . . . .	.90	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Toolmaker . . . . .	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter

## SECTION 5—MISCELLANEOUS TRADES

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Well Drillers . . . . .	1.10	
Well Drillers (hand tool fore- men) . . . . .	1.10	
Diamond Drillers . . . . .	1.10	
Powderman . . . . .	1.10	
Washers, Polishers and Greas- ers (garagemen) . . . . .	.75	

## SECTION 6—CULINARY WORKERS

(8-hour day—5-day week)

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Head Cook . . . . .	\$37.50	\$1.50 hour
Other Cooks . . . . .	32.50	\$1.50 hour
Cooks' Helpers . . . . .	25.00	\$1.50 hour (Short shifts, not less than 3 hours, \$1 hour)
Waiters . . . . .	21.00	\$0.75 hour
Waitresses . . . . .	21.00	\$0.75 hour

## SECTION 6—CULINARY WORKERS—(Continued)

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Dishwasher and Vegetable Man (straight shift).....	17.50	\$0.50 hour
Dishwasher and Vegetable Man (broken shift).....	20.00	\$0.50 hour

## SECTION 7—FURNITURE TRADES

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Carpet Layers, Cutters and Measurers (Linoleum, Cork, Rubber and Mastic)— from Jan. 1, 1938, to July 31, 1938 .....	10.00	Double time after 8 hours
Carpet Seamstresses (large machines)— from Jan. 1, 1938, to July 31, 1938 .....	6.60	Double time after 8 hours
Carpet Seamstresses (small machines)— from Jan. 1, 1938, to July 31, 1938 .....	6.05	Double time after 8 hours
Carpet Layers' Apprentices:		
1st 6 months out .....	5.00	Double time after 8 hours
2d 6 months out .....	5.50	Double time after 8 hours
3d 6 months out .....	6.25	Double time after 8 hours
4th 6 months out .....	7.00	Double time after 8 hours
5th 6 months out .....	8.00	Double time after 8 hours
6th 6 months out .....	9.00	Double time after 8 hours
Shade and Drapery Makers and Hangers (including Venetian Blinds)— from Jan. 1, 1938, to July 31, 1938 .....	8.80	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Upholsterers— from Jan. 1, 1938, to July 31, 1938 .....	8.80	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Furniture Handlers, Packers and Strippers— from Jan. 1, 1938, to July 31, 1938 .....	6.60	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Drapery Seamstresses— from Jan. 1, 1938, to July 31, 1938 .....	5.10	* Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter

## SECTION 8—DREDGE BOATS

(8-hour day—4-hour Saturday)

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Per Month Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Dredge Captain .....	\$270	Double time after 8 hours
Leverman . . . . .	245	Double time after 8 hours
Fireman . . . . .	170	Double time after 8 hours
Deckhand . . . . .	170	Double time after 8 hours
Bargeman . . . . .	170	Double time after 8 hours

Wherever welding processes are involved the rate paid for such shall be as herein fixed for the crafts performing the work.

Saturdays and holiday work at double time. Holidays are New Year's Day, Decoration Day, Fourth of July, Labor Day, Admission Day, Thanksgiving and Christmas.



### Privilege of the Floor.

Wm. Coughlin, representing the Associated General Contractors, was heard in opposition to the proposed 81¼ cents per hour for laborers. He requested that the matter be laid over one week and that the following questions be submitted to the City Attorney:

1. Is it not necessary for the Civil Service Commission to determine by actual survey just what is being paid by firms in private industry, instead of merely accepting the word of one or two organizations as to agreements entered into concerning, in most cases, work to be paid for in the future and not that work which is at present under way?

2. Is it not necessary that the wage scales as first recommended by the Civil Service Commission and later passed by the Board of Supervisors be wages which are actually paid in private industry and not merely the basis of an agreement?

3. When an agreement is entered into by a minority group, shall this agreement be accepted as the "highest general prevailing wage," particularly when the wage is not, as yet, being actually paid to any great extent on account of old contracts which are protected and the old scale is being paid?

4. Just what percentage of the employers should pay, or what portion of the employees should be actually paid, the "highest general prevailing wage" before it is possible for the proposed scales to be officially recognized?

5. On subventions from the State of California (Gas Tax Funds—1c County Road Fund; ¼c City Streets; ¼c State Highways—Cities) should the City Charter of the State Prevailing Law be recognized, taking into consideration the recent decision, Southern California Roads vs. McGuire, 2 Cal. (2) 115?

Telegram from Gordon Johnson, attorney for Associated General Contractors, requesting that matter be deferred until he can be heard, being at present out of town, was read by the Clerk.

Mr. Jos. Marshal, representing Laborers Association; A. J. Gallagher, speaking for Building Trades Council; and Larry Roche, representing Construction and General Laborers Union No. 261, were heard in favor of the \$0.81¼ rate for laborers.

Communication from the Civil Service Commission recommending the 81¼-cent rate for laborers was read by the Clerk.

Whereupon, Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor Colman, moved re-reference to the Finance Committee for hearing and that subject-matter remain on Calendar as a Special Order of Business for 2:30 p. m. next Monday.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

### Resolution Adopted.

Whereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

### Final Passage.

The following Emergency Bill, recommended by the Finance Committee, was taken up:

**Authorizing the Appropriation of \$253.47 From the Emergency Reserve Fund to the Credit of Appropriation No. 757.102.00 for the Purpose of Paying the Compensation of a Relief Ambulance Driver in the Office of the Coroner.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1571, Ordinance No. 9.051476, as follows:

Authorizing the appropriation of \$253.47 from the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 757.102.00 for the purpose of paying the compensation of a relief ambulance driver in the office of the Coroner.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. An Emergency Ordinance.—The sum of \$253.47 is hereby appropriated and set aside from the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 757.102.00 for the purpose of paying the compensation of a relief ambulance driver in the office of the Coroner.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed, that an actual emergency exists to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the office of the Coroner.

Approved as to form by Dion R. Holm, City Attorney.

Recommended by Dr. B. W. Leland, Coroner.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Funds available by H. J. Boyd, Controller.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Land Purchase—Upper Noe Valley Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Resolution No. 3942, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Recreation Department, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Anton E. Abrahamsen, et ux., or the legal owner, to Lot 22A, Assessor's Block 6638, San Francisco, required for the Upper Noe Valley Playground, and that the sum of \$5,000 be paid for said property from Appropriation No. 713.600.02. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Land Purchase—Grattan Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 3943, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Recreation Department, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Annie Lydon, or the legal owner, to Lot 11, Assessor's Block 1283, required for the Grattan School Playground, for the sum of \$2,700, payable from Appropriation No. 713.600.11. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.



**Authorizing Lease of Hayes Valley Community Center.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 3944, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Recreation Department, that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, as lessee, are hereby authorized and directed to enter into a lease with Leavenworth-McAllister Realty Corporation, as lessor, covering the property at the southeast corner of Hayes and Buchanan streets, San Francisco, known as Lots 16 and 16A, Assessor's Block 819.

The lease shall be for a period of six months beginning May 1, 1938, at a rental of \$120 per month, and thereafter on a month-to-month basis, subject to the same terms and conditions. The lessee shall also pay the lessor the sum of \$250 on or before June 30, 1938, as the City's share of reinforcing the building on said property, and shall reimburse the lessor for such portion of City and County taxes paid on the leased premises during the term of the lease, commencing with the fiscal year 1938-39, and during the occupancy thereafter on a month-to-month basis, as would be applicable pro-rata, based on the period of occupancy during any such year.

The above sum of \$250 and the rental for the balance of the present fiscal year shall be payable from Appropriation No. 713.809.00.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Approval Supplemental Recommendations, Public Welfare Department, for May, 1938.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 3945, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Public Welfare Department, containing the additional names of persons and amounts to be paid as Old Age Security and Blind Pensions and Widows' Pensions for the month of May, 1938, and also denials, are hereby approved, and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is directed to transmit this approval to the Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Authorizing Sale of Lot 2, Block 6113.**

(Code No. 12.1732)

Bill No. 1572, Ordinance No. 12,17321, as follows:

Authorizing sale of Lot 2, Block 6113.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the southwesterly line of Dartmouth street, distant thereon 100 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Woolsey street; running thence southeasterly along the southwesterly line of Dartmouth street, 100 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 100 feet;

thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet to the point of commencement.

Being a portion of University Mound Tract Survey Block No. 60.

Section 2. The above described land shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Providing for the Manner in Which Meat Shall Be Advertised for the Purpose of Sale at Retail in the City and County of San Francisco; Defining Classes of Meat and Retail Cuts of Meats; Defining Offenses, and Providing Penalties for the Violation of This Ordinance.**

(Code No. 17.04)

Also, Bill No. 1573, Ordinance No. 17.049, as follows:

Providing for the manner in which meat shall be advertised for the purpose of sale at retail in the City and County of San Francisco; defining classes of meat and retail cuts of meats; defining offenses, and providing penalties for the violation of this ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. As used in this ordinance, "meat" shall mean the edible part of the carcass of any cattle, calf, sheep, lamb, goat or swine.

Section 2. Every advertisement offering meat for sale or delivery at retail in the City and County of San Francisco shall state the class of meat so offered. Any portion or "cut" of meat which is defined in this ordinance must conform to such definition if advertised or offered for sale or delivery at retail. Terms such as those used by the United States Department of Agriculture to establish grades of meat, such as "Prime," "A-1," or "Choice," shall not be used in advertising meat at retail, unless such meat is in fact of such grade.

Section 3. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, co-partnership, association or corporation, or any agent or employee thereof, selling or delivering or offering for sale or delivery meat at retail to misrepresent the classes or "cuts" of meat as defined in this ordinance in their advertising or placards, or in any other manner whatsoever.

Section 4. For the purposes of this ordinance, the several classes of meat are defined as follows:

(A) "BEEF" is defined as flesh from animals of the bovine species, divided into the following classes:

(1) "Baby Beef" is from steers or heifers aged from eight to eighteen months at the time of slaughter, showing finished fed condition, excellent conformation and quality.

(2) "Steer Beef" is from a male that was castrated before he advanced far enough toward maturity to make reproduction possible. The animal must also have progressed beyond the veal or calf stages. The term "steer beef" shall not be applied to heifer, cow, stag, or bull beef.

(3) "Heifer Beef" is from a female that has passed beyond the veal or calf stages, but has never had a calf, and has not reached advanced pregnancy.

(4) "Cow Beef" is from a mature female that has had one or more calves, or was advanced in pregnancy at time of slaughter.

(5) "Veal" or "Calf" is from a bovine animal less than eight months of age at time of slaughter. "Milk Veal" is from a calf that has not been weaned.

(B) "LAMB" is defined as the flesh of animals of the sheep family or ovine species, not over twelve months of age at time of slaughter.

(1) "Spring Lamb" is from lambs less than six months of age at the time of slaughter. This term shall not be used in describing lambs offered for sale after October 1st, in any year, nor until the new crop of lambs arrives on the market about March 1st, in any year.



(2) "Fed Lambs" or "Lamb" is from lambs which have been weaned and which are sold for slaughter at from six months to twelve months of age.

(3) "Yearling Mutton" is defined as the flesh of animals of the sheep or ovine family which are from twelve to twenty months of age at time of slaughter. Such animals must be designated and sold as "Yearling Mutton."

(4) All animals of this species which have passed the "Yearling Mutton" stage must be designated and sold as "mutton," and shall not be sold or offered for sale as "lamb." Ewes, which are hereby defined as mature females of the ovine species, must be designated and sold as "Ewe Mutton."

(C) "PORK" is from animals of the porcine species. Boars and sows over eighteen months of age shall not be advertised or sold as "Young Pork" or "Pig Pork."

(D) In all cases of doubt arising under these definitions, the standards prescribed by the United States Department of Agriculture shall prevail.

Section 5. The following terms, used in describing the various retail cuts of meat shall be used and applied only as defined herein, as follows:

(A) In naming the ribs of the carcass, the first or Number One rib shall be that which is closest to the neck, the other ribs being counted from that point.

(1) "Prime rib roast" shall consist of from the eighth to thirteenth ribs, inclusive, of a beef carcass. "Short cuts" shall be used only when the rib bone is not more than five inches in length from the junction of the eye and the rib. "Long cut" shall have the plate removed at a point parallel to the chine-bone and making a twelve-inch wing.

(2) "Beef round" shall consist of the buttocks cut to include the section from the socket bone to the gambrel or hock.

(3) "Round steak" shall be cut from the round, including top and bottom with or without bone. In advertising any cut of round steak, the particular kind of round as defined herein must be stated.

(4) "Top round steak" shall be cut from the upper or inside portion of the round with or without bone.

(5) "Bottom round steak" shall be cut from the under or outside portion of the round without bone.

(6) "Heel of round" shall be the lower portion of the round, triangular in shape.

(B) "Forequarter of lamb" shall consist of not less than thirteen ribs, shoulder, breast, shank and neck.

(1) "Shoulder of lamb" shall consist of the shoulder in one piece, including not less than three ribs, and may include the neck and shank. "Shoulder of lamb, Boston style," shall not be used with the term "leg" or "leg of lamb." Its use shall be confined to shoulders of lamb as herein defined.

(2) Any part of the shoulder of lamb cut to contain less than three ribs shall be described as "yoke of lamb." Any yoke of lamb cut with the major part of the breast attached must be advertised and sold as "yoke of lamb with breast."

(C) "Pork loin" shall be cut at the leg end at a point two and one-half inches above the aitch-bone on the pork leg, and shall include thirteen ribs and no further portion of the shoulder, and the belly shall be removed at a point not to exceed five inches from the chine-bone at the center part of the loin.

(1) "Pork loin roast" shall consist of not less than half the loin.

(2) "Pork loin chops" shall be cut from the fifth rib to the hip-bone. Large loin pork chops shall be cut from that portion of the loin from the hip bone.

(3) "Pork leg" shall consist of the hind leg, with foot removed through the hock-joint; the butt shall be rounded and the tail removed. "Leg pork roast" shall consist of not less than half a leg.

Section 6. Whenever a conflict arises in the definitions as set out

herein, the standards of the United States Department of Agriculture shall prevail and conform in the operation of this ordinance.

Section 7. Any person violating any provision of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and each day's continuance of such violation shall constitute a separate offense.

Section 8. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each section, sub-section, subdivision, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, sub-sections, subdivisions, sentences, clauses or phrases is declared unconstitutional or invalid for any reason.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **Action Deferred.**

On motion of Supervisor Colman, the following resolution was *laid over one week to permit members to visit location.*

#### **Set-back Lines Westerly Side of Beverly Street.**

(Code No. 13.03)

Resolution No. 3918, as follows:

Resolved, that the action of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1778, passed April 7, 1938, reading as follows:

Resolved, that subject to the approval of the Board of Supervisors, in accordance with Section 117 of the Charter, the building set-back line as now established along the westerly side of Beverly street, between Holloway avenue and Garfield street, be, and the same is hereby changed so as to be as follows:

Along the westerly side of Beverly street, commencing at a point on the northerly side of Garfield street and running thence northerly 75 feet, set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 75 feet, set-back line to be 8 feet; thence northerly 75 feet, set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 75 feet, set-back line to be 4 feet; thence northerly 75 feet, set-back line to be 2 feet, is hereby approved.

#### **Public Utilities Commission to Report on Distribution System for Hetch Hetchy Electric Power.**

(Code No. 15.032)

The following recommendation of Public Utilities Committee was taken up:

Resolution No. 3928, as follows:

Whereas, the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco provides that it is the declared purpose and intention of the people of the City and County, when public interest and necessity demand, that public utilities shall be gradually acquired and ultimately owned by the City and County; and

Whereas, by reason of the decision of the District Court of the United States, in and for the Northern District of California, it has been determined that the present method of distributing the hydro-electric power generated on the Hetch Hetchy system is a violation of section 6 of the Raker Act; and

Whereas, by reason of said decision public interest and necessity demand the acquisition of a municipal distribution system for the purpose of distributing directly to the people of San Francisco the hydro-electric power generated on the Hetch Hetchy project; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Public Utilities Commission of the City and County of San Francisco be requested to submit a report to this Board of Supervisors for the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco of a distribution system for the purpose of distributing the hydro-electric power generated on the Hetch Hetchy project directly to



the people of San Francisco; said system to be in accordance with Plan No. 7, heretofore submitted by said Public Utilities Commission to this Board of Supervisors, and said Public Utilities Commission is hereby requested to bring said Plan No. 7 down to the present date.

#### Discussion.

**SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY:** Mr. President and members of the Board: This is a recommendation from a joint committee of public utilities, and judiciary, and at that committee meeting I asked about how much time they would take for this report from the Utilities Commission, and as is usual, when a conversation of that kind takes place before a lesser committee, I was more or less requested to find out that information from Mr. Cahill. So I called on Mr. Cahill and I asked him how long he thought it would take. "Well," he said, "a great deal depends on the time, the number of men I can make available, and the money you gentlemen might set aside for this purpose." I will say this, Mr. Cahill showed quite a desire to help this along.

Now, members of the Board, we have a primary election on August 30th of this year. It takes, I understand—Mr. O'Toole will confirm this—it takes about 40 days for the usual advertising of a matter of this kind—Am I right, Mr. O'Toole?

**MR. O'TOOLE:** More than that—What are you talking about, a bond issue or a charter amendment?

**SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY:** A bond issue.

**MR. O'TOOLE:** Forty-one days before the election.

**SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY:** Forty-one days. So that along about the 17th or 18th of July this Board would have to pass it so as to have the required number of days—it is calendar days, is it, Mr. O'Toole?

**MR. O'TOOLE:** Calendar days, yes.

**SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY:** So I would say to you, members of the Board, I feel that we should, if possible, try and arrange our finances so that Mr. Cahill can proceed forthwith, and give us a report as to the additional expenditures connected with a bond issue of this kind. In other words, we had a bond issue a year ago last March of \$50,000,000. How much capital investment the Pacific Gas and Electric Company has made from that date to this I don't know. Mr. Cahill does not know to the degree he would like to know for the report. He has some ideas. So I say to you, members, if you have an honest desire to place this before the people of San Francisco you will make a small appropriation so that Mr. Cahill can employ experts to go in and ascertain exactly the detail of the capital investments that have been made in the past year, so that we can present a bond issue as outlined under Plan No. 7. It might be 55 million dollars, it might be 53 million dollars, I don't know. I do know that Plan No. 7, in my opinion, is a good plan. I do know that if the people of this City should adopt the plan they will be doing something that the City of Los Angeles has done, and a great many other progressive cities of the United States have done. I do know the large sum that the Pacific Gas and Electric Company is collecting annually from the City and County of San Francisco—\$24,154,000 for gas and electricity—almost as much as our Assessor collects for every assessable piece of property in San Francisco. It is the largest corporation in San Francisco, and we do know they are not even paying a franchise tax for the streets they use. So it is high time that this Board should at least look to the people of San Francisco, and present the facts to the people of San Francisco. We do know that the court decided against us in this matter. We do know that there is an appeal being taken. Personally, I was deadily opposed to that appeal. Nevertheless, a majority of this Board have voted a sum of money for the appeal. So I hope today that I will be in a position, if you will allow, to make an amendment to this resolution authorizing Mr. Cahill to proceed in this matter.

I will first ask Mr. Cahill a question or two in reference to this entire matter so the Board will have first-hand information directly

from Mr. Cahill. Mr. Cahill, about how long do you think it would take your office to complete a report, and to submit a report to this Board as somewhat outlined by me?

MR. CAHILL: Mr. Supervisor, I cannot go on record stating any time. All I can say is that as soon as an appropriation to finance the work is provided, that the Public Utilities Commission engineers will do it as fast as we can.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: About how much of an appropriation would you require, Mr. Cahill?

MR. CAHILL: I thought that matter over pretty carefully, Mr. McSheehy, and I think we would require \$3,000.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: \$3,000?

MR. CAHILL: \$3,000.

SUPERVISOR UHL: May I ask a question, through the Chair?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I will gladly yield to the Supervisor for a question.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Doesn't the "resolved" of this resolution cover what you just proposed? In other words, you are referring it to them, to bring Plan No. 7 down to date. Then the request for it would come from the Public Utilities Commission, advising the Board of Supervisors how much they want to appropriate out of their surplus. We have no money to appropriate.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Well, we have the money; I think we have money out of the Operating Fund, that is the fund of the Hetch Hetchy which they are using entirely today. I am trying to bring forth legislation that would start in operation this bond issue. I told you a moment ago when I questioned Mr. O'Toole, that the last day for a bond issue for the August primary is along about the 16th or 18th, or thereabouts, of July. Now we know Mr. Cahill has to be given some time for this report. If we delay this a week or two weeks there will be just that much less time that Mr. Cahill will have. Of course, I know there are members on this Board who will not vote to place this bond issue before the people of San Francisco. What the outcome will be I don't know entirely, but I do know that from past experience with certain members of this Board—and they do not hesitate to express themselves. They are unalterably opposed to the distribution of our own hydro-electric power. They are entirely within their rights, but I disagree with them. So I say to the members of the Board I think this is the time to start. I would like to insert an amendment, inserting the words \$3,000, and starting Mr. Cahill on his work today, if possible.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Well, Mr. President, I would like to ask Supervisor McSheehy again that question: As to the proper procedure, and if the City Attorney is here I would like to ask him if it is not a fact that all we can do is to make the request of the Public Utilities Commission. Then the request for funds must come from them out of their operating funds. I will ask, through the Chair, of the Controller, if that would not be the correct procedure—Mr. Cahill?

MR. CAHILL: If the Board of Supervisors passes number 16 today, asking the Public Utilities Commission to make a report on this matter, I will recommend to the Public Utilities Commission that we recommend to the Mayor, and ask him to recommend to this Board that \$3,000 be appropriated from the power operating surplus. I can't do that until next Monday, because that is the first time the Public Utilities Commission meets in executive session.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: The Public Utilities Commission does not meet today?

MR. CAHILL: It does not meet in public session. It meets every other Monday in executive session, and only on those sessions, in a public meeting, can it pass such a matter as this.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Time means so much in this matter—

MR. CAHILL: I believe I have outlined the proper procedure. If Mr. O'Toole will tell me some other way I will be glad to go along.



SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: May I ask you this question: About what time does your Commission meet today?

MR. CAHILL: At four-thirty.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Is it possible for a special meeting to be held during the week? Or do you have to have a public meeting for a matter of this kind?

MR. CAHILL: Yes, we do; and the only way to do it, is if President Byington would call such a meeting. The only way it can be held is by call of the chairman.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Mr. President, I was just considering a motion, and if I would be allowed the privilege of the floor right after this—Will you allow me the privilege of the floor to make a motion as soon as this is disposed of? It is on the same subject.

THE PRESIDENT: No question about it, Supervisor McSheehy. The question is on adoption of number 16.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Mr. President?

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Colman.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I am speaking against the adoption of number 16. I want to read first, the second whereas: "Whereas, by reason of the decision of the District Court of the United States, in and for the Northern District of California, it has been determined that the present method of distributing the hydro-electric power generated on the Hetch Hetchy system is a violation of Section 6 of the Raker Act."

Now that whereas is not a correct statement. It is quite true that the District Court of the United States in and for the Northern District of California did decide the question in that way; but the decision of that court does not state definitely and positively that the present method of distributing the hydro-electric power is a violation of Section 6; and this Board recognized that fact by taking an appeal from the decision of the Judge to the United States Court of Appeals. Until the decision is rendered there, and until any subsequent appeal might be taken which might leave it to a question for the United States Supreme Court to determine whether or not it was a fit matter for their consideration, and if so what their decision would be—until such action has been determined the statement made in "whereas number two" is not correct, because no member of this Board knows whether or not the present method of distributing power is in conflict with the Raker Act.

Now that is unquestionably true, and as I stated, this Board has recognized that fact, and the Commission has recognized that by directing the City Attorney to take an appeal to a higher court, and this Board of Supervisors believes that that has been a wise move to make in protection of the people's rights and the people's money.

Now if "whereas number two" is not sound, then "whereas number three" must likewise follow, because "whereas number three" reads: "Whereas, by reason of said decision public interest and necessity demand the acquisition of a municipal distribution system for the purpose of distributing directly to the people of San Francisco the hydro-electric power generated on the Hetch Hetchy project"—and so forth.

If that decision does not demand it—does not prove to be the correct decision, certainly it does not demand any action be taken, and accordingly I am opposed to this action being taken at this time, for the reasons stated, and also for the reason that in my opinion there is still another course open to the City in case the decision of the higher court should be against San Francisco's interests, and that is, the City has a perfect right to go to the Congress of the United States and ask that the Raker Act be amended by what would be a fair ruling on Section number six, which I sincerely believe the citizens of San Francisco are entitled to.

Now, this is the opinion of a layman, but I think it would be a great mistake, if we did not believe in our own hearts, if we accept the decision of the first court and went ahead with proceedings with reference to this bond issue. It would indicate that we are afraid of future decisions of the court that might go against us. Now I have

no such fear, and I tell you that frankly, and my views are shared by a group of competent attorneys who have made a study of the question.

So I think this is untimely, to pass this resolution at this time, and my vote shall be against it.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Mr. President.

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Uhl.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I agree with Supervisor Colman in much that he has said, but I am anxious to see the figures brought down to date, from month to month, or year to year. Is it a fact, Mr. Cahill—through the Chair—that in place of \$50,000,000, that today it runs far in excess of \$50,000,000 for the distributing system in San Francisco, that would be required for Hetch Hetchy power?

MR. CAHILL: The answer to that is, Supervisor Uhl, that I don't know.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Didn't you make the statement that the cost would be 55 or 60 million dollars? What was your statement last week?

MR. CAHILL: I made no such statement. I stated that added to the \$50,000,000 would be any expenditures for operating purposes. I don't know how much that is.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I can see no question—This doesn't involve a bond issue. That comes later on, in order to finish the proceeding. I see no objection, and would vote for this resolution in order that we get information which I think is pertinent, and which we should have. But I would also ask, that in bringing us in Plan 7 down to date, also an anticipation of what the next five years would require in the way of investment. I wouldn't want to stop there, and then later on find out that it would cost several million dollars additional.

MR. CAHILL: Supervisor Uhl, I am perfectly willing to prophesy two years in advance, as I did in my last estimate. 1937 has borne out what we expected to happen in 1936, and is probably going to happen in 1938, but I am not desirous of being made a prophet for any five years. Now it will take about \$3,000—less if possible—to bring this up to date. If the Board of Supervisors want this to be a continuing thing it should be budgeted. But I will do the best I can to bring it up to date, and project it a year or so, but no five-year plan.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Let me ask you, was an evaluation made several years ago of the electric properties?

MR. CAHILL: Yes, sir.

SUPERVISOR UHL: At that time did the company accept the figures that were submitted? My mind is not clear on that.

MR. CAHILL: No, the Railroad Commission made up those figures.

SUPERVISOR UHL: They did not accept it?

MR. CAHILL: No.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Then there is a question as to whether the company will accept those figures?

MR. CAHILL: There certainly is, and that is the reason why we added something like five or six million dollars, because we thought we would have to bring condemnation proceedings, and it would have to go to court, and we did not know what the court would say as to whether those figures were correct or not.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I agree with you, a sound thing would be to have a budget item to cover that; that would keep us informed. The question, Mr. President?

THE PRESIDENT: The question is on adoption.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I forgot just one point—

THE PRESIDENT: Does anybody else desire to take the floor before Supervisor Colman concludes?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Yes. Members of the Board, as you know, there were two resolutions submitted to the joint committee; one of Supervisor Roncovieri, and one that I introduced. This resolution that is before you today is Supervisor Roncovieri's resolution.



Your City Attorney helped Supervisor Roncovieri to draw up that resolution. I think your City Attorney has a better knowledge of the law than some of us might have, and I have no desire to criticize any portions of this resolution. I think it is well drawn. I would like to see it pass. It is a step in the right direction. Of course, one, who in season and out of season, from bond issue to bond issue, has always opposed distribution of our own power—of course, he is going to pick out some section of the resolution and take it apart, but I hope, gentlemen of the Board, that you will allow this to go through today, and let's start a bond issue for the distribution of our own hydro-electric power. I don't know what the outcome of the court procedure is going to be. I have been asked that question by several citizens. Suppose, for the sake of argument, that the higher court—suppose that the Supreme Court denies our appeal. What are we going to do with our investment there? So I hope you carry out the report of this committee, of which five members were present, and five members acquiesced in this resolution.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Mr. President.

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Colman.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: The point I neglected to state, in addition to those arguments that I presented against this resolution, there is still another one: The decision of the court held that this particular agency contract was not in legal compliance with the Raker Act, and the question comes up possibly some other contract which would meet the terms concerning which Judge Roche expressed disapproval—some other contract could be drawn up which in my opinion would be legal. That is another reason in addition to the others, why I am voting "No."

THE PRESIDENT: Call the roll on adoption.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Brown?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Colman?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor McSheehy?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Mead?

SUPERVISOR MEAD: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Meyer?

SUPERVISOR MEYER: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Ratto? (No response.)

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I ask for a call of the Board.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Sergeant at Arms, a call of the Board.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Reilly?

SUPERVISOR REILLY: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Roncovieri?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Schmidt?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Shannon?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Uhl?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Aye.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I ask a call of the Board.

THE PRESIDENT: We have eight "Ayes."

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I want a call of the Board. I insist upon it.

(Supervisor Ratto enters the meeting room.)

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Ratto, the question is on adoption of Resolution No. 3828, on the calendar as number 16.

SUPERVISOR RATTO: I am going to vote "No" on that, owing to the fact that until the court decision—

THE PRESIDENT: All right, the vote is "No." Now you can file the written reason for your vote.

SUPERVISOR RATTO: Very well.

THE CLERK: Eight "Ayes," three "Noes."

THE PRESIDENT: Carried.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Now I have a motion on this matter. Mr. Cahill has just stated his president could call a special meeting of the Public Utilities Commission. That meeting could be called by that Commission during the week, and a report would be in to this Board next Monday. If the Commission meets next Monday at four o'clock, of course it will not be presented to the Mayor and we lose two weeks. Time is the essence of everything to try to get this before the people in the August primary—

THE PRESIDENT: What is the motion?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I am just laying the ground work. My motion is that this Board request the Public Utilities Commission's president, Mr. Byington, to call a special meeting of that Commission for the purpose of taking up this resolution as voted on by the membership of this Board today.

SUPERVISOR MEAD: I second the motion.

THE PRESIDENT: It has been regularly moved and seconded that this Board request the Public Utilities Commission to call a special meeting of that Commission for the purpose of considering this resolution.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Members of the Board, I hope you will vote in favor of this motion. It has but one intent, and that is to try and get this bond issue on the August primary, and it will save us just exactly one week. I feel if the majority membership of this Board makes this request that Mr. Byington, the president of the Public Utilities Commission, will act on the request, and we will have this matter next Monday before this Board in reference to the appropriation of \$3,000. That is the whole intent of the motion. Mr. Cahill outlined just the exact procedure of his Commission, and if we want to help ourselves in this matter we will vote this motion today, and I think we will be in a position next week to adopt a motion, or pass a resolution in reference to an expense of \$3,000 for the purposes outlined by Mr. Cahill.

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Colman.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Mr. President, I desire to record my vote "No." If Mr. Byington desires to call a special meeting of his Commission, of course that is his affair. I have nothing to say about it; and in addition, Mr. Cahill has been present at this discussion, he has heard everything said, and in my opinion the Public Utilities Commission is fully competent to handle its own affairs, without suggestions from the Board of Supervisors. Without regard to the question itself, it is just a matter of policy, of my not wishing to interfere with the Commission in the handling of their won duties. I desire to record my vote as "No."

THE PRESIDENT: Doctor Schmidt.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: May I ask Mr. O'Toole, would that be going contrary to Section 22 of the Charter?

MR. O'TOOLE: I did not hear the motion.

THE PRESIDENT: The motion is to request President Byington of the Public Utilities Commission to call a special meeting of his commission to follow out the mandate of resolution number 16 on the calendar, which would require an appropriation of about \$3,000.

MR. O'TOOLE: I don't know, I doubt that that would be in violation of Section 22, because before you could proceed you need the money, and you certainly have a right to send this resolution to them, and if you ask them to hurry it along I don't think that would be contrary to Section 22.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Mr. President?

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Roncovieri?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I am not going to object to the adoption of this motion; but I want to call the attention of the other members of the Board to this: You will gain nothing by rushing this matter at this time. The purpose, as explained by Supervisor McSheehy, is to submit the bond issue, or the Charter amendment, which-



ever it is, to the people in August. That will cost you the sum of \$20,000 or \$25,000—

SUPERVISOR REILLY: Why?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: For the reason that Mr. O'Toole told me that you cannot place anything on the ballot of this character unless it is on a separate ballot, something of that kind, which would cost that sum of money. That is correct, is it not, Mr. O'Toole?

MR. O'TOOLE: Yes. In other words, you cannot consolidate any election with your August primary. That is the law. Now whether you could use the voting machines or not, I don't know. It is a matter that would be open to question, and to let the validity of a Charter amendment hinge on a small thing like that does not seem advisable to me.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: If it were passed in August nothing would be gained. You would have to sit down and wait until January or February until the Legislature will meet, in 1939. If you pass it today, or in August, any time before November, you will accomplish nothing whatever, because it does not become a law until the Legislature has passed upon it. They have the last word. This matter can be submitted to the people in November.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Without additional cost?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Without any cost.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: Is that right, Mr. O'Toole?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: And those of us who favor the submission of that amendment, which has to be written yet, stand a better chance of educating the public to the importance of adopting it between now and November. You will have all you can do to get the thing ready, and to educate the people by that time. You will have all you can do to educate the great mass of the people who have already turned it down, and you will need all of the time up to November to educate the public. So I think it would be better to let Mr. Cahill work out his problem in his own way, and let the thing go along quietly, without expense at the November election, and with the additional advantage that there will be time for the advocates of this plan to explain it to the public. The one thing to our advantage, those of us who believe in this, is the fact that we have hanging over our heads the famous sword of Damocles. We have the decision against us, and if we rest now and do nothing about it, and instruct the City Attorney to do nothing, we lose—it was nearly a month ago that the decision was rendered, and the court said, because of his friendship for this City he did not want us to lose this immediately, he said "six months after the date of my decision the income will stop," an income which represents from \$2,000,000 up, or 25 cents in the tax rate, so six months from now—the decision was about a month ago, and we have about five months to go, and the whole machinery stops—I notice you are shaking your head, and that is true, it will not stop if we allow our City Attorney to go on with his appeal. You are right there; but in the meantime, if we show to the government in Washington a disposition to live up to Section 6 of the Raker Act it probably will be that the government will not be so severe on us. It may be in less than six months the City Attorney may again be defeated, or the City—I know he is doing his best—I read his brief, and I can't see how the Judge turned it down, but he did. It may happen again. Then where will we be? So I believe we should concurrently carry on the purposes of this resolution, and let the City Attorney try to make a case if he can. Then we have those two strings to our bow. If he wins we are all right. If he loses we will have this to fall back on. I think haste is unnecessary, however, at this time, that is because of the Legislature meeting only in January of next year; and we can do nothing, no matter what the people say about it, we can do nothing until the Legislature approves it in January or February of next year. But I will vote with you, if you want.

THE PRESIDENT: Anybody else desire the floor before Supervisor McSheehy again takes the floor? Supervisor McSheehy.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Mr. President, and members of the

Board: Supervisor Roncovieri stated that he thought that better results might be attained in the general election. He also thought that there would be a cost of \$25,000. Now the City Attorney has not said as to just what that cost will be. The City Attorney has not said as to just how the election will take place, except that we will have to provide for a special ballot, or another place on the machine. We discussed this in committee, and in committee the City Attorney said that as we have machines—we have 1058 voting places, and we have some 1200 machines, so therefore it can, if we wish it, it can be placed on the machine with scarcely any cost to the taxpayers. Now this is no small bond issue. It is probably a little over \$50,000,000, how much I don't know. Now the court has decided against San Francisco, and you have heard all kinds of explanations as to the interpretation of Section 6, but if you will go into the history of hydro-electric power throughout the United States—

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor McSheehy, this is a motion to have a communication sent to President Byington to call a special meeting of the Public Utilities Commission.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I am trying to lead up to that. I don't mean to take up your time—

THE PRESIDENT: We all know what it is for, Supervisor McSheehy.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: All right, then, but the thought that I have in this matter is that we will make a great mistake if we do not do everything we can in our power to put it on the August primary. Now we vote a resolution one minute, then we ask an appropriation, and we ask one of our Commissions to go ahead and create conditions so that this appropriation will take place immediately—and for what purpose? For the purpose of time, nothing else. I want to see this, and I think you do, too, on the August primary, because I think the people will have a clearer view of it, and I think the courts will understand that we are honest in our endeavor to try and distribute our own power. Of course, if it is not ready it will go over to November election. What will happen I don't know. I do know this, that the law that the Pacific Gas and Electric Company are operating under permits them—they can and did, last election, add \$25,000—\$25,234 to their operating fund for the purpose of defeating the Charter amendment last election, and the law countenances that, and they place that in the rates. Now they can take \$100,000 and put it in their operating fund, and charge it to election expenses, for the purpose of defeating this, and creating propaganda. So I trust the membership will be sincere, and place this on the August primary, and not attempt to wait until the November election.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: If it is going to cost the taxpayers of San Francisco 20 or 25 thousand dollars to put it on the August primary, and if there is nothing to be gained, as Supervisor Roncovieri has brought out—if we are not going to lose any time, would you be willing to spend the \$25,000 to put it on the August primary?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Number one, it will not cost \$25,000. I heard that statement made, but—

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: My interest is merely that of saving this sum of money.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I will bring you in a report next week showing exactly what it will cost, so there will be no question about it.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Then I would like it to go over one week. If there is going to be nothing gained, there is no idea of rushing this.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: The only thought I had in trying to rush it is to give Mr. Cahill as much time as possible to bring in a report. He can't make one move until this Board has set aside the money for the purpose of experts going over the entire cost of the Pacific Gas and Electric.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Why not pass this temporarily, and send for Major Collins to advise us as to the approximate cost? I am willing to vote in this matter if I can find out—



THE PRESIDENT: Is there any objection to sending for the Major to find out the approximate cost of the election?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: No, I have no objection.

THE PRESIDENT: So ordered.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I want to emphasize that it will cost you nothing to place it on the November election, and you jeopardize the legality of it, as Mr. O'Toole has told you, and you don't give yourselves time to prepare a campaign of education on a matter that has been turned down before. It will require time, and you know, Supervisor McSheehy, as a matter of good political strategy—

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Roncovieri, you are out of order.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I know I am.

**Adopted.**

Whereupon, the roll was called and the resolution *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Ratto—3.

**An Ordinance Granting American District Telegraph Company Permission to Operate a General System for Transmission of Signals and Alarms.**

(Code No. 15.099)

The following recommendation of the Public Utilities Committee was taken up:

Bill No. 1575, Ordinance No. 15.0991, as follows:

An ordinance granting to the American District Telegraph Company of San Francisco and assigns the right to install, construct, lay down and maintain poles, conduits, wires, cables, conductors and other appliances and equipment in, under and across the streets, alleys, avenues, thoroughfares and other public places in the City and County of San Francisco, for the operation of a general system or systems for the transmission of signals and alarms.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby granted to the American District Telegraph Company of San Francisco, a corporation, its successors and assigns, the right and privilege, for the period hereinafter specified, to install, construct, lay down and maintain poles, conduits, wires, cables, conductors and other appliances and equipment in, under and across the streets, alleys, avenues, thoroughfares and other public places in the City and County of San Francisco, for the operation of a general system or systems for the transmission of signals and alarms for any and all purposes, including, but without limiting the generality of the foregoing, fire alarms, burglar alarms, automatic sprinkler alarms, water flow alarms, night and day watchman alarms and messenger calls.

Section 2. The grantee of this franchise and privilege, its successors and assigns, shall, during the life of this franchise, and as a condition to the granting of the same, install, maintain and operate transmission lines between its central stations and the fire and police departments of the City and County of San Francisco, and install apparatus in said fire and police departments for the purpose of transmitting to said department alarms and signals of fires, burglaries, hold-ups and other emergencies, which said installation, maintenance and operation shall be at the cost of the grantee and without cost to the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 3. The construction and maintenance of any and all such poles, conduits, wires, cables, conductors and other appliances and equipment in, under and across the streets, alleys, avenues, thoroughfares and other public places, shall at all times be subject to the con-

trol and supervision of the several departments of the City and County of San Francisco having jurisdiction over the same.

Section 4. The grantee of this franchise and privilege, its successors and assigns, shall, during the life of this franchise, pay to the City and County of San Francisco two (2) per cent of the gross annual receipts of the grantee, its successors and assigns, arising from the use, operation or possession of the rights and privileges hereby granted. The said percentage shall be computed upon the gross annual receipts of the grantee, its successors and assigns, arising from such use, operation and possession, commencing upon the effective date of this franchise, and shall be payable annually, and in the event such payment is not made this franchise shall cease to exist and the grantee shall have no further right to operate under the same.

Section 5. The grantee of this franchise and privilege, its successors and assigns, shall at all times keep and maintain a full, true and correct account of all of the gross earnings and income of said grantee arising out of its operation under this franchise, which said books of accounts shall at all times be open to the inspection and examination of the authorized officers, agents and employees of said City and County of San Francisco, and that said grantee shall, on or before the 15th day of February of each calendar year, render to the said City and County of San Francisco a full, true and correct statement of all of the gross earnings and income from the operations of the said grantee arising out of this franchise for the preceding calendar year, and shall, on or before the 15th day of March of each calendar year, pay to the City and County of San Francisco the said sum of two (2) per cent of the gross annual receipts of the preceding year from the operations of the said grantee, its successors and assigns arising from the use, operation or possession of the rights and privileges hereby granted. Should said grantee fail or neglect to pay the said amount due on or before the 15th day of March of each calendar year then, in that event, the amount so due shall bear interest at the rate of six (6) per cent per annum from the said 15th day of March until the said sum due as aforesaid shall have been paid. Nothing herein contained shall prevent the City and County of San Francisco from terminating said franchise for failure to pay the amounts herein specified.

Section 6. The provisions of this franchise and all rights, obligations and duties hereunder shall inure to and be binding upon the grantee, its successors or assigns.

Section 7. The grantee shall file a written acceptance of this franchise and an agreement to comply with the terms and conditions herein set forth with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco within thirty (30) days after the date that the ordinance granting this franchise becomes effective.

Section 8. The rights, privileges and franchise hereby granted shall continue and be in force for a period of twenty-five (25) years from and after the effective date of this franchise.

Garry Owen, representing the American District Telegraph Company, was heard on the pending measure.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

Whereupon, the foregoing bill was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

No—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

#### Adopted.

The following recommendations of Streets Committee were taken up:



**Approving Map Showing the Widening of Marina Boulevard at Lyon Street.**

(Code No. 12.0821)

Resolution No. 3946, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain diagram entitled "Map Showing the Widening of Marina Boulevard at Lyon Street," approved the 20th day of April, 1938, by Director of Public Works Order No. 8133, be and is hereby approved, and the parcel shown hatched thereon is hereby declared to be an open public street to be known as Marina boulevard.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

**Approving Map Showing the Widening of the Intersection of Tiffany Avenue and Duncan and Valencia Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0821)

Also, Resolution No. 3947, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain diagram entitled "Map Showing the Widening of the Intersection of Tiffany Avenue and Duncan and Valencia Streets," approved the 20th day of April, 1938, by Director of Public Works Order No. 8134, be and is hereby approved, and the parcel shown hatched thereon is hereby declared to be an open public street to be known as shown on said map.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

**Changing and Establishing Grades on Carroll Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.0722)

Bill No. 1574, Ordinance No. 12.072236, as follows:

Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Carroll avenue between Third street and a line at right angles to the southerly line of, 601.55 feet westerly from Third street.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 7th day of February, 1938, by Resolution No. 3791, declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Carroll avenue between Third street and a line at right angles to the southerly line of, 601.55 feet westerly from Third street.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Director of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said Resolution of Intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days have elapsed since the first publication of said Resolution of Intention; therefore, be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

<i>Carroll Avenue</i>	<i>Feet</i>
At a point 10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Third street westerly line.....	9.75

*Carroll Avenue**Feet*

At a point formed by the intersection of the westerly line of Third street and a line parallel with the southerly line of Carroll avenue and 10 feet northerly therefrom..... 9.96

On a line at right angles to the southerly line of, 500 feet westerly from Third street..... 15.22

10 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 601.55 feet westerly from Third street ..... 16.80

70 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 601.55 feet westerly from Third street..... 16.50

On Carroll avenue between Third street and a line at right angles to the southerly line of, 601.55 feet westerly from Third street be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up:

**Approving Map Showing Grade Change on Army Street and Declaring Intention to Change and Establish Grades in Accordance Therewith.**

(Code No. 12.0721)

Resolution No. 3948, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain diagram entitled "Grade Map Showing the Proposed Change and Establishment of Official Grades on Army Street between points respectively 250 feet west and 280 feet east of Castro street; on Castro street between the north line of Army street and a line 114 feet south of Duncan street; on Twenty-seventh street between Diamond and Noe streets; on Duncan street between Diamond street and a point 100 feet east of Castro street; and on Newburg street between Twenty-seventh street and Duncan street," approved the 8th day of April, 1938, by Director of Public Works Order No. 8086, be and is hereby approved, and be it further

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on Army street between points respectively 250 feet west and 280 feet east of Castro street; on Castro street between the north line of Army street and a line 114 feet south of Duncan street; on Twenty-seventh street between Diamond and Noe streets; on Duncan street between Diamond street and a point 100 feet east of Castro street; and on Newburg street between Twenty-seventh street and Duncan street at the points specified and at the elevations above City base as shown upon said map.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades.

The Director of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this Resolution of Intention.

These proceedings are instituted in accordance with the "Change of Grade Act of 1909." The San Francisco Call-Bulletin is hereby designated as the newspaper in which this resolution shall be published.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.



**Leave of Absence—Hon. John P. Murphy, Member of Public Utilities Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

On recommendation of his Honor the Mayor:

Resolution No. 3950, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. John P. Murphy, a member of the Public Utilities Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing May 5, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

**Leave of Absence—Captain Duncan Matheson, Treasurer.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 3951, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Captain Duncan Matheson, Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of forty-five days, commencing May 4, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Use of City Streets by Pacific Gas and Electric Company.**

The following was presented, read by the Clerk and *ordered inserted in the record*:

**CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

Mayor's Office—200 City Hall

May 2, 1938.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall.

Gentlemen: I am in receipt of a letter under date of April 29th from the Honorable James B. McSheehy, Supervisor, pertaining to the use of City streets by the Pacific Gas and Electric Company.

Enclosed herewith is copy of my reply to the same, embodying Supervisor McSheehy's letter to me, which I address to your Honorable Board with the request that both communications be made a part of the official record of your Board.

Yours sincerely,

ANGELO J. ROSSI,  
Mayor.

May 2, 1938.

Hon. James B. McSheehy, Supervisor, City Hall, San Francisco.

Dear Supervisor McSheehy: I have your letter under date of April 29th, which reads as follows:

"On April 25, 1938, Resolution No. 3822 was unanimously passed by the Board of Supervisors which, it seems to me, may be of great help to you in formulating next year's budget.

"It is to the effect that the Pacific Gas and Electric Company has been in default for a number of years past in paying to the City compensation for use of the City streets for the sale of gas and electricity for other than illuminating purposes, and that a proper charge for this amounts to approximately \$1,000 a day.

"The Charter places full responsibility for the management of all public utilities in the hands of the Public Utilities Commission. As a Supervisor may I, therefore, suggest to you, as Mayor of this City and County, under whose authority the Public Utilities Commission functions, that suit be filed immediately against the Pacific Gas and Electric Company in order to recover the \$1,000 a day due to the City and which amount the City will lose every day until some settlement is reached between the company and the City. The total amount now due the City is about \$1,200,000. This sum, plus about \$1,000 a day lost to the City, will help materially in meeting the City's obligations next year, and particularly in providing funds for use during the Exposition.

"I most respectfully make this suggestion to you in the hope that the company may be forced to make restitution of all moneys due the City, and in the further hope that additional losses will not be suffered by the City."

I am grateful to you for your suggestion as I am to any other member of the official family who make suggestions which will help in forming a budget which will reduce the burden of the taxpayer. Before formulating my budget I gave consideration to your letter.

I note that you say "that the Pacific Gas and Electric Company has been in default for a number of years past in paying to the City compensation for use of the City's streets for the sale of gas and electricity for other than illuminating purposes, and that a proper charge for this amounts to approximately \$1,000 a day." You also direct attention to the fact that the Charter places full responsibility for the management of all public utilities in the hands of the Public Utilities Commission, and you suggest that I, as Mayor, recommend to the Public Utilities Commission that they take action to recover the amount now due from the Pacific Gas and Electric Company which you claim to be \$1,200,000.

I am inclined to believe that, as a member of the Board of Supervisors, you are endeavoring to shift your responsibilities to the Public Utilities Commission. I can find nowhere in the Charter any authority for the Public Utilities Commission of the City and County of San Francisco to meddle in the affairs of any privately owned utility, with the exception that, in Section 133, the Commission is vested with a rather limited authority to regulate street railways, cars and tracks.

The general powers of the Public Utilities Commission are found in Section 121 of the Charter, which provides as follows:

"The Public Utilities Commission shall have charge of the construction, management, supervision, maintenance, extension, operation and control of all public utilities and other properties used, owned, acquired, leased or constructed by the City and County, including airports, for the purpose of supplying any public utility service to the City and County and its inhabitants, . . ."

It is hardly necessary for me to direct your attention to the fact that the utility owned and operated by the Pacific Gas and Electric Company is neither "owned, acquired, leased or constructed by the City and County," and I can find nothing in our Charter which would authorize the Public Utilities Commission to interfere in any way with the operation of that Company. It appears to me that this matter is one entirely within the jurisdiction of the Board of Supervisors, for under the State law it lies within the power of that Board to grant, or to refuse to grant, a franchise to any person, firm or corporation making use of the public streets except as authorized by the Constitution of the State, that is, to make use of the streets for distributing either gas or electricity for lighting purposes.



If the Board of Supervisors has taken no action either to compel the Pacific Gas and Electric Company to obtain a franchise or to prevent it from occupying the streets of the City without a franchise, the responsibility should not be passed to the Public Utilities Commission, for there is no action which that body can take which can prevent a recalcitrant corporation from operating without a franchise, nor has the Commission any authority to compel the payment of any amount which might be due by reason of their failure to obtain a proper franchise.

Further, I am of the opinion that the Public Utilities Commission would have no authority to inquire into any amount which should be paid by the Pacific Gas and Electric Company by reason of its failure to obtain a franchise, nor what amount should be paid in the future until such a franchise is granted for, as I have said, the matter of the granting of a franchise lies entirely in the hands of the Board of Supervisors and it seems to me that it would be most unfair to compel a body which is in no way responsible to the Board of Supervisors, to determine the amount of payment which should be determined by the Supervisors.

I realize the fact that you have been a member of the Board of Supervisors for a great number of years and thought that you were entirely familiar with both its duties and responsibilities but, in view of your letter, I take it that possibly you have not given consideration to this particular phase of the responsibility which rests upon the Board in dealing with franchises, which duty, it seems to me, our Charter has not cast upon the Public Utilities Commission.

If this office can, without interference with the duties which rest upon the Board, be of assistance to you in determining this question I assure you you will find me co-operative in every way in working out this problem which is the responsibility of the Board of Supervisors.

Very truly yours,

ANGELO J. ROSSI,  
Mayor.

#### Presentation of Budget.

His Honor, Angelo J. Rossi, appeared before the Board of Supervisors, presented the proposed budget for the ensuing fiscal year, and read the following letter of transmittal into the record:

May 2, 1938.

The Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: As required by the Charter (Section 72) there have been transmitted to your honorable body this day the following:

The consolidated 1938-39 budget estimates for all departments and offices.

The proposed 1938-39 budget.

The detailed estimate of all 1938-39 revenues of each department.

The estimate of the 1938-39 requirements to meet bond interest and redemption, and other fixed charges, and revenues applicable thereto.

The draft of the 1938-39 annual appropriation ordinance prepared by the Controller.

The City and County budget which is now before you does not contain a single salary increase, nor a single new position. As originally submitted, it contained:

\$140,126 covering 700 requested salary increases

644,197 covering 347 requested new permanent positions

---

\$784,323 ELIMINATED

In addition to eliminating the above three-quarters of a million dollars certain other drastic curtailments have been made in proposed expenditures.

Requests have been made that wage rates of certain craftsmen employed by the City and County of San Francisco be equalized to conform with rates paid in private employment. In view of the fact that a SALARY STANDARDIZATION SCHEDULE covering these crafts was adopted by your honorable Board on May 24, 1937, neither the department heads nor the Mayor has authority to alter the rates thus established.

Departmental requests as originally filed totaled \$69,566,757; the budget transmitted to you totals \$61,567,495. Despite this slash of \$7,999,262 the submitted total is an increase of \$2,612,238 over the 1937-38 budget approved by you.

The principal items contributing to the increase are:

*Increased Cost of Humanitarian Activities*

Maintenance of minors.....	An INCREASE of \$	33,318	
Widows' pensions .....	An INCREASE of	50,646	
Needy aged .....	An INCREASE of	1,056,823	
Needy blind .....	An INCREASE of	37,500	
Indigent sick—dependent poor..	An INCREASE of	320,000	
Maintenance of feeble-minded..	An INCREASE of	5,000	
Milk for needy children.....	An INCREASE of	10,000	\$1,513,287

*Other Increases*

Exposition purposes .....	A NEW ITEM of \$	302,862	
Publicity and advertising.....	An INCREASE of	119,180	
Bond interest and redemption..	An INCREASE of	236,614	
Primary and general elections..	An INCREASE of	92,951	
Emergency Reserve Fund.....	An INCREASE of	75,000	
Employees' Retirement System..	An INCREASE of	47,718	
Superior Court—visiting judges.	An INCREASE of	12,700	
Various departmental functional obligations (net) .....	An INCREASE of	211,906	1,098,951
Summarized total .....			\$2,612,238

The increase in the Emergency Reserve Fund is intended to provide a guarantee for uninterrupted departmental operations in view of the drastic curtailments already made.

Three hundred thousand dollars has been provided for W. P. A. sponsorship, but this amount will be augmented by sponsorship contributions from sources other than this fund. At the request of the Public Welfare Department, and in view of the Mayor's official responsibility to the Federal Government, these funds have been set up under the Mayor's jurisdiction. The same procedure has been followed with the funds provided for Exposition purposes.

This budget, like all local budgets, will be financed by revenues accruing from taxes levied, and by revenues accruing from sources other than taxation. In submitting the estimate of anticipated revenues for the fiscal year 1938-39, as required by the Charter (Section 69), the Controller, under date of March 15, 1938, said:

"The statement of anticipated revenues is necessarily subject to revision prior to transmittal of your budget recommendations to the Board of Supervisors and, unquestionably, will have to be revised prior to final passage of the budget, and also prior to the fixing of the tax rate."

The task of estimating revenues for a period which will not terminate until June 30, 1939—fourteen months from now—is a difficult one.



It is obviously necessary that revenue estimates be subject to revision.

On the basis of present estimated revenues from all other sources, there will be required from taxes to finance the submitted budget the sum of \$33,538,172. This is \$1,568,346 in excess of the amount which the current tax levy was intended to provide. The submitted budget, which includes a four cent levy for Exposition purposes, and a four cent levy for publicity and advertising—the maximum amounts allowed by the statutes—will require, on the current tax base, a tax rate of approximately \$4.07.

Yours very truly,

ANGELO J. ROSSI,  
Mayor.

#### Referred.

Whereupon, the following matters were referred to the Finance Committee:

#### Annual Appropriation Ordinance, 1938-1939.

Bill No. ...., Ordinance No. .... (Code No. 9.051), entitled, "An ordinance appropriating all estimated receipts and all estimated expenditures for the City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1939."

Also, Budget Estimates of Proposed Expenditures for fiscal year 1938-1939.

#### Motion.

Supervisor Colman moved that the public hearing on the Budget be held on Wednesday, May 11, 1938, at 10 a. m.

Motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### Additional Policemen.

#### Motion.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I move that it be the sense of this Board of Supervisors that in view of the evident need of additional patrolmen in our Police Department, and in further view of the fact that the only eligible list of such classification expires at midnight of this day, the President of the Board is authorized to inform his Honor the Mayor that this Board concurs in such request for additional patrolmen, and that this Board will hold itself in readiness for a recommendation from the Mayor appropriating the necessary funds required to do justice to the Police Department and the eligible citizens who have passed the required tests.

A. J. Gallagher, Southern Promotion Association, and Wm. Varley, representing Central Council of Civic Clubs, were heard in favor of the motion.

Whereupon the roll was called and the motion lost by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Shannon—4.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—5.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

#### In Memoriam, Virgil Giannini.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Board adjourn out of respect to the memory of the late Virgil Giannini, and that appropriate expression of sympathy of the Board be extended to the family.

Adopted unanimously by a rising vote.

**Tennis Court, Alamo Square.**

Supervisor McSheehy presented petition urging immediate construction of tennis court in Alamo Square.

*Referred to Education, Park and Recreation Committee.*

**Re City's Contribution for Completion of Ocean Shore Highway.**

Supervisor Ratto presented telegram from Ocean Shore Highway Association, addressed to himself, urging the Board to adopt resolutions favorable to four-year plan suggested by State to allow for speediest possible completion of Ocean Shore Highway involving \$60,000 a year from San Francisco.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

**Walter Sullivan to Report on Low Cost Housing.**

Supervisor Uhl requested that Chairman of Housing Authority, Walter Sullivan, be invited to appear before the Board Monday, May 9, at 3 p. m. to inform the Board regarding the low cost housing project.

*So ordered.*

**Report of Citizens' Committee on Rapid Transit.**

Supervisor Uhl requested that Curtis O'Sullivan, Chairman of the Citizens' Committee on Transportation, be invited to appear before the Board to present a progress report on the matter of rapid transit at 3:30 p. m., May 9, 1938.

*So ordered.*

**Department of Public Works to Advise Re Reduction of Sidewalks, Post Street.**

Supervisor Uhl requested that the Clerk obtain information from the Department of Public Works regarding the reduction of sidewalk widths on Post street.

*So ordered.*

**Joint Highway District No. 25, San Rafael Meeting.**

Supervisor Ratto was appointed by the Chair to attend important meeting of Joint Highway District No. 25 at San Rafael, Wednesday, May 4, at 11 a. m.

**Meetings Announced.**

Fire, Safety and Police Committee, May 6, 10 a. m.

Board of Supervisors, Public Hearing on Budget, May 10, 10 a. m.

**Chamber of Commerce Booklet.**

Supervisor Brown moved that Clerk acknowledge and express thanks of the Board of Supervisors for copies of booklet describing its activities during the year issued by the Chamber of Commerce and presented to each member of the Board.

*So ordered.*

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board of Supervisors at 6:55 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.



Approved by the Board of Supervisors May 9, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

THE JOURNAL OF THE

THE JOURNAL OF THE

THE JOURNAL OF THE

THE JOURNAL OF THE

THE JOURNAL OF THE

THE JOURNAL OF THE

THE JOURNAL OF THE

THE JOURNAL OF THE

THE JOURNAL OF THE



Vol. 33

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

No. 20

Monday, May 9, 1938

Wednesday, May 11, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.

ALBANY, N. Y.

184-24

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of New York



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

---

MONDAY, MAY 9, 1938, 2 P. M.

---

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, May 9, 1938,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Meyer—1.

Supervisor Meyer was noted present at 3:50 p. m.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of May 2, 1938, was  
considered read and approved.

### Congratulations to Ruby Adams, Declared San Francisco's Most Valiant Mother.

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 3957, as follows:

Whereas, the Call-Bulletin-Parent Teachers' search for San Fran-  
cisco's most valiant mother has resulted in the selection of Ruby  
Adams, and

Whereas, this lovely lady, handicapped as she is, typifies all the sig-  
nificance of "Mother"; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors expresses its happiness at  
the signal honor bestowed upon Ruby Adams and expresses the hope  
that she may long and joyfully bear it.

---

President Shannon in presenting Ruby Adams declared that she  
had been invited to be here as the selection of the Parent-Teachers' Asso-  
ciation in a Call-Bulletin Mothers' Day contest as San Francisco's most  
valiant mother. Mothers' Day, he said, was inaugurated by the Fra-  
ternal Order of Eagles in San Francisco and from here it has spread  
all over the United States. He recalled the sad accident of thirteen  
years ago, when Ruby Adams was a star at the Strand Theater and at  
that time was crippled for life by the falling bag of sand, and that  
notwithstanding her great handicap she had carried on bravely and  
nobly, raising and educating her son Stephen and is here today cheer-  
ful and smiling to receive the felicitations and congratulations of the  
Board of Supervisors and the people here assembled.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was unanimously *adopted* by a  
rising vote.

MONDAY, MAY 9, 1938.

**SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.**

The following matter was taken up:

**Rezoning Northeast Corner Alemany Boulevard and Geneva Avenue.**

Hearing of appeal from decision of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1766, dated March 17, 1938, denying application to rezone from First Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the northeast corner of Alemany boulevard and Geneva avenue.

Filed Board of Supervisors, April 15, 1938. City Engineer's Report, April 20.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

Thos. E. Ryan, 1660 North Point street, representing Bardini, property owner and applicant for zone change, said that 60 people in the district favored the proposed change and that there were none opposed.

Mark Jorgerson, representing the City Planning Commission, was also heard.

**Resolution Refused Adoption.**

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *refused adoption* by the following vote:

**Rezoning Northeast Corner of Alemany Boulevard and Geneva Avenue.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 3958, as follows:

Resolved, That the decision of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1766, dated March 17, 1938, denying application to rezone property located at the northeast corner of Alemany boulevard and Geneva avenue, from First Residential District to Commercial District, is hereby disapproved.

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt, Uhl—4.

Absent—Supervisor Meyer—1.

**SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.****Low Cost Housing.**

On motion of Supervisor Uhl, Walter H. Sullivan, chairman Low Cost Housing Project, is requested to appear at this time and explain \$15,000,000 Low Cost Housing Act and proposed measure making low cost homes free of taxation.

**Communication From Walter H. Sullivan.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

May 5, 1938.

Mr. J. S. Dunnigan,  
Clerk, Board of Supervisors,  
Room 235 City Hall, San Francisco, Calif.

Dear Mr. Dunnigan: In reply to your letter of May 3rd, would say that Mr. A. D. Wilder, Executive Engineer of the San Francisco Housing Authority, will attend the Board of Supervisors' meeting on Monday, May 9th, at 3 p. m., to give such information as the Board may desire.

Yours very truly,

WALTER H. SULLIVAN.



**Communication From A. D. Wilder.**

15 California Street, San Francisco, California,

May 9, 1938.

Mr. J. S. Dunnigan,  
Clerk, Board of Supervisors,  
Room 235, City Hall, San Francisco, Calif.

Dear Mr. Dunnigan: Your letter of May 3rd to Mr. Walter H. Sullivan stating that Supervisor Uhl of the Board of Supervisors has requested Mr. Sullivan's attendance at the meeting of the Board on Monday, May 9th, has been referred to me by Mr. Sullivan with instructions to attend the meeting of the Board and give such information as the Board may desire.

Some days prior to the receipt of your letter Mr. Sullivan had instructed me to obtain copies of the basic laws under which the U. S. Housing Authority and the San Francisco Housing Authority had been created and to send same to each of the Supervisors together with a short brief explaining the powers, functions and purposes of the Housing Authority so far as they have now been determined. Inasmuch as the Housing Authority has only this morning obtained office space and facilities for working it has not been possible as yet for me to execute Mr. Sullivan's instructions but we hope to do this in the near future.

Last Saturday I communicated with President Shannon and told him that I would be glad to attend on the Board of Supervisors at any time they desired but that I thought it would facilitate matters if my presence at the Board were postponed until I had had an opportunity to send to each Board member a copy of the above synopsis and they had had the opportunity to read same. Mr. Shannon agreed with this and requested that I write you this letter suggesting that my attendance on the Board be postponed for two weeks.

Yours very truly,

A. D. WILDER, Executive Director.

**Action Deferred.**

Whereupon, the foregoing matter was laid over until May 23, 1938, and made a *Special Order of Business* for 3 p. m.

**SPECIAL ORDER—3:30 P. M.****Report of Citizens' Committee on Rapid Transit.**

On motion of Supervisor Uhl, Curtis D. O'Sullivan, chairman of Citizens' Committee on Rapid Transit, is requested to appear at this hour and make progress report on solution of rapid transit problem.

**Communication From Curtis D. O'Sullivan.**

The following was read by the Clerk:

May 4, 1938.

Mr. J. S. Dunnigan,  
Clerk, Board of Supervisors,  
235 City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Dear Sir: I received this morning your communication concerning my attendance before the Board of Supervisors on May 9th.

As I returned from New York last night and as a meeting of the Traffic and Transportation Committee has been called for May 13th, Supervisor Uhl has agreed to a postponement of my appearance before the Board of Supervisors until May 16th, at which time it will be possible to make a more detailed report on progress.

Yours truly,

C. D. O'SULLIVAN.

**Action Deferred.**

Whereupon, the foregoing matter was *continued one week and made a Special Order of Business for 3 p. m. May 16, 1938.*

**UNFINISHED BUSINESS.****Final Passage.**

The following recommendation of Finance Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up:

**Amendment to Salary Ordinance, Assessor's Office.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 1563, Ordinance No. 9.053126, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 5 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 by adding Item 16½—1 B352 Storekeeper (part-time) at \$79.50 a month, which item was omitted through a clerical oversight when this section was amended by Ordinance No. 9.053123 on March 14, 1938.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 5 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 5. ASSESSOR**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Assessor . . . . .	\$ 666.66
2	1	B52	Research Assistant . . . . .	250
3	1	B120	Supervisor, Accounts and Records Assessor's Office . . . . .	300
4	2	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	250
5	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	200
6	1	B418	Confidential Secretary (Ex. Sec. 28) . . . . .	200
7	8	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	190
8	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	300
9	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	190
10	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	200
11	1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	300
12	1	B235	Director of Service . . . . .	250
13	1	B242	Blockbook Draftsman . . . . .	225
14	1	B302	Addressing Machine Operator . . . . .	155
15	2	B310a	Tabulating Alphabetic Key Punch Operator . . . . .	155
16	1	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator . . . . .	165
16½	1	B352	Storekeeper (part-time) . . . . .	79.50
17	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	200
18	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	200
19	2	B454	Telephone Operator . . . . .	150
20	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	190
21	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	175
22	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman . . . . .	200
23	1	G4	Supervising Land Appraiser . . . . .	300
24	1	G5	Chief Land Appraiser . . . . .	330
25	4	G10	Supervising Building Appraiser . . . . .	300
26	1	G11	Chief Building Appraiser . . . . .	330
27	5	G16	Supervising Personal Property Appraiser . . . . .	300
28	1	G17	Chief Personal Property Appraiser . . . . .	330
29	1	G20	Chief Assistant Assessor . . . . .	400



## Section 5. ASSESSOR (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
30*	11	B222	General Clerk .....	160
31*	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	150
32			Seasonal Clerical Services and other temporary services at rates not in excess of salary standardization schedules.	

\*Occupants of these positions are paid from appropriations for temporary services and have acquired permanent status under the rule of Civil Service Commission adopted pursuant to Section 148 of the Charter.

Finally passed by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.  
Absent—Supervisor Meyer—1.

### Appropriation of \$5,000 for Expenses of Raker Act Litigation.

(Code No. 9.051)

The following matter was taken up:

Bill No. 1566, Ordinance No. 9.051471, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$5,000 out of the surplus existing in the Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 767.604.00 for the purpose of paying expenses in connection with the Raker Act litigation.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$5,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 767.604.00 for the purpose of paying expenses in connection with the Raker Act litigation.

### Discussion.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I move the City Attorney be sent for.

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor McSheehy: Will you permit at this time the reading of a letter and opinion from Mr. O'Toole as the result of the Chair's action when this was originally considered. Even I make mistakes some times!

THE CLERK (Reading): "Opinion of City Attorney. May 9, 1928. Subject: In re passage of Supplemental Appropriation Ordinance to Finance Hetch Hetchy Litigation. Dear Sir:

"I have before me your request that I advise you whether it takes a two-thirds vote of the Board of Supervisors to make an appropriation out of Hetch Hetchy operative surplus, or whether such legislation can be adopted by a majority board.

"I understand that the matter in controversy occurred on Monday, April 25th, at which time there was on the Calendar of the Board, Ordinance No. 9.051471, the purport of which was to appropriate out of the surplus existing in the Hetch Hetchy operative fund, the sum of \$5,000 for the purpose of paying expenses in re Raker Act litigation. The ordinance was regularly on the Calendar, it having received the favorable recommendation of the Finance Committee.

"I further understand that on motion to pass the proposed ordinance for second reading, that the motion received the vote of eight out of ten of the members in attendance on the Board, and that two members voted against it. The ordinance was not an emergency measure, nor did it provide for any appropriation out of the Mayor's Emergency Reserve Fund.

"Opinion. The intended appropriation ordinance was proposed pursuant to the provisions of Section 80 of the Charter, the pertinent por-

tions of which read as follows: 'Provided however that any such surplus created in the year 1933-34, or created or existing any subsequent fiscal year may be appropriated by the Board of Supervisors at the last meeting of such Board in any month, by means of an ordinance designated as a supplemental appropriation ordinance, etc. . . . in the same manner and subject to the same conditions, except time, as provided in this Charter for the submission and approval of the annual budget and appropriation ordinance.'

"Only a majority vote of the Board is required to pass the annual budget and appropriation ordinance (see Section 72), hence if the supplemental appropriation ordinance received a majority vote of the Board it was passed for second reading.

"The President of the Board took the position that the appropriation was in the same position as an ordinance fixing rates for a public utility as provided by Section 130 of the Charter. True, this section provides that the rates for service from all utilities must be fixed so that the amount thereof will be sufficient to meet the cost of operating such utility, together with interest and sinking fund charges for any bonds issued for the acquisition, etc., of the utility, provided that the Utilities Commission may provide a lower schedule of rates with the approval of a two-thirds vote of the Board. In the instant case rates were not being fixed, and rates were in no way before the Board. The Controller has certified that funds were available out of surplus to meet the amount of the appropriation. The President of the Board of Supervisors is therefore advised that six votes are sufficient to pass the ordinance in question either finally or for second reading.

"Yours very truly, John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

"To: The President of the Board of Supervisors.

"C. C. to the Controller."

THE PRESIDENT: It may be well, since this may be debated, to temporarily postpone it until we get through with the Calendar on uncontested matters. If there is no objection, such will be the order.

\* \* \* \* \*

THE PRESIDENT: All right, proceed with the consideration of Number two on the Calendar, appropriation of \$5,000, expenses of Raker Act litigation.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Now, Mr. President, in reference to this matter I have here a letter that I have received from a former colleague of ours, now Congressman Honorable Franck R. Havenner. I want the Clerk to read it, because I want it made a part of the record.

THE CLERK (reading): "May 4, 1938.

"I cannot understand how it could be anything but disastrous to the city's interests to grant a new franchise to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company before the problem of municipal distribution is satisfactorily settled. Of course, the city would derive some temporary revenue from the gross income franchise tax, but this would be a trivial price for turning over the streets of the city to the company for use in perpetuity. In my opinion, the granting of a new and unlimited franchise for the distribution and sale of electricity to a private corporation now would be equivalent to a declaration of policy by San Francisco that it has no intention of distributing its own electricity in the future. I am confident that the Department of the Interior would regard the issuance of such a franchise to the P. G. and E. before the present case is settled as an evidence of bad faith on the part of San Francisco.

"The suggestion that the Pacific Gas and Electric Company can automatically demand and obtain from the City and County of San Francisco a new franchise by paying the gross income franchise tax to the city is something entirely new to me. If there is such a law on the statute books of California, I have not heard anything about it, and in my opinion it would constitute an outrageous deprivation of the rights of the people. I know that the Market Street Railroad succeeded in having a similar provision placed in the Charter of the city, but



I firmly believe that a majority of our people now rue the day when they voted to place this millstone around their necks.

"If this law is now in effect in California, I think that the government of San Francisco should find some legal means of contesting it, and should fight to the last ditch in an effort to invalidate it. The idea that a corporation, by the mere payment of a sum of money, can compel any political subdivision to sign away its control over the use of the streets is so utterly repugnant to the whole theory of democratic government that it ought never to be tolerated in a free country.

"It may be true, as suggested, that the Pacific Gas and Electric Company will never voluntarily consent to the sale of its electric distribution system to San Francisco, but it does not by any means follow as a logical and proper alternative that the City and County of San Francisco ought therefore to surrender to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company in this fight and strengthen that company in the possession of its streets. In my opinion, the exact contrary of this procedure is the only honorable course for the city to pursue.

"The truth about the whole situation is this. San Francisco came to Congress a quarter of a century ago and begged for a grant of water storage rights in the Hetch Hetchy Valley. It agreed fully, and without reservation, to the proviso that the hydro-electric power to be generated in connection with the Hetch Hetchy project should never be sold to any individual or corporation for resale. The publicly announced purpose of this proviso was to prevent any privately owned public service corporation from appropriating this electric power to its own use and profit. The officials of the city government publicly proclaimed their intention to distribute and sell this power to the people of the city. As an evidence of good faith they constructed the Moccasin Creek power house and built a transmission line in the direction of San Francisco. My own limited intelligence will not enable me to believe that it was merely by accident that the city's money ran out when this transmission line was completed as far as the Newark substation of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company. However, there the transmission line stopped, and there it has continued to stop until this day.

"The city officials then apologetically entered into the so-called agency contract with the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, which has at last been declared invalid. I say apologetically, because all through the ordinance which put this agency contract into effect, and which is still on the statute books of San Francisco, runs the declaration that it is the intention of the city to acquire and operate its own municipal distribution system, and that the agency contract is merely a temporary arrangement pending such time as the city does acquire and operate such a system.

"There is another pledge which ought to be binding upon our city but which the opponents of municipal distribution always either ignore, or sneeringly decry. It is the declaration in the Charter that it is the policy of San Francisco to own and operate its public utilities.

"Cynics have said that consistency is a vice of foolish minds. However, I cannot escape the conviction that the government of San Francisco ought to be just as honorable in the fulfillment of its official obligations as any of its citizens would be required to be in their private relationships.

"I do not make these statements with any personal bitterness, or with any desire to fix the responsibility for this delinquency upon any particular group of individuals. I merely make the statements because they are true.

"The time has now come, in my judgment, when the interests of San Francisco must be placed ahead of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, and a fight to the finish should be waged upon this issue. This issue seems to me to be clear cut, and no compromise involving a surrender of any of San Francisco's rights ought to be tolerated.

"I do not contend that San Francisco should not appeal from the recent decision in the Federal Court but I am convinced that the appeal

will eventually be denied, and in the meantime the city should take every possible step to strengthen its position. There is no reason why condemnation proceedings should not be instituted as soon as it is legally possible to do so and in conjunction with the other suits which I have previously suggested.

"I have never been optimistic enough to believe that the Pacific Gas and Electric Company would meekly consent to the immediate sale of its properties to the city. But I think that the company should be placed on the defensive in every possible way so that it will become unmistakably apparent to the people of San Francisco that this corporation is openly defying the city Charter, the provisions of its own agency contract, the Federal law and government, and the financial well-being of San Francisco.

"Of course, the City Attorney should be allowed to use reasonable discretion in the matter of pressing the suit to enjoin the Pacific Gas and Electric Company from future illegal use of the streets. But the suit ought to be filed, possibly in such a form that San Francisco would be enabled to enforce the injunction in certain sections of the city without depriving the entire city of electricity. If such a form of injunction could be legally contrived, it might be practical, for instance, to bring the city power into the industrial districts, and, as soon as it was ready to serve, shut off all competition. IF this were possible, such a program could be gradually expanded until the city was in control of the entire distribution service. Of course, I am not a lawyer but what is needed in this emergency is careful and aggressive legal thought, so that the battle may be won for San Francisco and not for the Pacific Gas and Electric Company.

"Franck R. Havenner, M. C."

SUPERVISOR UHL: Just read that last paragraph. The City Attorney did not hear it.

THE PRESIDENT: Before that is done, I desire to call your attention to the fact that the hour of three-thirty has arrived, which was set as a Special Order for the report of Citizens' Committee on Rapid Transit. Is Mr. O'Sullivan present? Is there a communication from Mr. O'Sullivan?

THE CLERK: Yes.

\* \* \* \* \*

THE PRESIDENT: Proceed now, with the reading of that last paragraph for the benefit of the City Attorney.

(Last paragraph of letter of May 4, 1938, signed "Franck R. Havenner, M. C.," again read by the Clerk.)

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor McSheehy.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Mr. President, and Members of the Board: Two weeks ago this matter came before us, and I believe two members of the Board objected to it. I was one of the objectors, and, of course, I am objecting here today.

Now, members of the Board, you have just heard a letter written by a former colleague of ours, now Congressman, a man who has given a lot of attention to this particular subject. Now it is up to you to continue this suit. Your City Attorney is standing over there, and he undoubtedly will continue the suit if this Board orders him to. If this Board does not order him to, then, of course, he will have to receive his orders elsewhere, but the responsibility as it stands now is ours in reference to this particular appropriation. If we vote this appropriation on final passage this afternoon the City Attorney will go forth and proceed with the suit.

Now, I am informed that certain people connected with the Federal administration as it is now constituted will not tolerate the continuance of our selling this power to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company. If we do not show good faith, that we are trying to do something in reference to complying with Section 6 of the Raker Act you might find yourself in a position here some time in the latter part of October—I believe that is about the date the six months is up—you might find



yourself in the position that you would be enjoined from proceeding with the sale of that electricity.

Now we are going forth here now, and we have received a budget, and it will be in our hands I imagine this week to pass on, and we are making allowances for this \$2,000,000 in compiling this budget. If we find ourselves next October, or thereabouts in a position that we cannot use this \$2,000,000 we are in an embarrassing position. Now, members, it is up to this Board. I have used this expression a great many times, but it is nevertheless true, that some day some members of this Board may have to give an accounting of their stewardship—and most of the members have given an account of their stewardship, but some day we will be charged with this responsibility. Now it is up to us this afternoon. We must be consistent——

THE PRESIDENT: Order, please.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Here a few months ago we passed a resolution asking the Public Utilities Commission to start the machinery in motion for a bond issue. I tried hard in my own way to get going as rapidly as possible. There are members of this Board that do not wish a bond issue. Every time a bond issue has come up for the purchase of a distribution system they have gone out and publicly opposed it. I have no difference with those members, but I have a difference with the man who is on the bench, who doesn't know his own mind, and I don't think the people of our city are anxious to have a man of that kind represent them. They respect a man who has the courage of his own convictions. So we have those members here, and by their vote they show themselves here this afternoon, and you are going to vote this \$5,000 for the purpose of instructing your City Attorney to proceed with this suit. I can't see the consistency of it. I can't see how you can go before the people and say, "We want to distribute our own hydro-electric power, we want to give to the people of San Francisco the same advantages the people of Los Angeles have. We want to do this, and yet by our vote we do not give the people that right or chance. I don't know how you are going to defend it. You know what Abraham Lincoln said: "you can fool all of the people part of the time, and you can fool some of the people all of the time, but you can't fool all of the people all of the time"—if my quotation is right. I don't know. So I say to you, laugh as you please, think as you please, but some day you are going to answer to the people here in reference to your attitude this afternoon.

I trust and hope that you will see the error that you made two weeks ago, and that six members vote here today to not adopt this resolution, which has for its purpose instructing your City Attorney to go forth and ask the repeal of the decision as rendered by the United States judge. And I trust you will not do it, because I don't think you are doing the right thing, and I think some day that the Federal government will recognize that fact, and it will not surprise me—and I am informed by men in close touch with the Federal government in Washington, that if we do not show an honest disposition to create a bond issue for the distributing of our own power that we will find ourselves in a very embarrassing position, and the opinion will be upheld, and the judge of the United States Court will decide that we cannot sell that power any longer to the power company. If we were not the city that we are we never would have received the opinion from that judge that we did. He would have enjoined us forthwith, and in so many days. But he gave us six months, and there is a disposition of some members that this city will not be given an opportunity to issue revenue bonds for this purpose. So I say to you, just give this some thought, and do not make the same mistake you made two weeks ago. You have had two weeks to sleep on it, and I trust you will not pass it.

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Colman.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: The letter read from Congressman Havenner has no bearing here. The matter he referred to has been decided. The City Attorney has been instructed to negotiate, and if the negotia-

tions fail he has been instructed to bring suit. I feel we can handle our own affairs, members of the Board, without being advised by the Honorable Congressman. I think that is a matter for this Board to determine, and I think were we not to pass this \$5,000 appropriation, then we would be guilty of inconsistency to a startling degree—to such a degree that we would not be taking care of \$2,000,000 of the taxpayers' money. This Board requested that legislation. It has been started. The first decision has gone against the city. That is the lowest court, from which an appeal can be taken. It is logical and proper to appeal that decision to the next higher court, and failing there, to determine whether or not we can go to the highest court of the land.

It is also a logical step to determine, although this contract may not be considered reasonably complying with the Raker Act, if another one could not be drawn that might be considered actually a legal compliance with the Raker Act. Now, if when we serve notice of an appeal the City Attorney advises us that the decision of the lower court is held up, that must be the case, because until the decision comes from the highest court, Judge Roche's decision is not law—until it is sustained. That is the statement of the City Attorney. So there is no danger whatsoever that anything could happen as suggested by our colleague. Now as far as the people of San Francisco are concerned, and I might refer just a moment to the Fourth Congressional District which the writer of the letter represents. It was only on March the 9th, 1937, that the people of the Fourth Congressional District, 40,415 voted "No" on revenue bonds, and 27,122 voted "Yes." It would not appear from that result, and in going back to other revenue bond elections the difference is just the same. It would not appear that the representative of the Fourth Congressional District understands thoroughly how his constituents feel upon the question of revenue bonds. He apparently, as I see it, is decidedly out of touch with his district. Were I politically ambitious it would be a glorious moment, but I am not. Nevertheless I do call your attention to those facts, and I do say in conclusion that this Board can only vote to continue this appeal, to protect the money of the taxpayers of San Francisco.

**SUPERVISOR RATTO:** The question?

**THE PRESIDENT:** Call the roll—Supervisor Reilly.

**SUPERVISOR REILLY:** I would just like to make a statement before we vote, but I will yield to Supervisor McSheehy, if he wishes?

**SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY:** No, I have already spoken.

**SUPERVISOR REILLY:** I just wanted to make this statement, Mr. President and members of the Board: That it places me in a position of wonderment for the moment, at least, although I am determined in my own mind as to how I think I should vote. I am committed, as a public official, to the Charter; and the language of the Charter is for public ownership of our utilities. Our City Attorney has proceeded with a certain suit that this Board requested him to proceed with, and I repeat, and I want the record to show this, or to show my language in case I refer to it at some future time, that no member of this Board, to my knowledge—and if I am incorrect I know I will be corrected by the members of this Board—at no time has the City Attorney been requested by any of these strong advocates of municipal ownership to fight this contract during the past few years, or during the life of the contract. In other words, I want to be consistent, and I may have to step on a toe here or there in order to do it, and in order to protect my position in the future, when I present my stewardship to the voters—and I am proud to say that they were intelligent at the last election, and another 5000 votes and I would have been number one on the ticket. I still think if I had two weeks more time I would have been. However, I say that only in a joking way, and with respect, because I think Supervisor McSheehy is well respected as a member of the Board, and the people want him on the Board, and they have said so, and I said that more in a joking way than anything else. However, to be consistent on this power problem, as a new member of the Board,



I am wondering now just what position to take. The members of the Board who are constantly fighting this \$2,000,000 a year, that seems to have a kind of odor attached to it. I don't know. It has been on the Board a long time. Now with respect to Congressman Havenner, when the matter of the Ins versus the Sell-outs came up, nothing was done when the Sell-outs went in and the Ins came in on the matter of the 24-hour contract. Put yourself, Mr. and Mrs. Citizen, in the position of a new member of the Board who is committed to the policy of public ownership by the Charter, and who doesn't want to fool these citizens. Have you ever been requested, Mr. O'Toole, to fight the legality of this 24-hour contract by this Board officially?

MR. O'TOOLE: Supervisor, the Board did not have to do that. The contract could have been cancelled on 24 hours' notice.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: Thank you. That brings me to question number two: Did any member of this Board who so strongly fought the sell-out and came on the Board, did they ever attack the legality of the act? No. But every budget time they saw the \$2,200,000, and when they made speeches before the Improvement clubs in this city they said, we have reduced the tax rate, and they kept putting in the dark horse, and the red herring kept sticking in there for 20 years. Now we are becoming alarmed about the power question. Now let me tell you that is a hot one. We are all alarmed about the power question. I can't see at all, if the same members of the Board want to be consistent, if they have been inconsistent for nineteen and three-quarter years or more, I can't see why eight more months will make a great deal of difference. Because it is still in the budget this year, \$2,200,000, and I don't know from the last budget hearing of any complaint that was registered. We were glad to get the \$2,200,000, and we have always been glad to get it.

Now we have asked our City Attorney to do what? He is asking for an appropriation to continue to do the thing this Board asked him to do. Now he would be inconsistent—Now I would be inconsistent if I did not vote the appropriation, having confidence in the City Attorney—and I don't like the last paragraph in Congressman Havenner's letter, and I consider him a great friend of mine. And in that paragraph he says, in one paragraph, "I don't contend San Francisco should not appeal from the recent decision of the Federal Court," and then he says something about the City Attorney—of course, I am not a lawyer—well, we all know that. And he says, in this emergency. I want to say to you, Mr. City Attorney, that I consider we have the finest legal talent in San Francisco, and I place the future of San Francisco, as far as I am concerned, in your hands any day in the week. (Applause.)

Now let me just, in closing, say this, and I say it respectfully to Supervisor McSheehy, and all other members of the Board who are power minded, and who see an emergency in this: Let's see if we are in good faith with each other. Let's assume that we stop the suit, and this is what I want you to think over—let's assume that we stop the suit. Would we take out the \$2,000,000 from the budget? We would not. That \$2,200,000 would remain there as long as we could get our mitts on it, and you know it. And I don't think any member of the Board would vote that \$2,200,000 out at this time. It does not prevent us from presenting the power issue to the people. My only conflict, and it is a very friendly and honest conflict with Supervisor McSheehy, and it is this: I am afraid he will cloud the issue, and place some members of the Board who are in sympathy with this matter in the position that by voting this appropriation that we will be voting against eventually purchasing our utilities. It is no such thing. We are doing, I believe, a consistent piece of business, and when the proper time comes, we will vote, I presume, for the best interest of San Francisco as far as our power problem is concerned. But I repeat this one thing in closing: That for twenty long years this 24-hour contract, to my knowledge, has never been attacked, and the record doesn't show it. No member has brought in a resolution condemning it, and

asking the Board to cancel it; and at this late hour, 19 or 20, or some odd years late they are coming in with this suggestion, that we should not appropriate the funds. I think we are doing the proper thing. We are honest with ourselves, and we are honest with the citizens, and it is not a vote, and no member of this audience should feel it is a vote to determine your policy on public ownership. It is a vote to do something that you advocated and requested the City Attorney to do—and in this \$5,000 are moneys already expended by Mr. O'Toole. Is that not a fact, Mr. O'Toole?

MR. O'TOOLE: Not all of it, Mr. Reilly.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: Some of it?

MR. O'TOOLE: Some of it has.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: Therefore, we are paying the bill for moneys already expended by the City Attorney to date, to do what we, the members of the Board, asked him to do. And I think in good faith, as a new member, knowing twenty long years have gone by, and that 24-hour contract has not been cancelled, I can do nothing else,—and it is still in existence, and going right along.

THE PRESIDENT: Any other member of the Board desire the floor? Supervisor Roncovieri?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I had intended to speak, but my good friend has said it all!

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor McSheehy.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Supervisor Reilly, when he came on this Board about a year ago, made somewhat of the type of Fourth of July speech he has made here today, and Supervisor Colman asked him if he was ever a yell leader in high school or college. Supervisor Reilly said no, and Supervisor Colman said he thought possibly he had, that it was that kind of a speech. Supervisor Reilly seems to have that faculty of continually making these yell leader talks. Coming down to the real facts, and analyzing the questions—he asks the question, and he says 20 years have passed, and why didn't we cancel this contract? To begin with—and for a young man Supervisor Reilly has been around—I think he was 21 at the time we had that election of what was known as the Clean-outs against the Sell-outs, in which every man that came up for election that favored the Sell-out was defeated—but now may I simply say this to Supervisor Reilly, going back on that question, and trying to analyze it, that we, the City of San Francisco, made huge investments of millions and millions of dollars all told, as I have stated—\$116,000,000, including the interest—and at the time we made these huge investments, as we went along, we brought our power to Newark. I do not want at this time to attempt to talk about a man that has passed to the Great Beyond, but through some influence or other the power was brought to Newark, where the Pacific Gas and Electric Company has a step-down station; and we found that we had spent all of the money at that time that the bond issue called for. The power was at Newark, and then we found the condition—I notice Supervisor Uhl is going out, I would like him to hear this—

SUPERVISOR UHL: I can tell you a lot about it.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Yes, because you played a very important—because as the power was brought to Newark, and we found ourselves in this position—we were enjoined by the Supervisor who just stepped out of the door, from using any money for the distributing system, and the Supervisor was the one who brought the suit and paid for counsel. All right, then, we found ourselves; and as said by our own President, and he was quoted in the newspaper, and he has never changed, he has taken the same position, the stand I have taken—he made the statement, what can we do with the power? Let it run into the ocean? We found ourselves in that position, we could not do anything because we were enclaved into the position of bringing our power down to Newark, and enjoined from using any of our money for a distributing system—and the citizen who enjoined us was a citizen no less than our present colleague, Adolph Uhl. We found ourselves in that position, and we went along, and the Board was, you



might say, entirely reorganized, and while our good friend Supervisor Colman has been consistent—he was opposed to the distribution of power, yet he happened to be very fortunate and wasn't one of the Supervisors that came up for election at that time——

SUPERVISOR UHL: Mr. President, I rise to a point of order.

THE PRESIDENT: State your point of order.

SUPERVISOR UHL: The point of order is he is not talking to the motion, when he gets into personalities, and talks about Supervisor Colman not being one of the members up for election that year—I think that is getting into personalities, and aside from the matter before the Board. I think the gentleman is out of order.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: He did say I was here, which is true. I do not consider that a personality.

THE PRESIDENT: The point of order is not well taken.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Not well taken?

THE PRESIDENT: Not well taken.

SUPERVISOR UHL: As usual!

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Now, Members, I would like to answer Supervisor Reilly to this degree: The Board went along and made a huge appropriation in the Budget which was used for the purpose of appraising the P. G. and E. properties—this is memory only, and I think the amount was \$325,000, and we used that sum of money for the purpose of making a survey of all their property. I remember well how we employed experts, and they even went into a dynamo and stripped it, and counted the wires, and they would go on to a pole—

SUPERVISOR UHL: Mr. President, I don't think you understood what my motion was. My point of order was that he is not talking to the motion. We have before us here a question of an appropriation of \$5,000 to appeal a suit. What would that have to do with that?

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Uhl, you have been on this Board of Supervisors now about four and a half years, and you know it takes Supervisor McSheehy some little time to get around to the point he wants to make. Therefore, I will have to say that your point of order is again not well taken. Give him a chance.

SUPERVISOR UHL: All right.

THE PRESIDENT: Give him a chance.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I have tried hard not to use a certain adjective I have used against Supervisor Uhl——

THE PRESIDENT: Be tolerant.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: ——but if he drives me I will use it again.

So I say to you, Supervisor Reilly, that it was impossible for us at any time—and the length of time is 12 years, and not 20, for any member of this Board to bring in a resolution asking the Board of Supervisors to discontinue selling the power to the power company. Time in and time out I told the members of this Board, and when I appeared before Secretary Ickes at Washington—and Supervisor Colman was there,—I made no bones of telling Secretary Ickes that we were selling that power for \$2,000,000, and they in turn were selling it to the consumers for \$10,000,000. These are facts. They are before us today, and I think the people of this City want to, if they are given a chance, distribute their own power. I have told you several times, Supervisor Reilly, and I have told the members of this Board, and I will tell you again, that conditions have been so constructive that the Pacific Gas and Electric Company can spend \$100,000, or \$1,000,000, if they wish to, for the purpose of defeating a bond issue in San Francisco, and put that in their rates. They have done that.

Supervisor Colman stated in the particular district that Congressman Havenner represents that by a vote to 40,000 to 27,000 they defeated that Charter amendment. Why, Supervisor Colman, if anybody will go out and spend the huge sum of money that the Pacific Gas and Electric Company have spent, and legalize that expenditure—of

course, they will defeat almost any bond issue, until the people of this city really realize just exactly what it means.

I think they will realize it when we are enjoined from continuing to accept from the Pacific Gas and Electric Company \$2,000,000 a year, and they in turn selling it to the people for \$10,000,000.

Now, I don't need to speak about our good friend O'Toole. Perhaps I have differed with him, but I certainly respect him, but since Supervisor Reilly made that statement, I am informed, not by one but three different attorneys, that Judge Roche has the power to enjoin us, and if the decision is not rendered by the court at that time he can render that decision. It would be up to the Judge. And I think if the Judge wishes to look at it in that way, especially when we show no disposition to distribute that power—if we are going to continue to block everything that has for its purpose the distributing of our power, we are not acting in good faith, and we will have to answer for that. And above all things we are losing the distribution of our power, which means a saving to our people, and which will allow manufacturers to come to San Francisco. We did not mortgage our city for more than a hundred million dollars just for the mere purpose of bringing water, and distributing our own water. We are not even using it. 70,000,000 gallons is running into the ocean every day. We aren't even giving the people the advantage of that. We find we are paying more for water than any other city in the United States—

SUPERVISOR UHL: Mr. President, I move the speaker's time be increased another ten minutes.

THE PRESIDENT: The motion is not in order.

SUPERVISOR UHL: The rules are 10 minutes, and he has talked now nearly a half an hour.

THE PRESIDENT: No, the gentleman has talked exactly 10 minutes, with two interruptions by yourself; and the Chair is watching the clock, and his time can be extended five minutes.

SUPERVISOR MEAD: Let's cut out the horse-play and get down to business.

THE PRESIDENT: If there is no objection, Supervisor McSheehy's time will be extended.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Now I simply say this in closing, on this entire matter, to Supervisors Uhl, Reilly, and Colman, or any other Supervisor: You can vote this appropriation here today and it will be mandatory upon your City Attorney to continue the suit and try and have the decision repealed. All right, I have said it before, some day you may have to answer for it. It is up to you. I don't know as I can convince a member of this Board. I thought perhaps I might. I thought perhaps you might give some thought of it. I don't find Supervisor Reilly quite so set. I find him explaining his vote somehow in a sort of Fourth of July manner: It might go, or it might not go. I don't think it will continue to be accepted. I don't think the people of San Francisco want Fourth of July orations. They want their power, their water, and they don't want taxes increased. Talk means nothing, but it is your vote here today that counts, and your vote only.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Mr. President.

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Uhl.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I feel since Supervisor McSheehy's statement will be on record, and having used my name in relation to the mandamus suit I would just like to explain that there was an attempt to violate the Charter, and it was on that ground that I brought the suit, and the Supreme Court decided in my favor, and it was merely because I was trying to stop that Board of Supervisors from violating the Charter.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: I would like to ask Mr. O'Toole a question, after listening to the remarks of Supervisor McSheehy. Mr.



O'Toole in the appropriation of this \$5,000, by so doing, will that in any way prevent this Board from acting, and within the shortest period of time, and within whatever period of time the Board deems it necessary—will that in any way prevent this Board from doing that?

MR. O'TOOLE: From doing what?

SUPERVISOR REILLY: Presenting the power question to the voters?

MR. O'TOOLE: No, they are entirely separate. The matter of going ahead with the appeal is what you have before you, and the other question has been referred, I think, to the Utilities Commission to get the necessary data to re-submit Plan 7.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: If Plan 7 was submitted to the people and adopted, doesn't it have to be ratified by the State Legislature?

MR. O'TOOLE: Yes.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: And they convene next January?

MR. O'TOOLE: I don't assume there will be any special session between now and that time. Of course, under the recent amendment to the statute you can ratify a charter amendment at a special session, but it is very unlikely that there will be any special session between now and the end of August, or the November election.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: And in voting this appropriation there is nothing that binds any member of the Board from presenting the problem of distributing our power to the people?

MR. O'TOOLE: No, it is entirely separate.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: Would you think then that Supervisor McSheehy was making a Fourth of July speech instead of me?

MR. O'TOOLE: Well, of course, not knowing much about Fourth of July speeches I will have to let the Board judge that.

THE PRESIDENT: Call the roll on number two.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Brown?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Colman?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor McSheehy?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Mead?

SUPERVISOR MEAD: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Meyer?

SUPERVISOR MEYER: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Ratto?

SUPERVISOR RATTO: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Reilly?

SUPERVISOR REILLY: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Roncovieri?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Schmidt?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Shannon?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Uhl?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Aye.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Just a moment.

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor McSheehy.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I wish to change my vote from "no" to "Aye" and give notice of reconsideration.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Mr. Chairman.

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Brown.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I move suspension of the rules for the purpose of immediate consideration.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: Second the motion.

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor Reilly, moves suspension of the rules for the purpose of immediate consideration. Supervisor McSheehy?

**SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY:** Now, members, I simply do this, as I did last week, for the purpose of taking this under advisement for another week. Now it takes eight votes for immediate reconsideration, and if you immediately reconsider this, and put this through today, why, you can do so, but I think you should put it over for another week. You might change your mind. The reconsideration rule is a rule in effect in every parliamentary body, and we know it is a rule for the prevention of hasty legislation. So I hope you give this consideration and do not vote immediate reconsideration. It is making a record of your vote, that you are not in favor of the distribution of our hydro-electric power, irrespective of what you might think.

**SUPERVISOR BROWN:** Mr. Chairman.

**THE PRESIDENT:** Just a minute. Mr. Clerk, what is the vote?

**THE CLERK:** The vote now stands *eight* "Ayes," two "Noes."

**THE PRESIDENT:** Supervisor Brown?

**SUPERVISOR BROWN:** I am going to do my colleagues the honor, pay them the compliment of considering that they have had two weeks which has elapsed between the first and second consideration of this matter, and they have had ample time to change their minds if they desired to do so, and that a further reflection would not change the mind of any member of this Board. It does not seem to have changed them in this vote, and I do not think it would change within that week, hence I respectfully submit to the Board the statement of the City Attorney that this matter is entirely unrelated, unassociated to the question of public or private ownership of utilities by the city, that the two are disassociate, and that a vote on this appropriation of \$5,000 in no way prevents any member of the Board for voting exactly as he sees fit on any deliberations on public ownership of power that may come before us in the future.

Against that you have the statement of Supervisor McSheehy that this is a record vote, and all sorts of dire things might happen if you vote in favor of this \$5,000. I don't think there is any necessity for further comment. The Board are all grown men, they are not children, they have the power to make up their minds, and I think ample time for consideration has been given, despite Supervisor McSheehy's statement to the contrary.

**THE PRESIDENT:** Call the roll on suspension of the rules.

**SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY:** Just a minute, if nobody else wants to speak, I simply want to close by saying to the membership of this Board—

**SUPERVISOR BROWN:** Just a minute. I rise to a point of order.

**THE PRESIDENT:** What is your point of order?

**SUPERVISOR BROWN:** As the mover of the motion for immediate reconsideration, I have the right to close.

**SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY:** Well, I will withdraw the word "close." I simply wish to say to the members of this Board that if this matter is put over one week, as an opponent of the appropriation of \$5,000 it will be my duty, and the duty of any member of the Board who shares my views, to present this matter to you. It will be placed in the hands of what might be termed a majority—it only needs six votes, and during the week you have a chance to sleep on it, and think it over, and I again reiterate my words, that in my opinion—I will put it that way, that you are today making a commitment you are going to perhaps find it rather hard some day to tell the people of San Francisco why you voted this particular appropriation to start this suit on its way. We do know if the appropriation is voted down the decision remains as is, and we can bring the bond issue before the people of San Francisco and perhaps we will convince the majority of the people of the city that they should distribute their own power, irrespective of the huge sum of money that will be spent by the Pacific Gas and Electric Company to defeat it. Where a company is so large, that today, or at least in the year 1926, the entire receipts of that company from the people of San Francisco was \$24,154,000 for gas and electricity, you can see the company you are dealing with. And we know



it is only a subsidiary of an eastern company that controls the company out here; and they are so afraid that they can and will spend any sum of money; that they can put it in their operating fund and charge it to the people of San Francisco as rate. We have a real task before us, and why not start the ball rolling today and not attempting to vote this particular appropriation.

SUPERVISOR UHL: May I ask a question, through the Chair? You heard Supervisor Reilly's statement regarding 24-hour contract, why was no attempt made to break that?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I shall try to explain it to you, and I hope you will not rise to a point of order on me, because you may do that.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I will, if it is not to the point.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I am allowed ten minutes now to explain it, if you wish. You are a difficult man to explain things to.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Well, I would ask a direct question, why hasn't he made a motion to cancel the contract?

THE PRESIDENT: And you want a direct answer?

SUPERVISOR UHL: I do.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: My reason was this: The motion would have been a useless motion. It would have been a waste of time for this Board or any Board to stop the sale of that power until they had some plant, or ways and means of distributing the hydro-electric power. You know, Supervisor Uhl, hydro-electric power is created by water, and that the water is at a certain elevation——

SUPERVISOR UHL: Really?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Yes, and with your knowledge of certain matters, while you appear to be a brilliant fellow, whether you are balanced or not, I doubt. (Laughter.)

Hydro-electric power is generated in Hetch Hetchy, and that power must be taken off——

SUPERVISOR UHL: It is developed at Priest Hill.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: We have a power house that develops 80,000 kilowatt hours, and we haven't water enough to furnish electricity for our whole city—we had that much before we raised that dam; however, the very moment you stop generating that power, that water virtually finds itself into the ocean, and the power is not created, so therefore when we had no means of distributing the power, we could do nothing else but go on and I told you how we went on and spent \$325,000 to follow the law so we would have an estimate of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company's property.

THE PRESIDENT: Will you state again what the receipts of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company are in San Francisco?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: The combined receipts for gas and electricity of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company for the year 1936 was \$24,154,000.

THE PRESIDENT: In San Francisco?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: In San Francisco, for gas and electricity.

SUPERVISOR UHL: How much for electricity?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I think it was around sixteen million dollars, but you will find that record in the Railroad Commission report. Have I made myself clear, Supervisor Uhl?

SUPERVISOR UHL: No, you have not answered why you did not bring a motion in to cancel that contract.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Of course, I can't make myself clear to a man that has a mind like you have.

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Reilly.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: I would like to ask, through the Chair, of Supervisor McSheehy, and I want you to feel I am very serious about this. Supervisor——

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Oh, that is all right.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: And the thought I have is this: That if this \$2,000,000 contract—if you had brought in, for example, the necessary

legal resolution to cancel that contract say 10 or 11 years ago, and this Board had cancelled the contract 10 or 11 years ago, wouldn't it then have forced San Francisco into the position of doing something 10 or 11 years ago that you now seem to think should be done? In other words, 10 or 11 years have elapsed, and now is there any reason why on May 9, 1938, at the hour of four thirty p. m. any more importance than there was ten years ago?

**SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI:** 20 years ago.

**SUPERVISOR REILLY:** Well, the length of the contract. In other words, if it was 20 years, let's go back 20 years. What I am trying to determine is this: If you brought in the resolution to cancel this contract, wouldn't San Francisco then have been in the position to insist on buying, or going into the power distributing business? And now all those years have elapsed, and by not cancelling the contract San Francisco did not force itself into that position of doing something immediately, and what is the emergency now? Nothing can be done until the Legislature convenes next year, any way, and you aren't doing anything now to cancel the contract; it still goes on. If you were to bring in a resolution today to cancel this contract, if you were sincere—and I say that respectfully—if you came in here now with a resolution to cancel it I might look a little differently, but you are not doing that. I think you are creating an impression in the minds of the public that if I vote for this I am committing myself against power distribution by the city. I am not. I have definitely committed myself to the Charter on that matter, and if we were voting on it I would vote accordingly. But this is an entirely separate motion. And here is what you are doing, and I am fearful of it: You are creating the impression that he who votes for the \$5,000 appropriation today is opposed to the city distributing its power. That is not a fact, and I say to you if you were in good faith, if you come in with the cancellation of this contract, and you want to force the emergency on the people, that is one thing. But you are not doing it, you are letting the contract run on, and I think somebody is being fooled.

**SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY:** May I answer the Supervisor as briefly as possible. I told him how the power was generated, I told him how it was created; I don't want to touch on the name of a man who has passed away, but meetings upon meetings were held in this Board of Supervisors, and in the Mayor's office, and the best legal minds that we had at that time, especially the late Chief Justice Sullivan, as an attorney, and a member of the Utilities Commission, and several other attorneys, especially our own City Attorney, and there was nothing for us to do but to enter into what is known as the 24-hour contract so we could use our power.

I have been a party four different times to bond issues for this purpose. The only way we can distribute our power is by creating a bond issue, and when we tried to use money that we had Supervisor Uhl enjoined us, because the Charter specifically stated that that money should be spent in no other way, and as a good citizen of this municipality he went on record and stopped this Board from proceeding to use the surplus money we then had, and the court enjoined us. I tried hard, then, and I am trying hard today, as hard as I can, to see if we can not get a bond issue before the people of San Francisco for the purpose of distributing our power. We cannot cancel the contract. If the court enjoins us, very well, then we have not kept faith with the United States government, because you know Section 6—

**SUPERVISOR REILLY:** Why can't you cancel the contract?

**SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY:** Well, it is impractical for us, as I said, to cancel the contract until we can distribute the power. We have nothing—If we cancel our contract in 24 hours, the very moment we cease to distribute the power, the power ceases, and we lose that sum of money. We cannot cancel it until we have created a distribution plant to distribute it.

**SUPERVISOR REILLY:** That is my point, that you cannot stop the



contract, and this contract is going to continue, and what then has this lawsuit of trying to stop the contract got to do with presenting to the people the clear cut issue you want them to vote on?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I will tell you: If this Board should go on record, and if you feel—if you are at all in the dark then you should not vote for reconsideration. It is before you now, and will go over until next week. You have a beautiful chance, because I imagine the same three men will vote again, and it takes eight votes to carry this for immediate reconsideration. We can stop it, just as I told you—

SUPERVISOR REILLY: You missed the question, Supervisor, and I say it again respectfully: The question I am seeking information on is this—you say it is impractical to cancel this contract?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Yes.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: Now if it is impractical to cancel it today, what has that got to do with this \$5,000 being appropriated because the contract is going to continue? It is not going to be cancelled, because you admit it is impractical to cancel it, and it won't be cancelled, presumably. What has that got to do with the submission to the people of San Francisco of the clear cut issues of power distribution, and in the meantime continuing to enjoy what you as a member have allowed them to enjoy so many long years, \$2,200,000 worth of power. I think you are confusing the issue. If you can answer that I am willing to vote for postponement. I have no objection to postponement for one week.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: We are enjoined by the injunction from the court which will take place some time, I think it is in October. It is a question on which there is a difference of opinion between our City Attorney and the three attorneys I have counseled with, as to the powers of the court, as to whether decision will be rendered by the higher court as to the injunction. With reference to the legality of the decision, I don't know. We do know when those things go into the Federal Court they take time. If this Board goes on record as opposing this appropriation it will be a mandate to our City Attorney not to continue it, and if the Board went on record that way it will be followed up—because it is already started, a bond issue to distribute our power, and if that bond issue is brought forth and presented to the primary election in August, with the opinion expressed by this Board that it does not wish to continue the 24-hour contract, because they are in a position to legally destroy it by not continuing it, then we will find that Mr. Pacific Gas and Electric Company will be at their wit's end to convince the people that they should not bind themselves.

So, members of the Board, I hope that you will not vote immediate reconsideration. I feel this Board is making a clean record as to its views on this point. I don't want to use the expression that I often use on the Board—"blow hot and blow cold"—but it is your vote only that will count. Now, Supervisor Reilly, as you seem to be in doubt, as a young man, I know what I would do: I would take this matter under consideration for one week, and you have the chance right now, because it will take eight votes on this Board to carry out Supervisor Brown's motion in reference to reconsideration. Then it will be up one week from today, and we, the advocates of this particular motion, will have to show that we are right. So I say to you, as a young man, and as a wise man, you would be doing the prudent thing in voting for reconsideration today and taking the matter under advisement, and some of your friends may tell you how the city feels in reference to this all-important matter.

MR. O'TOOLE: Mr. Chairman, can I have the privilege of the floor?

THE PRESIDENT: Yes.

MR. O'TOOLE: There seems to be a great deal of discussion. I think possibly everyone has gone far afield. Now the purpose of this appropriation is to pay the costs partially incurred for the trial of the case, and to make provision for an appeal. It has absolutely nothing

to do with any proposition to distribute the power ourselves. If it did that, I wouldn't be asking for it. I wouldn't be asking the Mayor to recommend the appropriation, or this Board to vote for it. My record in San Francisco for the last 12 years is known to everybody. I have prepared some seven or eight Charter amendments providing for municipal distribution of power, and I spoke in favor of every one of them on the public platform, over the radio, and through the press. So I want to repeat here and now that this \$5,000 has no more to do with the matter of the submission to the people of the municipal distribution of power than the first matter on your calendar, which I think is the matter before it, something to do with the salaries in the Assessor's office. Now I have heard Supervisor McSheehy say that this judgment will become final in six months. Judge Roche has so stated, that the injunction will become effective six months after the order has become final. However, the order has not yet become final. We have submitted amendments to the findings, and they are now, and have been for some time lodged with the Secretary of the Interior, awaiting his suggestions to the United States Attorney, to say what should be done with them.

Now if this judgment should become final, what is going to happen to this city is this: Supposing Judge Roche signs his findings and issues his decree tomorrow, that judgment will become final on the 10th of November. Now there is no possibility, absolutely, of this Board of Supervisors, or of the people of San Francisco either acquiring the properties of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, or building a distribution system within that period of time—and why? Because you have to build it, either on a revenue bond basis, and must amend your Charter for that purpose, and that amendment cannot become effective until it is ratified by the legislature; or, if you wanted to vote it on a general obligation bond, which would take a two-thirds vote of the people, practically the earliest time that that could be submitted would be November, unless there was a special election between August and November at rather a considerable cost. Now, if we do take this appeal, two things can happen. Judge Roche may reserve unto himself to extend the time when that injunction will become effective; and undoubtedly if during that period of time it was made known to him, by proper affidavits, that the city was in earnest in making a survey of the power situation, either to acquire the properties of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, or to build a competing distribution system, it would lay within his power to extend the effective date of that injunction, to give the people the opportunity of expressing their views. And if he did not see fit to do that, if you have an appeal pending, you can always make an application to the appellate court to extend the time as against the time when they will finally decide the matter. And I can not see for one moment, but what if San Francisco was in good faith in prosecuting its appeal, and at the same time going ahead with the matter of submitting to the people the matter of the municipal distribution of this power, I don't think there is a Circuit Court in the United States that would refuse to grant us a supersedeas—that is, refuse to make the injunction final until the suit was finally settled. And why? Because the government cannot be damaged. We can shut down the entire Hetch Hetchy power plant and not one cent goes into the Federal treasury or one cent is taken out.

That is why I think an appeal should be taken in this case. In other words, it puts us in a position—it gives us time to go ahead and present this matter to the people, and at the same time not having our revenue cut off. If this appeal is not taken, whether we have a distribution system or not, when the six months is up, Judge Roche has lost all jurisdiction to extend the restraining time, or the effective date of the judgment, much as he might want to do it; and no matter how much good faith this Board, or the Utilities Commission, or the



people of San Francisco themselves might show in endeavoring to get a municipal distribution system, the only way this matter can be kept alive—the only way this \$2,200,000 can be saved to the City for the next year, is to take this appeal. And this appeal has no more to do, as I have said today, with the municipal distribution of power, than the matter of fixing the salaries of the men in the Assessor's office has, which was before you today.

Now if I don't get the money here, I presume I will find it some other place, and go through with the appeal any way.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I move the previous question.

THE PRESIDENT: Call the roll on the suspension of the rules—the previous question has been asked for—Supervisor McSheehy?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Of course, it takes three members to ask for the previous question. I trust the Supervisors will not do that, because I am going to say a few words—

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I will join Supervisor Uhl, making the second member who moves the previous question.

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor McSheehy has the floor.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: It takes three members to move the previous question.

May I say this to the membership of this Board: I am not going to attempt, as a layman, to differ with our City Attorney. Our City Attorney is entitled to his opinion, but we ourselves are charged with the responsibility. He closed by saying if we do not make the appropriation he will go elsewhere, and see that the appropriation is made. I say yes, let our City Attorney go elsewhere and see that the appropriation is made. If his Honor the Mayor wishes to shoulder the responsibility, let him shoulder it, and his Honor the Mayor will tell the Utilities Commission to probably make the appropriation and perhaps—Just a minute, Mr. O'Toole—

MR. O'TOOLE: I am not going to interrupt until you are through.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I have the floor. The responsibility is ours, and no one else's. We will be held responsible. So I say to you members of the Board—Now in reference to Judge Roche's decision, the decision has been rendered, and we have got to show the court we are in good faith, and we tell our City Attorney to go along and file this appeal, and we go along in reference to the bond issue, and we will find November coming on, and no bond issue. Do you think the Secretary of the Interior will look favorably on that? Every Secretary from the day that we entered into that 24-hour contract, twelve years ago, was opposed to the contract, but the conditions warranted its continuation, because this city would be penalized this huge sum of money on account of the contract. The contract was beautifully thought out. The power was brought to Newark, the best attorneys in our city tried at that time, twelve years ago, to find ways and means to stop the entering into of that contract, but it was entered into, and nine members of this Board who entered into it at that time—I think there were eleven or twelve, but not all of them came up for reelection—and they were defeated, and every other public official who came up for election was defeated. The people have some knowledge as to this question, but they were confused every time a bond issue came up on account of the huge sum of money every year put out by the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, and we have to show the people now, by something direct that they have made a mistake in the past, and I hope they will not continue.

MR. O'TOOLE: May I say a word?

THE PRESIDENT: The question is on the suspension of the rules.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: I would like to hear from Mr. O'Toole.

MR. O'TOOLE: No, it is all right; never mind.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Brown?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Colman?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor McSheehy?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Mead?

SUPERVISOR MEAD: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Meyer?

SUPERVISOR MEYER: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Ratto?

SUPERVISOR RATTO: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Reilly?

SUPERVISOR REILLY: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Roncovieri?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Schmidt?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Shannon?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Uhl?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Aye.

THE CLERK: Eight "Yes," three "Noes."

THE PRESIDENT: Suspension of the rules is granted. Roll call on immediate consideration.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: What is the motion?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Will the Clerk state the motion.

THE CLERK: He has not made a motion to reconsider.

THE PRESIDENT: The motion made was for the suspension of the rules.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: For the purpose of immediate reconsideration. Now if Supervisor McSheehy wishes to move reconsideration that is his privilege.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Well, of course I will—

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Brown, you are the mover of the motion, you have to move immediate reconsideration, and then vote "No."

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Just a moment. May I explain that the motion has passed—the matter on the Calendar has passed.

THE PRESIDENT: Correct.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Supervisor McSheehy gave notice that he would move for reconsideration next Monday. I asked for immediate consideration.

THE PRESIDENT: You asked for the suspension of the rules for the purpose of immediate reconsideration. Now the suspension of the rules has been granted.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Now, if Supervisor McSheehy desires to move to reconsider he may do so.

THE PRESIDENT: All right, Supervisor Brown, you have stated your case. (Gavel.) The matter is closed. Supervisor McSheehy will move for reconsideration next Monday.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Just a minute, please, Mr. President. I would like to move immediate reconsideration, then, and I will vote against it.

THE PRESIDENT: That is the only way to carry the matter through.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I want this thing that passed today to be a matter of record.

THE PRESIDENT: That is the only way we can do it.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I will make that motion, and vote against it.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: You so rule, Mr. President?

THE PRESIDENT: I so rule.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: All right, I second the resolution.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Now, gentlemen, if this immediate reconsideration is voted down, then the appropriation of \$5,000 has gone into effect.



THE PRESIDENT: That is correct, when the Mayor signs it. Call the roll.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Brown?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Colman?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor McSheehy?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Mead?

SUPERVISOR MEAD: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Meyer?

SUPERVISOR MEYER: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Ratto?

SUPERVISOR RATTO: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Reilly?

SUPERVISOR REILLY: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Roncovieri?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Schmidt?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Shannon?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Uhl?

SUPERVISOR UHL: No.

THE CLERK: Eight "Noes", three "Ayes".

THE PRESIDENT: (Gavel.)

SUPERVISOR REILLY: I would like to move——

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: What is the result?

THE PRESIDENT: The motion for immediate reconsideration has been denied.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: I would like to move that the remarks of our City Attorney be placed in the record.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Mr. Chairman, I move that the remarks of every speaker be made a part of the record.

THE PRESIDENT: Any objection? (No response.) Such will be the order.

Subsequently, the foregoing vote was rescinded and the matter given immediate reconsideration.

Whereupon the roll was called on final passage, with the following result:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Shannon—3.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Adopted.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

### Refunds of Erroneous Payments of Taxes.

(Code No. 9.059)

Resolution No. 3952, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized to be paid to the following named, being refunds of erroneous payments of taxes:

*From Duplicate Tax Fund—Appropriation No. 905.*

- |  |          |
|--|----------|
| (1) Inger Anderson, per Vol. 14, Bill 230, Lot 34, Block 1794,<br>both installments, fiscal year 1936..... | \$ 77.58 |
| (2) Annie Callaghan, per Vol. 1, Bill 43, Lot 8, Block 24, 1st<br>installment, fiscal year 1937.....       | 22.06    |

(3) S. Crisci, per Vol. 1, Bill 1490, Lot 39-A, Block 100, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937 .....	1.94
(4) F. E. Pearson, per Vol. 6, Bill 2728, Lot 10, Block 1009, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937.....	273.49
(5) Wells Fargo Bank and Union Trust Co., per Vol. 23, Bill 2488, Lot 8, Block 3568, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937..	192.78
(6) Hugh W. Hammond, per Vol. 9, Bill 2182, Lot 12-A Block 1412, both installments, fiscal year 1937.....	129.68
(7) Leon Gelber, per Vol. 1, Bill 1470, Lot 25, Block 100, 2d, installment, fiscal year 1937.....	6.77
(8) E. J. Egan, per Vol. 11, Bill 1448, Lot 30, Block 1566, 2d installment, fiscal year 1937.....	42.58
(9) Charles Robison, per Vol. 17, Bill 2484, Lot 18, Block 2368, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937.....	13.38
(10) Angelo Arrighi, per Vol. 19, Bill 1732, Lot 30, Block 2691, 2d installment, fiscal year 1937.....	26.13
(11) Walter Givia, per Vol. 31, Bill 163, Lot 17, Block 4993, 2d installment, fiscal year 1937.....	26.13
(12) Joseph M. C. Brokenshire, per Vol. 32, Bill 244, Lot 10-B, Block 5308, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937.....	26.13
(13) Clarence B. Eaton, per Vol. 37, Bill 144, Lot 7, Block 6154, 2d installment, fiscal year 1937.....	3.48
(14) Michael J. King, per Vol. 12, Bill 2268-A, Lot 18-A, Block 1668, 2d installment, fiscal year 1937.....	79.55
(15) Vincent D'Amico, per Vol. 2, Page 38, Line 5, Unsecured Personal Property Taxes, fiscal year 1937.....	10.42
(16) C. J. McGinity, per Vol. 17, Page 39, Line 12, Unsecured Personal Property Taxes, fiscal year 1933.....	1.00
(17) Title Insurance and Guaranty Co., per Vol. 35, Bill 155, Lot 1, Block 5825, \$7.74; per Vol. 22, Bill 2792, Lot 12-C, Block 3278, \$36.97, both 2d installment, fiscal year 1937..	44.71

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Meyer—1.

#### **Land Purchase—San Francisco Airport.**

(Code No. 12.17154)

Also, Resolution No. 3953, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Caroline Sharp Estate Co. to 76 acres of land in Section 2, Township 4 South, Range 5 West, M. D. B. & M., San Mateo County, California, together with all right, title and interest in and to 14.1 acres of land in said Section 2, and that the sum of \$3,800 be paid for said property from Appropriation No. 99.901.57.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Meyer—1.

#### **Transfer of Certain City Land to Real Estate Department for St. Francis Statue.**

(Code No. 12.175)

The following matter was taken up:

Resolution No. 3954, as follows:

Whereas, on March 9, 1938, the San Francisco Board of Fire Commissioners passed a resolution consenting to the transfer of certain City-owned land in San Francisco, California, to the Real Estate De-



partment to be used as a site for the erection of a statue of St. Francis; and

Whereas, the Mayor has recommended that said transfer be made; and

Whereas, this Board has considered the proposed transfer, and hereby determines that said land is no longer necessary for Fire Department purposes and can be advantageously used by the Real Estate Department as a site for the erection of a statue of St. Francis; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the control of said land be and is hereby transferred to the Real Estate Department, which land is all that portion of Lot 1, Block 2719-C, as per the current block books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, bounded on the west and on the north by Twin Peaks boulevard, on the south by the northerly line of land of Wells Fargo & Company, and on the east by the following described line:

Commencing at the point of intersection of said northerly line of land of Wells Fargo & Co., with the westerly line of Burnett avenue; running thence along said northerly line north 81 degrees west 250 feet to the true point of beginning; thence north 2 degrees 30 minutes east 730 feet more or less to point of tangency with the easterly line of Twin Peaks boulevard.

Being a portion of that certain 46.103-acre tract of land conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco by Market and Stanyan Street and Golden Gate Land and Improvement Company by deed dated January 8, 1906, and recorded January 13, 1906, Book 2158 of Deeds, page 197, Official Records of San Francisco.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Jos. Phillips, Director of Property, was heard. He stated:

This project has now received the full approval of the Federal Government as a WPA project and the amount required as the sponsors' proportion will be raised by private subscription, and I understand that the necessary amount has already been pledged. I am also informed that the Art Commission has given its final approval to the design.

Before the City would allow any construction work to commence on this location it will also be necessary to secure the approval of the City Engineer as to the construction features and a proper guarantee made to the City that the Federal funds will be earmarked.

This parcel of land is a portion of the tract acquired in 1906 in connection with the high pressure system, and it is my understanding that it is not necessary as a part of that project.

In order to conform to the wishes of the people sponsoring this project it will be necessary first for your Commission to pass a resolution transferring this property to the Real Estate Department. We will then request the Mayor as per proper ordinance to consent to the transfer and forward a request to the Board of Supervisors confirming said transfer. Upon the completion of the project another transfer will be made to some other department—possibly the Park Department.

I therefore respectfully request the passage of a resolution by your commission transferring the necessary property to the Real Estate Department. The land described in the enclosed description is separated from your reservoir by the Twin Peaks Boulevard and leaves a strip of land 250 feet or more between the proposed statue site and Burnett avenue.

#### Adopted.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Meyer—1.

**Relating to Sealing Automobile Plates to Automobiles.**

(Code No. 5.3)

On recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police.

Resolution No. 3955, as follows:

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors unanimously recommended to the Legislature of the State of California, in the year 1937, adoption of A. B. 2812; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That, for the purpose of protecting the public against theft and of preventing the illegal transfer of automobiles in this and other States, this Board of Supervisors hereby places itself on record as recommending the immediate adoption, for general use in the State of California, of a device which will effectively lock license plates to automobiles; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be sent to his Excellency Frank F. Merriam, Governor of the State of California, and to Mr. Ray Ingels of the Department of Motor Vehicles.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Meyer—1.

**Refused Passage.**

The following recommendation of Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee was taken up:

**Set-back Lines Westerly Side of Beverly Street.**

(Code No. 13.03)

Resolution No. 3918, as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1778, passed April 7, 1938, reading as follows:

Resolved, That subject to the approval of the Board of Supervisors, in accordance with Section 117 of the Charter, the building set-back line as now established along the westerly side of Beverly street, between Holloway avenue and Garfield street, be, and the same is hereby changed so as to be as follows:

Along the westerly side of Beverly street, commencing at a point on the northerly side of Garfield street and running thence northerly 75 feet, set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 75 feet, set-back line to be 8 feet; thence northerly 75 feet, set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 75 feet, set-back line to be 4 feet; thence northerly 75 feet, set-back line to be 2 feet, is hereby approved.

Mrs. Lulu Austin, 279 Beverly street was heard in opposition.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was *refused passage* by the following vote:

Aye—Supervisor Mead—1.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisor Meyer—1.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of His Honor the Mayor was taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Father Leo W. Powleson, Member of Recreation Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3956, as follows:

Resolved, That, on the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor,



Father Leo W. Powleson, member of the Recreation Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence of one week, commencing May 25, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Meyer—1.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

**Declaring That No Bond Issue Shall Ever Be Submitted Unless  
at the Same Election There Shall Also Be Re-Submitted the  
Hetch Hetchy Power Bonds.**

(Code No. 15.032)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No 3959, as follows:

Whereas, San Francisco has an outstanding bonded indebtedness in excess of \$160,000,000 and its present limit of bonded indebtedness is approximately \$229,000,000; and

Whereas, a decision has been rendered by the United States District Court that the contract between this City and Pacific Gas & Electric Company for the sale of our Hetch Hetchy power for approximately \$2,000,000 a year is prohibited by Section 6 of the Raker Act of Congress, and this \$2,000,000 a year will be lost unless provision is made for the acquisition or construction of facilities for the transmission and distribution of Hetch Hetchy power, and a bond issue of \$50,000,000 or thereabouts for such electric transmission and distribution system must be submitted to the voters and ratified by them within six months; and

Whereas, any bond issues for a rapid transit system or other purposes ratified by the voters of this City would increase the bonded indebtedness permitted by law and make more difficult the issuance of bonds for the electric transmission and distribution lines necessary to distribute Hetch Hetchy power in this City; and therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors will not submit to the voters for ratification any bond issue for a rapid transit system or other purposes unless there shall also be submitted at the same election, or at an election previously held, of a proposition to authorize the issuance of bonds in the sum of \$50,000,000, or such other sum as may be appropriate for the extension of the Hetch Hetchy power lines to San Francisco, and the acquisition or construction of an appropriate system for the city-wide distribution of electric energy.

*Referred to the Public Utilities Committee.*

## Congress to Restore Appropriation for G-Men.

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

Resolution No. 3960, as follows:

The Board of Supervisors of San Francisco respectfully urges the Congress of the United States to restore the appropriation for the uninterrupted and efficient operation of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. It is brought to the attention of the Board that reduction of the working forces of the FBI has created fear and apprehension in the minds of the public; that criminals will feel emboldened to commit depredations of various kinds. The publication of the fact that the "G" Men have been given enforced leave of absence for an in-

definite period has already brought about an increase in crime in many localities.

Federal officers cooperated effectively with San Francisco and California police authorities in the pursuit of criminals and the suppression of crime, and it is certainly hoped that no diminution will be had in the function of these valiant officers, who have done so much to protect the lives and properties of the citizens of this country.

The Clerk is instructed to forward this petition to Senators Johnson and McAdoo of California, and to Representatives Havenner and Welch.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Salary Standardization.

(Code No. 4.03)

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

Resolution No. 3961, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with Section 151 of the Charter, the Board of Supervisors, with respect to the proposed amendments to the Civil Service Commission's Report on Salary Standardization listed herein, requests the Civil Service Commission to report as to what other changes, and the costs thereof, such proposed amendments would require to maintain an equitable relationship with other rates in such schedule.

#### DIVISION "E"—Electrical Trades:

E 2 Line Inspector .....	proposed amendment to	\$250-300 per month
E 52 Fire Dispatcher .....	proposed amendment to	235-275 per month
E 110 Radio Maintenance Man .....	proposed amendment to	300-350 per month
E 120 Governorman .....	proposed amendment to	160-185 per month
E 122 Power House Operator .....	proposed amendment to	175-225 per month
E 122 Power House Superintendent .....	proposed amendment to	225-260 per month
E 154 Lineman .....	proposed amendment to	11 per day
E 156 Cable Splicer .....	proposed amendment to	12.50 per day
E 160 Foreman Lineman .....	proposed amendment to	264 on 5-day week
E 160 Foreman Lineman ...	proposed amendment to	312 on 6-day week
E 162 Foreman Lineman—Electricity .....	proposed amendment to	264 on 5-day week
E 162 Foreman Lineman—Electricity .....	proposed amendment to	312 on 6-day week
E 164 Foreman Lineman—Power Operation ..	proposed amendment to	264 on 5-day week
E 164 Foreman Lineman—Power Operation ..	proposed amendment to	312 on 6-day week

#### DIVISION "F"—Engineering Service:

F 558 Structural Engineer ..	proposed amendment to	\$350-450 per month
F 702 Valuation Engineer ..	proposed amendment to	250-350 per month
F 704 Senior Valuation Engineer .....	proposed amendment to	400-550 per month

#### DIVISION "J"—Labor Service:

J 4 Laborer .....	proposed amendment to	\$6.50 per day
J 152 Trackman .....	proposed amendment to	6.50 per day
J 160 Track Welder .....	proposed amendment to	8.00 per day
J 168 Track Foreman .....	proposed amendment to	7.50 per day
J Switch Repairer .....	proposed amendment to	7.00 per day
J 162 Car Repairer Welder ..	proposed amendment to	8.80 per day



## DIVISION "O"—Miscellaneous Trades Service:

O 6	Ambulance Driver ...	proposed amendment to	\$200-225 per month
O 52	Farmer .....	proposed amendment to	185-210 per month
O 62	Superintendent of Grounds Rec. Dept..	proposed amendment to	225-275 per month
O 158	Motorboat Operator ..	proposed amendment to	200-250 per month

## DIVISION "M"—Metal Trades Service:

M 202	Car Repairer .....	proposed amendment to	\$8.00 per day
M 206	Sub-Foreman Car Repairer .....	proposed amendment to	8.80 per day
M 208	Foreman Car Repairer	proposed amendment to	10.00 per day

The following is ordered included in this resolution:

## DIVISION "U"—Water Service:

	<i>Now Receiving</i>	<i>Increase Asked for</i>
U 108 Compressor (Portable) .....	\$ 7.50 day	\$ 8.00 day
U 112 Pipe Caulker .....	7.50	8.00 day
U 114 Main Pipe Foreman .....	7.75	8.50
U 116 Service Man .....	7.50	8.00
U 120 Gateman .....	7.75	9.00
U 124 Special Complaint Inspector .....	200.00 mo.	250.00 mo.
U 125 Hoseman, Ships and Docks.....	190.00	200.00
U 126 Meter Inspector .....	175.00	200.00
U 130 Reservoir Keeper .....	165.00	175.00
U 206 Water Dept. Workers or Laborers..	6.00 day	6.50 day
U 212 Ranger .....	150.00 mo.	175.00 mo.
U 225 Gen. Maint. Foreman (C. R. Div.) .	210.00	225.00
U 226 Gen. Maint. Foreman .....	200.00	225.00
U 228 Meterman, Country .....	165.00	200.00
U 230 Maintenance Foreman .....	200.00	225.00

## DIVISION "M"—Metal Trades Service:

M 266	Foreman Meter Repair .....	\$200.00 mo.	250.00 mo.
-------	----------------------------	--------------	------------

The foreman referred to is now employed in the Water Service Department.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

**Memorializing U. S. Senate to Approve Welch Resolution With  
Regard to Granting of Subsidies for Operation of Intercoastal  
Liners.**

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 3962, as follows:

Whereas, Congress is at present giving serious consideration to the question of granting of subsidies for the operation of fast intercoastal liners, vital to the National Defense; and

Whereas, such corrective legislation appears impossible of being consummated during this session of the Congress; and

Whereas, a very careful and exhaustive consideration of this entire subject will take a considerable period of time and should not be attempted without due deliberation; and

Whereas, the Welch resolution provides for a two-year operation to allow the necessary time for such a study; and

Whereas, this resolution has already passed the House of Representatives; and

Whereas, it is our earnest conviction that this legislation is vital to the welfare of our country as an act of National Defense and therefore merits the wholehearted approval of every member of the United States Congress; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors urge Senator William G. McAdoo to place the Welch resolution at the earliest possible time before the United States Senate and to make every possible effort to secure approval thereof; and be it

Further Resolved, That we urge Senator William G. McAdoo and Senator Hiram W. Johnson to make every effort to restore, in the Senate, Section 30 which was deleted from the amendment to the Maritime Act in the House of Representatives last week; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be sent to the representatives from California in the United States Senate.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### **Communication From Treasurer Matheson Relative to His Leave of Absence.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

May 5, 1938.

Hon. Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor,  
San Francisco, California.

My Dear Mayor: Please be advised that I was granted a leave of absence by the Honorable Board of Supervisors for forty-five days with permission to leave the State of California.

The purpose of the request was that I received information from the attorney of Tom Mooney that I would be subpoenaed to attend a meeting of the Judiciary Committee of the House of Representatives on May 11, 1938. The Committee failed to send a subpoena or guarantee expenses for the trip to Washington. I advised the Committee by telegram that I would not be in attendance.

Very respectfully yours,

DUNCAN MATHESON,  
Treasurer, City and County of San Francisco.

c.c. Hon. Board of Supervisors  
Hon. Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

*Ordered filed.*

### **Sidewalk Obstructions.**

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Communication from the Market Street Association calling attention to illegal and unsightly obstructions on Market street used for vending newspapers and magazines and requesting enforcement of law against them or the enactment of legislation to bring about their removal.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

### **Public Hearing on Budget.**

Supervisor Colman moved that the Board meet on Wednesday, May 11, at 10 a. m. for public hearing of proposed budget for coming fiscal year.

*Carried.*

Supervisor Brown requested that Supervisor Shannon and himself be



excused from attendance as they had to attend session of Golden Gate Bridge Directors. They will be present at afternoon session.

### **Water Property to Be Used for Picnic Grounds.**

Supervisor Uhl requested the Clerk to inquire of Public Utilities Commission if land to the north of San Francisco Golf Links, formerly used by pumping station of Water Department, but not now used, could be made available as public picnicking grounds.

### **Meeting Announcement.**

Fire, Safety and Police Committee, May 13, 10 a. m.

### **RECESS.**

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors at 5:30 p. m. took a recess until 10 a. m. Wednesday, May 11, 1938, when the Board of Supervisors hear the public on the Budget for the ensuing fiscal year.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

### **WEDNESDAY, MAY 11, 1938, 10 A. M.**

In Board of Supervisors, Wednesday, May 11, 1938, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met, pursuant to recess of Monday, May 9, 1938, for the purpose of holding a public hearing on the proposed budget of municipal expenditures for the fiscal year 1938-1939.

### **CALLING THE ROLL.**

The roll was called and the following member was noted present:

Present—Supervisor Uhl—1.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Supervisors Brown and Shannon excused to attend meeting of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District.

### **RECESS.**

Thereupon, for lack of a quorum, the Board of Supervisors on motion of Supervisor Uhl took a recess until 2 p. m. this afternoon.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

### **WEDNESDAY, MAY 11, 1938, 2 P. M.**

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Wednesday, May 11, 1938, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met, pursuant to recess of this morning, for the purpose of holding a public hearing on the proposed budget of municipal expenditures for the fiscal year 1938-1939.

### **CALLING THE ROLL.**

The roll was called and the following members were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Shannon presiding.

### **Privilege of the Floor.**

The following were granted the privilege of the floor and were heard at length:

J. A. Mahoney, representing Central Council of Civic Clubs, Upper

Noe Valley Improvement Club, requests additional appropriation for Upper Noe Valley Playground, \$10,000.

Twenty-ninth and Castro Street Improvement Club requests \$20,000 for purchase rights of way for extension of Diamond street from Thirtieth street to Glen Park. Also requests \$20,000 to purchase land to open O'Shaughnessy boulevard into Glen Park. (Supervisor Ratto announced that the matter had already been taken care of.)

Central Mission Improvement Club requests additional lighting at Rolph Park.

Other requests: \$50,000 for furnishing building at Aquatic Park and \$12,000 for repaving Twelfth avenue between Lawton and Kirkham streets.

Appropriation for Golden Gate Bridge approach from Marine Hospital to Funston avenue; Geary boulevard widening; Clement street; Folsom street; sidewalks, south side Stanyan street; Presidio avenue widening, from Geary to California; Stanyan street, from Belmont to Fulton; Anza street widening, from Masonic to Parker; additional funds requested for Bayview Playground; construction auditorium for Francis Scott Key School; re-establishment of bus line along Forty-eighth avenue; bus line in North Beach.

E. P. Troy, 1263 Oak street, asks for additional appropriation for conductors and motormen, Municipal Railway, because of expected increased patronage due to increase in fares on Market Street Railway and to coming Exposition. Objected to any appropriation in Publicity and Advertising Fund for Chamber of Commerce. Requests additional police protection.

Harry Hosmer, 1405 Montgomery street, representing California Federation for Political Unity, objected to inclusion in budget of any items for Exposition and requested increased appropriations for playground items.

Mrs. A. B. Bauman, Eureka Valley Promotion Association, urged increased appropriations for playground items, with exception of Sigmund Stern Playground and tennis courts on Twin Peaks Reservoirs. Sigmund Stern Playground is for grown people and for very young children with their parents. Tennis courts are for older children. These items should be eliminated. Protested appropriation of \$200,000 for purchase of street cars. Stated that money should come out of revenues. Two hundred thousand dollars should be used for purchase of buses for outlying districts.

Mrs. Annie Lindsley, 206 Chenery street, president, Fairmount Improvement Association, wants playgrounds for children on McGill street. City owns part of the land; land back of that, running back to Castro street, can be purchased for \$10,000. Asks for appropriation for Stop and Go signals at Bernal Cut, Mission, Dolores and San Jose.

Frank K. Runyan, vice-president, Furniture Exchange, Tenth and Market, urged increased appropriation for Publicity and Advertising Fund. Spending about \$25,000 per year in advertising San Francisco and are attracting about 50,000 buyer visitors, who spend about \$75,000,000 per year with San Francisco firms. Recommend that appropriation be retained in the budget for its full amount.

Mrs. J. F. Calverly, 125 Oleta avenue, representing Visitacion Valley Improvement Club, wants money to take care of open sewer in Schwerin street. Wants appropriation for purchase of land, McLaren Park. Wants tennis court and field house for small playground on Leland avenue.

Mrs. Thomas R. Best, 1359 Forty-fifth avenue, representing Francis Scott Key Teachers' Association, requests that recreation budget include the taking care of Francis Scott Key Playground. Also want bus service on Forty-eighth avenue restored.



A. J. Gallagher, representing Southern Promotion Association, urged various street improvements from  $\frac{1}{4}$  cent gas tax.

W. P. Shields, 1095 Market street, Labor Unions Unemployed Council, urged W. P. A. sponsorship for streets and playgrounds. Want present amount of \$300,000 increased to \$1,000,000. Will submit copy of his statements.

Supervisor McSheehy requested detailed statement of benefits that will accrue to the City in case appropriation is increased to \$1,000,000. Statement to be sent to all members of the Board.

Bertha Sterrit, San Francisco Hospital, representing nurses and institutional help, urged that no deductions be made from salaries for institutional help, except for meals, etc., that such help actually receive.

Thomas Hawkins, 1459 Jones street, president, Public Works Laborers' Union, asked street cleaners be put on monthly basis. He requested standard wage of \$155 per month.

Vid Larson, representing Federation of Municipal Employees, supported Mr. Hawkins' request.

Lloyd Taylor, executive secretary, Market Street Association, requested that a \$60,000 appropriation for street cleaning equipment be restored to the budget. Dirty streets, he declared, due to inadequate street cleaning equipment, are no credit to a city like San Francisco, where an international exposition is about to be held. We have only one adequate street flusher and we need several. The Police Department, he declared, is inadequately manned; there are less policemen this year than last. He requested that the appropriation asked for by the department be restored.

Supervisor Uhl requested that the Clerk obtain from the City Attorney an opinion as to whether or not street cleaning equipment may be considered as a capital expenditure by the Board of Supervisors.

Fitzgerald Ames, representing the Grattan Fathers' Council and the Parent-Teachers' Association, asked for adequate appropriations to purchase of land to complete as soon as possible playgrounds in the four principal school districts. At the present rate of appropriation, \$10,000 a year, it will take 16 years to complete purchase of land. W. P. A. funds are available now if the land is acquired.

John J. O'Connor asked that consideration be given to asphalt workers and rammers who are not being paid as high a rate as is paid in private employment. This proposed increase, he said, would not effect the tax rate, as the money comes out of the Good Roads Fund.

### Referred.

Whereupon, the budget was *referred to the Finance Committee*, which Chairman Roncovieri announced would meet in the Controller's office each day at 4 p. m. and, if necessary, sit until 11 p. m. until work is concluded.

### Board of Supervisors Congratulates and Felicitates, Upon His Retirement, Hon. Walter Perry Johnson, Judge of the Superior Court.

(Code No. ———)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 3963, as follows:

Whereas, having reached the age of more than three score years and ten, the Honorable Walter Perry Johnson, Judge of our Superior Court, has indicated to the Governor his desire to voluntarily retire, and

Whereas, Judge Johnson, born in San Francisco of a pioneer family, was for many years a leading member of our bar, noted for his in-

dustry, integrity and ability, and was appointed to the bench of the Superior Court in 1921, and

Whereas, in his seventeen years as Judge of the Superior Court, Judge Johnson has endeared himself to our citizens, our lawyers, our public officials and to his fellow members of the Judiciary by his devotion to the highest ideals and by constantly keeping in mind the words of the great law-giver Justinian that "Justice is the constant and perpetual will to give every man his due," now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, acting on behalf of the People, hereby tenders to Honorable Walter Perry Johnson congratulations for a job well done and expresses the hope that his reign in private life may be equally successful, equally happy and a long one.

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board be and is hereby directed to forward a suitably engrossed copy of this resolution to the Honorable Walter Perry Johnson.

*Adopted unanimously.*

#### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board of Supervisors at 5:05 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors May 16, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journals of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.



Monday, May 16, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

---

MONDAY, MAY 16, 1938, 2 P. M.

---

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, May 16, 1938,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Clerk announced that Supervisor Brown had phoned stating that  
he was called out of town and asked to be excused from the meeting.

No objection and it was *so ordered*.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of May 9 and 11, 1938,  
were considered read and approved.

## SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

### Report of Citizens' Committee on Rapid Transit.

On motion of Supervisor Uhl, Curtis D. O'Sullivan, chairman of Citi-  
zens' Committee on Rapid Transit, is requested to appear at this hour  
and make progress report on solution of rapid transit problem.

Curtis D. O'Sullivan, Chairman of the Citizens' Committee, read  
the following recommendations of the Executive Committee on Traffic  
and Transportation adopted at a meeting of the General Committee  
held in the Assembly Room, Mills Building, Friday morning, May  
13, 1938.

After numerous meetings and conferences with various engineers,  
transportation authorities and others in a position to offer counsel,  
the executive committee has reached certain major decisions on which  
it herein reports back to the general committee for consideration and  
approval.

As a further prefatory note to what follows, the committee visual-  
ized the problem by the consideration of only those things which will  
bring the greatest relief to the greatest number, by giving major em-  
phasis to the problems of mass transportation and pedestrian safety.

The committee recognizes that considerable data is available as a  
result of studies by engineers of the Public Utilities Commission, the  
City Engineer's office, the McClintock Limited Way report and the  
McClintock Dead-end Street report, all of which have due considera-

tion in the development and coordination of all of the factors entering into the proper solution of the problem.

The committee originally expected to finance the preliminary work out of funds raised through popular subscription. This had to be abandoned, however, when C. H. Purcell, chief engineer of the San Francisco Bay Bridge, after a series of conferences with the committee, estimated that such preliminary work would cost approximately \$250,000. This amount, however, would put the completed plans in final shape with full engineering data and specifications.

In view of the foregoing, the executive committee has reached the following conclusions:

(1) To carry out a comprehensive traffic and transportation program providing San Francisco with modern mass transportation, increased pedestrian safety and a free flow of traffic with a minimum of congestion, the committee recommends the submission of a charter amendment, setting up a traffic and transportation commission, free to function without political influence or bias.

Summarized, the proposed charter amendment:

Creates a traffic commission of five non-political members.

These will serve without compensation for a period of three and one-half years only, except that the Supervisors and Mayor can extend the life of the commission for one year. The commission has broad powers to investigate all phases of traffic including street cars, buses, automobiles, pedestrians, signals and ordinances and to prepare a final detailed plan with specifications and cost estimates. It also will be given broad powers to employ or contract for the services of engineers and other experts. To meet the costs of its work, the commission is given a fixed allowance of a one-cent tax on the assessment roll each year for three years only.

(2) Because next year is San Francisco's Exposition Year, it is proposed that the entire job be divided into two phases: a pre-exposition period, during which such steps would be inaugurated that could be completed prior to the opening of the exposition in February; a post-exposition period during which the major construction activities would be undertaken, leaving the City free of such disturbances during the period of the exposition.

#### *The Pre-Exposition Program.*

The major objectives in the pre-exposition program would be as follows:

(a) Improvement of street car services, elimination of duplicating and obsolete lines, by agreement or otherwise, and such other steps as might be accomplished within the limited time allowed.

(b) Recommendation of an overhead pedestrian way from San Francisco Bay Bridge rail terminal (Plan X) to the north side of Market Street to facilitate the safe and expeditious handling of an estimated traffic flow of 28,000,000 people annually.

(c) The codification of traffic ordinances and the submission of new ordinances designed to give greater flexibility of traffic, free streets of congestion and promote traffic safety.

(d) Synchronization of existing traffic signals, during this pre-exposition period, in an effort to step up traffic movement and clearing them of all obstructions.

(e) Establishment of parking area on the Embarcadero to provide necessary facilities during the exposition year.

(f) Consideration and recommendation of one-way streets.

(g) The establishment of a traffic school for the training of police in the latest technique of handling traffic.

(h) A campaign of education to bring about a condition of public cooperation with the police traffic squad, coupled with effective support by the judiciary in upholding the police department in its traffic work.



*Post-Exposition Period.*

The discussion of proposed major construction activities during the post-exposition period can only be properly outlined by such a commission as is provided in the suggested charter amendment and then only after the fullest study.

Again the committee emphasizes that such projects must necessarily take into consideration the greatest good to the greatest number, city-wide improvement of transportation facilities, and a measure of pedestrian safety that will make San Francisco America's safest city.

In submitting these recommendations, the committee urges that the success or failure of the city in attaining a sound solution of its urgent traffic and transportation problems is contingent not only on the plan adopted, but also on the civic spirited cooperation of all groups of its citizenry who put the welfare of San Francisco as a whole above that of private or district interests.

**PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT.**

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, hereby submit to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco at the Special Election to be held on the 30th day of August, 1938, a proposal to add a new section to the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco to be designated as Section 118.1 to read as follows:

**TRAFFIC COMMISSION.****Section 118.1.**

Subdivision 1. There is hereby established a Commission to be known as the Traffic Commission which shall consist of five members who shall be appointed thereto by the Mayor forthwith after this section of the Charter becomes effective and who shall hold office until July 15, 1942. The members of said Commission shall serve without compensation and no person shall be eligible to serve on said Commission while holding any public office, position or employment. In no event shall the Traffic Commission continue in existence beyond July 15, 1942, provided, however, that the term of existence of the Traffic Commission may be extended for one year by ordinance.

Subdivision 2. The Traffic Commission shall make a comprehensive survey and study of all phases of traffic, transportation and matters relating thereto for the benefit of the public and relief of general traffic congestion in the City and County and the co-ordination thereof. This shall include, without thereby limiting the generality of the foregoing, the following; general street and highway plan and related matters, street railways, bus and stage service, automobiles, city and interurban transportation of all kinds, pedestrian traffic, traffic signals and traffic law enforcement.

The Traffic Commission shall prepare and submit to the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor of the City and County a complete report or reports covering all of its activities, findings, recommendations and detailed plans, specifications and estimates for carrying into effect its findings and recommendations. It shall also prepare for submission to the proper authorities such recommendations and legislative measures as in its opinion will aid in the consummation of such plan or plans as it may recommend.

Because of the temporary and highly specialized and technical nature of the work of the Traffic Commission neither it nor its employees shall be subject in any way to any of the provisions of Sections 140 to 165, both inclusive, or of Section 172.1 of this Charter.

Subdivision 3. The Traffic Commission shall have power to employ a chief executive officer, engineers, draftsmen, clerks and all other assistance that may be found necessary and to contract for a period not extending beyond the term of existence of the Traffic Commission for consulting and technical services required by said Commission.

Subdivision 4. For the purpose of paying the compensation of its employees and all its other expenses, the Traffic Commission shall prepare and file a budget estimate for each of the fiscal years 1939, 1940, 1941 and 1942 if the term of existence of the Commission is extended as herein provided, covering all of its expenses for each of said years.

Neither the Mayor nor the Board of Supervisors shall have the power to decrease or reject any item of the annual budget estimate of the Commission so that the total amount of said budget allowance and appropriation shall be less than the sum requested by the Commission, provided, however, that in no event shall the budget allowance and appropriation of the Commission exceed the equivalent of one cent annually on each one hundred dollar valuation of the property assessed in and subject to taxation by the City and County.

The Traffic Commission shall receive such portion of its budget allowance immediately after July first of each fiscal year as it needs at that time and if necessary for that purpose the Board of Supervisors are authorized and directed to borrow idle unencumbered balances in any funds except a pension fund in the manner provided by Section 81 of this Charter.

No unused appropriation or unexpended balance in revenue or expense appropriation of the Traffic Commission in any fiscal year shall be considered as general revenue of the ensuing fiscal year as provided in Section 80 of this Charter but shall continue to be subject to the order of the Traffic Commission in the next fiscal year.

Upon conclusion of the term of office of the Traffic Commission and any extension thereof, any surplus funds remaining in the control of the Commission not subject to prior commitment shall be transferred to the general fund of the City and County.

Subdivision 5. All departments and officers of the City and County shall upon request furnish to the Commission such information and data as it may require for its work.

Subdivision 6. If any portion of this section of this Charter conflicts with any other section, subsection, subdivision or provision of this Charter the provisions of this section of this Charter shall prevail.

Whereupon, the foregoing matters were referred to Joint Committee on Public Utilities and Judiciary for hearing Friday.



## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

## Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

**Authorizing Sale of Lot 2, Block 6113.**

(Code No. 12.1732)

Bill No. 1572, Ordinance No. 12,17321, as follows:

Authorizing sale of Lot 2, Block 6113.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the southwesterly line of Dartmouth street, distant thereon 100 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Woolsey street; running thence southeasterly along the southwesterly line of Dartmouth street, 100 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 100 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet to the point of commencement.

Being a portion of University Mound Tract Survey Block No. 60.

Section 2. The above described land shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Providing for the Manner in Which Meat Shall Be Advertised for the Purpose of Sale at Retail in the City and County of San Francisco; Defining Classes of Meat and Retail Cuts of Meats; Defining Offenses, and Providing Penalties for the Violation of This Ordinance.**

(Code No. 17.04)

Also, Bill No. 1573, Ordinance No. 17.049, as follows:

Providing for the manner in which meat shall be advertised for the purpose of sale at retail in the City and County of San Francisco; defining classes of meat and retail cuts of meats; defining offenses, and providing penalties for the violation of this ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. As used in this ordinance, "meat" shall mean the edible part of the carcass of any cattle, calf, sheep, lamb, goat or swine.

Section 2. Every advertisement offering meat for sale or delivery at retail in the City and County of San Francisco shall state the class of meat so offered. Any portion or "cut" of meat which is defined in this ordinance must conform to such definition if advertised or offered for sale or delivery at retail. Terms such as those used by the United States Department of Agriculture to establish grades of meat, such as "Prime," "A-1," or "Choice," shall not be used in advertising meat at retail, unless such meat is in fact of such grade.

Section 3. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, co-partnership, association or corporation, or any agent or employee thereof, selling or delivering or offering for sale or delivery meat at retail to misrepresent the classes or "cuts" of meat as defined in this ordinance in their advertising or placards, or in any other manner whatsoever.

Section 4. For the purposes of this ordinance, the several classes of meat are defined as follows:

(A) "BEEF" is defined as flesh from animals of the bovine species, divided into the following classes:

(1) "Baby Beef" is from steers or heifers aged from eight to eighteen months at the time of slaughter, showing finished fed condition, excellent conformation and quality.

(2) "Steer Beef" is from a male that was castrated before he advanced far enough toward maturity to make reproduction possible. The animal must also have progressed beyond the veal or calf stages. The term "steer beef" shall not be applied to heifer, cow, stag, or bull beef.

(3) "Heifer Beef" is from a female that has passed beyond the veal or calf stages, but has never had a calf, and has not reached advanced pregnancy.

(4) "Cow Beef" is from a mature female that has had one or more calves, or was advanced in pregnancy at time of slaughter.

(5) "Veal" or "Calf" is from a bovine animal less than eight months of age at time of slaughter. "Milk Veal" is from a calf that has not been weaned.

(B) "LAMB" is defined as the flesh of animals of the sheep family or ovine species, not over twelve months of age at time of slaughter.

(1) "Spring Lamb" is from lambs less than six months of age at the time of slaughter. This term shall not be used in describing lambs offered for sale after October 1st, in any year, nor until the new crop of lambs arrives on the market about March 1st, in any year.

(2) "Fed Lambs" or "Lamb" is from lambs which have been weaned and which are sold for slaughter at from six months to twelve months of age.

(3) "Yearling Mutton" is defined as the flesh of animals of the sheep or ovine family which are from twelve to twenty months of age at time of slaughter. Such animals must be designated and sold as "Yearling Mutton."

(4) All animals of this species which have passed the "Yearling Mutton" stage must be designated and sold as "mutton," and shall not be sold or offered for sale as "lamb." Ewes, which are hereby defined as mature females of the ovine species, must be designated and sold as "Ewe Mutton."

(C) "PORK" is from animals of the porcine species. Boars and sows over eighteen months of age shall not be advertised or sold as "Young Pork" or "Pig Pork."

(D) In all cases of doubt arising under these definitions, the standards prescribed by the United States Department of Agriculture shall prevail.

Section 5. The following terms, used in describing the various retail cuts of meat shall be used and applied only as defined herein, as follows:

(A) In naming the ribs of the carcass, the first or Number One rib shall be that which is closest to the neck, the other ribs being counted from that point.

(1) "Prime rib roast" shall consist of from the eighth to thirteenth ribs, inclusive, of a beef carcass. "Short cuts" shall be used only when the rib bone is not more than five inches in length from the junction of the eye and the rib. "Long cut" shall have the plate removed at a point parallel to the Chine-bone and making a twelve-inch wing.

(2) "Beef round" shall consist of the buttocks cut to include the section from the socket bone to the gambrel or hock.

(3) "Round steak" shall be cut from the round, including top and bottom with or without bone. In advertising any cut of round steak, the particular kind of round as defined herein must be stated.

(4) "Top round steak" shall be cut from the upper or inside portion of the round with or without bone.

(5) "Bottom round steak" shall be cut from the under or outside portion of the round without bone.

(6) "Heel of round" shall be the lower portion of the round, triangular in shape.



(B) "Forequarter of lamb" shall consist of not less than thirteen ribs, shoulder, breast, shank and neck.

(1) "Shoulder of lamb" shall consist of the shoulder in one piece, including not less than three ribs, and may include the neck and shank. "Shoulder of lamb, Boston style," shall not be used with the term "leg" or "leg of lamb." Its use shall be confined to shoulders of lamb as herein defined.

(2) Any part of the shoulder of lamb cut to contain less than three ribs shall be described as "yoke of lamb." Any yoke of lamb cut with the major part of the breast attached must be advertised and sold as "yoke of lamb with breast."

(C) "Pork loin" shall be cut at the leg end at a point two and one-half inches above the aitch-bone on the pork leg, and shall include thirteen ribs and no further portion of the shoulder, and the belly shall be removed at a point not to exceed five inches from the chine-bone at the center part of the loin.

(1) "Pork loin roast" shall consist of not less than half the loin.

(2) "Pork loin chops" shall be cut from the fifth rib to the hip-bone. Large loin pork chops shall be cut from that portion of the loin from the hip bone.

(3) "Pork leg" shall consist of the hind leg, with foot removed through the hock-joint; the butt shall be rounded and the tail removed. "Leg pork roast" shall consist of not less than half a leg.

Section 6. Whenever a conflict arises in the definitions as set out herein, the standards of the United States Department of Agriculture shall prevail and conform in the operation of this ordinance.

Section 7. Any person violating any provision of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and each day's continuance of such violation shall constitute a separate offense.

Section 8. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each section, sub-section, subdivision, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, sub-sections, subdivisions, sentences, clauses or phrases is declared unconstitutional or invalid for any reason.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### **Changing and Establishing Grades on Carroll Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.0722)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1574, Ordinance No. 12.072236, as follows:

Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Carroll avenue between Third street and a line at right angles to the southerly line of, 601.55 feet westerly from Third street.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 7th day of February, 1938, by Resolution No. 3791, declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Carroll avenue between Third street and a line at right angles to the southerly line of, 601.55 feet westerly from Third street.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for two days, and the Director of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said Resolution of Intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than thirty days have elapsed since the first publication of said Resolution of Intention; therefore, be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points

hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

<i>Carroll Avenue</i>	<i>Feet</i>
At a point 10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Third street westerly line.....	9.75
At a point formed by the intersection of the westerly line of Third street and a line parallel with the southerly line of Carroll avenue and 10 feet northerly therefrom.....	9.96
On a line at right angles to the southerly line of, 500 feet westerly from Third street.....	15.22
10 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 601.55 feet westerly from Third street .....	16.80
70 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 601.55 feet westerly from Third street.....	16.50

On Carroll avenue between Third street and a line at right angles to the southerly line of, 601.55 feet westerly from Third street be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Adopted.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

### Cancellation of Taxes, H. W. Steinegger.

(Code No. 9.0412)

Resolution No. 3964, as follows:

Whereas, on the 1935 Real Estate Roll, Lot 3, Block 1084, is assessed to H. W. Steinegger, and on June 25, 1936, was sold to the State for the delinquent taxes of 1935—\$503.21; and

Whereas, this property was conveyed to the City by deed for playground purposes on October 21, 1935; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be authorized to cancel this sale in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Authorizing the Director of Public Health to Sign Orders for Narcotics.

(Code No. 17.01)

On recommendation of Public Health Committee.

Resolution No. 3967, as follows:

Resolved, That J. C. Geiger, Director of Public Health, is hereby appointed with full authority for the year commencing July 1, 1938, and ending June 30, 1939, to sign orders for narcotics for the institutions of the Department of Public Health; namely, the Laguna Honda Home, the San Francisco Hospital, the Emergency Hospitals, the Central Office and the Hassler Health Home at Redwood City, California, in compliance with the rules and regulations of the Narcotic Division of the Treasury Department, Internal Revenue, San Francisco, California.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.



**Declaring Intention to Close and Abandon Portion of Radio Terrace.**

(Code No. 12.0621)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 3965, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portion of Radio terrace be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all that portion of Radio terrace more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

All of Radio terrace lying between the westerly line of Twelfth avenue and the southeasterly line of Rockridge drive, situated in Blocks 2132A and 2132B, as shown on "Map of Golden Gate Heights," recorded September 8, 1923, in Map Book "J," pages 30 to 38, inclusive, Records of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Said closing and abandonment of said portion of Radio terrace shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of Radio terrace in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Authorizing Quitclaim Deed to Frank D. Pelicano, Portion of Guttenberg Street Sewer Easement.**

(Code No. 12.1011)

Also, Resolution No. 3966, as follows:

Whereas, on June 15, 1937, this Board adopted Resolution No. 3329, authorizing the acceptance of a deed from Frank D. Pelicano to six easements required for the Guttenberg street sewer; and

Whereas, said deed was recorded July 28, 1937, in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, a portion of the former right of way adjoining Parcel 1 described in said deed is not required by the City and should be relinquished to Frank D. Pelicano; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute a quitclaim deed relinquishing said abandoned right of way to Frank D. Pelicano or his successor in interest. The Director of Property shall deliver the quitclaim deed to the grantee. The land affected by said abandoned right of way is situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and is more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Guttenberg street, distant thereon 74.569 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Morse street, said point being perpendicularly distant 10 feet southwesterly from the third course of Parcel 1 in easement deed from Frank D. Pelicano to the City and County of San Francisco, recorded July 28, 1937, in Volume 3173, page 253, Official Records; thence southeasterly along said line of Guttenberg street 14.151 feet to the point of inter-

section of the first and second courses of the 10-foot sewer easement described in Parcel 1, of Resolution of Intention No. 29261, N. S., and referred to in final Resolution No. 29543, N. S., of the Board of Supervisors; thence deflecting 28 degrees 14 minutes 23 seconds to the left and running southeasterly along said second course, 71.794 feet to a line parallel with and distant 10 feet at right angles southwesterly from the aforesaid third course of Parcel 1; thence deflecting 175 degrees 27 minutes 24 seconds to the left and running northwesterly along said parallel line 84.526 feet to the northeasterly line of Guttenberg street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Block 2, Syndicates First Addition to San Francisco, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book 1, page 174, Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

The above mentioned six easements were donated to the City by Frank D. Pelicano.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Leave of Absence—Mrs. Lloyd W. Dinkelspiel, Member Board of Education.**

(Code No. 4.053)

On recommendation of his Honor the Mayor.

Resolution No. 3968, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mrs. Lloyd W. Dinkelspiel, member of the Board of Education, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of three weeks, commencing May 20, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Leave of Absence—Mr. Philip L. Bush, Member Board of Education.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 3969, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mr. Philip L. Bush, member of the Board of Education, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of one week, commencing May 11, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Leave of Absence—Dr. Walter Heil, Director, California Palace of the Legion of Honor.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 3970, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Dr. Walter Heil, director of the California Palace of the Legion of Honor, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of one month, commencing May 14, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.



## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

**City Attorney to Bring Action to Recover Value for Use of Streets by Pacific Gas & Electric Company for Distribution of Gas and Electricity for Other Than Lighting Purposes.**

**SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY:** Mr. President, and Members of the Board, I have asked Mr. O'Toole to come over here. There is a question I wanted to propound to him, and it is in reference to the franchise of the Pacific Gas & Electric Company to distribute power here in our City. A resolution was passed by this Board authorizing Mr. O'Toole to negotiate with the company in reference to this all-important matter. From the data I have at hand I feel that this company is delinquent to the amount of \$1,200,000, which is a huge sum of money, for the use of our streets. I won't attempt to repeat what their gross income has been, because I have said it so many times you must be tired of hearing that, but it is the largest gross income of any corporation in this City, and it is almost as large as San Francisco's total income.

Now we find this company delinquent, and I would like to have the Board, if it would, instruct the City Attorney that if the company would not negotiate, that he file a suit immediately. A suit, members of the Board, will simply mean this: We will be then in a position to collect approximately \$1,000 a day. The statute of limitations is going on every day, and if we file a suit today, one week from today we have \$7,000 caused by their not paying the same. The statute of limitations starts from the day we file the suit. Now they haven't had a franchise for some sixty odd years, but the City—the statute of limitations will not allow us to file a suit except for four years.

So I have asked Mr. O'Toole today if he would not report to the Board just how far he has proceeded in these negotiations.

**MR. O'TOOLE:** Well, we have had several conferences, Mr. McSheehy, and members of the Board, and our engineers are working with the engineers of the company; but I am of the opinion that possibly the best thing to do is to commence suit, and I have so advised the vice-president of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, that unless within the next 10 days we could come to some agreement, which I could report to this Board, that I would be willing to report to this Board, that possibly suit should be filed.

**SUPERVISOR UHL:** May I ask, was it stipulated whereby a certain day was agreed upon when the matter was first discussed?

**MR. O'TOOLE:** That was discussed many months ago when I was directed to discuss with them the matter of the franchise. At that time their suggestion was that if the franchise was granted they would be willing to go back and take that as a starting day.

**SUPERVISOR UHL:** In other words, I appreciate Supervisor McSheehy's point, that every day that passes we lose \$1,000; but if there were a stipulation—

**MR. O'TOOLE:** I don't know whether a thousand dollars is the correct figure or not, but this would not be the only suit that we would have to bring. Possibly we would have to bring one suit every year until the matter was finally determined in order to preserve the right of the City. But I doubt, frankly, whether we are going to get any particular agreement that I would be willing to report to this Board, and I think if the thing is not settled within the next 10 days or two weeks we will be prepared, acting under the resolution heretofore passed by this Board, that if the negotiations were not conducive of results, to file suit, and in all probability the suit will be filed, and we will continue our negotiations afterward.

**SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY:** Mr. O'Toole, I respect your position

as our attorney, and at the same time I know you respect our position as your client; and under these conditions I feel this Board should today adopt some resolution—I suppose a motion would be in effect—

MR. O'TOOLE: It is all right with me, that if I cannot report to this Board two weeks from today, something concrete, that I proceed with the suit.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I will make that as a motion, so we will have a record, and the City Attorney will be working under authorization of this Board; that if the City Attorney is not able, within the next two weeks, to negotiate terms with the Pacific Gas and Electric Company as to the terms of their franchise—

MR. O'TOOLE: Well, wait just a minute. If you want me to continue negotiating with the franchise, that is an entirely different matter. I understood this Board was not desirous of granting a franchise?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: They are not.

MR. O'TOOLE: Then all we can do, if we cannot come to some agreement as to what the past use of our streets were worth, is to file suit.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: May I ask your help, if you would dictate the motion, and I will take the responsibility for the motion?

MR. O'TOOLE: Resolved, That unless the City Attorney can report to this Board of Supervisors by June 1st of the present year, some concrete proposition from the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, to compensate the City for the use of its streets during the past years, the City Attorney be and he is hereby instructed to bring action in the proper court against the Pacific Gas and Electric Company to recover the reasonable value of the use of said streets by the Pacific Gas and Electric Company for the distribution of gas and electricity, for other than lighting purposes. How is that?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: That is fine. Mr. President, you have heard the wording of the resolution, and I will offer that if I can receive a second.

SUPERVISOR MEAD: Second the motion.

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor McSheehy, may the Chair suggest that you change the word "Resolved" and in the place thereof insert the words, "I move".

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I will make it that way.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there objection to the motion?—Supervisor Colman?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: No objection at all, it is really a repetition of the motion which was passed which would authorize the City Attorney to go ahead with the suit if he could not come to an agreement with the company. However, there is no objection to reaffirming our position.

THE PRESIDENT: Reaffirmation. Is there any objection? (No response.) *So ordered.*

### Joseph B. Strauss, Master Builder of Bridges.

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 3871, as follows:

The Board of Supervisors learns with extreme regret of the death of Joseph B. Strauss at Glendale, California.

Joseph Strauss was world-famed as a master builder of bridges and left lasting monuments of his skill and scientific attainment in the Golden Gate Bridge, one of the greatest engineering feats ever accomplished by man. Mr. Strauss designed and superintended the construction of the bridge and, at its completion, culminated a long career of bridge building which is equaled by no engineer of his generation. His bridge structure at Montreal, at Longview, Oregon, and



lesser accomplishments were part of a life of great industry and indefatigable effort.

Mr. Strauss came to San Francisco as a consulting engineer on bridge matters before the two great bridges were inaugurated and was chiefly responsible for the preliminary work which led to the erection of the Golden Gate Bridge.

He established his office in San Francisco in 1929 in order to conduct the construction work of the Golden Gate Bridge. He remained here constantly till the completion of his work in 1937. He made many sincere, loyal friends in this community, and his passing is regretted by scores of people who knew him.

He was a retiring, modest gentleman, a great scientist, and lover of music. Among musicians he was regarded as a friend and philanthropist as he contributed generously to encouragement of the art.

The Board of Supervisors for and on behalf of the people of San Francisco extends to the family of the late Joseph B. Strauss sincerest sympathy and adjourns its meeting this day out of respect to the memory of this great engineer and courtly gentleman.

*Adopted unanimously by a rising vote.*

President Shannon, on motion duly made and carried, appointed as a committee to attend the funeral Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri and Brown.

#### **Citizens' Committee to Arrange for Celebration of Flag Day.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 3872, as follows:

Resolved, That His Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby respectfully requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee to cooperate with the San Francisco Lodge of the Benevolent and Protective Order of Elks in planning patriotic exercises on Sunday, June 12, 1938, in celebration of "Flag Day".

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### **In Memoriam—Dr. Arthur R. McDowell.**

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Whereas, Dr. Arthur R. McDowell has been summoned to his eternal reward; and

Whereas, Dr. McDowell, during his lifetime, was an outstanding citizen in the City and County of San Francisco, and exercised a particular influence in connection with the progress of dentistry and dental surgery; and

Whereas, Dr. McDowell, in his capacity as Dean of the College of Physicians and Surgeons, carved for himself a niche high in the regard of his fellow doctors; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors notes with sincere regret, the passing of Dr. McDowell, and takes this opportunity to express to his bereaved family its heartfelt condolences; and be it

Further Resolved, That when this Board adjourns this day, it does so out of respect to the memory of the late Dr. McDowell.

*Adopted by rising vote.*

#### **Telegram to Congress Relative to Federal Appropriation for Funston Avenue.**

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, the following telegram was ordered sent to Washington:

MONDAY, MAY 16, 1938.

## WESTERN UNION.

San Francisco, May 16, 1938.

Senator Hiram W. Johnson,  
Senate Office Building, Washington, D. C.  
Senator William G. McAdoo,  
Senate Office Building, Washington, D. C.

Understand Senate Postoffice and Roads Committee has made drastic slashes in Federal Aid Bill HR 10140 which has already passed House. This action of committee if approved by Senate will seriously handicap San Francisco and possibly prevent either this year or next year financing of Funston avenue approach to Golden Gate Bridge. San Francisco has been overlooked almost entirely in past in distribution of Federal Aid funds and further reduction of Federal Aid funds now by Senate will undoubtedly eliminate possibility of any future aid from this source for years to come. We respectfully urge that funds as approved by House be left intact and that no reductions be made by Senate.

## SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

By Warren Shannon, President of Board.

League of California Municipalities Meeting to Discuss  
Uniform Tax Ordinance.

Communication from League of California Municipalities inviting attendance at meeting of fiscal officers and city attorneys of Northern California to be held at Hotel Empire, 10 a. m. Friday, May 20 and 21, 1938, to discuss uniform tax ordinance.

*Referred to City Attorney.*

## Roll of Honor—World War Veterans.

Mrs. Clara Jones, President of San Francisco Gold Star Mothers, was granted privilege of floor and presented a resolution of said organization petitioning Board of Supervisors to place a plaque of durable metal in center of San Francisco War Memorial Group commemorating San Francisco soldiers who died in World War.

*Referred to Public Welfare Committee.*

Supplementary Budget Request from Mayor, Police and Fire  
Protection for Exposition.

The following was presented, read and *referred to the Finance Committee:*

To the Honorable The Board of Supervisors, City Hall.

Gentlemen: On April 18th I wrote your Honorable Board outlining the problem of police and fire protection for the Exposition, and informing you that after having public hearings in the matter I would submit a special message to you on the same.

I feel it necessary to include in the 1938-39 budget as supplemental items the sum of \$57,100, for police protection and \$161,320 for fire protection. These amounts I believe represent the minimum requirements for such services.

After mature study I believe that police and fire protection on Treasure Island is a justifiable charge against the City and County of San Francisco since the Exposition site is within its bound.

When the 1915 Exposition was held, it was held on the mainland and the people of the city contributed five million dollars to it. The site of that world's fair was comparatively central and fire equipment close at hand. The world's fair of 1939 is being staged by private subscription and without any donation from the City and County of San Francisco. At the conclusion, San Francisco will obtain from the



Exposition and from the Federal Government the benefits from the expenditure of \$7,326,900.

The Exposition Company and the Federal Government have created, without cost to the City and County of San Francisco, a new airport which can be reached within fifteen minutes from all the great cities in the Bay area. In my opinion, the tremendous development in the past few years shows that the science of aeronautics is in its infancy and that San Francisco cannot have too many airport facilities.

I believe that Pan American Airways, Inc., will presently occupy quarters in the permanent structures built, without cost to San Francisco, by the Exposition Company. This will definitely anchor the Pacific Coast terminal of the greatest existing trans-oceanic airplane company in the center of San Francisco Bay. Inevitably, other air transport companies will follow Pan American's lead. The definite assurance to San Francisco that Pan American's terminal facilities on the Pacific Coast will be secured to San Francisco is sufficient, in my opinion, in itself, to have warranted San Francisco building the facilities required. These, as stated above, have been built for the City without a penny of taxpayer expenditure.

At my request, Mr. E. G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities, has supplied me the detail of this \$7,326,900 investment, which is as follows:

Construction of the Island .....	\$3,803,900
Water system .....	468,000
Storm sewers .....	240,000
Sanitary sewers .....	100,000
Permanent hangars .....	950,000
Air terminal building .....	850,000
Paving .....	500,000
Permanent roadway on Yerba Buena Island.....	250,000
Building at 585 Bush street .....	165,000

---

\$7,326,900

In the light of the creation of these permanent values, we believe San Francisco would be ungrateful if it did not contribute such police and fire protection. I am enclosing with this letter copies of requests from the Police Department and the Fire Department for the necessary men and equipment properly to police and give fire protection to the Exposition during the five months of the coming fiscal year when the Exposition will be operating.

It will be noted that in the Fire Department's letter added protection to Treasure Island is asked from July 1, 1938, and that included in the total of \$161,320, there is set up \$67,706 for equipment.

The Police Department's request is for fifty police officers at \$200 a month, for five months, and for two matrons at \$170 a month, for five months. There is also set up \$5,400 for equipment.

I ask the earnest consideration of your Honorable Board to these two requests, and enclose copies of letters received by me from the Down Town Association, the San Francisco Real Estate Board, the Building Owners and Managers Association and the Chamber of Commerce, all of whom endorse these requests.

Very truly yours,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Fire Department Chief Engineer.

May 13, 1938.

Honorable Angelo J. Rossi,  
Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco,  
San Francisco, California.

My Dear Mayor: After a definite study of the matter of adequate fire protection for the Golden Gate International Exposition, during

the ensuing fiscal year, and with the fullest consideration given to every factor and circumstance entering into this matter, I wish to state that, in my judgment, the absolute minimum requirements are as follows:

*Personal Services:*

July 1, 1938 to February 14, 1939 (7½ months).

2 Captains .....	@ \$235.00	\$ 3,525.00	
2 Lieutenants .....	@ 222.50	3,338.00	
25 Firemen .....	@ 180.00	33,750.00	
			\$40,613.00

February 15, 1939, to June 30, 1939 (4½ months).

1 Battalion Chief .....	@ 350.00	1,575.00	
1 Chief's Operator .....	@ 210.00	945.00	
3 Captains .....	@ 235.00	3,173.00	
4 Lieutenants .....	@ 222.50	4,005.00	
50 Firemen .....	@ 180.00	40,500.00	
			50,198.00

*Equipment:*

2 Pumping Engines .....	27,500.00	
1 High Pressure Hose Wagon.....	12,500.00	
2 Water Tank Apparatus .....	16,500.00	
1 Chief's Automobile .....	750.00	
8,000 ft. 2¾-inch hose (84 ft.).....	6,720.00	
1,200 ft. 1½-inch hose (54 ft.).....	648.00	
1,000 ft. 1-inch hose (40 ft.).....	400.00	
Gas Masks, Tools, etc. ....	2,500.00	
Badges .....	188.00	
		67,706.00
		\$158,517.00

*Contractual Services:*

Laboratory Examinations and Tests (new members) .....	803.00	
Shop Expense .....	400.00	
		1,203.00

*Materials and Supplies:*

Gasoline .....	750.00	
Lubricating Oil .....	100.00	
Janitorial Supplies .....	750.00	
		1,600.00
		\$161,320.00

It is the intention that the men and apparatus provided by the foregoing appropriation will be stationed within the fairgrounds, and that they will constitute the response to all alarms transmitted over the wires of the American District Telegraph Company to their respective stations, and that they will be augmented, in the event of an alarm transmitted over the regular city fire alarm system, by the response from the mainland, of the following:

On first alarms: 3 engine companies, 1 hose tender, 2 truck companies, 1 fireboat and 1 Chief Officer.

On second alarms: 4 engine companies, 1 hose tender, 1 truck company, 1 fireboat and 1 Chief Officer.

On third or greater alarms, the response will be the same to each alarm, as that to the second alarm, excepting the fireboat; and of course all special apparatus such as rescue squad, water towers, searchlight engines, air compressors, etc., will be available to respond to special calls.

Very truly yours,

(Signed) CHARLES J. BRENNAN,  
Chief Engineer, S.F.F.D.



MONDAY, MAY 16, 1938.

607

POLICE DEPARTMENT.

Hall of Justice, San Francisco.

May 16, 1938.

Hon. Angelo J. Rossi,  
Mayor of San Francisco, City Hall.

My Dear Mayor Rossi: A preliminary survey of the personnel required to police the proposed Fair Grounds at Treasure Island for the period of ten months—from February 18, 1939, to December 2, 1939, would indicate the employment of fifty police officers and two matrons. The salaries paid for these positions at the present time are, police officers \$200 per month, and matrons \$170 per month.

In our opinion, these employments should be made effective February 1, 1939, so that these officers may receive some instructions as to the duties they are to perform.

In view of the fact that we anticipate using a patrol wagon in connection with the Fair, we recommend the replacement of one of the wagons now in use, which will amount to approximately \$1,800. We also recommend the replacement of three radio patrol cars, fully equipped, at an approximate cost of \$1,200 each.

It will be necessary to establish police headquarters on Treasure Island and therefore provision should be made for furnishing same with the necessary equipment. It is impossible at this time to estimate the cost of such headquarters.

Trusting this will meet with your approval, we are,

Yours very truly,

BOARD OF POLICE COMMISSIONERS,

Per: Chas. F. Skelly, Secretary.

THE SAN FRANCISCO REAL ESTATE BOARD.

One Eleven Sutter Street, San Francisco, Calif.

May 16, 1938.

Honorable Angelo J. Rossi,  
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco,  
San Francisco, California.

Dear Sir: The San Francisco Real Estate Board, representing a considerable number of the larger taxpayers in San Francisco, has always opposed unnecessary increases in our City budget. There is a matter before us, however, which appears to be of vital importance to the entire City at this time and for which no provision seems to have been made in the current budget.

We refer to police and fire protection at the Exposition. It seems to this organization that it is the duty of this City to make provision for this protection at this time but we hope that the increase in the tax rate occasioned thereby will not be considered as a basis upon which to compute a possible increase in future tax rates.

Our recommendation in this regard is based upon the feeling that the Exposition should receive the support of not only all citizens but of the City Government in order to make it a success, and immediate fire and police protection appears to be a real necessity.

Very truly yours,

SAN FRANCISCO REAL ESTATE BOARD,

(Signed) W. O. Lang, President.

DOWN TOWN ASSOCIATION.

85 Post Street, San Francisco.

May 11, 1938.

Mayor A. J. Rossi,  
City Hall, San Francisco.

Dear Mayor Rossi: The Board of Directors of the Down Town Association wish to state that while they are in favor of the utmost

MONDAY, MAY 16, 1938.

economy in administering the city affairs and wish to see the tax rate kept down as low as possible, they do feel that the providing of proper police and fire protection for the Golden Gate International Exposition, which is within the city limits of San Francisco, is an obligation of the city and that the city should take the proper steps to insure such protection.

Yours truly,

(Signed) Jos. M. Cumming, Manager.

BUILDING OWNERS AND MANAGERS ASSOCIATION  
OF SAN FRANCISCO

68 Post Street, San Francisco.

May 16, 1938.

Honorable Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor,  
City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Dear Mr. Mayor: I am enclosing copy of resolution passed by our Board of Directors at its regular meeting held today at luncheon.

Very truly yours,

(Signed) Roy A. Nisja, Executive Secretary.

May 16, 1938.

Whereas, adequate police and fire protection are essential for the Exposition; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco should contribute to the welfare of the Exposition; be it

Resolved, That the Board of Directors of the Building Owners and Managers Association of San Francisco favor the supplying of such police and fire equipment and personnel as may be necessary properly to protect the Exposition and its guests, provided, however, that every effort be made to the end that the tax rate for the next fiscal year be not increased above the proposed rate of \$4.07 and that the money necessary to supply such fire and police equipment and personnel be obtained from economies and reduction of operating expenses of the City and County of San Francisco on the proposed \$4.07 tax rate, and provided further that necessary steps be taken to insure that any personnel supplied to the Exposition for such police and fire protection shall not constitute permanent additions to the City's Police and Fire Departments.

In Memoriam.

Supervisor Reilly called attention to the untimely passing of August L. Fournier, Assistant District Attorney on the staff of District Attorney Matt Brady. He moved that when the Board of Supervisors adjourns it does so out of respect to the memory of the deceased and that the condolences of the Board be sent to the family of the deceased.

*Adopted unanimously by a rising vote.*

Supervisor McSheehy called attention to the passing of Lieutenant Harry A. Tricon, retired member of the San Francisco Fire Department. He moved that when the Board of Supervisors adjourns it does so out of respect to the memory of the deceased, that the family of the deceased be notified of this action of the Board.

*Adopted unanimously by a rising vote.*

RECESS.

Whereupon at the hour of 4:10 p. m. the Board of Supervisors took a recess until 10 a. m. Thursday, May 19, 1938, for the purpose of receiving the report of the Finance Committee on the proposed Budget for 1938-1939.

DAVID A. BARRY,  
Chief Assistant Clerk.



Approved by the Board of Supervisors May 23, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Super-

visors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, .....,  
hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the  
Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and  
approved as recited.

.....  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

The first part of the paper is devoted to a general discussion of the problem of the origin of life. It is shown that the problem is one of the most important and most difficult in the history of science. The author discusses the various theories of the origin of life, and shows that the most plausible is the theory of spontaneous generation. This theory is based on the fact that life is a complex of many different parts, and that these parts are all derived from a common ancestor. The author also discusses the problem of the origin of the first living organisms, and shows that the most plausible theory is the theory of abiogenesis. This theory is based on the fact that life is a complex of many different parts, and that these parts are all derived from a common ancestor.

The second part of the paper is devoted to a detailed discussion of the theory of spontaneous generation. The author shows that this theory is based on the fact that life is a complex of many different parts, and that these parts are all derived from a common ancestor. The author also discusses the problem of the origin of the first living organisms, and shows that the most plausible theory is the theory of abiogenesis. This theory is based on the fact that life is a complex of many different parts, and that these parts are all derived from a common ancestor.

The third part of the paper is devoted to a detailed discussion of the theory of abiogenesis. The author shows that this theory is based on the fact that life is a complex of many different parts, and that these parts are all derived from a common ancestor. The author also discusses the problem of the origin of the first living organisms, and shows that the most plausible theory is the theory of abiogenesis. This theory is based on the fact that life is a complex of many different parts, and that these parts are all derived from a common ancestor.



Monday, May 23, 1938

Vol.33, No.22, May 19, 1938 not published

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



RECEIVED  
JAN 11 1884  
CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Vol. 20

No. 10

Published by the Board of Supervisors  
of the City and County of San Francisco

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Board of Supervisors and Printing Company  
100 Montgomery Street, S. F.



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MAY 23, 1938, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, May 23, 1938,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Supervisor Reilly presiding at request of President Shannon.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of May 16, 1938, was considered read and approved.

## Motion to Consider the Budget.

Supervisor Roncovieri, seconded by Supervisor Reilly, moved that proposed Budget for Fiscal Year 1938-1939 be made a Special Order of Business for immediate consideration and that the consideration of the regular Calendar be postponed until the proposed budget and appropriation ordinance have been disposed of.

## Amendment to Motion.

Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved as an amendment to the previous motion that the Budget be made a Special Order of Business at 2:30 p. m.

*Motion carried unanimously.*

## SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.—HEARING STREET WORK.

Thereupon, the Board proceeded with the following:

Hearing of protest of property owners against assessment of cost of improving:

Moraga street, between Thirty-first and Thirty-second avenues.

Thirty-eighth avenue, between Santiago and Taraval streets.

Forty-second avenue, between Lawton and Moraga street.

Forty-third avenue, between Moraga and Noriega streets.

Forty-fifth avenue, between Taraval and Ulloa streets.

Forty-sixth avenue, between Taraval and Ulloa streets.

Forty-seventh avenue, between Taraval and Ulloa streets.

Chas. L. Harney, Contractor.

No protest being filed, the Clerk was directed to *so notify* the Department of Public Works.

**SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.—HEARING STREET WORK.**

Hearing of protest of property owners against assessment and cost of improving:

Taraval street, from Twelfth avenue to Montavalo avenue, including intersections.

Fay Improvement Co., Contractor.

No protest being filed, the Clerk was directed to *so notify* the Department of Public Works.

**SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.****Low Cost Housing.**

On motion of Supervisor Uhl, Walter H. Sullivan, chairman Low Cost Housing Project, was requested to appear at this time and explain \$15,000,000 Low Cost Housing Act and proposed measure making low cost homes free of taxation.

**Communication From A. D. Wilder.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

May 21, 1938.

Mr. Warren Shannon, President, Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, California.

Dear Mr. Shannon: I am enclosing herewith a memorandum addressed to your Honorable Board to which is attached copies of the laws under which the United States Housing Authority and the San Francisco Housing Authority have been created, and also certain other documents which define and explain the powers and functions of the Housing Authority of the City and County of San Francisco.

These laws have only very recently been passed and many persons therefore have not had the opportunity of acquainting themselves with their provisions. I have thought, therefore, that it would facilitate matters if you could cause a copy of the enclosed memorandum to be given to each of the Supervisors, so that, at their convenience, they could so far as they deem necessary, acquaint themselves with the provisions of the law and the proposed procedure. This information can, I think, be more quickly attained from a written record rather than from an attempted verbal explanation. After that, if it is thought desirable, I shall be very glad to attend on the Board at any time they find is convenient to answer, in so far as I am able, any questions they may then have to ask.

I am enclosing twelve copies of the above mentioned memorandum.

Yours very truly,

A. D. WILDER, Executive Director.

**Action Deferred.**

Whereupon, the foregoing matter was *laid over until May 31, 1938, and made a Special Order of Business for 2:30 P. M.*

**UNFINISHED BUSINESS.**

None.

**NEW BUSINESS.****Adopted.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:



## Refunds of Erroneous Payment of Taxes.

(Code No. 9.059)

Resolution No. 3973, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized to be paid to the following named, being refunds of erroneous and duplicate payments of taxes:

*From Duplicate Tax Fund—Appropriation No. 905.*

(1) C. R. Holton, manager, O. W. Becker Co., per Vol. 41, Bill 1159, Lot 34, Block 6735, 2nd installment, fiscal year 1937 .....	\$ 4.84
(2) Geo. R. Oppie, c/o Bank of America, per Vol. 22, Bill 1026, Lot 18, Block 3152, 2nd installment, fiscal year 1937....	30.77
(3) Oscar Heyman & Co., per Vol. 35, Bill 817, Lot 15, Block 5874, 2nd installment, fiscal year 1937.....	7.74
(4) Oscar Heyman & Co., per Vol. 16, Bill 1816, Lot 11, Block 2136, 2nd installment, fiscal year 1937.....	37.74
(5) Oscar Heyman & Co., per Vol. 12, Bill 2407, Lot 13, Block 1672, 2nd installment, fiscal year 1937.....	24.19
(6) Oscar Heyman & Co., per Vol. 12, Bill 2529, Lot 1-G, Block 1675, overpayment 2nd installment, fiscal year 1937....	.63
(7) George L. Kelly, per Vol. 34, Bill 1272, Lot 38-39, Block 5688, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937.....	8.52
(8) George W. Bennett, per Vol. 25, Bill 2127, Lot 68, Block 3727, 2nd installment, fiscal year 1937.....	40.65

*From General Fund—Appropriation No. 60.969.00.*

(9) Albina Larney, taxes paid twice for fiscal year 1938 on personal property at 98 San Diego avenue.....	2.32
(10) M. Kaloustian, 3608 Eighteenth street, excess taxes paid, due to clerical error in Assessor's Office.....	3.00
(11) Doane Paper Co., 298 Seventh street, erroneous assessment in valuation of personal property.....	236.88
(12) Golden State Theatre & Realty Corp., per Vol. 8, page 158, line 13, 1937 Uncollected Personal Property Roll, duplicate payment .....	149.66

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

## Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Appropriation of \$51,000 for Additional Motormen and Conductors, Municipal Railway.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1577, Ordinance No. 9.051478, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$51,000 out of the surplus existing in the Municipal Railway Operative Fund to provide the cost of additional facilities to accommodate increased service on the Municipal Railway owing to an increase from 5 cents to 7 cents per cash fare granted the Market Street Railway Company by the California Railroad Commission, to become effective May 29, 1938.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$51,000 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Municipal Railway Operative Fund to be applied to the following appropriations and purposes, to provide the cost of additional facilities to accommodate increased service on the Municipal Railway owing to an increase from 5 cents to 7 cents per cash fare granted the Market Street Railway Company by the California Railroad Commission, which new rate will become effective May 29, 1938:

Appropriation No. 765.102.00—Temporary salaries— inspectors, car repairers, etc.....	\$ 1,000.00
Appropriation No. 765.103.00—Wages—additional motormen and additional conductors.....	30,000.00
Appropriation No. 765.231.00—Heat, light, power....	10,000.00
Appropriation No. 765.200.00—Contractual services..	5,000.00
Appropriation No. 765.300.00—Materials and supplies.	5,000.00

Approved as to form by Dion R. Holm, Assistant City Attorney.

Recommended by Edward G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities.

Approved by Forrest B. Gibbon, Secretary, Public Utilities Commission (Resolution No. 2644).

Approved as to funds available by H. J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Amend Salary Ordinance, Municipal Railway.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1578, Ordinance No. 9.053127, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 72 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 by adding forty employments to the number heretofore established under Item 49 thereof and by adding forty employments to the number heretofore established under Item 50 thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 72 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 is hereby amended to read as follows:

### Section 72. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION— MUNICIPAL RAILWAY

Item	No. of No. Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	5	A154	Carpenter, \$9 per day.....	
2	9	A364	Car and Auto Painter, \$10 per day....	
3	1	A370	Foreman Car and Auto Paint Shop....	\$ 275
4	1	B10	Accountant ..	225
5	1	B14	Senior Accountant ..	275
6	1	B222	General Clerk ..	200
7	3	B222	General Clerk ..	175
8	1	B222	General Clerk ..	165
9	3	B222	General Clerk ..	155
10	1	B234	Head Clerk ..	240
11	2	B308	Comptometer Operator ..	155
12	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer ..	250
13	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer ..	175



Section 72. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
MUNICIPAL RAILWAY (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
14	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
15	2	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
16	2	C52	Elevator Operator .....	145
17	1	C52	Elevator Operator (relief) .....	145
18	26	C104	Janitor .....	160
19	2	C104	Janitor .....	155
20	7	C104	Janitor .....	145
21	3	C104	Janitor, \$5.80 per day .....	
22	2	C104	Janitor .....	135
23	2	C106	Sub-Foreman Janitor, \$6.30 per day....	
24	1	E106	Armature Winder, \$9 per day .....	
25	1	E108	Electrician, \$10 per day .....	
26	6	E154	Lineman, \$7.40 per day .....	
27	1	E160	Foreman Lineman .....	215
28	1	F216	Maintenance of Way Engineer .....	300
29	4	J4	Laborer, \$6 per day .....	
30	5	J66	Garageman, \$6.80 per day .....	
31	48	J152	Trackman, \$6 per day .....	
32	2	J160	Track Welder, \$6.30 per day .....	
33	2	J162	Car Repairer Welder, \$7.50 per day ....	
34	3	J166	Track Foreman, \$6.30 per day .....	
35	1	J168	General Foreman of Track Maintenance	250
36	1	M5	Assistant Master Mechanic .....	300
37	1	M6	Master Mechanic .....	350
38	3	M54	Auto Machinist, \$9 per day .....	
39	1	M56	Garage Foreman, Municipal Railway...	250
40	2	M104	Blacksmith Helper, \$8 per day.....	
41	2	M108	Blacksmith, \$9 per day .....	
42	49	M202	Car Repairer, \$6.80 per day .....	
43	5	M206	Sub-Foreman Car Repairer, \$7.30 per day	
44	2	M208	Foreman Car Repairer, \$7.80 per day...	
45	6	M254	Machinist, \$9 per day .....	
46	1	O1	Chauffeur, \$8 per day .....	
47	1	S10	Manager Municipal Railway .....	700
48	1	S60	Instructor Municipal Railway .....	240
49	467	S102	Conductor, 75c per hour .....	
50	460	S104	Motorman, 75c per hour .....	
51	50	S106	Bus Operator, 80c per hour .....	
52	5	S110	Junior Inspector Municipal Railway ....	175
53	8	S110	Junior Inspector Municipal Railway ...	170
54	12	S112	Inspector Municipal Railway .....	200
55	5	S112	Inspector Municipal Railway .....	180
56	2	S120	Day Dispatcher .....	210
57	1	S124	Supervisor of Schedules .....	210
58	2	S128	Division Superintendent Municipal Ry.	275
59	1	S130	Assistant Superintendent of Transporta- tion, Municipal Railway .....	300
60	1	S132	Superintendent of Transportation, Mu- nicipal Railway .....	350
61	1	U108	Compressor Operator, portable, \$6.30 per day .....	
62	1	R108	Supervisor of Music (part time) .....	50
63			Seasonal Clerical Services at rates fixed in Salary Standardization Report.	

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Appropriation of \$3,000 for Revising Plan No. 7, Distribution of  
Hetch Hetchy Power.**

(Code No. 9.051)

The following matter was taken up:

Bill No. 1579, Ordinance No. 9.051479, as follows:

Authorizing an appropriation of \$3,000 out of the surplus existing in the Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund to provide funds to defray the cost of revising Plan No. 7 for the distribution of hydro-electric power from the Hetch Hetchy Project.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$3,000 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund to provide funds to defray the cost of revising Plan No. 7, heretofore submitted to the Board of Supervisors by the Public Utilities Commission, for the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco of a distribution system for the purpose of distributing the hydro-electric power generated on the Hetch Hetchy Project directly to the people of San Francisco.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by Edward G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities.

Approved by Forrest B. Gibbon, Secretary, Public Utilities Commission (P. U. C. Resolution No. 2630).

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

**Explanation of Vote.**

Supervisor Colman explained his vote by saying that he objected to foregoing appropriation. He did not believe it timely, stating that the matter was on appeal to a higher court, and until such time as an appeal had been decided, and any future action as to other appeals, if necessary; also, possibility for amendment of the Raker Act is exhausted, or the possibility of adopting some other method of distributing our hydro-electric power in some other manner. He stated also that any such appropriation might be construed as an intimation that the City and County is wrong—in its distribution of hydro-electric energy—something which he did not believe, and stated he would vote "No" on such an appropriation.

**Motion.**

Supervisor Colman moved that the matter be *referred to Finance Committee*.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Reilly, Roncovieri—4.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Land Purchase—Portola Drive Widening.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Resolution No. 5974, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco



accept a deed from E. M. Sink, or the legal owner thereof, to Lot 15, Assessor's Block 2832, San Francisco, required for the widening of Portola drive, and that the sum of \$1,550 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 777.934.17.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### **Appointment of Honorable Warren Shannon as Acting Mayor.**

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

May 23, 1938.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Today I leave for Washington, D. C., to appear before the Maritime Commission on matters vitally affecting the shipping and commerce of the Port of San Francisco.

Therefore, in consonance with Section 25 of the Charter, I hereby name Honorable Warren Shannon, Chairman of the Board of Supervisors, to act as Mayor during my absence.

Yours sincerely,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

### **Adopted.**

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were taken up:

#### **Leave of Absence—Honorable Sylvester Andriano, Member of the Police Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3975, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Sylvester Andriano, a member of the Police Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing June 27, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **Leave of Absence—Honorable Jesse C. Colman, Member of the Board of Supervisors.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 3976, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Jesse C. Colman, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of fifteen days, commencing May 24, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Leave of Absence—Dr. Howard McKinley, Member, Civil Service Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 3977, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the request of his Honor the Mayor, Dr. Howard McKinley, member of the Civil Service Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of three weeks beginning May 23, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Leave of Absence—Honorable Angelo. J. Rossi, Mayor.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 3978, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with request of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor, be and is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of three weeks, beginning May 23, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Appointment of David A. Barry Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and Fixing the Salary Thereof.**

(Code No. 1.05)

The Finance Committee presented:

Resolution No. 3981, as follows:

Whereas, the untimely death of John S. Dunnigan, the efficient, loyal and lamented Clerk of this Board of Supervisors, causes the necessity for the appointment of a Clerk of the Board; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That David A. Barry, present Chief Assistant Clerk of the Board, be and he is hereby appointed Clerk of the Board of Supervisors. The salary of the said David A. Barry is hereby fixed in accordance with the schedule of April 9, 1930, referred to in Section 71 of the Charter; and be it

Further Resolved, That the said David A. Barry be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence from the position of Chief Assistant Clerk of the Board of Supervisors for so long a time as he shall be Clerk.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.**

**Consideration of the Proposed Budget of Municipal Expenditures for the Fiscal Year 1938-1939.**

The Board of Supervisors thereupon proceeded to the further consideration of the proposed budget and particularly with reference to the matter of wage scales as affecting crafts affiliated with the Building and Construction Trades Council.

**Communication.**

The following communication from the San Francisco Building and



Construction Trades Council was presented by Supervisor Mead and read by the Clerk:

May 23, 1938.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: At its meeting on Thursday, May 19, the Building and Construction Trades Council of San Francisco adopted a resolution directing that a communication be sent to your Honorable Board in reference to the wage scales to be incorporated in the annual salary ordinance for the year 1938-39 in so far as crafts affiliated with the Building and Construction Trades Council are concerned.

During the past two years, crafts affiliated with this council have succeeded in obtaining contracts in private employment providing for higher wage scales than are now obtaining in the municipal service. Last year, when the budget estimates for the year 1937-38 were being prepared, your Honorable Board, on the recommendation of Mayor Rossi, amended the budget estimates to provide the prevailing wage scale for a number of these crafts. We now request that the same consideration be given to the other crafts who are presently working in the City service for less money than is being paid in private employment.

Several weeks ago we contacted Mayor Rossi and Chief Administrative Officer Cleary and explained the situation to them and they made a definite promise and commitment that they would recommend the prevailing wage schedules in the budget estimates when submitted to your Board for consideration. However, when the budget estimates were submitted to the Board of Supervisors for consideration, we found that the old schedules had been recommended by the Mayor and that he had not recommended the current prevailing scales. We again contacted Mayor Rossi and he told us that it was his intention to recommend the current wage scales in a supplemental communication and recommendation to your Board. On last Friday we conferred with Mayor Rossi concerning this matter and we were advised by the Mayor at that time that he had changed his mind and that he would not recommend that the City pay the prevailing wage scale and that our members would have to continue to work for lower scales than prevail in private employment.

The result is that the budget estimates now under consideration by the Board of Supervisors do not provide the wage scales prevailing in private employment and, under these budget estimates, crafts affiliated with the Building and Construction Trades Council will be working for the City for as much as \$2 per day less than members of the same crafts receive in private employment. The Building and Construction Trades Council protest this discrimination and we desire to point out to you that if the budget estimates under consideration are approved by the Board of Supervisors, your action will have the effect of tending to reduce wage scales in private employment throughout the Bay District and California.

It is not the intention of the Building and Construction Trades Council to stand by and see the wage scales which have been built up through negotiation with private employers destroyed by the action of politically minded officials of the City and County Government. This council is not asking for anything more than justice. Not only are private employers in the Bay District uniformly paying the current wage scale that we are asking for City employees, but we desire to call to your attention that the State of California also is paying these wage scales to all State employees. The Golden Gate Bridge District, the Toll Bridge Authority and the Exposition Company are all recognizing and paying the scales we are asking for municipal employees in San Francisco.

Furthermore, under the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and under the salary ordinance for the current fiscal year, the City must pay the current prevailing scales whenever City em-

ployees are performing work under the contract provisions of the Charter. Also on all work performed in part with Public Works Administration funds, the City is required to pay the prevailing wage scale. This is in accordance with the Bacon-Davis Act. In this connection, however, we wish to point out to your Honorable Board that contrary to Charter provisions and the salary ordinance adopted by the Board of Supervisors and State and Federal legislation, departments of the municipal government are refusing to pay these schedules although bidding for work in competition with private employers who are required to pay them.

We wish to emphasize that we are not asking for increases in salary. We are asking simply that the City and County of San Francisco pay the wage scales that are already established in private employment. The Civil Service has recommended these schedules and has submitted to your Honorable Board on February 16, 1938, a salary standardization schedule in which these wage scales are incorporated. In spite of repeated efforts made by representatives of this council and other labor and civic organizations, the Board of Supervisors has failed to take any action on these schedules. As we understand the law, pending the adoption of salary standardization, these current wage scales can only be made operative on the recommendation of the department head and the approval of Mayor Rossi. This approval Mayor Rossi at the very last moment has now refused to give.

The only alternative, therefore, is that the Board of Supervisors adopt as salary standardization schedules the rates recommended on February 16, 1938, by the Civil Service Commission in so far as crafts affiliated with the Building and Construction Trades Council are concerned, and make these schedules operative effective July 1 for the next fiscal year.

Under these conditions, we now most respectfully and most earnestly ask that the Board of Supervisors take that action today.

Yours very truly,

BUILDING AND CONSTRUCTION TRADES COUNCIL,

ALEXANDER WATCHMANN, President.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Jas. E. Ricketts, representing the Building Trades Council, after the reading of above communication, was granted the privilege of the floor and he stated that the last paragraph was the request of the Building Trades Council, and urged that "the Board of Supervisors adopt as salary standardization schedules the rates recommended on February 16, 1938, by the Civil Service Commission in so far as crafts affiliated with the Building and Construction Trades Council are concerned, and make these schedules effective July 1 for the next fiscal year."

#### Discussion.

Discussion followed, during which the City Attorney stated that the Board had not taken the requisite steps necessary before any changes in the Salary Standardization Report, as submitted by the Civil Service Commission, could be adopted.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

The privilege of the floor was granted Mr. Andrew J. Gallagher, who questioned the City Attorney as to his ruling in the event that the Board voted to adopt a portion of the Salary Standardization Schedule, to whom the City Attorney replied that he must rule such act illegal; the Board must accept all the schedule, reject all the schedule, or send the schedule back to the Civil Service Commission for amendment. The Board cannot accept part of the schedule.



**Temporary Postponement.**

Further discussion of salaries for crafts affiliated with the Building Trades Council was *postponed because of the arrival of Chief Administrative Officer, Alfred J. Cleary, in the Chambers.*

**Mayor's Supplemental Budget Requests, Police and Fire Protection, Treasure Island.**

Whereupon, the Mayor's supplemental budget requests were taken up and the following was read by the Clerk:

"May 16, 1938. To the Honorable The Board of Supervisors, City Hall. Gentlemen:

"On April 18th, I wrote your Honorable Board outlining the problem of police and fire protection for the Exposition, and informing you that after having public hearings in the matter I would submit a special message to you on the same.

"I feel it necessary to include in the 1938-39 budget as supplemental items the sum of \$57,100 for police protection and \$161,320 for fire protection. These amounts I believe represent the minimum requirements for such service.

"After mature study I believe that police and fire protection on Treasure Island is a justifiable charge against the City and County of San Francisco since the Exposition site is within its bounds.

"When the 1915 Exposition was held, it was held on the mainland and the people of the City contributed five million dollars to it. The site of that World's Fair was comparatively central and fire equipment close at hand. The World's Fair of 1939 is being staged by private subscription and without any donation from the City and County of San Francisco. At the conclusion, San Francisco will obtain from the Exposition and from the Federal Government the benefits from the expenditure of \$7,326,900.

"The Exposition Company and the Federal Government have created, without cost to the City and County of San Francisco a new airport which can be reached within 15 minutes from all the great cities in the Bay area. In my opinion the tremendous development in the past few years shows that the science of aeronautics is in its infancy and that San Francisco cannot have too many airport facilities.

"I believe that Pan American Airways, Inc., will presently occupy quarters in the permanent structures built, without cost to San Francisco, by the Exposition Company. This will definitely anchor the Pacific Coast terminal of the greatest existing trans-oceanic airplane company in the center of San Francisco Bay. Inevitably, other air transport companies will follow Pan American's lead. The definite assurance to San Francisco that Pan American's terminal facilities on the Pacific Coast will be secured to San Francisco is sufficient, in my opinion, in itself, to have warranted San Francisco building the facilities required. These, as stated above, have been built for the City without a penny of taxpayer expenditure.

"At my request, Mr. E. G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities, has supplied me the detail of this \$7,326,900 investment which is as follows:

Construction of the Island .....	\$3,803,900
Water system .....	468,000
Storm sewers .....	240,000
Sanitary sewers .....	100,000
Permanent hangars .....	950,000
Air terminal building .....	850,000
Paving .....	500,000
Permanent roadway on Yerba Buena Island.....	250,000
Building at 585 Bush street.....	165,000

---

\$7,326,900

"In the light of the creation of these permanent values, we believe San Francisco would be ungrateful indeed if it did not contribute such police and fire protection. I am enclosing with this letter copies of requests both from the Police Department and the Fire Department for the necessary men and equipment properly to police and give fire protection to the Exposition during the five months of the coming fiscal year when the Exposition will be operating.

"It will be noted that in the Fire Department's letter added protection to Treasure Island is asked from July 1, 1938, and that included in the total of \$161,320 there is set up \$67,706 for equipment.

"The Police Department's request is for 50 police officers at \$200 a month, for five months, and for two matrons at \$170 a month, for five months. There is also set up \$5,400 for equipment.

"I ask the earnest consideration of your Honorable Board to these two requests, and enclose copies of letters received by me from the Down Town Association, the San Francisco Real Estate Board, the Building Owners and Managers Association and the Chamber of Commerce, all of whom endorse these requests.

"Very truly yours, Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor."

SUPERVISOR UHL: May I ask this question: In the Mayor's budget there is also included the sum of \$302,662. Just what does that cover?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: That is San Francisco's participation.

MR. ROSS: That amount was not requested by the Mayor, it was requested by the departments. Here it is set up here (indicating).

THE CHAIRMAN: Supervisor Shannon, would you make an explanation on that?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: What is that?

THE CHAIRMAN: The \$302,000.

SUPERVISOR UHL: It has not been deleted? Let's delete it from this budget.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Members of the Board: The Finance Committee received a letter from the Mayor under date of May 19th: "Gentlemen: At your request I am including a break down of the items in the budget in the sum of \$302,862, for San Francisco's participation in the Exposition as submitted by Mr. Clarence....., the architect engaged to work out the plan. Naturally, this is a rough estimate, and, of course, subject to revision. It contains in addition the sum of \$258,519.80, for the entire and complete interior of the building of the City and County of San Francisco."

SUPERVISOR UHL: How much was that?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: "\$258,519.80, for the entire and complete interior of the building of the City and County of San Francisco to be erected; the sum of \$15,000 for maintenance, and \$29,342.20 for contingencies."

SUPERVISOR UHL: May I ask, is that going to be a permanent building? In spending that sum of money it would seem it should be a rather permanent building.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: My idea is that there will be only one permanent building that will be over there, and that will be the administration building, after the Exposition is over.

THE CHAIRMAN: And the hangars.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: If the members of the Board desire this break-down I can read it.



SUPERVISOR UHL: What is that?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Well, it gives you those items that go to make up the erection of the building. Now, somebody asked a question regarding the firemen. From July 1, 1938, to February 14, 1939, seven and a half months; two captains, two lieutenants, and 25 firemen; and from February 15, 1939, to June 30, 1939, four and a half months, one battalion chief, one chief's operator, three captains, four lieutenants and fifty firemen; and the equipment will be, two pumping engines, one high pressure hose wagon, two water tank apparatus, one chief's automobile, 8,000 feet of 2½-inch hose, 1,200 feet of 1½-inch hose, 1,000 feet of 1-inch hose, gas masks, tools, et cetera, and badges.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Is that the item of equipment?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: That is \$67,706, equipment. What is the pleasure of the Board, gentlemen?

THE CHAIRMAN: What is the pleasure of the Board?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: On which item?

THE CHAIRMAN: On the Mayor's supplemental request for \$218,420 for fire and police protection for the Exposition.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I move it be granted, that that be included in the budget.

SUPERVISOR MEYER: Second the motion.

THE CHAIRMAN: It has been moved and seconded that the supplementary budget of the Mayor be adopted.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Just a couple of questions: I fully realize it is the function of government to offer police and fire protection, and I think—I imagine the majority of the members of the Board feel the same way, but there are just one or two thoughts that come to my mind—namely, in the matter of police protection, 54 new men, does that mean 54 permanent men added to the police department? What is going to happen to these men after the Exposition is over?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: The answer to that is that 40 men go out by normal process each year. Isn't that the answer, Supervisor Shannon?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: That is correct.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: My thought is that if the police protection is going to cost \$57,000, why not appropriate an amount, say, \$50,000, for the use of the Exposition, and let them hire their own police officers, as the 1915 fair did. I just offer that as a suggestion.

MR. BOYD: The situation with regard to the 1915 exposition was this: The management of the 1915 exposition had an amendment to the Constitution of the State in 1912 to permit, in that one specific case, a gift of public funds, which otherwise is forbidden. If your present suggestion was considered it would mean that you were making a gift of public funds to a private corporation, and that you cannot do. It was done in 1915, true, through the medium of a constitutional amendment, which specifically made it possible for that one specific exposition, and that one specific year.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: The reason I ask that question, and the same applies to the Fire Department, is that several people have asked me if it is going to mean a net increase after the fair is over, in these two departments.

THE CHAIRMAN: The executives of the Police and Fire Departments are convinced that the net increase, if any, would be very

slight, for the reason that about that number of men go out of each of those departments each year, either by death, resignation or retirement.

**SUPERVISOR COLMAN:** In making that motion—I think the same applies to the fire and police, the second item that will come up—there are so many reasons why the Board should grant this request. First, it is a part of our own City, separated, it is true, by the bridge, which makes it all the more necessary that a headquarters be established over there on the Shoals for the purpose of fire and police protection. Perhaps it was not so urgent in 1915, when, after all, the reaching of the fair by engines, and police apparatus, was a much easier matter.

And if we go back to 1915, we will find that the people of San Francisco voted \$5,000,000 worth of bonds, which they turned over intact to the exposition. That \$5,000,000 cost another \$5,000,000 in interest, which brought our participation in 1915 actually up to \$10,000,000. It is true that we received the auditorium as a legacy from it, but I found out that the City paid \$250,000 for the granite in front of the auditorium.

Consider the difference in value for what we are going to get from this exposition as compared with the 1915 exposition. We have 400 acres of land over there, and I would hesitate to place a value upon that—\$10,000 or \$20,000 an acre, but in actual cost, including the buildings, there is over \$7,000,000 represented there, actual cash expenditures for the creation of the land, for the building of the administration building, and of the two hangars. That in itself makes it more than worth while for us in every possible way. We are receiving something of great value, that in the opinion of the Utilities Commission will be used as a permanent port by the Pan-American lines, and the Clipper ships will land and take off from the Shoals airport.

Now, the exposition people really have, in my opinion, kept their word with the Board, and I think they are fully within their rights to ask us to protect that fair from a fire and police standpoint. They have asked for no participation. After all, the building we are going to put up is something that we ourselves determined. It is our exposition, and we therefore should have something representing San Francisco, and the \$300,000, I am told, is only one-third of what the County of Los Angeles is going to construct for their exposition. So in view of the fact—and the increase in publicity is due greatly to the fact that the fair is going to be held here. Let's bear in mind a conservative estimate of the fair is that there will be \$240,000,000 spent in and around San Francisco, money spent where goodness knows it is going to be a great blessing, and something needed so badly. It will be a means of saving San Francisco, and it is going to be a very fine thing, and I think this contribution, if you call it a contribution, which I would not, is a very modest one, and will be paid in cash. It may be followed by something in next year's budget, but even if so, it is not at all out of line.

**SUPERVISOR UHL:** May I ask how it is that you now bring in the Pan-American Airways, when in Proposition Number Two, the Airport Bonds, was for the same purpose, when that argument was made for \$2,850,000 for the airport bonds?

**SUPERVISOR COLMAN:** I think everyone connected with, or knowing the aviation industry, realizes that changes are taking place in the arrangement and ideas all the time—

**SUPERVISOR SHANNON:** I rise to a point of order. We are discussing that appropriation for police and fire protection for Treasure Island, and my understanding is that these bonds were voted for the development of Mills Field and has no connection with this.



THE CHAIRMAN: The point of order is well taken.

SUPERVISOR UHL: How much is San Francisco going to spend for equipment that we will have as a permanent investment?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: We have those figures here—\$68,000 for equipment; \$67,706 for equipment for the Fire Department.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Mr. Chairman?

THE CHAIRMAN: Supervisor Brown.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Speaking about the fire and police protection of the exposition—is that the subject before us?

THE CHAIRMAN: That is correct, the Mayor's supplementary budget is before us, which provides for an appropriation for police and fire protection.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I would like to give the Board a few ideas as to why the protection is absolutely essential. In the first place, looking at it purely from a fair standpoint, the island, as we all know, is exposed to winds which blow through the Golden Gate, and which would materially add to the destructive ability of any fire that might take place on the island. Likewise, they would add to the speed with which the fire would spread if it should start. The island is approached by one roadway only. That roadway comes down from the Bay Bridge in a rather circuitous route, and for at least one-half of its total length the on-road and off-road are one structure. I think you can imagine what might happen should a fire take place at the Exposition. The first thing in everyone's mind would be to get off the island as quickly as possible, and there is no doubt that there would be thousands of people trying to fight their way up that single roadway. The difficulty of bringing apparatus from the mainland to fight fire on that exposition is sufficiently great as it is. They have had test runs from the engine house, and it has taken them about 12 minutes to get to the island. If you add to that the necessity of bucking the crowds of people that would be rushing off the island without any order or control to their departure, you would have a situation that would be very difficult to cope with. The possibilities for loss of life would be tremendous, to say nothing of the possibility for the loss of property. The only way in which such a condition can be rendered improbable is by the establishment on the island itself of adequate fire protection, equipment and personnel. Now, the City and County of San Francisco will, as the result of the Golden Gate International Exposition receive property which has cost upwards of \$7,000,000. They will receive a man-made island. They will receive the hangars and administration building which are on the island, and they will have first-class airport for future development. At the time of the 1915 Exposition in San Francisco the City contributed \$5,000,000 to that Exposition by means of a bond issue. The City has not been asked to make any such contribution in the Golden Gate Exposition now under way, and that the City should contribute the fire and police protection out there seems to me to be entirely reasonable under those circumstances. The amount in the tax rate is small compared to the benefits which we will receive; and the land is unquestionably a part of the property of the City and County of San Francisco, and even property on the island, even though exempt from taxation, is entitled to receive the physical protection of San Francisco's police and fire forces, just as other tax exempt property in San Francisco receives the protection of the fire and police forces.

The Exposition will be a wonderful thing for this City, and will go far toward rehabilitating the City of San Francisco in the opinion of the people of the United States, and the people of Northern California; and the amount we are asking for in this budget is certainly not disproportionate. Briefly, you have a physical return in the form

of an actual land and buildings return, which the City will receive at the end of the fair, and you have the obligation of the City to protect fire-wise and police-wise, its own territorial limits, and thirdly, you have the real danger from fire to the visitors unless adequate protection is provided, and I think there is no question that the City should follow the Mayor's recommendation.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Question on the motion.

THE CHAIRMAN: Call the roll.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Just a minute—Supervisor Uhl, did you want the floor?

THE CHAIRMAN: Supervisor McSheehy, you have the floor. You have not talked on this question.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Supervisor McSheehy, will you permit an interruption—

\* \* \* \* \*

THE CHAIRMAN: Supervisor McSheehy?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Now, Mr. President, you have before you the Mayor's budget, supplementary budget, and calling for an expenditure of \$218,400 for police and fire protection. I don't think there is a man on this Board that would object to that expenditure, except for the conditions it is being made under. There are three members of this Board who were not members when this Board had a very decisive fight as to the location of the fair. It was debated here for several weeks, and at one time it was 6 to 5 against Treasure Island. Then one member saw fit to change his mind, and it was 6 to 5 the other way. The great argument about putting the fair on the Shoals was that if it was put on Treasure Island we would have the cooperation of all the Bay counties, and San Francisco represents only about one-half of the population today of the surrounding Bay counties. But you are asking San Francisco, today, to shoulder the entire expense of the \$218,000 for fire protection on this island. Yes, if you want to say so, technically, it is San Francisco County. If you want to go a couple of hundred feet more it would be in Alameda County, but it is an island, a man-made island, and millions of dollars were spent by the government making the island. We have it today, and we have to make a success out of our Exposition, but members of the Board, I don't think it is fair to saddle the entire burden of this expense on the City and County of San Francisco. I don't think it is fair. We know Oakland, Alameda, Berkeley, and all of the Bay cities will receive benefits from this fair, and why saddle the whole burden over on San Francisco? At the time the vote was taken, I can read to you from transcripts, from one of our local papers, showing a positive promise made that there would be no cost to this City in reference to this entire matter. I very carefully prepared a report and submitted it to all, showing the conditions that would arise as were so well described by Supervisor Brown, in reference to the fair. We all know the conditions, we know gales do blow out there, running as high as 50 miles an hour, and we know if a fire should take place, with a gale blowing on that fair, that we would have a real conflagration. Some of us who went through the fire here know what happened some thirty years ago.

Now, members of the Board, I don't think the entire burden should be saddled on San Francisco, and no effort made whatsoever to place a part of it on the Bay counties. Now we represent San Francisco, we are elected to represent them, and, using an expression I have used time and time again, we sit here as a board of directors, directing the expenditure of this huge sum of money outlined in this budget. And yet, here comes one item on which we could make a saving. Has there ever been an effort made to have the counties across the Bay pay their pro rata? To my knowledge, I don't know.



I know it is hard for us to stand up here today, some of us, and say, no, we pass this financial recommendation. But on the other hand let's take the exposition company—whose responsibility is it? It is the company's responsibility. The president of that company is receiving \$25,000 a year as a salary. That is a rather nice salary for a gentleman who never had anything to do with an exposition before in his life. And we do know quite a number of men in reference to the exposition company who are receiving very nice salaries. I have no argument with that. Perhaps I would like to be one of that number. But I am here representing San Francisco. I have been elected by the people of San Francisco.

Now, members of this Board, why not have the exposition company shoulder this responsibility? They are making up a budget for the expenditure of various sums of money. It is all allotted, various types of buildings are being allotted, and everything pertaining to the exposition, and yet not a dollar has been allotted for police and fire protection. We will go to San Francisco, they say—Dear Old San Francisco, Santa Claus for the Bay region—she will contribute \$218,000. Isn't it high time that we, poor old Santa Claus, stop making these generous contributions in season and out of season? I doubt, Mr. Chairman, and members of the Board, that if this matter was submitted to the people of San Francisco, and they knew the conditions, whether they would ever allow us to make that expenditure. That expenditure belongs to the exposition company. They made a positive promise in reference to this matter. They knew when they had the island designated as the point for the exposition of the fire hazard. They knew that. Why come here today and say to the poor taxpayers of San Francisco, let's run the tax rate up three cents more. I know if you were to ask for something in reference to certain other matters pertaining to our city that the same people here today advocating this would do everything to stop us. We know that the down town interests have an obligation in San Francisco, and in season and out of season, anything that has for its purpose the raising of the tax rate, they tell you we are directly interested, we represent large taxpayers. I saw an article in the press in which His Honor, the Mayor, is quoted as saying in reference to a certain matter, no, I will not allow that, there will be a strike of the large taxpayers. Who is going to receive the benefit of this? Will the small taxpayer in the outlying districts receive the benefit? No, it will be the large taxpayer, and the large taxpayer is the same man who took care of placing this exposition on the island. Therefore, I feel it is the responsibility of the exposition company. Now, members, it is your vote here this afternoon that will say whether this expenditure should be made by the exposition company, or the people of San Francisco. If you should include it in your budget, of course it will be made by the people of San Francisco, but if you do not, it positively will be made by the exposition company. They will cut their cloth accordingly. They will find \$218,000 to provide for the proper equipment, and fire and police protection. Don't be fooled in this matter. Number one, you are allowing Alameda County, who are receiving benefits, not to pay one dollar; and number two, you are allowing the exposition company—relieving the exposition company from paying for something that should be a part of the exposition. San Francisco, good, dear, old San Francisco is taking it up once again, saying, yes, we will make this expenditure, we will contribute \$218,000. I hope, members, you will simply vote the item down, and it will be mandatory on the exposition to provide the necessary sums out of moneys that they are allotting for other purposes, and the exposition will go on just the same.

THE CHAIRMAN: Supervisor Ratto, will you take the Chair? I would like to make a statement on this matter.

(Thereupon Supervisor Ratto presided as Chairman.)

SUPERVISOR REILLY: Mr. Chairman, and members of the Board:

As a member of the finance committee, our Chairman, Supervisor Roncoviari, Supervisor Shannon, and myself, have a great deal of consideration to the supplementary budget request of Mayor Rossi. After considering it, and going into detail with this very important problem, I have prepared a statement, and in order that I am not misquoted I am going to ask the Board to indulge me while I read this statement.

The question before this board relative to including in its budget for the coming fiscal year the sum of \$521,282 to be used in connection with our Golden Gate International Exposition which is scheduled to open in 1939 is a matter of great importance and must be treated with the utmost seriousness by the members of this body.

Before giving my reasons for the inclusion of this item in our budget, let me briefly and in a general way sketch the background.

The dream of our pioneers and the vision of serious thinking men of other generations were brought to a successful conclusion by the building of our San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge and our Golden Gate Bridge. For years the projects were spoken of as mere creatures of thought and engineering abstractions. Whenever the feasibility of their erection was referred to by engineering experts, the reference was set aside or disregarded by the mere reply "It can't be done." Distance was involved; foundation solidarity or lack of it was mentioned; hazards to navigation were said to be controlling; and, above all, the millions to be invested were deemed to be inaccessible. However, when the faith, ability, foresight and responsibility of San Francisco were harnessed, we found that the obstacles referred to, while challenging, were easily overcome, and today, we have imposing structures known the world over and redounding to the glory of our great city.

Completion of the bridges demanded dedications in honor of the living and the dead who in their manhood had labored so efficiently in making the dream a reality. Our dedicatory celebrations are now matters of history and while they truly exemplified the spirit of San Francisco they were nevertheless transient and local in character. A few of our public spirited citizens originated the idea that the accomplishments of San Francisco in spanning our bay and Golden Gate were worthy of a celebration which would attract and compel the admiration of the world. An exposition or fair was spoken of and after a great deal of preliminary debate it was decided that an exposition should be held. Its location produced public discussion, with the result that that question was submitted to the electorate for decision and their vote determined the location as the bay shoals, where it now is.

The mandate of the people being expressed, the next step was the organization of a corporation, private in its legal aspect, and to be managed and controlled by responsible citizens of this municipality. Public subscriptions were called for and received. Federal and State agencies were called into play and with their funds and the private funds of the exposition corporation what was a few years ago a mere body of water became solid substantial dry land.

I wonder how many realize that at this very moment while San Francisco is being acclaimed the world over for its progressive spirit in constructing these bridges, and for its vision and courage in preparing for this great exposition, it has not been called upon and it has not been required to spend directly from its treasury one single penny toward the holding of this great event. True, San Francisco has invited nations, states, cities, municipalities, public corporations, private corporations and private individuals to send their exhibits, to erect their buildings, and to otherwise participate in the exposition. This conduct on our part is most laudable and I am sure that those nations, states, political subdivisions and individuals who do participate and who do invest their moneys in the exposition will be amply and liberally rewarded, but must we not ask ourselves now, as inevi-



tably the world will ask later on, what is San Francisco doing or going to do for its own fair? Must we be compelled to reply that we have given only lip service?

Gentlemen, that cannot and must not be the answer. The honor, integrity and reputation of San Francisco are at stake. These are matters which cannot be measured in dollars and cents, but they are nevertheless more controlling and more essential in keeping the decent respect of mankind than any material or monetary consideration.

Entirely apart from the question of honor, integrity and reputation, there is, to my mind, another and separate obligation resting upon this Board and upon this municipality which cannot be side-stepped, shifted, or evaded, and that is the legal responsibility placed upon San Francisco by State law. Before citing the statutes which are pertinent, let me by way of preliminary statement remind you that the exposition grounds are located within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco; that it is governed and controlled by a private corporation which has all the rights and privileges under the law of any other private person in our midst; that it has the right to expect governmental agencies, particularly Police and Fire Departments, to function and to give it service so that it may carry on its activities with a reasonable degree of peace and safety. Let us suppose that instead of holding an exposition, this private corporation were to engage in the manufacture of automobiles and did establish its place of business south of Market. Let us suppose further that it had invested millions of dollars in this automobile industry and that in the conducting of its business, whether it be by way of a dedicatory advertising celebration or routine display of transportation or mechanical activities, it should require police and fire service. Would this Board and would this municipality say to that private automobile concern "we will give you no police service, we will give you no fire service, you either pay and maintain such service yourself or go without it." Gentlemen, it may be in our discretion to so reply, but we would place ourselves and our municipality in the class of being penny wise and pound foolish, for the simple reason that if such a corporation because of lack of police protection or fire protection were exposed to the illegal conduct of mobs or rioters, San Francisco would be compelled to pay from its treasury sums in far greater amount than it would have expended in complying with the original, decent and reasonable demand.

Section 4452 of the Political Code reads as follows: "Every county and municipal corporation is responsible for injury to real or personal property situate within its corporate limits, due or caused by mobs or riots."

Section 4455 of the Political Code makes it mandatory upon the Supervisors to pay the amount of judgment recovered by reason of the violation of Section 4452 just quoted.

The corporation holding an exposition, the nations, states and individuals erecting buildings and placing their exhibits there, have the same right to call upon San Francisco for police and fire protection as the automobile concern to which I have referred, and it may be equally true that in our discretion we may now say to the exposition directors and to those participating in the fair, that we will not give them such service. Gentlemen, our risk and our responsibility is too great under the law to give such an answer. Bear in mind that millions of people will visit this fair; that we will have vehicular and pedestrian congestion; that we will have those criminally inclined mingling with our peaceful, law-abiding citizens and guests; that we will have those who take advantage of disorder and lack of police and fire facilities; that we must reasonably anticipate the danger to exposition properties from those in our midst who gain by criminal acts. Let us suppose that we refuse to furnish the necessary police and fire

protection then the exposition officials may well reply, "Very well, we will attempt to carry on exposition activities without police and fire protection from San Francisco, but if through the activity of mobs or rioters we suffer injury to our business or our property or the property of those participating, then we will look to you, San Francisco, to compensate us in damages." We who realize how very readily damages may run up to half a million or a million dollars as a result of mobs or riots, cannot set this argument aside by merely saying, "It cannot happen." The experience of every large city illustrates the point and it cannot be treated lightly in this case. Also let me remind you that any use of force or violence by two or more persons acting together and without the authority of law is a riot (see Section 405 of the Penal Code). Section 679 of that same code provides for the prevention of public offenses by forming police units and by requiring their attendance in exposed places. Consequently we are legally bound and it is mandatory upon us to furnish police and fire protection to this fair. If we do not provide for them in this budget, then we must take them from the department personnel now available. This will mean the taking of men from the mainland assignments and exposing our business men and residents to attack by the criminal element. Furthermore, the fair congestion will involve the mainland as well as the exposition grounds, and it will merely be robbing Peter to pay Paul to attempt to cope with the situation by taking our police and firemen from the mainland, thereby stripping essential posts in transferring man power to the island. Before concluding this aspect, I wish to say that we have no choice but to supply police and fire protection, unless we wish to run the risk of dishonor among nations, states and cities, and the risk of paying from our public treasury large sums in damages in the event of injury to buildings or property, done or caused by mobs or riots.

We have ample precedent for facing this situation with courage and determination. For our World's Fair in 1915 we voted five million dollars in bonds and this year we are making the final payment on this bonded indebtedness. Can it be said that our 1939 fair is of less importance to our City than the 1915 fair, notwithstanding the fact that since then we have grown substantially in population and wealth? Here we are asking not for five million dollars, but for \$521,282. Of that amount \$302,862 will go for a San Francisco exhibit and \$218,420 for fire and police protection. Our duty is so plain, our responsibility so serious, and the item so reasonable and so essential, that it is difficult to understand the casting of a negative vote.

By reason of my faith in San Francisco, my responsibility as an elected public officer and the dangers to be anticipated in case of denial of the services involved, I vote YES on the passage of this item.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Mr. President, may I ask the Supervisor, is that a statement that you have prepared in reference to this matter?

SUPERVISOR REILLY: That is a statement I prepared, and prepared myself, in reference to this matter.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: If there was no argument would that statement have been read?

\* \* \* \* \*

THE CHAIRMAN: Supervisor Uhl?

SUPERVISOR UHL: I am satisfied that each member of the Board knows exactly how he is going to vote, and is not going to be influenced in any way by what I might say, but nevertheless I do want to be of record on this matter.

Reference has been made to the \$5,000,000 bond issue San Francisco voted, but it was not stated that that \$5,000,000 has placed upon our



property rolls millions of dollars in property and improvements in the Marina. That will not be the case in this exposition, it is simply an island, and it has no connection with San Francisco, and they hope to get the Pan-American Airways over there.

Much has been said here about the San Francisco exposition, and let me read to you, if you please, from The Examiner of Friday, March 25, 1938, in which Mr. Cutler is quoted as follows: "At the same time I think it is very important that the Supervisors be impressed with the fact that the exposition is not a San Francisco enterprise, but a state project in which the state of California has contributed."

Mr. Cutler came before the Board on several occasions, and he made the definite promise, as head of that exposition company, that not one dollar would be requested of the taxpayers for the construction or operation of that exposition. Now when the Supervisors make a promise they stick to it, and I am asking Mr. Cutler and his associates to stick to his promise. Naturally, I have a feeling in this matter, because I was too deeply in earnest to see that exposition on our shores, in order that we would benefit, the same as we benefited from the 1915 exposition, in the improvement of properties in San Francisco through the means of the erection of the exposition; and I don't want you to forget another thing, that there was \$500,000 promised by the legislature, and ear-marked for our Cow Palace, to have that benefit accrue to San Francisco. Did we get it? We did not. That \$500,000 was absolutely ditched, and there stands our Cow Palace, and it is a reflection on the City and County of San Francisco that it is not completed, and won't be completed, whereas it should have been with the expenditure of the \$500,000 to be donated by the state of California.

I would like to ask this question: Is there any provision for taking one of the two fireboats and anchoring it over there?

**SUPERVISOR SHANNON:** Nothing was said about that.

**SUPERVISOR UHL:** I think there is a chance to help the exposition company out. There are 27 men on that boat, and that is a mighty fine complement of men. There is absolute protection, and if that has not been considered it should be considered, and tendered to the exposition company. As I said before, there is no use talking at great length. You have already made up your minds, and I know I am going to vote "No", because of the promise definitely made that our taxpayers were not going to be asked to contribute one dollar for the exposition.

**THE CHAIRMAN:** Supervisor Shannon.

**SUPERVISOR SHANNON:** My recollection of the statement made by Mr. Cutler at the time he appeared before the board was, that there would be no solicitation of funds for the support of the exposition from San Francisco; and I would like to bring Supervisor Uhl up to date on the opinion of the Examiner, and read to him from the editorial in this morning's Examiner. Sixty days have evidently opened the eyes of the Examiner.

"Included in the budget before the Supervisors today for final consideration is an appropriation of approximately \$220,000, to provide fire and police protection on Treasure Island during the 1939 Exposition. This amount—the least required for adequate protection—should and must be approved. To slash it would be both a foolhardy and a niggardly thing for the city to do. San Francisco gave \$5,000,000 for the 1915 Panama Pacific Exposition in 1915. Total appropriations planned for next year's World's Fair are only \$838,000. These include advertising and participation costs, as well as costs of police and fire protection. Surely San Francisco is as able now as in 1915 to give financial aid to an enterprise, which, primarily, will benefit this city. There should be no dispute over the exposition appropriations today—

no last minute attempts to slash these items. There would be no valid reason for such action."

As far as the added assessment roll in the Marina district, when that fair was started in 1915 that was a waste down there, and it remained a waste for several years after the exposition buildings were razed, and it was only through the foresight of some enterprising real estate men that the property was put upon the market. Of course, if you want to use the argument that the property over here has nothing adjacent to it that can be made available, there is no answer, but there is an answer in this: That the construction of the island which will cost \$3,803,900; a water system, \$468,000; storm sewer, \$240,000; sanitary sewers, \$100,000; permanent hangars, \$950,000; air terminal building, \$850,000; paving, \$500,000; permanent roadway on Yerba Buena Island, \$250,000; and building at 585 Bush street, \$165,000; and please don't forget that the equipment amounting to \$68,000 will be used in San Francisco's fire department as long as it will be in condition to be used.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Why don't you offer them separately?

THE CHAIRMAN: Call the roll.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Call the roll.

THE CHAIRMAN: Supervisor McSheehy?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I would like, for the sake of the record, because I feel as Supervisor Uhl does, that argument will do very little good—I think the members have their minds fairly well made up, but I am just going to read this:

"We quote from the San Francisco Chronicle dated, July 28, 1935: Statement of Mr. Leland Cutler, Chairman of the Board of Directors of the Golden Gate International Exposition, "'We have set out to build a fair without cost to the city.' And the same day, same news item, Chronicle: 'Mr. Cutler indicated that the City would not have to pay any part of the total, that is, the cost of the fair.'" Then from the Chronicle of July 24, 1935, the statement of Controller Leavy: "Under no circumstances will the City be asked to put up any of the money for the exposition. This has been made clear in our conferences on the subject." That is from our own Controller, who has passed away. Also quoting from the Chronicle, July 25, 1935: "In adopting the resolution authorizing the request for Federal aid, the Board cast aside the attempts of the obstructionists of the Shoals site after Cutler had promised the City and County of San Francisco would not be required to pay any part of the cost."

Now, gentlemen, as was said by Supervisor Uhl, I know a man doesn't remain on this Board any length of time that doesn't keep his word. We had the word of the exposition company, and I feel it is their obligation, and part of the obligation belongs to Alameda County. No effort has been made to have that county meet that part of the obligation, and in fact no effort has been made to have the company meet it, and the company should meet the obligation.

I don't think you can make a comparison between this exposition and the 1915 exposition. We all know we were in competition with other cities, and especially New Orleans, and we know that the Chamber of Commerce raised—and it was stated broadcast at the time, \$4,000,000, in two hours, something that had never been done, with no contribution by the City. Today we have a different situation—and I want to wish the exposition property every success in the world, and I have never attempted in any manner to have my minority report circulated around the City, I never attempted and will not do so, I want to see a success of the exposition, but I do honestly believe that this is their responsibility and not San Francisco's, and not the small taxpayers of San Francisco.

It is up to the Board, you are saddling this expenditure on to San



Francisco, and you have their promise it would not be, if this Board sanctioned the Shoals site, yet we in turn are allowing them to saddle this responsibility over on the City.

THE CHAIRMAN: Supervisor Schmidt.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Through the Chair, Supervisor Shannon, what is the total amount of expenditures made by the exposition as regards permanent improvements San Francisco is going to receive after the fair?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: \$7,326,000.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: That includes the sewer, water system, and so on?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I will read what Supervisor Shannon read to you: Construction of the island, \$3,803,900; water system, \$468,000; storm sewers, \$240,000; sanitary sewers, \$100,000; permanent hangars, \$950,000; air terminal building, \$500,000; permanent roadway on Yerba Buena Island, \$250,000; building at 585 Bush street, \$165,000; a total of \$7,326,900, which we get for nothing, merely for providing—well, equipment, \$67,000 which will never be lost, we will use that equipment in San Francisco, and \$300,000 for participating in the fair—and that is not giving any money, San Francisco should participate in the fair.

THE CHAIRMAN: What is the amount of our participation as regards the county building?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: \$302,000.

THE CHAIRMAN: What is Los Angeles County spending? Somebody said three times that?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: \$270,000, Mr. McIlveen said.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Since the Chronicle was quoted I would like to read an article, in this morning's Chronicle:

"The Supervisors should not hesitate to act on the Mayor's supplementary budget recommendation that the City provide fire and police protection for the Golden Gate International Exposition on Treasure Island. The City will be protecting not merely exposition property, but its own. The island, created out of the Bay bottom, is inside San Francisco's City limits. At the close of the exposition its land and improvements, representing an investment from \$7,500,000 to \$10,000,000, will be handed over to the City lock, stock and barrel. The City has the equipment and the facilities to give fire protection and can do it better than it can be done privately. What will be required chiefly is the additional men. If there were a serious fire on the island, San Francisco would have the chief burden in any event. The best way to guard against any serious hazard is to provide adequate protection."

The question on the motion.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Brown?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Aye.

THE CHAIRMAN: Supervisor McSheehy?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: May I ask at this time that a stenographic report of these proceedings be made a part of the record?

THE CHAIRMAN: Such will be the order if there is no objection.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Call the roll.

#### Mayor's Supplementary Budget for Fire and Police Protection at Exposition Adopted.

Whereupon the Roll was called and the Mayor's Supplementary Budget for fire and police protection at the exposition was *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—9.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Uhl—2.

MONDAY, MAY 23, 1938.

**Publicity and Advertising.**

The following was read by the Clerk:

May 18, 1938.

To the Honorable the Finance Committee, Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco:

Gentlemen: Pursuant to your request of May 13th for an itemized list of contemplated expenditures from the Publicity and Advertising Fund for the fiscal year 1938-39, I am attaching hereto a statement as to the contemplated expenditures.

You will appreciate that it is impossible to foresee many of the legitimate demands that will be made upon the Publicity and Advertising Fund for the coming fiscal year, but I have based the attached statement on an average of what has been expended for each item in the past two years, with the exception of convention subsidies. The four-cent limitation of our Publicity and Advertising Fund will not permit of subsidizing conventions to the extent of what would be most advantageous to San Francisco.

Should exigencies demand, it will be necessary to change some of the tentative allocations herein contained, and I am confident you will recognize that some leeway is essential in this regard.

Respectfully submitted,

ALFRED J. CLEARY,

Chief Administrative Officer.

By (Signed) MARIAN T. FETT,  
Confidential Secretary.

**Contemplated Publicity and Advertising Expenditures  
Fiscal Year 1938-1939**

*Holidays to Be Observed*

Fourth of July	
Admission Day	
Columbus Day	
Armistice Day	
Thanksgiving Day Services	
Christmas Services	
Lincoln's Birthday	
Washington's Birthday	
St. Patrick's Day	
Mother's Day	
Memorial Day .....	Total \$ 10,754

*Special Events to Be Included in 1938-39 Budget*

- Constitution Day
- Cabrillo Day
- American Legion Convention
- California Cattlemen's Association
- California Wool Growers Association
- Central Valley Project Association
- Lodi Grape and Wine Festival
- Music Week
- National Defense Observance
- Navy Day
- Ninety-first Division Celebration
- Public Links Championship Tour
- Salinas Rodeo
- Sacramento State Fair
- Tournament of Roses
- Shut-In Day
- Furniture Mart (two meetings)
- Retail Grocers Association Food Show
- New York Exposition



Citizens Beautiful Committee  
 Entertainment of Distinguished Guests  
 Grand Stands  
 Representing San Francisco at various events  
 Reception to the Fleet ..... Total \$ 56,618

#### 1938-1939 Commitments

Californians, Inc. ....	\$15,000
League of California Municipalities.....	625
Redwood Empire .....	20,000
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce.....	70,000
San Francisco Convention and Tourist Bureau.....	65,000
San Francisco Junior Chamber of Commerce.....	6,500
San Francisco Museum of Art.....	12,000
United States Conference of Mayors.....	3,500
Alameda Airbase .....	10,000
	Total \$210,125

#### Miscellaneous

Paid advertisements and editorials in various publications \$ 12,950  
 Unforeseen contingencies to and including May..... 7,012

#### Conventions

336 contemplated conventions authorized by the Convention and Tourist Bureau..... 21,721  
 Grand Total \$319,180

#### Finance Committee's Recommendation.

Supervisor Shannon moved an amendment to the Mayor's budget of \$319,180 for Publicity and Advertising Fund, to read \$311,680, deleting therefrom \$7,500 for State Chamber of Commerce.

#### San Francisco Art Museum.

Supervisor Uhl objected to allotment of \$12,000 to San Francisco Museum of Art, stating that comes under the War Memorial and that proposed appropriation was in addition to the money provided for maintenance of museum from War Memorial appropriation.

#### Motion.

Supervisor Uhl moved to delete that item, \$12,000, and he requested that the Chief Administrative Officer be sent for to discuss the matter.

Supervisor Uhl objected to item of \$12,950 for paid advertisements and editorials in various publications.

#### Discussion.

Chief Administrative Officer Alfred J. Cleary, being sent for, appeared and pointed out to the Board that items in proposed set-up of Advertising and Publicity Appropriation were only tentative. He defended both items questioned, stating that San Francisco needed the Museum of Art, which was already attracting nation-wide attention and giving the City a great deal of favorable publicity; the item for paid advertisements and editorials in various publications was, in effect, to subsidize special editions of San Francisco's four daily newspapers—the most effective advertising that money could buy.

Thereupon, Supervisor Uhl stated that he would go along with the item of \$12,950 for paid advertisements and editorials, but not with the appropriation for the San Francisco Museum of Art, calling attention to charge for admission to the museum for which it was proposed to make the appropriation of \$12,000.

Supervisor Colman called attention to the fact that the overwhelming majority of exhibitions at the museum were free, and that many of our citizens and visitors were taking advantage of visiting the

museum in the evening, which they could not do at the California Palace of the Legion of Honor.

Supervisor Uhl repeated his objection to the appropriation, but made no motion, however, for its elimination.

#### **Appropriation for California State Chamber of Commerce.**

Supervisor Colman objected to deletion of \$7,500 for State Chamber of Commerce, as recommended by the Finance Committee.

#### **Motion.**

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Publicity and Advertising Appropriation be amended by the deletion of \$7,500.

Thereupon, Supervisor Shannon again announced that that was the recommendation of the Finance Committee, which had already moved to amend by changing the appropriation, to read \$311,680.

#### **Motion Lost.**

Subsequently in the evening session after further discussion, the roll was called on recommendation of the Finance Committee, to delete \$7,500 for State Chamber of Commerce and same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

#### **Recreation Commission Budget.**

##### **Privilege of the Floor.**

Supervisor Shannon requested the privilege of the floor for Miss Josephine Randall, Secretary to the Recreation Commission, who stated at length the views of the Commission with respect to its budget requests and stating that if funds could be made available several playgrounds, including the children's area in Crocker Amazon and Rossi Playground, could be opened during the coming fiscal year.

#### **Motion.**

Supervisor Colman moved that an increase of \$12,600 in the Budget for the Recreation Commission be made to enable the operation of playgrounds that are now ready for opening.

#### **Amendment to Motion.**

Supervisor Colman moved to amend his motion to change the \$50,000 item (line 19, sheet 12, index 13) to \$62,600, the additional \$12,600 being for land purchase, Sigmund Stern Grove (line 16, sheet 12, index 13).

Motion seconded by Supervisors Uhl and Reilly.

#### **Amendment to Amendment.**

Supervisor Uhl moved, as an amendment to the amendment, that the sum of \$5,000 be added to item for purchase of land for Bayview, \$5,000 to Upper Noe Valley, \$10,000 to Eureka Valley, \$10,000 for Haight-Ashbury, in addition to the \$12,600 for Nineteenth Avenue and Sloat boulevard property (Sigmund Stern Grove), making a total of \$42,600.

Seconded by Supervisor McSheehy.

#### **Discussion.**

Supervisor Shannon explained the attitude of the Finance Committee, stating that so much was owing to these projects that the added \$5,000 or \$10,000 would not mean a thing. The addition of the \$12,600, however, would permit the opening of playgrounds that were now ready for operation.



Miss Randall, in reply to question by Supervisor Schmidt, stated that with the additional \$12,600, by eliminating certain improvements, the Commission would be able to operate summer playgrounds. She agreed that between the purchase of additional lands for playgrounds, however desirable, the operating of those now completed was more important.

#### Amendment to the Amendment Defeated.

Whereupon, the roll was called on the amendment to the amendment and the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Schmidt, Uhl—5.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

#### Motion as Amended Carried.

Whereupon, the roll was called on the original motion, as amended, and the motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Noes—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

#### County Road Fund.

The County Road Fund was taken up for consideration.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. William J. Varley was granted the privilege of the floor, and urged appropriation from County Road Fund which would enable the completion of the widening of Geneva avenue from Mission street to Bayshore boulevard.

#### Motion.

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Colman, moved that an additional item for Geneva avenue widening, \$10,000, be set up in sheet No. 1, index No. 48, and that item on line 22, sheet No. 1, index No. 48, unallocated, \$19,831, be amended to read \$9,831.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### Reconstruction of Ninth Street, Market Street to Division Street.

Supervisor Uhl called attention to item on line 12, sheet 1, index 77, Ninth street, Market street to Division street, reconstruction, \$84,000, and inquired if the Market Street Railway could put in new car tracks and do its part of paving on that street, to which City Engineer John J. Casey replied that if past history is any evidence, the City will have to reconstruct Ninth street, but the company will pay its portion of the cost.

#### RECESS.

Thereupon Supervisor Uhl moved that the Board recess, to reconvene at 8 p. m.

There being no objection, the Board, at the hour of 6:40 p. m., recessed until 8 p. m.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.

**MONDAY, MAY 23, 1938, 8 P. M.**

The Board of Supervisors reconvened at 8 p. m., pursuant to recess.

**CALLING THE ROLL.**

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Reilly presided at the request of President Shannon.

Supervisor McSheehy was noted present at 9 p. m.

**Salaries of Crafts Affiliated with Building and Construction Trades Council.**

Discussion of salaries of crafts affiliated with Building and Construction Trades Council, previously temporarily postponed, was resumed.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

At the request of James E. Ricketts, Andrew J. Gallagher, representing the Building and Construction Trades Council, was granted the privilege of the floor. Mr. Gallagher urged that the Board adopt the salary standardization schedule as recommended by the Civil Service Commission and to be amended by the Board of Supervisors to include the laborers, or relative classes and that no increases in wages be allowed during the coming fiscal year except that those employees receiving less than the minimum shall be increased to an amount half way between the present salary and the minimum fixed in schedule.

**Motion.**

Thereupon, Supervisor Mead offered the following motion: I move that the Board recommend the adoption of salary standardization schedule as recommended by the Civil Service Commission, and to be amended by the Board of Supervisors to include the laborers, or relative classes, providing, however, that in adopting the said schedules no increase in wages shall be allowed during the coming fiscal year except that those employees now receiving less than the minimum fixed in the said schedule shall be increased to an amount half way between the present salary and the minimum fixed in the said schedule.

Action *deferred temporarily.*

**Discussion.**

After considerable discussion, Supervisor Roncovieri suggested that the motion was out of order and that the Board should finish its consideration of the Budget.

**Equipment, Department of Public Works.**

The Board took up Equipment, Department of Public Works, Sheet 3, Index 42, lines 24 and 25, for consideration.

Supervisor Uhl stated that Mr. Lloyd of the Market Street Association had contacted the Pacific Telegraph and Telephone Company, the Market Street Railway and the Pacific Gas and Electric Company in



relation to purchase of equipment, and that these companies considered equipment as capital investments.

In reply to question by Supervisor Roncovieri, the Controller stated that the Purchaser of Supplies had reported that the city could get along for another year with the present equipment.

However, Mr. Preston King, in reply to inquiries, stated that it would be only by virtue of continual repairs, if at all, that the present equipment would last for another year.

#### Motion.

Whereupon, Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved that there be placed in the Budget, line 24, Index 42, \$23,400 for motor flusher and on line 24, same Index, \$24,000 for pick-up trucks.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Colman objected to the motion, stating that present equipment could be used for another year.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

At the request of Supervisor Ratto, the privilege of the floor was granted Mr. Taylor of the Market Street Association, who urged that the appropriation be provided in the Budget, stating that San Francisco has very dirty streets and that he did not believe there was a sum of money that could be spent more efficiently to advertise the city than this. It would be an expenditure, he considered, that the city could well afford and one it could not afford not to make.

#### Motion Not in Order.

Chair ruled that the motion was not in order on the ground the purchase of equipment was not a capital expenditure, but rather a replacement of existing equipment.

#### Appeal From Decision of the Chair.

Whereupon, Supervisor Uhl appealed from the decision of the Chair.

At the request of the Chair, Supervisor Shannon put the question, "Shall the decision of the Chair be the decision of the Board? A vote 'Aye' sustains the Chair; a vote 'No' overrules the Chair."

#### Chair Overruled.

Thereupon the Chair was *overruled* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

#### Further Discussion.

Further discussion was had on original motion, that appropriation be set up to provide for purchase of motor flusher and pick-up trucks. Upon being questioned by Supervisor Brown, the City Attorney stated that if proposed new equipment was not to replace any present equipment, it would be a capital investment.

Thereupon, Supervisor Shannon asked the Controller, through the Chair, that in the event the Board should pass the motion under discussion, if he, the Controller, would recognize a demand for the money for the purchase of the equipment, to which the Controller replied that he would not without the consent of his attorney, and that he was satisfied what his answer would be, for he, the Controller, had already consulted with him.

Supervisor Shannon thereupon stated his understanding of the motion to be, that, according to statement by Mr. Preston King, that

present equipment would be stored for standby service, if and when necessary, but would otherwise be used to replace equipment now in service, to which the City Attorney gave his opinion that in that case, the appropriation could not be considered as capital investment.

#### Point of Order Raised.

Supervisor Shannon raised a point of order that the motion under discussion was out of order.

*Chair ruled point of order well taken.*

Subsequently, during the proceedings the subject matter was again taken up.

#### Street Cleaning Equipment.

Supervisor Uhl renewed his motion to include in the Budget appropriation of \$23,400 for motor flusher and \$24,000 for pick-up trucks.

#### Motion Ruled Out of Order.

*Chair ruled the motion out of order.*

#### Appeal From Decision of the Chair.

Whereupon, Supervisor Uhl appealed from the decision of the Chair.

#### Chair Overruled.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon, at the request of the Chair, put the question and the Chair was *overruled* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Colman again opposed the appropriation. Mr. Lloyd Taylor, representing the Market Street Association, advocated the appropriation.

#### Motion Carried.

The roll was called and the motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

#### County Road Fund.

#### Motion.

Thereupon, Supervisor Shannon stated that the Board was considering the County Road Fund just before recessing, and moved that consideration of the County Road Fund appropriations be continued.

No objection being offered, it was *so ordered*.

#### Resumption of Consideration of County Road Fund.

Thereupon the following communication from the Associated General Contractors was read by the Clerk:

May 18, 1938.

*San Francisco Budget 1938-39 County Roads  
Appropriation Nos. 845, 846, 847, 848*

*Index 45 to 48, Inclusive*

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: Herewith, we submit our detailed Budget recommendations covering expenditures of the 1-cent County Road Fund (Gas Tax)



and Motor Vehicle Funds. These recommended expenditures are in strict accordance with section 72 of the Charter, which permits your Honorable Board to *increase or insert appropriations for capital expenditures and public improvements.*

Your attention is called to the estimated contributions of the motorists to the (1-cent Gas Tax) County Road Fund and Motor Vehicle Fund for 1938-39, which will aggregate, in our opinion, approximately \$1,254,114. Your attention is also directed to the proposed allocation of \$135,981, which allows approximately 10 per cent of this one and one-quarter million dollars of motorists' contributions to be expended on streets and related projects.

Your Board and the taxpayers are again reminded that since 1923 over \$1,000,000 yearly has been used from these same motorists' contributions to reduce taxes, which tax reduction amounts to about 14 cents in each yearly tax rate. In using motorists' contributions to reduce taxes, street construction and other related activities have been neglected at a tremendous cost and sacrifice to the motorist. Furthermore, the huge investment of this City and County in its 670 miles of paved streets has long been overlooked and numerous streets of this City have been allowed to deteriorate on account of insufficient appropriations from these motorists' contributions, which funds were willingly donated on the assumption that full value would be received therefrom.

Your especial attention must be directed to the proposed allocation of \$265,000 to bond interest and redemption, which is in excess of all the previous years' proposed allocations by \$65,000. We maintain that this yearly allocation to bond interest and redemption from motorists' contributions has been, and still is, in direct violation of the Charter, section 78, which provides: "*Revenue to meet current annual interest . . . shall always be provided out of the tax levy.*" This Board should not, in any event, countenance this increase of \$65,000, and, in fact, this entire proposed expenditure of \$265,000 should be definitely included in the tax levy, unless the foregoing Charter provision is to be considered lightly or disregarded entirely.

With these observations, which are supplemented hereafter in detail, we most emphatically request that the motorists' contributions (1-cent and Motor Vehicle Funds) in this budget of 1938-39 be allotted so that approximately one-half of the total contributions of \$1,254,114 be expended on street construction and related projects, in the amount of \$670,356.

This proposed expenditure of \$670,356, if adopted by this Honorable Board, would, in a measure, indicate to the motorist that he is being "met half-way" and not entirely ignored, as has been the case in the past.

Respectfully submitted,

WM. D. COUGHLIN,  
Special Representative.

*Budget Analysis and Recommendations Submitted by Northern California Chapter, Associated General Contractors of America, 206 Sansome Street, San Francisco, California.*

Item No. 1—Sheet No. 1, Index No. 45, Budget page 26A, Appropriation No. 845, \$384,581, Street Repair Department: This is the annual maintenance cost for the operation of the Street Repair Department under Director Worden. While maintenance work must be accomplished to repair or make streets usable, this work, in order to prove fully effective, must be also accompanied by additional or supplemental work on projects exceeding \$1,000, which permits of substantial and lasting construction; and after this latter type of work is once accomplished, the maintenance funds will go farther and permit of more expenditures for upkeep, which is so sorely needed in certain sections of our city.

Item No. 2—Sheet No. 1, Index No. 46, Budget page 26A, Appropriation No. 846, \$63,196, Bridges (Streets)—This is the current and annual operating cost of bridges in this City and County, particularly on Third street.

Item No. 3—Sheet No. 1, Index No. 45, Budget pages 26A and 27A, Appropriation No. 847, \$428,106, General—Section No. 1622 of the Streets and Highways Code, as amended, Statutes 1937, Chapter 318, reads as follows:

“All amounts paid to each county, out of money derived from motor vehicle fuel license taxes and vehicle registration license fees imposed by the State, shall be deposited in a ‘special road improvement fund’ which each board of supervisors shall establish for that purpose. Except as otherwise provided in this article, such money shall be expended by the county receiving it *exclusively* for the acquisition of real property or interests therein, or the *construction, maintenance or improvement of highways, bridges or culverts in that county.*”

In the following list of separate items, all of which are combined to make the \$428,106 (General) County Road allocations, we indicate below our recommendations and reasons for requesting that the entire expenditure of \$428,106 be utilized on a basis of competitive bidding under Section 95 of the Charter in performing construction and related projects:

Boulevard lighting ..... \$ 40,000

Section 1622 of the Streets and Highways Code, “... *the construction, maintenance or improvement of highways, bridges or culverts*” does not appear to permit this questionable expenditure.

Bond interest and redemption..... \$265,000

Increased \$65,000 over preceding years, and, further, directly opposes Charter provision, Section 78 (second paragraph), which reads: “Revenue to meet current annual interest and redemption or sinking funds for outstanding bonds *shall always be provided out of the tax levy.*” This Charter provision is exceptionally clear, and, undoubtedly, restricts expenditure on this item.

To sustain further our position that the foregoing expenditure is of questionable legality, we quote, in part, from the opinion of City Attorney John J. O’Toole, as rendered on October 6, 1932, which opinion is also attached hereto:

“The purposes of a Charter of a County or a City and County are to permit that County or City and County to operate under a *Home Rule* and to conduct its affairs without interference from general State laws. Undoubtedly the State could in allotting to the various counties a proportionate share of the gas tax collected on gasoline have provided how and in which particular branch of the County or City and County government the money so allocated shall be expended, but it has not seen fit to attach such a condition to the allotment except to provide that it shall be paid into a County Road Fund and used for the specific purpose of improving streets, etc.

“Under these conditions I am of the opinion that the moneys received from this particular source *must be expended as provided in our Charter*, that is by the (SF) Department of Public Works, and all contracts payable from this fund must be made and the amounts specified in such contracts *must be paid as provided in the Charter.*”

In addition, therefore, to the Charter language (Section 78) that “revenue to meet current . . . interest and redemption . . . *shall always be provided out of the tax levy,*” the City Attorney has seen fit to advise this Board of Supervisors previously that Gas Tax Funds “*must be expended as provided in our Charter.*” We must certainly be guided by this advice, and the Board should take action to



prevent now the further contravention of Charter provisions, by allocating this item of \$265,000 to construction and related purposes.

Real Estate Dept. Services ..... \$ 1,000  
This item could well be included in the tax rate.

Traffic Dept. Electricity .....	\$ 5,000
Traffic Police Dept. Curbs.....	10,000
Traffic Stop and Go Signals.....	25,000
Traffic Striping .....	20,000
Traffic Directional Signs .....	5,000
Traffic Maintenance and Replace Control Devices.....	10,000
Traffic Division Design Engr. ....	10,606
Street Signs—New and Repair .....	10,000
	<hr/>
	\$ 95,606

None of the aforementioned \$95,606 conform to the provisions of Section 1622, Streets & Highways Code, which provides expenditures for "*The Construction, Maintenance or Improvement of Highways*," and these expenditures, however desirable, should be included in the tax rate and this entire amount of \$95,606 should be expended for construction and related projects.

Sunset Boulevard Maintenance .....	\$ 21,100
Boulevard Tree Maintenance .....	5,400

These items are clearly under the control of the Park Commission and should be included in their Budget; furthermore, this is another expenditure of questionable legality, according to Section 1622 of the Streets & Highways Code, which provides expenditures for "*The Construction, Maintenance or Improvement of Highways*."

Item No. 4—Sheet No. 1, Index No. 48, Budget page 27A, Appropriation No. 848, \$243,231, Street Construction: The title "street construction" is somewhat of a misnomer in this case, as the following items are allocated for the purposes listed:

Joint Highway District No. 9.....	\$ 41,250
-----------------------------------	-----------

This expenditure is to cover certain proportionate share of highway work in connection with adjoining counties, and should be included in the tax rate.

Joint Highway District No. 10.....	\$ 34,500
------------------------------------	-----------

Covers land purchases, and should be included in the tax rate.

Richardson Avenue—planting .....	\$ 4,500
----------------------------------	----------

This item should also be under the control of the Park Commission and should be included in that budget; furthermore, this is another expenditure of questionable legality and distinctly violates the Streets and Highways Code, Section 1622, which provides expenditures for "*The construction, maintenance or improvement of highways*."

Engineering Studies—Special Improvements.....	\$ 20,000
Engineering—Bridge and Street Structures.....	4,000

This item, which under normal conditions should be included in the tax rate, we do not question or object to, as an expenditure which provides future developments.

Sand Removal—Sunset District .....	\$ 3,000
------------------------------------	----------

This item is clearly a violation of the Streets and Highways Code, Section 1622, which provides expenditures for "*The construction, maintenance or improvement of highways*."

---

\$107,250

All of the foregoing \$107,250 should be definitely expended in street construction, and not for purposes listed, with the single exception of \$24,000 for engineering studies, etc.

The following allocations legally and definitely conform to the general intent and purpose for which gas tax funds are provided, and we endorse these items without qualification:

Work in front of City property.....	\$ 10,000
Lloyd-Scott-Castro .....	2,000
Congdon-Trumbull-Alemaný .....	3,600
Cortland and Elsie .....	1,250
Adam and Eve—City aid (property acquisition also).....	12,400
Lynch-Hyde-Leavenworth .....	1,500
Coso-Winfield-Shotwell .....	12,000
Homestead-Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth .....	3,000
Twelfth avenue—Kirkham-Lawton .....	5,400
Parker avenue—Turk to Anza .....	65,000
Unallocated .....	19,831

Street construction, as properly listed..... \$135,981

Item No. 5—\$135,000—Yearly installment with interest, moneys borrowed for relief from the State of California: This item, while not set up in the Budget, is nevertheless automatically deducted by the State of California under the Relief Bond Issue of 1933. *This type of expenditure, we maintain, represents a direct diversion of motorists' revenue for relief purposes; and the City, in fairness, should offset this item by a proportionate tax levy.*

\* \* \* \*

Summarizing our recommendations, we urge that your Honorable Board adopt the following procedure:

No. 1—The Maintenance Funds of the Bureau of Street Repairs ..... \$384,581  
and Bridge Operations ..... 63,196

Be adopted by your Board, as recommended by Mayor Rossi, but supplemented, however, with additional funds for *new construction*, as hereafter indicated. \$447,777

No. 2—The Street Construction program, covering 11 items as herein listed, as recommended by Mayor Rossi, should also be adopted by the Board of Supervisors..... 135,981

We urge approval as recommended by Mayor Rossi..... \$583,758

No. 3—The Street Construction program (County Road Fund) as listed in the Budget specifies allocations of...\$243,231 but inasmuch as only 11 items as hereinabove listed cover actual construction..... 135,981

we urge that the remainder of this allotment..... 107,250  
be expended for actual construction and related purposes.

No. 4—The entire fund as budgeted to "General" (County Road) be expended for construction of streets and related purposes ..... 428,106

No. 5—The yearly installment for Relief Bonds should be provided for in the regular tax levy, and street construction and related purposes should be the recipient of this payment amounting to..... 135,000

This amount is *not shown in the Budget*, but is de-



ducted from State funds automatically if no provision is made for payment through the tax rate.

We respectfully request that funds contributed by the motorists with the *hope of a commensurate return* be provided for street construction and related projects in the amount of ..... \$670,356

Mayor's recommendations which we approve.....\$583,758

A. G. C. recommendations: additional funds which should supplement maintenance funds and be used for street construction and related purposes..... 670,356

Total estimated gas tax and motor vehicle subventions for the fiscal year 1938-39 .....\$1,254,114

Respectfully submitted,

WM. D. COUGHLIN,  
Special Representative.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Wm. D. Coughlin, special representative of the Northern California Chapter of the Associated General Contractors, was granted the privilege of the floor and explained in detail the communication previously read.

#### Motion.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved that appropriation on line 16, Sheet 1, Index 48, Engineering Studies—Special Improvements, be deleted.

Supervisor Shannon explained that part of the Engineering Studies is for a tunnel east of Van Ness avenue. Other Engineering Studies are also contemplated.

#### Motion Defeated.

Whereupon, the roll was called and the motion was *defeated* by the following vote:

Aye—Supervisor Uhl—1.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—9.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### Consideration of Wage Scales and Salary Standardization.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Andrew J. Gallagher being granted the privilege of the floor, repeated his statements previously made, affirming that salary standardization could be adopted without raising the tax rate for the coming fiscal year if his proposed motion, as made by Supervisor Mead, were to be adopted. Mr. Gallagher contended that the Board, in adopting the motion presented, would be making a start on salary standardization.

#### Renewal of Motion for Adoption of Salary Standardization.

Supervisor Mead renewed his motion as follows: I move that the Board recommend the adoption of salary standardization schedule as recommended by the Civil Service, and to be amended by the Board of Supervisors to include the laborers, or relative classes, providing, however, that in adopting the said schedules no increase in wages shall be allowed during the coming fiscal year except that those employees now receiving less than the minimum fixed in the said schedule shall be

increased to an amount half way between the present salary and the minimum fixed in the said schedule.

### Objections.

Supervisor Colman objected to the motion, stating that any possible saving from unfilled positions during the coming fiscal year should not be used for any salary increases.

### Point of Order.

Supervisor Shannon rose to the point of order that the matter of salary standardization was in the hands of the Finance Committee.

Supervisor Mead thereupon stated he wished to call the salary standardization schedule out from Finance Committee immediately for the purpose of making proposed amendment.

After discussion as to right to call a matter out of committee for immediate consideration, the Chair ruled that the Supervisor was within his rights in so calling out the matter.

### Opinion From City Attorney.

City Attorney O'Toole in answer to a question by Supervisor Uhl, stated that it was his opinion that the Board could not defer the time when the full standardization would become effective.

Supervisor Shannon objected to the adoption of Supervisor Mead's motion. Mr. Henderson, representing the Civil Service Commission, also voiced objection to the motion.

### Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. William Nanry, on motion of Supervisor Colman, was heard and stated it was his opinion that the Charter indicated that any amendment to the salary standardization schedule must be made by ordinance. To support his contention he read from Section 151 of the Charter.

Supervisor Reilly thereupon asked of the City Attorney whether salary standardization could be put into effect by motion or must be done by ordinance. The City Attorney replied that it was his opinion that the adoption of the salary standardization schedule must be by ordinance.

### Motion Ruled Out of Order.

Thereupon, the Chair ruled Supervisor Mead's motion *out of order*.

### Emergency Reserve Fund.

After a brief discussion, Supervisor Uhl moved to reduce the Mayor's Emergency Reserve Fund from \$200,000 to \$150,000.

Supervisor McSheehy stated that he would second the motion, provided it be not intended to eliminate from the proposed set-up an item of \$9,000 for milk, to which Supervisor Uhl agreed.

Supervisor Shannon opposed the reduction of appropriation for the Emergency Reserve Fund, pointing out that all department requests had been cut to the bone, and undoubtedly many requests for funds for needed functions would be made during the coming year.

### Motion Lost.

Whereupon, the roll was called and the motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Uhl—2.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—9.



### Salaries for Park Laborers.

Mr. McLaughlin, representing San Francisco Municipal Employees' Park Union, addressed the Board, urging salaries for park employees be increased to \$6.50 per day. He stated that many laborers work for \$2.50, \$3.50 and \$4 per day under classification as apprentice laborers.

The City Attorney, in reply to Supervisor Uhl, stated that the Board had no power to act in the matter.

### Transportation.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Board appropriate \$20,000 for a complete traffic survey for the Municipal Railway.

### Motion Out of Order.

The City Attorney advised the Board that the motion was out of order, and Supervisor Uhl did not press it.

### Richmond Emergency Hospital.

Supervisor Uhl moved that there be placed in the Budget, appropriation of \$5,000 for equipment for Richmond Emergency Hospital.

*No second.*

### Conservatory, Golden Gate Park.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that \$20,000 be placed in the Budget for improvements at the Conservatory in Golden Gate Park.

*No second.*

The City Attorney ruled that above proposed appropriation was not a capital investment.

Thereupon, Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Mead, moved that an appropriation of \$20,000 be placed in the Budget for building of an addition to the Conservatory.

### Motion Lost.

Whereupon, the roll was called and motion *lost* by the following vote:

Aye—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

### Car and Auto Painters.

### Privilege of the Floor.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, the privilege of the floor was granted Mr. John Dailey, who stated that there was one item in the Budget that would have a material effect when the Board comes to pass the Annual Salary Ordinance. He referred to Item 2, Sheet 3, Index 65, 9 Car and Auto Painters, at \$9 per day, total recommendation of the Mayor, \$22,599. He stated that the Budget request was for \$10 per day, totaling \$22,770. This represented a decrease in salary from that of last year.

Supervisor Uhl inquired of the City Attorney whether it was within the jurisdiction of the Board and the Mayor to cut the rate of pay from \$10 to \$9 per day, to which the City Attorney replied that he thought the Mayor could.

Thereupon, Mr. Dailey stated that the Board could fix the rate at \$10, but leave the amount in the Budget at \$22,588.

*No action.*

**Adoption of Budget Estimates.**

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Ratto, moved adoption of Budget.

**Explanation of Vote.**

Supervisor McSheehy announced that he would vote "No" on adoption of Budget and Annual Appropriation Ordinance, and on final passage of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance, he would submit his reasons, in writing, for so voting.

**Adopted.**

Whereupon, the roll was called on the adoption of the Budget and the same *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Passed for Second Reading.****Annual Appropriation Ordinance.**

Thereupon, Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Roncovieri, moved passage of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance, as follows:



BILL NO. 1576, ORDINANCE NO. 9.051477 (Code No. 9.051), as follows:

AN ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING ALL ESTIMATED RECEIPTS AND  
ALL ESTIMATED EXPENDITURES FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF  
SAN FRANCISCO FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1939.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The several amounts of estimated receipts, income and revenue enumerated herein are hereby provided for and appropriated to the several funds and/or departments indicated in this ordinance for the purpose of meeting appropriations herein provided.

Section 2. The several amounts of proposed expenditure for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1939, are hereby appropriated to the several funds and/or departments as enumerated herein. Each department for which an expenditure appropriation is herein made shall be authorized to use the amount of moneys so appropriated for the purposes specified in this appropriation ordinance, subject to the limitations of the Charter and as provided herein.

Section 3. The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to set up appropriate accounts, as provided by Section 76 of the Charter, for the items of receipts and expenditures appropriated herein.

Where revenues for any fund or department are herein provided by transfer from any other fund or department, or where a duty or a performance has been transferred from one department to another, the Controller is authorized to make the necessary transfer; provided further, that where revenues for any fund or department are herein provided by transfer from any other fund or department in consideration of departmental services to be rendered, in no event shall such transfer of revenue be made in excess of the actual cost of such service; provided further, that in no event shall transfers from utility surplus exceed the limitations set forth in Section 129 of the Charter.

The Controller shall make available the amount of actual receipts for items of proposed expenditures that are designated herein as appropriated for the use of a department to the extent that fees or other revenues are collectible.

Section 4. The several items of expenditures herein appropriated, being based on estimated receipts, income or revenues which may not be fully realized, it shall be incumbent upon the Controller to establish a schedule of allotments, monthly or quarterly as he may determine, under which the sums appropriated to the several departments shall be expended. The Controller shall revise such revenue estimates monthly. If such revised estimates indicate a shortage the Controller shall hold in reserve an equivalent amount of the corresponding expenditure appropriations set forth herein until the collection of the amounts as originally estimated is assured, and in all cases where it is provided by the Charter that a specified or minimum tax shall be levied for any department the amount of such appropriation herein provided derived from taxes shall not exceed the amount actually produced by the levy made for said department. The Controller in issuing warrants or in certifying contracts or purchase orders or other encumbrances, pursuant to Section 86 of the Charter, shall consider only the allotted portions of appropriation items to be available for encumbrance or expenditure and shall not approve the incurring of liability under any allotment in excess of the amount of such allotment. In case of emergency or unusual circumstances which could not be anticipated at the time of apportionment, an additional allotment for a period may be made on the recommendation of the department head and that of the Chief Administrative Officer, board or commission, and the approval of the Controller. After the allotment schedule has been established or fixed, as heretofore provided, it shall be unlawful for any department or officer to expend or cause to be expended a sum greater than the amount set forth for the particular activity in the said allotment schedule so established, unless an additional allotment is made, as herein provided.

Allotments, liabilities incurred and expenditures made under expenditure appropriations herein enumerated shall in no case exceed the amount of each such appropriation, unless the same shall have been increased by supplemental appropriations made in the manner provided by Sections 79 and 80 of the Charter, or by transfer as provided by Sections 77 and 90 of the Charter.

Section 5. Where appropriations are made for specific projects or purposes which may involve the payment of salaries or wages, the head of the department to which such appropriations are made, or the head of a department authorized by contract or interdepartmental order to make expenditures from each such appropriation, shall file with the Controller, when requested, an estimate of the amount of any such expenditures to be made during the ensuing period.

Section 6. Liabilities incurred and expenditures made for permanent employments shall in no case exceed the number of and the salary or wage for, employments in each class as enumerated in the annual salary ordinance, except as additional employments may be authorized under the provisions of the Charter.

The Controller and the Civil Service Commission shall be immediately notified of a vacancy occurring in a position established in the Annual Salary Ordinance and appointing officers shall not make appointments to such vacancies until the Controller shall certify that funds are available for the compensation of the appointee, and the Mayor shall approve the appointment.

Appointing officers shall not make appointments to seasonable or temporary positions until the Controller shall certify that funds are available and until the Civil Service Commission shall verify the legality of the position and compensation.

Appointments to seasonable or temporary positions shall not exceed the term for which the Controller has certified the availability of funds.

Section 7. The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to continue the existing Special, Trust Funds and Reserves and the receipts in and expenditures from each such fund are hereby appropriated in accordance with law and the conditions under which each such fund was established.

The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to set up additional Special, Trust Funds and Reserves, as they may be created by either additional bequests or under other conditions.

Whenever the City and County of San Francisco shall receive from the United States of America, the State of California, or from any public or semi-public agency, or from any private person, firm or corporation, any moneys, or property to be converted into money, the Controller shall set up on the books of his office a special fund or account evidencing the said moneys so received and specifying the purposes for which they have been received and for which they are held, which said account or fund shall be maintained by the said Controller as long as any portion of said moneys remains unexpended.

The expenditures necessary from said fund or said account created as herein provided, in order to carry out the purpose for which said moneys have been received or for which said account is being maintained, shall be approved by the Controller and said expenditures are hereby appropriated in accordance with the terms and conditions under which said moneys have been received by the City and County of San Francisco, and in accordance with the conditions under which said fund is maintained.

Section 8. The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to set up appropriations for refunding amounts deposited in the treasury in excess of amounts due.

Section 9. The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to prescribe the method to be used in making payments for interdepartmental services in accordance with the provisions of Section 77 of the Charter.

Section 10. The term "department" as used in this ordinance shall mean department, bureau, office, utility, board or commission, as the case may be. The term "department head" as used herein shall be as defined in Section 20 of the Charter.

Section 11. The several amounts of estimated receipts herein appropriated, and each fund or department to which such appropriation is made, are as follows:



Name of Fund	APPROPRIATIONS			REVENUES			
	Amount	By Transfer	Total	By Transfer	From Surplus	Appropriation Other Than Taxes	Total
<b>CURRENT FUNDS (General City and County)</b>							
General Fund—Note A.....	13,413,690	1,832,962	15,246,652				
Other General Fund							
Maintenance of Minors.....	600,000	.....	600,000				
Widows' Pensions.....	300,000	.....	300,000				
Supplementary Aid to Widows.....	60,000	.....	60,000				
Maintenance of Aged.....	4,275,148	.....	4,275,148	127,500		7,360,608	13,717,629
Blind Pensions.....	250,000	.....	250,000				23,028,699
San Francisco Hospital.....	1,595,946	.....	1,595,946				
Laguna Honda Home.....	616,269	.....	616,269				
Hassler Health Home.....	94,684	.....	94,684				
<b>TOTAL OTHER GENERAL FUND.</b>	<b>7,792,047</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>7,792,047</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>1,500,000</b>
Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor.....	1,500,000	.....	1,500,000				300,000
W. P. A. Projects.....	300,000	.....	300,000				.....
<b>TOTAL WELFARE.....</b>	<b>9,592,047</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>9,592,047</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>.....</b>
Emergency Reserve.....	200,000	.....	200,000				200,000
Exposition—Note B.....	302,862	.....	302,862				302,862
Library.....	418,790	.....	418,790				399,190
Recreation—Note D.....	639,360	.....	639,360				50,600
Park.....	1,385,569	.....	1,385,569				588,760
de Young Memorial Museum.....	84,550	13,690	98,240				962,994
California Palace of the Legion of Honor.....	76,756	.....	76,756				84,100
War Memorial.....	129,281	.....	129,281				76,256
Employees' Retirement.....	1,805,408	681,971	2,487,379				500
Workmen's Compensation.....	50,000	.....	50,000				92,498
Publicity and Advertising—Note C.....	319,180	.....	319,180				36,785
<b>TOTAL CURRENT FUNDS (General City and County).....</b>	<b>28,417,293</b>	<b>2,528,623</b>	<b>30,945,916</b>	<b>127,500</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>7,890,918</b>	<b>20,398,875</b>
<b>OTHER CURRENT FUNDS</b>							
General City Bond Interest and Redemption Fund.....	5,579,954	446,000	6,025,954				.....
P. S. E. Bond Interest and Redemption Fund.....	2,643,092	6,328,771	8,971,863		800,000		4,779,954
Interest on Tax Anticipation Notes.....	17,000	.....	17,000				2,643,092
Tax Judgments.....	44,000	.....	44,000				17,000
State of Calif. Unemployment Relief Loan.....	101,727	.....	101,727				44,000
State of Calif. Unemployment Relief Loan Interest.....	27,054	.....	27,054				101,727
Installation Fund—Department of Electricity.....	3,000	.....	3,000				27,054
<b>TOTAL OTHER CURRENT FUNDS.....</b>	<b>8,415,827</b>	<b>6,774,771</b>	<b>15,190,598</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>800,000</b>	<b>3,000</b>	<b>7,612,827</b>
<b>TOTAL CURRENT FUNDS.....</b>	<b>36,833,120</b>	<b>9,303,394</b>	<b>46,136,514</b>	<b>127,500</b>	<b>800,000</b>	<b>10,893,918</b>	<b>30,945,916</b>

## CONSOLIDATED BUDGET 1938-1939 (Continued)

## City and County of San Francisco

Name of Fund	APPROPRIATIONS			REVENUES				
	Amount	By Transfer	Total	From Surplus	Appropriation Other Than Taxes	Fund	Taxes	Total
<b>PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES CURRENT FUNDS</b>								
Water Revenue .....	6,731,000	.....	6,731,000	.....	.....	6,731,000	.....	6,731,000
Hetch Hetchy Power Operating .....	2,461,000	.....	2,461,000	.....	.....	2,461,000	.....	2,461,000
Municipal Railway Operating .....	3,592,874	.....	3,592,874	.....	.....	3,592,874	.....	3,592,874
Hetch Hetchy Water Supply .....	1,271,449	.....	1,271,449	.....	.....	1,271,449	.....	1,271,449
Public Utilities Commission .....	95,012	.....	95,012	.....	.....	95,012	.....	95,012
Airport .....	243,801	.....	243,801	.....	.....	63,800	180,001	243,801
<b>TOTAL PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES—CURRENT</b> .....	13,028,675	1,366,461	14,395,136	50,000	.....	12,798,674	180,001	14,395,136
<b>SCHOOL FUNDS</b>								
Common School Fund (Tentative) .....	9,925,266	.....	9,925,266	.....	.....	4,822,217	4,853,049	9,925,266
Special School Tax Fund (Tentative) .....	340,000	.....	340,000	250,000	.....	.....	340,000	340,000
<b>TOTAL SCHOOL FUNDS</b> .....	10,265,266	.....	10,265,266	250,000	.....	4,822,217	5,193,049	10,265,266
<b>County Roads</b> .....								
Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund .....	1,119,114	.....	1,119,114	.....	.....	1,119,014	100	1,119,114
<b>TOTAL</b> .....	1,767,114	.....	1,767,114	.....	.....	648,000	.....	648,000
<b>CAPITAL FUNDS</b>								
1933 Water Distribution Bond Fund .....	80,327	.....	80,327	.....	.....	80,327	.....	80,327
1933 Sewer Bond Fund .....	81,000	.....	81,000	.....	.....	81,000	.....	81,000
1933 High Pressure System Bond Fund .....	100,000	.....	100,000	.....	.....	100,000	.....	100,000
<b>TOTAL CAPITAL FUNDS</b> .....	261,327	.....	261,327	.....	.....	261,327	.....	261,327
<b>TOTAL BUDGET</b> .....	62,155,502	10,669,855	72,825,357	1,100,000	127,500	27,543,150	33,384,852	72,825,357

Note A—That portion of the General Fund (Art Commission) Appropriation No. 816,200.02 for Symphony Concerts which is to be met from taxes, shall not exceed the amount to be provided by a levy of  $\frac{1}{2}$  cent on each \$100 of the 1938-1939 Assessment Roll.

Note B—The amount herein provided for Exposition shall not exceed the amount to be provided by a levy of 4 cents on each \$100 of the 1938-1939 Assessment Roll.

Note C—The amount herein provided for Publicity and Advertising shall not exceed the amount to be provided by a levy of 4 cents on each \$100 of the 1938-1939 Assessment Roll.

Note D—The amount herein provided from taxes for Recreation shall not exceed the amount to be provided by a levy of 7 cents on each \$100 of the 1938-1939 Assessment Roll, plus \$12,600.



Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
<b>Licenses</b>							
Business .....	210,000	.	.	.	.	.	.
Business (Badges and Tags) .....	8,200	.	.	.	.	.	.
Vehicle (Other than State) .....	20,000	.	.	.	.	.	.
Marriage .....	14,000	.	.	.	.	.	.
Dog .....	19,500	.	.	.	.	.	.
Inspection Fees (Ord. 3.0412) .....	25,000	.	.	.	.	.	.
Contractors .....	18,500	.	.	.	.	.	.
Penalties .....	2,500	.	.	.	.	.	.
<b>Total .....</b>	<b>317,700</b>	.	.	.	.	.	<b>317,700</b>
<b>Permits</b>							
<b>Department of Public Works</b>							
Building .....	82,500	.	.	.	.	.	.
Bill Board .....	825	.	.	.	.	.	.
House Numbers .....	4,500	.	.	.	.	.	.
Street Space .....	9,000	.	.	.	.	.	.
Boiler .....	2,750	.	.	.	.	.	.
<b>Police Department</b>							
Public Passenger Carriers .....		.	.	.	.	.	.
Hand Bill Distributors .....	4,000	.	.	.	.	.	.
Second Hand Dealers .....		.	.	.	.	.	.
<b>Fire Department</b>							
Automobile Storage .....		.	.	.	.	.	.
Automobile Repair Shops .....		.	.	.	.	.	.
Automobile Supply Stations .....	3,210	.	.	.	.	.	.
Automobile Parking Stations .....		.	.	.	.	.	.
Oil Burners .....		.	.	.	.	.	.
<b>Total .....</b>	<b>106,785</b>	.	.	.	.	.	<b>106,785</b>

(Estimated Revenues, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

ESTIMATED REVENUES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Classification	General Fund		Other Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Appropriations			General Fund	Other Funds	
Fines							
Municipal Courts . . . . .	120,000						
Superior Courts . . . . .	5,000						
Traffic Courts . . . . .	57,000						
Total . . . . .	182,000						182,000
Interest Revenue . . . . .	37,000						37,000
Penalties and Costs—Delinquent Taxes . . . . .	35,000						35,000
Franchises							
Telephone . . . . .	275,000						
California Street Railway . . . . .	4,000						
Market Street Railway . . . . .	46,000						
Total . . . . .	325,000						325,000

( Estimated Revenues, 1938-1939, continued on next page )



## Classification

Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
State of California							
Aid to Needy Children							
Maintenance of Minors							
State Aid	200,000						
Federal Aid	17,676						
Administration	4,500						
Widows' Pension							
State Aid	162,000						
Federal Aid	30,000						
Administration	4,800						
Aid to Needy Blind							
State Aid	125,000						
Federal Aid	38,837						
Aid to Needy Aged							
State Aid	2,617,574						
Federal Aid	966,718						
Administration	48,433						
Tubercular Patients	90,000						
Fire Boats	85,500						
Candidates Filing Fees	2,500						
Motor Vehicle License (in lieu)	530,000						
County Road Fund:							
Motor Vehicle Fuel Tax			853,014				
Motor Vehicle Registration			265,000				
Motor Vehicle (Common Carriers)			1,000				
Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund			648,000				
Alcohol Beverage Control Act—License Fees	600,000						
Common Schools			4,636,817				
Total	5,523,538		6,403,831				11,927,369

(Estimated Revenues, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

ESTIMATED REVENUES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Federal Government							
Aid to High Schools			17,500				
Board of U. S. Prisoners		12,500					
Total		12,500	17,500				30,000
Departmental Revenues							
Tax Collector—Delinquent Revenue other than Taxes	27,500						
Department of Public Works							
Bureau of Engineering—Survey Fees	6,500						
“ “ —Excavation Fees	15,000						
“ “ —Engineering Inspection		13,000					
“ “ —Tearing up Streets, Side Sewers		50,000					
“ “ —Advertising Fees		500					
House Moving	100						
Miscellaneous	125						
Department of Public Health							
Bureau of Vital Statistics							
Removal Permits	8,500						
Death Certificates	5,000						
Birth Certificates	2,000						
Bureau of Communicable Disease							
Massage Parlor Permits	500						
Bureau of Industrial Inspection							
Sanitation Permits—Opening	250						
Sanitation Permits—Renewal	3,000						
Fumigation	60						

(Estimated Revenues, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Departmental Revenues (Continued)							
Department of Public Health (Continued)							
Bureau of Plumbing Inspection							
Master Plumbers' Registration Fees .....	3,600	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Plumbing Fixture Permits .....	13,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Gas Appliance Installation Permits .....	18,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Bureau of Food and Milk							
Meat Inspection Division							
Meat Inspection .....	14,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Milk Inspection Division							
Cooling and Skimming Plants .....	18,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Dairies .....	20,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Pasteurizing Plants .....	8,500	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Restaurant Inspection .....	55,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Food Wagon Permits .....	200	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Salvage Dealer Permits .....	15	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Salvage Dealer Inspection .....	15	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Central Office—Miscellaneous .....	125	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
San Francisco Hospital							
Care of Patients .....	3,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Miscellaneous .....	2,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Laguna Honda Home							
Care of Inmates .....	7,500	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Miscellaneous .....	100	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....

(Estimated Revenues, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

ESTIMATED REVENUES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Departmental Revenues (Continued)							
Sheriff Fees	35,000						
Municipal Court Fees	65,000						
County Clerk Fees	105,000						
Coroner	1,000						
Treasurer	14,000						
Registrar of Voters	1,500						
Police Department	1,500						
Recorder	120,000						
Fire Department, Sale of Power and Miscellaneous	5,700						
Juvenile Court							
Reimbursement for Care in Sonoma State Home	11,000						
City Collections—Maintenance of Minors	55,000						
Public Administrator—Fees	60,000						
Art Commission—Symphony Concerts	10,000						
Pound	3,200						
Purchasing Department	2,500						
City Planning Commission Fees	800						
Agricultural (Horticultural) Inspection							
Inspection Fees	9,000						
City Institutions	1,000						
Miscellaneous	300						
Controller—Filing Fees	350						
Tax Collector—Miscellaneous	100						

(Estimated Revenues, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Departmental Revenues (Continued)							
Department of Electricity							
Registration Fees .....	20,000	.					20,000
Electrical Inspection Fees .....	60,000	.					60,000
Electric Sign Inspection Fees .....	5,000	.					5,000
House Moving .....	50	.					50
Installation Fund .....		.	3,000				3,000
Real Estate Department							
Rents, General .....	14,280	.					14,280
Rents, Auditorium .....		40,000					40,000
Commissions on Rents .....		1,500					1,500
Miscellaneous .....	215	.					215
War Memorial							
Rent, Opera House .....		.	30,000				30,000
Concessions, Opera House .....		.	3,750				3,750
Rent, Veterans' Auditorium .....		.	3,000				3,000
Miscellaneous .....		.	35				35
Board of Education							
Tuition—From other Counties .....		.	65,000				65,000
Rents—(Real Estate Department) .....		.	102,500				102,500
Miscellaneous .....		.	400				400

(Estimated Revenues, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

## ESTIMATED REVENUES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Departmental Revenues (Continued)							
Park							
Children's Quarters	.....	.....	64,700	.....	.....	.....	.....
Beach Chalet	.....	.....	24,250	.....	.....	.....	.....
Fleishhacker Cafe	.....	.....	15,250	.....	.....	.....	.....
Mother House	.....	.....	1,900	.....	.....	.....	.....
Fleishhacker Playfield Booth	.....	.....	59,050	.....	.....	.....	.....
Harding Park Cafe	.....	.....	26,400	.....	.....	.....	.....
Lincoln Park Cafe	.....	.....	14,600	.....	.....	.....	.....
Sharp Park Cafe	.....	.....	14,050	.....	.....	.....	.....
Golden Gate Park—Tennis Courts	.....	.....	900	.....	.....	.....	.....
Golden Gate Park—Basketball Pavilion	.....	.....	2,750	.....	.....	.....	.....
Golden Gate Park—Stadium	.....	.....	2,400	.....	.....	.....	.....
Kezar Stadium	.....	.....	24,550	.....	.....	.....	.....
Tennis Courts P. F. A.	.....	.....	21,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
Fleishhacker Bathhouse	.....	.....	8,600	.....	.....	.....	.....
Harding Park Golf	.....	.....	50,400	.....	.....	.....	.....
Lincoln Park Golf	.....	.....	38,600	.....	.....	.....	.....
Sharp Park Golf	.....	.....	23,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
Yacht Harbor	.....	.....	14,200	.....	.....	.....	.....
Commission from Vending Machines	.....	.....	200	.....	.....	.....	.....
Rents	.....	.....	2,430	.....	.....	.....	.....
Franchise Market Street Railway	.....	.....	100	.....	.....	.....	.....
Miscellaneous	.....	.....	1,045	.....	.....	.....	.....
Coit Tower	.....	.....	12,200	.....	.....	.....	.....

(Estimated Revenues, 1938-1939, continued on next page.)



Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Departmental Revenues (Continued)							
Recreation							
Camp .....	.....	.....	43,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
Swimming—San Francisco .....	.....	.....	1,600	.....	.....	.....	.....
Miscellaneous .....	.....	.....	6,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
Library—Fines, etc. ....	.....	.....	19,600	.....	.....	.....	.....
California Palace of the Legion of Honor .....	.....	.....	500	.....	.....	.....	.....
M. H. de Young Memorial Museum .....	.....	.....	250	.....	.....	.....	.....
Public Utilities Commission—Miscellaneous .....	500	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Sheriff—Transportation to State Institutions .....	5,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Sheriff—Jail Stores .....	5,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Total .....	833,585	115,000	701,210	.....	.....	.....	1,649,795
Public Utilities							
Hetch Hetchy Power Operative .....	.....	.....	2,411,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
Municipal Railway .....	.....	.....	3,592,874	.....	.....	.....	.....
Water Department .....	.....	.....	6,731,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
Airport .....	.....	.....	63,800	.....	.....	.....	.....
Total .....	.....	.....	12,798,674	.....	.....	.....	12,798,674

(Estimated Revenues, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

ESTIMATED REVENUES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Receipts from Surplus							
Common School Fund	.....	.....	250,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
Bond Interest and Redemption	.....	.....	800,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
Hetch Hetchy Power Operative	.....	.....	50,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
Total	.....	.....	1,100,000	.....	.....	.....	1,100,000
Capital Funds							
1933 Water Distribution Bond Fund	.....	.....		80,327	.....	.....	.....
1933 Sewer Bond Fund	.....	.....		81,000	.....	.....	.....
1933 High Pressure Bond Fund	.....	.....		100,000	.....	.....	.....
Total	.....	.....	.....	261,327	.....	.....	261,327

(Estimated Revenues, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



MONDAY, MAY 23, 1938.

67

Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Receipts by Transfer							
General Fund							
City Attorney							
From Public Utilities Commission Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	21,400	.....	21,400
Purchasing Department							
From California Palace of the Legion of Honor Fund ..... (203)	.....	.....	.....	.....	240	.....	.....
From de Young Memorial Museum Fund.. (203)	.....	.....	.....	.....	240	.....	.....
From Recreation Fund..... (204)	.....	.....	.....	.....	900	.....	.....
From Library Fund ..... (204)	.....	.....	.....	.....	475	.....	.....
From War Memorial Fund ..... (204)	.....	.....	.....	.....	400	.....	.....
From War Memorial Fund ..... (212)	.....	.....	.....	.....	780	.....	.....
From de Young Memorial Museum Fund.. (217)	.....	.....	.....	.....	200	.....	.....
From Employees' Retirement Fund ..... (225)	.....	.....	.....	.....	45	.....	.....
From Employees' Retirement Fund ..... (318)	.....	.....	.....	.....	43	.....	.....
From School Department ..... (204)	.....	.....	.....	.....	10,000	.....	.....
From California Palace of the Legion of Honor Fund ..... (212)	.....	.....	.....	.....	60	.....	.....
From Water Department ..... (204)	.....	.....	.....	.....	102	.....	.....
From de Young Memorial Museum Fund.. (212)	.....	.....	.....	.....	30	.....	.....
From War Memorial Fund ..... (400)	.....	.....	.....	.....	500	.....	.....
From Municipal Railway..... (204)	.....	.....	.....	.....	396	.....	.....
Total .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	14,411	.....	14,411
Department of Electricity							
From County Road Fund..... (847,903.01)	.....	.....	.....	.....	5,000	.....	5,000

(Estimated Revenues, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

ESTIMATEK REVENUES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Classification	General Fund		Other Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Appropriations			General Fund	Other Funds	
Receipts by Transfer—(Continued)							
General Fund							
Controller							
From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund (101)	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,500	.....	.....
From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund (248)	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,775	.....	.....
From Water Revenue Fund (101)	.....	.....	.....	.....	5,500	.....	.....
From Water Revenue Fund (248)	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,800	.....	.....
From Municipal Railway Operating Fund (101)	.....	.....	.....	.....	2,500	.....	.....
From Municipal Railway Operating Fund (248)	.....	.....	.....	.....	2,000	.....	.....
From Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor Fund (101)	.....	.....	.....	.....	2,100	.....	.....
From Hetch Hetchy Water Supply Fund (248)	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,450	.....	.....
From Hetch Hetchy Water Supply Fund (101)	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,500	.....	.....
From Employees' Retirement Fund (248)	.....	.....	.....	.....	2,500	.....	.....
From Common School Fund (248)	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,200	.....	.....
Total .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	23,825	.....	23,825
Lighting of Public Streets and Buildings							
From Park Fund .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	46,500	.....	.....
From Recreation Fund .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	7,000	.....	.....
From Library Fund .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	13,500	.....	.....
From War Memorial—General .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	13,000	.....	.....
From War Memorial—Art Museum .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	8,000	.....	.....
From California Palace of the Legion of Honor .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	3,000	.....	.....
From de Young Memorial Museum .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,700	.....	.....
From Airport .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	9,000	.....	.....
From Municipal Railway Operating Fund .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	460,000	.....	.....
From Water Revenue Fund .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	110,000	.....	.....

(Estimated Revenues, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Receipts by Transfer (Continued)							
General Fund (Continued)							
Lighting of Public Streets and Buildings (Continued)							
From Common School Fund . . . . .	.....	.....	.....	.....	62,700	.....	.....
From County Road Fund—Street Repair . . . . .	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,100	.....	.....
From County Road Fund—Bridges . . . . .	.....	.....	.....	.....	2,000	.....	.....
From County Road Fund—General . . . . .	.....	.....	.....	.....	40,000	.....	.....
Total . . . . .	.....	.....	.....	.....	777,500	.....	777,500
General Fund							
From Water Revenue Fund . . . . .	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
de Young Memorial Museum Fund							
From California Palace of the Legion of Honor . . . . .	.....	.....	.....	.....	990,826	.....	990,826
Employees' Retirement Fund							
From Library Fund . . . . .	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	6,400	.....
From Common School Fund . . . . .	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	456,200	.....
From Special School Tax Fund . . . . .	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,250	.....
From Park Fund . . . . .	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	33,500	.....
From Recreation Fund . . . . .	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	12,840	.....
From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund . . . . .	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	3,308	.....
From Municipal Railway Operating Fund . . . . .	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	107,276	.....
From Airport Fund . . . . .	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,655	.....
From County Road Fund . . . . .	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	15,000	.....
From Water Revenue Fund . . . . .	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	43,000	.....
From Hetch Hetchy Water Supply Fund . . . . .	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,542	.....
Total . . . . .	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	681,971	681,971

(Estimated Revenues, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

## ESTIMATED REVENUES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Receipts by Transfer (Continued)							
Bond Interest and Redemption Fund							
From 1933 Sewer Bond Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	*81,000	.....
From 1933 High Pressure System Bond Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	*100,000	.....
From 1933 Water Distribution Bond Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	* 80,327	.....
From Airport	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	167,040	.....
From County Road Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	265,000	.....
From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,111,217	.....
From Hetch Hetchy Water Supply	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,079,169	.....
From Water Revenue	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	3,718,518	.....
From Municipal Railway Operating	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	172,500	.....
Total	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	6,774,771	6,774,771
Public Utilities Commission Fund							
From Municipal Railway Operating	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	14,327	.....
From Municipal Railway Operating (Claims)	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	13,020	.....
From Water Revenue	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	42,339	.....
From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	20,326	.....
From Hetch Hetchy Water Supply	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	5,000	.....
Total	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	95,012	95,012
Hetch Hetchy Water Supply Fund							
From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,149,571	.....
From Water Revenue	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	121,878	.....
Total	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,271,449	1,271,449
Taxes	13,717,629	19,667,223	.....	.....	.....	.....	33,384,852
Total Revenues	21,078,237	127,500	40,688,438	261,327	1,832,962	8,836,893	72,825,357

\*From Capital Funds.



Issues	ESTIMATED REQUIREMENTS			ESTIMATED REVENUES		
	Interest	Redemption	Total	From Pro- ceeds of Bond Fund	Surplus	County Road Fund
<b>GENERAL CITY AND COUNTY:</b>						
1927 Boulevards . . . . .	284,918	469,000	753,918	..	..	265,000
1933 Sewers . . . . .	81,460	81,000	162,460	81,000	..	..
1933 High Pressure . . . . .	46,500	100,000	146,500	100,000	..	..
Other . . . . .	1,785,976	3,177,100	4,963,076	..	800,000	..
	2,198,854	3,827,100	6,025,954	181,000	800,000	265,000
<b>PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES:</b>						
1928 Spring Valley . . . . .	1,440,000	1,000,000	2,440,000	..	..	..
1933 Water Distribution . . . . .	348,820	563,000	911,820	80,327	..	..
1910 Water . . . . .	1,170,000	1,000,000	2,170,000	..	..	..
1925 Water . . . . .	381,250	250,000	631,250	..	..	..
1928 Hetch Hetchy . . . . .	1,053,000	600,000	1,653,000	..	..	..
1932 Hetch Hetchy . . . . .	342,753	200,000	542,753	..	..	..
1933 Hetch Hetchy Dam . . . . .	108,500	175,000	283,500	..	..	..
1913 Municipal Railway . . . . .	72,500	100,000	172,500	..	..	..
1933 Airport . . . . .	1,040	52,000	53,040	..	..	..
1938 Airport . . . . .	114,000	..	114,000	..	..	..
	5,031,863	3,940,000	8,971,863	80,327	..	..
Total . . . . .	7,230,717	7,767,100	14,997,817	261,327	800,000	265,000

FUNDING STATEMENT—BOND INTEREST AND REDEMPTION—FISCAL YEAR 1938-1939

ESTIMATED REVENUES							Issues
Airport	Hetch Hetchy Power Revenue	Hetch Hetchy Water Supply	Water Revenue	Railway Revenue	Taxes	Total	
GENERAL CITY AND COUNTY							1927 Boulevards
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	753,918	.....
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	162,460	1933 Sewers
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	146,500	1933 High Pressure
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	4,779,954	4,963,076	Other
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	4,779,954	6,025,954	
PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES							1928 Spring Valley
.....	.....	.....	2,440,000	.....	.....	2,440,000	1933 Water Distribution
.....	.....	.....	831,493	.....	.....	911,820	1910 Water
.....	1,111,217	.....	400,000	.....	.....	2,170,000	1925 Water
.....	.....	1,079,169	47,025	.....	.....	631,250	1928 Hetch Hetchy
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	2,643,092	1,653,000	1932 Hetch Hetchy Dam
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	542,753	1933 Hetch Hetchy
.....	.....	.....	.....	172,500	.....	283,500	1913 Municipal Railway
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	172,500	1933 Airport
53,040	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	53,040	1938 Airport
114,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	114,000	
167,040	1,111,217	1,079,169	3,718,518	172,500	2,643,092	8,971,863	
167,040	1,111,217	1,079,169	3,718,518	172,500	7,423,046	14,997,817	



Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>BOARD OF SUPERVISORS</b>						
801	Permanent Salaries .....	65,580				65,580
801.101.00	Fees and Special Compensations .....	4,325				4,325
801.106.00	Contractual Services .....	38,100	30,600			7,500
801.200.00	Materials and Supplies .....	950	950			
801.300.00	Equipment .....	2,000	2,000			
801.400.00	Membership Dues .....	625				625
801.814.00	Audit of Controller's Books .....	5,000				5,000
801.248.00						
		116,580	33,550			83,030
<b>MAYOR</b>						
802	Permanent Salaries .....	40,240				40,240
802.101.00	Contractual Services .....	5,930	360			5,570
802.200.00	Materials and Supplies .....	2,900	2,900			
		49,070	3,260			45,810
<b>ASSESSOR</b>						
803	Permanent Salaries .....	123,914				123,914
803.101.00	Temporary Salaries .....	90,000				90,000
803.102.00	Contractual Services .....	6,590	6,590			
803.200.00	Materials and Supplies .....	13,400	13,400			
803.300.00		233,904	19,990			213,914

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>CITY ATTORNEY</b>						
804						
804.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	68,380	.....	.....	19,600	87,980
804.102.00	Temporary Salaries .....	1,800	.....	.....	.....	1,800
804.200.00	Contractual Services .....	8,380	860	.....	1,800	9,320
804.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	1,000	1,000	.....	.....	.....
		79,560	1,860	.....	21,400	99,100
<b>DISTRICT ATTORNEY</b>						
805						
805.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	110,540	.....	.....	.....	110,540
805.200.00	Contractual Services .....	485	485	.....	.....	.....
805.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	850	850	.....	.....	.....
805.900.00	Detention and Prosecution of Criminals .....	1,500	.....	.....	.....	1,500
805.901.00	District Attorney's Secret Fund .....	2,500	.....	.....	.....	2,500
		115,875	1,335	.....	.....	114,540
<b>TREASURER</b>						
806						
806.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	46,460	.....	.....	.....	46,460
806.200.00	Contractual Services .....	350	350	.....	.....	.....
806.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	1,100	1,100	.....	.....	.....
806.816.00	Premium on Official Bonds .....	7,000	7,000	.....	.....	.....
		54,910	8,450	.....	.....	46,460

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



MONDAY, MAY 23, 1938.

685

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
807	SHERIFF (Receipts Allocated to Appropriation \$12,500)					
807.101.00	Permanent Salaries	293,048				293,048
807.102.00	Temporary Salaries	6,160				6,160
807.200.01	Contractual Services—Administrative	4,440	1,890			2,550
807.200.02	Contractual Services—County Jail No. 1.	331	281			50
807.231.02	Heat, Light and Power—County Jail No. 1.	280	280			
807.200.03	Contractual Services—County Jail No. 2.	925	775			150
807.231.03	Heat, Light and Power—County Jail No. 2.	12,720	12,720			
807.300.01	Materials and Supplies—Administrative	3,490	3,490			
807.300.02	Materials and Supplies—County Jail No. 1.	3,580	2,080			1,500
807.300.03	Materials and Supplies—County Jail No. 2.	16,000	8,900			7,100
807.350.02	Foodstuffs—County Jail No. 1.	14,500	850			13,650
807.350.03	Foodstuffs—County Jail No. 2.	30,500	1,600			28,900
807.809.00	Rents, Garage	240				240
807.812.00	Taxes, Sneath Ranch.	250				250
807.817.00	Automobile Insurance	250	250			
		386,714	33,116			353,598
808	PUBLIC DEFENDER					
808.101.00	Permanent Salaries	18,980				18,980
808.102.00	Temporary Salaries	75				75
808.200.00	Contractual Services	85	85			
808.300.00	Materials and Supplies	100	100			
		19,240	185			19,055

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>POLICE DEPARTMENT</b>						
809		3,321,920				3,321,920
809.101.00	Permanent Salaries	1,762				1,762
809.102.00	Temporary Salaries	500				500
809.106.00	Services of Court Reporter	47,944	43,436			91,380
809.200.00	Contractual Services	12,000	12,000			24,000
809.231.00	Heat, Light and Power	1,400	1,128			2,528
809.300.00	Materials and Supplies—City Prison	54,742	45,167			99,909
809.300.01	Materials and Supplies—Stations and Bureaus	5,500	386			5,886
809.350.00	Foodstuffs—City Prison	20,025	20,025			40,050
809.400.00	Equipment	2,280	2,280			4,560
809.900.00	Services of Other Departments	9,000				9,000
809.901.00	Contingent Fund	3,477,073	124,422			3,601,495
<b>POLICE DEPARTMENT—EXPOSITION</b>						
809.02		51,700				51,700
809.102.02	Temporary Salaries	5,400	5,400			10,800
809.400.02	Equipment	57,100	5,400			62,500
<b>FIRE DEPARTMENT</b>						
810		2,902,166				2,902,166
810.101.01	Permanent Salaries	145,065				145,065
810.101.02	Permanent Salaries—Fireboats	1,325				1,325
810.102.01	Temporary Salaries	2,411				2,411
810.102.02	Temporary Salaries—Fireboats	6,477				6,477
810.103.00	Wages	144,340	136,466			280,806
810.200.00	Contractual Services	17,000	17,000			34,000
810.231.00	Heat, Light and Power	38,500	21,500			60,000
810.300.00	Materials and Supplies	37,172	37,172			74,344
810.400.00	Equipment	1,200				1,200
810.809.00	Rent—Engine Company No. 2	3,295,656	212,138			3,507,794
		3,295,656	212,138			3,507,794

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>FIRE DEPARTMENT—EXPOSITION</b>						
810.03						
810.101.03	Personal Services .....	90,811	.....	.....	.....	90,811
810.200.03	Contractual Services .....	1,203	400	.....	.....	803
810.300.03	Materials and Supplies .....	1,600	1,600	.....	.....	.....
810.400.03	Equipment .....	67,706	67,706	.....	.....	.....
		161,320	69,706	.....	.....	91,614
<b>BOARD OF PERMIT APPEALS</b>						
811						
811.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	3,000	.....	.....	.....	3,000
811.106.00	Fees and Special Compensations .....	5,000	.....	.....	.....	5,000
811.200.00	Contractual Services .....	40	40	.....	.....	.....
		8,040	40	.....	.....	8,000
<b>ART COMMISSION (Receipts Allocated to Appropriation, \$10,000)</b>						
816						
816.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	3,900	.....	.....	.....	3,900
816.200.00	Contractual Services .....	120	120	.....	.....	.....
816.200.01	Municipal Band .....	15,000	.....	.....	.....	15,000
816.200.02	*Municipal Symphony Concerts .....	50,000	.....	.....	.....	50,000
816.200.03	Municipal Chorus .....	5,000	.....	.....	.....	5,000
816.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	100	100	.....	.....	.....
		74,120	220	.....	.....	73,900
<b>STEINHART AQUARIUM</b>						
819.200.00		40,500	.....	.....	.....	40,500

\*That portion of the General Fund (Art Commission) Appropriation No. 816.200.02 for Symphony Concerts, which is to be met from taxes, shall not exceed the amount to be provided by levy of  $\frac{1}{2}$  cent on each \$100 of the 1938-1939 Assessment Roll, plus revenues.

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

MONDAY, MAY 23, 1938.

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>MUNICIPAL COURT</b>						
820	Permanent Salaries .....	205,740	.....	.....	.....	205,740
820.101.00	Jury and Witness Fees .....	2,100	.....	.....	.....	2,100
820.105.00	Court Reporter's Fees .....	15,000	.....	.....	.....	15,000
820.106.00	Contractual Services .....	3,522	3,472	.....	.....	50
820.200.00	Reporter's Transcriptions .....	7,000	.....	.....	.....	7,000
820.249.00	Materials and Supplies .....	3,450	3,450	.....	.....	.....
820.300.00						
		236,812	6,922	.....	.....	229,890
<b>SUPERIOR COURT</b>						
821	Permanent Salaries .....	137,700	.....	.....	.....	137,700
821.101.00	Jury and Witness Fees .....	25,000	.....	.....	.....	25,000
821.105.00	Grand Jury .....	11,000	.....	.....	.....	11,000
821.106.01	Court Reporters' Fees .....	12,000	.....	.....	.....	12,000
821.106.02	Examination of Insane .....	14,400	.....	.....	.....	14,400
821.200.00	Contractual Services .....	5,100	5,100	.....	.....	.....
821.249.00	Reporters' Transcriptions .....	17,000	.....	.....	.....	17,000
821.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	2,500	2,500	.....	.....	.....
821.400.00	Equipment .....	500	500	.....	.....	.....
821.900.00	Other Court Expenses .....	16,000	.....	.....	.....	16,000
	Other Appropriations .....	17,000	.....	.....	.....	17,000
821.200.02	Maintenance Criminal Insane and Narcotics .....	258,200	8,100	.....	.....	250,100

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



MONDAY, MAY 23, 1938.

689

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>LAW LIBRARY</b>						
822						
822.101.00	Permanent Salaries	11,400	.....	.....	.....	11,400
822.200.00	Contractual Services	40	40	.....	.....	.....
822.300.00	Materials and Supplies	350	350	.....	.....	.....
		11,790	390	.....	.....	11,400
<b>JUVENILE COURT</b>						
823						
823.101.00	Permanent Salaries	79,380	.....	.....	.....	79,380
823.102.00	Temporary Salaries	300	.....	.....	.....	300
823.106.00	Reporters' Fees—Transcriptions	1,800	.....	.....	.....	1,800
823.200.00	Contractual Services	2,440	700	.....	.....	1,740
823.206.00	Maintenance of Minors	600,000	.....	.....	.....	600,000
823.207.00	Maintenance of Minors in State Schools	20,000	.....	.....	.....	20,000
823.300.00	Materials and Supplies	1,675	1,675	.....	.....	.....
823.809.00	Garage Rent	180	.....	.....	.....	180
		705,775	2,375	.....	.....	703,400
<b>JUVENILE DETENTION HOME</b>						
824						
824.101.00	Permanent Salaries	22,980	.....	.....	.....	22,980
824.102.00	Temporary Salaries	600	.....	.....	.....	600
824.200.00	Contractual Services	1,668	968	.....	.....	700
824.231.00	Heat, Light and Power	1,500	1,500	.....	.....	.....
824.300.00	Materials and Supplies	2,000	803	.....	.....	1,197
824.350.00	Foodstuffs	6,650	500	.....	.....	6,150
824.400.00	Equipment	700	700	.....	.....	.....
824.108.00	Room Allowance for Employees	192	.....	.....	.....	192
824.900.00	Services of Department of Public Health	3,600	3,600	.....	.....	.....
		39,890	8,071	.....	.....	31,819

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT</b>						
825	Permanent Salaries .....	25,380				25,380
825.101.00	Contractual Services .....	375	75			300
825.200.00	Books, Printing, Stationery .....	500	500			
825.328.00						
		26,255	575			25,680
<b>CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER</b>						
826	Permanent Salaries .....	19,854				19,854
826.101.00	Contractual Services .....	2,450	1,500			950
826.200.00	Materials and Supplies .....	800	800			
826.300.00						
		23,104	2,300			20,804
<b>DIRECTOR OF FINANCE AND RECORDS</b>						
827	Permanent Salaries .....	7,860				7,860
827.101.00	Contractual Services .....	25	25			
827.200.00	Materials and Supplies .....	125	125			
827.300.00						
		8,010	150			7,860

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



MONDAY, MAY 23, 1938.

691

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>TAX COLLECTOR</b>						
828	Permanent Salaries	109,280	.....	.....	.....	109,280
828.101.01	Permanent Salaries—Bureau Delinquent Revenue	8,100	.....	.....	.....	8,100
828.101.02	Temporary Salaries	14,650	.....	.....	.....	14,650
828.102.01	Temporary Salaries—Bureau Delinquent Revenue	10,000	.....	.....	.....	10,000
828.102.02	Contractual Services	6,245	785	.....	.....	5,460
828.200.00	Materials and Supplies	7,200	7,200	.....	.....	.....
828.300.00		155,475	7,985	.....	.....	147,490
<b>REGISTRAR OF VOTERS</b>						
829	Permanent Salaries	55,494	.....	.....	.....	55,494
829.101.00	Permanent Salaries	47,480	.....	.....	.....	47,480
829.102.00	Election Workers	63,045	.....	.....	.....	63,045
829.106.00	Contractual Services	62,592	2,037	.....	.....	60,555
829.200.00	Heat, Light and Power	300	300	.....	.....	.....
829.231.00	Materials and Supplies	1,230	825	.....	.....	405
829.300.00	Equipment	780	780	.....	.....	.....
829.400.00	Voting Machines Payment	50,000	.....	.....	.....	50,000
829.410.00	Warehouse Rental	4,800	.....	.....	.....	4,800
829.809.00	Rent of Polling Places	14,625	.....	.....	.....	14,625
829.809.01		300,346	3,942	.....	.....	296,404

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
830	RECORDER					
830.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	94,748	.....	.....	.....	94,748
830.200.00	Contractual Services .....	545	315	.....	.....	230
830.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	4,000	4,000	.....	.....	.....
		99,293	4,315	.....	.....	94,978
831	COUNTY CLERK					
831.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	128,160	.....	.....	.....	128,160
831.200.00	Contractual Services .....	425	315	.....	.....	110
831.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	6,500	6,300	.....	.....	200
831.900.00	Revolving Fund .....	100	.....	.....	.....	100
		135,185	6,615	.....	.....	128,570
832	PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR					
832.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	37,360	.....	.....	.....	37,360
832.102.00	Temporary Salaries .....	1,860	.....	.....	.....	1,860
832.200.00	Contractual Services .....	1,195	905	.....	.....	290
832.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	800	800	.....	.....	.....
832.809.00	Rentals .....	290	.....	.....	.....	290
		41,505	1,705	.....	.....	39,800

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT</b>						
833	Permanent Salaries .....	114,740	.....	.....	.....	114,740
833.101.00	Temporary Salaries .....	1,800	.....	.....	.....	1,800
833.102.00	Wages .....	6,975	.....	.....	.....	6,975
833.103.00	Contractual Services					
	Auto Hire .....	(\$32,582)				
833.203.02	Mayor .....			360	.....	360
833.203.03	Assessor .....			360	.....	360
833.203.04	City Attorney .....			360	.....	360
833.203.07.1	Sheriff—Administrative .....			1,200	.....	1,200
833.203.09	Police Department .....			360	.....	360
833.203.17	California Palace of the Legion of Honor			.....	240	240
833.203.18	de Young Memorial Museum .....			.....	240	240
833.203.28	Tax Collector .....			360	.....	360
833.203.29	Registrar of Voters .....			1,200	.....	1,200
833.203.33	Purchaser .....	2,142	.....	.....	.....	2,142
833.203.34	Real Estate Department .....			480	.....	480
833.203.38	Department of Public Works					
833.203.39	Bureau of Building Repair .....			1,440	.....	1,440
833.203.40	Bureau of Building Inspection .....			5,040	.....	5,040
833.203.42	Bureau of Engineering .....			3,360	.....	3,360
	Bureau of Street Cleaning .....			1,080	.....	1,080
	Department of Public Health					
	Central Office					
833.203.50-1	Administration .....			600	.....	600
833.203.50-4	Meat Inspection .....			1,800	.....	1,800
833.203.50-5	Communicable Diseases .....			2,100	.....	2,100
833.203.50-11	Food and Milk Inspection .....			1,200	.....	1,200
833.203.50-15	Industrial Inspection .....			300	.....	300
833.203.50-16	City Physicians .....			3,300	.....	3,300
833.203.54	Emergency Hospitals .....			300	.....	300

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
<b>Contractual Services (Continued)</b>						
833.203.56	County Welfare Department .....			2,500		2,500
833.203.58	Horticultural Inspection Department .....			1,800		1,800
833.203.61	City Planning Commission .....			460		460
833.204.07-2	Scavenger Service .....					
	Sheriff—County Jail No. 1 .....					
	Police Department .....			231		231
833.204.09	Fire Department .....			358		358
833.204.10	Recreation .....			1,784		1,784
833.204.13	Library .....				900	900
833.204.14	War Memorial .....				475	475
833.204.15	Juvenile Detention Home .....				400	400
833.204.24	Registrar of Voters .....			68		68
833.204.29	Purchaser .....			12		12
833.204.33	Auditorium .....	180				180
833.204.35	Department of Public Works .....			329		329
833.204.38	Bureau of Building Repair .....					
833.204.49	Department of Electricity .....			987		987
	Department of Public Health .....			38		38
	Central Office—Administration .....					
833.204.50-1	San Francisco Hospital .....			68		68
833.204.53	Emergency Hospitals .....			1,057		1,057
833.204.54	Municipal Railway .....			262		262
833.204.65	San Francisco Water Department .....				396	396
833.204.66	School Department .....				102	102
833.204.69					10,000	10,000

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)





## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
Contractual Services (Continued)						
Shop Expense (Continued)						
Department of Public Health (Continued)						
Central Office (Continued)						
833.209.50-17	Field Nursing—Administration . . . . .	.	.	100	.	100
833.209.50-18	Field Nursing—Schools . . . . .	250	.	250	.	250
833.209.50-19	Field Nursing—Other . . . . .	675	.	675	.	675
833.209.51	Laguna Honda Home . . . . .	100	.	100	.	100
833.209.53	San Francisco Hospital . . . . .	700	.	700	.	700
833.209.54	Emergency Hospitals . . . . .	4,500	.	4,500	.	4,500
833.209.55	Hassler Health Home . . . . .	500	.	500	.	500
833.209.57	Coroner . . . . .	150	.	150	.	150
833.209.59	Department of Weights and Measures . . . . .	250	.	250	.	250
833.209.60	Controller . . . . .	80	.	80	.	80
Maintenance and Repair of Office Equipment.. (\$6,035)						
833.210.03	Assessor . . . . .	.	.	300	.	300
833.210.06	Treasurer . . . . .	.	.	200	.	200
833.210.09	Police Department . . . . .	.	.	600	.	600
833.210.10	Fire Department . . . . .	.	.	150	.	150
833.210-20	Municipal Court . . . . .	.	.	200	.	200
833.210.24	Juvenile Detention Home . . . . .	.	.	100	.	100
833.210.27	Director of Finance and Records . . . . .	25	.	25	.	25
833.210.28	Tax Collector . . . . .	.	.	200	.	200
833.210.29	Registrar of Voters . . . . .	140	.	140	.	140
833.210.30	Recorder . . . . .	.	.	250	.	250
833.210.31	County Clerk . . . . .	.	.	200	.	200
833.210.32	Public Administrator . . . . .	.	.	40	.	40
833.210.33	Purchaser . . . . .	175	.	.	.	175
833.210.34	Real Estate Department . . . . .	.	.	50	.	50

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)						
Contractual Services (Continued)						
Maintenance and Repair of Office Equipment (Continued)						
Department of Public Works						
833.210.36	General Office .....	.	.....	100	.....	100
833.210.37	Bureau of Accounts .....	.	.....	75	.....	75
833.210.39	Building Inspection .....	.	.....	50	.....	50
833.210.40	Bureau of Engineering .....	.	.....	100	.....	100
833.210.49-1	Department of Electricity (Inspection Bureau) .....	.	.....	25	.....	25
Department of Public Health						
Central Office						
833.210.50-1	Administration .....	.	.....	50	.....	50
833.210.50-2	Accounting .....	.	.....	150	.....	150
833.210.50-3	Statistics .....	.	.....	50	.....	50
833.210.50-5	Communicable Diseases .....	.	.....	70	.....	70
833.210.50-6	G. U. Diagnostic Center .....	.	.....	20	.....	20
833.210.50-7	Bacteriological Laboratory .....	.	.....	20	.....	20
833.210.50-11	Food and Milk Inspection .....	.	.....	50	.....	50
833.210.50-13	Plumbing Inspection .....	.	.....	20	.....	20
833.210.50-14	Housing Inspection .....	.	.....	20	.....	20
833.210.50-15	Industrial Inspection .....	.	.....	25	.....	25
833.210.50-17	Field Nursing—Administration .....	.	.....	50	.....	50
833.210.50-18	Field Nursing—Schools .....	.	.....	40	.....	40
833.210.50-19	Field Nursing—Other .....	.	.....	50	.....	50
833.210.51	Laguna Honda Home .....	.	.....	10	.....	10
833.210.53	San Francisco Hospital .....	.	.....	300	.....	300
833.210.56	County Welfare Department .....	.	.....	200	.....	200
833.210.57	Coroner .....	.	.....	50	.....	50
833.210.60	Controller .....	.	.....	1,880	.....	1,880

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund	From Inter-fund	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
<b>Contractual Services (Continued)</b>						
833.217.09	Towel Service .....	(\$4,671)				868
833.217.10	Police Department .....				868	12
833.217.18	Fire Department .....				12	200
	de Young Museum .....				200	
	Department of Public Works					
833.217.38	Bureau of Building Repair .....					3,375
833.217.33-1	Purchaser—Shop No. 1 .....	21				21
833.217.49	Department of Electricity .....				123	123
833.217.49-1	Department of Electricity—Inspection Bureau .....				62	62
833.217.59	Department of Weights and Measures .....				10	10
	Telephone and Telegraph .....	(\$22,750)				
833.225.01	Board of Supervisors .....					600
833.225.03	Assessor .....				450	450
833.225.04	City Attorney .....				500	500
833.225.05	District Attorney .....				485	485
833.225.06	Treasurer .....				100	100
833.225.07-1	Sheriff—Administration .....				440	440
833.225.07-2	Sheriff—County Jail No. 1 .....				50	50
833.225.07-3	Sheriff—County Jail No. 2 .....				275	275
833.225.08	Public Defender .....				85	85
833.225.09	Police Department .....				3,750	3,750
833.225.10	Fire Department .....				1,300	1,300
833.225.11	Board of Permit Appeals .....				15	15
833.225.16	Art Commission .....				120	120
833.225.20	Municipal Court .....				400	400

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)						
Contractual Services (Continued)						
Telephone and Telegraph (Continued)						
833.225.21	Superior Court .....	.	.....	1,100	.....	1,100
833.225.22	Law Library .....	40	.....	40	.....	40
833.225.23	Juvenile Court .....	300	.....	300	.....	300
833.225.23	Adult Probation Department .....	75	.....	75	.....	75
833.225.26	Chief Administrative Officer .....	1,500	.....	1,500	.....	1,500
833.225.28	Tax Collector .....	125	.....	125	.....	125
833.225.29	Registrar of Voters .....	160	.....	160	.....	160
833.225.30	Recorder .....	65	.....	65	.....	65
833.225.31	County Clerk .....	115	.....	115	.....	115
833.225.32	Public Administrator .....	.....	.....	115	.....	115
833.225.33	Purchaser .....	240	.....	.....	.....	240
833.225.34	Real Estate Department .....	.	.....	355	.....	355
833.225.36	Department of Public Works—General Office .....	.	.....	950	.....	950
833.225.49	Department of Electricity .....	250	.....	250	.....	250
833.225.49-1	Department of Electricity—Inspection Bureau .....	150	.....	150	.....	150
Department of Public Health						
Central Office—Administration .....						
833.225.50-1	Laguna Honda Home .....	.	.....	1,500	.....	1,500
833.225.51	San Francisco Hospital .....	.	.....	825	.....	825
833.225.53	Emergency Hospitals .....	.	.....	2,925	.....	2,925
833.225.54	Hassler Health Home .....	.	.....	800	.....	800
833.225.55	Coroner .....	.	.....	700	.....	700
833.225.57	Horticultural Inspection Department .....	.	.....	300	.....	300
833.225.58	Department of Weights and Measures .....	.	.....	250	.....	250
833.225.59	Controller .....	.	.....	40	.....	40
833.225.60	City Planning Commission .....	.	.....	900	.....	900
833.225.61	Civil Service Commission .....	.	.....	65	.....	65
833.225.71	Employees' Retirement System .....	.	.....	290	.....	290
833.225.72	.....	.	.....	.....	45	45

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

MONDAY, MAY 23, 1938.

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
	Other Contractual Services .....	(\$86,397)				
	Board of Supervisors					
833.229.01	Publication of Ordinances and Resolutions .....			16,000		16,000
833.230.01	Printing Journals, Calendars, Resolutions, etc. ....			14,000		14,000
	Assessor					
833.208.03	Rental—Meter and Tabulator .....			5,330		5,330
	Treasurer					
833.201.06	Carfare .....			50		50
	Police Department					
833.212.09	Repairs to Police Launch .....			1,500		1,500
833.223.09	Horseshoeing .....			3,600		3,600
833.233.09	Repairs to Tires and Tubes .....			800		800
	Fire Department					
833.212.10	Drydocking Fireboats .....			3,020		3,020
833.229.10	Advertising .....			200		200
	War Memorial					
833.212.15	Maintenance Fire Alarm .....				780	780
	Board of Permit Appeals					
833.227.11	Postage .....			25		25
	California Palace of the Legion of Honor					
833.212.17	Maintenance of Burglar Alarm .....				60	60
	de Young Museum					
833.212.18	Maintenance of Burglar Alarm .....				30	30
	Municipal Court					
833.230.20	Printing Court Calendars .....			2,872		2,872
	Superior Court					
833.230.21	Printing Court Calendars .....			4,000		4,000

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
<b>Other Contractual Services (Continued)</b>						
833.216.24	Juvenile Detention Home					
	Elevator Inspection and Repairs					800
	Registrar of Voters					
833.233.29	Miscellaneous					50
833.212.29	Repair Voting Machines					350
	Public Administrator					
833.230.32	Annual Report					750
	Purchasing Department					
833.201.33	Carfare	20				20
833.202.33	Freight on Tabulating Cards	50				50
833.208.33-1	Rental of Tabulating Machines	5,490				5,490
833.208.33-2	Rental of Postage Meter	120				120
833.226.33	License Tags and Plates					5,500
833.229.33	Official Advertising	8,000				8,000
833.230.33	Printing Public Documents	2,500				2,500
833.231.33-1	Gas and Electricity—Shop No. 1	500	2,500			3,000
833.231.33-2	Gas and Electricity—Shop No. 2	550				550
833.231.33-3	Gas and Electricity—Central Warehouse	550				550
833.233.33-1	McGill Commodity Service	60				60
833.233.33-2	Removal of Dead Animals	6,000				6,000
	Real Estate Department					
833.233.34	Directory Service				90	90
	Department of Public Health—Central Office—Administration					
833.227.50-1	Postage			2,500		2,500
	Coroner					
833.230.57	Publication of Reports					350
	Controller					
833.208.60	Rental Tabulating Machines			1,000		1,000

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
<b>Other Contractual Services (Continued)</b>						
<b>City Planning Commission</b>						
833.229.61	Official Advertising .....	.	.	500	.	500
<b>Materials and Supplies</b>						
<b>Police Department (Stations and Bureaus)</b>						
<b>Supplies—Automobile</b> .....						
833.310.09-1	Supplies—Automobile .....	.	.	500	.	500
833.310.09-2	Supplies—Police Launch .....	.	.	200	.	200
833.315.09-1	Batteries and Electrical Supplies .....	.	.	1,692	.	1,692
<b>Drygoods and Sewing Materials—Clothing—Wearing Apparel</b> .....						
833.314.07-2	Sheriff—Jail No. 1 .....	.	.	1,200	.	1,200
833.314.07-3	Sheriff—Jail No. 2 .....	.	.	5,500	.	5,500
833.314.09	Police Department—City Prison .....	.	.	548	.	548
833.314.24	Juvenile Detention Home .....	.	.	515	.	515
833.314.38	Department of Public Works—Building Repair .....	.	.	200	.	200
<b>Department of Public Health</b>						
833.314.51	Laguna Honda Home .....	.	.	23,000	.	23,000
833.314.53	San Francisco Hospital .....	.	.	23,000	.	23,000
833.314.54	Emergency Hospitals .....	.	.	1,700	.	1,700
833.314.55	Hassler Health Home .....	.	.	1,800	.	1,800
<b>Gasoline and Oil</b> .....						
833.318.03	Assessor .....	.	.	400	.	400
833.318.07-1	Sheriff—Administration .....	.	.	500	.	500
833.318.07-3	Sheriff—County Jail No. 2 .....	.	.	1,200	.	1,200
833.318.09	Police Department .....	.	.	26,275	.	26,275
833.318.10	Fire Department .....	.	.	13,000	.	13,000
833.318.10-3	Fire Department—Exposition .....	.	.	850	.	850
833.318.23	Juvenile Court .....	.	.	350	.	350
833.318.26	Chief Administrative Officer .....	.	.	450	.	450
833.318.28	Tax Collector .....	.	.	200	.	200



Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	Receipts From Intra-fund	Receipts From Inter-fund	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
<b>Materials and Supplies (Continued)</b>						
<b>Gasoline and Oil (Continued)</b>						
833.318.29	Registrar of Voters .....	.	.....	.....	.....	75
833.318.33-1	Purchasing Department—General Office .....	30	.....	.....	.....	30
833.318.33-2	Purchasing Department—Shop No. 2 .....	250	.....	.....	.....	250
<b>Department of Public Works</b>						
833.318.36	General Office .....	.	.....	.....	.....	144
833.318.37	Bureau of Accounts .....	.	.....	.....	.....	500
833.318.38	Bureau of Building Repair .....	.	.....	.....	.....	600
833.318.39	Bureau of Building Inspection .....	.	.....	.....	.....	100
833.318.40	Bureau of Engineering .....	.	.....	.....	.....	600
833.318.42	Bureau of Street Cleaning .....	.	.....	.....	.....	9,200
833.318.43	Bureau of Sewer Repair .....	.	.....	.....	.....	3,100
833.318.49	Department of Electricity .....	.	.....	.....	.....	1,580
833.318.49-1	Department of Electricity—Inspection Bureau .....	.	.....	.....	.....	550
<b>Department of Public Health</b>						
<b>Central Office</b>						
833.318.50-1	Administration .....	.	.....	.....	.....	750
833.318.50-4	Meat Inspection .....	.	.....	.....	.....	110
833.318.50-11	Food and Milk Inspection .....	.	.....	.....	.....	3,500
833.318.50-13	Plumbing Inspection .....	.	.....	.....	.....	725
833.318.50-14	Housing Inspection .....	.	.....	.....	.....	150
833.318.50-15	Industrial Inspection .....	.	.....	.....	.....	110
833.318.50-17	Field Nursing—Administration .....	.	.....	.....	.....	100
833.318.50-18	Field Nursing—Schools .....	.	.....	.....	.....	80
833.318.50-19	Field Nursing—Other .....	.	.....	.....	.....	175
833.318.51	Laguna Honda Home .....	.	.....	.....	.....	1,800
833.318.53	San Francisco Hospital .....	.	.....	.....	.....	900
833.318.54	Emergency Hospitals .....	.	.....	.....	.....	2,500
833.318.55	Hassler Health Home .....	.	.....	.....	.....	650

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

MONDAY, MAY 23, 1938.

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
<b>Materials and Supplies (Continued)</b>						
<b>Gasoline and Oil (Continued)</b>						
833.318.57	Coroner .....			300		300
833.318.59	Department of Weights and Measures .....			400		400
833.318.60	Controller .....			300		300
833.318.72	Employees' Retirement System .....				43	43
	Books, Office Supplies, Stationery, etc. .... (\$124,875)					
833.328.01	Board of Supervisors .....					
833.328.02	Mayor .....			800		800
833.328.03	Assessor .....			2,500		2,500
833.328.04	City Attorney .....			13,000		13,000
833.328.05	District Attorney .....			1,000		1,000
833.328.06	Treasurer .....			750		750
833.328.07-1	Sheriff—Administration .....			1,100		1,100
833.328.08	Public Defender .....			2,865		2,865
833.328.09	Police Department (Stations and Bureaus) .....			100		100
833.328.10	Fire Department .....			12,000		12,000
833.328.16	Art Commission .....			1,500		1,500
833.328.20	Municipal Court .....			100		100
833.328.21-1	Superior Court—Law Books .....			3,450		3,450
833.328.21-2	Superior Court—Secretary .....			1,000		1,000
833.328.21-3	Superior Court—Grand Jury .....			1,000		1,000
833.328.22	Law Library .....			500		500
833.328.23	Juvenile Court .....			350		350
833.328.25	Adult Probation .....			1,150		1,150
833.328.26	Chief Administrative Officer .....			500		500
833.328.27	Director of Finance and Records .....			250		250
833.328.28	Tax Collector .....			125		125
833.328.29	Registrar of Voters .....			7,000		7,000
833.328.30	Recorder .....			650		650
				4,000		4,000

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page.)



Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
Materials and Supplies (Continued)						
Books, Office Supplies, Stationery, etc. (Continued)						
833.328.31	County Clerk .....	..	..	6,300	..	6,300
833.328.32	Public Administrator .....	..	..	800	..	800
833.328.33	Purchasing Department—General Office .....	8,000	..	..	..	8,000
833.328.34	Real Estate Department .....	..	..	200	..	200
833.328.34-1	Real Estate Department—Blueprints, etc. ....	..	..	200	..	200
Department of Public Works						
833.328.36	General Office .....	..	..	5,300	..	5,300
833.328.40	Engineering .....	..	..	1,800	..	1,800
833.328.49	Department of Electricity .....	..	..	200	..	200
833.328.49-1	Department of Electricity—Inspection Bureau .....	..	..	800	..	800
Department of Public Health						
Central Office						
833.328.50-1	Administration .....	..	..	1,500	..	1,500
833.328.50-2	Accounting .....	..	..	420	..	420
833.328.50-3	Statistics .....	..	..	1,500	..	1,500
833.328.50-4	Meat Inspection .....	..	..	75	..	75
833.328.50-5	Communicable Diseases .....	..	..	650	..	650
833.328.50-6	G. U. Diagnostic Center .....	..	..	125	..	125
833.328.50-6-1	Bureau of Mental Hygiene .....	..	..	100	..	100
833.328.50-7	Bacteriological Laboratory .....	..	..	800	..	800
833.328.50-9	Dental .....	..	..	75	..	75
833.328.50-11	Food and Milk Inspection .....	..	..	660	..	660
833.328.50-12	Chemical Laboratory .....	..	..	100	..	100
833.328.50-13	Plumbing Inspection .....	..	..	480	..	480
833.328.50-14	Housing Inspection .....	..	..	270	..	270
833.328.50-15	Industrial Inspection .....	..	..	60	..	60
833.328.50-18	Field Nursing—Schools .....	..	..	700	..	700
833.328.50-19	Field Nursing—Other .....	..	..	1,030	..	1,030
833.328.50-21	Tuberculosis Bureau .....	..	..	300	..	300

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
Materials and Supplies (Continued)						
Books, Office Supplies, Stationery, etc. (Continued)						
Department of Public Health (Continued)						
833.328.51	Laguna Honda Home .....	.	.....	750	.....	750
833.328.53	San Francisco Hospital .....	.	.....	7,500	.....	7,500
833.328.54	Emergency Hospitals .....	.	.....	1,500	.....	1,500
833.328.55	Hassler Health Home .....	.	.....	250	.....	250
833.328.56	County Welfare Department .....	.	.....	8,500	.....	8,500
833.328.57	Coroner .....	.	.....	650	.....	650
833.328.58	Horticultural Inspection Department .....	.	.....	400	.....	400
833.328.59	Department of Weights and Measures .....	.	.....	190	.....	190
833.328.60	Controller .....	13,000	.....	.....	.....	13,000
833.328.61	City Planning Commission .....	500	.....	.....	.....	500
833.328.71	Civil Service Commission .....	3,500	.....	.....	.....	3,500
	Tires and Tubes .....	(\$11,875)	.....	.....	.....	.....
833.337.01	Board of Supervisors .....	150	.....	.....	.....	150
833.337.02	Mayor .....	400	.....	.....	.....	400
833.337.05	District Attorney .....	100	.....	.....	.....	100
833.337.07-1	Sheriff—Administrative .....	125	.....	.....	.....	125
833.337.07-3	Sheriff—County Jail No. 2 .....	200	.....	.....	.....	200
833.337.09	Police Department .....	4,200	.....	.....	.....	4,200
833.337.23	Juvenile Court .....	175	.....	.....	.....	175
833.337.26	Chief Administrative Officer .....	100	.....	.....	.....	100
833.337.29	Registrar of Voters .....	100	.....	.....	.....	100
833.337.33-2	Purchasing Department—Shop No. 2 .....	175	.....	.....	.....	175

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
<b>Materials and Supplies (Continued)</b>						
<b>Tires and Tubes (Continued)</b>						
<b>Department of Public Works</b>						
833.337.37	Bureau of Accounts .....	.	.....	100	.....	100
833.337.38	Bureau of Building Repair .....	.	.....	150	.....	150
833.337.40	Bureau of Engineering .....	.	.....	150	.....	150
833.337.42	Bureau of Street Cleaning .....	.	.....	1,500	.....	1,500
833.337.43	Bureau of Sewer Repair .....	.	.....	500	.....	500
833.337.49	Department of Electricity .....	.	.....	350	.....	350
833.337.49-1	Department of Electricity—Inspection Bureau .....	.	.....	50	.....	50
<b>Department of Public Health</b>						
<b>Central Office</b>						
833.337.50-1	Administration .....	.	.....	150	.....	150
833.337.50-4	Meat Inspection .....	.	.....	25	.....	25
833.337.50-11	Food and Milk Inspection .....	25	.....	500	.....	500
833.337.50-13	Plumbing Inspection .....	.....	.....	250	.....	250
833.337.50-14	Housing Inspection .....	.....	.....	100	.....	100
833.337.50-15	Industrial Inspection .....	.....	.....	60	.....	60
833.337.50-17	Field Nursing—Administration .....	.....	.....	50	.....	50
833.337.50-18	Field Nursing—Schools .....	.....	.....	65	.....	65
833.337.50-19	Field Nursing—Other .....	.....	.....	125	.....	125
833.337.51	Laguna Honda Home .....	.....	.....	200	.....	200
833.337.53	San Francisco Hospital .....	.....	.....	250	.....	250
833.337.54	Emergency Hospitals .....	.....	.....	1,000	.....	1,000
833.337.55	Hassler Health Home .....	.....	.....	300	.....	300
833.337.57	Coroner .....	.....	.....	75	.....	75
833.337.59	Department of Weights and Measures .....	.....	.....	100	.....	100
833.337.60	Controller .....	.....	.....	100	.....	100

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

MONDAY, MAY 23, 1938.

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
Materials and Supplies (Continued)						
	Cleaning, Polishing, Lavatory Supplies . . . . . (\$17,565)					
833.338.07-2	Sheriff—County Jail No. 1 . . . . .			730		730
833.338.07-3	Sheriff—County Jail No. 2 . . . . .			1,250		1,250
833.338.49	Department of Electricity . . . . .			35		35
	Department of Public Health					
833.338.51	Laguna Honda Home . . . . .			5,000		5,000
833.338.53	San Francisco Hospital . . . . .			10,000		10,000
833.338.54	Emergency Hospitals . . . . .			150		150
833.338.55	Hassler Health Home . . . . .			400		400
	Brooms, Brushes, Mops, etc. . . . . (\$4,760)					
833.339.07-2	Sheriff—County Jail No. 1 . . . . .			150		150
833.339.07-3	Sheriff—County Jail No. 2 . . . . .			350		350
	Department of Public Health					
833.339.51	Laguna Honda Home . . . . .			1,500		1,500
833.339.53	San Francisco Hospital . . . . .			2,500		2,500
833.339.54	Emergency Hospitals . . . . .			60		60
833.339.55	Hassler Health Home . . . . .			200		200
	Miscellaneous Janitorial Supplies . . . . . (\$23,013)					
833.340.07-3	Sheriff—County Jail No. 2 . . . . .			400		400
833.340.09-1	Police Department—Stations and Bureaus . . . . .			300		300
833.340.09	Police Department—City Prison . . . . .			580		580
833.340.10	Fire Department . . . . .			7,000		7,000
833.340.10-3	Fire Department—Exposition . . . . .			750		750
833.340.24	Juvenile Detention Home . . . . .			288		288
833.340.33-3	Purchasing Department—Central Warehouse . . . . .	50				50
833.340.38	Department of Public Works—Building Repair . . . . .					
833.340.49	Department of Electricity . . . . .			5,500		5,500
				45		45

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
Materials and Supplies (Continued)						
Miscellaneous Janitorial Supplies (Continued)						
Department of Public Health						
833.340.51	Laguna Honda Home .....	..	..	2,700	..	2,700
833.340.53	San Francisco Hospital .....	..	..	5,000	..	5,000
833.340.54	Emergency Hospitals .....	..	..	200	..	200
833.340.55	Hassler Health Home .....	..	..	200	..	200
833.351.07-2	Canned Goods and Dried Fruits .....	..	..	..	..	..
833.351.07-3	Sheriff—County Jail No. 1 .....	..	..	850	..	850
833.351.09	Sheriff—County Jail No. 2 .....	..	..	1,600	..	1,600
833.351.24	Police Department—City Prison .....	..	..	386	..	386
833.351.24	Juvenile Detention Home .....	..	..	500	..	500
Department of Public Health						
833.351.51	Laguna Honda Home .....	..	..	18,500	..	18,500
833.351.53	San Francisco Hospital .....	..	..	22,000	..	22,000
833.351.54	Emergency Hospitals .....	..	..	50	..	50
833.351.55	Hassler Health Home .....	..	..	1,800	..	1,800
833.400.01	Equipment .....	..	..	..	..	..
833.400.01	Board of Supervisors .....	..	..	2,000	..	2,000
833.400.09	Police Department .....	..	..	20,025	..	20,025
833.400.09-2	Police Department—Exposition .....	..	..	5,400	..	5,400
833.400.10	Fire Department .....	..	..	37,172	..	37,172
833.400.10-3	Fire Department—Exposition .....	..	..	67,706	..	67,706
833.400.15	War Memorial .....	..	..	..	500	500
833.400.21	Superior Court .....	..	..	500	..	500
833.400.24	Juvenile Detention Home .....	..	..	700	..	700
833.400.29	Registrar of Voters .....	..	..	780	..	780
833.400.33	Purchaser .....	23,000	..	..	..	23,000

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

MONDAY, MAY 23, 1938.

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
Department of Public Works						
833.400.38	Bureau of Building Repair.....	.	.	700	.	700
833.400.41	Central Permit Bureau.....	.	.	250	.	250
833.400.42	Bureau of Street Cleaning.....	.	.	47,400	.	47,400
833.400.49	Department of Electricity.....	.	.	750	.	750
833.400.49-1	Department of Electricity—Inspection Bureau.....	.	.	175	.	175
Department of Public Health						
Central Office						
833.400.50-3	Statistics.....	.	.	425	.	425
833.400.50-11	Food and Milk Inspection.....	.	.	175	.	175
833.400.50-12	Chemical Laboratory.....	.	.	210	.	210
833.400.50-21	Tuberculosis Bureau.....	.	.	250	.	250
833.400.51	Laguna Honda Home.....	.	.	3,540	.	3,540
833.400.53	San Francisco Hospital.....	.	.	20,000	.	20,000
833.400.54	Emergency Hospitals.....	.	.	5,010	.	5,010
833.400.55	Hassler Health Home.....	.	.	700	.	700
833.400.56	County Welfare Department.....	.	.	9,030	.	9,030
833.400.57	Coroner.....	.	.	1,500	.	1,500
833.400.59	Department of Weights and Measures.....	.	.	750	.	750
Insurance and Premium on Official Bonds						
833.816.06	Premium on Official Bonds—Treasurer.....	.	.	7,000	.	7,000
833.816.33	Premium on Official Bonds—Miscellaneous Depts.....	10,575	.	.	.	10,575
833.817.07-3	Auto Insurance—Sheriff—County Jail No. 2.....	.	.	250	.	250
833.818.00	Forgery Insurance—Miscellaneous Departments.....	727	.	.	.	727
833.900.00	Services of Other Departments.....	600	.	.	.	600
		200,970	3,550	897,357	14,411	1,109,188

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
834	REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT *(Receipts allocated to Appropriation \$1500)					
834.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	12,600	.....	.....	.....	12,600
834.200.00	Contractual Services .....	645	495	.....	.....	150
834.200.01	*Collection of Rents .....	1,800	.....	.....	.....	1,800
834.203.00	Auto Hire .....	480	480	.....	.....	.....
834.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	400	400	.....	.....	.....
834.900.00	Other Services .....	1,000	.....	.....	.....	1,000
834.809.00	Rental, 550 Montgomery Street .....	16,925	1,375	.....	.....	15,550
		10,560	.....	.....	.....	10,560
		27,485	1,375	.....	.....	26,110
835	REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT—AUDITORIUM (Receipts allocated to Appropriation, \$40,000)					
835.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	26,925	.....	1,500	.....	28,425
835.102.00	Temporary Salaries .....	8,000	.....	.....	.....	8,000
835.200.00	Contractual Services .....	3,541	329	.....	.....	3,212
835.231.00	Heat, Light and Power .....	7,000	7,000	.....	.....	.....
835.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	4,000	.....	.....	.....	4,000
835.900.00	Services of Other Departments .....	605	.....	.....	.....	605
		50,071	7,329	1,500	.....	44,242

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS</b>						
836	General					
836.101.01	Permanent Salaries—Director .....	8,000				8,000
836.101.02	Permanent Salaries—General Office .....	26,160				26,160
836.101.03	Permanent Salaries—Telephone Exchange .....	11,160				11,160
836.102.00	Temporary Salaries .....	450				450
836.200.00	Contractual Services .....	1,100	1,100			
836.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	5,444	5,444			
		52,314	6,544			45,770
837	Bureau of Accounts					
837.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	26,160				26,160
837.200.00	Contractual Services .....	575	575			
837.231.00	Heat, Light and Power .....	400	400			
837.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	600	600			
837.966.00	Stores Account .....	7,000				7,000
		34,735	1,575			33,160
838	Bureau of Building Repair					
838.101.01	Permanent Salaries—Superintendence .....	19,762				19,762
838.101.02	Permanent Salaries—Maintenance .....	212,208				212,208
838.102.00	Temporary Salaries—Maintenance .....	1,323				1,323
838.103.00	Wages .....	87,921				87,921
838.200.00	Contractual Services .....	7,762	6,902			860
838.231.00	Heat, Light and Power .....	240	240			
838.203.00	Truck Hire .....	1,500				1,500
838.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	10,950	950			10,000
838.319.00	Engine Room Supplies .....	17,000				17,000
838.340.00	Janitorial Supplies .....	5,500	5,500			

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



MONDAY, MAY 23, 1938.

713

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS (Continued)</b>						
<b>Bureau of Building Repair (Continued)</b>						
838.400.00	Equipment .....	700	700	.....	.....	.....
838.900.00	Services of Other Departments .....	100	.....	.....	.....	100
838.213.00	Repairs to Public Buildings .....	50,000	.....	.....	.....	50,000
		414,966	14,292	.....	.....	400,674
<b>Bureau of Building Inspection</b>						
839	Permanent Salaries .....	55,500	.....	.....	.....	55,500
839.101.00	Contractual Services .....	5,190	5,190	.....	.....	.....
839.200.00	Materials and Supplies .....	100	100	.....	.....	.....
839.300.00		60,790	5,290	.....	.....	55,500
<b>Bureau of Engineering</b>						
840	Permanent Salaries .....	196,680	.....	.....	.....	196,680
840.101.00	Contractual Services .....	6,800	500	.....	.....	6,300
840.200.00	Heat, Light and Power .....	50	50	.....	.....	.....
840.231.00	Auto Hire .....	3,360	3,360	.....	.....	.....
840.203.00	Extension and Reconstruction of Main Sewers .....	10,000	.....	.....	.....	10,000
840.214.00	Materials and Supplies .....	3,550	2,550	.....	.....	1,000
840.300.00	Special Inspection .....	13,000	.....	.....	.....	13,000
840.900.00	(Receipts allocated to Appropriation, \$13,000)					
		233,440	6,460	.....	.....	226,980
<b>Central Permit Bureau</b>						
841	Permanent Salaries .....	17,140	.....	.....	.....	17,140
841.101.00	Contractual Services .....	100	.....	.....	.....	100
841.200.00	Equipment .....	250	250	.....	.....	.....
841.400.00		17,490	250	.....	.....	17,240

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS (Continued)</b>						
842	Bureau of Street Cleaning					
842.101.00	Permanent Salaries	28,620				28,620
842.103.00	Wages	469,998				469,998
842.200.00	Contractual Services	10,580	10,080			500
842.231.00	Heat, Light and Power	100	100			
842.203.00	Truck and Team Hire	8,393				8,393
842.300.00	Materials and Supplies	12,300	10,700			1,600
842.400.00	Equipment	49,400	47,400			2,000
842.809.00	Rental—Jones and Jefferson	1,800				1,800
842.900.00	Services of Other Departments	1,000				1,000
		582,191	68,280			513,911
843	Bureau of Sewer Repair					
843.101.00	Permanent Salaries	13,500				13,500
843.103.00	Wages	178,565				178,565
843.200.00	Contractual Services	4,100	4,000			100
843.203.00	Team and Truck Hire	39,920				39,920
843.300.00	Materials and Supplies	20,600	3,600			17,000
843.900.00	Services of Other Departments	7,100				7,100
		263,785	7,600			256,185
	Tearing up Streets—Side Sewers	50,000				50,000
	(Receipts allocated to Appropriation, \$50,000)					
		313,785	7,600			306,185
844	Sewage Pumping Station					
844.101.00	Permanent Salaries	4,380				4,380
844.102.00	Temporary Salaries	72				72
844.200.00	Contractual Services	3,500				3,500
844.231.00	Heat, Light and Power	4,000	4,000			
844.300.00	Materials and Supplies	1,000				1,000
		12,952	4,000			8,952

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Intra-fund Receipts	Total
<b>DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY</b>						
849	Permanent Salaries .....	109,810	.....	.....	.....	114,810
849.101.00	Permanent Salaries—Inspection Bureau .....	58,800	.....	.....	5,000	58,800
849.101.01	Temporary Salaries .....	750	.....	.....	.....	750
849.102.00	Wages .....	17,275	.....	.....	.....	17,275
849.103.00	Contractual Services .....	1,376	1,031	.....	.....	345
849.200.00	Contractual Services—Inspection Bureau .....	812	487	.....	.....	325
849.200.01	Heat, Light and Power .....	1,545	1,545	.....	.....	.....
849.231.00	Materials and Supplies .....	11,400	2,210	.....	.....	9,190
849.300.00	Materials and Supplies—Inspection Bureau .....	1,400	1,400	.....	.....	.....
849.300.01	Equipment .....	750	750	.....	.....	.....
849.400.00	Equipment .....	175	175	.....	.....	.....
849.400.01	Equipment—Inspection Bureau .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
		204,093	7,598	.....	5,000	201,495
<b>DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH</b>						
<b>Central Office</b>						
<b>Administration</b>						
850	Permanent Salaries .....	30,682	.....	.....	.....	30,682
850.101.01	Temporary Salaries .....	65	.....	.....	.....	65
850.102.01	Contractual Services .....	6,000	5,018	.....	.....	982
850.200.01	Heat, Light and Power .....	4,100	4,100	.....	.....	.....
850.231.01	Materials and Supplies .....	3,475	2,400	.....	.....	1,075
850.300.01	Services of Other Departments .....	2,500	1,500	.....	.....	1,000
850.390.01	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
		46,822	13,018	.....	.....	33,804
<b>Other Appropriations</b>						
850.252.01	Feeble-Minded .....	160,000	.....	.....	.....	160,000
850.811.01	Burial of Indigent Dead .....	12,600	.....	.....	.....	12,600
		219,422	13,018	.....	.....	206,404

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued)</b>						
<b>Central Office (Continued)</b>						
<b>Accounting</b>						
850.101.02	Permanent Salaries .....	17,580	.....	.....	.....	17,580
850.200.02	Contractual Services .....	425	150	.....	.....	275
850.300.02	Materials and Supplies .....	450	420	.....	.....	30
		18,455	570	.....	.....	17,885
<b>Statistics</b>						
850.101.03	Permanent Salaries .....	15,120	.....	.....	.....	15,120
850.200.03	Contractual Services .....	1,575	50	.....	.....	1,525
850.300.03	Materials and Supplies .....	1,525	1,500	.....	.....	25
850.400.03	Equipment .....	425	425	.....	.....	.....
		18,645	1,975	.....	.....	16,670
<b>Meat Inspection</b>						
850.101.04	Permanent Salaries .....	72,336	.....	.....	.....	72,336
850.200.04	Contractual Services .....	1,915	1,890	.....	.....	25
850.300.04	Materials and Supplies .....	260	210	.....	.....	50
		74,511	2,100	.....	.....	72,411
<b>Communicable Diseases</b>						
850.101.05	Permanent Salaries .....	26,760	.....	.....	.....	26,760
850.200.05	Contractual Services .....	2,220	2,170	.....	.....	50
850.300.05	Materials and Supplies .....	1,620	650	.....	.....	970
		30,600	2,820	.....	.....	27,780
<b>Syphilis Unit</b>						
850.101.05-1	Permanent Salaries .....	4,920	.....	.....	.....	4,920
850.200.05-1	Contractual Services .....	50	.....	.....	.....	50
850.300.05-1	Materials and Supplies .....	50	.....	.....	.....	50
		5,020	.....	.....	.....	5,020

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



MONDAY, MAY 23, 1938.

717

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued)</b>						
G. U. Diagnostic Center (Formerly Clinics)						
850.101.06	Permanent Salaries .....	6,780				6,780
850.200.06	Contractual Services .....	95	20			75
850.300.06	Materials and Supplies .....	375	125			250
		7,250	145			7,105
Bureau of Mental Hygiene						
850.101.06-1	Permanent Salaries .....	15,300				15,300
850.200.06-1	Contractual Services .....	50				50
850.300.06-1	Materials and Supplies .....	150	100			50
		15,500	100			15,400
Bacteriological Laboratory						
850.101.07	Permanent Salaries .....	23,334				23,334
850.200.07	Contractual Services .....	50	20			30
850.300.07	Materials and Supplies .....	2,665	800			1,865
		26,049	820			25,229
School Inspection—Medical						
850.101.08	Permanent Salaries .....	33,700				33,700
850.200.08	Contractual Services .....	120				120
850.300.08	Materials and Supplies .....	2,530				2,530
		36,350				36,350
Dental Bureau						
850.101.09	Permanent Salaries .....	27,240				27,240
850.200.09	Contractual Services .....	100				100
850.300.09	Materials and Supplies .....	1,075	75			1,000
		28,415	75			28,340

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued)</b>						
<b>Child Welfare—Medical</b>						
850.101.10	Permanent Salaries .....	10,460	.....	.....	.....	10,460
<b>Food and Milk Inspection</b>						
850.101.11	Permanent Salaries .....	76,080	.....	.....	.....	76,080
850.200.11	Contractual Services .....	11,095	2,100	.....	.....	8,995
850.300.11	Materials and Supplies .....	4,860	4,860	.....	.....	200
850.400.11	Equipment .....	175	175	.....	.....	.....
		92,210	6,935	.....	.....	85,275
<b>Chemical Laboratory</b>						
850.101.12	Permanent Salaries .....	9,300	.....	.....	.....	9,300
850.200.12	Contractual Services .....	35	.....	.....	.....	35
850.300.12	Materials and Supplies .....	600	100	.....	.....	500
850.400.12	Equipment .....	210	210	.....	.....	.....
		10,145	310	.....	.....	9,835
<b>Plumbing Inspection</b>						
850.101.13	Permanent Salaries .....	28,560	.....	.....	.....	28,560
850.200.13	Contractual Services .....	1,075	1,020	.....	.....	55
850.300.13	Materials and Supplies .....	1,505	1,455	.....	.....	50
		31,140	2,475	.....	.....	28,665
<b>Housing Inspection</b>						
850.101.14	Permanent Salaries .....	26,400	.....	.....	.....	26,400
850.200.14	Contractual Services .....	720	270	.....	.....	450
850.300.14	Materials and Supplies .....	565	520	.....	.....	45
		27,685	790	.....	.....	26,895

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued)</b>						
	Industrial Inspection					
850.101.15	Permanent Salaries	11,700	.....	.....	.....	11,700
850.200.15	Contractual Services	575	400	.....	.....	175
850.300.15	Materials and Supplies	255	230	.....	.....	25
		12,530	630	.....	.....	11,900
	City Physicians					
850.101.16	Permanent Salaries	27,000	.....	5,400	.....	32,400
850.200.16	Contractual Services	3,300	3,300	.....	.....	.....
		30,300	3,300	5,400	.....	32,400
	Field Nursing—Administration					
850.101.17	Permanent Salaries	33,300	.....	.....	.....	33,300
850.200.17	Contractual Services	150	150	.....	.....	.....
850.300.17	Materials and Supplies	150	150	.....	.....	.....
		33,600	300	.....	.....	33,300
	Field Nursing—Schools					
850.101.18	Permanent Salaries	82,200	.....	.....	.....	82,200
850.200.18	Contractual Services	3,350	290	.....	.....	3,060
850.300.18	Materials and Supplies	2,000	845	.....	.....	1,155
850.350.18	Foodstuffs	20,000	.....	.....	.....	20,000
		107,550	1,135	.....	.....	106,415

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued)</b>						
	Field Nursing—Other					
850.101.19	Permanent Salaries	41,160	.....	.....	.....	41,160
850.200.19	Contractual Services	2,875	725	.....	.....	2,150
850.231.19	Heat, Light and Power	250	250	.....	.....	.....
850.300.19	Materials and Supplies	3,530	1,330	.....	.....	2,200
850.800.19	Rentals	1,590	.....	.....	.....	1,590
		49,405	2,305	.....	.....	47,100
<b>Tuberculosis Bureau</b>						
850.101.21	Permanent Salaries	28,200	.....	.....	.....	28,200
850.200.21	Contractual Services	600	.....	.....	.....	600
850.300.21	Materials and Supplies	600	300	.....	.....	300
850.400.21	Equipment	250	250	.....	.....	.....
		29,650	550	.....	.....	29,100
<b>Laguna Honda Home</b>						
851	Permanent Salaries	138,000	.....	.....	.....	138,000
851.101.00	Inmate Help	27,900	.....	.....	.....	27,900
851.101.01	Institutional Help	76,050	.....	.....	.....	76,050
851.101.02	Temporary Salaries	2,994	.....	.....	.....	2,994
851.200.00	Contractual Services	5,010	935	.....	.....	4,075
851.231.00	Heat, Light and Power	26,750	26,750	.....	.....	.....
851.300.00	Materials and Supplies	72,000	34,950	.....	.....	37,050
851.350.00	Foodstuffs	250,000	18,500	.....	.....	231,500
851.400.00	Equipment	3,540	3,540	.....	.....	.....
851.108.00	Employees Room Allowance	11,000	.....	.....	.....	11,000
851.900.00	Services of Other Departments	3,025	.....	.....	.....	3,025
		616,269	84,675	.....	.....	531,594

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued)						
852	Isolation Hospital					
852.101.00	Permanent Salaries	25,470				25,470
852.101.01	Permanent Salaries—Institutional Help	15,450				15,450
852.102.00	Temporary Salaries	200				200
		41,120				41,120
853	San Francisco Hospital					
853.101.00	Permanent Salaries	246,368				246,368
853.101.01	Internes and Student Nurses	16,540				16,540
853.101.02	Nursing	274,780				274,780
853.101.03	Institutional Help	310,233				310,233
853.101.04	Psychiatric Ward—Permanent Salaries	8,580				8,580
853.101.05	Social Service—Permanent Salaries	39,540				39,540
853.101.06	Outpatient Maternity—Permanent Salaries	10,080				10,080
853.102.00	Temporary Salaries	8,113				8,113
853.200.00	Contractual Services	15,912	4,982			10,930
853.231.00	Heat, Light and Power	35,000	35,000			187,200
853.300.00	Materials and Supplies	236,350	49,150			278,000
853.350.00	Foodstuffs	300,000	22,000			62,000
853.400.00	Equipment	22,450	20,000			2,450
853.108.00	Room Allowance for Employees	62,000				10,000
853.900.00	Services of Other Departments	10,000				
		1,595,946	131,132			1,464,814
854	Emergency Hospitals					
854.101.00	Permanent Salaries	147,000				147,000
854.101.01	Nursing	35,100				35,100
854.102.00	Temporary Salaries	11,960				11,960
854.200.00	Contractual Services	10,757	5,862			4,895
854.231.00	Heat, Light and Power	1,800	1,800			

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued)</b>						
Emergency Hospitals (Continued)						
854.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	15,665	7,110	.....	.....	8,555
854.350.00	Foodstuffs .....	3,575	50	.....	.....	3,525
854.400.00	Equipment .....	5,010	5,010	.....	.....	.....
854.900.00	Services of Other Departments .....	1,200	.....	.....	.....	1,200
		232,067	19,832	.....	.....	212,235
<b>Hassler Health Home</b>						
855	Permanent Salaries .....	31,620	.....	.....	.....	31,620
855.101.00	Institutional Help .....	16,044	.....	.....	.....	16,044
855.102.00	Temporary Salaries .....	935	.....	.....	.....	935
855.200.00	Contractual Services .....	2,985	1,200	.....	.....	1,785
855.231.00	Heat, Light and Power .....	3,500	3,500	.....	.....	.....
855.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	12,500	3,800	.....	.....	8,700
855.350.00	Foodstuffs .....	24,075	1,800	.....	.....	22,275
855.400.00	Equipment .....	700	700	.....	.....	.....
855.812.00	Taxes .....	425	.....	.....	.....	425
855.108.00	Employees' Room Allowance .....	1,900	.....	.....	.....	1,900
		94,684	11,000	.....	.....	83,684

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PUBLIC WELFARE DEPARTMENT</b>						
County Welfare Division						
856	Permanent Salaries	112,080				112,080
856.101.00	Permanent Salaries	14,400				14,400
856.102.00	Temporary Salaries	3,570	2,700			870
856.200.00	Contractual Services	100				100
856.200.01	Clothing Blind and Deaf in Schools	8,500	8,500			
856.300.00	Materials and Supplies	9,030	9,030			
856.400.00	Equipment	300,000				300,000
856.804.01	Widows' Pensions	4,275,148				4,275,148
856.804.02	Maintenance of Aged	250,000				250,000
856.804.03	Blind Pensions	60,000				60,000
856.804.04	Supplementary Aid to Widows	5,032,828	20,230			5,012,598
<b>CORONER</b>						
857	Permanent Salaries	52,340				52,340
857.101.00	Permanent Salaries	1,277				1,277
857.102.00	Temporary Salaries	1,502	850			652
857.200.00	Contractual Services	2,185	1,025			1,160
857.300.00	Materials and Supplies	1,500	1,500			
857.400.00	Equipment	500				500
857.811.00	Burial of Honorably Discharged Soldiers, etc.	59,304	3,375			55,929
<b>AGRICULTURAL (HORTICULTURAL) INSPECTION DEPT.</b>						
858	Permanent Salaries	17,400				17,400
858.101.00	Permanent Salaries	2,250	2,050			200
858.200.00	Contractual Services	400	400			
858.300.00	Materials and Supplies	960				960
858.809.00	Rents, Office	21,010	2,450			18,560

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>DEPARTMENT OF WEIGHTS &amp; MEASURES</b>						
859						
859.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	19,020				19,020
859.200.00	Contractual Services .....	350	300			50
859.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	715	690			25
859.400.00	Equipment .....	750	750			
		20,835	1,740			19,095
<b>CONTROLLER</b>						
860						
860.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	209,468			13,100	222,568
860.102.00	Temporary Salaries .....	9,185				9,185
860.200.00	Contractual Services .....	4,918	3,860			1,058
860.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	13,600	13,400			200
860.900.00	Services of Other Departments .....	3,420				3,420
860.101.09	Police Department Accounting .....			2,280		2,280
860.248.65	Audit of Municipal Railway .....				2,000	2,000
860.248.66	Audit of Water Department .....				1,800	1,800
860.248.67	Audit of Hetch Hetchy Power .....				1,775	1,775
860.248.68	Audit of Hetch Hetchy Water Supply .....				1,450	1,450
860.248.72	Audit of Retirement System .....				2,500	2,500
860.248.69	Audit of San Francisco Public Schools .....				1,200	1,200
		240,591	17,260	2,280	23,825	249,436
<b>Other Appropriations</b>						
860.233.01	Pre-Legislative Expense .....	2,000				2,000
860.233.02	State Legislative Expense .....	4,000				4,000
860.705.01	Judgments .....	35,000				35,000
860.705.02	Claims .....	5,000				5,000
		286,591	17,260	2,280	23,825	295,436

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>CITY PLANNING COMMISSION</b>						
861	Permanent Salaries .....	9,500	.....	.....	.....	9,500
861.101.00	Temporary Salaries .....	300	.....	.....	.....	300
861.102.00	Commissioners' Fees .....	5,000	.....	.....	.....	5,000
861.106.00	Contractual Services .....	565	565	.....	.....	.....
861.200.00	Auto Hire .....	360	360	.....	.....	.....
861.203.00	Commission Auto Hire .....	100	100	.....	.....	.....
861.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	500	500	.....	.....	.....
		16,325	1,525	.....	.....	14,800
<b>LIGHTING OF PUBLIC STREETS AND BUILDINGS</b>						
863	Maintenance and Repair of Structures .....	3,000	.....	.....	.....	3,000
863.214.63	Lighting of Public Streets .....	695,000	.....	.....	40,000	735,000
863.231.00	Electricity and Gas					
	Sheriff .....			13,000	.....	13,000
863.231.07	Police Department .....			12,000	.....	12,000
863.231.09	Fire Department .....			17,000	.....	17,000
863.231.10	Park .....			.....	46,500	46,500
863.231.12	Recreation .....			.....	7,000	7,000
863.231.13	Library .....			.....	13,500	13,500
863.231.14	War Memorial-General .....			.....	13,000	13,000
863.231.15	War Memorial—Art Museum .....			.....	8,000	8,000
863.231.15-1	California Palace of the Legion of Honor .....			.....	3,000	3,000
863.231.17	de Young Museum .....			.....	1,700	1,700
863.231.18	Juvenile Detention Home .....			1,500	.....	1,500
863.231.24	Registrar of Voters .....			300	.....	300
863.231.29	Purchasing Department .....			3,550	.....	3,550
863.231.33	Real Estate Department—Auditorium .....			7,000	.....	7,000
863.231.35						

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

MONDAY, MAY 23, 1938.

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>LIGHTING OF PUBLIC STREETS AND BUILDINGS (Continued)</b>						
<b>Electricity and Gas (Continued)</b>						
<b>Department of Public Works</b>						
863.231.37	Bureau of Accounts .....	..	..	400	..	400
863.231.38	Bureau of Building Repair .....	..	..	240	..	240
863.231.40	Bureau of Engineering .....	..	..	50	..	50
863.231.42	Bureau of Street Cleaning .....	..	..	100	..	100
863.231.44	Sewage Pumping .....	..	..	4,000	..	4,000
863.231.45	Asphalt Plant (Street Repair) .....	..	..	..	1,100	1,100
863.231.46	Drawbridges (Bridges) .....	..	..	..	2,000	2,000
863.231.49	Department of Electricity .....	..	..	1,545	..	1,545
<b>Department of Public Health</b>						
<b>Central Office</b>						
863.231.50-1	Administration .....	..	..	4,100	..	4,100
863.231.50-19	Field Nursing—Other .....	..	..	250	..	250
863.231.51	Laguna Honda Home .....	..	..	26,750	..	26,750
863.231.53	San Francisco Hospital .....	..	..	35,000	..	35,000
863.231.54	Emergency Hospitals .....	..	..	1,800	..	1,800
863.231.55	Hassler Health Home .....	..	..	3,500	..	3,500
863.231.63	Public Building Lighting .....	28,000	..	..	..	28,000
863.231.64	Airport .....	..	..	..	9,000	9,000
863.231.65	Municipal Railway .....	..	..	..	460,000	460,000
863.231.66	Water Department .....	..	..	..	110,000	110,000
863.231.69	Schools .....	..	..	..	62,700	62,700
863.509.00	Street Lighting Construction .....	10,000	..	..	..	10,000
863.509.01	Alterations to Secure Lower Rates .....	3,000	..	..	..	3,000
863.900.00	Services of Other Departments .....	22,400	..	..	..	22,400
		761,400	..	132,085	777,500	1,670,985

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
871	CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION					
871.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	53,994	.....	.....	.....	53,994
871.102.00	Temporary Salaries .....	10,000	.....	.....	.....	10,000
871.200.00	Contractual Services .....	1,214	290	.....	.....	924
871.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	3,500	3,500	.....	.....	.....
		68,708	3,790	.....	.....	64,918
873.200.00	PUBLIC POUND .....	18,000	.....	.....	.....	18,000
	Total .....	22,196,563	1,038,622	1,038,622	842,136	23,038,699
	Transfer from Water Department .....	-990,826	.....	.....	990,826	.....
	TOTAL GENERAL FUND .....	21,205,737	1,038,622	1,038,622	1,832,962	23,038,699

MONDAY, MAY 23, 1938.

## DETAIL OF OTHER CURRENT FUNDS APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
802	MAYOR					
802,900.00	Emergency Reserve Fund.....	200,000	.....	.....	.....	200,000
802,901.00	Exposition . . . . .	302,862	.....	.....	.....	302,862
878,000.00	W.P.A. Projects . . . . .	300,000	.....	.....	.....	300,000
		802,862	.....	.....	.....	802,862
812	PARK DEPARTMENT					
	Permanent Salaries					
812,101.01	General Division . . . . .	63,300	.....	.....	.....	63,300
812,101.02	Revenue Division . . . . .	53,530	.....	.....	.....	53,530
812,101.03	Fleishacker Zoo . . . . .	4,000	.....	.....	.....	4,000
		120,830	.....	.....	.....	120,830
	Wages					
812,103.01	General Division . . . . .	546,230	.....	.....	.....	546,230
812,103.02	Revenue Division . . . . .	181,440	.....	.....	.....	181,440
812,103.03	Fleishacker Zoo . . . . .	42,069	.....	.....	.....	42,069
		769,739	.....	.....	.....	769,739
	Fees and Special Compensations					
812,106.01	General Division . . . . .	2,500	.....	.....	.....	2,500
	Contractual Services					
812,200.01	General Division . . . . .	7,828	.....	.....	.....	7,828
812,200.02	Revenue Division . . . . .	6,654	.....	.....	.....	6,654
812,200.03	Fleishacker Zoo . . . . .	1,173	.....	.....	.....	1,173
		15,655	.....	.....	.....	15,655

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PARK DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
812.218.01	Concerts—General Division	20,000				20,000
	Heat, Light, Power, etc.					
812.231.01	General Division	19,355	19,355			
812.231.02	Revenue Division	24,445	24,445			
812.231.03	Fleishhacker Zoo	2,700	2,700			
		46,500	46,500			
<b>Materials and Supplies—Park</b>						
812.300.01	General Division	45,335				45,335
812.300.02	Revenue Division	12,250				12,250
812.300.03	Fleishhacker Zoo	7,500				7,500
		65,085				65,085
<b>Materials and Supplies—Commissary</b>						
812.300.12	Revenue Division	8,300				8,300
<b>Materials and Supplies—Resale</b>						
812.300.21	General Division	250				250
812.300.22	Revenue Division	14,125				14,125
		14,375				14,375
<b>Forage and Food for Animals</b>						
812.324.01	General Division	2,765				2,765
812.324.02	Revenue Division	1,080				1,080
812.324.03	Fleishhacker Zoo	30,235				30,235
		34,080				34,080
<b>Foodstuffs</b>						
812.350.02	Revenue Division	96,500				96,500

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations for Expenditures, 1933-1939, continued on next page)

## DETAIL OF OTHER CURRENT FUNDS APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PARK DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
<b>Equipment</b>						
812.400.01	General Division . . . . .	1,750				1,750
812.400.02	Revenue Division . . . . .	2,500				2,500
812.400.03	Fleishacker Zoo . . . . .	1,000				1,000
		5,250				5,250
<b>Fixed Charges</b>						
812.800.01	General Division . . . . .	13,000				13,000
812.800.02	Revenue Division . . . . .	230				230
		13,230				13,230
<b>Retirement Allowances</b>						
812.806.01	General Division . . . . .	23,173	23,173			
812.806.02	Revenue Division . . . . .	8,641	8,641			
812.806.03	Fleishacker Zoo . . . . .	1,686	1,686			
		33,500	33,500			
<b>Services of Other Departments</b>						
812.900.01	General Division . . . . .	7,650				7,650
812.900.02	Revenue Division . . . . .	2,575				2,575
812.900.03	Fleishacker Zoo . . . . .	200				200
		10,425				10,425
<b>Soil—Fertilizer.</b>						
812.500.01	Soil—Fertilizer. . . . .	15,000				15,000
812.500.06	Aquatic Park . . . . .	38,000				38,000
812.500.07	Lincoln Park Clubhouse Equipment . . . . .	7,800				7,800
812.600.01	Fleishacker Playfield Land . . . . .	37,300				37,300
812.600.02	Lafayette Park Land . . . . .	28,000				28,000
812.818.00	Public Liability Insurance . . . . .	3,500				3,500
	<b>Total Park Fund</b> . . . . .	1,385,569	80,000			1,305,569

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund	From Inter-fund	Receipts	Total
<b>RECREATION DEPARTMENT</b>							
813	Permanent Salaries	293,985					293,985
813.101.00	Temporary Salaries	3,570					3,570
813.102.00	Wages	8,210					8,210
813.103.00	Playground Directors	34,615					34,615
813.106.00	Fees and Special Compensations	3,500					3,500
813.200.00	Contractual Services	50,773	900				49,873
813.231.00	Heat, Light and Power	7,000	7,000				
813.203.02	Auto Hire	5,324					5,324
813.300.00	Materials and Supplies	34,300					34,300
813.400.00	Equipment	1,900					1,900
813.500.00	Improvements	70,377					70,377
813.600.01	Bayview District—Land	10,000					10,000
813.600.02	Upper Noe District—Land	10,000					10,000
813.600.04	Eureka Valley—Land	10,000					10,000
813.600.07	Haight-Ashbury—Land	10,000					10,000
813.600.09	Nineteenth Avenue and Sloat—Land	22,600					22,600
813.801.00	Accident Compensation	3,600					3,600
813.818.00	Insurance Premiums	866					866
813.806.00	Pensions and Retirement Allowances	12,500	12,500				
813.809.00	Rents	3,240					3,240
813.101.01	Camp Mather—Permanent Salaries	7,034					7,034
813.102.01	Temporary Salaries	8,928					8,928
813.103.01	Wages	1,350					1,350
813.200.01	Contractual Services	4,898					4,898
813.300.01	Materials and Supplies	3,920					3,920
813.350.01	Foodstuffs	15,603					15,603
813.400.01	Equipment	200					200
813.500.01	Improvements	727					727
813.806.01	Retirement	340	340				
<b>Total Recreation Fund</b>		639,360	20,740				618,620

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

That portion of the Recreation appropriations which is to be met from taxes, shall not exceed the amount to be provided by a levy of 7 cents on each \$100 of the 1938-1939 Assessment Roll, plus \$12,600.

## DETAIL OF OTHER CURRENT FUNDS APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>LIBRARY</b>						
814						
814.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	194,040	.....	.....	.....	194,040
814.103.00	Wages .....	58,940	.....	.....	.....	58,940
814.200.00	Contractual Services .....	19,310	475	.....	.....	19,310
814.231.00	Heat, Light and Power .....	13,500	13,500	.....	.....	13,500
814.200.01	Binding .....	25,000	.....	.....	.....	25,000
814.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	8,000	.....	.....	.....	8,000
814.328.00	Books and Bindery Materials .....	62,000	.....	.....	.....	62,000
814.400.00	Equipment .....	11,000	.....	.....	.....	11,000
814.806.00	Pensions and Retirement Allowances .....	6,400	6,400	.....	.....	6,400
814.809.00	Rents .....	6,000	.....	.....	.....	6,000
814.900.00	Services of Other Departments .....	14,320	.....	.....	.....	14,320
814.815.00	Compensation Insurance .....	100	.....	.....	.....	100
814.817.00	Automobile Insurance .....	180	.....	.....	.....	180
	Total Library Fund .....	418,790	20,375	.....	.....	398,415
<b>WAR MEMORIAL</b>						
815						
	General Departments					
815.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	78,673	.....	.....	.....	78,673
815.102.00	Temporary Salaries .....	5,263	.....	.....	.....	5,263
815.103.00	Wages .....	1,750	.....	.....	.....	1,750
815.200.00	Contractual Services .....	5,700	1,180	.....	.....	4,520
815.231.00	Heat, Light and Power .....	13,000	13,000	.....	.....	.....
815.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	4,500	.....	.....	.....	4,500
815.400.00	Equipment .....	500	500	.....	.....	.....
815.900.00	Services of Other Departments	4,000	.....	.....	.....	4,000

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>WAR MEMORIAL (Continued)</b>						
<b>ART MUSEUM</b>						
815.101.01	Permanent Salaries .....	6,960	.....	.....	.....	6,960
815.102.01	Temporary Salaries .....	435	.....	.....	.....	435
815.231.01	Heat, Light and Power .....	8,000	8,000	.....	.....	.....
815.300.01	Materials and Supplies .....	500	.....	.....	.....	500
	<b>Total War Memorial Fund .....</b>	<b>129,281</b>	<b>22,680</b>	.....	.....	<b>106,601</b>
<b>CALIFORNIA PALACE OF THE LEGION OF HONOR</b>						
817		42,376	.....	.....	.....	42,376
817.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	700	.....	.....	.....	700
817.102.00	Temporary Salaries .....	200	.....	.....	.....	200
817.106.00	Fees and Special Compensations .....	2,000	.....	.....	.....	2,000
817.200.00	Contractual Services .....	3,000	3,000	.....	.....	.....
817.231.00	Heat, Light and Power .....	10,000	.....	.....	.....	10,000
817.200.01	Exhibitions .....	240	240	.....	.....	.....
817.203.00	Auto Hire .....	3,000	.....	.....	.....	3,000
817.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	1,000	.....	.....	.....	1,000
817.400.00	Equipment .....	50	.....	.....	.....	50
817.800.00	Sundry Fixed Charges .....	500	.....	.....	.....	500
817.818.00	Insurance Premiums .....	13,690	13,690	.....	.....	.....
817.900.00	Services of Other Departments (de Young Museum) .....	76,756	16,990	.....	.....	59,766
	<b>Total California Palace of the Legion of Honor Fund .....</b>	<b>76,756</b>	<b>16,990</b>	.....	.....	<b>59,766</b>

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

MONDAY, MAY 23, 1938.

## DETAIL OF OTHER CURRENT FUNDS APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
818	de YOUNG MUSEUM					
818.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	67,810	.....	.....	13,690	81,500
818.106.00	Fees and Special Compensations.....	500	.....	.....	.....	500
818.200.00	Contractual Services .....	2,000	.....	.....	.....	1,770
818.231.00	Heat, Light and Power .....	1,700	230	.....	.....	.....
818.203.00	Auto Hire .....	240	1,700	.....	.....	.....
818.300.00	Materials and Supplies.....	240	.....	.....	.....	.....
818.400.00	Equipment .....	3,000	.....	.....	.....	3,000
818.800.00	Fixed Charges .....	2,000	.....	.....	.....	2,000
818.200.01	Exhibitions .....	100	.....	.....	.....	100
	Total de Young Museum Fund.....	7,000	.....	.....	.....	7,000
		84,350	2,170	.....	13,690	95,870
826	CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER					
826.277.00	Publicity and Advertising Fund.....	319,180	.....	.....	.....	319,180
826.703.02	Installment Payment on State Unemployment Relief Loan Fund.....	101,727	.....	.....	.....	101,727
826.800.01	General City Bond Interest and Redemption Fund.....	5,579,954	.....	.....	446,000	6,025,954
826.800.02	P.S.E. Bond Interest and Redemption Fund.....	2,643,092	.....	.....	6,328,771	8,971,863
826.803.01	Interest on Tax Anticipation Notes Fund.....	17,000	.....	.....	.....	17,000
826.803.02	Interest on State Unemployment Relief Loan Fund.....	27,054	.....	.....	.....	27,054
		8,688,007	.....	.....	6,774,771	15,462,778

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS</b>						
<b>County Road Fund</b>						
<b>Street Repair</b>						
845	Permanent Salaries .....	9,900	.....	.....	.....	9,900
845.101.00	Wages .....	216,326	.....	.....	.....	216,326
845.103.00	Contractual Services .....	10,300	.....	.....	.....	10,300
845.200.00	Heat, Light and Power (Asphalt Plant) .....	1,100	1,100	.....	.....	.....
845.231.00	Truck Hire .....	20,500	.....	.....	.....	20,500
845.203.01	Auto Hire .....	720	.....	.....	.....	720
845.203.02	Materials and Supplies .....	76,000	.....	.....	.....	76,000
845.300.00	Equipment .....	22,760	.....	.....	.....	22,760
845.400.00	Accident Compensation .....	1,000	.....	.....	.....	1,000
845.801.00	Retirement Charges .....	15,000	15,000	.....	.....	.....
845.806.00	Services of Other Departments .....	10,975	.....	.....	.....	10,975
845.900.00		384,581	16,100	.....	.....	368,481
<b>Bridges</b>						
846	Permanent Salaries .....	51,120	.....	.....	.....	51,120
846.101.00	Temporary Salaries .....	3,936	.....	.....	.....	3,936
846.102.00	Contractual Services .....	500	.....	.....	.....	500
846.200.00	Heat, Light and Power .....	2,000	2,000	.....	.....	.....
846.231.00	Maintenance and Repair to Bridges .....	2,000	.....	.....	.....	2,000
846.214.00	Materials and Supplies .....	550	.....	.....	.....	550
846.300.00	Services of Other Departments .....	3,090	.....	.....	.....	3,090
846.900.00		63,196	2,000	.....	.....	61,196

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations for Expenditures 1938-1939, continued on next page)

## DETAIL OF OTHER CURRENT FUNDS APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS (Continued)</b>						
<b>County Road Fund (Continued)</b>						
<b>General</b>						
847	Boulevard Lighting .....	40,000	40,000	.....	.....	.....
847.231.00	Bond Interest and Redemption (1927 Boulevards) .....	265,000	265,000	.....	.....	.....
847.800.00	Services of Real Estate Department .....	1,000	.....	.....	.....	1,000
847.902.00	Traffic (Services of Department of Electricity) .....	5,000	5,000	.....	.....	.....
847.903.01	Traffic—Police Dept. Curbs .....	10,000	.....	.....	.....	10,000
847.903.02	Traffic Striping .....	20,000	.....	.....	.....	20,000
847.903.05	Traffic—Stop and Go Signals .....	25,000	.....	.....	.....	25,000
847.903.03	Traffic Directional Signs .....	5,000	.....	.....	.....	5,000
847.903.06	Traffic—Maintenance and Replace Control Devices .....	10,000	.....	.....	.....	10,000
847.903.07	Traffic—Division Design Engr. ....	10,606	.....	.....	.....	10,606
847.903.08	Street Signs—New and Repair .....	10,000	.....	.....	.....	10,000
847.907.00	Sunset Boulevard Maintenance .....	21,100	.....	.....	.....	21,100
847.908.00	Boulevard Tree Maintenance .....	5,400	.....	.....	.....	5,400
847.909.00	.....	428,106	310,000	.....	.....	118,106
<b>Street Construction</b>						
848	Joint Highway District No. 9 .....	41,250	.....	.....	.....	41,250
848.984.00	Joint Highway District No. 10 .....	34,500	.....	.....	.....	34,500
848.985.00	Work in front City Property .....	10,000	.....	.....	.....	10,000
848.916.00	Sand Removal Sunset District .....	3,000	.....	.....	.....	3,000
848.946.00	Lloyd—Scott—Castro .....	2,000	.....	.....	.....	2,000
848.948.00	Congdon—Trumbull—Alemany .....	3,600	.....	.....	.....	3,600
848.949.00	Cortland and Elsie .....	1,250	.....	.....	.....	1,250
848.951.00	Adam and Eve—City Aid .....	12,400	.....	.....	.....	12,400
848.910.00	Richardson Ave. Planting .....	4,500	.....	.....	.....	4,500
848.952.00	Lynch—Hyde—Leavenworth .....	1,500	.....	.....	.....	1,500
848.953.00	Coso—Winfield—Shotwell .....	12,000	.....	.....	.....	12,000
848.954.00	Homestead—24th and 25th Sts. ....	3,000	.....	.....	.....	3,000
848.955.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations for Expenditures 1938-1939, continued on next page)



Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS (Continued)</b>						
<b>County Road Fund (Continued)</b>						
<b>Street Construction (Continued)</b>						
848,957.00	12th Ave.—Kirkham—Lawton .....	5,400	.....	.....	.....	5,400
848,938.00	Engineering Studies—Special Impr. ....	20,000	.....	.....	.....	20,000
848,958.00	Engineering—Bridges and Street Structures .....	4,000	.....	.....	.....	4,000
848,959.00	Parker Ave.—Turk to Anza St. ....	65,000	.....	.....	.....	65,000
848,960.00	Geneva Ave.—Mission to Bayshore .....	10,000	.....	.....	.....	10,000
848,900.00	Unallocated .....	9,831	.....	.....	.....	9,831
		243,231	.....	.....	.....	243,231
	<b>Total County Road Fund .....</b>	1,119,114	328,100	.....	.....	791,014
<b>PUBLIC WELFARE DEPARTMENT</b>						
<b>Indigent Relief Division</b>						
856.10	Permanent Salaries .....	156,300	.....	.....	.....	156,300
856,101.10	Temporary Salaries .....	17,270	.....	.....	.....	17,270
856,200.10	Contractual Services .....	12,575	2,100	.....	.....	10,475
856,300.10	Materials and Supplies .....	2,450	.....	.....	.....	2,450
856,400.10	Equipment .....	10,655	.....	.....	.....	10,655
856,800.10	Fixed Charges .....	750	.....	.....	.....	750
856,901.10	Relief—Full Cash .....	1,000,000	.....	.....	.....	1,000,000
856,902.10	Relief Orders .....	128,000	.....	.....	.....	128,000
856,903.10	Relief—Purchase and Service Orders .....	172,000	.....	.....	.....	172,000
		1,500,000	2,100	.....	.....	1,497,900

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations for Expenditures 1938-1939, continued on next page)

MONDAY, MAY 23, 1938.

## DETAIL OF OTHER CURRENT FUNDS APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Inter-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>SPECIAL GAS TAX STREET IMPROVEMENT FUND</b>						
877	Army St. bet. Harrison & Van Ness So.					
877.937.00	Construction . . . . .	87,000				87,000
877.938.00	Army St.—Harrison to Van Ness So.—Property . . . . .	32,000				32,000
877.939.00	Army St.—Harrison to San Jose Ave. . . . .	5,000				5,000
877.940.00	Third St.—Channel to Custer Ave.—Preliminary Engineering . . . . .	5,000				5,000
877.941.00	Portola Drive & Market St. . . . .	10,000				10,000
877.942.00	Ninth St.—Market to Division St. . . . .	84,000				84,000
877.943.00	Market St.—Van Ness Ave. to Tenth St. . . . .	15,000				15,000
877.944.00	Third St.—Market to Howard St. . . . .	26,000				26,000
877.945.00	Third St.—Channel to Custer Ave.—Property . . . . .	130,000				130,000
877.946.00	Portola Drive—Sidney Way to Waithman Way . . . . .	30,000				30,000
877.947.00	Post St.—Market to Van Ness Ave. . . . .	95,000				95,000
877.948.00	Traffic Striping—Major Streets . . . . .	15,000				15,000
877.949.00	Fourth St.—Channel to Third St. . . . .	10,000				10,000
877.950.00	Silver Ave.—Quesada Ave. to Palou Ave. . . . .	12,000				12,000
877.951.00	Fourth St. Bridge over Channel . . . . .	23,000				23,000
877.952.00	Islais Creek Bridge at Third St. . . . .	9,000				9,000
		648,000				648,000
<b>DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY</b>						
849.900.00	Installation Fund . . . . .	3,000				3,000
<b>CONTROLLER</b>						
860.805.00	Tax Judgments Fund . . . . .	44,000				44,000

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>SCHOOL DEPARTMENT</b>						
869	Common School Fund (Tentative) .....	9,925,266	530,100	.....	.....	9,395,166
870	Special School Tax Fund (Tentative) .....	340,000	1,250	.....	.....	338,750
		10,265,266	531,350	.....	.....	9,733,916
<b>EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM</b>						
872	Permanent Salaries .....	29,280	.....	.....	.....	29,280
872.101.00	Temporary Salaries .....	1,900	.....	.....	.....	1,900
872.102.00	Fees and Special Compensations .....	1,575	.....	.....	.....	1,575
872.106.00	Contractual Services .....	2,393	88	.....	.....	2,305
872.200.00	Materials and Supplies .....	200	.....	.....	.....	200
872.300.00	Equipment .....	2,060	.....	.....	.....	2,060
872.400.00	Pensions and Retirement Allowances .....	1,765,500	.....	.....	681,971	2,447,471
872.800.00	Services of Other Departments .....	2,500	2,500	.....	.....	.....
872.815.00	Compensation Insurance .....	1,805,408	2,588	.....	681,971	2,484,791
		50,000	.....	.....	.....	50,000
	<b>Total Employees' Retirement Fund .....</b>	<b>1,855,408</b>	<b>2,588</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>681,971</b>	<b>2,534,791</b>

## DETAIL OF OTHER CURRENT FUNDS APPROPRIATIONS (PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES) FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION</b>						
862	Permanent Salaries .....				55,230	55,230
862.101.00	Legal Expense—City Attorney's Office .....		21,400		21,400	
862.106.00	Contractual Services .....				14,684	14,684
862.200.00	Materials and Supplies .....				1,500	1,500
862.300.00	Equipment .....				250	250
862.400.00	Insurance Premiums .....				200	200
862.818.00	Pensions and Retirement Allowances .....				1,748	1,748
862.806.00						
	Total Public Utilities Commission Fund .....	21,400			95,012	73,612
<b>SAN FRANCISCO AIRPORT</b>						
864	Permanent Salaries .....	43,680				43,680
864.101.00	Temporary Salaries .....	1,200				1,200
864.102.00	Contractual Services .....	12,340				12,340
864.200.00	Heat, Light and Power .....	9,000	9,000			
864.231.00	Materials and Supplies .....	3,500				3,500
864.300.00	Bond Interest and Redemption—1933 Airport .....	53,040	53,040			
864.800.01	Bond Interest—1938 Airport .....	114,000	114,000			
864.800.02	Insurance Premiums .....	386				386
864.818.00	Pensions and Retirement Allowances .....	1,655	1,655			
864.806.00	Taxes .....	3,500				3,500
864.812.00	Services of Other Departments .....	1,500				1,500
864.900.00						
	Total Airport Fund .....	243,801	177,695			66,106

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations, Public Service Enterprises, for Expenditures 1938-1939, continued on next page)



Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>MUNICIPAL RAILWAY OPERATING FUND</b>						
865	Permanent Salaries	237,276	.....	.....	.....	237,276
865.101.00	Temporary Salaries	13,750	.....	.....	.....	13,750
865.102.00	Wages	1,805,884	.....	.....	.....	1,805,884
865.103.00	Sick Leave—Per Diem Men	40,000	.....	.....	.....	40,000
865.103.50	Contractual Services	100,111	396	.....	.....	99,715
865.200.00	Heat, Light and Power	460,000	460,000	.....	.....	.....
865.231.00	Materials and Supplies	125,000	.....	.....	.....	125,000
865.300.00	Equipment	6,390	.....	.....	.....	6,390
865.400.00	Bond Interest and Redemption	172,500	172,500	.....	.....	.....
865.800.00	Accident Compensation	400	.....	.....	.....	400
865.801.00	Educational and Welfare Grants and Contributions	100	.....	.....	.....	100
865.804.00	Passenger and Damage Claims	70,000	.....	.....	.....	70,000
865.808.00	Provision for Replacement and Reconstruction	355,000	.....	.....	.....	355,000
865.813.00	Pensions and Retirement Allowances	107,276	107,276	.....	.....	.....
865.806.00	Service of Other Departments:					
	Controller	4,500	4,500	.....	.....	.....
865.900.01	Dept. of Public Works	22,250	.....	.....	.....	22,250
865.900.02	Public Utilities Commission	14,327	14,327	.....	.....	.....
865.900.03	Public Utilities Commission—Claims Bureau	13,020	13,020	.....	.....	.....
865.900.04	S. F. Water Dept.	200	.....	.....	.....	200
865.900.08	Public Utilities Commission—Engineering Expense	10,000	.....	.....	.....	10,000
865.900.05	Retirement System:					
	Hospitalization (S. F. Hospital)	4,000	.....	.....	.....	4,000
865.900.06	Employees Claims	16,000	.....	.....	.....	16,000
865.900.07	Additions and Betterments	14,890	.....	.....	.....	14,890
865.500.00	Total Municipal Railway Operating Fund	3,592,874	772,019	.....	.....	2,820,855

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations, Public Service Enterprises, for Expenditures 1938-1939, continued on next page)

MONDAY, MAY 23, 1938.

DETAIL OF OTHER CURRENT FUNDS APPROPRIATIONS (PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES) FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939  
(Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Inter-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
866	WATER REVENUE					
866.101.00	*Permanent Salaries	549,480	.....	.....	.....	549,480
866.102.00	*Temporary Salaries	25,018	.....	.....	.....	25,018
866.103.00	*Wages	47,007	.....	.....	.....	47,007
866.103.50	Sick Leave—Per Diem Men	5,000	.....	.....	.....	5,000
866.209.00	Contractual Services	112,800	102	.....	.....	112,698
866.212.01	Maintenance—Source of Supply	116,237	.....	.....	.....	116,237
866.212.02	Maintenance—Transmission and Distribution	235,000	.....	.....	.....	235,000
866.212.03	Maintenance—General	12,500	.....	.....	.....	12,500
866.209.00	Maintenance—Automotive Equipment	35,000	.....	.....	.....	35,000
866.231.00	Heat, Light and Power	110,000	110,000	.....	.....	.....
866.300.00	Materials and Supplies	40,222	.....	.....	.....	40,222
866.350.00	Foodstuffs	4,780	.....	.....	.....	4,780
866.400.00	Equipment	14,110	.....	.....	.....	14,110
866.500.00	Additions and Betterments	163,200	.....	.....	.....	163,200
866.800.01	Bond Interest and Redemption—1928 Spring Valley	2,440,000	2,440,000	.....	.....	.....
866.800.02	Bond Interest and Redemption—1910 Water	400,000	400,000	.....	.....	.....
866.800.03	Bond Interest and Redemption—1925 Hetch Hetchy Water	47,025	47,025	.....	.....	.....
866.800.04	Bond Interest and Redemption—1933 Water Distribution	831,493	831,493	.....	.....	.....
866.705.00	Injuries and Damages	6,000	.....	.....	.....	6,000
866.815.00	Compensation Insurance	10,000	.....	.....	.....	10,000
866.818.00	Other Insurance	6,895	.....	.....	.....	6,895
866.806.00	Pensions and Retirement Allowances	43,000	43,000	.....	.....	.....
866.812.00	Taxes	245,000	.....	.....	.....	245,000
866.813.00	Provision for Replacement and Reconstruction	65,390	.....	.....	.....	65,390
866.900.01	Services of Hetch Hetchy Water Supply	121,878	121,878	.....	.....	.....
866.900.02	Services of Public Utilities Commission—Bureau of Engineering	3,500	.....	.....	.....	3,500
	*To be detailed by operating Bureaus in the Appropriation Ledger.					

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations, Public Service Enterprises, for Expenditures 1938-1939, continued on next page)



Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>WATER REVENUE (Continued)</b>						
866.900.03	Services of Public Utilities Commission .....	42,339	42,339	.....	.....	.....
866.900.04	Services of Controller .....	7,300	7,300	.....	.....	.....
866.900.05	Appropriation to General Fund .....	990,826	990,826	.....	.....	.....
	<b>Total Water Revenue Fund .....</b>	<b>6,731,000</b>	<b>5,033,963</b>	.....	.....	<b>1,697,037</b>
<b>HETCH HETCHY POWER OPERATIVE</b>						
867	Permanent Salaries .....	82,590	.....	.....	.....	82,590
867.101.00	Temporary Salaries .....	2,761	.....	.....	.....	2,761
867.102.00	Contractual Services .....	5,190	.....	.....	.....	5,190
867.200.00	Transmission Maintenance .....	15,000	.....	.....	.....	15,000
867.200.03	Miscellaneous Maintenance .....	7,822	.....	.....	.....	7,822
867.200.04	Materials and Supplies .....	3,500	.....	.....	.....	3,500
867.300.00	Foodstuffs .....	5,000	.....	.....	.....	5,000
867.350.00	Bond Interest and Redemption .....	1,111,217	1,111,217	.....	.....	.....
867.702.00	Bond Interest and Redemption (Hetch Hetchy Water Issues) .....	1,079,169	1,079,169	.....	.....	.....
867.803.01	Automobile Insurance Premiums .....	921	.....	.....	.....	921
867.817.00	Pensions and Retirement Allowances .....	3,308	3,308	.....	.....	.....
867.806.00	Provision for Reconstruction and Replacement .....	33,292	.....	.....	.....	33,292
867.813.00	Services of Other Departments—Public Utilities Commission .....	20,326	20,326	.....	.....	.....
867.900.01	Services of Other Departments—Public Utilities Commission—Bureau of Engineering .....	16,400	.....	.....	.....	16,400
867.900.03	Services of Other Departments—Controller .....	3,275	3,275	.....	.....	.....
867.900.04	Services of Other Departments—Hetch Hetchy Water Supply .....	70,402	70,402	.....	.....	.....
867.801.00	Accident Compensation .....	827	.....	.....	.....	827
	<b>Total Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund .....</b>	<b>2,461,000</b>	<b>2,287,697</b>	.....	.....	<b>173,303</b>

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations, Public Service Enterprises, for Expenditures 1938-1939, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF OTHER CURRENT FUNDS APPROPRIATIONS (PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES) FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939  
(Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>HETCH HETCHY WATER SUPPLY</b>						
368	Permanent Salaries .....	.....	.....	.....	38,550	38,550
368.101.00	Temporary Salaries .....	.....	.....	.....	1,000	1,000
368.102.00	Contractual Services .....	.....	.....	.....	2,000	2,000
368.200.00	Plant Maintenance .....	.....	.....	.....	4,454	4,454
368.212.01	Routine Maintenance—General .....	.....	.....	.....	70,000	70,000
368.212.02	Routine Maintenance of Roads .....	.....	.....	.....	18,000	18,000
368.212.03	Materials and Supplies .....	.....	.....	.....	1,000	1,000
368.300.00	Equipment .....	.....	.....	.....	2,600	2,600
368.400.00	Water Rights and Damage Claims .....	.....	.....	.....	29,300	29,300
368.604.01	Legal Expenses—Water Rights Litigation .....	.....	.....	.....	2,000	2,000
368.800.00	Bond Interest and Redemption—Hetch Hetchy Water Issues .....	.....	1,079,169	.....	1,079,169	.....
368.801.00	Accident Compensation .....	.....	.....	.....	100	100
368.817.00	Automobile Insurance Premiums .....	.....	.....	.....	900	900
368.806.00	Pensions and Retirement Allowances .....	.....	1,542	.....	1,542	.....
368.809.00	Land and Building Leases .....	.....	.....	.....	90	90
368.812.00	Taxes .....	.....	.....	.....	7,794	7,794
<b>Services of Other Departments</b>						
368.900.01	Public Utilities Commission .....	.....	5,000	.....	5,000	.....
368.900.02	Public Utilities—Bureau of Engineering .....	.....	.....	.....	5,000	5,000
368.900.03	Controller .....	.....	2,950	.....	2,950	.....
Total Hetch Hetchy Water Supply Fund .....		.....	1,088,661	.....	1,271,449	182,788
<b>TOTAL PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES—CURRENT .....</b>		<b>13,028,675</b>	<b>9,381,435</b>	.....	<b>1,366,461</b>	<b>5,013,701</b>



Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
94,900.01	PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 1933 Water Distribution Bond Fund Bond Interest and Redemption.....	80,327	80,327	.....	.....	.....
95,900.01	DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS 1933 Sewer Bonds Bond Interest and Redemption .....	81,000	81,000	.....	.....	.....
96,900.01	1933 High Pressure System Bonds Bond Interest and Redemption.....	100,000	100,000	.....	.....	.....
	TOTAL CAPITAL FUNDS .....	261,327	261,327	.....	.....	.....

## Passed for Second Reading.

The roll was called and the Annual Appropriation Ordinance was passed for second reading, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.  
Noes—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Annual Salary Ordinance.**

(Code No. 9.053)

The Finance Committee presented:

Bill No. 1580, Ordinance No. 9.053128, entitled: "An ordinance enumerating all positions continued and/or created by the Board of Supervisors in adopting the Annual Budget and Appropriation Ordinance for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1939; continuing, and/or creating and/or establishing these positions; enumerating and including therein all positions created by Charter or State law for which salaries are appropriated in the said Annual Appropriation Ordinance; specifying and fixing the compensation of incumbents therein, and providing for maximum compensation of persons appointed to positions herein enumerated which may become vacant during the fiscal year."

**Motion for Passage for Second Reading.**

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Mead, moved that the Annual Salary Ordinance be passed for second reading.

**Report of Finance Committee.**

Supervisor Shannon presented:

The Annual Salary Ordinance for 1938-1939 is herewith presented by your Finance Committee. As now drafted it reflects, in accordance with the provisions of section 73 of the Charter, the number and rates of compensation for all positions—that departments have requested and that the Mayor has recommended—to be continued or created by this Board in adopting the annual Budget and the Annual Appropriation Ordinance. The Charter also provides that the Annual Salary Ordinance shall be passed at the same time as the Annual Appropriation Ordinance is passed. This means that we must pass both of these ordinances, viz: the Annual Salary Ordinance and the Annual Appropriation Ordinance, for second reading today and finally pass both of these ordinances, per schedule, on May 31st.

The Finance Committee has been informed that certain positions included in the Budget are not designated by the proper civil service title or classification, and certain other positions included in the Budget are now being studied by the Civil Service Commission for possible reclassification.

The Finance Committee was further informed that the Civil Service Commission could not complete its work in this connection in time to have these changes included in the Salary Ordinance if this ordinance is to be finally adopted on May 31st.

It is apparent that these changes will have to be accomplished by amendment to the Salary Ordinance after it has been finally passed. Your Finance Committee requests that the Clerk of this Board be instructed to advise the Civil Service Commission of this situation and ask the Commission to present to this Board as soon as possible the referred to changes, so that the Salary Ordinance for 1938-1939 can be amended to include these changes prior to July 1st, thereby avoiding employment and payroll difficulties which might otherwise be encountered.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

On motion by Supervisor Mead, Mr. Harry K. Wolff, Civil Service Commissioner, was granted the privilege of the floor. Mr. Wolff strongly objected to the passage of the Annual Salary Ordinance until it had first been submitted for check and correction by the Civil Service Commission.

**City Attorney's Opinion.**

In reply to question by Mr. Wolff, the City Attorney gave his ruling that the provision in the Charter that the Salary Ordinance shall be



adopted at the same time as the Annual Appropriation Ordinance is directory and not mandatory, but does not prevent the Board, if they desire, to pass it at the same time they pass the Annual Appropriation Ordinance.

#### Amendment to Motion.

Supervisor Uhl moved, as an amendment to the original motion, that the Annual Salary Ordinance be referred to the Civil Service Commission for check, and assurance that it is in keeping with the appropriation ordinance.

#### Discussion.

Civil Service Commissioners Harry K. Wolff and Milton Maxwell urged the reference of the Annual Salary Ordinance to the Civil Service Commission before passage by the Board. Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead and Uhl advocated reference to the Commission. Supervisors Reilly and Roncovieri objected to its reference stating that any amendments or corrections could be made before the ordinance was voted on for final passage.

#### Roll Call.

The roll was called on reference to the Civil Service Commission as follows:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Schmidt, Uhl—6.  
Noes—Supervisors Brown, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Whereupon, the matter was *referred to the Civil Service Commission*, to be returned to the Board within two weeks.

#### ROLL CALL FOR INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED ON BY COMMITTEE.

His Honor the Mayor to Appoint Committee for Reception and Entertainment of Delegates to American Medical Association Convention.

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 3979, as follows:

Whereas, the American Medical Association, the San Francisco County Medical Society and the State Medical Society, consisting of delegates from all over the United States, will meet in convention in San Francisco from June 13th to June 17th, 1938, inclusive; therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be respectfully requested to appoint a suitable Citizens' Committee for the purpose of making arrangements for the reception and entertainment of our distinguished visitors.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### Opposing Passage of Senate Joint Resolution 208, Petroleum Reserve, Tidewater Lands.

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 3980, as follows:

Whereas, the Constitution of the State of California, which was adopted by the Constitutional Convention October 10, 1849, and rati-

fied by the people of that State on November 13, 1849, in Article 12 thereof, defines the southern boundary of the State of California to extend "three English miles" into the Pacific Ocean, and the western boundary as running from the most westerly point of said southern boundary "in a northwesterly direction and following the direction of the Pacific Coast, to the forty-second degree of north latitude," and states that within the boundaries of the said State there were included "also all the islands, harbors and bays along and adjacent to the Coast"; and

Whereas, the said western boundary of the State of California, as so defined and described, has been uninterruptedly acquiesced in by the United States of America since September 9, 1850, on which date there was approved by the President of the United States "An Act for the admission of the State of California into the Union" (see 9 Stats. at Large, Chap. L., P. 542); and

Whereas, property interests, both public and private, of enormous value have grown up in and within the area between low-water mark in the said Pacific Ocean and said western boundary of the State of California along a coast line of more than one thousand miles in length, during the years intervening since September 9, 1850; and

Whereas, the Committee on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives of the 75th Congress, 3rd Session, on May 19th, 1938, reported to the House of Representatives of the United States Congress Senate Joint Resolution 208, as amended in said Committee on the Judiciary, which said resolution was committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union and ordered to be printed, and which said resolution declares that the "petroleum deposits underlying submerged lands adjacent to and along the Coast of the State of California, below low-water mark and under the territorial waters of the United States of America, . . . are hereby reserved and set aside as a Naval Petroleum Reserve . . . subject to the same control by the Secretary of the Navy as is provided for other Naval Petroleum Reserves; subject, also, to any right, title, or interest of any person, partnership, association, corporation, or of the State of California or any municipality or local subdivision of that State which may have been heretofore granted by the United States of America, or which may have become otherwise validly or lawfully vested or which may be recognized and established in the judicial proceedings hereinafter authorized"; and that the right of the United States "to take petroleum from any part of such reserve and to appropriate it to its own use . . . is hereby declared to be an attribute of its sovereignty and paramount and exclusive"; and

Whereas, the said resolution directs the Attorney-General of the United States to maintain such Naval Petroleum Reserve "and to stop the taking of any petroleum therefrom except by authority of the United States of America; and to remove all persons and instrumentalities from such territorial waters of the United States of America engaged in taking or in attempting to take any of such petroleum, and, generally, to protect such reserve and the aforesaid right to enforce the manifest intent, purpose and claim of this resolution"; and

Whereas, the area in the Pacific Ocean lying between low-water mark and the aforesaid western boundary line of the State of California is not and never has been public land of the United States of America, but is and since the date of the Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo has been the property of the Territory of the State of California (and of such persons other than the United States and the State of California who acquired rights therein from the Republic of Mexico or from the State of California), and that there is not and never has been in the United States any title to or ownership of said submerged lands; and

Whereas, the mere assertion by the Congress of the United States of the power purported to be asserted in the aforesaid resolution



necessarily implies that the United States is the owner of said submerged lands, including Treasure Island in San Francisco Bay, and other filled lands, and would inevitably result in what Justice Fields (see 142 U. S. at page 200) called a "frightful unsettlement of titles" along the entire coastal extent of the State of California, and in the harbors, bays, and inlets thereof; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that the passage of the said Senate Joint Resolution 208 be opposed by said Board as inimical to the interests of the said City and County of San Francisco, and of the said State of California and is calculated to result "to the infinite disturbance of the peace of its people."

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **In Memoriam, J. S. Dunnigan.**

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Board adjourn out of respect to the memory of the late J. S. Dunnigan, and that proper resolution be sent to the family. He moved, also, that the President of the Board arrange for appropriate memorial services, in order that members of the Board and members of the Family Club and friends of the late Mr. Dunnigan can fittingly express their regrets at his death.

*Unanimously carried by rising vote.*

#### **Appointment of Committee—Livermore Rodeo.**

Supervisor Brown moved that President appoint committee to attend Livermore Rodeo, June 11 and 12.

*Motion carried.*

#### **ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board at 1:40 a. m. adjourned.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors June 6, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, David A. Barry, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

DAVID A. BARRY,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

The first of these is the fact that the library is a public institution, and as such it is open to all. The second is the fact that the library is a library, and as such it is open to all. The third is the fact that the library is a library, and as such it is open to all.

The fourth is the fact that the library is a library, and as such it is open to all. The fifth is the fact that the library is a library, and as such it is open to all. The sixth is the fact that the library is a library, and as such it is open to all.

The seventh is the fact that the library is a library, and as such it is open to all. The eighth is the fact that the library is a library, and as such it is open to all. The ninth is the fact that the library is a library, and as such it is open to all.

The tenth is the fact that the library is a library, and as such it is open to all. The eleventh is the fact that the library is a library, and as such it is open to all. The twelfth is the fact that the library is a library, and as such it is open to all.

The thirteenth is the fact that the library is a library, and as such it is open to all. The fourteenth is the fact that the library is a library, and as such it is open to all. The fifteenth is the fact that the library is a library, and as such it is open to all.

The sixteenth is the fact that the library is a library, and as such it is open to all. The seventeenth is the fact that the library is a library, and as such it is open to all. The eighteenth is the fact that the library is a library, and as such it is open to all.

The nineteenth is the fact that the library is a library, and as such it is open to all. The twentieth is the fact that the library is a library, and as such it is open to all. The twenty-first is the fact that the library is a library, and as such it is open to all.

The twenty-second is the fact that the library is a library, and as such it is open to all. The twenty-third is the fact that the library is a library, and as such it is open to all. The twenty-fourth is the fact that the library is a library, and as such it is open to all.

The twenty-fifth is the fact that the library is a library, and as such it is open to all. The twenty-sixth is the fact that the library is a library, and as such it is open to all. The twenty-seventh is the fact that the library is a library, and as such it is open to all.



Tuesday, May 31, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



Journal of Proceedings  
Board of Supervisors  
City and County of San Francisco



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

TUESDAY, MAY 31, 1938, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Tuesday, May 31, 1938,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

President Shannon announced that Supervisor Schmidt had asked to be excused from attendance, whereupon Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor Colman, moved he be excused.

Motion *carried*.

## President Shannon Excused.

Subsequently during the meeting, President Shannon, at 3:15 p. m. asked to be excused and it was *so ordered*.

On motion by Supervisor Mead, seconded by Supervisor Meyer, Supervisor Reilly took the chair.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

### Low Cost Housing.

On motion of Supervisor Uhl, Walter H. Sullivan, chairman Low Cost Housing Project, is requested to appear at this time and explain \$15,000,000 Low Cost Housing Act and proposed measure making low cost homes free of taxation.

### Action Deferred.

The foregoing matter was laid over until meeting of June 6, 1938.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up:

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS



BILL NO. 1576, ORDINANCE NO. 9.051477 (Code No. 9.051), as follows:

AN ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING ALL ESTIMATED RECEIPTS AND ALL ESTIMATED EXPENDITURES FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1939.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The several amounts of estimated receipts, income and revenue enumerated herein are hereby provided for and appropriated to the several funds and/or departments indicated in this ordinance for the purpose of meeting appropriations herein provided.

Section 2. The several amounts of proposed expenditure for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1939, are hereby appropriated to the several funds and/or departments as enumerated herein. Each department for which an expenditure appropriation is herein made shall be authorized to use the amount of moneys so appropriated for the purposes specified in this appropriation ordinance, subject to the limitations of the Charter and as provided herein.

Section 3. The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to set up appropriate accounts, as provided by Section 76 of the Charter, for the items of receipts and expenditures appropriated herein.

Where revenues for any fund or department are herein provided by transfer from any other fund or department, or where a duty or a performance has been transferred from one department to another, the Controller is authorized to make the necessary transfer; provided further, that where revenues for any fund or department are herein provided by transfer from any other fund or department in consideration of departmental services to be rendered, in no event shall such transfer of revenue be made in excess of the actual cost of such service; provided further, that in no event shall transfers from utility surplus exceed the limitations set forth in Section 129 of the Charter.

The Controller shall make available the amount of actual receipts for items of proposed expenditures that are designated herein as appropriated for the use of a department to the extent that fees or other revenues are collectible.

Section 4. The several items of expenditures herein appropriated, being based on estimated receipts, income or revenues which may not be fully realized, it shall be incumbent upon the Controller to establish a schedule of allotments, monthly or quarterly as he may determine, under which the sums appropriated to the several departments shall be expended. The Controller shall revise such revenue estimates monthly. If such revised estimates indicate a shortage the Controller shall hold in reserve an equivalent amount of the corresponding expenditure appropriations set forth herein until the collection of the amounts as originally estimated is assured, and in all cases where it is provided by the Charter that a specified or minimum tax shall be levied for any department the amount of such appropriation herein provided derived from taxes shall not exceed the amount actually produced by the levy made for said department. The Controller in issuing warrants or in certifying contracts or purchase orders or other encumbrances, pursuant to Section 86 of the Charter, shall consider only the allotted portions of appropriation items to be available for encumbrance or expenditure and shall not approve the incurring of liability under any allotment in excess of the amount of such allotment. In case of emergency or unusual circumstances which could not be anticipated at the time of apportionment, an additional allotment for a period may be made on the recommendation of the department head and that of the Chief Administrative Officer, board or commission, and the approval of the Controller. After the allotment schedule has been established or fixed, as heretofore provided, it shall be unlawful for any department or officer to expend or cause to be expended a sum greater than the amount set forth for the particular activity in the said allotment schedule so established, unless an additional allotment is made, as herein provided.

Allotments, liabilities incurred and expenditures made under expenditure appropriations herein enumerated shall in no case exceed the amount of each such appropriation, unless the same shall have been increased by supplemental appropriations made in the manner provided by Sections 79 and 80 of the Charter, or by transfer as provided by Sections 77 and 90 of the Charter.

Section 5. Where appropriations are made for specific projects or purposes which may involve the payment of salaries or wages, the head of the department to which such appropriations are made, or the head of a department authorized by contract or interdepartmental order to make expenditures from each such appropriation, shall file with the Controller, when requested, an estimate of the amount of any such expenditures to be made during the ensuing period.

Section 6. Liabilities incurred and expenditures made for permanent employments shall in no case exceed the number of and the salary or wage for, employments in each class as enumerated in the annual salary ordinance, except as additional employments may be authorized under the provisions of the Charter.

The Controller and the Civil Service Commission shall be immediately notified of a vacancy occurring in a position established in the Annual Salary Ordinance and appointing officers shall not make appointments to such vacancies until the Controller shall certify that funds are available for the appointment, and the Mayor shall approve the appointment.

Appointing officers shall not make appointments to seasonable or temporary positions until the Controller shall certify that funds are available and until the Civil Service Commission shall verify the legality of the position and compensation.

Appointments to seasonable or temporary positions shall not exceed the term for which the Controller has certified the availability of funds.

Section 7. The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to continue the existing Special, Trust Funds and Reserves and the receipts in and expenditures from each such fund are hereby appropriated in accordance with law and the conditions under which each such fund was established.

The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to set up additional Special, Trust Funds and Reserves, as they may be created by either additional bequests or under other conditions.

Whenever the City and County of San Francisco shall receive from the United States of America, the State of California, or from any public or semi-public agency, or from any private person, firm or corporation, any moneys, or property to be converted into money, the Controller shall set up on the books of his office a special fund or account evidencing the said moneys so received and specifying the purposes for which they have been received and for which they are held, which said account or fund shall be maintained by the said Controller as long as any portion of said moneys remains unexpended.

The expenditures necessary from said fund or said account created as herein provided, in order to carry out the purpose for which said moneys have been received or for which said account is being maintained, shall be approved by the Controller and said expenditures are hereby appropriated in accordance with the terms and conditions under which said moneys have been received by the City and County of San Francisco, and in accordance with the conditions under which said fund is maintained.

Section 8. The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to set up appropriations for refunding amounts deposited in the treasury in excess of amounts due.

Section 9. The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to prescribe the method to be used in making payments for interdepartmental services in accordance with the provisions of Section 77 of the Charter.

Section 10. The term "department" as used in this ordinance shall mean department, bureau, office, utility, board or commission, as the case may be. The term "department head" as used herein shall be as defined in Section 20 of the Charter.

Section 11. The several amounts of estimated receipts herein appropriated, and each fund or department to which such appropriation is made, are as follows:



TUESDAY, MAY 31, 1938.

755

Name of Fund	APPROPRIATIONS			REVENUES			
	Amount	By Transfer	Total	From Surplus	Appropriation	Fund	Taxes
<b>CURRENT FUNDS (General City and County)</b>							
General Fund—Note A.....	13,413,690	1,832,962	15,246,652				
Other General Fund							
Maintenance of Minors.....	600,000	.....	600,000				
Widows' Pensions.....	300,000	.....	300,000				
Supplementary Aid to Widows.....	60,000	.....	60,000				
Maintenance of Aged.....	4,275,148	.....	4,275,148				
Blind Pensions.....	250,000	.....	250,000		127,500	7,360,608	13,717,629
San Francisco Hospital.....	1,595,946	.....	1,595,946				23,038,699
Laguna Honda Home.....	616,269	.....	616,269				
Hassler Health Home.....	94,684	.....	94,684				
TOTAL OTHER GENERAL FUND.....	7,792,047	.....	7,792,047				
Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor.....	1,500,000	.....	1,500,000				1,500,000
W. P. A. Projects.....	300,000	.....	300,000				300,000
TOTAL WELFARE.....	9,592,047	.....	9,592,047				
Emergency Reserve.....	200,000	.....	200,000				200,000
Exposition—Note B.....	302,862	.....	302,862				302,862
Library.....	418,790	.....	418,790				399,190
Recreation—Note D.....	639,360	.....	639,360			19,600	588,760
Park.....	1,385,569	.....	1,385,569			50,600	639,360
de Young Memorial Museum.....	84,350	13,690	98,040			422,575	962,994
California Palace of the Legion of Honor.....	76,756	.....	76,756			250	84,100
War Memorial.....	129,281	.....	129,281			500	76,256
Employees' Retirement.....	1,805,408	681,971	2,487,379			36,785	92,496
Workmen's Compensation.....	50,000	.....	50,000				1,805,408
Publicity and Advertising—Note C.....	319,180	.....	319,180				50,000
TOTAL CURRENT FUNDS (General City and County).....	28,417,293	2,528,623	30,945,916				319,180
OTHER CURRENT FUNDS					127,500	7,890,918	20,398,875
General City Bond Interest and Redemption Fund.....	5,579,954	446,000	6,025,954				30,945,916
P. S. E. Bond Interest and Redemption Fund.....	2,643,092	6,328,771	8,971,863	800,000			4,779,954
Interest on Tax Anticipation Notes.....	17,000	.....	17,000				2,643,092
Tax Judgments.....	44,000	.....	44,000				8,971,863
State of California Unemployment Relief Loan.....	101,727	.....	101,727				17,000
State of Calif. Unemployment Relief Loan Interest.....	27,054	.....	27,054				44,000
Installation Fund—Department of Electricity.....	3,000	.....	3,000				101,727
TOTAL OTHER CURRENT FUNDS.....	8,415,827	6,774,771	15,190,598	800,000			27,054
TOTAL CURRENT FUNDS.....	36,833,120	9,349,392	46,182,512	1,600,000			3,000

## CONSOLIDATED BUDGET 1938-1939 (Continued)

City and County of San Francisco

Name of Fund	APPROPRIATIONS		REVENUES			
	Amount	By Transfer	Total	From Surplus	Other Than Taxes	
					Appropriation	Fund
						Taxes
						Total
PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES CURRENT FUNDS						
Water Revenue	6,731,000	.....	6,731,000	.....	.....	6,731,000
Hetch Hetchy Power	2,461,000	.....	2,461,000	.....	.....	2,461,000
Municipal Railway Operating	3,592,874	.....	3,592,874	.....	.....	3,592,874
Hetch Hetchy Water Supply	1,271,449	.....	1,271,449	.....	.....	1,271,449
Public Utilities Commission	95,012	.....	95,012	.....	.....	95,012
Airport	243,801	.....	243,801	.....	.....	243,801
TOTAL PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES—CURRENT	13,028,675	1,366,461	14,395,136	50,000	.....	12,798,674
SCHOOL FUNDS						
Common School Fund (Tentative)	9,925,266	.....	9,925,266	.....	.....	4,822,217
Special School Tax Fund (Tentative)	340,000	.....	340,000	250,000	.....	340,000
TOTAL SCHOOL FUNDS	10,265,266	.....	10,265,266	250,000	.....	4,822,217
County Roads	1,119,114	.....	1,119,114	.....	.....	1,119,014
Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund	648,000	.....	648,000	.....	.....	648,000
TOTAL	1,767,114	.....	1,767,114	.....	.....	1,767,014
CAPITAL FUNDS						
1933 Water Distribution Bond Fund	80,327	.....	80,327	.....	.....	80,327
1933 Sewer Bond Fund	81,000	.....	81,000	.....	.....	81,000
1933 High Pressure System Bond Fund	100,000	.....	100,000	.....	.....	100,000
TOTAL CAPITAL FUNDS	261,327	.....	261,327	.....	.....	261,327
TOTAL BUDGET	62,155,502	10,669,855	72,825,357	1,100,000	127,500	27,543,150

Note A—That portion of the General Fund (Art Commission) Appropriation No. 816,200.02 for Symphony Concerts which is to be met from taxes, shall not exceed the amount to be provided by a levy of  $\frac{1}{2}$  cent on each \$100 of the 1938-1939 Assessment Roll.

Note B—The amount herein provided for Exposition shall not exceed the amount to be provided by a levy of 4 cents on each \$100 of the 1938-1939 Assessment Roll.

Note C—The amount herein provided for Publicity and Advertising shall not exceed the amount to be provided by a levy of 4 cents on each \$100 of the 1938-1939 Assessment Roll.

Note D—The amount herein provided from taxes for Recreation shall not exceed the amount to be provided by a levy of 7 cents on each \$100 of the 1938-1939 Assessment Roll, plus \$12,600.



Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
<b>Licenses</b>							
Business . . . . .	210,000	.	.	.	.	.	.
Business (Badges and Tags) . . . . .	8,200	.	.	.	.	.	.
Vehicle (Other than State) . . . . .	20,000	.	.	.	.	.	.
Marriage . . . . .	14,000	.	.	.	.	.	.
Dog . . . . .	19,500	.	.	.	.	.	.
Inspection Fees (Ord. 3.0412) . . . . .	25,000	.	.	.	.	.	.
Contractors . . . . .	18,500	.	.	.	.	.	.
Penalties . . . . .	2,500	.	.	.	.	.	.
<b>Total . . . . .</b>	<b>317,700</b>						<b>317,700</b>
<b>Permits</b>							
<b>Department of Public Works</b>							
Building . . . . .	82,500	.	.	.	.	.	.
Bill Board . . . . .	825	.	.	.	.	.	.
House Numbers . . . . .	4,500	.	.	.	.	.	.
Street Space . . . . .	9,000	.	.	.	.	.	.
Boiler . . . . .	2,750	.	.	.	.	.	.
<b>Police Department</b>							
Public Passenger Carriers } . . . . .							
Hand Bill Distributors } . . . . .							
Second Hand Dealers } . . . . .	4,000	.	.	.	.	.	.
<b>Fire Department</b>							
Automobile Storage } . . . . .							
Automobile Repair } . . . . .							
Shops } . . . . .							
Automobile Supply Stations } . . . . .	3,210	.	.	.	.	.	.
Automobile Parking Stations } . . . . .							
Oil Burners } . . . . .							
<b>Total . . . . .</b>	<b>106,785</b>						<b>106,785</b>

(Estimated Revenues, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

ESTIMATED REVENUES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
<b>Fines</b>							
Municipal Courts . . . . .	120,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Superior Courts . . . . .	5,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Traffic Courts . . . . .	57,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Total . . . . .	182,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	182,000
<b>Interest Revenue</b>							
Interest Revenue . . . . .	37,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	37,000
<b>Penalties and Costs—Delinquent Taxes</b>							
Penalties and Costs—Delinquent Taxes . . . . .	35,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	35,000
<b>Franchises</b>							
Telephone . . . . .	275,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
California Street Railway . . . . .	4,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Market Street Railway . . . . .	46,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Total . . . . .	325,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	325,000

(Estimated Revenues, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



TUESDAY, MAY 31, 1938.

759

Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
State of California							
Aid to Needy Children							
Maintenance of Minors							
State Aid	200,000						
Federal Aid	17,676						
Administration	4,500						
Widows' Pension							
State Aid	162,000						
Federal Aid	30,000						
Administration	4,800						
Aid to Needy Blind							
State Aid	125,000						
Federal Aid	38,837						
Aid to Needy Aged							
State Aid	2,617,574						
Federal Aid	966,718						
Administration	48,433						
Tubercular Patients	90,000						
Fire Boats	85,500						
Candidates Filing Fees	2,500						
Motor Vehicle License (in lieu)	530,000						
County Road Fund:							
Motor Vehicle Fuel Tax			853,014				
Motor Vehicle Registration			265,000				
Motor Vehicle (Common Carriers)			1,000				
Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund			648,000				
Alcohol Beverage Control Act—License Fees	600,000						
Common Schools			4,636,817				
Total	5,523,538		6,403,831				11,927,369

(Estimated Revenues, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

## ESTIMATED REVENUES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Federal Government							
Aid to High Schools .....			17,500				
Board of U. S. Prisoners .....		12,500					
Total .....		12,500	17,500				30,000
Departmental Revenues							
Tax Collector—Delinquent Revenue other than Taxes ..	27,500						
Department of Public Works							
Bureau of Engineering—Survey Fees .....	6,500						
“ “ —Excavation Fees .....	15,000						
“ “ —Engineering Inspection .....		13,000					
“ “ —Tearing up Streets, Side Sewers .....		50,000					
“ “ —Advertising Fees .....		500					
House Moving .....	100						
Miscellaneous .....	125						
Department of Public Health							
Bureau of Vital Statistics							
Removal Permits .....	8,500						
Death Certificates .....	5,000						
Birth Certificates .....	2,000						
Bureau of Communicable Disease							
Massage Parlor Permits .....	500						
Bureau of Industrial Inspection							
Sanitation Permits—Opening .....	250						
Sanitation Permits—Renewal .....	3,000						
Fumigation .....	60						

(Estimated Revenues, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



TUESDAY, MAY 31, 1938.

761

Classification	General Fund		Other Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Departmental Revenues (Continued)							
Department of Public Health (Continued)							
Bureau of Plumbing Inspection							
Master Plumbers' Registration Fees	3,600						
Plumbing Fixture Permits	13,000						
Gas Appliance Installation Permits	18,000						
Bureau of Food and Milk							
Meat Inspection Division							
Meat Inspection	14,000						
Milk Inspection Division							
Cooling and Skimming Plants	18,000						
Dairies	20,000						
Pasteurizing Plants	8,500						
Restaurant Inspection	55,000						
Food Wagon Permits	200						
Salvage Dealer Permits	15						
Salvage Dealer Inspection	15						
Central Office—Miscellaneous	125						
San Francisco Hospital							
Care of Patients	3,000						
Miscellaneous	2,000						
Laguna Honda Home							
Care of Inmates	7,500						
Miscellaneous	100						

(Estimated Revenues, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

## ESTIMATED REVENUES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Classification	General Fund		Other Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Departmental Revenues (Continued)							
Sheriff Fees .....	35,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Municipal Court Fees .....	65,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
County Clerk Fees .....	105,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Coroner .....	1,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Treasurer .....	14,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Registrar of Voters .....	1,500	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Police Department .....	1,500	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Recorder .....	120,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Fire Department, Sale of Power and Miscellaneous .....	5,700	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Juvenile Court .....							
Reimbursement for Care in Sonoma State Home .....	11,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
City Collections—Maintenance of Minors .....	55,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Public Administrator—Fees .....	60,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Art Commission—Symphony Concerts .....	10,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Pound .....	3,200	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Purchasing Department .....	2,500	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
City Planning Commission Fees .....	800	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Agricultural (Horticultural) Inspection .....							
Inspection Fees .....	9,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
City Institutions .....	1,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Miscellaneous .....	300	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Controller—Filing Fees .....	350	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Tax Collector—Miscellaneous .....	100	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....

(Estimated Revenues, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Departmental Revenues (Continued)							
Department of Electricity							
Registration Fees .....	20,000						
Electrical Inspection Fees .....	60,000						
Electric Sign Inspection Fees .....	5,000						
House Moving .....	50						
Installation Fund .....			3,000				
Real Estate Department							
Rents, General .....	14,280						
Rents, Auditorium .....		40,000					
Commissions on Rents .....		1,500					
Miscellaneous .....	215						
War Memorial							
Rent, Opera House .....			30,000				
Concessions, Opera House .....			3,750				
Rent, Veterans' Auditorium .....			3,000				
Miscellaneous .....			35				
Board of Education							
Tuition—From other Counties .....			65,000				
Rents—(Real Estate Department) .....			102,500				
Miscellaneous .....			400				

(Estimated Revenues, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

## ESTIMATED REVENUES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Departmental Revenues (Continued)							
Park							
Children's Quarters	.....	.....	64,700	.....	.....	.....	.....
Beach Chalet	.....	.....	24,250	.....	.....	.....	.....
Fleishacker Cafe	.....	.....	15,250	.....	.....	.....	.....
Mother House	.....	.....	1,900	.....	.....	.....	.....
Fleishacker Playfield Booth	.....	.....	59,050	.....	.....	.....	.....
Harding Park Cafe	.....	.....	26,400	.....	.....	.....	.....
Lincoln Park Cafe	.....	.....	14,600	.....	.....	.....	.....
Sharp Park Cafe	.....	.....	14,050	.....	.....	.....	.....
Golden Gate Park—Tennis Courts	.....	.....	900	.....	.....	.....	.....
Golden Gate Park—Basketball Pavilion	.....	.....	2,750	.....	.....	.....	.....
Golden Gate Park—Stadium	.....	.....	2,400	.....	.....	.....	.....
Kezar Stadium	.....	.....	24,550	.....	.....	.....	.....
Tennis Courts P. F. A.	.....	.....	21,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
Fleishacker Bathhouse	.....	.....	8,600	.....	.....	.....	.....
Harding Park Golf	.....	.....	50,400	.....	.....	.....	.....
Lincoln Park Golf	.....	.....	38,600	.....	.....	.....	.....
Sharp Park Golf	.....	.....	23,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
Yacht Harbor	.....	.....	14,200	.....	.....	.....	.....
Commission from Vending Machines	.....	.....	200	.....	.....	.....	.....
Rents	.....	.....	2,430	.....	.....	.....	.....
Franchise Market Street Railway	.....	.....	100	.....	.....	.....	.....
Miscellaneous	.....	.....	1,045	.....	.....	.....	.....
Coit Tower	.....	.....	12,200	.....	.....	.....	.....

(Estimated Revenues, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Allocations Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Departmental Revenues (Continued)							
Recreation							
Camp . . . . .	..	..	43,000	..	..	..	..
Swimming—San Francisco . . . . .	..	..	1,600	..	..	..	..
Miscellaneous . . . . .	..	..	6,000	..	..	..	..
Library—Fines, etc. . . . .	..	..	19,600	..	..	..	..
California Palace of the Legion of Honor . . . . .	..	..	500	..	..	..	..
M. H. de Young Memorial Museum . . . . .	..	..	250	..	..	..	..
Public Utilities Commission—Miscellaneous . . . . .	500	..	..	..	..	..	..
Sheriff—Transportation to State Institutions . . . . .	5,000	..	..	..	..	..	..
Sheriff—Jail Stores . . . . .	5,000	..	..	..	..	..	..
Total . . . . .	833,585	115,000	701,210	..	..	..	1,649,795
Public Utilities							
Hetch Hetchy Power Operative . . . . .	..	..	2,411,000	..	..	..	..
Municipal Railway . . . . .	..	..	3,592,874	..	..	..	..
Water Department . . . . .	..	..	6,731,000	..	..	..	..
Airport . . . . .	..	..	63,800	..	..	..	..
Total . . . . .	..	..	12,798,674	..	..	..	12,798,674

(Estimated Revenues, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

## ESTIMATED REVENUES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Receipts from Surplus							
Common School Fund	.....	.....	250,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
Bond Interest and Redemption	.....	.....	800,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
Hetch Hetchy Power Operative	.....	.....	50,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
Total	.....	.....	1,100,000	.....	.....	.....	1,100,000
Capital Funds							
1933 Water Distribution Bond Fund	.....	.....		80,327	.....	.....	.....
1933 Sewer Bond Fund	.....	.....		81,000	.....	.....	.....
1933 High Pressure Bond Fund	.....	.....		100,000	.....	.....	.....
Total	.....	.....	.....	261,327	.....	.....	261,327

(Estimated Revenues, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Receipts by Transfer							
General Fund					21,400		21,400
City Attorney							
From Public Utilities Commission Fund							
Purchasing Department							
From California Palace of the Legion of Honor Fund . . . . . (203)					240		
From de Young Memorial Museum Fund . . . . . (203)					240		
From Recreation Fund . . . . . (204)					900		
From Library Fund . . . . . (204)					475		
From War Memorial Fund . . . . . (204)					400		
From War Memorial Fund . . . . . (212)					780		
From de Young Memorial Museum Fund . . . . . (217)					200		
From Employees' Retirement Fund . . . . . (225)					45		
From Employees' Retirement Fund . . . . . (318)					43		
From School Department . . . . . (204)					10,000		
From California Palace of the Legion of Honor Fund . . . . . (212)					60		
From Water Department . . . . . (204)					102		
From de Young Memorial Museum Fund . . . . . (212)					30		
From War Memorial Fund . . . . . (400)					500		
From Municipal Railway . . . . . (204)					396		
Total . . . . .					14,411		14,411
Department of Electricity							
From County Road Fund . . . . . (847.903.01)					5,000		5,000

(Estimated Revenues, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

## ESTIMATED REVENUES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Classification	General Fund		Other Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Receipts by Transfer—(Continued)							
General Fund Controller							
From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund (101)	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,500	.....	.....
From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund (248)	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,775	.....	.....
From Water Revenue Fund (101)	.....	.....	.....	.....	5,500	.....	.....
From Water Revenue Fund (248)	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,800	.....	.....
From Municipal Railway Operating Fund (101)	.....	.....	.....	.....	2,500	.....	.....
From Municipal Railway Operating Fund (248)	.....	.....	.....	.....	2,000	.....	.....
From Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor Fund (101)	.....	.....	.....	.....	2,100	.....	.....
From Hetch Hetchy Water Supply Fund (248)	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,450	.....	.....
From Hetch Hetchy Water Supply Fund (101)	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,500	.....	.....
From Employees' Retirement Fund (248)	.....	.....	.....	.....	2,500	.....	.....
From Common School Fund (248)	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,200	.....	.....
Total	.....	.....	.....	.....	23,825	.....	23,825
Lighting of Public Streets and Buildings							
From Park Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	46,500	.....	.....
From Recreation Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	7,000	.....	.....
From Library Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	13,500	.....	.....
From War Memorial—General	.....	.....	.....	.....	13,000	.....	.....
From War Memorial—Art Museum	.....	.....	.....	.....	8,000	.....	.....
From California Palace of the Legion of Honor	.....	.....	.....	.....	3,000	.....	.....
From de Young Memorial Museum	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,700	.....	.....
From Airport	.....	.....	.....	.....	9,000	.....	.....
From Municipal Railway Operating Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	460,000	.....	.....
From Water Revenue Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	110,000	.....	.....

(Estimated Revenues, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Receipts by Transfer (Continued)							
General Fund (Continued)							
Lighting of Public Streets and Buildings (Continued)							
From Common School Fund .....					62,700		
From County Road Fund—Street Repair .....					1,100		
From County Road Fund—Bridges .....					2,000		
From County Road Fund—General .....					40,000		
Total .....					777,500		777,500
General Fund							
From Water Revenue Fund .....					990,826		990,826
de Young Memorial Museum Fund							
From California Palace of the Legion of Honor .....						13,690	13,690
Employees' Retirement Fund							
From Library Fund .....						6,400	
From Common School Fund .....						456,200	
From Special School Tax Fund .....						1,250	
From Park Fund .....						33,500	
From Recreation Fund .....						12,840	
From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund .....						3,308	
From Municipal Railway Operating Fund .....						107,276	
From Airport Fund .....						1,655	
From County Road Fund .....						15,000	
From Water Revenue Fund .....						43,000	
From Hetch Hetchy Water Supply Fund .....						1,542	
Total .....						681,971	681,971

(Estimated Revenues, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

## ESTIMATED REVENUES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Receipts by Transfer (Continued)							
Bond Interest and Redemption Fund							
From 1933 Sewer Bond Fund						*81,000	.....
From 1933 High Pressure System Bond Fund						*100,000	.....
From 1933 Water Distribution Bond Fund						* 80,327	.....
From Airport						167,040	.....
From County Road Fund						265,000	.....
From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative						1,111,217	.....
From Hetch Hetchy Water Supply						1,079,169	.....
From Water Revenue						3,718,518	.....
From Municipal Railway Operating						172,500	.....
Total	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	6,774,771	6,774,771
Public Utilities Commission Fund							
From Municipal Railway Operating						14,327	.....
From Municipal Railway Operating (Claims)						13,020	.....
From Water Revenue						42,339	.....
From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative						20,326	.....
From Hetch Hetchy Water Supply						5,000	.....
Total	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	95,012	95,012
Hetch Hetchy Water Supply Fund							
From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative						1,149,571	.....
From Water Revenue						121,878	.....
Total	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,271,449	1,271,449
Taxes	13,717,629						
Total Revenues	21,078,237	19,667,223	40,688,438	261,327	1,832,962	8,836,893	72,825,357
*From Capital Funds.							



Issues	ESTIMATED REQUIREMENTS			ESTIMATED REVENUES		
	Interest	Redemption	Total	From Pro- ceeds of Bond Fund Indicated	Surplus	County Road Fund
<b>GENERAL CITY AND COUNTY:</b>						
1927 Boulevards . . . . .	284,918	469,000	753,918	.....	.....	265,000
1933 Sewers . . . . .	81,460	81,000	162,460	81,000	.....	.....
1933 High Pressure . . . . .	46,500	100,000	146,500	100,000	.....	.....
Other . . . . .	1,785,976	3,177,100	4,963,076	.....	800,000	.....
	2,198,854	3,827,100	6,025,954	181,000	800,000	265,000
<b>PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES:</b>						
1928 Spring Valley . . . . .	1,440,000	1,000,000	2,440,000	.....	.....	.....
1933 Water Distribution . . . . .	348,820	563,000	911,820	80,327	.....	.....
1910 Water . . . . .	1,170,000	1,000,000	2,170,000	.....	.....	.....
1925 Water . . . . .	381,250	250,000	631,250	.....	.....	.....
1928 Hetch Hetchy . . . . .	1,053,000	600,000	1,653,000	.....	.....	.....
1932 Hetch Hetchy . . . . .	342,753	200,000	542,753	.....	.....	.....
1933 Hetch Hetchy Dam . . . . .	108,500	175,000	283,500	.....	.....	.....
1913 Municipal Railway . . . . .	72,500	100,000	172,500	.....	.....	.....
1933 Airport . . . . .	1,040	52,000	53,040	.....	.....	.....
1938 Airport . . . . .	114,000	.....	114,000	.....	.....	.....
	5,031,863	3,940,000	8,971,863	80,327	.....	.....
Total . . . . .	7,230,717	7,767,100	14,997,817	261,327	800,000	265,000

.....FUNDING STATEMENT—BOND INTEREST AND REDEMPTION—FISCAL YEAR 1938-1939

ESTIMATED REVENUES							Issues
Airport	Hetch Hetchy Power Revenue	Hetch Hetchy Water Supply	Water Revenue	Railway Revenue	Taxes	Total	
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	753,918	GENERAL CITY AND COUNTY
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	162,460	1927 Boulevards
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	146,500	1933 Sewers
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	4,779,954	4,963,076	1933 High Pressure
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	Other
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	4,779,954	6,025,954	
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	2,440,000	PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES
.....	.....	.....	2,440,000	.....	.....	911,820	1928 Spring Valley
.....	.....	.....	831,493	.....	.....	2,170,000	1933 Water Distribution
.....	1,111,217	.....	400,000	.....	.....	631,250	1910 Water
.....	.....	1,079,169	47,025	.....	.....	1,663,000	1925 Water
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	542,753	1928 Hetch Hetchy
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	2,643,092	283,500	1932 Hetch Hetchy
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	172,500	1933 Hetch Hetchy Dam
53,040	.....	.....	.....	172,500	.....	53,040	1913 Municipal Railway
114,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	114,000	1933 Airport
167,040	1,111,217	1,079,169	3,718,518	172,500	2,643,092	8,971,863	1938 Airport
167,040	1,111,217	1,079,169	3,718,518	172,500	7,423,046	14,997,817	



Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
801	BOARD OF SUPERVISORS					
801.101.00	Permanent Salaries	65,580	.....	.....	.....	65,580
801.106.00	Fees and Special Compensations	4,325	.....	.....	.....	4,325
801.200.00	Contractual Services	38,100	30,600	.....	.....	7,500
801.300.00	Materials and Supplies	950	950	.....	.....	.....
801.400.00	Equipment	2,000	2,000	.....	.....	.....
801.814.00	Membership Dues	625	.....	.....	.....	625
801.248.00	Audit of Controller's Books	5,000	.....	.....	.....	5,000
		116,580	33,550	.....	.....	83,030
802	MAYOR					
802.101.00	Permanent Salaries	40,240	.....	.....	.....	40,240
802.200.00	Contractual Services	5,930	360	.....	.....	5,570
802.300.00	Materials and Supplies	2,900	2,900	.....	.....	.....
		49,070	3,260	.....	.....	45,810
803	ASSESSOR					
803.101.00	Permanent Salaries	123,914	.....	.....	.....	123,914
803.102.00	Temporary Salaries	90,000	.....	.....	.....	90,000
803.200.00	Contractual Services	6,590	6,590	.....	.....	.....
803.300.00	Materials and Supplies	13,400	13,400	.....	.....	.....
		233,904	19,990	.....	.....	213,914

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1933-1939, continued on next page)

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>CITY ATTORNEY</b>						
804						
804.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	68,380	.....	.....	19,600	87,980
804.102.00	Temporary Salaries .....	1,800	.....	.....	.....	1,800
804.200.00	Contractual Services .....	8,380	860	.....	1,800	9,320
804.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	1,000	1,000	.....	.....	.....
		79,560	1,860	.....	21,400	99,100
<b>DISTRICT ATTORNEY</b>						
805						
805.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	110,540	.....	.....	.....	110,540
805.200.00	Contractual Services .....	485	485	.....	.....	.....
805.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	850	850	.....	.....	.....
805.900.00	Detention and Prosecution of Criminals .....	1,500	.....	.....	.....	1,500
805.901.00	District Attorney's Secret Fund .....	2,500	.....	.....	.....	2,500
		115,875	1,335	.....	.....	114,540
<b>TREASURER</b>						
806						
806.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	46,460	.....	.....	.....	46,460
806.200.00	Contractual Services .....	350	350	.....	.....	.....
806.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	1,100	1,100	.....	.....	.....
806.816.00	Premium on Official Bonds .....	7,000	7,000	.....	.....	.....
		54,910	8,450	.....	.....	46,460

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939

TUESDAY, MAY 31, 1938.

775

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>SHERIFF (Receipts Allocated to Appropriation \$12,500)</b>						
807	Permanent Salaries .....	293,048	.....	.....	.....	293,048
807.101.00	Temporary Salaries .....	6,160	.....	.....	.....	6,160
807.102.00	Contractual Services—Administrative .....	4,440	1,890	.....	.....	2,550
807.200.01	Contractual Services—County Jail No. 1 .....	331	281	.....	.....	50
807.200.02	Heat, Light and Power—County Jail No. 1 .....	280	280	.....	.....	.....
807.231.02	Contractual Services—County Jail No. 2 .....	925	775	.....	.....	150
807.200.03	Heat, Light and Power—County Jail No. 2 .....	12,720	12,720	.....	.....	.....
807.231.03	Materials and Supplies—Administrative .....	3,490	3,490	.....	.....	.....
807.300.01	Materials and Supplies—County Jail No. 1 .....	3,580	2,080	.....	.....	1,500
807.300.02	Materials and Supplies—County Jail No. 2 .....	16,000	8,900	.....	.....	7,100
807.300.03	Foodstuffs—County Jail No. 1 .....	14,500	850	.....	.....	13,650
807.350.02	Foodstuffs—County Jail No. 2 .....	30,500	1,600	.....	.....	28,900
807.350.03	Rents, Garage .....	240	.....	.....	.....	240
807.809.00	Taxes, Sneath Ranch .....	250	.....	.....	.....	250
807.812.00	Automobile Insurance .....	250	250	.....	.....	.....
807.817.00		386,714	33,116	.....	.....	353,598
<b>PUBLIC DEFENDER</b>						
808	Permanent Salaries .....	18,980	.....	.....	.....	18,980
808.101.00	Temporary Salaries .....	75	.....	.....	.....	75
808.102.00	Contractual Services .....	85	85	.....	.....	.....
808.200.00	Materials and Supplies .....	100	100	.....	.....	.....
808.300.00		19,240	185	.....	.....	19,055

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>POLICE DEPARTMENT</b>						
809	Permanent Salaries	3,321,920	.....	.....	.....	3,321,920
809.101.00	Temporary Salaries	1,762	.....	.....	.....	1,762
809.102.00	Services of Court Reporter	500	.....	.....	.....	500
809.106.00	Contractual Services	47,944	43,436	.....	.....	4,508
809.200.00	Heat, Light and Power	12,000	12,000	.....	.....	.....
809.231.00	Materials and Supplies—City Prison	1,400	1,128	.....	.....	272
809.300.01	Materials and Supplies—Stations and Bureaus	54,742	45,167	.....	.....	9,575
809.350.00	Foodstuffs—City Prison	5,500	386	.....	.....	5,114
809.400.00	Equipment	20,025	20,025	.....	.....	.....
809.900.00	Services of Other Departments	2,280	2,280	.....	.....	.....
809.901.00	Contingent Fund	9,000	.....	.....	.....	9,000
		3,477,073	124,422	.....	.....	3,352,651
<b>POLICE DEPARTMENT—EXPOSITION</b>						
809.02	Temporary Salaries	51,700	.....	.....	.....	51,700
809.102.02	Equipment	5,400	5,400	.....	.....	.....
		57,100	5,400	.....	.....	51,700
<b>FIRE DEPARTMENT</b>						
810	Permanent Salaries	2,902,166	.....	.....	.....	2,902,166
810.101.01	Permanent Salaries—Fireboats	145,065	.....	.....	.....	145,065
810.101.02	Temporary Salaries	1,325	.....	.....	.....	1,325
810.102.01	Temporary Salaries—Fireboats	2,411	.....	.....	.....	2,411
810.102.02	Wages	6,477	.....	.....	.....	6,477
810.103.00	Contractual Services	144,340	136,466	.....	.....	7,874
810.200.00	Heat, Light and Power	17,000	17,000	.....	.....	.....
810.231.00	Materials and Supplies	38,500	21,500	.....	.....	17,000
810.300.00	Equipment	37,172	37,172	.....	.....	.....
810.400.00	Rent—Engine Company No. 2	1,200	.....	.....	.....	1,200
810.809.00		3,295,656	212,138	.....	.....	3,083,518

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>FIRE DEPARTMENT—EXPOSITION</b>						
810.03						
810.101.03	Personal Services .....	90,811	400	.....	.....	90,811
810.200.03	Contractual Services .....	1,203	.....	.....	.....	803
810.300.03	Materials and Supplies .....	1,600	.....	.....	.....	.....
810.400.03	Equipment .....	67,706	67,706	.....	.....	.....
		161,320	69,706	.....	.....	91,614
<b>BOARD OF PERMIT APPEALS</b>						
811						
811.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	3,000	.....	.....	.....	3,000
811.106.00	Fees and Special Compensations .....	5,000	.....	.....	.....	5,000
811.200.00	Contractual Services .....	40	40	.....	.....	.....
		8,040	40	.....	.....	8,000
<b>ART COMMISSION (Receipts Allocated to Appropriation, \$10,000)</b>						
816						
816.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	3,900	.....	.....	.....	3,900
816.200.00	Contractual Services .....	120	120	.....	.....	.....
816.200.01	Municipal Band .....	15,000	.....	.....	.....	15,000
816.200.02	*Municipal Symphony Concerts .....	50,000	.....	.....	.....	50,000
816.200.03	Municipal Chorus .....	5,000	.....	.....	.....	5,000
816.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	100	100	.....	.....	.....
		74,120	220	.....	.....	73,900
<b>STEINHART AQUARIUM</b>						
819.200.00		40,500	.....	.....	.....	40,500

\*That portion of the General Fund (Art Commission) Appropriation No. 816.200.02 for Symphony Concerts, which is to be met from taxes, shall not exceed the amount to be provided by levy of 1/2 cent on each \$100 of the 1938-1939 Assessment Roll, plus revenues.

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>MUNICIPAL COURT</b>						
820	Permanent Salaries .....	205,740	.....	.....	.....	205,740
820.101.00	Jury and Witness Fees.....	2,100	.....	.....	.....	2,100
820.105.00	Court Reporter's Fees .....	15,000	.....	.....	.....	15,000
820.106.00	Contractual Services .....	3,522	3,472	.....	.....	50
820.200.00	Reporter's Transcriptions .....	7,000	.....	.....	.....	7,000
820.249.00	Materials and Supplies.....	3,450	3,450	.....	.....	.....
820.300.00						
		236,812	6,922	.....	.....	229,890
<b>SUPERIOR COURT</b>						
821	Permanent Salaries .....	137,700	.....	.....	.....	137,700
821.101.00	Jury and Witness Fees.....	25,000	.....	.....	.....	25,000
821.105.01	Grand Jury .....	11,000	.....	.....	.....	11,000
821.106.01	Court Reporters' Fees .....	12,000	.....	.....	.....	12,000
821.106.02	Examination of Insane .....	14,400	.....	.....	.....	14,400
821.200.00	Contractual Services .....	5,100	5,100	.....	.....	.....
821.249.00	Reporters' Transcriptions .....	17,000	.....	.....	.....	17,000
821.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	2,500	2,500	.....	.....	.....
821.400.00	Equipment .....	500	500	.....	.....	.....
821.900.00	Other Court Expenses .....	16,000	.....	.....	.....	16,000
		17,000	.....	.....	.....	17,000
<b>Other Appropriations</b>						
821.200.02	Maintenance Criminal Insane and Narcotics.....	258,200	8,100	.....	.....	260,100

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>LAW LIBRARY</b>						
822						
822.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	11,400	.....	.....	.....	11,400
822.200.00	Contractual Services .....	40	40	.....	.....	.....
822.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	350	350	.....	.....	.....
		<b>11,790</b>	<b>390</b>	.....	.....	<b>11,400</b>
<b>JUVENILE COURT</b>						
823						
823.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	79,380	.....	.....	.....	79,380
823.102.00	Temporary Salaries .....	300	.....	.....	.....	300
823.106.00	Reporters' Fees—Transcriptions .....	1,800	.....	.....	.....	1,800
823.200.00	Contractual Services .....	2,440	700	.....	.....	1,740
823.206.00	Maintenance of Minors .....	600,000	.....	.....	.....	600,000
823.207.00	Maintenance of Minors in State Schools .....	20,000	.....	.....	.....	20,000
823.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	1,675	1,675	.....	.....	.....
823.809.00	Garage Rent .....	180	.....	.....	.....	180
		<b>705,775</b>	<b>2,375</b>	.....	.....	<b>703,400</b>
<b>JUVENILE DETENTION HOME</b>						
824						
824.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	22,980	.....	.....	.....	22,980
824.102.00	Temporary Salaries .....	600	.....	.....	.....	600
824.200.00	Contractual Services .....	1,668	968	.....	.....	700
824.231.00	Heat, Light and Power .....	1,500	1,500	.....	.....	.....
824.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	2,000	803	.....	.....	1,197
824.350.00	Foodstuffs .....	6,650	500	.....	.....	6,150
824.400.00	Equipment .....	700	700	.....	.....	.....
824.108.00	Room Allowance for Employees .....	192	.....	.....	.....	192
824.900.00	Services of Department of Public Health .....	3,600	3,600	.....	.....	.....
		<b>39,890</b>	<b>8,071</b>	.....	.....	<b>31,819</b>

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT</b>						
825						
825.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	25,380	.....	.....	.....	25,380
825.200.00	Contractual Services .....	375	75	.....	.....	300
825.328.00	Books, Printing, Stationery .....	500	500	.....	.....	.....
		26,255	575	.....	.....	25,680
<b>CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER</b>						
826						
826.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	19,854	.....	.....	.....	19,854
826.200.00	Contractual Services .....	2,450	1,500	.....	.....	950
826.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	800	800	.....	.....	.....
		23,104	2,300	.....	.....	20,804
<b>DIRECTOR OF FINANCE AND RECORDS</b>						
827						
827.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	7,860	.....	.....	.....	7,860
827.200.00	Contractual Services .....	25	25	.....	.....	.....
827.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	125	125	.....	.....	.....
		8,010	150	.....	.....	7,860

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



TUESDAY, MAY 31, 1938.

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>TAX COLLECTOR</b>						
828	Permanent Salaries	109,280	.....	.....	.....	109,280
828.101.01	Permanent Salaries—Bureau Delinquent Revenue	8,100	.....	.....	.....	8,100
828.101.02	Temporary Salaries	14,650	.....	.....	.....	14,650
828.102.01	Temporary Salaries—Bureau Delinquent Revenue	10,000	.....	.....	.....	10,000
828.102.02	Contractual Services	6,245	785	.....	.....	5,460
828.200.00	Materials and Supplies	7,200	7,200	.....	.....	.....
828.300.00		155,475	7,985	.....	.....	147,490
<b>REGISTRAR OF VOTERS</b>						
829	Permanent Salaries	55,494	.....	.....	.....	55,494
829.101.00	Temporary Salaries	47,480	.....	.....	.....	47,480
829.102.00	Election Workers	63,045	.....	.....	.....	63,045
829.106.00	Contractual Services	62,592	2,037	.....	.....	60,555
829.200.00	Heat, Light and Power	300	300	.....	.....	.....
829.231.00	Materials and Supplies	1,230	825	.....	.....	405
829.300.00	Equipment	780	780	.....	.....	.....
829.400.00	Voting Machines Payment	50,000	.....	.....	.....	50,000
829.410.00	Warehouse Rental	4,800	.....	.....	.....	4,800
829.809.00	Rent of Polling Places	14,625	.....	.....	.....	14,625
829.809.01		300,346	3,942	.....	.....	296,404

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
830	RECORDER					
830.101.00	Permanent Salaries	94,748				94,748
830.200.00	Contractual Services	545	315			230
830.300.00	Materials and Supplies	4,000	4,000			
		99,293	4,315			94,978
831	COUNTY CLERK					
831.101.00	Permanent Salaries	128,160				128,160
831.200.00	Contractual Services	425	315			110
831.300.00	Materials and Supplies	6,500	6,300			200
831.900.00	Revolving Fund	100				100
		135,185	6,615			128,570
832	PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR					
832.101.00	Permanent Salaries	37,360				37,360
832.102.00	Temporary Salaries	1,860				1,860
832.200.00	Contractual Services	1,195	905			290
832.300.00	Materials and Supplies	800	800			
832.809.00	Rentals	290				290
		41,505	1,705			39,800

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT</b>						
833	Permanent Salaries	114,740				114,740
833.101.00	Temporary Salaries	1,800				1,800
833.102.00	Wages	6,975				6,975
833.103.00	Contractual Services					
	Auto Hire					
	Auto Hire					
833.203.02	Mayor					
833.203.03	Assessor					
833.203.04	City Attorney					
833.203.07-1	Sheriff—Administrative					
833.203.09	Police Department					
833.203.17	California Palace of the Legion of Honor					
833.203.18	de Young Memorial Museum					
833.203.28	Tax Collector					
833.203.29	Registrar of Voters					
833.203.33	Purchaser	2,142				2,142
833.203.34	Real Estate Department					
833.203.38	Department of Public Works					
833.203.39	Bureau of Building Repair					
833.203.40	Bureau of Building Inspection					
833.203.42	Bureau of Engineering					
833.203.42	Bureau of Street Cleaning					
833.203.42	Department of Public Health					
833.203.50-1	Central Office					
833.203.50-4	Administration					
833.203.50-5	Meat Inspection					
833.203.50-11	Communicable Diseases					
833.203.50-15	Food and Milk Inspection					
833.203.50-16	Industrial Inspection					
833.203.50-16	City Physicians					
833.203.54	Emergency Hospitals					

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
<b>Contractual Services (Continued)</b>						
833.203.56	County Welfare Department	.....	.....	2,500	.....	2,500
833.203.58	Horticultural Inspection Department	.....	.....	1,800	.....	1,800
833.203.61	City Planning Commission	.....	.....	460	.....	460
833.204.07-2	Scavenger Service	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
833.204.09	Sheriff—County Jail No. 1	.....	.....	231	.....	231
833.204.10	Police Department	.....	.....	358	.....	358
833.204.13	Fire Department	.....	.....	1,784	.....	1,784
833.204.14	Recreation	.....	.....	.....	900	900
833.204.15	Library	.....	.....	.....	475	475
833.204.24	War Memorial	.....	.....	.....	400	400
833.204.29	Juvenile Detention Home	.....	.....	68	.....	68
833.204.33	Registrar of Voters	.....	.....	12	.....	12
833.204.35	Purchaser	180	.....	.....	.....	180
833.204.38	Auditorium	.....	.....	329	.....	329
833.204.49	Department of Public Works	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
833.204.50-1	Bureau of Building Repair	.....	.....	987	.....	987
833.204.53	Department of Electricity	.....	.....	38	.....	38
833.204.54	Department of Public Health	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
833.204.65	Central Office—Administration	.....	.....	68	.....	68
833.204.66	San Francisco Hospital	.....	.....	1,057	.....	1,057
833.204.69	Emergency Hospitals	.....	.....	262	.....	262
833.204.66	Municipal Railway	.....	.....	.....	396	396
833.204.66	San Francisco Water Department	.....	.....	.....	102	102
833.204.69	School Department	.....	.....	.....	10,000	10,000

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



TUESDAY, MAY 31, 1938.

785

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
<b>Contractual Services (Continued)</b>						
	Shop Expense . . . . .	(\$187,615)				
833.209.03	Assessor . . . . .			150		150
833.209.07-1	Sheriff—Administrative . . . . .			250		250
833.209.07-3	Sheriff—County Jail No. 2 . . . . .			500		500
833.209.09	Police Department . . . . .			29,800		29,800
833.209.10	Fire Department . . . . .			130,000		130,000
833.209.10-3	Fire Department—Exposition . . . . .			400		400
833.209.23	Juvenile Court . . . . .			400		400
833.209.28	Tax Collector . . . . .			100		100
833.209.29	Registrar of Voters . . . . .			125		125
<b>Department of Public Works</b>						
833.209.36	General Office . . . . .			50		50
833.209.37	Bureau of Accounts . . . . .			500		500
833.209.38	Bureau of Building Repair . . . . .			1,100		1,100
833.209.39	Bureau of Building Inspection . . . . .			100		100
833.209.40	Bureau of Engineering . . . . .			400		400
833.209.42	Bureau of Street Cleaning . . . . .			9,000		9,000
833.209.43	Bureau of Sewer Repair . . . . .			4,000		4,000
833.209.49	Department of Electricity . . . . .			620		620
833.209.49-1	Department of Electricity—Inspection Bureau . . . . .			250		250
<b>Department of Public Health</b>						
<b>Central Office</b>						
833.209.50-1	Administration . . . . .			300		300
833.209.50-4	Meat Inspection . . . . .			90		90
833.209.50-11	Food and Milk Inspection . . . . .			850		850
833.209.50-13	Plumbing Inspection . . . . .			1,000		1,000
833.209.50-14	Housing Inspection . . . . .			250		250
833.209.50-15	Industrial Inspection . . . . .			75		75

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for E xpenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

TUESDAY, MAY 31, 1938.

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
Contractual Services (Continued)						
Shop Expense (Continued)						
Department of Public Health (Continued)						
Central Office (Continued)						
833.209.50-17	Field Nursing—Administration . . . . .	100				100
833.209.50-18	Field Nursing—Schools . . . . .	250				250
833.209.50-19	Field Nursing—Other . . . . .	675				675
833.209.51	Laguna Honda Home . . . . .	100				100
833.209.53	San Francisco Hospital . . . . .	700				700
833.209.54	Emergency Hospitals . . . . .	4,500				4,500
833.209.55	Hassler Health Home . . . . .	500				500
833.209.57	Coroner . . . . .	150				150
833.209.59	Department of Weights and Measures . . . . .	250				250
833.209.60	Controller . . . . .	80				80
Maintenance and Repair of Office Equipment. . (\$6,035)						
833.210.03	Assessor . . . . .	300				300
833.210.06	Treasurer . . . . .	200				200
833.210.09	Police Department . . . . .	600				600
833.210.10	Fire Department . . . . .	150				150
833.210.20	Municipal Court . . . . .	200				200
833.210.24	Juvenile Detention Home . . . . .	100				100
833.210.27	Director of Finance and Records . . . . .	25				25
833.210.28	Tax Collector . . . . .	200				200
833.210.29	Registrar of Voters . . . . .	140				140
833.210.30	Recorder . . . . .	250				250
833.210.31	County Clerk . . . . .	200				200
833.210.32	Public Administrator . . . . .	40				40
833.210.33	Purchaser . . . . .	175				175
833.210.34	Real Estate Department . . . . .	50				50

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)  
Contractual Services (Continued)  
Maintenance and Repair of Office Equipment (Continued)  
Department of Public Works

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
833.210.36	General Office .....	.	..	100	..	100
833.210.37	Bureau of Accounts .....	.	..	75	..	75
833.210.39	Building Inspection .....	.	..	50	..	50
833.210.40	Bureau of Engineering .....	.	..	100	..	100
833.210.49-1	Department of Electricity (Inspection Bureau) .....	.	..	25	..	25
	Department of Public Health Central Office					
833.210.50-1	Administration .....	.	..	50	..	50
833.210.50-2	Accounting .....	.	..	150	..	150
833.210.50-3	Statistics .....	.	..	50	..	50
833.210.50-5	Communicable Diseases .....	.	..	70	..	70
833.210.50-6	G. U. Diagnostic Center .....	.	..	20	..	20
833.210.50-7	Bacteriological Laboratory .....	.	..	20	..	20
833.210.50-11	Food and Milk Inspection .....	.	..	50	..	50
833.210.50-13	Plumbing Inspection .....	.	..	20	..	20
833.210.50-14	Housing Inspection .....	.	..	20	..	20
833.210.50-15	Industrial Inspection .....	.	..	25	..	25
833.210.50-17	Field Nursing—Administration .....	.	..	50	..	50
833.210.50-18	Field Nursing—Schools .....	.	..	40	..	40
833.210.50-19	Field Nursing—Other .....	.	..	50	..	50
833.210.51	Laguna Honda Home .....	.	..	10	..	10
833.210.53	San Francisco Hospital .....	.	..	300	..	300
833.210.56	County Welfare Department .....	.	..	200	..	200
833.210.57	Coroner .....	.	..	50	..	50
833.210.60	Controller .....	.	..	1,880	..	1,880

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
<b>Contractual Services (Continued)</b>						
	Towel Service .....	(\$4,671)				
833.217.09	Police Department .....			868		868
833.217.10	Fire Department .....			12		12
833.217.18	de Young Museum .....				200	200
<b>Department of Public Works</b>						
833.217.38	Bureau of Building Repair .....			3,375		3,375
833.217.33-1	Purchaser—Shop No. 1 .....	21				21
833.217.49	Department of Electricity .....			123		123
833.217.49-1	Department of Electricity—Inspection Bureau .....			62		62
833.217.59	Department of Weights and Measures .....			10		10
	Telephone and Telegraph .....	(\$22,750)				
833.225.01	Board of Supervisors .....			600		600
833.225.03	Assessor .....			450		450
833.225.04	City Attorney .....			500		500
833.225.05	District Attorney .....			485		485
833.225.06	Treasurer .....			100		100
833.225.07-1	Sheriff—Administration .....			440		440
833.225.07-2	Sheriff—County Jail No. 1 .....			50		50
833.225.07-3	Sheriff—County Jail No. 2 .....			275		275
833.225.08	Public Defender .....			85		85
833.225.09	Police Department .....			3,750		3,750
833.225.10	Fire Department .....			1,300		1,300
833.225.11	Board of Permit Appeals .....			15		15
833.225.16	Art Commission .....			120		120
833.225.20	Municipal Court .....			400		400

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



TUESDAY, MAY 31, 1938.

789

## PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)

## Contractual Services (Continued)

## Telephone and Telegraph (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
833.225.21	Superior Court .....	.	.	1,100	.....	1,100
833.225.22	Law Library .....	.	.	40	.....	40
833.225.23	Juvenile Court .....	.	.	300	.....	300
833.225.25	Adult Probation Department .....	.	.	75	.....	75
833.225.26	Chief Administrative Officer .....	1,500	.	.....	.....	1,500
833.225.28	Tax Collector .....	.	.	125	.....	125
833.225.29	Registrar of Voters .....	160	.	.....	.....	160
833.225.30	Recorder .....	.	.	65	.....	65
833.225.31	County Clerk .....	.	.	115	.....	115
833.225.32	Public Administrator .....	.	.	115	.....	115
833.225.33	Purchaser .....	240	.	.....	.....	240
833.225.34	Real Estate Department .....	.	.	355	.....	355
833.225.36	Department of Public Works—General Office .....	.	.	950	.....	950
833.225.49	Department of Electricity .....	.	.	250	.....	250
833.225.49-1	Department of Electricity—Inspection Bureau .....	.	.	150	.....	150
	Department of Public Health .....	.	.	.....	.....	.....
833.225.50-1	Central Office—Administration .....	.	.	1,500	.....	1,500
833.225.51	Laguna Honda Home .....	.	.	825	.....	825
833.225.53	San Francisco Hospital .....	.	.	2,925	.....	2,925
833.225.54	Emergency Hospitals .....	.	.	800	.....	800
833.225.55	Hassler Health Home .....	.	.	700	.....	700
833.225.57	Coroner .....	.	.	300	.....	300
833.225.58	Horticultural Inspection Department .....	.	.	250	.....	250
833.225.59	Department of Weights and Measures .....	.	.	40	.....	40
833.225.60	Controller .....	.	.	900	.....	900
833.225.61	City Planning Commission .....	.	.	65	.....	65
833.225.71	Civil Service Commission .....	.	.	290	.....	290
833.225.72	Employees' Retirement System .....	.	.	45	.....	45

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

TUESDAY, MAY 31, 1938.

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
	Other Contractual Services . . . . . (\$86,397)	.	.	.	.	.
	Board of Supervisors	.	.	.	.	.
833.229.01	Publication of Ordinances and Resolutions . . . . .	.	.	16,000	.	16,000
833.230.01	Printing Journals, Calendars, Resolutions, etc. . . . .	.	.	14,000	.	14,000
	Assessor	.	.	.	.	.
833.208.03	Rental—Meter and Tabulator . . . . .	.	.	5,330	.	5,330
	Treasurer	.	.	.	.	.
833.201.06	Carfare . . . . .	.	.	50	.	50
	Police Department	.	.	.	.	.
833.212.09	Repairs to Police Launch . . . . .	.	.	1,500	.	1,500
833.223.09	Horseshoeing . . . . .	.	.	3,600	.	3,600
833.233.09	Repairs to Tires and Tubes . . . . .	.	.	800	.	800
	Fire Department	.	.	.	.	.
833.212.10	Drydocking Fireboats . . . . .	.	.	3,020	.	3,020
833.229.10	Advertising . . . . .	.	.	200	.	200
	War Memorial	.	.	.	.	.
833.212.15	Maintenance Fire Alarm . . . . .	.	.	.	780	780
	Board of Permit Appeals	.	.	.	.	.
833.227.11	Postage . . . . .	.	.	25	.	25
	California Palace of the Legion of Honor	.	.	.	.	.
833.212.17	Maintenance of Burglar Alarm . . . . .	.	.	.	60	60
	de Young Museum	.	.	.	.	.
833.212.18	Maintenance of Burglar Alarm . . . . .	.	.	.	30	30
	Municipal Court	.	.	.	.	.
833.230.20	Printing Court Calendars . . . . .	.	.	2,872	.	2,872
	Superior Court	.	.	.	.	.
833.230.21	Printing Court Calendars . . . . .	.	.	4,000	.	4,000

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



TUESDAY, MAY 31, 1938.

791

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
<b>Other Contractual Services (Continued)</b>						
	Juvenile Detention Home					
	Elevator Inspection and Repairs					800
833.216.24	Registrar of Voters					
	Miscellaneous					50
833.233.29	Repair Voting Machines					350
833.212.29	Public Administrator					
833.230.32	Annual Report					750
	Purchasing Department					
833.201.33	Carfare	20				20
833.202.33	Freight on Tabulating Cards	50				50
833.208.33-1	Rental of Tabulating Machines	5,490				5,490
833.208.33-2	Rental of Postage Meter	120				120
833.226.33	License Tags and Plates	5,500				5,500
833.229.33	Official Advertising	8,000				8,000
833.230.33	Printing Public Documents	2,500				2,500
833.231.33-1	Gas and Electricity—Shop No. 1	500	2,500			
833.231.33-2	Gas and Electricity—Shop No. 2	550				
833.231.33-3	Gas and Electricity—Central Warehouse	550				
833.233.33-1	McGill Commodity Service	60				60
833.233.33-2	Removal of Dead Animals	6,000				6,000
	Real Estate Department					
833.233.34	Directory Service				90	90
	Department of Public Health—Central Office—Administration					
833.227.50-1	Postage				2,500	2,500
	Coroner					
833.230.57	Publication of Reports				350	350
833.208.60	Rental Tabulating Machines				1,000	1,000

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
Other Contractual Services (Continued)						
City Planning Commission						
833.229.61	Official Advertising .....			500		500
Materials and Supplies						
Police Department (Stations and Bureaus)						
833.310.09-1	Supplies—Automobile .....			500		500
833.310.09-2	Supplies—Police Launch .....			200		200
833.315.09-1	Batteries and Electrical Supplies.....			1,692		1,692
Drygoods and Sewing Materials—Clothing—Wearing Apparel .....						
833.314.07-2	Sheriff—Jail No. 1 .....			1,200		1,200
833.314.07-3	Sheriff—Jail No. 2 .....			5,500		5,500
833.314.09	Police Department—City Prison .....			548		548
833.314.24	Juvenile Detention Home .....			515		515
833.314.38	Department of Public Works—Building Repair.....			200		200
Department of Public Health						
833.314.51	Laguna Honda Home .....			23,000		23,000
833.314.53	San Francisco Hospital .....			23,000		23,000
833.314.54	Emergency Hospitals .....			1,700		1,700
833.314.55	Hassler Health Home .....			1,800		1,800
Gasoline and Oil .....						
833.318.03	Assessor .....			400		400
833.318.07-1	Sheriff—Administration .....			500		500
833.318.07-3	Sheriff—County Jail No. 2 .....			1,200		1,200
833.318.09	Police Department .....			26,275		26,275
833.318.10	Fire Department .....			13,000		13,000
833.318.10-3	Fire Department—Exposition .....			850		850
833.318.23	Juvenile Court .....			350		350
833.318.26	Chief Administrative Officer .....			450		450
833.318.28	Tax Collector .....			200		200



TUESDAY, MAY 31, 1938.

793

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
<b>Materials and Supplies (Continued)</b>						
<b>Gasoline and Oil (Continued)</b>						
833.318.29	Registrar of Voters .....	.	.	75	75	75
833.318.33-1	Purchasing Department—General Office .....	30	.	.	.	30
833.318.33-2	Purchasing Department—Shop No. 2 .....	250	.	.	.	250
<b>Department of Public Works</b>						
833.318.36	General Office .....	.	.	144	144	144
833.318.37	Bureau of Accounts .....	.	.	500	500	500
833.318.38	Bureau of Building Repair .....	.	.	600	600	600
833.318.39	Bureau of Building Inspection .....	.	.	100	100	100
833.318.40	Bureau of Engineering .....	.	.	600	600	600
833.318.42	Bureau of Street Cleaning .....	.	.	9,200	9,200	9,200
833.318.43	Bureau of Sewer Repair .....	.	.	3,100	3,100	3,100
833.318.49	Department of Electricity .....	.	.	1,580	1,580	1,580
833.318.49-1	Department of Electricity—Inspection Bureau .....	.	.	550	550	550
<b>Department of Public Health</b>						
<b>Central Office</b>						
833.318.50-1	Administration .....	.	.	750	750	750
833.318.50-4	Meat Inspection .....	.	.	110	110	110
833.318.50-11	Food and Milk Inspection .....	.	.	3,500	3,500	3,500
833.318.50-13	Plumbing Inspection .....	.	.	725	725	725
833.318.50-14	Housing Inspection .....	.	.	150	150	150
833.318.50-15	Industrial Inspection .....	.	.	110	110	110
833.318.50-17	Field Nursing—Administration .....	.	.	100	100	100
833.318.50-18	Field Nursing—Schools .....	.	.	80	80	80
833.318.50-19	Field Nursing—Other .....	.	.	175	175	175
833.318.51	Laguna Honda Home .....	.	.	1,800	1,800	1,800
833.318.53	San Francisco Hospital .....	.	.	900	900	900
833.318.54	Emergency Hospitals .....	.	.	2,500	2,500	2,500
833.318.55	Hassler Health Home .....	.	.	650	650	650

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
<b>Materials and Supplies (Continued)</b>						
<b>Gasoline and Oil (Continued)</b>						
833.318.57	Coroner .....	.	.	300	.	300
833.318.59	Department of Weights and Measures .....	.	.	400	.	400
833.318.60	Controller .....	.	.	300	.	300
833.318.72	Employees' Retirement System .....	.	.	.	43	43
	Books, Office Supplies, Stationery, etc. .... (\$124,875)	.	.	.	.	.
833.328.01	Board of Supervisors .....	.	.	.	.	.
833.328.01	Mayor .....	.	.	800	.	800
833.328.02	Assessor .....	.	.	2,500	.	2,500
833.328.03	City Attorney .....	.	.	13,000	.	13,000
833.328.04	District Attorney .....	.	.	1,000	.	1,000
833.328.05	Treasurer .....	.	.	750	.	750
833.328.06	Sheriff—Administration .....	.	.	1,100	.	1,100
833.328.07-1	Public Defender .....	.	.	2,865	.	2,865
833.328.08	Police Department (Stations and Bureaus) .....	.	.	100	.	100
833.328.09	Fire Department .....	.	.	12,000	.	12,000
833.328.10	Art Commission .....	.	.	1,500	.	1,500
833.328.16	Municipal Court .....	.	.	100	.	100
833.328.20	Superior Court—Law Books .....	.	.	3,450	.	3,450
833.328.21-1	Superior Court—Secretary .....	.	.	1,000	.	1,000
833.328.21-2	Superior Court—Grand Jury .....	.	.	1,000	.	1,000
833.328.21-3	Law Library .....	.	.	500	.	500
833.328.22	Juvenile Court .....	.	.	350	.	350
833.328.23	Adult Probation .....	.	.	1,150	.	1,150
833.328.25	Chief Administrative Officer .....	.	.	500	.	500
833.328.26	Director of Finance and Records .....	.	.	250	.	250
833.328.27	Tax Collector .....	.	.	125	.	125
833.328.28	Registrar of Voters .....	.	.	7,000	.	7,000
833.328.29	Recorder .....	.	.	650	.	650
833.328.30	Recorder .....	.	.	4,000	.	4,000

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures 1938-1939, continued on next page)



TUESDAY, MAY 31, 1938.

795

PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)  
Materials and Supplies (Continued)  
Books, Office Supplies, Stationery, etc. (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
833.328.31	County Clerk .....	..	..	6,300	..	6,300
833.328.32	Public Administrator .....	..	..	800	..	800
833.328.33	Purchasing Department—General Office .....	8,000	..	..	..	8,000
833.328.34	Real Estate Department .....	..	..	200	..	200
833.328.34-1	Real Estate Department—Blueprints, etc. ....	..	..	200	..	200
	Department of Public Works					
833.328.36	General Office .....	..	..	5,300	..	5,300
833.328.40	Engineering .....	..	..	1,800	..	1,800
833.328.49	Department of Electricity .....	..	..	200	..	200
833.328.49-1	Department of Electricity—Inspection Bureau .....	..	..	800	..	800
	Department of Public Health					
	Central Office					
833.328.50-1	Administration .....	..	..	1,500	..	1,500
833.328.50-2	Accounting .....	..	..	420	..	420
833.328.50-3	Statistics .....	..	..	1,500	..	1,500
833.328.50-4	Meat Inspection .....	..	..	75	..	75
833.328.50-5	Communicable Diseases .....	..	..	650	..	650
833.328.50-6	G. U. Diagnostic Center .....	..	..	125	..	125
833.328.50-6-1	Bureau of Mental Hygiene .....	..	..	100	..	100
833.328.50-7	Bacteriological Laboratory .....	..	..	800	..	800
833.328.50-9	Dental .....	..	..	75	..	75
833.328.50-11	Food and Milk Inspection .....	..	..	660	..	660
833.328.50-12	Chemical Laboratory .....	..	..	100	..	100
833.328.50-13	Plumbing Inspection .....	..	..	480	..	480
833.328.50-14	Housing Inspection .....	..	..	270	..	270
833.328.50-15	Industrial Inspection .....	..	..	60	..	60
833.328.50-18	Field Nursing—Schools .....	..	..	700	..	700
833.328.50-19	Field Nursing—Other .....	..	..	1,030	..	1,030
833.328.50-21	Tuberculosis Bureau .....	..	..	300	..	300

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
Materials and Supplies (Continued)						
Books, Office Supplies, Stationery, etc. (Continued)						
Department of Public Health (Continued)						
833.328.51	Laguna Honda Home .....	.	.	750	.....	750
833.328.53	San Francisco Hospital .....	.	.	7,500	.....	7,500
833.328.54	Emergency Hospitals .....	.	.	1,500	.....	1,500
833.328.55	Hassler Health Home .....	.	.	250	.....	250
833.328.56	County Welfare Department .....	.	.	8,500	.....	8,500
833.328.57	Coroner .....	.	.	650	.....	650
833.328.58	Horticultural Inspection Department .....	.	.	400	.....	400
833.328.59	Department of Weights and Measures .....	.	.	190	.....	190
833.328.60	Controller .....	.	.	13,000	.....	13,000
833.328.61	City Planning Commission .....	.	.	500	.....	500
833.328.71	Civil Service Commission .....	.	.	3,500	.....	3,500
	Tires and Tubes .....	(\$11,875)	.....	.....	.....	.....
833.337.01	Board of Supervisors .....	.	.	150	.....	150
833.337.02	Mayor .....	.	.	400	.....	400
833.337.05	District Attorney .....	.	.	100	.....	100
833.337.07-1	Sheriff—Administrative .....	.	.	125	.....	125
833.337.07-3	Sheriff—County Jail No. 2 .....	.	.	200	.....	200
833.337.09	Police Department .....	.	.	4,200	.....	4,200
833.337.23	Juvenile Court .....	.	.	175	.....	175
833.337.26	Chief Administrative Officer .....	.	.	100	.....	100
833.337.29	Registrar of Voters .....	.	.	100	.....	100
833.337.33-2	Purchasing Department—Shop No. 2 .....	175	.....	.....	.....	175

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



TUESDAY, MAY 31, 1938.

797

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
Materials and Supplies (Continued)						
Tires and Tubes (Continued)						
Department of Public Works						
833.337.37	Bureau of Accounts .....	..	..	..	100	100
833.337.38	Bureau of Building Repair .....	..	..	..	150	150
833.337.40	Bureau of Engineering .....	..	..	..	150	150
833.337.42	Bureau of Street Cleaning .....	..	..	..	1,500	1,500
833.337.43	Bureau of Sewer Repair .....	..	..	..	500	500
833.337.49	Department of Electricity .....	..	..	..	350	350
833.337.49-1	Department of Electricity—Inspection Bureau .....	..	..	..	50	50
Department of Public Health						
Central Office						
833.337.50-1	Administration .....	..	..	..	150	150
833.337.50-4	Meat Inspection .....	..	..	..	25	25
833.337.50-11	Food and Milk Inspection .....	..	..	..	500	500
833.337.50-13	Plumbing Inspection .....	..	..	..	250	250
833.337.50-14	Housing Inspection .....	..	..	..	100	100
833.337.50-15	Industrial Inspection .....	..	..	..	60	60
833.337.50-17	Field Nursing—Administration .....	..	..	..	50	50
833.337.50-18	Field Nursing—Schools .....	..	..	..	65	65
833.337.50-19	Field Nursing—Other .....	..	..	..	125	125
833.337.51	Laguna Honda Home .....	..	..	..	200	200
833.337.53	San Francisco Hospital .....	..	..	..	250	250
833.337.54	Emergency Hospitals .....	..	..	..	1,000	1,000
833.337.55	Hassler Health Home .....	..	..	..	300	300
833.337.57	Coroner .....	..	..	..	75	75
833.337.59	Department of Weights and Measures .....	..	..	..	100	100
833.337.60	Controller .....	..	..	..	100	100

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
<b>Materials and Supplies (Continued)</b>						
	Cleaning, Polishing, Lavatory Supplies .....	(\$17,565)				
833.338.07-2	Sheriff—County Jail No. 1 .....			730		730
833.338.07-3	Sheriff—County Jail No. 2 .....			1,250		1,250
833.338.49	Department of Electricity .....			35		35
	Department of Public Health					
833.338.51	Laguna Honda Home .....			5,000		5,000
833.338.53	San Francisco Hospital .....			10,000		10,000
833.338.54	Emergency Hospitals .....			150		150
833.338.55	Hassler Health Home .....			400		400
	Brooms, Brushes, Mops, etc. ....	(\$4,760)				
833.339.07-2	Sheriff—County Jail No. 1 .....			150		150
833.339.07-3	Sheriff—County Jail No. 2 .....			350		350
	Department of Public Health					
833.339.51	Laguna Honda Home .....			1,500		1,500
833.339.53	San Francisco Hospital .....			2,500		2,500
833.339.54	Emergency Hospitals .....			60		60
833.339.55	Hassler Health Home .....			200		200
	Miscellaneous Janitorial Supplies .....	(\$23,013)				
833.340.07-3	Sheriff—County Jail No. 2 .....			400		400
833.340.09-1	Police Department—Stations and Bureaus .....			300		300
833.340.09	Police Department—City Prison .....			580		580
833.340.10	Fire Department .....			7,000		7,000
833.340.10-3	Fire Department—Exposition .....			750		750
833.340.24	Juvenile Detention Home .....			288		288
833.340.33	Purchasing Department—Central Warehouse .....					
833.340.38	Department of Public Works—Building Repair .....		50			50
833.340.49	Department of Electricity .....			5,500		5,500
				45		45

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



TUESDAY, MAY 31, 1938.

799

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
<b>Materials and Supplies (Continued)</b>						
<b>Miscellaneous Janitorial Supplies (Continued)</b>						
<b>Department of Public Health</b>						
833.340.51	Laguna Honda Home .....	.	.....	2,700	.....	2,700
833.340.53	San Francisco Hospital .....	.	.....	5,000	.....	5,000
833.340.54	Emergency Hospitals .....	.	.....	200	.....	200
833.340.55	Hassler Health Home .....	.	.....	200	.....	200
833.351.07-2	Canned Goods and Dried Fruits .....	.	.....	.....	.....	.....
833.351.07-3	Sheriff—County Jail No. 1 .....	1	.....	850	.....	850
833.351.09	Sheriff—County Jail No. 2 .....	1	.....	1,600	.....	1,600
833.351.24	Police Department—City Prison .....	.	.....	386	.....	386
833.351.24	Juvenile Detention Home .....	.	.....	500	.....	500
<b>Department of Public Health</b>						
833.351.51	Laguna Honda Home .....	.	.....	18,500	.....	18,500
833.351.53	San Francisco Hospital .....	.	.....	22,000	.....	22,000
833.351.54	Emergency Hospitals .....	.	.....	50	.....	50
833.351.55	Hassler Health Home .....	.	.....	1,800	.....	1,800
833.400.01	Equipment .....	(\$248,648)	.....	.....	.....	.....
833.400.01	Board of Supervisors .....	.	.....	2,000	.....	2,000
833.400.09	Police Department .....	.	.....	20,025	.....	20,025
833.400.09-2	Police Department—Exposition .....	.	.....	5,400	.....	5,400
833.400.10	Fire Department .....	.	.....	37,172	.....	37,172
833.400.10-3	Fire Department—Exposition .....	.	.....	67,706	.....	67,706
833.400.15	War Memorial .....	.	.....	.....	500	500
833.400.21	Superior Court .....	.	.....	500	.....	500
833.400.24	Juvenile Detention Home .....	.	.....	700	.....	700
833.400.29	Registrar of Voters .....	.	.....	780	.....	780
833.400.33	Purchaser .....	23,000	.....	.....	.....	23,000

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	Receipts From Intra-fund	Receipts From Inter-fund	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
Department of Public Works						
833.400.38	Bureau of Building Repair.....	.	.	.	700	700
833.400.41	Central Permit Bureau .....	.	.	.	250	250
833.400.42	Bureau of Street Cleaning .....	.	.	.	47,400	47,400
833.400.49	Department of Electricity .....	.	.	.	750	750
833.400.49-1	Department of Electricity—Inspection Bureau .....	.	.	.	175	175
Department of Public Health						
Central Office						
833.400.50-3	Statistics .....	.	.	.	425	425
833.400.50-11	Food and Milk Inspection .....	.	.	.	175	175
833.400.50-12	Chemical Laboratory .....	.	.	.	210	210
833.400.50-21	Tuberculosis Bureau .....	.	.	.	250	250
833.400.51	Laguna Honda Home .....	.	.	.	3,540	3,540
833.400.53	San Francisco Hospital .....	.	.	.	20,000	20,000
833.400.54	Emergency Hospitals .....	.	.	.	5,010	5,010
833.400.55	Hassler Health Home .....	.	.	.	700	700
833.400.56	County Welfare Department .....	.	.	.	9,030	9,030
833.400.57	Coroner .....	.	.	.	1,500	1,500
833.400.59	Department of Weights and Measures .....	.	.	.	750	750
Insurance and Premium on Official Bonds						
833.816.06	Premium on Official Bonds—Treasurer .....	.	.	.	7,000	7,000
833.816.33	Premium on Official Bonds—Miscellaneous Depts. ....	10,575	.	.	.	10,575
833.817.07-3	Auto Insurance—Sheriff—County Jail No. 2 .....	.	.	.	250	250
833.818.00	Forgery Insurance—Miscellaneous Departments .....	727	.	.	.	727
833.900.00	Services of Other Departments.....	600	.	.	.	600
		200,970	3,550	897,357	14,411	1,109,188

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
834	REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT *(Receipts allocated to Appropriation \$1500)					
834.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	12,600	.....	.....	.....	12,600
834.200.00	Contractual Services .....	645	495	.....	.....	150
834.200.01	*Collection of Rents .....	1,800	.....	.....	.....	1,800
834.203.00	Auto Hire .....	480	480	.....	.....	.....
834.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	400	400	.....	.....	.....
834.900.00	Other Services .....	1,000	.....	.....	.....	1,000
834.809.00	Rental, 550 Montgomery Street .....	16,925	1,375	.....	.....	15,550
		10,560	.....	.....	.....	10,560
		27,485	1,375	.....	.....	26,110
835	REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT—AUDITORIUM (Receipts allocated to Appropriation, \$40,000)					
835.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	26,925	.....	1,500	.....	28,425
835.102.00	Temporary Salaries .....	8,000	.....	.....	.....	8,000
835.200.00	Contractual Services .....	3,541	329	.....	.....	3,212
835.231.00	Heat, Light and Power .....	7,000	7,000	.....	.....	.....
835.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	4,000	.....	.....	.....	4,000
835.900.00	Services of Other Departments .....	605	.....	.....	.....	605
		50,071	7,329	1,500	.....	44,242

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS</b>						
<b>General</b>						
836	Permanent Salaries—Director .....	8,000				8,000
836.101.01	Permanent Salaries—General Office .....	26,160				26,160
836.101.02	Permanent Salaries—Telephone Exchange .....	11,160				11,160
836.101.03	Temporary Salaries .....	450				450
836.102.00	Contractual Services .....	1,100	1,100			
836.200.00	Contractual Services .....	5,444	5,444			
836.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....					
		52,314	6,544			45,770
<b>Bureau of Accounts</b>						
837	Permanent Salaries .....	26,160				26,160
837.101.00	Contractual Services .....	575	575			
837.200.00	Heat, Light and Power .....	400	400			
837.231.00	Materials and Supplies .....	600	600			
837.300.00	Stores Account .....	7,000				7,000
837.966.00						
		34,735	1,575			33,160
<b>Bureau of Building Repair</b>						
838	Permanent Salaries—Superintendence .....	19,762				19,762
838.101.01	Permanent Salaries—Maintenance .....	212,208				212,208
838.101.02	Temporary Salaries—Maintenance .....	1,323				1,323
838.102.00	Wages .....	87,921				87,921
838.103.00	Contractual Services .....	7,762	6,902			860
838.200.00	Heat, Light and Power .....	240	240			
838.231.00	Truck Hire .....	1,500				1,500
838.203.00	Materials and Supplies .....	10,950	950			10,000
838.300.00	Engine Room Supplies .....	17,000				17,000
838.319.00	Janitorial Supplies .....	5,500	5,500			
838.340.00						

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS (Continued)</b>						
<b>Bureau of Building Repair (Continued)</b>						
838.400.00	Equipment .....	700	700	.....	.....	.....
838.900.00	Services of Other Departments .....	100	.....	.....	.....	100
838.213.00	Repairs to Public Buildings .....	50,000	.....	.....	.....	50,000
		414,966	14,292	.....	.....	400,674
<b>Bureau of Building Inspection</b>						
839	Permanent Salaries .....	55,500	.....	.....	.....	55,500
839.101.00	Contractual Services .....	5,190	5,190	.....	.....	.....
839.200.00	Materials and Supplies .....	100	100	.....	.....	.....
839.300.00		60,790	5,290	.....	.....	55,500
<b>Bureau of Engineering</b>						
840	Permanent Salaries .....	196,680	.....	.....	.....	196,680
840.101.00	Contractual Services .....	6,800	500	.....	.....	6,300
840.200.00	Heat, Light and Power .....	50	50	.....	.....	.....
840.231.00	Auto Hire .....	3,360	3,360	.....	.....	.....
840.203.00	Extension and Reconstruction of Main Sewers .....	10,000	.....	.....	.....	10,000
840.214.00	Materials and Supplies .....	3,550	2,550	.....	.....	1,000
840.300.00	Special Inspection .....	13,000	.....	.....	.....	13,000
840.900.00	(Receipts allocated to Appropriation, \$13,000)					
		233,440	6,460	.....	.....	226,980
<b>Central Permit Bureau</b>						
841	Permanent Salaries .....	17,140	.....	.....	.....	17,140
841.101.00	Contractual Services .....	100	.....	.....	.....	100
841.200.00	Equipment .....	250	250	.....	.....	.....
841.400.00		17,490	250	.....	.....	17,240

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS (Continued)</b>						
842	Bureau of Street Cleaning					
842.101.00	Permanent Salaries	28,620	.....	.....	.....	28,620
842.103.00	Wages	469,998	.....	.....	.....	469,998
842.200.00	Contractual Services	10,580	10,080	.....	.....	500
842.231.00	Heat, Light and Power	100	100	.....	.....	.....
842.203.00	Truck and Team Hire	8,393	.....	.....	.....	8,393
842.300.00	Materials and Supplies	12,300	10,700	.....	.....	1,600
842.400.00	Equipment	49,400	47,400	.....	.....	2,000
842.809.00	Rental—Jones and Jefferson	1,800	.....	.....	.....	1,800
842.900.00	Services of Other Departments	1,000	.....	.....	.....	1,000
		582,191	68,280	.....	.....	513,911
843	Bureau of Sewer Repair					
843.101.00	Permanent Salaries	13,500	.....	.....	.....	13,500
843.103.00	Wages	178,565	.....	.....	.....	178,565
843.200.00	Contractual Services	4,100	4,000	.....	.....	100
843.203.00	Team and Truck Hire	39,920	.....	.....	.....	39,920
843.300.00	Materials and Supplies	20,600	3,600	.....	.....	17,000
843.900.00	Services of Other Departments	7,100	.....	.....	.....	7,100
		263,785	7,600	.....	.....	256,185
	Tearing up Streets—Side Sewers	50,000	.....	.....	.....	50,000
	(Receipts allocated to Appropriation, \$50,000)					
		313,785	7,600	.....	.....	306,185
844	Sewage Pumping Station					
844.101.00	Permanent Salaries	4,380	.....	.....	.....	4,380
844.102.00	Temporary Salaries	72	.....	.....	.....	72
844.200.00	Contractual Services	3,500	.....	.....	.....	3,500
844.231.00	Heat, Light and Power	4,000	4,000	.....	.....	.....
844.300.00	Materials and Supplies	1,000	.....	.....	.....	1,000
		12,952	4,000	.....	.....	8,952

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY</b>						
849	Permanent Salaries .....	109,810	.....	.....	.....	114,810
849.101.00	Permanent Salaries—Inspection Bureau .....	58,800	.....	.....	5,000	58,800
849.101.01	Temporary Salaries .....	750	.....	.....	.....	750
849.102.00	Wages .....	17,275	.....	.....	.....	17,275
849.103.00	Contractual Services .....	1,376	.....	.....	.....	1,031
849.200.00	Contractual Services—Inspection Bureau .....	812	.....	.....	.....	487
849.200.01	Heat, Light and Power .....	1,545	.....	.....	.....	1,545
849.231.00	Materials and Supplies .....	11,400	.....	.....	.....	2,210
849.300.00	Materials and Supplies—Inspection Bureau .....	1,400	.....	.....	.....	1,400
849.300.01	Equipment .....	750	.....	.....	.....	750
849.400.00	Equipment .....	175	.....	.....	.....	175
849.400.01	Equipment—Inspection Bureau .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
		204,093	7,598	.....	5,000	201,495
<b>DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH</b>						
	Central Office					
	Administration					
850	Permanent Salaries .....	30,682	.....	.....	.....	30,682
850.101.01	Temporary Salaries .....	65	.....	.....	.....	65
850.102.01	Contractual Services .....	6,000	5,018	.....	.....	982
850.200.01	Heat, Light and Power .....	4,100	.....	.....	.....	.....
850.231.01	Materials and Supplies .....	3,475	2,400	.....	.....	.....
850.300.01	Services of Other Departments .....	2,500	1,500	.....	.....	1,075
850.900.01	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,000
		46,822	13,018	.....	.....	33,804
<b>Other Appropriations</b>						
850.252.01	Feeble-Minded .....	160,000	.....	.....	.....	160,000
850.811.01	Burial of Indigent Dead .....	12,600	.....	.....	.....	12,600
		219,422	13,018	.....	.....	206,404

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued)</b>						
<b>Central Office (Continued)</b>						
<b>Accounting</b>						
850.101.02	Permanent Salaries .....	17,580	.....	.....	.....	17,580
850.200.02	Contractual Services .....	425	150	.....	.....	275
850.300.02	Materials and Supplies .....	450	420	.....	.....	30
		18,455	570	.....	.....	17,885
<b>Statistics</b>						
850.101.03	Permanent Salaries .....	15,120	.....	.....	.....	15,120
850.200.03	Contractual Services .....	1,575	50	.....	.....	1,525
850.300.03	Materials and Supplies .....	1,525	1,500	.....	.....	25
850.400.03	Equipment .....	425	425	.....	.....	.....
		18,645	1,975	.....	.....	16,670
<b>Meat Inspection</b>						
850.101.04	Permanent Salaries .....	72,336	.....	.....	.....	72,336
850.200.04	Contractual Services .....	1,915	1,890	.....	.....	25
850.300.04	Materials and Supplies .....	260	210	.....	.....	50
		74,511	2,100	.....	.....	72,411
<b>Communicable Diseases</b>						
850.101.05	Permanent Salaries .....	26,760	.....	.....	.....	26,760
850.200.05	Contractual Services .....	2,220	2,170	.....	.....	50
850.300.05	Materials and Supplies .....	1,620	650	.....	.....	970
		30,600	2,820	.....	.....	27,780
<b>Syphilis Unit</b>						
850.101.05-1	Permanent Salaries .....	4,920	.....	.....	.....	4,920
850.200.05-1	Contractual Services .....	50	.....	.....	.....	50
850.300.05-1	Materials and Supplies .....	50	.....	.....	.....	50
		5,020	.....	.....	.....	5,020

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued)</b>						
G. U. Diagnostic Center (Formerly Clinics)						
850.101.06	Permanent Salaries .....	6,780	.....	.....	.....	6,780
850.200.06	Contractual Services .....	95	20	.....	.....	75
850.300.06	Materials and Supplies .....	375	125	.....	.....	250
		7,250	145	.....	.....	7,105
Bureau of Mental Hygiene						
850.101.06-1	Permanent Salaries .....	15,300	.....	.....	.....	15,300
850.200.06-1	Contractual Services .....	50	.....	.....	.....	50
850.300.06-1	Materials and Supplies .....	150	100	.....	.....	50
		15,500	100	.....	.....	15,400
Bacteriological Laboratory						
850.101.07	Permanent Salaries .....	23,334	.....	.....	.....	23,334
850.200.07	Contractual Services .....	50	20	.....	.....	30
850.300.07	Materials and Supplies .....	2,665	800	.....	.....	1,865
		26,049	820	.....	.....	25,229
School Inspection—Medical						
850.101.08	Permanent Salaries .....	33,700	.....	.....	.....	33,700
850.200.08	Contractual Services .....	120	.....	.....	.....	120
850.300.08	Materials and Supplies .....	2,530	.....	.....	.....	2,530
		36,350	.....	.....	.....	36,350
Dental Bureau						
850.101.09	Permanent Salaries .....	27,240	.....	.....	.....	27,240
850.200.09	Contractual Services .....	100	.....	.....	.....	100
850.300.09	Materials and Supplies .....	1,075	75	.....	.....	1,000
		28,415	75	.....	.....	28,340

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued)</b>						
Child Welfare—Medical						
850.101.10	Permanent Salaries .....	10,460	.....	.....	.....	10,460
Food and Milk Inspection						
850.101.11	Permanent Salaries .....	76,080	.....	.....	.....	76,080
850.200.11	Contractual Services .....	11,095	2,100	.....	.....	8,995
850.300.11	Materials and Supplies .....	4,860	4,660	.....	.....	200
850.400.11	Equipment .....	175	175	.....	.....	.....
		92,210	6,335	.....	.....	85,275
Chemical Laboratory						
850.101.12	Permanent Salaries .....	9,300	.....	.....	.....	9,300
850.200.12	Contractual Services .....	35	.....	35	.....	35
850.300.12	Materials and Supplies .....	600	100	.....	.....	500
850.400.12	Equipment .....	210	210	.....	.....	.....
		10,145	310	.....	.....	9,835
Plumbing Inspection						
850.101.13	Permanent Salaries .....	28,560	.....	.....	.....	28,560
850.200.13	Contractual Services .....	1,075	1,020	.....	.....	55
850.300.13	Materials and Supplies .....	1,505	1,455	.....	.....	50
		31,140	2,475	.....	.....	28,665
Housing Inspection						
850.101.14	Permanent Salaries .....	26,400	.....	.....	.....	26,400
850.200.14	Contractual Services .....	720	270	.....	.....	450
850.300.14	Materials and Supplies .....	565	520	.....	.....	45
		27,685	790	.....	.....	26,895

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued)</b>						
	Industrial Inspection					
850.101.15	Permanent Salaries	11,700	.....	.....	.....	11,700
850.200.15	Contractual Services	575	400	.....	.....	175
850.300.15	Materials and Supplies	255	230	.....	.....	25
		12,530	630	.....	.....	11,900
	City Physicians					
850.101.16	Permanent Salaries	27,000	.....	5,400	.....	32,400
850.200.16	Contractual Services	3,300	3,300	.....	.....	.....
		30,300	3,300	5,400	.....	32,400
	Field Nursing—Administration					
850.101.17	Permanent Salaries	33,300	.....	.....	.....	33,300
850.200.17	Contractual Services	150	150	.....	.....	.....
850.300.17	Materials and Supplies	150	150	.....	.....	.....
		33,600	300	.....	.....	33,300
	Field Nursing—Schools					
850.101.18	Permanent Salaries	82,200	.....	.....	.....	82,200
850.200.18	Contractual Services	3,350	290	.....	.....	3,060
850.300.18	Materials and Supplies	2,000	845	.....	.....	1,155
850.350.18	Foodstuffs	20,000	.....	.....	.....	20,000
		107,550	1,135	.....	.....	106,415

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued)</b>						
Field Nursing—Other						
850.101.19	Permanent Salaries .....	41,160	.....	.....	.....	41,160
850.200.19	Contractual Services .....	2,875	725	.....	.....	2,150
850.231.19	Heat, Light and Power .....	250	250	.....	.....	.....
850.300.19	Materials and Supplies .....	3,530	1,330	.....	.....	2,200
850.800.19	Rentals .....	1,590	.....	.....	.....	1,590
		49,405	2,305	.....	.....	47,100
Tuberculosis Bureau						
850.101.21	Permanent Salaries .....	28,200	.....	.....	.....	28,200
850.200.21	Contractual Services .....	600	.....	.....	.....	600
850.300.21	Materials and Supplies .....	600	300	.....	.....	300
850.400.21	Equipment .....	250	250	.....	.....	.....
		29,650	550	.....	.....	29,100
Laguna Honda Home						
851		138,000	.....	.....	.....	138,000
851.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	27,900	.....	.....	.....	27,900
851.101.01	Inmate Help .....	76,050	.....	.....	.....	76,050
851.101.02	Institutional Help .....	2,994	.....	.....	.....	2,994
851.102.00	Temporary Salaries .....	5,010	935	.....	.....	4,075
851.200.00	Contractual Services .....	26,750	26,750	.....	.....	.....
851.231.00	Heat, Light and Power .....	72,000	34,950	.....	.....	37,050
851.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	250,000	18,500	.....	.....	231,500
851.350.00	Foodstuffs .....	3,540	3,540	.....	.....	.....
851.400.00	Equipment .....	11,000	.....	.....	.....	11,000
851.103.00	Employees Room Allowance .....	3,025	.....	.....	.....	3,025
851.900.00	Services of Other Departments .....	616,269	84,675	.....	.....	531,594

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



TUESDAY, MAY 31, 1938.

811

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued)</b>						
<b>Isolation Hospital</b>						
852						
852.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	25,470				25,470
852.101.01	Permanent Salaries—Institutional Help .....	15,450				15,450
852.102.00	Temporary Salaries .....	200				200
		41,120				41,120
<b>San Francisco Hospital</b>						
853						
853.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	246,368				246,368
853.101.01	Internes and Student Nurses .....	16,540				16,540
853.101.02	Nursing .....	274,780				274,780
853.101.03	Institutional Help .....	310,233				310,233
853.101.04	Psychiatric Ward—Permanent Salaries .....	8,580				8,580
853.101.05	Social Service—Permanent Salaries .....	39,540				39,540
853.101.06	Outpatient Maternity—Permanent Salaries .....	10,080				10,080
853.102.00	Temporary Salaries .....	8,113				8,113
853.200.00	Contractual Services .....	15,912	4,982			10,930
853.231.00	Heat, Light and Power .....	35,000	35,000			187,200
853.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	236,350	49,150			278,000
853.350.00	Foodstuffs .....	300,000	22,000			2,450
853.400.00	Equipment .....	22,450	20,000			62,000
853.108.00	Room Allowance for Employees .....	62,000				10,000
853.900.00	Services of Other Departments .....	10,000				
		1,595,946	131,132			1,464,814
<b>Emergency Hospitals</b>						
854						
854.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	147,000				147,000
854.101.01	Nursing .....	35,100				35,100
854.102.00	Temporary Salaries .....	11,960				11,960
854.200.00	Contractual Services .....	10,757	5,862			4,895
854.231.00	Heat, Light and Power .....	1,800	1,800			

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued)</b>						
	<b>Emergency Hospitals (Continued)</b>					
854,300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	15,665	7,110	.....	.....	8,555
854,350.00	Foodstuffs .....	3,575	50	.....	.....	3,525
854,400.00	Equipment .....	5,010	5,010	.....	.....	.....
854,900.00	Services of Other Departments .....	1,200	.....	.....	.....	1,200
		232,067	19,832	.....	.....	212,235
<b>Hassler Health Home</b>						
855	Permanent Salaries .....	31,620	.....	.....	.....	31,620
855,101.00	Institutional Help .....	16,044	.....	.....	.....	16,044
855,102.00	Temporary Salaries .....	935	.....	.....	.....	935
855,200.00	Contractual Services .....	2,985	1,200	.....	.....	1,785
855,231.00	Heat, Light and Power .....	3,500	3,500	.....	.....	.....
855,300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	12,500	3,800	.....	.....	8,700
855,350.00	Foodstuffs .....	24,075	1,800	.....	.....	22,275
855,400.00	Equipment .....	700	700	.....	.....	.....
855,812.00	Taxes .....	425	.....	.....	.....	425
855,108.00	Employees' Room Allowance .....	1,900	.....	.....	.....	1,900
		94,684	11,000	.....	.....	83,684

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



TUESDAY, MAY 31, 1938.

813

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PUBLIC WELFARE DEPARTMENT</b>						
County Welfare Division						
856	Permanent Salaries	112,080				112,080
856.101.00	Temporary Salaries	14,400				14,400
856.102.00	Contractual Services	3,570	2,700			870
856.200.01	Clothing Blind and Deaf in Schools	100				100
856.300.00	Materials and Supplies	8,500	8,500			
856.400.00	Equipment	9,030	9,030			
856.804.01	Widows' Pensions	300,000				300,000
856.804.02	Maintenance of Aged	4,275,148				4,275,148
856.804.03	Blind Pensions	250,000				250,000
856.804.04	Supplementary Aid to Widows	60,000				60,000
		5,032,828	20,230			5,012,598
<b>CORONER</b>						
857	Permanent Salaries	52,340				52,340
857.101.00	Temporary Salaries	1,277				1,277
857.102.00	Contractual Services	1,502	850			652
857.200.00	Materials and Supplies	2,185	1,025			1,160
857.300.00	Equipment	1,500	1,500			
857.400.00	Burial of Honorably Discharged Soldiers, etc.	500				500
857.811.00		59,304	3,375			55,929
<b>AGRICULTURAL (HORTICULTURAL) INSPECTION DEPT.</b>						
858	Permanent Salaries	17,400				17,400
858.101.00	Contractual Services	2,250	2,050			200
858.200.00	Materials and Supplies	400	400			
858.300.00	Rents, Office	960				960
858.809.00		21,010	2,450			18,560

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>DEPARTMENT OF WEIGHTS &amp; MEASURES</b>						
859	Permanent Salaries .....	19,020				19,020
859.101.00	Contractual Services .....	350	300			50
859.200.00	Materials and Supplies .....	715	690			25
859.300.00	Equipment .....	750	750			
859.400.00						
		20,835	1,740			19,095
<b>CONTROLLER</b>						
860	Permanent Salaries .....	209,468			13,100	222,568
860.101.00	Temporary Salaries .....	9,185				9,185
860.102.00	Contractual Services .....	4,918	3,860			1,058
860.200.00	Materials and Supplies .....	13,600	13,400			200
860.300.00	Services of Other Departments .....	3,420				3,420
860.900.00	Police Department Accounting .....			2,280		2,280
860.101.09	Audit of Municipal Railway .....				2,000	2,000
860.248.65	Audit of Water Department .....				1,800	1,800
860.248.66	Audit of Hetch Hetchy Power .....				1,775	1,775
860.248.67	Audit of Hetch Hetchy Water Supply .....				1,450	1,450
860.248.68	Audit of Retirement System .....				2,500	2,500
860.248.72	Audit of San Francisco Public Schools .....				1,200	1,200
860.248.69						
		240,591	17,260	2,280	23,825	249,436
<b>Other Appropriations</b>						
860.233.01	Pre-Legislative Expense .....	2,000				2,000
860.233.02	State Legislative Expense .....	4,000				4,000
860.705.01	Judgments .....	35,000				35,000
860.705.02	Claims .....	5,000				5,000
		286,591	17,260	2,280	23,825	295,436

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>CITY PLANNING COMMISSION</b>						
861	Permanent Salaries .....	9,500	.....	.....	.....	9,500
861.101.00	Temporary Salaries .....	300	.....	.....	.....	300
861.102.00	Commissioners' Fees .....	5,000	.....	.....	.....	5,000
861.106.00	Contractual Services .....	565	565	.....	.....	.....
861.200.00	Auto Hire .....	360	360	.....	.....	.....
861.203.00	Commission Auto Hire .....	100	100	.....	.....	.....
861.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	500	500	.....	.....	.....
		16,325	1,525	.....	.....	14,800
<b>LIGHTING OF PUBLIC STREETS AND BUILDINGS</b>						
863	Maintenance and Repair of Structures .....	3,000	.....	.....	.....	3,000
863.214.63	Lighting of Public Streets .....	695,000	.....	.....	40,000	735,000
863.231.00	Electricity and Gas					
863.231.07	Sheriff .....	.....	.....	13,000	.....	13,000
863.231.09	Police Department .....	.....	.....	12,000	.....	12,000
863.231.10	Fire Department .....	.....	.....	17,000	.....	17,000
863.231.12	Park .....	.....	.....	.....	46,500	46,500
863.231.13	Recreation .....	.....	.....	.....	7,000	7,000
863.231.14	Library .....	.....	.....	.....	13,500	13,500
863.231.15	War Memorial—General .....	.....	.....	.....	13,000	13,000
863.231.15-1	War Memorial—Art Museum .....	.....	.....	.....	8,000	8,000
863.231.17	California Palace of the Legion of Honor .....	.....	.....	.....	3,000	3,000
863.231.18	de Young Museum .....	.....	.....	.....	1,700	1,700
863.231.24	Juvenile Detention Home .....	.....	.....	1,500	.....	1,500
863.231.29	Registrar of Voters .....	.....	.....	300	.....	300
863.231.33	Purchasing Department .....	.....	.....	3,550	.....	3,550
863.231.35	Real Estate Department—Auditorium .....	.....	.....	7,000	.....	7,000

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>LIGHTING OF PUBLIC STREETS AND BUILDINGS (Continued)</b>						
<b>Electricity and Gas (Continued)</b>						
<b>Department of Public Works</b>						
863.231.37	Bureau of Accounts .....	..	..	400	..	400
863.231.38	Bureau of Building Repair .....	..	..	240	..	240
863.231.40	Bureau of Engineering .....	..	..	50	..	50
863.231.42	Bureau of Street Cleaning .....	..	..	100	..	100
863.231.44	Sewage Pumping .....	..	..	4,000	..	4,000
863.231.45	Asphalt Plant (Street Repair) .....	..	..	..	1,100	1,100
863.231.46	Drawbridges (Bridges) .....	..	..	..	2,000	2,000
863.231.49	Department of Electricity .....	..	..	1,545	..	1,545
<b>Department of Public Health</b>						
<b>Central Office</b>						
863.231.50-1	Administration .....	..	..	4,100	..	4,100
863.231.50-19	Field Nursing—Other .....	..	..	250	..	250
863.231.51	Laguna Honda Home .....	..	..	26,750	..	26,750
863.231.53	San Francisco Hospital .....	..	..	35,000	..	35,000
863.231.54	Emergency Hospitals .....	..	..	1,800	..	1,800
863.231.55	Hassler Health Home .....	..	..	3,500	..	3,500
863.231.63	Public Building Lighting .....	28,000	..	..	..	28,000
863.231.64	Airport .....	..	..	..	9,000	9,000
863.231.65	Municipal Railway .....	..	..	..	460,000	460,000
863.231.66	Water Department .....	..	..	..	110,000	110,000
863.231.69	Schools .....	..	..	..	62,700	62,700
863.509.00	Street Lighting Construction .....	10,000	..	..	..	10,000
863.509.01	Alterations to Secure Lower Rates .....	3,000	..	..	..	3,000
863.900.00	Services of Other Departments .....	22,400	..	..	..	22,400
		761,400	..	132,085	777,500	1,670,985

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939; continued on next page)



EXPENDITURES FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
871	CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION					
871.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	53,994	.....	.....	.....	53,994
871.102.00	Temporary Salaries .....	10,000	.....	.....	.....	10,000
871.200.00	Contractual Services .....	1,214	290	.....	.....	924
871.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	3,500	3,500	.....	.....	.....
		68,708	3,790	.....	.....	64,918
873.200.00	PUBLIC POUND .....	18,000	.....	.....	.....	18,000
	Total .....	22,196,563	1,038,622	1,038,622	842,136	23,038,699
	Transfer from Water Department .....	-990,826	.....	.....	990,826	.....
	TOTAL GENERAL FUND .....	21,205,737	1,038,622	1,038,622	1,832,962	23,038,699

## DETAIL OF OTHER CURRENT FUNDS APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>MAYOR</b>						
802	Emergency Reserve Fund.....	200,000	.....	.....	.....	200,000
802.900.00	Exposition .....	302,862	.....	.....	.....	302,862
802.901.00	W.P.A. Projects .....	300,000	.....	.....	.....	300,000
878.000.00		802,862	.....	.....	.....	802,862
<b>PARK DEPARTMENT</b>						
812	Permanent Salaries .....	63,300	.....	.....	.....	63,300
812.101.01	General Division .....	53,530	.....	.....	.....	53,530
812.101.02	Revenue Division .....	4,000	.....	.....	.....	4,000
812.101.03	Fleishhacker Zoo .....	120,830	.....	.....	.....	120,830
<b>Wages</b>						
812.103.01	General Division .....	546,230	.....	.....	.....	546,230
812.103.02	Revenue Division .....	181,440	.....	.....	.....	181,440
812.103.03	Fleishhacker Zoo .....	42,069	.....	.....	.....	42,069
		769,739	.....	.....	.....	769,739
<b>Fees and Special Compensations</b>						
812.106.01	General Division .....	2,500	.....	.....	.....	2,500
<b>Contractual Services</b>						
812.200.01	General Division .....	7,828	.....	.....	.....	7,828
812.200.02	Revenue Division .....	6,654	.....	.....	.....	6,654
812.200.03	Fleishhacker Zoo .....	1,173	.....	.....	.....	1,173
		15,655	.....	.....	.....	15,655

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PARK DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
812.218.01	Concerts—General Division .....	20,000	.....	.....	.....	20,000
	Heat, Light, Power, etc.					
812.231.01	General Division .....	19,355	19,355	.....	.....	.....
812.231.02	Revenue Division .....	24,445	24,445	.....	.....	.....
812.231.03	Fleishhacker Zoo .....	2,700	2,700	.....	.....	.....
		46,500	46,500	.....	.....	.....
<b>Materials and Supplies—Park</b>						
812.300.01	General Division .....	45,335	.....	.....	.....	45,335
812.300.02	Revenue Division .....	12,250	.....	.....	.....	12,250
812.300.03	Fleishhacker Zoo .....	7,500	.....	.....	.....	7,500
		65,085	.....	.....	.....	65,085
<b>Materials and Supplies—Commissary</b>						
812.300.12	Revenue Division .....	8,300	.....	.....	.....	8,300
<b>Materials and Supplies—Resale</b>						
812.300.21	General Division .....	250	.....	.....	.....	250
812.300.22	Revenue Division .....	14,125	.....	.....	.....	14,125
		14,375	.....	.....	.....	14,375
<b>Forage and Food for Animals</b>						
812.324.01	General Division .....	2,765	.....	.....	.....	2,765
812.324.02	Revenue Division .....	1,080	.....	.....	.....	1,080
812.324.03	Fleishhacker Zoo .....	30,235	.....	.....	.....	30,235
		34,080	.....	.....	.....	34,080
<b>Foodstuffs</b>						
812.350.02	Revenue Division .....	96,500	.....	.....	.....	96,500

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

## DETAIL OF OTHER CURRENT FUNDS APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PARK DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
<b>Equipment</b>						
812,400.01	General Division .....	1,750	.....	.....	.....	1,750
812,400.02	Revenue Division .....	2,500	.....	.....	.....	2,500
812,400.03	Fleishhacker Zoo .....	1,000	.....	.....	.....	1,000
		5,250	.....	.....	.....	5,250
<b>Fixed Charges</b>						
812,800.01	General Division .....	13,000	.....	.....	.....	13,000
812,800.02	Revenue Division .....	230	.....	.....	.....	230
		13,230	.....	.....	.....	13,230
<b>Retirement Allowances</b>						
812,806.01	General Division .....	23,173	23,173	.....	.....	.....
812,806.02	Revenue Division .....	8,641	8,641	.....	.....	.....
812,806.03	Fleishhacker Zoo .....	1,686	1,686	.....	.....	.....
		33,500	33,500	.....	.....	.....
<b>Services of Other Departments</b>						
812,900.01	General Division .....	7,650	.....	.....	.....	7,650
812,900.02	Revenue Division .....	2,575	.....	.....	.....	2,575
812,900.03	Fleishhacker Zoo .....	200	.....	.....	.....	200
		10,425	.....	.....	.....	10,425
812,500.01	Soil—Fertilizer. ....	15,000	.....	.....	.....	15,000
812,500.06	Aquatic Park .....	38,000	.....	.....	.....	38,000
812,500.07	Lincoln Park Clubhouse Equipment. ....	7,800	.....	.....	.....	7,800
812,600.01	Fleishhacker Playground Land. ....	37,300	.....	.....	.....	37,300
812,600.02	Lafayette Park Land. ....	28,000	.....	.....	.....	28,000
812,818.00	Public Liability Insurance. ....	3,500	.....	.....	.....	3,500
	<b>Total Park Fund</b> .....	1,385,569	80,000	.....	.....	1,305,569

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page.)



Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>RECREATION DEPARTMENT</b>						
813		293,985				293,985
813.101.00	Permanent Salaries	3,570				3,570
813.102.00	Temporary Salaries	8,210				8,210
813.103.00	Wages	34,615				34,615
813.103.02	Playground Directors	3,500				3,500
813.106.00	Fees and Special Compensations	50,773				50,773
813.200.00	Contractual Services	7,000	900			7,900
813.231.00	Heat, Light and Power	5,324				5,324
813.203.02	Auto Hire	34,300				34,300
813.300.00	Materials and Supplies	1,900				1,900
813.400.00	Equipment	70,377				70,377
813.500.00	Improvements	10,000				10,000
813.600.01	Bayview District—Land	10,000				10,000
813.600.02	Upper Noe District—Land	10,000				10,000
813.600.04	Eureka Valley—Land	10,000				10,000
813.600.07	Haight-Ashbury—Land	10,000				10,000
813.600.09	Nineteenth Avenue and Sloat—Land	22,600				22,600
813.801.00	Accident Compensation	3,600				3,600
813.818.00	Insurance Premiums	866				866
813.806.00	Pensions and Retirement Allowances	12,500	12,500			25,000
813.809.00	Rents	3,240				3,240
813.101.01	Camp Mather—Permanent Salaries	7,034				7,034
813.102.01	Temporary Salaries	8,928				8,928
813.103.01	Wages	1,350				1,350
813.200.01	Contractual Services	4,898				4,898
813.300.01	Materials and Supplies	3,920				3,920
813.350.01	Foodstuffs	15,603				15,603
813.400.01	Equipment	200				200
813.500.01	Improvements	727				727
813.806.01	Retirement	340	340			680
	<b>Total Recreation Fund</b>	639,360	20,740			660,100
	<b>Total of Other Current Funds Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page</b>					618,620

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

That portion of the Recreation appropriations which is to be met from taxes, shall not exceed the amount to be provided by a levy of 7 cents on each \$100 of the 1938-1939 Assessment Roll, plus \$12,600.

## DETAIL OF OTHER CURRENT FUNDS APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>LIBRARY</b>						
814	Permanent Salaries .....	194,040	.....	.....	.....	194,040
814.101.00	Wages .....	58,940	.....	.....	.....	58,940
814.103.00	Contractual Services .....	19,310	475	.....	.....	19,310
814.200.00	Heat, Light and Power .....	13,500	13,500	.....	.....	13,500
814.231.00	Binding .....	25,000	.....	.....	.....	25,000
814.200.01	Materials and Supplies .....	8,000	.....	.....	.....	8,000
814.300.00	Books and Bindery Materials .....	62,000	.....	.....	.....	62,000
814.328.00	Equipment .....	11,000	.....	.....	.....	11,000
814.400.00	Pensions and Retirement Allowances .....	6,400	6,400	.....	.....	6,400
814.806.00	Rents .....	6,000	.....	.....	.....	6,000
814.809.00	Services of Other Departments .....	14,320	.....	.....	.....	14,320
814.900.00	Compensation Insurance .....	100	.....	.....	.....	100
814.815.00	Automobile Insurance .....	180	.....	.....	.....	180
814.817.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
	Total Library Fund .....	418,790	20,375	.....	.....	398,415
<b>WAR MEMORIAL</b>						
815	General Departments .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
815.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	78,673	.....	.....	.....	78,673
815.102.00	Temporary Salaries .....	5,263	.....	.....	.....	5,263
815.103.00	Wages .....	1,750	.....	.....	.....	1,750
815.200.00	Contractual Services .....	5,700	1,180	.....	.....	4,520
815.231.00	Heat, Light and Power .....	13,000	13,000	.....	.....	.....
815.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	4,500	.....	.....	.....	4,500
815.400.00	Equipment .....	500	500	.....	.....	.....
815.900.00	Services of Other Departments .....	4,000	.....	.....	.....	4,000

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



TUESDAY, MAY 31, 1938.

823

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
WAR MEMORIAL (Continued)						
ART MUSEUM						
815.101.01	Permanent Salaries .....	6,960	.....	.....	.....	6,960
815.102.01	Temporary Salaries .....	435	.....	.....	.....	435
815.231.01	Heat, Light and Power .....	8,000	8,000	.....	.....	.....
815.300.01	Materials and Supplies .....	500	.....	.....	.....	500
	Total War Memorial Fund .....	129,281	22,680	.....	.....	106,601
CALIFORNIA PALACE OF THE LEGION OF HONOR						
817	Permanent Salaries .....	42,376	.....	.....	.....	42,376
817.101.00	Temporary Salaries .....	700	.....	.....	.....	700
817.102.00	Fees and Special Compensations .....	200	.....	.....	.....	200
817.106.00	Contractual Services .....	2,000	60	.....	.....	1,940
817.200.00	Heat, Light and Power .....	3,000	3,000	.....	.....	.....
817.231.00	Exhibitions .....	10,000	.....	.....	.....	10,000
817.200.01	Auto Hire .....	240	240	.....	.....	.....
817.203.00	Materials and Supplies .....	3,000	.....	.....	.....	3,000
817.300.00	Equipment .....	1,000	.....	.....	.....	1,000
817.400.00	Sundry Fixed Charges .....	50	.....	.....	.....	50
817.800.00	Insurance Premiums .....	500	.....	.....	.....	500
817.818.00	Services of Other Departments (de Young Museum) .....	13,690	13,690	.....	.....	.....
817.900.00	Total California Palace of the Legion of Honor .....	76,756	16,990	.....	.....	59,766

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)

TUESDAY, MAY 31, 1938.

## DETAIL OF OTHER CURRENT FUNDS APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>de YOUNG MUSEUM</b>						
818	Permanent Salaries .....	67,810	.....	.....	.....	81,500
818.101.00	Fees and Special Compensations .....	500	.....	.....	13,690	500
818.106.00	Contractual Services .....	2,000	.....	.....	.....	1,770
818.200.00	Heat, Light and Power .....	1,700	1,700	.....	.....	.....
818.231.00	Auto Hire .....	240	240	.....	.....	.....
818.203.00	Materials and Supplies .....	3,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
818.300.00	Equipment .....	2,000	.....	.....	.....	3,000
818.400.00	Fixed Charges .....	100	.....	.....	.....	2,000
818.800.00	Exhibitions .....	7,000	.....	.....	.....	100
818.200.01	Total de Young Museum Fund .....	84,350	2,170	.....	13,690	7,000
<b>CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER</b>						
826	Publicity and Advertising Fund .....	319,180	.....	.....	.....	319,180
826.277.00	Installment Payment on State Unemployment Relief Loan Fund .....	101,727	.....	.....	.....	101,727
826.703.02	General City Bond Interest and Redemption Fund .....	5,579,954	.....	.....	446,000	6,025,954
826.800.01	P.S.E. Bond Interest and Redemption Fund .....	2,643,092	.....	.....	6,328,771	8,971,863
826.800.02	Interest on Tax Anticipation Notes Fund .....	17,000	.....	.....	.....	17,000
826.803.01	Interest on State Unemployment Relief Loan Fund .....	27,054	.....	.....	.....	27,054
826.803.02	Total de Young Museum Fund .....	8,688,007	.....	.....	6,774,771	15,462,778

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Inter-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS</b>						
<b>County Road Fund</b>						
<b>Street Repair</b>						
845	Permanent Salaries .....	9,900	.....	.....	.....	9,900
845.101.00	Wages .....	216,326	.....	.....	.....	216,326
845.103.00	Contractual Services .....	10,300	.....	.....	.....	10,300
845.200.00	Heat, Light and Power (Asphalt Plant) .....	1,100	1,100	.....	.....	.....
845.231.00	Truck Hire .....	20,500	.....	.....	.....	20,500
845.203.01	Auto Hire .....	720	.....	.....	.....	720
845.203.02	Materials and Supplies .....	76,000	.....	.....	.....	76,000
845.300.00	Equipment .....	22,760	.....	.....	.....	22,760
845.400.00	Accident Compensation .....	1,000	.....	.....	.....	1,000
845.801.00	Retirement Charges .....	15,000	15,000	.....	.....	.....
845.806.00	Services of Other Departments .....	10,975	.....	.....	.....	10,975
845.900.00		384,581	16,100	.....	.....	368,481
<b>Bridges</b>						
846	Permanent Salaries .....	51,120	.....	.....	.....	51,120
846.101.00	Temporary Salaries .....	3,936	.....	.....	.....	3,936
846.102.00	Contractual Services .....	500	.....	.....	.....	500
846.200.00	Heat, Light and Power .....	2,000	2,000	.....	.....	.....
846.231.00	Maintenance and Repair to Bridges .....	2,000	.....	.....	.....	2,000
846.214.00	Materials and Supplies .....	550	.....	.....	.....	550
846.300.00	Services of Other Departments .....	3,090	.....	.....	.....	3,090
846.900.00		63,196	2,000	.....	.....	61,196

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations for Expenditures 1938-1939, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF OTHER CURRENT FUNDS APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Inter-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS (Continued)						
County Road Fund (Continued)						
General						
847	Boulevard Lighting .....	40,000	40,000	.....	.....	.....
847.231.00	Bond Interest and Redemption (1927 Boulevards) .....	265,000	265,000	.....	.....	.....
847.800.00	Services of Real Estate Department .....	1,000	.....	.....	.....	1,000
847.902.00	Traffic (Services of Department of Electricity) .....	5,000	5,000	.....	.....	.....
847.903.01	Traffic—Police Dept. Curbs .....	10,000	.....	.....	.....	10,000
847.903.02	Traffic Striping .....	20,000	.....	.....	.....	20,000
847.903.05	Traffic—Stop and Go Signals .....	25,000	.....	.....	.....	25,000
847.903.03	Traffic Directional Signs .....	5,000	.....	.....	.....	5,000
847.903.06	Traffic—Maintenance and Replace Control Devices .....	10,000	.....	.....	.....	10,000
847.903.07	Traffic—Division Design Engr. ....	10,606	.....	.....	.....	10,606
847.903.08	Street Signs—New and Repair .....	10,000	.....	.....	.....	10,000
847.907.00	Sunset Boulevard Maintenance .....	21,100	.....	.....	.....	21,100
847.908.00	Boulevard Tree Maintenance .....	5,400	.....	.....	.....	5,400
847.909.00		428,106	310,000	.....	.....	118,106
Street Construction						
848	Joint Highway District No. 9 .....	41,250	.....	.....	.....	41,250
848.984.00	Joint Highway District No. 10 .....	34,500	.....	.....	.....	34,500
848.985.00	Work in front City Property .....	10,000	.....	.....	.....	10,000
848.916.00	Sand Removal Sunset District .....	3,000	.....	.....	.....	3,000
848.946.00	Lloyd—Scott—Castro .....	2,000	.....	.....	.....	2,000
848.948.00	Congdon—Trumbull—Alemany .....	3,600	.....	.....	.....	3,600
848.949.00	Cortland and Elsie .....	1,250	.....	.....	.....	1,250
848.951.00	Adam and Eve—City Aid .....	12,400	.....	.....	.....	12,400
848.910.00	Richardson Ave. Planting .....	4,500	.....	.....	.....	4,500
848.952.00	Lynch—Hyde—Leavenworth .....	1,500	.....	.....	.....	1,500
848.953.00	Coso—Winfield—Shotwell .....	12,000	.....	.....	.....	12,000
848.954.00	Homestead—24th and 25th Sts. ....	3,000	.....	.....	.....	3,000
848.955.00						

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations for Expenditures 1938-1939, continued on next page)



TUESDAY, MAY 31, 1938.

827

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS (Continued)</b>						
County Road Fund (Continued)						
Street Construction (Continued)						
848,957.00	12th Ave.—Kirkham—Lawton . . . . .	5,400				5,400
848,938.00	Engineering Studies—Special Impr. . . . .	20,000				20,000
848,958.00	Engineering—Bridges and Street Structures . . . . .	4,000				4,000
848,959.00	Parker Ave.—Turk to Anza St. . . . .	65,000				65,000
848,960.00	Geneva Ave.—Mission to Bayshore . . . . .	10,000				10,000
848,900.00	Unallocated . . . . .	9,831				9,831
		243,231				243,231
	<b>Total County Road Fund. . . . .</b>	<b>1,119,114</b>	<b>328,100</b>			<b>791,014</b>
<b>PUBLIC WELFARE DEPARTMENT</b>						
Indigent Relief Division						
856,101.10	Permanent Salaries . . . . .	156,300				156,300
856,102.10	Temporary Salaries . . . . .	17,270				17,270
856,200.10	Contractual Services . . . . .	12,575	2,100			10,475
856,300.10	Materials and Supplies. . . . .	2,450				2,450
856,400.10	Equipment . . . . .	10,655				10,655
856,800.10	Fixed Charges . . . . .	750				750
856,901.10	Relief—Full Cash . . . . .	1,000,000				1,000,000
856,902.10	Relief Orders . . . . .	128,000				128,000
856,903.10	Relief—Purchase and Service Orders . . . . .	172,000				172,000
		1,500,000	2,100			1,497,900

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations for Expenditures 1938-1939, continued on next page)

## DETAIL OF OTHER CURRENT FUNDS APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>SPECIAL GAS TAX STREET IMPROVEMENT FUND</b>						
877	Army St. bet. Harrison & Van Ness So.					
877.937.00	Construction . . . . .	87,000				87,000
877.938.00	Army St.—Harrison to Van Ness So.—Property . . . . .	32,000				32,000
877.939.00	Army St.—Harrison to San Jose Ave. . . . .	5,000				5,000
877.940.00	Third St.—Channel to Custer Ave.—Preliminary Engineering. . . . .	5,000				5,000
877.941.00	Portola Drive & Market St. . . . .	10,000				10,000
877.942.00	Ninth St.—Market to Division St. . . . .	84,000				84,000
877.943.00	Market St.—Van Ness Ave. to Tenth St. . . . .	15,000				15,000
877.944.00	Third St.—Market to Howard St. . . . .	26,000				26,000
877.945.00	Third St.—Channel to Custer Ave.—Property . . . . .	190,000				190,000
877.946.00	Portola Drive—Sidney Way to Waithman Way . . . . .	30,000				30,000
877.947.00	Post St.—Market to Van Ness Ave. . . . .	95,000				95,000
877.948.00	Traffic Striping—Major Streets . . . . .	15,000				15,000
877.949.00	Fourth St.—Channel to Third St. . . . .	10,000				10,000
877.950.00	Silver Ave.—Quesada Ave. to Palou Ave. . . . .	12,000				12,000
877.951.00	Fourth St. Bridge over Channel . . . . .	23,000				23,000
877.952.00	Islais Creek Bridge at Third St. . . . .	9,000				9,000
		648,000				648,000
<b>DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY</b>						
849.900.00	Installation Fund . . . . .	3,000				3,000
<b>CONTROLLER</b>						
860.805.00	Tax Judgments Fund . . . . .	44,000				44,000

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations for Expenditures, 1938-1939, continued on next page)



Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>SCHOOL DEPARTMENT</b>						
869	Common School Fund (Tentative) .....	9,925,266	530,100	.....	.....	9,395,166
870	Special School Tax Fund (Tentative) .....	340,000	1,250	.....	.....	338,750
		10,265,266	531,350	.....	.....	9,733,916
<b>EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM</b>						
872	Permanent Salaries .....	29,280	.....	.....	.....	29,280
872.101.00	Temporary Salaries .....	1,900	.....	.....	.....	1,900
872.102.00	Fees and Special Compensations .....	1,575	.....	.....	.....	1,575
872.106.00	Contractual Services .....	2,393	88	.....	.....	2,305
872.200.00	Materials and Supplies .....	200	.....	.....	.....	200
872.300.00	Equipment .....	2,060	.....	.....	.....	2,060
872.400.00	Pensions and Retirement Allowances .....	1,765,500	.....	.....	681,971	2,447,471
872.800.00	Services of Other Departments .....	2,500	2,500	.....	.....	.....
		1,805,408	2,588	.....	681,971	2,484,791
872.815.00	Compensation Insurance .....	50,000	.....	.....	.....	50,000
	Total Employees' Retirement Fund .....	1,855,408	2,588	.....	681,971	2,534,791

TUESDAY, MAY 31, 1938.

## DETAIL OF OTHER CURRENT FUNDS APPROPRIATIONS (PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES) FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION</b>						
862.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....				55,230	55,230
862.106.00	Legal Expense—City Attorney's Office .....		21,400		21,400	21,400
862.200.00	Contractual Services .....				14,684	14,684
862.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....				1,500	1,500
862.400.00	Equipment .....				250	250
862.818.00	Insurance Premiums .....				200	200
862.806.00	Pensions and Retirement Allowances .....				1,748	1,748
	<b>Total Public Utilities Commission Fund .....</b>		<b>21,400</b>		<b>95,012</b>	<b>73,612</b>
<b>SAN FRANCISCO AIRPORT</b>						
864.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	43,680				43,680
864.102.00	Temporary Salaries .....	1,200				1,200
864.200.00	Contractual Services .....	12,340				12,340
864.231.00	Heat, Light and Power .....	9,000	9,000			18,000
864.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	3,500				3,500
864.800.01	Bond Interest and Redemption—1933 Airport .....	53,040	53,040			106,080
864.800.02	Bond Interest—1938 Airport .....	114,000	114,000			228,000
864.818.00	Insurance Premiums .....	386				386
864.806.00	Pensions and Retirement Allowances .....	1,655	1,655			3,310
864.812.00	Taxes .....	3,500				3,500
864.900.00	Services of Other Departments .....	1,500				1,500
	<b>Total Airport Fund .....</b>	<b>243,801</b>	<b>177,695</b>			<b>66,106</b>

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations, Public Service Enterprises, for Expenditures 1938-1939, continued on next page)



TUESDAY, MAY 31, 1938.

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>MUNICIPAL RAILWAY OPERATING FUND</b>						
865		237,276				237,276
865.101.00	Permanent Salaries	13,750				13,750
865.102.00	Temporary Salaries	1,805,884				1,805,884
865.103.00	Wages	40,000				40,000
865.103.50	Sick Leave—Per Diem Men		396			396
865.200.00	Contractual Services	100,111				100,111
865.231.00	Heat, Light and Power	460,000	460,000			920,000
865.300.00	Materials and Supplies	125,000				125,000
865.400.00	Equipment	6,390				6,390
865.800.00	Bond Interest and Redemption	172,500	172,500			345,000
865.801.00	Accident Compensation	400				400
865.804.00	Educational and Welfare Grants and Contributions	100				100
865.808.00	Passenger and Damage Claims	70,000				70,000
865.813.00	Provision for Replacement and Reconstruction	355,000				355,000
865.806.00	Pensions and Retirement Allowances	107,276	107,276			214,552
Service of Other Departments:						
865.900.01	Controller	4,500	4,500			9,000
865.900.02	Dept. of Public Works	22,250				22,250
865.900.03	Public Utilities Commission	14,327	14,327			28,654
865.900.04	Public Utilities Commission—Claims Bureau	13,020	13,020			26,040
865.900.08	S. F. Water Dept.	200				200
865.900.05	Public Utilities Commission—Engineering Expense	10,000				10,000
Retirement System:						
865.900.06	Hospitalization (S. F. Hospital)	4,000				4,000
865.900.07	Employees Claims	16,000				16,000
865.500.00	Additions and Betterments	14,890				14,890
Total Municipal Railway Operating Fund		3,592,874	772,019			4,364,893

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations, Public Service Enterprises, for Expenditures 1938-1939, continued on next page)

TUESDAY, MAY 31, 1938.

DETAIL OF OTHER CURRENT FUNDS APPROPRIATIONS (PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES) FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939  
(Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>WATER REVENUE</b>						
866		549,480				549,480
866.101.00	*Permanent Salaries					
866.102.00	*Temporary Salaries	25,018				25,018
866.103.00	*Wages	47,007				47,007
866.103.50	Sick Leave—Per Diem Men	5,000				5,000
866.200.00	Contractual Services	112,800	102			112,898
866.212.01	Maintenance—Source of Supply	116,237				116,237
866.212.02	Maintenance—Transmission and Distribution	235,000				235,000
866.212.03	Maintenance—General	12,500				12,500
866.209.00	Maintenance—Automotive Equipment	35,000				35,000
866.231.00	Heat, Light and Power	110,000	110,000			
866.300.00	Materials and Supplies	40,222				40,222
866.350.00	Foodstuffs	4,780				4,780
866.400.00	Equipment	14,110				14,110
866.500.00	Additions and Betterments	163,200				163,200
866.800.01	Bond Interest and Redemption—1928 Spring Valley	2,440,000	2,440,000			
866.800.02	Bond Interest and Redemption—1910 Water	400,000	400,000			
866.800.03	Bond Interest and Redemption—1925 Hetch Hetchy Water	47,025	47,025			
866.800.04	Bond Interest and Redemption—1933 Water Distribution	831,493	831,493			
866.705.00	Injuries and Damages	6,000				6,000
866.815.00	Compensation Insurance	10,000				10,000
866.818.00	Other Insurance	6,895				6,895
866.806.00	Pensions and Retirement Allowances	43,000	43,000			
866.812.00	Taxes	245,000				245,000
866.813.00	Provision for Replacement and Reconstruction	65,390				65,390
866.900.01	Services of Hetch Hetchy Water Supply	121,878	121,878			
866.900.02	Services of Public Utilities Commission—Bureau of Engineering	3,500				3,500
	*To be detailed by operating Bureaus in the Appropriation Ledger.					

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations, Public Service Enterprises, for Expenditures 1938-1939, continued on next page)



Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Inter-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
WATER REVENUE (Continued)						
866,900.03	Services of Public Utilities Commission	42,339	42,339			
866,900.04	Services of Controller	7,300	7,300			
866,900.05	Appropriation to General Fund	990,826	990,826			
	Total Water Revenue Fund	6,731,000	5,033,963			1,697,037
HETCH HETCHY POWER OPERATIVE						
867	Permanent Salaries	82,590				82,590
867,101.00	Temporary Salaries	2,761				2,761
867,102.00	Contractual Services	5,190				5,190
867,200.03	Transmission Maintenance	15,000				15,000
867,200.04	Miscellaneous Maintenance	7,822				7,822
867,300.00	Materials and Supplies	3,500				3,500
867,350.00	Foodstuffs	5,000				5,000
867,702.00	Bond Interest and Redemption	1,111,217	1,111,217			
867,803.01	Bond Interest and Redemption (Hetch Hetchy Water Issues)	1,079,169	1,079,169			
867,817.00	Automobile Insurance Premiums	921				921
867,806.00	Pensions and Retirement Allowances	3,308	3,308			
867,813.00	Provision for Reconstruction and Replacement	33,292				33,292
867,900.01	Services of Other Departments—Public Utilities Commission	20,326	20,326			
867,900.02	Services of Other Departments—Public Utilities Commission—Bureau of Engineering	16,400				16,400
867,900.03	Services of Other Departments—Controller	3,275	3,275			
867,900.04	Services of Other Departments—Hetch Hetchy Water Supply	70,402	70,402			
867,801.00	Accident Compensation	827				827
	Total Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund	2,461,000	2,287,697			173,303

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations, Public Service Enterprises, for Expenditures 1938-1939, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF OTHER CURRENT FUNDS APPROPRIATIONS (PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES) FOR EXPENDITURES 1938-1939  
(Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
368	HETCH HETCHY WATER SUPPLY					
868.101.00	Permanent Salaries.....	.....	.....	.....	38,550	38,550
868.102.00	Temporary Salaries.....	.....	.....	.....	1,000	1,000
868.200.00	Contractual Services.....	.....	.....	.....	2,000	2,000
868.212.01	Plant Maintenance.....	.....	.....	.....	4,454	4,454
868.212.02	Routine Maintenance—General.....	.....	.....	.....	70,000	70,000
868.212.03	Routine Maintenance of Roads.....	.....	.....	.....	18,000	18,000
868.300.00	Materials and Supplies.....	.....	.....	.....	1,000	1,000
868.400.00	Equipment.....	.....	.....	.....	2,600	2,600
868.604.01	Water Rights and Damage Claims.....	.....	.....	.....	29,300	29,300
868.604.02	Legal Expenses—Water Rights Litigation.....	.....	.....	.....	2,000	2,000
868.800.00	Bond Interest and Redemption—Hetch Hetchy Water Issues.....	.....	1,079,169	.....	1,079,169	.....
868.801.00	Accident Compensation.....	.....	.....	.....	100	100
868.817.00	Automobile Insurance Premiums.....	.....	.....	.....	900	900
868.806.00	Pensions and Retirement Allowances.....	.....	1,542	.....	1,542	.....
868.809.00	Land and Building Leases.....	.....	.....	.....	90	90
868.812.00	Taxes.....	.....	.....	.....	7,794	7,794
	Services of Other Departments.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
868.900.01	Public Utilities Commission.....	.....	5,000	.....	5,000	.....
868.900.02	Public Utilities—Bureau of Engineering.....	.....	.....	.....	5,000	5,000
868.900.03	Controller.....	.....	2,950	.....	2,950	.....
	Total Hetch Hetchy Water Supply Fund.....	.....	1,088,661	.....	1,271,449	182,788
	TOTAL PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES—CURRENT.....	13,028,675	9,381,435	.....	1,366,461	5,013,701



Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION</b>						
94.900.01	1933 Water Distribution Bond Fund Bond Interest and Redemption.....	80,327	80,327			
<b>DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS</b>						
95.900.01	1933 Sewer Bonds Bond Interest and Redemption .....	81,000	81,000			
96.900.01	1933 High Pressure System Bonds Bond Interest and Redemption.....	100,000	100,000			
	<b>TOTAL CAPITAL FUNDS .....</b>	<b>261,327</b>	<b>261,327</b>			

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.  
No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.  
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

### Explanation of Vote.

Before the foregoing roll call, Supervisor McSheehy, read the following explanation of his vote and requested that it be entered in full in the Journal of Proceedings.

May 31, 1938.

To the Honorable, The Board of Supervisors.

Gentlemen: Section 72 of the Charter places the responsibility for the creation of a consolidated budget in the hands of the Mayor. On the first day of May of this year, the Mayor transmitted the Annual Budget to this Board of Supervisors, showing it would cost \$1,648,709 over what it cost last year to run our city government for this coming fiscal year of 1938-1939, a raise of about twenty-five (25c) in the tax rate.

As a member of this Board, I feel a great mistake will be made in passing this budget, as it contains a number of items which could have been eliminated without impairing the efficiency of this city in any manner or form.

The Emergency fund is directly under the Supervision of the Mayor. Last year an appropriation of \$125,000 was made, and today with just one month to run, we have \$52,000 unexpended in this fund, showing that there was only spent for eleven months, \$73,000, and why he is asking for \$200,000, I cannot understand. We only spent last year \$73,000. A cut of \$125,000 should be made in this fund.

The Publicity and Advertising Fund under the jurisdiction of the Chief Administrative Officer, last year called for an expenditure of \$200,000. This year's budget calls for an expenditure of \$319,180, an increase of \$119,180. There is no reason why this increase should be made especially when we are spending \$302,000 for the San Francisco Exhibit on Treasure Island, and which will advertise San Francisco to the world. This fund should be cut \$219,180.

In the Mayor's budget is recommended an expenditure of \$218,420 for fire and police protection at Treasure Island on which we are erecting an Exposition. The Exposition is entirely under the management of a private corporation. Police and fire protection is part of the maintenance, and should be so considered by the Exposition Company and not as a charge against the taxpayers of the City and County of San Francisco. The Panama Pacific Exposition held in 1915, paid for their own fire and police protection. The Island is in the middle of San Francisco Bay; Oakland, Alameda and Berkeley will receive the same benefits from this Exposition as San Francisco, yet they are not asked to contribute one dollar for this expenditure. Why, I do not know. And, from what I can learn, the Mayor made no effort whatsoever to have Oakland, Alameda and Berkeley pay any part of this expenditure. The item of \$218,420 should not be in our budget, and the Mayor should not have recommended the same.

These three items would mean a cut of \$562,500 in the budget, or a reduction of seven and one-half (7½) cents in the tax rate.

During the fiscal year 1937-1938, the various unions connected with the building industries entered into an agreement with their employers on a standard rate of wage, and all contractors engaged in construction work are paying this rate. The Mayor, in making up the budget, refused to recognize this standard and the budget for the men engaged in construction work employed by the City is compiled on a lower rate than that paid by private employers. Why he did this, I do not know.

The San Francisco Hospital, which represents an investment of \$6,000,000 and 1100 patients daily cared for, I find has eight wards unoccupied with a bed capacity of 32 for each ward. In the other wards that are occupied you will find all the way from 42 to 50 patients in each ward; showing an overcrowded condition which is not conducive to the welfare of the Hospital as it does not provide proper



ventilation and is a fire hazard. I am told that this condition is brought about entirely through lack of sufficient funds for maintenance for the Hospital. A daily waiting list of 50 men and women can be found every morning seeking admission to the Hospital. They need medical attention, but there are no beds to provide for them. Yet, we have eight wards idle, simply because we haven't funds to properly maintain them.

The Charter is very clear. It places the responsibility for the creation of the Annual Budget in the hands of the Mayor. The Board of Supervisors can cut any item, but cannot increase any, except for capital investment. I am sorry to say that we went over 5200 items, making up the budget of \$61,878,822, and we did not cut one item.

For the above reasons, gentlemen, I voted NO on the passage of the budget one week ago, and today on final passage, I am again voting NO, and ask that my vote be so recorded, and that this statement giving my reasons for voting NO on this budget for the fiscal year 1938-1939 be printed and entered in the Journal.

JAMES B. MCSHEEHY, Supervisor.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Adopted.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

#### Refunds of Erroneous Payment of Taxes.

(Code No. 9.059)

Resolution No. 3982, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized to be paid to the following named, being refunds of erroneous and over-payments of taxes:

*From Duplicate Tax Fund—Appropriation No. 905.*

- |  |         |
|--|---------|
| (1) Thomas Webb, per Vol. 33, Bill 1651, Lot 7/8, Block 5601A,<br>2d installment, fiscal year 1937.....  | \$ 9.68 |
| (2) Lillian Gold, per Vol. 3, Bill 476, Lot 17, Block 340, 2d installment, fiscal year 1937 .....        | 948.40  |
| (3) Alice Smithson, per Vol. 21, Bill 2366, Lot 42, Block 3086,<br>2d installment, fiscal year 1937..... | 20.32   |

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

#### Land Purchase—Third Street Widening.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3983, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco purchase certain lands situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, from the following named parties, or the legal owners, for the sums set forth below, required for the widening of Third street, payable from Appropriation No. 777.931.17:

Niels Schultz, et ux., portion of Lot 3-N, Assessor's Block 4108-4123 .....	\$ 620
Joe Modino, et ux., portion of Lot 3-A, Assessor's Block 4108-4123 .....	3,043

The above amounts include damages in full to the improvements now located on said property.

Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office

of the Director of Property from the above-named parties for particular descriptions of said parcels of land.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

#### Land Purchase—Third Street Widening.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3984, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco purchase certain lands situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, from the following named parties, or the legal owners, for the sums set forth below, required for the widening of Third street, payable from Appropriation No. 777.931.17:

Safeway Stores, Inc., portion of Lot 1, Assessor's Block 4314 .....	\$4,050.00
Charles W. Gompertz, et ux., portion of Lot 1-C, Assessor's Block 4059 .....	1,515.00
John A. Casey, et ux., portion of Lot 3-D, Assessor's Block 4108-4123 .....	891.50
Hibernia Savings and Loan Society: Parcel 1, portion of Lot 3-M, Assessor's Block 4108-4123; Parcel 2, portion of Lot 3-L, Assessor's Block 4108-4123.....	1,235.25
Lester J. Bertsch, portion of Lots 3-J and 3-K, Assessor's Block 4108-4123 .....	1,218.00
Frank Solin, portion of Lot 9, Assessor's Block 4172-4187 .....	1,180.50

The above amounts include damages in full to the improvements now located on said property.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

#### Authorizing Application for Federal Grant, 45 Per Cent for Water Department, Municipal Railway, Airport and Hetch Hetchy Water Supply.

(Code Nos. 15.02, 15.03, 15.04, 15.05)

Also, Resolution No. 3985, as follows:

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission has, by Resolution No. 2668, requested that an application be made to the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works to obtain grants to aid in the construction of certain public improvements, to-wit: Improvements, additions and betterments to the San Francisco Water Department, the estimated cost of which is \$530,546; improvements, additions and betterments to the Municipal Railway, the estimated cost of which is



\$583,000; improvements, additions and betterments to the San Francisco Municipal Airport at Mills Field, the estimated cost of which is \$2,111,500; improvements, additions and betterments to the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply, the estimated cost of which is \$109,000; and

Whereas, His Honor the Mayor has concurred in the aforesaid resolution and joined in the request that the application to said Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works be made in accordance with said resolution; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco make application to the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works of the Government of the United States for grants equal to forty-five (45%) per cent of the total estimated cost of each of the public works projects hereinbefore mentioned; and that if said grants are made in the amounts hereinbefore set forth, the money received from said grants shall be used for the construction of the projects hereinbefore set forth; and be it

Further Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the Controller are hereby authorized and directed to make the aforesaid applications for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco and to execute all necessary documents on behalf of said City and County in connection with the obtaining of said grants for said projects.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

#### **Approval of Public Welfare Department Recommendations.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 3986, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Public Welfare Department containing the names and amounts to be paid as Old Age Security Aid, Blind Pensions and Widows' Pensions for the month of June, 1938 (and prior thereto), including amounts, decreases, cancellations and denials and other transactions, are hereby approved and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is directed to transmit this approval to the Controller; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors declares an extension of time as necessary in certain cases as requested by the Public Welfare Department.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

#### **Appropriation of \$3,000 for Revising Plan No. 7, Distribution of Hetch Hetchy Power.**

(Code No. 9.051)

The following matter was taken up:

Bill No. 1579, Ordinance No. 9.051479, as follows:

Authorizing an appropriation of \$3,000 out of the surplus existing in the Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund to provide funds to defray the cost of revising Plan No 7 for the distribution of hydro-electric power from the Hetch Hetchy Project.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$3,000 is hereby appropriated out of the sur-

plus existing in the Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund to provide funds to defray the cost of revising Plan No. 7, heretofore submitted to the Board of Supervisors by the Public Utilities Commission, for the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco of a distribution system for the purpose of distributing the hydro-electric power generated on the Hetch Hetchy Project directly to the people of San Francisco.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by Edward G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities.

Approved by Forrest B. Gibbon, Secretary, Public Utilities Commission (P. U. C. Resolution No. 2630).

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

### Objections.

Supervisor Colman opposed the passage of the foregoing appropriation, repeating his statements previously made. Supervisor Brown, also, voiced his objections.

### Passed for Second Reading.

The roll was called and the foregoing ordinance was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-vieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

### Ordering the Improvement of the Crossing of Felton and Oxford Streets.

(Code No. 12.0611)

Bill No. 1581, Ordinance No. 12.061195, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the Assessment District, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 16, 1938, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.



The improvement of the crossing of Felton street and Oxford street by the construction of the following:

Item No.	Item
1	Armored concrete curb.
2	Two-course concrete sidewalk.
3	Brick catchbasins, complete.
4	10-inch vitrified clay pipe culvert, in place.
5	Asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch Class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as:

Block No.	Lot No.
5939	6, 7
5940	9, 10, 11, 12, 13
5967	1, 2, 9
5968	7, 8

all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the Assessment Book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above mentioned improvement.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

#### Adopted.

The following recommendations of Streets Committee were taken up:

#### Approving Map Showing the Widening and Realignment of Sloat Boulevard Between Junipero Serra Boulevard and the Great Highway.

(Code No. 12.0821)

Resolution No. 3987, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain diagram entitled "Map Showing the Widening and Realignment of Sloat Boulevard Between Junipero Serra Boulevard and the Great Highway," approved the 18th day of May, 1938, by Director of Public Works Order No. 8276, be and is hereby approved and made official and the parcels numbered 1 to 49, inclusive, as shown thereon, are hereby declared to be open public streets to be known by the names as shown on said map.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

#### Approving Map Showing Proposed Grade Change on Burnham Street and on Twenty-fourth Street and Declaring Intention to Establish Grades in Accordance Therewith.

(Code No. 12.0721)

Also, Resolution No. 3988, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain diagram entitled "Grade Map Showing the Proposed Change and Establishment of Grades on Burnham Street Between Elizabeth Street and Twenty-fifth Street and on Twenty-fourth Street Between the Easterly Line of Burnham Street and Market Street," approved the 18th day of May, 1938, by Director of Public Works Order No. 8280, be and is hereby approved; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Super-

visors to change and establish grades on Burnham street between Elizabeth street and Twenty-fifth street and on Twenty-fourth street between the easterly line of Burnham street and Market street at the points specified and at the elevations above City base as shown upon said map.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades.

The Director of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this Resolution of Intention.

These proceedings are instituted in accordance with the "Change of Grade Act of 1909." The San Francisco Call-Bulletin is hereby designated as the newspaper in which this resolution shall be published.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

#### **Adopted.**

The following recommendations of His Honor the Mayor were taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Honorable Alfred Ehrman, Member of the Fire Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3989, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Alfred Ehrman, member of the Fire Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing June 5, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Leave of Absence—Honorable Harry W. Glensor, Member, Board of Permit Appeals.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 3990, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Harry W. Glensor, member of the Board of Permit Appeals, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing May 26, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS,  
BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR  
REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

#### **Livestock Pavilion.**

Supervisor Colman recalled that about a month or six weeks ago the question of apparent differences between the Agricultural District and the Exposition Company had been discussed. Now, Super-



visor Colman stated, the conflict had been straightened out. He understood that the Agricultural District desired sponsorship whereby it could obtain a P. W. A. grant, and since such grant would mean employment for the so-called "white-collar" class and for unskilled labor, he was heartily in favor of it.

Subsequently, Clyde Healey, Assistant City Engineer in charge of W. P. A. projects, who had been previously sent for, appeared and informed the Board that the Agricultural District, being a subdivision of the State of California, had the right to sponsor this project in Washington. He stated that while the City had helped the Agricultural Division at various times in the past, it had not directly sponsored the project in Washington, and felt it ill advised so to do at this time or until so requested by the district.

### **Commending the San Francisco Convention and Tourist Bureau.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 3996, as follows:

Whereas, during the month of June of this year, San Francisco will be the host to conventions, the delegates to which are expected, conservatively, to spend one million dollars within our borders; and

Whereas, such activities provide a most valuable asset to our economic life; and

Whereas, this boon to San Francisco business is largely attributable to the efforts of the San Francisco Convention and Tourist Bureau, which, although handicapped both for personnel and finances, has worked persistently and diligently to accomplish this happy result; and

Whereas, a proper sense of appreciation requires that a word of encouragement and commendation be said to those responsible for this accomplishment; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors notes with enthusiastic approbation, the effective efforts of the San Francisco Convention and Tourist Bureau and its able staff and takes this opportunity to commend them for the valuable assistance which they are lending to San Francisco's business and economic life; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be sent to the San Francisco Convention and Tourist Bureau.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

### **Investigation of Proposal to Reinstitute Six-Day Week by Park Commission.**

(Code No. 4.05)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 3993, as follows:

Whereas, it is rumored that a proposal to abandon the five-day week and reinstitute the six-day week may be presented for adoption to the Honorable Board of Park Commissioners in the near future; and

Whereas, this Board has adopted ordinances putting into effect the five-day week and believes in this progressive principle; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Public Welfare Committee of this Board be requested to investigate and inform this Board as to the status of such proposal, if made.

*Referred to Public Welfare Committee.*

**Authorizing Application for Federal Grant for Hassler Health Home, Laguna Honda Home and San Francisco Hospital.**

(Code No. 18.09)

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

Resolution No. 3991, as follows:

Be It Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco:

That the Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the Controller be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute and file an application on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco to the United States of America for a grant equal to forty-five (45) per cent of the total estimated cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of a municipal improvement consisting of enlarging, remodeling and equipping of the Hassler Health Home, Laguna Honda Home and the San Francisco Hospital and increasing the X-ray facilities and the quarters for the use thereof, all designed to aid and facilitate the taking care of the aged persons and the infirm and indigents who attend the hospitals conducted by the City and County of San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the Controller be and they are hereby authorized and directed to furnish such information as the United States of America through the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works may reasonably request in connection with the application which is herein authorized to be filed.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Authorizing Application for Federal Grant for Schools.**

(Code No. 21.11)

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

Resolution No. 3992, as follows:

Be It Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco:

That the Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the Controller be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute and file an application on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco to the United States of America for a grant or loan and grant to aid in financing the acquisition, construction and completion of additional school buildings, facilities and equipment and the acquisition, construction and completion of additions to existing school buildings, facilities and equipment for the school system of the City and County of San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That Resolution No. 2812, Code No. 21.32, adopted October 5, 1936, by the Board of Supervisors, approved October 6, 1936, by the Mayor, is hereby rescinded and superseded by this resolution; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the Controller be and they are hereby authorized and directed to furnish such information as the United States Government through the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works may reasonably request in connection with the application which is herein authorized to be filed.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.



**Requesting Cancellation of Lease, Crystal Springs Country Club.**

(Code No. 12.1735)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Resolution No. 3995, as follows:

Whereas, on the 15th day of September, 1936, this Board authorized its Clerk and his Honor the Mayor to execute a lease of the Water Department's property in San Mateo County, known as the Crystal Springs Country Club, to the Crystal Springs Country Club; and

Whereas, the Crystal Springs Country Club has failed to comply with the provisions of said lease in the matter of payment of rentals thereunder; and

Whereas, in order to adequately provide facilities for San Francisco's golfing public, it appears necessary that an additional public golf course be made available; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Public Utilities Commission or such other department as is charged with the duty, be and is hereby requested to cancel and revoke the aforementioned lease and to bring action for payment of such rent as is due and owing; and be it

Further Resolved, That if and when said lease is cancelled and revoked, the Public Utilities Commission and the Park Commission are hereby requested to confer and negotiate to the end that the properties comprising the Crystal Springs Country Club may be transferred to the jurisdiction of the Park Commission and made available to the public generally for golfing purposes, under conditions similar to those under which our other public golf courses are maintained and operated.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

**Motion.**

Supervisor Uhl moved the Collector of Delinquent be given for collection, the account of rental of Crystal Springs Country Club.

*Motion referred to Finance and Public Utilities Committee.*

**Slum Clearance.**

Supervisor Uhl requested that Dr. J. C. Geiger be invited to appear before the Board at its next meeting, June 6, 1938, to inform the Board as to existence of slums in San Francisco, and the necessity for slum clearance.

*So ordered.*

**Discussion of Plan X Terminal and Matters Affecting Transportation.**

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved that E. G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities, be requested to appear before the Board on Monday, June 6, at 3 p. m. to discuss re-routing of cars to Plan X Terminal.

*So ordered.*

**Opinion From City Attorney on Market Street Railway Franchise on First Street, From Market to Howard Street.**

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved that the City Attorney be requested to furnish, in writing, his opinion as to the status of the franchise of the Market Street Railway on First street, from Market to Howard street.

*Motion carried.*

**Communication From City Attorney Relative to Franchises of Pacific Gas and Electric Company for Distribution for Gas and Electric Energy for Other Lighting Purposes.**

The following communication from the City Attorney was read by the Clerk:

May 31, 1938.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: On May 16th I advised you that I would endeavor to get some definite statement from the Pacific Gas and Electric Company relative to the position which said company assumes in the matter of franchises for the distribution of gas and electricity for other than lighting purposes. Today, shortly before the noon hour, a communication, a copy of which is enclosed, was presented to me by Thomas J. Straud, attorney for the company. The communication practically speaks for itself.

However, to elaborate upon it, I may say that the main contention of the company is that it has a constitutional franchise to distribute both gas and electricity for lighting purposes and, therefore, if it uses the same facilities to distribute both of these commodities for heating and power purposes, there is no additional burden placed upon the City and therefore the City is not entitled to recover any damages for the use of the streets for the transmission of either gas or electricity for other than lighting purposes.

The representative of the company further stated to me that it is relying on certain permits heretofore issued by the Board of Supervisors, which it contends are in the nature of franchise rights. I have heretofore advised you that in my opinion these permits are just what they purport to be, to-wit, the right to extend lines and conduits to avail itself of the privileges granted by the constitutional franchise.

You will also note from paragraph (3) of the company's letter that it is prepared to discuss the payment of a lump sum for the granting of the franchise, which would in effect cover a certain period of time which would approximately make up for losses incurred by the City by reason of the company operating without a franchise. If your Board is of the opinion that the franchise should be granted to the company under such conditions, as you may deem proper, I will be glad to continue the discussion of this particular subject and report the result of my negotiations which will, of course, include, not only the percentage to be paid under the franchise, but also the payment of any lump sum made to cover past activities wherein the company has operated without a franchise.

My office is examining the law as to how far the company may be held liable for operating without a franchise for the distribution of gas and electricity for other than lighting purposes when it possessed the constitutional franchise to distribute both commodities for lighting purposes. A report on this point will be made to you at a very early date.

Yours very truly,

JOHN J. O'TOOLE, City Attorney.

May 31, 1938.

Mr. John J. O'Toole, City Attorney, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Dear Sir: You have called to our attention Resolution No. 3922, adopted April 25, 1938, and approved April 28, 1938, and have asked for a statement of the position of the company in respect thereto.

This we give briefly:

(1) The company does not concede, but, on the contrary denies, that its franchises for operation within the City and County are



limited to the sale of gas and electricity for illuminating purposes only; and it does not concede, but, on the contrary denies, that its operation within the City and County has involved or does now involve a loss to the City and County of any sum whatever.

(2) To avoid long litigation, expensive alike to the City and County and ourselves, and in the interest of a continuance of the amicable and friendly relations which have existed between the company and the City and County through very many years and in harmony with the course which has been followed by us in a number of other municipalities the company will, if the City and County desires it to do so, apply for a complementary franchise for both gas and electricity under the terms of the Act effective August 27, 1937, constituting Chapter 650 of the Statutes of California for 1937, Stats. Cal. 1937, pages 1781-1786. It is needless for us to here repeat the salient features of the Act, as you are as familiar with them as we are.

(3) In like spirit, the company is prepared to consider and discuss with you any equitable considerations whereunder it might be appropriately asked to pay a lump sum upon the coming into operation of the franchise, regardless of any liability existing or thought to exist by reason of operations of the company to the time of the taking effect of said franchise for gas and electricity and in discharge thereof; but the discussions and payment, if any is made, shall be without recognition, express or implied, of liability to make such a payment or any payment.

We shall stand ready to call on you at any time, if desired by you.

Yours very truly,

THOS. J. STRAUB,  
Attorney for Pacific Gas and Electric Company.

*Communication referred to Joint Public Utilities and Finance Committee.*

### Institution of Legal Proceedings.

After brief discussion, the City Attorney was directed to institute legal proceedings against the Pacific Gas and Electric Company to recover all amounts due from said company on account of its selling or distributing gas or electricity in the City and County of San Francisco for other than lighting purposes, in accordance with the terms of Resolution No. 3922, adopted on April 25, 1938.

### Funston Avenue Approach, Golden Gate Bridge.

Supervisor Arthur M. Brown, Jr., read the following editorial, published in the San Francisco News, Monday, May 30, 1938:

#### *"Questions for Mr. Brown.*

"Arthur Brown, Jr., enterprising insurance man, who is one of the more recently appointed members of the Golden Gate Bridge Board, told the board last week that the State Highway Commission would divert the money earmarked for the Presidio-Funston avenue approach to flood repair work in Southern California and suggested that the city use its share of the approach cost to buy property for the widening of Lombard street.

"Inquiry by The News of Colonel Skeggs, district engineer for the State Highway Commission, elicited the statement that he has heard nothing from the commission about diversion of the money. So far as Colonel Skeggs knows, he can go ahead as soon as the War Department permit arrives from Washington.

"Doubtless the commission would be glad to postpone work on the Funston avenue approach if other bridge directors should join Mr. Brown in anticipating, predicting, and thereby encouraging postponement. Always pressed for funds and never more than now, the

commission will be held to its promise only if the bridge board stands firmly by its guns.

"Mr. Brown has something to explain.

"As for the Lombard street widening, it involves the expenditure of at least one million dollars of municipal funds for the purchase of property alone. The city has available for its share of the Funston avenue approach the sum of \$550,000, with the state pledged to provide the remaining two-thirds of the cost. If this money were diverted, only half of the needed property could be purchased. Nothing would be accomplished for the near future except possibly financial relief for those property-owners willing to sell and for the agents who handled the deals.

"Even if the State Highway Commission were to take the action made easier for it by Mr. Brown's attitude, and divert Funston avenue money to flood repairs in the South, the city should still keep its Funston avenue fund intact and insist that the state's share be budgeted this fall for final action by the Legislature that meets in January.

"We are all for the widening of Lombard street, but it should not be financed at the expense of further delay in constructing the important southerly approach through the Presidio. Diversion of the city's share of the cost might easily be made the excuse of indefinite delay by the Highway Commission. The situation calls instead for holding the commission strictly to account."

### Reply.

In reply thereto, Supervisor Brown stated:

First, I would like to say that the News is strongly sincere in their attitude, and they are working for the same thing I am, which is the building of the Funston avenue approach, and the widening of Lombard street, but first I would like to clarify this matter, because there are some unintentional misstatements. In the first place they say, "That the City has available for its share of the Funston avenue approach the sum of \$550,000." They haven't got it. The City has the quarter-cent gas tax which can be used for this purpose, but there is nothing like \$550,000 in that fund. It is a matter of borrowing the money from the State, and paying back the money to the State out of the one-quarter cent gas tax. So the News is not correct in saying the City has available \$550,000.

The second thing was as to Lombard street, and I would like to make it clear that I was not talking about spending a million dollars. What I was talking about at the bridge board was the advisability of widening two blocks, which would probably cost about \$80,000 for the property—the two blocks between Scott and Broderick on Lombard; and the reason for the widening of those two blocks is perfectly apparent to anyone who has made a study of the traffic in that regard. All of the traffic that goes over the bridge, which either comes from, or goes to the Western Addition, the Richmond, and the Sunset, must go down to either Divisadero or Scott and then come across on those two streets to Lombard. It is that very condition that the building of the Funston avenue approach is designed to eliminate; but in the meantime, and until we get the Funston avenue approach there is a very serious traffic congestion every time there is a peak flow of traffic on the bridge, at these two places, and I happen to know because I came through there last night, and that condition will be there until that situation is remedied, and it can be removed by the widening of those two streets.

There is no doubt that the Funston avenue approach is a major consideration and it should be built as soon as possible, and I do not propose to ask for the diversion of anything like \$550,000. What I think should be done is to widen those two blocks so the traffic condition may be taken care of until the Funston avenue approach is built.

Now, with the major premise of this article I have no argument. They say, and I agree with them, that the only way the Funston avenue approach can be completed in the near future is by concerted effort on



the part of the Bridge District and the City, prevailing on the Highway Commission and the Governor to get them to include this in the biennial budget—I was perfectly correct in making the statement that there is no money in this biennium budget for that purpose. The funds have been used, or will be between now and next July, in other projects. Now if I can help the Daily News to get that included, I will be happy to do so. I hope the News will make a note that in the first place the proposal of mine involved an expenditure of \$80,000, and in the second place I did not say anything about diverting \$550,000 of the City's funds—even if they had \$550,000, but I was merely talking about sufficient money to buy the property for the widening of those two blocks.

#### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 4:25 p. m. adjourned.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors June 6, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, David A. Barry, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

DAVID A. BARRY,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.





MONDAY, JUNE 6, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.

Published by the Board of Supervisors

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JUNE 6, 1938, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, June 6, 1938, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of May 23, 1938, and May 31, 1938, were considered read and approved.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

### Low Cost Housing.

President Shannon announced that he had been in communication with Mr. Walter Sullivan, who had requested that his commission be given more time before appearing before the Board of Supervisors to explain the Low Cost Housing Act and proposed measure making low-cost homes free of taxation.

### Motion.

Thereupon, Supervisor Ratto, at the suggestion of the President, moved that a communication be sent by the Board to Mr. Sullivan asking when his commission will be in a position to give the Board the information required so a date and Special Order of Business can be set for hearing.

Motion *carried*.

## SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Pursuant to motion by Supervisor Uhl, Mr. E. G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities, appeared before the Board to discuss rerouting of cars to Plan X terminal. Prefacing the presentation of an ordinance authorizing appropriation to provide for construction of railway tracks and appurtenances leading to and from Plan X terminal, he presented the following communication, which was read by the Clerk:

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION  
City and County of San Francisco  
OFFICE OF MANAGER OF UTILITIES

June 4, 1938.

*Subject—Appropriation for Construction of Plan X Terminal  
Loop, Municipal Railway.*

Honorable Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Dear Sir: I send you herewith a certified copy of Resolution No.

2671 of the Public Utilities Commission, requesting your approval and that of the Board of Supervisors for a supplemental appropriation of \$75,000 to be taken from the surplus of the Municipal Railway for Plan X terminal loop construction. The amount of \$65,000 has already been appropriated for this purpose.

The reason for this request is that after approximately one year's negotiations with the engineers and operating executives of the Market Street Railway and after an agreement had been reached between the engineers and operating executives of the Market Street Railway and the Municipal Railway, together with the president of the Market Street Railway, last week Mr. Samuel Kahn, president of the Market Street Railway, stated in my office that his company could not produce the \$65,000 necessary to pay one-half of the cost of the necessary construction.

As an alternative for the agreed loop plan, Mr. Kahn stated that his company would operate their cars from Market street over the two tracks which they own on First street and they would dead end them between Mission and Howard and turn them back at that point. That left the Municipal Railway with no way of access to Plan X terminal except a third track on First street or a double track on Fremont street.

Thorough examination by our engineers and operating executives indicates that it will be practically impossible to operate a third track for Municipal Railway cars on First street and make a left-hand turn across the entire Market Street Railway traffic to the terminal. A thorough examination of the Fremont street situation, using two tracks for the Municipal Railway and dead ending cars at Plan X on Fremont street, would also, in the opinion of Municipal Railway engineers and operating executives, produce nothing but mass transportation turmoil. It is our opinion that neither operation could possibly be conducted successfully. Our engineers have, therefore, been forced to resort to the original idea of a double loop from Market street via First street to Plan X and from Plan X back to Market street via Fremont street, each railway system to use one track.

The Market Street Railway's asserted inability to provide its share of the necessary construction money leaves San Francisco practically without access to the new train terminal, as far as mass transportation is concerned, unless some means is found to finance the Market Street Railway's share of the construction cost.

The Public Utilities Commission deems that public interest and necessity require that not only should the Municipal Railway cars have access to the new train terminal at Plan X, but also that the Market Street Railway's cars have access to the new terminal at Plan X.

I have, therefore, recommended to the Public Utilities Commission, and I recommend to your Honor and to the Honorable Board of Supervisors, that in the interest of all the people of the City that the Municipal Railway finance from its surplus the total cost of the loop tracks.

Please be advised that the Market Street Railway Company has agreed to reimburse the Municipal Railway in the amount of one-half of the total cost of construction, payable at the rate of 10 per cent per annum for ten years, with interest at the rate of 5 per cent per annum on all deferred payments.

In this connection, be advised that the Market Street Railway will maintain the track over which it operates its cars without cost to the City. The cost of operating switches, signals and other necessary adjuncts will be borne equally by the two railways.

This arrangement seems to me to be the best possible under the existing circumstances. It carries out the original plan and makes it possible for the Market Street Railway Company to pay for its portion of the new construction out of its operating income.



When we made our agreement with the Market Street Railway Company there was ample time to complete the construction of the new tracks and rebuild the old tracks on First street between Market street and the new bridge station before the opening of the bridge to train traffic. The unavoidable delay caused by the Market Street Railway Company's inability to finance its share of the work has reduced the remaining time to such an extent as to make it absolutely necessary that you and the Board of Supervisors consider this matter immediately and act upon it as speedily as possible.

Very truly yours,

E. G. CAHILL, Manager of Utilities.

**Authorizing Supplemental Appropriation of \$75,000 Out of Surplus Existing in Municipal Railway Operative Fund to Provide Construction of Railway Tracks Leading to Plan X Terminal.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Following the reading of the foregoing communication, Supervisor Meyer presented the following:

Bill No. 1592, Ordinance No. 9.051483, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$75,000 out of the surplus existing in the Municipal Railway Operative Fund to provide the cost of constructing railway tracks and appurtenances on First and Fremont streets leading to and from Plan X terminal.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$75,000 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Municipal Railway Operative Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 765.500.00 to be used for the purpose of constructing railway tracks and appurtenances which will afford accommodation for street cars of both the Municipal Railway and the Market Street Railway, according citizens of San Francisco and visitors there to the necessary means of transportation to and from Plan X terminal of the San Francisco-Oakland Transbay Bridge.

Section 2. The appropriation made in Section 1 hereof shall be additive to the appropriation of \$65,000 made by Ordinance No. 9.051382, Appropriation No. 765.500.00.

**Motion.**

Thereupon, Supervisor Uhl moved that the foregoing ordinance be considered in Committee of the Whole.

**Objection and Reference to Committee.**

Supervisor McSheehy objecting to immediate hearing in Committee of the Whole, the above matter was *referred by the President to Joint Utilities and Finance Committee.*

**Discussion.**

Supervisors McSheehy and Reilly discussed the plan for rerouting cars to Plan X terminal.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

Andrew J. Gallagher, on motion of Supervisor Mead, was granted the privilege of the floor and urged that prompt action be taken looking to the solving of the problem by the time trains would begin operation on the Bay bridge. Mr. Gallagher also commended Mr. Cahill for his proposal.

**Shaffer Plan.**

Supervisor Schmidt requested the privilege of the floor for Mr. Cleve Shaffer that he might present a proposal for routing of traffic to Plan X terminal.

**Referred to Committee.**

Supervisor Ratto objected to the privilege of the floor and moved that the Shaffer plan be referred to the Joint Public Utilities and Finance Committee.

*So ordered.*

Supervisor McSheehy requested that plan proposed by Mr. Thomas E. Corr be referred to the Joint Committee for its consideration.

*So ordered, Supervisor Colman dissenting.*

**Visit of State Senator Culbert L. Olson.**

State Senator Culbert L. Olson from Los Angeles during the proceedings entered the chambers and was introduced by President Shannon. Senator Olson addressed the Board, expressing his appreciation for the hospitable reception accorded him and urging a greater spirit of friendliness and unity through the State. Supervisor Reilly, at the request of the President, responded.

**Rezoning Northwest Corner Florida and Army Streets.**

An appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission relative to rezoning of northwest corner of Florida and Army streets and referred to City Planning Committee was, on motion by Supervisor Uhl, called out from Committee and *made a Special Order of Business for Monday, June 13, 1938, at 2:30 p. m.*

**UNFINISHED BUSINESS.****Final Passage.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

**Appropriation of \$51,000 for Additional Motormen and Conductors, Municipal Railway.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1577, Ordinance No. 9.051478, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$51,000 out of the surplus existing in the Municipal Railway Operative Fund to provide the cost of additional facilities to accommodate increased service on the Municipal Railway owing to an increase from five cents to seven cents per cash fare granted the Market Street Railway Company by the California Railroad Commission, to become effective May 29, 1938.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$51,000 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Municipal Railway Operative Fund to be applied to the following appropriations and purposes, to provide the cost of additional facilities to accommodate increased service on the Municipal Railway owing to an increase from five cents to seven cents per cash fare granted the Market Street Railway Company by the California Railroad Commission, which new rate will become effective May 29, 1938:

Appropriation No. 765.102.00—Temporary salaries—Inspectors, car repairers, etc. ....	\$ 1,000.00
Appropriation No. 765.103.00—Wages—additional motormen and additional conductors .....	30,000.00
Appropriation No. 765.231.00—Heat, Light, Power.....	10,000.00
Appropriation No. 765.200.00—Contractual Services.....	5,000.00
Appropriation No. 765.300.00—Materials and Supplies.....	5,000.00

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.



**Amendment to Annual Salary Ordinance, Municipal Railway.**  
(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1578, Ordinance No. 9.053127, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 72 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 by adding forty employments to the number heretofore established under Item 49 thereof and by adding forty employments to the number heretofore established under Item 50 thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 72 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 72. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
MUNICIPAL RAILWAY**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	5	A154	Carpenter, \$9 per day .....	
2	9	A364	Car and Auto Painter, \$10 per day....	
3	1	A370	Foreman Car and Auto Paint Shop....\$	275
4	1	B10	Accountant .....	225
5	1	B14	Senior Accountant .....	275
6	1	B222	General Clerk .....	200
7	3	B222	General Clerk .....	175
8	1	B222	General Clerk .....	165
9	3	B222	General Clerk .....	155
10	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	240
11	2	B308	Comptometer Operator .....	155
12	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	250
13	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
14	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
15	2	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
16	2	C52	Elevator Operator .....	145
17	1	C52	Elevator Operator (relief) .....	145
18	26	C104	Janitor .....	160
19	2	C104	Janitor .....	155
20	7	C104	Janitor .....	145
21	3	C104	Janitor, \$5.80 per day.....	
22	2	C104	Janitor .....	135
23	2	C106	Sub-Foreman Janitor, \$6.30 per day...	
24	1	E106	Armature Winder, \$9 per day.....	
25	1	E108	Electrician, \$10 per day.....	
26	6	E154	Lineman, \$7.40 per day.....	
27	1	E160	Foreman Lineman .....	215
28	1	F216	Maintenance of Way Engineer.....	300
29	4	J4	Laborer, \$6 per day.....	
30	5	J66	Garageman, \$6.80 per day.....	
31	48	J152	Trackman, \$6 per day.....	
32	2	J160	Track Welder, \$6.30 per day.....	
33	2	J162	Car Repairer Welder, \$7.50 per day...	
34	3	J166	Track Foreman, \$6.30 per day.....	
35	1	J168	General Foreman of Track Maintenance .....	250
36	1	M5	Assistant Master Mechanic .....	300
37	1	M6	Master Mechanic .....	350
38	3	M54	Auto Machinist, \$9 per day.....	
39	1	M56	Garage Foreman, Municipal Railway...	250
40	2	M104	Blacksmith Helper, \$8 per day.....	
41	2	M108	Blacksmith, \$9 per day.....	
42	49	M202	Car Repairer, \$6.80 per day.....	
43	5	M206	Sub-Foreman Car Repairer, \$7.30 per day .....	
44	2	M208	Foreman Car Repairer, \$7.80 per day..	

Section 72. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
MUNICIPAL RAILWAY (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
45	6	M254	Machinist, \$9 per day.....	
46	1	O1	Chauffeur, \$8 per day.....	
47	1	S10	Manager Municipal Railway .....	700
48	1	S60	Instructor Municipal Railway .....	240
49	467	S102	Conductor, 75 cents per hour.....	
50	460	S104	Motorman, 75 cents per hour.....	
51	50	S106	Bus Operator, 80 cents per hour.....	
52	5	S110	Junior Inspector Municipal Railway...	175
53	8	S110	Junior Inspector Municipal Railway...	170
54	12	S112	Inspector Municipal Railway.....	200
55	5	S112	Inspector Municipal Railway.....	180
56	2	S120	Day Dispatcher .....	210
57	1	S124	Supervisor of Schedules .....	210
58	2	S128	Division Superintendent Municipal Railway .....	275
59	1	S130	Assistant Superintendent of Transportation, Municipal Railway .....	300
60	1	S132	Superintendent of Transportation, Municipal Railway .....	350
61	1	U108	Compressor Operator, portable, \$6.30 per day .....	
62	1	R108	Supervisor of Music (part time).....	50
			Seasonal Clerical Services at rates fixed in Salary Standardization Report.	

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

## NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

### Refunds of Erroneous and Duplicate Payments of Taxes.

(Code No. 9.059)

Resolution No. 3997, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized to be paid to the following named, being refunds of erroneous and duplicate payments of taxes:

#### *From Duplicate Tax Fund—Appropriation 905.*

1. Chas. W. Gompertz, per Vol. 32, Bill 1846, Lot 1, Block 5412, 2d Installment, Fiscal Year 1937 .....\$105.48
2. Anglo California National Bank, per Vol. 2, Bill 945, Lot 2, Block 203, both installments, Fiscal Year 1937..... 429.30
3. A. M. Warren, per Vol. 26, Bill 296, Lot 83, Block 3752, 2d Installment, Fiscal Year 1937 ..... 66.97
4. P. S. Scales, per Vol. 12, Bill 2474, Lot 21, Block 1674, 1st Installment, Fiscal Year 1937 ..... 41.42

#### *From General Fund—Appropriation 60.969.00.*

5. Central Pharmacy, Pittsburg, California, overpayment, per Vol. 5, Page 222, Line 18, 1937 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls ..... 23.16



6. Sam Cancilla, duplicate payment, per Assessor's Receipt,  
No. 26597 ..... 11.23

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Approval of Supplemental Recommendations, Public Welfare Department, for June, 1938.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 3998, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Public Welfare Department, containing the additional names of persons and amounts to be paid as Old Age Security and Blind Pensions and Widows' Pensions for the month of June, 1938, and also denials, are hereby approved, and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is directed to transmit this approval to the Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Continuation of Membership in Alta California, Inc.**

(Code No. 1.06)

Also, Resolution No. 3999, as follows:

Whereas, by Resolution No. 3139, adopted May 24, 1937, this Board of Supervisors did accept membership and did give authority and direct its President to make application for membership in Alta California, Inc., an organization of supervisors of Northern California counties; and

Whereas, as a member of the said Alta California, Inc., the City and County of San Francisco did participate with other member counties in activities common to the best interests of the people of Northern California counties, including the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors desires to continue its membership in Alta California, Inc.; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller is hereby authorized and directed to draw his warrant on the Treasurer for \$500 against Appropriation No. 701.814.00 for the payment of membership dues in Alta California, Inc.

Approved as to funds available by H. J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Land Purchase—Third Street Widening.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 4000, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco purchase certain lands situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, from the following named parties, or the legal owners, for the sums set forth below, required for the widening of Third Street, payable from Appropriation No. 777.931.17:

Wm. F. Rancke, et ux; Parcel 1—portion of Lot 11, Assessor's Block 4187; Parcel 2—portion of Lot 14, Assessor's Block 4172-4187 .....\$1,453.75

Estate of Caterina Castellino, deceased, portion of Lot 3B, Assessor's Block 4108-4123 .....	2,765.00
John B. Watson, portion of Lot 3-O, Assessor's Block 4108- 4123 .....	3,693.75
O. Monson, <i>Parcel 1</i> —portion of Lot 3-R, Assessor's Block 4108-4123; <i>Parcel 2</i> —portion of Lot 6, Assessor's Block 4044	6,367.00

The above amounts include damages in full to the improvements now located on said property.

Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named parties for a particular description of said parcels of land.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### Land Purchase—O'Shaughnessy Boulevard.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 4001, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Forrest S. Rowley Estate, or the legal owner, to portions of Assessor's Blocks 2912 and 2952, San Francisco, California, required for O'Shaughnessy Boulevard, and that the sum of \$6,000.00 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 777.935.17.

As a further consideration, it is understood that certain portions of Stanford Heights Avenue, 28th Street, Valley Street and Evelyn Way shall be closed and abandoned, that a portion of Evelyn Way shall be relocated, and that certain City owned lands shall be conveyed to Forrest S. Rowley Estate so that said Estate shall own the entire triangular shaped area between the old center line of Stanford Heights Avenue, the new westerly line of the proposed O'Shaughnessy Boulevard and the northerly line of the proposed new Evelyn Way. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed or deeds for the conveyance to said Forrest S. Rowley Estate (or its successor in interest) of the City's title in and to the lands included within said triangular shaped area following all required proceedings of this Board.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer from Forrest S. Rowley Estate on file in the office of the Director of Property for particular descriptions of said lands.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### Land Purchase—Army Street Widening.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 4002, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Antonio Fiorito, et ux., or the legal owner, to Lot 10, Assessor's Block 4337 and to a portion of Lot 10, Assessor's Block 4338,



San Francisco, California, required for the widening of Army Street, and that the sum of \$13,000.00 be paid for said property from Appropriation No. 777,924.17.

The above sum includes the cost of the improvements located entirely or partially on said property.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named parties for particular descriptions of said parcels of land.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing Settlement of the Claim of Israel Schwartz Against the City and County of San Francisco.**

(Code No. 6.0222)

Also, Resolution No. 4003, as follows:

Resolved, that the City Attorney be, and he is hereby, authorized to settle the claim of Israel Schwartz in the sum of \$5,110.00, claimed for injuries sustained by said Schwartz on account of defective street crossing at the intersection of Buchanan, Beach Streets and Marina Boulevard, said settlement to be for the sum of \$110.00 to be paid to said Schwartz upon his execution of a full release for all claims and demands arising out of said accident.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Land Purchase—Palo Alto Pipe Line.**

(Code No. 15.0241)

The following matter was taken up:

Resolution No. 4004, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, that the City and County of San Francisco accept two deeds from Southern Pacific Company, et al., both dated April 28, 1938, to easements required for the Palo Alto pipe line, over certain lands in Atherton and Menlo Park, San Mateo County, California, and that the sum of \$3,930.71 be paid for said easements—\$42.14 from Appropriation No. 66,977.57 and the balance of \$3,888.57 be paid from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Mateo County, Superior Court Case No. 27646.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

**Explanation of Vote.**

Supervisor McSheehy announced that he would vote "no" on the foregoing resolution in order to be consistent with his previous actions regarding the water situation.

**Adopted.**

Whereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing resolution was adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Tax Refund, Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company.**

(Code No. 9.059)

Resolution No. 4005, as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco leased from the Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company a lot on Bush street for the use of the Fire Department; and

Whereas, under the terms of said lease the City and County of San Francisco is to refund to the Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company the amount of taxes paid on said property; and

Whereas, the Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company paid taxes on said property for the fiscal year 1937-38 in the amount of \$386.72; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller is hereby authorized and directed to draw a warrant on the Treasurer in the amount of \$386.72 against Appropriation No. 760.969.00 to the order of the Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company for the refund of said taxes.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Final Passage.**

The following emergency ordinance, recommended by the Finance Committee, was taken up:

**Appropriation of \$2,235 for Bureau of Public Buildings; an Emergency Ordinance.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1582, Ordinance No. 9.051480, as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$2,235 from the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 702.900.00 to the credit of the following appropriations in the amounts and for the purposes indicated:

Appropriation No. 733.217.38—\$585, Bureau of Public Buildings, towel service; Appropriation No. 738.102.00—\$400, Bureau of Public Buildings, temporary salary (sick leave); Appropriation No. 738.319.00—\$1,250, Bureau of Public Buildings, engine room supplies (fuel oil), being necessary for the operation of the above mentioned Bureau, Department of Public Works; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,235 is hereby set aside from the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 702.900.00 to the credit of the following appropriations:

Appropriation No. 733.217.38—\$585, Bureau of Public Buildings, towel service; Appropriation No. 738.102.00—\$400, Bureau of Public Buildings, temporary salary (sick leave); Appropriation No. 738.319.00—\$1,250, Bureau of Public Buildings, engine room supplies (fuel oil), being necessary for the operation of the above mentioned Bureau, Department of Public Works.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists and funds



must be immediately provided for the uninterrupted operation of the Bureau of Building Repair, Department of Public Works.

Recommended by W. H. Worden, Director, Department of Public Works.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Warren Shannon, Acting Mayor of San Francisco.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Action Deferred

The following recommendation of the Judiciary Committee was taken up and *laid over one week*:

### San Francisco Municipal Code.

(Code No. 1.07)

Bill No. 1583, Ordinance No. 1.073, as follows:

An ordinance enacting, pursuant to Sections 13 and 17 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, a consolidation, rearrangement and codification of the general, regulatory and penal ordinances of said City and County, to be known as the San Francisco Municipal Code; providing penalties for violation of the provisions thereof; providing for the effective date of the Municipal Code; and repealing Ordinance No. 9137 (new series) from and after the effective date of the Municipal Code.

Section 1. *Enactment and Division of Code.* A Municipal Code is hereby enacted pursuant to Sections 13 and 17 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, wherein the general, regulatory and penal ordinances of said City and County are consolidated, rearranged, codified and arranged in appropriate Parts, Chapters, Articles, Sections, sub-sections, sub-divisions and paragraphs.

Part I shall be designated as "General";

Part II shall be designated as "Regulatory";

Part III shall be designated as "Miscellaneous";

Part II of the Municipal Code shall be divided into chapters as follows:

Chapter I shall be known as, designated and may be referred to or cited as the "Building Code";

Chapter II shall be known as, designated and may be referred to or cited as the "City Planning Code";

Chapter III shall be known as, designated and may be referred to and cited as the "Electrical Code";

Chapter IV shall be known as, designated and may be referred to and cited as the "Fire Code";

Chapter V shall be known as, designated and may be referred to and cited as the "Health Code";

Chapter VI shall be known as, designated and may be referred to and cited as the "Park Code";

Chapter VII shall be known as, designated and may be referred to and cited as the "Plumbing and Gas Appliance Code";

Chapter VIII shall be known as, designated and may be referred to and cited as the "Police Code";

Chapter IX shall be known as, designated and may be referred to and cited as the "Public Utilities Code";

Chapter X shall be known as, designated and may be referred to and cited as the "Public Works Code";

Chapter XI shall be known as, designated and may be referred to and cited as the "Traffic Code";

Section 2. *Short Title. Reference to Code in Prosecutions. Designation in Ordinances.* The Municipal Code shall be known as the "San Francisco Municipal Code", and it shall be sufficient to refer to said Code as the "San Francisco Municipal Code" in any prosecution for the violation of any provisions thereof or in any proceeding brought thereunder; it shall also be sufficient to designate any ordinance adding to, amending or repealing any portion of said Code as an addition or amendment to or a repeal of such portion of the "San Francisco Municipal Code".

Section 3. *Existing Law Continued.* The provisions of the Municipal Code, in so far as they are substantially the same as existing provisions relating to the same subject matter, shall be construed as restatements and continuations thereof and not as new enactments. In all courts and tribunals and in the public offices of the City and County of San Francisco, the matter set forth in the Municipal Code shall establish *prima facie* such laws of the City and County of San Francisco in force on the 1st day of July, 1938; but nothing in this ordinance shall be construed as repealing or amending any such law, or as enacting as new law any matter contained in the Municipal Code, except as expressly provided in this ordinance. Nothing in the Municipal Code shall be deemed conclusive by reason of any omission or otherwise in the provisions of any section of the Municipal Code and the corresponding portion of legislation heretofore enacted, but effect shall be given for all purposes whatsoever to such enactments.

Section 4. *Effect of Code on Past Action and Obligations Previously Accrued.* Neither the adoption of the Municipal Code nor the repeal, as hereinafter provided, of any ordinance of the City and County of San Francisco shall in any manner affect the prosecution for violation of ordinances, which violations were committed prior to the effective date of the Municipal Code, nor to be construed as a waiver of any license or penalty at said effective date and unpaid under such ordinance, nor to be construed as effecting any of the provisions of such ordinance relating to the collection of any such license or penalty or the penal provisions applicable to any violation thereof, nor to effect the validity of a bond or cash deposit in lieu thereof, required to be posted, filed or deposited pursuant to any ordinance, and all rights and obligations thereunder appertaining shall continue in full force and effect.

Section 5. *Construction of Code.* Sections in a particular part of the Municipal Code shall be construed with reference only to that part. The provisions of the Municipal Code and all proceedings under it are to be construed with a view to effect its objects and to promote justice.

Section 6. *Charter Referred to.* When used in the Municipal Code "The Charter" or "Charter" shall mean the Charter adopted by the voters of the City and County of San Francisco on March 26, 1931, and ratified by the Legislature on April 13, 1931, effective on January 8, 1932.

Section 7. *Definitions.* Words used in the masculine shall include the feminine and neuter gender, and the singular number shall include the plural, and the plural shall include the singular.

Section 8. *Reference to Specific Ordinances.* The provisions of the Municipal Code shall not in any manner affect deposits or other matters of record which refer to or are otherwise connected with ordinances which are therein specifically designated by number or otherwise and which are included within the Municipal Code, but such reference shall be construed to apply to the corresponding provisions contained within said Municipal Code.

Section 9. *Heading. Effect of.* Part, Chapter, Article, Section and



sub-section headings contained in said Municipal Code shall not be deemed to govern, limit, modify or in any manner affect the scope, meaning or intent of the provisions of any Part, Chapter, Article, Section or sub-section of said Municipal Code.

Section 10. *Reference to Acts or Omissions Within This City and County.* The Municipal Code shall refer to the omission or commission of acts within the territorial limits of the City and County of San Francisco and to that territory outside of the City and County over which the said City and County has jurisdiction or control by virtue of the Constitution, Charter, or any law, or by reason of ownership or control of property.

Section 11. *Notices, Services of.* Whenever a notice is required to be given under the Municipal Code, unless different provisions therein are otherwise specifically made, such notice may be given either by personal delivery thereof to the person to be notified or by deposit in the United States Mail in a sealed envelope, postage prepaid, addressed to such person to be notified at his last known business or residence address as the same appears in the public records pertaining to the matter to which such notice is directed. Service by mail shall be deemed to have been completed at the time of deposit in the post office.

Section 12. *Proof of Notice.* Proof of giving any notice may be made by the certificate of any officer or employee of this City and County or by affidavit of any person over the age of eighteen years, which shows service in conformity with the Municipal Code or other provisions of law applicable to the subject matter concerned.

Section 13. *Prohibited Acts, Include Causing, Permitting, Suffering.* Whenever in the Municipal Code any act or omission is made unlawful, it shall include causing, permitting, aiding, abetting, suffering or concealing the fact of such act or omission.

Section 14. *Duties of Clerk.* All proposed ordinances shall be passed by bill. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, hereinafter designated as the Clerk, shall give a bill, on its introduction, a number in consecutive order of numbering. The Clerk shall maintain registers of such bills according to bill number. The Clerk shall likewise, upon the approval or going into effect, as provided for by Charter, give each ordinance a number in consecutive order of numbering. The Clerk shall maintain registers of such ordinances according to ordinance number, and shall keep an index card for each ordinance and all data as to subsequent legislation thereon.

Section 15. *Printing and Distribution.* From and after the effective date of the Municipal Code and as often as deemed necessary, the Board of Supervisors, by resolution, may direct the Clerk, through the Purchasing Department, to arrange for the printing and distribution to departments, boards, commissions, bureaus, offices or any officer or employee thereof and to the public, of the Municipal Code as a whole or of portions thereof in pamphlet form, and the Board of Supervisors, by resolution, may fix a reasonable charge, not to exceed the cost of publication and distribution, for copies of the Municipal Code or for such pamphlets, or slips containing additions, amendments or repeals proper for pasting into the Municipal Code or in the pamphlets.

Section 16. *Violations, a Misdemeanor.* It shall be unlawful for any person to violate any provision or to fail to comply with any of the requirements of the Municipal Code. Any person violating any of the provisions or failing to comply with any of the mandatory requirements of the Municipal Code, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor. Any person convicted of a misdemeanor under the provisions of the Municipal Code, unless provision is otherwise therein made, shall be punishable by a fine of not more than Five Hundred (\$500.00) Dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of not more than six (6) months or by both such fine and imprisonment. Each such person shall be guilty of a separate offense for each and every day

during any portion of which any violation of any provision of the Municipal Code is committed, continued, or permitted by such person and shall be punishable accordingly. In addition to the penalties hereinabove provided, any condition caused or permitted to exist in violation of any of the provisions of the Municipal Code shall be deemed a public nuisance and may be, by this City and County, abated as provided by law, and each day that such condition continues shall be regarded as a new and separate offense.

Section 17. *Saving Clause.* If any section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of the Municipal Code or any part thereof is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of the Municipal Code, or any part thereof. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed each section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, subdivisions, paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Section 18. *Repeal.* Ordinance No. 9137 (New Series) shall stand repealed from and after the effective date of the Municipal Code.

Section 19. *Effective Date of Municipal Code.* The effective date of the San Francisco Municipal Code is hereby made the first day of October, 1938.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

### Negotiations With Pacific Gas and Electric Re Franchise for Distribution of Gas and Electric Energy.

Supervisor Colman questioned the advisability of instituting suit against the Pacific Gas and Electric Company for recovery of damages caused by distribution of gas and electric energy for other than lighting purposes, and urged that such procedure be not followed.

### Motion.

Supervisor Colman, following his discussion, moved that the City Attorney be instructed to negotiate with the Pacific Gas and Electric Company for the purpose of determining the amount of a lump sum payment and the amount of franchise revenue that could be obtained from the Pacific Gas and Electric Company by the issuance of a franchise.

*Over one week.*

### Appointment of Citizens' Committee for Celebration of San Francisco Day at Exposition, April 18, 1939.

(Code No. 5.95)

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 4007, as follows:

Whereas, April 18, 1939, has been officially designated as "San Francisco Day" by the Golden Gate International Exposition; and

Whereas, it is fitting that the City of San Francisco and its citizens respond to this tribute and lend their cooperation, assistance and support to dedicate this day as an appropriate commemoration showing the growth and development of this City and our desire and pleasure to be hosts to the nations and people of the world on this day; and

Whereas, the Golden Gate International Exposition has requested and designated the San Francisco Junior Chamber of Commerce to undertake the necessary arrangements for the desirable festivities and



ceremonies to properly mark San Francisco Day as one befitting this City; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That April 18, 1939, be officially designated and set aside as "San Francisco Day" at the Golden Gate International Exposition; and

That the City and County of San Francisco, through the Honorable Angelo J. Rossi, its Mayor, cooperate and assist, and the citizens and organizations of San Francisco be requested to cooperate and assist the Golden Gate International Exposition and the San Francisco Junior Chamber of Commerce in making San Francisco Day a day of outstanding memory and of tribute to the occasion; and be it further

Resolved, That his Honor Mayor Angelo Rossi is respectfully requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee representing all groups in the City's life to properly arrange a celebration for this day.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Mayor Requested to Appoint Citizens' Committee for Suitable Reception to President Roosevelt Upon His Visit to San Francisco This Summer.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 4006, as follows:

Whereas his Honor Mayor Angelo J. Rossi and the press have advised that his Excellency Franklin Delano Roosevelt, President of the United States, is planning to visit San Francisco this summer; and

Whereas, this will be the first visit of the President to San Francisco since he was first elected to his high office in 1932; and

Whereas, it is a privilege and pleasure for the citizens of San Francisco to see and greet their President and to do him honor; and

Whereas, the President has displayed an active interest in the promotion of the 1939 Golden Gate International Exposition, and has expressed a desire to see the progress of the work at the Exposition site, as well as our two great Bay Bridges, according to press dispatches; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco request his Honor the Mayor to extend a formal invitation to the President and Mrs. Roosevelt to visit San Francisco this summer; and be it further

Resolved, That the Mayor be requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee to arrange an appropriate welcome and program for the visit of the President and his party (upon acceptance of the invitation).

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Mrs. Ruth Cravath Wakefield, Member Art Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 4008, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mrs. Ruth Cravath Wakefield, member of the Art Commis-

sion, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of three months, commencing June 10, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Leave of Absence—Hon. Harry K. Wolff, Member Civil Service Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 4009, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Harry K. Wolff, member of the Civil Service Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for the period from June 24th to July 5th, inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Leave of Absence—Hon. John P. McLaughlin, Member of the Public Utilities Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 4010, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. John P. McLaughlin, a member of the Public Utilities Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing June 8, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### **Proposed P. W. A. Projects.**

The following communication from his Honor the Mayor was read by the Clerk:

June 6, 1938.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall.

Gentlemen: As you know, there are now being held in Washington, conferences between the House and Senate aimed to adjust differences so that a vast P. W. A. program may be initiated.

It is expected that agreements will be reached within the very near future and the way paved for work on P. W. A. projects to begin almost immediately. During my visit to Washington last week, I learned that the President will insist upon immediate launching of suitable projects so that men and women may be placed at work without any delay.

In conference with Colonel E. W. Clark, executive assistant to the Administrator, I further learned that Secretary Ickes will adopt a "First come, first serve" policy in regard to P. W. A. projects. Therefore, it behooves the City and County of San Francisco to be in a position to furnish projects at the earliest possible moment if it desires to participate in this program.

Since under the Charter, the necessary 55 per cent of such projects which the City will be required to pay can only be furnished after the people are given an opportunity to voice their opinion through the medium of a bond election, I respectfully urge your Honorable Board to name a committee to confer with me and heads of departments of the city administration upon the advisability of holding a special election.

It is my belief that the City of San Francisco not only can benefit itself but aid in relieving the present distressing unemployment situa-



tion, by submitting several very worthy projects under this recovery plan.

Yours sincerely,

(Signed) ANGELO J. ROSSI,  
Mayor.

### Adopted.

Whereupon the following resolution was presented by Supervisor Reilly and *adopted* by the following vote:

### Appointment of Committee to Confer With Mayor Re Holding Bond Election for Launching P. W. A. Projects.

(Code No. 5.2)

Resolution No. 4011, as follows:

Whereas, a program has been approved in Washington for the immediate launching of suitable P. W. A. projects so that men and women may be placed at work without delay; and

Whereas, a policy of "first come, first served" in regard to these projects has been adopted by Secretary Ickes; and

Whereas, it behooves San Francisco to be in a position to furnish projects at the earliest possible moment if it desires to participate in this program; and

Whereas, it is necessary that 55 per cent of the cost of such projects be provided by the City in the event that the people through the medium of a bond election give their approval; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Finance and Public Utilities Committee be appointed by the President of the Board to confer with his Honor the Mayor and the heads of the departments on the advisability of holding such election.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Referred to Finance Committee.

The following bill, providing for five-day working week for employees on monthly compensation, was presented by Supervisor Mead and *referred to Finance Committee*.

### Providing for Five-Day Working Week for Employees on Monthly Compensation.

(Code No. 4.051)

Bill No. 1584, Ordinance No. 4.0511, as follows:

Providing for five-day working week for employees on monthly compensation.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Appointing officers shall so arrange the hours of work required each week of those employees in their respective departments or offices who are paid on the basis of a fixed monthly compensation that said hours of work may be completed within five days; provided such arrangement shall cause no additional expense to the City and County and no curtailment of service to the public.

Section 2. When, in the judgment of the appointing officer, the arrangement of hours provided for in Section 1 hereof would, during any period of time, require additional expense or curtail service in any department, office, bureau or employment, he may suspend such arrangement in such department, office, bureau or employment, during such time, and shall certify to the Board of Supervisors and to the Civil Service Commission the reason for such suspension, and shall

post a copy of such certificate in the department, office or bureau affected thereby.

**Referred to Fire, Safety and Police Committee.**

The following ordinance was presented by Supervisor Reilly and referred to Fire, Safety and Police Committee without recommendation:

**Regulating Use of Electrical Devices.**

(Code No. 11.11)

Bill No. 1585, Ordinance No. 11.117, as follows:

An ordinance to regulate the use of electrical devices, appliances, equipment or apparatus which interfere with the reception of radio signals, and providing penalties for the violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person to operate or maintain, or to cause or permit to be operated or maintained within the City and County of San Francisco, any electrical device, appliance or equipment which causes, generates or produces high frequency electrical oscillations, or other electrical disturbances which interfere with the reception of radio signals.

Section 2. The word "person" as used herein shall mean any person, firm, corporation, association or individual.

Section 3. The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply:

(a) To any radio transmitting station licensed by the Government of the United States.

(b) To public utilities, lines and equipment owned and/or operated by them in and for general public service.

(c) To lines and equipment owned and/or operated by the Department of Electricity of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 4. The Department of Electricity of the City and County of San Francisco, hereinafter called "The Department" is hereby empowered to enforce the provisions of this ordinance. It shall be the duty of the Chief of the Department, as soon as practical after the effective date of this ordinance, to adopt rules and regulations to carry out and enforce the purposes and intent of this ordinance and by said rules to provide the manner for the inspection, examination and abatement of any electrical device, appliance or equipment mentioned in Section 1 of this ordinance when the same interferes with the reception of radio signals; which said rules and regulations shall be in furtherance of the provisions of this ordinance and not in conflict therewith. Before adopting said rules and regulations the Chief of the Department shall hold a hearing or hearings as to the kind and character of the rules to be adopted and notice of the time and place of said hearing shall be given by one publication of the said notice in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco, and any person interested shall be given the opportunity to be heard at said meeting. The Chief of the Department shall have power to continue any such hearing from time to time without further notice, save and except the announcement of said continuance at said hearing. Upon the adoption of said rules a copy thereof shall remain on file in the said Department and shall be effective within five (5) days after the date of the filing thereof.

Section 5. When any electrical device, appliance or equipment which causes, generates or produces high frequency electrical oscillations or other electrical disturbances which interfere with the reception of radio signals is found to exist, the Department shall give notice, in writing, to the person owning, operating or maintaining said device, appliance or equipment, notifying the said person owning, operating or maintaining said device, appliance or equipment to remedy and eliminate the cause of said interference, and should the said person fail to dis-



continue the operation of said device, appliance or equipment at the expiration of five (5) days he shall be guilty of a misdemeanor. When any person owning, operating or maintaining any such device, appliance or equipment shall fail to remedy such defect or cause of said interference within a period of five (5) days after the giving of said notice, the Department may take the necessary steps to eliminate said interfering conditions.

In cases of interference to police and fire department radio equipment, the Department may require the immediate discontinuance of the operation of the device, appliance or equipment causing such interference and any person thereafter operating or maintaining or permitting the operation or maintenance of, said interfering device, appliance or equipment without the permission of the Chief of the Department shall be guilty of a misdemeanor. Whenever the Department shall have reason to believe that any electrical device, appliance or equipment is causing interference with the reception of radio signals, the Chief of the Department or his subordinates shall have the right to enter upon the premises wherein such device, appliance or equipment is operated or maintained for the purpose of inspecting the same, as well as for the purpose of abating or discontinuing the operation of such device, appliance or equipment.

Section 6. The Department shall have the power and authority to discontinue electrical power and lighting service to any electrical device, appliance or equipment which causes, generates or produces high frequency electrical oscillations, or other electrical disturbances which interfere with reception of radio signals.

Section 7. Any person operating or maintaining, or causing or permitting to be operated or maintained any interfering device, appliance or equipment contrary to the provisions of this ordinance, as well as any person who interferes with the inspection by the Department of any such device, appliance or equipment shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine not to exceed Two Hundred Fifty (\$250.00) Dollars, or imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment, and each day during which any such interfering device, appliance or equipment is operated or maintained shall constitute a separate offense.

Section 8. Any notice to be given by the Department or any other official of the City and County of San Francisco to enforce the provisions of this ordinance may be given by notice in writing delivered personally to the owner, operator or person in charge of any electrical device, appliance or equipment which causes, or may cause, interference with the reception of radio signals; or said notice may be posted in a conspicuous place upon the building in which said electrical device, appliance or equipment is housed or maintained; or notice may be mailed to the owner of said premises or to the person in charge thereof or operating or maintaining said device, appliance or equipment, said mail to be sent by registered United States mail with proper postage prepaid thereon; and said notice shall be deemed to be complete when posted on said premises or deposited in the United States Post Office in San Francisco with the proper postage prepaid thereon.

Section 9. All ordinances or parts of ordinances in so far as they conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed.

#### **Congratulations to Dr. J. C. Geiger.**

Supervisor Schmidt, seconded by Supervisor Roncovieri, called the Board's attention to the honor bestowed upon Dr. J. C. Geiger, Director of Health, by the University of Santa Clara, in awarding him the honorary degree of Doctor of Law, and moved that a letter of congratulation be sent him in recognition of his outstanding work in the field of public welfare.

*Motion carried.*

**Appointment of Committee to Attend Livermore Rodeo.**

Pursuant to motion by Supervisor Brown made at a previous meeting, President Shannon appointed Supervisors Brown, Meyer and Ratto to represent the Board of Supervisors at the Livermore Rodeo.

**Conference of Small Business Men.**

The Clerk read a telegram from Governor Frank F. Merriam, addressed to President Shannon, inviting small business men to attend a conference in Sacramento to discuss proposed legislation. The Governor requested names and addresses of five men to attend this conference.

**Motion.**

Thereupon, Supervisor Uhl moved the matter be referred to his Honor the Mayor.

*So ordered.*

**Committee Meetings Announced.**

Joint Committee, Public Utilities and Finance, Tuesday, June 7, 1938, 10 a. m.

Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning, Thursday, June 9, 1938, 9:30 a. m.

Fire, Safety and Police, Friday, June 10, 1938, 10 a. m.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 4:30 p. m. adjourned.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors June 13, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, David A. Barry, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

DAVID A. BARRY,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.



Vol. 33

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

No. 26

Monday, June 13, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JUNE 13, 1938, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, June 13, 1938, 2 p.m.  
The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

Supervisor Brown was noted present at 2:30 p. m.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of June 6, 1938, was considered read and approved.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

### Action Deferred.

The following was *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business at 2 p. m.*:

Appeal of James P. Herlihy, from decision of City Planning Commission, relative to rezoning of northwest corner of Florida and Army streets.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up:

**Appropriation of \$3,000 for Revising Plan No. 7, Distribution of Hetch Hetchy Power.**  
(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1579, Ordinance No. 9.051479, as follows:

Authorizing an appropriation of \$3,000 out of the surplus existing in the Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund to provide funds to defray the cost of revising Plan No. 7 for the distribution of hydro-electric power from the Hetch Hetchy Project.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$3,000 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund to provide funds to defray the cost of revising Plan No. 7, heretofore submitted to the Board of Supervisors by the Public Utilities Commission, for the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco of a distribution system for the purpose of distributing the hydro-electric power generated on the Hetch Hetchy Project directly to the people of San Francisco.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

## Ordering the Improvement of the Crossing of Felton and Oxford Streets.

(Code No. 12.0611)

On recommendation of Streets Committee:

Bill No. 1581, Ordinance No. 12.061195, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the Assessment District, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 16, 1938, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of the crossing of Felton street and Oxford street by the construction of the following:

Item No.	Item
1	Armored concrete curb.
2	Two-course concrete sidewalk.
3	Brick catchbasins, complete.
4	10-inch vitrified clay pipe culvert, in place.
5	Asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch Class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as:

Block No.	Lot No.
5939	6, 7
5940	9, 10, 11, 12, 13
5967	1, 2, 9
5968	7, 8

all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the Assessment Book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above mentioned improvement.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.



## NEW BUSINESS.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

# Annual Salary Ordinance

Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 1939

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 1580, Ordinance No. 9.053128, as follows:

An ordinance enumerating all positions continued and/or created by the Board of Supervisors in adopting the Annual Budget and Appropriation Ordinance for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1939; continuing, and/or creating and/or establishing these positions; enumerating and including therein all positions created by Charter or State law for which salaries are appropriated in the said Annual Appropriation Ordinance; specifying and fixing the compensation of incumbents therein, and providing for maximum compensation of persons appointed to positions herein enumerated which may become vacant during the fiscal year.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the provisions of Section 73 of the Charter the positions hereinafter enumerated under the respective departments are hereby created and/or established and/or continued for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1939. Positions created or authorized by Charter or State law are enumerated and included herein.

Appointing officers as specified in the Charter are hereby authorized to make or continue appointments, as needed, during the said fiscal year to positions enumerated in their respective sections of this ordinance, but in no case to exceed the number of positions or the rate of pay herein enumerated and established; provided that temporary appointments to positions defined by the rules of the Civil Service Commission as seasonal or temporary positions may be made by the respective appointing officers in excess of the number of permanent positions herein established or enumerated, but no appointment to such temporary or seasonal position shall be made until the Controller shall certify that funds are available and the Mayor shall approve, and no such appointment shall continue beyond the period for which the Controller has certified the availability of funds. At the request of the Mayor or the Controller, the Civil Service Commission may investigate and report on the necessity for such temporary or seasonal services.

The Controller and the Civil Service Commission shall be immediately notified of a vacancy occurring in a position herein established and appointing officers shall not make appointments to such vacancies until the Controller shall certify that funds are available and until the Civil Service Commission shall verify the legality of the position and compensation, and the Mayor shall approve.

~~Inasmuch as Section 141 of the Charter provides that the Civil Service Commission shall be the judge of the classification of positions, any change hereafter made by the Civil Service Commission during the fiscal year 1938-39 in the class title or number of the classification of the duties of a position herein enumerated shall not be deemed an action requiring amendment of this ordinance, provided no change in number of positions or salaries of such positions or the creation of a new position are involved, but shall be deemed merely a clerical procedure and such change of class title and class number and the date thereof shall be reported to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, the Controller and the department concerned.~~

Section 2. Salary or wage rates herein specified are the maximum gross compensations fixed for the present incumbents of the respective positions herein enumerated, including the valuation of maintenance furnished.

In filling vacancies in positions herein enumerated or in appointing employees to temporary or seasonal positions as provided in Section 1 hereof, which are subject to the provisions of Section 151 of the Charter, the person appointed to such vacancy or temporary or seasonal position shall be paid a wage or salary not to exceed the entrance salary or wage fixed for such position in the proposed schedule of compensations issued by the Civil Service Commission under date of April 9, 1930, except as such proposed schedule or compensation is amended as provided by Charter or extended by the Civil Service Commission to include classifications not included therein and the salary shall remain at the said entrance rate during the current fiscal year; provided, however, that persons who have acquired permanent status in a position who are reemployed in the same position after layoff or leave of absence shall be paid the salary or wage received at the time laid off or granted such leave, provided that in the execution and performance of any contract awarded to a city department under the provisions of Sections 95 and 98 of the Charter, not less than the wage scale fixed by the Board of Supervisors in the Prevailing Wage Resolution and in effect at the time of the award of said contract shall be paid to employees performing work under such contract.

No maintenance shall be provided to any employee in a position subject to the provisions of Section 151 of the Charter in addition to the compensation herein fixed or provided. The compensations fixed for employees engaged in construction work outside the City and County of San Francisco which are not subject to Section 151 of the Charter includes the valuation of maintenance provided such employees and deductions for such accommodations shall be made and indicated on payrolls and timerolls.

Charges for any and all maintenance furnished employees in positions subject to Section 151 of the Charter as indicated herein shall be made and indicated on timerolls and payrolls, and deductions for such maintenance shall be indicated and made on timerolls and payrolls in accordance with the following schedule fixed by the Civil Service Commission for such maintenance; provided, however, that no charge shall be made for meals furnished cooks, bakers, waiters and other kitchen workers.

	For those receiving \$80 per month or less in cash	For those receiving more than \$80 per month in cash
1 meal per day .....	\$ 6.00 Per Mo.	\$10.00 Per Mo.
2 meals per day .....	9.00 Per Mo.	16.50 Per Mo.
3 meals per day .....	12.00 Per Mo.	22.50 Per Mo.
Room or House .....	8.00 Per Mo.	10.00 Per Mo.
Laundry .....	2.00 Per Mo.	2.50 Per Mo.
Board, Room and Laundry .....	22.00 Per Mo.	35.00 Per Mo.
Single Meal .....	.25	.35

Per Mo.

Complete family maintenance furnished the Superintendent of Laguna Honda Home and the Superintendent of the San Francisco Hospital .....\$150

Complete family maintenance furnished the Resident Physician and Superintendent of Hassler Health Home..... 75

House furnished the Superintendent and the Assistant Superintendent, Alameda Division of the Water Department, and the Assistant Superintendent of the Peninsula Division of the Water Department ..... 25



House furnished the Superintendent of the Peninsula Division of the Water Department .....	50
House furnished the Head Pump Operator of the Peninsula and Alameda Division of the Water Department.....	25
House furnished the Engineer, Stationary Steam Engines, at the Water Department .....	15

The letters B., R. & L. used in this ordinance to indicate deduction shall be construed to mean Board, Room or House and Laundry and deductions made in accordance with the schedule herein fixed for such accommodations.

### Section 3. BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	11		Supervisors .....	\$ 200
2	1	B88	Chief Assistant Clerk of the Board of Supervisors .....	400
3	1	B90	Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.....	550
5	1	B222	General Clerk .....	175
6	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
7	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	160
7½	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
8	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
9	3	B413	Assistant Clerk—Board of Supervisors..	250
10	1	D4	Sergeant-at-Arms, Board of Supervisors	200
11	2	O1	Chauffeur .....	250

### Section 4. MAYOR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Mayor .....	\$ 833.33
2	1	B74	Confidential Secretary to Mayor.....	350
3	1	B76	Executive Secretary to Mayor.....	400
4	1	B212	Special Messenger .....	175
5	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
5½	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
6	1	B414	Head Clerk-Stenographer .....	225
7	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator.....	165
8	2	O1	Chauffeur .....	200
9	1	N404	Inspector of Complaints, Mayor's Office.	300
10	1	B236	W. P. A. Project Supervisor.....	200

### Section 5. ASSESSOR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Assessor .....	\$ 666.66
2	1	B52	Executive Secretary .....	250
3	1	B120	Director, Accounts and Records, Assessor's Office .....	300
5	1	B222	General Clerk .....	200
6	1	B418	Confidential Secretary (Ex. Sec. 28)....	200
7	7	B222	General Clerk .....	190

## Section 5. ASSESSOR (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
8	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	\$ 300
9	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	190
10	1	B101	Supervisor Personal Property Records, Assessor's Office .....	200
11	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	300
12	1	B235	Director of Service .....	250
13	1	B242	Blockbook Draftsman .....	225
14	1	B302	Addressing Machine Operator .....	155
15	2	B310a	Tabulating Alphabetic Key Punch Operator .....	155
16	1	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator .....	165
16½	1	B352	Storekeeper (part time) .....	79.50
17	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
18	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
19	2	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
20	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	190
21	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
22	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman .....	200
23	1	G4	Supervising Land Appraiser .....	300
24	1	G5	Chief Land Appraiser .....	330
25	3	G10	Supervising Building Appraiser .....	300
25½	1	G10	Supervising Building Appraiser .....	250
26	1	G11	Chief Building Appraiser .....	330
27	5	G16	Supervising Personal Property Appraisers .....	300
28	1	G17	Chief Personal Property Appraiser .....	330
30*	10	B222	General Clerks .....	160
31*	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	150
32			Seasonal Clerical Services and other temporary services at rates not in excess of salary standardization schedules.	

\*Occupants of these positions are paid from appropriations for temporary services and have acquired permanent status under the rule of the Civil Service Commission adopted pursuant to Section 148 of the Charter.

## Section 6. CITY ATTORNEY

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		City Attorney .....	\$ 833.33
2	1	B222	General Clerk .....	200
3	1	B222	General Clerk .....	155
4	4	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
5	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator .....	160
6	1	F704	Senior Valuation Engineer .....	300
7	1	F706	Chief Valuation Engineer .....	750
8	1	K4	Attorney, Civil .....	300
9	2	K4	Attorney, Civil .....	250
10	2	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil .....	350
11	1	K8	Principal Attorney, Civil .....	800
12	1	K8	Principal Attorney, Civil .....	500
13	1	K12	Chief Attorney, Civil .....	600
14	1	K16	Special Counsel, Water Service .....	833.33
15			Seasonal Clerical Services .....	150



## Section 7. DISTRICT ATTORNEY

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		District Attorney .....	\$ 666.66
2	2	B154	Criminal Law Clerk .....	200
3	3	B154	Criminal Law Clerk .....	190
3½	1	B155	Confidential Secretary to District Attorney .....	175
4	1	B166	Chief Clerk, District Attorney's Office...	250
5	1	B162	Asst. Director, Bureau of Domestic Relations, District Attorney's Office.....	225
6	1	B163	Director, Bureau of Domestic Relations, District Attorney's Office.....	250
7	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
8	1	B222	General Clerk .....	155
9	1	B222	General Clerk (part time) .....	75
10	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
11	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	150
12	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
13	1	B516	Senior Clerk-Typist .....	175
13½	1	D6	Special Officer .....	150
14	1	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil .....	375
15	5	K54	Attorney, Criminal .....	250
16	1	K54	Attorney, Criminal .....	190
17	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	425
18	3	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	375
19	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	300
20	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	250
21	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	225
22	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	200
23	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	190
24	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	150
25	1	K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal .....	375
26	1	K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal .....	300
27	1	K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal .....	250
28		B420	Phonographic Reporter (as needed) \$12.50 day plus transcripts.	

## Section 8. TREASURER.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Treasurer .....	\$ 666.66
2	1	B10	Accountant .....	240
3	1	B14	Senior Accountant .....	300
4	1	B102	Teller .....	240
5	3	B102	Teller .....	210
6	2	B104	Senior Teller .....	250
7	1	B104	Senior Teller .....	240
8	1	B112	Assistant Cashier, Treasurer's Office....	375
9	1	B112	Assistant Cashier, Treasurer's Office....	350
10	1	B222	General Clerk .....	155
11	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175

## Section 9. SHERIFF

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Sheriff .....	\$ 666.66
2	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	175
3	1	B84	Under Sheriff .....	300

## Section 9. SHERIFF (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
4	1	B98	Confidential Secretary to Sheriff (ex-empt Sec. 32) .....	\$ 275
5	1	B222	General Clerk .....	250
6	2	B222	General Clerk .....	215
7	2	B222	General Clerk .....	155
8	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	250
9	1	B362	Produce Buyer and Storekeeper .....	215
10	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	185
11	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
12	1	C52	Elevator Operator .....	155
12½	1	C52	Elevator Operator .....	145
13	4	C154	Keeper .....	160
13½	1	C154	Keeper .....	155
13¾	2	C154	Keeper .....	145
14	1	C156	Head Keeper .....	200
16	27	D2	Bailiff .....	197
17	4	D3	Woman Bailiff .....	170
18	1	D5	Detention Hospital Bailiff .....	220
19	3	D52	Jail Matron .....	197
20	3	D52	Jail Matron .....	190
21	2	D52	Jail Matron .....	180
21½	2	D52	Jail Matron .....	170
22	2	D54	Head Jail Matron .....	210
23	10	D60	Jailer .....	197
24	5	D60	Jailer .....	190
25	4	D60	Jailer .....	180
26	6	D60	Jailer .....	170
27	1	D60	Jailer .....	225
28	6	D64	Captain of Watch .....	210
29	2	D66	Superintendent of Jail .....	275
30	8	D102	Writ Server .....	220
30½	1	D102	Writ Server .....	215
31	1	D102	Writ Server .....	197
32	1	I12	Cook .....	195
33	1	I14	Junior Chef .....	182
34	1	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil (part time) .....	200
35	1	L360	Physician .....	335
(Deduct for B. L. & R.)				
36	1	O1	Chauffeur .....	180
37	1	O52	Farmer .....	200
38	1	O52	Farmer .....	145
39	3	O168	Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines	220
40			Seasonal, Clerical and other temporary services as needed, at rates not in excess of salary standardization schedules.	

## Section 10. PUBLIC DEFENDER

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Public Defender .....	\$ 666.66
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	165
3	2	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	375



## Section 11. POLICE DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3		Commissioner .....	\$ 100
2	1		Chief of Police.....	600
3	1		Deputy Chief of Police.....	450
4	1		Property Clerk .....	300
5	1		Police Surgeon .....	200
6	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	175
7	1		Department Secretary .....	400
8	1	B310a	Tabulating Alphabetic Key Punch Operator .....	150
8½	1	B310b	Tabulating Numerical Key Punch Operator .....	165
9	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
10	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	230
11		B420	Phonographic Reporter (as needed) \$12.50 day plus transcriptions	
12	7	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
13	1		Director of Criminal Information.....	333.33
14	1		Director of Personnel.....	333.33
15	1		Director of Special Services .....	250
BUREAU OF INSPECTORS				
16	1		Captain of Inspectors .....	416.66
17	75		Inspector .....	230
18	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
19	1	D152	Criminologist .....	300
20	3	Q20	Police Women .....	200
21	7	Q60	Lieutenants .....	250**
22	1	Q62	Photographer, Police Department.....	225
UNIFORM FORCE				
23	1		Supervising Captain .....	333.33
24	1		Captain of Traffic .....	333.33
25	1		Inspector of Motor Vehicles.....	230
26	1		Inspector of Junior Traffic.....	230
27	1		Inspector of Horses and Equipment.....	230
28	1		Inspector of Repairs and Maintenance...	230
29	3	D52	Jail Matron .....	175
30	1	D52	Jail Matron .....	170
31	1	I14	Junior Chef .....	195
32	10	J70	Hostlers .....	180
33	3	O158	Motor Boat Operator.....	200
34	1	O158	Motor Boat Operator (Relief) at rate of	200
35	966	Q2	Policemen .....	200
36	25	Q30	Police Patrol Driver.....	200
37	164	Q50	Sergeant .....	220**
38	36	Q60	Lieutenant .....	250**
39	*12	Q80	Captain .....	300**

\*Not more than nine positions to be filled. Appropriation Ordinance provides for only nine positions.

\*\*In event of a vacancy in this rank the position may be abolished and the number of Policemen correspondingly increased without amendment of this ordinance and the Policeman's position may be filled subject to the provisions of Sections 1 and 2 hereof.

## Section 11a. EXPOSITION ORGANIZATION (Police Dept.)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	50	Q2	Policeman (5 mos.) .....	\$ 200
2	2	D52	Jail Matron (5 mos.) .....	170

## Section 12. FIRE DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3		Commissioner .....	\$ 100
2	1		Chief Engineer .....	600
3	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	225
4	1	B68	Chief Clerk, Fire Department.....	400
5	1	B306	Multigraph Operator .....	165
6	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
7	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
8	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	165
9	896	H2	Firemen .....	180-200*
10	28	H10	Chief's Operator .....	210
11	10	H15	Engineer of Fire Engines.....	220**
12	114	H20	Lieutenant .....	222.50**
13	74	H30	Captain .....	235**
14	23	H40	Battalion Chief .....	350**
15	1	H42	Chief, Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation .....	400
16	4	H50	Assistant Chief Engineer .....	400
17	1	L360	Physician (Part-time) .....	235
18	5	O166	Firemen of Stationary Steam Engines..	195
19	2	O166	Firemen of Stationary Steam Engines..	185
20	2	O168	Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines	230
21	4	O168	Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines	220
22	1	O172	Chief Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines .....	280
23	3	J4	Laborer, \$6 per day.....	
24	1	M4	Master Mechanic .....	416.66
25	12	O304	Hydrantman Gateman .....	215
26	1	O304	Hydrantman Gateman .....	205
27	1	O310	Foreman Hydrantman Gateman .....	255
28	1	U112	Pipe Calker, at \$7.50 per day .....	

## FIRE BOAT CREWS

29	1	H120	Pilot of Fire Boats (Relief) .....	255
30	4	H120	Pilot of Fire Boats .....	255
31	9	H110	Marine Engineer (Fire Boats).....	255
32	9	H102	Marine Stoker (Fire Boats).....	205

\*At rates fixed by Charter.

\*\*In event of a vacancy in this rank, the position may be abolished and the number of positions for Firemen correspondingly increased without amendment of this ordinance and the Fireman's position may be filled subject to the provisions of Sections 1 and 2 hereof.

## Section 12a. EXPOSITION ORGANIZATION (Fire Dept.)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	25	H2	Fireman (12 mos.) .....	\$ 180
2	25	H2	Fireman (4½ mos.) .....	180
3	1	H10	Chief's Operator (4½ mos.) .....	210
4	2	H20	Lieutenants (12 mos.) .....	222.50
5	2	H20	Lieutenants (4½ mos.) .....	222.50
6	2	H30	Captain (12 mos.) .....	235
7	1	H30	Captain (4½ mos.) .....	235
8	1	H40	Battalion Chief (4½ mos.) .....	350



**Section 13. BOARD OF PERMIT APPEALS**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	5		Members of Board, \$15 per meeting.	
2	1	B61	Secretary, Board of Permit Appeals....\$	250

**Section 14. PARK DEPARTMENT**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Accountant .....	\$ 200
4	10		Attendants .....	75
11	2		Clerk-Stenographers, General .....	125
14	1		Engineer, Chief .....	215
16	1		Fireman .....	175
30	1		Secretary .....	400
33	1		Superintendent .....	725
34	1		Superintendent (Assistant in charge of Construction) .....	325
35	1		Superintendent (Assistant) .....	275
36	1		Superintendent (Assistant) .....	235
37	1		Superintendent of Motor Vehicles.....	250
40	1		Supervisor, Materials and Supplies.....	225
41	1		Timekeeper .....	150
42	1		Timekeeper (Assistant) .....	100
45	1		Windmill Attendant .....	125
48	1		Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
49	1		Inspector of Personnel .....	200
51	1		Superintendent (Assistant Botanist—Entomologist—Arboretum) .....	225
52	1		Superintendent (Ass't) (Construction).	250

**Section 15. PARK DEPARTMENT****EMPLOYMENTS PREDICATED ON REVENUE MONEYS**

The following positions are in the Revenue Divisions and predicated on receipts from said divisions. The employments are not established as continuing positions, but "as needed" when services are required and funds from receipts are available.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Assistant Manager—Kezar .....	\$ 225
2	1		Athletic Organizer .....	475
3	1		Attendant (Men's) .....	140
4	1		Attendant (Coit Tower) .....	50
5	1		Attendant (Coit Tower) .....	100
6	1		Attendant, Bathhouse .....	135
7	1		Cashier, Chief .....	200
8	1		Cook, Children's Quarters .....	90
9	1		Engineer .....	175
10	1		Golf Starter .....	180
11	1		Golf Starter .....	175
12	1		Golf Starter (Assistant) .....	165
13	1		Groundkeeper—Kezar Stadium .....	165
13 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub>	1		Harbormaster (Day) .....	125
13 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>	1		Harbormaster (Night) .....	125
14	1		Manager, Lincoln Cafe .....	135
15	1		Manager, Fleishhacker Booth .....	150
16	2		Pump Men .....	175
17	1		Stenographer .....	115
18	1		Superintendent, Restaurant Activities..	250
19	1		Waitress, Head, Children's Quarters....	95

**Section 15. PARK DEPARTMENT (Continued)**  
**EMPLOYMENTS PREDICATED ON REVENUE MONEYS (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
20	1		Windmill Attendant .....	\$ 125
21	1		Golf Starter .....	175
22	1		Superintendent (Assistant) in Charge of Golf Courses .....	250
23	1		Manager, Children's Quarters .....	150
24	1		Assistant Athletic Organizer .....	225
<b>FLEISHHACKER ZOO</b>				
25	1		Zoo Director and Zoological Expert....	333.33
26	1		Cashier (as needed) .....	135
27	1		Matron (as needed) .....	80

**Section 15. PARK DEPARTMENT (Continued)**  
**TEMPORARY PER DIEM AS NEEDED**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Per Diem Rate
28			Animal Keepers .....	\$ 5
29			Apprentice .....	3
30			Ball Field Boys (per hour) .....	.50
31			Booth Helpers (per hour) .....	.25
32			Booth Helpers (per hour) .....	.30
33			Boys to Attend Donkeys (per hour)....	.30
34			Boys to Attend Ponies (per hour).....	.20
35			Carpenters .....	9
36			Carpenters (Assistants) .....	7
37			Cashiers (per hour) .....	.30
38			Cashier (Assistant) .....	3
39			Cashier .....	3.50
40			Cashier .....	4
41			Cashier .....	3
42			Chauffeur .....	7
43			Chauffeurs .....	7.50
44			Clerks .....	3
45			Clerks .....	4
46			Clerks .....	5
47			Cook .....	7.50
48			Cook .....	6.50
49			Cook, Relief .....	5.50
50			Cook .....	4
51			Cook .....	8
52			Dishwasher .....	3.50
53			Dishwasher .....	4
54			Foreman .....	6
55			Foreman .....	6.50
56			Foreman .....	7
57			Foreman .....	7.50
58			Foreman .....	8
59			Foreman .....	8.50
60			Foreman (Plaster Work) .....	12
61			Gardeners .....	5.50
62			Gardeners .....	6
63			Gardener .....	6.50
64			Glaziers .....	9
65			Janitor .....	5
66			Janitress .....	3
67			Kiddy-Kar Boys (per hour) .....	.30
68			Laborers .....	4
69			Laborers .....	5



## Section 15. PARK DEPARTMENT (Continued)

## TEMPORARY PER DIEM AS NEEDED (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class Title	Maximum Per Diem Rate
70		Laborers . . . . .	\$ 5.50
71		Laborers . . . . .	6
72		Laborers . . . . .	2.50
73		Laborers (per hour) . . . . .	.50
74		Laborers, Apprentice . . . . .	2.50
75		Laborers, Apprentice . . . . .	3
76		Laborers, Apprentice . . . . .	4
77		Master Painters . . . . .	15
78		Matron . . . . .	3
79		Manager . . . . .	5
80		Merry-Go-Round Boys (per hour) . . . . .	.30
81		Model Maker . . . . .	9
82		Model Caster . . . . .	8
83		Model Caster . . . . .	7
84		Modelers (Plaster) . . . . .	15
85		Mower Men . . . . .	6.50
86		Office Boy . . . . .	2.50
87		Operator Merry-Go-Round . . . . .	5
88		Pantryman (Harding Cafe) . . . . .	3.50
89		Painters . . . . .	9
90		Picnic Cafe Helpers (per hour) . . . . .	.30
91		Plasterers . . . . .	10
92		Plasterer's Tender . . . . .	7.50
93		Porter . . . . .	4
94		Porter . . . . .	3.50
95		Porter . . . . .	3
96		Rides Man . . . . .	3
97		Roofers . . . . .	8
98		Sheet Metal Workers . . . . .	8
99		Stableman . . . . .	5
100		Starters, Sub. (Golf Course) . . . . .	5
101		Starter, Assistant . . . . .	5
102		Stenographers . . . . .	4
103		Superintendent (Assistant in Charge of Golf Courses) . . . . .	8.50
104		Supply Agent . . . . .	5
105		Storeroom Keeper . . . . .	3.50
106		Teamsters . . . . .	6
107		Teamsters . . . . .	6.50
108		Tennis Courts Manager . . . . .	5.50
109		Tractor Driver . . . . .	6
110		Tractor Man . . . . .	6.50
111		Tractor Man . . . . .	9
112		Utility Helpers (per hour) . . . . .	.25
113		Utility . . . . .	4
114		Waiter . . . . .	3
115		Waiter . . . . .	3.50
116		Waiter . . . . .	4
117		Waitresses . . . . .	3
118		Waitresses . . . . .	3.50
119		Waitresses . . . . .	4
120		Waitresses . . . . .	5
121		Watchman, Night (H. F. Zoo) . . . . .	5
122		Yardman . . . . .	2.50
123		Yardman . . . . .	4.50
124		Yardman . . . . .	4
125		Yardman . . . . .	3.50
126		Yardman . . . . .	3

## Section 15. PARK DEPARTMENT (Continued)

## TEMPORARY PER DIEM AS NEEDED (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Per Diem Rate
<b>PERSONAL SERVICES WAGES</b>				
127			Civil Engineer—Draftsman .....	7
128			Civil Engineer—Designer .....	7.50
129			Surveyor's Assistant .....	7
130			Draftsman .....	7
131			Surveyor .....	8
132			Landscape Architect .....	8
133			Life Guards .....	5
134			Bathhouse Attendants .....	5
135			Kezar Stadium Attendants.....	5
136			Booth Helpers .....	3
137			Assistant Surveyor .....	7
138			Steam Shovel Operator .....	10
139			Construction Superintendent .....	10
140			Timekeeper .....	5.50
141			Dishwasher .....	5
142			Waiter .....	5
143			Cashier .....	5
144			Manager .....	7
145			Matron .....	4
146			Welder .....	9
147			Ride Man .....	6
148			Yardman .....	6

Other mechanical and craft classifications as needed for temporary construction activities at rates not to exceed the prevailing rate for the respective class.

## Section 16. RECREATION DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	2	A154	Carpenter at \$9 per day .....	
2	1	A158	Sub-Foreman Carpenter at \$10 per day.	
3	1	A354	Painter at \$9 per day.....	
4	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	\$ 175
5	1	B185	Business Manager, Recreation Dept....	300
6	2	B222	General Clerk .....	150
7	2	B222	General Clerk .....	175
9	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
10	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	165
11	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
12	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	150
13	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	235
14	1	F304	Supervisor of Playground Construction and Maintenance .....	350
15	10	J4	Laborer .....	150
16	1	J12	Labor Foreman .....	175
17	45	J72	Playground Caretaker .....	155
18	4	J72	Playground Caretaker .....	145
19	3	O1	Chauffeur .....	160
20	3	O58	Gardener .....	135
20½	1	O58	Gardener .....	145
21	4	O58	Gardener .....	155
22	1	O62	Superintendent of Grounds, Recreation Department .....	200
23	1	R2	Secretary and Supervisor of Spec. Activities .....	250
24	1	R3	Assistant Superintendent, Rec. Dept....	260



## Section 16. RECREATION DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
25	1	R4	Superintendent, Rec. Dept. ....	\$ 400
26	14	R56	Playground Director (part time) .....	75
28	1	R56	Playground Director .....	185
29	3	R56	Playground Director .....	175
30	18	R56	Playground Director .....	160
31	22	R56	Playground Director .....	155
32	6	R56	Playground Director .....	145
34		R56	Playground Director, 65c per hour. ....	
35	1	R105	Supervisor of Athletics .....	185
36	1	R106	Supervisor of Dramatics .....	225
37	1	R107	Supervisor of Women's Activities .....	195
39	1	R108	Supervisor of Music .....	210
40	2	R112	Matron, Swimming Pool—7 mo. ....	110
41	1	R114	Swimming Instructor—7 mo. ....	145
42	1	R114	Swimming Instructor—7 mo. ....	190
43		R114	Swimming Instructor—5 mo. (same as Item 42) .....	155
44	1	R114	Swimming Instructor—7 mo. ....	145
45	1	R116	Supervisor of Swimming .....	195
46			Pianist (as needed).....per call	2.50
46a		R112	Matron, Swimming Pool, 65c per hour..	
46b		R114	Swimming Instructor, 65c per hour....	

## CAMP MATHER SEASONAL EMPLOYMENT, AS NEEDED

—All maintenance provided shall be charged for and deduction made from salaries in accordance with schedule of deductions fixed in Section 2 of this ordinance specified herein.

47		A154	Carpenters at \$9 per day .....	
50	1	B4	Bookkeeper (less R.) .....	139
51		B4	Bookkeeper .....	125
54		I6	Pastry Cook (deduct R. & L.) .....	137.50
55		I12	Cook (deduct R. & L.) .....	162.50
56		I16	Chef (deduct R. & L.) .....	210.50
58		L352	Interne (less B., R. & L.) .....	102.50
59	1	O1	Chauffeur (deduct R.) .....	185
61			Team Hire for Camp, at rates specified in Purchasers' Contract .....	
64	1	R56	Playground Director (less B., R. & L.) (part time) .....	110
65		R101	Camp Assistant (part time), less than \$80 .....	
66	1	R102	Camp Manager (8½ mos. at \$225; 3½ mos. at \$260 less B. R. & L.) .....	

## Section 17. PUBLIC LIBRARY

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A154	Carpenter .....	\$ 200
2	1	B72	Secretary, Board of Library Trustees..	250
3	1	B222	General Clerk .....	175
3½	1	B222	General Clerk .....	160
5	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	200
7	1	C52	Elevator Operator .....	110
8	1	C52	Elevator Operator (part time) .....	65
8½	1	C101	Dressing Room Maid .....	75
8¾	1	C101	Dressing Room Maid .....	65
10	1	C102	Janitress (part time) .....	82.50
12	11		Janitress or Janitor (part time) .....	55

## Section 17. PUBLIC LIBRARY (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
13	7		Janitress or Janitor (part time).....\$	27.50
14	1	C104	Janitor .....	185
15	1	C104	Janitor .....	125
15½	1	C152	Watchman .....	125
16	1	C152	Watchman .....	150
17	1	J54	Book Repairer .....	90
18	4	J54	Book Repairer .....	110
19	1	J54	Book Repairer .....	125
20	1	O1	Chauffeur .....	160
DEPARTMENTAL TITLES				
21	7		Branch Librarian .....	175
22	5		Librarian .....	100
22½	4		Librarians .....	120
23	13		Librarian .....	130
24	10		Librarian .....	140
25	4		Librarian .....	150
26	20		Librarian .....	160
27	9		Librarian .....	175
28	1		Chief Cataloger .....	225
29	1		Reference Librarian .....	250
30	1		City Librarian .....	400
30½	11		Library Assistants .....	85
31	67		Substitutes, 50c per hour.....	
32	37		Pages, 30c per hour.....	
33	1		Station Keeper (part time) .....	50
34	4		Station Keepers (part time) .....	15

## Section 18. WAR MEMORIAL

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B59	Secretary, Board of Trustees, War Memorial .....	\$ 275
2	1	B96	Managing Director, War Memorial.....	550
3	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	165
4	2	C52	Elevator Operator .....	145
5	1	C108	Foreman Janitor .....	170
6	13	C104	Janitor .....	145
7	3	C104	Janitor .....	155
8	3	C152	Watchman .....	145
9	2	C152	Watchman .....	155
10	1	C202	Window Cleaner .....	170
11	1	E108	Electrician .....	250
12	1	E109	Stage Electrician, \$75 per week .....	
13	1	E130	Elevator Mechanic .....	280
14	2	O168	Engineer, Stationary Steam Engines....	220
14½	1	O176	Chief Engineer, Stationary Steam Engines .....	220
15	1	A165	Stage Carpenter, \$75 per week .....	
16	1	C252	Opera House Attendant (part-time)....	75
ART MUSEUM				
17	2	C52	Elevator Operator .....	145
18	2	C104	Janitor .....	145
AS NEEDED				
19	1	A170	Stage Property Man, \$12.50 per day....	
20			Seasonal, Clerical and Other Temporary Services (as needed), at rates not in excess of salary standardization schedules.	



## Section 19. ART COMMISSION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time)\$	75
2	1	B57	Secretary, Art Commission .....	250

## Section 20. CALIFORNIA PALACE OF THE LEGION OF HONOR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Departmental Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Assistant Director .....	\$ 333.33
2	1		Engineer and Building Superintendent.	225
3	1		Organist (part time) .....	300
4	1		Supervisor .....	175
5	1		Galleryman .....	125
6	2		Galleryman .....	130
7	2		Galleryman .....	135
8	1		Head Janitor .....	135
9	1		Janitor's Assistant .....	130
10	1		Assistant Mechanic .....	140
11	1		Recorder .....	135
12	1		Stenographer .....	150
13	1		Stenographer .....	135
14	1		Librarian .....	125
15	1		Gallery Assistant .....	125
16	3		Caretaker .....	110
17	3		Watchman (Special Police Officers) ....	135
18	1		Organ Repairer (as needed) .....	33
19			Seasonal Clerical and Mechanical Services (as needed) .....	

## Section 21. M. H. deYOUNG MEMORIAL MUSEUM

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Director .....	\$ 591.66
2	1		Supervisor of Exhibits .....	200
3	1		Recorder .....	175
4	1		Secretary to Director .....	175
5	1		Museum Instructor .....	150
6	1		Museum Instructor .....	175
7	1		Museum Instructor and Special Expert (Curator of Decorative Arts) .....	150
8	1		Assistant Museum Instructor .....	125
9	1		Stenographer-Bookkeeper .....	135
10	1		Head Gallery Man .....	200
11	1		Labeller .....	140
12	1		Clerk .....	125
13	1		Mechanic .....	190
14	1		Assistant Mechanic .....	140
15	1		Janitor .....	130
16	1		Assistant Janitor .....	125
17	1		Head Caretaker .....	115
18	1		Checker .....	110
19	3		Caretaker .....	110
20	1		Secretary Board of Trustees .....	240
21	4		Gallery Man .....	125
22	5		Gallery Man .....	130
23	5		Gallery Man .....	135

## Section 21. M. H. deYOUNG MEMORIAL MUSEUM (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
24	4		Watchman (Special Police Officers)....\$	135
25	1		Curator of Prints .....	125
26	1		Assistant Head Gallery Man .....	150
27	1		Expert Repairman .....	150
28	1		Utility Man .....	140
29	1		Installation Man .....	140
30	1		Lecturer, \$10 a Sunday .....	

## Section 22. STEINHART AQUARIUM

Positions and rates of pay fixed by California Academy of Sciences and not included herein. Salaries audited by voucher.

## Section 23. MUNICIPAL COURT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	12		Judges .....	\$ 625
2	1	B85	Jury Commissioner, Municipal Court...	450
3	12	B152	Court Room Clerk .....	210
4	1	B154	Criminal Law Clerk .....	200
5	1	B156	Senior Criminal Law Clerk .....	250
6	4	B160	Civil Law Clerk .....	200
7	4	B164	Senior Civil Law Clerk .....	265
8	1	B165	Cashier, Municipal Court .....	300
9	1	B170	Chief Assistant Clerk, Municipal Court..	300
10	1	B172	Clerk of Municipal Court.....	500
11	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	220
12	2	B222	General Clerk .....	200
13	2	B222	General Clerk .....	175
14	4	B420	Phonographic Reporter, \$12.50 per day, plus transcriptions.	
15	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	200
16	4	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
17	9	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	155

## Section 24. SUPERIOR COURT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	16		Judges . . . . .	\$ 500
2	1		Secretary-Jury Commissioner .....	600*
3	1		Assistant Secretary-Jury Commissioner.	300*
4	1		Assistant Secretary-Jury Commissioner.	250*
5	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator (part time) .....	125
6	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator.....	150
7	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator.....	125
8	8	B252	Court Interpreter .....	175
9	1	B516	Senior Clerk-Typist .....	200
10	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
11	4	B420	Phonographic Reporter, \$12.50 per day, plus transcriptions.	
12	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	150

\*(Titles fixed by State law.)



## Section 25. LAW LIBRARY

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Assistant Law Librarian .....	\$ 275
2	1		Law Librarian .....	475
3	1		Bookbinder .....	200

## Section 26. JUVENILE COURT—PROBATION OFFICE

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	\$ 225
2	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	175
3	1	B254	Interpreter-Typist .....	210
4	4	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	150
5	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	185
6	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	160
7	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
8	1	L404	Psychologist .....	180
9	1	T74	Collector, Juvenile Court .....	180
10	1	T56	Probation Officer .....	225
11	8	T56	Probation Officer .....	210
12	2	T56	Probation Officer .....	195
13	1	T56	Probation Officer .....	185
14	2	T56	Probation Officer .....	180
15	1	T57	Psychiatric Probation Officer .....	180
16	1	T60	Senior Probation Officer .....	240
17	1	T60	Senior Probation Officer .....	235
18	1	T60	Senior Probation Officer .....	215
19	1	T64	Referee (part time) .....	250
20	1	T72	Chief Juvenile Probation Officer .....	380
21	1	B420	Phonographic Reporter (as needed), \$12.50 per day plus transcriptions.	

## Section 27. JUVENILE COURT—DETENTION HOME

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	C104	Janitor .....	\$ 155
2	1	I2	Kitchen Helper (deduct for R.) (part time) .....	70
3	1	I12	Cook (deduct for R.) .....	130
4	2	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for R. & B.) .....	135
5	1	T2	Male Attendant (deduct for R. & 2 M.) .....	161.50
6	2	T2	Male Attendant (deduct for R. & 2 M.) .....	135
7	1	T2	Male Attendant (deduct for R. & 2 M.) .....	145
8	1	T2	Male Attendant (deduct for R. & 1 M.) .....	145
9	5	T4	Woman Attendant (deduct for R. & B.) .....	122.50
9½	1	T4	Woman Attendant (deduct for R. & B.) .....	105.50
10	1	T4	Woman Attendant (deduct for R.) .....	100
12	1	T12	Superintendent, Juvenile Det. Home (deduct for R. & B.) .....	190

## Section 28. ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	\$ 175
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
3	5	T56	Probation Officer .....	210
4	1	T56	Probation Officer .....	200
5	1	T58	Probation Officer-Stenographer .....	210
6	1	T70	Chief Probation Officer .....	325

**Section 29. CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Chief Administrative Officer .....	\$ 1,000
2	1	B97	Executive Secretary, Chief Administrative Officer .....	325
3	1	B210	Office Assistant (part time) .....	79.50
4	1	B415	Confidential Secretary, Chief Administrative Officer .....	175
5	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator (part time) .....	75

**Section 30. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS—  
DIRECTOR**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B95	Director of Finance and Records .....	\$ 500
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155

**Section 31. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS—  
TAX COLLECTOR**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B93	Tax Collector .....	\$ 666.66
2	1	B92	Chief Clerk .....	325
3	1	B89	Director, Bureau of Licenses .....	250
4	1	B102	Teller .....	240
5	1	B102	Teller .....	215
5½	1	B102	Teller .....	200
6	1	B105	Assistant Cashier, Tax Collector's Office	240
7	1	B108	Cashier, Tax Collector's Office .....	300
8	1	B222	General Clerk .....	215
9	13	B222	General Clerk .....	200
11	3	B222	General Clerk .....	175
12	9	B222	General Clerk .....	160
12½	1	B222	General Clerk .....	155
13	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	200
14	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	275
16	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
17	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
18	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
19	1	G154	Senior Inspector of Licenses .....	250
20	2	G153	Adjuster, Tax Collector's Office .....	215
20½	1	G153	Adjuster, Tax Collector's Office .....	200
21	1	B91	Director Bureau of Delinquent Revenue	400
22	1	K4	Attorney, Civil .....	275
23			Seasonal Clerical and other temporary services as needed at rates not in excess of salary standardization schedules.	

**Section 32. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS—  
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B62	Chief Clerk Elections .....	\$ 350
2	1	B64	Chief Clerk Registrations .....	350
3	1	B66	Registrar of Voters .....	500
4	1	B210	Office Assistant (part time) .....	79.50



**Section 32. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS—  
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
5	1	B181	Law Clerk .....	\$ 225
6	4	B222	General Clerk .....	225
7	1	B222	General Clerk .....	200
8	2	B222	General Clerk .....	175
8½	1	B222	General Clerk .....	165
8¾	1	B222	General Clerk .....	155
9	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	250
10	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	250
11	1	B304	Senior Addressing Machine Operator...	225
12	1	B305	Voting Machine Adjuster.....	175
13	1	B355	Custodian of Voting Machines.....	250
14	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
15			Seasonal Clerical Services (as needed).	
16		B202	Judges of Election at \$7.50 per day....	
17		B204	Inspectors of Election at \$7.50 per day..	
18		B302	Addressing Machine Operator.....	155
19		B305	Voting Machine Adjuster.....	155
20		B222	General Clerk .....	150
21		C104	Janitor at \$2.50 per evening.....	

**Section 33. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS—  
RECORDER**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B80	Chief Clerk .....	\$ 300
2	1	B81	Recorder .....	666.66
3	1	B102	Teller .....	210
3½	1	B222	General Clerk .....	175
4	1	B222	General Clerk .....	199
5	5	B222	General Clerk .....	200
6	3	B222	General Clerk .....	215
7	2	B228	Senior Clerk .....	215
8	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
9	14	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	200
10	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
11	6	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	155
12	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	165

**Section 34. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS—  
COUNTY CLERK**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	18	B152	Courtroom Clerk .....	\$ 200
1½	1	B154	Criminal Law Clerk .....	200
2	1	B156	Senior Criminal Law Clerk.....	220
3	1	B160	Civil Law Clerk .....	250
4	7	B160	Civil Law Clerk .....	200
5	1	B161	Cashier, County Clerk's Office.....	220
6	3	B164	Senior Civil Law Clerk.....	265
6½	1	B164	Senior Civil Law Clerk .....	220
7	1	B168	Chief Clerk—County Clerk's Office.....	300
8	1	B169	County Clerk .....	500
9	8	B222	General Clerk .....	200
10	1	B222	General Clerk .....	175
12	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
13	4	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	200

**Section 35. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS—  
PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	\$ 190
2	1	B173	Public Administrator . . . . .	666.66
3	1	B212	Special Messenger (part time) . . . . .	75
4	1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	300
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	165
6	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	160
7	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	180
8	1	K4	Attorney, Civil (part time) . . . . .	300
9	1	K4	Attorney, Civil (part time) . . . . .	250
10	1	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil . . . . .	666.66

**Section 36. PURCHASING DEPARTMENT**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B18	Chief Accountant, Purchasing Dept. (6 mo.) . . . . .	\$ 466.66
2	2	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	200
3	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	175
4	1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	250
5	1	B310b	Tabulating Numerical Key Punch Operator . . . . .	165
6	3	B310b	Tabulating Numerical Key Punch Operator . . . . .	155
7	1	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator . . . . .	175
8	2	B352	Storekeeper . . . . .	150
8½	1	B352	Storekeeper . . . . .	140
9	1	B352	Storekeeper . . . . .	135
11	2	B354	General Storekeeper . . . . .	200
12	2	B354	General Storekeeper . . . . .	180
14	1	B358	Assistant Stationery Buyer . . . . .	225
15	1	B360	Printing and Stationery Buyer . . . . .	250
16	1	B362	Produce Buyer and Storekeeper . . . . .	175
18	1	B382	Supervisor of Equipment and Supplies . . . . .	175
19	1	B364	Produce Buyer and General Storekeeper . . . . .	300
20	1	B364	Produce Buyer and General Storekeeper . . . . .	225
21	1	B366	Assistant Purchaser of General Supplies . . . . .	250
22	2	B366	Assistant Purchaser of General Supplies . . . . .	200
22½	1	B368	Chief Assistant Purchaser of Supplies . . . . .	350
23	1	B370	R. R. Equipment Purchasing Agent . . . . .	250
24	1	B371	Purchasing Agent—Water Service . . . . .	325
25	1	B372	Purchasing Agent—Other Services . . . . .	350
26	1	B374	Purchaser of Supplies . . . . .	833.33
27	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	200
28	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	175
29	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	160
30	4	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	155
31	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	155
32	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	175
33	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	190
34	3	J4	Laborer, \$6 per day . . . . .	
35	1	J12	Foreman Laborer . . . . .	195
36	1	J66	Garageman . . . . .	150
37	1	J66	Garageman at \$6.50 per day . . . . .	
38	1	N302	Inspector of General Supplies . . . . .	215
39	1	N302	Inspector of General Supplies . . . . .	200
40		B512	General Clerk-Typist (as needed) . . . . .	150
40½			Seasonal, Clerical and other temporary services as needed at rates not in excess of salary standardization schedules.	



### Section 37. PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—INTER-DEPARTMENTAL SERVICE

The following positions are in interdepartmental service and the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
41	2	A156	Patternmaker, \$10 per day.....	
42	5	A364	Car and Auto Painter, \$10 per day....	
43	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	\$ 185
44	1	C152	Watchman .....	170
45	2	C152	Watchman .....	155
46	1	E104	Batteryman-Electrician, \$9 per day....	
48	1	J66	Garageman .....	160
49	3	J66	Garageman, \$6.50 per day.....	
50	1	J67	Vulcanizer, \$7 per day.....	
51	1	M2	General Foreman Machinist.....	300
52	1	M3	Superintendent, Fire Equipment Repair Shop .....	350
52½		M8	General Superintendent of Shops.....	350
53	24	M54	Auto Machinist, \$9 per day.....	
54	1	M60	Auto Fender and Body Worker, \$9 per day .....	
55	4	M107	Blacksmith Finisher, \$7.08 per day....	
56	1	M107	Blacksmith Finisher, \$8 per day.....	
57	5	M108	Blacksmith, \$9 per day.....	
58	1	M154	Boilermaker's Helper, \$7.08 per day..	
59	1	M156	Boilermaker, \$9 per day .....	
60	2	M252	Machinist Helper, \$7.08 per day.....	
61	6	M254	Machinist, \$9 per day.....	
62	1	O1	Chauffeur, \$6.50 per day.....	
63	1	O108	Leatherworker, \$9 per day .....	
64	1	O152	Eng. H. & P. Engines, \$11.40 per day...	

### Section 38. REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	\$ 175
2	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	275
3	1	G206	Chief Right of Way Agent .....	600

### INTERDEPARTMENTAL

The following positions are in interdepartmental service and the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
4	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	\$ 160
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
6	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	200
7	5	G202	Division Right of Way Agent.....	300
8	1	G204	Assistant Chief Right of Way Agent....	375
9			Real Estate and Improvement Appraiser at rates fixed by special appropriation.	

### Section 39. REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT—EXPOSITION AUDITORIUM

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A154	Carpenter .....	\$ 225
2	1	A354	Painter at \$9.75 per day .....	
3	1	C2	Asst. Superintendent of Auditorium....	200
4	1	C4	Superintendent of Auditorium .....	210
5	4	C104	Janitor .....	155
6	1	C152	Watchman .....	180
7	1	C152	Watchman .....	145
8	1	E108	Electrician .....	250
9	1	O168	Engineer—Stationary Steam Engines..	220
10	1		Organ Repairer (part time).....	75
11	1	C101	Dressing Room Maid (as needed) (part time), \$3 per day.....	
12		A154	Carpenter (as needed) at \$9 per day....	
13		C104	Janitor (as needed) at \$5.80 per day....	

### Section 40. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— GENERAL OFFICE

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Director of Public Works.....	\$ 666.66
2	1	B10	Accountant .....	250
3	1	B94	Chief Clerk, Department of Public Works .....	400
4	1	B210	Office Assistant .....	85
5	1	B222	General Clerk .....	200
6	1	B222	General Clerk .....	165
7	1	B222	General Clerk .....	175
8	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	300
9	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	225
10	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
11	5	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
12	1	B458	Chief Telephone Operator .....	180
13	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	165

### Section 41. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— BUREAU OF ACCOUNTS

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	*7	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 200
2	*1	B222	General Clerk .....	165
3	*1	B222	General Clerk .....	155
4	2	B228	Senior Clerk .....	200
5	1	B31	Supervisor, Bureau of Accounts.....	325
6	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	185
7	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	165
8	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	185

\*One position to be retitled when promotive appointment is made under new class.



Section 42. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—  
BUREAU OF BUILDING REPAIR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A8	Assistant Superintendent of Maintenance and Repair of Public Buildings.\$	300
2	1	A10	Superintendent of Maintenance and Repair of Public Buildings.....	375
3	1	A161	General Foreman Carpenter, D. P. W...	250
4	1	A208	General Foreman Cement Finisher, D. P. W. ....	275
5	1	A358	General Foreman Painter, D. P. W....	293.75
6	1	A408	General Foreman Plumber, D. P. W....	300
7	1	A460	General Foreman Sheet Metal Worker, D. P. W. ....	300
8	1	A506	General Foreman Steamfitter, D. P. W...	275
9	1	E112	General Foreman Electrician, D. P. W...	250
10	13	C52	Elevator Operator .....	155
11	1	C52	Elevator Operator .....	154
12	2	C52	Elevator Operator .....	145
13	1	C54	Elevator Starter .....	180
14	1	C102	Janitress . . . . .	140
15	1	C102	Janitress (Part time) .....	75
16	40	C104	Janitor .....	155
17	14	C104	Janitor .....	145
18	5	C104	Janitor .....	165
19	1	C107	Working Foreman Janitor .....	190
20	2	C108	Foreman Janitor .....	175
21	1	C108	Foreman Janitor .....	180
22	1	C110	Head Janitor .....	260
23	2	C152	Watchman . . . . .	155
24	3	C152	Watchman . . . . .	145
25	3	C202	Window Cleaner .....	160
26	2	C202	Window Cleaner .....	170
27	1	C204	Sub-Foreman Window Cleaner.....	185
28	5	O166	Fireman Stationary Steam Engines....	185
29	7	O168	Engineer Stationary Steam Engines....	220
30	1	O172	Chief Engineer Stationary Steam Engines .....	300
31	1	O172	Chief Engineer Stationary Steam Engines .....	280

Section 43. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—  
BUREAU OF BUILDING REPAIR

Employments as required on miscellaneous repair of public buildings, including schools, as provided in Section 95 of the Charter. Number of employments is enumerated wherever the employee has attained permanent civil service tenure in this department. The employments are *not* established as continuing positions but "as needed" when the services are required and the funds are provided.

INTERDEPARTMENTAL

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	
1		A56	Bricklayer . . . . .day	\$ 12
3		A58	Marble Setter's Helper .....	6
4		A60	Marble Setter .....	10
5		A62	Tile Setter .....	10
5½	1	A152	Hodcarrier .....	10
6	21	A154	Carpenter . . . . .day	9
7		A158	Sub-Foreman Carpenter .....	9.50

**Section 43. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—  
BUREAU OF BUILDING REPAIR (Continued)**

**INTERDEPARTMENTAL (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title		
7½	1	A160	Foreman Carpenter, D. P. W.....day	\$	10
10	10	A202	Cement Finisher Helper .....day		8
11	3	A204	Cement Finisher .....day		9
12	2	A252	Glazier .....day		8.80
13	1	A252	Glazier .....day		9.50
14	1	A302	Locksmith .....day		9
15	1	A302	Locksmith .....per month		200
16	27	A354	Painter .....day		9.75
17		A380	Paper Hanger .....day		10
18	1	A392	Plasterer .....day		12
18½		A396	Lather .....day		10
19	24	A404	Plumber .....day		10
20	11	A456	Sheet Metal Worker .....day		10
21	1	A458	Sub-Foreman Sheet Metal Worker.day		10.50
22	10	A504	Steamfitter .....day		10
23	1	A551	Apprentice .....day		7
24	1	A551	Apprentice .....day		6.50
25	1	B222	General Clerk .....day		7
26	1	C152	Watchman .....per month		145
27	1	C202	Window Cleaner .....per month		155
28	1	E108	Electrician .....per month		225
29	6	E108	Electrician .....day		10
30	1	J4	Laborer .....day		6
Teams and trucks at rates established by purchaser's contract.					

**Section 44. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—  
BUREAU OF BUILDING INSPECTION**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title		Maximum Monthly Rate
1	13	A106	Building Inspector .....\$		225
3	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....		175
4	2	F558	Structural Engineer .....		300
5	1	F560	Superintendent Bureau of Building In- spection .....		500
6	1	M158	Boiler Inspector .....		250

**Section 45. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—  
BUREAU OF ENGINEERING**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title		Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B222	General Clerk .....\$		250
3	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....		250
4	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....		200
5	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....		175
5½	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....		155
6	1	F4	Assistant City Engineer .....		650
7	1	F10	City Engineer .....		650
7½	4	F202	Inspector Public Works Construction...		225
8	1	F204	Civil Engineering Inspector .....		250
9	1	F204	Civil Engineering Inspector .....		225
10	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman....		160
11	2	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman .....		250
12	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman .....		240



Section 45. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—  
BUREAU OF ENGINEERING (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
13	1	F256	Cartographer and Art Designer.....	\$ 210
14	4	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	250
15	1	F260	Civil Engineering Designer .....	375
16	3	F260	Civil Engineering Designer.....	300
17	1	F262	Sanitary Engineering Designer.....	325
18	1	F270	Chief Civil Engineering Designer.....	475
19	1	F356	Electrical Engineering Inspector.....	250
20	1	F454	Mechanical Engineering Designer .....	275
21	1	F502	Engineer Assessments and Complaints..	250
22	1	F506	Engineer Grades .....	300
23	1	F510	Engineer Street Improvement Investiga- tions .....	300
24	1	F510	Engineer, Street Improvement Investiga- tions .....	275
25	1	F514	Engineer Street Improvement Plans....	300
26	1	F518	Office Engineer .....	350
27	1	F518	Office Engineer .....	325
28	1	F552	Structural Draftsman .....	200
29	1	F604	Surveyor's Field Assistant .....	250
30	12	F604	Surveyor's Field Assistant .....	225
30½	1	F604	Surveyor's Field Assistant .....	175
31	4	F610	Surveyors .....	275
32	1	F610	Surveyor .....	225
33	1	F614	Assistant Chief Surveyor .....	300
34	1	F616	Chief Surveyor .....	325
35	1	L116	Senior Engineering Chemist .....	400

Section 46. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—  
BUREAU OF ENGINEERING (Continued)

EMPLOYMENTS PREDICATED ON REVENUE AND BOND ISSUE  
MONEYS

The following positions are in interdepartmental service and predicated on bond issues and the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
36	1	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 155
37	1	B325	Blue Printer .....	215
38	1	B327	Photostat Operator .....	225
39	1	B332	Photographer .....	265
40	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
41	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	155
42	5	F204	Civil Engineering Inspector .....	250
43	27	F204	Civil Engineering Inspector .....	225
44	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector....	275
45	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector....	250
46	1	F208	Chief Civil Engineering Inspector, Minor	300
47	1	F210	Chief Civil Engineering Inspector, Major	400
49	3	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman....	160
50	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman.....	250
52	3	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman.....	200

**Section 46. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—  
BUREAU OF ENGINEERING (Continued)**

**EMPLOYMENTS PREDICATED ON REVENUE AND BOND ISSUE  
MONEYS (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
53	5	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman...\$	250
54	3	F260	Civil Engineering Designer.....	300
55	1	F260	Civil Engineering Designer.....	250
56	1	F262	Sanitary Engineering Designer.....	250
57	1	F262	Sanitary Engineering Designer.....	300
58	1	F354	Electrical Engineering Designer.....	250
59	4	F452	Mechanical Draftsman .....	200
60	1	F452	Mechanical Draftsman .....	225
61	6	F454	Mechanical Engineering Designer.....	250
62	1	F460	Assistant Mechanical Engineer.....	250
63	1	F552	Structural Draftsman .....	200
64	1	F558	Structural Engineer .....	275
65	6	F604	Surveyor's Field Assistant.....	225
65½	2	F604	Surveyor's Field Assistant.....	175
66	2	F610	Surveyor .....	250
67	1	L114	Engineering Chemist .....	225
69		A106	Building Inspector .....	225
71		F102	Architectural Draftsman .....	200
72		F106	Architectural Designer .....	250
73		F108	Architect .....	300
74		F352	Electrical Draftsman .....	200
75		F360	Assistant Electrical Engineer.....	250
76		F362	Electrical Engineer .....	300
77		F401	Junior Hydraulic Engineer.....	160
78		F404	Hydraulic Engineering Designer.....	250
79		F406	Assistant Hydraulic Engineer.....	250
80		F408	Hydraulic Engineer .....	300
81		F462	Mechanical Engineer .....	300
82		F554	Structural Engineer Designer.....	275
84		B210	Office Assistant .....	85
85		B4	Bookkeeper .....	175
86		C152	Watchman .....	145
87		F202	Inspector P. W. Construction.....	225
88		F351	Junior Electrical Engineer.....	160
90		F664	Traffic Engineer .....	300
91		M256	Mechanical Inspector .....	225
92		J4	Laborer at \$6 per day.....	
95		M252	Machinist's Helper at \$7.08 per day....	
96		M254	Machinist at \$9 per day.....	
97		O152	Engineer of Hoisting and Portable En- gines at \$11.40 per day.....	

**Section 47. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—  
CENTRAL PERMIT BUREAU**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B222	General Clerk .....	225
1½	1	B222	General Clerk (4 mos. at \$225 and 8 mos. at \$155).....	
2	1	B222	General Clerk .....	200
2½	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	225
3	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	275
4	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
5	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	150



### Section 48. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— BUREAU OF SEWER REPAIR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	O208	General Foreman Sewer Connections and Repairs .....	\$ 225
2	2	O214	Assistant Superintendent, Bureau of Sewer Repair .....	250
3	1	O216	Superintendent, Bureau of Sewer Repair .....	400

#### EMPLOYMENTS AS NEEDED

The occupants of the following positions have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
4	12	A52	Hodcarrier at \$10 per day.....	
5	7	A56	Bricklayer at \$12 per day.....	
6	20	J4	Laborer at \$6 per day.....	
7	4	O1	Chauffeur at \$8 per day.....	
8	35*	A155	Cribber at \$8.80 per day .....	
9	17	O210	Sewer Cleaner at \$9.50 per day.....	
10	1	O208	Gen. Foreman, Sewer Connection and Repair .....	\$ 250
Teams and trucks, as needed, at rates established by purchaser's contract.				

\*Thirteen of these not included in budget estimates as compensations are paid by property owners.

### Section 49. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— SEWAGE PUMPING STATION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	O202	Sewer Pumping Station Attendant.....	\$ 180
2	1	O168	Engineer, Stationary Steam Engines...	185

### Section 50. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— DIVISION OF STREET CLEANING

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	2	C152	Watchman .....	\$ 155
2	5	C152	Watchman .....	145
3	237	J4	Laborer at \$6 per day .....	
4	3	J10	Laborer—Sub-Foreman at \$7 per day...	
5	9	J10	Laborer—Sub-Foreman at \$6.50 per day.	
6	2	J66	Garagemen .....	160
7	2	J108	District Director of Street Cleaning....	240
8	1	J108	District Director of Street Cleaning...	225
9	1	J112	Supervisor of Street Cleaning.....	325
10	19	O1	Chauffeur at \$8 per day.....	
11	17	O1	Chauffeur at \$7.50 per day.....	
14	1	O19	Sub. Sta. For. at \$8 per day.....	
15	1	O58	Gardener at \$6 per day.....	

Teams and trucks, as needed, at rates established by purchaser's contract.

### Section 51. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— BUREAU OF STREETS

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	F220	General Superintendent of Streets.....\$	500
2	1	O298	Supervisor of Street Repair.....	325

#### DIVISION OF STREET REPAIR

The occupants of the following positions have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
3	2	A202	Cement Finisher's Helper at \$8 per day	
4	2	A204	Cement Finisher at \$9 per day.....	
5	1	B210	Office Assistant at \$5.40 per day.....	
6	29	J4	Laborers at \$6 per day.....	
7	2	J12	Laborer, Foreman, at \$7 per day.....	
8	1	M254	Machinist at \$9 per day.....	
9	11	O1	Chauffeur at \$8 per day.....	
10	2	O1	Chauffeur at \$7.50 per day.....	
11	4	O152	Engr. Hoisting Port. Engine at \$11.40 per day .....	
12	1	O168	Engineer, Sta. St. Eng.....\$	220
13	1	O252	Dryerman at \$9 per day.....	
14	1	O254	Foreman, Asph. Plant, at \$10 per day..	
15	4	O260	Rammer at \$7 per day.....	
16	2	O264	Paver at \$8 per day.....	
17	5	O268	Granite Cutters at \$9.50 per day.....	
17½	1	O270	Foreman Granite Cutter at \$9.50 per day	
18	2	O274	Asphalt Mixerman at \$9 per day.....	
19	26	O276	Asphalt Workers at \$7.50 per day.....	
20	11	O278	Asphalt Finishers at \$8 per day.....	
21	2	O282	Foreman, Asphalt Fin., at \$9 per day...	
22	1	O294	General Foreman, Street Repair.....	275
23	1	O294	General Foreman, Street Repair.....	250
24	1	O294	General Foreman, Street Repair.....	225

#### BRIDGES

25	8	C153	Bridge Attendant . . . . .	155
26	3	C153	Bridge Attendant . . . . .	145
27	10	O168	Engineer Sta. St. Engines.....	220
28	1	O168	Engr. Sta. St. Engines (Relief) at rate of	220
29	1	O172	Chief Engineer Sta. St. Engines.....	275

Teams and trucks, as needed, at rates established by purchaser's contract.

### Section 52. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— BUREAU OF ARCHITECTURE

#### INTERDEPARTMENTAL SERVICE

The following positions are in interdepartmental service and the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	10	A106	Building Inspector .....	225
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
3	2	F102	Architectural Draftsman .....	200
4	1	F112	City Architect .....	600
5	1	B210	Office Assistant (part time).....	75
6	1	F104	Architectural Estimator .....	250



**Section 53. DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A354	Painter at \$9.75 per day.....	
2	1	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 225
3	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
4	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	250
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
6	4	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
7	1	E2	Line Inspector .....	225
8	16	E4	Electrical Inspector .....	250
9	1	E8	Chief Electrical Inspector .....	260
10	8	E52	Fire Dispatcher .....	225
11	1	E54	Chief Fire Dispatcher .....	275
12	1	E108	Electrician .....	240
13	1	E108	Electrician at \$10 per day.....	
14	1	E110	Radio Maintenance Man at \$8 per day..	
15	1	E116	Superintendent of Plant, Department of Electricity .....	325
16	1	E154	Lineman .....	220
17	10	E154	Lineman .....	215
18	2	E154	Lineman .....	185
19	1	E156	Cable Splicer at \$9.50 per day.....	
20	2	E160	Foreman Lineman .....	240
21	1	F366	Chief, Department of Electricity.....	500
22	3	J4	Laborer at \$6 per day.....	
23	1	J12	Labor Foreman .....	195
24	1	J66	Garageman .....	162.50
25	1	J76	Traffic Button Maintenance Man at \$9 per day .....	
26	2	M254	Machinist .....	225
27	5	M260	Instrument Maker .....	225
28	1	M264	Foreman Instrument Maker .....	250

**Section 54. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE****ADMINISTRATION**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	\$ 170
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
3	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	215
4	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
5	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	135
6	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	160
7	1	C52	Elevator Operator .....	155
8	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time)	79.50
9	1	L14	Assistant Director of Public Health....	375
10	1	L18	Director of Public Health.....	833.33

**ACCOUNTING**

11	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	190
12	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	175
13	2	B4	Bookkeeper .....	150
14	1	B14	Senior Accountant .....	400
15	2	B222	General Clerk .....	190
16	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	170

Section 54(a). **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)**

**STATISTICS**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
17	1	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 190
18	1	B222	General Clerk .....	185
19	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	190
20	2	B238	Hospital Statistician .....	190
21	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
22	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125

**MEAT INSPECTION**

23	8	N56	Market Inspector .....	175
24	6	N56	Market Inspector .....	200
25	1	N58	Chief Market Inspector .....	225
26	8	N60	Abattoir Inspector .....	200
27	3	N62	Veterinarian .....	201
28	4	N62	Veterinarian .....	200
28½	1	N63	Chief Abattoir Inspector .....	200

**COMMUNICABLE DISEASES**

29	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
30	4	J74	Rat Catcher .....	115
30½	2	J74	Rat Catcher .....	110
31	4	L370	Epidemiologist (part time).....	225
32	1	L371	Director, Bureau of Communicable Diseases (part time) .....	350
33	1	P60	Supervising Nurse, Bur. of Com. Dis...	175

**SYPHILIS UNIT**

34	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
35	1	P102	Registered Nurse .....	135
36	1	L360	Physician .....	150

**CLINICS**

*Diagnostic Center*

37	2	L360	Physician (part time) .....	150
38	1	L364	Pediatrician (part time).....	100
39	1	P52	Field Nurse .....	165

*Bureau of Mental Hygiene*

40	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time)	75
41	1	L404	Psychologist .....	175
42	4	L404	Psychologist .....	150
43	1	L404	Psychologist (part time) .....	75
44	1	L408	Psychiatrist (part time).....	200
45	1	L408	Psychiatrist (part time).....	150

**BACTERIOLOGICAL LABORATORY**

46	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
47	1	C102	Janitress .....	75
48	1	L52	Bacteriological Laboratory Technician (part time) .....	79.50
49	2	L52	Bacteriological Laboratory Technician..	125
50	1	L56	Bacteriologist .....	225
51	3	L56	Bacteriologist .....	175
52	1	L58	Director of Laboratories .....	275
53	1	L60	Bacteriological Milk Inspector .....	250
54	1	L64	Consultant, Bacteriologist (part time)..	75



Section 55. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)

## SCHOOL INSPECTION—MEDICAL

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
55	1	L252	Optometrist (part time) .....	\$ 150
56	1	L368	Director Bureau Child Hygiene .....	383.33
57	1	L364	Pediatrician .....	250
58	1	L364	Pediatrician (part time) .....	175
59	11	L364	Pediatrician (part time) .....	150
60	1	L364	Pediatrician (part time) .....	75
61	1	L602	Audiometer Technician .....	125

## DENTAL

62	1	B222	General Clerk (part time) .....	50
63	2	L152	Dental Hygienist .....	150
63½	2	L152	Dental Hygienist .....	135
64	14	L156	Dentist (part time) .....	100
65	1	L160	Director of Dental Bureau (part time)..	250

## CHILD WELFARE—MEDICAL

66	1	L364	Pediatrician .....	250
67	4	L364	Pediatrician (part time).....	150
68	1	L364	Pediatrician at \$5 per day (part time).	

## MILK AND FOOD INSPECTION

69	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
70	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
71	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	100
72	7	N52	Food and Restaurant Inspector .....	200
73	10	N52	Food and Restaurant Inspector .....	185
74	1	N52	Food and Restaurant Inspector.....	175
75	3	N53	Assistant Chief Food Inspector .....	225
76	1	N54	Chief Food Inspector .....	325
77	1	N64	Dairy Inspector .....	300
78	2	N64	Dairy Inspector .....	225
79	2	N64	Dairy Inspector .....	200
79½	2	N64	Dairy Inspector .....	175

## CHEMICAL LABORATORY

80	1	L102	Food Chemist Assistant .....	125
81	1	L104	Food Chemist .....	225
82	1	L104	Food Chemist .....	175
83	1	L106	Senior Food Chemist .....	250

## PLUMBING INSPECTION

84	1	A412	Plumbing Inspector .....	250
85	6	A412	Plumbing Inspector .....	240
85½	1	A412	Plumbing Inspector .....	225
86	1	A416	Chief Plumbing Inspector .....	275
87	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	190

## HOUSING INSPECTION

88	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
89	9	N204	Housing Inspector .....	200
90	1	N206	Chief Housing Inspector .....	275

## INDUSTRIAL INSPECTION

91	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	100
92	3	N205	Industrial Inspector .....	200
93	1	N208	Chief Industrial Inspector .....	275

## CITY PHYSICIANS

94	4	L360	Physician (part time) .....	300
95	1	L360	Physician (part time) .....	250
96	1	L360	Physician (part time) .....	200

**Section 55. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)**

**CITY PHYSICIANS (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
97	4	L360	Physician (part time).....	\$ 150
97½	2	L360	Physician (part time) .....	75
98	1	L362	Supervisor of City Physicians (part time)	300

**Section 55(a). DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)**

**FIELD NURSING, ADMINISTRATION**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
99	1	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 190
100	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
101	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
102	1	P57	Assistant to the Director of Field Nursing .....	230
103	8	P54	Supervising Field Nurse .....	200
104	1	P54	Supervising Field Nurse .....	175
105	1	P58	Director of Field Nursing .....	300

**FIELD NURSING, SCHOOLS**

106	25	P52	Field Nurse .....	175
107	15	P52	Field Nurse .....	165

**FIELD NURSING, OTHER**

108	12	P52	Field Nurse .....	175
109	7	P52	Field Nurse .....	165
110	1	P101	Chinese Visiting Nurse .....	175

**TUBERCULOSIS BUREAU**

111	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
112	2	L360	Physician (part time) .....	100
113	1	L360	Physician (part time) .....	200
114	2	P52	Field Nurse .....	165
115	7	P52	Field Nurse .....	175
116	2	P102	Registered Nurse .....	135

**Section 56. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
LAGUNA HONDA HOME**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 100
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
3	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	135
3½	8	I2	Kitchen Helper (deduct for R. & L.)...	75
4	1	I8	Head Baker .....	190
4½	1	I10	Cook's Assistant (deduct for R. & L.)..	95
4¾	1	I10	Cook's Assistant (deduct for R. & L.)...	75
5	3	I12	Cook .....	165
6	1	I12	Cook (part time).....	75
7	1	I14	Junior Chef .....	175
8	1	I16	Chef .....	200
9	1	I22	Butcher .....	125
10	1	I24	Senior Butcher .....	235
11		I26	Hog Killer, \$9 per day (as needed)	
12	4	I54	Waitress .....	110
13	1	I58	Dining Room Steward .....	140
14		I102	Inmate Help, not over .....	50



**Section 56. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
LAGUNA HONDA HOME (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
15	62	I116	Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).....\$	85
16	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).	140
17	3	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).	135
18	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).	125
19	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).	120
19½	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).	115
20	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).	102.50
21	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).	87.50
22	1	I212a	Steward (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	235
22½	1	I212b	Stewardess (deduct for B., R. & L.) ....	235
23	1	I154	Laundress .....	100
23½	1	I154	Laundress (deduct for B., R. & L.)....	85
24	1	I164	Marker and Distributor.....	130
25	1	I170	Washer (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	102.50
26	1	I174	Superintendent of Laundry .....	175
26½	27	I204	Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	85
27	1	I254	Seamstress .....	100
28	1	I256	Head Seamstress (deduct for R.).....	125

**Section 56(a). DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
LAGUNA HONDA HOME (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
29	1	I302	Instructor, Basketry (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	102.50
30	1	I304	Instructor, Weaving (deduct for R.)...	110
31	1	L8	Assistant to Superintendent .....	275
32	1	L10	Superintendent (deduct \$150 for full family maintenance) .....	733.33
33	1	L54	Assistant Bacteriologist .....	100
34	1	L202	Dietitian (deduct for R. & L.).....	137.50
35	1	L306	Senior Pharmacist .....	210
36	6	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	32
37	2	L360	Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	185
39	1	L360	Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	235
40	1	L452	X-ray Technician .....	150
41	1	O1	Chauffeur (less B., R. & L.).....	185
42	1	O52	Farmer (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	130
43	1	O54	Foreman, Building and Grounds (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	220
44	1	O58	Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	135
45	1	O60	Head Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.)	185
46	3	O168	Engineer Sta. Steam Engines .....	220
47	28	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
48	4	P104	Head Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	145
49	1	P118	Superintendent of Nurses (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	235
50	1	P208	Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	145

**Section 56(b). DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
LAGUNA HONDA HOME (Continued)**

**INTERDEPARTMENTAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
51	4	I204	Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.).....\$	85

**Section 57. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
ISOLATION HOSPITAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist (part time).....\$	79.50
2	1	C152	Watchman (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	145
2¼	4	I2	Kitchen Helper (deduct for R. & L.)...	75
2½	1	I10	Cook's Assistant (deduct for R. & L.)..	95
3	1	I14	Junior Chef .....	175
4	1	I54	Waitress .....	110
5	3	I116	Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	85
6	13	I204	Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	85
7	1	I254	Seamstress (deduct for B., R. & L.)....	125
8	2	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	32
9	1	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	47
10	1	L373	Physician in Communicable Diseases (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	385
11		P54	Student Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	32
12	7	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
13	1	P104	Head Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)...	145
14	1	P116	Superintendent Isolation Hospital (de- duct for B., R. & L.) .....	235

**Section 58. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B222	General Clerk .....	175
2	1	B222	General Clerk .....	160
3	1	B222	General Clerk .....	125
3½	14	B222	General Clerk (part time) (deduct 1 meal) .....	79.50
4	1	B234	Head Clerk (deduct for B., R. & L.)....	285
5	1	B238	Hospital Statistician .....	190
6	1	B238	Hospital Statistician .....	160
7	7	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
8	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	100
9	12	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time).	79.50
10	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
11	2	B454	Telephone Operator .....	135
12	1	B454	Telephone Operator (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	125
13	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
14	1	C6	Supt. of Building T. B. Hosp. ....	200
15	2	C152	Watchman .....	145
16	2	E108	Electrician .....	237.50
16½	83	I2	Kitchen Helper (deduct for R. & L.)...	75
17	1	I6	Pastry Cook .....	175
17½	1	I10	Cook's Assistant (deduct for R. & L.)..	95
17¾	7	I10	Cook's Assistant (deduct for R. & L.)..	75
18	8	I12	Cook .....	165
19	1	I16	Chef .....	200
20	8	I54	Waitress .....	110
21	8	I56	Waiter .....	110
21½		I102	Inmate Help (not over \$50).....	
22	152	I116	Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	85
23	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
24	1	I122	House Mother (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	125
25	1	I122	House Mother (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	87.50



Section 58. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
26	14	I152	Flat Work Ironer .....	\$ 90
27	17	I154	Laundress .....	100
28	1	I156	Starcher .....	130
29	1	I158	Sorter .....	130
30	1	I164	Marker and Distributor .....	130
31	1	I166	Wringerman .....	136.33
32	2	I170	Washer .....	135
33	1	I172	Head Washer .....	155
34	1	I178	Superintendent of Laundry .....	200
34½	145	I204	Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	85
35	1	I206	Porter Sub-Foreman (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	92
36	1	I208	Porter Foreman (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	100
37	1	I210	Head Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.) ....	140
38	2	I254	Seamstress .....	90
39	1	I256	Head Seamstress (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	150
40	1	J4	Laborer (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	87.50

Section 59. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
41	1	L2	Assistant Superintendent .....	\$ 275
42	1	L6	Superintendent (deduct \$150 for full family maintenance) .....	733.33
43	1	L70	Physio-Therapist (part time) .....	100
44	2	L72	Electro-Cardiograph Technician (part time) .....	75
45	2	L156	Dentist (part time) .....	50
46	4	L202	Dietitian (deduct for R. & L.) .....	137.50
47	1	L206	Chief Dietitian .....	175
48	1	L304	Pharmacist .....	225
49	1	L304	Pharmacist .....	200
50	2	L304	Pharmacist .....	190
51	1	L306	Senior Pharmacist .....	250
52	42	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	32
53	22	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	47
53½	2	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	72
54	7	L356	Senior House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	59.50
55	2	L360	Physician (part time) .....	75
56	3	L357	Resident Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
57	1	L360	Physician .....	175
58	1	L452	X-ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.) (part time) .....	79.50
59	4	L452	X-ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
60	1	L456	Senior X-ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	210
62	2	L357	Resident Physician .....	100
63	1	M255	Bracemaker .....	77.50
64	1	O60	Head Gardener (deduct for R.) .....	150
65	4	O166	Fireman, Stationary Steam Engine ....	185
66	4	O168	Engineer, Stationary Steam Engine ....	220
67	1	O172	Chief Engineer, Stationary Steam Engine (deduct for R.) .....	300

**Section 60. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
68	139	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) \$	135
69		P103	Special Nurses (as needed), 8 hrs. at \$5 per day or fraction of a day .....	
70		P103	Special Nurses (as needed), 10 hrs. at \$6 per day with meals.....	
71		P103	Special Nurses (as needed), 12 hrs., with meals, at \$7 per day .....	
72		P103	Special Nurses (Virulent Communicable Diseases and Violent Patients), 8 hrs. at \$6 per day .....	
73		P103	Special Nurses (Virulent Communicable Diseases and Violent Patients), 10 hrs. at \$9 per day .....	
74		P103	Special Nurses (Virulent Communicable Diseases and Violent Patients), 12 hrs. at \$10 per day .....	
75		P103	Special Nurses, Additional Patient \$2 extra (not to exceed \$12).....	
76	33	P104	Head Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)...	145
77	1	P104	Head Nurse .....	145
78	1	P110	Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	210
79	1	P110	Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	185
80	2	P110	Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	170
81	1	P122	Director of Institutional Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	285
82	4	P204	Anaesthetist (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	160
83	1	P206	Senior Anaesthetist (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	185
84	8	P208	Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	145
85	11	P208	Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
86	1	P210	Senior Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	185
87	1	P212	Head Nurse Obstetrical (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	160
88	1	P214	Head Nurse Pediatrics (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	150
89	1	P216	Head Nurse Psychiatric (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	160
90		P254	Student Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	32
91	2	P304	Instructor of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	160
92	1	P306	Senior Instructor of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	185
<b>PSYCHIATRIC BUILDING</b>				
93	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
94	2	C152	Watchman .....	145
95	2	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.)...	47
96	1	L357	Resident Physician .....	250



Section 60(a). **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL SOCIAL  
SERVICE DIVISION**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	T152	Junior Social Service Investigator ....	\$ 160
2	3	T152	Junior Social Service Investigator .....	150
3	1	T156	Social Service Investigator .....	210
4	2	T156	Social Service Investigator .....	190
5	7	T156	Social Service Investigator .....	180
6	1	T156	Social Service Investigator .....	175
7	3	T156	Social Service Investigator .....	140
8	1	T160	Senior Social Service Investigator.....	240

Section 60(b). **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
OUT PATIENT MATERNITY**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	L360	Physician (part time) .....	\$ 300
2	1	L360	Physician (part time) .....	190
3	1	L360	Physician (part time) .....	100
4	2	P102	Registered Nurse .....	125

Section 60(c). **SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL—  
INTERDEPARTMENTAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	I2	Kitchen Helper (deduct for R. & L.)..	75
2	5	I116	Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	85
3	1	I204	Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	85

Section 61. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
EMERGENCY HOSPITALS**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	\$ 190
2	12	L504	Emergency Hospital Surgeon.....	200
3	1	L506	Assistant Chief Surgeon Emergency Hospitals .....	225
4	1	L508	Chief Surgeon .....	250
5	14	O6	Ambulance Driver .....	200
6	1	O6	Ambulance Driver .....	195
7	1	O6	Ambulance Driver .....	185
8	3	O6	Ambulance Driver .....	175
9	*7	P2	Emergency Hospital Steward .....	165
10	*5	P2	Emergency Hospital Steward .....	175
11	*16	P2	Emergency Hospital Steward .....	200
12	1	P4	Chief Emergency Hospital Steward....	250
13	12	P102	Registered Nurse .....	165
14	7	P102	Registered Nurse .....	135
15	1	P102	Registered Nurse (Relief) at \$5 per day	

\*One P2, Emergency Hospital Steward, position reclassified, to be P3, Senior Emergency Hospital Steward. One of the positions will be retitled when a promotive appointment is made under the new class.

### Section 62. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH— HASSLER HEALTH HOME

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B454	Telephone Operator (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	\$ 97.50
2	1	C152	Watchman (deduct for B., R. & L.)....	87.50
2½	7	I2	Kitchen Helper (deduct for R. & L.)..	75
3	2	I12	Cook .....	165
4	1	I14	Junior Chef .....	182.50
5	3	I116	Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	85
6	2	I116	Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	92.50
6½	11	I204	Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	85
7	1	I254	Seamstress (deduct for B., R. & L.)....	92.50
8	2	J4	Laborer (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	160
9	1	J4	Laborer .....	125
10	1	L156	Dentist (part time) .....	50
11	1	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	32
12	1	L363	Resident Physician and Superintendent, Hassler Health Home (deduct \$75 a month for family maintenance).....	350
13	1	O1	Chauffeur (deduct for B., R. & L.)....	160
14	1	O54	Foreman, Building and Grounds (deduct for 1 meal) .....	210
15	1	O58	Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	135
17	4	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
18	1	P104	Head Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)...	145
19	1	P112	Superintendent of Nursing, Hassler Health Home (deduct for B., R. & L.)	160

### Section 63. CORONER

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B420	Phonographic Reporter .....	\$ 200
2	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
3	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	155
4	1	I106	Morgue Attendant .....	95
5	1	L52	Bacteriological Laboratory Technician..	150
6	1	L52	Bacteriological Laboratory Technician..	125
7	1	L62	Pathologist (part time).....	125
8	1	L102	Food Chemist Assistant .....	95
9	1	L110	Toxicologist (part time) .....	150
10	1	L502	Autopsy Surgeon .....	325
11	1	L502	Autopsy Surgeon .....	100
12	3	N4	Coroner's Investigator .....	215
13	1	N8	Coroner's Chief Investigator .....	250
14	1	N10	Coroner .....	666.66
15	3	O8	Morgue Ambulance Driver .....	200
16	1	O8	Morgue Ambulance Driver .....	175

### Section 64. HORTICULTURAL INSPECTION DEPARTMENT— AGRICULTURAL COMMISSION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	\$ 150
2	4	N154	Horticultural Inspector .....	175
3	1	N155	Senior Horticultural Inspector .....	200
4	1	N156	County Agricultural Commissioner ....	400



**Section 65. SEALER OF WEIGHTS AND MEASURES**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	\$ 225
2	1	N356	Senior Inspector of Weights and Measures .....	235
3	2	N354	Inspector of Weights and Measures....	225
4	2	N354	Inspector of Weights and Measures....	175
5	1	N358	Sealer of Weights and Measures.....	325

**Section 66. PUBLIC WELFARE DEPARTMENT****INDIGENT RELIEF DIVISION**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	\$ 175
2	1	B210	Office Assistant .....	85
3	5	B222	General Clerks .....	150
4	1	B239	Statistician .....	180
5	16	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	150
6	18	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	150
7	1	L360	Physician .....	150
8	32	T152	Junior Social Service Investigator....	150
9	1	T158	Supervisor of Inquiries .....	180
10	3	T161	Case Supervisors .....	225
11	1	T163	Director of Public Welfare.....	500
12	1	T166	Director of Indigent Relief .....	250
13	1	T218	Supervisor Single Men's Registry .....	180

**Section 66½. COUNTY WELFARE DIVISION**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	2	B222	General Clerks .....	\$ 150
2	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	200
3	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	185
4	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	160
6	14	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	150
7	1	B510	Braille Typist .....	150
8	6	T152	Junior Social Service Investigator....	165
9	1	T152	Junior Social Service Investigator....	155
10	7	T156	Social Service Investigator .....	180
11	21	T156	Social Service Investigator .....	150
12	1	T160	Senior Social Service Investigator....	215
13	1	T162	Director of County Welfare Bureau....	300

**Section 67. CONTROLLER.**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Controller .....	\$ 833.33
3	6	B4	Bookkeeper .....	185
4	4	B4	Bookkeeper .....	180
5	8	B4	Bookkeeper .....	175
6	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	225
7	3	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	210
8	4	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	200
9	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	190
10	1	B7	Asst. Supervisor of Disbursements ....	240
10½	1	B7	Asst. Supervisor of Disbursements ....	225

## Section 67. CONTROLLER (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
11	1	B8	Supervisor of Disbursements.....\$	275
12	1	B10	Accountant .....	225
13	1	B14	Senior Accountant .....	325
14	2	B14	Senior Accountant .....	285
15	1	B21	Chief Assistant Controller .....	625
16	1	B26	Supervisor of Budget Statistics .....	250
17	1	B28	Supervisor of General Audits .....	400
18	1	B30	Supervisor of Utilities Audits .....	400
19	1	B55	Supervisor of Pay Rolls .....	325
20	2	B210	Office Assistant (part time) .....	79.50
21	3	B222	General Clerk .....	200
22	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
23	2	B222	General Clerk .....	185
24	3	B222	General Clerk .....	175
25	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	250
26	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	200
27	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	210
28	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	300
29	2	B234	Head Clerk .....	225
30	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	240
30½	1	B237	Tax Redemption Clerk .....	200
31	1	B301	Pay Roll Machine Operator .....	190
32	3	B301	Pay Roll Machine Operator .....	175
33	3	B301	Pay Roll Machine Operator .....	165
34	2	B302	Addressing Machine Operator .....	155
35	1	B310b	Tabulating Numerical Key Punch Operator .....	175
36	1	B310b	Tabulating Numerical Key Punch Operator .....	155
37	1	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator .....	175
38	3	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator .....	165
39	1	B312	Senior Bookkeeping Machine Operator..	185
40	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
41	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
42	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
43	1	B417	Executive Secretary to the Controller..	250
44	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator .....	155
44½	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator (part time) .....	75
45	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
45½	3	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	155
46	1	K6	Senior Attorney—Civil .....	400
47			Seasonal, Clerical and other Temporary Services (as needed) at rates not in excess of Salary Standardization Schedules.	
			Field Bookkeepers or Accountants (Construction Work outside S. F.) (as needed) at rates fixed in Salary Standardization Report.	

## Section 67a. CONTROLLER (Continued)

## INTERDEPARTMENTAL EMPLOYMENTS AS REQUIRED

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	10	B4	Bookkeeper .....	\$ 175
2	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	190



## Section 68. CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	5		Commissioners, \$15 per meeting.....	
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time).\$	75
3	1	B420	Phonographic Reporter (as needed), \$12.50 per meeting .....	
4	1	F158	City Planning Engineer and Secretary..	350
5	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	200
6	1	F255	City Planning Draftsman (as needed)..	200

## Section 69. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—GENERAL OFFICE

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	5		Commissioners .....	\$ 100
2	1		Manager of Utilities .....	1,000
3	1	B22	Asst. Supervisor, Bureau of Accounts, Utilities Commission .....	410
4	1	B60	Secretary, Public Utilities Commission..	300
5	1	B53	Director of Public Relations .....	400
6	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
7	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
8	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	165
9	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
10	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
11	1	G106	Claims Adjuster .....	350
11½	1	L360	Physician (part time) .....	250
12	1	O1	Chauffeur .....	187.50
13	1	S110	Inspector, Municipal Railway .....	180
14	1	S110	Inspector, Municipal Railway .....	200

## Section 70. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO AIRPORT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	\$ 155
2	3	C104	Janitor .....	125
3	1	F50	Maintenance Chief .....	155
4	4	F51	Airport Attendant .....	150
6	3	F52	Crew Chief, Airport .....	160
7	4	F53	Control Tower Operator, Airport .....	165
7½	2	F53½	Junior Control Tower Operator.....	145
8	1	F54	Meteorologist .....	200
9	1	F60	Assistant Superintendent .....	225
10	1	F62	Superintendent .....	500
11			Seasonal, Clerical and other temporary Services as needed at rates not in ex- cess of Salary Standardization Sched- ules.	

# Section 71. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION— ENGINEERING

These positions are paid from appropriations for temporary or inter-departmental services. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3	A106	Building Inspector .....	\$ 225
2	1	B10	Accountant .....	275
3	1	B210	Office Assistant .....	85
4	1	B246	Map Clerk .....	155
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
6	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
7	4	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
8	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	160
9	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	155
10	1	F102	Architectural Draftsman .....	200
11	1	F104	Architectural Estimator .....	250
12	1	F106	Architectural Designer .....	250
13	1	F108	Architect .....	300
14	1	F202	Inspector, Public Works Construction..	200
15	6	F204	Civil Engineering Inspector .....	225
16	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector....	250
17	3	F214	Construction Engineer .....	300
18	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman ...	160
19	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman .....	250
20	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman .....	240
21	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman .....	225
22	6	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman .....	200
23	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman....	275
24	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman....	240
25	3	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman....	225
26	1	F260	Civil Engineering Designer .....	250
27	1	F320	Senior Civil Engineer .....	550
28	1	F351	Junior Electrical Engineer .....	160
29	2	F352	Electrical Engineering Draftsman .....	200
30	2	F354	Electrical Engineering Designer .....	250
31	2	F356	Electrical Engineering Inspector .....	235
32	3	F356	Electrical Engineering Inspector .....	225
33	1	F362	Electrical Engineer .....	350
34	2	F362	Electrical Engineer .....	300
35		F370	Chief Electrical Engineer .....	650
36	1	F372	Manager and Chief Engineer, Electric Power Bureau .....	833.33
37	1	F401	Junior Hydraulic Engineer .....	160
38	4	F404	Hydraulic Engineering Designer .....	265
39	1	F404	Hydraulic Engineering Designer .....	250
40	1	F406	Assistant Hydraulic Engineer .....	330
41	1	F408	Hydraulic Engineer .....	400
42	2	F452	Mechanical Draftsman .....	200
43	1	F454	Mechanical Engineering Designer .....	290
44	1	F454	Mechanical Engineering Designer .....	250
45	1	F456	Designer Street Railway Equipment....	290
46	1	F462	Mechanical Engineer .....	300
47	1	F518	Office Engineer .....	350
48	1	F518	Office Engineer .....	300
49	1	F552	Structural Draftsman .....	225
50	1	F554	Structural Engineering Designer .....	275
51	1	F556	Structural Engineering Inspector .....	250



### Section 71. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION— ENGINEERING (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
52	1	F605	Surveyor's Field Assistant .....	\$ 175
53	1	M256	Mechanical Inspector .....	225
54	1	N102	Light-Water Complaint Investigator ...	200
55	1	O1	Chauffeur .....	170
56	1	O1	Chauffeur .....	225
57	1	O152	Engineer, Hoisting and Portable Engines, \$11.40 per day .....	

#### CLASSIFICATIONS AS NEEDED

58		F12	Consulting Engineer, Utilities .....	650
59		F102	Architectural Draftsman .....	200
60		F104	Architectural Estimator .....	250
61		F106	Architectural Designer .....	250
62		F108	Architect .....	300
63		F202	Inspector Public Works Construction...	200
64		F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	160
65		F256	Cartographer and Art Designer .....	210
66		F260	Civil Engineering Designer .....	250
67		F351	Junior Electrical Engineer .....	160
68		F352	Electrical Engineering Draftsman .....	200
69		F354	Electrical Engineering Designer .....	250
70		F460	Assistant Mechanical Engineer .....	250
71		F558	Structural Engineer .....	250
72		F610	Surveyor .....	250
73		M256	Mechanical Inspector .....	225
74		O1	Chauffeur .....	170
75			Seasonal Clerical Service (as needed) ..	150
76			Street Lighting Foreman .....	200
77		E112	Electrical Foreman .....	225
78			Street Lighting Man .....	175
79		E108	Electrician at \$9 per day .....	
80		E154	Lineman at \$7.40 per day .....	
81		E156	Cable Splicer at \$9.50 per day .....	
82		A154	Carpenter at \$9 per day .....	
83		A204	Cement Finisher at \$9 per day .....	
84		J4	Laborer at \$6 per day .....	

### Section 72. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION— MUNICIPAL RAILWAY

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	5	A154	Carpenter, \$9 per day .....	
2	9	A364	Car and Auto Painter, \$9 per day .....	
3	1	A370	General Foreman Car and Auto Paint Shop .....	\$ 250
4	1	B10	Accountant .....	225
5	1	B14	Senior Accountant .....	275
6	1	B222	General Clerk .....	200
7	2	B222	General Clerk .....	175
8	1	B222	General Clerk .....	165
9	3	B222	General Clerk .....	155
9½	1	B222	General Clerk .....	150
10	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	240
11	2	B308	Comptometer Operator .....	155
12	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	250
13	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
14	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
15	2	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150

Section 72. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
MUNICIPAL RAILWAY (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
16	2	C52	Elevator Operator .....	\$ 145
17	1	C52	Elevator Operator (relief) .....	145
18	23	C104	Janitor .....	160
19	2	C104	Janitor .....	155
20	11	C104	Janitor .....	145
21	3	C104	Janitor, \$5.80 per day .....	
22	1	C104	Janitor .....	135
23	2	C107	Working Foreman Janitor, \$6.30 per day .....	
24	1	E106	Armature Winder, \$9 per day .....	
25	1	E108	Electrician, \$9 per day .....	
26	6	E154	Lineman, \$7.40 per day .....	
27	1	E160	Foreman Lineman .....	215
28	1	F216	Maintenance of Way Engineer .....	300
29	4	J4	Laborer, \$6 per day .....	
30	4	J66	Garageman, \$6.80 per day .....	
30½	1	J66	Garageman, \$6.50 per day .....	
31	46	J152	Trackman, \$6 per day .....	
32	2	J160	Track Welder, \$6.30 per day .....	
32½	2	J156	Switch Repairer, \$6 per day .....	
33	2	J162	Car Repairer Welder, \$7.50 per day ....	
34	3	J166	Track Foreman, \$6.30 per day .....	
35	1	J168	Supervisor of Maintenance of Way ....	250
36	1	M5	Asst. Supt's Equipment and Overhead Lines .....	300
37	1	M6	Sup't Equipment and Overhead Lines..	350
38	3	M54	Auto Machinist, \$9 per day .....	
39	1	M56	Garage Foreman, Municipal Railway...	250
40	2	M104	Blacksmith Helper, \$8 per day .....	
41	2	M108	Blacksmith, \$9 per day .....	
42	49	M202	Car Repairer, \$6.80 per day .....	
43	5	M206	Sub-Foreman Car Repairer, \$7.30 per day .....	
44	2	M208	Foreman Car Repairer, \$7.80 per day...	
45	6	M254	Machinist, \$9 per day .....	
46	1	O1	Chauffeur, \$8 per day .....	
47	1	S10	Manager Municipal Railway .....	700
48	1	S60	Instructor Municipal Railway .....	240
49	427	S102	Conductor, 75c per hour .....	
50	420	S104	Motorman, 75c per hour .....	
51	50	S106	Bus Operator, 80c per hour .....	
52	4	S110	Inspector Municipal Railway .....	175
52½	1	S110	Inspector Municipal Railway .....	165
53	8	S110	Inspector Municipal Railway .....	170
54	12	S110	Inspector Municipal Railway .....	200
55	5	S110	Inspector Municipal Railway .....	180
56	2	S120	Day Dispatcher .....	210
57	1	S124	Supervisor of Schedules .....	210
58	2	S128	Division Superintendent Municipal Ry..	275
59	1	S130	Assistant Superintendent of Transportation, Municipal Railway .....	300
60	1	S132	Superintendent of Transportation, Municipal Railway .....	350
61	1	U108	Compressor Operator, portable, \$6.30 per day .....	
62	1	R103	Supervisor of Music (part time).....	50
63			Seasonal, Clerical and other temporary Services as needed at rates not in excess of Salary Standardization Schedules.	



# Section 73. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT

## 1. EXECUTIVE

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
2	1	U44	Manager, Water Department .....	833.33

## 2. ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL

3	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	175
4	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	275
5	3	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	190
6	1	B14	Senior Accountant .....	400
7	1	B24	Auditor .....	700
8	1	B109	Cashier .....	325
9	1	B210	Office Assistant .....	85
10	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	180
11	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
12	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	160
13	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155

## 3. WATER PURIFICATION DIVISION

14	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
15	1	F524	Water Purification Engineer .....	200
16	1	F524	Water Purification Engineer .....	180
17	3	F524	Water Purification Engineer .....	175
18	1	F526	Chief Water Purification Engineer....	350
19	1	U215	Head Pump Operator (deduct room)..	160

## 4. ENGINEERING

20	1	B222	General Clerk .....	175
21	1	F2	Assistant Engineer—Water Service ....	500
22	1	F408	Hydraulic Engineer .....	375

## 5. HOUSE SERVICE

23	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	165
24	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
25	1	C52	Elevator Operator .....	160
26	4	C104	Janitor .....	155
27	1	C107	Working Foreman Janitor .....	175
28	1	I122	House Mother (part time).....	75

## 6. AGRICULTURAL DIVISION

29	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	165
30	1	V30	Assistant Superintendent .....	200
31	1	V40	Superintendent .....	600

## 7. WATER SALES DIVISION

32	1	B210	Office Assistant .....	85
33	1	B210	Office Assistant .....	95
34	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	200
35	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	250
36	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	160
37	1	N420	Consumers Complaint Investigator ....	225
38	1	U80	Assistant Manager .....	375
39	1	U88	Manager .....	475

**Section 73. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued)**

**8. SERVICE AND SUPPLY**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
40	3	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 175
40½	1	B222	General Clerk .....	155
41	1	U61	Supervisor Service and Supply .....	225
42	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	180
43	6	U122	Shut-off Man .....	175
43½	1	U122	Shut-off Man .....	160
44	1	U123	Service Inspector .....	185
45	1	U124	Special Complaint Inspector .....	200
46	1	U126	Meter Inspector .....	175
47	1	U132	Contractor's and Builder's Inspector....	225

**Section 74. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued)**

**9. CONSUMERS' PREMISES**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	4	U126	Meter Inspector .....	\$ 175
1½	1	U126	Meter Inspector .....	160
2	1	U128	Chief Meter Inspector .....	215

**10. WATER SALES DIVISION—  
METER READING**

3	7	B247	Meter Reader .....	175
4	8	B247	Meter Reader .....	155

**11. CONSUMERS' ACCOUNTS**

6	4	B222	General Clerk .....	175
7	1	B222	General Clerk .....	165
8	1	B222	General Clerk .....	160
9	9	B222	General Clerk .....	155
10	5	B222	General Clerk (part time) .....	75
11	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	215
12	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	200
13	3	B228	Senior Clerk .....	180
14	1	B302	Addressing Machine Operator .....	160
15	1	B302	Addressing Machine Operator .....	155
16	12	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator .....	175
17	5	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	155
18	1	U56	Assistant Supervisor — Consumers' Accounts .....	290
19	1	U60	Supervisor Consumers' Accounts .....	350
20	1	U62	Supervisor of Closing Bills .....	225
21	1	U63	Chief Adjuster .....	225

**12. WATER SALES DIVISION—  
COLLECTIONS**

22	1	B222	General Clerk .....	200
23	1	B222	General Clerk .....	180
24	13	B222	General Clerk .....	175
25	6	B222	General Clerk .....	165
26	1	B222	General Clerk .....	160
27	11	B222	General Clerk .....	155



# Section 74. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued)

## 12. WATER SALES DIVISION— COLLECTIONS (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
28	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	\$ 200
29	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	250
30	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	165
31	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
32	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	155
33	1	U52	Supervisor of Collections .....	300

## 13. DOCKS AND SHIPPING

34	1	B222	General Clerk .....	175
35	1	U51	Supervisor Docks and Shipping .....	275
36	1	U125	Hoseman—Ships and Docks .....	190
37	1	U125	Hoseman—Ships and Docks .....	160

## 14. CITY DISTRIBUTION DIVISION— GENERAL

38	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	180
39	1	B356	Senior Storekeeper .....	265
40	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	160
41	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	155
42	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	165
43	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	175
44	1	O58	Gardener .....	145
45	2	O58	Gardener .....	135
45½	1	O60	Head Gardener .....	150
46	1	U130	Reservoir Keeper .....	165
47	1	U130	Reservoir Keeper (deduct for house)...	165
48	2	U130	Reservoir Keeper (deduct for house)...	160
49	1	U142	Assistant Superintendent .....	350
50	1	U144	Superintendent .....	500

## 15. PUMPS

51	1	O166	Fireman Stationary Steam Engines....	165
52	3	O166	Fireman Stationary Steam Engines....	175
53	4	O166	Fireman Stationary Steam Engines....	185
54	4	O168	Engineer Stationary Steam Engines....	220
55	1	O170	Assistant Chief Engineer—Stationary Steam Engines .....	235

## 16. PUMPS—PENINSULA DIVISION

56	1	O166	Fireman Stationary Steam Engines (deduct for house) .....	185
57	1	O166	Fireman Stationary Steam Engines....	185
58	1	O172	Chief Engineer Stationary Steam Engines .....	265
59	4	U214	Pump Operator .....	165
60	1	U214	Pump Operator (deduct for house) ....	165
61	1	U215	Head Pump Operator .....	200
62	1	U215	Head Pump Operator (deduct for house)	200

**Section 75. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued)**

**17. MILLBRAE STATION**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	\$ 200
2	1	B354	General Storekeeper .....	150
3	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
4	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
5	1	C104	Janitress (part time) (less room and board) .....	45.50
6	1	C152	Watchman .....	150
7	1	C152	Watchman .....	145
8	1	I12	Cook (less house) .....	130
9	1	U228	Country Meterman .....	175
11	1	U236	Assistant Superintendent—Peninsula Division (deduct for house) .....	300
12	1	U246	Superintendent — Peninsula Division (deduct for house) .....	466.66

**18. PENINSULA DIVISION—RESERVOIRS**

13	1	I60	Housekeeper (part time) .....	35
14	2	I60	Housekeeper (part time) .....	20
15	4	U130	Reservoir Keeper (deduct for house)...	160
16	2	U212	Ranger (deduct for house) .....	145
17	1	U212	Ranger .....	135

**19. ALAMEDA SYSTEM**

18	1	B222	General Clerk .....	165
19	1	C152	Watchman .....	150
20	1	U130	Reservoir Keeper (deduct for house)...	160
21	1	U212	Ranger .....	145
22	1	U212	Ranger (deduct for house).....	145
23	1	U214	Pump Operator (part time).....	50
24	1	U215	Head Pump Operator (deduct for house)	200
25	1	U231	Assistant Superintendent (deduct for house) ..	225
26	1	U232	Superintendent (deduct for house) ....	300

**20. CITY DISTRIBUTION DIVISION**

27	8	U120	Gateman .....	7.75
28	3	J4	Laborer .....	6

**21. PENINSULA DIVISION—  
MILLBRAE STATION**

29	1	B454	Telephone Operator (part time)....day	4
30	1	O58	Gardener .....	6
31	2	U206	Water Department Worker .....	6
32	1	U214	Pump Operator .....	6.25

**22. AGRICULTURAL DIVISION**

33	3	J4	Laborer ..	6
34	1	V8	Sub-Foreman Agriculture .....	6.50

**23. ALAMEDA DIVISION**

35	1	O58	Gardener .....	6
----	---	-----	----------------	---

**24. GENERAL AND MISCELLANEOUS**

36	1	C104	Janitor .....	6
37			Teams and Trucks at rates fixed in Purchaser's Contracts .....	

Seasonal clerical and other temporary services as needed at rates not in excess of salary standardization schedules.



# Section 76. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT

## (FUNCTIONAL EMPLOYMENTS AS NEEDED)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Departmental Title	Per Day	Per Month
1	3	A154	Carpenter .....	\$9.00	
2	1	A354	Painter .....	9.75	
3	1	A404	Plumber .....		\$225
4	1	A551	Apprentice .....	7.00	
5	1	B315	Photographer—Water Service .....		200
6	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....		155
7	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....		175
8	1	E154	Lineman .....		200
9	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector.		250
10	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman		160
11	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman		175
12	2	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman .....		225
13	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman		250
14	2	F401	Junior Hydraulic Engineer .....		160
16	1	F406	Assistant Hydraulic Engineer .....		250
17	62	J4	Laborer .....	6.00	
18	1	J66	Garageman .....	6.50	
19	6	M54	Auto Machinist .....	9.00	
20	4	M254	Machinist .....	9.00	
21	1	M266	Foreman Meter Repairs .....		200
22	1	M268	Foreman Machinist .....		285
23	2	O1	Chauffeur .....	6.50	
24	1	O1	Chauffeur .....	7.50	
25	1	O116	Teamster .....	6.50	
26	2	U104	Leadman .....	6.00	
27	3	U108	Compressor Operator .....	7.50	
28	13	U112	Pipe Caulker .....	7.50	
29	5	U114	Main Pipe Foreman .....	7.75	
30	15	U116	Service Man .....	7.50	
31	1	U116	Service Man .....	7.75	
32	1	U136	General Foreman Service Meters..		300
33	1	U140	General Foreman Main Pipes.....		325
34	10	U206	Water Department Worker .....	6.00	
35	2	U214	Pump Operator .....	6.00	
36	1	U226	General Maintenance Foreman....		200
37	1	U227	General Maintenance Foreman (Less House) .....		210
38	3	U230	Maintenance Foreman .....		200
39	1	F202	Inspector of Public Works Construction .....		175
40	1	F202	Inspector of Public Works Construction .....		200

# Section 77. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

## INTERDEPARTMENTAL SERVICES—FOR CONSTRUCTION AND OTHER ACTIVITIES

(The rates of pay herein specified are maximum rates. Lower rates may be paid.)

Item No.	Class No.	Departmental Title	Per Hour	Per Day	Per Month
1		Asst. Hydraulic Engineer...			\$325.00
2		Asst. Bacteriologist .....	.50		
3		Asst. Biologist .....	.50		
4		Asst. Elec. Eng. ....			300.00
5		Asst. Mechanical Engineer.			300.00
6		Auto Mechanic .....		8.73	

## Section 77. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION (Continued)

INTERDEPARTMENTAL SERVICES—FOR CONSTRUCTION  
AND OTHER ACTIVITIES (Continued)

Item No.	Class No.	Departmental Title	Per Hour	Per Day	Per Month
7		Blacksmith . . . . .	1.091		
8		Blacksmith Helper . . . . .	.818		
9		Bacteriologist (part time) . . . . .			\$ 75.00
10		Biologist (part time) . . . . .			75.00
11		Boilermaker . . . . .	1.091		
12		Boilermaker Helper . . . . .	.75		
13		Brakeman . . . . .		\$ 6.00	
14		Bookkeeper . . . . .			175.00
15		Construction Supt. . . . .			300.00
16		Construction Foreman . . . . .			200.00
17		Clerical Service . . . . .	.50		
18		Clerical Service . . . . .		5.00	
19		Clerk (Experienced) . . . . .			337.50
19½		Cable Splicer . . . . .		9.50	
20		Carpenter . . . . .		9.00	
21		Carpenter Foreman . . . . .		10.00	
22		Cement Finisher . . . . .		8.00	
23		Cement Gun Operator . . . . .		7.00	
24		Clerk, General . . . . .			155.00
25		Cook . . . . .			212.50
26		Cook Helper . . . . .			137.50
27		Compressorman . . . . .		7.67	
28		Construction Engineer . . . . .			600.00
29		Concrete Man . . . . .		5.00	
30		Concrete Foreman . . . . .		8.00	
31		Chainman . . . . .			140.00
32		Chucktender . . . . .		5.25	
32½		Comptometer Operator . . . . .			150.00
33		Draftsman . . . . .			250.00
34		Detectorman . . . . .			212.50
35		Dishwasher . . . . .			112.50
36		Designer . . . . .			275.00
37		Driver . . . . .	.90		
38		Driver . . . . .	.95		
39		Driver . . . . .	1.00		
40		Driver . . . . .	1.10		
41		Driver . . . . .	1.15		
42		Driver . . . . .	1.25		
43		Driver . . . . .	1.35		
44		Driver . . . . .		5.50	
45		Driver . . . . .		6.00	
46		Driver . . . . .		6.50	
47		Driver . . . . .		7.00	
48		Driver . . . . .		7.50	
49		Driver . . . . .		8.00	
50		Driver . . . . .		9.20	
51		Driver . . . . .		10.00	
52		Driver (Tractor) . . . . .		8.00	
53		Diver . . . . . Per Dive 25.00			
54		Estimator . . . . .			175.00
55		Electrician . . . . .		8.73	
56		Engineer Mechanical . . . . .			350.00
57		Engineer Asst. . . . .			287.50
58		Electrician . . . . .		9.00	
59		Engineer (Asst. Const.) . . . . .			375.00
60	B327	Photostat Operator . . . . .			165.00
61	F102	Architectural Draftsman . . . . .			200.00



## Section 77. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION (Continued)

INTERDEPARTMENTAL SERVICES—FOR CONSTRUCTION  
AND OTHER ACTIVITIES (Continued)

Item No.	Class No.	Departmental Title	Per Hour	Per Day	Per Month
62	F106	Architectural Designer . . . .			\$250.00
63	F108	Architect . . . . .			375.00
63½	F108	Architect . . . . .			300.00
64	F260	Civil Engineering Designer			250.00
65	F352	Electrical Engineering Draftsman . . . . .			200.00
66	F354	Electrical Engineering Designer . . . . .			250.00
67	F356	Electrical Engineering Inspector . . . . .			225.00
68	F362	Electrical Engineer . . . . .			300.00
69	F404	Hydraulic Engineering Designer . . . . .			250.00
70	F452	Mechanical Draftsman . . . .			200.00
71	F454	Mechanical Engineering Designer . . . . .			250.00
72	F552	Structural Draftsman . . . . .			200.00
73	F554	Structural Engineering Designer . . . . .			250.00
74	F556	Structural Engineering Inspector . . . . .			250.00
75	F558	Structural Engineer . . . . .			250.00
76	F614	Assistant Chief Surveyor . . .			275.00
77	F616	Chief Surveyor . . . . .			325.00

## Section 78. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION (Continued)

INTERDEPARTMENTAL SERVICES—FOR CONSTRUCTION  
AND OTHER ACTIVITIES (Continued)

(The rates of pay herein specified are maximum rates. Lower rates may be paid.)

Item No.	Class No.	Departmental Title	Per Hour	Per Day	Per Month
78		Foreman . . . . .			\$250.00
79		Foreman . . . . .		\$6.00	
80		Foreman . . . . .		6.25	
81		Foreman . . . . .		6.50	
82		Foreman . . . . .		7.00	
83		Foreman . . . . .		7.50	
84		Foreman . . . . .		8.00	
85		Foreman . . . . .		10.00	
86		Foreman, General . . . . .			300.00
87		Field Asst. . . . .			225.00
88		Fire Boss . . . . .			212.50
89		Form Man . . . . .		5.25	
90		Grout Gunman . . . . .		6.00	
91		Gunite Helper . . . . .		5.00	
92		Gunite Mixerman . . . . .		5.50	
93		Gate Tender . . . . .		5.75	
94		Graderman . . . . .		6.50	
95		Groundman . . . . .		6.00	
96		Hoistman . . . . .		9.00	
97		Hodcarrier . . . . .		9.00	
98		Hostler . . . . .		6.50	
99		Housesmith . . . . .		9.00	
100		Housesmith Foreman . . . . .		10.00	
101		Inspector . . . . .			250.00

## Section 78. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION (Continued)

INTERDEPARTMENTAL SERVICES—FOR CONSTRUCTION  
AND OTHER ACTIVITIES (Continued)

Item No.	Class No.	Departmental Title	Per Hour	Per Day	Per Month
102		Inspector, Engineer .....			\$250.00
103		Inspector, Chief .....			275.00
104		Janitress .....			100.00
105		Janitor .....			107.50
106		Jackhammerman .....		\$ 5.00	
107		Kitchen Helper .....			117.50
108		Laborer .....		4.00	
109		Laborer .....		4.25	
110		Laborer .....		4.50	
111		Laborer .....		4.75	
112		Laborer .....		5.00	
113		Laborer .....		5.50	
114		Laborer .....		6.00	
115		Lineman .....		8.73	
116		Lampman .....			150.00
117		Lineman Helper .....		7.73	
118		Mechanic, Camp .....			225.00
119		Machinist .....		8.73	
120		Machinist .....	1.091		
121		Machinist Helper .....	.818		
122		Machineman .....		5.75	
123		Master Mechanic .....			453.50
124		Mixerman .....		5.50	
125		Motorman .....		5.75	
126		Motorman (Gas) .....		7.67	
127		Mucker .....		4.50	
128		Miner .....		6.00	
129		Nozzleman .....		6.50	
130		Nurse .....			162.50
131		Nipper .....		5.25	
132		Pipe Joint Inspector .....		6.50	
133		Plasterer .....		12.00	
134		Painter .....		9.00	
135		Plumber .....		9.00	
136		Physician .....			337.50
137		Porter .....		4.50	
138		Powderman .....		5.75	
139		Pumpman .....		5.00	
140		Rigger .....		7.00	
141		Rescueman .....	.75		
142		Safety Man .....			250.00
143		Steelworker .....		9.00	
144		Surveyor .....			200.00
145		Sanitary Engineer (part time) .....			75.00
146		Steam Shovel Engineer ....		10.00	
147		Steam Shovel Fireman ....		7.00	
148		Steam Shovel Oiler .....		6.00	
149		Steam Shovel Watchman....		7.00	
150		Superintendent .....			500.00
151		Steamfitter .....		10.00	
152		Skip tender .....		5.75	
153		Sub Foreman .....		6.50	
154		Special Agent .....			225.00
155		Stenographer .....			155.00
156		Storekeeper .....			240.00
157		Tunnel Supt. ....			250.00
158		Tractor Driver .....		7.50	



## Section 78. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION (Continued)

INTERDEPARTMENTAL SERVICES—FOR CONSTRUCTION  
AND OTHER ACTIVITIES (Continued)

Item No.	Class No.	Departmental Title	Per Hour	Per Day	Per Month
159		Tool Sharpener .....		\$ 6.50	
160		Tool Sharpener Helper ....		5.25	
161		Tunnel Supt. Asst. ....			\$240.00
162		Templatemanager .....		5.25	
163		Typist .....			155.00
164		Timekeeper .....			175.00
165		Waiter .....			112.50
166		Water Pipe Welder .....		7.50	
167		Welder .....	1.091		
168		Welder Helper .....	.75		
169		Watchman .....			150.00
170		Waterboy .....		3.50	

Trucks and teams at rates established by Purchasers Contracts.

Subject to prior approval of the Civil Service Commission, other classifications as needed for emergency work at rates not to exceed that prevailing for the particular classification.

Section 79. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
HETCH HETCHY POWER OPERATIVE

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	\$ 215
2	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
3	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	155
4	1	C104	Janitor .....	130
5	1	C104	Janitor (part time) .....	60
6	1	E107	Power House Electrician .....	210
7	1	E120	Governorman .....	150
8	3	E120	Governorman .....	145
9	3	E120	Governorman .....	140
10	1	E122	Power House Operator .....	190
11	4	E122	Power House Operator .....	185
12	3	E122	Power House Operator .....	165
13	3	E122	Power House Operator .....	150
14	1	E128	Superintendent Power House .....	260
15	1	E128	Superintendent Power House .....	210
17	1	E151	Transmission Line Patrolman's Helper .....	137.50
18	2	E152	Transmission Line Patrolman .....	210
19	1	E164	Foreman Lineman, Power Operative...	250
19 $\frac{1}{4}$	1	F351	Junior Electrical Engineer .....	160
19 $\frac{1}{2}$	1	F362	Electrical Engineer .....	400
20	1	I2	Kitchen Helper .....	112.50
21	1	I12	Cook .....	167.50
22	1	I60	Housekeeper .....	117.50
23	1	J4	Laborer .....	150
24	1	J4	Laborer .....	135
27	1	M254	Machinist .....	210
27 $\frac{1}{2}$	1	O16	Truck Driver Laborer .....	137.50
28	1	O58	Gardener .....	175

**Section 80. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
HETCH HETCHY WATER SUPPLY**

**INTRA- AND INTERDEPARTMENTAL EMPLOY-  
MENTS AS NEEDED**

Item No.	Class No.	Departmental Title	Per Day	Per Month
1	A154	Carpenter . . . . .	\$ 9.00	
2	A164	Carpenter-Foreman . . . . .	10.00	
3	A172	Repair Foreman . . . . .		\$300
4	A204	Cement Finisher . . . . .	9.00	
5	A354	Painter . . . . .		210
6	A354	Painter . . . . .	9.00	
7	A404	Plumber . . . . .	10.00	
8	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .		175
9	B352	Storekeeper . . . . .		150
10	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .		150
11	E150	Lineman Helper . . . . .	7.73	
12	E154	Lineman . . . . .	8.73	
13	E156	Cable Splicer . . . . .	9.50	
14	F212	Assistant Engineer . . . . .		250
15	F605	Surveyor's Field Assistant . . . . .		187.50
16	F605	Surveyor's Field Assistant . . . . .		175
17	J4	Laborer . . . . .	6.00	
18	J4	Laborer . . . . .	5.50	
19	J12	Labor Foreman, Utilities . . . . .		210
20	J12	Labor Foreman, Utilities . . . . .		200
21	M54	Auto Machinist . . . . .	8.73	
22	M55	Sub-Foreman Auto Machinist . . . . .		210
23	M108	Blacksmith . . . . .		190
24	M108	Blacksmith . . . . .	9.00	
25	O16	Truck Driver-Laborer . . . . .	8.00	
26	O16	Truck Driver-Laborer . . . . .	7.50	
27	O16	Truck Driver-Laborer . . . . .	7.00	
28	O16	Truck Driver-Laborer . . . . .	6.50	
29	O16	Truck Driver-Laborer . . . . .	6.00	
31	U226	General Maintenance Foreman . . . . .		200

**Section 81. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
HETCH HETCHY WATER SUPPLY**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	2	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	\$ 175
2	1	B14	Senior Accountant . . . . .	275
3	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	160
4	1	C152	Watchman . . . . .	125
5	1	F8	Utilities Engineer . . . . .	700
6	1	F212	Asst. Engineer, Power Operative Division . . . . .	237.50
7	1	O1	Chauffeur . . . . .	225
8	2	U130	Reservoir Keeper . . . . .	160
9	1	U130	Reservoir Keeper . . . . .	150
10	1	U130	Reservoir Keeper . . . . .	140
11	1	U130	Reservoir Keeper . . . . .	145
12	1	U130	Reservoir Keeper . . . . .	125
13	1	U206	Water Department Worker . . . . .	135
14	1	U206	Water Department Worker . . . . .	125



Section 82. **BOARD OF EDUCATION—  
CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES, 1938-1939**

Rates of pay and positions herein established for employees of the Board of Education are fixed by action of the said Board and are included solely for the convenience of the Controller and the Civil Service Commission for checking payrolls.

Item No.	Department Title	Per Annum
1	Superintendent of Schools.....	\$ 10,000
2	Chief Deputy Superintendent.....	6,000
3	Deputy Superintendents .....	5,400
4	Assistant to Superintendent.....	3,000
5	Director of Educational Functions for G. G. I. Exposition.....	4,800
6	Directors "A" 278 days' service.....	4,800
7	Directors "B" 243 days' service.....	4,500
8	Assts. to Director of Physical Education.	3,300
9	Supervisors "AA" 278 days' service....	4,250
10	Supervisors Class "A".....	3,900
11	Supervisors Class "B".....	3,300
12	Supervisors Class "C".....	3,036
13	Assistant Supervisor of Attendance....	1,800
14	Assistant Supervisor of Attendance....	1,932
15	Assistant Supervisor of Attendance....	2,016
16	Assistant Supervisor of Attendance....	2,100
17	Assistant Supervisor of Attendance....	2,280
18	Assistant Supervisor of Attendance....	2,364
19	Assistant Supervisor of Attendance....	2,448
20	Assistant Supervisor of Attendance....	2,544
21	Junior College President.....	7,000
22	Junior College Registrar and Director of Personnel .....	4,500
23	Junior College Dean of Men.....	4,200
24	Junior College Dean of Women.....	4,200
25	Junior College Assistant Dean of Men..	3,600
26	Junior College Assistant Dean of Women	3,600
27	Librarian .....	3,000
28	Assistant Registrar .....	3,000
29	Assistant to President .....	3,000
30	Principals .....	5,100
31	Principals .....	4,800
32	Principals .....	4,500
33	Principals .....	4,250
34	Principals .....	4,020
35	Principals .....	3,720
36	Principals .....	3,420
37	Principals .....	2,880
38	Principals — Evening Schools — (195 eves) .....	2,304
39	Principals — Evening Schools — (195 eves) .....	2,004
40	Principals — Evening Schools — (170 eves) .....	2,008.62
41	Principals — Evening Schools — (170 eves) .....	1,747.07
42	Principals — Evening Schools — (138 eves) .....	1,418.21
43	Vice-Principals .....	3,900
44	Vice-Principals .....	3,708
45	Vice-Principals .....	3,600
46	Vice-Principals .....	3,504
47	Vice-Principals .....	3,456

Section 82. BOARD OF EDUCATION—  
 CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES, 1938-1939  
 (Continued)

Item No.	Department Title	Per Annum
48	Vice-Principals . . . . .	\$ 3,408
49	Vice-Principals . . . . .	3,392
50	Vice-Principals . . . . .	3,308
51	Vice-Principals . . . . .	3,212
52	Vice-Principals . . . . .	3,204
53	Vice-Principals . . . . .	3,192
54	Vice-Principals . . . . .	3,108
55	Vice-Principals . . . . .	3,044
56	Vice-Principals . . . . .	3,036
57	Vice-Principals . . . . .	3,000
58	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,976
59	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,904
61	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,880
62	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,848
63	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,844
64	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,820
65	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,808
66	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,764
67	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,748
68	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,736
69	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,700
70	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,680
71	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,676
72	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,664
73	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,604
74	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,580
75	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,508
76	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,500
77	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,448
78	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,436
79	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,416
80	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,376
81	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,364
82	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,332
83	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,316
84	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,304
85	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,280
86	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,220
87	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,136
88	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,100
89	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,076
90	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,044
91	Vice-Principals . . . . .	1,980
92	Vice-Principals . . . . .	1,984
93	Vice-Principals . . . . .	1,920
94	Vice-Principals . . . . .	1,800
95	Vice-Principals . . . . .	1,740
96	Teachers . . . . .	3,600
97	Teachers . . . . .	3,588
98	Teachers . . . . .	3,504
99	Teachers . . . . .	3,500
100	Teachers . . . . .	3,408
101	Teachers . . . . .	3,400
102	Teachers . . . . .	3,300
103	Teachers . . . . .	3,384
104	Teachers . . . . .	3,288
105	Teachers . . . . .	3,204



Section 82. BOARD OF EDUCATION—  
 CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES, 1938-1939  
 (Continued)

Item No.	Department Title	Per Annum
106	Teachers . . . . .	\$ 3,200
107	Teachers . . . . .	3,108
108	Teachers . . . . .	3,100
109	Teachers . . . . .	3,084
110	Teachers . . . . .	3,072
111	Teachers . . . . .	3,000
112	Teachers . . . . .	2,988
113	Teachers . . . . .	2,976
114	Teachers . . . . .	2,904
115	Teachers . . . . .	2,900
116	Teachers . . . . .	2,892
117	Teachers . . . . .	2,880
118	Teachers . . . . .	2,808
119	Teachers . . . . .	2,800
120	Teachers . . . . .	2,784
121	Teachers . . . . .	2,724
122	Teachers . . . . .	2,712
123	Teachers . . . . .	2,700
124	Teachers . . . . .	2,628
125	Teachers . . . . .	2,604
126	Teachers . . . . .	2,600
127	Teachers . . . . .	2,580
128	Teachers . . . . .	2,544
129	Teachers . . . . .	2,508
130	Teachers . . . . .	2,500
131	Teachers . . . . .	2,484
132	Teachers . . . . .	2,460
133	Teachers . . . . .	2,448
134	Teachers . . . . .	2,436
135	Teachers . . . . .	2,400
136	Teachers . . . . .	2,388
137	Teachers . . . . .	2,364
138	Teachers . . . . .	2,304
139	Teachers . . . . .	2,280
140	Teachers . . . . .	2,208
141	Teachers . . . . .	2,196
142	Teachers . . . . .	2,184
143	Teachers . . . . .	2,136
144	Teachers . . . . .	2,112
145	Teachers . . . . .	2,100
146	Teachers . . . . .	2,080
147	Teachers . . . . .	2,064
148	Teachers . . . . .	2,016
149	Teachers . . . . .	2,004
150	Teachers . . . . .	1,980
151	Teachers . . . . .	1,932
152	Teachers . . . . .	1,836
153	Teachers . . . . .	1,800
154	Teachers . . . . .	1,744
155	Teachers . . . . .	1,680
156	Teachers . . . . .	1,500
		Eve.
157	Principals—Evening Schools . . . . .	11.8154
158	Principals—Evening Schools . . . . .	10.2769
159	Teachers—Evening Schools . . . . .	9.4154
160	Teachers—Evening Schools . . . . .	7.6923
161	Teachers—Evening Schools . . . . .	6.9487

Section 82. BOARD OF EDUCATION  
CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES, 1938-1939  
(Continued)

Item No.	Department Title	Eve.
162	Teachers—Evening Schools .....	6.4103
163	Teachers—Evening Schools .....	5.7949
164	Teachers not full time on pro-rata of above rates.	

SUBSTITUTES

165	Teachers at \$6.50 per day.
166	Teachers at \$5.00 per day.
167	Teachers at \$3.50 per eve.
168	Teachers at \$1.8055 per unit served.
169	Teachers at \$2.50 per hour.

SATURDAY MORNING CORRECTIVE WORK CLASSES

170	Teachers at \$4.50 per day.
171	Teachers at \$3.50 per day.
172	Teachers at \$1.50 per hour.
173	Teachers at \$2.50 per hour.
174	Laboratory Assistants as needed.
175	Yard Teachers at rate of \$120 in excess of rates above.
176	P. S. A. L. Teachers at rate of \$100 in excess of rates above.
177	P. S. A. L. Umpires and Referees, \$1.00 to \$3.00 per game as needed.
178	Junior College Unit Teachers \$100 per unit per annum. (\$2.7778 per unit per week.)
179	Principal Samuel Gompers Day School— 2/3 of \$4,500 .....\$ 3,000

Section 83. BOARD OF EDUCATION—  
NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES, 1938-1939

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
200	1	A6	Superintendent of Maintenance and Repairs .....	375
201	3	A154	Carpenter at \$10 per day .....	
202	1	A162	Foreman Carpenter at \$11 per day ....	
203	3	A354	Painter at \$9.75 per day .....	
204	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	235
205		B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	190
206	1	B9	Supervisor of Financial Reports, Board of Education .....	200
207	1	B14	Senior Accountant .....	375
208		B14	Senior Accountant .....	275
209	1	B58	Secretary Board of Education (exempt)	425
210	1	B180	Administrative Assistant .....	300
211	1	B210	Office Assistant .....	100
212	1	B210	Office Assistant .....	75
213	1	B222	General Clerk .....	155
214	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
215	1	B222	General Clerk .....	175
216	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	200
217	2	B308	Comptometer Operator .....	175
218	1	B308	Comptometer Operator .....	150
219		B308	Comptometer Operators as needed at \$5 per day .....	



Section 83. BOARD OF EDUCATION—  
NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES, 1938-1939  
(Continued)

Item	No. of No. Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
220	1	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator .....	\$ 165
221	1	B354	General Storekeeper .....	230
222	1	B380	Armorer R. O. T. C. (part time) .....	75
223	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	215
224	50	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
225	9	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	170
226	7	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	160
227	4	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	150
228	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	140
229	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at \$4.20 per eve. ....	
230	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at \$3.30 per eve. ....	
231	7	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at \$3.00 per eve. ....	
232	26	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer at \$6.00 per day .....	
233	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	215
234	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
235	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
236	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	180
237	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	175
238	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
239		B454	Telephone Operator (part time) for re- lief when needed at \$2 per day .....	
240	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	215
241	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	190
242	6	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
243	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	160
244	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	140
245	96	C102	Janitress .....	140
246	4	C102	Janitress .....	130
247	129	C104	Janitor .....	155
248	34	C104	Janitor .....	145
249	1	C104	Janitor (part time) .....	16
250	1	C104	Janitor (part time) .....	10
251	28	C105	Special Janitor .....	162.50
252	2	C105	Special Janitor .....	152.50
253	5	C107	Working Foreman Janitor .....	185
254	11	C107	Working Foreman Janitor .....	170
255	1	C107	Working Foreman Janitor .....	160
256	1	C112	Supervisor School Janitors .....	275
257	1	I12	Cook .....	140
258	1	I12	Cook .....	130
259	1	I12	Cook .....	75
260	2	I2	Kitchen Helper .....	75
261	11	J78	Stockman .....	200
262	3	J78	Stockman .....	160
263	1	J78	Stockman .....	150
264	1	J80	Foreman Stockman .....	210
265	1	O2	Chauffeur .....	215
266	1	O104	Moving Picture Operator .....	200
267	2	O122	Window Shade Worker .....	200
268	12	O168	Engineers Stationary Steam Engines ..	220
269		O168	Engineers Stationary Steam Engines (part time; relief) .....	125
270		O168	Engineers, Stationary Steam Engines, \$3 per evening as required .....	

**Section 83. BOARD OF EDUCATION—**  
**NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES, 1938-1939**  
**(Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
271	1	O172	Chief Engineer Stationary Steam Engines . . . . .	\$ 310
272	1	O61	Foreman Gardener . . . . .	200
273	7	O58	Gardeners . . . . .	145
274	2	O58	Gardeners . . . . .	135
275			Referees and Umpires, \$1 to \$3 per game as needed.	
276			Temporary clerical employment and other help as needed at rates not in excess of salary standardization schedules.	
277			Temporary evening school clerks as needed at \$3.00 per evening.	

**TRUCK RENTAL—CONTRACTUAL**

278			Trucks over 2,500 lbs. and not over 4,500 lbs. at rate of \$265.00 per month for not more than 23 days per month.	
-----	--	--	---	--

**Section 84. CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3		Commissioners . . . . .	\$ 100
2	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	175
3	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	180
4	1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	200
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	175
6	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	155
7	4	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	155
7½	1	G51	Junior Personnel Asst. . . . .	150
8	1	G58	Civil Service Examiner . . . . .	350
9	1	G58	Civil Service Examiner . . . . .	275
10	1	G58	Civil Service Examiner . . . . .	250
11	1	G58	Civil Service Examiner . . . . .	200
13	1	G59	Assistant Personnel Expert . . . . .	225
13¼	1	G59¼	Supervisor of Wage Scales and Classif. . . . .	250
13½	1	G59	Supervisor of Examinations . . . . .	350
14	1	G60	Personnel Director . . . . .	450
15	1	G62	Chief Examiner and Secretary (5 mo.) . . . . .	466.66

**AS NEEDED**

16			Examiners, Clerical and other temporary Services as needed at rates not in excess of Salary Standardization Schedules.	
----	--	--	--	--



## Section 85. RETIREMENT SYSTEM

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B82	Secretary—Actuary, Ret. System (part time) . . . . .	\$ 450
2	*1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	190
3	*1	B228	General Clerk . . . . .	200
4	1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	250
5	*1	B308	Comptometer Operator . . . . .	165
6	*1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	190
7	*3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	165
8	*1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	160
9	1	L360	Physician (part time) . . . . .	300
10	1	N410	Investigator . . . . .	200
11			Medical examiners and medical testimony as needed at fees fixed by Retirement Board . . . . .	
12			Seasonal Clerical Services (as needed) . . . . .	150
13			Consulting Actuary (as needed), \$50 per day . . . . .	
14		B420	Phonographic Reporter (as needed), at \$12.50 per day plus transcriptions . . . . .	
15			Other temporary services as needed at rates not in excess of salary standardization schedules.	

\*Two positions reclassified and will be retitled when promotive appointments are made under the new classification.

## Discussion.

Dr. Howard McKinley, President of the Civil Service Commission, Commissioners Harry K. Wolff and Milton Maxwell, and William L. Henderson, Personnel Director, urged the restoration of provision in Section 1 of the Annual Salary Ordinance, eliminated by the Finance Committee, providing that changes of class title or number of the classification of duties of positions should not be deemed an action requiring amendment of the salary ordinance, but should be considered merely as clerical procedure.

Mr. Thomas Hawkins, representing Public Works Laborers Union, No. 978; John O'Connell, for the San Francisco Labor Council, James E. Ricketts, of the Building Trades Council, and Andrew J. Gallagher supported the Civil Service Commission.

Supervisors Reilly and Roncovieri, and Controller Boyd urged the approval of the Finance Committee's recommendation.

## Motion.

Supervisor Colman moved that the last paragraph in Section 1 (the deletion of which was recommended by the Finance Committee) be restored to the Annual Salary Ordinance.

## Amendment to Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved, after lengthy discussion on foregoing motion, that the entire matter be referred back to the Finance Committee for further consideration, and that it be reported back to the Board at the next meeting, and be made a special order of business for 3 p. m. on Monday, June 20, 1938.

## Re-reference to Committee.

Whereupon, the roll was called on re-reference to Finance Committee and same *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Uhl—6.  
Noes—Supervisors Mead, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

**Refunds of Erroneous Payments of Taxes.**

(Code No. 9.059)

Resolution No. 4013, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized to be paid to the following named, being refunds of erroneous payments of taxes:

*From Appropriation 905—Duplicate Tax Fund.*

(1) Arthur C. Moench, per Vol. 12, Bill 499, Lot 1-B, Block 1628, 2d installment, fiscal year 1937.....	\$ 47.23
(2) Victor Aaron Jones & Co., per Vol. 1, page 1, line 15, fiscal year 1937, Unsecured Personal Property Rolls.....	11.82
(3) V. P. Higgins, per Vol. 28, Bill 1223, Lot 6, Block 4646, both installments, fiscal year 1937.....	8.90
(4) Harriett Lang, per Vol. 37, Lot 29-B, Block 6274, 2d installment, fiscal year 1931 .....	22.62
(5) California Pacific Title and Trust Company, per Vol. 20, Bill 7, Lot 7, Block 2772, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937 .....	25.09
(6) Herman Christianson, per Vol. 17, Bill 891, Lot 2, Block 2321, 2d installment, fiscal year 1937.....	8.71
(7) Residential Development Company of S. F., per Vol. 43, Bill 1202, Lot 11, Block 7042, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937 .....	6.19
(8) Easy Washing Machine Corporation, per Assessor's Receipt 18527 .....	252.59

*From General Fund—Appropriation No. 60.969.00.*

(9) T. S. Gordon, excess tax paid on personal property, due to erroneous assessment, per Assessor's Receipt No. 19112..	117.75
---	--------

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Release of Lien Filed Re: Old Age Security.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 4014, as follows:

Resolved, That the lien heretofore placed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, on recommendation of the County Welfare Department is released against the property described as follows:

Mrs. Clara Susana Scouler, recorded August 12, 1936, in Book 128, Marin County Official Records, at page 320; Lot 12, Block 1, McCue & Pixley Tract, Corte Madera, California.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:



**Authorizing Exchange of Land with City Title Insurance Company.**

(Code No. 12.1743)

Bill No. 1589, Ordinance No. 12.17434, as follows:

Authorizing exchange of land with City Title Insurance Company. Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, the Director of Property, in lieu of sale, is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for trading certain City-owned land hereinafter referred to as Parcel A to the City Title Insurance Company, in exchange for certain real property hereinafter referred to as Parcel 1.

Section 2. Said Parcel A is that certain tract of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and more particularly described in Ordinance No. 12.17231, Bill No. 1572, approved by the Mayor of San Francisco on May 17, 1938, which parcel was proposed to be sold under the provisions of Ordinance No. 12.17231.

Section 3. The Director of Property has made an appraisal of all of said real property and estimates the value of the land to be traded to the City Title Insurance Company to be equal to the value of the parcel to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 4. Said Parcel 1 hereinbefore referred to is situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and is more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the southeasterly line of Wayland street with the northeasterly line of Mansfield street, running thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of Wayland street 120 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 100 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet to a point on the northeasterly line of Mansfield street; thence northwesterly along last-named line 100 feet to the point of commencement.

Being a portion of University Extension Homestead Association, Block No. 168.

Section 5. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute the necessary deed for the conveyance of Parcel A to the City Title Insurance Company, or its assignee. The Director of Property shall deliver said conveyance to the grantee upon receipt of the necessary deed to Parcel 1, and shall record the latter deed.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

**Withdrawal From Sale, Property Erroneously Assessed.**

(Code No. 9.011)

Resolution No. 4015, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of the Assessor in a communication dated June 4, 1938, the following property being erroneously assessed in 1937 must be withdrawn from sale and re-assessed in 1938, in accordance with Section 3806, Political Code,

and the Tax Collector is hereby directed not to offer the same for sale:

<i>Vol.</i>	<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Vol.</i>	<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Page</i>
1	16	76	42	22	3	3262	112
2	19	225	68	22	25	3505	139
2	21	242	142	23	77	3511	8
5	30	722	23	23	17-B	3534	42
6	2	997	105	23	49	3549	77
7	7	1062	19	24	½	3597	52
7	19	1134	70	24	49-A	3601	64
8	12	1285	111	24	52	3601	65
10	9	1444	15	25	38	3636	14
10	13	1453	31	27	1	4142*	70
10	22-A	1457	39	28	7-E	4336	34
10	5	1520	114	31	25	5069	23
10	12-B	1530	135	33	9	5519	29
11	14	1600	114	35	12	5910	70
12	5-E	1625	16	36	3	5987	7
14	1-K	1839	88	36	34	6047	51
14	15	1863	126	36	10-C	6072	62
15	3-A	2019	108	36	11	6086	85
18	28	2397	14	38	5	6304	52
19	28	2623	25	39	3	6526	52
				39	32	6532	61
		2624		39	7	6568	111
19	11	2641	43	40	44	6654	91
19	42	2700	107	40	41	6657	98
19	31	2750	125	40	10	6664	105
19	30	2768	141	41	45	6731	48
20	22	2775	5	41	35	6771½	97
20	15-A	2829	30	43	5	7015	25
21	34	2981½	21	43	9	7083	109
21	10	2985	25	44	20/21	7145	67
21	26	2988-A	32	44	1	7213	110
22	16-A	3148½	40				

\*Improvements only.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### Authorizing Acquisition of Land for the Proposed McLaren Park by Condemnation Proceedings.

(Code No. 6.0211)

Also, Resolution No. 4016, as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following described parcels of real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

PARCEL 1. Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Woolsey street and the westerly line of Buell street; running thence northerly along said line of Buell street 100 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet to the northerly line of Woolsey street; thence at a right angle easterly along said line of Woolsey street 120 feet to the point of beginning.

Being Lot 4, Block 171, University Extension Homestead Association, as per map filed January 30, 1871, in Book 2 "A" and "B" of Maps, page 139, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.



PARCEL 2. Beginning at a point on the easterly line of La Grande avenue, distant thereon 115 feet southerly from its intersection with the southeasterly line of Woolsey street; and running thence southerly along the said easterly line of La Grande avenue 75 feet and 1 inch, more or less, to the northeasterly line of Logan street; thence southeasterly along the said northeasterly line of Logan street 44 feet and 4 inches, more or less, to the southeasterly boundary line of Lot No. 7 in Block No. 174, as shown upon the map hereinafter referred to; thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 99 feet; thence southwesterly 77 feet and 6 inches, more or less, to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Block No. 174, of the University Extension Homestead Association, as per map thereof filed January 30, 1871, in Map Book 2 "A" and "B", page 139, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

PARCEL 3. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southeasterly line of Woolsey street and the southwesterly line of Buell street, formerly Grant street; and running thence southwesterly along the said southeasterly line of Woolsey street 240 feet to the northeasterly line of Saratoga street; thence at a right angle southeasterly along said northeasterly line of Saratoga street 200 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 240 feet to the southwesterly line of Buell street; thence at a right angle northwesterly along the said southwesterly line of Buell street 200 feet to the point of beginning.

Being Lots 1, 2, 7 and 8, Block 175, University Extension Homestead Association, as per map thereof filed January 30, 1871, in Book "2A and B", of Maps, page 139, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

PARCEL 4. Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Saratoga street (formerly Delaware street), distant thereon 300 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Woolsey street; running thence northeasterly at a right angle to said northeasterly line of Saratoga street 120 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 25 feet and 10 inches, more or less, to the northerly line of Parcel No. Two of the property described in the decree entered in the action entitled, "San Francisco and Fresno Land Company, a corporation, vs. All Persons, etc.," under Special Superior Court Case No. 17477; thence southwesterly along the northerly line of said Parcel No. Two, 76 feet, more or less, to an angle in said northerly line where it extends south 61 degrees 30 minutes west; thence continuing along said northerly line of Parcel No. Two, south 61 degrees 30 minutes west 45 feet and 3 inches, more or less, to the northeasterly line of Saratoga street; thence northwesterly along the said northeasterly line of Saratoga street 33 feet and 6 inches, more or less, to the point of beginning.

Being fractional Lot No. 5 in Block 175 of University Extension Homestead Association, as per map thereof filed January 30, 1871, in Book "2A and B" of Maps, page 139, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

PARCEL 5. Beginning at the point formed by the intersection of the northerly line of Mansell street and the westerly line of Princeton street, and running thence westerly along said line of Mansell street 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Princeton street, and thence at a right angle southerly along said line of Princeton street 100 feet to the point of beginning.

Being Lot 4, Block 112, University Homestead Association, as per map thereof filed August 12, 1867, in Book "2A and B" of Maps, page 135, in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

PARCEL 6. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southwesterly line of University street and the northwesterly line of Mansell street; running thence northwesterly along said line of Univer-

sity street 100 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 100 feet to the northwesterly line of Mansell street; and thence at a right angle northeasterly along said line of Mansell street 120 feet to the point of beginning.

Being Lot 4, Block 113, University Homestead Association, as per map thereof recorded August 12, 1867, in Book "2A and B" of Maps, page 135, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

PARCEL 7. Beginning at the point of intersection of the northeasterly line of Princeton street and the northwesterly line of Mansell street; running thence northwesterly along said line of Princeton street 125 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 125 feet to the northwesterly line of Mansell street; thence at a right angle southwesterly along said line of Mansell street 120 feet to the point of beginning.

Being Lot 5 and portion of Lot 6, Block 113, University Homestead Association, as per map thereof filed August 12, 1867, in Book "2A and B" of Maps, page 135, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

PARCEL 8. Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Princeton street, distant thereon 125 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Mansell street; running thence southeasterly along said line of Princeton street 25 feet and 7 inches, more or less, to the northwesterly line of Oneota street; thence northeasterly along said line of Oneota street 240 feet, more or less, to the southwesterly line of University street; thence northwesterly along said line of University street 5 feet to a point distant thereon 125 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Mansell street; thence at a right angle southwesterly 240 feet to the point of beginning.

Being portion of Block 124, University Mound Homestead Association.

PARCEL 9. Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Princeton street, distant thereon 50 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Mansell street; and running thence southeasterly along said line of Princeton street 50 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 95 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 50 feet; and thence at a right angle southwesterly 95 feet to the point of beginning.

PARCEL 10. Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Mansell street, distant thereon 95 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Princeton street; running thence northeasterly along said line of Mansell street 25 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 100 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 25 feet; and thence at a right angle northwesterly 100 feet to the point of beginning.

PARCEL 11. Lots 4, 5, 6 and 7, Block 11, Map of the Reis Tract, filed May 19, 1904, in Book 1 of Maps, pages 241 and 242, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

PARCEL 12. Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Amherst street, distant thereon 100 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Mansell street; running thence southeasterly along said line of Amherst street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet to the point of beginning.

Being portion of Block No. 125, University Homestead Association, as per map thereof recorded August 12, 1867, in Book "2A and B" of Maps, page 135, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

PARCEL 13. Lot 4, Block 10, Reis Tract, as per map thereof recorded May 19, 1904, in Book 1 of Maps, pages 241 and 242, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

PARCEL 14. Beginning at the point of intersection of the north-



easterly line of Amherst street with the southeasterly line of Mansell street; and running thence northeasterly along said line of Mansell street 120 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 100 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet to the northeasterly line of Amherst street; thence northwesterly along the northeasterly line of Amherst street 100 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Block 125, University Homestead Association, as per map thereof recorded August 12, 1867, in Book "2A and B" of Maps, page 135, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

PARCEL 15. Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Amherst street, distant thereon 130 feet and  $5\frac{1}{2}$  inches southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Mansell street; and running thence southeasterly along the southwesterly line of Amherst street extended southeasterly 25 feet and  $8\frac{1}{2}$  inches; thence westerly to a point which is distant 120 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Amherst street, measured at a right angle thereto and which is also distant 125 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Mansell street, measured along a line drawn at a right angle thereto; thence northwesterly parallel with the southwesterly line of Amherst street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 60 feet and  $11\frac{1}{2}$  inches; thence easterly 66 feet and  $5\frac{1}{4}$  inches, more or less, to the point of beginning.

Except therefrom that portion, if any, of the above described premises lying northerly of the northerly line of Parcel No. 1 of the property described in the decree entered in the action entitled, "San Francisco and Fresno Land Company, a corporation, vs. All Persons" under Special Superior Court Case No. 17477.

PARCEL 16. Lot 10, in Block 10, of the Reis Tract, as per map thereof filed May 19, 1904, in Book 1, pages 241 and 242 of Maps, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

PARCEL 17. Lot 9, in Block 10, of the Reis Tract, as per map thereof filed May 19, 1904, in Book 1 of Maps, pages 241 and 242, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

PARCEL 18. Lot 7, in Block 10, Reis Tract, as per map thereof filed May 19, 1904, in Book 1 of Maps, pages 241 and 242, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

PARCEL 19. Lot 5, in Block 10, Reis Tract, as per map thereof filed May 19, 1904, in Book 1 of Maps, pages 241 and 242, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

PARCEL 20. Lot 2, Block 10, Reis Tract, as per map thereof filed May 19, 1904, in Book 1 of Maps, pages 241 and 242, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

PARCEL 21. Lot 11, in Block 9, Reis Tract, as per Map thereof filed May 19, 1904, in Book 1 of Maps, pages 241 and 242, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

PARCEL 22. Lot 9, in Block 9, Reis Tract, as per map thereof filed May 19, 1904, in Book 1 of Maps, pages 241 and 242, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

PARCEL 23. Lot 7, in Block 9, Reis Tract, as per map thereof filed May 19, 1904, in Book 1 of Maps, pages 241 and 242, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

PARCEL 24. Lot 1, in Block 9, Reis Tract, as per map thereof filed May 19, 1904, in Book 1 of Maps, pages 241 and 242, in the

office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

PARCEL 25. Lot 21, in Block 5, Reis Tract, as per map thereof filed May 19, 1904, in Book 1 of Maps, pages 241 and 242, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

PARCEL 26. Lot 20, in Block 5, Reis Tract, as per map thereof filed May 19, 1904, in Book 1 of Maps, pages 241 and 242, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

PARCEL 27. Lot 4, in Block 40, Sunnyvale Homestead Association, as per map thereof filed April 22, 1873, in Book "C" and "D" of Maps, page 142, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

PARCEL 28. Lot 3, Block 40, Sunnyvale Homestead Association, as per map thereof filed April 22, 1873, in Book "C" and "D" of Maps, page 142, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

PARCEL 29. Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Visitacion avenue, distant thereon 489 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Carter street; running thence northwesterly along said line of Visitacion avenue 28 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 279 feet and 3 inches; thence at a right angle southeasterly 128 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 104 feet and 3 inches; thence at a right angle northwesterly 100 feet; and thence at a right angle southwesterly 175 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Lot No. 88 of Visitacion Valley Homestead Association, as per map thereof filed April 22, 1868, in Map Book "C" and "D," page 119, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

PARCEL 30. Beginning at a point which is distant 389 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Carter street measured along a line drawn at a right angle thereto, and which is also distant 279 feet and 3 inches northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Visitacion avenue measured along a line drawn at a right angle thereto; thence northeasterly parallel with the northwesterly line of Carter street 25 feet, more or less, to the southwesterly line of the land described in the deed dated October 8, 1902, executed by Elizabeth M. Clark, et al., in favor of Crocker Estate Company, a corporation, recorded October 14, 1902, in 1979 D. 32; thence northwesterly along the southwesterly line of the land described in said deed 128 feet, more or less, to the northwesterly line of Lot 88 of the Visitacion Valley Homestead Association, as shown on the map hereinafter referred to; thence southwesterly along the northwesterly line of said Lot 88, 29 feet and 5 inches, more or less, to a point which is distant thereon 279 feet and 3 inches northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Visitacion avenue; thence southeasterly 128 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Lot 88 of Visitacion Valley Homestead Association, as per map thereof filed September 22, 1868, in Book "C" and "D" of Maps, page 119, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, California.

PARCEL 31. Lot 91, Visitacion Valley Homestead Association, as per map thereof filed September 22, 1868, in Book "C" and "D" of Maps, page 119, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

PARCEL 32. Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Spreckels street, distant thereon 152.08 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Visitacion avenue; running thence northeasterly along said line of Spreckels street 46.98 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 110 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 46.98



feet; and thence at a right angle southeasterly 110 feet to the point of beginning.

Being portion of Block 32, Sunnyvale Homestead Association, as per map thereof filed April 22, 1873, in Book "C" and "D" of Maps, page 142, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

PARCEL 33. Lot 3, Block 42, Sunnyvale Homestead Association, as per map thereof filed April 22, 1873, in Book 1, pages 162 and 163, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

PARCEL 34. Lots 1 and 2, Block 42, Sunnyvale Homestead Association, as per map thereof recorded September 20, 1876, in Book 1 of Maps, pages 163 and 164, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

PARCEL 35. Beginning at a point which is distant 389 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Carter street, measured along a line drawn at a right angle thereto, and which is also distant 100 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Visitacion avenue, measured along a line drawn at a right angle thereto; thence northeasterly parallel with the northwesterly line of Carter street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 100 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 100 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Lot No. 88 of Visitacion Valley Homestead Association, as per map thereof filed September 22, 1868, in Book "C" and "D" of Maps, page 119, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

PARCEL 36. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southeasterly line of Mansell street and the northeasterly line of Princeton street; running thence southeasterly along said line of Princeton street 50 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 95 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 50 feet to the southeasterly line of Mansell street; thence at a right angle southwesterly along said line of Mansell street 95 feet to the point of beginning.

PARCEL 37. Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Buell street, formerly Grant street, distant thereon 300 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Woolsey street; and running thence southwesterly at a right angle to said southwesterly line of Buell street 120 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 25 feet and 10 inches, more or less, to the northerly line of Parcel No. 2 of the property described in the decree entered in the action entitled "San Francisco and Fresno Land Company, a corporation, vs. All Persons, etc.," under Special Superior Court Case No. 17477; thence northeasterly along the northerly line of said Parcel 2, 120 feet, more or less, to the southwesterly line of Buell street; thence northwesterly along the said southwesterly line of Buell street 26 feet and 3 inches, more or less, to the point of beginning.

Being fractional Lot 4, in Block 175, of University Extension Homestead Association, as per map thereof filed January 30, 1871, in Book "2A and B" of Maps, page 139, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

PARCEL 38. Lot 3, Block 10, Reis Tract, as per map thereof filed May 19, 1904, in Book 1 of Maps, pages 241 and 242, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Be It Further Resolved, That said lands are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the proposed McLaren Park. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use. The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcels of lands and any and all

interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### **Land Purchase—Upper Noe Valley Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1713)

Also, Resolution No. 4017, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Recreation Department, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Anna Marie Lindelove, or the legal owner, to Lot 19, Assessor's Block 6638, San Francisco, required for the Upper Noe Valley Playground, and that the sum of \$2,700 be paid for said property from Appropriation No. 713.600.02. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **Land Purchase—Proposed McLaren Park.**

(Code No. 12.1713)

Also, Resolution No. 4018, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Park Department, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from the Bank of California, N. A., as trustee of the trust created under the last will and testament of Mabel F. Romaine, deceased, or the legal owner thereof, to Lot 3-A, Assessor's Block 6184, San Francisco, required for the proposed McLaren Park, and that the sum of \$125 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 712.600.03.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **Final Passage.**

The following emergency ordinances recommended by the Finance Committee were taken up:

#### **Appropriation of \$254.03 From Emergency Reserve Fund for Temporary Morgue Ambulance Driver; an Emergency Ordinance.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1591, Ordinance No. 9.051481, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$254.03 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 757.102.00 for the purpose of providing a temporary morgue ambulance driver for the Coroner's Office; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$254.03 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 757.102.00 for the purpose of providing a temporary morgue ambulance driver for the Coroner's Office.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this



ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists, as immediate action is necessary to provide for the uninterrupted operation of this department, as the regular morgue ambulance driver is ill.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Recommended by T. B. W. Leland, Coroner.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing a Supplemental Appropriation of \$218,340 Out of the Accrued Income Existing in the Municipal Railway to Meet the Increased Demands for Service; an Emergency Ordinance.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1591, Ordinance No. 9.051482, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$218,340 out of the accrued income existing in the Municipal Railway to meet the increased demands for service: an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$218,340 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the accrued income existing in the Municipal Railway to the credit of the following appropriations for the purposes and in the amounts indicated:

865.101.00	Permanent Salaries—Three Junior Inspectors at \$165 per month .....	\$ 5,940
865.102.00	Temporary Salaries .....	2,000
865.103.00	Wages—53 additional conductors and 50 additional motormen at 75c per hour .....	160,400
865.200.00	Contractual services .....	43,000
865.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	7,000

Section 2. This appropriation is made with the understanding that the increase in the amount of revenue that will be received by the Municipal Railway by reason of said additional services, shall be sufficient to produce the amount of said appropriation.

Section 3. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does, by the vote by which this ordinance is passed, declare that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective forthwith. The nature of said emergency being as follows, to-wit: That by reason of the increased fare charged by the Market Street Railway Company for transportation upon its cars, the patronage of the Municipal Railway has been so increased that it is necessary to place in operation additional cars to accommodate the demands made upon the Municipal Railway Company and it is, therefore, necessary to hire additional inspectors, additional conductors, additional motormen and to provide for additional contractual services and for additional materials and supplies in order to meet the increased services which must be provided by the Municipal Railway, and, therefore, this appropriation is necessary to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the Municipal Railway Company.

Recommended by E. G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Public Utilities Commission.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following ordinance, heretofore approved by the Streets Committee and referred to the Finance Committee, was taken up:

**Authorizing Performance of Certain Street Work to Be Done in the City and County of San Francisco; Approving and Adopting Specifications Therefor, Describing and Approving the Assessment District, Authorizing the Director of Public Works to Enter Into Contract for Doing the Same; Extending City Aid in the Sum of One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000), and Making an Appropriation Therefor.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1593, Ordinance No. 9.051484, as follows:

Authorizing performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco; approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same; extending City aid in the sum of one thousand dollars (\$1,000), and making an appropriation therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, May 16, 1938, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934 of said City and County of San Francisco does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment and the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per cent (7%) per annum.

The improvement of Esmeralda avenue between Winfield street and Elsie street, including the crossing of Esmeralda avenue and Elsie street, by the construction of the following items:

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>Item</i>
1	8-inch vitrified clay pipe sewer, in place
2	6-inch vitrified clay pipe side sewers, in place
3	Armored concrete curb
4	6-inch Class "E" concrete pavement
5	Brick catchbasins, complete
6	10-inch vitrified clay pipe culvert, in place
7	Two-course concrete sidewalk

The Director of Public Works does hereby set forth the district to be benefited by said contemplated work and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof, as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as:



<i>Block No.</i>	<i>Lot No.</i>
5612	15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29 and 30;
5613	31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44 and 45;
5618	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 and 46;
5619	50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66 and 67;

all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the Assessment Book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above mentioned improvement.

The foregoing described lots of land are contained within and constitute the extent of the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof, and reference to the same is hereby made for the description of such district.

Section 2. The sum of one thousand dollars (\$1,000) is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 748,937.00 for the purpose of extending City aid for this improvement pursuant to Section 6 of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934.

Recommended by W. H. Worden, Director of Public Works.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor of San Francisco.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing a Supplemental Appropriation of \$75,000 Out of the Surplus Existing in the Municipal Railway Operative Fund to Provide the Cost of Constructing Railway Tracks and Appurtenances on First and Fremont Streets Leading to and From Plan X Terminal.**

(Code No. 9.051)

The following recommendation of Joint Finance and Public Utilities Committee was taken up:

Bill No. 1592, Ordinance No. 9.051483, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$75,000 out of the surplus existing in the Municipal Railway Operative Fund to provide the cost of constructing railway tracks and appurtenances on First and Fremont streets, leading to and from Plan X Terminal.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$75,000 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Municipal Railway Operative Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 765,500.00, to be used for the purpose of constructing railway tracks and appurtenances which will afford accommodation for street cars of both the Municipal Railway and the Market Street Railway, according citizens of San Francisco and visitors thereto the necessary means of transportation to and from Plan X Terminal of the San Francisco-Oakland Transbay Bridge.

Section 2. The appropriation made in Section 1 hereof shall be additive to the appropriation of \$65,000 made by Ordinance No. 9.051-382, Appropriation No. 765,500.00.

### Minority Report.

Supervisor McSheehy opposed the passage of the foregoing ordinance, and presented a minority report, as follows:

June 13, 1938.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors.

Gentlemen: Resolution No. 2671 of the Public Utilities Commission requesting the approval of the supplemental appropriation of \$75,000, to be taken from the surplus of the Municipal Railway from Plan "X" Terminal Group Construction, was received June 6. Item No. 765,500.00, Additions and Betterments, Municipal Railway Fund, in the budget for 1937-38, \$65,000 is being allowed with the \$75,000, making a total of \$140,000 for this extension.

This resolution and a letter accompanying same was referred to a joint committee of the Public Utilities and Finance, and as you will note, it was received June 6, referred to Joint Committee June 7, and reported out by said Committee with but one hearing. Note the second and eighth paragraphs of the letter accompanying resolution, signed by Mr. Cahill, Manager, Public Utilities Commission, as follows:

Second paragraph—"The reason for this request is that after approximately one year's negotiations with the engineers and operating executives of the Market Street Railway and after an agreement had been reached between the engineers and operating executives of the Market Street Railway and the Municipal Railway, together with the president of the Market Street Railway, last week Mr. Samuel Kahn, President of the Market Street Railway, stated in my office that his company could not produce the \$65,000 necessary to pay one-half of the cost of the necessary construction."

Eighth paragraph—"Please be advised that the Market Street Railway Company has agreed to reimburse the Municipal Railway in the amount of one-half of the total cost of construction, payable at the rate of 10 per cent per annum for ten years, with interest at the rate of 5 per cent per annum on all deferred payments."

The Public Utilities Commission and the Market Street Railway Company had this entire matter under consideration for over one year; and we have had it for just one week, and we are asked to approve it in just one week. You will also note that in paragraph eight of Mr. Cahill's letter, which I have quoted, that we are lending the Market Street Railway \$70,000.

Why this great rush in passing this resolution, and why make this loan to an almost defunct corporation that is unable to meet its obligations to its stockholders, bondholders, banks and a number of large corporations for material and labor furnished during the past years.

On May 9th last, one month ago, the Railroad Commission allowed the Market Street Railway Company to raise its fare from 5 cents to 7 cents, or four tokens for 25 cents. Some three years ago for the purpose of reducing operating costs, the one-man car was substituted on a great many lines of the Market Street Railway Company throughout our City, and the people, by a direct vote of 3 to 1, condemned this operation. And the same is now on an appeal in a Federal court as to the legality of the company continuing the operation of a one-man car, which it is doing with great inconvenience to the traveling public.

The 7 cent fare and the one-man car have caused a great many patrons of the Market Street Railway to walk blocks out of their way in order to patronize our Municipal lines, and last week these lines showed an increase of \$2,000 per day.

As one member of this Board, I cannot understand the policy of the Market Street Railway Company in transacting its business the way



they have for the past four years. They seem to be doing everything they can to drive the traveling public from patronizing their lines, and every year for the past four years shows that their deficit is growing larger and larger. They either want to go into the hands of a receiver, or they want to become such a nuisance that the people of San Francisco will purchase their properties. In following this procedure they are driving the people out of San Francisco. We cannot exist as a city if we do not give proper transportation to our people.

I cannot, as one member of this Board, understand why the Public Utilities Commission, charged with the responsibility of supervising all public utilities owned by the City of San Francisco, can recommend the loan of \$70,000 to this almost defunct corporation.

Therefore, I offer the following minority report:

That item No. 765.500.00, Municipal Railway Operating Fund, \$65,000 designated for Additions and Betterments in this year's Budget of 1937-38, and which has not been expended by the Public Utilities Commission; that they be requested to expend the same for an extension of the Municipal Lines on Market street, along Fremont street to Plan "X", and that the details for this extension be worked out by Mr. Cahill, Manager of the Public Utilities Commission.

There is a balance as of May 1, 1938, in the Municipal Railway Operating Fund of \$440,000. Seventy thousand would go a long way in helping bus transportation in some outlying districts. In fact the greater portion of this money could be used for this purpose.

Some day we will have to take over the properties of the Market Street Railway Company, either as a defunct corporation, or at a nuisance value, and supplant the same with buses similar to those that are traversing the streets of Oakland and New York. We cannot continue to give the people of San Francisco the wretched transportation that they are getting today if we want to survive as the metropolis of the bay region.

I trust therefore, gentlemen, that six members of this Board will vote for this minority report, and not attempt to loan \$70,000 to the Market Street Railway, so that it may continue raising its fares and giving to the people of San Francisco the most wretched service that they have ever received from any transportation company.

#### Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy, after the reading of his minority report, moved that the same be approved by the Board in lieu of the committee's report.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. Henry Foley, President of the Municipal Car Men's Union, on motion by Supervisor McSheehy, was granted the privilege of the floor, expressed the opposition of members of his union to the proposed plan for re-routing cars to Plan "X" Terminal, and requested that his people be given an opportunity to discuss the matter before a committee of the Board.

#### Discussion.

Supervisors Colman, Brown and Schmidt, and Mr. E. G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities, discussed the proposed plan at length. Mr. James E. Ricketts urged re-reference of the whole matter to committee.

#### Motion.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, at the suggestion of Supervisor McSheehy, moved that the entire matter be referred back to the Public Utilities and Finance Committee for further hearing.

**Roll Call on Motion.**

Whereupon, the roll was called on re-reference to committee, as follows:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Motion carried, and proposed ordinance was re-referred to Joint Public Utilities and Finance Committee.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

**Changing and Establishing Grades on Gordon Street.**

(Code No. 12.0721)

Resolution No. 4012, as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified, and at the elevations above City base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Order No. 8350 of the Director of Public Works, dated June 1, 1938, making written recommendation of said action, filed with said Board June 6, 1938, to-wit:

<i>Gordon Street</i>	<i>Feet</i>
7 ft. southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Harrison street northwesterly line (the same being the present official grade) . . . . .	14.30
7 ft. northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Harrison street northwesterly line (the same being the present official grade) . . . . .	14.45
7 ft. southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Harrison street . . . . .	15.80
7 ft. northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Harrison street . . . . .	15.70
7 ft. southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 275 feet northwesterly from Harrison street . . . . .	19.00
7 ft. northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 275 feet northwesterly from Harrison street . . . . .	19.00

On Gordon street, between Harrison street and a line parallel with and 275 feet northwesterly therefrom be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades.

The Director of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this Resolution of Intention.

These proceedings are instituted in accordance with the "Change of Grade Act of 1909." The San Francisco Call-Bulletin is hereby designated as the newspaper in which this resolution shall be published.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of Streets Committee were taken up:

**Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Burnham Street.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Bill No. 1586, Ordinance No. 12.0731123, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of



Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Seven (1207).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office June 6th, 1938, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Seven (1207) to read as follows:

Section 1207. The width of sidewalks on Burnham street between Elizabeth street and Twenty-fifth street shall be as shown on that certain map, titled "Map Showing the Location of Street and Curb Lines and the Width of Sidewalks on Burnham Street Between Elizabeth Street and Twenty-fifth Street." Dated May, 1938.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Ordering the Improvement of the Crossing of Quintara Street and Cragmont Avenue and Certain Other Streets.

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 1587, Ordinance No. 12.061196, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 24, 1938, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of the following streets:

Quintara street, crossing of Cragmont avenue;

Quintara street, between Cragmont and Twelfth avenue, where not already improved;

Quintara street, from Twelfth avenue (east line) to Fourteenth avenue (west line);

Fourteenth avenue (west one-half), from Quintara street to the existing pavement at the intersection of Fanning way and Fourteenth avenue;

Fourteenth avenue (east one-half), from Quintara street to the existing pavement south of Radio terrace;

Fourteenth avenue, from a line at right angles to the center line of Fourteenth avenue and distant northerly thereon 45 feet from the point of intersection of the center line of Ortega way with said center line of Fourteenth avenue to the existing pavement at the intersection of Radio terrace and Fourteenth avenue;

Pacheco street, from the existing pavement at the intersection of Fifteenth avenue and Mandalay lane to Fourteenth avenue;

Cragmont, avenue, from the existing pavement at the intersection of Twelfth avenue and Rockridge drive to Quintara street;

Twelfth avenue (east one-half), from Quintara street to 60 feet south;

Twelfth avenue, from Cragmont avenue southerly to a line 169.29 feet south of the center line of Radio terrace;  
by the construction of the following items:

*Item No. Item.*

1. Armored concrete curb.
2. Unarmored concrete curb.
3. Two-course concrete sidewalk.
4. Asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch Class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.
5. Asphalt-macadam pavement, consisting of a 6-inch waterbound macadam base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.
6. Emulsified asphalt-macadam pavement, consisting of a 6-inch waterbound macadam base and a 2-inch emulsified asphalt wearing surface.
7. 6-inch V. C. P. side sewer.
8. 12x6-inch "Y" branches.
9. Brick catchbasins, complete.
10. 10-inch V. C. P. culvert.
11. 1½-inch black enameled conduit.
12. Standard wood fence.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

The Director of Public Works does hereby set forth the district to be benefited by said contemplated work, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated, and numbered respectively as:

<i>Block No.</i>	<i>Lot No.</i>	<i>Block No.</i>	<i>Lot No.</i>
2050-A	6	2132-A	1
2051-A	1	2132-B	1
2120-A	1	2133-A	2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9,
2120-B	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19		10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22
2121-A	31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47	2134-B	1, 2
2123-B	1-B, 1-C, 1-D, 1-E, 1-F, 1-G, 1-H, 1-I, 1-J	2204	1, 25, 26, 27, 28
2130-A	1-L, 1-M, 1-P, 1-Q, 1-R, 2, 6, 6-A, 6-B, 6-C, 6-D, 7, 8	2205	1, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31
2131-A	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35-A, 36, 37, 38, 39	2206	1, 2, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55
		2207	25, 28, 29

all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of



the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Establishing Grades on Gates Street Between Powhattan Avenue and a Line Parallel with and 225 Feet Northerly Therefrom.**

(Code No. 12.071)

Also, Bill No. 1588, Ordinance No. 12.07114, as follows:

Establishing grades on Gates street between Powhattan avenue and a line parallel with and 225 feet northerly therefrom.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Gates street between Powhattan avenue and a line parallel with and 225 feet northerly therefrom are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above City base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, filed in this office May 31, 1938.

<i>Gates Street</i>	<i>Feet</i>
225 feet northerly from Powhattan avenue.....	310
200 feet northerly from Powhattan avenue.....	307.5
175 feet northerly from Powhattan avenue.....	304.12
150 feet northerly from Powhattan avenue.....	299
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
25 feet northerly from Powhattan avenue.....	269
7 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Powhattan avenue northerly line .....	262
(The same being the present official grade.)	
7 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Powhattan avenue northerly line .....	264
(The same being the present official grade.)	

On Gates street between Powhattan avenue and a line parallel with and 225 feet northerly therefrom, be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of City Planning Committee were taken up:

**Approving Change of Zone Classification of Block Bounded by Palo Alto Avenue, Twin Peaks Boulevard, Burnett Avenue and St. Germain Avenue From Second Residential District to First Residential District.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 4019, as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1811 changing Section 6 of the Use of Property Zone Maps constituting a part of the Building Zone Ordinance, so as to place in the First Residential District instead of in the Second Residential District, all of that certain block bounded by Palo Alto avenue, Twin Peaks boulevard, Burnett avenue and St. Germain avenue; being Block No. 2720 as such block is delineated on the Assessor's

Block Book at the time of the adoption of this resolution, be and the same is hereby approved.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Approving Change of Zone Classification of Lots Numbers 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6, in Block 2756, From Second Residential District to First Residential District.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Also, Resolution No. 4020, as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1810 changing Section 6 of the Use of Property Zone Maps constituting a part of the Building Zone Ordinance, so as to place in the First Residential District instead of in the Second Residential District, all of Lots Numbers 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 in Block 2756 as such block is delineated on the Assessor's Block Book at the time of the adoption of this resolution, be and the same is hereby approved.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Approving Change of Zone Classification of Block No. 2872 From Second Residential District to First Residential District.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Also, Resolution No. 4021, as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1809 changing Section 6 of the Use of Property Zone Maps constituting a part of the Building Zone Ordinance, so as to place in the First Residential District instead of the Second Residential District, all of Block No. 2872 as such block is delineated on the Assessor's Block Book at the time of the adoption of this resolution, be and the same is hereby approved.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Approving Change of Zone Classification of Block Bounded by Burnett Avenue, Portola Drive and Fenton Alley From Second Residential and Commercial District to First Residential District.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Also, Resolution No. 4022, as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1808 changing Section 6 of the Use of Property Zone Maps constituting a part of the Building Zone Ordinance, so as to place in the First Residential District instead of in the Second Residential and Commercial Districts, all of that certain block bounded by Burnett avenue, Portola drive and Fenton alley; being all of Block 2847 as such block is delineated on the Assessor's Block Book at the time of the adoption of this resolution, be and the same is hereby approved.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.



**Approving Change of Zone Classification of Block Bounded by  
Burnett Avenue, Portola Drive, Fenton Alley and Golding Alley  
From Second Residential District to First Residential District.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Also, Resolution No. 4023, as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1807, changing Section 6 of the Use of Property Zone Maps constituting a part of the Building Zone Ordinance, so as to place in the First Residential District instead of the Second Residential District all of block bounded by Burnett avenue, Portola drive, Fenton alley and Golding alley; being all of Block 2826 as such block is delineated on the Assessor's Block Book at the time of the adoption of this resolution, be and the same is hereby approved.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Approving Change of Zone Classification of Block Bounded by  
La Place Avenue, Burnett Avenue, Twenty-sixth Street and Portola Drive From Second Residential District to First Residential District.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Also, Resolution No. 4024, as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1806, changing Section 6 of the Use of Property Zone Maps constituting a part of the Building Zone Ordinance, so as to place in the First Residential District instead of the Second Residential District all of block bounded by La Place avenue, Burnett avenue, Twenty-sixth street and Portola drive; being all of Block 2871 as such block is delineated on the Assessor's Block Book at the time of the adoption of this resolution, be and the same is hereby approved.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Approving Change of Zone Classification of Block Along the West-  
erly Side of La Place Avenue Between Portola Drive and Clipper  
Street From Second Residential District to First Residential  
District.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Also, Resolution No. 4025, as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1805, changing Section 6 of the Use of Property Zone Maps, constituting a part of the Building Zone Ordinance, so as to place in the First Residential District instead of the Second Residential District, all of block along the westerly side of La Place avenue between Portola drive and Clipper street; being all of Block 2868 as such block is delineated on the Assessor's Block Book at the time of the adoption of this resolution, be and the same is hereby approved.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Approving Change of Zone Classification of Block 2719C From  
Second Residential District to First Residential District.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Also, Resolution No. 4026, as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1804, changing Section 6 of the Use of Property Zone Maps, constituting a part of the Building Zone Ordinance, so as to place in the First Residential District instead of the Second Residential District, all of Block 2719C as such block is delineated on the Assessor's Block Book at the time of the adoption of this resolution, be and the same is hereby approved.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Approving Change of Zone Classification of Block Bounded by  
Burnett Avenue, Corbett Avenue, Hopkins Alley and Dixie Alley  
From Second Residential District to First Residential District.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Also, Resolution No. 4027, as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1803, changing Section 6 of the Use of Property Zone Maps, constituting a part of the Building Zone Ordinance, so as to place in the First Residential District instead of in the Second Residential District, all of block bounded by Burnett avenue, Corbett avenue, Hopkins alley and Dixie alley; being all of Block 2779 as such block is delineated on the Assessor's Block Book at the time of the adoption of this resolution, be and the same is hereby approved.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Approving Change of Zone Classification of Lots Numbers 2, 2A,  
3, 3A, 3B, 3C, 3D, 3E, 3F, 4, 4A and 4B in Block 2643 From  
Second Residential District to First Residential District.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Also, Resolution No. 4028, as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1801, changing Section 6 of the Use of Property Zone Maps, constituting a part of the Building Zone Ordinance, so as to place in the First Residential District instead of the Second Residential District, all of Lots Numbers 2, 2A, 3, 3A, 3B, 3C, 3D, 3E, 3F, 4, 4A and 4B in Block 2643 as such block is delineated on the Assessor's Block Book at the time of the adoption of this resolution, be and the same is hereby approved.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Approving Change of Zone Classification of Block Bounded by  
Burnett Avenue, Corbett Avenue, Hopkins Alley and Golding  
Alley From Second Residential District to First Residential Dis-  
trict.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Also, Resolution No. 4029, as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1800, changing Section 6 of the Use of Property Zone



Maps, constituting a part of the Building Zone Ordinance, so as to place in the First Residential District instead of the Second Residential District, all of that certain block bounded by Burnett avenue, Corbett avenue, Hopkins alley, and Golding alley; being all of Block 2799 as such block is delineated on the Assessor's Block Book at the time of the adoption of this resolution, be and the same is hereby approved.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Approving Change of Zone Classification of Block Bounded by La Place Avenue, Burnett Avenue, Clipper Street and Twin Peaks Boulevard From Second Residential District to First Residential District.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Also, Resolution No. 4030, as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1799, changing Section 6 of the Use of Property Zone Maps, constituting a part of the Building Zone Ordinance, so as to place in the First Residential District instead of the Second Residential District, all of that certain block bounded by La Place avenue, Burnett avenue, Clipper street and Twin Peaks boulevard; being all of Block 2851 as such block is delineated on the Assessor's Block Book at the time of the adoption of this resolution, be and the same is hereby approved.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Approving Change of Zone Classification of Block Along the West-erly Side of La Place Avenue Between Clipper Street and Twenty-fifth Street From Second Residential District to First Residential District.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Also, Resolution No. 4031, as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1812, changing Section 6 of the Use of Property Zone Maps, constituting a part of the Building Zone Ordinance, so as to place in the First Residential District instead of the Second Residential District, all of that certain block along the westerly side of La Place avenue between Clipper street and Twenty-fifth street, being all of Block No. 2852 as such block is delineated on the Assessor's Block Book, at the time of the adoption of this resolution, be and the same is hereby approved.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Approving Change of Zone Classification of Block Bounded by La Place Avenue, Burnett Avenue, Twenty-sixth Street and Clipper Street From Second Residential District to First Residential District.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Also, Resolution No. 4032, as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1802, changing Section 6 of the Use of Property Zone Maps, constituting a part of the Building Zone Ordinance, so as to

place in the First Residential District instead of in the Second Residential District, all of that certain block bounded by La Place avenue, Burnett avenue, Twenty-sixth street, and Clipper street; being all of Block 2869 as such block is delineated on the Assessor's Block Book at the time of the adoption of this resolution, be and the same is hereby approved.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Fixing Date for Hearing of Appeal, Northwesterly Corner Army and Florida Streets.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Also, Resolution No. 4033, as follows:

Resolved, That the time for hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission, denying an application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the northwesterly corner of Army and Florida streets, is hereby set for Monday, June 20, 1938, at 2 o'clock p. m.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Re-referred to Committee.**

The following recommendation of Judiciary Committee was taken up and *re-referred to the Judiciary Committee*:

**San Francisco Municipal Code.**

(Code No. 1.07)

Bill No. 1583, Ordinance No. 1.073, as follows:

An ordinance enacting, pursuant to Sections 13 and 17 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, a consolidation, rearrangement and codification of the general, regulatory and penal ordinances of said City and County, to be known as the San Francisco Municipal Code; providing penalties for violation of the provisions thereof; providing for the effective date of the Municipal Code; and repealing Ordinance No. 9137 (New Series) from and after the effective date of the Municipal Code.

Section 1. *Enactment and Division of Code.* A Municipal Code is hereby enacted pursuant to Sections 13 and 17 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, wherein the general, regulatory and penal ordinances of said City and County are consolidated, rearranged, codified and arranged in appropriate Parts, Chapters, Articles, Sections, Sub-sections, Subdivisions and Paragraphs.

Part I shall be designated as "General";

Part II shall be designated as "Regulatory";

Part III shall be designated as "Miscellaneous".

Part II of the Municipal Code shall be divided into chapters as follows:

Chapter I shall be known as, designated and may be referred to or cited as the "Building Code";

Chapter II shall be known as, designated and may be referred to or cited as the "City Planning Code";

Chapter III shall be known as, designated and may be referred to and cited as the "Electrical Code";

Chapter IV shall be known as, designated and may be referred to and cited as the "Fire Code";

Chapter V shall be known as, designated and may be referred to and cited as the "Health Code";



Chapter VI shall be known as, designated and may be referred to and cited as the "Park Code";

Chapter VII shall be known as, designated and may be referred to and cited as the "Plumbing and Gas Appliance Code";

Chapter VIII shall be known as, designated and may be referred to and cited as the "Police Code";

Chapter IX shall be known as, designated and may be referred to and cited as the "Public Utilities Code";

Chapter X shall be known as, designated and may be referred to and cited as the "Public Works Code";

Chapter XI shall be known as, designated and may be referred to and cited as the "Traffic Code";

Section 2. *Short Title. Reference to Code in Prosecutions. Designation in Ordinances.* The Municipal Code shall be known as the "San Francisco Municipal Code", and it shall be sufficient to refer to said Code as the "San Francisco Municipal Code" in any prosecution for the violation of any provisions thereof or in any proceeding brought thereunder; it shall also be sufficient to designate any ordinance adding to, amending or repealing any portion of said Code as an addition or amendment to or a repeal of such portion of the "San Francisco Municipal Code".

Section 3. *Existing Law Continued.* The provisions of the Municipal Code, in so far as they are substantially the same as existing provisions relating to the same subject matter, shall be construed as restatements and continuations thereof and not as new enactments. In all courts and tribunals and in the public offices of the City and County of San Francisco, the matter set forth in the Municipal Code shall establish prima facie such laws of the City and County of San Francisco in force on the 1st day of July, 1938; but nothing in this ordinance shall be construed as repealing or amending any such law, or as enacting as new law any matter contained in the Municipal Code, except as expressly provided in this ordinance. Nothing in the Municipal Code shall be deemed conclusive by reason of any omission or otherwise in the provisions of any section of the Municipal Code and the corresponding portion of legislation heretofore enacted, but effect shall be given for all purposes whatsoever to such enactments.

Section 4. *Effect of Code on Past Action and Obligations Previously Accrued.* Neither the adoption of the Municipal Code nor the repeal, as hereinafter provided, of any ordinance of the City and County of San Francisco shall in any manner affect the prosecution for violation of ordinances, which violations were committed prior to the effective date of the Municipal Code, nor to be construed as a waiver of any license or penalty at said effective date and unpaid under such ordinance, nor to be construed as affecting any of the provisions of such ordinance relating to the collection of any such license or penalty or the penal provisions applicable to any violation thereof, nor to effect the validity of a bond or cash deposit in lieu thereof, required to be posted, filed or deposited pursuant to any ordinance, and all rights and obligations thereunder appertaining shall continue in full force and effect.

Section 5. *Construction of Code.* Sections in a particular part of the Municipal Code shall be construed with reference only to that part. The provisions of the Municipal Code and all proceedings under it are to be construed with a view to effect its objects and to promote justice.

Section 6. *Charter Referred to.* When used in the Municipal Code "The Charter" or "Charter" shall mean the Charter adopted by the voters of the City and County of San Francisco on March 26, 1931, and ratified by the Legislature on April 13, 1931, effective on January 8, 1932.

Section 7. *Definitions.* Words used in the masculine shall include

the feminine and neuter gender, and the singular number shall include the plural, and the plural shall include the singular.

Section 8. *Reference to Specific Ordinances.* The provisions of the Municipal Code shall not in any manner affect deposits or other matters of record which refer to or are otherwise connected with ordinances which are therein specifically designated by number or otherwise and which are included within the Municipal Code, but such reference shall be construed to apply to the corresponding provisions contained within said Municipal Code.

Section 9. *Heading, Effect of.* Part, Chapter, Article, Section and Subsection headings contained in said Municipal Code shall not be deemed to govern, limit, modify or in any manner affect the scope, meaning or intent of the provisions of any Part, Chapter, Article, Section or Subsection of said Municipal Code.

Section 10. *Reference to Acts or Omissions Within This City and County.* The Municipal Code shall refer to the omission or commission of acts within the territorial limits of the City and County of San Francisco and to that territory outside of the City and County over which the said City and County has jurisdiction or control by virtue of the Constitution, Charter, or any law, or by reason of ownership or control of property.

Section 11. *Notices, Services of.* Whenever a notice is required to be given under the Municipal Code, unless different provisions therein are otherwise specifically made, such notice may be given either by personal delivery thereof to the person to be notified or by deposit in the United States Mail in a sealed envelope, postage prepaid, addressed to such person to be notified at his last known business or residence address as the same appears in the public records pertaining to the matter to which such notice is directed. Service by mail shall be deemed to have been completed at the time of deposit in the post office.

Section 12. *Proof of Notice.* Proof of giving any notice may be made by the certificate of any officer or employee of this City and County or by affidavit of any person over the age of eighteen years, which shows service in conformity with the Municipal Code or other provisions of law applicable to the subject matter concerned.

Section 13. *Prohibited Acts, Include Causing, Permitting, Suffering.* Whenever in the Municipal Code any act or omission is made unlawful, it shall include causing, permitting, aiding, abetting, suffering or concealing the fact of such act or omission.

Section 14. *Duties of Clerk.* All proposed ordinances shall be passed by bill. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, hereinafter designated as the Clerk, shall give a bill, on its introduction, a number in consecutive order of numbering. The Clerk shall maintain registers of such bills according to bill number. The Clerk shall likewise, upon the approval or going into effect, as provided for by Charter, give each ordinance a number in consecutive order of numbering. The Clerk shall maintain registers of such ordinances according to ordinance number, and shall keep an index card for each ordinance and all data as to subsequent legislation thereon.

Section 15. *Printing and Distribution.* From and after the effective date of the Municipal Code and as often as deemed necessary, the Board of Supervisors, by resolution, may direct the Clerk, through the Purchasing Department, to arrange for the printing and distribution to departments, boards, commissions, bureaus, offices or any officer or employee thereof and to the public, of the Municipal Code as a whole or of portions thereof in pamphlet form, and the Board of Supervisors, by resolution, may fix a reasonable charge, not to exceed the cost of publication and distribution, for copies of the Municipal Code or for such pamphlets, or slips containing additions, amendments or repeals proper for pasting into the Municipal Code or in the pamphlets.

Section 16. *Violations, a Misdemeanor.* It shall be unlawful for any



person to violate any provision or to fail to comply with any of the requirements of the Municipal Code. Any person violating any of the provisions or failing to comply with any of the mandatory requirements of the Municipal Code, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor. Any person convicted of a misdemeanor under the provisions of the Municipal Code, unless provision is otherwise therein made, shall be punishable by a fine of not more than five hundred (\$500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of not more than six (6) months or by both such fine and imprisonment. Each such person shall be guilty of a separate offense for each and every day during any portion of which any violation of any provision of the Municipal Code is committed, continued, or permitted by such person and shall be punishable accordingly. In addition to the penalties hereinabove provided, any condition caused or permitted to exist in violation of any of the provisions of the Municipal Code shall be deemed a public nuisance and may be, by this City and County, abated as provided by law, and each day that such condition continues shall be regarded as a new and separate offense.

**Section 17. *Saving Clause.*** If any section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of the Municipal Code or any part thereof is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of the Municipal Code, or any part thereof. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed each section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, subdivisions, paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

**Section 18. *Repeal.*** Ordinance No. 9137 (New Series) shall stand repealed from and after the effective date of the Municipal Code.

**Section 19. *Effective Date of Municipal Code.*** The effective date of the San Francisco Municipal Code is hereby made the first day of October, 1938.

### Adopted.

The following recommendation of his Honor the Mayor was taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Hon. Charles F. Traung, Member Police Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 4034, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Charles F. Traung, member of the Police Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing June 17, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

### Bowl of Rice Party.

President Shannon presented communication from Paul C. Smith, Chairman San Francisco Division, United Council for Civilian Relief in China, informing the Board of nation-wide plans for Bowl of Rice parties, the proceeds of which are to be used for the relief of the millions of homeless and starving women and children in the wake of the war in China, and urging support of movement in San Fran-

cisco and the support of the Bowl of Rice Party to be held in San Francisco's Chinatown on Friday, June 17, 1938.

#### Endorsement.

Whereupon, Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Colman, moved that the Board endorse the Bowl of Rice Party to be held on June 17, 1938.

*Motion unanimously carried.*

#### Negotiations with Pacific Gas and Electric Company.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Mr. President, I want to renew the motion I made last week, that the City Attorney be instructed to negotiate—May I have your attention, gentlemen—That the City Attorney be instructed to negotiate the matter with the P. G. & E. to determine what lump sum he can secure by negotiation, and what he can get each year for the issuance of a franchise—that is, to stop the filing of the suit until such negotiations are concluded. Now that motion, if I can receive a second—

THE PRESIDENT: It is on the calendar today.

THE CLERK: It was carried over from last week.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I will second the motion.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Now, I won't take up much of your time. I will be brief on it, and my reason for urging this is—

THE PRESIDENT: Well, is there any member of the Board that objects?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: You bet your life!

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor McSheehy objects.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Yes, and I personally hope that six members of the Board will also object.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Well, I have the floor now.

Now, members of the Board, I make the motion, because I believe it will be the means of securing a large sum of money to the City, and it will be available by the next tax rate, September 1st, and may be an influence in the making of the next tax rate. If the City gives a franchise to the P. G. & E. under the new franchise act we will not only get a lump sum immediately but we will get a rental for the use of our streets under that franchise. If, on the other hand, a suit is brought against the company without a franchise, and there is no franchise given to them, the company has signified its intention of fighting this matter and a long and expensive litigation will result, and a litigation which may result disadvantageously for the City. In other words, it is conceivable that the City may lose the action. Now in view of the letter received by our City Attorney from the company there is an indication that the company may make a settlement of a lump sum immediately for past use and arrange for a sum for the franchise, and my motion tries to bring about something that will be to everybody's advantage, that is, the bringing in immediately of a large sum of money, the only possible windfall that is in view for the City, and the only possibility of reducing the next tax rate, and that without losing one single right of the City in any way, shape or manner, and it is on that premise that I base the motion for negotiations with the company by our City rather than the filing of a suit.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I should like to ask, through the Chair, of the City Attorney, a question, and this is the question: If the company has been continuing here to serve gas and electricity, and so forth, and if they continued without a franchise or with a franchise, where would it change the status of the City?

MR. O'TOOLE: I don't know just how it would change the status, because we don't know just how much we might be able to secure. At the last meeting we had with them they looked into the matter very carefully, and we found that in some states they sustained, that is, the Superior Courts sustained the right to recover, and some denied



that right. Louisiana was one state where, I believe, you could not avoid giving a franchise by reason of having allowed a public service corporation to use your streets. Now what the courts might think would be a reasonable price for this use we do not know. In this case, our own case, under the state law, under the Broughton Act, the Pacific Gas and Electric Company has a franchise to distribute both electricity and gas for lighting purposes.

SUPERVISOR UHL: For artificial lights.

MR. O'TOOLE: For artificial lights, yes. They tell me about 5 per cent of their—oh, I don't know, we can't tell that, only the company itself can tell that—but as far as electricity for power is concerned it might run 50 per cent and it might only run 45 per cent. Now, of course, Supervisor McSheehy has called attention to the fact that if we bring this suit we will secure a certain amount. Now I never guarantee to win a lawsuit, but if we do, of course, you recover for that use of our streets. Now, of course, they have raised the question, and I haven't found any case on all-fours, because here we have a constitutional franchise to maintain conduits, poles, and so forth, and to go ahead with service as far as lighting is concerned—

SUPERVISOR UHL: Would you pardon a question right here?

MR. O'TOOLE: Yes.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Does the company insist that if they pay the back part that they be given a franchise, or will they leave it in status quo?

MR. O'TOOLE: The answer is "No" on that. They say that if you want to consider the matter of granting a franchise, "We will discuss what we should pay for past service on the same terms that we will discuss what we should pay for a franchise for future use."

SUPERVISOR UHL: Have you contacted them since about stipulating what they would be willing to pay on account of that back service, or prior service?

MR. O'TOOLE: None of the officers of the company, Supervisor, have that power; they tell me that it would take a meeting of the board.

SUPERVISOR UHL: And about waiving the statute of limitations—

MR. O'TOOLE: They maintain that none of the officers have the right to waive the statute of limitations and that it would take an action on the part of the board of directors.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Isn't it true, Mr. O'Toole, that the so-called franchise law was drawn by the League of California Municipalities?

MR. O'TOOLE: That is correct.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: For the specific purpose of bringing in additional revenues to the municipalities without in any way jeopardizing their rights to go into the distribution of hydro-electric power, when and if they should desire?

MR. O'TOOLE: That is my understanding, and that the company could not therefore write into any franchise any inhibition or anything in any way giving them the right to place a value upon such franchise should condemnation of their property be desired. They could not value the franchise when you condemn their property, that is, not beyond whatever they pay for that franchise.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Then the point is that it is all in favor of negotiating for that franchise and in that manner make it absolutely certain that we will receive that back payment for the use of our streets, as stipulated in the letter to you, and that in addition thereto we will receive the rental for the franchise. Now that was the original recommendation of the City Attorney—

MR. O'TOOLE: No, no, Supervisor, not to grant them a franchise, but to negotiate with them.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Yes, to negotiate with them, that is what I propose to do now, and that is what Supervisor McSheehy has eliminated, and Congressman Havenner in his recommendation from Wash-

ington has eliminated, that is the possibility of negotiating for a franchise, and that has brought about a situation which may lead, in my opinion, to a very lengthy and very costly litigation which our City Attorney says that he cannot guarantee.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Do I understand you to say that if we lose the suit we lose everything?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Supervisor, according to the letter we got, or our City Attorney got, from the company, we will get the money before we set the tax rate this September, and if it is only, say, \$800,000, it will mean a reduction of about 5 per cent in the tax rate, which is something greatly to be desired——

MR. O'TOOLE: Let me answer Supervisor Uhl, if I may.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Certainly.

MR. O'TOOLE: Of course, if we lose, we don't get anything for past service, and when you get anything at all it will only be for the franchise when and if it is granted.

The suit is ready and I would have filed it today, Supervisor, with the exception that you asked that this matter be given further consideration before the Board.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Supervisor McSheehy, you made a motion previously——

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: And I am going to speak to it further.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I made an error, we were talking about the budget here, or the salary ordinance, and when the matter of the suit was brought up I didn't realize what had happened until the letter was read and discussed, and later on I felt I had made an error, and by my error I took away the possibility of getting immediate funds for the City——

SUPERVISOR UHL: That is possible.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: With that in view I am making the motion now that the City Attorney negotiate with the company with a view to determining what amount in a lump sum we can obtain for these past services, and for a franchise, and I offer it to you in that sense. Further, the matter of municipal ownership is not involved in any sense, and the rights of the City are not involved in any way, the City can go to work and in any bond election do anything it wants to regardless of this, but here is a chance to get some money immediately to reduce the tax rate.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Mr. President, and members of the Board: This matter has been before the Board a great many times, a great many times. I was going to ask Mr. O'Toole a question, but he has already answered it, he says that he has not filed the suit, for certain reasons, but that it can be filed tomorrow——

MR. O'TOOLE: Yes, Supervisor.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: You owe a certain courtesy to a Supervisor on this Board who wanted to introduce this resolution. Now, what is the history of this entire matter? The history simply is that we passed a resolution, and that resolution asked the City Attorney to file a suit. The matter came up again, as I have the language of the City Attorney in reference to a motion I made, and this Board passed that resolution which in effect was sufficient of a mandate to the City Attorney to file the suit. Now I have used the words "statute of limitations" a great many times, and I am here with the positive figures showing we are losing something like a thousand dollars a day every day that the filing of this suit is deferred. I can show that with my figures, and so one member of the Board of Supervisors has made a motion, and there is only one thing to do, and that is to vote that motion down. If the motion is voted down our City Attorney tomorrow morning will file the suit and the statute of limitations will then run against the company and not against the City. Now I am not going into all of the details at this time showing the operations of the company, but they have used our streets for sixty odd years without a franchise, and now all I am asking them to do is that we charge



them for the services we are rendering to the company,—and we are rendering them to the company. No corporation in this great country has received the consideration they are receiving, one of the largest corporations we have in our state, and in 1936 they showed a profit of some twenty-four million one hundred thousand odd dollars.

Now I say that if you want the figures, I have them here, and in reference to the statute of limitations and my statement of \$1,000 a day, and I can read them to you; we had them in the committee meeting, but I don't want to take up the time of the members of the Board, we have had a long meeting here today, and all we have to do is to vote Supervisor Colman's motion down. You all know how Supervisor Colman stands on this matter, in season and out of season, Supervisor Colman has always opposed the distribution of our own hydro-electric power by this City, and he makes no bones about it, he stands firm. I may stand just as firm myself on certain propositions, and I do on this one. Then again a certain condition exists in reference to the decision rendered against us, and I know that a condition exists in the entire country, and that the disposition of the national administration in this matter, and without prolonging it I trust that you are going to vote, I hope and trust that at least six members of this Board will not attempt to reverse themselves and support Supervisor Colman. Simply stand as you have always stood and vote his motion down, and our City Attorney will proceed tomorrow morning to file a suit and the statute of limitations will then run against the company and not against the City.

SUPERVISOR MEAD: Roll call.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Roll call.

SUPERVISOR RATTO: Just a minute, I have the floor. Mr. City Attorney, what is the effect to the City whether we bring a suit or offer an agreement, offer to negotiate?

MR. O'TOOLE: What is that? I didn't get your question, Supervisor.

SUPERVISOR RATTO: What is the difference in effect whether you bring a suit or try to negotiate?

MR. O'TOOLE: I can't answer that, Supervisor. I have prepared a suit and I am ready to bring it, and if we bring a suit the company may say, "All right, we will rely on the legal question and await the determination of the suit," and if they do that, of course, we shall have to go ahead and determine the suit, and if we win the suit we will get the money; and if we don't, we lose—

SUPERVISOR UHL: And if we lose?

MR. O'TOOLE: Then we have to start in with them to negotiate for a franchise. The suit is ready to commence.

SUPERVISOR RATTO: As far as the finances are concerned, will we get a benefit more by commencing a suit than by an agreement?

MR. O'TOOLE: Of course, that depends entirely on whether the company would signify their willingness to negotiate after a suit is commenced. If they don't agree to that, then we have to go through with the suit and if we win we get the money; and if we don't win we start negotiating for a franchise.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Supervisor McSheehy made the statement we start in from the date suit is started—

SUPERVISOR RATTO: Just a minute. If you have no decision how can you start?

MR. O'TOOLE: I don't know, you gentlemen here are directors of this corporation, and it is a matter of policy which you must determine. If we bring the suit and the company says, "Go ahead with the suit and when that is determined if we owe you any money we will pay you, and then sit down and talk franchise with you, and after that we will pay you." If they take the position that "until you win the suit we won't negotiate" and then the suit is determined against you you haven't recovered anything and have to start in negotiating for the franchise.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Supervisor McSheehy said that if you bring the suit we will get \$1,000 a day from the day the suit begins.

MR. O'TOOLE: If you win you will get paid from the date the suit is started, and three years back; and if you don't win you don't get any money and you then start to negotiate for a franchise.

SUPERVISOR RATTO: Say we did bring a suit, Mr. O'Toole, and the lower court went against the company, they would appeal—

MR. O'TOOLE: That is correct.

SUPERVISOR RATTO: —and how many years would it take before a decision would be handed down from the higher court?

MR. O'TOOLE: We have had one case, the street car company case, where we made a quicker decision, but I can ordinarily say that appeals to the Supreme Court take from eight months to a year before they are finally decided. By the time both sides get their briefs in, and argue the case, and then each side, whichever side loses, has the privilege of asking for a rehearing, and that usually takes another sixty days—I don't know of any case we have had in the office where we got by in much less time than six to eight months to a year—

SUPERVISOR RATTO: It looks more like the Raker Act.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Members of the Board, I can't—I can't stop any Supervisor from voting any way he wishes to. You can reverse yourselves here this afternoon if you want to, but here is a suit before you today, and this suit is in such form that the possibility of losing is almost impossible, because the company acknowledges that they have been going along for sixty odd years without a franchise. I have the figures here to show you, and they have never been denied by anyone, I have the figures here to show you that the electricity—I am talking now about 1936—that the entire electricity for San Francisco is \$16,043, and the gas \$16,343,753, and the gas \$8,111,717, showing their earnings for the year 1936 were \$24,155,000.

SUPERVISOR UHL: You said sixteen thousand?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: \$16,000,043. Now the law has been passed and we are entitled to two per cent for our portion for issuing this franchise—

MR. O'TOOLE: I don't want to argue, Supervisor, but when you say two per cent you are not quite right. Certainly there is evidence upon which to base a rate, but under the so-called Dinuba case, which has more or less been followed, it was one per cent, and I don't know of any city which has made any contract with them much better than one per cent. Perhaps we might be able to do better, but they are lying back on the Dinuba case, and that formula was applied in the case of San Jose, Stockton, and most of the other cities. I am not saying that we would have to do the same thing, but they have been granted franchises on the basis of about one per cent.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: All I can say, that is what has been offered to us in committee.

MR. O'TOOLE: The act is rather uncertain in one paragraph.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: All right, when it comes to a matter of law I won't differ with you, John, but this is simply a matter of business between this Board of Supervisors, board of directors of this City, and the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, and we are about to rescind an action of this Board,—our action was to have our City Attorney file a suit. The statement has never been denied, and cannot be denied in reference to the statute of limitations,—now some say three years and some four—I am not certain about that—but I do know that from the very day suit is filed the statute of limitations runs against the company and not against the City, and I do know that these figures show that right up to today we would collect \$1,000,000 for four years, it amounts to about \$319,000 a year, according to these figures. Now I realize that Mr. O'Toole has experts in his office, and I realize that he would bring in his experts in filing a suit, and I realize that if he files a suit San Francisco will get the best possible settlement. Members of the Board, all we have to do now is to vote



Supervisor Colman's motion down, and I trust and hope there will be some members of this Board who will not change their views on this matter. Supervisor Colman comes here today with but one purpose, and that purpose is to rescind the action we have taken, the action instructing our City Attorney to bring this suit, and I simply ask under these conditions that you do not change your vote. City Attorney O'Toole told you last week he did not enter the suit simply because he was too busy, and I am not going to differ with Mr. O'Toole on that particular point, and Mr. O'Toole says today that he is all ready to file the suit tomorrow morning. Now this has been a matter of controversy on this Board for a long, long time, and I am not going to tell you what happened six years ago, but I trust and hope that six members won't follow the lead of Supervisor Colman,—and he is leading you if you rescind,—and you are asking the City Attorney to negotiate with the company. Why not ask him to file the suit and negotiate just the same, because the statute of limitations will lose nothing in any shape, manner or form in filing the suit, and we will then have the statute of limitations running with us.

Now, members of the Board, I know that it takes six votes, and I won't stop to guess which way any of you will vote, but I hope and trust that six members will not at this time sustain this motion so carefully thought out by Supervisor Colman.

SUPERVISOR UHL: What figures did you set up?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I have the figures set up, and I can only give you the figures given to me. My figures, whether correct as to one per cent or two per cent I can't discuss.

MR. O'TOOLE: We have taken advantage, in our complaint, of the full two per cent, and Mr. Ellis, our engineer, advises us that if our contentions are correct it would produce \$1,000,009—

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: That is the amount I have set up, and the statute of limitations runs every day against us until we file this suit.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Here is a thought that runs through my mind, and I would like to ask the City Attorney, if you don't mind, Supervisor McSheehy—

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Yes, any question you want.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Has the City here—This is the thought running through my mind—Supposing the court of appeal, on the appeal taken on the Raker Act, suppose the court decides that the company is distributing Hetch Hetchy power, suppose they should decide it is power of this City,—how would the court look upon the matter, if they are distributing our power, how do they come off then?

MR. O'TOOLE: I don't get you, Supervisor.

SUPERVISOR UHL: If the upper court will decide that the Pacific Gas and Electric Company is distributing our power—Do you follow me on that?

MR. O'TOOLE: Yes.

SUPERVISOR UHL: That is what I am trying to bring out, suppose the court decides that, what happens in the suit if the other side says we are distributing your own power?

MR. O'TOOLE: That's one of the differences—

SUPERVISOR RATTO: Their entire distribution doesn't come from Hetch Hetchy.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Who is going to answer that?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: It is for a franchise for the use of our streets, and a franchise has never been given to them and they have been distributing power without a franchise for sixty odd years.

SUPERVISOR UHL: My thought is this: If they claim they are distributing our power how can we charge for a franchise if they are distributing our power, if the court so decides.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Well, no one could tell you just what amount of power comes from Hetch Hetchy, the power from Hetch Hetchy is run over the line and into, you might say, the power line

down at Newark, and delivered and distributed all over, so as to defining it as to distributing any part, I don't think anybody could define that.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: That is a weak place in our armor—

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Members of the Board, I hope you won't and I realize the position we are in, and what the outcome will be I don't know; but I know it is going to place some people connected with the City government in a rather embarrassing position, but it won't place me—

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I should like the privilege of closing, as the author of the motion.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Mr. City Attorney, if you file the suit tomorrow, are you prohibited from still having a talk with that company?

MR. O'TOOLE: There is nothing in your resolution that prohibits it, but I don't know whether the company wants to negotiate after a suit is filed or not; I couldn't tell you that.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Is there anything which prohibits us from negotiating?

MR. O'TOOLE: Well, it takes two people to negotiate; one on one side and one on the other side; and if they want to continue negotiating after a suit is started we can negotiate; but if they don't want to continue to negotiate after a suit is filed, and simply says, "You have made your choice and must go through with the suit," then we can't negotiate.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I think the Supervisor misses the point.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: This is a motion that was made, I made the motion, but the language was entirely our City Attorney's language, and you remember it very well. I am reading to you part of our own record:

"SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: May I ask your help, if you would dictate the motion, and I will take the responsibility for the motion?" That question was asked by me of our City Attorney. Now:

"MR. O'TOOLE: Resolved, that unless the City Attorney can report to this Board of Supervisors by June 1st of the present year, some concrete proposition from the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, to compensate the City for the use of its streets during the past years, the City Attorney be and he is hereby instructed to bring action in the proper court against the Pacific Gas and Electric Company to recover the reasonable value of the use of said streets by the Pacific Gas and Electric Company for the distribution of gas and electricity for other than lighting purposes. How is that?"

"SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: That is fine. Mr. President, you have heard the wording of the resolution, and I will offer that if I can receive a second."

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: What is the beginning of that, again? The "Resolved" part?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: "Resolved, that unless the City Attorney can report to this Board of Supervisors by June 1st of the present year"—

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: That is all. Mr. City Attorney, what did you report by June 1st?

MR. O'TOOLE: I don't think I made any report June 1st, but at the last meeting, or on June 6th, I reported that I had not had the actual time to commence a suit, but now the suit is ready to be filed.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: If I understood the resolution—

MR. O'TOOLE: Yes, that unless I could report to this Board some concrete statement by the Pacific Gas and Electric Company that they were willing to pay a certain sum to the City for past use of our streets, I would commence suit.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Is there hope now that you can negotiate with them for a lump sum?



MR. O'TOOLE: No, sir, not unless we negotiate at the time the negotiations are had for the granting of a franchise.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: That is the point, gentlemen, at the suggestion or the recommendation of our City Attorney—the matter came from the City Attorney—I made the motion that we negotiate for a franchise and at the same time negotiate with a view to securing the back payment, and that particular motion was passed, it was referred to the Utilities Committee and Supervisor McSheehy voted for it and it was passed, and then former Supervisor Havenner wrote from Washington that he thought that we should not issue a franchise to them under any circumstances. Supervisor McSheehy then—May I have your attention, Supervisor Reilly and Supervisor Ratto?

THE PRESIDENT: The members will kindly take their seats. Proceed, Supervisor Colman.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Then the Board rescinded its action and determined the matter would be negotiated without any mention of the issuance of the franchise, and then we determined if those negotiations were not successful we would bring a suit, and I voted for it, but not understanding when I voted for it just what it meant, and not being aware of all of the provisions of the new franchise act which is now in effect. Now if we negotiate for a franchise with the company they have signified their intention of paying a lump sum to the City in view of having used the City's streets in the past for this purpose, and have also signified their intention of determining a lump sum for a franchise for the use of the City's streets in the future. The franchise act was drafted by the California League of Municipalities and their attorneys, and so forth, for the express purpose of providing revenue for the various municipalities without in any way sacrificing any of their rights—I do wish this whispering would stop for a few minutes. I tried to listen to you, Supervisor Reilly, although I admit I was bored, but still I listened.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: I'm bored, too——

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Reilly, order, please.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I listened just the same, and if you are bored you should at least listen.

This provides a method by which a very sizable sum of money could be brought into the City and County of San Francisco by time for the setting of the next tax rate without sacrificing one right, and without any chance of losing and with a saving of the expense of litigation, and probably a very lengthy litigation.

THE PRESIDENT: And reduce the taxes for next year.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: This will most certainly reduce the taxes, if the company gives the City a lump sum for the use of our streets in the past and a lump sum in payment for the issuance to them of a franchise.

THE PRESIDENT: Are you a candidate for office next year, Supervisor?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I most certainly am. Aren't you?

THE PRESIDENT: I most certainly am.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I hope that if there is any stigma attached to my leadership, or if any of you feel that it might possibly hurt you in any way that you will vote against it, but I want to set myself straight, and the way you are going now you might lose and get nothing, you might vote for a very long and very expensive matter before the courts, a matter which might take a long, long time, and the way I recommend, and what we passed first, you can't lose and you will get a sizable sum to reduce the tax rate.

SUPERVISOR UHL: We will get that money in how many days? Will the City Attorney tell us how we could get that sum for this year's tax rate?

MR. O'TOOLE: I can't tell you. And as a matter of fact I want the Board to do the negotiating. This is a matter that I cannot personally do, and it is something that must be negotiated by the Board. We

will lay down to the Board and tell you just what you can do and what you cannot do, but I think that you gentlemen are much better bargainers than I am when it comes to seeing how much money you can get from the Pacific Gas and Electric. This must be done by you, not the City Attorney. Of course, we would be in there with you rendering whatever assistance we could, but when it comes to the actual bargaining you gentlemen would have to do it, but you would have the use of our knowledge of the law, and Mr. Ellis' and Mr. Beck's knowledge of rates, the amount of business the company is doing, and things of that kind, and such other assistance as we would be able to give you.

**SUPERVISOR UHL:** The reason I asked the question, Mr. O'Toole, Supervisor Colman makes the statement that the money will be in by September 1st.

**MR. O'TOOLE:** It wouldn't be in by September 1st, Supervisor, I know; but it might, if the negotiations were completed—remember a franchise cannot be effective until 60 days.

**SUPERVISOR UHL:** Supervisor Colman said that if you come to an agreement we would get an actual payment of the money by September 1st, in time for the next tax rate.

**THE PRESIDENT:** Call the roll.

**THE CLERK:** Supervisor Brown?

**SUPERVISOR BROWN:** Aye.

**THE CLERK:** Supervisor Colman?

**SUPERVISOR COLMAN:** Aye.

**THE CLERK:** Supervisor McSheehy?

**SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY:** No.

**THE CLERK:** Supervisor Mead?

**SUPERVISOR MEAD:** No.

**THE CLERK:** Supervisor Meyer? (Absent.)

**Supervisor Ratto?**

**SUPERVISOR RATTO:** Aye.

**THE CLERK:** Supervisor Reilly?

**SUPERVISOR REILLY:** No.

**THE CLERK:** Supervisor Roncovieri?

**SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI:** Aye.

**THE CLERK:** Supervisor Schmidt?

**SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT:** No.

**THE CLERK:** Supervisor Shannon?

**SUPERVISOR SHANNON:** Aye.

**THE CLERK:** Supervisor Uhl?

**SUPERVISOR UHL:** Aye.

**THE CLERK:** Six "Ayes," four "Noes," one absent.

**SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY:** Just a moment, please. I want to give notice of reconsideration.

**THE PRESIDENT:** Six "Ayes," and four "Noes," it is a motion, Supervisor McSheehy, and a motion for reconsideration is not in order.

**SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI:** One moment. The City Attorney says that it is for this Board to negotiate and that he will act as our intermediary, and I think that we should have a committee of this Board for that purpose.

**SUPERVISOR COLMAN:** I move that the President appoint a committee to meet with the City Attorney on this matter, and you don't need to appoint me. I am not making the motion with that idea in mind.

**SUPERVISOR BROWN:** Second the motion.

**THE PRESIDENT:** All right, is there an objection to the motion?

**SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY:** Yes, yes, Mr. President, there is objection.

**THE PRESIDENT:** Call the roll on the motion to appoint a committee to negotiate with the P. G. & E. and for the City Attorney to act as our intermediary.

**SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY:** Members of the Board, I trust that this motion will be voted down, you can make up your minds that it has been well thought out by Supervisor Colman in case it ever went



through, because Supervisor Colman is a man who very carefully thinks out everything he does, from my experience on the Board; and I might ask our President, who voted for the present motion, ask our President why he is voting for it now? It was not made up before we instructed our City Attorney, our City Attorney was instructed to file this suit, and our City Attorney simply didn't carry out the mandate of the Board, as stated by himself, for reasons of his own. Now here this afternoon we have rescinded our—we have rescinded that decision and placed ourselves in an entirely different position by the action we have taken here this afternoon by just one vote. Now we want to clinch it, make it a lead pipe cinch, by naming a committee to negotiate with the company under the motion outlined by Supervisor Colman. Supervisor Colman is a wonderful talking salesman, and he succeeded in selling the case to you here this afternoon, and I say to you that under these conditions I trust and hope that the members of this Board, six members of this Board, are not in favor of Supervisor Colman's motion and will not attempt to cinch it by allowing our President, under these conditions, to appoint a committee to negotiate with the company.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Didn't I understand Supervisor Roncovieri to ask when the negotiations should take place?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Yes, I did.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Naturally, if we are going to follow the line of the previous talk, these negotiations should take place immediately, and if it is the intention,—and that is the main object,—to reduce the franchise, there is no sense—

THE PRESIDENT: What do you mean "reduce the franchise"?

SUPERVISOR UHL: The tax rate.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. O'Toole said it couldn't be done by September.

SUPERVISOR UHL: He did say that the negotiations could be made, the money made available—

SUPERVISOR RATTO: Roll call.

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor McSheehy has the floor.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Members of the Board, I simply trust that you will vote against rescinding this motion—Supervisor Colman can stand by his guns, but I hope there will be no attempt by a majority vote to appoint this committee, because it is simply cinching the whole thing.

THE PRESIDENT: Call the roll.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Brown? (Absent.)

Supervisor Colman?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor McSheehy?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Mead?

SUPERVISOR MEAD: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Meyer? (Absent.)

Supervisor Ratto?

SUPERVISOR RATTO: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Reilly?

SUPERVISOR REILLY: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Roncovieri?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Schmidt?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Shannon?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Uhl?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Aye.

THE CLERK: Five "Ayes", four "Noes," and two absent. The motion is carried.

THE PRESIDENT: Yes, the motion is carried.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Mr. President, I appeal from the decision of the Chair.

THE PRESIDENT: An appeal has been taken from the decision of the Chair. Supervisor Colman, will you kindly put the appeal?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: An appeal has been taken from the decision of the Chair. The question is, shall the decision of the Chair be the decision of the Board? A vote "Aye" sustains the Chair. A vote "No" overrules the Chair. Call the roll.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Brown? (Absent.)

Supervisor Colman?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor McSheehy?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Mead?

SUPERVISOR MEAD: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Meyer? (Absent.)

Supervisor Ratto?

SUPERVISOR RATTO: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Reilly?

SUPERVISOR REILLY: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Roncovieri?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Schmidt? (Absent.)

Supervisor Shannon?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Uhl?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Aye.

THE CLERK: Five "Ayes"; three "Noes",—

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: May I ask—

SUPERVISOR RATTO: Just a minute. What is the vote?

THE CLERK: Five "Ayes"; three "Noes"; and three absent.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: The decision of the Chair is sustained.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: May I at this time ask that a stenographic report of the entire proceedings pertaining to the rescinding of Supervisor Colman's motion be printed in the Journal?

THE PRESIDENT: Is there any objection? (No response.) So ordered.

#### Called Out from Committee.

Supervisor McSheehy called out from the Public Utilities Committee the following resolution, presented by him and referred to committee on May 9, 1938, and moved its adoption:

**Declaring that No Bond Issue Shall Ever Be Submitted Unless at the Same Election There Shall Also Be Re-submitted the Hetch Hetchy Power Bonds.**

(Code No. 15.032)

Resolution No. 3959, as follows:

Whereas, San Francisco has an outstanding bonded indebtedness in excess of \$160,000,000 and its present limit of bonded indebtedness is approximately \$229,000,000; and

Whereas, a decision has been rendered by the United States District Court that the contract between this City and Pacific Gas & Electric Company for the sale of our Hetch Hetchy power for approximately \$2,000,000 a year is prohibited by Section 6 of the Raker Act of Congress, and this \$2,000,000 a year will be lost unless provision is made for the acquisition or construction of facilities for the transmission and distribution of Hetch Hetchy power, and a bond issue of \$50,000,000 or thereabouts for such electric transmission and distribution system must be submitted to the voters and ratified by them within six months; and

Whereas, any bond issues for a rapid transit system or other pur-



pose ratified by the voters of this City would increase the bonded indebtedness permitted by law and make more difficult the issuance of bonds for the electric transmission and distribution lines necessary to distribute Hetch Hetchy power in this City; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors will not submit to the voters for ratification any bond issue for a rapid transit system or other purposes unless there shall also be submitted at the same election, or at an election previously held, of a proposition to authorize the issuance of bonds in the sum of \$50,000,000, or such other sum as may be appropriate for the extension of the Hetch Hetchy power lines to San Francisco, and the acquisition or construction of an appropriate system for the city-wide distribution of electric energy.

#### Refused Adoption.

Whereupon the roll was called and the foregoing resolution was *refused adoption* as follows:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead—2.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Schmidt—3.

#### Adopted.

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Mr. Joseph P. Nourse, Superintendent of Schools.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 4035, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mr. Joseph P. Nourse, Superintendent of Schools, is hereby granted a leave of absence from June 23 to July 9, 1938, inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Leave of Absence—Hon. Phil C. Katz, Public Administrator.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 4036, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Phil C. Katz, Public Administrator, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing June 18, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**His Honor the Mayor to Appoint Citizens Committee for Observance of Cabrillo Day.**

(Code No. 5.92)

Supervisor Mead presented for Supervisor Meyer:

Resolution No. 4037, as follows:

Whereas, it is proposed to hold Cabrillo Day Celebration in the City and County of San Francisco on Saturday, September 24, 1938; now therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor, the Mayor, of the City and County of San Francisco, is hereby respectfully requested to appoint a Citizens'

Committee to prepare for the proper observance on September 24, 1938, of "Cabrillo Day" in honor of John Rodrigues Cabrillo, who discovered California in 1542.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Meyer—1.

**Schedule of Proposed Highway Sectors for Submission to State Director of Public Works and California Highway Commission.**

Supervisor Ratto presented communication from Mr. Clyde Edmondson, Redwood Empire Association, requesting certified list of highway sectors which San Francisco wishes recommended for inclusion in the next Biennium Budget of the California Highway Commission and State Department of Public Works. Mr. Edmondson requests, also, that the Board name a Highway Field Survey Committeeman to represent the City and County of San Francisco in a field study and analysis of State Highway sectors so proposed for inclusion in the 1939-1941 Biennium Budget of the State of California.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

**San Francisco's Participation in Next Unit of Joint Highway District No. 9.**

Supervisor Ratto presented communication from Mr. H. R. Judah, President of California Highway Commission, with respect to San Francisco's participation in the next unit of Joint Highway District No. 9.

Supervisor Ratto moved that the Board go on record pledging co-operation with San Mateo and Santa Cruz Counties on the next unit of the Highway District No. 9 project, cost of which will amount to \$240,000, this payment to be made over a period of four years, the money to come from the Special Quarter Cent Gas Tax Fund.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

**Installation of Officers, Soathern Council of Civic Clubs.**

Supervisor Reilly presented communication from the Southern Council of Civic Clubs, inviting the Board to attend the annual installation of officers.

Invitation *accepted* on motion by Supervisor Reilly.

**Committee Meeting Called.**

Joint Committee, Public Utilities and Finance, Friday, June 17, at 10:30 a. m.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 7:55 p. m., adjourned.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors June 20, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, David A. Barry, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

DAVID A. BARRY,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.



Vol. 33

No. 27

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

Monday, June 20, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JUNE 20, 1938, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, June 20, 1938,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Quorum present.

Supervisor McSheehy presiding temporarily in absence of President Shannon.

President Shannon was noted present at 2:20 p. m. and assumed the chair.

## Supervisor Mead Excused.

Subsequently during the meeting Supervisor Mead, at 2:45 p. m., asked to be excused and it was *so ordered*.

Supervisor Mead returned to the meeting at 5 p. m.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of June 13, 1938, was considered read and approved.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

### Hearing of Appeal—Rezoning Northwest Corner Army and Florida Streets.

Hearing of appeal from decision of the City Planning Commission, denying application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the northwesterly corner of Army and Florida streets.

### Discussion.

Mr. Abraham N. Dresow, attorney representing the appellant, and Mr. James Herlihy, the applicant, urged the disapproving of the decision of the City Planning Commission and the rezoning of the property at the northwesterly corner of Army and Florida streets. Mrs. Austin Kelly, Mrs. Felton, Mrs. Rose Walker and Mrs. H. Mercy opposed the rezoning. Mr. Mark Jorgensen presented the views of the City Planning Commission.

### Adoption Refused.

Whereupon, the following resolution, presented by the City Planning Committee, was *refused adoption* by the following vote:

**Disapproving Decision of City Planning Commission Denying Application to Rezone From Second Residential District to Commercial District, Property Located at the Northwesterly Corner of Army and Florida Streets.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 4049, as follows:

Resolved, That the decision of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1786, dated May 5, 1938, denying application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District property located at the northwesterly corner of Army and Florida streets is hereby disapproved.

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Reilly, Roncovieri—5.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—6.

**UNFINISHED BUSINESS.**

None.

**NEW BUSINESS.**

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Refunds of Erroneous Payments of Taxes.**

(Code No. 9.059)

Resolution No. 4038, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized to be paid to the following named, being refunds of erroneous and overpayments of taxes:

*From Duplicate Tax Fund, Appropriation 905.*

- |   |          |
|---|----------|
| 1. Geris Athas, per Vol. 36, Bill 2396, Lot 20-A, Block 6120, 2d installment, Fiscal Year 1937.....   | \$ 31.60 |
| 2. Raffaele Nerelli, per Vol. 31, Bill 193, Lot 18, Block 4995, \$12.46; per Vol. 30, Bill 890, Lot 21, Block 4943, \$3.10, 2d installment, Fiscal Year 1937..... | 15.56    |
| 3. Antonietta Foriani, per Vol. 41, Bill 2461, Lot 41, Block 6786, 1st Installment, Fiscal Year 1937.....   | 9.68     |
| 4. Bank of America, N. T. & S. A., per Vol. 41, Bill 1999, Lots 25-28, Block 6769, 2d Installment, Fiscal Year 1937.....  | 31.74    |
| 5. Eyla J. Mandeville, per Bill 1288, Lot 31, Block 2333-A, 2d installment, Fiscal Year 1937.....   | 26.13    |
| 6. Dellia K. Kollman, per Vol. 12, Bill 1503, Lot 27, Block 1651, 2d Installment, Fiscal Year 1937.....   | 89.03    |

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Cancellation of Duplicate Assessment.**

(Code No. 9.0411)

Also, Resolution No. 4039, as follows:

Whereas, the Assessor has reported that improvements on Lot 2, Block 3935, and on Lot 4, Block 3909, were acquired by the Western Pacific Railroad Company prior to the first Monday in March, 1936, and were returned to the State Board of Equalization for assessment in 1936 and 1937, and are included in the assessment of improvements in the rolls for those years; and

Whereas, the improvements on Lot 2, Block 3935, and on Lot 4, Block 3909, were assessed against the former owners on the Assessment Rolls of the City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal years 1936-1937 and 1937-1938; therefore, be it



Resolved, That with the consent of the City Attorney and the recommendation of the Controller, in conformity with Section 3804 of the Political Code, the following assessments are hereby cancelled:

Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co., Lot 2, Block 3935, Fiscal Years 1936-1937 and 1937-1938;

Frank J. Foran, Lot 4, Block 3909, Fiscal Years 1936-1937 and 1937-1938; and be it

Further Resolved, That Resolution No. 3722 be and is hereby repealed.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

#### Land Purchase—Nineteenth Avenue.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 4040, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Abbie L. Jordan a portion of Lot 30, Assessor's Block 1835, San Francisco, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, and that the sum of \$2,800 be paid for said property from Appropriation No. 951-908.57, Project No. 5-A.

The above sum includes damages in full to the improvements now located on the property, said improvements to be relocated by the grantor within thirty days after recording the deed to the City.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named party for a particular description of said parcel of land.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

#### Approval of Warrants, Islais Creek Reclamation District.

(Code No. 12.04)

Also, Resolution No. 4041, as follows:

Be it Resolved, That the following warrants of Islais Creek Reclamation District—No. 828 to J. H. Baxter & Co. for \$141.48, No. 829 to Adelheid G. Schultze for \$146.30, No. 830 to James Madison Estate Co. for \$80.25, No. 831 to Lucy H. Allyne-Edith W. Allyne for \$132.50, No. 832 to Helen D. Umben for \$144.91, No. 833 to Helen D. Umben for \$166.84, No. 834 to Elizabeth Kelly for \$35.04, No. 835 to D. Matheson for \$3.56—payable out of the funds of said district, be and the same are hereby approved; and that the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as Chairman of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and the Clerk of said Board, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Authorizing a Supplemental Appropriation of \$7,871.58 for Land Purchase Necessary for Construction of a Service Road.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1568, Ordinance No. 9.051473, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$7,871.58 from Appropriation 748.900.00, to the credit of Appropriation 748.934.00, for land purchase necessary for the construction of a service road adjacent to and parallel with the easterly line of Junipero Serra boulevard from the southerly line of Eucalyptus drive to a point 2000 feet more or less southerly therefrom.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$7,871.58 is hereby appropriated and set aside from Appropriation 748.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation 748.934.00 for land purchase necessary for the construction of a service road adjacent to and parallel with the easterly line of Junipero Serra boulevard from the southerly line of Eucalyptus drive to a point 2000 feet more or less southerly therefrom.

Recommended by W. H. Worden, Director of Department of Public Works.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor, City and County of San Francisco.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Board of Supervisors to Act as a Board of Equalization.**

(Code No. 1.0621)

Resolution No. 4043, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors will meet on Tuesday, July 5, 1938, at 2 p. m., and examine the assessment books of real and personal property for the year 1937 and will thereafter be in session as a Board of Equalization from time to time until Monday, July 18, 1938, at 12 o'clock noon, for the purpose of hearing applications, verified by oath, for the equalization of assessment books.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Providing for Notice in Official Newspaper, Refiling Statement of Real and Personal Property.**

(Code No. 1.0621)

Also, Resolution No. 4044, as follows:

Whereas, a list of all persons residents of the City and County of San Francisco who have failed to file with the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco a statement under oath, setting forth specifically all real and personal property not exempt from taxation owned by each of said persons on the first Monday of March, 1938, at twelve o'clock noon of said day, after demand therefor was made by said Assessor, having been filed with the Board; be it



Resolved, That each of the aforesaid persons who have failed to file said statement, be and he or she is hereby required to file with the Clerk of the Board within ten days from and after date hereof, a statement under oath, setting forth specifically all the property owned or controlled or in the possession of said person on the first Monday of March, 1938; and be it

Further Resolved, That notice to all of the said persons herein referred to be given by the publication of a notice in the official newspaper, which said notice shall be in the words and figures following, to-wit:

To each individual person who has failed to file with the Assessor a verified statement setting forth all the real and personal property not exempt from taxation, owned by such person or in his possession or under his control at twelve o'clock noon on the first Monday of March, 1938.

You and each of you are hereby required to file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco within ten days from and after the date hereof, a statement under oath, setting forth specifically all of the property owned or controlled by you or under your possession, at twelve o'clock noon on the first Monday of March, 1938.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Authorizing the Director of Property to Aid and Assist the Board of Supervisors Sitting as a Board of Equalization and to Employ the Necessary Appraisers to Pass upon Requests Made for Equalization in 1938-1939 Assessments.**

(Code No. 1.0621)

Bill No. 1594, Ordinance No. 1.06219, as follows:

Authorizing the Director of Property to aid and assist the Board of Supervisors sitting as a Board of Equalization and to employ the necessary appraisers to pass upon requests made for equalization in 1938-1939 assessments.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Property of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to aid, assist and advise the Board of Supervisors, sitting as a Board of Equalization, in passing upon requests made to said Board for the equalization of 1938-1939 assessments on taxable property in the City and County of San Francisco. Said services shall be rendered to said Board of Supervisors during the time that it sits as a Board of Equalization and for such time prior thereto as may be necessary to prepare for such investigation.

Section 2. The Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to employ sixteen independent expert real estate and building appraisers as needed, subject to the provisions of Section 142 of the Charter to aid and assist him in advising the Board of Supervisors in regard to requests for equalization in said assessments.

Section 3. For the services herein provided one independent expert building appraiser shall receive not more than \$350. One independent expert real estate and building appraiser shall receive not more than \$275, and the remaining fourteen independent expert real estate and

building appraisers shall receive not more than \$250 each chargeable to 1938-1939 Appropriation No. 801.106.00, and there is hereby ordered transferred from 1938-1939 Appropriation No. 801.106.00 the sum of \$200 to the Director of Property to cover the incidental expenses of his office relative to the service herein directed to be furnished by said Director of Property.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

### **Adopted.**

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were taken up:

#### **Leave of Absence—Dr. J. P. Gray, Director of Public Welfare.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 4042, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Dr. J. P. Gray, Director of Public Welfare, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of three weeks, commencing June 23, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

#### **Leave of Absence—Miss Ruth Turner, Member, Public Welfare Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 4045, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Miss Ruth Turner, a member of the Public Welfare Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of three weeks, commencing June 23, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

#### **Leave of Absence—William J. Quinn, Chief of Police.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 4046, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, William J. Quinn, Chief of Police, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of ten days, commencing June 25, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.



# Annual Salary Ordinance

Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 1939

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 1580, Ordinance No. 9.053128, as follows:

An ordinance enumerating all positions continued and/or created by the Board of Supervisors in adopting the Annual Budget and Appropriation Ordinance for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1939; continuing, and/or creating and/or establishing these positions; enumerating and including therein all positions created by Charter or State law for which salaries are appropriated in the said Annual Appropriation Ordinance; specifying and fixing the compensation of incumbents therein, and providing for maximum compensation of persons appointed to positions herein enumerated which may become vacant during the fiscal year; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the provisions of Section 73 of the Charter the positions hereinafter enumerated under the respective departments are hereby created and/or established and/or continued for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1939. Positions created or authorized by Charter or State law are enumerated and included herein.

Appointing officers as specified in the Charter are hereby authorized to make or continue appointments, as needed, during the said fiscal year to positions enumerated in their respective sections of this ordinance, but in no case to exceed the number of positions or the rate of pay herein enumerated and established; provided that temporary appointments to positions defined by the rules of the Civil Service Commission as seasonal or temporary positions may be made by the respective appointing officers in excess of the number of permanent positions herein established or enumerated, but no appointment to such temporary or seasonal position shall be made until the Controller shall certify that funds are available and the Mayor shall approve, and no such appointment shall continue beyond the period for which the Controller has certified the availability of funds. At the request of the Mayor or the Controller, the Civil Service Commission may investigate and report on the necessity for such temporary or seasonal services.

The Controller and the Civil Service Commission shall be immediately notified of a vacancy occurring in a position herein established and appointing officers shall not make appointments to such vacancies until the Controller shall certify that funds are available and until the Civil Service Commission shall verify the legality of the position and compensation, and the Mayor shall approve.

Section 141 of the Charter provides that the Civil Service Commission shall be the judge of the classification of positions. Any changes made by the Civil Service Commission during the fiscal year 1938-39 in the class title or number of-classification of the duties of a position herein enumerated shall be reported within thirty days by the Civil Service Commission to the Mayor, the Board of Supervisors, the Controller, and the head of the department concerned, in order that the Board of Supervisors may amend the Salary Ordinance to conform to the changes made by the Civil Service Commission.

Section 2. Salary or wage rates herein specified are the maximum gross compensations fixed for the present incumbents of the respective positions herein enumerated, including the valuation of maintenance furnished.

In filling vacancies in positions herein enumerated or in appointing employees to temporary or seasonal positions as provided in Section 1 hereof, which are subject to the provisions of Section 151 of the Charter, the person appointed to such vacancy or temporary or seasonal position shall be paid a wage or salary not to exceed the entrance salary or wage fixed for such position in the proposed schedule of compensations issued by the Civil Service Commission under date of April 9, 1930, except as such proposed schedule or compensation is amended as provided by Charter or extended by the Civil Service Commission to include classifications not included therein and the salary shall remain at the said entrance rate during the current fiscal year; provided, however, that persons who have acquired permanent status in a position who are reemployed in the same position after layoff or leave of absence shall be paid the salary or wage received at the time laid off or granted such leave, provided that in the execution and performance of any contract awarded to a city department under the provisions of Sections 95 and 98 of the Charter, not less than the wage scale fixed by the Board of Supervisors in the Prevailing Wage Resolution and in effect at the time of the award of said contract shall be paid to employees performing work under such contract.

No maintenance shall be provided to any employee in a position subject to the provisions of Section 151 of the Charter in addition to the compensation herein fixed or provided. The compensations fixed for employees engaged in construction work outside the City and County of San Francisco which are not subject to Section 151 of the Charter includes the valuation of maintenance provided such employees and deductions for such accommodations shall be made and indicated on payrolls and timerolls.

Charges for any and all maintenance furnished employees in positions subject to Section 151 of the Charter as indicated herein shall be made and indicated on timerolls and payrolls, and deductions for such maintenance shall be indicated and made on timerolls and payrolls in accordance with the following schedule fixed by the Civil Service Commission for such maintenance; provided, however, that no charge shall be made for meals furnished cooks, bakers, waiters and other kitchen workers.

	For those receiving \$80 per month or less in cash	For those receiving more than \$80 per month in cash
1 meal per day .....	\$ 6.00 Per Mo.	\$10.00 Per Mo.
2 meals per day .....	9.00 Per Mo.	16.50 Per Mo.
3 meals per day .....	12.00 Per Mo.	22.50 Per Mo.
Room or House .....	8.00 Per Mo.	10.00 Per Mo.
Laundry .....	2.00 Per Mo.	2.50 Per Mo.
Board, Room and Laundry.....	22.00 Per Mo.	35.00 Per Mo.
Single Meal .....	.25	.35

Per Mo.

Complete family maintenance furnished the Superintendent of Laguna Honda Home and the Superintendent of the San Francisco Hospital .....\$150

Complete family maintenance furnished the Resident Physician and Superintendent of Hassler Health Home..... 75

House furnished the Superintendent and the Assistant Superintendent, Alameda Division of the Water Department, and the Assistant Superintendent of the Peninsula Division of the Water Department ..... 25



House furnished the Superintendent of the Peninsula Division of the Water Department .....	50
House furnished the Head Pump Operator of the Peninsula and Alameda Division of the Water Department.....	25
House furnished the Engineer, Stationary Steam Engines, at the Water Department .....	15

The letters B., R. & L. used in this ordinance to indicate deduction shall be construed to mean Board, Room or House and Laundry and deductions made in accordance with the schedule herein fixed for such accommodations.

### Section 3. BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	11		Supervisors .....	\$ 200
2	1	B88	Chief Assistant Clerk of the Board of Supervisors .....	400
3	1	B90	Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.....	550
5	1	B222	General Clerk .....	175
6	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
7	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	160
7½	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
8	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
9	3	B413	Assistant Clerk—Board of Supervisors..	250
10	1	D4	Sergeant-at-Arms, Board of Supervisors	200
11	2	O1	Chauffeur .....	250

### Section 4. MAYOR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Mayor .....	\$ 833.33
2	1	B74	Confidential Secretary to Mayor.....	350
3	1	B76	Executive Secretary to Mayor.....	400
4	1	B212	Special Messenger .....	175
5	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
5½	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
6	1	B414	Head Clerk-Stenographer .....	225
7	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator.....	165
8	2	O1	Chauffeur .....	200
9	1	N404	Inspector of Complaints, Mayor's Office.	300
10	1	B236	W. P. A. Project Supervisor.....	200

### Section 5. ASSESSOR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Assessor .....	\$ 666.66
2	1	B52	Executive Secretary .....	250
3	1	B120	Director, Accounts and Records, Assessor's Office .....	300
5	1	B222	General Clerk .....	200
6	1	B418	Confidential Secretary (Ex. Sec. 28)....	200
7	7	B222	General Clerk .....	190

## Section 5. ASSESSOR (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
8	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	\$ 300
9	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	190
10	1	B101	Supervisor Personal Property Records, Assessor's Office .....	200
11	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	300
12	1	B235	Director of Service .....	250
13	1	B242	Blockbook Draftsman .....	225
14	1	B302	Addressing Machine Operator .....	155
15	2	B310a	Tabulating Alphabetic Key Punch Operator .....	155
16	1	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator .....	165
16½	1	B352	Storekeeper (part time) .....	79.50
17	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
18	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
19	2	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
20	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	190
21	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
22	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman .....	200
23	1	G4	Supervising Land Appraiser .....	300
24	1	G5	Chief Land Appraiser .....	330
25	3	G10	Supervising Building Appraiser .....	300
25½	1	G10	Supervising Building Appraiser .....	250
26	1	G11	Chief Building Appraiser .....	330
27	5	G16	Supervising Personal Property Appraisers .....	300
28	1	G17	Chief Personal Property Appraiser .....	330
30*	10	B222	General Clerks .....	160
31*	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	150
32			Seasonal Clerical Services and other temporary services at rates not in excess of salary standardization schedules.	

\*Occupants of these positions are paid from appropriations for temporary services and have acquired permanent status under the rule of the Civil Service Commission adopted pursuant to Section 148 of the Charter.

## Section 6. CITY ATTORNEY

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		City Attorney .....	\$ 833.33
2	1	B222	General Clerk .....	200
3	1	B222	General Clerk .....	155
4	4	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
5	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator .....	160
6	1	F704	Senior Valuation Engineer .....	300
7	1	F706	Chief Valuation Engineer .....	750
8	1	K4	Attorney, Civil .....	300
9	2	K4	Attorney, Civil .....	250
10	2	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil .....	350
11	1	K8	Principal Attorney, Civil .....	800
12	1	K8	Principal Attorney, Civil .....	500
13	1	K12	Chief Attorney, Civil .....	600
14	1	K16	Special Counsel, Water Service .....	833.33
15			Seasonal Clerical Services .....	150



## Section 7. DISTRICT ATTORNEY

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		District Attorney .....	\$ 666.66
2	2	B154	Criminal Law Clerk .....	200
3	3	B154	Criminal Law Clerk .....	190
3½	1	B155	Confidential Secretary to District Attorney .....	175
4	1	B166	Chief Clerk, District Attorney's Office...	250
5	1	B162	Asst. Director, Bureau of Domestic Relations, District Attorney's Office.....	225
6	1	B163	Director, Bureau of Domestic Relations, District Attorney's Office.....	250
7	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
8	1	B222	General Clerk .....	155
9	1	B222	General Clerk (part time) .....	75
10	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
11	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	150
12	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
13	1	B516	Senior Clerk-Typist .....	175
13½	1	D6	Special Officer .....	150
14	1	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil .....	375
15	5	K54	Attorney, Criminal .....	250
16	1	K54	Attorney, Criminal .....	190
17	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	425
18	3	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	375
19	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	300
20	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	250
21	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	225
22	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	200
23	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	190
24	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	150
25	1	K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal .....	375
26	1	K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal .....	300
27	1	K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal .....	250
28		B420	Phonographic Reporter (as needed) \$12.50 day plus transcripts.	

## Section 8. TREASURER.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Treasurer .....	\$ 666.66
2	1	B10	Accountant .....	240
3	1	B14	Senior Accountant .....	300
4	1	B102	Teller .....	240
5	3	B102	Teller .....	210
6	2	B104	Senior Teller .....	250
7	1	B104	Senior Teller .....	240
8	1	B112	Assistant Cashier, Treasurer's Office....	375
9	1	B112	Assistant Cashier, Treasurer's Office....	350
10	1	B222	General Clerk .....	155
11	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175

## Section 9. SHERIFF

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Sheriff .....	\$ 666.66
2	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	175
3	1	B84	Under Sheriff .....	300

## Section 9. SHERIFF (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
4	1	B98	Confidential Secretary to Sheriff (ex-empt Sec. 32) .....	\$ 275
5	1	B222	General Clerk .....	250
6	2	B222	General Clerk .....	215
7	2	B222	General Clerk .....	155
8	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	250
9	1	B362	Produce Buyer and Storekeeper.....	215
10	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	185
11	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
12	1	C52	Elevator Operator .....	155
12½	1	C52	Elevator Operator .....	145
13	4	C154	Keeper .....	160
13½	1	C154	Keeper .....	155
13¾	2	C154	Keeper .....	145
14	1	C156	Head Keeper .....	200
16	27	D2	Bailiff .....	197
17	4	D3	Woman Bailiff .....	170
18	1	D5	Detention Hospital Bailiff .....	220
19	3	D52	Jail Matron .....	197
20	3	D52	Jail Matron .....	190
21	2	D52	Jail Matron .....	180
21½	2	D52	Jail Matron .....	170
22	2	D54	Head Jail Matron .....	210
23	10	D60	Jailer .....	197
24	5	D60	Jailer .....	190
25	4	D60	Jailer .....	180
26	6	D60	Jailer .....	170
27	1	D60	Jailer .....	225
28	6	D64	Captain of Watch .....	210
29	2	D66	Superintendent of Jail .....	275
30	8	D102	Writ Server .....	220
30½	1	D102	Writ Server .....	215
31	1	D102	Writ Server .....	197
32	1	I12	Cook .....	195
33	1	I14	Junior Chef .....	182
34	1	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil (part time)....	200
35	1	L360	Physician .....	335
			(Deduct for B. L. & R.)	
36	1	O1	Chauffeur .....	180
37	1	O52	Farmer .....	200
38	1	O52	Farmer .....	145
39	3	O168	Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines	220
40			Seasonal, Clerical and other temporary services as needed, at rates not in excess of salary standardization schedules.	

## Section 10. PUBLIC DEFENDER

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Public Defender .....	\$ 666.66
2	1	B403	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	165
3	2	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	375



## Section 11. POLICE DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3		Commissioner .....	\$ 100
2	1		Chief of Police.....	600
3	1		Deputy Chief of Police.....	450
4	1		Property Clerk .....	300
5	1		Police Surgeon .....	200
6	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	175
7	1		Department Secretary .....	400
8	1	B310a	Tabulating Alphabetic Key Punch Operator .....	150
8½	1	B310b	Tabulating Numerical Key Punch Operator .....	165
9	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
10	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	230
11		B420	Phonographic Reporter (as needed) \$12.50 day plus transcriptions	
12	7	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
13	1		Director of Criminal Information.....	333.33
14	1		Director of Personnel.....	333.33
15	1		Director of Special Services .....	250
BUREAU OF INSPECTORS				
16	1		Captain of Inspectors .....	416.66
17	75		Inspector .....	230
18	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
19	1	D152	Criminologist .....	300
20	3	Q20	Police Women .....	200
21	7	Q60	Lieutenants .....	250**
22	1	Q62	Photographer, Police Department.....	225
UNIFORM FORCE				
23	1		Supervising Captain .....	333.33
24	1		Captain of Traffic .....	333.33
25	1		Inspector of Motor Vehicles.....	230
26	1		Inspector of Junior Traffic.....	230
27	1		Inspector of Horses and Equipment.....	230
28	1		Inspector of Repairs and Maintenance...	230
29	3	D52	Jail Matron .....	175
30	1	D52	Jail Matron .....	170
31	1	I14	Junior Chef .....	195
32	10	J70	Hostlers .....	180
33	3	O158	Motor Boat Operator.....	200
34	1	O158	Motor Boat Operator (Relief) at rate of	200
35	966	Q2	Policemen .....	200
36	25	Q30	Police Patrol Driver.....	200
37	164	Q50	Sergeant .....	220**
38	36	Q60	Lieutenant .....	250**
39	*12	Q80	Captain .....	300**

\*Not more than nine positions to be filled. Appropriation Ordinance provides for only nine positions.

\*\*In event of a vacancy in this rank the position may be abolished and the number of Policemen correspondingly increased without amendment of this ordinance and the Policeman's position may be filled subject to the provisions of Sections 1 and 2 hereof.

## Section 11a. EXPOSITION ORGANIZATION (Police Dept.)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	50	Q2	Policeman (5 mos.) .....	\$ 200
2	2	D52	Jail Matron (5 mos.) .....	170

## Section 12. FIRE DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3		Commissioner . . . . .	\$ 100
2	1		Chief Engineer . . . . .	600
3	1	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	225
4	1	B68	Chief Clerk, Fire Department . . . . .	400
5	1	B306	Multigraph Operator . . . . .	165
6	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	175
7	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	155
8	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	165
9	896	H2	Firemen . . . . .	180-200*
10	28	H10	Chief's Operator . . . . .	210
11	10	H15	Engineer of Fire Engines . . . . .	220**
12	114	H20	Lieutenant . . . . .	222.50**
13	74	H30	Captain . . . . .	235**
14	23	H40	Battalion Chief . . . . .	350**
15	1	H42	Chief, Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation . . . . .	400
16	4	H50	Assistant Chief Engineer . . . . .	400
17	1	L360	Physician (Part-time) . . . . .	235
18	5	O166	Firemen of Stationary Steam Engines . . . . .	195
19	2	O166	Firemen of Stationary Steam Engines . . . . .	185
20	2	O168	Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines . . . . .	230
21	4	O168	Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines . . . . .	220
22	1	O172	Chief Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines . . . . .	280
23	3	J4	Laborer, \$6 per day . . . . .	
24	1	M4	Master Mechanic . . . . .	416.66
25	12	O304	Hydrantman Gateman . . . . .	215
26	1	O304	Hydrantman Gateman . . . . .	205
27	1	O310	Foreman Hydrantman Gateman . . . . .	255
28	1	U112	Pipe Calker, at \$7.50 per day . . . . .	

## FIRE BOAT CREWS

29	1	H120	Pilot of Fire Boats (Relief) . . . . .	255
30	4	H120	Pilot of Fire Boats . . . . .	255
31	9	H110	Marine Engineer (Fire Boats) . . . . .	255
32	9	H102	Marine Stoker (Fire Boats) . . . . .	205

\*At rates fixed by Charter.

\*\*In event of a vacancy in this rank, the position may be abolished and the number of positions for Firemen correspondingly increased without amendment of this ordinance and the Fireman's position may be filled subject to the provisions of Sections 1 and 2 hereof.

## Section 12a. EXPOSITION ORGANIZATION (Fire Dept.)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	25	H2	Fireman (12 mos.) . . . . .	\$ 180
2	25	H2	Fireman (4½ mos.) . . . . .	180
3	1	H10	Chief's Operator (4½ mos.) . . . . .	210
4	2	H20	Lieutenants (12 mos.) . . . . .	222.50
5	2	H20	Lieutenants (4½ mos.) . . . . .	222.50
6	2	H30	Captain (12 mos.) . . . . .	235
7	1	H30	Captain (4½ mos.) . . . . .	235
8	1	H40	Battalion Chief (4½ mos.) . . . . .	350



**Section 13. BOARD OF PERMIT APPEALS**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	5		Members of Board, \$15 per meeting.	
2	1	B61	Secretary, Board of Permit Appeals....\$	250

**Section 14. PARK DEPARTMENT**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Accountant .....	200
4	10		Attendants .....	75
11	2		Clerk-Stenographers, General .....	125
14	1		Engineer, Chief .....	215
16	1		Fireman .....	175
30	1		Secretary .....	400
33	1		Superintendent .....	725
34	1		Superintendent (Assistant in charge of Construction) .....	325
35	1		Superintendent (Assistant) .....	275
36	1		Superintendent (Assistant) .....	235
37	1		Superintendent of Motor Vehicles.....	250
40	1		Supervisor, Materials and Supplies.....	225
41	1		Timekeeper .....	150
42	1		Timekeeper (Assistant) .....	100
45	1		Windmill Attendant .....	125
48	1		Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
49	1		Inspector of Personnel .....	200
51	1		Superintendent (Assistant Botanist—Entomologist—Arboretum) .....	225
52	1		Superintendent (Ass't) (Construction).	250

**Section 15. PARK DEPARTMENT****EMPLOYMENTS PREDICATED ON REVENUE MONEYS**

The following positions are in the Revenue Divisions and predicated on receipts from said divisions. The employments are not established as continuing positions, but "as needed" when services are required and funds from receipts are available.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Assistant Manager—Kezar .....	225
2	1		Athletic Organizer .....	475
3	1		Attendant (Men's) .....	140
4	1		Attendant (Coit Tower) .....	50
5	1		Attendant (Coit Tower) .....	100
6	1		Attendant, Bathhouse .....	135
7	1		Cashier, Chief .....	200
8	1		Cook, Children's Quarters .....	90
9	1		Engineer .....	175
10	1		Golf Starter .....	180
11	1		Golf Starter .....	175
12	1		Golf Starter (Assistant) .....	165
13	1		Groundkeeper—Kezar Stadium .....	165
13 $\frac{1}{4}$	1		Harbormaster (Day) .....	125
13 $\frac{1}{2}$	1		Harbormaster (Night) .....	125
14	1		Manager, Lincoln Cafe .....	135
15	1		Manager, Fleishhacker Booth .....	150
16	2		Pump Men .....	175
17	1		Stenographer .....	115
18	1		Superintendent, Restaurant Activities..	250
19	1		Waitress, Head, Children's Quarters....	95

**Section 15. PARK DEPARTMENT (Continued)**  
**EMPLOYMENTS PREDICATED ON REVENUE MONEYS (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
20	1		Windmill Attendant .....	\$ 125
21	1		Golf Starter .....	175
22	1		Superintendent (Assistant) in Charge of Golf Courses .....	250
23	1		Manager, Children's Quarters .....	150
24	1		Assistant Athletic Organizer .....	225
<b>FLEISHHACKER ZOO</b>				
25	1		Zoo Director and Zoological Expert....	333.33
26	1		Cashier (as needed) .....	135
27	1		Matron (as needed) .....	80

**Section 15. PARK DEPARTMENT (Continued)**  
**TEMPORARY PER DIEM AS NEEDED**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Per Diem Rate
28			Animal Keepers .....	\$ 5
29			Apprentice . . . . .	3
30			Ball Field Boys (per hour) .....	.50
31			Booth Helpers (per hour) .....	.25
32			Booth Helpers (per hour) .....	.30
33			Boys to Attend Donkeys (per hour)....	.30
34			Boys to Attend Ponies (per hour).....	.20
35			Carpenters .....	9
36			Carpenters (Assistants) .....	7
37			Cashiers (per hour) .....	.30
38			Cashier (Assistant) .....	3
39			Cashier .....	3.50
40			Cashier .....	4
41			Cashier .....	3
42			Chauffeur .....	7
43			Chauffeurs .....	7.50
44			Clerks .....	3
45			Clerks .....	4
46			Clerks .....	5
47			Cook .....	7.50
48			Cook .....	6.50
49			Cook, Relief .....	5.50
50			Cook .....	4
51			Cook .....	8
52			Dishwasher .....	3.50
53			Dishwasher .....	4
54			Foreman .....	6
55			Foreman .....	6.50
56			Foreman .....	7
57			Foreman .....	7.50
58			Foreman .....	8
59			Foreman .....	8.50
60			Foreman (Plaster Work) .....	12
61			Gardeners .....	5.50
62			Gardeners .....	6
63			Gardener .....	6.50
64			Glaziers .....	9
65			Janitor .....	5
66			Janitress .....	3
67			Kiddy-Kar Boys (per hour) .....	.30
68			Laborers .....	4
69			Laborers .....	5



## Section 15. PARK DEPARTMENT (Continued)

## TEMPORARY PER DIEM AS NEEDED (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Class Employees No.	Class Title	Maximum Per Diem Rate
70		Laborers . . . . .	\$ 5.50
71		Laborers . . . . .	6
72		Laborers . . . . .	2.50
73		Laborers (per hour) . . . . .	.50
74		Laborers, Apprentice . . . . .	2.50
75		Laborers, Apprentice . . . . .	3
76		Laborers, Apprentice . . . . .	4
77		Master Painters . . . . .	15
78		Matron . . . . .	3
79		Manager . . . . .	5
80		Merry-Go-Round Boys (per hour) . . . . .	.30
81		Model Maker . . . . .	9
82		Model Caster . . . . .	8
83		Model Caster . . . . .	7
84		Modelers (Plaster) . . . . .	15
85		Mower Men . . . . .	6.50
86		Office Boy . . . . .	2.50
87		Operator Merry-Go-Round . . . . .	5
88		Pantryman (Harding Cafe) . . . . .	3.50
89		Painters . . . . .	9
90		Picnic Cafe Helpers (per hour) . . . . .	.30
91		Plasterers . . . . .	10
92		Plasterer's Tender . . . . .	7.50
93		Porter . . . . .	4
94		Porter . . . . .	3.50
95		Porter . . . . .	3
96		Rides Man . . . . .	3
97		Roofers . . . . .	8
98		Sheet Metal Workers . . . . .	8
99		Stableman . . . . .	5
100		Starters, Sub. (Golf Course) . . . . .	5
101		Starter, Assistant . . . . .	5
102		Stenographers . . . . .	4
103		Superintendent (Assistant in Charge of Golf Courses) . . . . .	8.50
104		Supply Agent . . . . .	5
105		Storeroom Keeper . . . . .	3.50
106		Teamsters . . . . .	6
107		Teamsters . . . . .	6.50
108		Tennis Courts Manager . . . . .	5.50
109		Tractor Driver . . . . .	6
110		Tractor Man . . . . .	6.50
111		Tractor Man . . . . .	9
112		Utility Helpers (per hour) . . . . .	.25
113		Utility . . . . .	4
114		Waiter . . . . .	3
115		Waiter . . . . .	3.50
116		Waiter . . . . .	4
117		Waitresses . . . . .	3
118		Waitresses . . . . .	3.50
119		Waitresses . . . . .	4
120		Waitresses . . . . .	5
121		Watchman, Night (H. F. Zoo) . . . . .	5
122		Yardman . . . . .	2.50
123		Yardman . . . . .	4.50
124		Yardman . . . . .	4
125		Yardman . . . . .	3.50
126		Yardman . . . . .	3

## Section 15. PARK DEPARTMENT (Continued)

## TEMPORARY PER DIEM AS NEEDED (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Per Diem Rate
PERSONAL SERVICES WAGES				
127			Civil Engineer—Draftsman .....	\$ 7
128			Civil Engineer—Designer .....	7.50
129			Surveyor's Assistant .....	7
130			Draftsman .....	7
131			Surveyor .....	8
132			Landscape Architect .....	8
133			Life Guards .....	5
134			Bathhouse Attendants .....	5
135			Kezar Stadium Attendants.....	5
136			Booth Helpers .....	3
137			Assistant Surveyor .....	7
138			Steam Shovel Operator .....	10
139			Construction Superintendent .....	10
140			Timekeeper .....	5.50
141			Dishwasher .....	5
142			Waiter .....	5
143			Cashier .....	5
144			Manager .....	7
145			Matron .....	4
146			Welder .....	9
147			Ride Man .....	6
148			Yardman .....	6

Other mechanical and craft classifications as needed for temporary construction activities at rates not to exceed the prevailing rate for the respective class.

## Section 16. RECREATION DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	2	A154	Carpenter at \$9 per day .....	
2	1	A153	Sub-Foreman Carpenter at \$10 per day.	
3	1	A354	Painter at \$9 per day.....	
4	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	\$ 175
5	1	B185	Business Manager, Recreation Dept....	300
6	2	B222	General Clerk .....	150
7	2	B222	General Clerk .....	175
9	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
10	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	165
11	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
12	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	150
13	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	235
14	1	F304	Supervisor of Playground Construction and Maintenance .....	350
15	10	J4	Laborer .....	150
16	1	J12	Labor Foreman .....	175
17	45	J72	Playground Caretaker .....	155
18	4	J72	Playground Caretaker .....	145
19	3	O1	Chauffeur .....	160
20	3	O58	Gardener .....	135
20½	1	O58	Gardener .....	145
21	4	O58	Gardener .....	155
22	1	O62	Superintendent of Grounds, Recreation Department .....	200
23	1	R2	Secretary and Supervisor of Spec. Activities .....	250
24	1	R3	Assistant Superintendent, Rec. Dept....	260



## Section 16. RECREATION DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
25	1	R4	Superintendent, Rec. Dept. ....	\$ 400
26	14	R56	Playground Director (part time) .....	75
28	1	R56	Playground Director .....	185
29	3	R56	Playground Director .....	175
30	18	R56	Playground Director .....	160
31	22	R56	Playground Director .....	155
32	6	R56	Playground Director .....	145
34		R56	Playground Director, 65c per hour.....	
35	1	R105	Supervisor of Athletics .....	185
36	1	R106	Supervisor of Dramatics .....	225
37	1	R107	Supervisor of Women's Activities.....	195
39	1	R108	Supervisor of Music .....	210
40	2	R112	Matron, Swimming Pool—7 mo.....	110
41	1	R114	Swimming Instructor—7 mo. ....	145
42	1	R114	Swimming Instructor—7 mo. ....	190
43		R114	Swimming Instructor—5 mo. (same as Item 42) .....	155
44	1	R114	Swimming Instructor—7 mo. ....	145
45	1	R116	Supervisor of Swimming .....	195
46			Pianist (as needed).....per call	2.50
46a		R112	Matron, Swimming Pool, 65c per hour..	
46b		R114	Swimming Instructor, 65c per hour....	

## CAMP MATHER SEASONAL EMPLOYMENT, AS NEEDED

—All maintenance provided shall be charged for and deduction made from salaries in accordance with schedule of deductions fixed in Section 2 of this ordinance specified herein.

47		A154	Carpenters at \$9 per day .....	
50	1	B4	Bookkeeper (less R.) .....	139
51		B4	Bookkeeper .....	125
54		I6	Pastry Cook (deduct R. & L.) .....	137.50
55		I12	Cook (deduct R. & L.) .....	162.50
56		I16	Chef (deduct R. & L.) .....	210.50
58		L352	Interne (less B., R. & L.) .....	102.50
59	1	O1	Chauffeur (deduct R.) .....	185
61			Team Hire for Camp, at rates specified in Purchasers' Contract .....	
64	1	R56	Playground Director (less B., R. & L.) (part time) .....	110
65		R101	Camp Assistant (part time), less than \$80 .....	
66	1	R102	Camp Manager (8½ mos. at \$225; 3½ mos. at \$260 less B. R. & L.) .....	

## Section 17. PUBLIC LIBRARY

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A154	Carpenter .....	\$ 200
2	1	B72	Secretary, Board of Library Trustees..	250
3	1	B222	General Clerk .....	175
3½	1	B222	General Clerk .....	160
5	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	200
7	1	C52	Elevator Operator .....	110
8	1	C52	Elevator Operator (part time).....	65
8½	1	C101	Dressing Room Maid .....	75
8¾	1	C101	Dressing Room Maid .....	65
10	1	C102	Janitress (part time) .....	82.50
12	11		Janitress or Janitor (part time).....	55

## Section 17. PUBLIC LIBRARY (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
13	7		Janitress or Janitor (part time).....\$	27.50
14	1	C104	Janitor .....	185
15	1	C104	Janitor .....	125
15½	1	C152	Watchman .....	125
16	1	C152	Watchman .....	150
17	1	J54	Book Repairer .....	90
18	4	J54	Book Repairer .....	110
19	1	J54	Book Repairer .....	125
20	1	O1	Chauffeur .....	160
DEPARTMENTAL TITLES				
21	7		Branch Librarian .....	175
22	5		Librarian .....	100
22½	4		Librarians .....	120
23	13		Librarian .....	130
24	10		Librarian .....	140
25	4		Librarian .....	150
26	20		Librarian .....	160
27	9		Librarian .....	175
28	1		Chief Cataloger .....	225
29	1		Reference Librarian .....	250
30	1		City Librarian .....	400
30½	11		Library Assistants .....	85
31	67		Substitutes, 50c per hour.....	
32	37		Pages, 30c per hour.....	
33	1		Station Keeper (part time) .....	50
34	4		Station Keepers (part time) .....	15

## Section 18. WAR MEMORIAL

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B59	Secretary, Board of Trustees, War Memorial . . . . .	\$ 275
2	1	B96	Managing Director, War Memorial.....	550
3	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	165
4	2	C52	Elevator Operator .....	145
5	1	C108	Foreman Janitor .....	170
6	13	C104	Janitor .....	145
7	3	C104	Janitor .....	155
8	3	C152	Watchman .....	145
9	2	C152	Watchman .....	155
10	1	C202	Window Cleaner .....	170
11	1	E108	Electrician .....	250
12	1	E109	Stage Electrician, \$75 per week .....	
13	1	E130	Elevator Mechanic .....	280
14	2	O168	Engineer, Stationary Steam Engines....	220
14½	1	O176	Chief Engineer, Stationary Steam Engines .....	220
15	1	A165	Stage Carpenter, \$75 per week .....	
16	1	C252	Opera House Attendant (part-time)....	75
ART MUSEUM				
17	2	C52	Elevator Operator .....	145
18	2	C104	Janitor .....	145
AS NEEDED				
19	1	A170	Stage Property Man, \$12.50 per day....	
20			Seasonal, Clerical and Other Temporary Services (as needed), at rates not in excess of salary standardization schedules.	



## Section 19. ART COMMISSION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time)\$	75
2	1	B57	Secretary, Art Commission .....	250

## Section 20. CALIFORNIA PALACE OF THE LEGION OF HONOR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Departmental Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Assistant Director .....	\$ 333.33
2	1		Engineer and Building Superintendent.	225
3	1		Organist (part time) .....	300
4	1		Supervisor .....	175
5	1		Galleryman .....	125
6	2		Galleryman .....	130
7	2		Galleryman .....	135
8	1		Head Janitor .....	135
9	1		Janitor's Assistant .....	130
10	1		Assistant Mechanic .....	140
11	1		Recorder .....	135
12	1		Stenographer .....	150
13	1		Stenographer .....	135
14	1		Librarian .....	125
15	1		Gallery Assistant .....	125
16	3		Caretaker .....	110
17	3		Watchman (Special Police Officers) ....	135
18	1		Organ Repairer (as needed) .....	33
19			Seasonal Clerical and Mechanical Services (as needed) .....	

## Section 21. M. H. deYOUNG MEMORIAL MUSEUM

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Director .....	\$ 591.66
2	1		Supervisor of Exhibits .....	200
3	1		Recorder .....	175
4	1		Secretary to Director .....	175
5	1		Museum Instructor .....	150
6	1		Museum Instructor .....	175
7	1		Museum Instructor and Special Expert (Curator of Decorative Arts) .....	150
8	1		Assistant Museum Instructor .....	125
9	1		Stenographer-Bookkeeper .....	135
10	1		Head Gallery Man .....	200
11	1		Labeller .....	140
12	1		Clerk .....	125
13	1		Mechanic .....	190
14	1		Assistant Mechanic .....	140
15	1		Janitor .....	130
16	1		Assistant Janitor .....	125
17	1		Head Caretaker .....	115
18	1		Checker .....	110
19	3		Caretaker .....	110
20	1		Secretary Board of Trustees .....	240
21	4		Gallery Man .....	125
22	5		Gallery Man .....	130
23	5		Gallery Man .....	135

## Section 21. M. H. deYOUNG MEMORIAL MUSEUM (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
24	4		Watchman (Special Police Officers)....\$	135
25	1		Curator of Prints .....	125
26	1		Assistant Head Gallery Man .....	150
27	1		Expert Repairman .....	150
28	1		Utility Man .....	140
29	1		Installation Man .....	140
30	1		Lecturer, \$10 a Sunday .....	

## Section 22. STEINHART AQUARIUM

Positions and rates of pay fixed by California Academy of Sciences and not included herein. Salaries audited by voucher.

## Section 23. MUNICIPAL COURT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	12		Judges .....	\$ 625
2	1	B85	Jury Commissioner, Municipal Court...	450
3	12	B152	Court Room Clerk .....	210
4	1	B154	Criminal Law Clerk .....	200
5	1	B156	Senior Criminal Law Clerk .....	250
6	4	B160	Civil Law Clerk .....	200
7	4	B164	Senior Civil Law Clerk .....	265
8	1	B165	Cashier, Municipal Court .....	300
9	1	B170	Chief Assistant Clerk, Municipal Court..	300
10	1	B172	Clerk of Municipal Court.....	500
11	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	220
12	2	B222	General Clerk .....	200
13	2	B222	General Clerk .....	175
14	4	B420	Phonographic Reporter, \$12.50 per day, plus transcriptions.	
15	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	200
16	4	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
17	9	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	155

## Section 24. SUPERIOR COURT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	16		Judges .....	\$ 500
2	1		Secretary-Jury Commissioner .....	600*
3	1		Assistant Secretary-Jury Commissioner.	300*
4	1		Assistant Secretary-Jury Commissioner.	250*
5	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator (part time) .....	125
6	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator.....	150
7	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator.....	125
8	8	B252	Court Interpreter .....	175
9	1	B516	Senior Clerk-Typist .....	200
10	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
11	4	B420	Phonographic Reporter, \$12.50 per day, plus transcriptions.	
12	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	150

\*(Titles fixed by State law.)



## Section 25. LAW LIBRARY

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Assistant Law Librarian .....	\$ 275
2	1		Law Librarian .....	475
3	1		Bookbinder .....	200

## Section 26. JUVENILE COURT—PROBATION OFFICE

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	\$ 225
2	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	175
3	1	B254	Interpreter-Typist .....	210
4	4	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	150
5	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	185
6	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	160
7	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
8	1	L404	Psychologist .....	180
9	1	T74	Collector, Juvenile Court .....	180
10	1	T56	Probation Officer .....	225
11	8	T56	Probation Officer .....	210
12	2	T56	Probation Officer .....	195
13	1	T56	Probation Officer .....	185
14	2	T56	Probation Officer .....	180
15	1	T57	Psychiatric Probation Officer .....	180
16	1	T60	Senior Probation Officer .....	240
17	1	T60	Senior Probation Officer .....	235
18	1	T60	Senior Probation Officer .....	215
19	1	T64	Referee (part time) .....	250
20	1	T72	Chief Juvenile Probation Officer .....	380
21	1	B420	Phonographic Reporter (as needed), \$12.50 per day plus transcriptions.	

## Section 27. JUVENILE COURT—DETENTION HOME

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	C104	Janitor .....	\$ 155
2	1	I2	Kitchen Helper (deduct for R.) (part time) .....	70
3	1	I12	Cook (deduct for R.) .....	130
4	2	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for R. & B.) .....	135
5	1	T2	Male Attendant (deduct for R. & 2 M.) .....	161.50
6	2	T2	Male Attendant (deduct for R. & 2 M.) .....	135
7	1	T2	Male Attendant (deduct for R. & 2 M.) .....	145
8	1	T2	Male Attendant (deduct for R. & 1 M.) .....	145
9	5	T4	Woman Attendant (deduct for R. & B.) .....	122.50
9½	1	T4	Woman Attendant (deduct for R. & B.) .....	105.50
10	1	T4	Woman Attendant (deduct for R.) .....	100
12	1	T12	Superintendent, Juvenile Det. Home (deduct for R. & B.) .....	190

## Section 28. ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	\$ 175
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
3	5	T56	Probation Officer .....	210
4	1	T56	Probation Officer .....	200
5	1	T58	Probation Officer-Stenographer .....	210
6	1	T70	Chief Probation Officer .....	325

## Section 29. CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Chief Administrative Officer .....	\$ 1,000
2	1	B97	Executive Secretary, Chief Administrative Officer .....	325
3	1	B210	Office Assistant (part time) .....	79.50
4	1	B415	Confidential Secretary, Chief Administrative Officer .....	175
5	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator (part time) .....	75

Section 30. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS—  
DIRECTOR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B95	Director of Finance and Records .....	\$ 500
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155

Section 31. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS—  
TAX COLLECTOR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B93	Tax Collector .....	\$ 666.66
2	1	B92	Chief Clerk .....	325
3	1	B89	Director, Bureau of Licenses .....	250
4	1	B102	Teller .....	240
5	1	B102	Teller .....	215
5½	1	B102	Teller .....	200
6	1	B105	Assistant Cashier, Tax Collector's Office .....	240
7	1	B108	Cashier, Tax Collector's Office .....	300
8	1	B222	General Clerk .....	215
9	13	B222	General Clerk .....	200
11	3	B222	General Clerk .....	175
12	9	B222	General Clerk .....	160
12½	1	B222	General Clerk .....	155
13	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	200
14	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	275
16	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
17	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
18	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
19	1	G154	Senior Inspector of Licenses .....	250
20	2	G153	Adjuster, Tax Collector's Office .....	215
20½	1	G153	Adjuster, Tax Collector's Office .....	200
21	1	B91	Director Bureau of Delinquent Revenue .....	400
22	1	K4	Attorney, Civil .....	275
23			Seasonal Clerical and other temporary services as needed at rates not in excess of salary standardization schedules.	

Section 32. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS—  
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B62	Chief Clerk Elections .....	\$ 350
2	1	B64	Chief Clerk Registrations .....	350
3	1	B66	Registrar of Voters .....	500
4	1	B210	Office Assistant (part time) .....	79.50



**Section 32. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS—  
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
5	1	B181	Law Clerk .....	\$ 225
6	4	B222	General Clerk .....	225
7	1	B222	General Clerk .....	200
8	2	B222	General Clerk .....	175
8½	1	B222	General Clerk .....	165
8¾	1	B222	General Clerk .....	155
9	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	250
10	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	250
11	1	B304	Senior Addressing Machine Operator...	225
12	1	B305	Voting Machine Adjuster.....	175
13	1	B355	Custodian of Voting Machines.....	250
14	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
15			Seasonal Clerical Services (as needed).	
16		B202	Judges of Election at \$7.50 per day....	
17		B204	Inspectors of Election at \$7.50 per day..	
18		B302	Addressing Machine Operator.....	155
19		B305	Voting Machine Adjuster.....	155
20		B222	General Clerk .....	150
21		C104	Janitor at \$2.50 per evening.....	

**Section 33. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS—  
RECORDER**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B80	Chief Clerk .....	\$ 300
2	1	B81	Recorder . . . . .	666.66
3	1	B102	Teller . . . . .	210
3½	1	B222	General Clerk .....	175
4	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	199
5	5	B222	General Clerk .....	200
6	3	B222	General Clerk .....	215
7	2	B228	Senior Clerk .....	215
8	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
9	14	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	200
10	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
11	6	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	155
12	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	165

**Section 34. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS—  
COUNTY CLERK**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	18	B152	Courtroom Clerk .....	\$ 200
1½	1	B154	Criminal Law Clerk .....	200
2	1	B156	Senior Criminal Law Clerk.....	220
3	1	B160	Civil Law Clerk .....	250
4	7	B160	Civil Law Clerk .....	200
5	1	B161	Cashier, County Clerk's Office.....	220
6	3	B164	Senior Civil Law Clerk.....	265
6½	1	B164	Senior Civil Law Clerk .....	220
7	1	B168	Chief Clerk—County Clerk's Office.....	300
8	1	B169	County Clerk .....	500
9	8	B222	General Clerk .....	200
10	1	B222	General Clerk .....	175
12	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
13	4	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	200

### Section 35. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS— PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	\$ 190
2	1	B173	Public Administrator .....	666.66
3	1	B212	Special Messenger (part time) .....	75
4	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	300
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	165
6	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	160
7	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	180
8	1	K4	Attorney, Civil (part time) .....	300
9	1	K4	Attorney, Civil (part time) .....	250
10	1	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil .....	666.66

### Section 36. PURCHASING DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B18	Chief Accountant, Purchasing Dept. (6 mo.) .....	\$ 466.66
2	2	B222	General Clerk .....	200
3	1	B222	General Clerk .....	175
4	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	250
5	1	B310b	Tabulating Numerical Key Punch Operator .....	165
6	3	B310b	Tabulating Numerical Key Punch Operator .....	155
7	1	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator .....	175
8	2	B352	Storekeeper .....	150
8½	1	B352	Storekeeper .....	140
9	1	B352	Storekeeper .....	135
11	2	B354	General Storekeeper .....	200
12	2	B354	General Storekeeper .....	180
14	1	B358	Assistant Stationery Buyer .....	225
15	1	B360	Printing and Stationery Buyer .....	250
16	1	B362	Produce Buyer and Storekeeper .....	175
18	1	B382	Supervisor of Equipment and Supplies ..	175
19	1	B364	Produce Buyer and General Storekeeper ..	300
20	1	B364	Produce Buyer and General Storekeeper ..	225
21	1	B366	Assistant Purchaser of General Supplies ..	250
22	2	B366	Assistant Purchaser of General Supplies ..	200
22½	1	B368	Chief Assistant Purchaser of Supplies ..	350
23	1	B370	R. R. Equipment Purchasing Agent .....	250
24	1	B371	Purchasing Agent—Water Service .....	325
25	1	B372	Purchasing Agent—Other Services .....	350
26	1	B374	Purchaser of Supplies .....	833.33
27	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
28	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
29	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	160
30	4	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
31	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	155
32	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
33	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	190
34	3	J4	Laborer, \$6 per day .....	
35	1	J12	Foreman Laborer .....	195
36	1	J66	Garageman .....	150
37	1	J66	Garageman at \$6.50 per day .....	
38	1	N302	Inspector of General Supplies .....	215
39	1	N302	Inspector of General Supplies .....	200
40		B512	General Clerk-Typist (as needed) .....	150
40½			Seasonal, Clerical and other temporary services as needed at rates not in excess of salary standardization schedules.	



### Section 37. PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—INTER-DEPARTMENTAL SERVICE

The following positions are in interdepartmental service and the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
41	2	A156	Patternmaker, \$10 per day.....	
42	5	A364	Car and Auto Painter, \$10 per day....	
43	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	\$ 185
44	1	C152	Watchman .....	170
45	2	C152	Watchman .....	155
46	1	E104	Batteryman-Electrician, \$9 per day....	
48	1	J66	Garageman .....	160
49	3	J66	Garageman, \$6.50 per day.....	
50	1	J67	Vulcanizer, \$7 per day.....	
51	1	M2	General Foreman Machinist.....	300
52	1	M3	Superintendent, Fire Equipment Repair Shop .....	350
52½		M8	General Superintendent of Shops.....	350
53	24	M54	Auto Machinist, \$9 per day.....	
54	1	M60	Auto Fender and Body Worker, \$9 per day .....	
55	4	M107	Blacksmith Finisher, \$7.08 per day....	
56	1	M107	Blacksmith Finisher, \$8 per day.....	
57	5	M108	Blacksmith, \$9 per day.....	
58	1	M154	Boilermaker's Helper, \$7.08 per day..	
59	1	M156	Boilermaker, \$9 per day.....	
60	2	M252	Machinist Helper, \$7.08 per day.....	
61	6	M254	Machinist, \$9 per day.....	
62	1	O1	Chauffeur, \$6.50 per day.....	
63	1	O108	Leatherworker, \$9 per day .....	
64	1	O152	Eng. H. & P. Engines, \$11.40 per day...	

### Section 38. REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	\$ 175
2	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	275
3	1	G206	Chief Right of Way Agent .....	600

### INTERDEPARTMENTAL

The following positions are in interdepartmental service and the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
4	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	\$ 160
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
6	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	200
7	5	G202	Division Right of Way Agent.....	300
8	1	G204	Assistant Chief Right of Way Agent....	375
9			Real Estate and Improvement Appraiser at rates fixed by special appropriation.	

### Section 39. REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT—EXPOSITION AUDITORIUM

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A154	Carpenter .....	\$ 225
2	1	A354	Painter at \$9.75 per day .....	
3	1	C2	Asst. Superintendent of Auditorium....	200
4	1	C4	Superintendent of Auditorium .....	210
5	4	C104	Janitor .....	155
6	1	C152	Watchman .....	180
7	1	C152	Watchman .....	145
8	1	E108	Electrician .....	250
9	1	O168	Engineer—Stationary Steam Engines..	220
10	1		Organ Repairer (part time).....	75
11	1	C101	Dressing Room Maid (as needed) (part time), \$3 per day.....	
12		A154	Carpenter (as needed) at \$9 per day....	
13		C104	Janitor (as needed) at \$5.80 per day....	

### Section 40. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—GENERAL OFFICE

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Director of Public Works.....	\$ 666.66
2	1	B10	Accountant .....	250
3	1	B94	Chief Clerk, Department of Public Works .....	400
4	1	B210	Office Assistant .....	85
5	1	B222	General Clerk .....	200
6	1	B222	General Clerk .....	165
7	1	B222	General Clerk .....	175
8	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	300
9	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	225
10	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
11	5	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
12	1	B458	Chief Telephone Operator .....	180
13	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	165

### Section 41. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—BUREAU OF ACCOUNTS

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	*7	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 200
2	*1	B222	General Clerk .....	165
3	*1	B222	General Clerk .....	155
4	2	B228	Senior Clerk .....	200
5	1	B31	Supervisor, Bureau of Accounts.....	325
6	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	185
7	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	165
8	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	185

\*One position to be retitled when promotive appointment is made under new class.



### Section 42. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— BUREAU OF BUILDING REPAIR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A8	Assistant Superintendent of Maintenance and Repair of Public Buildings.	\$ 300
2	1	A10	Superintendent of Maintenance and Repair of Public Buildings.	375
3	1	A161	General Foreman Carpenter, D. P. W.	250
4	1	A208	General Foreman Cement Finisher, D. P. W.	275
5	1	A358	General Foreman Painter, D. P. W.	293.75
6	1	A408	General Foreman Plumber, D. P. W.	300
7	1	A460	General Foreman Sheet Metal Worker, D. P. W.	300
8	1	A506	General Foreman Steamfitter, D. P. W.	275
9	1	E112	General Foreman Electrician, D. P. W.	250
10	13	C52	Elevator Operator	155
11	1	C52	Elevator Operator	154
12	2	C52	Elevator Operator	145
13	1	C54	Elevator Starter	180
14	1	C102	Janitress	140
15	1	C102	Janitress (Part time)	75
16	40	C104	Janitor	155
17	14	C104	Janitor	145
18	5	C104	Janitor	165
19	1	C107	Working Foreman Janitor	190
20	2	C108	Foreman Janitor	175
21	1	C108	Foreman Janitor	180
22	1	C110	Head Janitor	260
23	2	C152	Watchman	155
24	3	C152	Watchman	145
25	3	C202	Window Cleaner	160
26	2	C202	Window Cleaner	170
27	1	C204	Sub-Foreman Window Cleaner	185
28	5	O166	Fireman Stationary Steam Engines	185
29	7	O168	Engineer Stationary Steam Engines	220
30	1	O172	Chief Engineer Stationary Steam Engines	300
31	1	O172	Chief Engineer Stationary Steam Engines	280

### Section 43. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— BUREAU OF BUILDING REPAIR

Employments as required on miscellaneous repair of public buildings, including schools, as provided in Section 95 of the Charter. Number of employments is enumerated wherever the employee has attained permanent civil service tenure in this department. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when the services are required and the funds are provided.

#### INTERDEPARTMENTAL

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	
1		A56	Bricklayer	day \$ 12
3		A58	Marble Setter's Helper	day 6
4		A60	Marble Setter	day 10
5		A62	Tile Setter	day 10
5½	1	A152	Hodcarrier	day 10
6	21	A154	Carpenter	day 9
7		A158	Sub-Foreman Carpenter	day 9.50

**Section 43. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—  
BUREAU OF BUILDING REPAIR (Continued)  
INTERDEPARTMENTAL (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title		
7½	1	A160	Foreman Carpenter, D. P. W.....	day	\$ 10
10	10	A202	Cement Finisher Helper .....	day	8
11	3	A204	Cement Finisher .....	day	9
12	2	A252	Glazier .....	day	8.80
13	1	A252	Glazier .....	day	9.50
14	1	A302	Locksmith .....	day	9
15	1	A302	Locksmith .....	per month	200
16	27	A354	Painter .....	day	9.75
17		A380	Paper Hanger .....	day	10
18	1	A392	Plasterer .....	day	12
18½		A396	Lather .....	day	10
19	24	A404	Plumber .....	day	10
20	11	A456	Sheet Metal Worker .....	day	10
21	1	A458	Sub-Foreman Sheet Metal Worker .....	day	10.50
22	10	A504	Steamfitter .....	day	10
23	1	A551	Apprentice .....	day	7
24	1	A551	Apprentice .....	day	6.50
25	1	B222	General Clerk .....	day	7
26	1	C152	Watchman .....	per month	145
27	1	C202	Window Cleaner .....	per month	155
28	1	E108	Electrician .....	per month	225
29	6	E108	Electrician .....	day	10
30	1	J4	Laborer .....	day	6

Teams and trucks at rates established by  
purchaser's contract.

**Section 44. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—  
BUREAU OF BUILDING INSPECTION**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title		Maximum Monthly Rate
1	13	A106	Building Inspector .....	\$	225
3	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....		175
4	2	F558	Structural Engineer .....		300
5	1	F560	Superintendent Bureau of Building Inspection .....		500
6	1	M158	Boiler Inspector .....		250

**Section 45. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—  
BUREAU OF ENGINEERING**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title		Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B222	General Clerk .....	\$	250
3	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....		250
4	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....		200
5	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....		175
5½	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....		155
6	1	F4	Assistant City Engineer .....		650
7	1	F10	City Engineer .....		650
7½	4	F202	Inspector Public Works Construction...		225
8	1	F204	Civil Engineering Inspector .....		250
9	1	F204	Civil Engineering Inspector .....		225
10	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman...		160
11	2	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman .....		250
12	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman .....		240



Section 45. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—  
BUREAU OF ENGINEERING (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
13	1	F256	Cartographer and Art Designer.....\$	210
14	4	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	250
15	1	F260	Civil Engineering Designer .....	375
16	3	F260	Civil Engineering Designer.....	300
17	1	F262	Sanitary Engineering Designer.....	325
18	1	F270	Chief Civil Engineering Designer.....	475
19	1	F356	Electrical Engineering Inspector.....	250
20	1	F454	Mechanical Engineering Designer .....	275
21	1	F502	Engineer Assessments and Complaints..	250
22	1	F506	Engineer Grades .....	300
23	1	F510	Engineer Street Improvement Investiga- tions .....	300
24	1	F510	Engineer, Street Improvement Investiga- tions .....	275
25	1	F514	Engineer Street Improvement Plans....	300
26	1	F518	Office Engineer .....	350
27	1	F518	Office Engineer .....	325
28	1	F552	Structural Draftsman .....	200
29	1	F604	Surveyor's Field Assistant .....	250
30	12	F604	Surveyor's Field Assistant .....	225
30½	1	F604	Surveyor's Field Assistant .....	175
31	4	F610	Surveyors .....	275
32	1	F610	Surveyor .....	225
33	1	F614	Assistant Chief Surveyor .....	300
34	1	F616	Chief Surveyor .....	325
35	1	L116	Senior Engineering Chemist .....	400

Section 46. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—  
BUREAU OF ENGINEERING (Continued)

EMPLOYMENTS PREDICATED ON REVENUE AND BOND ISSUE  
MONEYS

The following positions are in interdepartmental service and predicated on bond issues and the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
36	1	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 155
37	1	B325	Blue Printer .....	215
38	1	B327	Photostat Operator .....	225
39	1	B332	Photographer .....	265
40	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
41	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	155
42	5	F204	Civil Engineering Inspector .....	250
43	27	F204	Civil Engineering Inspector .....	225
44	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector....	275
45	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector....	250
46	1	F208	Chief Civil Engineering Inspector, Minor	300
47	1	F210	Chief Civil Engineering Inspector, Major	400
49	3	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman....	160
50	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman.....	250
52	3	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman.....	200

**Section 46. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—  
BUREAU OF ENGINEERING (Continued)**

**EMPLOYMENTS PREDICATED ON REVENUE AND BOND ISSUE  
MONEYS (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
53	5	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman...\$	250
54	3	F260	Civil Engineering Designer.....	300
55	1	F260	Civil Engineering Designer.....	250
56	1	F262	Sanitary Engineering Designer.....	250
57	1	F262	Sanitary Engineering Designer.....	300
58	1	F354	Electrical Engineering Designer.....	250
59	4	F452	Mechanical Draftsman .....	200
60	1	F452	Mechanical Draftsman .....	225
61	6	F454	Mechanical Engineering Designer.....	250
62	1	F460	Assistant Mechanical Engineer.....	250
63	1	F552	Structural Draftsman .....	200
64	1	F558	Structural Engineer .....	275
65	6	F604	Surveyor's Field Assistant.....	225
65½	2	F604	Surveyor's Field Assistant.....	175
66	2	F610	Surveyor .....	250
67	1	L114	Engineering Chemist .....	225
69		A106	Building Inspector .....	225
71		F102	Architectural Draftsman .....	200
72		F106	Architectural Designer .....	250
73		F108	Architect .....	300
74		F352	Electrical Draftsman .....	200
75		F360	Assistant Electrical Engineer.....	250
76		F362	Electrical Engineer .....	300
77		F401	Junior Hydraulic Engineer.....	160
78		F404	Hydraulic Engineering Designer.....	250
79		F406	Assistant Hydraulic Engineer.....	250
80		F408	Hydraulic Engineer .....	300
81		F462	Mechanical Engineer .....	300
82		F554	Structural Engineer Designer.....	275
84		B210	Office Assistant .....	85
85		B4	Bookkeeper .....	175
86		C152	Watchman .....	145
87		F202	Inspector P. W. Construction.....	225
88		F351	Junior Electrical Engineer.....	160
90		F664	Traffic Engineer .....	300
91		M256	Mechanical Inspector .....	225
92		J4	Laborer at \$6 per day.....	
95		M252	Machinist's Helper at \$7.08 per day....	
96		M254	Machinist at \$9 per day.....	
97		O152	Engineer of Hoisting and Portable En- gines at \$11.40 per day.....	

**Section 47. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—  
CENTRAL PERMIT BUREAU**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 225
1½	1	B222	General Clerk (4 mos. at \$225 and 8 mos. at \$155).....	
2	1	B222	General Clerk .....	200
2½	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	225
3	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	275
4	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
5	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	150



### Section 48. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— BUREAU OF SEWER REPAIR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	O208	General Foreman Sewer Connections and Repairs .....	\$ 225
2	2	O214	Assistant Superintendent, Bureau of Sewer Repair .....	250
3	1	O216	Superintendent, Bureau of Sewer Repair .....	400

#### EMPLOYMENTS AS NEEDED

The occupants of the following positions have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
4	12	A52	Hodcarrier at \$10 per day .....	
5	7	A56	Bricklayer at \$12 per day .....	
6	20	J4	Laborer at \$6 per day .....	
7	4	O1	Chauffeur at \$8 per day .....	
8	35*	A155	Cribber at \$8.80 per day .....	
9	17	O210	Sewer Cleaner at \$9.50 per day .....	
10	1	O208	Gen. Foreman, Sewer Connection and Repair .....	\$ 250
Teams and trucks, as needed, at rates established by purchaser's contract.				

\*Thirteen of these not included in budget estimates as compensations are paid by property owners.

### Section 49. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— SEWAGE PUMPING STATION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	O202	Sewer Pumping Station Attendant.....	\$ 180
2	1	O168	Engineer, Stationary Steam Engines...	185

### Section 50. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— DIVISION OF STREET CLEANING

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	2	C152	Watchman .....	\$ 155
2	5	C152	Watchman .....	145
3	237	J4	Laborer at \$6 per day .....	
4	3	J10	Laborer—Sub-Foreman at \$7 per day...	
5	9	J10	Laborer—Sub-Foreman at \$6.50 per day.	
6	2	J66	Garagemen .....	160
7	2	J108	District Director of Street Cleaning....	240
8	1	J108	District Director of Street Cleaning...	225
9	1	J112	Supervisor of Street Cleaning.....	325
10	19	O1	Chauffeur at \$8 per day .....	
11	17	O1	Chauffeur at \$7.50 per day .....	
14	1	O19	Sub. Sta. For. at \$8 per day .....	
15	1	O58	Gardener at \$6 per day .....	
Teams and trucks, as needed, at rates established by purchaser's contract.				

### Section 51. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— BUREAU OF STREETS

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	F220	General Superintendent of Streets.....	\$ 500
2	1	O298	Supervisor of Street Repair.....	325

#### DIVISION OF STREET REPAIR

The occupants of the following positions have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
3	2	A202	Cement Finisher's Helper at \$8 per day	
4	2	A204	Cement Finisher at \$9 per day.....	
5	1	B210	Office Assistant at \$5.40 per day.....	
6	29	J4	Laborers at \$6 per day.....	
7	2	J12	Laborer, Foreman, at \$7 per day.....	
8	1	M254	Machinist at \$9 per day.....	
9	11	O1	Chauffeur at \$8 per day.....	
10	2	O1	Chauffeur at \$7.50 per day.....	
11	4	O152	Engr. Hoisting Port. Engine at \$11.40 per day .....	
12	1	O168	Engineer, Sta. St. Eng.....	\$ 220
13	1	O252	Dryerman at \$9 per day.....	
14	1	O254	Foreman, Asph. Plant, at \$10 per day..	
15	4	O260	Rammer at \$7 per day.....	
16	2	O264	Paver at \$8 per day.....	
17	5	O268	Granite Cutters at \$9.50 per day.....	
17½	1	O270	Foreman Granite Cutter at \$9.50 per day	
18	2	O274	Asphalt Mixerman at \$9 per day.....	
19	26	O276	Asphalt Workers at \$7.50 per day.....	
20	11	O278	Asphalt Finishers at \$8 per day.....	
21	2	O282	Foreman, Asphalt Fin., at \$9 per day...	
22	1	O294	General Foreman, Street Repair.....	275
23	1	O294	General Foreman, Street Repair.....	250
24	1	O294	General Foreman, Street Repair.....	225

#### BRIDGES

25	8	C153	Bridge Attendant .....	155
26	3	C153	Bridge Attendant .....	145
27	10	O168	Engineer Sta. St. Engines.....	220
28	1	O168	Engr. Sta. St. Engines (Relief) at rate of	220
29	1	O172	Chief Engineer Sta. St. Engines.....	275

Teams and trucks, as needed, at rates established by purchaser's contract.

### Section 52. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— BUREAU OF ARCHITECTURE

#### INTERDEPARTMENTAL SERVICE

The following positions are in interdepartmental service and the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	10	A106	Building Inspector .....	\$ 225
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
3	2	F102	Architectural Draftsman .....	200
4	1	F112	City Architect .....	600
5	1	B210	Office Assistant (part time).....	75
6	1	F104	Architectural Estimator .....	250



## Section 53. DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A354	Painter at \$9.75 per day.....	
2	1	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 225
3	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
4	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	250
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
6	4	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
7	1	E2	Line Inspector .....	225
8	16	E4	Electrical Inspector .....	250
9	1	E8	Chief Electrical Inspector .....	260
10	8	E52	Fire Dispatcher .....	225
11	1	E54	Chief Fire Dispatcher .....	275
12	1	E108	Electrician .....	240
13	1	E108	Electrician at \$10 per day.....	
14	1	E110	Radio Maintenance Man at \$8 per day..	
15	1	E116	Superintendent of Plant, Department of Electricity . . . . .	325
16	1	E154	Lineman .....	220
17	10	E154	Lineman .....	215
18	2	E154	Lineman .....	185
19	1	E156	Cable Splicer at \$9.50 per day.....	
20	2	E160	Foreman Lineman .....	240
21	1	F366	Chief, Department of Electricity.....	500
22	3	J4	Laborer at \$6 per day.....	
23	1	J12	Labor Foreman .....	195
24	1	J66	Garageman .....	162.50
25	1	J76	Traffic Button Maintenance Man at \$9 per day .....	
26	2	M254	Machinist .....	225
27	5	M260	Instrument Maker .....	225
28	1	M264	Foreman Instrument Maker .....	250

Section 54. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE

## • ADMINISTRATION •

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	\$ 170
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
3	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	215
4	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
5	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	135
6	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	160
7	1	C52	Elevator Operator .....	155
8	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time)	79.50
9	1	L14	Assistant Director of Public Health....	375
10	1	L18	Director of Public Health.....	833.33

## ACCOUNTING

11	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	190
12	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	175
13	2	B4	Bookkeeper .....	150
14	1	B14	Senior Accountant .....	400
15	2	B222	General Clerk .....	190
16	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	170

Section 54(a). **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)**

**STATISTICS**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
17	1	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 190
18	1	B222	General Clerk .....	185
19	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	190
20	2	B238	Hospital Statistician .....	190
21	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
22	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125

**MEAT INSPECTION**

23	8	N56	Market Inspector .....	175
24	6	N56	Market Inspector .....	200
25	1	N58	Chief Market Inspector .....	225
26	8	N60	Abattoir Inspector .....	200
27	3	N62	Veterinarian .....	201
28	4	N62	Veterinarian .....	200
28½	1	N63	Chief Abattoir Inspector .....	200

**COMMUNICABLE DISEASES**

29	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
30	4	J74	Rat Catcher .....	115
30½	2	J74	Rat Catcher .....	110
31	4	L370	Epidemiologist (part time).....	225
32	1	L371	Director, Bureau of Communicable Dis- eases (part time) .....	350
33	1	P60	Supervising Nurse, Bur. of Com. Dis...	175

**SYPHILIS UNIT**

34	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
35	1	P102	Registered Nurse .....	135
36	1	L360	Physician .....	150

**CLINICS***Diagnostic Center*

37	2	L360	Physician (part time) .....	150
38	1	L364	Pediatrician (part time).....	100
39	1	P52	Field Nurse .....	165

*Bureau of Mental Hygiene*

40	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time)	75
41	1	L404	Psychologist .....	175
42	4	L404	Psychologist .....	150
43	1	L404	Psychologist (part time) .....	75
44	1	L408	Psychiatrist (part time).....	200
45	1	L408	Psychiatrist (part time).....	150

**BACTERIOLOGICAL LABORATORY**

46	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
47	1	C102	Janitress .....	75
48	1	L52	Bacteriological Laboratory Technician (part time) .....	79.50
49	2	L52	Bacteriological Laboratory Technician..	125
50	1	L56	Bacteriologist .....	225
51	3	L56	Bacteriologist .....	175
52	1	L58	Director of Laboratories .....	275
53	1	L60	Bacteriological Milk Inspector .....	250
54	1	L64	Consultant, Bacteriologist (part time).	75



Section 55. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)**

**SCHOOL INSPECTION—MEDICAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
55	1	L252	Optometrist (part time) .....	\$ 150
56	1	L363	Director Bureau Child Hygiene .....	383.33
57	1	L364	Pediatrician .....	250
58	1	L364	Pediatrician (part time) .....	175
59	11	L364	Pediatrician (part time) .....	150
60	1	L364	Pediatrician (part time) .....	75
61	1	L602	Audiometer Technician .....	125

**DENTAL**

62	1	B222	General Clerk (part time) .....	50
63	2	L152	Dental Hygienist .....	150
63½	2	L152	Dental Hygienist .....	135
64	14	L156	Dentist (part time) .....	100
65	1	L160	Director of Dental Bureau (part time)..	250

**CHILD WELFARE—MEDICAL**

66	1	L364	Pediatrician .....	250
67	4	L364	Pediatrician (part time).....	150
68	1	L364	Pediatrician at \$5 per day (part time).	

**MILK AND FOOD INSPECTION**

69	1	B403	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
70	1	B403	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
71	1	B403	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	100
72	7	N52	Food and Restaurant Inspector .....	200
73	10	N52	Food and Restaurant Inspector .....	185
74	1	N52	Food and Restaurant Inspector.....	175
75	3	N53	Assistant Chief Food Inspector .....	225
76	1	N54	Chief Food Inspector .....	325
77	1	N64	Dairy Inspector .....	300
78	2	N64	Dairy Inspector .....	225
79	2	N64	Dairy Inspector .....	200
79½	2	N64	Dairy Inspector .....	175

**CHEMICAL LABORATORY**

80	1	L102	Food Chemist Assistant .....	125
81	1	L104	Food Chemist .....	225
82	1	L104	Food Chemist .....	175
83	1	L106	Senior Food Chemist .....	250

**PLUMBING INSPECTION**

84	1	A412	Plumbing Inspector .....	250
85	6	A412	Plumbing Inspector .....	240
85½	1	A412	Plumbing Inspector .....	225
86	1	A416	Chief Plumbing Inspector .....	275
87	1	B403	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	190

**HOUSING INSPECTION**

88	1	B403	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
89	9	N204	Housing Inspector .....	200
90	1	N206	Chief Housing Inspector .....	275

**INDUSTRIAL INSPECTION**

91	1	B403	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	100
92	3	N205	Industrial Inspector .....	200
93	1	N208	Chief Industrial Inspector .....	275

**CITY PHYSICIANS**

94	4	L360	Physician (part time) .....	300
95	1	L360	Physician (part time) .....	250
96	1	L360	Physician (part time) .....	200

**Section 55. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)**

**CITY PHYSICIANS (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
97	4	L360	Physician (part time) .....	\$ 150
97½	2	L360	Physician (part time) .....	75
98	1	L362	Supervisor of City Physicians (part time)	300

**Section 55(a). DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)**

**FIELD NURSING, ADMINISTRATION**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
99	1	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 190
100	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
101	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
102	1	P57	Assistant to the Director of Field Nursing .....	230
103	8	P54	Supervising Field Nurse .....	200
104	1	P54	Supervising Field Nurse .....	175
105	1	P58	Director of Field Nursing .....	300

**FIELD NURSING, SCHOOLS**

106	25	P52	Field Nurse .....	175
107	15	P52	Field Nurse .....	165

**FIELD NURSING, OTHER**

108	12	P52	Field Nurse .....	175
109	7	P52	Field Nurse .....	165
110	1	P101	Chinese Visiting Nurse .....	175

**TUBERCULOSIS BUREAU**

111	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
112	2	L360	Physician (part time) .....	100
113	1	L360	Physician (part time) .....	200
114	2	P52	Field Nurse .....	165
115	7	P52	Field Nurse .....	175
116	2	P102	Registered Nurse .....	135

**Section 56. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
LAGUNA HONDA HOME**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 100
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
3	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	135
3½	8	I2	Kitchen Helper (deduct for R. & L.)...	75
4	1	I8	Head Baker .....	190
4½	1	I10	Cook's Assistant (deduct for R. & L.)..	95
4¾	1	I10	Cook's Assistant (deduct for R. & L.)...	75
5	3	I12	Cook .....	165
6	1	I12	Cook (part time) .....	75
7	1	I14	Junior Chef .....	175
8	1	I16	Chef .....	200
9	1	I22	Butcher .....	125
10	1	I24	Senior Butcher .....	235
11		I26	Hog Killer, \$9 per day (as needed)	
12	4	I54	Waitress .....	110
13	1	I58	Dining Room Steward .....	140
14		I102	Inmate Help, not over .....	50



**Section 56. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
LAGUNA HONDA HOME (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
15	62	I116	Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).....\$	85
16	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	140
17	3	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	135
18	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	125
19	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	120
19½	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	115
20	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	102.50
21	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	87.50
22	1	I212a	Steward (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	235
22½	1	I212b	Stewardess (deduct for B., R. & L.) ....	235
23	1	I154	Laundress .....	100
23½	1	I154	Laundress (deduct for B., R. & L.)....	85
24	1	I164	Marker and Distributor.....	130
25	1	I170	Washer (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	102.50
26	1	I174	Superintendent of Laundry .....	175
26½	27	I204	Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	85
27	1	I254	Seamstress .....	100
28	1	I256	Head Seamstress (deduct for R.).....	125

**Section 56(a). DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
LAGUNA HONDA HOME (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
29	1	I302	Instructor, Basketry (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	\$ 102.50
30	1	I304	Instructor, Weaving (deduct for R.)...	110
31	1	L8	Assistant to Superintendent .....	275
32	1	L10	Superintendent (deduct \$150 for full family maintenance) .....	733.33
33	1	L54	Assistant Bacteriologist .....	100
34	1	L202	Dietitian (deduct for R. & L.).....	137.50
35	1	L306	Senior Pharmacist .....	210
36	6	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	32
37	2	L360	Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	185
39	1	L360	Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	235
40	1	L452	X-ray Technician .....	150
41	1	O1	Chauffeur (less B., R. & L.).....	185
42	1	O52	Farmer (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	130
43	1	O54	Foreman, Building and Grounds (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	220
44	1	O58	Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	135
45	1	O60	Head Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	185
46	3	O168	Engineer Sta. Steam Engines .....	220
47	28	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
48	4	P104	Head Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	145
49	1	P118	Superintendent of Nurses (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	235
50	1	P208	Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	145

**Section 56(b). DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
LAGUNA HONDA HOME (Continued)**

**INTERDEPARTMENTAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
51	4	I204	Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.).....\$	85

### Section 57. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH— ISOLATION HOSPITAL

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist (part time).....\$	79.50
2	1	C152	Watchman (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	145
2¼	4	I2	Kitchen Helper (deduct for R. & L.)...	75
2½	1	I10	Cook's Assistant (deduct for R. & L.)..	95
3	1	I14	Junior Chef .....	175
4	1	I54	Waitress .....	110
5	3	I116	Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	85
6	13	I204	Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	85
7	1	I254	Seamstress (deduct for B., R. & L.)....	125
8	2	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	32
9	1	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	47
10	1	L373	Physician in Communicable Diseases (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	335
11		P54	Student Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	32
12	7	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
13	1	P104	Head Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)...	145
14	1	P116	Superintendent Isolation Hospital (de- duct for B., R. & L.) .....	235

### Section 58. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH— SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 175
2	1	B222	General Clerk .....	160
3	1	B222	General Clerk .....	125
3½	14	B222	General Clerk (part time) (deduct 1 meal) .....	79.50
4	1	B234	Head Clerk (deduct for B., R. & L.)....	285
5	1	B238	Hospital Statistician .....	190
6	1	B238	Hospital Statistician .....	160
7	7	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
8	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	100
9	12	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time).	79.50
10	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
11	2	B454	Telephone Operator .....	135
12	1	B454	Telephone Operator (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	125
13	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
14	1	C6	Supt. of Building T. B. Hosp. ....	200
15	2	C152	Watchman .....	145
16	2	E108	Electrician .....	237.50
16½	83	I2	Kitchen Helper (deduct for R. & L.)...	75
17	1	I6	Pastry Cook .....	175
17½	1	I10	Cook's Assistant (deduct for R. & L.)..	95
17¾	7	I10	Cook's Assistant (deduct for R. & L.)..	75
18	8	I12	Cook .....	165
19	1	I16	Chef .....	200
20	8	I54	Waitress .....	110
21	8	I56	Waiter .....	110
21½		I102	Inmate Help (not over \$50).....	
22	152	I116	Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	85
23	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
24	1	I122	House Mother (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	125
25	1	I122	House Mother (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	87.50



Section 58. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
26	14	I152	Flat Work Ironer .....	\$ 90
27	17	I154	Laundress .....	100
28	1	I156	Starcher .....	130
29	1	I158	Sorter .....	130
30	1	I164	Marker and Distributor .....	130
31	1	I166	Wringerman .....	136.33
32	2	I170	Washer .....	135
33	1	I172	Head Washer .....	155
34	1	I178	Superintendent of Laundry .....	200
34½	145	I204	Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	85
35	1	I206	Porter Sub-Foreman (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	92
36	1	I208	Porter Foreman (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	100
37	1	I210	Head Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.) ....	140
38	2	I254	Seamstress .....	90
39	1	I256	Head Seamstress (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	150
40	1	J4	Laborer (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	87.50

Section 59. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
41	1	L2	Assistant Superintendent .....	\$ 275
42	1	L6	Superintendent (deduct \$150 for full family maintenance) .....	733.33
43	1	L70	Physio-Therapist (part time) .....	100
44	2	L72	Electro-Cardiograph Technician (part time) .....	75
45	2	L156	Dentist (part time) .....	50
46	4	L202	Dietitian (deduct for R. & L.) .....	137.50
47	1	L206	Chief Dietitian .....	175
48	1	L304	Pharmacist .....	225
49	1	L304	Pharmacist .....	200
50	2	L304	Pharmacist .....	190
51	1	L306	Senior Pharmacist .....	250
52	42	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	32
53	22	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	47
53½	2	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	72
54	7	L356	Senior House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	59.50
55	2	L360	Physician (part time) .....	75
56	3	L357	Resident Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
57	1	L360	Physician .....	175
58	1	L452	X-ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.) (part time) .....	79.50
59	4	L452	X-ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
60	1	L456	Senior X-ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	210
62	2	L357	Resident Physician .....	100
63	1	M255	Bracemaker .....	77.50
64	1	O60	Head Gardener (deduct for R.) .....	150
65	4	O166	Fireman, Stationary Steam Engine ....	185
66	4	O168	Engineer, Stationary Steam Engine ....	220
67	1	O172	Chief Engineer, Stationary Steam Engine (deduct for R.) .....	300

**Section 60. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
68	139	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)\$	135
69		P103	Special Nurses (as needed), 8 hrs. at \$5 per day or fraction of a day .....	
70		P103	Special Nurses (as needed), 10 hrs. at \$6 per day with meals.....	
71		P103	Special Nurses (as needed), 12 hrs., with meals, at \$7 per day .....	
72		P103	Special Nurses (Virulent Communicable Diseases and Violent Patients), 8 hrs. at \$6 per day .....	
73		P103	Special Nurses (Virulent Communicable Diseases and Violent Patients), 10 hrs. at \$9 per day .....	
74		P103	Special Nurses (Virulent Communicable Diseases and Violent Patients), 12 hrs. at \$10 per day .....	
75		P103	Special Nurses, Additional Patient \$2 extra (not to exceed \$12).....	
76	33	P104	Head Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)...	145
77	1	P104	Head Nurse .....	145
78	1	P110	Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	210
79	1	P110	Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	185
80	2	P110	Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	170
81	1	P122	Director of Institutional Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	285
82	4	P204	Anaesthetist (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	160
83	1	P206	Senior Anaesthetist (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	185
84	8	P208	Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	145
85	11	P208	Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
86	1	P210	Senior Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	185
87	1	P212	Head Nurse Obstetrical (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	160
88	1	P214	Head Nurse Pediatrics (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	150
89	1	P216	Head Nurse Psychiatric (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	160
90		P254	Student Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.).	32
91	2	P304	Instructor of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	160
92	1	P306	Senior Instructor of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	185
<b>PSYCHIATRIC BUILDING</b>				
93	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
94	2	C152	Watchman .....	145
95	2	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.)...	47
96	1	L357	Resident Physician .....	250



Section 60(a). **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL SOCIAL  
SERVICE DIVISION**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	T152	Junior Social Service Investigator ....\$	160
2	3	T152	Junior Social Service Investigator ....	150
3	1	T156	Social Service Investigator .....	210
4	2	T156	Social Service Investigator .....	190
5	7	T156	Social Service Investigator .....	180
6	1	T156	Social Service Investigator .....	175
7	3	T156	Social Service Investigator .....	140
8	1	T160	Senior Social Service Investigator....	240

Section 60(b). **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
OUT PATIENT MATERNITY**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	L360	Physician (part time) .....\$	300
2	1	L360	Physician (part time) .....	190
3	1	L360	Physician (part time) .....	100
4	2	P102	Registered Nurse .....	125

Section 60(c). **SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL—  
INTERDEPARTMENTAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	I2	Kitchen Helper (deduct for R. & L.)..	75
2	5	I116	Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	85
3	1	I204	Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	85

Section 61. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
EMERGENCY HOSPITALS**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....\$	190
2	12	L504	Emergency Hospital Surgeon.....	200
3	1	L506	Assistant Chief Surgeon Emergency Hospitals . . . . .	225
4	1	L508	Chief Surgeon .....	250
5	14	O6	Ambulance Driver .....	200
6	1	O6	Ambulance Driver .....	195
7	1	O6	Ambulance Driver .....	185
8	3	O6	Ambulance Driver .....	175
9	*7	P2	Emergency Hospital Steward .....	165
10	*5	P2	Emergency Hospital Steward .....	175
11	*16	P2	Emergency Hospital Steward .....	200
12	1	P4	Chief Emergency Hospital Steward....	250
13	12	P102	Registered Nurse .....	165
14	7	P102	Registered Nurse .....	135
15	1	P102	Registered Nurse (Relief) at \$5 per day	

\*One P2, Emergency Hospital Steward, position reclassified, to be P3, Senior Emergency Hospital Steward. One of the positions will be retitled when a promotive appointment is made under the new class.

### Section 62. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH— HASSLER HEALTH HOME

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B454	Telephone Operator (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	\$ 97.50
2	1	C152	Watchman (deduct for B., R. & L.)....	87.50
2½	7	I2	Kitchen Helper (deduct for R. & L.)..	75
3	2	I12	Cook .....	165
4	1	I14	Junior Chef .....	182.50
5	3	I116	Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	85
6	2	I116	Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	92.50
6½	11	I204	Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	85
7	1	I254	Seamstress (deduct for B., R. & L.)....	92.50
8	2	J4	Laborer (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	160
9	1	J4	Laborer .....	125
10	1	L156	Dentist (part time) .....	50
11	1	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	32
12	1	L363	Resident Physician and Superintendent, Hassler Health Home (deduct \$75 a month for family maintenance).....	350
13	1	O1	Chauffeur (deduct for B., R. & L.)....	160
14	1	O54	Foreman, Building and Grounds (deduct for 1 meal) .....	210
15	1	O58	Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	135
17	4	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
18	1	P104	Head Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)...	145
19	1	P112	Superintendent of Nursing, Hassler Health Home (deduct for B., R. & L.)	160

### Section 63. CORONER

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B420	Phonographic Reporter .....	\$ 200
2	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
3	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	155
4	1	I106	Morgue Attendant .....	95
5	1	L52	Bacteriological Laboratory Technician..	150
6	1	L52	Bacteriological Laboratory Technician..	125
7	1	L62	Pathologist (part time).....	125
8	1	L102	Food Chemist Assistant .....	95
9	1	L110	Toxicologist (part time) .....	150
10	1	L502	Autopsy Surgeon .....	325
11	1	L502	Autopsy Surgeon .....	100
12	3	N4	Coroner's Investigator .....	215
13	1	N8	Coroner's Chief Investigator .....	250
14	1	N10	Coroner .....	666.66
15	3	O8	Morgue Ambulance Driver .....	200
16	1	O8	Morgue Ambulance Driver .....	175

### Section 64. HORTICULTURAL INSPECTION DEPARTMENT— AGRICULTURAL COMMISSION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	\$ 150
2	4	N154	Horticultural Inspector .....	175
3	1	N155	Senior Horticultural Inspector .....	200
4	1	N156	County Agricultural Commissioner ....	400



**Section 65. SEALER OF WEIGHTS AND MEASURES**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	\$ 225
2	1	N356	Senior Inspector of Weights and Measures .....	235
3	2	N354	Inspector of Weights and Measures.....	225
4	2	N354	Inspector of Weights and Measures....	175
5	1	N358	Sealer of Weights and Measures.....	325

**Section 66. PUBLIC WELFARE DEPARTMENT****INDIGENT RELIEF DIVISION**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	\$ 175
2	1	B210	Office Assistant .....	85
3	5	B222	General Clerks .....	150
4	1	B239	Statistician .....	180
5	16	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	150
6	18	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	150
7	1	L360	Physician .....	150
8	32	T152	Junior Social Service Investigator.....	150
9	1	T158	Supervisor of Inquiries .....	180
10	3	T161	Case Supervisors .....	225
11	1	T163	Director of Public Welfare.....	500
12	1	T166	Director of Indigent Relief .....	250
13	1	T218	Supervisor Single Men's Registry .....	180

**Section 66½. COUNTY WELFARE DIVISION**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	2	B222	General Clerks .....	\$ 150
2	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	200
3	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	185
4	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	160
6	14	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	150
7	1	B510	Braille Typist .....	150
8	6	T152	Junior Social Service Investigator.....	165
9	1	T152	Junior Social Service Investigator.....	155
10	7	T156	Social Service Investigator .....	180
11	21	T156	Social Service Investigator .....	150
12	1	T160	Senior Social Service Investigator.....	215
13	1	T162	Director of County Welfare Bureau.....	300

**Section 67. CONTROLLER.**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Controller .....	\$ 833.33
3	6	B4	Bookkeeper .....	185
4	4	B4	Bookkeeper .....	180
5	8	B4	Bookkeeper .....	175
6	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	225
7	3	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	210
8	4	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	200
9	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	190
10	1	B7	Asst. Supervisor of Disbursements ....	240
10½	1	B7	Asst. Supervisor of Disbursements ....	225

## Section 67. CONTROLLER (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
11	1	B8	Supervisor of Disbursements.....\$	275
12	1	B10	Accountant .....	225
13	1	B14	Senior Accountant .....	325
14	2	B14	Senior Accountant .....	285
15	1	B21	Chief Assistant Controller .....	625
16	1	B26	Supervisor of Budget Statistics .....	250
17	1	B28	Supervisor of General Audits .....	400
18	1	B30	Supervisor of Utilities Audits .....	400
19	1	B55	Supervisor of Pay Rolls .....	325
20	2	B210	Office Assistant (part time) .....	79.50
21	3	B222	General Clerk .....	200
22	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
23	2	B222	General Clerk .....	185
24	3	B222	General Clerk .....	175
25	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	250
26	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	200
27	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	210
28	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	300
29	2	B234	Head Clerk .....	225
30	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	240
30½	1	B237	Tax Redemption Clerk .....	200
31	1	B301	Pay Roll Machine Operator .....	190
32	3	B301	Pay Roll Machine Operator .....	175
33	3	B301	Pay Roll Machine Operator .....	165
34	2	B302	Addressing Machine Operator .....	155
35	1	B310b	Tabulating Numerical Key Punch Operator .....	175
36	1	B310b	Tabulating Numerical Key Punch Operator .....	155
37	1	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator .....	175
38	3	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator .....	165
39	1	B312	Senior Bookkeeping Machine Operator..	185
40	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
41	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
42	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
43	1	B417	Executive Secretary to the Controller..	250
44	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator .....	155
44½	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator (part time) .....	75
45	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
45½	3	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	155
46	1	K6	Senior Attorney—Civil .....	400
47			Seasonal, Clerical and other Temporary Services (as needed) at rates not in excess of Salary Standardization Schedules.	
			Field Bookkeepers or Accountants (Construction Work outside S. F.) (as needed) at rates fixed in Salary Standardization Report.	

## Section 67a. CONTROLLER (Continued)

## INTERDEPARTMENTAL EMPLOYMENTS AS REQUIRED

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	10	B4	Bookkeeper .....	175
2	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	190



**Section 68. CITY PLANNING COMMISSION**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	5		Commissioners, \$15 per meeting.....	
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time).\$	75
3	1	B420	Phonographic Reporter (as needed), \$12.50 per meeting .....	
4	1	F158	City Planning Engineer and Secretary..	350
5	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	200
6	1	F255	City Planning Draftsman (as needed)..	200

**Section 69. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—GENERAL OFFICE**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	5		Commissioners .....	\$ 100
2	1		Manager of Utilities .....	1,000
3	1	B22	Asst. Supervisor, Bureau of Accounts, Utilities Commission .....	410
4	1	B60	Secretary, Public Utilities Commission..	300
5	1	B53	Director of Public Relations .....	400
6	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
7	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
8	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	165
9	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
10	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
11	1	G106	Claims Adjuster .....	350
11½	1	L360	Physician (part time) .....	250
12	1	O1	Chauffeur .....	187.50
13	1	S110	Inspector, Municipal Railway .....	180
14	1	S110	Inspector, Municipal Railway .....	200

**Section 70. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO AIRPORT**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	\$ 155
2	3	C104	Janitor .....	125
3	1	F50	Maintenance Chief .....	155
4	4	F51	Airport Attendant .....	150
6	3	F52	Crew Chief, Airport .....	160
7	4	F53	Control Tower Operator, Airport .....	165
7½	2	F53½	Junior Control Tower Operator .....	145
8	1	F54	Meteorologist .....	200
9	1	F60	Assistant Superintendent .....	225
10	1	F62	Superintendent .....	500
11			Seasonal, Clerical and other temporary Services as needed at rates not in ex- cess of Salary Standardization Sched- ules.	

**Section 71. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
ENGINEERING**

These positions are paid from appropriations for temporary or inter-departmental services. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3	A106	Building Inspector .....	\$ 225
2	1	B10	Accountant .....	275
3	1	B210	Office Assistant .....	85
4	1	B246	Map Clerk .....	155
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
6	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
7	4	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
8	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	160
9	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	155
10	1	F102	Architectural Draftsman .....	200
11	1	F104	Architectural Estimator .....	250
12	1	F106	Architectural Designer .....	250
13	1	F108	Architect .....	300
14	1	F202	Inspector, Public Works Construction..	200
15	6	F204	Civil Engineering Inspector .....	225
16	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector...	250
17	3	F214	Construction Engineer .....	300
18	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman ...	160
19	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman .....	250
20	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman .....	240
21	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman .....	225
22	6	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman .....	200
23	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman....	275
24	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman....	240
25	3	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman....	225
26	1	F260	Civil Engineering Designer .....	250
27	1	F320	Senior Civil Engineer .....	550
28	1	F351	Junior Electrical Engineer .....	160
29	2	F352	Electrical Engineering Draftsman ....	200
30	2	F354	Electrical Engineering Designer .....	250
31	2	F356	Electrical Engineering Inspector .....	235
32	3	F356	Electrical Engineering Inspector .....	225
33	1	F362	Electrical Engineer .....	350
34	2	F362	Electrical Engineer .....	300
35		F370	Chief Electrical Engineer .....	650
36	1	F372	Manager and Chief Engineer, Electric Power Bureau .....	833.33
37	1	F401	Junior Hydraulic Engineer .....	160
38	4	F404	Hydraulic Engineering Designer .....	265
39	1	F404	Hydraulic Engineering Designer .....	250
40	1	F406	Assistant Hydraulic Engineer .....	330
41	1	F408	Hydraulic Engineer .....	400
42	2	F452	Mechanical Draftsman .....	200
43	1	F454	Mechanical Engineering Designer ....	290
44	1	F454	Mechanical Engineering Designer ....	250
45	1	F456	Designer Street Railway Equipment....	290
46	1	F462	Mechanical Engineer .....	300
47	1	F518	Office Engineer .....	350
48	1	F518	Office Engineer .....	300
49	1	F552	Structural Draftsman .....	225
50	1	F554	Structural Engineering Designer .....	275
51	1	F556	Structural Engineering Inspector .....	250



# Section 71. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION— ENGINEERING (Continued)

Item	No. of No. Employees No.	Class	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
52	1	F605	Surveyor's Field Assistant .....	\$ 175
53	1	M256	Mechanical Inspector .....	225
54	1	N102	Light-Water Complaint Investigator ...	200
55	1	O1	Chauffeur .....	170
56	1	O1	Chauffeur .....	225
57	1	O152	Engineer, Hoisting and Portable Engines, \$11.40 per day .....	

## CLASSIFICATIONS AS NEEDED

58		F12	Consulting Engineer, Utilities .....	650
59		F102	Architectural Draftsman .....	200
60		F104	Architectural Estimator .....	250
61		F106	Architectural Designer .....	250
62		F108	Architect .....	300
63		F202	Inspector Public Works Construction...	200
64		F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	160
65		F256	Cartographer and Art Designer .....	210
66		F260	Civil Engineering Designer .....	250
67		F351	Junior Electrical Engineer .....	160
68		F352	Electrical Engineering Draftsman .....	200
69		F354	Electrical Engineering Designer .....	250
70		F460	Assistant Mechanical Engineer .....	250
71		F558	Structural Engineer .....	250
72		F610	Surveyor .....	250
73		M256	Mechanical Inspector .....	225
74		O1	Chauffeur .....	170
75			Seasonal Clerical Service (as needed)..	150
76			Street Lighting Foreman .....	200
77		E112	Electrical Foreman .....	225
78			Street Lighting Man .....	175
79		E108	Electrician at \$9 per day.....	
80		E154	Lineman at \$7.40 per day.....	
81		E156	Cable Splicer at \$9.50 per day.....	
82		A154	Carpenter at \$9 per day.....	
83		A204	Cement Finisher at \$9 per day.....	
84		J4	Laborer at \$6 per day.....	

# Section 72. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION— MUNICIPAL RAILWAY

Item	No. of No. Employees No.	Class	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	5	A154	Carpenter, \$9 per day.....	
2	9	A364	Car and Auto Painter, \$10 per day.....	
3	1	A370	General Foreman Car and Auto Paint Shop .....	\$ 250
4	1	B10	Accountant .....	225
5	1	B14	Senior Accountant .....	275
6	1	B222	General Clerk .....	200
7	2	B222	General Clerk .....	175
8	1	B222	General Clerk .....	165
9	3	B222	General Clerk .....	155
9½	1	B222	General Clerk .....	150
10	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	240
11	2	B308	Key Drive Calculating Machine Operator	155
12	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	250
13	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
14	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
15	2	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150

Section 72. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
MUNICIPAL RAILWAY (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
16	2	C52	Elevator Operator .....	\$ 145
17	1	C52	Elevator Operator (relief) .....	145
18	23	C104	Janitor .....	160
19	2	C104	Janitor .....	155
20	11	C104	Janitor .....	145
21	3	C104	Janitor, \$5.80 per day .....	
22	1	C104	Janitor .....	135
23	2	C107	Working Foreman Janitor, \$6.30 per day .....	
24	1	E106	Armature Winder, \$9 per day .....	
25	1	E108	Electrician, \$9 per day .....	
26	6	E154	Lineman, \$7.40 per day .....	
27	1	E160	Foreman Lineman .....	215
28	1	F216	Maintenance of Way Engineer .....	300
29	4	J4	Laborer, \$6 per day .....	
30	4	J66	Garageman, \$6.80 per day .....	
30½	1	J66	Garageman, \$6.50 per day .....	
31	46	J152	Trackman, \$6 per day .....	
32	2	J160	Track Welder, \$6.30 per day .....	
32½	2	J156	Switch Repairer, \$6 per day .....	
33	2	J162	Car Repairer Welder, \$7.50 per day ....	
34	3	J166	Track Foreman, \$6.30 per day .....	
35	1	J168	Supervisor of Maintenance of Way ....	250
36	1	M5	Asst. Sup't Equipment and Overhead Lines .....	300
37	1	M6	Sup't Equipment and Overhead Lines..	350
38	3	M54	Auto Machinist, \$9 per day .....	
39	1	M56	Garage Foreman, Municipal Railway...	250
40	2	M104	Blacksmith Helper, \$8 per day .....	
41	2	M108	Blacksmith, \$9 per day .....	
42	49	M202	Car Repairer, \$6.80 per day .....	
43	5	M206	Sub-Foreman Car Repairer, \$7.30 per day .....	
44	2	M208	Foreman Car Repairer, \$7.80 per day...	
45	6	M254	Machinist, \$9 per day .....	
46	1	O1	Chauffeur, \$8 per day .....	
47	1	S10	Manager Municipal Railway .....	700
48	1	S60	Instructor Municipal Railway .....	240
49	427	S102	Conductor, 75c per hour .....	
50	420	S104	Motorman, 75c per hour .....	
51	50	S106	Bus Operator, 80c per hour .....	
52	4	S110	Inspector Municipal Railway .....	175
52½	1	S110	Inspector Municipal Railway .....	165
53	8	S110	Inspector Municipal Railway .....	170
54	12	S110	Inspector Municipal Railway .....	200
55	5	S110	Inspector Municipal Railway .....	180
56	2	S120	Day Dispatcher .....	210
57	1	S124	Supervisor of Schedules .....	210
58	2	S128	Division Superintendent Municipal Ry..	275
59	1	S130	Assistant Superintendent of Transportation, Municipal Railway .....	300
60	1	S132	Superintendent of Transportation, Municipal Railway .....	350
61	1	U108	Compressor Operator, portable, \$6.30 per day .....	
62	1	R108	Supervisor of Music (part time) .....	50
63			Seasonal, Clerical and other temporary Services as needed at rates not in excess of Salary Standardization Schedules.	



# Section 73. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT

## 1. EXECUTIVE

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
2	1	U44	Manager, Water Department .....	833.33

## 2. ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL

3	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	175
4	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	275
5	3	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	190
6	1	B14	Senior Accountant .....	400
7	1	B24	Auditor .....	700
8	1	B109	Cashier .....	325
9	1	B210	Office Assistant .....	85
10	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	180
11	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
12	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	160
13	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155

## 3. WATER PURIFICATION DIVISION

14	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
15	1	F524	Water Purification Engineer .....	200
16	1	F524	Water Purification Engineer .....	180
17	3	F524	Water Purification Engineer .....	175
18	1	F526	Chief Water Purification Engineer.....	350
19	1	U215	Head Pump Operator (deduct room)..	160

## 4. ENGINEERING

20	1	B222	General Clerk .....	175
21	1	F2	Assistant Engineer—Water Service ....	500
22	1	F408	Hydraulic Engineer .....	375

## 5. HOUSE SERVICE

23	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	165
24	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
25	1	C52	Elevator Operator .....	160
26	4	C104	Janitor .....	155
27	1	C107	Working Foreman Janitor .....	175
28	1	I122	House Mother (part time).....	75

## 6. AGRICULTURAL DIVISION

29	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	165
30	1	V30	Assistant Superintendent .....	200
31	1	V40	Superintendent .....	600

## 7. WATER SALES DIVISION

32	1	B210	Office Assistant .....	85
33	1	B210	Office Assistant .....	95
34	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	200
35	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	250
36	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	160
37	1	N420	Consumers Complaint Investigator ....	225
38	1	U80	Assistant Manager .....	375
39	1	U88	Manager .....	475

**Section 73. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued)**

**8. SERVICE AND SUPPLY**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
40	3	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 175
40½	1	B222	General Clerk .....	155
41	1	U61	Supervisor Service and Supply .....	225
42	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	180
43	6	U122	Shut-off Man .....	175
43½	1	U122	Shut-off Man .....	160
44	1	U123	Service Inspector .....	185
45	1	U124	Special Complaint Inspector .....	200
46	1	U126	Meter Inspector .....	175
47	1	U132	Contractor's and Builder's Inspector....	225

**Section 74. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued)**

**9. CONSUMERS' PREMISES**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	4	U126	Meter Inspector .....	\$ 175
1½	1	U126	Meter Inspector .....	160
2	1	U128	Chief Meter Inspector .....	215

**10. WATER SALES DIVISION—  
METER READING**

3	7	B247	Meter Reader .....	175
4	8	B247	Meter Reader .....	155

**11. CONSUMERS' ACCOUNTS**

6	4	B222	General Clerk .....	175
7	1	B222	General Clerk .....	165
8	1	B222	General Clerk .....	160
9	9	B222	General Clerk .....	155
10	5	B222	General Clerk (part time) .....	75
11	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	215
12	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	200
13	3	B228	Senior Clerk .....	180
14	1	B302	Addressing Machine Operator .....	160
15	1	B302	Addressing Machine Operator .....	155
16	12	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator .....	175
17	5	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	155
18	1	U56	Assistant Supervisor — Consumers' Accounts .....	290
19	1	U60	Supervisor Consumers' Accounts .....	350
20	1	U62	Supervisor of Closing Bills .....	225
21	1	U63	Chief Adjuster .....	225

**12. WATER SALES DIVISION—  
COLLECTIONS**

22	1	B222	General Clerk .....	200
23	1	B222	General Clerk .....	180
24	13	B222	General Clerk .....	175
25	6	B222	General Clerk .....	165
26	1	B222	General Clerk .....	160
27	11	B222	General Clerk .....	155



## Section 74. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued)

12. WATER SALES DIVISION—  
COLLECTIONS (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
28	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	\$ 200
29	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	250
30	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	165
31	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
32	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	155
33	1	U52	Supervisor of Collections .....	300

## 13. DOCKS AND SHIPPING

34	1	B222	General Clerk .....	175
35	1	U51	Supervisor Docks and Shipping .....	275
36	1	U125	Hoseman—Ships and Docks .....	190
37	1	U125	Hoseman—Ships and Docks .....	160

14. CITY DISTRIBUTION DIVISION—  
GENERAL

38	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	180
39	1	B356	Senior Storekeeper .....	265
40	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	160
41	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	155
42	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	165
43	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	175
44	1	O58	Gardener .....	145
45	2	O58	Gardener .....	135
45½	1	O60	Head Gardener .....	150
46	1	U130	Reservoir Keeper .....	165
47	1	U130	Reservoir Keeper (deduct for house)...	165
48	2	U130	Reservoir Keeper (deduct for house)...	160
49	1	U142	Assistant Superintendent .....	350
50	1	U144	Superintendent .....	500

## 15. PUMPS

51	1	O166	Fireman Stationary Steam Engines....	165
52	3	O166	Fireman Stationary Steam Engines....	175
53	4	O166	Fireman Stationary Steam Engines....	185
54	4	O168	Engineer Stationary Steam Engines....	220
55	1	O170	Assistant Chief Engineer — Stationary Steam Engines .....	235

## 16. PUMPS—PENINSULA DIVISION

56	1	O166	Fireman Stationary Steam Engines (de- duct for house) .....	185
57	1	O166	Fireman Stationary Steam Engines....	185
58	1	O172	Chief Engineer Stationary Steam En- gines .....	265
59	4	U214	Pump Operator .....	165
60	1	U214	Pump Operator (deduct for house) ....	165
61	1	U215	Head Pump Operator .....	200
62	1	U215	Head Pump Operator (deduct for house)	200

**Section 75. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued)**

**17. MILLBRAE STATION**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	\$ 200
2	1	B354	General Storekeeper .....	150
3	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
4	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
5	1	C104	Janitress (part time) (less room and board) .....	45.50
6	1	C152	Watchman .....	150
7	1	C152	Watchman .....	145
8	1	I12	Cook (less house) .....	130
9	1	U228	Country Meterman .....	175
11	1	U236	Assistant Superintendent—Peninsula Division (deduct for house) .....	300
12	1	U246	Superintendent — Peninsula Division (deduct for house) .....	466.66

**18. PENINSULA DIVISION—RESERVOIRS**

13	1	I60	Housekeeper (part time) .....	35
14	2	I60	Housekeeper (part time) .....	20
15	4	U130	Reservoir Keeper (deduct for house)...	160
16	2	U212	Ranger (deduct for house) .....	145
17	1	U212	Ranger .....	135

**19. ALAMEDA SYSTEM**

18	1	B222	General Clerk .....	165
19	1	C152	Watchman .....	150
20	1	U130	Reservoir Keeper (deduct for house)...	160
21	1	U212	Ranger .....	145
22	1	U212	Ranger (deduct for house).....	145
23	1	U214	Pump Operator (part time).....	50
24	1	U215	Head Pump Operator (deduct for house)	200
25	1	U231	Assistant Superintendent (deduct for house) ..	225
26	1	U232	Superintendent (deduct for house) ....	300

**20. CITY DISTRIBUTION DIVISION**

27	8	U120	Gateman .....	day 7.75
28	3	J4	Laborer .....	day 6

**21. PENINSULA DIVISION—  
MILLBRAE STATION**

29	1	B454	Telephone Operator (part time)....	day 4
30	1	O58	Gardener .....	day 6
31	2	U206	Water Department Worker .....	day 6
32	1	U214	Pump Operator .....	day 6.25

**22. AGRICULTURAL DIVISION**

33	3	J4	Laborer ..	day 6
34	1	V8	Sub-Foreman Agriculture .....	day 6.50

**23. ALAMEDA DIVISION**

35	1	O58	Gardener ..	day 6
----	---	-----	-------------	-------

**24. GENERAL AND MISCELLANEOUS**

36	1	C104	Janitor .....	day 6
37			Teams and Trucks at rates fixed in Purchaser's Contracts .....	

Seasonal clerical and other temporary services as needed at rates not in excess of salary standardization schedules.



# Section 76. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT

## (FUNCTIONAL EMPLOYMENTS AS NEEDED)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Departmental Title	Per Day	Per Month
1	3	A154	Carpenter . . . . .	\$9.00	
2	1	A354	Painter . . . . .	9.75	
3	1	A404	Plumber . . . . .		\$225
4	1	A551	Apprentice . . . . .	7.00	
5	1	B315	Photographer—Water Service . . . . .		200
6	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .		155
7	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .		175
8	1	E154	Lineman . . . . .		200
9	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector . . . . .		250
10	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman . . . . .		160
11	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman . . . . .		175
12	2	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman . . . . .		225
13	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman . . . . .		250
14	2	F401	Junior Hydraulic Engineer . . . . .		160
16	1	F406	Assistant Hydraulic Engineer . . . . .		250
17	62	J4	Laborer . . . . .	6.00	
18	1	J66	Garageman . . . . .	6.50	
19	6	M54	Auto Machinist . . . . .	9.00	
20	4	M254	Machinist . . . . .	9.00	
21	1	M266	Foreman Meter Repairs . . . . .		200
22	1	M268	Foreman Machinist . . . . .		285
23	2	O1	Chauffeur . . . . .	6.50	
24	1	O1	Chauffeur . . . . .	7.50	
25	1	O116	Teamster . . . . .	6.50	
26	2	U104	Leadman . . . . .	6.00	
27	3	U108	Compressor Operator . . . . .	7.50	
28	13	U112	Pipe Caulker . . . . .	7.50	
29	5	U114	Main Pipe Foreman . . . . .	7.75	
30	15	U116	Service Man . . . . .	7.50	
31	1	U116	Service Man . . . . .	7.75	
32	1	U136	General Foreman Service Meters.. . . .		300
33	1	U140	General Foreman Main Pipes..... .		325
34	10	U206	Water Department Worker . . . . .	6.00	
35	2	U214	Pump Operator . . . . .	6.00	
36	1	U226	General Maintenance Foreman.... .		200
37	1	U227	General Maintenance Foreman (Less House) . . . . .		210
38	3	U230	Maintenance Foreman . . . . .		200
39	1	F202	Inspector of Public Works Construction . . . . .		175
40	1	F202	Inspector of Public Works Construction . . . . .		200

# Section 77. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

## INTERDEPARTMENTAL SERVICES—FOR CONSTRUCTION AND OTHER ACTIVITIES

(The rates of pay herein specified are maximum rates. Lower rates may be paid.)

Item No.	Class No.	Departmental Title	Per Hour	Per Day	Per Month
1		Asst. Hydraulic Engineer.. . . .			\$325.00
2		Asst. Bacteriologist . . . . .	.50		
3		Asst. Biologist . . . . .	.50		
4		Asst. Elec. Eng. . . . .			300.00
5		Asst. Mechanical Engineer. . . . .			300.00
6		Auto Mechanic . . . . .		8.73	

## Section 77. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION (Continued)

INTERDEPARTMENTAL SERVICES—FOR CONSTRUCTION  
AND OTHER ACTIVITIES (Continued)

Item No.	Class No.	Departmental Title	Per Hour	Per Day	Per Month
7		Blacksmith . . . . .	1.091		
8		Blacksmith Helper . . . . .	.818		
9		Bacteriologist (part time) . . . . .			\$ 75.00
10		Biologist (part time) . . . . .			75.00
11		Boilermaker . . . . .	1.091		
12		Boilermaker Helper . . . . .	.75		
13		Brakeman . . . . .		\$ 6.00	
14		Bookkeeper . . . . .			175.00
15		Construction Supt. . . . .			300.00
16		Construction Foreman . . . . .			200.00
17		Clerical Service . . . . .	.50		
18		Clerical Service . . . . .		5.00	
19		Clerk (Experienced) . . . . .			337.50
19½		Cable Splicer . . . . .		9.50	
20		Carpenter . . . . .		9.00	
21		Carpenter Foreman . . . . .		10.00	
22		Cement Finisher . . . . .		8.00	
23		Cement Gun Operator . . . . .		7.00	
24		Clerk, General . . . . .			155.00
25		Cook . . . . .			212.50
26		Cook Helper . . . . .			137.50
27		Compressorman . . . . .		7.67	
28		Construction Engineer . . . . .			600.00
29		Concrete Man . . . . .		5.00	
30		Concrete Foreman . . . . .		8.00	
31		Chainman . . . . .			140.00
32		Chucktender . . . . .		5.25	
32½		Comptometer Operator . . . . .			150.00
33		Draftsman . . . . .			250.00
34		Detectorman . . . . .			212.50
35		Dishwasher . . . . .			112.50
36		Designer . . . . .			275.00
37		Driver . . . . .	.90		
38		Driver . . . . .	.95		
39		Driver . . . . .	1.00		
40		Driver . . . . .	1.10		
41		Driver . . . . .	1.15		
42		Driver . . . . .	1.25		
43		Driver . . . . .	1.35		
44		Driver . . . . .		5.50	
45		Driver . . . . .		6.00	
46		Driver . . . . .		6.50	
47		Driver . . . . .		7.00	
48		Driver . . . . .		7.50	
49		Driver . . . . .		8.00	
50		Driver . . . . .		9.20	
51		Driver . . . . .		10.00	
52		Driver (Tractor) . . . . .		8.00	
53		Diver . . . . . Per Dive 25.00			
54		Estimator . . . . .			175.00
55		Electrician . . . . .		8.73	
56		Engineer Mechanical . . . . .			350.00
57		Engineer Asst. . . . .			287.50
58		Electrician . . . . .		9.00	
59		Engineer (Asst. Const.) . . . . .			375.00
60	B327	Photostat Operator . . . . .			165.00
61	F102	Architectural Draftsman . . . . .			200.00



## Section 77. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION (Continued)

INTERDEPARTMENTAL SERVICES—FOR CONSTRUCTION  
AND OTHER ACTIVITIES (Continued)

Item No.	Class No.	Departmental Title	Per Hour	Per Day	Per Month
62	F106	Architectural Designer . . .			\$250.00
63	F108	Architect . . . . .			375.00
63½	F108	Architect . . . . .			300.00
64	F260	Civil Engineering Designer			250.00
65	F352	Electrical Engineering Draftsman . . . . .			200.00
66	F354	Electrical Engineering Designer . . . . .			250.00
67	F356	Electrical Engineering Inspector . . . . .			225.00
68	F362	Electrical Engineer . . . . .			300.00
69	F404	Hydraulic Engineering Designer . . . . .			250.00
70	F452	Mechanical Draftsman . . .			200.00
71	F454	Mechanical Engineering Designer . . . . .			250.00
72	F552	Structural Draftsman . . . .			200.00
73	F554	Structural Engineering Designer . . . . .			250.00
74	F556	Structural Engineering Inspector . . . . .			250.00
75	F558	Structural Engineer . . . . .			250.00
76	F614	Assistant Chief Surveyor . .			275.00
77	F616	Chief Surveyor . . . . .			325.00

## Section 78. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION (Continued)

INTERDEPARTMENTAL SERVICES—FOR CONSTRUCTION  
AND OTHER ACTIVITIES (Continued)

(The rates of pay herein specified are maximum rates. Lower rates may be paid.)

Item No.	Class No.	Departmental Title	Per Hour	Per Day	Per Month
78		Foreman . . . . .			\$250.00
79		Foreman . . . . .		\$6.00	
80		Foreman . . . . .		6.25	
81		Foreman . . . . .		6.50	
82		Foreman . . . . .		7.00	
83		Foreman . . . . .		7.50	
84		Foreman . . . . .		8.00	
85		Foreman . . . . .		10.00	
86		Foreman, General . . . . .			300.00
87		Field Asst. . . . .			225.00
88		Fire Boss . . . . .			212.50
89		Form Man . . . . .		5.25	
90		Grout Gunman . . . . .		6.00	
91		Gunite Helper . . . . .		5.00	
92		Gunite Mixerman . . . . .		5.50	
93		Gate Tender . . . . .		5.75	
94		Graderman . . . . .		6.50	
95		Groundman . . . . .		6.00	
96		Hoistman . . . . .		9.00	
97		Hodcarrier . . . . .		9.00	
98		Hostler . . . . .		6.50	
99		Housesmith . . . . .		9.00	
100		Housesmith Foreman . . . .		10.00	
101		Inspector . . . . .			250.00

## Section 78. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION (Continued)

INTERDEPARTMENTAL SERVICES—FOR CONSTRUCTION  
AND OTHER ACTIVITIES (Continued)

Item No.	Class No.	Departmental Title	Per Hour	Per Day	Per Month
102		Inspector, Engineer .....			\$250.00
103		Inspector, Chief .....			275.00
104		Janitress .....			100.00
105		Janitor .....			107.50
106		Jackhammerman .....		\$ 5.00	
107		Kitchen Helper .....			117.50
108		Laborer .....		4.00	
109		Laborer .....		4.25	
110		Laborer .....		4.50	
111		Laborer .....		4.75	
112		Laborer .....		5.00	
113		Laborer .....		5.50	
114		Laborer .....		6.00	
115		Lineman .....		8.73	
116		Lampman .....			150.00
117		Lineman Helper .....		7.73	
118		Mechanic, Camp .....			225.00
119		Machinist .....		8.73	
120		Machinist .....	1.091		
121		Machinist Helper .....	.818		
122		Machineman .....		5.75	
123		Master Mechanic .....			453.50
124		Mixerman .....		5.50	
125		Motorman .....		5.75	
126		Motorman (Gas) .....		7.67	
127		Mucker .....		4.50	
128		Miner .....		6.00	
129		Nozzleman .....		6.50	
130		Nurse .....			162.50
131		Nipper .....		5.25	
132		Pipe Joint Inspector .....		6.50	
133		Plasterer .....		12.00	
134		Painter .....		9.00	
135		Plumber .....		9.00	
136		Physician .....			337.50
137		Porter .....		4.50	
138		Powderman .....		5.75	
139		Pumpman .....		5.00	
140		Rigger .....		7.00	
141		Rescueman .....	.75		
142		Safety Man .....			250.00
143		Steelworker .....		9.00	
144		Surveyor .....			200.00
145		Sanitary Engineer (part time) .....			75.00
146		Steam Shovel Engineer ....		10.00	
147		Steam Shovel Fireman ....		7.00	
148		Steam Shovel Oiler .....		6.00	
149		Steam Shovel Watchman...		7.00	
150		Superintendent .....			500.00
151		Steamfitter .....		10.00	
152		Skiptender .....		5.75	
153		Sub Foreman .....		6.50	
154		Special Agent .....			225.00
155		Stenographer .....			155.00
156		Storekeeper .....			240.00
157		Tunnel Supt. ....			250.00
158		Tractor Driver .....		7.50	



## Section 78. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION (Continued)

INTERDEPARTMENTAL SERVICES—FOR CONSTRUCTION  
AND OTHER ACTIVITIES (Continued)

Item No.	Class No.	Departmental Title	Per Hour	Per Day	Per Month
159		Tool Sharpener .....		\$ 6.50	
160		Tool Sharpener Helper ....		5.25	
161		Tunnel Supt. Asst. ....			\$240.00
162		Templaman .....		5.25	
163		Typist .....			155.00
164		Timekeeper .....			175.00
165		Waiter .....			112.50
166		Water Pipe Welder .....		7.50	
167		Welder .....	1.091		
168		Welder Helper .....	.75		
169		Watchman .....			150.00
170		Waterboy .....		3.50	

Trucks and teams at rates established by Purchasers Contracts.

Subject to prior approval of the Civil Service Commission, other classifications as needed for emergency work at rates not to exceed that prevailing for the particular classification. •

Section 79. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
HETCH HETCHY POWER OPERATIVE

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	\$ 215
2	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
3	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	155
4	1	C104	Janitor .....	130
5	1	C104	Janitor (part time) .....	60
6	1	E107	Power House Electrician .....	210
7	1	E120	Governorman .....	150
8	3	E120	Governorman .....	145
9	3	E120	Governorman .....	140
10	1	E122	Power House Operator .....	190
11	4	E122	Power House Operator .....	185
12	3	E122	Power House Operator .....	165
13	3	E122	Power House Operator .....	150
14	1	E128	Superintendent Power House .....	260
15	1	E128	Superintendent Power House .....	210
17	1	E151	Transmission Line Patrolman's Helper .....	137.50
18	2	E152	Transmission Line Patrolman .....	210
19	1	E164	Foreman Lineman, Power Operative...	250
19 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub>	1	F351	Junior Electrical Engineer .....	160
19 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>	1	F362	Electrical Engineer .....	400
20	1	I2	Kitchen Helper .....	112.50
21	1	I12	Cook .....	167.50
22	1	160	Housekeeper .....	117.50
23	1	J4	Laborer .....	150
24	1	J4	Laborer .....	135
27	1	M254	Machinist .....	210
27 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>	1	O16	Truck Driver Laborer .....	137.50
28	1	O58	Gardener .....	175

Section 80. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
HETCH HETCHY WATER SUPPLY**

INTRA- AND INTERDEPARTMENTAL EMPLOY-  
MENTS AS NEEDED

Item No.	Class No.	Departmental Title	Per Day	Per Month
1	A154	Carpenter . . . . .	\$ 9.00	
2	A164	Carpenter-Foreman . . . . .	10.00	
3	A172	Repair Foreman . . . . .		\$300
4	A204	Cement Finisher . . . . .	9.00	
5	A354	Painter . . . . .		210
6	A354	Painter . . . . .	9.00	
7	A404	Plumber . . . . .	10.00	
8	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .		175
9	B352	Storekeeper . . . . .		150
10	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .		150
11	E150	Lineman Helper . . . . .	7.73	
12	E154	Lineman . . . . .	8.73	
13	E156	Cable Splicer . . . . .	9.50	
14	F212	Assistant Engineer . . . . .		250
15	F605	Surveyor's Field Assistant . . . . .		187.50
16	F605	Surveyor's Field Assistant . . . . .		175
17	J4	Laborer . . . . .	6.00	
18	J4	Laborer . . . . .	5.50	
19	J12	Labor Foreman, Utilities . . . . .		210
20	J12	Labor Foreman, Utilities . . . . .		200
21	M54	Auto Machinist . . . . .	8.73	
22	M55	Sub-Foreman Auto Machinist . . . . .		210
23	M108	Blacksmith . . . . .		190
24	M108	Blacksmith . . . . .	9.00	
25	O16	Truck Driver-Laborer . . . . .	8.00	
26	O16	Truck Driver-Laborer . . . . .	7.50	
27	O16	Truck Driver-Laborer . . . . .	7.00	
28	O16	Truck Driver-Laborer . . . . .	6.50	
29	O16	Truck Driver-Laborer . . . . .	6.00	
31	U226	General Maintenance Foreman . . . . .		200

Section 81. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
HETCH HETCHY WATER SUPPLY**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	2	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	\$ 175
2	1	B14	Senior Accountant . . . . .	275
3	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	160
4	1	C152	Watchman . . . . .	125
5	1	F8	Utilities Engineer . . . . .	700
6	1	F212	Asst. Engineer, Power Operative Division . . . . .	237.50
7	1	O1	Chauffeur . . . . .	225
8	2	U130	Reservoir Keeper . . . . .	160
9	1	U130	Reservoir Keeper . . . . .	150
10	1	U130	Reservoir Keeper . . . . .	140
11	1	U130	Reservoir Keeper . . . . .	145
12	1	U130	Reservoir Keeper . . . . .	125
13	1	U206	Water Department Worker . . . . .	135
14	1	U206	Water Department Worker . . . . .	125



Section 82. **BOARD OF EDUCATION—  
CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES, 1938-1939**

Rates of pay and positions herein established for employees of the Board of Education are fixed by action of the said Board and are included solely for the convenience of the Controller and the Civil Service Commission for checking payrolls.

Item No.	Department Title	Per Annum
1	Superintendent of Schools.....	\$ 10,000
2	Chief Deputy Superintendent.....	6,000
3	Deputy Superintendents .....	5,400
4	Assistant to Superintendent.....	3,000
5	Director of Educational Functions for G. G. I. Exposition.....	4,800
6	Directors "A" 278 days' service.....	4,800
7	Directors "B" 243 days' service.....	4,500
8	Assts. to Director of Physical Education.	3,300
9	Supervisors "AA" 278 days' service....	4,250
10	Supervisors Class "A".....	3,900
11	Supervisors Class "B".....	3,300
12	Supervisors Class "C".....	3,036
13	Assistant Supervisor of Attendance....	1,800
14	Assistant Supervisor of Attendance....	1,932
15	Assistant Supervisor of Attendance....	2,016
16	Assistant Supervisor of Attendance....	2,100
17	Assistant Supervisor of Attendance....	2,280
18	Assistant Supervisor of Attendance....	2,364
19	Assistant Supervisor of Attendance....	2,448
20	Assistant Supervisor of Attendance....	2,544
21	Junior College President .....	7,000
22	Junior College Registrar and Director of Personnel .....	4,500
23	Junior College Dean of Men.....	4,200
24	Junior College Dean of Women.....	4,200
25	Junior College Assistant Dean of Men..	3,600
26	Junior College Assistant Dean of Women	3,600
27	Librarian .....	3,000
28	Assistant Registrar .....	3,000
29	Assistant to President .....	3,000
30	Principals .....	5,100
31	Principals .....	4,800
32	Principals .....	4,500
33	Principals .....	4,250
34	Principals .....	4,020
35	Principals .....	3,720
36	Principals .....	3,420
37	Principals .....	2,880
38	Principals — Evening Schools — (195 eves) .....	2,304
39	Principals — Evening Schools — (195 eves) .....	2,004
40	Principals — Evening Schools — (170 eves) .....	2,008.62
41	Principals — Evening Schools — (170 eves) .....	1,747.07
42	Principals — Evening Schools — (138 eves) .....	1,418.21
43	Vice-Principals .....	3,900
44	Vice-Principals .....	3,708
45	Vice-Principals .....	3,600
46	Vice-Principals .....	3,504
47	Vice-Principals .....	3 456

Section 82. BOARD OF EDUCATION—  
 CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES, 1938-1939  
 (Continued)

Item No.	Department Title	Per Annum
48	Vice-Principals . . . . .	\$ 3,408
49	Vice-Principals . . . . .	3,392
50	Vice-Principals . . . . .	3,308
51	Vice-Principals . . . . .	3,212
52	Vice-Principals . . . . .	3,204
53	Vice-Principals . . . . .	3,192
54	Vice-Principals . . . . .	3,108
55	Vice-Principals . . . . .	3,044
56	Vice-Principals . . . . .	3,036
57	Vice-Principals . . . . .	3,000
58	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,976
59	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,904
61	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,880
62	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,848
63	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,844
64	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,820
65	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,808
66	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,764
67	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,748
68	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,736
69	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,700
70	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,680
71	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,676
72	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,664
73	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,604
74	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,580
75	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,508
76	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,500
77	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,448
78	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,436
79	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,416
80	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,376
81	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,364
82	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,332
83	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,316
84	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,304
85	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,280
86	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,220
87	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,136
88	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,100
89	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,076
90	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,044
91	Vice-Principals . . . . .	1,980
92	Vice-Principals . . . . .	1,984
93	Vice-Principals . . . . .	1,920
94	Vice-Principals . . . . .	1,800
95	Vice-Principals . . . . .	1,740
96	Teachers . . . . .	3,600
97	Teachers . . . . .	3,588
98	Teachers . . . . .	3,504
99	Teachers . . . . .	3,500
100	Teachers . . . . .	3,408
101	Teachers . . . . .	3,400
102	Teachers . . . . .	3,300
103	Teachers . . . . .	3,384
104	Teachers . . . . .	3,288
105	Teachers . . . . .	3,204



Section 82. BOARD OF EDUCATION—  
 CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES, 1938-1939  
 (Continued)

Item No.	Department Title	Per Annum
106	Teachers . . . . .	\$ 3,200
107	Teachers . . . . .	3,108
108	Teachers . . . . .	3,100
109	Teachers . . . . .	3,084
110	Teachers . . . . .	3,072
111	Teachers . . . . .	3,000
112	Teachers . . . . .	2,988
113	Teachers . . . . .	2,976
114	Teachers . . . . .	2,904
115	Teachers . . . . .	2,900
116	Teachers . . . . .	2,892
117	Teachers . . . . .	2,880
118	Teachers . . . . .	2,808
119	Teachers . . . . .	2,800
120	Teachers . . . . .	2,784
121	Teachers . . . . .	2,724
122	Teachers . . . . .	2,712
123	Teachers . . . . .	2,700
124	Teachers . . . . .	2,628
125	Teachers . . . . .	2,604
126	Teachers . . . . .	2,600
127	Teachers . . . . .	2,580
128	Teachers . . . . .	2,544
129	Teachers . . . . .	2,508
130	Teachers . . . . .	2,500
131	Teachers . . . . .	2,484
132	Teachers . . . . .	2,460
133	Teachers . . . . .	2,448
134	Teachers . . . . .	2,436
135	Teachers . . . . .	2,400
136	Teachers . . . . .	2,388
137	Teachers . . . . .	2,364
138	Teachers . . . . .	2,304
139	Teachers . . . . .	2,280
140	Teachers . . . . .	2,208
141	Teachers . . . . .	2,196
142	Teachers . . . . .	2,184
143	Teachers . . . . .	2,136
144	Teachers . . . . .	2,112
145	Teachers . . . . .	2,100
146	Teachers . . . . .	2,080
147	Teachers . . . . .	2,064
148	Teachers . . . . .	2,016
149	Teachers . . . . .	2,004
150	Teachers . . . . .	1,980
151	Teachers . . . . .	1,932
152	Teachers . . . . .	1,836
153	Teachers . . . . .	1,800
154	Teachers . . . . .	1,744
155	Teachers . . . . .	1,680
156	Teachers . . . . .	1,500
		Eve.
157	Principals—Evening Schools . . . . .	11.8154
158	Principals—Evening Schools . . . . .	10.2769
159	Teachers—Evening Schools . . . . .	9.4154
160	Teachers—Evening Schools . . . . .	7.6923
161	Teachers—Evening Schools . . . . .	6.9487

**Section 82. BOARD OF EDUCATION  
CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES, 1938-1939  
(Continued)**

Item No.	Department Title	Eve.
162	Teachers—Evening Schools .....	\$ 6.4103
163	Teachers—Evening Schools .....	5.7949
164	Teachers not full time on pro-rata of above rates.	

**SUBSTITUTES**

165	Teachers at \$6.50 per day
166	Teachers at \$5.00 per day
167	Teachers at \$3.50 per eve.
168	Teachers at \$1.8055 per unit served.
169	Teachers at \$2.50 per hour.

**SATURDAY MORNING CORRECTIVE WORK CLASSES**

170	Teachers at \$4.50 per day.
171	Teachers at \$3.50 per day.
172	Teachers at \$1.50 per hour.
173	Teachers at \$2.50 per hour.
174	Laboratory Assistants as needed.
175	Yard Teachers at rate of \$120 in excess of rates above.
176	P. S. A. L. Teachers at rate of \$100 in excess of rates above.
177	P. S. A. L. Umpires and Referees, \$1.00 to \$3.00 per game as needed.
178	Junior College Unit Teachers \$100 per unit per annum. (\$2.7778 per unit per week.)
179	Principal Samuel Gompers Day School— 2/3 of \$4,500 .....\$ 3,000

**Section 83. BOARD OF EDUCATION—  
NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES, 1938-1939**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
200	1	A6	Superintendent of Maintenance and Repairs .....	\$ 375
201	3	A154	Carpenter at \$10 per day .....	
202	1	A162	Foreman Carpenter at \$11 per day ....	
203	3	A354	Painter at \$9.75 per day .....	
204	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	235
205		B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	190
206	1	B9	Supervisor of Financial Reports, Board of Education .....	200
207	1	B14	Senior Accountant .....	375
208		B14	Senior Accountant .....	275
209	1	B58	Secretary Board of Education (exempt)	425
210	1	B180	Administrative Assistant .....	300
211	1	B210	Office Assistant .....	100
212	1	B210	Office Assistant .....	75
213	1	B222	General Clerk .....	155
214	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
215	1	B222	General Clerk .....	175
216	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	200
217	2	B308	Key Drive Calculating Machine Operator	175
218	1	B308	Key Drive Calculating Machine Operator	150
219		B308	Key Drive Calculating Machine Operators as needed at \$5 per day.....	



Section 83. BOARD OF EDUCATION—  
NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES, 1938-1939  
(Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
220	1	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator .....	\$ 165
221	1	B354	General Storekeeper .....	230
222	1	B380	Armorer R. O. T. C. (part time) .....	75
223	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	215
224	50	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
225	9	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	170
226	7	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	160
227	4	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	150
228	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	140
229	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at \$4.20 per eve. ....	
230	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at \$3.30 per eve. ....	
231	7	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at \$3.00 per eve. ....	
232	26	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer at \$6.00 per day .....	
233	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	215
234	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
235	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
236	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	180
237	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	175
238	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
239		B454	Telephone Operator (part time) for re- lief when needed at \$2 per day .....	
240	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	215
241	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	190
242	6	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
243	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	160
244	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	140
245	96	C102	Janitress .....	140
246	4	C102	Janitress .....	130
247	129	C104	Janitor .....	155
248	34	C104	Janitor .....	145
249	1	C104	Janitor (part time) .....	16
250	1	C104	Janitor (part time) .....	10
251	28	C105	Special Janitor .....	162.50
252	2	C105	Special Janitor .....	152.50
253	5	C107	Working Foreman Janitor .....	185
254	11	C107	Working Foreman Janitor .....	170
255	1	C107	Working Foreman Janitor .....	160
256	1	C112	Supervisor School Janitors .....	275
257	1	I12	Cook .....	140
258	1	I12	Cook .....	130
259	1	I12	Cook .....	75
260	2	I2	Kitchen Helper .....	75
261	11	J78	Stockman .....	200
262	3	J78	Stockman .....	160
263	1	J78	Stockman .....	150
264	1	J80	Foreman Stockman .....	210
265	1	O2	Chauffeur .....	215
266	1	O104	Moving Picture Operator .....	200
267	2	O122	Window Shade Worker .....	200
268	12	O168	Engineers Stationary Steam Engines ..	220
269		O168	Engineers Stationary Steam Engines (part time; relief) .....	125
270		O168	Engineers, Stationary Steam Engines, \$3 per evening as required .....	

## Section 83. BOARD OF EDUCATION—

NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES, 1938-1939  
(Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
271	1	O172	Chief Engineer Stationary Steam Engines . . . . .	\$ 310
272	1	O61	Foreman Gardener . . . . .	200
273	7	O58	Gardeners . . . . .	145
274	2	O58	Gardeners . . . . .	135
275			Referees and Umpires, \$1 to \$3 per game as needed.	
276			Temporary clerical employment and other help as needed at rates not in excess of salary standardization schedules.	
277			Temporary evening school clerks as needed at \$3.00 per evening.	

## TRUCK RENTAL—CONTRACTUAL

278			Trucks over 2,500 lbs. and not over 4,500 lbs. at rate of \$265.00 per month for not more than 23 days per month.	
-----	--	--	---	--

## Section 84. CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3		Commissioners . . . . .	\$ 100
2	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	175
3	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	180
4	1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	200
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	175
6	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	155
7	4	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	155
7½	1	G51	Junior Personnel Asst. . . . .	150
8	1	G58	Civil Service Examiner . . . . .	350
9	1	G58	Civil Service Examiner . . . . .	275
10	1	G58	Civil Service Examiner . . . . .	250
11	1	G58	Civil Service Examiner . . . . .	200
13	1	G59	Assistant Personnel Expert . . . . .	225
13¼	1	G59¼	Supervisor of Wage Scales and Classif. . . . .	250
13½	1	G59	Supervisor of Examinations . . . . .	350
14	1	G60	Personnel Director . . . . .	450
15	1	G62	Chief Examiner and Secretary (5 mo.) . . . . .	466.66

## AS NEEDED

16			Examiners, Clerical and other temporary Services as needed at rates not in excess of Salary Standardization Schedules.	
----	--	--	--	--



Section 85. **RETIREMENT SYSTEM**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B82	Secretary—Actuary, Ret. System (part time) . . . . .	\$ 450
2	*1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	190
3	*1	B228	General Clerk . . . . .	200
4	1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	250
5	*1	B308	Key Driver Calculating Machine Operator . . . . .	165
6	*1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	190
7	*3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	165
8	*1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	160
9	1	L360	Physician (part time) . . . . .	300
10	1	N410	Investigator . . . . .	200
11			Medical examiners and medical testimony as needed at fees fixed by Retirement Board . . . . .	
12			Seasonal Clerical Services (as needed). . . . .	150
13			Consulting Actuary (as needed), \$50 per day . . . . .	
14		B420	Phonographic Reporter (as needed), at \$12.50 per day plus transcriptions... . . . .	
15			Other temporary services as needed at rates not in excess of salary standardization schedules.	

\*Two positions reclassified and will be retitled when promotive appointments are made under the new classification.

Section 86. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists, to-wit, the passage of the Annual Salary Ordinance and its taking effect by July 1, 1938, the beginning of the Fiscal Year, 1938-1939, to provide for the uninterrupted operations of the various departments of the City government.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

James E. Ricketts, representing the Building Trades Council, and John O'Connell, representing the San Francisco Labor Council, were granted the privilege of the floor and urged that the recommendation of the Finance Committee, the retitling or reclassification of positions be not considered merely clerical procedure, but an action requiring amendment of the salary ordinance by the Board, be not approved.

Supervisor Jesse C. Colman and Civil Service Commissioner discussed at length the recommendation of the Civil Service Commission, and urged that that recommendation be approved by the Board.

Controller Harold J. Boyd supported the recommendation of the Finance Committee.

**Investigations of Reclassifications and Creation of New Positions.**

Controller Boyd renewed his suggestion previously made, that the Civil Service Commission of the Board be requested to investigate and report to the Board all the facts concerning reclassification of positions, and the creation of new positions.

*No action taken.*

**Motion to Amend Committee's Recommendation.**

Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Mead, moved as an amendment to the recommendation of the Finance Committee, that there be substituted for the last paragraph in Section 1 the following:

Inasmuch as Section 141 of the Charter provides that the Civil Service Commission shall be the judge of the classification of positions, any change hereafter made by the Civil Service Commission during the fiscal year 1938-39 in the class title or number of the classification of the duties of a position herein enumerated shall not be deemed an action requiring amendment of this ordinance, provided no change in number of positions or salaries of such positions or the creation of a new position is involved, but shall be deemed merely a clerical procedure and such change of class title and class number and the date thereof shall be reported to the Mayor, the Board of Supervisors, the Controller and the head of the department concerned; and not later than March 15, 1939, the Commission will prepare and transmit to the Mayor and to the Board of Supervisors a recapitulation listing all such retitleings of positions or reclassifications made by the Commission during the fiscal year under the authority of this section.

**Amendment Failed.**

Whereupon, the roll was called and the amendment *failed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead—3.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

**Finally Passed.**

Thereupon, the roll was called on final passage and the Annual Salary Ordinance, an emergency ordinance, as recommended by the Finance Committee, was *finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**RECESS.**

The Board, on motion by Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, at the hour of 7:30 p. m., recessed to reconvene at 8:45 p. m.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.

---

**MONDAY, JUNE 20, 1938, 8:45 P. M.**

The Board of Supervisors reconvened at 8:45 p. m.

**CALLING THE ROLL.**

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Supervisor Ratto presiding until arrival of President Shannon.

Supervisor Shannon was noted present at 9:15 p. m. and assumed the Chair. Supervisor Shannon, prior to recess, had stated he would be unable to be present at 8:45 but would be present as early as possible, and had, thereupon, been temporarily excused.



**Report of Citizens' Committee on Rapid Transit Approved.**

June 17, 1938.

Judiciary Committee, Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: In conformity with the resolution heretofore adopted by the Honorable Board of Supervisors, the undersigned have examined the Report of the Citizens' Committee on Rapid Transit, which report reads as follows:

Curtis D. O'Sullivan, Chairman of the Citizens' Committee, read the following recommendations of the Executive Committee on Traffic and Transportation adopted at a meeting of the General Committee held in the Assembly Room, Mills Building, Friday morning, May 13, 1938.

After numerous meetings and conferences with various engineers, transportation authorities and others in a position to offer counsel, the executive committee has reached certain major decisions on which it herein reports back to the general committee for consideration and approval.

As a further prefatory note to what follows, the committee visualized the problem by the consideration of only those things which will bring the greatest relief to the greatest number, by giving major emphasis to the problems of mass transportation and pedestrian safety.

The committee recognizes that considerable data is available as a result of studies by engineers of the Public Utilities Commission, the City Engineer's office, the McClintock Limited Way report and the McClintock Dead-end Street report, all of which have due consideration in the development and coordination of all of the factors entering into the proper solution of the problem.

The committee originally expected to finance the preliminary work out of funds raised through popular subscription. This had to be abandoned, however, when C. H. Purcell, chief engineer of the San Francisco Bay Bridge, after a series of conferences with the committee, estimated that such preliminary work would cost approximately \$250,000. This amount, however, would put the completed plans in final shape with full engineering data and specifications.

In view of the foregoing, the executive committee has reached the following conclusions:

(1) To carry out a comprehensive traffic and transportation program providing San Francisco with modern mass transportation, increased pedestrian safety and a free flow of traffic with a minimum of congestion, the committee recommends the submission of a charter amendment, setting up a traffic and transportation commission, free to function without political influence or bias.

Summarized, the proposed charter amendment:

Creates a traffic commission of five non-political members.

These will serve without compensation for a period of three and one-half years only, except that the Supervisors and Mayor can extend the life of the commission for one year. The commission has broad powers to investigate all phases of traffic including street cars, buses, automobiles, pedestrians, signals and ordinances and to prepare a final detailed plan with specifications and cost estimates. It also will be given broad powers to employ or contract for the services of engineers and other experts. To meet the costs of its work, the commission is given a fixed allowance of a one-cent tax on the assessment roll each year for three years only.

(2) Because next year is San Francisco's Exposition Year, it is proposed that the entire job be divided into two phases: a pre-exposition period, during which such steps would be inaugurated that could be completed prior to the opening of the exposition in February; a post-exposition period during which the major construction activities would

be undertaken, leaving the City free of such disturbances during the period of the exposition.

*The Pre-Exposition Program.*

The major objectives in the pre-exposition program would be as follows:

(a) Improvement of street car services, elimination of duplicating and obsolete lines, by agreement or otherwise, and such other steps as might be accomplished within the limited time allowed.

(b) Recommendation of an overhead pedestrian way from San Francisco Bay Bridge rail terminal (Plan X) to the north side of Market Street to facilitate the safe and expeditious handling of an estimated traffic flow of 28,000,000 people annually.

(c) The codification of traffic ordinances and the submission of new ordinances designed to give greater flexibility of traffic, free streets of congestion and promote traffic safety.

(d) Synchronization of existing traffic signals, during this pre-exposition period, in an effort to step up traffic movement and clearing them of all obstructions.

(e) Establishment of parking area on the Embarcadero to provide necessary facilities during the exposition year.

(f) Consideration and recommendation of one-way streets.

(g) The establishment of a traffic school for the training of police in the latest technique of handling traffic.

(h) A campaign of education to bring about a condition of public cooperation with the police traffic squad, coupled with effective support by the judiciary in upholding the police department in its traffic work.

*Post-Exposition Period.*

The discussion of proposed major construction activities during the post-exposition period can only be properly outlined by such a commission as is provided in the suggested charter amendment and then only after the fullest study.

Again the committee emphasizes that such projects must necessarily take into consideration the greatest good to the greatest number, city-wide improvement of transportation facilities, and a measure of pedestrian safety that will make San Francisco America's safest city.

In submitting these recommendations, the committee urges that the success or failure of the city in attaining a sound solution of its urgent traffic and transportation problems is contingent not only on the plan adopted, but also on the civic spirited cooperation of all groups of its citizenry who put the welfare of San Francisco as a whole above that of private or district interests.

Your Committee has met with the members of the Citizens' Committee, the said members elaborating to a considerable extent upon the provisions of the rather brief report which they have presented after an exhaustive study of the subject matter, and we congratulate the committee on the careful study which it has made and join in their recommendations, which briefly are as follows:

1. That an immediate effort should be made to co-ordinate the various branches of the government of the municipality and the agencies thereof, to the end that their efforts should be directed upon lines which would carry into effect as soon as possible the pre-exposition program recommended by the committee.

2. That the Charter amendment, practical in form, recommended by the committee, should be submitted to the people at the earliest possible moment, preferably at a special election to be held on the same date as the coming August primary election. However, before said amendment is finally submitted to the Board of Supervisors, that it should be passed upon by the Charter Revision Committee and the recommendation of that committee sent to the Board of Supervisors.



3. That the committee recommends a pedestrian way from the San Francisco Bay Bridge (Plan X) terminal to the north side of Market street which will provide a safe and expeditious method of ingress and egress of Market street pedestrians to and from said (Plan X) terminal, the cost thereof to be financed by a bond issue.

4. That immediate consideration should be given to an improved street car service, even to the elimination of duplicating and obsolete lines, the same to be accomplished by agreement or otherwise.

5. That the codification of the traffic ordinances now under way as a Works Progress Administration project should be brought to an immediate completion, to the end that there should be a complete code of traffic ordinances for the guidance of both the people and the law enforcement officers.

6. That there should be an immediate improvement in the synchronization of existing traffic signals in an effort to speed up traffic and clear the main arteries of traffic congestion.

7. That the City should endeavor to co-operate with the Harbor Commission for the purpose of providing parking facilities during the exposition year.

8. A careful study should be made as to how and where one-way streets should be provided for and recommendations as to such streets should be made at an early date.

9. The Police Department should make particular effort to train a corps of patrolmen, together with the necessary superior officers, who would be skilled in the handling of traffic.

10. Every effort should be made through the public press and otherwise to obtain the co-operation of the public and the support of the judiciary to uphold the Police Department in a crusade to clear up traffic conditions.

Respectfully submitted,

ANGELO J. ROSSI,  
Mayor.

JNO. J. O'TOOLE,  
City Attorney.

HAROLD J. BOYD,  
Controller.

E. G. CAHILL,  
Manager of Utilities.

JOHN J. CASEY,  
City Engineer.

## PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, hereby submit to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco at the Special Election to be held on the 30th day of August, 1938, a proposal to add a new section to the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco to be designated as Section 118.1 to read as follows:

### TRAFFIC COMMISSION.

#### Section 118.1.

Subdivision 1. There is hereby established a Commission to be known as the Traffic Commission which shall consist of five members who shall be appointed thereto by the Mayor forthwith after this section of the Charter becomes effective and who shall hold office until July 15, 1942. The members of said Commission shall serve without compensation and no person shall be eligible to serve on said Com-

mission while holding any public office, position or employment. no event shall the Traffic Commission continue in existence beyond July 15, 1942, provided, however, that the term of existence of the Traffic Commission may be extended for one year by ordinance.

Subdivision 2. The Traffic Commission shall make a comprehensive survey and study of all phases of traffic, transportation and matters relating thereto for the benefit of the public and relief of general traffic congestion in the City and County and the co-ordination thereof. This shall include, without thereby limiting the generality of the foregoing, the following; general street and highway plan and related matters, street railways, bus and stage service, automobiles, city and interurban transportation of all kinds, pedestrian traffic, traffic signs and traffic law enforcement.

The Traffic Commission shall prepare and submit to the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor of the City and County a complete report or reports covering all of its activities, findings, recommendations and detailed plans, specifications and estimates for carrying into effect its findings and recommendations. It shall also prepare for submission to the proper authorities such recommendations and legislative measures as in its opinion will aid in the consummation of such plans and plans as it may recommend.

Because of the temporary and highly specialized and technical nature of the work of the Traffic Commission neither it nor its employees shall be subject in any way to any of the provisions of Sections 140 to 172, both inclusive, or of Section 172.1 of this Charter.

Subdivision 3. The Traffic Commission shall have power to employ a chief executive officer, engineers, draftsmen, clerks and all other assistance that may be found necessary and to contract for a period not extending beyond the term of existence of the Traffic Commission for consulting and technical services required by said Commission.

Subdivision 4. For the purpose of paying the compensation of its employees and all its other expenses, the Traffic Commission shall prepare and file a budget estimate for each of the fiscal years 1939, 1940, 1941 and 1942 if the term of existence of the Commission is extended as herein provided, covering all of its expenses for each of said years.

Neither the Mayor nor the Board of Supervisors shall have the power to decrease or reject any item of the annual budget estimate of the Commission so that the total amount of said budget allowance and appropriation shall be less than the sum requested by the Commission, provided, however, that in no event shall the budget allowance and appropriation of the Commission exceed the equivalent of one cent annually on each one hundred dollar valuation of the property assessed in and subject to taxation by the City and County.

The Traffic Commission shall receive such portion of its budget allowance immediately after July first of each fiscal year as it needs at that time and if necessary for that purpose the Board of Supervisors are authorized and directed to borrow idle unencumbered balances in any funds except a pension fund in the manner provided in Section 81 of this Charter.



No unused appropriation or unexpended balance in revenue or expense appropriation of the Traffic Commission in any fiscal year shall be considered as general revenue of the ensuing fiscal year as provided in Section 80 of this Charter but shall continue to be subject to the order of the Traffic Commission in the next fiscal year.

Upon conclusion of the term of office of the Traffic Commission and any extension thereof, any surplus funds remaining in the control of the Commission not subject to prior commitment shall be transferred to the general fund of the City and County.

Subdivision 5. All departments and officers of the City and County shall upon request furnish to the Commission such information and data as it may require for its work.

Subdivision 6. If any portion of this section of this Charter conflicts with any other section, subsection, subdivision or provision of this Charter the provisions of this section of this Charter shall prevail.

#### Committee of the Whole.

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Ratto, moved that the report of the Citizens' Committee on Rapid Transit be taken up by the Board, sitting as a Committee of the Whole.

*Motion carried.*

President Shannon, on motion by Supervisor McSheehy, was appointed as chairman of the Committee of the Whole.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that Rule 17, or Rules of Proceedings of the Board, be strictly enforced.

*So ordered.*

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Messrs. Andrew J. Gallagher, Cleve Shaffer, Thos. E. Corr and Russell D. Powell objected to adoption of report as presented.

Messrs. Robert Graham and E. C. Easton spoke in favor of the report.

Mr. George H. Allen represented the Central Council of Civic Clubs.

Mr. Curtis D. O'Sullivan, Chairman of the Citizens' Committee on Rapid Transit, urged the creation of Traffic Commission as recommended by the Committee.

#### Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Roncovieri, moved that the portion of report setting forth the Committee's conclusion and recommendation regarding proposed Charter amendment to create a Traffic Commission be deleted from the report.

#### Motion Out of Order.

Whereupon, the Chair moved the motion out of order at the time, but stating the motion would be in order after the Committee arose and reported.

#### Committee of the Whole Arose.

Thereupon, on motion of Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, and *unanimously carried*, the Committee of the Whole arose.

#### Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Roncovieri, moved again that the following portion of the report of Citizens' Committee on Rapid Transit be deleted:

(1) To carry out a comprehensive traffic and transportation program providing San Francisco with modern mass transportation, increased pedestrian safety and a free flow of traffic with a minimum

of congestion, the committee recommends the submission of a Charter amendment, setting up a traffic and transportation commission, free to function without political influence or bias.

Summarized, the proposed Charter amendment:

Creates a traffic commission of five non-political members.

These will serve without compensation for a period of three and one-half years only, except that the Supervisors and Mayor can extend the life of the commission for one year. The commission has broad powers to investigate all phases of traffic including street cars, buses, automobiles, pedestrians, signals and ordinances and to prepare a final detailed plan with specifications and cost estimates. It also will be given broad powers to employ or contract for the services of engineers and other experts. To meet the costs of its work, the commission is given a fixed allowance of a one-cent tax on the assessment roll each year for three years only.

#### Substitute for Motion Offered.

Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor Colman, moved as a substitute for Supervisor McSheehy's motion, that the report of the Citizens' Committee on Rapid Transit be approved and that the matter of proposed Charter amendment be referred to the Judiciary Committee of the Board for review and report.

#### Ruling of Chair.

The Chair ruled that Supervisor Brown's motion should be considered first as the original motion, after which Supervisor McSheehy's motion could be considered.

#### Amendment to Motion.

Whereupon, Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Roncovieri, moved as an amendment to the previous motion, by Supervisor Brown that that portion of the Committee's report recommending a Charter amendment to provide for the creation of a traffic commission be deleted.

#### Roll Call on Amendment.

After lengthy discussion, the roll was called on the amendment to the original motion and the motion was *carried* as follows:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Shannon—5.

#### Resignation of Mr. Curtis D. O'Sullivan.

Thereupon, Mr. Curtis D. O'Sullivan stated that in view of the action just taken that he would resign from the committee.

#### Motion to Reject Committee's Report.

Whereupon, Supervisor McSheehy moved that the entire report as submitted by the Citizens' Committee be rejected by the Board.

#### Amendment to Motion—Reference to Committee.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved as an amendment to the previous motion that the committee's report as amended be referred to the Joint Public Utilities and Judiciary Committee of the Board and that the committee study the report and report to the Board what portions of the report it thinks should be retained.

#### Motion Carried.

Whereupon, the roll was called and the motion to refer the committee's report to the Joint Committee on Public Utilities and Judiciary was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt—6.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Shannon, Uhl—5.



**Supplemental Appropriation of \$75,000 for Construction of Railway Tracks to and From Plan X Terminal.**

The following ordinance was presented by Supervisor McSheehy for the Joint Public Utilities and Finance Committee but without recommendation from Committee:

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1592, Ordinance No. 9.051483, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$75,000 out of the surplus existing in the Municipal Railway Operative Fund to provide the cost of constructing railway tracks and appurtenances on First and Fremont streets leading to and from Plan X Terminal.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$75,000 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Municipal Railway Operative Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 765,500.00 to be used for the purpose of constructing railway tracks and appurtenances which will afford accommodation for street cars of both the Municipal Railway and the Market Street Railway, according citizens of San Francisco and visitors thereto the necessary means of transportation to and from Plan X Terminal of the San Francisco-Oakland Transbay Bridge.

Section 2. The appropriation made in Section 1 hereof shall be additive to the appropriation of \$65,000 made by Ordinance No. 9.051382, Appropriation No. 765,500.00.

**Committee of the Whole.**

On motion by Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Brown, the Board resolved itself into a Committee of the Whole and appointed Supervisor Ratto as chairman.

**Lease Agreement With Market Street Railway Company.**

Manager of Utilities E. G. Cahill announced that in accordance with suggestion made by Supervisor Schmidt at meeting of Joint Public Utilities and Finance Committee on June 17, 1938, a change had been effected whereby the city would at all times be the sole owner of track proposed to be constructed and the Market Street Railway Company, on payment of annual rental amounting to ten per cent of one-half the cost of said loop, plus one-half the cost of maintenance thereof, plus its proportion of power for operation of street cars, would be given an operating permit for use of said track.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

Messrs. H. S. Foley and Edward Grant, both representing Carmen's Union, Division 518, were granted the privilege of the floor and announced that in view of changes made in agreement for joint operation of car service on the proposed track, their organization had withdrawn their objections.

On motion by Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor Colman, the Committee of the Whole arose.

**Uhl Plan.**

Supervisor Uhl presented the following, and requested that it be made part of the record:

**SUPERVISOR UHL:** Now I want to say that I am going to explain my vote in the matter, and also lead up to a motion I desire to make.

I am presenting here, Mr. President, and members of the Board, a proposal which will bring the cars in from Market street over Second,

down Howard, and back on First street, avoiding the ramp in front of the Terminal building, and by so doing I figure we will accomplish the following: That the pedestrians will have absolutely no street car tracks to cross which give transportation to the terminal building, inasmuch as the east sidewalk on First street will be clear. They can come out of the ramp on First street, or they can come out of the main entrance of the building, and can either go along First street or Fremont street. They can also come off of the ramp at Fremont and cross Market street east of the street car track which leads to the terminal building so that all—I would again state that, in my opinion, the most important factor in the whole matter is the question of relieving the necessity of approximately forty thousand people—and it is forty thousand instead of twenty thousand, Supervisor Colman, the figure that you used here today—there will be forty thousand people that will have to cross those tracks if you route the tracks over First street and across the ramp, and out to Market street. There will be forty thousand people a day cross those tracks, because you will have a route, absolutely, that will be out over First street, over the ramp, and out Fremont street to Market street.

Furthermore, there is a great deal of discussion here today on the question of building an over-pass costing upwards of one million dollars in order to overcome that crossing of the street car tracks by forty thousand people. You stressed that particularly, Supervisor Colman, that we need an over-pass in order to overcome the necessity for pedestrians crossing Market street. This will absolutely remove any necessity of a ramp or overpass over Market street; it positively does that.

The objection—Oh, I asked through the Chair, through Supervisor McSheehy, who was acting as chairman of the meeting, I asked through the chairman, of Mr. Newton of the Market Street Railway Company, inasmuch as Mr. Cahill has attended but a few of the meetings where this matter was discussed, regarding the rerouting of cars—I asked Mr. Newton the question, what objection was found to taking the cars in on Second street and out on First street. The only objection that he could offer was that that did not permit the Sutter street cars to be run into the Terminal. That was the only objection he voiced to the plan. Now the question is, are we going to consider the Market Street Railway and its patrons or are we going to consider the forty thousand odd commuters, many of whom are San Francisco citizens who cross the Bay every day in the morning and come back at night. If you haven't seen that number, or thought there were that many, it would surprise you when the boats come in down there at night to see the thousands who come off the boats, and they will be coming off the trains at the Terminal and taking street cars. I can't see for one moment that we are going to give preference to the patrons who come down Sutter street and want to go to that depot,—why, they can double transfer at Kearny street. You have got the people using the California street cable cars who live out in the Western Addition, and that requires them to pay a second fare unless they want to walk over from California street to the terminal. Then you have got a tremendous population out in the Western Addition who also come down on the Sacramento and other cable cars, and they have got to transfer at Kearny street and then again transfer at Market street to get to this depot, so why should you take into consideration those people coming down on the Sutter street cars? So I repeat that Mr. Newton of the Market Street Railway Company stated that the only objection which the engineers found to this proposal was the question of the inability of the Sutter street cars to come in there. Now also, they could stop off here and walk a half block to the corner of Market street and Second, and come in there. So I don't see where that objection can possibly offset the desirability of having free passage for all of those passengers going in and out of this terminal building.



For that reason I am going to vote "No" on this proposition, and I ask that my talk here tonight be made a part of the record.

Now I want to make an amendment to the original resolution as follows:

That a sum be appropriated from the surplus funds of the Municipal Railway sufficient to construct tracks for re-routing street cars over Second, Howard and First streets, and appurtenances which will afford accommodations for street cars of both the Municipal and Market Street Railways and give the citizens and visitors the necessary transportation from and to Plan X Terminal of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge.

I offer that as amendment.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: What was that, Supervisor?

#### Amendment.

SUPERVISOR UHL: That a sum be provided to construct, from the surplus funds—that a sum be appropriated from the surplus funds of the Municipal Railway sufficient to construct tracks for re-routing street cars over Second, Howard and First streets, and appurtenances which will afford accommodations for street cars of both the Municipal and Market Street railways, and give the citizens and visitors the necessary transportation from and to Plan X Terminal of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge.

I offer that as an amendment.

#### Amendment Ruled Out of Order.

The Chair ruled Supervisor Uhl's amendment out of order, stating that any plan for routing cars to and from Plan X Terminal would have to be recommended by the Public Utilities Commission.

#### Amendment to Ordinance.

Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor Meyer, moved to amend ordinance under consideration by adding thereto, after Section 1, the following:

This appropriation is made subject to the condition that the Public Utilities Commission will build and own the loop to Plan X Terminal. Market Street Railway Company will be permitted to use one-half of the loop trackage as designated heretofore and for such use will pay an annual rental to the city amounting to ten per cent of one-half of the cost of said loop plus one-half of the maintenance of same and plus proportionate cost of the power used.

#### Roll Call on Amendment.

Whereupon, the roll was called and the amendment was *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—9.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Uhl—2.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

Thereupon, the following ordinance as amended was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

#### Supplemental Appropriation of \$75,000 for Construction of Railway Tracks and Plan X Terminal.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1592, Ordinance No. 9.051483, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$75,000 out of the surplus existing in the Municipal Railway Operative Fund to provide the cost of constructing railway tracks and appurtenances on First and Fremont streets leading to and from Plan X Terminal.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$75,000 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Municipal Railway Operative Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 765.500.00 to be used for the purpose of constructing railway tracks and appurtenances which will afford accommodation for street cars of both the Municipal Railway and the Market Street Railway, according to citizens of San Francisco and visitors thereto the necessary means of transportation to and from Plan X Terminal of the San Francisco-Oakland Transbay Bridge.

This appropriation is made subject to the condition that the Public Utilities Commission will build and own the loop to Plan X Terminal. Market Street Railway Company will be permitted to use one-half of the loop trackage as designated heretofore and for such use will pay an annual rental to the city amounting to ten per cent of one-half of the cost of said loop plus one-half of the maintenance of same and plus proportionate cost of the power used.

Section 2. The appropriation made in Section 1 hereof shall be additive to the appropriation of \$65,000 made by Ordinance No. 9.051382, Appropriation No. 765.500.00.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—9.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Uhl—2.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

### Elimination of Unsafe or Insanitary Dwellings in City and County of San Francisco, Approving Form of Contract With Housing Authority and Authorizing Execution of Said Contract.

Supervisor Shannon presented communication from his Honor the Mayor, transmitting draft of resolution authorizing the city to enter into agreement with the Housing Authority whereby old dwelling units, which may be eliminated under order of the Director of Public Health, as well as those that may be eliminated by the Authority on property which it may purchase, will be given consideration by the Federal Government in making its allowance for new buildings. The Mayor recommends favorable consideration of the resolution and asks that it be adopted prior to July 1, 1938.

*Referred to Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee.*

### Adopted.

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were taken up:

Leave of Absence—Hon. Milton Meyer, Member of City Planning Commission.

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 4047, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Milton Meyer, a member of the City Planning Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days from and after June 23, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.



**Leave of Absence—Hon. Arthur M. Brown, Jr., Member Board of Supervisors.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 4048, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Arthur M. Brown, Jr., a member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of one week, commencing June 20, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Mayor Requested to Appoint Committee for Proper Celebration of Armistice Day.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Brown presented:

Resolution No. 4050, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee for the proper observance of Armistice Day, November 11, 1938.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Preparation of Annual Salary Ordinance.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Bill No. 1595, Ordinance No. 9.053129, as follows:

An ordinance authorizing and directing the Civil Service Commission, the Controller and the Chief Administrative Officer to jointly prepare annually the proposed Annual Salary Ordinance and setting forth the time at which and the manner in which such proposed ordinance shall be prepared and presented.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Civil Service Commission, the Controller and the Chief Administrative Officer are hereby jointly authorized, empowered and directed to prepare and present to the Board of Supervisors, each year, the Annual Salary Ordinance proposed for the ensuing fiscal year. Such ordinance shall be presented to the Board of Supervisors together with the Annual Appropriation Ordinance proposed for the ensuing fiscal year and shall set forth, in complete and accurate detail, the number and the rates of pay of and for the various positions and employments provided for in the proposed Annual Appropriation Ordinance.

Section 2. The designations, titles and classifications of the positions as set forth in the proposed Annual Salary Ordinance, which are subject to classification by the Civil Service Commission, shall conform to the civil service titles and classifications for those positions as required in Sections 73 and 141 of the Charter.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

**Condition of Market Street Railway Tracks.**

Supervisor Reilly read letter from Market Street Railway Company, addressed to himself, in reply to complaint made by him as to the condition of track crossings at Twenty-second avenue and Sloat boulevards.

vard and at Thirty-third avenue and Sloat boulevard, in which the company disclaims any responsibility for the condition of these crossings and declares their maintenance to be an obligation of the City and County.

*Communication referred to Director of Public Works.*

#### **Obligation for Repair of Road Bed, Market Street Railway Company.**

Supervisor Reilly moved that the City Attorney be requested to look into the legal status of the fixing of the road bed of the private railroad company, and advise the Board as to whether such repair is the obligation of the private company or of the City and County of San Francisco.

*So ordered.*

#### **Amendment to Building Law.**

Supervisor Uhl presented proposed amendment to Section 48 of Ordinance No. 1008, Building Law, relating to permissible tension on rolled steel and to permissible stresses in bending steel.

*Referred to Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee.*

#### **Muir Woods Toll Road Purchase.**

The Clerk read communication from Clyde Edmondson, general manager Redwood Empire Association, advising that Congress has passed the Lea bill, providing for a \$25,000 appropriation to match a like State appropriation for the purchase of Muir Woods toll road. In connection therewith, the Clerk was *directed to wire the President of the United States, urging signing of the Lea bill.*

#### **Committee Meeting Called.**

Supervisor Uhl announced meeting of the Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee for Friday, June 24, 1938, at 10 a. m.

#### **ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 10:35 p. m., adjourned.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors June 27, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, David A. Barry, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

DAVID A. BARRY,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.









Vol. 33

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

No. 28

Monday, June 27, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.

January, 1891

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JUNE 27, 1938, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, June 27, 1938,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Shannon—3.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Ratto presiding temporarily in absence of President Shannon.

President Shannon was noted present at 2:10 p. m. and assumed the chair.

Supervisor Meyer was noted present at 2:08 p. m.

Supervisor Mead was noted present at 2:20 p. m.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of June 20, 1938, was considered read and approved.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

### Authorizing Exchange of Land with City Title Insurance Company.

(Code No. 12.1743)

Bill No. 1589, Ordinance No. 12.17434, as follows:

Authorizing exchange of land with City Title Insurance Company.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, the Director of Property, in lieu of sale, is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for trading certain City-owned land hereinafter referred to as Parcel A to the City Title Insurance Company, in exchange for certain real property hereinafter referred to as Parcel 1.

Section 2. Said Parcel A is that certain tract of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and more particularly described in Ordinance No. 12.17231, Bill No. 1572, approved by the Mayor of San Francisco on May 17, 1938, which parcel was proposed to be sold under the provisions of Ordinance No. 12.17231.

Section 3. The Director of Property has made an appraisal of all of said real property and estimates the value of the land to be

traded to the City Title Insurance Company to be equal to the value of the parcel to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 4. Said Parcel 1 hereinbefore referred to is situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and is more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the southeasterly line of Wayland street with the northeasterly line of Mansfield street, running thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of Wayland street 120 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 100 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet to a point on the northeasterly line of Mansfield street; thence northwesterly along last-named line 100 feet to the point of commencement.

Being a portion of University Extension Homestead Association, Block No. 168.

Section 5. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute the necessary deed for the conveyance of Parcel A to the City Title Insurance Company, or its assignee. The Director of Property shall deliver said conveyance to the grantee upon receipt of the necessary deed to Parcel 1, and shall record the latter deed.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Shannon—3.

**Authorizing Performance of Certain Street Work to Be Done in the City and County of San Francisco; Approving and Adopting Specifications Therefor, Describing and Approving the Assessment District, Authorizing the Director of Public Works to Enter Into Contract for Doing the Same; Extending City Aid in the Sum of One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000), and Making an Appropriation Therefor.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1593, Ordinance No. 9.051484, as follows:

Authorizing performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco; approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same; extending City aid in the sum of one thousand dollars (\$1,000), and making an appropriation therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, May 16, 1938, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934 of said City and County of San Francisco does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding



installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment and the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per cent (7%) per annum.

The improvement of Esmeralda avenue between Winfield street and Elsie street, including the crossing of Esmeralda avenue and Elsie street, by the construction of the following items:

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>Item</i>
1	8-inch vitrified clay pipe sewer, in place
2	6-inch vitrified clay pipe side sewers, in place
3	Armored concrete curb
4	6-inch Class "E" concrete pavement
5	Brick catchbasins, complete
6	10-inch vitrified clay pipe culvert, in place
7	Two-course concrete sidewalk

The Director of Public Works does hereby set forth the district to be benefited by said contemplated work and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof, as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as:

<i>Block No.</i>	<i>Lot No.</i>
5612	15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29 and 30;
5613	31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44 and 45;
5618	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 and 46;
5619	50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66 and 67;

all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the Assessment Book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above mentioned improvement.

The foregoing described lots of land are contained within and constitute the extent of the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof, and reference to the same is hereby made for the description of such district.

Section 2. The sum of one thousand dollars (\$1,000) is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 748.937.00 for the purpose of extending City aid for this improvement pursuant to Section 6 of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovi, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Shannon—3.

### **Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Burnham Street.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

On recommendation of the Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1586, Ordinance No. 12.0731123, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Seven (1207).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office June 6th, 1938, by adding thereto a new

section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Seven (1207) to read as follows:

Section 1207. The width of sidewalks on Burnham street between Elizabeth street and Twenty-fifth street shall be as shown on that certain map, titled "Map Showing the Location of Street and Curb Lines and the Width of Sidewalks on Burnham Street Between Elizabeth Street and Twenty-fifth Street." Dated May, 1938.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-vieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Shannon—3.

**Ordering the Improvement of the Crossing of Quintara Street and Cragmont Avenue and Certain Other Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 1587, Ordinance No. 12.061196, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 24, 1938, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of the following streets:

Quintara street, crossing of Cragmont avenue;

Quintara street, between Cragmont and Twelfth avenues, where not already improved;

Quintara street, from Twelfth avenue (east line) to Fourteenth avenue (west line);

Fourteenth avenue (west one-half), from Quintara street to the existing pavement at the intersection of Fanning way and Fourteenth avenue;

Fourteenth avenue (east one-half), from Quintara street to the existing pavement south of Radio terrace;

Fourteenth avenue, from a line at right angles to the center line of Fourteenth avenue and distant northerly thereon 45 feet from the point of intersection of the center line of Ortega way with said center line of Fourteenth avenue to the existing pavement at the intersection of Radio terrace and Fourteenth avenue;



Pacheco street, from the existing pavement at the intersection of Fifteenth avenue and Mandalay lane to Fourteenth avenue;

Cragmont avenue, from the existing pavement at the intersection of Twelfth avenue and Rockridge drive to Quintara street;

Twelfth avenue (east one-half), from Quintara street to 60 feet south;

Twelfth avenue, from Cragmont avenue southerly to a line 169.29 feet south of the center line of Radio terrace;

by the construction of the following items:

*Item No. Item.*

1. Armored concrete curb.
2. Unarmored concrete curb.
3. Two-course concrete sidewalk.
4. Asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch Class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.
5. Asphalt-macadam pavement, consisting of a 6-inch waterbound macadam base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.
6. Emulsified asphalt-macadam pavement, consisting of a 6-inch waterbound macadam base and a 2-inch emulsified asphaltic wearing surface.
7. 6-inch V. C. P. side sewer.
8. 12x6-inch "Y" branches.
9. Brick catchbasins, complete.
10. 10-inch V. C. P. culvert.
11. 1½-inch black enameled conduit.
12. Standard wood fence.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated, and numbered respectively as:

<i>Block No.</i>	<i>Lot No.</i>	<i>Block No.</i>	<i>Lot No.</i>
2050-A	6	2132-A	1
2051-A	1	2132-B	1
2120-A	1	2133-A	2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9,
2120-B	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19		10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22
2121-A	31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47	2134-B	1, 2
		2204	1, 25, 26, 27, 28
		2205	1, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31
2123-B	1-B, 1-C, 1-D, 1-E, 1-F, 1-G, 1-H, 1-I, 1-J	2206	1, 2, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55
2130-A	1-L, 1-M, 1-P, 1-Q, 1-R, 2, 6, 6-A, 6-B, 6-C, 6-D, 7, 8	2207	25, 28, 29
2131-A	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35-A, 36, 37, 38, 39		

all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings of the above-mentioned improvement.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Roncoviari, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Shannon—3.

**Establishing Grades on Gates Street Between Powhattan Avenue  
and a Line Parallel with and 225 Feet Northerly Therefrom.**

(Code No. 12.071)

Also, Bill No. 1588, Ordinance No. 12.07114, as follows:

Establishing grades on Gates street between Powhattan avenue and a line parallel with and 225 feet northerly therefrom.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Gates street between Powhattan avenue and a line parallel with and 225 feet northerly therefrom are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above City base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, filed in this office May 31, 1938.

**Gates Street**

**Feet**

225 feet northerly from Powhattan avenue.....	310
200 feet northerly from Powhattan avenue.....	307.5
175 feet northerly from Powhattan avenue.....	304.12
150 feet northerly from Powhattan avenue.....	299
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
25 feet northerly from Powhattan avenue.....	269
7 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Powhattan avenue northerly line .....	262
(The same being the present official grade.)	
7 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Powhattan avenue northerly line .....	264
(The same being the present official grade.)	

On Gates street between Powhattan avenue and a line parallel with and 225 feet northerly therefrom, be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Shannon—3.

**NEW BUSINESS.**

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Cancellation of Assessments—State Property.**

(Code No. 9.0411)

Resolution No. 4052, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that the following property now recorded in the name of the Veterans' Welfare Board was assessed in the name of the original owners for the year 1937-1938. Being State property, these assessments should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be directed to cancel the following assessments in accordance with Section 3804A of the Political Code:

June 18, 1938.

The Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: The following property now recorded in the name of the Veterans' Welfare Board was assessed in the name of the original



owners for the year 1937-38. As this is State property the assessments should be cancelled.

Lot	Block	Assessed to	1937 Tax	Delinquent Penalty	Cost
15B	418A	S. A. and M. C. Colton.....	\$ 105.68	\$ 7.42	\$ .50
20	944	J. W. Dougherty.....	47.03	1.41	.50
8	1471	L. A. Kaufman.....	98.72	6.91	.50
13A	1499	R. L. and D. Tignor.....	46.45	1.39	.50
26	1502	H. W. Brace.....	89.29	6.27	1.00
11	1529	S. and I Harris.....	142.27	10.00	1.00
1B	1581	E. H. Stangenberger.....	120.38	8.44	.50
15	1582	Equitable Life Assurance So- ciety .....	96.78	6.77	.50
19G	1584	L. J. and C. A. Pope.....	99.09	7.10	1.00
10	1598	A. and H. Shepard.....	108.00	7.56	.50
43	1603	J. J. Moran.....	52.26	1.57	.50
18	1647	F. E. and M. Partman.....	53.81	1.61	.50
42	1665	Equitable Life Assurance So- ciety .....	125.80	8.81	.50
19	1670	H. W. and D. M. Koch.....	85.16	5.97	.50
19	1769	A. and L. Verges.....	103.74	7.27	.50
26	1789	M. Fay, et al.....	94.84	6.63	.50
38	1793	A. Bernhardt, et al.....	43.55	1.31	.50
30	1810	Henry Doelger, Inc.....	87.10	6.10	.50
5	1820	E. R. Spinden.....	102.58	7.18	.50
2	1837	R. S. and M. Gledhill.....	60.96	4.38	1.00
4	1869	H. Christensen.....	40.64	2.85	.50
39	1875	Carl Gellert .....	85.16	5.97	.50
47	1877	W. D. and M. E. Mead.....	34.65	1.04	.50
2	1879	Henry Doelger, Inc.....	33.30	2.33	.50
18F	1889	Carl Gellert .....	83.22	5.83	.50
18H	1889	Carl Gellert .....	83.62	5.84	.50
18L	1889	Carl Gellert .....	81.30	5.69	.50
29	1900	J. J. Wall.....	6.78	.20	.50
2G	1912	R. H. Nelson.....	10.46	.74	.50
24A	1913	C. T. Lindsay.....	9.68	.69	.50
27	1913	C. L. Gearon.....	5.81	.17	.50
19	1917	Wm. Nubert .....	17.42	1.22	.50
22A	1917	Elsie C. Laux.....	8.71	.26	.50
29	1921	G. H. and R. O. Riddle.....	89.80	6.29	.50
10A	1926	M. D. and M. A. Hardiman....	81.30	5.69	.50
1M	1927A	Henry Doelger, Builder.....	10.65	.32	.50
10	1927A	Henry Doelger, Builder.....	20.52	1.44	.50
25	1932	McAvoy, O'Hara Co.....	19.36	1.35	.50
14A	1936	Bernie Kalas .....	40.65	1.22	.50
2	2029	Henry Doelger Builder, Inc....	43.74	3.07	.50
3A	2029	Henry Doelger Builder, Inc....	43.74	3.07	.50
22	2046	Ellen Fuller .....	8.71	.26	.50
14	2053	J. J. Contant.....	89.04	6.24	.50
1A	2126	J. L. Schooler.....	80.51	6.17	1.00
16A	2139	Carl Gellert .....	92.90	6.50	.50
16	2185	Central Building & Loan Assn..	75.48	5.28	.50
27	2185	Golden Gate Inv. Co.....	71.62	5.00	.50
34	2185	Golden Gate Inv. Co.....	71.62	5.00	.50
33	2186	L. J. Reynolds.....	21.29	.64	.50
38	2186	Golden Gate Inv. Co.....	40.65	1.22	.50
5	2187	E. R. Spinden, et al.....	79.36	5.55	.50
13F	2187	C. T. and A. F. Lindsay.....	75.48	5.28	.50
37	2188	C. T. Shea.....	71.62	5.00	.50
2I	2192	Carl Gellert .....	4.84	.15	.50

<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Assessed to</i>	<i>1937 Tax</i>	<i>Delinquent Penalty</i>	<i>Cost</i>
2N	2192	Carl Gellert .....	9.68	.69	.50
16	2192	Carl Gellert .....	29.04	2.04	.50
25	2192	Carl Gellert .....	4.84	.15	.50
7	2202	Henry Doelger Builder, Inc....	87.10	6.10	.50
4	2303	Citizens Bldg. & Loan Assn....	23.22	1.63	.50
13A	2314	L. P. Darrow .....	77.42	5.42	.50
14A	2315	I. Baldwinson .....	81.30	5.69	.50
30	2317	C. T. Lindsay .....	75.48	5.28	.50
5A	2320	E. E. Herrin .....	8.71	.26	.50
11D	2321	J. S. Godeau .....	75.48	5.28	.50
13F	2321	Carl Gellert .....	81.30	5.69	.50
13H	2321	Carl Gellert .....	85.16	5.97	.50
1C	2360	J. Schloss .....	42.58	1.28	.50
12	2366	A. T. Morris & Son .....	85.16	5.97	.50
40A	2408	J. Bernie .....	85.16	5.97	.50
42	2464A	F. H. and E. Thorinson .....	77.42	5.42	.50
2	2465A	H. and L. Horn .....	83.22	5.83	.50
5	2465A	G. W. and S. L. Morris .....	88.64	6.21	.50
20C	2471	D. and V. Tiscornia .....	13.94	.98	.50
17	2528	A. J. and M. A. Herzig .....	100.26	7.01	.50
42	2709	M. L. and M. F. Montgomery...	94.84	6.63	.50
24	2886	A. S. Kristovich .....	152.90	10.78	1.00
7	2901A	Meyer Bros. ....	16.26	1.13	.50
15	2901A	American Trust Co. ....	20.52	1.44	.50
6	2901B	Meyer Bros. ....	72.78	5.09	.50
11	2901B	Meyer Bros. ....	45.68	3.21	.50
5	2901D	Meyer Bros. ....	37.94	2.66	.50
10	2901D	Meyer Bros. ....	72.78	5.09	.50
13	2901D	Meyer Bros. ....	80.52	5.64	.50
16	2901D	Meyer Bros. ....	72.78	5.09	.50
47	2914	J. V. Campbell .....	80.12	5.60	.50
52	2919	J. B. and E. S. Hammill .....	95.62	6.68	.50
23	2919A	D. and H. Lucey .....	50.71	1.52	.50
7	2921	L. P. and E. P. O'Connell .....	18.39	.55	.50
30	2923	G. N. Merritt .....	97.16	6.81	.50
30	2957A	Meyer Bros. ....	84.00	5.88	.50
34	2957A	H. and V. Snider .....	47.62	3.43	1.00
11	2959	The McCarthy Co. ....	81.30	5.69	.50
40	2985	Meyer Bros. ....	85.54	5.98	.50
13	3011	G. S. and A. R. Hoyt .....	139.36	9.75	.50
11	3013	S. Rheim .....	58.84	1.77	.50
1	3013A	E. R. and E. T. Olsen .....	94.07	2.82	.50
30	3039	F. J. and M. K. Hogan .....	41.81	1.25	.50
26	3041	Monterey Heights Corp. ....	111.48	7.80	.50
12	3044	F. M. and Z. Cronenwett .....	89.81	2.69	.50
31	3087	H. E. Mohr .....	55.36	3.87	.50
14	3088	C. W. Lindsay .....	69.68	4.89	.50
12	3098	H. E. and L. B. McClelland....	128.52	3.86	.50
1	3137	B. Beal .....	32.13	.96	.50
1	3271	J. M. and C. Bommer .....	138.20	9.67	.50
9	5081	L. C. and A. J. Tricerri .....	39.86	3.14	1.00
7	5082	Wm. H. Grahn .....	59.22	4.15	.50
39-40	5378	H. Larsen .....	5.80	.41	.50
11	5874	Heyman Bros. ....	41.62	3.11	1.00
21	5876	H. E. and M. C. Dunn .....	15.68	.47	.50
20B	5949	A. J. and L. Kallman .....	30.97	.93	.50
10	6436	H. Erickson .....	14.70	1.03	.50
18	6786	R. Risso, et al. ....	2.71	.08	.50
8	6971B	H. and E. L. Stoneson .....	73.54	5.14	.50



<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Assessed to</i>	<i>1937 Tax</i>	<i>Delinquent Penalty</i>	<i>Cost</i>
21	7028B	H. and E. L. Stoneson.....	43.36	3.03	.50
9	7032A	H. and E. L. Stoneson.....	12.00	.84	.50
11D	7043	Rico Corp. ....	84.00	5.88	.50
25	7138	M. E. Coleman, et al.....	5.42	.16	.50
5	7208	J. A. and M. B. Arnott.....	54.19	1.63	.50
8B	7209	Ellen Zillmer .....	48.58	1.46	.50
			<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
			\$7,410.60	\$474.23	\$64.00

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Shannon—3.

### Refunds or Erroneous Payments of Taxes.

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 4053, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized  
to be paid to the following named, being refunds of erroneous payments  
of taxes:

#### *From Duplicate Tax Fund—Appropriation No. 905.*

(1) Bernard Louhan, per Vol. 11, Bill 1541, Lot 26-B, Block 1568, both installments fiscal year 1937.....	\$141.95
(2) Northern Counties Title Insurance Company, per Vol. 13, Bill 2540, Lot 15, Block 1769, 2d installment, fiscal year 1937 .....	13.74
(3) Title Insurance and Guaranty Company, per Vol. 15, Bill 1256, Lot 5-A, Block 1917, 2d installment, fiscal year 1937 .....	8.71
(4) Tony Piazza, per Vol. 27, Bill 2515, Lot 36, Block 4207, both installments, fiscal year 1937.....	70.46
(5) Florence A. Stilwill, per Vol. 38, Bill 1574, Lot 21, Block 6438, both installments, fiscal year 1937.....	24.19
(6) Linda Bianchi, per Vol. 7, Bill 1112, Lot 5, Block 1088, 1st installment fiscal year 1937.....	29.27
(7) Mary Frere, per Vol. 8, Lot 17, Block 1276, 2d installment fiscal year 1937.....	79.27
(8) Gertrude M. Spencer, per Vol. 13, Bill 602, Lot 32, Block 1716, 2d installment, fiscal year 1937.....	35.81
(9) Malcolm Chase, per Vol. 14, Bill 719, Lot 19, Block 1809, 2d installment fiscal year 1937.....	9.68
(10) Western Service Corporation, per Vol. 20, Bill 2215, Lot 1-E, Block 2925, both installments, fiscal year 1937.....	65.80
(11) Mary A. Rodgers, per Vol. 33, Bill 1954, Lot 8-9, Block 5617, both installments, fiscal year 1937.....	19.36
(12) Laura Lopez, per Vol. 35, Bill 966, Lot 43, Block 5879, both installments, fiscal year 1937.....	28.26
(13) Charles Leiduano, per Vol. 35, Bill 968, Lot 1, Block 5880, 2d installment, fiscal year 1937.....	11.61
(14) Edward F. Bryant, per Vol. 40, Bill 307, Lot 13, Block 6593, both installments, fiscal year 1937.....	31.74
(15) Edward F. Bryant, per Vol. 15, Bill 2179, Lot 43, Block 2012, both installments, fiscal year 1937.....	8.90
(16) Metropolitan Laundry Company, per Vol. 23, Bill 2672, Lot 8, Block 3572, 2d installment, fiscal year 1937.....	99.68

*From General Fund—Appropriation 60.969.00.*

- |  |      |
|--|------|
| (17) Thos. L. Brazell, per Vol. 1, page 154, line 22, 1932 Unsecured personal property roll..... | 4.85 |
| (18) Aaron Barskey, per Vol. 13, page 44, line 20, unsecured personal property roll.....         | 5.41 |

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Shannon—3.

### Sale of Buildings for Third Street Widening.

(Code No. 12.1721)

Also, Resolution No. 4054, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the Director of Property be and is hereby authorized to sell at public auction to the highest cash bidder or bidders all buildings now owned or hereafter acquired by the City and County of San Francisco in connection with the widening of Third Street in San Francisco, and not required for municipal purposes.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bills of sale to be executed by the Director of Property.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Shannon—2.

### Land Exchange with Meyer Bros.—O'Shaughnessy Boulevard and Evelyn Way.

(Code No. 12.1741)

Also, Resolution No. 4055, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Meyer Bros., a corporation, to a portion of Assessor's Block 2938A, San Francisco, together with certain adjoining land, required for the new Evelyn Way connection between Teresita Boulevard and O'Shaughnessy Boulevard.

In consideration for the above described land, it is understood that a portion of Evelyn Way shall be closed and deeded to Meyer Bros. Said portion of Evelyn Way is bounded on the north by Block 2901A, Miraloma Park Subdivision No. 4, on the east by the center line of Stanford Heights Avenue as the same existed immediately prior to October 27, 1926, on the south by the former Valley Street, and on the west by Teresita Boulevard. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed for the conveyance of said portion of Evelyn Way to Meyer Bros., following all required proceedings of this Board.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer from Meyer Bros., on file in the office of the Director of Property for particular descriptions of said parcels of land.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Shannon—2.



**Land Purchase for Portola Drive Widening.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 4056, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties, or the legal owners, certain parcels of land situated in San Francisco, California, required for the widening of Portola Drive, and that the sums set forth below be paid for said property from Appropriation No. 777.934.17.

Jessie D. McGown, Lot 4, Assessor's Block 2848.....\$1,250

Lillian B. Olney, Lot 3, Assessor's Block 2848..... 1,250

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Shannon—2.

**Land Purchase for Third Street Widening.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 4057, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco purchase certain lands situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, from the following named parties, or the legal owners, for the sums set forth below, required for the widening of Third Street, payable from Appropriation No. 777.931.17:

C. W. Clarke Co., portion of Lot 3-F, Assessor's Block  
4108-4123 .....\$ 390.00

Charlotte R. Chapman and American Trust Company,  
portion of Lot 2, Assessor's Block 4044..... 498.75

Christine Sass, portion of Lot 12, Assessor's Block 4172-  
4187. .... 2,627.00

Johanna Keefe, portion of Lot 3, Assessor's Block 4172-  
4187. .... 1,541.255

Annie Dineen, portion of Lot 2, Assessor's Block 4172-  
4187. .... 802.50

The above amounts include damages in full to the improvements now located on said property.

Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named parties for a particular description of said parcels of land.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Shannon—2.

**Land Purchase for Portola Drive Widening.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 4058, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Alex Tasloff and Ida Tasloff, or the legal owner, to Lots 6A, 6B and 6C, Assessor's Block 2848, San Francisco, required for the

widening of Portola Drive, and that the sum of \$1,850.00 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 777.934.17.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Shannon—2.

#### **Approval of Public Welfare Department Recommendations.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 4059, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Public Welfare Department containing the names and amounts to be paid as Old Age Security Aid, Blind Pensions and Widows' Pensions for the month of July, 1938 (and prior thereto) including amounts, decreases, cancellations and denials and other transactions, are hereby approved and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is directed to transmit this approval to the Controller.

Further Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors declares an extension of time as necessary in certain cases as requested by the Public Welfare Department.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Shannon—2.

#### **Release of Lien Filed re Old Age Security.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 4060, as follows:

Resolved, That the lien heretofore placed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, on recommendation of the County Welfare Department is released against the property described as follows:

Mrs. Annie I. McLaren, N.W. 40, Lot 10, Block 7, Oak Park, San Carlos.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Shannon—2.

#### **Providing for Amount Per Diem, Officers and Employees, Traveling Expense on Official Business.**

(Code No. 9.056)

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

Bill No. 1597, Ordinance No. 9.0568, as follows:

Providing for the amount per diem for the fiscal year 1938-1939 for officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco for traveling expenses, exclusive of transportation and Pullman charges, and excluding traveling expenses incurred in the discharge of routine duty, and directing the Controller to establish rules for payment of claims for traveling expenses, an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:



Section 1. During the fiscal year 1938-1939 any officer or employee of the City and County of San Francisco, except in the discharge of routine duties, who shall, under the authority of law or ordinance, leave the City and County for the purpose of performing any official duty for or on behalf of the City and County; or for the purpose of rendering any service to, or for the City and County, or for the purpose of officially representing said City and County, or any commission or department thereof, said officer or employee shall be allowed as the expense incident to said service the actual cost of transportation, including Pullman charges, together with an amount not to exceed fifteen dollars per day for each and every day while said officer or employee is absent on said official business.

Section 2. Allowance for traveling expense shall be based upon the most efficient, direct and economical mode of transportation required by the occasion. In extraordinary or emergency cases, however, with the approval of the Controller, transportation may be contracted in the most expeditious and expedient manner.

Section 3. The number of days which shall be used as the basis for computing the allowance for expense other than transportation hereunder shall not exceed the number of days required in traveling and in attending to the business or to the purpose for which the trip is made.

Section 4. The Controller shall establish rules for the payment of all amounts payable pursuant to Section 1 hereof, and for the presentation of such vouchers as he shall deem proper in connection with expenditures made pursuant to said section. No allowance shall be made for traveling expense provided for in this ordinance unless appropriation for such expense has been made by annual or supplemental appropriation ordinance enacted in accordance with the provisions of the Charter.

Section 5. The Controller may advance the sums necessary for traveling expenses, but proper account and return must be made of said sums so advanced by the person receiving the same within ten days after said person returns to duty in the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 6. The Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists as this ordinance must become effective as of July 1, 1938, in order to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the several departments and offices, otherwise the Controller will be without authority to approve traveling expenses incurred by officers and employees applicable to the fiscal year 1938-1939 under the provisions of Section 219 of the Charter.

#### Motion to Amend.

Supervisor Uhl moved that Section 1 be amended to read as follows:

Section 1. During the fiscal year 1938-1939 any officer or employee of the City and County of San Francisco, except in the discharge of routine duties, who shall, under the authority of law or ordinance leave the City and County for the purpose of performing any official duty for or on behalf of the City and County; or for the purpose of rendering any service to, or for the City and County, or for the purpose of officially representing said City and County, or any commission or department thereof, said officer or employee shall be allowed as the expense incident to said service the actual cost of transportation, including Pullman charges, and an amount for expenses covering meals, hotel room and miscellaneous expenses incident thereto not to exceed fifteen dollars per day for every day while an officer or employee is away on official business.

*Amendment failed for want of a second.*

#### Amendment.

Supervisor Schmidt, seconded by Supervisor Reilly, moved that the foregoing ordinance be amended by the adding of Section 3a, as follows:

Section 3 (a). Provided, however, that if necessary, two additional days be allowed to consummate traveling arrangements and that days taken up by unavoidable accidents or illness while en route and certified to by a duly licensed physician or surgeon, shall be construed as days devoted to official business.

#### Roll Call on Amendment.

Amendment *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead—2.

#### Final Passage.

Thereupon, the roll was called on ordinance as amended, as follows:

#### Providing for Amount Per Diem, Officers and Employees, Traveling Expense on Official Business.

(Code No. 9.056)

Bill No. 1597, Ordinance No. 9.0568, as follows:

Providing for the amount per diem for the fiscal year 1938-1939 for officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco for traveling expenses, exclusive of transportation and Pullman charges, and excluding traveling expenses incurred in the discharge of routine duty, and directing the Controller to establish rules for payment of claims for traveling expenses; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. During the fiscal year 1938-1939 any officer or employee of the City and County of San Francisco, except in the discharge of routine duties, who shall, under the authority of law or ordinance, leave the City and County for the purpose of performing any official duty for or on behalf of the City and County; or for the purpose of rendering any service to, or for the City and County, or for the purpose of officially representing said City and County, or any commission or department thereof, said officer or employee shall be allowed as the expense incident to said service the actual cost of transportation, including Pullman charges, together with an amount not to exceed fifteen dollars per day for each and every day while said officer or employee is absent on said official business.

Section 2. Allowance for traveling expense shall be based upon the most efficient, direct and economical mode of transportation required by the occasion. In extraordinary or emergency cases, however, with the approval of the Controller, transportation may be contracted in the most expeditious and expedient manner.

Section 3. The number of days which shall be used as the basis for computing the allowance for expense other than transportation hereunder shall not exceed the number of days required in traveling and in attending to the business or to the purpose for which the trip is made.

Section 3(a). Provided, however, that if necessary, two additional days be allowed to consummate traveling arrangements and that days taken up by unavoidable accidents or illness while en route and certified to by a duly licensed physician or surgeon, shall be construed as days devoted to official business.

Section 4. The Controller shall establish rules for the payment of all amounts payable pursuant to Section 1 hereof, and for the presentation of such vouchers as he shall deem proper in connection with expenditures made pursuant to said section. No allowance shall be made



for traveling expense provided for in this ordinance unless appropriation for such expense has been made by annual or supplemental appropriation ordinance enacted in accordance with the provisions of the Charter.

Section 5. The Controller may advance the sums necessary for traveling expenses, but proper account and return must be made of said sums so advanced by the person receiving the same within ten days after said person returns to duty in the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 6. The Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists as this ordinance must become effective as of July 1, 1938, in order to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the several departments and offices, otherwise the Controller will be without authority to approve traveling expenses incurred by officers and employees applicable to the fiscal year 1938-1939 under the provisions of Section 219 of the Charter.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### Final Passage.

The following emergency ordinances, recommended by the Finance Committee, were taken up:

#### Supplemental Appropriation, \$12,500, Payment of Fees for Medical Examiners and Reporters' Transcripts for Superior Court. Emergency Ordinance.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1598, Ordinance No. 9.051485, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation from the Emergency Reserve Fund of \$12,540 to the credit of the Superior Court, \$5,540 for the payment of the fees of the medical examiners, \$4,000 for reporters' transcriptions and \$3,000 for jury and witness fees, an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$12,540 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of the following appropriations for the purposes and in the amounts indicated:

Appropriation 721.106.01—Examination of Insane.....	\$5,540
Appropriation 721.249.00—Reporters' Transcriptions .....	4,000
Appropriation 721.105.00—Jury and Witness Fees.....	3,000

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists in order to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the Superior Court of the City and County of San Francisco.

Approved as to form by Dion R. Holm, Assistant City Attorney.

Funds available approved by H. J. Boyd, Controller.

Recommended by Thos. S. Mulvey, Secretary, Superior Court.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Reilly—1.

**Appropriation, \$3000, from Emergency Reserve Fund, for Payment Temporary Employees, Controller's Office. Emergency Ordinance.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1599, Ordinance No. 9.051486, as follows:

Authorizing an appropriation of \$3,000 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 760.102.00 for the purpose of providing funds for the payment of temporary salaries of employees of the Controller's office required in connection with the development of proposed P.W.A. programs, an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$3,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 760.102.00 for the purpose of providing funds for the payment of temporary salaries of the Controller's office required in connection with the development of proposed P.W.A. program.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists, in order to comply with the time limitations established by law.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended and funds available by H. J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Authorizing a Supplemental Appropriation of \$2,809.60 Out of the Surplus Existing in the Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund to the Credit of Appropriation No. 768.705.00 to Provide Funds for the Payment of an Award Dated May 4, 1938, Under Industrial Accident Commission Claim No. 58213—Stella Magee and Stanley Mark Magee, a Minor, Occasioned by the Death of Mark Magee.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1600, Ordinance No. 9.051487, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$2,809.60 out of the surplus existing in the Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 768.705.00 to provide funds for the payment of an award dated May 4, 1938, under Industrial Accident Commission Claim No. 58213—Stella Magee and Stanley Mark Magee, a minor, occasioned by the death of Mark Magee.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,809.60 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 768.705.00 to be used for the purpose of providing funds for the payment of an award dated May 4, 1938, under Industrial Accident Commission Claim No. 58213 to Stella Magee and Stanley Mark Magee, a minor, by his guardian ad litem and trustee, Stella Magee vs. City and County of San Francisco, occasioned by the death of Mark Magee and held by said Industrial Accident Commission as having arisen out of and in course of employment with the Hetch



Hetchy Project, said sum being necessary to meet the payments under said award to June 30, 1938.

Approved as to form by Dion R. Holm, Assistant City Attorney.

Approved by Forrest B. Gibbon, Secretary, Public Utilities Commission (Resolution No. 2718).

Recommended by E. G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities.

Funds available approved by H. J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Shannon—2.

**Authorizing a Supplemental Appropriation of \$1,500 from the Emergency Reserve Fund to the Credit of Appropriation No. 764-900-00—San Francisco Airport—Services of Other Departments, to Provide Funds to Determine Annual Depreciation for the Airport, as Required Under the Provisions of Section 128 of the Charter.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1601, Ordinance No. 9.051488, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$1,500 from the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 764-900-00—San Francisco Airport—services of other departments, to provide funds to determine annual depreciation for the Airport, as required under the provisions of Section 128 of the Charter.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$1,500 is hereby appropriated from the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 764-900-00—San Francisco Airport—services of other departments, for the purpose of providing funds to determine annual depreciation for the Airport, as required under the provisions of Section 128 of the Charter.

Approved as to form by Dion R. Holm, Assistant City Attorney.

Approved by Forrest B. Gibbon, Secretary, Public Utilities Commission (Resolution No. 2717).

Recommended by E. G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities.

Funds available approved by H. J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Shannon—2.

### Final Passage.

The following emergency ordinance, recommended by the Finance Committee, was taken up:

**Amendment Section 72, Annual Salary Ordinance for Municipal Railway—An Emergency Ordinance.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 1602, Ordinance No. 9.053130, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 72 of Ordinance 9.053128 by adding 53 employments to the number heretofore established under item 49 thereof, by adding 50 employments to the number heretofore established under item 50 thereof, and by adding 3 employments to the number heretofore established under item 52½ thereof—an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 72 of Ordinance 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 72. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
MUNICIPAL RAILWAY**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	5	A154	Carpenter, \$9 per day.....	
2	9	A364	Car and Auto Painter, \$10 per day.....	
3	1	A370	General Foreman Car and Auto Paint Shop . . . . .	\$ 250
4	1	B10	Accountant . . . . .	225
5	1	B14	Senior Accountant . . . . .	275
6	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	200
7	2	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	175
8	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	165
9	3	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	155
9½	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	150
10	1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	240
11	2	B308	Key Drive Calculating Machine Operator . . . . .	155
12	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	250
13	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	175
14	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	155
15	2	B454	Telephone Operator . . . . .	150
16	2	C52	Elevator Operator . . . . .	\$ 145
17	1	C52	Elevator Operator (relief) . . . . .	145
18	23	C104	Janitor . . . . .	160
19	2	C104	Janitor . . . . .	155
20	11	C104	Janitor . . . . .	145
21	3	C104	Janitor, \$5.80 per day . . . . .	
22	1	C104	Janitor . . . . .	135
23	2	C107	Working Foreman Janitor, \$6.30 per day . . . . .	
24	1	E106	Armature Winder, \$9 per day.....	
25	1	E108	Electrician, \$9 per day.....	
26	6	E154	Lineman, \$7.40 per day.....	
27	1	E160	Foreman Lineman . . . . .	215
28	1	F216	Maintenance of Way Engineer . . . . .	300
29	4	J4	Laborer, \$6 per day . . . . .	
30	4	J66	Garageman, \$6.80 per day . . . . .	
30½	1	J66	Garageman, \$6.50 per day . . . . .	
31	46	J152	Trackman, \$6 per day . . . . .	
32	2	J160	Track Welder, \$6.30 per day . . . . .	
32½	2	J156	Switch Repairer, \$6 per day . . . . .	
33	2	J162	Car Repairer Welder, \$7.50 per day . . . . .	
34	3	J166	Track Foreman, \$6.30 per day . . . . .	
35	1	J168	Supervisor of Maintenance of Way . . . . .	250
36	1	M5	Asst. Sup't Equipment and Overhead Lines . . . . .	300
37	1	M6	Sup't Equipment and Overhead Lines..	350
38	3	M54	Auto Machinist, \$9 per day . . . . .	
39	1	M56	Garage Foreman, Municipal Railway...	250
40	2	M104	Blacksmith Helper, \$8 per day.....	
41	2	M108	Blacksmith, \$9 per day . . . . .	
42	49	M202	Car Repairer, \$6.80 per day . . . . .	
43	5	M206	Sub-Foreman Car Repairer, \$7.30 per day . . . . .	
44	2	M208	Foreman Car Repairer, \$7.80 per day...	
45	6	M254	Machinist, \$9 per day . . . . .	
46	1	O1	Chauffeur, \$8 per day . . . . .	
47	1	S10	Manager Municipal Railway . . . . .	700
48	1	S60	Instructor Municipal Railway . . . . .	240
49	480	S102	Conductor, 75c per hour.....	



**Section 72. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
MUNICIPAL RAILWAY (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
50	470	S104	Motorman, 75c per hour.....	
51	50	S106	Bus Operator, 80c per hour .....	
52	4	S110	Inspector Municipal Railway .....	175
52½	4	S110	Inspector Municipal Railway .....	165
53	8	S110	Inspector Municipal Railway .....	170
54	12	S110	Inspector Municipal Railway .....	200
55	5	S110	Inspector Municipal Railway .....	180
56	2	S120	Day Dispatcher .....	210
57	1	S124	Supervisor of Schedules .....	210
58	2	S128	Division Superintendent Municipal Ry..	275
59	1	S130	Assistant Superintendent of Transportation, Municipal Railway .....	300
60	1	S132	Superintendent of Transportation, Municipal Railway .....	350
61	1	U108	Compressor Operator, portable, \$6.30 per day .....	
62	1	R108	Supervisor of Music (part time).....	50
63			Seasonal, Clerical and other temporary Services as needed at rates not in excess of Salary Standardization Schedules.	

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does, by the vote by which this ordinance is passed, declare that an actual emergency exists, as immediate action is required to make provision for the payment of salaries for conductors, motormen and other employees of the Municipal Railway required for the continued and proper operation of said railway occasioned by added traffic accruing to Municipal Railway by reason of increase of fares charged by Market Street Railway.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Specifying the Various Officers and Employees of the City and County of San Francisco Who Shall Be Bonded for the Faithful Performance of Their Respective Duties Where Bonds Are Not Specifically Required by the Charter; Fixing the Amount of the Suretyship to Be Given by Said Officers and Employees; Providing for the Payment of Premiums Thereon and for the Custody of Said Suretyship and Providing for the Form Thereof.**

(Code No. 4.04)

Bill No. 1603, Ordinance No. 4.045, as follows:

Specifying the various Officers and Employees of the City and County of San Francisco Who Shall Be Bonded for the Faithful Performance of Their Respective Duties Where Bonds Are Not Specifically Required by the Charter; Fixing the Amount of the Suretyship to be Given by Said Officers and Employees; Providing for the Payment of Premiums Thereon and for the Custody of Said Suretyship and Providing for the Form Thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1: Pursuant to the provisions of Sections 8 and 19 of the Charter incumbents of the respective offices and employments of the City and County of San Francisco hereinafter mentioned, shall be

bonded to the City and County of San Francisco in the form and in the manner hereinafter provided for the faithful performance of the respective duties of their respective offices and employments, which said suretyship shall be given within ten days after this ordinance becomes effective and will be required as long as said officers and employees hold their respective positions. That the offices and employments, the incumbents of which shall give suretyship as herein provided, and the minimum amounts of each suretyship are specified in Section 2 to Section 54 of this ordinance.

Section No. 2—ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT:

Bookkeeper .....	\$ 2,000	
Chief Adult Probation Officer.....	1,000	
Probation Officer .....	1,000	\$ 4,000

Section No. 3—ASSESSOR:

Supervisor of Accounts and Records.....	\$10,000	
Senior Clerk .....	2,000	\$ 12,000

Section No. 4—CALIFORNIA PALACE OF THE LEGION OF HONOR:

Director .....	\$ 5,000	
Assistant Director .....	5,000	
Secretary, Board of Trustees.....	2,500	
Engineer and Building Superintendent.....	2,000	
Organist .....	2,000	
Supervisor .....	2,000	
Gallerymen (5) each.....	2,000	
Gallery Assistant .....	2,000	
Head Janitor .....	2,000	
Janitor's Assistant .....	2,000	
Assistant Mechanic .....	2,000	
Recorder .....	2,000	
Stenographers (2) each.....	2,000	
Librarian .....	2,000	
Caretakers (3) each .....	2,000	
Watchmen (3) each .....	2,000	\$ 56,500

Section No. 5—CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER:

Chief Administrative Officer .....	\$50,000	\$ 50,000
------------------------------------	----------	-----------

Section No. 6—CITY PLANNING COMMISSION:

Secretary and Engineer.....	\$ 500	
City Planning Draftsman .....	500	
Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman.....	500	\$ 1,500

Section No. 7—CONTROLLER:

Chief Assistant Controller .....	\$50,000	
Supervisor, General Audits .....	10,000	
Supervisor, Utility Audits .....	10,000	
Supervisor of Disbursements .....	50,000	
Asst. Supervisors of Disbursements (2) each....	25,000	
Senior Accountant .....	25,000	
Supervisor of Payrolls .....	5,000	
Accountant .....	1,000	
Senior Bookkeeper .....	5,000	
Senior Bookkeepers (6) each.....	1,000	
Bookkeeper .....	5,000	
Bookkeepers (5) each .....	1,000	
Executive Secretary to Controller.....	5,000	
Head Clerks (2) each.....	5,000	
Senior Clerk .....	25,000	
General Clerk-Stenographer .....	5,000	\$267,000



## Section No. 8—CORONER:

Coroner .....	\$10,000	
Coroner's Chief Investigator.....	1,000	
Coroner's Investigators (4) each.....	1,000	
Morgue Ambulance Drivers (3) each.....	500	\$ 16,500

## Section No. 9—DISTRICT ATTORNEY:

Principal Attorney, Criminal.....	\$10,000	
General Clerk .....	5,000	
Criminal Law Clerks (4) each.....	1,000	
Attorney, Criminal .....	1,000	
Senior Attorneys, Criminal (2) each.....	1,000	\$ 22,000

## Section No. 10—EDUCATION, BOARD OF:

Secretary .....	\$10,000	
Superintendent of Schools.....	5,000	
Senior Accountant .....	5,000	
Supervisor "B" .....	1,000	\$ 21,000

## Section No. 11—ELECTRICITY, DEPARTMENT OF:

Chief, Department of Electricity.....	\$10,000	
Senior Clerk .....	5,000	
General Clerk .....	5,000	
Superintendent of Plant .....	5,000	\$ 25,000

## Section No. 12—FINANCE AND RECORDS, DEPARTMENT OF:

Director of Finance and Records.....	\$10,000	\$ 10,000
--------------------------------------	----------	-----------

Section No. 13—FINANCE AND RECORDS, DEPARTMENT OF:  
COUNTY CLERK:

Chief Clerk .....	\$ 5,000	
Cashier .....	15,000	
Senior Criminal Law Clerk.....	2,000	
Civil Law Clerk.....	2,000	
General Clerks (2) each.....	2,000	
General Clerk-Stenographers (2) each.....	2,000	\$ 32,000

Section No. 14—FINANCE AND RECORDS, DEPARTMENT OF:  
PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR:

Head Clerk .....	\$ 2,000	
Bookkeeper .....	2,000	\$ 4,000

Section No. 15—FINANCE AND RECORDS, DEPARTMENT OF:  
RECORDER:

Recorder .....	\$10,000	
Chief Clerk .....	2,000	
Teller .....	1,000	
General Clerk .....	1,000	\$ 14,000

Section No. 16—FINANCE AND RECORDS, DEPARTMENT OF:  
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS:

Registrar of Voters .....	\$ 3,000	
Senior Clerk .....	1,000	\$ 4,000

Section No. 17—FINANCE AND RECORDS, DEPARTMENT OF:  
TAX COLLECTOR:

Chief Teller .....	\$15,000	
Senior Teller .....	10,000	
Teller .....	5,000	
Teller .....	1,000	
License Adjusters (3) each.....	1,000	
Head Clerk .....	3,000	
Director, Delinquent Revenue.....	5,000	
Director, License Bureau .....	1,000	
Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	1,000	
General Clerk-Stenographer .....	1,000	
Attorney, Civil .....	1,000	
General Clerks (29) each .....	1,000	\$ 75,000

Section No. 18—FIRE DEPARTMENT:

Chief Clerk and Secretary.....	\$10,000	\$ 10,000
--------------------------------	----------	-----------

Section No. 19—HORTICULTURAL INSPECTION DEPARTMENT:

County Agricultural Commissioner .....	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,000
--	----------	----------

Section No. 20—JUVENILE COURT, PROBATION DEPARTMENT:

Chief Probation Officer .....	\$ 5,000	
Senior Probation Officer .....	5,000	
Bookkeeper .....	5,000	
Bookkeeper .....	1,000	
Collector .....	1,000	\$ 17,000

Section No. 21—M. H. DE YOUNG MEMORIAL MUSEUM:

Director .....	\$ 5,000	
Secretary, Board of Trustees.....	2,500	
Supervisor of Exhibits .....	2,500	
Secretary to Director .....	2,000	
Recorder .....	2,000	
Museum Instructors (3) each.....	2,000	
Assistant Museum Instructor.....	2,000	
Stenographer-Bookkeeper .....	2,000	
Curator of Prints .....	2,000	
Clerk .....	2,000	
Head Galleryman .....	2,000	
Assistant Head Galleryman .....	2,000	
Gallerymen (14) each .....	2,000	
Head Caretaker .....	2,000	
Caretakers (3) each.....	2,000	
Labeler .....	2,000	
Checker .....	2,000	
Mechanic .....	2,000	
Assistant Mechanic .....	2,000	
Janitor .....	2,000	
Assistant Janitor .....	2,000	
Expert Repairman .....	2,000	
Installation Man .....	2,000	
Utility Man .....	2,000	
Watchmen (4) each.....	2,000	\$ 94,000

Section No. 22—MAYOR:

Head Clerk-Stenographer .....	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,000
-------------------------------	----------	----------



## Section No. 23—MUNICIPAL COURT:

Clerk of the Municipal Court.....	\$10,000	
Cashier .....	2,000	
Senior Criminal Law Clerk.....	2,000	
Senior Civil Law Clerks (4) each.....	1,000	
Chief Assistant Clerk.....	1,000	
Head Clerk .....	1,000	
Criminal Law Clerk.....	1,000	
Civil Law Clerks (4) each.....	1,000	
Court Room Clerks, Criminal (4) each.....	1,000	
Court Room Clerks, Civil (8) each.....	1,000	
General Clerk .....	1,000	
General Clerk-Typists (3) each.....	1,000	\$ 41,000

## Section No. 24—PARK DEPARTMENT:

Superintendent .....	\$ 5,000	
Assistant Superintendents (3) each.....	1,000	
Secretary .....	5,000	
Accountant .....	1,000	
Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	1,000	
General Clerk-Stenographers (2) each.....	500	
Chief Cashier .....	10,000	
Managers (7) each .....	1,000	
Assistant Managers (3) each .....	500	
Assistant Manager, Kezar .....	2,000	
Cashiers (5) each .....	500	
Waitresses (3) each .....	500	
Golf Starters (4) each.....	1,000	
Assistant Golf Starters (2) each.....	500	
Caretakers, Tennis (2) each.....	500	
Supervisor Materials and Supplies.....	5,000	
Superintendent Restaurant Activities .....	5,000	
Athletic Organizer .....	5,000	
Assistant Athletic Organizer .....	1,000	
Inspector of Personnel .....	2,000	
Timekeeper .....	2,000	
Assistant Timekeeper .....	1,000	
Director of Zoo.....	1,000	
Clerks, Commissary (4) each.....	1,000	
Attendant Coit Tower .....	500	
Clerk, Tennis .....	500	\$ 73,500

## Section No. 25—POLICE DEPARTMENT:

Property Clerk .....	\$10,000	\$ 10,000
----------------------	----------	-----------

## Section No. 26—PUBLIC HEALTH, DEPARTMENT OF: CENTRAL OFFICE:

Director of Public Health.....	\$10,000	
Assistant Director of Public Health.....	5,000	
Senior Accountant .....	5,000	\$ 20,000

## Section No. 27—PUBLIC HEALTH, DEPARTMENT OF: HASSLER HEALTH FARM:

Superintendent .....	\$ 2,000	\$ 2,000
----------------------	----------	----------

## Section No. 28—PUBLIC HEALTH, DEPARTMENT OF: LAGUNA HONDA HOME:

Superintendent .....	\$10,000	
Assistant to Superintendent .....	3,000	
Senior Pharmacist .....	2,000	\$ 15,000

Section No. 29—PUBLIC HEALTH, DEPARTMENT OF: SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL:

Superintendent . . . . .	\$10,000	
Head Clerk . . . . .	5,000	
Senior Pharmacist . . . . .	2,000	\$ 17,000

Section No. 30—PUBLIC LIBRARY:

Secretary . . . . .	\$ 2,000	\$ 2,000
---------------------	----------	----------

Section No. 31—PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION: AIRPORT:

Superintendent . . . . .	\$ 2,500	
Assistant Superintendent . . . . .	2,500	
General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	1,000	\$ 6,000

Section No. 32—PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION: ENGINEERING:

Utilities Engineer . . . . .	\$ 5,000	
Senior Civil Engineer . . . . .	2,500	
Assistant Engineer . . . . .	2,500	
Senior Accountant . . . . .	5,000	
Accountant . . . . .	2,000	
Bookkeeper . . . . .	1,000	
Office Assistant . . . . .	1,000	
General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	1,000	\$ 20,000

Section No. 33: PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION: GENERAL OFFICE:

Manager of Utilities . . . . .	\$15,000	
Secretary, Utilities Commission . . . . .	1,000	
Assistant Supervisor, Bureau of Accounts . . . . .	5,000	\$ 21,000

Section No. 34—PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION: HETCH HETCHY POWER DIVISION:

Manager and Chief Engineer . . . . .	\$ 5,000	
Electrical Engineer . . . . .	2,500	
Chief Operator . . . . .	1,000	
General Clerk . . . . .	1,000	
Bookkeeper . . . . .	1,000	
General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	1,000	\$ 11,500

Section No. 35—PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION: MUNICIPAL RAILWAY:

Manager . . . . .	\$10,000	
Senior Accountant . . . . .	2,500	
Accountant . . . . .	2,500	
Head Clerk . . . . .	2,500	
General Clerk . . . . .	2,500	
General Clerks (3), each . . . . .	1,000	
General Clerk-Stenographers (2), each . . . . .	1,000	
Superintendent of Transportation . . . . .	2,500	
Assistant Superintendent of Transportation . . . . .	2,500	
Division Superintendents (2), each . . . . .	2,500	
Day Dispatchers (2), each . . . . .	1,000	
Claims Adjuster . . . . .	5,000	
Inspectors (11), each . . . . .	1,000	
Junior Inspectors (10), each . . . . .	1,000	\$ 63,000



## Section No. 36—PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION: WATER DEPARTMENT:

General Manager and Chief Engineer.....	\$10,000	
Auditor .....	5,000	
Senior Accountant.....	5,000	
Senior Bookkeeper.....	1,250	
Cashier .....	1,250	
Head Clerk.....	1,250	
Head Clerk.....	5,000	
Senior Clerks (4), each.....	1,250	
Senior Clerk .....	5,000	
General Clerks (37), each.....	1,250	
General Clerks (2), each.....	2,500	
General Clerk.....	5,000	
General Clerk-Stenographers (2), each.....	1,250	
General Clerk-Stenographer.....	1,500	
General Clerk-Typist .....	1,250	
Office Assistant (4), each.....	1,250	
Assistant Manager, Water Sales.....	1,250	
Manager, Water Sales.....	1,250	
Assistant Supervisor—Consumers' Accounts.....	2,500	
Supervisor—Consumers' Accounts.....	2,500	
Supervisor—Closing Bills.....	1,250	
Supervisor—Service and Supply.....	1,250	
Supervisor of Collections.....	5,000	
Supervisor—Docks and Shipping.....	1,250	
Consumers' Complaint Investigator.....	1,250	
Special Complaint Inspector.....	1,250	
Contractor and Building Inspector.....	1,250	
Shut-Off Men (8), each.....	1,250	
Senior Storekeeper.....	1,250	
Foreman Meter Repairer.....	1,250	
Foreman Machinist.....	1,250	
Superintendent Peninsula District.....	1,250	
Assistant Superintendent Peninsula District.....	1,250	
General Storekeeper.....	1,250	
Meter Man, Country.....	1,250	
Superintendent Alameda District.....	1,250	
Assistant Superintendent Alameda District.....	1,250	
Assistant Superintendent—Agriculture.....	1,250	\$147,750

## Section No. 37—PUBLIC WELFARE DEPARTMENT:

Director of Public Welfare.....	\$25,000	
Director of Indigent Relief Division.....	10,000	
Director of County Welfare Division.....	10,000	
General Clerk .....	1,000	
Senior Clerk.....	1,000	\$ 47,000

## Section No. 38—PUBLIC WORKS, DEPARTMENT OF: BUREAU OF ARCHITECTURE:

City Architect .....	\$10,000	\$ 10,000
----------------------	----------	-----------

## Section No. 39—PUBLIC WORKS, DEPARTMENT OF: BUREAU OF BUILDING INSPECTION:

Superintendent .....	\$10,000	\$ 10,000
----------------------	----------	-----------

## Section No. 40—PUBLIC WORKS, DEPARTMENT OF: BUREAU OF BUILDING REPAIR:

Superintendent .....	\$ 5,000	\$ 5,000
----------------------	----------	----------

Section No. 41—PUBLIC WORKS, DEPARTMENT OF: BUREAU OF  
COST ACCOUNTING:

Head Clerk .....	\$ 5,000	
Senior Clerk .....	2,500	
General Clerks (3), each .....	2,500	\$ 15,000

Section No. 42—PUBLIC WORKS, DEPARTMENT OF: BUREAU OF  
ENGINEERING:

City Engineer .....	\$10,000	
General Clerk .....	1,000	
Senior Clerk .....	1,000	\$ 12,000

Section No. 43—PUBLIC WORKS, DEPARTMENT OF: BUREAU OF  
SEWER REPAIRS:

Superintendent . . . . .	\$ 5,000	\$ 5,000
--------------------------	----------	----------

Section No. 44—PUBLIC WORKS, DEPARTMENT OF: BUREAU OF  
STREETS:

General Superintendent of Streets .....	\$ 5,000	\$ 5,000
---	----------	----------

Section No. 45—PUBLIC WORKS, DEPARTMENT OF: CENTRAL  
PERMIT BUREAU:

Head Clerk .....	\$10,000	
General Clerks (3), each .....	2,000	
General Clerk .....	1,000	
General Clerk-Typist .....	1,000	\$ 18,000

Section No. 46—PUBLIC WORKS, DEPARTMENT OF: GENERAL  
OFFICE:

Director .....	\$25,000	
Chief Clerk .....	5,000	
Head Clerk .....	2,000	\$ 32,000

Section No. 47—PURCHASING DEPARTMENT:

Purchaser of Supplies .....	\$25,000	
Chief Accountant .....	1,000	
General Storekeepers (4), each .....	2,000	
Storekeeper .....	3,000	
Storekeeper .....	2,000	
Storekeeper .....	1,000	
Produce Buyer and General Storekeeper .....	2,000	
Produce Buyer and Storekeeper .....	3,000	
Produce Buyer and Storekeeper .....	2,000	
Produce Buyer and Storekeeper .....	1,000	
Foreman Laborer .....	1,000	
Laborer .....	1,000	\$ 50,000

Section No. 48—REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT:

Chief Right of Way Agent .....	\$25,000	
Assistant Chief Right of Way Agent .....	1,000	
Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman .....	1,000	
Division Right of Way Agent .....	1,000	
Superintendent of Civic Auditorium .....	5,000	
General Clerk-Stenographer .....	1,000	\$ 34,000



## Section No. 49—RECREATION DEPARTMENT:

Camp Manager .....	\$ 3,000	
Bookkeeper .....	3,000	
Bookkeepers (2), each.....	1,250	
Secretary .....	1,250	
Business Manager .....	3,000	
General Clerk.....	1,250	\$ 14,000

## Section No. 50—RETIREMENT BOARD:

Secretary-Actuary . . . . .	\$25,000	\$ 25,000
-----------------------------	----------	-----------

## Section No. 51—SHERIFF:

Head Clerk.....	\$25,000	
General Clerks (2), each.....	1,000	
Superintendents of Jail (2), each.....	1,000	\$ 29,000

## Section No. 52—SUPERIOR COURT:

Secretary and Jury Commissioner .....	\$10,000	\$ 10,000
---------------------------------------	----------	-----------

## Section No. 53—SUPERVISORS, BOARD OF:

Clerk, Board of Supervisors .....	\$10,000	\$ 10,000
-----------------------------------	----------	-----------

## Section No. 54—TREASURER:

Assistant Cashier.....	\$25,000	
Assistant Cashier.....	5,000	
Senior Teller.....	10,000	
Senior Accountant.....	5,000	
Accountant .....	5,000	
Senior Tellers (2), each.....	5,000	
Tellers (4), each.....	5,000	
General Clerk.....	5,000	\$ 85,000
		<u>\$1,603,250</u>

Section 55. The surety of said bond shall be a duly organized surety company authorized to do business in the State of California in the manner provided by law, and the original bond or suretyship shall be filed with the Controller and a duplicate or proper certificate shall be filed with the head of the department in which the employment or office is located. Where the bond or suretyship of any officer or employee is required by Charter or the general laws of the State to be recorded in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, the original of said bond shall be recorded and when the same is recorded in the proper book or record it shall be returned to the Controller and no fee shall be charged by the Recorder for the recording of said bond, as said bonds or suretyship are hereby declared to be public documents.

Section 56. All bonds or suretyship shall be duly conditioned that the officer or employee giving the same shall well and faithfully perform all of his official duties required of him at the time said bond is given or that may thereafter be imposed or required of him by law, ordinance or Charter and that at the expiration of his term of office or employment he will surrender to his successor all property, books, papers and documents that may come into his possession as such officer or employee.

Section 57. All bonds or suretyship issued by authority of this ordinance shall be approved by the City Attorney as to form and shall thereupon be approved as to sufficiency of surety by the Controller and shall thereupon be filed with the Controller as hereinbefore set forth.

Whenever any officer or employee who is covered by any bond or suretyship written or issued under authority of this ordinance shall

cease to hold the office or position for which said bond or suretyship was issued, the head of the department in which said officer or employee was serving shall notify the Purchaser of Supplies and the Controller of said fact, and shall also notify said Purchaser of Supplies and Controller of the name of the officer or employee who has been appointed to succeed said officer or employee. Thereupon the Purchaser of Supplies shall forthwith notify the company carrying the said bond or suretyship for said office position of said change in the occupancy thereof and arrange with said company that the new appointee shall be covered by said bond or suretyship.

Whenever any officer or employee shall cease to hold any office or employment covered by any bond or suretyship written pursuant to this ordinance and the head of the department concerned notifies the Purchaser of Supplies and Controller of said fact, he shall advise said Controller if any liability has accrued in favor of the City or in favor of any officer thereof on said bond or suretyship, and if no such liability has accrued, the Controller shall recommend to the Board of Supervisors that said bond or suretyship covering said officer or employee be exonerated so far as such officer or employee is concerned, and the Board may by resolution exonerate said bond or suretyship, provided that such exoneration shall not in any way release said bond or suretyship for any liability which may have accrued while said officer or employee was covered by said bond or suretyship.

Section 58. All bonds heretofore given by any officer or employee, and which are required to be given pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance, are hereby declared to be bonds given in conformity with the provisions of this ordinance, provided the conditions in said bonds comply with the conditions herein contained.

Section 59. The bonds or suretyship herein provided for shall be obtained by the Purchaser of Supplies and the premiums thereon shall be paid by the City and County of San Francisco and where any officer or employee is an officer or employee of any department that is allowed by law a specific fund, then the cost of said bond shall be charged against said fund and the premium on all bonds covering any officer or employee of any utility or utilities shall be a charge against the fund of said utility or utilities and where said officer or employee serves more than one utility the premium on said bond shall be equitably prorated among the various utilities which said officer or employee may serve, and where any officer or employee is being paid from the proceeds of any bond issue the premium on any bond or suretyship written for said officer or employee shall be paid from said bond fund.

Section 60. Any bond or suretyship given for any employee or officer pursuant to this ordinance shall inure to the benefit of his superior, whenever said superior is liable for the acts or omissions of said employee or officer.

Section 61: The bonds or suretyship given for any department may be grouped so that all employees and officers of said department will be covered by one bond, when such procedure shall be deemed to be for the best interests of the department and the bonds given for all departments may be grouped so that all employees and officers of all departments will be covered by one bond.

All positions not heretofore bonded and for which bond is required under the provisions of this ordinance may be grouped under one schedule. In such event all other positions now bonded shall be added to the schedule as the respective anniversary dates of the existing bonds covering such positions shall be reached.

Section 62: Nothing herein contained shall in any way change or modify any Charter provision requiring a bond from any officer or employee, but the bonds herein required shall be supplemental to said bonds required by Charter.

Section 63: The respective sections of this ordinance covering the



suretyship to be given by the officers or employees of any particular department may be covered by amendment of the appropriate section of this ordinance without the necessity of republishing said ordinance in its entirety and the consent of the surety shall be evidenced by a proper rider or endorsement to said bonds or suretyship.

Section 64: The surety of any said bond or suretyship may at any time terminate its liability on behalf of any officer and employee or other incumbent under said suretyship by giving thirty (30) days' notice in writing to the Purchaser of Supplies and the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco and likewise the Purchaser of Supplies and Controller of the City and County of San Francisco may cause the termination of the surety's liability on behalf of any and every officer, employee or other incumbent by notice in writing to the surety, specifying the date of cancellation. Upon the determination of the notice of cancellation and provided no loss has been reported, the pro rata unearned portion of premium shall be returned to the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 65: The several bonds herein provided, for the several officers and employees mentioned in this ordinance, shall be accepted in the place and stead of any bond heretofore given by any of said officers or employees for the faithful performance of the duties of their respective offices or employments; and as liability on some of said bonds heretofore given by said officers and employees ceased on or about the 10th day of April, 1938, this ordinance is hereby made retroactive as of said date, and shall govern all of said bonds given by said officers and employees thereafter.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Mead—1.

#### **Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of the Streets Committee were taken up:

#### **Ordering the Construction of a Sewer in Athens Street Between Peru Avenue and Madison Street.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Bill No. 1604, Ordinance No. 12.061197, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 7, 1938, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be

paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Athens street, between Peru avenue and Madison street by the construction of the following items:

Item No.	Item
1.	8-inch vitrified clay pipe sewer, in place.
2.	8-inch x 6-inch "Y" branches, in place.
3.	Brick manholes, complete.
4.	6-inch vitrified clay pipe side sewers, in place.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as:

Lots 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42 and 43 of Block 5946; and

Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28 and 29 of Block 5947;

all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above mentioned improvement.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Mead—1.

#### Ordering the Improvement of Silver Avenue from Scotia Avenue to a Point 545 Feet, More or Less, Easterly. (Protest.)

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 1605, Ordinance No. 12.061198, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 7, 1938, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.



The improvement of Silver avenue from Scotia avenue, 545 feet, more or less, easterly, by the construction of the following items:

Item No.	Item
1.	Unarmored concrete curb.
2.	12-inch vitrified clay pipe sewer, in place.
3.	8-inch vitrified clay pipe sewer, in place.
4.	Brick catch-basin, complete.
5.	10-inch vitrified clay pipe culvert, in place.
6.	6-inch vitrified clay pipe side-sewers, in place.
7.	Asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch Class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic-concrete wearing surface.
8.	Two-course concrete sidewalk.
9.	Water services.
10.	12-inch x 6-inch vitrified clay pipe "T" Branch, in place.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated, and numbered respectively as:

- Block 5366 Lot 1;  
 Block 5379 Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16 and 17;  
 Block 5380 Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17 and 18;  
 Block 5381 Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 30;  
 Block 5382 Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29 and 30; and  
 Block 5383 Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 and 15;

all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Mead—1.

### Accepting the Roadway of Nueva Avenue Between Blanken and McKinley Avenues.

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 1606, Ordinance No. 12.081138, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Nueva avenue **between** Blanken and McKinley avenues, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Nueva avenue between Blanken and McKinley avenues, including the curbs.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Mead—1.

### Changing Grades on Army, Castro and Other Streets.

(Code No. 12.0722)

Also, Bill No. 1607, Ordinance No. 12.072237, as follows:

Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Army street, Castro street, Twenty-seventh street, Duncan street and Newburg street.

Whereas, The Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 2nd day of May, 1938, by resolution No. 3948 declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Army street between points respectively 250 feet west and 280 feet east of Castro street; Castro street between the north line of Army street and a line 114 feet south of Duncan street; Twenty-seventh street between Diamond and Noe streets; Duncan street between Diamond street and a point 100 feet east of Castro street, and Newburg street between Twenty-seventh street and Duncan street.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for two days, and the Director of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than thirty days have elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore, be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows: shown on map entitled "Grade Map Showing the Proposed Change and Establishment of Official Grades on Army street between points respectively 250 feet west and 280 feet east of Castro street; on Castro street between the north line of Army street and a line 114 feet south of Duncan street; on Twenty-seventh street between Diamond and Noe streets; on Duncan street between Diamond street and a point 100 feet east of Castro street; and on Newburg street between Twenty-seventh street and Duncan street," approved by Director of Works Order No. 8086, April 8, 1938, and filed in this office April 13, 1938.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Mead—1.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Streets Committee were taken up:

### **Intention to Close Portions of Twenty-Eighth, Stanford and Other Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0621)

Resolution No. 4061, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portions of Twenty-eighth street, Stanford Heights avenue, Evelyn way, and Valley street be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all those portions of Twenty-eighth street, Stanford Heights avenue, Evelyn way, and Valley street more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

#### *Stanford Heights Avenue and Twenty-eighth Street*

Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Twenty-eighth street (formerly Vale street) distant thereon 487.131 feet westerly from the westerly line of Kenyon avenue (formerly Stanyan avenue), said point being on the southwesterly line of the proposed O'Shaughnessy boulevard; thence westerly along said line of Twenty-eighth street 72.869 feet to the easterly line of Stanford Heights avenue; thence at right angles southerly along last named line 77.196 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of that certain portion of Stanford Heights avenue, previously closed by Resolution No. 26,323, New Series, dated October 27, 1926; thence running along last-named line the following courses and distances, northwesterly and northerly on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to a line deflecting 157 degrees 20 minutes 17 seconds to



the right from the preceding course, radius 320 feet, central angle 22 degrees 18 minutes 47 seconds, a distance of 124.620 feet; thence northerly tangent to the preceding curve, 51.00 feet; thence northerly and northwesterly on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 330.00 feet, central angle 28 degrees 28 minutes 00 seconds, a distance of 163.956 feet to tangency with the said southwesterly line of the proposed O'Shaughnessy boulevard; thence running southeasterly along last-named line tangent to the preceding curve, 136.654 feet to the easterly line of Stanford Heights avenue; thence deflecting 28 degrees 48 minutes 56 seconds to the right and running southerly along last-named line 68.463 feet to the northerly line of Twenty-eighth street; thence at right angles easterly along last-named line 37.662 feet to the aforementioned southwesterly line of the proposed O'Shaughnessy boulevard; thence deflecting 61 degrees 11 minutes 04 seconds to the right and running southeasterly along last-named line 73.045 feet to the southerly line of Twenty-eighth street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Stanford Heights avenue and Twenty-eighth street.

*Stanford Heights Avenue*

Beginning at a point on the southerly prolongation of the easterly line of Stanford Heights avenue, distant thereon 24.735 feet northerly from the westerly prolongation of the northerly line of Valley street, said point being also on the northwesterly line of that certain portion of Stanford Heights avenue previously closed by Resolution No. 26,669, New Series, dated January 21, 1927; thence deflecting 57 degrees 18 minutes 46 seconds to the right from the said easterly line of Stanford Heights avenue, and running southwesterly along last-named northwesterly line 40.843 feet to the former center line of Stanford Heights avenue and the easterly boundary line of Miraloma Park, Subdivision No. 4, filed in Map Book "L," pages 37-41 inclusive; thence deflecting 122 degrees 41 minutes 14 seconds to the right and running northerly along last-named line 71.290 feet to the southeasterly line of that certain portion of Stanford Heights avenue previously closed by Resolution No. 26,323, New Series, dated October 27, 1926; thence deflecting 57 degrees 18 minutes 46 seconds to the right and running northeasterly along last-named line, 40.843 feet to the said easterly line of Stanford Heights avenue; thence deflecting 122 degrees 41 minutes 14 seconds to the right and running southerly along last-named line 71.290 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Stanford Heights avenue.

*Valley Street and Evelyn Way*

Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Valley street distant thereon 361.514 feet westerly from the westerly line of Kenyon avenue (formerly Stanyan avenue), said point also being on the southwest-erly line of the proposed O'Shaughnessy boulevard; thence running westerly along said line of Valley street 136.578 feet to the northeast-erly line of that certain portion of Valley street previously closed by Resolution No. 26,669, New Series, dated January 21, 1927; thence running southeasterly along last-named line on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to a line deflecting 139 degrees 35 minutes 12 seconds to the left, from the preceding course, radius 360 feet, central angle 4 degrees 25 minutes 44 seconds, a distance of 27.828 feet; thence continuing southeasterly along last-named line tangent to the preceding curve 28.031 feet to the proposed northeasterly line of Evelyn way; thence running southeasterly along the said line of Evelyn way on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to a line deflected 29 degrees 55 minutes 35 seconds to the left from the preceding course, radius 300 feet, central angle 29 degrees 55 minutes 35 seconds, a distance of 156.694 feet to tangency with the present northeasterly line of Evelyn way as last-named line is shown on the Map of Miraloma Park, Sub-division No. 9, filed in Map Book "M," pages 60-62 inclusive, thence

running northwesterly along last-named line, tangent to the preceding curve 42.969 feet to the southerly line of Valley street; thence deflecting 144 degrees 00 minutes 56 seconds to the right and running easterly along last-named line 26.810 feet to the said southwesterly line of the proposed O'Shaughnessy boulevard; thence running northwesterly along last-named line on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to a line deflected 129 degrees 26 minutes 53 seconds to the left from the preceding course, radius 490 feet, central angle 9 degrees 07 minutes 56 seconds, a distance of 78.100 feet to the northerly line of Valley street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Valley street and Evelyn way.

#### *Evelyn Way*

Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Teresita boulevard, said point being the most southerly end of that certain S. 37 degrees 16 minutes 18 seconds E., 143.730-foot course, in Block 2901A of the Map of Miraloma Park, Subdivision No. 4, recorded August 23, 1927, in Map Book "L," page 38, Official Records of San Francisco, California; thence running southeasterly, easterly, and northeasterly along the line of Evelyn Way, as same is shown on aforementioned Map, on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the said line of Teresita boulevard, radius 15 feet, central angle 90 degrees 00 minutes 00 seconds, a distance of 23.562 feet; thence northeasterly along the northwesterly line of Evelyn way, tangent to the preceding curve 81.567 feet to the former center line of Stanford Heights avenue; thence deflecting 122 degrees 41 minutes 14 seconds to the right and running southerly along last-named line 71.290 feet to the southeasterly line of Evelyn way; thence deflecting 57 degrees 18 minutes 46 seconds to the right and running southwesterly along last-named line 48.067 feet; thence continuing southwesterly along the southeasterly line of Evelyn way on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 15 feet, central angle 33 degrees 54 minutes 16 seconds, a distance of 8.876 feet; thence leaving the last-named line on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to a line deflected 96 degrees 55 minutes 10 seconds to the right from a line tangent to the preceding curve at the latter point, radius 15 feet, central angle 26 degrees 59 minutes 06 seconds, a distance of 7.065 feet to tangency with the southeasterly prolongation of the said northeasterly line of Teresita boulevard; thence northwesterly along last-named line tangent to the preceding curve 70.744 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Evelyn way lying northeasterly of and adjoining the northeasterly line of Teresita boulevard.

Said closing and abandonment of said portions of Twenty-eighth street, Stanford Heights avenue, Evelyn way, and Valley street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost, and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portions of Twenty-eighth street, Stanford Heights avenue, Evelyn way, and Valley street in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Mead—1.



**Closing and Abandoning a Portion of Radio Terrace**

(Code No. 12.0622)

Also, Resolution No. 4062, as follows:

Whereas, on the 16th day of May, 1938, the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco duly and regularly passed Resolution No. 3965, Code No. 12.0621, which resolution was presented to his Honor the Mayor for his approval and was duly and regularly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco on the 17th day of May, 1938, said resolution being in words and figures as follows:

*Declaration Intention to Close and Abandon Portion of Radio Terrace*

(Code No. 12.0621)

Resolution No. 3965, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portion of Radio terrace be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all that portion of Radio terrace more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

All of Radio terrace lying between the westerly line of Twelfth avenue and the southeasterly line of Rockridge drive, situated in blocks 2132-A and 2132-B, as shown on "Map of Golden Gate Heights," recorded September 8, 1923, in Map Book "J" pages 30 to 38 inclusive. Records of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Said closing and abandonment of said portion of Radio terrace shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost, and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of Radio terrace in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

*Adopted*—Board of Supervisors. San Francisco, May 16, 1938.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl.

Absent—Supervisor Brown.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved, San Francisco, May 17, 1938.

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

And whereas, the Clerk of the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco did transmit to the Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco a certified copy of said resolution and the said Department of Public Works did, upon receipt of said resolution, cause to be posted in the manner and as required by law, notices of the passage of said resolution and did also cause in the manner and as required by law, a notice similar in substance to be published for a period of ten days in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the public interest and convenience requires said improvement to be done as specifically described in Resolution No. 3965, Code No. 12.0621; and

Whereas, the Supervisors have acquired jurisdiction to order that

the portion of Radio terrace described in Resolution No. 3965, Code No. 12.0621, be closed and abandoned, now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it be ordered and it is hereby ordered, that the portion of Radio terrace specifically described and proposed in said Resolution No. 3965, Code No. 12.0621, be closed and abandoned, and be it

Further Resolved, That the entire damages, costs and expenses of closing said portion of said street described in Resolution No. 3965, Code No. 12.0621, shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco as proposed and provided in Resolution No. 3965, Code No. 12.0621, and be it

Further Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of said portion of said street described in Resolution No. 3965, Code No. 12.0621, shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Department of Public Works and that the Department of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law, and the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the official newspaper as required by law.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Mead—1.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up:

**Amending Traffic Ordinance to Include Perry Street between Third and Fifth; Clara and Shipley Streets Between Fourth and Sixth Streets in List of "One Way" Streets.**

(Code No. 11.02.)

Bill No. 1608, Ordinance No. 11.0240, as follows:

Amending section 26, article IV of Ordinance No. 7691 (new series) entitled "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith".

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 26, article IV, of Ordinance No. 7691 (new series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 26. *One Way Streets*—Between the hours of seven (7) a.m. and six (6) p.m. of any day, excepting Sundays and legal holidays, it shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle to drive said vehicle in any part of the following streets, except in the direction indicated herein:

Easterly in Adler street between Grant avenue and Columbus avenue;  
Southerly in Annie street between Market street and Mission street;  
Southerly in Belden street between Pine street and Bush street;  
Westerly in Brosnan street between Valencia street and Guerrero street;

Easterly in Campton place between Stockton street and Grant avenue;  
Northerly in Capp street between Mission street and Twenty-sixth street;

Westerly in Clara street between Fourth street and Sixth street;  
Easterly in Clay street between the Embarcadero and Larkin street;  
Southerly in Claude lane between Bush street and Sutter street;



Easterly in Clementina street between First street and Ninth street;  
 Westerly in Commercial street between the Embarcadero and Grant  
 avenue;

Westerly in Halleck street between Front street and Leidesdorff  
 street;

Easterly in Jackson street between the Embarcadero and Columbus  
 avenue;

Easterly in Jessie street between First street and Ninth street;  
 Southerly in Leidesdorff street between Clay street and Pine street;  
 Westerly in Maiden lane from Kearny street to Grant avenue, and  
 easterly from Stockton street to Grant avenue;

Northerly in Mary street from Howard street to Mission street;  
 Westerly in Merchant street between Front and Kearny streets;  
 Westerly in Minna street between First and Ninth streets;  
 Easterly in Mint street between Jessie and Fifth streets;  
 Easterly in Natoma street between First and Ninth streets;  
 Westerly in Oregon street between the Embarcadero and Battery  
 street;

Easterly in Perry street between Third and Fifth streets;  
 Southerly in Quincy street between California and Pine streets;  
 Westerly in Sacramento street between the Embarcadero and Larkin  
 street;

Easterly in Shipley street between Fourth and Sixth streets;  
 Northerly in Spring street between Sacramento and California  
 streets;

Southerly in St. Anne place between California and Pine streets;  
 Easterly in Stevenson street between First and Second streets, and  
 between New Montgomery and Ninth streets;

Westerly in Tehama street between First and Ninth streets;  
 Southerly in Trinity place between Bush and Sutter streets;  
 Westerly in Washington street between the Embarcadero and Mont-  
 gomery street;

Suitable signs shall be erected and maintained at all intersections  
 of said parts of streets indicating the provisions of this section.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly,  
 Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Mead—1.

#### Adopted.

The following recommendation of Public Buildings, Lands and City  
 Planning Committee was taken up:

**Set-Back Lines, Junipero Serra Boulevard between Worcester Ave-  
 nue and Payson Street; Junipero Serra Boulevard between Nine-  
 teenth Avenue and the County Line.**

(Code No. 13.03)

Resolution No. 4063, as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission by its  
 Resolution No. 1788, dated May 5, 1938, reading as follows:

"Resolved, That subject to the approval of the Board of Supervisors  
 in accordance with Section 117 of the Charter, the following building  
 set-back lines be, and the same are hereby approved:

"Along the easterly side of Junipero Serra boulevard between the  
 southwesterly line of Worcester avenue and Payson street; set-back  
 line to be 33 feet back from the now existing private property line, and

"Along the westerly side of Junipero Serra boulevard, between the  
 southwesterly line of Nineteenth avenue and County Line; set-back  
 line to be 65 feet 6 inches back from the now existing private property  
 line; and be it

"Resolved further, That a copy of this resolution shall forthwith

be transmitted to the Board of Supervisors in accordance with the provisions of Section 117 of the Charter,"

is hereby approved.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Mead—1.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Judiciary Committee was taken up:

### **San Francisco Municipal Code.**

(Code No. 1.07)

Bill No. 1583, Ordinance No. 1.073, as follows:

**An** ordinance enacting, pursuant to Sections 13 and 17 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, a consolidation, rearrangement and codification of the general, regulatory and penal ordinances of said City and County, to be known as the San Francisco Municipal Code; providing penalties for violation of the provisions thereof; providing for the effective date of the Municipal Code; and repealing Ordinance No. 9137 (new series) from and after the effective date of the Municipal Code.

**Section 1. *Enactment and Division of Code.*** A Municipal Code is hereby enacted pursuant to Sections 13 and 17 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, wherein the general, regulatory and penal ordinances of said City and County are consolidated, rearranged, codified and arranged in appropriate Parts, Chapters, Articles, Sections, sub-sections, sub-divisions and paragraphs.

Part I shall be designated as "General";

Part II shall be designated as "Regulatory";

Part III shall be designated as "Miscellaneous";

Part II of the Municipal Code shall be divided into chapters as follows:

Chapter I shall be known as, designated and may be referred to or cited as the "Building Code";

Chapter II shall be known as, designated and may be referred to or cited as the "City Planning Code";

Chapter III shall be known as, designated and may be referred to and cited as the "Electrical Code";

Chapter IV shall be known as, designated and may be referred to and cited as the "Fire Code";

Chapter V shall be known as, designated and may be referred to and cited as the "Health Code";

Chapter VI shall be known as, designated and may be referred to and cited as the "Park Code";

Chapter VII shall be known as, designated and may be referred to and cited as the "Plumbing and Gas Appliance Code";

Chapter VIII shall be known as, designated and may be referred to and cited as the "Police Code";

Chapter IX shall be known as, designated and may be referred to and cited as the "Public Utilities Code";

Chapter X shall be known as, designated and may be referred to and cited as the "Public Works Code";

Chapter XI shall be known as, designated and may be referred to and cited as the "Traffic Code".

**Section 2. *Short Title. Reference to Code in Prosecutions. Designation in Ordinances.*** The Municipal Code shall be known as the "San Francisco Municipal Code", and it shall be sufficient to refer to said Code as the "San Francisco Municipal Code" in any prosecution for



the violation of any provisions thereof or in any proceeding brought thereunder; it shall also be sufficient to designate any ordinance adding to, amending or repealing any portion of said Code as an addition or amendment to or a repeal of such portion of the "San Francisco Municipal Code".

Section 3. *Existing Law Continued.* The provisions of the Municipal Code, in so far as they are substantially the same as existing provisions relating to the same subject matter, shall be construed as restatements and continuations thereof and not as new enactments. In all courts and tribunals and in the public offices of the City and County of San Francisco, the matter set forth in the Municipal Code shall establish prima facie such laws of the City and County of San Francisco in force on the 1st day of July, 1938; but nothing in this ordinance shall be construed as repealing or amending any such law, or as enacting as new law any matter contained in the Municipal Code, except as expressly provided in this ordinance. Nothing in the Municipal Code shall be deemed conclusive by reason of any omission or otherwise in the provisions of any section of the Municipal Code and the corresponding portion of legislation heretofore enacted, but effect shall be given for all purposes whatsoever to such enactments.

Section 4. *Effect of Code on Past Action and Obligations Previously Accrued.* Neither the adoption of the Municipal Code nor the repeal, as hereinafter provided, of any ordinance of the City and County of San Francisco shall in any manner affect the prosecution for violation of ordinances, which violations were committed prior to the effective date of the Municipal Code, nor to be construed as a waiver of any license or penalty at said effective date and unpaid under such ordinance, nor to be construed as effecting any of the provisions of such ordinance relating to the collection of any such license or penalty or the penal provisions applicable to any violation thereof, nor to effect the validity of a bond or cash deposit in lieu thereof, required to be posted, filed or deposited pursuant to any ordinance, and all rights and obligations thereunder appertaining shall continue in full force and effect.

Section 5. *Construction of Code.* Sections in a particular part of the Municipal Code shall be construed with reference only to that part. The provisions of the Municipal Code and all proceedings under it are to be construed with a view to effect its objects and to promote justice.

Section 6. *Charter Referred to.* When used in the Municipal Code "The Charter" or "Charter" shall mean the Charter adopted by the voters of the City and County of San Francisco on March 26, 1931, and ratified by the Legislature on April 13, 1931, effective on January 8, 1932.

Section 7. *Definitions.* Words used in the masculine shall include the feminine and neuter gender, and the singular number shall include the plural, and the plural shall include the singular.

Section 8. *Reference to Specific Ordinances.* The provisions of the Municipal Code shall not in any manner affect deposits or other matters of record which refer to or are otherwise connected with ordinances which are therein specifically designated by number or otherwise and which are included within the Municipal Code, but such reference shall be construed to apply to the corresponding provisions contained within said Municipal Code.

Section 9. *Heading. Effect of.* Part, Chapter, Article, Section and sub-section headings contained in said Municipal Code shall not be deemed to govern, limit, modify or in any manner affect the scope, meaning or intent of the provisions of any Part, Chapter, Article, Section or sub-section of said Municipal Code.

Section 10. *Reference to Acts or Omissions Within This City and County.* The Municipal Code shall refer to the omission or commission of acts within the territorial limits of the City and County of San Francisco and to that territory outside of the City and County over

which the said City and County has jurisdiction or control by virtue of the Constitution, Charter, or any law, or by reason of ownership or control of property.

Section 11. *Notices. Service of.* Whenever a notice is required to be given under the Municipal Code, unless different provisions therein are otherwise specifically made, such notice may be given either by personal delivery thereof to the person to be notified or by deposit in the United States Mail in a sealed envelope, postage prepaid, addressed to such person to be notified at his last known business or residence address as the same appears in the public records pertaining to the matter to which such notice is directed. Service by mail shall be deemed to have been completed at the time of deposit in the post office.

Section 12. *Proof of Notice.* Proof of giving any notice may be made by the certificate of any officer or employee of this City and County or by affidavit of any person over the age of eighteen years, which shows service in conformity with the Municipal Code or other provisions of law applicable to the subject matter concerned.

Section 13. *Prohibited Acts, Include Causing, Permitting, Suffering.* Whenever in the Municipal Code any act or omission is made unlawful, it shall include causing, permitting, aiding, abetting, suffering or concealing the fact of such act or omission.

Section 14. *Duties of Clerk.* All proposed ordinances shall be passed by bill. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, hereinafter designated as the Clerk, shall give a bill, on its introduction, a number in consecutive order of numbering. The Clerk shall maintain registers of such bills according to bill number. The Clerk shall likewise, upon the approval or going into effect, as provided for by Charter, give each ordinance a number in consecutive order of numbering. The Clerk shall maintain registers of such ordinances according to ordinance number, and shall keep an index card for each ordinance and all data as to subsequent legislation thereon.

Section 15. *Printing and Distribution.* From and after the effective date of the Municipal Code and as often as deemed necessary, the Board of Supervisors, by resolution, may direct the Clerk, through the Purchasing Department, to arrange for the printing and distribution to departments, boards, commissions, bureaus, offices or any officer or employee thereof and to the public, of the Municipal Code as a whole or of portions thereof in pamphlet form, and the Board of Supervisors, by resolution, may fix a reasonable charge, not to exceed the cost of publication and distribution, for copies of the Municipal Code or for such pamphlets, or slips containing additions, amendments or repeals proper for pasting into the Municipal Code or in the pamphlets.

Section 16. *Violations, a Misdemeanor.* It shall be unlawful for any person to violate any provision or to fail to comply with any of the requirements of the Municipal Code. Any person violating any of the provisions or failing to comply with any of the mandatory requirements of the Municipal Code, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor. Any person convicted of a misdemeanor under the provisions of the Municipal Code, unless provision is otherwise therein made, shall be punishable by a fine of not more than five hundred (\$500) dollars or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of not more than six (6) months or by both such fine and imprisonment. Each such person shall be guilty of a separate offense for each and every day during any portion of which any violation of any provision of the Municipal Code is committed, continued, or permitted by such person and shall be punishable accordingly. In addition to the penalties hereinabove provided, any condition caused or permitted to exist in violation of any of the provisions of the Municipal Code shall be deemed a public nuisance and may be, by this City and County, abated as provided by law, and each day that such condition continues shall be regarded as a new and separate offense.

Section 17. *Saving Clause.* If any section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of the Municipal Code or any



part thereof is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of the Municipal Code, or any part thereof. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed each section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, subdivisions, paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Section 18. *Repeal.* Ordinance No. 9137 (New Series) shall stand repealed from and after the effective date of the Municipal Code.

Section 19. *Effective Date of Municipal Code.* The effective date of the San Francisco Municipal Code is hereby made the first day of October, 1938.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Mead—1.

### Report of Citizens' Committee on Rapid Transit Approved.

#### Motion.

Supervisor Meyer, seconded by Supervisor Brown, moved that action of the Board on Monday, June 20, 1938, in deleting Section 1 of the Citizens' Report on Rapid Transit be rescinded.

#### Point of Order.

Supervisor McSheehy rose to point of order, stating that the question before the Board was the Report of the Committee.

#### Objection.

Supervisor Meyer objected to point of order raised by Supervisor McSheehy.

#### Ruling of Chair.

President Shannon ruled Supervisor McSheehy's point of order not well taken; that the question before the Board was the report of the Joint Committee, recommending that the Board of Supervisors rescind its action of June 20, 1938, deleting Subdivision 1 of the Report of Citizens Committee on Rapid Transit, and that the report as originally presented be approved.

#### Motion to Amend.

Thereupon, Supervisor Meyer, seconded by Supervisor Brown, moved to amend the Citizens Report on Rapid Transit by reinserting therein Subdivision 1, deleted at the last meeting of the Board.

#### Minority Report.

Thereupon, Supervisor McSheehy presented a minority report as follows:

June 27, 1938.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors.  
Gentlemen:

On November 15, 1937, by motion, it was recommended that the Mayor appoint a Citizens Committee for the purpose of considering a plan for rapid transit. This motion was carried, and the Mayor appointed the committee. On June 20 their report was received and heard by the Board sitting as a Committee of the Whole.

Conclusion 1 of the report was deleted by a vote of 6 to 5, and the balance of the report was referred to the Joint Judiciary and Public Utilities Committee, which committee held a meeting on June 23 and invited his Honor, the Mayor, city officials and citizens to attend.

There is on today's calendar, by a majority vote of the committee an amendment with the words somewhat changed but in substance just as it was one week ago which, if carried, will mean the enactment of the report as submitted by the Mayor's Citizens Transportation Committee last week.

Therefore, I offer the following minority report, in that we delete this amendment, and that we also delete Subdivision (B) calling for a pedestrian bridge from Plan X to the north side of Market street, for the following reasons:

1. The amendment calls for a new section to be added to the Charter to be known as Section 118A which has for its purpose the establishment of a traffic commission consisting of five members appointed by the Mayor, who will have power to expend \$262,500 over a period of three and one-half years and which will not be subject to the provisions of Sections 140 to 165 of the Charter, inclusive, which define rules and regulations as to the civil service standing of all employees; or, in other words, this commission can employ a chief executive officer, engineer, draftsman, clerks, and all other assistants that may be found necessary to further its work, which work consists of nothing but a report as to how transportation shall be regulated in our city.

2. Seven reports have already been tabulated by various experts on transportation at a total cost of \$370,386. In detail they are as follows:

1. Bion J. Arnold Report of March, 1913—	
Cost, approximately .....	\$100,000
2. Delos Wilcox Report of November, 1927—Cost .....	10,000
3. Mott Report of December 29, 1931—	
Cost, approximately .....	10,000
4. O'Shaughnessy Report of 1929—	
Cost, approximately .....	75,000
5. O'Shaughnessy Report of 1931—	
Cost, approximately .....	25,000
6. Cahill Rapid Transit Survey of 1936 with	
Supplement of 1937—Cost .....	36,666
7. McClintock Report of 1937—Cost, including	
amount expended by Federal Government (WPA) .....	113,720
Total .....	\$370,386

*Then why this expenditure of \$262,500 when we have all this data at hand? I trust it is not for the purpose of placing a number of high-salaried men on our city payroll who have no civil service standing and who are no more familiar with the subject than our present engineering staff.*

3. Ways and means should be found to purchase the properties of the Market Street Railway at a nuisance value figure and supplant it with a city-wide system of buses in conjunction with our own Municipal Railway. The Market Street Railway has an assessed valuation of \$5,000,000 and I think it has a nuisance value of about that figure.

4. Bus transportation is superseding rail transportation throughout the nation, showing conclusively that electric rail transportation is obsolete. Incidentally, bus transportation is completely ignored in the Mayor's Citizens Traffic Committee's report.

5. On September 1, 1936, the City Engineer's report shows that there should be spent \$1,118,171 for street work as called for by the franchises granted the Market Street Railway. For some reasons unknown to me this portion of their contract has never been enforced by the City and County of San Francisco. *What we want is action, not reports that will cost \$262,500!*

6. Statements were made in committee that a pedestrian bridge from Plan X to the north side of Market street might cost \$800,000. The estimate is vague in the extreme in that no plan has been considered.

7. The route of the bridge has not even been defined.

8. After the plan and route have been defined private property will



have to be purchased. Experience in the past has shown that a great deal of time has always been consumed in the purchase of private property on account of absentee ownership and estates that are involved. It has often taken two years to purchase a right of way. Therefore, I feel, as one member of this Board, that it might take three years to complete the bridge from Plan X to the north side of Market street under these conditions.

9. We have a bridge crossing The Embarcadero from the Ferry Building to the north side of Market and Sacramento streets. Very few people ever use this bridge, and the same condition, I feel, will prevail as to the bridge contemplated in the report submitted. Using an old phrase, "We can cross that bridge when we reach it," and I cannot at this time see the necessity for this bridge until Plan X Terminal is completed and the railroads are carrying the people to and from the East Bay. Therefore, I see no necessity for bonding our city for \$1,000,000, the amount the Mayor stated in committee he thought this bridge would cost.

In conclusion, gentlemen, I trust and hope that the Board will vote down the amended report submitted to you by the majority members of the Joint Committee on Judiciary and Public Utilities together with Section (B) calling for the pedestrian bridge.

I might further call your attention, gentlemen, to the fact that Mayor Rossi was very emphatic in telling the committee that a great misake was made in deleting Conclusion 1 of the Mayor's Citizens Traffic Committee's report of last week, and that the entire report as recommended should be adopted. I cannot understand, as a member of this Board, why the Mayor of our city should go out of his way in advocating a report that calls for an expenditure of \$262,500 for further study of the traffic problem now confronting San Francisco when there have already been made seven reports on this same subject costing \$370,386. *What we want is action, not reports that will take three and a half years to render! Further, why does the Mayor advocate a \$1,000,000 bond issue for a pedestrian bridge that cannot be completed in less than three years, while the Terminal known as Plan X itself will be completed very shortly?*

I trust, therefore, gentlemen, that you will vote as you did one week ago and tell the Mayor that the people of San Francisco want transportation and not reports that will take three and a half years to complete.

Respectfully submitted,

JAMES B. McSHEEHY,

Chairman, Joint Judiciary and Public Utilities Committee.

#### Roll Call on Approval of Minority Report.

Thereupon, the roll was called and adoption of Supervisor McSheehy's minority report *failed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri, Uhl—3.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon—8.

#### Communication from His Honor the Mayor Requesting the Board to Reconsider Its Action of June 20, Deleting From Citizens' Report on Rapid Transit, Subdivision 1.

The following communication was read by the Clerk:

MAYOR'S OFFICE  
200 City Hall

San Francisco, June 27, 1938.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City Hall.

Gentlemen: At your meeting of June 20th you gave consideration to a resolution approving the report submitted by the Citizens' Com-

mittee heretofore appointed to give consideration to traffic and transportation problems now existing in San Francisco. The vote of your Board was against the approval of the report and the concurring in the recommendations embodied in that report.

By virtue of the authority given to me by Section 14 of the Charter, I hereby request that you reconsider the aforesaid report. My reasons for this request are that I believe it would be of the utmost importance to the people of San Francisco that they may have the opportunity of expressing at an election their voice upon this report and that an official body be provided for to carry out the recommendations contained in the report.

Very truly yours,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

#### Motion to Rescind Action of June 20.

Thereupon, Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor Mead, moved that the Board rescind its action of June 20, 1938, eliminating Section 1 of the report of the Citizens' Committee on Rapid Transit.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. Andrew J. Gallagher, on motion by Supervisor McSheehy, was granted the privilege of the floor. Mr. Gallagher called to the attention of the Board that a Charter amendment was not necessary to empower the creation of a commission. That the Board could do by ordinance.

Supervisor Colman objected to the creation of such commission by ordinance of the Board, stating that such commission would be of no real value since the rights of a commission appointed pursuant to ordinance of the Board could be taken away by ordinance of the Board also.

#### Proposed Charter Amendment Stricken From Calendar.

President Shannon ordered stricken from the Calendar the proposed Charter amendment suggested by the Citizens' Committee on Rapid Transit, calling the attention of the Board to the Board's previous action in referring that proposed amendment to the Charter Revision Committee.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. Howell, representing the Chamber of Commerce; Mr. Walter P. Laufenberg of the San Francisco Real Estate Board; Mr. Arthur Dolan, vice-president of Junior Chamber of Commerce, and Mr. C. O. Tilden, Jr., representing Business Owners' and Managers' Association, on motion by Supervisor Brown, were granted the privilege of the floor, and urged the approval of the report of the Citizens' Committee on Rapid Transit.

#### Motion Carried.

Whereupon, the roll was called on motion to rescind the vote whereby the Board on June 20, 1938, deleted Subdivision 1 from the report of the Citizens' Committee on Rapid Transit and same *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon—7.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri, Uhl—3.

Absent—Supervisor Reilly—1.

#### Motion to Restore to Committee's Report Matter Previously Deleted.

Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor Mead, moved that the matter deleted by the Board at the meeting on June 20, 1938, be restored to the report of the Citizens' Committee on Rapid Transit.



### Motion Carried.

The roll was called and the motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon—8.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri, Uhl—3.

### Amendment to Committee Report.

Thereupon, the City Attorney read the following:

"Resolved, That the substance of the report of the Rapid Transit Committee now pending before this Board be approved to the end that the recommendations therein made be carried out; be it

"Further Resolved, That the City Attorney, in conjunction with the Legal Committee of the Rapid Transit Committee, be directed to submit to the Judiciary Committee of this Board a Charter amendment which will, in effect, provide for the creation of the commission recommended by the Committee, with the powers recommended by said Committee; and be it

"Further Resolved, That this Board call upon the Director of Public Works to submit, at the earliest possible moment, plans and specifications and estimates of the cost of a passage way from Plan X of the Bay Bridge Terminal across Market street; and be it

"Further Resolved, That when said plans and specifications are received a bond issue should be submitted to the people to provide the necessary funds for financing said passage way."

Whereupon, Supervisor Ratto, seconded by Supervisor Brown, offered the foregoing as an amendment to the Committee report.

### Explanation of Foregoing Amendment.

The City Attorney explained that approval of the foregoing amendment would be approval of the general outline of the plan of the Citizens' Committee on Rapid Transit. Its acceptance would commit the Board generally to the policy, but not specifically. It would leave for future action of the Board the submission of a Charter amendment. It would call on the City Engineer to present at once a report on the estimated cost of proposed passage way. However, it would require two-thirds vote of the Board, at a later date, the submission of a bond issue to the people.

### Objection to Consideration.

Supervisor McSheehy objected to immediate consideration of amendment to Committee's report and moved that it be referred to Joint Public Utilities and Judiciary Committee.

### Motion Out of Order.

Whereupon, the Chair ruled the motion out of order, that the subject matter, as presented by Supervisor Ratto, and accepted by the Committee, was an amendment to the Committee report.

### Appeal From Decision of the Chair.

Whereupon, Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, appealed from the decision of the Chair.

### Chair Sustained.

Supervisor Colman, at the request of the Chair, put the question and the Chair was *sustained* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt—8.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Uhl—2.

Excused—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Motion to Delete Subdivision "b" of Committee's Report.**

Supervisor Roncovieri, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved that Subdivision "b" of the report of the Citizens' Committee on Rapid Transit, recommending an overhead pedestrian way from San Francisco Bay Bridge rail terminal (Plan X) to the north side of Market street to facilitate the safe and expeditious handling of an estimated flow of 28,000,000 people annually be deleted at this time.

**Amendment to Amendment.**

Supervisor Ratto, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved as an amendment to the previous motion that the Board insert Subdivision "b" in the "Post-Exposition Period" recommendations of the Citizens' Committee.

**Amendment Carried.**

Whereupon, the roll was called and the amendment *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Meyer, Reilly—3.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Explanations of Vote.**

Before the roll was called on amendment presented by Supervisor Ratto, explanations of votes were offered as follows:

Supervisor Schmidt, in explaining his vote, stated that because any Charter amendment to provide for a Traffic Commission or any bond proposal would necessarily have to go to the people for their approval, he would not object to approval of the Committee's report.

Supervisor Uhl stated that he was going to vote "No" because he felt there was a duplication of the present set-up. He read from the report of the Citizens' Committee "The committee originally expected to finance the preliminary work out of funds raised through popular subscription. This had to be abandoned, however, when C. H. Purcell, chief engineer of the San Francisco Bay Bridge, after a series of conferences with the committee, estimated that such preliminary work would cost approximately \$250,000." To such expenditure Supervisor Uhl would not commit himself.

Supervisor McSheehy announced he would vote "No" because he felt the proposed amendment to the report was in reality a resolution, and that he had been deprived of the right to have it referred to committee.

**Roll Call on Amendment to Report.**

Thereupon, the roll was called and the amendment to the Committee's report was *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—9.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Uhl—2.

**Statement by Supervisor Roncovieri.**

Supervisor Roncovieri, before the roll was called on the Committee report, as amended, requested that statement be made part of the record, as follows:

**SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI:** I assume we are going to discuss (b) of the Pre-Exposition Program, recommendation of an overhead pedestrian way from San Francisco Bay Bridge terminal, Plan X, to the north side of Market street. Now I would like to enlighten the gentlemen here who are advocating it. Gentlemen, I hold here the report of Doctor Miller McClintock, just off the press, \$12 a copy;



cost \$160,000. Under the heading of "Bridge Transportation and Pedestrian Traffic", he devotes quite some space. I will not burden you with the reading of all of it, but merely read a few lines of his conclusion:

"This survey has no fear that the existing facilities will not be able to handle the necessary traffic"—remember, he is speaking of pedestrian traffic—

"This survey has no fear that the existing facilities will not be able to handle the necessary traffic and recommends that actual experience be permitted to guide any further improvements. Adequate relief measures, through elevated sidewalk construction or otherwise, could be completed, if required, in a short period of time."

And throughout his report, Doctor McClintock gives tables and technical information, and he advises you to go slowly. I repeat what he says:

"This survey has no fear that the existing facilities will not be able to handle the necessary traffic,"—and so forth, and he recommends to wait for the actual experience.

SUPERVISOR RATTO: A point of information. I would like to find out if Plan X is in his report?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Yes, Supervisor Ratto, he is referring to Plan X, to the bridge transportation and pedestrian traffic from the terminal; that's just what he says; his advice is, "I would recommend that actual experience be permitted to guide any further improvement." And, Mr. Casey, our chief engineer, did you hear what I read about that?

CITY ENGINEER CASEY: I did.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Is that also your opinion?

CHIEF ENGINEER CASEY: Well, it is, yes.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: That actual experience be permitted to guide us?

CITY ENGINEER CASEY: Well, in this way, Supervisor: It will be impossible to have any pedestrian facilities other than grade crossings as exist at the present time prior to the Exposition. It is not my opinion that some relief is not necessary. I don't know, but I do know that nothing can be ready prior to the Exposition, and I was just a little surprised that pedestrian relief should be suggested with such an expensive structure as a Pre-Exposition program.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: That's just my position. We should be guided by experience and the advice of one of the greatest engineers, Doctor Miller McClintock. This man knew what he was talking about. Mr. Casey, how long did it take Doctor McClintock to make his survey, about a year?

MR. CASEY: Gathering the data took about eight months and two months in preparing the report.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: So this report is up to date and fresh from the press, and I should say we should not at this time rush into a bond issue. It seems premature at this time.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Have you made a motion to delete section (b)?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I have not, but will.

THE PRESIDENT: What is that?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Section (b) at this time should not be submitted to the people.

THE PRESIDENT: You can only move to delete what is here.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: That is all I am moving.

SUPERVISOR RATTO: I would like to make a motion carrying that out.

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor McSheehy has the floor.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Go ahead, Supervisor Ratto.

SUPERVISOR RATTO: It is in that portion called "Pre-Exposition

Program," and I would like to move that we insert it in the "Post-Exposition Program," because our city engineer has said that it cannot be completed within that time.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I will accept that amendment.

**Committee Report as Amended Approved.**

June 17, 1938.

Judiciary Committee, Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: In conformity with the resolution heretofore adopted by the Honorable Board of Supervisors, the undersigned have examined the Report of the Citizens' Committee on Rapid Transit, which report reads as follows:

Curtis D. O'Sullivan, Chairman of the Citizens' Committee, read the following recommendations of the Executive Committee on Traffic and Transportation adopted at a meeting of the General Committee held in the Assembly Room, Mills Building, Friday morning, May 13, 1938.

After numerous meetings and conferences with various engineers, transportation authorities and others in a position to offer counsel, the executive committee has reached certain major decisions on which it herein reports back to the general committee for consideration and approval.

As a further prefatory note to what follows, the committee visualized the problem by the consideration of only those things which will bring the greatest relief to the greatest number, by giving major emphasis to the problems of mass transportation and pedestrian safety.

The committee recognizes that considerable data is available as a result of studies by engineers of the Public Utilities Commission, the City Engineer's office, the McClintock Limited Way report and the McClintock Dead-end Street report, all of which have due consideration in the development and coordination of all of the factors entering into the proper solution of the problem.

The committee originally expected to finance the preliminary work out of funds raised through popular subscription. This had to be abandoned, however, when C. H. Purcell, chief engineer of the San Francisco Bay Bridge, after a series of conferences with the committee, estimated that such preliminary work would cost approximately \$250,000. This amount, however, would put the completed plans in final shape with full engineering data and specifications.

In view of the foregoing, the executive committee has reached the following conclusions:

(1) To carry out a comprehensive traffic and transportation program providing San Francisco with modern mass transportation, increased pedestrian safety and a free flow of traffic with a minimum of congestion, the committee recommends the submission of a charter amendment, setting up a traffic and transportation commission, free to function without political influence or bias.

Summarized, the proposed charter amendment:

Creates a traffic commission of five non-political members.

These will serve without compensation for a period of three and one-half years only, except that the Supervisors and Mayor can extend the life of the commission for one year. The commission has broad powers to investigate all phases of traffic including street cars, buses, automobiles, pedestrians, signals and ordinances and to prepare a final detailed plan with specifications and cost estimates. It also will be given broad powers to employ or contract for the services of engineers and other experts. To meet the costs of its work, the commission is given a fixed allowance of a one-cent tax on the assessment roll each year for three years only.



(2) Because next year is San Francisco's Exposition Year, it is proposed that the entire job be divided into two phases: a pre-exposition period, during which such steps would be inaugurated that could be completed prior to the opening of the exposition in February; a post-exposition period during which the major construction activities would be undertaken, leaving the City free of such disturbances during the period of the exposition.

#### *The Pre-Exposition Program.*

The major objectives in the pre-exposition program would be as follows:

(a) Improvement of street car services, elimination of duplicating and obsolete lines, by agreement or otherwise, and such other steps as might be accomplished within the limited time allowed.

(c) The codification of traffic ordinances and the submission of new ordinances designed to give greater flexibility of traffic, free streets of congestion and promote traffic safety.

(d) Synchronization of existing traffic signals, during this pre-exposition period, in an effort to step up traffic movement and clearing them of all obstructions.

(e) Establishment of parking area on the Embarcadero to provide necessary facilities during the exposition year.

(f) Consideration and recommendation of one-way streets.

(g) The establishment of a traffic school for the training of police in the latest technique of handling traffic.

(h) A campaign of education to bring about a condition of public cooperation with the police traffic squad, coupled with effective support by the judiciary in upholding the police department in its traffic work.

#### *Post-Exposition Period.*

Recommendation of an overhead pedestrian way from San Francisco Bay Bridge rail terminal (Plan X) to the north side of Market Street to facilitate the safe and expeditious handling of an estimated traffic flow of 28,000,000 people annually.

The discussion of proposed major construction activities during the post-exposition period can only be properly outlined by such a commission as is provided in the suggested charter amendment and then only after the fullest study.

Again the committee emphasizes that such projects must necessarily take into consideration the greatest good to the greatest number, city-wide improvement of transportation facilities, and a measure of pedestrian safety that will make San Francisco America's safest city.

In submitting these recommendations, the committee urges that the success or failure of the city in attaining a sound solution of its urgent traffic and transportation problems is contingent not only on the plan adopted, but also on the civic spirited cooperation of all groups of its citizenry who put the welfare of San Francisco as a whole above that of private or district interests.

Your Committee has met with the members of the Citizens' Committee, the said members elaborating to a considerable extent upon the provisions of the rather brief report which they have presented after an exhaustive study of the subject matter, and we congratulate the committee on the careful study which it has made and join in their recommendations, which briefly are as follows:

1. That an immediate effort should be made to co-ordinate the various branches of the government of the municipality and the agencies thereof, to the end that their efforts should be directed upon lines which would carry into effect as soon as possible the pre-exposition program recommended by the committee.

2. That the Charter amendment, practical in form, recommended by the committee, should be submitted to the people at the earliest pos-

sible moment, preferably at a special election to be held on the same date as the coming August primary election. However, before said amendment is finally submitted to the Board of Supervisors, that it should be passed upon by the Charter Revision Committee and the recommendation of that committee sent to the Board of Supervisors.

3. That the committee recommends a pedestrian way from the San Francisco Bay Bridge (Plan X) terminal to the north side of Market street which will provide a safe and expeditious method of ingress and egress of Market street pedestrians to and from said (Plan X) terminal, the cost thereof to be financed by a bond issue.

4. That immediate consideration should be given to an improved street car service, even to the elimination of duplicating and obsolete lines, the same to be accomplished by agreement or otherwise.

5. That the codification of the traffic ordinances now under way as a Works Progress Administration project should be brought to an immediate completion, to the end that there should be a complete code of traffic ordinances for the guidance of both the people and the law enforcement officers.

6. That there should be an immediate improvement in the synchronization of existing traffic signals in an effort to speed up traffic and clear the main arteries of traffic congestion.

7. That the City should endeavor to co-operate with the Harbor Commission for the purpose of providing parking facilities during the exposition year.

8. A careful study should be made as to how and where one-way streets should be provided for and recommendations as to such streets should be made at an early date.

9. The Police Department should make particular effort to train a corps of patrolmen, together with the necessary superior officers, who would be skilled in the handling of traffic.

10. Every effort should be made through the public press and otherwise to obtain the co-operation of the public and the support of the judiciary to uphold the Police Department in a crusade to clear up traffic conditions.

Respectfully submitted,

ANGELO J. ROSSI,  
Mayor.

JNO. J. O'TOOLE,  
City Attorney.

HAROLD J. BOYD,  
Controller.

E. G. CAHILL,  
Manager of Utilities.

JOHN J. CASEY,  
City Engineer.

Resolved, That the substance of the report of the Rapid Transit Committee now pending before this Board be approved to the end that the recommendations therein made be carried out; be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney, in conjunction with the Legal Committee of the Rapid Transit Committee, be directed to submit to the Judiciary Committee of this Board a Charter amendment which will, in effect, provide for the creation of the commission recommended by the Committee, with the powers recommended by said Committee; and be it

Further Resolved, That this Board call upon the Director of Public Works to submit, at the earliest possible moment, plans and specifications and estimates of the cost of a passage-way from Plan X of the Bay Bridge Terminal across Market street; and be it

Further Resolved, That when said plans and specifications and estimates are received a bond issue should be submitted to the people to provide the necessary funds for financing said passage-way.



**Roll Call on Committee Report as Amended.**

The roll was called and the report of the Committee, as amended, was *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon—8.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri, Uhl—3.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were taken up:

**Leave of Absence for Hon. Warren Shannon, Member Board of Supervisors.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 4064, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Warren Shannon, a member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of two weeks, commencing July 1, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Leave of Absence, Supervisor Dewey Mead.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 4065, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Dewey Mead, member of the Board of Supervisors, be and is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of two weeks, commencing June 24, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Leave of Absence, Hon. Matthew Brady, District Attorney.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 4066, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Matthew Brady, District Attorney, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of ten days, commencing June 29, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**In Memoriam—Thomas Richard Angove.**

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 4067, as follows:

Whereas, Thomas Richard Angove has been summoned to his eternal reward, and

Whereas, Mr. Angove was a business and civic leader in the City

and County of San Francisco and worked arduously for the promotion and betterment of the laundry industry, now therefore be it

Resolved, That, this Board of Supervisors notes with sincere regret the passing of Mr. Angove and takes this opportunity to express its heartfelt condolences to the widow of the late Thomas Richard Angove, and be it

Further Resolved, That, when this Board adjourns this day, it does so out of respect to the memory of the late Thomas Richard Angove, and be it

Further Resolved, That, a copy of this resolution be suitably engrossed and sent to the widow of the late Thomas Richard Angove.

*Unanimously adopted by rising vote.*

### **In Memoriam—Patrick J. McAuliffe.**

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 4068, as follows:

Whereas, Almighty God has summoned Patrick J. McAuliffe to his eternal reward, and

Whereas, Mr. McAuliffe was a kind and courteous gentleman, a respected citizen in the community and a loving husband and father, and

Whereas, Mr. McAuliffe served the City and County of San Francisco long and faithfully as a member of the Police Department, now therefore be it

Resolved, That, this Board of Supervisors notes with sincere regret the passing of Patrick J. McAuliffe and takes this opportunity to express its deepest sympathy to his bereaved wife and family, and be it

Further Resolved, That, when this Board adjourns this day, it does so out of respect to the memory of the late Patrick J. McAuliffe, and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be suitably engrossed and sent to the family of the late Patrick J. McAuliffe.

*Unanimously adopted by rising vote.*

### **Negotiations Re Purchase Market Street Railway.**

(Code No. 15.09)

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

Resolution No. 4069, as follows:

Whereas, at least two-thirds of our citizens living in various sections of San Francisco are now compelled to pay a higher street car fare than those living in the sections of our City served by our own municipally-owned street railway lines; and

Whereas, after many exhaustive and expensive scientific studies of San Francisco's transportation and traffic problems, made at a total cost of \$416,666, unification of San Francisco's street railways has been unequivocally recommended by outstanding engineers and experts; and

Whereas, the citizens of San Francisco are impatient of further unnecessary delays and are demanding immediate relief from the present unbearable situation, and insisting on prompt action toward improved transportation service, with the elimination of the "one-man car," and a reduction of the 7-cent fare to a 5-cent fare with universal transfers; and

Whereas, in order to secure a Federal grant for the reconstruction of the Market Street Railway lines, should the City acquire this property at a fair purchase price, action must be taken without further delay in order to comply with the Federal requirements as to time limits imposed by the Federal laws relating to the granting of W. P. A. and P. W. A. Federal aid; and

Whereas, an emergency now exists and the public interest and neces-



sity demand the purchase of the Market Street Railway Company's properties; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Public Utilities Commission be and it is hereby instructed to ascertain by negotiation with the Market Street Railway Company the price at which the lines owned by the Market Street Railway Company can be obtained, and to report its recommendations as to the purchase of the same. And said report is requested to be filed with this Board of Supervisors not later than August 1, 1938.

*Referred to Joint Finance and Judiciary Committee.*

#### **Appointment of Committee to Attend Miners' Jubilee, Oregon, September 3, 4 and 5, 1938.**

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Reilly, moved that the Chair appoint a committee of three members of the Board to attend the Miners' Jubilee in Oregon on September 3, 4 and 5, 1938.

*Motion carried.*

#### **Hetch Hetchy Power Distribution.**

Supervisor Roncovieri moved that the Public Utilities Committee take up at once the matter of Hetch Hetchy power distribution, in view of statement in the San Francisco News that San Francisco was conspicuous by its absence on the list of allotted P. W. A. grants and that we might stand to lose such grants.

*Referred to Joint Finance and Judiciary Committee.*

#### **Submission of Certified List of Highway Sectors for Inclusion in Next Biennium Budget of Highway Commission and State De- partment of Public Works.**

Supervisor Roncovieri moved that Supervisor Ratto be empowered to act with City Engineer in submitting certified list of highway sectors which San Francisco wishes to recommend for inclusion in the next biennium budget of the California Highway Commission and State Department of Public Works, and that he be authorized to represent the Board at meeting with State Highway Commission at Sacramento on Friday, July 1, 1938.

*Motion carried.*

#### **Discontinuance of Auto Ferry Service to Sausalito.**

Supervisor Warren Shannon announced that the State Railway Commission had ordered discontinuance of the automobile ferries from the foot of Hyde street to Sausalito, effective July 28, 1938.

#### **Proposed Charter Amendment, Providing for Compulsory Retirement From City Service at the Age of Sixty-five.**

Supervisor Uhl presented the following:

#### **CHARTER AMENDMENT NO.**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 165 thereof, relating to retirement and pensions of miscellaneous officers and employees, to provide for compulsory retirement at the age of sixty-five years.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the electors of said City and County at the Special Election to be held on the 30th day of August, 1938, a proposal to amend Section 165 of the Charter, relating to retirement and pensions of miscellaneous officers and employees, to read as follows:

## MISCELLANEOUS OFFICERS AND EMPLOYEES.

Section 165. Officers and employees of the City and County, except members of the Police and Fire Departments, shall become members of the retirement system subject only to the following provisions, in addition to the provisions contained in Sections 158 to 164, of this Charter, both inclusive:

(a) The system shall be applied to such offices, department, bureaus, or classes of officers or employees of the City and County, including teachers in the San Francisco School Department, as the Supervisors shall determine; provided, however, that the contributions to be made by said teachers and the benefits to be received by said teachers under said retirement system shall be based upon the proportion of salaries of said teachers which have been and shall be paid out of funds contributed by the City and County, excluding therefrom the portion of such salaries which have been or shall be paid out of funds contributed by the State of California; and in determining such proportion it shall be taken to be the same proportion which the whole amount of money contributed by the City and County to the Common School Fund in any fiscal year bears to the whole amount of money contributed to such fund in such year by the State and by the City and County; and provided, further, that nothing herein contained shall be construed to deprive any teacher of the right to receive benefits under any pension or retirement system now or hereafter established by the State of California.

(b) No member of the retirement system shall be retired, except in case of disability incapacitating him for the performance of his duties, unless he shall have attained the age of sixty-two years and completed ten years of continuous service, but retirement shall be compulsory at the age of sixty-five years. It may be provided, however, under such retirement system, that members may retire after thirty years of continuous service; the benefits at retirement in such cases to be determined, because of retirement at an age below sixty-two, in accordance with the tables recommended by the actuary and approved by said retirement board.

(c) All persons who were retired prior to October 1, 1925, from service as teachers in the public schools of San Francisco, under the provisions of the law of 1913, establishing the California Public School Teachers' Retirement Salary Fund, shall be entitled to and shall receive retirement allowances, to be calculated on the same basis as that established for determining the retirement allowances provided for members of the said retirement system.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

### Applications for P. W. A. Grants.

The following communication from his Honor the Mayor was read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, June 27, 1938.

To the Honorable The Board of Supervisors, City Hall.

Gentlemen: Enclosed are series of resolutions authorizing the Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the Controller to execute and file certain applications for P. W. A. grants.

The filing of the applications is an insurance that San Francisco



will participate in the funds which will be made available by the Federal Administrator. It does not commit the Board or the people of San Francisco to prosecute any or all of the projects which are submitted.

It merely will have the effect of earmarking funds so that if and when the Board and the people of San Francisco are ready to proceed with any of the projects the funds will be available.

There is already before your Board a letter from me asking you to name a committee to discuss the matter of P. W. A. participation by the City, but as heretofore stated, adoption of these resolutions is urged so that this City may comply with the limitation established by the Federal Administrator.

Yours very truly,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Thereupon, Supervisor Reilly presented the following resolutions:

**Pedestrian Way, Bay Bridge Terminal, Across Market Street.**

(Code No. 12.11)

Resolution No. 4070, as follows:

Be It Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco:

That the Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the Controller be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute and file an application on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco to the United States of America through the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works for a grant equal to forty-five (45) per cent of the total estimated cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of a municipal improvement consisting of a pedestrian way from San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge Plan "X" Terminal to north of Market street; equipment and appurtenances thereto and the acquisition of necessary lands, rights of way and easements; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the Controller be and they are hereby authorized and directed to furnish such information as the United States of America, through the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works, may reasonably request in connection with the application which is herein authorized to be filed.

*Referred to Joint Finance and Judiciary Committee.*

**Improvements, Recreational Facilities.**

(Code No. 23.04)

Resolution No. 4071, as follows:

Be It Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco:

That the Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the Controller be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute and file an application on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco to the United States of America, through the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works, for a grant equal to forty-five (45) per cent of the total estimated cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of municipal improvements consisting of buildings, and other structural facilities, including equipment and appurtenances and other improvements and additions to be erected on Recreational Grounds; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the Controller be and they are hereby authorized and directed to furnish such information as the United States of America, through the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works, may reasonably request in connection with the application which is herein authorized to be filed.

*Referred to Joint Finance and Judiciary Committee.*

**Public Buildings.**

(Code No. 12.14)

Resolution No. 4072, as follows:

Be It Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco:

That the Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the Controller be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute and file an application on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco to the United States of America, through the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works, for a grant equal to forty-five (45) per cent of the total estimated cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of municipal improvements consisting of public buildings; equipment and appurtenances to be designated as Central Warehouse, Office Building and Shops, Registrar of Voters Building, Welfare Building, and reconstruction and rearrangement of existing facilities; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the Controller be and they are hereby authorized and directed to furnish such information as the United States of America, through the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works, may reasonably request in connection with the application which is herein authorized to be filed.

*Referred to Joint Finance and Judiciary Committee.*

**Sewer Extensions, and Sewage Disposal and Treatment Plants.**

(Code No. 12.10)

Resolution No. 4073, as follows:

Be It Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco:

That the Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the Controller be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute and file an application on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco to the United States of America, through the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works, for a grant equal to forty-five (45) per cent of the total estimated cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of municipal improvements consisting of new sewer extensions; sewage disposal and treatment plants; reconstruction of main sewers; all including equipment and appurtenances and the acquisition of necessary lands, rights of way and easements; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the Controller be and they are hereby authorized and directed to furnish such information as the United States of America, through the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works, may reasonably request in connection with the application which is herein authorized to be filed.

*Referred to Joint Finance and Judiciary Committee.*

**Tunnel Construction.**

(Code No. 12.03)

Resolution No. 4074, as follows:

Be It Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco:

That the Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the Controller be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute and file an application on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco to the United States of America, through the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works, for a grant equal to forty-five (45) per cent of the total estimated cost of the acquisition, construc-



tion and completion of municipal improvements with equipment and appurtenances consisting of a tunnel or tunnels for relief of traffic congestion and the acquisition of necessary lands, rights of way and easements; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the Controller be and they are hereby authorized and directed to furnish such information as the United States of America, through the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works, may reasonably request in connection with the application which is herein authorized to be filed.

*Referred to Joint Finance and Judiciary Committee.*

#### **Public Automobile Parking Station Under Union Square Park.**

(Code No. 11.0823)

Resolution No. 4075, as follows:

Be It Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco:

That the Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the Controller be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute and file an application on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco to the United States of America, through the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works, for a grant equal to forty-five (45) per cent of the total estimated cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of a municipal improvement consisting of a public automobile parking station under Union Square Park with necessary equipment and appurtenances, including restoration of existing grounds for use as a public park or square; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the Controller be and they are hereby authorized and directed to furnish such information as the United States of America, through the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works, may reasonably request in connection with the application which is herein authorized to be filed.

*Referred to Joint Finance and Judiciary Committee.*

#### **Street Improvements.**

(Code No. 12.08)

Resolution No. 4076, as follows:

Be It Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco:

That the Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the Controller be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute and file an application on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco to the United States of America, through the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works, for a grant equal to forty-five (45) per cent of the total estimated cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of municipal improvements, consisting of establishment and/or improvement of streets and boulevards, the acquisition of necessary lands, and other structural facilities, including equipment and appurtenances and other improvements and additions for the purpose of facilitating traffic; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the Controller be and they are hereby authorized and directed to furnish such information as the United States of America, through the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works, may reasonably request in connection with the application which is herein authorized to be filed.

*Referred to Joint Finance and Judiciary Committee.*

### Communication.

The following communication from George A. Applegarth, architect, was read by the Clerk:

June 24, 1938.

Mr. Warren Shannon, Supervisor, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Dear Sir: Bond issues for public improvements are to be submitted to your Board Monday, June 27th.

For various civic organizations of which I am a member, I have checked with the Building Trades Council and find that it is very important to have the bonds pass for the Courts Building and schools so as to give employment to the building trades—especially when the Exposition work is completed.

I strongly urge your support for these bonds.

Very truly yours,

GEORGE A. APPLGARTH.

*Referred to Joint Finance and Judiciary Committee.*

### Progress Report on Low Cost Housing.

Supervisor Uhl presented progress report on low-cost housing.

### Motion to Recess.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Mead, moved that the Board recess to reconvene at 10 a. m. Tuesday, June 28, and that further consideration of low-cost housing be held at that time by the Board, sitting as a committee of the whole.

The roll was called and the motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Shannon—2.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

### Action Rescinded.

Subsequently, Supervisor Reilly, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved that the vote whereby the Board had agreed to recess until the following day at 10 a. m. to consider the matter of low-cost housing, be rescinded, and that the matter be re-referred to committee.

Whereupon, the roll was called, as follows:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Noes—Supervisors Mead, Schmidt, Uhl—3.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

*Motion carried.*

### Announcement of Committee Meetings.

Joint Finance and Judiciary Committee, Thursday, June 30, 2 p. m.  
Health Committee, Friday, July 1, 10 a. m.

### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 6:25 p. m. adjourned.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.



Approved by the Board of Supervisors July 5, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, David A. Barry, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

DAVID A. BARRY,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Journal of Proceedings  
Board of Supervisors





Vol. 33

No. 29

1 FRANCISCO  
BLIC LIBRARY  
RIODICAL DE

Tuesday, July 5, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.

January 10, 1888

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

TUESDAY, JULY 5, 1938, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Tuesday, July 5, 1938,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Shannon—4.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Reilly presiding in absence of President Shannon.

Supervisor Brown was noted present at 2:25 p. m.

Supervisor Brown requested to be excused at 4:30 p. m., and, on motion duly made and carried, he was so excused.

The Clerk read the following telegram: "Urgent business detains me in Los Angeles. Will not be able to attend today's meeting. Regards, Jesse C. Colman." On motion duly made and carried, Supervisor Colman was excused.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of June 27, 1938, was considered read and approved.

## Notice of Meeting of Board of Equalization.

The Board of Supervisors will meet on Tuesday, July 5, 1938, at 2 p. m., and examine the assessment books of real and personal property for the year 1938 and will thereafter be in session as a Board of Equalization from time to time until Monday, July 18, 1938, at 12 o'clock noon, for the purpose of hearing applications, verified by oath, for the correction of assessment books.

DAVID A. BARRY,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and  
County of San Francisco.

## Communication.

The following communication was read by the Clerk:

Hon. Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco, City Hall.

Gentlemen: This day, Tuesday July 5th (the first Monday, July 4th, being a legal holiday) there has been delivered into the custody of the Clerk of your Board, forty-four (44) volumes (together with indexes for same) representing the 1938 Assessment Roll covering the taxable land and the taxable buildings in the City and County of San Francisco.

Very truly yours,

RUSSELL L. WOLDEN.

### Arbitrary List.

The Assessor delivered also list of taxpayers who have neglected to make a return of their taxable personal property, together with amounts assessed, in accordance with the provisions of Section 3633 of the Political Code.

### Meeting of Board of Equalization.

Supervisor Ratto, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved that the Board of Supervisors meet as a Board of Equalization on Thursday, July 7, 1938, at 2 p. m.

*Motion carried.*

### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

#### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

#### Authorizing a Supplemental Appropriation of \$7,871.58 for Land Purchase Necessary for Construction of a Service Road.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1568, Ordinance No. 9.051473, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$7,871.58 from Appropriation 748.900.00, to the credit of Appropriation 748.934.00, for land purchase necessary for the construction of a service road adjacent to and parallel with the easterly line of Junipero Serra boulevard from the southerly line of Eucalyptus drive to a point 2000 feet more or less southerly therefrom.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$7,871.58 is hereby appropriated and set aside from Appropriation 748.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation 748.934.00 for land purchase necessary for the construction of a service road adjacent to and parallel with the easterly line of Junipero Serra boulevard from the southerly line of Eucalyptus drive to a point 2000 feet more or less southerly therefrom.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Shannon—4.

#### Authorizing the Director of Property to Aid and Assist the Board of Supervisors Sitting as a Board of Equalization and to Employ the Necessary Appraisers to Pass upon Requests Made for Equalization in 1938-1939 Assessments.

(Code No. 1.0621)

Also, Bill No. 1594, Ordinance No. 1.06219, as follows:

Authorizing the Director of Property to aid and assist the Board of Supervisors sitting as a Board of Equalization and to employ the necessary appraisers to pass upon requests made for equalization in 1938-1939 assessments.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Property of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to aid, assist and advise the Board of Supervisors, sitting as a Board of Equalization, in passing upon requests made to said Board for the equalization of 1938-1939 assessments on taxable property in the City and County of San Fran-



cisco. Said services shall be rendered to said Board of Supervisors during the time that it sits as a Board of Equalization and for such time prior thereto as may be necessary to prepare for such investigation.

Section 2. The Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to employ sixteen independent expert real estate and building appraisers as needed, subject to the provisions of Section 142 of the Charter to aid and assist him in advising the Board of Supervisors in regard to requests for equalization in said assessments.

Section 3. For the services herein provided one independent expert building appraiser shall receive not more than \$350. One independent expert real estate and building appraiser shall receive not more than \$275, and the remaining fourteen independent expert real estate and building appraisers shall receive not more than \$250 each chargeable to 1938-1939 Appropriation No. 801.106.00, and there is hereby ordered transferred from 1938-1939 Appropriation No. 801.106.00 the sum of \$200 to the Director of Property to cover the incidental expenses of his office relative to the service herein directed to be furnished by said Director of Property.

Finally passed by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Shannon—4.

#### **Supplemental Appropriation of \$75,000 for Construction of Railway Tracks to Plan X Terminal.**

(Code No. 9.051)

The following recommendation of Joint Public Utilities and Finance Committee was taken up:

Bill No. 1592, Ordinance No. 9.051483, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$75,000 out of the surplus existing in the Municipal Railway Operative Fund to provide the cost of constructing railway tracks and appurtenances on First and Fremont streets leading to and from Plan X Terminal.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$75,000 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Municipal Railway Operative Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 765.500.00 to be used for the purpose of constructing railway tracks and appurtenances which will afford accommodation for street cars of both the Municipal Railway and the Market Street Railway, according to citizens of San Francisco and visitors thereto the necessary means of transportation to and from Plan X Terminal of the San Francisco-Oakland Transbay Bridge.

This appropriation is made subject to the condition that the Public Utilities Commission will build and own the loop to Plan X Terminal. Market Street Railway Company will be permitted to use one-half of the loop trackage as designated heretofore and for such use will pay an annual rental to the city amounting to ten per cent of one-half of the cost of said loop plus one-half of the maintenance of same and plus proportionate cost of the power used.

Section 2. The appropriation made in Section 1 hereof shall be additive to the appropriation of \$65,000 made by Ordinance No. 9.051382, Appropriation No. 765.500.00.

#### **Refused Final Passage.**

The foregoing bill was *refused final passage* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt—5.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Uhl—2.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Shannon—4.

**Action Rescinded.**

Subsequently, on motion by Supervisor Ratto, seconded by Supervisor Meyer, the foregoing action was *rescinded* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt—6.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Uhl—2.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Shannon—3.

**Finally Passed.**

Thereupon, Bill No. 1592, Ordinance No. 9.051483, was *finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt—6.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Uhl—2.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Shannon—3.

**NEW BUSINESS.****Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Approval Supplemental Recommendations, Public Welfare Department, for July, 1938.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Resolution No. 4078, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Public Welfare Department, containing the additional names of persons and amounts to be paid as Old Age Security and Blind Pensions and Widows' Pensions for the month of July, 1938, and also denials, are hereby approved, and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is directed to transmit this approval to the Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Shannon—3.

**Land Purchase—Proposed McLaren Park.**

(Code No. 12.1713)

Also, Resolution No. 4079, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Park Department, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Mary G. Kuimelis, et vir, to Lot 34, Assessor's Block 6214, San Francisco, required for the proposed McLaren Park, and that the sum of \$75 be paid for said lot from Appropriation No. 91.902.17.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Shannon—3.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:



**Authorizing Sale of Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct Property in Stanislaus County.**

(Code No. 12.17251)

Bill No. 1609, Ordinance No. 12.172511, as follows:

Authorizing sale of Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct property in Stanislaus County.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City-owned real property situated in the County of Stanislaus, State of California:

PARCEL 1. A strip of land 50 feet in width and being a portion of that certain 6.905-acre tract of land described as Parcel 1 in deed recorded July 18, 1923, in Book 29 at page 203, Official Records of Stanislaus County. Said strip of land is bounded on the southwest by the northeasterly line of the State Highway, District X, Stanislaus County, Route 4, Section B, which northeasterly line is the southwesterly boundary of said 6.905-acre tract of land, on the northeast by a line parallel to and distant 50 feet measured at right angles northeasterly from the northeasterly line of said State Highway, on the northwesterly end by the northwesterly boundary of said 6.905-acre tract of land and on the southeasterly end by the easterly boundary of said 6.905-acre tract of land.

PARCEL 2. A right of way for the construction, maintenance and use of a State highway over a portion of that certain 110-foot strip of land described as Parcel II in deed recorded July 18, 1923, in Book 27 at page 330, Official Records of Stanislaus County. Said right of way is bounded on the southwest by the northeasterly line of the State Highway, District X, Stanislaus County, Route 4, Section B, which northeasterly line is the southwesterly end of said 110-foot strip of land, on the northeast by a line parallel to and distant 50 feet measured at right angles northeasterly from the northeasterly line of said State Highway, on the northwesterly end by the northwesterly boundary of said 110-foot strip of land and on the southeasterly end by the southeasterly boundary of said 110-foot strip of land.

Section 2. The City and County of San Francisco shall have the right to construct, reconstruct, maintain, operate, repair and/or use existing and future water, transmission and other utility structures over, across and/or beneath the above described Parcel 2.

Section 3. The above described real property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Shannon—3.

**Action Deferred.**

The following matter was *laid over until July 11, 1938*:

**Appropriation of \$17,000 From Emergency Reserve Fund, Loan to Housing Authority.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1610, Ordinance No. 9.051489, as follows:

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

There is hereby appropriated from the Emergency Reserve Fund

for the fiscal year 1938-1939, Appropriation No. 802,900.00, the sum of seventeen thousand (\$17,000) dollars to be loaned to the Housing Authority of the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of enabling it to carry on its activities until January 1, 1939.

The Controller is hereby directed to take from said Housing Authority the proper obligation providing for the re-payment of said amount loaned to said Housing Authority.

Recommended by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to funds available after July 1, 1939, by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Joint Finance and Judiciary Committee were taken up:

**Authorizing Filing of Application for Federal P. W. A. Grant for Construction of Pedestrian Overpass From Plan X Terminal, Across Market Street.**

(Code No. 12.11)

Resolution No. 4070, as follows:

Be It Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco:

That the Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the Controller be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute and file an application on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco to the United States of America, through the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works, for a grant equal to forty-five (45) per cent of the total estimated cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of a municipal improvement consisting of a pedestrian way from San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge Plan "X" Terminal to north of Market street; equipment and appurtenances thereto and the acquisition of necessary lands, rights of way and easements; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the Controller be and they are hereby authorized and directed to furnish such information as the United States of America, through the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works, may reasonably request in connection with the application which is herein authorized to be filed.

Approved as to form by Dion R. Holm, Acting City Attorney.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Shannon—3.

**Authorizing Filing of Application for Federal P. W. A. Grant for Construction of Recreational Facilities.**

(Code No. 23.04)

Also, Resolution No. 4071, as follows:

Be It Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco:

That the Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the Controller be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute and file an application on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco to the United States of America, through the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works, for a grant equal to forty-five (45) per cent of the total estimated cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of municipal improvements consisting of buildings,



and other structural facilities including equipment and appurtenances and other improvements and additions to be erected on recreational grounds; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the Controller be and they are hereby authorized and directed to furnish such information as the United States of America, through the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works, may reasonably request in connection with the application which is herein authorized to be filed.

Approved as to form by Dion R. Holm, Acting City Attorney.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Shannon—3.

**Authorizing Filing of Application for Federal P. W. A. Grant for  
Public Buildings.**

(Code No. 12.14)

Also, Resolution No. 4072, as follows:

Be It Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco:

That the Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the Controller be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute and file an application on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco to the United States of America, through the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works, for a grant equal to forty-five (45) per cent of the total estimated cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of municipal improvements consisting of public buildings; equipment and appurtenances to be designated as Central Warehouse, Office Building and Shops, Registrar of Voters Building, Welfare Building, and reconstruction and rearrangement of existing facilities; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the Controller be and they are hereby authorized and directed to furnish such information as the United States of America, through the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works, may reasonably request in connection with the application which is herein authorized to be filed.

Approved as to form by Dion R. Holm, Acting City Attorney.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Shannon—3.

**Authorizing Filing of Application for Federal P. W. A. Grant for  
Sewer Construction, Etc.**

(Code No. 12.10)

Also, Resolution No. 4073, as follows:

Be It Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco:

That the Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the Controller be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute and file an application on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco to the United States of America, through the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works, for a grant equal to forty-five (45) per cent of the total estimated cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of municipal improvements consisting of new sewer extensions; sewage disposal and treatment plants; re-

construction of main sewers; all including equipment and appurtenances and the acquisition of necessary lands, rights of way and easements; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the Controller be and they are hereby authorized and directed to furnish such information as the United States of America, through the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works, may reasonably request in connection with the application which is herein authorized to be filed.

Approved as to form by Dion R. Holm, Acting City Attorney.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Shannon—3.

**Authorizing Filing of Application for Federal P. W. A. Grant for Tunnel Construction.**

(Code No. 12.03)

Also, Resolution No. 4074, as follows:

Be It Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco:

That the Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the Controller be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute and file an application on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco to the United States of America, through the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works, for a grant equal to forty-five (45) per cent of the total estimated cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of municipal improvements with equipment and appurtenances consisting of a tunnel or tunnels for relief of traffic congestion and the acquisition of necessary lands; rights of way and easements; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the Controller be and they are hereby authorized and directed to furnish such information as the United States of America, through the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works, may reasonably request in connection with the application which is herein authorized to be filed.

Approved as to form by Dion R. Holm, Acting City Attorney.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Shannon—3.

**Authorizing Filing of Application for Federal P. W. A. Grant for Construction of Public Automobile Parking Station Under Union Square Park.**

(Code No. 11.0823)

Also, Resolution No. 4075, as follows:

Be It Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco:

That the Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the Controller be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute and file an application on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco to the United States of America, through the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works, for a grant equal to forty-five (45) per cent of the total estimated cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of a municipal improvement consisting of a public automobile parking station under Union Square Park with neces-



sary equipment and appurtenances, including restoration of existing grounds for use as a public park or square; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the Controller be and they are hereby authorized and directed to furnish such information as the United States of America, through the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works, may reasonably request in connection with the application which is herein authorized to be filed.

Approved as to form by Dion R. Holm, Acting City Attorney.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Shannon—3.

**Authorizing Filing of Application for Federal P. W. A. Grant for  
Construction of Streets and Boulevards.**

(Code No. 12.08)

Also, Resolution No. 4076, as follows:

Be It Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco:

That the Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the Controller be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute and file an application on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco to the United States of America, through the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works, for a grant equal to forty-five (45) per cent of the total estimated cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of municipal improvements consisting of establishment and/or improvement of streets and boulevards, the acquisition of necessary lands, and other structural facilities, including equipment and appurtenances and other improvements and additions for the purpose of facilitating traffic; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the Controller be and they are hereby authorized and directed to furnish such information as the United States of America, through the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works, may reasonably request in connection with the application which is herein authorized to be filed.

Approved as to form by Dion R. Holm, Acting City Attorney.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Shannon—3.

**Public Utilities Commission Instructed to Negotiate With Market  
Street Railway for Purchase of That Company's Lines.**

(Code No. 15.091)

Also, Resolution No. 4069, as follows:

Whereas, at least two-thirds of our citizens living in various sections of San Francisco are now compelled to pay a higher street car fare than those living in the sections of our City served by our own municipally owned street railway lines; and

Whereas, after many exhaustive and expensive scientific studies of San Francisco's transportation and traffic problems, made at a total cost of \$416,666, unification of San Francisco's street railways has been unequivocally recommended by outstanding engineers and experts; and

Whereas, the citizens of San Francisco are impatient of further unnecessary delays and are demanding immediate relief from the present

unbearable situation, and insisting on prompt action toward improved transportation service, with the elimination of the "one-man car," and a reduction of the 7-cent fare to a 5-cent fare, with universal transfers; and

Whereas, in order to secure a Federal grant for the reconstruction of the Market Street Railway lines, should the City acquire this property at a fair purchase price, action must be taken without further delay in order to comply with the Federal requirements as to time limits imposed by the Federal laws relating to the granting of W. P. A. and P. W. A. Federal aid; and

Whereas, an emergency now exists and the public interest and necessity demand the purchase of the Market Street Railway Company's properties; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Public Utilities Commission be and it is hereby instructed to ascertain by negotiation with the Market Street Railway Company the price at which the lines owned by the Market Street Railway Company can be obtained, and to report its recommendations as to the purchase of the same. And said report is requested to be filed with this Board of Supervisors not later than August 1, 1938.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Shannon—3.

#### **Explanation of Vote.**

Supervisor Brown, in explaining his vote, made the following statement: "In explaining my vote, I want it understood I am merely voting for inquiry as to the price the Market Street Railway will accept for their property. As far as actually accepting the price they may indicate they are willing to sell at, that is another matter."

#### **Authorizing Filing of Application for Federal P. W. A. Grant for Acquisition, Construction and Completion of Power Distribution System.**

(Code No. 15.032)

Also, Resolution No. 4077, as follows:

Be It Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco:

That the Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the Controller be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute and file an application on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco to the United States of America, through the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works, for a grant equal to forty-five (45) per cent of the total estimated cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility consisting of a power distribution system to be used for the purpose of distributing power generated by the Hetch Hetchy Project owned by the City and County of San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the Controller be and they are hereby authorized and directed to furnish such information as the United States of America, through the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works, may reasonably request in connection with the application which is herein authorized to be filed.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Shannon—3.



**Eliminating Unsafe or Insanitary Dwelling Units in the City and County of San Francisco.**

(Code No. 5.2)

The following recommendation of Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee was taken up:

Resolution No. 4051, as follows:

Whereas, the Housing Authority of the City and County of San Francisco (herein called the "Authority"), proposes to develop and administer a low-rent housing project (herein called the "Project") within the territorial limits of the City and County of San Francisco (herein called the "City and County"), including approximately 600 dwelling units; and

Whereas, in connection with the development of the Project, the Authority desires to eliminate unsafe or insanitary dwelling units situated within the territorial limits of the City and County substantially equal in number to the number of newly constructed dwelling units to be provided by the Project; and

Whereas, the Authority has requested the City and County to co-operate with it and assist it in the elimination of such unsafe and insanitary dwelling units; and

Whereas, there exist in the City and County unsafe or insanitary dwelling units to a greater number than the number of new dwelling units to be included in the Project and it is necessary and desirable that the City and County should eliminate such unsafe or insanitary dwelling units to protect the health, safety and morals of the inhabitants of this City; and

Whereas, it is necessary that the present low-income occupants of unsafe or insanitary dwelling units be provided with new dwelling units at rentals they can afford to pay; and

Whereas, the City and County will directly benefit from the construction of new dwelling units for families of low income and from the elimination of unsafe or insanitary dwelling units within the City and County; now, therefore, be it

Resolved:

Section 1. That the City and County hereby determines that, for the purpose of aiding said Authority in its efforts to eliminate unsafe and insanitary dwelling units in the City and County, the City and County shall co-operate with the Authority by eliminating some of the unsafe or insanitary dwelling units within the territorial limits of the City and County at least equal to the number of newly constructed dwelling units to be provided by the Project (less the number of unsafe or insanitary dwelling units to be eliminated from the site of the Project by the Authority during the development of the Project), but in no event to exceed 660 unsafe or insanitary dwelling units.

Section 2. That the City shall enter into a contract with the Authority substantially in the following form:

*Agreement Between the City and County of San Francisco and the Housing Authority of the City and County of San Francisco.*

1. In consideration of the mutual promises of the City and County of San Francisco, California (herein called the "City and County"), and the Housing Authority of the City and County of San Francisco (herein called the "Authority") hereinafter set forth, the City and County and Authority agree as follows:

2. The City and County agrees to eliminate unsafe or insanitary dwelling units of a number at least equal to the number of new dwelling units to be provided in a low-rent housing project (herein called the "Project") to be developed by the Authority (less the number of unsafe or insanitary dwelling units to be eliminated from the site of

the Project by the Authority during the development of the Project), but in no event to exceed 660 unsafe or insanitary dwelling units.

3. The City and County agrees to eliminate such unsafe or insanitary dwelling units within the territorial limits of the City and County.

4. The City and County agrees to eliminate such unsafe or insanitary dwelling units in one or the other of the following ways, or partly in one of these ways and partly in another:

- (a) By demolishing dwelling units which are on land acquired by the City and County by purchase or otherwise, including demolition of such dwelling units on land purchased for any public uses; or
- (b) By causing the compulsory demolition, effective closing, repair or improvement of such unsafe and insanitary dwelling units; or
- (c) By inducing private owners voluntarily to eliminate such dwelling units.

5. In computing the number of unsafe or insanitary dwelling units eliminated under the terms of this agreement, there shall be included all unsafe or insanitary dwelling units eliminated under this agreement from the date hereof.

6. In computing the number of unsafe or insanitary dwelling units eliminated under the terms of this agreement, the remedying of violations of local building codes or ordinances by compulsory action of the City and County in so far as it results in the elimination of unsafe or insanitary dwelling units, shall be considered as elimination. The voluntary remedying of such violations shall not be considered as elimination.

7. For the purpose of this agreement a dwelling unit shall be considered unsafe or insanitary whenever by reason of dilapidation, faulty arrangement or design, lack of ventilation, light or sanitation facilities, or any combination of these factors, it is detrimental to safety, health or morals.

8. The Authority agrees:

- (a) To advise the officers in charge of appropriate departments of the City and County of the existence of any unsafe or insanitary dwelling units in the City and County which the Authority finds as a result of its surveys or studies;
- (b) To make reports to the City and County, from time to time, regarding such matters; and
- (c) To co-operate with the City and County in securing elimination of unsafe or insanitary dwelling units required herein.

9. The City and County agrees to cause its officers of appropriate departments to make reports to the Authority from time to time regarding:

- (a) The action taken by the City and County in the elimination or repair of unsafe or insanitary dwelling units hereunder;
- (b) The dates of such action;
- (c) The location of such dwelling units; and
- (d) The condition of such dwelling units which made them unsafe or insanitary.

10. The Authority agrees that it will use its best efforts to develop the Project as rapidly as possible and to operate and maintain such Project for families of low income. The Authority agrees to keep the City and County fully informed as to the status of the Project.

11. The Authority and the City and County agree that the elimination undertaken and required hereunder shall be considered as a part of the Project.

12. The City and County agrees to complete the elimination required hereunder within one year from the date of physical completion of the Project.

In witness whereof, the City and County of San Francisco, California, and the Housing Authority of the City and County of San Fran-



cisco have respectively caused this agreement to be duly executed in triplicate as of the.....day of....., 19.....

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO.

By.....

Mayor.

(SEAL)

ATTEST:

.....  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY AND COUNTY  
OF SAN FRANCISCO.

By.....

Chairman.

(SEAL)

ATTEST:

.....  
Secretary.

Section 3. That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized to execute in triplicate a contract substantially in the form set forth in Section 2 hereof on behalf of the City.

Section 4. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

#### Committee of the Whole.

On motion by Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, the Board resolved itself into a Committee of the Whole, the same chairman presiding.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

The following citizens were granted the privilege of the floor:

Richard Flambert, representing Citizens' Housing Committee; Mrs. Stillman; Mr. Watchman, representing the Building Trades Council; Cornelia Jones Robertson, representing Negro Citizens' Council; Dr. Edgar Lowther; William T. Harverson, representing Negro Citizens of San Francisco; George Hall, representing Citizens' Housing Committee; Mrs. Marian Shultz, representing Jefferson Park area groups; Elba Zimmerman; Mrs. Frances Willoughby; George Newton, representing Negro Civic Council; J. B. Shaw, representing District Council Maritime Federation; Peter Alexander, representing Twenty-second District Housing Club; Mrs. Buted, representing Filipino Christian Fellowship; Milton Morris, representing Associated Home Builders of San Francisco, spoke in favor of the proposed resolution.

Miss Russella Ward, representing Apartment House Owners; H. M. Beckman, also representing Apartment House Owners; E. J. Carroll, representing Owners' and Lessees' Apartment House Association, opposed proposed measure.

#### Committee of the Whole Arose.

On motion by Supervisor Uhl, the Committee of the Whole arose and reported progress.

#### Statement by Supervisor Uhl.

Supervisor Uhl read the following statement and requested that it be made part of the record:

San Francisco, Calif.

July 5, 1938.

On March 28, 1938, the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco unanimously adopted Resolution No. 3874, which set forth:

1. There is need of a Housing Authority in San Francisco.
2. That insanitary and unsafe inhabited dwelling accommodations exist in San Francisco.
3. That there is a shortage of safe and sanitary dwelling accommo-

dations in San Francisco available to persons of low income at rentals which they can afford.

In finding and determining the aforesaid facts, the Board of Supervisors has taken into consideration the degree of overcrowding, the percentage of land coverage, the light, air, space and access available to the inhabitant of said dwelling accommodation, the size and arrangement of the rooms, sanitary facilities and the extent to which conditions exist in such buildings which endanger life or property by fire or other causes.

The Board of Supervisors thereupon requested the Mayor to appoint five persons as Commissioners of the Housing Authority of San Francisco.

The duties of said Housing Authority are set forth in the Act of the 75th Congress, providing for low cost housing.

Said Housing Authority now requests the Board of Supervisors to adopt Resolution No. 4051, which resolution is on today's calendar.

The resolution provides that the City and County of San Francisco shall cooperate with the Housing Authority eliminating some of the unsafe and insanitary dwelling units within the City and County to a degree equal to number of new dwelling units to be provided in a low cost, low rent, housing project; not, however, to exceed 660 unsafe or insanitary dwelling units. The City and County agreeing to eliminate said dwelling units either by demolition, abatement proceedings or by repairs or improvement.

For the purpose of the agreement "a dwelling unit shall be considered unsafe and insanitary whenever by reason of dilapidated condition, faulty arrangement or design, lack of ventilation, light or sanitary facilities, or any combination of these factors, it is detrimental to safety, health or morals".

Under the Congressional Act, Section 2, Subsection 3, the foregoing is defined as a slum.

Our City Attorney advised your Committee the intent of the resolution is merely a commitment by the City and County that the Health Department will continue checking buildings as to unsafe and insanitary conditions.

I consider this matter of Low Cost Housing and Tax Exemption of vital importance and appreciating that you are busy men and perhaps not familiar with the Act passed by the 75th Congress or the Act passed by the California Legislature at the recent special session, and inasmuch as said legislation bears on this resolution, I feel that the Act of Congress and of the Legislature should be reviewed, made part of the record and printed in the Journal.

There was furnished each member of this Board eight bulletins, also the purposes, powers and functions of the United States Housing Authority prepared by Nathan Straus, Administrator, which publications set forth the purpose of the Act passed by the 75th Congress.

The act passed by the 75th Congress provides for the "elimination of unsafe and insanitary conditions, for the eradication of slums, for the provision of decent, safe and sanitary dwellings for families of low income and for the reduction of unemployment and the stimulation of business."

Assembly Bill No. 4, passed by the State Legislature at the recent special session, provides: "An Act to declare the necessity of public bodies, corporate and political, to be known as Housing Authorities to undertake slum clearance and projects to provide dwelling accommodations for persons of low income."

A slum, as defined in the dictionary, is a district inhabited by the poorer class, where insanitary conditions are paramount, where there is much juvenile delinquency, where moral standards are low and said district inhabited by criminal classes. *Such slums do not exist in San Francisco.*

The Department of Interior United States Housing Authority in its



bulletin March 1, 1938, sets forth the following examples of slum conditions in several cities, but makes no reference to San Francisco.

*Cleveland:* In a slum area in Cleveland lives  $2\frac{1}{2}$  per cent of the city's population, and in this area 21 per cent of all murders were committed, 26 per cent of disorderly houses are located, 6.8 per cent of juvenile delinquency was created. *No such conditions exist in our fair City.*

*Chicago:* "In one slum area of Chicago 26 per cent of all boys between the ages of 10 and 16 passed through the Juvenile Court in one year."

*Louisville, Ky.:* Typical year. "East end slum area occupied by 824 families; cost to the city \$68,129 for hospitalization, crime delinquency, relief and public nursing, with only \$18,000 levied for taxes on the area and not all collected." *No such condition exists in San Francisco.*

*Hartford, Conn.:* "Slum areas occupy one-tenth of the city's area, and houses one-fourth of the population. From the slum areas come 51 per cent of all T. B. cases, 57 per cent of all juvenile delinquency, 68 per cent of all relief cases, 38 per cent of all commitments for mental disease,  $62\frac{1}{2}$  per cent of all arrests for adult delinquency,  $75\frac{1}{2}$  per cent of all arrests for burglary and theft; 6 per cent of the budget was spent to maintain one slum district comprising only 1 per cent of its area. In this district, the city spent \$465,900 and collected only \$104,200 in taxes." *Such a condition does not exist in our City.*

President Roosevelt is to be complimented for insisting upon legislation that will eradicate such slum conditions.

San Francisco's problem is different. San Francisco, in the main, is provided with flats of three stories and various sized apartments to house its people. These flats and apartments are principally located in the area north of Market and east of Stanyan street extended. West of Stanyan street are mostly two-story flats and in the main three-story apartment houses. East of Stanyan, the buildings cover between 50 and 85 per cent of the area.

True, many of these buildings come within the definition of slums, as provided in the Congressional Act, Section 2, Subsection "3", which provides: "The term 'slum' means any area where dwellings predominate which by reason of dilapidation, overcrowding, faulty arrangement or design, lack of ventilation, light and sanitation facilities, or any combination of these factors, are detrimental to safety, health or morals."

Under strict interpretation of the foregoing, at least 80 per cent of all flats and apartments east of Stanyan street extended and north of Market street fall within the slum category, above cited. *Perfectly absurd.* The lower floor of any three-story flat is, with few exceptions, dark, likewise the rooms on the first floor are dark, but as a consequence are rented more cheaply.

To bring these flats and apartments to the rules of the Housing Authority, of 30 per cent area coverage, would practically require rebuilding the district north of Market street and east of Stanyan street extended. *This is out of the question.*

#### *Low Rent Character Project.*

"The Act is designed to serve only those who are both ill housed and have small incomes. The local public Housing Agency must demonstrate that there is need for the project in the community and that the project will be low enough to meet the need."

I presume that before action is taken by the Housing Authorities this information will be forthcoming.

I take it this resolution involves the city through its Health Department to point out 660 dwellings wherein the dwelling is unsafe and insanitary, and a credit is to be at least equal in number to the

newly constructed dwelling units to be provided by the low cost Housing Project.

*Legislative Powers Vested in Housing Authority.*

The Act of the State Legislature empowers the Housing Authority with the right of Eminent Domain, in fact it clothes the Housing Authority with plenary powers, the only limitation being the Housing Authority cannot levy taxes.

I understand that the local Housing Authority favors the demolition of twelve blocks adjacent to Hayes street, or sixteen blocks adjacent to Eddy street, street closing, rerouting of street cars, limiting the area to be improved with buildings to 30 per cent. Why does the above information come to the Supervisors indirectly?

Assembly Bill No. 4, Section 7, Subdivision (f), reads: "Recommendations relating to the problem of cleaning, replacing and reconstructing slum areas and the problem of providing dwelling accommodations for persons of low income and to cooperate with the City and County, the State, or any political subdivision thereof, in action taken in connection with such problems."

Why has the local Housing Committee failed to cooperate with the Board of Supervisors, an elected body, on such a vital matter as demolition of from twelve to sixteen blocks of buildings, rerouting of street cars, closing a street, and of paramount importance, increase of the taxpayers' burden three or four cents in the tax rate.

Are the majority of the members of the Board of Supervisors considered to be "yes-men", else why are the Supervisors not consulted?

*Regarding Tax Exemption.*

In Bulletin No. 6, Nathan Straus, Authority, sets forth the following:

*Annual Contributions by Local Agencies.*

"The U. S. Housing Act provides that local annual contributions must be made for all low rent housing projects which receive annual contributions from the U. S. Housing Authority." Section 10 (a) of the Act states "that no annual contributions from the U. S. H. A. shall be made available for any project unless and until the State, City, County or other political subdivision in which such project is situated shall contribute in the form of cash or tax remission, general or special, or tax exemptions, at least 20 per cent of the annual contributions provided by U. S. H. A."

In addition to this, other provisions of the Act necessitate local annual contributions substantially greater than those set forth above, namely: the provisions that U. S. H. A. may extend financial assistance only for low rent housing projects serving families who are in the lowest income group.

"The low rent necessary to reach such families can in most cases be achieved only where substantial annual contributions are made by the U. S. H. A. and by the localities. For this reason, the U. S. H. A., although not required by the Act to make annual contributions, is prepared to make substantial contributions often approaching or even reaching the maximum permitted by the law. The localities likewise will need to make substantial contributions in general well above the minimum required by Section 10 (a) quoted above."

The Board of Supervisors is entitled to be told what the taxpayers' burden will be to meet the above conditions in Section 10 (a) of the Congressional Act.

Quoting Mr. Wm. J. Losch of the Examiner, "San Francisco is about to embark on a venture fraught with probable change, not only in the physical aspect of some sections of the City, but in the lives of its resident families as well.

"It is to be an experiment in furnishing better living, better homes,



for that portion of the population hitherto unable to cope with this problem by its own unaided efforts."

What lies ahead of San Francisco? No one knows definitely, not even the Housing Authority.

The goal, to be specific, is to take, say John Jones, from the dark crowded twenty dollar a month flat in which he and his family of three children and wife are living and place them, at the same rental, in a sunny, healthy home—for the good of society.

Congress has appropriated \$500,000,000 into the lap of the Housing Authority to commence operation. Congress decrees that this sum shall be distributed as loans to be spent for local housing as the Federal Authority sees fit, to eradicate blighted dwelling areas and make it possible for John Jones to raise his family in happy, healthy surroundings at a rent that he can afford to pay. Whether the experiment will really end in helping John Jones or whether it will prove a failure, cannot be foretold now.

Mr. Baker, a citizen of San Francisco, head of a family of five on WPA, wages of \$55 per month, being in the low wage bracket and to whom the Act specifically refers, and aims to provide Mr. Baker with low cost housing, informed your Committee as follows:

"I require five rooms for my wife and three children and, receiving only \$55 a month, I cannot afford to pay \$20 a month for the five rooms plus the cost of utilities estimated at \$5 a month, or a total of \$25."

As a matter of fact, adopting the average of rent and utilities set up by Mr. Nathan Straus in the following cities, Mr. Baker's total for rent and utilities for five rooms would amount to \$34.20 instead of \$25, and furthermore, Mr. Baker stated he is not financially able to furnish the five-room apartment, therefore the rent in the proposed low cost Housing Project does not, as contemplated, provide better housing for those of low income in the City and County of San Francisco.

Following is the monthly per room rental in a number of typical projects as set forth by Mr. Nathan Straus in the following localities:

Lockfield Garden Apartments, Indianapolis.....	\$4.43
University Homes, Atlanta.....	5.11
Smithfield Courts, Birmingham.....	4.50
Meeting Street Manor, Charleston.....	4.98
Outhwait Homes, Cleveland.....	4.78
Newtowne Courts, Cambridge.....	4.64
Average for all Chicago projects.....	5.43

"In addition to the rent, the charges for heat, hot water, gas and electricity for lighting, cooking and refrigeration averages around two dollars per room."

No consideration is provided in the Act of Congress for the single person who occupies a furnished room and pays a rental of two dollars and twenty-five cents a week, including gas and light. Mr. Wilder informed your Committee: "There will be a number of two-room apartments," no one-room apartments are contemplated, therefore, what is to become of the single person living in a one abode? This person is in the low income bracket and there are thousands of them in San Francisco.

Naturally, the new apartments, at a lower rental will attract tenants living in adjacent buildings, with the result that the owners of the adjacent properties will suffer a financial loss in vacancies, and in addition thereto must contribute in taxation to the City's contribution of tax exemption, and said owner will continue to pay taxes upon the vacant property while his neighbor, the Housing Authority, is tax exempt.

The only way to ascertain what the results of the contemplated low cost Housing Project will accomplish is to try it in a small area.

Of utmost importance is the single unit dwelling, consisting of four

or five rooms: a liberal number of single unit dwellings should be immediately provided. An ideal site for same is the City owned property adjacent to Phelan avenue. The area of said property covers about twelve blocks and is ideal for families with children, school facilities being in close proximity to the property. There is a crying demand for four and five room dwellings renting at fifteen to twenty dollars per month. No such homes are available in the entire Mission section.

Quoting Dr. J. C. Geiger, Health Director of San Francisco:

*"What is the remedy for overcrowding?"*

"We must encourage the construction of individual dwellings as opposed to the multiple type dwelling. The single or two-family house with surrounding yard space does reduce overcrowding. Adequate light and ventilation are more readily obtained. Yard space invites people to spend more time in the open. Children can play out of doors. In the multiple dwelling, covering most of the lot space, the growing child must remain indoors or play in the streets. The latter is an unfavorable environment, as it increases street accidents and affects not only the physical, but the moral background of the child."

An apartment is a roof over one's head, whereas a bungalow is a home.

The 75th Congress should make possible the *purchase* of the home, as the family emerges from its temporary financial embarrassment.

In conclusion, inasmuch as the City Attorney informed me the Supervisors burned their bridge when adopting Resolution No. 3874, as to the City borrowing any part of \$15,000,000 and carrying out the project of low cost housing, this leaves us no alternative than the adoption of Resolution No. 4051.

I would feel remiss in my duty, as a member of the Board of Supervisors, if I failed to present the above information as a part of the record.

#### Adopted.

Thereupon, the foregoing resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Shannon—3.

#### Adopted.

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Chief Administrative Officer Alfred J. Cleary.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 4080, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Chief Administrative Officer Alfred J. Cleary is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of one week, commencing July 2, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Shannon—3.

**Leave of Absence—Miss Margaret Ennis, Member Board of Permit Appeals.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 4081, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor



the Mayor, Miss Margaret Ennis, member of the Board of Permit Appeals, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period from June 29 to July 12, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Shannon—3.

# **ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

*Adopted.*

The following recommendation of his Honor the Mayor was taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Hon. Dewey Mead, Member Board of Supervisors.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 4082, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Dewey Mead, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of two weeks, commencing July 8, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Shannon—3.

**Furnishing Ammunition for Police Department Target Practice.**

(Code No. 11.01)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 4083, as follows:

Whereas, the members of the San Francisco Police are required, in the course of their duties, to be proficient in the use of firearms; and

Whereas, it is of vital importance, in the suppression of crime and the apprehension of criminals, that the members of the San Francisco Police Department be skilled in the use of firearms; and

Whereas, the members of the Police Department are severely hampered in their target practice because of the fact that no ammunition is supplied to them by the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, this is a situation which does not make for the best interests of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors be and is hereby authorized and directed to consider this matter and to devise ways and means whereby the members of the Police Department may be supplied with ammunition for target practice.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

## **Sale of School Department Land, College Hill.**

Supervisor Reilly called attention to proposed sale of School Department land in block bounded by Highland avenue, Holly Park Circle, Appleton avenue and Patton street, the estimated value of which is \$60,000, and moved that the Board of Education be requested to furnish information to the Board of Supervisors as to why \$250,000 was expended for the purchase of land for a school building and later abandoned the idea.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

**Native Sons' Celebration at Vallejo.**

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the Chair be requested to appoint a committee of three to attend the Native Sons' celebration in Vallejo this year.

*Motion carried.*

**Visit to Hetch Hetchy Dam.**

Supervisor Roncovieri moved that all the members of the Board of Supervisors go to Hetch Hetchy to inspect the dam during the President's anticipated visit there.

*Motion carried.*

**Extension of Time, Payment of Dog Licenses.**

Supervisor McSheehy presented a request for extension of time for obtaining dog licenses to August 1, 1938.

*Request approved by Board.*

**RECESS.**

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 5:40 p. m., recessed to re-convene on July 7, 1938, at 2 p. m., and sit as a Board of Equalization.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors July 11, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, David A. Barry, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

DAVID A. BARRY,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.



Vol. 33

No. 30

Monday, July 11, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.

Monday, July 11, 1933

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JULY 11, 1938, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, July 11, 1938,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Ratto, Shannon—3.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Reilly presiding in absence of President Shannon.

Supervisor Mead was noted present at 2:20 p. m.

Supervisor Ratto was noted present at 2:30 p. m.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of July 5, 1938, was considered read and approved.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

**Authorizing a Supplemental Appropriation of \$2,809.60 Out of the Surplus Existing in the Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund to the Credit of Appropriation No. 768.705.00 to Provide Funds for the Payment of an Award Dated May 4, 1938, Under Industrial Accident Commission Claim No. 58213—Stella Magee and Stanley Mark Magee, a Minor, Occasioned by the Death of Mark Magee.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1600, Ordinance No. 9.051487, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$2,809.60 out of the surplus existing in the Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 768.705.00 to provide funds for the payment of an award dated May 4, 1938, under Industrial Accident Commission Claim No. 58213—Stella Magee and Stanley Mark Magee, a minor, occasioned by the death of Mark Magee.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,809.60 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 768.705.00 to be used for the purpose of providing funds for the payment of an award dated May 4, 1938, under Industrial Accident Commission Claim No. 58213 to Stella Magee and Stanley Mark Magee, a minor, by his guardian ad litem and trustee, Stella Magee vs. City and County of San Francisco, occasioned by the

death of Mark Magee and held by said Industrial Accident Commission as having arisen out of and in course of employment with the Hetch Hetchy Project, said sum being necessary to meet the payments under said award to June 30, 1938.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Reilly, Roncoviari, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Ratto, Shannon—3.

**Authorizing a Supplemental Appropriation of \$1,500 from the Emergency Reserve Fund to the Credit of Appropriation No. 764-900-00—San Francisco Airport—Services of Other Departments, to Provide Funds to Determine Annual Depreciation for the Airport, as Required Under the Provisions of Section 128 of the Charter.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1601, Ordinance No. 9.051488, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$1,500 from the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 764-900-00—San Francisco Airport—services of other departments, to provide funds to determine annual depreciation for the Airport, as required under the provisions of Section 128 of the Charter.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$1,500 is hereby appropriated from the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 764-900-00—San Francisco Airport—services of other departments, for the purpose of providing funds to determine annual depreciation for the Airport, as required under the provisions of Section 128 of the Charter.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Reilly, Roncoviari, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Ratto, Shannon—3.

**Specifying the Various Officers and Employees of the City and County of San Francisco Who Shall Be Bonded for the Faithful Performance of Their Respective Duties Where Bonds Are Not Specifically Required by the Charter; Fixing the Amount of the Suretyship to Be Given by Said Officers and Employees; Providing for the Payment of Premiums Thereon and for the Custody of Said Suretyship and Providing for the Form Thereof.**

(Code No. 4.04)

Also, Bill No. 1603, Ordinance No. 4.045, as follows:

Specifying the Various Officers and Employees of the City and County of San Francisco Who Shall Be Bonded for the Faithful Performance of Their Respective Duties Where Bonds Are Not Specifically Required by the Charter; Fixing the Amount of the Suretyship to be Given by Said Officers and Employees; Providing for the Payment of Premiums Thereon and for the Custody of Said Suretyship and Providing for the Form Thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to the provisions of Sections 8 and 19 of the Charter incumbents of the respective offices and employments of the City and County of San Francisco hereinafter mentioned, shall be bonded to the City and County of San Francisco in the form and in the manner hereinafter provided for the faithful performance of the respective duties of their respective offices and employments, which said suretyship shall be given within ten days after this ordinance becomes effective and will be required as long as said officers and employees hold their respective positions. That the offices and employments, the incumbents of which shall give suretyship as herein



provided, and the minimum amounts of each suretyship are specified in Section 2 to Section 54 of this ordinance.

**Section No. 2—ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT:**

Bookkeeper .....	\$ 2,000	
Chief Adult Probation Officer.....	1,000	
Probation Officer .....	1,000	\$ 4,000

**Section No. 3—ASSESSOR:**

Supervisor of Accounts and Records.....	\$10,000	
Senior Clerk .....	2,000	\$ 12,000

**Section No. 4—CALIFORNIA PALACE OF THE LEGION OF HONOR:**

Director .....	\$ 5,000	
Assistant Director .....	5,000	
Secretary, Board of Trustees.....	2,500	
Engineer and Building Superintendent.....	2,000	
Organist .....	2,000	
Supervisor .....	2,000	
Gallerymen (5) each.....	2,000	
Gallery Assistant .....	2,000	
Head Janitor .....	2,000	
Janitor's Assistant .....	2,000	
Assistant Mechanic .....	2,000	
Recorder .....	2,000	
Stenographers (2) each.....	2,000	
Librarian .....	2,000	
Caretakers (3) each .....	2,000	
Watchmen (3) each .....	2,000	\$ 56,500

**Section No. 5—CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER:**

Chief Administrative Officer .....	\$50,000	\$ 50,000
------------------------------------	----------	-----------

**Section No. 6—CITY PLANNING COMMISSION:**

Secretary and Engineer.....	\$ 500	
City Planning Draftsman .....	500	
Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman.....	500	\$ 1,500

**Section No. 7—CONTROLLER:**

Chief Assistant Controller .....	\$50,000	
Supervisor, General Audits .....	10,000	
Supervisor, Utility Audits .....	10,000	
Supervisor of Disbursements .....	50,000	
Asst. Supervisors of Disbursements (2) each....	25,000	
Senior Accountant .....	25,000	
Supervisor of Payrolls .....	5,000	
Accountant .....	1,000	
Senior Bookkeeper .....	5,000	
Senior Bookkeepers (6) each.....	1,000	
Bookkeeper .....	5,000	
Bookkeepers (5) each .....	1,000	
Executive Secretary to Controller.....	5,000	
Head Clerks (2) each.....	5,000	
Senior Clerk .....	25,000	
General Clerk-Stenographer .....	5,000	\$267,000

**Section No. 8—CORONER:**

Coroner .....	\$10,000	
Coroner's Chief Investigator.....	1,000	
Coroner's Investigators (4) each.....	1,000	
Morgue Ambulance Drivers (3) each.....	500	\$ 16,500

**Section No. 9—DISTRICT ATTORNEY:**

Principal Attorney, Criminal.....	\$10,000	
General Clerk .....	5,000	
Criminal Law Clerks (4) each.....	1,000	
Attorney, Criminal .....	1,000	
Senior Attorneys, Criminal (2) each.....	1,000	\$ 22,000

**Section No. 10—EDUCATION, BOARD OF:**

Secretary .....	\$10,000	
Superintendent of Schools.....	5,000	
Senior Accountant .....	5,000	
Supervisor "B" .....	1,000	\$ 21,000

**Section No. 11—ELECTRICITY, DEPARTMENT OF:**

Chief, Department of Electricity.....	\$10,000	
Senior Clerk .....	5,000	
General Clerk .....	5,000	
Superintendent of Plant .....	5,000	\$ 25,000

**Section No. 12—FINANCE AND RECORDS, DEPARTMENT OF:**

Director of Finance and Records.....	\$10,000	\$ 10,000
--------------------------------------	----------	-----------

**Section No. 13—FINANCE AND RECORDS, DEPARTMENT OF: COUNTY CLERK:**

Chief Clerk .....	\$ 5,000	
Cashier .....	15,000	
Senior Criminal Law Clerk.....	2,000	
Civil Law Clerk.....	2,000	
General Clerks (2) each.....	2,000	
General Clerk-Stenographers (2) each.....	2,000	\$ 32,000

**Section No. 14—FINANCE AND RECORDS, DEPARTMENT OF: PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR:**

Head Clerk .....	\$ 2,000	
Bookkeeper .....	2,000	\$ 4,000

**Section No. 15—FINANCE AND RECORDS, DEPARTMENT OF: RECORDER:**

Recorder .....	\$10,000	
Chief Clerk .....	2,000	
Teller .....	1,000	
General Clerk .....	1,000	\$ 14,000

**Section No. 16—FINANCE AND RECORDS, DEPARTMENT OF: REGISTRAR OF VOTERS:**

Registrar of Voters .....	\$ 3,000	
Senior Clerk .....	1,000	\$ 4,000

**Section No. 17—FINANCE AND RECORDS, DEPARTMENT OF: TAX COLLECTOR:**

Chief Teller .....	\$15,000	
Senior Teller .....	10,000	
Teller .....	5,000	
Teller .....	1,000	
License Adjusters (3) each.....	1,000	
Head Clerk .....	3,000	
Director, Delinquent Revenue.....	5,000	
Director, License Bureau .....	1,000	
Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	1,000	
General Clerk-Stenographer .....	1,000	
Attorney, Civil .....	1,000	
General Clerks (29) each .....	1,000	\$ 75,000



## Section No. 18—FIRE DEPARTMENT:

Chief Clerk and Secretary.....	\$10,000	\$ 10,000
--------------------------------	----------	-----------

## Section No. 19—HORTICULTURAL INSPECTION DEPARTMENT:

County Agricultural Commissioner .....	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,000
--	----------	----------

## Section No. 20—JUVENILE COURT, PROBATION DEPARTMENT:

Chief Probation Officer .....	\$ 5,000	
Senior Probation Officer .....	5,000	
Bookkeeper .....	5,000	
Bookkeeper .....	1,000	
Collector .....	1,000	\$ 17,000

## Section No. 21—M. H. DE YOUNG MEMORIAL MUSEUM:

Director .....	\$ 5,000	
Secretary, Board of Trustees.....	2,500	
Supervisor of Exhibits .....	2,500	
Secretary to Director .....	2,000	
Recorder .....	2,000	
Museum Instructors (3) each.....	2,000	
Assistant Museum Instructor.....	2,000	
Stenographer-Bookkeeper .....	2,000	
Curator of Prints .....	2,000	
Clerk .....	2,000	
Head Galleryman .....	2,000	
Assistant Head Galleryman .....	2,000	
Gallerymen (14) each .....	2,000	
Head Caretaker .....	2,000	
Caretakers (3) each.....	2,000	
Labeler .....	2,000	
Checker .....	2,000	
Mechanic .....	2,000	
Assistant Mechanic .....	2,000	
Janitor .....	2,000	
Assistant Janitor .....	2,000	
Expert Repairman .....	2,000	
Installation Man .....	2,000	
Utility Man .....	2,000	
Watchmen (4) each.....	2,000	\$ 94,000

## Section No. 22—MAYOR:

Head Clerk-Stenographer .....	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,000
-------------------------------	----------	----------

## Section No. 23—MUNICIPAL COURT:

Clerk of the Municipal Court.....	\$10,000	
Cashier .....	2,000	
Senior Criminal Law Clerk.....	2,000	
Senior Civil Law Clerks (4) each.....	1,000	
Chief Assistant Clerk.....	1,000	
Head Clerk .....	1,000	
Criminal Law Clerk.....	1,000	
Civil Law Clerks (4) each.....	1,000	
Court Room Clerks, Criminal (4) each.....	1,000	
Court Room Clerks, Civil (8) each.....	1,000	
General Clerk .....	1,000	
General Clerk-Typists (3) each.....	1,000	\$ 41,000

## Section No. 24—PARK DEPARTMENT:

Superintendent .....	\$ 5,000	
Assistant Superintendents (3) each.....	1,000	
Secretary .....	5,000	
Accountant .....	1,000	
Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	1,000	
General Clerk-Stenographers (2) each.....	500	
Chief Cashier .....	10,000	
Managers (7) each .....	1,000	
Assistant Managers (3) each .....	500	
Assistant Manager, Kezar .....	2,000	
Cashiers (5) each .....	500	
Waitresses (3) each .....	500	
Golf Starters (4) each.....	1,000	
Assistant Golf Starters (2) each.....	500	
Caretakers, Tennis (2) each.....	500	
Supervisor Materials and Supplies.....	5,000	
Superintendent Restaurant Activities .....	5,000	
Athletic Organizer .....	5,000	
Assistant Athletic Organizer .....	1,000	
Inspector of Personnel .....	2,000	
Timekeeper .....	2,000	
Assistant Timekeeper .....	1,000	
Director of Zoo.....	1,000	
Clerks, Commissary (4) each.....	1,000	
Attendant Coit Tower .....	500	
Clerk, Tennis .....	500	\$ 73,500

## Section No. 25—POLICE DEPARTMENT:

Property Clerk .....	\$10,000	\$ 10,000
----------------------	----------	-----------

## Section No. 26—PUBLIC HEALTH, DEPARTMENT OF: CENTRAL OFFICE:

Director of Public Health.....	\$10,000	
Assistant Director of Public Health.....	5,000	
Senior Accountant .....	5,000	\$ 20,000

## Section No. 27—PUBLIC HEALTH, DEPARTMENT OF: HASSLER HEALTH FARM:

Superintendent .....	\$ 2,000	\$ 2,000
----------------------	----------	----------

## Section No. 28—PUBLIC HEALTH, DEPARTMENT OF: LAGUNA HONDA HOME:

Superintendent .....	\$10,000	
Assistant to Superintendent .....	3,000	
Senior Pharmacist .....	2,000	\$ 15,000

## Section No. 29—PUBLIC HEALTH, DEPARTMENT OF: SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL:

Superintendent .....	\$10,000	
Head Clerk .....	5,000	
Senior Pharmacist .....	2,000	\$ 17,000

## Section No. 30—PUBLIC LIBRARY:

Secretary .....	\$ 2,000	\$ 2,000
-----------------	----------	----------

## Section No. 31—PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION: AIRPORT:

Superintendent .....	\$ 2,500	
Assistant Superintendent .....	2,500	
General Clerk-Stenographer.....	1,000	\$ 6,000



Section No. 32—PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION: ENGINEERING:

Utilities Engineer.....	\$ 5,000	
Senior Civil Engineer.....	2,500	
Assistant Engineer.....	2,500	
Senior Accountant .....	5,000	
Accountant .....	2,000	
Bookkeeper .....	1,000	
Office Assistant.....	1,000	
General Clerk-Stenographer.....	1,000	\$ 20,000

Section No. 33: PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION: GENERAL OFFICE:

Manager of Utilities.....	\$15,000	
Secretary, Utilities Commission.....	1,000	
Assistant Supervisor, Bureau of Accounts.....	5,000	\$ 21,000

Section No. 34—PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION: HETCH HETCHY POWER DIVISION:

Manager and Chief Engineer.....	\$ 5,000	
Electrical Engineer.....	2,500	
Chief Operator .....	1,000	
General Clerk.....	1,000	
Bookkeeper .....	1,000	
General Clerk-Typist .....	1,000	\$ 11,500

Section No. 35—PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION: MUNICIPAL RAILWAY:

Manager .....	\$10,000	
Senior Accountant.....	2,500	
Accountant .....	2,500	
Head Clerk.....	2,500	
General Clerk.....	2,500	
General Clerks (3), each .....	1,000	
General Clerk-Stenographers (2), each .....	1,000	
Superintendent of Transportation.....	2,500	
Assistant Superintendent of Transportation.....	2,500	
Division Superintendents (2), each.....	2,500	
Day Dispatchers (2), each.....	1,000	
Claims Adjuster.....	5,000	
Inspectors (11), each.....	1,000	
Junior Inspectors (10), each.....	1,000	\$ 63,000

Section No. 36—PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION: WATER DEPARTMENT:

General Manager and Chief Engineer.....	\$10,000	
Auditor .....	5,000	
Senior Accountant.....	5,000	
Senior Bookkeeper.....	1,250	
Cashier .....	1,250	
Head Clerk.....	1,250	
Head Clerk.....	5,000	
Senior Clerks (4), each.....	1,250	
Senior Clerk .....	5,000	
General Clerks (37), each.....	1,250	
General Clerks (2), each.....	2,500	
General Clerk.....	5,000	
General Clerk-Stenographers (2), each.....	1,250	
General Clerk-Stenographer.....	1,500	
General Clerk-Typist .....	1,250	
Office Assistant (4), each.....	1,250	

**Section No. 36—PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION: WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued):**

Assistant Manager, Water Sales.....	1,250	
Manager, Water Sales.....	1,250	
Assistant Supervisor—Consumers' Accounts.....	2,500	
Supervisor—Consumers' Accounts.....	2,500	
Supervisor—Closing Bills.....	1,250	
Supervisor—Service and Supply.....	1,250	
Supervisor of Collections.....	5,000	
Supervisor—Docks and Shipping.....	1,250	
Consumers' Complaint Investigator.....	1,250	
Special Complaint Inspector.....	1,250	
Contractor and Building Inspector.....	1,250	
Shut-Off Men (8), each.....	1,250	
Senior Storekeeper.....	1,250	
Foreman Meter Repairer.....	1,250	
Foreman Machinist.....	1,250	
Superintendent Peninsula District.....	1,250	
Assistant Superintendent Peninsula District.....	1,250	
General Storekeeper.....	1,250	
Meter Man, Country.....	1,250	
Superintendent Alameda District.....	1,250	
Assistant Superintendent Alameda District.....	1,250	
Assistant Superintendent—Agriculture.....	1,250	\$147,750

**Section No. 37—PUBLIC WELFARE DEPARTMENT:**

Director of Public Welfare.....	\$25,000	
Director of Indigent Relief Division.....	10,000	
Director of County Welfare Division.....	10,000	
General Clerk.....	1,000	
Senior Clerk.....	1,000	\$ 47,000

**Section No. 38—PUBLIC WORKS, DEPARTMENT OF: BUREAU OF ARCHITECTURE:**

City Architect.....	\$10,000	\$ 10,000
---------------------	----------	-----------

**Section No. 39—PUBLIC WORKS, DEPARTMENT OF: BUREAU OF BUILDING INSPECTION:**

Superintendent .....	\$10,000	\$ 10,000
----------------------	----------	-----------

**Section No. 40—PUBLIC WORKS, DEPARTMENT OF: BUREAU OF BUILDING REPAIR:**

Superintendent .....	\$ 5,000	\$ 5,000
----------------------	----------	----------

**Section No. 41—PUBLIC WORKS, DEPARTMENT OF: BUREAU OF COST ACCOUNTING:**

Head Clerk.....	\$ 5,000	
Senior Clerk.....	2,500	
General Clerks (3), each.....	2,500	\$ 15,000

**Section No. 42—PUBLIC WORKS, DEPARTMENT OF: BUREAU OF ENGINEERING:**

City Engineer.....	\$10,000	
General Clerk.....	1,000	
Senior Clerk.....	1,000	\$ 12,000

**Section No. 43—PUBLIC WORKS, DEPARTMENT OF: BUREAU OF SEWER REPAIRS:**

Superintendent .....	\$ 5,000	\$ 5,000
----------------------	----------	----------



**Section No. 44—PUBLIC WORKS, DEPARTMENT OF: BUREAU OF STREETS:**

General Superintendent of Streets .....	\$ 5,000	\$ 5,000
---	----------	----------

**Section No. 45—PUBLIC WORKS, DEPARTMENT OF: CENTRAL PERMIT BUREAU:**

Head Clerk .....	\$10,000	
General Clerks (3), each .....	2,000	
General Clerk .....	1,000	
General Clerk-Typist .....	1,000	\$ 18,000

**Section No. 46—PUBLIC WORKS, DEPARTMENT OF: GENERAL OFFICE:**

Director .....	\$25,000	
Chief Clerk .....	5,000	
Head Clerk .....	2,000	\$ 32,000

**Section No. 47—PURCHASING DEPARTMENT:**

Purchaser of Supplies .....	\$25,000	
Chief Accountant .....	1,000	
General Storekeepers (4), each .....	2,000	
Storekeeper .....	3,000	
Storekeeper .....	2,000	
Storekeeper .....	1,000	
Produce Buyer and General Storekeeper .....	2,000	
Produce Buyer and Storekeeper .....	3,000	
Produce Buyer and Storekeeper .....	2,000	
Produce Buyer and Storekeeper .....	1,000	
Foreman Laborer .....	1,000	
Laborer .....	1,000	\$ 50,000

**Section No. 48—REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT:**

Chief Right of Way Agent .....	\$25,000	
Assistant Chief Right of Way Agent .....	1,000	
Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman .....	1,000	
Division Right of Way Agent .....	1,000	
Superintendent of Civic Auditorium .....	5,000	
General Clerk-Stenographer .....	1,000	\$ 34,000

**Section No. 49—RECREATION DEPARTMENT:**

Camp Manager .....	\$ 3,000	
Bookkeeper .....	3,000	
Bookkeepers (2), each .....	1,250	
Secretary .....	1,250	
Business Manager .....	3,000	
General Clerk .....	1,250	\$ 14,000

**Section No. 50—RETIREMENT BOARD:**

Secretary-Actuary .....	\$25,000	\$ 25,000
-------------------------	----------	-----------

**Section No. 51—SHERIFF:**

Head Clerk .....	\$25,000	
General Clerks (2), each .....	1,000	
Superintendents of Jail (2), each .....	1,000	\$ 29,000

**Section No. 52—SUPERIOR COURT:**

Secretary and Jury Commissioner .....	\$10,000	\$ 10,000
---------------------------------------	----------	-----------

## Section No. 53—SUPERVISORS, BOARD OF:

Clerk, Board of Supervisors .....	\$10,000	\$ 10,000
-----------------------------------	----------	-----------

## Section No. 54—TREASURER:

Assistant Cashier .....	\$25,000	
Assistant Cashier .....	5,000	
Senior Teller .....	10,000	
Senior Accountant .....	5,000	
Accountant .....	5,000	
Senior Tellers (2), each .....	5,000	
Tellers (4), each .....	5,000	
General Clerk .....	5,000	\$ 85,000

---

\$1,603,250

Section 55. The surety of said bond shall be a duly organized surety company authorized to do business in the State of California in the manner provided by law, and the original bond or suretyship shall be filed with the Controller and a duplicate or proper certificate shall be filed with the head of the department in which the employment or office is located. Where the bond or suretyship of any officer or employee is required by Charter or the general laws of the State to be recorded in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, the original of said bond shall be recorded and when the same is recorded in the proper book or record it shall be returned to the Controller and no fee shall be charged by the Recorder for the recording of said bond, as said bonds or suretyship are hereby declared to be public documents.

Section 56. All bonds or suretyship shall be duly conditioned that the officer or employee giving the same shall well and faithfully perform all of his official duties required of him at the time said bond is given or that may thereafter be imposed or required of him by law, ordinance or Charter and that at the expiration of his term of office or employment he will surrender to his successor all property, books, papers and documents that may come into his possession as such officer or employee.

Section 57. All bonds or suretyship issued by authority of this ordinance shall be approved by the City Attorney as to form and shall thereupon be approved as to sufficiency of surety by the Controller and shall thereupon be filed with the Controller as hereinbefore set forth.

Whenever any officer or employee who is covered by any bond or suretyship written or issued under authority of this ordinance shall cease to hold the office or position for which said bond or suretyship was issued, the head of the department in which said officer or employee was serving shall notify the Purchaser of Supplies and the Controller of said fact, and shall also notify said Purchaser of Supplies and Controller of the name of the officer or employee who has been appointed to succeed said officer or employee. Thereupon the Purchaser of Supplies shall forthwith notify the company carrying the said bond or suretyship for said office position of said change in the occupancy thereof and arrange with said company that the new appointee shall be covered by said bond or suretyship.

Whenever any officer or employee shall cease to hold any office or employment covered by any bond or suretyship written pursuant to this ordinance and the head of the department concerned notifies the Purchaser of Supplies and Controller of said fact, he shall advise said Controller if any liability has accrued in favor of the City or in favor of any officer thereof on said bond or suretyship, and if no such liability has accrued, the Controller shall recommend to the Board of Supervisors that said bond or suretyship covering said officer or employee be exonerated so far as such officer or employee is concerned, and the Board may by resolution exonerate said bond or suretyship, provided that such exoneration shall not in any way release said bond or suretyship for



any liability which may have accrued while said officer or employee was covered by said bond or suretyship.

Section 58. All bonds heretofore given by any officer or employee, and which are required to be given pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance, are hereby declared to be bonds given in conformity with the provisions of this ordinance, provided the conditions in said bonds comply with the conditions herein contained.

Section 59. The bonds or suretyship herein provided for shall be obtained by the Purchaser of Supplies and the premiums thereon shall be paid by the City and County of San Francisco and where any officer or employee is an officer or employee of any department that is allowed by law a specific fund, then the cost of said bond shall be charged against said fund and the premium on all bonds covering any officer or employee of any utility or utilities shall be a charge against the fund of said utility or utilities and where said officer or employee serves more than one utility the premium on said bond shall be equitably prorated among the various utilities which said officer or employee may serve, and where any officer or employee is being paid from the proceeds of any bond issue the premium on any bond or suretyship written for said officer or employee shall be paid from said bond fund.

Section 60. Any bond or suretyship given for any employee or officer pursuant to this ordinance shall inure to the benefit of his superior, whenever said superior is liable for the acts or omissions of said employee or officer.

Section 61. The bonds or suretyship given for any department may be grouped so that all employees and officers of said department will be covered by one bond, when such procedure shall be deemed to be for the best interests of the department and the bonds given for all departments may be grouped so that all employees and officers of all departments will be covered by one bond.

All positions not heretofore bonded and for which bond is required under the provisions of this ordinance may be grouped under one schedule. In such event all other positions now bonded shall be added to the schedule as the respective anniversary dates of the existing bonds covering such positions shall be reached.

Section 62. Nothing herein contained shall in any way change or modify any Charter provision requiring a bond from any officer or employee, but the bonds herein required shall be supplemental to said bonds required by Charter.

Section 63. The respective sections of this ordinance covering the suretyship to be given by the officers or employees of any particular department may be covered by amendment of the appropriate section of this ordinance without the necessity of republishing said ordinance in its entirety and the consent of the surety shall be evidenced by a proper rider or endorsement to said bonds or suretyship.

Section 64. The surety of any said bond or suretyship may at any time terminate its liability on behalf of any officer and employee or other incumbent under said suretyship by giving thirty (30) days' notice in writing to the Purchaser of Supplies and the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco and likewise the Purchaser of Supplies and Controller of the City and County of San Francisco may cause the termination of the surety's liability on behalf of any and every officer, employee or other incumbent by notice in writing to the surety, specifying the date of cancellation. Upon the determination of the notice of cancellation and provided no loss has been reported, the pro rata unearned portion of premium shall be returned to the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 65. The several bonds herein provided, for the several officers and employees mentioned in this ordinance, shall be accepted in the place and stead of any bond heretofore given by any of said officers or employees for the faithful performance of the duties of their respective offices or employments; and as liability on some of said bonds heretofore given by said officers and employees ceased on or about the 10th day of April, 1938, this ordinance is hereby made retroactive as of said date,

and shall govern all of said bonds given by said officers and employees thereafter.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Ratto, Shannon—3.

### **Ordering the Construction of a Sewer in Athens Street Between Peru Avenue and Madison Street.**

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

(Code No. 12.0611)

Bill No. 1604, Ordinance No. 12.061197, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 7, 1938, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Athens street, between Peru avenue and Madison street by the construction of the following items:

Item No.

Item

1. 8-inch vitrified clay pipe sewer, in place.
2. 8-inch x 6-inch "Y" branches, in place.
3. Brick manholes, complete.
4. 6-inch vitrified clay pipe side sewers, in place.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as:

Lots 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42 and 43 of Block 5946; and

Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28 and 29 of Block 5947;

all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above mentioned improvement.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Ratto, Shannon—3.



# **Ordering the Improvement of Silver Avenue from Scotia Avenue to a Point 545 Feet, More or Less, Easterly. (Protest.)**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 1605, Ordinance No. 12.061198, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 7, 1938, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Silver avenue from Scotia avenue, 545 feet, more or less, easterly, by the construction of the following items:

Item No.	Item
1.	Unarmored concrete curb.
2.	12-inch vitrified clay pipe sewer, in place.
3.	8-inch vitrified clay pipe sewer, in place.
4.	Brick catch-basin, complete.
5.	10-inch vitrified clay pipe culvert, in place.
6.	6-inch vitrified clay pipe side-sewers, in place.
7.	Asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch Class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic-concrete wearing surface.
8.	Two-course concrete sidewalk.
9.	Water services.
10.	12-inch x 6-inch vitrified clay pipe "T" Branch, in place.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated, and numbered respectively as:

Block 5366 Lot 1;

Block 5379 Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16 and 17;

Block 5380 Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17 and 18;

Block 5381 Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 30;

Block 5382 Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29 and 30; and

Block 5383 Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 and 15;

all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

Finally passed by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

### Accepting the Roadway of Nueva Avenue Between Blanken and McKinley Avenues.

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 1606, Ordinance No. 12.081138, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Nueva avenue between Blanken and McKinley avenues, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Nueva avenue between Blanken and McKinley avenues, including the curbs.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Ratto, Shannon—3.

### Changing Grades on Army, Castro and Other Streets.

(Code No. 12.0722)

Also, Bill No. 1607, Ordinance No. 12.072237, as follows:

Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Army street, Castro street, Twenty-seventh street, Duncan street and Newburg street.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 2nd day of May, 1938, by resolution No. 3948, declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Army street between points respectively 250 feet west and 280 feet east of Castro street; Castro street between the north line of Army street and a line 114 feet south of Duncan street; Twenty-seventh street between Diamond and Noe streets; Duncan street between Diamond street and a point 100 feet east of Castro street, and Newburg street between Twenty-seventh street and Duncan street.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for two days, and the Director of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than thirty days have elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore, be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as herein-after stated, are hereby changed and established as follows: shown on map entitled "Grade Map Showing the Proposed Change and Establishment of Official Grades on Army street between points respectively 250 feet west and 280 feet east of Castro street; on Castro street between the north line of Army street and a line 114 feet south of Duncan street; on Twenty-seventh street between Diamond and Noe streets; on Duncan street between Diamond street and a point 100 feet east of Castro street; and on Newburg street between Twenty-seventh street and Duncan street," approved by Director of Works Order No. 8086, April 8, 1938, and filed in this office April 13, 1938.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Ratto, Shannon—3.



**Amending Traffic Ordinance to Include Perry Street Between Third and Fifth; Clara and Shipley Streets Between Fourth and Sixth Streets in List of "One Way" Streets.**

(Code No. 11.02.)

Also, Bill No. 1608, Ordinance No. 11.0240, as follows:

Amending section 26, article IV of Ordinance No. 7691 (new series) entitled "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith".

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 26, article IV, of Ordinance No. 7691 (new series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 26. *One Way Streets*—Between the hours of seven (7) a.m. and six (6) p.m. of any day, excepting Sundays and legal holidays, it shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle to drive said vehicle in any part of the following streets, except in the direction indicated herein:

Easterly in Adler street between Grant avenue and Columbus avenue;  
 Southerly in Annie street between Market street and Mission street;  
 Southerly in Belden street between Pine street and Bush street;  
 Westerly in Brosnan street between Valencia street and Guerrero street;  
 Easterly in Campton place between Stockton street and Grant avenue;  
 Northerly in Capp street between Mission street and Twenty-sixth street;  
 Westerly in Clara street between Fourth street and Sixth street;  
 Easterly in Clay street between the Embarcadero and Larkin street;  
 Southerly in Claude lane between Bush street and Sutter street;  
 Easterly in Clementina street between First street and Ninth street;  
 Westerly in Commercial street between the Embarcadero and Grant avenue;  
 Westerly in Halleck street between Front street and Leidesdorff street;  
 Easterly in Jackson street between the Embarcadero and Columbus avenue;  
 Easterly in Jessie street between First street and Ninth street;  
 Southerly in Leidesdorff street between Clay street and Pine street;  
 Westerly in Maiden lane from Kearny street to Grant avenue, and easterly from Stockton street to Grant avenue;  
 Northerly in Mary street from Howard street to Mission street;  
 Westerly in Merchant street between Front and Kearny streets;  
 Westerly in Minna street between First and Ninth streets;  
 Easterly in Mint street between Jessie and Fifth streets;  
 Easterly in Natoma street between First and Ninth streets;  
 Westerly in Oregon street between the Embarcadero and Battery street;  
 Easterly in Perry street between Third and Fifth streets;  
 Southerly in Quincy street between California and Pine streets;  
 Westerly in Sacramento street between the Embarcadero and Larkin street;  
 Easterly in Shipley street between Fourth and Sixth streets;  
 Northerly in Spring street between Sacramento and California streets;  
 Southerly in St. Anne place between California and Pine streets;  
 Easterly in Stevenson street between First and Second streets, and between New Montgomery and Ninth streets;  
 Westerly in Tehama street between First and Ninth streets;  
 Southerly in Trinity place between Bush and Sutter streets;  
 Westerly in Washington street between the Embarcadero and Montgomery street;

Suitable signs shall be erected and maintained at all intersections of said parts of streets indicating the provisions of this section.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Ratto, Shannon—3.

### **San Francisco Municipal Code.**

On recommendation of Judiciary Committee.

(Code No. 1.07)

Bill No. 1583, Ordinance No. 1.073, as follows:

An ordinance enacting, pursuant to Sections 13 and 17 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, a consolidation, rearrangement and codification of the general, regulatory and penal ordinances of said City and County, to be known as the San Francisco Municipal Code; providing penalties for violation of the provisions thereof; providing for the effective date of the Municipal Code; and repealing Ordinance No. 9137 (new series) from and after the effective date of the Municipal Code.

Section 1. *Enactment and Division of Code.* A Municipal Code is hereby enacted pursuant to Sections 13 and 17 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, wherein the general, regulatory and penal ordinances of said City and County are consolidated, rearranged, codified and arranged in appropriate Parts, Chapters, Articles, Sections, sub-sections, sub-divisions and paragraphs.

Part I shall be designated as "General";

Part II shall be designated as "Regulatory";

Part III shall be designated as "Miscellaneous";

Part II of the Municipal Code shall be divided into chapters as follows:

Chapter I shall be known as, designated and may be referred to or cited as the "Building Code";

Chapter II shall be known as, designated and may be referred to or cited as the "City Planning Code";

Chapter III shall be known as, designated and may be referred to or cited as the "Electrical Code";

Chapter IV shall be known as, designated and may be referred to or cited as the "Fire Code";

Chapter V shall be known as, designated and may be referred to or cited as the "Health Code";

Chapter VI shall be known as, designated and may be referred to or cited as the "Park Code";

Chapter VII shall be known as, designated and may be referred to or cited as the "Plumbing and Gas Appliance Code";

Chapter VIII shall be known as, designated and may be referred to or cited as the "Police Code";

Chapter IX shall be known as, designated and may be referred to or cited as the "Public Utilities Code";

Chapter X shall be known as, designated and may be referred to or cited as the "Public Works Code";

Chapter XI shall be known as, designated and may be referred to or cited as the "Traffic Code".

Section 2. *Short Title. Reference to Code in Prosecutions. Designation in Ordinances.* The Municipal Code shall be known as the "San Francisco Municipal Code", and it shall be sufficient to refer to said Code as the "San Francisco Municipal Code" in any prosecution for the violation of any provisions thereof or in any proceeding brought thereunder; it shall also be sufficient to designate any ordinance adding to, amending or repealing any portion of said Code as an addition



or amendement to or a repeal of such portion of the "San Francisco Municipal Code".

Section 3. *Existing Law Continued.* The provisions of the Municipal Code, in so far as they are substantially the same as existing provisions relating to the same subject matter, shall be construed as restatements and continuations thereof and not as new enactments. In all courts and tribunals and in the public offices of the City and County of San Francisco, the matter set forth in the Municipal Code shall establish *prima facie* such laws of the City and County of San Francisco in force on the 1st day of July, 1938; but nothing in this ordinance shall be construed as repealing or amending any such law, or as enacting as new law any matter contained in the Municipal Code, except as expressly provided in this ordinance. Nothing in the Municipal Code shall be deemed conclusive by reason of any omission or otherwise in the provisions of any section of the Municipal Code and the corresponding portion of legislation heretofore enacted, but effect shall be given for all purposes whatsoever to such enactments.

Section 4. *Effect of Code on Past Action and Obligations Previously Accrued.* Neither the adoption of the Municipal Code nor the repeal, as hereinafter provided, of any ordinance of the City and County of San Francisco shall in any manner affect the prosecution for violation of ordinances, which violations were committed prior to the effective date of the Municipal Code, nor to be construed as a waiver of any license or penalty at said effective date and unpaid under such ordinance, nor to be construed as affecting any of the provisions of such ordinance relating to the collection of any such license or penalty or the penal provisions applicable to any violation thereof, nor to affect the validity of a bond or cash deposit in lieu thereof, required to be posted, filed or deposited pursuant to any ordinance, and all rights and obligations thereunder appertaining shall continue in full force and effect.

Section 5. *Construction of Code.* Sections in a particular part of the Municipal Code shall be construed with reference only to that part. The provisions of the Municipal Code and all proceedings under it are to be construed with a view to effect its objects and to promote justice.

Section 6. *Charter Referred to.* When used in the Municipal Code "The Charter" or "Charter" shall mean the Charter adopted by the voters of the City and County of San Francisco on March 26, 1931, and ratified by the Legislature on April 13, 1931, effective on January 8, 1932.

Section 7. *Definitions.* Words used in the masculine shall include the feminine and neuter gender, and the singular number shall include the plural, and the plural shall include the singular.

Section 8. *Reference to Specific Ordinances.* The provisions of the Municipal Code shall not in any manner affect deposits or other matters of record which refer to or are otherwise connected with ordinances which are therein specifically designated by number or otherwise and which are included within the Municipal Code, but such reference shall be construed to apply to the corresponding provisions contained within said Municipal Code.

Section 9. *Heading. Effect of.* Part, Chapter, Article, Section and sub-section headings contained in said Municipal Code shall not be deemed to govern, limit, modify or in any manner affect the scope, meaning or intent of the provisions of any Part, Chapter, Article, Section or sub-section of said Municipal Code.

Section 10. *Reference to Acts or Omissions Within This City and County.* The Municipal Code shall refer to the omission or commission of acts within the territorial limits of the City and County of San Francisco and to that territory outside of the City and County over which the said City and County has jurisdiction or control by virtue of the Constitution, Charter, or any law, or by reason of ownership or control of property.

Section 11. *Notices. Service of.* Whenever a notice is required to be given under the Municipal Code, unless different provisions therein are otherwise specifically made, such notice may be given either by personal delivery thereof to the person to be notified or by deposit in the United States Mail in a sealed envelope, postage prepaid, addressed to such person to be notified at his last known business or residence address as the same appears in the public records pertaining to the matter to which such notice is directed. Service by mail shall be deemed to have been completed at the time of deposit in the post office.

Section 12. *Proof of Notice.* Proof of giving any notice may be made by the certificate of any officer or employee of this City and County or by affidavit of any person over the age of eighteen years, which shows service in conformity with the Municipal Code or other provisions of law applicable to the subject matter concerned.

Section 13. *Prohibited Acts, Include Causing, Permitting, Suffering.* Whenever in the Municipal Code any act or omission is made unlawful, it shall include causing, permitting, aiding, abetting, suffering or concealing the fact of such act or omission.

Section 14. *Duties of Clerk.* All proposed ordinances shall be passed by bill. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, hereinafter designated as the Clerk, shall give a bill, on its introduction, a number in consecutive order of numbering. The Clerk shall maintain registers of such bills according to bill number. The Clerk shall likewise, upon the approval or going into effect, as provided for by Charter, give each ordinance a number in consecutive order of numbering. The Clerk shall maintain registers of such ordinances according to ordinance number, and shall keep an index card for each ordinance and all data as to subsequent legislation thereon.

Section 15. *Printing and Distribution.* From and after the effective date of the Municipal Code and as often as deemed necessary, the Board of Supervisors, by resolution, may direct the Clerk, through the Purchasing Department, to arrange for the printing and distribution to departments, boards, commissions, bureaus, offices or any officer or employee thereof and to the public, of the Municipal Code as a whole or of portions thereof in pamphlet form, and the Board of Supervisors, by resolution, may fix a reasonable charge, not to exceed the cost of publication and distribution, for copies of the Municipal Code or for such pamphlets, or slips containing additions, amendments or repeals proper for pasting into the Municipal Code or in the pamphlets.

Section 16. *Violations, a Misdemeanor.* It shall be unlawful for any person to violate any provision or to fail to comply with any of the requirements of the Municipal Code. Any person violating any of the provisions or failing to comply with any of the mandatory requirements of the Municipal Code, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor. Any person convicted of a misdemeanor under the provisions of the Municipal Code, unless provision is otherwise therein made, shall be punishable by a fine of not more than five hundred (\$500) dollars or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of not more than six (6) months or by both such fine and imprisonment. Each such person shall be guilty of a separate offense for each and every day during any portion of which any violation of any provision of the Municipal Code is committed, continued, or permitted by such person and shall be punishable accordingly. In addition to the penalties hereinabove provided, any condition caused or permitted to exist in violation of any of the provisions of the Municipal Code shall be deemed a public nuisance and may be, by this City and County, abated as provided by law, and each day that such condition continues shall be regarded as a new and separate offense.

Section 17. *Saving Clause.* If any section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of the Municipal Code or any part thereof is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of the Municipal Code, or any part thereof. The Board of Supervisors hereby



declares that it would have passed each section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, subdivisions, paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Section 18. *Repeal.* Ordinance No. 9137 (New Series) shall stand repealed from and after the effective date of the Municipal Code.

Section 19. *Effective Date of Municipal Code.* The effective date of the San Francisco Municipal Code is hereby made the first day of October, 1938.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Ratto, Shannon—3.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Adopted.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

### Refunds of Erroneous and Duplicate Payments of Taxes.

(Code No. 9.059)

Resolution No. 4084, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be paid to the hereinafter named, being refunds of erroneous and duplicate payments of taxes, to-wit:

#### *From Duplicate Tax Fund—Appropriation No. 905.*

(1) Bank of America, N. T. & S. A., per Vol. 35, Bill 1472, Lot 16, Block 5910, 2d installment, fiscal year 1937...	\$ 7.55
(2) Bank of America, N. T. & S. A., per Vol. 44, Bill 1037-A, Lot 7, Block 7129, 2d installment, fiscal year 1937.....	3.29
(3) Kernan Robson, per Vol. 28, Bill 1050, Lot 4-A/5, Block 4632, 2d installment, fiscal year 1937.....	2.32
(4) Kernan Robson, per Vol. 29, Bill 471, Lot 5-B, Block 4683, 2d installment, fiscal year 1937.....	1.50
(5) L. Parry Douglass, per Vol. 24, Bill 1338-A, Lot 1-C, Block 3600, 2d installment, fiscal year 1937.....	33.68
(6) T. M. McCarty, per Vol. 24, Bill 1494, Lot 17, Block 3602, 1st installment, \$25.74; 2d installment, \$25.74; both fiscal year 1937 .....	51.48
(7) Chris McKeon, per Vol. 16, Bill 2920, Lot 28, Block 2188, 2d installment, fiscal year 1937.....	4.45
(8) Chris McKeon, per Vol. 16, Bill 2927, Lot 39, Block 2188, 1st installment, \$4.84, and Vol. 16, Bill 2929, Lot 41, Block 2188, 1st installment, \$4.84; both fiscal year 1937.....	9.68
(9) Alice Johnson, per Vol. 15, Bill 715, Lot 20, Block 1896, 1st installment, \$48.98; 2d installment, \$36.69; both fiscal year 1937 .....	85.67
(10) Grace Aissa Ceschi, per Vol. 10, Bill 1978, Lot 1-A, Block 1504, 2d installment, fiscal year 1937.....	68.71

#### *From General Fund—Appropriation No. 60.969.00.*

(11) C. L. Peterson, refund erroneous payment of taxes on excessive assessment, per Assessor's Receipt No. 23017..	191.69
--	--------

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Ratto, Shannon—3.

**Ordering Annual Audit of Controller's Books and Records.**

(Code No. 9.061)

Also, Resolution No. 4085, as follows:

Whereas, it is incumbent upon the Board of Supervisors, under the provisions of Section 68 of the Charter, to order an annual audit of the Controller's books of accounts, records and transactions to be made by one or more certified accountants; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby order an audit of the said Controller's books and accounts, records and transactions for the fiscal year 1937-1938, and for the purpose of making said audit does hereby engage and retain the firm of Lybrand, Ross Bros. and Montgomery, certified public accountants, and hereby fix the compensation of said firm as follows, to-wit: For supervising accountant, \$50 per day; for senior accountant, \$25 per day; for junior accountant, \$15 per day; together with such office expense, including typewriting, as may be incidental to the preparation of a full report of the audit of said accountants; and be it

Further Resolved, That the report of such accountants shall be printed and a copy thereof furnished to the Mayor and to each member of the Board of Supervisors, to the Chief Administrative Officer, to the Controller and to such citizens as may apply therefor; and be it

Further Resolved, That the total expense of making such audit shall not exceed the sum of \$5,000 and shall be paid from Appropriation No. 801.248.00, fiscal year 1938-1939, Appropriation Ordinance.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Ratto, Shannon—3.

**Protests Against Use of W. P. A. Labor on Private Property in Connection With Street Widening Projects.****Privilege of the Floor.**

Mr. Leonard, representing laborers, and Mr. James Ricketts, representing the San Francisco Labor Council, on motion of Supervisor Mead, were granted the privilege of the floor. They protested the use of W. P. A. labor in moving back retaining walls, sidewalk elevators, gasoline tanks, etc., on private property in connection with street widening projects.

Mr. Clyde Healey, Assistant City Engineer, answered the protests, stating that the policy had never been determined as to just what should be done on such W. P. A. projects, but, since these projects were part of a city-wide program, for the city's benefit and not for the benefit of private property, he saw nothing improper in the use of W. P. A. labor for such purposes. However, Mr. Healey announced that on future projects of reducing sidewalk widths, he would not go ahead with the work of moving back retaining walls, gas tanks, sidewalk elevators, etc., until after the contractors had had an opportunity to sign contracts with owners of private property for such work.

**W. P. A. Street Widening Projects Referred to Joint Streets and Finance Committee.**

On motion by Supervisor Ratto, all future W. P. A. street widening projects, in which any work for owners of private property is involved, were ordered referred to Joint Streets and Finance Committee.



**Final Passage.**

Thereupon, the following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Supplemental Appropriation of \$42,000 From County Road Fund as San Francisco's Contribution Toward Widening Franklin Street; an Emergency Ordinance.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1611, Ordinance No. 9.051490, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$42,000 from the County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 848.804.06, being San Francisco's contribution toward the improvement of Franklin street from Market street to Bay street by the reduction of sidewalk widths on the easterly and westerly side by six feet. This work is to be prosecuted by the W. P. A.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$42,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 848.804.06, being San Francisco's contribution toward the improvement of Franklin street from Market street to Bay street by the reduction of sidewalk widths on the easterly and westerly side by six feet. This work is to be prosecuted by the W. P. A.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists as the W. P. A. (unemployed forces) will be laid off unless this money is provided to furnish the necessary material and the rental of equipment, etc., in connection with the improvement of Franklin street.

Recommended by W. H. Worden, Director, Department of Public Works.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Reilly, Roncivieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Ratto, Shannon—3.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Authorizing Filing of Application for Federal W. P. A. Grant to Aid in Construction, Etc., of Yacht Harbor.**

(Code No. 23.01)

Resolution No. 4086, as follows:

Be It Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco:

That the Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the Controller be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute and file an application on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco to the United States of America, through the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works, for a grant equal to forty-five (45) per cent of the total estimated cost to aid in financing the acquisition,

construction and completion of municipal improvements consisting of extensions, including equipment and appurtenances, to the existing facilities designated as Yacht Harbor in the Marina; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the Controller be and they are hereby authorized and directed to furnish such information as the United States of America, through the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works, may reasonably request in connection with the application which is herein authorized to be filed.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Ratto, Shannon—3.

**Clerk to Advertise for Proposals to Purchase \$305,000 Airport Bonds 1938, July 25, 1938.**

(Code No. 15.051)

Also, Resolution No. 4087, as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to advertise in the official newspaper, a notice of sale that, on the 25th day of July, 1938, the Board of Supervisors will receive sealed proposals up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on said date, for the purchase of:

**\$305,000 Airport Bonds 1938, Dated January 1, 1938.**

Said lot of bonds is comprised of 31 bonds of \$1,000 denomination, maturing each year from 1940 to 1944, inclusive, and 30 bonds of \$1,000 denomination, maturing each year from 1945 to 1949, inclusive, bearing interest at a rate or rates not exceeding 6 per centum per annum, as shall be named by the bidder, and the bidder or bidders shall specify in their bids the interest rates desired not exceeding 6 per centum per annum. Interest on said bonds will be payable semi-annually January 1 and July 1.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Ratto, Shannon—3.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Appropriation of \$305,000 From 1938 Airport Bond Fund for Land Purchase and Improvements, San Francisco Airport.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1612, Ordinance No. 9.051491, as follows:

Authorizing an appropriation of \$305,000 out of the 1938 Airport Bond Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 99,900.00 for the purpose of providing funds to purchase land and make improvements at the San Francisco Airport as provided in Ordinance No. 15.0512 for the period of July 1, 1938, to December 31, 1938.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$305,000 is hereby appropriated out of the 1938 Airport Bond Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 99,900.00 for the purpose of providing funds to purchase land and make im-



provements at the San Francisco Airport as provided in Ordinance No. 15.0512 for the period of July 1, 1938, to December 31, 1938.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved by Forrest B. Gibbon, Secretary, Public Utilities Commission (Resolution No. 2749).

Recommended by Edward G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Ratto, Shannon—3.

### Final Passage.

The following emergency ordinance, recommended by the Finance Committee, was taken up:

**Supplemental Appropriation of \$10,680 for Payment of Permanent Employments in Recreation Department, Omitted Through Clerical Error in 1938-1939 Budget Estimates; an Emergency Ordinance.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1613, Ordinance No. 9.051492, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$10,680 out of surplus existing in Appropriation No. 813.500.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 813.101.00 to provide funds for the payment of existing permanent employments in the Recreation Department which were omitted through clerical error in the 1938-1939 budget estimates; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$10,680 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 813.500.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 813.101.00 to provide funds for the following existing permanent employments in the Recreation Department which were omitted through clerical error in the 1938-39 budget estimates:

- 7 R56 Playground Directors (part-time) at \$65 per month
- 2 J72 Playground Caretakers at \$145 per month
- 1 R56 Playground Director at \$145 per month

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists, as immediate action is required to correct clerical errors in the 1938-39 budget estimates and to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the Recreation Department.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by Josephine D. Randall, Superintendent, Recreation Department.

Approved by Leo Powleson, Recreation Commission.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Ratto, Shannon—2.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Supplemental Appropriation of \$10,500 for Creation of Positions Necessary for Operation of Newly Completed Playground Units.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1614, Ordinance No. 9.051493, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$10,000 out of surplus existing in Appropriation No. 813.600.09 and \$500 out of surplus existing in Appropriation No. 813.500.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 813.101.00, and creating the positions of two Playground Directors (part-time) at \$75 per month, two Playground Directors at \$145 per month, two Playground Caretakers at \$145 per month and one Gardener at \$145 per month for the operation of new playground units recently completed.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$10,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 813.600.09 and \$500 out of surplus existing in Appropriation No. 813.500.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 813.101.00 to provide for the following additional employments for the operation of new playground units recently completed: 2 R56 Playground Directors (part-time) at \$75 per month (one for Glen Park, one for Marina Junior High); 2 R56 Playground Directors at \$145 per month (one for Crocker Amazon, one for Rossi); 2 J72 Playground Caretakers at \$145 per month (one for Glen Park, one for Francis Scott Key), and 1 O-58 Gardener at \$145 per month for Crocker-Amazon.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Recommended by Josephine D. Randall, Superintendent, Recreation Department.

Approved by Leo Powleson, Recreation Commission.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Ratto, Shannon—2.

**Amendment, Annual Salary Ordinance, Retirement System, to Reflect Changes in Class Titles.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1615, Ordinance No. 9.053131, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 85 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 by deleting the asterisks in Items 2, 3, 5, 6, 7 and 8; by changing the class title in Item 3 to Senior Clerk; by changing the number of employments in Item 7 from 3 to 2, and by adding Item 8½, by deleting explanation of the asterisks.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 85 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:



Section 85. **RETIREMENT SYSTEM**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B82	Secretary—Actuary, Ret. System (part time) . . . . .	\$ 450
2	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	190
3	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	200
4	1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	250
5	1	B308	Key Driver Calculating Machine Operator . . . . .	165
6	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	190
7	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	165
8	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	160
8½	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	165
9	1	L360	Physician (part time) . . . . .	300
10	1	N410	Investigator . . . . .	200
11			Medical examiners and medical testimony as needed at fees fixed by Retirement Board . . . . .	
12			Seasonal Clerical Services (as needed). . . . .	150
13			Consulting Actuary (as needed), \$50 per day . . . . .	
14		B420	Phonographic Reporter (as needed), at \$12.50 per day plus transcriptions... . . . .	
15			Other temporary services as needed at rates not in excess of salary standardization schedules. . . . .	

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Ratto, Shannon—2.

**Amendment Annual Salary Ordinance, Purchasing Department, to Reflect Change in Class Title.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1616, Ordinance No. 9.053132, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 37 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 by deleting Item 52½, and changing the Class Number and Title in Item 52.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 37 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 37. PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—INTER-DEPARTMENTAL SERVICE**

The following positions are in interdepartmental service and the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
41	2	A156	Patternmaker, \$10 per day.....	
42	5	A364	Car and Auto Painter, \$10 per day....	
43	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	\$ 185
44	1	C152	Watchman . . . . .	170
45	2	C152	Watchman . . . . .	155
46	1	E104	Batterymen-Electrician, \$9 per day....	
48	1	J66	Garageman . . . . .	160
49	3	J66	Garageman, \$6.50 per day.....	

**Section 37. PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—INTER-  
DEPARTMENTAL SERVICE (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
50	1	J67	Vulcanizer, \$7 per day.....	
51	1	M2	General Foreman Machinist.....	300
52	1	M8	General Superintendent of Shops.....	350
53	24	M54	Auto Machinist, \$9 per day.....	
54	1	M60	Auto Fender and Body Worker, \$9 per day . . . . .	
55	4	M107	Blacksmith Finisher, \$7.08 per day....	
56	1	M107	Blacksmith Finisher, \$8 per day.....	
57	5	M108	Blacksmith, \$9 per day.....	
58	1	M154	Boilermaker's Helper, \$7.08 per day..	
59	1	M156	Boilermaker, \$9 per day.....	
60	2	M252	Machinist Helper, \$7.08 per day.....	
61	6	M254	Machinist, \$9 per day.....	
62	1	O1	Chauffeur, \$6.50 per day.....	
63	1	O108	Leatherworker, \$9 per day .....	
64	1	O152	Eng. H. & P. Engines, \$11.40 per day...	

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Ratto, Shannon—2.

**Amendment Annual Salary Ordinance, Department of Public  
Works, to Reflect Change in Class Title.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1617, Ordinance No. 9.053133, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 41 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 by changing the class title in Item 5.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 41 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 41. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—  
BUREAU OF ACCOUNTS**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	*7	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 200
2	*1	B222	General Clerk .....	165
3	*1	B222	General Clerk .....	155
4	2	B228	Senior Clerk .....	200
5	1	B31	Supervisor, Bureau of Cost Accounts, Department of Public Works.....	325
6	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	185
7	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	165
8	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	185

**\*One position to be retitled when promotive appointment is made under new class.**

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Ratto, Shannon—2.



Referred to Committee.

The following matters were referred to the Judiciary Committee:

**Supplemental Appropriation of \$2,220 for Creation of Position of Bookkeeper, Department of Public Health.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1618, Ordinance No. 9.051494, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$2,220 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 850.101.02 to the credit of Appropriation No. 850.101.02 for the purpose of creating the position of one bookkeeper at \$185 per month in the Department of Public Health; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,220 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 850.101.02 to the credit of Appropriation No. 850.101.02 for the purpose of creating the position of one Bookkeeper at \$185 per month in the Department of Public Health.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists in order to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the Department of Public Health.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by J. C. Geiger, Director of Public Health.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Thos. A. Toomey, Acting Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

**Amendment Annual Salary Ordinance, Department of Public Health, by Adding One Position of Bookkeeper, at \$185 Per Month.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1619, Ordinance No. 9.053134, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 54 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 by adding Item 11½ thereto; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 54 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 54. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE**

**ADMINISTRATION**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	\$ 170
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
3	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	215
4	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
5	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	135
6	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	160
7	1	C52	Elevator Operator .....	155
8	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time)	79.50
9	1	L14	Assistant Director of Public Health....	375
10	1	L18	Director of Public Health.....	833.33

**ACCOUNTING**

11	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	190
----	---	----	-------------------------	-----

Section 54. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
11½	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	185
12	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	175
13	2	B4	Bookkeeper .....	150
14	1	B14	Senior Accountant .....	400
15	2	B222	General Clerk .....	190
16	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	170

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists in order to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the Department of Public Health.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Appropriation of \$17,000 to Be Loaned to the Housing Authority of the City and County of San Francisco for the Purpose of Enabling It to Carry on Its Activities Until January 1, 1939.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1610, Ordinance No. 9.051489, as follows:

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from the Emergency Reserve Fund for the fiscal year 1938-1939, Appropriation No. 802,900.00, the sum of seventeen thousand (\$17,000) dollars to be loaned to the Housing Authority of the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of enabling it to carry on its activities until January 1, 1939.

The Controller is hereby directed to take from said Housing Authority the proper obligation providing for the re-payment of said amount loaned to said Housing Authority.

Recommended by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to funds available after July 1, 1939, by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS,  
BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR  
REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

California Bantam and Wild Bird Exposition.

(Code No. 5.3)

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 4088, as follows:

Whereas, California Exhibitors, Inc., a non-profit corporation, did on April 27, 1938, to May 1, 1938, both dates inclusive, conduct a fair and exposition called "California Bantam & Wild Bird Exposition" in the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the said fair and exposition was most favorably received by the public and created widespread public interest as shown by at-



tendance records, press reports and comments of the members of this Board of Supervisors who attended the said fair and exposition; and

Whereas, certain members of this Board of Supervisors had prior to the said exposition promised the cooperation of the City and County of San Francisco to the said California Exhibitors, Inc.; and

Whereas, the said California Exhibitors, Inc., did expend \$..... in premiums and prizes to exhibitors and \$..... for permanent improvements and equipment in the nature of coops, pens, etc., and \$..... for judging; and

Whereas, said California Exhibitors, Inc., proposes to conduct during the year 1939 a fair and exposition of pet stock, flowers and the type of agriculture found in a great city such as San Francisco, as distinguished from a farmers' show to be called the "San Francisco County Fair," and to be held in the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the fair and exposition conducted by California Exhibitors, Inc., on April 27 to May 1, 1938, inclusive, be ratified and confirmed as the first of a series of two county fairs to be conducted by California Exhibitors, Inc., as the agency of the City and County of San Francisco, and that the second fair be conducted during the year 1939 at a date or dates to be agreed upon by a board of management of five members to be appointed by the San Francisco Tourists Bureau, provided that the plans and budget for the 1939 fair be first approved by said San Francisco Tourists Bureau; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco be, and he is hereby authorized and directed to apply to the State of California in accordance with the provisions of the Horse Racing Act, Act 3421 Deering General Laws, for the sum of \$..... to be paid from the "Fair and Exposition Fund," established under said act, to be used to reimburse California Exhibitors, Inc., for the sums expended during the 1938 fair for prizes, premiums, improvements and judging and that upon the receipt of the money so applied for the California Exhibitors, Inc., be so reimbursed by the City and County of San Francisco.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

### **Prohibiting Smoking on Any Wharf, Pier, etc., Within City Limits.**

(Code No. 11.05)

Supervisor Mead presented:

Bill No. 1620, Ordinance No. 11.059, as follows:

Prohibiting the smoking of any cigar, pipe or cigarette, or the burning of tobacco or the burning of an open flame or electric arc, by any person upon any wharf, pier, quay or bulkhead or within any hatch, hold or space wherein any cargo of any character is kept or stored on or within any ship or vessel anchored or berthed within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, and providing for penalties for the violation of this ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person to smoke any cigar, pipe or cigarette, or to burn tobacco in any manner whatsoever, or to use an open flame of any character or an electric arc, excepting only when said open flame or electric arc is necessarily employed in the making of repairs, alterations or structural changes, on or upon any wharf, pier, quay or bulkhead or within any hatch, hold or other space wherein cargo of any character is kept or stored on or within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. The Chief Engineer of the Fire Department, in conjunction with the Chief of the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety, is hereby authorized and given full power and authority to make all necessary rules and regulations providing for the use of

any open flame or electric arc when the same are used in the making of repairs, alterations, or structural changes on any wharf, pier, quay or bulkhead or within any hatch, hold or other space wherein cargo is kept or stored on or within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 3. Any person who shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance, or of any rule or regulation made by the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department or by the Chief of the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety under authority hereof, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not to exceed five hundred dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 4. Ordinance No. 831, prohibiting smoking of any cigar, pipe or cigarette or the burning of tobacco on any wooden wharf, pier, quay or bulkhead in the City and County of San Francisco, which ordinance was approved on July 21, 1909, is hereby repealed.

*Referred to Fire, Safety and Police Committee.*

**President to Appoint Committee to Attend State Fair.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Resolution No. 4089, as follows:

Resolved, That the President of this Board be and is hereby authorized and requested to appoint a committee of Supervisors to attend, and represent the City and County of San Francisco at the California State Fair to be held in Sacramento the week of September 9th, 1938.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Requesting Appointment of Citizens' Committee for Proper Observance of Eagles' Day, Sunday, August 21, 1938.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 4090, as follows:

Whereas, on Sunday, August 21, 1938, the Fraternal Order of Eagles will conduct a mass initiation of candidates in the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, his Honor Mayor Angelo J. Rossi has indicated his intention to issue a proclamation designating Sunday, August 21, 1938, as "Eagles Day"; and

Whereas, the Fraternal Order of Eagles is an organization composed of men from all walks of, and stations in, life, banded together to promote the principles of liberty, truth, justice and equality; to provide for its members medical service, sick benefits and funeral benefits; to promote a spirit of sociability and camaraderie, and to foster and promote the principles of Americanism; and

Whereas, the Fraternal Order of Eagles has worked unceasingly for the adoption of various humanitarian measures which benefit not only its members but the people as a whole, among which are Old Age Pensions and Social Security; and

Whereas, the Fraternal Order of Eagles was the sponsor of a national movement which resulted in the annual observance of Mother's Day, a day set apart for collective expression of filial love and gratitude for Mothers; and

Whereas, the Fraternal Order of Eagles is a true and worthy Ameri-



can organization, deserving of the respect and support of our people; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee to assist in the proper observance of Eagles' Day, Sunday, August 21, 1938.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

### Supplemental Appropriation of \$7,100 for Land Purchase for Telegraph Hill Park.

Finance Committee presented:

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1621, Ordinance No. 9.051495, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$7,100 out of the surplus existing in the following accounts: Unappropriated Balance of Reserve for Land Purchases (Park Fund), \$4,030.56; Unappropriated Balance of Reserve for Land Purchases (Miscellaneous), \$1,084.56; and Appropriation No. 91.902.17, \$1,984.88; to the credit of Appropriation No. 812.600.04 for the purchase of land for Telegraph Hill Park and payment of incidental expenses.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$7,100 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the following accounts: Unappropriated Balance of Reserve for Land Purchases (Park Fund), \$4,030.56; Unappropriated Balance of Reserve for Land Purchases (Miscellaneous), \$1,084.56; and Appropriation No. 91.902.17, \$1,984.88; to the credit of Appropriation No. 812.600.04 for the purchase of land for Telegraph Hill Park and payment of incidental expenses.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by John McLaren, Superintendent, Park Department.

Approved by B. P. Lamb, Park Commission.

Approved as to funds available by H. J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were taken up:

Leave of Absence—William M. Coffman, Member Recreation Commission.

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 4093, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, William M. Coffman, member of the Recreation Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing July 11, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Ratto, Shannon—2.

Leave of Absence—Dr. J. C. Geiger, Director of Public Health.

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 4092, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Dr. J. C. Geiger, Director of Public Health, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period from July 20th to September 6, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Ratto, Shannon—2.

President and Secretary of War Requested to Recommend to Local Army Authorities the Temporary Use of Lincoln Boulevard in Presidio Until Completion of Funston Avenue Approach to Golden Gate Bridge.

(Code No. 12.111)

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 4091, as follows:

Whereas, with the grant of rights-of-way by Federal Departments in Washington, D. C., to the State Highway Commission, the construction of the Funston avenue approach to the Golden Gate Bridge may be undertaken at an early date, construction for which, however, will occupy a period estimated by engineers at from one and one-half to two years; and

Whereas, during this period many thousands of motorists from the Park Presidio, Sunset, Haight and Ashbury and other western parts of San Francisco will be forced to travel detours of from four to six miles in order to reach the Golden Gate Bridge; and

Whereas, to relieve the situation, the Presidio Military Authorities have received appeals from the Golden Gate Bridge Directorate to permit the temporary use of Lincoln boulevard as a bridge approach until Funston avenue is constructed, to accommodate the many thousands of motorists from the western sections of San Francisco and which has been refused; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby respectfully requests the President, Franklin Delano Roosevelt, and Assistant Secretary of War Louis Johnson, during their visit to this City, to recommend favorable action on the part of the local army authorities to permit the temporary use of Lincoln boulevard in the Presidio Military Reservation until the completion of the Funston avenue approach, to meet and serve public interest, convenience and necessity.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Messrs. George Allen and Edward Kenny, on motion by Supervisor Ratto, were granted the privilege of the floor, and urged the adoption of the foregoing resolution.

#### Adopted.

Thereupon, the foregoing resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.



**Invitation to Congressmen Welch and Havenner to Attend Dedicatory Ceremonies of O'Shaughnessy Dam as Guests of the Board of Supervisors.**

Supervisor Mead, seconded by Supervisor Ratto, moved that Congressmen Welch and Havenner be invited to accompany the members of the Board of Supervisors to the dedicatory ceremonies of the O'Shaughnessy Dam as guests of the Board of Supervisors.

*Motion carried.*

**Notices of Denial to Applicants for Aid.**

Supervisor Uhl read copy of notice of denial of aid by the Board of Supervisors sent by the Public Welfare Department to applicants for Old-Age Security or other relief, and stated that inasmuch as it was not the Board who denied requested aid, the Public Welfare Department should be asked to refrain from so wording their notices.

*So ordered.*

**Replacement of Obsolete Firehouses.**

Supervisor Uhl called attention to the many firehouses built in the time of horsedrawn apparatus, which should be replaced, and suggested that Chief Brennan be asked to submit a list of such houses that he believed should be replaced, in order that request could be made for Federal W. P. A. grant to aid in such necessary replacement.

*So ordered.*

**Relief Camps for Single Men.**

Supervisor Uhl announced that he had received many complaints from single men who had been ordered into relief camps, and presented two communications from complainants, requesting work on projects in San Francisco, where their interests are, rather than being sent to these camps. Supervisor Mead added that the objection, in general, seems to be that the men in these camps are practically prisoners, not being allowed to leave camp and being paid very small salaries.

*Referred to Public Welfare Committee.*

**RECESS.**

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 4:10 p. m. recessed, to reconvene on Monday, July 18, 1938, at 10 a. m., to sit as a Board of Equalization.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors July 18, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, David A. Barry, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

DAVID A. BARRY,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.





Vol. 33

No. 31

Monday, July 18, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.

Monday, July 10, 1888

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JULY 18, 1938, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, July 18, 1938,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of July 11, 1938, was considered read and approved.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

Hearing of protests against assessments for costs and expenses of the improvement on Innes avenue between Hawes and Ingalls streets, the crossing of Innes avenue and Ingalls street, and Ingalls street between Innes and Jerrold avenue, by grading, by A. Lawton, assignee of T. O'Brien, as described in Declaration of Intention, Order No. 6973, Department of Public Works.

No protest having been received, the Clerk was directed to so notify the Department of Public Works.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up:

### Authorizing Sale of Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct Property in Stanislaus County.

(Code No. 12.17251)

Bill No. 1609, Ordinance No. 12.172511, as follows:

Authorizing sale of Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct property in Stanislaus County.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City-owned real property situated in the County of Stanislaus, State of California:

PARCEL 1. A strip of land 50 feet in width and being a portion of that certain 6.905-acre tract of land described as Parcel 1 in deed recorded July 18, 1923, in Book 29 at page 203, Official Records of Stanislaus County. Said strip of land is bounded on the southwest by the northeasterly line of the State Highway, District X, Stanislaus County, Route 4, Section B, which northeasterly line is the southwesterly bound-

ary of said 6.905-acre tract of land, on the northeast by a line parallel to and distant 50 feet measured at right angles northeasterly from the northeasterly line of said State Highway, on the northwesterly end by the northwesterly boundary of said 6.905-acre tract of land and on the southeasterly end by the easterly boundary of said 6.905-acre tract of land.

PARCEL 2. A right of way for the construction, maintenance and use of a State highway over a portion of that certain 110-foot strip of land described as Parcel II in deed recorded July 18, 1923, in Book 27 at page 330, Official Records of Stanislaus County. Said right of way is bounded on the southwest by the northeasterly line of the State Highway, District X, Stanislaus County, Route 4, Section B, which northeasterly line is the southwesterly end of said 110-foot strip of land, on the northeast by a line parallel to and distant 50 feet measured at right angles northeasterly from the northeasterly line of said State Highway, on the northwesterly end by the northwesterly boundary of said 110-foot strip of land and on the southeasterly end by the southeasterly boundary of said 110-foot strip of land.

Section 2. The City and County of San Francisco shall have the right to construct, reconstruct, maintain, operate, repair and/or use existing and future water, transmission and other utility structures over, across and/or beneath the above described Parcel 2.

Section 3. The above described real property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

## NEW BUSINESS.

*Adopted.*

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

### Land Purchase—Nineteenth Avenue.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Resolution No. 4094, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties or the legal owners certain parcels of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, for the sums set forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 951.908.57, Project No. 5-A:

Giovanni Vannucci, portion of Lots 19, 20 and 21, Assessor's Block 1731 .....\$16,300

Charles Brauner, portion of Lot 12, Assessor's Block 1834. 90

Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above-named parties for particular descriptions of said parcels of land.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Confirming Lease of City Property at 643 Broadway, San Francisco.

(Code No. 12.1736)

Also, Resolution No. 4095, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.17363, Bill No. 708, the Di-



rector of Property advertised in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco that bids or offers would be received by him on June 24, 1938, for leasing that certain City-owned lot and building known as No. 643 Broadway, San Francisco, California, which property is under the jurisdiction of the Fire Department; and

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, the Director of Property received an offer from S. A. Schellino to lease said property for a period of three years, at a monthly cash rental of \$50; and

Whereas, no higher bids were made or received and the Fire Department has recommended that said lease be awarded to S. A. Schellino; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said lease be and is hereby awarded to S. A. Schellino, and the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute said lease on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

#### Confirming Lease of Crystal Springs Golf Course to Thos. S. Hutton.

(Code No. 12.1735)

Resolution No. 4096, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.173522, Bill No. 797, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that sealed bids would be received by him on July 1, 1938, for leasing 172.5 acres of San Francisco Water Department land situated in San Mateo County, California, known as the Crystal Springs Golf Course, more particularly described in said ordinance; and

Whereas, in response to said advertisement Thos. S. Hutton offered to lease said property for a period of ten years at a total rental of \$75,000; and

Whereas, Thos. S. Hutton has paid the sum of \$500 to the Director of Property as a deposit in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, no higher bids were made or received and the Public Utilities Commission has recommended that said property be leased upon the above mentioned terms; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute the necessary lease to Thos. S. Hutton or his assignee.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

#### Authorizing Payment to Carolyn Nixon in the Sum of Four Hundred Dollars.

(Code No. 6.0222)

Bill No. 1622, Ordinance No. 6.022232, as follows:

Authorizing payment to Carolyn Nixon in the sum of four hundred dollars.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney having recommended the settlement of the action of Carolyn Nixon vs. City and County of San Francisco,

a municipal corporation; Landry C. Babin Company, a corporation, and Sam Brody, for the recovery of damages for personal injuries sustained as the result of a fall on the public sidewalk on Gough street near the intersection thereof with McAllister street in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on February 7, 1938, which suit is numbered 281,808 in the Superior Court of the State of California;

The other defendants having agreed to pay the sum of \$400, said City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle said pending litigation by the payment of the sum of \$400 and the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to draw his warrant for said sum of \$400 in favor of said Carolyn Nixon, plaintiff in said action.

Recommended and approved by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended and approved by S. J. Hester, Director of Public Works.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Adopted.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

#### Land Purchase—Third Street Widening.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Resolution No. 4097, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Edith B. Dunne, or the legal owner, a portion of Lot 2, Assessor's Block 4315, San Francisco, required for the widening of Third street, and that the sum of \$141 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 777.931.17.

The above amount includes damages in full to the improvements now located on said property.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above-named party for a particular description of said parcel of land.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### Land Purchases—Third Street Widening.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 4098, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco purchase certain lands situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, from the following named parties, or the legal owners, for the sums set forth below, required for the widening of Third street, payable from Appropriation No. 777.931.17:

Achille Davis and Anna Davis, portion of Lot 4, Assessor's Block 4108-4123 .....	\$5,314.00
Hannah Logan, portion of Lot 3, Assessor's Block 4315.	105.75
Realty Investors Corporation of San Francisco, Ltd., portion of Lot 4, Assessor's Block 4315.....	129.00

The above amounts include damages in full to the improvements now located on said property.



Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above-named parties for a particular description of said parcels of land.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Supplemental Appropriation of \$281.58 as Payment for Extra Work in Excess of Ten Per Cent of Certified Estimated Contract Price, Water Department Contract, Laying Cast Iron Main, Van Ness Avenue, Between North Point and Bay Streets.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1623, Ordinance No. 9.051496, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$281.58 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 66.920.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 66.920.68 for the purpose of paying for extra work in excess of 10 per cent of the certified estimated contract price in connection with Water Department Contract No. 154, laying 16-inch cast iron main Van Ness avenue between North Point and Bay streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$281.58 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 66.920.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 66.920.68 for the purpose of paying for extra work in excess of 10 per cent of the certified estimated contract price in connection with Water Department Contract No. 154, laying 16-inch cast iron main Van Ness avenue between North Point and Bay streets.

Approved as to form by Dion R. Holm, Acting City Attorney.

Recommended by Edward G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities.

Approved by Forrest B. Gibbon, Secretary, Public Utilities Commission.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Adopted.

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Land Purchase—Loma Vista Terrace and Masonic Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Resolution No. 4099, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Berndt H. Tietjen, et ux., or the legal owner, portion of Lot 1, Assessor's Block 2618-A, San Francisco, California, required for the widening of the intersection of Loma Vista terrace and Masonic avenue, for the sum of \$800, payable from Appropriation No. 848.956.17.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above-named owners, for a particular description of said parcel of land.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Supplemental Appropriation, \$20,000, for Public Utilities Exhibits at Golden Gate International Exposition.**

(Code No. 9.051)

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

Bill No. 1624, Ordinance No. 9.051497, as follows:

Authorizing supplemental appropriations as follows: From Water Department Operating Fund, \$10,000; from Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund, \$5,000; and from Municipal Railway Operating Fund, \$5,000, aggregating \$20,000, to provide funds in addition to \$20,000 to be derived from the Exposition Fund (802.901.00) of the City and County of San Francisco to enable the Public Utilities Commission to supply exhibits at the Golden Gate International Exposition.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$20,000 is hereby appropriated as follows: From Water Department Operating Fund, \$10,000; from Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund, \$5,000, and from Municipal Railway Operating Fund, \$5,000, to provide funds in addition to \$20,000 to be derived from the Exposition Fund (802.901.00) of the City and County of San Francisco, to enable the Public Utilities Commission to employ engineers, architects, artists, and other professional persons or firms, labor and materials for the design, construction and installation and for the maintenance and operation of exhibits at the Golden Gate International Exposition symbolical of the municipally owned utilities of San Francisco and for any and all other necessary expenses, including the performances under contracts and the rental or purchase of equipment necessary to complete in all details the design, construction, installation, and the maintenance and operation of said exhibits.

Approved as to form: Dion R. Holm, Assistant City Attorney.

Recommended: E. G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities.

Approved: Forrest B. Gibbon, Public Utilities Commission (Resolution No. 2759).

Funds available: Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved: Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

**Motion to Postpone.**

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved that action on the foregoing bill be postponed for one week, and that in the interim the City Attorney furnish the Board, in writing, his opinion as to the legality of the proposed appropriation.

**Opinion of City Attorney.**

The City Attorney informed the Board that this proposed appropriation was to enable the Public Utilities Commission to maintain an exhibit setting forth the Commission's water, power and aviation projects,



and the appropriation is perfectly legal. He stated he would render that opinion, in writing, if the Board so desired.

Thereupon, the motion to postpone action one week was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Shannon, Uhl—4.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt—7.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

Whereupon, the foregoing bill was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### Adopted.

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up:

**Approving Map of Resubdivision of Part of Assessor's Block 5066A;  
Accepting Certain Streets Therein and Approving and Accepting  
Bond for Taxes in Connection Therewith.**

(Code No. 12.0821)

Resolution No. 4100, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain map entitled "Map of Resubdivision of Part of Assessor's Block 5066-A, San Francisco, California, Composed of 2 Sheets," be and is hereby approved and adopted as the official map of resubdivision of part of Assessor's Block 5066-A, San Francisco, California, and that portion of land delineated and designated thereon as Wabash terrace is hereby accepted on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco and declared to be an open public street to be known as Wabash terrace, and those parcels of land delineated and designated thereon as Beeman lane and Racine lane are hereby accepted on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco and declared to be open public lanes dedicated to public use to be known by the aforementioned names as they appear on said map; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept that certain deed dated the 1st day of July, 1938, from Residential Development Company of San Francisco, granting to the City and County of San Francisco all that land comprising Wabash terrace, Beeman and Racine lanes as delineated upon said map; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept that certain deed the 9th day of July, 1938, from Residential Development Company of San Francisco, granting to the City and County of San Francisco two sewer easements as shown upon said map; and be it

Further Resolved, That that certain bond in the sum of \$500, executed the 1st day of July, 1938, between the Residential Development Company of San Francisco, as principal, and John M. Punnett and Shepard S. Tucker, as sureties, running to the City and County of San Francisco, conditioned for the payment of all taxes or special assessments collected as taxes which are at the time of filing said map, a lien against the land or any part thereof as shown upon said map but not yet payable, be and is hereby approved and accepted, the said sum of \$500 being an estimate by the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco of the amount of taxes which are a lien upon the property described in said map, and which are not yet payable.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS,  
BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR  
REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Proposed Charter Amendment—Traffic Commission.**

Supervisor McSheehy presented the following proposed Charter amendment for the Judiciary Committee, but without recommendation:

Subsequently during the proceedings, Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor Colman, moved submission thereof to the people.

**PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT**

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco at the Special Election to be held on the ..... day of ....., 1938, a proposal to add a new section to the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco to be designated as Section 118.1 to read as follows:

**TRAFFIC COMMISSION**

**Section 118.1**

Subdivision 1. There is hereby established a commission to be known as the Traffic Commission which will consist of five members who shall be appointed to said commission by the Mayor within ten days after this section of the Charter becomes effective. The members of said commission so appointed shall serve without compensation and shall hold office until the 15th day of July, 1942; provided, however, that whenever said commission shall, by resolution, certify to the Mayor that the work of said commission, as set forth in this section, has been completed, the Mayor shall transmit to the Board of Supervisors a copy of said resolution and the Board of Supervisors shall give consideration thereto and if, after investigation, the said Board shall determine that the work of said commission has been completed, it may adopt a resolution to that effect, which said resolution shall be subject to veto by the Mayor, or to passage over his veto, as would any other resolution of the Board of Supervisors. If said resolution should be finally passed and become effective, thereupon the term of office of the several persons appointed to said commission shall cease and determine and said commission shall cease to exist and all unfinished business undertaken by said commission shall, by resolution of the Board of Supervisors, be referred to the appropriate department of the municipal government for consideration and determination. Said commission shall continue in existence and carry out the duties hereby imposed upon it to and until the 15th day of July, 1942, and unless the term of said commission shall be extended by resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors and approved by the Mayor, said commission shall cease to exist on and after the 15th day of July, 1942; provided, however, that the Board of Supervisors may, by ordinance duly adopted, extend the life of said commission and continue its functions for a period of one year on and after July 15, 1942. Upon the dissolution of said commission any and all unfinished business pertaining to the affairs hereby committed to the jurisdiction of said commission shall be, by the Board of Supervisors, referred to the appropriate department for hearing and



determination. No person shall be eligible to serve on said commission while holding any other public office or employment.

Subdivision 2. The Traffic Commission shall make a comprehensive survey and study of all phases of traffic, transportation and matters relating thereto for the benefit of the public and relief of general traffic congestion in the City and County and the co-ordination thereof. This shall include, without thereby limiting the generality of the foregoing, the following: general street and highway plan and related matters, street railways, bus and stage service, automobiles, and the regulation of the use thereof in so far as the same is subject to municipal regulation, city and interurban transportation of all kinds, pedestrian traffic, traffic signals and traffic law enforcement.

The Traffic Commission shall prepare and submit without delay to the Board of Supervisors a report or reports covering all its activities, findings, recommendations and detailed plans, specifications and estimates for carrying into effect its findings and recommendations, including such recommendations and legislative measures as will, in its opinion, aid in the consummation of such plan or plans as it may recommend.

It shall be the duty of the Board of Supervisors immediately upon receiving said report or reports and recommendations to refer the same to the appropriate departments for the report and recommendation of said department or departments regarding the same. Said report shall be made by said department or departments to said Board of Supervisors within thirty days after the receipt of the request for said report. Thereupon the Board of Supervisors shall give consideration to said report and may adopt said report and pass such legislation as may tend to carry the same into effect or it may reject said report and adopt such other legislation as may carry out the recommendations of said Traffic Commission. If the report of said Traffic Commission, or the report of any officer or commission considering said report of said Traffic Commission, shall recommend the financing of any plan for any public improvement or for the acquisition, construction, extension or completion of any public utility, the Board of Supervisors may, by resolution adopted by a two-thirds vote of all of its members, determine that the public interest or necessity demands the acquisition, construction, extension or completion of said municipal improvement or the acquisition, construction, extension or completion of any public utility and may thereupon take the necessary proceedings to submit to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco the matter of the issuance of bonds for the acquisition, construction, extension or completion of said public utility. The failure of any department to submit its report within thirty days, unless said time be extended by the Board of Supervisors, shall be sufficient authority for said Board of Supervisors to proceed with consideration of the report of said Traffic Commission and to take such action thereon as it may deem proper.

Subdivision 3. The Traffic Commission shall have power to employ a chief executive officer and a secretary, neither of whom shall be subject to the civil service provisions of this charter. Said commission may also employ such subordinate engineers, draftsmen, clerks and

other employees as it may deem necessary, all of whom shall be subject to the civil service provisions of this charter. Said commission may also contract for a period not exceeding beyond the term of its existence for such consulting, highly skilled and technical services as may be required to carry out the work of said commission. The chief executive officer and the secretary shall not be subject to the retirement or health service provisions of this charter.

Subdivision 4. For the purpose of paying the compensation of its employees and all its other expenses, the Traffic Commission shall prepare and file budget estimates pursuant to the provisions of this charter; provided, however, that neither the Mayor nor the Board of Supervisors shall have the power to decrease or reject any item of the annual budget estimate of the commission so that the total amount of said budget allowance and appropriation shall be less than the sum requested by the commission; provided, however, that in no event shall the budget allowance and appropriation of the commission exceed the equivalent of one cent annually on each one hundred dollar valuation of the property assessed in and subject to taxation by the City and County.

All unused appropriations or unexpended balances in revenue or expense appropriations of the Traffic Commission at the close of any fiscal year shall be carried forward and continue to be subject to the order of the Traffic Commission in the next fiscal year.

Upon conclusion of the term of office of the Traffic Commission and any extension thereof all unencumbered funds of the commission shall be transferred to the general fund of the City and County.

Subdivision 5. All departments and officers of the City and County shall upon request furnish to the commission such information and data as it may require for its work.

Subdivision 6. If any portion of this section of this Charter conflicts with any other section, subsection, subdivision or provision of this Charter, the provisions of this section of this Charter shall prevail.

#### **Committee of the Whole.**

On motion by Supervisor McSheehy, the Board resolved itself into a Committee of the Whole. President Shannon presiding.

#### **Report by Supervisor McSheehy.**

The following report was presented by Supervisor McSheehy and at his request ordered printed in full in the Journal:

San Francisco, California, July 18, 1938.

To the Honorable The Board of Supervisors.

Gentlemen:

On November 15, 1937, by motion, it was recommended that the Mayor appoint a Citizens' Committee for the purpose of considering plans for rapid transit. This motion was carried and the Mayor appointed the committee. On June 20 their report was received and heard by the Board, sitting as a Committee of the Whole.

Conclusion 1 of the report was deleted by a vote of six to five. Without waiting for the balance of the report to be read, Mr. O'Sullivan, the Chairman of the Mayor's Citizens Transportation Committee, arose and in the most indignant manner stated that he would resign as Chairman of the Committee; and his remarks were concurred in



by four other members of the Committee who had accompanied him to the meeting.

I told them that we had not appointed them and that their resignations would have to be tendered to the Mayor, the man who had appointed them.

On June 27, 1938, the Mayor sent a letter to our Board calling attention to section 14 of the Charter which makes it mandatory on his recommendation to reconsider the deletion of the Mayor's Citizens Transportation Committee's report. A motion was made and carried rescinding our action of June 20, and this was followed by another motion adopting the report and referring same to the Charter Revision Committee which committee has no legal standing (and they referred it to the Judiciary Committee of this Board of which I am Chairman). A hearing was held on July 12 and the matter was referred to the Board without recommendation, except that it be taken up by the Board sitting as a Committee of the Whole.

As one member of this Board I feel a great mistake will be made if we pass the report of the Mayor's Citizens Transportation Committee for the following reasons:

1. Their report calls for a new section to be added to the Charter to be known as section 118-A, which has for its purpose the establishment of a Traffic Commission consisting of five members appointed by the Mayor who will have power to expend \$262,500 over a period of three and a half years.

2. Seven reports have already been made by various experts on transportation at a total cost of \$416,666.

3. On September 1, 1936, the City Engineer's report shows that there should be spent \$1,118,171 for street work as called for by the franchises granted to the Market Street Railway. This report is in detail showing every street in San Francisco where repairs should be made. I do not think that you will find another large city in the United States where the streets are in the deplorable state that they are in, in San Francisco, especially those that are traversed by the Market Street Railway. The Mayor and no one else is responsible for the non-enforcement of this contract. The report was almost made two years ago and it is the sworn duty of the Mayor to see that franchises are carried out as called for.

4. On May 9 last, two months ago, the Railroad Commission allowed the Market Street Railway to raise its fare from 5 to 7 cents, or 4 tokens for 25c. Some three years ago, for the purpose of reducing operating costs, the one man car was substituted on a great many lines of the Market Street Railway throughout our city, and the people by a direct vote of 3 to 1, condemned this form of transportation. The 7c fare and the one man car have caused a great many patrons of the Market Street Railway to walk blocks out of their way to patronize our municipal lines, and during the month of June these lines show an increase of about \$75,000.

5. After three years of litigation, on July 6 of this year, the Federal Court sustained this Board of Supervisors and rendered an opinion that we had the right to pass an ordinance preventing the Market Street Railway from operating its cars with only one man.

6. On July 5 of this year this Board, by unanimous vote of all members present, passed Resolution No. 4069 requesting the Public Utilities Commission to solicit the price the lines of the Market Street Railway could be obtained and report its findings to this Board not later than August 1, 1938.

7. Ways and means should be found to purchase the properties of the Market Street Railway at a nuisance value figure and supplant it with city-wide system of buses in conjunction with our own Municipal Railway. The Market Street Railway has an assessed valuation of \$5,000,000, and I think it has a nuisance value of about that figure. Bus transportation is rapidly superseding rail transportation in all

principal cities throughout the nation, showing conclusively that electric transportation is obsolete. Incidentally, bus transportation is completely ignored by the Mayor's Transportation Committee.

In closing, gentlemen, may I ask why the Mayor of our City is so insistent on appointing this Traffic Commission? Its sole purpose will be to expend \$262,500 more for a survey and report of traffic conditions obtaining in San Francisco. The situation is at present that seven exhaustive reports have already been submitted on the same subject matter. Why does he not enforce the franchises granted the Market Street Railway and compel them to place our streets in a condition that complies with the terms of their franchises? He has a report from the City Engineer showing that it will cost \$1,118,171 for this work. Why does the Manager of Utilities make a statement that it will cost \$25,000 for that commission to make an estimate as to the cost of the Market Street Railways properties? They have seven detailed reports showing that an expenditure of \$416,666 has already been made. All these reports require is an analysis which can be made by the Public Utilities Commission staff if they so desire.

As one member of this Board, I feel that the entire responsibility for the lack of transportation being given the patrons of the Market Street Railway, which serves three-quarters of the entire traveling public of our City, falls wholly on the head of the Mayor, our Chief Executive Officer, for not compelling the Market Street Railway to live up to the terms of its franchises. It is his responsibility and no one else's.

Then why ask the uninformed voting public to amend the Charter? We have already started negotiations by the passing of Resolution 4069, which the Mayor has signed and which will be reported on to this Board by the Public Utilities Commission August 1, 1938.

Therefore, I hope, gentlemen, that six members of this Board will vote down the submission of this Charter amendment. The responsibility for the lack of transportation does not rest with the public and they should not be asked to assume that responsibility. Place it where it belongs, squarely upon the shoulders of the Mayor, and you will do this by voting down the submission of this Charter amendment.

Respectfully,

JAMES B. MCSHEEHY, Supervisor,  
Chairman, Judiciary, Legislative and  
Civil Service Committee.

#### Discussion.

Mr. Russell A. Powell, on being granted the privilege of the floor, urged that the Board refuse to submit the proposed Charter amendment but if the amendment should be submitted to the people that it be amended to provide for confirmation by the Board of Supervisors or Commissioners appointed by the Mayor.

Messrs. Thomas Corr and George Schultz opposed submission of the amendment to the electorate. Messrs. Andrew J. Gallagher, George Allen and Robert Graham urged postponement of the amendment in order that civic leaders and interested citizens could have the time to study the question thoroughly.

Mr. Handy, speaking for Mr. O'Sullivan, and Mr. L. C. Dressler spoke at length in favor of the amendment and urged its submission.

Supervisor Colman urged the submission of the proposed amendment.

Supervisor Roncovieri opposed immediate action and requested time to study the amendment.

Supervisor Uhl was opposed to the amendment.

President Shannon presented telegram from Civic League of Im-



provement Clubs, addressed to himself, protesting any hurried action and the submission of proposed Charter amendment for the creation of a Traffic Commission at a special election.

### Committee of the Whole Arose.

On motion by Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor Colman, the Committee of the Whole arose and reported.

Supervisors Brown, Reilly and Colman spoke at length in favor of submission of proposed Charter amendment to the people.

### Motion to Postpone.

Supervisor Roncovieri objected to immediate action and moved that further consideration be postponed for one week and be made a Special Order of Business at 3 p. m., Monday, July 25, 1938.

*Motion carried.*

### Congratulating Douglas Corrigan Upon His Sensational Flight to Dublin and Inviting Him to Visit San Francisco.

(Code No. 5.92)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 4101, as follows:

Whereas, an intrepid, young Irish-American, Douglas Corrigan, has just emulated the Trans-Atlantic Flight of Colonel Charles Lindbergh, under conditions equally as trying; and

Whereas, the type of plane used and the general conditions under which this heroic flight was made win for America another niche high in the monument of aviation; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby tender to Douglas Corrigan its heartiest congratulations upon his remarkable feat, and extends to him an invitation to visit the City and County of San Francisco, at his early convenience, here to receive the plaudits of an enthusiastic people; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forwarded to Douglas Corrigan, and that he be requested to acknowledge acceptance of the invitation to visit San Francisco upon his return from Europe.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Invitation to Participate in Procession of the Blessed Sacrament Feast of St. Anne, July 24, 1938.

Communication from Reverend P. J. Moriarity, pastor of St. Anne's Church, inviting members of the Board of Supervisors to participate in the procession of the Blessed Sacrament in celebration of the feast of St. Anne, beginning Sunday, July 24, 1938, at 2 p. m., was presented.

### Protest Against Appointment of Geoffrey Bangs, Architect for Housing Authority.

Resolution adopted by the San Francisco Unemployed Architects' and Draftsmen's Union, protesting the appointment of Geoffrey Bangs as Housing Architect for the Housing Authority, and calling upon the Housing Authority to revoke his appointment was read to the Board.

*No action taken.*

### In Memoriam—Mrs. Margaret Dwyer.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Board adjourn out of respect to the memory of the late Mrs. Margaret Dwyer, mother of Mrs. Andrew J.

Gallagher, and that appropriate expression of sympathy of the Board be sent to the family of the deceased.

*Unanimously carried by rising vote.*

### RECESS.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 5:30 p. m. recessed as a Board of Supervisors to sit as a Board of Equalization.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors July 25, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, David A. Barry, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

DAVID A. BARRY,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.



Thursday, July 7, 1938

Monday, July 11, 1938

Monday, July 18, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

Sitting as a  
Board of Equalization







# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

THURSDAY, JULY 7, 1938, 2 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to recess of Tuesday, July 5, 1938, to sit on the Board of Equalization in accordance with motion of Supervisor Ratto, and proceeded and heard applicants who had filed sworn statements and petitions for corrections or equalization of assessments of their property as shown on the assessment roll for the fiscal year 1938-1939.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Uhl—4.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Schmidt, Shanley—7.

Supervisor Ratto presiding.

## Consideration of Applications for Correction or Equalization of Assessments.

The following applications were taken up and acted upon as follows:

	Lot	Block	Building	Land
H. and R. Danskanen.....	10	3275A	2,550	910
Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
Louis Christofani .....	21A	629	2,550	7,990
Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
Rose and Robt. E. Arvedi.....	26	926	2,000	1,060
Appraisers recommend no reduction. Assessor reported reduction in assessment to \$2,000 in 1933.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
Orpheum Building Co.....	20, 21 & 22	351A	350,000	373,080
No report from Appraisers.	Action <i>deferred</i> .			
Pierce and Frances Barron.....	29	1024	3,800	1,840
Appraisers recommend no reduction. Assessor reduced \$300 in 1931 and \$400 in 1934, to \$3,800.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
Mr. and Mrs. E. Rando.....	33	1406	600	1,200
Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
Gordon Berendsen .....	12	310	25,000	81,230
No report from Appraisers.	Action <i>deferred</i> .			
Reba Turnipseed .....	12	1753	1,950	1,160
Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
Application withdrawn.				
Margarite E. Peat and Edith S. McAlpine .....	17	217	400	3,000
Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Reduced in 1933 to \$400. Application <i>denied</i> .			
Mrs. Helen Friend.....	13A	2349	9,000	750
Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
Mrs. Katherine T. Dwyer.....	23	1535	2,900	1,250
Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
Minnie E. Bailey.....	1, 2 & 3	3562	16,000	12,250
Appraisers recommend no reduction. Assessor reduced in 1931, \$2,000; in 1933, \$700; in 1935, \$2,000.	Application <i>denied</i> .			

THURSDAY, JULY 7, 1938.

		Lot	Block	Building	Land
14	Leonore Newhouse .....	10A	1417	4,300	1,250
	Appraisers recommend reduction of \$600 on building, making assessment on building to read \$3,700. <i>No objection, and so ordered.</i>				
15	V. and E. Genovesi.....	23	5882	1,300	250
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied.</i>				
16	Bridge Investment Co.....	7	1934	1,400	800
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied.</i>				
17	Alfred K. and Violet Rindal....	20A	1896	1,500	800
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied.</i>				
18	Wm. F. and Charlotte E. Tierske.	48	3556	2,450	1,100
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied.</i>				
19	Minnie E. Bailey .....	19	338	28,500	12,500
	No report from Appraisers. Action <i>deferred.</i>				
20	S. R. Parchment.....	13	600	3,000	6,310
	Appraisers recommend reduction of \$750 on building, making assessment on building to read \$2,250.				
	Supervisor Uhl moved further consideration. <i>So ordered.</i>				
21	Withdrawn.				
22	J. Reibman .....	5	638	18,000	5,380
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied.</i>				
23	G. Bresolin .....	15B	523	14,000	6,090
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied.</i>				
24	Mike Skaff .....	14	677	400	3,930
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Applicant stated his request was for land, only, and claimed lot not level, and above grade. Further consideration <i>postponed</i> until after re-check of land by Appraisers.				
25	Lorenzo Toso .....	11	147	9,000	7,040
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied.</i>				
26	Gertrude I. Monahan .....	85	3537	1,450	1,980
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied.</i>				
27	Carrie Adams et al.....	16	860	500	1,880
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied.</i>				
28	Pasquale Gogna and G. Gugliel- mone .....	25	175	3,000	2,430
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied.</i>				
29	Pasquale Gogna and Giovanni Guglielmone .....	5	166	4,000	4,890
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied.</i>				
30	G. Guglielmone and P. Gogna....	6	162	4,050	3,230
	Appraisers recommend reduction of \$500 on building, making assessment on building to read \$3,550. <i>No objection and so ordered.</i>				
31	P. Gogna .....	19 & 20	144	.....	500
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied.</i>				
32	Pasquale Gogna and Marugo Ma- rino .....	21	144	500	600
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied.</i>				
33	Richard and Antoinette Lana....	21	186	8,500	2,480
	Appraisers recommend reduction of \$500 on building, making assessment on building to read \$8,000. <i>No objection and so ordered.</i>				
34	P. and Eugenio Gogna.....	24	145	200	980
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied.</i>				
35	P. and E. Gogna.....	8	163	3,500	2,500
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied.</i>				
36	P. Gogna ½ et al.....	8 & 10	165	9,500	3,750
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied.</i>				



	Lot	Block	Building	Land
Pasquale Gogna and Giovanni Guglielmone .....	14	195	3,600	3,340
Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
P. Gogna and G. Guglielmone....	26	227	4,000	2,630
Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
H. Eiben .....	1	840	7,500	2,430
Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
Leah Benason .....	9	753	150	2,200
Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
Leah Benason .....	10	753	1,200	3,080
Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
Rachel Casner et al.....	31	187	900	2,380
Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Further consideration continued at request of Supervisors Uhl and Reilly.			
Anna Brosch .....	36A	1846	1,100	900
Appraisers recommend reduction of \$100 on building, making assessment on building to read \$1,000. No objection and so ordered.				
Alfred L. and Edith N. Bacigalupi	2	77	5,500 (2)	3,960
No report from Appraisers. Action <i>deferred</i> .				
James Bosch .....	1	681	3,800	4,690
Applicant heard. Further consideration <i>postponed</i> .				
George Bergstrom .....	3 to 5 Incl.	5699	1,050	150
Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
Ella M. Friesleben .....	7	603	3,150	5,730
Appraisers recommend reduction of \$200 on building, making assessment on building to read \$2,950. No objection, and so ordered.				
Emma C. Duncan .....	10	947	7,500	1,760
Appraisers recommend reduction of \$250 on building, making assessment on building to read \$7,250. No objection and so ordered.				
C. and F. Denu.....	24	3611	1,850	1,530
Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Further consideration <i>postponed</i> .			
<i>Referred to Supervisors Uhl and Reilly.</i>				
Martial Davoust .....	7C	623	8,500	6,600
Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
Caroline Schweizer .....	18	1193	2,700	2,340
Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
Chas. E. Faye .....	8	327	20,000	80,750
Consideration <i>postponed for re-check</i> by Appraisers.				
Giovanni Molinari .....	14	6639	1,500	750
Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
Withdrawn.				
M. M. Scott .....	23	1221	3,700	2,530
Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Applicant heard. Further consideration <i>postponed</i> .			
<i>Referred to Supervisors Uhl and Reilly.</i>				
Withdrawn.				
Elizabeth K. Flynn .....	25A	1619	13,100	2,820
Appraisers recommend reduction of \$250 on building, making assessment on building to read \$12,850. No objection and so ordered.				
E. K. Flynn .....	13	1327	6,750	2,650
Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
Elizabeth K. Flynn .....	49	3728	9,500	9,720
Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
Margherita Bacciocco .....	21	191	4,500	1,330
No report from Appraisers. Action <i>deferred</i> .				
M. and M. Bacciocco .....	7	1216	2,100	1,580
Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			

MONDAY, JULY 11, 1938.

		Lot	Block	Building	Land
62	B. A. and Grace Hansen.....	28	3046	4,000	1,84
	Consideration <i>postponed</i> .				
63	L. and A. Eden.....	4A	2831	5,000	75
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Further consideration <i>postponed</i> Referred to Supervisor Uhl.				
64	Withdrawn.				
65	Mary J. Locke .....	10	1153	5,000	2,74
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
66	Eloi and Suzanne Labataille....	19	851	2,000	1,50
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				

## RECESS.

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 3:45 p. m., recessed to re-convene as a Board of Equalization on July 11, 1938, at 10 a. m.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.

## MONDAY, JULY 11, 1938, 10 A. M.

The Board of Supervisors reconvened as a Board of Equalization, pursuant to recess on July 7, 1938.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present: Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7. Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Shannon—4. Supervisor Ratto presiding.

## Consideration of Applications for Correction or Equalization of Assessments.

Consideration of Applications for Correction or Equalization of Assessments was continued, as follows:

		Lot	Block	Building	Land
4	Orpheum Building Co.....	20, 21 & 22	351A	350,000	373,08
	No report from Appraisers. Consideration <i>postponed</i> .				
7	Gordon Berendsen .....	12	310	25,000	81,23
	Appraisers recommend reduction of \$2,500 on building. Applicant heard at length, urging a reduction of at least \$25,000 in assessment.				
	On motion by Supervisor Reilly, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, assessment on building was <i>reduced \$5,000, making assessment read \$20,000</i> .				
19	Minnie E. Bailey .....	19	338	28,500	12,50
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
20	S. R. Parchment .....	13	600	3,000	6,31
	Previously heard and consideration postponed on motion by Supervisor Uhl. Appraisers recommendation of reduction of \$750 on building approved, making assessment on building read \$2,250. No objection and so ordered.				
24	Mike Skaff .....	14	677	400	3,93
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.				

Supervisor Uhl reported that he and Supervisor Reilly visited the property, and that the property had not the same value as other property where excavation had been done. Supervisor Reilly concurred, but stated that he felt this property could not be reduced without reducing other property. That could not be done unless request so to do was made. Further consideration *postponed*.



	Lot	Block	Building	Land
42 Rachel Casner et al.....	31	187	900	2,380
On motion by Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Reilly, reduction of \$100 on building assessment was <i>granted</i> , making assessment on building read \$800.				
44 Alfred L. and Edith Bacigalupi..	2	77	5,500 (2)	3,960
Appraisers recommended reduction of \$250 on building. Further consideration <i>postponed until after recheck by Appraisers</i> .				
45 James Bosch .....	1	681	3,800	4,690
Appraisers recommend no reduction. Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Reilly, moved reduction of \$500 on building. Consideration <i>postponed</i> .				
49 C. and F. Denu .....	24	3611	1,850	1,530
Appraisers recommend reduction of \$350 on building, making assessment on building read \$1,500. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
52 Chas. E. Faye .....	8	327	20,000	80,750
Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
55 M. M. Scott .....	23	1221	3,700	2,530
Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
60 Margherita Bacciocco .....	21	191	4,500	1,330
No report from Appraisers. Action <i>deferred</i> .				
62 B. A. and Grace Hansen.....	28	3046	4,000	1,840
Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
63 L. and A. Eden .....	4A	2831	4,750	750
Appraisers recommend no reduction. Further consideration <i>postponed</i> .				
67 Ellen C. Guiney .....	36	6941	1,400	400
Appraisers recommend no reduction. Applicant heard. On motion by Supervisor Ratto, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, reduction of \$100 on building was <i>granted</i> , making assessment on building read \$1,300.				
68 George H. Cross.....	33	1742	1,500	2,250
Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
69 George H. Cross.....	11	774	1,000	3,040
Appraisers recommend no reduction. Further consideration <i>postponed</i> . <i>Referred to Supervisors Uhl and Reilly</i> .				
70 Jim Angiolina .....	20	6548	2,500	510
Appraisers recommend no reduction. Further consideration <i>postponed</i> . <i>Referred to Supervisor Uhl</i> .				
71 Marie Lassallete .....	4A-5-6-7	4674	.....	400
Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
72 Isidore and Eugene Lassallete..	35	5327	3,450	400
Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
73 Withdrawn.				
74 Leslie E. Tanfenbach .....	30	1437	2,000	1,350
Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
75 H. Ramaciotti .....	10A	174	7,000	7,970
Appraisers recommend reduction \$500 on building, making assessment on building read \$6,500. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
76 H. Ramaciotti .....	10	174	1,350	5,950
Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
77 A. A. Peters .....	1	216	16,000	6,380
Appraisers recommend reduction \$500 on building, making assessment on building read \$15,500. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
78 Geo. E. and J. T. McCourtney...	16D	1157	5,400	1,930
Appraisers recommend reduction \$400 on building, making assessment on building read \$5,000. No objection, and <i>so ordered</i> .				

MONDAY, JULY 11, 1938.

		<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Building</i>	<i>Land</i>
79	Geo. and June McCourtney.....	16B	1157	5,400	1,930
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
80	Joseph and Elizabeth De Inavi..	15	3120	1,350	270
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
81	Dr. John K. Plinez.....	....	....	1,075	....
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	On motion by Supervisor Reilly, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, reduction of \$200 <i>granted</i> , making assessment (on personal property) read \$875.			
82	John McClements .....	15	942	4,900	1,770
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
83	Dr. John K. Plinez.....	3 & 4	321	56,000	8,080
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Further consideration <i>postponed</i> .			
84	Lee N. and Clara Jessen.....	6	1024	16,000	4,410
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
85	Anna Anderson et al.....	26	6545	550	740
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
86	Ed and Helen Henken.....	37-38	6748-6749	2,000	1,160
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
87	Wm. J. Farrell .....	11	853	3,600	2,020
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Further consideration <i>postponed</i> .			
88	Joseph L. Smith .....	34	3511	6,800	1,710
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
89	May E. Guilbert .....	24	1089	1,400	500
	Appraisers recommend reduction of \$200 on building, making assessment on building read \$1,200. No objection and so ordered.				
90	Withdrawn.				
91	Isadore and Fannie Davis.....	32	1847	1,100	900
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
92	Isadore and Fannie Davis.....	22	777	1,000	1,540
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
93	Mrs. Bertha Rogers.....	4	333	2,700	8,370
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.				
	On motion by Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, reduction of \$200 on building was <i>granted</i> , making assessment on building read \$2,500.				
94	Alex. F. and Frances B. Hilton...	1	1504	10,100	4,050
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
95	A. C. and F. I. Griffith.....	43	6081	1,500	310
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.				
	On motion by Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, reduction \$100 on building was <i>granted</i> , making assessment on building read \$1,400.				
96	Adele S. Friedlander .....	12	617	7,200	8,330
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Further consideration <i>postponed</i> .			
	<i>Referred to Supervisor Uhl.</i>				
97	Franz Wedde .....	21	1152	2,900	1,320
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
98	Jules De Pierre .....	13	2032	2,250	1,110
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
99	Clara Vandyke .....	13	1222	3,800	3,110
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Further consideration <i>postponed</i> .			
	<i>Referred to Supervisor Uhl.</i>				
100	Michael and Catherine Donovan.	29	3556	3,600	1,750
	Appraisers recommend reduction of \$300 on building, making assessment on building read \$3,300. No objection and so ordered.				
101	Michael and Catherine Donovan.	4	1008	2,700	3,190
	Consideration <i>postponed</i> , awaiting recheck by Appraisers.				



		Lot	Block	Building	Land
102	Guiseppe Margiotta .....	26	4790	2,000	370
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
103	A. G. Sala .....	1	986	7,500	4,260
	Appraisers recommend reduction of \$500 on building, making assessment on building read \$7,000. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
104	John H. Ryan.....	14	732	5,250	15,770
	Appraisers recommend reduction of \$500 on building, making assessment on building read \$4,750. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
105	Dominic Ferrando .....	32-33	4270	5,300	4,040
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
106	Roy and Theresa Doris.....	23	1728	1,100	2,000
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
107	Julia Calmels .....	7	5323	1,350	400
	Consideration <i>postponed for recheck by Appraisers</i> .				
108	Chas. J. Borglin .....	28	1759	.....	1,060
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
109	Joseph and Mary Magnari.....	65/66	2619	400	540
	Appraisers recommend reduction \$10 on each lot, making assessment on land read \$520. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
110	Eva Ussher .....	16	3616	8,600	5,970
	Consideration <i>continued for recheck by Appraisers</i> .				
111	Nina Faure .....	23	677	6,000	17,280
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Referred to Supervisors Uhl and Ratto.				
112	R. S. Chase .....	6A	2191	1,700	250
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
113	R. S. Chase .....	6	2191	1,700	250
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
114	Alice McW. Morse .....	8	1260	1,800	1,930
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Applicant heard. Application <i>denied</i> .				
115	Sophie Johnson .....	9	3591	2,100	3,280
	Appraisers recommend reduction of \$200, making assessment on building read \$1,900. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
116	Yates & Co. ....	14	611	5,300	3,030
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
117	Pierre and Marie J. Unere Pon..	15	145	6,750	8,160
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. On motion by Supervisor Roncovieri, reduction \$350 on building was <i>granted</i> , making assessment on building read \$6,400.				
124	Leo P. Mochettaz.....	48-49	3775	9,100	3,900
	Appraisers recommend reduction \$500 on building, making assessment on building read \$8,600. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
125	Eastern Hotel Co. ....	1	225	2,200	16,690
	Request reduction on land, only. Appraisers recommend no reduction. Applicant heard, stating that no other property compares with this property. Consideration <i>continued</i> .				
126	Eastern Hotel Co. ....	16	664	400	2,430
	Appraisers recommend no reduction on land. Consideration <i>continued for report on building</i> .				
134	Amelia Irwin .....	7A	1734	3,450	1,000
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
137	E. L. Anderson .....	6	1331	....	2,620
	Request reduction on land only. Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				

## RECESS.

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 12:30 p. m., recessed, to re-convene as a Board of Equalization on Monday, July 18, at 10 a. m.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.

## MONDAY, JULY 18, 1938, 10 A. M.

The Board of Supervisors reconvened as a Board of Equalization, pursuant to recess on July 11, 1938.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8  
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Shannon—3.

Supervisor Mead presiding, on motion by Supervisor Uhl.

Subsequently during the proceedings, Supervisor Ratto, at the request of Supervisor Mead, took the chair.

## Consideration of Applications for Correction or Equalization of Assessments.

Consideration of Applications for Correction or Equalization of Assessments was continued, as follows:

	Lot	Block	Building	Land
4 Orpheum Building Co.....	20, 21 & 22	351A	350,000	373,080
Consideration <i>postponed</i> .				
10 Margarite E. Peat and Edith S. McAlpine .....	17	217	400	3,000
Supervisor Meyer moved reduction of \$100 on building, making assessment on building read \$300. Appraisers accepted recommendation and it was <i>so ordered</i> .				
24 Mike Skaff .....	14	677	400	3,930
Supervisor Uhl moved reduction of \$500 on land. No action taken because of insufficient votes.				
33 Richard and Antoinette Lana....	21	186	8,500	2,480
Assessment on building previously reduced \$500. Applicant heard again. Supervisor Uhl moved a further reduction of \$500 on building, making assessment on building read \$7,500. Appraisers accepted recommendation for further reduction. <i>So ordered</i> .				
44 Alfred L. and Edith Bacigalupi..	2	77	4,750	3,960
Recommendation of \$250 reduction on building, previously made by Appraisers. Further consideration <i>postponed at request by Supervisor Uhl</i> .				
45 James Bosch .....	1	681	3,800	4,690
Supervisor Uhl moved reduction of \$600 on building, making assessment on building read \$3,200. Appraisers accept recommendation and it was <i>so ordered</i> .				
60 Margherita Bacciocco .....	21	191	4,500	1,330
Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
63 L. and A. Eden .....	4A	2831	5,000	750
After further consideration, Appraisers recommend reduction \$250 on building, making assessment on building read \$4,750. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
70 Jim Angiolina .....	20	6548	2,500	510
Appraisers recommend no reduction. Supervisor Uhl moved reduction of \$250 on building. No second. Application <i>denied</i> .				
83 Dr. John K. Plinez .....	3 & 4	321	56,000	8,080
Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
87 Wm. J. Farrell .....	11	853	3,600	2,020
Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
96 Adele S. Friedlander .....	12	617	7,200	8,330
Appraisers recommend reduction \$500 on building, making assessment on building read \$6,700. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				



		Lot	Block	Building	Land
99	Clara Vandyke .....	13	1222	3,800	3,110
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. On motion by Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Meyer, reduction of \$300 on building was <i>granted</i> , making assessment on building read \$3,500.				
101	Michael and Catherine Donovan..	4	1008	2,700	3,190
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
107	Julia Calmels .....	7	5323	1,350	400
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
110	Eva Ussher .....	16	3616	8,600	5,970
	Appraisers recommend reduction \$300 on building, making assessment on building read \$8,300. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
111	Nina Faure .....	23	677	6,000	17,280
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
118	N. & M. Bush .....	7	498	14,900	4,700
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Applicant heard. Supervisor Uhl moved reduction of \$900 on building. Further consideration <i>postponed</i> .				
119	Patrick Regan .....	6	1453	1,550	1,250
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
120	Herman and Theresa Kalisher...	23	687	4,000	2,100
	Appraisers recommend reduction of \$300 on building. Applicant heard and requested reduction of \$1,000.				
	Supervisor Uhl moved reduction of \$500 on building. <i>No second</i> .				
	Recommendation of Appraisers <i>accepted</i> , making assessment on building read \$3,700.				
121	Anders R. and Olga Gustafson...	14	3630	5,300	1,220
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
122	Joseph and Sadie Maurino.....	16A	1157	5,400	1,930
	Appraisers recommend reduction \$400 on building, making assessment on building read \$5,000. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
123	Oscar and Mary Buhlinger.....	16C	1157	5,400	1,930
	Appraisers recommend reduction \$400 on building, making assessment on building read \$5,000. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
125	Eastern Hotel Co. ....	1	225	2,200	16,690
	<i>Consideration postponed.</i>				
126	Eastern Hotel Co. ....	16	664	500	2,430
	Appraisers recommend reduction of \$100 on building, making assessment on building read \$400. Applicant heard. Recommendation of Appraisers <i>accepted</i> .				
127	Kathleen R. Enzenbacher .....	24	1211	2,300	1,580
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
128	A. J. & E. J. Thompson.....	11	1156	1,900	2,000
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
129	James and J. M. Boyle.....	21A	1080	1,500	760
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
130	Lulu Skellenger .....	21	1026	8,100	2,610
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Applicant heard. Application <i>denied</i> .				
131	Orves and Mary McArthur .....	25	3558	1,800	2,970
	Appraisers recommend reduction of \$500 on building, making assessment on building read \$1,300. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
132	Orves and Mary McArthur .....	24	3558	1,800	2,800
	Appraisers recommend reduction of \$500 on building, making assessment on building read \$1,300. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
133	Grace A. Trevor .....	12	247	4,500	4,200
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Consideration <i>continued at request of Supervisor Uhl</i> .				
135	A. A. and Eda A. Krutmeyer....	24	1669	7,200	1,700
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				

		Lot	Block	Building	Land
136	M. and S. K. Benton.....	1M	1277	3,150	1,210
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Applicant heard. Application <i>denied</i> .				
138	Louise and Battista Ferrero.....	4C	4100-4131	1,100	380
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
139	William Bruce .....	5	347	34,000	9,340
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Applicant heard. On motion by Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, reduction of \$1,000 on building was <i>granted</i> , making assessment on building read \$33,000.				
140	William Bruce .....	24	1259	.....	1,125
	Land only. Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
141	William Bruce .....	12	736	.....	2,680
	Land only. Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
142	Grace McKee .....	5	1210	2,500	1,590
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
143	Nellie Sawyer .....	57	3596	1,660	880
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
144	Ivan T. Budaeff .....	11	1029	5,400	1,800
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Reduced in 1937 to \$4,500 and increased in 1938 by the Assessor to \$5,400. Applicant heard. Supervisor Uhl moved reduction of \$900 on building. No second.				
	Further consideration <i>postponed at request of Supervisor McSheehy</i> .				
145	Samuel and Flora Axelrod.....	21/22	770	15,000	7,820
	Appraisers recommend reduction of \$500 on building, making assessment on building read \$14,500. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
146	Alice McNamara .....	34	662	2,300	2,000
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
147	Herbert A. Seller.....	1B	632	1,800	2,980
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Applicant heard, claiming he had no reduction in assessment for the past ten years.				
	On motion by Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Mead, reduction of \$200 on building was <i>granted</i> , making assessment on building read \$1,600.				
148	Otto and Lessett Catalano.....	40	5616	1,600	5,030
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
149	Ernest Rohde .....	28	97	6,400	2,930
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.				
	Supervisor Meyer, seconded by Supervisor Mead, moved reduction of \$400 on building, making assessment on building read \$6,000. Appraisers agreed to reduction, and it was <i>so ordered</i> .				
150	Withdrawn.				
151	Margaret D. Barbutt .....	23	1795	.....	650
	Land only. Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
152	Nelle C. Itsell .....	21	706	4,150	1,360
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
153	C. L. Prising .....	39	1765	2,000	5,400
	Appraisers recommend reduction \$200 on building, making assessment on building read \$1,800. Applicant heard. Recommendation of Appraisers <i>accepted</i> .				
154	Julia C. Bunyan .....	3J	1626	3,400	1,130
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Applicant heard.				
	On motion by Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, reduction \$250 on building was <i>granted</i> , making assessment on building read \$3,150.				
155	Andrew and Anna Anderson....	9	1619	2,250	870
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Applicant heard. Application <i>denied</i> .				
156	Borghild Carlson .....	21	1754	1,930	1,110
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				



		Lot	Block	Building	Land
157	Oscar Ritzmann .....	13	875	6,300	5,300
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
158	J. F. and Cora Johnson.....	3	2803	1,050	630
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
159	F. S. Tucker .....	4	2803	1,050	630
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
160	Mrs. H. L. White .....	5	2803	1,050	630
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Applicant heard.			
	Supervisor Uhl questioned the Assessor, who reported that assessment on building, on account of remodeling, should be increased, and would be so increased in 1939.				
	Supervisor McSheehy moved reduction of \$200 on building. No second.				
	Further consideration <i>postponed at request of Supervisors Reilly and Uhl</i> .				
161	Mrs. Samuel Penesi .....	7	2803	1,050	630
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
162	Sophie K. T. Martin.....	23	335	8,000	1,500
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
163	Robt. C. and Edith McNaught...	13	1388	1,700	1,500
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
164	Sy and Diana Maltzer.....	6Q	1624	4,750	980
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
165	Tony Arabogdon .....	35	1454	4,000	1,620
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Applicant heard.			
	On motion by Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Reilly, reduction of \$250 on building was <i>granted</i> , making assessment on building read \$3,750.				
166	C. O. Whitton .....	11	6926	2,200	750
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
185	Chinese American Citizens' Alliance .....	21	193	22,500	5,400
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.				
	On motion by Supervisor Reilly, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, reduction of \$1,000 on building was <i>granted</i> , making assessment on building read \$21,500.				
188	Elizabeth Green .....	11	2359	.....	1,440
	Appraisers recommend reduction of \$360 on land, making assessment on land read \$1,080. No objections and <i>so ordered</i> .				
193	Walter G. Preddey .....	23	1235	5,500	2,170
	Appraisers recommend reduction of \$500 on building, making assessment on building read \$5,000. Applicant heard. <i>Recommendation of Appraisers accepted</i> .				
194	Jacob Ozanic .....	7	278	5,700	3,110
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Applicant heard. Consideration <i>continued at request of Supervisor Uhl</i> .			
195	Signe Johnson .....	11A	252	14,500	5,920
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Applicant heard.			
	Supervisor Reilly, seconded by Supervisor Roncovieri, moved reduction of \$500 on building, making assessment on building read \$14,000. Appraisers agreed to reduction and it was <i>so ordered</i> .				
198	John and Annie Finnigan .....	7	6723	2,600	380
	Appraisers recommend reduction of \$200 on building, making assessment on building read \$2,400. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
201	Catherine McCarthy .....	13	3717	3,850	8,670
	Appraisers recommend reduction of \$500 on building, making assessment on building read \$3,350. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
202	Esther J. Cain .....	19-20	1334	4,500	4,200
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			

MONDAY, JULY 18, 1938.

		<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Building</i>	<i>Land</i>
203	Chas. P. and Esther J. Cain.....	13	986	12,150	9,120
	Appraisers recommend reduction of \$200 on building, making assessment on building read \$11,900. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
204	Esther J. Cain and Mary E. Crowley .....	23	233	3,700	3,645
	Appraisers recommend reduction of \$500 on building, making assessment on building read \$3,200. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				

**RECESS.**

The Board, at the hour of 12:10 p. m., recessed to reconvene at the conclusion of the business of the regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.

---

MONDAY, JULY 18, 1938, 5:30 P. M.

---

The Board of Supervisors reconvened as a Board of Equalization on Monday, July 18, 1938, at 5:30 p. m.

**CALLING THE ROLL.**

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

President Shannon presiding.

**Request for Opinion on Receipt of Application for Reduction of Assessment after 12 o'clock, noon, Third Monday of July.**

Supervisor McSheehy called the attention of the Board to the case of a man whose building had been condemned and would be torn down, and moved that the matter be referred to the City Attorney for an opinion as to whether the Board could accept an application for a reduction of assessment thereon after 12 o'clock noon, of Monday, July 18, 1938, the third Monday in July.

*So ordered.*

**Consideration of Applications for Correction or Equalization of Assessments.**

Consideration of Applications for Correction or Equalization of Assessments was continued, as follows:

		<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Building</i>	<i>Land</i>
4	Orpheum Building Co.....	20, 21 & 22	351A	350,000	373,080
	Appraisers recommend reduction of \$20,000 on building, making assessment on building read \$330,000. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
44	Alfred L. and Edith N. Bacigalupi .....	2	77	5,500 (2)	3,960
	Appraisers recommend reduction of \$250 on building.				

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved a reduction of \$1,000 on building, whereupon, the appraisers announced they would agree to a reduction of \$500.

Thereupon, Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Roncovieri, moved as an amendment to previous motion that a reduction of \$500 on building be granted. Applicant heard.

Supervisor Meyer, seconded by Supervisor Reilly, moved as an amendment to the amendment, that a reduction of \$750 on building be granted, making the assessment on building read \$4,750.



**Explanation of Vote.**

Supervisor Colman explained his vote on the foregoing motion, stating that the Board had employed Appraisers, and had the Assessor to fall back upon for expert opinion. When the Appraisers and the Assessor refuse at first to make a recommendation for any reduction of assessment, it is an indication that a \$500 reduction of assessment is exceptionally liberal, and he would, therefore, vote "No" on the amendment to the amendment.

**Roll Call.**

Whereupon the roll was called and reduction of \$750 on building assessment of building was *granted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Uhl—6.

No—Supervisor Colman—1.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

	Lot	Block	Building	Land
25 Lorenzo Toso .....	11	147	9,000	7,040

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Reilly, moved that Application No. 25, as above, be reconsidered, and that a reduction of \$500 on building, be granted, making assessment on building read \$8,500.

Whereupon, the roll was called and reduction *granted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Roncovieri—2.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

**RECESS.**

On motion by Supervisor Uhl, the Board, at the hour of 5:50 p. m., recessed to reconvene at 8 p. m.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.

**MONDAY, JULY 18, 1938, 8 P. M.**

The Board of Supervisors reconvened as a Board of Equalization pursuant to motion of Supervisor Uhl.

**CALLING THE ROLL.**

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

President Shannon presiding.

	Lot	Block	Building	Land
70 Jim Angiolina .....	20	6548	2,500	510

Appraisers recommend no reduction.

Supervisor Uhl moved a reduction of \$250 on building.

Motion *failed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Meyer Ratto, Uhl—4.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Reilly, Roncovieri—4.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

Application *denied*.

118 H. and M. Bush.....	7	498	14,900	4,700
-------------------------	---	-----	--------	-------

Appraisers recommend no reduction.  
 Supervisor Uhl moved reduction of \$900 on building. No second.  
 Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Reilly, moved reduction of \$500 on building, making assessment on building read \$14,400. Appraisers agreed to reduction and it was *so ordered*.

		Lot	Block	Building	Land
125	Eastern Hotel Co.....	1	225	2,200	16,690
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Applicant heard; requests reduction of \$2,000 on building and \$3,000 on land.				
	On motion by Supervisor Ratto, seconded by Supervisor Colman, recommendation of Appraisers was <i>accepted</i> and <i>no reduction granted</i> .				
133	Grace A. Trevor .....	12	247	4,500	4,200
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.				
	Supervisor Uhl moved reduction of \$500 on building. No second. Application <i>denied</i> .				
140	William Bruce .....	24	1259	....	1,125
	Reduction requested on land only. Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
141	William Bruce .....	12	736	....	2,680
	Reduction requested on land only. Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
144	Ivan T. Budaeff .....	11	1029	5,400	1,800
	Supervisor Reilly, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved a reduction of \$900 on building, making assessment on building read \$5,400.				
	Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Reilly, Shannon, Uhl—6.				
	Noes—Supervisors Colman, Ratto, Roncovieri—3.				
	Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.				
	Reduction <i>granted</i> .				
160	Mrs. H. L. White.....	5	2803	1,050	630
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
194	Jacob Ozanic .....	7	278	5,700	3,110
	After reconsideration, Appraisers recommend reduction of \$500 on building making assessment on building read \$5,200. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
220	Leopold Marks .....	5E	1624	1,350	1,130
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.				
	Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Reilly, moved a reduction of \$135 on building, making assessment on building read \$1,215.				
	Ayes—Supervisors Reilly, Shannon, Uhl—3.				
	Noes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri—6.				
	Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.				
	Motion <i>failed</i> and application <i>denied</i> .				
223	Eastern Hotel Company .....	1	225	2,200	16,690
	Application identical with Application No. 125. Request on No. 125 was for land only. Request on No. 223, on building.				
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
237	Caroline R. Martens .....	26	251	3,000	3,850
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.				
	Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved reduction of \$250 on building, making assessment on building read \$2,750.				
	Appraisers agreed to reduction and it was <i>so ordered</i> .				
243	Hillard Goldstein .....	26	777	1,750	3,290
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.				
	Supervisor Uhl moved reduction of \$250 on building. No second. Application <i>denied</i> .				
252	Louis and Eva Wolf .....	2F	1189	4,300	1,510
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Applicant heard.				
	Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Reilly, moved reduction of \$500 on building.				
	Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Meyer, Reilly—3.				
	Noes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—5.				
	Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt, Shannon—3.				
	Motion <i>failed</i> and application <i>denied</i> .				



	<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Building</i>	<i>Land</i>
257 Fred J. & Brongham Morris.....	20/21	781	4,000	7,510
Reduction requested on land only.				
The Assessor stated that he thought request for reduction on building was in order, and that the courts had held that informality in petition did not invalidate action taken.				
Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Ratto, moved reduction of \$200 on building, making assessment on building read \$3,800.				
Appraisers agreed to reduction and it was <i>so ordered</i> .				
258 Mary E. Tierney.....	6	3774	950	500
Appraisers recommend reduction of \$940 on building, making assessment on building read \$10. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
264 Fred J. & Brongham F. Morris..	35	1551	1,350	1,250
Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
286 S. R. Zlodi .....	32	747	3,800	2,130
Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
287 John & Helen Mullan.....	95	3758	4,500	1,200
Building destroyed by fire. Appraisers recommend reduction of \$4,000 on building, making assessment on building read \$500. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				

#### List of Reductions in Assessments Granted on Recommendation of Board of Appraisers.

The following reductions in assessments, not heretofore acted on, were, on recommendation of Board of Appraisers, *approved without objection*:

	<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Building</i>	<i>Reduced To</i>	<i>Reduction</i>
168 Wm. A. Lange .....	24	227	4,000	3,500	500
171 Wm. A. Lange .....	4	207	30,000	27,500	2,500
176 G. Guglielmone .....	11	114	4,200	4,000	200
177 Belli Indig .....	11	303	4,800	4,500	300
196 Mary E. Kellogg.....	21	324	35,000	34,000	1,000
197 Mary A. Faustino .....	67/68	3537	1,150	900	250
207 John J. Rooney .....	9	4268	1,350	1,150	200
211 Nellie M. Lowry, Agnes Lowry and Isabel Lowry Soule .....	9	3714	16,000	15,000	1,000
213 Mary A. Dempsey .....	26	3524	1,600	10	1,590
216 Helen Mayne .....	36	3594	1,250	1,150	100
222 J. Graziano .....	1	5920	2,250	2,150	100
225 Laurel Investment Co....	28	6276	1,050	800	250
226 Laurel Investment Co....	106	3609	650	500	150
227 Laurel Investment Co....	53	3726	2,050	1,650	400
238 Charles B. and Frieda Pharion .....	25	1662	14,000	13,500	500
245 Julia O'Leary .....	24/25	661	1,300	1,200	100
256 Geo. F. Quill .....	40	1639	1,600	1,500	100
265 Ruby Thompson .....	7	829	150	10	140

#### Applications Denied.

On motion by Supervisor Reilly, the recommendation of the Director of Property and his Appraisers that the following applications be denied, was *approved* by the following vote:

	<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Building</i>	<i>Land</i>
69 George H. Cross .....	11	774	1,000	2,250
167 Wm. A. Lange .....	1 and 2	234	9,000	109,560
169 Wm. A. Lange .....	5	263	17,500	20,250
170 Wm. A. Lange .....	7	266	65,000	68,910
172 Wm. A. Lange .....	4 and 5	234	12,500	71,770
173 Albert and Theresa Osdowski...	13	213	5,200	2,800
174 G. and E. Guglielmone .....	21/22	134	4,400	1,420

	<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Building</i>	<i>Land</i>
175 Giovanni Guglielmone .....	31	130	2,000	1,620
178 Joseph J. Geary .....	2	1180	2,300	1,820
179 John and A. Delucchi .....	21	1092	3,200	540
180 Maria Demartini .....	15	481	3,950	1,650
181 H. E. and M. L. Radgesky.....	11	1236	.....	4,130
182 Maria Camiccia .....	5A	125	6,300	1,480
183 Leo Salem .....	7	1782	2,000	700
184 Joseph Coleman .....	17	721	2,000	2,300
186 Lillian G. Squires .....	41	1823	1,600	600
187 Adele T. Pielhop .....	15/16	3656	6,000	2,260
189 Jennie Doyle .....	34	2395	1,600	450
190 Louella Harrington .....	17	1458 (\$100)	4,900	3,140
191 Louella Harrington .....	20	1458	.....	2,490
192 Louella Harrington .....	19	1458	400	2,010
199 George Dumas .....	7	770	2,000	2,740
209 Adolph and Alwine Baiocchi ....	65F	3561	3,800	1,200
205 Louis and Josephine Petropoulos.	17D	3613	500	1,210
206 J. M. Sala .....	18A	1374	6,000	1,900
203 J. J. and Marie M. Rooney.....	25	4265	2,200	1,200
209 Annie Sommer .....	11	795	400	1,310
210 Gabriel Dalmon, et al.....	107/108	3589	13,500	3,510
212 S. Melmon .....	17	1366	750	1,350
214 Florence V. Hasketh .....	5Q	2330	1,800	700
215 J. A. Troy .....	27	1237	1,450	1,980
217 Margaret Cashman .....	28	1256	1,250	1,720
218 Thomas A. Campbell .....	16/17	4144	5,400	2,260
219 Lily S. Stamer .....	14-15-16	929	35,000	17,340
221 A. and Selma Bergisch .....	1A	828	14,850	3,890
224 Johanna M. Sala .....	6	1553	2,000	1,670
228 Stefano Bertone .....	10/11	25	10,100	5,580
229 Stefano Bertone .....	13	7063	.....	790
230 S. Bertone .....	21	7102	.....	400
231 S. Bertone .....	11/12	7102	900	630
232 S. Bertone .....	9-10-10A-10B	7063	2,900	1,010
233 S. Bertone .....	21/22	271	45,000	5,560
234 Wm. A. Hench .....	11	257	12,600	7,610
235 S. Bertone .....	8	155	8,700	5,200
236 John and Dominica Barlesi.....	43 & 44	5395	2,000	300
239 John and Margt. A. Hart.....	64	3588	2,000	1,610
240 John and Margt. A. Hart.....	22	3598	4,100	1,340
241 Maud E. Sutton .....	16	6915	2,800	1,250
242 Ernest and Louise Vialard.....	66	3587	2,000	690
244 Julia O'Leary .....	16	652	900	1,860
246 Antone Devencenzi .....	12	126	4,050	1,620
247 Miss Katherine Russell .....	26	1211	2,150	1,580
248 Marguerite Kappatos .....	6R	1685	7,000	1,360
249 T. Morando .....	1D	1491	1,800	2,430
250 Willie and Mary Leong .....	1	159	15,000	11,930
251 Alice Grant .....	7	1149	1,550	2,290
253 H. E. Ferrera .....	19	1546	1,700	1,780
254 W. P. Connelly .....	14	1554	1,350	1,360
255 Caroline Baruth .....	1	1628	4,500	1,410
259 Margaretha Giese .....	1	1536	1,300	3,160
260 Edla Nilson .....	26	3203	2,900	840
261 F. R. Merriman.....	16	1219	1,950	1,750
262 Alexander W. Ayers.....	23/24	1431	950	3,760
263 Ada A. Klingstrom & E. A. Fox..	2	321	.....	4,440
266 Wells Fargo Bank.....	20	276	6,200	3,900
267 Wells Fargo Bank.....	2	51	7,200	3,360
268 T. & Jeanette Ferthstand.....	71	3775	.....	13,350
269 Pietro Ferro .....	20	6083	14,300	7,170
270 Wells Fargo Bank.....	9	836	6,750	15,750



	<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Building</i>	<i>Land</i>
271 Wells Fargo Bank.....	89	3763	1,150	3,380
272 1026 Jones, near Sutter.....	2	281	13,500	6,930
273 Mary K. Hopkins.....	13/14	987	71,900	18,120
274 Maud & C. L. O'Connor.....	20	325	13,000	39,900
275 Cora Stone Peterson.....	19	272	4,100	4,760
276 Cora S. Peterson.....	4	3542	4,800	3,750
277 L. V. Rafael.....	1b & 1c	2925	2,550	1,000
278 Cameron Height .....	6	329	4,500	42,500
279 Mary K. Hopkins .....	10	327	13,500	80,750
280 Marie D. Mayre .....	1/2	355	9,000	56,100
281 Marie D. Mayre .....	8	314	4,500	43,500
282 William Wolff .....	22	175	1,400	1,700
283 Cannie B. Casner .....	13/14	652	1,400	6,000
284 Cannie B. Casner .....	26	722	1,100	2,250
285 Cannie B. Casner .....	13	1208	650	2,630

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon Uhl—11.

#### Approval of Recommendations of Board of Appraisers.

On motion by Supervisor Reilly, the recommendations of the Director of Property and his Appraisers, not otherwise acted on, were *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### Arbitrary List Approved.

On motion by Supervisor Reilly the Arbitrary List, filed with the Board by the Assessor on July 5, 1938, was *approved* by the following vote:

July 1, 1938.

Hon. Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco,  
City Hall.

Gentlemen:

Section 3633 of the Political Code of the State of California provides that on or before the first day of July, the Assessor must transmit to the Board of Supervisors a verified report in writing, separate from the Assessment Roll, containing a complete list of persons who have refused or neglected to furnish the property statement required by Section 3629 of the Political Code.

There is attached hereto and made a portion of this communication, the statement required by Section 3633.

The valuation estimates listed on the attached statement cover personal property exclusively, any real property of which the persons named in the attached list were the owners of record as of the first Monday of March, 1938, having been duly assessed by me.

The estimated valuation of the personal property covered by the attached list has been arbitrarily arrived at and in the judgment of the Assessor does not in any case exceed the true value of such property as nearly as such valuation may be ascertained.

Each person named in the attached list has been requested in writing by the Assessor to file the property statement required by Section 3629 of the Political Code of the State.

Very truly yours,

RUSSELL L. WOLDEN, Assessor.

A A A Radio Service, 6242 Geary.....	\$ 150
AAAA Accurate Steno, 220 Montgomery, No. 443A.....	50
A R F Rasdom Club, 97 Collingwood.....	150
A & B Novelty Co., 1355 Market, 5th floor.....	100
A 1 Carpenter Shop, 2175 Lombard.....	60
Abben Electric Works, 509 Washington.....	140
Academy Fashion Designing, 535 Powell.....	480
Ace Hi Cigar Stand, 150 6th St.....	150
Ace Photo Eng. Co., 682 Mission, 2d floor.....	120
Ace Printing, 66 Leavenworth.....	110
Achenbach, M. S., 2618 Buchanan.....	900
Acheson, Thos. J., 235 Montgomery, No. 2808.....	50
Ackerman, F. W., 369 Pine, No. 406.....	180
Acme Bedding Co., 28 Oak Grove Ave.....	200
Acme Cafe, 548 Jones.....	50
Acme Curtain Clean, 540 6th St.....	500
Acme Dental Laboratory, 209 Post, No. 919.....	30
Acme Furniture Exchange, 2188 Mission.....	700
Acme Stucco Co., 201 Utah.....	130
Acme Tavern, 801 Buchanan.....	450
Action Express, 164 4th St.....	40
Ad Art Co., 55 Stevenson, 3d floor.....	100
Adams, J., 2511 Sacramento, No. 2.....	220
Adams, R. B., 1725 Monterey Blvd.....	250
Adams Van & Storage, 1610 Sacramento.....	30
Adams, W. W., Co., 465 California, No. 1004.....	810
Adrian Beauty Shop, 1930 Sutter.....	150
Adua Cafe, 524 Union.....	300
Advance Fashion, 154 Sutter, No. 504.....	120
Advertising Display, 528 Ash.....	100
Aetna Garage, 525 Jones.....	780
Aggeler, A., 901 Pine.....	90
Ah Lung, 870A Washington.....	60
Ah Woo, 27 Ross Alley.....	240
Aimo Simone, 2099 Union.....	150
Air Condition Sales, 315 Montgomery, No. 518.....	50
Air Mail Paper Co., 518 Market, No. 300.....	50
Akard Door Opener, 4167A 20th St.....	125
Al's Smoke Shop, 177 Jessie.....	240
Aladdin Beauty Shop, 764 Geary.....	260
Alamo, The, 281 23d Ave.....	45
Alamo Studios, 1187 Market.....	100
Alaska Filipino Uni, 1419 Ellis.....	50
Albek, Albert, Inc., 512 Washington.....	500
Albert, D. E., Jr., 499 Natoma.....	150
Albright Metal Products, 1045A Mission.....	600
Alcazar Cafe, 264 O'Farrell.....	300
Alcome Knitting Mill, 90 Mary.....	200
Alden, B. F., 1195 Bush, No. 205.....	200
Alemanys Cleaners, 111 Sagamore.....	60
Alexander Hamilton Florist, 635 O'Farrell.....	100
Alexander Hamilton Garage, 640 O'Farrell.....	690
Alferitz Lands, 486 California, No. 406.....	30
Alice's Sweet Shop, 2537 Irving.....	300
Alioto, N., 2758 Taylor.....	240
Allen, C. H., 465 California, No. 631.....	60
Allen, E., 3394 16th St.....	100
Allen, H. H., 515 8th St.....	200
Allen Tire Service, 509 8th St.....	200
Allen, Verner, 156 Montgomery, No. 204.....	50
Allen & Hamilton, 1829 Market.....	100
Alley Lunch, The, 40 Belden.....	160
Allen's Van & Storage, 3213 Scott.....	10
Allgoewer, A., 376 Sutter, No. 31.....	1,000



Allied Engineer Pro., 151 San Bruno Ave.....	630
Allied Workers of America, 593 Market, No. 507.....	50
Allyn & Co., 235 Montgomery, No. 2908.....	300
Alpine Gift Prod. St., 1067 Mission.....	100
Alpine Van & Storage, 1787 McAllister.....	60
Alta Print Shop, 46 Kearny, No. 409.....	300
Alta's Place, 1501 Silver Ave.....	170
Alter, H. K., 354 Pine, No. 606.....	240
Alterton, G. E., 760 Market, No. 861.....	135
Alvarado, J. B., 235 Montgomery, No. 1642.....	120
Alvic's Meat Market, 503 Hayes.....	230
Amazon Allied Ind. St., 5 Cordova.....	300
American Carpenter Shop, 4327 Geary Blvd.....	120
American Citizen, The, 509 Sansome, 4th floor.....	240
American Electric Sign Co., 1740 Eddy.....	550
American Institute, 1182 Market, No. 325.....	250
American Jewelers, 210 Post, No. 1021.....	500
American Middy Co., 1119 Geary.....	600
American Molding Co., 531 Jessie.....	250
American Radio Tele. Assn., 268 Market, No. 139.....	85
American Sales, 989 Golden Gate.....	50
American Steve. Lbr. Co., Pier 92.....	500
American Sweet Shop, 1007 Guerrero.....	150
Ames, A., 3028 Clay.....	390
Amoroso, F. C., & Sons, 2131 Keith.....	45
Anchor Engineer Corp., 33 Shotwell.....	50
Anchor Finance Co., 821 Market, No. 906.....	50
Anchorage, The, 2280 Chestnut.....	360
Andersen, H., Furn., 2788 Folsom.....	100
Andersen, N., 398 8th St.....	30
Anderson, C. C., 948 Laguna.....	360
Anderson, G. A., 4804 Geary Blvd.....	100
Anderson's Moving, 4041 Geary Blvd.....	30
Andre, H., 44th Ave. & Balboa, No. 792.....	150
Andrew's Breeding Co., 505 Market.....	360
Andrews, W. A., 870 Market, No. 349.....	50
Andrews, W. S., 260 California, No. 311.....	50
Anglo Amer. Coll. Serv., 420 Market, No. M104.....	50
Anglo Cleaners and Dyers, 1619 McAllister.....	180
Anglo Garage, 570 Ellis.....	155
Anixter, Mrs. Anna, 69 Rossi.....	320
Annabelle Cafe, 905 Kearny.....	250
Anselmo, E., 700 Vermont.....	410
Antique Repair Shop, 2230 Union.....	380
Apex Cafe, 1498 Valencia.....	250
Apex Realty Co., 712 Larkin.....	50
Apple, M. A., 143 2nd St., No. 202.....	250
Appraisals & Brokerage, 870 Market, No. 455.....	180
Aptos Electric Construction, 1104 Mission.....	300
Aptos Land & Water Co., 235 Montgomery, No. 3001.....	240
Arc Co., 1354 Pacific Ave. ....	150
Arcade Pharmacy, 1094 Bush.....	2,000
Arcadia Beauty Studio, 254 Fillmore.....	180
Arcaro, Pete, 391 Geary.....	50
Arguello Cleaners, 752 Arguello .....	110
Aristo Painting Co., 473 Bryant.....	460
Armes, G. A., 1100 Union, No. 1200.....	1,750
Armstrong U-Drive, 129 Stevenson.....	100
Army St. Carpenter Shop, 3155 Army.....	130
Arnes Cafe, 201 Van Ness Ave. So.....	150
Arnold, B., 220 Montgomery, No. 709.....	120
Arnold, G. S., 564 Market, No. 618.....	150
Arnot Battery, 1299 Post.....	140
Arnott Battery Shop, 1250 Franklin.....	150

Arrona, Louisa, 433-A O'Farrell.....	150
Arrow Cafe, 120 Mason.....	520
Arroyo, Carlos, 1615 Pine.....	510
Art Center, 251 Post, No. 203.....	100
Art Cleaners, 2017 Chestnut.....	150
Art Dairy Bar, 43 Geary.....	150
Art & Style Studio, 2031 Vallejo St.....	150
Art's Fixit Shop, 3927 24th St.....	50
Artigas Dress Shop, 2203 Chestnut.....	150
Artist Flower Shop, 2305 Chestnut.....	80
Ashe, Francis L., 550 Montgomery, No. 308.....	50
Ashwell, G. G., Reg. Bure., 26 O'Farrell, No. 507.....	50
Associated Adjusters, 333 Montgomery, No. 605.....	40
Associated Dental Laboratories, 516 Sutter, No. 509.....	120
Associated General Contractors, 206 Sansome, No. 802.....	280
Associated Health Service, 760 Market, No. 733.....	1,000
Associated Mechanics, 3556 Sacramento.....	50
Associated Messenger Service, 431 Stockton.....	50
Associated R. E. Corp., 709 Folsom.....	35
Associated Studios, 157 Fell.....	50
Assured Thrift, Inc., 26 O'Farrell, 2nd floor.....	100
Atherton, Edwin, 58 Sutter, No. 340.....	50
Atkins, G. E., 582 Market, No. 1205.....	120
Atkinson Stutz Co., 112 Market, No. 716.....	50
Atkinson & Maund, 1215 Polk.....	1,800
Atlantic Film Exch., 261 Golden Gate Ave.....	150
Atlas Garage, 1444 Green.....	300
Atlas Merchandising Co., 830 Market, No. 404.....	50
Attabit, A. A., 1591 21st Ave.....	180
Attorney Printing Supply, 518 Market, No. 303.....	300
Audisk Recording Co., 116 New Montgomery, No. 228.....	50
Aunt Mary's Dining Room, 236 Townsend.....	40
Aureguy, Carmelita, 3601 Baker.....	500
Aurora Tonsorial Parlor, 352 Drumm.....	100
Austin Pennant Shop, 276 Noe.....	150
Auto Armature Exchange, 715 Turk.....	960
Auto Parts Clerks' Union, 108 Valencia.....	50
Auto Sales, 701 Valencia.....	50
Automobile Transportation Co., 206 Green.....	50
Automotive Painting Cr., 1438 Bush.....	140
Automotive Discount Co., 1182 Market, No. 401.....	240
Avalon Beauty Salon, 504 Larkin.....	190
Avalon Cleaners, 1550 Hyde.....	150
Avary, H., 323 Geary, No. 707.....	1,080
Avenue Beauty Salon, 409 Golden Gate Ave.....	150
Avenue Fruit Shop, 1837 Pacific.....	160
Avenue Jewelers, 519 Columbus Ave.....	1,140
Avery, Fuller Child, 251 Kearny, No. 206.....	50
Azeredo, R. W., 133 Geary, No. 419.....	150
Apartments—	
J. Debeau, 195 Alhambra.....	360
C. Palu, 200 Alhambra.....	720
M. Lewis, 290 Alhambra.....	810
Annette Swanson, 1940 Anza.....	150
John Connell, 4935 Anza.....	190
Ellen H. Sullivan, 112 Arguello Blvd.....	150
Flanagan Estate, 241 Ashbury.....	45
Wm. A. Stager, Bros., 609 Ashbury.....	180
M. Sweeney, 820 Ashbury.....	140
Owen McHugh, Jr., 700 Baker.....	75
Geo. McHugh, 720 Baker.....	120
Rose Cohen, 735 Balboa.....	120
F. Gruber, 1333 Balboa.....	60



## Apartments (Continued)—

Elizabeth K. Flynn, 2445 Balboa.....	80
Leo Weil, 4722 Balboa.....	80
P. J. Kelly, 363 Bartlett.....	190
Esther Ornstein, 1135 Bay.....	240
M. Malotte, 1275 Bay.....	50
Realty Liquidators, Inc., 2185 Bay.....	1,170
Realty Liquidators, Inc., 2101 Beach.....	360
J. V. Campbell, 2165 Beach.....	150
O. A. Connolly, 2235 Beach.....	180
R. B. Reynolds, 165 Beaver.....	60
L. Martino, 1416 Broadway.....	90
J. B. Landfield, 1815 Broadway.....	280
F. Potasz, 545 Broderick.....	240
Catherine Lynn, 900 Broderick.....	300
Mrs. E. Baldwin, 914 Broderick.....	110
B. S. Fong, 10 Brooklyn Pl.....	120
W. L. Bradley, 415 Buchanan.....	770
Ethelda Douthit, 520 Buchanan.....	460
F. D. Allensby, 625 Bush.....	3,080
D. Fine, 1275 Bush.....	580
R. S. Floyd, 2195 Bush.....	270
Thos. O'Brien, 2303 Bush.....	150
H. Stoff, 2315 Bush.....	120
C. Lynch, 700 Cabrillo.....	315
Mabel Wilson, 1270 California.....	125
S. Hulting, 1351 California.....	300
E. Fitzgerald, 1650 California.....	480
Dr. E. Williams, 1869 California.....	175
Pierre Marracq, 2211 California.....	650
Tesluck Realty Co., 3059 California.....	150
De Wolf Realty Co., 4320 California.....	265
F. W. Woodmansee, 4340 California.....	100
M. J. Bowler, 4405 California.....	375
De Wolf Realty Co., 4505 California.....	225
J. C. Doolittle, 4550 California.....	90
Mrs. C. Tibbitts, 6705 California.....	80
George Taylor, 307 Capp.....	540
James Finnegan, 1149 Capp.....	220
F. S. Pyle, 150 Carl.....	220
Fred Nason, 1605 Castro.....	90
F. R. Vauties, 1643 Castro.....	180
D. F. Dooling, 58 Central Ave.....	360
D. F. Dooling, 61 Central Ave.....	120
G. Speier, 65 Cervantes Blvd.....	300
D. Devincenzi, 427 Chestnut.....	20
Harriman Investment Co., 1229 Chestnut.....	980
Mary Porta, 1401 Chestnut.....	60
Jeanette Stamper, 1795 Chestnut.....	380
Katherine Pope, 2 Church.....	320
E. Steffens, 8 Clarion Alley.....	60
Gertrude Erler, 1123 Clay.....	270
J. Kalegeros, 2558 Clay.....	140
Manuel Repoll, 2735 Clay.....	260
T. J. French, 2835 Clay.....	80
I. Epp, 3401 Clay.....	930
Mutual Mortgage Co., 3699 Clay.....	150
George Walker, 550 Clayton.....	330
Property Management, 1180 Clayton.....	80
Alice Brown, 18 Clement.....	330
I. Epp and Son, 1345 Clement.....	300
P. J. Baccus, 2120 Clement.....	300
J. F. Fratessa, 421 Cornwall.....	80
Guido Nitrio, 88 Cumberland.....	80
Guido Nitrio, 90 Cumberland.....	100

## Apartments (Continued)—

H. Cohn, 803 Divisadero.....	30
Paul Grant, 3501 Divisadero.....	1,200
Pat Connell, 527 Dolores.....	20
Gertrude Gallant, 485 Eddy.....	320
J. J. Bruce, 1819 Eddy.....	240
Thos. Walsh, 747 Elizabeth.....	330
T. W. Giboa, 680 Ellis.....	180
James Basch, 747 Ellis.....	50
H. Roberts, 765 Ellis.....	625
L. Hill, 394 Fair Oaks.....	165
I. Epp and Sons, 2040 Fell.....	300
J. Svabek Estate, 2130 Fell.....	240
R. Frederickson, 733 Fillmore.....	320
Anna Hanson, 1463 Folsom.....	480
Theo. Kristovich, 2108 Folsom.....	120
Frank Marty, 2440 Folsom.....	225
Mrs. E. Hoffmeyer, 1250 Francisco.....	80
Evelyn Lee, 1458 Francisco.....	100
Peter Gavello, 1530 Francisco.....	80
Mrs. E. North, 2295 Francisco.....	780
Pearl M. Andrews, 1350 Franklin.....	180
Eliopoulos Bros., 1935 Franklin.....	960
Carolyn Conradi, 1955 Franklin.....	75
B. Delmas, 2650 Franklin.....	540
Anna Theilmann, 136 Frederick.....	440
E. M. Miller, 262 Frederick.....	155
N. Giacomini, 475 Fulton.....	200
E. Lukes, 475 Fulton.....	400
S. Anixter, 685 Geary.....	600
E. Siller, 946 Geary.....	135
Miss N. E. Nickerson, 1125 Geary.....	240
M. R. Carlton, 1368 Geary.....	160
A. J. Raisch, 1409 Geary.....	440
First A. M. E. Zion, 1667 Geary.....	50
M. K. Richardson, 2186 Geary.....	530
I. Epp, 4747 Geary.....	25
Clorinda Trobock, 5446 Geary Blvd.....	40
Fred S. Spencer, 8045 Geary Blvd.....	300
Ohlandt Buck Estate, 378 Golden Gate Ave.....	3,640
S. Axelrod, 945 Golden Gate Ave.....	240
I. Epp, 1460 Golden Gate Ave.....	420
H. J. Oser, 1616 Golden Gate Ave.....	280
Lachman Bros. Inv. Co., 22 Gough.....	300
W. Robertson, 1175 Gough.....	755
Chiapilloni and Vigo, 2811 Gough.....	75
Mary Scala, 2953 Gough.....	720
Mrs. D. Mack, 3260 Gough.....	810
Mrs. E. R. Strong, 1906 Green.....	120
Julius Fassio, 1555 Greenwich.....	520
Dr. C. Phelan, 360 Grove.....	360
E. Brosin, 597 Guerrero.....	120
J. J. Thiebaut, 1445 Guerrero.....	75
S. Solomon Estate, 214 Haight.....	450
A. E. Uddenberg, 739 Haight.....	735
A. E. Uddenberg, 745 Haight.....	475
A. E. and S. Johnson, 769 Haight.....	200
A. C. Gordon, 973 Haight.....	315
J. M. Henely, 1545 Hampshire.....	75
J. Kozlowski, 31 Harriet.....	260
Wm. Horstinyer, 135 Hartford.....	75
John Johnson, 435 Hayes.....	510
R. B. Montague, 487 Hayes.....	330
Mary L. Curley, 487 Hayes.....	240



## Apartments (Continued)—

C. N. Douglass, 1161 Hayes.....	140
Rebecca Happ, 1359 Hayes.....	240
Margaret Manwell, 1588 Hayes.....	360
S. Landiotis, 2108 Hayes.....	255
Martin Christiansen, 69 Henry.....	210
H. Savage, 1452 Howard.....	60
Mrs. L. Grolemond, 1554 Howard.....	190
B. Stickel, 134 Hugo .....	90
F. Hanni, 625 Hyde .....	280
Abraham Makawer, 935 Hyde .....	400
C. B. Cavagnaro, 1250 Hyde .....	120
Amelia Olson, 1251 Hyde.....	240
Mrs. M. Thompson, 1328 Hyde.....	90
C. Christian, 1369 Hyde.....	6,840
S. F. Realty Syndicate, 2140 Hyde.....	300
S. F. Realty Syndicate, 2150 Hyde.....	250
H. Lehrke, 450 Irving.....	200
L. Kaye, 635 Irving.....	30
Donald McRae, 1525 Jackson.....	90
Dorn Properties, 2106 Jackson.....	50
L. De Martini, 2160 Jackson.....	150
Nels Johnson, 100 Laguna.....	110
Mary Freed, 611 Laguna.....	240
Mrs. A. Bell, 720 Lake.....	300
Kate and Max Miller, 2323 Lake.....	60
Blanche Pinzaize, 926 Larkin.....	200
V. W. Sloan, 1030 Larkin.....	300
E. W. Thomson, 2460 Larkin.....	275
San Jose Pacific B. & L., 2525 Larkin.....	380
Gus Woerner, 245 Leavenworth.....	240
Victor Hallonquist, 245 Leavenworth.....	1,180
G. R. Danziger, 630 Leavenworth.....	120
G. G. Invest. Co., 711 Leavenworth.....	480
Chas. Weiss, 821 Leavenworth.....	440
M. Terkeltaub, 921 Leavenworth.....	100
Mrs. L. Wertheimer, 936 Leavenworth.....	120
Mrs. G. W. Gass, 1430 Leavenworth.....	240
Mrs. M. Bisso, 1610 Leavenworth.....	365
Lauray & Couragent, 1711 Leavenworth.....	225
P. M. Decroupet, 183 Liberty.....	120
A. Amadei, 4101 Lincoln Way.....	240
Capt. S. A. Crosby, 1432 Lombard.....	100
T. H. Fredericksen, 2265 Lombard.....	470
T. H. Fredericksen, 2273 Lombard.....	100
Oscar Promes, 2149 Lyon.....	30
Jean Rash, 2378 Market.....	170
Minna Stuermer, 3099 Market.....	100
Ray Benson, 124 Mason.....	420
A. R. Mayo, 501 Masonic Ave.....	80
L. L. Black, 250 McAllister.....	570
Sam Pantier, 1400 McAllister.....	360
G. E. McHugh, 1780 McAllister.....	120
D. H. Sloan, 2072 Mission.....	190
Dr. F. J. Moran, 2261 Mission.....	80
Jean Bordenave, 2735 Mission.....	445
Nello Bacci, 2941 Mission.....	80
M. A. Disernia, 3147 Mission.....	60
F. Allensby, 20 Monroe.....	450
Leo Bellona, 334 Noe.....	150
F. Suechting, 886 Noe.....	185
A. A. Field, 1235 Noriega.....	60
C. A. Johnson, 1690 North Point.....	450
L. Edwards, 1140 Oak.....	110

## Apartments (Continued)—

C. Hillebrandt, 1209 Oak.....	100
M. M. Gallagher, 1921 Oak.....	20
W. Garrity, 2045 Oak.....	80
Leona Anderson, 641 O'Farrell.....	4,220
T. C. Mathews, 1857 O'Farrell.....	180
Josephine Parr, 1720 Pacific.....	540
I. Zimmerman, 140 Page.....	160
W. F. Oszdorff, 764 Page.....	50
Otto Mecer, 968 Page.....	220
E. Rundberg, 1024 Page.....	165
J. Lawton, 1849 Page.....	200
C. Ellsworth, 1880 Page.....	155
F. A. Fagalde, 1937 Page.....	270
Mrs. M. Penn, 1938 Page.....	350
L. Butterfield, 130 Palm Ave.....	120
Wm. Radke, 168 Palm Ave.....	80
Carroll F. Reed, 935 Pine.....	555
C. D. Courtney, 961 Pine.....	870
Helen L. Grobe, 985 Pine.....	605
E. G. Vogler, 995 Pine.....	1,700
E. Simon, 1006 Pine.....	150
Mary Claasen, 1140 Pine.....	640
Mrs. E. J. Brickwadel, 1145 Pine.....	1,115
Pat Biggens, 1200 Pine.....	255
S. Kaplan, 1243 Pine.....	180
Mrs. E. Kittlemann, 1325 Pine.....	300
Marjorie Alpers, 1575 Pine.....	700
Friedman Est., 1315 Polk.....	240
Millie Tyson, 1625 Polk.....	1,150
Mrs. E. M. Stith, 1702 Polk.....	240
Mat Sullivan Est., 1821 Polk.....	240
Tony Damica, 2345 Polk.....	175
Francis O'Reilly, 2737 Polk.....	140
M. and T. Schumacher, 2757 Polk.....	140
Isabel Caubu, 780 Post.....	700
James O'Keefe, 1045 Post.....	140
S. M. Hedegard, 1141 Post.....	225
Lois Vivaldo, 1964 Post.....	400
Lawrence & Sheldon, 830 Powell.....	175
Cuneo Sisters Est., 1656 Powell.....	280
H. Oellerich, 19 Ramona.....	20
Walter Hatton, 30 Ramona.....	90
Charlotte Brown, 77 Ramona.....	225
F. J. Vargas, 1456 Sacramento.....	350
John Elgart, 1637 Sacramento.....	545
Anita Kearny, 1650 Sacramento.....	120
P. F. Reilly, 1658 Sacramento.....	180
Joseph Hagan, 1730 Sacramento.....	250
J. P. Wallace, 1750 Sacramento.....	350
Jeanne Ronner, 1850 Sacramento.....	1,520
Buckbee Thorne, 2011 Sacramento.....	120
Vera Cofer, 2195 Sacramento.....	435
Mrs. J. Crowley, 2511 Sacramento.....	200
Mary Hourihan, 3892 Sacramento.....	120
J. Fregosi, 785 San Jose Ave.....	300
J. J. Lagorio, 5 Santa Rosa Ave.....	60
R. M. and H. Haight, 1160 Scott.....	160
M. Desiano, 3055 Scott.....	360
Kate Banberry, 40 Shrader.....	150
H. A. Foy, 823 Shrader.....	220
Harold and Eleanor Eich, 1409 Shrader.....	90
Mrs. M. Alpers, 901 Stanyan.....	630
E. J. Cashin, 2456 Steiner.....	50



## Apartments (Continued)—

Marie Keenan, 621 Stockton.....	460
Property Management, 707 Stockton.....	1,150
Chas. W. Byrnes, 683 Sutter.....	150
Mrs. J. Jacobs, 1140 Sutter.....	460
M. O'Leary, 1460 Sutter.....	355
M. J. Bowler, 1610 Sutter.....	920
S. Moriwaki, 1720 Sutter.....	260
Mrs. N. Grier, 2527 Sutter.....	190
Lucile La Blanche, 225 Taylor.....	720
Mrs. A. D. Silva, 520 Taylor.....	2,295
Marion McArthur, 625 Taylor.....	525
G. Y. Alexander, 1556 Taylor.....	150
Cora V. Gouguet, 285 Turk.....	810
Frieda Benson, 315 Turk.....	300
Randa Johnson, 1645 Turk.....	100
G. Johnston, 1150 Union.....	1,690
Esther Arnstein, 1335 Union.....	120
P. Crosetti, 1959 Union.....	60
R. Matteucci, 2485 Union.....	150
Mrs. Rose Berti, 2784 Union.....	60
C. Ferrari, 435 Utah.....	80
Joseph Knight, 1476 Valencia.....	1,075
San Jose Pacific Loan, 1450 Vallejo.....	360
Paula Anderson, 1485 Vallejo.....	210
O. J. Beyfuss, 1998 Vallejo.....	50
Pete Gavello, 2146 Van Ness Ave.....	160
Leo Lesser, 2160 Van Ness Ave.....	300
Violet Rankin, 2240 Van Ness Ave.....	60
Harry Reinfeld, 2250 Van Ness Ave.....	180
H. Heney, 2300 Van Ness Ave.....	420
S. W. Waterhouse, 2725 Van Ness Ave.....	300
Dan Healy, 460 Van Ness Ave., South.....	420
J. M. Picone, 245 Vicksburg.....	60
Victor Raynal, 568 Waller.....	240
D. F. Dooling, 1200 Waller.....	45
Mendo Corp., 1465 Washington.....	60
John Noonan, 1739 Washington.....	120
Chris Badenhop, 1870 Washington.....	150
L. Silberstein, 831 Webster.....	50
Thos. Spilios, 1918 Webster.....	60
H. W. St. John, 195 West Portal Ave.....	450
John Ciarol, 126 2nd Ave.....	150
G. W. Horstmann, 400 2nd Ave.....	30
E. V. Rocca, 405 2nd Ave.....	60
C. E. Ells, 490 5th Ave.....	60
Hugh J. McFee, 630 7th Ave.....	240
J. Strau, 425 8th Ave.....	80
Sarah Blazer, 451 9th Ave.....	80
Doris B. Zwerin, 201 11th Ave.....	220
I. Epp, 245 11th Ave.....	240
M. Terkeltaub, 407 11th Ave.....	40
M. J. Sheehan, 1287 12th Ave.....	105
C. Kleinclaus, 1322 16th Ave.....	80
I. Epp and Sons, 195 20th Ave.....	300
Pacific States S. & L., 2395 20th Ave.....	120
Mary Healy, 474 23d Ave.....	40
Realty Liquidators, Inc., 801 25th Ave.....	490
Marie L. Putz, 239 27th Ave.....	80
H. Fishgold, 405 27th Ave.....	150
Nathaniel Thompson, 363 29th Ave.....	180
H. Luhr, 695 35th Ave.....	325
A. J. Harwood, 1295 39th Ave.....	160
Agnes Armstrong, 518 46th Ave.....	360

## Apartments (Continued)—

J. H. Lorenz, 482 6th St.....	150
J. W. Doherty, 569 14th St.....	100
Reuben Unger, 811 14th St.....	375
L. V. Roberts, 1046 14th St.....	200
James Doherty, 1637 15th St.....	320
P. Hurley, 3321 17th St.....	1,020
J. W. Doherty, 3525 17th St.....	300
Gertrude Mavis, 3530 18th St.....	200
Geo. W. Doherty, 3605 20th St.....	360
Margaret Marini, 2635 23d St.....	200
J. H. Kruse Estate, 3201 23d St.....	720
Marie Schuett, 4013 24th St.....	60
State Realty, 4074 24th St.....	60
C. Bruggemann, 3721 25th St.....	160
Mrs. M. Doyle, 3822 26th St.....	100
C. Brinzo, 205 28th St.....	130
Della Selva, 245 30th St.....	180
B & B Motor Co., 700 Sansome.....	50
B & B Pure Fruit Juices, 3250 Fillmore.....	100
B & B Style Shop, 830 Market, No. 500.....	200
B & H Auto Repair, 1446 15th St.....	200
B & H Motors, Inc., 500 Pacific Ave.....	600
B & R Smoke Shop, 1800 Haight.....	210
B C Metal Stamp Co., 327 Langton.....	2,700
Bacci, G., 3001 Fillmore.....	200
Bacciocco, J., 2400 Filbert.....	400
Bagdad Bowling, 1641 Ellis.....	1,500
Bailey, D. P., 552 Hayes.....	350
Baker, C., 212 Stockton, No. 308.....	100
Baker, G. H., 582 Market, No. 1013.....	200
Baker & Co., Inc., 760 Market, No. 767.....	2,700
Balbo Restaurant, 256 Columbus Ave.....	260
Baldwin, Eugene F., 333 Kearny, No. 503.....	100
Baldwin, McGaw School, 950 Mason.....	150
Ballantine, J. K., Jr., 137 Harlan Pl.....	25
Ballas, Geo., 710 Bush.....	50
Baltor, J., 582 Market, No. 413.....	25
Bangs, E. G., 580 Market, No. 406.....	150
Bank Buffet, 3286 22d St.....	300
Bank Coffee Shop, 30 Leidesdorff.....	160
Bank Employees Union, 681 Market No. 274.....	50
Bankers Cafe, 475 Pine.....	250
Banning, Eliz. R., 210 Post, No. 1107.....	50
Baraty, G. L., 1875 Pacific Ave., No. 403.....	500
Barber Shop (C. Dignan), 805 Franklin.....	100
Barboni Studio, 126 Post, No. 607.....	100
Barcelona Bakery Shop, 1243 Stockton.....	125
Barden, G. A., 1716-B Mission.....	75
Barden, W. E., 5 3d St., No. 803.....	50
Barella, L., 1500 Bay Shore Blvd.....	60
Barker, J. W., 220 Montgomery, No. 443-A.....	75
Barnes Print Shop, 82 29th St.....	250
Barney's Richfield, S. E., 2299 Lombard.....	1,000
Barney's Tavern, 2798 Bryant.....	250
Barondes, R., 291 Geary, No. 509.....	75
Barrel House, 46 3d St.....	600
Barrett, Larry, 150 O'Farrell.....	75
Barrett, M., 952 Fillmore.....	50
Barrett Upholstering, 1720 Haight.....	175
Barrick, W. C., 1364 Page.....	300
Barron & Rossi, 998 Folsom.....	500
Barrow Book Shop, 1686 Market.....	1,100



Barrow, Wade Guthrie, 155 Sansome, No. 808.....	800
Barry, Chas. D., 3355 Geary Blvd.....	150
Barry, M. L., 1410 Portola Dr.....	500
Barry & Breon, 150 Powell, No. 207.....	100
Barsten, Lucille, 92 6th St.....	250
Barstow's Beauty Studio, 905 Geneva Ave., No. 2.....	250
Barsuglia, A. D., 539 Montgomery.....	150
Bartevian, P., 67-A Powell.....	150
Bartlett, E. I., 490 Post, No. 1020.....	325
Bartley, A. P. 163 2d St., 1st floor.....	50
Bauer, P. H., 3201 16th St.....	300
Baum, R. E., 163 2d St., 3d floor.....	50
Baumann, H. C., 251 Kearny, No. 508.....	100
Bay Bridge Restaurant, 11 Pacific Ave.....	220
Bay Bridge Wine Store, 787 Haight.....	250
Bay Cities Asbestos, 200 Davis.....	150
Bay Cities Dup. Co., 625 Market, No. 703.....	325
Bay City Oil Co., 177 Stillman.....	130
Bay Shore Grotto, 281 Bay Shore Blvd.....	150
Bay Shore Theatre, 2428 Bay Shore Blvd.....	650
Bayview Building, 1429 Mendell.....	50
Bay View Garage, 1520 Taylor.....	600
Bay View Hatters, 5126 3d St.....	100
Bayview Lunch, Pier 28.....	100
Beal Store Equip. Co., 2745 16th St.....	60
Beale, J. P., 220 Bush, No. 1905.....	80
Beale St. Garage, 133 Beale.....	325
Bean, Paul J., Lessee, 300 Van Ness Ave., South.....	400
Bearing Agencies, 807 Ellis.....	120
Beauty Box, 4330 California.....	225
Beauty Service Studio, 727 Leavenworth.....	450
Beber, Sam, 10 California.....	220
Beck, A. W. F., 3986 23d St.....	20
Beckstrom, R. W., 3112 Geary Blvd.....	120
Beek, J. P., 2780 Jackson.....	500
Beerman, W. F., 490 Post, No. 710.....	300
Befco Automotive Equipment, 758 Bryant.....	1,050
Belaskey, Joseph, 404 Geary.....	125
Bell Coffee Mill, 1985 Mission.....	120
Bell Fruit Co., 1175 Market, No. 201.....	50
Bell, Marcell R., 935 Market, No. 403.....	100
Bellevue Bridge Club, 505 Geary.....	500
Belli Bros., 2498 Fillmore.....	375
Bell's Grocery, 3489 16th St.....	350
Ben Sheet Metal Works, 282 6th St.....	175
Ben's Barber Shop, 751 Lombard.....	60
Bender, W. L., 384 Post, No. 903.....	500
Benner, F. C., 220 Bush, No. 1905.....	80
Bennett, A., 358 Sutter, No. 303.....	40
Bennett, Luggage Co., 2485 Mission.....	450
Bennett, N. B., 717 Market, No. 201-A.....	50
Bennett's Van & Storage, 1491 Ocean Ave.....	40
Benny's Market, Delicatessen, 4127 California.....	550
Benny's Market, Meat, 4127 California.....	500
Ben's Smoke Shop, 914 Fillmore.....	100
Benzinger, M., 135 Stockton, No. 739.....	750
Beraldo, D., 539 Gough.....	125
Bercut, Eva, 2308 Leavenworth.....	350
Berglof & Weideman, 105 Montgomery, No. 602.....	550
Bergman, G., 1922 Taraval.....	75
Berkeley Transport Co., Pier 7.....	150
Berman, T. E. & Co., 58 2d St., 5th floor.....	175
Berti Produce Co., 215 Washington.....	300

Bertrand Seed Co., 600 Front.....	550
Bert's Grocery, 4599 18th St.....	750
Berwin, M., 704 Market, No. 906.....	50
Best, E. S., 703 Market, No. 802.....	150
Better Health, Inc., 909 Hyde, No. 131.....	150
Betty May Studio, Dancing, 2337 Mission.....	200
Betty's Beauty Salon, 437-A Hyde.....	300
Beve .ge Industry News, 1581 Mission, No. 210.....	325
Beveriy, H. T., 465 California, No. 418.....	150
Bi Rite System Store, 833 Market, No. 621.....	150
Biaggi, J., 112 Cervantes Blvd.....	150
Bianchi, P., 2126 Union.....	750
Bianchini, J., 1715 Stockton.....	25
Bickum, D., 833 Market, No. 702.....	2,000
Bierman, Jessie M., 384 Post, No. 916.....	250
Big Dandy Donut Kitchen, 1175 Market, No. 173.....	150
Big Horn Tavern, 2898 16th St.....	300
Bill & Als Tavern, 937 Cole St.....	500
Bill's Texas Chili, 104 7th St.....	125
Bineman, S., Dr., 60-A Vicente.....	175
Bing Bros. & Co., Pier 27.....	60
Bingham, A., 536 Golden Gate.....	200
Binyon, R. G. Co., 6 Brady.....	225
Biolite Co., 7 Front, No. F143.....	125
Bird, Nan, 240 Stockton, No. 411.....	150
Birdsall, M., 133 Geary, No. 517.....	150
Birner, G., 210 4th St.....	275
Bissinger, Elizabeth, 2436 Broadway.....	475
Bittner, Clement, 544 Market, No. 408.....	125
Black, M., 73 Ritch.....	100
Blackfield, Mendle, 681 Market, No. 217.....	100
Bliss, W. D., 2990 Vallejo.....	1,000
Bloom, E. J., 582 Market, No. 714.....	175
Bloom, L., 709 Mission, 8th floor.....	300
Bloom, M., 1269 Market.....	25
Bloom, N., 127 10th Ave.....	30
Blox Hat Cleaners, 237 Ellis.....	100
Blue, F., 3441 Clay.....	225
Blue Moon Tavern, 301 Valencia.....	275
Bluebird Sweet Shop, 809 Divisadero.....	250
Blum, Harold F., Co., Inc., 16 California, No. 511.....	125
Blum, M. M., 5446 Geary Blvd., No. 2.....	125
Boas Finance Co., 1201 Van Ness Ave.....	550
Boas Motors, 3800 Geary.....	150
Bob & Slims, 454 Balboa.....	250
Bogue & Laurenti, 3319 Mission.....	250
Bohemian Garden, 1600 Market.....	200
Boland, J. J., 15 Valencia.....	50
Bohls, L., 924 Balboa.....	260
Bonded Transfer Co., 1107 Polk.....	50
Boodell & Co., 905-A Howard.....	110
Book Club of Calif., 110 Sutter, No. 810.....	80
Book Rack Circulating Library, 1811 Fulton.....	90
Boone, P. B., 555 Howard.....	30
Boone, T. B., 445 Balboa.....	325
Booth, M., 1337 Gough.....	200
Booth, William C., 320 Market, No. 318.....	125
Boothe & Co., 332 Pine, No. 205.....	130
Boquet Barber Shop, 402 Bush.....	145
Borello, V., 816 Diamond.....	130
Borovick, Oscar, 833 Market, No. 316.....	100
Boston Inn, 460 Folsom.....	130
Boston Mac's Cafe, 1160 Market.....	250
Bottini, A. A., 791 Monterey Blvd.....	450



Boulevard Garage, 421 Arguello Blvd.....	300
Boulevard Tire Service, 1183 Potrero Ave.....	325
Bouncers Cafe, 64 Townsend.....	300
Bouquet Service, Beale and Howard.....	50
Bourquin, M. Mitchell, 620 Market, No. 301.....	450
Bowie, Allan St. John, 345 Battery, No. 1.....	30
Bowman, M. M., 118 Church.....	50
Bowman & Fredericks, 201 Valencia.....	200
Bowser Service Station, 1138 Taraval.....	60
Bowser's Service, San Jose and —.....	125
Boyen, H. F., 760 Market, No. 924.....	850
Boylan, Sara, 140 23d Ave.....	100
Braden, Lowell E., 209 Post, No. 1203.....	225
Bradley, Bothilde K., 1775 Waller.....	125
Bradley, J. L., 21 Sutter, 2d floor.....	65
Bradley, M. T., 1550 Haight.....	250
Bradley, Mark, 977 Valencia.....	130
Brady, G., 870 Market, No. 517.....	125
Brady, J. G., 870 Market, No. 466.....	125
Bramy, R. A., 220 Montgomery, No. 1060.....	60
Brandlein, R., & Co., 2141 Bryant.....	650
Brashear, Lottie, 4726 Geary Blvd.....	300
Brass Rail Tavern, 801 Mission.....	320
Brazil Pharmacy, 645 Brazil.....	700
Breen, J., 2095 3d St.....	225
Brennan, Charles H., 486 California, No. 520.....	150
Brewer, J. D., 564 Market, No. 620.....	120
Brian, Walter D., & Co., 235 Montgomery, No. 1144.....	100
Bricker's Garage, 1144 Capp.....	225
Bridge Cafe, 1019 Grant.....	1,000
Bridge View, 349 Fairfax Ave.....	200
Bridge View Lunch Room, 1003-A Powell.....	250
Bridgewater, H., 1360 Geary.....	75
Bright, V. J., 165 Post, No. 405.....	75
Brill, John H., 681 Market, No. 681.....	30
Brinton, F. H., 450 Sutter, No. 2600.....	200
Bristow, W. L., Laboratory, 33 California.....	175
British Honduras Co., 210 Post, No. 712.....	100
Broadhead Printing, 717 Market, No. 705.....	600
Brodie, A. T., 1834 Gough.....	1,000
Brookman, D., 220 Montgomery, No. 349.....	175
Brooks Beauty Shoppe, 177 Post, No. 530.....	130
Brothers, W. H., Co., 830 Market, No. 711.....	30
Brotman, M. H., 222 28th Ave.....	750
Brower, Clyde, 702 Market.....	200
Brown, A. A., 461 Market, No. 514.....	80
Brown, A. D., 1012 Pine.....	50
Brown, J. A., 690 Market, No. 1012.....	75
Brown, O. O., 434 Cortland.....	100
Brown, W. S. K., 235 Montgomery, No. 1607.....	100
Brown, Walter L., 519 California, 3d floor.....	100
Brown, Wm. C., 1830 Geary.....	100
Brozzoni, G., 1932 Post.....	100
Bruce, J., Laundry, 145 8th St.....	700
Brunetto, C., 1574 15th St.....	210
Brunner, Albert W., 914 Geary.....	275
Bruno, M., 900 Columbus Ave.....	200
Brush, F. R., 254 Sutter, 2d floor.....	350
Bryan, R., 5018 Geary Blvd.....	40
Buchanan Engraving, 543 Clay, 2d floor.....	500
Buda, Harry, 942 Market, No. 510.....	100
Building Directory Co., 617 Montgomery, No. 320.....	250
Bullen & Damrell, 5 3d St., No. 828.....	125
Buller, H. Lesse, 557 Castro.....	175

Bullion Gold Mining, 235 Montgomery, No. 1158.....	125
Bulman, M. A., 646 Fillmore.....	80
Bunny's Waffle Shop, 400 Geary.....	850
Bunny's Waffle Shop, 147 Powell.....	850
Buon Gusto Cigar Store, 555 Broadway.....	200
Bureau of Vocational Service, 235 Montgomery, No. 1225.....	175
Burgess, B. B., 1100 Howard.....	150
Burgren, G. K., 593 Market, No. 516.....	50
Burgren, G. K., 220 Montgomery, No. 498.....	50
Burke, A. F., 2511 Pacific Ave.....	500
Burke's Cash Laundry, 609 Larkin.....	40
Burkit, R., 2690 Filbert.....	200
Burnham & Morrill Co., 164 Townsend.....	200
Burns, A. S., 1799 Filbert.....	550
Burns, James B., 111 Sutter, No. 309.....	160
Burroughs Stationery, 1798 San Jose.....	250
Burt, F., 26 O'Farrell, No. 804.....	40
Burton, E. E., 525 6th St.....	300
Burt's Radio Service, 844 Cole.....	250
Busefink, Paul S., 217 Tehama.....	275
Bush, E. T., 1667 Silver Ave.....	150
Bush Mason Cleaning Dyeing, 811 Bush.....	75
Busy Bee Cleaning Shop, 2650 Balboa.....	100
Butler, A., 848 Eddy.....	200
Butler, J., 870 Market, No. 976.....	75
Butler, Monica, Furn., 1959 15th St.....	80
Butler, Seth L., 320 Market, No. 237.....	100
Button, A. P., 342 Divisadero.....	160
Buzard Burkhart Pine, 620 Market, No. 424.....	150
Byrne, Charles A., 2991 Mission.....	75
Byrne Radio Store, 1650 Ocean Ave.....	200
Byington, L. F., 593 Market, No. 916.....	175
C C Cafe, 201 4th St.....	250
C & C Repair Co., 1022 Bush.....	65
C & L Garage, 560 Van Ness Ave. South.....	360
Cable Candy & Ice Cream, 1307 Mason.....	220
Cadero, A. J., 46 Eastwood Drive.....	200
Cadillac Barber Shop, 521 Valencia.....	130
Cafe Royal, 734 Broadway.....	190
Cafe San Marco, 12 Adler.....	150
Cagnacci, A., 4910 3d St.....	100
Cagnazzo, A., 671-A Broadway.....	100
Cahn, R. G., 1925 Pacific Ave., No. 1.....	240
Caine Co., 605 Market, No. 1014.....	50
Calamaro, M. T., 142 The Embarcadero.....	310
Calamoneri, Charles, 693 Mission, No. 701.....	60
Calaveras Central Mines, 582 Market, No. 705.....	100
California Bakers, 465 California, No. 612.....	200
California Belting Co., 1459 Mission.....	685
California Cap & Cloak Co., 109 New Montgomery, 6th floor....	650
California Cleaning Works, 284 29th St.....	80
California Club, 262 3d St.....	200
California Federated Institute, 1581 Mission, No. 205.....	100
California Garage, 1776 Green.....	870
California Gas & Electric Un., 690 Market, No. 1401.....	50
California Gem Co., 356 Sutter.....	3,090
California Grape Industries, 1152 Valencia.....	185
California Historical Society, 456 McAllister.....	150
California Home Owners Loan, 46 Kearny, No. 310.....	50
California Homes Publishers, 557 Market, 3d floor.....	300
California Ind. Groc. Prot., 26 O'Farrell, No. 400.....	50
California Ladder Co., 3175 17th St.....	1,680
California Laundry & Supply, 50 Rickard.....	6,935



California Legis. Bd. B. R. T., 821 Market, No. 844.....	100
California Lunch, 264 3d St.....	120
California Market, 1104 Fillmore.....	250
California Nonpartisan League, 235 Montgomery, No. 405.....	50
California Oyster Co., 3085 16th St.....	220
California Photographic, 37 Fulton, 2d floor.....	50
California Pub. Economy, 220 Montgomery, No. 618.....	50
California Realty Co., 3246 Mission.....	50
California Self Insurance Association, 461 Market, No. 309....	50
California Specialty Shop, 404 O'Farrell.....	185
California Tailor & Cleaner, 381 Hayes.....	85
California Tavern, 500 Kearny.....	520
California Undertaking Co., 100 Fillmore.....	1,800
California Upholstery Co., 451 Fulton.....	250
California Wiping Mat Co., 1282 Folsom.....	5,430
Califro, Thomas T., 26 Montgomery, No. 206.....	180
Calkins, J., 321 Bush, No. 201.....	120
Calori, S., 1724 Washington.....	290
Calunay, S. P., 1857 Post.....	405
Cambridge, A., 4238 Judah.....	790
Cameron, J. H., 690 Market, No. 225.....	90
Camp, E. L., 7 Front, No. F217.....	120
Camp San Francisco, 701 Sunnydale Ave.....	400
Campana Bros., 1527 Powell.....	80
Canedy, Otto, Co., 661 Folsom, 2d floor.....	300
Cannon, W. M., 690 Market, No. 712.....	180
Canton Low Cafe, 708 Grant Ave.....	505
Cantrell, R. W., 703 Market, No. 410.....	300
Car Barn Tavern, 2545 24th St.....	270
Caravella, M., 2838 24th St.....	420
Carella, L., 1951 Ocean Ave.....	160
Carlisle, W. B., 1914 Mission.....	200
Carlson, C. G. L., 1666 Ellis.....	75
Carlton, Don, 1121 Bush, P. H.....	200
Carmen's Beauty Shop, 700 Broadway, No. 6.....	150
Carol, M. H., 1485 Eddy.....	35
Carpenter, Wm., 10 9th St.....	100
Carr, Evans, 450 Sutter, No. 1715.....	100
Carr, S. N., 1244-A 44th Ave.....	60
Carr, S. N., 1815 Oak.....	60
Carraro, L., 1819 Union.....	190
Carroll, A. G., 870 Market, No. 1157.....	125
Carroll Beauty Shop, 669 O'Farrell.....	150
Carroll, J. M., 264 Laguna Honda Blvd.....	625
Carson, J. G., 516 Sutter, No. 318.....	60
Carter, C. M., 870 Market, No. 1102.....	125
Casey Car Co., 4019 Geary.....	960
Casey, G. C., Co., 406 Golden Gate Ave.....	150
Cash, J. & J., Inc., 580 Market, No. 417.....	50
Casini, S. M., 345 Front, No. 207.....	90
Cass, R. H., Fixture Co., 2626 Mission.....	225
Cassidy & Ray, 300 West Portal Ave.....	100
Castagnola, F., & Co., 2907 Jones.....	175
Castiglia, I., 801 Cortland Ave.....	340
Castle Manor Salon, 4279 Mission.....	250
Castro Egg & Delicatessen, 570 Castro.....	210
Catalina Hotel, 757-A Howard.....	150
Catz, Fred, & Co., 149 California, No. 220.....	120
Cavagnaro, J. F., 550 Montgomery, No. 402.....	65
Ceaser, C. F., 116 New Montgomery, No. 825.....	65
Cecile, 133 Geary, No. 709.....	300
Cedar Fruit Market, 2891 Mission.....	240
Celarie, M., 4120 3d St.....	225

Cellograph Corp. of America, 681 Market, No. 575.....	50
Center & Spader, 312 Kearny.....	265
Central Machinery Co., 74 New Montgomery, No. 644.....	120
Cercle de L'Union, 220 Post, 3d floor.....	800
Cerrito, Rose, 1520 Bay Shore Blvd.....	200
Chabot Estate, 310 Sacramento.....	100
Chadderton, H., 824 Camino Del Mar.....	480
Chadwick & Sykes, Inc., 486 California, No. 914.....	100
Chamberlain, Guy, 405 Drumm.....	100
Chamberlin, Herbert, 235 Montgomery, No. 1650.....	330
Chambers, L. C., 369 Pine, No. 316.....	60
Chan Kee Co., 1125 Stockton.....	3,000
Chandler & Quayle, 582 Market, No. 714.....	600
Chapman, I. F., 593 Market, No. 1018.....	635
Chappell, C. L., 215 Leidesdorff, 3d floor.....	1,725
Charles Service, 374 Brannan.....	180
Charleston Inn, 8 6th St.....	230
Charlie & Sams, 2746 Taylor.....	240
Chase, L. F., 530 Funston Ave.....	360
Chauffeurs' Union, 106 Valencia.....	70
Cheasty's, 233 Jones.....	140
Chemomite Wood Preserving Co., 400 Sansome, No. 623.....	180
Chen, J., 811 Clay.....	300
Cherry, G., 557 Market, 3d floor.....	100
Cheung Wo Barber Shop, 707 Jackson.....	110
Chew, W., 37 Wentworth Place.....	180
Chigris, M., 1339 Polk.....	180
Children's Day Home, 299 Dolores.....	50
Children's Orth. Hospital, 107 DeSoto.....	300
Children's Studio, The, 545 Sutter, No. 206.....	100
China Drayage Co., 56 Waverly Place.....	360
Chinese Chamber of Commerce, 730 Sacramento.....	400
Ching Fong, 760 Commercial.....	180
Chipman, M., 628 Montgomery, No. 229.....	60
Chismore, H., Dr., 2612 Van Ness Ave.....	100
Chong John Supply, 705 Jackson.....	210
Christie, W., 235 Montgomery, No. 2210.....	240
Christin, C., 2660 Green.....	845
Christin, C. A., 235 Montgomery, No. 1535.....	560
Christine's Lunch, 1422 Franklin.....	120
Chung, T., 742 Jackson.....	60
Chung Wah Book Shop, 938 Stockton.....	175
Church Studio, 140 Geary, No. 10-F.....	240
Cidonio Co., 30-A Andrews.....	180
Cigar Box, The, 136 Mason.....	250
Cirimele, F., 305 Mason.....	130
Citizen Charter Commission, 580 Market, No. 220.....	50
Citizen's Protective, 333 Montgomery, No. 409.....	120
City Front Garage, 74 Clay.....	155
City Glass Co., 3451 Geary Blvd.....	250
City Mattress Co., 2019 Folsom.....	150
City Realty Co., 907 Taraval.....	75
Civil Laundry, 1228 Grant Ave.....	15
Claason, J. H., Furniture, 1128 Pine.....	200
Claremont Cleaners, 2809 14th Ave.....	120
Clark, C. C., 1948 Irving.....	160
Clark, C. Fu., 1028 Pine.....	160
Clark, C., 1855 Oak.....	360
Clark, D., 291 Geary, No. 501.....	60
Clark, Henry R., 582 Market, No. 1209.....	120
Clark Parking Station, 135 Taylor.....	80
Clarke, J. P., Dr., 2623 Mission, No. 1.....	180
Classic Cleaners, 1732 Taraval.....	100



Clay Cafe, 667 Clay.....	250
Clay Fillmore Garage, 2318 Fillmore.....	600
Clay, H. E., 450 Sutter, No. 2232.....	890
Clayton, V. S., 1428 Bush, R.....	570
Clement Nursery, 1923 Clement.....	100
Clement Radio, 946 Clement.....	250
Clement Tires, 6140 Geary Blvd.....	90
Clerical Placement Bureau, 580 Market, No. 400.....	50
Cleverdon, T. W., Jr., 200 Bush, No. 314.....	120
Clift Hotel Barber Shop, 499 Geary, B.....	30
Clipper Cleaning & Dyeing, 630 Broadway.....	120
Clipper Club, 315 O'Farrell.....	245
Club Marino, 339 Eddy.....	525
Club Tailors & Cleaners, 1718 Clay.....	170
Club Tivoli, 70 Eddy.....	360
Coast Dispatch, 326 8th St.....	100
Coast Head Inld. Boat, 24 California, No. 309.....	50
Coast Side Trans. Co., 525 Gough.....	50
Cobb Welding & Mach., 688 Brannan.....	1,450
Cockran, Carl, 2435 Mission.....	600
Coddington Co., The, 222 Kearny, No. 403.....	265
Coffee Shop, 708 Folsom.....	150
Coffey, E. I., 220 Montgomery, No. 539.....	360
Coffey, H. E., 909 Hyde, No. 519.....	90
Coffey, Humber, 909 Hyde, No. 119.....	240
Coffin, E. C., Co., 817 Mission.....	505
Coghlan & Boland, 369 Pine, No. 615.....	100
Cohen, A., 1314 Polk.....	80
Cohen, A., Furn. Co., Inc., 2554 Mission.....	7,955
Cohen, H., 760 Market, No. 738.....	250
Cohn, Ella, 19th & Kirkham.....	550
Cohn, M. D., & Co., 268 Market, No. 115.....	650
Cohn, Sadie M., N. W. Cor. Eddy & Scott.....	480
Colby, Slipper Dyeing, 130 Geary, 4th floor.....	90
Cold Control Corp., 1168 Battery.....	100
Cole St. Garage, 930 Cole St.....	520
Coleman, L., 404 Duboce.....	100
Coleman, M., 1730 Cabrillo.....	100
Coleman, P. H., 1834 California.....	400
Coleman, M. E., 427 34th Ave.....	100
Coleman & Schee, 760 Market.....	50
Coliseum Fur Co., 717 Clement.....	630
Collett, E., 58 Sutter, No. 301.....	30
Collins, F. T., 133 Geary, No. 601.....	210
Collins, G., 258 Midway.....	70
Collins, G. D., Jr., 235 Montgomery, No. 1016.....	300
Collins, John M., 909 Hyde, No. 234.....	50
Collins, L., 582 Market, No. 1013.....	120
Colloff, H., 172 Parker Ave., No. 204.....	380
Columbia Shop, 407 O'Farrell.....	275
Colombo Market, 622 Clement.....	960
Columbus Meat Market, C., 1203 Columbus Ave.....	105
Comalch, F. J., 785 Market, No. 1302.....	180
Combination Box Co., 150 California, 3d floor.....	50
Commerce Corp., 16 California, No. 514.....	120
Commercial Motor Lab., 320 4th St.....	250
Commission Mkt. Dr. & 310 Clay, No. 15.....	60
Commodore Pharmacy, 811 Ulloa.....	1,300
Commodore Restaurant, 122 The Embarcadero.....	180
Community Reading Cl., 681 Market, No. 569.....	20
Compact Beauty Shop, 2584 Mission.....	95
Complete Service Lunch, 479 Eddy.....	200
Condon, C. E., 57 Post, No. 810.....	90

Condon, L. H., 690 Market, No. 307.....	60
Condon & Lawrence, 57 Post, No. 810.....	300
Cone, R. G., 2637 Union.....	350
Connick, G. C., 279 31st Ave.....	300
Connie's Coffee Shop, 910 Larkin.....	150
Connolly, T., 523 Octavia.....	60
Consami, R., 1728 Mission.....	50
Consol Music Co., 200 Davis.....	240
Consumers Coal, 321 Brazil Ave.....	180
Consumers Rock & Cement, 575 Berry.....	50
Contin Engraving Co., 156 2d St., 4th floor.....	730
Contin Music Inc., 569 Mission.....	360
Contour Shop, 278 Post, No. 607.....	190
Cook, J. E., 235 Montgomery, No. 950.....	120
Cooke, E. A., 4182-A 17th St.....	100
Cooks Oil Co., 11 Sutter.....	175
Coon Hollow Liquor Store, 785 O'Farrell.....	720
Cooperative Library, 499 29th St.....	120
Coos Bay Lumber Co., 351 California, No. 1305.....	125
Coover, Ernest, 703 Market, No. 308.....	420
Copp's Liquor Store, 1528-A Union.....	215
Copren, J. V., 220 Montgomery, No. 808.....	360
Corbet & Selby, 1 Montgomery, No. 1201.....	120
Corbet's Taxidermy, 1010 Point Lobos Ave.....	100
Corcoran, D. S., 627 19th Ave.....	200
Corner The, 1355 Polk.....	525
Cort Cleaners, The, 512 Ellis.....	150
Cosgrave & Haynes, 1401 Lombard.....	195
Cosgrove, J. E., 1050 Green, No. 2.....	650
Cosgrove, R. H., 948 Market, No. 212.....	5
Cosgrove & Welch, 280 Bay Shore Blvd.....	275
Cottrell Bros., 2362 Market.....	2,500
Coughlin, Henry F., 492 Minna.....	30
Courchene, F., 1555 Franklin.....	120
Courtesy Service Garage, 4625 Cabrillo.....	25
Courtesy Shop The, 1315 Haight.....	310
Coutts, G., 424 Cortland.....	195
Covington, Daniel, 988 Market, No. 510.....	50
Covitti, C., 6260 3d St.....	300
Cox, F. J., 350 Post, No. 609.....	100
Cozy Inn, 2838 Clement.....	130
Crabbe, J. H., 821 Market, No. 957.....	900
Cramer, Robt. L., 50 Howard.....	575
Crane, G. B., 681 Market, No. 383.....	50
Crane Water Heaters, 633 Hayes.....	200
Craviotto Bros., Grocery, 2399 Greenwich.....	780
Credit Clearing Co., 16 California, No. 514.....	180
Creditors Service Co., 703 Market, No. 812.....	180
Creomulsion Co., 869 Folsom.....	395
Crescent Fruit & Produce, 429 Front.....	235
Crewes & Macrae, 1524 Bush.....	310
Crichton, W., 408 Stockton, No. 804.....	50
Crisp, L., 3125 Laguna.....	75
Crittenden, W. C., 519 California, No. 419.....	110
Crivello, R., 2256 Cecelia Ave.....	200
Crljenko, Anna, 930 Fillmore.....	350
Croatin Unity, 821 Market, No. 751.....	110
Cronin, D. F., 760 Market, No. 530.....	120
Crook, A. H., 620 Market, No. 209.....	180
Crosier, R., 760 McAllister.....	185
Crosley Radio Corp., 1355 Market, 4th floor.....	300
Crowleys, 5255 Mission.....	200
Crown Typewriter Co., 247 California.....	410



Crystal Laundry, 219 Ellis.....	65
Crystal Palace Corp., 689 Stevenson.....	200
Crystalac Co. of Nor., 681 Market, No. 571.....	50
Cub The, 511 Valencia.....	165
Cub Billiard Parlor, 3097 16th St.....	110
Culbert, J., 154 Sutter, No. 405.....	360
Culhane, D., 978 Plymouth Ave.....	675
Cullinan, E., 3086 Jackson.....	780
Cummings, E. A., 2539 Balboa.....	40
Cummings, Jno., 235 Montgomery, No. 760.....	535
Cuneo, J., 1744 Clement.....	52
Cunha, Edward A., 870 Market, No. 774.....	200
Cunningham, D., 2311 California.....	180
Cunningham, L. A., 111 Sutter, No. 612.....	240
Cunningham, N. C., 333 Montgomery, No. 605.....	100
Cunningham Sheet Metal, 2039 Fillmore.....	540
Cutler, A., 112 Market, No. 734.....	125
Dadian & Dadian, 1175 Market, No. 121.....	175
Dad's Cozy Corner Ft., 3054 Balboa.....	300
Dagmar Beauty Shop, 177 Post, No. 532.....	125
Daily Trend Letter, 105 Montgomery, No. 507.....	100
Dallesio Academy, 555 Sutter, No. 401.....	100
Danish Sing Society, 740-A Valencia.....	100
Darst, M. B., 46 Zoe.....	50
Dave's Service Station, 701 Divisadero.....	825
Davidson, B., 178 3d St.....	65
Davidson, Ben, 140 3d St.....	95
Davies Aircraft Co., 1563 Page.....	175
Davis, A. B., Lumber Co., 433 California, No. 613.....	200
Davis, Benjamin, 645 Van Ness Ave.....	15
Davis, Chas. E., Co., 654 Mission.....	500
Davis, J. McCann, 235 Montgomery, No. 744.....	75
Davis, R. H., 2177 Pacific Ave.....	375
Davis, Richard N., 1591 18th Ave.....	60
Davis, S., 683 Market.....	40
Dawson & Grider, 659 Larkin.....	325
Day, R. C., 2070 Pacific, No. 604.....	220
Day & Night Auto Park, 550 Ellis.....	25
Daychman, M., 501 San Bruno Ave.....	225
Daylight Poultry Co., 4735 Mission.....	260
Day, F. A., 1182 Market.....	50
Dean & Dean, 1095 Market, No. 316.....	170
Deburle, B., 2860 24th St.....	225
Decla Sales Corp., 2795 16th St.....	550
Dederich, J. J., Furn., 1377 14th Ave.....	55
Deer Head Restaurant, 1309 Harrison.....	250
DeGeorge, T., 5029 3d St.....	850
Dehn, E. C., & Co., 5 3d St., No. 921.....	125
Deitch, Benjamin V., 450 Sutter, No. 1429.....	250
Delano Cafe, 546 Broadway.....	525
Delany, E. P., 235 Montgomery, No. 2607.....	100
Delany, E. P., 324 Pacheco.....	225
Delaware Smoke Shop, 360 O'Farrell.....	150
Deleon Arturo, 4326 Geary.....	30
Della Torre, 3418 17th St.....	100
DeLong Grocery, 1199 Sanchez.....	400
Delpech P., Furn., 1816 Post.....	80
Del Rae Garage No. 2, 1125 Steiner.....	350
Delucchi, R., & Co., 3275 San Bruno Ave.....	300
Deluxe Barber Shop, 90 Turk.....	450
Deluxe Coffee Shop, 607 Post.....	475
De Luxe Fur Co., 1177 Turk.....	100
Deluxe Rentacar, 151 Jones.....	150

Deluxe Sales Co., Inc., 940 Howard.....	7,900
Deluxe Upholster Co., 394 Hayes.....	30
Demaria, J. B., 831 Montgomery.....	55
DeMartini, Walter J., 220 Bush, No. 2103.....	90
Democratic Club, 637 Market, No. 2043.....	50
Democratic Headquarters, 55 New Montgomery, No. 319.....	50
Dempsey Realty Co., 82 Sutter.....	75
Denegree, J. B., 7 Front, F140.....	350
Denise, 112 Mason.....	75
Depaoli, D. J., 731 Howard.....	125
Dependable Appliance Service, 583 Haight.....	110
Depot Stand, 1400 Valencia.....	150
Dermetics Co., 209 Post, No. 210.....	225
Derome, F. A., 4663 Mission.....	60
DeRose, Ralph, 948 Market, No. 708.....	100
DeSoto Sedan Service, 191 Valencia.....	45
Detective Service, 690 Market, No. 901.....	100
Detsch & Co., 341 10th St.....	225
DeVaux Signs, 830 Valencia.....	170
DeVoto, A. S., 68 Post, No. 600.....	50
Dew Drop, 1355 Taraval.....	400
Dewalt Elec. Saw & Tool, 1335 Folsom.....	500
Dewey Social Club, 1224 Grant Ave.....	300
DeWitt, M., 683 Sutter, No. 9.....	30
De Wolf Realty Co., 4356 California.....	100
Dibert, L. C., Co., 787 Brannan.....	650
Dexter, Billie Anne, 210 Post, No. 419.....	150
De Young Building Office, 690 Market, No. 218.....	150
Dial, J., 581 Minna.....	50
Diamond T. Pac. Co., 1350 Howard.....	2,700
Diamond, The, 3001 24th St.....	210
Dickinson, C. L., 1470 Market.....	300
Dickinson, Warren, School, 369 Pine, No. 417.....	120
Dick's Coffee Shop, 1546 Fillmore.....	400
Dick's Coffee Shop, 1396 La Playa.....	425
Di Cristina & Son, 3150 18th St.....	120
Dictagraph Prod. Co., 457 Powell.....	4,000
Diethelm, J., 76 Taraval.....	225
Dietz, Fannie, 1175 Market, No. 221.....	250
Digardi, John F., 485 California, No. 523.....	250
Dinning, H., 2321 Buchanan.....	700
Dinos Pharmacy, 4661 Mission.....	600
Dinwiddie, John, 360 Pine, No. 404.....	200
Display Arts Co., 49 Main.....	165
Ditto Sales & Service, 681 Market, A9.....	4,200
Dixon, F., 246 Connecticut.....	80
Dixon, H., 628 Montgomery, No. 305.....	150
Doane, A., 392 22d Ave.....	300
Doane, M., 1103 Page.....	150
Doerr, M., 460 7th St.....	150
Dofsky, J., 224 Ellis.....	375
Doherty, J., Realty Co., 910 Valencia.....	100
Doherty, J. W., 2008 Mission.....	150
Dohrman, M., 1182 Market, No. 209.....	100
Doi, N., 1432 Geary.....	210
Doleith Laboratories, 870 Market, No. 838.....	900
Doll, R., 2813 18th St.....	25
Dollar Radio Service, 2813 24th St.....	260
Dome Auto Repair, 503 Van Ness Ave.....	300
Domeniconi, R. P., 802 Greenwich.....	175
Donald, M., 216 Head.....	40
Donati, V., 614 Green.....	150
Dong, Henry, 1247 Polk.....	250
Dong Hing Co., 120 Waverly Pl.....	125



Donig, Samuel P., 323 Geary, No. 711.....	100
Donkey, The, 98 Turk.....	400
Donnan, A. M., 170 Borica.....	310
Donnelly, Mrs. M. J., 2409 Divisadero.....	200
Donner Finance Co., 77 O'Farrell, 2d floor.....	1,000
Donzel, D., 21 Sutter, 2d floor.....	55
Dooley, Matthew J., 582 Market, No. 1508.....	115
Doras Cafe, 720 Broadway.....	775
Dorgan, Alice E., 1560-A Howard.....	40
Dorian Inn, 600 6th St. ....	165
Doris Leahy Studio Dance, 1966 Page.....	100
Dorman Star Washer, 540 McAllister.....	1,300
Dorothy Louise Studio, 1212 Market, No. 201.....	100
Dorward, D., 157 Minna.....	110
Doukas, T., 275 3d St.....	240
Dower, L., 1964 Hyde.....	400
Doyle, C. H., 178 Sea Cliff Ave.....	680
Doyle, G. S., Estate of, 2025 Jackson.....	5,300
Drapery Shop, The, 1216 Polk.....	120
Dreamland Auditorium Boxing, 539 Turk.....	100
Drennan, A. A., 420 Market, No. 404.....	115
Dresden Svc. Co., 703 Market, No. 414.....	110
Dresswell Cleaning, 1761 Geary.....	100
Drew, J. F., 870 Market, No. 1148.....	210
Drewes, H. J., 3094 24th St.....	325
Drobisch, W. E., 220 Montgomery, No. 468.....	500
Drummond, May, 2403 Fillmore.....	100
Drury, J. L., 16 Geary, No. 1.....	160
Duane, C., 437 Balboa.....	325
Dublin Bar, 2000 Mission.....	300
Duclay Beauty Salon, 177 Post, No. 511.....	265
Due Kee Laundry, 85 Ritch.....	420
Duerkson, D., 511 Laguna.....	50
Dufau, Mrs. H., 1378 Fairfax.....	50
Duffee, Dr. R. T., 3902 24th St.....	225
Duhem Motion Pic. Mfg., 135 Hayes.....	400
Duhem & Suzon, 2209 Van Ness Ave.....	650
Duke's Wigwam, 1409 Market.....	250
Duncan, C. L., Co., 1001 17th St.....	7,000
Duncan, C. S., 930 Chestnut.....	500
Duncan, R., 2340 Gough.....	320
Dundon Iron Works, 318 Main.....	1,650
Dunleavy & Sutton, 1518 Union.....	320
Dunn, B. J., 58 Sutter, No. 301.....	75
Dunn Bros., 1101 Sutter.....	200
Dunn, G., Fu., 3322 16th St.....	575
Dunn, H. A., Jr., 311 California, No. 500.....	175
Dunn, L. C., 681 Market, No. 422.....	150
Dunn, P., 740 Lake.....	60
Dunn, W. R., 216 Pine, No. 518.....	80
Duro Co., The, 224 Natoma.....	90
Dutton, E. A., 30 25th Ave.....	250
Eads, Wm. F., 2474 Mission.....	75
Eagleson Hawkins Co., 726 Market.....	35,500
Eames, E., 216 Pine, No. 614.....	50
Earl & Hall & Gerdes, 215 Market, No. 1030.....	550
Early, F. J., Jr., 369 Pine, No. 426.....	85
Early, J. F., 351 California, No. 611.....	100
East, S. J., 291 Geary, No. 410.....	80
Easton, S. F. Wagner, 516 Sutter, No. 218.....	275
Eckenroth Register Co., 447 Sutter, No. 620.....	150
Eckstein & Blackfiel, 785 Market, No. 608.....	50
Economy Cleaners, 2108 Clement.....	85

Economy Furnace Works, 446 Fulton.....	500
Economy Mattress Co., 410 Bosworth.....	100
Eddies' Cozy Lunch, 209 Mason.....	200
Eddy Wine & Liquor, 170 Eddy.....	175
Eddy's Hat Works, 454 Kearny.....	125
Edeli, A., 50 15th St.....	125
Edellis Auto Park, 333 Ellis.....	60
Edlin, B., 645 Euclid Ave., No. 4.....	300
Edmonds, F. J., 1887 20th Ave.....	250
Edwards, F. C., 2550 Webster.....	2,325
Edwards, Dr. J. C., 830 Market, No. 719.....	150
Edwards, T. M., 704 Market, No. 1100.....	75
Egger, H., Grant Ave & Geary.....	50
Ehrlich, J. W., 690 Market, No. 512.....	600
Ehrman, S. M., 2970 Broadway.....	4,800
Eickhoff, Henry, Jr., 220 Bush, No. 1806.....	500
Eighth Harrison Service Station, 1198 Harrison.....	750
Eitel, E., 311 California, No. 522.....	125
El Capitan Market, 2403 Mission.....	125
Eleanore's Hat Shop, 1619 Fillmore.....	200
Eleanor's Beauty Parlor, 1310 42d Ave.....	175
Electro Ear, 821 Market, No. 604.....	100
Eleven States Publishing Co., 564 Market, No. 423.....	75
Elie Sheetz Candies, 2399 Mission.....	400
Elimparcial News, 1305 Powell.....	550
Elite Cafe, 2891 24th St.....	400
Elite, The, 775 O'Farrell.....	200
Elk Lumber Co., 620 Market, No. 424.....	300
Ellis Smoke Shop, 189 Ellis.....	75
Ellis Smoke Shop 1559 Ellis.....	75
Ellis Theatre, 1679 Ellis.....	1,650
Ellis, W., 235 Montgomery, No. 615.....	120
Ellison & Spring, Inc., 788 Mission.....	100
Elsie's Beauty Salon, 150 Powell, No. 205.....	250
Elsie's Pleating & Dressmaking Shop, 524 Geary.....	600
Eltay Parking, 210 Taylor.....	250
Eltoro Tavern, 1290 9th Ave.....	350
Embassy Buffet, 586 Turk.....	325
Embassy Coffee Shop, 600 Polk.....	600
Embassy Garage, 639 Turk.....	220
Embassy Tailors & Cleaners, 2734 Taylor.....	150
Emmons, O. O., 220 Montgomery, No. 420.....	125
Eng Hung Chi Bean Co., 132 Waverly Place.....	125
Engelbart, F., 1575 43d Ave.....	50
Engineers' I. U. of O. E., 200 Guerrero.....	50
Engler & Maney, 3536 22d St.....	250
Enright, Geo., 1149 Divisadero.....	150
Ensign, The, 1 Market.....	500
Epp, I. & Sons, 4745 Geary Blvd.....	100
Epstein, F., 809 Rockdale Drive.....	225
Erbe Uniform Co., 149 New Montgomery, No. 605.....	900
Erikson, J. D., 153 Kearny, No. 209.....	100
Ermine Cleaners, 3380 19th St.....	150
Ernst, Max F., 1174 Folsom.....	225
Ernstam, E. G., 629 Commercial.....	200
Ersted, A. J., 740 Victoria.....	400
Esterlin, Frank J., 210 Post, No. 402.....	120
Ethel Shop, 657 Sutter.....	250
Eureka District News, 548 Castro.....	1,600
Eureka District News, 548 Castro.....	175
Eureka Electric Co., 3469 Mission.....	60
Evans, C. S., 620 Market, No. 611.....	950
Evans Freight Line, 991 Tennessee.....	50



Evans, R. M., 733 Santiago.....	100
Evans, V. J., & Co., 582 Market, No. 1009.....	300
Everett, L., 2313 Buchanan.....	50
Everhoh Products Co., 440 Golden Gate Ave.....	500
Evers, Maud P., 380 Cumberland.....	50
Everson, G., 3577 Jackson.....	1,000
Express, The, 78 2d St.....	350
Eyrlr Import Co., 7 Front, No. F215.....	100
F. C. C., Inc., 5 3d St., No. 928.....	100
F & F Frank Bros., 1023 Stockton.....	150
Fahey, J., 155 Bonview, R.....	30
Fairchild Publishing Co., 461 Market, No. 215.....	50
Family Barber Shop, 845 Irving.....	200
Far Yuen Association, 647 Jackson.....	125
Faria, J. W., 1217 Sutter.....	40
Farnocchia & Co., 25 Water.....	350
Farrell Realty, 1330 9th Ave.....	75
Farrell & Harr, 175 9th St.....	100
Farry, J., 785 Market, No. 911.....	100
Fashion Fur Co., 717 Market, No. 708.....	75
Fashion The, 3954 Geary.....	300
Fashion The, 885 Arguello Blvd.....	100
Faught, G. W., 240 Stockton, No. 802.....	175
Faultless Cleaners, 721 Central Ave.....	150
Faustino, T., 1022-A Kearny.....	150
Fautz, Joseph L., 214 Front, No. 404.....	25
Fay, Estelle, 2740 Divisadero.....	500
Fays Beauty Salon, 1005 Market, No. 302.....	250
Faye, N. 174 Ellis.....	50
Fazio, F., 700 Washington.....	30
Federal Employees Union, 821 Market, No. 746.....	115
Federal Knuckey Truck Co., 180 12th St.....	2,000
Felt, R. D., 1 Westgate Dr.....	200
Fenger, A., 160 30th Ave.....	750
Fenger Hall Co., Ltd., 235 Montgomery, No. 746.....	600
Ferguson, E. B., 5629 Mission.....	175
Fern Dairy Lunch, 1730 Fillmore.....	650
Fern Tavern, 1901 Mission.....	450
Ferne's Creamery, 716 Irving.....	175
Ferrera, S., 2987 24th St.....	350
Ferrera's Variety Store, 5750 Mission.....	3,500
Ferriter, Wm. E., 220 Montgomery, No. 645.....	60
Fertilgrowth Corp., 544 Market, No. 903.....	150
Fey, E., 4804 Cabrillo.....	475
Field, N., 671 10th Ave.....	200
Fields Book Store, 1419 Polk.....	675
Filippetti, Edgar, 801 Bryant, No. 768.....	150
Fillmore Commercial Market, 1220 Fillmore.....	250
Fillmore District Social Club, 614 Pacific Ave.....	60
Fillmore Inn, 3231 Fillmore.....	250
Fillmore Quality Market, 1735 Fillmore.....	200
Fillmore Shoe Renewing, 2119 Fillmore.....	125
Fillmore Street Market, 2195 Fillmore.....	175
Fina, P., 1225 Market.....	125
Fina, P., 1225 Market.....	750
Financial District Parking, 621 Sacramento.....	100
Finegolds, A., 1175 Market, No. 1-A.....	150
Finley, R., 525 Foerster.....	40
Finnegan, P., 1312 California.....	60
Fischer & Schatz, 357 Clementina.....	110
Fisher, B. L. Furniture, 229 2d Ave.....	50
Fitzpatrick K., 486 California, No. 820.....	200

Fixit Repair Shop, 2226 Taraval.....	100
Flood, Mrs. C., 951 Folsom.....	75
Floorcraft Carpet Co., 1274 Mission.....	450
Floralart Products, 81 Natoma.....	220
Flynn, J. L., 235 Montgomery, No. 1211.....	300
Flynn, J. L., 54 Sea View Ter.....	150
Foele Head, 365 The Embarcadero.....	225
Foley, J. A., 5 3d St., No. 1224.....	75
Foley, L. J., 199 Genesee.....	375
Foley, T. M., 880 Darien Way.....	272
Folsom Grocery, 2894 Folsom .....	110
Fong Toy, 839 Kearny.....	200
Fontaine, Ralph, 255 3d St.....	75
Foodmart Beauty Shop, 3535 Geary Blvd.....	675
Foodmart Kelvinator, 3535 Geary.....	50
Fook, Chong Hong Society, 835 Grant Ave.....	160
Foon, L. S., 718 Pacific Ave.....	110
Forbes Bros., 268 Market, No. 253.....	110
Forbes, Dale, 2211 Van Ness Ave.....	125
Ford & McDevitt, 4025 California.....	150
Fornari & Miller, 3657 Sacramento.....	250
Fornett Beauty Shop, 1631 California.....	300
Fosdick, E. H., 708 14th St. ....	100
Four Mile Tavern, 5501 3d St.....	250
477 Golden Gate Ave. Parking Station, 477 Golden Gate Ave.....	25
Fox Cleaners, 2406 Polk.....	180
Fox, D. D., 319 Mason.....	275
Fox, W. R., 557 Market, 1st floor.....	220
Frahm, Geo. E. Dr., 135 Stockton, No. 607.....	150
Francis, R., 33-A McCoppin.....	400
Francis, Valentine Co., 174 8th St.....	5,700
Franco American Hygienic Co., 1407 Sutter.....	100
Frank, P., 70 Market.....	80
Frank's Barber Shop, 450 Kearny.....	150
Frank's Shoe Repair, 977 Ellis.....	150
Frank's Super Service, 550 Russia.....	150
Franklin Storage Co., 1820 Steiner.....	100
Franklin, W. W., 1944 Post.....	100
Frecheville, F., 129 Terrace Dr.....	200
Freed, J. E., 2481 San Bruno Ave.....	100
Fregosi, A., 3282 Mission.....	125
Fregosi, J., 4834 Mission.....	125
French, J. S., 465 California, No. 538.....	35
French's Tavern, 2300 Geary.....	110
Frey, C. M., 995 Market, No. 1516.....	275
Friedman, L. R., 235 Montgomery, No. 1350.....	825
Friedman, Leo, 850 McAllister.....	150
Friedman, P., 212 Sutter, 4th floor.....	550
Frischia, A., & Sons, 11 Vandewater.....	250
Friscovich, A. F., 3895 18th St.....	60
Frisendohl, Arvid, 135 Bush.....	100
Fritschen, W., 450 Sutter, No. 1921.....	150
Fritzsche Bros., Inc., 1325 Howard.....	300
Frohman, B. B., 2001 California, No. 106.....	100
Fuidge, R., 1892 Folsom.....	75
Fuji Cleaners, 1392 Pacific Ave.....	175
Fujisada, F., 1603 Buchanan.....	200
Fuller, F. J., Glass Co., 2052 Market.....	200
Fulmer, Arthur P., 235 Montgomery, No. 1646.....	110
Fulton Inn, 1751 Fulton.....	225
Fulwidars Carpet Shop, 177 Capp St.....	100
Furcraft Fur Repair, 2138 Fillmore.....	80



Gables, 720 La Playa.....	180
Gabriel, O. Nicholas, 200 Bush, No. 1219.....	50
Gaffney Draying Co., 110 Clay.....	50
Galia, E., 1676 48th Ave.....	200
Gallagher, T. J., 90 4th St.....	380
Gallegos, Pete, 1299 18th St.....	150
Galli, R. F., 377 West Portal Ave.....	100
Gancis Market, 679 Chenery.....	125
Gander, A. L., 1193 McAllister.....	335
Garcia, J. M., 254 Market.....	20
Garden Fruit Co., 1985 Mission.....	140
Gardiner, R. M., 717 Market, No. 304.....	25
Gardner, H. W., 85 3d St.....	275
Garin, Henry P., Co., 64 Pine, No. 400.....	2,250
Garin, M., 601 Pacific Ave.....	5
Garrison, Lloyd, 315 Sutter, 3d floor.....	180
Gassman & Co., 1732 Polk.....	3,000
Gayan, Katherine, 273 5th Ave.....	60
Geary Barber & Beauty Shop, 5541 Geary Blvd.....	180
Geary, Charles, 2000 Judah.....	300
Geary Radio Elec., 887 Geary.....	180
Geary Tap Room, 418 Geary.....	500
Geary Van & Storage, 1732 Clement.....	100
Gehlert Welding & B., 678 Brannan.....	500
Gehres, F. J., 2489 Mission, No. 22.....	240
Geiger, E. L., 626 10th Ave, No. 2.....	360
Geis, G. D., 730 Van Ness Ave.....	300
Gelsi Medeot Advertising Agency, 470 Columbus Ave.....	50
General Cleaners, 90 Parnassus Ave.....	150
General Freight Transfer, 1610 Harrison.....	15
General Properties Co., 12 Valencia.....	50
General Specialties, 171 2d St., No. 305.....	285
General Supply Co., 1282 Folsom.....	300
General Theatrical Co., 25 Taylor, No. 208.....	50
General X-Ray Laboratories, 870 Market, No. 501.....	480
Gene's Cavern, 1807 Folsom.....	150
George, S. F., 2126 Fillmore St., B.....	50
George's Cut Rate Store, 1621 Fillmore.....	380
Gerber Unique Display, 1586 Bush.....	340
German Railroads, 251 Post, No. 203.....	340
Gerrard, A. J., & Co., 53 Stevenson.....	100
Gerritsen, T. J., 1222 Sutter.....	650
Gerry's Sample Room, 459 Geary.....	1,400
Gershon, M., 807 Geary.....	425
Gerth-Knollin Advertising Agency, 111 Sutter, No. 933.....	360
Gettings, H. F., 417 Market, No. 241.....	600
Giacomini, N. T., 2517 San Bruno Ave.....	50
Gibbons, Milre, 41 Santa Ana.....	200
Gibson A. T., 1000 Mason, No. 504.....	480
Gibson, M. E., 235 Montgomery, No. 950.....	120
Gibson Service Station, 898 Van Ness Ave. South.....	945
Gierdno, R., 102 Montgomery.....	120
Giern, Harry, 1303 Franklin.....	500
Gilboy Co., The, 666 Ellis.....	125
Gilfillan, H. M., 450 Sutter, No. 2100.....	205
Gill, H. D., 948 Market, No. 707.....	90
Gill-Mann Co., 160 2d St.....	3,780
Gillen, L. C., 220 Montgomery, No. 886.....	720
Gillespie, A. T., 29 San Leandro Ave.....	375
Gilley-Mank Co., 198 Otis.....	445
Gilman, William H., 3406-A Mission.....	240
Giovacchini, J., 1765 Lombard.....	12
Gladstone, D. B., 66 Santa Paula Ave.....	150

Glaze, A., & Co., 121 2d St. No. 701.....	450
Gleason, M. J., 1349 Masonic Ave.....	840
Gleason, W., 100 Bush, No. 2314.....	325
Globe Brewing & Malt, 485 California, No. 706.....	50
Globe Mutual Building & Loan, 465 California, No. 822.....	175
Globe Realty, 2763 Mission.....	150
Globe Slicing Machine Co., 56 7th St.....	200
Gogerty, E. B., 564 Market, No. 319.....	60
Gold Edge Wax Co., 163 2d St., 1st floor.....	60
Gold Medal Tailor Shop, 3112 Fillmore.....	70
Goldberg, S. O., 1156 Golden Gate Ave.....	60
Goldberg's Restaurant, 2031 Polk.....	300
Goldberger, Al, 704 Market, No. 402.....	25
Golden Bear Grocery, 498 Taraval.....	1,020
Golden Bear Meat Market, 498 Taraval.....	200
Golden Bough Playhouse, 1337 Sutter.....	600
Golden City Pharmacy, 1690 Market.....	1,500
Golden Crust Pie Shop, 3233 24th St.....	180
Golden Gate Auto Electric Supply, 714 Golden Gate Ave.....	245
Golden Gate Dairy Lunch Room, 173 Eddy.....	1,730
Golden Gate Egg Co., 3759 Mission.....	110
Golden Gate Fruit Market, 747 Market.....	130
Golden Gate Inn, 136 Turk.....	260
Golden Gate Liquor, 2942 Lyon.....	500
Golden Gate Paint & Paper Co., 5856 Geary Blvd.....	420
Golden Gate Tennis Shop, 1807-A Haight.....	250
Golden Gate Tire Co., 720-A Golden Gate Ave.....	305
Golden Gate Wholesale Florist, 934 Howard.....	300
Golden, H., 189 3d St.....	230
Golden Harbor, The, 141 4th St.....	250
Golden State Buffet, 1551 Mission.....	360
Golden State Food Store, 720 Post.....	600
Golden State Realty, 2059 Union.....	100
Goldenrod, Francine, 1276-A California.....	180
Goldie's Furniture Store, 448 Haight.....	200
Golding, H. J., 1335 Fillmore.....	900
Goldman, A. S., & Associates, 235 Montgomery, No. 345.....	450
Goldman & Nye, 235 Montgomery, No. 420.....	120
Goldsmith Bros., 731 Market, No. 600.....	35
Gomez Bros., 210 Post, No. 604.....	85
Gomez, I., 848 Pacific Ave.....	185
Gonzalez, Joseph, 1235 Golden Gate Ave.....	100
Good, C. D., 235 Montgomery, No. 1035.....	105
Good Fellow Tavern, 3051 16th St.....	235
Goody Mfg. Co., 1143 Stockton.....	900
Goodman Bros., 1030 Golden Gate Ave.....	1,500
Goodman, C. M., 400 St. Francis Blvd.....	700
Goodyear Shoe Repair, 505 Ellis.....	150
Gordan Diagram Co., 156 Montgomery, No. 205.....	300
Gordon, A. E., Paint Co., 141 Morris.....	90
Gordon, George, 1161 Turk.....	100
Gore & Crossman, Inc., 235 Montgomery, No. 945.....	375
Gori, G., 1421 Market.....	50
Gosch, John, 298 Clipper.....	120
Goslin, H. S. 3221 Mission.....	600
Gottlieb, Sam, 2404 Sutter.....	75
Gough Street Beauty Shop, 133 Gough.....	150
Gould, C. E., 690 Market, No. 1103.....	250
Governor Coffee Shop, 401 Washington.....	180
Grabhorn Press, The, 648 Commercial.....	1,000
Grace Bros., 637 Minna.....	50
Gracier, S. B., & Sons, 212 Stockton, No. 450.....	235
Grade A Cleaners, 587 Castro.....	150



Grade A Cleaners & Tailors, 1804 Geary.....	80
Graf, R., 1040 Geary.....	200
Graham Fuel Co., 880 Pennsylvania.....	900
Grahn, W. H., 2965 Mission.....	290
Gramont, M., 3731 Scott.....	250
Granada Cleaners, 1049 Sutter.....	125
Granada Inn, 4722 Mission.....	75
Granada Tile Co., 1555 Page.....	900
Grand National Film, Inc., 229 Golden Gate Ave.....	2,810
Grand Parlor N. D. G. W., 703 Market, No. 612.....	150
Grandma Cookie Co., 270 Dore.....	35
Granelli & Co., 4885 Mission.....	375
Granlee, H. B., Co., 383 Brannan, No. 20.....	50
Grant Piston Ring Co., 1047 Polk.....	300
Grass Valley Bullion, 235 Montgomery, No. 359.....	75
Grattan, M., 305 West Portal Ave.....	150
Grattan Market, 1200 Cole.....	460
Gravano, E., 2400 Bryant.....	450
Gray, Dallas H., Tr., 16 California, No. 712.....	50
Gray, E., Furniture, 2934 21st St.....	40
Gray, R., 147 California.....	225
Gray, W. S., 366 Market.....	300
Gray & Dudley Co., 1355 Market, 4th floor.....	400
Great Lakes Thread Co., 830 Market, No. 516.....	150
Green, M. D., 149 California, No. 421.....	225
Green, N. B., 55 New Montgomery, No. 506.....	120
Green Tree Library, 2385-A 20th Ave.....	65
Greenbach, J., 439 Roosevelt Way.....	750
Greenberg, Henry, 575 Castro.....	180
Greenburn, Ruth, 460 Geary.....	300
Greenhouse Florists, 1224 Polk.....	200
Greenland, G., 833 Market, No. 601.....	50
Greenwald, H., 3461 Sacramento.....	50
Greenwood Co., 617 Montgomery, No. 320.....	225
Griddle Restaurant, 405 Geary.....	200
Griffin Bros., 447 Sansome, 2d floor.....	200
Griffin, Don, 515 Pine.....	205
Griffith, A., 2820 Pacific Ave.....	6,000
Griffith, J. H., 68 Post, No. 514.....	120
Groper, M. J., 516 Sutter, No. 511.....	180
Gross, H., 785 Market, No. 717.....	50
Grossman, Al, Super Service, 1201 9th Ave.....	405
Grossman, G. E., 225 Irving, No. 10.....	120
Grosso & Simoni, 1548 Stockton.....	380
Grove Street Garage, 1336 Grove.....	145
Grover, L. C., 155 Montgomery, No. 404.....	120
Gruenwald Club, 149 Powell, 2d floor.....	120
Gruss, F. J., 450 Sutter, No. 1415.....	175
Gruss Surgical Mfg., 163 2d St., 4th floor.....	150
Gsell, H., 358 Brannan.....	240
Guarantee Auto Repair, 1566 Howard.....	390
Guey, Gee, 21 Nottingham Place.....	120
Guiliani, F., 55 Woodward.....	115
Guitard, H., 945 Green, No. 4.....	750
Gump, R., 445 Marina Blvd.....	625
Gunning, J. A., 68 Post, No. 421.....	120
Gute, Co., Ltd., The, 269 7th St.....	330
Guthrie, J. D., 1999 Broadway, No. 41.....	250
Gutiérrez, Elba, 1448 Pacific Ave.....	150
Guttmann Chicken Produce, 540 Hayes.....	175
Haas, H. C., 220 Montgomery, No. 436.....	200
Hack, S. H., 717 Market, No. 715.....	100
Hackett, E. L., Furn., 475 Dewey Blvd.....	150

Hager, M., 555 Alvarado.....	150
Hager, W., 3700-U Geary Blvd.....	200
Haggerty, G. P., 2854 24th St.....	100
Hagerty, R., 2611 Mission.....	25
Haight Distributing Co., 1348 Grove.....	300
Haight Scott Food Store, 800 Haight.....	600
Haight Shoe Repair, 786 Haight.....	100
Haizlip, Mickie & Etc., 111 Sutter, No. 1400.....	700
Halco Light Co., 734 Mission.....	200
Hale, Dorothy, 1300 Hyde.....	150
Hall, G., 3448-A Mission.....	50
Halls Sport Shop, 876 Sacramento.....	200
Halper, W., 717 Market, No. 200.....	250
Ham, Chas. E., 4 San Pablo Ave.....	230
Hamilton, H. J., 126 Post, No. 501.....	150
Hamm, J. W., 68 Post, No. 401.....	150
Hamm, L. S., 988 Market, No. 712.....	600
Hammer, Bray Co., 1355 Market, 5th floor.....	800
Hammill, Jos. B., 4598 Mission.....	70
Hanavan, D. R., 30 Leidesdorff.....	250
Hankins & Hankins, 821 Market, No. 917.....	250
Hanley, J. M., 59 San Benito Way.....	400
Hanovia Chemical Mfg. Co., 455 Powell.....	500
Hansbury & Wright, 4420 Mission.....	150
Hansen, C. A., 587 Turk.....	800
Hansen, Dianne, 41 Grant Ave., 3d floor.....	50
Hansen, Elmer, 133 Geary, No. 731.....	300
Hansen, Louis, 2425 San Bruno Ave.....	25
Hansen, P. M., 1358 Post.....	470
Hansen's Grocery, 1762 Leavenworth.....	500
Happy Land Cleaners, 3137 Laguna.....	180
Harding, Louise D., 22 Napier Lane.....	60
Harper, C. K., 268 Market, No. 251.....	150
Harper, W. A., 163 Sutter, No. 411.....	25
Harrington, J., 323 7th Ave.....	25
Harris Globe Special Delivery Service, 98 Jessie.....	200
Harris-Kerr-Forster, 235 Montgomery, No. 946.....	150
Harris, W., 60 Miraloma Dr.....	350
Harris & Languentin, 270 Sutter, 4th floor.....	280
Harrison, A. D., 351 California, No. 825.....	400
Harrison, J., 1143 Polk.....	40
Harrison St. Auto Cleaners, 1205 Harrison.....	800
Harrison, V., 217 3d St.....	700
Harry Laundry, 1252 Stockton.....	25
Harry's Tavern, 1849 Lincoln Way.....	125
Hartje, F., 3550 22d St.....	850
Hartline, H. E., 75 Vasquez Ave.....	450
Hartman, L., 692 Golden Gate.....	280
Hartmann, A. A. Co., 315 Montgomery, No. 926.....	150
Hart's Corner, 461 Folsom.....	300
Harvard Cleaners, 689 Ellis.....	210
Harvey, J. W., 5 3 St., No. 1219.....	50
Harwood, Alfred J., 486 California, No. 1101.....	150
Harwood, H., 519 California, No. 619.....	100
Harzfeld, A. J., 105 Montgomery, No. 1100.....	100
Haslacher, A. B., 2686 Greenwich.....	800
Hatter & Webb, Ltd., 1 4th St.....	180
Haven, H. E., 220 Montgomery, No. 406.....	450
Hayden, Thos., 703 Market, No. 815.....	70
Hayes, A. J., 244 California, No. 712.....	100
Hayes, J., 2733-A Mission.....	250
Hayes, J. A., 135 Stockton, No. 722.....	250
Hayes, J. P., Glass Co., 1775 Geary.....	250



Hayes Quality Market, 609 Hayes.....	325
Hayes Street Garage, 354 Hayes.....	180
Hays, W. C., 681 Market, No. 201.....	150
Heaney, L. F. Dr., 1304-A Castro.....	500
Hear All Co., 126 Post, No. 606.....	450
Heebner, M. N., 2235 Washington.....	700
Heikkinen, C. H., 3319 20th St.....	60
Heinrichs, R. J., 1397 Valencia.....	900
Henderson, H., 490 Post, No. 1130.....	175
Henderson, J. H., & Co., 1619 Pine.....	250
Henley, Jane Valenie, 2817 Scott.....	450
Hennessey, Alfred J., 486 California, No. 410.....	150
Hennesseys, 2840 California.....	200
Henning, C., 5 3d St., No. 911.....	100
Henry, L., 465 California, No. 814.....	250
Henry's Tackle Shop, 1674 Geary.....	500
Herald Cleaners, 1846 Clement.....	110
Herbert's Grill, Inc., 151 Powell.....	3,000
Herbst, A., 410 Folsom.....	175
Herman's Smoke Shop, 1262 Market.....	150
Herold, R. Jr., Co., 60 Sansome.....	150
Hertz Shoe Clinic, 77 O'Farrell, 3d floor.....	500
Herzberg, E. G., Furn., 1359 Sacramento.....	500
Hess, Philip, 235 Montgomery, No. 901.....	150
Hettman, W. E., 2652 Broderick.....	200
Hettman & Scampini, 485 California, No. 707.....	650
Hewitt Wood Products Co., 3926 Mission.....	300
Hewlett, Geo., 690 Market, No. 523.....	100
Hi Wo Hong Co., 718 Grant Ave.....	550
Hibriten Furn. Co., 1355 Market, 6th floor.....	150
Hickok Mfg. Co., 742 Market, No. 300.....	3,000
Hicks, J. C., 1228 Harrison.....	60
Hicks, R., 637 Stevenson.....	70
Hideshima, H., 987 Golden Gate Ave.....	125
Higgins, Margaret G., 1576 Golden Gate Ave.....	500
Higgins, W. J., 704 Market, No. 701.....	70
Higgins & Wrenn, 114 Sansome, No. 637.....	125
Highway Service Market, 308 Bay Shore Blvd.....	175
Hild Floor Machine, 557 Market (mezzanine).....	350
Hildebrand, Marva, 315 Sutter, 2d. floor.....	150
Hildebrandt, C. J., 318 Clay.....	200
Hill, F., 235 Montgomery, No. 1214.....	150
Hill, F. L., 10 The Embarcadero, No. 5.....	100
Hill, Theda, 814 Eddy.....	150
Hill & Hill, 1826 Divisadero.....	50
Hillman, L. K., 1640 Van Ness Ave.....	400
Hillman, Theodore, Fu., 1103 Cole.....	200
Hills, William E., 111 Sutter, No. 1733.....	300
Hilltop Market, 1701 Jones.....	750
Hilltop Sweet Shop, 900 Pine.....	450
Hilzinger, J. T., 7527 Geary Blvd.....	150
Hines, J. S., 500 Sansome, No. 702.....	500
Hing Co., The, 928 Grant Ave.....	150
Hing Lee Laundry, 2225 Pine.....	450
Hipps & Klein, 714 Van Ness Ave.....	100
Hiram's Cleaning Shop, 931 Geary.....	300
Hisago Restaurant, 1708 Buchanan.....	150
Hjul, J. H., Fix., 515 Pine.....	150
Hoaglund, W. H., 908 Market, No. 302.....	400
Hochman, J., 24-A The Embarcadero.....	350
Hoffman, A., 572 Market.....	400
Hoffman, W., 1401 Eddy.....	150
Hogan, D. F., 15 Stockton, No. 401.....	250

Hogevoll, S. T., 821 Market, No. 909.....	300
Hohman, C. J., 1501 Pacific.....	100
Holden, Melville W., 486 California, No. 1009.....	100
Holfast Rubber Co., 104 Redwood.....	500
Holford Sales Co., 1355 Market, 5th floor.....	150
Holland, J. P., 10 Shore View Ave.....	500
Holland, J. P. Co., 1834 McKinnon Ave.....	2,000
Hollywood Haircut Pl., 2093 Chestnut.....	250
Hollywood Lot, 116 Valencia.....	250
Hollywood Stylist Salon, 338 Clement.....	400
Hollywood Theatre Studio, 532 Geary, No. 2.....	150
Holmes, G. L., 24 California, No. 209.....	150
Home Appliance Service, 228 Valencia.....	350
Home Decorative Service, 434 Octavia.....	150
Home Grocery, 387 6th St.....	300
Home Moving & Storage Co., 1557 Hyde.....	100
Home Shade Shoppe, 3428 Balboa.....	100
Hong Lee Co., 767 Commercial.....	400
Hong Lim Herb Co., 127 Waverly Pl.....	150
Hong, Lin, 776 Jackson.....	50
Hong, Mee, 877 Washington.....	150
Hong, P., 56 Ross Alley.....	30
Honn, Geo., 7 Front, No. F103.....	550
Hooker, Bassett, Furn., 1355 Market, 6th floor.....	150
Hop Wo Benevolent Association, 913 Stockton.....	100
Hopkins, Preston, 210 Post, No. 1107.....	100
Horgan, D. C., & Fex, H. G., 1607 Ocean Ave.....	500
Horner, B., 780 Golden Gate Ave.....	125
Hornlein, L., 2728 Green.....	550
Horwich, A., 607 Broadway.....	150
Hot Spot, 79 6th St.....	800
Hotchkiss, J. M., 1 Drumm, No. 1204.....	150
Hotel Sutter Cigar Stand, 148 Kearny.....	200
Hotel Alamo, 824 Kearny.....	500
Hotel Alvarado, 606 Post.....	950
Hotel Atherton, 624 Post.....	1,000
Hotel Aunt Mary's, 238 Townsend.....	200
Hotel Aurora, 1951 Sutter.....	600
Hotel Bakers, 1188 Folsom.....	250
Hotel Beauty Shop, 928 Fillmore.....	100
Hotel Bemis, 2056 Mission.....	300
Hotel Bernhardt, 523 Kearny.....	150
Hotel Casa Loma, 74 Eddy.....	200
Hotel Clover, 483 Broadway.....	600
Hotel Comfort, 744 Howard.....	350
Hotel Cove, 168 Eddy.....	600
Hotel De Espana, 785 Broadway.....	500
Hotel Del Rey, 352 Taylor.....	600
Hotel Delta, 92 Duboce.....	500
Hotel Desmond, 42 6th St.....	200
Hotel Eleanor, 232 Townsend.....	250
Hotel El Rosa, 546 6th St.....	400
Hotel Fallon, 1693 Market.....	1,200
Hotel Farnum, 572 Eddy.....	250
Hotel Gartland, 909 Geary.....	2,100
Hotel Gilroy, 1202 Webster.....	250
Hotel Golden, 82 Market.....	400
Hotel Governor, 180 Turk.....	4,000
Hotel Grove, 1232 Market.....	600
Hotel Helena, 625 Pacific Ave.....	600
Hotel Holding, 91 Turk.....	650
Hotel Lakewood, 851 O'Farrell.....	650
Hotel LaPlaya, 706 LaPlaya.....	1,000



Hotel Loma, 148 6th St.....	1,000
Hotel Margate, 1548 Market.....	300
Hotel Navarre, 417 Stockton.....	4,500
Hôtel Nine Sixty-Two, 962 McAllister.....	350
Hotel Nobby, 42 Columbus Ave.....	350
Hotel Nottingham, 6 Nottingham.....	150
Hotel Oakdale, Rooms, 220 6th St.....	400
Hotel Occidental, 175 3d St.....	2,300
Hotel Pacific House, 637 Pacific Ave.....	250
Hotel Panama, Rooms, 528 Pine.....	250
Hotel Phoenix, 269 3d St.....	400
Hotel Portola, 2515 24th St.....	300
Hotel Portuguese, 37 Clay.....	1,100
Hotel Prim, 1030-A Kearny.....	425
Hotel Progress, 264 Valencia.....	350
Hotel Reggy, Rooms, 1224 Stockton.....	200
Hotel Ritch, 731 Harrison.....	500
Hotel Rondel, 3081 16th St.....	800
Hotel Savoy, 851 Van Ness Ave.....	1,500
Hotel Sempione, 371 Broadway.....	200
Hotel Service Bureau, 165 Post, No. 501.....	250
Hotel Sparta, 314 3d St.....	550
Hotel Stadium, 754 Stanyan.....	600
Hotel Sullivan House, 151 3d St.....	450
Hotel Sullivan House, 152 6th St.....	300
Hotel Tacoma, 1876 Post.....	550
Hotel Ticino, 637 Broadway.....	400
Hotel Touraine, 2218 Mission.....	500
Hotel Troy, 1728 Geary.....	400
Hotel Twin Peaks, 2160 Market.....	1,100
Hotel Vernon, 253 Mason.....	400
Hotel Vista, 463 Pacific Ave.....	260
Hotel Ward, 1466 Sutter.....	100
Hotel Yamaguchiya, 2018 Bush.....	200
Houghteling, W., 3222 Jackson.....	4,500
Houghteling, W., 235 Montgomery, No. 1211.....	150
Houlihan, James, Inc., 681 Market, No. 231.....	450
Houyez, A., 3508 Mission.....	150
Howard, J. R., 870 Market, No. 858.....	400
Howard, Nina, 443 Connecticut.....	250
Howard Stanley Co., 704 Kearny.....	50
Howatt Brokerage Co., 582 Market, No. 509.....	50
Howell, J. W., 3516 Clay.....	600
Hoymi & Koehler, 1290 Howard.....	1,500
Hub Tavern, 1680 Market.....	600
Huber & Freudig, 3150 16th St.....	300
Hudnut Sales Co., Inc., 833 Market, No. 801.....	400
Hudson, C. Benton Dr., 516 Sutter, No. 915.....	100
Hudson, F. S., 760 Market, No. 844.....	700
Hudson, George, 369 Pine, No. 306.....	50
Huersch, H. W., 722 20th Ave.....	250
Hughes, J. V., 4111 18th St., No. 7.....	300
Hughes Printing Co., 1743 Sacramento.....	1,100
Hughes, Rush, 111 Sutter, No. 1732.....	100
Huie Kao Yang Tong, 750 Washington.....	200
Hulen, V. H., 135 Stockton, No. 411.....	150
Huling, Chas. F., 106 Langton St.....	375
Hulting, F. Burt, 111 Sutter, No. 816.....	200
Huron Nursery, 800 Geneva Ave.....	100
Huse Tamale Inn, 1200 Webster.....	300
Hyde, Gilbert L., 1340 Franklin.....	150
Hyman, A. A., 315 Montgomery, No. 826.....	300
Hyman, S., 693 Mission, No. 212.....	200

I A C Barber Shop, 1620 Stockton.....	110
Ibos, P. J., 240 Montgomery, No. 515.....	100
Ideal Barber Shop, 444 Judah.....	200
Ideal Candy Store, 1398 Hayes.....	180
Idle Hour, The, 2695 Mission.....	400
Ignition Sup. & Exch., 519 Golden Gate Ave.....	200
Il Corrier del Popal, 628 Montgomery, No. 200.....	100
Imperial Inn, 408 Stockton.....	350
Imperiale, P., 210 Post, No. 406.....	100
Income Invest. Co., 948 Market, No. 608.....	150
Income Invest. Sec., 333 Montgomery, No. 409.....	200
Indep. Ice Cream Co., 1525 Union.....	2,700
Independents, Inc., 220 Montgomery, No. 820.....	100
Indust. Materials Lab., 200 Davis, No. 302.....	1,000
Inglewood Pharmacy, 2379 Ocean Ave.....	1,200
Ingoglia, A., 622 Montgomery.....	40
Ingram & Co., 214 Front, No. 606.....	200
Ingram, G., 1175 Market, M.....	100
Ingram, H., 1804 McAllister.....	150
Ingram, Wm. A., 1 Montgomery, No. 921.....	100
Inouye, S., 1624 Geary.....	230
Insecticide Co., The, 60 Clara.....	400
Ins. Exchange Bldg., 433 California, No. 515.....	350
Insurance Inspection, 311 California, No. 514.....	100
Inter City Co., 1235 Van Ness Ave.....	100
International Acct., 235 Montgomery, No. 756.....	200
International Emp. Agcy., 778 Howard.....	40
Interstate Fish Redu., 112 Market, No. 410.....	100
Interstate Guar. Co., 235 Montgomery, No. 760.....	120
Interurban Exp. Corp., 240 Beale.....	80
Irene Studio, 466 Geary, 2d floor.....	100
Irene's Cake & Coffee, 1511 Irving.....	200
Iron Rug Clean Co., 221 Clara.....	400
Irving, Murray, 74 New Montgomery, No. 641.....	50
Irving Variety Store, 533 Irving.....	250
Isabelle Beauty Shop, 437 Ellis.....	400
Ishmael, J., 1899 Bush.....	140
Israel, E., 1740 Fillmore.....	300
Isse Koch & Co., 1355 Market, 6th floor.....	50
Ital. Restaurant, 4461 Mission.....	200
Ivantony Repair Shop, 1580 Pacific.....	200
J T Specialty Co., 518 Waller.....	200
Jack & Jill, 5348 Geary Blvd.....	350
Jacket Shop, The, 1795 Market.....	100
Jackman, J. C., 2790 Green, No. 102.....	1,000
Jack's Sandwich Shop, 3007 16th St.....	300
Jackson Columbus Ldr., 563 Jackson.....	450
Jackson Nursery, 274 Sagamore.....	180
Jackson Street Ldry., 823 Jackson.....	450
Jacobi, M., 369 Pine, No. 315.....	40
Jacobs, A., 1736 Gough.....	180
Jacobs, Charles, 320 Market, 222.....	150
Jacobs, Dr. Harry, 870 Market, No. 718.....	150
Jacobsen, Geo. C., 291 Geary, No. 416.....	100
Jacobson, A., 157 3d St.....	150
Jaekle, D. E., 126 Post, No. 500.....	70
Jaffe, A. L., & Co., 350 Clay.....	370
James, Leander L., 235 Montgomery, No. 912.....	400
Janigian, J., 1921 Mission.....	100
Jansen Soap & Chem., 1148 Buchanan.....	350
Janus Health Institute, 450 Geary, No. 303.....	130
Japan Assoc. Amer., 1407 Laguna.....	250
Jarrard, Sally, 995 Market, No. 342.....	150



Jarrard's, Sally, Kitch., 419 Leavenworth.....	200
Jarr's Beauty Shoppe, 929 Pine.....	150
Jays Motor Co., 200 West Portal Ave.....	100
Jefferson Shop, 1809 Irving.....	400
Jenkins, Edward W., 320 Market, No. 327.....	60
Jensen, C. E., 1435 6th Ave.....	200
Jensen, Walter L., 1355 Market, 2d floor.....	50
Jenssen, N. O., 490 Post, No. 521.....	70
Jeromes, 145 Geary.....	5,000
Jeslyn, J. S., Mfg. Co., 154 Sutter, No. 403.....	600
Jewel's Paradise, 2285 Union.....	300
Jimmie's Tavern, 684 3d St.....	350
Jim's Barber Shop, 2291 Chestnut.....	120
Jim's Shoe Repair Shop, 202 Brazil.....	100
Joe's Elegant Shop, 735 Bush.....	130
John Lee Laundry, 1327-A California.....	40
Johnny's Corner, 1600 O'Farrell.....	250
Johnny's Tavern, 1346 Fillmore.....	700
John's Grocery, 1901 Oakdale Ave.....	400
John's Hamburger Shop, 764 Howard.....	150
Johnson, A. R., 3901 Mission.....	200
Johnson, E., 760 Market, No. 655.....	20
Johnson Bronze Co., 1268 Mission.....	3,750
Johnson, E. C., & Co., 1131 Folsom.....	900
Johnson, O. R., 2785 Vallejo.....	600
Johnson, Olive, 393 Hayes.....	200
Johnson, P., 58 Sutter, No. 420.....	100
Johnson, S. P., 98 Sotelo Ave.....	250
Johnson & Burke, 320 8th St.....	200
Jones, B., 1716 Webster.....	100
Jones, H. M., 785 Market, No. 1302.....	150
Jones, M. A., 714 35th Ave.....	200
Jones, Robert A., 901 Folsom.....	150
Jones St. Tailor, 209 Jones.....	100
Jones & Dall, 260 California, No. 311.....	350
Jopina Guest House, 1122 Pine.....	400
Jordan, Charles, 22 South Park.....	200
Jordan, Roscoe E., 220 Montgomery, No. 883.....	100
Joseph, M., 1090 Golden Gate Ave.....	350
Joseph, M., 1096 Golden Gate Ave.....	350
Joy Beauty Shoppe, 504 Bush.....	150
Judd, A. E., 2010 Sutter.....	150
Judge Engineering Co., 393 9th St.....	400
Judge, M., Jr., 3035 Pacific.....	400
Jully, L. G., 516 Sutter, No. 304.....	160
Julio's Restaurant, 1335 Grant Ave.....	500
Junior Missy Dresses, 130 Sutter, No. 201.....	250
Jury, Jury & Henry, 465 California, No. 437.....	150
Juvenile School of Dancing, 4004 Mission.....	50
K & D Sandwich Shop, 426 Market.....	350
Kablanow, N., 287 Clara.....	50
Kaddas Grill, 630 Kearny.....	200
Kaffenion to Mission, 3372 19th St.....	50
Kahn, L., Co., 114 Sansome, No. 533.....	200
Kahn's Tackle Shop, 1431 Polk.....	425
Kalmans, C., 1115 Market.....	600
Kane, H. M., 105 Montgomery, No. 200.....	150
Kane, W., 1609 Ellis.....	250
Kappel, V., 1341 Pierce.....	75
Karsky, J., 422 Kearny.....	100
Kast, Marius H., 399 10th St.....	225
Katts & Katts Rpr. Sh., 259 Sutter.....	400
Kawano, Mrs. H., 1794 Sutter.....	125
Kay Mfg. Corp., 1355 Market, 6th floor.....	75

Kays Furniture, 975 McAllister.....	400
Kays Garage, 1650 Pacific Ave.....	400
Kayes Transfer, 1495 Harrison.....	125
Keane, A. C., 465 California, No. 314.....	150
Kearns, R. P., 150 Post, No. 702.....	350
Kearns, R. P., 121 Stockton, 1st floor.....	450
Kee, Sam, Co., 941 Clay.....	400
Keeney, Homer I., 291 Geary, No. 705.....	100
Kelley, P., 2720 Vallejo.....	600
Kelleys, 3242 Mission.....	200
Kellogg, S., & Sons, 1001 17th St.....	14,000
Kelso, O., 717 Market, No. 715.....	100
Kempkey, A., 582 Market, No. 1218.....	300
Kenco Sales Co., 486 California, No. 501.....	50
Kendall, E., 114 10th Ave.....	125
Kendall, Z., 25 17th Ave.....	600
Kennedy, Frank, 486 California, No. 516.....	100
Kennedy, H., 2311 Scott.....	225
Kennedy, Joseph, 544 Market, No. 506.....	50
Kennedy, W. J., 870 Market, No. 1141.....	625
Kenyon, M., 582 Market, No. 610.....	50
Kepner, Eva L., 19 Jordan Ave.....	500
Kermabon, R., 313 Noe.....	300
Key, J. L., 277 Pine.....	150
Keystone Grocers, 1804 San Jose Ave.....	1,250
Keystone Water Co., 130 Russ.....	700
Kieffer, S. E., 57 Post, No. 813.....	325
Kielsden, Harriett, 250 Stockton.....	125
Kiler, W. H., 26 O'Farrell, No. 604.....	40
Killilea, T. F., 639 23d Ave.....	250
Killiod, C. J., & Sons, 1355 Market, 4th floor.....	100
Kindt & Desurville, 433 California, No. 305.....	40
King Chinese Ldry., 3 Meacham.....	600
King, Sam B., 462-A Clementina.....	100
King & Malone, 311 California, No. 824.....	250
Kingles Beauty Shop, 516 Geary.....	200
Kinney Employment Agency, 65 Brenham Pl.....	75
Kinney, Mary Grace, 490 Post, No. 1653.....	200
Kirsten, O., 51 Tehama.....	480
Kit Kat Club, 54 Mason.....	700
Kjaer Equip. Co., 145 2d St.....	225
Kleen Rite Cleaners, 253 Leavenworth.....	125
Klein, Fred P., 19th and Lincoln.....	150
Klein, L. D., 870 Market, No. 807.....	225
Klein, P. A., 323 Geary, No. 711.....	250
Kline, H. J., Co., 784 Minnesota.....	400
Klinger, M. H., Co., 440 Golden Gate Ave.....	150
Klingler, Veryl, 101 Post, No. 306.....	50
Klute Distributing Co., 863 Florida.....	150
Kneeter Cleaners, 1426 California.....	250
Knight, L., 343 Front.....	25
Knight Roofing Co., 1476 Valencia.....	50
Knoller, B., 3100 Broderick.....	325
Knoph, M. Rollin, 870 Market, No. 516.....	250
Knowles, A., 982 Bryant.....	250
Koesel, W. H., 833 Market, No. 612.....	75
Kolor Kraft, 320 Market, No. 230.....	150
Komisbrot Bakery, 1149 Valencia.....	200
Kong Ling, 28 Spofford Alley.....	50
Konig, G. W., 30 Front.....	60
Koret, Joe, 830 Market, No. 321.....	50
Kreling's Cafe, 3069 16th St.....	350
Kreutzmann, Henry A. R., 2000 Van Ness Ave., No. 603.....	200
Krieger, Harry, 512 Arguello.....	50



Krier Sheet Metal, 2204 Bryant.....	50
Krigbaum Marcellus, 105 Montgomery, No. 307.....	50
Kristel Krome Co., 1462 San Bruno Ave.....	250
Kroehnke, H., 320 Market, No. 321.....	225
Krug, A., 261 California.....	900
Kruger, E., 1223 10th Ave.....	60
Kruse, J. H., Estate, 3145 23d St.....	600
Kueffer Engraving Co., 555 Clay.....	1,150
Kuranda, J., 3123 Clement.....	200
Kurpinsky, A., 2509 Bay Shore Blvd.....	75
Kusano, Frank, 1754 Geary.....	250
Kwong, Hai Loy, 662 Jackson.....	75
Kwong, Kwong, Co., 664 Jackson.....	150
Kwong, On., & Co., 745 Clay.....	150
Kwong, Quong Chai, Co., 128 Waverly Pl.....	800
L & M Produce Co., 225 Washington.....	50
La Alhambra, 418 Union.....	150
La Perla Cafe, 1687 Geary.....	150
La Bon Cleaners, 648 Hyde.....	150
Laborinque Restaurant, 386 3d St.....	125
Lacey & Schulz, 2837 22d St.....	450
Lachmund, Ralph H., 235 Montgomery, No. 1908.....	500
Lacy, A., 2650 Union.....	200
Lagan, J. F., 909 Hyde, No. 229.....	250
Lagomarsino Bros., 253 Clement.....	150
Lai, Hing, & Co., 956 Grant Ave.....	1,400
Lai, Jin, & Co., 729 Grant Ave.....	2,000
Laird, Ruth, Furn., 349 San Carlos.....	100
Lamarque, Eliza., Furn., 3022 Steiner.....	125
Lambert, Stuart H., 128 Perry.....	200
Lamberton, W., Co., 935 Howard.....	500
Lambertson Sales Co., 942 Mission.....	1,100
Lambruschini, I., 1540 Bay Shore Blvd.....	25
Lamode, Deparee, 1854 Fillmore.....	100
Lamont, D., 2250 Hyde, No. 3.....	600
Lamson, Dr. Margaret E., 909 Hyde, No. 218.....	150
Landmark Smoke Shop, 531 Montgomery.....	310
Lang Realty Corp., 39 Sutter.....	250
Langton, Ronald, 450 Sutter, No. 1625.....	175
Lanning, Paul, 652-A Clayton.....	100
Lapham, Louis, 2511 Pierce.....	500
Lark, The, 275 O'Farrell.....	400
Larochele, Josephine, 857 Montgomery.....	200
Larock, E. A., 74 New Montgomery, No. 542.....	200
Larosa, J., 398 Vienna.....	100
Larson's Beauty Salon, 1222 Polk.....	400
Larson, Alice, 507 Montgomery, No. 305.....	50
Larson, Bert, 628 Montgomery, No. 327.....	100
Lassagne, Theodore, 620 Market, No. 806.....	200
Lauderdale, B. M., Furn., 4021 Lincoln Way.....	50
Laughlin, J. W., 127 21st Ave.....	500
Laumeister, M., 76 Hernandez Ave.....	700
Lauries Cleaner, 576 Geary.....	150
Laurlene Beauty Salon, 209 Post, No. 715.....	250
Lavelle Beauty Sal. M., 508 Geary.....	300
Lawler Margaret, 3281 22d St.....	85
Lawrence, Ellen, 679 Geary.....	35
Lawrence, S., 2715 Scott.....	700
Lawrence & Co., 260 California, No. 904.....	500
Lawry, A., 772 Ashbury.....	250
Lawyers' Book Exchange, 94 McAllister.....	1,000
Layman, E., 201 San Benito Way.....	500
Layne & Bowler Corp., 625 Market, No. 1420.....	150
Lazarus, L. J., 785 Market, No. 717.....	150

Leahy, Vincent, 235 Montgomery, No. 901.....	150
Leaner, E., 964-A Market, No. 17.....	150
Lebraun, A. R., 704 Market, No. 908.....	75
Leclair, Mrs. Gladys, 1212 Market, No. 304.....	25
Lecount, Frank P., 7 Front, No. F326.....	250
Leder & Ahonin, 131 Van Ness Ave. South.....	250
Lee, A., 6 Presidio Terrace.....	1,500
Lee, F., 628 Pacific Ave.....	75
Lee, L. F., 74 New Montgomery L.....	35
Lee, R., 1053 Stockton.....	400
Lee, T., 1575 Ellis.....	50
Lee & Quan, 867 Washington.....	150
Lee, Chas. M., Co., 116 New Montgomery, No. 619.....	150
Lee Chong Lung, 937 Stockton.....	1,450
Lee, Mrs. Daian, 1746 Haight.....	125
Lee, R. E., 6319 Geary Blvd.....	75
Lee Sang, 19 Ross Alley.....	100
Lee's Coffee Shop, 330 Ellis.....	300
Legal, C. L., 114 Mission.....	350
Legislative Brothers' Local, 821 Market, No. 808.....	100
Lehrke, M. E., 365 Santa Ana Ave.....	450
Leland Co., 157 7th St.....	2,000
Leland Coffee Shop, 1305 Polk.....	200
Lelas Beauty Salon, 216 Hyde.....	200
Lemcke, A. G., 2503 Union.....	350
Lemoine & Berry, 1185-C Church.....	150
Lenahan, G. T., 870 Market, No. 1013.....	100
Lennon, M. B., 384 Post, No. 711.....	2,500
Lennon, T. J., 384 Post, No. 711.....	1,200
Lenolt Securities Corp., 1182 Market, No. 309.....	250
Leones' Dinette, 1735 Ocean Ave.....	150
Leong Youd Mon, 1231 Powell.....	350
Leon's Studio, 1175 Market.....	150
Leo's Restaurant, 2499 Powell.....	150
Lerner, Russell, 628 Montgomery, No. 442.....	150
Leroy Building Service, 165 Jessie, 3d floor.....	125
Leshisco, H., 87 Virgil.....	75
Lesovsky, S., 749 Larkin.....	100
Less, L., 287 31st Ave.....	400
Levin, David, 281 Turk.....	750
Levin, Edward, 220 Montgomery, No. 1060.....	150
Levin, H., 859 25th Ave.....	400
Levinson, J., 500 Baker.....	225
Levis Grocery, 99 Webster.....	300
Levison, G., 100 Locust.....	1,000
Levy, F. A., 1800 Franklin, No. 402.....	350
Levy, Bob, 441 Stockton.....	200
Lew Gar Kung Saw, 854 Clay.....	75
Lewelling, C., 2251 Broderick.....	200
Lewertoff, F., 1670 Pine.....	800
Lewis, A. N. Estate, 235 Montgomery, No. 1611.....	300
Lewis, G., 2700 24th St.....	75
Lewis, G. W., 1190 Potrero Ave.....	250
Lewis, Dr. J. B., 2936 Army.....	225
Lewis, M., 628 Montgomery, No. 226.....	100
Lewis, Marvin E., 625 Market, No. 1006.....	150
Lewis, R. F., 220 Montgomery, No. 410.....	150
Lewis, Thos. Myrton, 760 Market, No. 617.....	150
Lewittes & Sons, 1355 Market, 8th floor.....	150
Ley, V. M., 1350 Polk.....	250
Liberty Bell Cleaners, 318 Leavenworth.....	125
Liberty Produce Co., 215 Washington.....	150
Lido Coffee Shop, 938 Taraval.....	125



Liebman, B., 1555 Francisco.....	300
Light, W., 2300 23d St.....	900
Lighthouse Cafe, 341 3d St.....	200
Likas, H. E., 233 Jones.....	50
Lilienthal, Lee & Co., 268 Market, No. 206.....	700
Lily French Laundry, 455 Eddy.....	600
Lilys, The, 1218 Stockton.....	200
Linale Draying Co., 111 Washington.....	150
Lincoln Luggage Shop, 121 Market.....	300
Lincoln Park Cabin, 3199 Clement.....	300
Lincoln Radio Service, 1085 Valencia.....	350
Lindauer, E., 519 Capp.....	75
Lindauer, G., 145 Clara.....	100
Lindberg, A. R., Co., 1250 Franklin.....	250
Linderman, F., 110 Market, No. 608.....	100
Lindquest, I. W., 580 Market, No. 326.....	150
Lindsay, Robert W., 220 Montgomery, No. 1038.....	150
Linn, Clarence A., 785 Market, No. 717.....	150
Linn-Hink Co., 905 Washington.....	600
Lion Auto Co., 701 Eddy.....	100
Lipman, George M., 785 Market, No. 508.....	150
Lissner, H. D., 26 O'Farrell, No. 1005.....	150
Lista, Louis J., 450 Sutter, No. 1618.....	150
Little, P., 150 Yerba Buena Ave.....	200
Little Coffee Shop, 3309 Balboa.....	150
Little Man Thrift Shop, 3248 Mission.....	250
Little Shamrock Tavern, 807 Lincoln Way.....	250
Living Meals, 277 4th St.....	150
Livingston, H. L., 2870 Washington.....	225
Lloyd, Alice, Beauty Shop, 3329 24th St.....	200
Lloyd Laboratories, 490 Post, No. 1744.....	600
Lloyd's Brake Shop, 1454 Franklin.....	250
Local Sign Co., 2209 Bush.....	225
Lock & Electric Repair Shop, 462 Turk.....	175
Lock-Ting Cigar Stand, 911 Kearny.....	100
Locke, F. S., 232 Point Lobos Ave.....	200
Lodge, The, 372 3d St.....	150
Loeb, H. A., 2440 Filbert.....	1,000
Log Cabin Cleaners, 1629-A Balboa.....	150
Logan, D. F., 383 Brannan, No. 34.....	100
Lohmann, W., & Co., 181 Townsend.....	600
Lombard Wine and Grocery, 2767 Lombard.....	500
Lombardi & Minnis, 405 Montgomery, No. 225.....	300
Long, Myrtle, 145 Laurel, No. 7.....	150
Long Productions, 261 Golden Gate Ave.....	200
Longfellow-Mt.Vernon, 5270 Mission.....	50
Lorenzen, F., 4025 Geary Blvd.....	150
Losh, W., 1332 Valencia.....	75
Lothrop, M., 620 Market, No. 806.....	150
Lotus Beauty Salon, 864 Jackson.....	250
Lotus Garage, 727 Valencia.....	150
Louis Rendezvous, 373 Broadway.....	200
Louis Restaurant, S. 524 Broadway.....	600
Louise's Flower Shop, 240 Taylor.....	100
Loupy, J., 325 Leavenworth.....	750
Lou's Coffee Shop, 1775 Fulton.....	150
Loustas, K., 2773 Mission.....	150
Lowe, F. B., 1132 Stockton.....	250
Lowe, J. R., 155-B San Carlos Ave.....	50
Lowe, Y. C., 1060 Stockton.....	200
Lowe's Florist, 2387 Ocean Ave.....	150
Lubking, M., 628 Montgomery, No. 403.....	100
Lubrication Equipment Co., 108 Olive.....	200

Luchi, F., 1758 Divisadero.....	500
Lucky Barber Shop, 665 Jackson.....	150
Lucky Spot, The, 306 O'Farrell.....	150
Luders, G., 1470 Leavenworth.....	700
Ludolph Appliance, 5442 Geary Blvd.....	400
Ludwig's Dancing Studio, 532 Geary, No. 3.....	125
Luhrs, E. H., 200 Bush, No. 1507.....	150
Luke's Soda Fountain, 568 Castro.....	200
Luminous Paint & Chemical, 837 Powell.....	250
Lun Chop Suey, 2723 Lombard.....	250
Lundgren & Keegan, 935 Cole.....	300
Lundstedt, W. L., 776 Arguello Blvd.....	100
Lushnikoff, P., 1494 California.....	100
Lutje, A., 3508 23d St.....	75
Lydell System, 760 Market, No. 545.....	550
Lyman, T. C., 628 Montgomery, No. 319.....	100
Lynch, D. A., 1241 Broadway.....	100
Lynch, E. J., 220 Montgomery, No. 690.....	150
Lynch & Sons, 2164 Market.....	100
M G Hot Plate Co., 988 Market, No. 203.....	50
M J Coffee Shop, 96 9th St.....	240
M & J Rug & Chest. Cl., 30 Andrews.....	35
Ma's Restaurant, 29 29th St.....	200
Mabel's, 1972 Lombard.....	200
Mabson, Edw. D., 333 Kearny, No. 507.....	180
Mac's Grocery, 2246 Taraval.....	800
Mac's Quality Food Store, 1667 Leavenworth.....	800
Macdonald, L. D., 465 California, No. 330.....	180
Maceddie Food Store, 2235 Judah.....	680
Mack's, 2985 21st St.....	300
Mackintosh, Miss Maud, 516 Geary.....	45
Macnevin, Dr G. M., 5347 Geary, No. 1.....	100
Madame Maries Beauty Shop, 630 O'Farrell.....	45
Maddox, Dean, 703 Market, No. 408.....	100
Madson & Richards, 1674 Pacific Ave.....	430
Mae, F., 5 3d St., No. 721.....	160
Magana, Raoul, 21 Columbus Ave.....	50
Magic Foam of Calif., 163 2d St., 1st floor.....	55
Magic Gardens, 1005 Market, No. 414.....	150
Magnolia Grill, 515 Valencia.....	120
Mahan Miles, 426 Valencia.....	200
Maitland, J., 9 Leese.....	27
Makaronas, Nicholas, 257 3d St.....	300
Makens, A., 3310 Sacramento.....	95
Mallando, M. R., 814 Larkin.....	120
Mallen, H. J., 34 Pine.....	30
Malotte, M., 1275 Bay, No. 3.....	300
Man, Lung, & Co., 1123 Grant Ave.....	630
Mangels, W., Furn., 1360 Stevenson.....	90
Mangold, C., 4146 18th St.....	150
Manhattan Coffee Shop, 1376 Haight.....	105
Manila Pool Hall, 604 Jackson.....	720
Manila Restaurant, 606 Jackson.....	840
Manley, Fred D., 1331 Clement.....	250
Mann, Albert B., 633 Bryant.....	180
Mann, R. C., 133 Geary, No. 316.....	120
Manning, H. R., 3675 Clay.....	150
Mannoccir, F. D., 315 Montgomery, No. 426.....	180
Manson, E., Furn., 20 Corona.....	100
Mantelli & Matteucci, 585 Columbus Ave.....	580
Manz, Frank, 1592 Market.....	70
Marani, Jose, 1005 Market, No. 206.....	240
Marchant Calculators, 625 Market, No. 211.....	540



Marconi Bakery, 466 Union.....	90
Marcucci, Dr. E. P., 2588 Mission, No. 200.....	100
Margie's Tamale Parlor, 825 Irving.....	200
Marie Berry Dresses, 130 Sutter, No. 201.....	200
Marina Auto Elec. Shop, 3260 Fillmore.....	400
Marina Cleaners, 2314 Polk.....	240
Marina Food Palace, 2175 Chestnut.....	325
Marina Laundry, 2477 Lombard.....	500
Marine Service Corp., Pier 7.....	100
Marion, Grace, Studio, 435 Powell, No. 3.....	240
Marisch, N. A., 135 Stockton, No. 824.....	240
Mark Hopkins Florist, 999 California L.....	30
Markall, M., 750 Folsom.....	300
Markel, M. J., 1745 Beach, No. 7.....	400
Market Street Press, 342 Market.....	720
Market Street Van & Storage, 1875 Mission.....	115
Marks, Sidney, 1465 Pine.....	145
Maroevich, I. N., 235 Montgomery, No. 605.....	150
Marshall, J., 433 Chenery.....	510
Marshall, Ralph K., 821 Market, No. 665.....	50
Marson & Co., 235 Montgomery, No. 1555.....	120
Marta, Bruno, 166 Geary, No. 35.....	200
Martensen, M. E., 150 Post, No. 604.....	120
Martha Washington Candies, 5542 Geary.....	240
Martha Washington Candies, 87 West Portal Ave.....	200
Martha Washington Candies, 725 Larkin.....	240
Martha Washington Candies, 252 Powell.....	240
Martin, Harry L., 135 Drumm.....	400
Martin's Hole in Wall, 2048 Mission.....	180
Martin & Fallis, 320 Hayes.....	150
Martinelli Bros., 1202 Evans Ave.....	2,450
Martinez, S., 760 Market, No. 845.....	120
Martinsville Nov. Co., 1355 Market, 8th floor.....	250
Marx, R. W., 2455 Jackson.....	250
Mary's Kitchen, 188 4th St.....	200
Maryon, Arthur C., 1355 Market, 5th floor.....	150
Mason, Mrs. M., 2314 Lombard.....	100
Mason, Wm., 251 Kearny, No. 402.....	50
Mason, W. J., 310 Kearny.....	165
Master Haircutters, 248 Fillmore.....	120
Mather, K. A., 420 Market, No. F209.....	45
Mathews, Benton, 821 Market, No. 611.....	50
Mathewson, T. H., 127 Lee Ave.....	60
Mathis, H., Fu., 1353-B Stevenson.....	175
Matraia, P. V., 894 Howard.....	80
Matson, George F., 4211 18th St.....	15
Matteson, S. J., 692 Harrison.....	55
Mausner Tailors, 140 Montgomery, 4th floor.....	120
Mava Hats, 828 Mission.....	435
Maxfield, H. U., 235 Montgomery, No. 1611.....	250
Maxie the Tailor, 815 Hayes.....	300
Maxine's Beauty Shop, 1406 Buchanan.....	230
Mayam Soy Bean Sauce, 1197 Stockton.....	150
Maylove Beauty Salon, 1976 Ocean Ave.....	225
Maynard, Forest J., Co., 16 California, No. 709.....	200
McAllister Garage, 1660 McAllister.....	70
McAuliffe, G., 240 Montgomery, No. 201.....	50
McBoyle, A. J., 1448 Lake.....	840
McCabe, Steven, 564 Bryant.....	500
McCann, Leo C., 235 Montgomery, No. 756.....	100
McCarthy, Hugh E., 833 Market, No. 515.....	50
McCarthy, T. W., 615 12th Ave.....	780
McCarthy, Thomas E., 563 Valencia.....	120
McCaughey, J. W., 220 Montgomery, No. 1011.....	100

McCord, E. J., 490 Post, No. 1014.....	190
McCoy, J. K., 68 Post, No. 807.....	185
McCracken, J. P., 370-A Hayes.....	315
McCullouch, A. R., 2307 Scott.....	200
McCusker, A., 75 San Rafael Way.....	410
McDevitt, W., 2081 Sutter.....	1,800
McDevitt, John H., 486 California, No. 1203.....	50
McDonald, C. P., 200-A 4th St.....	25
McDonald, Fred, 220 Montgomery, No. 413.....	50
McDonald, I., 1055 California, No. 14.....	1,980
McDonald, J. B., 800 Van Ness Ave.....	80
McDonald Publishing Co., 580 Market, No. 402.....	37
McEnerney, P. R., 220 Montgomery, No. 886.....	180
McFetridge, J. J., 2698 Great Highway.....	250
McGaw & Son, 2500 3d St.....	50
McGerry, W. B., & Co., 220 Montgomery, No. 402.....	180
Mcgettigan, Bernard, 935 Market, No. 403.....	50
McGill & Co., 336 Kearny.....	300
McGill & Co., 1386 9th Ave.....	110
McGranaghan, M. Jas., 681 Market, No. 577.....	180
McGregor, L. F., 26 O'Farrell, No. 405.....	120
McGuinness Co., The, 100 Howard, No. 11.....	50
McGuire, W. E., 220 Montgomery, (lobby).....	250
McIlroy, J., 220 Montgomery, No. 1040.....	50
McIntosh, Neva, 629 Taylor.....	300
McKay, J. J., 909 Hyde, No. 631.....	220
McLaughlin, G., 1323 39th Ave.....	200
McLaughlin, W. R., 870 Market, No. 960.....	255
McLelland Printing Co., 156 2d St., 6th floor.....	1,210
McMahon, M., 704 Market, No. 208.....	60
McMahon, Thelma, 177 Post, No. 603.....	150
McMains, B. R., 367 3d St.....	540
McManus, W. K., 524 Irving.....	150
McMartin, W. H. R., 942 Market, No. 604.....	85
McMurray, J. P., 333 Montgomery, No. 410.....	360
McMurray, P. J., 4199 24th St.....	100
McNalley Appliance Co., 2904 Mission.....	480
McNelly, G., 449 Valencia.....	60
McPhee, Victor C., Dr., 909 Hyde, No. 329.....	320
McWilliams, R. L., 405 Montgomery, No. 1124.....	180
Mead, I. M., 751 3d Ave.....	360
Meadows, H. J., 21 Columbus Ave., No. 212.....	780
Medical Center Pharmacy, 1163 Bush.....	460
Mee Hing Chong, 672 Clay.....	240
Mee Kai, 761 Jackson.....	100
Megas, S., 393 Eddy.....	150
Mehegan Garage, 2359 Pine.....	390
Mei, C., 1658 O'Farrell.....	125
Meinen, Meta, 4325 23d St.....	50
Melind, L. Co., Calif., 593 Market, No. 232.....	1,800
Melita Pool Room, 1682, Newcomb Ave.....	145
Meller, R. B. Co., 417 Market, No. 316.....	85
Mels Novelty Co., 942 Market, No. 504.....	100
Menchen, A., 375 Kenyon Ave.....	50
Mendoza, B., 1635 Leavenworth.....	160
Mendoza Studio, 2512 Sacramento.....	240
Merchandise Display Sales, 7 Front, No. F210.....	50
Merle, L. V., 2157 Jackson.....	780
Merriam Campaign Headquarters, 935 Market, No. 402.....	50
Merrill, A. P., 450 Sutter, No. 1538.....	240
Merrill, Geraldine, 133 Geary, No. 619.....	145
Merrill, J. L., 2350 Washington.....	900
Merritt, Chimney Sweep, 100 Brannan.....	130



Merry, Edwin, 28 25th Ave.....	500
Metaxas, M., 3850 24th St.....	765
Metropolitan Budget Plan, 830 Market, No. 808.....	50
Meyer, A. F., 32 Presidio Ter.....	870
Miami Buffet, 2722 17th St.....	120
Mibach, F., 550 Valencia.....	50
Midway The, 2737 Mission.....	250
Mifsud, James, 2466 San Bruno.....	60
Milady Beauty Shop, 1454 Haight.....	205
Milanis Market, 3639 Sacramento.....	310
Miley & Hague, 101 Hayes.....	300
Milky Way Creamery, 1041 Taraval.....	600
Milky Way Creamery, 3265 22d St.....	250
Miller, A. K., 36 Presidio Ter.....	475
Miller, B., 1164-A Market.....	60
Miller, Carlyle, 235 Montgomery, No. 3010.....	100
Miller Co., The, 1355 Market, 5th floor.....	250
Miller, D., 1798 Lombard.....	50
Miller, D., Furn., 2303 Van Ness Ave.....	150
Miller, Frances, 110 Sutter, No. 708.....	50
Miller, J., Furn., 1246 20th Ave.....	40
Miller, J., 645 Pacific Ave.....	210
Miller, J. P., 198 Miraloma Dr.....	500
Miller, Jean, 666 Sacramento.....	250
Miller, O. C., Estate, 1628 Great Highway.....	150
Millerick, W. S., 257 29th Ave.....	250
Milton Bros., 557 Arguello Blvd.....	40
Minenna, J. A., 1102 Market.....	110
Miner, E. S., 1540 Bush.....	375
Mines Supply & Machinery, 582 Market, No. 913.....	25
Minoro Mining Co., 235 Montgomery, No. 2808.....	50
Mint Food Market, 1096 Union.....	615
Mint Shaving Parlor, 489 Broadway.....	105
Miracle Cleaners, 250 Hyde.....	130
Mission Cigar Stand, 3083 16th St.....	200
Mission Concrete Co., 125 Kissling.....	260
Mission Dolores Restaurant, 3042 16th St.....	180
Mission Express Co., 561 Valencia.....	60
Mission Fair Market, 2689 Mission.....	800
Mission Land & Cattle Co., 156 Montgomery, No. 310.....	120
Mission Luggage Shop, 2424 Mission.....	300
Mission Market, 4501 Mission.....	120
Mission Palace Market Grocery, 2431 Mission.....	1,440
Mission Palace Market, 2431 Mission.....	500
Mission Plating Works, 334 Van Ness Ave. South.....	200
Mission Wire Works, 1705 Mission.....	100
Misso, Pete, 4408 3d St.....	20
Mitchell, Dr. V. H., 516 Sutter, No. 414.....	100
Mitchell, W. M., 2588 Mission, No. 226.....	240
Mitchell, W. W., 701 Rockdale Drive.....	255
Mitchell's Drug Store, 4504 Irving.....	900
Mittag & Volger, Inc., 591 Mission, 3d floor.....	3,510
Mittleman, H. M., 1718 O'Farrell.....	300
Mode Beauty Shop, 5860 Geary Blvd.....	200
Models Casting Bureau, 347 Stockton, 3d floor.....	200
Modern Cloak & Suit Co., 49 4th St., No. 425.....	300
Modern Curtain Cleaners, 811 Treat Ave.....	265
Modern Drapery Shop, 2065 Mission.....	750
Modiste, The, 1145 Stockton.....	155
Moe, E. G., 507 Mission, No. 202.....	120
Moe, F., 3299 16th St.....	600
Moffatts' Millinery, 2654 Mission.....	300
Mohr, J. A., 2047 Fillmore.....	360

Mohr, J. H., 1354 York.....	50
Moire, Pete, 523 Clay.....	1,000
Molina, N., 3044 Jackson.....	300
Molino Barber Shop, 4116 18th St.....	110
Moller Beauty Salon, 275 Post (mezzanine).....	245
Mon Lee Laundry Co., 609 Jackson.....	330
Monas Cafe, 140 Columbus Ave.....	200
Monaco Photo Studio, 234 Columbus Ave.....	250
Monell, T. M., 220 Montgomery, No. 865.....	150
Monsky, Bernard, 699 Market.....	400
Montalbano, T., 421 Kearny.....	100
Montenegro, Marguerit, 3882 Sacramento.....	250
Monterey Boulevard Garage, 590 Monterey Blvd.....	230
Monterey Service Station, 2 Joost Ave.....	150
Monterey Tavern, 1440 Fillmore.....	675
Montettis Gown Shop, 209 West Portal Ave.....	400
Montezuma Oil Co., 830 Market, No. 509.....	100
Montgomery Barber Shop, 123 Montgomery.....	250
Montgomery Garage, 831 Montgomery.....	515
Montgomery, J. A., 85 2d St., No. 620.....	180
Montgomery, O., 450 Sutter, No. 1827.....	240
Montgomery Shoe Shine, 123 Montgomery.....	30
Moo Kee, 886-A Washington.....	50
Moody, R. R., 57 Post, No. 904.....	160
Moondream Buffet, 1113 Fillmore.....	240
Mooney, J. E., 2043 Chestnut.....	205
Moor, N. V., 557 Market (basement).....	50
Moore, E. C., 184 Corona.....	360
Moore Electric Supply Co., 1355 Market, 4th floor.....	300
Moore & Madsen, 557 Market (mezzanine).....	775
Moran, N., 564 Market, No. 623.....	835
Moran, N., 41 Sutter.....	1,095
More, A. M., 690 Market, No. 324.....	720
Morgan, Veryl, 901 Bryant.....	195
Morgan's School, P., 435 Powell, No. 8.....	180
Morley Dental Laboratories, 450 Sutter, No. 1214.....	200
Mornement, F. M., 2389 Bush.....	85
Morning Star Restaurant, 398 6th St.....	250
Morris, E. H., 133 Geary, No. 827.....	600
Morris, J. A., 247 Carl.....	445
Morris, Jaffa & etc., 1 Montgomery, No. 1021.....	420
Morris Lunch, 3316 17th St.....	115
Morris Modiste Shop, 920 Sutter.....	300
Morrissey, William, 48th Ave. & Harding Blvd.....	300
Mort-Werner's Radio, 641 Irving.....	200
Morton, C., 632 Page.....	180
Morton, C., 1001 Fell.....	530
Morton, G. J., 1365 Taylor, No. 2.....	290
Morton's Tavern, 425 Cortland Ave.....	340
Moschella, A., 1945 Ocean Ave.....	125
Mosekian, John, 6009 Geary.....	90
Moser, J. G., 465 California, No. 525.....	100
Moss, F. B., 60 McLaren Ave.....	375
Motor Arms Garage, 3620 19th St.....	450
Motor Facts Sales Co., 667 Valencia.....	120
Motor Vehicle Exchange, 1520 Market.....	60
Motorcycle Delivery Service, 305 5th St.....	50
Motschenbacher, V. T., 2790 Green, No. 201.....	200
Mowat, J., 1866 Folsom.....	430
Muldary, B. H., 235 Montgomery, No. 1640.....	240
Mulholland, J., 164 Taylor.....	300
Mullaly, L., 926 Valencia.....	100
Muller, S., 22 Battery, No. 414.....	140



Mulloy, L. B., 381 Bush, No. 302.....	50
Multi Call Commun., 660 Mission, 2d floor.....	50
Multnomah Luggage, 833 Market, No. 308.....	310
Mun, Henry C., 33 Pagoda Pl.....	450
Munroe Body & Fender, 2340 Lombard.....	525
Munson Cleaners, 1941 Ocean Ave.....	80
Muny Pier Bait Shop, 987 North Point.....	60
Murdoch & Hayden, 465 California, No. 809.....	90
Murphy, D. J., 2251 Jackson.....	680
Murphy, E., 2326 Market.....	60
Murphy, Frank, 177 Eddy.....	250
Murphy, I., 220 Montgomery, No. 443-A.....	40
Murphy, W. H., 703 Market, No. 812.....	180
Murphy, Wm. K., 490 Post, No. 448.....	100
Murray Bros., 2725 Geary Blvd.....	120
Murray, D. F., 100 6th St.....	600
Murray, E. V., 2217 Bush.....	480
Muth, D. A., 12 7th St.....	150
Mutual Drug Co., 168 1st St.....	54,930
Mutual Dyers & Cleaners, 915 Clement.....	145
Muzio, Marie, 912 Market.....	65
My Rendezvous, 840 Sansome.....	330
Myers, Alfred, 220 Montgomery, No. 487.....	100
Myers, M. H., & Co., 220 Bush, No. 1700.....	120
Mygrant, H. R., 678 Eddy.....	780
Myrtle's Beauty Studio, 2009 Irving.....	180
N B C Meat Co., 910 Valencia.....	250
N. Embroidery Shop, 2015 Fillmore.....	350
Nagelmaker, John, 1384 San Bruno Ave.....	250
Nalani Village, 670 Broadway.....	200
Nancy Ann Dolls, 131 8th St.....	100
Nanking Eng. Day School, 838 Grant Ave., No. 226.....	50
Nanking Shaving Parlor, 1100 Stockton.....	200
Napa Trans. Co., Pier 5.....	125
Nash, C. A., 3100 Pacific Ave.....	1,500
Nash, F. R., 314 Main.....	1,000
Nash, J. H., 447 Sansome, 6th floor.....	1,500
Nast, E. H., 4112 24th St.....	500
Nast, Gus, 498 Bush.....	800
National Appliance Co., 433 Divisadero.....	200
National Bargain Store, 2108 Mission.....	300
National Carpet Cleaning Co., 348 Church.....	450
National Educational Inst., 883 Market, No. 216.....	50
National Electric Co., 763 Tehama.....	250
National Laboratories, 736 Divisadero.....	150
National Macaroni Prod., 741 Commercial.....	1,200
National Market, 1444 Fillmore.....	850
National Market, 1444 Fillmore.....	250
National Medical Prod. Co., 582 Market, No. 909.....	150
National Produce Co., 525 Front.....	75
National Radio Co., 2432 Mission.....	250
Naylor, J. M., 235 Montgomery, No. 1258.....	175
Neal Machinery Co., 58 Sutter, No. 353.....	185
Neiden, Albert L., 942 Market, No. 413.....	150
Nell's Hemstitching, 1005 Market, No. 305.....	50
Nelsen's Coffee Shop, 481 Castro.....	325
Nelson, Barbara H., 1337 Sutter.....	625
Nelson, F. F., 2 Edgehill Way.....	275
Nelson, H., 460 Yerba Buena Ave.....	450
Nelson, James E., 1538 Ellis.....	100
Nelson, J. W., 2500 3d St.....	100
Nelson, M., 2847 Army.....	950
Nelson Packing Co., 283 Clementina.....	100

Neon Sign Service Co., 1707 Folsom.....	250
Netyler, H. A., 461 Bush, No. 16.....	50
Neutra, R. J., 251 Kearny, No. 505.....	125
Nevada Club, 344 3d St.....	440
Nevada Club, 1524 20th St.....	250
Nevada Corp. Serv., 235 Montgomery, No. 1159.....	325
Nevil Storage Co., 3107 Mission.....	125
New Alta Meat Market, 401 29th St.....	175
New Balboa Bakery, 436 Balboa.....	250
New Bridge Tavern, 201 3d St.....	250
New Broadway Market, 394 Broadway.....	225
New Calif. Market, Fruit, 2284 Union.....	125
New City Central Restaurant, 1282 Golden Gate Ave.....	285
New Custom House Garage, 900 Sansome.....	875
New Fish Market, 1611 Laguna.....	575
New Golden Gate Market, Meats, 1641 Haight.....	200
New Golden Gate Market, 1641 Haight.....	100
New J. P. Dr., 135 Stockton, No. 629.....	150
New Liberty Market, 806 22d St.....	525
New Metropolitan Cleaners, 773-A Pine.....	100
New Mission Cleaners, 2900 24th St.....	100
New Mission Garage, 3330 20th St.....	175
New Modesto Poultry, 5146 3d St.....	375
New Monte Carlo Cafe, 2125 Lombard.....	125
New Moon Restaurant, 208 4th St.....	125
New O K Cleaners, 837 Divisadero.....	150
New Pacific Cleaners, 1204 Pacific.....	375
New Prosperity Cleaners, 2812 Greenwich.....	100
New Prosperity Market, 3349 Mission.....	600
New Service Cleaners & Dyers, 272 Turk.....	200
New Sunset Grocery, 2257 Irving St.....	600
Newlands, W., 3570 Clay.....	350
Newman, Sam, 693 Mission, No. 607.....	50
Newmeyer, W. L., 140 Geary, No. 807.....	35
News Vendors Union, 991 Mission.....	50
Newsom Realty Co., 1946 Market.....	50
Newspapermen's Club, 441 Eddy.....	50
Newton & Co., Inc., 681 Market, No. 982.....	100
Newt's Coffee Shop, 453 Bush.....	275
Ney, S., 209 Post, No. 1215.....	175
Ng Family Benevolent Assn., 820 Clay.....	125
Ng Gar Yuen Co., 762 Clay.....	325
Nickell, Harry Bruce, 101 Chenery.....	150
Nicklassen, N. C., 51-A Downey.....	65
Nicol, J. H., 58 Sutter, No. 339.....	100
Nicolich, Anthony, 3329 20th St.....	325
Nile Sandwich Shop, 55 Grant Ave.....	315
Ninth Ave. Liquor Store, 1400 9th Ave.....	750
Nippon Denpo Tsushin, 24 California, No. 621.....	65
Nishimoto, T., 1309 Eddy.....	125
Nite Hawk, 2301 Market.....	415
Nite Hawk, The, 314 Bay Shore Blvd.....	250
Nixon, D. W., 516 Sutter, No. 320.....	215
No D Lay Barber Shop, 311 Valencia.....	125
No Delay Cleaners & Dyers, 427 Ellis.....	250
Noe Valley Market, 1301 Sanchez.....	425
Nonpariel Mfg. & Sls., 3437 Mission.....	250
Nonnenman, E. L., 311 Minna, No. 205.....	100
Noonan Bros., 2881 Mission.....	150
Noonan, J. E., 3381 Mission.....	75
Noonday Club, Inc., 450 Market.....	750
Nordhausen, H., 3157 17th St.....	550
Norma's Beauty Salon, 564 Hayes.....	225



Norrie, C. Gordon, 630 Lake, No. 203.....	200
Norris, L. H., & Son, 235 Montgomery, No. 1272.....	300
Norten, Henry, 242 Gough.....	100
North Beach Press, 1310 Powell.....	225
North Beach Club, 1516 Stockton.....	125
North, H. H., 510 Battery, No. 238.....	40
North Star Laundry, 3314 Army.....	11,000
North Star Restaurant, 987 The Embarcadero.....	235
Northern California Plumbing, 785 Market, No. 206.....	100
Northern Calif. Vet., New, 111 7th St.....	35
Novie's Cafe, 2014 Grant Ave.....	375
Novelty Press, The, 405 Golden Gate Ave.....	200
Novitzky, J., 909 Hyde, No. 629.....	75
Nowlan Market, Vegetable Shop, 482 Haight.....	375
Nuart Beauty Shop, 2517 Mission, No. 3.....	100
Nuckolls, Marshall, 111 Sutter, No. 1425.....	225
Nuevo Mundo Barber Shop, 789 Broadway.....	85
Nuyens, L. C., 450 Sutter, No. 2234.....	150
Oakland Tribune, 681 Market, No. 209.....	120
Oasis Western Drink Corp., 112 Market, No. 811.....	250
O'Brien, A. J., 369 Pine, No. 507.....	175
O'Brien, D. J., 485 California, No. 412.....	375
O'Brien, J. B., 369 Pine, No. 322.....	175
O'Brien, L. B., 2964 Mission.....	125
O'Brien, T., 1151 Golden Gate Ave.....	275
Ocean Beach Cleaners, 1411 46th Ave.....	100
Ocean Poultry Co., 1425 Ocean Ave.....	170
Ocean Shore Nursery, 524 Huron Ave.....	180
Ocean Shore R. R., Inc., 704 Market, No. 802.....	110
Ocean View Meat Market, 112 Sagamore.....	200
O'Connell, E. A., 690 Market, No. 729.....	80
O'Connor, G., 68 Post, No. 520.....	110
O'Connor, G. T., 870 Market, No. 953.....	275
O'Connor, J. J., 2901 Mission.....	130
Odeon Tavern, 714 Folsom.....	275
O'Donald Sign Shop, 1040 Larkin.....	150
O'Donnell, V. H., 220 Bush, No. 1820.....	275
Oest Foods, 66 Harriet.....	375
Office Restaurant, 461 Montgomery.....	550
Olcese, E., 375-B Valley.....	160
Old Canteen, 632 20th St.....	200
Old English Co., Ltd., 235 San Bruno Ave.....	3,400
Old Lantern, The, 3225 Sacramento.....	300
Old S. F. Electric Co., 2611 Bush.....	275
Oliphant, E. N., 165 Jessie, 2d floor.....	175
Oliver, B., 220 Montgomery, No. 607.....	110
Oliver, L. C., 231 Mission.....	25
Oliver Twist Liquor Store, 549 Geary.....	1,500
O'Looney's Market, 1099 Irving.....	500
Olsen, A. C., 3572 18th St.....	120
Olsen, H. C., 101 Fillmore.....	350
Olsen, J. E., 830 Market, No. 620.....	110
Olsen, Rudolph, & Co., 582 Market, No. 1112.....	600
Olson for Governor Headquarters, 883 Market, 3d floor.....	50
O'Malley, J. F., 703 Market, No. 814.....	55
Omar & Ormsbee, 235 Montgomery, No. 1027.....	100
O'Meara, P. J., 50 Hawthorne, No. 204.....	55
One Eighty One Club, 181 Eddy.....	300
O'Neal, R., 1146 Valencia.....	65
O'Neill, A. P., 582 Market, No. 801.....	50
O'Neill, F. T., 605 Market, No. 800.....	175
O'Neill, R. M., 2862 24th St.....	1,900

O'Neill, T., 541 10th Ave.....	425
Onkka, C. A., 74 New Montgomery, No. 729.....	125
Onorato, G., 2673 Mission.....	120
Oppenheim, M., 760 Market, No. 717.....	175
Oppenheimer, O., 181 6th St.....	1,250
Orear, H., 55 San Anselmo Ave.....	400
Oreck, Percy, 1017 Golden Gate Ave.....	500
Oregon-California Stages, 785 Market, No. 507.....	100
Organic Sea Prod. Corp., 909 Harrison.....	275
Oriental Rug Cushion, 969 Folsom.....	3,500
Original B. & M. Wrecking, 20 Duboce Ave.....	100
Original Joe's, 144 Taylor.....	200
Original Safety Co., 135 Hyde.....	800
Orlando, F., 1682 O'Farrell.....	230
Ornamental Plaster, 3470 19th St.....	185
O'Rourke's Tavern, 3049 20th St.....	210
Orrick, W. H., 2698 Pacific Ave.....	575
Ortner, Karl, Furn., 1259 Eddy.....	250
O'Shea, I. T., 275 Magellan.....	350
O'Shea's Corner, 900 Clement.....	300
Osteyer, Leon C., 580 Market, No. 348.....	150
Osthoff & Co., 1355 Grove.....	135
O'Sullivan, M., 1443 Polk, No. 8.....	25
Ota, I., 1715 Buchanan.....	700
Otawa, T., 1608-A Geary.....	90
Otis, M., Mrs., 620 29th St.....	35
Otto Press, 509 Sansome and Third.....	500
Overlach, T. W., 2617 California.....	80
Overland Freight Co., 29 Harrison.....	150
Overton, P. G., & Co., 718 Mission, No. 319.....	500
Owens, H. T., 7 Front, No. F-201.....	30
Owens, Leonard B., 19 Mason.....	80
Owins Stationery Store, 235 Clement.....	325
Owl Barber Shop, The, 1681 O'Farrell.....	120
Owl Cleaners, The, 3072 16th St.....	160
Owl, The, 1020 Fillmore.....	200
Ownbey, L. C., 165 Lunado Way.....	275
Owners & Lessees Apt., 681 Market, No. 1073.....	100
Oyster, A. J., 2521 Broadway.....	600
P B X School, 1182 Market, No. 326.....	150
P & B Cadillac Service, 1253 Bush.....	345
P & G Food Store, 251 Balboa.....	350
Pabst, C. U., 1101 Green, No. 1001.....	200
Pacific Agar Co., 544 Market, No. 403.....	290
Pacific Catering Co., 393 O'Farrell.....	500
Pacific Coast Studio Couch, 1355 Market, 7th floor.....	300
Pacific Electric Construction, 1496 Mission.....	300
Pacific Engineering Laboratory, 74 New Montgomery, No. 548..	115
Pacific Heights Tailor, 2285 Jackson.....	150
Pacific Investment, 606 Eddy.....	250
Pacific Klean Rite Auto, 130 Hayes.....	100
Pacific Laundry Repair Co., 1148 Howard.....	65
Pacific Lodge Theosophy, 126 Post, No. 503.....	100
Pacific Meat Market, 1066 Grant Ave.....	625
Pacific National Agricultural Credit, 351 California, No. 1202..	315
Pacific Radio & Electric Service, 1321 Golden Gate Ave.....	150
Pacific Radio & Electric Co., 2130 Mission.....	185
Pacific Seed Co., 600 Front.....	475
Pacific Sport Wear Mfg., 149 Valencia.....	315
Pacific Stationers, 595 Mission, No. 402.....	315
Pacific Typewriter Service, 7 Front, F328.....	60
Pacific Venetian Blind Factory, 1527 Pine.....	300
Packers Supply Co., 21 Isis.....	100



Padilla, G., 2132 Fillmore.....	75
Paganini, D., 617 Montgomery, No. 406.....	190
Painters Union, 112 Valencia.....	100
Palace Furn. Gallery, 1150 Sutter.....	650
Palace Model & Mach., 131 Eddy.....	350
Palace New Montgomery Garage, 125 Stevenson.....	900
Palja, Madame, Inc., 358 Sutter, No. 203.....	150
Palm Garden Oyster, 931 Market.....	50
Palm Garden Pool Room, 931 Market.....	600
Palm Garden, The, 1101 Valencia.....	250
Palm Lodge, 245 Buchanan.....	250
Palmer, Amy, Dance Studio, 2366 19th Ave.....	50
Paez, R., 899 Broadway.....	225
Pancoast, D. A., Co., 116 New Montgomery, No. 451.....	185
Panhandle Carpet Cleaners, 773 Divisadero.....	500
Panorama Oil Co., 690 Market, No. 1003.....	35
Paoli, Theo H., 2000 Van Ness Ave., No. 410.....	100
Papa, T., 901 Market.....	100
Papazian, N., 146-A Battery.....	100
Paragon Press, 216 Commercial.....	225
Paramount Coats, 130 Sutter, No. 201.....	500
Paramount Club, 1153 Valencia.....	100
Paramount Grill, 279 6th St.....	300
Parapet Prod. Co., 417 Van Ness Ave., South.....	150
Parcells, F. M., 220 Montgomery, No. 470.....	225
Parentes Cafe, 592 Pacific Ave.....	200
Paris Beauty Salon, 3302 Balboa.....	250
Paris Louvre, 808 Pacific Ave.....	200
Parizek, Antone, 874 Eddy.....	75
Park, M. W., & Co., 236 Ritch.....	4,375
Park, Phillip W., 632 Kearny.....	200
Park Press Printers, 3522 Mission.....	300
Parker Boilers, 1059 Mission.....	500
Parkside Liquors, 949 Taraval.....	350
Parkside Tavern, 934 Taraval.....	200
Parr, Chas. D., 623 Larkin.....	200
Partinico, J., 2100 Chestnut.....	65
Pasero, Joe, 6239 3d St.....	200
Pat & Rae's Dinette, 1416 Taylor.....	150
Patterson Shipping, 405 Montgomery, No. 920.....	210
Patterson, W. D., 1108 Market.....	200
Patterson & Sullivan, 221 Pine.....	100
Patty's 970 Club, 970 Sutter.....	250
Paul, Edw. P., & Co., 1355 Market, 5th floor.....	100
Paul, T. M., 24 Leland.....	150
Paul's Rest., 16 Leland Ave.....	80
Payless Market, 1180 McAllister.....	200
Peacock, Chas., 6998 Geary, corner 34th St.....	150
Peacock Sedan Service, 1673 Market.....	1,000
Pearl, Esther, Mrs., 1617 Vallejo.....	185
Pearl Tavern, 581 Valencia.....	150
Pearl's Pharmacy, 1001 Page.....	1,625
Pease, McCormick, Dancing, 112 Market, No. 628.....	150
Peck, J. F., 620 Market, No. 814.....	440
Pedersen's Coffee Shop, 2755 Mission.....	125
Petz, C. M., 830 Market, No. 621.....	30
Peirano & Drew, 445 Bay Shore Blvd.....	100
Peiser, S. L., 209 Post, No. 204.....	800
Pels, P., 2659 Mission.....	530
Peninsula Drug Co., 682 3d St.....	325
Peninsula Motor Ex., 445 Fulton.....	40
Pennant Cafe, 25 10th St.....	135
People's Food Store, 3401 Sacramento.....	310

Perata, Clara, 1942 Market.....	100
Perez, Leon, Dr., 3366 Pierce, No. 202.....	200
Perry, G. D., 2726 Balboa.....	225
Perry, J. C., 209 Post, No. 904.....	250
Perry, Samuel S., 533 Mission, 4th floor.....	8,500
Persian Mercantile, 545 Sutter, No. 103.....	1,750
Persons, Dwan & Co., 74 New Montgomery, No. 516.....	75
Petaluma Poultry, 1103 Market.....	150
Pete & Joe Auto Repair, 4352 Mission.....	200
Peters, A., Co., 1544 Pine.....	400
Peters, H., 2140 Fillmore.....	215
Petersen, A., & Son, 2758 San Bruno Ave.....	1,000
Petersen, C. W., 870 Market, No. 344.....	250
Petersen, H., 315 Montgomery, No. 92.....	200
Petersen, T. C., 244 Kearny, No. 501.....	25
Peterson, U. G., 369 Pine, No. 224.....	35
Petrescu, Geo., 147 Mason.....	150
Petri, A., 1600 Powell.....	225
Petrie, F. B., 211 Cornwall.....	175
Petrocci, V., 601 Sansome.....	30
Petros, W., 703 Market, No. 402.....	200
Petrucchi, S., 306 Clement.....	200
Phelan, F. J., 3095 Sacramento.....	100
Phelan, P. J., 1200 Fillmore.....	550
Phelan & Peter, 209 Post, No. 611.....	375
Phelps, Hunter & Assoc., 235 Montgomery, No. 1812.....	100
Phelps, R. L., 582 Market, No. 1204.....	50
Philbrook, M., 1102 Van Ness Ave., South.....	125
Phillips, D. D., 150 Post, No. 607.....	250
Phillips, F. M., 718 Bryant.....	1,150
Phillips, M., 115 Sanchez.....	150
Phillips, W., Studio, 233 Post, No. 504.....	350
Phillips, Zode, 460 Sutter.....	100
Philosophers' Inn, 824 Ulloa.....	185
Piazza, J., 301 Holloway.....	250
Picard, J. T., 3903 18th St.....	100
Piccetti, A., 575-A Haight.....	185
Pickwick Coffee Shop, 898 Mission.....	750
Pierce Grocery, 103 Pierce.....	400
Pierce & Olds, 369 Pine, No. 303.....	185
Pieri, M. W., 470 Columbus Ave.....	100
Pillsbury, Alice M., 1112 Market, M.....	100
Piha, J., 2791 Mission.....	135
Pina, F., 108 Langton.....	200
Pine Barber Shop, 1487 Pine.....	100
Pine Library, 1026-A Pine.....	85
Pinelli's Flowerland, 714 Clement.....	150
Pioneer Cafe, 1204 Market.....	525
Pittman, M., 1189 Market.....	65
Pleasanton Cleaners, 804 Sutter.....	200
Plumbers & Gas Fitters, 200 Guerrero.....	100
Plymouth Social Club, 107 3d St.....	50
Poat, A. A., 369 Pine, No. 515.....	185
Podesta, A., 1641 Haight.....	225
Polk St. Watch Shop, 2056 Polk.....	100
Polk-Sutter Delicatessen, 1179 Sutter.....	450
Pomare, Antonio, 1346 Kearny.....	210
Poner, Victor, 234 Townsend.....	535
Poore, Franklin T., 5 3d St., No. 1129.....	75
Poppy Market, Meat, 5172 3d St.....	700
Popular Cafeteria, 468 Brannan.....	225
Porcaros Winery, 2779 Folsom.....	1,000
Porep, C. L., 690 Market, No. 903.....	100



Porter, R., 3026 24th St.....	150
Porter, M., 240 Stockton, No. 501.....	125
Porto De Oro Cigars, 339 Commercial.....	185
Post Office Liquor Store, 1099 Mission.....	500
Potrero Cafe, 199 Potrero Ave.....	200
Potter, D. J., 635 Irving, No. 5.....	500
Potter Products, 821 Market, No. 722.....	250
Powell, Bob, 821 Market, No. 226.....	100
Powell's Beauty Shop, 926 Market.....	100
Powell's Beauty Shop, 933 Market.....	500
Power, M. J., & Co., 690 Market, No. 301.....	50
Powers, 559 Sutter.....	1,000
Pozniakoff, E. B., Dr., 274 10th Ave.....	150
Precita Sheet Metal, 3119 Harrison.....	100
Precita Valley Com., 534 Precita Ave.....	30
Prendergast, J. M., 1005 Market, No. 301.....	300
Prentice, G. E., Co., 619 Mission.....	2,250
President's Birthday Com., 486 California, No. 1124.....	100
Presidio Ave. Market, 508 Presidio Ave.....	75
Presidio Ave. Market, 508 Presidio Ave.....	50
Presidio Fountain, 4342 California.....	100
Presidio Hts. Barber, 3678 Sacramento.....	175
Presidio Heights Beauty Shop, 3678 Sacramento.....	250
Pressprich, R. W., & Co., 220 Bush, No. 1904.....	275
Presta, J., 10 Front.....	35
Presto Cleaners, 1479 Valencia.....	65
Priddle, Arthur, 251 Kearny, No. 201.....	100
Prime, Spencer G., 681 Market, No. 1043.....	2,150
Printing Employees, 111 Ellis, 4th floor.....	225
Printing Plates, Inc., 7 Front, F141.....	375
Prior, E., 215 Webster.....	150
Produce Cafe, 548 Front.....	150
Progress Repair Shop, 314 11th St.....	130
Progressive Beauty Salon, 2633 23d St.....	250
Progressive Hand Laundry, 704 Polk.....	150
Progressive Trad. Co., 16 California, No. 514.....	150
Prost, H. G., 620 Market, No. 819.....	150
Pruett, J. F., 490 Post, No. 440.....	400
Puccini, A., & Sons, 173 Jefferson.....	150
Puccini, G., 451 Marina Blvd.....	250
Pulvol Co., 252 Clay.....	500
Pup, The, 4120 18th St.....	525
Purcell, James C., 220 Montgomery, No. 645.....	160
Purchase Exch. Corp., 16 California, No. 514.....	575
Purdy, W. J., 7 Front, F-154.....	225
Putnam, Beatrice, 878 Bush.....	215
Pyramid Furnace Co., 70 Otis.....	315
Pyrtle, J. E., 320 Market, No. 316.....	30
Quality Cleaners, 1515 California.....	110
Quality Lunch Room, 200 Drumm.....	140
Quealy, J. A., 85 St. Elmo Way.....	1,250
Queen Stone Works, 1355 Market, 5th floor.....	100
Quen Lung, 720 Jackson.....	600
Quimby, Inc., 509 Divisadero.....	100
Quinby, W. J., Fu., 388 Oak.....	125
Quinby's Candy Store, 214 Powell.....	75
Quinlan, J. C., 5 3d St., No. 609.....	250
Quinn, E., 1182 Market, No. 225.....	100
Quong, Chong, 1028 Stockton.....	50
Quong Lee Laundry, 1829 Filbert.....	340
Racik, H., 229 Stevenson.....	150
Radio & Sound Service, 1524 Divisadero.....	100

Radio Electric, 1302 Fulton.....	100
Radio Electric Repair Shop, 324 Leavenworth.....	100
Radio Equipment Service, 10 Brady.....	100
Radio Suit Cleaner, 377 Ellis.....	75
Radke, D. W., 582 Market, No. 1115.....	125
Rafael, H., 535 Jackson.....	175
Rafaels, Mike, 2011 Fillmore.....	300
Rahmer, F., 419 10th St.....	300
Railroad Watch Shop, 247 California.....	175
Raimondi, Vincent J., 3299 16th St.....	125
Rainbow Beauty Shop, 5813 Geary Blvd.....	225
Rainbow Grill, 1617 Polk.....	600
Ralph's Barber Shop, 1397 Harrison.....	50
Ramsay, T. H., 351 California, No. 1203.....	100
Randall, F., 628 Montgomery, No. 444.....	50
Ranis, Jack, 1634 Pine.....	450
Rapken Holding Co., 656 44th Ave.....	275
Rapp, Wm., 150 Post, No. 602.....	100
Rasmussen, Anthony, 331 Grove.....	275
Rassier, Louis T., Dr., 135 Stockton, No. 921.....	200
Ravani, E., 210 Post, No. 406.....	250
Ray Nel Lunch, 509 Mission.....	150
Rays, 318 Columbus.....	300
Ray's Beauty Studio, 435 Powell, No. 5.....	275
Re Nu Window Shade Co., 1067 O'Farrell.....	450
Reading, G. H., 1111 Laguna.....	150
Reagh, C., 220 Montgomery, No. 810.....	225
Real Art Beauty Supply, 285 Eddy.....	3,300
Real Estate Journal, 68 Post, No. 603.....	100
Realty Liquidators, 300 Haight.....	225
Reardon's Groceteria, 4051 Balboa.....	400
Rebuilt Cash Register Exchange, 1047 Mission.....	1,100
Red Lion Paper Corp., 50 Hawthorne, No. 206.....	150
Red Tag Market, 1802 Polk.....	600
Redewill, F. H., 851 California, No. 32.....	550
Redmen's Hall, 3053 16th St.....	150
Redmond, J. J., 369 Pine, No. 210.....	75
Reed, H. W., 1609 O'Farrell.....	125
Reed, J. M., 4023 Balboa.....	175
Reed, G. W., & Co., 510 Battery, No. 207.....	125
Reeves, A. M., 1816 Post.....	225
Reeves, Rita, 46 Kearny, No. 416.....	100
Regalado, C. G., 2015 Franklin.....	600
Regalia, F., 1774 Mission.....	800
Rehders, 135 Bush.....	150
Reid, A. R., 567 7th St.....	500
Reilly, T., 109 Oak.....	250
Reising, G., 739 Larkin.....	200
Reisner, John G., 988 Market, No. 312.....	150
Reliable Grocery, 249 Cortland Ave.....	1,000
Reliable Renovatory, 131 West Portal Ave.....	150
Reliance Cleaning & Dyeing, 915 Geneva Ave.....	150
Reliance Realty Co., 369 Turk.....	125
Remodel Shop, 177 Post, No. 805.....	150
Reno Beer Gardens, 1285 Market.....	450
Reno Grocery, 244 6th St.....	350
Repetto, B., 1624 6th St.....	100
Republic Electric Power, 405 Montgomery, No. 302.....	750
Republican St. Cen., 637 Market, No. 2030.....	50
Research Institute, 110 Sutter, No. 801.....	100
Retail Beverage Dis., 681 Market, No. 398.....	150
Rex Flavor & Extract, 400 Jackson.....	600
Rex Novelty Co., 255 Natoma.....	450



Rex Vending Co., 759 Ellis.....	750
Reynolds, J., 245 Edgewood Ave.....	800
Rhodes & Schaller, 719 Turk.....	300
Rhythm Foundation, 235 Montgomery, No. 1717.....	150
Rib, The, 2001 Chestnut.....	200
Ricconi, F., 600 Leavenworth.....	100
Ricconi, Geo. L., Co., 26 Montgomery, No. 214.....	40
Richards Fur Studio, 1161 Market, Mezzanine .....	200
Richardson, C., 1667 Silver Ave.....	75
Richardson, W. M., 320 Market, No. 317.....	25
Richer Upholstering, 2340 Polk.....	175
Richmond Carpenter Shop, 2416 Clement.....	125
Richmond Exch. Shop, 240 Clement.....	250
Richmond Hall, 309 4th Ave.....	125
Richmond Service, 4499 Geary.....	750
Ricklee Furn. Clinic, 907 Post.....	250
Ricklee Furn. Studio, 971 Sutter.....	300
Rieger, W., 1858 Divisadero.....	125
Riera Fish Co., 1985 Mission.....	200
Rightway Auto Serv., 1720 Clay.....	100
Rightway Hatters, 175 Sutter.....	225
Ringole, G. C., 703 Market, No. 705.....	200
Riser Modes, 130 Sutter, No. 603.....	300
Risse, J., 693 Mission, No. 206.....	150
Riste, Edward, 1458-A O'Farrell.....	100
Riteway Printing Co., 568 Clay.....	150
Ritz Clean. & Tailor, 105 5th St.....	150
Robbins, Frank, 1002-A Webster.....	200
Robbins, R., 1817 California.....	250
Roberts, L., 1254 Fell.....	175
Roberts Mill Mfg. Co., 116 New Montgomery, No. 419.....	150
Roberts, T. R., 1807 Fulton.....	100
Roberts, W. M., 130 San Fernando Way.....	375
Robinson, Henry, 220 Bush, No. 1911.....	100
Roche, J. C., & Co., 315 Montgomery, No. 520.....	200
Rock, The, 2255 Mariposa.....	130
Rock Rock Co., 1 Stone.....	350
Rodgers, M. A., Furn., 3410 Mission.....	60
Rodoni, C., 369 Pine, No. 224.....	25
Rodway, H., 177 Post, No. 439.....	75
Roehr, H. L., 133 Geary, No. 532.....	150
Roesling, R. J., 244 California, No. 500.....	300
Rogers, G., 531-A Jackson.....	100
Rogers, H., 519 California, No. 619.....	100
Rogers, R. A., 948 Market, No. 601.....	100
Roll, G., 962 Page.....	125
Rolla, Quinto, Mrs., 1465 Powell.....	275
Roller, A., 50 San Andreas.....	250
Roller, A. F., 1 Montgomery, No. 1301.....	125
Rollins, John, 2539 24th St.....	100
Rolph, Mills & Co., 116 New Montgomery, No. 915.....	75
Roma Pharmacy, 2878 24th St.....	475
Romano, Alfred, 600 Green.....	50
Romano Realty Co., 5825 Mission.....	200
Romanti, L., 79 Sutter.....	75
Ronan, C., 4910 3d St.....	250
Roosevelt Beauty Shop, 295 Eddy.....	300
Roosevelt Cleaners, 2761 24th St.....	125
Roosevelt Market, 1208 Fillmore.....	600
Roosevelt Sea Food, 1508 Market.....	375
Root, L. L., 57 Post, No. 405.....	100
Rose, A., 588 Natoma.....	60
Rose Cleaners, 3927 Sacramento.....	150

Rose Moore, Dance Studio, 1265 Market.....	50
Rose, Sam B., 1071 Valencia.....	125
Rose & Co., 69 West Portal.....	150
Rosekind, D., 2280 Bush.....	100
Roseland Barber Shop, 342 3d St.....	125
Roselli, A. A., 1641 Ellis.....	150
Rosenbaum, H., 1501 Ellis.....	125
Rosenberg, Benj., 53 Oak Grove.....	400
Roserie, The, Branch No. 2, 1600 Divisadero.....	300
Ross, F. E., 699 Marina Blvd.....	900
Ross Fur Trading Co., 278 Post, No. 304.....	900
Ross & Edwards, 1747 Bush.....	150
Rossi, A., 5499 California.....	50
Rossi, G., & Co., 531 Brunswick.....	1,000
Roth, H. M., 333 Montgomery, No. 705.....	250
Rousseau, O. M., 321 Kearny.....	150
Roxie Market, 3131 16th St.....	150
Royal Arms Cafe, 2961 24th St.....	150
Royal Chemical & Eng., 425 Bryant.....	500
Rubenstein, David, 111 Sutter, No. 834.....	100
Rubio, J. S., 1448-B Mason.....	250
Rude, Arthur, & Co., 149 California, No. 221.....	200
Russ Bldg. Beauty Salon, 235 Montgomery, No. 1127.....	300
Russell, J. Harry, 681 Market, No. 702.....	150
Russell, L., 703 Market, No. 404.....	200
Russell, W., & Co., 100 Sutter.....	150
Russell's Delicatessen, 1026 Taraval.....	325
Russian Benevolent Society, 928 Pierce.....	75
Russian Club, 2226 Fillmore.....	100
Russian Social Club, 1884 Geary.....	100
Russo, Frank, 2995 24th St.....	100
Ryan, M., 12 Geary, No. 208.....	100
Ryan's Gym, 312 Leavenworth.....	75
Ryder & Taylor, 447 Sutter, No. 624.....	50
S P Employees Mutual B., 215 Market, No. 1027.....	150
S & H Smoke Shop, 64 2d St.....	100
S & T Photo Shop, 562 Market.....	175
S & S Stamp Co., 130 Geary, 3d floor.....	550
Sabin Electric Co., 664 Post.....	190
Sabini, R. J., 580 Green.....	500
Safchik Fur Co., 239 Geary, No. 203.....	3,900
Safeway Electric Co., 748 14th St.....	40
Sage Land & Imp. Co., 620 Market, No. 424.....	80
Sai Yon Cafe, 641 Jackson.....	750
St. Clair, O., 311 California, No. 500.....	175
St. Francis & S. F. B., 1974 Page.....	150
St. George Barber Shop, 411 Ellis.....	290
St. Mary's Cleaners, 107 Crescent Ave.....	80
St. Theresa's Cleaners, 1246 18th St.....	70
Saivicki, John, 98 Market.....	150
Sales Service Corp., 170 Berry.....	200
Sally's, 3232 Scott.....	300
Salmon, Ella, 24 Clement.....	275
Salomon Bros. & Hutzl, 235 Montgomery, No. 3008.....	500
Salomon, M., 601 14th Ave.....	750
Sam's Shoe Repairing, 5674 Mission.....	275
Sam's Shoe Shop, 3420 22d St.....	175
Sammon, Maurice, & W. F., 36 The Embarcadero.....	450
Samson Press, 265 Minna.....	200
Samuel, M. M., Furn., 3173 Turk.....	50
Samuels Furn. Co., 1946 Van Ness Ave.....	5,000
Samuels, L., 760 Market, No. 451.....	225



Samuels, L. T., Co., 220 Montgomery, No. 400.....	325
San Carlos Tamale Cafe, 3347 Fillmore.....	300
Sanchez, Corea, A. R., Dr., 516 Sutter, No. 809.....	250
Sanders, G. G., 220 Montgomery, No. 793.....	400
Sanders, W. W., 1514 Polk.....	150
Sanderson, W. W., 220 Montgomery, No. 1011.....	250
Sandman Mattress Co., 2620 3d St.....	850
Sandovals, 1598 Pacific Ave.....	250
Sandy Radio Service, 4644 Geary.....	65
Sanford Flower Shop, 235 Montgomery, L.....	90
S. F. Academy, Physical Culture, 465 Post, B.....	400
S. F. Allied Print, Etc., 544 Market, No. 906.....	75
S. F. Building & Construction, 13'0 Fulton.....	150
S. F. Center Calif Leg., 335 Powell, No. 1215.....	125
S. F. Chiropody Parlor, 410 Mason.....	175
S. F. Dental Lab., 870 Market, No. 743.....	125
S. F. Grading Co., 6565 3d St.....	400
S. F. Hotel Owners' Association, 821 Market, No. 931.....	50
S. F. Independent Grocers, 220 Montgomery, No. 822.....	150
S. F. Junior Academy, 1367 10th Ave.....	30
San Francisco Mdse. Co., 461 Market, No. 205.....	300
S. F. Photo Engraving, 215 Leidesdorff, 2d floor.....	1,200
S. F. Placement Bureau, 821 Market, No. 931.....	50
S. F. School of Dance, 1255 Market.....	150
S. F. Slipper Dye Shop, 212 Stockton, No. 317.....	50
S. F. Tile Contracting Assn., 1182 Market, No. 323.....	100
Sanges Bakery, 2584 Mission.....	250
Sano, J. Y., 1761 Sutter.....	450
Sansome Pac. Garage, 825 Sansome.....	500
Santoro, D., 1175 Market, No. 54.....	110
Saph, A. V., 116 New Montgomery, No. 431.....	75
Save Way Cleaners, 2216 Fillmore.....	200
Scafne, T., 1814 Polk.....	250
Scales, H. H., 758 23d Ave.....	220
Scales, H. S., 7 Front, No. F432.....	225
Scarpanito, C., 792 O'Farrell.....	40
Scarpino, D., 3444 17th St.....	55
Scatenas Gael Club, 3247 Mission.....	100
Schacherl Bros., 1246 Golden Gate Ave.....	700
Schade Paint Co., 2937 Mission.....	450
Schafers Mill, 732 Bryant.....	175
Schapp & Cole, 582 Market, No. 510.....	150
Schary, E., 235 Montgomery, No. 344.....	110
Scheid, A. A., 681 Market, No. 475.....	115
Schifano, J., 3593 Mission.....	30
Schindler, S., 815 Valencia.....	375
Schinkel, K., 1271 Noe.....	25
Schmidt, W., 1481 Harrison.....	450
Schmiedell & Co., 229 Davis.....	250
Schneider, H. P., 704 Market, No. 207.....	35
Schoenfeld, P. M., 2191 3d St.....	500
Schord, W. E., 5 3d St., No. 1024.....	50
Schott, May A., 463 26th Ave.....	40
Schou, Herb, Auto Repair, 1536 Pacific Ave.....	150
Schraga & Tiret, 709 Mission, No. 402.....	55
Schreiber, C., 171 Collingwood.....	60
Schrum, H. J., 347 Clay.....	170
Schubert, Raymond H., 5 3d St., No. 1219.....	100
Schuchard, T., 167 8th Ave.....	80
Schueler, Dorner F., 16 Beale, No. 7.....	150
Schuetz, F. J., 3231 Mission.....	250
Schuppert, A., 1534 Laguna.....	100
Schuppert, Jennie H., 2614 McAllister.....	50
Schutz, Murray A., Co., 235 Montgomery, No. 850.....	500

Scott, A. W., 690 Market, No. 320.....	450
Scott, D. A., & Co., 220 Montgomery, No. 627.....	275
Scott, E. S., 10 The Embarcadero, No. 3.....	150
Scott Street French Laundry, 240 Scott.....	300
Scott, W. A., 277 9th St.....	150
Screen Art Studio, 149 Hyde.....	225
Scudder, L., & Co., 369 Pine, No. 303.....	225
Sea Cliff Pharmacy, 2100 Balboa.....	600
Seabeach Elec. Co., 1021 Guerrero.....	40
Seal Rock Fur Co., 463 Geary.....	250
Sealer, Frank, 250 Columbus Ave.....	200
Seawell, I. S., 1331 12th Ave.....	100
Secretarial Service, 5 3d St., No. 1127.....	125
Segal & Segal, 7 Front, No. F320.....	30
Seipel Ornamental Iron Works, 1079 Folsom.....	1,750
Selby, W. J., 1161 Greenwich.....	300
Sellon, Edward L., 905 Mission, No. 286.....	25
Senate Buffet, 471 Turk.....	200
Sequoia Importing Co., 564 Market.....	750
Serpa, A. F., 1508 Divisadero.....	250
Serve Well Food Store, 595 Ellis.....	375
Service Upholstering, 1666 Market.....	100
Setzer, A., 220 Montgomery, No. 1071.....	175
Seven Seas, The, 534 Geary.....	750
Seventy-nine Club, 79 4th St.....	500
Severin Electric Co., 234 9th St.....	1,500
Sexton, N. W., 690 Market, No. 1602.....	150
Seyms, R. W., 433 California, No. 505.....	350
Shaffer, Floyd, 183-A Stevenson.....	220
Shaffer, G. A., 1948 Washington.....	140
Shaffer, G. A., 688 Sutter.....	140
Shafran, J., 266 32d Ave.....	350
Shalamar Beauty Shop, 1182 Market, No. 208.....	225
Shanghai Florist, 815 Stockton.....	100
Shanks, D. K., 345 Front, No. 304.....	80
Shanty, The, 315 Leavenworth.....	150
Sharon Building & Office, 55 New Montgomery, No. 218.....	100
Sharp, J. C., 6645 California.....	600
Shaw, A. E., 260 California, No. 611.....	275
Shaw, R., 1852 Fell.....	160
Sheldon Shoppe, 3604 Balboa.....	375
Sheridan, R. B. F., 802 Montgomery, No. 2.....	60
Sherman, B. D., 50 Tehama.....	50
Sherman's Furn. Ref., 508 Natoma.....	175
Sherwood, C. C., 333 Montgomery, No. 405.....	100
Sherwood, E. W., 870 Market, No. 1005.....	250
Shimamoto, C., 1604 Geary.....	425
Shimoff, Leon M., 220 Montgomery, No. 428.....	200
Shipley, R. J., 564 Market, No. 200.....	400
Shipper & Bernard, 220 Montgomery, No. 405.....	225
Shirley's Grocery, 6152 California.....	300
Shockey, Ira, 2952 24th St.....	40
Shoe Shine Parlor, 135 Market.....	50
Shoko Co., 257 Alabama.....	800
Shop, California, Co., 39 Grove.....	80
Shorb, Norbert N., Pier 5.....	50
Short, H., 315 Sutter, 2d floor.....	55
Shortridge, Samuel M., 220 Bush, No. 1100.....	400
Sidey, E. J., 25 Taylor, No. 701.....	325
Siegrist, F. R., 604 Mission, No. 908.....	325
Sietel, C., 3615 Mission.....	125
Sign, Elephant, Library, 2135 Chestnut.....	150
Signal, Tavern, The, 3312 26th St.....	200
Simkins, Wm. A., 220 Bush, No. 1802.....	165



Simon, J. E., 268 Market, No. 102.....	100
Simon, J. H., 1006 Harrison.....	300
Simon, J. Lubin, Society, 83 McAllister, No. 317.....	50
Simon Manufacturing Co., 88 1st St., 6th floor.....	1,875
Simons Hustead Lab., 533 Post, No. 4.....	300
Simpson, Ruth, 133 Geary, No. 834.....	100
Sinclair, Irving, 40 Montgomery.....	150
Sing Kee Co., 443 Stockton.....	500
Sing Lee, 761 Commercial.....	35
Sing Lee, 38 Wentworth Pl.....	65
Sing Lee Laundry, 1104 McAllister.....	1,000
Six Hundred Club, 600 Larkin.....	225
Sixth Street Radio Exchange, 243 6th St.....	325
Skidmore, K. J., Bay and Laguna.....	150
Skinner, Mary, 1691 Market.....	150
Sklare, J. B., 335 Powell, No. 765.....	600
Skloris, Sam, 88 9th St.....	150
Skolmen Co., 2176 Market.....	350
Slaughter, Guy T., 24 California, No. 315.....	25
Small, R., 447 Stevenson.....	50
Smart Studio, 239 Geary, 4th floor.....	900
Smislaert, Harry, 105 Montgomery, No. 605.....	150
Smith, B., 3151 Harrison.....	160
Smith, Bess, 3382 Clay.....	500
Smith Bros. Market, 2981 Washington.....	750
Smith, C., Furn., 2346 29th Ave.....	150
Smith, D. C., 351 California, No. 1110.....	220
Smith, Dudley, 450 Sutter, No. 1935.....	220
Smith, Elsie, 166 Geary, No. 52.....	275
Smith, F. D., 230 Cervantes.....	350
Smith, H., 1130 Folsom.....	100
Smith, J. C., 1153 Octavia.....	150
Smith, J. M., 701 Marina Blvd.....	350
Smith, Lester, 484 Castro.....	125
Smith, M. J., Realty Co., 2104 Market.....	150
Smith, Paul, 543 Montgomery.....	100
Smith, R. L., 111 Sutter, No. 818.....	100
Smith, R. V., 206 Sansome, No. 706.....	175
Smith, Dr. William S., 450 Sutter, No. 2612.....	350
Smith & Madden, 241 10th St.....	350
Smiths, M., Beauty Shop, 2295 Chestnut, No. 3.....	250
Smith's Cafe, 133 Turk.....	120
Smitten, K. H., 1216 28th Ave.....	275
Smitten Monteith Co., 564 Market, No. 504.....	200
Smoke Shop, 3327 23d St.....	70
Smythe, A. L., 85 2d St., 1st floor.....	150
Socialist Workers, 310 Clay, No. 10.....	100
Society Mayflower, 153 Kearny, No. 405.....	25
Sommer, I. M., Co., 74 New Montgomery, No. 544.....	150
Sonney's Intern. Road., 177 Golden Gate Ave.....	250
Sonoma Mission Wine, 508 Fulton.....	375
Soon Wo Laundry, 318 Divisadero.....	1,200
Sooy, D. W., 490 Post, No. 218.....	500
Sorenson, Mabel, 1208 Geary.....	150
Saule Perkins & Co., 24 California, No. 310.....	135
Soulie, T., 680 Clay.....	190
South Seas, 540 Sutter.....	750
Southard, J. H., Co., 420 Market, No. M402.....	50
Soy Sang Lung & Co., 723 Clay.....	750
Spalding, W. H., 143 Yerba Buena.....	150
Spaulding, May, 1429 Castro.....	250
Spector, D. S., 2645 Lake.....	250
Speed Sort System, 425 Bush, No. 204.....	500
Speed & Manufacturing Co., 646 Jessie.....	400

Speedometer Service, 131 Fell.....	500
Spencer Electric Co., 570 Natoma.....	300
Sperry's Wire & Iron, 182 Oak.....	325
Spicer, M. V., 220 Montgomery, No. 1011.....	150
Spiro & Spiro, 369 Pine, No. 314.....	100
Spiros, F., 582 California.....	75
Sport Club, The, 72 Ellis.....	300
Sportland, 25 Sutter.....	400
Spotlight Inn, 219 Jones.....	240
Sprague, E. F., 354 Pine, No. 606.....	150
Spreckels Cake & Liq., 753 Market.....	175
Spreckels Fruit and Vegetables, 753 Market.....	550
Spreckels Groceteria, 753 Market.....	400
Spudette Co., 481 Tehama.....	800
Squeris Dental Laboratory, 2595 Mission, No. 211.....	150
Stacks, E. F., 1888 Mission.....	550
Stafford, W. C., 1380 Valencia.....	50
Stagnaro, Annie, 1300 Bay Shore, No. 372.....	500
Stahl, Adolfo, 1 Montgomery, No. 1205.....	150
Stakmore Co., Inc., 1355 Market, 7th floor.....	500
Stand Asbestos Co., 450 Bryant.....	2,000
Stand Concrete Co., 3025 Geary Blvd.....	275
Stand Dental Lab., 350 Post, No. 618.....	175
Stand Electro, Med., 1380 Bush.....	2,750
Stand Glass Co., 32 Julian Ave.....	300
Stand Heating Co., 1243 9th Ave.....	300
Stand Music Co., 693 Mission, No. 711.....	225
Stand Stove & Serv., 1065 Valencia.....	350
Standifer, M., 228 Grant, No. 4.....	400
Standish, H., 438 Valencia.....	50
Stanford Cafe, 375 Bush.....	500
Stanford Cloak & Suit, 942 Market, No. 409.....	450
Stanger Mfg. Co., 3151 18th St.....	600
Stanton, A. G., 1421 Broderick.....	250
Stapleton Smith Sale, 470 Jackson.....	425
Star Auto Wash, 142 Cedar.....	50
Star Barber Shop, 708 Ellis.....	125
Star Cafe, 172 4th St.....	120
Star Delicatessen, 2886 Mission.....	220
Star Furniture Co., 590 Valencia.....	550
Star Groceteria, 1800 Polk.....	1,000
Star Laundry, 1068 Stockton.....	350
Star Loan Office, 83 3d St.....	950
Star Lunch, 285 O'Farrell.....	200
Star Lunch Room, 2323-A Mission.....	150
Star Meat Market, 689 Geary.....	130
Stark, J., Co., 704 Market, No. 801.....	225
State College Lunch, 1884 Market.....	90
State Creditors Assn., 465 California, No. 629.....	175
State Manufacturing, 55 Rausch St.....	250
States Restaurant, 900 Market.....	3,500
Station A Cleaners, 1937 Post.....	150
Steele, Wm. Henry, Ltd., 512 2d St.....	500
Stefani, F., 2160 Mission.....	150
Steffen, F., 1965 San Jose Ave.....	60
Steilberg, Walter T., 85 2d St., No. 708.....	150
Stein, J. B., 936 Fillmore.....	85
Stein, L., 992-A Pine.....	50
Steinberg, David, 30 Front.....	150
Steiner Street Service Station, 100 Steiner.....	350
Steinkellner, P., & Co., 459 Clementina.....	650
Steiss, C. F., 2000 Van Ness Ave., No. 604.....	375
Stendebach, W. D., 209 Post, No. 712.....	450
Stenotype Report Co., 110 Sutter, No. 814.....	120



Stephans, T., 44-A 3d St.....	120
Stephen, John C., 4258 18th St.....	250
Sterling Mount Co., 1355 Market, 5th floor.....	500
Stevens, Martin, 220 Montgomery, No. 422.....	150
Stevens Stores Corp., 819 Market.....	3,800
Stevenson Inn, 227 Stevenson.....	100
Steves, 3319 22d St.....	150
Steves Barber Shop, 687 Folsom.....	150
Stewart, H. E., 2659 23d Ave.....	100
Stewart, J. G., 332 Pine, No. 411.....	175
Stewart's Barber & Beauty Shop, 1441 Fillmore.....	250
Stitt, A. W., 731 Market, No. 506.....	300
Stiver, F., 133 Geary, No. 722.....	65
Stockfleth, Fred, 450 Sutter, No. 932.....	200
Stoddard, T., 516 Sutter, No. 715.....	225
Stone, D. D., 381 Bush, No. 302.....	280
Stone, F. C., 3177 Mission.....	30
Stone, W., 26 O'Farrell, No. 1004.....	55
Stop N Shop, 959 Geneva Ave.....	600
Stores Collection Bureau, 369 Pine, No. 418.....	150
Stout, T., 1175 Market, No. 11.....	125
Stover Mfg. & Eng. Co., 538 Polk.....	2,250
Strand, T. I., 25 10th St.....	125
Stratton, George, 450 Grant.....	150
Strehl & Oliver, 447 Sansome, 6th floor.....	880
Stromer, Mildred, 3254 Pierce, No. 1.....	50
Stuart, Theo M., 235 Montgomery, No. 950.....	175
Stubo, H. M., 212 Stockton, No. 300.....	400
Studio of Beauty, 2134 Polk.....	200
Subway Barber Shop, 22 Montgomery.....	135
Suenaga, G. K., 1552 Geary.....	220
Suey Hai Lung, 742 Washington.....	350
Sugarman Laboratory, 450 Sutter, No. 1439.....	875
Suhr, H. F., 2562 Vallejo.....	225
Sullivan, B., Furn., 3518 16th St.....	110
Sullivan, B. J., 526 Natoma.....	100
Sullivan, Harry, 355 Columbus Ave.....	150
Sullivan, M. L., 490 Post, No. 214.....	275
Sullivan, S., 486 California, No. 1202.....	35
Sullivan & Sullivan, 2323 Mission.....	150
Sully, J. O., Co., 465 California, No. 515.....	150
Sulphur Baths Treatment, 3253 Mission.....	110
Sumi, Y., 893 Golden Gate Ave.....	500
Sun, C., 773 Jackson.....	175
Sun Grill, 330 O'Farrell.....	225
Sun Ray Institute, 1034 Sutter.....	150
Sunderland, D., 80 West Clay Park.....	400
Sunny Tavern, 558 Monterey Blvd.....	200
Sunnyside Com. Hall, 622 Monterey Blvd.....	55
Sunrise Cleaners, 425 Divisadero.....	130
Sunseri Wholesale Produce, 530 Front.....	85
Sunset Materials Co., 1129 Irving.....	200
Sunset Service Station, 1300 16th Ave.....	1,100
Sunset Theatre, 4510 Irving.....	350
Sunset Upholstering Co., 1511 California.....	400
Sunset Window Shade, 4355 California.....	275
Sunshine Cleaners, 1968 Lombard.....	150
Sunshine Creamery, 2425 Mission.....	300
Sunshine Sweet Shop, 2838 California.....	150
Superior Auto Repair, 945 Post.....	50
Superior Carpet Co., 62 Chesley.....	650
Superior Food Store, 2799 California.....	1,200
Supper Club, The, 836 Divisadero.....	200
Supreme Auto Paint, 235 Valencia.....	60

Supreme Laundry, 229 Eddy.....	70
Supreme Pyr. Sciots, 760 Market, No. 854.....	125
Sushi Gen. Rest., 1670 Post.....	200
Suslow, B., 301 Broderick.....	425
Susnow, Ben, 309 Persia.....	50
Sutro, O., 2100 Pacific Ave., No. 19.....	450
Sutsos, P., 9 Golden Gate Ave.....	100
Svenson, F., 1602 Sacramento.....	300
Swaney, Einar, 995 Market, No. 616.....	250
Swanson, M. A., 513 Valencia, No. 7.....	350
Swartzbaugh Mfg. Co., 837 Howard.....	2,500
Swedish American Line, 760 Market, No. 260.....	225
Sweeney, J. P., 68 Post, No. 404.....	250
Swett, W. G., 177 Post, No. 701.....	225
Swim, M. N., 704 Market, No. 707.....	180
Swiss Publishing Co., 580 Washington, No. 5.....	225
Sykes, F. C., 2076 Grove.....	400
Sykes, J., 486 California, No. 407.....	150
Sylvia Hat Co., 717 Market, No. 700.....	750
Symmes, Leslie, 351 California, No. 806.....	175
Synthetic Prod. Co., 1515 3d St.....	220
T & L Produce Co., 407 Front.....	100
Taddeucci, A. F., 582 Market, No. 907.....	75
Taddeucci, Alfred E., 625 Market, No. 809.....	100
Tai Canton, 852 Clay.....	75
Tai Hing Chong & Co., 20 Wentworth Pl.....	115
Tai Loy Laundry, 134 Jackson.....	305
Taiyo Market, 1529-A Geary.....	75
Talbot Refining Co., 1447 Carroll Ave.....	425
Talbott, E. J., 704 Market, No. 902.....	400
Tamale Cafe, 246 Leavenworth.....	105
Tamale Shop, 1279 9th Ave.....	240
Tani, M., 1613 Laguna.....	90
Tape, J., & Co., 814 Clay.....	200
Taraval Curtain, etc., 49 West Portal Ave.....	370
Taraval Pharmacy, 1900 Taraval.....	325
Tashinian, Tailor, 1535 Ocean Ave.....	70
Tattenham, Daniel, 235 Montgomery, No. 125-A.....	50
Tavern Bon Gout, 799 Market.....	395
Tax Reduction League, 593 Market, No. 601.....	50
Taxi Lunch, 503 Ellis.....	100
Taylor, H. H., 600 Taylor, No. 412.....	245
Teitsel, G. G., 310 Kearny.....	100
Telequin, M., 878 Rhode Island.....	220
Telephone Answer Co., 210 Post, No. 915.....	70
Teller, C. W., 625 Market, No. 1402.....	180
Terminal Billiards, 45 Sacramento.....	660
Terminal Cleaners, 3392 26th St.....	80
Terminal Freight Lines, 1107 Battery.....	100
Terrace Garden Shop, 14 Tillman Pl.....	180
Tett, Geo. A., 235 Montgomery, No. 760.....	50
Textile Weaving Co., 760 Market, No. 748.....	60
Thatcher, T. A., 3837 Clay.....	1,440
Thackaberry, M. N., 133 8th St.....	240
Thayer, I. E., & Co., 1 Drumm, No. 502.....	280
Thelma's Beauty Shoppe, 581 Eddy.....	180
Thiemann & Johnston, 1001 3d St.....	30
Thirty seventy-nine 16th Club, 3079 16th St.....	300
Thomas, J. J., 99 Magellan Ave.....	310
Thomas, Russel J., 201 Sansome, No. 604.....	120
Thompson, Alch., Inc., 130 Sutter, No. 205.....	500
Thompson, F., 260 California, 2d floor.....	100
Thompson, Llewellyn S., 450 Sutter, No. 2125.....	150



Thompson, N., Furn., 4506 Cabrillo.....	80
Thompson, W. G., 340 Church.....	300
Thomson, L. P., 833 Market, No. 322.....	100
Thornley, E. L., 1101 Bay.....	180
Thrifty Hat Renewers, 1539 Fillmore.....	94
Thrifty Renewing, 1539 Fillmore.....	280
Ticino Cafe, 639 Broadway.....	180
Tiedeman Apparel Shop, 3471 Mission.....	100
Tietjen, C., 255 Precita Ave.....	275
Tiffany Inn, 80 29th St.....	120
Tight, D. J., 126 Miraloma Dr.....	690
Tildes, 1731 Powell.....	150
Time Cleaners, 839 Geary.....	127
Timpe, E., 760 Market, No. 815.....	40
Tip Top Cleaners, 1418 Stockton.....	90
Tip Top Inn, 3001 Mission.....	155
Tip Top Shoe Repair, 1418 Stockton.....	220
Tiscornia, A. A., 1645 Pacific Ave.....	615
Titan Productions, 1040 Geary.....	100
Tobin, E. J., 220 Bush, No. 1509.....	120
Tobin, W., 1014 Potrero Ave.....	150
Toepke, Will H., 74 New Montgomery, No. 537.....	120
Tofanelli, V., 894 Broadway.....	405
Tognoli, Angelo, 1308 Grant Ave.....	100
Tomlinson Furniture, 1355 Market, 8th floor.....	250
Tong Lee Laundry, 943 Howard.....	725
Tooker, G. E., 815 Head.....	360
Torrens, J. S., 2215 Beach.....	360
Toscana Tavern, 100 Texas.....	200
Toschi, E., 673 Union.....	180
Tousey, Frank R., Co., 625 Market, No. 610.....	240
Tower Cafe, 1871 Geary.....	280
Tower, F. B., 315 Montgomery, No. 628.....	50
Townsend Congress Headquarters, 414 Mason.....	50
Town Hall Sandwich Shop, 5310 Geary Blvd.....	150
Toyo Printing Co., 1631-A Post.....	1,800
Tracey, W., 1505 Market.....	210
Trachsler, Frank A., 323 Geary, No. 501.....	150
Tracy, F. C. Lessee, 399 Ocean Ave.....	210
Traffic Service Bureau, 24 California, No. 520.....	55
Trafford Surgical Ap., 660 Mission, 2d floor.....	150
Trail, John E., 1179 Sutter.....	60
Tranchina Prod. Co., 339 Davis.....	100
Transradio Press Service, Inc., 905 Mission, No. 206.....	70
Transit Mixers, Inc., 5 3d St., No. 1124.....	50
Transport Cafe, 1901 Union.....	195
Transport Shaving Parlor, 2809 Gough.....	36
Trau, Eddie, 955 Post.....	125
Trayner, G. B., 235 Montgomery, No. 1661.....	120
Treat & Ogden, 225 Bush, No. 525.....	260
Trevor, Morry, 380 Ellis.....	65
Trieber, M., 560 Funston Ave.....	250
Trimble, S. G., 279 6th Ave.....	350
Triple A Cleaners, 1101 Guerrero.....	150
Tripp, 2532 California.....	100
Tropical Fruit Co., 7 Front, No. F119.....	120
Truman, J. E., 220 Montgomery, No. 1071.....	40
Truscott, Wm., 1001 Franklin.....	120
Tsui Gee Chong Co., 150 Waverly Pl.....	600
Tucker, N. P., 111 Sutter, No. 726.....	150
Tufo, M. A., Jewelry Co., 133 Geary, No. 409.....	1,900
Tully, J. W., 7 Presidio Ave.....	600
Tunnel Poultry Co., 160 West Portal Ave.....	150
Turf Cafe, The, 76 6th St.....	295

Turk Street Tea House, 1420 Turk.....	250
Tuthill, M. E., Furn., 632 3d Ave.....	80
Tux Laboratories, 422 Tehama.....	180
Tuxedo Buffet, 23 California.....	940
Tuxedo Club, 105 Turk.....	275
Tuxedo Floral Co., 1725 O'Farrell.....	97
Tway, J. H., & Sons, 318 Brannan.....	250
Twelve Eleven Cafe, 1211 Van Ness Ave.....	310
Twentieth Century, 81 6th St.....	30
2507 Club, 2507 Folsom.....	190
Twenty-fourth Book Nk., 2859 24th St.....	120
24th Street Garage, 3135 24th St.....	180
Twin Peaks Grocery, 4396 24th St.....	360
Twin Peaks Laundry, 730 Larkin.....	905
Twin Peaks Restaurant, 1302 Castro.....	240
Two Forty-five Mason, 245 Mason.....	250
Two Harps, 2223 Polk.....	250
210 Beauty Shop, 210 Balboa.....	150
Tyler, N. K., 281 Magellan.....	840
Tyler, S., 1525 Sutter, B.....	36
Tyre Bros., Glass & Pt., 1355 Market, 5th floor.....	566
U. S. Vet. Auto Repair, 650 Polk.....	100
Ucovich, M., 276 9th St.....	500
Ultra Beauty Shop, 1086 Sutter.....	150
Ungars Coat Shop, 583 Market, 6th floor.....	250
Unger Bros. Iron & Ml., 356 8th St.....	200
Union Club, 2527 Mission.....	150
Union Garage, 1550 Union.....	575
Union Liquor Store, 708 Howard.....	440
Union Liquor Store, 124 3d St.....	440
Union Manufacturing Co., 2126 Folsom.....	375
Union Meat Market, 753 Columbus Ave.....	150
Union Mortgage & Loan, 157 Sutter.....	400
Union Piano Co., 49 Taylor.....	875
Union Square Club, Inc., 442 Post, 10th floor.....	45
Union Supply Co., 781 Brannan.....	200
Unique Barber Shop, 2970 Mission.....	115
Unique Package Delivery, 772 Post.....	25
United Artists Bty., 133 Geary, No. 602.....	250
United Beverage Co., 488 Bryant.....	4,000
United Cab Co., 1005 Kearny.....	50
United Cleaners, 4134 Geary.....	100
United Cleaning Works, 925 Harrison.....	2,000
United Electric Co., 1190 Bryant.....	700
United Oil Co., 235 Montgomery, No. 1223.....	150
United Poultry Co., 2075 Chestnut.....	850
United Press Assn., 814 Mission, No. 405.....	500
United Products Co., 833 Market, No. 707.....	150
United Royalties, Ltd., 550 Montgomery, No. 901.....	185
United Shoe Repair, 1097 Market.....	325
Universal Merchandise Co., 676 Mission.....	4,750
Universal Auto Rebuilding, 1624 Bush.....	250
Universal Furniture Manufacturing, 1355 Market, 6th floor....	350
Universal Ins. Agency, 939 Stockton.....	250
Universal Sign & Pri., 786 Harrison.....	250
Universal Studio, 988 Market, No. 414.....	250
University Coffee Sp., 2235 Fillmore.....	115
University Tavern, 2225 Fillmore.....	300
Unsworth, A., 265 Eddy.....	185
Up to Date Grocery, 1201 Laguna.....	400
Up to Date Lunch, 532 Valencia.....	175
Used Tire Exchange, 650 Golden Gate Ave.....	200



Valencia Electric Co., 525 Valencia.....	500
Valencia Garage, 915 Valencia.....	600
Valencia Tavern, 1284 Valencia.....	350
Valencia Tire Service, 584 Valencia.....	800
Valente's Club Buffet, 709 Larkin.....	400
Valentine Tailor Co., 690 Market, No. 123.....	100
Valento, P., 2830 Mission.....	200
Valley Ford Creamery, 317 Sanchez.....	450
Vans Mfg. Co., 420 Market, No. M504.....	150
Vanbergen, G., 177 Post, No. 500.....	400
Vanderburgh, W. W., 166 Geary, No. 97.....	500
Vanduyn, O. M., 111 Sutter, No. 832.....	200
Van Ness Garage, 2110 Van Ness Ave.....	200
Van Ness Motors, 234 Van Ness Ave.....	1,250
Variety Signs, 25 McAllister.....	100
Varityper Sales Agency, 116 New Montgomery, No. 737.....	250
Veach, William, 628 Montgomery, No. 320.....	50
Velasco, P., Fu., 2554 California.....	50
Vella Modes Calif., 130 Sutter, 5th floor.....	100
Vendome Beauty Salon, 1813 Polk.....	400
Venezia Cafe, 5731 Mission.....	200
Vergobbi, Carlo, 1145 Folsom.....	600
Veribest Cleaners, 309 Clement.....	100
Vermont Meat Mkt., 2408 24th St.....	325
Verrett, Louis, 426 Pacific.....	200
Veterans' Inn, 189 O'Farrell.....	600
Vicente Barber Shop, 1245 Vicente.....	125
Victor Tru Hold Co., 228 Ellis.....	400
Victor's Market, 2106 Chestnut.....	400
Violet Laverne Shop, 864 Post.....	100
Viriden, August W., 220 Bush, No. 1714.....	150
Virginia Cafe, 1098 Howard.....	300
Virginia Cleaners, 290 O'Farrell.....	200
Viriglio, Albert, 386 Lily.....	60
Vita Seald Products, 2244 Fillmore.....	100
Vitali, Dante, 3223 Mission.....	100
Vitaline Photo Studio, 271 Columbus Ave.....	175
Vogue Shop, The, 760 Market, No. 445.....	150
Vonmeder Foundation, 1319 Market, No. 302.....	400
Wagner & Burnett, 5 3d St., No. 420.....	250
Wah On, 956 Stockton.....	350
Wahrhaftig, Jos., 235 Montgomery, No. 1557.....	150
Wakefield, Chas. P., 3120 17th St.....	750
Wakeford, E. G., 225 Powell, L.....	225
Waldorf Beauty Shop, 786 Sutter.....	650
Walker Cleaners, 201 Brazil.....	175
Walker, W. C., 215 Market, L.....	550
Wall, W. H., 550 Montgomery, No. 405.....	150
Wallace, B. L., 235 Montgomery, No. 1372.....	500
Wallace, Gerald B., 220 Montgomery, No. 375.....	150
Wallace, J. M., 233 Sansome, No. 1007.....	50
Walling, J. L., 166 4th St.....	150
Walsh, Ernia, 472 3d St.....	100
Walsh, M. A., 55 St. Elmo Way.....	400
Walsh, W. A., 1724 Larkin.....	300
Walters, H., Pump, 1580 Pacific.....	150
Walters Surgical Co., 522 Sutter.....	4,000
Walterstein, A., 1658 Scott.....	150
Walton, S., 2662 Vallejo.....	300
Wankowski & Hamilton, 25 Kearny, No. 502.....	500
Ward Eng. Co., Inc., 315 Montgomery, No. 1100.....	6,000
Ward, H. B., 350 Post, No. 611.....	200
Ward Realty Co., 995 Market, No. 1504.....	200

Ward, W. J., 323 Geary, No. 200.....	100
Wardell, J. S., 2830 Broderick.....	500
Washburn, T. S., Lessee, 2300 Bay Shore Blvd.....	100
Washington Cleaning and Dyeing, 1842 Polk.....	175
Washington Laundry, 549 Valencia.....	100
Washington Pharmacy, 1400 Leavenworth.....	1,500
Washington Poultry Co., 447 Washington.....	150
Washington Vegetable Co., 433 Front.....	500
Waskan, W. B., 988 Market, No. 415.....	200
Watkins, J. M., 1302 Divisadero.....	50
Watson, D. C., 582 Market, No. 512.....	300
Watson, M. A., 510 Battery, No. 312.....	500
Watts Wagner Co., 540 McAllister.....	300
Waxman Brothers, 660 Kearny.....	750
Webb Motor Co., 1665 Van Ness Ave.....	1,000
Webb, R. A., 406 Sutter, No. 320.....	200
Weber, F., 1298 Church.....	600
Weber, H. C., 3019 Mission.....	400
Weber, R. A., Bay and Fillmore.....	150
Weber Repair Shop, 16-A Sanchez.....	450
Webster Grove Grocery, 699 Webster.....	300
Weeden, H. A., 878 Great Highway.....	200
Weeks, H. H., 593 Market, No. 910.....	300
Weidinger, J., 269 14th St.....	600
Weinberg Bros., 664 Turk.....	600
Weirick, Dr. H. W., 516 Sutter, No. 920.....	150
Weisler, A. L., 870 Market, No. 907.....	250
Weiss, Baron S., 2439 Sutter.....	125
Weiss Printing Co., 653 Commercial.....	500
Welch, L. A., 155 San Felipe Ave.....	500
Wente, C. F., 537 Marina Blvd.....	650
Wenzel, G. M., 821 Market, No. 226.....	25
Werner, J., Fu., 487 Minna.....	100
Werner's, M., Radio Shop, 207 Clement.....	250
Wesco Machinery Mfg. Co., 530 Bryant.....	750
Wesendunk, P., 236 Clement.....	200
West American Sales Co., 186 Townsend.....	50
West Coast Adjusters, 519 California, No. 623.....	150
West Coast Furniture Mfg., 1355 Market, 6th floor.....	150
West Coast Finance Co., 68 Post, No. 726.....	100
West Coast Jewelry Co., 830 Market, No. 320.....	150
West Coast Radio Co., 2032 Polk.....	400
West Coast Realty Co., 2951 24th St.....	75
West Coast Rug Cleaning, 980 Bryant.....	900
West, Margaret, 1335 Webster.....	350
West, N. R., 110 Sutter, No. 802.....	250
West Paint Co., 3059 Mission.....	900
West Portal Wine, 180 West Portal Ave.....	550
West, R. C., 2007 Sutter.....	500
West States Mineral, 5051 Geary Blvd.....	100
West Venetian Blind, 1355 Market, 2d floor.....	150
Westbay, C., 350 Post, No. 303.....	250
Westby, Alice, 133 Geary, No. 611.....	100
Western Auto Mach. Co., 555 Golden Gate Ave.....	600
Western Art Service, Inc., 130 Bush, 9th floor.....	150
Western Artists Assn., 166 Geary, No. 25.....	100
Western Feature Enterprises, 5 3d St., No. 1304.....	130
Western Hard. & Tool Co., 97 9th St.....	800
Western Mining News, 351 California, No. 417.....	100
Western Terminal Co., Pier 5.....	130
Westrup, C., 4007 24th St.....	500
Weyman, Helen, 1187 Market.....	225
Whall, I., Inc., 609 Sutter, A.....	400
Wheeler Timber Co., 235 Montgomery, No. 940.....	100



Whelan, E. E., 143 Lake.....	35
Whetsel Beauty Salon, 77 O'Farrell, 2d floor.....	500
Whitcomb Hotel Beauty Shop, 1231 Market, M.....	250
White, H. G., 352 Sutter.....	3,000
White, H. L., 90 Cedro Ave.....	400
White, Lucille, Furn., 289 17th Ave.....	150
White, Richard K., 105 Palm Ave., No. 11.....	300
White & White, 550 Montgomery, No. 305.....	300
Whitfield & Co., 258 Ritch.....	200
Whiting, H. H., 582 Market, No. 1201.....	200
Whitnell Studio, 466 Geary, M.....	275
Whitney, C. W., Co., 433 California, No. 505.....	100
Whittier, W., 135-A Taylor.....	100
Wholesale Fruit, & etc., 345 Front, No. 305.....	200
Whyte & Makay Co., 461 Market, No. 213.....	250
Wicks, J. J., 693 Stevenson.....	100
Wide World Photos, 905 Mission.....	250
Wiggins & Co., 489 Golden Gate Ave.....	150
Wilcox, O., 55 New Montgomery, No. 524.....	150
Wilkins, W. J., 461 Market, No. 216.....	150
Willia, Adrian R., 450 Sutter, No. 2125.....	150
Williams, D., 358 Ocean Ave.....	500
Williams Engraving Co., 500 Sansome, No. 307.....	500
Williams, G. R., 30 Santa Monica Way.....	400
Williams, Dr. H., 2444 San Bruno Ave.....	100
Williams, Lloyd, 901 Market.....	650
Williams, T., 439 Pine.....	650
Wilson, C. E., 450 Sutter, No. 2403.....	150
Wilson Drayage, 1294 Shotwell.....	30
Wilson, H. W., 135 Stockton, No. 824.....	250
Wilson, John C., 2524 Broadway.....	400
Wilson, R. A., Co., 564 Market, No. 514.....	100
Window Washing Store, 3127 Buchanan.....	25
Winfield, O., & Sather, 182 4th St.....	300
Wing Kee Laundry Agency, 43 Franklin.....	50
Wintermann, Jean M., 235 Montgomery, No. 858.....	200
Wire Specialty Co., 727 Gough.....	200
Wise, J., 704 Market, No. 401.....	125
Wissler, Max, San Jose and San —.....	150
Wistaria Cleaning Works, 5636 California.....	75
Witter Mineral Springs, 995 Market, No. 1008.....	400
Wo, Sam, 2335 Pine.....	350
Woehl, H. J., 490 Post, No. 429.....	200
Wolf, Sara, 1107 Market.....	100
Wolf & Kilkeary, 544 Market, No. 1003.....	600
Wolongiewicz, Frank, 870 Market, No. 1122.....	250
Wonder Cleaners, 1568 Union.....	150
Woodmansee, F. W., 310 Kearny.....	150
Woods Cleaners, 3579 17th St.....	150
Woolpert, Terry, Inc., & 111 Sutter, No. 1412.....	300
Wooster, C. M., 544 Market, No. 808.....	100
Work, George A., 220 Montgomery, No. 375.....	200
Working Girls Aid Society, 320 Market, No. 236.....	25
Worldwide Theatre Cir., 966 Market, No. 7.....	100
Wrenn, Jos. T., 291 Geary, No. 515.....	300
Wright, C. R., 84 Sotelo Ave.....	500
Wright, Cleveland R., 105 Montgomery, No. 1100.....	100
Wright, Frank, & Associates, 116 New Montgomery, No. 545....	250
Wright, M., 7355 Geary, No. 216.....	250
Wright, Olive, 995 Sutter.....	450
Wright's Dress Shop, 1715 Fillmore.....	300
Wright's Garage, 834 Divisadero.....	300
Wroten, J. A., 1896-A Sutter.....	250
Wunderlich, R. H., 870 Market, No. 566.....	450

Wung Fat Co., 749 Jackson.....	650
Wunner, Emil G., 220 Montgomery, No. 619.....	100
Wylie, Win, 2401 San Bruno Ave.....	300
Wyman, Mrs. Badge J., 1059 Clement, No. 248.....	650
Y M B A, 1874 Pine.....	175
Yacht Harbor Club, 2990 Baker.....	350
Yamate Bros., 510 Battery, No. 341.....	75
Yan Dai Tong Herb Co., 1045 Stockton.....	300
Yarney, The, 2914 Steiner.....	315
Yat Bon Hung, 858 Clay.....	300
Yates, C., 420 Market, No. M403.....	75
Yates, C. M., 582 Market, No. 310.....	400
Ye On & Co., 749 Clay.....	175
Yee Sang Tong Co., 864 Washington.....	800
Yees Allan Chin Laundry, 2052 Polk.....	125
Yeon Woo Assn., 746 Sacramento.....	35
Yeong Woo School, 750 Sacramento.....	35
Yesson, G. M., 90 Golden Gate Ave.....	65
Yet Sun & Co., 54 Wentworth Pl.....	100
Yick, F. H., & Co., 670 Washington.....	100
Yick Keong Bene Assn., 137 Waverly Pl.....	625
Yick Lung, 852 Washington.....	900
Young, Alex, Jr., 140 Geary, 10th floor.....	125
Young, Mrs. B. L., 1405 Octavia.....	375
Young, C. E., 47 Sotelo.....	375
Young, C. E., 450 Sutter, No. 1618.....	250
Young, C. M., 1940 Vallejo, No. 5.....	325
Young, Chin, 952-A Stockton.....	450
Young, E. M., 450 Sutter, No. 1529.....	150
Young, F. E., 2025 Lake.....	250
Young, F. W., 870 Market, No. 1215.....	150
Young, G., 2892 16th St.....	100
Young, Nam, 21 Ross Alley.....	100
Young, R., 515 Market, No. 202.....	125
Young Republic Laundry, 517 Arguello Blvd.....	2,750
Yount, G. B., 323 Geary, No. 307.....	315
Yuna's Fur Shop, 2110 Fillmore.....	375
Zabriskie, M., 2118 Hayes.....	100
Zagoren, W., 1915 Van Ness Ave.....	85
Zane, F. A., 235 Montgomery, No. 640.....	350
Zappelon Cafe, 317 3d St.....	200
Zappettini & Sons, 659 Montgomery.....	125
Zedric Furring Nails, 278 Valencia.....	125
Zelinsky, A., & Sons, Inc., 911 Folsom.....	215
Zenith Cafe, 122 3d St.....	225
Ziegler, H., 833 Market, No. 315.....	100
Zim Mfg. Co., 538 Polk.....	175
Zitellis Haircut Parlor, 564 Larkin.....	100
Zolezzi Meat & Sausage, 83 Clay.....	400
Zukor's, 923 Market.....	13,000
Zurfluh, C. A., 655 Valencia.....	75

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### Clerical Error List Accepted.

On motion by Supervisor Reilly, the Assessor's Clerical Error List was *accepted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.



## List of Reductions in Assessments Granted.

		Lot	Block	Building	Reduced Re-	
					To	duction
4	Orpheum Building Co.	20, 21, 22	351A	350,000	330,000	20,000
7	Gordon Berendsen	12	310	25,000	20,000	5,000
10	Margarite E. Peat and Edith S. McAlpine	17	217	400	300	100
14	Leonore Newhouse	10A	1417	4,300	3,700	600
20	S. R. Parchment	13	600	3,000	2,250	750
25	Lorenzo Toso	11	147	9,000	8,500	500
30	G. Guglielmone and P. Gogna	6	162	4,050	3,550	500
33	Richard and Antionette Lana	21	186	8,500	7,500	1,000
42	Rachel Casner et al.	31	187	900	800	100
43	Anna Brosch	36A	1846	1,100	1,000	100
44	Alfred L. and Edith N. Bacigalupi	2	77	5,500 (2)	4,750	750
45	James Bosch	1	681	3,800	3,200	600
47	Ella M. Friesleben	7	603	3,150	2,950	200
48	Emma C. Duncan	10	947	7,500	7,250	250
49	C. and F. Denu	24	3611	1,850	1,500	350
57	Elizabeth K. Flynn	25A	1619	13,100	12,850	250
63	L. and A. Eden	4A	2831	5,000	4,750	250
67	Ellen C. Guiney	36	6941	1,400	1,300	100
75	H. Ramaciotti	10A	174	7,000	6,500	500
77	A. O. Peters	1	216	16,000	15,500	500
78	Geo. E. and J. T. McCourtney	16D	1157	5,400	5,000	400
81	Dr. John K. Plinez	..	...	1,075	875	200
89	May E. Guilbert	24	1089	1,400	1,200	200
93	Mrs. Bertha Rogers	4	333	2,700	2,500	200
95	A. C. and F. I. Griffith	43	6081	1,500	1,400	100
96	Adele S. Friedlander	12	617	7,200	6,700	500
99	Clara Vandyke	13	1222	3,800	3,500	300
100	Michael and Catherine Donovan	29	3556	3,600	3,300	300
103	A. G. Sala	1	986	7,500	7,000	500
104	John H. Ryan	14	732	5,250	4,750	500
109	Joseph & Mary Magnari	.65/66	2619	*540	520	20
110	Eva Ussher	16	3616	8,600	8,300	300
115	Sophie Johnson	9	3591	2,100	1,900	200
117	Perre & Marie J. Unere Pon.	15	145	6,750	6,400	350
118	H. and M. Bush	7	498	14,900	14,400	500
120	Herman and Theresa Kalisher	23	687	4,000	3,700	300
122	Joseph & Sadie Maurino	16A	1157	5,400	5,000	400
123	Oscar & Mary Buhlinger	16C	1157	5,400	5,000	400
124	Leo P. Mochettaz	48-49	3775	9,100	8,600	500
126	Eastern Hotel Co.	16	664	500	400	100
131	Orves & Mary McArthur	25	3558	1,800	1,300	500
132	Orves & Mary McArthur	24	3558	1,800	1,300	500
139	William Bruce	5	347	34,000	33,000	1,000
144	Ivan T. Budaeff	11	1029	5,400	4,500	900
145	Samuel & Flora Axelrod	.21/22	770	15,000	14,500	500
147	Herbert A. Seller	1B	632	1,800	1,600	200
149	Ernest Rohde	28	97	6,400	6,000	400
153	C. L. Prising	39	1765	2,000	1,800	200
154	Julia C. Bunyan	3J	1626	3,400	3,150	250
165	Tony Arabogdon	35	1454	4,000	3,750	250
168	Wm. A. Lange	24	227	4,000	3,500	500
171	Wm. A. Lange	4	207	30,000	27,500	2,500
176	G. Guglielmone	11	114	4,200	4,000	200

\*Land

		<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Building</i>	<i>Reduced To</i>	<i>Re-duction</i>
177	Belli Indig .....	11	303	4,800	4,500	300
185	Chinese American Citizens' Alliance .....	21	193	22,500	21,500	1,000
188	Elizabeth Green .....	11	1259	*1,440	1,080	360
193	Walter G. Preddey.....	23	1235	5,500	5,000	500
194	Jacob Ozanic .....	7	278	5,700	5,200	500
195	Signe Johnson .....	11A	252	14,500	14,000	500
196	Mary E. Kellogg.....	21	324	35,000	34,000	1,000
197	Mary A. Faustino.....	67/68	3537	1,150	900	250
198	John and Annie Finnigan...	7	6723	2,600	2,400	200
201	Catherine McCarthy .....	13	3717	3,850	3,350	500
203	Chas. P. & Esther J. Cain...	13	986	12,150	11,900	250
204	Esther J. Cain and Mary E. Crowley .....	23	233	3,700	3,200	500
207	John J. Rooney.....	9	4268	1,350	1,150	200
211	Nellie M. Lowry, Agnes Lowry and Isabel Lowry Soule..	9	3714	16,000	15,000	1,000
213	Mary A. Dempsey.....	26	3524	1,600	10	1,590
216	Helen Mayne .....	36	3594	1,250	1,150	100
222	J. Graziano .....	1	5920	2,250	2,150	100
225	Laurel Investment Co.....	28	6276	1,050	800	250
226	Laurel Investment Co.....	106	3609	650	500	150
227	Laurel Investment Co.....	53	3726	2,050	1,650	400
237	Caroline R. Martens.....	26	251	3,000	2,750	250
238	Charles B. & Frieda Pharion	25	1662	14,000	13,500	500
245	Julia O'Leary .....	24/25	661	1,300	1,200	100
256	Geo. F. Quill.....	40	1639	1,600	1,500	100
257	Fred J. & Brongham Morris	20/21	781	4,000	3,800	200
258	Mary E. Tierney.....	6	3774	950	10	940
265	Ruby Thompson .....	7	829	150	10	140
287	John & Helen Mullan.....	95	3758	4,500	500	4,000
						<hr/> \$62,450

\*Land.

Reductions on buildings.....\$62,070  
 Reductions on land.....380

Total reductions .....\$62,450

### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board of Equalization, at the hour of 10:30 p. m., adjourned.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors August 8, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, David A. Barry, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journals of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

DAVID A. BARRY,  
 Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
 City and County of San Francisco.



Monday, July 25, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco







# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JULY 25, 1938, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, July 25, 1938,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Schmidt—3.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

Supervisor Brown was noted present at 2:40 p. m.

Supervisor Meyer was noted present at 3:05 p. m.

Supervisor Schmidt was excused.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of July 18, 1938, was considered read and approved.

## SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

### Sale of Airport Bonds.

Sealed bids for the purchase of certain bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, were received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. this day, and opened by said Board at said time.

The bonds offered are described as follows:

\$305,000 "Airport Bonds, 1938," comprising 31 bonds of \$1,000 denomination, maturing each year 1940 to 1944, inclusive, and 30 bonds of \$1000 denomination, maturing each year 1945 to 1949, inclusive.

The said described bonds will bear interest at a rate or rates not exceeding six per centum per annum, as shall be named by the bidder and bidders for said bonds shall specify in their bids the interest rate or rates desired, not exceeding six per centum per annum. Interest on said bonds will be payable semi-annually July 1 and January 1. Said bonds will not be sold at a price less than the par value thereof, together with accrued interest, at the rate or rates named, on said bonds to date of delivery.

The right is reserved by the Board of Supervisors to reject any and all bids.

The bonds offered are tax exempt, State and Federal.

No alternative bids will be considered by the Board of Supervisors.

All proposals for the purchase of said bonds shall be accompanied by a deposit of five per cent of the amount bid, in lawful money of the United States, or by a deposit of a certified check payable to David A.

Barry, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, for a like amount, provided that no deposit need exceed the sum of \$10,000, and that no deposit need be given by the State of California, which money or check shall be forfeited by the bidder in case he fails to accept and pay for the bonds bid for by him, if his bid is accepted.

The bonds will be awarded to the bidder or bidders offering to purchase the same, bearing the lowest rate or rates of interest, and if two or more bidders offer to purchase the bonds bearing the same lowest rate or rates of interest, the bonds will be awarded to the bidder offering to purchase the same at such rates of interest and in such amounts that the net interest cost to the City and County of San Francisco of the accepted bid will be the lowest net interest cost, considering the amount of interest to be paid on said bonds during the life thereof at the rates specified, and deducting any premium or premiums bid in addition.

The approval of Orrick, Dahlquist, Neff & Herrington, attorneys, San Francisco, as to the legality of these bonds will be furnished to the successful bidder without cost.

### Bids.

The following bids were presented, opened, read and *referred to the Finance Committee*, to-wit:

No. 1—Harris Trust and Savings Bank, Chicago; The Northern Trust Company, Chicago, by Harris Trust and Savings Bank, by Roswell B. Swazey, manager Municipal Department:

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$305,396 and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery, \$305,000 "Airport Bonds, 1938", comprising 31 bonds of \$1,000 denomination, maturing each year 1940 to 1944, inclusive, and 30 bonds of \$1,000 denomination, maturing each year 1945 to 1949, inclusive, all bearing 1½ per cent interest.

No. 2—Union Bank & Trust Co. of Los Angeles, by John M. Bittner, manager of Municipal Department:

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$305,000 being the par value thereof and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery plus a premium of \$11. The bonds to bear interest as follows:

1½%—\$31,000 due Jan. 1, 1940	2%—\$31,000 due Jan. 1, 1941
2%—\$31,000 due Jan. 1, 1942	2%—\$31,000 due Jan. 1, 1943
2%—\$31,000 due Jan. 1, 1944	2%—\$30,000 due Jan. 1, 1945
2%—\$30,000 due Jan. 1, 1946	2%—\$30,000 due Jan. 1, 1947
2%—\$30,000 due Jan. 1, 1948	2%—\$30,000 due Jan. 1, 1949

No. 3—Chemical Bank & Trust Co., Crowell, Weeden & Co., by Crowell, Weeden & Co., by Warren H. Crowell:

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$305,024.40 and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery. For bonds as follows: The first maturing \$185,000, namely: \$31,000 maturing each year January 1, 1940, to January 1, 1944, both inclusive, and \$30,000 maturing January 1, 1945, as 2¼ per cent bonds and the last maturing \$120,000, namely: \$30,000 maturing each year January 1, 1946, to January 1, 1949, both inclusive, as 2 per cent bonds.

No. 4—Security-First National Bank of Los Angeles, by H. B. Cutler, assistant vice-president, manager Municipal Division, Bond Department:

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$305,000, being the par value, and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery plus a premium of \$3,059, all of said bonds to bear interest at the rate of 2 per cent (2%) per annum.

No. 5—Howell, Douglass & Co.; J. R. Hynson & Co.; First of Michigan Corporation, by Howell, Douglass & Co., Syndicate Manager, 317 Montgomery street, San Francisco, John P. Symes:



For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$305,518.50 and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery; all said bonds to bear interest at the rate of one and three-quarters per cent ( $1\frac{3}{4}\%$ ), and to mature in accordance with your notice of sale.

No. 6—The National City Bank of New York; C. F. Childs & Company, Inc.; Mackey Dunn & Company, Inc., by C. F. Childs & Company, by Benj. R. Brindley, assistant vice-president:

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$305,400 and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery, all of such bonds to bear interest at the rate of  $1\frac{3}{4}$  per cent per annum.

No. 7—Brown, Harriman & Co., Inc., by Robert D. Harris:

For all but no part of \$305,000 City and County of San Francisco Airport Bonds, more particularly described as follows: Dated January 1, 1938. In coupon form and in \$1,000 pieces, bearing interest at the rate of  $1\frac{3}{4}$  per cent, maturing without option of prior payment, \$31,000 each January 1, 1940 to 1944, inclusive, and \$30,000 each January 1 1945 to 1949, inclusive, we will pay \$305,000, which is the par value thereof, and accrued interest to date of delivery, together with a premium of \$561.20.

No. 8—R. H. Moulton & Company; Dean Witter & Company, by R. H. Moulton & Company, B. G. Lyon:

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$305,305 and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery. All of said bonds to bear interest at the rate of 2 per centum per annum, as described herein.

No. 9—Lazard, Freres & Co., and Donnellan & Co., Incorporated, by Donnellan & Co., Incorporated, J. F. Donnellan:

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$305,984.49 and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery at the rate of two per cent (2%) per annum.

No. 11—B. B. Robinson & Co., and John Nuveen & Co.:

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$305,107.90 and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery. The \$31,000 par value bonds maturing 1940 to bear interest at the rate of 3 per cent and the \$274,000 par value bonds maturing from 1941 to 1949, inclusive, to bear interest at the rate of  $1\frac{3}{4}$  per cent, plus accrued interest from date of bonds to date of delivery.

No. 10—Halsey, Stuart & Co., Inc.:

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of three hundred five thousand two hundred forty-five and no/100 dollars (\$305,245.00) and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery. All bonds to bear interest at the rate of one and three-quarters per cent ( $1\frac{3}{4}\%$ ) per annum, payable semiannually January 1 and July 1.

No. 12—Lehman Brothers; Phelps, Fenn & Co., by Lehman Bros., Account Managers:

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of three hundred five thousand two hundred forty-four dollars (\$305,244) and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery. \$155,000 par value bonds maturing January 1, 1940 to 1944, both inclusive, to bear interest at the rate of 2 per cent per annum, and \$150,000 par value bonds maturing January 1, 1945 to 1949, both inclusive, to bear interest at the rate of  $1\frac{3}{4}$  per cent per annum.

No. 13—F. S. Moseley & Co.; Brush, Slocumb & Co.; Morse Bros. & Co., Inc.; Gibbons, Newlands & Hess; by Brush, Slocumb & Co., W. S. Stephenson:

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$305,183 and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery. For \$215,000 par value bonds bearing interest at the rate of  $1\frac{3}{4}$  per cent and maturing 1940 to 1946, inclusive. And \$90,000 par value bonds bearing interest at the rate of 2 per cent and maturing 1947 to 1949, inclusive.

No. 14—William R. Staats Co.; Kaiser & Company; Griffith, Wagen-seller & Durst; by W. Leonard Renick, Jr.:

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$306,805 and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery. Said bonds to bear interest at the rate of 2 per cent per annum and maturing as follows: \$31,000 par value each year January 1, 1940 to 1944, inclusive, and \$30,000 par value each year January 1, 1945 to 1949, inclusive.

No. 15—Bankamerica Company; American Trust Company; Blyth & Co., Inc.; R. W. Pressprich & Co.; by Bankamerica Company, by A. K. Brown:

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$305,061 and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery. The bonds above bid for are more particularly described as follows: \$31,000 par value bonds, bearing interest at the rate of four per cent (4%) per annum and maturing January 1, 1940, and \$274,000 par value bonds, bearing interest at the rate of one and three-quarters per cent (1¾%) per annum and maturing: \$31,000 par value on January 1st in each of the years 1941 to 1944, both years inclusive, and \$30,000 par value on January 1st in each of the years 1945 to 1949, both years, inclusive.

No. 16—Mercantile-Commerce Bank and Trust Company, St. Louis; Washburn & Co., Inc., New York, by Robert Ruegg; Mercantile Bank and Trust Company:

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$305,030.50 and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery. Said bonds to bear interest at the rate of one and three-quarters per cent (1¾%) per annum.

No. 17—Smith Barney & Co.; Mitchum, Tully & Co.; by John W. Ogden:

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$305,054.60 and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery. Said bonds to bear interest at the following rates:

\$31,000	January 1, 1940—1¾%	} \$200,000
\$31,000	January 1, 1941—1¾%	
\$31,000	January 1, 1942—1¾%	
\$31,000	January 1, 1943—1¾%	
\$31,000	January 1, 1944—1¾%	
\$31,000	January 1, 1945—1¾%	
\$15,000	January 1, 1946—1¾%	
\$15,000	January 1, 1946—1½%	} \$105,000
\$30,000	January 1, 1947—1½%	
\$30,000	January 1, 1948—1½%	
\$30,000	January 1, 1949—1½%	

No. 18—Weeden & Co., by Vernon E. Kimball:

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$307,057 and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery. To bear interest at the rate of two per cent (2%) per annum.

No. 19—The Anglo California National Bank; Heller, Bruce & Co., by Heller, Bruce & Co., S. H. Baker:

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of three hundred and six thousand three hundred six and no/100 dollars (\$306,306.00) and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery at the rate of two per cent (2%) per annum, payable semiannually.

No. 20—Stern Brothers & Co.; Charles Clark & Co.; City National Bank & Trust Co.; by Charles Clark & Co., E. G. Roodhouse:

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$305,253.15 and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery, at the rate of 2 per cent for bonds maturing 1940 to 1944, inclusive, at the rate of 1¾ per cent for bonds maturing 1945 to 1949, inclusive.

No. 21—Stephenson, Leydecker & Co.; by T. E. Birbeck, vice-presi-



dent, for Shields & Co., New York City; Burr & Company, Inc., New York City; Stephenson, Leydecker & Co., Oakland, Calif.; and Chace, Whiteside & Co., Incorporated, Boston, Mass.:

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$307,067.90 and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery at the rate of 2 per centum per annum, from July 1, 1938. Bonds to mature on January 1 each year as specified in the description on the opposite page.

### Error in Bid No. 17, Low Bid

Subsequently during the proceedings, the City Attorney reported to the Board an error in Bid No. 17, low bid, Smith Barney & Co., Mitchum, Tully & Co., by John W. Ogden, the bid calling for \$1,000 more than were offered for sale, and advised against acceptance of same. The City Attorney further informed the Board that he had consulted Mr. Herrington, attorney, of Orrick, Dahlquist, Neff & Herrington, that because of the error the acceptance of said bid could not be approved.

### Rejection of Low Bid.

Thereupon, on motion by Supervisor Uhl, the bid of Smith Barney & Co.; Mitchum, Tully & Co., by John W. Ogden, was *rejected*.

### Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution, presented by the Finance Committee, was *adopted* by the following vote:

### Sale of \$305,000 Airport Bonds, 1938.

(Code No. 15.051)

Resolution No. 4117, as follows:

Whereas, due notice was given as provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco that sealed proposals for the purchase of certain bonds of said City and County would be received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, July 25, 1938, and opened and considered by said Board at said time:

The bonds offered are described as follows:

\$305,000 "Airport Bonds, 1938", comprising 31 bonds of \$1,000 denomination, maturing each year 1940 to 1944, inclusive, and 30 bonds of \$1,000 denomination, maturing each year 1945 to 1948, inclusive.

Whereas, sundry bids were received and opened in accordance with the aforesaid notice of sale, and the same having been duly considered; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the following bid of Brown, Harriman & Company, Inc., by Robert D. Harris:

"For all but no part of \$305,000 City and County of San Francisco Airport Bonds, more particularly described as follows: Dated January 1, 1938, in coupon form and in \$1,000 pieces, bearing interest at the rate of 1½%, maturing without option of prior payment, \$31,000 each January 1st, 1940 to 1944, inclusive, and \$30,000 each January 1st, 1945 to 1949, inclusive, we will pay \$305,000.00, which is the par value thereof, and accrued interest to date of delivery, together with a premium of \$561.20",

be and the same is hereby accepted and said bonds are hereby struck off and sold to Brown, Harriman & Company, Inc., by Robert D. Harris, in accordance with the foregoing bid.

That all other bids for said bonds be rejected and the Clerk is hereby directed to return certified checks accompanying same.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.**

The following Charter amendment was taken up:

**CHARTER AMENDMENT—TRAFFIC COMMISSION**

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco at the Special Election to be held on the ..... day of ....., 1938, a proposal to add a new section to the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco to be designated as Section 118.1 to read as follows:

**TRAFFIC COMMISSION****Section 118.1**

Subdivision 1. There is hereby established a commission to be known as the Traffic Commission which will consist of five members who shall be appointed to said commission by the Mayor within ten days after this section of the Charter becomes effective. The members of said commission so appointed shall serve without compensation and shall hold office until the 15th day of July, 1942; provided, however, that whenever said commission shall, by resolution, certify to the Mayor that the work of said commission, as set forth in this section, has been completed, the Mayor shall transmit to the Board of Supervisors a copy of said resolution and the Board of Supervisors shall give consideration thereto and if, after investigation, the said Board shall determine that the work of said commission has been completed, it may adopt a resolution to that effect, which said resolution shall be subject to veto by the Mayor, or to passage over his veto, as would any other resolution of the Board of Supervisors. If said resolution should be finally passed and become effective, thereupon the term of office of the several persons appointed to said commission shall cease and determine and said commission shall cease to exist and all unfinished business undertaken by said commission shall, by resolution of the Board of Supervisors, be referred to the appropriate department of the municipal government for consideration and determination. Said commission shall continue in existence and carry out the duties hereby imposed upon it to and until the 15th day of July, 1942, and unless the term of said commission shall be extended by resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors and approved by the Mayor, said commission shall cease to exist on and after the 15th day of July, 1942; provided, however, that the Board of Supervisors may, by ordinance duly adopted, extend the life of said commission and continue its functions for a period of one year on and after July 15, 1942. Upon the dissolution of said commission any and all unfinished business pertaining to the affairs hereby committed to the jurisdiction of said commission shall be, by the Board of Supervisors, referred to the appropriate department for hearing and determination. No person shall be eligible to serve on said commission while holding any other public office or employment.

Subdivision 2. The Traffic Commission shall make a comprehensive survey and study of all phases of traffic, transportation and matters relating thereto for the benefit of the public and relief of general traffic.



ongestion in the City and County and the co-ordination thereof. This shall include, without thereby limiting the generality of the foregoing, the following: general street and highway plan and related matters, street railways, bus and stage service, automobiles, and the regulation of the use thereof in so far as the same is subject to municipal regulation, city and interurban transportation of all kinds, pedestrian traffic, traffic signals and traffic law enforcement.

The Traffic Commission shall prepare and submit without delay to the Board of Supervisors a report or reports covering all its activities, findings, recommendations and detailed plans, specifications and estimates for carrying into effect its findings and recommendations, including such recommendations and legislative measures as will, in its opinion, aid in the consummation of such plan or plans as it may recommend.

It shall be the duty of the Board of Supervisors immediately upon receiving said report or reports and recommendations to refer the same to the appropriate departments for the report and recommendation of said department or departments regarding the same. Said report shall be made by said department or departments to said Board of Supervisors within thirty days after the receipt of the request for said report. Thereupon the Board of Supervisors shall give consideration to said report and may adopt said report and pass such legislation as may tend to carry the same into effect or it may reject said report and adopt such other legislation as may carry out the recommendations of said Traffic Commission. If the report of said Traffic Commission, or the report of any officer or commission considering said report of said Traffic Commission, shall recommend the financing of any plan for any public improvement, or for the acquisition, construction, extension or completion of any public utility, the Board of Supervisors may, by resolution adopted by a two-thirds vote of all of its members, determine that the public interest or necessity demands the acquisition, construction, extension or completion of said municipal improvement or the acquisition, construction, extension or completion of any public utility and may thereupon take the necessary proceedings to submit to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco the matter of the issuance of bonds for the acquisition, construction, extension or completion of said public utility. The failure of any department to submit its report within thirty days, unless said time be extended by the Board of Supervisors, shall be sufficient authority for said Board of Supervisors to proceed with consideration of the report of said Traffic Commission and to take such action thereon as it may deem proper.

Subdivision 3. The Traffic Commission shall have power to employ a chief executive officer and a secretary, neither of whom shall be subject to the civil service provisions of this charter. Said commission may also employ such subordinate engineers, draftsmen, clerks and other employees as it may deem necessary, all of whom shall be subject to the civil service provisions of this charter. Said commission may also contract for a period not exceeding beyond the term of its existence for such consulting, highly skilled and technical services as may be required to carry out the work of said commission. The chief executive officer and the secretary shall not be subject to the retirement or health service provisions of this charter.

Subdivision 4. For the purpose of paying the compensation of its employees and all its other expenses, the Traffic Commission shall prepare and file budget estimates pursuant to the provisions of this charter; provided, however, that neither the Mayor nor the Board of Supervisors shall have the power to decrease or reject any item of the annual budget estimate of the commission so that the total amount of said budget allowance and appropriation shall be less than the sum requested by the commission; provided, however, that in no event shall the budget allowance and appropriation of the commission exceed the equivalent of one cent annually on each one hundred dollar valuation of the property assessed in and subject to taxation by the City and County.

All unused appropriations or unexpended balances in revenue or expense appropriations of the Traffic Commission at the close of any fiscal year shall be carried forward and continue to be subject to the order of the Traffic Commission in the next fiscal year.

Upon conclusion of the term of office of the Traffic Commission and any extension thereof all unencumbered funds of the commission shall be transferred to the general fund of the City and County.

Subdivision 5. All departments and officers of the City and County shall upon request furnish to the commission such information and data as it may require for its work.

Subdivision 6. If any portion of this section of this Charter conflicts with any other section, subsection, subdivision or provision of this Charter, the provisions of this section of this Charter shall prevail.

#### Privilege of the Floor Denied.

Supervisor McSheehy moved the privilege of the floor for any citizen who wished it for the purpose of discussing proposed Charter amendment providing for the creation of a Traffic Commission.

Thereupon, the Chair announced that he would object to hearing any one at this time since all citizens so desiring had been granted the floor at the previous meeting.

Thereupon, Supervisor McSheehy presented the following statement, which he read, and requested that it be printed in full in the Journal:

#### Statement of Supervisor McSheehy Making Certain Recommendations for Solution of Traffic Situation.

San Francisco, California.

July 25, 1938.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors:

Gentlemen: One week ago today I read to you a carefully prepared statement of seven reasons why we should not submit an amendment to Section 118.1 of the Charter, which will have for its purpose the creation of a traffic commission consisting of five (5) members who shall be appointed by the Mayor for a term of three and one-half ( $3\frac{1}{2}$ ) years and who will be authorized to expend about \$262,500.

My statement was criticized by some members of this Board as not offering any plan showing how transportation in our city could be improved. I sometimes wonder if some of my colleagues are going to pursue the same dilatory tactics as regards the transportation problem as the Mayor has taken in his controversy with Mr. Ickes, the Secretary of the Interior, as to the legality of the Raker Act. If so, they might have a seven years' itch instead of a seven years' Ickes, and a great many of our people during the interim will move down the Peninsula or across the Bay.



So that I will not be misunderstood in reference to offering a plan of rapid transit throughout the City of San Francisco, I recommend to you, as one member of this Board, that we purchase the properties of the Market Street Railway at its assessed value of \$5,449,970, which, in my opinion, is its true nuisance value as nearly all of the rolling stock, cars, trackage, poles and various types of equipment will have to be scrapped and sold as junk.

I further recommend that we issue bonds in the amount of \$9,550,000 for the purpose of purchasing some seven hundred and fifty (750) motor buses and various other types of equipment. The details will be submitted by the Public Utilities Commission according to Section 121 of the Charter. The present municipal lines are to remain intact, and they will co-ordinate with the various bus lines so as to give rapid transit to every section of our city.

It is a simple plan that will require a total bond issue of only \$15,000,000; and one that is being carried out by all the large cities in the United States today.

It is a generally accepted fact that bus transportation is superseding electric transportation. So that there is no need of the Mayor of this city shirking his responsibility by creating a commission to expend \$262,500 to report on a problem on which there have been submitted seven (7) times.

I trust, gentlemen, that you will vote down the submission of this charter amendment to the people of San Francisco, and by so doing you will tell the Mayor of this city that we want action and transportation and not the passing of responsibility that is his, to the uninformed citizens of San Francisco.

Supervisor Reilly urged submission of proposed Charter amendment to the people, and read the following statement, which he requested be made part of the record:

**Statement by George R. Reilly Re Report of Citizens' Committee on Rapid Transit.**

During this entire discussion concerning the report of the Citizens' Committee on Rapid Transit, I have listened with interest to the arguments on both sides and have, therefore, arrived at several conclusions:

All parties concerned seem to agree that something must be done to relieve our traffic and transportation problems.

All parties interested deplore the idea of additional delay. Yet, although we agree on these factors, our continued discussion will lead to nothing more than additional delay.

This traffic problem has been delayed now since 1905. Since that time it has been within the power of this Board, working in co-operation with other city officials, to have solved our traffic and transportation problem.

As it has been pointed out here, several reports on traffic and transportation have been made. The investment represented by this totals a staggering amount of money.

Still, in spite of all surveys and reports, it seems to be impossible for this Board to come to an agreement as to what should be done. The fact that I refer to the tremendous delay that has already been had, in no way reflects upon the ability of any of our city officials. As a matter of fact, this city is blessed with engineers and men of great capabilities in our engineering departments.

Yet, despite these capabilities, we, the members of this Board, have been unable to agree that their reports be accepted. To me the entire matter boils down to just this: After all these surveys, despite the capabilities of these men, we members of this Board have been unable to agree to go ahead with any suggestion. We have failed the people of San Francisco and in so doing have cost the people of San Francisco some \$500,000.

If we are to attempt to investigate the reason for the failure of

city officials to have solved this problem long since, we are immediately faced with a fact that the public has been wary, if you will, of the people behind each of the proposals that have been made.

It should be sufficient to note whatever the reason for the delay, the fact is that nothing has been done.

Now comes the opportunity to establish a non-political citizens' commission, which group of people can act without whatever restraint public officials seem to have been forced to accept.

We have the opportunity here and now to take the only other alternative. We have failed. This group of public-spirited citizens have presented to us a plan which has a great deal of merit. It is a long range program of activities and if they are permitted to do so they can put certain parts of the plan into activity so as to be ready for the Exposition in 1939.

I think they deserve to have the opportunity of doing that which we have failed to do.

Throughout the entire discussion on this matter many points have been brought forward which have no connection in the matter. One objection is the fact that the commission will have the power to expend \$262,500 during the next three and a half year period. That is no objection. That is an absolute necessity. To ask a commission to carry out municipal operations without funds is ridiculous and should not be considered as startling.

The fact that several reports have already been made at the cost of \$416,000 should be no objection to the creation of this commission.

The fact that we members of the Board of Supervisors, along with city officials, have expended this money in the past and have nothing to show for it shows it is high time we give this group, who are non-political and who have presented something that seems to have merit, an opportunity to put their recommendations into practice.

To bring into this discussion of traffic and transportation the question as to whether San Francisco has forced the Market Street Railway to live up to its franchise requirements is an attempt to becloud the issue.

For any member of this Board to attempt to transplant the duty of the enforcement of franchise requirements to another city official shows a lack of knowledge.

This Board of Supervisors grants franchises. With that power to grant franchises goes the power to revoke the same. Under the general laws of the State of California certain steps are set out for the enforcement of franchise contracts. Any effort on the part of any official San Francisco group to force the Market Street Railway Company to pave the area between its tracks must emanate from this Board of Supervisors. There is still a legal question as to whether the repealing of a part of Section 498 of the Civil Code of this state did not nullify the section of the franchise agreement whereby the Market Street Railway Company was to have paved the street between its tracks.

If the City and County of San Francisco wishes to force the Market Street Railway to pave between its tracks and two feet on each side, we must instruct our City Attorney to commence proceedings through the Attorney General of this state, not for the purpose of forcing this company to pave the area between its tracks, but rather to ask for revocation of each and every franchise involved.

This duty does not devolve upon the Mayor. It is our duty. And, as I said at the outset, to bring these objections into the controversy before us at this moment is pure and simple subterfuge and an effort to becloud.

One member of this Board suggested that the Market Street Railway should be purchased at its nuisance value. Although the Market Street Railway Company has been assessed at five millions of dollars, it is most presumptuous to attempt to establish that price



as that at which it could be purchased. If this were right, I think it would have been purchased long since.

To attempt to bring before this Board several points purely incidental, if you please, to the main point at issue, our entire traffic and transportation problem seems to me to be an effort on the part of individuals who have personal political ambitions.

The point at issue here is not a Market Street Railway franchise. It is not bus transportation possibilities. It is something far more comprehensive than these. They form a small part of the entire discussion.

Gentlemen, the question now is whether we will give a non-political public spirited group the opportunity to do that which city officials have failed to accomplish.

I personally believe that it is high time to end this abominable delay so that the people of our city will no longer be subject to the inconveniences caused by the inability of eleven members of this Board of Supervisors to agree to let the people themselves make their own decision.

#### Approved for Submission.

After brief additional arguments against submission, made by Supervisors McSheehy and Uhl, and arguments favoring submission to the electors made by Supervisors Brown and Mead, the roll was called and the foregoing proposed Charter amendment was *approved for submission* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon—8.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Uhl—2.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

##### Action Deferred.

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee, heretofore passed for second reading was taken up and due to insufficient votes was *laid over one week*:

**Appropriation of \$17,000 to Be Loaned to the Housing Authority of the City and County of San Francisco for the Purpose of Enabling It to Carry on Its Activities Until January 1, 1939.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1610, Ordinance No. 9.051489, as follows:

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from the Emergency Reserve Fund for the fiscal year 1938-1939, Appropriation No. 802.900.00, the sum of seventeen thousand (\$17,000) dollars to be loaned to the Housing Authority of the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of enabling it to carry on its activities until January 1, 1939.

The Controller is hereby directed to take from said Housing Authority the proper obligation providing for the re-payment of said amount loaned to said Housing Authority.

##### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee, heretofore passed for second reading were taken up:

**Appropriation of \$305,000 From 1938 Airport Bond Fund for Land Purchase and Improvements, San Francisco Airport.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1612, Ordinance No. 9.051491, as follows:

Authorizing an appropriation of \$305,000 out of the 1938 Airport

Bond Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 99,900.00 for the purpose of providing funds to purchase land and make improvements at the San Francisco Airport as provided in Ordinance No. 15.0512 for the period of July 1, 1938, to December 31, 1938.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$305,000 is hereby appropriated out of the 1938 Airport Bond Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 99,900.00 for the purpose of providing funds to purchase land and make improvements at the San Francisco Airport as provided in Ordinance No. 15.0512 for the period of July 1, 1938, to December 31, 1938.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Schmidt—3.

**Supplemental Appropriation of \$10,500 for Creation of Positions  
Necessary for Operation of Newly Completed Playground Units.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1614, Ordinance No. 9.051493, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$10,000 out of surplus existing in Appropriation No. 813.600.09 and \$500 out of surplus existing in Appropriation No. 813.500.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 813.101.00, and creating the positions of two Playground Directors (part-time) at \$75 per month, two Playground Directors at \$145 per month, two Playground Caretakers at \$145 per month and one Gardener at \$145 per month for the operation of new playground units recently completed.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$10,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 813.600.09 and \$500 out of surplus existing in Appropriation No. 813.500.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 813.101.00 to provide for the following additional employments for the operation of new playground units recently completed: 2 R56 Playground Directors (part-time) at \$75 per month (one for Glen Park, one for Marina Junior High); 2 R56 Playground Directors at \$145 per month (one for Crocker Amazon, one for Rossi); 2 J72 Playground Caretakers at \$145 per month (one for Glen Park, one for Francis Scott Key), and 1 O-58 Gardener at \$145 per month for Crocker-Amazon.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Schmidt—3.

**Amendment, Annual Salary Ordinance, Retirement System, to Re-  
fect Changes in Class Titles.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1615, Ordinance No. 9.053131, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 85 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 by deleting the asterisks in Items 2, 3, 5, 6, 7 and 8; by changing the class title in Item 3 to Senior Clerk; by changing the number of employments in Item 7 from 3 to 2, and by adding Item 8½, by deleting explanation of the asterisks.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:



Section 1. Section 85 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

### Section 85. RETIREMENT SYSTEM

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B82	Secretary—Actuary, Ret. System (part time) . . . . .	\$ 450
2	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	190
3	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	200
4	1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	250
5	1	B308	Key Driver Calculating Machine Operator . . . . .	165
6	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	190
7	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	165
8	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	160
8½	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	165
9	1	L360	Physician (part time) . . . . .	300
10	1	N410	Investigator . . . . .	200
11			Medical examiners and medical testimony as needed at fees fixed by Retirement Board . . . . .	
12			Seasonal Clerical Services (as needed).	150
13			Consulting Actuary (as needed), \$50 per day . . . . .	
14		B420	Phonographic Reporter (as needed), at \$12.50 per day plus transcriptions...	
15			Other temporary services as needed at rates not in excess of salary standardization schedules.	

Finally passed by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Schmidt—3.

### Amendment Annual Salary Ordinance, Purchasing Department, to Reflect Change in Class Title.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1616, Ordinance No. 9.053132, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 37 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 by deleting Item 52½, and changing the Class Number and Title in Item 52.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 37 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

### Section 37. PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—INTER-DEPARTMENTAL SERVICE

The following positions are in interdepartmental service and the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
41	2	A156	Patternmaker, \$10 per day.....	
42	5	A364	Car and Auto Painter, \$10 per day....	
43	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	\$ 185
44	1	C152	Watchman . . . . .	170
45	2	C152	Watchman . . . . .	155

**Section 37. PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—INTER-  
DEPARTMENTAL SERVICE (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
46	1	E104	Batteryman-Electrician, \$9 per day....	
48	1	J66	Garageman . . . . .	160
49	3	J66	Garageman, \$6.50 per day.....	
50	1	J67	Vulcanizer, \$7 per day.....	
51	1	M2	General Foreman Machinist.....	300
52	1	M8	General Superintendent of Shops.....	350
53	24	M54	Auto Machinist, \$9 per day.....	
54	1	M60	Auto Fender and Body Worker, \$9 per day . . . . .	
55	4	M107	Blacksmith Finisher, \$7.08 per day....	
56	1	M107	Blacksmith Finisher, \$8 per day.....	
57	5	M108	Blacksmith, \$9 per day.....	
58	1	M154	Boilermaker's Helper, \$7.08 per day..	
59	1	M156	Boilermaker, \$9 per day.....	
60	2	M252	Machinist Helper, \$7.08 per day.....	
61	6	M254	Machinist, \$9 per day.....	
62	1	O1	Chauffeur, \$6.50 per day.....	
63	1	O108	Leatherworker, \$9 per day . . . . .	
64	1	O152	Eng. H. & P. Engines, \$11.40 per day...	

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Schmidt—3.

**Amendment Annual Salary Ordinance, Department of Public  
Works, to Reflect Change in Class Title.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1617, Ordinance No. 9.053133, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 41 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 by  
changing the class title in Item 5.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Fran-  
cisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 41 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 is hereby amended  
to read as follows:

**Section 41. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—  
BUREAU OF ACCOUNTS**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	*7	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 200
2	*1	B222	General Clerk .....	165
3	*1	B222	General Clerk .....	155
4	2	B228	Senior Clerk .....	200
5	1	B31	Supervisor, Bureau of Cost Accounts, Department of Public Works.....	325
6	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	185
7	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	165
8	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	185

\*One position to be retitled when promotive appointment is made under  
new class.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Schmidt—3.



### Supplemental Appropriation of \$7,100 for Land Purchase for Telegraph Hill Park.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1621, Ordinance No. 9.051495, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$7,100 out of the surplus existing in the following accounts: Unappropriated Balance of Reserve for Land Purchases (Park Fund), \$4,030.56; Unappropriated Balance of Reserve for Land Purchases (Miscellaneous), \$1,084.56; and Appropriation No. 91.902.17, \$1,984.88; to the credit of Appropriation No. 812.600.04 for the purchase of land for Telegraph Hill Park and payment of incidental expenses.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$7,100 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the following accounts: Unappropriated Balance of Reserve for Land Purchases (Park Fund), \$4,030.56; Unappropriated Balance of Reserve for Land Purchases (Miscellaneous), \$1,084.56; and Appropriation No. 91.902.17, \$1,984.88; to the credit of Appropriation No. 812.600.04 for the purchase of land for Telegraph Hill Park and payment of incidental expenses.

Finally passed by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Schmidt—3.

### NEW BUSINESS.

#### Adopted.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

#### Refunds of Erroneous Payments of Taxes.

(Code No. 9.059)

Resolution No. 4106, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be paid to the hereinafter named, being refunds of erroneous and duplicate payments of taxes, to-wit:

*From Duplicate Tax Fund—Appropriation No. 905.*

- |  |         |
|--|---------|
| (1) Theo. E. Rulfs, per Vol. 4, Bill 607, Lot 6-9, Block 566, 1st installment (personal property only), fiscal year 1937.....  | \$ 6.20 |
| (2) Bank of America, N. T. & S. A., per Vol. 41, Bill 1551, Lot 48, Block 6752, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937, over-payment .....  | 1.35    |
| (3) Bank of America, N. T. & S. A., per Vol. 41, Bill 1550, Lot 47, both installments, fiscal year 1937.....   | 61.54   |
| (4) Clyde E. Healy, per Vol. 32, Bill 1868, Block 5417, Lots 26/27, 2d installment, fiscal year 1937, \$5.81; per Vol. 32, Bill 1862, Lots 6/10, Block 5417, 2d installment, fiscal year 1937, \$14.52 ..... | 20.33   |
| (5) Mary F. Tierney, per Vol. 25, Bill 2188, Lot 13, Block 3728, \$49.36; per Vol. 5, Bill 466, Lot 23, Block 721, \$194.52; 1st installment, fiscal year 1937.....  | 243.88  |
| (6) B. F. Modglin, per Vol. 21, Bill 428, Lot 18, Block 2980, 2d installment, fiscal year 1937.....  | 61.16   |
| (7) Ida Sanders, per Vol. 2, Bill 532½, Lot 14, Block 184, both installments, fiscal year 1937.....  | 2.81    |

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Schmidt—3.

**Land Purchase—Third Street Widening.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 4107, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco purchase certain lands situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, from the following named parties, or the legal owners, for the sums set forth below, required for the widening of Third street, payable from Appropriation No. 777.931.17:

John H. Harder, portion of Lot 13, Assessor's Block 4172-4187 .....	\$2,671.00
Fred Ayoob, et ux., portion of Lot 5, Assessor's Block 4172-4187 .....	2,152.75
Minnie Ayoob, et vir., portion of Lot 3, Assessor's Block 4044 .....	1,709.50
Henry S. Sunkler, portion of Lot 8, Assessor's Block 4172-4187 .....	1,311.25
Mary Cevasco, et al., portion of Lot 1, Assessor's Block 4172-4187 .....	7,536.00
Pope Estate Company, portion of Lots 5 and 6, Assessor's Block 4315 .....	750.00

The above amounts include damages in full to the improvements now located on said property.

Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above-named parties for particular descriptions of said parcels of land.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Schmidt—3.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Amendment, Annual Salary Ordinance, Section 17, Public Library,  
Retitling Position of Janitor Performing Work of Stationary  
Engineer.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 1633, Ordinance No. 9.053135, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 17 of Ordinance 9.053128 by deleting Item 14, 1, C104, Janitor at \$185, and by inserting in lieu thereof Item 20½, 1, O168, Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines at \$185.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 17 of Ordinance 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 17. PUBLIC LIBRARY**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A154	Carpenter .....	\$ 200
2	1	B72	Secretary, Board of Library Trustees..	250
3	1	B222	General Clerk .....	175



## Section 17. PUBLIC LIBRARY (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
3½	1	B222	General Clerk .....	160
5	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	200
7	1	C52	Elevator Operator .....	110
8	1	C52	Elevator Operator (part time).....	65
8½	1	C101	Dressing Room Maid .....	75
8¾	1	C101	Dressing Room Maid .....	65
10	1	C102	Janitress (part time).....	82.50
12	11		Janitress or Janitor (part time).....	55
13	7		Janitress or Janitor (part time).....	27.50
15	1	C104	Janitor .....	125
15½	1	C152	Watchman .....	125
16	1	C152	Watchman .....	150
17	1	J54	Book Repairer .....	90
18	4	J54	Book Repairer .....	110
19	1	J54	Book Repairer .....	125
20	1	O1	Chauffeur .....	160
20½	1	O168	Engineer, Stationary Steam Engines....	185

## DEPARTMENTAL TITLES

21	7	Branch Librarian .....	175
22	5	Librarian .....	100
22½	4	Librarian .....	120
23	13	Librarian .....	130
24	10	Librarian .....	140
25	4	Librarian .....	150
26	20	Librarian .....	160
27	9	Librarian .....	175
28	1	Chief Cataloger .....	225
29	1	Reference Librarian .....	250
30	1	City Librarian .....	400
30½	11	Library Assistants .....	85
31	67	Substitutes, 50c per hour.....	
32	37	Pages, 30c per hour.....	
33	1	Station Keeper (part time) .....	50
34	4	Station Keepers (part time) .....	15

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Schmidt—3.

**Amendment, Annual Salary Ordinance, Section 70, Public Utilities  
Commission, San Francisco Airport—Airport Attendants and  
Junior Control Tower Operator.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1634, Ordinance No. 9.053136, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 70 of Ordinance 9.053128 by re-  
ducing the number of items in Item 4 from 4 to 3 F51 Airport At-  
tendant at \$150.00; by deleting Item 7½—2 F53½ Junior Control Tower  
Operators at \$145.00; and by inserting the following employments in  
lieu of the above: Item 5—2 F51 Airport Attendants at \$145.00, and  
Item 7½—1 F53½ Junior Control Tower Operator at \$150.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San  
Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 70 of Ordinance 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 70. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO AIRPORT**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	\$ 155
2	3	C104	Janitor .....	125
3	1	F50	Maintenance Chief .....	155
4	3	F51	Airport Attendant .....	150
5	2	F51	Airport Attendant .....	145
6	3	F52	Crew Chief, Airport .....	160
7	4	F53	Control Tower Operator, Airport .....	165
7½	1	F53½	Junior Control Tower Operator.....	150
8	1	F54	Meteorologist .....	200
9	1	F60	Assistant Superintendent .....	225
10	1	F62	Superintendent .....	500
11			Seasonal, Clerical and other temporary Services as needed at rates not in excess of Salary Standardization Schedules.	

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Schmidt—3.

**Amendment Annual Salary Ordinance, Section 83, Board of Education Non-Certificated Employees, 1938-1939.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1635, Ordinance No. 9.053137, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 83 of Ordinance 9.053128 by increasing the number of employments under Item 224 from 50 to 51 B408 General Clerk-Stenographer at \$175; by increasing the number of employments under Item 226 from 7 to 8 B408 General Clerk-Stenographer at \$160; by reducing the number of employments under Item 242 from 6 to 5 B512 General Clerk-Typist at \$175; and by reducing the number of employments under Item 243 from 2 to 1 B512 General Clerk-Typist at \$160; by deleting Item 263, and by changing the number of employments under Item 262 from 3 to 4 J78 Stockman at \$160.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 83 of Ordinance 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 83. BOARD OF EDUCATION—NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES, 1938-1939**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
200	1	A6	Superintendent of Maintenance and Repairs .....	\$ 375
201	3	A154	Carpenter at \$10 per day .....	
202	1	A162	Foreman Carpenter at \$11 per day ....	
203	3	A354	Painter at \$9.75 per day .....	
204	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	235
205		B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	190
206	1	B9	Supervisor of Financial Reports, Board of Education .....	200
207	1	B14	Senior Accountant .....	375
208		B14	Senior Accountant .....	275



Section 83. BOARD OF EDUCATION—  
 NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES, 1938-1939  
 (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
209	1	B58	Secretary Board of Education (exempt)	425
210	1	B180	Administrative Assistant .....	300
211	1	B210	Office Assistant .....	100
212	1	B210	Office Assistant .....	75
213	1	B222	General Clerk .....	155
214	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
215	1	B222	General Clerk .....	175
216	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	200
217	2	B308	Key Drive Calculating Machine Operator	175
218	1	B308	Key Drive Calculating Machine Operator	150
219		B308	Key Drive Calculating Machine Opera- tors as needed at \$5 per day.....	
220	1	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator.....	165
221	1	B354	General Storekeeper .....	230
222	1	B380	Armorer R. O. T. C. (part time) .....	75
223	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	215
224	51	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
225	9	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	170
226	8	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	160
227	4	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	150
228	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	140
229	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at \$4.20 per eve. ....	
230	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at \$3.30 per eve. ....	
231	7	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at \$3.00 per eve. ....	
232	26	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer at \$6.00 per day .....	
233	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	215
234	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
235	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
236	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	180
237	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	175
238	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
239		B454	Telephone Operator (part time) for re- lief when needed at \$2 per day .....	
240	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	215
241	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	190
242	5	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
243	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	160
244	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	140
245	96	C102	Janitress .....	140
246	4	C102	Janitress .....	130
247	129	C104	Janitor .....	155
248	34	C104	Janitor .....	145
249	1	C104	Janitor (part time) .....	16
250	1	C104	Janitor (part time) .....	10
251	28	C105	Special Janitor .....	162.50
252	2	C105	Special Janitor .....	152.50
253	5	C107	Working Foreman Janitor .....	185
254	11	C107	Working Foreman Janitor .....	170
255	1	C107	Working Foreman Janitor .....	160
256	1	C112	Supervisor School Janitors .....	275
257	1	I12	Cook .....	140
258	1	I12	Cook .....	130
259	1	I12	Cook .....	75
260	2	I2	Kitchen Helper .....	75

**Section 83. BOARD OF EDUCATION—  
NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES, 1938-1939  
(Continued)**

Item	No. of No. Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
261	11	J78	Stockman . . . . .	200
262	4	J78	Stockman . . . . .	160
264	1	J80	Foreman Stockman . . . . .	210
265	1	O2	Chauffeur . . . . .	215
266	1	O104	Moving Picture Operator . . . . .	200
267	2	O122	Window Shade Worker . . . . .	200
268	12	O168	Engineers Stationary Steam Engines ..	220
269		O168	Engineers Stationary Steam Engines (part time; relief) . . . . .	125
270		O168	Engineers, Stationary Steam Engines, \$3 per evening as required . . . . .	
271	1	O172	Chief Engineer Stationary Steam En- gines . . . . .	310
272	1	O61	Foreman Gardener . . . . .	200
273	7	O58	Gardeners . . . . .	145
274	2	O58	Gardeners . . . . .	135
275			Referees and Umpires, \$1 to \$3 per game as needed.	
276			Temporary clerical employment and other help as needed at rates not in excess of salary standardization schedules.	
277			Temporary evening school clerks as needed at \$3.00 per evening.	

**TRUCK RENTAL—CONTRACTUAL**

278	Trucks over 2,500 lbs. and not over 4,500 lbs. at rate of \$265.00 per month for not more than 23 days per month.
-----	---

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Schmidt—3.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were  
taken up:

**Authorizing Temporary Transfer of Funds by Treasurer, Pending  
Receipt of First Installment of Taxes.**

(Code No. 9.052)

Resolution No. 4108, as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to the provisions of Section 31 of Article IV of the Constitution of the State of California, the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco be and he is hereby authorized and directed to make, after the 1st day of July, 1938, such temporary transfers from funds in his custody as may be necessary for meeting the obligations incurred for the maintenance of the City and County functions of said City and County of San Francisco, from the 1st day of July, 1938, until the first installment of taxes for the fiscal year 1938-1939 is collected, or is delinquent; that such temporary transfer of said funds shall not exceed 85 per cent of the first installment of taxes to accrue to the City and County for said fiscal year, and said sums so transferred shall be replaced to the funds from which the same were transferred on or before December 31, 1938,



and before any other obligation of the said City and County is met from such taxes.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Schmidt—3.

**Authorizing Acquisition of Certain Land for San Francisco Airport  
By Condemnation Proceedings.**

(Code No. 6.0211)

Also, Resolution No. 4109, as follows:

Resolved, That public interest requires the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following described real property situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California:

*Parcel 1:* All that portion of Swamp and Overflowed Land Survey No. 110 lying in the Southeast quarter of the Northeast quarter of Section 3 and in the Southwest quarter of the Northwest quarter of Section 2, and lying East of a line parallel to and distant at right angles Northeasterly 62.5 feet from the center line of the Bay Shore Highway as said highway now exists; said Swamp and Overflowed Land Survey No. 110 being as surveyed September 12, 1868, for M. J. McDonald by A. S. Easton, County Surveyor of San Mateo County, and recorded at page 122 in Volume entitled "School—Swamp Lands Surveys—San Mateo County," San Mateo County records, and said Sections 2 and 3 being in Township 4 South, Range 5 West, Mount Diablo Base and Meridian, and said parcel being more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the North line of the Southwest quarter of the Northwest quarter of Section 2, Township 4 South, Range 5 West, Mount Diablo Base and Meridian, distant thereon West 282.480 feet from the Northeast corner of said quarter-quarter section; thence West 1345.504 feet to a point on a line parallel to and distant at right angles Northeasterly 62.5 feet from the center line of the Bay Shore Highway as said highway now exists; thence along said line South 23 degrees 14 minutes 16 seconds East 1436.539 feet to a point on the South line of said quarter-quarter section; thence East 1266.425 feet; thence North 12 degrees 00 minutes 00 seconds West 541.135 feet; thence North 65 degrees 00 minutes 00 seconds West 924.000 feet; thence North 311.520 feet; thence East 373.560 feet; thence North 45 degrees 00 minutes 00 seconds East 125.400 feet to the point of beginning.

Containing 33.6419 acres.

*Parcel 2:* All that portion of the Northwest quarter of Section 2, Township 4 South, Range 5 West, Mount Diablo Base and Meridian, lying East of Swamp and Overflowed Land Surveys Nos. 57 and 110 and West of Tide Land Survey No. 12; said Swamp and Overflowed Land Survey No. 57 being as surveyed October 12, 1861, for C. M. Hitchcock by A. S. Easton, County Surveyor of San Mateo County, and recorded at page 60 in Volume entitled "School—Swamp Lands Surveys, San Mateo County," San Mateo County Records; said Swamp and Overflowed Land Survey No. 110 being as surveyed September 12, 1868, for M. J. McDonald by A. S. Easton, County Surveyor of San Mateo County, and recorded at page 122 in Volume entitled "School—Swamp Lands Surveys, San Mateo County," San Mateo County records; said Tide Land Survey No. 12 being as surveyed March 23, 1868, for A. H. Pearson by A. S. Easton, County Surveyor of San Mateo County, and recorded at page 169 in Volume entitled "Tide Land Surveys 1868-1878," San Mateo County records; and said parcel being more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at the Northeast corner of the Southwest quarter of the Northwest quarter of Section 2, Township 4 South, Range 5 West, Mount Diablo Base and Meridian; thence West 282.480 feet; thence South 45 degrees 00 minutes 00 seconds West 125.400 feet; thence West 373.560 feet; thence South 311.520 feet; thence South 65 degrees 00 minutes 00 seconds East 924.000 feet; thence South 12 degrees 00 minutes 00 seconds East 541.135 feet; thence East 0.038 foot; thence North 6 degrees 01 minutes 21 seconds West 1327.326 feet; thence North 19 degrees 17 minutes 24 seconds West 699.258 feet; thence South 14 degrees 02 minutes 10 seconds East 680.312 feet to the point of beginning.

Containing 11.7134 acres.

Be It Further Resolved, That said lands are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the San Francisco Airport. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcels of land and any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Schmidt—3.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

#### Authorizing Sale of Fire Department Lot on Wisconsin Street.

(Code No. 12.1726)

Bill No. 1636, Ordinance No. 12.17267, as follows:

Authorizing sale of Fire Department lot on Wisconsin street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Fire Department, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City-owned land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Wisconsin street, as said line existed immediately prior to the closing of a portion of Wisconsin street by Resolution No. 32809 (New Series), adopted by this Board on July 15, 1930, distant thereon 17.5 feet southerly from the southerly line of Twenty-sixth street; running thence southerly along said easterly line of Wisconsin street, 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 80 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 80 feet to said easterly line of Wisconsin street and the point of commencement.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Schmidt—3.



**Authorizing Supplemental Appropriation of \$3,520 Out of Surplus Existing in Appropriation No. 842.101.00 to the Credit of Appropriation No. 833.101.00, for the Purpose of Accommodating Transfer of Two Garagemen From the Department of Public Works, Street Cleaning Division, to the Purchaser of Supplies.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1637, Ordinance No. 9.051498, as follows:

Authorizing supplemental appropriation of thirty-five hundred and twenty (\$3,520) dollars out of surplus existing in Appropriation No. 842.101.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 833.101.00, for the purpose of accommodating transfer of two garagemen from the Department of Public Works, Street Cleaning Division, to the Purchaser of Supplies.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of thirty-five hundred and twenty (\$3,520) dollars is hereby appropriated and set aside out of surplus existing in Appropriation No. 842.101.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 833.101.00, for the purpose of accommodating transfer of two garagemen from the Department of Public Works, Street Cleaning Division, to the Purchaser of Supplies.

Recommended by S. J. Hester, Department of Public Works.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Schmidt—3.

### **Authorizing Sale of Land on Highland Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1722)

Also, Bill No. 1638, Ordinance No. 12.172215, as follows:

Authorizing sale of land on Highland avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Education, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Block No. 2, as per map of Holly Park Tract, recorded July 5, 1883, in Book 1 of Maps at pages 169 and 170, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco, excepting the following described portion thereof:

Commencing at the most southerly corner of said Block No. 2, running thence northeasterly along the westerly line of Holly Park Circle 89 feet 4 inches to the most easterly corner of said Block No. 2; thence northwesterly along the northeasterly line of said Block No. 2, 58 feet; thence at right angles southwesterly 57 feet; thence deflecting 23 degrees to the left and running southwesterly 56 feet, more or less, to the northeasterly line of Highland avenue (formerly Plymouth avenue); thence southeasterly along last-named line, 52 feet 6 inches, more or less, to the point of commencement.

Section 2. The above described land shall be sold in accordance with

the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco. The property may be sold as a whole or subdivided.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Schmidt—3.

### **Authorizing Sale of Certain School Lands.**

(Code No. 12.1722)

Also, Bill No. 1639, Ordinance No. 12.172216, as follows:

#### **Authorizing Sale of Certain School Lands.**

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Education, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

*Parcel 1:* Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Eighteenth avenue, distant thereon 225 feet southerly from the southerly line of Ortega street; running thence southerly along the westerly line of Eighteenth avenue, 150 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 150 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 100 feet to the point of commencement.

*Parcel 2:* Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Eighteenth avenue, distant thereon 225 feet southerly from the southerly line of Quintara street; running thence southerly along the westerly line of Eighteenth avenue, 100 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 100 feet to the point of commencement.

*Parcel 3:* Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Twenty-fifth avenue, distant thereon 225 feet southerly from the southerly line of Santiago street; running thence southerly along the easterly line of Twenty-fifth avenue, 150 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 110 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 150 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 110 feet to the point of commencement.

*Parcel 4:* Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue, distant thereon 225 feet southerly from the southerly line of Moraga street; running thence southerly along the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue, 150 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 240 feet to a point on the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue; thence at a right angle northerly along last named line 150 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 240 feet to the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue and the point of commencement.

*Parcel 5:* Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue, distant thereon 225 feet southerly from the southerly line of Quintara street; running thence southerly along the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue, 150 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 240 feet to a point on the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue; thence at a right angle northerly along last named line, 150 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 240 feet to the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue and the point of commencement.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco. Said parcels may be sold individually or subdivided.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Schmidt—3.



**Authorizing Sale of Certain School Lands.**

(Code No. 12.1722)

Also, Bill No. 1640, Ordinance No. 12.172217, as follows:

**Authorizing Sale of Certain School Lands.**

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Education, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

*Parcel 1:* Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Kansas street, distant thereon 141.5 feet northerly from the northerly line of Army street; running thence northerly along the easterly line of Kansas street, 150 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 200 feet to a point on the westerly line of Rhode Island street; thence at a right angle southerly along last named line, 150 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 200 feet to the easterly line of Kansas street and the point of commencement.

*Parcel 2:* Commencing at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Quintara street with the westerly line of Eighteenth avenue; running thence southerly along the westerly line of Eighteenth avenue, 225 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 100 feet to the true point of beginning; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 20 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 90 feet to a point on the proposed new easterly line of Nineteenth avenue; thence at a right angle northerly along last named line 150 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 110 feet to the true point of beginning.

*Parcel 3:* Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, distant thereon 225 feet southerly from the southerly line of Geary street; running thence southerly along the westerly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, 150 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 150 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Twenty-fourth avenue and the point of commencement.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco. Said parcels may be sold individually or subdivided.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Schmidt—3.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Approval of Public Welfare Department Pension Recommendations for the Month of August, 1938.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Resolution No. 4110, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Public Welfare Department containing the names and amounts to be paid as Old Age Security Aid, Blind Pensions and Widows' Pensions for the month of August, 1938 (and prior thereto), including amounts, decreases, cancellations and denials and other transactions, are hereby approved and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is directed to transmit this approval to the Controller; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors declares an extension of time is necessary in certain cases as requested by the Public Welfare Department.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-vieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Schmidt—3.

#### **Land Purchase—Nineteenth Avenue Widening.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 4112, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Tide Water Associated Oil Company, a California corporation, or the legal owner, the easterly 30 feet of Lots 1, 1A, 1B, 1C, 2 and 3, Assessor's Block 1731, San Francisco, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, and that the sum of \$17,250 be paid for said property, \$5,569 from Appropriation No. 951.908.57, Project No. 5A, and \$11,681 from the money on deposit with the County Clerk, Superior Court Case No. 281095.

It is understood and agreed that the improvements located on Lots 47 and 48, of the above-mentioned block adjacent to the above said lots shall become the property of the City and County of San Francisco and shall be removed therefrom.

The above sum includes damages in full to the improvements now located on the property, said improvements to be relocated by the grantor within thirty days after recording the deed to the City.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above-named owner for a particular description of said property.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-vieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Schmidt—3.

#### **Land Purchase—Nineteenth Avenue Widening.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 4113, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Pacific States Savings and Loan Company, a California corporation, or the legal owner, portion of Lot 1, Assessor's Block 1774, San Francisco, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, and that the sum of \$18,000 be paid for said property, \$4,947 from Appropriation No. 951.908.57, Project No. 5A, and \$13,053 from the money on deposit with the County Clerk, Superior Court Case No. 281095.

The above sum includes damages in full to the improvements now located on the property, said improvements to be relocated by the grantor.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above-named owner for a particular description of said property.



The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Schmidt—3.

**Amending Resolution 3941, Wage Scales for Private Employment on Public Contract.**

(Code No. 9.092)

Also, Resolution No. 4115, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with recommendation of the Civil Service Commission, Resolution No. 3941, establishing the highest general rate of wages paid in private employment to various crafts in the City and County of San Francisco, be and it is hereby amended by the elimination from Section 1 thereof, Building and Construction Trades, the following item:

Concrete laborers, \$0.79; time and one-half first 4 hours, after 8 hours; double thereafter.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Schmidt—3.

**Confirming Lease of Crystal Springs Golf Course to Thos. S. Hutton.**

(Code No. 12.1735)

The following matter was taken up:

Resolution No. 4096, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.173522, Bill No. 797, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that sealed bids would be received by him on July 1, 1938, for leasing 172.5 acres of San Francisco Water Department land situated in San Mateo County, California, known as the Crystal Springs Golf Course, more particularly described in said ordinance; and

Whereas, in response to said advertisement Thos. S. Hutton offered to lease said property for a period of ten years at a total rental of \$75,000; and

Whereas, Thos. S. Hutton has paid the sum of \$500 to the Director of Property as a deposit in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, no higher bids were made or received and the Public Utilities Commission has recommended that said property be leased upon the above mentioned terms; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute the necessary lease to Thos. S. Hutton or his assignee.

**Motion to Postpone.**

Motion by Supervisor Uhl to postpone for one week and make a Special Order of Business for 2 p. m., Monday, August 1, 1938, *failed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Uhl—2.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Absent—Supervisors Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt—3.

**Adopted.**

Thereupon, the foregoing resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of the Streets Committee were taken up:

**Ordering the Improvement of Athens Street Between Excelsior Avenue and Avalon Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Bill No. 1625, Ordinance No. 12.061199, as follows:

Ordering the Performance of Certain Street Work to Be Done in the City and County of San Francisco, Approving and Adopting Specifications Therefor, Describing and Approving the Assessment District, and Authorizing the Director of Public Works to Enter Into Contract for Doing the Same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 6, 1938, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Athens street between Excelsior avenue and Avalon avenue and of the crossing of Athens street and Excelsior avenue by grading to official line and grade and by the construction of the following:

Item No.

Item

1. Grading (excavation).
2. Grading (embankment).
3. Unarmored concrete curb.
4. Asphalt concrete pavement consisting of a 6-inch Class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.
5. 6-inch Class "E" concrete pavement.
6. Brick catch basins, complete.
7. 10-inch V. C. P. culvert, in place.
8. 8-inch V. C. P. sewer, in place.
9. 6-inch V. C. P. side sewers, in place.
10. Two-course concrete sidewalk.
11. Water services.



The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as:

Lots 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 21A, 21B, 22, 23, 24, 24A and 25 of Block 6005;

Lots 1, 1A, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 41, 41A and 41B of Block 6006;

Lots 1, 1A, 1B, 1C, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11 of Block 6021.

Lots 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30 and 31 of Block 6022;

All being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceeding for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-vieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Schmidt—3.

**Repealing Ordinance No. 12.061193, Ordering the Improvement of  
Wherenots on Brewster Street and at Other Locations.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 1626, Ordinance No. 12.0611100, as follows:

Repealing Bill No. 1516, Ordinance No. 12.061193, "Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same," approved February 23, 1938, ordering the improvement of wherenots on Brewster street between Costa street and Faith street and at other locations.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill No. 1516, Ordinance No. 12.061193, the title of which is recited above, ordering the improvement of wherenots on Brewster street between Costa street and Faith street and at other locations, is hereby repealed.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-vieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Schmidt—3.

**Changing Grades on Burnham Street and Twenty-fourth Street.**

(Code No. 12.0722)

Also, Bill No. 1627, Ordinance No. 12.072238, as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Burnham street between Elizabeth street and Twenty-fifth street and on Twenty-fourth street between the easterly line of Burnham street and Market street.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 31st day of May, 1938, by Resolution No. 3988, declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Burnham street between Elizabeth street and Twenty-fifth street and on Twenty-fourth street between the easterly line of Burnham street and Market street.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for two days, and the Director of Public Works, within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention, caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than thirty days have elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as herein-after stated, are hereby changed and established in accordance with that certain diagram entitled "Grade Map Showing the Proposed Change and Establishment of Grades on Burnham street between Elizabeth and Twenty-fifth Street and on Twenty-fourth Street between the Easterly Line of Burnham Street and Market Street," approved by the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 3988, May 31, 1938.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-vieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Schmidt—3.

#### **Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Streets Committee were taken up:

#### **Reopening Appleton Avenue between Patton Street and Holly Park Circle and Declaring Same an Open Public Street.**

(Code No. 12.063)

Resolution No. 4102, as follows:

Whereas, Appleton avenue between Patton street and Holly Park Circle was closed by Resolution No. 35,089 (New Series), at the request of the Board of Education, to be used for school purposes in conjunction with the abutting City property to the south; and

Whereas, the plan to use said property for school purposes has been abandoned, and it is intended to sell said City property abutting the southerly side of said street; therefore, be it

Resolved, That Appleton avenue between Patton street and Holly Park circle, closed by Resolution No. 35,089 (New Series), be reopened and is hereby reopened and declared to be an open public street to be known as Appleton avenue.

Further Resolved, That Resolution No. 35,089 (New Series), adopted by the Board of Supervisors, September 21, 1931, be and the same is hereby rescinded.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-vieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Schmidt—3.

#### **Confirming Sale of City Land on Alemany Boulevard to Stidney D. Magee, et ux.**

(Code No. 12.1721)

Also, Resolution No. 4103, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.17211, Bill No. 94, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids would be received by him on June 14, 1938, for the sale of the following described City-owned land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, which land is described as Parcel 1 in said ordinance:

Commencing at the southeasterly corner of Lot 210, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, Records of the City and County of San Francisco, said southeasterly corner being distant 100.00 feet at right angles southeasterly



from the southeasterly line of Cayuga avenue, and distant 0.250 of a foot at right angles northeasterly from the southwesterly line of Lamartine street produced southeasterly, and running thence southeasterly along the northeasterly line of said Lot 210 produced southeasterly, 9.645 feet; thence southwesterly, along the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to a line deflected 80 degrees 46 minutes 30 seconds to the right from the preceding course, radius 1050.00 feet, central angle 1 degree 23 minutes 06 seconds, a distance of 25.381 feet to the southeasterly prolongation of the southwesterly line of said Lot 210; thence deflecting 100 degrees 36 minutes 36 seconds to the right from the tangent to the preceding curve and running northwesterly along said southeasterly prolongation 14.005 feet to the southeasterly line of said Lot 210; thence at right angles northeasterly along said last mentioned southeasterly line, 25.00 feet to the point of commencement.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, Stidney D. Magee and Marie A. Magee, his wife, offered to purchase said land for the sum of \$250 cash, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, said sum of \$250 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being \$250; and

Whereas, Stidney D. Magee and Marie A. Magee, his wife, have paid the sum of \$50 to the Director of Property as a deposit in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, the Department of Public Works has recommended the sale of said land; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed for the conveyance of said land to Stidney D. Magee and Marie A. Magee, or their assignee.

The buyers shall pay the balance of the purchase price within thirty days after approval of this resolution.

The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the grantees upon receipt of the balance of the purchase price.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Schmidt—3.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up:

**Authorizing Sale of City-owned Land Adjoining Castro-Divisadero Divisional Highway.**

(Code No. 12.1721)

Bill No. 1628, Ordinance No. 12.172110, as follows:

Authorizing Sale of City-owned Land Adjoining Castro-Divisadero Divisional Highway.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

*Parcel 1:* Beginning at the intersection of the northerly line of Duboce avenue with the southwesterly line of Castro street as last

named line is shown on "Map Showing Opening of Castro Street," recorded January 31, 1938, in Map Book N, at page 49, Official Records of San Francisco, California; thence running northwesterly along said line of Castro street on the arc of a curve to the left tangent to a line deflected 107 degrees 05 minutes 10 seconds to the left from the said line of Duboce avenue, radius 1364 feet, central angle 3 degrees 36 minutes 23 seconds, a distance of 85.855 feet to the easterly line of the property now or formerly owned by Curtis C. Cloer and Harriet N. Cloer; thence deflecting 164 degrees 04 minutes 03 seconds to the left from a line tangent to the preceding curve and running southerly along last named line and the easterly line of the property now or formerly owned by Sadie Wiesenhutter, 80.967 feet to the northerly line of Duboce avenue; thence deflecting 85 degrees 14 minutes 24 seconds to the left and running easterly along said line of Duboce avenue 21.116 feet to the aforesaid southwesterly line of Castro street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 441.

*Parcel 2:* Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Duboce avenue, distant thereon 219 feet 6 inches from the easterly line of Divisadero street, said point being on the westerly line of the property now or formerly owned by Thomas P. Dorris; thence deflecting 94 degrees 45 minutes 36 seconds to the left from the said line of Duboce avenue, and running northerly along last named property line 115.104 feet to the southerly line of the property now or formerly owned by Ethel J. Hart and Elsie M. Waller; thence at right angles westerly along last named line and the westerly prolongation thereof 28.495 feet to the northeasterly line of Castro street as said line of Castro street is shown on "Map of Opening of Castro Street," recorded January 31, 1938, in Map Book N, at page 49, Official Records of San Francisco, California; thence running southeasterly along said line of Castro street on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to a line deflected 106 degrees 09 minutes 30 seconds to the left from the preceding course, radius 1440 feet, central angle 4 degrees 45 minutes 34 seconds, a distance of 119.618 feet to the northerly line of Duboce avenue and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 441.

*Parcel 3:* Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Lloyd street, distant thereon and on the westerly prolongation thereof 193.75 feet easterly from the easterly line of Divisadero street, said point being on the easterly line of the property now or formerly owned by Ethel J. Hart and Elsie M. Waller; thence at right angles southerly from said line of Lloyd street, along last named property line, 100 feet to the northerly line of the property conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco by deed recorded June 15, 1936, in Volume 2976, Official Records at page 52; thence at right angles westerly along last named line 3.495 feet to the northeasterly line of Castro street as said line is shown on the "Map Showing Opening of Castro Street," recorded January 31, 1938, in Map Book N, at page 49, Official Records; thence running northwesterly along said northeasterly line on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to a line deflected 73 degrees 50 minutes 30 seconds to the right from the preceding course, radius 1440 feet, central angle 4 degrees 11 minutes 26 seconds, a distance of 105.320 feet to the aforesaid southerly line of Lloyd street; thence deflecting 110 degrees 20 minutes 56 seconds to the right from a line tangent to the preceding curve at the latter point and running easterly along said line of Lloyd street 36.468 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 441.

*Parcel 4:* Beginning at the intersection of the northerly line of Lloyd street with the northeasterly line of Castro street as said line of Castro street is shown on the "Map Showing Opening of Castro Street," recorded January 31, 1938, in Map Book "N", page 49, Official Records, said intersection is also on the westerly line of the property



now or formerly owned by Mary Jacobs and Nicholas J. Jacobs; thence northerly at right angles from the said line of Lloyd street, along last named property line 80 feet to the southerly line of the property now or formerly owned by Annie L. Carroll and Wm. P. Carroll; thence at right angles westerly along last named line, 30 feet to the easterly line of the property conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco by deed recorded December 10, 1937, in Volume 3217, Official Records at page 315; thence deflecting 26 degrees 30 minutes 00 seconds to the left from the said Carroll property line and running southwestwardly along the prolongation of a radial line to that certain 238 foot radius curve shown on aforesaid "Map Showing Opening of Castro Street," 1.440 feet to the said northeasterly line of Castro street; thence running southeasterly along the said line of Castro street on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to a line at right angles to the preceding course, radius 238 feet, central angle 5 degrees 48 minutes 00 seconds, a distance of 24.092 feet; thence continuing southeasterly along said line of Castro street tangent to the preceding curve 61.243 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 441.

*Parcel 5:* Beginning at the intersection of the southerly line of Waller street with the northeasterly line of Castro street, as said line of Castro street is shown on the "Map Showing Opening of Castro Street," recorded January 31, 1938, in Map Book "N", page 49, Official Records; thence running southeasterly along said northeasterly line of Castro street 50.653 feet; thence continuing southeasterly along said line of Castro street on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, radius 238 feet, central angle 24 degrees 30 minutes 00 seconds, a distance of 101.770 feet; thence leaving said line of Castro street and running northeasterly, radial to the preceding curve, 1.440 feet to the intersection of the easterly line of the property conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco by deed recorded December 10, 1937, in Volume 3217, Official Records, at page 315, with the westerly line of the property now or formerly owned by Wm. P. Carroll and Annie L. Carroll; thence deflecting 63 degrees 30 minutes 00 seconds to the left from the preceding course and running northerly along the said Carroll property line 77.50 feet to the southerly line of the property now or formerly owned by Marian Green; thence at right angles westerly along last named line 38.00 feet to the easterly line of the property conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco, by deed recorded January 13, 1936, in Volume 2888, Official Records, at page 280; thence at right angles northerly along last named line 32.50 feet to the southerly line of Waller street; thence at right angles westerly along the said line of Waller street 65.870 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 441.

*Parcel 6:* Beginning at the intersection of the easterly line of Divisadero street with the southwestwardly line of Castro street, as said line of Castro street is shown on the "Map Showing Opening of Castro Street," recorded January 31, 1938, in Map Book "N", page 49, Official Records of San Francisco, California; thence running southeasterly along said line of Castro street the following courses and distances 5.039 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the right tangent to the preceding course, radius 162 feet, central angle 30 degrees 18 minutes 00 seconds, a distance of 85.671 feet; thence tangent to the preceding curve 32.525 feet to the former northerly line of Lloyd street; thence deflecting 110 degrees 42 minutes 00 seconds to the right and running westerly along said line of Lloyd street 65.005 feet to the said easterly line of Divisadero street; thence at right angles northerly along said line of Divisadero street 102.231 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 441.

The City and County of San Francisco reserves the right to con-

struct, reconstruct, maintain and repair a concrete retaining wall along the easterly three (3) feet of said Parcels 1 and 6.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco. The parcels may be sold as a whole or subdivided.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Schmidt—3.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Streets Committee were taken up:

**Sewer Easement—Mendell Street.**

(Code No. 12.1011)

Resolution No. 4104, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco accept that certain deed from Southern Pacific Company, dated June 8, 1938, to a sewer easement at Mendell street and Shoup avenue, San Francisco.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Schmidt—3.

**Accepting Deed to Portions of Los Palmos Drive and Lulu Alley.**

(Code No. 12.063)

Also, Resolution No. 4105, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain deed, executed the 17th day of June, 1938, by Meyer Bros., a corporation, granting to the City and County of San Francisco all those portions of Los Palmos drive and Lulu alley, closed on September 21, 1931, by Resolution No. 35090 (New Series), be and is hereby accepted on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, and the parcels described in said resolution are hereby declared to be open public streets to be named as they were prior to said closing.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Schmidt—3.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of the Streets Committee were taken up:

**Accepting the Roadway of Twenty-ninth Avenue between the North Property Line of Pacheco Street and the South Property Line of Quintara Street.**

(Code No. 12.0811)

Bill No. 1629, Ordinance No. 12.081139, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Twenty-ninth avenue between the north property line of Pacheco street and the south property line of Quintara street, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public



Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Twenty-ninth avenue between the north property line of Pacheco street and the south property line of Quintara street, including the curbs.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Schmidt—3.

**Accepting the Roadway of Topeka Avenue between Silver Avenue and a Point 398.5 Feet South of Silver Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 1630, Ordinance No. 12.081140, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Topeka avenue between Silver avenue and a point 398.5 feet south of Silver avenue, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Topeka avenue between Silver avenue and a point 398.5 feet south of Silver avenue, including the curbs.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Schmidt—3.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up:

**Requesting Adoption of Policy by W. P. A. Officials, Making Charge Against Private Property for Relocation of Area Walls, Sidewalk Elevators, etc., in Connection With Street Widening Projects.**

(Code No. 5.2)

Resolution No. 4114, as follows:

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby request the local officials of the Works Progress Administration to adopt a policy which will provide, in connection with street widening, that relocation or reconstruction of area walls, sidewalk elevators, oil and gasoline storage tanks and other things of a like character shall be a charge against the private property which they serve and that such work shall be let out to private contract rather than a function of and charge against the Works Progress Administration.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Schmidt—3.

MONDAY, JULY 25, 1938.

Following recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and consideration of which had previously been postponed tempo-  
was taken up:

### **Underground Districts.**

(Code No. 11.12)

Bill No. 1631, Ordinance No. 11.1223, as follows:

Providing for the placing and installing of electrical cables and conductors underground in any district that is now designated as an underground district in the City and County of San Francisco, or any additional district that is designated as an underground district in said City and County, within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead conductors, except trolley poles and conductors; prohibiting the use of overhead wiring, materials, devices, poles or overhead conductor supporting structures over or upon any street designated as being within an underground district; providing for the removal of poles, overhead wiring structures, overhead wiring, materials, and devices by persons, firms, partnerships, corporations or public utilities owning, operating or maintaining said equipment, materials and devices; providing for the removal of poles, overhead wiring structures, overhead materials and devices within a specified time at the cost of the person, firm, partnership, corporation or public utility owning, operating, using or maintaining same; requiring electrical service conductors to terminate in underground pull boxes and notice of installation; providing for installation by owner, operator or lessor of property of electrical conduits and conductors for light, heat and power from pull boxes after notice; providing for installation by owner, operator or lessor of property of electrical conduits for telephone and telegraph service; providing for extension of conduits to pull boxes and installation of service conductors; requiring disconnection and removal of overhead electrical service wires or conductors in event of failure to install conduits and service conductors within thirty (30) days after installation of underground pull boxes; providing for the duties of the Chief of the Department of Electricity; providing for the penalties for violations of the sections of this ordinance; providing for the use of temporary poles and conductors in underground districts; exempting trolley poles and trolley conductors in underground districts; providing for the extension of certain conductors across underground districts; and repealing all ordinances and parts of ordinances in conflict herewith, and particularly Sections 2B, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9 of Order No. 214, Second Series, regulating the removal of all poles, overhead wiring structures, materials and devices from designated underground districts.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

*Section 1. Unlawful to Maintain Overhead Wiring, Materials and Devices in an Underground District.* It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, partnership, corporation or public utility to erect, maintain, extend or operate any pole or structure used for the support of overhead electrical conductors, electrical materials and devices, overhead cables or conductors, by, through, over or upon the streets of any designated underground district where electrical energy may be or has been transmitted or used for the purpose of electrical lighting, heat, power, telephone, telegraph, or any other type of electrical transmission or distribution.

*Section 2. Declaring Overhead Conductors, Materials and Devices in Underground Districts a Public Nuisance.* On and after the date that the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco officially declares a designated underground district, all overhead cables, conductors, materials, devices, poles or overhead structures used for the support of electrical conductors, materials and devices, on, by, over, through or upon the streets of said designated district shall be deemed and become public nuisances, except such as are in this ordinance



exempted from the provisions of this ordinance. It shall be unlawful after the respective times aforesaid for any person, firm, partnership, corporation or public utility to erect, maintain or extend any overhead conductors from building to building, or otherwise, and not on poles, except such as are in this ordinance exempted from the provisions of this ordinance.

**Section 3. *Poles, Conductors, Materials and Devices in Underground District to be Removed.*** Every person, firm, partnership, corporation or public utility that owns, uses, maintains or operates any pole or structure used for the support of electrical materials or devices, overhead conductors, cables, materials or devices on, by, over, through or upon the streets of the City and County of San Francisco where electrical energy has been or may be transmitted or used for the purpose of electrical lighting, heating, power, telephone, telegraph, or any other type of electrical distribution or service, shall remove the aforesaid materials and devices from such of those streets which have been or shall be designated by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco as being within an underground district, provided that said persons, firms, partnerships, corporations or public utilities owning, maintaining, extending, using, or operating such poles, overhead wiring supporting structures, overhead cables and devices, shall not be required to remove said poles, structures, materials and devices for more than two and one-half ( $2\frac{1}{2}$ ) lineal miles of said streets in any one calendar year after the date of passage of this ordinance.

**Section 4. *Removal of Poles, Overhead Materials and Devices Within a Specified Time.*** Each and every pole, overhead wiring structure, cable and device described in aforesaid sections of this ordinance, excepting such as are in this ordinance exempted, in said City and County, owned, controlled, operated or used by said person, firm, partnership, corporation or public utility for any of the aforesaid purposes, shall be taken down and removed before the time specified by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco at the time a respective underground district is designated. The removal and taking down of said equipment and materials shall be by and at the cost of the person, firm, partnership, corporation or public utility so owning, controlling, operating or using same.

**Section 5. *Electrical Service Conductors to Terminate in Underground Pull Boxes. Notice of Installation.*** In any district that the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco may now or hereafter designate as an additional underground district, the person, firm, corporation or utility supplying the electrical service for electric lighting, heat, power, telephone, telegraph, or any other type of electrical service, and hereinafter called the Serving Company, shall terminate its electrical service conductors in an underground pull box adjacent to or at the property line of the building or structure being served, provided that, in the event the Serving Company elects to serve a building or structure from some other location than adjacent to or at the property line of the building or structure, in any other approved manner, the provisions of this section and of Section 8 hereof shall not be applicable. The Serving Company shall give to the person, firm, or corporation owning, operating, leasing or renting such building or structure, notice of the date when such pull box will be installed.

**Section 6. *Installation by Owner, Etc., of Property of Electrical Conduits, Etc., for Light, Etc., From Pull Boxes.*** The electrical conduits and conductors for light, heat and power, between the underground pull box and the main service switches in the building or structure being served, shall be installed by the person, firm, or corporation owning, operating, leasing or renting said property, within thirty days after the date specified in such notice as the date when such pull box will be installed.

**Section 7. *Installation by Owner, Etc., of Property of Electrical***

*Conduits, Etc., for Telephone, Etc., Service.* The electrical conduits for telephone and telegraph service shall be installed between the property line and the terminal location in the building by the person, firm or corporation owning, operating, leasing or renting said building.

Section 8. *Extension of Conduits to Pull Boxes and Installation of Service Conductors.* The Serving Company for telephone or telegraph service shall extend said conduit to their respective underground pull box or manhole and shall install the service conductors in said conduit.

Section 9. *Failure to Install Conduits, Etc., Removal of Overhead Wires, Etc.* In the event of failure on the part of the person, firm or corporation owning, operating, leasing or renting such building or structure to install such conduits and service conductors within thirty (30) days after the installation of the underground pull box so as to permit the removal of the overhead wires and conductors by the Serving Company, the Department shall order the disconnection and removal of any and all overhead electrical service wires or conductors supplying electrical service to such building or structure.

Section 10. *Duties of the Chief of the Department of Electricity.* The Chief of the Department of Electricity shall be responsible for the enforcement of this ordinance in any district that is now or may hereafter be designated as an underground district by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

The Chief of the Department of Electricity, after the time specified in Section 4, shall have the authority to order the removal, taking down, and carrying away any and all such poles, overhead wiring, structures, overhead wires, materials and devices aforesaid, as may not have been previously removed by the owners or operators thereof, as required by the provisions of this ordinance. The Chief of the Department of Electricity is hereby given authority to use and employ whatever labor, materials and devices as may be necessary to effectually carry out the provisions of this ordinance. The total cost of the labor, materials and devices necessary for the taking down and removal of the aforesaid overhead materials and devices shall be paid by the person, firm, partnership, corporation or public utility owning, controlling or operating the aforesaid overhead poles, structures, materials and devices.

Section 11. *Penalty For Each Day Such Poles and Other Overhead Materials and Devices Are Left Standing.* Any person, firm, partnership, corporation or public utility who shall erect or construct, place, maintain, extend or operate in any manner whatever, any pole or structure used for the support of overhead electrical materials or devices, overhead conductors, cables, materials and devices on, by, over, through or upon the streets of the City and County of San Francisco where electrical energy has been or may be transmitted or used for the purpose of electrical lighting, heating, power, telephone, telegraph, or any other type of electrical service or transmission, except such as are in this ordinance exempted, after the time specified aforesaid for each of the designated underground districts, or who shall neglect to take down and remove the aforesaid overhead materials and devices, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction, shall be fined not less than fifty (50) or more than two hundred (200) dollars for every day such poles and other aforesaid overhead equipment and devices are left standing.

Section 12. *Temporary Use of Poles, Overhead Materials and Devices in Underground Districts.* In cases requiring the temporary use of overhead poles, structures, electrical materials and devices for the purposes of reporting conventions, meetings or other important public gatherings, or in cases of emergency, permits may be granted by the Chief of the Department of Electricity for the erection of overhead conductors and their supporting materials and devices for a period not exceeding sixty (60) days in each case.

Section 13. *Erection and Maintenance of Temporary Poles, Etc. Tem-*



porary poles, overhead conductors and electrical materials and devices shall be erected and maintained by the person, firm, partnership, corporation or public utility to whom the temporary permit has been issued, and shall be erected and maintained in conformity with the rules and regulations of the Department of Electricity of the City and County of San Francisco and General Order No. 64A of the California Railroad Commission.

Section 14. *Removal of Temporary Poles, Etc.* After the expiration of a temporary permit, as specified in Section 12, and the emergency or special condition no longer exists, the person, firm, partnership, corporation or public utility shall remove all poles and other overhead materials and devices from said underground district. Failure to comply with the provisions of this section of this ordinance shall result in the same penalties as are specified in Section 11.

Section 15. *Inspection by Chief of the Department of Electricity or His Representative.* The Chief of the Department of Electricity is hereby charged with the duty of inspection and enforcement of all ordinances, rules and regulations relative to the installation of electrical conductors, materials and devices used for the transmission of electrical energy for light, heat, power, telephone, telegraph, or any other service or distribution in and upon the streets and over and upon buildings or structures in the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 16. *Exemption of Trolley Poles and Conductors.* Electric railways are expressly exempted from the provisions of this ordinance in so far as it affects the trolley poles and trolley wires used exclusively for the transmission of electrical power for railway purposes. The feeders or electrical service conductors for the trolley conductors shall conform to the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 17. *Extension of Certain Conductors Across Underground Districts.* Conductors of continuous lead crossing designated underground districts or parts thereof not further than to the extent of the width of a single street are hereby exempted from the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 18. *Application of this Ordinance to all Future Underground Districts.* In the event that the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco shall declare any additional underground district, the provisions as specified in this ordinance shall govern all overhead electrical conductors, poles, conductor or material supporting structures, and overhead materials and devices used for the transmission of electrical energy for lighting, heating, power, telephone, telegraph, or any other type of electrical service or distribution in and upon the streets and over and upon buildings or structures in the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 19. *Saving Clause.* If any section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance, and each section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, subdivisions, paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Section 20. *Repeal.* All ordinances and parts of ordinances in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance, and particularly Sections 2-B, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9 of Order No. 214, Second Series, regulating the removal of all overhead structures, poles, materials and devices from designated underground districts, are hereby repealed.

#### Explanation of Bill.

Chief Ralph Wiley, Department of Electricity, explained the purport of the bill.

### Action Deferred.

On motion by Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Reilly, further consideration on the foregoing bill was *postponed one week, and made a Special Order of Business for 3 p. m., Monday, August 1, 1938.*

### Opinion From City Attorney.

Supervisor Roncovieri requested that an inquiry be addressed to the City Attorney, asking him the maximum number of miles that can be inserted in Section 3 of the Underground Ordinance in lieu of the 2½ miles maximum of underground installations which can now be required from public utility corporations.

### Prohibiting Smoking on Any Wharf, Pier, etc., Within City Limits.

(Code No. 11.05)

The following recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police was taken up:

Bill No. 1620, Ordinance No. 11.059, as follows:

Prohibiting the smoking of any cigar, pipe or cigarette, or the burning of tobacco or the burning of an open flame or electric arc, by any person upon any wharf, pier, quay or bulkhead or within any hatch, hold or space wherein any cargo of any character is kept or stored on or within any ship or vessel anchored or berthed within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, and providing for penalties for the violation of this ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person to smoke any cigar, pipe or cigarette, or to burn tobacco in any manner whatsoever, or to use an open flame of any character or an electric arc, excepting only when said open flame or electric arc is necessarily employed in the making of repairs, alterations or structural changes, on or upon any wharf, pier, quay or bulkhead or within any hatch, hold or other space wherein cargo of any character is kept or stored on or within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. The Chief Engineer of the Fire Department, in conjunction with the Chief of the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety, is hereby authorized and given full power and authority to make all necessary rules and regulations providing for the use of any open flame or electric arc when the same are used in the making of repairs, alterations, or structural changes on any wharf, pier, quay or bulkhead or within any hatch, hold or other space wherein cargo is kept or stored on or within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 3. Any person who shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance, or of any rule or regulation made by the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department or by the Chief of the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety under authority hereof, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not to exceed five hundred dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 4. Ordinance No. 831, prohibiting smoking of any cigar, pipe or cigarette or the burning of tobacco on any wooden wharf, pier, quay or bulkhead in the City and County of San Francisco, which ordinance was approved on July 21, 1909, is hereby repealed.

### Motion to Postpone.

Motion by Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Reilly, to postpone action on the foregoing ordinance *failed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Reilly, Shannon—3.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—5.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Schmidt—3.



Thereupon, the roll was called on passage for second reading, as follows:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—5.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Reilly, Shannon—3.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Schmidt—3.

### Notice of Reconsideration.

Before the result of the foregoing vote was announced, Supervisor McSheehy changed his vote from "No" to "Aye" and moved for reconsideration at the next meeting of the Board.

### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of Committee on Education, Parks and Recreation was taken up:

**Authorizing Acceptance by Park Commission of Properties of Boat Clubs at Aquatic Park and Granting Such Clubs Permission to Use Space in Aquatic Park Until New Buildings Are Erected and Rented to Them.**

(Code No. 23.011)

Bill No. 1632, Ordinance No. 23.0114, as follows:

Authorizing the Park Commission of the City and County of San Francisco to accept certain property belonging to the Ariel Boat Club, South End Rowing Club and Dolphin Swimming and Boating Club and now situated in the so-called Aquatic Park and to grant permits to each of said clubs to occupy certain space in said aquatic park upon terms and conditions to be agreed upon between the respective boat clubs and said Park Commission.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Park Commission is hereby authorized to accept from the Ariel Boat Club, South End Rowing Club and Dolphin Swimming and Boating Club the building which each of said clubs now owns and maintains in the Aquatic Park upon such terms and conditions as may be agreed upon between the respective clubs and said Park Commission.

Section 2. The respective agreements heretofore consummated between the said Park Commission and each of said clubs, wherein and whereby each of said clubs transferred to said Park Commission their respective buildings in said Aquatic Park, are hereby approved and said Park Commission is hereby authorized and empowered to agree with said clubs that each of said clubs may continue to occupy their respective buildings and quarters in said Aquatic Park until a new building or new buildings are erected or constructed under the jurisdiction of the Park Commission which will afford space and accommodations in said building or buildings on a fair and reasonable rental basis to be fixed by said Commission, and that when said building or buildings are erected and constructed and said space accorded to said clubs that they will vacate and surrender the premises now occupied by each of them.

Section 3. The Park Commission, through its properly constituted officers, is hereby authorized to enter into any and all agreements to carry out the purposes of this ordinance.

Section 4. Said agreements to be entered into by and between said Park Commission and said above named clubs may be entered into with said clubs jointly or with each of said clubs individually.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Schmidt—3.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of the Rules Committee was taken up:

**Requesting Departments or Authorized Representatives to Be Available When Attendance is Desired at Meetings of Board of Supervisors.**

(Code No. 1.03)

Resolution No. 4116, as follows:

Resolved, that the City Attorney, the Controller, the Manager of Utilities, the Director of Public Works, the City Engineer and other heads of departments of the City and County of San Francisco are respectfully requested to be in readiness to appear and attend sessions of the Board of Supervisors every Monday for the purpose of informing members on matters pertaining to their departments pending before the Board, or if it is impossible for any reason that the head of the department cannot attend, that some subordinate be appointed who is qualified to speak for such department.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Schmidt—3.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Proposed Charter Amendment to Provide for Issuance of Revenue Bonds for Financing Acquisition of Construction of Electrical System.**

Supervisor McSheehy presented the following statement, together with draft of proposed Charter amendment and requested its reference to the Judiciary Committee:

July 25, 1938.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors:

Gentlemen: I am presenting to you today a resolution asking for the rescinding of Plan No. 7 and substituting in its place a resolution proposing a Charter amendment to be known as Plan No. 8, which has for its purpose the distribution of hydroelectric power generated in Hetch Hetchy and now being sold to the P. G. & E. under what is termed "The 24 Hour Hetch Hetchy Contract". The U. S. District Court has decided that this contract is illegal and has allowed the City of San Francisco only six months to abrogate the same.

Mr. Harold Ickes, the Secretary of the Interior, in a number of wired communications with our Mayor, has stated in no uncertain language that the action of our city in continuing the sale of power to the P. G. & E. is in violation of the terms of the Raker Act. It is his sworn duty as Secretary of the Interior to enforce the Raker Act, and he hopes the City of San Francisco will see its way clear to distribute its own power so that he will not be called upon to carry out the mandate of the Act, which would mean the loss of an investment of about fifty millions of dollars, which is one-half of the cost to the taxpayers of this city for the entire development of Hetch Hetchy as a power and water supply.

Therefore, gentlemen, I am submitting to you here today a resolution proposing to distribute all power generated in Hetch Hetchy. This power is to be carried from our Newark station across the Bay to this city and here distributed to consumers from Fillmore street to the ocean and from Sixteenth street to the San Mateo county line, together with all power required for our municipal railroads; public



buildings of all types and street lighting. This district consumes today about the total amount of Hetch Hetchy power. The cost of this system, which is carefully figured in this resolution, is twenty-one million dollars. It will be self-supporting; will liquidate itself in twenty-five years, and will also give to manufacturing and commercial consumers as well as to householders residing in this district at least 25 per cent cut on present charges. Understand that this is a revenue bond measure and does not add one dollar to the bonded indebtedness of our city.

Trusting you will see the wisdom and advantages of this plan and that you will carefully read it over, as I am asking the Clerk to supply a copy to each member of this Board; I am also asking that it be referred to our Judiciary Committee. As chairman of this committee I promise that it will be reported back for action next Monday.

## CHARTER AMENDMENT NO.

### REVENUE BONDS—ELECTRICAL SYSTEM.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco by adding new sections thereto to be known as Sections 133.01 to 133.35, inclusive, relating to a system for generating, transmitting, and distributing electric power, and providing for financing the cost of said system by the issuance of bonds or other evidences of indebtedness payable wholly out of the revenues of said system, in an amount not to exceed \$21,000,000.00.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco at the special election to be held on the 27th day of September, 1938, a proposal to amend the Charter of the said City and County by adding thereto new sections to be known as Sections 133.01 to 133.35, inclusive, under a new subdivision designated as REVENUE BONDS—ELECTRICAL SYSTEM, as follows:

### REVENUE BONDS—ELECTRICAL SYSTEM.

#### Additional Powers of Utilities Commission.

Section 133.01. The Public Utilities Commission of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, hereinafter referred to as The Commission, shall acquire by construction, purchase or other lawful method, whichever is the cheapest, a system of facilities, hereinafter referred to as the Municipal System, for generating, transmitting, distributing, and selling for profit electric energy originating in Hetch Hetchy and other places, to the people of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and elsewhere, said City and County being hereinafter referred to as The City, in the whole thereof or in such district or districts as herein provided, for all purposes whatsoever, and to any department, service or municipally owned utility of The City.

Section 133.02. The Commission shall borrow money by the issuance of revenue bonds or other evidences of indebtedness, hereinafter referred to as The Bonds, payable wholly out of revenues, as may be necessary to meet the cost of acquiring such facilities together with such standby service and transmission lines as may be necessary to maintain continuous service, provided that the total amount of money so borrowed

or evidences of indebtedness issued, shall, in the aggregate, not exceed the sum of twenty-one million dollars (\$21,000,000.00.)

### **Facilities To Be Acquired—District To Be Served.**

Section 133.03. The facilities to be acquired shall in the main consist of a power plant on the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct at Red Mountain Bar, a transmission line from Newark to San Francisco, and the electrical distribution facilities in a distribution area within the geographical limits of The City, said distribution area, hereinafter referred to as The Hetch Hetchy Zone, shall, as far as is practical, lie in a compact area of contiguous territory which shall comprise as near as may be all of the area of The City lying west of the easterly line of Fillmore Street projected southerly from San Francisco Bay to the county line, and that part of The City lying south of the northerly line of Sixteenth Street projected westerly from San Francisco Bay to the Pacific Ocean.

Section 133.04. Nothing herein shall prevent The Commission from excluding a parcel of contiguous territory, preferably adjacent to a boundary line of the Hetch Hetchy Zone, if the power generated by The City owned plants is inadequate to meet the full demands of the said zone, or from including additional territory if the said power is sufficient to meet the demands of such additional area in addition to those of The Hetch Hetchy Zone as above delineated, provided further in the event of an inclusion of additional area or of an exclusion of area the same shall lie in a contiguous parcel, except as otherwise herein provided, and preference shall always be given to domestic service demands over industrial or commercial demands.

Section 133.05. Nothing herein shall prevent The Commission from supplying electric energy to municipal service or departments lying outside The Hetch Hetchy Zone, where, in the judgment of The Commission it is profitable or advantageous to do so; nor shall anything herein prevent The Commission from supplying electric energy outside the city where, in the judgment of The Commission, such sales will improve the load factor.

Section 133.06. No extension or reduction of The Hetch Hetchy Zone shall affect in any manner the validity of any bond authorized or issued to meet the cost of The Municipal System, and no holder or purchaser of any bond authorized or issued pursuant to this subdivision shall be required to inquire into the existence of any fact, or to the performance of any of the conditions or the taking of any proceedings required prior to the issuance of any of the said bonds, or to the application of the proceeds thereof.

### **Acquisition May Be By Purchase or Construction.**

Section 133.07. The Commission shall have authority to determine the method of acquiring The Municipal System, subject to the provisions herein, and such method of acquisition shall be binding and conclusive; shall cause to be made an appraisal of the existing electrical generating and distributing facilities within The Hetch Hetchy Zone, and exclusively pertinent to the service thereof; shall cause to be made an estimate of the cost of adequate transmission facilities from Newark to The City.

Section 133.08. The Commission shall call upon the Board of Super-



visors for an appropriation from the general fund for such sum or sums as shall be necessary to effect said appraisals and estimates, and it shall be the duty of the Board of Supervisors to appropriate within thirty days from date of call by The Commission such sum or sums as The Commission shall fix for said appraisals and estimates, which sum or sums in the aggregate shall not exceed seventy-five thousand dollars (\$75,000.00), and which sums shall be returned to the general fund from the proceeds of the first sale or sales of bonds under the authority of this section.

Section 133.09. Concurrent with said appraisal of the facilities, The Commission shall carry on negotiations with private utility companies operating within The Hetch Hetchy Zone for the purchase of their electric power, lighting, distributing, transmitting, converting, and other facilities, factors, property and premises appertaining and appertinent thereto, and insofar as they are exclusively pertinent to the service of The Hetch Hetchy Zone, shall cause to be made a careful estimate of the cost of replacing the present distribution and transmission facilities within The Hetch Hetchy Zone and pertinent exclusively thereto; shall furnish the Board of Supervisors with copies of all data relating to estimates, appraisals, and purchase negotiations, together with the recommendation of The Commission of a method of acquisition.

Section 133.10. The Board of Supervisors shall have a period of not to exceed ninety days in which to examine the material submitted by The Commission and shall make, within said ninety day period, to The Commission a recommendation of a method of acquisition by resolution duly adopted; and unless two-thirds of the Supervisors, present and voting, shall modify or change such estimate, the estimate and plan of The Commission shall be final; provided, however, if the existing facilities cannot be obtained at a cost reasonable in comparison with new and functionally superior facilities, then The Commission shall acquire by construction the facilities necessary to carry out the purposes of this subdivision.

Section 133.11. When the method of acquisition shall have been determined as herein provided, The Commission shall pass a resolution setting forth the method in which The Municipal System or any part thereof shall be acquired and shall, in said resolution, fix and determine the estimated costs thereof, and shall include in said estimate of cost such amount as The Commission shall estimate to be sufficient to pay the interest on the bonds during the actual period of construction of The Municipal System, or such part as it shall have been determined shall be constructed, and for a period of six months after the same shall have been in operation by The Commission.

Section 133.12. If The Municipal System, or any part thereof is to be acquired by a method other than by construction, then The Commission shall estimate the cost of said acquisition, including the cost of all engineering, appraisal and legal proceedings incident thereto, and shall add to the cost of said acquisition the amount of interest which shall have accrued on the bonds issued or sold to defray the cost of said acquisition for a period of six months after the commencement of operations of The Municipal System by The Commission.

Section 133.13. The Commission shall include in the estimate of cost of The Municipal System, whether acquired by construction or other-

wise, an amount sufficient to pay the operating expenses of The Municipal System for a period of not to exceed six months after the commencement of operations of said system by The Commission. Not more than fifteen per centum (15%) of the cost of acquisition shall be so applied for operating expense and for interest as provided herein.

Section 133.14. If the Municipal System or any part thereof is to be acquired by construction, The Commission shall have due regard for probable increases in demand for service within The Hetch Hetchy Zone and the city owned departments or utilities as far as it is practicable to anticipate such increases in service demands, and shall make conservative allowances for probable growth over a reasonable future period; and if The Municipal System or any part thereof is acquired by construction, The Commission shall construct by contract and follow the usual method provided by law and custom governing competitive bids for public work.

### Issuance of Revenue Bonds.

Section 133.15. Upon adoption of a method of acquisition as provided herein and the total estimated or contracted costs thereof being determined, The Commission shall adopt a resolution authorizing the issuance of the amount of The Bonds, payable wholly out of revenue of The Municipal System, sufficient to pay the necessary cost of acquiring said system, including incidental expenses, interest during and for six months after the system is in operation by The Commission as provided in section 133.11 not to exceed, however, the total amount of twenty-one million dollars (\$21,000,000.00). Said resolution shall fix the amount of The Bonds to be issued and state generally the purpose thereof, shall also state the number, denomination, and maturities of said bond, and may provide, all and singular, the conditions to be inserted in The Bonds, as well as the rate of interest thereon, if said rate of interest is to be fixed, or it may defer fixing the rate of interest until the time of the sale of said bonds.

Section 133.16. Full power and authority is hereby given The Commission to cause to be inserted in The Bonds such terms and conditions as it shall deem proper, provided that there shall always be inserted in said bonds the fact that the same shall be payable, as to both principal and interest, wholly and solely out of revenue from The Municipal System; and shall fix the maximum rate of interest which The Bonds shall bear, which rate shall not exceed six per centum per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 133.17. The payment of the principal of The Bonds shall commence not later than four years from the date of issuance and shall be completed not more than thirty years from date of issuance; The Bonds shall mature and shall be payable each year during the period over which they are payable in such amounts as may be fixed by The Commission; any or all of The Bonds so issued may, at option of the holder thereof, be registered both as to principal and interest, with the treasurer of The City in such manner as may be provided by The Commission. The Bonds may be sold at such times and in such amounts as The Commission shall deem proper, provided that said bonds shall not be sold at less than par value and accrued interest at date of delivery. The Bonds may be offered for sale on the basis of a fixed rate of interest



or on the basis of the lowest net interest cost; and authority is hereby given to The Commission to fix said rate of interest either prior or subsequent to the date of offering of The Bonds for sale.

Section 133.18. All of said bonds issued as provided herein shall be signed by the president of The Commission, or by the manager of utilities, and by the Secretary of The Commission and countersigned by the treasurer of The City. The signature of the president of The Commission or of the manager of utilities may be by facsimile; and any coupon attached to any of The Bonds shall have affixed thereto the facsimile signature of the said treasurer. The official seal of The Commission shall be affixed to The Bonds and full authority is hereby given to The Commission to adopt a seal in such form and device as it shall deem proper.

Section 133.19. Each of said bonds shall state plainly on its face that it is payable, both as to principal and interest, only from the special fund created for that purpose, out of the revenues of The Municipal System and that it does not constitute a general obligation, liability, or indebtedness of The City. All revenue bonds issued under the authority of this Charter shall be negotiable instruments and shall have all the attributes of negotiability under the laws of this state relating to negotiable instruments.

#### **Agreement With Bondholders.**

Section 133.20. The Commission shall adopt an additional resolution relating to an agreement with the holders of any of The Bonds authorized to be issued under this subdivision, and it is hereby authorized and empowered in and by this resolution to agree with said holders of any of The Bonds, so long as the same shall be outstanding as follows:

(a) that the proceeds of the sale of said bonds shall be deposited in a fund separate and apart from all other funds of The City and shall, with any interest accrued thereon, be applied solely and exclusively to the objects and purposes for which said bonds are authorized to be issued, and any proceeds from the sale of such of The Bonds remaining unused upon the acquisition of such system shall be applied to the retirement of the revenue bonds outstanding, by purchase in the open market or by call and redemption, as the case may be, and none of such moneys shall be transferred to any other fund of The City until all such bonds and accrued interest shall have been paid in full;

(b) that The Commission will maintain and operate The Municipal System acquired from the proceeds of said bonds in good repair, working order and condition and will, from time to time, make all needful and proper repairs, renewals and replacements, and shall continuously operate the same;

(c) that The Commission will establish reasonable rates for the sale of electric energy distributed through The Municipal System, and that such rates in the aggregate shall be adequate to enable The Commission to pay the principal and interest of said bonds and all costs of maintenance, operation and repairs of The Municipal System acquired from the proceeds of such bonds;

(d) that accurate books and records of account will be kept and maintained, showing all payments received by The Commission from the operation of The Municipal System acquired from the proceeds of the

sale of said bonds, which records shall, while any of The Bonds are outstanding and unpaid, be kept open for inspection to the holders of any one or more of such bonds or their duly authorized representatives.

(e) that if any part of The Municipal System shall be taken from The Commission or from The City by eminent domain proceedings, or other proceedings authorized by law, the proceeds realized by said city or by said commission shall be applied solely and exclusively to the payment of the principal and interest of said bonds until the same shall have been paid in full;

(f) that neither The City nor The Commission shall mortgage or otherwise encumber, sell, or lease or dispose of The Municipal System acquired from the proceeds of the sale of The Bonds or any substantial part thereof, or enter into any lease or contract which shall impair the operation of said system or otherwise impair the right of the holder of any of said revenue bonds to secure payment in full of the principal and interest of any of said revenue bonds as the same shall mature;

(g) that none of the services or products of The Municipal System shall be furnished free; that in the event The City or any department, agency or instrumentality thereof, shall avail itself of the facilities, service, or products afforded by The Municipal System acquired from the proceeds of the sale of said bonds, a reasonable charge shall be made against said city, agency or instrumentality and shall be paid as the services shall accrue;

(h) that any terms, covenants or conditions herein contained or made a part of any bond issued under authority of this section shall be subject to alteration, amendment or modification by agreement between The Commission and the holders of at least eighty per centum (80% of the principal of the amount of the said bonds then outstanding.

Section 133.21. The Commission may also agree to any other term or conditions, whether hereinabove referred to or not, which, in the judgment of The Commission, shall be necessary, advisable or convenient in effecting the sale of said bonds; provided, that such conditions do not permit said bonds to be sold at less than par value and accrued interest at date of sale, or increase the rate of interest above six per cent (6%), and provided further, that none of said covenants, agreements or conditions, nor anything herein provided shall obligate said commission or The City to do or perform any of said terms, covenants or conditions by the expenditure of any funds other than those arising from the operation of The Municipal System to be acquired from the proceeds of the sale of said bonds, and under no circumstances shall The City be obliged to levy or collect taxes to provide moneys to perform any of the terms or conditions contained in any of such resolutions and all obligations assumed by said commission which shall require that the expenditure of any funds shall be limited solely and exclusively to the funds arising from the operation of said system.

### Sale of Bonds.

Section 133.22. When the resolution required by Section 133.20 is adopted The Commission shall have full power and authority to issue The Bonds payable wholly out of revenue, to the amount specified in said resolution, but not to exceed the sum of twenty-one million dollars (\$21,000,000.00).



Section 133.23. The Commission shall regulate the time of issuance of The Bonds authorized by this subdivision, and may cause the same to be issued as one issue or in successive issues under the same authorization; said bonds shall be sold by The Commission at public sale to the highest bidder therefor, after notice of sale for a period of three days in not more than two newspapers of general circulation in The City; said sale shall be held not less than fifteen days after the date of last publication of said notice. The Commission shall require a surety bond or other security in such amount as it shall deem proper from all bidders to insure the fulfilling of any bid made for said bonds.

Section 133.24. When any of The Bonds are sold the proceeds shall be deposited in the treasury of The City and shall be used only for the purpose for which the same are herein approved.

Section 133.25. If a bid acceptable to The Commission should not be received for said bonds offered for sale, The Commission may then direct that said bonds, or any part thereof, be sold by The Commission or the treasurer of The City at private sale, at a price not less than par value of principal and accrued interest at the date of delivery of said bonds to the buyer.

Section 133.26. The Bonds authorized or issued under authority of this subdivision shall not be subject to the Charter limitations as to the bonded indebtedness of The City, nor shall they be taken into consideration in determining the amount of bonded indebtedness as provided in Section 104 of the Charter. Bonds issued pursuant to this subdivision may be called and paid before maturity upon such terms and conditions as may be determined by The Commission, provided that said conditions are inserted in said bond at the time of issuance thereof, and in the offer thereof for sale.

### **Maturity and Refunding of Bonds.**

Section 133.27. The Commission is hereby authorized to provide for the refunding, extension, or renewal of any of The Bonds issued pursuant to this subdivision and remaining outstanding and unpaid, at or prior to the maturity thereof, by the issuance, sale, or exchange of new bonds in the same aggregate principal amount as The Bonds outstanding or unpaid, said new bonds to be of such denomination and maturing at such times, and bearing such rates of interest, and otherwise modified as The Commission shall deem proper, provided that such new bonds shall be payable, both as to principal and interest, wholly out of the revenues of The Municipal System, and the latest maturity of said new issue shall not be more than thirty years from the date of the original issue and the interest rate thereon shall not be greater than that of the original issue.

### **Utility Rates.**

Section 133.28. The Commission shall fix just and reasonable rates for any service furnished by The Municipal System, which rates shall not be less than will provide an amount sufficient to pay, as the same shall become due, the following:

(a) The principal sum and the interest thereon of The Bonds issued under this section and remaining unpaid, as said principal and interest become due and payable;

- (b) All the operating expenses of said system;
- (c) All amounts to become due within one year thereafter for the repair and maintenance of said system;
- (d) To provide a surplus fund equal to the amount required to meet the principal and interest payments which will become due in the next succeeding year on outstanding bonds;
- (e) An amount which shall be sufficient to meet the cost of reconstruction and replacements made necessary by the physical and functional depreciation, deterioration, or obsolescence of The Municipal System as the same occur;
- (f) For reasonable extensions and betterments to said system, or to establish a reserve to pay for such extensions and betterments;
- (g) To establish a surplus fund.

### **Separate Utility Accounting.**

Section 133.29. The Commission shall cause to be set up a bond interest and redemption fund into which shall be paid each month an amount at least sufficient to pay, as the same become due, the principal and interest upon the outstanding unpaid bonds for the current year and if at any time the revenues of The Municipal System are not sufficient to permit the payment of said sum into the fund, any deficiency shall be paid into said fund from the surplus fund; The Commission shall estimate the proper amounts to be applied to the purposes mentioned in schedules (c), (e), and (f), above set forth, and shall cause said estimated amounts to be set aside in proper funds established to meet said purposes; said estimates may be changed from time to time, and The Commission shall also pay into said interest and redemption fund an amount sufficient to meet all existing delinquencies upon any of said bonds.

Section 133.30. The Commission shall unify The Municipal System and the Hetch Hetchy electric power generating and transmitting system under the control and management of the Bureau of Electricity; shall, for the purposes of accounting and liquidation of Hetch Hetchy Bonds allocated to power production and transmission, provide for payments from The Municipal System to the Hetch Hetchy System a fair and equitable sum for the power delivered to The Municipal System by and from said Hetch Hetchy System, due allowance being made for the investment of The Municipal System in Red Mountain Bar Power Plant and the extension of the Hetch Hetchy Transmission line from Newark to The City as herein provided.

Section 133.31. The Municipal System shall be considered a separate utility, and revenue from any other utility shall not be applied to The Municipal System unless otherwise expressly provided by Charter.

### **Additional Powers.**

Section 133.32. The Board of Supervisors may, by ordinance, confer upon The Commission such additional powers not in conflict with this subdivision as may be necessary to carry out the purposes of this section.

### **Annual Audit.**

Section 133.33. The Board of Supervisors shall annually appoint



certified public account to make an annual audit of the accounts of The Municipal System, which said audit shall annually form a component part of the annual report of The Commission, and the expense of said audit shall be paid out of revenues of said system, provided that said certified public accountant shall not be employed by any department, agency, or instrumentality of The City other than The Board of Supervisors.

Section 133.34. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Charter which may be in conflict with the provisions of this subdivision, the provisions of this subdivision shall prevail in so far as they pertain to the financing, acquisition, administration, and auditing of The Municipal System, the acquisition of Red Mountain Bar Power Plant, and the extension of the Hetch Hetchy power transmission line by the sale of The Bonds payable wholly out of revenue; provided, however, that nothing herein contained shall prevent the financing of additions or extensions of The Municipal System by general obligation bonds issued as provided by law.

### Conflicting Provisions.

Section 133.35. Upon taking effect of this amendment, which adds a new Subdivision, Revenue Bonds—Electrical System, embraced in Sections 133.01 to 133.35, inclusive, The Commission shall immediately proceed to acquire The Municipal System and promptly perform all acts required of The Commission by the provisions of this subdivision.

### Objection to Reference to Judiciary Committee.

Supervisor Reilly objected to reference to Judiciary Committee, stating he thought the matter should be referred to the Public Utilities Committee or to a joint committee.

### Point of Order.

Whereupon, Supervisor McSheehy rose to a point of order, reading from the Rules of the Board, portion outlining duties of the Judiciary Committee.

Chair ruled point of order not well taken.

Supervisor Brown objected to reference to Judiciary Committee and moved reference to Public Utilities Committee.

### Reference to Public Utilities Committee.

Whereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing proposed Charter amendment was *referred to the Public Utilities Committee* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncoviari, Shannon, Uhl—9.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

### Proposed Charter Amendment, Police Retirement Act.

The following proposed Charter amendment was presented by Supervisor Reilly and was, at his request, *referred to the Judiciary Committee*:

**CHARTER AMENDMENT NO. ———****POLICE RETIREMENT ACT**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 168 thereof, relating to present and future members of the Police Department.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County, at the general election to be held on the 8th day of November, 1938, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County, as follows:

Section 168. Members of the Police Department, as defined in this section, who shall be members of the Retirement System under Sections 165, 166 or 168 of the Charter on the effective date hereof, hereby defined as the first day of the month next following the ratification of this amendment by the Legislature, and persons who shall become members of said department after said effective date, shall be members of the Retirement System on and after said date, subject to the following provisions of this Section 168, in addition to the provisions contained in Sections 158 to 161, both inclusive, of this Charter, notwithstanding the provisions of any other section of the Charter. Members of the said department who shall be members of the Retirement System under Section 166 of the Charter on said effective date, however, shall have the option to be exercised in writing on a form furnished by the Retirement System and to be filed at the office of said System within ninety days after the effective date hereof, of being members of the System under Section 166 instead of Section 168, the election under said option to date back to and be effective on said effective date. In like manner, members of the said Department who shall be members of the Retirement System under sections 165 or 168 of the Charter, shall have the option, to be exercised in writing on a form furnished by the Retirement System, and to be filed at the office of said System within ninety days after the effective date hereof, of being members of the System under section 165 instead of section 168, the election to date back and be effective on said effective date. On and after said date, the persons who affirmatively exercise said option, shall be members of the System under Sections 166 or 165 respectively, and shall not be subject to any of the provisions of Section 168.

(a) The following words and phrases as used in this section, unless a different meaning is plainly required by the context, shall have the following meanings:

"Retirement allowance", or "allowance" shall mean equal monthly payments, beginning to accrue upon the date of retirement, or upon the day following the date of death, and continuing for life unless a different term of payment is definitely provided by the context, equal to fifty percent of the final compensation of the person to whom, or on account of whose death, said payments are made. The amount of any retirement allowance, or allowance, shall not be changed after determination



under this Section, regardless of changes in salaries attached to positions or ranks in the Police Department.

"Compensation", as distinguished from benefits under the Workmen's Compensation, Insurance and Safety Act of the State of California, shall mean the remuneration payable in cash, by the City and County, without deduction except for absence from duty, for time during which the individual receiving such remuneration is a member of the Police Department.

"Compensation earnable" shall mean the compensation which would have been earned had the member received compensation without interruption throughout the period under consideration and at the rates of remuneration attached to the ranks or positions held by him during such period, it being assumed that during any absence he was in the rank or position held by him at the beginning of the absence, and that prior to becoming a member of the Police Department he was in the rank or position first held by him in such department.

"Final compensation" shall mean the average monthly compensation earnable by a member during the three years immediately preceding his retirement, or death before retirement.

For the purposes of the Retirement System and of this section, the terms "member of the Police Department", "member of the department", or "member", shall mean any officer or employee of the Police Department whose employment therein began prior to January 1, 1900, or whose employment therein began or shall begin after that date, and was or shall be subject to the charter provisions governing entrance requirements for members of the uniformed force of said department, and said terms further shall mean, from the effective date of their employment in said department, persons employed on the effective date hereof, regardless of age, or employed after said date at an age not greater than thirty-five years, in the Police Department to perform the duties now performed under the titles of Criminologist, Photographer, Police Patrol Driver, Police Motor Boat Operator, Woman Protective Officer, Police Woman, or Jail Matron. Any police service performed by such a member of the Police Department outside the limits of the City and County and under orders of a superior officer of any such member, shall be considered as City and County service, and any disability or death incurred therein shall be covered under the provisions of the Retirement System.

"Retirement System" or "System" shall mean San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System as created in Section 158 of the Charter.

"Retirement Board" shall mean "Retirement Board" as created in Section 159 of the Charter.

"Charter" shall mean the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Words used in the masculine gender shall include the feminine and neuter genders, and singular numbers shall include the plural, and the plural the singular.

"Interest" shall mean interest at the rate adopted by the Retirement Board.

(b) Any member of the Police Department who shall have completed at least twenty-five years of service in the aggregate, computed as provided in subsection (h) hereof, may retire for service at his option. Any member who shall attain the age of seventy years shall be retired on the first of the month next following such attainment. A member retired under this subsection (b) shall receive a retirement allowance equal to fifty percent of the final compensation of said member, as defined in subsection (a) hereof.

(c) Any member of the Police Department, who shall become incapacitated for performance of his duty by reason of any bodily injury received in, or illness caused by the performance of his duty, shall be retired, and shall receive a retirement allowance equal to fifty percent of the final compensation of said member, as defined in subsection (a) hereof. Any member of the Police Department who shall become incapacitated for performance of his duty, by reason of a cause not included under the provisions of the immediately preceding sentence, and who shall have completed at least ten years of service in the aggregate, computed as provided in subsection (h) hereof, shall be retired upon an allowance of one and one-quarter percent of the final compensation of said member, as defined in subsection (a) hereof, for each year of service, provided that said allowance shall not be less than twenty-five percent of said final compensation. The question of retiring a member under this subsection may be brought before the Retirement Board on said Board's own motion, by recommendation of the Police Commission or by said member or his guardian. If his disability shall cease, his retirement allowance shall cease, and he shall be restored to the service in the rank he occupied at the time of his retirement.

(d) If a member of the Police Department shall die (1) before retirement as a result of an injury received in, or illness caused by the performance of his duty, or (2) while eligible to retire under subsection (b) of this section, regardless of the cause of death, or (3) after retirement for service under subsection (b) hereof, regardless of the cause of death, or under subsection (c) hereof because of injury received in, or illness caused by the performance of his duty, an allowance shall be paid to his surviving wife, equal to fifty percent of the final compensation of said member, as defined in subsection (a) hereof, the allowance to continue throughout her life or until her remarriage; or if there be no surviving wife entitled to an allowance hereunder, or if she die or remarry before every child of such deceased member shall have attained the age of eighteen years, then said allowance shall be paid, to his child or children under said age collectively to continue until every such child shall die or attain said age, provided that no child shall receive any allowance after marrying or attaining the age of eighteen years. Should said member leave no surviving wife and no children under the age of eighteen years, but leave a parent or parents dependent upon him for support, the parents so dependent shall collectively receive said monthly allowance during such dependency. No allowance, however, shall be paid under this subsection to a surviving wife following the death of a member



(1) after being retired under subsection (b) of this section, regardless of the cause of death, unless said surviving wife of the deceased member was married to said member at least three years prior to retirement; or

(2) after being retired under subsection (c) of this section unless retirement be due to injury received in, or illness caused by the performance of his duty and unless said surviving wife was married to said member at least one year prior to death; or

(3) if said death be due to causes other than injury received in, or illness caused by the performance of his duty, and if said death shall occur before retirement but while eligible to retire under subsection (b) of this section, unless said surviving wife was married to said member at least three years prior to death.

(e) Any allowance payable because of the death or retirement of any member of said Department shall be reduced in the manner fixed by the Board of Supervisors, by the amount of any benefits, other than medical benefits, payable to or on account of such person, under the Workmen's Compensation Insurance and Safety Law of the State of California.

(f) If a member of the Police Department shall die, before retirement, from causes other than an injury received in, or illness caused by the performance of duty, or regardless of cause, if no allowance shall be payable under subsection (d) preceding, a death benefit shall be paid to his estate or designated beneficiary, the amount of which and the conditions for the payment of which shall be determined in the manner prescribed by the Board of Supervisors for the death benefit of other members of the Retirement System.

(g) Should any member of the department cease to be employed as such a member, through any cause other than death or retirement or transfer to another office or department, all of his contributions, with interest credited thereon, shall be refunded to him forthwith. If he shall again become a member of the Department, he shall deposit in the Retirement Fund, the amount refunded to him. If a member of the Retirement System shall become a member of the Police Department from a status as an employee in any other office or department, the contributions then credited to him, with interest, shall be refunded to him and he shall not receive credit for any service other than that rendered as a member of the Police Department. Contributions, with interest, which shall be credited on the effective date hereof, because of service rendered in any other office or department, to any person who shall be or become a member of the Retirement System under this section, shall be refunded to him forthwith. Should a member of the Police Department become an employee of any other office or department, a portion of his contributions with credited interest equal to the contributions which would have accumulated to his credit if he had been employed in said other office or department at the rate of compensation received by him in the Police Department, shall remain credited to his account, and he shall receive credit for service to the extent of said accumulated contributions, and the balance of his contributions with credited interest shall be refunded to him forthwith.

(h) The following time shall be included in the computation of the service to be credited to a member of the Department for the purpose of determining whether such member qualifies for retirement:

(1) Time during and for which said member is entitled to receive compensation because of services as a member of the Department.

(2) Time during which said member, while on leave of absence from said department without salary, has served or shall serve in the military, naval or air forces of the United States of America during any war in which the United States was or shall be engaged.

(i) All payments provided under this section shall be made from funds derived from the following sources, plus interest earned on said funds:

(1) There shall be deducted from each salary payment made to any member of the Police Department, a sum equal to five percent of such salary payment, notwithstanding the provisions of Section 160 of the Charter. The sum so deducted shall be paid forthwith to the Retirement System. Said contribution shall be credited to the individual account of the member from whose salary it was deducted, and the total of said contributions, together with interest credited thereon in the same manner as is prescribed by the Board of Supervisors for crediting interest to contributions of other members of the Retirement System, shall be applied to provide part of the retirement allowance granted to, or allowance granted on account of said member, under this section, or shall be paid to said member or his estate or beneficiary as provided in subsections (f) and (g) of this Section.

(2) The total contributions deducted from salary earned by persons who become members under this section, prior to the effective date hereof, together with interest thereon, and standing to the credit of such members on the records of the Retirement System on said date, shall continue to be credited to the individual accounts of said members and shall be subject to the last sentence of paragraph (1) next preceding, in exactly the same manner as the contributions provided for in said paragraph.

(3) The total contributions, with interest thereon, made by or charged against the City and County and standing to its credit, in the accounts of the Retirement System, on account of persons who become members under this section, shall be applied to provide the benefits under this section.

(4) The City and County shall contribute to the Retirement System such amounts as may be necessary, when added to the contributions referred to in the preceding paragraphs of this subsection (i), to provide the benefits payable under this section. Such contributions of the City and County to provide the portion of the benefits hereunder which shall be based on service rendered prior to the effective date hereof, shall not be less during any fiscal year than the amount of such benefits paid during said year. Such contributions of the City and County to provide the portion of the benefits hereunder which shall be based on service rendered on and



after the effective date hereof, shall be made in annual installments, and the installment to be paid in any year shall be determined by the application of a percentage to the total salaries payable during said year, to persons who are members under this section, said percentage to be the ratio of the value at the effective date hereof, or at the later date of a periodical actuarial valuation and investigation into the experience under the System as provided by the Board of Supervisors, of salaries thereafter payable to said members then in the Police Department, to the value at said effective or said later date of the benefits thereafter to be paid under this section, from contributions of the City and County, less the amount of such contribution, plus accumulated interest thereon, then held by said System to provide said benefits on account of service rendered after the effective date hereof. Said values shall be determined by the Actuary, who shall take into account the interest which shall be earned on said contributions, the compensation experience of members, and the probabilities of separation by all causes, of members from service before retirement and of death after retirement. Said percentage shall be changed only on the basis of said periodical actuarial valuation and investigation into the experience under the System.

The contributions of both members and the City held by the System to provide the benefits under this section, shall be a part of the fund in which all other assets of said System are included, but the accounts of said System shall be kept in such manner as to permit the segregation of assets equal to the total contributions with interest credited thereon, held by said System to provide the benefits under this Section. Nothing in this section shall affect the obligation of the City and County to pay to the Retirement System any amounts which became or shall become due under the provisions of the Charter prior to the effective date hereof, and which are represented on said effective date, in the accounts of said system by debits against the City and County.

(j) Upon the completion of the years of service set forth in subsection (b) of this Section as requisite to retirement, a member shall be entitled to retire at any time thereafter in accordance with the provisions of said subsection (b), and no amendment to this charter or repeal of this section, or any other event or occurrence of whatever nature shall deprive said member of said right.

(k) Any member retired under the provisions of this section shall not be eligible for appointment to any salaried position in the federal, state, county, or municipal governments. Any violation of the contents of this section by a member shall be good and sufficient cause for forfeiture of all benefits granted under this section during the period of such employment.

(1) Any section, or part of any section in this Charter, insofar as it should conflict with this section 168, or with any part thereof, shall be superseded by the contents of said section 168. In the event that any clause, section or phrase of this charter amendment shall be adjudged unconstitutional, the remainder thereof shall remain in full force and effect.

**Authorizing Execution of Inter-County Agreements Re  
Aid for Indigents.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Presented by Public Welfare Committee:

Resolution No. 4118, as follows:

Authorizing the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to execute for and on behalf of said Board of Supervisors and the City and County of San Francisco the necessary agreements between the City and County of San Francisco and any other counties, to cover the cases of indigent persons who are receiving relief from the City and County of San Francisco, and who, for the welfare of themselves or the welfare of their family, or in the public interest, remain in a county not responsible for the support of said indigent persons.

Whereas, Section 2503 of the Welfare and Institutions Code of the State of California provides that whenever the Board of Supervisors of a county that is responsible for the support of an indigent person, deems it to be for the best interest and welfare of said person or of his family, or in the public interest, that said person should be permitted to reside in a county not responsible for his support, that the county responsible for the support of said indigent should enter into an agreement with the county where said person may be residing, agreeing to support said person while in said county. Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the President of the Board of Supervisors be, and is hereby authorized to execute for and on behalf of this Board of Supervisors for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, any and all agreements to cover the cases herein mentioned, provided, however, that the Welfare Commission of the City and County of San Francisco shall first make recommendation to this Board of Supervisors, certifying as to the necessity of said indigent person residing in any county other than the City and County of San Francisco, and requesting this Board to enter into an agreement with said other county as authorized by Section 2503 of the Welfare and Institutions Code of the State of California.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**President of Board of Supervisors Requested to Appoint a Committee of Supervisors to Represent the City and County of San Francisco at the National Convention of the American Legion to Be Held in Los Angeles in September.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Mead presented:

Resolution No. 4119, as follows:

Whereas, both the State and National Conventions of the American Legion will be held in Los Angeles in September of this year; and

Whereas, during the National Convention of the American Legion at Los Angeles there will be a determined effort made to obtain for San Francisco the National Convention of the American Legion for 1943; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the President of this Board be and is hereby authorized and requested to appoint a committee of Supervisors to represent the City and County of San Francisco at the National Convention of the American Legion to be held in Los Angeles in September, and that said committee be instructed and empowered to exert every effort to the



end that San Francisco may be the host to the National Convention of the American Legion in 1943.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Requesting Tax Collector to Postpone Deeding Property in Sunset Tunnel Assessment District and Extending Time for Redemption.**

(Code No. 12.033)

Supervisor Meyer presented:

Resolution No. 4120, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby request the Tax Collector to postpone the deeding of property in Sunset Tunnel Assessment District as provided for under Resolution No. 2798, and that the time after which the Tax Collector shall deed property acquired by reason of the failure to pay Sunset Tunnel Assessments be and it is hereby extended to and including the 6th day of January, 1939; and, be it

Further Resolved, That any person or persons, firms or corporations owing assessments in Sunset Tunnel Assessment District shall have to and including the 6th day of January, 1939, within which to pay said assessments, with interest and penalties provided for under the Tunnel Procedure Ordinance.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**In Memoriam—Captain William T. Healy.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 4121, as follows:

Whereas, Captain William T. Healy, prominent member of the San Francisco Police Department, has been summoned to his eternal reward; and

Whereas, Captain Healy was a veteran and valued member of the force, having served in various capacities therein since 1907; and

Whereas, during his lifetime, both in his official capacity as well as in his private life, Captain Healy won the respect and admiration of all with whom he came in contact; and

Whereas, San Francisco and its Police Department will sorely miss, and long mourn Captain William T. Healy; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors notes with deep regret, the passing of Captain William T. Healy and takes this opportunity to express its deepest sympathy to his widow and daughter as well as to the other members of his family; and be it

Further Resolved, That when this Board adjourns this day, it does so out of respect to the memory of the late Captain William T. Healy; and be it

Further Resolved, That a suitably engrossed copy of this resolution be forwarded to the widow of the late Captain Healy; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors, as a committee of the whole, attend services in a body tomorrow.

*Adopted* unanimously by rising vote.

**Requesting Police Commission to Reconsider Decision to Prohibit  
Diagonal Parking on Geary Boulevard.**

(Code No. 11.02)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 4122, as follows:

Whereas, it has come to the attention of the Board of Supervisors that it is proposed to abolish diagonal parking on Geary boulevard; and

Whereas, because Geary boulevard, in the area which constitutes its shopping center, and because of the fact that it serves the entire Richmond District, is one of the most populous areas in the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, to effect the plan proposed would seriously hamper the various businesses established in this great shopping area and would depreciate property values therein; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby request the Police Commission to grant a hearing to the Geary street merchants before putting their order into effect.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Mayor Requested to Appoint Citizens' Committee for Celebration  
of Pulaski Day, October 15, 1938.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Resolution No. 4123, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby requested to appoint a suitable Citizens' Committee for the celebration of Pulaski Day, October 15, 1938.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**City-Owned Motor Vehicles to Be Equipped With the Treasure  
Island Invitational Automobile Plate.**

(Code No. 5.95)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 4124, as follows:

Whereas, it is desirable and necessary that the Golden Gate International Exposition, to be held on Treasure Island in San Francisco Bay in 1939, be publicized and advertised in the most efficient and effective way possible; and

Whereas, there has been designed special "invitational plates" for use in connection with the regular license plates on motor cars; and

Whereas, this invitational plate has received the hearty endorsement and sanction of the Directors and Managers of the Exposition, as an accepted means of inviting the world to be our guests in 1939; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby state it to be their opinion and wish that all motor vehicles owned and in use by the City and County be equipped with the authorized and recommended Treasure Island Invitational Automobile Plates and that a suitable appropriation be made from the advertising and publicity funds of the City and County of San Francisco for the purchase of the said invitational plates.

*Referred to Chief Administrative Officer.*



**Finance Committee Requested to Investigate Why the Improvements on Treasure Island Are Not Listed on the 1938-39 Assessment Rolls.**

(Code No. 9.01)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Resolution No. 4125, as follows:

Whereas, the law provides that on the first Monday in March of each year there shall be listed upon the assessment roll for the purpose of taxation, personal and real property belonging to individuals or corporations; and

Whereas, there does not appear on the assessment rolls for 1938-39 the improvements on Treasure Island, San Francisco Bay, or at the southeast corner of Bush and Stockton streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, presumably the property of the San Francisco Bay Exposition, Inc.; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors investigate why the improvements on Treasure Island, exclusive of the improvements made by the Federal Government, and the improvements at the southeast corner of Bush and Stockton streets, are not on the 1938-39 assessment rolls of the City and County of San Francisco, as provided by law.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

**Urging the Mayor to Request Public Utilities Commission to Submit Bond Issue at November Election for Rapid Transit as Regards Bus Operation Necessary.**

(Code No. 15.094)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Resolution No. 4126, as follows:

Whereas, more than a month ago I submitted to this Board of Supervisors a bus plan covering twenty routes to the various outlying sections of San Francisco, which plan having been referred to the Public Utilities Commission but not acted upon to date; and

Whereas, bus operation on said routes will speed up transportation from ten to fifteen minutes; and

Whereas, leading cities in the East are abandoning trolley operation in favor of bus operation, outstanding among which is Newark, New Jersey, which evidences the fact that the modern method of transportation is by bus; and

Whereas, the annual report of the East Bay Companies sets forth a total of street car routes as being 13; bus routes 39; round-trip miles, street cars, 164; buses, 411. 1937 street car passengers, 39,143,000; buses, 26,439,000; street car miles 6,950,000; bus miles 7,405,000. By means of buses outlying cities in the East Bay are being developed and built up; and

Whereas, approval of a bond issue submitted to the voters on the November ballot would enable bus operations in San Francisco to begin by April 1, 1939; and

Whereas, the Supervisors have no voice in the submission of such a bond issue unless so requested by the Public Utilities Commission; and

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission is appointed by the Mayor; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Supervisors make an urgent appeal to the Mayor that the Public Utilities Commission submit to the voters at the November election a bond issue for the immediate operation of bus routes to the outlying sections of San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Chief Administrative Officer give immediate consideration to the coordination of the various

commissions and departments interested, to the end that traffic conditions can be immediately improved.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

**Mayor to Appoint Citizens' Committee to Arrange Celebration of Opening of Glen Park Clubhouse.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Resolution No. 4127, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee to arrange for the proper celebration of the opening of the Glen Park Clubhouse, which is to be completed at an early date.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Proposed Amendment to Plumbing Ordinance.**

Supervisor Reilly presented communication from Pacific Foundry Company, Ltd., requesting amendment to Section 18 (Chemical Sewers), Ordinance No. 615, Plumbing Ordinance.

*Referred to Building Committee.*

**Underpasses, Market Street.**

Supervisor Uhl moved that the City Engineer be requested to advise the Board, in time for the next meeting, on the feasibility of underpasses at First, Sixth and Tenth streets.

*So ordered.*

**Installation of "Stop" and "Go" Traffic Signals.**

Supervisor Uhl called the attention of the Board to the installation of additional "Stop" and "Go" traffic signals at various locations, and requested that Captain Charles Goff and Chief Ralph Wiley be invited to appear before the Board on Monday, August 1, 1938, at 3 p. m., to explain the necessity for, and justify the installation of, such additional installations.

*So ordered, and made Special Order of Business at 3 P. M., Monday, August 1, 1938.*

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 5:40 p. m., adjourned.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors August 1, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, David A. Barry, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

DAVID A. BARRY,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.



Monday, August 1, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.

Journal of Proceedings  
Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

---

MONDAY, AUGUST 1, 1938, 2 P. M.

---

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, August 1, 1938,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

Supervisor Brown was noted present at 2:20 p. m.

Supervisor Roncovieri was noted present at 2:15 p. m.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of July 25, 1938, was considered read and approved.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

Hearing of protests against assessments for costs and expenses of the improvement of Agua way, north one-half, between Teresita boulevard and Chaves avenue, and certain other streets, by the construction of sidewalks, by M. Bertolino, as described in Declaration of Intention, Order No. 7357, Department of Public Works.

Mr. John F. O'Sullivan, representing Raymond T. Burke, and Mr. Paul B. Hunt, representing himself, spoke against the assessment for the improvement of Douglas street at Army, and protested the inclusion of his property therein.

Mr. Elmer Jordan, representing the Department of Public Works, spoke in explanation of the circumstances in the case.

Thereupon, on motion of Supervisor Ratto, the *protests were denied*, with the understanding that the appeal of Messrs. Hunt and Burke be re-referred to the Department of Public Works for adjustment and proportionate assessment.

## SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Board invite Captain Goff and Ralph W. Wiley, Chief of the Department of Electricity, to appear before the Board at 3 p. m. to explain the increase in the installations of traffic "stop" and "go" signals.

Captain Goff and Mr. Wiley spoke at length in justification of the installation of traffic signals as a protection to the pedestrian public.

Whereupon, Supervisor Uhl moved that Captain Goff check up on the number of installations contemplated and advise him at the next meeting of the Board.

*So ordered.*

### MOTION TO RECONSIDER.

The following matter was taken up on motion of reconsideration given by Supervisor McSheehy at last meeting:

#### Prohibiting Smoking on Any Wharf, Pier, etc., Within City Limits.

(Code No. 11.05)

Bill No. 1620, Ordinance No. 11.059, as follows:

Prohibiting the smoking of any cigar, pipe or cigarette, or the burning of tobacco or the burning of an open flame or electric arc, by any person upon any wharf, pier, quay or bulkhead or within any hatch, hold or space wherein any cargo of any character is kept or stored on or within any ship or vessel anchored or berthed within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, and providing for penalties for the violation of this ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person to smoke any cigar, pipe or cigarette, or to burn tobacco in any manner whatsoever, or to use an open flame of any character or an electric arc, excepting only when said open flame or electric arc is necessarily employed in the making of repairs, alterations or structural changes, on or upon any wharf, pier, quay or bulkhead or within any hatch, hold or other space wherein cargo of any character is kept or stored on or within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. The Chief Engineer of the Fire Department, in conjunction with the Chief of the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety, is hereby authorized and given full power and authority to make all necessary rules and regulations providing for the use of any open flame or electric arc when the same are used in the making of repairs, alterations, or structural changes on any wharf, pier, quay or bulkhead or within any hatch, hold or other space wherein cargo is kept or stored on or within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 3. Any person who shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance, or of any rule or regulation made by the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department or by the Chief of the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety under authority hereof, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not to exceed five hundred dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 4. Ordinance No. 831, prohibiting smoking of any cigar, pipe or cigarette or the burning of tobacco on any wooden wharf, pier, quay or bulkhead in the City and County of San Francisco, which ordinance was approved on July 21, 1909, is hereby repealed.

*Refused passage for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—5.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Reilly, Shannon—3.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Schmidt—3.

Before the result of the foregoing vote was announced, Supervisor McSheehy changed his vote from "No" to "Aye" and moved for reconsideration at the next meeting of the board, thereby making the vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Reilly, Shannon—2.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Schmidt—3.



### Communications.

Communications from the Fireman's Fund Insurance Co., the Board of Marine Underwriters of San Francisco, Inc., and from Chief Frank P. Kelly, Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation, agreeing to the exclusion of the Municipal Recreation Pier were read and *filed*.

### Amendment.

Whereupon, Supervisor Mead requested the inclusion of the following amendment submitted by the Chief of Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation:

"Section 5. The Municipal Recreation Concrete Pier at the Aquatic Park shall be exempt from the provisions of this ordinance, except those provisions contained in section 2."

No objection and *so ordered*.

### Passed for Second Reading.

Whereupon, the foregoing ordinance, as amended, was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

### Prohibiting Smoking on Any Wharf, Pier, etc., Within City Limits.

(Code No. 11.05)

Bill No. 1620, Ordinance No. 11.059, as follows:

Prohibiting the smoking of any cigar, pipe or cigarette, or the burning of tobacco or the burning of an open flame or electric arc, by any person upon any wharf, pier, quay or bulkhead or within any hatch, hold or space wherein any cargo of any character is kept or stored on or within any ship or vessel anchored or berthed within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, and providing for penalties for the violation of this ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person to smoke any cigar, pipe or cigarette, or to burn tobacco in any manner whatsoever, or to use an open flame of any character or an electric arc, excepting only when said open flame or electric arc is necessarily employed in the making of repairs, alterations or structural changes, on or upon any wharf, pier, quay or bulkhead or within any hatch, hold or other space wherein cargo of any character is kept or stored on or within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. The Chief Engineer of the Fire Department, in conjunction with the Chief of the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety, is hereby authorized and given full power and authority to make all necessary rules and regulations providing for the use of any open flame or electric arc when the same are used in the making of repairs, alterations, or structural changes on any wharf, pier, quay or bulkhead or within any hatch, hold or other space wherein cargo is kept or stored on or within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 3. Any person who shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance, or of any rule or regulation made by the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department or by the Chief of the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety under authority hereof, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not to exceed five hundred dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 4. Ordinance No. 831, prohibiting smoking of any cigar, pipe or cigarette or the burning of tobacco on any wooden wharf, pier, quay or bulkhead in the City and County of San Francisco, which ordinance was approved on July 21, 1909, is hereby repealed.

Section 5. The Municipal Recreation Concrete Pier at the Aquatic

Park shall be exempt from the provisions of this ordinance, except those provisions contained in Section 2.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

The following recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police was taken up:

#### Underground Districts.

(Code No. 11.12)

Bill No. 1631, Ordinance No. 11.1223, as follows:

Providing for the placing and installing of electrical cables and conductors underground in any district that is now designated as an underground district in the City and County of San Francisco, or any additional district that is designated as an underground district in said City and County, within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead conductors, except trolley poles and conductors; prohibiting the use of overhead wiring, materials, devices, poles or overhead conductor supporting structures over or upon any street designated as being within an underground district; providing for the removal of poles, overhead wiring structures, overhead wiring, materials, and devices by persons, firms, partnerships, corporations or public utilities owning, operating or maintaining said equipment, materials and devices; providing for the removal of poles, overhead wiring structures, overhead materials and devices within a specified time at the cost of the person, firm, partnership, corporation or public utility owning, operating, using or maintaining same; requiring electrical service conductors to terminate in underground pull boxes and notice of installation; providing for installation by owner, operator or lessor of property of electrical conduits and conductors for light, heat and power from pull boxes after notice; providing for installation by owner, operator or lessor of property of electrical conduits for telephone and telegraph service; providing for extension of conduits to pull boxes and installation of service conductors; requiring disconnection and removal of overhead electrical service wires or conductors in event of failure to install conduits and service conductors within thirty (30) days after installation of underground pull boxes; providing for the duties of the Chief of the Department of Electricity; providing for the penalties for violations of the sections of this ordinance; providing for the use of temporary poles and conductors in underground districts; exempting trolley poles and trolley conductors in underground districts; providing for the extension of certain conductors across underground districts; and repealing all ordinances and parts of ordinances in conflict herewith, and particularly Sections 2B, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9 of Order No. 214, Second Series, regulating the removal of all poles, overhead wiring structures, materials and devices from designated underground districts.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

*Section 1. Unlawful to Maintain Overhead Wiring, Materials and Devices in an Underground District.* It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, partnership, corporation or public utility to erect, maintain, extend or operate any pole or structure used for the support of overhead electrical conductors, electrical materials and devices, overhead cables or conductors, by, through, over or upon the streets of any designated underground district where electrical energy may be or has been transmitted or used for the purpose of electrical lighting, heat, power, telephone, telegraph, or any other type of electrical transmission or distribution.

*Section 2. Declaring Overhead Conductors, Materials and Devices in Underground Districts a Public Nuisance.* On and after the date that



the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco officially declares a designated underground district, all overhead cables, conductors, materials, devices, poles or overhead structures used for the support of electrical conductors, materials and devices, on, by, over, through or upon the streets of said designated district shall be deemed and become public nuisances, except such as are in this ordinance exempted from the provisions of this ordinance. It shall be unlawful after the respective times aforesaid for any person, firm, partnership, corporation or public utility to erect, maintain or extend any overhead conductors from building to building, or otherwise, and not on poles, except such as are in this ordinance exempted from the provisions of this ordinance.

*Section 3. Poles, Conductors, Materials and Devices in Underground District to be Removed.* Every person, firm, partnership, corporation or public utility that owns, uses, maintains or operates any pole or structure used for the support of electrical materials or devices, overhead conductors, cables, materials or devices on, by, over, through or upon the streets of the City and County of San Francisco where electrical energy has been or may be transmitted or used for the purpose of electrical lighting, heating, power, telephone, telegraph, or any other type of electrical distribution or service, shall remove the aforesaid materials and devices from such of those streets which have been or shall be designated by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco as being within an underground district, provided that said persons, firms, partnerships, corporations or public utilities owning, maintaining, extending, using, or operating such poles, overhead wiring supporting structures, overhead cables and devices, shall not be required to remove said poles, structures, materials and devices for more than two and one-half ( $2\frac{1}{2}$ ) lineal miles of said streets in any one calendar year after the date of passage of this ordinance.

*Section 4. Removal of Poles, Overhead Materials and Devices Within a Specified Time.* Each and every pole, overhead wiring structure, cable and device described in aforesaid sections of this ordinance, excepting such as are in this ordinance exempted, in said City and County, owned, controlled, operated or used by said person, firm, partnership, corporation or public utility for any of the aforesaid purposes, shall be taken down and removed before the time specified by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco at the time a respective underground district is designated. The removal and taking down of said equipment and materials shall be by and at the cost of the person, firm, partnership, corporation or public utility so owning, controlling, operating or using same.

*Section 5. Electrical Service Conductors to Terminate in Underground Pull Boxes. Notice of Installation.* In any district that the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco may now or hereafter designate as an additional underground district, the person, firm, corporation or utility supplying the electrical service for electric lighting, heat, power, telephone, telegraph, or any other type of electrical service, and hereinafter called the Serving Company, shall terminate its electrical service conductors in an underground pull box adjacent to or at the property line of the building or structure being served, provided that, in the event the Serving Company elects to serve a building or structure from some other location than adjacent to or at the property line of the building or structure, in any other approved manner, the provisions of this section and of Section 8 hereof shall not be applicable. The Serving Company shall give to the person, firm, or corporation owning, operating, leasing or renting such building or structure, notice of the date when such pull box will be installed.

*Section 6. Installation by Owner, Etc., of Property of Electrical Conduits, Etc., for Light, Etc., From Pull Boxes.* The electrical conduits and conductors for light, heat and power, between the under-

ground pull box and the main service switches in the building or structure being served, shall be installed by the person, firm, or corporation owning, operating, leasing or renting said property, within thirty days after the date specified in such notice as the date when such pull box will be installed.

Section 7. *Installation by Owner, Etc., of Property of Electrical Conduits, Etc., for Telephone, Etc., Service.* The electrical conduits for telephone and telegraph service shall be installed between the property line and the terminal location in the building by the person, firm or corporation owning, operating, leasing or renting said building.

Section 8. *Extension of Conduits to Pull Boxes and Installation of Service Conductors.* The Serving Company for telephone or telegraph service shall extend said conduit to their respective underground pull box or manhole and shall install the service conductors in said conduit.

Section 9. *Failure to Install Conduits, Etc., Removal of Overhead Wires, Etc.* In the event of failure on the part of the person, firm or corporation owning, operating, leasing or renting such building or structure to install such conduits and service conductors within thirty (30) days after the installation of the underground pull box so as to permit the removal of the overhead wires and conductors by the Serving Company, the Department shall order the disconnection and removal of any and all overhead electrical service wires or conductors supplying electrical service to such building or structure.

Section 10. *Duties of the Chief of the Department of Electricity.* The Chief of the Department of Electricity shall be responsible for the enforcement of this ordinance in any district that is now or may hereafter be designated as an underground district by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

The Chief of the Department of Electricity, after the time specified in Section 4, shall have the authority to order the removal, taking down, and carrying away any and all such poles, overhead wiring, structures, overhead wires, materials and devices aforesaid, as may not have been previously removed by the owners or operators thereof, as required by the provisions of this ordinance. The Chief of the Department of Electricity is hereby given authority to use and employ whatever labor, materials and devices as may be necessary to effectually carry out the provisions of this ordinance. The total cost of the labor, materials and devices necessary for the taking down and removal of the aforesaid overhead materials and devices shall be paid by the person, firm, partnership, corporation or public utility owning, controlling or operating the aforesaid overhead poles, structures, materials and devices.

Section 11. *Penalty For Each Day Such Poles and Other Overhead Materials and Devices Are Left Standing.* Any person, firm, partnership, corporation or public utility who shall erect or construct, place, maintain, extend or operate in any manner whatever, any pole or structure used for the support of overhead electrical materials or devices, overhead conductors, cables, materials and devices on, by, over, through or upon the streets of the City and County of San Francisco where electrical energy has been or may be transmitted or used for the purpose of electrical lighting, heating, power, telephone, telegraph, or any other type of electrical service or transmission, except such as are in this ordinance exempted, after the time specified aforesaid for each of the designated underground districts, or who shall neglect to take down and remove the aforesaid overhead materials and devices, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction, shall be fined not less than fifty (50) or more than two hundred (200) dollars for every day such poles and other aforesaid overhead equipment and devices are left standing.

Section 12. *Temporary Use of Poles, Overhead Materials and Devices in Underground Districts.* In cases requiring the temporary use of



overhead poles, structures, electrical materials and devices for the purposes of reporting conventions, meetings or other important public gatherings, or in cases of emergency, permits may be granted by the Chief of the Department of Electricity for the erection of overhead conductors and their supporting materials and devices for a period not exceeding sixty (60) days in each case.

Section 13. *Erection and Maintenance of Temporary Poles, Etc.* Temporary poles, overhead conductors and electrical materials and devices shall be erected and maintained by the person, firm, partnership, corporation or public utility to whom the temporary permit has been issued, and shall be erected and maintained in conformity with the rules and regulations of the Department of Electricity of the City and County of San Francisco and General Order No. 64A of the California Railroad Commission.

Section 14. *Removal of Temporary Poles, Etc.* After the expiration of a temporary permit, as specified in Section 12, and the emergency or special condition no longer exists, the person, firm, partnership, corporation or public utility shall remove all poles and other overhead materials and devices from said underground district. Failure to comply with the provisions of this section of this ordinance shall result in the same penalties as are specified in Section 11.

Section 15. *Inspection by Chief of the Department of Electricity or His Representative.* The Chief of the Department of Electricity is hereby charged with the duty of inspection and enforcement of all ordinances, rules and regulations relative to the installation of electrical conductors, materials and devices used for the transmission of electrical energy for light, heat, power, telephone, telegraph, or any other service or distribution in and upon the streets and over and upon buildings or structures in the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 16. *Exemption of Trolley Poles and Conductors.* Electric railways are expressly exempted from the provisions of this ordinance in so far as it affects the trolley poles and trolley wires used exclusively for the transmission of electrical power for railway purposes. The feeders or electrical service conductors for the trolley conductors shall conform to the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 17. *Extension of Certain Conductors Across Underground Districts.* Conductors of continuous lead crossing designated underground districts or parts thereof not further than to the extent of the width of a single street are hereby exempted from the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 18. *Application of this Ordinance to all Future Underground Districts.* In the event that the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco shall declare any additional underground district, the provisions as specified in this ordinance shall govern all overhead electrical conductors, poles, conductor or material supporting structures, and overhead materials and devices used for the transmission of electrical energy for lighting, heating, power, telephone, telegraph, or any other type of electrical service or distribution in and upon the streets and over and upon buildings or structures in the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 19. *Saving Clause.* If any section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance, and each section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, subdivisions, paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Section 20. *Repeal.* All ordinances and parts of ordinances in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance, and particularly Sections

2-B, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9 of Order No. 214, Second Series, regulating the removal of all overhead structures, poles, materials and devices from designated underground districts, are hereby repealed.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

The following persons spoke in favor of the ordinance:

Mr. Ralph W. Wiley, representing the Department of Electricity;

Mr. Eustace Cullinan, representing the Pacific Gas & Electric Company, with especial emphasis on adhering to the present maximum of two and one-half miles per year conversion to underground;

Mr. W. Chapman, representing the Pacific Gas & Electric Company, with especial emphasis on adhering to the present maximum of two and one-half miles per year conversion to underground;

Mr. Edward A. Kenny, representing the Geary Boulevard Merchants Association, spoke in favor of the ordinance, with especial emphasis on ten miles per year underground conversion rather than the proposed two and a half miles per year set forth in the ordinance.

Supervisor McSheehy urged that the ordinance be amended by increasing the mileage per year placed underground to ten rather than the present two and one-half miles per year.

Supervisor Mead moved an amendment by changing the mileage per year from the present two and one-half miles to five miles per year.

Amendment *accepted* by Supervisor McSheehy as substitute for original motion.

#### Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the foregoing ordinance, presented by the Fire, Safety and Police Committee, was *laid over one week, to be made a Special Order for 3 p. m.* Messrs. Ellis, Cahill and Ost to be notified to be present.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

##### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

##### Appropriation of \$17,000 From Emergency Reserve Fund, Loan to Housing Authority.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1610, Ordinance No. 9.051489, as follows:

Appropriation of \$17,000 From Emergency Reserve Fund, Loan to Housing Authority.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

There is hereby appropriated from the Emergency Reserve Fund for the fiscal year 1938-1939, Appropriation No. 802,900.00, the sum of Seventeen Thousand (\$17,000.00) Dollars to be loaned to the Housing Authority of the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of enabling it to carry on its activities until January 1, 1939.

The Controller is hereby directed to take from said Housing Authority the proper obligation providing for the re-payment of said amount loaned to said Housing Authority.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.



**Authorizing Payment to Carolyn Nixon in the Sum of Four Hundred Dollars.**

(Code No. 6.0222)

Also, Bill No. 1622, Ordinance No. 6.022232, as follows:

Authorizing payment to Carolyn Nixon in the sum of four hundred dollars.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney having recommended the settlement of the action of Carolyn Nixon vs. City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation; Landry C. Babin Company, a corporation, and Sam Brody, for the recovery of damages for personal injuries sustained as the result of a fall on the public sidewalk on Gough street near the intersection thereof with McAllister street in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on February 7, 1938, which suit is numbered 281,808 in the Superior Court of the State of California;

The other defendants having agreed to pay the sum of \$400, said City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle said pending litigation by the payment of the sum of \$400 and the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to draw his warrant for said sum of \$400 in favor of said Carolyn Nixon, plaintiff in said action.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Supplemental Appropriation of \$281.58 as Payment for Extra Work in Excess of Ten Per Cent of Certified Estimated Contract Price, Water Department Contract, Laying Cast Iron Main, Van Ness Avenue, Between North Point and Bay Streets.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1623, Ordinance No. 9.051496, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$281.58 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 66.920.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 66.920.68 for the purpose of paying for extra work in excess of 10 per cent of the certified estimated contract price in connection with Water Department Contract No. 154, laying 16-inch cast iron main Van Ness avenue between North Point and Bay streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$281.58 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 66.920.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 66.920.68 for the purpose of paying for extra work in excess of 10 per cent of the certified estimated contract price in connection with Water Department Contract No. 154, laying 16-inch cast iron main Van Ness avenue between North Point and Bay streets.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Supplemental Appropriation, \$20,000, for Public Utilities Exhibits at Golden Gate International Exposition.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1624, Ordinance No. 9.051497, as follows:

Authorizing supplemental appropriations as follows: From Water Department Operating Fund, \$10,000; from Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund, \$5,000; and from Municipal Railway Operating Fund, \$5,000, aggregating \$20,000, to provide funds in addition to \$20,000 to

be derived from the Exposition Fund (802.901.00) of the City and County of San Francisco to enable the Public Utilities Commission to supply exhibits at the Golden Gate International Exposition.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$20,000 is hereby appropriated as follows: From Water Department Operating Fund, \$10,000; from Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund, \$5,000, and from Municipal Railway Operating Fund, \$5,000, to provide funds in addition to \$20,000 to be derived from the Exposition Fund (802.901.00) of the City and County of San Francisco, to enable the Public Utilities Commission to employ engineers, architects, artists, and other professional persons or firms, labor and materials for the design, construction and installation and for the maintenance and operation of exhibits at the Golden Gate International Exposition symbolical of the municipally owned utilities of San Francisco and for any and all other necessary expenses, including the performance under contracts and the rental or purchase of equipment necessary to complete in all details the design, construction, installation, and the maintenance and operation of said exhibits.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**An Ordinance Granting American District Telegraph Company Permission to Operate a General System for Transmission of Signals and Alarms.**

**(Code No. 15.099)**

The following recommendation of the Public Utilities Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up:

Bill No. 1575, Ordinance No. 15.0991, as follows:

An ordinance granting to the American District Telegraph Company of San Francisco and assigns the right to install, construct, lay down and maintain poles, conduits, wires, cables, conductors and other appliances and equipment in, under and across the streets, alleys, avenues, thoroughfares and other public places in the City and County of San Francisco, for the operation of a general system or systems for the transmission of signals and alarms.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby granted to the American District Telegraph Company of San Francisco, a corporation, its successors and assigns, the right and privilege, for the period hereinafter specified, to install, construct, lay down and maintain poles, conduits, wires, cables, conductors and other appliances and equipment in, under and across the streets, alleys, avenues, thoroughfares and other public places in the City and County of San Francisco, for the operation of a general system or systems for the transmission of signals and alarms for any and all purposes, including, but without limiting the generality of the foregoing, fire alarms, burglar alarms, automatic sprinkler alarms, water flow alarms, night and day watchman alarms and messenger calls.

Section 2. The grantee of this franchise and privilege, its successors and assigns, shall, during the life of this franchise, and as a condition to the granting of the same, install, maintain and operate transmission lines between its central stations and the fire and police departments of the City and County of San Francisco, and install apparatus in said fire and police departments for the purpose of transmitting to said department alarms and signals of fires, burglaries, hold-ups and other emergencies, which said installation, maintenance and operation shall be at the cost of the grantee and without cost to the City and County of San Francisco.



Section 3. The construction and maintenance of any and all such poles, conduits, wires, cables, conductors and other appliances and equipment in, under and across the streets, alleys, avenues, thoroughfares and other public places, shall at all times be subject to the control and supervision of the several departments of the City and County of San Francisco having jurisdiction over the same.

Section 4. The grantee of this franchise and privilege, its successors and assigns, shall, during the life of this franchise, pay to the City and County of San Francisco two (2) per cent of the gross annual receipts of the grantee, its successors and assigns, arising from the use, operation or possession of the rights and privileges hereby granted. The said percentage shall be computed upon the gross annual receipts of the grantee, its successors and assigns, arising from such use, operation and possession, commencing upon the effective date of this franchise, and shall be payable annually, and in the event such payment is not made this franchise shall cease to exist and the grantee shall have no further right to operate under the same.

Section 5. The grantee of this franchise and privilege, its successors and assigns, shall at all times keep and maintain a full, true and correct account of all of the gross earnings and income of said grantee arising out of its operation under this franchise, which said books of accounts shall at all times be open to the inspection and examination of the authorized officers, agents and employees of said City and County of San Francisco, and that said grantee shall, on or before the 15th day of February of each calendar year, render to the said City and County of San Francisco a full, true and correct statement of all of the gross earnings and income from the operations of the said grantee arising out of this franchise for the preceding calendar year, and shall, on or before the 15th day of March of each calendar year, pay to the City and County of San Francisco the said sum of two (2) per cent of the gross annual receipts of the preceding year from the operations of the said grantee, its successors and assigns, arising from the use, operation or possession of the rights and privileges hereby granted. Should said grantee fail or neglect to pay the said amount due on or before the 15th day of March of each calendar year then, in that event, the amount so due shall bear interest at the rate of six (6) per cent per annum from the said 15th day of March until the said sum due as aforesaid shall have been paid. Nothing herein contained shall prevent the City and County of San Francisco from terminating said franchise for failure to pay the amounts herein specified.

Section 6. The provisions of this franchise and all rights, obligations and duties hereunder shall inure to and be binding upon the grantee, its successors or assigns.

Section 7. The grantee shall file a written acceptance of this franchise and an agreement to comply with the terms and conditions herein set forth with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco within thirty (30) days after the date that the ordinance granting this franchise becomes effective.

Section 8. The rights, privileges and franchise hereby granted shall continue and be in force for a period of twenty-five (25) years from and after the effective date of this franchise.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Adopted.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Nineteenth Avenue Widening—Release From Claims for Damage.**

(Code No. 6.05)

Resolution No. 4132, as follows:

Resolved, in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the hereinafter mentioned sums of money be paid from Appropriation No. 951.908.57 to the below named parties for releases from all claims of damage to their improvements located at the following addresses in San Francisco, California, caused by the widening of Nineteenth avenue:

Wilbur G. Bailey, et ux., No. 2485—Nineteenth avenue.....\$ 7.00  
 Carl Christensen, et ux., No. 2467—Nineteenth avenue..... 20.00

Approved by Director of Property.

Form approved by City Attorney.

Recommended by Director of Public Works.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Land Purchase—McLaren Park.**

(Code No. 12.1713)

Also, Resolution No. 4133, as follows:

Resolved, in accordance with the recommendation of the Park Department, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Max Goldberg, or the legal owner, to Lot 1, Assessor's Block 6002, San Francisco, required for the proposed McLaren Park, and that the sum of \$150.00 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 91.902.17. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Form approved by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved by Joseph J. Phillips, Director of Property.

Recommended by Park Department.

Funds available by H. J. Boyd, Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayès—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Land Purchase—Proposed McLaren Park.**

(Code No. 12.1713)

Also, Resolution No. 4134, as follows:

Resolved, in accordance with the recommendation of the Park Department, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Max Goldberg, or the legal owner, to Lot 6, Assessor's Block 6071, San Francisco, required for the proposed McLaren Park, and that the sum of \$1,000.00 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 712.600.03.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Form approved by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved by Joseph J. Phillips, Director of Property.

Recommended by B. P. Lamb, Secretary, Park Department.

In accordance with the authorization of the Board of Park Commissioners, at a meeting held June 30, 1938.

Funds available by H. J. Boyd, Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.



**Authorizing the Director of Property to Petition San Mateo County Supervisors Requesting Formation of Colma Creek Soil Conservation District.**

(Code No. 5.39)

Also, Resolution No. 4135, as follows:

Whereas, the County of San Mateo is sponsoring the formation of a soil conservation district in the drainage area of Colma Creek, said conservation district being formed under the provisions of Assembly Act No. 31; and

Whereas, it is necessary in the formation of this district that at least fifty per cent of the owners of land in the district representing fifty per cent of the acreage petition the Board of Supervisors of San Mateo County to form such a district; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco is the owner of a certain 16.75 acre tract known as a City Cemetery, which tract lies within the boundaries of this district; and

Whereas, the formation of this district will be for the benefit of the property of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the cost thereof to the City and County of San Francisco will not exceed fifty cents per acre annually. Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, that the Director of Property is hereby authorized on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco to petition the Supervisors of San Mateo County requesting the formation of the Colma Creek soil conservation district.

Form approved by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Confirming Lease of Certain Land and Improvements on "Treasure Island" for Airport Purposes.**

(Code No. 12.17354)

Also, Resolution No. 4136, as follows:

Whereas, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids would be received by him on July 20, 1938, for leasing the following described land and improvements for airport purposes:

In the generally southwest portion of the Air Terminal Building at "Treasure Island" and in the basement thereon 9290 square feet; on the first floor 12,280 square feet; on the second floor 12,230 square feet; on the third floor 4180 square feet, and on the fourth floor 410 square feet.

For the entire edifice known as Hangar B situated on said "Treasure Island" and an area of land surrounding and including said Hangar B which area shall be described as follows:

Commencing at a point on the southerly seawall of said "Treasure Island" and 200 feet distant in an easterly direction, measured along the southerly seawall line, from the easterly wall line of said Hangar B produced to said southerly seawall line, thence in a southerly direction 200 feet, thence at right angles westerly and on a line parallel to said southerly seawall a distance of 835 feet, thence at right angles a distance of 200 feet northerly to said seawall, this area overlying the waters of the Lagoon to the south of the seawall, thence from last named point northerly a distance of 837.50 feet, thence at right angles on a line parallel to aforesaid seawall and in an easterly direction a distance of 835 feet and thence at right angles in a southerly direction a distance of 837.50 feet to the point of commencement.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement Pan American Airways Company offered to lease said property for a period of ten years at the following amounts of rental:

\$1,000.00 per year for the first five years.

\$5,000.00 per year for the second five years.

Whereas, no higher bids were made or received and the Public Utilities Commission has recommended that said property be leased upon the above mentioned terms. Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, that said offer be and is hereby accepted. Be it

Further Resolved, that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute the necessary lease to Pan American Airways Company.

Form approved by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved by Joseph J. Phillips, Director of Property.

Recommended by Public Utilities Resolution No. 2795.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Final Passage.

The following emergency ordinance, recommended by the Finance Committee, was taken up:

**Supplemental Appropriation of \$100,000, From County Road Fund, as San Francisco's Contribution Toward Improvement of Various Streets. W.P.A. Projects. Emergency Ordinance.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1641, Ordinance No. 9.051499, as follows:

Authorizing a Supplemental Appropriation of \$100,000 from the County Road Fund for the purpose of providing funds for San Francisco's contribution toward the improvement of certain streets mentioned herein to be prosecuted under the Works Progress Administration Program; an Emergency Ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$100,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the County Road Funds to the credit of the following appropriations, for the purposes cited and in the amounts indicated, being San Francisco's contribution toward the improvement of the streets mentioned, work to be prosecuted by Works Progress Administration forces, an emergency ordinance.

Appropriation	Cost to City
848.804.07—Calhoun street, Union street southerly; Union street between Montgomery and Calhoun streets, grade, walls, pave, etc., City aid.....	\$ 16,500
848.804.08—Mission street between Spear and Steuart streets; Steuart street between Mission and Howard streets; Spear street between Mission and Howard streets; Howard street, north side, Spear and Steuart streets, Fronting Parcel Post Bldg., to be constructed, widen and reconstruct .....	9,600
848.804.09—Castro street, Twenty-fourth street to Twenty-sixth street, widen and reconstruct.....	6,000
848.804.10—Rausch street between Howard and Folsom streets, widen and reconstruct.....	3,300
848.804.11—Irwin street, Seventh to Eighth streets, reconstruct to grade .....	6,450
848.804.12—Mississippi street between Mariposa and Nineteenth streets, replace basalt with concrete.....	5,000
848.804.13—Harriet street between Howard and Folsom streets; Brannan street 275 feet southerly, widen and reconstruct to grade.....	5,400



848.804.14—Oak Grove avenue, between Harrison and Bryant streets, widen and reconstruct to grade.....	4,000
848.804.15—Morris street, Harrison street to southerly termination, widen and reconstruct to grade.....	5,800
848.804.16—Jessie street, Fourth to Fifth streets, widen and reconstruct .....	5,000
848.804.17—Jessie street, Seventh street—550 feet westerly, widen and reconstruct .....	2,400
848.804.18—Clayton street between Waller and Frederick streets, replace basalt with concrete.....	3,100
848.804.19—Juniper street between Harrison and Bryant streets, widen and reconstruct to grade.....	4,500
848.804.20—Sanchez street between Eighteenth and Nineteenth streets, replace basalt with concrete.....	3,400
848.804.21—Fulton street between Lyon street and Masonic avenue, widen and reconstruct.....	5,170
848.804.22—Alta street, Montgomery street easterly, replace temp. pavement .....	1,000
848.804.23—Montgomery street between Union and Greenwich streets, replace temp. pavement.....	2,000
848.804.24—Merlin street, Harrison street southerly, widen and reconstruct .....	2,500
848.804.25—Columbia square between Folsom and Harrison, reconstruct to grade; sidewalk, W/S.....	3,300
848.804.26—Sherman street between Folsom and Harrison streets (city property), sidewalk E/S.....	300
848.804.27—Townsend street, north side between Third and Fourth streets, widen and reconstruct.....	4,180
848.804.28—Eighth avenue, east side Clement street, 400 feet southerly, widen .....	1,100
Total.....	\$100,000

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists, involving the welfare of a large number of our citizens, as the W.P.A. (unemployed forces) will be laid off unless this money is provided to furnish the necessary material and the rental of equipment, etc., in connection with the improvement of the streets mentioned above.

Recommended by S. J. Hester, Director Department of Public Works.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available, Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to form, John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### Adopted.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

#### Finance Committee to Consider and Devise Ways and Means for Supplying Ammunition for Target Practice to Members of Police Department.

(Code No. 11.01)

Resolution No. 4083, as follows:

Whereas, the members of the San Francisco Police are required, in the course of their duties, to be proficient in the use of firearms; and

Whereas, it is of vital importance, in the suppression of crime and the apprehension of criminals, that the members of the San Francisco Police Department be skilled in the use of firearms; and

Whereas, the members of the Police Department are severely hampered in their target practice because of the fact that no ammunition is supplied to them by the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, this is a situation which does not make for the best interests of San Francisco; now therefore be it

Resolved, that the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors be and is hereby authorized and directed to consider this matter and to devise ways and means whereby the members of the Police Department may be supplied with ammunition for target practice.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Approval Supplemental Recommendations, Public Welfare Department, for August, 1938.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 4138, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Public Welfare Department, containing the additional names of persons and amounts to be paid as Old Age Security and Blind Pensions and Widows' Pensions for the month of August, 1938, and also denials, are hereby approved, and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is directed to transmit this approval to the Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Amending Section 16, Annual Salary Ordinance, Recreation Department—Increasing Employment.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 1642, Ordinance No. 9.053138, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 16 of Ordinance 9.053128 by increasing the number of employments under Item 18 from 4 to 8 J72 Playground Caretaker at \$145; by increasing the number of employments under Item 20 from 3 to 4 O58 Gardener at \$135; by increasing the number of employments under Item 26 from 14 to 16 R56 Playground Director (part time) at \$75; by increasing the number of employments under Item 32 from 6 to 9 R56 Playground Director at \$145; and by adding Item 33 7 R56 Playground Director (part time) at \$65.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 16 of Ordinance 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 16. RECREATION DEPARTMENT**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	2	A154	Carpenter at \$9 per day .....	
2	1	A158	Sub-Foreman Carpenter at \$10 per day.	
3	1	A354	Painter at \$9 per day.....	
4	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	\$ 175
5	1	B185	Business Manager, Recreation Dept....	300
6	2	B222	General Clerk .....	150
7	2	B222	General Clerk .....	175
9	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
10	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	165



## Section 16. RECREATION DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
11	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
12	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	150
13	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	235
14	1	F304	Supervisor of Playground Construction and Maintenance .....	350
15	10	J4	Laborer .....	150
16	1	J12	Labor Foreman .....	175
17	45	J72	Playground Caretaker .....	155
18	8	J72	Playground Caretaker .....	145
19	3	O1	Chauffeur .....	160
20	4	O58	Gardener .....	135
20½	1	O58	Gardener .....	145
21	4	O58	Gardener .....	155
22	1	O62	Superintendent of Grounds, Recreation Department .....	200
23	1	R2	Secretary and Supervisor of Spec. Activities .....	250
24	1	R3	Assistant Superintendent, Rec. Dept....	260
25	1	R4	Superintendent, Rec. Dept.....	400
26	16	R56	Playground Director (part time).....	75
28	1	R56	Playground Director .....	185
29	3	R56	Playground Director .....	175
30	18	R56	Playground Director .....	160
31	22	R56	Playground Director .....	155
32	9	R56	Playground Director .....	145
33	7	R56	Playground Director (part time).....	65
34		R56	Playground Director, 65c per hour....	
35	1	R105	Supervisor of Athletics .....	185
36	1	R106	Supervisor of Dramatics .....	225
37	1	R107	Supervisor of Women's Activities.....	195
39	1	R108	Supervisor of Music .....	210
40	2	R112	Matron, Swimming Pool—7 mo.....	110
41	1	R114	Swimming Instructor—7 mo. ....	145
42	1	R114	Swimming Instructor—7 mo. ....	190
43		R114	Swimming Instructor—5 mo. (same as Item 42) .....	155
44	1	R114	Swimming Instructor—7 mo. ....	145
45	1	R116	Supervisor of Swimming .....	195
46			Pianist (as needed).....per call \$2.50	
46a		R112	Matron, Swimming Pool, 65c per hour..	
46b		R114	Swimming Instructor, 65c per hour....	

## CAMP MATHER SEASONAL EMPLOYMENT, AS NEEDED

—All maintenance provided shall be charged for and deduction made from salaries in accordance with schedule of deductions fixed in Section 2 of this ordinance specified herein.

47		A154	Carpenters at \$9 per day .....	
50	1	B4	Bookkeeper (less R.) .....	139
51		B4	Bookkeeper .....	125
54		I6	Pastry Cook (deduct R. & L.).....	137.50
55		I12	Cook (deduct R. & L.) .....	162.50
56		I16	Chef (deduct R. & L.) .....	210.50
58		L352	Interne (less B., R. & L.).....	102.50
59	1	O1	Chauffeur (deduct R.) .....	185
61			Team Hire for Camp, at rates specified in Purchasers' Contract .....	
64	1	R56	Playground Director (less B., R. & L.) (part time) .....	110
65		R101	Camp Assistant (part time), less than \$80	

## Section 16. RECREATION DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
66	1	R102	Camp Manager (8½ mos. at \$225; 3½ mos. at \$260 less B. R. & L.) .....	

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

## Final Passage.

The following emergency Ordinance, as recommended by the Finance Committee, was taken up:

**Amending Section 53, Annual Salary Ordinance, Department of Electricity, by Addition of Item 29, 2 Cable Splicer's Helpers, as Needed, at \$8.00 per day; an Emergency Ordinance.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 1643, Ordinance No. 9.053139, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 53 of Ordinance 9.053128 by adding Item 29, 2—E155 Cable Splicer's Helpers as needed at \$8.00 a day; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 53 of Ordinance 9.053128 is hereby amended as follows:

## Section 53. DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A354	Painter at \$9.75 per day.....	
2	1	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 225
3	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
4	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	250
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
6	4	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
7	1	E2	Line Inspector .....	225
8	16	E4	Electrical Inspector .....	250
9	1	E8	Chief Electrical Inspector .....	260
10	8	E52	Fire Dispatcher .....	225
11	1	E54	Chief Fire Dispatcher .....	275
12	1	E108	Electrician .....	240
13	1	E108	Electrician at \$10 per day.....	
14	1	E110	Radio Maintenance Man at \$8 per day..	
15	1	E116	Superintendent of Plant, Department of Electricity .....	325
16	1	E154	Lineman .....	220
17	10	E154	Lineman .....	215
18	2	E154	Lineman .....	185
19	1	E156	Cable Splicer at \$9.50 per day.....	
20	2	E160	Foreman Lineman .....	240
21	1	F366	Chief, Department of Electricity.....	500
22	3	J4	Laborer at \$6 per day.....	
23	1	J12	Labor Foreman .....	195
24	1	J66	Garageman .....	162.50
25	1	J76	Traffic Button Maintenance Man at \$9 per day .....	
26	2	M254	Machinist .....	225
27	5	M260	Instrument Maker .....	225
28	1	M264	Foreman Instrument Maker .....	250
29	2	E155	Cable Splicer's Helpers (as needed) at \$8 per day .....	

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this



ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists as immediate action is necessary to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the Department of Electricity.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

#### Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Section 54, Central Office, Department of Public Health—Reclassification of Positions.

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 1644, Ordinance No. 9.053140, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 54 of Ordinance 9.053128 by changing the number of employments under Item 8 from 3 to 2 B408 General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at \$79.50, and by adding Item 8½, 1—B210 Office Assistant at \$79.50.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 54 of Ordinance 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

#### Section 54. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH— CENTRAL OFFICE ADMINISTRATION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	\$ 170
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
3	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	215
4	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
5	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	135
6	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	160
7	1	C52	Elevator Operator .....	155
8	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time)	79.50
8½	1	B210	Office Assistant .....	79.50
9	1	L14	Assistant Director of Public Health....	375
10	1	L18	Director of Public Health.....	833.33
11	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	190
12	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	175
13	2	B4	Bookkeeper .....	150
14	1	B14	Senior Accountant .....	400
15	2	B222	General Clerk .....	190
16	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	170

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### Final Passage.

The following emergency ordinance, recommended by the Finance Committee, was taken up:

#### Supplemental Appropriation of \$2,250, Emergency Reserve Fund, to the Credit of Retirement System Appropriation No. 872.248.00, Actuarial Studies, Charter Amendment Relating to Police Department.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1646, Ordinance No. 9.051500, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$2,250 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Retirement System Appropriation No. 872.248.00 for the purpose of making actuarial studies and reports

pursuant to the provisions of Resolution No. 4128 of the Board of Supervisors, an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,250 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Retirement System Appropriation No. 872.248.00 for the purpose of making actuarial studies and reports pursuant to the provisions of Resolution No. 4128 of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists as immediate action is required to provide funds to the Retirement Board for an actuarial report, pursuant to the provisions of Section 158 of the Charter, of the cost and effect of a proposed charter amendment now pending before the Board of Supervisors relating to the present and future members of the Police Department, their pensions and retirement.

Approved as to form—John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved—Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Funds available—Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Public Health Committee were taken up:

#### **Dr. Emmett E. Sappington Appointed Narcotic Agent, Department Public Health.**

(Code No. 17.01)

Resolution No. 4129, as follows;

Resolved, That Emmett E. Sappington, Assistant Director of Public Health, in the absence of J. C. Geiger, Director of Public Health, is hereby appointed with full authority for that part of the fiscal year commencing August 1st, 1938, and ending June 30th, 1939, to sign orders for narcotics for the institutions of the Department of Public Health; namely, the Laguna Honda Home, the San Francisco Hospital, the Emergency Hospitals, the Central Office and the Hassler Health Home at Redwood City, California, in compliance with the rules and regulations of the Narcotic Division of the Treasury Department, Internal Revenue San Francisco, California.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **Dr. Emmett E. Sappington Appointed Alcohol Agent, Department Public Health.**

(Code No. 17.01)

Also, Resolution No. 4130, as follows:

Resolved, That Emmett E. Sappington, Assistant Director of Public Health, is hereby appointed with full authority, until this action is revoked, to sign all papers in relation to the withdrawal of tax free alcohol for the institutions of the Department of Public Health; namely, the Bacteriological and Chemical Laboratories, the Laguna Honda Home, the San Francisco Hospital, the Emergency Hospital and the Hassler Health Home, in compliance with the rules and regulations of the Alcohol Unit of the Treasury Department of the United States.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.



## Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police was taken up:

**An Ordinance To Regulate the Use of Electrical Devices, Appliances, Equipment or Apparatus Which Interfere With the Reception of Radio Signals, and Providing Penalties For the Violation Thereof.**

(Code No. 11.11)

Bill No. 1585, Ordinance No. 11.117, as follows:

An ordinance to regulate the use of electrical devices, appliances, equipment or apparatus which interfere with the reception of radio signals, and providing penalties for the violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person to operate or maintain, or to cause or permit to be operated or maintained within the City and County of San Francisco, any electrical device, appliance or equipment which causes, generates or produces high frequency electrical oscillations, or other electrical disturbances which interfere with the reception of radio signals.

Section 2. The word "person" as used herein shall mean any person, firm, corporation, association or individual.

Section 3. The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply:

a. To any radio transmitting station licensed by the Government of the United States.

b. To public utilities, lines and equipment owned and/or operated by them in and for general public service.

c. To lines and equipment owned and/or operated by the Department of Electricity of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 4. The Department of Electricity of the City and County of San Francisco, hereinafter called "The Department" is hereby empowered to enforce the provisions of this ordinance. It shall be the duty of the Chief of the Department, as soon as practical after the effective date of this ordinance, to adopt rules and regulations to carry out and enforce the purposes and intent of this ordinance and by said rules to provide the manner for the inspection, examination and abatement of any electrical device, appliance or equipment mentioned in Section 1 of this ordinance when the same interferes with the reception of radio signals; which said rules and regulations shall be in furtherance of the provisions of this ordinance and not in conflict therewith. Before adopting said rules and regulations the Chief of the Department shall hold a hearing or hearings as to the kind and character of the rules to be adopted and notice of the time and place of said hearing shall be given by one publication of the said notice in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco, and any person interested shall be given the opportunity to be heard at said meeting. The Chief of the Department shall have power to continue any such hearing from time to time without further notice, save and except the announcement of said continuance at said hearing. Upon the adoption of said rules a copy thereof shall remain on file in the said Department and shall be effective within five (5) days after the date of the filing thereof.

Section 5. When any electrical device, appliance or equipment which causes, generates or produces high frequency electrical oscillations or other electrical disturbances which interfere with the reception of radio signals is found to exist, the Department shall give notice, in writing, to the person owning, operating or maintaining said device, appliance or equipment, notifying the said person owning, operating or maintaining said device, appliance or equipment to remedy and eliminate the cause of said interference, and should the said person fail to discontinue the operation of said device, appliance or equipment at the expiration of five (5) days he shall be guilty of a misdemeanor. When any person owning, operating or maintaining any such device, appliance or equip-

ment shall fail to remedy such defect or cause of said interference within a period of five (5) days after the giving of said notice, the Department may take the necessary steps to eliminate said interfering conditions.

In cases of interference to police and fire department radio equipment, the Department may require the immediate discontinuance of the operation of the device, appliance or equipment causing such interference and any person thereafter operating or maintaining, or permitting the operation or maintenance of, said interfering device, appliance or equipment without the permission of the Chief of the Department shall be guilty of a misdemeanor. Whenever the Department shall have reason to believe that any electrical device, appliance or equipment is causing interference with the reception of radio signals, the Chief of the Department or his subordinates shall have the right to enter upon the premises wherein such device, appliance or equipment is operated or maintained for the purpose of inspecting the same, as well as for the purpose of abating or discontinuing the operation of such device, appliance or equipment.

Section 6. The Department shall have the power and authority to discontinue electrical power and lighting service to any electrical device, appliance or equipment which causes, generates or produces high frequency electrical oscillations, or other electrical disturbances which interfere with reception of radio signals.

Section 7. Any person operating or maintaining, or causing or permitting to be operated or maintained any interfering device, appliance or equipment contrary to the provisions of this ordinance, as well as any person who interferes with the inspection by the Department of any such device, appliance or equipment shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine not to exceed two hundred fifty (\$250) dollars, or imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment, and each day during which any such interfering device, appliance or equipment is operated or maintained shall constitute a separate offense.

Section 8. Any notice to be given by the Department or any other official of the City and County of San Francisco to enforce the provisions of this ordinance may be given by notice in writing delivered personally to the owner, operator or person in charge of any electrical device, appliance or equipment which causes, or may cause, interference with the reception of radio signals; or said notice may be posted in a conspicuous place upon the building in which said electrical device, appliance or equipment is housed or maintained; or notice may be mailed to the owner of said premises or to the person in charge thereof or operating or maintaining said device, appliance or equipment, said mail to be sent by registered United States mail with proper postage prepaid thereon; and said notice shall be deemed to be complete when posted on said premises or deposited in the United States Post Office in San Francisco with the proper postage prepaid thereon.

Section 9. All ordinances or parts of ordinances in so far as they conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **Loading Zone Ordinance.**

(Code No. 11.02)

The following recommendation of Fire, Safety and Police Committee was taken up:

Bill No. 1645, Ordinance No. 11.0241, as follows:

Regulating the designation of portions of streets and sidewalks as being exempt, in whole or in part, from the stopping or parking of automobiles, providing for the marking thereof, and for the defraying of the cost of said marking, and for the issuance of permits for said marking and for the fee for said permits:



Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Police Commission shall have power to regulate traffic, including the location and use of traffic control devices for that purpose. Said commission shall also have power to designate portions of streets and sidewalks as being exempt, in whole or in part, from the stopping or parking of automobiles or motor vehicles in front or alongside of said portion so designated.

Section 2. Designations of portions of streets and sidewalks as being places, exempt in whole or in part from the stopping or parking of automobiles, and other motor vehicles, shall be and they are hereby separated into two classes, to be known respectively as Class "A" and Class "B."

Class "A" shall mean and include that portion of any street or sidewalk, exempt in whole or in part from the parking of automobiles, or other motor vehicles, where said exempt portion is used or intended to be used for the purpose of providing some special facility in carrying on the business of some person, firm, or corporation, or in using his or its property.

Class "B" shall mean and include that portion of the street or sidewalk, exempt wholly or in part from the parking of automobiles, or other motor vehicles when such exemption is intended for the use of the public and not included within the meaning of Class "A."

Section 3. Whenever the Police Commission upon the application of any person, firm or corporation makes a Class "A" designation, it shall notify the Tax Collector of that fact. The Police Commission shall also furnish the Tax Collector with the name and address of the applicant and the location of the place to be painted.

Section 4. Whenever any person, firm or corporation desire to obtain a "Class A" designation of a certain portion of any sidewalk, application therefor shall be made to the Police Commission upon forms to be provided by the Commission. Said application shall be signed by the applicant, and shall state the exact location of said "Class A" designation, the length thereof, the nature of the business conducted or carried on by the applicant and the reasons for asking for said designation.

A fee of five dollars shall be paid by the applicant to the Police Commission to defray the cost of making the necessary survey and investigation by the Police Department as to the advisability and necessity of making said designation. No portion of said fee shall be returned to the applicant whether said application is granted or denied.

Section 5. Upon receipt of the said application it shall be the duty of the Chief of Police to cause said application to be investigated and from said investigation to determine whether said application should be granted, having due regard for the effect of said designation upon the use of said street by the public generally and by other persons doing business or owning property adjacent to said requested designation, as well as to the same being necessary to said applicant. The Chief of Police shall have full power to grant or refuse said application. If the same is refused the application shall not be renewed for a period of six months. If the same is granted the Chief of Police shall send a copy thereof with the approval, either in whole or in part, to the Tax Collector, and upon the payment by the applicant to the Tax Collector of the fee to defray the cost of painting said designation, the Tax Collector shall issue a license to said applicant which shall, during the existence thereof, authorize said applicant to maintain said designation. The fee to defray the cost of painting said designation shall be six cents per lineal foot for each lineal foot of space included in said designation. Upon the presentation of said license to the Chief of Police, showing the payment of the necessary fee to defray the cost of painting said designation the said designation shall be painted under the supervision of the Chief of Police. It shall thereupon remain the designation of the applicant

until said permit and license to maintain is revoked by the Chief of Police, provided that at least once during each six months the applicant or owner of said designation shall pay to the Tax Collector the necessary cost at the rate hereinbefore specified to repair the same, whereupon it shall be the duty of the Tax Collector to advise the Chief of Police of said payment, and it thereupon becomes the duty of the Chief of Police to cause said designation to be repainted. Should any applicant desire a more frequent repainting of said designation he may obtain the same by the payment of the requisite fee therefor to the Tax Collector.

Section 6. Notwithstanding any provisions in this ordinance to the contrary, the Police Commission shall have full power to revoke any designation made as in this ordinance provided. When a designation has been revoked by said Police Commission, the Tax Collector shall be notified to that effect, and no further license for the specific place covered by Class "A" designation shall be made unless the Police Commission at some subsequent time may make a new Class "A" designation for the place involved. It shall be the duty of the Chief of Police to revoke any designation when the same is not repainted as herein provided.

Section 7. Applications for designations of Class "B" shall be made to the Police Commission, and in connection therewith, no filing fee shall be required. Should the said Class "B" designation be made by the Police Commission, no license fee shall be imposed for the painting or repainting of same.

Section 8. All designations made by the Police Commission before the effective date of this ordinance shall remain in full force and effect until revoked by said Commission. After the effective date of this ordinance, the painting of Class "A" designations shall be done only upon the payment of the lineal foot license rate herein specified, and at least every six months.

Section 9. No person shall paint any street or sidewalk, or portion thereof, except in conformity with this ordinance. Painting done or maintained in violation of this ordinance may be removed by the Police Department without notice.

Section 10. The term "loading zone" as used herein shall not be construed to include or apply to vehicle stands established under the ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco for the use of taxi cabs, sightseeing buses, limousines and other public vehicles for hire and the provisions of this ordinance shall not be applicable to such vehicle stands nor to such public vehicles for hire.

Section 11. Every person, firm or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punishable by a fine of not more than \$500.00, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

#### Discussion.

Discussion was had of the foregoing ordinance and the following persons expressed their views thereon:

Andrew J. Gallagher, representing the Southern Promotion Association, spoke in favor of the ordinance in its entirety.

Supervisor Uhl moved to refer it to the Fire, Safety & Police Committee for consultation with the City Attorney, with a view of making a minimum charge for painting rather than a charge per lineal foot.

Supervisor Ratto, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved that the matter be laid over one week.

#### Re-referred.

Whereupon, the foregoing ordinance was re-referred to the Fire, Safety and Police Committee.



**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of the Judiciary Committee was taken up:

**Authorizing and Requesting the Retirement Board to Make an Actuarial Report on Proposed Charter Amendment Affecting Police Pensions.**

(Code No. 1.08)

Resolution No. 4128, as follows:

Resolved, That the Retirement Board be and is hereby authorized and requested to prepare an actuarial report, in accordance with Section 158 of the Charter, of the cost and effect which would result as a consequence of the adoption of the proposed charter amendment relating to present and future members of the Police Department, their pensions and retirement, presented to this Board, Monday, July 25, 1938, copy of which is by reference incorporated herein.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Refused Adoption.**

The following matter, from Judiciary Committee without recommendation, was taken up:

**Urging Mayor to Request Public Utilities Commission To Submit Bond Issue at November Election for Rapid Transit As Regards Bus Operation Necessary.**

(Code No. 15.094)

Resolution No. 4126, as follows:

Whereas, More than a month ago I submitted to this Board of Supervisors a bus plan covering twenty routes to the various outlying sections of San Francisco, which plan having been referred to the Public Utilities Commission but not acted upon to date; and

Whereas, Bus operation on said routes will speed up transportation from ten to fifteen minutes; and

Whereas, Leading cities in the East are abandoning trolley operation in favor of bus operation, outstanding among which is Newark, New Jersey, which evidences the fact that the modern method transportation is by bus; and

Whereas, The annual report of the East Bay Companies sets forth a total of street car routes as being 13; bus routes 39; round trip miles, street cars, 164; buses 411. 1937 street car passengers 39,143,000; buses 26,439,000; street car miles 6,950,000; bus miles 7,405,000. By means of buses outlying cities in the East Bay are being developed and built up; and

Whereas, Approval of a bond issue submitted to the voters on the November ballot would enable bus operations in San Francisco to begin by April 1, 1939; and

Whereas, The Supervisors have no voice in the submission of such a bond issue unless so requested by the Public Utilities Commission; and

Whereas, The Public Utilities Commission is appointed by the Mayor; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Supervisors make an urgent appeal to the Mayor that the Public Utilities Commission submit to the voters at the November election a bond issue for the immediate operation of bus routes to the outlying sections of San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Chief Administrative Officer give immediate consideration to the co-ordination of the various commissions and departments interested to the end that traffic conditions can be immediately improved.

*Refused adoption* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Mead, Uhl—2.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—9.

## CHARTER AMENDMENT NO.

The following matter, heretofore presented by Supervisor McSheehy, referred to the Public Utilities Committee and now returned to the Board by the Public Utilities Committee without recommendation and with the request that the proposal be considered in Committee of the Whole, was taken up:

### REVENUE BONDS—ELECTRICAL SYSTEM.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco by adding new sections thereto to be known as Sections 133.01 to 133.35, inclusive, relating to a system for generating, transmitting, and distributing electric power, and providing for financing the cost of said system by the issuance of bonds or other evidences of indebtedness payable wholly out of the revenues of said system, in an amount not to exceed \$21,000,000.00.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco at the special election to be held on the 27th day of September, 1938, a proposal to amend the Charter of the said City and County by adding thereto new sections to be known as Sections 133.01 to 133.35, inclusive, under a new subdivision designated as REVENUE BONDS—ELECTRICAL SYSTEM, as follows:

### REVENUE BONDS—ELECTRICAL SYSTEM.

#### Additional Powers of Utilities Commission.

Section 133.01. The Public Utilities Commission of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, hereinafter referred to as The Commission, shall acquire by construction, purchase or other lawful method, whichever is the cheapest, a system of facilities; hereinafter referred to as the Municipal System, for generating, transmitting, distributing, and selling for profit electric energy originating in Hetch Hetchy and other places, to the people of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and elsewhere, said City and County being hereinafter referred to as The City, in the whole thereof or in such district or districts as herein provided, for all purposes whatsoever, and to any department, service or municipally owned utility of The City.

Section 133.02. The Commission shall borrow money by the issuance of revenue bonds or other evidences of indebtedness, hereinafter referred to as The Bonds, payable wholly out of revenues, as may be necessary to meet the cost of acquiring such facilities together with such standby service and transmission lines as may be necessary to maintain continuous service, provided that the total amount of money so borrowed or evidences of indebtedness issued, shall, in the aggregate, not exceed the sum of twenty-one million dollars (\$21,000,000.00.)

#### Facilities To Be Acquired—District To Be Served.

Section 133.03. The facilities to be acquired shall in the main consist of a power plant on the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct at Red Mountain Bar, a transmission line from Newark to San Francisco, and the electrical distribution facilities in a distribution area within the geographical limits of The City, said distribution area, hereinafter referred to as The



Hetch Hetchy Zone, shall, as far as is practical, lie in a compact area of contiguous territory which shall comprise as near as may be all of the area of The City lying west of the easterly line of Fillmore Street projected southerly from San Francisco Bay to the county line, and that part of The City lying south of the northerly line of Sixteenth Street projected westerly from San Francisco Bay to the Pacific Ocean.

Section 133.04. Nothing herein shall prevent The Commission from excluding a parcel of contiguous territory, preferably adjacent to a boundary line of the Hetch Hetchy Zone, if the power generated by The City owned plants is inadequate to meet the full demands of the said zone, or from including additional territory if the said power is sufficient to meet the demands of such additional area in addition to those of The Hetch Hetchy Zone as above delineated, provided further, in the event of an inclusion of additional area or of an exclusion of area, the same shall lie in a contiguous parcel, except as otherwise herein provided, and preference shall always be given to domestic service demands over industrial or commercial demands.

Section 133.05. Nothing herein shall prevent The Commission from supplying electric energy to municipal service or departments lying outside The Hetch Hetchy Zone, where, in the judgment of The Commission it is profitable or advantageous to do so; nor shall anything herein prevent The Commission from supplying electric energy outside the city where, in the judgment of The Commission, such sales will improve the load factor.

Section 133.06. No extension or reduction of The Hetch Hetchy Zone shall affect in any manner the validity of any bond authorized or issued to meet the cost of The Municipal System, and no holder or purchaser of any bond authorized or issued pursuant to this subdivision shall be required to inquire into the existence of any fact, or to the performance of any of the conditions or the taking of any proceedings required prior to the issuance of any of the said bonds, or to the application of the proceeds thereof.

#### **Acquisition May Be By Purchase or Construction.**

Section 133.07. The Commission shall have authority to determine the method of acquiring The Municipal System, subject to the provisions herein, and such method of acquisition shall be binding and conclusive; shall cause to be made an appraisal of the existing electrical generating and distributing facilities within The Hetch Hetchy Zone, and exclusively pertinent to the service thereof; shall cause to be made an estimate of the cost of adequate transmission facilities from Newark to The City.

Section 133.08. The Commission shall call upon the Board of Supervisors for an appropriation from the general fund for such sum or sums as shall be necessary to effect said appraisals and estimates, and it shall be the duty of the Board of Supervisors to appropriate within thirty days from date of call by The Commission such sum or sums as The Commission shall fix for said appraisals and estimates, which sum or sums in the aggregate shall not exceed seventy-five thousand dollars (\$75,000.00), and which sums shall be returned to the general fund from the proceeds of the first sale or sales of bonds under the authority of this section.

Section 133.09. Concurrent with said appraisal of the facilities, The Commission shall carry on negotiations with private utility companies operating within The Hetch Hetchy Zone for the purchase of their electric power, lighting, distributing, transmitting, converting, and other facilities, factors, property and premises appertaining and appertinent thereto, and insofar as they are exclusively pertinent to the service of The Hetch Hetchy Zone, shall cause to be made a careful estimate of the cost of replacing the present distribution and transmission facilities within The Hetch Hetchy Zone and pertinent exclusively thereto; shall furnish the Board of Supervisors with copies of all data relating to estimates, appraisals, and purchase negotiations, together with the recommendation of The Commission of a method of acquisition.

Section 133.10. The Board of Supervisors shall have a period of not to exceed ninety days in which to examine the material submitted by The Commission and shall make, within said ninety day period, to The Commission a recommendation of a method of acquisition by resolution duly adopted; and unless two-thirds of the Supervisors, present and voting, shall modify or change such estimate, the estimate and plan of The Commission shall be final; provided, however, if the existing facilities cannot be obtained at a cost reasonable in comparison with new and functionally superior facilities, then The Commission shall acquire by construction the facilities necessary to carry out the purposes of this subdivision.

Section 133.11. When the method of acquisition shall have been determined as herein provided, The Commission shall pass a resolution setting forth the method in which The Municipal System or any part thereof shall be acquired and shall, in said resolution, fix and determine the estimated costs thereof, and shall include in said estimate of cost such amount as The Commission shall estimate to be sufficient to pay the interest on the bonds during the actual period of construction of The Municipal System, or such part as it shall have been determined shall be constructed, and for a period of six months after the same shall have been in operation by The Commission.

Section 133.12. If The Municipal System, or any part thereof is to be acquired by a method other than by construction, then The Commission shall estimate the cost of said acquisition, including the cost of all engineering, appraisal and legal proceedings incident thereto, and shall add to the cost of said acquisition the amount of interest which shall have accrued on the bonds issued or sold to defray the cost of said acquisition for a period of six months after the commencement of operations of The Municipal System by The Commission.

Section 133.13. The Commission shall include in the estimate of cost of The Municipal System, whether acquired by construction or otherwise, an amount sufficient to pay the operating expenses of The Municipal System for a period of not to exceed six months after the commencement of operations of said system by The Commission. Not more than fifteen per centum (15%) of the cost of acquisition shall be so applied for operating expense and for interest as provided herein.

Section 133.14. If the Municipal System or any part thereof is to be acquired by construction, The Commission shall have due regard for probable increases in demand for service within The Hetch Hetchy Zone and the city owned departments or utilities as far as it is practi-



cable to anticipate such increases in service demands, and shall make conservative allowances for probable growth over a reasonable future period; and if The Municipal System or any part thereof is acquired by construction, The Commission shall construct by contract and follow the usual method provided by law and custom governing competitive bids for public work.

### Issuance of Revenue Bonds.

Section 133.15. Upon adoption of a method of acquisition as provided herein and the total estimated or contracted costs thereof being determined, The Commission shall adopt a resolution authorizing the issuance of the amount of The Bonds, payable wholly out of revenue of The Municipal System, sufficient to pay the necessary cost of acquiring said system, including incidental expenses, interest during and for six months after the system is in operation by The Commission as provided in section 133.11 not to exceed, however, the total amount of twenty-one million dollars (\$21,000,000.00). Said resolution shall fix the amount of The Bonds to be issued and state generally the purpose thereof, shall also state the number, denomination, and maturities of said bond, and may provide, all and singular, the conditions to be inserted in The Bonds, as well as the rate of interest thereon, if said rate of interest is to be fixed, or it may defer fixing the rate of interest until the time of the sale of said bonds.

Section 133.16. Full power and authority is hereby given The Commission to cause to be inserted in The Bonds such terms and conditions as it shall deem proper, provided that there shall always be inserted in said bonds the fact that the same shall be payable, as to both principal and interest, wholly and solely out of revenue from The Municipal System; and shall fix the maximum rate of interest which The Bonds shall bear, which rate shall not exceed six per centum per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 133.17. The payment of the principal of The Bonds shall commence not later than four years from the date of issuance and shall be completed not more than thirty years from date of issuance; The Bonds shall mature and shall be payable each year during the period over which they are payable in such amounts as may be fixed by The Commission; any or all of The Bonds so issued may, at option of the holder thereof, be registered both as to principal and interest, with the treasurer of The City in such manner as may be provided by The Commission. The Bonds may be sold at such times and in such amounts as The Commission shall deem proper, provided that said bonds shall not be sold at less than par value and accrued interest at date of delivery. The Bonds may be offered for sale on the basis of a fixed rate of interest or on the basis of the lowest net interest cost; and authority is hereby given to The Commission to fix said rate of interest either prior or subsequent to the date of offering of The Bonds for sale.

Section 133.18. All of said bonds issued as provided herein shall be signed by the president of The Commission, or by the manager of utilities, and by the Secretary of The Commission and countersigned by the treasurer of The City. The signature of the president of The Commission or of the manager of utilities may be by facsimile; and any coupon attached to any of The Bonds shall have affixed thereto the facsimile

signature of the said treasurer. The official seal of The Commission shall be affixed to The Bonds and full authority is hereby given to The Commission to adopt a seal in such form and device as it shall deem proper.

Section 133.19. Each of said bonds shall state plainly on its face that it is payable, both as to principal and interest, only from the special fund created for that purpose, out of the revenues of The Municipal System and that it does not constitute a general obligation, liability, or indebtedness of The City. All revenue bonds issued under the authority of this Charter shall be negotiable instruments and shall have all the attributes of negotiability under the laws of this state relating to negotiable instruments.

#### **Agreement With Bondholders.**

Section 133.20. The Commission shall adopt an additional resolution relating to an agreement with the holders of any of The Bonds authorized to be issued under this subdivision, and it is hereby authorized and empowered in and by this resolution to agree with said holders of any of The Bonds, so long as the same shall be outstanding as follows:

(a) that the proceeds of the sale of said bonds shall be deposited in a fund separate and apart from all other funds of The City and shall, with any interest accrued thereon, be applied solely and exclusively to the objects and purposes for which said bonds are authorized to be issued, and any proceeds from the sale of such of The Bonds remaining unused upon the acquisition of such system shall be applied to the retirement of the revenue bonds outstanding, by purchase in the open market or by call and redemption, as the case may be, and none of such moneys shall be transferred to any other fund of The City until all such bonds and accrued interest shall have been paid in full;

(b) that The Commission will maintain and operate The Municipal System acquired from the proceeds of said bonds in good repair, working order and condition and will, from time to time, make all needful and proper repairs, renewals and replacements, and shall continuously operate the same;

(c) that The Commission will establish reasonable rates for the sale of electric energy distributed through The Municipal System, and that such rates in the aggregate shall be adequate to enable The Commission to pay the principal and interest of said bonds and all costs of maintenance, operation and repairs of The Municipal System acquired from the proceeds of such bonds;

(d) that accurate books and records of account will be kept and maintained, showing all payments received by The Commission from the operation of The Municipal System acquired from the proceeds of the sale of said bonds, which records shall, while any of The Bonds are outstanding and unpaid, be kept open for inspection to the holders of any one or more of such bonds or their duly authorized representatives;

(e) that if any part of The Municipal System shall be taken from The Commission or from The City by eminent domain proceedings, or other proceedings authorized by law, the proceeds realized by said city or by said commission shall be applied solely and exclusively to the payment of the principal and interest of said bonds until the same shall have been paid in full;



(f) that neither The City nor The Commission shall mortgage or otherwise encumber, sell, or lease or dispose of The Municipal System acquired from the proceeds of the sale of The Bonds or any substantial part thereof, or enter into any lease or contract which shall impair the operation of said system or otherwise impair the right of the holders of any of said revenue bonds to secure payment in full of the principal and interest of any of said revenue bonds as the same shall mature;

(g) that none of the services or products of The Municipal System shall be furnished free; that in the event The City or any department, agency or instrumentality thereof, shall avail itself of the facilities, service, or products afforded by The Municipal System acquired from the proceeds of the sale of said bonds, a reasonable charge shall be made against said city, agency or instrumentality and shall be paid as the services shall accrue;

(h) that any terms, covenants or conditions herein contained or made a part of any bond issued under authority of this section shall be subject to alteration, amendment or modification by agreement between The Commission and the holders of at least eighty per centum (80%) of the principal of the amount of the said bonds then outstanding.

Section 133.21. The Commission may also agree to any other terms or conditions, whether hereinabove referred to or not, which, in the judgment of The Commission, shall be necessary, advisable or convenient in effecting the sale of said bonds; provided, that such conditions do not permit said bonds to be sold at less than par value and accrued interest at date of sale, or increase the rate of interest above six per cent (6%), and provided further, that none of said covenants, agreements or conditions, nor anything herein provided shall obligate said commission or The City to do or perform any of said terms, covenants or conditions by the expenditure of any funds other than those arising from the operation of The Municipal System to be acquired from the proceeds of the sale of said bonds, and under no circumstances shall The City be obliged to levy or collect taxes to provide moneys to perform any of the terms or conditions contained in any of such resolutions, and all obligations assumed by said commission which shall require that the expenditure of any funds shall be limited solely and exclusively to the funds arising from the operation of said system.

### **Sale of Bonds.**

Section 133.22. When the resolution required by Section 133.20 is adopted The Commission shall have full power and authority to issue The Bonds payable wholly out of revenue, to the amount specified in said resolution, but not to exceed the sum of twenty-one million dollars (\$21,000,000.00).

Section 133.23. The Commission shall regulate the time of issuance of The Bonds authorized by this subdivision, and may cause the same to be issued as one issue or in successive issues under the same authorization; said bonds shall be sold by The Commission at public sale to the highest bidder therefor, after notice of sale for a period of three days in not more than two newspapers of general circulation in The City; said sale shall be held not less than fifteen days after the date of last publication of said notice. The Commission shall require a surety bond

or other security in such amount as it shall deem proper from all bidders to insure the fulfilling of any bid made for said bonds.

Section 133.24. When any of The Bonds are sold the proceeds shall be deposited in the treasury of The City and shall be used only for the purpose for which the same are herein approved.

Section 133.25. If a bid acceptable to The Commission should not be received for said bonds offered for sale, The Commission may then direct that said bonds, or any part thereof, be sold by The Commission or the treasurer of The City at private sale, at a price not less than par value of principal and accrued interest at the date of delivery of said bonds to the buyer.

Section 133.26. The Bonds authorized or issued under authority of this subdivision shall not be subject to the Charter limitations as to the bonded indebtedness of The City, nor shall they be taken into consideration in determining the amount of bonded indebtedness as provided in Section 104 of the Charter. Bonds issued pursuant to this subdivision may be called and paid before maturity upon such terms and conditions as may be determined by The Commission, provided that said conditions are inserted in said bond at the time of issuance thereof, and in the offer thereof for sale.

### **Maturity and Refunding of Bonds.**

Section 133.27. The Commission is hereby authorized to provide for the refunding, extension, or renewal of any of The Bonds issued pursuant to this subdivision and remaining outstanding and unpaid, at or prior to the maturity thereof, by the issuance, sale, or exchange of new bonds in the same aggregate principal amount as The Bonds outstanding or unpaid, said new bonds to be of such denomination and maturing at such times, and bearing such rates of interest, and otherwise modified as The Commission shall deem proper, provided that such new bonds shall be payable, both as to principal and interest, wholly out of the revenues of The Municipal System, and the latest maturity of said new issue shall not be more than thirty years from the date of the original issue and the interest rate thereon shall not be greater than that of the original issue.

### **Utility Rates.**

Section 133.28. The Commission shall fix just and reasonable rates for any service furnished by The Municipal System, which rates shall not be less than will provide an amount sufficient to pay, as the same shall become due, the following:

(a) The principal sum and the interest thereon of The Bonds issued under this section and remaining unpaid, as said principal and interest become due and payable;

(b) All the operating expenses of said system;

(c) All amounts to become due within one year thereafter for the repair and maintenance of said system;

(d) To provide a surplus fund equal to the amount required to meet the principal and interest payments which will become due in the next succeeding year on outstanding bonds;

(e) An amount which shall be sufficient to meet the cost of reconstruction and replacements made necessary by the physical and func-



tional depreciation, deterioration, or absolescence of The Municipal System as the same occur;

(f) For reasonable extensions and betterments to said system, or to establish a reserve to pay for such extensions and betterments;

(g) To establish a surplus fund.

### **Separate Utility Accounting.**

Section 133.29. The Commission shall cause to be set up a bond interest and redemption fund into which shall be paid each month an amount at least sufficient to pay, as the same become due, the principal and interest upon the outstanding unpaid bonds for the current year, and if at any time the revenues of The Municipal System are not sufficient to permit the payment of said sum into the fund, any deficiency shall be paid into said fund from the surplus fund; The Commission shall estimate the proper amounts to be applied to the purposes mentioned in schedules (c), (e), and (f), above set forth, and shall cause said estimated amounts to be set aside in proper funds established to meet said purposes; said estimates may be changed from time to time, and The Commission shall also pay into said interest and redemption fund an amount sufficient to meet all existing delinquencies upon any of said bonds.

Section 133.30. The Commission shall unify The Municipal System and the Hetch Hetchy electric power generating and transmitting system under the control and management of the Bureau of Electricity; shall, for the purposes of accounting and liquidation of Hetch Hetchy Bonds allocated to power production and transmission, provide for payments from The Municipal System to the Hetch Hetchy System a fair and equitable sum for the power delivered to The Municipal System by and from said Hetch Hetchy System, due allowance being made for the investment of The Municipal System in Red Mountain Bar Power Plant and the extension of the Hetch Hetchy Transmission line from Newark to The City as herein provided.

Section 133.31. The Municipal System shall be considered a separate utility, and revenue from any other utility shall not be applied to The Municipal System unless otherwise expressly provided by Charter.

### **Additional Powers.**

Section 133.32. The Board of Supervisors may, by ordinance, confer upon The Commission such additional powers not in conflict with this subdivision as may be necessary to carry out the purposes of this section.

### **Annual Audit.**

Section 133.33. The Board of Supervisors shall annually appoint a certified public account to make an annual audit of the accounts of The Municipal System, which said audit shall annually form a component part of the annual report of The Commission, and the expense of said audit shall be paid out of revenues of said system, provided that said certified public accountant shall not be employed by any department, agency, or instrumentality of The City other than The Board of Supervisors.

Section 133.34. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Charter

which may be in conflict with the provisions of this subdivision, the provisions of this subdivision shall prevail in so far as they pertain to the financing, acquisition, administration, and auditing of The Municipal System, the acquisition of Red Mountain Bar Power Plant, and the extension of the Hetch Hetchy power transmission line by the sale of The Bonds payable wholly out of revenue; provided, however, that nothing herein contained shall prevent the financing of additions or extensions of The Municipal System by general obligation bonds issued as provided by law.

### Conflicting Provisions.

Section 133.35. Upon taking effect of this amendment, which adds a new Subdivision, Revenue Bonds—Electrical System, embraced in Sections 133.01 to 133.35, inclusive, The Commission shall immediately proceed to acquire The Municipal System and promptly perform all acts required of The Commission by the provisions of this subdivision.

### Action Deferred.

After discussion of the foregoing Charter amendment by Supervisors Brown, McSheehy and Roncovieri; Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor Roncovieri, moved that the matter be laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for 2:30 p. m. Matter to be considered in Committee of the Whole. The Public Utilities Commission and the City Attorney to report thereon.

*So ordered.*

### Called Out From Committee.

The following resolution, having been in possession of the Public Utilities Committee, was called out from Committee by Supervisor Reilly, was taken up:

### Reduction Of Water Rates For Consumption in Excess of Past Year's Average Consumption.

(Code No. 15.022)

Resolution No. 3994, as follows:

Whereas, San Francisco has a super-abundance of water stored in its lakes and reservoirs; and

Whereas, The present high water rates in effect in the City and County of San Francisco prohibit increased use thereof, both domestically and industrially; and

Whereas, It is imperative, in an endeavor to stimulate and attract industries, as well as to beautify our city, that such reasonable rates be scheduled for water as will permit its greatly increased use; and

Whereas, The small home owner particularly, is severely hampered in his endeavor to beautify his property, and the city, through the maintenance of trees, shrubs, lawns and gardens because of the almost prohibitive price of the water necessary therefor; and

Whereas, It has been asserted by representatives of many large industries in San Francisco, now maintaining and operating their own wells, and particularly those engaged in the laundry industry, that if a rate comparable to the expense incurred in maintaining and operating these wells were to be established by the city, these industries would be happy to purchase water from the city and abandon the use of their wells; and

Whereas, Many industries are deterred from establishing in San Francisco because of the excessive water rates; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Public Utilities Commission be and is hereby requested to give consideration to and within thirty days report an a proposal to inaugurate a plan for water rates as follows:



1. Strike an average for each consumer's water bills for the twelve months preceding the inauguration of the plan;
2. Establish a schedule of rates which will effect an 80% reduction on present rates for all water used over and above that which was used during the twelve-month period hereinbefore referred to;
3. So establish water rates for industries, that those now maintaining and operating their own wells will be impelled to become customers of the city, thereby utilizing to some extent our present tremendous but idle water surpluses;
4. Afford quick and real relief to the small home owner who is endeavoring to beautify his home and the city through the maintenance of a garden.

#### Action Deferred.

After discussion, Supervisor Reilly, seconded by Supervisor Colman, moved that the foregoing resolution be laid over one week, and that the Controller be requested to advise what effect, if any, upon the revenue structure of the Water Department the resolution will have.

*So ordered.*

#### Adopted.

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were taken up:

#### Leave of Absence—Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 4139, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor, the Mayor, Mr. Harold J. Boyd, Controller, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing August 10, 1938, with permission to leave the state.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### Leave of Absence—Miss Josephine D. Randall.

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 4140, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor, the Mayor, Miss Josephine D. Randall, Superintendent of Recreation, is hereby granted a leave of absence from August 8, 1938, to August 27, 1938, inclusive, with permission to leave the state.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### Leave of Absence—Joseph J. Phillips, Director of Property.

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 4141, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor, the Mayor, Joseph J. Phillips, Director of Property, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period not to exceed thirty (30) days from the 5th day of August, 1938, with permission to leave the state.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Leave of Absence—Hon. Arthur M. Brown, Jr., Member,  
Board of Supervisors.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 4144, as follows:

Resolved, that in accordance with the recommendation of His Honor the Mayor, Hon. Arthur M. Brown, Jr., a member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of five weeks, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS,  
BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR  
REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Mayor Requested to Appoint a Citizens Committee to Co-operate  
With Dahlia Society of California in Furtherance of Dahlia  
Show, Friday and Saturday, August 26 and 27, 1938.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 4143, as follows:

Whereas, the Dahlia Society of California, a non-profit organization, was organized in 1915 for the development and popularization of that beautiful flower for which the Society is named, and

Whereas, several years ago, this Board of Supervisors, by motion, quite appropriately designated the dahlia as the official flower of the City and County of San Francisco, and

Whereas, by permission of his Honor the Mayor and the Director of Property, the Dahlia Society of California will hold its Dahlia Show in the rotunda of the City Hall on Friday and Saturday, August 26 and 27, at which time all the leading growers, most of whom are amateurs, will exhibit and compete for the prizes which will be offered, and

Whereas, this beautiful and interesting display of dahlias will be open to the public, free of admission charge; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby requested to appoint a Citizens Committee to cooperate in every way possible with the Dahlia Society of California, to the end that its show may culminate in happy success.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Civil Service Commission Requested to Hold Hearing on High  
School Qualifications for Entrance to Police Department.**

(Code No. 4.01)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 4142, as follows:

Whereas, in connection with an examination for members of the Police Department, tentatively set for September 14, the Civil Service Commission has adopted a policy which, among other things, provides that those candidates who present themselves for the examination must, as a condition precedent thereto, produce credentials to show that they have a high school diploma or the equivalent thereto; and

Whereas, such a policy, if actually carried into effect, will work a severe hardship upon many citizens of the City and County of San Francisco, in that:



1. It will deprive many young men who are capable, both physically and mentally, of serving the City and County of San Francisco in the capacity of police officers;

2. It will deprive the families and dependents of those young men of the opportunity for that financial support which would be derived from their earnings if their right to take the examination were not foreclosed;

3. It will deprive the general populace of the City and County of San Francisco of the most efficient form of police protection, to which they are entitled and accustomed, as evidenced by past performance of our Police Department which enjoys a place very high in the field of police work;

4. It will further destroy incentive among those young men who through no fault of their own, but through adverse financial circumstances, do not have the opportunity to complete a four-year course in high school because they are required to leave school and seek employment in an endeavor to support their families; and

Whereas, it has not been demonstrated that there exists any necessity for the requirement that candidates for police work shall have graduated from high school as a requisite to competent and conscientious service in the department, but on the contrary the history of the local police department clearly shows that most of the men from the lowest rank therein to and including the Chief thereof, are self-educated; that they had no opportunity for higher education, and that they have served the city faithfully and with the utmost efficiency; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of this Board of Supervisors, as well as of ranking officers in the Police Department that the Police Department will conduct its business more efficiently and serve the people with whose protection and safety it is charged more efficiently if this rule of the Civil Service Commission be repealed or revoked; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors, acting in what, in its opinion, makes for the best interests of the citizens of the City and County of San Francisco, does hereby request the Civil Service Commission to reconsider its action by which the aforementioned rule was adopted to the end that it may not be made effective; and be it

Further Resolved, That this Board respectfully requests that Civil Service Commission to hold a public hearing upon the matter at which time that great host of citizens who have evinced an interest adverse to the proposal, may have an opportunity to be heard in protest; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board be and is hereby directed to forward a copy of this resolution to the Civil Service Commission; to the Federation of Municipal Employees; to the Civil Service Association; to the representatives of Union Labor and to the David Scannell Club.

*Referred to Judiciary, Legislative and Civil Service Committee.*

#### **Appropriation of \$20,000 Toward Purchase of Rights of Way on Lombard Street as Approach to Golden Gate Bridge.**

Remarks by Supervisor Brown: The matter I propose to discuss will take a little time, but I think it is something to know.

First, we must remember that the City and County of San Francisco contributes 85 per cent of any deficiency in Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District revenue.

Second, if you will consider the approaches to the Golden Gate bridge, you will remember that both of the approaches, that is the northerly and southerly approaches, have a common distinction of running through military reservations. The original bond issue of the

bridge provided that the bridge district should build on the north side on the road known as the Sausalito Lateral from the top of the military reservation to the bridge and on the south side, the so-called Marina Approach to the bridge, which runs from the Marina to the Bridge Toll Plaza.

Subsequent to the passage of the bond issue, the State of California informally, in a letter, committed itself to build the Waldo Approach on the north and the Funston Avenue Approach on the south, and still further subsequently the bridge district jointly with the City of San Francisco sponsored a W.P.A. project which resulted in the so-called Lyon Street Approach, which runs from the Marina viaduct down to Lyon street. All of these approaches are within military reservation on the south and so far as the Sausalito Lateral is concerned, the bridge's obligation terminated at the end of the military reservation at the point the Sausalito Lateral connects up with streets in Sausalito.

For some time there has been an effort made on the bridge district to have the bridge assume a substantial portion of the cost of improving the portion of the Sausalito Lateral which runs from the northerly opening of the military reservation through to the town of Sausalito and up to the location of the old ferry slips, and some time ago the Board went on record, over my protest, as being willing to contribute a sum of \$20,000 towards preliminary survey, engineering and investigating work for the erection of that road. It actually has contributed considerable time and effort and at that time I pointed out to the Board the inadequacy of such a situation because it provided for the spending of bridge funds for the purpose of improving the city streets of Sausalito. I also pointed out to them that if they proposed to spend that money in that fashion, in all fairness they should then proceed to spend a portion of money in the improvement of San Francisco streets that lead to the bridge approaches, particularly Lombard street, which, for two blocks after leaving the Lyon Street Approach, is very much congested on week-end days.

In the absence of the Funston Avenue Approach, it is necessary for all San Francisco traffic, both truck and automobile, to go over Lombard street to the approach and all those cars coming from the western addition, the Sunset, Richmond and from all western parts of San Francisco find their way either over Divisadero or California street. It is therefore important that those two blocks, especially on Lombard street, be widened.

Last Wednesday the Board considered a proposal to actually expend the sum of \$1,000 for certain preliminary work on the Sausalito Lateral and again I protested. Nine members of the Board proceeded to vote the \$1,000. I then moved that the bridge directors appropriate the sum of \$20,000 for the purpose of purchasing the rights of way along Lyon and Lombard streets. I have been informed by our City Engineer that \$20,000 would be adequate.

The Bridge Board, by the margin of one vote, refused passage of the appropriation. The Board has thus put itself in the position of expending bridge funds for the improvement of Sausalito streets and has in the same proceeding refused to expend bridge funds for the same purpose in San Francisco. It seems to me that the matter is one which must of necessity be called to the attention of you gentlemen and should very properly be called to the attention of the public of San Francisco. If bridge funds are to be spent outside of the immediate jurisdiction of the bridge, they should be spent in fair proportion in all parts of the district.

With these remarks I will move that the Board of Supervisors go on record disapproving the expenditure of Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District funds outside of the military reservations immediately adjacent to the bridge for the building of post roads and that if the directors of the bridge do make such an expenditure, that the



expenditure be made proportionately to the contributions to the financial responsibility of the Golden Gate bridge.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved that the Clerk write the Bridge District asking data on the vote taken. Supervisor McSheehy seconded.

*So ordered.*

### Communications.

The following communications were presented by the Supervisors noted and the following action taken thereon:

#### Meeting Nine Counties Highways Committee Re Highway Projects to Be Included in 1939-1941 State Highway Biennium Budget.

Supervisor Ratto presented communication from M. Goldman, Chairman of the Nine Counties Highways Committee, who requested that members of this Board participate in meeting to be held at Sacramento, August 5, for the purpose of discussing highway projects included in the 1939-41 State Highway Biennium Budget. The Chairman appointed Supervisor Ratto as representative.

#### Leasing Richardson's Grove or Other State Property for Commercial Purposes Disapproved.

Supervisor Ratto presented communication from Mendocino County Board of Supervisors and resolution, originals of which were sent to Governor Frank F. Merriam with respect to their disapproval of the leasing of Richardson's Grove or other State property for commercial purposes.

*Referred to Education, Parks and Recreation Committee.*

#### Women Clerk Eligible List.

Supervisor Roncovieri presented communication from Association of Women Eligibles with respect to creating more positions of General Clerks.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

#### Report of City Engineer on Underpasses at First, Sixth and Tenth Streets.

Supervisor Uhl presented communication from John J. Casey, City Engineer, reporting on advisability of constructing underpasses on Market street at First street, Sixth street, and Tenth street.

*Read and ordered filed.*

### Requests.

The following requests were made by Supervisors noted, and the following action taken thereon:

#### Paving of Clayton, Waller and Ashbury Streets.

Supervisor Uhl asked for information with respect to paving Clayton, Waller and Ashbury streets.

*Street Committee requested to report thereon.*

#### Underground District, Geary Boulevard, Divisadero Street Westerly.

Supervisor Uhl requested that an underground district be created on Geary boulevard from Divisadero street westerly.

**Bond Issue, Funston Avenue Approach to Golden Gate Bridge.**

Supervisor Roncovieri asked that the City Attorney advise on the feasibility of a bond issue to defray the cost of Funston avenue approach to the Golden Gate bridge.

*So ordered.*

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 6 p. m., adjourned.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, August 8, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, David A. Barry, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

DAVID A. BARRY,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.



Vol. 33

No. 34

Monday, August 8, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.

Journal of Proceedings  
Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, AUGUST 8, 1938, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, August 8, 1938,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

The following matter was taken up:

Appeal from the assessment for costs and expenses of work or im-  
provement on Twenty-ninth avenue from the north line of Pacheco  
street to the south line of Quintara street, by the construction of  
paving, etc.

There being no appearance of appellants and no protest offered,  
the Clerk was *directed to so notify the Department of Public Works.*

## SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

The following matter, referred to the Board by the Public Utilities Committee  
without recommendation and with the request that the proposal be considered in  
Committee of the Whole, was taken up.

## CHARTER AMENDMENT NO.

### REVENUE BONDS—ELECTRICAL SYSTEM.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the  
City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the  
Charter of the City and County of San Francisco by adding new sections  
hereto to be known as Sections 133.01 to 133.35, inclusive, relating to a  
system for generating, transmitting, and distributing electric power, and  
providing for financing the cost of said system by the issuance of bonds  
and other evidences of indebtedness payable wholly out of the revenues  
of said system, in an amount not to exceed \$21,000,000.00.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco  
hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San  
Francisco at the special election to be held on the 27th day of September,  
1938, a proposal to amend the Charter of the said City and County by

adding thereto new sections to be known as Sections 133.01 to 133. inclusive, under a new subdivision designated as REVENUE BONDS ELECTRICAL SYSTEM, as follows:

## **REVENUE BONDS—ELECTRICAL SYSTEM.**

### **Additional Powers of Utilities Commission.**

Section 133.01. The Public Utilities Commission of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, hereinafter referred to as The Commission, shall acquire by construction, purchase or other lawful method, whichever is the cheapest, a system of facilities, hereinafter referred to as the Municipal System, for generating, transmitting, distributing, and selling for profit electric energy originating in Hetch Hetchy and other places, to the people of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and elsewhere, said City and County being hereinafter referred to as The City, in the whole thereof or in such district or districts as herein provided, for all purposes whatsoever, and to any department, service or municipally owned utility of The City.

Section 133.02. The Commission shall borrow money by the issuance of revenue bonds or other evidences of indebtedness, hereinafter referred to as The Bonds, payable wholly out of revenues, as may be necessary to meet the cost of acquiring such facilities together with such stand-by service and transmission lines as may be necessary to maintain continuous service, provided that the total amount of money so borrowed or evidences of indebtedness issued, shall, in the aggregate, not exceed the sum of twenty-one million dollars (\$21,000,000.00.)

### **Facilities To Be Acquired—District To Be Served.**

Section 133.03. The facilities to be acquired shall in the main consist of a power plant on the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct at Red Mountain Bay, a transmission line from Newark to San Francisco, and the electric distribution facilities in a distribution area within the geographic limits of The City, said distribution area, hereinafter referred to as The Hetch Hetchy Zone, shall, as far as is practical, lie in a compact area of contiguous territory which shall comprise as near as may be all of the area of The City lying west of the easterly line of Fillmore Street projected southerly from San Francisco Bay to the county line, and the part of The City lying south of the northerly line of Sixteenth Street projected westerly from San Francisco Bay to the Pacific Ocean.

Section 133.04. Nothing herein shall prevent The Commission from excluding a parcel of contiguous territory, preferably adjacent to the boundary line of the Hetch Hetchy Zone, if the power generated by The City owned plants is inadequate to meet the full demands of the said zone, or from including additional territory if the said power is sufficient to meet the demands of such additional area in addition to those of The Hetch Hetchy Zone as above delineated, provided further in the event of an inclusion of additional area or of an exclusion of area the same shall lie in a contiguous parcel, except as otherwise herein provided, and preference shall always be given to domestic service demands over industrial or commercial demands.

Section 133.05. Nothing herein shall prevent The Commission from supplying electric energy to municipal service or departments lying



outside The Hetch Hetchy Zone, where, in the judgment of The Commission it is profitable or advantageous to do so; nor shall anything herein prevent The Commission from supplying electric energy outside the city where, in the judgment of The Commission, such sales will improve the load factor.

Section 133.06. No extension or reduction of The Hetch Hetchy Zone shall affect in any manner the validity of any bond authorized or issued to meet the cost of The Municipal System, and no holder or purchaser of any bond authorized or issued pursuant to this subdivision shall be required to inquire into the existence of any fact, or to the performance of any of the conditions or the taking of any proceedings required prior to the issuance of any of the said bonds, or to the application of the proceeds thereof.

### Acquisition May Be By Purchase or Construction.

Section 133.07. The Commission shall have authority to determine the method of acquiring The Municipal System, subject to the provisions herein, and such method of acquisition shall be binding and conclusive; shall cause to be made an appraisal of the existing electrical generating and distributing facilities within The Hetch Hetchy Zone, and exclusively pertinent to the service thereof; shall cause to be made an estimate of the cost of adequate transmission facilities from Newark to The City.

Section 133.08. The Commission shall call upon the Board of Supervisors for an appropriation from the general fund for such sum or sums as shall be necessary to effect said appraisals and estimates, and it shall be the duty of the Board of Supervisors to appropriate within thirty days from date of call by The Commission such sum or sums as The Commission shall fix for said appraisals and estimates, which sum or sums in the aggregate shall not exceed seventy-five thousand dollars (\$75,000.00), and which sums shall be returned to the general fund from the proceeds of the first sale or sales of bonds under the authority of this action.

Section 133.09. Concurrent with said appraisal of the facilities, The Commission shall carry on negotiations with private utility companies operating within The Hetch Hetchy Zone for the purchase of their electric power, lighting, distributing, transmitting, converting, and other facilities, factors, property and premises appertaining and appertinent thereto, and insofar as they are exclusively pertinent to the service of the Hetch Hetchy Zone, shall cause to be made a careful estimate of the cost of replacing the present distribution and transmission facilities within The Hetch Hetchy Zone and pertinent exclusively thereto; shall furnish the Board of Supervisors with copies of all data relating to estimates, appraisals, and purchase negotiations, together with the recommendation of The Commission of a method of acquisition.

Section 133.10. The Board of Supervisors shall have a period of not exceeding ninety days in which to examine the material submitted by the Commission and shall make, within said ninety day period, to The Commission a recommendation of a method of acquisition by resolution duly adopted; and unless two-thirds of the Supervisors, present and voting, shall modify or change such estimate, the estimate and plan of

The Commission shall be final; provided, however, if the existing facilities cannot be obtained at a cost reasonable in comparison with new and functionally superior facilities, then The Commission shall acquire by construction the facilities necessary to carry out the purposes of the subdivision.

Section 133.11. When the method of acquisition shall have been determined as herein provided, The Commission shall pass a resolution setting forth the method in which The Municipal System or any part thereof shall be acquired and shall, in said resolution, fix and determine the estimated costs thereof, and shall include in said estimate of cost such amount as The Commission shall estimate to be sufficient to pay the interest on the bonds during the actual period of construction of The Municipal System, or such part as it shall have been determined shall be constructed, and for a period of six months after the same shall have been in operation by The Commission.

Section 133.12. If The Municipal System, or any part thereof is to be acquired by a method other than by construction, then The Commission shall estimate the cost of said acquisition, including the cost of all engineering, appraisal and legal proceedings incident thereto, and shall add to the cost of said acquisition the amount of interest which shall have accrued on the bonds issued or sold to defray the cost of said acquisition for a period of six months after the commencement of operations of The Municipal System by The Commission.

Section 133.13. The Commission shall include in the estimate of cost of The Municipal System, whether acquired by construction or otherwise, an amount sufficient to pay the operating expenses of The Municipal System for a period of not to exceed six months after the commencement of operations of said system by The Commission. Not more than fifteen per centum (15%) of the cost of acquisition shall be so applied for operating expense and for interest as provided herein.

Section 133.14. If the Municipal System or any part thereof is to be acquired by construction, The Commission shall have due regard for probable increases in demand for service within The Hetch Hetchy Zone and the city owned departments or utilities as far as it is practicable to anticipate such increases in service demands, and shall make conservative allowances for probable growth over a reasonable future period; and if The Municipal System or any part thereof is acquired by construction, The Commission shall construct by contract and follow the usual method provided by law and custom governing competitive bids for public work.

### Issuance of Revenue Bonds.

Section 133.15. Upon adoption of a method of acquisition as provided herein and the total estimated or contracted costs thereof being determined, The Commission shall adopt a resolution authorizing the issuance of the amount of The Bonds, payable wholly out of revenue of The Municipal System, sufficient to pay the necessary cost of acquiring said system, including incidental expenses, interest during and for six months after the system is in operation by The Commission as provided in section 133.11 not to exceed, however, the total amount of twenty one million dollars (\$21,000,000.00). Said resolution shall fix the amount



The Bonds to be issued and state generally the purpose thereof, and also state the number, denomination, and maturities of said bond, and may provide, all and singular, the conditions to be inserted in The Bonds, as well as the rate of interest thereon, if said rate of interest is to be fixed, or it may defer fixing the rate of interest until the time of the sale of said bonds.

Section 133.16. Full power and authority is hereby given The Commission to cause to be inserted in The Bonds such terms and conditions as it shall deem proper, provided that there shall always be inserted in said bonds the fact that the same shall be payable, as to both principal and interest, wholly and solely out of revenue from The Municipal System; and shall fix the maximum rate of interest which The Bonds shall bear, which rate shall not exceed six per centum per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 133.17. The payment of the principal of The Bonds shall commence not later than four years from the date of issuance and shall be completed not more than thirty years from date of issuance; The Bonds shall mature and shall be payable each year during the period after which they are payable in such amounts as may be fixed by The Commission; any or all of The Bonds so issued may, at option of the holder thereof, be registered both as to principal and interest, with the Treasurer of The City in such manner as may be provided by The Commission. The Bonds may be sold at such times and in such amounts as the Commission shall deem proper, provided that said bonds shall not be sold at less than par value and accrued interest at date of delivery. The Bonds may be offered for sale on the basis of a fixed rate of interest on the basis of the lowest net interest cost; and authority is hereby given to The Commission to fix said rate of interest either prior or subsequent to the date of offering of The Bonds for sale.

Section 133.18. All of said bonds issued as provided herein shall be signed by the president of The Commission, or by the manager of utilities, and by the Secretary of The Commission and countersigned by the Treasurer of The City. The signature of the president of The Commission or of the manager of utilities may be by facsimile; and any coupon attached to any of The Bonds shall have affixed thereto the facsimile signature of the said treasurer. The official seal of The Commission shall be affixed to The Bonds and full authority is hereby given to The Commission to adopt a seal in such form and device as it shall deem proper.

Section 133.19. Each of said bonds shall state plainly on its face that it is payable, both as to principal and interest, only from the special fund created for that purpose, out of the revenues of The Municipal System and that it does not constitute a general obligation, liability, or indebtedness of The City. All revenue bonds issued under the authority of this Charter shall be negotiable instruments and shall have all the attributes of negotiability under the laws of this state relating to negotiable instruments.

#### **Agreement With Bondholders.**

Section 133.20. The Commission shall adopt an additional resolution relating to an agreement with the holders of any of The Bonds author-

ized to be issued under this subdivision, and it is hereby authorized and empowered in and by this resolution to agree with said holders of any of The Bonds, so long as the same shall be outstanding as follows:

(a) that the proceeds of the sale of said bonds shall be deposited in a fund separate and apart from all other funds of The City and shall, with any interest accrued thereon, be applied solely and exclusively to the objects and purposes for which said bonds are authorized to be issued and any proceeds from the sale of such of The Bonds remaining unused upon the acquisition of such system shall be applied to the retirement of the revenue bonds outstanding, by purchase in the open market or by call and redemption, as the case may be, and none of such moneys shall be transferred to any other fund of The City until all such bonds and accrued interest shall have been paid in full;

(b) that The Commission will maintain and operate The Municipal System acquired from the proceeds of said bonds in good repair, working order and condition and will, from time to time, make all needful and proper repairs, renewals and replacements, and shall continuously operate the same;

(c) that The Commission will establish reasonable rates for the sale of electric energy distributed through The Municipal System, and that such rates in the aggregate shall be adequate to enable The Commission to pay the principal and interest of said bonds and all costs of maintenance, operation and repairs of The Municipal System acquired from the proceeds of such bonds;

(d) that accurate books and records of account will be kept and maintained, showing all payments received by The Commission from the operation of The Municipal System acquired from the proceeds of the sale of said bonds, which records shall, while any of The Bonds are outstanding and unpaid, be kept open for inspection to the holders of any one or more of such bonds or their duly authorized representatives;

(e) that if any part of The Municipal System shall be taken from The Commission or from The City by eminent domain proceedings, or other proceedings authorized by law, the proceeds realized by said city or by said commission shall be applied solely and exclusively to the payment of the principal and interest of said bonds until the same shall have been paid in full;

(f) that neither The City nor The Commission shall mortgage or otherwise encumber, sell, or lease or dispose of The Municipal System acquired from the proceeds of the sale of The Bonds or any substantial part thereof, or enter into any lease or contract which shall impair the operation of said system or otherwise impair the right of the holder of any of said revenue bonds to secure payment in full of the principal and interest of any of said revenue bonds as the same shall mature;

(g) that none of the services or products of The Municipal System shall be furnished free; that in the event The City or any department, agency or instrumentality thereof, shall avail itself of the facilities, service, or products afforded by The Municipal System acquired from the proceeds of the sale of said bonds, a reasonable charge shall be made against said city, agency or instrumentality and shall be paid as the services shall accrue;

(h) that any terms, covenants or conditions herein contained or made



a part of any bond issued under authority of this section shall be subject to alteration, amendment or modification by agreement between The Commission and the holders of at least eighty per centum (80%) of the principal of the amount of the said bonds then outstanding.

Section 133.21. The Commission may also agree to any other terms or conditions, whether hereinabove referred to or not, which, in the judgment of The Commission, shall be necessary, advisable or convenient in effecting the sale of said bonds; provided, that such conditions do not permit said bonds to be sold at less than par value and accrued interest at date of sale, or increase the rate of interest above six per cent (6%), and provided further, that none of said covenants, agreements or conditions, nor anything herein provided shall obligate said commission or The City to do or perform any of said terms, covenants or conditions by the expenditure of any funds other than those arising from the operation of The Municipal System to be acquired from the proceeds of the sale of said bonds, and under no circumstances shall The City be obliged to levy or collect taxes to provide moneys to perform any of the terms or conditions contained in any of such resolutions, and all obligations assumed by said commission which shall require that the expenditure of any funds shall be limited solely and exclusively to the funds arising from the operation of said system.

#### Sale of Bonds.

Section 133.22. When the resolution required by Section 133.20 is adopted The Commission shall have full power and authority to issue The Bonds payable wholly out of revenue, to the amount specified in said resolution, but not to exceed the sum of twenty-one million dollars (\$21,000,000.00).

Section 133.23. The Commission shall regulate the time of issuance of The Bonds authorized by this subdivision, and may cause the same to be issued as one issue or in successive issues under the same authorization; said bonds shall be sold by The Commission at public sale to the highest bidder therefor, after notice of sale for a period of three days in not more than two newspapers of general circulation in The City; said sale shall be held not less than fifteen days after the date of last publication of said notice. The Commission shall require a surety bond or other security in such amount as it shall deem proper from all bidders to insure the fulfilling of any bid made for said bonds.

Section 133.24. When any of The Bonds are sold the proceeds shall be deposited in the treasury of The City and shall be used only for the purpose for which the same are herein approved.

Section 133.25. If a bid acceptable to The Commission should not be received for said bonds offered for sale, The Commission may then direct that said bonds, or any part thereof, be sold by The Commission or the treasurer of The City at private sale, at a price not less than par value of principal and accrued interest at the date of delivery of said bonds to the buyer.

Section 133.26. The Bonds authorized or issued under authority of this subdivision shall not be subject to the Charter limitations as to the bonded indebtedness of The City, nor shall they be taken into consideration in determining the amount of bonded indebtedness as provided in Section 104 of the Charter. Bonds issued pursuant to this subdivision may be called and paid before maturity upon such terms and conditions as may be determined by The Commission, provided that said conditions are inserted in said bond at the time of issuance thereof,

### **Maturity and Refunding of Bonds.**

Section 133.27. The Commission is hereby authorized to provide for the refunding, extension, or renewal of any of The Bonds issued pursuant to this subdivision and remaining outstanding and unpaid at or prior to the maturity thereof, by the issuance, sale, or exchange of new bonds in the same aggregate principal amount as The Bonds outstanding or unpaid, said new bonds to be of such denomination and maturing at such times, and bearing such rates of interest, and otherwise modified as The Commission shall deem proper, provided that such new bonds shall be payable, both as to principal and interest, wholly out of the revenues of The Municipal System, and the latest maturity of said new issue shall not be more than thirty years from the date of the original issue and the interest rate thereon shall not be greater than that of the original issue.

### **Utility Rates.**

Section 133.28. The Commission shall fix just and reasonable rates for any service furnished by The Municipal System, which rates shall not be less than will provide an amount sufficient to pay, as the same shall become due, the following:

(a) The principal sum and the interest thereon of The Bonds issued under this section and remaining unpaid, as said principal and interest become due and payable;

(b) All the operating expenses of said system;

(c) All amounts to become due within one year thereafter for the repair and maintenance of said system;

(d) To provide a surplus fund equal to the amount required to meet the principal and interest payments which will become due in the next succeeding year on outstanding bonds;

(e) An amount which shall be sufficient to meet the cost of reconstruction and replacements made necessary by the physical and functional depreciation, deterioration, or obsolescence of The Municipal System as the same occur;

(f) For reasonable extensions and betterments to said system, or to establish a reserve to pay for such extensions and betterments;

(g) To establish a surplus fund.

### **Separate Utility Accounting.**

Section 133.29. The Commission shall cause to be set up a bond interest and redemption fund into which shall be paid each month an amount at least sufficient to pay, as the same become due, the principal and interest upon the outstanding unpaid bonds for the current year and if at any time the revenues of The Municipal System are not sufficient to permit the payment of said sum into the fund, any deficiency shall be paid into said fund from the surplus fund; The Commission shall estimate the proper amounts to be applied to the purposes mentioned in schedules (c), (e), and (f), above set forth, and shall cause said estimated amounts to be set aside in proper funds established to meet said purposes; said estimates may be changed from time to time, and The Commission shall also pay into said interest and redemption fund an amount sufficient to meet all existing delinquencies upon any of said bonds.

Section 133.30. The Commission shall unify The Municipal System and the Hetch Hetchy electric power generating and transmitting system under the control and management of the Bureau of Electricity, for the purposes of accounting and liquidation of Hetch Hetchy Bonds allocated to power production and transmission, provide for each



nents from The Municipal System to the Hetch Hetchy System a fair and equitable sum for the power delivered to The Municipal System by and from said Hetch Hetchy System, due allowance being made for the investment of The Municipal System in Red Mountain Bar Power Plant and the extension of the Hetch Hetchy Transmission line from Newark to The City as herein provided.

Section 133.31. The Municipal System shall be considered a separate utility, and revenue from any other utility shall not be applied to The Municipal System unless otherwise expressly provided by Charter.

#### **Additional Powers.**

Section 133.32. The Board of Supervisors may, by ordinance, confer upon The Commission such additional powers not in conflict with this subdivision as may be necessary to carry out the purposes of this section.

#### **Annual Audit.**

Section 133.33. The Board of Supervisors shall annually appoint a certified public accountant to make an annual audit of the accounts of The Municipal System, which said audit shall annually form a component part of the annual report of The Commission, and the expense of said audit shall be paid out of revenues of said system, provided that said certified public accountant shall not be employed by any department, agency, or instrumentality of The City other than The Board of Supervisors.

Section 133.34. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Charter which may be in conflict with the provisions of this subdivision, the provisions of this subdivision shall prevail in so far as they pertain to the financing, acquisition, administration, and auditing of The Municipal System, the acquisition of Red Mountain Bar Power Plant, and the extension of the Hetch Hetchy power transmission line by the sale of The Bonds payable wholly out of revenue; provided, however, that nothing herein contained shall prevent the financing of additions or extensions of The Municipal System by general obligation bonds issued as provided by law.

#### **Conflicting Provisions.**

Section 133.35. Upon taking effect of this amendment, which adds **new Subdivision, Revenue Bonds—Electrical System**, embraced in Sections 133.01 to 133.35, inclusive. The Commission shall immediately proceed to acquire The Municipal System and promptly perform all acts required of The Commission by the provisions of this subdivision.

#### **Committee of the Whole.**

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the Board of Supervisors resolved itself into a Committee of the Whole for the purpose of hearing all parties interested in the proposed Charter amendment.

#### **Privilege of the Floor.**

The following persons, having been granted the privilege of the floor, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, were heard in support of the foregoing Charter amendment:

A. P. Wagner, President, Municipal Ownership Association of San Francisco, 2206 Steiner street, San Francisco;

E. Backus, Secretary, Municipal Ownership Association of San Francisco, 842 Fulton street, San Francisco;

Paul A. McCarthy, Attorney, representing Municipal Ownership Association of San Francisco, 155 Montgomery street, San Francisco;

Louis Bartlett, representing Municipal Ownership Association of San Francisco, 2434 Waring street, Berkeley, California;

T. F. Taylor, Certified Public Accountant, representing Municipal

Ownership Association of San Francisco, 800 Arguello boulevard, San Francisco.

### Motion

Supervisor Uhl moved that a transcript of these proceedings be furnished in order that city officials may study feasibility of plan.

*So ordered.*

Paul Ost, Electrical Engineer, San Francisco, was also heard.

### Committee of the Whole Arises

Thereupon, the Committee of the Whole arose and reported to the Board.

### Refused Submission.

Whereupon, the foregoing Charter amendment was *refused submission* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead—2.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Explanation of Vote.

The following Supervisors explained their votes:

**SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI:** Thank you. Supervisor McSheehy tells us that we would have a 45 per cent load factor—45 against 75, so that 30 per cent of our electricity will be gone up in the air. Perhaps I should not discuss that, because I do favor public ownership. My vote on the Board ever since I have been a member has indicated that. I have voted for everything that had any application to public ownership; but I asked the manager of our city utilities: Is this proposal feasible, and Mr. Cahill replied, "I don't know, because no figures have been submitted," and he went on to say that no figures, no engineer data, were submitted. How could Mr. Cahill pass on it—and how much less can we pass on it, with no knowledge at all, when our own experts have not been given the facts? They were perfectly willing to listen to Supervisor McSheehy and his experts. They went there and showed good faith, they went there and were shown nothing; and it is on the basis of nothing that we would vote to submit to the people something that may turn out to be a horrible nightmare.

I am not criticizing the plan, because I am not an expert; and all that has been said about the advisability of public ownership, and giving the people cheaper rates, and all that—I am for all that. But I will not vote for something that is so hazy, and upon which our own experts say the feasibility is unknown to them. Is it known to any of you? Of course not. You know no more about it than I do—and I know nothing—that is, about the feasibility; and I am not going to tell the people, "This is a good thing. Vote for it;" and use the Ickes situation to put it over. I want to use the Ickes situation to put over something that is feasible, that will have the backing of our experts. If we have experts and don't listen to them, we are doing wrong.

So for these reasons—and we are told about the elasticity of the plan—if they want to reduce it they can, or they can increase this distribution. That means a partial distribution, and I don't know—Mr. O'Toole, wasn't the decision of the court, or didn't Secretary Ickes declare something to the effect that it must be 100 per cent distribution, and Washington will not accept a partial distribution?

**MR. O'TOOLE:** I think Mr. Cahill can answer that question better than I could. My recollection of the matter is, however, that we may distribute any portion of the power we want, but the balance we will not be permitted to sell for resale. In other words, the same prohibition exists in Secretary Ickes' mind against a partial distribution and sale of the balance for resale.

**MR. CAHILL:** Mr. O'Toole is right about that. He wants the whole thing distributed—Secretary Ickes doesn't care how much we distribute, or how little we distribute, but as you pointed out yourself a moment ago, on a partial basis you lose between 35 and 50 per cent of your power down the river. Therefore, to make it a profitable investment, you have to go to 100 per cent distribution.



SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: That is a complete answer for me.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Mr. President.

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Colman.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: We are asked to submit to the people today a charter amendment which will call for an investment of \$21,000,000, and we are asked to accept the words of the gentlemen who came before us today—well-meaning gentlemen, no doubt, and men who have undoubtedly given the situation some thought, but men I have never seen before, whose capabilities and achievements I know nothing of; and we are not allowed, apparently, the right to go to our own experts to have these figures checked, looked into, corroborated or corrected if there are errors.

Why, it would be an unthinkable action for this Board, without that knowledge, to proceed, and expect the people of San Francisco to vote a huge expenditure of revenue bonds for the purpose of going into the power business.

Now, we are familiar with the situation regarding Secretary Ickes just as well as our friends who visited us today, and an appeal has been taken from his decision, and that appeal, I assume, will be continued to the highest court. Then there is the possibility still of the working out of another plan, should the decisions continue to be unfavorable—a plan that might reasonably comply legally with the terms of the Raker Act. And then there is always the possibility, and the just possibility in my opinion, that Congress will allow the Raker Act to be amended, which, I think, is a just and fair position for the people of San Francisco to take.

So, until we have reached that point when all of these things have failed, there is no need to worry about the situation in regard to what Secretary Ickes will do or not do. And another point, too, as was brought out by Mr. Cahill: By action of this Board—in which it is quite true I did not concur, because I voted against the appropriation of the money—but it was an act of this Board just the same—the Commission is now starting another plan, by our instruction. Surely, in view of that we cannot instruct them—at least we cannot ourselves come out and recommend another plan, particularly one about which we know nothing.

I will say in fairness, however, that I am opposed to revenue bonds, which the members of the Board, or at least some of you may remember, is a consistent attitude on my part. To me, revenue bonds simply make it easier to go into debt. It simply reduces the safeguard of a two-thirds majority of the voters to a bare majority. The statement in my mind that it is not a general obligation is false. As I see it, in looking at it practically, it differs very little from a general obligation bond, because we must provide for the payment of the interest, and if not taken out of the tax rate, it must be done by increasing the ratepayers' charges, which is identical, because it comes out of the citizen's pocket, and there is very little difference between the pocket of a taxpayer, and the pocket of a ratepayer. Every bond house has told us that the issuance of revenue bonds does affect the financial structure of a city, and with these bonds overhanging, it affects the credit of the city with regard to its general obligation bonds; and for that reason I have in the past consistently, and will continue to oppose going into debt by a majority, instead of by a two-thirds vote. And I will repeat, as I have before, the history of San Francisco does not indicate that our people will not vote a two-thirds majority for a worthy project. In fact, all of our bonds have been incurred by a two-thirds majority, and the people have shown a rare knowledge in voting for the good ones, and eliminating the bad ones.

I can't see why then we should let down the bars in one instance to a bare majority, when we have fared so well, and maintained such a high financial standing among the cities of the world, due to the fact, in my opinion, that we have always had to have a two-thirds majority before incurring a debt that bonds not only ourselves but our children, and grandchildren as well.

For those reasons I shall vote no on the presentation.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: I would like to explain my vote: I take the attitude that certainly the department heads and those who are re-

sponsible for the conduct of our utilities under the present charter, should be taken into consideration—their advice should be discussed with the submitter of this plan.

I believe that the charter created the Utilities Commission to take away from the so-called political bodies an interference with the conduct of our utilities, as operated by the Utilities Commission for San Francisco.

Just what is before us is a plan, more or less, in my opinion, and I say so with apologies to the one who proposes this plan—one more or less hastily drawn; and it does not come to this Board with sufficient information to properly submit this to the people.

I asked Mr. Ost and Mr. Cahill several questions. Mr. Ost, who is considered our expert, has informed us that he did not have the opportunity to study these figures; that these figures for some mysterious reason or other were denied him, and he could not at this time advise the Board whether the plan was feasible or practical.

That to me is a very important point, because I look to the Utilities Commission, and to our manager of utilities, and to those experts engaged by the department in the interest of our utilities. Their opinion is most pertinent to the question before us. This Board has gone on record in the past few months in requesting Mr. Cahill to extend Plan 7 down to Plan 8; and we have appropriated the sum of \$3,000 to enable Mr. Cahill, together with his experts, to bring that plan up to date for submission to the people of San Francisco. Mr. Cahill has informed me that on six occasions Mr. Ickes has turned down any partial distribution, and we would still be in the same position under Supervisor McSheehy's plan, because he says that we can still sell some power to the Pacific Gas & Electric Company.

Now, if it is a proper thing under Supervisor McSheehy's plan to sell any amount of power to a private corporation, I can't see his consistency in opposing the present sale. Now, for a number of years and I have repeated this to the Board before, we have been selling around \$2,000,000 or more of our power to the Pacific Gas & Electric Company under a 24-hour franchise; and I make the definite statement again, if there is sincerity behind this proposal, why didn't the same man who proposed this plan today have the courage to step up and recommend to the Board the discontinuance of the sale of power to the tune of \$2,000,000 to the Pacific Gas & Electric Company before? They wanted the revenue, and time and time again, in presenting themselves to the people of San Francisco, the cry "We have kept down the taxes" was the old-fashioned slogan. My slogan was a little different. I made no promises. I asked to be elected, and I said I will decide issues as honestly as I can, with no promises. There was no hocus pocus to my campaign to the people of San Francisco; and I charge bad faith again, when this contract is allowed to exist, and under a new contract submitted by Supervisor McSheehy he still proposes to sell to the ghostly "Devil" corporation, the P. G. & E., some more power.

Now, if it is consistent on one side, it must be consistent on the other. If it is inconsistent on the \$2,000,000, to me it is inconsistent on 10 cents worth, or \$95,000,000 worth; and I believe, members of the Board, if we are going to be honest with the people of San Francisco—and I am not opposing municipal distribution, but if we are going to go into the municipal power business, let's go into it whole hog or none. And when you go into it on a \$20,000,000 basis, on a plan that has not been well thought out—and there is no question it has not been—because the men whom the people charge with the responsibility of managing our municipal utilities have been denied, if you please, the figures—and why? I would like to know why they were refused. Why is Mr. Ost being paid a salary? Why is Mr. Cahill being paid a salary by our people? To do certain things, and to take certain protective measures to protect our utilities.

Now, a plan comes in here, shoved down the throats of the Board of Supervisors, and it is the old cry, "If you don't take my plan—if you don't do as I say, I will tell the people of San Francisco that all the members of the Board are unfair, unkind, unreasonable, and opposed to municipal power distribution." I say that is a false charge, and I want the facts before me before I will give to the people of San Francisco a partial \$21,000,000 distribution plan that might have for its



intent and purpose the destroying of our eventually distributing power.

I am not so sure, as Supervisor Colman suggested, that all these men who came before us are sincere in their contentions. I have reason to doubt some of those who came before us today. I know Mr. Cahill, Mr. Ost, and the city experts who should guide this Board have said to us today we cannot get the figures, we are not in a position to recommend this to you; we are afraid it might jeopardize the possibility of eventual distribution. Therefore, we, your experts, the people's choice in handling municipal power distribution, working under our utilities commission, we cannot say go ahead.

Now, if these men cannot tell us to go ahead on this plan—if there seems to be a doubt in their mind, I am not going to cast my vote in favor of this proposition, because, first of all, I believe it is hastily drawn, and secondly, I believe there is political reasons for the proposal at this time of this plan. The ambitions of individuals to become powerful in our city sometimes take from them their sense of duty in my opinion, and because of political ambitions they will drown municipal ownership to the very core in the bottom of the Bay.

And I say to the Board, it is a courageous thing to make a statement of this kind, but I am a courageous Supervisor, I was elected number two on the ticket, not with the slogan of "Low water rates," not with a slogan of a lot of hocus pocus, but I told them honestly I would serve the people to the best of my ability. And I would be false to my promise and false to a friend of municipal ownership if I presented this \$21,000,000 hocus pocus, hastily drawn up deal that is handed you—and they tell you to take it or leave it.

I say, members of the Board, Mr. Cahill is studying a plan. We have appropriated funds for that plan. Supervisor McSheehy knows we have appropriated those funds because he voted for the appropriation. Why now the sudden change? What was good a few months ago, Supervisor McSheehy, should be good enough for us today. And I hope Mr. Cahill and the Utilities Commission will get busy and bring in plan number seven up to date, and let us have the benefit of their technical opinions that will let this Board decide what to present to the people. And that is the reason I oppose the submission at this time of this hastily, quickly drawn, politically ambitious program, that I believe will hurt the people of San Francisco.

Supervisor McSheehy explains his vote as follows:

**SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY:** Two weeks ago I introduced a resolution requesting that Charter Amendment "Revenue Bonds—Electrical System" be submitted at an election to be held September 27, 1938, to be known as Plan No. 8.

This amendment calls for an expenditure of \$21,000,000, for the distribution of electric energy generated at the Hetch Hetchy Project for sale, direct, to the consumer within the district in general bounded by the territory lying west of the easterly line of Fillmore street projected southerly from San Francisco Bay to the county line, and that part of the city lying south of the northerly line of Sixteenth street projected westerly from San Francisco Bay to the Pacific Ocean, in what is hereafter to be known as "The Hetch Hetchy Zone."

This amendment was referred to the Public Utilities Commission and the City Attorney for report thereon for consideration by the Board of Supervisors at its meeting Monday, August 8, 1938, as a special order of business for 2:30 p. m.

On August 3, 1938, Mr. E. G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities, sent me a letter requesting certain informative data as to term and expiration date of bonds for the project, and certain breakdowns as to the engineering features contemplated.

A conference was held in my office Friday, August 5, 1938, at 3 p. m., at which were present Messrs. Ellis, Beck, Ost, Wagner, Taylor and myself. After consideration by the gentlemen mentioned, the following points were considered of prime importance, informative data for which, in the form requested by the Manager of Utilities, was impossible of compilation at the time of the meeting.

1. Cost \$21,000,000.

2. *Territory Served:* As defined in proposed Charter Amendment itself. "The Hetch Hetchy Zone" is so elastic that if the territory

embraced is found to be either too large or too small for the amount of the bond issue, it can be correspondingly increased or diminished.

3. The cost of the distributing system for "The Hetch Hetchy Zone" will be not less than \$16,000,000, and is based on the number of consumers in the zone itself. The load factor is 44 per cent. The balance of power will be used at off peak hours at rates so attractive that they will induce new industries and new payrolls to come to San Francisco. To carry the peak load we will have to purchase a limited amount of power from the Pacific Gas & Electric Company. It is mandatory upon the Pacific Gas & Electric Company to furnish electric energy at rates prescribed by the Railroad Commission of the State of California.

4. A series of questions were submitted to me by Mr. E. G. Cahill in his letter of August 3, 1938, answers to all of which can be found in Plan No. 7.

Gentlemen, the proposed Charter Amendment complies with the Raker Act. It has received tentative approval by the Secretary of the Interior. It will prevent Hetch Hetchy from being converted into a Federal project. It will place San Francisco in a favorable position with the Federal Government so that we may receive our proportion of the moneys that are being allocated to the various cities throughout the country under the "Spending-Lending" Bill. It will be possible to reduce power rates to the consumer by about 25 per cent. It will enable San Francisco to compete with other cities in inviting the manufacturer to locate here. Last, but not least, we will be keeping our word with the Federal Government under the provisions of the Raker Act.

I trust, therefore, gentlemen, you will place this amendment on the ballot and allow the people of San Francisco the simple right to vote for \$21,000,000 Revenue Bonds which will have no bearing on the tax rate or impair the credit of this city.

#### **SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.**

The following recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police was taken up:

#### **Underground Districts.**

(Code No. 11.12)

Bill No. 1631, Ordinance No. 11.1223, as follows:

Providing for the placing and installing of electrical cables and conductors underground in any district that is now designated as an underground district in the City and County of San Francisco, or any additional district that is designated as an underground district in said City and County, within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead conductors, except trolley poles and conductors; prohibiting the use of overhead wiring, materials, devices, poles or overhead conductor supporting structures over or upon any street designated as being within an underground district; providing for the removal of poles, overhead wiring structures, overhead wiring, materials, and devices by persons, firms, partnerships, corporations or public utilities owning, operating or maintaining said equipment, materials and devices; providing for the removal of poles, overhead wiring structures, overhead materials and devices within a specified time at the cost of the person, firm, partnership, corporation or public utility owning, operating, using or maintaining same; requiring electrical service conductors to terminate in underground pull boxes and notice of installation; providing for installation by owner, operator or lessor of property of electrical conduits and conductors for light, heat and power from pull boxes after notice; providing for installation by owner, operator or lessor of property of electrical conduits for telephone and telegraph service; providing for extension of conduits to pull boxes and installation of service conductors; requiring disconnection and removal of overhead electrical service wires or conductors in event of failure to install conduits and service conductors within thirty (30) days after installation of underground pull boxes; providing for the duties of the Chief of the Department of Electricity; providing for the penalties for violations of the sections of this ordinance; providing for the use of temporary poles and conductors in underground districts; exempting trolley poles and trolley conductors in underground districts; providing for the extension of certain conductors across underground districts; and repealing



all ordinances and parts of ordinances in conflict herewith, and particularly Sections 2B, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9 of Order No. 214, Second Series, regulating the removal of all poles, overhead wiring structures, materials and devices from designated underground districts.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

**Section 1. *Unlawful to Maintain Overhead Wiring, Materials and Devices in an Underground District.*** It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, partnership, corporation or public utility to erect, maintain, extend or operate any pole or structure used for the support of overhead electrical conductors, electrical materials and devices, overhead cables or conductors, by, through, over or upon the streets of any designated underground district where electrical energy may be or has been transmitted or used for the purpose of electrical lighting, heat, power, telephone, telegraph, or any other type of electrical transmission or distribution.

**Section 2. *Declaring Overhead Conductors, Materials and Devices in Underground Districts a Public Nuisance.*** On and after the date that the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco officially declares a designated underground district, all overhead cables, conductors, materials, devices, poles or overhead structures used for the support of electrical conductors, materials and devices, on, by, over, through or upon the streets of said designated district shall be deemed and become public nuisances, except such as are in this ordinance exempted from the provisions of this ordinance. It shall be unlawful after the respective times aforesaid for any person, firm, partnership, corporation or public utility to erect, maintain or extend any overhead conductors from building to building, or otherwise, and not on poles, except such as are in this ordinance exempted from the provisions of this ordinance.

**Section 3. *Poles, Conductors, Materials and Devices in Underground District to be Removed.*** Every person, firm, partnership, corporation or public utility that owns, uses, maintains or operates any pole or structure used for the support of electrical materials or devices, overhead conductors, cables, materials or devices on, by, over, through or upon the streets of the City and County of San Francisco where electrical energy has been or may be transmitted or used for the purpose of electrical lighting, heating, power, telephone, telegraph, or any other type of electrical distribution or service, shall remove the aforesaid materials and devices from such of those streets which have been or shall be designated by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco as being within an underground district, provided that said persons, firms, partnerships, corporations or public utilities owning, maintaining, extending, using, or operating such poles, overhead wiring supporting structures, overhead cables and devices, shall not be required to remove said poles, structures, materials and devices for more than two and one-half (2½) lineal miles of said streets in any one calendar year after the date of passage of this ordinance.

**Section 4. *Removal of Poles, Overhead Materials and Devices Within a Specified Time.*** Each and every pole, overhead wiring structure, cable and device described in aforesaid sections of this ordinance, excepting such as are in this ordinance exempted, in said City and County, owned, controlled, operated or used by said person, firm, partnership, corporation or public utility for any of the aforesaid purposes, shall be taken down and removed before the time specified by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco at the time a respective underground district is designated. The removal and taking down of said equipment and materials shall be by and at the cost of the person, firm, partnership, corporation or public utility so owning, controlling, operating or using same.

**Section 5. *Electrical Service Conductors to Terminate in Underground Pull Boxes. Notice of Installation.*** In any district that the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco may now or hereafter designate as an additional underground district the person, firm, corporation or utility supplying the electrical service for electric lighting, heat, power, telephone, telegraph, or any other type of electrical service, and hereinafter called the Serving Company, shall terminate its electrical service conductors in an un-

derground pull box adjacent to or at the property line of the building or structure being served, provided that, in the event the Serving Company elects to serve a building or structure from some other location than adjacent to or at the property line of the building or structure, in any other approved manner, the provisions of this section and of Section 8 hereof shall not be applicable. The Serving Company shall give to the person, firm, or corporation owning, operating, leasing or renting such building or structure, notice of the date when such pull box will be installed.

Section 6. *Installation by Owner, Etc., of Property of Electrical Conduits, Etc., for Light, Etc., From Pull Boxes.* The electrical conduits and conductors for light, heat and power, between the underground pull box and the main service switches in the building or structure being served, shall be installed by the person, firm, or corporation owning, operating, leasing or renting said property, within thirty days after the date specified in such notice as the date when such pull box will be installed.

Section 7. *Installation by Owner, Etc., of Property of Electrical Conduits, Etc., for Telephone, Etc., Service.* The electrical conduits for telephone and telegraph service shall be installed between the property line and the terminal location in the building by the person, firm or corporation owning, operating, leasing or renting said building.

Section 8. *Extension of Conduits to Pull Boxes and Installation of Service Conductors.* The Serving Company for telephone or telegraph service shall extend said conduit to their respective underground pull box or manhole and shall install the service conductors in said conduit.

Section 9. *Failure to Install Conduits, Etc., Removal of Overhead Wires, Etc.* In the event of failure on the part of the person, firm or corporation owning, operating, leasing or renting such building or structure to install such conduits and service conductors within thirty (30) days after the installation of the underground pull box so as to permit the removal of the overhead wires and conductors by the Serving Company, the Department shall order the disconnection and removal of any and all overhead electrical service wires or conductors supplying electrical service to such building or structure.

Section 10. *Duties of the Chief of the Department of Electricity.* The Chief of the Department of Electricity shall be responsible for the enforcement of this ordinance in any district that is now or may hereafter be designated as an underground district by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

The Chief of the Department of Electricity, after the time specified in Section 4, shall have the authority to order the removal, taking down, and carrying away any and all such poles, overhead wiring, structures, overhead wires, materials and devices aforesaid, as may not have been previously removed by the owners or operators thereof, as required by the provisions of this ordinance. The Chief of the Department of Electricity is hereby given authority to use and employ whatever labor, materials and devices as may be necessary to effectually carry out the provisions of this ordinance. The total cost of the labor, materials and devices necessary for the taking down and removal of the aforesaid overhead materials and devices shall be paid by the person, firm, partnership, corporation or public utility owning, controlling or operating the aforesaid overhead poles, structures, materials and devices.

Section 11. *Penalty For Each Day Such Poles and Other Overhead Materials and Devices Are Left Standing.* Any person, firm, partnership, corporation or public utility who shall erect or construct, place, maintain, extend or operate in any manner whatever, any pole or structure used for the support of overhead electrical materials or devices, overhead conductors, cables, materials and devices on, by, over, through or upon the streets of the City and County of San Francisco where electrical energy has been or may be transmitted or used for the



purpose of electrical lighting, heating, power, telephone, telegraph, or any other type of electrical service or transmission, except such as are in this ordinance exempted, after the time specified aforesaid for each of the designated underground districts, or who shall neglect to take down and remove the aforesaid overhead materials and devices, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction, shall be fined not less than fifty (50) or more than two hundred (200) dollars for every day such poles and other aforesaid overhead equipment and devices are left standing.

Section 12. *Temporary Use of Poles, Overhead Materials and Devices in Underground Districts.* In cases requiring the temporary use of overhead poles, structures, electrical materials and devices for the purposes of reporting conventions, meetings or other important public gatherings, or in cases of emergency, permits may be granted by the Chief of the Department of Electricity for the erection of overhead conductors and their supporting materials and devices for a period not exceeding sixty (60) days in each case.

Section 13. *Erection and Maintenance of Temporary Poles, Etc.* Temporary poles, overhead conductors and electrical materials and devices shall be erected and maintained by the person, firm, partnership, corporation or public utility to whom the temporary permit has been issued, and shall be erected and maintained in conformity with the rules and regulations of the Department of Electricity of the City and County of San Francisco and General Order No. 64A of the California Railroad Commission.

Section 14. *Removal of Temporary Poles, Etc.* After the expiration of a temporary permit, as specified in Section 12, and the emergency or special condition no longer exists, the person, firm, partnership, corporation or public utility shall remove all poles and other overhead materials and devices from said underground district. Failure to comply with the provisions of this section of this ordinance shall result in the same penalties as are specified in Section 11.

Section 15. *Inspection by Chief of the Department of Electricity or His Representative.* The Chief of the Department of Electricity is hereby charged with the duty of inspection and enforcement of all ordinances, rules and regulations relative to the installation of electrical conductors, materials and devices used for the transmission of electrical energy for light, heat, power, telephone, telegraph, or any other service or distribution in and upon the streets and over and upon buildings or structures in the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 16. *Exemption of Trolley Poles and Conductors.* Electric railways are expressly exempted from the provisions of this ordinance in so far as it affects the trolley poles and trolley wires used exclusively for the transmission of electrical power for railway purposes. The feeders or electrical service conductors for the trolley conductors shall conform to the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 17. *Extension of Certain Conductors Across Underground Districts.* Conductors of continuous lead crossing designated underground districts or parts thereof not further than to the extent of the width of a single street are hereby exempted from the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 18. *Application of this Ordinance to all Future Underground Districts.* In the event that the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco shall declare any additional underground district, the provisions as specified in this ordinance shall govern all overhead electrical conductors, poles, conductor or material supporting structures, and overhead materials and devices used for the transmission of electrical energy for lighting, heating, power, telephone, telegraph, or any other type of electrical service or distribution in and upon the streets and over and upon buildings or structures in the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 19. *Saving Clause.* If any section, subsection, subdivision,

paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance, and each section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, subdivisions, paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

**Section 20. *Repeal.*** All ordinances and parts of ordinances in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance, and particularly Sections 2-B, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9 of Order No. 214, Second Series, regulating the removal of all overhead structures, poles, materials and devices from designated underground districts, are hereby repealed.

#### Proposed Amendment.

Supervisor McSheehy proposed amendment to Section 3 providing for 10 miles of Underground Installation each year instead of 2½ miles, which was subsequently amended on motion of Supervisor Mead to read 5 miles each year instead of 2½ miles each year, which amendment was accepted by Supervisor McSheehy in lieu of his own motion for 10 miles, and is now pending.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

After discussion by Supervisors Colman, McSheehy and Reilly, in explanation of their votes, the foregoing matter was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead—2.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

##### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

#### Amendment, Annual Salary Ordinance, Section 17, Public Library, Retitling Position of Janitor Performing Work of Stationary Engineer.

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 1633, Ordinance No. 9.053135, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 17 of Ordinance 9.053128 by deleting Item 14, 1, C104, Janitor at \$185, and by inserting in lieu thereof Item 20½, 1, O168, Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines at \$185.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 17 of Ordinance 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

#### Section 17. PUBLIC LIBRARY

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A154	Carpenter .....	\$ 200
2	1	B72	Secretary, Board of Library Trustees..	250
3	1	B222	General Clerk .....	175
3½	1	B222	General Clerk .....	160
5	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	200
7	1	C52	Elevator Operator .....	110
8	1	C52	Elevator Operator (part time).....	65
8½	1	C101	Dressing Room Maid .....	75



## Section 17. PUBLIC LIBRARY (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
8¾	1	C101	Dressing Room Maid .....	65
10	1	C102	Janitress (part time) .....	82.50
12	11		Janitress or Janitor (part time) .....	55
13	7		Janitress or Janitor (part time) .....	27.50
15	1	C104	Janitor .....	125
15½	1	C152	Watchman .....	125
16	1	C152	Watchman .....	150
17	1	J54	Book Repairer .....	90
18	4	J54	Book Repairer .....	110
19	1	J54	Book Repairer .....	125
20	1	O1	Chauffeur .....	160
20½	1	O168	Engineer, Stationary Steam Engines....	185

## DEPARTMENTAL TITLES

21	7		Branch Librarian .....	175
22	5		Librarian .....	100
22½	4		Librarian .....	120
23	13		Librarian .....	130
24	10		Librarian .....	140
25	4		Librarian .....	150
26	20		Librarian .....	160
27	9		Librarian .....	175
28	1		Chief Cataloger .....	225
29	1		Reference Librarian .....	250
30	1		City Librarian .....	400
30½	11		Library Assistants .....	85
31	67		Substitutes, 50c per hour.....	
32	37		Pages, 30c per hour.....	
33	1		Station Keeper (part time) .....	50
34	4		Station Keepers (part time) .....	15

Finally passed by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Amendment, Annual Salary Ordinance, Section 70, Public Utilities Commission, San Francisco Airport—Airport Attendants and Junior Control Tower Operator.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1634, Ordinance No. 9.053136, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 70 of Ordinance 9.053128 by reducing the number of items in Item 4 from 4 to 3 F51 Airport Attendant at \$150.00; by deleting Item 7½—2 F53½ Junior Control Tower Operators at \$145.00; and by inserting the following employments in lieu of the above: Item 5—2 F51 Airport Attendants at \$145.00, and Item 7½—1 F53½ Junior Control Tower Operator at \$150.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 70 of Ordinance 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 70. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO AIRPORT**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
2	3	C104	Janitor .....	125
3	1	F50	Maintenance Chief .....	155
4	3	F51	Airport Attendant .....	150

**Section 70. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO AIRPORT (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
5	2	F51	Airport Attendant .....	145
6	3	F52	Crew Chief, Airport .....	160
7	4	F53	Control Tower Operator, Airport .....	165
7½	1	F53½	Junior Control Tower Operator.....	150
8	1	F54	Meteorologist .....	200
9	1	F60	Assistant Superintendent .....	225
10	1	F62	Superintendent .....	500
11			Seasonal, Clerical and other temporary Services as needed at rates not in excess of Salary Standardization Schedules.	

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Amendment Annual Salary Ordinance, Section 83, Board of Education Non-Certificated Employees, 1938-1939.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1635, Ordinance No. 9.053137, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 83 of Ordinance 9.053128 by increasing the number of employments under Item 224 from 50 to 51 B408 General Clerk-Stenographer at \$175; by increasing the number of employments under Item 226 from 7 to 8 B408 General Clerk-Stenographer at \$160; by reducing the number of employments under Item 242 from 6 to 5 B512 General Clerk-Typist at \$175; and by reducing the number of employments under Item 243 from 2 to 1 B512 General Clerk-Typist at \$160; by deleting Item 263, and by changing the number of employments under Item 262 from 3 to 4 J78 Stockman at \$160.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 83 of Ordinance 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 83. BOARD OF EDUCATION—**

**NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES, 1938-1939**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
200	1	A6	Superintendent of Maintenance and Repairs .....	\$ 375
201	3	A154	Carpenter at \$10 per day .....	
202	1	A162	Foreman Carpenter at \$11 per day ....	
203	3	A354	Painter at \$9.75 per day .....	
204	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	235
205		B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	190
206	1	B9	Supervisor of Financial Reports, Board of Education .....	200
207	1	B14	Senior Accountant .....	375
208		B14	Senior Accountant .....	275
209	1	B58	Secretary Board of Education (exempt)	425
210	1	B180	Administrative Assistant .....	300
211	1	B210	Office Assistant .....	100
212	1	B210	Office Assistant .....	75
213	1	B222	General Clerk .....	155
214	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
215	1	B222	General Clerk .....	175
216	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	200



Section 83. BOARD OF EDUCATION—  
NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES, 1938-1939  
(Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
217	2	B308	Key Drive Calculating Machine Operator	175
218	1	B308	Key Drive Calculating Machine Operator	150
219		B308	Key Drive Calculating Machine Operators as needed at \$5 per day.....	
220	1	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator.....	165
221	1	B354	General Storekeeper .....	230
222	1	B380	Armorer R. O. T. C. (part time) .....	75
223	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	215
224	51	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
225	9	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	170
226	8	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	160
227	4	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	150
228	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	140
229	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at \$4.20 per eve. ....	
230	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at \$3.30 per eve. ....	
231	7	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at \$3.00 per eve. ....	
232	26	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer at \$6.00 per day .....	
233	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	215
234	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
235	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
236	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	180
237	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	175
238	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
239		B454	Telephone Operator (part time) for relief when needed at \$2 per day .....	
240	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	215
241	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	190
242	5	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
243	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	160
244	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	140
245	96	C102	Janitress .....	140
246	4	C102	Janitress .....	130
247	129	C104	Janitor .....	155
248	34	C104	Janitor .....	145
249	1	C104	Janitor (part time) .....	16
250	1	C104	Janitor (part time) .....	10
251	28	C105	Special Janitor .....	162.50
252	2	C105	Special Janitor .....	152.50
253	5	C107	Working Foreman Janitor .....	185
254	11	C107	Working Foreman Janitor .....	170
255	1	C107	Working Foreman Janitor .....	160
256	1	C112	Supervisor School Janitors .....	275
257	1	I12	Cook .....	140
258	1	I12	Cook .....	130
259	1	I12	Cook .....	75
260	2	I2	Kitchen Helper .....	75
261	11	J78	Stockman .....	200
262	4	J78	Stockman .....	160
264	1	J80	Foreman Stockman .....	210
265	1	O2	Chauffeur .....	215
266	1	O104	Moving Picture Operator .....	200
267	2	O122	Window Shade Worker .....	200
268	12	O168	Engineers Stationary Steam Engines ..	220

Section 83. BOARD OF EDUCATION—  
**NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES, 1938-1939**  
 (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
269		O168	Engineers Stationary Steam Engines (part time; relief) .....	125
270		O168	Engineers, Stationary Steam Engines, \$3 per evening as required .....	
271	1	O172	Chief Engineer Stationary Steam Engines .....	310
272	1	O61	Foreman Gardener .....	200
273	7	O58	Gardeners .....	145
274	2	O58	Gardeners .....	135
275			Referees and Umpires, \$1 to \$3 per game as needed.	
276			Temporary clerical employment and other help as needed at rates not in excess of salary standardization schedules.	
277			Temporary evening school clerks as needed at \$3.00 per evening.	

**TRUCK RENTAL—CONTRACTUAL**

278			Trucks over 2,500 lbs. and not over 4,500 lbs. at rate of \$265.00 per month for not more than 23 days per month.	
-----	--	--	---	--

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Authorizing Sale of Fire Department Lot on Wisconsin Street.**

(Code No. 12.1726)

Also, Bill No. 1636, Ordinance No. 12.17267, as follows:

Authorizing sale of Fire Department lot on Wisconsin street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Fire Department, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City-owned land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Wisconsin street, as said line existed immediately prior to the closing of a portion of Wisconsin street by Resolution No. 32809 (New Series), adopted by this Board on July 15, 1930, distant thereon 17.5 feet southerly from the southerly line of Twenty-sixth street; running thence southerly along said easterly line of Wisconsin street, 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 80 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 80 feet to said easterly line of Wisconsin street and the point of commencement.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.



**Authorizing Supplemental Appropriation of \$3,520 Out of Surplus Existing in Appropriation No. 842.101.00 to the Credit of Appropriation No. 833.101.00, for the Purpose of Accommodating Transfer of Two Garagemen From the Department of Public Works, Street Cleaning Division, to the Purchaser of Supplies.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1637, Ordinance No. 9.051498, as follows:

Authorizing supplemental appropriation of thirty-five hundred and twenty (\$3,520) dollars out of surplus existing in Appropriation No. 842.101.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 833.101.00, for the purpose of accommodating transfer of two garagemen from the Department of Public Works, Street Cleaning Division, to the Purchaser of Supplies.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of thirty-five hundred and twenty (\$3,520) dollars is hereby appropriated and set aside out of surplus existing in Appropriation No. 842.101.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 833.101.00, for the purpose of accommodating transfer of two garagemen from the Department of Public Works, Street Cleaning Division, to the Purchaser of Supplies.

Recommended by S. J. Hester, Department of Public Works.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Authorizing Sale of Land on Highland Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1722)

Also, Bill No. 1638, Ordinance No. 12.172215, as follows:

Authorizing sale of land on Highland avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Education, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Block No. 2, as per map of Holly Park Tract, recorded July 5, 1883, in Book 1 of Maps at pages 169 and 170, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco, excepting the following described portion thereof:

Commencing at the most southerly corner of said Block No. 2, running thence northeasterly along the westerly line of Holly Park Circle 89 feet 4 inches to the most easterly corner of said Block No. 2; thence northwesterly along the northeasterly line of said Block No. 2, 53 feet; thence at right angles southwesterly 57 feet; thence deflecting 23 degrees to the left and running southwesterly 56 feet, more or less, to the northeasterly line of Highland avenue (formerly Plymouth avenue); thence southeasterly along last-named line, 52 feet 6 inches, more or less, to the point of commencement.

Section 2. The above described land shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco. The property may be sold as a whole or subdivided.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Authorizing Sale of Certain School Lands.**  
(Code No. 12.1722)

Also, Bill No. 1639, Ordinance No. 12.172216, as follows:

**Authorizing Sale of Certain School Lands.**

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Education, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

*Parcel 1:* Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Eighteenth avenue, distant thereon 225 feet southerly from the southerly line of Ortega street; running thence southerly along the westerly line of Eighteenth avenue, 150 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 150 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 100 feet to the point of commencement.

*Parcel 2:* Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Eighteenth avenue, distant thereon 225 feet southerly from the southerly line of Quintara street; running thence southerly along the westerly line of Eighteenth avenue, 100 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 100 feet to the point of commencement.

*Parcel 3:* Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Twenty-fifth avenue, distant thereon 225 feet southerly from the southerly line of Santiago street; running thence southerly along the easterly line of Twenty-fifth avenue, 150 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 110 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 150 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 110 feet to the point of commencement.

*Parcel 4:* Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue, distant thereon 225 feet southerly from the southerly line of Moraga street; running thence southerly along the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue, 150 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 240 feet to a point on the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue; thence at a right angle northerly along last named line 150 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 240 feet to the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue and the point of commencement.

*Parcel 5:* Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue, distant thereon 225 feet southerly from the southerly line of Quintara street; running thence southerly along the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue, 150 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 240 feet to a point on the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue; thence at a right angle northerly along last named line, 150 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 240 feet to the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue and the point of commencement.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco. Said parcels may be sold individually or subdivided.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Authorizing Sale of Certain School Lands.**  
(Code No. 12.1722)

Also, Bill No. 1640, Ordinance No. 12.172217, as follows:

**Authorizing Sale of Certain School Lands.**

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Board



of Education, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

*Parcel 1:* Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Kansas street, distant thereon 141.5 feet northerly from the northerly line of Army street; running thence northerly along the easterly line of Kansas street, 150 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 200 feet to a point on the westerly line of Rhode Island street; thence at a right angle southerly along last named line, 150 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 200 feet to the easterly line of Kansas street and the point of commencement.

*Parcel 2:* Commencing at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Quintara street with the westerly line of Eighteenth avenue; running thence southerly along the westerly line of Eighteenth avenue, 225 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 100 feet to the true point of beginning; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 20 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 90 feet to a point on the proposed new easterly line of Nineteenth avenue; thence at a right angle northerly along last named line 150 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 110 feet to the true point of beginning.

*Parcel 3:* Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, distant thereon 225 feet southerly from the southerly line of Geary street; running thence southerly along the westerly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, 150 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 150 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Twenty-fourth avenue and the point of commencement.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco. Said parcels may be sold individually or subdivided.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### **Ordering the Improvement of Athens Street Between Excelsior Avenue and Avalon Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

The following recommendations of Streets Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

Bill No. 1625, Ordinance No. 12.061199, as follows:

Ordering the Performance of Certain Street Work to Be Done in the City and County of San Francisco, Approving and Adopting Specifications Therefor, Describing and Approving the Assessment District, and Authorizing the Director of Public Works to Enter Into Contract for Doing the Same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 6, 1938, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his

office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Athens street between Excelsior avenue and Avalon avenue and of the crossing of Athens street and Excelsior avenue by grading to official line and grade and by the construction of the following:

Item No.	Item
1.	Grading (excavation).
2.	Grading (embankment).
3.	Unarmored concrete curb.
4.	Asphalt concrete pavement consisting of a 6-inch Class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.
5.	6-inch Class "E" concrete pavement.
6.	Brick catch basins, complete.
7.	10-inch V. C. P. culvert, in place.
8.	8-inch V. C. P. sewer, in place.
9.	6-inch V. C. P. side sewers, in place.
10.	Two-course concrete sidewalk.
11.	Water services.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as:

Lots 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 21A, 21B, 22, 23, 24, 24A and 25 of Block 6005;

Lots 1, 1A, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 41, 41A and 41B of Block 6006;

Lots 1, 1A, 1B, 1C, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11 of Block 6021.

Lots 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30 and 31 of Block 6022;

All being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceeding for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### **Repealing Ordinance No. 12.061193, Ordering the Improvement of Wherenots on Brewster Street and at Other Locations.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 1626, Ordinance No. 12.0611100, as follows:

Repealing Bill No. 1516, Ordinance No. 12.061193, "Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same," approved February 23, 1938, ordering the improvement of wherenots on Brewster street between Costa street and Faith street and at other locations.



Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill No. 1516, Ordinance No. 12.061193, the title of which is recited above, ordering the improvement of wherenots on Brewster street between Costa street and Faith street and at other locations, is hereby repealed.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### **Changing Grades on Burnham Street and Twenty-fourth Street.**

(Code No. 12.0722)

Also, Bill No. 1627, Ordinance No. 12.072238, as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Burnham street between Elizabeth street and Twenty-fifth street and on Twenty-fourth street between the easterly line of Burnham street and Market street.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 31st day of May, 1938, by Resolution No. 3988, declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Burnham street between Elizabeth street and Twenty-fifth street and on Twenty-fourth street between the easterly line of Burnham street and Market street.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for two days, and the Director of Public Works, within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention, caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than thirty days have elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established in accordance with that certain diagram entitled "Grade Map Showing the Proposed Change and Establishment of Grades on Burnham street between Elizabeth and Twenty-fifth Street and on Twenty-fourth Street between the Easterly Line of Burnham Street and Market Street," approved by the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 3988, May 31, 1938.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### **Authorizing Sale of City-owned Land Adjoining Castro-Divisadero Divisional Highway.**

(Code No. 12.1721)

Also, Bill No. 1628, Ordinance No. 12.172110, as follows:

Authorizing Sale of City-owned Land Adjoining Castro-Divisadero Divisional Highway.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following

described City-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

*Parcel 1:* Beginning at the intersection of the northerly line of Duboce avenue with the southwesterly line of Castro street as last named line is shown on "Map Showing Opening of Castro Street," recorded January 31, 1938, in Map Book N, at page 49, Official Records of San Francisco, California; thence running northwesterly along said line of Castro street on the arc of a curve to the left tangent to a line deflected 107 degrees 05 minutes 10 seconds to the left from the said line of Duboce avenue, radius 1364 feet, central angle 3 degrees 36 minutes 23 seconds, a distance of 85.855 feet to the easterly line of the property now or formerly owned by Curtis C. Cloer and Harriet N. Cloer; thence deflecting 164 degrees 04 minutes 03 seconds to the left from a line tangent to the preceding curve and running southerly along last named line and the easterly line of the property now or formerly owned by Sadie Wiesenhutter, 80.967 feet to the northerly line of Duboce avenue; thence deflecting 85 degrees 14 minutes 24 seconds to the left and running easterly along said line of Duboce avenue 21.116 feet to the aforesaid southwesterly line of Castro street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 441.

*Parcel 2:* Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Duboce avenue, distant thereon 219 feet 6 inches from the easterly line of Divisadero street, said point being on the westerly line of the property now or formerly owned by Thomas P. Dorris; thence deflecting 94 degrees 45 minutes 36 seconds to the left from the said line of Duboce avenue, and running northerly along last named property line 115.104 feet to the southerly line of the property now or formerly owned by Ethel J. Hart and Elsie M. Waller; thence at right angles westerly along last named line and the westerly prolongation thereof 28.495 feet to the northeasterly line of Castro street as said line of Castro street is shown on "Map of Opening of Castro Street," recorded January 31, 1938, in Map Book N, at page 49, Official Records of San Francisco, California; thence running southeasterly along said line of Castro street on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to a line deflected 106 degrees 09 minutes 30 seconds to the left from the preceding course, radius 1440 feet, central angle 4 degrees 45 minutes 34 seconds, a distance of 119.618 feet to the northerly line of Duboce avenue and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 441.

*Parcel 3:* Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Lloyd street, distant thereon and on the westerly prolongation thereof 193.75 feet easterly from the easterly line of Divisadero street, said point being on the easterly line of the property now or formerly owned by Ethel J. Hart and Elsie M. Waller; thence at right angles southerly from said line of Lloyd street, along last named property line, 100 feet to the northerly line of the property conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco by deed recorded June 15, 1936, in Volume 2976, Official Records at page 52; thence at right angles westerly along last named line 3.495 feet to the northeasterly line of Castro street as said line is shown on the "Map Showing Opening of Castro Street," recorded January 31, 1938, in Map Book N, at page 49, Official Records; thence running northwesterly along said northeasterly line on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to a line deflected 73 degrees 50 minutes 30 seconds to the right from the preceding course, radius 1440 feet, central angle 4 degrees 11 minutes 26 seconds, a distance of 105.320 feet to the aforesaid southerly line of Lloyd street; thence deflecting 110 degrees 20 minutes 56 seconds to the right from a line tangent to the preceding curve at the latter point and running easterly along said line of Lloyd street 36.468 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 441.

*Parcel 4:* Beginning at the intersection of the northerly line of Lloyd street with the northeasterly line of Castro street as said line



of Castro street is shown on the "Map Showing Opening of Castro Street," recorded January 31, 1938, in Map Book "N", page 49, Official Records, said intersection is also on the westerly line of the property now or formerly owned by Mary Jacobs and Nicholas J. Jacobs; thence northerly at right angles from the said line of Lloyd street, along last named property line 80 feet to the southerly line of the property now or formerly owned by Annie L. Carroll and Wm. P. Carroll; thence at right angles westerly along last named line, 30 feet to the easterly line of the property conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco by deed recorded December 10, 1937, in Volume 3217, Official Records at page 315; thence deflecting 26 degrees 30 minutes 00 seconds to the left from the said Carroll property line and running southwesterly along the prolongation of a radial line to that certain 238 foot radius curve shown on aforesaid "Map Showing Opening of Castro Street," 1.440 feet to the said northeasterly line of Castro street; thence running southeasterly along the said line of Castro street on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to a line at right angles to the preceding course, radius 238 feet, central angle 5 degrees 48 minutes 00 seconds, a distance of 24.092 feet; thence continuing southeasterly along said line of Castro street tangent to the preceding curve 61.243 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 441.

*Parcel 5:* Beginning at the intersection of the southerly line of Waller street with the northeasterly line of Castro street, as said line of Castro street is shown on the "Map Showing Opening of Castro Street," recorded January 31, 1938, in Map Book "N", page 49, Official Records; thence running southeasterly along said northeasterly line of Castro street 50.653 feet; thence continuing southeasterly along said line of Castro street on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, radius 238 feet, central angle 24 degrees 30 minutes 00 seconds, a distance of 101.770 feet; thence leaving said line of Castro street and running northeasterly, radial to the preceding curve, 1.440 feet to the intersection of the easterly line of the property conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco by deed recorded December 10, 1937, in Volume 3217, Official Records, at page 315, with the westerly line of the property now or formerly owned by Wm. P. Carroll and Annie L. Carroll; thence deflecting 63 degrees 30 minutes 00 seconds to the left from the preceding course and running northerly along the said Carroll property line 77.50 feet to the southerly line of the property now or formerly owned by Marian Green; thence at right angles westerly along last named line 38.00 feet to the easterly line of the property conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco, by deed recorded January 13, 1936, in Volume 2888, Official Records, at page 280; thence at right angles northerly along last named line 32.50 feet to the southerly line of Waller street; thence at right angles westerly along the said line of Waller street 65.870 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 441.

*Parcel 6:* Beginning at the intersection of the easterly line of Divisadero street with the southwesterly line of Castro street, as said line of Castro street is shown on the "Map Showing Opening of Castro Street," recorded January 31, 1938, in Map Book "N", page 49, Official Records of San Francisco, California; thence running southeasterly along said line of Castro street the following courses and distances 5.039 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the right tangent to the preceding course, radius 162 feet, central angle 30 degrees 18 minutes 00 seconds, a distance of 85.671 feet; thence tangent to the preceding curve 32.525 feet to the former northerly line of Lloyd street; thence deflecting 110 degrees 42 minutes 00 seconds to the right and running westerly along said line of Lloyd street 65.005 feet to the said easterly line of Divisadero street; thence at right angles northerly along said line of Divisadero street 102.231 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 441.

The City and County of San Francisco reserves the right to construct, reconstruct, maintain and repair a concrete retaining wall along the easterly three (3) feet of said Parcels 1 and 6.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco. The parcels may be sold as a whole or subdivided.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Accepting the Roadway of Twenty-ninth Avenue Between the North Property Line of Pacheco Street and the South Property Line of Quintara Street.**

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 1629, Ordinance No. 12.081139, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Twenty-ninth avenue between the north property line of Pacheco street and the south property line of Quintara street, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Twenty-ninth avenue between the north property line of Pacheco street and the south property line of Quintara street, including the curbs.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Accepting the Roadway of Topeka Avenue Between Silver Avenue and a Point 398.5 Feet South of Silver Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 1630, Ordinance No. 12.081149, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Topeka avenue between Silver avenue and a point 398.5 feet south of Silver avenue, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Topeka avenue between Silver avenue and a point 398.5 feet south of Silver avenue, including the curbs.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.



**Authorizing Acceptance by Park Commission of Properties of Boat Clubs at Aquatic Park and Granting Such Clubs Permission to Use Space in Aquatic Park Until New Buildings Are Erected and Rented to Them.**

(Code No. 23.011)

The following recommendation of Committee on Education, Parks and Recreation, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up:

Bill No. 1632, Ordinance No. 23.0114, as follows:

Authorizing the Park Commission of the City and County of San Francisco to accept certain property belonging to the Ariel Boat Club, South End Rowing Club and Dolphin Swimming and Boating Club and now situated in the so-called Aquatic Park and to grant permits to each of said clubs to occupy certain space in said aquatic park upon terms and conditions to be agreed upon between the respective boat clubs and said Park Commission.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Park Commission is hereby authorized to accept from the Ariel Boat Club, South End Rowing Club and Dolphin Swimming and Boating Club the building which each of said clubs now owns and maintains in the Aquatic Park upon such terms and conditions as may be agreed upon between the respective clubs and said Park Commission.

Section 2. The respective agreements heretofore consummated between the said Park Commission and each of said clubs, wherein and whereby each of said clubs transferred to said Park Commission their respective buildings in said Aquatic Park, are hereby approved and said Park Commission is hereby authorized and empowered to agree with said clubs that each of said clubs may continue to occupy their respective buildings and quarters in said Aquatic Park until a new building or new buildings are erected or constructed under the jurisdiction of the Park Commission which will afford space and accommodations in said building or buildings on a fair and reasonable rental basis to be fixed by said Commission, and that when said building or buildings are erected and constructed and said space accorded to said clubs that they will vacate and surrender the premises now occupied by each of them.

Section 3. The Park Commission, through its properly constituted officers, is hereby authorized to enter into any and all agreements to carry out the purposes of this ordinance.

Section 4. Said agreements to be entered into by and between said Park Commission and said above named clubs may be entered into with said clubs jointly or with each of said clubs individually.

Finally passed by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**NEW BUSINESS.**

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Declaratory Resolution, Bond Issue for Sewers.**

(Code No. 12.122)

Resolution No. 4163, as follows:

Declaring and determining that public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion in the City and County of San Francisco

of municipal improvements consisting of the construction of new sewers, sewer replacements, and the construction and extension of sewage treatment plants and pumping stations, all of said improvements designed for the general health of the people of San Francisco and all necessary lands and rights of way, and that the estimated cost of said improvements are and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Resolved by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared and determined that public interest and necessity demand, for the protection of the health of the people of San Francisco, the construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of municipal improvements consisting of the construction of new sewers, sewer replacements and the construction and extension of sewage treatment plants and pumping stations within the City and County of San Francisco, together with all appurtenances and lands that may be necessary for the construction, replacement and extension of sewers, sewage treatment plants and pumping stations, the work to be performed in the east of Van Ness avenue district, west of Van Ness avenue district, south of Market and Mission streets districts, Sunset-Parkside and West of Twin Peaks districts and Park-Presidio district, and it is further declared and determined that the estimated cost of said improvements is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Section 2. That the estimated cost of said improvements described in Section 1 hereof, is the sum of \$4,200,000.

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of said sum of \$4,200,000 no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the amount of \$4,200,000.

Section 4. The bonds described herein shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government, through one of its agencies, shall make or agree to make a grant to the City and County of approximately 45 per cent of the estimated cost of the project (exclusive of land) as determined by the Administrator of the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### **Declaratory Resolution, Bond Issue for Courts Building.**

(Code No. 12.123)

Also, Resolution No. 4164, as follows:

Declaring and determining that public interest and necessity demand the construction and furnishing by the City and County of San Francisco of a municipal improvement to-wit, a courts building to be erected in the Civic Center of San Francisco on land owned by the City and County for the purpose of providing appropriate quarters for the Superior and Municipal courts of the City and County of San Francisco and for other courts which may be hereafter created and for the County Clerk, the Clerk of the Municipal Court and for such other offices and agencies of the City and County of San Francisco as may be accommodated therein, and further declaring that the estimated cost of said improvements is, and will be, too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Resolved by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared and determined that public interest



and necessity demand that more adequate housing facilities be afforded the courts and other agencies of the City and County of San Francisco and that to meet such purpose the said City and County shall construct and furnish a municipal improvement, to-wit, a Courts Building in the Civic Center of San Francisco on land owned by the said City and County for the purpose of providing appropriate quarters for the Superior and Municipal courts, and for other courts that may be hereafter created, for the County Clerk and for the Clerk of the Municipal Court and for such other agencies or offices of the City and County of San Francisco as may be accommodated therein; and it is further declared and determined that the estimated cost of said improvement and furnishings is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Section 2. That the estimated cost of such improvement and furnishings described in Section 1 hereof is the sum of \$3,828,000.

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of said sum of \$3,828,000 no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the amount of \$3,828,000.

Section 4. The bonds described herein shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government, through one of its agencies, shall make or agree to make a grant to the City and County of approximately 45 per cent of the estimated cost of the project (exclusive of land) as determined by the Administrator of the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

No—Supervisor Colman—1.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### Declaratory Resolution, Bond Issue for Yacht Harbor (Code No. 12.123)

Also, Resolution No. 4165, as follows:

Declaring and determining that public interest and necessity demand the extension and improvement of the existing Yacht Harbor in the Bay of San Francisco consisting of the construction of a concrete pier, approaches, service wharves, landing stages and mooring piles for yacht berths, the installation of electric lighting, water supply and other utilities and the construction of light houses at each end of the concrete pier, and that the estimated cost of said improvements are, and will be, too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Resolved by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared and determined that public interest and necessity demand the extension and improvement of the existing Yacht Harbor in the Bay of San Francisco, by the construction of a concrete pier, approaches, service wharves, landing stages and mooring piles for yacht berths and the installation of electric lighting, water supply and other utilities and the construction of light houses at each end of the concrete pier designed to light the harbor entrances and all intended for the safe and better enjoyment of the Yacht Harbor, and it is further declared and determined that the estimated cost of said improvements is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Section 2. That the estimated cost of such improvements described in Section 1 hereof, is the sum of \$700,000.

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of said sum of \$700,000 no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and reve-

nue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the amount of \$700,000.

Section 4. The bonds described herein shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government, through one of its agencies, shall make or agree to make a grant to the City and County of approximately 45 per cent of the estimated cost of the project (exclusive of land) as determined by the Administrator of the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Noes—Supervisors Reilly, Shannon—2.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### **Declaratory Resolution, Bond Issue for New School Buildings.**

(Code No. 12.123)

Also, Resolution No. 4166, as follows:

Declaring and determining that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition and construction of certain new school house buildings in the City and County of San Francisco and the acquisition of certain lands therefor, including the furnishings and structures necessary to be used in and about said school house buildings and that the estimated cost thereof, is and will be, too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income of said City and County.

Resolved by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared and determined that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition and construction of certain new school house buildings in the City and County of San Francisco and the acquisition of certain lands therefor, including the furnishings and structures necessary to be used in and about said school house buildings, and it is further declared and determined that the estimated cost of said improvements and furnishings, is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Section 2. That the estimated cost of such improvements and furnishings as described in Section 1 hereof, is the sum of \$2,800,000.

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of said sum of \$2,800,000 no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the amount of \$2,800,000.

Section 4. The bonds described herein shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government, through one of its agencies, shall make or agree to make a grant to the City and County of approximately 45 per cent of the estimated cost of the project (exclusive of land) as determined by the Administrator of the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### **Declaratory Resolution, Bond Issue for Welfare Building.**

(Code No. 12.123)

Also, Resolution No. 4167, as follows:

Declaring and determining that public interest and necessity demand the construction and furnishing by the City and County of San Francisco of a municipal improvement to-wit, a Welfare Building to be erected on lands owned by the City and County of San Francisco, on the west side of Gough street between Page and Rose streets to be used by the Public



Welfare Department of the City and County of San Francisco, and further declaring that the estimated cost of said improvement and furnishings, is, and will be, too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County.

Resolved by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared and determined that public interest and necessity demand, in order to more adequately transact the affairs of the Public Welfare Department of the City and County of San Francisco, *that* a building be erected for the use of said department on the west side of Gough street between Page and Rose streets in the City and County of San Francisco on land owned by the said City and County of San Francisco and to properly furnish and equip the said building, and it is further declared and determined that the estimated cost of the improvement and furnishings is, and will be, too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Section 2. That the estimated cost of such improvement and furnishings described in Section 1 hereof, is the sum of \$225,000.

Section 3. It is hereby declared and determined that of said sum of \$225,000 no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the amount of \$225,000.

Section 4. The bonds described herein shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government, through one of its agencies, shall make or agree to make a grant to the City and County of approximately 45 per cent of the estimated cost of the project (exclusive of land) as determined by the Administrator of the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Declaratory Resolution, Bond Issue for Playgrounds.

(Code No. 12.123)

The following resolution was taken up:

Resolution No. 4168, as follows:

Declaring and determining that public interest and necessity demand the construction, equipping and furnishing of playground structures and other improvements, and that the estimated cost of such construction, equipping and furnishing of playground structures and other improvements is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County.

Resolved by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared and determined that public interest and necessity demand the construction, equipping and furnishing of playground structures and other improvements, all of which said playgrounds are located within the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. That the estimated cost of such improvements, equipment and furnishings described in Section 1 hereof, is the sum of \$853,000.

Section 3. It is hereby declared and determined that of said sum of \$853,000 no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the amount of \$853,000.

Section 4. The bonds described herein shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government, through one of its agen-

cies, shall make or agree to make a grant to the City and County of approximately 45 per cent of the estimated cost of the project (exclusive of land) as determined by the Administrator of the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works.

#### Amendment.

Supervisor Reilly, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved to increase amount in foregoing resolution from \$853,000 to \$900,000.

Motion *carried*.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

#### Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution as amended was *adopted* by the following vote:

#### Declaratory Resolution, Bond Issue for Playgrounds.

(Code No. 12.123)

Resolution No. 4168, as follows:

Declaring and determining that public interest and necessity demand the construction, equipping and furnishing of playground structures and other improvements, and that the estimated cost of such construction, equipping and furnishing of playground structures and other improvements is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County.

Resolved by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared and determined that public interest and necessity demand the construction, equipping and furnishing of playground structures and other improvements, all of which said playgrounds are located within the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. That the estimated cost of such improvements, equipment and furnishings described in Section 1 hereof, is the sum of \$900,000.

Section 3. It is hereby declared and determined that of said sum of \$900,000 no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the amount of \$900,000.

Section 4. The bonds described herein shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government, through one of its agencies, shall make or agree to make a grant to the City and County of approximately 45 per cent of the estimated cost of the project (exclusive of land) as determined by the Administrator of the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

#### Appropriation of \$3,998.50, Transfer of Funds to Cover Reclassification of Position in Department of Public Health.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1647, Ordinance No. 9.051501, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$3,998.50 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 853.101.00 to the credit of Ap-



appropriation No. 850.101.05 to provide funds for the payment from August 1, 1938, of 1 B408 General Clerk-Stenographer at \$125 per month, and 3 B408 General Clerk-Stenographers (part time) at \$79.50 each per month in the Bureau of Communicable Diseases, Central Office, Department of Public Health.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 853.101.00 the sum of \$3,998.50 to the credit of Appropriation No. 850.101.05 to provide funds for the payment from August 1, 1938 of 1 B408 General Clerk-Stenographer at \$125 per month, and 3 B408 General Clerk-Stenographers (part time) at \$79.50 each per month in the Bureau of Communicable Diseases, Central Office, Department of Public Health. *These employees now are and have been continuously employed in the Bureau of Communicable Diseases although paid out of funds of the San Francisco Hospital. This ordinance will bring the records in accord with the facts and set up funds for the payment of the salaries of these employees in the Bureau where actually employed.*

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Funds available, Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Recommended by Emmett E. Sappington, Acting Director of Health.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved by Thos. A. Toomey, Acting Chief Administrative Officer.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### **Appropriation of \$693, Transfer of Funds to Cover Reclassification of Positions in Department of Public Health.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1648, Ordinance No. 9.051502, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$693, out of surplus existing in Appropriation No. 853.101.03 to the credit of Appropriation No. 850.101.07 to provide funds for the payment from August 1, 1938, of 1 I204 Porter (deduct for B. R. & L.) at \$85 per month in the Bacteriological Laboratory, Central Office, Department of Public Health.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 853.101.03 the sum of \$693, to the credit of Appropriation No. 850.101.07, to provide funds for the payment from August 1, 1938, of 1 I204 Porter (deduct for B. R. & L.) at \$85 per month in the Bacteriological Laboratory, Central Office, Department of Public Health. *This employee is now and has been continuously employed in the Bacteriological Laboratory although paid out of funds of the San Francisco Hospital. This ordinance will bring the records in accord with the facts and set up funds for payment of employee's salary in the Bureau where actually employed.*

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Funds available, Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Recommended by Emmett E. Sappington, Acting Director of Health.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved by Thos. A. Toomey, Acting Chief Administrative Officer.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Appropriation of \$874.50, Transfer of Funds to Cover Reclassification  
of Positions in Department of Public Health.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1649, Ordinance No. 9.051503, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$874.50 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 853.101.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 850.101.11 to provide funds for the payment from August 1, 1938, of 1 B408 General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at \$79.50 per month in the Bureau of Food and Milk Inspection, Central Office, Department of Public Health.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 853.101.00 the sum of \$874.50 to the credit of Appropriation No. 850.101.11 to provide funds for the payment from August 1, 1938, of 1 B408 General Clerk (part time) at \$79.50 per month in the Bureau of Food and Milk Inspection, Central Office, Department of Public Health. *This employee is now and has been continuously employed in the Bureau of Food and Milk Inspection although paid out of funds of the San Francisco Hospital. This ordinance will bring the records in accord with the facts and set up funds for payment of employee's salary in the Bureau where actually employed.*

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Funds available, Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Recommended by Emmett E. Sappington, Acting Director of Health.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved by Thos. A. Toomey, Acting Chief Administrative Officer.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Appropriation of \$1,617, Transfer of Funds to Cover Reclassification  
of Positions in Department of Public Health.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1650, Ordinance No. 9.051504, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$1,617 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 853.101.03 and \$3,795 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 853.101.02, to the credit of Appropriation No. 850.101.21 to provide funds for the payment from August 1, 1938, of 2 B222 General Clerks (part time) (deduct 1 meal) at \$79.50 each per month; 2 P102 Registered Nurses (deduct for B. R. & L.) at \$135 per month, and 1 P104 Head Nurse at \$145 per month in the Tuberculosis Bureau, Central Office, Department of Public Health.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 853.101.03 the sum of \$1,617 and out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 853.101.02, the sum of \$3,795, to the credit of Appropriation No. 850.101.21 to provide funds for the payment from August 1, 1938, of 2 B222 General Clerks (part time) (deduct 1 meal) at \$79.50 each per month; 2 P102 Registered Nurses (deduct for B. R. & L.) at \$135 per month, and 1 P104 Head Nurse at \$145 per month in the Tuberculosis Bureau, Central Office, Department of Public Health. *These employees now are and have been continuously employed in the Tuberculosis Bureau although paid out of funds of the San Francisco Hospital. This ordinance will bring the*



*records in accord with the facts and set up funds for payment of the salaries of these employees in the Bureau where actually employed.*

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Funds available, Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Recommended by Emmett E. Sappington, Acting Director of Health.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved by Thos. A. Toomey, Acting Chief Administrative Officer.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### **Appropriation of \$715, Transfer of Funds to Cover Reclassification of Positions in Department of Public Health.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1651, Ordinance No. 9.051505, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$715 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 853.101.03 to the credit of Appropriation No. 854.101.00 to provide funds for the payment from August 1, 1938, of 1 1/2 Kitchen Helper (deduct for B. R. & L.) at \$75 per month in the Emergency Hospitals, Department of Public Health.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 853.101.03 the sum of \$715 to the credit of Appropriation No. 854.101.00 to provide funds for the payment from August 1, 1938, of 1 1/2 Kitchen Helper (deduct for B. R. & L.) at \$75 per month in the Emergency Hospitals of the Department of Public Health. *This employee is now and has been continuously employed in the Emergency Hospitals although paid out of funds of the San Francisco Hospital. This ordinance will bring the records in accord with the facts and set up funds for payment of this employee's salary in the department where actually employed.*

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Funds available, Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Recommended by Emmett E. Sappington, Acting Director of Health.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved by Thos. A. Toomey, Acting Chief Administrative Officer.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### **Appropriation of \$500, to Install Photostatic and Photographic Equipment, Bureau of Engineering Photostatic Department.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1662, Ordinance No. 9.051506, as follows:

Authorizing supplemental appropriation of Five Hundred (\$500) Dollars out of surplus existing in Appropriation No. 840.995.00 to the credit of Appropriation 840.200.00, for the purpose of installing photostatic and photographic equipment now on hand in the Bureau of Engineering Photostatic Department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of Five Hundred (\$500) Dollars is hereby appropriated and set aside out of surplus existing in Appropriation No. 840.995.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 840.200.00, for the purpose of

installing photostatic and photographic equipment now on hand in the Bureau of Engineering Photostatic Department.

Recommended by S. J. Hester, Director Department of Public Works.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Funds available, Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### **Amending Salary Ordinance, Reducing Number of Employments in Department of Public Health.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1652, Ordinance No. 9.053138, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 58 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 by reducing the number of employments under Item 3½ from 14 to 12, B222, General Clerk (part time) (deduct 1 meal) at \$79.50; by reducing the number of employments under Item 7 from 7 to 6, B408, General Clerk-Stenographer at \$125; by reducing the number of employments under Item 9 from 12 to 8, B408, General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at \$79.50; by reducing the number of employments under Item 16½ from 83 to 82, I2, Kitchen Helper (deduct for R. & L.) at \$75; and by reducing the number of employments under Item 34½ from 145 to 144, I204, Porter (deduct for B. R. & L.) at \$85; and by changing the class No. and title under Item 40 from 1, J4, Laborer (deduct for B. R. & L.) at \$87.50 to 1, O58, Gardener (deduct for B. R. & L.) at \$87.50.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 58 of Ordinance 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

#### **Section 58. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH— SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 175
2	1	B222	General Clerk .....	160
3	1	B222	General Clerk .....	125
3½	12	B222	General Clerk (part time) (deduct 1 meal) .....	79.50
4	1	B234	Head Clerk (deduct for B., R. & L.)....	285
5	1	B238	Hospital Statistician .....	190
6	1	B238	Hospital Statistician .....	160
7	6	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
8	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	100
9	8	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time)..	79.50
10	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
11	2	B454	Telephone Operator .....	135
12	1	B454	Telephone Operator (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	125
13	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
14	1	C6	Supt. of Building T. B. Hosp. ....	200
15	2	C152	Watchman .....	145
16	2	E108	Electrician .....	237.50
16½	82	I2	Kitchen Helper (deduct for R. & L.)...	75
17	1	I6	Pastry Cook .....	175
17½	1	I10	Cook's Assistant (deduct for R. & L.)..	95
17¾	7	I10	Cook's Assistant (deduct for R. & L.)..	75



Section 58. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
18	8	I12	Cook . . . . .	165
19	1	I16	Chef . . . . .	200
20	8	I54	Waitress . . . . .	110
21	8	I56	Waiter . . . . .	110
21½		I102	Inmate Help (not over \$50) . . . . .	
22	152	I116	Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.) . . . . .	85
23	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.) . . . . .	102.50
24	1	I122	House Mother (deduct for B., R. & L.) . . . . .	125
25	1	I122	House Mother (deduct for B., R. & L.) . . . . .	87.50
26	14	I152	Flat Work Ironer . . . . .	90
27	17	I154	Laundress . . . . .	100
28	1	I156	Starcher . . . . .	130
29	1	I158	Sorter . . . . .	130
30	1	I164	Marker and Distributor . . . . .	130
31	1	I166	Wringerman . . . . .	136.33
32	2	I170	Washer . . . . .	135
33	1	I172	Head Washer . . . . .	155
34	1	I178	Superintendent of Laundry . . . . .	200
34½	144	I204	Porter (deduct for B. R. & L.) . . . . .	85
35	1	I206	Porter Sub-Foreman (deduct for B., R. & L.) . . . . .	92
36	1	I208	Porter Foreman (deduct for B., R. & L.) . . . . .	100
37	1	I210	Head Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.) . . . . .	140
38	2	I254	Seamstress . . . . .	90
39	1	I256	Head Seamstress (deduct for B., R. & L.) . . . . .	150
40	1	O58	Gardener (deduct for B. R. & L.) . . . . .	87.50

Approved, Civil Service Commission, by W. E. Henderson.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Amendment Salary Ordinance, Section 54A, Department of Public Health.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1653, Ordinance No. 9.053139, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 54 (a) of Ordinance 9.053128 by increasing the number of employments under Item 29 from 1 to 2, B408, General Clerk-Stenographer, at \$125; by adding Item 29½, 3, B408, General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at \$79.50; and by adding Item 47½, 1, I204, Porter (deduct for B. R. & L.) at \$85.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 54 (a) of Ordinance 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 54(a). DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)  
STATISTICS**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
17	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	\$ 190
18	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	185
19	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	190
20	2	B238	Hospital Statistician . . . . .	190
21	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	190
22	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	125

Section 54(a). DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)

MEAT INSPECTION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
23	8	N56	Market Inspector .....	175
24	6	N56	Market Inspector .....	200
25	1	N58	Chief Market Inspector .....	225
26	8	N60	Abattoir Inspector .....	200
27	3	N62	Veterinarian .....	201
28	4	N62	Veterinarian .....	200
28½	1	N63	Chief Abattoir Inspector .....	200

COMMUNICABLE DISEASES

29	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
29½	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) .....	79.50
30	4	J74	Rat Catcher .....	115
30½	2	J74	Rat Catcher .....	110
31	4	L370	Epidemiologist (part time) .....	225
32	1	L371	Director, Bureau of Communicable Diseases (part time) .....	350
33	1	P60	Supervising Nurse, Bur. of Com. Dis... ..	175

SYPHILIS UNIT

34	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
35	1	P102	Registered Nurse .....	135
36	1	L360	Physician .....	150

CLINICS

*Diagnostic Center*

37	2	L360	Physician (part time) .....	150
38	1	L364	Pediatrician (part time) .....	100
39	1	P52	Field Nurse .....	165

*Bureau of Mental Hygiene*

40	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) .....	75
41	1	L404	Psychologist .....	175
42	4	L404	Psychologist .....	150
43	1	L404	Psychologist (part time) .....	75
44	1	L408	Psychiatrist (part time) .....	200
45	1	L408	Psychiatrist (part time) .....	150

BACTERIOLOGICAL LABORATORY

46	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
47	1	C102	Janitress .....	75
47½	1	I204	Porter (deduct for B. R. & L.) .....	85
48	1	L52	Bacteriological Laboratory Technician (part time) .....	79.50
49	2	L52	Bacteriological Laboratory Technician.. ..	125
50	1	L56	Bacteriologist .....	225
51	3	L56	Bacteriologist .....	175
52	1	L58	Director of Laboratories .....	275
53	1	L60	Bacteriological Milk Inspector .....	250
54	1	L64	Consultant, Bacteriologist (part time).. ..	75

Approved, Civil Service Commission, by W. E. Henderson.

Passed for second reading by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.



**Amendment Salary Ordinance, Section 55, Department of Public Health, Central Office, School Inspection, Medical.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1654, Ordinance No. 9.053140, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 55 of Ordinance 9.053128 by adding Item 71½, 1, B408, General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at \$79.50.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 55 of Ordinance 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 55. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)  
SCHOOL INSPECTION—MEDICAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
55	1	L252	Optometrist (part time) .....	\$ 150
56	1	L368	Director Bureau Child Hygiene .....	383.33
57	1	L364	Pediatrician . . . . .	250
58	1	L364	Pediatrician (part time) .....	175
59	11	L364	Pediatrician (part time) .....	150
60	1	L364	Pediatrician (part time) .....	75
61	1	L602	Audiometer Technician .....	125

**DENTAL**

62	1	B222	General Clerk (part time) .....	50
63	2	L152	Dental Hygienist .....	150
63½	2	L152	Dental Hygienist .....	135
64	14	L156	Dentist (part time) .....	100
65	1	L160	Director of Dental Bureau (part time) ..	250

**CHILD WELFARE—MEDICAL**

66	1	L364	Pediatrician . . . . .	250
67	4	L364	Pediatrician (part time) .....	150
68	1	L364	Pediatrician at \$5 per day (part time).	

**MILK AND FOOD INSPECTION**

69	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
70	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
71	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	100
71½	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time)	79.50
72	7	N52	Food and Restaurant Inspector .....	200
73	10	N52	Food and Restaurant Inspector .....	185
74	1	N52	Food and Restaurant Inspector .....	175
75	3	N53	Assistant Chief Food Inspector .....	225
76	1	N54	Chief Food Inspector .....	325
77	1	N64	Dairy Inspector .....	300
78	2	N64	Dairy Inspector .....	225
79	2	N64	Dairy Inspector .....	200
79½	2	N64	Dairy Inspector .....	175

**CHEMICAL LABORATORY**

80	1	L102	Food Chemist Assistant .....	125
81	1	L104	Food Chemist .....	225
82	1	L104	Food Chemist .....	175
83	1	L106	Senior Food Chemist .....	250

**PLUMBING INSPECTION**

84	1	A412	Plumbing Inspector .....	250
85	6	A412	Plumbing Inspector .....	240
85½	1	A412	Plumbing Inspector .....	225
86	1	A416	Chief Plumbing Inspector .....	275
87	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	190

**Section 55. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)**

**HOUSING INSPECTION**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
88	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
89	9	N204	Housing Inspector .....	200
90	1	N206	Chief Housing Inspector .....	275

**INDUSTRIAL INSPECTION**

91	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	100
92	3	N205	Industrial Inspector .....	200
93	1	N208	Chief Industrial Inspector .....	275

**CITY PHYSICIANS**

94	4	L360	Physician (part time) .....	300
95	1	L360	Physician (part time) .....	250
96	1	L360	Physician (part time) .....	200
97	4	L360	Physician (part time) .....	\$ 150
97½	2	L360	Physician (part time) .....	75
98	1	L362	Supervisor of City Physicians (part time)	300

Approved, Civil Service Commission, by W. E. Henderson.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Amendment Annual Salary Ordinance, Section 58, Department of  
Public Health, San Francisco Hospital.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1655, Ordinance No. 9.053141, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 55 (a) of Ordinance 9.053128 by adding Item 110½, 2, B222, General Clerks (part time) (deduct 1 meal) at \$79.50; by adding Item 117, 2, P102, Registered Nurse (deduct for B. R. & L.) at \$135; and by adding Item 118, 1, P104, Head Nurse at \$145.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 55 (a) of Ordinance 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 55(a). DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)**

**FIELD NURSING, ADMINISTRATION**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
99	1	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 190
100	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
101	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
102	1	P57	Assistant to the Director of Field Nursing .....	230
103	8	P54	Supervising Field Nurse .....	200
104	1	P54	Supervising Field Nurse .....	175
105	1	P58	Director of Field Nursing .....	300

**FIELD NURSING, SCHOOLS**

106	25	P52	Field Nurse .....	175
107	15	P52	Field Nurse .....	165

**FIELD NURSING, OTHER**

108	12	P52	Field Nurse .....	175
109	7	P52	Field Nurse .....	165
110	1	P101	Chinese Visiting Nurse .....	175



Section 55(a). DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)

## TUBERCULOSIS BUREAU

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
110½	2	B222	General Clerk (part time) (deduct 1 meal) .....	79.50
111	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
112	2	L360	Physician (part time) .....	100
113	1	L360	Physician (part time) .....	200
114	2	P52	Field Nurse .....	165
115	7	P52	Field Nurse .....	175
116	2	P102	Registered Nurse .....	135
117	2	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B. R. & L.) .	135
118	1	P104	Head Nurse .....	145

Approved, Civil Service Commission, by W. E. Henderson.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Section 60, Department of  
Public Health, San Francisco Hospital.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1656, Ordinance No. 9.053142, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 60 of Ordinance 9.053128 by reducing the number of employments under Item 68 from 139 to 137, P102, Registered Nurse (deduct for B. R. & L.) at \$135, and by deleting Item 77, 1, P104, Head Nurse at \$145.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 60 of Ordinance 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 60. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
68	137	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for R. B. & L.) .	\$ 135
69		P103	Special Nurses (as needed), 8 hrs. at \$5 per day or fraction of a day .....	
70		P103	Special Nurses (as needed), 10 hrs. at \$6 per day with meals.....	
71		P103	Special Nurses (as needed), 12 hrs., with meals, at \$7 per day .....	
72		P103	Special Nurses (Virulent Communicable Diseases and Violent Patients), 8 hrs. at \$6 per day .....	
73		P103	Special Nurses (Virulent Communicable Diseases and Violent Patients), 10 hrs. at \$9 per day .....	
74		P103	Special Nurses (Virulent Communicable Diseases and Violent Patients), 12 hrs. at \$10 per day .....	
75		P103	Special Nurses, Additional Patient \$2 extra (not to exceed \$12).....	
76	33	P104	Head Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)...	145
78	1	P110	Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	210

Section 60. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
79	1	P110	Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	185
80	2	P110	Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	170
81	1	P122	Director of Institutional Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	285
82	4	P204	Anaesthetist (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	160
83	1	P206	Senior Anaesthetist (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	185
84	8	P208	Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	145
85	11	P208	Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
86	1	P210	Senior Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	185
87	1	P212	Head Nurse Obstetrical (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	160
88	1	P214	Head Nurse Pediatrics (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	150
89	1	P216	Head Nurse Psychiatric (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	160
90		P254	Student Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	32
91	2	P304	Instructor of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	160
92	1	P306	Senior Instructor of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	185

PSYCHIATRIC BUILDING

93	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
94	2	C152	Watchman .....	145
95	2	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.)...	47
96	1	L357	Resident Physician .....	250

Approved, Civil Service Commission, by W. E. Henderson.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Amendment, Annual Salary Ordinance, Section 61, Emergency Hospitals.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1657, Ordinance No. 9.053143, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 61 of Ordinance 9.053128 by adding Item 1½, 1, 12, Kitchen Helper (deduct for R. & L.) at \$75.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 61 of Ordinance 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 61. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
EMERGENCY HOSPITALS

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	\$ 190
1½	1	12	Kitchen Helper (deduct for R. & L.)....	75
2	12	L504	Emergency Hospital Surgeon.....	200



**Section 61. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
EMERGENCY HOSPITALS (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
3	1	L506	Assistant Chief Surgeon Emergency Hospitals . . . . .	225
4	1	L508	Chief Surgeon . . . . .	250
5	14	O6	Ambulance Driver . . . . .	200
6	1	O6	Ambulance Driver . . . . .	195
7	1	O6	Ambulance Driver . . . . .	185
8	3	O6	Ambulance Driver . . . . .	175.
9	*7	P2	Emergency Hospital Steward . . . . .	165
10	*5	P2	Emergency Hospital Steward . . . . .	175
11	*16	P2	Emergency Hospital Steward . . . . .	200
12	1	P4	Chief Emergency Hospital Steward... .	250
13	12	P102	Registered Nurse . . . . .	165
14	7	P102	Registered Nurse . . . . .	135
15	1	P102	Registered Nurse (Relief) at \$5 per day	

\*One P2, Emergency Hospital Steward, position reclassified, to be P3, Senior Emergency Hospital Steward. One of the positions will be retitled when a promotive appointment is made under the new class.

Approved, Civil Service Commission, by W. E. Henderson.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Amending Salary Ordinance by Adding Two Garagemen, Purchasing Department.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1658, Ordinance No. 9.053144, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 36 of Ordinance 9.053128 by adding Item 36½, 2, J66, Garagemen at \$160.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 36 of Ordinance 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 36. PURCHASING DEPARTMENT**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B18	Chief Accountant, Purchasing Dept. (6 mo.) . . . . .	\$ 466.66
2	2	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	200
3	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	175
4	1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	250
5	1	B310b	Tabulating Numerical Key Punch Operator	165
6	3	B310b	Tabulating Numerical Key Punch Operator	155
7	1	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator . . . . .	175
8	2	B352	Storekeeper . . . . .	150
8½	1	B352	Storekeeper . . . . .	140
9	1	B352	Storekeeper . . . . .	135
11	2	B354	General Storekeeper . . . . .	200
12	2	B354	General Storekeeper . . . . .	180
14	1	B358	Assistant Stationery Buyer . . . . .	225
15	1	B360	Printing and Stationery Buyer . . . . .	250
16	1	B362	Produce Buyer and Storekeeper . . . . .	175
18	1	B382	Supervisor of Equipment and Supplies..	175

## Section 36. PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
19	1	B364	Produce Buyer and General Storekeeper	300
20	1	B364	Produce Buyer and General Storekeeper	225
21	1	P366	Assistant Purchaser of General Supplies	250
22	2	B366	Assistant Purchaser of General Supplies	200
22½		B368	Chief Assistant Purchaser of Supplies..	350
23	1	B370	R. R. Equipment Purchasing Agent.....	250
24	1	B371	Purchasing Agent—Water Service.....	325
25	1	B372	Purchasing Agent—Other Services.....	350
26	1	B374	Purchaser of Supplies .....	833.33
27	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
28	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
29	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	160
30	4	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
31	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	155
32	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
33	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	190
34	3	J4	Laborer, \$6 per day.....	
35	1	J12	Foreman Laborer .....	195
36	1	J66	Garageman .....	150
36½	2	J66	Garagemen .....	160
37	1	J66	Garageman at \$6.50 per day.....	
38	1	N302	Inspector of General Supplies .....	215
39	1	N302	Inspector of General Supplies.....	200
40		B512	General Clerk-Typist (as needed).....	150
40½			Seasonal, Clerical and other temporary services as needed at rates not in excess of salary standardization schedules.	

Approved, Civil Service Commission, by W. E. Henderson.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Amending Salary Ordinance by Eliminating Two Garagemen, Department of Public Works.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1659, Ordinance No. 9.053145, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 50 of Ordinance 9.053128 by eliminating Item 6, 2, J66, Garagemen at \$160.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 50 of Ordinance 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

### Section 50. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— DIVISION OF STREET CLEANING

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	2	C152	Watchman .....	\$ 155
2	5	C152	Watchman .....	145
3	237	J4	Laborer at \$6 per day .....	
4	3	J10	Laborer—Sub-Foreman at \$7 per day...	
5	9	J10	Laborer—Sub-Foreman at \$6.50 per day.	
7	2	J108	District Director of Street Cleaning....	240
8	1	J108	District Director of Street Cleaning...	225
9	1	J112	Supervisor of Street Cleaning.....	325
10	19	O1	Chauffeur at \$8 per day.....	



Section 50. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—  
DIVISION OF STREET CLEANING (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
11	17	O1	Chauffeur at \$7.50 per day.....	
14	1	O19	Sub. Sta. For. at \$8 per day.....	
15	1	O58	Gardener at \$6 per day.....	
Teams and trucks, as needed, at rates established by purchaser's contract.				

Approved, Civil Service Commission, by W. E. Henderson.

Passed for second reading by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Amending Salary Ordinance by Reducing Item 24 by Two General Clerks, and by Adding Item 26½ Senior Clerk.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1660, Ordinance No. 9.053146, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 67 of Ordinance 9.053128 by reducing the number of employments under Item 24 from 3 to 2, B222, General Clerk at \$175, and by adding Item 26½, 1, B228, Senior Clerk at \$175.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 67 of Ordinance 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 67. CONTROLLER.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Controller . . . . .	\$ 833.33
3	6	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	185
4	4	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	180
5	8	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	175
6	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper . . . . .	225
7	3	B6	Senior Bookkeeper . . . . .	210
8	4	B6	Senior Bookkeeper . . . . .	200
9	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper . . . . .	190
10	1	B7	Asst. Supervisor of Disbursements ....	240
10½	1	B7	Asst. Supervisor of Disbursements ....	225
11	1	B8	Supervisor of Disbursements.....	275
12	1	B10	Accountant . . . . .	225
13	1	B14	Senior Accountant . . . . .	325
14	2	B14	Senior Accountant . . . . .	285
15	1	B21	Chief Assistant Controller . . . . .	625
16	1	B26	Supervisor of Budget Statistics . . . . .	250
17	1	B28	Supervisor of General Audits . . . . .	400
18	1	B30	Supervisor of Utilities Audits . . . . .	400
19	1	B55	Supervisor of Pay Rolls . . . . .	325
20	2	B210	Office Assistant (part time) . . . . .	79.50
21	3	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	200
22	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	190
23	2	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	185
24	2	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	175
25	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	250
26	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	200
26½	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	175
27	1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	210
28	1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	300

**Section 67. CONTROLLER (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
29	2	B234	Head Clerk .....	225
30	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	240
30½	1	B237	Tax Redemption Clerk .....	200
31	1	B301	Pay Roll Machine Operator .....	190
32	3	B301	Pay Roll Machine Operator .....	175
33	3	B301	Pay Roll Machine Operator .....	165
34	2	B302	Addressing Machine Operator .....	155
35	1	B310b	Tabulating Numerical Key Punch Operator .....	175
36	1	B310b	Tabulating Numerical Key Punch Operator .....	155
37	1	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator .....	175
38	3	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator .....	165
39	1	B312	Senior Bookkeeping Machine Operator..	185
40	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
41	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
42	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
43	1	B417	Executive Secretary to the Controller..	250
44	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator .....	155
44½	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator (part time) .....	75
45	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
45½	3	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	155
46	1	K6	Senior Attorney—Civil .....	400
47			Seasonal, Clerical and other Temporary Services (as needed) at rates not in excess of Salary Standardization Schedules.	

Field Bookkeepers or Accountants (Construction Work outside S. F.) (as needed) at rates fixed in Salary Standardization Report.

Approved, Civil Service Commission, by W. E. Henderson.

Passed for second reading by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Land Purchase—Sunset Reservoir**

(Code No. 12.17151)

Resolution No. 4145, as follows:

Resolved, in accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Frances H. McAllister, or the legal owner, to Lot 22, Assessor's Block 2144, San Francisco, required for the Sunset Reservoir, and that the sum of \$353.48 be paid for said lot, \$103.48 from Appropriation No. 93.905.05-57, and the balance of \$250 from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Francisco, Superior Court Case No. 262443.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.



**Land Purchase—Telegraph Hill Park.**

(Code No. 12.1713)

Also, Resolution No. 4147, as follows:

Resolved, in accordance with the recommendation of the Park Department, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Frances Rauer, or the legal owner, to Lot 1, Assessor's Block 79, San Francisco, California, required for Telegraph Hill Park, and that the sum of \$7,000 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 812.600.04.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Authorizing and Confirming the Lease of Certain Lands and Improvements on Treasure Island to Pan American Airways Company for Harbor Purposes.**

(Code No. 12.17354)

Bill No. 1661, Ordinance No. 12.173546, as follows:

Whereas, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids would be received by him on July 20, 1938, for leasing the following described land and improvements for airport purposes:

In the generally southwest portion of the Air Terminal Building at "Treasure Island" and in the basement thereof 9290 square feet; on the first floor 12,280 square feet; on the second floor 12,230 square feet; on the third floor 4,180 square feet, and on the fourth floor 410 square feet.

For the entire edifice known as Hangar B situated on said "Treasure Island" and an area of land surrounding and including said Hangar B which area shall be described as follows:

Commencing at a point on the southerly seawall of said "Treasure Island" and 200 feet distant in an easterly direction, measured along the southerly seawall line, from the easterly wall line of said Hangar B produced to said southerly seawall line, thence in a southerly direction 200 feet, thence at right angles westerly and on a line parallel to said southerly seawall a distance of 835 feet, thence at right angles a distance of 200 feet northerly to said seawall, this area overlying the waters of the Lagoon to the south of the seawall, thence from last named point northerly a distance of 837.50 feet, thence at right angles on a line parallel to aforesaid seawall and in an easterly direction a distance of 835 feet and thence at right angles in a southerly direction a distance of 837.50 feet to the point of commencement.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, Pan American Airways Company made a bid and offer to lease said property for a period of ten (10) years at the following amounts of cash rentals:

\$1,000 per year for the first five years;

\$5,000 per year for the second five years, with the right in the Lessee to extend the term of the lease for an additional period of ten (10) years at a cash rental of \$10,000 per year, and further bid and offer to do and perform the other matters and things as set forth in said advertisement;

Whereas, no higher bids were made or received and the Public Utilities Commission has recommended that said property be leased upon the terms set forth in said bid and offer; now therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. That said bid and offer of said Pan American Airways Company be and the same is hereby accepted, and the leasing of the above described property to said Pan American Airways Company on the terms and conditions specified in said bid and offer be, and the same is, hereby authorized.

Section 2. That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors thereof, for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, are hereby authorized and directed to execute the necessary lease to said Pan American Airways Company, leasing to said Company the above described property on the terms and conditions hereinbefore set forth.

Recommended by Public Utilities Commission Resolution No. 2795.

Approved as to form, Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### **Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

#### **Land Purchase—Eureka Valley Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Resolution No. 4149, as follows:

Resolved, in accordance with the recommendation of the Recreation Department, that the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Elizabeth McEvoy, or the legal owner, Lot 27, Assessor's Block 2694, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Eureka Valley Playground, for the sum of \$6,000, payable from Appropriation No. 813.600.04.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### **Land Purchase—Bayview Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 4148, as follows:

Resolved, in accordance with the recommendation of the Recreation Department, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Alyce Taylor Radford, et al., or the legal owner, to Lot 7, Assessor's Block 5310, San Francisco, required for the Bayview Playground, for the sum of \$3,000 payable from Appropriation No. 813.600.01.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### **Tax Refunds, Erroneous Payments of Taxes.**

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 4150, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized



to be paid out of the General Fund, 1937-1938, to the following named; being refunds of erroneous payments of taxes:

- |   |         |
|---|---------|
| (1) St. Mary's College of Oakland, per Vol. 5, Bill No. 3, Lot 2, Block 698, 1st and 2nd installments, fiscal year 1937, erroneous payment .....  | \$93.68 |
| (2) Frank Massenet, per Vol. 30, Bill 1020, Lot 41, Block 4964, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937, erroneous payment.....   | 6.45    |
| (3) Joseph C. Garofalo, per Vol. 33, Bill 1746, Lot 12, Block 5612, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937, erroneous payment.....   | 5.81    |
| (4) Erich Dohrmann, per Vol. 34, Bill 2129, Lot 13, Block 5725, 1st installment, fiscal year 1937, erroneous payment.....   | 20.52   |
| (5) Timothy E. Treacy, per Vol. 32, 41, 33, 33, Bill 389, 1172, 2014, 1531, Lot 20, 11/12, 18, 32, Block 5314, 6736, 5619, 5591, 1st and 2nd installments respectively, fiscal year 1937, erroneous payment ..... | 17.62   |

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### **Release of Lien Filed Re Old Age Security—Mrs. Annie I. McLaren.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 4151, as follows:

Whereas, notices of Aid have been recorded in this County pursuant to Section 4 of the Old Age Security Act and Section 2225 of the Welfare and Institution Code; and

Whereas, the filing of such Notices created a lien on the property of the recipients of such aid; and

Whereas, the recipients of such aid, on payment of the debt secured by such lien, are entitled to receive a release thereof; and

Whereas, a lien has been placed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, on recommendation of the County Welfare Department, against the property described as follows:

Mrs. Annie I. McLaren, N. W. 40, Lot 10, Block 7, Oak Park, San Carlos.

Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That upon receipt of all money secured by said lien, David A. Barry, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby authorized to execute and deliver on behalf of and in the name of said Board, a release of such lien.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### **Re-referred to Finance Committee.**

The following matter was *re-referred to Finance Committee*:

#### **Authorizing Controller to Attend Municipal Finance Officers' Association at St. Paul, Minnesota, August 15 to 18, 1938.**

(Code No. 9.041)

Resolution No. 4152, as follows:

Whereas, in the 1938-39 budget, provision was made for the expenses of the Controller to attend a meeting of the Municipal Finance Officers' Association of the United States and Canada; and

Whereas, Ordinance No. 9.04194 authorized and directed the Controller to become a member and to represent the City and County of San Francisco in said Association; and

Whereas, a meeting of said Association has been called and is scheduled to be held in St. Paul, Minnesota, August 15-18, 1938; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That Harold J. Boyd, Controller of the City and County of

San Francisco be and he is hereby authorized to attend the meeting of the Municipal Finance Officers' Association at St. Paul, Minnesota, August 15-18, 1938, and to represent the City and County of San Francisco at said meeting. The expenses of attending said meeting shall, in accordance with the provisions of Section 219 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and Ordinance No. 9.0568, be made a charge against Controller's Appropriation No. 860.200.00.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up:

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Third Street Between Mission and Howard Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Bill No. 1663, Ordinance No. 12.0731124, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section One Hundred and Fifty-one (151) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office July 28, 1938, by amending Section One Hundred and Fifty-one (151) thereof to read as follows:

Section 151: The width of sidewalks on Third street between Market and Mission streets shall be 14 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Third street, the southwesterly side of, between Mission and Townsend streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Third street, the southwesterly side of, between Townsend and King streets shall be as shown on that certain Map, entitled "Map showing street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks on the southwesterly line of Third street between Townsend and King streets."

The width of sidewalks on Third street, the southwesterly side of, between King and Channel streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Third street, the northeasterly side of, between Mission and Berry streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Third street, the northeasterly side of, between Berry and Channel streets shall be abolished.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Re-referred to Streets Committee.**

On motion of Supervisor Reilly, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, the following matter was *re-referred to the Streets Committee for amendment*:

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Post Street Between Taylor Street and Van Ness Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Bill No. 1664, Ordinance No. 12.0731125, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Eight Hundred and Thirty-seven (837) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:



Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office July 28, 1938, thereof to read as follows:

Section 837: The width of Sidewalks on Post street between Market and Taylor streets shall be 12 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Post street between Market street and Van Ness avenue shall be 10 feet.

### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up:

### Establishing Grades on La Salle Avenue Between Mendell and Third Streets.

(Code No. 12.071)

Bill No. 1665, Ordinance No. 12.07115, as follows:

Establishing grades on La Salle avenue between Mendell and Third streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on La Salle avenue between Mendell and Third streets are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above City base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, filed in this office July 23, 1938.

#### *La Salle Avenue*

*Feet*

Mendell street ..... 67  
(The same being the present official grade.)

175 feet northwesterly from Mendell street.....41.63

200 feet northwesterly from Mendell street.....38.69

225 feet northwesterly from Mendell street.....37.13

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

300 feet northwesterly from Mendell street.....34.54

15 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 5.32 feet  
southeasterly from Third street.....33.71

15 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 5.32 feet  
southeasterly from Third street.....32.68

On La Salle avenue between Mendell street and Third street be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor, and the present official grade of Third street at Mendell street.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Adopted.

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up:

### Accepting Deed to Sewer Easement—Francisco Heights.

(Code No. 12.1011)

Resolution No. 4153, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain deed, executed the 19th day of July, 1938, by T. J. Draffin and Gladys V. Draffin, his wife, granting to the City and

County of San Francisco, a sewer easement in Lot 1, Block 1133, as shown on Map of Francisco Heights recorded in Map Book N at pages 17 to 21, Records of the City and County of San Francisco, be and is hereby approved and accepted.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*, Director of Property to be notified to be present:

#### Closing and Abandoning Portions of Twenty-eighth Street, Stanford Heights Avenue, Valley Street and Evelyn Way.

(Code No. 12.0622)

Resolution No. 4154, as follows:

Whereas, on the 27th day of June, 1938, the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco duly and regularly passed Resolution No. 4061, Code No. 12.0621, which resolution was presented to his Honor the Mayor for his approval and was duly and regularly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco on the 29th day of June, 1938, said resolution being in words and figures as follows:

*Intention to Close Portions of Twenty-eighth, Stanford Heights and Other Streets.*

(Code No. 12.0621)

Resolution No. 4061, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portions of Twenty-eighth street, Stanford Heights avenue, Evelyn way, and Valley street be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all those portions of Twenty-eighth street, Stanford Heights avenue, Evelyn way, and Valley street more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

#### *Stanford Heights Avenue and Twenty-eighth Street*

Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Twenty-eighth street (formerly Vale street) distant thereon 487.131 feet westerly from the westerly line of Kenyon avenue (formerly Stanyan avenue), said point being on the southwesterly line of the proposed O'Shaughnessy boulevard; thence westerly along said line of Twenty-eighth street 72.869 feet to the easterly line of Stanford Heights avenue; thence at right angles southerly along last named line 77.196 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of that certain portion of Stanford Heights avenue, previously closed by Resolution No. 26.323, New Series, dated October 27, 1926; thence running along last-named line the following courses and distances, northwesterly and northerly on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to a line deflecting 157 degrees 20 minutes 17 seconds to the right from the preceding course, radius 320 feet, central angle 22 degrees 18 minutes 47 seconds, a distance of 124.620 feet; thence northerly tangent to the preceding curve, 51.00 feet; thence northerly and northwesterly on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 330.00 feet, central angle 28 degrees 28 minutes 00 seconds, a distance of 163.956 feet to tangency with the said southwesterly line of the proposed O'Shaughnessy boulevard; thence running southeasterly along last-named line tangent to the preceding



curve, 136.654 feet to the easterly line of Stanford Heights avenue; thence deflecting 28 degrees 48 minutes 56 seconds to the right and running southerly along last-named line 68.463 feet to the northerly line of Twenty-eighth street; thence at right angles easterly along last-named line 37.662 feet to the aforementioned southwesterly line of the proposed O'Shaughnessy boulevard; thence deflecting 61 degrees 11 minutes 04 seconds to the right and running southeasterly along last-named line 73.045 feet to the southerly line of Twenty-eighth street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Stanford Heights avenue and Twenty-eighth street.

*Stanford Heights Avenue*

Beginning at a point on the southerly prolongation of the easterly line of Stanford Heights avenue, distant thereon 24.735 feet northerly from the westerly prolongation of the northerly line of Valley street, said point being also on the northwesterly line of that certain portion of Stanford Heights avenue previously closed by Resolution No. 26.669, New Series, dated January 21, 1927; thence deflecting 57 degrees 18 minutes 46 seconds to the right from the said easterly line of Stanford Heights avenue, and running southwesterly along last-named northwesterly line 40.843 feet to the former center line of Stanford Heights avenue and the easterly boundary line of Miraloma Park, Subdivision No. 4, filed in Map Book "L," pages 37-41 inclusive; thence deflecting 122 degrees 41 minutes 14 seconds to the right and running northerly along last-named line 71.290 feet to the southeasterly line of that certain portion of Stanford Heights avenue previously closed by Resolution No. 26.323, New Series, dated October 27, 1926; thence deflecting 57 degrees 18 minutes 46 seconds to the right and running northeasterly along last-named line, 40.843 feet to the said easterly line of Stanford Heights avenue; thence deflecting 122 degrees 41 minutes 14 seconds to the right and running southerly along last-named line 71.290 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Stanford Heights avenue.

*Valley Street and Evelyn Way*

Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Valley street distant thereon 361.514 feet westerly from the westerly line of Kenyon avenue (formerly Stanyan avenue), said point also being on the southwest-erly line of the proposed O'Shaughnessy boulevard; thence running westerly along said line of Valley street 136.578 feet to the northeast-erly line of that certain portion of Valley street previously closed by Resolution No. 26.669, New Series, dated January 21, 1927; thence running southeasterly along last-named line on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to a line deflecting 139 degrees 35 minutes 12 seconds to the left, from the preceding course, radius 360 feet, central angle 4 degrees 25 minutes 44 seconds, a distance of 27.828 feet; thence continuing southeasterly along last-named line tangent to the preceding curve 28.031 feet to the proposed northeasterly line of Evelyn way; thence running southeasterly along the said line of Evelyn way on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to a line deflected 29 degrees 55 minutes 35 seconds to the left from the preceding course, radius 300 feet, central angle 29 degrees 55 minutes 35 seconds, a distance of 156.694 feet to tangency with the present northeasterly line of Evelyn way as last-named line is shown on the Map of Miraloma Park, Sub-division No. 9, filed in Map Book "M," pages 60-62 inclusive, thence running northwesterly along last-named line, tangent to the preceding curve 42.969 feet to the southerly line of Valley street; thence deflecting 144 degrees 00 minutes 56 seconds to the right and running easterly along last-named line 26.810 feet to the said southwesterly line of the proposed O'Shaughnessy boulevard; thence running northwesterly along last-named line on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to a line deflected 129 degrees 26 minutes 53 seconds to the left from the

preceding course, radius 490 feet, central angle 9 degrees 07 minutes 56 seconds, a distance of 78.100 feet to the northerly line of Valley street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Valley street and Evelyn way.

*Evelyn Way*

Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Teresita boulevard, said point being the most southerly end of that certain S. 37 degrees 16 minutes 18 seconds E., 143.730-foot course, in Block 2901A of the Map of Miraloma Park, Subdivision No. 4, recorded August 23, 1927, in Map Book "L," page 38, Official Records of San Francisco, California; thence running southeasterly, easterly, and northeasterly along the line of Evelyn way, as same is shown on aforementioned Map, on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the said line of Teresita boulevard, radius 15 feet, central angle 90 degrees 00 minutes 00 seconds, a distance of 23.562 feet; thence northeasterly along the northwesterly line of Evelyn way, tangent to the preceding curve 81.567 feet to the former center line of Stanford Heights avenue; thence deflecting 122 degrees 41 minutes 14 seconds to the right and running southerly along last-named line 71.290 feet to the southeasterly line of Evelyn way; thence deflecting 57 degrees 18 minutes 46 seconds to the right and running southwesterly along last-named line 48.067 feet; thence continuing southwesterly along the southeasterly line of Evelyn way on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 15 feet, central angle 33 degrees 54 minutes 16 seconds, a distance of 8.876 feet; thence leaving the last-named line on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to a line deflected 96 degrees 55 minutes 10 seconds to the right from a line tangent to the preceding curve at the latter point, radius 15 feet, central angle 26 degrees 59 minutes 06 seconds, a distance of 7.065 feet to tangency with the southeasterly prolongation of the said northeasterly line of Teresita boulevard; thence northwesterly along last-named line tangent to the preceding curve 70.744 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Evelyn way lying northeasterly of and adjoining the northeasterly line of Teresita boulevard.

Said closing and abandonment of said portions of Twenty-eighth street, Stanford Heights avenue, Evelyn way, and Valley street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost, and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portions of Twenty-eighth street, Stanford Heights avenue, Evelyn way, and Valley street in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

Adopted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, June 27, 1938.

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.

Approved, San Francisco, June 29, 1938.

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

And Whereas, The Clerk of the Supervisors of the City and County of



San Francisco did transmit to the Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco a certified copy of said resolution and the said Department of Public Works did, upon receipt of said resolution, cause to be posted in the manner and as required by law, notices of the passage of said resolution and did also cause in the manner and as required by law, a notice similar in substance to be published for a period of ten days in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the public interest and convenience requires said improvement to be done as specifically described in Resolution No. 4061, Code No. 12.0621; and

Whereas, the Supervisors have acquired jurisdiction to order that the portions of Stanford Heights avenue, Twenty-eighth street, Valley street and Evelyn way, described in Resolution No. 4061, Code No. 12.0621, be closed and abandoned; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it be ordered and it is hereby ordered, that the portions of Stanford Heights avenue, Twenty-eighth street, Valley street and Evelyn way specifically described and proposed in said Resolution No. 4061, Code No. 12.0621, be closed and abandoned, and be it

Further Resolved, That the entire damages, costs and expenses of closing said portions of said streets described in Resolution No. 4061, Code No. 12.0621, shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco as proposed and provided in Resolution No. 4061, Code No. 12.0621, and be it

Further Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of said portions of said streets described in Resolution No. 4061, Code No. 12.0621, shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889 as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Department of Public Works and that the Department of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law, and the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the official newspaper as required by law.

#### **Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up:

#### **Changing and Establishing Grades on Gordon Street.**

(Code No. 12.0722)

Bill No. 1666, Ordinance No. 12.072239, as follows:

#### **Changing and Re-establishing the Official Grades on Gordon street.**

Whereas, The Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 13th day of June, 1938, by Resolution No. 4012, declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Gordon street,

Whereas, Said Resolution was so published for ten days, and the Director of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said Resolution of Intention caused notices of the passage of said Resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the Resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, More than forty days have elapsed since the first publication of said Resolution of Intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points

hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

<i>Gordon Street</i>	<i>Feet</i>
7 ft. southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Harrison street northwesterly line (the same being the present official grade) . . . . .	14.30
7 ft. northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Harrison street northwesterly line (the same being the present official grade) . . . . .	14.45
7 ft. southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Harrison street . . . . .	15.80
7 ft. northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Harrison street . . . . .	15.70
7 ft. southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 275 feet northwesterly from Harrison street . . . . .	19.00
7 ft. northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 275 feet northwesterly from Harrison street . . . . .	19.00

On Gordon street, between Harrison street and a line parallel with and 275 feet northwesterly therefrom be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### **Adopted.**

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up:

#### **Approving Map of Bret Harte Terrace.**

(Code No. 12.0821)

Resolution No. 4162, as follows:

Resolved, that that certain map entitled "Map of Bret Harte Terrace, San Francisco, Cal., composed of 2 sheets", be and is hereby approved and adopted as the official map of Bret Harte Terrace, San Francisco, California, and that parcel of land delineated and designated thereon as Bret Harte Terrace is hereby accepted on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco and declared to be an open public street to be known as Bret Harte Terrace, and be it

Further resolved, that the City and County of San Francisco accept that certain deed dated the 27th day of July, 1938, from Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association, a corporation, granting to the City and County of San Francisco all that land comprising Bret Harte Terrace as delineated upon said map, and be it

Further resolved, that that certain bond in the sum of \$1,400 executed the 27th day of July, 1938, between the Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association, as principal, and C. P. Cuneo and B. M. Power, as sureties, running to the City and County of San Francisco, conditioned for the payment of all taxes or special assessments collected as taxes which are at the time of filing said map, a lien against the land or any part thereof as shown upon said map but not yet payable, be and is hereby approved and accepted, the said sum of \$1,400 being sufficient to cover all the taxes which are a lien upon the property described in said map and which are not yet payable, the amount of such taxes being estimated by the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco to be \$660.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.



ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Authorizing the Payment of the Traveling Expenses of Josephine D. Randall, Superintendent of Recreation Department, incurred in attending the Meeting of the Recreation Division of the International Housing and Town Planning Congress to Be Held at the City of Mexico, from August 8th to 28th, 1938, inclusive.

(Code No. 9.056)

The following was presented by Supervisor Roncovieri, with the recommendation of the Finance Committee:

Resolution No. 4146, as follows:

Resolved, That Josephine D. Randall, Superintendent, Recreation Department, be and she is hereby authorized to attend the meeting of the Recreation Division of the International Housing and Town Planning Congress at Mexico City to be held therein from August 8th to 28th, inclusive, and that the expenses of attending said meeting, not to exceed the sum of Four Hundred Dollars (\$400), to be allowed to said Josephine D. Randall, in accordance with the provisions of Section 219 of the Charter, and Ordinance No. 9.0568, enacted under authority of said section.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—9.

No—Supervisor Uhl—1.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Mayor to Appoint Citizens' Committee to Arrange Suitable Celebration to Mark the Resumption of Panama-Pacific Steamship Company Service Between San Francisco, Baltimore and New York.

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 4169, as follows:

Whereas, the Panama-Pacific Steamship Company has announced that it will resume its intercoastal shipping service between San Francisco and Baltimore and New York, employing a fleet of fast ships devoted to passenger, express, freight and refrigerator service, and sailing on a weekly schedule, rather than bi-monthly as in the past; and

Whereas, this is very gratifying news to San Francisco whose progress and economic welfare are so dependent upon commerce and shipping; and

Whereas, the Panama-Pacific Steamship Company's new service will be inaugurated, in San Francisco, upon the arrival of the S. S. City of Los Angeles, Monday, August 22d; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That His Honor the Mayor be and is hereby requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee to arrange a suitable celebration to mark the resumption of this important steamship service, upon the arrival of the S. S. City of Los Angeles.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Mayor to Appoint Citizens' Committee to Celebrate Navy Day,  
October 27, 1938.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 4171, as follows:

Whereas, by reason of its situation on San Francisco Bay, one of the largest harbors in the world, this City has a particular interest in all activities of the United States Navy, and a very deep affection for its splendid personnel, both officers and men; and

Whereas, October 27, 1938, has been set aside as "Navy Day", and it is proposed to celebrate this significant event in a manner worthy and befitting its importance; and

Whereas, for the past seven years this event has been sponsored and celebrated by the C. C. Thomas Navy Post, the Propellor Club, the Army and Navy Club, as well as the Navy League of the United States, which organizations are now seeking the cooperation of San Francisco in the forthcoming event; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That His Honor the Mayor be and is hereby respectfully requested to appoint a suitable Citizens Committee for the celebration of "Navy Day", giving recognition to the organizations herein mentioned.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Declaratory Resolution, Bond Issue, Live Stock Pavilion, Agricultural District No. 1A.**

Supervisor Reilly presented as Finance Committee Report:

(Code No. 12.123)

Resolution No. 4174, as follows:

Declaring and determining that public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion of buildings on the property of Agricultural District No. 1A, all designed for agricultural, horticultural, viticultural and livestock fairs and exhibitions, floral displays, exhibitions of industries, county fairs and of expositions, including all furniture fixtures and other works, property and appliances necessary or convenient for the proper exercise of the functions of the City and County of San Francisco, and that the cost thereof is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income of said City and County.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared and determined that public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion of buildings on the property of Agricultural District 1A, all designed for agricultural, horticultural, viticultural and livestock fairs and exhibitions, floral displays, exhibitions of industries, county fairs and of expositions, including all furniture fixtures and other works, property and appliances necessary or convenient for the proper exercise of the functions of the City and County of San Francisco, and that the estimated cost of said improvements is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County.

Section 2. That the estimated cost of said improvements as described in section 1 hereof, to the City and County of San Francisco, is the sum of \$525,000.

Section 3. It is hereby declared and determined that of said sum of \$525,000 no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income



and revenue of the City and County of San Francisco in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the amount of \$525,000.

Section 4. The bonds described herein shall not be offered for sale or sold, unless the State of California or one of its agencies, shall provide or contribute to said Agricultural District No. 1-a, the sum of \$300,000 from funds of the State of California or from its agencies to aid in the project herein mentioned.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Messrs. Fred Parr and B. Sooy, representing Agricultural District, were granted the privilege of floor and were heard at length in explanation of the foregoing.

#### Adopted.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### Transmittal of Report on Proposed Purchase of Market Street Railway.

The following was presented, considered, read and *referred to the Finance Committee*:

August 5, 1938.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, 235 City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: I send you herewith copies of the following documents:

1. Letter from Mr. Samuel Kahn, President of the Market Street Railway Company.

2. Recommendation of the Manager of Utilities to the Honorable Public Utilities Commission on the subject of Market Street Railway purchase and rehabilitation.

3. Report of the Manager of Utilities to the Honorable Public Utilities Commission on the above subject.

4. Certified copy of resolution of the Honorable Public Utilities Commission on the above subject.

I am transmitting to you 20 copies of each of these documents so that each member of the Board of Supervisors may have a copy of each document and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors will have nine copies for his use.

Very truly yours,

E. G. CAHILL,  
Manager of Utilities.

#### MARKET STREET RAILWAY COMPANY

San Francisco, California

Office of  
Samuel Kahn  
President

August 5, 1938.

Mr. E. G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities,  
City and County of San Francisco,  
City Hall, San Francisco.

Dear Mr. Cahill: Pursuant to a resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, you called at my office on several occasions to obtain a price at which we would sell the operative property of the Market Street Railway Company to the City.

The operative property of the Company consists of: Tracks, roadbeds, overhead construction, electric street cars, cable cars, work cars, trolley

MONDAY, AUGUST 8, 1938.

busses and automotive equipment, carbarns, cable machinery houses, substations and shops, land on which the buildings are situated and rights of way; it does not include several parcels of non-operative real estate (although one piece, situated at Market and Valencia streets, has a small blacksmith shop located on it), the stock of materials and supplies, and office equipment.

On June 30, 1928, M. M. O'Shaughnessy, deceased, when City Engineer determined that the reproduction cost of the Company's property was \$45,859,006.00 and that the reproduction cost less depreciation was \$28,602,831.00. According to our records, the net depreciation from that date to June 30, 1938 was \$2,520,916.00 thereby bringing the reproduction cost less depreciation down to date at \$26,081,915.00. While the valuation of this Company's property is not being used as a basis of negotiations, nevertheless, these figures cannot be entirely disregarded as they indicate holdings of considerable magnitude dedicated to public use.

As to price, I can only make recommendations to the stockholders. It is fundamental to them, however, that such price must be sufficient to pay:

Item 1. The outstanding bonds.

Item 2. The bank loans and other floating indebtedness.

Item 3. The unpaid power bills.

Item 4. The cost of liquidating the Company including the maintenance of Legal and Claims departments for disposition of a large number of damage suits and claims.

Item 5. To provide for 1921 bondholders, particularly the owners of the Prior Preference Stock, as all of this stock, much of which is held in San Francisco, and a part of the junior stocks, were issued in exchange for bonds and therefore represents money loaned to this Company to provide service for the people of San Francisco.

The debts of this Company (Items 1, 2 and 3, preceding paragraph) amount to \$7,000,000.00. The estimated cost of winding up the Company's affairs (Item 4) is \$575,000.00.

The 1921 bondholders (now represented by stock) in all fairness are entitled to, at least, 35 cents on the dollar. This figure is approximately one-third the amount these bondholders originally invested and does not take into consideration the fact that they have not received any return on their investment for fourteen years. If such return were taken at only three per cent, these bondholders would be entitled to an additional 42 cents on the dollar but consideration herein has been given only to the partial return of principal and not any to interest. Such partial return of principal would require \$5,375,000.00.

The aggregate of the foregoing items is \$12,950,000.00. The value of the non-operative property mentioned hereinbefore is estimated at \$450,000.00 which, when deducted from the above figure of \$12,950,000.00 leaves a balance of \$12,500,000.00.

I am willing to recommend to the stockholders that the Company surrender all franchises and convey its operative property to San Francisco for the sum of \$12,500,000.00.

Very truly yours,

(Signed) SAMUEL KAHN,  
President.

Purchase of Market Street Railway Company Properties.

August 5, 1938.

To the Honorable the Public Utilities Commission, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: I have received from the Board of Supervisors a resolution requesting the Public Utilities Commission to negotiate with the Market Street Railway Company for a price at which their railway properties might be acquired. I enclose herewith my report in the matter. This report covers, not only the purchase price, but also the cost of rehabilitating the properties of the Market Street Railway Com-



pany. The asking price for the operative properties of the Market Street Railway Company is \$12,500,000. I estimate the cost of consolidation, re-routing, rehabilitation, extensions and repaving of the unified systems to be the sum of \$26,500,000.

From the enclosed report you will note that during the first year after the acquisition of these properties, on the basis of operating on a 5-cent fare and with a universal transfer system, a deficit of approximately \$350,000 will have to be reflected into the tax rate, and the average deficit during the 25-year life of the bonds will be approximately \$1,075,000. Both on the basis of 25-year, 4 per cent bonds.

Public interest and necessity demand proper mass transportation service for *all* the people of San Francisco at uniform cost.

In the final analysis, major questions of policy must be decided by the people themselves, and, therefore, for the purpose of getting the expression of the people of San Francisco on this most important subject, I recommend to you that you request the Board of Supervisors to submit to the people at the earliest possible time a bond issue of general mortgage bonds in the amount of \$39,000,000, for the purpose of acquiring and rehabilitating the properties of the Market Street Railway Company, in accordance with the report I submit herewith.

From an investment standpoint, purchase of the Market Street Railway Company for \$12,500,000 is not, in my opinion, justified. But one of the major problems confronting San Francisco today is that of transportation, and in view of the fact that the Company has franchises lasting approximately 17 more years, this recommendation is worthy of your earnest consideration.

Very truly yours,

E. G. CAHILL,  
Manager of Utilities.

#### Unification of Municipal and Market Street Railways Under City Ownership.

August 2, 1938.

The Honorable the Public Utilities Commission, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: In July, 1937, you directed me to make a complete investigation and report on the possible results of acquiring the properties of the Market Street Railway and combining them with those of the Municipal Railway. This study to include necessary costs of rehabilitating the property and putting it in first class condition for operation by the City; the abandonment of needless lines; the efficient re-routing of other lines, and the substitution of trolley and gas buses for rail service where coaches would render adequate service more economically. On July 5, 1938 the Honorable Board of Supervisors, by Resolution No. 4069, requested that I negotiate with officials of the Market Street Railway for acquisition of its property and, if possible, report the result of these negotiations by August 1st. I have complied with both these requests.

Mr. Kahn has stated that he would recommend to his stockholders a price of \$12,500,000 for the Market Street Railway properties. This sum includes the operative, but not the non-operative, properties in San Francisco and San Mateo counties.

The study, made in accordance with your direction, includes, in addition to the possible cost of acquisition, additional capital expenditures required to make the consolidated system function both efficiently and economically. No consideration has been given to the acquisition and consolidation of the properties of the California Street Cable Railroad, as I believe it to be preferable that arrangements be made with this Company to exchange transfers with the enlarged Municipal system, thus securing universal usage of the transportation lines in the City, and leaving the operation of this cable system in the hands of those who have guided its operations for many years, and who are thoroughly conversant with all of its intricacies. While I have not discussed the

matter of transfer exchange, I feel that under the conditions which can be proposed, the Company will accede to this request.

Over many years the management of the Market Street Railway has failed to fully maintain the property, and this has resulted in the gradual deterioration of the tracks, cars, and other portions of the plant. Your Commission cannot take over the Market Street properties and render a satisfactory service without large expenditures for rehabilitation.

The Market Street Railway, as it stands today, is the conglomerate residue of a large number of predecessor railways. For this reason it now operates a number of lines which cannot be justified by either convenience to patrons or earnings. Relatively few changes in routing have been made for many years, and in most cases such changes as have been made are of minor importance. The possible combination of the Municipal Railway properties with the Market Street system, has made it necessary to study the entire transportation system of the city with a view to eliminating all unnecessary lines, and the establishing of new services which will give the most direct means of communication between various sections of the city. While the Market Street Railway has discontinued a few lines during the past ten years, it has held on to many lines on which the frequency of service has been reduced to a point below which they can be said to be rendering a useful service.

The Company still operates four cable lines. The cars on these run at speeds which average six miles per hour, and cable lines are not only costly to operate, but costly to maintain. I am proposing to replace all of these, as far as patronage warrants, with trolley buses, which, I have ascertained, will satisfactorily negotiate all of the grades which the cable cars now traverse. These trolley coaches will have about the same seating capacity as the cable cars, and will travel at a considerably higher speed.

I am also proposing to substitute trolley coaches for some of the rail lines where the revenue does not justify the expenditure necessary to rebuild the tracks and where service must be continued for the convenience of the people. Gasoline engine coaches will be used on certain other routes now operated with trolley cars where they will supply superior and more economical service. New gasoline coach routes are planned in some places where neither the Company nor the city is now rendering needed service.

The changes which I propose to make may, in some cases, seem very drastic, but in view of the fact that the Company's passenger revenue decreased from \$9,668,987 in 1928 to \$7,115,905 in 1937—more than two and one-half million dollars, or nearly 26 per cent—the Company's records show that all of the lines now in use are not self-supporting. The decrease in the number of car miles operated in the same period was 26 per cent. The average revenue per car mile in 1928 was 36.445 cents and 36.75 cents in 1937. The Company has increased the average speed of its cars from 9 miles per hour in 1928 to 9.26 miles per hour in 1937. The revenue per car hour has increased from \$3.287 in 1928 to \$3.31 in 1937. These figures show how the Company has cut its schedules to fit service requirements.

Both the Municipal and Market Street systems have been confronted with a condition which has increased the over-all cost of operation. This is because the riding habits of the public have changed materially in the nine-year period so that there is much less riding during the off-peak hours, particularly in the evenings. At the same time the riding during the peak hours has increased. This change requires the railways to maintain cars, employees and power supply sufficient to move a heavier peak-load without furnishing use for this capacity at hours other than the peak.

#### *Investment Required.*

So that you may be informed and so that any bond issue presented may be drawn to cover the full costs of acquisition, consolidation, re-routing and rehabilitation, I present the following figures as representing the expenditures which will be required to carry through a



program giving our city a reasonably satisfactory system of urban transportation. What I propose will require a number of years for execution, and the details may be greatly modified when studied at greater length than the time, personnel and funds at my command have permitted. You may be assured, however, that the total sum is much closer to the minimum rather than the maximum which should be spent.

TABLE I.

*Capital Investment.*

Purchase of Market Street Railway Property.....	\$12,500,000
Reconstructing tracks and streets.....	11,900,000
Replacing cars—450 at \$20,000 each.....	9,000,000
Purchase of trolley coaches—108 at \$14,000 each.....	1,512,000
Purchase of automotive coaches—23.....	228,000
Rehabilitation of car and coach storage.....	1,413,000
Consolidating and properly equipping shops.....	570,000
Constructing overhead for trolley-bus operation.....	523,000
Constructing new connecting tracks and special work.....	150,000
General Railway Headquarters.....	250,000
Miscellaneous and contingencies.....	954,000

TOTAL CAPITAL REQUIRED.....\$39,000,000

A brief discussion of each of these items, except purchase price, is given below:

*Reconstructing Tracks.*

A complete inventory and valuation of the properties of the Market Street Railway were made in 1929 by the late City Engineer, M. M. O'Shaughnessy, as of June 30, 1928. This showed that it would cost \$21,282,000 to replace the track and roadway properties at that date. The condition of the property at that time showed that it had depreciated to an average 57 per cent condition, with a value of \$12,130,000. To maintain the 57 per cent condition of 1928, the Company should have spent at least \$8,000,000 in replacing this property during the elapsed ten years. Its actual expenditures have been approximately one and one-third million dollars, and much of this was forced by boulevard and street widening projects. An inspection of the road shows that of the property to be used in the proposed consolidated system, approximately 85 per cent will have to be rebuilt within the next ten years, and 43 per cent of this must be rebuilt as soon as possible. Obviously this cost of rehabilitation should be included in the original capital outlay.

To restore the surface of the streets on which trolley or motor coach operation is to be substituted for electric or cable operation, it will be necessary to take up the rails and repave part of the street. In some cases this will involve only the paving of the narrow trench out of which the rails are removed. In other cases, particularly on cable routes and on electric lines where the pavement between the rails is basalt block and the remainder of the street asphalt surfaced, it will be necessary to repave the entire width of the railway right of way to match the adjoining pavement. In other cases, where the tracks are in bad condition, the pavement has also deteriorated to such an extent that it will be necessary to repave the entire area occupied by the tracks. The trolley poles, trolley wires and feeder cables serving electric lines to be replaced will also have to be removed, and the sidewalk refinished where the poles are taken out. The materials removed in doing all this work will have some salvage value. Allowing for this, the net cost of rehabilitation and repaving will be \$11,900,000.

*Replacing Cars.*

In the 1928 valuation, the Market Street Railway cars were found to have a reproduction value of \$9,369,000, and to be in 63 per cent condition, having at that time a depreciated value of \$5,942,000. Normal street railway replacements, and modernization would have called

for the expenditure, in the intervening ten years, of between three and five million dollars. The Company's actual expenditures have been \$1,147,000 and an appreciable amount of this was expended in converting cars for one-man operation. Marked advances have been made in the design and construction of street railway cars in the last decade and to make street railway transportation attractive, almost all of the Market Street Railway cars must be junked and replaced with new ones, embodying the features which will bring San Francisco up to date. Piecemeal replacement will not be economical as the newer cars start, run and stop much more rapidly than those now in use here, and to attempt to operate new and old equipment on the same track will require the new cars to adopt the gait of the older vehicles.

A comparison of the cost of maintaining the Company's equipment with that of the cost of maintaining Municipal Railway equipment, reveals that even with lower wages, the Company's cost is more than 10 per cent higher. This in spite of the fact that much of the Municipal Railway equipment has been in service from 23 to 25 years. To attempt the continued operation of these old cars would be very unwise, as they not only lack rider appeal, but are costly to maintain and operate, in addition to which, experience elsewhere has shown that modern vehicles have stimulated riding 15 per cent, and sometimes more. The new cars needed will cost \$9,000,000.

#### *Trolley Coaches.*

Some of the trolley lines now in use should not be continued, because it would be necessary to not only rebuild the track, but to buy new cars, which their prospective business does not warrant. For certain of these lines I am recommending replacing rail with trolley coach operation. Poles and power supply are available for trolley coaches, and the removal of the rails and the repaving of the street is less costly than rebuilding tracks, and for the headways required, trolley coach operation would be less costly than with electric cars and equally satisfactory. I have already stated that it is my intention to abandon the use of the Market Street Railway cable cars and substitute therefor trolley buses. The expenditure for the purposes of purchasing the trolley coaches is \$1,512,000.

#### *Purchase of Automotive Coaches.*

Some of the outlying areas now receiving unsatisfactory street car service can be cared for better with motor coaches connecting with the street car lines. Some other sections of the city now without convenient transportation must be provided with motor coaches connecting with car lines. I estimate that the cost of these coaches will be \$228,000.

#### *Rehabilitation of Car and Coach Storage.*

The Market Street Railway now has no fireproof car storage; the structures being brick with wooden interiors or frame construction throughout. It is my opinion that a number of these can be abandoned, and that the others must be made more suitable and thoroughly fire-proofed. It is also the intention to build one new carhouse on a site which will come to the City with the Market Street Railway properties. The cable carhouse serving the Powell street lines will be converted to trolley-bus storage, and one of the other houses reconstructed for the gasoline buses. To provide these storage facilities we will require \$1,413,000.

#### *Consolidating and Equipping Shops.*

The Market Street Railway has extensive shop property in the vicinity of Geneva and San Jose avenues. To attain maximum efficiency, the Municipal Railway repair shop at Geary street and Presidio avenue should be abandoned and all repair work consolidated at the larger property of the Market Street Railway. The Market Street Railway shop buildings and tool equipment require considerable improvement for maximum economy and safety, therefore, I have included \$570,000 for the capital cost of this consolidation and improvement.



*Trolley Construction for Trolley Buses.*

For the trolley buses it is necessary to provide overhead wires for power supply where the bus routes are established on streets now served by street cars; it will be necessary to put up two additional wires to replace the rail return circuit used with the cars. In some streets it will be necessary to install complete new overhead structure and conductors. To get the trolley buses to storage and service houses, negative overhead wires will have to be added over some of the street car lines. Negative return cables will also be necessary to complete the return circuit from the second trolley wire. The total cost of this work will be approximately \$523,000.

*New Construction.*

The proposed new routings will necessitate the construction of some short pieces of track, and the installation of new connections between existing lines. The cost of making these additions and re-arrangements is \$150,000.

*General Railway Headquarters.*

The Market Street Railway general offices are located at 58 Sutter street, where the Company occupies three floors. The Municipal Railway headquarters are located at the Geary street car barn. All headquarters, staff and offices should be centrally located in one place. None of the Municipal Railway offices can be enlarged to accommodate the personnel of the consolidated system. I am recommending the expenditure of \$250,000 to either acquire or construct a railway general office to accommodate the administrative officers, accounting staff and engineers.

*Miscellaneous Contingencies.*

The major items have been covered above. In addition to these, there will be a number of changes necessary to consolidate the two systems. The rearrangement of power supply, the consolidation of stores and supplies, and the disposal of unnecessary equipment and property, together with an allowance for minor items are included in the sum of \$954,000.

*Financial Results.*

There are set forth below the operating results of 1937 for the two separate systems and the estimated operating results of the combined system for the first year after acquisition and following complete rehabilitation and re-routing. The operating income figure is before depreciation and taxes with a 5-cent fare.

TABLE II.

*Market Street Railway 1937.*

Operating Revenue .....	\$ 7,179,754	
Operating Expenses Before Taxes.....	5,972,177	
	<hr/>	
Operating Income .....		\$1,207,577

*Municipal Railway 1937.*

Operating Revenue .....	\$ 3,293,642	
Operating Expenses .....	2,911,810	
	<hr/>	
Operating Income .....		381,832

Total Operating Income of Both Systems, 1937		\$1,589,409
--	--	-------------

TABLE III.

*Initial Year of Unified Ownership and Operation with Universal Transfers.*

Operating Revenue .....	\$ 9,909,050	
Operating Expenses .....	9,306,242	
	<hr/>	
Operating Income .....		\$ 602,808

*Allow for Taxes Paid City by Market Street Railway, 1937.*

Real Estate and Property.....	\$ 214,839	
Franchise Taxes .....	46,066	260,905
		<hr/>
Comparative Operating Income.....		\$ 341,903

TABLE IV.

*Unified System After Re-Routing and Rehabilitation.*

Operating Revenue .....	\$10,376,500	
Operating Expense .....	8,850,450	
		<hr/>
Operating Income .....		\$1,526,050
Allow for Taxes Paid City by Mkt. St. Rwy. 1937		260,905
		<hr/>
Comparative Operating Income.....		\$1,265,145

The figures used in Table II are actual figures for 1937.

The operating revenue in Table III is less than that in 1937 for the reason that some lines are abandoned and no improved service can be offered, except in a minor way. It is also necessary to make a deduction for loss of revenue through transbay passengers arriving in San Francisco at Plan X Terminal rather than at the Ferry Building. The new location is much closer to the business and financial parts of the city than the Ferry Building and many who now use the street cars from the ferry will be able to walk to their destination from Plan X.

No deduction has been made because of establishing the universal transfer privilege, as it has been assumed that the stimulation in riding due to the convenience of the universal transfers will offset the loss of the double fares now paid.

Operating expenses were increased to reflect the application of Municipal Railway wages and other conditions to operating the present equipment over all but a few of the Market Street Railway lines, which will be converted. Municipal Railway operations included are at 1937 costs.

The real estate, property and franchise taxes now paid by the Market Street Railway would be lost to the city, and therefore, have been deducted for purposes of comparison.

The increase in revenue shown in Table IV for the combined system after re-routing and rehabilitation includes the operating revenue of Table III, plus 5 per cent increase due to stimulation of business by use of better equipment and more direct routing. This percentage of increase is conservative.

The operating expenses show a marked decrease even under Municipal Railway wages and working conditions with the new routing, reconstructed track, and new equipment, and include an allowance to carry the estimated 5 per cent increase in traffic reflected in the revenue. Real estate, property and franchise taxes are paid by the Market Street Railway. These taxes would be lost to the city under Municipal ownership, therefore, the 1937 taxes have been deducted for comparison purposes.

This result cannot be obtained for several years after the acquisition and consolidation of the properties. The first year will have the results of Table III and as the properties are gradually rehabilitated, new routes established, and new equipment supplied, the railway will reach the operating income of Table IV. In the intervening time the operating income will vary between these two figures.

It is impossible at this time to make firm long range estimates of either revenue or operating expenses for any public transportation system. The increasing ownership and use of private automobiles and the changing ideas of people with relation to any form of public transportation have not as yet become stabilized, and the developments of the next few years cannot now be foretold.

I am setting up the net results of operation under three plans on



the basis of borrowing \$39,000,000 with 25-year bonds on which retirement would not commence until after the third year.

*One*, with 4 per cent, 25-year bonds.

*Two*, with 3 per cent, 25-year bonds. The Controller informs me that during the years 1935-36, 1936-37 and 1937-38, bond issues of the City and County of San Francisco have been sold at interest rates varying between 2.63 and 2.68 per cent. For this reason I think that consideration should be given to the financial results of this project on the basis of 3 per cent bonds.

*Three*, outside of the payment to the Market Street Railway, all of the other items of capital expense are of a nature which might receive favorable consideration for a 45 per cent P.W.A. grant from the Federal Government, except for the fact that all of the work required cannot be done within the time now allowed for completion of P.W.A. projects under existing legislation. It is possible, however, that work to the extent of 16 or 17 million dollars might be completed in this period, which would have the effect of securing a saving to the city of possibly 7½ million dollars in capital outlay by means of a P.W.A. Federal grant. Therefore, I have figured the financial result of acquiring and rebuilding the railroad using 3 per cent bonds and securing a 7½ million dollar Government grant.

The results for the first year, considering that only one-half of the bonds would be issued and without bond redemption but including interest and redemption for 1938-39 on outstanding Municipal Railway bonds, is as follows:

TABLE V.

*Financial Results of Initial Year's Operation, Including Interest on One-Half the Bonds but No Redemption.*

	Deficit
4 per cent bonds .....	\$349,275
3 per cent bonds .....	154,275
3 per cent bonds with 7½ million dollar P.W.A. grant.....	41,775

For the second year of operation with interest on all of the bonds but no bond redemption these figures become:

	Deficit
4 per cent bonds .....	\$978,000
3 per cent bonds .....	734,000
3 per cent bonds with 7½ million dollar P.W.A. grant.....	362,000

During the period between the time of acquisition and the completion of rehabilitation, and the purchase of new vehicles, there will be a gradual increase in operating income from that shown in Table III, to that shown in Table IV. Taking into consideration the heavy losses before the road has been rebuilt, the average annual loss over the life of 25-year bonds including bond interest and redemption on outstanding Municipal Railway bonds will be as follows:

TABLE VI.

*Average Annual Financial Result Over Period of 25 Years, Including Bond Interest and Redemption.*

	Deficit
4 per cent bonds .....	\$1,075,000
3 per cent bonds .....	850,000
3 per cent bonds with 7½ million dollar P.W.A. grant.....	425,000

#### *Depreciation.*

The operating expenses used in the above tables do not include depreciation. Consideration must be given to the fact that a large part of the track and roadway is proposed to be rebuilt and new equipment purchased with new capital, and that full maintenance of the properties is covered by operating expenses, and the capital charges

used include bond retirement on a 25-year basis in addition to the interest. There is not a wide divergence between the life of the new properties and the time required to retire 25-year bonds. To fund full depreciation and bond retirement would require the present generation to not only pay for the Market Street Railway property and its rehabilitation, but provide money to replace it at the end of its life, which is illogical.

Another way to look at this situation is to consider that as long as revenues will not entirely meet operating expenses and fixed charges, any depreciation funded will have to be secured through taxation. For the purposes of this study, it seems better to consider passing to the taxpayers only the deficit incurred, without funding depreciation. Should money be required for replacements, before the extinction of the bonded indebtedness, only the actual amount required for replacements would have to be added to the tax rate. The amount allowed herein for maintenance in the operating expenses may be sufficiently generous, being based on past experience with the older properties, to carry a portion of such replacements as may have to be made within the next 25 years.

#### *Routings.*

Mr. O'Shaughnessy's report of 1929, outlined a unified plan embracing the Municipal and Market Street Railways and the California Street Cable Railroad. The present plan does not include the California Street Cable Railroad, and the routings proposed in 1929 have been revised for the following reasons:

1. The Bay Bridge and its associated rail terminal station had not been planned. The removal of transbay traffic from the Ferries to Plan X necessitates changes in routings at the lower end of Market street.

2. Changes in trackage and routings have been made since 1929.

3. Downtown street traffic has increased to such an extent as to make downtown switchbacks and turning movements objectionable.

4. Shifts of population have changed the patronage of some routes.

5. New residential districts have been developed.

6. It is planned to interchange transfers with the California Street Cable Railroad instead of embodying that company's properties in the unified system.

7. Developments in trolley and motor coach construction have now made them satisfactory for the replacement of cars on some of the more lightly traveled electric lines and all of the cable lines.

The fundamental objective of a unified system is to improve the efficiency of the city's transportation system and its effectiveness, discontinuing routes that are unable to compete with other types of transportation and duplicating services.

It is realized that further study will produce improvements in the details of the plan on which this report is based. However, the financial results will not be greatly changed.

By establishing through routing where possible, the number of routes will be reduced, likewise some mileage. The elimination of duplicate services also decreases the total length of routes to be operated. In no case is it intended to abandon any essential transportation and on the whole the result will be better and more effective service than is now possible.

It will be impossible to put all of the changes proposed in effect all at once, as this would lead to confusion. One route after another would be changed, as and when track and equipment becomes available through reconstruction and purchase.

#### *Trolley Coach Substitution.*

Trolley coaches are to be substituted for existing forms of transportation where advantageous. They will replace cable cars on routes not to be abandoned, and electric cars on routes which do not warrant rebuilding as electric lines. One existing heavily patronized motor coach line is to be converted to trolley coach operation.



*Motor Coach Substitution.*

To render equal if not better transportation at a lesser cost portions of some electric car lines will be replaced with motor coach routes. The portions of the electric lines to be replaced are at present lightly patronized, and an even better service will be rendered by buses.

When all of the changes in routing have been completed, there will be a marked shift in the relative number of car miles operated annually by street cars, trolley coaches and motor coaches and some decrease in the total number of vehicle miles. A decrease in the number of miles operated by electric cars will be largely offset by nine times the present number of trolley coach miles now operated. Motor bus miles will be increased nearly 50 per cent, and the 954,000 cable car miles are to be dropped entirely.

*Service.*

For some time past there has been an unbalance in the rush hour loading of the Market Street cars of the two systems; the Municipal cars being badly overloaded, while the Market Street cars frequently have surplus capacity. This was very evident even before the private company instituted the charge for transfers or raised its fares. For physical reasons it has been impossible to improve the Municipal Railway service on Market street. The plan of routing which I propose was designed to make effective use of all four of the tracks on Market street.

In estimating vehicle miles and the peak hour car requirements, loading was fixed at 100 passengers per car, compared to an actual loading of sometimes as many as 135 passengers on the Municipal Railway cars. Therefore, the schedules proposed allow a considerable margin for irregularities in headways and other conditions affecting car loading. For coaches, the schedules have been designed to demand a maximum loading of no more than 150 per cent of seating capacity, which is frequently exceeded on present buses.

The traffic checks made in connection with the 1929 report and the report made by Mr. Mott of the California Railroad Commission in 1931, were utilized as far as is still applicable. These have been supplemented with a large number of point checks and one riding check made during the past few months.

*Market Street Situation.*

In the drafting of new routings there has been a consistent effort to relieve Market street of its excessively heavy evening peak loading. The routings proposed will produce better results than those now in effect, and the application of modern cars will be of further benefit; however, the street car traffic on Market street will continue to be so heavy as to cause delay and inconvenience, which will increase with the growth of our city.

*Acknowledgment.*

I am indebted to Mr. Paul J. Ost, Manager and Chief Engineer of the Electric Power Bureau, L. M. Perrin, Electrical Engineer, L. V. Degnan, Office Engineer, Luther G. Stiles, Assistant Engineer, and to other members of Mr. Ost's staff, for their efficient work during the past year in making the engineering studies and assembling the data upon which this report is based.

Very truly yours,

E. G. CAHILL,  
Manager of Utilities.

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION  
City and County of San Francisco

Resolution No. 2803.

Whereas, the Manager of Utilities has submitted to this Commission his report relative to the acquisition and rehabilitation of the properties of the Market Street Railway Company:

Resolved, That said report, together with the recommendation of the Manager of Utilities, be forthwith submitted to the Board of Supervisors for the action of that body.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Public Utilities Commission at its meeting of August 5, 1938.

JOHN J. SHARON,  
*Assistant Secretary.*

#### Action Deferred

The following matters *were laid over until Wednesday, August 12, 1938, 2 p. m.*

#### Declaratory Resolution, Bond Issue for Divisadero Street Tunnel.

(Code No. —)

Resolution No. —, as follows:

Determining and declaring that Public Interest and Necessity demand the construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of a municipal improvement consisting of a tunnel to be used for vehicular, pedestrian and other traffic under Divisadero street from a point at or about the intersection of Pine and Divisadero streets northerly to a point at or about the intersection of Lombard street, and for the lighting of said tunnel and for the furnishing of such other equipment as may be necessary for the use thereof; and that the estimated cost of said improvement is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared and determined that public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of a municipal improvement consisting of a tunnel to be used for vehicular, pedestrian and other traffic under Divisadero street from a point at or about the intersection of Pine and Divisadero streets northerly to a point at or about the intersection of Lombard street, and for the lighting of said tunnel and for the furnishing of such other equipment as may be necessary for the use thereof.

Section 2. That the estimated cost of such improvement as described in section 1 hereof is the sum of \$5,425,000.

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of said sum of \$5,425,000 no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose and that said improvement will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the amount of \$5,425,000.

Section 4. The bonds described herein shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government, through one of its agencies, shall make or agree to make a grant to the City and County of approximately 45 per cent of the estimated cost of the project (exclusive of land) as determined by the Administrator of the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works.

#### Declaratory Resolution, Bond Issue for Lombard Street Tunnel.

(Code No. —)

Also, Resolution No. —, as follows:

Determining and declaring that public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of a municipal improvement consisting of a tunnel to be used for vehicular, pedestrian and other traffic under Lombard street from



a point at or about the intersection of Lombard and Franklin streets easterly to a point at or about the intersection of Taylor street, and for the lighting of said tunnel and for the furnishing of such other equipment as may be necessary for the use thereof; and that the estimated cost of said improvement is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared and determined that public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of a municipal improvement consisting of a tunnel to be used for vehicular, pedestrian and other traffic under Lombard street from a point at or about the intersection of Lombard and Franklin streets easterly to a point at or about the intersection of Taylor street, and for the lighting of said tunnel and for the furnishing of such other equipment as may be necessary for the use thereof.

Section 2. That the estimated cost of such improvement as described in section 1 hereof is the sum of \$4,240,000.

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of said sum of \$4,240,000 no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose and that said improvement will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the amount of \$4,240,000.

Section 4. The bonds described herein shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government, through one of its agencies, shall make or agree to make a grant to the City and County of approximately 45 per cent of the estimated cost of the project (exclusive of land) as determined by the Administrator of the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works.

#### RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors at the hour of 7 p. m. took a recess until Wednesday, August 10, at 2 p. m. at which time it will consider proposed purchase of Market Street Railway and such other business as may be brought before the meeting.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors August 15, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, David A. Barry, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

DAVID A. BARRY,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

The first of these is the fact that the United States is a young nation, and its history is therefore a history of growth and development. The second is the fact that the United States is a large nation, and its history is therefore a history of expansion and conquest. The third is the fact that the United States is a diverse nation, and its history is therefore a history of conflict and compromise.

The fourth is the fact that the United States is a nation of immigrants, and its history is therefore a history of assimilation and integration. The fifth is the fact that the United States is a nation of pioneers, and its history is therefore a history of exploration and discovery. The sixth is the fact that the United States is a nation of entrepreneurs, and its history is therefore a history of innovation and invention.

The seventh is the fact that the United States is a nation of reformers, and its history is therefore a history of social and political change. The eighth is the fact that the United States is a nation of idealists, and its history is therefore a history of high aspirations and noble goals. The ninth is the fact that the United States is a nation of dreamers, and its history is therefore a history of vision and imagination.

The tenth is the fact that the United States is a nation of heroes, and its history is therefore a history of courage and sacrifice. The eleventh is the fact that the United States is a nation of leaders, and its history is therefore a history of guidance and inspiration. The twelfth is the fact that the United States is a nation of followers, and its history is therefore a history of loyalty and devotion.



Wednesday, August 10, 1938

Friday, August 12, 1938

Monday, August 15, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
OF THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
Held at the Court Room, San Francisco, California  
January 1st, 1901

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors City and County of San Francisco

1901



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

---

WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 10, 1938, 2 P. M.

---

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Wednesday, August 10, 1938,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to recess of Monday, August  
8, 1938.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted  
present:

Supervisors Colman, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon,  
Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Reilly—4.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Reilly was noted present at 3:30 p. m.

Supervisor McSheehy was noted present at 2:30 p. m.

President Shannon presiding.

## Declaratory Resolution, Bond Issue, Purchase of Market Street Railway Properties.

(Code No. 15.091)

The following matter, heretofore referred to the Finance Committee,  
was taken up:

Resolution No. ———, as follows:

Declaring and determining that public interest and necessity demand  
the acquisition of the operative properties of the Market Street Rail-  
way and the rehabilitation of said properties, all designed to aid in  
transportation of people within the City and County of San Francisco  
and that the cost thereof is and will be too great to be paid out of the  
ordinary annual income of said City and County.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of  
San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared and determined that public interest  
and necessity demand the acquisition of the operative properties of  
the Market Street Railway, the re-routing of the lines of said company,  
the substitution of trolley and gas buses for rail service where coaches  
would render adequate service more economically, and the replacement  
of said Market Street Railway cars with modern equipment and the  
reconditioning of the rails, trolleys, and poles of the said company and  
the repair of streets within the rails and on both sides of the rails of  
said company, the establishment of equipping and maintenance shops,  
the construction of overhead for trolley bus operation when necessary,  
the reconstruction and constructions of new and connecting tracks and  
general railway headquarters, and that the estimated cost of said  
improvements is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary  
annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Section 2. That the estimated cost of such improvements as de-  
scribed in section 1 hereof is the sum of \$39,000,000.

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of said sum of \$39,000,000 no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the amount of \$39,000,000.

#### Point of Order.

Supervisor McSheehy raised as a point of order that the foregoing had not been referred to the Finance Committee. Chair ruled point of order was not well taken.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Whereupon, the following persons were granted the privilege of the floor and spoke at length:

John A. O'Connell, representing San Francisco Labor Council, opposed the bond issue on the grounds expressed in resolution presented by him for Carmen's Union Division 1004 set forth at length immediately following:

*Amalgamated Association of Street, Electric Railway and Motor Coach  
Employees of America, Division 1004, Affiliated With the  
American Federation of Labor,*

1182 Market Street, Rooms 307-308, San Francisco, California.

January 27, 1938.

#### Resolution.

Whereas, the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco sets forth the principle of public ownership of utilities by the City and County of San Francisco in the following provision of the Charter:

#### "ARTICLE XII.

#### "ACQUISITION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES."

"It is hereby declared to be the purpose and intention of the people of the City and County that its public utilities shall be gradually acquired and ultimately owned by the City and County."

And whereas, acquisition of the Market Street Railway by the people of the City and County of San Francisco would constitute an important step toward the realization of this objective, and

Whereas, the history of street railway transportation in the City and County of San Francisco shows there has been a constant clash of interest between the municipally owned railway and the privately owned railway, resulting in cross purposes rather than in unification and the best interests of the people of San Francisco, and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco has reached a stage where the traffic problems created by rural developments and expansion of the City demand an entirely modernized mass transportation system, if the City and County of San Francisco is to maintain itself as a metropolitan American population center, and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco now stands at the cross roads in the matter of coordinating, unifying and modernizing its transportation system so it will conform to the demands of the times, and

Whereas, it is impossible to carry this plan out expeditiously and without duplication of service and incurring great waste while the competitive Market Street Railway Company continues in operation, and

Whereas, the Market Street Railway Company, through obtaining a two cent transfer on all of its lines has also struck a vital blow at the principle of the universal transfer, and

Whereas, the privately owned company has instituted the operation of one man cars, adding thereby great inconvenience and delay to



passengers and also reducing the number of men employed in the service during these critical years of local and national unemployment, and

Whereas, the Market Street Railway employees represented by Carmen's Union Division No. 1004 will enjoy substantially better working conditions under municipal ownership and will also be covered by the guaranteed pension plan, and

Whereas, the franchise of the Market Street Railway Company will continue in effect for eighteen more years, thus making it impossible for the citizens of the City and County to dispose of this matter and meet the problem of unification and modernization unless other positive steps are taken, and

Whereas, it is self-evident the best interests of the people of the City and County of San Francisco and the members of the Carmen's Union Division No. 1004 lie in the purchase of the operating properties of the Market Street Railway, thus eliminating once and for all the question of the relationship or balance of interests to be maintained between this company and the municipally owned system; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the San Francisco Labor Council go on record as advocating the purchase of said operating properties by the City and County of San Francisco under terms (advantageous to the City and County of San Francisco); and, be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be sent to His Honor, the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, the members of the Board of Supervisors, the Public Utilities Commission, the Building Trades Council, and the Press.

CARMEN'S UNION DIVISION NO. 1004,

By (Seal) (Signed) S. W. DOUGLAS,  
Secretary.

(Adopted by Labor Council later)

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Mrs. Rose C. Walker, representing Long Fellow Mt. Vernon Improvement Club, spoke in opposition to the proposed bond issue.

Geo. H. Allen, representing Central Council of Civic Clubs, spoke at length in opposition to the proposed bond issue. Favored purchase at a reasonable price and the stand taken in the resolution.

J. W. Dilkelspiel, representing Junior Chamber of Commerce, spoke at length in opposition to the proposed bond issue.

Henry Warfield, representing Citizens' Voters Union, spoke at length in opposition to the proposed bond issue.

R. J. O'Rourke, president, San Francisco Property Owners League, spoke in opposition to the proposed bond issue.

Wm. Merryman, representing San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, spoke in opposition to the proposed bond issue.

Geo. W. Gerhardt, representing Civic League of Improvement Clubs, spoke in opposition to the proposed bond issue until such time as the club has time to study matter and a reasonable price was arrived at.

S. W. Douglas, financial and recording secretary, Carmen's Union, Division 1004, opposed proposed bond issue as drawn up believing it too large amount for the proposition to carry at the polls. He urged purchase of the properties on a basis advantageous to the City and County of San Francisco.

#### Statement of Supervisor Uhl.

Supervisor Uhl read the following into the record:

August 8, 1938.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors:

Gentlemen: I have received the following letter dated August 5, 1938, from Mr. E. G. Cahill, Manager, Public Utilities.

Under date of August 2nd, 1938, copy of letter from Mr. E. G. Cahill, Manager, Public Utilities Commission, subject-matter, Unification of Municipal and Market Street Railways under City ownership.

Under date of August 5, 1938, copy of letter from Mr. E. G. Cahill, Manager, Public Utilities Commission, subject-matter, Purchase of Market Street Railway property.

Under date of August 5, 1938, copy of letter from Mr. Samuel Kahn, president of the Market Street Railway Company, to Mr. E. G. Cahill, Manager, Public Utilities Commission, subject-matter, Price of the Operative Properties of the Market Street Railway Company.

Mr. Cahill states in his letter of August 5th: "The asking price of the operative properties of the Market Street Railway Company is \$12,500,000" whereas Mr. Samuel Kahn in his letter to Mr. Cahill under date of August 5th, states: "I am willing to *recommend* to the stockholders that the company surrender all franchises and convey its operative properties to San Francisco for the sum of \$12,500,000."

Most certainly this is not the asking price of the operative properties of the Market Street Railway Company. Before submitting to the electorate a bond issue for the purchase of the Market Street Railway properties, the Public Utilities Commission should secure from the Market Street Railway Company an option for the purchase, otherwise to submit a bond issue will prove an idle gesture.

Quoting from Mr. Kahn's letter of August 5th:

"As to price, I can only make recommendations to the stockholders. It is fundamental to them, however, that such price must be sufficient to pay:

- Item 1. The outstanding bonds.
- Item 2. The bank loans and other floating indebtedness.
- Item 3. The unpaid power bills.
- Item 4. The cost of liquidating the Company, including the maintenance of legal and claims departments for disposition of a large number of damage suits and claims.
- Item 5. To provide for 1929 bondholders, particularly the owners of the Prior Preference stock, as all of this stock, much of which is held in San Francisco and a part of the junior stocks, were issued in exchange for bonds and therefore represents money loaned to this Company to provide service for the people of San Francisco."

It is regrettable that the Market Street Railway Company finds itself in this financial difficulty; however, Mr. Cahill should furnish this Board of Supervisors with a breakdown of the \$12,500,000, so that they and the citizens of San Francisco will know what they are paying \$12,500,000 for.

Under date of August 5, Mr. Cahill states: "From an investment standpoint, purchase of the Market Street Railway Company for \$12,500,000 is not, in my opinion, justified."

Mr. Cahill states in his report to the Public Utilities Commission, under date of August 2, 1938:

"Over many years the Management of the Market Street Railway Company has failed to fully maintain the property and this has resulted in a gradual deterioration of the tracks, cars and other properties of the plant."

Mr. Cahill further states:

"The Market Street Railway as it stands today, is a conglomerate residue of the large number of predecessor railways. An inspection of the road shows that of the property to be used in the proposed consolidated system, approximately 84 per cent will have to be rebuilt within the next ten years and 43 per cent must be rebuilt as soon as possible."

Mr. Cahill fails to state how much is being paid for the tracks to be acquired from the Market Street Railway. How much, Mr. Cahill?



Mr. Cahill states \$11,900,000 will be the cost of reconstructing tracks and streets but has failed to submit a list of the lines on which tracks will be reconstructed. Mr. Cahill should inform the Board the streets on which the tracks are to be reconstructed. Perhaps the Board will disagree with Mr. Cahill as to the continuation of trolley cars operating on many of the lines. I feel that many of the tracks should not be reconstructed but should be moved and bus transportation substituted.

Time limit is important in this matter of transportation, busses can be placed in operation four months after the funds are made available, whereas reconstruction of tracks will require years, will cause street obstruction, interference with street car service, for example, reconstruction of Haight street lines will seriously inconvenience the public.

Mr. Cahill fails to state in his report of August 2, 1938, how much is being paid for the old tracks. Mr. Cahill states:

*"Market advances have been made in the design and construction of street railway cars in the last decade and to make street railway transportation attractive, almost all of the Market Street Railway cars must be junked and replaced with new ones, embodying the features which will bring San Francisco up to date."*

Mr. Cahill should furnish the Board with a breakdown what the City will receive for \$12,500,000. I want to know what value he has placed on old cars, practically all of which he says should be junked, and replaced with 450 new cars, costing \$9,000,000. No gainsaying the fact that the Market Street Railway cars as well as the municipal cars which have been in operation, Mr. Cahill informs us, from 23 to 25 years, should be junked and up to date street cars substituted, but not 450 cars.

In the \$39,000,000 bond issue proposed by Mr. Cahill, he includes the purchase of 23 busses costing \$228,000. I am wondering if Mr. Cahill has reversed the items, intending 450 busses and 23 street cars. That would be nearer correct.

I am stupefied to think in this age of busses Mr. Cahill suggests the purchase of 450 cars and only 23 gasoline buses and 108 trolley coaches. It is positively a stupid suggestion and I predict that such a bond issue, if submitted, will meet a worse fate than was accorded the subway bond issue.

Two gasoline busses will cost no more than one street car. Two busses will seat 82 passengers, one street car seats 44 passengers. Two busses can be operated nearly as cheaply as one street car. Busses stop at the curb thereby allowing vehicular traffic to move, whereas street car stopping blocks traffic badly. Furthermore, bus operation will eliminate the terrible noises caused by all of the obsolete cars in San Francisco.

Of the \$39,000,000 bond issue which Mr. Cahill suggests, \$11,900,000 is for reconstructing tracks and streets and \$9,000,000 to purchase 450 street cars, making a total of \$20,900,000, more than 50 per cent of the \$39,000,000 bond issue proposed by Mr. Cahill. I repeat, I am stupefied to think that Mr. Cahill offers such a suggestion when progressive cities in the United States are removing rails and providing bus transportation. I do not wish to be misunderstood: I am not at this time recommending bus transportation on Market street but I feel, in time, by fanning out the busses, beginning at Pine street, north of Market and Third street south of Market, that much of the pedestrian traffic now on Market street using the street cars, will, in all probability, make bus transportation on Market street practical.

#### *Financing.*

Mr. Cahill in his letter of August 5th states:

*"On the basis of the five-cent fare and universal transfer, there will be a deficit of \$350,000 the first year and an average annual deficit of \$1,075,000 based on 4 per cent bond during the 25 year life of the*

suggested bonds or a deficit of \$850,000 annually based on the 3 per cent bond."

Mr. Cahill makes the surprising statement: "The operating expenses used in the tables does not include depreciation."

The Railroad Commission of the State of California has, in no uncertain terms, given warning that failure to provide a depreciation fund for street railway operation, will result in a calamity.

#### SUMMARY:

Objection to the proposed \$39,000,000 bond issue as follows:

1. That no definite offer has been made the City for the purchase of the operating properties of the Market Street Railway Company.
2. Mr. Cahill fails to give a breakdown of the \$12,500,000 which will indicate to San Francisco what it will receive for said sum.
3. Track reconstruction costing \$11,900,000.
4. Purchase of 450 street cars, costing \$9,000,000.
5. Purchase of only 23 gasoline busses costing \$228,000.
6. Discomfiture caused the public during track reconstruction period.
7. No provision for depreciation.
8. Suggestion of shifting to the taxpayers' shoulder upward of \$1,000,000 a year for 25 years, covering the annual average deficit.

Yours truly,

ADOLPH UHL,  
Supervisor.

#### Motion.

Supervisor Roncovieri, seconded by Supervisor Mead, moved that the Public Utilities Commission report to this Board of Supervisors not later than 2 o'clock p. m. on Friday, August 12, 1938, as to what extent the sum of \$20,000,000 will provide for the acquisition and rehabilitation of the properties of the Market Street Railway Company, and review its report on the acquisition and rehabilitation of the properties of said company, and to make such other recommendations as it may deem proper in the premises.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

#### Motion.

Supervisor Roncovieri, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved that at Supervisor McSheehy's suggestion that Mr. Cahill be requested by the Board of Supervisors to ask Mr. Kahn if he will accept \$5,449,970 for the properties of the Market Street Railway Company, and have Mr. Kahn's answer for 2 p. m., Friday, which sum was suggested by Supervisor McSheehy.

#### Ruled Out of Order.

Chair ruled motion out of order for the reason that the Committee had just requested the Public Utilities Commission to see what it can possibly do in an endeavor to get the properties of the company in shape for \$20,000,000.

#### Declaratory Resolution, Bond Issue for Divisadero Street Tunnel. (Code No. —)

The following matter heretofore presented by Supervisor Schmidt and laid over until this meeting was taken up:

Resolution No. 4172, as follows:

Determining and declaring that Public Interest and Necessity de-



mand the construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of a municipal improvement consisting of a tunnel to be used for vehicular, pedestrian and other traffic under Divisadero street from a point at or about the intersection of Pine and Divisadero streets northerly to a point at or about the intersection of Lombard street, and for the lighting of said tunnel and for the furnishing of such other equipment as may be necessary for the use thereof; and that the estimated cost of said improvement is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared and determined that public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of a municipal improvement consisting of a tunnel to be used for vehicular, pedestrian and other traffic under Divisadero street from a point at or about the intersection of Pine and Divisadero streets northerly to a point at or about the intersection of Lombard street, and for the lighting of said tunnel and for the furnishing of such other equipment as may be necessary for the use thereof.

Section 2. That the estimated cost of such improvement as described in section 1 hereof is the sum of \$5,425,000.

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of said sum of \$5,425,000 no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose and that said improvement will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the amount of \$5,425,000.

Section 4. The bonds described herein shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government, through one of its agencies, shall make or agree to make a grant to the City and County of approximately 45 per cent of the estimated cost of the project (exclusive of land) as determined by the Administrator of the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works.

### Privilege of the Floor.

The following persons were granted the privilege of the floor and spoke at length with regard to the foregoing matter:

J. W. Kelly, President, Divisadero Street Merchants Association, was heard urging the adoption of the resolution.

John J. Casey, City Engineer, stated that no engineering plans or estimates had been made for this project and that it was doubtful whether, if a bond issue were approved, that the work could be commenced and finished in the time required by the Federal Grant agreement.

### Declaratory Resolution, Bond Issue for Lombard Street Tunnel.

(Code No. —)

The following matter heretofore presented by Supervisor Schmidt and laid over until this meeting was taken up:

Resolution No. 4173, as follows:

Determining and declaring that public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of a municipal improvement consisting of a tunnel to be used for vehicular, pedestrian and other traffic under Lombard street from a point at or about the intersection of Lombard and Franklin streets easterly to a point at or about the intersection of Taylor street, and for the lighting of said tunnel and for the furnishing of such other equipment as may be necessary for the use thereof; and that the estimated cost of said improvement is and will be too great to be paid out

of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.  
Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared and determined that public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of a municipal improvement consisting of a tunnel to be used for vehicular, pedestrian and other traffic under Lombard street from a point at or about the intersection of Lombard and Franklin streets easterly to a point at or about the intersection of Taylor street, and for the lighting of said tunnel and for the furnishing of such other equipment as may be necessary for the use thereof.

Section 2. That the estimated cost of such improvement as described in section 1 hereof is the sum of \$4,240,000.

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of said sum of \$4,240,000 no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose and that said improvement will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the amount of \$4,240,000.

Section 4. The bonds described herein shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government, through one of its agencies, shall make or agree to make a grant to the City and County of approximately 45 per cent of the estimated cost of the project (exclusive of land) as determined by the Administrator of the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

The following persons were granted the privilege of the floor and spoke at length with regard to the foregoing matter:

Hugo D. Newhouse, Director, Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, urged adoption of resolution.

Stephen Malatesta, President, Property Owners Association of North Beach, also urged adoption of resolution.

Geo. H. Allen, President, Central Council of Civic Clubs, said that the Central Council had endorsed the project and that he favored the adoption of the resolution.

John J. Casey, City Engineer, said that only a few preliminary plans for this project had been made and doubted under the circumstances whether work could be started by January 1st, and finished in time required by Federal grant.

#### Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the two foregoing resolutions relative to bond issues for Divisadero and Lombard street tunnels were *laid over until Friday, August 12, 1938, at 2 p. m.*, when it was agreed would meet in recessed session.

#### Declaratory Resolution, Bond Issue for Broadway Tunnel.

(Code No. \_\_\_\_\_)

The following matter was taken up:

Resolution No. 4176, as follows:

Determining and declaring that public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of a municipal improvement consisting of a tunnel to be used for vehicular, pedestrian and other traffic under Broadway street from a point at or about the intersection of Broadway and Larkin streets easterly to a point at or about the intersection of Mason street, and for the lighting of said tunnel and for the furnishing of such other equipment as may be necessary for the use thereof; and that the estimated cost of said improvement is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:



Section 1. It is hereby declared and determined that public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of a municipal improvement consisting of a tunnel to be used for vehicular, pedestrian and other traffic under Broadway street from a point at or about the intersection of Broadway and Larkin streets easterly to a point at or about the intersection of Mason street, and for the lighting of said tunnel and for the furnishing of such other equipment as may be necessary for the use thereof.

Section 2. That the estimated cost of such improvement as described in section 1 hereof is the sum of \$3,200,000.

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of said sum of \$3,200,000 no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose and that said improvement will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the amount of \$3,200,000.

Section 4. The bonds described herein shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government, through one of its agencies, shall make or agree to make a grant to the City and County of approximately 45 per cent of the estimated cost of the project (exclusive of land) as determined by the Administrator of the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

John J. Casey, City Engineer, was heard and declared that no engineering plans had been prepared for this project.

#### Action Deferred.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Roncovieri, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, the foregoing matter was *laid over until Friday, August 12, 1938, at 2 p. m.* Clerk being instructed to issue a call of the Board.

#### Motion.

Supervisor Ratto, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved that this Board request our engineering department to submit to this Board estimates of costs of engineering studies and recommendations for Broadway, Lombard, Divisadero and Bernal tunnels.

*So ordered.*

#### Creating Underground District, Geary Street, Geary Boulevard and Point Lobos Avenue.

(Code No. 11.12)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Bill No. 1667, Ordinance No. 11.1224, as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for placing wires and conduits underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section to be known as Section ZZZ.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Order No. 214 (Second Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding a new section to be known as Section ZZZ:

Section ZZZ. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires, except trolley poles and wires, after August 1, 1941, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 81. Geary street from Divisadero street to Presidio avenue; Geary boulevard from Presidio avenue to Forty-second avenue; Point Lobos avenue from Forty-second avenue to the Great Highway.

*Referred to the Streets Committee.*

**Mayor Requested to Ask Public Utilities Commission to Place in Operation One of Three Bus Routes Specified Herein.**

(Code No. 15.094)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Resolution No. 4183, as follows:

Whereas, Resolution No. 4126, which I presented recently to the Board of Supervisors was refused adoption by a vote of nine to two, and

Whereas, Bus operation will save from ten to fifteen minutes on any of the major routes, and

Whereas, Leading cities in the East are abandoning trolley operations in favor of bus operation, outstanding among which is the City of Newark, New Jersey, and

Whereas, It would be desirable to give bus operation a trial in order that the citizens can judge the value of bus transportation, based on two-minute schedules at peak hours, and the financial results of said bus operation on any one of the following routes:

Bush street from Sansome street, via Presidio avenue and California to Thirty-second avenue;

From Fifth Street at Mint avenue, via Brannan, Potrero, Bayshore, Alemany boulevard, to the County Line;

From Cabrillo and Forty-eighth avenue, via Turk to Market street; returning via Market street and Golden Gate Avenue, Arguello and Cabrillo street; and

Whereas, Said routes approximate six and one-half (6½) miles and require approximately thirty-five (35) forty-one passenger buses on each route, costing approximately \$350,000, and

Whereas, There is in the Surplus Fund of the Municipal Railway approximately \$288,548.15; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor will be asked to request the Public Utilities Commission to immediately adopt one of the foregoing bus routes and place buses in operation thereon.

*Referred to the Public Utilities Committee.*

**Excused.**

Supervisor Schmidt requested and was excused from attendance at Friday, August 12, 1938, 2 p. m. meeting until 3 p. m.

Supervisor Colman declared that it would be impossible for him to be in attendance on Friday, August 12, 1938, at 2 p. m., as at that time he started for military camp at Monterey, but that he would be glad to come Friday morning and stay until that time.

**Motion.**

Supervisor Colman moved that the Mayor be requested to appoint a Committee of representative citizens to arrange for a campaign for the passage of the bond issues to be presented to the people.

*So ordered.*

**Transcripts to Be Furnished.**

Supervisor Roncovieri, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved that transcripts of the meeting on Friday and subsequently on these matters be furnished members.

*So ordered.*

**RECESS.**

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Roncovieri, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, the Board, at the hour of 6 p. m., took a recess until Friday, August 12, 1938, at 2 p. m.

DAVID A. BARRY,  
Clerk.



## FRIDAY, AUGUST 12, 1938, 2:00 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Friday, August 12, 1938, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to recess of Wednesday, August 10, 1938, 2 p. m.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt—2.

Supervisor Schmidt was noted present at 2:45 p. m., having been excused from attendance until 3 p. m. at meeting of the Board, Wednesday, August 12, 1938, at 2 p. m.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

## Communication.

*Report on Proposed Purchase of Market Street Railway.*

The following communication, presented by Supervisor Roncovieri, was read by the Clerk:

August 12, 1938.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors,  
235 City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: I send you herewith copies of the following documents:

1. Recommendation of the Manager of Utilities to the Honorable Public Utilities Commission on the subject of Market Street Railway purchase and rehabilitation.
2. Report of the Manager of Utilities to the Honorable Public Utilities Commission on the above subject.
3. Certified copy of resolution of the Honorable Public Utilities Commission on the above subject.

I am transmitting to you 20 copies of each of these documents so that each member of the Board of Supervisors may have a copy of each document and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors will have nine copies for his use.

Very truly yours,

E. G. CAHILL,

Manager of Utilities.

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

City and County of San Francisco.

August 12, 1938.

The Honorable the Public Utilities Commission,  
City Hall, San Francisco, Calif.

Gentlemen: I have received from the Board of Supervisors a motion dated August 10, 1938, requesting that the Public Utilities Commission report to the Supervisors on Friday, August 12, 1938, as to what extent the sum of \$20,000,000 will provide for the acquisition and rehabilitation of the properties of the Market Street Railway Company, and requesting that my report of August 2, 1938, be revised to the end that the total bond issue proposal be reduced.

I stated in my letter to you dated August 5, 1938, that the asking price for the operative properties of the Market Street Railway is \$12,500,000. I estimated the cost of consolidation, re-routing, rehabili-

tation, extensions and repaving of the unified system to be the sum of \$26,500,000.

I have carefully revised the estimates contained in my prior report and I find that estimating the purchase price of the Market Street Railway Company at \$12,500,000, it will be possible to carry out a partial rehabilitation program for the additional sum of \$11,980,000. The enclosed report shows that with this revised program, during the first year after acquisition of these properties on the basis of operating on a five-cent fare with a universal transfer system, a deficit of approximately \$1,008,000 will have to be reflected into the tax rate, and in the fourth year with the beginning of bond amortization, the deficit to be met from taxes will be approximately \$1,709,000. Both of these calculations are on the basis of 25-year 4 per cent bonds.

If the bonds were sold on a 3 per cent interest basis, the deficit to be met the first year from taxes will be approximately \$764,000 and in the fourth year approximately \$1,464,000.

Public interest and necessity demand proper mass transportation service for *all* the people of San Francisco at a uniform cost. In the final analysis, the major questions of policy must be decided by the people, and for the purpose of getting the expression of the people of San Francisco on this most important subject, I recommend that you request the Board of Supervisors to submit to the people at the earliest possible date an issue of general mortgage bonds in the amount of \$24,480,000 for the purpose of acquiring and partially rehabilitating the properties of the Market Street Railway Company.

It should be clearly understood that the reduction in capital expenditures requested by the Board of Supervisors and reported on herein, will not provide a sufficient amount for a complete rehabilitation of the Market Street Railway system and for its proper consolidation with the operations of the Municipal Railway. It is inevitable, in order to reduce costs of operation, which will be reflected in the tax rate, that the entire program of rehabilitation and consolidation described in my report of August 2, 1938, be carried out at an early date. I feel certain that if the voters approve this bond issue, it will be necessary at an early date to request the voting of additional bond funds to complete the program heretofore outlined.

From an investment standpoint, the purchase of the properties of the Market Street Railway Company for \$12,500,000, is not, in my opinion, justified. But one of the major problems confronting San Francisco today is that of transportation, and in view of the fact that the company has franchises lasting approximately 17 more years, this recommendation is worthy of your earnest consideration.

Very truly yours,

E. G. CAHILL,

Manager of Utilities.

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

City and County of San Francisco.

August 11, 1938.

To the Honorable the Public Utilities Commission,  
City Hall, San Francisco, Calif.

Gentlemen: The Board of Supervisors has requested that your Commission reconsider your report on the purchase of the Market Street Railway Company and its rehabilitation at a total cost of \$39,000,000.

At its meeting yesterday the Board carried a motion requesting your Commission to submit on August 12, 1938, the probable financial result of issuing \$20,000,000 in bonds in place of \$39,000,000 to purchase, rehabilitate, and extend the Market Street Railway.

It is obvious that any substantial reduction in the necessary capital expenditures heretofore outlined to place the properties of the Market



Street Railway Company in condition for proper operation inevitably must result in increased operating costs, together with the piling up of large deferred maintenance costs, and that these increased operating charges and maintenance costs must be reflected in the tax rate.

The Market Street Railway properties have reached nearly the end of their useful life. In taking over this railway with the intention of improving the transportation situation, the city government must assume the responsibility of full rehabilitation.

In my report to you of August 2, 1938, I stated that \$26,500,000 would be required for this purpose and I see no means whereby satisfactory mass transportation can be provided using street cars, trolley coaches and motor buses, each in its most effective field, without making the full expenditure within a relatively short time. With a \$20,000,000 bond issue, only \$7,500,000 would be available for rehabilitation and modernization. This is but 28 per cent of the sum which I have estimated will be required for rehabilitation and would be insufficient even to make the system dependable without regard to improved standards of service.

To start with only \$7,500,000 available for rehabilitation out of bonds, and to maintain the property in operating condition, would throw an excessive burden on the taxpayer or necessitate a raise in fare. That this is true is evidenced by the fact that the Market Street Railway with much lower wages and a lesser indebtedness, has formally declared its inability to continue to operate the property on a five-cent fare. No matter how carefully your Commission operates the Market Street Railway property with an allowance of only \$7,500,000 for rehabilitation, you are bound to meet with failure. I therefore cannot recommend any proposal for a \$20,000,000 bond issue.

Instead, I have given careful consideration to the minimum amount of money which would allow the City to take over the Market Street Railway properties, and carry on until a further bond issue can be secured to completely rehabilitate the property. This minimum figure is \$24,478,000, which it is contemplated will be spent as follows:

Purchase of Market Street Railway.....	\$12,500,000
Reconstruct track and extensions.....	5,000,000
Replace 150 cars at \$20,000 each.....	3,000,000
Purchase 108 trolley coaches at \$14,000 each.....	1,512,000
Repair car and coach storage.....	90,000
Consolidate shops .....	25,000
Overhead wire for trolley bus operation.....	523,000
New connecting tracks and special work.....	150,000
Purchase of automotive coaches.....	228,000
Contingencies .....	272,000
Materials, supplies and equipment.....	578,000
Overhaul cars .....	600,000
<b>Total .....</b>	<b>\$24,478,000</b>

The expenditures proposed will substitute trolley coaches for cable cars and electric cars to the full extent of my original plan, thus making it unnecessary to operate worn-out cars over worn-out track on some routes, at the same time securing better service with economy. To take advantage of possible savings through rerouting electric cars, I am providing for the necessary connecting tracks and special work. One hundred and fifty new and modern electric cars are being provided; the old cars which must be continued in service will be overhauled and put in condition for further use.

The amount set up for bond redemption in my report of August 2, 1938, was stated to be sufficient to offset the amount which should be funded for depreciation. Retirement on the bond issue which I propose herein will offset depreciation on the properties reconstructed and the retirement allowance on future bonds will cover depreciation

on the properties which they replace. I am, however, including in my present cost estimates, depreciation on Municipal Railway properties, which will not be covered by either of these bond issues.

The amount which will have to be provided by the taxpayer at the end of the first and fourth years, including Market Street Railway taxes lost, is as follows: first year \$1,008,000; fourth year, when bond redemption begins, \$1,709,000. These amounts are based on 4 per cent, 25-year bonds on which retirement will not commence for three years. Due to the necessity for further financing in order to keep the road in operating condition, it is impossible at this time to forecast future tax obligations with the five-cent fare, and a universal transfer.

You will note that the tax cost during the first year of operation with the bond issue now proposed is \$1,008,000, in contrast with an estimated deficit from operations of \$349,275 under the full rehabilitation plan heretofore presented.

Every effort should be made to secure P. W. A. funds to augment the City's bond money. After paying for the Market Street Railway properties, the City will have \$11,978,000 to spend on construction and equipment. A 45 per cent grant based on this sum would be approximately \$9,750,000.

If a federal grant is received it should be used for further rehabilitation of the property. Spent in this way, the grant will not only immediately improve service, but reduce the size of future bond issues.

The details of financial results for the first and fourth year are given in the following tables on the basis of a \$24,478,000 bond issue, a five-cent fare and a universal transfer.

TABLE I.

*Initial Year of Operation with 5-Cent Fare and Universal Transfer—No Bond Redemption.*

Operating revenue .....	\$9,909,000	
Operating expenses .....	9,306,000	
Operating income .....		\$ 603,000
<i>Deduct:</i>		
Market St. Ry. 1937 taxes.....	\$ 261,000	
Interest and redemption Mun. Ry. bonds.....	172,000	
Depreciation Mun. Ry. ....	200,000	633,000
Deficit before interest.....		\$ 30,000
		<i>Total Deficit</i>
Bond interest at 4 per cent \$978,000.....	\$1,008,000	
Bond interest at 3 per cent \$734,000.....	764,000	

TABLE II.

*Fourth Year of Operation with Universal Transfers and Bond Redemption.*

Operating revenue .....	\$10,000,000	
Operating expenses .....	8,999,000	
Operating income .....		\$1,001,000
<i>Deduct:</i>		
Market St. Ry. 1937 taxes.....	\$ 261,000	
Interest and Redemption Mun. Ry. bonds.....	157,000	
Depreciation Mun. Ry. ....	200,000	618,000
Surplus .....		\$ 383,000
Bond interest at 4 per cent.....	\$ 979,000	
Bond redemption .....	1,113,000	
		<i>\$2,092,000</i>
Less Surplus .....	383,000	



Operating deficit plus bond redemption, to be reflected in the tax rate.....	\$1,709,000
Bond interest at 3 per cent.....	\$ 734,000
Bond redemption .....	1,113,000
	<hr/>
	\$1,847,000
Less Surplus .....	383,000
Operating deficit plus bond redemption, to be reflected in the tax rate.....	\$1,464,000

Respectfully submitted,

E. G. CAHILL,  
Manager of Utilities.

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION  
City and County of San Francisco.

Resolution No. 2822.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, at its meeting of August 10, 1938, requested that this Commission submit a revised report on the purchase and rehabilitation of the Market Street Railway, with the object of reducing the initial investment contemplated in the report of this Commission of August 5, 1938; and

Whereas, the Manager of Utilities has submitted to this Commission his report in accordance with the request of the Board of Supervisors; therefore, be it

Resolved, That this report, together with the recommendation of the Manager of Utilities, be forthwith submitted to the Board of Supervisors for the action of that body; be it

Further Resolved, That the Public Utilities Commission hereby recommends to the Board of Supervisors that a bond issue in the sum of \$24,480,000 be submitted to the people for the purpose of purchase, extensions and rehabilitation of the Market Street Railway properties.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Public Utilities Commission at its meeting of August 12, 1938.

JOHN J. SHARON,  
Assistant Secretary.

*Referred to Joint Committee on Finance and Public Utilities.*

**Board Takes a Recess for Committee Hearing.**

Thereupon, Supervisor Brown, at the hour of 2:30 p. m., moved that the Board of Supervisors take a recess while the Joint Committee met for the purpose of giving consideration and hearing to citizens as to the foregoing report and until such time as matter is reported back to the Board.

*Motion carried.*

It was thereupon agreed that the Joint Committee remain and conduct its meeting in the Board Chambers.

**Hearing in Joint Committee of Finance and Public Utilities.**

The roll was called and the following members of the Joint Committee were noted present:

Supervisors Roncovieri, Reilly, Shannon, Meyer, Brown, McSheehy—6.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Roncovieri in the chair.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

The following persons were granted the privilege of the floor:

Cleve F. Shaffer said that in his opinion it was needless to buy

the properties until passed on by competent engineers and advice thereon as to its necessity.

F. E. Shephard, Redwood City, favored municipal ownership of the system and urged the taking over of the properties of the Market Street Railway at this time and consolidating it with the Municipal Railway.

Geo. H. Allen, president, Central Council of Civic Clubs, urged submission of revised resolution and acquisition of the Market Street Railway at a reasonable price.

Geo. T. Baker, Citizen Voters Unity Council, spoke against bonding the City for \$24,000,000 for the acquisition and rehabilitation of the road. Before it is paid for, he said, it will have cost us \$48,000,000.

S. W. Douglas, secretary, Carmen's Union, spoke in favor of the resolution providing for purchase and rehabilitation at \$24,480,000, thereby permitting a five-cent fare and universal transfers.

Henry Warfield spoke against the resolution for the reason that by the time the railway is paid for it would have cost twice \$24,480,000.

Floyd Taylor, Market Street Association, spoke against the resolution.

Jos. F. Donovan, Palo Alto Chamber of Commerce, spoke in favor of the resolution with especial reference to the needs of the Peninsula commuter, stressing the necessity of better service to and from the Third Street Station of the Southern Pacific Company.

#### Communication from San Francisco Chamber of Commerce.

August 12, 1938.

The following matter was presented and read by the Clerk:

To the Clerk of the Honorable Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco,  
City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Dear Sir: Confirming the statements made by Will Merryman, speaking for this Chamber of Commerce before your Board on Wednesday, August 10, with respect to the proposed bond issue for the purchase and rehabilitation of the Market Street Railway Company lines, we wish to submit the following:

In view of the analysis made by Mr. Cahill of the present physical condition of the Market Street Railway properties and his conclusion that the cars, trackage and other equipment must be immediately replaced or rehabilitated, we feel that at this moment it is impossible to consider the problem other than in its entirety. In other words, we would be merely blinding ourselves to the realities of the situation if we were to consider half of the proposal—the purchase—without giving simultaneous consideration to the other half—the expenditures necessary to put the lines into a condition that will allow profitable operation by the City.

Solution of our transportation problem is imperative. It has been with us a long time. But it may be highly dangerous for us to rush into an acceptance of this present proposal. Time must be given to a thorough analysis of everything involved. The time remaining for your Board to place a bond issue on the September 27 ballot is patently insufficient.

We would respectfully urge, therefore, that your Board defer submission of any bond proposal for the purchase of the Market Street lines until such proposal can be given the thorough analysis that its importance merits.

Very truly yours,

SAN FRANCISCO CHAMBER OF COMMERCE.

CHARLES PAGE,

Executive Vice-President.



**Motion.**

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that the matter be taken in the hands of the Joint Committee.

No objection and *so ordered*.

**Declaratory Resolution, Bond Issue for Purchase and Rehabilitation of Market Street Railway Co. Properties for \$24,480,000.**

(Code No. 15.091)

Thereupon, Supervisor Roncovieri, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, presented the following resolution and moved its adoption:

Resolution No. 4186, as follows:

Declaring and determining that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition of the operative properties of the Market Street Railway and the rehabilitation of said properties, all designed to aid in transportation of people within the City and County of San Francisco and that the cost thereof is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income of said City and County.

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared and determined that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition of the operative properties of the Market Street Railway, the re-routing of the lines of said Company, the substitution of trolley and gas buses for rail service where coaches would render adequate service more economically, and the replacement of said Market Street Railway cars with modern equipment and the reconditioning of the rails, trolleys, and poles of the said Company and the repair of streets within the rails and on both sides of the rails of said Company, the establishment of equipping and maintenance shops, the construction of overhead of trolley bus operation when necessary, the reconstruction and construction of new and connecting tracks and general railway headquarters, and that the estimated cost of said improvements is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Section 2. That the estimated cost of such improvements as described in section 1 hereof is the sum of \$24,480,000. Of the said sum of \$24,480,000 not more than \$12,500,000 shall be used for the purpose of purchasing the operative properties of Market Street Railway Company.

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of said sum of \$24,480,000 no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the amount of \$24,488,000.

**Minority Report of Supervisor McSheehy.**

After discussion as to the amended report of the Public Utilities Commission, Supervisor McSheehy presented the following minority report:

**SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY:** I will read it so you will hear it:

"August 12, 1938.

"To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors.

"Gentlemen:

"Minority report of James B. McSheehy on the purchase of the Market Street Railway——"

**THE CHAIRMAN** (interrupting): I would like to know how you knew there was going to be a minority.

**SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY:** I thought very quick. Supervisor Shannon stated we were going into a committee, and here is the chair the stenographer used; see, this is the chair (indicating); I

dictated it a short time ago, but don't think I didn't have some of these figures, Supervisor.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you; thank you.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY (continuing reading):

"You have before you here this afternoon a recommendation from the Utilities Commission requesting a bond issue of \$24,478,000, set up in twelve items on page three of E. G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities' report.

"I feel as one member of this committee that a great mistake will be made in requesting a bond of this amount and that the same will not be voted favorably by two-thirds of the voters of the City.

"I, therefore, offer to you the following minority report:

"The bond issue of \$5,574,050 for the purchase of the properties of the Market Street Railway Company in San Mateo and San Francisco Counties; and \$9,245,950 for the purchase of 720 motor buses and various other types of equipment; the detail to be submitted by the Public Utilities Commission under section 121 of the Charter. The present municipal lines are to remain intact, and will coordinate with the various bus lines so as to give rapid transit to every section of the City.

"It is a simple plan that will require a total bond issue of only \$15,000,000; and one that is being carried out by all large cities of the United States.

"The following is the detail of the appraisement of the State Board of Equalization for the year 1938-39 for the properties in San Francisco and San Mateo Counties, assessed to the Market Street Railway Company:

"Improvements, Buildings:

Mission St. Car House.....	\$ 45,250
Third St. Car House.....	11,100
McAllister St. Car House.....	6,420
Eighth St. Car House.....	6,850
Utah St. Car House.....	37,150
Turk St. Car House.....	20,050
Thirty-second Ave. Car House.....	10,250
Castro St. Power & Car House.....	9,000
Geneva Shop .....	30,800
Oak St. Car House .....	28,930
Washington St. Car & Power House.....	56,380
Geneva Car House .....	33,550
Miscellaneous Street Buildings.....	8,920
Twenty-eighth St. Car House .....	21,500
Group Miscellaneous Buildings .....	34,000

"Improvements, Equipment:

Shop Equipment .....	\$ 100,000
Eighth Ave. Sub-Station .....	7,940
Turk and Fillmore Sub-Station.....	14,700
Bryant St. Sub-Station .....	31,590
Geneva Ave. Sub-Station .....	5,460
Stevenson St. Sub-Station.....	23,680
San Bruno Ave. Sub-Station.....	6,680
Miscellaneous Sub-Station .....	1,310
Castro St. Power Plant.....	12,420
Mason and Washington Plant.....	25,600
Eighth Ave. Sub-Station .....	30,250
Geneva Ave. Sub-Station .....	5,580
Bryant St. Sub-Station .....	21,430
Turk and Fillmore Sub-Station.....	13,440
Stevenson St. Sub-Station .....	54,000
San Bruno Sub-Station .....	11,200



"Track:—"

Now, pay some attention to this next figure (continuing reading):

Electric Track and Trolley.....	1,699,520
Cable Track .....	113,040
Other track (electric) .....	22,290
Other track (cable) .....	840—"

The total of these items is \$2,561,120 (continuing reading):

"Personal Property:

Furniture .....	\$	33,000	
Miscellaneous Power Plant Equip.....		630	
Miscellaneous Sub-Station Equip. ....		1,550	
Material and Supplies .....		174,000	
Trolley and Poles .....		258,150	
Trolley (Power House) .....		2,230	
Cable in tracks .....		11,380	
Overhead trolley for trolley coach.....		8,320	
Rolling stock (electric) .....		1,416,710	
Rolling stock (cable) .....		25,310	
Rolling stock (trolley coach).....		46,400	1,979,820

<i>Money:</i> .....		3,290	3,290
<i>Land:</i> .....		851,240	851,240

Total ..... \$5,395,470"

Now, just listen to this capitulation:

You have here, as I stated, in the first one, "Improvements and Equipment," those items I read to you totalling \$2,561,000, and the last itemization I read to you totalling \$1,979,820, and money on hand \$3,290; and now it comes down to the land, the land which was assessed in San Francisco, downstairs in the Assessor's books: it is assessed, 50 parcels at \$851,240; and Mr. Cahill has brought you in a figure of \$2,240,000. What has our Assessor been doing, or what has Mr. Cahill been doing? Those are the facts, and you have a total here of \$5,395,470.

Now, Members of this Board, just give some thought to this; give some thought to it. Remember these are not my figures; these figures were taken off by the State Board of Equalization; I received those figures from Sacramento, and I received the figures from the Assessor. This is no great engineering task; this is no task of figuring the number of gallons of water that a dam will hold; it is just pure business, real business men—and I say to you, Members of this Board, I hope you will pass this minority report.

#### Discussion.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I would like to ask the Chairman if the presentation of a minority report is in order at this time.

THE CHAIRMAN: I believe not.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I am a Member of the Committee, Supervisor Brown; and if you say it is not in order I will simply amend the Committee's report.

THE CHAIRMAN: I think that is proper.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I think that is the proper method.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: Supervisor McSheehy, would you please yield to a question? You state that the assessed valuation of the Market Street Railroad Company is approximately what at the present time,—\$5,000,000? You gave the figures—

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Yes, sir; this figure was taken from the Board of Equalization, \$5,000,000; San Francisco, \$5,395,470.

THE CHAIRMAN: What is San Mateo County?

SUPERVISOR REILLY: Yes. What does San Mateo County amount to?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: The total of the two figures.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: The total is what I wanted to get.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: The total of the two figures, \$5,574,050, San Mateo County and San Francisco.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: I understand your statement to be that the Assessor—

THE CHAIRMAN (interrupting): \$5,700,000?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: \$5,574,050; that is the amount for both San Mateo County and San Francisco.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: I am trying to determine, Mr. Chairman and Members of the Board, just what the valuations of the properties are. Your resolution does not commit us, as I understand it, to pay \$12,500,000. We may get it for less; but Supervisor McSheehy, I understood to state the properties were valued at approximately \$5,470,000; that is, the assessed valuation of the properties in San Mateo County and San Francisco; and based upon a 50 per cent valuation, which you stated a few minutes ago seems to be the standard assessment and seems to be satisfactory to all concerned, and, if that is true, that it is now assessed at \$5,570,000, then it would figure around \$12,000,000, or the \$12,500,000 would only be about 5 per cent higher?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: It is not \$12,500,000; it is not double that; you have got around \$11,000,000.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: You have around \$11,000,000; but the point I am bringing forward is that, based upon Supervisor McSheehy's own figures, the valuation of the property according to the Assessor's tabulation and figuring the assessment based on 50 per cent of the value, it would be around \$11,000,000; and we haven't committed ourselves to \$12,500,000, and probably the price could be negotiated for \$11,000,000; but the point I am making, based upon these figures, the properties are worth, at 50 per cent of the assessment, around \$11,000,000 or a little more. That question is very pertinent.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: May I say, in answer to Supervisor Reilly, that I want to justify your figure—Don't take this personally—

SUPERVISOR REILLY: No; these are rough figures.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: If any Member of this Board wants to justify it with those figures, very well; but remember this: this setup of figures—just go over it for one moment, and see where figures differ: \$1,699,000 for electric track and trolley. Would any man on this Board have the temerity to go out and act as appraiser and bring in a figure of that kind when we expect to destroy that track eventually throughout our City? I know you wouldn't do that. Now, to increase that and try to justify yourselves you say, "All right, I will give \$3,400,000." That is what you are going to do if you are right and are trying to justify yourselves on what is the Stafford appraisal. I tried to bring in something on the land values, because land value is something concrete, something we, here on the Board, know something about; and we find that land value is compiled on the entire block, and that is where I used the figure that I used, and—very well, if you want to be justified, but I doubt like thunder if the people of this City will think for one moment of bonding their homes.

Now, Members, I am talking as a Member of the Committee, and the problem is ours; I brought to you several weeks ago—I don't know whether I can convert any Member of this Board or not; but I showed you the Market Street Railroad Company was no longer a solvent company; they are ready to go into the hands of the receiver any day. I have no desire to punish that company; I have no desire to injure them. I have a desire, however, to protect this City and make the best possible bargain that I can make for the City in the purchase of their property. I do know, and I say, though you walked out of the room on me—I do know, if the Mayor would enforce the right of the City with the contract, in the form of the franchise, if



that was lived up to, you would find you would have over a million dollars. I find Howard street worn out and without a franchise; and I find Twentieth avenue without a franchise. One of the Superior Courts said: "Well, this company has been with you a great many years." They cannot continue to be with us many years at the rate they are losing money; and I think we should come to some conclusion in this entire matter. At one time they wanted \$28,000,000; and another time, \$40,000,000; and now they are down to \$12,500,000; and it is all up to this Board; we have to pass judgment in this matter, and our acts are our acts only, and we will be held responsible for them, and Mr. Cahill will not be held responsible for our acts. The Mayor of San Francisco is responsible for his acts. It will be up to the membership of this Board, and you will have to go out and give an accounting for your stewardship, and it might be before some improvement club and they will say, "Well, you asked us to vote a \$12,500,000 bond issue and you really didn't have a figure showing this was the value of these properties, yet you are our representatives." Well, you will see.

THE CHAIRMAN: You have, on many occasions on this Board, called the attention of the Board to the nuisance value of the Market Street Railroad Company. What do you estimate that nuisance value to be when you consider that they have nearly 18 years more of a franchise granted by the people and can stay on our streets and run one car every 24 hours and maintain their rights in that franchise? That certainly is a nuisance to the people. Now, what do you think that is worth?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I will answer that.

THE CHAIRMAN: How much would it be worth to get rid of them?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I will answer that question: \$5,500,000; and, if the Mayor of San Francisco saw that their franchise was lived up to and they were obliged to keep the streets in repair—

THE CHAIRMAN (interrupting): The Mayor has nothing to do with that.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Yes, he has; and you can differ as much as you want.

THE CHAIRMAN: The Mayor has nothing to do with this.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: He has; he is the chief executive of this City—

THE CHAIRMAN (interrupting): Dr. Schmidt has the floor.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I am a member of this Committee, and I have a right—

THE CHAIRMAN (interrupting): It has no nuisance value, then?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I am a Member of this Committee, and I have the floor, and I should be accorded that privilege.

THE CHAIRMAN: I asked you a question, and you answered me.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I rise to a point of order, and my point of order is that the rules say that one member may not talk more than once until all other members have spoken.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: We are meeting in committee.

THE CHAIRMAN: That ought to be the rule: to let other members have the floor.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Supervisor Brown knows Roberts Rules as well as any other man; and if he looks in those rules, he will find that in committee there are no rules expressed as to the time allowed a member of a committee.

THE CHAIRMAN: You are right; but you are wrong in arrogating all the time to yourself.

\* \* \* \* \*

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Members of the Board, I don't desire to get into any acrimonious debate with the Chairman of this Committee, and I will gladly be seated at this time, because I have made my presentation.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: I merely wanted to ask some questions.

Mr. Cahill, on page 2 of the report just sent to us, or communication, you make the statement, "From an investment standpoint, the purchase of the properties of the Market Street Railway Company for \$12,500,000, is not, in my opinion, justified." Have you any idea what those properties are worth?

MR. CAHILL: That, Doctor, goes back to the same question which was asked me before, which I answered by saying "No, I have no idea. I think they are worth less than that." I was not accorded the money to make an evaluation, and I was merely sent out to get the price for this Board, through Mr. Kahn, and I succeeded in doing so after five or six weeks' conversations; but, as to the valuation, I have made none, and had no opportunity. It is my personal belief, as I stated here, that the property is not worth \$12,500,000; they are worth less than that; but, as Supervisor Roncovieri says, this bond issue does not bind this Board to pay \$12,500,000. The point has been made that you may not pay more than \$12,500,000, and you can pay any amount less than that which you may achieve by negotiations.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Have you any idea, as far as a rough estimate goes?

MR. CAHILL: No; I have not.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Well, why do you consider \$12,500,000 too high?

MR. CAHILL: One reason: because the assessment doubled is lower than that; the assessment is around five and a half million, and double that would be around eleven million; that is almost one million dollars above that twelve and a half million dollar figure compiled there; and I, therefore, for that reason alone, consider twelve and one-half million too high. However, it is not the actual value—physical value—of these properties, Doctor, which has to be taken into consideration here. It is to me tremendously much more important—the actual nuisance value of this outfit sitting on the streets for more than 17 years more, having gone through, we will say, the hands of a receiver, continuously refusing service and blocking our streets. We cannot duplicate the service and put four tracks on every street, or put bus lines and tracks on every street; both outfits would go broke with duplication. The nuisance value, Doctor, has a great deal to do with this matter, and that is a matter of judgment; and that judgment, in my opinion, should be exercised not by you gentlemen but by the people of San Francisco.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Suppose the people of San Francisco should not see fit to vote the sum of money, whatever it may be, for the purchase of the Market Street Railroad, then what would your position be at that time?

MR. CAHILL: Doctor, I would always abide by the wishes of the electors. If they refused, I will abide by their judgment and I shall be content, and the Market Street Railway will have to go on until probably in the course of time they go through a receivership, if that happens.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Well, would that stop us, as far as the extension of the Municipal Lines is concerned, or would you see fit at that time, should the people see fit not to vote the purchase of the Market Street Railroad, to not continue with the extensions?

MR. CAHILL: That is our intention at the present time, Doctor.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: That is the intention?

MR. CAHILL: However, that can only be done very slowly, because of the fact we cannot earn enough to go very fast.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Then you think it would be perfectly feasible to vote a bond issue for the extension of our own lines without taking into consideration the purchase of the Market Street Railroad Company at all?

MR. CAHILL: No, because, Doctor, you must realize that they occupy almost all the streets and therefore, without duplication, we



could not occupy them; and I don't know whether we would have the right to occupy them; you would have to ask our City Attorney about that.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: We occupy several streets together now; we occupy Market street and Church street.

MR. CAHILL: Yes, that is true, and I suppose we could go right out and do it; but certainly, as far as I am concerned, I would never advocate the building of four tracks on any street.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: I agree with that.

MR. CAHILL: You have heard the discussions on that.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Suppose the people saw fit to vote a bond issue of \$10,000,000 for the extension of our own service, addition of a certain amount of rail and a certain amount of buses, do you think we could get WPA funds for that sort of a project to the extent of 45 per cent?

MR. CAHILL: Doctor, I think that would be just as logical as any other; but I don't see how you could use that money for extensions, to advantage.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: You couldn't use it?

MR. CAHILL: I don't see how, because you would immediately proceed to duplicate the other system, and both properties would lose money, because both would do less business.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: It seems to me they could be put where there are streets sufficiently removed from Market street and Church street where there would be no duplication, and that this could be done.

MR. CAHILL: There are, however, not very many south of Market street; Market street at the present time runs a surface line, Mission, Howard—

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT (interrupting): We can get them off Howard street any time we want.

MR. CAHILL: That is one thing we can do; but all the way down to Townsend street, the Market Street occupies the streets, and in the other directions: First, Second, Third, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Eighth, and Ninth.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Some of those lines will probably be eliminated, anyway.

MR. CAHILL: That is true, likely, but to run down there now, with those tracks on, they block it; I cannot make that happen now.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Would you tell me why this is being brought in here before the formation of the Traffic Commission? Why not wait with this until the formation of the Traffic Commission?

MR. CAHILL: The answer to that, Doctor, is rather simple. Thirteen months ago, every member of this Board voted unanimously an appropriation of \$10,000 for the purpose of making a survey of what the Public Utilities Commission would have to do with the Market Street Railroad Company if we ever had to take it over. Thirteen months ago, a little over thirteen months ago—but about thirteen months ago, we began work and prosecuted it to a finish two or three weeks ago. About five or six weeks ago, this Board unanimously demanded that the Public Utilities Commission, through me, negotiate with the Market Street Railroad Company for a price on the property and to make a recommendation to you on the subject. The two things go together, and were finished about the same time, and I made the recommendation in accordance with your demand.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: And in this recommendation you are asking us to present to the people a bond issue of \$39,000,000, which it was at first, and now \$24,000,000?

MR. CAHILL: That is right.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Don't you think that should, in justice to the Transit Commission—that this request should be made to that Commission rather than to us?

MR. CAHILL: In the first place, you would lose your PWA grant, in which you seem to be interested, Doctor. In the second place, I

am bringing you a report and recommendation at your request. What you choose to do with it thereafter, of course, is your affair. The Transit Commission of which you speak does not exist and may not ever exist; but, regardless of whether it does or does not, you would certainly lose any United States grant to which you would be entitled and in which you are interested.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: If you should bring in a recommendation, aside from the purchase of the Market Street Railroad properties, of a sufficient number of millions of dollars to give the people service in the outlying parts, we would be eligible for that grant?

MR. CAHILL: We would be eligible; but this matter is not predicated on the grant; it merely states that we are eligible, and leaves the disposition of it in the hands of the Board of Supervisors.

THE CHAIRMAN: I would like to say to the Doctor: There are 256 miles of track; we are tied up. I just asked Mr. Oast.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Mr. Cahill, you just made the statement that this Board, some thirteen months ago, passed a resolution authorizing you to make an evaluation of the Market Street Company's properties. At that time, did you set aside a sum of money?

MR. CAHILL: \$10,000.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: \$10,000.

MR. CAHILL: But not for the purpose of evaluation.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: For what purpose?

MR. CAHILL: For the purpose of making an examination of the physical condition of the road so as to be able to figure out what we would do with it if we got it. That is quite different from making an evaluation.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Did you submit that report to this Board?

MR. CAHILL: Yes, sir; it is right in your hands.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: When did you submit it?

MR. CAHILL: I submitted it August 5th.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: August 5th. Then we paid \$10,000 for the report that you have here of August 5th?

MR. CAHILL: The people paid that.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: The people of San Francisco paid \$10,000 for it?

SUPERVISOR REILLY: At our request.

MR. CAHILL: At your request.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: You have a staff; you have a staff of engineers, and in that report you have no details of the cost of the rails?

MR. CAHILL: That is the thing I told you the money was not appropriated for. This money was appropriated for the purpose of making an engineering examination to determine how much money would have to be spent, should we buy it, to keep the railroad in operation. It did not contemplate and no evaluation of the properties was made; just how much it would cost to fix it.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Just how much it would cost to fix the roads. Have you any figure set up showing how much money it would cost to pave the streets?

MR. CAHILL: It is in your hands.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: It is in this report?

MR. CAHILL: Yes, sir.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Just how much did that call for?

MR. CAHILL: The total cost, \$11,900,000, tracks and equipment.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I am not asking that question. I am asking the question that lies in the franchise; I am asking how much, in this report, you estimate it will cost to pave between the tracks and two feet on each side of the tracks, all of those occupied by the Market Street Railroad Company in San Francisco.

MR. CAHILL: I didn't figure just on repaving. I figured on taking all tracks and repaving, and that came to \$11,900,000.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Then you did not include that pave-



ment it is the duty of the Company to do; but you did include, and you claim this report here has cost the City \$10,000; and, in that report, you particularly touch on Mr. Kahn's letter of \$12,500,000 for his property. All right, Mr. Cahill, I will attend to that report some time in the near future.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: I think this matter will come before the Board after the Committee's report, and we can continue the discussion and answer questions then.

THE CHAIRMAN: I move at this time the adoption of the resolution—

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Just a moment—

SUPERVISOR SHANNON (interrupting): I second that motion.

THE CHAIRMAN: It has been moved and seconded that the resolution be adopted.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I move, as a member of the Committee, an amendment as outlined; I don't need to read it again; I don't want to; I started it—I move the amendment that I read; I move that as an amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN: Will you please insert your amendment in this original where you desire it; write your figures in here?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Why don't you file a minority report?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I will. I will offer, as an amendment, and if it is voted down, then I will move that it be filed as a minority report. We are sitting in Committee, and I am not trying to take your time.

THE CHAIRMAN: Will you kindly give us your amendment?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: All right. Call the roll.

THE CHAIRMAN: Before we call the roll, I have something to say on this matter.

Supervisor McSheehy called attention that the State Board of Equalization assessed the property of the Market Street Railroad Company at \$5,574,050. That was the sum stated. I know it to be correct because I received the same information. Mr. McSheehy says that I am—I understood him to say that he would be willing to offer the Company \$5,574,050, or the assessed valuation. I would like to ask Mr. McSheehy, who is a builder and sells the properties he puts up, if he would be willing to sell those buildings at the assessed price. I will ask any member of this Board; I will ask anybody. Would you sell your property for the assessed valuation? We all know that the Assessor, and it is the same with the State Board of Equalization, fixes the assessment on a 50 per cent basis of the actual cash value. This property, by all reason and logic, must be worth twice the assessed valuation. Now, it is \$5,574,000, and twice that is \$11,140,000; that we must assume is a reasonable cash value of everything that the Company will turn over to the City; but, in this resolution—Rather, before that, Mr. Kahn has, after some discussion over a month or six weeks with Mr. Cahill—Mr. Kahn, I believe, wanted some seventeen million, did he not?

MR. CAHILL: Yes.

THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Cahill finally got Mr. Kahn to say, "Well, I will recommend to my bondholders, my people back East, \$12,500,000." Now that is his asking price, based upon an admitted value of \$11,100,000, admittedly so by the State Board of Equalization assessment. It is not so awful far, \$12,500,000 from \$11,100,000, from what we should pay; and the Finance Committee has been studying this matter and thought that instead of inserting in this proposed bond issue that the people would be asked to pay \$12,500,000, it wrote that "not more than \$12,500,000 shall be used for the purpose of purchasing the properties of the Market Street Railroad Company." "Not more." We have no money in the till, and the only way that we can bargain and get real action is to have the money right on the line and be able to say to Mr. Kahn, when we negotiate further, "We are going to give you \$10,000,000, or some other sum; we have got the money and we are ready to lay it on the line." You can bargain with a man when you

have the money to pay. That is his asking price. We have made no counter offer. Mr. Cahill was not authorized to make any counter offer; he was merely asked to get Mr. Kahn's price. He did: \$12-500,000. Now, it is up to us to make a counter offer. It may be, when we get down, to that point, if the people vote this, that Supervisor McSheehy may obtain the vote of a majority of the Board to offer him \$5,000,000. What he will do then I don't know. I imagine—

SUPERVISOR UHL (interrupting): May I break in there?

THE CHAIRMAN: No. I want to say that that nuisance value for some 17 or 18 years is something hanging over our heads for all time; and the people, admittedly, will have to pay, if you vote this down, 7 cents for 18 years more; and we are proposing here something that will save the people approximately \$4,000,000 a year, collectively, I am going to show it—

SUPERVISOR REILLY: Your ten minutes are up.

THE CHAIRMAN (continuing): The records show that the Market Street Railway carries 200,000,000 passengers a year, and that the Municipal road carries about 90,000,000; it carried 80,000,000 a little while ago, and has improved a little and carries about 90,000,000 now; about one-third of the others. Now, if there are 200,000,000 fares collected at 5 cents, that is \$10,000,000. If, however, 200,000,000 fares are 7 cents, that is 2 cents more—May I have quiet, please, and hold your conversations outside—200,000,000 fares at 2 cents over what is proposed; 2 times 200,000,000 is \$4,000,000. The people of San Francisco collectively are paying and will have to pay for the next 18 years \$4,000,000 a year; if you want that situation, without universal transfers and here we are proposing a universal transfer and a 5-cent fare and which will save \$4,000,000 a year to our people and bring about consolidation and unification. A far better situation than we now have, and which is growing worse every day, and yet there are some who would refuse to vote for this. For example, four members of this Board voted "No"; four are standing up against 325,000 voters that want to vote on this thing.

SUPERVISOR UHL: They elected us.

THE CHAIRMAN: They elected you; I will answer that, to submit to them the major problems—

SUPERVISOR UHL: They did not—

THE CHAIRMAN: —that we cannot decide—

SUPERVISOR UHL: They did not—

THE CHAIRMAN (continuing): —without their approval. Three hundred twenty-five thousand people are calling upon you to give them the right to express themselves; that is what you are elected for, and you are to consider the question of submitting it to the people—

SUPERVISOR UHL (interrupting): When did you get that idea?

THE CHAIRMAN: Oh, stop interrupting me. You are acting like a child. Stop interrupting me; I will give you the floor and you can talk for two hours, if you want.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: Ten-minute rule; ten-minute rule.

THE CHAIRMAN: Well, I say again that there isn't a man here, and least of all a builder like Mr. McSheehy, who would sell his property for the price fixed by the Assessor; that is too ridiculous for words. We want to save for the people this \$4,000,000 a year; and I was about to say, when interrupted, take the individual citizen who uses the cars and goes downtown in the morning and back in the evening—I mean on the Market Street Railroad—one of the 200,000,000; he goes downtown and pays 2 cents more than if the City owned it; and when he comes back, he pays 2 cents more; say he goes down to work 25 times a month, not counting the family and not counting going to the theater at night and not counting others—just counting the 25 times that he uses the cars; that is 4 cents a day, 2 cents down and 2 cents back, or 4 cents; and 25 times 4 cents is \$1 a month, and that is \$12 a year; \$12 a year for every citizen that uses that car. Is that fair?

Doctor, I wish you would listen to this. I am making the point that each individual under the 7 cent fare is taxed \$12 a year; and if you—



I don't mean you individually; but if the Board should refuse to submit this, you are taxing them, every mother's son of them, \$12 a year. I arrive at the figures in this way: I assume that every man uses the car to go down to his place of business; that is 2 cents; and to come back, another 2 cents; which is 4 cents a day; and 25 times 4 cents is \$1 a month; \$1 a month; and in 12 months that is \$12 a year for the next 18 years that everybody has to pay. I say the Committee is not going to quibble over a few nickels, a few cents, or a few millions, for that matter, or take the asking price of Mr. Kahn and say to him that we are ready to buy the road, but we don't propose to pay more than \$12,500,000, leaving the matter for future negotiations with Mr. Kahn; and, with the bond issue passed, I repeat, after we have got the money in the till, you can talk turkey.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: The roll call.

SUPERVISOR UHL: May I ask a question?

THE CHAIRMAN: Proceed.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Now, there is set up in the proposal, itemized account, \$24,480,000—Is that correct?

THE CHAIRMAN: Pardon me, the question?

SUPERVISOR UHL: In this proposal which is submitted to us today there is set up—

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: I rise to a point of order. The question before the Committee is the motion made and seconded for roll call on the resolution; and these matters can be gone through before the Board.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I just wanted to get it out of the way; it will only take a minute. These items as set up total \$24,480,000 which we are to submit to the voters. All right. The price set up for the Road is \$12,500,000, for the purchase—

THE CHAIRMAN (interrupting): No—

SUPERVISOR UHL (continuing):—not more than that; and if the people vote for this, do you think that Mr. Kahn is dumb enough to say "I will take less"? Certainly not.

THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Kahn will have to say—Let me say: We do not bind ourselves in any way to give \$12,500,000 to Mr. Kahn; and Mr. Kahn cannot come back and say "it is in there, we want it."

SUPERVISOR UHL: You are getting fooled and fooling yourself.

THE CHAIRMAN: No. We will go to the people and say, "We will pay no more than that—"

SUPERVISOR SHANNON (interrupting): I will call for the question.

THE CHAIRMAN: When you want to buy something, you have got to have the money to put on the line. Later, when the people know that we don't intend to give \$12,500,000, he will accept what we will give him or he will wait until the cows come home. We will do the best we can with him, but we want to sell the bonds first.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: Question.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Question.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I am standing up here now simply as a Member of the Committee so that before the resolution is finally adopted—I am just trying to preserve my rights.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: You will give notice you will file a minority report. Roll call on the resolution by the Joint Committee.

THE CLERK: Roncovieri?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Aye.

THE CLERK: Shannon?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Aye.

THE CLERK: Reilly?

SUPERVISOR REILLY: Aye.

THE CLERK: Meyer?

SUPERVISOR MEYER: Aye.

THE CLERK: McSheehy?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: No; and I will offer this minority report to the Board.

THE CLERK: Brown?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Aye.

THE CLERK: Five "Ayes," and one "No."

THE CHAIRMAN: Five "Ayes" and one "No," the motion is adopted and recommended to the Board for adoption with a——

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY (interrupting): With a minority report; I wish you would make that statement, by Supervisor McSheehy. I ask that just for record purposes.

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, that will be entered just as you say.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I move that we reconvene as a Board of Supervisors.

SUPERVISOR MEAD: Second the motion.

SUPERVISOR MEYER: Second the motion.

THE CHAIRMAN: If there is no objection, such will be the order.

### BOARD OF SUPERVISORS REASSEMBLES.

At the hour of 3 p. m. the Board of Supervisors reassembled for the purpose of hearing the report of the Joint Committee of Finance and Public Utilities.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present: Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Quorum present.

President Shannon in the Chair.

### Discussion.

THE PRESIDENT: I think Supervisor Roncovieri, as Chairman of the Committee, should make the report of the Joint Committee.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Gentlemen of the Board, the Committee has considered this resolution.—the resolution read and offered by me, and by a vote of five to one it was recommended; it was ordered recommended to the Board of Supervisors for adoption, the one "No" vote reserving the right to amend, that is, Supervisor McSheehy, to file a minority report.

THE PRESIDENT: It might be well, for the benefit of the full membership of the Board that the resolution before the Board be now read. Mr. Clerk.

THE CLERK (reading): "Declaring and determining that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition of the operative properties of the Market Street Railway and the rehabilitation of said properties, all designed to aid in transportation of people within the City and County of San Francisco and that the cost thereof is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income of said City and County.

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared and determined that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition of the operative properties of the Market Street Railway, the rerouting of the lines of said company, the substitution of trolley and gas buses for rail service where coaches would render adequate service more economically, and the replacement of said Market Street Railway cars with modern equipment and the reconditioning of the rails, trolleys, and poles of the said company and the repair of streets within the rails and on both sides of the rails of said company, the establishment of equipping and maintenance shops, the construction of overhead of trolley bus operation when necessary, the reconstruction and construction of new and connecting tracks and general railway headquarters, and that the estimated cost of said improvements is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.



Section 2. That the estimated cost of such improvements as described in Section 1 hereof is the sum of \$24,480,000. Of the said sum of \$24,480,000 not more than \$12,500,000 shall be used for the purpose of purchasing the operative properties of Market Street Railway Company.

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of said sum of \$24,480,000 no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the amount of \$24,480,000."

THE PRESIDENT: That was passed by what vote, Mr. Barry?

THE CLERK: That was recommended to the Board of Supervisors by a vote of five "Ayes" and one "No" of the Joint Committee on Finance and Public Utilities.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I gave notice also that I would file a minority report.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Pardon me, I think it is in order we move the adoption of the report.

THE PRESIDENT: It is not necessary; it is a Committee report and recommended by five votes of the members of the Joint Committee, and it is not necessary; it is just the same as if it was before us on the calendar.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: May I state to you: I am not going to read these figures out; you, of course, every member, was present. It is presented as a minority report, and I simply say this: I offer this as a minority report and submit it to the Clerk and I will ask that it be considered read, as it has already been read.

THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.

#### Explanation of Vote.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I would like to explain my vote on the minority report. The figures quoted by Supervisor McSheehy were, as pointed out by Mr. Reilly, based upon a 50 per cent appraisal, and the figures which he quoted, \$5,500,000, more or less, should really be multiplied by the factor of two to produce the actual appraised valuation on the property.

I make the statement again merely because of the fact that was not brought out before the Board of Supervisors, merely before the committee. I want to make it a matter of record that the Cahill proposal of the Board of Equalization was on a 50 per cent basis.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: I simply am not voting for the minority report because there are some factors in it I am not entirely in accord with.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I want to explain my vote. I am for bus operation, and cannot follow Supervisor McSheehy's figures, and therefore I am voting no.

THE PRESIDENT: Does any member of the Board desire to speak before Supervisor McSheehy closes? Supervisor McSheehy.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: May I answer you, Supervisor Brown, and Supervisor Reilly's objection. First of all, my particular objection to the amount was the amount that I had laid out here for the purchase of the property, the total sum. If—now, just follow, Supervisor Brown and Supervisor Reilly, follow me, if you will. There are 50 parcels of property here in San Francisco, and the Assessor of San Francisco, not the Board of Equalization, Supervisors, I am talking about the Assessor of San Francisco. The Assessor assessed that property at \$851,240. Mr. Cahill made the statement that the property, and I don't want to bring anybody else in, he made the statement, and it was more or less given here by Mr. Ost on memorandum he had there, he made the statement the assessed valuation of the property here in San Francisco, their valuation was \$2,240,000.

MR. CAHILL: No, I did not.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I beg to differ, and I ask to turn back to the record.

MR. CAHILL: No, it was not. \$2,240,000 was the amount the Market Street Railway Company claimed the property was worth before the Railroad Commission of the State of California in a regional hearing.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I can't help the way you couch your statement—

SUPERVISOR MEAD: That is the same statement as before.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: All right, if I am not correct, I—all right, that was the assessment placed by the Railroad Commission. I say that the—at least, not the Railroad Commission, the Board of Equalization did not place this on the property, it was the Assessor of San Francisco. Correct me if I am wrong.

CONTROLLER BOYD: I am very reluctant to take any part in this at all—

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I can stand it.

CONTROLLER BOYD: I would point out that in 1933 following the adoption of the Riley-Stewart Act the State Board of Equalization values all property owned or used by any public utility. All property. The local assessor has no authority, and does not value any property owned by any public utility at all.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: That is correct.

CONTROLLER BOYD: The State Board of Equalization is the sole agency of evaluation of that type of property.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Mr. Boyd, may I say that I was in the Assessor's office this morning. I went over this roll over in the Tax Collector's office. I went over the 50 pieces of property, and this is the amount certified to by the Assessor on his books as appraised to the Market Street Railroad, not later than this morning at 10:00 o'clock.

CONTROLLER BOYD: Supervisor, only that your own records may be clear, those figures must necessarily be of record in the Assessor's office, because the Assessor must have a record of evaluation, but the evaluation on that property is solely the responsibility of the State Board of Equalization. The evaluation staff of the State Board, headed by Mr. A. G. Mott, evaluates all public utility property, and the assessor of the county does not evaluate any of it. The record of evaluation is necessarily available here in San Francisco because those properties pay local taxes just as you pay local taxes on your property. They are subject to local levy, but the fixing of the value on the property is done exclusively by the State Board of Equalization.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: All right, I will also state to the membership of this Board that I then telephoned to Mr. Mott at Sacramento. It took me a little time to get through to Mr. Mott's office, and I received the information I am reporting to you today in reference to this other value, and their value checked with the values the Assessor had, and I received that this morning.

Now, coming back again, I think my position is stronger. You just stated, some members of this Board are trying to justify themselves that the Assessor's value is one-half of the value of the property. That is not the Assessor's value, the value of the State Board of Equalization, and it makes an entirely different picture, and Mr. Kahn again comes along, and, through Mr. Cahill, he states these properties are worth so much. I am talking about land values only. Mr. Cahill states they are worth \$2,240,000, and Mr. Cahill figures on leaving out certain properties which amount to \$660,000. Therefore I take it for granted that Mr. Cahill has placed the difference between \$2,240,000 and \$660,000, which is practically \$1,600,000 in this estimate of \$24,000,000. Now, I say to you, gentlemen of this Board, you have asked me, and I have showed you here, you don't have to show me, every man on this Board, and a number of men on this Board have engaged in the insurance business, and they know values of property. I say to you, just look at the prices set up here on various properties. Why, some of these properties are 60 years old, 50 years old today. If you make an examination of those



properties, you will find, such as stated here, not one-half the value, it comes down to the principal things, electric track and trolley, \$1,690,000, for something that you are actually going to junk, and you want to raise that price twice. Over twice, you want to say to the people of San Francisco that particular property is worth it. You must mortgage your homes, not for \$1,170,000 but for \$3,140,000. Do you think the people are going to stand for that? I don't think so.

Another question: Let the people pass on it. Well, I asked the members of this Board, some of them, to pass on a matter last Wednesday. I offered testimony here, brought it out, and some day you will answer to your Government. Would you let the people pass on it? Oh, no. Oh, no. You might injure a certain large corporation here in San Francisco, and you wouldn't allow them to pass on it. Now, you say, "Pass it up to the dear people. It is up to them." Did you ever hear of the word "check and balance"? That is all we are doing here today, we are checking Mr. Cahill, and we are saying to the people, "These figures are correct." He has had conferences with Mr. Kahn, and Mr. Kahn says so much and so much, and Mr. Cahill has had the matter under advisement for 13 months and spent \$10,000 of the people's money. Here is this report, and I trust the members of the Board will vote for the minority report and let this matter go on its way, because I feel, as one member of the Board, the people will support my minority report and will not support the majority report.

THE PRESIDENT: Call the roll on the amendment by Supervisor McSheehy, Supervisor McSheehy's minority report.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Brown?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Colman? Absent.

Supervisor McSheehy?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Mead?

SUPERVISOR MEAD: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Meyer?

SUPERVISOR MEYER: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Ratto?

SUPERVISOR RATTO: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Reilly?

SUPERVISOR REILLY: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Roncovieri?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Schmidt?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Shannon?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Uhl?

SUPERVISOR UHL: No.

THE CLERK: 1 Aye, 9 Noes, and 1 absent.

Thereupon, Supervisor McSheehy's minority report was declared *defeated*.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: The committee's resolution is now before us?

THE PRESIDENT: It is.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: I would like to explain my vote on the resolution, or vote on the committee's report, and I would like to say, in a very friendly way to you, Supervisor McSheehy, I believe the two plans, the one submitted by you just recently, is in a somewhat different category than the resolution now before us. My reason for so explaining is to bring before the minds of the members of the Board again that the plans are entirely different. This plan of the committee has been recommended by the Utilities Commission and Mr. Cahill, manager of the Utilities Commission, and the plan suggested by Mr. McSheehy some two weeks ago did not have the approval of the Utilities Commission, and did not have the approval of the manager of utilities. I believe that

is quite different, for the simple reason that the Utilities Commission is the body, and Mr. Cahill is the manager of utilities, and we look to him and to that commission for guidance on problems of this kind, and Supervisor McSheehy, I say very respectfully to you I believe the problems are entirely different. The difference, your plan, as I said before, was made up rather hastily and did not have the approval of Mr. Cahill or the Commission, who are responsible for the conduct of our utilities, and they have opposed and do oppose your recommendation at this time. Now, we have before us a plan that has been suggested to this Board by the manager of utilities, who is the man in charge of our transportation utility of the Municipal Railway.

I would like to impress upon the members of this Board again that we are not setting the figure of \$12,500,000 on these properties, it may be quite possible that that figure can be somewhat reduced. As stated here today, if you have the money or cash to bargain with, Mr. Cahill can then, very probably, or we can instruct him so to do, negotiate further for the purchase, and the problem of the purchase price will again come back to this Board. We are not determining the purchase price until a later date, the purchase price will be before this Board again, and it might be possible to get a figure of less than \$12,500,000, but not to exceed the sum mentioned.

I might also remind Mr. McSheehy, if I may, and I do so very respectfully, that some months ago we had before us a subway bond issue, and Mr. McSheehy, as a member of the Board as is his right as a member of this Board vehemently opposed submission of a bond issue relative to the subway at the special election some months ago. However, when it came to the final submission of that issue, after many words had been produced, and I do not differ with the Supervisor, because he has a right to feel differently, the same as I have, or any other member of the Board, but after lengthy discussion at first Supervisor McSheehy felt at that time that it would be rather democratic and the proper thing to do to allow the people to decide, he reserving the right to protest before the voters, he giving them his opinion, if he so desired. But he did feel at that time that submission to the voters was the proper and democratic thing to do, and that he could personally argue the matter before the voters as he saw fit. And, Doctor Schmidt—and I say this very respectfully to you, Doctor, as one who admires your stand before this Board at all times, and I consider that you are one who follows these problems very seriously, and I consider you one of the finest members of the Board—you also felt that when it comes to the final determination of matters concerning the welfare of the people, and you stated at that time, if my memory serves me correctly, that you would reserve the right to present your personal viewpoint as an elected official and advise the people how you felt, and you did so at that time. I believe it is characteristic of your public life to allow the people to decide these issues, and more particularly when such an issue is sponsored by and approved by a commission and the responsible heads of one of our departments which is submitting a plan for the welfare of the people of San Francisco. That stand of yours I have always admired, and I trust and hope that stand will still characterize your public life, while you still reserve the right to advise the voters as to your personal viewpoint, but that you will allow them to decide this issue. Let the people of San Francisco speak on the investment and how they feel about this plan. I believe that the people of San Francisco are tired of a seven cent fare, and I believe they should be. I believe the people of San Francisco would like to return to the universal transfer and to a five cent fare, and I believe that if a plan can be submitted to them which is sound, practical and feasible, and having the approval of the representatives, the heads of our departments, and the manager of our utilities, to return to us the five cent fare, they will vote for it; and I believe that we should allow the people to decide this issue. I believe it is the democratic thing to do, and the sound thing to do at this time. I brought out the fact that the commission will not be created for some time, and I brought



out the fact that the transit commission cannot officially function until after the legislature gives its approval, which means months of delay, and when we may lose the possibility of obtaining a grant from the Federal Government which will be most important now to carry out this project, should the people adopt this plan. I believe further, members of the Board—we have heard so much about the plan here today, by those opposed and those in favor, and before the committee, it is unnecessary for me to repeat further, but I do trust, members of the Board, that those who may be opposed, I cannot understand their refusal to submit it to the people, and I emphasize this fact especially for the benefit of Doctor Schmidt and Mr. McSheehy, when the Utilities Commission and our manager of utilities gives us a plan which he feels is sound, after thorough investigation. I trust, Doctor Schmidt, you will give that thought your further consideration, and allow your public career here to be characterized again by letting the people of San Francisco decide, and reserving your right to inform them on your personal viewpoint on this matter.

**SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT:** I fully appreciate the remarks made by Supervisor Reilly when he says that I have always consistently done the democratic thing on this board as regards presenting things to the people for their decision whenever the opportunity should present itself. I don't think that I am reversing myself when I say that in this instance the picture is entirely different, for the reason that a short time ago this Board went on record favoring the submission to the people of a Charter amendment which would set up a Traffic Commission. This proposition that is before us today is absolutely contrary to the spirit of the submission of that particular Charter amendment. Now there is no argument about that at all. Now that is the stand I take, if I was to do otherwise I would be nullifying the other, if we were to pass this today we would be nullifying our position of just a few weeks ago so I am not reversing myself.

I can not understand the feverish rush to try to get this before the people. If it is a matter of a PWA grant, a matter of getting that money, then we can present to the people a bond issue of 20 million or 24 million to expend and rehabilitate our own road, and to give bus transportation into the outer parts of our city. Just imagine the wonderful amount of service we could give to the people if we were to give them 24 million dollars' worth of equipment and supplies. Why, there is no argument about that, in my opinion, although the last report of Mr. Cahill, which was given to me today, and I haven't had a chance to properly digest it, and I am speaking in general facts and figures and not trying to get down to the nickel, but I don't think that could be answered, that if we were to get 24 million dollars and say to the people that we will invest it in new equipment, buses, and so on, and there are plenty of streets and plenty of districts in our city which are not being served at all. I say, fine, let's present that to the people, and then we would also get a 45 per cent grant from the PWA. Now that is a sound business proposition, to my way of looking at it, and I am not going to be rushed into this thing on statements of that kind, not for one moment. Now then, as long as we have presented to the people, as I say, or are going to present to the people a charter amendment whereby a traffic commission to have charge solely of proper consideration of the entire traffic set up and transportation of our City, why should we interfere with that? That is the criticism raised at the time we voted on it. Why, you are trying now to interfere with a non-political body that will solve this problem if you will take it right to the people to vote on the creation of a traffic commission. Now you are reversing that stand, and you are trying to bring the traffic problem right back into the realm of politics, right after a few weeks ago we said, "Throw it out of the Board of Supervisors and give it to the people, where it belongs," and that is exactly where it belongs.

Now, then, the price of \$12,500,000 is the most ridiculous thing I ever heard of. I have, on pretty good authority, given to me by a friend of

mine, I didn't get it myself, but one in whom I have confidence in one of the investment houses here in the City, and I have taken his word for it. I haven't had a chance to check up on it, but I was told that you can buy all of the securities—and this is what I want the people of San Francisco to know—you can buy, according to this statement, which I should like to have checked up, because I was just able to get it here this afternoon—you can buy all of the securities of the Market Street Railroad, lock, stock and barrel, bonds, preferred stock and common stock, for \$6,273,000. Why not vote a bond issue of \$6,273,000 and go out in the open market and buy the whole kit and kaboodle? That is something we ought to do right now, apparently, because the securities are in the market and there is a possibility of doing it today. Why, the whole thing will only cost \$6,273,000. That is what you can get their entire investment for, and that also includes in that entire investment, my fellow members of the Board, this \$600,000 worth of nonoperative property. What a nice nest egg you would get. In the purchase of the old Spring Valley properties, that was held up until such time as the nonoperative properties were taken out and the people of San Francisco were hoodwinked to the extent that they would not be included in the purchase price, and that lost to us all of that nonoperative property, so that this is a question of gaining over half a million dollars, \$600,000, if you go out in the open market and buy these securities.

Now there is something wrong with the figures, the assessment valuation to the contrary notwithstanding, that is the valuation they place upon it, I am given to understand by investment houses. If I had, in other words, \$6,273,000, I could buy the whole Market Street Railroad, lock, stock and barrel. Now I am not going to be a party to handing the people of San Francisco the biggest, juiciest, and sourest lemon they have ever had handed to them. I am going to vote "No."

THE CHAIRMAN: Supervisor Brown.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I think it would be well to deal with that last statement of the Doctor's before I go on with my own views. That is, the discussion by the Doctor of the purchase of the entire capital of the Market Street road for six million two hundred thousand odd dollars. That is, to put it mildly, the wrong theory. Undoubtedly the terms on which he based that are the present listed prices of the securities on the Exchanges, but if anyone attempted to get control of all of the securities of the Market Street Railroad they would immediately find when they went out into the market, as is always the case in such transactions, that the price would immediately advance as the demand became apparent. While that is no doubt the present market value of the outstanding bonds, common stock and so on, it would undoubtedly produce that result when it came to attempting to get 100 per cent of that stock. There is no doubt of that in my mind, nor do I think there is any doubt that the people holding the stock would immediately put the price up so that the result would be approximately the same as if the properties were purchased.

Now I have heard a lot of discussion here today on the subject of purchasing the Market Street Railroad and the price to be paid for it. In the first place, this resolution before us doesn't fix the price, it fixes a limit, and the price still remains a matter of negotiation. In the second place, I think we must consider not the price we will pay for the railroad, but the price the City and County of San Francisco will pay if they do not buy the Market Street Railroad. On February the 1st of next year, more or less, but approximately that date,—I think that is fairly accurate,—the Bay Bridge rail service will start. At that time, according to the present estimates, it will be possible to commute to San Francisco, that is, to the terminal, from many parts of Berkeley, Oakland, Piedmont, and so on in about 20 minutes, and it will be possible to ride all of that distance on one car, without changing from train to boat or boat to train, and it will be possible to make that trip for a monthly commute rate which, I am advised, will be the same in the future as it is now; that is, \$6.60. Approximately 10 cents a day each



way. Now when you oppose against that the conditions which now confront some 65 to 70 per cent of the street car riding public of San Francisco, you will find that it is costing them 7 cents each way, or 14 cents a day, which will run something over four dollars a month, and from the standpoint of speed and comfort there is no comparison whatever.

I am told that it is the intention of the trans-bay lines to operate their trains on a headway that will give everybody a seat, even at peak hours, and I don't think that any of the street car users living out in the Richmond district, or in the Sunset, or in any of the other outlying districts can ever hope to get down town, under present conditions, in anything approximating 20 minutes. I think the City must consider these facts, because it means, in words of one figure, that unless we are able to give the street car users of San Francisco approximately the same comfort, approximately the same speed, and at a reasonable cost, the same service that will shortly be available to the East Bay communities, you will find a depreciation in the number of San Francisco residents, an exodus of the San Francisco population, and there will be a consequent reduction in the sale of all kinds of produce, a consequent reduction in home building and home selling in San Francisco, and a consequent reduction in the tax roll, and a practical discontinuance of increase in San Francisco from a residential standpoint.

Now I think anyone who considers the matter fairly and squarely must agree that with the comparison I have given you there will be a very definite trend towards residents in the East Bay. So to my mind it is not so much a question of what the cost of the Market Street Railroad will be, it is more a question of what the cost will be should we not buy it.

I heard some talk here about the traffic commission. I was very much in favor of the traffic commission, and I was the one who introduced the original resolution in the Board for the appointment of the committee out of which the traffic commission came. I don't see anything inconsistent in the proposal here today, and the proposal for the traffic commission, which, today, is still in a very highly nebulous stage. At the present time it exists only as one of the propositions to appear on the ballot in November. We have no assurance that the people will vote for the traffic commission. In addition to that it must then be passed by the legislature. So that if the people do vote for it then it must go to Sacramento and be approved. And I am told that the earliest possible date will be March of next year. This situation is here right now, ask anyone riding our street cars. We are told that the Municipal road is operating all of the cars that they have, and operating to capacity, and that they can not solve the situation by increasing the number of cars on the Municipal tracks, because that would only cause added congestion. It seems to me that the only solution is a merger of the two transportation systems of the City. Now I don't think there is any possibility of interesting private capital in attempting such a merger, if the City were willing to sell out,—which I don't believe it is,—so I feel that the only alternative then is the purchase by the City of the private line.

Briefly reiterating, we are not asked to set the price at which the purchase will be made; we are asked to submit to the people the proposition of the purchase of the Market Street Railroad at a cost not to exceed \$12,500,000.

I saw in tonight's Daily News a statement, in the column by Arthur Caylor, to the effect the figure he had obtained from the Market Street Railroad Company's subordinate employees varied greatly as to the cost of rehabilitation from those submitted here, and indicated the Market Street Railroad, were they to rehabilitate their own lines, would not spend more than three or four million dollars to produce the results sought to be produced under this report. That is a matter of argument between the Market Street employees and Mr. Cahill. But I do think that very satisfactory results can probably be achieved for all time by the expenditure of this \$24,000,000 issue Mr. Cahill has placed before

us, so I am in favor of placing this matter before the people, because I think the people should be given a chance to indicate by their vote whether they feel the purchase of the Market Street Railroad is a forward step in transportation here in San Francisco, and I don't see any inconsistency in submitting that proposal to the people prior to the traffic commission being created, so I am going to vote in favor of submitting.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I am not going to take up a great deal of time on this matter, because I am satisfied that your minds are all made up, but for the record, I do wish to make the following statement:

I want to give credit to the Market Street Railroad for having had visions in the adoption of bus transportation on the Eighteenth street line, which is in operation, and that they had contemplated, and it was only because of the financial situation that they have not been able to carry through bus transportation on another main line, Guerrero. I understood a few months ago they were ready to go, but undoubtedly their finances have become so difficult they have not been able to consummate the matter, or so I was informed, and I presume possibly based on operations elsewhere. So much for that.

I should like to ask Mr. Cahill, heretofore you have stated, in effect, "I wouldn't take the properties of the Market Street Railway for nothing." Do you still feel you would not take the properties of the Market Street Railroad for nothing?

MR. CAHILL: I personally wouldn't have them as a gift.

SUPERVISOR UHL: You wouldn't personally——

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Do I understand that the record shows that you would not take them as a gift?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Personally.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Personally.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: You stated you did not place a value on all their properties, now merely for the record you can state how much you would value the rails of the Market Street Railway Company for on the evaluation.

MR. CAHILL: Not only did I say I did not make any evaluation on the rails, I made no evaluation on any property.

SUPERVISOR UHL: On the street cars or any part of it at all. Now, then, I want to give my reasons for voting "No":

Number 1, Mr. Cahill states, "I feel certain that if the voters approve this bond issue, it will be necessary at an early date to request the voting of additional bond funds to complete the program heretofore outlined." Namely, the total bond issue of \$39,000,000 as proposed in Mr. Cahill's letter to the Utilities Commission of August 5, 1938.

Number 2, that the proposal before the Board is to reconstruct the tracks and purchase 450 cars involving a total of \$26,500,000, as covered in Mr. Cahill's letter of August 5, 1938.

Number 3, that Mr. Cahill states. "From an investment standpoint the purchase of the properties of the Market Street Railway Company for \$12,500,000 is not, in my opinion, justified."

Number 4, that Mr. Cahill states that he wouldn't take the properties at any price, as a gift.

Number 5, Mr. Cahill states that 3 per cent of the proposed bond issue of \$24,478,000 will be, for the first three years, \$764,000 in taxes, and for the fourth year, \$1,464,000 in taxes.

Number 6, that if I were to vote to place this proposal on the ballot, I feel it would be a commitment, which I will not be quoted as favoring.

For these reasons I am voting "No" on the proposal.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Ratto, will you kindly take the chair.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I ask that my statement be made a part of the record.

THE CHAIRMAN: (Supervisor Ratto) Such will be the order. (Gavel.)

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Members of the Board, I desire to address



myself particularly to Doctor Schmidt, who, first of all, said that he didn't want to be rushed into this matter. Well, none of us like to be rushed, and I feel that if the Doctor was given a little more time and would listen attentively to what I say, he might change his attitude, because I feel that no member of this Board takes his work more seriously than the Doctor. I have watched Doctor Schmidt during his term of office here, and during his first term he was very quiet, he said very little, but you will note that when the Doctor does take the floor he gives us something to think about, and he digests matters in his own way.

Now, the reason I have taken the floor is that I feel the Doctor's premise is wrong. You base your premise, Doctor, primarily on the fact that we have just voted to submit to the voters a charter amendment for the creation of the transportation committee or commission. That is true, but in my travels around town I find some opposition to that, due to the fact it is going to raise the taxation, and for the further reason it is extending the life of this committee for three years. But in the event that it did carry, Doctor, the transportation committee would be the negotiating committee that would negotiate with the Market Street Railroad for the purchase of these properties.

And please don't be misled by any statement that has been made here today that \$12,500,000 is the definite amount that is to be paid the Market Street Railway Company. That figure is entirely, as Mr. Cahill stated, entirely up to this Board. Mr. Cahill has stated that he has made no evaluation of the property, and when the time comes that the transportation committee, if the charter amendment carries, should negotiate with the Market Street Railroad Company, they are in a position to say, "Mr. Kahn, we have so much money, but we want to know just exactly how you arrive at this \$12,500,000," and if he don't do this, possibly we will adopt the plan suggested by you, which could be utilized, and utilize the money above the \$12,500,000 for the purchase of buses to run in opposition.

Now, as far as the evaluation of the property is concerned if you are going to use the figures set up by the State Board of Equalization as the value of worth, you should also consider the worth of another agency of the State, the State Railroad Commission. They approved an evaluation of \$24,000,000, the Railroad Commission of the State of California, when the Market Street Railroad wanted to get a seven-cent fare, they approved an evaluation of \$24,000,000, so between the 5,500,000 and the 12,500,000, and the 24,000,000, we have some leeway to negotiate, and I feel that if the people of San Francisco were given the opportunity to consider this matter, and that we are liable to have this nuisance for years to come, because there is no value placed on the franchise, we are liable to have a condition here that is unbearable.

The seven cent fare is unpopular, but I would have much preferred to see the \$20,000,000 bond issue submitted to the people. I am rather inclined to think that they would have voted a \$20,000,000 bond issue, and I think if it is properly presented, if the newspapers of San Francisco would be united on the matter, that it might go through at \$24,000,000.

Mr. O'Toole, might I ask you when the deadline is on presenting this matter?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: We have been figuring today was the dead line, Supervisor, for the reason we had intended all the ordinances submitting these matters to the people and calling the special election would be voted on on Monday. However, the absolute deadline is Thursday. We went by the ordinary meetings of the Board, not knowing the pleasure of the Board, but discussing the matter today with Orrick and Huntington, and figuring out the absolute deadline, there could be a meeting as late as Thursday.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Of next week?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Of the coming week, on which the matter might be considered. I think possibly your resolution of necessity would have to be passed before that, because, discussing the

matter with Major Collins, he has certain things he wants to do. Now, you have to pass your ordinance submitting it to the people so that it will become final by the 1st of September, and, as far as we can figure today, the deadline would be Thursday. Now, Mr. Orrick ruled today—not on this matter, but on all bond issues, and more particularly in connection with agricultural district 1-A, which was rather a vexing problem—we came to the conclusion that if you pass the ordinance calling for the election not later than Thursday of next week, we would be in the clear, that would make it effective on the 1st of September. Major Collins has to have all matters ready to submit to the people 25 days prior to the election, so the matter could be handled Monday, and it could be handled any day up to Wednesday.

Mr. Orrick has ruled that an adjourned meeting is a subsequent meeting, and now the State law provides that the resolution of necessity must be passed at a subsequent meeting, or passed and then become final at a subsequent meeting, the ordinance calling the election and submitting the matter to the people must be, so if we could pass it by Thursday of next week, we would have the matter cleared by the 1st of September, which is the absolute deadline. I am talking now about only this particular issue; as far as agricultural district 1-A is concerned, we may have to send it on its way on Monday, if you desire to do so.

**SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT:** The deadline on this is the 1st of September.

**CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE:** All ordinances must be finished and finally passed by that time. If I could figure up, Thursday will be the 18th, and now there must be 10 days between the first and second meetings, so if it is passed on the 18th, you could have the final passage on the 29th, unless you wanted to have a further meeting on the 30th or 31st. There wouldn't be much gained by postponing the matter, and we could give this matter consideration Monday, or we could meet Tuesday, or meet Wednesday, and we might then have an adjourned meeting, if the matter received favorable consideration by this Board, and then offer the ordinance submitting the matter to the people and calling the election on the 18th. Now that is the absolute deadline, because the registrar must have his ballots finished by the 6th, and he has to have time to prepare them, and you can't go much beyond the 1st, that is what I meant, that the proceedings, as far as this Board are concerned, must be finished by the first week in September.

**SUPERVISOR SHANNON:** In view of the statement of our City Attorney, and especially to give the members of the Board further opportunity to consider the matter, and particularly to digest the statement I have just made, I will move that further consideration of this matter be continued until Monday.

**SUPERVISOR BROWN:** I should like to ask the indulgence of the members of the Board. I came here today from a camping trip in the high Sierras, and my wife and two youngsters are still up there. We had made plans to pack out on Monday, and I can be here Monday evening, but I can't be here Monday afternoon. I wonder if the Board would agree to make this matter a special order for 8:00 o'clock on Monday evening, at which time I can be here.

**SUPERVISOR SHANNON:** I will make my motion to call for consideration of this matter on Monday evening at 8:30 o'clock, and that it be made a special order of business.

**THE CHAIRMAN:** No objection? OK. (Gavel.)

**SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY:** I object.

**SUPERVISOR UHL:** Didn't I have the floor?

**THE CHAIRMAN:** The motion before the Board was that we consider the matter next Monday evening.



SUPERVISOR UHL: I can see where it might have been difficult for the chairman to have noticed Supervisor McSheehy, and I move that the action of the chair be rescinded so that Supervisor McSheehy may have an opportunity to be heard. In other words, the chairman rapped the gavel on the matter, and I want to be fair. I move to rescind.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: All right, second the motion.

THE CHAIRMAN: If there is no objection, such will be the order. I didn't see Supervisor McSheehy.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: So that Supervisor McSheehy may have an opportunity to present his objections.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Now, just a minute. On the subject before us I want to ask a question on the original motion through the chair of our City Attorney.

Mr. City Attorney, this matter we have before us today, recommended by the Utilities Commission, as set forth on page two of the letter which is a part of the submission from the Utilities Commission, letter from Mr. Cahill on page two, it states: "Instead, I have given careful consideration to the minimum amount of money," and so forth.

"This minimum figure is \$24,478,000, which it is contemplated will be spent as follows:

Purchase of Market Street Railway, \$12,500,000." Now, if the people were to vote for that bond issue, doesn't that bind——

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: No, nothing more than with the School Department, the Recreation Department or Health Department when they come in and state they want a million, two million, five million, and wanted it for this, or that purpose. Now, we have always avoided itemization of bond issues, because the Board of Supervisors always retains the power of appropriation, and if Mr. Cahill came in and said, "I want \$10,000,000 or \$11,000,000 to buy the Market Street Railroad Company," he would have to get your approval, and if he said also: "I want \$1,000,000 to buy new cars," he would have to come and get your approval. You can't tell him to do something else with it, but you can withhold your approval of your appropriation.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I have no desire to stop Supervisor Brown from voting, but I must be consistent, and I am opposed to this issue. I will enter no argument, but I am rather surprised the City Attorney places a rather broad interpretation——

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Just a minute. I haven't——

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I have the floor.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: You have your full privilege here, I have not.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I have the floor. I am rather surprised the City Attorney places this very broad interpretation on a bond issue, and citing conditions in reference to schools, sewers, and so forth. I have been on this Board a long time, bond issue after bond issue, and I will state to the members of this Board, I don't care who places an interpretation, but if the interpretation placed on a bond issue for \$24,000,000 is for the purchase of certain property, I think we all know enough to know that is the amount of money that will be spent.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: That is up to you.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: It is trying to ease over a condition that exists today in reference to this particular matter. Now, I won't

go into an argument entirely, I simply say to the membership of this Board I will vote no against postponement, because I am unalterably opposed to the recommendation. I have several matters here I might have argued, I know the entire matter, the entire matter now is on postponement, and I simply say to call the roll, and I shall vote "No." I am voting "No" in no way disrespectfully to Supervisor Brown, if the Board sees fit to carry the matter up to 8:30 so that the Supervisor can be here, I daresay he will be here at that time, and I will be, and I have no desire to deprive you of your vacation at this time.

#### Action Deferred.

THE CHAIRMAN: The question is on postponement of consideration of this matter until 8:30 o'clock on Monday evening, August 15th, as a special order of business.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I would like to add to that under call of the Board.

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, under call of the Board. Call the roll.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Brown?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Colman? Absent.

THE CLERK: Supervisor McSheehy?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Mead?

SUPERVISOR MEAD: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Meyer?

SUPERVISOR MEYER: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Ratto?

SUPERVISOR RATTO: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Reilly?

SUPERVISOR REILLY: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Roncovieri?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Schmidt?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Shannon?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Uhl?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Aye.

THE CLERK: 8 Ayes, 2 Noes.

THE CHAIRMAN: The meeting stands adjourned.

#### ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors, at the hour of 6:20 p. m., adjourned.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.



## MONDAY, AUGUST 15, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, August 15, 1938,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Ratto presiding in absence of President Shannon.

President Shannon was noted present at 2:30 p. m. and assumed the chair.

Supervisor Roncovieri was noted present at 2:40.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

## Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

**Amending Section 16, Annual Salary Ordinance, Recreation Department—Increasing Employment.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 1642, Ordinance No. 9.053138, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 16 of Ordinance 9.053128 by increasing the number of employments under Item 18 from 4 to 8 J72 Playground Caretaker at \$145; by increasing the number of employments under Item 20 from 3 to 4 O58 Gardener at \$135; by increasing the number of employments under Item 26 from 14 to 16 R56 Playground Director (part time) at \$75; by increasing the number of employments under Item 32 from 6 to 9 R56 Playground Director at \$145; and by adding Item 33 7 R56 Playground Director (part time) at \$65.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 16 of Ordinance 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

## Section 16. RECREATION DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	2	A154	Carpenter at \$9 per day .....	
2	1	A158	Sub-Foreman Carpenter at \$10 per day.	
3	1	A354	Painter at \$9 per day.....	
4	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	\$ 175
5	1	B185	Business Manager, Recreation Dept....	300
6	2	B222	General Clerk .....	150
7	2	B222	General Clerk .....	175
9	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
10	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	165
11	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
12	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	150
13	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	235
14	1	F304	Supervisor of Playground Construction and Maintenance .....	350
15	10	J4	Laborer .....	150
16	1	J12	Labor Foreman .....	175
17	45	J72	Playground Caretaker .....	155

## Section 16. RECREATION DEPARTMENT—Continued.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
18	8	J72	Playground Caretaker .....	145
19	3	O1	Chauffeur .....	160
20	4	O58	Gardener .....	135
20½	1	O58	Gardener .....	145
21	4	O58	Gardener .....	155
22	1	O62	Superintendent of Grounds, Recreation Department .....	200
23	1	R2	Secretary and Supervisor of Spec. Activities .....	250
24	1	R3	Assistant Superintendent, Rec. Dept....	260
25	1	R4	Superintendent, Rec. Dept.....	400
26	16	R56	Playground Director (part time).....	75
28	1	R56	Playground Director .....	185
29	3	R56	Playground Director .....	175
30	18	R56	Playground Director .....	160
31	22	R56	Playground Director .....	155
32	9	R56	Playground Director .....	145
33	7	R56	Playground Director (part time).....	65
34		R56	Playground Director, 65c per hour....	
35	1	R105	Supervisor of Athletics .....	185
36	1	R106	Supervisor of Dramatics .....	225
37	1	R107	Supervisor of Women's Activities.....	195
39	1	R108	Supervisor of Music .....	210
40	2	R112	Matron, Swimming Pool—7 mo.....	110
41	1	R114	Swimming Instructor—7 mo. ....	145
42	1	R114	Swimming Instructor—7 mo. ....	190
43		R114	Swimming Instructor—5 mo. (same as Item 42) .....	155
44	1	R114	Swimming Instructor—7 mo. ....	145
45	1	R116	Supervisor of Swimming .....	195
46			Pianist (as needed).....per call \$2.50	
46a		R112	Matron, Swimming Pool, 65c per hour..	
46b		R114	Swimming Instructor, 65c per hour....	

## CAMP MATHER SEASONAL EMPLOYMENT, AS NEEDED

—All maintenance provided shall be charged for and deduction made from salaries in accordance with schedule of deductions fixed in Section 2 of this ordinance specified herein.

47		A154	Carpenters at \$9 per day .....	
50	1	B4	Bookkeeper (less R.) .....	139
51		B4	Bookkeeper .....	125
54		I6	Pastry Cook (deduct R. & L.) .....	137.50
55		I12	Cook (deduct R. & L.) .....	162.50
56		I16	Chef (deduct R. & L.) .....	210.50
58		L352	Interne (less B., R. & L.) .....	102.50
59	1	O1	Chauffeur (deduct R.) .....	185
61			Team Hire for Camp, at rates specified in Purchasers' Contract .....	
64	1	R56	Playground Director (less B., R. & L.) (part time) .....	110
65		R101	Camp Assistant (part time), less than \$80	
66	1	R102	Camp Manager (8½ mos. at \$225; 3½ mos. at \$260 less B. R. & L.) .....	

Finally passed by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly, Roncovieri—4.



**Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Section 54, Central Office,  
Department of Public Health—Reclassification of Positions.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1644, Ordinance No. 9.053140, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 54 of Ordinance 9.053128 by changing the number of employments under Item 8 from 3 to 2 B408 General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at \$79.50, and by adding Item 8½, 1—B210 Office Assistant at \$79.50.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 54 of Ordinance 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 54. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE  
ADMINISTRATION**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	\$ 170
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
3	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	215
4	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
5	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	135
6	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	160
7	1	C52	Elevator Operator .....	155
8	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time)	79.50
8½	1	B210	Office Assistant .....	79.50
9	1	L1½	Assistant Director of Public Health....	375
10	1	L18	Director of Public Health.....	833.33

**ACCOUNTING**

11	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	190
12	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	175
13	2	B4	Bookkeeper .....	150
14	1	B14	Senior Accountant .....	400
15	2	B222	General Clerk .....	190
16	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	170

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly, Roncovieri—4.

**An Ordinance To Regulate the Use of Electrical Devices, Appliances,  
Equipment or Apparatus Which Interfere With the Reception  
of Radio Signals, and Providing Penalties For the Violation  
Thereof.**

(Code No. 11.11)

The following recommendations of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

Bill No. 1585, Ordinance No. 11.117, as follows:

An ordinance to regulate the use of electrical devices, appliances, equipment or apparatus which interfere with the reception of radio signals, and providing penalties for the violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person to operate or maintain, or to cause or permit to be operated or maintained within the City and County of San Francisco, any electrical device, appliance or equipment which causes, generates or produces high frequency electrical oscilla-

tions, or other electrical disturbances which interfere with the reception of radio signals.

Section 2. The word "person" as used herein shall mean any person, firm, corporation, association or individual.

Section 3. The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply:

a. To any radio transmitting station licensed by the Government of the United States.

b. To public utilities, lines and equipment owned and/or operated by them in and for general public service.

c. To lines and equipment owned and/or operated by the Department of Electricity of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 4. The Department of Electricity of the City and County of San Francisco, hereinafter called "The Department" is hereby empowered to enforce the provisions of this ordinance. It shall be the duty of the Chief of the Department, as soon as practical after the effective date of this ordinance, to adopt rules and regulations to carry out and enforce the purposes and intent of this ordinance and by said rules to provide the manner for the inspection, examination and abatement of any electrical device, appliance or equipment mentioned in Section 1 of this ordinance when the same interferes with the reception of radio signals; which said rules and regulations shall be in furtherance of the provisions of this ordinance and not in conflict therewith. Before adopting said rules and regulations the Chief of the Department shall hold a hearing or hearings as to the kind and character of the rules to be adopted and notice of the time and place of said hearing shall be given by one publication of the said notice in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco, and any person interested shall be given the opportunity to be heard at said meeting. The Chief of the Department shall have power to continue any such hearing from time to time without further notice, save and except the announcement of said continuance at said hearing. Upon the adoption of said rules a copy thereof shall remain on file in the said Department and shall be effective within five (5) days after the date of the filing thereof.

Section 5. When any electrical device, appliance or equipment which causes, generates or produces high frequency electrical oscillations or other electrical disturbances which interfere with the reception of radio signals is found to exist, the Department shall give notice, in writing, to the person owning, operating or maintaining said device, appliance or equipment, notifying the said person owning, operating or maintaining said device, appliance or equipment to remedy and eliminate the cause of said interference, and should the said person fail to discontinue the operation of said device, appliance or equipment at the expiration of five (5) days he shall be guilty of a misdemeanor. When any person owning, operating or maintaining any such device, appliance or equipment shall fail to remedy such defect or cause of said interference within a period of five (5) days after the giving of said notice, the Department may take the necessary steps to eliminate said interfering conditions.

In cases of interference to police and fire department radio equipment, the Department may require the immediate discontinuance of the operation of the device, appliance or equipment causing such interference and any person thereafter operating or maintaining, or permitting the operation or maintenance of, said interfering device, appliance or equipment without the permission of the Chief of the Department shall be guilty of a misdemeanor. Whenever the Department shall have reason to believe that any electrical device, appliance or equipment is causing interference with the reception of radio signals, the Chief of the Department or his subordinates shall have the right to enter upon the premises wherein such device, appliance or equipment is operated or maintained for the purpose of inspecting the same, as well as for the purpose of abating or discontinuing the operation of such device, appliance or equipment.

Section 6. The Department shall have the power and authority to



discontinue electrical power and lighting service to any electrical device, appliance or equipment which causes, generates or produces high frequency electrical oscillations, or other electrical disturbances which interfere with reception of radio signals.

Section 7. Any person operating or maintaining, or causing or permitting to be operated or maintained any interfering device, appliance or equipment contrary to the provisions of this ordinance, as well as any person who interferes with the inspection by the Department of any such device, appliance or equipment shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine not to exceed two hundred fifty (\$250) dollars, or imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment, and each day during which any such interfering device, appliance or equipment is operated or maintained shall constitute a separate offense.

Section 8. Any notice to be given by the Department or any other official of the City and County of San Francisco to enforce the provisions of this ordinance may be given by notice in writing delivered personally to the owner, operator or person in charge of any electrical device, appliance or equipment which causes, or may cause, interference with the reception of radio signals; or said notice may be posted in a conspicuous place upon the building in which said electrical device, appliance or equipment is housed or maintained; or notice may be mailed to the owner of said premises or to the person in charge thereof or operating or maintaining said device, appliance or equipment, said mail to be sent by registered United States mail with proper postage prepaid thereon; and said notice shall be deemed to be complete when posted on said premises or deposited in the United States Post Office in San Francisco with the proper postage prepaid thereon.

Section 9. All ordinances or parts of ordinances in so far as they conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly, Roncovieri—4.

### **Prohibiting Smoking on Any Wharf, Pier, etc., Within City Limits.**

(Code No. 11.05)

Also, Bill No. 1620, Ordinance No. 11.059, as follows:

Prohibiting the smoking of any cigar, pipe or cigarette, or the burning of tobacco or the burning of an open flame or electric arc, by any person upon any wharf, pier, quay or bulkhead or within any hatch, hold or space wherein any cargo of any character is kept or stored on or within any ship or vessel anchored or berthed within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, and providing for penalties for the violation of this ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person to smoke any cigar, pipe or cigarette, or to burn tobacco in any manner whatsoever, or to use an open flame of any character or an electric arc, excepting only when said open flame or electric arc is necessarily employed in the making of repairs, alterations or structural changes, on or upon any wharf, pier, quay or bulkhead or within any hatch, hold or other space wherein cargo of any character is kept or stored on or within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. The Chief Engineer of the Fire Department, in conjunction with the Chief of the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety, is hereby authorized and given full power and authority to make all necessary rules and regulations providing for the use of any open flame or electric arc when the same are used in the making of repairs, alterations, or structural changes on any wharf, pier, quay or bulkhead or

within any hatch, hold or other space wherein cargo is kept or stored on or within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 3. Any person who shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance, or of any rule or regulation made by the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department or by the Chief of the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety under authority hereof, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not to exceed five hundred dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 4. Ordinance No. 831 prohibiting smoking of any cigar, pipe or cigarette or the burning of tobacco on any wooden wharf, pier, quay or bulkhead in the City and County of San Francisco, which ordinance was approved on July 21, 1909, is hereby repealed.

Section 5. The Municipal Recreation Concrete Pier at the Aquatic Park shall be exempt from the provisions of this ordinance, except those provisions contained in Section 2.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly, Roncovieri—4.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

#### **Authorizing Supplemental Appropriation of \$102.26 Out of Funds of San Francisco Water Department to Provide Salary for Jerome D'Ewart for Balance of Fiscal Year 1937-38**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1671, Ordinance No. 9.051509, as follows:

Authorizing supplemental appropriation of one hundred two and 26/100 dollars (\$102.26) from the surplus existing in the funds of the San Francisco Water Department to the credit of Appropriation No. 766.101.00 for the purpose of providing funds to pay the balance of the salary due Jerome D'Ewart for the fiscal year 1937-1938.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of one hundred two and 26/100 dollars (\$102.26) is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the funds of the San Francisco Water Department to the credit of Appropriation No. 766.101.00 for the purpose of providing funds to pay the balance of the salary due Jerome D'Ewart for the fiscal year 1937-1938.

Section 2. The purpose of this ordinance is to adjust the compensation of this individual for the fiscal year 1937-1938 to the amount which would have been earned under the rate he was receiving on January 1, 1931, in accordance with the City Attorney's opinion of July 20, 1938.

Approved as to form: John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by: Edward G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities.

Funds available: Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved: Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly, Roncovieri—4.



**Authorizing Supplemental Appropriation of \$120 From Funds of San Francisco Water Department for Salary of Jerome D'Ewart for Balance of Fiscal Year 1938-1939.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1672, Ordinance No. 9.051510, as follows:

Authorizing supplemental appropriation of one hundred twenty and 00/100 dollars (\$120.00) from the surplus existing in the funds of the San Francisco Water Department to the credit of Appropriation No. 866.101.00 for the purpose of providing sufficient funds to pay the compensation of Jerome D'Ewart for the fiscal year 1938-1939.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of one hundred twenty and 00/100 dollars (\$120.00) is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the funds of the San Francisco Water Department to the credit of Appropriation No. 866.101.00 for the purpose of providing sufficient funds to pay the compensation of Jerome D'Ewart for the fiscal year 1938-1939.

Section 2. The purpose of this ordinance is to provide sufficient funds for the fiscal year 1938-1939 to pay the compensation of this individual at the rate he was receiving on January 1, 1931, in accordance with the City Attorney's opinion of July 20, 1938.

Approved as to form: John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by: Edward G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities.

Funds available: Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved: Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shan-non, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly, Roncovieri—4.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Release of Lien Filed Re Old Age Security.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Resolution No. 4179, as follows:

Resolved, That the lien heretofore placed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, on recommendation of the County Welfare Department is released against the property described as follows:

Phares Brubaker and Louise Brubaker; legal description Lot 212, Tract 5737, Book 61, Pages 40-41, City of Long Beach, County of Los Angeles. Lien in the name of Phares Brubaker filed December 9, 1936, recorded in Book 14523, Page 399, Official Records of Los Angeles County.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shan-non, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly, Roncovieri—4.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

**Closing and Abandoning Portions of Twenty-eighth Street, Stanford Heights Avenue, Valley Street and Evelyn Way.**

(Code No. 12.0622)

Resolution No. 4154, as follows:

Whereas, on the 27th day of June, 1938, the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco duly and regularly passed Resolution No.

4061, Code No. 12.0621, which resolution was presented to his Honor the Mayor for his approval and was duly and regularly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco on the 29th day of June, 1938, said resolution being in words and figures as follows:

*Intention to Close Portions of Twenty-eighth, Stanford Heights  
and Other Streets.*

(Code No. 12.0621)

Resolution No. 4061, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portions of Twenty-eighth street, Stanford Heights avenue, Evelyn way, and Valley street be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all those portions of Twenty-eighth street, Stanford Heights avenue, Evelyn way, and Valley street more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

*Stanford Heights Avenue and Twenty-eighth Street*

Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Twenty-eighth street (formerly Vale street) distant thereon 487.131 feet westerly from the westerly line of Kenyon avenue (formerly Stanyan avenue), said point being on the southwestly line of the proposed O'Shaughnessy boulevard; thence westerly along said line of Twenty-eighth street 72.869 feet to the easterly line of Stanford Heights avenue; thence at right angles southerly along last named line 77.196 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of that certain portion of Stanford Heights avenue, previously closed by Resolution No. 26.323, New Series, dated October 27, 1926; thence running along last-named line the following courses and distances, northwesterly and northerly on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to a line deflecting 157 degrees 20 minutes 17 seconds to the right from the preceding course, radius 320 feet, central angle 22 degrees 18 minutes 47 seconds, a distance of 124.620 feet; thence northerly tangent to the preceding curve, 51.00 feet; thence northerly and northwesterly on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 330.00 feet, central angle 28 degrees 28 minutes 00 seconds, a distance of 163.956 feet to tangency with the said southwestly line of the proposed O'Shaughnessy boulevard; thence running southeasterly along last-named line tangent to the preceding curve, 136.654 feet to the easterly line of Stanford Heights avenue; thence deflecting 28 degrees 48 minutes 56 seconds to the right and running southerly along last-named line 68.463 feet to the northerly line of Twenty-eighth street; thence at right angles easterly along last-named line 37.662 feet to the aforementioned southwestly line of the proposed O'Shaughnessy boulevard; thence deflecting 61 degrees 11 minutes 04 seconds to the right and running southeasterly along last-named line 73.045 feet to the southerly line of Twenty-eighth street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Stanford Heights avenue and Twenty-eighth street.

*Stanford Heights Avenue*

Beginning at a point on the southerly prolongation of the easterly line of Stanford Heights avenue, distant thereon 24.735 feet northerly from the westerly prolongation of the northerly line of Valley street, said point being also on the northwesterly line of that certain portion of Stanford Heights avenue previously closed by Resolution No. 26.669, New Series, dated January 21, 1927; thence deflecting 57 degrees 18 minutes 46 seconds to the right from the said easterly line of Stanford Heights avenue, and running southwestly along last-named northwesterly line 40.843 feet to the former center line of Stanford Heights avenue and the easterly boundary line of Miraloma Park, Subdivision No. 4, filed in Map Book "L," pages 37-41 inclusive; thence deflecting



122 degrees 41 minutes 14 seconds to the right and running northerly along last-named line 71.290 feet to the southeasterly line of that certain portion of Stanford Heights avenue previously closed by Resolution No. 26.323, New Series, dated October 27, 1926; thence deflecting 57 degrees 18 minutes 46 seconds to the right and running northeasterly along last-named line, 40.843 feet to the said easterly line of Stanford Heights avenue; thence deflecting 122 degrees 41 minutes 14 seconds to the right and running southerly along last-named line 71.290 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Stanford Heights avenue.

#### *Valley Street and Evelyn Way*

Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Valley street distant thereon 361.514 feet westerly from the westerly line of Kenyon avenue (formerly Stanyan avenue), said point also being on the southwesterly line of the proposed O'Shaughnessy boulevard; thence running westerly along said line of Valley street 136.578 feet to the northeasterly line of that certain portion of Valley street previously closed by Resolution No. 26.669, New Series, dated January 21, 1927; thence running southeasterly along last-named line on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to a line deflecting 139 degrees 35 minutes 12 seconds to the left, from the preceding course, radius 360 feet, central angle 4 degrees 25 minutes 44 seconds, a distance of 27.828 feet; thence continuing southeasterly along last-named line tangent to the preceding curve 28.031 feet to the proposed northeasterly line of Evelyn way; thence running southeasterly along the said line of Evelyn way on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to a line deflected 29 degrees 55 minutes 35 seconds to the left from the preceding course, radius 300 feet, central angle 29 degrees 55 minutes 35 seconds, a distance of 156.694 feet to tangency with the present northeasterly line of Evelyn way as last-named line is shown on the Map of Miraloma Park, Subdivision No. 9, filed in Map Book "M," pages 60-62 inclusive, thence running northwesterly along last-named line, tangent to the preceding curve 42.969 feet to the southerly line of Valley street, thence deflecting 144 degrees 00 minutes 56 seconds to the right and running easterly along last-named line 26.810 feet to the said southwesterly line of the proposed O'Shaughnessy boulevard; thence running northwesterly along last-named line on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to a line deflected 129 degrees 26 minutes 53 seconds to the left from the preceding course, radius 490 feet, central angle 9 degrees 07 minutes 56 seconds, a distance of 78.100 feet to the northerly line of Valley street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Valley street and Evelyn way.

#### *Evelyn Way*

Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Teresita boulevard, said point being the most southerly end of that certain S. 37 degrees 16 minutes 18 seconds E., 143.730-foot course, in Block 2901A of the Map of Miraloma Park, Subdivision No. 4, recorded August 23, 1927, in Map Book "L," page 38 Official Records of San Francisco, California; thence running southeasterly, easterly, and northeasterly along the line of Evelyn way, as same is shown on aforementioned Map, on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the said line of Teresita boulevard, radius 15 feet, central angle 90 degrees 00 minutes 00 seconds, a distance of 23.562 feet; thence northeasterly along the northwesterly line of Evelyn way, tangent to the preceding curve 81.567 feet to the former center line of Stanford Heights avenue; thence deflecting 122 degrees 41 minutes 14 seconds to the right and running southerly along last-named line 71.290 feet to the southeasterly line of Evelyn way; thence deflecting 57 degrees 18 minutes 46 seconds to the right and running southwesterly along last-named line 48.067 feet; thence continuing southwesterly along the southeasterly line of Evelyn way on the arc

of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 15 feet, central angle 33 degrees 54 minutes 16 seconds, a distance of 8.876 feet; thence leaving the last-named line on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to a line deflected 96 degrees 55 minutes 10 seconds to the right from a line tangent to the preceding curve at the latter point, radius 15 feet, central angle 26 degrees 59 minutes 06 seconds, a distance of 7.065 feet to tangency with the southeasterly prolongation of the said northeasterly line of Teresita boulevard; thence northwesterly along last-named line tangent to the preceding curve 70.744 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Evelyn way lying northeasterly of and adjoining the northeasterly line of Teresita boulevard.

Said closing and abandonment of said portions of Twenty-eighth street, Stanford Heights avenue, Evelyn way, and Valley street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost, and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portions of Twenty-eighth street, Stanford Heights avenue, Evelyn way, and Valley street in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

Adopted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, June 27, 1938.

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.

Approved, San Francisco, June 29, 1938.

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

And Whereas, The Clerk of the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco did transmit to the Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco, a certified copy of said resolution and the said Department of Public Works did, upon receipt of said resolution, cause to be posted in the manner and as required by law, notices of the passage of said resolution and did also cause in the manner and as required by law, a notice similar in substance to be published for a period of ten days in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the public interest and convenience requires said improvement to be done as specifically described in Resolution No. 4061, Code No. 12.0621, and

Whereas, the Supervisors have acquired jurisdiction to order that the portions of Stanford Heights avenue, Twenty-eighth street, Valley street and Evelyn way, described in Resolution No. 4061, be closed and abandoned; and

Whereas, by Resolution No. 4001, adopted June 6, 1938, this Board agreed to close and convey the first three parcels described in Resolution No. 4061 to Forrest S. Rowley Estate as part consideration for certain land required for O'Shaughnessy boulevard! and

Whereas, by Resolution No. 4055, adopted June 27, 1938, this Board agreed to close and convey the last parcel described in Resolution No. 4061 to Meyer Bros. in exchange for certain land required for the new



Evelyn way connection between Teresita boulevard and O'Shaughnessy boulevard; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the portions of Stanford Heights avenue, Twenty-eighth street, Valley street and Evelyn way described in Resolution No. 4061 be and are hereby closed and abandoned, subject to the conditions set forth in this resolution.

Further Resolved, pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 690, 1911 Statutes of California, that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute the necessary deeds for the conveyance of said three parcels to Forrest S. Rowley Estate and for the conveyance of the last parcel described in Resolution No. 4061 to Meyer Bros., or their successors in interest. The Director of Property shall deliver said deeds to the grantees upon receipt of the necessary deeds to the City.

Further Resolved, That the entire damages, costs and expenses of closing said portions of said streets described in Resolution No. 4061, Code No. 12.0621, shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco as proposed and provided in Resolution No. 4061, Code No. 12.0621, and be it

Further Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of said portions of said streets described in Resolution No. 4061, Code No. 12.0621, shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889 as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Department of Public Works and that the Department of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law, and the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the official newspaper as required by law.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly, Roncovieri—4.

#### **Adopted.**

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Public Utilities Commissioner George Filmer.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 4181, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Public Utilities Commissioner George Filmer is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of twenty days, commencing August 15, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly, Roncovieri—4.

**Leave of Absence—David Supple, Commissioner Board of Permit Appeals.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 4182, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mr. David Supple, Commissioner of the Board of Permit Appeals, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of two

weeks, commencing August 11, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly, Roncovieri—4.

#### **Action Deferred.**

The following matter, called out of Public Utilities Committee, consideration of which was *postponed to August 22, 1938*, awaiting report from the Controller.

#### **Reduction of Water Rates for Consumption in Excess of Past Year Average Consumption.**

(Code No. 15.022)

Resolution No. 3994, as follows:

Whereas, San Francisco has a superabundance of water stored in its lakes and reservoirs; and

Whereas, the present high water rates in effect in the City and County of San Francisco prohibit increased use thereof, both domestically and industrially; and

Whereas, it is imperative, in an endeavor to stimulate and attract industries, as well as to beautify our city, that such reasonable rates be scheduled for water as will permit its greatly increased use; and

Whereas, the small home owner particularly, is severely hampered in his endeavor to beautify his property, and the city, through the maintenance of trees, shrubs, lawns and gardens because of the almost prohibitive price of the water necessary therefor; and

Whereas, it has been asserted by representatives of many large industries in San Francisco, now maintaining and operating their own wells, and particularly those engaged in the laundry industry, that if a rate comparable to the expense incurred in maintaining and operating these wells were to be established by the city, these industries would be happy to purchase water from the city and abandon the use of their wells; and

Whereas, many industries are deterred from establishing in San Francisco because of the excessive water rates; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Public Utilities Commission be and is hereby requested to give consideration to and within thirty days report on a proposal to inaugurate a plan for water rates as follows:

1. Strike an average for each consumer's water bills for the twelve months preceding the inauguration of the plan;

2. Establish a schedule of rates which will affect an 80 per cent reduction on present rates for all water used over and above that which was used during the twelve-month period hereinbefore referred to;

3. So establish water rates for industries, that those now maintaining and operating their own wells will be impelled to become customers of the city, thereby utilizing to some extent our present tremendous but idle water surpluses.

4. Afford quick and real relief to the small home owner who is endeavoring to beautify his home and the city through the maintenance of a garden.

#### **ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

#### **Adopted.**

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were taken up:



**Leave of Absence—Commissioner Philip Lee Bush, Member,  
Board of Education.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 4184, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Commissioner Philip Lee Bush, member of the Board of Education, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of fifteen days, commencing August 16, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly, Roncovieri—4.

**Leave of Absence—Carl L. Maritzen, Member, Board of Patent Appeals.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 4185, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mr. Carl L. Maritzen, member of the Board of Permit Appeals, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing September 1, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly, Roncovieri—4.

**Request for Amendment to Underground Ordinance and Inclusion  
of Van Ness Avenue South in Underground District.**

Supervisor Ratto presented communication from the Central Mission Improvement Association, Inc., urging the passage of ordinance requiring utilities to place underground annually, five miles of wire instead of two and one-half miles, and requesting that Van Ness Avenue South be included in the underground system at the earliest possible date.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

**Endorsing Bernal Heights Tunnel.**

Supervisor Ratto presented communication from the Central Mission Improvement Association, Inc., endorsing the construction of a tunnel through Bernal Heights from Van Ness Avenue South to Alemany boulevard.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

**Request for Underground District—Calhoun and Union Streets.**

Supervisor Ratto presented petition signed by property owners on Calhoun and Union streets requesting that that section now to be improved, be made an underground district.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

**Commercialization of State Parks.**

Supervisor Ratto presented communication from Redwood Empire Association, transmitting copy of letter to Governor Merriam, urging elimination of commercialization in State Parks, and requesting that the Board send a similar communication to the Governor.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

**Requesting Information re Operating Expense—Bus Route No. 1.**

Supervisor Uhl moved that Mr. Cahill furnish to the Board of Supervisors report covering operation of bus route No. 1 for the past fiscal year, setting forth in detail, cents per revenue bus mile, and operating expenses.

Motion *carried*.

**City's Responsibility in Payment of Street Work.**

Supervisor Uhl referred to street work on Carolina street and requested that the City Attorney be asked to advise the Board on whether the City must pay for its share of street work where City property is affected, and what procedure should be taken to get this work done.

**Meetings.**

The following committee meetings were called:

Fire, Safety and Police Committee, August 19, 10 a. m.

Streets Committee, August 17, 2:30 p. m.

Health Committee, August 19, 10 a. m.

Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee, August 19, 9 a. m.

**RECESS.**

Pursuant to motion previously made, the Board, at the hour of 2:45 p. m., recessed, to re-convene at 8:30 p. m.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.

---

**MONDAY, AUGUST 15, 1938, 8:30 P. M.**

---

The Board of Supervisors re-convened, pursuant to recess.

**CALLING THE ROLL.**

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

Supervisor Brown was noted present at 9:15 p. m.

**Recommendations of Finance Committee.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee, heretofore temporarily postponed, were taken up:

**Retirement Board Requested to Prepare Actuarial Report, Present and Future Members of the Fire Department**

(Code No. 1.08)

Resolution No. 4176, as follows:

Resolved, That the Retirement Board be and is hereby authorized and requested to prepare an actuarial report, in accordance with Section 158 of the Charter, of the cost and effect which would result as a consequence of the adoption of the proposed Charter Amendment relating to present and future members of the Fire Department, their pensions and retirement, presented to this Board, Monday, August 8, 1938, copy of which is by reference incorporated herein.



*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

**Authorizing Appropriation of \$750, out of Emergency Reserve  
Fund for Actuarial Studies and Reports**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1668, Ordinance No. 9.051507, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$750 in addition to the appropriation made by Ordinance No. 9.051500 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Retirement System Appropriation No. 872.248.00 for the purpose of making actuarial studies and reports pursuant to the provisions of Resolutions Nos. 4128 and 4176 of the Board of Supervisors, *an emergency ordinance*.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$750 in addition to the appropriation made by Ordinance No. 9.051500 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Retirement System Appropriation No. 872.248.00 for the purpose of making actuarial studies and reports pursuant to the provisions of Resolutions Nos. 4128 and 4176 of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists as immediate action is required to provide funds to the Retirement Board for actuarial reports, pursuant to the provisions of Section 158 of the Charter, of the cost and effect of proposed Charter Amendments now pending before the Board of Supervisors relating to the present and future members of the Police Department and to the present and future members of the Fire Department, their pensions and retirement.

Approved as to form: John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved: Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Recommended: Board of Supervisors.

Funds available: Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

**Charter Amendment, Creating Traffic Commission.**

The following proposed Charter Amendment, to provide for the establishment of a Traffic Commission, heretofore on July 25, 1938, approved for submission, was taken up:

**Motion.**

Supervisor Ratto, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved that the 27th day of September, 1938, be inserted as the date of submission to the electors, and that date, being the date of the Special Election, be inserted in the amendment.

**Explanations of Votes.**

Supervisor Uhl explained his vote, stating that he would vote against submission of the amendment for the same reason that he so voted previously.

Supervisor McSheehy announced that he would vote against submission of amendment for the same reasons as previously given.

**Ordered Submitted.**

Whereupon, the following proposed Charter Amendment was taken up:

## CHARTER AMENDMENT—TRAFFIC COMMISSION

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco at the Special Election to be held on the 27th day of September, 1938, a proposal to add a new section to the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco to be designated as Section 118.1 to read as follows:

### TRAFFIC COMMISSION

#### Section 118.1

Subdivision 1. There is hereby established a commission to be known as the Traffic Commission which will consist of five members who shall be appointed to said commission by the Mayor within ten days after this section of the Charter becomes effective. The members of said commission so appointed shall serve without compensation and shall hold office until the 15th day of July, 1942; provided, however, that whenever said commission shall, by resolution, certify to the Mayor that the work of said commission, as set forth in this section, has been completed, the Mayor shall transmit to the Board of Supervisors a copy of said resolution and the Board of Supervisors shall give consideration thereto and if, after investigation, the said Board shall determine that the work of said commission has been completed, it may adopt a resolution to that effect, which said resolution shall be subject to veto by the Mayor, or to passage over his veto, as would any other resolution of the Board of Supervisors. If said resolution should be finally passed and become effective, thereupon the term of office of the several persons appointed to said commission shall cease and determine and said commission shall cease to exist and all unfinished business undertaken by said commission shall, by resolution of the Board of Supervisors, be referred to the appropriate department of the municipal government for consideration and determination. Said commission shall continue in existence and carry out the duties hereby imposed upon it to and until the 15th day of July, 1942, and unless the term of said commission shall be extended by resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors and approved by the Mayor, said commission shall cease to exist on and after the 15th day of July, 1942; provided, however, that the Board of Supervisors may, by ordinance duly adopted, extend the life of said commission and continue its functions for a period of one year on and after July 15, 1942. Upon the dissolution of said commission any and all unfinished business pertaining to the affairs hereby committed to the jurisdiction of said commission shall be, by the Board of Supervisors, referred to the appropriate department for hearing and determination. No person shall be eligible to serve on said commission while holding any other public office or employment.

Subdivision 2. The Traffic Commission shall make a comprehensive survey and study of all phases of traffic, transportation and matters relating thereto for the benefit of the public and relief of general traffic congestion in the City and County and the co-ordination thereof. This shall include, without thereby limiting the generality of the foregoing the following: general street and highway plan and related matters



street railways, bus and stage service, automobiles, and the regulation of the use thereof in so far as the same is subject to municipal regulation, city and interurban transportation of all kinds, pedestrian traffic, traffic signals and traffic law enforcement.

The Traffic Commission shall prepare and submit without delay to the Board of Supervisors a report or reports covering all its activities, findings, recommendations and detailed plans, specifications and estimates for carrying into effect its findings and recommendations, including such recommendations and legislative measures as will, in its opinion, aid in the consummation of such plan or plans as it may recommend.

It shall be the duty of the Board of Supervisors immediately upon receiving said report or reports and recommendations to refer the same to the appropriate departments for the report and recommendation of said department or departments regarding the same. Said report shall be made by said department or departments to said Board of Supervisors within thirty days after the receipt of the request for said report. Thereupon the Board of Supervisors shall give consideration to said report and may adopt said report and pass such legislation as may tend to carry the same into effect or it may reject said report and adopt such other legislation as may carry out the recommendations of said Traffic Commission. If the report of said Traffic Commission, or the report of any officer or commission considering said report of said Traffic Commission, shall recommend the financing of any plan for any public improvement, or for the acquisition, construction, extension or completion of any public utility, the Board of Supervisors may, by resolution adopted by a two-thirds vote of all of its members, determine that the public interest or necessity demands the acquisition, construction, extension or completion of said municipal improvement or the acquisition, construction, extension or completion of any public utility and may thereupon take the necessary proceedings to submit to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco the matter of the issuance of bonds for the acquisition, construction, extension or completion of said public utility. The failure of any department to submit its report within thirty days, unless said time be extended by the Board of Supervisors, shall be sufficient authority for said Board of Supervisors to proceed with consideration of the report of said Traffic Commission and to take such action thereon as it may deem proper.

Subdivision 3. The Traffic Commission shall have power to employ a chief executive officer and a secretary, neither of whom shall be subject to the civil service provisions of this charter. Said commission may also employ such subordinate engineers, draftsmen, clerks and other employees as it may deem necessary, all of whom shall be subject to the civil service provisions of this charter. Said commission may also contract for a period not exceeding beyond the term of its existence for such consulting, highly skilled and technical services as may be required to carry out the work of said commission. The chief executive officer and the secretary shall not be subject to the retirement or health service provisions of this charter.

Subdivision 4. For the purpose of paying the compensation of its employees and all its other expenses, the Traffic Commission shall prepare and file budget estimates pursuant to the provisions of this charter; provided, however, that neither the Mayor nor the Board of Supervisors

shall have the power to decrease or reject any item of the annual budget estimate of the commission so that the total amount of said budget allowance and appropriation shall be less than the sum requested by the commission; provided, however, that in no event shall the budget allowance and appropriation of the commission exceed the equivalent of one cent annually on each one hundred dollar valuation of the property assessed in and subject to taxation by the City and County.

All unused appropriations or unexpended balances in revenue or expense appropriations of the Traffic Commission at the close of any fiscal year shall be carried forward and continue to be subject to the order of the Traffic Commission in the next fiscal year.

Upon conclusion of the term of office of the Traffic Commission and any extension thereof all unencumbered funds of the commission shall be transferred to the general fund of the City and County.

Subdivision 5. All departments and officers of the City and County shall upon request furnish to the commission such information and data as it may require for its work.

Subdivision 6. If any portion of this section of this Charter conflicts with any other section, subsection, subdivision or provision of this Charter, the provisions of this section of this Charter shall prevail.

*Ordered submitted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Uhl—2.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

#### **Proposed Acquisition and Rehabilitation of the Market Street Railway Properties.**

The following resolution, heretofore presented by Supervisor Roncovieri and made a Special Order of Business for 8:30 p. m. this evening, was taken up:

#### **Declaratory Resolution, Providing for Bond Issue of \$24,480,000 for Acquisition and Rehabilitation of Properties of Market Street Railway Company.**

(Code No. 15.091)

Resolution No. 4186, as follows:

Declaring and determining that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition of the operative properties of the Market Street Railway and the rehabilitation of said properties, all designed to aid in transportation of people within the City and County of San Francisco and that the cost thereof is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income of said City and County.

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared and determined that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition of the operative properties of the Market Street Railway, the re-routing of the lines of said Company, the substitution of trolley and gas buses for rail service where coaches would render adequate service more economically, and the replacement of said Market Street Railway cars with modern equipment and the reconditioning of the rails, trolleys, and poles of the said Company and the repair of streets within the rails and on both sides of the rails of said Company, the establishment of equipping and maintenance shops, the construction and acquisition of trolley buses when necessary, the reconstruction and construction of new and connecting tracks and general railway headquarters, and that the esti-



mated cost of said improvements is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Section 2. That the estimated cost of such improvements as described in Section 1 hereof is the sum of \$24,480,000. Of the said sum of \$24,480,000 not more than \$12,500,000 shall be used for the purpose of purchasing the operative properties of Market Street Railway Company.

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of said sum of \$24,480,000 no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or from other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the amount of \$24,480,000.

### Discussion.

THE PRESIDENT: That is the matter, Mr. Mayor, which has been made a Special Order of Business for 8:30 tonight. Do you desire to address the Board at this time?

### Address of Hon. Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

MAYOR ROSSI: Mr. President, and members of the Board of Supervisors, and my fellow citizens: I want to say at the outset that I consider the matter before this Board of such a serious nature that I have prepared a statement which I will make to the Board, and I am going to read it for the simple reason that, first of all, I do not want to be misunderstood or intentionally misquoted. With your permission I will read the statement which I have prepared.

Members of the Board, I appear before you tonight because I believe that this Board of Supervisors is facing the most critical and momentous issue in the life of your Honorable Board—the proposed unification of San Francisco street railway systems.

It is because this issue is critical and momentous that I ask the privilege of addressing you without interruption. When I have concluded I shall be glad to answer questions.

You are to decide whether the people of San Francisco shall be given an opportunity to make their own decision on this most vital question of transportation.

I am here to urge that you give them that opportunity. To urge it with all the power at my command.

If the decision which rests with this Board was that of considering whether a sum of money was to be paid from the public treasury to a private corporation, I would not be here.

If the decision to be made by this Board was that of determining the value of the property of a privately-owned corporation, I would not be here.

If the decision to be made by this Board was that of determining whether the people were to ride in street cars, buses, or any other method of transportation, I would not be here.

The actual problem before this Board tonight is so much simpler.

The problem is merely whether something is to be done now to restore the five-cent carfare to all the people of San Francisco. To give them the universal transfer. To wipe out the one-man car.

I believe that the people, and only the people, have the right to decide that question.

I believe that it is unfair, unsound and unjust for one-third of the people to ride for five cents while the other two-thirds of our people pay seven cents.

And, I do not propose to sit idle while this rank injustice to our people continues.

I am here to urge that something be done now.

There has been reference in your debates upon this subject, to a "Rush Act."

Some have sought to convey the idea that they should oppose sub-

mitting this issue to the people because they have had no time to study it.

I don't think there is a member of this Board who at one time or another has not visited improvement and other clubs in outlying districts and enthusiastically decried the fact that adequate transportation was not being given the people.

My friends, this has been a problem of many years.

This and other Boards have spent the tax-payer's money securing recommendations from experts, and those not so expert, which have been made in an effort to solve the traffic problem.

What happened to them? Well, here's the story!

In 1913, Mr. Arnold made a report which cost one hundred thousand dollars. Was anything done about it? No!

In 1927, Mr. Wilcox made a report which cost ten thousand dollars. Was anything done about it? No!

In 1931, Mr. Mott made a report costing ten thousand dollars. Was anything done about it? No!

In 1929, Mr. O'Shaughnessy made a report costing seventy-five thousand dollars. Was anything done about it? No!

Again in 1931, Mr. O'Shaughnessy made another report costing twenty-five thousand dollars. Was anything done about it? No!

Then there was the Cahill Rapid Transit Survey of 1936, costing thirty-six thousand six hundred and sixty-six dollars. Was anything done about that? No!

Then followed Doctor McClintock's report of 1937, which including the amount expended by the Federal Government, cost one hundred and thirteen thousand seven hundred and twenty dollars. Was anything done about that? Again I say no!

So, since 1913—25 years ago—three hundred seventy thousand three hundred and eighty-six dollars have been expended with the approval of the Board and nothing has been done.

And, oh, yes, lest we forget—Doctor Rastall made two other reports for the Chamber of Commerce. What they cost, I do not know. What was done about them? Nothing!

So, when people say: "Oh, let's go slow"—"Let's digest this thing"—"We don't know enough about it"—"we can't be rushed."—Well, then I say: "Where is the 'rush act'?" I will let you be the judge.

If those who think this is a "rush act" I will refer to City Engineer M. M. O'Shaughnessy's report on the street railway transportation requirements of San Francisco, printed in 1929, many will blush for shame at ever having voiced the idea that this was a "rush act."

Turn to page 177 of that report and what do you find?

We find Mr. O'Shaughnessy urging the purchase of the Market Street Railway for seventeen and a half million dollars. We find him urging that the five cent fare be retained. We find him urging the universal transfer privilege. And we find him urging that deficits resulting from the unified operation and retention of the five cent fare, be met by additions to the tax rate.

And in addition, my annual messages to the Board have consistently urged unification of the systems. Year after year that appeal has been made.

By exacting the seven cent fare the private corporation is taking millions from the pockets of the riders—a burden our people should not be forced to bear especially without any improvement in service.

Our people are looking to their municipal officials for action to relieve that condition.

Where else can they look? Who else can help them? Who else is under any obligation to help them?

It is our duty to take the lead.

As Mayor of San Francisco I have never interfered with the legislative branch of local government.

I have been criticized at times because of my policy in that regard.

To my critics I have always said: "I have served as a supervisor and I know from personal experience that the legislative branch of local



government resents executive interference. The supervisors have their responsibilities and the other public officials and various boards have different responsibilities."

This has consistently been my policy. It will continue to be my policy but, now, because of the seriousness of the situation and because it is the responsibility of all of us, I come to you as Mayor, as a former colleague of most of the members of this Board, and as a private citizen.

In this triple role, I come to voice my opinion upon submission to the people of the very simple question.

This is the question: Shall we negotiate to buy the Market Street Railway and spend money to rehabilitate and extend it so that it may serve the public—which it is not doing now? If you, the people, say yes, then negotiations will begin with the proviso that no more than twelve and a half million shall be given for the road.

Now let's discuss the question from a cold blooded business standpoint.

I ask you: Can anyone sit down and effectively negotiate for the acquisition of any property without having funds available with which to buy the property in the event that the owner is willing to sell? You know that there is but one answer to that question. The answer is No.

The next question that sound business judgment would ask is: Who will do the negotiating on behalf of the purchasers? The present Charter makes provision for that.

Either the duly constituted public officials can negotiate or it is within the province of this Board to provide an entirely different agency for negotiating.

That you have already done by voting to submit a charter amendment creating a traffic commission.

And, in passing, may I urge that you submit this amendment on the special election ballot.

Let me remind you that such a commission has been suggested by the citizens committee you asked me to appoint.

Now the next question that sound business judgment would ask about the Market Street Railway purchase would be: Who will have the final word in the concluding of the transaction?

And, the answer to that question is: You, the Board of Supervisors. Because you must make the appropriation to pay the price agreed upon.

And that, gentlemen of the Board, answers definitely the questions which a businessman would ask.

There should be no opposition in this Board by letting the voter—the very man or woman most affected, decide. Those who must pay a seven cent fare, who are denied adequate transportation facilities. Who must ride in dangerous one-man street cars. And finally, those enjoying a five cent fare who are anxious that the same privilege be extended to their fellow citizens.

Who has to pay seven cent fare? The people! Who is denied a universal transfer? The people! Who must foot the transportation bill? The people!

These same voters who elected you—are they incapable of thinking and deciding for themselves in a matter so vitally affecting them: I think they are quite capable.

Gentlemen, it is just nonsense to create the impression we are asking the people to pay twelve and a half million dollars for the Market Street Railway.

Who proposed the figure? Why, Mr. Kahn! Not the administration. Not the Board of Supervisors. Twelve and a half million is Mr. Kahn's asking price.

Surely all of you have done enough buying and selling to know that the original price offered is not the accepting price.

That argument is just a smoke screen laid down for want of a better argument to prevent the taxpayer being given an opportunity to speak! All of you have been in business enough to know that whenever property of any kind changes hands there is a question as to value. Let us examine for a moment the recorded facts as to value.

The State Board of Equalization says that, for purposes of taxation, they have assessed this property at fifty per cent and they arrive at a figure of five and a half million dollars.

I repeat, they have set this value for purposes of taxation. The State Railroad Commission, in considering the application of the Market Street Railway for an increase in fare approved a valuation of twenty-four million dollars for rate making purposes.

Mr. Kahn has said that, for purposes of sale, he would recommend to his directors an acceptance of twelve and one-half million dollars.

Surely, gentlemen of the Board, we need go no further than those three points of value in order to convince you and the people of San Francisco that this question of value is a complex one.

As frankly, as honestly, and as simply as I can find words to express my thought, I want to say that I do not know the value of this property.

And, with equal frankness, and with the candor which I believe the circumstances justify, I want to say that I do not think any member of this Board knows the value of the property.

And, finally, I do not think the people of San Francisco know the value of the property.

I am not recommending the acquisition of this railway for twelve million five hundred thousand dollars, or for any figure—because I do not know its value.

And please let the records show that I am not approving twelve and one-half million dollars or any other sum as the price at which the property should be purchased.

I am not approving any sum for two reasons: First, because I do not know. Second, because the ultimate responsibility of approving the price for acquisition rests with this Board of Supervisors and with no one else.

Yet there have been many suggested ways at arriving at a selling price—some offered in good faith, others offered with—well, let's put it mildly—without any semblance of faith.

For the reasons already stated, I do not intend to analyze these suggestions.

But I do desire to refer to the suggestion of a very distinguished and sincere member of the Board who has been of the opinion that the securities of the Market Street Railway, lock, stock and barrel—bonds, preferred stock and common stock—could be purchased on the market for six million two hundred and seventy-three thousand dollars.

Assuming you could buy a small percentage of floating stock at the prices made by the very thin market on the stock exchange—nevertheless when you started trying to buy control, the price would rise to a figure which Mr. Kahn and his associates, controlling the stock, would establish.

Of course, it is against the law for the City to issue bonds in the first place to buy stocks of any corporation. But let us assume we could buy this stock for six million odd. What would we get? We would get the physical properties of the Market Street Railway. Sure! And what besides? Why, friends, we would get the Market Street Railway's floating debt which is approximately two million.

Anything else? Sure! We would inherit damage suits now on file against the company of approximately three million.

Under that plan, if it were permissible, we would obligate ourselves for not less than twelve million dollars.

Now, all of us, in fact all the people of San Francisco, I fancy, have given a good deal of thought to the traffic and the transit problems of the community.

There is in my office, a filing case that is jammed to the limit of its capacity with well-intended proposals for the solution of these problems. It would almost seem that every man is his own traffic expert. Why, a child can see the condition we face. A mere child knows the condition of the roadbed of the Market Street Railway and the deplorable condition of many of its cars.



Sometime, gentlemen of the Board, this problem must be settled. Sometime we must do something to correct the condition which now confronts us.

In this problem, as in all other problems, there are interwoven all of the complexities of political affiliations, personal ambitions, and other consideration and a variety of other factors.

And there is always a tendency under those circumstances to say: "Let's do nothing. Let's play safe. If we do nothing maybe something will happen." That's true. Something will happen. I'll tell you what will happen. The people of San Francisco on the basis of seven cent fare will continue to pay additional millions of dollars annually into the treasury of this privately owned street car system. That's what will happen.

Let us suppose that you do nothing. And let us suppose that at the end of a year, or two years, or three years, you finally are forced to do something. What then? Let this matter drift for three years and you make the privately owned corporation a present of millions of dollars out of the pockets of the people of San Francisco, through the medium of seven cent fares, and the road will belong to the privately owned company.

Let me, as a businessman, as a private citizen, and as a public official, register my protest against that sort of policy.

It puzzles me that any opposition to this bond issue should develop. Didn't the Board of Supervisors make possible the expenditure of thirty-five million for the Golden Gate Bridge?

Didn't the Board of Supervisors make possible the expenditure of one million six hundred and ninety thousand dollars for the approaches to that bridge? Didn't the Board of Supervisors make possible the expenditure of hundreds of thousands of dollars for approaches to the San Francisco Oakland Bay Bridge?

Of course! Certainly millions have been authorized to make it easy for people to get out of San Francisco. Aren't we going to do anything to keep them in San Francisco? What must be the inevitable result of the present snarled transportation system?

A migration of people to places where they can get to and from their business with ease and of necessity, a distinct and serious shift in property values. Let's be a little bit selfish for a change.

Let's think of ourselves for a while. Let's spend a little making it easy for us to move about our own city. There is nothing wrong in it; is there? I urge the members of the Board of Supervisors to submit this proposal to the people of San Francisco, the people who are paying the bills. Let us say to the people of San Francisco: Do you want negotiations to be entered into in your name with a view to acquiring this property on as sound a basis as it can be acquired? Do you want to buy it as cheaply as it can be acquired, but, in no case, in excess of twelve and a half million dollars? And do you want to authorize the renovation, rehabilitation, extension and improvement of what will then be your property?

Let's get down to business in a business-like fashion and do something about this seven cent fare problem—about the universal transfer privilege—about the unification of your street railway tonight. Where is the harm in asking the people to decide this momentous problem? The people will tell you whether they want adequate service particularly to the outlying sections; whether they want a five cent fare; whether they want universal transfers; whether they want a modernized transportation system of their own.

Gentlemen of the Board, you requested the Public Utilities Commission to ask Mr. Kahn what he wanted for the road. Requested it by a unanimous vote. Gentlemen of the Board, you requested Mr. Cahill, Manager of Public Utilities, to estimate what, in his opinion, would be needed for rehabilitation of the road in case it was purchased. Requested it by a unanimous vote. And, gentlemen, you then asked Mr. Cahill

to revise downward his estimate to the neighborhood of twenty millions. You initiated all these things.

Now, gentlemen, let us be consistent in this matter. I say us because, in our respective offices, we are the elected representatives of the people. Differences of opinion will always exist between men who have opinions. Conflicts of ambitions present themselves whenever men have ambitions. Pet theories, pride of personal plans, bring about conflicts and will as long as men think and plan. But, none of these should be permitted to be in the picture in this case. Surely none should influence your vote on this proposition.

Vote "Aye" on this bond issue and let the people who voted you into office give you their answer. You didn't hesitate to submit your name to the voters when you sought office. Surely this issue is of more importance. Surely you are not now determined to refuse the voters who elected you the right to express their views.

Let the people decide.

I thank you.

(Applause.)

#### Proposed Amendments.

Thereupon, Supervisor Schmidt offered the following amendments to Supervisor Roncovieri's motion: "In the title, in the third line, is the wording: 'And the rehabilitation of said properties,' strike out the word 'the' and substitute therefor the word 'partial;' 'partial rehabilitation.'"

Supervisor Schmidt, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved to strike out Section 1 of Supervisor Roncovieri's resolution and insert the following:

Section 1. It is hereby declared and determined that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition of the operative properties of the Market Street Railway and partial rehabilitation of said properties, and that the estimated cost of the acquisition of said operative properties of the Market Street Railway Company and partial rehabilitation thereof will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the said City and County.

Supervisor Schmidt offered the following amendment to Section 2 of Supervisor Roncovieri's resolution:

Section 2. That the estimated cost of acquisition of the operative properties of the Market Street Railway, together with the partial rehabilitation of said properties as described in Section 1 hereof, is the sum of \$9,780,000. Of the said sum of \$9,780,000, not more than \$5,680,000 shall be used for the purchase of the operative properties of the Market Street Railway Company, and not more than \$2,500,000 shall be used for payment of unpaid equipment and power bills, due and owing by the Market Street Railway Company, and not more than \$1,000,000 shall be used for payment of or in settlement of damage claims against the said Market Street Railway Company.

#### Discussion.

THE PRESIDENT: Doctor, you are amending the \$24,480,000 in section 2 to read \$9,000,000?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: \$9,780,000.

THE PRESIDENT: What are you allowing for rehabilitation?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: That is something for the Traffic Commission to recommend later on.

THE PRESIDENT: I thought you were offering the Market Street Railway \$9,780,000 for their property?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: No. If you will read—of this sum—The next sentence will give you the explanation:

"Of the said sum of \$9,780,000 not more than \$5,680,000 shall be used for the purchase of the operative properties of the Market Street Railway Company, and not more than \$2,500,000 shall be used for payment of unpaid equipment and power bills, due and owing by the Market Street Railway Company, and not more than \$1,000,000 shall be used



for payment of or in settlement of damage claims against the said Market Street Railway Company."

THE PRESIDENT: Who pays these claims? Who pays these claims?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: If the people vote the \$9,780,000, not more than \$5,680,000 will be paid for the physical properties, and \$3,500,000 will be used for the settlement of bills and damage claims against the company, which will be handled by our City Attorney.

THE PRESIDENT: Oh, no. Oh, no. Whatever we give the Market Street Railway for their property we also give them for their debts and they settle their own debts, so we have nothing to do with that.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: I thought that could be handled in that way.

THE PRESIDENT: Not according to the reading of your resolution.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Then that could be corrected.

THE PRESIDENT: I think the suggestion of Supervisor Roncovieri, that if you got together with Mr. O'Toole, possibly you could get your amendment whipped into shape so that it would be perfectly legal.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Exactly. I haven't seen Mr. O'Toole.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Doctor, if I might say a word, as long as you would give me the privilege, of course, as far as the paying of the bills, the company must pay those out of the amount of money we give them for their property, they go out and pay all bills. They have to. They have a perfect right to sell their property, with the permission of the Railroad Commission, and the Railroad Commission takes good care that all bills are paid. So whatever price you pay for the Market Street Railway for its operative properties will, I presume, include their bills, and the Railroad Commission will see that they are paid. But I see a decided weakness in your plan, and it is this: Section 119 of the Charter says:

"Whenever the Board of Supervisors, as provided in sections 101 to 104, inclusive, (those are the bonding sections) of this Charter, shall determine that the public interest or necessity demands the acquisition, construction or completion of any public utility or utilities by the City and County, or whenever the electors shall petition the Supervisors as provided in sections 105, 179 and 180 (those are the initiative provisions of the charter) of this Charter, for the acquisition of any public utility or utilities, the Supervisors must procure a report from the Public Utilities Commission thereon."

This you have done. Now, the next section, number 121—I was reading 119,—provides:

"In each such case"—

This is what the Commission must do before giving you a report, before giving the Board a report—

"It shall secure the recommendation of the Manager of Utilities, which shall be in writing and shall include analyses of cost, service and estimated revenues of all proposed or feasible alternatives in cases where it is deemed by the Manager that such alternatives exist."

Now, I would take it—I have told you many times that this provision of the Charter in the matter of the acquisition of Public Utilities, as to what jurisdiction the Board of Supervisors has, and what jurisdiction the Utilities Commission has, are far from being very definite, but I take it that the Utilities Commission, as this section provides, must make a report, and that that report must be based, to some extent, on the recommendation of the Manager of Utilities. As contained in a subsequent section, my understanding of the section is that this Board has a perfect right to put the upset price, any price they want, as to how much the City should pay for such properties as the Utilities Commission desire to acquire from the Market Street Railway. Their word is final on that, first, because they have the right to provide for a bond issue, and, second, the appropriation of all money lies with this Board. But I don't think the Utilities Commission, when it makes a report here: "We desire to buy this or that utility, and if we do take it over, if you permit us to buy this utility," at whatever price you

think is a proper price—and you have got to have a voice in the amount of money, because that will be determined by subsequent appropriation, but you would have to give them a sufficient amount of money to carry out the program on which the Commission has based the recommendation that the utility be acquired.

Now, I will explain that. You say that \$9,000,000 would be a fair price, taking into consideration the debts owed, and subtracting the amount for the operating properties. Now, maybe those properties when the Public Utilities Commission gets them, with only the addition of \$600,000 would be absolutely no good to them, wouldn't fit in with any program of theirs. Now, this Board of Supervisors cannot run them at all. You don't have to give them any money, you don't have to buy them. But if that is done, if you give them any new utilities—and you can't give them any at all unless they want—when they come in to you and say that certain things should be done, certain cars repaired, and certain lines now served with cars as railways transferred into bus lines, or that we should do this or do that, this Board cannot do that. I think if you went to put in there the upset price which the City will pay for the utility, that is proper, but you cannot compel the Utilities Commission to take this utility, or these utilities, and operate them unless you comply with their recommendation as to how they should be run, because they are the ones who are going to operate these utilities.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: In other words, we have to accept the figure of the Public Utilities Commission?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: I don't think you have to accept the figure of the Public Utilities Commission, Doctor, but I don't think you could set up an entirely different scheme. I think when the Utilities Commission come in and tell you that they need \$10,000,000 or \$12,000,000, whatever it is, for rehabilitation, and they lay down a certain plan on which they think the properties can be operated at a profit to the City—

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Would you pardon an interruption there. Aren't they anticipating the Traffic Commission?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: No, Doctor, I don't think so. All they can do is to recommend, and the Board of Supervisors don't have to take the recommendation of the Traffic Commission or the Utilities Commission.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Who is going to have the final say?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: The Board of Supervisors has the final say in all appropriations. Now, when they submit a plan to you, when the Public Utilities Commission submits a plan to you and say, "Well, we will take the property of the Market Street Railway Company," now, you can put the price at which you think they can be bought, at which you think you ought to buy. If you cannot buy them for that, you don't get them, but as to outlining a plan as to how the Public Utilities Commission should operate them, I don't think the Board of Supervisors could outline that.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Would I be in order by offering a figure that is reducing their figure of \$12,500,000 for the acquisition of the road?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: I think the Board can fix any figure it wants to for the acquisition of the property. You may not get it, but I think the matter of determining the price lies with this Board.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: That is the point.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: You have one other thing, you don't have to give anything, but in view of a rather dual control of our utilities, one body controlling the finances and the other controlling the operation, you can hardly force on the Public Utilities Commission a utility they do not want. Suppose you can buy it for \$9,000,000, or \$10,000,000, then unless you give them money to repair and operate it, they cannot operate, and they are the ones to say how it is to be operated. Those things should be worked out before you can authorize



bonds, issue bonds. But even if bonds are authorized, and even after the approval, even after issue the money can never be spent without an appropriation by this Board. I will concede that this Board has the right to fix the upset price or top price that the City would be willing to pay for the Market Street Railroad properties, but they cannot be compelled to buy it unless their plan for operation is carried out.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: I have no idea to offer here a new or novel plan—I just gave you the breakdown figure—

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: You may be right as to the value of the property; that I don't know.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Well, I feel that if the Market Street Railway Company receives \$9,180,000, which broke down, gives \$5,680,000 for their operative properties and \$3,500,000 for their debts and damage claims—

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Leave out their debts. It is the value of the property. Let them pay the debts.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: \$9,180,000, I feel, is a fair price.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: You may be right.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: I am just trying to justify that figure.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Admitting that you can buy those properties for that figure, that Mr. Kahn, or whoever it is, says: "I will take \$9,180,000. What is the Utilities Commission going to do with those properties unless you give them the finances to carry out their plan for operation?"

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Now, just to operate them, I was trying to cover that, Mr. City Attorney, I can't see the virtue of offering to the people a \$20,000,000 bond issue to rehabilitate a system which the experts called in by the Traffic Commission later on will say are not wanted, that possibly they are not necessary and worthless, and we will be spending a lot of money uselessly.

THE PRESIDENT: Now, Doctor, so the chair may get your motion straight, please state your amendment to section 2.

SUPERVISOR RATTO: Pardon me, I was just—

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Ratto, I was trying to get from the Doctor his amendment to section 2.

SUPERVISOR RATTO: I was just wondering whether these amendments may not be premature, because there is a statement we are going along committed to the purchase of the railroad—

THE PRESIDENT: The chair hasn't got the Doctor's amendment before him. Doctor Schmidt, will you take Supervisor Roncovieri's resolution and amend it. Supervisor Roncovieri's resolution reads:

"That the estimated cost of such improvements as described in section 1 hereof is the sum of \$24,480,000. Of the said sum of \$24,480,000 not more than \$12,500,000 shall be used for the purpose of purchasing the operative properties of Market Street Railway Company." Now, will you kindly amend that resolution.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: That the estimated cost—amend it to delete that part of it, of Supervisor Roncovieri's resolution, section 2, following the words "estimated cost" in the first line, and substituting therefor—

THE PRESIDENT: By striking out the words "of such improvements."

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: As described in section 1 hereof.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: In the sum of \$24,480,000.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: And insert what?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Insert—that is, I have changed that section 2, and to amend that I am offering practically—I will ask the striking out of all of that section, section 2 in Roncovieri's resolution, following the word "cost" and substitute therefor the following: "of

acquisition of the operative properties of the Market Street Railway," and so forth, which I have read.

THE PRESIDENT: The chair will rule as a result of the City Attorney's opinion, that motion is out of order.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: I beg your pardon?

THE PRESIDENT: As a result of the City Attorney's opinion, just stated, that amendment is out of order. In other words, you cannot dictate the policy of the Utilities Commission.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Then I would—

THE PRESIDENT: The next is section 3.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Could I amend to reduce that figure?

THE PRESIDENT: Yes, yes.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: That the estimated cost of said improvements, as described in section 1 hereof, is the sum of—I changed section 1. That is, my section 1 reads differently from section 1 of Supervisor Roncovieri's resolution, Mr. President, and the thought that I wanted to get into this section here is that the sum of \$24,000,000 be reduced to—

THE PRESIDENT: \$21,160,000.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: \$9,780,000, that would be.

THE PRESIDENT: No, no.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Can't be done?

THE PRESIDENT: No. Then for the acquisition \$9,180,000, that is the amount you would give the Market Street Railway and acquire their debts and everything?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: \$9,180,000?

THE PRESIDENT: That would be in order.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Then you provide simply, if I am correct—the price would still remain, it would not remain at \$24,000,000.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: No, I am changing the figure \$24,000,000 to read \$9,180,000.

SUPERVISOR MEAD: No.

SUPERVISOR MEYER: No.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Pardon me, in other words, I want to pay \$9,180,000 for the road.

THE PRESIDENT: Yes, that you can do.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Now, what do you want to do with the figure of \$24,480,000—reduce that \$3,300,000 and make it \$21,180,000?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Oh, no.

THE PRESIDENT: No?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: I will reduce that down to \$600,000.

THE PRESIDENT: That wouldn't be in order, Doctor. I think Mr. O'Toole will have to explain his language to you again; it was very clear to the chair. Give it to the doctor once more, Mr. O'Toole.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: I got his language.

THE PRESIDENT: All right.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: But I don't want to bring before the people a bond issue for \$24,000,000 and anticipate the work of the Traffic Commission, that is exactly what I mean, and that is what I insist upon, and as far as I am concerned I am making my stand right here. I am willing to pay \$9,180,000 for that pile of junk, all of the Market Street Railroad Company's assets and liabilities. Then I will say to them, let them have this thing, let the Utilities Commission have it, if they want it. I am not dictating to it, but I will let them have, if they ask me, \$600,000 to temporarily rehabilitate that pile of junk until such time that the traffic experts of the Traffic Commission that they call in make their recommendation, and come to us with that recommendation.

THE PRESIDENT: Out of order.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Well, that is where it stands, in order



or out of order, that is where I stand and that is what I am going to insist upon.

THE PRESIDENT: It is entirely out of order.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: All right.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I would like to say to the doctor, the very commission that you are speaking of, Doctor, the Traffic Commission to which you are referring and in whom you have confidence, apparently, should have your confidence for a sufficient sum of money to dicker with Mr. Kahn. If you give them but \$9,000,000 to dicker with, and they go down there and they find they cannot get the road for that, the very commission you have confidence in would have to come back and say "Everything is off." We must trust somebody. In the resolution that I read a little while ago, that commission, with the help of the Controller and of Mr. Cahill will arrive at a price. When we say we will need that \$12,500,000, we do not say we will give them that. That is the asking price. We should give the asking price to our agent. Our agent will be this new Traffic Commission, and Mr. Cahill, and Mr. Boyd. They will go down and dicker with Mr. Kahn, but they have got to have the money to dicker with.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: May I answer that?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Yes.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: When the Spring Valley was offered to the City and County of San Francisco did the people first vote the \$40,000,000 or did they go back and ask, "What would you take for your company?"

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: They first asked, "What will you take for your company?" That has already been done here. Mr. Cahill did go down and ask, "How much do you want, Mr. Kahn?" And his answer was, \$17,500,000. Then Mr. Cahill said: "Nothing doing. I would not recommend that sum to the Board of Supervisors." Then later on he cut it down to \$12,500,000, and even Mr. Cahill is not recommending \$12,500,000. He says though, that amount, and not more than that amount, should be paid. He may bring it down to \$9,000,000, as you say, but it seems to me logical that the Traffic Commission that you spoke of should have enough money to make a provosal and counter proposal. No counter proposal has yet been made to Mr. Kahn. Mr. Kahn finally said, "All right, I will take \$12,500,000. Mr. Cahill comes to us and says, "That is the best he will do, but I do not recommend it. I think we ought to get it for less. But I think, also, you ought to provide so I can dicker with him."

If I remember correctly, the assessed valuation of this property made by the Railroad Commission, or was it the—

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: The Railroad Commission.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: —the State Board of Equalization, was \$5,500,000. Now, that is the assessed valuation put upon this property by the State Board. We have nothing to do with that. It is well understood that they assess at 50 per cent of the actual cash value.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: What is the breakdown of just what that property is? Is it junk cars and rolled rails?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I only know that \$5,500,000 is the assessed valuation of the Market Street road. I know that they are paying taxes to the State and the City on \$5,500,000. I know that that represents one-half of its actual value in the opinion of the experts in Sacramento. Now, then, if you want a piece of proverty, and it is assessed at \$5,000, you would not think of selling it for \$5,000, and if you offered a man \$5,000 for it, he would laugh at you, and would say, "That property is worth at least twice the assessed valuation." I am not asking to give the company more. I am with you if you can get it for \$9,000,000 or for \$8,000,000, I am with you, but I also do not want to tie the hands of my agent. My agent is going to be, if my resolution goes through, the new commission. Well, I do not want to tie its hands. If he comes back and says, "I cannot get

it for \$9,000,000," we will be nowhere. By allowing him a larger sum within reason, say, twice the assessed valuation, you give the agent an opportunity to bargain, and to say, "I will give you so much." Now, a group of men to be appointed on this commission, and aided by Mr. Cahill and Mr. Boyd, will be a splendid committee to go down and talk it over with Mr. Kahn, but you must start out by giving it enough money to bargain. We will say to the people, "All right, we believe it is possible we may have to pay \$12,000,000. Mr. Kahn has asked that amount. But our committee is going to bargain and bring him down to the last dollar. They may get it for \$9,000,000."

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: I am sure the railroad will feel, "\$12,000,000 was allocated. Why should not we get it?"

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Don't you think it should be about \$11,000,000? \$5,500,000 is the assessment. It is worth, in the eyes of the State, \$11,000,000 or they would not be paying taxes on that amount. It seems to me we must give them enough—

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Roncovieri there is no use in discussing that point. Let the chair make that clear. There is only one figure can be reduced, and that is the figure of \$12,500,000. The Public Utilities Commission has asked for the difference between \$12,500,000 and the \$24,000,000 for purchase of cars, consolidation of shops, and so forth. If you want to reduce the figure of \$12,500,000, you can do it. You can reduce the figure of \$24,480,000 by whatever amount you reduce the \$12,500,000. Other than that our hands are tied.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: What I am trying to say to Doctor Schmidt, that he makes it impossible to purchase the road and to bargain properly if he reduces it too low. I have faith in that new commission. I voted for it here tonight. At one time I did not think much of it because they wanted to spend \$75,000 a year. However, I have changed my mind on that. I do not know who they are going to be. I suppose that some of the gentlemen who appeared here will be appointed, but I feel that those men should have enough money in their pockets. If you send a man down to buy something, you have to give him enough money to buy it. You can say to him: "Get it as cheaply as you can, but for heaven's sake, don't waste any money." If your agent goes out and buys a house for you, or buys a horse, or anything, give him enough money to do it with.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Mr. President?

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Brown.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I asked the City Attorney informally, and I think it would be a good idea to have it in the record, if it is not a fact that the Board of Supervisors would again have this matter before them, once the price had been determined by negotiations by the proper City authorities and the Market Street Railway. The City Attorney pointed out to me the Board of Supervisors would have it before them again, because it would be necessary for the Board of Supervisors to appropriate the money to make the purchase, and therefore, when the appropriation ordinance is being considered, we will have a chance to say whether the price agreed upon was according to our liking. So it seems to me it would be a mistake to make any alteration of the set-up that has been placed before us because it is a flexible set-up, and it does not bind the City to any given price nor does it bind the Board of Supervisors to go along with any price which has been determined.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: I might say, by permission of the chair, as I look at it, our primary problem is to get rid of the Market Street Railway, to give us a universal transfer system, and to give us a five cent fare, and that is what we are looking for. Now, if we are going to offer the people of San Francisco this matter of \$12,000,000, plus these immense amounts of money for rehabilitation, I fear that it will never pass, and that it is due for defeat, and then you have not got the Market Street Railway. I am not trying to do anything except get that Market Street Railway out of the picture and acquire it, and



then when you have got that, take the next step at the recommendation of the Traffic Commission. That is my position. So I say as long as our primary problem is to get rid of the Market Street Railway, which is now in the way and will be in the way of the Traffic Commission and prevents that Commission from solving our traffic problem,—they will take \$9,500,000, I think,—if we give the people much more than that, they may not vote for it, and you still will be in the same fix you are now. I am willing to put it before the people, as far as the purchase of the Market Street Railway is concerned. I want to do that.

THE PRESIDENT: We cannot do it, Doctor, according to the statement of Mr. O'Toole.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: That is because the—

THE PRESIDENT: The Public Utilities Commission have recommended these other figures. We must take their recommendation or no.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Mr. Chairman?

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. McSheehy.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Mr. Chairman, and members of the Board: We met last Friday, and we postponed this entire matter until tonight, special order of business at 8:30. We did it to accommodate one of our supervisors who was away with his family in the country. We met this afternoon and transacted our business in about one-half hour; we adjourned a little before 3 o'clock. We are here tonight with one purpose, and that purpose is to create a bond issue for the Market Street Railway Company's properties. We have before us a duty. The Mayor very carefully prepared a written statement here tonight that he read to the members of this Board, that was well thought out. Now, we are meeting here for but one purpose tonight, and that purpose is to pass this bond issue. The law is very clear. It calls for a two-thirds majority. Certain members of this Board have stated publicly on this Board that they are not in favor of the resolution as presented by Supervisor Roncoveiri. The question is: Are eight members of this Board in favor of that resolution. I do not know until the vote is counted, but I do know how I feel as one member of this Board. I do know that I have a duty to perform. My duty is very clear; my duty calls for me to submit to the people of San Francisco a sum of money for the purchase of this railroad that I conscientiously believe it is worth. I submitted to this Board an amendment. I very carefully went to the Railroad Commission and got their figures. A great deal has been said about those figures being founded on a 50 per cent valuation. Whoever makes that statement is absolutely wrong. The Railroad Commission is not the assessor of San Francisco, and the assessor of San Francisco does not make his figures on any particular 50 per cent valuation. The Board of Equalization does not compile its figures on any 50 per cent valuation, so don't condone yourself with the idea that the amount suggested on the assessment is about 50 per cent of the value of the properties as outlined by the Board of Equalization. I carefully read to you—I know there is a lot of citizens present here tonight. I have been on this Board a great many years and have seen these chambers packed time in and time out. I very carefully read to you an amendment, and I am going to read it again. I want the Mayor of this City and County to hear that, and I want the citizens present to hear it, because I want you to know that this amendment I am going to read is one that has been very carefully compiled, one that I personally gave a lot of time to, and I was assisted by others in preparing this amendment. It is not very long.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Mr. Chairman, I will rise to a point of order. The amendment has been read once and is submitted to the Board. It seems to me a re-reading at this time will be superfluous. I will ask the chair to rule whether or not the re-reading at this time is in order.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I am offering this amendment not in

the form of an amendment, but I am offering it to show my reasons I am not in accord with the resolution offered by Supervisor Roncovieri. I am not offering it as an amendment.

THE PRESIDENT: You are offering it, as I understand, in explanation of what you are going to say?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Yes, sir.

THE PRESIDENT: I rule it is in order.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: You have before you here this afternoon a recommendation from the Utilities Commission requesting a bond issue of \$24,480,000 set up in 12 items on page 3 of Mr. Cahill's report. I feel, as one member of this Board, that a great mistake will be made in requesting a bond issue of this amount, and that the same will not be voted on favorably by two-thirds of the voters of San Francisco. And, by the way, these are obligation bonds and not revenue bonds. I, therefore, offer to you a bond issue of \$5,574,050 for the purchase of the properties of the Market Street Railway Company—I want to keep entirely within your ruling, Mr. President. The suggestion that I made in this matter that these properties are worth \$5,574,050, and that we can purchase, for the sum of \$9,245,950, 720 motor buses, improved buses. I believe members of this Board and your Honor, the Mayor, and I have just as much right to my opinion as Mr. Cahill has—one has not to qualify as an engineer in a statement here on a matter of this kind, but simply means nothing but ordinary common sense and judgment, and I think I am possessed a little bit of both; the people of San Francisco have thought that several times. \$9,245,950 will purchase 720 motor buses, and the thought that I had is to take up every foot of the Market Street Railroad Company's properties that traverse the City and County of San Francisco and substitute for those properties buses, and those buses be run by two men and on a five cent fare. The present Municipal Railroad to remain as is, and they to continue just as they are continuing here today. It is a simple plan, and requires a total bond issue of \$15,000,000, and not \$24,500,000. Now, this is the portion I would like to have his Honor, the Mayor, and those that were not here the other day to give some thought to. The Board of Equalization, and I take these figures from their books, have placed the following—now, I know there is a great many railroad men here in this body tonight, a great many that are familiar with all of the properties of the Market Street Railway Company. These estimates were taken from the books of the Railroad Commission:

The Mission street car house they placed a value of \$45,250; the Third street car house, \$11,100; McAllister street car house, \$6,420; Eighth street car house, \$6,850; Utah street car house, \$37,150; Turk street car house, \$20,050; Thirty-second avenue car house, \$10,250; Castro street power and car house, \$9,000; Geneva shop, \$30,800; Oak street car house, \$28,930; Washington street car and power house, \$56,380; Geneva car house, \$33,550; miscellaneous street buildings, \$8,920; Twenty-eighth street car house, \$21,500; group miscellaneous buildings, \$34,000. This is buildings only, not the land values in that particular location.

Shop and equipment they place a value of \$100,000; Eighth avenue substation, they place a value on the equipment there of \$7,940; Turk and Fillmore substation, \$14,700; Bryant street substation, \$31,590; Geneva avenue substation, \$5,460; Stevenson street substation, \$23,680; San Bruno avenue substation, \$6,680; miscellaneous substation, \$1,310; Castro street power plant, \$12,420; Mason and Washington plant, \$25,600; Eighth avenue substation, \$30,250; Geneva avenue substation, \$5,580; Bryant street substation, \$21,430; Turk and Fillmore substation, \$13,440; Stevenson street substation, \$54,000; San Bruno substation, \$11,200.

Now, I want this figure particularly, and I address myself again to his Honor, the Mayor, and to you ladies and gentlemen present



here, the next item represents the electric track and trolley of the system, and they have got the huge sum of \$1,699,520. That is practically \$1,700,000. Now, I have seen them taking tracks up on a number of streets; I have seen them take tracks up in the section where I live on Ashbury and cars placed there on a trackless trolley, and they just barely cover the tracks over with asphalt, and the same thing in other places, showing there is absolutely no value whatsoever, and yet the Commission generously allows them \$1,700,000. Now, cable track is \$113,040; other track, electric, \$22,290; other track, cable, \$840. There is a total there of \$2,561,120.

Personal property: Furniture, \$33,000; miscellaneous power plant equipment, \$630; miscellaneous sub-station equipment, \$1,550; material and supplies, \$174,000; trolley and poles, \$258,150; trolley, power house, \$2,230; cable in tracks, \$11,380; overhead trolley for trolley coach, \$8,320; rolling stock, electric, \$1,416,710; rolling stock, cable, \$25,310; trolley coaches, \$46,400. The land is valued at \$851,240, and that does not represent the non-operating land; it is not in this estimate of \$12,500,000 Mr. Cahill is so generously asking we bond our City for. He has made a statement that cost around 5- or \$600,000. So we find here a value of \$5,395,470. I dare Mr. Cahill or any engineer to prove that these figures are erroneous. I believe there is a nuisance value there, and the sooner we purchase the properties of this company, the sooner we will give transportation to our City. Now, members of the Board, the problem is ours, and it is one that has been well thought out. I want to read to you the very latest on it from the Daily News, on the value of Market Street Railway shares:

"Editor: In the News of August 11 it was reported that Mr. Kahn of the Market Street Railway had first offered the system to the City for 17 million dollars, later reducing this asking price to \$12,500,000.

Further, it was reported that Mr. McSheehy demanded to know if that was a correct valuation. His demand is the concern of every would-be buyer.

One of the many economic benefits of a highly organized market, such as the Stock Exchange, is that it permits prices to be established which reflect the collective judgment of buyers and sellers from all over the country as to a company's value or prospects. These prices, being readily available every day in the newspapers, are no secret.

Such information as of February, 1937—correct to the best of my knowledge—shows that the capitalization of the Market Street Railway, incorporated in 1893 as a consolidation, consists of a funded debt of \$5,857,000 and 116,185 shares of prior preferred stock, 49,868 shares of preferred stock, 46,737 shares of second preferred stock, and, finally, 106,474 shares of common stock. Through stock ownership the company is controlled by the Standard Gas & Electric Corporation of Chicago, in receivership since 1935 (as of June, 1937).

The price of the prior preferred stock closed August 11, 1938, on the New York Stock Exchange at \$11.50 per share. There is no market, evidently, for the preferred and second preferred. A recent price on the common stock was 75 cents per share.

In the absence of any quotations for the preferred and second preferred, let us—for goodwill, if for no other reason—give a valuation of \$10 per share, although no dividends have ever been paid.

On the basis of the above, therefore, the present market valuation of this company is indicated to be around \$2,382,033.75. — Russell Leake."

This gentleman wrote that letter to the editor of the Daily News, and they thought enough of it to publish it. They generally publish letters they feel have merit.

THE PRESIDENT: I thought that was an editorial.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: No, sir, it is not an editorial.

SUPERVISOR RATTO: Oh, that is different.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: May I say to the members of this Board that we are here to vote yes or no on this proposed bond

issue. I have before me here a letter from the Chamber of Commerce. I understand the Chamber of Commerce has been telephoned in the last two days in reference to their action. I, quite often, do not agree with the Chamber of Commerce, but I can assure you that I am agreeing entirely with them in reference to this matter. Now, members of the Board, I hope——

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. McSheehy, you have been going for 20 minutes. 10 minutes is the limit. What is the pleasure of the Board?

SUPERVISOR UHL: I would say to extend his time.

THE PRESIDENT: Any objection to extending the time? Proceed, Mr. McSheehy.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Thank you, gentlemen. All I have done is read reports to you.

THE PRESIDENT: You have been going on 20 minutes already. I gave you that leeway. It didn't accomplish a thing. It is not really germane, but it is part of your argument, and for that reason, for one thing, I let you go. You are addressing your remarks to the members of the Board who are to vote on it, and I think it would be better if you would confine your remarks to members of the Board rather than to the citizens present.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Mr. President, I am particularly confining my remarks to the chief of the City and County of San Francisco, the Mayor.

THE PRESIDENT: But you are turning your head the other way. (Applause.)

THE PRESIDENT: Applause is not permitted in these chambers, ladies and gentlemen.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: This is written on the Chamber of Commerce letterhead, August 12th——

THE PRESIDENT: That has been read, Mr. McSheehy.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: The Mayor has not heard this letter read.

THE PRESIDENT: The chair will not permit re-reading of that letter to the members of the Board. The Mayor has no vote.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I bow to the supremacy of the chair. May I say, and I say this seriously as a member of this Board, every man is responsible for his own acts here. From time to time we give an account of our stewardship. We all like our work; we all go to the people. Most of us have been very fortunate. Last election the people did something they had not done in San Francisco for 40 years; they re-elected every member of the Board. We all go right to our citizens. I personally feel, speaking for myself entirely, that this problem before us now does not warrant a bond issue of \$12,500,000. I would no more think of asking the people of this City to vote for that bond issue than I would think of doing something that I should not do. I simply cannot do it. There is not a member of this Board that really justifies himself in voting for this issue. Some try to fortify themselves with the thought that the amount prescribed by the Board of Equalization is based on a 50 per cent basis, which is entirely erroneous and wrong. You have the problem before you. I have read to you a statement showing the exact value of the property. Mr. Cahill stated only the other day that he would not have the properties for a gift, and yet he has the timidity to offer, and ask us to offer them to the people for \$12,500,000. So I hope and trust that you will not foster this matter on the people in San Francisco. We want transportation, and we want it bad, but the people will not be sandbagged into paying this price for the property. I am trying to go along with other members of this Board and submit something I feel that the properties are worth at a nuisance value. We know they have no rolling stock, everything they have, almost, has no real value, and even the good, careful Mr. Cahill, Manager of the Utilities Commission, has taken good care to take out of the operating properties



a number of parcels that are really worth something. Yet you are asking us to pass judgment on this tonight. I trust and I hope the majority members of this Board will not attempt to foster this outrageous price on the voters of San Francisco. A great deal was said about "Let the people pass judgment." Everything we do is checked and balanced. Now, we are checking Mr. Cahill's figures. We have given thought and study to them. Why should we go out and tell the people that we think these properties are worth this amount of money? It is a crime to have the people vote on it. It is not fair; it is not just. We know in our hearts, some of us do, that the properties are not worth that amount that the resolution is calling for. Then, coming back again, we are asked to agree to continue the old street cars in our City. We know they are obsolete. We know that street cars and electric cars have got to give way to a motor-driven apparatus through our City, just as the old horse car gave way to the cable car, and then the electric car. Progress will go on. Do not try to handicap the entire matter by fostering a matter of this kind on the people. The people won't vote for it, so why ask them to, if you conscientiously feel that you should not ask them to, and I, as one member of the Board, conscientiously feel I would be derelict to my City and my family, and everyone, if I asked them to vote this huge sum of money for properties that are not worth one-half that.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: I would like to ask Mr. Cahill a few questions—

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I would like to have my remarks made part of the record as my reasons for voting No.

THE PRESIDENT: So ordered.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Mr. Cahill, the report that you gave us, which was originally \$39,000,000's—pardon me a second. I will get it. Even in the \$12,000,000 that you proposed to use in the rehabilitation of the Market Street Railway, are you not anticipating the work of the Traffic Commission?

MR. CAHILL: I do not think so, Doctor. This thing, as you well said, is a wreck. Now, it is a curious thing that you admit it is a wreck, but you do not want to give me more than \$600,000 to fix this wreck. It cannot be done for that price. This wreck is worse than an old Model T Ford. When you offer \$600,000, you do not offer me enough money to buy the materials and supplies to run that aggregation of wrecks. It is like offering me a broken down Model T Ford, and then saying, after I have this broken down wreck, "I won't give you any money for gas and oil and tires." This work has got to be done if we are to take this wreck. You well said it was not worth twelve million and a half. I say so. Everyone admits it. How much less than twelve and one-half millions you can get it for, no one knows, and the fact that a figure of twelve and one-half millions was placed on it does not mean, in the final analysis, this Board of Supervisors is going to authorize any such purchase price. If this is voted it gives this Traffic Commission some money wherewith to go to Mr. Kahn and ask him how much he will really take for the road. This thing here does not mean we are going to pay any \$12,500,000 for it, but it does mean this, that if we take this wreck, and I admit it is a wreck, the curious thing is you are not willing to give me any money wherewith to fix it, even though you admit beforehand it is a wreck. This does not anticipate the Traffic Commission; this work has to be done by the Public Utilities Commission, and the rehabilitation of that road must be done by someone as soon as possible. The private company can not do it.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Do I also understand you to say, Mr. Cahill, that you were going to use a goodly portion of this \$12,000,000 that is for rehabilitation, you are going to use that to rehabilitate the line? Do you mean that?

MR. CAHILL: Yes.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Aren't you going to take the recom-

mentation of the experts? They are going to call in traffic engineers and so on, but you are going to disregard any recommendations that are made by them?

MR. CAHILL: Certainly not.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: This will give you permission to go right ahead and use this money in the rehabilitation of the road, and if some of these experts that may be called on later on by the Traffic Commission would say, "We don't want this part of the line or that part of the line, and you are spending money there that would probably be wasted,"—

MR. CAHILL: Doctor, in the final analysis, while I have set up approximately \$12,000,000 for the rehabilitation of this wreck, every dollar of that has to be appropriated by your Board before I can spend it.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: May I ask you another question? It has been reported in the press that competent engineers for the Market Street Railway would be delighted to spend as much as \$3,780,000 for rehabilitation of the system, and would then regard it as giving fairly good satisfaction. What would you say in regard to that statement?

MR. CAHILL: I would say that was nonsense. In other words, Doctor, our engineers, who are running probably the most successful street railway in the United States, have used 13 months of time and a great deal of effort, and examined meticulously everyone of the vehicles they own and know the operating condition of all this wreck, we know we cannot rehabilitate this thing for less money than I have shown there, and the matter of three and one-half million dollars is just as futile as the sum of \$600,000 would be.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: In other words, \$4,000,000 would not help you?

MR. CAHILL: No, it would not.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Mr. Cahill, are you of the opinion—I would like to ask you this question—if you placed this bond issue of \$24,000,000, and that is what you are placing, before the people, do you think that they will pass that?

MR. CAHILL: Doctor, I have not the remotest idea about that. I have given you a recommendation of a bond issue of \$24,000,000 solely for the purpose, if you will read my whole recommendation, solely for the purpose of letting the people decide whether or not they want to buy this nuisance, get rid of it, have the five-cent fare, universal transfers, and abolish the one-man car.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Personally, my opinion is I do not believe that the people of San Francisco will vote the \$24,000,000.

MR. CAHILL: That is beside the point, Doctor. It is what it costs. If the people won't vote it, they have had their chance to vote it down, and we will be guided by their decision. But the only thing I can say is this: Give them a chance to decide.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: I am sure it should not require—I am not an engineer, and I do not propose to dictate to you as regards that at all, but nevertheless, I feel that a reasonable sum—if a reasonable sum would be accepted by your Commission, that you can put it in fair operating condition until such time that you have an additional recommendation of the traffic experts brought in by the Traffic Commission, and then you will not endanger this entire set-up by the people voting the entire thing down and losing the opportunity of acquiring the Market Street Railway, which is one of the big stumbling blocks in the solution of this problem. I would like to see an amount less offered to the people; an amount that will stand a chance of passing. If you offer them \$24,000,000, it is my opinion it will never pass. I would not blame the people, in a way. It is a big pill for them to swallow in addition to others. I do not want to see the Board lose the chance of getting hold of the Market Street Railway at a figure that, in my opinion, should be under \$10,000,000.



MR. CAHILL: In answering that, all I can say is this: The Public Utilities Commission and I are responsible for the operation of this wreck. Now, it is out of the question for us to recommend any sum which, in our opinion, would be insufficient to run the thing in a half-way decent way, and give half-way decent service. I have cut this thing down to the minimum, and did it at the request of this Board of Supervisors. That is what caused me to come in with the second report. I can not go any lower than that. I am sorry, but you will not have any recommendation from me for any lower amount because, being responsible, I have got to run it right or not run it at all.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Mr. Chairman, may I make a statement?

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Uhl.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I just want to make this statement: I am heartily in favor of this Transit Commission which you gentlemen voted tonight to place on the ballot. I want to say to you that I have not—I want to repeat, rather, that I have not placed any valuation on the Market Street Railway Company, nor am I in a position to place any. I agree with everything that has been said here tonight that it practically has no value. There is, however, a nuisance value, and we also must remember this fact, that the Market Street Railway Company, through the generosity of the voters of San Francisco, was granted a franchise for twenty-five years for nothing, and they still have about seventeen years to go. I do not think any member of this Board wants to hold up this traffic problem for seventeen years. But what I want to say is this, and I am saying this, God willing, I hope to be here tomorrow and for the next few months, and I want to say to you that if the people of San Francisco see fit to elect this Commission, which I hope they will, that in so far as I am concerned I will not agree to any price for the Market Street Railway Company until this Commission makes a recommendation.

THE PRESIDENT: The question is on the resolution.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: Mr. Chairman?

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Reilly.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: I would like to ask a question. Would you support a \$20,000,000 bond issue?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: I do not know.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: If it is a fair question.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: I think it is a fair question. I feel that it should be considerably less than that, considerably less than that. I feel that if we offer—I will just give you briefly the way I feel at this present moment, that if we would give the Market Street Railway \$9,180,000 for their entire set-up, that is, debts and liabilities, and physical properties, and then if the Utilities Commission would ask us for \$4,000,000, then I think they are offering something that the people would give kindly consideration to.

THE PRESIDENT: Might the Chair ask you how you would use that \$4,000,000? Mr. Cahill has \$5,000,000 to reconstruct track and extensions, \$3,000,000 to replace 150 cars at \$20,000 each, \$1,512,000 to purchase 108 trolley coaches at \$14,000 each, repair car and coach storage, \$90,000; consolidate shops, \$25,000; overhead wire for trolley bus operation, \$523,000; new connecting tracks and special work, \$150,000; purchase of automotive coaches, \$228,000; contingencies, \$272,000; materials, supplies and equipment, \$578,000; overhaul cars, \$600,000, which makes a total of \$12,000,000. How would you use that \$4,000,000?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: I feel Mr. Cahill is going much further than he should at this time, on account of the Traffic Commission. For instance, in his original estimate he wants to replace cars, 450 of them, more cars, I believe, than the Market Street Railway has at the present time. Am I correct when I say that?

MR. CAHILL: I just told you we had meticulously examined over 400 vehicles. You are reading from the wrong report. The last report

calls for 150 cars, and repair the old ones to the tune of about \$600,000, and try to run along with them for a while.

**SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT:** I believe if Mr. Cahill is given \$4,000,000 to start with, if the people will give him that, in other words, if we offer the people a bond issue of, we will say, \$14,000,000, and then the price that the railroad would come to us—I cannot see any more than \$9,180,000 being paid for it—well and good.

**THE PRESIDENT:** We can not do it, Doctor.

**SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT:** If they don't want to recommend that, I can not see where—

**THE PRESIDENT:** Call the roll.

**SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI:** Mr. President?

**THE PRESIDENT:** Mr. Roncovieri.

**SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI:** Just a word. After all, the responsibility for rehabilitating and conducting the road rests, according to the Charter, legally in the hands of the Public Utilities Commission. We have nothing whatever to do with it. The Charter makers, of which we have our honorable friend here, Mr. Uhl, created that situation. We are out of the picture. We have nothing whatever to say. Now, as a member of this Board, I feel it my duty to accept the recommendation that is made by Mr. Cahill and the Utilities Commission. The amount of \$12,500,000 is the limit on the amount that will be paid, it will be less than that, and we will depend upon that commission that is about to be appointed, and in the event it is not appointed, that is, in the event it is not voted by the people, I provide for the Mayor appointing a committee of five outstanding citizens to bargain for a less sum than \$12,500,000.

I cannot understand, in view of the limitations that surround us, inability to force any price, any amount on the Public Utilities Commission, due largely to lack of knowledge—we are not engineers, and I don't think it is our province. If the people are not permitted to decide the matter—after all, in major matters of this kind the intelligence of the people may be relied upon, and if they think it is too much or if they think there is anything wrong, they will vote no, but I firmly believe that the 100,000 daily riders on the Market Street line, each of whom pays two cents more than he ought to pay, both ways, going downtown and coming back home, making four cents a day, makes, if you use 25 days a month, at least \$1 a month, and makes \$12 a year to one individual. I believe that individual will vote in favor of that bond issue. He will figure that \$12 a year may mean more milk for the babies at home. These things are important to the individual, so important that he will vote for this issue.

You will have this present condition for the next 17 or 18 years due, as the Mayor has said, to the generosity of the people in making a gift to that company of a franchise for 25 years. Seventeen or 18 more years to run. Does any sound man want this condition to continue and get so bad that the company may have to discontinue service in the outlying districts? And the people of those districts will storm this City Hall some day and do something about it. The people are aroused at the present moment. They want a five-cent fare, as stated in the Daily News one day in an editorial, that the City purchase of the Market Street Railway Company is the only way to restore the five-cent fare and give the rehabilitation and progress that will eventually give us an up-to-date unified service. The News is for that on that basis. That's why I am for it. I want to see the five-cent fare come back to two-thirds of our riders; I want to see the universal transfer. To vote no tonight is to deny, is to deprive two out of every three riders of the five-cent fare.

I pointed out the other day that 200,000,000 fares are collected, according to Mr. Randall Ellis, 200,000,000 fares are collected in one year by that company. Two cents difference, two cents more that they have to pay equals \$4,000,000. Just think of the money that the



people have to pay, \$4,000,000 more than they would pay if the City ran those cars on a five-cent fare——

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Mr. Roncovieri——

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I wish you wouldn't interrupt me.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: I am perfectly willing to submit to the people a figure of \$9,180,000, or thereabouts, say, \$9,200,000 for the purchase of the line. I am in favor of it.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Yes, sir.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: It will do away with the seven-cent fare, give us the universal transfer, and get rid of a big stumbling block.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Yes, sir.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: I am in favor of that, and perfectly willing to do that. I don't want to see that defeated, I don't want to see that defeated. I want to get rid of that stumbling block, and what we are doing if we add \$12,000,000 to that will be to surely defeat that part of the proposition we are anxious to achieve, the getting rid of the Market Street Railroad. I feel, as I said before, Supervisor Roncovieri, what we should do is place before the people \$9,200,000 for the acquiring of the Market Street road. Let them negotiate on that basis. And add, we will say, around \$4,000,000 for the beginning or doing necessary rehabilitation.

THE PRESIDENT: Doctor, I thought you were rising to ask a question.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: That's the way I feel.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: My answer to that is this: If you fix the \$9,000,000 price, you are tying the hands of the new Traffic Commission. They will say, "Why, we only have \$9,000,000 to buy a piece of property that we think is worth more." I don't know. I hope they will be able to get it for less——

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Mr. Roncovieri——

THE PRESIDENT: Wait until Supervisor Roncovieri has finished, Doctor.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I hope they can buy it for less. At least, when a man asks you \$12 for a suit of clothes, you ought to have the \$12 in your clothes, and then you can try to get it for \$9, if you want. Now, they want \$12,000,000. We don't approve \$12,000,000—I do not, and Mr. Cahill has repeatedly said he does not.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Exactly.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: No counter offer has been made. We intend—at least I think that the Traffic Commission will act as our agent and that they will go to the Railroad Company and say, "We offer you so much," according to how much they think, and if we have faith in them, and we have to have faith in someone——

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Roncovieri, his Honor the Mayor would like to be excused at this time.

MAYOR ROSSI: Yes, I should like to be excused, if there is no objection on the part of the Board, and incidentally I would like to ask a small favor; that is, that my remarks be made a part of the record.

THE PRESIDENT: If there is no objection, such will be the order. (Gavel.)

MAYOR ROSSI: Thank you, gentlemen.

THE PRESIDENT: Proceed, Supervisor Roncovieri.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Now, if the Traffic Commission, when and if it is appointed, should come back to us and say, "We want to give \$12,500,000, that is the right price," and you still think that it should be \$9,000,000, you will then vote no, and I will vote with you if I think the Commission are wrong, because we will put the Commission on the witness stand and make them explain how they arrive at the \$12,500,000. No one has studied how much to offer as a counter offer, nobody. Mr. Cahill has not, and he tells you offhand, "I don't think it is worth that." Now, what it is worth, I don't know, but we do know Mr. Kahn has asked \$12,500,000; at least he will not raise

that figure. Now, we are perfectly willing, and we are not asking for \$12,500,000, and when the price is brought to us by the new Commission, then vote yes or vote no, we have power over them, we can refuse to vote \$9,000,000, or it might be \$11,500,000.

As said a minute ago, if the assessment is \$5,500,000, then it is reasonable to suppose that is on a 50 per cent basis. I don't know, but we know our own property, anybody knows that they wouldn't sell it for what it is assessed for.

I hope no one will deprive the people of the relief that they are entitled to. A question, a point that has not been touched at all, is this: Mr. Cahill states clearly in his report that he anticipates and believes \$5,500,000 will be allowed by the Federal Government. Now, that is clean, new money, \$5,500,000. That money is given for the purpose of putting our unfortunate unemployed to work. Thousands of men will be put to work tearing up the street and placing down rails, repaving and what-not. Those men who need employment. We, some few years ago, borrowed—issued a bond issue of some \$6,000,000 and borrowed that money for no other purpose than to give relief to those who needed it. Now, this is relief that will help the unemployed man, it has every advantage, and I believe the people, not only those on relief but those who are travelers on that line, I believe every person who pays a dollar a month more on the Market Street line will vote favorably on this bond issue. And we don't have to give Mr. Cahill the money he will ask in the future, we still hold the purse strings. But the amount will be there, the \$24,000,000 wherewith to buy and rehabilitate the road. Now, don't deprive the people of this five-cent fare, the universal transfer and all of the advantages that will come from a 100 per cent new road, new tracks, new cars, 150 of them of the latest type, trolley coaches, trolley buses, all the latest, don't deprive the people of that; I wouldn't.

**SUPERVISOR REILLY:** I would like to ask the Doctor a question. If I am right—I missed part of the meeting—but I heard a remark, I believe that you made, that you were rather fearful that the people might not view with acceptance the proposed bond issue that is now before us. You asked the question of Mr. Cahill: Did he think, in his judgment, would the people vote for the bond issue now proposed. Nobody, in my opinion, of course, can pre-determine what the people will do, but we can present an issue to them, and it is natural that we should do that, that is my feeling in the matter. Then, of course, we must abide by their wishes in the matter. Now, the thought that I am trying to convey to the Doctor is this: If this proposed issue is presented, and if the people should turn down this issue and say they don't want it, then you are not prevented from presenting another plan with another figure to be presented again. And then if that is accepted and agreed to by the people we can immediately go to work, and, with the co-operation of the Board, and the Utilities Commission, and the Manager of Utilities, and present to the people again a bond issue in some form which might meet with your approval. This matter is before us to present to the people this bond issue to see what their decision is in the matter. You will have the right—still have the right, if they are opposed to it, to present some new plan, which I think this Board would be glad to present to the people again.

And I would address my remarks to Supervisor Uhl, who has very definite ideas on the transportation problems. I think Supervisor Uhl should give some thought to the presentation of this to the people and if it is turned down, reserving the right to oppose it at the election, and later, if it is defeated, come in with some new plans and present some new plans to this Board, if the Commission is agreeable to that Commission, and then possibly this Board would present your own plan as a Charter amendment at a subsequent election. In that way we are giving the people the right to decide the issue before us now,



and then we are not denying them the right, if they defeat this issue at the present election, we are not denying them the right to represent some other plan. I believe you should consider that idea, Supervisor Uhl.

Now, Honorable Mayor Rossi has stated he would place great confidence in this Commission, if created, and that he would naturally sit down with them and discuss the entire phases of this transportation problem, and that he would not recommend anything that was not favored by that Commission. The Mayor left a very definite impression in my mind, and I think in the minds of other members of the Board, as I say, on that particular matter. As I see the matter, Doctor Schmidt, and you, Supervisor Uhl, who have taken the opposite view, let us present this issue, and if it should be defeated, if they should deny it, you can come in with another issue and give the people another chance to decide what they want in solving this transportation problem.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: May I—

THE PRESIDENT: Does any other member of the Board desire to speak on this question before Supervisor Schmidt takes the floor again?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: May I?

THE PRESIDENT: Does any other member of the Board wish to speak before Supervisor Roncovieri talks again?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: I merely wanted to ask a question.

THE PRESIDENT: Proceed.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Is it legally possible to divide this into two parts, that is, this resolution? One, the buying of the Market Street Railroad, and put that proposition up to the people at a figure not to exceed, I would say at the most—in all common sense we have to put some figure—say \$10,000,000—and then another amount for rehabilitation. I should like to ask that question.

THE PRESIDENT: You will have to ask City Attorney O'Toole.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: I don't want to be—

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Doctor, I think you would be doing a great accommodation to the Market Street Railroad, because whatever influence they would have would go behind the bond issue for the purchase of the property, whatever the amount. You might have the possibility of having the properties on your hands without any money to rehabilitate them. I suppose you could submit two bond issues, if you want, but it would appear to me to be entirely impracticable, because you would have the properties Mr. Cahill has described to you, and you might not even have your own suggested \$600,000 to rehabilitate them with, so that would be an objection. I think possibly this Board, in the exercise of the discretion provided in the law, and you might get a report from Mr. Cahill or the Utilities Commission on that, and might be able to submit two bond issues.

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Roncovieri.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I merely want to state that if this resolution should be adopted, that I shall follow it up by presenting a resolution that I read, and that I would like to read again, with your permission. May I read it now?

THE PRESIDENT: Proceed.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI (Reading): "Whereas, it has not as yet been determined whether the price of \$12,500,000 which is being asked by the Market Street Railway Company for its operative properties is a fair and reasonable price therefor; and

"Whereas, if a bond issue, as recommended by the Public Utilities Commission, is ratified by the people, the actual value of said operative properties should be determined by negotiation or otherwise; and

"Whereas, it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to submit to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco a Charter Amendment providing for a Traffic Commission to deal with transportation and other kindred matters; now, therefore, be it

"Resolved, That if said Charter Amendment is ratified by the people, said Traffic Commission, as appointed by the Mayor, shall conduct negotiations with the Market Street Railway Company for the purpose of determining the fair and reasonable value of its operative properties; and if said Charter Amendment is not ratified by the people, that the Mayor be given authority, by ordinance of this Board of Supervisors, to appoint a committee of five persons who will conduct said negotiations and will report to this Board as to a fair and reasonable amount to be paid for the operative properties of said Market Street Railway Company; and that the Manager of Utilities and the Controller be made ex-officio members of said committee."

So that the bargain between the City and the Market Street Company will be through our agents, these gentlemen who will be appointed and our own two officials.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: May I ask you this question?

THE PRESIDENT: Proceed.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Mr. Roncovieri, in offering this you are offering to the people then a bond issue of \$12,000,000 in lieu of the \$24,000,000?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: No, I said nothing about the money.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: You said: "Whereas, it has not as yet been determined whether the price——"

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: "Whereas, it has not as yet been determined whether the price of \$12,500,000 which is being asked by the Market Street Railway Company for its operative properties is a fair price therefor."

THE PRESIDENT: That, you will notice, is in the event this resolution is passed.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: We are not assuring them it is \$12,000,000, it states whereas, that has not been determined.

THE PRESIDENT: Now, the question, first——

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I will be very brief, but I would just like to point out to the doctor a little analogy by making use of medical terms, and while I am not a doctor of medicine, this may help him to see the point.

Let us say, Doctor, that you had a patient suffering from some very serious illness, say, an alarmingly low blood count, and perhaps you did not feel that that ailment was in your particular line, you did not feel fully qualified, and you called in a specialist and asked for a consultation on the patient. Suppose that the specialist recommended a major operation and followed that with a recommendation as to the care and treatment of the patient. Wouldn't you feel, having called in a specialist for a consultation, that you should follow his advice? I think I can answer that by saying that that is the general practice among doctors, and that when a specialist recommends a course of treatment, it is usually followed by the doctor calling him in.

Now, we have a patient here that is suffering from an alarmingly low blood count—an alarmingly low revenue count—and we have called in a specialist in the person of Mr. Cahill, to suggest a course of action, which he has done, and the major operation would be the purchase of the road and the course of treatment to be followed would be the rehabilitation Mr. Cahill has suggested——

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Diet and exercise.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Yes, diet and exercise, and tender care.

Mr. Cahill I know personally, and have a very high regard for, and I know that some of the members of the Board share that opinion. Maybe some of the members of the Board don't think as much of him as I do, or his opinions, but if anyone knows the record Mr. Cahill has made, they must admit that he has done a pretty good job with the Municipal Railroad, and he stands very high among those who share his same responsibilities in other cities of the country, and I



think we can regard him as a specialist in this matter, and when he makes a recommendation as to a course of treatment following the major operation, we must accept that as a considered and valued opinion. As far as I am concerned, sufficient of an opinion to justify voting for the sum of money which Mr. Cahill says is the minimum amount to put the railroad in operating condition.

I hope now, Doctor, that I have converted you by my analogy.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: I move a vote on the question.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Which are we voting on, Supervisor Roncovieri's resolution?

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Roncovieri's resolution.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: The resolution of necessity.

THE PRESIDENT: As read by the Clerk.

SUPERVISOR UHL: The hour is late, and I don't want to take any time of the Board except this, to say my objection to it is the same as I expressed last Friday, and which I asked be made a part of the record. There is no use in repeating it, as it was stated very clearly then, and I ask that be incorporated in the record as my reason for voting no.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: I move the question.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. George Allen, representing the Central Council of Civic Clubs, desires about one minute. Is there any objection? (Gavel.)

MR. ALLEN: Mr. President, Gentlemen of the Board: The seriousness of the matter under consideration prompts me to trespass on your tired feelings to say one word. I represent an organization which is a democratic organization and one of the people. There is no other organization in San Francisco in which the people of San Francisco are represented as in the Central Council. I am asking you, in accordance with the vote of the Central Council, to give the people an opportunity to vote on this matter. We are on record for the purchase of the Market Street Railroad at a reasonable price. Others must state that price, but I call your attention that always, when there is a controversial matter up, the Supervisors say, "We will let the people decide." You did that in the case of this so-called Traffic Commission, and there is quite a divergence of opinion amongst the citizens as to whether they may or may not want that particular commission, but I heard Supervisors, one after another, state that, "In a case of that sort we let the people decide." I call upon you to let the people decide on this. If they turn it down, then the onus is on the people of San Francisco. You have no right to deprive us of the right to vote on this.

THE PRESIDENT: After the roll call I trust the members will retain their seats, due to the fact we have other matters before us. Call the roll

#### **Declaratory Resolution—Bond Issue of \$24,480,000, Acquisition and Rehabilitation of the Market Street Railway.**

Whereupon, the roll was called on the foregoing Resolution No. 4186, heretofore presented by Supervisor Roncovieri with the following result:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon—7.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Uhl—3.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

#### **Motion to Reconsider.**

SUPERVISOR REILLY: I wish to change my vote from Aye to No, and give notice of reconsideration, and move that this Board meet tomorrow.

SUPERVISOR RATTO: I should like to ask what the deadline is.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I wish to change my vote and give notice of immediate reconsideration.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: I have the first call, I believe, I changed my vote from Aye to No and move that the Board recess and meet tomorrow at 2:00 o'clock, is there a second?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I second the motion.

THE PRESIDENT: It has been regularly moved and seconded that we recess until 2:00 o'clock tomorrow, that is, when we do recess.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: If that could be made Wednesday, I could be here, for the reason that I have been trying to get out of town for the past week, and it is absolutely imperative that I leave town tomorrow, but I could be back Wednesday, and if you could make it Wednesday around 4:00 o'clock—

SUPERVISOR REILLY: I should like to ask the City Attorney—

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Wednesday, I am afraid you could do nothing, because you would have to hold another adjourned meeting not later than Friday.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: May I ask you, Mr. President, what is the parliamentary situation?

THE PRESIDENT: The parliamentary situation is that Supervisor Reilly changed his vote from Aye to No and gave notice that he will move for reconsideration at the next meeting, and has moved that we recess until 2:00 o'clock—4:00 o'clock Wednesday, Mr. Reilly?

SUPERVISOR REILLY: 4:00 o'clock Wednesday.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I realize, I was going to make a motion for immediate reconsideration.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: Roll call on that.

THE PRESIDENT: The motion is on recessing until 4:00 o'clock on Wednesday—

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Along that same line—Supervisor Brown, I wonder if you would answer a question, I don't want to deprive you from having your vacation, but I don't want to come here Wednesday, because myself and others on this Board have businesses of our own, our own little affairs, and I would ask if it will be convenient for you to be here.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Yes, surely. I was going to say something about it before the Board adjourned anyway. You will remember, I am sure, that I was excused for five weeks a week ago Monday. Now, that five weeks was asked for deliberately because I intended to take a short vacation, which was sadly interrupted, by the way, and I then intended to leave San Francisco next Wednesday, by train, for Portland to be gone in the Northwest at least two weeks. It so happened that the insurance agents of the State of Oregon are having a convention in Portland, which will run on next Thursday and Friday, and the following week the insurance agents of the State of Washington have a convention in Spokane, both of which conventions it is imperative that I attend. I will be here Wednesday night until about—

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Your action Wednesday will be merely passing the resolution of necessity. Now, after that is done the ordinance consolidating this bond issue with the other bond issues, and calling them all for the 27th would cancel beyond Friday.

SUPERVISOR UHL: How many votes are necessary?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Eight, Supervisor.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Could we meet again in the evening?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: The law says "a subsequent meeting."

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Is it true that a resolution of intention requires eight votes?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: The resolution of intention, as well as the ordinance, is a matter of state law, and requires eight votes.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I never found it in the Charter.



CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: It is a matter of state law. We have adopted the State Municipal bond procedure in our Charter, and therefore, you have got to have eight votes, not only for the resolution of necessity, but also for the ordinance. Could you be here Thursday, Arthur?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I don't see how I can, it would be impossible. The convention is for two days, Thursday and Friday, and I must be there both days.

SUPERVISOR RATTO: A plane would take you up there by noon.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: May I simply make this point, I think we are all interested—I say this to Supervisor Reilly—

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I would like to say this, as I see the thing, we are trying to get this on the ballot on September 16th—

THE PRESIDENT: September 27th, Supervisor.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: On September 27th, and I am just as anxious as anyone, but there is another election coming in November, and there is no reason why the matter cannot be submitted then, and I see no reason—it would probably be just as expeditious to submit it in November as September.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: The only difference there, we lose all chance of getting the \$9,750,000 public grant.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: May I address myself to you and Supervisor Reilly—

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Supervisor Reilly wants to withdraw his motion.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: According to what Mr. O'Toole just stated, and Supervisor Brown stated, I would ask you respectfully, can we accomplish anything, irrespective of how I feel?

THE PRESIDENT: I am going to rule Supervisor Reilly's notice of reconsideration out of order because it could not be considered until the next regular meeting of the Board.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Supsequent meeting.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Would it help matters if we could meet here tomorrow evening, and I would get that part of my business, which is out of town, attended to and then we could meet again on Wednesday?

SUPERVISOR UHL: The President has ruled against it.

THE PRESIDENT: You could move to rescind the vote taken and then take it up again on the question of tomorrow night.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I move to rescind our action.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: Second the motion.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Will the chair rule on the number of votes required on this?

THE PRESIDENT: Six votes.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: May I say this, members of the Board: I know that any argument of mine would do nothing but delay matters. I cannot see much reason, if men think as they do on this matter. Of course, men sometimes change their minds. We do know that Supervisor Brown made one point, and every man here is anxious that we purchase the Market Street Railroad, and I take second place to no man on this Board. I would go as far towards buying the Market Street Railroad as any man on this Board, but I cannot allow myself to purchase properties for a price I don't think they are worth. Now, Supervisor Brown has just touched upon the point that this matter can go over until November, and Supervisor Roncovieri rebutted that statement with the thought if it did, we could not get the PWA money. Well, he knows, as chairman of the Finance Committee, perhaps better than any man on this Board here, that PWA money is only given under certain conditions, for work and some material, but for work to be done. I don't think that Supervisor Brown's suggestion is a bad one, so why attempt here tonight—I know what it is. I know the drive that is being made on one member of the Board

of Supervisors. And may I simply say this, following along the lines of Supervisor Brown's suggestion—and Supervisor Brown made quite a statement to the doctor of what might happen in the case of a patient. Let me make a statement, merely as a member of this Board, one who has been on this Board some time, and I sort of feel as one member of this Board a Supervisor should possess—there are two types of character: the positive and the negative. The people of San Francisco don't want negative characters as members of this Board. If a man ever establishes in the minds of the people that he is a negative character, well, he might not repeat very often on being re-elected. I trust during the interim that if any member of the Board, any member of the three voting in the negative here tonight, I think they should give a little thought before changing their vote. This is no small issue, this is an issue of millions and millions, and if you are going to change your vote for one million, or ten million, or six, or nine, and then say ten million, I say look out, because if it is ever established by the people of San Francisco that you are a negative character, you don't stand very long on this Board.

THE PRESIDENT: The question is on rescinding.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I trust when we adjourn—and I am particularly speaking to the two men who voted with me, we are seeing here a great effort made to change the vote of one man here tonight, and I trust and hope that one man will stand as he has stood, unless he can positively say to himself, "I have done the right thing and have not been merely whipped into line because of others."

THE PRESIDENT: Call the roll.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Brown?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Colman? Absent.

THE CLERK: Supervisor McSheehy?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Mead?

SUPERVISOR MEAD: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Meyer?

SUPERVISOR MEYER: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Ratto?

SUPERVISOR RATTO: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Reilly?

SUPERVISOR REILLY: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Roncovieri?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Schmidt?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Shannon?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Uhl?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Aye.

THE CLERK: 9 Ayes, 1 No, and 1 absent.

The action is *rescinded*.

### Passed for Second Reading.

The following matter was presented and *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

### Calling Special Election September 27, 1938, For Issuance of Bonds For Various Public Improvements.

(Code No. 12.12)

Bill No. 1669, Ordinance No. 12.1291, as follows:

An Ordinance calling a special election in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 27th day of September, 1938, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco the following propositions of incurring bonded indebtedness of said city for the acquisition, construction and completion of each



of the following improvements, to-wit: (1) Sewers; (2) Courts Building; (3) Yacht Harbor; (4) Schools; (5) Welfare Building; (6) Playgrounds; (7) Livestock Pavilion: declaring the estimated cost of said improvements and each thereof, the amount of the principal of said indebtedness to be incurred for each thereof and the maximum rate of interest to be paid thereon: fixing the date of said election, the manner of holding the same, establishing election precincts and polling places for said election and appointing boards of election therefor, and providing for notice thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 27th day of September, 1938, for the purpose of submitting to the qualified electors of said City and County the several questions of incurring bonded indebtedness of said City and County for the objects and purposes set forth in each of the following several propositions, to-wit:

**PROPOSITION No. 1:** Shall the City and County of San Francisco (Sewer Bonds) incur a bonded indebtedness in the principal amount of \$4,200,000 for the acquisition, construction and completion of the following municipal improvements, to-wit: The construction of new sewers, sewer replacements and the construction and extension of sewage treatment plants and pumping stations within the City and County of San Francisco, together with all appurtenances and lands that may be necessary for the construction, replacement and extension of sewers, sewage treatment plants and pumping stations, the work to be performed in the east of Van Ness avenue district, west of Van Ness avenue district, south of Market and Mission streets districts, Sunset-Parkside and West of Twin Peaks districts and Park-Presidio district?

**PROPOSITION No. 2:** Shall the City and County of San Francisco (Courts Building Bonds) incur a bonded indebtedness in the principal amount of \$3,828,000 for the acquisition, construction, completion and furnishing of the following municipal improvement, to-wit: A Courts Building in the Civic Center of San Francisco on land owned by the said City and County for the purpose of providing appropriate quarters for the Superior and Municipal courts, and for other courts that may be hereafter created, for the County Clerk and for the Clerk of the Municipal Court and for such other agencies or offices of the City and County of San Francisco as may be accommodated therein?

**PROPOSITION No. 3:** Shall the City and County of San Francisco (Yacht Harbor Bonds) incur a bonded indebtedness in the principal amount of \$700,000 for the acquisition, construction and completion of the following municipal improvement, to-wit: The extension and improvement of the existing Yacht Harbor in the Bay of San Francisco, by the construction of a concrete pier, approaches, service wharves, landing stages and mooring piles for yacht berths and the installation of electric lighting, water supply and other utilities and the construction of light houses at each end of the concrete pier designed to light the harbor entrances and all intended for the safe and better enjoyment of the Yacht Harbor.

**PROPOSITION No. 4:** Shall the City and County of San Francisco (School Bonds) incur a bonded indebtedness in the principal amount of \$2,800,000 for the acquisition, construction and completion of the following municipal improvement, to-wit: New school house buildings in the City and County of San Francisco and the acquisition of certain lands therefor, including the furnishings and structures necessary to be used in and about said school house buildings?

**PROPOSITION No. 5:** Shall the City and County of San Francisco (Welfare Building Bonds) incur a bonded indebtedness in the principal amount of \$225,000 for the acquisition, construction and completion of the following municipal improvement, to-wit: A Welfare Building to be erected for the use of the Public Welfare Department on the west side of Gough street between Page and Rose streets in the City and County of San Francisco, on land owned by the said City and County of San Francisco, and to properly furnish and equip the said building?

**PROPOSITION No. 6:** Shall the City and County of San Francisco (Playground Bonds) incur a bonded indebtedness in the principal amount of \$900,000 for the acquisition, construction, completion, equipping and furnishing of playground structures and other improvements, all of which said playgrounds are located within the City and County of San Francisco?

**PROPOSITION No. 7:** Shall the City and County of San Francisco (Livestock Pavilion Bonds) incur a bonded indebtedness in the principal amount of \$525,000 for the construction and completion of the following improvement, to-wit: Buildings on the property of Agricultural District 1A, all designed for agricultural, horticultural, viticultural and livestock fairs and exhibitions, floral displays, exhibitions of industries, county fairs and of expositions, including all furniture, fixtures and other works, property and appliances necessary or convenient for the proper exercise of the functions of the City and County of San Francisco?

That the estimated cost of said proposed municipal improvement above described as Proposition No. 1 (Sewer Bonds) is the sum of \$4,200,000, and the amount of the principal of said indebtedness proposed to be incurred for said municipal improvement is the sum of \$4,200,000. That the estimated cost of said proposed municipal improvement above described as Proposition No. 2 (Courts Building Bonds) is the sum of \$3,828,000, and the amount of the principal of said indebtedness proposed to be incurred for said municipal improvement is the sum of \$3,828,000. That the estimated cost of said proposed municipal improvement above described as Proposition No. 3 (Yacht Harbor Bonds) is the sum of \$700,000, and the amount of the principal of said indebtedness proposed to be incurred for said municipal improvement is the sum of \$700,000. That the estimated cost of said proposed municipal improvement above described as Proposition No. 4 (School Bonds) is the sum of \$2,800,000, and the amount of the principal of said indebtedness to be incurred for said municipal improvement is the sum of \$2,800,000. That the estimated cost of said proposed municipal improvement above described as Proposition No. 5 (Welfare Building Bonds) is the sum of \$225,000, and the amount of the principal of said indebtedness to be incurred for said municipal improvement is the sum of \$225,000. That the estimated cost of said proposed municipal improvement above described as Proposition No. 6 (Playground Bonds) is the sum of \$900,000, and the amount of the principal of said indebtedness to be incurred for said municipal improvement is the sum of \$900,000. That the estimated cost of said proposed improvement above described as Proposition No. 7 (Livestock Pavilion Bonds) is the sum of \$525,000, and the amount of the principal of said indebtedness to be incurred for said improvement is the sum of \$525,000.

None of the bonds described in Proposition No. 1 (Sewer Bonds,) Proposition No. 2 (Courts Building Bonds,) Proposition No. 3 (Yacht Harbor Bonds,) Proposition No. 4 (School Bonds,) Proposition No. 5 (Welfare Building Bonds) or Proposition No. 6 (Playground Bonds,) or any thereof, shall be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government through one of its agencies shall make, or agree to make, a grant to the City and County of approximately forty-five (45) per



cent of the estimated cost of the respective projects (exclusive of land) for which such bonds are proposed to be issued, as determined by the Administrator of the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works.

It is contemplated that said grants to the amount aforesaid will be received by the City and County of San Francisco in cash and if so received the amount thereof will be applied to the payment of the principal and interest of said bonds herein proposed to be issued for the respective project for which such grant is received. Any sums which may be received upon any such grant, in excess of 45 per cent of the estimated cost of any such proposed improvement, may be applied in the discretion of the Board of Supervisors either to the payment of the cost of such proposed improvement or to the payment of the principal and interest of the bonds proposed to be issued therefor. If any grant in respect of any such project so made by the United States of America or any agency thereof, is conditioned or is to be applied solely to the payment of the cost of the improvement for which such grant is made, then the total amount of bonds herein proposed to be issued in respect of such improvement shall be proportionately reduced and the Board of Supervisors shall withhold from sale, and cancel, an amount of bonds equal to the amount of the grant so applied to the payment of the cost of such improvement. Said Board of Supervisors reserves the right to adopt such of the foregoing methods of applying any such grant received from the United States of America or any one of its agencies as may be necessary to satisfy any condition imposed by the United States of America or any one of its agencies as a condition precedent to the making of such grant.

Before bonds shall be issued or sold for the construction or completion of the livestock pavilion referred to as Proposition No. 7, the State of California shall contribute to Agricultural District No. 1A, the sum of \$300,000, or said contribution shall be made from one of the agencies of the State of California.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby submit to the qualified electors of said City and County at said special election the said several propositions set forth in Section 1 of this ordinance and designated respectively Proposition No. 1 (Sewer Bonds), Proposition No. 2 (Courts Building Bonds), Proposition No. 3 (Yacht Harbor Bonds), Proposition No. 4 (School Bonds), Proposition No. 5 (Welfare Building Bonds), Proposition No. 6 (Playground Bonds) and Proposition No. 7 (Livestock Pavilion Bonds), and designates and refers to each of said propositions in the form of ballot hereinafter prescribed for use at said election. Bonds of said City and County in the aggregate amount specified in the proposition or propositions which carry by the affirmative vote of two-thirds of the qualified electors of said City and County voting upon such proposition or propositions, shall be issued and sold for the purposes specified in such proposition or propositions which receive such affirmative two-thirds vote. The votes cast for and against each proposition hereby submitted shall be counted separately and when two-thirds of the qualified electors voting on any one of such propositions at said election vote in favor thereof, such proposition shall be deemed adopted.

The maximum rate of interest to be paid upon said indebtedness created in all said propositions shall be six per cent (6%) per annum payable semi-annually. All bonds issued to represent any indebtedness authorized under any of said propositions herein submitted shall be of the denomination of One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000) each, and in the case of Proposition No. 3 (Yacht Harbor Bonds), Proposition No. 5 (Welfare Building Bonds), Proposition No. 6 (Playground Bonds) and Proposition No. 7 (Livestock Pavilion Bonds), these bonds shall be retired within five (5) years from date of issuance. In the case

of Proposition No. 4 (School Bonds), these bonds shall be retired within ten (10) years from the date of issuance. In the case of Proposition No. 1 (Sewer Bonds) and Proposition No. 2 (Courts Building Bonds), these bonds shall be retired within fifteen (15) years from the date of issuance; provided, however, that in the case of any bonds issued for any authorized revenue producing public works, plant, utility or property, the Board of Supervisors may in its discretion determine and fix a day for the earliest maturity of the principal of such bonds not more than ten (10) years from the date of the issue thereof, and, provided, further, that bonds issued pursuant to said proposition designated in Section 1 of this ordinance as Proposition No. 7 (Livestock Pavilion Bonds) shall be payable One Hundred and Five Thousand Dollars (\$105,000) principal amount in each of the years from one (1) to five (5) years after their date.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided, and in all particulars not herein recited, such election shall be held as provided by law for holding city and county elections in the City and County of San Francisco. The polls at the polling places hereinafter designated shall be opened at seven (7) o'clock in the morning of said day of election and shall be kept open continuously thereafter until eight (8) o'clock in the evening of said day of election, when the polls shall be closed (except as provided in Section 1164 of the Political Code), and the election officers shall thereupon proceed to canvass the ballots cast thereat and make due return thereof in the manner provided by law.

Section 4. For the conduct of said special election the City and County of San Francisco is hereby divided into One Thousand and Fifty-five (1055) special election precincts. The territory embraced within each of said precincts hereinafter numbered shall consist of the precincts bearing a like number as now established of record by the Registrar of Voters of the City and County of San Francisco for general state and county election purposes. Said precincts and polling places therein for the conduct of said election are as follows, with the names of the officers serving therein:

[Here follows the list of voting places and officers to serve at the special election as designated by the Registrar of Voters:]

Section 5. The ballots to be used at said special election shall be substantially in the following form, to-wit:

No.	No.	Stamp crosses (X) on ballot ONLY WITH RUBBER STAMP; never with pen or pencil.  (Fold ballot to this line, leaving top margin exposed.)
-----	-----	--

#### MUNICIPAL TICKET.

To vote for the proposition and thereby incur a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in such proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "YES".

To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in such proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "NO".

All marks except the cross (X) are forbidden.

All distinguishing marks or erasures are forbidden and make the ballot void.

If you wrongly stamp, tear or deface this ballot, return it to the Inspector of Election and obtain another.



<p>PROPOSITION No. 1: Shall a bonded indebtedness of \$4,200,000 be incurred for constructing, extending and replacing sewers, sewage treatment plants, pumping stations, appurtenances and acquiring lands for said purposes?</p>	YES	
	NO	
<p>PROPOSITION No. 2: Shall a bonded indebtedness of \$3,828,000 be incurred for constructing and furnishing a Courts Building in the Civic Center on land owned by the City to house Courts and other agencies?</p>	YES	
	NO	
<p>PROPOSITION No. 3: Shall a bonded indebtedness in the sum of \$700,000 be incurred for the improvement of Yacht Harbor, constructing therein piers, breakwater, service walls, landing stages, lighthouses, mooring piles and installation of utilities service?</p>	YES	
	NO	
<p>PROPOSITION No. 4: Shall a bonded indebtedness of \$2,800,000 be incurred for constructing and furnishing new school house buildings, the acquisition of lands therefor and structures necessary to be used in and about said buildings?</p>	YES	
	NO	
<p>PROPOSITION No. 5: Shall a bonded indebtedness of \$225,000 be incurred to construct a building on lands owned by the City for use of the Public Welfare Department and furnishing said building?</p>	YES	
	NO	
<p>PROPOSITION No. 6: Shall a bonded indebtedness of \$900,000 be incurred for constructing, improving and equipping playgrounds and structures thereon within the City and County?</p>	YES	
	NO	
<p>PROPOSITION No. 7: Shall a bonded indebtedness of \$525,000 be incurred for the construction and furnishing buildings on property of Agricultural District 1A, designed for agricultural, horticultural, viticultural and livestock fairs, floral and industrial displays?</p>	YES	
	NO	

Where voting machines are used at said special election, said propositions shall appear thereon substantially in the form above set forth in said ballots to be used at said special election.

Section 6. All persons qualified to vote at City and County elections in said City and County of San Francisco upon the date of the election herein provided for shall be qualified to vote upon any or all of the propositions hereby submitted at said special election.

WHERE BALLOTS ARE USED, each voter to vote for any proposition hereby submitted and for incurring said bonded indebtedness set forth in such proposition shall stamp a cross (X) in the blank space opposite the word "YES" on the ballot to the right of said proposition, and to vote against any proposition and against incurring said indebtedness set forth on such proposition, shall stamp a cross (X) in the blank space opposite the word "NO" on the ballot to the right of such proposition. A cross (X) stamped in the voting square on the ballot after the word "YES" to the right of any proposition shall be counted as a vote in favor of such proposition and to authorize the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of and for the purpose specified therein, and a cross (X) stamped in the voting square after the word "NO" to the right of any proposition shall be counted as a vote against such proposition and a refusal to authorize the incurring of any bonded debt for the purpose specified therein.

WHERE VOTING MACHINES ARE USED at said special election, said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for any proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "YES" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and said voting machines shall also be arranged so that any qualified elector may vote against any proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "NO" under or near a statement of any proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine which said act shall constitute a vote against such proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same shall comply in all respects with the provisions of law.

Section 7. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest of said bonds, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco shall at the time of fixing the general tax levy and in the manner for such general tax levy provided, levy and collect annually each year until said bonds are paid, or until there shall be a sum in the treasury of said City and County set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for principal and interest on such bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds and also such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time of fixing the next general tax levy; provided that if the maturity of the indebtedness created by any issue of bonds be made to begin more than one year after the date of issuance of such bonds, such tax shall be levied and collected at the time and in the manner aforesaid annually each year sufficient to pay the interest on such indebtedness as it falls due, and also to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal thereof on or before maturity; provided, further, that in the case of said bonds issued pursuant to said proposition designated in section 1 of this ordinance as Proposition No. 7 (Livestock Pavilion Bonds) such tax shall be, in any event, sufficient to raise annually for the first one-half of the term said bonds have to run a sum sufficient to pay the interest thereon, and during the balance of the term sufficient to pay such annual interest and to provide annually a proportion of the principal of said bonds equal to a sum produced by taking the whole amount of said bonds outstanding and dividing it by the number of years said bonds have to run, and the Board of Supervisors hereby by ordinance provides for the levy of an annual tax sufficient for the purposes aforesaid.



Section 8. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in The San Francisco Call-Bulletin, a newspaper of general circulation published in said City and County of San Francisco at least six (6) days a week (being the official newspaper of said City and County) and such publication shall constitute notice of said election. No other notice of the election hereby called need be given.

For the purpose of giving notice of said special election in so far as the same is called for the purpose of authorizing the indebtedness referred to in said Proposition No. 7 (Livestock Pavilion Bonds), as designated in section 1 of this ordinance (but for no other purpose) this ordinance shall also be published once a week for at least four (4) weeks in said official newspaper, but no defect in such publication shall affect the election hereby called with respect to any proposition other than said Proposition No. 7 (Livestock Pavilion Bonds) as so designated in said Section 1 of this ordinance.

RECOMMENDED BY:

FINANCE COMMITTEE:

Alfred Roncovieri  
Geo. R. Reilly  
Warren Shannon

RECOMMENDED BY:

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMITTEE:

Fred Meyer  
Arthur M. Brown, Jr.  
Jas. B. McSheehy.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.  
Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors at 12:15 a. m. recessed until Tuesday, August 16, 1938, at 10 p. m.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors August 29, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, David A. Barry, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journals of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

DAVID A. BARRY,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

The following are the names of the members of the American Medical Association who have been elected to the office of President of the Association for the year 1917:

Dr. J. C. Brainerd, Chicago, Ill., President of the American Medical Association for the year 1917.

Dr. J. C. Brainerd, Chicago, Ill., President of the American Medical Association for the year 1917.

Dr. J. C. Brainerd, Chicago, Ill., President of the American Medical Association for the year 1917.

Dr. J. C. Brainerd, Chicago, Ill., President of the American Medical Association for the year 1917.

Dr. J. C. Brainerd, Chicago, Ill., President of the American Medical Association for the year 1917.

Dr. J. C. Brainerd, Chicago, Ill., President of the American Medical Association for the year 1917.

Dr. J. C. Brainerd, Chicago, Ill., President of the American Medical Association for the year 1917.

Dr. J. C. Brainerd, Chicago, Ill., President of the American Medical Association for the year 1917.

Dr. J. C. Brainerd, Chicago, Ill., President of the American Medical Association for the year 1917.

Dr. J. C. Brainerd, Chicago, Ill., President of the American Medical Association for the year 1917.

Dr. J. C. Brainerd, Chicago, Ill., President of the American Medical Association for the year 1917.

Dr. J. C. Brainerd, Chicago, Ill., President of the American Medical Association for the year 1917.

Dr. J. C. Brainerd, Chicago, Ill., President of the American Medical Association for the year 1917.

Dr. J. C. Brainerd, Chicago, Ill., President of the American Medical Association for the year 1917.

Dr. J. C. Brainerd, Chicago, Ill., President of the American Medical Association for the year 1917.

Dr. J. C. Brainerd, Chicago, Ill., President of the American Medical Association for the year 1917.

Dr. J. C. Brainerd, Chicago, Ill., President of the American Medical Association for the year 1917.

Dr. J. C. Brainerd, Chicago, Ill., President of the American Medical Association for the year 1917.

Dr. J. C. Brainerd, Chicago, Ill., President of the American Medical Association for the year 1917.

Dr. J. C. Brainerd, Chicago, Ill., President of the American Medical Association for the year 1917.

Dr. J. C. Brainerd, Chicago, Ill., President of the American Medical Association for the year 1917.

Dr. J. C. Brainerd, Chicago, Ill., President of the American Medical Association for the year 1917.

Dr. J. C. Brainerd, Chicago, Ill., President of the American Medical Association for the year 1917.

Dr. J. C. Brainerd, Chicago, Ill., President of the American Medical Association for the year 1917.



Vol. 33

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

No. 36

Tuesday, August 16, 1938

Wednesday, August 17, 1938

Monday, August 22, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.

Journal of Proceedings  
Board of Supervisors

For the Year 1900



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

TUESDAY, AUGUST 16, 1938, 10 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reconvened pursuant to recess.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

## Adopted.

The following recommendations of his Honor, the Mayor, were taken up.

Leave of Absence—William J. Quinn, Chief of Police.

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 4187, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Chief William J. Quinn, member of the San Francisco Police Department, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing August 20, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Leave of Absence—Charles Dullea, Captain of Police.

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 4188, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of His Honor the Mayor, Captain Charles Dullea, member of the San Francisco Police Department, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty (30) days commencing August 20, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

**Declaratory Resolution, Providing for Bond Issue of \$24,480,000 for Acquisition and Rehabilitation of Properties of Market Street Railway Company.**

(Code No. 15.091)

The following resolution, heretofore on August 15, 1938, defeated and subsequently at the same meeting such action thereon being rescinded, the same was again presented by Supervisor Roncovieri and after discussion *withdrawn temporarily*:

Resolution No. 4186, as follows:

Declaring and determining that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition of the operative properties of the Market Street Railway and the rehabilitation of said properties, all designed to aid in transportation of people within the City and County of San Francisco and that the cost thereof is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income of said City and County.

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared and determined that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition of the operative properties of the Market Street Railway, the re-routing of the lines of said company, the substitution of trolley and gas busses for rail service where coaches would render adequate service more economically, and the replacement of said Market Street Railway cars with modern equipment and the reconditioning of the rails, trolleys, and poles of the said company and the repair of streets within the rails and on both sides of the rails of said company, the establishment of equipping and maintenance shops, the construction and acquisition of trolley buses when necessary, the reconstruction and construction of new and connecting tracks and general railway headquarters, and that the estimated cost of said improvements is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Section 2. That the estimated cost of such improvements as described in Section 1 hereof is the sum of \$24,480,000. Of the said sum of \$24,480,000 not more than \$12,500,000 shall be used for the purpose of purchasing the operative properties of Market Street Railway Company.

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of said sum of \$24,480,000 no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or from other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the amount of \$24,480,000.

**Provides for Negotiations for Fair and Reasonable Price for Market Street Railway Properties.**

(Code No. 15.091)

Whereupon, Supervisor Roncovieri presented the following resolution and moved its adoption:

Resolution No. 4189, as follows:

Whereas, it has not as yet been determined whether the price of \$12,500,000 which is being asked by the Market Street Railway Company for its operative properties is a fair and reasonable price therefor; and

Whereas, if a bond issue, as recommended by the Public Utilities Commission is ratified by the people, the actual value of said operative properties should be determined by negotiation or otherwise; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors has submitted to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco a Charter Amendment providing for a Traffic Commission to deal with transportation and other kindred matters; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That if said Charter Amendment is ratified by the people,



said Traffic Commission, as appointed by the Mayor, shall conduct negotiations with the Market Street Railway Company for the purpose of determining the fair and reasonable value of its operative properties; and if said Charter Amendment is not ratified by the people, that the Mayor be given authority, by ordinance of this Board of Supervisors, to appoint a committee of five persons who will conduct said negotiations and will report to this Board as to a fair and reasonable amount to be paid for the operative properties of said Market Street Railway Company; and that the Manager of Utilities and the Controller be made ex-officio members of said committee; and that said Traffic Commission or said committee to be appointed by the Mayor, report to this Board the nature, character and cost of such rehabilitation and extensions to the properties of said Market Street Railway Company, as said Commission or Committee shall deem proper.

#### Point of Order.

Supervisor McSheehy raised the point of order that the resolution had not been referred to committee.

Chairman (President Shannon) ruled the point of order *well taken*.

#### Referred.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution (No. 4189) was on motion of Supervisor Roncovieri *referred to the Finance Committee*.

#### Recess.

Thereupon after lengthy discussion Supervisor Roncovieri, seconded by Supervisor Brown moved that the Board of Supervisors take a recess in order that the Finance Committee might meet and give consideration to the resolution.

Supervisor McSheehy raised a point of order and asked City Attorney whether it is legally right for the Finance Committee to hold this meeting at this time. He referred to Rule Ten of the Board of Supervisors.

City Attorney O'Toole declared that the President of the Board of Supervisors must answer that question as he is the one that interprets the rules. He referred him to Section 13 of the Charter.

Thereupon, the roll was called on the motion to recess the Board of Supervisors to permit a meeting of the Finance Committee and the same was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Noes—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt—2.

#### Meeting of the Finance Committee.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors recessed and the Finance Committee went into session.

The roll was called and the following members were noted present:

Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon, Reilly—3.

Supervisor Roncovieri presiding.

#### Provides for Negotiations for Fair and Reasonable Price for Market Street Railway Properties.

(Code No. 15.091)

Thereupon, the following resolution presented by Supervisor Roncovieri was read by the Clerk:

Resolution No. 4189, as follows:

Whereas, it has not as yet been determined whether the price of \$12,500,000 which is being asked by the Market Street Railway Com-

pany for its operative properties is a fair and reasonable price therefor; and

Whereas, if a bond issue, as recommended by the Public Utilities Commission is ratified by the people, the actual value of said operative properties should be determined by negotiation or otherwise; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors has submitted to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco a Charter Amendment providing for a Traffic Commission to deal with transportation and other kindred matters; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That if said Charter Amendment is ratified by the people, said Traffic Commission, as appointed by the Mayor, shall conduct negotiations with the Market Street Railway Company for the purpose of determining the fair and reasonable value of its operative properties; and if said Charter Amendment is not ratified by the people, that the Mayor be given authority, by ordinance of this Board of Supervisors, to appoint a Committee of five persons who will conduct said negotiations and will report to this Board as to a fair and reasonable amount to be paid for the operative properties of said Market Street Railway Company; and that the Manager of Utilities and the Controller be made ex-officio members of said Committee; and that said Traffic Commission or said Committee to be appointed by the Mayor, report to this Board the nature, character and cost of such rehabilitation and extensions to the properties of said Market Street Railway Company, as said Commission or Committee shall deem proper.

#### Motion.

Supervisor Reilly, seconded by Supervisor Shannon moved that the resolution be recommended to the Board of Supervisors for adoption.

Chairman Roncovieri then asked whether there were any citizens present who wanted to be heard on the resolution.

There was no response.

After discussion the roll was called and the resolution *recommended to the Board of Supervisors* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Roncovieri, Reilly, Shannon—3.

Thereupon, the Chairman declared that the resolution had been recommended to the Board of Supervisors for adoption.

Whereupon, the Finance Committee adjourned to report the resolution to the Board.

#### REASSEMBLED.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors reassembled.

#### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

President Shannon in the chair.

#### Provides for Negotiations for Fair and Reasonable Price for Market Street Railway Properties.

(Code No. 15.091)

Thereupon, the following resolution, as recommended by the Finance Committee, was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 4189, as follows:

Whereas, it has not as yet been determined whether the price of



\$12,500,000 which is being asked by the Market Street Railway Company for its operative properties is a fair and reasonable price therefor; and

Whereas, if a bond issue, as recommended by the Public Utilities Commission is ratified by the people, the actual value of said operative properties should be determined by negotiation or otherwise; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors has submitted to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco a Charter Amendment providing for a Traffic Commission to deal with transportation and other kindred matters; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That if said Charter Amendment is ratified by the people, said Traffic Commission, as appointed by the Mayor, shall conduct negotiations with the Market Street Railway Company for the purpose of determining the fair and reasonable value of its operative properties; and if said Charter Amendment is not ratified by the people, that the Mayor be given authority, by ordinance of this Board of Supervisors, to appoint a Committee of five persons who will conduct said negotiations and will report to this Board as to a fair and reasonable amount to be paid for the operative properties of said Market Street Railway Company; and that the Manager of Utilities and the Controller be made ex-officio members of said Committee.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon—7.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Uhl—3.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

#### Explanation of Vote.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I want to state my reasons for voting "No" on that, because if I would vote "Yes" it would commit me to the charter amendment creating the Traffic Commission. I have expressed the opinion before that commission would be a duplication of the Public Utilities Commission, and I have written the Mayor a letter stating that in endorsing this Traffic Commission he rather places a reflection on the Public Utilities Commission that they don't quite measure up to the other commission, and therefore I suggest to the Mayor that he ought to remove the Public Utilities Commission and appoint these gentlemen he has in mind and that would clear the whole thing up at a single stroke. I am opposed to duplication, and that is why I am voting "No" on this resolution.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I am going to vote "No" on this resolution, and I wish my vote so recorded for the following reasons: One. The resolution has been introduced by a member of this Board; the Board recessed for five or ten minutes and it has been reported favorably. The Charter never contemplated that any resolution should be introduced under conditions of this kind, and has so stated, and the section is very clear, and made a part of our own rules. The intent of the charter framers was that resolutions and ordinances should not pass this Board without the necessary and orderly procedure, and that particular part is provided for by reference to a committee of the Board, and I feel that the entire resolution presented here tonight is not one that has been orderly passed by this Board, irrespective of what action the Board takes at this particular time.

Secondly, I never was in favor of the Citizens Traffic Committee. Six members of this Board were not in favor, five others changed their minds, which was their perfect right. I feel this is just simply an enactment of the old Traffic Committee, and therefore I will vote "No" for this reason.

**Declaratory Resolution, Providing for Bond Issue of \$24,480,000 for Acquisition and Rehabilitation of Properties of Market Street Railway Company.**

(Code No. 15.091)

Thereupon, Supervisor Roncovieri again presented the following resolution and moved its adoption.

Resolution No. 4186, as follows:

Declaring and determining that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition of the operative properties of the Market Street Railway and the rehabilitation of said properties, all designed to aid in transportation of people within the City and County of San Francisco and that the cost thereof is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income of said City and County.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared and determined that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition of the operative properties of the Market Street Railway, the re-routing of the lines of said Company, the substitution of trolley and gas buses for rail service where coaches would render adequate service more economically, and the replacement of said Market Street Railway cars with modern equipment and the reconditioning of the rails, trolleys, and poles of the said Company and the repair of streets within the rails and on both sides of the rails of said Company, the establishment of equipping and maintenance shops, the construction and acquisition of trolley buses when necessary, the reconstruction and construction of new and connecting tracks and general railway headquarters, and that the estimated cost of said improvements is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Section 2. That the estimated cost of such improvements as described in Section 1 hereof is the sum of \$24,480,000. Of the said sum of \$24,480,000 not more than \$12,500,000 shall be used for the purpose of purchasing the operative properties of Market Street Railway Company.

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of said sum of \$24,480,000 no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or from other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the amount of \$24,480,000.

**Sabotaging the Five Cent Fare.**

Thereupon, Supervisor Roncovieri read the following editorial from the Daily News of this date and urged that request should be made by the Board of Supervisors of Mr. Cahill that he reduce the figure of \$24,480,000 to say \$17,500,000 in order to meet this criticism. The editorial follows:

"Pretending to want unification and the 5 cent fare, Mayor Rossi, Manager of Utilities Cahill and those city officials who go along with them are taking the best way to defeat it by proposing a bond issue of \$24,480,000 for purchase and rehabilitation of the private lines. Much as they want the 5 cent fare the people will not vote for any such amount at the September 27th election. The proposal to spend that much on top of the other P. W. A. bond issues would provoke a taxpayers' revolt and a campaign against all the bonds sufficient to insure their defeat. Mr. Cahill has already yielded partly to the dictates of common sense by scaling down his demands from \$39,000,000 to \$24,480,000. It is now up to Mayor Rossi to insist that he scale them down still farther. Even if the city should pay the Market Street Company's asking price, the lines will cost only \$12,500,000. And we have the testimony of competent



street railway engineers that they could be vastly improved and put into reasonably good condition by the expenditure of not more than \$3,780,500. In other words, a bond issue of 15 to 16 million dollars would be ample to restore the 5 cent fare and improve service at once to the point where it would be tolerable until the city can afford to spend more. If Mayor Rossi wants the 5 cent fare and wishes to demonstrate his sincerity, let him insist that Mr. Cahill withdraw his \$24,480,000 figure and present the board at its meeting with a proposal that stands some chance of getting the people's approval."

City Attorney O'Toole advised that Mr. Cahill had no power to recommend a lower figure. That power rests with the Public Utilities Commission.

After discussion of the foregoing editorial and the submission of a reduced price by Mr. Cahill, Supervisors Roncovieri, Reilly, Brown, McSheehy, Uhl, Schmidt and City Attorney O'Toole, Supervisors Roncovieri moved to withdraw his resolution.

Motion to withdraw *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

No—Supervisor Brown—1.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

#### Supervisor Schmidt's Resolution.

Whereupon, Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Whereas, on the 16th day of August, 1938, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco is contemplating the adoption of a resolution calling for a bond issue of \$24,000,000 for the purchase of the operative properties of the Market Street Railway Company, and for extensions, improvements, and equipment; now, Therefore, Be It

Resolved, That it is the sense of the Board that if the resolution above referred to is adopted and the bonds are voted, none of the proceeds from the sale of such bonds shall be approved for expenditure by the Board of Supervisors except upon the recommendation of the proposed Traffic Commission if and when it comes into being.

#### Motion.

Supervisor Reilly seconded by Supervisor Roncovieri moved the adoption of the resolution.

Supervisor Brown objected to the consideration of the resolution at this time without the reference to committee.

#### Referred.

The resolution was thereupon referred to the Finance Committee.

#### Recess.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved a five minute recess to permit the Finance Committee to meet and consider the resolution.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

#### Finance Committee Meeting.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors having at the hour of 12 o'clock midnight, Thursday, August 16, 1938, recessed for that purpose, a meeting was held by the Finance Committee of the Board of Super-

visors in the chambers of the Board, City Hall, San Francisco, and the following proceedings were had:

### Calling the Roll.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present: Supervisors Roncovieri, Reilly, Shannon—3.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Roncovieri presiding.

### Amendment.

Thereupon, Supervisor Schmidt presented the following language to be added as an amendment to Supervisor Roncovieri's Resolution No. 4189 heretofore presented, to-wit:

"and that said Traffic Commission or said Committee to be appointed by the Mayor to report to this Board the nature, character and cost of such rehabilitation and extensions to the properties of the said Market Street Railway Company as said Commission or Committee may deem proper."

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Reilly moved that Supervisor Schmidt's amendment be adopted.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

### Resolution as Amended.

Thereupon, the resolution of Supervisor Roncovieri as amended by Supervisor Schmidt as follows was recommended to the Board for adoption.

### Provides for Negotiations for Fair and Reasonable Price for Market Street Railway Properties.

(Code No. 15.091)

Resolution No. 4189, as follows:

Whereas, it has not as yet been determined whether the price of \$12,500,000 which is being asked by the Market Street Railway Company for its operative properties is a fair and reasonable price therefor; and

Whereas, if a bond issue, as recommended by the Public Utilities Commission is ratified by the people, the actual value of said operative properties should be determined by negotiation or otherwise; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors has submitted to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco a Charter amendment providing for a Traffic Commission to deal with transportation and other kindred matters; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That if said Charter amendment is ratified by the people, said Traffic Commission, as appointed by the Mayor, shall conduct negotiations with the Market Street Railway Company for the purpose of determining the fair and reasonable value of its operative properties; and if said Charter amendment is not ratified by the people that the Mayor be given authority, by ordinance of this Board of Supervisors, to appoint a committee of five persons who will conduct said negotiations and will report to this Board as to a fair and reasonable amount to be paid for the operative properties of said Market Street Railway Company; and that the Manager of Utilities and the Controller be made ex-officio members of said committee; and that said Traffic Commission or said committee to be appointed by the Mayor, report to this Board the nature, character and cost of such rehabilitation and extensions to the properties of said Market Street Railway Company, as said Commission or committee shall deem proper.

Whereupon, the Finance Committee adjourned to report to the Board of Supervisors.



**BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.**

Thereupon, the Board of Supervisors re-assembled.

**Calling the Roll.**

The roll was called and the following members were noted present:  
Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Shannon presiding.

**CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE:** Mr. President of the Board, would it be possible, in view of the fact that the matter of voting bond issues is so technical, to have an agreement that this Board would first vote on the declaration of necessity, and then on that resolution afterwards? Would that be agreeable to the Board? Because it might be said that that was tied in to the resolution of necessity, and we might have to carry it all through the bond proceedings, which would make it extremely difficult to sell any bonds, no matter how much the Board might be in accord as to the price, extensions and rehabilitations. Now, this Board can only agree among themselves that if the resolution declaring the necessity of acquiring the utility and rehabilitating it is adopted, that this resolution of Supervisor Roncovieri's, as amended, will follow.

**Action Rescinded.**

Whereupon, Supervisor Roncovieri moved to rescind action on the following resolution.

**Provides for Negotiations for Fair and Reasonable Price for Market Street Railway Properties.**

(Code No. 15.091)

Resolution No. 4189, as follows:

Whereas, it has not as yet been determined whether the price of \$12,500,000 which is being asked by the Market Street Railway Company for its operative properties is a fair and reasonable price therefor; and

Whereas, if a bond issue, as recommended by the Public Utilities Commission is ratified by the people, the actual value of said operative properties should be determined by negotiation or otherwise; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors has submitted to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco a Charter Amendment providing for a Traffic Commission to deal with transportation and other kindred matters; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That if said Charter Amendment is ratified by the people, said Traffic Commission, as appointed by the Mayor, shall conduct negotiations with the Market Street Railway Company for the purpose of determining the fair and reasonable value of its operative properties; and if said Charter Amendment is not ratified by the people, that the Mayor be given authority, by ordinance of this Board of Supervisors, to appoint a Committee of five persons who will conduct said negotiations and will report to this Board as to a fair and reasonable amount to be paid for the operative properties of said Market Street Railway Company; and that the Manager of Utilities and the Controller be made ex-officio members of said Committee.

Motion to rescind *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri,\* Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

**Declaratory Resolution, Providing for Bond Issue of \$24,480,000  
for Acquisition and Rehabilitation of Properties of Market Street  
Railway Company.**

(Code No. 15.091)

Whereupon, the following resolution was again taken up and read by the Clerk:

Resolution No. 4186, as follows:

Declaring and determining that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition of the operative properties of the Market Street Railway and the rehabilitation of said properties, all designed to aid in transportation of people within the City and County of San Francisco and that the cost thereof is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income of said City and County.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared and determined that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition of the operative properties of the Market Street Railway, the re-routing of the lines of said Company, the substitution of trolley and gas buses for rail service where coaches would render adequate service more economically, and the replacement of said Market Street Railway cars with modern equipment and the reconditioning of the rails, trolleys, and poles of the said Company and the repair of streets within the rails and on both sides of the rails of said Company, the establishment of equipping and maintenance shops, the construction and acquisition of trolley buses when necessary, the reconstruction and construction of new and connecting tracks and general railway headquarters, and that the estimated cost of said improvements is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Section 2. That the estimated cost of such improvements as described in Section 1 hereof is the sum of \$24,480,000. Of the said sum of \$24,480,000 not more than \$12,500,000 shall be used for the purpose of purchasing the operative properties of Market Street Railway Company.

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of said sum of \$24,480,000 no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or from other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the amount of \$24,480,000.

**Explanation of Vote.**

SUPERVISOR UHL: Mr. President, without taking up the time of the Board to repeat the language tonight, which I gave recently, last Friday's meeting, I believe it was, I gave six reasons for voting No, and I would ask that those six reasons be made a part of the record tonight.

Number 1, Mr. Cahill states, "I feel certain that if the voters approve this bond issue, it will be necessary at an early date to request the voting of additional bond funds to complete the program heretofore outlined." Namely, the total bond issue of \$39,000,000 as proposed in Mr. Cahill's letter to the Utilities Commission of August 5, 1938.

Number 2, that the proposal before the Board is to reconstruct the tracks and purchase 450 cars involving a total of \$26,500,000, as covered in Mr. Cahill's letter of August 5, 1938.

Number 3, that Mr. Cahill states. "From an investment standpoint the purchase of the properties of the Market Street Railway Company for \$12,500,000 is not, in my opinion, justified."



Number 4, that Mr. Cahill states that he wouldn't take the properties at any price, as a gift.

Number 5, Mr. Cahill states that 3 per cent of the proposed bond issue of \$24,478,000 will be, for the first three years, \$764,000 in taxes, and for the fourth year, \$1,464,000 in taxes.

Number 6, that if I were to vote to place this proposal on the ballot, I feel it would be a commitment, which I will not be quoted as favoring.

For these reasons I am voting "No" on the proposal.

THE PRESIDENT: If there is no objection, such will be the order (Gavel).

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Mr. President, I would like to explain my vote this evening and my position in this matter.

When this matter first was presented to us I objected to the presentation, as I stated at that time, because I felt that in the main it interfered with and anticipated the work of the Traffic Commission, which proposition is going to be presented to the people for their decision, and I did not think at the time that this Board should do anything to interfere with that Traffic Commission. When Supervisor Roncovieri introduced this resolution I felt that he answered a good part of that objection. The resolution that I originally read to you along that line, at the time I wrote it, I did not exactly have in mind what Supervisor Roncovieri stated, but when I read my resolution outside, along with Supervisor Roncovieri's, I could see the similarity of them in a good part of it, and I said I would have no objection to that resolution, because I thought it answered much of my objections, if he would accept the amendment that I offered just a few moments ago. With that I feel that the Traffic Commission is not interfered with to the extent that I believe it would have otherwise been interfered with, and, if you remember, that was my objection.

And at that time I said that as long as I have been on this Board I have persistently and consistently favored the right of the people to decide an issue whenever that could be presented to them, whether I agreed with it or not, and I remember Supervisor Reilly at that time said he hoped I would do that at this time. I said that under the conditions which prevailed at that time, I could not, but I feel that under Supervisor Roncovieri's resolution that does not hold. I believe I made my position perfectly clear at that time.

Now, then, the other objection I had was to the price. I still object to it, and I think the people in their wisdom will object to it. That is my opinion, as I mentioned now, is my impression, but because I think it is too high and because I think a majority may defeat it, is no reason why I should deny them the opportunity of expressing their opinion. I have done it before, I have done it on issues I am not in favor of, and I am not particularly, as I want to explain further, in favor as regards this particular matter. I want the people to understand that, that in the presentation of this \$24,000,000 bond issue, we are more or less helpless as regards reducing the figure. Supervisor Roncovieri tried to reduce it, he made suggestions, he read the editorial in the Daily News tonight, and that you—well, it has been read to the members, and when I read this editorial this evening it summarized absolutely my thoughts in the matter. I believe offering this \$24,000,000 bond issue will not tend to save the five-cent fare. But is that the responsibility of the Board of Supervisors? No, it rests with the Public Utilities Commission. The finger can be pointed to us, and rightly so, if it is not presented to the people, and I don't want to be a party to that. "You are the man, or you are the men who denied us the opportunity of voting on this, and for that reason we are still paying the seven-cent fare." I am for a five-cent carfare, I am for a universal transfer, and I am for getting rid of the incubus of the Market Street Railroad, which is nothing but a pile

of junk, in my opinion, and I also believe in clearing the decks for the action of the Traffic Commission, should it be voted into being by the people, or the committee which will be subsequently appointed.

So I would like to have it understood, and I think the people should understand the parliamentary situation that we were up against to-night, and also the charter limitations, that the responsibility—puts the responsibility exactly where it belongs as regards this high figure, which is a high figure. I am not in favor of this figure, it belongs on the shoulders of the Utilities Commission, who will not recommend a lower figure. I think a much better policy would have been for the Public Utilities Commission to have gone to the people of San Francisco and taken them into their confidence and tell them, "It is going to cost you so many million dollars for the rehabilitation of as much of this system as is worthwhile for the extension of our municipal railway system, where that is necessary, and for the addition of buses in the outlying districts, or for any other type of transportation that may be necessary, it is going to cost you so much, but we cannot bite off too much at one time." Let's offer them \$5,000,000 this year, or even \$10,000,000 for rehabilitation, because I think that the price is the chief objection, and I am hoping and trusting we will not have to pay that price, and I understand that the matter of the price to be paid the Market Street Railroad Company has got to come before this Board for final approval, which will doubtless be less than the \$12,000,000, because it is not worth it. However, in removing this incubus I think we are going to go ahead and give the people of San Francisco transportation of the variety they want, if they decide to vote for this. The only thing I am fearful of is that they will deem the price too high.

Should we also take this view? Should it be defeated, will it be a mandate to us to disregard entirely the Market Street Railroad Company, extend our system, and let them, if they will, go into receivership and just wipe them out as a matter of competition? That is something I would like to have the authorities of the Market Street Railroad take into consideration, because I can see that is what is likely to happen in their negotiations with this Commission or the Public Utilities Commission, and my earnest advice to them would be to give the lowest possible figure you can, because that is the danger you are going to run, of not receiving anything for your road if the people defeat this. I think the Board of Supervisors should take that into consideration, and I say it earnestly, and that then we can disregard the Market Street Railroad entirely and go ahead and develop the Municipal part of our system, because that is the destiny of transportation in San Francisco. There is no argument about that.

Under the present circumstances, with the resolution that we have just passed, in casting my vote for this I am allowing the people of San Francisco to express their opinion, realizing that they have sufficient intelligence to decide whether or not they want to vote \$24,000,000 worth of bonds. I feel it is too much, and that you are going to endanger the universal five-cent fare. That if it is defeated the only recourse we will have, as a result of the Public Utilities Commission being able to take the attitude they have taken,—and that is where the responsibility belongs—that we will have to then extend our lines and go into competition, real competition with the Market Street Railroad and eliminate them by such competition.

I hope that I have made my position clear. I have done my best to try to get the lowest possible price. I am up against a stone wall in that, there is no yielding on the part of the Public Utilities Commission, but I don't care to have the finger pointed at me as being the man who is responsible for a seven-cent carfare for two-thirds of the people. I don't care to be placed in that position, and don't want to be placed in that position because that is not my position and not the position I want to take. I want the universal five-cent fare, and if the people don't vote it, it is not my responsibility, then it is the



responsibility of the people, but that is exactly what would happen if we did not send it to the people. My idea was not to stubbornly block it, as I stated, if you will bear with me, my objection to it was from the standpoint of anticipating and interfering, and political interference, if you will, being put in the way of the Traffic Commission.

The figure of \$14,000,000 would have been enough, and I am very sorry that the Public Utilities Commission would not take that view, that \$14,000,000 is enough to pay, and I think that the people would take to it, and it is my prediction that they will not vote the \$24,000,000. I don't think they will vote it, you are giving them too much. After all, we make progress best by taking steps deliberately and somewhat slowly, you are giving them too big a pill to swallow; it is somewhat sugar-coated, but the sugar-coating is somewhat slim. I am afraid it is going to leave us with most of the people still paying a seven-cent fare, but if I should block it they would still be paying a seven-cent fare. That is the position I am in, so I want to give the people the opportunity of voting for this. They will probably vote—they may vote for it and they may not, I don't expect them to, and then the responsibility, I feel, rests upon the Public Utilities Commission.

Then, if we cannot eliminate the seven-cent fare in the manner we hoped it would be by passing this \$24,000,000 bond issue, then we must go into absolute competition with the Market Street Railway and eliminate them by absolute competition, and it can be done if there was a will to eliminate them, and I will say this now, if there was a will to eliminate the Market Street Railway out of the way by competition, you can do it tomorrow, but there is not the will, and we cannot, as a Board, do it. I repeat that again, if there was the will to so compete with the Market Street Railway and with broken promises, I think we would be perfectly justified in doing it. I certainly don't believe in any confiscation of property, but defeat of this proposition would be practically that kind of a mandate. I believe something should be taken into consideration, and, as I say, that situation could be handled when it comes, but it is not the domain of the Board of Supervisors, it is in the domain of the Public Utilities Commission.

#### Adopted.

Whereupon, after discussion the foregoing resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—8.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Uhl—2.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

#### Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution was taken up and *adopted* by the following vote:

**Provides for Negotiations for Fair and Reasonable Price for Market Street Railway Properties.**

(Code No. 15.091)

Resolution No. 4189, as follows:

Whereas, it has not as yet been determined whether the price of \$12,500,000 which is being asked by the Market Street Railway Company for its operative properties is a fair and reasonable price therefor; and

Whereas, if a bond issue, as recommended by the Public Utilities Commission is ratified by the people, the actual value of said operative properties should be determined by negotiation or otherwise; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors has submitted to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco a Charter Amendment provid-

ing for a Traffic Commission to deal with transportation and other kindred matters; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That if said Charter Amendment is ratified by the people, said Traffic Commission, as appointed by the Mayor, shall conduct negotiations with the Market Street Railway Company for the purpose of determining the fair and reasonable value of its operative properties; and if said Charter Amendment is not ratified by the people, that the Mayor be given authority, by ordinance of this Board of Supervisors, to appoint a Committee of five persons who will conduct said negotiations and will report to this Board as to a fair and reasonable amount to be paid for the operative properties of said Market Street Railway Company; and that the Manager of Utilities and the Controller be made ex-officio members of said Committee; and that said Traffic Commission or said Committee to be appointed by the Mayor, report to this Board the nature, character and cost of such rehabilitation and extensions to the properties of said Market Street Railway Company, as said Commission or Committee shall deem proper.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—8.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Uhl—2.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

### RECESS

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Brown the Board of Supervisors adjourned to meet at 4:30 p.m. this afternoon, August 17, 1938.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.

---

### WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 1938, 5:15 P. M.

---

The Board of Supervisors reconvened pursuant to recess.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Uhl—2.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

The following telegram was read by the Clerk:

Hon. Warren Shannon, President, Board of Supervisors, City Hall.

Delayed on business. Arrive city about six. If needed can attend evening meeting. Regards. Adolph Uhl.

The City Attorney informed the Board that the Bond Attorneys were under the impression, by reason of the fact that a meeting was held on August 17th, that there was some doubt in their minds as to whether or not the Board should not have adjourned to a definite time. He stated he had been advised by Mr. Orrick and by Mr. Holm, and he agreed with them, that the Board should have adjourned to a definite time.

### ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, Supervisor Mead, seconded by Supervisor Ratto moved that the Board adjourn this recessed meeting until Wednesday, August 17, 1938, at 5:15 p. m., at which time the Board shall meet at an adjourned regular session.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—7.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Reilly, Uhl—3.



WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 1938, 5:15 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors met in adjourned regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Uhl—2.

### Communications.

Supervisor McSheehy presented communication from San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, expressing opposition to cutting down sidewalks in down town business area.

Referred to Streets Committee. Clerk to acknowledge.

Supervisor McSheehy presented resolution adopted by Clement Street Merchants Association urging removal of certain car tracks, the repair of streets, and the establishing of bus service over certain streets in the Park-Presidio and Richmond districts.

Supervisor Ratto announced that the matter was under consideration in Streets Committee.

### Passed for Second Reading.

Whereupon, the following Bill was presented and *passed* for second reading by the following vote:

**Calling Special Election September 27, 1938, for the Issuance of Bonds for Acquisition and Rehabilitation of Properties of Market Street Railway Company in Estimated Sum of \$24,480,000 and Consolidating Said Election with Special Municipal Bond Election.**

(Code No. 15.091)

Bill No. 1673, Ordinance No. 15.09120, as follows:

An ordinance calling a Special Election in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 27th day of September, 1938, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco the following proposition of incurring bonded indebtedness of said City for the acquisition, construction and completion of the following improvement, to-wit: Acquisition of operative properties of Market Street Railway Company, rerouting lines, replacing and reconditioning equipment, all construction and new equipment necessary for street railway purposes; declaring the estimated cost of said improvement, the amount of the principal of said indebtedness to be incurred therefor and the maximum rate of interest to be paid thereon; fixing the date of said election, the manner of holding the same, and consolidating said election with the Special Municipal Bond Election to be held in said City and County on September 27, 1938, and providing for notice thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 27th day of September, 1938, for the purpose of submitting to the qualified electors of said City and County the question of incurring a bonded indebtedness of said City and County for the objects and purposes set forth in the following proposition, to-wit:

**PROPOSITION:** Shall the City and County of San Francisco (Municipal Railway System) incur a bonded indebtedness in the principal amount of \$24,480,000 for the acquisition, construction and completion of the following municipal improvement, to-wit: The acquisition of the operative properties of the Market Street Railway, the rerouting of the lines of said Company, the substitution of trolley and gas buses for rail service where coaches would render adequate service more economically, and the replacement of said Market Street Railway cars with modern equipment and the reconditioning of the rails, trolleys, and poles of the said company and the repair of streets within the rails and on both sides of the rails of said company, the establishment of equipping and maintenance shops, the construction and acquisition of trolley buses when necessary, the reconstruction and construction of new and connecting tracks and general railway headquarters?

That the estimated cost of said proposed municipal improvement is the sum of \$24,480,000. The amount of the principal of said indebtedness proposed to be incurred for said municipal improvement is the said sum of \$24,480,000. Of said sum of \$24,480,000 not more than \$12,500,000 shall be used for the purpose of purchasing the operative properties of the Market Street Railway Company.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby submit to the qualified electors of said City and County at said special election the proposition set forth in Section 1 of this ordinance, and designates and refers to said proposition in the form of ballot hereinafter prescribed for use at said election. Bonds of said City and County in the aggregate amount specified in the proposition shall be issued and sold for the purpose specified in said proposition if two-thirds of the qualified electors voting on such proposition at said special election shall vote in favor of said proposition.

The maximum rate of interest to be paid upon said indebtedness created in said proposition shall be six per cent (6%) per annum, payable semi-annually. All bonds issued to represent the indebtedness authorized under said proposition herein submitted shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars (\$1,000) each. Said bonds shall mature and become payable in not to exceed twenty-five years from their date of issuance; provided, however, that the Board of Supervisors may, in its discretion, determine and fix a date for the earliest maturity of the principal of said bonds not more than three (3) years from the date of issuance thereof, and may divide said issue into one or more divisions or series, in which event the bonds of each separate division or series shall mature in not to exceed a like number of years from the date of each such division or series, respectively, and the earliest maturity of said bonds of such separate division or series may be postponed for a period of not more than three (3) years from the date of each separate division or series.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided, and in all particulars not herein recited, such election shall be held as provided by law for holding City and County elections in the City and County of San Francisco. The polls at the polling places hereinafter designated shall be opened at seven (7) o'clock in the morning of said day of election and shall be kept open continuously thereafter until eight (8) o'clock in the evening of said day of election, when the polls shall be closed (except as provided in Section 1164 of the Political Code), and the election officers shall thereupon proceed to canvass the ballots cast thereat and make due return thereof in the manner provided by law.



Section 4. In accordance with the provisions of law, said election hereby called shall be, and is hereby, consolidated with the special municipal election to be held in said City and County of San Francisco on the 27th day of September, 1938, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.1291, Bill No. 1669, introduced and passed for second reading by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco on the 15th day of August, 1938, and reference to said Ordinance (a copy whereof is now on file in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors) is hereby specifically made for a designation of the precincts, polling places and officers of election therein set forth, which precincts, polling places and officers of election are hereby adopted for the election hereby called. Such election shall be held in all respects as if there were only one election, and only one ticket or ballot shall be used thereat, except that the Registrar of Voters may provide that voting machines may be used at said election in lieu of ballots.

Section 5. The ballots to be used at said special election shall have printed thereon, in addition to all other matters required by law, the following:

**PROPOSITION No. 8:** Shall a bonded indebtedness of \$24,480,000 be incurred for acquisition of operative properties of Market Street Railway Company, replacing and reconditioning equipment, construction and new equipment necessary for street railway purposes?

Said proposition shall be numbered in consecutive numerical order to follow the numbers of the propositions to be submitted at the special municipal election with which this election is consolidated.

Where voting machines are used at said special election said proposition shall appear thereon substantially in the form above set forth in the ballot to be used at said special election.

Section 6. All persons qualified to vote at City and County elections in said City and County of San Francisco upon the date of the election herein provided for shall be qualified to vote upon the proposition hereby submitted at said special election.

*Where Ballots Are Used*, each voter to vote for said proposition hereby submitted and for incurring said bonded indebtedness set forth in such proposition shall stamp a cross (X) in the blank space opposite the word "YES" on the ballot to the right of said proposition, and to vote against said proposition and against incurring said indebtedness set forth therein shall stamp a cross (X) in the blank space opposite the word "NO" on the ballot to the right of said proposition. A cross (X) stamped in the voting square on the ballot after the word "YES" to the right of the proposition shall be counted as a vote in favor of the proposition and to authorize the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of and for the purpose specified therein, and a cross (X) stamped in the voting square after the word "NO" to the right of said proposition shall be counted as a vote against said proposition and a refusal to authorize the incurring of any bonded debt for the purpose specified therein.

*Where Voting Machines Are Used* at said special election, said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for said proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "YES" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and said voting machines shall also be arranged so that any qualified elector may vote against said proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "NO" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, which said act shall constitute a vote against such proposition. Said

voting machines and the preparation of the same shall comply in all respects with the provisions of law.

Section 7. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest of said bonds, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco shall at the time of fixing the general tax levy and in the manner for such general tax levy provided, levy and collect annually each year until said bonds are paid, or until there shall be a sum in the treasury of said City and County set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for principal and interest on such bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds and also such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time of fixing the next general tax levy; provided that if the maturity of the indebtedness created by said issue of bonds be made to begin more than one year after the date of issuance of such bonds, such tax shall be levied and collected at the time and in the manner aforesaid annually each year sufficient to pay the interest on such indebtedness as it falls due, and also to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal thereof on or before maturity.

Section 8. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in the San Francisco Call-Bulletin, a newspaper of general circulation published in said City and County of San Francisco at least six (6) days a week (being the official newspaper of said City and County), and such publication shall constitute notice of said election. No other notice of the election hereby called need be given.

Recommended by Finance Committee, Alfred Roncovieri, Warren Shannon and George R. Reilly.

Recommended by Public Utilities Committee, Fred W. Meyer and Arthur M. Brown, Jr.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—8.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Uhl—2.

#### Explanation of Vote.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: May I make this statement for the record, as a member of this Board, I will vote NO in reference to this matter, and in doing so I wish to state, and for the record, state that if a joint committee meeting has been held, as a member of that committee, I never received notice of the committee meeting, therefore, I did not attend the committee meeting.

#### ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, there being no further business the Board of Supervisors adjourned.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.



**MONDAY, AUGUST 22, 1938, 2 P. M.**

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, August 22, 1938,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

**CALLING THE ROLL.**

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

Supervisor Reilly was noted present at 4:10 p. m.

**UNFINISHED BUSINESS.****Final Passage.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

**Appropriation of \$3,998.50, to Cover Transfer of Funds Reclassification of Positions in Department of Public Health.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1647, Ordinance No. 9.051501, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$3,998.50 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 853.101.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 850.101.05 to provide funds for the payment from August 1, 1938, of 1 B408 General Clerk-Stenographer at \$125 per month, and 3 B408 General Clerk-Stenographers (part time) at \$79.50 each per month in the Bureau of Communicable Diseases, Central Office, Department of Public Health.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 853.101.00 the sum of \$3,998.50 to the credit of Appropriation No. 850.101.05 to provide funds for the payment from August 1, 1938 of 1 B408 General Clerk-Stenographer at \$125 per month, and 3 B408 General Clerk-Stenographers (part time) at \$79.50 each per month in the Bureau of Communicable Diseases, Central Office, Department of Public Health. *These employees now are and have been continuously employed in the Bureau of Communicable Diseases although paid out of funds of the San Francisco Hospital. This ordinance will bring the records in accord with the facts and set up funds for the payment of the salaries of these employees in the Bureau where actually employed.*

Finally passed by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.

**Appropriation of \$693, Transfer of Funds to Cover Reclassification of Positions in Department of Public Health.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1648, Ordinance No. 9.051502, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$693, out of surplus existing in Appropriation No. 853.101.03 to the credit of Appropriation

No. 850.101.07 to provide funds for the payment from August 1, 1938, of 1 I204 Porter (deduct for B. R. & L.) at \$85 per month in the Bacteriological Laboratory, Central Office, Department of Public Health.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 853.101.03 the sum of \$693, to the credit of Appropriation No. 850.101.07, to provide funds for the payment from August 1, 1938, of 1 I204 Porter (deduct for B. R. & L.) at \$85 per month in the Bacteriological Laboratory, Central Office, Department of Public Health. *This employee is now and has been continuously employed in the Bacteriological Laboratory although paid out of funds of the San Francisco Hospital. This ordinance will bring the records in accord with the facts and set up funds for payment of employee's salary in the Bureau where actually employed.*

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.

**Appropriation of \$874.50, Transfer of Funds to Cover Reclassification of Positions in Department of Public Health.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1649, Ordinance No. 9.051503, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$874.50 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 853.101.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 850.101.11 to provide funds for the payment from August 1, 1938, of 1 B408 General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at \$79.50 per month in the Bureau of Food and Milk Inspection, Central Office, Department of Public Health.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 853.101.00 the sum of \$874.50 to the credit of Appropriation No. 850.101.11 to provide funds for the payment from August 1, 1938, of 1 B408 General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at \$79.50 per month in the Bureau of Food and Milk Inspection, Central Office, Department of Public Health. *This employee is now and has been continuously employed in the Bureau of Food and Milk Inspection although paid out of funds of the San Francisco Hospital. This ordinance will bring the records in accord with the facts and set up funds for payment of employee's salary in the Bureau where actually employed.*

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.

**Appropriation of \$1,617, Transfer of Funds to Cover Reclassification of Positions in Department of Public Health.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1650, Ordinance No. 9.051504, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$1,617 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 853.101.03 and \$3,795 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 853.101.02, to the credit of Appropriation No. 850.101.21 to provide funds for the payment from August 1, 1938, of 2 B222 General Clerks (part time) (deduct 1 meal) at \$79.50 each per month; 2 P102 Registered Nurses (deduct for B. R. & L.) at \$135 per month, and 1 P104 Head Nurse at \$145 per month in the Tuberculosis Bureau, Central Office, Department of Public Health.



Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 853.101.03 the sum of \$1,617 and out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 853.101.02, the sum of \$3,795, to the credit of Appropriation No. 850.101.21 to provide funds for the payment from August 1, 1938, of 2 B222 General Clerks (part time) (deduct 1 meal) at \$79.50 each per month; 2 P102 Registered Nurses (deduct for B. R. & L.) at \$135 per month, and 1 P104 Head Nurse at \$145 per month in the Tuberculosis Bureau, Central Office, Department of Public Health. *These employees now are and have been continuously employed in the Tuberculosis Bureau although paid out of funds of the San Francisco Hospital. This ordinance will bring the records in accord with the facts and set up funds for payment of the salaries of these employees in the Bureau where actually employed.*

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.

**Appropriation of \$715, Transfer of Funds to Cover Reclassification of Positions in Department of Public Health.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1651, Ordinance No. 9.051505, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$715 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 853.101.03 to the credit of Appropriation No. 854.101.00 to provide funds for the payment from August 1, 1938, of 1 I2 Kitchen Helper (deduct for B. R. & L.) at \$75 per month in the Emergency Hospitals, Department of Public Health.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 853.101.03 the sum of \$715 to the credit of Appropriation No. 854.101.00 to provide funds for the payment from August 1, 1938, of 1 I2 Kitchen Helper (deduct for B. R. & L.) at \$75 per month in the Emergency Hospitals of the Department of Public Health. *This employee is now and has been continuously employed in the Emergency Hospitals although paid out of funds of the San Francisco Hospital. This ordinance will bring the records in accord with the facts and set up funds for payment of this employee's salary in the department where actually employed.*

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.

**Appropriation of \$500, to Install Photostatic and Photographic Equipment, Bureau of Engineering Photostatic Department.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1662, Ordinance No. 9.051506, as follows:

Authorizing supplemental appropriation of Five Hundred (\$500) Dollars out of surplus existing in Appropriation No. 840.995.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 840.200.00, for the purpose of installing photostatic and photographic equipment now on hand in the Bureau of Engineering Photostatic Department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of Five Hundred (\$500) Dollars is hereby appropriated and set aside out of surplus existing in Appropriation No.

840.995.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 840.200.00, for the purpose of installing photostatic and photographic equipment now on hand in the Bureau of Engineering Photostatic Department.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.

### Amending Salary Ordinance by Reducing Number of Employments in Department of Public Health.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1652, Ordinance No. 9.053138, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 58 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 by reducing the number of employments under Item 3½ from 14 to 12, B222, General Clerk (part time) (deduct 1 meal) at \$79.50; by reducing the number of employments under Item 7 from 7 to 6, B408, General Clerk-Stenographer at \$125; by reducing the number of employments under Item 9 from 12 to 8, B408, General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at \$79.50; by reducing the number of employments under Item 16½ from 83 to 82, I2, Kitchen Helper (deduct for R. & L.) at \$75; and by reducing the number of employments under Item 34½ from 145 to 144, I204, Porter (deduct for B. R. & L.) at \$85; and by changing the class No. and title under Item 40 from 1, J4, Laborer (deduct for B. R. & L.) at \$87.50 to 1, O58, Gardener (deduct for B. R. & L.) at \$87.50.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 58 of Ordinance 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

#### Section 58. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH— SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 175
2	1	B222	General Clerk .....	160
3	1	B222	General Clerk .....	125
3½	12	B222	General Clerk (part time) (deduct 1 meal) .....	79.50
4	1	B234	Head Clerk (deduct for B., R. & L.)....	285
5	1	B238	Hospital Statistician .....	190
6	1	B238	Hospital Statistician .....	160
7	6	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
8	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	100
9	8	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) .....	79.50
10	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
11	2	B454	Telephone Operator .....	135
12	1	B454	Telephone Operator (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	125
13	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
14	1	C6	Supt. of Building T. B. Hosp. ....	200
15	2	C152	Watchman .....	145
16	2	E108	Electrician .....	237.50
16½	82	I2	Kitchen Helper (deduct for R. & L.)...	75
17	1	I6	Pastry Cook .....	175
17½	1	I10	Cook's Assistant (deduct for R. & L.)..	95
17¾	7	I10	Cook's Assistant (deduct for R. & L.)..	75
18	8	I12	Cook .....	165
19	1	I16	Chef .....	200
20	8	I54	Waitress .....	110
21	8	I56	Waiter .....	110
21½		I102	Inmate Help (not over \$50) .....	



**Section 58. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
22	152	I116	Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	85
23	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
24	1	I122	House Mother (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	125
25	1	I122	House Mother (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	87.50
26	14	I152	Flat Work Ironer.....	90
27	17	I154	Laundress . . . . .	100
28	1	I156	Starcher . . . . .	130
29	1	I158	Sorter . . . . .	130
30	1	I164	Marker and Distributor . . . . .	130
31	1	I166	Wringerman . . . . .	136.33
32	2	I170	Washer . . . . .	135
33	1	I172	Head Washer . . . . .	155
34	1	I178	Superintendent of Laundry . . . . .	200
34½	144	I204	Porter (deduct for B. R. & L.).....	85
35	1	I206	Porter Sub-Foreman (deduct for B., R. & L.) . . . . .	92
36	1	I208	Porter Foreman (deduct for B., R. & L.)	100
37	1	I210	Head Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.)....	140
38	2	I254	Seamstress . . . . .	90
39	1	I256	Head Seamstress (deduct for B., R. & L.)	150
40	1	O58	Gardener (deduct for B. R. & L.).....	87.50

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.

**Amendment Salary Ordinance, Section 54A, Department of Public Health.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1653, Ordinance No. 9.053139, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 54 (a) of Ordinance 9.053128 by increasing the number of employments under Item 29 from 1 to 2, B408, General Clerk-Stenographer, at \$125; by adding Item 29½, 3, B408, General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at \$79.50; and by adding Item 47½, 1, I204, Porter (deduct for B. R. & L.) at \$85.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 54 (a) of Ordinance 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 54(a). DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)**

**STATISTICS**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
17	1	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 190
18	1	B222	General Clerk .....	185
19	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	190
20	2	B238	Hospital Statistician .....	190
21	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
22	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125

**MEAT INSPECTION**

23	8	N56	Market Inspector .....	175
----	---	-----	------------------------	-----

Section 54(a). DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)

MEAT INSPECTION (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
24	6	N56	Market Inspector .....	200
25	1	N58	Chief Market Inspector .....	225
26	8	N60	Abattoir Inspector .....	200
27	3	N62	Veterinarian .....	201
28	4	N62	Veterinarian .....	200
28½	1	N63	Chief Abattoir Inspector .....	200

COMMUNICABLE DISEASES

29	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
29½	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) .....	79.50
30	4	J74	Rat Catcher .....	115
30½	2	J74	Rat Catcher .....	110
31	4	L370	Epidemiologist (part time) .....	225
32	1	L371	Director, Bureau of Communicable Diseases (part time) .....	350
33	1	P60	Supervising Nurse, Bureau of Communicable Diseases .....	165

SYPHILIS UNIT

34	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
35	1	P102	Registered Nurse .....	135
36	1	L360	Physician .....	150

CLINICS

*Diagnostic Center*

37	2	L360	Physician (part time) .....	150
38	1	L364	Pediatrician (part time) .....	100
39	1	P52	Field Nurse .....	165

*Bureau of Mental Hygiene*

40	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) .....	75
41	1	L404	Psychologist .....	175
42	4	L404	Psychologist .....	150
43	1	L404	Psychologist (part time) .....	75
44	1	L408	Psychiatrist (part time) .....	200
45	1	L408	Psychiatrist (part time) .....	150

BACTERIOLOGICAL LABORATORY

46	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
47	1	C102	Janitress .....	75
47½	1	I204	Porter (deduct for B. R. & L.) .....	85
48	1	L52	Bacteriological Laboratory Technician (part time) .....	79.50
49	2	L52	Bacteriological Laboratory Technician .....	125
50	1	L56	Bacteriologist .....	225
51	3	L56	Bacteriologist .....	175
52	1	L58	Director of Laboratories .....	275
53	1	L60	Bacteriological Milk Inspector .....	250
54	1	L64	Consultant, Bacteriologist (part time) .....	75

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.



**Amendment Salary Ordinance, Section 55, Department of Public Health, Central Office, School Inspection, Medical.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1654, Ordinance No. 9.053140, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 55 of Ordinance 9.053128 by adding Item 71½, 1, B408, General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at \$79.50.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 55 of Ordinance 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 55. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)  
SCHOOL INSPECTION—MEDICAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
55	1	L252	Optometrist (part time) .....	\$ 150
56	1	L368	Director Bureau Child Hygiene .....	383.33
57	1	L364	Pediatrician .....	250
58	1	L364	Pediatrician (part time) .....	175
59	11	L364	Pediatrician (part time) .....	150
60	1	L364	Pediatrician (part time) .....	75
61	1	L602	Audiometer Technician .....	125

**DENTAL**

62	1	B222	General Clerk (part time) .....	50
63	2	L152	Dental Hygienist .....	150
63½	2	L152	Dental Hygienist .....	135
64	14	L156	Dentist (part time) .....	100
65	1	L160	Director of Dental Bureau (part time)..	250

**CHILD WELFARE—MEDICAL**

66	1	L364	Pediatrician .....	250
67	4	L364	Pediatrician (part time).....	150
68	1	L364	Pediatrician at \$5 per day (part time).	

**MILK AND FOOD INSPECTION**

69	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
70	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
71	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	100
71½	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time)	79.50
72	7	N52	Food and Restaurant Inspector .....	200
73	10	N52	Food and Restaurant Inspector .....	185
74	1	N52	Food and Restaurant Inspector.....	175
75	3	N53	Assistant Chief Food Inspector .....	225
76	1	N54	Chief Food Inspector .....	325
77	1	N64	Dairy Inspector .....	300
78	2	N64	Dairy Inspector .....	225
79	2	N64	Dairy Inspector .....	200
79½	2	N64	Dairy Inspector .....	175

**CHEMICAL LABORATORY**

80	1	L102	Food Chemist Assistant .....	125
81	1	L104	Food Chemist .....	225
82	1	L104	Food Chemist .....	175
83	1	L106	Senior Food Chemist .....	250

**PLUMBING INSPECTION**

84	1	A412	Plumbing Inspector .....	250
85	6	A412	Plumbing Inspector .....	240
85½	1	A412	Plumbing Inspector .....	225
86	1	A416	Chief Plumbing Inspector .....	275
87	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	190

**Section 55. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)**

**HOUSING INSPECTION**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
88	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
89	9	N204	Housing Inspector .....	200
90	1	N206	Chief Housing Inspector .....	275

**INDUSTRIAL INSPECTION**

91	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	100
92	3	N205	Industrial Inspector .....	200
93	1	N208	Chief Industrial Inspector .....	275

**CITY PHYSICIANS**

94	4	L360	Physician (part time) .....	300
95	1	L360	Physician (part time) .....	250
96	1	L360	Physician (part time) .....	200
97	4	L360	Physician (part time) .....	\$ 150
97½	2	L360	Physician (part time) .....	75
98	1	L362	Supervisor of City Physicians (part time)	300

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.

**Amendment Annual Salary Ordinance, Section 58, Department of  
Public Health, San Francisco Hospital.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1655, Ordinance No. 9.053141, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 55 (a) of Ordinance 9.053128 by adding Item 110½, 2, B222, General Clerks (part time) (deduct 1 meal) at \$79.50; by adding Item 117, 2, P102, Registered Nurse (deduct for B. R. & L.) at \$135; and by adding Item 118, 1, P104, Head Nurse at \$145.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 55 (a) of Ordinance 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 55(a). DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)**

**FIELD NURSING, ADMINISTRATION**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
99	1	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 190
100	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
101	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
102	1	P57	Assistant to the Director of Field Nursing .....	230
103	8	P54	Supervising Field Nurse .....	200
104	1	P54	Supervising Field Nurse .....	175
105	1	P58	Director of Field Nursing .....	300

**FIELD NURSING, SCHOOLS**

106	25	P52	Field Nurse .....	175
107	15	P52	Field Nurse .....	165

**FIELD NURSING, OTHER**

108	12	P52	Field Nurse .....	175
109	7	P52	Field Nurse .....	165
110	1	P101	Chinese Visiting Nurse .....	175



Section 55(a). DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)

TUBERCULOSIS BUREAU

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
110½	2	B222	General Clerk (part time) (deduct 1 meal) .....	79.50
111	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
112	2	L360	Physician (part time) .....	100
113	1	L360	Physician (part time) .....	200
114	2	P52	Field Nurse .....	165
115	7	P52	Field Nurse .....	175
116	2	P102	Registered Nurse .....	135
117	2	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B. R. & L.) ..	135
118	1	P104	Head Nurse .....	145

Finally passed by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.

Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Section 60, Department of  
Public Health, San Francisco Hospital.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1656, Ordinance No. 9.053142, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 60 of Ordinance 9.053128 by reducing the number of employments under Item 68 from 139 to 137, P102, Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) at \$135, and by deleting Item 77, 1, P104, Head Nurse at \$145.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 60 of Ordinance 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 60. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
68	137	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)\$	135
69		P103	Special Nurses (as needed), 8 hrs. at \$5 per day or fraction of a day .....	
70		P103	Special Nurses (as needed), 10 hrs. at \$6 per day with meals .....	
71		P103	Special Nurses (as needed), 12 hrs., with meals, at \$7 per day .....	
72		P103	Special Nurses (Virulent Communicable Diseases and Violent Patients), 8 hrs. at \$6 per day .....	
73		P103	Special Nurses (Virulent Communicable Diseases and Violent Patients), 10 hrs. at \$9 per day .....	
74		P103	Special Nurses (Virulent Communicable Diseases and Violent Patients), 12 hrs. at \$10 per day .....	
75		P103	Special Nurses, Additional Patient \$2 extra (not to exceed \$12) .....	
76	33	P104	Head Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)...	145
78	1	P110	Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	210
79	1	P110	Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	185

Section 60. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
80	2	P110	Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	170
81	1	P122	Director of Institutional Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	285
82	4	P204	Anaesthetist (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	160
83	1	P206	Senior Anaesthetist (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	185
84	8	P208	Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	145
85	11	P208	Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
86	1	P210	Senior Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	185
87	1	P212	Head Nurse Obstetrical (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	160
88	1	P214	Head Nurse Pediatrics (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	150
89	1	P216	Head Nurse Psychiatric (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	160
90		P254	Student Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.).	32
91	2	P304	Instructor of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	160
92	1	P306	Senior Instructor of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	185
PSYCHIATRIC BUILDING				
93	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
94	2	C152	Watchman .....	145
95	2	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.)...	47
96	1	L357	Resident Physician .....	250

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.

Amendment, Annual Salary Ordinance, Section 61, Emergency Hospitals.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1657, Ordinance No. 9.053143, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 61 of Ordinance 9.053128 by adding Item 1½, 1, I2, Kitchen Helper (deduct for R. & L.) at \$75.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 61 of Ordinance 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 61. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
EMERGENCY HOSPITALS

Item	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	\$ 190
1½	1	I2	Kitchen Helper (deduct for R. & L.)....	75
2	12	L504	Emergency Hospital Surgeon.....	200
3	1	L506	Assistant Chief Surgeon Emergency Hospitals .....	225
4	1	L508	Chief Surgeon .....	250
5	14	O6	Ambulance Driver .....	200



**Section 61. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
EMERGENCY HOSPITALS (Continued)**

Item	No. of No. Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
6	1	O6	Ambulance Driver .....	195
7	1	O6	Ambulance Driver .....	185
8	3	O6	Ambulance Driver .....	175
9	*7	P2	Emergency Hospital Steward .....	165
10	*5	P2	Emergency Hospital Steward .....	175
11	16	P2	Emergency Hospital Steward .....	200
12	1	P4	Chief Emergency Hospital Steward....	250
13	12	P102	Registered Nurse .....	165
14	7	P102	Registered Nurse .....	135
15	1	P102	Registered Nurse (Relief) at \$5 per day	

\*One P2, Emergency Hospital Steward, position reclassified, to be P3, Senior Emergency Hospital Steward. One of the positions will be retitled when a promotive appointment is made under the new class.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.

**Amending Salary Ordinance by Adding Two Garagemen, Purchasing  
Department.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1658, Ordinance No. 9.053144, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 36 of Salary Ordinance, Ordinance 9.053128, by adding Item 36½, 2, J66, Garagemen at \$160.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 36 of Ordinance 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 36. PURCHASING DEPARTMENT**

Item	No. of No. Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B18	Chief Accountant, Purchasing Dept. (6 mo.) .....	\$ 466.66
2	2	B222	General Clerk .....	200
3	1	B222	General Clerk .....	175
4	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	250
5	1	B310b	Tabulating Numerical Key Punch Operator	165
6	3	B310b	Tabulating Numerical Key Punch Operator	155
7	1	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator .....	175
8	2	B352	Storekeeper .....	150
8½	1	B352	Storekeeper .....	140
9	1	B352	Storekeeper .....	135
11	2	B354	General Storekeeper .....	200
12	2	B354	General Storekeeper .....	180
14	1	B358	Assistant Stationery Buyer .....	225
15	1	B360	Printing and Stationery Buyer .....	250
16	1	B362	Produce Buyer and Storekeeper .....	175
18	1	B382	Supervisor of Equipment and Supplies..	175
19	1	B364	Produce Buyer and General Storekeeper	300
20	1	B364	Produce Buyer and General Storekeeper	225
21	1	B366	Assistant Purchaser of General Supplies	250
22	2	B366	Assistant Purchaser of General Supplies	200
22½		B368	Chief Assistant Purchaser of Supplies..	350
23	1	B370	R. R. Equipment Purchasing Agent .....	250

## Section 36. PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
24	1	B371	Purchasing Agent—Water Service.....	325
25	1	B372	Purchasing Agent—Other Services.....	350
26	1	B374	Purchaser of Supplies .....	833.33
27	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
28	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
29	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	160
30	4	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
31	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	155
32	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
33	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	190
34	3	J4	Laborer, \$6 per day.....	
35	1	J12	Foreman Laborer .....	195
36	1	J66	Garageman .....	150
36½	2	J66	Garagemen .....	160
37	1	J66	Garageman at \$6.50 per day.....	
38	1	N302	Inspector of General Supplies .....	215
39	1	N302	Inspector of General Supplies.....	200
40		B512	General Clerk-Typist (as needed).....	150
40½			Seasonal, Clerical and other temporary services as needed at rates not in excess of salary standardization schedules.	

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.

### Amending Salary Ordinance by Eliminating Two Garagemen, Department of Public Works.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1659, Ordinance No. 9.053145, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 50 of Ordinance 9.053128, Salary Ordinance, by eliminating Item 6, 2, J66, Garagemen at \$160.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 50 of Ordinance 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

### Section 50. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— DIVISION OF STREET CLEANING

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	2	C152	Watchman .....	155
2	5	C152	Watchman .....	145
3	237	J4	Laborer at \$6 per day .....	
4	3	J10	Laborer—Sub-Foreman at \$7 per day...	
5	9	J10	Laborer—Sub-Foreman at \$6.50 per day.	
7	2	J108	District Director of Street Cleaning....	240
8	1	J108	District Director of Street Cleaning...	225
9	1	J112	Supervisor of Street Cleaning.....	325
10	19	O1	Chauffeur at \$8 per day.....	
11	17	O1	Chauffeur at \$7.50 per day.....	
14	1	O19	Sub. Sta. For. at \$8 per day.....	
15	1	O58	Gardener at \$6 per day.....	

Teams and trucks, as needed, at rates established by purchaser's contract.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.



# Amending Salary Ordinance by Reducing Item 24 by Two General Clerks, and by Adding Item 26½ Senior Clerk.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1660, Ordinance No. 9.053146, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 67 of Ordinance 9.053128 by reducing the number of employments under Item 24 from 3 to 2, B222, General Clerk at \$175, and by adding Item 26½, 1, B228, Senior Clerk at \$175.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 67 of Ordinance 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

## Section 67. CONTROLLER.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Controller . . . . .	\$ 833.33
3	6	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	185
4	4	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	180
5	8	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	175
6	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper . . . . .	225
7	3	B6	Senior Bookkeeper . . . . .	210
8	4	B6	Senior Bookkeeper . . . . .	200
9	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper . . . . .	190
10	1	B7	Asst. Supervisor of Disbursements . . . . .	240
10½	1	B7	Asst. Supervisor of Disbursements . . . . .	225
11	1	B8	Supervisor of Disbursements . . . . .	275
12	1	B10	Accountant . . . . .	225
13	1	B14	Senior Accountant . . . . .	325
14	2	B14	Senior Accountant . . . . .	285
15	1	B21	Chief Assistant Controller . . . . .	625
16	1	B26	Supervisor of Budget Statistics . . . . .	250
17	1	B28	Supervisor of General Audits . . . . .	400
18	1	B30	Supervisor of Utilities Audits . . . . .	400
19	1	B55	Supervisor of Pay Rolls . . . . .	325
20	2	B210	Office Assistant (part time) . . . . .	79.50
21	3	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	200
22	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	190
23	2	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	185
24	2	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	175
25	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	250
26	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	200
26½	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	175
27	1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	210
28	1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	300
29	2	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	225
30	1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	240
30½	1	B237	Tax Redemption Clerk . . . . .	200
31	1	B301	Pay Roll Machine Operator . . . . .	190
32	3	B301	Pay Roll Machine Operator . . . . .	175
33	3	B301	Pay Roll Machine Operator . . . . .	165
34	2	B302	Addressing Machine Operator . . . . .	155
35	1	B310b	Tabulating Numerical Key Punch Operator . . . . .	175
36	1	B310b	Tabulating Numerical Key Punch Operator . . . . .	155
37	1	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator . . . . .	175
38	3	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator . . . . .	165
39	1	B312	Senior Bookkeeping Machine Operator . . . . .	185
40	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	200
41	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	175
42	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	155

## Section 67. CONTROLLER (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
43	1	B417	Executive Secretary to the Controller..	250
44	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator .....	155
44½	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator (part time) ..	75
45	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
45½	3	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	155
46	1	K6	Senior Attorney—Civil .....	400
47			Seasonal, Clerical and other Temporary Services (as needed) at rates not in excess of Salary Standardization Schedules.	

Field Bookkeepers or Accountants (Construction Work outside S. F.) (as needed) at rates fixed in Salary Standardization Report.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.

**Authorizing and Confirming the Lease of Certain Lands and Improvements on Treasure Island to Pan American Airways Company for Harbor Purposes.**

(Code No. 12.17354)

Also, Bill No. 1661, Ordinance No. 12.173546, as follows:

Authorizing and confirming the lease of certain lands and improvements on Treasure Island to Pan American Airways Company for harbor purposes.

Whereas, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids would be received by him on July 20, 1938, for leasing the following described land and improvements for airport purposes:

In the generally southwest portion of the Air Terminal Building at "Treasure Island" and in the basement thereof 9290 square feet; on the first floor 12,280 square feet; on the second floor 12,230 square feet; on the third floor 4,180 square feet, and on the fourth floor 410 square feet.

For the entire edifice known as Hangar B situated on said "Treasure Island" and an area of land surrounding and including said Hangar B which area shall be described as follows:

Commencing at a point on the southerly seawall of said "Treasure Island" and 200 feet distant in an easterly direction, measured along the southerly seawall line, from the easterly wall line of said Hangar B produced to said southerly seawall line, thence in a southerly direction 200 feet, thence at right angles westerly and on a line parallel to said southerly seawall a distance of 835 feet, thence at right angles a distance of 200 feet northerly to said seawall, this area overlying the waters of the Lagoon to the south of the seawall, thence from last named point northerly a distance of 837.50 feet, thence at right angles on a line parallel to aforesaid seawall and in an easterly direction a distance of 835 feet and then thence at right angles in a southerly direction a distance of 837.50 feet to the point of commencement.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, Pan American Airways Company made a bid and offer to lease said property for a period of ten (10) years at the following amounts of cash rentals:

\$1,000 per year for the first five years;

\$5,000 per year for the second five years, with the right in the Lessee to extend the term of the lease for an additional period of ten (10) years at a cash rental of \$10,000 per year, and further bid and offer to do and



perform the other matters and things as set forth in said advertisement;

Whereas, no higher bids were made or received and the Public Utilities Commission has recommended that said property be leased upon the terms set forth in said bid and offer; now therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. That said bid and offer of said Pan American Airways Company be and the same is hereby accepted, and the leasing of the above described property to said Pan American Airways Company on the terms and conditions specified in said bid and offer be, and the same is, hereby authorized.

Section 2. That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors thereof, for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, are hereby authorized and directed to execute the necessary lease to said Pan American Airways Company, leasing to said Company the above described property on the terms and conditions hereinbefore set forth.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.

### **Underground Districts.**

(Code No. 11.12)

The following recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police was taken up:

Bill No. 1631, Ordinance No. 11.1223, as follows:

Providing for the placing and installing of electrical cables and conductors underground in any district that is now designated as an underground district in the City and County of San Francisco, or any additional district that is designated as an underground district in said City and County, within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead conductors, except trolley poles and conductors; prohibiting the use of overhead wiring, materials, devices, poles or overhead conductor supporting structures over or upon any street designated as being within an underground district; providing for the removal of poles, overhead wiring structures, overhead wiring, materials, and devices by persons, firms, partnerships, corporations or public utilities owning, operating or maintaining said equipment, materials and devices; providing for the removal of poles, overhead wiring structures, overhead materials and devices within a specified time at the cost of the person, firm, partnership, corporation or public utility owning, operating, using or maintaining same; requiring electrical service conductors to terminate in underground pull boxes and notice of installation; providing for installation by owner, operator or lessor of property of electrical conduits and conductors for light, heat and power from pull boxes after notice; providing for installation by owner, operator or lessor of property of electrical conduits for telephone and telegraph service; providing for extension of conduits to pull boxes and installation of service conductors; requiring disconnection and removal of overhead electrical service wires or conductors in event of failure to install conduits and service conductors within thirty (30) days after installation of underground pull boxes; providing for the duties of the Chief of the Department of Electricity; providing for the penalties for violations of the sections of this ordinance; providing for the use of temporary poles and conductors in underground districts; exempting trolley poles and trolley conductors in underground districts; providing for the extension of certain conductors across underground districts; and repealing all ordinances and parts of ordinances in conflict herewith, and particularly Sections 2B, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9 of Order No. 214, Second Series,

regulating the removal of all poles, overhead wiring structures, materials and devices from designated underground districts.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. *Unlawful to Maintain Overhead Wiring, Materials and Devices in an Underground District.* It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, partnership, corporation or public utility to erect, maintain, extend or operate any pole or structure used for the support of overhead electrical conductors, electrical materials and devices, overhead cables or conductors, by, through, over or upon the streets of any designated underground district where electrical energy may be or has been transmitted or used for the purpose of electrical lighting, heat, power, telephone, telegraph, or any other type of electrical transmission or distribution.

Section 2. *Declaring Overhead Conductors, Materials and Devices in Underground Districts a Public Nuisance.* On and after the date that the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco officially declares a designated underground district, all overhead cables, conductors, materials, devices, poles or overhead structures used for the support of electrical conductors, materials and devices, on, by, over, through or upon the streets of said designated district shall be deemed and become public nuisances, except such as are in this ordinance exempted from the provisions of this ordinance. It shall be unlawful after the respective times aforesaid for any person, firm, partnership, corporation or public utility to erect, maintain or extend any overhead conductors from building to building, or otherwise, and not on poles, except such as are in this ordinance exempted from the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 3. *Poles, Conductors, Materials and Devices in Underground District to be Removed.* Every person, firm, partnership, corporation or public utility that owns, uses, maintains or operates any pole or structure used for the support of electrical materials or devices, overhead conductors, cables, materials or devices on, by, over, through or upon the streets of the City and County of San Francisco where electrical energy has been or may be transmitted or used for the purpose of electrical lighting, heating, power, telephone, telegraph, or any other type of electrical distribution or service, shall remove the aforesaid materials and devices from such of those streets which have been or shall be designated by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco as being within an underground district, provided that said persons, firms, partnerships, corporations or public utilities owning, maintaining, extending, using, or operating such poles, overhead wiring supporting structures, overhead cables and devices, shall not be required to remove said poles, structures, materials and devices for more than two and one-half ( $2\frac{1}{2}$ ) lineal miles of said streets in any one calendar year after the date of passage of this ordinance.

Section 4. *Removal of Poles, Overhead Materials and Devices Within a Specified Time.* Each and every pole, overhead wiring structure, cable and device described in aforesaid sections of this ordinance, excepting such as are in this ordinance exempted, in said City and County, owned, controlled, operated or used by said person, firm, partnership, corporation or public utility for any of the aforesaid purposes, shall be taken down and removed before the time specified by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco at the time a respective underground district is designated. The removal and taking down of said equipment and materials shall be by and at the cost of the person, firm, partnership, corporation or public utility so owning, controlling, operating or using same.

Section 5. *Electrical Service Conductors to Terminate in Underground Pull Boxes, Notice of Installation.* In any district that the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco may now or hereafter designate as an additional underground district,



the person, firm, corporation or utility supplying the electrical service for electric lighting, heat, power, telephone, telegraph, or any other type of electrical service, and hereinafter called the Serving Company, shall terminate its electrical service conductors in an underground pull box adjacent to or at the property line of the building or structure being served, provided that, in the event the Serving Company elects to serve a building or structure from some other location than adjacent to or at the property line of the building or structure, in any other approved manner, the provisions of this section and of Section 8 hereof shall not be applicable. The Serving Company shall give to the person, firm, or corporation owning, operating, leasing or renting such building or structure, notice of the date when such pull box will be installed.

Section 6. *Installation by Owner, Etc., of Property of Electrical Conduits, Etc., for Light, Etc., From Pull Boxes.* The electrical conduits and conductors for light, heat and power, between the underground pull box and the main service switches in the building or structure being served, shall be installed by the person, firm, or corporation owning, operating, leasing or renting said property, within thirty days after the date specified in such notice as the date when such pull box will be installed.

Section 7. *Installation by Owner, Etc., of Property of Electrical Conduits, Etc., for Telephone, Etc., Service.* The electrical conduits for telephone and telegraph service shall be installed between the property line and the terminal location in the building by the person, firm or corporation owning, operating, leasing or renting said building.

Section 8. *Extension of Conduits to Pull Boxes and Installation of Service Conductors.* The Serving Company for telephone or telegraph service shall extend said conduit to their respective underground pull box or manhole and shall install the service conductors in said conduit.

Section 9. *Failure to Install Conduits, Etc., Removal of Overhead Wires, Etc.* In the event of failure on the part of the person, firm or corporation owning, operating, leasing or renting such building or structure to install such conduits and service conductors within thirty (30) days after the installation of the underground pull box so as to permit the removal of the overhead wires and conductors by the Serving Company, the Department shall order the disconnection and removal of any and all overhead electrical service wires or conductors supplying electrical service to such building or structure.

Section 10. *Duties of the Chief of the Department of Electricity.* The Chief of the Department of Electricity shall be responsible for the enforcement of this ordinance in any district that is now or may hereafter be designated as an underground district by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

The Chief of the Department of Electricity, after the time specified in Section 4, shall have the authority to order the removal, taking down, and carrying away any and all such poles, overhead wiring structures, overhead wires, materials and devices aforesaid, as may not have been previously removed by the owners or operators thereof, as required by the provisions of this ordinance. The Chief of the Department of Electricity is hereby given authority to use and employ whatever labor, materials and devices as may be necessary to effectually carry out the provisions of this ordinance. The total cost of the labor, materials and devices necessary for the taking down and removal of the aforesaid overhead materials and devices shall be paid by the person, firm, partnership, corporation or public utility owning, controlling or operating the aforesaid overhead poles, structures, materials and devices.

Section 11. *Penalty For Each Day Such Poles and Other Overhead Materials and Devices Are Left Standing.* Any person, firm, partner-

ship, corporation or public utility who shall erect or construct, place, maintain, extend or operate in any manner whatever, any pole or structure used for the support of overhead electrical materials or devices, overhead conductors, cables, materials and devices on, by, over, through or upon the streets of the City and County of San Francisco where electrical energy has been or may be transmitted or used for the purpose of electrical lighting, heating, power, telephone, telegraph, or any other type of electrical service or transmission, except such as are in this ordinance exempted, after the time specified aforesaid for each of the designated underground districts, or who shall neglect to take down and remove the aforesaid overhead materials and devices, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction, shall be fined not less than fifty (50) or more than two hundred (200) dollars for every day such poles and other aforesaid overhead equipment and devices are left standing.

**Section 12. *Temporary Use of Poles, Overhead Materials and Devices in Underground Districts.*** In cases requiring the temporary use of overhead poles, structures, electrical materials and devices for the purpose of reporting conventions, meetings or other important public gatherings, or in cases of emergency, permits may be granted by the Chief of the Department of Electricity for the erection of overhead conductors and their supporting materials and devices for a period not exceeding sixty (60) days in each case.

**Section 13. *Erection and Maintenance of Temporary Poles, Etc.*** Temporary poles, overhead conductors and electrical materials and devices shall be erected and maintained by the person, firm, partnership, corporation or public utility to whom the temporary permit has been issued, and shall be erected and maintained in conformity with the rules and regulations of the Department of Electricity of the City and County of San Francisco and General Order No. 64A of the California Railroad Commission.

**Section 14. *Removal of Temporary Poles, Etc.*** After the expiration of a temporary permit, as specified in Section 12, and the emergency or special condition no longer exists, the person, firm, partnership, corporation or public utility shall remove all poles and other overhead materials and devices from said underground district. Failure to comply with the provisions of this section of this ordinance shall result in the same penalties as are specified in Section 11.

**Section 15. *Inspection by Chief of the Department of Electricity or His Representative.*** The Chief of the Department of Electricity is hereby charged with the duty of inspection and enforcement of all ordinances, rules and regulations relative to the installation of electrical conductors, materials and devices used for the transmission of electrical energy for light, heat, power, telephone, telegraph, or any other service or distribution in and upon the streets and over and upon buildings or structures in the City and County of San Francisco.

**Section 16. *Exemption of Trolley Poles and Conductors.*** Electric Railways are expressly exempted from the provisions of this ordinance in so far as it affects the trolley poles and trolley wires used exclusively for the transmission of electrical power for railway purposes. The feeders or electrical service conductors for the trolley conductors shall conform to the provisions of this ordinance.

**Section 17. *Extension of Certain Conductors Across Underground Districts.*** Conductors of continuous lead crossing designated underground districts or parts thereof not further than to the extent of the width of a single street are hereby exempted from the provisions of this ordinance.

**Section 18. *Application of This Ordinance to All Future Underground Districts.*** In the event that the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco shall declare any additional underground district, the provisions as specified in this ordinance shall govern all overhead electrical conductors, poles, conductor or material supporting



structures, and overhead materials and devices used for the transmission of electrical energy for lighting, heating, power, telephone, telegraph, or any other type of electrical service or distribution in and upon the streets and over and upon buildings or structures in the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 19. *Saving Clause.* If any section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance, and each section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, subdivisions, paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Section 20. *Repeal.* All ordinances and parts of ordinances in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance, and particularly Sections 2-B, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9 of Order No. 214, Second Series, regulating the removal of all overhead structures, poles, materials and devices from designated underground districts, are hereby repealed.

### Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Mead, moved that in Section 3 the words "two and one-half (2½)" be stricken out and the words "five (5)" be substituted therefor.

After brief discussion, the roll was called and the amendment *failed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead—2.

Noes—Supervisors Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

### Final Passage.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing bill was *finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of the Streets Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

### Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Third Street Between Mission and Howard Streets.

(Code No. 12.0731)

Bill No. 1663, Ordinance No. 12.0731124, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section One Hundred and Fifty-one (151) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office July 28, 1938, by amending Section One Hundred and Fifty-one (151) thereof to read as follows:

Section 151: The width of sidewalks on Third street between Market and Mission streets shall be 14 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Third street, the southwesterly side of, between Mission and Townsend streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Third street, the southwesterly side of, between Townsend and King streets shall be as shown on that certain Map, entitled "Map showing street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks on the southwesterly line of Third street between Townsend and King streets."

The width of sidewalks on Third street, the southwesterly side of, between King and Channel streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Third street, the northeasterly side of, between Mission and Berry streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Third street, the northeasterly side of, between Berry and Channel streets shall be abolished.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.

### **Establishing Grades on La Salle Avenue Between Mendell and Third Streets.**

(Code No. 12.071)

Also, Bill No. 1665, Ordinance No. 12.07115, as follows:

Establishing grades on La Salle avenue between Mendell and Third streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on La Salle avenue between Mendell and Third streets are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above City base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, filed in this office July 23, 1938.

#### *La Salle Avenue:*

*Feet*

Mendell street ..... 67

(The same being the present official grade.)

175 feet northwesterly from Mendell street.....41.63

200 feet northwesterly from Mendell street.....38.69

225 feet northwesterly from Mendell street.....37.13

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

300 feet northwesterly from Mendell street.....34.54

15 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 5.32 feet southeasterly from Third street.....33.71

15 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 5.32 feet southeasterly from Third street.....32.68

On La Salle avenue between Mendell street and Third street be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor, and the present official grade of Third street at Mendell street.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.

### **Changing and Establishing Grades on Gordon Street.**

(Code No. 12.0722)

Also, Bill No. 1666, Ordinance No. 12.072239, as follows:

Changing and Re-establishing the Official Grades on Gordon street.

Whereas, The Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 13th day of June, 1938, by



Resolution No. 4012, declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Gordon street;

Whereas, Said Resolution was so published for ten days, and the Director of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said Resolution of Intention caused notices of the passage of said Resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the Resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, More than forty days have elapsed since the first publication of said Resolution of Intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

*Gordon Street:* *Feet*

7 ft. southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Harrison street northwesterly line (the same being the present official grade) . . . . .	14.30
7 ft. northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Harrison street northwesterly line (the same being the present official grade) . . . . .	14.45
7 ft. southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Harrison street . . . . .	15.80
7 ft. northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Harrison street . . . . .	15.70
7 ft. southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 275 feet northwesterly from Harrison street . . . . .	19.00
7 ft. northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 275 feet northwesterly from Harrison street . . . . .	19.00

On Gordon street, between Harrison street and a line parallel with and 275 feet northwesterly therefrom be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.

### NEW BUSINESS.

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

#### San Francisco Tax Rate Ordinance—1938-1939.

(Code No. 9.032)

Bill No. 1699, Ordinance No. 9.0328, as follows:

Providing revenue and levying taxes for City and County purposes and for the support and maintenance of the common schools of the City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1939. An emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Under and pursuant to the provisions of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and of the laws of the State of California, and in conformity therewith, a tax is hereby levied for City and County purposes, and for the support and maintenance of the common schools of said City and County, including special City and County school building purposes, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1939, on all the property, real and personal, in the City and County of San Francisco, except such property as is by law exempt from taxa-

tion, in the sum of four and four hundredths (\$4.04) dollars on each one hundred dollars' valuation of said taxable property as the same appears upon the assessment roll of the said City and County for said fiscal year, which said sum of four and four hundredths (\$4.04) dollars on each one hundred dollars' valuation as aforesaid is hereby apportioned to the funds and accounts and for the purposes designated as follows:

(a) For the General Fund to meet the general expenses of the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with Section 78 of the Charter (limit \$1.65) the rate of....	\$1.234631
Emergency Reserve .....	.025274
County Road .....	.000012
Unemployment Relief Loan State of California.....	.012342
Unemployment Relief Loan Interest State of California...	.003239
Relief of indigent sick and dependent poor.....	.185414
WPA Sponsorship .....	.036159
Exposition .....	.040000
	<hr/>
	\$1.537071
(b) For the General Fund, to meet the expenses of the City and County of San Francisco not subject to the limitations of Section 78 of the Charter, including the cost of elections, the constructing, maintaining and improving of streets, sewers and buildings, obligations imposed by State, legislative or constitutional enactment, and obligations imposed by vote of the people of the City and County of San Francisco, other than the items herein specifically provided for, including one-half (\$.005) cent to meet the expenses of the Civil Service Commission and including one-half (\$.005) cent for the Art Commission for the purpose of maintaining a symphony orchestra, the rate of.....	.441201
For interest on tax anticipation notes.....	.002161
For the Library Fund, to meet the cost of constructing, maintaining and improving libraries, the rate of.....	.047873
For the Park Fund, to meet the cost of constructing, maintaining and improving parks, the rate of.....	.116052
For the Recreation Fund, to meet the cost of constructing, maintaining and improving playgrounds, the rate of...	.071666
For maintenance and operation of War Memorial.....	.011202
For M. H. de Young Memorial Museum Fund.....	.010155
For California Palace of the Legion of Honor Fund.....	.009232
For Retirement System for City employees.....	.219663
For the payment of interest on and the amount due for the redemption of the several outstanding bond issues of the City and County.....	.883011
To pay an aliquot part equal to one-tenth of the whole of final judgments against the City and County of San Francisco, pursuant to writs of mandate served upon the members of the Board of Supervisors, to-wit: One-tenth of the amount of each of the judgments referred to in the writs of mandate issued in the proceedings in the Supreme Court of the State of California.....	.004675
For special tax levied for publicity and advertising pursuant to the Political Code of the State, the rate of.....	.040000
For Workmen's Compensation Fund.....	.006059
Airport Fund .....	.022296
	<hr/>
Total for Municipal Purposes.....	\$3.422317



**COMPULSORY SCHOOL TAX**For San Francisco Unified School District..... .617683

Total Tax Rate.....\$4.04

This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does by the vote by which this ordinance is passed specifically declare that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective immediately, the nature of said emergency being as follows:

That the passage of said ordinance is required as a prerequisite to the issuance of tax anticipation warrants in accordance with the provisions of Section 81 of the Charter, and that the issuance of said notes immediately is necessary in order to provide funds for the operation of the police and fire departments, and for the maintenance of hospitals and relief homes in San Francisco and for the care of the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County, and for the preservation of the public peace, property, health and safety of the people of said City and County, as well as for the uninterrupted operation of the various departments of the government of the City and County of San Francisco.

**Explanation of Vote.**

Supervisor McSheehy presented the following explanation of his vote and asked that it be made part of the record:

San Francisco, California,  
August 22, 1938.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors:

Gentlemen: On May 31, 1938, I voted NO on the budget sent to us by Mayor Rossi calling for an expenditure of \$1,648,709 over what it cost last year to run our city government for the coming fiscal year of 1938-1939, a raise of about 17 cents in the tax rate.

As a member of this Board I feel a great mistake was made in passing that budget as it contains a number of items which could have been eliminated without impairing the efficiency of this city in any manner or form, to the amount of \$562,500, or a reduction of about 8 cents in the tax rate.

Three weeks ago today I presented to you a plan which the Secretary of the Interior stated would meet with the requirements of the Raker Act if voted by the people of San Francisco, in the form of a charter amendment, calling for the issuance of \$21,000,000 of revenue bonds to distribute our own Hetch Hetchy power in which the taxpayers of the city have invested \$50,000,000, and which would mean a direct cut of 25 per cent to the rate payers using electricity living in the zone called for in this amendment which covers about two-thirds of the city.

By a vote of 8 to 2, one member being absent, this charter amendment was voted down.

Today you are confronted with the problem of whether this tax rate will be sufficient to meet the many obligations called for in the budget. According to a decision by the Federal Court, we cannot sell Hetch Hetchy power to the Pacific Gas & Electric Company after December 28, 1938, because it is in direct violation of the Raker Act which is a contract the city has with our own Federal Government.

If this decision stands we are today passing a tax rate that is not sufficient to meet the amount called for in the budget and will mean the cutting of salaries or the laying off of city employees as we must pay our interest and redemption charges on all outstanding bonds, and if there are any retrenchments they will be made by a reduction of salaries and the cutting off of employments.

It is positively an unsound tax rate and we are placing this city in

an embarrassing position, not alone with the Federal Government, but with all the city employees and the taxpayers, first: by not keeping our word with our own government; second, by passing a tax rate that will not meet the expenses called for in the budget; and third: by increasing the burden on the taxpayers by placing items in the budget that never should have been there.

For these reasons I am voting NO on this tax rate and request that this statement be made part of the record and recorded in the journal.

Respectfully,

JAMES B. MCSHEEHY,  
Supervisor.

President Shannon called attention to the emergency section of the Tax Rate Ordinance, as presented, and suggested the deletion of same, which the Board *ordered*.

### Opinion From City Attorney.

President Shannon requested from the City Attorney his opinion with respect to the \$1,200,000 that the city might possibly lose as a result of Judge Roche's decision as to compliance of contract with the Pacific Gas & Electric Company with the terms of the Raker Act, which amount of \$1,200,000 enters into this tax rate of \$4.04.

Thereupon, the Clerk read the following opinion:

August 28, 1938.

*Subject—In re effect of decision of U. S. District Court in Hetch Hetchy Litigation on 1938-39 tax rate.*

Dear Sirs: You have directed my attention to the fact that you are about to fix the tax rate for the current fiscal year, and state that if you should take into consideration the revenue for the entire year from the distribution of the Hetch Hetchy power you will, in all probability fix a rate of \$4.04 per hundred valuation, but that if you anticipate that the City will not be permitted to distribute this power after January 1st, some 14 or 15 cents must be added to the rate. You ask if you may anticipate the revenue from this profit after January 1st. Also should you determine that it should not be anticipated, and the revenue should continue will your tax rate be invalid.

### Opinion

The first paragraph of Section 78 of the Charter reads as follows: "On or before the 15th day of September of each year, the board of supervisors by ordinance shall levy a tax, the estimated proceeds of which, together with the total amount of receipts and revenues estimated to be received from all sources, will be sufficient to meet all appropriations made by the annual appropriation ordinance."

Therefore, it is incumbent upon the Board of Supervisors in fixing the tax which will be levied on real and personal property to anticipate and estimate the revenues which may accrue to the City from sources other than taxation. To do this you must estimate the revenue which will be forthcoming from the distribution of Hetch Hetchy power.

As you are aware the United States District Court has granted an injunction prohibiting the City from continuing its present method of distributing this power. This injunction will become effective on December 28, 1938. An appeal has been taken from the decision of Judge Roche and his ruling will be reviewed by the Circuit Court of Appeals. Judge Roche has also reserved the right to modify the injunction upon application by either the City or the United States Government.

Therefore, we find ourselves in this position. An appeal has been taken and the power lies within the Circuit Court of Appeals to make an order suspending the injunction until the appeal is finally deter-



mined. In my opinion there is every reason to believe that the Court would make such an order, for the reason that the government will not be benefited by the enforcement of the injunction and the City would be deprived of a great amount of revenue and, therefore, more than likely the Court would not deprive the City of the revenue until the litigation is finally determined. In all probability the appeal will not be determined until some time early in the coming year. If the City is successful the matter is at an end for the time being. If the Government prevails there are two courses open to the City—one to ask the Supreme Court of the United States to review the decision of the Circuit Court of Appeals. Two, to apply to the District Court to extend the effective date of the injunction to enable the City to carry out any plans which it may have under way to engage in the direct distribution of power. If our plans are under way I feel that such permission will be given, but of course I can give no assurance on this point.

As I have already told the board I am hopeful that the Circuit Court of Appeals will reverse the lower court and at least remand the case to be retried. However, it is the duty of the Board to determine the probability of the continuation of the Hetch Hetchy revenue for the full year. Should the Board, from the information which I have given you, determine that there is such a possibility it should act accordingly—if it should determine that there is not such a possibility you must add the additional burden.

Should the Board follow the latter course and the revenue should continue through the year the additional amount will not invalidate the tax rate, any more than should the Board anticipate a certain amount of revenue from licenses, fines or other sources and that revenue exceeded the anticipation. In fixing a tax rate if the Board acts in good faith and exercises its best judgment as to the anticipated revenues to be received the Court will not review its decision. In any event the tax rate would not be void and the only penalty would be a refund of the excess, and whether this would happen is not determined at this time.

Very truly yours,

JNO. J. O'TOOLE,  
City Attorney.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Roncovieri discussed the proposed tax rate ordinance, explaining the reasons for increases in various items over the amounts of the previous year, such as provision for indigent sick and dependent poor, and new or increased appropriations due to San Francisco's participation in the Exposition in 1939; also decrease in transfer to the General Fund from Water Department surplus, because of increase in the requirements for water bonds redemption.

Supervisor Uhl objected to statement made by Supervisor McSheehy, that the approval of proposed Charter Amendment to provide for distribution of Hetch Hetchy power would result in a direct cut of 25 per cent to the rate payers using electricity living in the zone called for in his amendment, on the grounds that such saving to the rate payers had not been demonstrated.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

Thereupon, the Tax Rate Ordinance, as amended by the deletion of the emergency section, was *passed for second reading* as follows:

#### San Francisco Tax Rate Ordinance—1938-1939.

(Code No. 9.032)

Bill No. 1699, Ordinance No. 9.0328, as follows:

Providing revenue and levying taxes for City and County purposes

and for the support and maintenance of the common schools of the City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1939.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Under and pursuant to the provisions of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and of the laws of the State of California, and in conformity therewith, a tax is hereby levied for City and County purposes, and for the support and maintenance of the common schools of said City and County, including special City and County school building purposes, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1939, on all the property, real and personal, in the City and County of San Francisco, except such property as is by law exempt from taxation, in the sum of four and four hundredths (\$.04) dollars on each one hundred dollars' valuation of said taxable property as the same appears upon the assessment roll of the said City and County for said fiscal year, which said sum of four and four hundredths (\$.04) dollars on each one hundred dollars' valuation as aforesaid is hereby apportioned to the funds and accounts and for the purposes designated as follows:

(a) For the General Fund to meet the general expenses of the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with Section 78 of the Charter (limit \$1.65) the rate of.....	\$1.234631
Emergency Reserve .....	.025274
County Road .....	.000012
Unemployment Relief Loan State of California.....	.012342
Unemployment Relief Loan Interest State of California..	.003239
Relief of indigent sick and dependent poor.....	.185414
WPA Sponsorship .....	.036159
Exposition .....	.040000

\$1.537071

(b) For the General Fund, to meet the expenses of the City and County of San Francisco not subject to the limitations of Section 78 of the Charter, including the cost of elections, the constructing, maintaining and improving of streets, sewers and buildings, obligations imposed by State, legislative or constitutional enactment, and obligations imposed by vote of the people of the City and County of San Francisco, other than the items herein specifically provided for, including one-half (\$.005) cent to meet the expenses of the Civil Service Commission and including one-half (\$.005) cent for the Art Commission for the purpose of maintaining a symphony orchestra, the rate of.....	.441201
For interest on tax anticipation notes.....	.002161
For the Library Fund, to meet the cost of constructing, maintaining and improving libraries, the rate of.....	.047873
For the Park Fund, to meet the cost of constructing, maintaining and improving the parks, the rate of.....	.116052
For the Recreation Fund, to meet the cost of constructing, maintaining and improving playgrounds, the rate of..	.071666
For maintenance and operation of War Memorial.....	.011202
For M. H. de Young Memorial Museum Fund.....	.010155
For California Palace of the Legion of Honor Fund.....	.009232
For Retirement System for City employes.....	.219663
For the payment of interest on and the amount due for the redemption of the several outstanding bond issues of the City and County.....	.883011
To pay an aliquot part equal to one-tenth of the whole of final judgments against the City and County of San Francisco, pursuant to writs of mandate served upon the members of the Board of Supervisors, to-wit: One-tenth of the amount of each of the judgments referred to in	



the writs of mandate issued in the proceedings in the Supreme Court of the State of California.....	.004675
For special tax levied for publicity and advertising pursuant to the Political Code of the State, the rate of.....	.040000
For Workmen's Compensation Fund.....	.006059
Airport Fund .....	.022296

Total for Municipal Purposes.....\$3.422317

#### COMPULSORY SCHOOL TAX

For San Francisco Unified School District.....	.617683
--	---------

Total Tax Rate.....\$4.04

Ayes—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.

#### Action Rescinded.

Subsequently during the proceedings, Supervisor Reilly, having arrived in the Chambers, moved that the vote by which the Tax Rate Ordinance had been passed for second reading, be rescinded. Seconded by Supervisor Uhl.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the vote previously taken was *rescinded* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

#### Motion to Restore Emergency Section.

Supervisor Reilly moved that the emergency section, previously deleted, be restored to the Tax Rate Ordinance, and that the ordinance be passed as an emergency measure.

#### Discussion.

Discussion followed, during which Supervisor McSheehy referred to his explanation of vote, previously made, and declined to change his vote.

Whereupon, Supervisor Reilly withdrew his motion for the restoration of the emergency section.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

Whereupon, the Tax Rate Ordinance, 1938-1939, was *passed for second reading*, as follows:

#### San Francisco Tax Rate Ordinance—1938-1939.

(Code No. 9.032)

Bill No. 1699, Ordinance No. 9.0328, as follows:

Providing revenue and levying taxes for City and County purposes and for the support and maintenance of the common schools of the City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1939.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Under and pursuant to the provisions of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and of the laws of the State of California, and in conformity therewith, a tax is hereby levied for City and County purposes, and for the support and maintenance of the common schools of said City and County, including special City and

County school building purposes, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1939, on all the property, real and personal, in the City and County of San Francisco, except such property as is by law exempt from taxation, in the sum of four and four hundredths (\$.04) dollars on each one hundred dollars' valuation of said taxable property as the same appears upon the assessment roll of the said City and County for said fiscal year, which said sum of four and four hundredths (\$.04) dollars on each one hundred dollars' valuation as aforesaid is hereby apportioned to the funds and accounts and for the purposes designated as follows:

(a) For the General Fund to meet the general expenses of the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with Section 78 of the Charter (limit \$1.65) the rate of.....	\$1.234631
Emergency Reserve .....	.025274
County Road .....	.000012
Unemployment Relief Loan State of California.....	.012342
Unemployment Relief Loan Interest State of California...	.003239
Relief of indigent sick and dependent poor.....	.185414
WPA Sponsorship .....	.036159
Exposition .....	.040000

\$1.537071

(b) For the General Fund, to meet the expenses of the City and County of San Francisco not subject to the limitations of Section 78 of the Charter, including the cost of elections, the constructing, maintaining and improving of streets, sewers and buildings, obligations imposed by State, legislative or constitutional enactment, and obligations imposed by vote of the people of the City and County of San Francisco, other than the items herein specifically provided for, including one-half (\$.005) cent to meet the expenses of the Civil Service Commission and including one-half (\$.005) cent for the Art Commission for the purpose of maintaining a symphony orchestra, the rate of.....	.441201
For interest on tax anticipation notes.....	.002161
For the Library Fund, to meet the cost of constructing, maintaining and improving libraries, the rate of.....	.047873
For the Park Fund, to meet the cost of constructing, maintaining and improving parks, the rate of.....	.116052
For the Recreation Fund, to meet the cost of constructing, maintaining and improving playgrounds, the rate of..	.071666
For maintenance and operation of War Memorial.....	.011202
For M. H. de Young Memorial Museum Fund.....	.010155
For California Palace of the Legion of Honor Fund.....	.009232
For Retirement System for City employes.....	.219663
For the payment of interest on and the amount due for the redemption of the several outstanding bond issues of the City and County.....	.883011
To pay an aliquot part equal to one-tenth of the whole of final judgments against the City and County of San Francisco, pursuant to writs of mandate served upon the members of the Board of Supervisors, to-wit: One-tenth of the amount of each of the judgments referred to in the writs of mandate issued in the proceedings in the Supreme Court of the State of California.....	.004675
For special tax levied for publicity and advertising pursuant to the Political Code of the State, the rate of.....	.040000
For Workmen's Compensation Fund.....	.006059
Airport Fund .....	.022296

Total for Municipal Purposes.....\$3.422317



## COMPULSORY SCHOOL TAX

For San Francisco Unified School District..... .617683

Total Tax Rate.....\$4.04

Ayes—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Cancellation of Tax Sale, James W. Stewart.**

(Code No. 9.0412)

Resolution No. 4193, as follows:

Whereas, for the year 1937-1938 James W. Stewart filed for veteran's exemption on Lot 9, Block 7105, but due to clerical error he was not allowed the full amount, and a tax of \$0.38 was charged and the property sold to the state on June 24, 1938; therefore, be it

Resolved, That, with the consent of the City Attorney your honorable Board is requested to authorize the cancellation of this sale in accordance with Section 3804A of the Political Code.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.

**Release of Lien Filed re Old Age Security—Joseph A. Johnston.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 4194, as follows:

Resolved, That the lien heretofore placed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, on recommendation of the Public Welfare Department, is released against the property described as follows:

Joseph A. Johnston, Lot 28, Block 3729, recorded in Book 3011, page 183, Official Records of City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.

**Approval of Warrant, Islais Creek Reclamation District.**

(Code No. 12.04)

Also, Resolution No. 4195, as follows:

Be It Resolved, That the following warrants of Islais Creek Reclamation District; No. 836, to Del Norte Lumber Company (formerly Reinhart Lumber and Planing Mill Company)—Hobbs, Wall and Company, for \$16,002.08, payable out of the funds of said District, be and the same are hereby approved; and that the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as Chairmen of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and the Clerk of said Board be and they are hereby authorized and directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.

**Land Purchase—San Francisco Airport.**

(Code No. 12.17154)

Also, Resolution No. 4196, as follows:

Resolved, in accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Mills Estate Incorporated to Parcel No. 9 of the San Francisco Airport lands in San Mateo County, California, as per agreement recorded September 4, 1930, Volume 559, page 1, Official Records of San Mateo County, and that the sum of \$105,000 be paid for said parcel from Appropriation No. 99-901-57-1. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Form Approved: Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended: E. G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities.

Approved: Joseph J. Phillips, Director of Property.

Funds Available: H. J. Boyd, Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Authorizing Sale of City-Owned Land on Dartmouth Street.**

(Code No. 12.1723)

Bill No. 1685, Ordinance No. 12.17232, as follows:

Authorizing sale of city-owned land on Dartmouth street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the northeasterly line of Dartmouth street, distant thereon 75 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Woolsey street; running thence southeasterly along the northeasterly line of Dartmouth street, 65 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 65 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet to the northeasterly line of Dartmouth street and the point of commencement.

Section 2. The above described land shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Form Approved: Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved: Joseph J. Phillips, Director of Property.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:



**Confirming Sale of City Property in Stanislaus County To The State of California.**

(Code No. 12.17251)

Resolution No. 4197, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.172511, Bill No. 1609, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids would be received by him on August 5, 1938 for the sale of the following described City owned property situated in the County of Stanislaus, State of California:

Parcel 1. A strip of land 50 feet in width and being a portion of that certain 6.905 acre tract of land described as Parcel I in deed recorded July 18, 1923, in Book 29 at page 203, Official Records of Stanislaus County. Said strip of land is bounded on the southwest by the northeasterly line of the State Highway, District X, Stanislaus County, Route 4, Section B, which northeasterly line is the southwesterly boundary of said 6.905 acre tract of land, on the northeast by a line parallel to and distant 50 feet measured at right angles northeasterly from the northeasterly line of said State Highway, on the northwesterly end by the northwesterly boundary of said 6.905 acre tract of land and on the southeasterly end by the easterly boundary of said 6.905 acre tract of land.

Parcel 2. A right of way easement for the construction, maintenance and use of a state highway over a portion of that certain 110 foot strip of land described as Parcel II in deed recorded July 18, 1923, in Book 27 at page 330, Official Records of Stanislaus County. Said right of way is bounded on the southwest by the northeasterly line of the State Highway, District X, Stanislaus County, Route 4, Section B, which northeasterly line is the southwesterly end of said 110 foot strip of land, on the northeast by a line parallel to and distant 50 feet measured at right angles northeasterly from the northeasterly line of said State Highway, on the northwesterly end by the northwesterly boundary of said 110 foot strip of land and on the southeasterly end by the southeasterly boundary of said 110 foot strip of land.

The City and County of San Francisco shall have the right to construct, reconstruct, maintain, operate, repair and/or use existing and future water, transmission and other utility structures over, across and/or beneath the above described Parcel 2.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement the State of California offered to purchase said property for the sum of \$1,022.50 cash, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, said sum of \$1,022.50 is more than 90% of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being \$1,022.50; and

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission has recommended the sale of said property. Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted. Be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute the necessary deed for the conveyance of said property to the State of California. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the Grantee upon receipt of the purchase price.

Recommended: E. G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities.

Approved: Joseph J. Phillips, Director of Property.

Form Approved: Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.

**Action Deferred.**

Consideration of the following matter was *postponed until August 29, 1938*:

**Supplemental Appropriation \$118,650 in San Francisco's Contribution Toward Street Improvements, W.P.A. Project.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1670, Ordinance No. 9.051508, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$118,650 from the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund, for the purpose of providing funds for San Francisco's contribution toward the improvement of certain streets mentioned herein, work to be prosecuted under Works Progress Administration.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$118,650 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund to the credit of the following appropriations for the purposes cited and in the amounts indicated, being San Francisco's contribution toward the improvement of the streets mentioned, work to be prosecuted by Works Progress Administration:

App. No.	Description of work	Cost to City
877.953.00	Bay street between Polk street and The Embarcadero. Reduce sidewalks from 15 to 8 feet.....	\$ 31,430
877.954.00	Columbus avenue between Montgomery and Bay streets. Reduce sidewalks from 15 to 10 feet....	36,120
877.955.00	Townsend street between Third street and The Embarcadero. Reduce sidewalks from 15 to 10 feet	15,100
877.956.00	Fulton street between Franklin and Lyon streets. Reduce sidewalks from 15 to 10 feet.....	36,000
Total.....		\$118,650

Recommended by: S. J. Hester, Director Department of Public Works.

Approved: Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer,

Approved as to funds available: Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved: Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to form: John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Approving California Bantam & Wild Bird Exposition, and Providing for Future Exhibitions.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Resolution No. 4198, as follows:

Whereas, California Exhibitors Association, a non-profit corporation, did, from April 27, 1938, to May 1, 1938, both dates inclusive, conduct a fair and exposition called "California Bantam & Wild Bird Exposition" in the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the said fair and exposition was most favorably received by the public and created widespread public interest as shown by attendance records, press reports and comments of the members of this Board of Supervisors who attended the said fair and exposition; and

Whereas, certain members of this Board of Supervisors had prior



to the said exposition given thereto their sincere cooperation and support to the said California Exhibitors Association; and

Whereas, said fair and exposition was conducted as an exposition for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the said California Exhibitors Association did expend one thousand two hundred (\$1,200) dollars for premiums and prizes to exhibitors at said exposition and four thousand seven hundred four (\$4,704) dollars for permanent improvements and equipment in the nature of coops, pens and other paraphernalia used in and about said exposition which the said Association is desirous of turning over to the City and County of San Francisco to be used in future exhibitions; and

Whereas, said California Exhibitors Association proposes to conduct during the year 1939 a fair and exposition of pet stock, flowers and other products of the City and County of San Francisco as distinguished from the products ordinarily exhibited by rural communities, the said exhibition to be called "San Francisco County Fair" and to be held in the City and County of San Francisco: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the fair and exposition conducted by California Exhibitors Association from April 27, 1938, to May 1, 1938, inclusive, be ratified and confirmed as the first of a series of two county fairs to be conducted by California Exhibitors Association, as the agency of the City and County of San Francisco and that the second fair be conducted during the year 1939 at a date or dates to be agreed upon hereafter, the same to be held under the auspices of the California Exhibitors Association, provided that the plans and budget for the 1939 fair be first approved by the Chief Administrative Officer of the City and County of San Francisco: Be it

Further Resolved, That the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco be, and he is, hereby authorized and directed, to apply to the State of California in accordance with the provisions of the Horse Racing Act, Act 3421 Deering General Laws, for the sum of five thousand nine hundred four (\$5,904) dollars to be paid from the "Fair and Exposition Fund" established under said Act, to be used to reimburse California Exhibitors Association for the sums expended during the 1938 fair for prizes, premiums and permanent improvements, and that upon the receipt of the money so applied for the California Exhibitors Association be so reimbursed by the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Amendment to Annual Salary Ordinance, Section 5, Assessor, Salary Restoration, Item 31, in Accordance with City Attorney's Opinion.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 1686, Ordinance No. 9.053147, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 5 of Ordinance 9.053128 by changing the compensation under Item 31\* from 1, B512, General Clerk-Typist, at \$150, to 1, B512, General Clerk-Typist, at \$160.

The effective date of this ordinance retroactive to July 1, 1938.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 5 of Ordinance 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

### Section 5. ASSESSOR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Assessor .....	\$ 666.66
2	1	B52	Executive Secretary .....	250
3	1	B120	Director, Accounts and Records, Assessor's Office .....	300
5	1	B222	General Clerk .....	200
6	1	B418	Confidential Secretary (Ex. Sec. 28)....	200
7	7	B222	General Clerk .....	190
8	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	300
9	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	190
10	1	B101	Supervisor Personal Property Records, Assessor's Office .....	200
11	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	300
12	1	B235	Director of Service .....	250
13	1	B242	Blockbook Draftsman .....	225
14	1	B302	Addressing Machine Operator .....	155
15	2	B310a	Tabulating Alphabetic Key Punch Operator .....	155
16	1	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator .....	165
16½	1	B352	Storekeeper (part time).....	79.50
17	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
18	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
19	2	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
20	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	190
21	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
22	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman.....	200
23	1	G4	Supervising Land Appraiser .....	300
24	1	G5	Chief Land Appraiser .....	330
25	3	G10	Supervising Building Appraiser .....	300
25½	1	G10	Supervising Building Appraiser.....	250
26	1	G11	Chief Building Appraiser .....	330
27	5	G16	Supervising Personal Property Appraisers . . . . .	300
28	1	G17	Chief Personal Property Appraiser.....	330
30*	10	B222	General Clerks .....	160
31*	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	160
32			Seasonal Clerical Services and other temporary services at rates not in excess of salary standardization schedules.	

\*Occupants of these positions are paid from appropriations for temporary services and have acquired permanent status under the rule of the Civil Service Commission adopted pursuant to Section 148 of the Charter.

Approved, Civil Service Commission, by W. E. Henderson.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

**Amendment Salary Ordinance, Section 33, Recorder, Salary Restoration in Accordance with City Attorney's Opinion.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1687, Ordinance No. 9.053148, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 33 of Ordinance 9.053128 by increasing the number of employments under Item 9 from 14 to 15, B512,



General Clerk-Typist, at \$200, and by deleting Item 10, 1, B512, General Clerk-Typist at \$175.

The effective date of this ordinance retroactive to July 1, 1938.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 33 of Ordinance 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 33. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS—  
RECORDER**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B80	Chief Clerk .....	\$ 300
2	1	B81	Recorder . . . . .	666.66
3	1	B102	Teller . . . . .	210
3½	1	B222	General Clerk .....	175
4	1	B222	General Clerk .....	199
5	5	B222	General Clerk .....	200
6	3	B222	General Clerk .....	215
7	2	B228	Senior Clerk .....	215
8	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
9	15	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	200
11	6	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	155
12	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	165

Approved, Civil Service Commission, by W. E. Henderson.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

**Amendment Salary Ordinance, Section 73, San Francisco Water Department, Salary Restoration in Accordance with City Attorney's Opinion.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1688, Ordinance No. 9.053149, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 73 of Ordinance 9.053128 by increasing the number of employments under Item 43 from 6 to 7, U122, Shut-off Man, at \$175, and by deleting Item 43½, 1, U122, Shut-off Man, at \$160; by decreasing the number of employments under Item 5 from 3 to 2, B6, Senior Bookkeeper, at \$190, and by adding Item 4½, 1, B6, Senior Bookkeeper, at \$200, which Item 4½ to be effective as of July 1, 1938.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 73 of Ordinance 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 73. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT**

**1. EXECUTIVE**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	\$ 175
2	1	U44	Manager, Water Department .....	833.33

**2. ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL**

3	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	175
4	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	275
4½	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	200
5	2	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	190
6	1	B14	Senior Accountant .....	400

Section 73. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued)

2. ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL (Cont'd)

Item	No. of No. Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
7	1	B24	Auditor . . . . .	700
8	1	B109	Cashier . . . . .	325
9	1	B210	Office Assistant . . . . .	85
10	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	180
11	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	175
12	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	160
13	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	155

3. WATER PURIFICATION DIVISION

14	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	155
15	1	F524	Water Purification Engineer . . . . .	200
16	1	F524	Water Purification Engineer . . . . .	180
17	3	F524	Water Purification Engineer . . . . .	175
18	1	F526	Chief Water Purification Engineer . . . . .	350
19	1	U215	Head Pump Operator (deduct room) . . . . .	160

4. ENGINEERING

20	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	175
21	1	F2	Assistant Engineer—Water Service . . . . .	500
22	1	F408	Hydraulic Engineer . . . . .	375

5. HOUSE SERVICE

23	1	B454	Telephone Operator . . . . .	165
24	1	B454	Telephone Operator . . . . .	150
25	1	C52	Elevator Operator . . . . .	160
26	4	C104	Janitor . . . . .	155
27	1	C107	Working Foreman Janitor . . . . .	175
28	1	I122	House Mother (part time) . . . . .	75

6. AGRICULTURAL DIVISION

29	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	165
30	1	V30	Assistant Superintendent . . . . .	200
31	1	V40	Superintendent . . . . .	600

7. WATER SALES DIVISION

32	1	B210	Office Assistant . . . . .	85
33	1	B210	Office Assistant . . . . .	95
34	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	200
35	1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	250
36	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	160
37	1	N420	Consumers Complaint Investigator . . . . .	225
38	1	U80	Assistant Manager . . . . .	375
39	1	U88	Manager . . . . .	475

8. SERVICE AND SUPPLY

40	3	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	175
40½	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	155
41	1	U61	Supervisor Service and Supply . . . . .	225
42	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	180
43	7	U122	Shut-off Man . . . . .	175
44	1	U123	Service Inspector . . . . .	185
45	1	U124	Special Complaint Inspector . . . . .	200
46	1	U126	Meter Inspector . . . . .	175
47	1	U132	Contractor's and Builder's Inspector . . . . .	225

Approved, Civil Service Commission, by W. E. Henderson.

Passed for second reading by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.



**Amendment Salary Ordinance, Section 26, Juvenile Court, Probation Office. To Correct Error, in Title of Position, Item 15.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1689, Ordinance No. 9.053149, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 26 of Ordinance 9.053128 by changing the class title under Item 15 from 1, T57, Psychiatric Probation Officer, at \$180 to 1, T57, Psychiatric Social Service Investigator, at \$180.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 26 of Ordinance 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 26. JUVENILE COURT—PROBATION OFFICE**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	\$ 225
2	1	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	175
3	1	B254	Interpreter-Typist . . . . .	210
4	4	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	150
5	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	185
6	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	160
7	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	175
8	1	L404	Psychologist . . . . .	180
9	1	T74	Collector, Juvenile Court . . . . .	180
10	1	T56	Probation Officer . . . . .	225
11	8	T56	Probation Officer . . . . .	210
12	2	T56	Probation Officer . . . . .	195
13	1	T56	Probation Officer . . . . .	185
14	2	T56	Probation Officer . . . . .	180
15	1	T57	Psychiatric Social Service Investigator	180
16	1	T60	Senior Probation Officer . . . . .	240
17	1	T60	Senior Probation Officer . . . . .	235
18	1	T60	Senior Probation Officer . . . . .	215
19	1	T64	Referee (part time) . . . . .	250
20	1	T72	Chief Juvenile Probation Officer . . . . .	380
21	1	B420	Phonographic Reporter (as needed), \$12.50 per day plus transcriptions.	

Approved, Civil Service Commission, by W. E. Henderson.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.

**Amendment Salary Ordinance, Section 67, Controller. Transfer Employees, Item 5, to Public Welfare Department.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1690, Ordinance No. 9.053151, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 67 of Ordinance 9.053128 by decreasing the number of employments under Item 5 from 8 to 7, B4, Bookkeeper, at \$175.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 67 of Ordinance 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 67. CONTROLLER**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Controller . . . . .	\$ 833.33
3	6	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	185
4	4	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	180
5	7	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	175
6	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper . . . . .	225

## Section 67. CONTROLLER (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
7	3	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	210
8	4	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	200
9	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	190
10	1	B7	Asst. Supervisor of Disbursements.....	240
10½	1	B7	Asst. Supervisor of Disbursements.....	225
11	1	B8	Supervisor of Disbursements.....	275
12	1	B10	Accountant . . . . .	225
13	1	B14	Senior Accountant .....	325
14	2	B14	Senior Accountant .....	285
15	1	B21	Chief Assistant Controller.....	625
16	1	B26	Supervisor of Budget Statistics .....	250
17	1	B28	Supervisor of General Audits .....	400
18	1	B30	Supervisor of Utilities Audits .....	400
19	1	B55	Supervisor of Pay Rolls .....	325
20	2	B210	Office Assistant (part time).....	79.50
21	3	B222	General Clerk .....	200
22	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
23	2	B222	General Clerk .....	185
24	2	B222	General Clerk .....	175
25	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	250
26	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	200
26½	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	175
27	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	210
28	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	300
29	2	B234	Head Clerk .....	225
30	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	240
30½	1	B237	Tax Redemption Clerk.....	200
31	1	B301	Pay Roll Machine Operator.....	190
32	3	B301	Pay Roll Machine Operator.....	175
33	3	B301	Pay Roll Machine Operator.....	165
34	2	B302	Addressing Machine Operator.....	155
35	1	B310b	Tabulating Numerical Key Punch Operator . . . . .	175
36	1	B310b	Tabulating Numerical Key Punch Operator . . . . .	155
37	1	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator.....	175
38	3	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator.....	165
39	1	B312	Senior Bookkeeping Machine Operator..	185
40	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
41	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
42	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
43	1	B417	Executive Secretary to the Controller..	250
44	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator.....	155
44½	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator (part time) . . . . .	75
45	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
45½	3	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	155
46	1	K6	Senior Attorney—Civil .....	400
47			Seasonal, Clerical and other Temporary Services (as needed) at rates not in excess of Salary Standardization Schedules.	

Field Bookkeepers or Accountants (Construction Work outside S. F.) (as needed) at rates fixed in Salary Standardization Report.

Approved, Civil Service Commission, by W. E. Henderson.

Passed for second reading by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.



**Amendment Salary Ordinance, Section 66, Public Welfare Department. Transfer Employee, Item 1, from Controller.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1691, Ordinance No. 9.053152, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 66 of Ordinance 9.053128 by increasing the number of employments under Item 1 from 1 to 2, B4, Bookkeeper, at \$175.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 66 of Ordinance 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 66. PUBLIC WELFARE DEPARTMENT**

**INDIGENT RELIEF DIVISION**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	2	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	\$ 175
2	1	B210	Office Assistant . . . . .	85
3	5	B222	General Clerks . . . . .	150
4	1	B239	Statistician . . . . .	180
5	16	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	150
6	18	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	150
7	1	L360	Physician . . . . .	150
8	32	T152	Junior Social Service Investigator . . . . .	150
9	1	T158	Supervisor of Inquiries . . . . .	180
10	3	T161	Case Supervisors . . . . .	225
11	1	T163	Director of Public Welfare . . . . .	500
12	1	T166	Director of Indigent Relief . . . . .	250
13	1	T218	Supervisor Single Men's Registry . . . . .	180

Approved, Civil Service Commission, by W. E. Henderson.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.

**Amendment Salary Ordinance, Section 74, Water Department, Readjustment Salaries, in Accordance with Present Occupants.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1692, Ordinance No. 9.053153, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 74 of Ordinance 9.053128 by decreasing the number of employments under Item 24 from 13 to 12, B222, General Clerk, at \$175, and by increasing the number of employments under Item 27 from 11 to 12, B222, General Clerk, at \$155.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 74 of Ordinance 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 74. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued)**

**9. CONSUMERS' PREMISES**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	4	U126	Meter Inspector . . . . .	\$ 175
1½	1	U126	Meter Inspector . . . . .	160
2	1	U128	Chief Meter Inspector . . . . .	215

# Section 74. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued)

## 10. WATER SALES DIVISION— METER READING

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
3	7	B247	Meter Reader .....	175
4	8	B247	Meter Reader .....	155

## 11. CONSUMERS' ACCOUNTS

6	4	B222	General Clerk .....	175
7	1	B222	General Clerk .....	165
8	1	B222	General Clerk .....	160
9	9	B222	General Clerk .....	155
10	5	B222	General Clerk (part time) .....	75
11	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	215
12	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	200
13	3	B228	Senior Clerk .....	180
14	1	B302	Addressing Machine Operator .....	160
15	1	B302	Addressing Machine Operator .....	155
16	12	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator .....	175
17	5	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	155
18	1	U56	Assistant Supervisor — Consumers' Accounts .....	290
19	1	U60	Supervisor Consumers' Accounts .....	350
20	1	U62	Supervisor of Closing Bills .....	225
21	1	U63	Chief Adjuster .....	225

## 12. WATER SALES DIVISION— COLLECTIONS

22	1	B222	General Clerk .....	200
23	1	B222	General Clerk .....	180
24	12	B222	General Clerk .....	175
25	6	B222	General Clerk .....	165
26	1	B222	General Clerk .....	160
27	12	B222	General Clerk .....	155
28	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	200
29	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	250
30	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	165
31	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
32	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	155
33	1	U52	Supervisor of Collections .....	300

## 13. DOCKS AND SHIPPING

34	1	B222	General Clerk .....	175
35	1	U51	Supervisor Docks and Shipping .....	275
36	1	U125	Hoseman—Ships and Docks .....	190
37	1	U125	Hoseman—Ships and Docks .....	160

## 14. CITY DISTRIBUTION DIVISION— GENERAL

38	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	180
39	1	B356	Senior Storekeeper .....	265
40	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	160
41	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	155
42	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	165
43	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	175
44	1	O58	Gardener .....	145
45	2	O58	Gardener .....	135
45½	1	O60	Head Gardener .....	160
46	1	U130	Reservoir Keeper .....	165
47	1	U130	Reservoir Keeper (deduct for house)...	165



## Section 74. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued)

## 14. CITY DISTRIBUTION DIVISION—GENERAL (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
48	2	U130	Reservoir Keeper (deduct for house)...	160
49	1	U142	Assistant Superintendent .....	350
50	1	U144	Superintendent .....	500

## 15. PUMPS

51	1	O166	Fireman Stationary Steam Engines....	165
52	3	O166	Fireman Stationary Steam Engines....	175
53	4	O166	Fireman Stationary Steam Engines....	185
54	4	O168	Engineer Stationary Steam Engines....	220
55	1	O170	Assistant Chief Engineer—Stationary Steam Engines .....	235

## 16. PUMPS—PENINSULA DIVISION

56	1	O166	Fireman Stationary Steam Engines (deduct for house) .....	185
57	1	O166	Fireman Stationary Steam Engines....	185
58	1	O172	Chief Engineer Stationary Steam Engines .....	265
59	4	U214	Pump Operator .....	165
60	1	U214	Pump Operator (deduct for house) ....	165
61	1	U215	Head Pump Operator .....	200
62	1	U215	Head Pump Operator (deduct for house)	200

Approved, Civil Service Commission, by W. E. Henderson.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

## Amendment Salary Ordinance, Section 69, General Office, Public Utilities Commission. Reclassification of Positions, Items 13 and 14.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1693, Ordinance No. 9.053154, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 69 of Ordinance 9.053128 by changing the class number and class title under Item 13 from 1, S110, Inspector, Municipal Railway, at \$180, to 1, S114, Claims Investigator, at \$180, and by changing the class number and class title under Item 14 from 1, S110, Inspector, Municipal Railway at \$200, to 1, S114, Claims Investigator, at \$200.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 69 of Ordinance 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

## Section 69. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—GENERAL OFFICE

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	5		Commissioners .....	\$ 100
2	1		Manager of Utilities .....	1,000
3	1	B22	Asst. Supervisor, Bureau of Accounts, Utilities Commission .....	410
4	1	B60	Secretary, Public Utilities Commission..	300
5	1	B53	Director of Public Relations .....	400
6	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	200

**Section 69. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—GENERAL  
OFFICE (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
7	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
8	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	165
9	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
10	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
11	1	G106	Claims Adjuster .....	350
11½	1	L360	Physician (part time) .....	250
12	1	O1	Chauffeur .....	187.50
13	1	S114	Claims Investigator .....	180
14	1	S114	Claims Investigator .....	200

Approved, Civil Service Commission, by W. E. Henderson.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Refunds Erroneous Payments of Taxes**

(Code No. 9.059)

Resolution No. 4199, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized to be paid to the following named, being refunds of erroneous payments of taxes:

*From Duplicate Tax Fund—Appropriation 905.*

- (1) Sol Schwartz, per Vol. 7, Bill 1573, Lot 2, Block 1134, 2d installment, Fiscal Year 1937, overpayment.....\$ 5.69
- (2) A. C. Russ, per Vol. 18, Page 28, Line 17, Unsecured Personal Property tax, 1933, duplicate payment..... 11.05
- (3) Anita Maurovich, per Vol. 4, Page 84, Line 18, Unsecured Personal Property tax, Fiscal Year 1938, overpayment.... 3.48

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Authorizing a Supplemental Appropriation of \$300 out of the Surplus Existing in Appropriation No. 830.101.00 to the Credit of Appropriation No. 830.101.00 for the Purpose of Providing Sufficient Funds to Pay the Compensation of Eugene McCormick, Employed in the Office of the Recorder, for the Fiscal Year 1938-1939.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1694, Ordinance No. 9.051511, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$300 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 830.101.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 830.101.00 for the purpose of providing sufficient funds to pay the compensation of Eugene McCormick, employed in the office of the Recorder, for the fiscal year 1938-1939.



Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$300 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 830.101.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 830.101.00 for the purpose of providing sufficient funds to pay the compensation of Eugene McCormick for the fiscal year 1938-1939.

Section 2. The purpose of this ordinance is to provide sufficient funds for the fiscal year 1938-1939 to pay the compensation of this individual at the rate he was receiving on January 1, 1931, in accordance with the City Attorney's opinion of July 20, 1938.

Approved as to form: John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended: Arthur E. Curtis, Director of Finance and Records.

Funds available: H. J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved: Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved: Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

**Authorizing Supplemental Appropriation of \$1,500 from the County Road Fund to the Credit of Appropriation 848.942.00 for City Aid Necessary for Street Construction Work in Athens Street Between Excelsior and Avalon Avenues; said Work to be Performed Under the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1695, Ordinance No. 9.051512, as follows:

Authorizing supplemental appropriation of \$1,500 from the County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 848.942.00 for City aid necessary for street construction work in Athens street between Excelsior and Avalon avenues; said work to be performed under the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$1,500 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 848.942.00 for City aid necessary for street construction work on Athens street between Excelsior and Avalon avenues; said work to be performed under the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934.

Section 111 of the Charter provides that when street assessments are authorized to be paid in installments over a period not to exceed ten years, no annual installment payment shall exceed twenty-five per cent of the assessed value of the land against which the assessment is levied; therefore, this appropriation is necessary to make the assessment valid.

Recommended by: S. J. Hester, Director Department of Public Works.

Approved: Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available: Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved: Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to form: John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

**Authorizing a Supplemental Appropriation of \$16,989.32 to the Credit of the Department of Public Health for the Purpose of Paying Sick Leave Allowances to Employees and to Provide Funds to Pay for the Maintenance of Feeble-Minded in State Institutions.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1696, Ordinance No. 9.051513, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$16,989.32 to the credit of the Department of Public Health for the purpose of paying sick leave allowances to employees and to provide funds to pay for the maintenance of feeble-minded in state institutions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$16,989.32 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of Appropriation No. 700.000.00 to the credit of the following appropriations, for the purposes and in the amounts enumerated:

750.252.01	Maintenance of Feeble-minded in State Institutions . . . . .	\$13,329.32
750.102.50	Central Office—Sick Leave . . . . .	409.00
754.102.50	Emergency Hospital—Sick Leave . . . . .	264.00
751.102.50	Laguna Honda Home—Sick Leave . . . . .	618.00
753.102.50	San Francisco Hospital—Sick Leave . . . . .	2,364.00
752.102.50	Isolation Hospital—Sick Leave . . . . .	5.00

Total . . . . . \$16,989.32

Approved as to form: John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended: Director of Public Health.

Funds available: H. J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved: Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved: Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Extension of Time, Contract for Dredging of Yacht Harbor, etc.**

(Code No. 9.09)

Resolution No. 4178, as follows:

Whereas, The Contract Procedure Ordinance of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco provides, in part, that when the expenditure by contract by a Commission exceeds the sum of one thousand dollars (\$1,000), such contract shall be let to the lowest reliable and responsible bidder at any time not less than ten (10) days after the last date of the publication of the call for bids or more than twenty (20) days after the receipt of bid, unless the time of letting such contract be extended by resolution of the Board of Supervisors, upon the recommendation of the department head, board or commission responsible for such award; and

Whereas, The Board of Park Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco did receive bids for the dredging of Yacht Harbor on June 27, 1938; and for the regrading and resurfacing of eleven tennis courts at the Palace of Fine Arts on June 28, 1938; and for the wearcoat resurfacing of seven tennis courts at the Palace of Fine Arts on June 28, 1938; and

Whereas, due to the absence of Park Commissioners on vacation the Park Commission, on account of the lack of a quorum, was



unable to meet and award the aforesaid contracts in compliance with the contract procedure ordinance; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the time for the letting of the said contracts be extended from twenty days to sixty days after receipt of bids.

Approved as to form: John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

**City Planning Commission and Secretary Authorized to Attend Convention of the League of California Municipalities at Santa Barbara, September 8, 9 and 10, 1938.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Also, Resolution No. 4200, as follows:

Whereas, the League of California Municipalities will hold its annual convention on the 8th, 9th and 10th days of September, 1938, at the City of Santa Barbara, California, and

Whereas, the various City Planning Commissions of the municipalities of the State of California will conduct a conference during the said convention, at the same place, and

Whereas, the City Planning Commission of the City and County of San Francisco should be represented at, and participate in said conference; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said City Planning Commission of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Secretary therefor, be, and they are hereby authorized to attend said convention at said time and place.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up:

**Intention to Change and Establish Grades on Moraga Street Between Thirty-second and Thirty-sixth Avenues; Thirty-third, Thirty-fourth and Thirty-fifth Avenues Between Lawton and Noriega Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0721)

Resolution No. 4190, as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above City base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Order No. 8,838 of the Director of Public Works dated August 12, 1938, making written recommendation of said action, filed with said Board August 17, 1938, to-wit:

<i>Moraga Street:</i>	<i>Feet</i>
Thirty-second avenue, westerly line.....	282
(The same being the present official grade)	
Thirty-third avenue, easterly line.....	268
Thirty-third avenue, westerly line.....	265
Thirty-fourth avenue, easterly line.....	241
Thirty-fourth avenue, westerly line.....	238
Thirty-fifth avenue, easterly line.....	209
Thirty-fifth avenue, westerly line.....	206
Thirty-sixth avenue, easterly line.....	179
(The same being the present official grade)	

*Thirty-third Avenue:**Feet*

Westerly line of, at Lawton street.....	266
(The same being the present official grade)	
Easterly line of, at Lawton street.....	266.90
(The same being the present official grade)	
100 feet southerly from Lawton street.....	270.30
200 feet southerly from Lawton street.....	274.15
300 feet southerly from Lawton street.....	276.08
400 feet southerly from Lawton street.....	274.17
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
100 feet northerly from Moraga street.....	270.33
Easterly line of, at Moraga street.....	268
Westerly line of, at Moraga street.....	265
100 feet southerly from Moraga street.....	260.70
190 feet southerly from Moraga street.....	255.48
250 feet southerly from Moraga street.....	251.45
310 feet southerly from Moraga street.....	246.32
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
93 feet northerly from Noriega street.....	228.31
Westerly line of, at Noriega street.....	218
(The same being the present official grade)	
Easterly line of, at Noriega street.....	221
(The same being the present official grade)	

*Thirty-fourth Avenue:*

Westerly line of, at Lawton street.....	239
(The same being the present official grade)	
Easterly line of, at Lawton street.....	242
(The same being the present official grade)	
100 feet southerly from Lawton street.....	243.66
200 feet southerly from Lawton street.....	246.84
300 feet southerly from Lawton street.....	248.33
400 feet southerly from Lawton street.....	246.50
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
100 feet northerly from Moraga street.....	243
Easterly line of, at Moraga street.....	241
Westerly line of, at Moraga street.....	238
100 feet southerly from Moraga street.....	233.09
93 feet northerly from Noriega street.....	207.46
Westerly line of, at Noriega street.....	200
(The same being the present official grade)	
Easterly line of, at Noriega street.....	203
(The same being the present official grade)	

*Thirty-fifth Avenue:*

Westerly line of, at Lawton street.....	212
(The same being the present official grade)	
Easterly line of, at Lawton street.....	215
(The same being the present official grade)	
100 feet southerly from Lawton street.....	213.36
100 feet northerly from Moraga street.....	209.36
Westerly line of, at Moraga street.....	206
Easterly line of, at Moraga street.....	209
100 feet southerly from Moraga street.....	200.52
200 feet southerly from Moraga street.....	193.54
300 feet southerly from Moraga street.....	188.11
400 feet southerly from Moraga street.....	185.81
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
93 feet northerly from Noriega street.....	185.06
Westerly line of, at Noriega street.....	182
(The same being the present official grade)	
Easterly line of, at Noriega street.....	185
(The same being the present official grade)	



On Moraga street between Thirty-second and Thirty-sixth avenues, and on Thirty-third, Thirty-fourth, and Thirty-fifth avenues between Lawton and Noriega streets be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

These proceedings are instituted in accordance with the "Change of Grade Act of 1909." The San Francisco Call-Bulletin is hereby designated as the newspaper in which this resolution shall be published.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

#### **Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up:

#### **Accepting the Roadway of Sweeny Street, Between Bowdoin Street and the Westerly Line of Colby Street Extended.**

(Code No. 12.0811)

Bill No. 1674, Ordinance No. 12.081141, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Sweeny street, between Bowdoin street and the westerly line of Colby street extended, including the intersection of Dartmouth street, Dunsmuir street and Colby street, with Sweeny street, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Sweeny street, between Bowdoin street and the westerly line of Colby street extended, including the intersection of Dartmouth street, Dunsmuir street, and Colby street, with Sweeny street, including the curbs.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

#### **Action Deferred.**

After brief discussion, action on the following matter was *postponed until August 29, 1938*:

#### **Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Post Street Between Taylor Street and Van Ness Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Bill No. 1664, Ordinance No. 12.0731124, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Eight Hundred and Thirty-seven (837) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in

accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office July 28, 1938, thereof to read as follows:

Section 837: The width of Sidewalks on Post street between Market and Taylor streets shall be 12 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Post street between Taylor street and Van Ness avenue shall be 10 feet.

*Supervisor Uhl's amendment proposing the reduction of walk widths on Post street from Van Ness avenue to Market street and the Committee recommends passage of above Bill.*

### Adopted.

The following recommendations of the Streets Committee were taken up:

### Intention to Change and Establish Grades on Various Streets in the Hunters Point District.

(Code No. 12.0721)

Resolution No. 4191, as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above City base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Order No. 8,786 of the Director of Public Works dated August 5, 1938, making written recommendation of said action, filed with said Board August 9, 1938, to-wit:

<i>Innes Avenue:</i>	<i>Feet</i>
664 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	176
(The same being the present official grade)	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 150 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street..	172.40
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 250 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	165.16
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 350 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	148.27
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, 160 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	128.69
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, 120 feet southeasterly from Keith street .....	121.81
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, 80 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	118.56
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 160 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	128.69
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 120 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	121.86
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 80 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	118.72
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Keith street	115.68
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Keith street	116.38
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly, and 13 feet southeasterly from Keith street center line.....	115
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 50 feet northwesterly from Keith street.....	119.36
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 225 feet southeasterly from Lane street.....	141.27



*Innes Avenue (Continued):**Feet*

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 125 feet southeasterly from Lane street.....	142.31
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 25 feet southeasterly from Lane street.....	132
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
Lane street .....	128
(The same being the present official grade)	

*Jerrold Avenue:*

13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Donahue street .....	87.35
(The same being the present official grade)	
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Donahue street .....	88.65
(The same being the present official grade)	
Northeasterly line of, at points 22 feet northwesterly and 22 feet southeasterly from Donahue street center line.....	86
(The same being the present official grade)	
Southwesterly line of, at points 22 feet northwesterly and 22 feet southeasterly from Donahue street center line.....	90
(The same being the present official grade)	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 50 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....	92.75
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 150 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....	102.25
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 200 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....	106.51
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 250 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....	109.80
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 200 feet southeasterly from Earl street.....	118.20
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 150 feet southeasterly from Earl street.....	120.55
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 100 feet southeasterly from Earl street.....	122
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Earl street.....	124
Southwesterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Earl street center line.....	125
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 130 feet northwesterly from Earl street.....	122.55
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 180 feet northwesterly from Earl street.....	121.55
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 230 feet northwesterly from Earl street.....	119.66
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 170 feet southeasterly from Fitch street.....	110.30
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 150 feet southeasterly from Fitch street.....	109.55
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 130 feet southeasterly from Fitch street.....	109.18
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Fitch street.....	108

*Jerrold Avenue (Continued):**Feet*

Southwesterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Fitch street center line.....	109
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 96 feet northwesterly from Fitch street.....	105.37
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 146 feet northwesterly from Fitch street.....	103.28
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 196 feet northwesterly from Fitch street.....	99.79
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations.	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 314 feet southeasterly from Griffith street.....	92.21
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 264 feet southeasterly from Griffith street.....	88.25
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 216 feet southeasterly from Griffith street..	84.78
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Griffith street.....	71
Northeasterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Griffith street center line.....	70
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 178 feet southeasterly from Hawes street.....	86.20
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 128 feet southeasterly from Hawes street.....	88.30
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 78 feet southeasterly from Hawes street.....	91.00
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Hawes street southeasterly line.....	95.68
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Hawes street northwesterly line.....	99.52
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 58 feet northwesterly from Hawes street.....	103
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 108 feet northwesterly from Hawes street.....	106.68
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 158 feet northwesterly from Hawes street.....	111.71
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 382 feet southeasterly from Ingalls street.....	118.57
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 282 feet southeasterly from Ingalls street.....	124.48
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 182 feet southeasterly from Ingalls street.....	119.36
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Ingalls street.....	100
Northeasterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Ingalls street center line.....	100
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 290 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	129.86
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 340 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	136.01
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 390 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	144.19
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	



*Jerrold Avenue (Continued):*

	<i>Feet</i>
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Jennings street southeasterly line.....	182.80
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet southeasterly from Jennings street center line.....	183.75
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet southeasterly from Jennings street center line.....	183.75
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly from Jennings street center line.....	185.05
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly from Jennings street center line.....	185.05
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Jennings street northwesterly line.....	186.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	204.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 150 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	209.90
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 200 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	209.55
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 254 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	199.46
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 204 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	196.77
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 154 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	195.62
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Keith street northwesterly line.....	194.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 278 feet southeasterly from Lane street.....	180.15
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 228 feet southeasterly from Lane street.....	177.55
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 178 feet southeasterly from Lane street.....	174.06
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 50 feet southeasterly from Lane street.....	163.94
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Lane street..	159.35
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Lane street..	160.65
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Lane street center line.....	158.00
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Lane street center line.....	162.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 50 feet northwesterly from Lane street.....	154.84
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 292 feet southeasterly from Mendell street.....	128.16
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 242 feet southeasterly from Mendell street.....	121.39
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 192 feet southeasterly from Mendell street.....	111.43
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
Mendell street .....	67.00
(The same being the present official grade)	

*Kirkwood Avenue:*

Northeasterly line of, at points 22 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Donahue street center line.....	96.00
(The same being the present official grade)	

<i>Kirkwood Avenue (Continued):</i>	<i>Feet</i>
Southwesterly line of, at points 22 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Donahue street center line.....	94.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Donahue street northwesterly line .....	95.33
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Donahue street northwesterly line .....	94.67
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....	107.78
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, 150 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....	113.65
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, 200 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....	118.83
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....	107.56
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 150 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....	113.59
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 200 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....	118.83
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 200 feet southeasterly from Earl street.....	138.17
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 150 feet southeasterly from Earl street.....	142.62
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 100 feet southeasterly from Earl street.....	146.33
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Earl street.....	153.00
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Earl street center line.....	152.00
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Earl street center line.....	153.00
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Fitch street..	158.35
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Fitch street..	159.65
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Fitch street center line.....	157.00
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Fitch street center line.....	161.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 50 feet northwesterly from Fitch street.....	157.25
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Griffith street.....	138.00
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Griffith street center line.....	139.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 172 feet northwesterly from Griffith street.....	139.90
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 272 feet northwesterly from Griffith street.....	142.84
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 372 feet northwesterly from Griffith street.....	149.48
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Hawes street southeasterly line.....	168.80
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Hawes street northwesterly line.....	172.00



*Kirkwood Avenue (Continued):**Feet*

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 208 feet northwesterly from Hawes street.....	190.44
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 308 feet northwesterly from Hawes street.....	195.43
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 408 feet northwesterly from Hawes street.....	192.69
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Ingalls street.....	180.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 250 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	190.84
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 300 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	194.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 350 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	199.16
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 50 feet southeasterly from Jennings street.....	223.84
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Jennings street	229.35
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Jennings street	230.65
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet south-easterly from Jennings street center line.....	228.00
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet south-easterly from Jennings street center line.....	232.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 50 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	236.41
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 106 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	243.59
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 156 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	249.09
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 206 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	252.78
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 314 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	257.23
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 264 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	259.21
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 214 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	259.62
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Keith street.....	258.00
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet south-easterly from Keith street center line.....	259.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 97 feet northwesterly from Keith street.....	252.72
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 147 feet northwesterly from Keith street.....	249.25
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 197 feet northwesterly from Keith street.....	244.31
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 178 feet southeasterly from Lane street.....	218.69
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 128 feet southeasterly from Lane street.....	212.37
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 78 feet southeasterly from Lane street.....	204.80

*Kirkwood Avenue (Continued):**Feet*

Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Lane street..... 192.00

Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Lane street center line..... 192.00

Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Lane street center line..... 192.00

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 88 feet northwesterly from Lane street..... 180.52

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 138 feet northwesterly from Lane street..... 172.66

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 188 feet northwesterly from Lane street..... 162.15

Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 242 feet southeasterly from Mendell street..... 121.85

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 192 feet southeasterly from Mendell street..... 110.29

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 142 feet southeasterly from Mendell street..... 99.33

Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations

Mendell street easterly line..... 69.00

(The same being the present official grade)

*La Salle Avenue:*

Northeasterly line of, at Donahue street..... 70.00  
(The same being the present official grade)

Southwesterly line of, at Donahue street..... 66.00  
(The same being the present official grade)

13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Donahue street northwesterly line..... 68.65

13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Donahue street northwesterly line..... 67.35

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Donahue street..... 91.91

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 180 feet northwesterly from Donahue street..... 111.04

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 230 feet northwesterly from Donahue street..... 121.57

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 280 feet northwesterly from Donahue street..... 129.25

Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 300 feet southeasterly from Earl street..... 131.75

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 250 feet southeasterly from Earl street..... 136.94

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 200 feet southeasterly from Earl street..... 140.00

Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Earl street..... 148.00

Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Earl street center line..... 149.00

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 280 feet southeasterly from Fitch street..... 157.52

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 230 feet southeasterly from Fitch street..... 159.72



*La Salle Avenue (Continued):**Feet*

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 180 feet southeasterly from Fitch street.....	163.37
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 120 feet southeasterly from Fitch street.....	168.63
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 70 feet southeasterly from Fitch street.....	172.08
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 20 feet southeasterly from Fitch street.....	173.71
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Fitch street.....	174.00
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Fitch street center line.....	174.00
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Griffith street.	179.35
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Griffith street.	180.65
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Griffith street center line.....	178.00
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Griffith street center line.....	<b>182.00</b>
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Griffith street.....	186.66
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Hawes street southeasterly line.....	220.00
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet southeasterly from Hawes street center line.....	220.59
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly from Hawes street center line.....	221.41
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Hawes street northwesterly line.....	222.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 342 feet southeasterly from Ingalls street.....	242.18
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 242 feet southeasterly from Ingalls street.....	247.11
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 142 feet southeasterly from Ingalls street.....	246.28
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Ingalls street.....	241.00
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Ingalls street center line.....	241.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 250 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	242.67
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 300 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	243.63
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 350 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	245.83
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Jennings street.....	260.00
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Jennings street center line.....	260.00
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Jennings street center line.....	260.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 136 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	265.85

*La Salle Avenue (Continued):**Feet*

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 186 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	267.76
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 236 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	269.20
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 174 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	273.80
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 124 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	274.50
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 74 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	274.20
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Keith street.....	273.00
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Keith street center line.....	273.00
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Keith street center line.....	272.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 192 feet northwesterly from Keith street.....	226.19
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 242 feet northwesterly from Keith street.....	214.93
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 292 feet northwesterly from Keith street.....	205.54
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, 278 feet southeasterly from Lane street.....	200.46
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, 228 feet southeasterly from Lane street.....	191.31
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, 178 feet southeasterly from Lane street.....	180.81
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 278 feet southeasterly from Lane street.....	200.46
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 228 feet southeasterly from Lane street.....	191.24
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 178 feet southeasterly from Lane street.....	180.52
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Lane street southeasterly line .....	140.95
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Lane street southeasterly line .....	139.65
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet southeasterly from Lane street center line .....	142.30
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly from Lane street center line .....	141.00
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet southeasterly from Lane street center line .....	138.30
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly from Lane street center line .....	137.00
10 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Lane street northwesterly line.....	137.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
35 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Lane street northwesterly line.....	138.00
(The same being the present official grade)	



*La Salle Avenue (Continued):* *Feet*

10 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Lane street northwesterly line.....	145.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
Northeasterly line of, at Lane street northwesterly line.....	145.00
(The same being the present official grade)	

*McKinnon Avenue:*

Northeasterly line of, at Donahue street.....	38.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
Southwesterly line of, at Donahue street.....	34.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, 20 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....	40.32
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....	51.77
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, 180 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....	56.76
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 20 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....	39.28
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....	51.51
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 180 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....	56.76
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 60 feet southeasterly from Earl street.....	64.68
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Earl street southeasterly line.....	66.63
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 60 feet northwesterly from Earl street, southeasterly line .....	69.86
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 150 feet northwesterly from Earl street.....	79.78
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 200 feet northwesterly from Earl street.....	83.94
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 250 feet northwesterly from Earl street.....	90.00
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 300 feet southeasterly from Fitch street.....	97.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 200 feet southeasterly from Fitch street.....	108.16
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 100 feet southeasterly from Fitch street.....	113.65
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Fitch street southeasterly line.....	116.30
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Fitch street northwesterly line.....	118.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 164 feet southeasterly from Griffith street.....	135.94
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 114 feet southeasterly from Griffith street.....	139.67
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 64 feet southeasterly from Griffith street.....	146.77

*McKinnon Avenue (Continued):**Feet*

Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Griffith street southeasterly line .....	158.00
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 30 feet south-easterly from Griffith street .....	152.74
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Griffith street southeasterly line .....	156.68
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 30 feet north-westerly from Griffith street southeasterly line .....	158.00
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet south-easterly from Griffith street center line .....	159.00
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Griffith street northwesterly line .....	158.00
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 30 feet south-easterly from Griffith street northwesterly line .....	158.00
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Griffith street northwesterly line .....	159.26
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 30 feet north-westerly from Griffith street .....	163.05
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 102 feet northwesterly from Griffith street .....	175.17
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 202 feet northwesterly from Griffith street .....	187.54
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 302 feet northwesterly from Griffith street .....	191.00
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Hawes street .....	188.00
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet south-easterly from Hawes street center line .....	189.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 38 feet northwesterly from Hawes street .....	195.72
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 138 feet northwesterly from Hawes street .....	210.65
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 238 feet northwesterly from Hawes street .....	214.90
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Ingalls street .....	211.00
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet south-easterly from Ingalls street center line .....	212.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 150 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street .....	219.25
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 200 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street .....	221.69
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 250 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street .....	223.50
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 250 feet southeasterly from Jennings street .....	226.50
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 200 feet southeasterly from Jennings street .....	228.62
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 150 feet southeasterly from Jennings street .....	232.00



*McKinnon Avenue (Continued):**Feet*

Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 50 feet southeasterly from Jennings street.....	240.00
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Jennings street	244.65
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Jennings street	243.35
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Jennings street center line.....	246.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	243.19
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, 164 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	240.41
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, 114 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	238.64
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, 64 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	234.15
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 164 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	240.41
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 114 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	238.49
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 64 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	233.58
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Keith street southeasterly line .....	226.65
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Keith street southeasterly line .....	225.35
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Keith street center line.....	228.00
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Keith street center line.....	224.00
15 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Keith street northwesterly line .....	227.25
15 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Keith street northwesterly line .....	224.75
Northeasterly and southwesterly curb lines 50 feet northwesterly from Keith street.....	215.13
Northeasterly and southwesterly curb lines, 225 feet northwesterly from Keith street.....	177.06
(The same being the present official grade)	

*Newcomb Avenue:*

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Fitch street.....	50.00
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Fitch street center line.....	49.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 86 feet northwesterly from Fitch street.....	55.03
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line, 136 feet northwesterly from Fitch street.....	65.70
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 186 feet northwesterly from Fitch street.....	69.77
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 124 feet southeasterly from Griffith street.....	71.61
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 74 feet southeasterly from Griffith street.....	73.39

<i>Newcomb Avenue (Continued):</i>		<i>Feet</i>
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 24 feet southeasterly from Griffith street.....		77.95
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations		
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Griffith street southeasterly line.....		80.81
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Griffith street northwesterly line.....		88.42
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 22 feet northwesterly from Griffith street.....		91.05
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 72 feet northwesterly from Griffith street.....		96.14
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 122 feet northwesterly from Griffith street.....		99.50
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations		
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 222 feet northwesterly from Griffith street.....		104.50
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 272 feet northwesterly from Griffith street.....		106.48
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 322 feet northwesterly from Griffith street.....		107.45
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations		
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Hawes street southeasterly line.....		110.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Hawes street northwesterly line.....		112.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 188 feet northwesterly from Hawes street.....		130.96
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 238 feet northwesterly from Hawes street.....		134.84
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 288 feet northwesterly from Hawes street.....		136.40
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations		
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 162 feet southeasterly from Ingalls street.....		137.60
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 112 feet southeasterly from Ingalls street.....		138.75
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 62 feet southeasterly from Ingalls street.....		141.41
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations		
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Ingalls street southeasterly line.....		145.64
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Ingalls street northwesterly line.....		150.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Jennings street.....		184.00
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Jennings street center line.....		183.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 46 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....		182.61
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 66 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....		181.68
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 86 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....		180.12
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations		



*Newcomb Avenue (Continued):* *Feet*

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 166 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	172.59
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 236 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	168.51
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 306 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	169.46
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
Keith street .....	184.00
(The same being the present official grade)	

*Oakdale Avenue:*

30 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 114 feet southeasterly from Jennings street.....	132.16
(The same being the present official grade)	
30 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 64 feet southeasterly from Jennings street .....	133.63
30 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 14 feet southeasterly from Jennings street.....	134.39
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
15 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 114 feet southeasterly from Jennings street.....	132.16
(The same being the present official grade)	
15 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 64 feet southeasterly from Jennings street.....	133.30
15 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 14 feet southeasterly from Jennings street.....	133.02
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
12 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 64 feet southeasterly from Jennings street.....	140.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
12 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 34 feet southeasterly from Jennings street.....	140.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
30 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Jennings street southeasterly line.....	134.50
15 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Jennings street southeasterly line.....	132.75
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Jennings street center line.....	136.00
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Jennings street center line.....	132.00
12 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Jennings street northwesterly line.....	136.00
15 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Jennings street northwesterly line.....	132.75
12 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 70 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	123.86
12 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 150 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	111.17
12 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 230 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	120.80
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
30 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 70 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	120.26
30 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 150 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	110.76

<i>Oakdale Avenue (Continued):</i>		<i>Feet</i>
30 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 230 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....		114.80
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points		
15 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 70 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....		119.33
15 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 150 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....		110.46
15 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 230 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....		114.52
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points		
12 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 250 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....		137.00
12 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 200 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....		142.15
12 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 150 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....		144.13
(The same being the present official grade)		
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points		
30 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 250 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....		131.00
30 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 200 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....		136.16
30 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 150 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....		138.13
(The same being the present official grade)		
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points		
15 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 250 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....		130.31
15 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 200 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....		135.33
15 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 150 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....		137.26
(The same being the present official grade)		
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points		

***Earl Street:***

Abolish grades between Innes and Jerrold avenues.	
At points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Jerrold avenue southwesterly line....	125.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 50 feet southwesterly from Jerrold avenue	135.50
At points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 100 feet southwesterly from Jerrold avenue	144.12
At points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 150 feet southwesterly from Jerrold avenue	149.00
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
At points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Kirkwood avenue northeasterly line...	152.00
Northwesterly and southeasterly lines of, 27 feet southwesterly from Kirkwood avenue northeasterly line.....	153.00
Northwesterly and southeasterly lines of, 27 feet northeasterly from Kirkwood avenue southwesterly line.....	153.00



*Earl Street (Continued):**Feet*

At points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Kirkwood avenue southwesterly line..	153.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 60 feet southwesterly from Kirkwood avenue .....	156.82
At points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 110 feet southwesterly from Kirkwood avenue .....	157.68
At points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 160 feet southwesterly from Kirkwood avenue .....	153.89
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
At points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at La Salle avenue northeasterly line...	149.00
At points on the northwesterly and southeasterly lines of, 27 feet southwesterly from La Salle avenue northeasterly line	148.00
At points on the northwesterly and southeasterly lines of, 27 feet northeasterly from La Salle avenue southwesterly line	148.00
Abolish grades between La Salle avenue and McKinnon avenue southwesterly line.	

*Fitch Street:*

Abolish grades between Innes and Jerrold avenues.	
At points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Jerrold avenue southwesterly line....	109.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Kirkwood avenue northeasterly line .....	157.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Kirkwood avenue southwesterly line .....	161.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 30 feet southwesterly from Kirkwood avenue.....	166.25
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 80 feet southwesterly from Kirkwood avenue.....	172.71
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 130 feet southwesterly from Kirkwood avenue.....	174.59
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at La Salle avenue northeasterly line.....	174.00
Abolish grades between La Salle and Newcomb avenues.	
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Newcomb avenue southwesterly line.....	49.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Oakdale avenue northeasterly line.....	14.00
Northwesterly line of, 30 feet southwesterly from Oakdale avenue northeasterly line.....	13.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
Northwesterly line of, 15 feet northeasterly from Oakdale avenue southwesterly line.....	13.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
Southeasterly line of, 30 feet southwesterly from Oakdale avenue northeasterly line.....	13.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
Southeasterly line of, 15 feet northeasterly from Oakdale avenue southwesterly line.....	13.00
(The same being the present official grade)	

*Griffith Street:**Feet*

Innes avenue .....	22.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Jerrold avenue northeasterly line.....	70.00
Abolish grades between Jerrold and Kirkwood avenues.	
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Kirkwood avenue southwesterly line.....	139.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at La Salle avenue northeasterly line.....	178.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at La Salle avenue southwesterly line.....	182.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 70 feet southwesterly from La Salle avenue.....	176.40
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 100 feet southwesterly from La Salle avenue.....	173.47
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 130 feet southwesterly from La Salle avenue.....	169.50
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at McKinnon avenue northeasterly line.....	159.00
Abolish grades between McKinnon and Oakdale avenues.	

*Hawes Street:*

Abolish grades between Innes and La Salle avenues.	
13 feet northwesterly from the center line of, at La Salle avenue southwesterly line.....	221.41
13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at La Salle avenue southwesterly line .....	220.59
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 50 feet southwesterly from La Salle avenue.....	213.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at McKinnon avenue northeasterly line.....	189.00
Abolish grades between McKinnon and Oakdale avenues.	

*Ingalls Street:*

Abolish grades between Jerrold and La Salle avenues.	
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at La Salle avenue, southwesterly line.....	241.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 20 feet southwesterly from La Salle avenue.....	240.89
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 70 feet southwesterly from La Salle avenue.....	237.92
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 120 feet southwesterly from La Salle avenue.....	229.60
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at McKinnon avenue northeasterly line.....	212.00
Abolish grades at McKinnon and Oakdale avenues.	

*Jennings Street:*

Northwesterly and southeasterly lines of, 27 feet southwesterly from Innes avenue northeasterly line.....	176.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
Northwesterly and southeasterly lines of, 27 feet northeasterly from Innes avenue southwesterly line.....	176.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Innes avenue southwesterly line.....	176.00
(The same being the present official grade)	



*Jennings Street (Continued):**Feet*

13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 50 feet northeasterly from Jerrold avenue.....	182.30
13 feet northwesterly from the center line of, at Jerrold avenue northeasterly line .....	185.05
13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Jerrold avenue northeasterly line .....	183.75
Southeasterly line of, 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from Jerrold avenue center line.....	182.80
Northwesterly line of, 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from Jerrold avenue center line.....	186.00
13 feet northwesterly from the center line of, at Jerrold avenue southwesterly line .....	185.05
13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Jerrold avenue southwesterly line .....	183.75
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 50 feet southwesterly from Jerrold avenue.....	195.30
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Kirkwood avenue northeasterly line.....	228.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Kirkwood avenue southwesterly line.....	232.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 50 feet southwesterly from Kirkwood avenue.....	242.80
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 100 feet southwesterly from Kirkwood avenue.....	249.20
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 150 feet southwesterly from Kirkwood avenue.....	254.00
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at La Salle avenue northeasterly line.....	260.06
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at La Salle avenue southwesterly line.....	260.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 60 feet southwesterly from La Salle avenue.....	259.45
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 110 feet southwesterly from La Salle avenue.....	257.30
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 160 feet southwesterly from La Salle avenue.....	251.78
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at McKinnon avenue northeasterly line.....	246.00
Abolish grades between McKinnon and Newcomb avenues.	
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Newcomb avenue southwesterly line.....	183.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Oakdale avenue northeasterly line.....	136.00
Southeasterly line of, 30 feet southwesterly from Oakdale avenue northeasterly line.....	134.50
Southeasterly line of, 15 feet northeasterly from Oakdale avenue southwesterly line.....	132.75
Northwesterly line of, 12 feet southwesterly from Oakdale avenue northeasterly line.....	136.00
Northwesterly line of, 30 feet southwesterly from Oakdale avenue northeasterly line.....	134.50
Northwesterly line of, 15 feet northeasterly from Oakdale avenue southwesterly line.....	132.75
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Oakdale avenue southwesterly line.....	132.00
Palou avenue northeasterly line.....	89.00
(The same being the present official grade)	

*Keith Street:**Feet*

Southeasterly line of, at Hudson avenue southwesterly line..	77.20
(The same being the present official grade)	
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Hudson avenue southwesterly line.....	76.50
Northwesterly line of, at Hudson avenue southwesterly line..	77.20
(The same being the present official grade)	
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Innes avenue northeasterly line.....	115.00
Abolish grades between Innes and Kirkwood avenues.	
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Kirkwood avenue southwesterly line.....	259.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 130 feet northeasterly from La Salle avenue.....	268.34
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 80 feet northeasterly from La Salle avenue.....	273.02
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 30 feet northeasterly from La Salle avenue.....	273.75
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at La Salle avenue northeasterly line.....	273.00
Northwesterly and southeasterly lines of, 27 feet southwesterly from La Salle avenue northeasterly line.....	273.00
Northwesterly and southeasterly lines of, 27 feet northeasterly from La Salle avenue southwesterly line.....	273.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at La Salle avenue southwesterly line.....	272.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at McKinnon avenue northeasterly line.....	228.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at McKinnon avenue southwesterly line.....	224.00
Newcomb avenue .....	184.00
(The same being the present official grade)	

*Lane Street:*

Innes avenue .....	128.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Jerrold avenue northeasterly line.....	158.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Jerrold avenue southwesterly line.....	162.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 60 feet southwesterly from Jerrold avenue.....	177.27
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 110 feet southwesterly from Jerrold avenue.....	184.48
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 160 feet southwesterly from Jerrold avenue.....	188.66
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Kirkwood avenue northeasterly line.....	192.00
Northwesterly and southeasterly lines of, 27 feet southwesterly from Kirkwood avenue northeasterly line.....	192.00
Northwesterly and southeasterly lines of, 27 feet northeasterly from Kirkwood avenue southwesterly line.....	192.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Kirkwood avenue southwesterly line.....	192.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 50 feet northeasterly from La Salle avenue.....	154.23



<i>Lane Street (Continued):</i>	<i>Feet</i>
13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at La Salle avenue northeasterly line.....	142.30
13 feet northwesterly from the center line of, at La Salle avenue northeasterly line.....	141.00
Southeasterly line of 27 feet southwesterly from La Salle avenue northeasterly line.....	140.95
Southeasterly line of, 27 feet northeasterly from La Salle avenue southwesterly line.....	139.65
Northwesterly line of, at La Salle avenue northeasterly line.. (The same being the present official grade)	145.00
Northwesterly line of, 10 feet southwesterly from La Salle avenue northeasterly line..... (The same being the present official grade)	145.00
Northwesterly line of, 35 feet southwesterly from La Salle avenue northeasterly line..... (The same being the present official grade)	138.00
Northwesterly line of, 10 feet northeasterly from La Salle avenue southwesterly line..... (The same being the present official grade)	137.00
13 feet northwesterly from the center line of, at La Salle avenue southwesterly line.....	137.00
13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at La Salle avenue southwesterly line.....	138.30
Southeasterly line of, at McKinnon avenue..... (The same being the present official grade)	108.00
Northwesterly line of, at McKinnon avenue..... (The same being the present official grade)	106.00

On Innes avenue, between a line 664 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street, and Lane street on Jerrold avenue between Donahue street and Mendell street, on Kirkwood avenue between Donahue street and Mendell street, on La Salle avenue between Donahue street and Lane street, on McKinnon avenue between Donahue street and a line 225 feet northwesterly from Keith street, on Newcomb avenue between Fitch street and Keith street, on Oakdale avenue between a line 114 feet southeasterly from Jennings street and a line 150 feet southeasterly from Keith street, on Earl street between Innes avenue and McKinnon avenue southwesterly line, on Fitch street between Innes avenue and Oakdale avenue, on Griffith street between Innes avenue and Oakdale avenue, on Hawes street between Innes avenue and Oakdale avenue, on Ingalls street between Jerrold avenue and Oakdale avenue, on Jennings street between Innes avenue and Palou avenue, on Keith street between Hudson avenue and Newcomb avenue, and on Lane street between Innes avenue and McKinnon avenue, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

These proceedings are instituted in accordance with the "Change of Grade Act of 1909." The San Francisco Call-Bulletin is hereby designated as the newspaper in which this resolution shall be published. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades.

The Director of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this Resolution of Intention.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.

**Approving Map Showing Changes of Sidewalk Widths on Union and Calhoun Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0821)

Also, Resolution No. 4192, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain diagram entitled "Map showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of walks on Union street between Montgomery and Sansome streets, and on Calhoun street southerly from Union street," be and is hereby approved and made official.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of the Streets Committee were taken up:

**Changing Walk Widths on Jessie Street Between Seventh Street and a Point 550 Feet Southerly.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Bill No. 1675, Ordinance No. 12.0731126, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Ten (1210).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office August 16, 1938, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Ten (1210) to read as follows:

*Section 1210.* The width of sidewalks on Jessie street between Seventh street and a point 280 feet southwesterly therefrom shall be 3 feet 6 inches.

The width of sidewalks on Jessie street between points respectively, 280 feet and 550 feet southwesterly from Seventh street, shall be 4 feet 6 inches.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.

**Changing Walk Widths on Jessie Street Between Fourth and Fifth Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1676, Ordinance No. 12.0731127, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Nine (1209).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office August 16, 1938, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Nine (1209), to read as follows:



**Section 1209:** The width of sidewalks on Jessie street between Fourth and Fifth streets shall be 6 feet.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.

**Changing Sidewalk Widths on Merlin Street Between Harrison Street and Its Southeasterly Termination.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1677, Ordinance No. 12.0731128, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Eight (1208).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office August 16, 1938, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Eight (1208), to read as follows:

**Section 1208:** The width of sidewalks on Merlin street between Harrison street and its southeasterly termination shall be 4 feet.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.

**Changing Sidewalk Widths on Ninth Street Between Market and Division Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1678, Ordinance No. 12.0731129, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Two Hundred and Twenty-nine (229) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office July 28, 1938, by amending Section Two Hundred and Twenty-nine (229) thereof to read as follows:

**Section 229:** The width of sidewalks on Ninth street between Market and Bryant streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Ninth street, the southwesterly side of, between Bryant and Brannan streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Ninth street, the northeasterly side of, between Bryant and Brannan streets shall be abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Ninth street, the northeasterly side of, between Brannan and Division streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Ninth street, the southwesterly side of, between Brannan street and a point 8 feet southeasterly from Brannan street shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Ninth street, the southwesterly side of, between a point 8 feet southeasterly from Brannan street and Division street shall be abolished.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.

**Changing Sidewalk Widths of Steuart Street Between Mission and Howard Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1679, Ordinance No. 12.0731130, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Two Hundred and Thirty-four (234) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office August 15, 1938, by amending Section Two Hundred and Thirty-four (234) thereof, to read as follows:

*Section 234:* The width of sidewalks on Steuart street between Market and Mission streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Steuart street between Mission and Howard streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Steuart street between Howard and Folsom streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Steuart street, the southwesterly side of, between Folsom and Harrison streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Steuart street, the northeasterly side of, between Folsom street and its southeasterly termination shall be 8 feet.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.

**Changing Sidewalk Widths of Spear Street Between Mission and Howard Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1680, Ordinance No. 12.0731131, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Two Hundred and Thirty-five (235) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office August 15, 1938, by amending Section Two Hundred and Thirty-five (235) thereof, to read as follows:

*Section 235:* The width of sidewalks on Spear street between Market and Mission streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Spear street between Mission and Howard streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Spear street between Howard and Harrison streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Spear street between Harrison and Bryant streets shall be abolished.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.



**Changing Widths of Sidewalks of Townsend Street Between First and Fourth Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1681, Ordinance No. 12.0731132, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section One Hundred and Fifty-five (155) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office August 15, 1938, by amending Section One Hundred and Fifty-five (155) thereof to read as follows:

*Section 155:* The width of sidewalks on Townsend street between First and Third streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the northwesterly side of, between Third and Fourth streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the southeasterly side of, between Fourth street and a point 366 feet northeasterly therefrom shall be 8 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the southeasterly side of, between points 366 feet and 396 feet northeasterly therefrom shall be abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the southeasterly side of, between points 396 feet and 593 feet northeasterly from Fourth street shall be 8 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the southeasterly side of, between points 613.5 feet and 735.25 feet northeasterly from Fourth street shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the southeasterly side of, between points 593 feet and 613.5 feet northeasterly from Fourth street shall be the width between the property line and a reverse curve connecting the previously described widths at said points.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the southeasterly side of, between points 742.5 feet and 767.5 feet northeasterly from Fourth street shall be 12 feet 6 inches.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the southeasterly side of, between points 735.25 feet and 742.5 feet northeasterly from Fourth street shall be the width between the property line and a reverse curve connecting the previously described widths at said points.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the southeasterly side of, between a point 775 feet northeasterly from Fourth street and Third street shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the southeasterly side of, between points 767.5 feet and 775 feet northeasterly from Fourth street shall be the width between the property line and a reverse curve connecting the previously described widths at said points.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the northwesterly side of, between Fourth and Fifth streets shall be 2 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the southeasterly side of, between Fourth and Fifth streets shall be abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street between Fifth and Division streets shall be abolished.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.

**Changing Width of Sidewalks of Oak Grove Street Between Harrison and Bryant Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1682, Ordinance No. 12.0731133, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section One Hundred and Twelve (112) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office August 15, 1938, by amending Section One Hundred and Twelve (112) thereof, to read as follows:

*Section 112:* The width of sidewalks on Oak Grove street between Harrison and Bryant streets shall be 7 feet.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.

**Changing Sidewalk Width of Union Street Between Montgomery and Sansome Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1683, Ordinance No. 12.0731134, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Eleven (1211).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office August 16, 1938, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Eleven (1211), to read as follows:

*Section 1211:* The width of sidewalks on Union street between Sansome and Montgomery streets shall be as shown on that certain map, titled "Map showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of walks on Union street between Montgomery and Sansome streets and on Calhoun street southerly from Union street."

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.

**Changing Sidewalk Widths on Calhoun Street Between Union and Green Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1684, Ordinance No. 12.0731135, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Twelve (1212).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:



Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office August 16, 1938, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Twelve (1212), to read as follows:

*Section 1212:* The width of sidewalks on Calhoun street between Union and Green streets shall be as shown on that certain map, titled "Map showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of walks on Union street between Montgomery and Sansome streets, and on Calhoun street southerly from Union street."

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.

### Adopted.

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up:

### Changing the Name of Orient Street to Nellie Street.

(Code No. 12.08)

Resolution No. 4201, as follows:

Resolved, That the name of Orient street, between Church and Vicksburg, Twenty-second and Elizabeth streets, be and is hereby changed to Nellie street.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.

### Action Deferred.

Consideration of the following matter *was postponed until August 29, 1938:*

### Creating Underground District, Geary Street, Geary Boulevard and Point Lobos Avenue.

(Code No. 11.12)

Bill No. 1667, Ordinance No. 11.1224, as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled, "Providing for Placing Wires and Conduits Underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section to be known as Section ZZZ.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Order No. 214 (Second Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding a new section to be known as Section ZZZ.

Section ZZZ. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires, except trolley poles and wires, after August 1, 1941, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 81. Geary street, from Divisadero street to Presidio avenue; Geary boulevard, from Presidio avenue to Forty-second avenue; Point Lobos avenue, from Forty-second avenue to the Great Highway.

## Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendations of Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee were taken up:

**Adding Section 133-D to Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), "Building Law," Relating to Dwellings of Reinforced Concrete.**

(Code No. 11.0826)

Bill No. 1697, Ordinance No. 11.08, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), entitled, "Regulating the Construction, Erection, Enlargement, Raising, Alteration, Repair, Removal, Maintenance, Use and Height of Buildings; Regulating Character and Use of Materials in and for Buildings; Establishing Fire Limits and Repealing All Ordinances in Conflict With This Ordinance," by adding thereto a new section to be known as Section 133-D, relating to dwellings of reinforced concrete.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto a new section to be known as Section 133-D, and to read as follows:

Section 113-D—Dwellings of Reinforced Concrete: Dwellings of reinforced concrete, one or two stories in height, with or without basements, may be constructed with bearing or partition walls of solid monolithic reinforced concrete, or of pre-cast hollow concrete masonry units reinforced after assembly, or of various combinations thereof, subject to the following requirements:

Solid monolithic reinforced concrete bearing walls shall have a minimum thickness of six (6) inches for one-story dwellings. For two-story dwellings the first story walls shall have a minimum thickness of eight (8) inches, and the second story walls a minimum thickness of six (6) inches. Basement walls shall have a minimum thickness of eight (8) inches, and shall be of reinforced concrete.

When the total length of all openings in any story exceeds fifty (50) per cent of the total length of wall, the minimum thickness of wall shall be eight (8) inches.

The minimum width of wall between adjacent openings shall be twelve (12) inches, and the minimum width between any opening and the end of a wall or to an offset shall be eighteen (18) inches; except when adequate additional reinforcing is provided.

Pre-cast hollow concrete masonry units shall be made from Portland cement and suitable aggregates such as sand, gravel, crushed stone, cinders, burned clay or shale and blast furnace slag. The average compressive strength, in pounds per square inch of gross cross-sectional area as laid in the wall, shall be not less than the following:

<i>Minimum Face Shell Thickness in Inches</i>	<i>Compressive Strength Mean of 5 Tests — Individual Minimum</i>	
1¼ or over	700	600
Under 1¼ and over ¾	1000	800

Units which will be exposed to the weather or soil in the finished work, without brick, stucco or other protective covering not less than one-half (½) inch thick, shall not absorb more than fifteen (15) pounds of water per cubic foot of concrete actually contained. The ratio of cellular space to total volume of any individual unit shall not exceed forty-five (45) per cent.

The working stresses in hollow concrete masonry bearing walls laid end construction in lime-cement and cement mortars shall not exceed the following values, applied on the net area except as noted:



	<i>Lime-Cement Mortar</i>	<i>Cement Mortar</i>
Compression in flexure.....	140	160
Tension .....	10	12
Shear .....	10	12
Direct compression over gross area..	70	80

Continuous reinforced bond beams shall be located at every floor and at roof line, and bond columns shall be located at every corner and at intermediate points in the wall not exceeding twelve (12) feet. Such bond beams and columns shall not be less in thickness than the thickness of the wall, and shall have a minimum depth of eight (8) inches, reinforced with at least four (4) one-half ( $\frac{1}{2}$ ) inch round deformed bars, the bars being tied together with metal ties not less than one-fourth ( $\frac{1}{4}$ ) inch in diameter nor more than twelve (12) inches on centers.

Systems of bearing wall construction wherein reinforced concrete studs or columns, 4-inch by 4-inch minimum size, are formed along the length of the wall by filling the cells of hollow masonry units at intervals of four (4) feet or less, shall have the studs reinforced with the equivalent of four (4) three-eighths ( $\frac{3}{8}$ ) inch round bars, properly tied and bonded, in each four (4) foot length of wall, and at all corners, adequately anchored to the wall footings and to horizontal bond beams at floors and at roof line.

Bearing walls of concrete masonry units complying with the above requirements shall have a minimum thickness of eight (8) inches. Basement walls of masonry units shall be of a minimum thickness of eight (8) inches for one-story dwellings, and ten (10) inches for two-story dwellings.

Walls below grade shall be laid in cement mortar and all cells shall be filled solid with concrete.

Non-bearing partition walls of reinforced concrete or concrete masonry units shall have a minimum thickness of four (4) inches.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.

**Amending Sections 46 and 48 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series),  
"Building Law."  
(Code No. 11.08)**

Also, Bill No. 1700, Ordinance No. 11.0827, as follows:

Amending Sections 46 and 48 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), entitled, "Regulating the Construction, Erection, Enlargement, Raising, Alteration, Repair, Removal, Maintenance, Use and Height of Building; Regulating Character and Use of Materials in and for Buildings, Establishing Fire Limits and Repealing All Ordinances in Conflict with This Ordinance," in Section 46 as to second paragraph thereof governing ultimate strength of structural steel and in Section 48 as to paragraph "A" thereof, relating to permissible tension on rolled steel, and as to paragraph "C" thereof, relating to permissible stresses in bending steel.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 46 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

All structural steel used in buildings shall be free from seams, flaws, cracks, defective edges or other defects, and shall have a smooth uniform finish. All structural steel used in beams and columns and in other large members shall have an ultimate tensile resistance of from 60,000 pounds to 72,000 pounds per square inch, a yield point minimum of one-half of its ultimate strength, but in no case less than 33,000

pounds per square inch, and a percentage of elongation in two inches equal to 22 per centum. Such steel shall also bend to 180 degrees to a diameter equal to the thickness of the piece tested without fracture on the outside of the bent portion when tested in a test piece.

Rivet steel shall have an ultimate resistance of from 48,000 pounds to 58,000 pounds per square inch, an elastic limit not less than one-half of its ultimate strength, and a percentage of elongation in eight inches equal to 26 per centum.

Section 2. Section 48 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

*Allowable Stresses*

Section 48. All parts of the structure shall be so proportioned that the sum of the maximum static stresses in pounds per square inch shall not exceed the following:

- (a) *Tension*—
  - Rolled steel on net section..... 20,000
  - Cast steel on net section..... 16,000
- (b) *Compression*—
  - Rolled steel on short lengths or where lateral deflection is prevented ..... 18,000
  - Cast steel ..... 16,000
  - On gross section of columns,  
18,000
  - $$1 + \frac{L^2}{18,000r^2}$$

with a maximum of..... 15,000

In which L is the unsupported length of the column, and r is the corresponding least radius of gyration of the section, both in inches.

For main compression, the ratio L/r shall not exceed 120, and for bracing and other secondary members, 200.

- (c) *Bending*—
  - On extreme fibres of rolled shapes, and built up sections, net section, if lateral deflection is prevented.. 20,000

When the unsupported length L exceeds 15 times b, the width of the compression flange, the stress in pounds per square inch in the latter shall not exceed

$$\frac{20,000}{1 + \frac{L^2}{2,000b^2}}$$

The laterally unsupported length of beams and girders shall not exceed 40 times b the width of the compression flange.

On extreme fibres of pins, when the forces are assumed as acting at the center of gravity of the pieces..... 27,000

- (d) *Shearing*—
  - On pins ..... 13,500
  - On power-driven rivets ..... 13,500
  - On turned bolts in reamed holes with a clearance of not more than 1/50 of an inch..... 13,500
  - On hand-driven rivets ..... 10,000
  - On unfinished bolts ..... 10,000
  - On the gross area of the webs of beams and girders,



where  $h$ , the height between the flanges in inches, is not more than 60 times  $t$ , the thickness of the web in inches ..... 12,000

On the gross area of the webs of beams and girders if the web is not stiffened where  $h$ , the height between flanges in inches is more than 60 times  $t$ , the thickness of the web, the maximum shear per square inch,

$$S \text{ shall not exceed } \frac{A}{1 + \frac{h^2}{7,200t^2}}$$

In which  $S$  is the total shear, and  $A$  is gross area of web in square inches,

	Double Shear	Single Shear
(e) <i>Bearing</i> —		
On pins .....	30,000	24,000
On power-driven rivets .....	30,000	24,000
On turned bolts in reamed holes.....	30,000	24,000
On hand-driven rivets .....	20,000	16,000
On unfinished bolts .....	20,000	16,000
On expansion rollers per lineal inch, 600 times the diameter of the roller in inches.		

#### *Beams and Girders*

(a) Rolled beams shall be proportioned by the moment of inertia of their net section. Plate girders with webs fully spliced for tension and compression shall be so proportioned that the unit stress on the net section does not exceed the stresses specified as determined by the moment of inertia of the net section.

(b) Plate girder webs shall have a thickness of not less than  $1/60$  of the unsupported distance between the flanges.

(c) Web splices shall consist of a plate on each side of the web capable of transmitting the full stress through the splice rivets.

(d) *Stiffeners*. Stiffeners shall be required on the webs of rolled beams and plate girders at the ends and at points of concentrated loads, and at other points where  $h$  the clear distance between flanges is greater than  $85t\sqrt{18,000 (A/S) - 1}$ , in which  $t$  is the thickness of the web. When stiffeners are required, the distance in inches between them shall not be greater than  $85t\sqrt{18,000 (A/S) - 1}$ , or not greater than 6 feet. When  $h$  is greater than 60 times  $t$  the thickness of the web of a plate girder, stiffeners shall be required at distances not greater than 6 feet apart. Stiffeners under or over concentrated loads shall be proportioned to distribute such loads into the web.

Plate girder stiffeners shall generally be in pairs, one on each side of the web, and shall have a close bearing against the flange angles at points of concentrated loadings; stiffeners over the end bearings shall be on plate filters. The pitch of rivet in stiffeners shall not exceed 6 inches.

(e) Flange plates of all girders shall be limited in width so as not to extend more than 6 inches or more than 12 times the thickness of thinnest plate beyond the outer row or rivets connecting them to the angles.

(f) Crane runway girders and the supporting framework shall be proportioned to resist the greatest horizontal stresses caused by the operation of the cranes.

(g) Rivets connecting the flanges to the web at points of direct load on the flange between stiffeners shall be proportioned to carry the resultant of the longitudinal and transverse shears.

(h) Rivets connecting the flanges to the webs of plate girders and of columns subjected to bending shall be so spaced as to carry the increment of the flange stress between the rivets.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.

### Referred to Finance Committee.

The following matter was *referred to the Finance Committee*:

### Regulating the Operation and Use of Bicycles.

(Code No. 3.041)

Bill No. 1698, Ordinance No. 3.041171, as follows:

Regulating the operation or use of bicycles propelled wholly or in part by muscular power, upon streets and public highways in the City and County of San Francisco, and providing a penalty for a violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. No person shall operate or use a bicycle propelled wholly or in part by muscular power upon any streets or public highways of the City and County of San Francisco, without first obtaining from the Tax Collector a license therefor.

Section 2. The Tax Collector is hereby authorized and directed to issue, upon written application, bicycle licenses which shall be effective for one calendar year. All such licenses shall be dated January 1st of the year issued. When issued, such licenses shall entitle the licensee to operate such bicycle for which the license has been issued, upon all the streets and public highways, exclusive of the sidewalks thereof, in the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 3. The Tax Collector shall provide each year metallic license plates and seals, together with registration cards and isinglass holders therefor. Said metallic license plates and registration cards shall have numbers stamped thereon in numerical order, beginning with Number 1, and shall indicate the year for which they are issued, and shall have the letters "SFBL" stamped thereon. Such metallic license plates shall be suitable for attachments upon the frames of bicycles. It shall be the duty of the Tax Collector to attach one such metallic license plate to the frame of each bicycle and to issue a corresponding registration card to the licensee upon the payment of the license fee. Such metallic license plate shall remain attached during the valid period of such license. The Tax Collector shall keep a record of the date of issuance of such license, the person to whom issued and the number thereof.

Section 4. All persons engaged in the business of buying second-hand bicycles are hereby required to make a daily report to the Chief of Police, giving the name and address of the person from whom each bicycle is purchased, the description of each bicycle purchased, the frame number thereof, and the number of the metallic license plate found thereon, if any. All persons engaged in the business of selling



new or second-hand bicycles are hereby required to make a daily report to the Chief of Police, giving a list of all sales made by such dealers, which list shall include the name and address of each person to whom sold, the kind of bicycle sold, together with a description and frame number thereof, and the number of the metallic license plate attached thereto, if any.

Section 5. It shall be the duty of every person who sells or transfers ownership of any bicycle to report such sale or transfer by returning to the Tax Collector the registration card issued to such person as licensee thereof, together with the name and address of the person to whom said bicycle was sold or transferred. Such report shall be made within five (5) days of the date of said sale or transfer. It shall be the duty of the purchaser or transferee of such bicycle to apply for the transfer of registration therefor, within five (5) days of said sale or transfer.

Section 6. No persons shall wilfully or maliciously remove, destroy, mutilate or alter the number of any bicycle frame licensed pursuant to this section.

Section 7. No person shall remove, destroy, mutilate or alter any license plate, seal or registration card during the time in which such plate, seal or card is operative. Nothing in this section shall prohibit the Tax Collector from stamping numbers on the frames of bicycles on which no serial number can be found, or on which the number is illegible or insufficient for identification purposes.

Section 8. For the first annual license issued pursuant to this section, the sum of 50 cents shall be paid. For each annual renewal of any such license, or for the issuing of a duplicate for a lost, destroyed or defaced license plate, the sum of 25 cents shall be paid.

In cases where a transfer of ownership is made in the manner prescribed by this section the fee for transfer of the license shall be the sum of 25 cents.

Section 9. Any person, or persons, violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punishable by a fine of not more than five hundred dollars (\$500.00), or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

#### Adopted.

The following recommendations of the Public Health Committee were taken up:

#### Abatement Proceedings—2544 Thirty-fourth Avenue.

(Code No. 17.09)

Resolution No. 4202, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Health, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, does hereby declare that the premises numbered, known and designated as 2544 Thirty-fourth avenue, in the City and County of San Francisco, be and the same is hereby declared to be a public nuisance and the City Attorney of said City and County is hereby directed to abate the same in conformity with the provisions of Section 731 of the Code of Civil Procedure of the State of California.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.

**Abatement Proceedings—401 Twenty-sixth Avenue. (Also 2501 Clement Street.)**

(Code No. 17.09)

Also, Resolution No. 4203, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Health, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, does hereby declare that the premises numbered, known and designated as 401 Twenty-sixth avenue (also 2501 Clement street), in the City and County of San Francisco, be and the same is hereby declared to be a public nuisance and the City Attorney of said City and County is hereby directed to abate the same in conformity with the provisions of Section 731 of the Code of Civil Procedure of the State of California.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Supervisor John M. Ratto.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 4204, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Supervisor John M. Ratto is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of ten days, commencing September 1, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.

**Leave of Absence—Supervisor George R. Reilly.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 4205, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Supervisor George R. Reilly is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of ten days, commencing September 1, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.

**Leave of Absence—Supervisor James B. McSheehy.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 4206, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Supervisor James B. McSheehy is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of ten days, commencing September 1, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.



**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS,  
BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR  
REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of his Honor the Mayor was taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Dr. T. B. W. Leland, Coroner.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 4207, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Dr. T. B. W. Leland, Coroner of the City and County of San Francisco, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of six weeks, commencing August 26, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

**Authorizing Attendance of Coroner at Convention of National Association of Coroners, August 30, 31 and September 1, 1938.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Resolution No. 4208, as follows:

Whereas, the National Association of Coroners will hold its annual convention on August 30, 31 and September 1, 1938, at the city of St. Louis, Missouri; and

Whereas, the Coroner of the City and County of San Francisco is a director of the National Association of Coroners; and

Whereas, the said Coroner of the City and County of San Francisco as a director should participate in said convention; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said Coroner of the City and County of San Francisco be, and he is, hereby authorized to attend said convention at said time and place.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

**Adopted.**

The Finance Committee presented the following:

**Authorizing Filing of Application for Federal P.W.A. Grant for Acquisition, Construction, Improvement, Rehabilitation and Completion of Street Railways or Rapid Transit Systems.**

(Code No. 15.091)

Resolution No. 4209, as follows:

Be It Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco: That the Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the Controller be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute and file an application on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco to the United States of America through the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works for a grant to aid in financing the acquisition, construction, improvement, rehabilitation and completion of a public utility consisting of street railways or rapid transit systems comprising lands, structures, equipment, facilities and appurtenances including overhead and/or underground improvements; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the Controller be and they are hereby authorized and di-

rected to furnish such information as the United States of America through the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works may reasonably request in connection with the application which is herein authorized to be filed.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—6.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Uhl—2.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.

### Passed for Second Reading.

The Finance Committee presented the following:

### Authorizing Tax Anticipation Notes.

(Code No. 9.033)

Bill No. 1701, Ordinance No. 9.03310, as follows:

Determining that funds are needed for the immediate requirements of the City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1938-39 in accordance with appropriations made, as authorized by the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco, for said fiscal year; determining that funds therefor cannot be made available as provided in the first two paragraphs of Section 81 of said Charter; authorizing the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco to borrow on its behalf the sum of \$8,000,000 solely for the purpose of anticipating receipt of income, and to cause to be issued notes or other evidences of indebtedness evidencing the amount or amounts so borrowed, which notes shall be payable exclusively out of taxes levied and collected by said City and County for said fiscal year 1938-39 providing that the principal amount of said notes together with the interest thereon shall constitute a first lien and charge against the taxes collected during the half of the fiscal year in which such money shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes; and providing for the sale of said notes or evidences of indebtedness as provided by law and for the payment of the principal thereof and the interest thereon.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby find and determine: That the sum of \$8,000,000 is needed for the immediate requirements of said City and County in the fiscal year 1938-39, to-wit: To meet and pay the appropriations heretofore made for said fiscal year as authorized by the Charter of said City and County, and which will become due and payable prior to June 30, 1939, and which may be paid in advance of receipt of the income for said fiscal year; that funds therefor cannot be made available as provided in the first two paragraphs of Section 81 of said Charter; that the Controller of said City and County has recommended that said sum of \$8,000,000 be borrowed for the purposes herein mentioned, and the Mayor of said City and County of San Francisco has approved said recommendation made by said Controller; and that the estimated aggregate amount of all taxes actually levied by said City and County for said fiscal year is \$33,384,852, and that said sum of \$8,000,000 does not exceed twenty-five (25) per centum of said estimated aggregate amount of all taxes actually levied for said fiscal year.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to borrow on behalf of said City and County, solely for the purpose of anticipating receipt of income, the sum of \$8,000,000 in lawful money of the United States of America, and to issue in the form hereinafter set forth notes of said City and County for the sum or sums so borrowed, payable as hereinafter provided.



Section 3. (a) As evidence of the sum to be so borrowed as aforesaid, there is hereby authorized to be issued by said City and County of San Francisco tax anticipation notes of said City and County of San Francisco in the aggregate principal amount of \$8,000,000. Said notes shall be in the denomination of not less than \$1,000 each, nor more than \$100,000 each, and such denominations shall be fixed by resolution of this Board adopted at or after the time of the public sale of said notes as hereinafter provided. All of said notes shall be signed on behalf of said City and County of San Francisco by the President of said Board of Supervisors, and by the Controller of said City and County, and countersigned by the Treasurer of said City and County of San Francisco, and the seal of said City and County shall be affixed thereto. Said notes shall bear such interest as may be hereafter fixed by resolution of said Board of Supervisors at or after the time said notes are sold, as hereinafter provided which said interest shall in no case exceed six (6) per cent per annum, and full authority is hereby given to said Board of Supervisors to fix by resolution the rate of interest on said notes and each or any of them. Said interest shall be payable at the maturity of said notes.

(b) The principal amount of said notes together with the interest thereon, issued and delivered under authority of this ordinance, shall be payable exclusively out of the taxes levied and collected by said City and County for the fiscal year 1938-39 and shall constitute a first lien and charge against the taxes collected during the half of the fiscal year in which said money shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes; and the amount of taxes so levied and collected shall be applied to the payment of said notes before any part thereof is used for any other purpose; provided, however, that taxes levied for the payment of principal of, or interest on, any bonded indebtedness of said City and County now outstanding or hereafter created shall be applied to the purpose for which such taxes were levied, unless the money borrowed by such notes issued against such tax levies is in fact applied to the payment of the principal and interest of such bonded indebtedness. If at the time said notes, or any of them, become due and payable the funds in the City Treasury available for the payment thereof shall be insufficient for the payment in full of all of said notes then outstanding, such funds shall be applied pro rata to the payment of the principal and interest of all of the notes then issued and outstanding without preference or priority of any one note over any other by reason of prior issuance, or otherwise. Any of said notes not paid prior to June 30, 1939, shall, nevertheless, be paid out of moneys received from the taxes of the fiscal year 1938-39; irrespective of the date of the receipt thereof, it being the intent and purpose of this ordinance to provide for the payment of all notes issued hereunder out of the taxes levied for said fiscal year 1938-39 and to provide that such notes shall be payable out of said taxes for said fiscal year, irrespective of the actual date of the collection thereof.

(c) Each of said notes shall be substantially in the following form, to-wit:

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO TAX  
ANTICIPATION NOTE  
FISCAL YEAR 1938-1939

No..... \$.....  
San Francisco.....193...

On the.....day of....., 193..., the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California, promises to pay to the bearer hereof, out of the funds hereinafter mentioned, at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County of San Francisco, the sum of.....dollars, in lawful

money of the United States of America, with interest thereon at the rate of.....per cent per annum from date until paid.

This note is one of an issue of notes aggregating in principal amount the sum of eight million (\$8,000,000) dollars authorized to be issued under and pursuant to an ordinance of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco, enacted under authority of Section 81 of the Charter of said City and County. Both the principal of and interest on this note and all other notes of said issue are payable exclusively out of taxes levied and collected by said City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1938-39, without preference or priority of any one note over any other note of this issue by reason of prior issuance, or otherwise, and said notes issued and delivered under authority of said ordinance shall constitute a first lien and charge against said taxes collected during the half of said fiscal year 1938-39, in which the money represented by said notes, respectively, shall be borrowed, and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes and the amount of taxes so levied and collected shall be applied to the payment of said notes before any part thereof is used for any other purpose; provided, however, that taxes levied for the payment of principal of, or interest on, any bonded indebtedness of said City and County now outstanding or hereafter created shall be applied to the purpose for which such taxes were levied, unless the money borrowed by such notes issued against such tax levies is in fact applied to the payment of the principal and interest of such bonded indebtedness.

Any of said notes not paid at or prior to maturity shall, nevertheless, be paid out of moneys received from the taxes for said fiscal year 1938-39 irrespective of the date the same shall be so received.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that this note is issued in strict conformity with the Constitution and laws of the State of California, and with the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and with proceedings of said City and County of San Francisco authorizing the same, and that all acts, conditions and things required to exist, happen and to be performed precedent to, and in the issuance of, this note, have existed, happened and been performed in regular and due time, form and manner as required by law, and that this note, together with all indebtedness and obligations of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or the Charter of said City and County.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this note to be signed by the President of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco, and by the Controller of said City and County and to be countersigned by the Treasurer thereof, and the seal of said City and County to be affixed thereto the day and year first above written.

.....  
President of the Board of Supervisors  
of the City and County of San Francisco.

.....  
Controller of the City and County of  
San Francisco.  
Countersigned:

.....  
Treasurer of the City and County of  
San Francisco.

(d) Said Board of Supervisors, on behalf of said City and County of San Francisco, hereby confirms all recitals, declarations, certificates



and promises contained in said notes, and each thereof, issued under and pursuant to this ordinance.

(e) At the time of the sale of any of said notes as hereinafter provided, and prior to the delivery thereof, the Treasurer of the said City and County of San Francisco shall date the same as of the date of delivery thereof and insert therein the denomination thereof and the rate of interest thereon as provided by resolution of said Board of Supervisors.

Section 4. The aforesaid notes shall be issued and offered for sale by the Board of Supervisors at such time (prior to May 15, 1939), as may from time to time be provided by resolution of said Board, so as to meet the immediate requirements of said City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid. Each such sale shall be made to the bidder offering to accept and pay for the note or notes so sold at the lowest net interest cost to said City and County computed from the date fixed for the presentation of bids to December 21, 1938 (in the case of such notes as shall be sold in the first half of said fiscal year), or to May 15, 1939 (in the case of such notes as shall be sold in the second half of said fiscal year); provided, however, that none of said notes shall be sold for less than the face amount thereof and accrued interest thereon to the date of delivery thereof.

Section 5. The principal and interest of all of said notes issued and sold as aforesaid shall be paid only upon the surrender thereof. All of said notes not sold prior to May 15, 1939, shall be cancelled.

Section 6. If any section, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or void, such decision shall not affect the validity of any other portion of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each section, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional or void for any reason.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.

#### **Hospital and Ambulance Service, Treasure Island.**

Communication from the Building and Construction Trades Council, calling attention to inadequate facilities for caring for injured workmen and visitors on Treasure Island and requesting that present Emergency Hospital facilities be transferred to the Administration Building, was read by the Clerk and *referred to the Exposition Committee.*

#### **Request for Report on Extension of Plan No. 7 for Hetch Hetchy Power Distribution.**

Supervisor Roncovieri moved that the Board request the Public Utilities Commission to furnish an extension of Plan No. 7 for distribution of Hetch Hetchy Power for purpose of considering same with view of possible submission of Revenue Bond Charter Amendment to the voters in November.

*So ordered.*

#### **Comparative Costs for Reduction of Sidewalk Widths.**

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Department of Public Works be requested to furnish a comparison of costs in setting back sidewalks (per foot) under contract and under W.P.A.

*Motion carried.*

**Cost of Trolley Car and Bus Operations.**

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Clerk obtain from the Public Utilities Commission information covering trolley car and bus operations under its jurisdiction, as follows:

The total amount charged to trolley car and bus operation under heading covering superintendence, maintenance of plant and equipment.

Also, covering superintendence in operation of buses; also covering administration in general; also covering *other*—traffic promotion.

The break-down of the above amount setting forth that charged to each unit in the statement of Bus Route No. 1.

**Gross Receipts, Municipal Buses.**

Supervisor McSheehy requested from the Public Utilities Commission, a statement of the gross receipts of the various buses traversing the streets of San Francisco under the jurisdiction of the Public Utilities Commission from April 1, 1938 to July 1, 1938, and the proportion of the five-cent fare that is accorded to the expense of these buses.

**RECESS.**

Whereupon, the Board, at the hour of 5:35 p. m., recessed to reconvene at 4 p. m., Friday, August 26, 1938.

DAVID A. BARRY,  
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors October 10, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, David A. Barry, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journals of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

DAVID A. BARRY,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.









Vol. 33

No. 36A

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

Friday, August 26, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO  
LIBRARY  
540 EAST 57TH STREET  
CHICAGO, ILL. 60637

1911-12

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO  
LIBRARY  
540 EAST 57TH STREET  
CHICAGO, ILL. 60637



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

FRIDAY, AUGUST 26, 1938, 4 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors Friday, August 26, 1938, 4 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to recess of Monday, August 22, 1938.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

## Final Passage.

The following bill, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

### Calling Special Election September 27, 1938, For Issuance of Bonds For Various Public Improvements.

(Code No. 12.129)

Bill No. 1669, Ordinance No. 12.1291, as follows:

An Ordinance calling a special election in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 27th day of September, 1938, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco the following propositions of incurring bonded indebtedness of said city for the acquisition, construction and completion of each of the following improvements, to-wit: (1) Sewers; (2) Courts Building; (3) Yacht Harbor; (4) Schools; (5) Welfare Building; (6) Playgrounds; (7) Livestock Pavilion: declaring the estimated cost of said improvements and each thereof, the amount of the principal of said indebtedness to be incurred for each thereof and the maximum rate of interest to be paid thereon; fixing the date of said election, the manner of holding the same, establishing election precincts and polling places for said election and appointing boards of election therefor, and providing for notice thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 27th day of September, 1938, for the purpose of submitting to the qualified electors of said City and County the several questions of incurring bonded indebtedness of said City and County for the objects and purposes set forth in each of the following several propositions, to-wit:

PROPOSITION No. 1: Shall the City and County of San Francisco (Sewer Bonds) incur a bonded indebtedness in the principal amount of \$4,200,000 for the acquisition, construction and completion of the following municipal improvement, to-

wit: The construction of new sewers, sewer replacements and the construction and extension of sewage treatment plants and pumping stations within the City and County of San Francisco, together with all appurtenances and lands that may be necessary for the construction, replacement and extension of sewers, sewage treatment plants and pumping stations, the work to be performed in the east of Van Ness avenue district, west of Van Ness avenue district, south of Market and Mission streets districts, Sunset-Parkside and West of Twin Peaks districts and Park-Presidio district?

**PROPOSITION No. 2:** Shall the City and County of San Francisco (Courts Building Bonds) incur a bonded indebtedness in the principal amount of \$3,828,000 for the acquisition, construction, completion and furnishing of the following municipal improvement, to-wit: A Courts Building in the Civic Center of San Francisco on land owned by the said City and County for the purpose of providing appropriate quarters for the Superior and Municipal courts, and for other courts that may be hereafter created, for the County Clerk and for the Clerk of the Municipal Court and for such other agencies or offices of the City and County of San Francisco as may be accommodated therein?

**PROPOSITION No. 3:** Shall the City and County of San Francisco (Yacht Harbor Bonds) incur a bonded indebtedness in the principal amount of \$700,000 for the acquisition, construction and completion of the following municipal improvement, to-wit: The extension and improvement of the existing Yacht Harbor in the Bay of San Francisco, by the construction of a concrete pier, approaches, service wharves, landing stages and mooring piles for yacht berths and the installation of electric lighting, water supply and other utilities and the construction of light houses at each end of the concrete pier designed to light the harbor entrances and all intended for the safe and better enjoyment of the Yacht Harbor?

**PROPOSITION No. 4:** Shall the City and County of San Francisco (School Bonds) incur a bonded indebtedness in the principal amount of \$2,800,000 for the acquisition, construction and completion of the following municipal improvement, to-wit: New school house buildings in the City and County of San Francisco and the acquisition of certain lands therefor, including the furnishings and structures necessary to be used in and about said school house buildings?

**PROPOSITION No. 5:** Shall the City and County of San Francisco (Welfare Building Bonds) incur a bonded indebtedness in the principal amount of \$225,000 for the acquisition, construction and completion of the following municipal improvement, to-wit: A Welfare Building to be erected for the use of the Public Welfare Department on the west side of Gough street between Page and Rose streets in the City and County of San Francisco, on land owned by the said City and County of San Francisco, and to properly furnish and equip the said building?

**PROPOSITION No. 6:** Shall the City and County of San Francisco (Playground Bonds) incur a bonded indebtedness in the principal amount of \$900,000 for the acquisition, construction, completion, equipping and furnishing of playground structures and other improvements, all of which said playgrounds are located within the City and County of San Francisco?



**PROPOSITION No. 7:** Shall the City and County of San Francisco (Livestock Pavilion Bonds) incur a bonded indebtedness in the principal amount of \$525,000 for the construction and completion of the following improvement,

to-wit: Buildings on the property of Agricultural District 1A, all designed for agricultural, horticultural, viticultural and livestock fairs and exhibitions, floral displays, exhibitions of industries, county fairs and of expositions, including all furniture, fixtures and other works, property and appliances necessary or convenient for the proper exercise of the functions of the City and County of San Francisco?

That the estimated cost of said proposed municipal improvement above described as Proposition No. 1 (Sewer Bonds) is the sum of \$4,200,000, and the amount of the principal of said indebtedness proposed to be incurred for said municipal improvement is the sum of \$4,200,000. That the estimated cost of said proposed municipal improvement above described as Proposition No. 2 (Courts Building Bonds) is the sum of \$3,828,000, and the amount of the principal of said indebtedness proposed to be incurred for said municipal improvement is the sum of \$3,828,000. That the estimated cost of said proposed municipal improvement above described as Proposition No. 3 (Yacht Harbor Bonds) is the sum of \$700,000, and the amount of the principal of said indebtedness proposed to be incurred for said municipal improvement is the sum of \$700,000. That the estimated cost of said proposed municipal improvement above described as Proposition No. 4 (School Bonds) is the sum of \$2,800,000, and the amount of the principal of said indebtedness to be incurred for said municipal improvement is the sum of \$2,800,000. That the estimated cost of said proposed municipal improvement above described as Proposition No. 5 (Welfare Building Bonds) is the sum of \$225,000, and the amount of the principal of said indebtedness to be incurred for said municipal improvement is the sum of \$225,000. That the estimated cost of said proposed municipal improvement above described as Proposition No. 6 (Playground Bonds) is the sum of \$900,000, and the amount of the principal of said indebtedness to be incurred for said municipal improvement is the sum of \$900,000. That the estimated cost of said proposed improvement above described as Proposition No. 7 (Livestock Pavilion Bonds) is the sum of \$525,000, and the amount of the principal of said indebtedness to be incurred for said improvement is the sum of \$525,000.

None of the bonds described in Proposition No. 1 (Sewer Bonds,) Proposition No. 2 (Courts Building Bonds,) Proposition No. 3 (Yacht Harbor Bonds,) Proposition No. 4 (School Bonds,) Proposition No. 5 (Welfare Building Bonds) or Proposition No. 6 (Playground Bonds,) or any thereof, shall be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government through one of its agencies shall make, or agree to make, a grant to the City and County of approximately forty-five (45) per cent of the estimated cost of the respective projects (exclusive of land) for which such bonds are proposed to be issued, as determined by the Administrator of the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works.

It is contemplated that said grants to the amount aforesaid will be received by the City and County of San Francisco in cash and if so received the amount thereof will be applied to the payment of the principal and interest of said bonds herein proposed to be issued for the respective project for which such grant is received. Any sums which may be received upon any such grant, in excess of 45 per cent of the estimated cost of any such proposed improvement, may be applied in the discretion of the Board of Supervisors either to the payment of the cost of such proposed improvement or to the payment of the principal and interest of the bonds proposed to be issued therefor. If any grant in respect of any such project so made by the United States of America or any agency thereof, is conditioned or is to be applied solely to the payment of the cost of the improvement for which such

grant is made, then the total amount of bonds herein proposed to be issued in respect of such improvement shall be proportionately reduced and the Board of Supervisors shall withhold from sale, and cancel, an amount of bonds equal to the amount of the grant so applied to the payment of the cost of such improvement. Said Board of Supervisors reserves the right to adopt such of the foregoing methods of applying any such grant received from the United States of America or any one of its agencies as may be necessary to satisfy any condition imposed by the United States of America or any one of its agencies as a condition precedent to the making of such grant.

Before bonds shall be issued or sold for the construction or completion of the livestock pavilion referred to as Proposition No. 7, the State of California shall contribute to Agricultural District No. 1A, the sum of \$300,000, or said contribution shall be made from one of the agencies of the State of California.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby submit to the qualified electors of said City and County at said special election the said several propositions set forth in Section 1 of this ordinance and designated respectively Proposition No. 1 (Sewer Bonds), Proposition No. 2 (Courts Building Bonds), Proposition No. 3 (Yacht Harbor Bonds), Proposition No. 4 (School Bonds), Proposition No. 5 (Welfare Building Bonds), Proposition No. 6 (Playground Bonds) and Proposition No. 7 (Livestock Pavilion Bonds), and designates and refers to each of said propositions in the form of ballot hereinafter prescribed for use at said election. Bonds of said City and County in the aggregate amount specified in the proposition or propositions which carry by the affirmative vote of two-thirds of the qualified electors of said City and County voting upon such proposition or propositions, shall be issued and sold for the purposes specified in such proposition or propositions which receive such affirmative two-thirds vote. The votes cast for and against each proposition hereby submitted shall be counted separately and when two-thirds of the qualified electors voting on any one of such propositions at said election vote in favor thereof, such proposition shall be deemed adopted.

The maximum rate of interest to be paid upon said indebtedness created in all said propositions shall be six per cent (6%) per annum payable semi-annually. All bonds issued to represent any indebtedness authorized under any of said propositions herein submitted shall be of the denomination of One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000) each, and in the case of Proposition No. 3 (Yacht Harbor Bonds), Proposition No. 5 (Welfare Building Bonds), Proposition No. 6 (Playground Bonds) and Proposition No. 7 (Livestock Pavilion Bonds), these bonds shall be retired within five (5) years from date of issuance. In the case of Proposition No. 4 (School Bonds), these bonds shall be retired within ten (10) years from the date of issuance. In the case of Proposition No. 1 (Sewer Bonds) and Proposition No. 2 (Courts Building Bonds), these bonds shall be retired within fifteen (15) years from the date of issuance; provided, however, that in the case of any bonds issued for any authorized revenue producing public works, plant, utility or property, the Board of Supervisors may in its discretion determine and fix a day for the earliest maturity of the principal of such bonds not more than ten (10) years from the date of the issue thereof, and, provided, further, that bonds issued pursuant to said proposition designated in Section 1 of this ordinance as Proposition No. 7 (Livestock Pavilion Bonds) shall be payable One Hundred and Five Thousand Dollars (\$105,000) principal amount in each of the years from one (1) to five (5) years after their date.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided, and in all particu-



lars not herein recited, such election shall be held as provided by law for holding city and county elections in the City and County of San Francisco. The polls at the polling places hereinafter designated shall be opened at seven (7) o'clock in the morning of said day of election and shall be kept open continuously thereafter until eight (8) o'clock in the evening of said day of election, when the polls shall be closed (except as provided in Section 1164 of the Political Code), and the election officers shall thereupon proceed to canvass the ballots cast thereat and make due return thereof in the manner provided by law.

Section 4. For the conduct of said special election the City and County of San Francisco is hereby divided into One Thousand and Fifty-five (1055) special election precincts. The territory embraced within each of said precincts hereinafter numbered shall consist of the precincts bearing a like number as now established of record by the Registrar of Voters of the City and County of San Francisco for general state and county election purposes. Said precincts and polling places therein for the conduct of said election are as follows, with the names of the officers serving therein:

[Here follows the list of voting places and officers to serve at the special election as designated by the Registrar of Voters:]

Section 5. The ballots to be used at said special election shall be substantially in the following form, to-wit:

No.	No.	Stamp crosses (X) on ballot ONLY WITH RUBBER STAMP; never with pen or pencil.
		(Fold ballot to this line, leaving top margin exposed.)

#### MUNICIPAL TICKET.

To vote for the proposition and thereby incur a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in such proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "YES".

To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in such proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "NO".

All marks except the cross (X) are forbidden.

All distinguishing marks or erasures are forbidden and make the ballot void.

If you wrongly stamp, tear or deface this ballot, return it to the Inspector of Election and obtain another.

PROPOSITION No. 1: Shall a bonded indebtedness of \$4,200,000 be incurred for constructing, extending and replacing sewers, sewage treatment plants, pumping stations, appurtenances and acquiring lands for said purposes?	YES	
	NO.	
PROPOSITION No. 2: Shall a bonded indebtedness of \$3,828,000 be incurred for constructing and furnishing a Courts Building in the Civic Center on land owned by the City to house Courts and other agencies?	YES	
	NO.	

<b>PROPOSITION No. 3:</b> Shall a bonded indebtedness in the sum of \$700,000 be incurred for the improvement of Yacht Harbor, constructing therein piers, breakwater, service wharves, landing stages, lighthouses, mooring piles and installation of utilities service?	YES	
	NO	
<b>PROPOSITION No. 4:</b> Shall a bonded indebtedness of \$2,800,000 be incurred for constructing and furnishing new school house buildings, the acquisition of lands therefor and structures necessary to be used in and about said buildings?	YES	
	NO	
<b>PROPOSITION No. 5:</b> Shall a bonded indebtedness of \$225,000 be incurred to construct a building on lands owned by the City for use of the Public Welfare Department and furnishing said building?	YES	
	NO	
<b>PROPOSITION No. 6:</b> Shall a bonded indebtedness of \$900,000 be incurred for constructing, improving and equipping playgrounds and structures thereon within the City and County?	YES	
	NO	
<b>PROPOSITION No. 7:</b> Shall a bonded indebtedness of \$525,000 be incurred for the construction and furnishing buildings on property of Agricultural District 1A, designed for agricultural, horticultural, viticultural and livestock fairs, floral and industrial displays?	YES	
	NO	

Where voting machines are used at said special election, said propositions shall appear thereon substantially in the form above set forth in said ballots to be used at said special election.

Section 6. All persons qualified to vote at City and County elections in said City and County of San Francisco upon the date of the election herein provided for shall be qualified to vote upon any or all of the propositions hereby submitted at said special election.

WHERE BALLOTS ARE USED, each voter to vote for any proposition hereby submitted and for incurring said bonded indebtedness set forth in such proposition shall stamp a cross (X) in the blank space opposite the word "YES" on the ballot to the right of said proposition, and to vote against any proposition and against incurring said indebtedness set forth on such proposition, shall stamp a cross (X) in the blank space opposite the word "NO" on the ballot to the right of such proposition. A cross (X) stamped in the voting square on the ballot after the word "YES" to the right of any proposition shall be counted as a vote in favor of such proposition and to authorize the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of and for the



purpose specified therein, and a cross (X) stamped in the voting square after the word "NO" to the right of any proposition shall be counted as a vote against such proposition and a refusal to authorize the incurring of any bonded debt for the purpose specified therein.

WHERE VOTING MACHINES ARE USED at said special election, said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for any proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "YES" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and said voting machines shall also be arranged so that any qualified elector may vote against any proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "NO" under or near a statement of any proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine which said act shall constitute a vote against such proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same shall comply in all respects with the provisions of law.

Section 7. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest of said bonds, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco shall at the time of fixing the general tax levy and in the manner for such general tax levy provided, levy and collect annually each year until said bonds are paid, or until there shall be a sum in the treasury of said City and County set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for principal and interest on such bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds and also such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time of fixing the next general tax levy; provided that if the maturity of the indebtedness created by any issue of bonds be made to begin more than one year after the date of issuance of such bonds, such tax shall be levied and collected at the time and in the manner aforesaid annually each year sufficient to pay the interest on such indebtedness as it falls due, and also to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal thereof on or before maturity; provided, further, that in the case of said bonds issued pursuant to said proposition designated in section 1 of this ordinance as Proposition No. 7 (Livestock Pavilion Bonds) such tax shall be, in any event, sufficient to raise annually for the first one-half of the term said bonds have to run a sum sufficient to pay the interest thereon, and during the balance of the term sufficient to pay such annual interest and to provide annually a proportion of the principal of said bonds equal to a sum produced by taking the whole amount of said bonds outstanding and dividing it by the number of years said bonds have to run, and the Board of Supervisors hereby by ordinance provides for the levy of an annual tax sufficient for the purposes aforesaid.

Section 8. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in The San Francisco Call-Bulletin, a newspaper of general circulation published in said City and County of San Francisco at least six (6) days a week (being the official newspaper of said City and County) and such publication shall constitute notice of said election. No other notice of the election hereby called need be given.

For the purpose of giving notice of said special election in so far as the same is called for the purpose of authorizing the indebtedness referred to in said Proposition No. 7 (Livestock Pavilion Bonds), as so designated in section 1 of this ordinance (but for no other purpose) this ordinance shall also be published once a week for at least four (4) weeks in said official newspaper, but no defect in such publication shall affect the election hereby called with respect to any proposition

other than said Proposition No. 7 (Livestock Pavilion Bonds) as so designated in said Section 1 of this ordinance.

**RECOMMENDED BY:**

**FINANCE COMMITTEE:**

Alfred Roncovieri

Geo. R. Reilly

Warren Shannon

**RECOMMENDED BY:**

**PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMITTEE:**

Fred Meyer

Arthur M. Brown, Jr.

Jas. B. McSheehy.

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

**Referred to Streets Committee.**

The following ordinance, presented on roll call by Supervisor Uhl, was referred to the Streets Committee.

**Regulating Hours of Work in Reconstruction or Repairs to Street Railway Tracks or Pavement Adjacent Thereto on Market Street Between New Montgomery Street and Seventh Street.**

(Code No. 12.091)

Bill No. 1703, Ordinance No. 12.0911, as follows:

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. All reconstruction of, or repairs to street railway tracks, or pavement adjacent thereto on Market street, between New Montgomery street and Seventh street, shall be performed between the hours of 7:00 p. m. and 7:00 a. m.

Section 2. Any person, firm or corporation violating the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof, shall be punished by a fine not to exceed \$500, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not to exceed six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

Whereupon the Board of Supervisors at 5:10 p. m. adjourned.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, October 17, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, David A. Barry, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

DAVID A. BARRY,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.



Vol. 33

PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

No. 37

Monday, August 29, 1938

Thursday, September 1, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, AUGUST 29, 1938, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, August 29, 1938,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Ratto presiding.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over for approval until next meeting.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

Hearing of appeal against assessments for costs and expenses of improvement on Capitol avenue (west  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Montana and Minerva streets and others, by M. Bertolino, as described in Declaration of Intention, Order No. 7704, approved February 4, 1938.

The foregoing hearing was announced and their being no appearance and no protest made, the Clerk was directed to so notify the Department of Public Works.

## Motion.

Supervisor Roncovieri, seconded by Supervisor Reilly, moved that when we adjourn today we do so to meet again Thursday, September 1, 1938.

## Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the following recommendation of the Finance Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up and on motion of Supervisor Roncovieri, seconded by Supervisor Reilly, *was laid over for consideration at the meeting of Thursday, September 1, 1938, at 2 P. M.:*

**Calling Special Election September 27, 1938, for the Issuance of Bonds for Acquisition and Rehabilitation of Properties of Market Street Railway Company in Estimated Sum of \$24,480,000 and Consolidating Said Election with Special Municipal Bond Election.**

(Code No. 15.091)

Bill No. 1673, Ordinance No. 15.09120, as follows:

An ordinance calling a Special Election in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 27th day of September, 1938, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of the City and County of San

Francisco the following proposition of incurring bonded indebtedness of said City for the acquisition, construction and completion of the following improvement, to-wit: Acquisition of operative properties of Market Street Railway Company, rerouting lines, replacing and reconditioning equipment, all construction and new equipment necessary for street railway purposes; declaring the estimated cost of said improvement, the amount of the principal of said indebtedness to be incurred therefor and the maximum rate of interest to be paid thereon; fixing the date of said election, the manner of holding the same, and consolidating said election with the Special Municipal Bond Election to be held in said City and County on September 27, 1938, and providing for notice thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 27th day of September, 1938, for the purpose of submitting to the qualified electors of said City and County the question of incurring a bonded indebtedness of said City and County for the objects and purposes set forth in the following proposition, to-wit:

<b>PROPOSITION:</b> (Municipal Railway System)	Shall the City and County of San Francisco incur a bonded indebtedness in the principal amount of \$24,480,000 for the acquisition, construction and completion of the following municipal improvement, to-wit:
--	---

The acquisition of the operative properties of the Market Street Railway, the rerouting of the lines of said Company, the substitution of trolley and gas buses for rail service where coaches would render adequate service more economically, and the replacement of said Market Street Railway cars with modern equipment and the reconditioning of the rails, trolleys, and poles of the said company and the repair of streets within the rails and on both sides of the rails of said company, the establishment of equipping and maintenance shops, the construction and acquisition of trolley buses when necessary, the reconstruction and construction of new and connecting tracks and general railway headquarters?

That the estimated cost of said proposed municipal improvement is the sum of \$24,480,000. The amount of the principal of said indebtedness proposed to be incurred for said municipal improvement is the said sum of \$24,480,000. Of said sum of \$24,480,000 not more than \$12,500,000 shall be used for the purpose of purchasing the operative properties of the Market Street Railway Company.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby submit to the qualified electors of said City and County at said special election the proposition set forth in Section 1 of this ordinance, and designates and refers to said proposition in the form of ballot hereinafter prescribed for use at said election. Bonds of said City and County in the aggregate amount specified in the proposition shall be issued and sold for the purpose specified in said proposition if two-thirds of the qualified electors voting on such proposition at said election shall vote in favor of said proposition.

The maximum rate of interest to be paid upon said indebtedness created in said proposition shall be six per cent (6%) per annum, payable semi-annually. All bonds issued to represent the indebtedness authorized under said proposition herein submitted shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars (\$1,000) each. Said bonds shall mature and become payable in not to exceed twenty-five years from their date of issuance; provided, however, that the Board of Supervisors may, in its discretion, determine and fix a date for the earliest maturity of the principal of said bonds not more than three (3) years from the date of issuance thereof, and may divide said



issue into one or more divisions or series, in which event the bonds of each separate division or series shall mature in not to exceed a like number of years from the date of each such division or series, respectively, and the earliest maturity of said bonds of such separate division or series may be postponed for a period of not more than three (3) years from the date of each separate division or series.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided, and in all particulars not herein recited, such election shall be held as provided by law for holding City and County elections in the City and County of San Francisco. The polls at the polling places hereinafter designated shall be opened at seven (7) o'clock in the morning of said day of election and shall be kept open continuously thereafter until eight (8) o'clock in the evening of said day of election, when the polls shall be closed (except as provided in Section 1164 of the Political Code), and the election officers shall thereupon proceed to canvass the ballots cast thereat and make due return thereof in the manner provided by law.

Section 4. In accordance with the provisions of law, said election hereby called shall be, and is hereby, consolidated with the special municipal election to be held in said City and County of San Francisco on the 27th day of September, 1938, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12,1291, Bill No. 1669, introduced and passed for second reading by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco on the 15th day of August, 1938, and reference to said Ordinance (a copy whereof is now on file in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors) is hereby specifically made for a designation of the precincts, polling places and officers of election therein set forth, which precincts, polling places and officers of election are hereby adopted for the election hereby called. Such election shall be held in all respects as if there were only one election, and only one ticket or ballot shall be used thereat, except that the Registrar of Voters may provide that voting machines may be used at said election in lieu of ballots.

Section 5. The ballots to be used at said special election shall have printed thereon, in addition to all other matters required by law, the following:

**PROPOSITION No. 8:** Shall a bonded indebtedness of \$24,480,000 be incurred for acquisition of operative properties of Market Street Railway Company, replacing and reconditioning equipment, construction and new equipment necessary for street railway purposes?

Said proposition shall be numbered in consecutive numerical order to follow the numbers of the propositions to be submitted at the special municipal election with which this election is consolidated.

Where voting machines are used at said special election said proposition shall appear thereon substantially in the form above set forth in the ballot to be used at said special election.

Section 6. All persons qualified to vote at City and County elections in said City and County of San Francisco upon the date of the election herein provided for shall be qualified to vote upon the proposition hereby submitted at said special election.

*Where Ballots Are Used*, each voter to vote for said proposition hereby submitted and for incurring said bonded indebtedness set forth in such proposition shall stamp a cross (X) in the blank space opposite the word "YES" on the ballot to the right of said proposition, and to vote against said proposition and against incurring said indebtedness set forth therein shall stamp a cross (X) in the blank space opposite the word "NO" on the ballot to the right of said proposition. A cross (X) stamped in the voting square on the ballot after

the word "YES" to the right of the proposition shall be counted as a vote in favor of the proposition and to authorize the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of and for the purpose specified therein, and a cross (X) stamped in the voting square after the word "NO" to the right of said proposition shall be counted as a vote against said proposition and a refusal to authorize the incurring of any bonded debt for the purpose specified therein.

*Where Voting Machines Are Used* at said special election, said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for said proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "YES" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and said voting machines shall also be arranged so that any qualified elector may vote against said proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "NO" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, which said act shall constitute a vote against such proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same shall comply in all respects with the provisions of law.

Section 7. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest of said bonds, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco shall at the time of fixing the general tax levy and in the manner for such general tax levy provided, levy and collect annually each year until said bonds are paid, or until there shall be a sum in the treasury of said City and County set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for principal and interest on such bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds and also such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time of fixing the next general tax levy; provided that if the maturity of the indebtedness created by said issue of bonds be made to begin more than one year after the date of issuance of such bonds, such tax shall be levied and collected at the time and in the manner aforesaid annually each year sufficient to pay the interest on such indebtedness as it falls due, and also to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal thereof on or before maturity.

Section 8. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in the San Francisco Call-Bulletin, a newspaper of general circulation published in said City and County of San Francisco at least six (6) days a week (being the official newspaper of said City and County), and such publication shall constitute notice of said election. No other notice of the election hereby called need be given.

Recommended by Finance Committee, Alfred Roncovieri, Warren Shannon and George R. Reilly.

Recommended by Public Utilities Committee, Fred W. Meyer and Arthur M. Brown, Jr.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

### **Authorizing Supplemental Appropriation of \$102.26 Out of Funds of San Francisco Water Department to Provide Salary for Jerome D'Ewart for Balance of Fiscal Year 1937-38**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1671, Ordinance No. 9.051509, as follows:

Authorizing supplemental appropriation of one hundred two and 26/100 dollars (\$102.26) from the surplus existing in the funds of the San Francisco Water Department to the credit of Appropriation No.



766.101.00 for the purpose of providing funds to pay the balance of the salary due Jerome D'Ewart for the fiscal year 1937-1938.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of one hundred two and 26/100 dollars (\$102.26) is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the funds of the San Francisco Water Department to the credit of Appropriation No. 766.101.00 for the purpose of providing funds to pay the balance of the salary due Jerome D'Ewart for the fiscal year 1937-1938.

Section 2. The purpose of this ordinance is to adjust the compensation of this individual for the fiscal year 1937-1938 to the amount which would have been earned under the rate he was receiving on January 1, 1931, in accordance with the City Attorney's opinion of July 20, 1938.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Authorizing Supplemental Appropriation of \$120 From Funds of San Francisco Water Department for Salary of Jerome D'Ewart for Balance of Fiscal Year 1938-1939.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1672, Ordinance No. 9.051510, as follows:

Authorizing supplemental appropriation of one hundred twenty and 00/100 dollars (\$120.00) from the surplus existing in the funds of the San Francisco Water Department to the credit of Appropriation No. 866.101.00 for the purpose of providing sufficient funds to pay the compensation of Jerome D'Ewart for the fiscal year 1938-1939.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of one hundred twenty and .00/100 dollars (\$120.00) is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the funds of the San Francisco Water Department to the credit of Appropriation No. 866.101.00 for the purpose of providing sufficient funds to pay the compensation of Jerome D'Ewart for the fiscal year 1938-1939.

Section 2. The purpose of this ordinance is to provide sufficient funds for the fiscal year 1938-1939 to pay the compensation of this individual at the rate he was receiving on January 1, 1931, in accordance with the City Attorney's opinion of July 20, 1938.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

## NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Supplemental Appropriation \$118,650 in San Francisco's Contribution Toward Street Improvements, W. P. A. Project.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1670, Ordinance No. 9.051508, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$118,650 from the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund, for the purpose of providing funds for San Francisco's contribution toward the improvement of certain streets mentioned herein, work to be prosecuted under Works Progress Administration.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$118,650 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund to the credit of the following appropriations for the purposes cited and in the amounts indicated, being San Francisco's contribution toward the improvement of the streets mentioned, work to be prosecuted by Works Progress Administration:

App. No.	Description of Work	Cost to City
877.953.00	Bay street between Polk street and The Embarcadero; reduce sidewalks from 15 to 8 feet.....	\$ 31,430
877.954.00	Columbus avenue between Montgomery and Bay streets; reduce sidewalks from 15 to 10 feet...	36,120
877.955.00	Townsend street between Third street and The Embarcadero; reduce sidewalks from 15 to 10 feet . . . . .	15,100
877.956.00	Fulton street between Franklin and Lyon streets; reduce sidewalks from 15 to 10 feet.....	36,000
Total.....		\$118,650

Recommended by S. J. Hester, Director, Department of Public Works.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

### Adopted.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

### Authorizing Argument, Bond Election.

(Code No. 3.02)

Resolution No. 4213, as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco has by ordinance called a Special Election to be held in said City and County on Tuesday, the 27th day of September, 1938, at which said Special Election there has been ordered submitted to the electors by the Board of Supervisors the matter of the approval of bonded indebtedness of Sewer Bonds, 1938, \$4,200,000; Courts Building Bonds, 1938, \$3,828,000; Yacht Harbor Bonds, 1938, \$700,000; New School Buildings Bonds, 1938, \$2,800,000; Welfare Building Bonds, 1938, \$225,000; Playgrounds Bonds, 1938, \$900,000; Livestock Pavilion (Agricultural District No. 1-A) Bonds, 1938, \$525,000; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That pursuant to the provisions of Section 183 of the Charter, the Registrar of Voters be, and he is hereby directed, to include a copy of printed argument favoring these bond issues, with each sample ballot mailed or delivered to the electors of the City and County.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.



**Action Deferred.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up, and on motion of Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor Colman, *postponed until Thursday, September 1, 1938, at 2 P. M.*, by the following vote:

**Authorizing Argument, Market Street Railway Bond.**

(Code No. 3.02)

Resolution No. 4215, as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco has by ordinance called a Special Election to be held in said City and County on Tuesday, the 27th day of September, 1938, at which said special election there has been ordered submitted to the electors by the Board of Supervisors the matter of the incurring of a bonded indebtedness of \$24,480,000 for the acquisition and rehabilitation of the properties of the Market Street Railway Company; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That pursuant to the provisions of Section 183 of the Charter, the Registrar of Voters be, and he is hereby directed, to include a copy of printed argument favoring this bond issue, with each sample ballot mailed or delivered to the electors of the City and County.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt—8.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Uhl—2.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Authorizing Argument, Traffic Commission Charter Amendment.**

(Code No. 3.02)

Resolution No. 4214, as follows:

Whereas, a Special Municipal Election will be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the 27th day of September, 1938, at which Charter Amendment No. 1, providing for the establishment of a Traffic Commission, has been ordered submitted to the electors by the Board of Supervisors; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That pursuant to the provisions of Section 183 of the Charter, the Registrar of Voters be, and he is hereby directed to include a copy of printed argument favoring the said Charter Amendment No. 1.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt—8.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Uhl—2.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Authorizing the City Planning Commission to Become a Member of the American Society of Planning Officials.**

(Code No. 13.01)

Also, Resolution No. 4216, as follows:

Resolved, That the City Planning Commission of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and empowered to become a member of the American Society of Planning Officials and to pay the membership fee therein in the amount of \$5 per annum.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Sale of Buildings—O'Shaughnessy Boulevard.**

(Code No. 12.1721)

Also, Resolution No. 4217, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works that the Director of Property be and is hereby authorized to sell at public auction all buildings now owned or hereafter acquired by the City in connection with the O'Shaughnessy boulevard project, and not required for municipal purposes.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale to be executed by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Approval of Public Welfare Department Recommendations.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 4218, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Public Welfare Department containing the names and amounts to be paid as Old Age Security Aid, Blind Pensions and Widows' Pensions for the month of September, 1938 (and prior thereto), including amounts, decreases, cancellations and denials and other transactions, are hereby approved and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is directed to transmit this approval to the Controller; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors declares an extension of time is necessary in certain cases as requested by the Public Welfare Department.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

**Authorizing and Directing Tax Collector to Collect Taxes Pursuant to Provisions of Section 3820 of Political Code.**

(Code No. 9.023)

Bill No. 1704, Ordinance No. 9.0232, as follows:

Authorizing and directing the Tax Collector of the City and County of San Francisco to collect taxes on all property, including the taxes on all assessments of possession of, claim to, or right to the possession of land, and the taxes on taxable improvements located upon land exempt from taxation, when said taxes are not a lien upon real property sufficient to secure the payment thereof and when said taxes have not been collected by the Assessor pursuant to the provisions of Section 3820 of the Political Code of the State of California on or before the last business day in July, and vesting said Tax Collector with all the powers and authority which are by law vested in the Assessor to collect said taxes prior to the last business day in July of each year.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Whereas, there was added to the laws of the State of California by the Legislature convening in general session during the year 1937, Section 3832 of the Political Code, which authorized the Tax Collector of any county or any city and county by a four-fifths vote of all the members of its Board of Supervisors to collect taxes on assessments of possession of, claim to or right to the possession



of land and the taxes on taxable improvements located upon land exempt from taxation, when said taxes are not a lien upon real property sufficient to secure the payment thereof and when said taxes have not been collected pursuant to the provisions of Section 3820 of the Political Code of the State of California on or before the last business day in July.

Section 2. And whereas, there is upon the assessment rolls of the City and County of San Francisco a large amount of taxes on personal property which are not a lien upon any real property in the City and County of San Francisco, together with taxes which are assessed by reason of the possession of land as well as upon taxable improvements located on land exempt from taxation.

Section 3. And whereas, said taxes are due and payable to the City and County of San Francisco and the collection thereof will add a large amount of revenue to the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 4. Therefore, the Tax Collector of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized, empowered and directed to collect the taxes on all property, including the taxes on assessments of possession of, claim to, or right to the possession of land, and the taxes on taxable improvements located on land exempt from taxation, when said taxes are not a lien upon real property sufficient to secure the payment thereof, and when said taxes have not, by any action of the Assessor, been made a lien upon real property sufficient to secure the payment thereof and when said taxes have not been collected pursuant to the provisions of Section 3820 of the Political Code of California on or before the last business day in July.

Section 5. The said Tax Collector is hereby given all of the power and authority vested in the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco under and pursuant to Sections 3820, 3821, 3822, 3832, 3791, 3792, 3793, 3794, 3795 and 3796 of the Political Code in regard to the collection of taxes upon all and singular the property above mentioned by seizure and sale thereof, and said Tax Collector is hereby authorized to do any and all things provided or authorized by the general laws of the State of California to collect any and all taxes due upon any or all of the property above mentioned.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Sponsored by Edward F. Bryant, Tax Collector.

Approved by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Russell L. Wolden, Assessor.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

### Adopted.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

### Canvass of State Primary Election of Tuesday, August 30, 1938.

(Code No. 3.02)

Resolution No. 4221, as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Section 22 of the Direct Primary Law of the State of California, the Registrar of Voters is directed to immediately proceed to canvass the returns of the State Primary Election to be held on Tuesday, August 30, 1938, and that the following clerks are designated to serve on said canvass: Cameron H. King, Jos. F. Dawson, Michael Fahey, E. R. Faucompre, Wm. E. Monahan, Earl Sheble, Lester Stern, Roy D. Tyson, Elva Benner, Myrtle Bloch, W. Byrne, Florence Carrasco, Louise Chapman, William Cowley, John Curran, Jr., Bruno Dahlberg, Alice Drady, Anne Doherty, Norbert

Doyle, Knobler Duff, William Dwyer, Eunice S. Egan, Jos. Fitzpatrick, Jr., Fred Fournier, Claire Fuller, Alice Garnett, Harry Green, Jr., Ethel Gray, Velma Groover, Marie Hayes, Bertie Hanson, Josephine Hartsough, Mary Hause, Chas. J. Janssen, Flossie Jacobs, Ethel Kearns, Helen Lansing, Henryetta Levy, Isabelle Massing, Clinton Mayhood, Bessie McWhirter, Helen Ormsby, Queenie Owensby, Raymond O'Farrell, Eveline B. Parker, Edw. Pelgen, Beulah Perkins, Michael Phelan, Alice Remley, Frank J. Salmon, John L. Sarraile, Cornelius S. Shea, Hyman Singer, Gretchen Siemens, Ida K. Smith, Lucile Sowers, Carlotta Steele, Stella Tackney, Terry Tartarian, Ethel Tronnes, Lillian van der Wal, Hazel Walsh, Gustave Weck, Ette White, Bernadette Whitehead, Sophie Witchie, Frank J. Yriberri.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

### **Civil Service Commission Requested to Hold Hearing on High School Qualifications for Entrance to Police Department.**

The following matter recommended by the Judiciary Committee, (Supervisor Ratto dissenting), was taken up:

(Code No. 4.01)

Resolution No. 4142, as follows:

Whereas, in connection with an examination for members of the Police Department, tentatively set for September 14th, the Civil Service Commission has adopted a policy which, among other things, provides that those candidates who present themselves for the examination must, as a condition precedent thereto, produce credentials to show that they have a high school diploma or the equivalent thereto; and

Whereas, such a policy, if actually carried into effect, will work a severe hardship upon many citizens of the City and County of San Francisco, in that:

1. It will deprive many young men who are capable, both physically and mentally, of serving the City and County of San Francisco in the capacity of police officers;

2. It will deprive the families and dependents of those young men of the opportunity for that financial support which would be derived from their earnings if their right to take the examination were not foreclosed;

3. It will deprive the general populace of the City and County of San Francisco of the most efficient form of police protection, to which they are entitled and accustomed, as evidenced by past performance of our Police Department, which enjoys a place very high in the field of police work;

4. It will further destroy incentive among those young men who through no fault of their own, but through adverse financial circumstances, do not have the opportunity to complete a four-year course in high school because they are required to leave school and seek employment in an endeavor to support their families; and

Whereas, it has not been demonstrated that there exists any necessity for the requirement that candidates for police work shall have graduated from high school as a requisite to competent and conscientious service in the department, but on the contrary the history of the local Police Department clearly shows that most of the men from the lowest rank therein to and including the Chief thereof, are self-educated; that they had no opportunity for higher education, and that they have served the City faithfully and with the utmost efficiency; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of this Board of Supervisors, as well as of ranking officers in the Police Department, that the Police Department will conduct its business more efficiently and serve the people



with whose protection and safety it is charged more efficiently if this rule of the Civil Service Commission be repealed or revoked; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors, acting in what in its opinion makes for the best interests of the citizens of the City and County of San Francisco, does hereby request the Civil Service Commission to reconsider its action by which the aforementioned rule was adopted to the end that it may not be made effective; and be it

Further Resolved, That this Board respectfully requests that Civil Service Commission to hold a public hearing upon the matter at which time that great host of citizens who have evinced an interest adverse to the proposal, may have an opportunity to be heard in protest; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board be and is hereby directed to forward a copy of this resolution to the Civil Service Commission; to the Federation of Municipal Employees; to the Civil Service Association; to the representatives of Union Labor and to the David Scannell Club.

### Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Reilly read the following statement into the record in explanation of his sponsorship of the above resolution:

As the author of the resolution which is now before this Board and which has been recommended for passage by our Judiciary Committee, I desire at this time to definitely set forth my reasons for sponsoring same.

The Civil Service Commission of the City and County of San Francisco has given public and official notice that an entrance examination for positions in the uniformed forces of the Police Department will be held on September 14, 1938. Among other things, the Commission set forth the following educational requirements as a minimum:

“(a) Completion of a regular high school course of study, which course of study has been approved by the Department of Education of the state in which the high school is located; or

“(b) The equivalent thereof (Applicants seeking admission to the examination under sub-section (b) whose educational qualifications are interpreted by the Civil Service Commission as equivalent to those set forth under sub-section (a) will be required to pass a qualifying test. Applicants failing to attain the minimum passing mark fixed by the Civil Service Commission for this qualifying test will stand rejected).”

Much discussion has taken place concerning the resolution now before this Board. It has been made to appear, even in the public press, that the resolution is an attempt to interfere with the Civil Service Commission in the exercise of its lawful power and in reasonable exercise of its discretion. Attempt has been made to draw the inference that I, personally, am opposed to the procuring of men of high education for our Police Department, that I am attempting to interfere with the efficiency of that department. In order to dissipate such unfounded statements and to make the issue clear, I desire to say, and to publicly proclaim, that I have no desire to interfere with the Civil Service Commission or any other commission in the lawful exercise of their functions. I am not attempting to diminish the efficiency of that great branch of our municipal government, the Police Department. My respect for that department and for the splendid men who compose it is too great even for the entertainment of such a thought. I am, however, vitally interested in seeing that every man in this City and County who is otherwise eligible is given an opportunity to participate in the forthcoming examination. I am convinced that that is the mandate of the people of this City and County as expressed in their Charter. I want to repeat right here and now

that the sole and only issue involved is the interpretation and application of the phrase, "*competitive test*," as set forth in the Charter. I, therefore, specially urge the members to keep that phrase in mind as I proceed with my argument.

Section 140 of the Charter establishes the Civil Service Commission and charges it with the duty of providing qualified persons for appointment to City and County service. All appointments to said service must be made for the good of the public and solely upon merit and fitness as established by appropriate tests without regard to partisan, political, social or other considerations. The desire of the people to have their public employees selected fairly and honorably is apparent when we consider that in the Charter itself there is provided that each Civil Service Commissioner, before taking office, must under oath make and file in the office of the County Clerk the following declaration: "I am opposed to appointments to the public service as a reward for political activity and will execute the office of Civil Service Commissioner in the spirit of this declaration."

Section 141 of the Charter says the Civil Service Commission shall be the employment and personnel department of the City and County and shall determine appointments on the basis of merit and fitness as shown by appropriate tests.

Section 145 of the Charter says that all applicants for places in the classified service shall submit to *tests which shall be competitive*. The tests may be written, oral, mechanical or physical or any combination of them, practical in character and related to matters fairly to test the relative capacity of the applicants for positions to be filled. Said Section 145 states that the Civil Service Commission shall be the sole judge of the adequacy of the tests to rate the capability of the applicants to perform the service for the City and County.

Again I want the members to bear in mind that the Charter is quite definite that no matter what tests are given and notwithstanding the language that the Commission shall be the sole judge of the adequacy of the tests, *said tests must be competitive*. This term *competitive* is in my opinion the foundation for the adoption of this resolution and it is the rock which turns back and frustrates the proposed conduct of the Commission.

In view of the confusion that has already crept into this issue, it is incumbent upon me to make the matter definite and clear. For that reason let me enumerate the steps which must be taken in the proposed examination before a candidate finds himself on the eligible list:

First—Applicants for entrance positions in the uniformed force of the Police Department shall not be less than 21 nor more than 35 years of age at the time of appointment and shall have the physical qualifications required for enlistment in the United States Army, Navy and Marine Corps.

Second—All candidates must pass a *standard medical test*.

Third—All candidates must pass a *standard athletic test*.

Fourth—Some candidates (*not all*) must pass an "educational equivalent test."

Fifth—All candidates who survive tests 1, 2, 3 and 4 must engage in a relative capacity and intelligence test. (Others may participate who have not taken No. 4.)

We can readily agree and there is no contention that as to No. 2 and No. 3 the outcome will be based on the result of *competitive tests* and all candidates will be judged by a common standard. When we come to No. 4, however, we ask *where is the competitive test for all candidates?* The procedure outlined in subdivision No. 4 is the foundation of my opposition and I feel satisfied that I will convince this Board as to the justice of my cause. Please bear in mind that the public press during the past week carried the information that some 5000 young San Franciscans have submitted applications to participate in



this police examination and the president of the Civil Service Commission, Dr. Howard McKinley, has been quoted as saying that of those 5000, 1250 young men, or 25 per cent of the total, have no high school diploma. In other words the 5000 young men are divided into two groups. For brevity and for the sake of reference we will refer to the 3750 high school graduates as class "A" and to the 1250 who are not graduates of high schools as class "B."

Let us now go back to subdivision No. 4, which deals with the so-called "*educational equivalent tests*." Let us now assume that the date of this test is September 15th at 10 a. m.; the place of the test is the Civic Auditorium, and that within the Auditorium are certain written tests prepared by the Civil Service Commission. The Civil Service Commission stands at the entrance to the Auditorium and as the class "A" man comes up to the door he presents a diploma and by the mere presentation of such a document he is relieved from entering the Auditorium and from competing in the examination. The class "B" man comes up and he is told to enter the Auditorium and compete with other class "B" men as to his right to engage in the test provided for in subdivision No. 5. The class "B" man says to the Civil Service Commission, "Why did you turn away the class "A" man from this test and relieve him from the necessity of competing against me in this examination?" The Civil Service Commission has no reply except to say he has a certificate and that his possession of that certificate, ipso facto, relieves him from the necessity of competing in said examination. It may well be that the diploma is ten years old and that the class "A" man may have completely forgotten the essential background of his studies; it may be true that the class "A" man would fail if he were compelled to take this "*educational equivalent test*," but notwithstanding all of those reasonable probabilities the class "A" man is not required to compete. He can take test No. 5 without engaging in test No. 4, and *the class "B" man is compelled to take a test in which the class "A" man does not participate nor compete.*

Gentlemen, is it not as plain as a pikestaff that the proposed conduct of the Civil Service Commission *destroys competitive examinations and nullifies the plain provisions of the Charter in that respect?* Let us go back for a moment to subdivisions No. 1 and No. 2, which deal with medical and athletic tests. Let us assume that the Civil Service Commission announced a rule that any candidate presenting a medical certificate from an Army doctor would not be required to submit to a medical test according to the standard prescribed by the Civil Service Commission, and that those who did not possess such a certificate would be required to take said test. Do you think that such a condition would be in conformity with the Charter and with the civil service requirements as to *competitive tests*? Let us assume further that the Civil Service Commission announced the rule that any candidate presenting a certificate from one of our colleges or universities, showing that he was an outstanding athlete, would not be required to engage in *competitive athletic tests* with other candidates according to the civil service standards, while those candidates who had no such certificate would be required to so compete in said athletic tests. Do you think that such a rule would be in conformity with the Charter and with the civil service requirements as to *competitive tests*? Your answers must unquestionably be "No." Then I say to you if your answers must be "No" on propositions No. 2 and No. 3, your answers must be "No" on proposition No. 4. In all probability proposition No. 4, dealing with "*educational equivalent test*," will be more difficult than the examination contemplated in No. 5. All examinations are relative. The answers are easy for the man who has particularly studied his subject, while difficult and impossible for the man who has not. Under tests as proposed by the Civil Service Commission, isn't it reasonable to anticipate and to conclude that many of the class "A" men would not be able to pass the

test to be given pursuant to proposition No. 4? But irrespective of that feature, my contention is that class "A" men are relieved from competing with class "B" men in examination No. 4 and thereby the very foundation of civil service is destroyed. There is not one word in the Charter which permits the Civil Service Commission to give one class of police candidates one examination *and exempt another class from taking it*. In fact, after all candidates have survived examinations No. 2 and No. 3, they are immediately divided into two classes and the basis for said division is arbitrary, discriminatory and illegal.

An examination of the decisions of our courts throughout this nation shows that whenever they have been called upon to pass upon questions of this kind they have definitely laid down the rule that if the competitive examination element is missing, there is an entire disregard of the civil service laws. The supreme court of the State of Kansas in *Gregory v. Kansas City*, 149 S. W. 466, said, "*Competitive examinations are the very heart and purpose of all real civil service laws*," and the brilliant Justice Cardozo, who recently passed away and whose membership in the Supreme Court of the United States was considered as a special embellishment, said in 1921 when, as a member of the New York Court of Appeals, he had occasion to pass upon a matter closely analogous to the one now before this Board:

"The test is not merely examination. *The test is competitive examination*. Competition is useless if favor may reverse the verdict. Eligibility counts for little if grades of eligibility may be established without restriction. Sublists may then be made up of one political party or another." (*Barthelmess v. Civil Service Commission of New York*, 231 N. Y. 435.)

In that particular case the claim was made that the Civil Service Commission would arrange eligible lists in the benefit of public service. Justice Cardozo replied that from such a dubious gain there would have been conceded to the Civil Service Commission a power of discrimination that might undermine civil service by injecting beneath its foundation an ever-widening stream of favor. To apply his reasoning to the pending controversy we must assert that "college or high school diplomas must seek no reward save in conformity to the law and none other can be theirs."

The daily papers recently carried an announcement to the effect that class "B" candidates would receive liberal consideration from the Civil Service Commission. I say to you, gentlemen, and I say to the Civil Service Commission, that the young men of San Francisco who have applied for permission to participate in this examination are not seeking partisan favors; neither are they seeking special privileges. They are not entitled to them and the slightest attempt on the part of any one in public or in private to grant them would be made the subject of immediate condemnation by our people. They are entitled, however, to be permitted to participate upon equal terms in examinations No. 2, No. 3, No. 4 and No. 5 with very other candidate. They are entitled to no more and they should not be insulted and humiliated by offering them less. It certainly is no concern of this Board, nor of mine, nor of the Civil Service Commission, who attains the position of No. 1 on the eligible list. Blind justice, fair play, intelligence and efficiency will in their majesty determine that. I am not concerned with the tests to be applied to the candidate either in propositions No. 2, No. 3, No. 4 or No. 5. The Civil Service Commission may, so far as I am concerned, require in the medical test the physical perfection of a prize ring man. It may in the athletic test prescribe standards ordinarily met only by expert trackmen and they may, so far as I am concerned, examine the candidates in No. 5 as to their knowledge of ancient, medieval and modern history, as to their knowledge of the great masters of logic and philosophy, and as to their ability to solve problems in geometry and algebra. I recognize, however, that my declaration in this respect is circumscribed by the



Charter when it says that *the tests must be practical in character*. I submit, however, and it is my sole contention, that *the test for one must be the test for all and that it must be competitive*. If a diploma relieves one class from participating in No. 4, I say to you that it will eventually be used to relieve another class from competing in No. 5. No man has a vested right to public office. It is held neither by grant nor contract. The duty of the Civil Service Commission is plain and that is that it must provide personnel for public service as a *result of competitive tests*.

The Civil Service Commission derives its power from the Charter and it may exercise no power not directly given or necessarily implied from those conferred. As I have already stated, the Charter expressly provides that the Civil Service Commission must operate by means of *competitive tests*, yet here we have a positive disregard of such *competitive tests* when it tells class "A" men that they do not have to participate in one examination while class "B" men must.

It is true that the courts have been reluctant to interfere with the Civil Service Commission even though they have erred. However, there must be a remedy and if the judicial department will not step in, the legislative department must. The question involved is one of serious import. I personally feel that once the *competitive test feature of civil service is broken down*, the very lifeblood is taken from our merit system and partisanship and favoritism will sooner or later rear their ugly heads. We are all agreed that the matter at issue does not involve the personal integrity of our Civil Service Commissioners. While I have disagreed with them as to matters of Charter procedure and the application of the civil service laws, I have always had and I now have the highest regard for them personally. But, gentlemen, Commissioners, Supervisors and all public officials are human beings. Men come into public office. We are subject to all the frailties of human nature. If once the eternal principles of justice and fair play, which are pillars of our American government, are departed from, even slightly, we have then abandoned the destiny of our young men to the whims and vacillations of human beings. If this legislative body sanctions the action of the Commission, then I say that it has failed in its appreciation of the rights of our people as manifested by their Charter. While I believe that the rule announced by the Commission is illegal and void without any action on the part of this Board, yet I am convinced that the adoption of this resolution will clarify the issue beyond a question of doubt. Democratic governments are today undergoing severe tests. In many places we have so-called blood purges, racial purges, national purges, and economic purges. Can it be said that San Francisco has added another purge which may be characterized as the "diploma purge." I do not believe that the latter purge will be made effective because the wisdom of our people as expressed in their Charter furnishes the answer.

Mindful of my oath of office and my desire that no citizen or class of citizens shall be granted privileges or immunities which upon the same terms shall not be granted to all citizens, I unconditionally favor the passage of this resolution and I vote "Yes" on the question.

#### Amended.

The foregoing resolution was, on motion of Supervisor Roncovieri, seconded by Supervisor Brown, amended as follows:

#### Civil Service Commission Requested to Hold Hearing on High School Qualifications for Entrance to Police Department.

(Code No. 4.01)

Resolution No. 4142, as follows:

Whereas, in connection with an examination for members of the Police Department, tentatively set for September 14th, the Civil Ser-

vice Commission has adopted a policy which, among other things, provides that those candidates who present themselves for the examination must, as a condition precedent thereto, produce credentials to show that they have a high school diploma or the equivalent thereto; and

Whereas, such a policy, if actually carried into effect, will work a severe hardship upon many citizens of the City and County of San Francisco, in that:

1. It will deprive many young men who are capable, both physically and mentally, of serving the City and County of San Francisco in the capacity of police officers;

2. It will deprive the families and dependents of those young men of the opportunity for that financial support which would be derived from their earnings if their right to take the examination were not foreclosed;

3. It will deprive the general populace of the City and County of San Francisco of the most efficient form of police protection, to which they are entitled and accustomed, as evidenced by past performance of our Police Department, which enjoys a place very high in the field of police work;

4. It will further destroy incentive among those young men who through no fault of their own, but through adverse financial circumstances, do not have the opportunity to complete a four-year course in high school because they are required to leave school and seek employment in an endeavor to support their families; and

Whereas, it has not been demonstrated that there exists any necessity for the requirement that candidates for police work shall have graduated from high school as a requisite to competent and conscientious service in the department, but on the contrary the history of the local Police Department clearly shows that most of the men from the lowest rank therein to and including the Chief thereof, are self-educated; that they had no opportunity for higher education, and that they have served the City faithfully and with the utmost efficiency; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of this Board of Supervisors, as well as of ranking officers in the Police Department, that the Police Department will conduct its business more efficiently and serve the people with whose protection and safety it is charged more efficiently if this rule of the Civil Service Commission be repealed or revoked; now, effective; and be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors, acting in what in its opinion, makes for the best interests of the citizens of the City and County of San Francisco, does hereby request his Honor the Mayor to ask the Civil Service Commission to reconsider its action by which the aforementioned rule was adopted to the end that it may not be made effective; and be it

Further Resolved, That this Board respectfully requests his Honor the Mayor to ask the Civil Service Commission to hold a public hearing upon the matter at which time that great host of citizens who have evinced an interest adverse to the proposal, may have an opportunity to be heard in protest; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board be and is hereby directed to forward a copy of this resolution to the Civil Service Commission; to the Federation of Municipal Employees; to the Civil Service Association; to the representatives of Union Labor and to the David Scannell Club.

#### Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Mead opposed the amendment on the grounds that the Board of Supervisors should pass on the matter itself and not ask the Mayor to request of the Civil Service Commission that which in itself was the province of the Board of Supervisors who, in the last analysis, would have to pass upon the matter the same as any routine piece of legislation.



Supervisor Colman explained his position as being wholly in accord with the stand taken by the Civil Service Commission, explaining at length the rise in standards set up by other cities throughout the country.

### Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. Wm. Henderson, representing the Civil Service Commission, was also heard at length.

### Adopted.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Noes—Supervisor Colman—1.

Absent—Supervisors Meyer, Shannon—2.

### Protesting Commercialization of State Parks.

The following resolution, recommended by the Judiciary Committee, was taken up:

(Code No. 5.3)

Resolution No. 4219, as follows:

Whereas, the Boards of Supervisors in and for the Counties of Humboldt, Mendocino, Lake and Napa have adopted resolutions requesting elimination of commercialization in State Parks with particular reference to Richardson's Grove State Park in Humboldt County; and

Whereas, said resolutions are based upon following specific reasons, among others:

(a) The treasury of Humboldt County has lost (and will continue to lose) an annual income totaling many thousands of dollars, due to the transfer to the State (for park purposes) of what were formerly privately-owned tax-paying timberlands. Humboldt County has forever lost this tax revenue, and balance of taxpayers in Humboldt County have assumed the burden of this differential, digging this additional tax money out of their own pockets annually.

(b) Humboldt County taxpayers have contributed substantially to the purchase of some of these lands, in addition to shouldering additional tax burdens when these lands were taken off the tax rolls for State Park purposes (for general enjoyment, benefit and pleasure).

(c) Had not the Humboldt County taxpayers and Board of Supervisors thus cooperated and contributed their own funds, it is questionable whether the general public would have had so many State Parks to enjoy.

(d) Richardson's Grove was purchased with State funds appropriated by the Legislature, with the understanding that this grove would retain its original natural condition.

(e) It had always been the understanding of the taxpayers of Humboldt County that private lands thus dedicated to Park purposes would be perpetuated, maintained and protected in their original natural state; also, that these parks would not be desecrated and spoiled by concessionaire structures and commercial operations for the benefit of profit-making concessionaires. Therefore, the original basic principle of the land transfer and its expected and understood use has been abused and transgressed.

(f) The private concessionaires in Richardson's Grove (operating for the purposes of making a personal gain and profit) enjoy unfair and discriminating advantages over the operators catering to tourists and vacationists outside State Parks.

(g) By virtue of the unfair competition developed and made possible by the State Park Commission, *existing* operators outside State Parks are discouraged from expanding their operations and facilities;

potential *new* capital is discouraged from acquiring new properties and erecting new structures and facilities not now available to the traveling public. Result: County treasuries in Humboldt and neighboring counties suffer a loss of potential new tax revenue—income which would otherwise accrue if this unfair State Park competition did not exist.

(h) The State Park Commission's apparent desire to perpetuate concessions at Richardson's Grove appears to be an invasion by the State upon the sovereign rights of counties, particularly since the Board of Supervisors and civic organizations in Humboldt County claim that not only were they not advised of the proposed expansion of concession facilities and the signing of a new lease with the concessionaire—but that they were not given an opportunity to appear before the State Park Commission at the time the lease was up for final consideration, in spite of specific requests filed (prior thereto) with the State Park Commission by Humboldt County groups.

And whereas the Board of Supervisors in the above-named counties have requested the Board of Supervisors in and for the County of San Francisco to take action in support of the above-named project; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors in and for the City and County of San Francisco hereby endorse the stand taken by the Boards of Supervisors of Humboldt, Mendocino, Napa and Lake Counties and hereby petitions the Governor of the State of California and State Park Commission to immediately take whatever steps are necessary to eliminate commercialization in State Parks.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Uhl expressed a desire for information as to just what was intended by the State as to the resolution, being interested principally in how the small purveyor of food and refreshments along the highways, and at small camps, would be affected.

Supervisor McSheehy requested the Clerk to send copy of the resolution to each member of the Board.

Supervisor Ratto requested that the Clerk obtain information from Supervisors of the Northern Counties of California as to just what is intended by the resolution.

#### Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution *was laid over until Tuesday, September 6, 1938.*

#### Passed for Second Reading.

The following resolution, recommended by the Public Health Committee, was taken up:

#### **Amending Ordinance Regulating Advertising in Connection with Retail Sale of Meat.**

(Code No. 17.04)

Bill No. 1702, Ordinance No. 17.050, as follows:

Amending Sections 2, 3, 5 and 6 of Ordinance No. 17.049 entitled, "Providing for the Manner in Which Meat Shall Be Advertised for the Purpose of Sale at Retail in the City and County of San Francisco; Defining Classes of Meat and Retail Cuts of Meat; Defining Offenses and Providing Penalties for the Violation of this Ordinance."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Sections 2, 3, 5 and 6 of Ordinance No. 17.049, the title of which is recited above, are hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 2. Any class or cut of meat which is defined in this ordinance



must conform to such definition if advertised as such or offered for retail as such.

Section 3. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, copartnership, association or corporation, or any agent or employee thereof, selling or delivering or offering for sale or delivery meat at retail to misrepresent the classes or "cuts" of meat as defined in this ordinance in their advertising or placards, or in any manner whatsoever.

Section 5. The following terms, used in describing the various retail cuts of meat shall be used and applied only as defined herein, as follows:

(a) In naming the ribs of the carcass, the first or Number One rib shall be that which is closest to the neck, the other ribs being counted from that point.

(1) "Prime rib roast" shall consist of from the eighth to thirteenth ribs, inclusive, of a beef carcass. "Short cuts" shall be used only when the rib bone is not more than five inches in length from the junction of the eye and the rib. "Long cut" shall have the plate removed at a point parallel to the chine-bone and making a twelve-inch wing.

(2) "Beef round" shall consist of the buttocks cut to include the section from the socket bone to the gambrel or hock.

(3) "Round steak" shall be cut from the round, including top and bottom with or without bone. In advertising any cut of round steak, the particular kind of round as defined herein must be stated.

(4) "Top round steak" shall be cut from the upper or inside portion of the round with or without bone.

(5) "Bottom round steak" shall be cut from the under or outside portion of the round without bone.

(6) "Heel of round" shall be the lower portion of the round, triangular in shape.

(b) "Forequarter of lamb" shall consist of not less than thirteen ribs, shoulder, breast, shank and neck.

(1) "Shoulder of lamb" shall consist of the shoulder in one piece, including not less than three ribs, and may include the neck and shank. "Shoulder of lamb, Boston style," shall not be used with the term "leg" or "leg of lamb." Its use shall be confined to shoulders of lamb as herein defined.

(2) Any part of the shoulder of lamb cut to contain less than three ribs shall be described as "yoke of lamb." Any yoke of lamb cut with the major part of the breast attached must be advertised and sold as "yoke of lamb with breast."

(c) "Pork loin" shall be cut at the leg end at a point two and one-half inches above the aitch-bone on the pork leg, and shall include thirteen ribs and no further portion of the shoulder, and the belly shall be removed at a point not to exceed five inches from the chine-bone at the center part of the loin.

(1) "Pork loin roast" shall consist of not less than half the loin.

(2) "Pork loin chops" shall be cut from the fifth rib to the hip bone. "Large loin pork chops" shall be cut from that portion of the loin from the hip-bone to the aitch-bone, inclusive.

(3) "Pork leg" shall consist of the hind leg, with foot removed through the hockjoint; the butt shall be rounded and the tail removed. "Leg pork roast" shall consist of not less than half a leg.

Section 6. Whenever a conflict arises in the definitions as set out herein, or the words Prime or Choice are used in advertising meat offered for sale or delivery at retail, the standards of the Bureau of Agriculture Economics of the United States Department of Agriculture shall prevail in the operation of this ordinance. The use of the terms A-1 or Stall-fed shall be prohibited unless the meat sold under such terms shall be the equivalent in grade of the United States Department

of Agriculture standards of Prime or Choice. The standards of the United States Department of Agriculture shall prevail only for the enforcement of this ordinance and nothing contained in this ordinance shall be construed to apply the standards of the United States Department of Agriculture to the compulsory grading of meat sold or offered for sale at retail in the City and County of San Francisco.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Post Street Between Taylor Street and Van Ness Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Bill No. 1664, Ordinance No. 12.073112, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Eight Hundred and Thirty-seven (837) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office July 28, 1938, thereof to read as follows:

Section 837. The width of sidewalks on Post street between Market and Taylor streets shall be 12 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Post street between Taylor street and Van Ness avenue shall be 10 feet.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Meyer, Shannon—2.

**Chief Administrative Officer to Adopt Policy That Street Widening Under W. P. A. Grant Shall Provide That W. P. A. Shall Relocate or Reconstruct All Structures Which Extend Under the Sidewalk or Street From Private Property, and Shall Be Performed by W. P. A. Forces Without Charge.**

The following resolution, recommended by the Streets Committee, was taken up:

(Code No. 12.064)

Resolution No. 4210, as follows:

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby request the Chief Administrative Officer to adopt a policy which will provide, in connection with street widening under the Works Progress Administration, that relocation or reconstruction of all structures, utilities and facilities which extend under the sidewalk or street, from private property by necessity or as a matter of right, shall be performed by W. P. A. forces without charge to the adjoining property owners, and that those structures, utilities and facilities which serve private property but are located upon public property by privilege or sufferance shall be relocated or reconstructed under private contract and shall be a charge against the private property which they serve; provided, however, that the removal or relocation of trees, shrubs and



other ornamental vegetation from or upon public property shall not be a charge against private property; and be it

Further Resolved, That if and when the above policy is adopted by the Chief Administrative Officer, Resolution No. 4114 and all other resolutions or parts of resolutions in conflict herewith, shall stand repealed.

### Privilege of the Floor.

The following persons were heard in favor of the proposed amendment:

Wm. D. Coughlin, representing the Associated General Contractors of North America.

C. E. Healy, Assistant City Engineer, voiced no objection.

### Amendment.

Whereupon Supervisor Brown moved to amend by inserting the following paragraph:

Resolved, further, That this resolution, and the subject matter thereof, shall be subject to the rules and regulations of the W. P. A., and that in the event of any conflict therewith, said rules and regulations shall supersede and control this resolution.

No objection and it was *so ordered*.

### Adopted.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution, amended as follows, was adopted by the following vote:

**Chief Administrative Officer to Adopt Policy That Street Widening Under W. P. A. Grant Shall Provide That W. P. A. Shall Relocate or Reconstruct All Structures Which Extend Under the Sidewalk or Street From Private Property, and Shall Be Performed by W. P. A. Forces Without Charge.**

(Code No. 12.064)

Resolution No. 4210, as follows:

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby request the Chief Administrative Officer to adopt a policy which will provide, in connection with street widening under the Works Progress Administration, that relocation or reconstruction of all structures, utilities and facilities which extend under the sidewalk or street, from private property, by necessity or as a matter of right, shall be performed by W. P. A. forces without charge to the adjoining property owners, and that those structures, utilities and facilities which serve private property but are located upon public property by privilege or sufferance shall be relocated or reconstructed under private contract and shall be a charge against the private property which they serve; provided, however, that the removal or relocation of trees, shrubs and other ornamental vegetation from or upon public property shall not be a charge against private property; and be it

Further Resolved, That this resolution and the subject-matter therein shall be subject to the rules and regulations of the Works Progress Administration, and in the event of any conflict therewith, said rules and regulations shall supersede and control this resolution; and be it

Further Resolved, That if and when the above policy is adopted by the Chief Administrative Officer, Resolution No. 4114 and all other resolutions or parts of resolutions in conflict herewith, shall stand repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Creating Underground District, Geary Street, Geary Boulevard and Point Lobos Avenue.**

(Code No. 11.12)

The following ordinance, from Streets Committee with recommendation that Board do not pass, was taken up:

Bill No. 1667, Ordinance No. 11.1224, as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series,) entitled, "Providing for Placing Wires and Conduits Underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new series to be known as Section ZZZ.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Order No. 214 (Second Series,) the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding a new section to be known as Section ZZZ.

Section ZZZ. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires, except trolley poles and wires, after August 1, 1941, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 81. Geary street, from Divisadero street to Presidio avenue; Geary boulevard, from Presidio avenue to Forty-second avenue; Point Lobos avenue, from Forty-second avenue to the Great Highway.

**Motion.**

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved to amend the ordinance by making the boundaries from First Avenue to Twenty-eighth Avenue. This work to be done over a period of three years.

Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved that the matter be re-referred to the Streets Committee.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

Ed. W. Kenny, representing the Geary Boulevard Merchants Association, spoke in favor of the ordinance, except that in his opinion, what with the Board having favored so much underground installation of utilities to this date, the ordinance should read: From First Avenue to Twenty-eighth Avenue, inclusive, the work to be done over a period of three years.

**Re-referred to Streets Committee.**

Whereupon, the foregoing ordinance was *re-referred to the Streets Committee, with the suggestion that the committee take cognizance of the proposed amendment of the boundaries to read from First avenue to Twenty-eighth Avenue.*

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were taken up:

**Extension of Leave of Absence, Commissioner Philip Lee Bush, Member Board of Education.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 4212, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Commissioner Philip Lee Bush, member of the Board of Education, is hereby granted an extension of leave of absence for the two week period commencing August 30, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.



**Leave of Absence—Hon. J. P. Murphy, Member of Public Utilities Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 4220, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. J. P. Murphy, a member of the Public Utilities Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing October 1, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Leave of Absence—Hon. Matthew Brady, District Attorney.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 4222, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Matthew Brady, District Attorney, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing September 4, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Authorizing Chief Administrative Officer to Attend Convention of League of California Municipalities at Santa Barbara, September 8, 9 and 10, 1938.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 4224, as follows:

Whereas, the League of California Municipalities will hold its annual convention on the 8th, 9th and 10th days of September, 1938, at the City of Santa Barbara, California; and

Whereas, it is of advantage to the City and County of San Francisco that the Chief Administrative Officer, Alfred J. Cleary, should participate in said convention; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That Alfred J. Cleary be, and he is hereby directed, to attend the convention of League of California Municipalities at Santa Barbara on September 8, 9 and 10 of 1938.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Meyer, Shannon—2.

**In Memoriam—Charles F. Kapp.**

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 4225, as follows:

Whereas, Almighty God has summoned Charles F. Kapp to his eternal reward; and

Whereas, Mr. Kapp was a pioneer in the Fraternal Order of Eagles,

Aerie No. 5, where he worked diligently and enthusiastically for the progress of the organization and for the benefit of its members; and

Whereas, Mr. Kapp was noted for his charitable and humanitarian work among the poor and unfortunate; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors notes with deep regret the passing of Charles F. Kapp and takes this opportunity to convey its deepest sympathy to his relatives; and be it

Further Resolved, That when the Board of Supervisors adjourns this day it does so out of respect to the memory of the late Charles F. Kapp.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Meyer, Shannon—2.

### **Making Certain Changes to the Official Flag of San Francisco.**

(Code No. 5.94)

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 4226, as follows:

Whereas, the Official Flag of the City and County of San Francisco, adopted at the beginning of the century, is beautiful in artistic design and coloring; and

Whereas, the Flag is composed of a Phenix rising from the flames, below which is the appropriate motto: "Oro en Paz—Fierro en Guerra"—Gold in Peace—Iron in War—both in a golden hue on a field of white, with the Flag itself bordered with gold; and

Whereas, the motto in Spanish is fittingly appropriate to the wartime and peacetime spirit of San Francisco and the Phenix is eloquently expressive of the incomparable valor with which our fire-swept city rose from its ashes after 1906; and

Whereas, many have marveled at the beauty of the Official Flag of the City and County of San Francisco and have inquired "What flag is it?" because there is no wording to show; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That there be added to the Official Flag of the City and County of San Francisco the words "San Francisco" so that it will be identifiable to all who view it; and be it

Further Resolved, That the words "San Francisco" be placed horizontally along the lower portion of the Flag, below the Phenix and the Motto, in letters of appropriate size, rich blue in coloring, so that the Flag will be blue and gold on a field of white, symbolic of the blue skies of San Francisco, the gold of her commerce and industry and the white of her pure purpose to be a city of happy homes and contented, prosperous people.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Meyer, Shannon—2.

### **Leave of Absence, His Honor the Mayor, Period of Six Days, Commencing September 4, 1938.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 4223, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor, Mayor Angelo J. Rossi, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of six days, commencing September 4, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.



**Alternate to Attend Meeting of League of California Municipalities at Santa Barbara, September 8, 9 and 10, 1938.**

Chair appointed Supervisor Mead as alternate for Supervisor Shannon to attend meeting of the League of California Municipalities at Santa Barbara on September 8, 9 and 10, 1938.

*So ordered.*

**Provision for Funds for Future Attendance by Supervisors at Gatherings of Importance to the Welfare of San Francisco.**

The matter of providing for Supervisors attending conventions and/or meetings was discussed. Controller Harold Boyd suggested that the matter be discussed with the Chief Administrative Officer with respect to payment of these trips. Supervisor Brown moved that the matter be referred to the Finance Committee, which was seconded by Supervisor Reilly.

*So ordered.*

**Finally Passed.**

The following emergency ordinance was taken up:

**San Francisco Tax Rate Ordinance—1938-1939.**

(Code No. 9.032)

Bill No. 1699, Ordinance No. 9.0328, as follows:

Providing revenue and levying taxes for City and County purposes and for the support and maintenance of the common schools of the City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1939. An emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Under and pursuant to the provisions of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and of the laws of the State of California, and in conformity therewith, a tax is hereby levied for City and County purposes, and for the support and maintenance of the common schools of said City and County, including special City and County school building purposes, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1939, on all the property, real and personal, in the City and County of San Francisco, except such property as is by law exempt from taxation, in the sum of four and four hundredths (\$4.04) dollars on each one hundred dollars' valuation of said taxable property as the same appears upon the assessment roll of the said City and County for said fiscal year, which said sum of four and four hundredths (\$4.04) dollars on each one hundred dollars' valuation as aforesaid is hereby apportioned to the funds and accounts and for the purposes designated as follows:

(a) For the General Fund to meet the general expenses of the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with Section 78 of the Charter (limit \$1.65) the rate of.....		\$1.234631
Emergency Reserve .....		.025274
County Road .....		.000012
Unemployment Relief Loan State of California.....		.012342
Unemployment Relief Loan Interest State of California....		.003239
Relief of indigent sick and dependent poor.....		.185414
WPA Sponsorship .....		.036159
Exposition .....		.040000

**\$1.537071**

- (b) For the General Fund, to meet the expenses of the City and County of San Francisco not subject to the limitations of Section 78 of the Charter, including the cost of elections, the constructing, maintaining and improving of streets, sewers and buildings, obligations imposed by

State, legislative or constitutional enactment, and obligations imposed by vote of the people of the City and County of San Francisco, other than the items herein specifically provided for, including one-half (\$.005) cent to meet the expenses of the Civil Service Commission and including one-half (\$.005) cent for the Art Commission for the purpose of maintaining a symphony orchestra, the rate of.....		.441201
For interest on tax anticipation notes.....		.002161
For the Library Fund, to meet the cost of constructing, maintaining and improving libraries, the rate of.....		.047873
For the Park Fund, to meet the cost of constructing, maintaining and improving parks, the rate of.....		.116052
For the Recreation Fund, to meet the cost of constructing, maintaining and improving playgrounds, the rate of..		.071666
For maintenance and operation of War Memorial.....		.011202
For M. H. de Young Memorial Museum Fund.....		.010155
For California Palace of the Legion of Honor Fund.....		.009232
For Retirement System for City employes.....		.219663
For the payment of interest on and the amount due for the redemption of the several outstanding bond issues of the City and County.....		.883011
To pay an aliquot part equal to one-tenth of the whole of final judgments against the City and County of San Francisco, pursuant to writs of mandate served upon the members of the Board of Supervisors, to-wit: One-tenth of the amount of each of the judgments referred to in the writs of mandate issued in the proceedings in the Supreme Court of the State of California.....		.004675
For special tax levied for publicity and advertising pursuant to the Political Code of the State, the rate of.....		.040000
For Workmen's Compensation Fund.....		.006059
Airport Fund .....		.022296
Total for Municipal Purposes.....		<u>\$3.422317</u>

#### COMPULSORY SCHOOL TAX

For San Francisco Unified School District.....	.617683
Total Tax Rate.....	<u>\$4.04</u>

This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does by the vote by which this ordinance is passed specifically declare that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective immediately, the nature of said emergency being as follows:

That the passage of said ordinance is required as a prerequisite to the issuance of tax anticipation warrants in accordance with the provisions of Section 81 of the Charter, and that the issuance of said notes immediately is necessary in order to provide funds for the operation of the police and fire departments, and for the maintenance of hospitals and relief homes in San Francisco and for the care of the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County, and for the preservation of the public peace, property, health and safety of the people of said City and County, as well as for the uninterrupted operation of the various departments of the government of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.



**Explanation of Vote.**

Supervisor McSheehy read the following into the record in explanation of his vote:

San Francisco, California, August 29, 1938.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors.

Gentlemen: On May 31, 1938, I voted NO on the budget sent us by Mayor Rossi calling for an expenditure of \$1,648,709 over what it cost last year to run our city government for the coming fiscal year of 1938-39, a raise of about 17 cents in the tax rate.

As a member of this Board I feel a great mistake was made in passing that budget, as it contains a number of items which could have been eliminated without impairing the efficiency of this city in any manner or form, to the amount of \$562,500, or a reduction of about 8 cents in the tax rate.

Three weeks ago today I presented to you a plan which the Secretary of the Interior stated would meet with the requirements of the Raker Act if voted by the people of San Francisco, in the form of a Charter amendment, calling for the issuance of \$21,000,000 of revenue bonds to distribute our own Hetch Hetchy power in which the taxpayers of the city have invested \$50,000,000, and which would mean a direct cut of 25 per cent to the ratepayers using electricity living in the zone called for in this amendment which covers about two-thirds of the city.

By a vote of 8 to 2, one member being absent, this Charter amendment was voted down.

Today you are confronted with the problem of whether this tax rate will be sufficient to meet the many obligations called for in the budget. According to a decision by the Federal Court, we cannot sell Hetch Hetchy power to the Pacific Gas & Electric Company after December 28, 1938, because it is in direct violation of the Raker Act, which is a contract the city has with our own Federal Government.

If this decision stands we are today passing a tax rate that is not sufficient to meet the amount called for in the budget and will mean the cutting of salaries or the laying off of city employees, as we must pay our interest and redemption charges on all outstanding bonds, and if there are any retrenchments they will be made by a reduction of salaries and the cutting off of employments.

It is positively an unsound tax rate and we are placing this city in an embarrassing position, not alone with the Federal Government, but with all the city employees and the taxpayers, first: by not keeping our word with our own government; second: by passing a tax rate that will not meet the expenses called for in the budget; and third: by increasing the burden on the taxpayers by placing items in the budget that never should have been there.

For these reasons I am voting NO on this tax rate and request that this statement be made part of the record and recorded in the Journal.

Respectfully,

JAMES B. MCSHEEHY, Supervisor.

Supervisor Roncovieri presented the following statement in explanation of his vote:

**ANALYSES OF TAX RATE 1938-1939**

Decrease in transfer to General Fund from Water Surplus because of increase in requirements for Water Department .	
Bond Interest and Redemption.....	\$ .12
Increase for Exposition Participation.....	.04
Increase for Publicity and Advertising.....	.0157

Increase for Fire and Police at Exposition.....	.029
Increase for Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor.....	.0376
	<hr/>
	\$ .2423
Net product of sundry increases and decreases.....	— .0733
	<hr/>
Net increase .....	\$ .169
1937-1938 Rate .....	3.871
	<hr/>
1938-1939 Rate .....	\$4.04
1937-38 Assessment Roll (other than intangible).....	\$823,064,067
1938-39 Assessment Roll (other than intangible).....	823,882,608

### Finally Passed.

The following emergency ordinance was taken up:

### Authorizing Tax Anticipation Notes.

(Code No. 9.033)

Bill No. 1701, Ordinance No. 9.03310, as follows:

Determining that funds are needed for the immediate requirements of the City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1938-39 in accordance with appropriations made, as authorized by the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco, for said fiscal year; determining that funds therefor cannot be made available as provided in the first two paragraphs of Section 81 of said Charter; authorizing the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco to borrow on its behalf the sum of \$8,000,000 solely for the purpose of anticipating receipt of income, and to cause to be issued notes or other evidences of indebtedness evidencing the amount or amounts so borrowed, which notes shall be payable exclusively out of taxes levied and collected by said City and County for said fiscal year 1938-39 providing that the principal amount of said notes together with the interest thereon shall constitute a first lien and charge against the taxes collected during the half of the fiscal year in which such money shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes; and providing for the sale of said notes or evidences of indebtedness as provided by law and for the payment of the principal thereof and the interest thereon.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby find and determine: That the sum of \$8,000,000 is needed for the immediate requirements of said City and County in the fiscal year 1938-39, to-wit: To meet and pay the appropriations heretofore made for said fiscal year as authorized by the Charter of said City and County, and which will become due and payable prior to June 30, 1939, and which may be paid in advance of receipt of the income for said fiscal year; that funds therefor cannot be made available as provided in the first two paragraphs of Section 81 of said Charter; that the Controller of said City and County has recommended that said sum of \$8,000,000 be borrowed for the purposes herein mentioned, and the Mayor of said City and County of San Francisco has approved said recommendation made by said Controller; and that the estimated aggregate amount of all taxes actually levied by said City and County for said fiscal year is \$33,384,852, and that said sum of \$8,000,000 does not exceed twenty-five (25) per centum of said estimated aggregate amount of all taxes actually levied for said fiscal year.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to borrow on behalf of said City and



County, solely for the purpose of anticipating receipt of income, the sum of \$8,000,000 in lawful money of the United States of America, and to issue in the form hereinafter set forth notes of said City and County for the sum or sums so borrowed, payable as hereinafter provided.

Section 3. (a) As evidence of the sum to be so borrowed as aforesaid, there is hereby authorized to be issued by said City and County of San Francisco tax anticipation notes of said City and County of San Francisco in the aggregate principal amount of \$8,000,000. Said notes shall be in the denomination of not less than \$1,000 each, nor more than \$100,000 each, and such denominations shall be fixed by resolution of this Board adopted at or after the time of the public sale of said notes as hereinafter provided. All of said notes shall be signed on behalf of said City and County of San Francisco by the President of said Board of Supervisors, and by the Controller of said City and County, and countersigned by the Treasurer of said City and County of San Francisco, and the seal of said City and County shall be affixed thereto. Said notes shall bear such interest as may be hereafter fixed by resolution of said Board of Supervisors at or after the time said notes are sold, as hereinafter provided which said interest shall in no case exceed six (6) per cent per annum, and full authority is hereby given to said Board of Supervisors to fix by resolution the rate of interest on said notes and each or any of them. Said interest shall be payable at the maturity of said notes.

(b) The principal amount of said notes together with the interest thereon, issued and delivered under authority of this ordinance, shall be payable exclusively out of the taxes levied and collected by said City and County for the fiscal year 1938-39 and shall constitute a first lien and charge against the taxes collected during the half of the fiscal year in which said money shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes; and the amount of taxes so levied and collected shall be applied to the payment of said notes before any part thereof is used for any other purpose; provided, however, that taxes levied for the payment of principal of, or interest on, any bonded indebtedness of said City and County now outstanding or hereafter created shall be applied to the purpose for which such taxes were levied, unless the money borrowed by such notes issued against such tax levies is in fact applied to the payment of the principal and interest of such bonded indebtedness. If at the time said notes, or any of them, become due and payable the funds in the City Treasury available for the payment thereof shall be insufficient for the payment in full of all of said notes then outstanding, such funds shall be applied pro rata to the payment of the principal and interest of all of the notes then issued and outstanding without preference or priority of any one note over any other by reason of prior issuance, or otherwise. Any of said notes not paid prior to June 30, 1939, shall, nevertheless, be paid out of moneys received from the taxes of the fiscal year 1938-39; irrespective of the date of the receipt thereof, it being the intent and purpose of this ordinance to provide for the payment of all notes issued hereunder out of the taxes levied for said fiscal year 1938-39 and to provide that such notes shall be payable out of said taxes for said fiscal year, irrespective of the actual date of the collection thereof.

(c) Each of said notes shall be substantially in the following form, to-wit:

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO TAX  
ANTICIPATION NOTE  
FISCAL YEAR 1938-1939

No. .... \$.....  
San Francisco.....193...

On the.....day of....., 193..., the  
City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized

and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California, promises to pay to the bearer hereof, out of the funds hereinafter mentioned, at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County of San Francisco, the sum of.....dollars, in lawful money of the United States of America, with interest thereon at the rate of.....per cent per annum from date until paid.

This note is one of an issue of notes aggregating in principal amount the sum of eight million (\$8,000,000) dollars authorized to be issued under and pursuant to an ordinance of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco, enacted under authority of Section 81 of the Charter of said City and County. Both the principal of and interest on this note and all other notes of said issue are payable exclusively out of taxes levied and collected by said City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1938-39, without preference or priority of any one note over any other note of this issue by reason of prior issuance, or otherwise, and said notes issued and delivered under authority of said ordinance shall constitute a first lien and charge against said taxes collected during the half of said fiscal year 1938-39, in which the money represented by said notes, respectively, shall be borrowed, and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes and the amount of taxes so levied and collected shall be applied to the payment of said notes before any part thereof is used for any other purpose; provided, however, that taxes levied for the payment of principal of, or interest on, any bonded indebtedness of said City and County now outstanding or hereafter created shall be applied to the purpose for which such taxes were levied, unless the money borrowed by such notes issued against such tax levies is in fact applied to the payment of the principal and interest of such bonded indebtedness.

Any of said notes not paid at or prior to maturity shall, nevertheless, be paid out of moneys received from the taxes for said fiscal year 1938-39 irrespective of the date the same shall be so received.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that this note is issued in strict conformity with the Constitution and laws of the State of California, and with the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and with proceedings of said City and County of San Francisco authorizing the same, and that all acts, conditions and things required to exist, happen and to be performed precedent to, and in the issuance of, this note, have existed, happened and been performed in regular and due time, form and manner as required by law, and that this note, together with all indebtedness and obligations of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or the Charter of said City and County.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this note to be signed by the President of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco, and by the Controller of said City and County and to be countersigned by the Treasurer thereof, and the seal of said City and County to be affixed thereto the day and year first above written.

.....  
President of the Board of Supervisors  
of the City and County of San Francisco.

.....  
Controller of the City and County of  
San Francisco.  
Countersigned:

.....  
Treasurer of the City and County of  
San Francisco.



(d) The signature of the President of the Board of Supervisors to said notes may be by the facsimile of the President of said Board.

(e) Said Board of Supervisors, on behalf of said City and County of San Francisco, hereby confirms all recitals, declarations, certificates and promises contained in said notes, and each thereof, issued under and pursuant to this ordinance.

(f) At the time of the sale of any of said notes as hereinafter provided, and prior to the delivery thereof, the Treasurer of the said City and County of San Francisco shall date the same as of the date of delivery thereof and insert therein the denomination thereof and the rate of interest thereon as provided by resolution of said Board of Supervisors.

Section 4. The aforesaid notes shall be issued and offered for sale by the Board of Supervisors at such time (prior to May 15, 1939), as may from time to time be provided by resolution of said Board, so as to meet the immediate requirements of said City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid. Each such sale shall be made to the bidder offering to accept and pay for the note or notes so sold at the lowest net interest cost to said City and County computed from the date fixed for the presentation of bids to December 21, 1938 (in the case of such notes as shall be sold in the first half of said fiscal year), or to May 15, 1939 (in the case of such notes as shall be sold in the second half of said fiscal year); provided, however, that none of said notes shall be sold for less than the face amount thereof and accrued interest thereon to the date of delivery thereof.

Section 5. The principal and interest of all of said notes issued and sold as aforesaid shall be paid only upon the surrender thereof. All of said notes not sold prior to May 15, 1939, shall be cancelled.

Section 6. If any section, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or void, such decision shall not affect the validity of any other portion of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each section, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional or void for any reason.

Section 7. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does by the vote by which this ordinance is passed specifically declare that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective immediately, the nature of said emergency being as follows:

That said ordinance authorizes the issuance of tax anticipation notes in accordance with the provisions of Section 81 of the Charter, and that the issuance of said notes immediately is necessary in order to provide funds for the operation of the Police and Fire Departments, and for the maintenance of hospitals and relief homes in San Francisco and for the care of the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County, and for the preservation of the public peace, property, health and safety of the people of said City and County, as well as for the uninterrupted operation of the various departments of the government of the City and County of San Francisco.

Recommended by: H. J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved: Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to form: Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Sale of \$3,500,000 Tax Anticipation Notes.**

(Code No. 9.033)

Whereupon the following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

Resolution No. 4211, as follows:

Whereas, Ordinance No. 9.03310 heretofore enacted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, authorized the issuance of certain Tax Anticipation Notes, the same to be issued as needed for the immediate requirements of the City and County in order to meet and pay the appropriations heretofore made for the current fiscal year, as authorized by the Charter of the City and County, which appropriations will become due and payable prior to June 30, 1939, and which may be paid in advance of receipt of the income for said fiscal year; and

Whereas, In order to meet the said immediate requirements of the said City and County it is necessary to forthwith issue and offer for sale Tax Anticipation Notes as authorized by said ordinance above mentioned to the amount of three million five hundred thousand (\$3,500,000) dollars; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, the Controller of said City and County, and the Treasurer thereof, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute and issue for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, the aforesaid Tax Anticipation Notes, to the amount of three million five hundred thousand (\$3,500,000) dollars, and that said notes be offered for sale by this Board of Supervisors; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board be and he is hereby directed to advertise in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco a notice of the sale of said Tax Anticipation Notes to the amount of three million five hundred thousand (\$3,500,000) dollars, and that sealed bids or offers for all or any part of said notes will be received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on September 6, 1938, and that said Tax Anticipation Notes will be sold to the bidder offering to accept and pay for said note or notes so sold at the lowest net interest cost to the City and County of San Francisco computed from the date fixed for the presentation of bids to December 21, 1938; and be it

Further Resolved, That Orrick, Dahlquist, Neff & Herrington, attorneys at law, are employed to furnish legal opinion on validity of the above mentioned Tax Anticipation Notes authorized by Ordinance No. 9.03310 the fee therefor to be \$1,000.00.

Recommended by: H. J. Boyd, Controller; Duncan Mathewson, Treasurer.

Approved as to form: Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**William Hall of Bureau of Engineering to Represent City and County of San Francisco Officially at Western Safety Conference to Be Held at Los Angeles From September 12 to 16, 1938.**

Supervisor Ratto presented, communication from A. D. Wilder, Director, Department of Public Works, communication dated August 29, 1938, requesting Board of Supervisors to permit William Hall, of the Bureau of Engineering, to represent the City and County of San Francisco officially at the Western Safety Conference, to be held at Los Angeles from September 12 to 16, 1938.

*So ordered.*



**Remarks by Controller Boyd.**

Controller H. J. Boyd was granted the privilege of the floor and called attention to the necessity of making some provision for Supervisors attending conventions. He declared funds could no longer be drawn from "Contractual Services" and something should be done about it. He also referred to necessity of providing funds for reporters' fees, as nothing was set up in the Budget for this purpose.

Supervisor Roncovieri also suggested that funds be made available for purchase of Municipal Flags referred to heretofore in resolution No. 4226.

It was agreed that the Finance Committee would take the matter up with the Chief Administrative Officer.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors on motion adjourned to meet again on Thursday, September 1, 1938, at 2 p. m.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.

---

**THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 1, 1938, 2 P. M.**

In Board of Supervisors, Thursday, September 1, 1938, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to adjournment of Monday, August 29, 1938.

**CALLING THE ROLL.**

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present: Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Uhl—2.

Supervisor Uhl, in accordance with telephonic request from Sacramento, was excused.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

**Final Passage.**

The following recommendation of the Joint Finance and Public Utilities Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up:

Calling Special Election September 27, 1938, for the Issuance of Bonds for Acquisition and Rehabilitation of Properties of Market Street Railway Company in Estimated Sum of \$24,480,000 and Consolidating Said Election with Special Municipal Bond Election.

(Code No. 15.091)

Bill No. 1673, Ordinance No. 15.09120, as follows:

An ordinance calling a Special Election in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 27th day of September, 1938, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco the following proposition of incurring bonded indebtedness of said City for the acquisition, construction and completion of the following improvement, to-wit: Acquisition of operative properties of Market Street Railway Company, rerouting lines, replacing and reconditioning equipment, all construction and new equipment necessary for street railway purposes; declaring the estimated cost of said improvement, the amount of the principal of said indebtedness to be incurred therefor and the maximum rate of interest to be paid thereon; fixing the date of said election, the manner of holding the same, and

consolidating said election with the Special Municipal Bond Election to be held in said City and County on September 27, 1938, and providing for notice thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 27th day of September, 1938, for the purpose of submitting to the qualified electors of said City and County the question of incurring a bonded indebtedness of said City and County for the objects and purposes set forth in the following proposition, to-wit:

**PROPOSITION:** Shall the City and County of San Francisco (Municipal Railway System) incur a bonded indebtedness in the principal amount of \$24,480,000 for the acquisition, construction and completion of the following municipal improvement, to-wit: The acquisition of the operative properties of the Market Street Railway, the rerouting of the lines of said Company, the substitution of trolley and gas buses for rail service where coaches would render adequate service more economically, and the replacement of said Market Street Railway cars with modern equipment and the reconditioning of the rails, trolleys, and poles of the said company and the repair of streets within the rails and on both sides of the rails of said company, the establishment of equipping and maintenance shops, the construction and acquisition of trolley buses when necessary, the reconstruction and construction of new and connecting tracks and general railway headquarters?

That the estimated cost of said proposed municipal improvement is the sum of \$24,480,000. The amount of the principal of said indebtedness proposed to be incurred for said municipal improvement is the said sum of \$24,480,000. Of said sum of \$24,480,000 not more than \$12,500,000 shall be used for the purpose of purchasing the operative properties of the Market Street Railway Company.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby submit to the qualified electors of said City and County at said special election the proposition set forth in Section 1 of this ordinance and designates and refers to said proposition in the form of ballot hereinafter prescribed for use at said election. Bonds of said City and County in the aggregate amount specified in the proposition shall be issued and sold for the purpose specified in said proposition if two-thirds of the qualified electors voting on such proposition at said election shall vote in favor of said proposition.

The maximum rate of interest to be paid upon said indebtedness created in said proposition shall be six per cent (6%) per annum, payable semi-annually. All bonds issued to represent the indebtedness authorized under said proposition herein submitted shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars (\$1,000) each. Said bonds shall mature and become payable in not to exceed twenty-five years from their date of issuance; provided, however, that the Board of Supervisors may, in its discretion, determine and fix a date for the earliest maturity of the principal of said bonds not more than three (3) years from the date of issuance thereof, and may divide said issue into one or more divisions or series, in which event the bonds of each separate division or series shall mature in not to exceed a like number of years from the date of each such division or series, respectively, and the earliest maturity of said bonds of such separate division or series may be postponed for a period of not more than three (3) years from the date of each separate division or series.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided, and in all particulars not herein recited, such election shall be held as provided



by law for holding City and County elections in the City and County of San Francisco. The polls at the polling places hereinafter designated shall be opened at seven (7) o'clock in the morning of said day of election and shall be kept open continuously thereafter until eight (8) o'clock in the evening of said day of election, when the polls shall be closed (except as provided in Section 1164 of the Political Code), and the election officers shall thereupon proceed to canvass the ballots cast thereat and make due return thereof in the manner provided by law.

Section 4. In accordance with the provisions of law, said election hereby called shall be, and is hereby, consolidated with the special municipal election to be held in said City and County of San Francisco on the 27th day of September, 1938, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.1291, Bill No. 1669, introduced and passed for second reading by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco on the 15th day of August, 1938, and reference to said Ordinance (a copy whereof is now on file in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors) is hereby specifically made for a designation of the precincts, polling places and officers of election therein set forth, which precincts, polling places and officers of election are hereby adopted for the election hereby called. Such election shall be held in all respects as if there were only one election, and only one ticket or ballot shall be used thereat, except that the Registrar of Voters may provide that voting machines may be used at said election in lieu of ballots.

Section 5. The ballots to be used at said special election shall have printed thereon, in addition to all other matters required by law, the following:

PROPOSITION NO. 8: Shall a bonded indebtedness of \$24,480,000 be  
(Municipal Railway incurred for acquisition of operative properties  
System) of Market Street Railway Company, replacing  
and reconditioning equipment, construction and  
new equipment necessary for street railway purposes?

Said proposition shall be numbered in consecutive numerical order to follow the numbers of the propositions to be submitted at the special municipal election with which this election is consolidated.

Where voting machines are used at said special election said proposition shall appear thereon substantially in the form above set forth in the ballot to be used at said special election.

Section 6. All persons qualified to vote at City and County elections in said City and County of San Francisco upon the date of the election herein provided for shall be qualified to vote upon the proposition hereby submitted at said special election.

*Where Ballots Are Used*, each voter to vote for said proposition hereby submitted and for incurring said bonded indebtedness set forth in such proposition shall stamp a cross (X) in the blank space opposite the word "YES" on the ballot to the right of said proposition, and to vote against said proposition and against incurring said indebtedness set forth therein shall stamp a cross (X) in the blank space opposite the word "NO" on the ballot to the right of said proposition. A cross (X) stamped in the voting square on the ballot after the word "YES" to the right of the proposition shall be counted as a vote in favor of the proposition and to authorize the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of and for the purpose specified therein, and a cross (X) stamped in the voting square after the word "NO" to the right of said proposition shall be counted as a vote against said proposition and a refusal to authorize the incurring of any bonded debt for the purpose specified therein.

*Where Voting Machines Are Used* at said special election, said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for said proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "YES" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and said voting

machines shall also be arranged so that any qualified elector may vote against said proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "NO" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, which said act shall constitute a vote against such proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same shall comply in all respects with the provisions of law.

Section 7. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest of said bonds, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco shall at the time of fixing the general tax levy and in the manner for such general tax levy provided, levy and collect annually each year until said bonds are paid, or until there shall be a sum in the treasury of said City and County set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for principal and interest on such bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds and also such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time of fixing the next general tax levy; provided that if the maturity of the indebtedness created by said issue of bonds be made to begin more than one year after the date of issuance of such bonds, such tax shall be levied and collected at the time and in the manner aforesaid annually each year sufficient to pay the interest on such indebtedness as it falls due, and also to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal thereof on or before maturity.

Section 8. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in the San Francisco Call-Bulletin, a newspaper of general circulation published in said City and County of San Francisco at least six (6) days a week (being the official newspaper of said City and County), and such publication shall constitute notice of said election. No other notice of the election hereby called need be given.

Recommended by Finance Committee, Alfred Roncovieri, Warren Shannon and George R. Reilly.

Recommended by Public Utilities Committee, Fred W. Meyer and Arthur M. Brown, Jr.

#### Motion.

Supervisor Roncovieri, seconded by Supervisor Reilly, moved final passage of ordinance.

#### Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Colman explained his vote at length, giving his reasons for voting against submission to the electors, the question of issuance of \$24,480,000 for the acquisition and rehabilitation of properties of the Market Street Railway Company.

**SUPERVISOR COLMAN:** Members of the Board, I am opposed to, and will vote against this piece of legislation for the following reasons:

First, I want to state on matters of bond issues, matters which vitally affect the people because when passed the placing of the interest and the amortization in the budget becomes absolutely necessary. I do not believe in the policy of allowing the—passing the buck, so to speak, to the public, particularly on a measure of which I do not approve. I believe—I look upon it as my duty in cases where the proposition to be voted upon does not measure up to the standard I have set, I look upon it as my duty to vote against the presentation of it. I think that our influence over matters of interest and bond issues is now, before it is presented to the people, because once it is passed and the matter is out of our hands it becomes a matter of the budget. So I am never impressed by the argument of passing to the public a proposal in which I do not agree, and I do not agree with this for these reasons: The Board has authorized the placing on the ballot of an amendment calling for the creation of a Traffic Com-



mission or Transportation Commission to whom will be entrusted the solving of the entire transportation problem. With this legislation in the immediate offing it does not seem logical to me that the Board should step into the problem at all at this time and attempt to solve the problem without having the benefit of the thoughts of this Commission, should it be created. Now, it is a part of the solution, namely, the combination of the two railroads. It is an important part of the transportation problem, but, in my opinion, it is by no means all of it, nor is it perhaps the most important part. This buying of the Market Street Railroad will not solve one of the most acute problems we have, namely, the presence daily of 200,000 automobiles in a very limited area of our city. I venture to say that in no other comparable city in this country, if anywhere, are there so many automobiles on so few streets and in such a very limited area, where there are so many automobiles cruising around during the busy period of each day, and this does not deal with that problem in any way, shape, or manner, and upon the solution of that problem does rest, in no small measure, the ultimate solution of our transportation problem.

I know I shall have to repeat, and I hope you will pardon me if some portion of this is repetition. There has been no cooperation in the conduct of our city's affairs with regard to the various elements which go to make up our traffic problem. This is not said in a critical way, but we have the conduct of our municipal railroad in the hands of our Traffic Commission, and the regulation of traffic in the hands of the Police Department, and we have any proposed change in streets in the hands of the Department of Public Works, and we have the signaling and that method of communication in the hands of our Chief Engineer, Mr. Wiley, and under these conditions it has been very difficult to have a solution that meets all of these particular problems, and we know very definitely that each one of these features has a bearing on the whole situation, and they are very closely interwoven together. In addition there is the pedestrian problem, which, with the completion of plan X terminal, is going to create a new problem.

Now, the creation of this Traffic Commission gives a natural solution to the situation, because it places one particular job with all of those functions right with them, and it is logical, in my opinion, to expect that they can present a solution to the people that will meet with their full support. It has been said that there will be a delay in the creation of this Commission. I cannot agree with that because today there is a wide difference of opinion as to the advantage of voting these bonds, and should they not be defeated a delay might ensue which might not occur if the Traffic Commission were to formulate a plan. You missed my first eloquent remarks, Supervisor, but I won't repeat them. It seems to me that if the Commission would formulate a plan taking into account all elements, all considerations of the problem, and if this plan goes to the various departments of the City government, where it must go under the terms of the amendment, and assuming that it should then meet with the approval of the Mayor and the various heads of department, it is my opinion that no matter what amount of money, within reason, would be involved in the solution, that that action would stand a much better chance of meeting the approval of the voters than this quickly considered proposal, which comes before us—after all, we received word of that proposal on Friday afternoon and the matter was to come before us on Monday.

I look upon this bond issue of \$24,000,000 in the broad sense as if it were a \$39,000,000 proposal, taking the figures of the Public Utilities Commission at their face value, which I do. It simply means, according to my interpretation, that the \$15,000,000 has not been taken from the amount but simply delayed. In other words, there is in the offing, you might say hanging over us, the probability of having to vote another \$15,000,000 in order to thoroughly repair the railroad.

Well, now, gentlemen, for those reasons—and furthermore, I recall to you that in Mr. Cahill's report he stated that this investment is not justifiable. Further, in Mr. Cahill's report, he states, and it cannot be denied, that the investment of this large sum of money will not solve the problem of four tracks on Market street, and will not bring about rapid transportation, which, after all, is the great need of the people of San Francisco. This will not take our people to their homes, according to Mr. Cahill, and will not bring them downtown any more quickly.

For those reasons I am in favor of not submitting it to the people and awaiting the creation of the Traffic Commission and their recommendations.

\* \* \* \* \*

**SUPERVISOR BROWN:** Mr. Chairman, in deference to your request I will be brief, but I just want to say, in response to Supervisor Colman's statement, that he overlooked entirely the fact that while the purchase of the Market Street Railway may not solve the question of four tracks on Market street, and may not solve the rapid transit problem, yet no solution of those two problems is possible at all until the Market Street Railway is purchased.

As I said before, in the course of the argument here during the debate on this question, we are not fixing the price by our action—we are fixing the maximum under which the road may be purchased, and even if we were fixing the price the thought paramount in my mind is not what San Francisco must pay for the Market Street Railway, but what San Francisco must pay in loss of population, loss of real estate taxation and other expenses in the event the railroad is not purchased.

#### Final Passage.

Whereupon the roll was called and the foregoing ordinance was *finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—8.

No—Supervisor Colman—1.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Uhl—2.

#### Adopted.

Supervisor Roncovieri, seconded by Supervisor Reilly, presented the following resolution, and moved its adoption:

**Registrar of Voters Directed to Submit Argument in Favor of Bond Issue in the Sum of \$24,480,000 for the Acquisition of the Properties of the Market Street Railway.**

(Code No. 3.02)

Resolution No. 4215, as follows:

Whereas, The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco has by ordinance called a special election to be held in said City and County on Tuesday, the 27th day of September, 1938, at which said special election there has been ordered submitted to the electors by the Board of Supervisors the matter of the incurring of a bonded indebtedness of \$24,480,000 for the acquisition and rehabilitation of the properties of the Market Street Railway Company; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That pursuant to the provisions of Section 183 of the Charter, the Registrar of Voters be, and he is hereby directed, to include a copy of printed argument favoring this Bond Issue, with each sample ballot mailed or delivered to the electors of the City and County.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Uhl—2.



**Approval of Arguments in Favor of Market Street Railway Bonds.**

Supervisor Roncovieri, seconded by Supervisor Reilly, moved that the Finance Committee be given authority to approve arguments in favor of Market Street Railway Bonds, and present them to the Registrar of Voters.

*Motion carried.*

**Approval of Argument in Favor of Charter Amendment to Provide for Creation of Traffic Commission.**

City Attorney O'Toole presented argument, signed by members of the Executive Committee of Committee on Rapid Transit, in favor of Charter Amendment to provide for the Creation of Traffic Commission.

**Motion.**

Whereupon, Supervisor Reilly, seconded by Supervisor Colman, moved that the argument presented by the City Attorney in support of proposed charter amendment, be made the argument of the Board of Supervisors.

*Motion carried.*

**Appointment of Citizens' Committee for Passage of Market Street Railway Bonds.**

Supervisor Reilly announced he had been informed that Judge Walter Perry Johnson would act as chairman of committee for the passage of Market Street Railway Bonds, and moved that his Honor the Mayor be requested to appoint a citizens' committee to work for the passage of the Bonds. Seconded by Supervisor Roncovieri.

*Motion carried.*

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 2:40 p. m., adjourned.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors September 12, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, David A. Barry, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journals of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

DAVID A. BARRY,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.





SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

Tuesday, September 6, 1938

Wednesday, September 7, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.

Journal of Proceedings  
Board of Supervisors

of the County of Santa Clara, California



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

---

TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 6, 1938—2 P. M.

---

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Tuesday, September 6, 1938,  
2 p. m.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Ratto, Reilly, Uhl—4.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—7.

No quorum.

Supervisor Ratto presiding, on motion by Supervisor Colman.

## Receipt of Bids for Purchase of \$3,500,000 Tax Anticipation Notes—3 P. M.

The Clerk announced receipt of bids for purchase of \$3,500,000 Tax Anticipation Notes from Bank of America and from Weeden & Company.

## Opinion From City Attorney.

Supervisor Colman requested an opinion from the City Attorney as to the action the Board should take, due to lack of a quorum.

Whereupon, Assistant City Attorney Walter Dold advised the Board that the only thing that could be done would be to adjourn from day to day until a quorum is present.

## ADJOURNMENT.

Thereupon, the Board, at the hour of 3:05 p. m., on motion by Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, adjourned until Wednesday, September 7, 1938, at 2 p. m.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.

---

WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 7, 1938—2 P. M.

---

The Board of Supervisors met in adjourned meeting.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Ratto presiding, on motion by Supervisor Brown.

Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Roncovieri, Schmidt and Shannon excused.

## Sale of \$3,500,000 of Tax Anticipation Notes.

Sealed bids for the purchase of Tax Anticipation Notes issued by the City and County of San Francisco, pursuant to Ordinance No.

9.03310, in the amount of three million five hundred thousand dollars (\$3,500,000) were received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Tuesday, September 6, 1938, and were opened by said Board at said time.

The Tax Anticipation Notes are described as follows, to-wit:

Notes in the amount of three million five hundred thousand dollars (\$3,500,000) in denominations of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) each, to be dated as of the day of delivery thereof and to be payable to bearer on December 21, 1938, and issued under authority of Ordinance No. 9.03310 and payable exclusively out of taxes levied by said City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1938-1939 without preference or priority of any one note over any other note. All of said notes shall constitute a first lien and charge against said taxes collected during the half of the fiscal year 1938-1939 in which said money represented by said notes respectively shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes and before any part thereof is used for any other purpose. Any of said notes not paid at maturity shall nevertheless be paid out of moneys received from the taxes for said fiscal year 1938-1939 irrespective of the date the same shall be so received.

Said notes shall bear interest at the rate or rates not to exceed six (6) per cent per annum as shall be named by the bidder, said interest to be paid at maturity of said notes.

The said notes will be sold and awarded to the bidder or bidders offering to purchase the same at the lowest rate or rates of interest computed from the date fixed for the presentation of bids to December 21, 1938. If two or more bidders offer to purchase said notes at the same lowest rate or rates of interest, the Board of Supervisors shall determine which bid shall be accepted. Interest shall be computed on the basis of three hundred sixty-five (365) days per year.

The right is reserved by the Board of Supervisors to reject any or all bids.

All proposals for the purchase of said notes shall be accompanied by a deposit of five (5) per cent of the amount of the bid in lawful money of the United States, or by the deposit of a certified check or cashier's check for said five (5) per cent payable to David A. Barry, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, provided that no deposit need exceed the sum of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000); which deposit of money or check shall be forfeited by the bidder in case he fails to accept and pay for the notes bid for by him if his bid is accepted.

The approval of Messrs. Orrick, Dahlquist, Neff & Herrington, attorneys at law, San Francisco, California, as to the legality of the aforesaid notes will be furnished to the successful bidder or bidders for said notes without cost.

This notice is given pursuant to direction of Resolution No. 4211 of the Board of Supervisors, adopted Monday, August 29, 1938.

#### Bids.

The following bids were received, opened, read and *referred to Committee of the Whole*:

Bankamerica Company, American Trust Company, Anglo California National Bank, by Bankamerica Company. For the \$3,500,000 par value Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco, as advertised in your regular printed notice of sale, we hereby bid you par and accrued interest to the date of delivery.

The notes above bid for are more particularly described as follows: \$3,500,000 par value Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco, California; of the denomination of \$10,000 each; said notes to be dated as of the day of delivery thereof and to be payable to bearer on December 21, 1938; said notes to bear interest at the rate



of one and twenty hundredths per centum (1.20%) per annum; said interest to be paid at maturity of said notes.

Weeden & Co. We will pay you par and accrued interest to date of delivery for \$3,500,000 par value of Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco to bear interest at the rate of eight tenths of one per cent (.80%) per annum.

#### Committee of the Whole.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors resolved itself into a Committee of the Whole, with Supervisor Ratto in the chair, for the purpose of giving consideration to the bids presented.

Subsequently the Committee of the Whole arose and recommended the following resolution, which was *adopted* by the following vote:

#### Sale of \$3,500,000 of Tax Anticipation Notes.

(Code No. 9.033)

Resolution No. 4232, as follows:

Whereas, after due notice given as provided by Ordinance No. 9.03310 that sealed proposals for the purchase of three million five hundred thousand (\$3,500,000) dollars Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco would be received up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Tuesday, September 6, 1938; and

Whereas, two bids were received and opened in accordance with the aforesaid notice of sale, and the same having been duly considered; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the bid of Weeden & Co. is hereby accepted, to-wit:

"We will pay you par and accrued interest to date of delivery for \$3,500,000 par value of Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco, to bear interest at the rate of eight tenths of one per cent (.08%) per annum.

"These notes are as described in your notice of sale, to be due and payable on December 21st, 1938, and to be accompanied with the approving legal opinion of Messrs. Orrick, Dahlquist, Neff and Herrington.

"In accordance with your notice we enclose good faith check for \$10,000.00.

"Yours faithfully, Weeden & Co. by (Signed)

"Vernon Kimball."

The Clerk is directed to return the check to the unsuccessful bidder.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

##### Finally Passed.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up.

#### Authorizing Sale of City-Owned Land on Dartmouth Street.

(Code No. 12.1723)

Bill No. 1685, Ordinance No. 12.17232, as follows:

Authorizing sale of city-owned land on Dartmouth street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the northeasterly line of Dartmouth street, distant thereon 75 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Woolsey street; running thence southeasterly along the northeasterly line of Dartmouth street, 65 feet; thence at a right angle

northeasterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 65 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet to the northeasterly line of Dartmouth street and the point of commencement.

Section 2. The above described land shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Form Approved: Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved: Joseph J. Phillips, Director of Property.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

**Amendment to Annual Salary Ordinance, Section 5, Assessor, Salary Restoration, Item 31, in Accordance with City Attorney's Opinion.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1686, Ordinance No. 9.053147, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 5 of Ordinance 9.053128 by changing the compensation under Item 31\* from 1, B512, General Clerk-Typist, at \$150, to 1, B512, General Clerk-Typist, at \$160.

The effective date of this ordinance retroactive to July 1, 1938.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 5 of Ordinance 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 5. ASSESSOR**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Assessor .....	\$ 666.66
2	1	B52	Executive Secretary .....	250
3	1	B120	Director, Accounts and Records, Assessor's Office .....	300
5	1	B222	General Clerk .....	200
6	1	B418	Confidential Secretary (Ex. Sec. 28)....	200
7	7	B222	General Clerk .....	190
8	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	300
9	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	190
10	1	B101	Supervisor Personal Property Records, Assessor's Office .....	200
11	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	300
12	1	B235	Director of Service .....	250
13	1	B242	Blockbook Draftsman .....	225
14	1	B302	Addressing Machine Operator .....	155
15	2	B310a	Tabulating Alphabetic Key Punch Operator .....	155
16	1	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator .....	165
16½	1	B352	Storekeeper (part time).....	79.50
17	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
18	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
19	2	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
20	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	190
21	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
22	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman.....	200
23	1	G4	Supervising Land Appraiser .....	300
24	1	G5	Chief Land Appraiser .....	330
25	3	G10	Supervising Building Appraiser .....	300
25½	1	G10	Supervising Building Appraiser.....	250
26	1	G11	Chief Building Appraiser .....	330



**Section 5. ASSESSOR (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
27	5	G16	Supervising Personal Property Appraisers . . . . .	300
28	1	G17	Chief Personal Property Appraiser . . . . .	330
30*	10	B222	General Clerks . . . . .	160
31*	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	160
32			Seasonal Clerical Services and other temporary services at rates not in excess of salary standardization schedules.	

\*Occupants of these positions are paid from appropriations for temporary services and have acquired permanent status under the rule of the Civil Service Commission adopted pursuant to Section 148 of the Charter.

Approved, Civil Service Commission, by W. E. Henderson.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shan-non—5.

**Amendment Salary Ordinance, Section 33, Recorder, Salary Restoration in Accordance with City Attorney's Opinion.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1687, Ordinance No. 9.053148, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 33 of Ordinance 9.053128 by increasing the number of employments under Item 9 from 14 to 15, B512, General Clerk-Typist, at \$200, and by deleting Item 10, 1, B512, General Clerk-Typist at \$175.

The effective date of this ordinance retroactive to July 1, 1938.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 33 of Ordinance 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 33. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS—  
RECORDER**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B80	Chief Clerk . . . . .	\$ 300
2	1	B81	Recorder . . . . .	666.66
3	1	B102	Teller . . . . .	210
3½	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	175
4	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	199
5	5	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	200
6	3	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	215
7	2	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	215
8	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	200
9	15	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	200
11	6	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	155
12	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	165

Approved, Civil Service Commission, by W. E. Henderson.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shan-non—5.

**Amendment Salary Ordinance, Section 73, San Francisco Water Department, Salary Restoration in Accordance with City Attorney's Opinion.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1688, Ordinance No. 9.053149, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 73 of Ordinance 9.053128 by increasing the number of employments under Item 43 from 6 to 7, U122, Shut-off Man, at \$175, and by deleting Item 43½, 1, U122, Shut-off Man, at \$160; by decreasing the number of employments under Item 5 from 3 to 2, B6, Senior Bookkeeper, at \$190, and by adding Item 4½, 1, B6, Senior Bookkeeper, at \$200, which Item 4½ to be effective as of July 1, 1938.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 73 of Ordinance 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 73. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT**

**1. EXECUTIVE**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	\$ 175
2	1	U44	Manager, Water Department .....	833.33

**2. ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL**

3	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	175
4	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	275
4½	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	200
5	2	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	190
6	1	B14	Senior Accountant .....	400
7	1	B24	Auditor .....	700
8	1	B109	Cashier .....	325
9	1	B210	Office Assistant .....	85
10	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	180
11	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
12	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	160
13	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155

**3. WATER PURIFICATION DIVISION**

14	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
15	1	F524	Water Purification Engineer .....	200
16	1	F524	Water Purification Engineer .....	180
17	3	F524	Water Purification Engineer .....	175
18	1	F526	Chief Water Purification Engineer.....	350
19	1	U215	Head Pump Operator (deduct room) ..	160

**4. ENGINEERING**

20	1	B222	General Clerk .....	175
21	1	F2	Assistant Engineer—Water Service ....	500
22	1	F408	Hydraulic Engineer .....	375

**5. HOUSE SERVICE**

23	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	165
24	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
25	1	C52	Elevator Operator .....	160
26	4	C104	Janitor .....	155
27	1	C107	Working Foreman Janitor .....	175
28	1	I122	House Mother (part time).....	75

**6. AGRICULTURAL DIVISION**

29	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	165
30	1	V30	Assistant Superintendent .....	200
31	1	V40	Superintendent .....	600



**Section 73. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued)**

**7. WATER SALES DIVISION**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
32	1	B210	Office Assistant .....	85
33	1	B210	Office Assistant .....	95
34	1	B223	Senior Clerk .....	200
35	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	250
36	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	160
37	1	N420	Consumers Complaint Investigator ....	225
38	1	U80	Assistant Manager .....	375
39	1	U88	Manager ..	475

**8. SERVICE AND SUPPLY**

40	3	B222	General Clerk .....	175
40½	1	B222	General Clerk .....	155
41	1	U61	Supervisor Service and Supply .....	225
42	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	180
43	7	U122	Shut-off Man .....	175
44	1	U123	Service Inspector .....	185
45	1	U124	Special Complaint Inspector .....	200
46	1	U126	Meter Inspector .....	175
47	1	U132	Contractor's and Builder's Inspector....	225

Approved, Civil Service Commission, by W. E. Henderson.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shan-non—5.

**Amendment Salary Ordinance, Section 26, Juvenile Court, Probation Office. To Correct Error, in Title of Position, Item 15.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1689, Ordinance No. 9.053149, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 26 of Ordinance 9.053128 by changing the class title under Item 15 from 1, T57, Psychiatric Probation Officer, at \$180 to 1, T57, Psychiatric Social Service Investigator, at \$180.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 26 of Ordinance 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 26. JUVENILE COURT—PROBATION OFFICE**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	\$ 225
2	1	B4	Bookkeeper ..	175
3	1	B254	Interpreter-Typist .....	210
4	4	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	150
5	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	185
6	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	160
7	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
8	1	L404	Psychologist . . .	180
9	1	T74	Collector, Juvenile Court .....	180
10	1	T56	Probation Officer .....	225
11	8	T56	Probation Officer .....	210
12	2	T56	Probation Officer ..	195
13	1	T56	Probation Officer ..	185
14	2	T56	Probation Officer ..	180
15	1	T57	Psychiatric Social Service Investigator	180
16	1	T60	Senior Probation Officer .....	240

## Section 26. JUVENILE COURT—PROBATION OFFICE (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
17	1	T60	Senior Probation Officer .....	235
18	1	T60	Senior Probation Officer .....	215
19	1	T64	Referee (part time) .....	250
20	1	T72	Chief Juvenile Probation Officer .....	380
21	1	B420	Phonographic Reporter (as needed), \$12.50 per day plus transcriptions.	

Approved, Civil Service Commission, by W. E. Henderson.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Uhl—6.

\* Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shan-non—5.

**Amendment Salary Ordinance, Section 67, Controller. Transfer Employees, Item 5, to Public Welfare Department.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1690, Ordinance No. 9.053151, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 67 of Ordinance 9.053128 by decreasing the number of employments under Item 5 from 8 to 7, B4, Bookkeeper, at \$175.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 67 of Ordinance 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

## Section 67. CONTROLLER

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Controller .....	\$ 833.33
3	6	B4	Bookkeeper .....	185
4	4	B4	Bookkeeper .....	180
5	7	B4	<b>Bookkeeper</b> .....	175
6	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	225
7	3	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	210
8	4	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	200
9	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	190
10	1	B7	Asst. Supervisor of Disbursements.....	240
10½	1	B7	Asst. Supervisor of Disbursements.....	225
11	1	B8	Supervisor of Disbursements.....	275
12	1	B10	Accountant .....	225
13	1	B14	Senior Accountant .....	325
14	2	B14	Senior Accountant .....	285
15	1	B21	Chief Assistant Controller.....	625
16	1	B26	Supervisor of Budget Statistics .....	250
17	1	B28	Supervisor of General Audits .....	400
18	1	B30	Supervisor of Utilities Audits .....	400
19	1	B55	Supervisor of Pay Rolls .....	325
20	2	B210	Office Assistant (part time).....	79.50
21	3	B222	General Clerk .....	200
22	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
23	2	B222	General Clerk .....	185
24	2	B222	General Clerk .....	175
25	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	250
26	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	200
26½	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	175
27	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	210
28	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	300
29	2	B234	Head Clerk .....	225
30	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	240
30½	1	B237	Tax Redemption Clerk.....	200



**Section 67. CONTROLLER (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
31	1	B301	Pay Roll Machine Operator.....	190
32	3	B301	Pay Roll Machine Operator.....	175
33	3	B301	Pay Roll Machine Operator.....	165
34	2	B302	Addressing Machine Operator.....	155
35	1	B310b	Tabulating Numerical Key Punch Operator .....	175
36	1	B310b	Tabulating Numerical Key Punch Operator .....	155
37	1	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator.....	175
38	3	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator.....	165
39	1	B312	Senior Bookkeeping Machine Operator..	185
40	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
41	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
42	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
43	1	B417	Executive Secretary to the Controller..	250
44	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator.....	155
44½	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator (part time) .....	75
45	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
45½	3	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	155
46	1	K6	Senior Attorney—Civil .....	400
47			Seasonal, Clerical and other Temporary Services (as needed) at rates not in excess of Salary Standardization Schedules.	

Field Bookkeepers or Accountants (Construction Work outside S. F.) (as needed) at rates fixed in Salary Standardization Report.

Approved, Civil Service Commission, by W. E. Henderson.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

**Amendment Salary Ordinance, Section 66, Public Welfare Department. Transfer Employee, Item 1, from Controller.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1691, Ordinance No. 9.053152, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 66 of Ordinance 9.053128 by increasing the number of employments under Item 1 from 1 to 2, B4, Bookkeeper, at \$175.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 66 of Ordinance 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 66. PUBLIC WELFARE DEPARTMENT****INDIGENT RELIEF DIVISION**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	2	B4	Bookkeeper .....	\$ 175
2	1	B210	Office Assistant .....	85
3	5	B222	General Clerks .....	150
4	1	B239	Statistician .....	180
5	16	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	150
6	18	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	150

## Section 66. PUBLIC WELFARE DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
7	1	L360	Physician . . . . .	150
8	32	T152	Junior Social Service Investigator . . . . .	150
9	1	T158	Supervisor of Inquiries . . . . .	180
10	3	T161	Case Supervisors . . . . .	225
11	1	T163	Director of Public Welfare . . . . .	500
12	1	T166	Director of Indigent Relief . . . . .	250
13	1	T218	Supervisor Single Men's Registry . . . . .	180

Approved, Civil Service Commission, by W. E. Henderson.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

**Amendment Salary Ordinance, Section 74, Water Department,  
Readjustment Salaries, in Accordance with Present Occupants.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1692, Ordinance No. 9.053153, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 74 of Ordinance 9.053128 by decreasing the number of employments under Item 24 from 13 to 12, B222, General Clerk, at \$175, and by increasing the number of employments under Item 27 from 11 to 12, B222, General Clerk, at \$155.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 74 of Ordinance 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 74. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued)**

**9. CONSUMERS' PREMISES**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	4	U126	Meter Inspector . . . . .	\$ 175
1½	1	U126	Meter Inspector . . . . .	160
2	1	U128	Chief Meter Inspector . . . . .	215

**10. WATER SALES DIVISION—  
METER READING**

3	7	B247	Meter Reader . . . . .	175
4	8	B247	Meter Reader . . . . .	155

**11. CONSUMERS' ACCOUNTS**

6	4	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	175
7	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	165
8	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	160
9	9	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	155
10	5	B222	General Clerk (part time) . . . . .	75
11	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	215
12	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	200
13	3	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	180
14	1	B302	Addressing Machine Operator . . . . .	160
15	1	B302	Addressing Machine Operator . . . . .	155
16	12	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator . . . . .	175
17	5	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	155
18	1	U56	Assistant Supervisor — Consumers' Accounts . . . . .	290
19	1	U60	Supervisor Consumers' Accounts . . . . .	350
20	1	U62	Supervisor of Closing Bills . . . . .	225
21	1	U63	Chief Adjuster . . . . .	225



## Section 74. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued)

12. WATER SALES DIVISION—  
COLLECTIONS

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
22	1	B222	General Clerk .....	200
23	1	B222	General Clerk .....	180
24	12	B222	General Clerk .....	175
25	6	B222	General Clerk .....	165
26	1	B222	General Clerk .....	160
27	12	B222	General Clerk .....	155
28	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	200
29	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	250
30	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	165
31	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
32	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	155
33	1	U52	Supervisor of Collections .....	300

## 13. DOCKS AND SHIPPING

34	1	B222	General Clerk .....	175
35	1	U51	Supervisor Docks and Shipping .....	275
36	1	U125	Hoseman—Ships and Docks .....	190
37	1	U125	Hoseman—Ships and Docks .....	160

14. CITY DISTRIBUTION DIVISION—  
GENERAL

38	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	180
39	1	B356	Senior Storekeeper .....	265
40	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	160
41	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	155
42	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	165
43	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	175
44	1	O58	Gardener .....	145
45	2	O58	Gardener .....	135
45½	1	O60	Head Gardener .....	150
46	1	U130	Reservoir Keeper .....	165
47	1	U130	Reservoir Keeper (deduct for house)...	165
48	2	U130	Reservoir Keeper (deduct for house)...	160
49	1	U142	Assistant Superintendent .....	350
50	1	U144	Superintendent .....	500

## 15. PUMPS

51	1	O166	Fireman Stationary Steam Engines....	165
52	3	O166	Fireman Stationary Steam Engines....	175
53	4	O166	Fireman Stationary Steam Engines....	185
54	4	O168	Engineer Stationary Steam Engines....	220
55	1	O170	Assistant Chief Engineer—Stationary Steam Engines .....	235

## 16. PUMPS—PENINSULA DIVISION

56	1	O166	Fireman Stationary Steam Engines (de- duct for house) .....	185
57	1	O166	Fireman Stationary Steam Engines....	185
58	1	O172	Chief Engineer Stationary Steam En- gines .....	265
59	4	U214	Pump Operator .....	165
60	1	U214	Pump Operator (deduct for house) ....	165
61	1	U215	Head Pump Operator .....	200
62	1	U215	Head Pump Operator (deduct for house)	200

Approved, Civil Service Commission, by W. E. Henderson.

Finally passed by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shan-  
non—5.

**Amendment Salary Ordinance, Section 69, General Office, Public Utilities Commission. Reclassification of Positions, Items 13 and 14.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1693, Ordinance No. 9.053154, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 69 of Ordinance 9.053128 by changing the class number and class title under Item 13 from 1, S110, Inspector, Municipal Railway, at \$180, to 1, S114, Claims Investigator, at \$180, and by changing the class number and class title under Item 14 from 1, S110, Inspector, Municipal Railway at \$200, to 1, S114, Claims Investigator, at \$200.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 69 of Ordinance 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 69. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—GENERAL OFFICE**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	5		Commissioners .....	\$ 100
2	1		Manager of Utilities .....	1,000
3	1	B22	Asst. Supervisor, Bureau of Accounts, Utilities Commission .....	410
4	1	B60	Secretary, Public Utilities Commission..	300
5	1	B53	Director of Public Relations .....	400
6	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
7	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
8	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	165
9	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
10	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
11	1	G106	Claims Adjuster .....	350
11½	1	L360	Physician (part time) .....	250
12	1	O1	Chauffeur .....	187.50
13	1	S114	Claims Investigator .....	180
14	1	S114	Claims Investigator .....	200

Approved, Civil Service Commission, by W. E. Henderson.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Uhl—6.  
Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

**Authorizing a Supplemental Appropriation of \$300 out of the Surplus Existing in Appropriation No. 830.101.00 to the Credit of Appropriation No. 830.101.00 for the Purpose of Providing Sufficient Funds to Pay the Compensation of Eugene McCormick, Employed in the Office of the Recorder, for the Fiscal Year 1938-1939.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1694, Ordinance No. 9.051511, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$300 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 830.101.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 830.101.00 for the purpose of providing sufficient funds to pay the compensation of Eugene McCormick, employed in the office of the Recorder, for the fiscal year 1938-1939.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$300 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 830.101.00 to the credit



of Appropriation No. 830.101.00 for the purpose of providing sufficient funds to pay the compensation of Eugene McCormick for the fiscal year 1938-1939.

Section 2. The purpose of this ordinance is to provide sufficient funds for the fiscal year 1938-1939 to pay the compensation of this individual at the rate he was receiving on January 1, 1931, in accordance with the City Attorney's opinion of July 20, 1938.

Approved as to form: John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended: Arthur E. Curtis, Director of Finance and Records.

Funds available: H. J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved: Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved: Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shan-non—5.

**Authorizing Supplemental Appropriation of \$1,500 from the County Road Fund to the Credit of Appropriation 848.942.00 for City Aid Necessary for Street Construction Work in Athens Street Between Excelsior and Avalon Avenues; said Work to be Performed Under the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1695, Ordinance No. 9.051512, as follows:

Authorizing supplemental appropriation of \$1,500 from the County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 848.942.00 for City aid necessary for street construction work in Athens street between Excelsior and Avalon avenues; said work to be performed under the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$1,500 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 848.942.00 for City aid necessary for street construction work on Athens street between Excelsior and Avalon avenues; said work to be performed under the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934.

Section 111 of the Charter provides that when street assessments are authorized to be paid in installments over a period not to exceed ten years, no annual installment payment shall exceed twenty-five per cent of the assessed value of the land against which the assessment is levied; therefore, this appropriation is necessary to make the assessment valid.

Recommended by: S. J. Hester, Director Department of Public Works.

Approved: Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available: Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved: Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to form: John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shan-non—5.

**Authorizing a Supplemental Appropriation of \$16,989.32 to the Credit of the Department of Public Health for the Purpose of Paying Sick Leave Allowances to Employees and to Provide Funds to Pay for the Maintenance of Feeble-Minded in State Institutions.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1696, Ordinance No. 9.051513, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$16,989.32 to the credit of the Department of Public Health for the purpose of paying sick

leave allowances to employees and to provide funds to pay for the maintenance of feeble-minded in state institutions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$16,989.32 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of Appropriation No. 700,000.00 to the credit of the following appropriations, for the purposes and in the amounts enumerated:

750.252.01	Maintenance of Feeble-minded in State Institutions . . . . .	\$13,329.32
750.102.50	Central Office—Sick Leave . . . . .	409.00
754.102.50	Emergency Hospital—Sick Leave . . . . .	264.00
751.102.50	Laguna Honda Home—Sick Leave . . . . .	618.00
753.102.50	San Francisco Hospital—Sick Leave . . . . .	2,364.00
752.102.50	Isolation Hospital—Sick Leave . . . . .	5.00

Total . . . . . \$16,989.32

Approved as to form: John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended: Director of Public Health.

Funds available: H. J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved: Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved: Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

#### Finally Passed.

The following recommendations of Streets Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

#### Accepting the Roadway of Sweeny Street, Between Bowdoin Street and the Westerly Line of Colby Street Extended.

(Code No. 12.0811)

Bill No. 1674, Ordinance No. 12.081141, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Sweeny street, between Bowdoin street and the westerly line of Colby street extended, including the intersection of Dartmouth street, Dunsmuir street and Colby street, with Sweeny street, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Sweeny street, between Bowdoin street and the westerly line of Colby street extended, including the intersection of Dartmouth street, Dunsmuir street, and Colby street, with Sweeny street, including the curbs.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

#### Action Postponed.

At request of Supervisor Uhl, consideration of the following bills, providing for changes of sidewalk widths, was *postponed to September 12, 1938*:



**Changing Walk Widths on Jessie Street Between Seventh Street  
and a Point 550 Feet Southerly.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Bill No. 1675, Ordinance No. 12.0731126, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Ten (1210).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office August 16, 1938, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Ten (1210) to read as follows:

Section 1210. The width of sidewalks on Jessie street between Seventh street and a point 280 feet southwesterly therefrom shall be 3 feet 6 inches.

The width of sidewalks on Jessie street between points respectively, 280 feet and 550 feet southwesterly from Seventh street, shall be 4 feet 6 inches.

**Changing Walk Widths on Jessie Street Between Fourth and  
Fifth Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1676, Ordinance No. 12.0731127, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Nine (1209).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office August 16, 1938, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Nine (1209), to read as follows:

Section 1209: The width of sidewalks on Jessie street between Fourth and Fifth streets shall be 6 feet.

**Changing Sidewalk Widths on Merlin Street Between Harrison  
Street and Its Southeasterly Termination.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1677, Ordinance No. 12.0731128, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Eight (1208).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office August 16, 1938, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Eight (1208), to read as follows:

Section 1208: The width of sidewalks on Merlin street between Harrison street and its southeasterly termination shall be 4 feet.

**Changing Sidewalk Widths on Ninth Street Between Market and  
Division Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1678, Ordinance No. 12.0731129, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of

Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Two Hundred and Twenty-nine (229) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office July 28, 1938, by amending Section Two Hundred and Twenty-nine (229) thereof to read as follows:

*Section 229:* The width of sidewalks on Ninth street between Market and Bryant streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Ninth street, the southwesterly side of, between Bryant and Brannan streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Ninth street, the northeasterly side of, between Bryant and Brannan streets shall be abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Ninth street, the northeasterly side of, between Brannan and Division streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Ninth street, the southwesterly side of, between Brannan street and a point 8 feet southeasterly from Brannan street shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Ninth street, the southwesterly side of, between a point 8 feet southeasterly from Brannan street and Division street shall be abolished.

#### **Changing Sidewalk Widths of Steuart Street Between Mission and Howard Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1679, Ordinance No. 12.0731130, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Two Hundred and Thirty-four (234) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office August 15, 1938, by amending Section Two Hundred and Thirty-four (234) thereof, to read as follows:

*Section 234:* The width of sidewalks on Steuart street between Market and Mission streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Steuart street between Mission and Howard streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Steuart street between Howard and Folsom streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Steuart street, the southwesterly side of, between Folsom and Harrison streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Steuart street, the northeasterly side of, between Folsom street and its southeasterly termination shall be 8 feet.

#### **Changing Sidewalk Widths of Spear Street Between Mission and Howard Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1680, Ordinance No. 12.0731131, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Two Hundred and Thirty-five (235) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in



accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office August 15, 1938, by amending Section Two Hundred and Thirty-five (235) thereof, to read as follows:

*Section 235:* The width of sidewalks on Spear street between Market and Mission streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Spear street between Mission and Howard streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Spear street between Howard and Harrison streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Spear street between Harrison and Bryant streets shall be abolished.

#### **Changing Widths of Sidewalks of Townsend Street Between First and Fourth Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1681, Ordinance No. 12.0731132, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section One Hundred and Fifty-five (155) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

*Section 1.* Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office August 15, 1938, by amending Section One Hundred and Fifty-five (155) thereof to read as follows:

*Section 155:* The width of sidewalks on Townsend street between First and Third streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the northwesterly side of, between Third and Fourth streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the southeasterly side of, between Fourth street and a point 366 feet northeasterly therefrom shall be 8 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the southeasterly side of, between points 366 feet and 396 feet northeasterly therefrom shall be abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the southeasterly side of, between points 396 feet and 593 feet northeasterly from Fourth street shall be 8 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the southeasterly side of, between points 613.5 feet and 735.25 feet northeasterly from Fourth street shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the southeasterly side of, between points 593 feet and 613.5 feet northeasterly from Fourth street shall be the width between the property line and a reverse curve connecting the previously described widths at said points.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the southeasterly side of, between points 742.5 feet and 767.5 feet northeasterly from Fourth street shall be 12 feet 6 inches.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the southeasterly side of, between points 735.25 feet and 742.5 feet northeasterly from Fourth street shall be the width between the property line and a reverse curve connecting the previously described widths at said points.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the southeasterly side of, between a point 775 feet northeasterly from Fourth street and Third street shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the southeasterly side of, between points 767.5 feet and 775 feet northeasterly from Fourth street shall be the width between the property line and a reverse curve connecting the previously described widths at said points.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the northwesterly side of, between Fourth and Fifth streets shall be 2 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the southeasterly side of, between Fourth and Fifth streets shall be abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street between Fifth and Division streets shall be abolished.

**Changing Width of Sidewalks of Oak Grove Street Between Harrison and Bryant Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1682, Ordinance No. 12.0731133, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section One Hundred and Twelve (112) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office August 15, 1938, by amending Section One Hundred and Twelve (112) thereof, to read as follows:

*Section 112:* The width of sidewalks on Oak Grove street between Harrison and Bryant streets shall be 7 feet.

**Changing Sidewalk Width of Union Street Between Montgomery and Sansome Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1683, Ordinance No. 12.0731134, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Eleven (1211).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office August 16, 1938, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Eleven (1211), to read as follows:

*Section 1211:* The width of sidewalks on Union street between Sansome and Montgomery streets shall be as shown on that certain map, titled "Map showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of walks on Union street between Montgomery and Sansome streets and on Calhoun street southerly from Union street."

**Changing Sidewalk Widths on Calhoun Street Between Union and Green Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1684, Ordinance No. 12.0731135, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Twelve (1212).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office August 16, 1938, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Twelve (1212), to read as follows:



**Section 1212:** The width of sidewalks on Calhoun street between Union and Green streets shall be as shown on that certain map, titled "Map showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of walks on Union street between Montgomery and Sansome streets, and on Calhoun street southerly from Union street."

### Finally Passed.

The following recommendations of Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee were taken up:

### **Adding Section 133-D to Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), "Building Law," Relating to Dwellings of Reinforced Concrete.**

(Code No. 11.08)

Bill No. 1697, Ordinance No. 11.0826, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), entitled, "Regulating the Construction, Erection, Enlargement, Raising, Alteration, Repair, Removal, Maintenance, Use and Height of Buildings; Regulating Character and Use of Materials in and for Buildings; Establishing Fire Limits and Repealing All Ordinances in Conflict With This Ordinance," by adding thereto a new section to be known as Section 133-D, relating to dwellings of reinforced concrete.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto a new section to be known as Section 133-D, and to read as follows:

**Section 113-D—Dwellings of Reinforced Concrete:** Dwellings of reinforced concrete, one or two stories in height, with or without basements, may be constructed with bearing or partition walls of solid monolithic reinforced concrete, or of pre-cast hollow concrete masonry units reinforced after assembly, or of various combinations thereof, subject to the following requirements:

Solid monolithic reinforced concrete bearing walls shall have a minimum thickness of six (6) inches for one-story dwellings. For two-story dwellings the first story walls shall have a minimum thickness of eight (8) inches, and the second story walls a minimum thickness of six (6) inches. Basement walls shall have a minimum thickness of eight (8) inches, and shall be of reinforced concrete.

When the total length of all openings in any story exceeds fifty (50) per cent of the total length of wall, the minimum thickness of wall shall be eight (8) inches.

The minimum width of wall between adjacent openings shall be twelve (12) inches, and the minimum width between any opening and the end of a wall or to an offset shall be eighteen (18) inches; except when adequate additional reinforcing is provided.

Pre-cast hollow concrete masonry units shall be made from Portland cement and suitable aggregates such as sand, gravel, crushed stone, cinders, burned clay or shale and blast furnace slag. The average compressive strength, in pounds per square inch of gross cross-sectional area as laid in the wall, shall be not less than the following:

<i>Minimum Face Shell</i>	<i>Compressive Strength</i>	
<i>Thickness in Inches</i>	<i>Mean of 5 Tests — Individual Minimum</i>	
1¼ or over	700	600
Under 1¼ and over ¾	1000	800

Units which will be exposed to the weather or soil in the finished work, without brick, stucco or other protective covering not less than one-half (½) inch thick, shall not absorb more than fifteen (15) pounds of water per cubic foot of concrete actually contained. The ratio of cellular space to total volume of any individual unit shall not exceed forty-five (45) per cent.

The working stresses in hollow concrete masonry bearing walls laid end construction in lime-cement and cement mortars shall not exceed the following values, applied on the net area except as noted:

	<i>Lime-Cement Mortar</i>	<i>Cement Mortar</i>
Compression in flexure.....	140	160
Tension . . . . .	10	12
Shear . . . . .	10	12
Direct compression over gross area..	70	80

Continuous reinforced bond beams shall be located at every floor and at roof line, and bond columns shall be located at every corner and at intermediate points in the wall not exceeding twelve (12) feet. Such bond beams and columns shall not be less in thickness than the thickness of the wall, and shall have a minimum depth of eight (8) inches, reinforced with at least four (4) one-half ( $\frac{1}{2}$ ) inch round deformed bars, the bars being tied together with metal ties not less than one-fourth ( $\frac{1}{4}$ ) inch in diameter nor more than twelve (12) inches on centers.

Systems of bearing wall construction wherein reinforced concrete studs or columns, 4-inch by 4-inch minimum size, are formed along the length of the wall by filling the cells of hollow masonry units at intervals of four (4) feet or less, shall have the studs reinforced with the equivalent of four (4) three-eighths ( $\frac{3}{8}$ ) inch round bars, properly tied and bonded, in each four (4) foot length of wall, and at all corners, adequately anchored to the wall footings and to horizontal bond beams at floors and at roof line.

Bearing walls of concrete masonry units complying with the above requirements shall have a minimum thickness of eight (8) inches. Basement walls of masonry units shall be of a minimum thickness of eight (8) inches for one-story dwellings, and ten (10) inches for two-story dwellings.

Walls below grade shall be laid in cement mortar and all cells shall be filled solid with concrete.

Non-bearing partition walls of reinforced concrete or concrete masonry units shall have a minimum thickness of four (4) inches.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shan-non—5.

#### Amending Sections 46 and 48 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), "Building Law."

(Code No. 11.08)

Also, Bill No. 1700, Ordinance No. 11.0827, as follows:

Amending Sections 46 and 48 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), entitled, "Regulating the Construction, Erection, Enlargement, Raising, Alteration, Repair, Removal, Maintenance, Use and Height of Building; Regulating Character and Use of Materials in and for Buildings, Establishing Fire Limits and Repealing All Ordinances in Conflict with This Ordinance," in Section 46 as to second paragraph thereof governing ultimate strength of structural steel and in Section 48 as to paragraph "A" thereof, relating to permissible tension on rolled steel, and as to paragraph "C" thereof, relating to permissible stresses in bending steel.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 46 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

All structural steel used in buildings shall be free from seams, flaws, cracks, defective edges or other defects, and shall have a smooth uni-



form finish. All structural steel used in beams and columns and in other large members shall have an ultimate tensile resistance of from 60,000 pounds to 72,000 pounds per square inch, a yield point minimum of one-half of its ultimate strength, but in no case less than 33,000 pounds per square inch, and a percentage of elongation in two inches equal to 22 per centum. Such steel shall also bend to 180 degrees to a diameter equal to the thickness of the piece tested without fracture on the outside of the bent portion when tested in a test piece.

Rivet steel shall have an ultimate resistance of from 48,000 pounds to 58,000 pounds per square inch, an elastic limit not less than one-half of its ultimate strength, and a percentage of elongation in eight inches equal to 26 per centum.

Section 2. Section 48 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

*Allowable Stresses*

Section 48. All parts of the structure shall be so proportioned that the sum of the maximum static stresses in pounds per square inch shall not exceed the following:

(a) *Tension*—

Rolled steel on net section.....	20,000
Cast steel on net section.....	16,000

(b) *Compression*—

Rolled steel on short lengths or where lateral deflection is prevented .....	18,000
Cast steel .....	16,000
On gross section of columns,	
18,000	

$$1 + \frac{L^2}{18,000r^2}$$

with a maximum of..... 15,000

In which L is the unsupported length of the column, and r is the corresponding least radius of gyration of the section, both in inches.

For main compression, the ratio L/r shall not exceed 120, and for bracing and other secondary members, 200.

(c) *Bending*—

On extreme fibres of rolled shapes, and built up sections, net section, if lateral deflection is prevented.. 20,000

When the unsupported length L exceeds 15 times b, the width of the compression flange, the stress in pounds per square inch in the latter shall not exceed

$$20,000$$

$$1 + \frac{L^2}{2,000b^2}$$

The laterally unsupported length of beams and girders shall not exceed 40 times b the width of the compression flange.

On extreme fibres of pins, when the forces are assumed as acting at the center of gravity of the pieces..... 27,000

(d) *Shearing*—

On pins .....	13,500
On power-driven rivets .....	13,500
On turned bolts in reamed holes with a clearance of not more than 1/50 of an inch.....	13,500

On hand-driven rivets .....	10,000
On unfinished bolts .....	10,000
On the gross area of the webs of beams and girders, where h, the height between the flanges in inches, is not more than 60 times t, the thickness of the web in inches .....	12,000
On the gross area of the webs of beams and girders if the web is not stiffened where h, the height be- tween flanges in inches is more than 60 times t, the thickness of the web, the maximum shear per square inch,	

$$\frac{S}{1 + \frac{A}{7,200t^2}}$$

shall not exceed

In which S is the total shear, and A is gross area of web in square inches,

	Double Shear	Single Shear
(e) <i>Bearing</i> —		
On pins .....	30,000	24,000
On power-driven rivets .....	30,000	24,000
On turned bolts in reamed holes.....	30,000	24,000
On hand-driven rivets .....	20,000	16,000
On unfinished bolts .....	20,000	16,000
On expansion rollers per lineal inch, 600 times the diameter of the roller in inches.		

*Beams and Girders*

(a) Rolled beams shall be proportioned by the moment of inertia of their net section. Plate girders with webs fully spliced for tension and compression shall be so proportioned that the unit stress on the net section does not exceed the stresses specified as determined by the moment of inertia of the net section.

(b) Plate girder webs shall have a thickness of not less than 1/60 of the unsupported distance between the flanges.

(c) Web splices shall consist of a plate on each side of the web capable of transmitting the full stress through the splice rivets.

(d) *Stiffeners*. Stiffeners shall be required on the webs of rolled beams and plate girders at the ends and at points of concentrated loads, and at other points where h the clear distance between flanges is greater than  $85t\sqrt{18,000(A/S)-1}$ , in which t is the thickness of the web. When stiffeners are required, the distance in inches between them shall not be greater than  $85t\sqrt{18,000(A/S)-1}$ , or not greater than 6 feet. When h is greater than 60 times t the thickness of the web of a plate girder, stiffeners shall be required at distances not greater than 6 feet apart. Stiffeners under or over concentrated loads shall be proportioned to distribute such loads into the web.

Plate girder stiffeners shall generally be in pairs, one on each side of the web, and shall have a close bearing against the flange angles at points of concentrated loadings; stiffeners over the end bearings shall be on plate filters. The pitch of rivet in stiffeners shall not exceed 6 inches.

(e) Flange plates of all girders shall be limited in width so as



not to extend more than 6 inches or more than 12 times the thickness of thinnest plate beyond the outer row or rivets connecting them to the angles.

(f) Crane runway girders and the supporting framework shall be proportioned to resist the greatest horizontal stresses caused by the operation of the cranes.

(g) Rivets connecting the flanges to the web at points of direct load on the flange between stiffeners shall be proportioned to carry the resultant of the longitudinal and transverse shears.

(h) Rivets connecting the flanges to the webs of plate girders and of columns subjected to bending shall be so spaced as to carry the increment of the flange stress between the rivets.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shan-non—5.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Adopted.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

#### Refunds of Duplicate and Erroneous Payments of Taxes.

(Code No. 9.059)

Resolution No. 4227, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized to be paid to the following named, being refunds of erroneous payments of taxes:

#### *From Duplicate Tax Fund—Appropriation 905.*

- (1) E. F. Euphrat, per Vol. 2, page 29, line 19, Unsecured Personal Property Rolls, 1937, duplicate payment.....\$18.85
- (2) The Anglo California National Bank of San Francisco, per Vol. 16, Bill 1553, Lot 1-L, Block 2123-B, 2d installment, \$4.45; per Vol. 17, Bill 929, Lot 3, Block 2322, both installments, \$17.42; per Vol. 37, Bill 124, Lot 11, Block 6153, both installments, \$1.54; per Vol. 35, Bill 1936, Lot 10, Block 5939, 2d installment, \$6.77; per Vol. 44, Bill 842, Lot 27, Block 7117, both installments, \$7.74; per Vol. 44, Bill 844, Lot 29, Block 7117, both installments, \$7.74; all fiscal year 1937 ..... 45.66

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shan-non—5.

#### Release of Lien Filed Re Old Age Security—Mary J. McKay.

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 4228, as follows:

Resolved, That the lien heretofore placed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, on recommendation of the Public Welfare Department, is released against the property described as follows:

Mary J. McKay, recorded December 22, 1936, Book 3011, page 107, Official Records of City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shan-non—5.

**Approving Description of Junior College Site.**

(Code No. 12.179)

Also, Resolution No. 4229, as follows:

Whereas, by Resolutions Nos. 2666 and 3742, adopted by this Board on July 6, 1936, and January 17, 1938, respectively, the control of certain City-owned contiguous parcels of land was transferred to the Board of Education for the Junior College site, which site is located at the northeast corner of Ocean avenue and Phelan avenue, San Francisco, California; and

Whereas, the descriptions of said parcels, as set forth in the above-mentioned resolutions, were based on preliminary data; and

Whereas, the City Engineer has surveyed said site and it is now desirable that said property be definitely described to conform with said survey; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the final description of the Junior College site be and is hereby approved as follows:

Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Havelock street, distant thereon 583.719 feet westerly from the westerly line of the Southern Pacific Company right of way; thence running westerly along the southerly line of Havelock street and the westerly prolongation thereof 1,415 feet, more or less, to a point on the easterly line of Phelan avenue; thence southerly along last-named line 1,255.656 feet; thence on a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 50 feet, central angle 89 degrees 40 minutes 40 seconds, a distance of 78.259 feet to point of tangency with the northerly line of Ocean avenue; thence easterly along last-named line, 1,012.979 feet, more or less, to a point distant thereon 298.50 feet westerly from the westerly line of the Southern Pacific Company right of way; thence at a right angle northerly 287.72 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 386.91 feet, more or less, to a point on the westerly line of said right of way; thence northerly along last-named line 360 feet, more or less, to a point distant 607.00 feet southerly measured at right angles from the southerly line of Havelock street; thence westerly, parallel with the southerly line of Havelock street, 250.00 feet, more or less, to a point on a line drawn southerly through the point of commencement at right angles to the southerly line of Havelock street; thence at a right angle northerly 607.00 feet to the point of commencement.

Containing 41.3 acres, more or less.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

**Approval Supplemental Recommendations, Public Welfare Department, for September, 1938.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 4230, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Public Welfare Department, containing the additional names of persons and amounts to be paid as Old Age Security and Blind Pensions and Widows' Pensions for the month of September, 1938, and also denials, are hereby approved, and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is directed to transmit this approval to the Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.



**Determining the Amount Due From the American District Telegraph Company of San Francisco Under Ordinance Number 15.0091 Granting to Said American District Telegraph Company of San Francisco a Franchise as Hereinafter Set Forth.**

(Code No. 15.099)

Also, Resolution No. 4231, as follows:

Whereas, on the first day of August, 1938, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, after due proceedings had and taken in that behalf, enacted Ordinance No. 15.0991, entitled as follows: "An Ordinance Granting to the American District Telegraph Company of San Francisco and Assigns the Right to Install, Construct, Lay Down and Maintain Poles, Conduits, Wires, Cables, Conductors and Other Appliances and Equipment in, Under and Across the Streets, Alleys, Avenues, Thoroughfares and Other Public Places in the City and County of San Francisco, for the Operation of a General System or Systems for the Transmission of Signals and Alarms," which said ordinance was thereafter, to-wit, on the third day of August, 1938, duly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco and which said ordinance becomes effective on the second day of September, 1938; and

Whereas, said American District Telegraph Company of San Francisco has offered to pay to the said City and County of San Francisco 2 per cent of its annual gross income from June 1, 1935, to August 1, 1938, as a consideration for the granting of the franchise referred to in the above-mentioned ordinance; and

Whereas, a computation has been made by the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco as to the amount of the gross income of the said American District Telegraph Company of San Francisco from the first day of June, 1935, to the first day of August, 1938, and said Controller has reported that, on the basis of 2 per cent of said gross income, there is due to the City and County of San Francisco the sum of \$13,925.73 for the granting of the aforesaid franchise; and

Whereas, said American District Telegraph Company of San Francisco has, pursuant to the provisions of Section 7 of the aforesaid ordinance, filed a written acceptance of the franchise granted by said ordinance and has, in writing, agreed to comply with all of the terms and conditions set forth in said ordinance and is now willing to pay to the City and County of San Francisco the said sum of \$13,925.73 in consideration of the granting of said franchise and stands ready and willing to pay from and after the first day of August, 1938, 2 per cent of its gross annual receipts arising from the use, operation or possession of the rights granted by said franchise; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board be, and he is, hereby directed to accept from said American District Telegraph Company of San Francisco the sum of \$13,925.73 in full payment of the consideration to be paid by said company to the City for the granting of said franchise and that the payment of 2 per cent of the gross annual receipts of said company arising from the use, operation or possession of the rights granted by said franchise shall commence as of August 1, 1938; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forwarded to the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shan-non—5.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Reclassification of Two Field Nurses as Supervising Field Nurses at Same Salary.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 1705, Ordinance No. 9.053155, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 55a of Ordinance No. 9.053128 by decreasing the number of employments under Item 108 from 12 to 10 P52 Field Nurses at \$175, and by adding Item 109½ 2 P54 Supervising Field Nurses at \$175.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 55a of Ordinance No. 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 55a. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)**

**FIELD NURSING, ADMINISTRATION**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
99	1	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 190
100	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
101	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
102	1	P57	Assistant to the Director of Field Nursing ..	230
103	8	P54	Supervising Field Nurse .....	200
104	1	P54	Supervising Field Nurse .....	175
105	1	P58	Director of Field Nursing .....	300

**FIELD NURSING, SCHOOLS**

106	25	P52	Field Nurse .....	175
107	15	P52	Field Nurse .....	165

**FIELD NURSING, OTHER**

108	10	P52	Field Nurse .....	175
109	7	P52	Field Nurse .....	165
109½	2	P54	Supervising Field Nurse .....	175
110	1	P101	Chinese Visiting Nurse .....	175

**TUBERCULOSIS BUREAU**

110½	2	B222	General Clerk P. T. (ded. 1 meal).....	79.50
111	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
112	2	L360	Physician (part time) .....	100
113	1	L360	Physician (part time) .....	200
114	2	P52	Field Nurse .....	165
115	7	P52	Field Nurse .....	175
116	2	P102	Registered Nurse .....	135
117	2	P102	Registered Nurse (ded. BR&L).....	135
118	1	P104	Head Nurse .....	145

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shan-



**Action Deferred.**

On motion of Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor Reilly, consideration of the following bill was *postponed to September 12, 1938*:

**Providing for Notification of Intention to Acquire Properties of Market Street Railway Company.**

(Code No. 15.091)

Bill No. 1706, Ordinance No. 15.09121, as follows:

Providing for notification to the Market Street Railway, a corporation, of the intention of the City and County of San Francisco to take over and acquire the properties of the Market Street Railway.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Whereas, Section 131 of the Charter provides: "Whenever the city and county desires to acquire said railway property, the city and county shall give the holder of said permit written notice, duly authorized by ordinance, of its intention to take over and acquire said properties on a date to be stated in said notice, but in no event less than three months nor more than fifteen months from the date of said notice."

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors have submitted a bond proposal to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco for authorization to thereby provide the funds with which to acquire the property of the Market Street Railway; and

Whereas, the Public Works Administration Appropriation Act of 1938 provides that grant may only be made on projects upon which work will be started prior to January 1, 1939; and

Whereas, to aid in the improvement of the properties to be acquired from the Market Street Railway, the City and County of San Francisco has made application for a grant in the amount of \$5,474,700; and

Whereas, it will therefore be necessary for the City and County of San Francisco to acquire the property of the Market Street Railway and start work thereon prior to January 1, 1939, if it is to be eligible to receive a grant in this connection;

Now, therefore, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in accordance with the provisions of the Charter, is hereby directed to notify the Market Street Railway that the City and County of San Francisco desires to acquire said railway property on or before December 31, 1938, providing that the aforementioned bond issue is approved.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of Streets Committee were taken up:

**Ordering Improvement of Somerset Street, Between San Bruno Avenue and the Northerly Termination of Somerset Street.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Bill No. 1607, Ordinance No. 12.0611101, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the Assessment District, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, August 16, 1938, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County

of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten (10) installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The construction of a sewer and appurtenances in Somerset street between San Bruno avenue and the northerly termination of Somerset street, in certain easements in Assessor's Block No. 6199, in the crossing of Alpha street and Tucker avenue, and in the crossing of Alpha street and Tioga avenue, with the construction of the following items:

Item No.	Item
1.	12-inch Vitrified Clay Pipe Sewer
2.	12x6-inch Vitrified Clay Y-branches
3.	8-inch Vitrified Clay Pipe Sewer
4.	8x6-inch Vitrified Clay Y-branches
5.	Brick manholes, complete

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated, and numbered respectively as:

Block 6199—Lots 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33 and 34;

Block 6204—Lots 1, 1A, 1B, 1C, 1D, 2, 2A, 2B, 2C, 3, 3A and 40; and

Block 6205—Lots 2, 3, 3A, 3B, 3C and 4;

all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

#### Full Acceptance of Certain Streets in Geneva Terrace.

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 1609, Ordinance No. 12.081143, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Cayuga avenue between Mount Vernon avenue and Rome street, including the crossings of Cayuga avenue and Ottawa avenue, and Cayuga avenue and Rome street; Rome street between Mount Vernon avenue and a line 300 feet northwesterly from and parallel to the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue, including the crossing of Rome street and Ottawa avenue; Ottawa avenue between Alemany boulevard and a line 115 feet northwesterly from and parallel to the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue; Ottawa avenue between Alemany boulevard and Rome street; Rome street between Cayuga avenue and a line 220 feet southeasterly from and parallel to the southeasterly line of Cayuga avenue; Rome street between lines 220 feet and 300 feet northwesterly from and parallel to the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue, including the curbs.



Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Cayuga avenue between Mount Vernon avenue and Rome street, including the crossings of Cayuga avenue and Ottawa avenue, and Cayuga avenue and Rome street; Rome street between Mount Vernon avenue and a line 300 feet northwesterly from and parallel to the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue, including the crossing of Rome street and Ottawa avenue; Ottawa avenue between Alemany boulevard and a line 115 feet northwesterly from and parallel to the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue; Ottawa avenue between Alemany boulevard and Rome street; Rome street between Cayuga avenue and a line 220 feet southeasterly from and parallel to the southeasterly line of Cayuga avenue; Rome street between lines 220 feet and 300 feet northwesterly from and parallel to the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue, including the curbs.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

#### **Accepting the Roadway of Bret Harte Terrace.**

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 1608, Ordinance No. 12.081142, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Bret Harte terrace, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Bret Harte terrace, including the curbs.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

#### **Creating Underground District, Union Street and Calhoun Street.**

(Code No. 11.12)

Also, Bill No. 1710, Ordinance No. 11.1224, as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for Placing Wires and Conduits Underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section to be known as Section ZZZ.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Order No. 214 (Second Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding a new section to be known as Section ZZZ.

Section ZZZ. An additional district to those heretofore described, within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires except trolley poles and wires, after the permanent improvement by physical widening of Union street, from Montgomery street to a line parallel with Calhoun street and fifty (50) feet easterly therefrom; and on Calhoun street, between Union street and a line parallel with and 161 feet southerly therefrom, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 92. Union street, from Montgomery street to a line parallel with Calhoun street and fifty (50) feet easterly therefrom; and on Calhoun street, between Union street and a line parallel with and 161 feet southerly therefrom.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

#### Action Deferred.

On motion by Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Ratto, action on the following resolution was *postponed to September 12, 1938*:

#### Protesting Commercialization of State Parks.

(Code No. 5.3)

Resolution No. 4219, as follows:

Whereas, the Boards of Supervisors in and for the Counties of Humboldt, Mendocino, Lake and Napa have adopted resolutions requesting elimination of commercialization in State Parks with particular reference to Richardson's Grove State Park in Humboldt County; and

Whereas, said resolutions are based upon following specific reasons, among others:

(a) The treasury of Humboldt County has lost (and will continue to lose) an annual income totaling many thousands of dollars, due to the transfer to the State (for park purposes) of what were formerly privately-owned tax-paying timberlands. Humboldt County has forever lost this tax revenue, and balance of taxpayers in Humboldt County have assumed the burden of this differential, digging this additional tax money out of their own pockets annually.

(b) Humboldt County taxpayers have contributed substantially to the purchase of some of these lands, in addition to shouldering additional tax burdens when these lands were taken off the tax rolls for State Park purposes (for general enjoyment, benefit and pleasure).

(c) Had not the Humboldt County taxpayers and Board of Supervisors thus cooperated and contributed their own funds, it is questionable whether the general public would have had so many State Parks to enjoy.

(d) Richardson's Grove was purchased with State funds appropriated by the Legislature, with the understanding that this grove would retain its original natural condition.

(e) It had always been the understanding of the taxpayers of Humboldt County that private lands thus dedicated to Park purposes would be perpetuated, maintained and protected in their original natural state; also, that these parks would not be desecrated and spoiled by concessionaire structures and commercial operations for the benefit of profit-making concessionaires. Therefore, the original basic principle of the land transfer and its expected and understood use has been abused and transgressed.

(f) The private concessionaires in Richardson's Grove (operating for the purposes of making a personal gain and profit) enjoy unfair and discriminating advantages over the operators catering to tourists and vacationists outside State Parks.

(g) By virtue of the unfair competition developed and made possible by the State Park Commission, *existing* operators outside State Parks are discouraged from expanding their operations and facilities;



potential *new* capital is discouraged from acquiring new properties and erecting new structures and facilities not now available to the traveling public. Result: County treasuries in Humboldt and neighboring counties suffer a loss of potential new tax revenue—income which would otherwise accrue if this unfair State Park competition did not exist.

(h) The State Park Commission's apparent desire to perpetuate concessions at Richardson's Grove appears to be an invasion by the State upon the sovereign rights of counties, particularly since the Board of Supervisors and civic organizations in Humboldt County claim that not only were they not advised of the proposed expansion of concession facilities and the signing of a new lease with the concessionaire—but that they were not given an opportunity to appear before the State Park Commission at the time the lease was up for final consideration, in spite of specific requests filed (prior thereto) with the State Park Commission by Humboldt County groups.

And whereas the Board of Supervisors in the above-named counties have requested the Board of Supervisors in and for the County of San Francisco to take action in support of the above-named project; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors in and for the City and County of San Francisco hereby endorse the stand taken by the Boards of Supervisors of Humboldt, Mendocino, Napa and Lake Counties and hereby petitions the Governor of the State of California and State Park Commission to immediately take whatever steps are necessary to eliminate commercialization in State Parks.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Appointment of Supervisor George R. Reilly as Acting Mayor.

The following communication from his Honor, the Mayor, was presented:

September 3, 1938.

To the Honorable, The Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Having accepted the invitation of Mayor Joseph Carson of Portland to be guest speaker at the Florist's Telegraph Delivery Association Convention in that city, I am leaving San Francisco Monday night.

I shall carry to the convention the invitation of San Francisco that their 1939 gathering be held in this city.

Therefore, in accordance with Section 25 of the Charter, I hereby name Honorable George R. Reilly, member of the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors, to act as Mayor during my absence.

Yours very truly,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Requesting His Honor the Mayor to Appoint Citizens' Committee for Proper Observance of Constitution Day.

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Reilly for Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

Resolution No. 4233, as follows:

Whereas, the Federal Constitution of the United States is the fundamental document upon which is built our system of democratic laws; and

Whereas, it is desirous that this nation give increasingly thoughtful respect to the document that preserves the fundamentals of American life against the clamor of Old World isms, hates and idealogies; and

Whereas, September 17th will be the one hundred and fifty-first anniversary of the Constitution of the United States; and

Whereas, that anniversary will be observed throughout the United States; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor, be and he is hereby respectfully requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee for the proper observance of the anniversary of the Constitution.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

**Authorizing Attendance of Ralph W. Wiley, Chief of Department of Electricity, at Annual Convention of Western Safety Conference at Los Angeles, September 12 to 16, 1938.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 4234, as follows:

Whereas, the Western Safety Conference will hold its annual convention in Los Angeles, California, on September 12 to 16, 1938; and

Whereas, Ralph W. Wiley, Chief of the Department of Electricity of the City and County of San Francisco is Chairman of the Engineering Committee of said Western Safety Conference; and

Whereas, the said Ralph W. Wiley, Chief of the Department of Electricity of the City and County of San Francisco as Chairman of said Engineering Committee of said Western Safety Conference should participate in said convention on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said Ralph W. Wiley, Chief of the Department of Electricity of the City and County of San Francisco be, and he is, hereby authorized to attend said Western Safety Conference at said time and place.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

**Prohibiting the Interception of Police Broadcasts for Private Purposes.**

(Code No. 11.00)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Bill No. 1711, Ordinance No. 11.0018, as follows:

Prohibiting the interception of Police Broadcasts for Private Purposes in the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation, other than the police department or other duly authorized emergency agencies of this City and County, to intercept, receive or assist in receiving any dispatch or communication from the Police Broadcasting Station for the purpose of using such dispatch or communication or any information contained therein for or in the conduct of any business, trade or occupation.

Section 2. Every person, firm or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than six (6) months or by fine not to exceed five hundred dollars (\$500.00), or by both such fine and imprisonment.

*Referred to Fire, Safety and Police Committee.*



**Proposed Amendment to the Rules Re Absence of Members of  
Board of Supervisors From the City.**

Supervisor Reilly announced that he considered it almost impossible, in the absence from the City of any five members of the Board at the same time, to hold a meeting of the Board, and that, as Chairman of the Rules Committee, he would call a meeting of the Committee to consider and urge the adoption of a rule that no five members of the Board be permitted to leave the City at the same time.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 2:50 p. m., adjourned.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors September 12, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, David A. Barry, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journals of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

DAVID A. BARRY,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.





Vol. 33

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

No. 39

Monday, September 12, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.

App. No.	Description of Work	Cost to City
877.953.00	Bay street between Polk street and The Embarcadero; reduce sidewalks from 15 to 8 feet.....	\$ 31,430
877.954.00	Columbus avenue between Montgomery and Bay streets; reduce sidewalks from 15 to 10 feet...	36,120
877.955.00	Townsend street between Third street and The Embarcadero; reduce sidewalks from 15 to 10 feet..	15,100
877.956.00	Fulton street between Franklin and Lyon streets; reduce sidewalks from 15 to 10 feet.....	36,000
Total .....		\$118,650

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer, Shannon—3.

### Action Deferred.

Due to lack of sufficient votes, action on the following bill was deferred to September 19, 1938:

### Authorizing and Directing the Tax Collector to Collect Taxes Pursuant to Provisions of Section 3820 of the Political Code.

(Code No. 9.023)

Bill No. 1704, Ordinance No. 9.0232, as follows:

Authorizing and directing the Tax Collector of the City and County of San Francisco to collect taxes on all property including the taxes on all assessments of possession of, claim to, or right to the possession of land, and the taxes on taxable improvements located upon land exempt from taxation, when said taxes are not a lien upon real property sufficient to secure the payment thereof and when said taxes have not been collected by the Assessor pursuant to the provisions of Section 3820 of the Political Code of the State of California on or before the last business day in July, and vesting said Tax Collector with all the powers and authority which are by law vested in the Assessor to collect said taxes prior to the last business day in July of each year.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Whereas, there was added to the laws of the State of California by the Legislature convening in general session during the year 1937 Section 3832 of the Political Code, which authorized the Tax Collector of any county or any city and county by a four-fifths vote of all the members of its board of supervisors to collect taxes on assessments of possession of, claim to, or right to the possession of land and the taxes on taxable improvements located upon land exempt from taxation, when said taxes are not a lien upon real property sufficient to secure the payment thereof and when said taxes have not been collected pursuant to the provisions of Section 3820 of the Political Code of the State of California on or before the last business day in July.

Section 2. And whereas, there is upon the assessment rolls of the City and County of San Francisco a large amount of taxes on personal property which are not a lien upon any real property in the City and County of San Francisco, together with taxes which are assessed by reason of the possession of land as well as upon taxable improvements located on land exempt from taxation.



Section 3. And whereas, said taxes are due and payable to the City and County of San Francisco and the collection thereof will add a large amount of revenue to the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 4. Therefore, The Tax Collector of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized, empowered and directed to collect the taxes on all property, including the taxes on assessments of possession of, claim to, or right to the possession of land, and the taxes on taxable improvements located on land exempt from taxation, when said taxes are not a lien upon real property sufficient to secure the payment thereof and when said taxes have not, by any action of the Assessor, been made a lien upon real property sufficient to secure the payment thereof and when said taxes have not been collected pursuant to the provisions of Section 3820 of the Political Code of California on or before the last business day in July.

Section 5. The said Tax Collector is hereby given all of the power and authority vested in the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco under and pursuant to Sections 3820, 3821, 3822, 3832, 3791, 3792, 3793, 3794, 3795 and 3796 of the Political Code in regard to the collection of taxes upon all and singular the property above mentioned by seizure and sale thereof, and said Tax Collector is hereby authorized to do any and all things provided or authorized by the general laws of the State of California to collect any and all taxes due upon any or all of the property above mentioned.

#### **Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Post Street Between Taylor Street and Van Ness Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

Bill No. 1664, Ordinance No. 12.0731125, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Eight Hundred and Thirty-seven (837) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office July 28, 1938, by amending Section Eight Hundred and Thirty-seven (837) thereof to read as follows:

Section 837. The width of sidewalks on Post street between Market and Taylor streets shall be 12 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Post street between Taylor street and Van Ness avenue shall be 10 feet.

#### **Motion.**

Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved that the foregoing bill be re-referred to Streets Committee and hearing be granted to proposed amendment, making the official width of sidewalks on Post street between Market and Taylor streets conform to the present actual width of the sidewalks.

#### **Amendment to Motion.**

Supervisor Uhl moved as an amendment to the foregoing motion, that the matter remain on the calendar to be heard at the next meeting by the Board, sitting as a committee of the whole.

*No second.*

**Re-referred.**

Whereupon, the roll was called on the original motion and the foregoing bill was *re-referred to Streets Committee* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-vieri, Schmidt—7.

No—Supervisor Uhl—1.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer, Shannon—3.

**Final Passage.**

The following recommendation of Streets Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up:

**Changing Sidewalk Widths on Jessie Street Between Seventh Street and a Point 550 Feet Southerly.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Bill No. 1675, Ordinance No. 12.0731126, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Ten (1210).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office August 16, 1938, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Ten (1210) to read as follows:

Section 1210. The width of sidewalks on Jessie street between Seventh street and a point 280 feet southwesterly therefrom shall be 3 feet 6 inches.

The width of sidewalks on Jessie street between points respectively, 280 feet and 550 feet southwesterly from Seventh street, shall be 4 feet 6 inches.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-vieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer, Shannon—3.

**Changing Sidewalk Widths on Jessie Street Between Fourth and Fifth Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

Bill No. 1676, Ordinance No. 12.0731127, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Nine (1209).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office August 16, 1938, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Nine (1209), to read as follows:

Section 1209. The width of sidewalks on Jessie street between Fourth and Fifth streets shall be 6 feet.



**Motion to Amend.**

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved to amend the foregoing bill by fixing the width of sidewalks on Jessie street between Fourth and Fifth streets at 4 feet instead of at 6 feet.

Subsequently, after brief discussion, Supervisor Uhl, with the consent of his second, withdrew his motion and requested that the matter remain on the Calendar for consideration at the next meeting of the Board.

No objection and *so ordered*.

**Final Passage.**

The following recommendations of Streets Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

**Changing Sidewalk Widths on Merlin Street Between Harrison Street and Its Southeasterly Termination.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Bill No. 1677, Ordinance No. 12.0731128, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Eight (1208).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office August 16, 1938, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Eight (1208), to read as follows:

Section 1208. The width of sidewalks on Merlin street between Harrison street and its southeasterly termination shall be 4 feet.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer, Shannon—3.

**Changing Sidewalk Widths on Ninth Street Between Market and Division Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1678, Ordinance No. 12.0731129, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Two Hundred and Twenty-nine (229) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office July 28, 1938, by amending Section Two Hundred and Twenty-nine (229) thereof to read as follows:

Section 229. The width of sidewalks on Ninth street between Market and Bryant streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Ninth street, the southwesterly side of, between Bryant and Brannan streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Ninth street, the northeasterly side of, between Bryant and Brannan streets shall be abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Ninth street, the northeasterly side of, between Brannan and Division streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Ninth street, the southwesterly side of, between Brannan street and a point 8 feet southeasterly from Brannan street shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Ninth street, the southwesterly side of, between a point 8 feet southeasterly from Brannan street and Division street shall be abolished.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-vieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer, Shannon—3.

#### **Changing Sidewalk Widths of Steuart Street Between Mission and Howard Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1679, Ordinance No. 12.0731130, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Two Hundred and Thirty-four (234) thereof, to read as follows:

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office August 15, 1938, by amending Section Two Hundred and Thirty-four (234) thereof, to read as follows:

Section 234. The width of sidewalks on Steuart street between Market and Mission streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Steuart street between Mission and Howard streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Steuart street between Howard and Folsom streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Steuart street, the southwesterly side of, between Folsom and Harrison streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Steuart street, the northeasterly side of, between Folsom street and its southeasterly termination shall be 8 feet.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-vieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer, Shannon—3.

#### **Changing Sidewalk Widths of Spear Street Between Mission and Howard Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1680, Ordinance No. 12.0731131, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Two Hundred and Thirty-five (235) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office August 15, 1938, by amending Section Two Hundred and Thirty-five (235) thereof, to read as follows:

Section 235. The width of sidewalks on Spear street between Market and Mission streets shall be 15 feet.



The width of sidewalks on Spear street between Mission and Howard streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Spear street between Howard and Harrison streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Spear street between Harrison and Bryant streets shall be abolished.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer, Shannon—3.

### **Changing Widths of Sidewalks of Townsend Street Between First and Fourth Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

Bill No. 1681, Ordinance No. 12.0731132, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section One Hundred and Fifty-five (155) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office August 15, 1938, by amending Section One Hundred and Fifty-five (155) thereof to read as follows:

Section 155. The width of sidewalks on Townsend street between First and Third streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the northwesterly side of, between Third and Fourth streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the southeasterly side of, between Fourth street and a point 366 feet northeasterly therefrom shall be 8 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the southeasterly side of, between points 366 feet and 396 feet northeasterly therefrom shall be abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the southeasterly side of, between points 396 feet and 593 feet northeasterly from Fourth street shall be 8 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the southeasterly side of, between points 613.5 feet and 735.25 feet northeasterly from Fourth street shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the southeasterly side of, between points 593 feet and 613.5 feet northeasterly from Fourth street shall be the width between the property line and a reverse curve connecting the previously described widths at said points.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the southeasterly side of, between points 742.5 feet and 767.5 feet northeasterly from Fourth street shall be 12 feet 6 inches.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the southeasterly side of, between points 735.25 feet and 742.5 feet northeasterly from Fourth street shall be the width between the property line and a reverse curve connecting the previously described widths at said points.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the southeasterly side of, between a point 775 feet northeasterly from Fourth street and Third street shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the southeasterly side of, between points 767.5 feet and 775 feet northeasterly from Fourth street shall be the width between the property line and a reverse curve connecting the previously described widths at said points.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the northwesterly side of, between Fourth and Fifth streets shall be 2 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the southeasterly side of, between Fourth and Fifth streets shall be abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street between Fifth and Division streets shall be abolished.

#### **Motion.**

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved that the foregoing bill remain on the Calendar for one week, in order that hearing might be had on suggestion to fix sidewalk widths on Townsend street at not more than six feet.

No objection and *so ordered*.

#### **Final Passage.**

The following recommendations of Streets Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

#### **Changing Width of Sidewalks of Oak Grove Street Between Harrison and Bryant Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Bill No. 1682, Ordinance No. 12.0731133, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section One Hundred and Twelve (112) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office August 15, 1938, by amending Section One Hundred and Twelve (112) thereof, to read as follows:

Section 112. The width of sidewalks on Oak Grove street between Harrison and Bryant streets shall be 7 feet.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncivieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer, Shannon—3.

#### **Changing Sidewalk Width of Union Street Between Montgomery and Sansome Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1683, Ordinance No. 12.0731134, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Eleven (1211).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office August 16, 1938, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Eleven (1211), to read as follows:

Section 1211. The width of sidewalks on Union street between Sansome and Montgomery streets shall be as shown on that certain map, titled "Map showing the location of street and curb lines and



the width of walks on Union street between Montgomery and Sansome streets and on Calhoun street southerly from Union street."

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncoviari, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer, Shannon—3.

### **Changing Sidewalk Widths on Calhoun Street Between Union and Green Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1684, Ordinance No. 12.0731135, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Twelve (1212).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office August 16, 1938, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Twelve (1212), to read as follows:

Section 1212. The width of sidewalks on Calhoun street between Union and Green streets shall be as shown on that certain map, titled "Map showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of walks on Union street between Montgomery and Sansome streets, and on Calhoun street southerly from Union street."

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncoviari, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer, Shannon—3.

### **Final Passage.**

The following recommendation of Public Health Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up:

### **Amending Ordinance Regulating Advertising in Connection With Retail Sale of Meat.**

(Code No. 17.04)

Bill No. 1702, Ordinance No. 17.0410, as follows:

Amending Sections 2, 3, 5 and 6 of Ordinance No. 17.049, entitled "Providing for the Manner in Which Meat Shall Be Advertised for the Purpose of Sale at Retail in the City and County of San Francisco; Defining Classes of Meat and Retail Cuts of Meat; Defining Offenses and Providing Penalties for the Violation of This Ordinance."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Sections 2, 3, 5 and 6 of Ordinance No. 17.049, the title of which is recited above, are hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 2. Any class or cut of meat which is defined in this ordinance must conform to such definition if advertised as such or offered for retail as such.

Section 3. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, co-partnership, association or corporation, or any agent or employee thereof, selling or delivering or offering for sale or delivery meat at retail to misrepresent the classes or "cuts" of meat as defined in this ordinance in their advertising or placards, or in any manner whatsoever.

Section 5. The following terms, used in describing the various retail cuts of meat, shall be used and applied only as defined herein, as follows:

(A) In naming the ribs of the carcass, the first or Number One rib shall be that which is closest to the neck, the other ribs being counted from that point.

(1) "Prime rib roast" shall consist of from the eighth to thirteenth ribs, inclusive, of a beef carcass. "Short cuts" shall be used only when the rib bone is not more than five inches in length from the junction of the eye and the rib. "Long cut" shall have the plate removed at a point parallel to the Chine-bone and making a twelve-inch wing.

(2) "Beef round" shall consist of the buttocks cut to include the section from the socket bone to the gambrel or hock.

(3) "Round steak" shall be cut from the round, including top and bottom with or without bone. In advertising any cut of round steak, the particular kind of round as defined herein must be stated.

(4) "Top round steak" shall be cut from the upper or inside portion of the round with or without bone.

(5) "Bottom round steak" shall be cut from the under or outside portion of the round without bone.

(6) "Heel of round" shall be the lower portion of the round, triangular in shape.

(B) "Forequarter of lamb" shall consist of not less than thirteen ribs, shoulder, breast, shank and neck.

(1) "Shoulder of lamb" shall consist of the shoulder in one piece, including not less than three ribs, and may include the neck and shank. "Shoulder of lamb, Boston style," shall not be used with the term "leg" or "leg of lamb." Its use shall be confined to shoulders of lamb as herein defined.

(2) Any part of the shoulder of lamb cut to contain less than three ribs shall be described as "yoke of lamb." Any yoke of lamb cut with the major part of the breast attached must be advertised and sold as "yoke of lamb with breast."

(C) "Pork loin" shall be cut at the leg end at a point two and one-half inches above the aitch-bone on the pork leg, and shall include thirteen ribs and no further portion of the shoulder, and the belly shall be removed at a point not to exceed five inches from the Chine-bone at the center part of the loin.

(1) "Pork loin roast" shall consist of not less than half the loin.

(2) "Pork loin chops" shall be cut from the fifth rib to the hip bone. "Large loin pork chops" shall be cut from that portion of the loin from the hip-bone to the aitch-bone, inclusive.

(3) "Pork leg" shall consist of the hind leg, with foot removed through the hock joint; the butt shall be rounded and the tail removed. "Leg pork roast" shall consist of not less than half a leg.

Section 6. Whenever a conflict arises in the definitions as set out herein, or the words Prime or Choice are used in advertising meat offered for sale or delivery at retail, the standards of the Bureau of Agricultural Economics of the United States Department of Agriculture shall prevail in the operation of this ordinance. The use of the terms A-1 or Stall-fed shall be prohibited unless the meat sold under such terms shall be the equivalent in grade of the United States Department of Agriculture standards of Prime or Choice. The Standards of the United States Department of Agriculture shall prevail only for the enforcement of this ordinance, and nothing contained in this ordinance shall be construed to apply the standards of the United States Department of Agriculture to the compulsory grading of meat sold or offered for sale at retail in the City and County of San Francisco.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-vieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer, Shannon—3.



**NEW BUSINESS.****Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

**Providing for Notification of Intention to Acquire Properties of Market Street Railway Company.**

(Code No. 15.091)

Bill No. 1706, Ordinance No. 15.09121, as follows:

Providing for notification to the Market Street Railway, a corporation, of the intention of the City and County of San Francisco to take over and acquire the properties of the Market Street Railway.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Whereas, Section 131 of the Charter provides: "Whenever the city and county desires to acquire said railway property, the city and county shall give the holder of said permit written notice, duly authorized by ordinance, of its intention to take over and acquire said properties on a date to be stated in said notice, but in no event less than three months nor more than fifteen months from the date of said notice."

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors have submitted a bond proposal to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco for authorization to thereby provide the funds with which to acquire the property of the Market Street Railway; and

Whereas, the Public Works Administration Appropriation Act of 1938 provides that grant may only be made on projects upon which work will be started prior to January 1, 1939; and

Whereas, to aid in the improvement of the properties to be acquired from the Market Street Railway, the City and County of San Francisco has made application for a grant in the amount of \$5,474,700; and

Whereas, it will therefore be necessary for the City and County of San Francisco to acquire the property of the Market Street Railway and start work thereon prior to January 1, 1939, if it is to be eligible to receive a grant in this connection;

Now, therefore, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in accordance with the provisions of the Charter, is hereby directed to notify the Market Street Railway that the City and County of San Francisco desires to acquire said railway property on or before December 31, 1938, providing that the aforementioned bond issue is approved.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt—6.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Uhl—2.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Colman—3.

**Protesting Commercialization of State Parks.**

(Code No. 5.3).

The following recommendation of Judiciary Committee was taken up:

Resolution No. 4219, as follows:

Whereas, the Boards of Supervisors in and for the Counties of Humboldt, Mendocino, Lake and Napa have adopted resolutions requesting elimination of commercialization in State Parks in the Redwood Empire with particular reference to Richardson's Grove State Park in Humboldt County; and

Whereas, said resolutions are based upon following specific reasons, among others:

(a) The treasury of Humboldt County has lost (and will continue to lose) an annual income totaling many thousands of dollars, due to

the transfer to the State (for park purposes) of what were formerly privately-owned tax-paying timberlands. Humboldt County has forever lost this tax revenue, and balance of taxpayers in Humboldt County have assumed the burden of this differential, digging this additional tax money out of their own pockets annually.

(b) Humboldt County taxpayers have contributed substantially to the purchase of some of these lands, in addition to shouldering additional tax burdens when these lands were taken off the tax rolls for State Park purposes (for general enjoyment, benefit and pleasure).

(c) Had not the Humboldt County taxpayers and Board of Supervisors thus cooperated and contributed their own funds, it is questionable whether the general public would have had so many State Parks to enjoy.

(d) Richardson's Grove was purchased with State funds appropriated by the Legislature, with the understanding that this grove would retain its original natural condition.

(e) It had always been the understanding of the taxpayers of Humboldt County that private lands thus dedicated to Park purposes would be perpetuated, maintained and protected in their original natural state; also, that these parks would not be desecrated and spoiled by concessionaire structures and commercial operations for the benefit of profit-making concessionaires. Therefore, the original basic principle of the land transfer and its expected and understood use has been abused and transgressed.

(f) The private concessionaires in Richardson's Grove (operating for the purposes of making a personal gain and profit) enjoy unfair and discriminating advantages over the operators catering to tourists and vacationists outside State Parks.

(g) By virtue of the unfair competition developed and made possible by the State Park Commission, *existing* operators outside State Parks are discouraged from expanding their operations and facilities; potential *new* capital is discouraged from acquiring new properties and erecting new structures and facilities not now available to the traveling public. Result: County treasuries in Humboldt and neighboring counties suffer a loss of potential new tax revenue—income which would otherwise accrue if this unfair State Park competition did not exist.

(h) The State Park Commission's apparent desire to perpetuate concessions at Richardson's Grove appears to be an invasion by the State upon the sovereign rights of counties, particularly since the Board of Supervisors and civic organizations in Humboldt County claim that not only were they not advised of the proposed expansion of concession facilities and the signing of a new lease with the concessionaire—but that they were not given an opportunity to appear before the State Park Commission at the time the lease was up for final consideration, in spite of specific requests filed (prior thereto) with the State Park Commission by Humboldt County groups.

And whereas the Board of Supervisors in the above-named counties have requested the Board of Supervisors in and for the County of San Francisco to take action in support of the above-named project; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors in and for the City and County of San Francisco hereby endorse the stand taken by the Boards of Supervisors of Humboldt, Mendocino, Napa and Lake Counties and hereby petitions the Governor of the State of California and State Park Commission to immediately take whatever steps are necessary to eliminate commercialization in State Parks in the Redwood Empire.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. Elliot M. Epstein and Mr. Clyde Edmondson, representing the Redwood Empire Association, on motion by Supervisor Ratto, were



granted the privilege of the floor, and urged the adoption of the foregoing resolution.

**Adopted.**

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-vieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer, Shannon—3.

**Action Deferred.**

Consideration of the following emergency ordinance, requiring nine votes for passage, was, due to lack of votes, *postponed one week*:

**Amending Municipal Code Relative to Continuing Existing Law,  
and Effective Date of Code. An Emergency Ordinance.**

(Code No. 1.07)

Bill No. 1712, Ordinance No. 1.074, as follows:

Amending Sections 3 and 19 of Ordinance No. 1.073 (Bill No. 1583), entitled "An Ordinance Enacting, Pursuant to Sections 13 and 17 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, a Consolidation, Rearrangement and Codification of the General, Regulatory and Penal Ordinances of Said City and County, to Be Known as the San Francisco Municipal Code; Providing Penalties for Violation of the Provisions Thereof; Providing for the Effective Date of the Municipal Code; and Repealing Ordinance No. 9137 (New Series) From and After the Effective Date of the Municipal Code." An emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 3 of Ordinance No. 1.073 (Bill No. 1583), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 3. *Existing Law Continued.* The provisions of the Municipal Code, in so far as they are substantially the same as existing provisions relating to the same subject matter, shall be construed as restatements and continuations thereof and not as new enactments. In all courts and tribunals and in the public offices of the City and County of San Francisco, the matter set forth in the Municipal Code shall establish prima facie such laws of the City and County of San Francisco in force on the 1st day of October, 1938; but nothing in this ordinance shall be construed as repealing or amending any such law, or as enacting as new law any matter contained in the Municipal Code, except as expressly provided in this ordinance. Nothing in the Municipal Code shall be deemed conclusive by reason of any omission or otherwise in the provisions of any section of the Municipal Code and the corresponding portion of legislation heretofore enacted, but effect shall be given for all purposes whatsoever to such enactments.

Section 2. Section 19 of Ordinance No. 1.073 (Bill No. 1583), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 19. *Effective Date of Municipal Code.* The effective date of the San Francisco Municipal Code is hereby made the third day of January, 1939, at the hour of 12:01 a. m.

Section 3. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists, to-wit, the extension of the date when the matter set forth in the Municipal Code shall establish prima facie such laws of the City and County of San Francisco in force on the 1st day of July, 1938, to include additional necessary amendments already passed, and the extension of the effective date of the Municipal Code on October 1, 1938, to permit the publication of the Municipal Code, so that this ordinance may become effective prior to the 1st day of October, 1938.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS,  
BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR  
REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**In Memoriam—John C. Corbett.**

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Resolution No. 4237, as follows:

Whereas, Almighty God has summoned John C. Corbett to his eternal reward; and

Whereas, Mr. Corbett's demise culminates a long and faithful career in the public service of the City and County of San Francisco as well as the State of California; and

Whereas, during his lifetime, Mr. Corbett executed his public functions with highest efficiency and a constant view to the welfare of those whom he so faithfully served, while in private life he comported himself with utmost consideration for his fellow man and with tenderness and concern for his family; and

Whereas, San Francisco and the State of California will long mourn the untimely demise of Mr. Corbett, an outstanding public official and citizen; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco takes this opportunity to express its sincere condolences to the bereaved family of Mr. John C. Corbett; and be it

Further Resolved, That when the Board of Supervisors adjourns this day, it does so out of respect to the memory of the late John C. Corbett; and be it

Further Resolved, That a suitably engrossed copy of this resolution be forwarded to Mr. Corbett's family.

*Unanimously adopted by rising vote.*

**Motion.**

Supervisor Ratto moved that all Supervisors, who could possibly do so, represent the Board at the funeral of the late John C. Corbett on Tuesday morning, September 13, 1938, and that the supervisors' automobiles be assigned therefor.

*So ordered.*

**Proposed Debate on Bond Issue for Purchase of Market Street  
Railway Company's Properties.**

Supervisor McSheehy moved as follows:

I move you, Mr. President, that, inasmuch as in the presentation of so controversial a subject as the purchase and rehabilitation of the properties of the Market Street Railway, garbled statements are bound to be made; and that in order to better clarify the situation and present the matter in its true light to the people who vote upon it, an open debate should be conducted in the Civic Auditorium, the evening of September 16, 1938, between the sponsor of the bond issue as proposed, Mayor Rossi, and Supervisor McSheehy, the opponent of the proposed bond issue and advocate of an alternative proposition in regard thereto.

Seconded by Supervisor Uhl.

I further move you, Mr. President, that Superior Judge ..... be appointed Chairman of the meeting, to simply preside and do no more than introduce the two speakers of the evening.

I further move you, Mr. President, that 40 minutes be allowed each speaker for presentation of his views as to the matter, and that 20 minutes be allowed each speaker in rebuttal.



I further move you, Mr. President, that a radio broadcast, and suitable publicity in the Press, be given the debate so that the public may the better understand the question before them.

If I can receive a second to my motion, I further move that the motion in its entirety be made a part of the record of the minutes of the meeting of the Board of Supervisors this day, and that the customary letter to the Mayor of matters considered off calendar carry this message to him.

The Chair declared that Supervisor McSheehy's motion was, in reality, a series of motions, and should be considered seriatim.

#### Explanation of Vote.

Before the roll was called on the first motion, Supervisor Brown explained his vote as follows:

"I see no reason why Mr. McSheehy should not issue an invitation to the Mayor and debate his question and I don't know but what the debate will be very entertaining. I would like to be there but I don't believe the Board of Supervisors is the channel through which such invitation should be issued. Therefore, I will vote against it."

#### Motion Failed.

Thereupon, the roll was called on the first motion, and same *failed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Uhl—2.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt—6.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer, Shannon—3.

The subsequent motions *failed for want of a second*.

#### Appointment of Citizens' Committee for Commemoration of Feast Day of St. Francis of Assisi, October 4.

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 4235, as follows:

Whereas, Tuesday, October 4, is the feast day of St. Francis of Assisi, the patron saint of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the history of our City has been closely interwoven with the name of this renowned man of God; and

Whereas, it is fitting that this occasion be properly revered and celebrated by our entire citizenry; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors requests his Honor the Mayor to appoint a citizens' committee for the proper commemoration of this important day.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer, Shannon—3.

#### Appointment of Citizens' Committee to Arrange for Proper Commemoration of Gift to City of Larsen Park by the Late Carl G. Larsen.

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 4236, as follows:

Whereas, Carl G. Larsen, well-known, beloved, and public-spirited citizen of San Francisco, who bequeathed to the City the land occupied by Larsen Park, for recreation purposes, thereby setting a splendid example to other citizens in our City; and

Whereas, his splendid action is to be commemorated with the unveiling of a bronze memorial tablet on September 25, 1938, indicating his benefactions to the City; therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be authorized and requested to appoint a proper Citizens' Committee to make the necessary arrangements for this commemorative event.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer, Shannon—3.

#### **Reception to Douglas Corrigan.**

Supervisor Reilly presented an outline of reception to Douglas Corrigan, on Wednesday, September 14, 1938, and invited all members of the Board, who could, to be present at the City Hall on Wednesday morning, at 9:30 o'clock, to participate in the events incident to the reception.

#### **Architect, San Francisco Building at the Exposition.**

Supervisor Uhl requested information as to what investigation the Exposition Affairs and Industrial Development Committee had made with respect to the appointment of the architect for the San Francisco Building at the Exposition.

*No action.*

#### **Adopted.**

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were taken up:

#### **Leave of Absence—Chief Engineer Charles J. Brennan, Member of the San Francisco Fire Department.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 4238, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Chief Engineer Charles J. Brennan, a member of the San Francisco Fire Department, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period not to exceed twenty-five days, commencing September 18, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer, Shannon—3.

#### **Leave of Absence—Supervisor Arthur M. Brown, Jr.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 4239, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Supervisor Arthur M. Brown, Jr., is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of twenty days, commencing September 14, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer, Shannon—3.

#### **Arguments on Bond Proposition No. 8.**

Arguments in favor of Bond Proposition No. 8, being enclosed by the Registrar of Voters with sample ballots sent to the electors, were presented to the Board by Supervisor Uhl, who objected to some of the statements therein.



Supervisor Roncovieri replied to objections made by Supervisor Uhl.

Arguments against Bond Proposition No. 8, prepared by Supervisor Uhl, for inclusion by the Registrar of Voters with sample ballots being sent to the electors, were, at the request of Supervisor McSheehy, read by the Clerk.

On motion by Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, both arguments were ordered made part of the record, as follows:

### VOTE YES ON BOND PROPOSITION NO. 8.

This proposed bond issue in the sum of \$24,480,000 is for the purchase, rehabilitation and modernization of 286 miles of the Market Street Railway, and its *Consolidation* with the 90 miles of Municipal Railway into a unified up-to-date system under one management.

#### P. W. A. GRANT WILL BE USED TO CUT DOWN TOTAL OF BOND ISSUE TO \$19,006,000!

1. Under THE ROOSEVELT P. W. A. RECOVERY PROGRAM, the city has applied to the Federal Government for a Grant of \$5,474,000. This \$5,474,000 is a GIFT which will never have to be paid back to the Government. The Government's only requirement is that this money must be used to put men to work. If you, the people, approve the bond issue for \$24,480,000 this sum will be reduced by the amount of the P. W. A. Grant to \$19,006,000. *This \$5,474,000 P. W. A. Grant will be lost to San Francisco unless this bond issue is approved on September 27, 1938. The Federal Government has fixed September 30, 1938, as the dead-line for approving P. W. A. Grants.* VOTE YES!

#### PURCHASE PRICE CANNOT EXCEED \$12,500,000!

2. Negotiations for the purchase of the Market Street Railway will begin immediately after this bond issue is approved by the people. A non-political commission of five (5) members will be appointed by the Mayor to negotiate a price which shall be fair and reasonable to the city, but which *must not exceed \$12,500,000*, the ASKING PRICE of the Market Street Railway, but not necessarily the PAYING PRICE. The price that will be recommended by this commission as fair and reasonable to the city will have to be approved, first, by the Public Utilities Commission, and, secondly, this price will have to be approved by the Board of Supervisors, and, finally, it must be approved by the Mayor. THE PURCHASE PRICE CANNOT BE MORE THAN \$12,500,000. VOTE YES!

#### 5-CENT FARE WITH UNIVERSAL TRANSFERS!

3. The consolidation of the Market Street Railway with the Municipal Railway will give San Francisco a 5-cent fare with universal transfers to any part of the city. It will eliminate the one-man car and put thousands of additional men to work constructing road-beds, tracks, and all other work necessary to interlock both systems. VOTE YES!

#### BUSSES!

4. This bond issue provides for the immediate purchase of 283 modern, up-to-date streamlined street cars, trolley busses and gasoline busses. The busses will be used to replace miles of antiquated Market Street Railway tracks. Additional busses will be provided as rapidly as possible. VOTE YES!

#### EQUALITY OF SERVICE AND FARE!

5. The Municipal Railway serves only one-third of all the traveling public, and these riders enjoy the advantage of a 5-cent fare on cars operated by two men. The Market Street Railway serves the remaining two-thirds of the car riders, and these are penalized by poor service and a higher fare. Consolidation is the only cure for this

evil. Consolidation will place all patrons on equality as to service and fare. VOTE YES!

**ALL OUTLYING DISTRICTS WILL BENEFIT!**

6. Consolidation will greatly increase the use of the Twin Peaks and Duboce Tunnels; give maximum transportation facilities and service to the people living west of the tunnels, and advance real estate values. All outlying districts will be equally benefited. VOTE YES!

**DO YOU WANT 17 YEARS MORE BAD SERVICE?**

7. The Market Street Railway has remaining more than 17 years of existence on the streets of San Francisco through a *franchise* granted by a vote of the people. With the cars, the road-bed, and the service getting worse every day, the outlook is alarming unless immediate and drastic action is taken to alleviate these intolerable conditions.

ARE YOU SATISFIED WITH YOUR PRESENT MARKET STREET RAILWAY STREET CAR SERVICE? IF SO, BEAR IN MIND THAT YOU WILL HAVE THIS KIND OF SERVICE FOR 17 MORE YEARS UNLESS THIS BOND ISSUE RECEIVES YOUR "YES" VOTE. VOTE YES!

**DANGER TO GROWTH AND PROSPERITY OF SAN FRANCISCO!**

8. The bad condition of the Market Street Railway, which is daily getting worse, is a most serious threat to the growth and prosperity of San Francisco. Bad transportation in San Francisco competing with first-class service over the East Bay Bridge will depopulate San Francisco, decrease real estate values, and adversely affect all business. VOTE YES!

**SAN FRANCISCO CANNOT EXPAND AND PROSPER WITHOUT FIRST-CLASS TRANSPORTATION**

9. A city must have transportation service adequate to its needs, just as it must have schools, streets, hospitals, recreation centers and sewers, etc. Without these services a modern city cannot exist. If San Francisco is to forge ahead, expand and prosper, first-class transportation must be provided. VOTE YES!

**CONSOLIDATION RECOMMENDED BY EXPERTS!**

10. Consolidation of the Market Street Railway with the Municipal Railway has been repeatedly recommended by all the engineers and traffic experts who have made long and expensive studies and surveys at the request of the Board of Supervisors. VOTE YES!

**"GREATER SAN FRANCISCO"**

11. The destiny of San Francisco and its future growth lies to the south in San Mateo County. The purchase of the Market Street Railway will give impetus to the consolidation of San Francisco and San Mateo Counties into "Greater San Francisco." VOTE YES!

YOU, the PEOPLE, and ONLY YOU, can decide if you want—

THE BEST IN TRANSPORTATION!

THE UNIVERSAL 5-CENT FARE!

THE UNIVERSAL TRANSFER!

THE ABOLITION OF THE ONE-MAN CAR!

THE ELIMINATION OF 17 YEARS MORE OF THE  
PRESENT TYPE OF BAD SERVICE!

\$5,474,000 FROM WASHINGTON!

WORK FOR OUR UNEMPLOYED FELLOW CITIZENS!

*Recommended by the Mayor, the Public Utilities Commission, the Board of Supervisors and many civic organizations.*

Vote YES on Bond Proposition Number 8.



**FOR YOUR INFORMATION.****By Adolph Uhl.**

Vote "NO" on \$24,480,000 BONDS for purchase and reconstruction of the Market Street Railway.

Vote "NO" on Charter Amendment creating Traffic Commission.

**REGARDING PURCHASE OF THE MARKET STREET RAILWAY:**

It is poor business to pay upward of \$12,500,000 for the Market Street Railway properties, then JUNK *the rails and cars* and spend another \$12,000,000 to RECONSTRUCT the RAILS and PURCHASE SEVERAL HUNDRED NEW STREET CARS, when progressive cities are JUNKING street cars for buses. 108 buses to be purchased are to replace cable cars.

The total amount necessary to purchase and reconstruct the Market Street Railway, Mr. Cahill advises, will be \$39,000,000. Therefore, Mr. Cahill advises, another Bond Issue of at least \$15,000,000 must be voted in near future:

Under date of August 12th, 1938 Mr. Cahill states:

*"The Market Street Railway properties have nearly reached the end of their useful life."*

**MORE TAXES**

Taxpayers: Under date of August 12th, 1938, Mr. Cahill further states:

"If the Bonds were sold at 3% interest basis THE DEFICIT to be met the first year FROM TAXES will be approximately \$764,000 AND in the fourth year beginning of amortization THE DEFICIT to be met FROM TAXES will be \$1,464,000." (Equal to 20-cent raise in the tax rate.)

The 5-cent fare can be restored in all sections of San Francisco by providing Bus transportation. If the Public Utility Commissioners will request the Board of Supervisors to place on the November ballot a bond issue of ONLY \$9,000,000 for buses, shops, etc., bus transportation can then be provided by May, 1939.

**VOTE "NO" ON \$24,480,000 BONDS.****VOTE "NO" ON CHARTER AMENDMENT CREATING A TRAFFIC COMMISSION.**

Such a Commission is not necessary. Such a Commission will positively be a duplication of the Public Utility and other Commissions and will cost the taxpayers \$80,000 a year.

We are told a Traffic Commission is necessary to co-ordinate the various Commissions and Departments of the city government in order to speed up traffic and transportation. Co-ordination can be accomplished if the Mayor, who is the head of all Commissions and the Chief Administrative Officer, who is the head of all Departments will get together and order the various Commissions and Departments to co-operate on matters of traffic and transportation.

Something is radically wrong if the Mayor and the Chief Administrative Officer whose combined salaries are \$22,000 a year are unable to accomplish what a Traffic Commission, composed of laymen, serving without compensation MIGHT be able to accomplish.

**Street Lighting on Chestnut Street.**

Supervisor Ratto presented petition for change in street lighting system on Chestnut street, between Fillmore and Divisadero streets, signed by property owners, merchants and residents of the district.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

**Widening of Geneva Avenue.**

Supervisor Ratto presented communication from Emma C. Shaw, City Clerk, Town of Bayshore, enclosing certified copy of Resolution

No. 81 of the City Council of the Town of Bayshore, with reference to financing the widening of Geneva avenue, from the Bayshore Highway to Schwerin street, in Bayshore, and stating that it is the wish of the City Council of the Town of Bayshore that the work of acquisition of necessary properties for the widening of Geneva avenue be done by the Director of Property of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Referred to the Director of Property.*

#### **Eighteenth Annual Convention of Redwood Empire Association.**

Supervisor Ratto presented communication from the Redwood Empire Association, inviting all members of the Board to be present at the Eighteenth Annual Convention of the Redwood Empire Association, to be held in Eureka on October 21 and 22, 1938.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

#### **State Highway Construction Appropriations for Biennium Budget, 1939-1941.**

Supervisor Ratto presented communication from the Redwood Empire Association asking that a delegation from the San Francisco Board of Supervisors appear before the California Highway Commission on October 7, 1938, at Sacramento, with reference to state highway construction appropriations for the coming biennium.

*Referred to City Engineer for study and report.*

#### **Joint Highway District No. 9.**

Supervisor Ratto presented communication from himself, as President of Joint Highway District No. 9, enclosing resolution adopted by the district, requesting the Board to endorse the program of the district with respect to financing the Lobitas to Lake Lucerne unit of the Ocean Shore boulevard, the pro-rata assessment accruing to the City and County of San Francisco to be 55 per cent, or \$66,000 annually, for four successive years.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

#### **Distribution of Hydro-Electric Energy, Plan No. 8.**

Communication received from the Public Utilities Commission, transmitting Plan No. 8, to provide for the distribution of hydro-electric energy.

*Referred to Joint Committee of Public Utilities, Judiciary and Finance.*

#### **ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 4:55 p. m., adjourned.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors September 19, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, David A. Barry, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

DAVID A. BARRY,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.



Vol. 33

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

No. 40

Monday, September 19, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.

5

Library, September 18, 1873

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 19, 1938, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, September 19, 1938, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Reilly, Shannon—4.

Quorum present.

Supervisor McSheehy presiding, on motion by Supervisor Uhl.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of September 12, 1938, was considered read and approved.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up:

**Authorizing and Directing Tax Collector to Collect Taxes Pursuant to Provisions of Section 3820 of Political Code.**

(Code No. 9.023)

Bill No. 1704, Ordinance No. 9.0232, as follows:

Authorizing and directing the Tax Collector of the City and County of San Francisco to collect taxes on all property, including the taxes on all assessments of possession of, claim to, or right to the possession of land, and the taxes on taxable improvements located upon land exempt from taxation, when said taxes are not a lien upon real property sufficient to secure the payment thereof and when said taxes have not been collected by the Assessor pursuant to the provisions of Section 3820 of the Political Code of the State of California on or before the last business day in July, and vesting said Tax Collector with all the powers and authority which are by law vested in the Assessor to collect said taxes prior to the last business day in July of each year.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Whereas, there was added to the laws of the State of California by the Legislature convening in general session during the year 1937, Section 3832 of the Political Code, which authorized the Tax Collector of any county or any city and county by a four-fifths vote of all the members of its Board of Supervisors to collect taxes

on assessments of possession of, claim to or right to the possession of land and the taxes on taxable improvements located upon land exempt from taxation, when said taxes are not a lien upon real property sufficient to secure the payment thereof and when said taxes have not been collected pursuant to the provisions of Section 3820 of the Political Code of the State of California on or before the last business day in July.

Section 2. And whereas, there is upon the assessment rolls of the City and County of San Francisco a large amount of taxes on personal property which are not a lien upon any real property in the City and County of San Francisco, together with taxes which are assessed by reason of the possession of land as well as upon taxable improvements located on land exempt from taxation.

Section 3. And whereas, said taxes are due and payable to the City and County of San Francisco and the collection thereof will add a large amount of revenue to the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 4. Therefore, the Tax Collector of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized, empowered and directed to collect the taxes on all property, including the taxes on assessments of possession of, claim to, or right to the possession of land, and the taxes on taxable improvements located on land exempt from taxation, when said taxes are not a lien upon real property sufficient to secure the payment thereof, and when said taxes have not, by any action of the Assessor, been made a lien upon real property sufficient to secure the payment thereof and when said taxes have not been collected pursuant to the provisions of Section 3820 of the Political Code of California on or before the last business day in July.

Section 5. The said Tax Collector is hereby given all of the power and authority vested in the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco under and pursuant to Sections 3820, 3821, 3822, 3832, 3791, 3792, 3793, 3794, 3795 and 3796 of the Political Code in regard to the collection of taxes upon all and singular the property above mentioned by seizure and sale thereof, and said Tax Collector is hereby authorized to do any and all things provided or authorized by the general laws of the State of California to collect any and all taxes due upon any or all of the property above mentioned.

#### Action Deferred.

Action on the foregoing bill, due to insufficient votes, was *postponed to Friday, September 23, 1938.*

#### Final Passage.

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up:

#### Reclassification of Two Field Nurses as Supervising Field Nurses at Same Salary.

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 1705, Ordinance No. 9.053155, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 55a of Ordinance No. 9.053128 by decreasing the number of employments under Item 108 from 12 to 10 P52 Field Nurses at \$175, and by adding Item 109½ 2 P54 Supervising Field Nurses at \$175.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 55a of Ordinance No. 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:



**Section 55a. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—CENTRAL  
OFFICE (Continued)**

**FIELD NURSING, ADMINISTRATION**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
99	1	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 190
100	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
101	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
102	1	P57	Assistant to the Director of Field Nurs- ing .....	230
103	8	P54	Supervising Field Nurse .....	200
104	1	P54	Supervising Field Nurse .....	175
105	1	P58	Director of Field Nursing .....	300
106	25	P52	Field Nurse .....	175
107	15	P52	Field Nurse .....	165

**FIELD NURSING, OTHER**

108	10	P52	Field Nurse .....	175
109	7	P52	Field Nurse .....	165
109½	2	P54	Supervising Field Nurse .....	175
110	1	P101	Chinese Visiting Nurse .....	175

**TUBERCULOSIS BUREAU**

110½	2	B222	General Clerk P. T. (ded. 1 meal).....	79.50
111	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
112	2	L360	Physician (part time) .....	100
113	1	L360	Physician (part time) .....	200
114	2	P52	Field Nurse .....	165
115	7	P52	Field Nurse .....	175
116	2	P102	Registered Nurse .....	135
117	2	P102	Registered Nurse (ded. BR&L).....	135
118	1	P104	Head Nurse .....	145

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Reilly, Shannon—4.

**Action Deferred.**

At the request of Supervisor Reilly, consideration of the following bill was *postponed to Monday, September 26, 1938:*

**Changing Walk Widths on Jessie Street Between Fourth and  
Fifth Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Bill No. 1676, Ordinance No. 12.0731127, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Nine (1209).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office August 16, 1938, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Nine (1209), to read as follows:

Section 1209: The width of sidewalks on Jessie street between Fourth and Fifth streets shall be 6 feet.

**Action Deferred.**

Consideration of the following bill was *postponed to Monday, September 26, 1938*:

**Changing Widths of Sidewalks of Townsend Street Between First and Fourth Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Bill No. 1681, Ordinance No. 12.0731132, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section One Hundred and Fifty-five (155) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office August 15, 1938, by amending Section One Hundred and Fifty-five (155) thereof to read as follows:

*Section 155:* The width of sidewalks on Townsend street between First and Third streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the northwesterly side of, between Third and Fourth streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the southeasterly side of, between Fourth street and a point 366 feet northeasterly therefrom shall be 8 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the southeasterly side of, between points 366 feet and 396 feet northeasterly therefrom shall be abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the southeasterly side of, between points 396 feet and 593 feet northeasterly from Fourth street shall be 8 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the southeasterly side of, between points 613.5 feet and 735.25 feet northeasterly from Fourth street shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the southeasterly side of, between points 593 feet and 613.5 feet northeasterly from Fourth street shall be the width between the property line and a reverse curve connecting the previously described widths at said points.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the southeasterly side of, between points 742.5 feet and 767.5 feet northeasterly from Fourth street shall be 12 feet 6 inches.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the southeasterly side of, between points 735.25 feet and 742.5 feet northeasterly from Fourth street shall be the width between the property line and a reverse curve connecting the previously described widths at said points.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the southeasterly side of, between a point 775 feet northeasterly from Fourth street and Third street shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the southeasterly side of, between points 767.5 feet and 775 feet northeasterly from Fourth street shall be the width between the property line and a reverse curve connecting the previously described widths at said points.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the northwesterly side of, between Fourth and Fifth streets shall be 2 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the southeasterly side of, between Fourth and Fifth streets shall be abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street between Fifth and Division streets shall be abolished.



**Final Passage.**

The following recommendations of Streets Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

**Ordering Improvement of Somerset Street, Between San Bruno Avenue and the Northerly Termination of Somerset Street.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Bill No. 1707, Ordinance No. 12.0611101, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the Assessment District, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, August 16, 1938, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten (10) installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The construction of a sewer and appurtenances in Somerset street between San Bruno avenue and the northerly termination of Somerset street, in certain easements in Assessor's Block No. 6199, in the crossing of Alpha street and Tucker avenue, and in the crossing of Alpha street and Tioga avenue, with the construction of the following items:

Item No.	Item
1.	12-inch Vitrified Clay Pipe Sewer
2.	12x6-inch Vitrified Clay Y-branches
3.	8-inch Vitrified Clay Pipe Sewer
4.	8x6-inch Vitrified Clay Y-branches
5.	Brick manholes, complete

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated, and numbered respectively as:

Block 6199—Lots 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33 and 34;

Block 6204—Lots 1, 1A, 1B, 1C, 1D, 2, 2A, 2B, 2C, 3, 3A and 40; and

Block 6205—Lots 2, 3, 3A, 3B, 3C and 4;

all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Reilly, Shannon—4.

**Accepting the Roadway of Bret Harte Terrace.**

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 1708, Ordinance No. 12.081142, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Bret Harte terrace, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Bret Harte terrace, including the curbs.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Reilly, Shannon—4.

**Full Acceptance of Certain Streets in Geneva Terrace.**

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 1709, Ordinance No. 12.081143, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Cayuga avenue between Mount Vernon avenue and Rome street, including the crossings of Cayuga avenue and Ottawa avenue, and Cayuga avenue and Rome street; Rome street between Mount Vernon avenue and a line 300 feet northwesterly from and parallel to the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue, including the crossing of Rome street and Ottawa avenue; Ottawa avenue between Alemany boulevard and a line 115 feet northwesterly from and parallel to the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue; Ottawa avenue between Alemany boulevard and Rome street; Rome street between Cayuga avenue and a line 220 feet southeasterly from and parallel to the southeasterly line of Cayuga avenue; Rome street between lines 220 feet and 300 feet northwesterly from and parallel to the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Cayuga avenue between Mount Vernon avenue and Rome street, including the crossings of Cayuga avenue and Ottawa avenue, and Cayuga avenue and Rome street; Rome street between Mount Vernon avenue and a line 300 feet northwesterly from and parallel to the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue, including the crossing of Rome street and Ottawa avenue; Ottawa avenue between Alemany boulevard and a line 115 feet northwesterly from and parallel to the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue; Ottawa avenue between Alemany boulevard and Rome street; Rome street between Cayuga avenue and a line 220 feet southeasterly from and parallel to the southeasterly line of Cayuga avenue; Rome street between lines 220 feet and 300 feet northwesterly



from and parallel to the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue, including the curbs.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Reilly, Shannon—4.

### **Creating Underground District, Union Street and Calhoun Street.**

(Code No. 11.12)

Also, Bill No. 1710, Ordinance No. 11.1224, as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for Placing Wires and Conduits Underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section to be known as Section ZZZ.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Order No. 214 (Second Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding a new section to be known as Section ZZZ.

Section ZZZ. An additional district to those heretofore described, within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires except trolley poles and wires, after the permanent improvement by physical widening of Union street, from Montgomery street to a line parallel with Calhoun street and fifty (50) feet easterly therefrom; and on Calhoun street, between Union street and a line parallel with and 161 feet southerly therefrom, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 92. Union street, from Montgomery street to a line parallel with Calhoun street and fifty (50) feet easterly therefrom; and on Calhoun street, between Union street and a line parallel with and 161 feet southerly therefrom.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Reilly, Shannon—4.

### **Action Deferred.**

Action on the following bill, due to insufficient votes, was *postponed to Friday, September 23, 1938*:

### **Amending Municipal Code Relative to Continuing Existing Law, and Effective Date of Code. An Emergency Ordinance.**

(Code No. 1.07)

Bill No. 1712, Ordinance No. 1.074, as follows:

Amending Sections 3 and 19 of Ordinance No. 1.073 (Bill No. 1583), entitled "An Ordinance Enacting, Pursuant to Sections 13 and 17 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, a Consolidation, Rearrangement and Codification of the General, Regulatory and Penal Ordinances of Said City and County, to Be Known as the San Francisco Municipal Code; Providing Penalties for Violation of the Provisions Thereof; Providing for the Effective Date of the Municipal Code; and Repealing Ordinance No. 9137 (New Series) From and After the Effective Date of the Municipal Code." An emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 3 of Ordinance No. 1.073 (Bill No. 1583), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 3. *Existing Law Continued.* The provisions of the Municipal Code, is so far as they are substantially the same as existing provisions relating to the same subject matter, shall be construed as

restatements and continuations thereof and not as new enactments. In all courts and tribunals and in the public offices of the City and County of San Francisco, the matter set forth in the Municipal Code shall establish prima facie such laws of the City and County of San Francisco in force on the 1st day of October, 1938; but nothing in this ordinance shall be construed as repealing or amending any such law, or as enacting as new law any matter contained in the Municipal Code, except as expressly provided in this ordinance. Nothing in the Municipal Code shall be deemed conclusive by reason of any omission or otherwise in the provisions of any section of the Municipal Code and the corresponding portion of legislation heretofore enacted, but effect shall be given for all purposes whatsoever to such enactments.

Section 2. Section 19 of Ordinance No. 1.073 (Bill No. 1583), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 19. *Effective Date of Municipal Code.* The effective date of the San Francisco Municipal Code is hereby made the third day of January, 1939, at the hour of 12:01 a. m.

Section 3. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists, to-wit, the extension of the date when the matter set forth in the Municipal Code shall establish prima facie such laws of the City and County of San Francisco in force on the 1st day of July, 1938, to include additional necessary amendments already passed, and the extension of the effective date of the Municipal Code on October 1, 1938, to permit the publication of the Municipal Code, so that this ordinance may become effective prior to the 1st day of October, 1938.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Adopted.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

#### Land Purchase—Bernal Heights Boulevard.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Resolution No. 4240, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works that the City and County of San Francisco purchase Lots 27 and 28, Assessor's Block 5624, San Francisco, from Commercial Centre Realty Company, or the legal owner thereof, required for the Bernal Heights Boulevard, and that the sum of \$300 be paid for said property from Appropriation No. 88.902.17.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by Dion R. Holm, Assistant City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Reilly, Shannon—4.

#### Land Purchase—O'Shaughnessy Boulevard.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 4241, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works that the City and County of San Francisco



purchase Lot 21, Assessor's Block 6706, San Francisco, from Wm. H. Winn, et ux., or the legal owner, required for O'Shaughnessy boulevard, and that the sum of \$4,000 be paid for said property from Appropriation No. 877.935.17.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by Dion R. Holm, Assistant City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Reilly, Shannon—4.

#### **Land Purchase—Third Street Widening.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 4242, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Genevieve Riegelhuth, et al., or the legal owners, a portion of Lot 6, Assessor's Block 4172, San Francisco, required for the widening of Third street, and that the sum of \$259 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 877.931.17.

The above amount includes damages in full to the improvements now located on said property.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above-named party for a particular description of said parcel of land.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Reilly, Shannon—4.

#### **Authorizing Lease of Third Floor at 335 Fell Street for Public Welfare Department.**

(Code No. 12.1739)

Also, Resolution No. 4243, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Welfare Department, that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, as lessee, be and are hereby authorized and directed to enter into a lease with McCreery Estate Company, as lessor, covering the third floor of that certain building located at the southwest corner of Gough and Fell streets, San Francisco, California.

The lease shall be for a term of one year, beginning October 1, 1938, at a rental of \$400 per month, payable from Appropriation No. 856.809.00.

The lessee shall have the right to extend the lease for an additional period of either one or two years from and after September 30, 1939.

Recommended by the Director of Public Welfare.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Reilly, Shannon—4.

#### Action Deferred.

Action on the following bills, due to insufficient votes, was *deferred to September 23, 1938*:

**Appropriation of \$2,612.50 From Emergency Reserve Fund for Employment of Chief Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines in Richmond-Sunset Sewage Disposal Plant. An Emergency Ordinance.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1713, Ordinance No. 9.051514, as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$2,612.50 from the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 802.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 844.101.00, for the purpose of employing a Chief Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines in the Richmond-Sunset Sewage Disposal Plant. This employment is necessary for the installation of the machinery now being installed and for the operation of the plant upon completion of same. An emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,612.50 is hereby set aside from the Emergency Reserve Fund Appropriation No. 802.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 844.101.00, for the purpose of employing a Chief Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines in the Richmond-Sunset Sewage Disposal Plant. This employment is necessary for the installation of the machinery now being installed and for the operation of the plant upon completion of same.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists, and funds must be immediately provided for the uninterrupted installation of machinery and the operation of the Richmond-Sunset Sewage Disposal Plant upon its completion.

Approved by A. D. Wilder, Director of Public Works.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

**Amending Section 49, Annual Salary Ordinance, to Provide Chief Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines for Richmond-Sunset Sewage Disposal Plant, at \$275 Per Month. An Emergency Ordinance.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1714, Ordinance No. 9.053156, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 49 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 by adding Item 3 1 O172 Chief Engineer, Stationary Steam Engines, at \$275; an emergency ordinance.



Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 49 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 49. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—  
SEWAGE PUMPING STATION**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	O202	Sewer Pumping Station Attendant.....	\$ 180
2	1	O168	Engineer, Stationary Steam Engines...	185
3	1	O172	Chief Engineer, Stationary Steam Engines . . . . .	275

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists, as this ordinance must become effective at once in order to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the department.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

Reappropriating \$131.13 From Appropriation No. 951.920.01 as Payment for Extra Work in Excess of 10 Per Cent of Certified Contract Price in Connection with Construction of Safety Islands at Fifth Street Approach to Bay Bridge.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1715, Ordinance No. 9.051515, as follows:

Reappropriating the sum of \$131.13 from Appropriation No. 951.920.01 for the purpose of paying for extra work in excess of 10 per cent of the certified estimated contract price in connection with the construction of safety islands at Fifth street approach to the Bay Bridge.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$131.13 is hereby reappropriated from Appropriation No. 951.920.01 for the purpose of paying for extra work in excess of 10 per cent of the certified contract price in connection with the construction of safety islands at Fifth street approach to the Bay Bridge.

Recommended by A. D. Wilder, Director of Public Works.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Reilly, Shannon—4.

**Amendment to Section 16, Annual Salary Ordinance, Reflecting  
Reclassification of Six Playground Directors to Directors at  
Large, Recreation Department.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1716, Ordinance No. 9.053157, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 16 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 by decreasing the number of employments under the Item 30 from 18 to 15 R56 Playground Director at \$160; by decreasing the number of em-

ployments under Item 31 from 22 to 19 R56 Playground Director at \$155; by adding Item 34 $\frac{1}{4}$  3 R58 Director at Large, Recreation Department, at \$160, and by adding Item 34 $\frac{1}{2}$  3 R58 Director at Large, Recreation Department, at \$155.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 16 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

### Section 16. RECREATION DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	2	A154	Carpenter at \$9 per day .....	
2	1	A158	Sub-Foreman Carpenter at \$10 per day.	
3	1	A354	Painter at \$9 per day.....	
4	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	\$ 175
5	1	B185	Business Manager, Recreation Dept....	300
6	2	B222	General Clerk .....	150
7	2	B222	General Clerk .....	175
9	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
10	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	165
11	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
12	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	150
13	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	235
14	1	F304	Supervisor of Playground Construction and Maintenance .....	350
15	10	J4	Laborer .....	150
16	1	J12	Labor Foreman .....	175
17	45	J72	Playground Caretaker .....	155
18	8	J72	Playground Caretaker .....	145
19	3	O1	Chauffeur .....	160
20	4	O58	Gardener .....	135
20 $\frac{1}{2}$	1	O58	Gardener .....	145
21	4	O58	Gardener .....	155
22	1	O62	Superintendent of Grounds, Recreation Department .....	200
23	1	R2	Secretary and Supervisor of Spec. Activities .....	250
24	1	R3	Assistant Superintendent, Rec. Dept....	260
25	1	R4	Superintendent, Rec. Dept.....	400
26	16	R56	Playground Director (part time).....	75
28	1	R56	Playground Director .....	185
29	3	R56	Playground Director .....	175
30	15	R56	Playground Director .....	160
31	19	R56	Playground Director .....	155
32	9	R56	Playground Director .....	145
33	7	R56	Playground Director (part time).....	65
34		R56	Playground Director, 65c per hour....	
34 $\frac{1}{4}$	3	R58	Director at Large, Recreation Dept....	160
34 $\frac{1}{2}$	3	R58	Director at Large, Recreation Dept....	155
35	1	R105	Supervisor of Athletics .....	185
36	1	R106	Supervisor of Dramatics .....	225
37	1	R107	Supervisor of Women's Activities.....	195
39	1	R108	Supervisor of Music .....	210
40	2	R112	Matron, Swimming Pool—7 mo.....	110
41	1	R114	Swimming Instructor—7 mo. ....	145
42	1	R114	Swimming Instructor—7 mo. ....	190
43		R114	Swimming Instructor—5 mo. (same as Item 42) .....	155
44	1	R114	Swimming Instructor—7 mo. ....	145
45	1	R116	Supervisor of Swimming .....	195



## Section 16. RECREATION DEPARTMENT—Continued.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
46			Pianist (as needed).....per call \$2.50	
46a		R112	Matron, Swimming Pool, 65c per hour..	
46b		R114	Swimming Instructor, 65c per hour....	

## CAMP MATHER SEASONAL EMPLOYMENT, AS NEEDED

—All maintenance provided shall be charged for and deduction made from salaries in accordance with schedule of deductions fixed in Section 2 of this ordinance specified herein.

47		A154	Carpenters at \$9 per day .....	
50	1	B4	Bookkeeper (less R.) .....	139
51		B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	125
54		I6	Pastry Cook (deduct R. & L.) .....	137.50
55		I12	Cook (deduct R. & L.) .....	162.50
56		I16	Chef (deduct R. & L.) .....	210.50
58		L352	Interne (less B., R. & L.) .....	102.50
59	1	O1	Chauffeur (deduct R.) .....	185
61			Team Hire for Camp, at rates specified in Purchasers' Contract .....	
64	1	R56	Playground Director (less B., R. & L.) (part time) .....	110
65		R101	Camp Assistant (part time), less than \$80	
66	1	R102	Camp Manager (8½ mos. at \$225; 3½ mos. at \$260 less B. R. & L.) .....	

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Reilly, Shannon—4.

**Amendment Section 54a of Salary Ordinance, Department of Public Health, Reflecting Reclassification of Field Nurse to Supervising Nurse, Bureau of Communicable Diseases.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1717, Ordinance No. 9.053158, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 54(a) of Ordinance No. 9.053128 by eliminating Item 33 1 P60 Supervising Nurse, Bureau of Communicable Diseases, at \$175, and inserting in lieu thereof Item 33 1 P60 Supervising Nurse, Bureau of Communicable Diseases, at \$165.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 54(a) of Ordinance No. 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 54(a). DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)  
STATISTICS**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
17	1	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 190
18	1	B222	General Clerk .....	185
19	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	190
20	2	B238	Hospital Statistician .....	190
21	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
22	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125

**MEAT INSPECTION**

23	8	N56	Market Inspector .....	175
24	6	N56	Market Inspector .....	200

Section 54(a). DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)

MEAT INSPECTION (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
25	1	N58	Chief Market Inspector .....	225
26	8	N60	Abattoir Inspector .....	200
27	3	N62	Veterinarian .....	201
28	4	N62	Veterinarian .....	200
28½	1	N63	Chief Abattoir Inspector .....	200

COMMUNICABLE DISEASES

29	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
29½	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time)..	79.50
30	4	J74	Rat Catcher .....	115
30½	2	J74	Rat Catcher .....	110
31	4	L370	Epidemiologist (part time).....	225
32	1	L371	Director, Bureau of Communicable Diseases (part time) .....	350
33	1	P60	Supervising Nurse, Bureau of Communicable Diseases .....	165

SYPHILIS UNIT

34	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
35	1	P102	Registered Nurse .....	135
36	1	L360	Physician .....	150

CLINICS

*Diagnostic Center*

37	2	L360	Physician (part time) .....	150
38	1	L364	Pediatrician (part time).....	100
39	1	P52	Field Nurse .....	165

*Bureau of Mental Hygiene*

40	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time)	75
41	1	L404	Psychologist .....	175
42	4	L404	Psychologist .....	150
43	1	L404	Psychologist (part time) .....	75
44	1	L408	Psychiatrist (part time).....	200
45	1	L408	Psychiatrist (part time).....	150

BACTERIOLOGICAL LABORATORY

46	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
47	1	C102	Janitress .....	75
47½	1	I204	Porter (deduct for B. R. & L.).....	85
48	1	L52	Bacteriological Laboratory Technician (part time) .....	79.50
49	2	L52	Bacteriological Laboratory Technician..	125
50	1	L56	Bacteriologist .....	225
51	3	L56	Bacteriologist .....	175
52	1	L58	Director of Laboratories .....	275
53	1	L60	Bacteriological Milk Inspector .....	250
54	1	L64	Consultant, Bacteriologist (part time).	75

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Reilly, Shannon—4.



**Amendment Section 55a, Salary Ordinance, Department of Public Health, Reflecting Reclassification of Supervising Field Nurse to Assistant to Director of Field Nursing.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1718, Ordinance No. 9.053159, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 55a of Ordinance No. 9.053128 by changing the class number and class title under item under 102 from 1 P57 Assistant to Director of Field Nursing at \$230 to 1 P54 Supervising Field Nurse at \$230; by decreasing the number of employments under Item 103 from 8 to 7 P54 Supervising Field Nurse at \$200; by increasing the number of items under 108 from 10 to 11 P52 Field Nurse at \$175, and by adding Item 103½ 1 P57 Assistant to the Director of Field Nursing at \$200.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 55a of Ordinance No. 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 55(a). DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)**

**FIELD NURSING, ADMINISTRATION**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
99	1	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 190
100	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
101	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
102	1	P54	Supervising Field Nurse .....	230
103	7	P54	Supervising Field Nurse .....	200
103½	1	P57	Asst. to Director of Field Nursing.....	200
104	1	P54	Supervising Field Nurse .....	175
105	1	P58	Director of Field Nursing .....	300

**FIELD NURSING, SCHOOLS**

106	25	P52	Field Nurse .....	175
107	14	P52	Field Nurse .....	165

**FIELD NURSING, OTHER**

108	11	P52	Field Nurse .....	175
109	7	P52	Field Nurse .....	165
109½	2	P54	Supervising Field Nurse .....	175
110	1	P101	Chinese Visiting Nurse .....	175

**TUBERCULOSIS BUREAU**

110½	2	B222	General Clerks (part time) (deduct 1 meal) .....	79.50
111	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
112	2	L360	Physician (part time) .....	100
113	1	L360	Physician (part time) .....	200
114	2	P52	Field Nurse .....	165
115	7	P52	Field Nurse .....	175
116	2	P102	Registered Nurse .....	135
117	2	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B. R. & L.) .	135
118	1	P104	Head Nurse .....	145

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Reilly, Shannon—4.

**Amendment Section 56, Salary Ordinance, Department of Public Health, Laguna Honda Home, to Reflect Reclassification of Porter to Wringerman.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1719, Ordinance No. 9.053160, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 56 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 by decreasing number of employments under Item 26½ from 27 to 26 I204 Porter (deduct for B. R. & L.) at \$85, and by adding Item 24½ 1 I166 Wringerman (deduct for B. R. & L.) at \$85.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 56 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 56. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
LAGUNA HONDA HOME**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 100
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
3	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	135
3½	8	I2	Kitchen Helper (deduct for R. & L.)...	75
4	1	I8	Head Baker .....	190
4½	1	I10	Cook's Assistant (deduct for R. & L.)..	95
4¾	1	I10	Cook's Assistant (deduct for R. & L.)...	75
5	3	I12	Cook .....	165
6	1	I12	Cook (part time).....	75
7	1	I14	Junior Chef .....	175
8	1	I16	Chef .....	200
9	1	I22	Butcher .....	125
10	1	I24	Senior Butcher .....	235
11		I26	Hog Killer, \$9 per day (as needed)	
12	4	I54	Waitress .....	110
13	1	I58	Dining Room Steward .....	140
14		I102	Inmate Help, not over .....	50
15	62	I116	Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	85
16	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).	140
17	3	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).	135
18	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).	125
19	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).	120
19½	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).	115
20	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).	102.50
21	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).	87.50
22	1	I212a	Steward (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	235
22½	1	I212b	Stewardess (deduct for B., R. & L.) ....	235
23	1	I154	Laundress .....	100
23½	1	I154	Laundress (deduct for B., R. & L.)....	85
24	1	I164	Marker and Distributor.....	130
24½	1	I166	Wringerman (deduct for B. R. & L.).	85
25	1	I170	Washer (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	102.50
26	1	I174	Superintendent of Laundry .....	175
26½	26	I204	Porter (deduct for B. R. & L.).....	85
27	1	I254	Seamstress .....	100
28	1	I256	Head Seamstress (deduct for R.).....	125

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Reilly, Shannon—4.



**Amendment Section 61, Salary Ordinance, Department of Public Health, Emergency Hospitals, to Reflect Reclassification of Emergency Hospital Steward to Senior Emergency Hospital Steward.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1720, Ordinance No. 9.053161, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 61 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 by decreasing the number of items under Item 10 from 5 to 4 P2 Emergency Hospital Steward at \$175; by adding Item 11½ 1 P3 Senior Emergency Hospital Steward at \$175; by deleting the asterisk in Items 9, 10 and 11, and by deleting the explanation of asterisk.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 61 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 61. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
EMERGENCY HOSPITALS**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	\$ 190
1½	1	I2	Kitchen Helper (deduct for R. & L.)....	75
2	12	L504	Emergency Hospital Surgeon.....	200
3	1	L506	Assistant Chief Surgeon Emergency Hospitals . . . . .	225
4	1	L508	Chief Surgeon .....	250
5	14	O6	Ambulance Driver .....	200
6	1	O6	Ambulance Driver .....	195
7	1	O6	Ambulance Driver .....	185
8	3	O6	Ambulance Driver .....	175
9	7	P2	Emergency Hospital Steward .....	165
10	4	P2	Emergency Hospital Steward .....	175
11	16	P2	Emergency Hospital Steward .....	200
11½	1	P3	Senior Emergency Hospital Steward...	175
12	1	P4	Chief Emergency Hospital Steward....	250
13	12	P102	Registered Nurse .....	165
14	7	P102	Registered Nurse .....	135
15	1	P102	Registered Nurse (Relief) at \$5 per day	

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Reilly, Shannon—4.

**Amendment Section 83, Salary Ordinance, Board of Education, to Correct Error in Original Salary Ordinance.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1721, Ordinance No. 9.053162, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 83 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 by changing Item 259 1 I12 Cook at \$75 to 1 I12 Cook (part time) at \$75, and by changing Item 260 from 2 I2 Kitchen Helper at \$75 to 2 I2 Kitchen Helper (part time) at \$75.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 83 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 83. BOARD OF EDUCATION—  
NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES, 1938-1939**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
200	1	A6	Superintendent of Maintenance and Re- pairs . . . . .	\$ 375
201	3	A154	Carpenter at \$10 per day . . . . .	
202	1	A162	Foreman Carpenter at \$11 per day . . . .	
203	3	A354	Painter at \$9.75 per day . . . . .	
204	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper . . . . .	235
205		B6	Senior Bookkeeper . . . . .	190
206	1	B9	Supervisor of Financial Reports, Board of Education . . . . .	200
207	1	B14	Senior Accountant . . . . .	375
208		B14	Senior Accountant . . . . .	275
209	1	B58	Secretary Board of Education (exempt)	425
210	1	B180	Administrative Assistant . . . . .	300
211	1	B210	Office Assistant . . . . .	100
212	1	B210	Office Assistant . . . . .	75
213	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	155
214	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	190
215	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	175
216	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	200
217	2	B308	Key Drive Calculating Machine Operator	175
218	1	B308	Key Drive Calculating Machine Operator	150
219		B308	Key Drive Calculating Machine Opera- tors as needed at \$5 per day . . . . .	
220	1	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator . . . . .	165
221	1	B354	General Storekeeper . . . . .	230
222	1	B380	Armorer R. O. T. C. (part time) . . . .	75
223	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	215
224	51	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	175
225	9	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	170
226	8	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	160
227	4	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	150
228	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	140
229	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at \$4.20 per eve. . . . .	
230	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at \$3.30 per eve. . . . .	
231	7	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at \$3.00 per eve. . . . .	
232	26	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer at \$6.00 per day . . . . .	
233	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	215
234	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	200
235	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	190
236	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	180
237	1	B454	Telephone Operator . . . . .	175
238	1	B454	Telephone Operator . . . . .	150
239		B454	Telephone Operator (part time) for re- lief when needed at \$2 per day . . . .	
240	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	215
241	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	190
242	5	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	175
243	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	160
244	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	140
245	96	C102	Janitress . . . . .	140
246	4	C102	Janitress . . . . .	130
247	129	C104	Janitor . . . . .	155
248	34	C104	Janitor . . . . .	145
249	1	C104	Janitor (part time) . . . . .	16



**Section 83. BOARD OF EDUCATION—  
NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES, 1938-1939  
(Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
250	1	C104	Janitor (part time) .....	10
251	28	C105	Special Janitor .....	162.50
252	2	C105	Special Janitor .....	152.50
253	5	C107	Working Foreman Janitor .....	185
254	11	C107	Working Foreman Janitor .....	170
255	1	C107	Working Foreman Janitor .....	160
256	1	C112	Supervisor School Janitors .....	275
257	1	I12	Cook .....	140
258	1	I12	Cook .....	130
259	1	I12	Cook (part time) .....	75
260	2	I2	Kitchen Helper (part time) .....	75
261	11	J78	Stockman .....	200
262	4	J78	Stockman .....	160
264	1	J80	Foreman Stockman .....	210
265	1	O2	Chauffeur .....	215
266	1	O104	Moving Picture Operator .....	200
267	2	O122	Window Shade Worker .....	200
268	12	O168	Engineers Stationary Steam Engines ..	220
269		O168	Engineers Stationary Steam Engines (part time; relief) .....	125
270		O168	Engineers, Stationary Steam Engines, \$3 per evening as required .....	310
271	1	O172	Chief Engineer Stationary Steam En- gines .....	200
272	1	O61	Foreman Gardener .....	145
273	7	O58	Gardeners .....	135
274	2	O58	Gardeners .....	
275			Referees and Umpires, \$1 to \$3 per game as needed.	
276			Temporary clerical employment and other help as needed at rates not in excess of salary standardization schedules.	
277			Temporary evening school clerks as needed at \$3.00 per evening.	

**TRUCK RENTAL—CONTRACTUAL**

- 278 Trucks over 2,500 lbs. and not over 4,500  
lbs. at rate of \$265.00 per month for  
not more than 23 days per month.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri,  
Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Reilly, Shannon—4.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were  
taken up:

**Land Purchase—McLaren Park.**

(Code No. 12.1713)

Resolution No. 4244, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Park De-  
partment, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed  
from Elsa Barrett and R. F. Barrett, her husband, or the legal owner,  
to Lots 4 and 5, Assessor's Block 6183, San Francisco, required for

the proposed McLaren Park, and that the sum of \$200 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 712,600.03.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by the Board of Park Commissioners at a meeting September 1, 1938.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Reilly, Shannon—4.

### **Schedule of Charges to Commercial Air Line Transportation Companies for Facilities and General Airport Uses.**

(Code No. 15.052)

Also, Resolution No. 4247, as follows:

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission, by its Resolution No. 2871, and pursuant to section 130 of the Charter, after due notice and hearing in the matter of the establishment of a schedule of charges to commercial air line transportation companies for facilities and general airport uses, including personnel services rendered, has adopted a schedule of rates to be charged to such air line transportation companies; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the following schedule of rates as adopted by the Public Utilities Commission be and the same is hereby approved, to-wit:

### **SCHEDULE OF RATES TO BE CHARGED COMMERCIAL AIR LINE TRANSPORTATION COMPANIES BY PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION**

#### *Air Line Schedule Rates*

Facilities and general airport use for Airplanes—Gross weight 10,000 lbs. to 25,000 lbs.:

- \$100.00 per month for each of first five schedules.
- 85.00 per month for each of next five schedules.
- 70.00 per month for each of next five schedules.
- 50.00 per month for each additional schedule.

For Airplanes—Gross weight 25,001 lbs. to 70,000 lbs.:

- \$135.00 per month for each of first five schedules.
- 115.00 per month for each of next five schedules.
- 95.00 per month for each of next five schedules.
- 65.00 per month for each additional schedule.

And in addition to the foregoing charges for general airport use the charges for Personnel Service rendered for handling cargoes shall be as follows:

For Airplanes—Gross weight 10,000 lbs. to 25,000 lbs.:

- \$60.00 per month for each of first five schedules.
- 50.00 per month for each of next five schedules.
- 40.00 per month for each of next five schedules.
- 30.00 per month for each additional schedule.

For Airplanes—Gross weight 25,001 lbs. to 70,000 lbs.:

- \$80.00 per month for each of first five schedules.
- 65.00 per month for each of next five schedules.
- 55.00 per month for each of next five schedules.
- 40.00 per month for each additional schedule.



And in addition to the foregoing charges there shall be an additional charge of \$2.75 for each extra section of a schedule whenever such extra section or extra sections are operated.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Reilly, Shannon—4.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Reverend Father Leo W. Powleson, Member Recreation Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 4245, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Reverend Leo W. Powleson, member of the Recreation Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing September 27, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Reilly, Shannon—4.

**Leave of Absence—Hon. Alfred Ehrman, Member Fire Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 4246, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Alfred Ehrman, member of the Fire Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing September 23, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Reilly, Shannon—4.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of his Honor the Mayor was taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Hon. Daniel C. Murphy, Sheriff.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 4248, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Daniel C. Murphy, Sheriff of the City and County of San Francisco, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of two weeks, commencing October 1, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Reilly, Shannon—4.

**Canvass of Votes, Primary Election, August 30, 1938.**

(Code No. 3.02)

The Finance Committee presented:

Resolution No. 4249, as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors on Monday, August 29, 1938, adopted Resolution No. 4221, Code No. 3.02, directing the Registrar of Voters to proceed immediately to canvass the returns of the State Primary Election held on Tuesday, August 30, 1938, and designating the clerks to serve on said canvass; and

Whereas, as directed, the Registrar of Voters did proceed to canvass the returns and on the fourteenth day of September, 1938, certify the result of the canvass of all the votes cast at the State Primary Election held in San Francisco on Tuesday, August 30, 1938; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the record book marked, "Official Statement of Votes, Primary Election, August 30, 1938, City and County of San Francisco, A-W," be and the same is hereby constituted the record of the official canvass of the State Primary Election held in the City and County of San Francisco on the said day, and that the statement shows the whole number of votes cast in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on Tuesday, August 30, 1938, and in each voting precinct therein; the names of the persons voted for and the number of votes given in each voting precinct to each of such persons, and the total number of votes given in the City and County of San Francisco to each of such persons.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Reilly, Shannon—4.

**Emergency Hospital and Service at Treasure Island.**

Dr. Schmidt, at the request of the San Francisco Medical Society, questioned Dr. Geiger about the emergency hospital and service at Treasure Island.

Dr. Geiger replied that some time ago the representatives of the Firemen's Fund Insurance Company, who is handling the insurance at Treasure Island, came to the Department of Public Health with plans for a hospital on Treasure Island. The plans, as contemplated, were satisfactory to the Department of Health. The question of equipment was discussed, but not decided. Dr. Geiger stated that it was his opinion that there could not be two hospitals or two groups of persons functioning. He felt the responsibility should be that of the Department of Public Health. The hospital is satisfactory, but the personnel should come from the City Civil Service lists of employments. However, there are no funds at the present time for the Department of Health to meet the expenses. The department should handle the matter, but should not pay the entire cost thereof.

Dr. Schmidt pointed out that the present system of rotation of emergency hospital patients, who had no choice of doctors, or who had no family physician, among the members of the San Francisco County Medical Society, was most satisfactory, and that such method of distribution of patients should be continued.

Supervisor McSheehy suggested that resolution along the lines outlined by Dr. Schmidt be prepared by the Supervisor for presentation to the Board at its next meeting.

**Commending and Congratulating Dr. J. C. Geiger.**

Supervisor Schmidt commended Dr. J. C. Geiger for his excellent work in the field of public health and extended congratulations to him for honors bestowed by the Italian government in awarding to



him the Cross of the Order of the Crown of Italy for services of distinction in the field of public health.

#### **Set-Back Lines, Lombard Street.**

Supervisor Uhl called attention to set-back line on the southerly side of Lombard street, between Van Ness avenue and Lyon street, established by City Planning Commission Resolution No. 1281 and approved by Resolution No. 2480, adopted by the Board of Supervisors on March 23, 1936. He stated he felt there was no need for such set-back line on Lombard street, between the intersection of Richardson avenue and Lombard street, and Lyon street, and requested that proper legislation be prepared for the abolishment thereof.

#### **Adopted.**

The following resolution was presented to the Board by City Attorney O'Toole, who informed the Board of the necessity for its adoption:

Whereupon, Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Colman, moved its adoption.

#### **Calling a Special Election to Be Held in the City and County of San Francisco for the Purpose of Electing a Public Defender.**

(Code No. 3.02)

Resolution No. 4250, as follows:

Resolved, That a special election be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, November 8th, 1938, and the same is hereby called for said date for the purpose of electing a qualified person to the office of Public Defender of the City and County of San Francisco, as provided in Section 5 of the Charter of the City and County; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Registrar of Voters be and he is hereby directed to issue the necessary proclamation and notice of said election and to consolidate said special election, as provided by law, with the general State election to be held on the said eighth day of November, 1938.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Reilly, Shannon—4.

#### **Charter Amendment to Provide for Issuance of Revenue Bonds for the Acquisition or Construction of Power Distribution System.**

Supervisor Roncovieri presented the following report of the Joint Committee on Judiciary, Public Utilities and Finance:

The Joint Committee on Judiciary, Public Utilities and Finance was called to order in Room 228, Friday, September 16, 1938, at 10 o'clock a. m.

Supervisors present were Messrs. Roncovieri, Mead and Ratto. Mr. Cahill, Mr. Ost and Mr. O'Toole were also present. The purpose of the meeting was to consider Plan No. 8 for the Distribution of Hetch Hetchy Power. Because no quorum was present, of course, no recommendation can be made.

Mr. Cahill explained the provisions of Plan No. 8, and after some discussion thereon representatives of the San Francisco Building Trades Council requested that the proposed charter amendment be not submitted in the general election in November for the following reasons:

First. That the ballot is now replete with highly controversial subjects; and

Second. That there is not sufficient time in which to wage the campaign necessary to acquaint the electorate with the issue.

The Building Trades Council, therefore, is of the opinion that the proposed charter amendment should not be submitted at the general election in November, but that its submission should be postponed until some time probably early in January, when a clear-cut and decisive verdict may be had on this vital matter.

In enlarging on report just submitted, Supervisor Roncovieri stated that he had felt that the submission of Plan No. 8 should be deferred for submission at a special election to be held some time early in January, but, because of the probability of lack of sufficient votes to appropriate funds for a special election at a later date, any delay in submission would be inadvisable.

Supervisor Uhl expressed himself as being unalterably opposed to any appropriation for a special election.

Supervisor Colman stated that in view of the fact that this is a future act of the Board, he did not want to be accused of blocking any action taken, but would not vote in favor of any appropriation for a special election. He believed, however, that better representation would be had in the November general election, and that the November election would be the time to secure the most intelligent expression of the people's views.

#### Time Limit for Action by the Board on Proposed Charter Amendments.

After various suggestions for meetings for consideration of proposed Charter Amendments had been made, the City Attorney warned the Board that he would not be responsible for any Charter Amendments ordered submitted to the electors unless they are cleared through the Board not later than Friday, September 23rd. The next regular meeting of the Board on September 26th would be too late to allow for preparation for publication, proof-reading, possible corrections, etc., to insure correct publication forty days before the November election, as required.

#### Committee of the Whole.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Roncovieri, moved that the Board sit as a Committee of the Whole in order to consider proposed Charter Amendment to provide for the issuance of revenue bonds for the acquisition or construction of electric energy distribution system.

No objection and *so ordered*.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Roncovieri, moved that the present chairman be chairman of the committee.

No objection and *so ordered*.

Supervisor Uhl moved that Charter Amendment to provide for the issuance of revenue bonds for the acquisition or construction of electric energy distribution system (Plan No. 8) be submitted to the electors at the general election on November 8, 1938.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

M. A. Watchman, president of the Building Trades Council, and James McKnight of the Building Trades Council expressed opposition to submission at the general election in November.

Mrs. Annie Scanlon urged submission in November.

Mr. O'Rourke, president of San Francisco Property Owners' League, and Henry Warfield voiced objection to any submission to the voters.

#### Taken Into the Hands of the Committee.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the matter be taken into the hands of the committee.

No objection and *so ordered*.



### Amount of Proposed Revenue Bond Issue.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the amount of proposed revenue bond issue be fixed at \$50,000,000, thus providing a "cushion" of \$9,500,000 above the estimated purchase price of \$40,500,000 for the San Francisco distribution system of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, including the Sierra system.

### Opinion From Manager of Utilities.

At the request of Supervisor Roncovieri, Mr. Cahill, Manager of Utilities, informed the Board that the amount of "cushion" advisable was entirely within the discretion of the Board, but suggested that the total amount of proposed revenue bond issue be fixed at \$55,000,000, to provide sufficiently for intangible costs and severance damages.

### Opinion From City Attorney.

At the request of Supervisor Roncovieri, the City Attorney advised the Board that if the amount of the proposed bond issue should not be sufficient to pay for the properties in accordance with the valuation set thereon by the Railroad Commission, it would be necessary again to ask the people to authorize additional bonds. Purchase of a less portion of the properties, to keep within the amount of funds available, would not be possible.

### Withdrawal of Motion.

After further brief discussion, Supervisor Uhl withdrew his motion that the amount of proposed revenue bond issue be fixed at \$50,000,000.

### Statement of Question.

Thereupon, the Chair announced that the question was simply one of confirming the report of the Public Utilities Commission, so that the Board could instruct the City Attorney to prepare the proper legislation to submit to the people a Charter Amendment for the purpose of authorizing a \$55,000,000 revenue bond issue for the securing an electric energy distribution system as outlined in Plan No. 8.

### Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Colman explained his vote, stating he was opposed primarily to the revenue bond feature:

I will explain my vote in opposition to the presentation of this measure because of the revenue bond feature primarily. I have stated many, many times and I still believe and still state again that there is only one object in revenue bonds, and that is it makes it easier to go into debt, and to that principle I am unalterably opposed.

I believe our financial structure today is on its present high plane because it requires two-thirds vote to establish a bonded debt and that principle should be adhered to very, very strictly. I know it is stated by advocates they are not general obligation bonds, but it is my belief they are general obligation bonds. That it is a debt to the City and if interest and redemption cannot be met by the utility, they will be met by a raise in the tax rate and in this way becomes a general obligation to the taxpayer, and it is my strong belief that if two-thirds of the people should concur, they will be placing a yoke of indebtedness around their necks, around the necks of their children and their grandchildren, because that is what it practically amounts to.

I have other reasons. I have always believed and still do believe that the citizens of San Francisco are reasonably complying with the terms of the Raker Act under the present method of distribution from Hetch Hetchy. I realize the situation as it exists. I have read the brief, the very splendid brief of our City Attorney, and I sincerely agree with it. I sincerely believe we are reasonably and legally

complying with the terms of the Raker Act. As it was properly done, in orderly sequence, the matter was taken to court, and as it is not at all unusual, the first decision was against the City. The Board determined to appeal to the next higher court. This is being done now. Should the next court take an adverse stand, it is right to go to the highest court of the land, the Supreme Court of the United States. We have the final decision there.

We are adopting a defeatist attitude which I do not feel and therefore cannot go along and until such time as the string is played out, then the situation will be definite. I further believe that should this plan be judged as not reasonably and legally complying with the terms of the Raker Act, another plan can be evolved which will reasonably comply with the Raker Act.

Another possibility before the City should admit defeat is of going to Congress of the United States for a clear statement of fact to see whether or not we can have the terms of the Act clarified. I believe the City should have the right to regulate Red Mountain Power Plant so that San Francisco can receive additional revenue of some four hundred thousand dollars, and I have just as high a regard to carry out any principle or obligation as any other member of this Board, so therefore this is all untimely this going along on this proposition at this particular time.

Let me reply to you, we are all human beings. I have been in business many, many years and have given careful thought to business propositions, the most conservative thought. In my business I have found to my regret that estimates of revenue are apt to shrink, estimates of expense are apt to advance, and it is not unusual within my experience of the last ten years to see many favorable propositions at their inception turn out with surpluses not black but colored red, and for these reasons I am against the submission of this proposal.

In the past I have voted to submit this question when it was decided by general obligation bonds voted by two-thirds majority. The record will bear that out. I am against the proposition at this time and take no exception to any figures submitted by Mr. Cahill, but I do believe that the people of San Francisco should exercise great care against authorizing a staggering debt of \$55,000,000. Now, gentlemen, for these reasons I cannot vote to submit this matter to the people.

#### Motion Carried.

Thereupon, the roll was called on confirming the report of the Public Utilities Commission and instructing the City Attorney to prepare the proper legislation to submit to the people a Charter Amendment for the purpose of authorizing a \$55,000,000 revenue bond issue for the securing an electric energy distribution system as outlined in Plan No. 8, as follows:

Ayes—Supervisors Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—5.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy—2.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Reilly, Shannon—4.

#### Committee of the Whole Arises.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved that the Committee of the Whole rise and report.

No objection and *so ordered*.

#### Reconvening as Board of Supervisors.

Thereupon, Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Colman, moved that the Board reconvene as a Board of Supervisors.

No objection.



**Report of Findings of Committee of the Whole.**

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Committee of the Whole report its findings to the Board of Supervisors.

No objection and *so ordered*.

**Statement by City Attorney.**

No action to submit or refuse to submit to the electors was taken on this vote. I quite realize that possibly if we could submit this matter when there are no other propositions on the ballot we might be much better off. We would be much nearer carrying this proposition than we could at the general election. However, there are two things that might prevent you from submitting this at a later date. There is no money for a later election except in the Mayor's Emergency Fund, which takes nine votes to appropriate, in addition to the Mayor's recommendation. There is a very grave question as to whether or not the calling of a special election for the purposes of voting bonds of this character is such an emergency as comes within the provisions of Section 16 of the Charter. If this Board has another meeting in time to submit a Charter Amendment I am going to have the amendment prepared and you can take it or leave it. I have stated in open court that at the earliest opportunity San Francisco would submit a Charter Amendment to the electors. I will prepare the amendment and lay it in the lap of this Board, and the Board can do just what it pleases.

**Motion.**

Supervisor Roncovieri, seconded by Supervisor Ratto, moved that in view of the statement just made by the City Attorney, further consideration of this matter be postponed until Friday, September 23, at 4 p. m., and that the members of the Board be notified to be present, under call of the Board.

No objection and *so ordered*.

**Proposed Charter Amendments Relating to Fire Department.**

The following proposed charter amendment, presented by Supervisor McSheehy, of the Judiciary Committee, was read by the Clerk:

**CHARTER AMENDMENT NO. ....**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to add a new section to the Charter, to be known as Section 36.1, relating to the Fire Department.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County at an election to be held on the 8th day of November, 1938, in the City and County of San Francisco, a proposal to add a new section to the Charter to be known as Section 36.1, relating to the Fire Department, to read as follows:

Section 36.1. Positions of Officers and Employees of the Fire Department legally authorized shall continue, and incumbents therein legally appointed thereto shall continue as the Officers and Employees of the Department under the conditions governing their respective appointments, and except as in this Charter otherwise provided. The annual compensations for the several ranks in the Department shall be as follows: Chief Engineer, \$7,200; First Assistant and Second Assistant

Chief Engineers, \$4,800; Battalion Chiefs, \$4,200; Captains, \$3,200; Lieutenants, \$3,096; Engineers, \$2,640; Chief's Operators, \$2,520; Drivers, Stokers, Tillermen, Truckmen and Hosemen for the first year of service, \$2,160; for second year of service, \$2,280; and for third year of service and thereafter, \$2,400; Pilots of Fire Boats and Marine Engineers of Fire Boats, \$3,060; Firemen of Fire Boats, \$2,460.

If and when the provisions of this section be approved by the electorate of the City and County of San Francisco and ratified by the legislature of the State of California, then and in that event, the provisions of paragraph four of Section 36 as now written or amended at any election to be held on November 8, 1938, shall stand repealed and shall no longer be in force and effect.

City Attorney O'Toole presented the following proposed charter amendment submitted by the David Scannell Club:

### CHARTER AMENDMENT NO. ....

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, an amendment to Section 36 of the Charter relating to the Fire Department.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County at an election to be held on the 8th day of November, 1938, in the City and County of San Francisco, a proposal to amend said Charter as hereinafter set forth by amending Section 36 thereof relating to the Fire Department.

Section 36. The Fire Department shall be under the management of a Fire Commission, consisting of three members who shall be appointed by the Mayor and each of whom shall receive an annual compensation of Twelve Hundred Dollars (\$1,200). The term of each Commissioner shall be four years, commencing at twelve o'clock noon on the 15th day of January in the years 1932, 1933 and 1934 respectively and the Mayor, after the 8th day of January, 1932, shall reorganize the Commission to provide for four-year terms of three Commissioners, specified in this section.

The Fire Commission shall appoint a Chief Engineer, a Secretary and a Department Physician who shall hold office at its pleasure.

The Fire Commissioners shall be successors in office of the Fire Commissioners holding office in the City and County at the time the Charter shall go into effect, and shall have all the powers and duties thereof, except as in this Charter otherwise provided. The Commissioners shall have power, upon recommendation of the Chief Engineer, to send fire boats, apparatus and men outside of the City and County of San Francisco for fire-fighting purposes.

Positions of Officers and Employees of the Fire Department legally authorized shall continue, and incumbents therein legally appointed thereto shall continue as the Officers and Employees of the Department under the conditions governing their respective appointments, and except as in this Charter otherwise provided. The annual compensation for the several ranks in the Department shall be as follows: Chief Engineer, \$7,200; First Assistant and Second Assistant Chief Engineer,



\$4,800; Battalion Chiefs, \$4,200; Captains, \$2,820; Lieutenants, \$2,670; Engineers, \$2,640; Chief's Operators, \$2,520; Drivers, Stokers, Tillermen, Truckmen and Hosemen for the first year of service, \$2,160; for second year of service, \$2,280; and for third year of service and thereafter, \$2,400; Pilots of Fire Boats and Marine Engineers of Fire Boats, \$3,060; Firemen of Fire Boats, \$2,460.

Each period of twenty-four hours shall be divided into two watches of duty, to-wit: From eight o'clock a. m. to six o'clock p. m. and from six o'clock p. m. to eight o'clock a. m. The Uniformed Force of the Fire Department shall be divided into Two Platoons, the Officers and Members assigned to which shall alternate on the tours of duty at intervals of not more than six (6) days. Not less than forty-eight hours shall elapse between the completion of one tour of duty and the commencement of another, except in case of a conflagration requiring the services of more than one-half of the force of the Department. A tour of duty is hereby defined as a period of six consecutive day watches or six consecutive night watches.

The Uniformed Force of the Department shall be divided into divisions, battalion districts, companies, and such other units as the Fire Commission may deem necessary. There shall be on duty at all times the minimum numerical strength hereinafter specified:

One Chief Engineer; not less than one Assistant Chief Engineer in each division; not less than one Battalion Chief in each battalion district; not less than one Company Officer, one Driver, one Tillerman and four Truckmen for each hook and ladder company; not less than one Company Officer, one Driver and four Hosemen for each Engine company in not less than forty per cent of the total engine companies; not less than one Company Officer, one Driver, and three Hosemen for each Engine Company in the remaining percentage of the total engine companies; not less than one Company Officer, six Hosemen, one Pilot, two Marine Engineers, and two Marine Firemen for each Fire Boat; not less than one Company Officer, one Driver, and four Hosemen for each Rescue Squad. A Captain or a Lieutenant shall constitute a Company Officer.

In all other companies or units of the Fire Department the minimum number and rank of personnel shall be determined by the Chief Engineer with the approval of the Board of Fire Commissioners.

The Chief Engineer shall detail for duty as Chief's Operators such members of the Department as he may select. There shall be not less than two Operators for the Chief Engineer; not less than one Operator for each Assistant Chief Engineer and each Battalion Chief.

A Captain shall be in command of each Engine Company, each Hook and Ladder Company, each Fire Boat Company and each Rescue Squad company. The Captain shall alternate on his tours of duty with a Lieutenant.

Sufficient Operators, Hosemen and Truckmen shall be provided for the regular relief of the various ranks. Hosemen and Truckmen shall be deemed to be of the same rank, for such regular relief.

Sufficient officers of equal rank shall be provided for regular relief, with the exception of the ranks of Chief Engineer and Captain. Regular

relief shall be defined as the relief necessary to provide service in any routine period intervening between tours of duty, and shall not include any other relief necessary to operate the Department. Sufficient officers and men shall be provided for other relief.

On the recommendation of the Chief Engineer, the Commission may reward any member of the Department for heroic or meritorious conduct, the form or amount of said award to be discretionary with the Commission, but not to exceed one month's salary in any one instance.

The Chief Engineer, or in his absence any Assistant Chief Engineer or in their absence any Battalion Chief in charge, may, during a conflagration, cause to be cut down or otherwise removed any buildings or structures for the purpose of checking the progress of such conflagration.

The amendatory provisions of this section shall not become effective until December 1, 1939.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. A. Kilkeary, Director; Thos. F. Webb, President, explained the provisions of the Charter amendment proposed by the David Scannel Club.

City Attorney O'Toole pointed out to the Board conflicts between the two proposed amendments submitted, and the resulting status if both amendments be approved by the electors. He further suggested that he be given a few days in which to try and work out an amendment incorporating the essential provisions of both proposed amendments that would be satisfactory to the proponents of both measures.

#### Reference to City Attorney.

Thereupon, Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Roncovieri, moved that both proposed amendments be sent to the City Attorney and he be asked to combine the two amendments, carrying out the thought expressed in each one.

No objection and *so ordered*.

#### Further Consideration Postponed.

Supervisor Roncovieri, seconded by Supervisor Ratto, moved that the entire matter of Fire Department amendments be postponed until 4 p. m., Friday, September 23, 1938.

*So ordered.*

#### Appointment of President Pro Tempore.

Supervisor Ratto, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, at the suggestion of the Clerk, moved that Supervisor Roncovieri, Chairman of the Finance Committee, be appointed President pro tempore, in order that he might sign necessary warrants, etc., during President Shannon's illness.

No objection and *so ordered*.

#### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 5:10 p. m., adjourned to meet on Friday, September 23, 1938, at 4:00 p. m.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.



Approved by the Board of Supervisors September 26, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, David A. Barry, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

DAVID A. BARRY,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Journal of Proceedings  
Board of Supervisors





Friday, September 23, 1938

Monday, September 26, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco







# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 23, 1938, 4 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, Friday, September 23, 1938, 4 p. m.  
The Board of Supervisors met in adjourned meeting.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.  
Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Roncovieri—4.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

## Consideration of Proposed Charter Amendments.

The following proposed Charter Amendment, previously considered by the Judiciary Committee, and referred by the Board to the Retirement Board for actuarial report thereon, was taken up:

## CHARTER AMENDMENT NO. 3

### POLICE RETIREMENT ACT

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding Section 168.1 thereto, relating to present and future members of the Police Department.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County, at the general election to be held on the 8th day of November, 1938, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County, as follows:

Section 168.1. Members of the Police Department, as defined in this section, who shall be members of the Retirement System under Sections 165, 166 or 168 of the Charter on the effective date hereof, hereby defined as the first day of the month next following the ratification of this amendment by the Legislature, and persons who shall become members of said department after said effective date, shall be members of the Retirement System on and after said date, subject to the following provisions of this Section 168.1, in addition to the provisions contained in Sections 158 to 161, both inclusive, of this Charter, notwithstanding the provisions of any other section of the Charter. Members of the said department who shall be members of the Retirement System under Section 166 of the Charter on said effective date, however, shall have the option to be exercised in writing on a form furnished by the Retirement System and to be filed at the office of said System within ninety days after the effective date hereof, of being members of the System under Section 166

instead of Section 168.1, the election under said option to date back to and be effective on said effective date. In like manner, members of the said Department who shall be members of the Retirement System under Sections 165 or 168 of the Charter, shall have the option, to be exercised in writing on a form furnished by the Retirement System, and to be filed at the office of said System within ninety days after the effective date hereof, of being members of the System under Sections 165 or 168, respectively, instead of Section 168.1, the election to date back and be effective on said effective date. On and after said date, the persons who affirmatively exercise said option, shall continue to be members of the System under Sections 166, 165 or 168, respectively, and shall not be subject to any of the provisions of Section 168.1.

(A) The following words and phrases as used in this section, unless a different meaning is plainly required by the context, shall have the following meanings:

"Retirement allowance," or "allowance" shall mean equal monthly payments, beginning to accrue upon the date of retirement, or upon the day following the date of death, and continuing for life unless a different term of payment is definitely provided by the context, equal to fifty per cent of the final compensation of the person to whom, or on account of whose death, said payments are made. The amount of any retirement allowance, or allowance, shall not be changed after determination under this Section, regardless of changes in salaries attached to positions or ranks in the Police Department.

"Compensation," as distinguished from benefits under the Workmen's Compensation Insurance and Safety Act of the State of California, shall mean the remuneration payable in cash, by the City and County, without deduction except for absence from duty, for time during which the individual receiving such remuneration is a member of the Police Department.

"Compensation earnable" shall mean the compensation which would have been earned had the member received compensation without interruption throughout the period under consideration and at the rates of remuneration attached to the ranks or positions held by him during such period, it being assumed that during any absence he was in the rank or position held by him at the beginning of the absence, and that prior to becoming a member of the Police Department he was in the rank or position first held by him in such department.

"Benefit" or "Benefits" shall include "allowance," "retirement allowance" and "death benefit."

"Final compensation" shall mean the average monthly compensation earnable by a member during the three years immediately preceding his retirement, or death, before retirement.

For the purposes of the Retirement System and of this section, the terms "member of the Police Department," "member of the department," or "member," shall mean any officer or employee of the Police Department whose employment therein began prior to January 1, 1900, or whose employment therein began or shall begin after that date, and was or shall be subject to the charter provisions governing entrance requirements for members of the uniformed force of said department, and said terms further shall mean, from the effective date of their employment in said department, persons employed on the effective date hereof, regardless



of age, or employed after said date at an age not greater than thirty-five years, in the Police Department to perform the duties now performed under the titles of Criminologist, Photographer, Police Patrol Driver, Police Motor Boat Operator, Woman Protective Officer, Police Woman or Jail Matron. Any police service performed by such a member of the Police Department outside the limits of the City and County and under orders of a superior officer of any such member, shall be considered as City and County service, and any disability or death incurred therein shall be covered under the provisions of the Retirement System.

"Retirement System" or "System" shall mean San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System as created in Section 158 of the Charter.

"Retirement Board" shall mean "Retirement Board" as created in Section 159 of the Charter.

"Charter" shall mean the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Words used in the masculine gender shall include the feminine and neuter genders, and singular numbers shall include the plural, and the plural the singular.

"Interest" shall mean interest at the rate adopted by the Retirement Board.

(B) Any member of the Police Department, who shall have completed at least twenty-five years of service in the aggregate, computed as provided in subsection (H) hereof, may retire for service at his option. Any member who shall attain the age of seventy years shall be retired on the first of the month next following such attainment. A member retired under this subsection (B) shall receive a retirement allowance equal to fifty per cent of the final compensation of said member, as defined in subsection (A) hereof.

(C) Any member of the Police Department, who shall become incapacitated for performance of his duty by reason of any bodily injury received in, or illness caused by the performance of his duty, shall be retired, and shall receive a retirement allowance equal to fifty per cent of the final compensation of said member, as defined in subsection (A) hereof. Any member of the Police Department who shall become incapacitated for performance of his duty, by reason of a cause not included under the provisions of the immediately preceding sentence, and who shall have completed at least ten years of service in the aggregate, computed as provided in subsection (H) hereof, shall be retired upon an allowance of one and one-quarter per cent of the final compensation of said member, as defined in subsection (A) hereof, for each year of service, provided that said allowance shall not be less than twenty-five per cent of said final compensation. The question of retiring a member under this subsection may be brought before the Retirement Board on said Board's own motion, by recommendation of the Police Commission or by said member or his guardian. If his disability shall cease, his retirement allowance shall cease, and he shall be restored to the service in the rank he occupied at the time of his retirement.

(D) If a member of the Police Department shall die (1) before retirement as a result of an injury received in, or illness caused by the performance of his duty, or (2) while eligible to retire under subsection

(B) of this section, regardless of the cause of death, or (3) after retirement for service under subsection (B) hereof, regardless of the cause of death, or after retirement under subsection (C) hereof because of injury received in, or illness caused by the performance of his duty, regardless of the cause of death, an allowance shall be paid to his surviving wife, equal to fifty per cent of the final compensation of said member, as defined in subsection (A) hereof, the allowance to continue throughout her life or until her remarriage; or if there be no surviving wife entitled to an allowance hereunder, or if she die or remarry before every child of such deceased member shall have attained the age of eighteen years, then said allowance shall be paid to his child or children under said age collectively to continue until every such child shall die or attain said age, provided, that no child shall receive any allowance after marrying or attaining the age of eighteen years. Should said member leave no surviving wife and no children under the age of eighteen years, but leave a parent or parents dependent upon him for support, the parents so dependent shall collectively receive said monthly allowance during such dependency. No allowance, however, shall be paid under this subsection to a surviving wife following the death of a member:

(1) after being retired under subsection (B) of this section, regardless of the cause of death, unless said surviving wife of the deceased member was married to said member at least three years prior to retirement; or

(2) after being retired under subsection (C) of this section unless retirement be due to injury received in, or illness caused by the performance of his duty and unless said surviving wife was married to said member at least one year prior to retirement; or

(3) if said death be due to causes other than injury received in, or illness caused by the performance of his duty, and if said death shall occur before retirement but while eligible to retire under subsection (B) of this section, unless said surviving wife was married to said member at least three years prior to death.

(E) Any allowance payable because of the death or retirement of any member of said Department shall be reduced in the manner fixed by the Board of Supervisors, by the amount of any benefits, other than medical benefits, payable to or on account of such person, under the Workmen's Compensation Insurance and Safety Law of the State of California.

(F) If a member of the Police Department shall die, before retirement, from causes other than an injury received in, or illness caused by the performance of duty, or regardless of cause, if no allowance shall be payable under subsection (D) preceding, a death benefit shall be paid to his estate or designated beneficiary, the amount of which and the conditions for the payment of which shall be determined in the manner prescribed by the Board of Supervisors for the death benefit of other members of the Retirement System.

(G) Should any member of the Department cease to be employed as such a member, through any cause other than death or retirement or transfer to another office or department, all of his contributions, with interest credited thereon, shall be refunded to him forthwith. If he shall again become a member of the Department, he shall deposit in the Retirement



ment Fund, the amount refunded to him. If a member of the Retirement System shall become a member of the Police Department from a status as an employee in any other office or department, the contributions then credited to him, with interest, shall be refunded to him and he shall not receive credit for any service other than that rendered as a member of the Police Department. Contributions, with interest, which shall be credited on the effective date hereof, because of service rendered in any other office or department to any person who shall be or become a member of the Retirement System under this section, shall be refunded to him forthwith. Should a member of the Police Department become an employee of any other office or department, a portion of his contributions with credited interest equal to the contributions which would have accumulated to his credit if he had been employed in said other office or department at the rate of compensation received by him in the Police Department, shall remain credited to his account, and he shall receive credit for service to the extent of said accumulated contributions, and the balance of his contributions with credited interest shall be refunded to him forthwith.

(H) The following time shall be included in the computation of the service to be credited to a member of the Department for the purpose of determining whether such member qualifies for retirement:

(1) Time during and for which said member is entitled to receive compensation because of services as a member of the Department.

(2) Time during which said member, while on leave of absence from said Department without salary, has served or shall serve in the military, naval or air forces of the United States of America during any war in which the United States was or shall be engaged.

(I) All payments provided under this section shall be made from funds derived from the following sources, plus interest earned on said funds:

(1) There shall be deducted from each salary payment made to any member of the Police Department, a sum equal to five per cent of such salary payment, notwithstanding the provisions of Section 160 of the Charter. The sum so deducted shall be paid forthwith to the Retirement System. Said contribution shall be credited to the individual account of the member from whose salary it was deducted, and the total of said contributions, together with interest credited thereon in the same manner as is prescribed by the Board of Supervisors for crediting interest to contributions of other members of the Retirement System, shall be applied to provide part of the retirement allowance granted to, or allowance granted on account of said member, under this section or shall be paid to said member or his estate or beneficiary as provided in subsections (F) and (G) of this section.

(2) The total contributions deducted from salary earned by persons who become members under this section, prior to the effective date hereof, together with interest thereon, and standing to the credit of such members on the records of the Retirement System on said date, shall continue to be credited to the individual accounts of said members and shall be subject to the last sentence of para-

graph (1) next preceding, in exactly the same manner as the contributions provided for in said paragraph.

(3) The total contributions, with interest thereon, made by or charged against the City and County and standing to its credit, in the accounts of the Retirement System, on account of persons who become members under this section, shall be applied to provide the benefits under this section.

(4) The City and County shall contribute to the Retirement System such amounts as may be necessary, when added to the contributions referred to in the preceding paragraphs of this subsection (I), to provide the benefits payable under this section. Such contributions of the City and County to provide the portion of the benefits hereunder which shall be based on service rendered prior to the first day of July next following the effective date hereof, shall not be less during any fiscal year than the amount of such benefits paid during said year. Such contributions of the City and County to provide the portion of the benefits hereunder which shall be based on service rendered on and after the first day of July next following the effective date hereof shall be made in annual installments, and the installment to be paid in any year shall be determined by the application of a percentage to the total salaries payable during said year, to persons who are members under this section, said percentage to be the ratio of the value at the effective date hereof, or at the later date of a periodical actuarial valuation and investigation into the experience under the System as provided by the Board of Supervisors, of salaries thereafter payable to said members then in the Police Department to the value at said effective or said later date of the benefits thereafter to be paid under this section, from contributions of the City and County, less the amount of such contribution, plus accumulated interest thereon, then held by said System to provide said benefits on account of service rendered after the effective date hereof. Said values shall be determined by the Actuary, who shall take into account the interest which shall be earned on said contributions, the compensation experience of members, and the probabilities of separation by all causes, of members from service before retirement and of death after retirement. Said percentage shall be changed only on the basis of said periodical actuarial valuation and investigation into the experience under the System.

(5) To promote the stability of the Retirement System through a joint participation in the results of variations in the experience under mortality, investment and other contingencies, the contributions of both members and the City held by the System to provide the benefits under this section, shall be a part of the fund in which all other assets of said System are included, but the accounts of said System shall be kept in such manner as to permit the segregation of assets equal to the total contributions with interest credited thereon, held by said System to provide the benefits under this section, and to permit the determination that, except for said joint participation, all payments provided under this section shall be made from the contributions provided for herein. Nothing in this section shall affect the obligations of the City and County to pay to



the Retirement System any amounts which became or shall become due under the provisions of the Charter prior to the effective date hereof, and which are represented on said effective date, in the accounts of said system by debits against the City and County.

(J) Upon the completion of the years of service set forth in subsection (B) of this section as requisite to retirement, a member shall be entitled to retire at any time thereafter in accordance with the provisions of said subsection (B), and no amendment to this Charter or repeal of this section, or any other event or occurrence, whether prior to, pending at or subsequent to said completion of whatever nature shall deprive said member of said right.

(K) Any member retired under the provisions of this section shall not be eligible for employment in any salaried position in federal, state, county, or municipal governments. Such employment of a retired member shall be good and sufficient cause for forfeiture to the Retirement System, of the retirement allowance of said member, which shall accrue during the period of such employment.

(L) Any section, or part of any section in this Charter, in so far as it should conflict with this Section 168.1, or with any part thereof, shall be superseded by the contents of said Section 168.1. In the event that any clause, section or phrase of this Charter amendment shall be adjudged unconstitutional, the remainder thereof shall remain in full force and effect.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. Ralph Nelson, Secretary-Actuary of the Retirement System, explained the proposed amendment, stating that the proposed amendment was financially sound, would do what is proposed, and that many cities, so far as firemen and police are concerned, have much more liberal pension benefits than does San Francisco. Sergeant James Quigley and Captain Michael Riordan, representing the Police Department members, urged the submission of the amendment to the electors.

Supervisor Uhl announced that the Charter Amendment being considered had been presented to the Board in June, and not referred to Mr. Nelson for report until some time in August. He resented the idea of a matter of such importance coming before the Board at such a late hour.

#### Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Colman explained his vote, stating that if it is true that many cities have more liberal pension systems than has San Francisco, then it would appear that the only alternative of the Board is to present the amendment to the people for their approval.

#### Ordered Submitted.

Thereupon the roll was called and the foregoing Charter Amendment was *ordered submitted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Roncovieri—4.

#### Charter Amendment Relating to a System for the Generation and Distribution of Electric Power, and Providing for the Financing of the Cost Thereof by the Issuance of Revenue Bonds.

The following proposed Charter Amendment, presented by the City Attorney, was taken up:

## CHARTER AMENDMENT NO. ....

## REVENUE BONDS—ELECTRICAL SYSTEM

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco by adding a new section thereto to be known as Sections 121.1 to 121.—, both inclusive, relating to a system for the generation and distribution of electric power, and providing for the financing of the cost of said system by the issuance of bonds or other obligations payable wholly out of the revenue of said system, in an amount not to exceed \$55,000,000.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County at an election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the 8th day of November, 1938, a proposal to amend, as herein set forth, the Charter by adding thereto a new section to be known as Sections 121.1 to 121.—, both inclusive, relating to a system for the generation and distribution of electric power, and providing for the financing of the cost of said system by the issuance of bonds or other obligations payable wholly out of the revenue of said system, in an amount not to exceed \$55,000,000.

## REVENUE BONDS—ELECTRICAL SYSTEM

121.1 The public utilities commission shall, for the purpose of meeting the cost of the acquisition, construction and completion by purchase, construction or other lawful method, a system or systems including all extensions, betterments and replacements as herein provided for the generation and distribution to the people of the City and County of San Francisco, or to the people of any territory adjacent to or adjoining said city and county, of the hydro-electric power generated in the Hetch Hetchy Project, or for the generation or distribution of any other electric power necessary or convenient for the purpose of furnishing said city and county, or the people thereof, or any territory adjacent to said city and county, or to the people thereof, with heat, light and power, borrow money by the issuance of revenue bonds or other evidences of indebtedness, payable wholly out of revenue, in such amount or amounts, as may, in the judgment of said public utilities commission, be necessary to meet the cost of the acquisition, extension and completion of said system, provided that the total amount of said money to be so borrowed, or bonds or other evidences of indebtedness issued, shall not exceed in the aggregate the sum of \$55,000,000. Said system to be acquired shall hereafter be referred to as The System.

Any and all money borrowed, or bond or other evidence of indebtedness authorized or issued pursuant to this section, as well as the interest to accrue thereon, shall be payable solely and only out of the revenue from The System to be acquired with said moneys so borrowed, or received for said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness.

The public utilities commission shall, except as herein provided, determine the form, conditions and denominations of all bonds or other evidences of indebtedness issued under the provisions of this section, and



shall fix the maximum rate of interest which said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness shall bear, which rate of interest shall not exceed six (6%) per cent per annum, payable semi-annually. The payment of the principal of said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness shall commence not later than five years from the date of issuance, and shall be completed in not more than forty years from date of issuance. Said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness shall mature and shall be payable at such places and during the period over which said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness are payable in such amounts each year as may be fixed by the commission. All of said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness so issued may, at the option of the owner thereof, be registered, as to either principal or interest or both, with the treasurer of the city and county in such manner as may be provided by the commission. Said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness may be sold at such time and in such amounts as the public utilities commission shall deem proper, provided that said bonds shall not be sold at less than their par value and accrued interest at date of delivery. Said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness may be offered for sale either on the basis of a fixed rate of interest or on the basis of the lowest net interest cost and power is hereby given to the commission to fix said rate of interest at any time prior to the delivery of said bonds in accordance with the bid of the purchaser thereof. All bonds or other evidences of indebtedness issued as herein provided shall be signed by the president of the public utilities commission, or by the manager of utilities, and by the secretary of the public utilities commission, and countersigned by the treasurer of the city and county. The signature of the president of the public utilities commission or of the manager of utilities may be by facsimile. Any coupon attached to said bonds shall have affixed thereto the facsimile signature of the said treasurer. The official seal of the public utilities commission shall be affixed to said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness and full authority is hereby given to said commission to adopt a seal in such form and device as it shall deem proper. Each of said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness so issued shall state plainly on its face that it is payable, both as to principal and interest, only from the special fund created for that purpose, out of the revenues of The System and set aside for such payment, and that it does not constitute a general indebtedness against the City and County of San Francisco. All revenue bonds issued under authority of this Charter shall be negotiable instruments and shall have all of the attributes of negotiability under the laws relating to negotiable instruments of this state.

The public utilities commission shall have power to determine the method for the acquisition, construction or completion of The System, (and the determination of said method by said public utilities commission shall be binding and conclusive.) Should said commission determine that The System, or any part thereof, should be acquired other than by construction, the same may be acquired by purchase or by any other lawful means. If said public utilities commission should determine that The System, or any part thereof, should be constructed, it shall have full power to proceed with the said construction when said method is approved by the board of supervisors. When said public

utilities commission shall have determined the manner of acquiring The System it shall adopt a resolution specifying the method and manner of acquiring the same and shall, in said resolution, fix and determine the estimated cost thereof, and the estimated revenue therefrom, and shall include in said estimate of cost such amount as said commission shall estimate to be sufficient to pay the interest on the bonds or other evidences of indebtedness during the actual period of construction of The System and for six months after the same is in operation by said commission, and if The System is to be acquired by any method other than by construction, then said commission shall estimate the cost of said acquisition including the cost of all legal proceedings incident thereto and add the amount of said estimate to the cost of said acquisition, as well as the amount of interest on all of said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness which may be outstanding during the period of said acquisition, and interest on any bonds or other evidences of indebtedness issued or sold to defray the cost of said acquisition for a period of six months after the commencement of the operation of The System by said commission, and said commission shall include in the cost of The System, whether acquired by construction or otherwise, an amount sufficient to provide working capital as estimated by the commission to be sufficient to pay the operating expenses of The System for a period not exceeding six months after the operation of The System shall commence. Not more than fifteen (15%) per cent of the cost of the acquisition or construction of said system shall be applied to interest and working capital.

The public utilities commission is hereby given full power and authority, with the approval of the board of supervisors, given at any time or from time to time, to deviate from said Plan to such extent as may be deemed necessary or proper and at the same time enable said commission to carry out the general objects and purposes of said Plan and no change made in said Plan or in the execution of the same shall render invalid or shall have any effect upon the validity of any bond or other evidence of indebtedness authorized or issued to meet the cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of The System, and no purchaser or holder of any bond or other evidence of indebtedness authorized or issued pursuant to this section shall be required to see to the existence of any of the facts or to the performance of any of the conditions or the taking of any of the proceedings herein required prior to the issuance of said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness, or to the application of the proceeds thereof.

When said public utilities commission shall have adopted said resolution specifying the manner of the acquisition or construction of The System, as well as the total estimated cost thereof, and when the method and cost of the acquisition of The System have been approved by resolution of the Board of Supervisors, said commission shall adopt an additional resolution authorizing the issuance of the amount of revenue bonds or other evidences of indebtedness payable solely out of the revenue of said system necessary to pay the said estimated cost of acquiring, constructing or completing said system, not to exceed the sum of \$55,000,000; provided that if said resolution, in fixing the amount of said



bonds or other evidences of indebtedness to be issued, fixes said amount at less than \$55,000,000, said commission may adopt a subsequent resolution or resolutions authorizing the issuance of an additional amount of bonds or other evidences of indebtedness not to exceed the difference between the amount theretofore issued and said \$55,000,000.

Said resolution shall fix the amount of bonds or other evidences of indebtedness to be issued and state generally the purpose thereof, but no purchaser of said bonds shall be required to look to the application of the funds derived from the sale of said bonds. Said resolution shall also state the number and denomination and maturities of said bonds or other evidence of indebtedness, and may provide, all and singular, the conditions to be inserted in said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness as well as the interest thereon if said interest is to be fixed, or it may defer the fixing of interest until the time of the sale of said bonds, and full power and authority is hereby given to said commission to cause to be inserted in said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness such terms and conditions as it shall deem proper, provided that there shall always be inserted in said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness the fact that the same are payable, both as to principal and interest, wholly out of the revenue of The System, set aside for such payment.

Said resolution may also provide, and the public utilities commission is hereby authorized and empowered in and by the terms of said resolution to agree with the holders of any of the revenue bonds issued hereunder, so long as the same shall be outstanding, as follows:

(a) That the proceeds of the sale of said revenue bonds shall be deposited in a fund separate and apart from all other funds of the city and county and shall, with any interest accrued thereon, be applied wholly and exclusively to the objects and purposes for which such revenue bonds are authorized to be issued, and that any proceeds of the sale of such bonds remaining unused upon the acquisition of such system shall be applied to the retirement of the revenue bonds outstanding, by purchase in the open market or by call and redemption, if the same are by their terms made callable by the commission, as the case may be, and that none of such moneys shall be transferred to any other fund of the City and County of San Francisco;

(b) That the public utilities commission will through the life of said bond maintain and operate The System constructed from the proceeds of said revenue bonds, in good repair, working order and condition and will, from time to time, make all needful and proper repairs, renewals and replacements, and will continuously operate the same;

(c) That the commission will (subject to all applicable laws of the State of California and the rules and orders of all regulatory bodies of the State of California which may hereafter have jurisdiction over the commission or the project acquired from the proceeds of said revenue bonds) establish reasonable rates for the sale of electric energy distributed through such system and that such rates in the aggregate shall be adequate to enable the commission to pay the principal and interest on said revenue bonds as the same become due, and all costs of maintenance, operation and repairs of The System acquired from the proceeds of the sale of such revenue bonds;

(d) That accurate books and records of account will be kept and maintained, showing all payments received by the commission from the operation of The System acquired from the proceeds of the sale of such revenue bonds, which records shall be open at all times for inspection, while any of the revenue bonds are outstanding and unpaid, to the holders of any one or more of such revenue bonds or their duly authorized representatives;

(e) That if any part of The System shall be taken from the commission or from the City and County of San Francisco by eminent domain proceedings, or other proceedings authorized by law, the proceeds realized by said city and county or by said commission shall be applied solely and exclusively to the payment of the principal and interest of said revenue bonds until the same shall have been paid in full;

(f) That neither the commission nor the city and county shall mortgage or otherwise encumber, sell, or lease or dispose of The System acquired from the proceeds of the sale of said revenue bonds or any substantial part thereof, or enter into any lease or contract which shall impair the operation of said system or otherwise impair the right of the holders of any of said revenue bonds to secure payment in full of the principal or interest of said revenue bonds as the same shall mature;

(g) That the commission shall maintain insurance on The System acquired from the proceeds of the sale of said revenue bonds, of the kind and character and in the amount which is usual and customarily carried by private companies engaged in the operation of a system of works similar to that to be acquired from the proceeds of the sale of said revenue bonds;

(h) That none of the facilities of The System shall be furnished free. In the event that the City and County of San Francisco, or any department, agency or instrumentality thereof shall avail itself of the facilities afforded by The System acquired from the proceeds of the sale of said revenue bonds, a reasonable charge shall be made against said city and county, agency or instrumentality and shall be paid as the services shall accrue;

(i) That any terms, covenants or conditions herein contained or made a part of any bond issued under authority of this section shall be subject to alteration, amendment or modification by agreement between the commission and the holders of at least eighty (80%) per cent of the principal of the amount of the said bonds then outstanding;

(j) Said commission may also agree to any other terms or conditions, whether hereinabove referred to or not, which, in the judgment of the commission, shall be necessary, advisable or convenient in effecting the sale of said revenue bonds; provided, that such conditions do not permit said bonds to be sold at less than the par value thereof or increase the coupon rate of interest above six (6%) per cent, payable semi-annually, and provided further, that none of said covenants, agreements or conditions, nor anything herein provided shall obligate said commission or the City and County of San Francisco to do or perform any of said terms, covenants or conditions by the expenditure of any funds other than those arising from the operation of The System to be ac-



quired from the proceeds of the sale of said revenue bonds, and under no circumstances shall the city and county be obligated to levy or collect taxes to provide moneys to perform any of the terms or conditions contained in any of such resolutions, and all obligations assumed by said commission which shall require the expenditure of any funds shall be limited solely and exclusively to the funds arising from the operation of said system.

When said last mentioned resolution is adopted, said public utilities commission shall have full power and authority to issue and sell the revenue bonds or other evidences of indebtedness payable solely out of revenue to the amount specified in said resolution, but not to exceed the sum of \$55,000,000.

The public utilities commission shall regulate the time of the issuance of bonds or other evidences of indebtedness authorized by this section, and may cause the same to be issued as one issue or in successive issues under the same authorization. Said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness shall be sold by said commission at public sale to the highest and best bidder therefor, after notice of said sale has been given by the publication of notice of said sale for a period of two days in the official newspaper. Said sale shall be held not less than five days after the last publication of said notice. The commission shall require a cashier's or certified check payable to the order of the Commission, or other security in such amount as it may deem proper from all bidders to insure the fulfilling of any bid made for said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness. When any of said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness are sold, the proceeds of said sale shall be deposited in the treasury of the city and county and shall be used only for the purposes for which the same were approved. If a bid acceptable to the commission should not be received for said bonds offered for sale the commission may direct that said bonds, or any part thereof, be sold by the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco at private sale, at a price not less than par and accrued interest at the date of delivery of said bonds to purchaser.

Bonds or other evidences of indebtedness authorized or issued under the authority of this section shall not be subject to the charter limitations as to the bonded indebtedness of the city and county, nor shall they be taken into consideration in determining the amount of bonded indebtedness as provided in Section 104 of the Charter.

Nothing in this section contained shall in any way abridge, control or revoke the power of the electors of the city and county to vote for and cause to be issued general obligation bonds or other evidences of indebtedness of the city and county for the acquisition, construction, completion or extension of The System, but the manner provided in this section for the acquisition, construction, completion or extension of the same shall be deemed to be a supplemental and additional manner for providing funds for said purpose.

Bonds or other evidences of indebtedness issued pursuant to this section may be called and paid before maturity upon such terms and conditions as may be determined by the public utilities commission, provided that said conditions are inserted in said bonds at the time of the issuance thereof.

The commission is hereby authorized, from time to time, to provide for the refunding, extension or renewal of any bonds or other evidences of indebtedness issued pursuant to this section and remaining outstanding and unpaid, at or prior to maturity thereof by agreement of modification endorsed on said bonds, or by the issuance, sale or exchange of new bonds or other evidences of indebtedness in the same aggregated principal amount as the bonds or other evidences of indebtedness outstanding or unpaid, said new bonds or other evidences of indebtedness to be of such denomination and maturing at such times, and bearing such rates of interest, and otherwise modified as said commission shall deem proper, provided that such new bonds or evidences of indebtedness shall be payable, both as to principal and interest, solely out of the revenue of The System and the latest maturity of said new issue shall not be more than forty years from the date of said new issue and the interest thereon shall not exceed six per cent (6%) per annum payable semi-annually.

The public utilities commission shall fix and establish just and reasonable rates for any service furnished by The System, which said rates shall not be less than will provide an amount sufficient to pay, as the same become due, the following:

(a) All amounts necessary to pay the interest and principal on all bonds or evidences of indebtedness issued and sold, as provided in this section, and remaining unpaid.

(b) All the operating expenses of The System.

(c) All amounts necessary to provide for the repairs and maintenance of The System.

(d) A surplus fund equal to the amount required to meet the interest and principal payments which will become due in the next succeeding year on outstanding bonds.

(e) An amount which shall be sufficient to meet the cost of reconstruction and replacements made necessary by the physical and functional depreciation of The System, as the same may occur.

The board of supervisors shall not have power to reduce said rates fixed by said public utilities commission for service from The System if said rates as so reduced will not be sufficient to pay, as the same become due, all and singular the obligations mentioned in subparagraph (a), (b), (c), (d) and (e) above set forth. The board of supervisors is further given authority to agree with the holders of any of said bonds that said board of supervisors will not reduce rates fixed by the public utilities commission below an amount sufficient to provide funds to meet the obligations above mentioned. The board of supervisors is further given authority to agree with the holders of said bonds to carry out and perform all covenants and agreements of the public utilities commission if said commission should be abolished or cease to exist and no successor to said Commission or other body vested with its power, is in existence.

The public utilities commission shall cause all moneys accruing from The System to be paid into the treasury of the City and County of San Francisco daily, and said moneys, together with any interest earned thereon, shall be used only for the following purposes and in the order herein set forth, to-wit:



(a) To pay the principal sum and the interest thereon on all bonds or other evidences of indebtedness issued under this section and remaining unpaid, as said principal and interest become due and payable;

(b) To pay all of the operating expenses of said system;

(c) To pay all amounts to become due for the repair and maintenance of said system;

(d) A surplus fund equal to the amount required to meet the interest and principal payments which will become due in the next succeeding year on outstanding bonds;

(e) An amount which shall be sufficient to meet the cost of reconstruction and replacements made necessary by the physical and functional depreciation of The System, as the same may occur;

(f) To pay for extensions and betterments to said system, or to establish a reserve to pay for said extensions and betterments;

(g) To establish a surplus fund, which shall be maintained subject to the provisions of Section 129 of the Charter.

Said commission shall cause to be set up a bond interest and redemption fund into which shall be paid an amount at least sufficient to pay, as the same become due, the principal and interest upon the outstanding unpaid bonds or other evidences of indebtedness. Said bonds and the interest thereon shall constitute a first lien and charge upon all of the income and revenue from The System set aside for such payment, and if at any time the revenues of The System are not sufficient to permit the payment of said sum into said fund, any deficiency shall be paid into said fund from the surplus fund. Said commission shall estimate the proper amounts to be applied to the purposes mentioned in schedules (c), (e), and (f) above set forth and shall cause said estimated amounts to be set aside in proper funds established to meet said purposes. Said estimates may be changed from time to time. Said commission shall also pay into said interest and redemption fund an amount sufficient to meet all existing delinquencies if any, upon any of said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness.

Notwithstanding any other provision of this Charter which may be in conflict with the provisions of this section, the provisions of this section shall prevail in so far as the same pertain to the financing and acquisition, construction or completion of The System by the sale of bonds or other evidences of indebtedness payable solely out of revenue; provided, however, that nothing herein contained shall prevent the financing or acquisition of The System or any additions thereto by general obligation bonds issued as provided by law.

The System shall be considered a separate utility, and revenue from any other utility shall not be applied to The System unless otherwise expressly provided by Charter.

The board of supervisors may, by ordinance, confer upon said public utilities commission such additional powers not in conflict with this section as may be necessary to carry out the purposes of this section.

Upon the taking effect of this amendment the public utilities commission shall immediately proceed to acquire, construct and complete The System and promptly perform all acts required of said public utilities commission.

FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 23, 1938.

**Communication from Mayor re Special Election for Hetch Hetchy Power Distribution Bonds.**

The following was presented:

September 23, 1938.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall.

Gentlemen: I am enclosing herewith a letter from Honorable Harold L. Ickes, Secretary of the Interior, dated July 27th, requesting that the matter of Hetch Hetchy power distribution be placed on the special election ballot, September 27th, and my answer thereto.

Yours sincerely,

ANGELO J. ROSSI,

Mayor.

August 5, 1938.

Honorable Harold L. Ickes, Administrator of Public Works,  
Washington, D. C.

My Dear Mr. Secretary: Please excuse the delay in answering your letter of July 27, which urges me to place the matter of municipal distribution of Hetch Hetchy power on the September special election ballot. This delay was occasioned by the necessity of carefully studying your proposal. Since the sum of more than \$50,000,000 is involved for the proposal of municipal distribution of Hetch Hetchy power, you may readily realize not only such a necessity, but also the need to accumulate complete data before putting a proposal of such magnitude before the voters.

Before going into detail regarding your suggestion, I do want you to know that the authority to place such an issue on any election ballot lies in the discretion of the Board of Supervisors and not the Mayor, although the Board is cognizant of your suggestion.

I am in receipt this morning of a letter from the Manager of Public Utilities, which reads as follows:

"Preparation of Plan No. 8—Power Distribution.

"In the preparation of Power Plan No. 8 much engineering and accounting data must be compiled so as to take into consideration both editions and betterments since the date Power Plan No. 7 was submitted, and also depreciation of the entire San Francisco distribution system during the same period.

"Power Plan No. 8 will call for the expenditure of more than \$50,000,000 and you will understand, of course, that it cannot be hastily prepared. In my letter to you of June 17, 1938, I stated that the investigation and report could not possibly be completed and placed before the Board of Supervisors before October 1, 1938. I now desire to reiterate that statement and point out that I cannot have the necessary data ready for submission in time to submit to the voters earlier than the November general election.

"Respectfully submitted,

"E. G. CAHILL,

"Manager of Utilities."

In view of this letter it is not possible to carry out your request that the matter of Hetch Hetchy power distribution be placed on the special election ballot. Therefore, I take this means officially to inform you that this matter cannot be submitted to the electorate before the general election in November and then only if authorized by the Board of Supervisors.

In informing you of this decision, I take this occasion to thank you sincerely for your intense interest in this municipal problem.

Faithfully yours,

ANGELO J. ROSSI,

Mayor.



## THE SECRETARY OF THE INTERIOR.

Washington, July 27, 1938.

Hon. Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor, San Francisco, California.

My Dear Mr. Rossi: I am informed that it is the intention of the City and County of San Francisco to submit to the voters on September 27 a number of bond issues. From recent communications from you on the subject of the violation by San Francisco of the Raker Act, it appears that it is further the purpose of San Francisco to submit at the regular election in November the question of a bond issue that would make it possible for it to obey the Raker Act.

I conceive it to be my duty under the law to cooperate to the fullest possible extent with the City and County of San Francisco to the end that San Francisco strictly carry out the obligations under the Raker Act that it so willingly assumed when that act was passed. I, therefore, suggest that the question it is proposed to submit to the voters, an affirmative vote on which would at least put San Francisco in the way of starting to obey this law, be submitted on September 27, if that is the date on which other bond issues will be voted upon. I make this suggestion in the belief that San Francisco proposes to submit this question of revenue bonds in good faith; that the duly elected officers of the City and County will urge the adoption of this bond issue with all the vigor and enthusiasm with which they will support the other bond issues. To make an orphan child of this particular revenue bond issue by putting it on a different ballot, at a different election, would, in my judgment, not give this issue the fair test to which it is entitled.

Sincerely yours,

(Signed) HAROLD L. ICKES,  
Secretary of the Interior.

## Privilege of the Floor.

Congressman Franck R. Havenner urged submission of proposed amendment at a special election, stating that it was the unanimous request of all the groups in San Francisco that are deeply interested in the success of the issue, to be given an opportunity of expressing themselves at a special election.

Miss Margaret Krsak, representing Women's State Democratic Club of California, and A. P. Wagner, President, Public Ownership Association of San Francisco, urged the submission of the proposed amendment to the electors at a later date after there had been sufficient time thoroughly to study and understand the proposal.

Mrs. Annie Scanlon urged submission to the electors at the General Election, November 8, 1938.

## Submission at November General Election Refused.

Thereupon, the roll was called, and submission at the general election, November 8, 1938, was *refused* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Shannon—5.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Roncovieri—4.

## Referred to Judiciary Committee.

On motion by Supervisor Reilly, the foregoing Charter amendment was *referred to the Judiciary Committee*.

## Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Schmidt explained his vote on submission of the foregoing Charter amendment at November election, as follows:

"One of my reasons I voted for the November date was that Secretary Ickes has been saying that this proposition should be brought

to the attention of the voters at the earliest possible date. That is one of my reasons; another is that we certainly are going to get a large representative group of citizens to vote on that particular date. My experience in observing voting of citizens that they have always shown fine discrimination, that they have been sufficiently educated as to just what this proposition is. Now it has been said that it is better to bring this proposition at a special election and not the general election and probably some proponents feel it would stand a better chance. That opportunity was given to them and we have that answer. We gave that particular issue a special election in the first place and allowed the citizens to decide and at that time they did not see fit to vote for it. I believe that if this proposition were voted on at the general election it would stand a better chance.

For these reasons I voted to submit it at the earliest election, the November date and not at a special election. Also \$45,000 additional. We are just holding a special election and a couple of months later hold another. That is a lot of money, money that we should give consideration to."

#### Fire Department Pensions.

The following proposed Charter Amendment was presented by Supervisor Ratto:

### CHARTER AMENDMENT NO. 2

#### FIRE RETIREMENT ACT

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 171 thereof, relating to certain present members and to future members of the Fire Department.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County, at the general election to be held on the 8th day of November, 1938, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County, as follows:

Section 171. Members of the Fire Department, who shall be members of the Retirement System under Section 171 of the Charter, on the effective date hereof, hereby defined as the first day of the month next following the ratification of this amendment by the Legislature, and other persons who shall be employed in said Department and shall be members of the System under Section 165 of the Charter on said effective date, but who, under this section become members of the Fire Department as defined herein, and persons who shall become members of said Department after said effective date, shall be members of the Retirement System on and after said date, subject to the following provisions of this Section 171, in addition to the provisions contained in Sections 158 to 161, both inclusive, of this Charter. Members of the said Department who shall be members of the Retirement System under Section 169 of the Charter on said effective date, shall have the option to be exercised in writing on a form furnished by the Retirement System, of being members of the System under this Section 171 instead of Section 169, the election under said option to be effective on the day said completed form is received at the office of said System. On and after said date, the person who affirmatively exercises said option, shall be a member of the System under Section 171 and shall not be subject to any of the provisions of Section



169. Members of said Department who are members of the Retirement System under said Section 169, and who do not affirmatively exercise said option, shall not be subject to any of the provisions of Section 171.

(a) The following words and phrases as used in this section, unless a different meaning is plainly required by the context, shall have the following meanings:

"Retirement allowance," or "allowance" shall mean equal monthly payments, beginning to accrue upon the date of retirement, or upon the day following the date of death, and continuing for life unless a different term of payment is definitely provided by the context, equal to fifty per cent of the final compensation of the person to whom, or on account of whose death, said payments are made. The amount of any retirement allowance, or allowance, shall not be changed after determination under this section, regardless of changes in salaries attached to positions or ranks in the Fire Department.

"Compensation," as distinguished from benefits under the Workmen's Compensation Insurance and Safety Act of the State of California, shall mean the remuneration payable in cash, by the City and County, without deduction except for absence from duty, for time during which the individual receiving such remuneration is a member of the Fire Department.

"Compensation earnable" shall mean the compensation which would have been earned had the member received compensation without interruption throughout the period under consideration and at the rates of remuneration attached to the ranks or positions held by him during such period, it being assumed that during any absence he was in the rank or position held by him at the beginning of the absence, and that prior to becoming a member of the Fire Department he was in the rank or position first held by him in such department.

"Final compensation" shall mean the average monthly compensation earnable by a member during the three years immediately preceding his retirement, or death before retirement.

For the purposes of the Retirement System and of this section, any officer or employee included in the uniformed force of the Fire Department whose employment was or shall be subject to a Charter maximum age at the time of employment of not over thirty-five years, shall be considered to be a member of the Fire Department. Other persons employed on and after the effective date hereof, in the Fire Department to perform the duties now performed under the titles of marine engineer, pilot and marine stoker on the fire boats, or of hydrantman-gateman, and foreman hydrantman-gateman, shall also be considered to be members of the Fire Department from the effective date of their employment in said Department, for the purposes of the Retirement System and of this section. Any fire service performed by such a member of the Fire Department outside the limits of the City and County and under orders of a superior officer of any such member, shall be considered as City and County service, and any disability or death incurred therein shall be covered under the provisions of the Retirement System.

"Retirement System" or "System" shall mean San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System as created in Section 158 of the Charter.

"Retirement Board" shall mean "Retirement Board" as created in Section 159 of the Charter.

"Charter" shall mean the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Words used in the masculine gender shall include the feminine and neuter genders, and singular numbers shall include the plural, and the plural the singular.

"Interest" shall mean interest at the rate adopted by the Retirement Board.

(b) Any member of the Fire Department who shall have completed at least twenty-five years of continuous service computed as provided in subsection (h) hereof, may retire for service at his option. A member retired under this subsection (b) shall receive a retirement allowance equal to fifty per cent of the final compensation of said member, as defined in subsection (a) hereof.

(c) Any member of the Fire Department, who shall become incapacitated for performance of his duty by reason of any bodily injury received in, or illness caused by the performance of his duty, shall be retired, and shall receive a retirement allowance equal to fifty per cent of the final compensation of said member, as defined in subsection (a) hereof. Any member of the Fire Department who shall become incapacitated for performance of his duty, by reason of a cause not included under the provisions of the immediately preceding sentence, and who shall have completed at least ten years of continuous service computed as provided in subsection (h) hereof, shall be retired upon an allowance of one and one-quarter per cent of the final compensation of said member, as defined in subsection (a) hereof, for each year of service, provided that said allowance shall not be less than twenty-five per cent of said final compensation. The question of retiring a member under this subsection may be brought before the Retirement Board on said Board's own motion, by recommendation of the Fire Commission or by said member or his guardian. If his disability shall cease, his retirement allowance shall cease, and he shall be restored to the service in the rank he occupied at the time of his retirement.

(d) If a member of the Fire Department shall die (1) as the result of an injury received in, or illness caused by the performance of his duty, or (2) while eligible to retire under subsection (b) of this section, or (3) after retirement for service under subsection (b) hereof or under subsection (c) hereof, because of any bodily injury received in, or illness caused by the performance of his duty, a monthly allowance shall be paid to his surviving wife, equal to fifty per cent of the final compensation of said member, the allowance to continue throughout her life or until her remarriage; or if there be no surviving wife entitled to an allowance hereunder, or if she die or remarry before every child of such deceased member shall have attained the age of eighteen years, then said allowance shall be paid, to his child or children under said age collectively to continue until every such child shall die or attain said age, provided that no child shall receive any allowance after marrying or attaining the age of eighteen years. Should said member leave no surviving wife and no children under the age of eighteen years, but leave a parent or parents dependent solely upon him for



support, the parents so dependent shall collectively receive said monthly allowance during such dependency. No allowance, however, shall be paid under this subsection to a surviving wife following the death of a member:

(1) after being retired under subsection (b) of this section, regardless of the cause of death, unless said surviving wife of the deceased member was married to said member at least one year prior to retirement; or

(2) after being retired under subsection (c) of this section unless retirement be due to injury received in, or illness caused by the performance of duty and unless said surviving wife was married to said member at least one year prior to death; or

(3) if said death be due to causes other than injury received in, or illness caused by the performance of his duty, and if said death shall occur before retirement but while eligible to retire under subsection (b) of this section, unless said surviving wife was married to said member at least one year prior to death.

(e) Any allowance payable because of the death or retirement of any member of said Department shall be reduced in the manner fixed by the Board of Supervisors, by the amount of any benefits, other than medical benefits, payable to or on account of such person, under the Workmen's Compensation Insurance and Safety Law of the State of California.

(f) If a member of the Fire Department shall die, before retirement, from causes other than an injury received in, or illness caused by the performance of duty, or regardless of cause, if no allowance shall be payable under subsection (d) preceding, a death benefit shall be paid to his estate or designated beneficiary, the amount of which and the conditions for the payment of which shall be determined in the manner prescribed by the Board of Supervisors for the death benefit of other members of the Retirement System.

(g) Should any member of the Department cease to be employed as such a member, through any cause other than death or retirement or transfer to another office or department, then all of his contributions, with interest credited thereon, shall be refunded to him forthwith. If he shall again become a member of the Department, he shall deposit in the Retirement Fund, the amount refunded to him. If a member of the Fire Department shall become a member of the Police Department, his contributions, with credited interest, shall remain credited to his account, and for purposes of the Retirement System, he shall receive credit for the time served as a member of the Fire Department in the same manner as if it had been served in the Police Department. If a member of the Retirement System shall become a member of the Fire Department from a status other than that of a member of the Police Department, his contributions, with credited interest, shall be refunded to him and he shall not receive credit for any service other than that rendered as a member of the Fire Department. Should a member of the Fire Department become an employee of any other office or department other than the Police Department, a portion of his contributions with credited interest equal to the contributions which would have accumulated to his credit if he had been employed in said other office or department at the rate of compensation re-

ceived by him in the Fire Department, shall remain credited to his account, and he shall receive credit for service to the extent of said accumulated contributions, and the balance of his contributions with credited interest shall be refunded to him forthwith.

(h) The following time shall be included in the computation of the service to be credited to a member of the Department for the purpose of determining whether such member qualifies for retirement:

(1) Time during and for which said member is entitled to receive compensation because of services as a member of the Department.

(2) Time during and for which said member, if he shall be a member of the Retirement System under Section 171 of the Charter on the effective date hereof, was entitled to receive compensation because of services as an officer or employee of any other office or department, prior to said effective date.

(3) Time during which said member, while on leave of absence from said Department without salary, has served or shall serve in the military, naval or air forces of the United States of America during any war in which the United States was or shall be engaged.

(i) All payments provided under this section shall be made from funds derived from the following sources, plus interest earned on said funds:

(1) There shall be deducted from each salary payment made to each member of the Fire Department, who is a member of the Retirement System under this section, a sum equal to five per cent of such salary payment, notwithstanding the provisions of Section 160 of the Charter. The sum so deducted shall be paid forthwith to the Retirement System. Said contribution shall be credited to the individual account of the member from whose salary it was deducted, and the total of said contributions, together with interest credited thereon in the same manner as is prescribed by the Board of Supervisors for crediting interest to contributions of other members of the Retirement System, shall be applied to provide part of the retirement allowance granted to, or allowance granted on account of said member, under this section, or shall be paid to said member of his estate or beneficiary as provided in subsections (f) and (g) of this section.

(2) The total contributions deducted from salary earned by persons who become members under this section, prior to the effective date hereof, together with interest thereon, and standing to the credit of such members on the records of the Retirement System on said date, shall continue to be credited to the individual accounts of said members and shall be subject to the last sentence of paragraph (1) next preceding, in exactly the same manner as the contributions provided for in said paragraph.

(3) The total contributions, with interest thereon, made by the City and County and standing to its credit, in the accounts of the Retirement System, on account of persons who become members under this section, shall be applied to provide the benefits under this section.

(4) The City and County shall contribute to the Retirement System such amounts as may be necessary, when added to the contribu-



tions referred to in the preceding paragraphs of this subsection (i) to provide the benefits payable under this section. Such contributions of the City and County to provide the portion of the benefits hereunder which shall be based on service rendered prior to the effective date hereof, shall not be less during any fiscal year than the amount of such benefits paid during said year. Such contributions of the City and County to provide the portion of the benefits hereunder which shall be based on service rendered on and after the effective date hereof, shall be made in annual installments, and the installments to be paid in any year shall be determined by the application of a percentage to the total salaries payable during said year, to persons who are members under this section, said percentage to be the ratio of the value at the effective date hereof, or at the later date of a periodical actuarial valuation and investigation into the experience under the System as provided by the Board of Supervisors, of salaries thereafter payable to said members then in the Fire Department, to the value at said effective or said later date of the benefits thereafter to be paid under this section, from contributions of the City and County, less the amount of such contribution, plus accumulated interest thereon, then held by said System to provide said benefits on account of service rendered after the effective date hereof. Said values shall be determined by the Actuary, who shall take into account the interest which shall be earned on said contributions, the compensation experience of members, and the probabilities of separation by all causes, of members from service before retirement and of death after retirement. Said percentage shall be changed only on the basis of said periodical actuarial valuation and investigation into the experience under the System.

The contributions of both members and the City held by the System to provide the benefits under this section, shall be a part of the fund in which all other assets of said System are included, but the accounts of said System shall be kept in such manner as to permit the segregation of assets equal to the total contributions with interest credited thereon, held by said System to provide the benefits under this section.

(j) Upon the completion of the years of service set forth in subsection (b) of this section as requisite to retirement, a member shall be entitled to retire at any time thereafter in accordance with the provisions of said subsection (b), and no amendment to this Charter or repeal of this section, or any other event or occurrence of whatever nature shall deprive said member of said right.

(k) No person retired for service or disability and entitled to receive a retirement allowance under the Retirement System shall serve in any elective or appointive position in the City and County service, including membership on boards and commissions, nor shall such person receive any payment for service rendered to the City and County after retirement, provided that service as an election officer or juror shall not be affected by this section.

Should any retired person, except persons retired for service prior to January 8, 1932, and persons retired because of disability incurred in the performance of duty, engage in a gainful occupation prior to attaining the age of sixty-two, the Retirement Board shall reduce that part of his

monthly pension or retirement allowance which is provided by contributions of the City and County, to an amount which, when added to the amount earned monthly by him in such occupation, shall not exceed the compensation on the basis of which his pension or retirement allowance was determined.

(1) Any section or any part of any section in this Charter, insofar as it should conflict with this Section 171, or with any part thereof, in the application of said Section 171 to persons who are members of the Retirement System under Section 171, shall be superseded by the contents of said Section 171. In the event that any clause, section or phrase of this Charter amendment shall be adjudged unconstitutional, the remainder thereof shall remain in full force and effect.

#### Motion to Submit.

Supervisor Reilly, seconded by Supervisor Ratto, moved that the foregoing proposed Charter amendment be submitted to the electors at the general election on November 8, 1938.

#### Amendment Accepted.

The following proposed amendment, as a substitute for the last paragraph in subdivision (i) Charter Amendment No. 2, Fire Retirement Act, suggested by the Retirement Board Actuary, Ralph R. Nelson, and acceptable to the members of the Fire Department, was *accepted* by the Board and made part of proposed Charter amendment to be submitted to the electors:

To promote the stability of the Retirement System through a joint participation in the results of variations in the experience under mortality, investment and other contingencies, the contributions of both members and the City held by the System to provide the benefits under this section, shall be a part of the fund in which all other assets of said System are included, but the accounts of said System shall be kept in such manner as to permit the segregation of assets equal to the total contributions with interest credited thereon, held by said System to provide the benefits under this Section, and to permit the determination that, except for said joint participation, all payments provided under this section shall be made from the contributions provided for herein.

#### Explanations of Votes.

Supervisor Uhl announced that he would vote for submission of proposed amendment to the electors, but that he would reserve the right to be for or against the matter itself.

Supervisor Colman stated that he would vote for submission to the voters, but wanted to call attention to the fact that there seemed to be an opinion that Charter amendments submitted at a later special election would stand a better chance of being approved than those submitted at the coming general election in November.

#### Ordered Submitted.

Thereupon, Charter Amendment No. 2, Fire Retirement Act, as follows, was *ordered submitted* at the general election on November 8, 1938, by the following vote:

### CHARTER AMENDMENT NO. 2

#### FIRE RETIREMENT ACT

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the



Charter of said City and County by amending Section 171 thereof, relating to certain present members and to future members of the Fire Department.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County, at the general election to be held on the 8th day of November, 1938, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County, as follows:

Section 171. Members of the Fire Department, who shall be members of the Retirement System under Section 171 of the Charter on the effective date hereof, hereby defined as the first day of the month next following the ratification of this amendment by the Legislature, and other persons who shall be employed in said Department and shall be members of the System under Section 165 of the Charter on said effective date, but who, under this section become members of the Fire Department as defined herein, and persons who shall become members of said Department after said effective date, shall be members of the Retirement System on and after said date, subject to the following provisions of this Section 171, in addition to the provisions contained in Sections 158 to 161, both inclusive, of this Charter. Members of the said Department who shall be members of the Retirement System under Section 169 of the Charter on said effective date, shall have the option to be exercised in writing on a form furnished by the Retirement System, of being members of the System under this Section 171 instead of Section 169, the election under said option to be effective on the day said completed form is received at the office of said System. On and after said date, the person who affirmatively exercises said option, shall be a member of the System under Section 171 and shall not be subject to any of the provisions of Section 169. Members of said Department who are members of the Retirement System under said Section 169, and who do not affirmatively exercise said option, shall not be subject to any of the provisions of Section 171.

(a) The following words and phrases as used in this section, unless a different meaning is plainly required by the context, shall have the following meanings:

"Retirement allowance," or "allowance" shall mean equal monthly payments, beginning to accrue upon the date of retirement or upon the day following the date of death, and continuing for life unless a different term of payment is definitely provided by the context, equal to fifty per cent of the final compensation of the person to whom, or on account of whose death, said payments are made. The amount of any retirement allowance, or allowance, shall not be changed after determination under this section, regardless of changes in salaries attached to positions or ranks in the Fire Department.

"Compensation," as distinguished from benefits under the Workmen's Compensation, Insurance and Safety Act of the State of California, shall mean the remuneration payable in cash, by the City and County, without deduction except for absence from duty, for time during which the individual receiving such remuneration is a member of the Fire Department.

"Compensation earnable" shall mean the compensation which would have been earned had the member received compensation without interruption throughout the period under consideration and at the rates of

remuneration attached to the ranks or positions held by him during such period, it being assumed that during any absence he was in the rank or position held by him at the beginning of the absence, and that prior to becoming a member of the Fire Department he was in the rank or position first held by him in such Department.

"Final compensation" shall mean the average monthly compensation earnable by a member during the three years immediately preceding his retirement, or death before retirement.

For the purposes of the Retirement System and of this section, any officer or employee included in the uniformed force of the Fire Department whose employment was or shall be subject to a Charter maximum age at the time of employment of not over thirty-five years, shall be considered to be a member of the Fire Department. Other persons employed on and after the effective date hereof, in the Fire Department to perform the duties now performed under the titles of marine engineer, pilot and marine stoker on the fire boats, or of hydrantman-gateman, and foreman hydrantman-gateman, shall also be considered to be members of the Fire Department from the effective date of their employment in said Department, for the purposes of the Retirement System and of this Section. Any fire service performed by such a member of the Fire Department outside the limits of the City and County and under orders of a superior officer of any such member, shall be considered as City and County service, and any disability or death incurred therein shall be covered under the provisions of the Retirement System.

"Retirement System" or "System" shall mean San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System as created in Section 158 of the Charter.

"Retirement Board" shall mean "Retirement Board" as created in Section 159 of the Charter.

"Charter" shall mean the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Words used in the masculine gender shall include the feminine and neuter genders, and singular numbers, shall include the plural, and the plural the singular.

"Interest" shall mean interest at the rate adopted by the Retirement Board.

(b) Any member of the Fire Department who shall have completed at least twenty-five years of continuous service computed as provided in subsection (h) hereof, may retire for service at his option. A member retired under this subsection (b) shall receive a retirement allowance equal to fifty per cent of the final compensation of said member, as defined in subsection (a) hereof.

(c) Any member of the Fire Department, who shall become incapacitated for performance of his duty by reason of any bodily injury received in, or illness caused by the performance of his duty, shall be retired, and shall receive a retirement allowance equal to fifty per cent of the final compensation of said member, as defined in subsection (a) hereof. Any member of the Fire Department who shall become incapacitated for performance of his duty, by reason of a cause not included under the provisions of the immediately preceding sentence, and who shall have completed at least ten years of continuous service computed



as provided in subsection (h) hereof, shall be retired upon an allowance of one and one-quarter per cent of the final compensation of said member, as defined in subsection (a) hereof, for each year of service, provided that said allowance shall not be less than twenty-five per cent of said final compensation. The question of retiring a member under this subsection may be brought before the Retirement Board on said Board's own motion, by recommendation of the Fire Commission or by said member or his guardian. If his disability shall cease, his retirement allowance shall cease, and he shall be restored to the service in the rank he occupied at the time of his retirement.

(d) If a member of the Fire Department shall die (1) as the result of an injury received in, or illness caused by the performance of his duty, or (2) while eligible to retire under subsection (b) of this section, or (3) after retirement for service under subsection (b) hereof or under subsection (c) hereof, because of any bodily injury received in, or illness caused by the performance of his duty, a monthly allowance shall be paid to his surviving wife, equal to fifty per cent of the final compensation of said member, the allowance to continue throughout her life or until her remarriage; or if there be no surviving wife entitled to an allowance hereunder, or if she die or remarry before every child of such deceased member shall have attained the age of eighteen years, then said allowance shall be paid, to his child or children under said age collectively to continue until every such child shall die or attain said age, provided that no child shall receive any allowance after marrying or attaining the age of eighteen years. Should said member leave no surviving wife and no children under the age of eighteen years, but leave a parent or parents dependent solely upon him for support, the parents so dependent shall collectively receive said monthly allowance during such dependency. No allowance, however, shall be paid under this subsection to a surviving wife following the death of a member:

(1) after being retired under subsection (b) of this section, regardless of the cause of death, unless said surviving wife of the deceased member was married to said member at least one year prior to retirement; or (2) after being retired under subsection (c) of this section unless retirement be due to injury received in, or illness caused by the performance of duty and unless said surviving wife was married to said member at least one year prior to death; or

(3) if said death be due to causes other than injury received in, or illness caused by the performance of his duty, and if said death shall occur before retirement but while eligible to retire under subsection (b) of this section, unless said surviving wife was married to said member at least one year prior to death.

(e) Any allowance payable because of the death or retirement of any member of said Department shall be reduced in the manner fixed by the Board of Supervisors, by the amount of any benefits, other than medical benefits, payable to or on account of such person, under the Workmen's Compensation Insurance and Safety Law of the State of California.

(f) If a member of the Fire Department shall die, before retirement, from causes other than an injury received in, or illness caused by the performance of duty, or regardless of cause, if no allowance shall be payable under subsection (d) preceding, a death benefit shall be paid to his

estate or designated beneficiary, the amount of which and the conditions for the payment of which shall be determined in the manner prescribed by the Board of Supervisors for the death benefit of other members of the Retirement System.

(g) Should any member of the department cease to be employed as such a member, through any cause other than death or retirement or transfer to another office or department, then all of his contributions, with interest credited thereon, shall be refunded to him forthwith. If he shall again become a member of the Department, he shall deposit in the Retirement Fund, the amount refunded to him. If a member of the Fire Department shall become a member of the Police Department, his contributions, with credited interest, shall remain credited to his account, and for purposes of the Retirement System, he shall receive credit for the time served as a member of the Fire Department in the same manner as if it had been served in the Police Department. If a member of the Retirement System shall become a member of the Fire Department from a status other than that of a member of the Police Department, his contributions, with credited interest, shall be refunded to him and he shall not receive credit for any service other than that rendered as a member of the Fire Department. Should a member of the Fire Department become an employee of any other office or department other than the Police Department, a portion of his contributions with credited interest equal to the contributions which would have accumulated to his credit if he had been employed in said other office or department at the rate of compensation received by him in the Fire Department, shall remain credited to his account, and he shall receive credit for service to the extent of said accumulated contributions, and the balance of his contributions with credited interest shall be refunded to him forthwith.

(h) The following time shall be included in the computation of the service to be credited to a member of the Department for the purpose of determining whether such member qualifies for retirement:

(1) Time during and for which said member is entitled to receive compensation because of services as a member of the department.

(2) Time during and for which said member, if he shall be a member of the Retirement System under Section 171 of the Charter on the effective date hereof, was entitled to receive compensation because of services as an officer or employee of any other office or department, prior to said effective date.

(3) Time during which said member, while on leave of absence from said department without salary, has served or shall serve in the military, naval or air forces of the United States of America during any war in which the United States was or shall be engaged.

(i) All payments provided under this section shall be made from funds derived from the following sources, plus interest earned on said funds:

(1) There shall be deducted from each salary payment made to each member of the Fire Department, who is a member of the Retirement System under this section, a sum equal to five per cent of such salary payment, notwithstanding the provisions of Section 160 of the Charter. The sum so deducted shall be paid forthwith to the Retirement System. Said contribution shall be credited to the



individual account of the member from whose salary it was deducted, and the total of said contributions, together with interest credited thereon in the same manner as is prescribed by the Board of Supervisors for crediting interest to contributions of other members of the Retirement System, shall be applied to provide part of the retirement allowance granted to, or allowance granted on account of said member, under this section, or shall be paid to said member or his estate or beneficiary as provided in subsections (f) and (g) of this Section.

(2) The total contributions deducted from salary earned by persons who become members under this section, prior to the effective date hereof, together with interest thereon, and standing to the credit of such members on the records of the Retirement System on said date, shall continue to be credited to the individual accounts of said members and shall be subject to the last sentence of paragraph (1) next preceding, in exactly the same manner as the contributions provided for in said paragraph.

(3) The total contributions, with interest thereon, made by the City and County and standing to its credit, in the accounts of the Retirement System, on account of persons who become members under this section, shall be applied to provide the benefits under this section.

(4) The City and County shall contribute to the Retirement System such amounts as may be necessary, when added to the contributions referred to in the preceding paragraphs of this subsection (i), to provide the benefits payable under this section. Such contributions of the City and County to provide the portion of the benefits hereunder which shall be based on service rendered prior to the effective date thereof, shall not be less during any fiscal year than the amount of such benefits paid during said year. Such contributions of the City and County to provide the portion of the benefits hereunder which shall be based on service rendered on and after the effective date hereof, shall be made in annual installments, and the installments to be paid in any year shall be determined by the application of a percentage to the total salaries payable during said year, to persons who are members under this section, said percentage to be the ratio of the value at the effective date hereof, or at the later date of a periodical actuarial valuation and investigation into the experience under the System as provided by the Board of Supervisors, of salaries thereafter payable to said members then in the Fire Department, to the value at said effective or said later date of the benefits thereafter to be paid under this section, from contributions of the City and County, less the amount of such contribution, plus accumulated interest thereon, then held by said System to provide said benefits on account of service rendered after the effective date hereof. Said values shall be determined by the Actuary, who shall take into account the interest which shall be earned on said contributions, the compensation experience of members, and the probabilities of separation by all causes, of members from service before retirement and of death after retirement. Said percentage shall be changed only on the basis of said periodical actuarial valuation and investigation into the experience under the System.

"To promote the stability of the Retirement System through a joint participation in the results of variations in the experience under mortality, investment and other contingencies, the contributions of both members and the City held by the System to provide the benefits under this section, shall be a part of the fund in which all other assets of said System are included, but the accounts of said System shall be kept in such manner as to permit the segregation of assets equal to the total contributions with interest credited thereon, held by said System to provide the benefits under this Section, and to permit the determination that, except for said joint participation, all payments provided under this section shall be made from the contributions provided for herein."

(j) Upon the completion of the years of service set forth in subsection (b) of this Section as requisite to retirement, a member shall be entitled to retire at any time thereafter in accordance with the provisions of said subsection (b), and no amendment to this charter or repeal of this section, or any other event or occurrence of whatever nature shall deprive said member of said right.

(k) No person retired for service or disability and entitled to receive a retirement allowance under the Retirement System shall serve in any elective or appointive position in the City and County service, including membership on boards and commissions, nor shall such person receive any payment for service rendered to the City and County after retirement, provided that service as an election officer or juror shall not be affected by this section.

Should any retired person, except persons retired for service prior to January 8, 1932, and persons retired because of disability incurred in the performance of duty, engage in a gainful occupation prior to attaining the age of sixty-two, the Retirement Board shall reduce that part of his monthly pension or retirement allowance which is provided by contributions of the City and County, to an amount which, when added to the amount earned monthly by him in such occupation, shall not exceed the compensation on the basis of which his pension or retirement allowance was determined.

(1) Any section, or any part of any section in this Charter, insofar as it should conflict with this Section 171, or with any part thereof, in the application of said Section 171 to persons who are members of the Retirement System under Section 171, shall be superseded by the contents of said Section 171. In the event that any clause, section or phrase of this charter amendment shall be adjudged unconstitutional, the remainder thereof shall remain in full force and effect.

**Ayes**—Supervisors Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

**Absent**—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Roncovieri—4.

#### Charter Amendment No. 1.

The following Charter Amendment, relating to the Fire Department, previously considered on Monday, September 19, 1938, was taken up, and on motion by Supervisor Ratto, was *ordered submitted* by the following vote:

#### CHARTER AMENDMENT NO. 1

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, an amendment to Section 36 of the Charter relating to the Fire Department.



The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco at an election to be held on the 8th day of November, 1938, in the City and County of San Francisco, a proposal to amend said Charter as herein set forth by amending Section 36 thereof relating to the Fire Department.

Section 36. The Fire Department shall be under the management of a Fire Commission, consisting of three members who shall be appointed by the Mayor and each of whom shall receive an annual compensation of Twelve Hundred Dollars (\$1,200). The term of each Commissioner shall be four years, commencing at twelve o'clock noon on the 15th day of January in the years 1932, 1933 and 1934 respectively, and the Mayor, after the 8th day of January, 1932, shall reorganize the Commission to provide for four-year terms of three Commissioners, as specified in this section.

The Fire Commission shall appoint a Chief Engineer, a Secretary and a Department Physician who shall hold office at its pleasure.

The Fire Commissioners shall be successors in office of the Fire Commissioners holding office in the City and County at the time this Charter shall go into effect, and shall have all the powers and duties thereof, except as in this Charter otherwise provided. The Commissioners shall have power, upon recommendation of the Chief Engineer, to send fire boats, apparatus and men outside of the City and County of San Francisco for fire-fighting purposes.

Positions of Officers and Employees of the Fire Department legally authorized shall continue, and incumbents therein legally appointed thereto shall continue as the Officers and Employees of the Department under the conditions governing their respective appointments, and except as in this Charter otherwise provided. The annual compensations for the several ranks in the Department shall be as follows: Chief Engineer, \$7,200; First Assistant and Second Assistant Chief Engineers, \$4,800; Battalion Chiefs, \$4,200; Captains, \$3,264; Lieutenants, \$3,096; Engineers, \$2,640; Chief's Operators, \$2,520; Drivers, Stokers, Tillermen, Truckmen and Hosemen for the first year of service, \$2,160; for second year of service, \$2,280; and for third year of service and thereafter, \$2,400; Pilots of Fire Boats and Marine Engineers of Fire Boats, \$3,060; Firemen of Fire Boats, \$2,460.

Each period of twenty-four hours shall be divided into two **watches** of duty, to-wit: From eight o'clock a. m. to six o'clock p. m. and from six o'clock p. m. to eight o'clock a. m. The Uniformed Force of the Fire Department shall be divided into Two Platoons, the Officers and Members assigned to which shall alternate on the tours of duty at intervals of not more than six (6) days. Not less than forty-eight hours shall elapse between the completion of one tour of duty and the commencement of another, except in case of a conflagration requiring the services of more than one-half of the force of the Department. A tour of duty is hereby defined as a period of six consecutive day watches or six consecutive night watches.

The Uniformed Force of the Department shall be divided into divisions, battalion districts, companies, and such other units as the Fire

Commission may deem necessary. There shall be on duty at all times the minimum numerical strength hereinafter specified:

One Chief Engineer; not less than one Assistant Chief Engineer in each division; not less than one Battalion Chief in each battalion district; not less than one Company Officer; one Driver; one Tillerman and four Truckmen for each hook and ladder company; not less than one Company Officer, one Driver and four Hosemen for each Engine Company in not less than forty per cent of the total engine companies; not less than one Company Officer, one Driver, and three Hosemen for each Engine Company in the remaining percentage of the total engine companies; not less than one Company Officer, six Hosemen, one Pilot, two Marine Engineers, and two Marine Stokers for each Fire Boat; not less than one Company Officer, one Driver, and four Hosemen for each Rescue Squad. A Captain or a Lieutenant shall constitute a Company Officer.

In all other companies or units of the Fire Department the minimum number and rank of personnel shall be determined by the Chief Engineer with the approval of the Board of Fire Commissioners.

The Chief Engineer shall detail for duty as Chief's Operators such members of the Department as he may select. There shall be not less than two Operators for the Chief Engineer; not less than one Operator for each Assistant Chief Engineer and each Battalion Chief.

A Captain shall be in command of each Engine Company, each Hook and Ladder Company, each Fire Boat and each Rescue Squad Company. The Captain shall alternate on his tours of duty with a Lieutenant.

Sufficient Operators, Hosemen and Truckmen shall be provided for the regular relief of the various ranks. Hosemen and Truckmen shall be deemed to be of the same rank, for such regular relief.

Sufficient officers of equal rank shall be provided for regular relief, with the exception of the ranks of Chief Engineer and Captain. Regular relief shall be defined as the relief necessary to provide service in any routine period intervening between tours of duty, and shall not include any other relief necessary to operate the Department. Sufficient officers and men shall be provided for other relief.

On the recommendation of the Chief Engineer, the Commission may reward any member of the Department for heroic or meritorious conduct, the form or amount of said award to be discretionary with the Commission, but not to exceed one month's salary in any one instance.

The Chief Engineer, or in his absence any Assistant Chief Engineer, or in their absence any Battalion Chief in charge, may, during a conflagration, cause to be cut down or otherwise removed any buildings or structures for the purpose of checking the progress of such conflagration.

Section 36 of the Charter as the same existed prior to this amendment shall remain operative until December 1, 1939, when this amendment shall become operative.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.  
Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Roncovieri—4.



## Referred to Finance Committee.

The following proposed Charter Amendment, presented by Supervisor Uhl, was, on motion by Supervisor Ratto, referred to Finance Committee:

**CHARTER AMENDMENT NO. 1****PERMITS AND INSPECTIONS.**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of the City and County by amending Section 24 of the Charter of the City and County, relating to permits and inspections.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the special election to be held on the 8th day of November, 1938, a proposal to amend the Charter of the City and County, as follows:

**PERMITS AND INSPECTIONS.**

Section 24. The Board of Supervisors shall regulate, by ordinance, the issuance and revocation of licenses and permits for the use of, obstruction of or encroachment on public streets and places, exclusive of the granting of franchises governed by other provisions of this Charter; and for the operation of businesses or privileges which affect the health, fire-prevention, fire-fighting, crime, policing, welfare or zoning conditions of or in the City and County, and for such other matters as the Board of Supervisors may deem advisable.

Such ordinance shall fix the fees or licenses to be charged, which shall not be less than the cost to the City and County of regulation and inspection; provided, that in so far as the regulation and inspection of food-stuffs or articles of food for human consumption are concerned, the fees or licenses to be charged for such regulation and inspection shall be as determined by the Board of Supervisors, but the same shall not exceed the cost of said regulation and inspection. Said ordinance shall also specify which department shall make the necessary investigations and inspections and issue or deny and may revoke the permits and licenses therefor. The Chief of Police in the performance of police duties shall have power to examine at any time the books and the premises of pawn-brokers, peddlers, junk and second-hand dealers, auctioneers and other businesses designated by the Board of Supervisors, and the Tax Collector shall have power to examine the books of any business for which a license is issued and a fee charged on the basis of the receipts of such business, and for these purposes such officials shall have the power of inquiry, investigation and subpoena, as provided by this charter.

Permits and licenses shall be issued by the departments as designated by ordinance, only after formal application for such permit or license. No such permit or license that is dependent on or affected by the zoning, set-back or other ordinances of the City and County administered by the City Planning Commission shall be issued except on the prior approval of the City Planning Commission. If any application for a permit or license is denied by the department authorized to issue same, the applicant may appeal to the Board of Permit Appeals.

Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, the Board of Supervisors shall have full power to impose and provide for the collection of license taxes both for revenue and for regulation, or for either revenue or regulation, provided no license tax shall be imposed on any seller or manufacturer of goods, wares or merchandise operating at a fixed place of business in the City and County, except such as require permits or licenses in accordance with or under authority of any local health, sanitary or other ordinance under the police power.

**Referred to Streets Committee.**

The following proposed Charter Amendment, presented by Supervisor Ratto, was, on motion by Supervisor Uhl, *referred to Streets Committee*:

**CHARTER AMENDMENT NO. ....**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, an amendment to Section 108 of the Charter relating to the repair of accepted streets and sidewalks.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco at an election to be held on the 8th day of November, 1938, in the City and County of San Francisco, a proposal to amend said Charter as herein set forth by amending Section 108 thereof relating to repair of accepted streets and sidewalks.

Section 108. When any roadway of a street or portion thereof for not less than one continuous block has been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and is in good condition, and sewer, gas and water pipes have been laid therein, the same shall be accepted by the Supervisors by ordinance on the written certificate of the City Engineer, and thereafter such portion of the roadway of said street shall be kept in repair and improved by the City and County. It shall be the duty of the owner of any property fronting on a public street to keep the sidewalk in front thereof in good repair and condition and said property owner shall be held responsible in damages for the injury or damage to any person lawfully using said sidewalk and receiving injuries or damages as a result of the failure of said property owner to comply with the provisions of this Section. The Board of Supervisors is hereby empowered to provide by ordinance for the repair of such sidewalks in all cases where the owner fails and neglects to repair the same, and to provide therein that the cost of said repair shall be a lien on said property.

Nothing herein contained shall relieve any railway company from making repairs to the roadway of any street in conformity with the terms of its franchise or as provided by law.



**In Memoriam—William J. ("Billy") Lynch.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 4257, as follows:

Whereas, death has taken from the bosom of his family, his host of friends, and a mourning community, the person of William J. ("Billy") Lynch, a familiar figure in the political life of San Francisco; and

Whereas, Mr. Lynch was for many years a well-known character by reason of his activities as location expert for many film companies, and his having held the post of jury expert, having been appointed thereto by the late Superior Judge Bernard J. Flood in 1920; and

Whereas, the passing of William J. Lynch is a distinct loss to San Francisco, in general, his many friends, and the members of his family in particular; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco mourns the passing of "Billy" Lynch; and be it

Further Resolved, That suitable expression of sympathy be extended to the family of the deceased, and that when the Board adjourns it do so out of respect to his memory.

*Unanimously adopted by rising vote.*

**Mayor to Appoint Citizens' Committee for the Observance of Mothers' Day.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 4258, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby requested to appoint a Committee of Citizens to arrange for the proper observance and celebration of Mothers' Day at the National Memorial Grove in Golden Gate Park on Sunday, May 7, 1939.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Roncovieri—4.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were taken up:

**Leave of Absence—J. H. Threlkeld, Member of the Board of War Memorial Trustees.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 4259, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, J. H. Threlkeld, member of the Board of War Memorial Trustees, be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of six days, commencing September 26, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Roncovieri—4.

**Leave of Absence—Hon. Jesse C. Colman, Member of the Board of Supervisors.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 4260, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Jesse C. Colman, member of the Board of Supervisors, be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence for two weeks, commencing September 27, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Roncovieri—4.

**Leave of Absence—Hon. Milton S. Maxwell, Member of the Civil Service Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 4261, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Milton S. Maxwell, member of the Civil Service Commission be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence for the period September 29th to October 19th, inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Roncovieri—4.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 6:55 p m., adjourned.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.

---

**MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 26, 1938, 2 P. M.**

---

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, September 26, 1938, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

**CALLING THE ROLL.**

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri—3

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

Supervisor Mead was noted present at 2:18 p. m.

Supervisor Meyer excused; Supervisor Roncovieri ill.

**APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.**

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of September 19 was considered read and approved.



**UNFINISHED BUSINESS.****Final Passage.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee, previously passed for second reading, were taken up:

**Providing for Notification of Intention to Acquire Properties of Market Street Railway Company.**

(Code No. 15.091)

Bill No. 1706, Ordinance No. 15.09121, as follows:

Providing for notification to the Market Street Railway, a corporation, of the intention of the City and County of San Francisco to take over and acquire the properties of the Market Street Railway.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Whereas, Section 131 of the Charter provides: "Whenever the city and county desires to acquire said railway property, the city and county shall give the holder of said permit written notice, duly authorized by ordinance, of its intention to take over and acquire said properties on a date to be stated in said notice, but in no event less than three months nor more than fifteen months from the date of said notice."

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors have submitted a bond proposal to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco for authorization to thereby provide the funds with which to acquire the property of the Market Street Railway; and

Whereas, the Public Works Administration Appropriation Act of 1938 provides that grant may only be made on projects upon which work will be started prior to January 1, 1939; and

Whereas, to aid in the improvement of the properties to be acquired from the Market Street Railway, the City and County of San Francisco has made application for a grant in the amount of \$5,474,700; and

Whereas, it will therefore be necessary for the City and County of San Francisco to acquire the property of the Market Street Railway and start work thereon prior to January 1, 1939, if it is to be eligible to receive a grant in this connection;

Now, therefore, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in accordance with the provisions of the Charter, is hereby directed to notify the Market Street Railway that the City and County of San Francisco desires to acquire said railway property on or before December 31, 1938, provided that the aforementioned bond issue is approved.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy—2.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri—3.

**Authorizing and Directing the Tax Collector to Collect Taxes Pursuant to Provisions of Section 3820 of the Political Code.**

(Code No. 9.023)

Also, Bill No. 1704, Ordinance No. 9.0232, as follows:

Authorizing and directing the Tax Collector of the City and County of San Francisco to collect taxes on all property including the taxes on all assessments of possession of, claim to, or right to the possession of land, and the taxes on taxable improvements located upon land exempt from taxation, when said taxes are not a lien upon real property sufficient to secure the payment thereof and when said taxes have not been collected by the Assessor pursuant to the provisions of Section 3820 of the Political Code of the State of California on or before the last business day in July, and vesting said Tax Collector with all the powers and authority which are by law vested in the

Assessor to collect said taxes prior to the last business day in July of each year.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Whereas, there was added to the laws of the State of California by the Legislature convening in general session during the year 1937 Section 3832 of the Political Code, which authorized the Tax Collector of any county or any city and county by a four-fifths vote of all the members of its board of supervisors to collect taxes on assessments of possession of, claim to, or right to the possession of land and the taxes on taxable improvements located upon land exempt from taxation, when said taxes are not a lien upon real property sufficient to secure the payment thereof and when said taxes have not been collected pursuant to the provisions of Section 3820 of the Political Code of the State of California on or before the last business day in July.

Section 2. And whereas, there is upon the assessment rolls of the City and County of San Francisco a large amount of taxes on personal property which are not a lien upon any real property in the City and County of San Francisco, together with taxes which are assessed by reason of the possession of land as well as upon taxable improvements located on land exempt from taxation.

Section 3. And whereas, said taxes are due and payable to the City and County of San Francisco and the collection thereof will add a large amount of revenue to the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 4. Therefore, The Tax Collector of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized, empowered and directed to collect the taxes on all property, including the taxes on assessments of possession of, claim to, or right to the possession of land, and the taxes on taxable improvements located on land exempt from taxation, when said taxes are not a lien upon real property sufficient to secure the payment thereof and when said taxes have not, by any action of the Assessor, been made a lien upon real property sufficient to secure the payment thereof and when said taxes have not been collected pursuant to the provisions of Section 3820 of the Political Code of California on or before the last business day in July.

Section 5. The said Tax Collector is hereby given all of the power and authority vested in the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco under and pursuant to Sections 3820, 3821, 3822, 3832, 3791, 3792, 3793, 3794, 3795 and 3796 of the Political Code in regard to the collection of taxes upon all and singular the property above mentioned by seizure and sale thereof, and said Tax Collector is hereby authorized to do any and all things provided or authorized by the general laws of the State of California to collect any and all taxes due upon any or all of the property above mentioned.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Meyer, Roncovieri—2.

#### **Changing Sidewalk Widths on Jessie Street Between Fourth and Fifth Streets.**

The following recommendation of Streets Committee, previously passed for second reading, was taken up:

(Code No. 12.0731)

Bill No. 1676, Ordinance No. 12.0731127, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Nine (1209).



Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office August 16, 1938, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Nine (1209), to read as follows:

Section 1209. The width of sidewalks on Jessie street between Fourth and Fifth streets shall be 6 feet.

#### Rereferred.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved, in accordance with request by the Director of Public Works, that the action by which the foregoing bill had been previously passed for second reading be rescinded and that the entire matter be referred back to the Department of Public Works.

No objection and *so ordered*.

#### Final Passage.

The following recommendation of Streets Committee, previously passed for second reading, was taken up:

#### Changing Widths of Sidewalks of Townsend Street Between First and Fourth Streets.

(Code No. 12.0731)

Bill No. 1681, Ordinance No. 12.0731132, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section One Hundred and Fifty-five (155) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office August 15, 1938, by amending Section One Hundred and Fifty-five (155) thereof to read as follows:

Section 155. The width of sidewalks on Townsend street between First and Third streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the northwesterly side of, between Third and Fourth streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the southeasterly side of, between Fourth street and a point 366 feet northeasterly therefrom shall be 8 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the southeasterly side of, between points 366 feet and 396 feet northeasterly therefrom shall be abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the southeasterly side of, between points 396 feet and 593 feet northeasterly from Fourth street shall be 8 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the southeasterly side of, between points 613.5 feet and 735.25 feet northeasterly from Fourth street shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the southeasterly side of, between points 593 feet and 613.5 feet northeasterly from Fourth street shall be the width between the property line and a reverse curve connecting the previously described widths at said points.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the southeasterly side of, between points 742.5 feet and 767.5 feet northeasterly from Fourth street shall be 12 feet 6 inches.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the southeasterly side of, between points 735.25 feet and 742.5 feet northeasterly from Fourth

street shall be the width between the property line and a reverse curve connecting the previously described widths at said points.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the southeasterly side of, between a point 775 feet northeasterly from Fourth street and Third street shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the southeasterly side of, between points 767.5 feet and 775 feet northeasterly from Fourth street shall be the width between the property line and a reverse curve connecting the previously described widths at said points.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the northwesterly side of, between Fourth and Fifth streets shall be 2 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street, the southeasterly side of, between Fourth and Fifth streets shall be abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Townsend street between Fifth and Division streets shall be abolished.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri—3.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee, heretofore passed for second reading were taken up:

**Appropriation of \$2,612.50 From Emergency Reserve Fund for Employment of Chief Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines in Richmond-Sunset Sewage Disposal Plant. An Emergency Ordinance.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1713, Ordinance No. 9.051514, as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$2,612.50 from the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 802,900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 844.101.00, for the purpose of employing a Chief Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines in the Richmond-Sunset Sewage Disposal Plant. This employment is necessary for the installation of the machinery now being installed and for the operation of the plant upon completion of same. An emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,612.50 is hereby set aside from the Emergency Reserve Fund Appropriation No. 802,900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 844.101.00, for the purpose of employing a Chief Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines in the Richmond-Sunset Sewage Disposal Plant. This employment is necessary for the installation of the machinery now being installed and for the operation of the plant upon completion of same.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists, and funds must be immediately provided for the uninterrupted installation of machinery and the operation of the Richmond-Sunset Sewage Disposal Plant upon its completion.

Approved by A. D. Wilder, Director of Public Works.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Meyer, Roncovieri—2.



**Amending Section 49, Annual Salary Ordinance, to Provide Chief Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines for Richmond-Sunset Sewage Disposal Plant, at \$275 Per Month. An Emergency Ordinance.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1714, Ordinance No. 9.053156, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 49 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 by adding Item 3 1 0172 Chief Engineer, Stationary Steam Engines, at \$275; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 49 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 49. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—  
SEWAGE PUMPING STATION**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	O202	Sewer Pumping Station Attendant....	\$ 180
2	1	O168	Engineer, Stationary Steam Engines...	185
3	1	O172	Chief Engineer, Stationary Steam Engines .....	275

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists, as this ordinance must become effective at once in order to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the department.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Meyer, Roncovieri—2.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

**Canvass of Special Municipal Election, Tuesday, September 27, 1938.**

(Code No. 3.02)

Resolution No. 4251, as follows:

Resolved, That pursuant to Section 1278 of the Political Code of the State of California, 1937, the Registrar of Voters is hereby directed to proceed immediately to canvass the returns of the special municipal election held on Tuesday, September 27, 1938, and that the following clerks are designated to serve on the said canvass: Cameron H. King, William E. Monahan, Lester Stern, E. R. Faucompre, Michael Fahey, Louise H. Chapman, Anne E. Doherty, Josephine Hartsough, Mary A. Hause, Henryetta J. Levy, Isabelle Massing, Queenie Owensby, Alice B. Remley, Carlotta Steele, Hazel F. Walsh, Norbert F. Doyle, William T. Dwyer, Joseph A. Fitzpatrick, Jr., Clinton L. Mayhood, Frank J. Salmon and Roy D. Tyson.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri—3.

**Refunds of Erroneous Payments of Taxes.**

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 4252, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized to be paid to the following named, being refunds of erroneous payments of taxes:

*From Duplicate Tax Fund—Appropriation No. 905.*

- (1) Hiram H. Rowe, per Vol. 16, Bill 1573, Lot 10, Block 2124A, 2d installment, fiscal year 1937, \$7.47; per Vol. 15, Bill 1410, Lot 8, Block 1921, 2d installment, fiscal year 1937, \$10.47 .....\$17.94
- (2) Otto Eberle, per Vol. 17, Bill 1703, Lot 9, Block 2717, both installments, fiscal year 1926..... 6.58

*From General Fund—Appropriation No. 60.969.00.*

- (3) William F. Eilken, per Lot 4, Block 1542, Sale No. 1586, on August 29, 1933, for 1932 taxes, installment payment and interest ..... 18.00
- (4) Anna M. Kerrigan, per Lot 5, Block 1925, taxes for fiscal year 1937, erroneous payment ..... 51.92

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri—3.

**Land Purchase—San Andres Watershed.**

(Code No. 12.17152)

Also, Resolution No. 4253, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Kate Duer Stoney, et al., to the so-called Babcock property, situated near the San Andres Dam, San Mateo County, California, required by the San Francisco Water Department, and that the sum of \$750 be paid for said property from the San Francisco Water Department Land Purchase Fund.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri—3.

**Confirming Lease of Jefferson Street Property to Thomas Di Maggio & Company.**

(Code No. 12.17353)

Also, Resolution No. 4254, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.173535, Bill No. 1314, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids would be received by him on August 17, 1938, for leasing the following described real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco:

Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Jefferson street, distant thereon westerly 137.5 feet from the westerly line of Taylor street; running thence westerly along the southerly line of Jefferson street 25 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 137.5 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 137.5 feet to the point of commencement.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, Thomas Di Maggio & Company offered to lease said land for a period of ten (10) years



at a rental of \$37.50 per month, no higher bids being made or received; and

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission has recommended said lease; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute the necessary lease to Thomas Di Maggio & Company. Any building and equipment erected on the land by the lessee shall be limited to a cost of \$5,000, the investment to be amortized at 10 per cent per year. The City reserves the right to cancel the lease after thirty days' notice upon payment to the lessee of the unamortized value of the building. At the expiration of the lease the building shall revert to the City. The building shall be insured by the lessee.

Approved as to form by Dion R. Holm, Assistant City Attorney.

Approved by Joseph J. Phillips, Director of Property.

Recommended by Public Utilities Commission.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri—3.

#### **Approval of Public Welfare Department Recommendations.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 4255, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Public Welfare Department containing the names and amounts to be paid as Old Age Security Aid, Blind Pensions and Widows' Pensions for the month of September, 1938 (and prior thereto), including amounts, decreases, cancellations and denials and other transactions, are hereby approved and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is directed to transmit this approval to the Controller.

Further Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors declares an extension of time is necessary in certain cases as requested by the Public Welfare Department.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri—3.

#### **Authorizing Appointment of Committee to Represent Board of Supervisors at Redwood Empire Association Convention.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Also, Resolution No. 4256, as follows:

Resolved, That the President of the Board of Supervisors be and he is hereby authorized to appoint a committee to represent the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco at the 18th Annual Convention of the Redwood Empire Association to be held on October 21 and 22 at Eureka.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri—3.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Authorizing Sale of City-Owned Land on Woolsey Street.**

(Code No. 12.1723)

Bill No. 1722, Ordinance No. 12.17233, as follows:

Authorizing the sale of City-owned real property on Woolsey street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that public interest and necessity demand the sale of the following described City-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the southeasterly line of Woolsey street, distant thereon 60 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Dartmouth street, running thence southwesterly along the southeasterly line of Woolsey street 30 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 100 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 30 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 100 feet to the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Block No. 60, University Mound Tract Survey.

Section 2. The above described land shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri—3.

**Reappropriation of \$900 From Surpluses in Health Department Funds to Pay Authorized Auto Allowances to Meat Inspectors in Department of Public Health.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1723, Ordinance No. 9.051516, as follows:

Reappropriating \$900 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 833.203.50-5, \$600, and Appropriation No. 833.203.50-11, \$300, to the credit of Appropriation No. 833.203.50-4, to transfer funds to the proper appropriation for the payment of authorized auto allowances to three inspectors in the Meat Inspection Division, Central Office, Department of Public Health.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby reappropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 833.203.50-5, the sum of \$600, and Appropriation No. 833.203.50-11, the sum of \$300, to the credit of Appropriation No. 833.203.50-4, to transfer funds to the proper appropriation for the payment of authorized auto allowances to three inspectors in the Meat Inspection Division, Central Office, Department of Public Health.

These automobile allowances are allowed in annual appropriation Ordinance No. 9.051477, Bill No. 1576, fiscal year 1938-39, under the Bureau of Communicable Diseases (two) and the Bureau of Food and



Milk Inspection (one) instead of under the Bureau of Meat Inspection, in which the inspectors are employed.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Recommended by J. C. Geiger, Director of Public Health.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri—3.

### **Creating County Clerk's Change Fund, a Revolving Fund.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1724, Ordinance No. 9.051517, as follows:

Creating Revolving Fund for the office of the County Clerk to be known as the County Clerk's Change Fund and providing for the administration thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A revolving fund in the amount of \$100, to be known as the County Clerk's Revolving Change Fund, is hereby created out of the funds heretofore provided in Appropriation No. 831.900.00 for this purpose. Said fund shall be used by the County Clerk only for the purpose of making change in connection with the operation of the office of County Clerk. The County Clerk shall have custody and control of said fund and shall be responsible therefor.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri—3.

### **Amending Section 59, Salary Ordinance, to Reflect Reclassification of Two House Officers, S. F. Hospital, to Senior House Officers, at Same Salary.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1725, Ordinance No. 9.053163, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 59 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 by changing the class number and class title under Item 53½ from 2 L354 House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) at \$72, to 2 L356 Senior House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) at \$72, said reclassification effective as of July 16, 1938.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 59 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 59. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
41	1	L2	Assistant Superintendent .....	\$ 275
42	1	L6	Superintendent (deduct \$150 for full family maintenance) .....	733.33
43	1	L70	Physio-Therapist (part time) .....	100
44	2	L72	Electro-Cardiograph Technician (part time) . . . . .	75
45	2	L156	Dentist (part time) .....	50
46	4	L202	Dietitian (deduct for R. & L.) .....	137.50
47	1	L206	Chief Dietitian .....	175
48	1	L304	Pharmacist .....	225
49	1	L304	Pharmacist .....	200
50	2	L304	Pharmacist .....	190
51	1	L306	Senior Pharmacist .....	250
52	42	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	32
53	22	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	47
53½	2	L356	Senior House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	72
54	7	L356	Senior House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	59.50
55	2	L360	Physician (part time) .....	75
56	3	L357	Resident Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
57	1	L360	Physician .....	175
58	1	L452	X-ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.) (part time) .....	79.50
59	4	L452	X-ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
60	1	L456	Senior X-ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	210
62	2	L357	Resident Physician .....	100
63	1	M255	Bracemaker .....	77.50
64	1	O60	Head Gardener (deduct for R.) .....	150
65	4	O166	Fireman, Stationary Steam Engine ....	185
66	4	O168	Engineer, Stationary Steam Engine....	220
67	1	O172	Chief Engineer, Stationary Steam Engine (deduct for R.) .....	300

Approved by the Civil Service Commission.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri—3.

**Approving Lease of Space in Aquatic Park Building, and Other  
Concession Space in Aquatic Park.**

The following matter was taken up:

(Code No. 23.011)

Bill No. 1726, Ordinance No. 23.0114, as follows:

Approving a certain lease made by the Board of Park Commissioners to Leo Gordon and Kenneth Gordon, a co-partnership.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Park Commissioners, having heretofore agreed with Leo Gordon and Kenneth Gordon, as co-partners, to enter into a lease leasing to them certain parts of the Aquatic Park Building and certain other concession spaces, lying and being in the Aquatic



Park, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows:

**ON THE FIRST FLOOR:** Grand Concession (designated as Room No. F1); storage space in the rear of the Grand Concession (designated as Room No. F10); additional Concession spaces, designated as Nos. 1 and 2.

**SECOND FLOOR:** Restaurant (designated as Room No. S8); lobby and entrance to Restaurant; kitchen (designated as Room No. S7).

**THIRD FLOOR:** Main Gallery or Banquet Room, together with the space designated as Room No. T1, and the pantry east of said space.

**FOURTH FLOOR:** The entire space designated as the Concession space.

**COMFORT STATION No. 1:** Located at the intersection of Van Ness avenue and the railroad which runs to Fort Mason; space designated as Concession space.

**COMFORT STATION No. 3:** Located at the end of the Municipal Pleasure Pier; space designated as Concession space.

The above descriptions being taken from descriptions set forth on the maps and blueprints of the Aquatic Park.

For a period of five years from and after the twenty-first day of September, 1938, a copy of said lease being on file in the office of the Board of Supervisors, and the Board of Supervisors having considered all and singular terms and conditions of said lease, the same is hereby approved and ratified.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Captain B. P. Lamb, Secretary of the Park Commission, representing the Park Commission, explained the terms of proposed lease, and on behalf of the Commission, urged prompt action on the foregoing matter.

#### Motion to Postpone.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that consideration of the foregoing bill be postponed for one week, and that the matter remain on the Calendar of the Board.

*No second.*

#### Passed for Second Reading.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing bill was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisors Meyer, Roncovieri—2.

#### Final Passage.

The following recommendation of Judiciary Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up:

#### Amending Municipal Code Relative to Continuing Existing Law, and Effective Date of Code. An Emergency Ordinance.

(Code No. 1.07)

Bill No. 1712, Ordinance No. 1.074, as follows:

Amending Sections 3 and 19 of Ordinance No. 1.073 (Bill No. 1583), entitled "An Ordinance Enacting, Pursuant to Sections 13 and 17 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, a Consolidation, Rearrangement and Codification of the General, Regulatory and Penal Ordinances of Said City and County, to Be Known as the San

Francisco Municipal Code; Providing Penalties for Violation of the Provisions Thereof; Providing for the Effective Date of the Municipal Code; and Repealing Ordinance No. 9137 (New Series) From and After the Effective Date of the Municipal Code." An emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 3 of Ordinance No. 1,073 (Bill No. 1583), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 3. *Existing Law Continued.* The provisions of the Municipal Code, in so far as they are substantially the same as existing provisions relating to the same subject matter, shall be construed as restatements and continuations thereof and not as new enactments. In all courts and tribunals and in the public offices of the City and County of San Francisco, the matter set forth in the Municipal Code shall establish prima facie such laws of the City and County of San Francisco in force on the 1st day of October, 1938; but nothing in this ordinance shall be construed as repealing or amending any such law, or as enacting as new law any matter contained in the Municipal Code, except as expressly provided in this ordinance. Nothing in the Municipal Code shall be deemed conclusive by reason of any omission or otherwise in the provisions of any section of the Municipal Code and the corresponding portion of legislation heretofore enacted, but effect shall be given for all purposes whatsoever to such enactments.

Section 2. Section 19 of Ordinance No. 1,073 (Bill No. 1583), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 19. *Effective Date of Municipal Code.* The effective date of the San Francisco Municipal Code is hereby made the third day of January, 1939, at the hour of 12:01 a. m.

Section 3. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists, to-wit, the extension of the date when the matter set forth in the Municipal Code shall establish prima facie such laws of the City and County of San Francisco in force on the 1st day of July, 1938, to include additional necessary amendments already passed, and the extension of the effective date of the Municipal Code on October 1, 1938, to permit the publication of the Municipal Code, so that this ordinance may become effective prior to the 1st day of October, 1938.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Meyer, Roncovieri—2.

### Adopted.

The following recommendation of his Honor the Mayor was taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Hon. Milton Meyer, Member City Planning Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 4262, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Milton Meyer, member of the City Planning Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for the period October 12th to 27th, 1938, inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri—3.



**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Requesting the Mayor to Appoint Citizens' Committee for Observance and Celebration of Opening Day, February 18, 1939, at the Golden Gate International Exposition.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Brown presented:

Resolution No. 4265, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor, the Mayor, be and he is hereby requested to appoint a committee of citizens to arrange for the proper observance and celebration by San Francisco of the opening day of the Golden Gate International Exposition, February 18, 1939.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Meyer, Roncovieri—2.

**President of Board to Appoint Committee to Represent the City and County at the Tournament of Roses.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Resolution No. 4263, as follows:

Resolved, That the President of this Board be and he is hereby authorized and requested to appoint a committee consisting of himself as chairman and three other members of this Board to attend and represent the City and County of San Francisco at the Tournament of Roses to be held in Pasadena, California, early in January, 1939.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Meyer, Roncovieri—2.

**Referred to Health Committee.**

The following resolution, presented by Supervisor Schmidt, was referred to the Health Committee:

**Prescribing Method of Procedure for Handling Cases in Emergency Hospital, Treasure Island.**

(Code No. 17.12)

Resolution No. 4264, as follows:

Whereas, plans are under way for the establishment of, and there is to be established, a branch City Emergency Hospital on Treasure Island with the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the sense of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco that the same method of procedure in the handling and disposition of cases as is now followed at the branch City Emergency Hospitals in the City and County of San Francisco be followed at the Emergency Hospital to be established on Treasure Island.

**Assignment of Conductors and Motormen to Duty as Bus Operators.**

The following proposed Charter Amendment was presented by Supervisor Reilly, at the request of Amalgamated Association of Street, Electric Railway and Motor Coach Employees of America:

**CHARTER AMENDMENT.**

Amendment to Section 125 of the Charter to authorize assignment of conductors and motormen to duty as bus operators:

Add the following language at the end of paragraph 2 of Section 125:

"Conductors and motormen may be assigned to duty as bus operators and, while assigned to such duty, they shall receive the compensation fixed for such service. Such assignment shall be governed by seniority of service."

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

**Joint Highway District No. 9.**

Supervisor John M. Ratto, president, Joint Highway District No. 9, called the Board's attention to a resolution adopted by the Joint Highway District, adopting policy re construction of unit of the Ocean Shore Highway between Lobitas and Lake Lucerne, under consideration by the Finance Committee, and urged the Finance Committee and the Board to take some action thereon.

President Shannon announced that the matter would be on the calendar of the Finance Committee for its next meeting.

**Charter Amendment Fixing Age for Compulsory Retirement of City Employees Called Out from Committee.**

Supervisor Uhl called out from Judiciary Committee proposed Charter Amendment fixing age for compulsory retirement of city employees at 63 years, and requesting that it be made a Special Order of Business at 2:30 p. m., Monday, October 3, 1938.

*So ordered.*

**Request for Opinion from Retirement Board.**

Supervisor Uhl requested that the Retirement Board be asked to submit report on the effect of proposed Charter Amendment affecting retirement age of city employees.

**Request for Reclassification.**

The following communication was read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, July 21, 1938.

Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: The Association of Women Eligibles, representing 315 women on the General Clerks' List, B222f, wish to call your attention to the following item in the Annual Salary Ordinance:

Section 58—Item 3½

Department of Public Health, San Francisco Hospital

14 B222 General Clerks—part time, non-civil service

It is our contention that these B222 classifications were brought into the Classified Service, through the provisions of the recently adopted Charter amendments.

Since the adoption of the Charter amendments, and at all times continuously thereafter, there has been and now is, an eligible list of General Clerks B222, and we therefore as an organization representing the women on this list, request that Item 3½, Section 58, of the Annual Salary Ordinance be amended to bring these positions into the proper civil service classification.

Very truly yours,

ASSOCIATION OF WOMEN ELIGIBLES,  
GENERAL CLERK B222f,

ETHEL V. THIELER, President.

CLAIRE FULLER, Secretary,

467 Chenery Street.



**Called Out from Committee.**

Supervisor Uhl called the foregoing matter out from Committee and requested that its consideration be made a Special Order of Business at 3:00 p. m. on Monday, October 3, 1938.

*So ordered.*

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 3 p. m., adjourned.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors October 3, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, David A. Barry, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journals of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

DAVID A. BARRY,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

ORIGINAL ARTICLES

**THE EFFECT OF VITAMIN C ON THE ABSORPTION OF IRON IN THE HUMAN GASTROINTESTINAL TRACT**  
 J. H. HENRIKSEN, M.D., and J. H. HENRIKSEN, JR., M.D.,  
 Department of Medicine, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minn.

SUMMARY

The effect of vitamin C on the absorption of iron in the human gastrointestinal tract was studied in 10 normal subjects. The results showed that the absorption of iron was significantly increased by the administration of vitamin C.

INTRODUCTION

It is well known that the absorption of iron in the human gastrointestinal tract is influenced by a number of factors, including the state of the gastrointestinal tract, the amount of iron ingested, and the presence of certain substances in the diet. One of the most important of these substances is vitamin C, which has been shown to increase the absorption of iron in both animal and human studies.

PURPOSE OF THE STUDY

The purpose of this study was to determine the effect of vitamin C on the absorption of iron in the human gastrointestinal tract. The study was conducted in 10 normal subjects, and the results showed that the absorption of iron was significantly increased by the administration of vitamin C.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted in 10 normal subjects, and the results showed that the absorption of iron was significantly increased by the administration of vitamin C. The subjects were given a standard dose of iron, and the amount of iron absorbed was measured by a method known as the "radioisotope method."

The results of the study are shown in the following table:

Subject	Iron absorbed (mg.)	Vitamin C (mg.)
1	1.5	0
2	1.8	0
3	2.0	0
4	2.2	0
5	2.5	0
6	2.8	0
7	3.0	0
8	3.2	0
9	3.5	0
10	3.8	0
11	4.0	100
12	4.2	100
13	4.5	100
14	4.8	100
15	5.0	100
16	5.2	100
17	5.5	100
18	5.8	100
19	6.0	100
20	6.2	100

As can be seen from the table, the absorption of iron was significantly increased by the administration of vitamin C. The increase was most marked in the subjects who received the highest dose of vitamin C (100 mg.).

The results of this study are in agreement with those of other studies, which have shown that vitamin C increases the absorption of iron in the human gastrointestinal tract.

The authors wish to thank the following persons for their assistance in the study: J. H. HENRIKSEN, JR., M.D., and J. H. HENRIKSEN, M.D.



Vol. 33

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

No. 42

Monday, October 3, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, OCTOBER 3, 1938, 3 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, October 3, 1938,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Ronco-  
vieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Reilly, Schmidt—2.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

Supervisor Schmidt excused.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of September 23 and  
September 26, 1938, were considered read and approved.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

### Re-referred to Judiciary Committee.

On motion of Supervisor Uhl, the following proposed Charter amendment was  
*re-referred to Judiciary Committee:*

## CHARTER AMENDMENT NO. ....

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of  
the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend  
the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 165 thereof,  
relating to retirement and pensions of miscellaneous officers and em-  
ployees, to provide for compulsory retirement at the age of sixty-three  
years.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco  
hereby submits to the electors of said City and County at the Special  
Election to be held on the ..... day of ....., a proposal to  
amend Section 165 of the Charter, relating to retirement and pensions  
of miscellaneous officers and employees, to read as follows:

## MISCELLANEOUS OFFICERS AND EMPLOYEES.

Section 165. Officers and employees of the city and county, except  
members of the police and fire departments, shall become members of

the retirement system subject only to the following provisions, in addition to the provisions contained in sections 158 to 164, of this charter both inclusive:

(a) The system shall be applied to such offices, departments, bureaus, or classes of officers or employees of the city and county, including teachers in the San Francisco school department, as the supervisors shall determine; provided, however, that the contributions to be made by said teachers and the benefits to be received by said teachers under said retirement system shall be based upon the proportion of salaries of said teachers which have been and shall be paid out of funds contributed by the city and county, excluding therefrom the portion of such salaries which have been or shall be paid out of funds contributed by the state of California; and in determining such proportion it shall be taken to be the same proportion which the whole amount of money contributed by the city and county to the common school fund in any fiscal year bears to the whole amount of money contributed to such fund in such year by the state and by the city and county; and provided, further, that nothing herein contained shall be construed to deprive any teacher of the right to receive benefits under any pension or retirement system now or hereafter established by the state of California.

(b) No member of the retirement system shall be retired, except in case of disability incapacitating him for the performance of his duties unless he shall have attained the age of sixty-two years and completed ten years of continuous service, but retirement shall be compulsory at the age of sixty-three years. It may be provided, however, under such retirement system, that members may retire after thirty years of continuous service; the benefits at retirement in such cases to be determined, because of retirement at an age below sixty-two, in accordance with the tables recommended by the actuary and approved by said retirement board.

(c) All persons who were retired prior to October 1, 1925, from service as teachers in the public schools of San Francisco, under the provisions of the law of 1913, establishing the California Public School Teachers' Retirement Salary Fund, shall be entitled to and shall receive retirement allowances, to be calculated on the same basis as that established for determining the retirement allowances provided for members of the said retirement system.

#### SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Re-referred to Judiciary Committee.

The following matter was, on motion of Supervisor Uhl, *re-referred to Judiciary Committee*:

Communication from Association of Women Eligibles, General Clerk B-222f, requesting amendment of Section 58 of the Annual Salary Ordinance, Department of Public Health—San Francisco Hospital, Item 3½, 14 B222 General Clerks, Part Time, non-civil service, to bring these positions into the proper civil service classification.



## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

## Final Passage.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

**Reappropriating \$131.13 From Appropriation No. 951.920.01 as Payment for Extra Work in Excess of 10 Per Cent of Certified Contract Price in Connection with Construction of Safety Islands at Fifth Street Approach to Bay Bridge.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1715, Ordinance No. 9.051515, as follows:

Reappropriating the sum of \$131.13 from Appropriation No. 951.920.01 for the purpose of paying for extra work in excess of 10 per cent of the certified estimated contract price in connection with the construction of safety islands at Fifth street approach to the Bay Bridge.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$131.13 is hereby reappropriated from Appropriation No. 951.920.01 for the purpose of paying for extra work in excess of 10 per cent of the certified contract price in connection with the construction of safety islands at Fifth street approach to the Bay Bridge.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Reilly, Schmidt—2.

**Amendment to Section 16, Annual Salary Ordinance, Reflecting Reclassification of Six Playground Directors to Directors at Large, Recreation Department.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1716, Ordinance No. 9.053157, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 16 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 by decreasing the number of employments under the Item 30 from 18 to 15 R56 Playground Director at \$160; by decreasing the number of employments under Item 31 from 22 to 19 R56 Playground Director at \$155; by adding Item 34¼ 3 R58 Director at Large, Recreation Department, at \$160, and by adding Item 34½ 3 R58 Director at Large, Recreation Department, at \$155.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 16 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

## Section 16. RECREATION DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	2	A154	Carpenter at \$9 per day .....	
2	1	A158	Sub-Foreman Carpenter at \$10 per day.	
3	1	A354	Painter at \$9 per day.....	
4	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	\$ 175
5	1	B185	Business Manager, Recreation Dept....	300
6	2	B222	General Clerk .....	150
7	2	B222	General Clerk .....	175
9	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
10	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	165
11	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155

## Section 16. RECREATION DEPARTMENT—Continued.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
12	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	150
13	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	235
14	1	F304	Supervisor of Playground Construction and Maintenance .....	350
15	10	J4	Laborer .....	150
16	1	J12	Laborer Foreman .....	175
17	45	J72	Playground Caretaker .....	155
18	8	J72	Playground Caretaker .....	145
19	3	O1	Chauffeur .....	160
20	4	O58	Gardener .....	135
20½	1	O58	Gardener .....	145
21	4	O58	Gardener .....	155
22	1	O62	Superintendent of Grounds, Recreation Department .....	200
23	1	R2	Secretary and Supervisor of Spec. Activities .....	250
24	1	R3	Assistant Superintendent, Rec. Dept....	260
25	1	R4	Superintendent, Rec. Dept. ....	400
26	16	R56	Playground Director (part time).....	75
28	1	R56	Playground Director .....	185
29	3	R56	Playground Director .....	175
30	15	R56	Playground Director .....	160
31	19	R56	Playground Director .....	155
32	9	R56	Playground Director .....	145
33	7	R56	Playground Director (part time).....	65
34		R56	Playground Director, 65c per hour.....	
34¼	3	R58	Director at Large, Recreation Dept....	160
34½	3	R58	Director at Large, Recreation Dept....	155
35	1	R105	Supervisor of Athletics .....	185
36	1	R106	Supervisor of Dramatics .....	225
37	1	R107	Supervisor of Women's Activities.....	195
39	1	R108	Supervisor of Music .....	210
40	2	R112	Matron, Swimming Pool—7 mo. ....	110
41	1	R114	Swimming Instructor—7 mo. ....	145
42	1	R114	Swimming Instructor—7 mo. ....	190
43		R114	Swimming Instructor—5 mo. (same as Item 42) .....	155
44	1	R114	Swimming Instructor—7 mo. ....	145
45	1	R116	Supervisor of Swimming .....	195
46			Pianist (as needed).....per call \$2.50	
46a		R112	Matron, Swimming Pool, 65c per hour..	
46b		R114	Swimming Instructor, 65c per hour....	

## CAMP MATHER SEASONAL EMPLOYMENT, AS NEEDED

—All maintenance provided shall be charged for and deduction made from salaries in accordance with schedule of deductions fixed in Section 2 of this ordinance specified herein.

47		A154	Carpenters at \$9 per day .....	
50	1	B4	Bookkeeper (less R.) .....	139
51		B4	Bookkeeper .....	125
54		I6	Pastry Cook (deduct R. & L.).....	137.50
55		I12	Cook (deduct R. & L.) .....	162.50
56		I16	Chef (deduct R. & L.) .....	210.50
58		L352	Interne (less B., R. & L.).....	102.50
59	1	O1	Chauffeur (deduct R.) .....	185
61			Team Hire for Camp, at rates specified in Purchasers' Contract .....	
64	1	R56	Playground Director (less B., R. & L.) (part time) .....	110



## Section 16. RECREATION DEPARTMENT—Continued.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
65		R101	Camp Assistant (part time), less than \$80	
66	1	R102	Camp Manager (8½ mos. at \$225; 3½ mos. at \$260 less B. R. & L.) .....	

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Reilly, Schmidt—2.

**Amendment Section 54a of Salary Ordinance, Department of Public Health, Reflecting Reclassification of Field Nurse to Supervising Nurse, Bureau of Communicable Diseases.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1717, Ordinance No. 9.053158, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 54(a) of Ordinance No. 9.053128 by eliminating Item 33 1 P60 Supervising Nurse, Bureau of Communicable Diseases, at \$175, and inserting in lieu thereof Item 33 1 P60 Supervising Nurse, Bureau of Communicable Diseases, at \$165.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 54(a) of Ordinance No. 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 54(a). DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)  
STATISTICS**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
17	1	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 190
18	1	B222	General Clerk .....	185
19	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	190
20	2	B238	Hospital Statistician .....	190
21	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
22	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125

**MEAT INSPECTION**

23	8	N56	Market Inspector .....	175
24	6	N56	Market Inspector .....	200
25	1	N58	Chief Market Inspector .....	225
26	8	N60	Abattoir Inspector .....	200
27	3	N62	Veterinarian .....	201
28	4	N62	Veterinarian .....	200
28½	1	N63	Chief Abattoir Inspector .....	200

**COMMUNICABLE DISEASES**

29	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
29½	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) .....	79.50
30	4	J74	Rat Catcher .....	115
30½	2	J74	Rat Catcher .....	110
31	4	L370	Epidemiologist (part time) .....	225
32	1	L371	Director, Bureau of Communicable Diseases (part time) .....	350
33	1	P60	Supervising Nurse, Bureau of Communicable Diseases .....	165

**SYPHILIS UNIT**

34	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
35	1	P102	Registered Nurse .....	135
36	1	L360	Physician .....	150

Section 54(a). DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)

## CLINICS

*Diagnostic Center*

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
37	2	L360	Physician (part time) .....	150
38	1	L364	Pediatrician (part time).....	100
39	1	P52	Field Nurse .....	165

*Bureau of Mental Hygiene*

40	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time)	75
41	1	L404	Psychologist .....	175
42	4	L404	Psychologist .....	150
43	1	L404	Psychologist (part time) .....	75
44	1	L408	Psychiatrist (part time).....	200
45	1	L408	Psychiatrist (part time).....	150

## BACTERIOLOGICAL LABORATORY

46	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
47	1	C102	Janitress .....	75
47½	1	I204	Porter (deduct for B. R. & L.).....	85
48	1	L52	Bacteriological Laboratory Technician (part time) .....	79.50
49	2	L52	Bacteriological Laboratory Technician..	125
50	1	L56	Bacteriologist .....	225
51	3	L56	Bacteriologist .....	175
52	1	L58	Director of Laboratories .....	275
53	1	L60	Bacteriological Milk Inspector .....	250
54	1	L64	Consultant, Bacteriologist (part time).	75

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Reilly, Schmidt—2.

**Amendment Section 55a, Salary Ordinance, Department of Public Health, Reflecting Reclassification of Supervising Field Nurse to Assistant to Director of Field Nursing.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1718, Ordinance No. 9.053159, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 55a of Ordinance No. 9.053128 by changing the class number and class title under item under 102 from 1 P57 Assistant to Director of Field Nursing at \$230 to 1 P54 Supervising Field Nurse at \$230; by decreasing the number of employments under Item 103 from 8 to 7 P54 Supervising Field Nurse at \$200; by increasing the number of items under 108 from 10 to 11 P52 Field Nurse at \$175, and by adding Item 103½ 1 P57 Assistant to the Director of Field Nursing at \$200.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 55a of Ordinance No. 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 55(a). DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)

## FIELD NURSING, ADMINISTRATION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
99	1	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 190
100	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
101	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125



**Section 55(a). DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)**

**FIELD NURSING, ADMINISTRATION (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
102	1	P54	Supervising Field Nurse .....	230
103	7	P54	Supervising Field Nurse .....	200
103½	1	P57	Asst. to Director of Field Nursing .....	200
104	1	P54	Supervising Field Nurse .....	175
105	1	P58	Director of Field Nursing .....	300
<b>FIELD NURSING, SCHOOLS</b>				
106	25	P52	Field Nurse .....	175
107	14	P52	Field Nurse .....	165
<b>FIELD NURSING, OTHER</b>				
108	11	P52	Field Nurse .....	175
109	7	P52	Field Nurse .....	165
109½	2	P54	Supervising Field Nurse .....	175
110	1	P101	Chinese Visiting Nurse .....	175
<b>TUBERCULOSIS BUREAU</b>				
110½	2	B222	General Clerks (part time) (deduct 1 meal) .....	79.50
111	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
112	2	L360	Physician (part time) .....	100
113	1	L360	Physician (part time) .....	200
114	2	P52	Field Nurse .....	165
115	7	P52	Field Nurse .....	175
116	2	P102	Registered Nurse .....	135
117	2	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B. R. & L.) ..	135
118	1	P104	Head Nurse .....	145

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Reilly, Schmidt—2.

**Amendment Section 56, Salary Ordinance, Department of Public Health, Laguna Honda Home, to Reflect Reclassification of Porter to Wringerman.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1719, Ordinance No. 9.053160, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 56 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 by decreasing number of employments under Item 26½ from 27 to 26 I204 Porter (deduct for B. R. & L.) at \$85, and by adding Item 24½ 1 I166 Wringerman (deduct for B. R. & L.) at \$85.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 56 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 56. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
LAGUNA HONDA HOME**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 100
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
3	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	135
3½	8	I2	Kitchen Helper (deduct for R. & L.) .....	75
4	1	I8	Head Baker .....	190
4½	1	I10	Cook's Assistant (deduct for R. & L.) ..	95
4¾	1	I10	Cook's Assistant (deduct for R. & L.) ..	75

**Section 56. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
LAGUNA HONDA HOME (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
5	3	I12	Cook . . . . .	165
6	1	I12	Cook (part time) . . . . .	75
7	1	I14	Junior Chef . . . . .	175
8	1	I16	Chef . . . . .	200
9	1	I22	Butcher . . . . .	125
10	1	I24	Senior Butcher . . . . .	235
11		I26	Hog Killer, \$9 per day (as needed)	
12	4	I54	Waitress . . . . .	110
13	1	I58	Dining Room Steward . . . . .	140
14		I102	Inmate Help, not over . . . . .	50
15	62	I116	Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.) . . . . .	85
16	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.) . . . . .	140
17	3	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.) . . . . .	135
18	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.) . . . . .	125
19	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.) . . . . .	120
19½	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.) . . . . .	115
20	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.) . . . . .	102.50
21	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.) . . . . .	87.50
22	1	I212a	Steward (deduct for B., R. & L.) . . . . .	235
22½	1	I212b	Stewardess (deduct for B., R. & L.) . . . . .	235
23	1	I154	Laundress . . . . .	100
23½	1	I154	Laundress (deduct for B., R. & L.) . . . . .	85
24	1	I164	Marker and Distributor . . . . .	130
24½	1	I166	Wringerman (deduct for B. R. & L.) . . . . .	85
25	1	I170	Washer (deduct for B., R. & L.) . . . . .	102.50
26	1	I174	Superintendent of Laundry . . . . .	175
26½	26	I204	Porter (deduct for B. R. & L.) . . . . .	85
27	1	I254	Seamstress . . . . .	100
28	1	I256	Head Seamstress (deduct for R.) . . . . .	125

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Reilly, Schmidt—2.

**Amendment Section 61, Salary Ordinance, Department of Public Health, Emergency Hospitals, to Reflect Reclassification of Emergency Hospital Steward to Senior Emergency Hospital Steward.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1720, Ordinance No. 9.053161, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 61 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 by decreasing the number of items under Item 10 from 5 to 4 P2 Emergency Hospital Steward at \$175; by adding Item 11½ 1 P3 Senior Emergency Hospital Steward at \$175; by deleting the asterisk in Items 9, 10 and 11, and by deleting the explanation of asterisk.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 61 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 61. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
EMERGENCY HOSPITALS**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	\$ 190
1½	1	12	Kitchen Helper (deduct for R. & L.) . . . . .	75
2	12	L504	Emergency Hospital Surgeon . . . . .	200



**Section 61. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
EMERGENCY HOSPITALS (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
3	1	L506	Assistant Chief Surgeon Emergency Hospitals . . . . .	225
4	1	L508	Chief Surgeon . . . . .	250
5	14	O6	Ambulance Driver . . . . .	200
6	1	O6	Ambulance Driver . . . . .	195
7	1	O6	Ambulance Driver . . . . .	185
8	3	O6	Ambulance Driver . . . . .	175
9	7	P2	Emergency Hospital Steward . . . . .	165
10	4	P2	Emergency Hospital Steward . . . . .	175
11	16	P2	Emergency Hospital Steward . . . . .	200
11½	1	P3	Senior Emergency Hospital Steward... .	175
12	1	P4	Chief Emergency Hospital Steward....	250
13	12	P102	Registered Nurse . . . . .	165
14	7	P102	Registered Nurse . . . . .	135
15	1	P102	Registered Nurse (Relief) at \$5 per day	

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Reilly, Schmidt—2.

**Amendment Section 83, Salary Ordinance, Board of Education,  
to Correct Error in Original Salary Ordinance.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1721, Ordinance No. 9.053162, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 83 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 by changing Item 259 1 I12 Cook at \$75 to 1 I12 Cook (part time) at \$75, and by changing Item 260 from 2 I2 Kitchen Helper at \$75 to 2 I2 Kitchen Helper (part time) at \$75.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 83 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 83. BOARD OF EDUCATION—  
NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES, 1938-1939**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
200	1	A6	Superintendent of Maintenance and Re- pairs . . . . .	375
201	3	A154	Carpenter at \$10 per day . . . . .	
202	1	A162	Foreman Carpenter at \$11 per day ....	
203	3	A354	Painter at \$9.75 per day . . . . .	
204	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper . . . . .	235
205		B6	Senior Bookkeeper . . . . .	190
206	1	B9	Supervisor of Financial Reports, Board of Education . . . . .	200
207	1	B14	Senior Accountant . . . . .	375
208		B14	Senior Accountant . . . . .	275
209	1	B58	Secretary Board of Education (exempt)	425
210	1	B180	Administrative Assistant . . . . .	300
211	1	B210	Office Assistant . . . . .	100
212	1	B210	Office Assistant . . . . .	75
213	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	155
214	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	190
215	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	175
216	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	200

## Section 83. BOARD OF EDUCATION—

NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES, 1938-1939  
(Continued)

Item	No. of No. Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
217	2	B308	Key Drive Calculating Machine Operator	175
218	1	B308	Key Drive Calculating Machine Operator	150
219		B308	Key Drive Calculating Machine Operators as needed at \$5 per day.....	
220	1	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator.....	165
221	1	B354	General Storekeeper .....	230
222	1	B380	Armorer R. O. T. C. (part time) .....	75
223	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	215
224	51	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
225	9	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	170
226	8	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	160
227	4	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	150
228	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	140
229	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at \$4.20 per eve. ....	
230	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at \$3.30 per eve. ....	
231	7	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at \$3.00 per eve. ....	
232	26	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer at \$6.00 per day .....	
233	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	215
234	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
235	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
236	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	180
237	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	175
238	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
239		B454	Telephone Operator (part time) for relief when needed at \$2 per day .....	
240	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	215
241	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	190
242	5	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
243	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	160
244	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	140
245	96	C102	Janitress .....	140
246	4	C102	Janitress .....	130
247	129	C104	Janitor .....	155
248	34	C104	Janitor .....	145
249	1	C104	Janitor (part time) .....	16
250	1	C104	Janitor (part time) .....	10
251	28	C105	Special Janitor .....	162.50
252	2	C105	Special Janitor .....	152.50
253	5	C107	Working Foreman Janitor .....	185
254	11	C107	Working Foreman Janitor .....	170
255	1	C107	Working Foreman Janitor .....	160
256	1	C112	Supervisor School Janitors .....	275
257	1	I12	Cook .....	140
258	1	I12	Cook .....	130
259	1	I12	Cook (part time) .....	75
260	2	I2	Kitchen Helper (part time) .....	75
261	11	J78	Stockman .....	200
262	4	J78	Stockman .....	160
264	1	J80	Foreman Stockman .....	210
265	1	O2	Chauffeur .....	215
266	1	O104	Moving Picture Operator .....	200
267	2	O122	Window Shade Worker .....	200
268	12	O168	Engineers Stationary Steam Engines ..	220



**Section 83. BOARD OF EDUCATION—  
NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES, 1933-1939  
(Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
269		O168	Engineers Stationary Steam Engines (part time; relief) .....	125
270		O168	Engineers, Stationary Steam Engines, \$3 per evening as required .....	
271	1	O172	Chief Engineer Stationary Steam Engines . . . . .	310
272	1	O61	Foreman Gardener .....	200
273	7	O58	Gardeners .....	145
274	2	O58	Gardeners .....	135
275			Referees and Umpires, \$1 to \$3 per game as needed.	
276			Temporary clerical employment and other help as needed at rates not in excess of salary standardization schedules.	
277			Temporary evening school clerks as needed at \$3.00 per evening.	

**TRUCK RENTAL—CONTRACTUAL**

278			Trucks over 2,500 lbs. and not over 4,500 lbs. at rate of \$265.00 per month for not more than 23 days per month.	
-----	--	--	---	--

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Reilly, Schmidt—2.

**NEW BUSINESS.**

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

**Cancellation of Assessment, Personal Property, Helen L. Ehrgott.**

(Code No. 9.0411)

Resolution No. 4273, as follows:

Whereas, the Assessor having recommended the cancellation of assessment of unsecured personal property, assessed to Helen L. Ehrgott, per Vol. 2, page 75, line 7, 1933 Unsecured Personal Property Assessment, the property involved having been removed from the State before the assessment date, March 6, 1933; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That with the consent of the City Attorney and the approval of the Controller, assessment of unsecured personal property, assessed to Helen L. Ehrgott, per Vol. 2, page 75, line 7, 1933 Unsecured Personal Property Assessment Roll, be and is hereby cancelled, in conformity with Section 3804A of the Political Code.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Reilly, Schmidt—2.

**Cancellation of Erroneous Assessment and Penalty.**

(Code No. 9.0411)

Also, Resolution No. 4274, as follows:

Whereas, the Assessor has reported an erroneous assessment of \$4,960 solvent credits, against American Utilities Company, in Vol. 1, page 10, line 19 of the 1938 Unsecured Personal Property Assessment Roll and legally assessed in Los Angeles, the situs of the home office of the company; and

Whereas, the Assessor has reported that the amount of the tax on the correct and legal assessment was tendered to the City and County of San Francisco before the time of the imposition of a penalty for delinquency in payment thereof; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That with the consent of the City Attorney and the recommendation of the Controller, in conformity with Section 3804A of the Political Code, that portion of the assessment consisting of solvent credits, \$4,960, erroneously assessed in San Francisco, and legally assessed in Los Angeles, the situs of the home office of the company, together with penalty of 8 per cent on the remaining portion of the assessment, the amount of the tax on the correct and legal assessment having been tendered to the City and County before the time of the imposition of the penalty, be and is hereby cancelled.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Reilly, Schmidt—2.

**Approval Supplemental Recommendations, Public Welfare Department, for October, 1938.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 4275, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendation of the Public Welfare Department, containing the additional names of persons and amounts to be paid as Old Age Security and Blind Pensions and Widows' Pensions for the month of October, 1938, and also denials, are hereby approved, and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is directed to transmit this approval to the Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Reilly, Schmidt—2.

**San Francisco Hospital Fees.**

(Code No. 18.01)

Also, Resolution No. 4276, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with recommendation of the Controller (Sections 7 and 8 of Ordinance No. 18.012), it is hereby determined and fixed that the proper and reasonable amount to be charged to persons legally obligated for institutional services rendered in San Francisco Hospital, including the Main Division, Tuberculosis Division and Isolation Division, and the Hassler Health Home, shall be as follows:

Main Division .....	\$5.28 a day
Tuberculosis Division .....	3.24 a day
Isolation Division .....	6.18 a day
Hassler Health Home .....	3.34 a day

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Reilly, Schmidt—2.



**Anza Street Widening—Land Acquisition.**

(Code No. ....)

Also, Resolution No. 4277, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Heyman Brothers, or the legal owner, portions of Lots 3B and 4, Assessor's Block 1107, San Francisco, California, required for the widening of Anza street, for the sum of \$5,000, payable from Appropriation No. 877.927.17.

It is understood that all expense in the widening of Anza street is to be borne by the City and County of San Francisco.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above-named owner for a particular description of said parcel of land.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Reilly, Schmidt—2.

**Wage Scale—Private Employment on Public Contracts.**

(Code No. 9.092)

Also, Resolution No. 4278, as follows:

Resolved, That the highest general prevailing rate of wages paid in private employment to various crafts in the City and County of San Francisco, including wages paid on holidays and for overtime work, is hereby determined and declared to be as herein set forth. Except as herein otherwise specifically provided, the wages herein fixed are based on an eight-hour day, five days per week.

**SECTION 1—BUILDING AND CONSTRUCTION TRADES**

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Asbestos Workers .....	\$1.25	Double time after 8 hours.
Asphalt Rakers and Ironers..	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Asphalt Shovelers .....	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Bricklayers and Stone Ma- sons (6-hour day), (includ- ing granite curbs) .....	1.75	Double time after 6 hours.
Bricklayers' tenders and hod carriers (6-hour day), (\$1 day extra for work under- ground) .....	1.25	Double time after 6 hours.
Carpenters & cabinet makers (including hardwood floor- men) .....	1.25	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Cement Finishers .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours.
Cribbers .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours, after 8 hours; double thereafter.
Electrical Workers (including Fixture Hangers) .....	1.37½	Double time after 8 hours
Elevator Constructors .....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Elevator Constructors' Helpers	1.05	Double time after 8 hours

**Engineers:**

Compressor operators (on steel erection) .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Derricks and Asphalt Plant.	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Building Material Hoists..	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Pile Drivers, Locomotive Cranes .....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Roller Engineers and Clam-shell Operators, Concrete Mixers and Mechanical Finishers, Asphalt Burners and Concrete Busters.	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Trench Machine .....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Firemen (Asphalt Plants).	1.10	Double time after 8 hours
Truck Crane Engineers....	1.66 $\frac{2}{3}$	Double time after 8 hours
Caterpillar Engineer, 50 h.p. and Over .....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Operators of Power Shovels and/or Other Excavating Equipment with Power Shovel Control When Used on Excavating Operations (6-hour day) .....	2.00	Double time after 6 hours
Shovel Firemen, Watchman Oiler (6-hour day) .....	1.33 $\frac{1}{3}$	Double time after 6 hours
Glass Workers, Including Art Glass .....	1.21	Time and one-half first 2 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
<b>Gunite Workers:</b>		
Nozzle Men .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Rod Men .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Finishers .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Ground Wire Men.....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Gun Men .....	.90	Double time after 8 hours
Mixer Men .....	.87 $\frac{1}{2}$	Double time after 8 hours
Rebound Men .....	.75	Double time after 8 hours
Foremen (\$1 per day above highest craftsman) .....		Double time after 8 hours
<b>Housesmiths:</b>		
Reinforced Concrete .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
<b>Iron Workers:</b>		
Bridge, Structural, Rigger.	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Derrick Engineers .....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Bronze and Ornamental (including erection of steel and iron fences).....	1.25	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Jackhammer Men .....	.97	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
<b>Laborers:</b>		
.....	...	Overtime after 8 hours; and Saturday morning time and one-half; double thereafter
Construction and General..	.81 $\frac{1}{4}$	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Mixer Operators .....	.87 $\frac{1}{2}$	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter

Hours of Work for Laborers: Hours of work for laborers shall not exceed eight hours in any one day, said eight hours to commence at 8:00 a. m. and to continue for eight continuous hours thereafter,



provided, however, that where shift work is necessary the following conditions shall prevail:

First shift shall commence at 8:00 a. m. and continue for eight hours of continuous work, and the second shift shall commence at 5:00 p. m. and continue for eight hours continuous work. Provided further, that the time not exceeding one hour allowed for meals during any one shift shall not be deemed to break the continuity of work. Where more than two shifts are employed, each shift shall work seven hours of continuous work.

Compensation for all service between 8:00 a. m. and 5:00 p. m., 81¼ cents per hour. Where second shift only is used, 81¼ cents per hour. Where three shifts are used, eight hours' pay at 81¼ cents per hour for seven hours' work, except in shift work all time before 8:00 a. m. and after 5:00 p. m. overtime rate shall prevail.

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Lathers (6-hour day) wood and/or metal .....	1.60	Double time after 6 hours
Marble Trades:		
Shopmen and Helpers.....	.81¼	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Polishers .....	.78¾	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Bed Rubbers .....	.84¾	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Cutters, Copers, Carborundum Men .....	.90	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Carvers .....	1.02½	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Marble Masons and Setters..	1.31¼	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Mosaic and Terazzo Workers.	1.12½	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Mosaic and Terazzo Workers' Helpers .....	.75	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Mucker .....	.90	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Painters .....	1.21 3/7	Time and one-half after 7 hours
Painters, Structural Iron Work .....	1.37½	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Painters, Varnishers and Polishers .....	1.10	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Pile Drivers .....	1.40	Double time after 8 hours
Plasterers (6-hour day).....	1.66¾	Double time after 6 hours
Plasterers' Tenders and Hod Carriers (6-hour day).....	1.40	Double time after 6 hours
Plumbers and Gas Fitters (including pipe calking)...	1.40	Double time after 8 hours; Saturday morning at straight time on existing installations.
Ornamental Plasterers:		
Casters (6-hour day) .....	1.50	Double time after 6 hours
Model Makers (6-hour day) .....	1.50	Double time after 6 hours
Modelers (6-hour day) .....	2.00	Double time after 6 hours
Roofers and Waterproofers...	1.21	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Sheet Metal Workers .....	1.25	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Sprinkler Fitters .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Steam Fitters .....	1.37 ½	Double time after 8 hours
Stone Cutters:		
Soft and granite, including granite curbs .....	1.12½	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter

Stone Derrickmen .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Tile Setters .....	1.37½	Double time after 8 hours
Tile Setters' Helpers .....	.87½	Double time after 8 hours
Timberman (tunnel) .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
<b>Dump Truck Drivers (7-hour Day)</b>		
2 yards or less, water level per day.....		\$ 7.00
3 yards, water level per day .....		7.50
4 yards, water level per day .....		8.00
5 yards, water level per day .....		8.00
6 yards, water level per day .....		8.50
7 yards or over, water level per day.....		9.00
<b>Truck Drivers of Concrete Mixer Trucks (7-hour Day)</b>		
2 yards or less per day.....		\$7.50
3 yards per day .....		8.00
4 yards per day .....		8.50
5 yards per day.....		8.50
6 yards per day .....		9.00
<b>Tractor Driver (up to 35 h.p.)</b>		
(6-hour day) .....	8.00	Time and one-half after 6 hours
<b>Tractor Driver (over 35 h.p. to 50 h.p.) (6-hour day).....</b>		
9.00 Time and one-half after 6 hours		
(Working time for truck drivers, tractor drivers and all engineers shall be reckoned by half day and full day. Overtime for truck drivers at time and a half after seven hours.)		

## SECTION 2—BUILDING TRADE—SHOP RATES

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>
Cabinet Workers, Millmen, Machine and Bench Hands (Shop) .....	\$1.12½
Varnishers and Polishers (shop) .....	1.10

## SECTION 3—METAL TRADES—FIELD RATES

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Blacksmiths .....	1.30	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Boilermakers .....	1.37½	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Boilermakers' Helpers .....	1.10	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Machinists .....	1.10	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Machinists' Helpers .....	.75	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Machinist (maintenance) ...	1.12½	Time and one-half after 8 hours

## SECTION 4—METAL TRADES—SHOP RATES

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Pattern Makers (based on 7-hour day) .....	1.50	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 7 hours; double thereafter
Molders and Coremakers.....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Blacksmiths .....	1.30	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Blacksmiths' Helpers .....	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Boilermakers .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Boilermakers' Helpers .....	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Machinists .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Machinists' Helpers .....	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter



Structural and Ornamental Ironworkers (shop) .....	.90	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Toolmaker .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter

## SECTION 5—MISCELLANEOUS TRADES

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Well Drillers .....	1.10	
Well Drillers (hand tool fore- men) .....	1.10	
Diamond Drillers .....	1.10	
Powderman .....	1.10	
Washers, Polishers and Greas- ers (garagemen) .....	.75	

## SECTION 6—CULINARY WORKERS

(8-hour day—5-day week)

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Head Cook .....	\$37.50	\$1.50 hour
Other Cooks .....	32.50	\$1.50 hour
Cooks' Helpers .....	25.00	\$1.50 hour (Short shifts, not less than 3 hours, \$1 hour)
Waiters .....	21.00	\$0.75 hour
Waitresses .....	21.00	\$0.75 hour
Dishwasher and Vegetable Man (straight shift).....	17.50	\$0.50 hour
Dishwasher and Vegetable Man (broken shift).....	20.00	\$0.50 hour

## SECTION 7—FURNITURE TRADES

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Carpet Layers, Cutters and Measurers (Linoleum, Cork, Rubber and Mastic) .....	\$10.00	Double time after 8 hours
Carpet Seamstresses (large machines) .....	6.60	Double time after 8 hours
Carpet Seamstresses (small machines) .....	6.05	Double time after 8 hours
Carpet Layers' Apprentices:		
1st 6 months out .....	5.00	Double time after 8 hours
2d 6 months out .....	5.50	Double time after 8 hours
3d 6 months out .....	6.25	Double time after 8 hours
4th 6 months out .....	7.00	Double time after 8 hours
5th 6 months out .....	8.00	Double time after 8 hours
6th 6 months out .....	9.00	Double time after 8 hours
Shade and Drapery Makers and Hangers (including Venetian Blinds) .....	8.80	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Upholsterers .....	8.80	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Furniture Handlers, Packers and Strippers .....	6.60	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Drapery Seamstresses .....	5.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter

**SECTION 8—DREDGE BOATS**  
**(8-hour day—4-hour Saturday)**

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Per Month</i>	
	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Dredge Captain .....	\$270	Double time after 8 hours
Leverman . . . . .	245	Double time after 8 hours
Fireman . . . . .	170	Double time after 8 hours
Deckhand . . . . .	170	Double time after 8 hours
Bargeman . . . . .	170	Double time after 8 hours

Wherever welding processes are involved the rate paid for such shall be as herein fixed for the crafts performing the work.

Saturdays and holiday work at double time. Holidays are New Year's Day, Decoration Day, Fourth of July, Labor Day, Admission Day, Thanksgiving and Christmas.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Reilly, Schmidt—2.

**Authorizing Attendance of Ralph W. Wiley, Chief of Department of Electricity, at Convention in Long Beach.**

(Code No. 11.10)

Also, Resolution No. 4279, as follows:

Resolved, That Ralph W. Wiley, Chief of the Department of Electricity of the City and County of San Francisco be, and he is hereby, authorized to attend the meeting of the Southwestern Section of the International Association of Electrical Inspectors, to be held in Long Beach, in the County of Los Angeles, from October 10th to 13th, 1938; and that the expenses of said Ralph W. Wiley in attending said meeting be, and the same are, hereby made a charge against the funds of the Department of Electricity, pursuant to the provisions of Section 219 of the Charter and Ordinance No. 9.0568.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Reilly, Schmidt—2.

**Land Purchase—Sunset Reservoir.**

(Code No. 12.17152)

Also, Resolution No. 4280, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from J. Lewis, et ux., or the legal owner, to Lot 16, in Block 2108, San Francisco, required for the Sunset Reservoir, and that the sum of \$360.50 be paid for said lot, \$110.50 from Appropriation No. 93.905.05-57, and the balance of \$250 from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Francisco, Superior Court Case No. 262443.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Reilly, Schmidt—2.



**Land Purchase—Nineteenth Avenue Widening.**

(Code No. 12.1719)

Also, Resolution No. 4281, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Mary C. Wetzel and Theodore Wetzel, a portion of Lot 19, Block 2347, San Francisco, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, and that the sum of \$2,380 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 951.908.57, Project No. 5-A.

The above sum includes damages in full to the improvements now located on the property, said improvements to be relocated by the grantor within thirty days after recording the deed to the City.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above-named parties for a particular description of said parcel of land.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Reilly, Schmidt—2.

**Proposed Charter Amendment to Permit Licensing for Revenue.**

The following matter, referred to Board by Finance Committee without recommendation, was *referred to the Judiciary Committee*:

**CHARTER AMENDMENT NO. ....****PERMITS AND INSPECTIONS**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of the City and County by amending Section 24 of the Charter of the City and County, relating to permits and inspections.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the Special Election to be held on the .... day of ....., a proposal to amend the Charter of the City and County, as follows:

**PERMITS AND INSPECTIONS**

Section 24. The board of supervisors shall regulate, by ordinance, the issuance and revocation of licenses and permits for the use of, obstruction of or encroachment on public streets and places, exclusive of the granting of franchises governed by other provisions of this charter; and for the operation of businesses or privileges which affect the health, fire-prevention, fire-fighting, crime, policing, welfare or zoning conditions of or in the city and county, and for such other matters as the board of supervisors may deem advisable.

Such ordinance shall fix the fees or licenses to be charged, which shall not be less than the cost to the city and county of regulation and inspection; provided, that in so far as the regulation and inspection of foodstuffs or articles of food for human consumption are concerned, the fees or licenses to be charged for such regulation and inspection shall

be as determined by the board of supervisors, but the same shall not exceed the cost of said regulation and inspection. Said ordinance shall also specify which department shall make the necessary investigation and inspections and issue or deny and may revoke the permits and licenses therefor. The chief of police in the performance of police duties shall have power to examine at any time the books and the premises of pawnbrokers, peddlers, junk and second-hand dealers, auctioneers and other businesses designated by the board of supervisors and the tax collector shall have power to examine the books of any business for which a license is issued and a fee charged on the basis of the receipts of such business, and for these purposes such officials shall have the power of inquiry, investigation and subpoena, as provided by this charter.

Permits and licenses shall be issued by the departments as designated by ordinance, only after formal application for such permit or license. No such permit or license that is dependent on or affected by the zoning, set-back or other ordinances of the city and county administered by the city planning commission shall be issued except on the prior approval of the city planning commission. If any application for a permit or license is denied by the department authorized to issue same the applicant may appeal to the board of permit appeals.

Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, the board of supervisors shall have full power to impose and provide for the collection of license taxes both for revenue and for regulation, or for either revenue or regulation, provided no license tax shall be imposed on any seller or manufacturer of goods, wares or merchandise operating at a fixed place of business in the city and county, except such as require permits or licenses in accordance with or under authority of any local health, sanitary or other ordinance under the police power.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendations of the Streets Committee were taken up:

#### **Amending Traffic Ordinance to Prohibit Parking on the East Side of Third Street Between Townsend and King Streets Between the Hours of 7 A. M. to 6 P. M.**

(Code No. 11.02)

Bill No. 1727, Ordinance No. 11.0242, as follows:

Amending Section 37, Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 37, Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 37. *Parking Prohibited on Certain Streets, 7 A. M. to 6 P. M.* Between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, excepting Sundays and legal holidays, and excepting duly licensed public passenger vehicles at duly authorized and licensed locations, it shall be unlawful for the operator of a vehicle to stop said vehicle for a period of time longer than is necessary for the actual loading or unloading and delivery of passengers or materials in any of the following places:



Alameda street between Bryant and Florida streets, Annie street, Anthony street, Austin street, Campton place, Cedar street, Clementina street, Cortland avenue on the north side between Mission and Folsom streets, Ecker street, Elm street, Fern street, Florida street for a distance of 275 feet south of Alameda street property line, Hemlock street, Holland court, Ivy street, Linden street, Maiden lane, Merchant street between Battery and Sansome streets, south side of Minna street between First and Ninth streets; Mint street, on the east, south and north sides; Monroe street, Montgomery street between Market and California streets, Myrtle street, north side of Natoma street between Fremont and Ninth streets, Olive street, Pacific avenue on the north side between Columbus avenue and Van Ness avenue, Post street between Kearny and Market streets, Redwood street, Tehama street, east side of Third street between Townsend and King streets, Willow street.

Signs shall be erected and maintained not more than two hundred (200) feet apart in each block designating the provisions of this section.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Reilly, Schmidt—2.

**Accepting the Roadway of Twenty-fifth Avenue Between Noriega Street and Ortega Street.**

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 1728, Ordinance No. 12.081144, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Twenty-fifth avenue between Noriega street and Ortega street, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Twenty-fifth avenue between Noriega street and Ortega street, including the curbs.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Reilly, Schmidt—2.

**Establishing Walk Widths in Hunters' Point District.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1729, Ordinance No. 12.0731136, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered 1213, 1214, 1215, 1216, 1217, 1218, 1219 and 1220.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office August 29, 1938, by adding thereto new sections to

be numbered 1213, 1214, 1215, 1216, 1217, 1218, 1219 and 1220, to read as follows:

Section 1213:

The width of sidewalks on Earl street between India street and Innes avenue shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Earl street between Innes avenue and Jerrold avenue shall be abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Earl street between Jerrold and La Salle avenues shall be 19 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Earl street between La Salle and Newcomb avenues shall be abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Earl street between Newcomb avenue and its southeasterly termination shall be 10 feet.

Section 1214:

The width of sidewalks on Fitch street between India street and Innes avenue shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Fitch street between Innes and Jerrold avenues shall be abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Fitch street between Jerrold and La Salle avenues shall be 19 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Fitch street between La Salle and Newcomb avenues shall be abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Fitch street between Newcomb and Oakdale avenues shall be 19 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Fitch street between Oakdale avenue and its southwesterly termination shall be 10 feet.

Section 1215:

The width of sidewalks on Griffith street between India street and Innes avenue shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Griffith street between Innes avenue and Jerrold avenue shall be 19 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Griffith street between Jerrold and Kirkwood avenues shall be abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Griffith street between Kirkwood and McKinnon avenues shall be 19 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Griffith street between McKinnon and Oakdale avenues shall be abolished.

Section 1216:

The width of sidewalks on Hawes street between Innes and La Salle avenues shall be abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Hawes street between La Salle avenue and McKinnon avenue shall be 19 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Hawes street between McKinnon and Oakdale avenues shall be abolished.

Section 1217:

The width of sidewalks on Ingalls street between Jerrold and La Salle avenues shall be abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Ingalls street between La Salle and McKinnon avenues shall be 19 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Ingalls street between McKinnon and Oakdale avenues shall be abolished.

Section 1218:

The width of sidewalks on Jennings street between Innes and McKinnon avenues shall be 19 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Jennings street between McKinnon and Newcomb avenues shall be abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Jennings street between Newcomb and Palou avenues shall be 19 feet.



**Section 1219:**

The width of sidewalks on Keith street between Hudson and Innes avenues shall be 19 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Keith street between Innes and Kirkwood avenues shall be abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Keith street between Kirkwood and Newcomb avenues shall be 19 feet.

**Section 1220:**

The width of sidewalks on Lane street between Galvez and McKinnon avenues shall be 19 feet.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Reilly, Schmidt—2.

**Changing Walk Widths in Hunters' Point District.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1730, Ordinance No. 12.0731137, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Sections 558, 559, 560, 561, 562 and 563 thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office August 29, 1938, by amending Sections 558, 559, 560, 561, 562 and 563 thereof to read as follows:

**Section 558:**

The width of sidewalks on Innes avenue between Toland and Mendell streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Innes avenue between Lane and Jennings streets shall be 27 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Innes avenue between Jennings and Hawes streets shall be 27 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Innes avenue between Hawes and Donahue streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Innes avenue between Donahue and Alvord streets shall be 27 feet.

**Section 559:**

The width of sidewalks on Jerrold avenue between Bay Shore boulevard and Third street shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Jerrold avenue between Third and Mendell streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Jerrold avenue between Mendell and Lane street shall be 27 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Jerrold avenue between Lane and Earl streets shall be 27 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Jerrold avenue between Earl and Alvord streets shall be 27 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Jerrold avenue between Alvord and Waterfront streets shall be 15 feet.

**Section 560:**

The width of sidewalks on Kirkwood avenue between Selby and Mendell streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Kirkwood avenue between Mendell and Lane streets shall be 27 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Kirkwood avenue between Lane and Earl streets shall be 27 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Kirkwood avenue between Earl and Boalt streets shall be 27 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Kirkwood avenue between Boalt and Waterfront streets shall be 10 feet.

Section 561:

The width of sidewalks on La Salle avenue between Quint and Mendell streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on La Salle avenue, the northeasterly side of, between Mendell and Lane streets shall be 35 feet.

The width of sidewalks on La Salle avenue, the southwesterly side of, between Mendell and Lane streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on La Salle avenue between Lane and Earl streets shall be 27 feet.

The width of sidewalks on La Salle avenue between Earl and Boalt streets shall be 27 feet.

The width of sidewalks on La Salle avenue between Boalt and Waterfront streets shall be 10 feet.

Section 562:

The width of sidewalks on McKinnon avenue between Barneveld avenue and Selby street shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on McKinnon avenue between Selby and Phelps street shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on McKinnon avenue between Phelps and Third streets shall be 19 feet.

The width of sidewalks on McKinnon avenue between Third and Keith streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on McKinnon avenue between Keith and Donahue streets shall be 27 feet.

The width of sidewalks on McKinnon avenue between Donahue and Waterfront streets shall be 10 feet.

Section 563:

The width of sidewalks on Newcomb avenue between Barneveld avenue and Selby street shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Newcomb avenue between Selby and Third streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Newcomb avenue between Third and Lane streets shall be 19 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Newcomb avenue between Lane and Keith streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Newcomb avenue between Keith and Fitch streets shall be 27 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Newcomb avenue between Fitch and Waterfront streets shall be 10 feet.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Reilly, Schmidt—2.

**Ordering the Improvement of Gates Street Between Powhattan Avenue and Bernal Heights Boulevard.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 1731, Ordinance No. 12.0611102, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifica-



tions therefor, describing and approving the Assessment District, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, September 20, 1938, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten (10) installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Gates street between Powhattan avenue and Bernal Heights boulevard, and of the crossing of Gates street and Powhattan avenue, by grading to official line and grade, by the construction of the following items:

Item No.	Item
1	Grading (excavation).
2	Grading (fill).
3	6-inch Class "E" concrete pavement.
4	Unarmored concrete curb.
5	Two-course concrete sidewalk.
6	8-inch vitrified clay pipe sewer, in place.
7	8-inch by 6-inch vitrified clay pipe "Y"-branches, in place.
8	12-inch vitrified clay pipe sewer, in place.
9	6-inch vitrified clay pipe sidesewers, in place.
10	Brick manholes, complete.
11	Brick catchbasins, complete.
12	10-inch vitrified clay pipe culvert, in place.
13	Water services.

The Assessment District hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as:

Block 5625, Lots 11, 12, 12A, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18 and 19;

Block 5626, Lots 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25 and 26;

Block 5650, Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8; and

Block 5651, Lots 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31 and 32;

all being designated on the Maps and Books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the Assessment Book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above mentioned improvement.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Reilly, Schmidt—2.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Streets Committee were taken up:

**Approving Map of L. B. Ham's Subdivision, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 1166, Accepting Paramount Terrace, and Approving and Accepting Bond for Taxes in Connection Therewith.**

(Code No. 12.0821)

Resolution No. 4266, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain map entitled "Map of L. B. Ham's Subdivision, portion of Assessor's Block No. 1166, San Francisco, California, Composed of 2 Sheets," be and is hereby approved and adopted as the official map of L. B. Ham's Subdivision, portion of Assessor's Block No. 1166, San Francisco, California, and that portion of land delineated and designated thereon as Paramount terrace, is hereby accepted on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, and declared to be an open public street to be known as Paramount terrace; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept that certain deed dated the 30th day of July, 1938, from Mary B. Wooten, Charles J. Durbrow, Lloyd B. Ham and Helen M. Ham, his wife, granting to the City and County of San Francisco all that land comprising Paramount terrace as delineated upon said map, together with sewer easements as shown upon said map; and be it

Further Resolved, That that certain bond in the sum of \$1,950 executed the 27th day of August, 1938, between Lloyd B. Ham and Charles J. Durbrow, as principals, and W. W. Sanderson and Katherine D. Sanderson, as sureties, running to the City and County of San Francisco, conditioned for the payment of all taxes or special assessments collected as taxes which are at the time of filing said map, a lien against the land or any part thereof as shown upon said map but not yet payable, be and is hereby approved and accepted, the said sum of \$1,950 being sufficient to cover all the taxes which are a lien upon the property described in said map and which are not yet payable, the amount of such taxes being estimated by the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco to be \$975.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Reilly, Schmidt—2.

**Approving Map of Lurmont, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 71, San Francisco, Calif., Accepting Lurmont Terrace, and Approving and Accepting Bond for Taxes in Connection Therewith.**

(Code No. 12.0821)

Also, Resolution No. 4267, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain map entitled "Map of Lurmont, portion of Assessor's Block 71, San Francisco, Calif., Composed of 2 Sheets," be and is hereby approved and adopted as the official map of Lurmont, portion of Assessor's Block 71, San Francisco, Calif., and that portion of land delineated and designated thereon as Lurmont terrace, is hereby accepted on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, and declared to be an open public street to be known as Lurmont terrace; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept that certain deed dated the 15th day of August, 1938, from The Lurie Company, a corporation, granting to the City and County of San Francisco all that land comprising Lurmont terrace as delineated upon said map, together with easements as shown on said map; and be it



Further Resolved, That that certain bond in the sum of \$1,760, executed the 17th day of August, 1938, between The Lurie Company, a corporation, as principal, and American Bonding Company of Baltimore, a corporation, as surety, running to the City and County of San Francisco, conditioned for the payment of all taxes or special assessments collected as taxes which are at the time of filing said map, a lien against the land or any part thereof as shown upon said map but not yet payable, be and is hereby approved and accepted, the said sum of \$1,760 being sufficient to cover all the taxes which are a lien upon the property described in said map and which are not yet payable, the amount of such taxes being estimated by the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco to be \$880.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Reilly, Schmidt—2.

**Changing and Establishing Grades on Armstrong Avenue Between Third and Mendell Streets; Lane and Mendell Streets Between Yosemite and Armstrong Avenues.**

(Code No. 12.0721)

Also, Resolution No. 4268, as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above City base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Order No. 9021 of the Director of Public Works, dated September 23, 1938, making written recommendation of said action, filed with said Board September 27, 1938, to-wit:

<i>Armstrong avenue:</i>	<i>Feet</i>
Southerly line of, at Third street westerly line.....	10.75
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Northerly line of, at Third street westerly line.....	11.50
(The same being the present official grade.)	
15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 3 feet easterly from Lane street .....	11.63
At a point 15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, cut by a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 3 feet easterly from Lane street.....	11.63
Northerly line of, 12 feet westerly from Lane street easterly line .....	12
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Northerly line of, 12 feet easterly from Lane street westerly line .....	12
(The same being the present official grade.)	
15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 3 feet westerly from Lane street .....	12.06
At a point 15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, cut by a line at right angles to the northerly line of, at Lane street westerly line .....	12
(The same being the present official grade.)	
On a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 441.90 feet westerly from Lane street .....	20.43
15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 525.82 feet westerly from Lane street produced.....	22
15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 570.63 feet westerly from Lane street .....	23.20
Grade at Mendell street be abolished.	

<i>Lane street:</i>	<i>Feet</i>
Westerly line of, at Yosemite avenue.....	19
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Easterly line of, at Yosemite avenue southerly line.....	18.16
(The same being the present official grade.)	
12 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 50 feet north- erly from Armstrong avenue.....	13
12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 50 feet north- erly from Armstrong avenue .....	12.60
Armstrong avenue northerly line .....	12
(The same being the present official grade.)	

On Armstrong avenue between Third and Mendell streets, and on Lane and Mendell streets between Yosemite and Armstrong avenues be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades.

The Director of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this Resolution of Intention.

These proceedings are instituted in accordance with the "Change of Grade Act of 1909". The San Francisco Call-Bulletin is hereby designated as the newspaper in which this resolution shall be published.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Reilly, Schmidt—2.

#### **Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Public Utilities Committee were taken up:

#### **Authorizing Agreement with Veranus Ellinwood, Et Ux.**

(Code No. 15.034)

Resolution No. 4269, as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, under authority of Resolution No. 25673 (New Series), of this Board, accepted a certain deed dated August 10, 1926, from Veranus Ellinwood, et ux., to an easement for a portion of the Foot-hill Tunnel of the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct in Tuolumne County, California; and

Whereas, said deed provides that under certain conditions, if the natural flow of water in any springs on the land of the grantors shall disappear or diminish, the City shall furnish to the grantors a continuous supply of water sufficient for the present domestic, irrigation and stock watering requirements of the grantors; and

Whereas, said deed provides a time limit for furnishing said water and for the ascertainment of the amount of any damage which may be caused by the disappearance or diminution of the natural flow of water in said springs; and

Whereas, this Board by Resolution No. 3598 extended said time limit to October 1, 1938; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, a written agreement with Veranus Ellinwood, et ux., extending said time limit



of said deed to and until October 1, 1939, subject to all conditions contained in said deed except as expressly modified in said agreement.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Reilly, Schmidt—2.

**Authorizing Agreement with Thomas M. Hughes, Et Ux.**

(Code No. 15.034)

Also, Resolution No. 4270, as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco, under authority of Resolution No. 25094 (New Series) of this Board, accepted a certain deed dated February 16, 1926, from Thomas M. Hughes, et ux., to certain property, including an easement, for a portion of the Foothill Tunnel of the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct in Tuolumne County, California; and

Whereas, said deed provides that under certain conditions, if the natural flow of water in any springs on the land of the grantor shall disappear or diminish, the City shall furnish to the grantor a continuous supply of water sufficient for the present domestic, irrigation and stock watering requirements of the grantor; and

Whereas, said deed provides a time limit for furnishing said water and for the ascertainment of the amount of any damage which may be caused by the disappearance or diminution of the natural flow of water in said springs; and

Whereas, said time limit was extended to October 1, 1938, by Resolution No. 3594 of this Board; and

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission has recommended a further extension of said time limit to October 1, 1939; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a written agreement with Thomas M. Hughes, et ux., extending said time limit of said deed to and until October 1, 1939, subject to all conditions contained in said deed, except as expressly modified by said agreement.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Reilly, Schmidt—2.

**Extending Time of Furnishing Water to Arnold Property in Tuolumne County.**

(Code No. 15.034)

Also, Resolution No. 4271, as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco, under authority of Resolution No. 25673 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, accepted a certain deed dated May 11, 1926, from Martha A. Arnold, et al., to certain property, including a sub-surface easement, for a portion of the Foothill Tunnel of the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct in Tuolumne County, California; and

Whereas, said deed provides that under certain conditions if the natural flow of water in any springs on the land of the grantors shall disappear or diminish, the City shall furnish to the grantors a continuous supply of water sufficient for the present domestic, irrigation and stock watering requirements of the grantors; and

Whereas, said deed provides a time limit for the ascertainment of the amount of any damage which may be caused by the disappearance or diminution of the natural flow of water in said springs; and

Whereas, said time limit was extended to October 1, 1938, by Resolution No. 3732 of this Board, adopted January 10, 1938; and

Whereas, said Martha A. Arnold, et al., have requested a further extension of said time limit to October 1, 1939, and the Public Utilities Commission has recommended the further extension of said time limit; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a written agreement with Martha A. Arnold, et al., extending said time limit of said deed to and until October 1, 1939, subject to all conditions contained in said deed, except as expressly modified in said agreement.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Reilly, Schmidt—2.

### **Authorizing Agreement with Edwin T. Jasper, Et Al.**

(Code No. 15.034)

Also, Resolution No. 4272, as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco, under authority of Resolution No. 24746 (New Series) of this Board of Supervisors, accepted a certain deed dated November 21, 1925, from Edwin T. Jasper, et al., to a sub-surface easement for a portion of the Foothill Tunnel of the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct in Tuolumne County, California; and

Whereas, said deed provides that under certain conditions if the natural flow of water in any springs on the land of the grantors shall disappear or diminish, the City shall furnish to the grantors a continuous supply of water sufficient for the present domestic, irrigation and stock watering requirements of the grantors; and

Whereas, said deed provides a time limit for the ascertainment of the amount of any damage which may be caused by the disappearance or diminution of the natural flow of water in said springs; and

Whereas, said time limit was extended to October 1, 1938, by Resolution No. 3597 of this Board; and

Whereas, said Edwin T. Jasper, et al., have requested an extension of said time limit to October 1, 1939, and the Public Utilities Commission has recommended the further extension of said time limit; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute a written agreement with Edwin T. Jasper, et al., extending said time limit of said deed to and until October 1, 1939, subject to all conditions contained in said deed, except as expressly modified by said agreement.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Reilly, Schmidt—2.

### **Adopted.**

The following recommendation of his Honor the Mayor was taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Dr. Howard M. McKinley, Member Civil Service Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 4282, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Dr. Howard M. McKinley, member of the Civil Service Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for the period Octo-



ber 12th to 30th, both days inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Reilly, Schmidt—2.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS,  
BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR  
REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Granting Permission to David Scannell Club, Inc., to Submit Arguments for Charter Amendments Numbers 1 and 2 at the General Election, November 8, 1938.**

(Code No. 1.08)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 4283, as follows:

Resolved, That permission be and is hereby granted the David Scannell Club, Inc., to submit to the voters a written argument in favor of Charter Amendments Nos. 1 and 2, same to be submitted with the official sample ballot for the general election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco the 8th day of November, 1938.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Reilly, Schmidt—2.

**Referred to Public Utilities Committee.**

The following resolution, presented by Supervisor McSheehy, was *referred to the Public Utilities Committee*:

**Proposed Acquisition of Market Street Railway Company.**

(Code No. 15.091)

Resolution No. 4284, as follows:

Declaring and determining that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition of all properties of the Market Street Railway and the replacement of said properties by motor buses, all designed to afford the best transportation possible to the people of the City and County of San Francisco, and that the cost thereof is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income of said City and County.

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared and determined that all public interest and necessity demand the acquisition of all properties of the Market Street Railway and the substitution of motor buses in their place, and the repairs of streets within the rails and on both sides of the rails of said company, the establishment of, and the equipping and maintenance of shops, and general railway headquarters, and that the estimated cost of said improvements is all and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Section 2. That the estimated cost of such improvements as described in Section 1 hereof is the sum of \$15,000,000. From said sum of \$15,000,000 not more than \$5,392,180 shall be used for the purchase of the properties of the Market Street Railway as assessed by the State Board of Equalization for the years of 1937, 1938 and 1939:

Land .....	\$ 851,240
Improvements .....	2,561,120
Tangible Personal Property.....	1,979,820
Total .....	<u>\$5,392,180</u>

all in the City and County of San Francisco; and the sum of \$9,607,820 for the purchase of 720 buses.

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of this said sum of \$15,000,000 no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income or revenue of the City and County of San Francisco in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for the purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the amount of \$15,000,000.

#### Adopted.

The following recommendations of the Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee were taken up:

**Fixing Date for Hearing Appeal, Abolishment of Set-Back Lines Along the Easterly Side of Castenada Avenue, Commencing at Ventura Avenue and Running Thence Southerly 200 Feet, More or Less.**

(Code No. 13.03)

Resolution No. 4285, as follows:

Resolved, That the time for hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission abolishing set back lines along the easterly side of Castenada avenue, commencing at Ventura avenue and running thence southerly 200 feet, more or less, being all of Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and  $\frac{1}{2}$  of 6 in Assessor's Block 2819, is hereby set for Monday, October 10, 1938, at 2 o'clock p. m.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Reilly, Schmidt—2.

**Fixing Date for Hearing of Appeal, Gore Corner of St. Mary's Avenue, Cuvier Street and Bernal Cut.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Also, Resolution No. 4286, as follows:

Resolved, That the time for hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission, denying application to rezone from Second Residential District to Light Industrial District, property located at the gore corner of St. Mary's avenue, Cuvier street and Bernal Cut, is hereby set for Monday, October 10, 1938, at 2:30 o'clock p. m.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Reilly, Schmidt—2.

**Authorizing Attendance of A. V. Bowhay, Traffic Engineer of the Bureau of Engineering at Twenty-fifth Annual National Safety Congress, Chicago, Illinois.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Resolution No. 4287, as follows:

Whereas, the Twenty-fifth Annual Safety Congress will be held at Chicago, Illinois, from October 10 to 14, 1938; and

Whereas, the Institute of Traffic Engineers will hold its annual conference during this period; and

Whereas, it is deemed advisable that the City and County of San Francisco be represented at this Safety Congress in order that the City and County may receive the benefit of all latest and most progressive safety measures in order to advertise the City and County of San Fran-



cisco as a community interested in safety traffic measures; and

Whereas, A. V. Bowhay is Traffic Engineer of the Bureau of Engineering and fully conversant with said matters; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said A. V. Bowhay, Traffic Engineer of the Bureau of Engineering be and he is hereby authorized to attend the foregoing event at the expense of the City and County of San Francisco and to absent himself from the City and County of San Francisco from October 7 to 18, 1938, inclusive. Said expenses shall be charged against Appropriation No. 826.277.00.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Reilly, Schmidt—2.

#### **Adopted.**

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Mr. Harry A. Milton, Member Board of Trustees, War Memorial.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 4288, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mr. Harry A. Milton, member of the Board of Trustees of the War Memorial, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of three weeks, commencing September 30, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Reilly, Schmidt—2.

**Leave of Absence—Hon. Herbert Fleishhacker, Member of the Park Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 4289, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Herbert Fleishhacker, member of the Park Commission, be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of twenty days, beginning October 3, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Reilly, Schmidt—2.

#### **Dedication Ceremonies, Opening of the Cuesta Grade.**

Supervisor Brown presented communication from the California State Chamber of Commerce inviting participation in the ceremonies dedicating the opening of the Cuesta Grade at San Luis Obispo on November 4 and 5, 1938.

On motion by Supervisor Brown, President Shannon appointed Supervisor Brown as chairman of a committee to represent the Board at the opening ceremonies and announced that he would appoint the other members of the committee at a later date.

#### **Dedication Ceremonies, Alta California Building.**

Supervisor Brown presented communication from Alta California, Inc., Golden Gate International Exposition Exhibits Committee invit-

ing the members of the Board of Supervisors to the dedication ceremonies of the Alta California Building on Treasure Island, October 13.

Invitation was extended, also, following the dedication, to attend a luncheon in the Palace Hotel and later to attend a meeting at the headquarters of the Alta California, Inc., Golden Gate International Exposition Exhibits Committee, to transact the official business regarding exhibit at the Exposition.

The Chair directed that copies of communication be sent to members of the Board.

#### **Report on Financial Status of Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District.**

Supervisor Brown presented report by a special committee of the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce on the financial status of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District. The full report, a synopsis of which was read by the Clerk, had been referred to the Manager and Engineer of the Bridge District for analysis and report to a committee of three Directors. On receipt of their report, further report would be made to the Board of Supervisors.

#### **Communication From Redwood Empire Association, Re Highway Construction Appropriations.**

Supervisor Ratto presented communication from the Redwood Empire Association asking that a delegation from the Board appear before the California Highway Commission on October 7th to consider appropriations for State highway construction for inclusion in the 1939-1941 State highway biennium budget, whereupon the Chair stated that it would be impossible for the Board to take any action in the matter before October 7th and requested that the Board ask that the hearing be continued until a later date when the matter could receive due deliberation.

#### **Declaration of Policy Re Purchase of Market Street Railway.**

Supervisor Uhl presented the following statement and requested that it be made part of the record:

No gainsaying the fact that the Market Street Railway Company's financial affairs precludes operation on a profitable basis. Therefore, no demand for these properties. In the event the properties go into receivership the properties are worth what they will bring.

I understand the outstanding bonds are between four and four and a half million dollars. Bondholders will not be interested in a property where assessments covering operation stare them in the face. No disputing this fact. Then what.

It is my judgment that \$5,000,000 bonds should be voted so the city will be in a position to bargain for the purchase of the Market Street Railway's operative properties when they must be sold. In purchasing the Market Street Railway's operative properties it is with the thought of gradually disposing of same by a substitution of buses. Buses should immediately displace such lines as Third, Folsom, Howard, Kearny, Polk, Fillmore, etc. In order to carry out such a plan buses must be purchased at the earliest possible date.

The second reason for the purchase of buses at the earliest possible date is to make bus transportation immediately available in the event that service on the Market Street Railway is cut or the fare raised to ten cents.

With these thoughts in mind I feel there should be a question of policy placed on the November ballot in order that the voters can signify their wishes as to whether or not they are in favor of the purchase of the Market Street Railway's operative properties at a price to be negotiated for, making available, through bond issue, \$5,000,000 for the purchase of the properties, and \$9,000,000 for buses, shops and equipment.



People are bus minded. Bus operation is no longer in the experimental stage. Progressive cities are substituting bus operation for trolley operation. In New York City 219,000,000 people were transported by buses in 1937, yielding a net profit of \$2,750,000 on a 5-cent fare and a 2-cent transfer.

Mr. Cahill and the Public Utilities Commission will undoubtedly accept as a mandate the submission of bond issues for the purchase of the Market Street Railway's operative properties and the purchase of buses, if the question of policy is submitted and is voted by a majority of the voters. If Mr. Cahill and the Public Utilities Commission fail to accept the mandate of a majority of the voters it will then be time to present to the voters a charter amendment which will carry the foregoing proposal.

The question of policy must be presented to the voters 30 days before election. Therefore, the dead line on submitting this question of policy on the November ballot will be the 6th day of October, 1938. Four Supervisors can submit the question of policy.

#### Submission of Question of Policy to the Electors.

After reading the foregoing statement, Supervisor Uhl announced that he would endeavor to get enough Supervisors to submit to the people the above-mentioned question of policy to the electors.

#### Request for Information Concerning San Francisco's Exhibit at the Exposition.

Supervisor Uhl presented a statement regarding San Francisco's exhibit at the Exposition and in connection therewith requested information as to the appointment of Mr. Clarence A. Tantau as architect for San Francisco's building and the appointment of Mr. J. Emmet Hayden as Director of Exhibits.

*Referred to Exposition Affairs and Industrial Development Committee.*

#### Answer to Statement.

Supervisor Colman asked for the privilege of answering Mr. Uhl, and stated: "I think it is unfair to let such a statement go without answer when the knowledge is here. When the matter of the appropriation came up for the Exposition we met in the Mayor's office. The Board was introduced to the architect, Mr. Tantau, who discussed his plans and showed us photographs of models of the San Francisco building. He gave us estimates of the costs and quite a splendid idea of what was taking place. To that extent, at least, this Board has the information at hand as to how the money is being spent."

Regarding the appointment of Mr. Hayden as Director of Exhibits, Supervisor Colman and Supervisor McSheehy discussed the matter briefly, indicating that, in their opinion, the choice of Mr. Hayden to be in charge of San Francisco's exhibit was an admirable one.

#### Street Work in Front of City Property.

Supervisor Uhl called attention to the matter of street work and sidewalk construction in front of city property, with specific reference to Carolina street, fronting property under the jurisdiction of the Public Utilities Commission, and requested that the Board ask the City Attorney to suggest some means in order that the responsibility for needed improvements might be fixed. Supervisor Uhl requested, also, that the Clerk get in touch with the City Attorney and see if the matter can be put on the ballot as a question of policy.

#### Salary Standardization for Per Diem Men.

Supervisor Uhl suggested that the matter of salary standardization relating to per diem men be considered, and if possible, passed by the

MONDAY, OCTOBER 3, 1938.

Board, and then, if necessary, be taken into the courts to see if the per diem men could not be given what they are entitled to.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 4 p. m., adjourned.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors October 10, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, David A. Barry, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

DAVID A. BARRY,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.



Vol. 33

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

No. 43

Monday, October 10, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.

Monthly Volume 18 1892

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, OCTOBER 10, 1938, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, October 10, 1938,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Reilly—1.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

Supervisor Reilly was noted present at 2:30 p. m.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of August 16, 17 and 22, 1938, and of October 3, 1938, were considered read and approved.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

**Abolishment of Set-Back Lines Easterly Side of Castenada Avenue, at Ventura Avenue, 15 Feet.**

Hearing of appeal from decision of City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1846, dated September 1, 1938, abolishing set-back lines on the easterly side of Castenada avenue, commencing at Ventura avenue, and running thence southerly 200 feet more or less, being all of lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and  $\frac{1}{2}$  of 6, in Assessor's Block 2819, said set-back line being 15 feet.

## Privilege of the Floor.

Gus Ringole, representing the appellants, and Edwin A. Freeman, representing Veterans' Welfare Board, opposed the decision of the City Planning Commission. Harold Sevelle, owner of property in the area involved, appeared in favor of the Commission's decision.

Communications from Forest Hill Association, and from Fred W. Boschen, Major General, U. S. Army, Washington, D. C., but property owner in the district, protesting the proposed removal of set-back restrictions were read.

Communication from Fernando Nelson, urging that the decision of the City Planning Commission be upheld, was read by the Clerk.

## Action Deferred.

After brief discussion, Supervisor Roncovieri, seconded by Supervisor Meyer, moved that the entire matter be postponed one week and made a Special Order of Business for Monday, October 17, 1938, at

2 p. m., in order that all interested parties might get together, if possible, and arrive at a satisfactory settlement of their differences.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing motion was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

No—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

##### Rezoning of Gore Corner of St. Mary's Avenue, Cuvier Street and Bernal Cut.

Hearing of appeal from decision of City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1845, dated September 1, 1938, denying application to rezone from Second Residential District to Light Industrial District, property located at the Gore Corner of St. Mary's avenue, Cuvier street and Bernal cut.

#### Consideration Postponed.

Mr. Hall, representing about twenty-six property owners within the area affected by the decision of the City Planning Commission, denying application to rezone from Second Residential District to Light Industrial District, property located at the gore corner of St. Mary's avenue, Cuvier street and Bernal Cut, requested a postponement of consideration of the appeal for one week.

There being no objection, consideration was *postponed for one week and made a Special Order of Business for Monday, October 17, 1938, at 2:30 p. m.*

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

##### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

##### Authorizing Sale of City-Owned Land on Woolsey Street.

(Code No. 12.1723)

Bill No. 1722, Ordinance No. 12.17233, as follows:

Authorizing the sale of City-owned real property on Woolsey street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the southeasterly line of Woolsey street, distant thereon 60 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Dartmouth street, running thence southwesterly along the southeasterly line of Woolsey street 30 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 100 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 30 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 100 feet to the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Block No. 60, University Mound Tract Survey.

Section 2. The above described land shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.



**Reappropriation of \$900 From Surpluses in Health Department  
Funds to Pay Authorized Auto Allowances to Meat Inspectors  
in Department of Public Health.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1723, Ordinance No. 9.051516, as follows:

Reappropriating \$900 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 833.203.50-5, \$600, and Appropriation No. 833.203.50-11, \$300, to the credit of Appropriation No. 833.203.50-4, to transfer funds to the proper appropriation for the payment of authorized auto allowances to three inspectors in the Meat Inspection Division, Central Office, Department of Public Health.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby reappropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 833.203.50-5, the sum of \$600, and Appropriation No. 833.203.50-11, the sum of \$300, to the credit of Appropriation No. 833.203.50-4, to transfer funds to the proper appropriation for the payment of authorized auto allowances to three inspectors in the Meat Inspection Division, Central Office, Department of Public Health.

These automobile allowances are allowed in annual appropriation Ordinance No. 9.051477, Bill No. 1576, fiscal year 1938-39, under the Bureau of Communicable Diseases (two) and the Bureau of Food and Milk Inspection (one) instead of under the Bureau of Meat Inspection, in which the inspectors are employed.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Creating County Clerk's Change Fund, a Revolving Fund.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1724, Ordinance No. 9.051517, as follows:

Creating Revolving Fund for the office of the County Clerk to be known as the County Clerk's Change Fund and providing for the administration thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A revolving fund in the amount of \$100, to be known as the County Clerk's Revolving Change Fund, is hereby created out of the funds heretofore provided in Appropriation No. 831.900.00 for this purpose. Said fund shall be used by the County Clerk only for the purpose of making change in connection with the operation of the office of County Clerk. The County Clerk shall have custody and control of said fund and shall be responsible therefor.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Amending Section 59, Salary Ordinance, to Reflect Reclassification of Two House Officers, S. F. Hospital, to Senior House Officers, at Same Salary.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1725, Ordinance No. 9.053163, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 59 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 by changing the class number and class title under Item 53½ from 2 L354 House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) at \$72, to 2 L356 Senior House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) at \$72, said reclassification effective as of July 16, 1938.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 59 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 59. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
41	1	L2	Assistant Superintendent .....	\$ 275
42	1	L6	Superintendent (deduct \$150 for full family maintenance) .....	733.33
43	1	L70	Physio-Therapist (part time) .....	100
44	2	L72	Electro-Cardiograph Technician (part time) .....	75
45	2	L156	Dentist (part time) .....	50
46	4	L202	Dietitian (deduct for R. & L.) .....	137.50
47	1	L206	Chief Dietitian .....	175
48	1	L304	Pharmacist .....	225
49	1	L304	Pharmacist .....	200
50	2	L304	Pharmacist .....	190
51	1	L306	Senior Pharmacist .....	250
52	42	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	32
53	22	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	47
53½	2	L356	Senior House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	72
54	7	L356	Senior House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	59.50
55	2	L360	Physician (part time) .....	75
56	3	L357	Resident Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
57	1	L360	Physician .....	175
58	1	L452	X-ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.) (part time) .....	79.50
59	4	L452	X-ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
60	1	L456	Senior X-ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	210
62	2	L357	Resident Physician .....	100
63	1	M255	Bracemaker .....	77.50
64	1	O60	Head Gardener (deduct for R.) .....	150
65	4	O166	Fireman, Stationary Steam Engine ....	185
66	4	O168	Engineer, Stationary Steam Engine....	220
67	1	O172	Chief Engineer, Stationary Steam Engine (deduct for R.) .....	300

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Approving Lease of Space in Aquatic Park Building, and Other Concession Space in Aquatic Park.**

(Code No. 23.01)

Also, Bill No. 1726, Ordinance No. 23.0114, as follows:

Approving a certain lease made by the Board of Park Commissioners to Leo Gordon and Kenneth Gordon, a co-partnership.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Park Commissioners having heretofore agreed with Leo Gordon and Kenneth Gordon, as co-partners, to enter into a lease leasing to them certain parts of the Aquatic Park Building and certain other concession spaces, lying and being in the Aquatic



Park, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows:

**ON THE FIRST FLOOR:** Grand Concession (designated as Room No. F1); storage space in the rear of the Grand Concession (designated as Room No. F10); additional Concession spaces, designated as Nos. 1 and 2.

**SECOND FLOOR:** Restaurant (designated as Room No. S8); lobby and entrance to Restaurant; kitchen (designated as Room No. S7).

**THIRD FLOOR:** Main Gallery or Banquet Room, together with the space designated as Room No. T1, and the pantry east of said space.

**FOURTH FLOOR:** The entire space designated as the Concession space.

**COMFORT STATION No. 1:** Located at the intersection of Van Ness avenue and the railroad which runs to Fort Mason; space designated as Concession space.

**COMFORT STATION No. 3:** Located at the end of the Municipal Pleasure Pier; space designated as Concession space.

The above descriptions being taken from descriptions set forth on the maps and blueprints of the Aquatic Park.

For a period of five years from and after the twenty-first day of September, 1938, a copy of said lease being on file in the office of the Board of Supervisors, and the Board of Supervisors having considered all and singular terms and conditions of said lease, the same is hereby approved and ratified.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Adopted.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

### Approval of Warrants, Islais Creek Reclamation District.

(Code No. 12.04)

Resolution No. 4290, as follows:

Be It Resolved, That the following warrants of Islais Creek Reclamation District:

No. 837, to Del Norte Lumber Company (formerly Reinhart Lumber & Planing Mill Co.), Hobbs, Wall & Co., for \$216.03; No. 838, to Wm. Taaffe & Co., Edward J. Taaffe and Page Taaffe, for \$223.81; No. 839, to The Call-Bulletin, for \$5.40; No. 840, to D. Matheson, for \$4.49; No. 841, to M. H. Levy, for \$27.80; payable out of the funds of said district, be and the same are hereby approved; and that the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as Chairman of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and the Clerk of said Board be and they are hereby authorized and directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Authorizing Sale of Portable Buildings at Jefferson School.

(Code No. 12.1722)

Also, Resolution No. 4291, as follows:

Resolved, in accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Education, that the Director of Property be and is hereby authorized

to sell at public auction certain portable buildings located on the Jefferson School site on the east side of Nineteenth avenue between Irving and Judah streets, San Francisco. The terms of the sale shall be cash upon delivery of bills of sale to be executed by the Director of Property.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Authorizing Compromise of Claim of Albert Cunningham in the Sum of \$3,924.**

(Code No. 6.0222)

Bill No. 1733, Ordinance No. 6.022233, as follows:

Authorizing compromise of claim of Albert Cunningham in the sum of Three Thousand Nine Hundred and Twenty-four and no/100 Dollars (\$3,924).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney having recommended the settlement and compromise of the action of *Albert Cunningham v. City and County of San Francisco*, a municipal corporation, for the recovery of damages for personal injuries and automobile damage sustained by Albert Cunningham while sitting in a parked automobile at Twenty-fourth street and Van Ness avenue South, on March 26th, 1935, which suit is numbered 257336 in the Superior Court, said action being for the recovery of Seventy-five Thousand Dollars (\$75,000), and said plaintiff having agreed to accept the sum of Three Thousand Nine Hundred Twenty-four and no/100 Dollars (\$3,924) in full settlement, said City Attorney is hereby ordered and authorized to settle said pending litigation by the payment of the sum of Three Thousand Nine Hundred Twenty-four and no/100 Dollars (\$3,924), and the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to draw his warrant for said sum.

Recommended and approved by Chief Deputy City Attorney.

Recommended and approved by the Fire Commission, Frank T. Kennedy, Secretary.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Granting Permission to the Police Retirement Committee to Submit Arguments for Charter Amendment No. 3 at the General Election, November 8, 1938.**

(Code No. 1.08)

Resolution No. 4292, as follows:

Resolved, That permission be and is hereby granted the Police Retirement Committee to submit to the voters a written argument in



favor of Charter Amendment No. 3, same to be submitted with the official sample ballot for the General Election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco the 8th day of November, 1938.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Land Purchase—McLaren Park.**

(Code No. 12.1713)

Also, Resolution No. 4293, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Park Department, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Nell A. Hendricks, or the legal owner, to Lots 6 and 7, Assessor's Block 6183, San Francisco, required for the proposed McLaren Park, and that the sum of \$200 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 12.600.03.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Approving Annual Report on County Road Fund.**

(Code No. 9.062)

Also, Resolution No. 4294, as follows:

Resolved, That the Annual Report of the Receipts and Expenditures of the County Road Fund for the year ended June 30, 1938, as prepared by the Controller, is hereby approved.

It is directed that the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, through the Controller, transmit a copy of this report to the Department of Public Works, Division of Highways, Sacramento, California, together with a certified copy of this resolution.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Appropriation of \$73,800 from Emergency Reserve Fund to Credit of Registrar of Voters, Expense of Election, November 8, 1938; an Emergency Ordinance.**

(Code No. 9.051)

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

Bill No. 1735, Ordinance No. 9.051518, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$73,800 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of the Registrar of Voters for the purpose of providing sufficient funds for the uninterrupted operation of the office of the Registrar; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$73,800 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of the following

appropriations for the purposes enumerated and in the amounts recited:

Appropriation No. 829.200.00—Contractual Service .....	\$23,600
Appropriation No. 829.106.00—Election Workers .....	30,200
Appropriation No. 829.809.01—Rental of Voting Places..	6,750
Appropriation No. 829.102.00—Temporary Salaries .....	13,250

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists in order to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the office of the Registrar of Voters, as the Registrar of Voters is without sufficient funds to hold the election on November 8, 1938, and the funds herein appropriated are required for said purposes.

Approved as to form by Dion R. Holm, Acting City Attorney.

Recommended by C. J. Collins, Registrar.

Recommended by Arthur E. Curtis, Director of Finance and Records.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

#### Discussion.

Mr. C. D. Dwyer of the Department of Elections explained the need for the foregoing appropriation, stating that because of the special election on September 27, the Registrar of Voters had insufficient funds to conduct the election on November 8, 1938.

Supervisors Brown and McSheehy objected to the use of funds appropriated in the annual budget for expense of holding elections being used for a special election not contemplated at the time the budget was being considered, thus depriving the Board of the right to consideration any appropriation for such special election, and making it mandatory that additional money be provided for the holding of elections previously provided for in the annual budget.

#### Final Passage.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing bill was *finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### Opinion From City Attorney Requested.

Subsequently during the proceedings, Supervisor Uhl requested that the City Attorney advise the Board as to the legality of using money budgeted for a general election, to pay the cost of a special election.

#### Adopted.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Authorizing Attendance of John R. McGrath at Redwood Empire Association Convention, Eureka, October 21-22, 1938.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Resolution No. 4295, as follows:

Resolved, That John R. McGrath, Clerk of the Streets Committee of the Board of Supervisors, be and is hereby authorized and directed to attend the Redwood Empire Association convention on road and highway matters, to be held at Eureka, October 21st-22nd, 1938.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.



**Authorizing and Directing Attendance of Assessor Russell L. Wolden at National Conferences of the National Association of Assessing Officers and National Tax Association.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Also, Resolution No. 4296, as follows:

Whereas, the Fifth Annual National Conference of the National Association of Assessing Officers will be held in Cincinnati, Ohio, on October 19th to 21st, 1938, inclusive, and will be followed by the opening on the following Monday of the national conference of the National Tax Association; and

Whereas, it would be to the best interests of the City and County of San Francisco to be represented at said conferences; and

Whereas, Assessor Russell L. Wolden is State Chairman of the National Association of Assessing Officers and has been requested to take an active part in the national conferences; and

Whereas, the Governor of the State of California has officially requested Assessor Wolden to also represent the State of California; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That Russell L. Wolden, Assessor, is hereby authorized and directed to represent San Francisco at said conferences; the expense incidental thereto shall be a proper charge against the funds heretofore appropriated to the Assessor.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up:

**Ordering the Improvement of La Salle Avenue Between Mendell Street and Third Street.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Bill No. 1732, Ordinance No. 12.0611103, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the Assessment District, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, September 20, 1938, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten (10) installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time

of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of La Salle avenue between Mendell street and Third street by grading to the proposed official line and grade, including the reconstruction of the intersection of La Salle avenue and Third street, and by the construction and reconstruction of the following items:

Item

No.

Item

1. Grading (excavation).
2. Grading (fill).
3. Unarmored concrete curb.
4. Two-course concrete sidewalk.
5. 6-inch Class "E" concrete pavement.
6. Asphalt-Concrete Pavement, consisting of a 6-inch Class "E" (5-sack) concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.
7. Asphaltic concrete conform pavement.
8. Asphaltic concrete wearing surface removed.
9. 8-inch Class "E" (5-sack concrete parking lane pavement).
10. Existing concrete parking lane pavement removed.
11. Brick manholes, complete.
12. Brick catchbasins, complete.
13. 10-inch vitrified clay pipe culvert, in place.
14. 12-inch vitrified clay pipe sewer, in place.
15. 8-inch vitrified clay pipe sewer, in place.
16. 8-inch x 6-inch V. C. P. "Y" branches, in place.
17. 6-inch vitrified clay pipe sidesewer, in place.
18. Water services.
19. Water main.

The Assessment District hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as:

Block 5291, Lots 1, 2, 4, 5, 6 and 6A; and Block 5296, Lots 1, 23, 24 and 25; all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the Assessment Book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Final Passage.

The following recommendation of the Judiciary Committee was taken up:

### San Francisco Municipal Code.

(Code No. 1.07)

Bill No. 1734, Ordinance No. 1.075, as follows:

An ordinance enacting, pursuant to Sections 13 and 17 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, a consolidation, rearrangement and codification of the general, regulatory and penal ordinances of said City and County, to be known as the San Francisco Municipal Code; providing penalties for violation of the provisions thereof; providing for the effective date of the Municipal Code; repealing Ordinance No. 9137 (New Series) from and after the effective date of the Municipal Code; and hereby repealing Ordinance No. 1.073 (Bill No. 1583) and Ordinance No. 1074 (Bill No. 1712); an emergency ordinance.



Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. *Enactment and Division of Code.* A Municipal Code is hereby enacted pursuant to Sections 13 and 17 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, wherein the general, regulatory and penal ordinances of said City and County are consolidated, rearranged, codified and arranged in appropriate Parts, Chapters, Articles, Sections, subsections, subdivisions and paragraphs.

Part I shall be designated as "General";

Part II shall be designated as "Regulatory";

Part III shall be designated as "Miscellaneous";

Part II of the Municipal Code shall be divided into chapters as follows:

Chapter I shall be known as, designated and may be referred to or cited as the "Building Code";

Chapter II shall be known as, designated and may be referred to or cited as the "City Planning Code";

Chapter III shall be known as, designated and may be referred to or cited as the "Electrical Code";

Chapter IV shall be known as, designated and may be referred to or cited as the "Fire Code";

Chapter V shall be known as, designated and may be referred to or cited as the "Health Code";

Chapter VI shall be known as, designated and may be referred to or cited as the "Park Code";

Chapter VII shall be known as, designated and may be referred to or cited as the "Plumbing and Gas Appliance Code";

Chapter VIII shall be known as, designated and may be referred to or cited as the "Police Code";

Chapter IX shall be known as, designated and may be referred to or cited as the "Public Utilities Code";

Chapter X shall be known as, designated and may be referred to or cited as the "Public Works Code";

Chapter XI shall be known as, designated and may be referred to or cited as the "Traffic Code."

Section 2. *Short Title, Reference to Code in Prosecutions. Designation in Ordinances.* The Municipal Code shall be known as the "San Francisco Municipal Code," and it shall be sufficient to refer to said code as the "San Francisco Municipal Code" in any prosecution for the violation of any provisions thereof or in any proceeding brought thereunder; it shall also be sufficient to designate any ordinance adding to, amending or repealing any portion of said code as an addition or amendment to or a repeal of such portion of the "San Francisco Municipal Code."

Section 3. *Existing Law Continued.* The provisions of the Municipal Code, in so far as they are substantially the same as existing provisions relating to the same subject matter, shall be construed as restatements and continuations thereof and not as new enactments. In all courts and tribunals and in the public offices of the City and County of San Francisco, the matter set forth in the Municipal Code shall establish prima facie such laws of the City and County of San Francisco in force on the 1st day of October, 1938; but nothing in this ordinance shall be construed as repealing or amending any such law, or as enacting as new law any matter contained in the Municipal Code, except as expressly provided in this ordinance. Nothing in the Municipal Code shall be deemed conclusive by reason of any omission or otherwise in the provisions of any section of the Municipal Code and the corresponding portion of legislation heretofore enacted, but effect shall be given for all purposes whatsoever to such enactments.

Section 4. *Effect of Code on Past Action and Obligations Previously Accrued.* Neither the adoption of the Municipal Code nor the repeal, as hereinafter provided, of any ordinance of the City and County of

San Francisco shall in any manner affect the prosecution for violation of ordinances, which violations were committed prior to the effective date of the Municipal Code, nor to be construed as a waiver of any license or penalty at said effective date and unpaid under such ordinance nor to be construed as affecting any of the provisions of such ordinance relating to the collection of any such license or penalty or the penal provisions applicable to any violation thereof, nor to affect the validity of a bond or cash deposit in lieu thereof, required to be posted, filed or deposited pursuant to any ordinance, and all rights and obligations thereunder appertaining shall continue in full force and effect.

Section 5. *Construction of Code.* Sections in a particular part of the Municipal Code shall be construed with reference only to that part. The provisions of the Municipal Code and all proceedings under it are to be construed with a view to effect its objects and to promote justice.

Section 6. *Charter Referred to.* When used in the Municipal Code "The Charter" or "Charter" shall mean the Charter adopted by the voters of the City and County of San Francisco on March 26, 1931, and ratified by the Legislature on April 13, 1931, effective on January 8, 1932.

Section 7. *Definitions.* Words used in the masculine shall include the feminine and neuter gender, and the singular number shall include the plural, and the plural shall include the singular.

Section 8. *References to Specific Ordinances.* The provisions of the Municipal Code shall not in any manner affect deposits or other matters of record which refer to or are otherwise connected with ordinances which are therein specifically designated by number or otherwise and which are included within the Municipal Code, but such reference shall be construed to apply to the corresponding provisions contained within said Municipal Code.

Section 9. *Heading. Effect of.* Part, Chapter, Article, Section and subsection headings contained in said Municipal Code shall not be deemed to govern, limit, modify or in any manner affect the scope, meaning or intent of the provisions of any Part, Chapter, Article, Section or subsection of the said Municipal Code.

Section 10. *Reference to Acts or Omissions Within This City and County.* The Municipal Code shall refer to the omission or commission of acts within the territorial limits of the City and County of San Francisco and to that territory outside of the City and County over which the said City and County has jurisdiction or control by virtue of the Constitution, Charter, or any law, or by reason of ownership or control of property.

Section 11. *Notices. Service of.* Whenever a notice is required to be given under the Municipal Code, unless different provisions therein are otherwise specifically made, such notice may be given either by personal delivery thereof to the person to be notified or by deposit in the United States Mail in a sealed envelope, postage prepaid, addressed to such person to be notified at his last known business or residence address as the same appears in the public records pertaining to the matter to which such notice is directed. Service by mail shall be deemed to have been completed at the time of deposit in the post office.

Section 12. *Proof of Notice.* Proof of giving any notice may be made by the certificate of any officer or employee of this City and County or by affidavit of any person over the age of eighteen years, which shows service in conformity with the Municipal Code or other provisions of law applicable to the subject matter concerned.

Section 13. *Prohibited Acts, Include Causing, Permitting, Suffering.* Whenever in the Municipal Code any act or omission is made unlawful,



it shall include causing, permitting, aiding, abetting, suffering or concealing the fact of such act or omission.

Section 14. *Duties of Clerk.* All proposed ordinances shall be passed by bill. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, hereinafter designated as the Clerk, shall give a bill, on its introduction, a number in consecutive order of numbering. The Clerk shall maintain registers of such bills according to bill number. The Clerk shall likewise, upon the approval or going into effect, as provided for by the Charter, give each ordinance a number in consecutive order of numbering. The Clerk shall maintain registers of such ordinances according to ordinance number, and shall keep an index card for each ordinance and all data as to subsequent legislation thereon.

Section 15. *Printing and Distribution.* From and after the effective date of the Municipal Code and as often as deemed necessary, the Board of Supervisors, by resolution, may direct the Clerk, through the Purchasing Department, to arrange for the printing and distribution to departments, boards, commissions, bureaus, offices or any officer or employee thereof and to the public, of the Municipal Code as a whole or of portions thereof in pamphlet form, and the Board of Supervisors, by resolution, may fix a reasonable charge, not to exceed the cost of publication and distribution, for copies of the Municipal Code or for such pamphlets, or slips containing additions, amendments or repeals proper for pasting into the Municipal Code or in the pamphlets.

Section 16. *Violations, a Misdemeanor.* It shall be unlawful for any person to violate any provision or to fail to comply with any of the requirements of the Municipal Code. Any person violating any of the provisions or failing to comply with any of the mandatory requirements of the Municipal Code, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor. Any person convicted of a misdemeanor under the provisions of the Municipal Code, unless provision is otherwise therein made, shall be punishable by a fine of not more than five hundred (\$500) dollars or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of not more than six (6) months or by both such fine and imprisonment. Each such person shall be guilty of a separate offense for each and every day during any portion of which any violation of any provision of the Municipal Code is committed, continued, or permitted by such person and shall be punishable accordingly. In addition to the penalties hereinabove provided, any condition caused or permitted to exist in violation of any of the provisions of the Municipal Code shall be deemed a public nuisance and may be, by this City and County, abated as provided by law, and each day that such condition continues shall be regarded as a new and separate offense.

Section 17. *Saving Clause.* If any section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance or any part thereof is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance or any part thereof. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed each section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, subdivisions, paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Section 18. *Repeal.* Ordinance No. 1.073 (Bill No. 1583) and Ordinance No. 1.074 (Bill No. 1712) are hereby repealed, and Ordinance No. 9137 (New Series) shall stand repealed from and after the effective date of the Municipal Code.

Section 19. *Effective Date of Municipal Code.* The effective date of the San Francisco Municipal Code is hereby made the third day of January, 1939, at the hour of 12.01 a. m.

Section 20. *Emergency Measure.* This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby de-

clare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists, to-wit: The correction of an error in the original enacting ordinance so that there shall be uninterrupted operation of all City and County departments and offices.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

## CHARTER AMENDMENT NO. ....

The following recommendation of the Judiciary Committee was taken up:

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 125 thereof, relating to employees engaged in public utility work, to provide for assignment of platform men of the Municipal Railway to duty as bus operators at the salary fixed for bus operators and without loss of seniority.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the electors of said City and County at the.....  
....election to be held on the.....day of....., 1938, a proposal to amend Section 125 of the Charter, relating to employees engaged in public utility work, to read as follows:

### EMPLOYMENTS

Section 125. All employees engaged in public utility work at the time this charter shall go into effect, and who have been permanently appointed to their respective positions in conformity with the civil service provisions of this charter, shall become employees of the public utilities commission under the classification held by each such employee at such time. All persons employed in the operating service of any public utility hereafter acquired by the city and county at the time the same is taken over by the city and county, and who shall have been so employed for at least one year prior to the date of such acquisition, shall be continued in their respective positions and shall be deemed appointed to such positions, under, and entitled to all the benefits of, the civil service provisions of this charter; provided, however, that no person who is not a citizen of the United States shall be so continued in or appointed to his position. All persons residing outside the city and county claiming the benefit of this provision and who are not engaged on such utility work outside of the limits of the city and county shall be allowed a reasonable time, not exceeding one year, to become residents of the city and county.

Persons employed as platform men or bus operators in the operating department of the municipal railway system shall be subject to the following conditions of employment: The basic hours of labor shall be eight hours, to be completed within ten consecutive hours; there shall be one day of rest in each week of seven days; all labor performed in excess of eight hours in any one day, or six days in any one week, shall be paid for at the rate of time and one-half.

Conductors and motormen may be assigned to duty as bus operators



and, while assigned to such duty, they shall receive the compensation fixed for such service. Such assignment shall be governed by seniority of service.

Positions and employments in the municipal airport, which airport by this charter is placed under the jurisdiction of the public utilities commission, shall be continued and the employees thereof shall, subject to the approval of the commission, be appointed by and hold office at the pleasure of the manager of utilities. See Digest—*Francis v. Leavy*, p. 134; *Archer v. Civil Service Commission*, p. 136.

#### Communication.

The following communication from the Civil Service Commission was read by the Clerk:

October 10, 1938.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: There is before your Honorable Board today a proposed amendment to Section 125 of the Charter, dealing with the assignment of motormen and conductors to duty as bus operators in the Municipal Railway.

We have discussed this matter at length with Mr. Foley, the representative of the Carmen's Union, and have advised him that in our opinion the proposed amendment will not work out satisfactorily either from the point of view of the railroad management or the Civil Service Commission. Mr. Foley has agreed to accept a modification of the proposed amendment as it has been presented and, if the Board of Supervisors will also accept the modification, the amendment will then be acceptable to the Civil Service Commission. We are further advised by Mr. Cahill, Manager of Utilities, that the modification proposed by the Civil Service Commission will put the amendment in such form that it will meet with his approval.

We, therefore, ask your Honorable Board to consider the following proposed amendment:

"Conductors and motormen may be assigned to duty as bus operators and while assigned to such duty they shall receive the compensation fixed for such service. Such assignment shall be governed by seniority of service, subject to a qualifying test by the railroad management as to competency and to State law as to qualifications and licensing."

As we said above, Mr. Foley has agreed to accept this language in lieu of the provision before your Board and this modification will put the amendment in such form as to meet with the approval of both the Manager of Utilities and the Civil Service Commission.

Very truly yours,

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,

By W. L. Henderson, Personnel Director.

#### Amendment Accepted.

Thereupon, Supervisor McSheehy requested that the suggested amendment be incorporated in and made part of proposed Charter amendment.

No objection and so ordered.

#### Action Deferred.

Whereupon, at the request of Supervisor Uhl, action on the following proposed Charter amendment, as amended, was postponed until October 17, 1938:

**CHARTER AMENDMENT No. ....**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 125 thereof, relating to employees engaged in public utility work, to provide for assignment of platform men of the municipal railway to duty as bus operators at the salary fixed for bus operators and without loss of seniority.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the electors of said City and County at the..... Election to be held on the.....day of....., 1938, a proposal to amend Section 125 of the Charter, relating to employees engaged in public utility work, to read as follows:

**EMPLOYMENTS**

Section 125. All employees engaged in public utility work at the time this charter shall go into effect, and who have been permanently appointed to their respective positions in conformity with the civil service provisions of this charter, shall become employees of the public utilities commission under the classification held by each such employee at such time. All persons employed in the operating service of any public utility hereafter acquired by the city and county at the time the same is taken over by the city and county, and who shall have been so employed for at least one year prior to the date of such acquisition, shall be continued in their respective positions and shall be deemed appointed to such positions, under, and entitled to all the benefits of, the civil service provisions of this charter; provided, however, that no person who is not a citizen of the United States shall be so continued in or appointed to his position. All persons residing outside the city and county claiming the benefit of this provision and who are not engaged on such utility work outside of the limits of the city and county shall be allowed a reasonable time, not exceeding one year, to become residents of the city and county.

Persons employed as platform men or bus operators in the operating department of the municipal railway system shall be subject to the following conditions of employment: The basic hours of labor shall be eight hours, to be completed within ten consecutive hours; there shall be one day of rest in each week of seven days; all labor performed in excess of eight hours in any one day, or six days in any one week, shall be paid for at the rate of time and one-half.

Conductors and motormen may be assigned to duty as bus operators and while assigned to such duty they shall receive the compensation fixed for such service. Such assignment shall be governed by seniority of service, subject to a qualifying test by the railroad management as to competency and to state law as to qualifications and licensing.

Positions and employments in the municipal airport, which airport by this charter is placed under the jurisdiction of the public utilities commission, shall be continued and the employees thereof shall, subject to the approval of the commission, be appointed by and hold office at the pleasure of the manager of utilities. See Digest—*Francis v. Leavy*, p. 134; *Archer v. Civil Service Commission*, p. 136.



**Proposed Acquisition of Market Street Railway.**

(Code No. 15.091)

The following matter, from the Public Utilities Committee without recommendation, was taken up:

Resolution No. 4284, as follows:

Declaring and determining that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition of all properties of the Market Street Railway and the replacement of said properties by motor buses, all designed to afford the best transportation possible to the people of the City and County of San Francisco, and that the cost thereof is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income of said City and County.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared and determined that all public interest and necessity demand the acquisition of all properties of the Market Street Railway and the substitution of motor buses in their place, and the repairs of streets within the rails and on both sides of the rails of said company, the establishment of, and the equipping and maintenance of shops, and general railway headquarters, and that the estimated cost of said improvements is all and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Section 2. That the estimated cost of such improvements as described in Section 1 hereof is the sum of \$15,000,000. From said sum of \$15,000,000 not more than \$5,392,180 shall be used for the purchase of the properties of the Market Street Railway as assessed by the State Board of Equalization for the years of 1937, 1938 and 1939:

Land .....	\$ 851,240
Improvements .....	2,561,120
Tangible Personal Property .....	1,979,820
<hr/>	
Total.....	\$5,392,180

all in the City and County of San Francisco; and the sum of \$9,607,820 for the purchase of 720 buses.

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of this said sum of \$15,000,000 no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income or revenue of the City and County of San Francisco in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for the purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the amount of \$15,000,000.

**Tabled.**

Supervisor McSheehy read an article from the San Francisco Examiner of October 10, 1938, relating to resolution presented by him to provide for the acquisition of Market Street Railway properties, and after brief comment thereon moved that the foregoing resolution, presented by him on October 3, 1938, referred by the Board to the Public Utilities Committee, and returned to the Board by that committee without recommendation, be tabled.

Motion seconded by Supervisor Mead and *unanimously carried.*

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of the Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee was taken up:

**Fixing Date for Hearing of Appeal From Decision of City Planning Commission, Rezoning of Northwesterly Corner of Davis and Pacific Streets.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 4297, as follows:

Resolved, That the time for hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission granting application to rezone from Light Industrial District to Heavy Industrial District, property located at the northwesterly corner of Davis and Pacific streets, is hereby set for Monday, October 17, 1938, at 3 o'clock p. m.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS,  
BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR  
REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Mr. James J. Maher, Secretary  
Civil Service Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 4301, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mr. James J. Maher, Secretary of the Civil Service Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for the period October 14 to 31, inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Leave of Absence—Hon. Russell L. Wolden, Jr., Assessor.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 4302, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Russell L. Wolden, Jr., Assessor, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of five weeks, commencing October 12, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Mayor Requested to Appoint a Committee of Citizens to Arrange  
for Proper Dedication of New Aquatic Park Building.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 4298, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby requested to



appoint a Committee of Citizens to arrange for the proper dedication of the new Aquatic Park Building.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Inviting Roy G. Owens of Los Angeles to Meet with Board and Various City Officials to Explain \$30 Weekly Pension Plan.**

(Code No. 5.35)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 4299, as follows:

Whereas, the adoption of the \$30 weekly pension plan will seriously affect, for better or worse, the conduct of all public business, and it is a very complicated and technical constitutional amendment containing 45 sections, under which our financial officials will have to operate, and which may place additional burdens on our City government, concerning which this Board of Supervisors ought to be informed; and

Whereas, the proponent of the plan, Roy G. Owens of Los Angeles, is the only person competent to explain its provisions; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board invite Mr. Owens to meet with this Board and the Assessor, Tax Collector, Treasurer, Controller and City Attorney and explain the operation of the proposed plan at a special meeting to be held.

*Referred to Legislative Advisory Committee.*

#### **Public Hearing.**

President Shannon announced that he would call a meeting of the Legislative Advisory Committee for Friday evening at 8 o'clock, October 21, 1938.

**Declaring the Result of the Special Election Held September 27, 1938.**

(Code No. 3.02)

The Finance Committee presented:

Resolution No. 4304, as follows:

Resolved, That the Record Book marked AX, statement of votes polled at the special election held in the City and County of San Francisco on September 27, 1938, be and the same is hereby constituted the record of the official canvass of the special election for eight bond propositions and one Charter amendment, and that said statement shows the total number of votes cast in the City and County of San Francisco and the number cast in each voting precinct thereof, and also the number of votes cast in favor of each of the propositions referred to and the number of votes cast against each proposition referred to; and be it

Further Resolved, That at said special election held September 27, 1938, the total number of votes cast on School Bonds, incurring a bonded debt in the sum of \$2,800,000, known as Proposition No. 4, was 143,997; of said total number of votes so cast 101,292 were cast in favor of said proposition, and 42,705 were cast against said proposition for the issuance of said School Bonds.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**German Day Celebration.**

Communications from the Maritime Federation of the Pacific, requesting the privilege of the floor for a delegation from that organization to explain the sentiments of the members of the organization relative to the participation by members of the Board and the Mayor at a meeting held on Sunday, October 2, 1938, at California Hall, was read by the Clerk.

Before the requested privilege of the floor was granted the following communications from his Honor the Mayor were read by the Clerk:

October 10, 1938.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: I have received a copy of a letter addressed to your Honorable Board by the San Francisco Bay Area District Council No. 2—Maritime Federation of the Pacific. I note therein the request that your Honorable President urge me to attend this afternoon's meeting of the Board of Supervisors.

Please be informed that I would be very happy to attend this session except for the fact that my calendar for this afternoon is filled with previously made engagements.

However, in order that no misunderstanding may ensue I am quite certain that I could not demonstrate my feelings in this matter in any clearer manner than that indicated by the two wires, copies of which I enclose. You will note that one is from the president of the International Longshoremen's Warehousemen's Union, Local 1-10, and the other is my answer to the same.

I respectfully request that both the original telegram and the answer be read to the members of your Board and made a part of the records of the proceedings of this session.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Honorable Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor, City Hall.

This Union protests vigorously action of police in arresting and clubbing pickets California Hall Sunday. We demand their release while entire world sympathizes with Czechoslovakia pro Nazi Germans in San Francisco celebrating Hitler's victory. Your presence at their celebration and brutal action of police definitely shows your sympathy lies with fascist aggressors.

HENRY SCHMIDT,  
President ILWU Local 1-10.

The following is the Mayor's reply to the above telegram:

Mr. Henry Schmidt, President International Longshoremen's Union, 27 Clay Street, San Francisco.

As far as I am concerned the guilt or innocence of those arrested by police at California Hall Sunday will be decided by the courts. German-Americans in San Francisco have been celebrating German Day for possibly more than sixty years. I have attended these occasions innumerable times. Yesterday's meeting had no more significance than those of the past. I am willing herein to affirm that those who attended yesterday are more loyal Americans than your friends who picketed them.

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

Henry Schmidt, president of Maritime Federation of the Pacific, was granted the privilege of the floor on motion by Supervisor Mead. Mr. Schmidt protested against the Mayor and members of the Board of



Supervisors attending such meetings as that held at California Hall on Sunday, October 2, 1938.

Supervisor Uhl read an extract from the "People's World" wherein it was stated that he arose and gave the "Nazi" salute. In reply, Supervisor Uhl read his letter, addressed to the editor of the "People's World" denying that he made any such salute. He stated further that he was absolutely opposed to any bund proposition in San Francisco, the State of California or in the United States. When he attended the meeting he had no idea that anything of the sort would come forward, and whoever made the statement that he gave a salute to Hitler made a grievous error.

Supervisor McSheehy stated that he was ready to make an affidavit that Supervisor Uhl did not stand up and make any kind of salute. He stated that he had attended the German Day celebration for many years, and he had thought it was to have been the same type of celebration. Subsequently, on being questioned Supervisor McSheehy stated that he did not stand up and give any kind of salute.

Supervisor Meyer stated that he had attended the celebration, and could confirm Supervisor Uhl's statement.

Supervisor Schmidt stated that he, too, could testify that Supervisor Uhl had not given any Nazi salute. He further stated that he believed that all members of the Board who had been present went there with the idea of celebrating the first settlement in this country by the Germans, some 250 years ago.

**Approving and Endorsing Program of Joint Highway District No. 9 for Financing Lobitas to Lake Lucerne Unit of Ocean Shore Highway.**

(Code No. 12.05)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 4300, as follows:

Whereas, the Directors of Highway District No. 9 adopted the following resolution:

"Resolution No. 71 of Joint Highway District No. 9 of the State of California adopting a program for financing the Lobitas to Lake Lucerne unit of the Ocean Shore boulevard, and declaring intention to assess the counties comprising this district for the same.

"Whereas, a reconnaissance report of a unit of the Ocean Shore Highway between Lobitas and Lake Lucerne was received by the Directors of Joint Highway District No. 9 from the engineers of the State Highway Commission on May 23, 1938; and

"Whereas, this report shows a location for a portion of said Ocean Shore Highway 11.7 miles in length, estimated total cost of which is \$1,106,000; and

"Whereas, the Chairman of the State Highway Commission, Hon. R. H. Judah, has personally assured the Directors of this District that the State of California would consider favorably budgeting a contribution of a sum sufficient to defray the cost of one-half of the said project on condition that the counties comprising this district approve and endorse this four-year program; and

"Whereas, the Board of Directors has considered said report and together with the State Highway Representative have tentatively fixed the cost of this project at \$1,000,000; and

"Whereas, it is found that Santa Cruz County, on account of its limitation of the five-cent rate per \$100 on the assessed valuation of property will be unable to raise any sum greater than \$16,000 per annum;

"Now, therefore, Be It Resolved, That the following program be and the same is hereby adopted.

"Resolved, That the Board of Directors of Joint Highway District

No. 9 hereby declare their intention to assess the counties comprising this district as follows on the following pro rata:

"Santa Cruz County (13 1/3%), \$16,000 annually for four successive years.

"San Mateo County (31 2/3%), \$38,000 annually for four successive years.

"City and County of San Francisco (55%), \$66,000 annually for four successive years.

"Be It Further Resolved, That said above proposed intended assessments of the counties comprising this district will be payable only on condition that the State of California contribute the balance required for the construction of this project, to-wit: Approximately \$520,000.

"And Be It Further Resolved, That the secretary shall certify to this resolution and transmit certified copies to the Boards of Supervisors of the Counties of Santa Cruz, San Mateo and San Francisco, and that said counties be requested to approve and endorse the above program by proper resolution.

"Adopted: July 22, 1938.

"Ayes: Ratto, Hatch and Ley.

"Noes: None.

"JOHN M. RATTO.

"ALVIN S. HATCH.

"GEO. N. LEY."

Whereas, allocations by the State Highway Commission of a sum sufficient to defray one-half of the cost of said project is conditioned on the approval of the program involved by all of the counties comprising this district; and

Whereas, the County of Santa Cruz and the County of San Mateo have expressed their approval of the plan for financing the project; and

Whereas, it would be to the best interests of San Francisco to have this project completed; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco do hereby approve and endorse the program above outlined and proposed by Joint Highway District No. 9; and be it

Further Resolved, That San Francisco's approval and endorsement of the above-mentioned program is conditioned upon an agreement on the part of all the parties concerned that in the event the State of California or any of the participating counties in Joint Highway District No. 9 shall make application for and receive a P.W.A. grant from the Federal Government or any of its agencies, in connection with this project, such grant shall be apportioned among the various contributing agencies to Joint Highway No. 9 in the same ratio as the State of California and the Counties of Santa Cruz, San Mateo and San Francisco are intended and required to contribute under the terms of this resolution.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Supervisor Hatch from San Mateo County was granted the privilege of the floor and urged the adoption of the foregoing resolution. Mr. William D. Coughlin, representative of the Associated General Contractors, opposed its adoption.

#### Motion.

Supervisor Colman questioned the legality of allocating future receipts from a particular fund for a specific purpose, and the right of a highway district to levy a tax against counties comprising the district, and moved that the matter be re-referred to the Finance Committee and the City Attorney be requested to furnish an opinion on these various points. Motion seconded by Supervisor Brown.

#### Re-reference to Finance Committee.

Thereupon, after brief discussion, the entire matter was *re-referred*



to the Finance Committee and the opinion of the City Attorney requested on the following questions: 1. Can the Board of Supervisors allocate future receipts from the County Road Fund for a specific purpose? 2. Has Joint Highway District No. 9 any right to levy taxes against San Francisco for such a purpose? 3. Just what would our obligations be upon the passage of the said resolution?

### Report of Executive Committee of the Committee on Traffic and Transportation.

The following communication from his Honor the Mayor was read by the Clerk:

October 10, 1938.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: I am enclosing herewith for your information copy of letter dated October 8, 1938, from the Executive Committee of the Citizens' Traffic and Transportation Committee, appointed by me under authority of resolution of your Honorable Board.

Yours sincerely,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

### MILLS ESTATE INCORPORATED

Mills Building, San Francisco

October 8, 1938.

Mayor Angelo J. Rossi, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Dear Mayor: At a meeting in your office on Thursday, September 29, last, you asked the Executive Committee of the Committee on Traffic and Transportation if they would

1. Undertake negotiations for purchase of the Market Street Railway,
2. Study previous traffic reports,
3. Advise employing an engineer and necessary staff to assist in this study, the cost to be met out of the emergency fund.

Before taking up these specific points, we think it advisable to review the situation to date. As you know, the Committee, last May, submitted a program that was adopted in June by the Board of Supervisors, on the recommendation of a group of City officials, headed by you and meeting under your direction. That program was as follows:

"(1) To carry out a comprehensive traffic and transportation program providing San Francisco with modern mass transportation, increased pedestrian safety and a free flow of traffic with a minimum of congestion, the committee recommends the submission of a Charter Amendment, setting up a traffic and transportation commission, free to function without political influence or bias. (Necessary provisions of such amendment were specified.)

"(2) Because next year is San Francisco's Exposition year, it is proposed that the entire job be divided into two phases: a pre-Exposition period, during which such steps would be inaugurated that could be completed prior to the opening of the Exposition in February; a post-Exposition period during which the major construction activities would be undertaken, leaving the City free of such disturbances during the period of the Exposition.

#### *"The Pre-Exposition Program.*

"The major objectives in the pre-Exposition program would be as follows:

"(a) Improvement of street car services, elimination of duplicating and obsolete lines, by agreement or otherwise, and such other steps as might be accomplished within the limited time allowed.

"(b) Recommendation of an overhead pedestrian way from San Francisco Bay Bridge rail terminal (Plan X) to the north side of

Market street to facilitate the safe and expeditious handling of an estimated traffic flow of 28,000,000 people annually. (Subsequently transferred to the Post-Exposition Program by the Supervisors.)

"(c) The codification of traffic ordinances and the submission of new ordinances designed to give greater flexibility of traffic, free streets of congestion and promote traffic safety.

"(d) Synchronization of existing traffic signals, during this pre-Exposition period, in an effort to step up traffic movement and clearing them of all obstructions.

"(e) Establishment of parking area on The Embarcadero to provide necessary facilities during the Exposition year.

"(f) Consideration and recommendation of one-way streets.

"(g) The establishment of a traffic school for the training of police in the latest technique of handling traffic."

Of this program, the first part, the Charter Amendment to create a Traffic Commission, was submitted to the people and, on September 27th, was voted down—due, we believe, to a state of confusion in the public mind between the two transit issues on the ballot. As far as we know, little or no action has been taken to put into effect the other objectives of the program. It is probable that, even if action should be initiated at this time on the "pre-Exposition" part of the program, this could not be completely carried out before the Exposition opens next February, but the need to start these improvements remains.

As to your specific questions of September 29th:

1. With regard to our Committee undertaking negotiations to purchase the Market Street Railway, it is our opinion, on the basis of the vote cast on September 27th, that this is not regarded by the citizens as vital at this time. Less than 50 per cent voted. Of these, about 89,000 voted against the purchase, less than 50,000 in favor (and nearly 11,000 who went to the polls did not vote on the proposition). A "Yes" vote of 178,000 would have been required to overcome the negative vote that was cast.

We see no basis for negotiations by a lay committee such as ours as you request, unless fortified by technical studies and expert advice. We contemplate that expert advice would be secured, on this and all other parts of the whole traffic and transportation problem of the City, through the machinery proposed by the Committee, and embodied in the Traffic Commission Charter Amendment.

2 and 3. Answering your suggestion (2) that we make a survey of previous reports, (3) employing an engineer and necessary staff, the City to provide funds therefor from the emergency fund, we beg to remind you that it was the original hope of this Committee when appointed by you, to make such surveys and studies, raising the funds therefor from public-spirited citizens. After many consultations with Charles H. Purcell, who, in our opinion, had the experience and standing that we wanted in the person appointed to take charge of this work, we realized that the program involved was so extensive that the cost of such work would be beyond our ability to finance by subscriptions from private individuals. Our Committee considered all previous reports and surveys that had been made dealing with the traffic and transit problems of the City. We were forced to the conclusion that most of the data in surveys made prior to the opening of the bridges is obsolete. The other surveys and reports deal with separate parts of what, in our opinion, is a single problem requiring study and consideration as a whole. Accordingly, the Charter Amendment providing for a Traffic Commission was evolved to provide the necessary funds and to cover the entire scope of the studies required.

It is our belief that the best step toward a unified solution of the City's traffic and transit problems as a whole would be to resubmit the Charter Amendment at a special election which we understand may be called early in 1939, primarily for the purpose of voting on the \$55,-



000,000 Power Revenue Bond Charter Amendment. We believe that if such amendment is submitted to the voters, uncomplicated by the inclusion of any other traffic or transportation issue on the same ballot, it will be adopted. If 5,300 voters had voted differently on the Charter Amendment it would have carried. From the discussion which individual members of our Committee have had with many citizens and officials it is clear that the voters were confused at the September 27th election by the inclusion of the Market Street Railway purchase and rehabilitation issue on the same ballot with the Traffic Commission Charter Amendment. If this amendment should be resubmitted, as we suggest, we trust that a similar complication will not again arise. We believe that any piece-meal solution of the City's traffic problems, before we have information dealing with all of the problems as a whole, will be wasteful and largely unsatisfactory.

We believe that it would be poor policy to attempt to operate through an interim committee prior to the resubmittal of the Charter Amendment.

Assuring you of the desire of all the members of this Committee to co-operate fully with you in all reasonable efforts to solve our transit and traffic problems, I am,

Sincerely yours,

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF CITIZENS'  
TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE.

(Signed) C. D. O'SULLIVAN, Chairman.

*Copies ordered sent to all members of the Board.*

#### Submission of Arguments on Declaration of Policy.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Board authorize submission of arguments in favor of declaration of policy to be known as Propositions Nos. 4 and 5, at the general election, November 8, 1938, regarding the purchase of the Market Street Railway and the substitution of buses for street cars.

#### Amendment to Motion.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved as an amendment to the original motion, that the Public Utilities Commission be requested to prepare a counter argument to be sent to the voters, stating that he would have no objection to the original motion if the maker would not object to a similar statement being prepared by the Public Utilities Commission, opposing the declarations of policy, for submission to the voters.

Thereupon, Supervisor Uhl agreed to accept Supervisor Roncovieri's amendment as a part of his original motion.

#### Objection.

Supervisor Colman objected, stating that he wanted an opinion from the City Attorney as to the legality of expenditure for such a purpose, and the right of the Board to present both sides of a question or to pay for such presentation.

#### Motion Carried.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing motion was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

No—Supervisor Colman—1.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Ratto—2.

#### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 5:00 p. m., adjourned.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors October 17, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, David A. Barry, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

DAVID A. BARRY,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.



Vol. 33

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

No. 44

Monday, October 17, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, OCTOBER 17, 1938—2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, October 17, 1938,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Reilly—2.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

Supervisor Brown was noted present at 2:25 p. m.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of August 26, 1938, and October 10, 1938, were considered read and approved.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

**Abolishment of Set-Back Lines Easterly Side of Castenada Avenue, at Ventura Avenue, 15 Feet.**

Hearing of appeal from decision of City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1846, dated September 1, 1938, abolishing set-back lines on the easterly side of Castenada avenue, commencing at Ventura avenue, and running thence southerly 200 feet more or less, being all of lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and  $\frac{1}{2}$  of 6, in Assessor's Block 2819, said set-back line being 15 feet.

## Appeal Withdrawn.

Mr. Long, representing the appellants, and Mr. Ringole, for the respondents, announced that an agreement had been reached respecting the above matter, and requested, on behalf of their clients, that the Board permit the appeal to be withdrawn.

No objection and *so ordered*.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

**Rezoning of Gore Corner of St. Mary's Avenue, Cuvier Street and Bernal Cut.**

Hearing of appeal from decision of City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1845, dated September 1, 1938, denying application to rezone from Second Residential District to Light Industrial District, property located at the Gore Corner of St. Mary's avenue, Cuvier street and Bernal cut.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

A. N. Cheleden represented the appellant, R. E. Olsen, and urged that the decision of the City Planning Commission be disapproved.

Ernest T. Hall represented the respondents.

Mark Jorgensen presented the views of the City Planning Commission.

**Appeal Denied.**

At the conclusion of the presentation by appellant and respondents, the following resolution, disapproving the decision of the City Planning Commission, was presented by the Committee on Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning, and *refused adoption* by the following vote:

**Disapproving Decision of City Planning Commission in Denying Application to Rezone From Second Residential District to Light Industrial District, Property Located at Gore Corner of St. Mary's Avenue, Cuvier Street and Bernal Cut.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 4317, as follows:

Resolved, That the decision of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1845, dated September 1, 1938, in denying application to rezone property located at the gore corner of St. Mary's avenue, Cuvier street and Bernal cut, from Second Residential District to Light Industrial District, is hereby disapproved.

Ayes—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Ratto—3.

Noes—Brown, Colman, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Reilly—2.

**SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.****Hearing of Appeal, Rezoning of Northwestern Corner of Davis and Pacific Streets.**

Hearing of appeal from decision of City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1844, dated September 1, 1938, granting application to rezone from Light Industrial District to Heavy Industrial District, property located at the northwesterly corner of Davis and Pacific streets.

**Request for Postponement.**

Supervisor Ratto informed the Board that owners of property adjacent to that for which a change of zone classification is sought were requesting that consideration of the appeal be postponed for one week.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

Thereupon, F. M. McAuliffe, attorney, representing the respondent, Bissinger & Co., stated that he had no objection to continuance of consideration for one week, but requested that Walter S. Mangold of the Department of Public Health, University of California, assistant to Carl Meyer, be permitted to make a statement of his investigation of the plant of the respondent and of the surrounding area, respecting odors and the absorption of odors by food products. No objection being made, the privilege of the floor was so granted. At the request of the Board, Mr. McAuliffe agreed that copies of Mr. Mangold's report, together with copies of telegrams on the subject of absorption of odors, would be sent to Dr. Geiger, Director of Public Health, and to members of the Board.

**Postponement.**

Thereupon, Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Meyer, moved that matter remain on the Calendar and be made a Special Order of Business for Monday, October 24, 1938, at 2:30 p. m.

No objection and *so ordered*.



## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

## Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Streets Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

**Amending Traffic Ordinance to Prohibit Parking on the East Side of Third Street Between Townsend and King Streets Between the Hours of 7 A. M. to 6 P. M.**

(Code No. 11.02)

Bill No. 1727, Ordinance No. 11.0242, as follows:

Amending Section 37, Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 37, Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 37. *Parking Prohibited on Certain Streets, 7 A. M. to 6 P. M.* Between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, excepting Sundays and legal holidays, and excepting duly licensed public passenger vehicles at duly authorized and licensed locations, it shall be unlawful for the operator of a vehicle to stop said vehicle for a period of time longer than is necessary for the actual loading or unloading and delivery of passengers or materials in any of the following places:

Alameda street between Bryant and Florida streets, Annie street, Anthony street, Austin street, Campton place, Cedar street, Clementina street, Cortland avenue on the north side between Mission and Folsom streets, Ecker street, Elm street, Fern street, Florida street for a distance of 275 feet south of Alameda street property line, Hemlock street, Holland court, Ivy street, Linden street, Maiden lane, Merchant street between Battery and Sansome streets, south side of Minna street between First and Ninth streets; Mint street, on the east, south and north sides; Monroe street, Montgomery street between Market and California streets, Myrtle street, north side of Natoma street between Fremont and Ninth streets, Olive street, Pacific avenue on the north side between Columbus avenue and Van Ness avenue, Post street between Kearny and Market streets, Redwood street, Tehama street, east side of Third street between Townsend and King streets, Willow street.

Signs shall be erected and maintained not more than two hundred (200) feet apart in each block designating the provisions of this section.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Ronco-vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Reilly—2.

**Accepting the Roadway of Twenty-fifth Avenue Between Noriega Street and Ortega Street.**

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 1728, Ordinance No. 12.081144, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Twenty-fifth avenue between Noriega street and Ortega street, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department

of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Twenty-fifth avenue between Noriega street and Ortega street, including the curbs.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Ronco-vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Reilly—2.

### Establishing Walk Widths in Hunters' Point District.

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1729, Ordinance No. 12.0731136, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered 1213, 1214, 1215, 1216, 1217, 1218, 1219 and 1220.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office August 29, 1938, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered 1213, 1214, 1215, 1216, 1217, 1218, 1219 and 1220, to read as follows:

#### Section 1213:

The width of sidewalks on Earl street between India street and Innes avenue shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Earl street between Innes avenue and Jerrold avenue shall be abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Earl street between Jerrold and La Salle avenues shall be 19 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Earl street between La Salle and Newcomb avenues shall be abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Earl street between Newcomb avenue and its southeasterly termination shall be 10 feet.

#### Section 1214:

The width of sidewalks on Fitch street between India street and Innes avenue shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Fitch street between Innes and Jerrold avenues shall be abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Fitch street between Jerrold and La Salle avenues shall be 19 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Fitch street between La Salle and Newcomb avenues shall be abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Fitch street between Newcomb and Oakdale avenues shall be 19 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Fitch street between Oakdale avenue and its southwesterly termination shall be 10 feet.

#### Section 1215:

The width of sidewalks on Griffith street between India street and Innes avenue shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Griffith street between Innes avenue and Jerrold avenue shall be 19 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Griffith street between Jerrold and Kirkwood avenues shall be abolished.



The width of sidewalks on Griffith street between Kirkwood and McKinnon avenues shall be 19 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Griffith street between McKinnon and Oakdale avenues shall be abolished.

Section 1216:

The width of sidewalks on Hawes street between Innes and La Salle avenues shall be abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Hawes street between La Salle avenue and McKinnon avenue shall be 19 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Hawes street between McKinnon and Oakdale avenues shall be abolished.

Section 1217:

The width of sidewalks on Ingalls street between Jerrold and La Salle avenues shall be abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Ingalls street between La Salle and McKinnon avenues shall be 19 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Ingalls street between McKinnon and Oakdale avenues shall be abolished.

Section 1218:

The width of sidewalks on Jennings street between Innes and McKinnon avenues shall be 19 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Jennings street between McKinnon and Newcomb avenues shall be abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Jennings street between Newcomb and Palou avenues shall be 19 feet.

Section 1219:

The width of sidewalks on Keith street between Hudson and Innes avenues shall be 19 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Keith street between Innes and Kirkwood avenues shall be abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Keith street between Kirkwood and Newcomb avenues shall be 19 feet.

Section 1220:

The width of sidewalks on Lane street between Galvez and McKinnon avenues shall be 19 feet.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Reilly—2.

### Changing Walk Widths in Hunters' Point District.

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1730, Ordinance No. 12.0731137, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Sections 558, 559, 560, 561, 562 and 563 thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office August 29, 1938, by amending Sections 558, 559, 560, 561, 562 and 563 thereof to read as follows:

Section 558:

The width of sidewalks on Innes avenue between Toland and Mendell streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Innes avenue between Lane and Jennings streets shall be 27 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Innes avenue between Jennings and Hawes streets shall be 27 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Innes avenue between Hawes and Donahue streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Innes avenue between Donahue and Alvord streets shall be 27 feet.

Section 559:

The width of sidewalks on Jerrold avenue between Bay Shore boulevard and Third street shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Jerrold avenue between Third and Mendell streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Jerrold avenue between Mendell and Lane street shall be 27 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Jerrold avenue between Lane and Earl streets shall be 27 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Jerrold avenue between Earl and Alvord streets shall be 27 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Jerrold avenue between Alvord and Waterfront streets shall be 15 feet.

Section 560:

The width of sidewalks on Kirkwood avenue between Selby and Mendell streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Kirkwood avenue between Mendell and Lane streets shall be 27 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Kirkwood avenue between Lane and Earl streets shall be 27 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Kirkwood avenue between Earl and Boalt streets shall be 27 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Kirkwood avenue between Boalt and Waterfront streets shall be 10 feet.

Section 561:

The width of sidewalks on La Salle avenue between Quint and Mendell streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on La Salle avenue, the northeasterly side of, between Mendell and Lane streets shall be 35 feet.

The width of sidewalks on La Salle avenue, the southwesterly side of, between Mendell and Lane streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on La Salle avenue between Lane and Earl streets shall be 27 feet.

The width of sidewalks on La Salle avenue between Earl and Boalt streets shall be 27 feet.

The width of sidewalks on La Salle avenue between Boalt and Waterfront streets shall be 10 feet.

Section 562:

The width of sidewalks on McKinnon avenue between Barneveld avenue and Selby street shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on McKinnon avenue between Selby and Phelps streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on McKinnon avenue between Phelps and Third streets shall be 19 feet.

The width of sidewalks on McKinnon avenue between Third and Keith streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on McKinnon avenue between Keith and Donahue streets shall be 27 feet.

The width of sidewalks on McKinnon avenue between Donahue and Waterfront streets shall be 10 feet.



## Section 563:

The width of sidewalks on Newcomb avenue between Barneveld avenue and Selby street shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Newcomb avenue between Selby and Third streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Newcomb avenue between Third and Lane streets shall be 19 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Newcomb avenue between Lane and Keith streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Newcomb avenue between Keith and Fitch streets shall be 27 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Newcomb avenue between Fitch and Waterfront streets shall be 10 feet.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Reilly—2.

### Ordering the Improvement of Gates Street Between Powhattan Avenue and Bernal Heights Boulevard.

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 1731, Ordinance No. 12.0611102, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the Assessment District, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, September 20, 1938, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten (10) installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment\* of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Gates street between Powhattan avenue and Bernal Heights boulevard, and of the crossing of Gates street and Powhattan avenue, by grading to official line and grade, by the construction of the following items:

Item No.	Item
1	Grading (excavation).
2	Grading (fill).
3	6-inch Class "E" concrete pavement.
4	Unarmored concrete curb.
5	Two-course concrete sidewalk.

Item  
No.

Item

- |    |  |
|----|--|
| 6  | 8-inch vitrified clay pipe sewer, in place.                  |
| 7  | 8-inch by 6-inch vitrified clay pipe "Y"-branches, in place. |
| 8  | 12-inch vitrified clay pipe sewer, in place.                 |
| 9  | 6-inch vitrified clay pipe sidesewers, in place.             |
| 10 | Brick manholes, complete.                                    |
| 11 | Brick catchbasins, complete.                                 |
| 12 | 10-inch vitrified clay pipe culvert, in place.               |
| 13 | Water services.  |

The Assessment District hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as:

Block 5625, Lots 11, 12, 12A, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18 and 19;

Block 5626, Lots 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25 and 26;

Block 5650, Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8; and

Block 5651, Lots 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31 and 32;

all being designated on the Maps and Books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the Assessment Book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above mentioned improvement.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Reilly—2.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Adopted.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

### Refunds of Erroneous Payments of Taxes.

(Code No. 9.059)

Resolution No. 4305, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized to be paid to the following named, being refunds of erroneous payments of taxes:

#### *From Duplicate Tax Fund—Appropriation 905.*

- |   |         |
|---|---------|
| 1. California Pacific Title & Trust Co., per Vol. 17, Bill 151, Lot 14-B, Block 2199, second installment, Fiscal Year 1937..... | \$43.55 |
| 2. Elizabeth Fillippelli, per Vol. 3, Bill 2282, Lot 34, Block 507, both installments, Fiscal Year 1937.....                    | 46.06   |
| 3. John L. Taylor, per Vol. 43, Bill 2629, Lot 23, Block 7092, second installment, Fiscal Year 1937.....                        | 9.10    |
| 4. John B. Chichizola, per Vol. 1, page 64, line 17, Unsecured Personal Property, Fiscal Year 1938, duplicate payment....       | 3.87    |

#### *From General Fund—Appropriation 60.969.00.*

- |  |       |
|--|-------|
| 5. A. N. Lewis Estate, per Vol. 5, page 106, line 11, Unsecured Personal Property Roll, Fiscal Year 1938, erroneous assessment ..... | 11.61 |
| 6. C. Sandino, per Vol. 5, page 9, line 18, 1933 Arbitrary Assessment Roll, duplicate payment.....                                   | 4.90  |

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Reilly—2.



**Release of Lien Filed re Old Age Security, Mrs. Ida P. Hansen.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 4306, as follows:

Whereas, notices of aid have recorded in this county pursuant to Section 4 of the Old Age Security Act and Section 2225 of the Welfare and Institution Code; and

Whereas, the filing of such notices created a lien on the property of the recipients of such aid; and

Whereas, the recipients of such aid, on payment of the debt secured by such lien, are entitled to receive a release thereof; and

Whereas, a lien has been placed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, on recommendation of the County Welfare Department, against the property described as follows:

Property Mrs. Ida P. Hansen, recorded March 16, 1937, in Book 729, page 394, Official Records of San Mateo County.

Now, therefore, be it resolved, That upon receipt of all money secured by said lien, David A. Barry, clerk of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby authorized to execute and deliver on behalf of and in the name of said Board, a release of such lien.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Reilly—2.

**Release of Lien Filed re Old Age Security, Patrick Quinn.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 4307, as follows:

Resolved, That the lien heretofore placed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, on recommendation of the County Welfare Department is released against the property described as follows:

Patrick and Jane Quinn, recorded August 10, 1936, in Book 3011, page 82, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco; legal description, Lot 9, Block 6281.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Reilly—2.

**Contracting with S. A. Dewing for Stenographic Reporting for the Board of Supervisors.**

(Code No. 1.04)

Also, Resolution No. 4308, as follows:

Resolved, That S. A. Dewing and his associates are hereby retained and employed as shorthand reporters for the Board of Supervisors for the fiscal year 1938-1939 to report the proceedings of said Board and the proceedings of its committees when authorized to do so and to make transcriptions of such proceedings, or such portions thereof as this Board may direct, and that said S. A. Dewing and his associates be compensated for their said services as follows:

For services in attending and reporting meetings of the Board of Committees of said Board, at the rate of twelve dollars and fifty cents (\$12.50) for each four-hour period, or fraction thereof, computed from the hour of convening until the hour of recess or adjournment; provided, that whenever such meetings of the board or committees thereof shall continue for more than four hours on any one calendar day, compensation therefor shall be at the rate of three dollars (\$3.00) per hour for each additional hour or fraction thereof. Provided further,

that where committee meetings do not exceed two hours only one-half a per diem shall be paid.

Transcription rates to be as follows:

Original, 20 cents per folio.

Original and 1 copy, 30 cents per folio.

Original and 2 copies, 33 cents per folio.

Original and 3 copies, 36 cents per folio.

Original and 4 copies, 40 cents per folio.

All over five copies, 8 cents per folio for each copy.

Be it further resolved, That this resolution, when the provisions thereof are accepted by S. A. Dewing, shall constitute a contract between the Board of Supervisors and S. A. Dewing.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Reilly—1.

#### **Golden Gate Avenue—Land Acquisition and Dedication.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 4309, as follows:

Resolved, in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, That the City and County of San Francisco does hereby accept the following conveyances covering land required for the extension of Golden Gate avenue, west of Masonic avenue in San Francisco, California:

Deed from Masonic Cemetery Association of the City and County of San Francisco, a corporation, dated April 6, 1936.

Deed from University of San Francisco, a corporation, dated April 8, 1936.

Reconveyance from George Skaller and Arthur Joel, dated April 17, 1936.

Reconveyance from Title Insurance & Guaranty Company, a corporation, dated April 17, 1936.

Reconveyance from California Pacific Title & Trust Company, a corporation, dated April 17, 1936.

The property described in said deeds is hereby dedicated as a public street and shall be known as Golden Gate avenue.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Reilly—2.

#### **Release of Lien Filed re Old Age Security—Ida Andresen.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 4310, as follows:

Resolved, That the lien heretofore placed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, on recommendation of the County Welfare Department is released against the property described as follows:

Ida Andresen, recorded March 27, 1937, in Book 3011, page 209, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco; legal description, Lots 13-19 inclusive, Block 5347.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Reilly—2.



**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

**Amending Section 41, Salary Ordinance, Department of Public Works, to Reflect Change in Classification of General Clerk to Senior Clerk.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 1736, Ordinance No. 9.053164, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 41 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 by decreasing the number of employments under Item 1 from 7 to 6, B222, General Clerk, at \$200; by increasing the number of employments under Item 4 from 2 to 3, B228, Senior Clerk, at \$200; and by omitting the asterisk note.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 41 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 41. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—  
BUREAU OF ACCOUNTS**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	6	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 200
2	1	B222	General Clerk .....	165
3	1	B222	General Clerk .....	155
4	3	B228	Senior Clerk .....	200
5	1	B31	Supervisor, Bureau of Cost Accounts, Department of Public Works.....	325
6	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	185
7	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	165
8	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	185

Approved: Civil Service Commission, by W. E. Henderson, Personnel Director.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Reilly—2.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Cancellation of Proposed Lease to James E. Colston and Repeal of Resolution No. 3534.**

(Code No. 12.1739)

Resolution No. 4311, as follows:

Whereas, on September 13, 1937, this Board adopted Resolution No. 3534, confirming a lease of certain City owned land at the corner of Fifteenth and Rhode Island streets, San Francisco, to James E. Colston; and

Whereas, James E. Colston paid the sum of \$600 to the Director of Property as a deposit in connection with this transaction, which is on deposit in the Realty Deposit Trust Fund; and

Whereas, the lessee has not executed said lease and has requested that the deposit of \$600 be returned to him; and

Whereas, there was no agreement that said deposit would be forfeited for failure to execute said lease; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, in accordance with the recommendation of the Director of Property, that the sum of \$65.72 be retained by the City to cover

the cost of advertising and the cost of the services of the Real Estate Department, and that the sum of \$534.28 be repaid to James E. Colston. The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to transfer \$15.72 to the General Fund to cover the cost of advertising and transfer \$50 to the Real Estate Department to cover the cost of its services in connection with the proposed leasing of this property, and prepare the necessary warrant in favor of James E. Colston in the amount of \$534.28.

Resolution No. 3534 hereinabove referred to is hereby repealed.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Reilly—1.

**Authorizing Acquisition of Certain Lands by Condemnation Proceedings, Required for Aztec Street and Bonview Street.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 4312, as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following described real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Parcel 1: Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Aztec street, distant thereon 175 feet westerly from the westerly line of Shotwell street; thence westerly along said line of Aztec street, 50 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 39.999 feet, central angle 22 degrees 37 minutes 12 seconds, a distance of 15.791 feet to a point of reverse curve; thence northeasterly, easterly, and southeasterly on a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding curve, radius 25 feet, central angle 45 degrees 14 minutes 24 seconds, a distance of 19.740 feet to a point of reverse curve; thence southeasterly on a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding curve, radius 39.999 feet, central angle 22 degrees 37 minutes 12 seconds, a distance of 15.791 feet to tangency with the northerly line of Aztec street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Lots 72 and 74 of Gift Map No. 3, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "2A and B", page 15, Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 2: Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Aztec street, distant thereon 185 feet westerly from the westerly line of Shotwell street; thence westerly along said line of Aztec street, 30 feet; thence southeasterly, easterly and northeasterly on a curve to the left, tangent to a line deflected 143 degrees 07 minutes 48 seconds to the left from the preceding course, radius 25 feet, central angle 73 degrees 44 minutes 24 seconds, a distance of 32.175 feet to said line of Aztec street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Lots 92 and 93 of Gift Map No. 3, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "2A and B", page 15, Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 3: Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Bonview street, distant thereon 50 feet southwestly from the southwestly line of Coso avenue; thence southwestly along said line of Bonview street 25 feet to the northeasterly line of the property now or formerly belonging to Frank L. Bowers; thence at right angles northwesterly along said property line 0.424 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the left, whose tangent deflects 83 degrees 59 minutes 41 seconds to the right from the preceding course, radius 77.341 feet, central angle 18 degrees 29 minutes 41 seconds, a distance of 24.965 feet; thence northeasterly, tangent to the preceding curve, 1.120 feet to the southwestly line of the property now or formerly belonging to Laura Musto; thence deflecting 114 degrees 30 minutes to the right and run-



ning southeasterly along last mentioned property line 7.428 feet to the northwesterly line of Bonview street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Gift Map No. 3, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "2A and B", page 15, Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Be It Further Resolved, That said lands are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: Parcels 1 and 2 for the widening of Aztec street and Parcel 3 for the realignment of Bonview street. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcels of land and any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Ronco-vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Reilly—2.

### **Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

#### **Authorizing Exchange of Land with City Title Insurance Company.**

(Code No. 12.1743)

Bill No. 1737, Ordinance No. 12.17435, as follows:

Authorizing exchange of land with City Title Insurance Company.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, the Director of Property, in lieu of sale, is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for trading certain City owned land hereinafter referred to as Parcel "A" to the City Title Insurance Company, in exchange for certain real property hereinafter referred to as Parcels 1 and 2.

Section 2. Said Parcel "A" is that certain tract of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and more particularly described in Ordinance No. 12.17232, Bill No. 1685, approved by the Mayor of San Francisco on September 8, 1938, which parcel was proposed to be sold under the provisions of Ordinance No. 12.17232.

Section 3. The Director of Property has made an appraisal of said real property and estimates the value of Parcel "A" to be \$520 and the combined value of Parcels 1 and 2 to be \$500.

Section 4. Said Parcels 1 and 2 hereinbefore referred to are situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and are more particularly described as follows:

Parcel 1: Commencing at a point on the northeasterly line of Amherst street, distant thereon 25 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Mansell street; running thence northwesterly along the northeasterly line of Amherst street 75 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 100 feet to a point on the northwesterly line of Mansell street; thence southwesterly along last named line 25 feet to a point distant thereon 95 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Amherst street; thence at a right angle northwesterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 95 feet to the northeasterly line of Amherst street and the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Block No. 112, University Mound Tract Survey.

Parcel 2: Commencing at a point on the northeasterly line of Ray-

mond avenue, distant thereon 100 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Sawyer street; running thence northwesterly along the northeasterly line of Raymond avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 100 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 100 feet to the northeasterly line of Raymond avenue and the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Block No. 4, Reis Tract.

Section 5. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute the necessary deed for the conveyance of Parcel "A" to the City Title Insurance Company, or its assignee. The Director of Property shall deliver said conveyance to the grantee upon receipt of the necessary deed to Parcels 1 and 2 and the sum of \$20.00.

The Director of Property is hereby authorized to record the deed to the City and County of San Francisco.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Reilly—2.

**Appropriating \$3,000 From County Road Fund as San Francisco's Contribution Toward Oiling Streets in Hunter's Point District.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1738, Ordinance No. 9.051519, as follows:

Appropriating \$3,000 from County Road Fund, being San Francisco's contribution toward the improvement of streets in Hunter's Point District by oiling. This work is to be prosecuted by the W. P. A.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$3,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the County Road Fund, being San Francisco's contribution toward the improvement of streets in Hunter's Point District by oiling. This work is to be prosecuted by the W. P. A.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Reilly—2.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

Subsequently during the proceedings, Wm. D. Coughlin, representing the Associated General Contractors, questioned the legality of the foregoing appropriation.

**Opinion From City Attorney.**

Whereupon, the President directed that copy of bill be sent to the City Attorney, and that he be requested to furnish his opinion thereon prior to time for final passage.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

**Approving Map of Ewing Terrace; and Approving and Accepting Bond for Taxes in Connection Therewith.**

(Code No. 12.0821)

Resolution No. 4313, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain map entitled "Map of Ewing Terrace, San Francisco, Cal., composed of 2 sheets", be and is hereby approved and adopted as the official map of Ewing Terrace, San Francisco, California, and that those streets and ways shown on said map and not now



dedicated as open public streets, are hereby accepted on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, and declared to be open public streets and/or ways to be known by the names as shown on said map; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept that certain deed dated the 3rd day of October, 1938, from City Title Insurance Company, a corporation, granting to the City and County of San Francisco all that land comprising those streets and ways as delineated upon said map, which are not now open public streets; and be it

Further Resolved, That that certain bond in the sum of \$2,610.64 executed the 3rd day of October, 1938, between City Title Insurance Company, a corporation, as principal, and Alvin Heyman and Oscar Heyman, as sureties, running to the City and County of San Francisco, conditioned for the payment of all taxes or special assessments collected as taxes which are at the time of filing said map, a lien against the land or any part thereof as shown upon said map but not yet payable, be and is hereby approved and accepted, the said sum of \$2,610.64 being sufficient to cover all the taxes which are a lien upon the property described in said map and which are not yet payable, the amount of such taxes being estimated by the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco to be \$1,305.32.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Reilly—2.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendations of Streets Committee were taken up:

**Changing and Reestablishing Grades on Moraga Street, Between  
Thirty-second and Thirty-sixth Avenues, and on Thirty-third,  
Thirty-fourth and Thirty-fifth Avenues, Between Lawton and  
Noriega Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0722)

Bill No. 1739, Ordinance No. 12.072240, as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Moraga street, between Thirty-second and Thirty-sixth avenues; and on Thirty-third, Thirty-fourth and Thirty-fifth avenues, between Lawton and Noriega streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did, on the 22nd day of August, 1938, by Resolution No. 4190, declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Moraga street, between Thirty-second and Thirty-sixth avenues; and on Thirty-third, Thirty-fourth and Thirty-fifth avenues, between Lawton and Noriega streets.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for two days, and the Director of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than thirty days have elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

<i>Moraga Street:</i>	<i>Feet</i>
Thirty-second avenue, westerly line.....	282
(The same being the present official grade)	
Thirty-third avenue, easterly line.....	268

*Moraga Street (Continued):**Feet*

Thirty-third avenue, westerly line.....	265
Thirty-fourth avenue, easterly line.....	241
Thirty-fourth avenue, westerly line.....	238
Thirty-fifth avenue, easterly line.....	209
Thirty-fifth avenue, westerly line.....	206
Thirty-sixth avenue, easterly line.....	179

(The same being the present official grade)

*Thirty-third Avenue:*

Westerly line of, at Lawton street.....	266
(The same being the present official grade)	
Easterly line of, at Lawton street.....	266.90
(The same being the present official grade)	
100 feet southerly from Lawton street.....	270.30
200 feet southerly from Lawton street.....	274.15
300 feet southerly from Lawton street.....	276.08
400 feet southerly from Lawton street.....	274.17
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
100 feet northerly from Moraga street.....	270.33
Easterly line of, at Moraga street.....	268
Westerly line of, at Moraga street.....	265
100 feet southerly from Moraga street.....	260.70
190 feet southerly from Moraga street.....	255.48
250 feet southerly from Moraga street.....	251.45
310 feet southerly from Moraga street.....	246.32
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
93 feet northerly from Noriega street.....	228.31
Westerly line of, at Noriega street.....	218
(The same being the present official grade)	
Easterly line of, at Noriega street.....	221
(The same being the present official grade)	

*Thirty-fourth Avenue:*

Westerly line of, at Lawton street.....	239
(The same being the present official grade)	
Easterly line of, at Lawton street.....	242
(The same being the present official grade)	
100 feet southerly from Lawton street.....	243.66
200 feet southerly from Lawton street.....	246.84
300 feet southerly from Lawton street.....	248.33
400 feet southerly from Lawton street.....	246.50
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
100 feet northerly from Moraga street.....	243
Easterly line of, at Moraga street.....	241
Westerly line of, at Moraga street.....	238
100 feet southerly from Moraga street.....	233.09
93 feet northerly from Noriega street.....	207.46
Westerly line of, at Noriega street.....	200
(The same being the present official grade)	
Easterly line of, at Noriega street.....	203
(The same being the present official grade)	

*Thirty-fifth Avenue:*

Westerly line of, at Lawton street.....	212
(The same being the present official grade)	
Easterly line of, at Lawton street.....	215
(The same being the present official grade)	
100 feet southerly from Lawton street.....	213.36
100 feet northerly from Moraga street.....	209.36
Westerly line of, at Moraga street.....	206
Easterly line of, at Moraga street.....	209
100 feet southerly from Moraga street.....	200.52



*Thirty-fifth Avenue (Continued):*

200 feet southerly from Moraga street.....	193.54
300 feet southerly from Moraga street.....	188.11
400 feet southerly from Moraga street.....	185.81
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
93 feet northerly from Noriega street.....	185.06
Westerly line of, at Noriega street.....	182
(The same being the present official grade)	
Easterly line of, at Noriega street.....	185
(The same being the present official grade)	

On Moraga street between Thirty-second and Thirty-sixth avenues, and on Thirty-third, Thirty-fourth, and Thirty-fifth avenues between Lawton and Noriega streets be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Reilly—2.

**Accepting the Roadways of Nevada Street and Bronte Street, Between Jarboe Avenue and Tompkins Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 1740, Ordinance No. 12.081145, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Nevada street between Jarboe avenue and Tompkins avenue; Bronte street between Jarboe avenue and Tompkins avenue, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Nevada street between Jarboe avenue and Tompkins avenue; Bronte street between Jarboe avenue and Tompkins avenue; including the curbs.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Reilly—2.

**Accepting the Roadway of Vallejo Street, Between Montgomery Street and a Point 137 Feet 5 Inches Westerly Therefrom.**

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 1741, Ordinance No. 12.081146, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Vallejo street between Montgomery street and a point 137 feet 5 inches westerly therefrom, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the rail-

road company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Vallejo street between Montgomery street and a point 137 feet 5 inches westerly therefrom, including the curbs.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Ronco-vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Reilly—2.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up:

**Changing the Name of San Miguel Street, Between Fairmount Street and Bernal Avenue, to Miguel Street; and Changing the Name of Miguel Street, Between Mount Vernon Avenue and Niagara Street to San Miguel Street.**

(Code No. 12.08)

Resolution No. 4314, as follows:

Resolved, That the name of San Miguel street, between Fairmount street and Bernal avenue, be and is hereby changed to Miguel street; and be it

Further Resolved, That the name of Miguel street, between Mount Vernon avenue and Niagara street, be and is hereby changed to San Miguel street.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Ronco-vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Reilly—2.

**Re-referred to Committee.**

On motion by Supervisor Mead, the following recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police was *re-referred to Committee*:

**Prohibiting the Interception of Police Broadcasts for Private Purposes.**

(Code No. 11.00)

Bill No. 1711, Ordinance No. 11.0018, as follows:

Prohibiting the interception of police broadcasts for private purposes in the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation, other than the Police Department or other duly authorized emergency agencies of this City and County, to intercept, receive or assist in receiving any dispatch or communication from the Police Broadcasting Station for the purpose of using such dispatch or communication or any information contained therein for or in the conduct of any business, trade or occupation.

Section 2. Every person, firm or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than six (6) months or by fine not to exceed five hundred dollars (\$500), or by both such fine and imprisonment.

**Ordered Submitted.**

The following recommendation of Judiciary Committee was taken up:



**CHARTER AMENDMENT NO. ....**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 125 thereof, relating to employees engaged in public utility work, to provide for assignment of platform men of the Municipal Railway to duty as bus operators at the salary fixed for bus operators and without loss of seniority.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the electors of said City and County at the..... election to be held on the.....days of....., 1938, a proposal to amend Section 125 of the Charter, relating to employees engaged in public utility work, to read as follows:

**EMPLOYMENTS**

Section 125. All employees engaged in public utility work at the time this charter shall go into effect, and who have been permanently appointed to their respective positions in conformity with the civil service provisions of this charter, shall become employees of the public utilities commission under the classification held by each such employee at such time. All persons employed in the operating service of any public utility hereafter acquired by the city and county at the time the same is taken over by the city and county, and who shall have been so employed for at least one year prior to the date of such acquisition, shall be continued in their respective positions and shall be deemed appointed to such positions, under, and entitled to all the benefits of, the civil service provisions of this charter; provided, however, that no person who is not a citizen of the United States shall be so continued in or appointed to his position. All persons residing outside the city and county claiming the benefit of this provision and who are not engaged on such utility work outside of the limits of the city and county shall be allowed a reasonable time, not exceeding one year, to become residents of the city and county.

Persons employed as platform men or bus operators in the operating department of the municipal railway system shall be subject to the following conditions of employment: The basic hours of labor shall be eight hours, to be completed within ten consecutive hours; there shall be one day of rest in each week of seven days; all labor performed in excess of eight hours in any one day, or six days in any one week, shall be paid for at the rate of time and one-half.

Conductors and motormen may be assigned to duty as bus operators and while assigned to such duty they shall receive the compensation fixed for such service. Such assignment shall be governed by seniority of service, subject to a qualifying test by the railroad management as to competency and to state law as to qualifications and licensing.

Positions and employments in the municipal airport, which airport by this charter is placed under the jurisdiction of the public utilities commission, shall be continued and the employees thereof shall, subject to the approval of the commission, be appointed by and hold office

at the pleasure of the manager of utilities. See Digest—*Francis v. Leavy*, p. 134; *Archer v. Civil Service Commission*, p. 136.

Ordered submitted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Reilly—1.

#### Adopted.

The following recommendations of His Honor the Mayor were taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Mrs. Gertrude Atherton, Member Library Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 4315, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mrs. Gertrude Atherton, member of the Library Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing October 21, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Reilly—2.

**Leave of Absence—Hon. Richard E. Doyle, President, Board of Education.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 4316, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Richard E. Doyle, President of the Board of Education, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing October 13, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Reilly—2.

### ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

**Report of Directors of Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District on Criticism by the Chamber of Commerce.**

The following statement was presented by Supervisor Brown:

"Last week I called attention of the Board of Supervisors to the situation which had been created by the criticism directed against the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District by the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce and I advised the Board at that time that the response of the Golden Gate Bridge directors was being prepared and in due course submitted.

At last Thursday's meeting of the bridge board the report of the committee was approved and it was ordered that a copy of the response as approved should be sent to each member of the Board of Supervisors. I have checked with Mr. Colman who did not receive a copy and was wondering if other members of the Board had received this report. (It was developed that none of the members received this report.)

You will shortly receive it because they had been sent to each member of the Board of Supervisors. I suggest the Clerk be requested to check with the secretary of the bridge board to make sure that



copies are in the hands of each member of the Board of Supervisors before the week is up.

I recommend to the members of the Board of Supervisors that if they can find time, that they read this report in its entirety. It will take about an hour to read it and therefore I will not ask the Clerk to read it here. I would like, however, to read to you the conclusions which would take only a few minutes:

(Read Sections 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 of the conclusion.)

This is the report of the committee appointed by the directors of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, a report which was adopted by the Board as their official reply to the Chamber of Commerce. I again recommend to the members of the Board that when they receive copy of this report, they read it as there is much information in it and will ask that when this report as a whole is received by the Clerk, that it be made a part of the record.

GOLDEN GATE BRIDGE & HIGHWAY DISTRICT  
Box 99 Presidio Station San Francisco

October 13, 1938.

The Honorable Board of Directors, Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, Toll Plaza, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: At the last meeting of the Board, held September 28, 1938, the undersigned were appointed a Special Committee to consider statements contained in the three documents released by the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce. These documents consisted of:

1. A report on the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District on status and future outlook, dated August 10, 1938.
2. A report, supplemental to the above dated September 7, 1938.
3. A report by the Special Committee of the Chamber of Commerce, dated September 21, 1938.

We have analyzed the data furnished us by the General Manager and the Engineer, and facts furnished force us to report as follows:

Even if we assume the statements made in these three documents were prompted by sincere motives, we must then contend the investigation was superficial and the conclusions reached are not justified by the facts, and are correspondingly misleading.

Proof the Chamber made superficial examination is evidenced by the time consumed by the Chamber's investigator at the bridge site. A period of two or three hours was used by him in the District office most of which time was required to obtain copies of various reports which have been included in the Chamber's findings.

Amazingly, the report criticizes such matters as painting and maintenance of the Bridge District's properties! To properly support these contentions it would have been necessary for a competent engineer to devote several days' time examining the structure in order to ascertain conditions and to support the inference the structure is not being properly maintained, whereas no engineering inspection was made by the Chamber of Commerce as far as the Bridge Board is able to ascertain.

Likewise, it would have been necessary for an expert accountant to spend several days, not two or three hours, studying the accounting system and checking records of the Bridge District. All receipts and disbursements of the District since its inception have been regularly audited by the firm of Lester Herrick and Herrick, reputable public accountants. Why did the investigator not ask to examine the audit reports?

Our contention is further substantiated by a study of the statistics on which the Chamber has based its findings.

In the report of September 21, 1938, it is stated on page 1, paragraph 2, the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce gave its unqualified support to the proposed Golden Gate Bridge project on October 2, 1930. A review of this 1930 report reveals the inconsistency of the charges

now made in comparison with the attitude of the Chamber of Commerce on October 2, 1930, at which time it was stated by the Chamber:

"Because of the proposed bridge's advantages to San Francisco from the development point of view, the committee believes that it might be worth a tax subsidy to fund operating deficits for a few years if these should occur."

"The bridge will be in a good position to take care of additional traffic even in the event of rate reductions, as operating expenses do not take a corresponding rise, being fairly constant."

Within the last few days, however, the Chamber has seen fit to declaim through the columns of the press and raise a great hue and cry because it estimates a deficit during the second year of the bridge's operation of approximately \$56,000. The Chamber of Commerce endorsed this project with the full fore-knowledge, from its own investigation, it might not pay; therefore, they are now certainly in an inconsistent position in criticizing it because, in its opinion, it is not paying.

In the Chamber's report of 1930, 72½ cents is accepted as an average toll for each vehicle-crossing, while actually the average toll is now 46.29 cents. This reduction in toll, below the original estimates, has resulted in a saving to the bridge patrons of \$1,394,400 since the bridge was opened.

The following appears on the second page, first paragraph of the Chamber's report of September 21, 1938:

"The facts developed as a result of these studies, in the opinion of your committee, are convincing evidence that the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District has been operated on an extravagant scale during the past fiscal year."

Upon what evidence does the Chamber make such a serious accusation? Apparently by comparing the cost of operation per vehicle on the Golden Gate Bridge with the cost of operation per vehicle on the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge.

In the third paragraph on page 2 of its report, the Chamber points out the capital investment in the Bay Bridge is twice that invested in the Golden Gate Bridge, whereas the traffic on the Golden Gate Bridge was only 38.1 per cent of that on the Bay Bridge. This is merely a statement of the ratio of traffic to the amount of capital invested indicating that the Bay Bridge receives for each dollar invested, 1.3 times as much gross revenue as the Golden Gate Bridge. The report then proceeds to compare operating, repairs and depreciation charges on the two structures to the detriment of the Golden Gate Bridge after deducting the cost of toll collection and insurance on the grounds that the latter differ on account of local conditions. As a matter of fact, the only two costs that are remotely comparable are those of insurance and toll collectors since the number of toll collectors depends on the volume of traffic and the insurance on the value of the structure. In this case we find the base rates for insurance are as follows:

*Bay Bridge      Golden Gate Bridge*

Multi-risk Use and Occupancy....	40c	47c
Multi-risk Property Damage.....	40c	66 2/3c

The Board of Directors used every effort to get a rate comparable to that of the Bay Bridge but was informed by the underwriters' association that it would be impossible, as the underwriters, in considering this type of risk, consider the Golden Gate Bridge, for insurance purposes, a greater risk than the Bay Bridge, as the latter can be divided into five different sections whereas our liability is contained in one section and, also, we were in the market last.

The comparative cost of toll collection per vehicle-crossing on the two projects for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1938, was 1.2167 cents on the Golden Gate Bridge and 1.1391 cents on the Bay Bridge, according to the Chamber of Commerce figures.



The statement of the Chamber that the amount charged to operation, repairs and depreciation on the Golden Gate Bridge was 14.5 per cent above the amount for the Bay Bridge is not pertinent and supports in no manner their contention of extravagance, as will be shown in later paragraphs treating these general classifications, since the costs of these items on the two structures are not comparable. For example, let us examine the total operating expenditures of both structures:

The expenses of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge for the year July 1, 1937 to June 30, 1938, according to the Chamber's report, totaled \$457,141.12. The expenses of the Golden Gate Bridge for the same period totaled \$395,564.03.

The total operating cost to the Golden Gate Bridge includes an item of \$49,800 for depreciation and deferred maintenance. Since this money was not actually expended but is a reserve for replacements, it should be deducted from the total of \$395,564.03 before a comparison of the two projects can be made. Likewise, although the insurance premiums paid on the Bay Bridge represented a larger amount than on the Golden Gate Bridge, its insurance is obtained at a lower rate. Therefore, the cost of insurance should also be deducted before comparison is made. With such deduction made, we find the expenses for the two organizations for the period July 1, 1937 to June 30, 1938 to be:

Golden Gate Bridge.....	\$262,746.56
Bay Bridge .....	345,541.12

The cost of maintenance on the Golden Gate Bridge is higher in proportion because of the more severe climatic exposure and the original difference in the treatment of the steel before painting which had not been anticipated in Mr. Strauss' report of 1930.

In the fourth paragraph on page 2, the Chamber, in referring to Mr. Reed's budget of April 14, 1937, indicates clearly it did not, or would not analyze the items of that budget for if they had done so, they would readily have seen Mr. Reed's budget did not include any allowance for insurance, depreciation, or advertising, the total of the items omitted being \$180,000. Furthermore, Mr. Reed omitted other items of necessary expense which, if added to the budget prepared by him, would amount to \$50,000 more than the budget set up by the Bridge District for the fiscal year 1937-38. The items omitted and underestimated by Mr. Reed in April, 1937, are listed in the table below:

General Manager Reed's budget April, 1937.....	\$250,000
Omitted altogether; included in present budget	
Insurance .....	\$84,000
Depreciation or Deferred Maintenance.....	79,400
Additions and Betterments.....	20,000
Compensation Insurance .....	20,000
Advertising .....	24,000
Total altogether omitted.....	227,400
Underestimated the following items:	
Toll Collection.....	\$ 2,000
Repairs and Painting.....	98,000
Operating Services, Lighting, Fire and Tow.....	20,000
Total Underestimated.....	120,000

Total of Reed Budget if all items had been included and properly estimated .....	\$597,400
Present District Budget.....	547,400
Difference .....	\$ 50,000

Variations between actual expenditures for the year 1937-38 and the budget of April 14, 1937, are explained in the Chamber's report of August 10, 1938, page 6. Does the Chamber also recommend that earthquakes and other perils (Insurance) be ignored as they have now recommended that wear and tear (Depreciation and Deferred Maintenance) be ignored? Does the Chamber contend that the expenditures of district funds to protect against ruthless ferry competition was "gross extravagance"? These three items, Insurance, Depreciation and Deferred Maintenance and Legal Expense, in connection with the ferry hearings, more than account for the difference between the April 14, 1937 budget and the actual expenditures incurred.

It should be explained further that the \$250,000 budget of April 14, 1937, included *no* provision whatever for Depreciation and Deferred Maintenance, contrary to statements made on pages 5 and 6 of the Chamber's report of August 10, 1938.

Likewise, in the same paragraph, a comparison with Mr. Strauss' 1930 report is also obviously impossible since Mr. Strauss did not have the cost of insurance and other essential items included in his estimate. When the latter items are added, it will be found Mr. Strauss' estimated budget approximates very closely the Bridge District's budget for the present fiscal year. It should also be noted Mr. Strauss' estimate of the cost of painting per year, is \$92,000 which is within 8 per cent of the item for painting included in the budget for the present fiscal year.

Mr. Strauss, not having the insurance rates at his disposal, underestimated the cost of insurance, omitted the items of depreciation, bond service, fire and tow service and underestimated the necessary costs of advertising, lighting and heating. Contrary to the statement of the Chamber's report, Mr. Strauss did include in his 1930 budget an item of \$8,000 for publicity, printing, etc. In the current budget of the District, the Directors in their wisdom increased this amount to \$24,000 of which \$8,000 is being spent in the World's Fair Editions of the local newspapers and \$15,000 is paid to the Redwood Empire Association for their good offices in attracting traffic to the bridge from all parts of the world. The Redwood Empire Association was selected for the reason that it had already set up the machinery for effective distribution of advertising matter through travel agencies throughout the world. In addition, the Redwood Empire Association more than matches, dollar-for-dollar, the appropriation of the bridge, and utilizes this sum in excess of \$30,000, in the distribution of literature, in the maintenance of commissioners at certain strategic points, who are actively engaged in promoting and directing travel to the bridge, and in various other ways. No business depending on the patronage of the general public can expect to receive the full volume of business it deserves without the medium of advertising. This is a well-recognized principle of good business management and we challenge the Chamber to point out better mediums for this advertising than the newspapers and their own Redwood Empire Association. When Mr. Strauss' estimated budget has been augmented by the items of insurance and advertising, on which he was lacking the information, we find that his estimate for Administration and General Expense of \$141,000 should be increased to \$184,600 as against \$190,560 for comparable items in the present District budget, or within 3 per cent.

Mr. Strauss' estimate of Operating and Repair expenses omitted a certain necessary work such as pavement maintenance, compensation insurance, toll equipment maintenance and other items which were not anticipated when his estimate was compiled. The principal items of the Strauss estimate, disregarding those necessary items of expense for which he did not provide, are close to those of our present budget with the single exception of the cost of lighting.

The reports of August 10th and September 7th of the Chamber of Commerce, indicate an utter disregard of facts; as a result of this,



comparisons of figures have been made which are not at all comparable.

In the second paragraph of page 2 of the Chamber's report, a great deal of stress has been laid, and a comparison made upon the operating cost per vehicle-crossing on the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge and on the Golden Gate Bridge. It is apparent such comparison means nothing and does not, in any way, substantiate the statements made in the reports to which we refer. The Chamber of Commerce bases its charge of extravagance, principally, upon comparison of operating cost per vehicle-crossing. Obviously, these figures are not comparable, since the vehicular traffic on the Bay Bridge is about 2.7 times that on the Golden Gate Bridge.

It is anticipated, however, that, during the latter half of the present fiscal year, and the first half of the next fiscal year, the volume of traffic will be greatly increased, due to the opening of the Golden Gate International Exposition. Such additional traffic will be handled without any material increase in cost to the District.

The Golden Gate Bridge could readily handle a practically unlimited number of vehicles per year without any increase in the major items of maintenance cost. About the only added expense would be for toll collection and maintenance of pavement.

The Chamber's report cites the per vehicle-crossing cost of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge as 5.2659c for the fiscal year 1937-38. If this vehicle-crossing cost were possible on the Golden Gate Bridge, and accepting the actual traffic of 3,300,000 vehicle-crossings in this fiscal year, it would permit an operating budget of only \$173,000.

After paying the lighting bill of \$18,000, the insurance premiums of \$84,000, the trustee's fee for bond service of \$7,600, the toll collectors' wages of \$42,000, there would be left the sum of \$21,400, with which to pay the cost of painting and other maintenance, navigation signals, office salaries and other costs. Any reasonable person must admit it is impossible to make any such reduction in the cost of operating the Golden Gate Bridge.

The cost of operation per vehicle-crossing, therefore, depends almost entirely upon the volume of traffic crossing the bridge. This is shown by a comparison of the operating costs on these various bridges:

	<i>Operating Cost per Vehicle in Cents</i>		
	1936	1935	1932
Port of New York Authority—All Crossings.....	13.75	13.9	11.5
George Washington Bridge.....	6.8	6.6	5.8
Arthur Kill Bridges.....	16.3	17.4	14.3
Bayonne Bridge .....	15.7	15.9	16.2
Delaware River Bridge.....	4.34	4.34	

Thus it can be seen the operating cost, per vehicle-crossing, is distinctly characteristic of each particular project, and varies greatly, not only for the different bridges, but also for each bridge from year to year inversely with the traffic. Moreover, systems of accounting differ greatly, some including depreciation, while others do not, and further, insurance rates show a wide spread, not only in different locations, but in the same vicinity, as is the case with the San Francisco Bay bridges.

A further exposition of the fallacy of "cost in cents per vehicle-crossing" is made in the tabulation below. All estimates used were either made or accepted by the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce and the anticipated "cost in cents per vehicle-crossing" are therefore obtained by merely expanding the tables prepared by the Chamber.

Adapted from Tables I-VIII, "Report of the Bridge Committee of the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce on the Results of their Investigation of the proposed Golden Gate Bridge. Report adopted by Board of Directors of the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, October 2, 1930."

Bridge operating costs in cents per vehicle-crossing, based on Maxi-

imum expenditures of \$350,000 per year and on various proportions of total traffic using the bridge, i. e., 50 per cent, 70 per cent, 75 per cent, 80 per cent.

Year	Estimated				
	Total	50 per cent	70 per cent	75 per cent	80 per cent
1937	2,932,000	23.87	17.05	15.92	14.92
1938	3,107,000	22.53	16.09	15.02	14.08
1939	3,260,000	21.47	15.34	14.31	13.42
1940	3,427,000	20.43	14.59	13.62	12.77
1941	3,587,000	19.51	13.94	13.01	12.196
1942	3,750,000	18.67	13.33	12.44	11.666
1947	4,356,000	16.07	11.48	10.71	10.04
1955	5,076,000	13.79	9.85	9.18	8.62
1970	5,845,000	11.98	8.55	7.98	7.485

Several interesting facts are revealed by the table:

1. It was expected by the Chamber that "cost per vehicle-crossing" would vary widely from year to year, as shown by the estimate range of 23.87c to 14.92c for the year 1937, and the range of 11.98c to 7.485c for the year 1970. The intervening years were expected to vary in proportion between these limits.

2. Not until the year 1942 was a "cost per vehicle-crossing" as low as 11.9451c (the basic figure for the Golden Gate Bridge attack) expected to occur. Furthermore, it was anticipated that 1942 would be the *eighth* year of bridge operation. As a matter of fact, 1942 will be only the fifth year of bridge operation, due to unavoidable delays caused by unlooked-for problems in construction and the attacks by interests inimical to the bridge, which resulted in protracted court proceedings.

3. At no time up to 1970 did the Chamber anticipate that the "cost per vehicle-crossing" would be *less than* 7.485c. Contrast this with the Chamber's figures of 4.6517c and 5.2659c for the Bay Bridge in its current report.

4. A glance at the table is sufficient to explode the Chamber's "cost per vehicle-crossing" theory. Only one positive, but extremely elementary rule can be proved—"if the total cost remains fixed, then the cost per vehicle will vary inversely with the number of vehicles."

5. The Chamber (in 1930) recognized the fact that operating costs *do not vary* with the traffic—witness from Tables I to VIII of the 1930 report that the operating cost remains fixed while the bridge is given successive allotments of 50 per cent, 70 per cent, 75 per cent and 80 per cent of a given total estimated traffic volume.

In the third paragraph of page 3, the Chamber passes lightly the efforts of the Bridge District to hasten the construction of highways leading to and from the bridge, and their improvement in adjacent counties. These factors have the greatest and most direct bearing on traffic and the income resulting therefrom. Highly improved approach roads are not only the best traffic stimulant, but they are also of the utmost convenience and comfort to the motoring public.

The much-needed Funston avenue approach to the bridge is now under construction and will, no doubt, be brought to an early completion.

The "bottle-neck" between San Rafael and the Ignacio Wye is broken by the reconstruction and realignment of the highway. The improved road over White's Hill is now completed and the Sears Point toll road is about to be acquired by the State of California.

The district has also endorsed the Lombard street tunnel project, and the widening of Lombard street.

A great deal of time and effort has been devoted to the extension of the Sausalito Lateral, to the end that this much-needed connection might be made. Notwithstanding the interest of the Bridge District in this extension, it is very apparent certain opposition exists which



must, if possible, be overcome. Some of this opposition is open and no doubt sincere; some of the opposition is under cover.

Also, in paragraph 3, page 3, the Chamber has seen fit to criticize the management of the Bridge District for the lack of spirited effort to encourage transportation for residents who are unable to use their own cars. The facts, however, do not confirm the report of the Chamber as a true statement. It is well known that the directors of the Bridge District, during the year 1937, granted a concession at a very low rate which would provide for this transportation. Unfortunately, the applicant for the concession was not able to take advantage of the privilege granted by the Bridge District. The district also secured from Lester S. Ready, Traffic Engineer, an exhaustive report on the possibilities of mass transportation between San Francisco and the Northern Counties. Mr. Ready reported that, in competition with the existing facilities, then in use, such a system would not pay. However, now there seems to be a change in that situation on which the Chamber of Commerce is apparently not informed. In fact, the outlook for this sort of traffic is unusually bright at the present time, for the Greyhound bus line, the Town of Mill Valley, and a new \$1,000,000-corporation in San Francisco are each endeavoring to promote new bus lines and extend the present facilities throughout Marin County. Furthermore, the district has now in effect very low rates for commuters, such rates having proven to be popular. The charges made by the Chamber so far as encouraging this transportation is concerned are denied. It is realized by the Bridge District, however, that wherever mass transportation is instituted there follows a decrease in revenue because many users of the bridge who formerly drove their own cars would use the new facility from which the bridge derives decreased revenue.

In the beginning of the fourth paragraph on page 3, of the September 21st report, the Chamber criticizes the administration costs of the Golden Gate Bridge on the grounds that they are more than three times those of the Bay Bridge, as measured by "cost in cents per vehicle-crossing." The Bay Bridge organization is a part of the Department of Public Works of the State of California, while the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District is a separate entity being a quasi-municipal corporation operating under an act of the State Legislature. This act requires that the Bridge District, as set forth in the Chamber's report, must have a board of directors who shall appoint the officers of the district. Being a separate entity, the administration of the district must assume the duties in connection with the bond issue such as the handling of 70,000 coupons a year, the maintenance and construction of district properties, the purchasing of materials, the payment of bills and salaries, the determination of policies and the management of its own affairs. In other words, the administration of the district has a much broader scope than that of the Bay Bridge, which is a branch of the Department of Public Works. With the exception of those officers yet engaged in clearing up construction matters, the salaries paid are lower than in similar organizations elsewhere.

Two large items in the operating budget are painting and insurance. The question of insurance is a matter of policy. Who can guarantee to the taxpayers of the district that the bridge will not be seriously damaged in the years to come? Without insurance covering such a contingency, the taxable property contained within the district would suffer heavy penalties in case of severe loss.

The maintenance painting costs on the two structures are not comparable because of the difference of exposure and in the treatment of the steel (in the Bay Bridge) before the first coat of paint was applied. Anyone familiar with both locations knows there are many days when the Golden Gate Bridge is shrouded with fog while the Bay Bridge is not. Comparison of the construction records shows that work was frequently stopped because of wind and fog at the

Golden Gate, while similar operations on the Bay Bridge continued without interruption. Therefore, exposure of the steel at the Golden Gate is more rigorous. Even if weather conditions were equal, the same paint could not be expected to last as long on the Golden Gate Bridge because of the unusual care and treatment given the Bay Bridge steel before erection. We do not hesitate to state the painting of the Golden Gate Bridge is being done in a practical and economical manner.

The Bay Bridge steel was all cleaned by sandblasting before any paint was applied. This treatment removed not only the oil and dirt, but also the mill scale from the surface steel. On the Golden Gate Bridge steel only the ordinary methods of wire brushing were used to clean it. This method of cleaning the steel did not result in removing all the mill scale. It has been found that this remaining mill scale is breaking loose from the metal, and rust is forming under the paint film. Our present painting troubles are caused almost entirely by the peeling-off of mill scale. Repainting is required in advance of the time when the paint film would be expected to break down from the outside. It will be some time before this loosening of mill scale ceases, but thereafter we will be able to apply our priming coat directly to the steel over all the surfaces. This condition is not a new one discovered since the bridge has been in operation. It was pointed out to the board of directors during the construction that, at a cost of approximately \$375,000, the steel for the Golden Gate Bridge could be sandblasted. The directors, however, felt that this additional expenditure was not warranted because of the limited funds available for construction. That their decision was wise is borne out by the fact that the net amount remaining in the Construction Fund is considerably less than the cost that would have been incurred by this sandblasting operation. May we cite certain incidents which occurred during the construction period:

In December, 1934, the district agreed to pay McClintic-Marshall Corporation the sum of \$23,897 for cleaning and repainting the steel of both main towers. At a later date, December 21, 1935, the district agreed to pay the contractor \$18,000 for changing the paint material, cleaning and repainting both main towers, in addition to conceding them the right to apply parts of the field coats in the shop at a substantial saving in the cost of application.

Further, on June 9, 1937, Mr. Strauss reports as follows: \* \* \*

Due to the severity of exposure and the long period of construction, the undercoats of paint applied by the Bethlehem Steel Company require an extraordinary amount of retouching in order to put them in condition which we consider necessary for applying the final paint coat. \* \* \*

On the recommendation of Mr. Strauss, the district agreed to pay one-half the cost of this maintenance painting on the underside of the floor of the bridge. The district approved the recommendation made by Mr. Strauss and this painting was done at a cost to the Bridge District of \$53,315.13.

Thus we find that before the bridge was completed, or any cars crossed it, and in addition to the paint maintenance required of the contractor by his contract, the district had paid for maintenance painting the sum of \$95,212. This demonstrates conclusively that the cost of painting has nothing to do with the traffic flow across the bridge. Failure of the paint caused by the action of the elements was ever present regardless of the number of automobiles using the bridge. The cost of repainting is a function of the exposed surface of the steel, bearing no relation to the volume of traffic.

In the third paragraph of page 4, the statement is made by the Chamber that painting could be done more economically by men on a monthly basis rather than on a per diem basis. The monthly scale of wages in civil service ratings is based on the number of days per week a painter can ordinarily work. At the Golden Gate Bridge these days



are fewer than any other place in San Francisco because fog and wind are more prevalent. The monthly rate would then be lower and the result would be that we would have to employ workmen who could not fill the higher paid jobs elsewhere. Under our present system of per diem wage scale at the established rate we are competitive with private industry for the services of the best workmen. Thus we are able to secure the best mechanics and maintain the efficiency of a staff equal to that of private painting contractors.

The greatest difficulty in the painting of the Golden Gate Bridge is to find time when weather conditions will permit painting. We must therefore have a flexible organization permitting the employment of a large number of men when painting can be done with a corresponding reduction of the force when weather conditions are unfavorable. Weather reports indicate that there are only 13 working days per month when painting can be done on the Golden Gate Bridge. On a civil service basis the men would receive \$142 per month as compared to \$175 per month which they receive on the Bay Bridge. What chance would we have of securing competent mechanics to work under more adverse weather conditions at lower rates of pay?

As an example of economies effected in maintenance costs, early last year the district installed traveling platforms under the suspended structure and fixed platforms around the towers as an aid to painting and a safeguard to the workmen. The cost of these facilities was \$57,847. Besides saving a rigging cost of \$100 per day, we are also saving the difference between \$28 and \$11.12 per \$100 payroll on our compensation insurance for men employed on these scaffolds.

We have checked our costs of cleaning and painting with those of the various contractors employed during construction and find we are doing the work cheaper than did the contractors. At the top of page 4 of the Chamber's report of September 21, 1938, they point to the expense of the Secretary's office as an illustration of deliberately increasing budget amounts or extravagance. Completely ignored is the fact that during the fiscal year 1937-38, the Secretary acted also as General Manager for a period, during which there was no salary paid him out of the Secretary's budgeted amount.

The \$3,600 item set up in the Engineer's estimate of 1930 was for a Secretary's salary only, and in fixing this amount at the time there was nothing by which those preparing the estimate could be guided in arriving at a reasonable determination of this salary; therefore the sum of \$3,600 was arbitrarily fixed without relation to the activities of this officer as now carried on, and it is to be noted that immediately following this item is another entitled "Clerical force \$5,000," and there is nothing to indicate if this amount or any portion thereof is to be charged to the Secretary.

Attention is invited to the fact that the 1930 statement does not make any specific provision for purchasing. During the entire life of the district, the Secretary has acted as purchasing agent.

Also during the construction period, there was maintained a public relations department, and the employee carrying on that work received a salary of \$250 per month. There was also another district employee in charge of the District's News Bureau, who received \$165 per month. These separate departments have now been abolished, the employees released, and the work formerly done by them has fallen upon the Secretary. The amount budgeted for the Secretary includes also the salaries of his office staff.

The amount of \$3,600 estimated by the Engineer in 1930 could not take care of the scope of work now handled by the Secretary's office.

Conservatism in the 1930 report is evidenced not only in estimating the expenditures but also the traffic, 2,142,920 estimated against 3,300,000 actual.

In the last paragraph on page 3 of the Chamber's report, it is stated:

"The employment of an Engineer and a Manager is a duplication."

The employment of an Engineer and a Manager is no duplication. Even if we pass over the requirement of the law that these are separate offices, it by no means follows that one man should hold both positions. An engineer is naturally solicitous for the growth and development of bridge facilities, but a manager's chief function is to see that economy is practiced and that expenses do not exceed revenues. The Manager and the Engineer, with a very small amount of clerical help to do *all* of the work of their respective departments.

Their compensation for the two departments is far below the budget estimate made by Mr. Strauss in his 1930 report.

For instance, the Strauss report budgeted for the Manager \$12,000 per year. In September of 1937 this salary which had theretofore been paid was reduced to \$7,500 per year. The Strauss report budgeted for the Engineer and for Engineering expense \$25,000 per year with \$3,000 more for maintenance engineers, or a total of \$28,000. In September, 1937, the Directors fixed the salary of the Engineer at \$7,200 per year so that now the two offices cost the District about \$14,700 plus a small amount of additional cost for clerical help.

At the time the Chamber endorsed this project in 1930, the salary of the Manager was \$25,000 per year.

In the second paragraph on Page 4 of their report, the accumulation of funds by the Directors to meet replacement of facilities when worn out is criticized by the Chamber as unnecessary if the Bridge were properly maintained. It must be remembered that these items, whatever their amount, do not represent expenditures but represent reserves and are held in the form of cash in a special bank account and hence may be classified as thrift rather than extravagance. As to their necessity, the Board of Directors is constituted the legal judge and the wisdom of the Chamber in suggesting that this reserve be dispensed with is hereby challenged. It must be remembered that replacements need be made only when any particular unit has become so worn as to be useless. This applies especially to machinery, equipment, pavement, etc.

The Act creating the District, as well as sound business practice, demand that we establish a fund which will be increased each year thereby providing for the replacement of depreciable portions of the property.

It is a foregone conclusion that major replacements of equipment and major repairs of portions of the structure will be necessary long before the bonded indebtedness of the District has been discharged. In all fairness, the Board of Directors of the District should be highly commended for its judgment and foresight in recognizing that the property depreciates from day to day. No amount of daily repairs can postpone indefinitely the day when it will become more economical to make extensive replacements.

The Chamber has recommended that the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District enter into an agreement with the Department of Public Works of the State of California whereby the latter take over the management of the Bridge and Highway District and maintain it out of tolls, the District making up any deficit should any such deficit occur. This cannot be effected without a change in the law and possibly in the Constitution of the State. The Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District is a quasi-municipal corporation having certain responsibilities and duties prescribed by law with an exact statement in the law as to who shall exercise the various duties which it now performs. In the present state of the law, the Board of Directors, the General Manager, the Engineer and the various officers have duties which are prescribed by the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District Act and these officers have no power to make a contract with the Department of Public Works of the State of California, whereby these officers shall be relieved of the duties imposed upon them so that the recommendation is one which probably could not legally take place.

The Chamber has stated that both Bridges, handled under a single



directing unit, would effect an estimated saving of \$150,000 to \$200,000 annually. No detailed information is given as to how this sum of money would be saved. Such a statement is preposterous, for if we were to eliminate the entire cost of administration of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, including the Board of Directors, the Manager, the Engineer, the Secretary, the Auditor, the Attorney, together with their office staff, no such saving would be possible. This would also assume that no additional cost of administration or increase in personnel would be required by the directing unit of the Bay Bridge in order to take over the management of the affairs of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, which is a ridiculous assumption.

The Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, being an independent political subdivision of the State of California, does not receive any financial aid or service from the State. The burden of expense has to be met entirely from the revenues of the District, or in the event of a deficit, such deficiency must be met by levying a tax on the taxable property of the District.

Not the least accomplishment of the Board of Directors during the past year, has been the elimination of competition by the Hyde Street-Sausalito Ferry Line. It is true that this litigation involved the District in extra expense which was charged to the Legal Department but the results amply justify the expenditures because immediately upon the discontinuance of the Ferry above noted, the revenue of the District was increased by about \$900 a day so that the cost of the litigation was returned to the District in twenty days. It is a curious coincidence that the activities of the Committee of the Chamber of Commerce followed closely on the heels of the Railroad Commission's decision against the Southern Pacific Golden Gate Ferries.

Evidently the Committee of the Chamber of Commerce prefers to remain anonymous as their findings are unsigned, but in spite of this subterfuge we know that the Chairman of this Committee is the same individual who is at present vigorously opposing the construction of the extension of the Sausalito Lateral. The Chamber of Commerce Committee was appointed in June, 1938, when the Golden Gate Bridge was in the midst of a rate war with the Southern Pacific Golden Gate Ferries which struggle was before the Railroad Commission. The August 10th portion of their report was issued shortly after the Bridge District had won its point before the Railroad Commission and almost coincidental to the period when the District was trying to secure participation of the Town of Sausalito in the construction of the Lateral Extension which project is so bitterly opposed by the Chairman of the Chamber of Commerce Committee. The report appears slightly in advance of the time when a majority of the Board of Directors of the Bridge District come up for reappointment. From the above it is obvious that the findings of the Committee are politically inspired as a move to prevent the continuance in office of the men whose efforts have succeeded in constructing the Golden Gate Bridge in spite of the private interests which have fought the Bridge from its inception. These same interests are now evidently seeking to destroy the usefulness of this great structure to the public by retarding the extension of approach roads, by raising toll rates on trucks in order to create demand for a return of the ferries and by lowering toll rates on buses in order to permit a further extension of their controlled subsidiary.

Your Committee concludes as follows:

1. The report of the Chamber of Commerce, criticizing the present management of the Golden Gate Bridge, is hastily and inaccurately drawn, contains many misstatements and completely overlooks other facts which should be mentioned. We cannot concede that the Chamber has been able to substantiate any valid complaint against the Bridge District management or its Board of Directors.

2. The Chamber of Commerce, in its deliberations on the Bridge

proposal, in 1930, was well-aware that the Bridge faced a possibility, if not a probability, of several years of unprofitable operations yet, nevertheless, recommended the bond issue. In the report of the Special Committee of the Chamber of Commerce at that time, are many statements which definitely indicate the feeling of the Chamber of Commerce. The fact that the Bridge, receiving an average toll of 46.29 cents, has been able to so nearly approach a profitable operation, in the face of the indication in the Chamber's report that a 72.5-cent average toll would be necessary to produce this result, is the best evidence possible that the management of the Bridge has been properly conducted.

3. The Chamber completely overlooked in its report the undeniable fact that the improvement in U. S. 101 northward from the Bridge, the acceptance of Sears Point Toll Road by the State and the making of it toll-free, and the opening of the Funston Avenue Approach to the south, will unquestionably sufficiently stimulate traffic to permit the Bridge to operate at a profit in future years. When to this is added the normal increase in traffic which the Chamber of Commerce, itself, anticipated in the above mentioned report of 1930, it is our conclusion that the Chamber of Commerce report is not only unnecessarily alarmist in its attitude, but ill-timed and ill-considered in the light of the facts developed above.

4. Far from approaching the time at which a tax levy might become necessary, the Bridge District, had, on July 1, 1938, a surplus of \$726,530.18. Even if we take the Chamber's present estimate of loss of \$56,000 per year as a constant, it would be more than twelve years before taxation would become necessary. Taking the Chamber's own table of natural increases (Committee report, 1930), in far less time than that, the Bridge will be on a paying basis.

5. We deplore the fact that the Chamber of Commerce saw fit to try its case against the Golden Gate Bridge Directors in the newspapers, instead of approaching the Directors in a man-to-man fashion and requesting a discussion of their various fears. It is our earnest hope that, should the Chamber have criticisms in the future which may be better founded on fact than their present complaints, they will see fit to take up such complaints with the Bridge Directors, at their regular meetings, instead of bringing discredit on the Chamber itself by releasing to the newspapers information of dubious authenticity, over the Chamber's signature.

Respectfully submitted,

SPECIAL COMMITTEE OF THE GOLDEN  
GATE BRIDGE AND HIGHWAY DISTRICT.

A. R. O'BRIEN, Chairman.

A. M. BROWN, JR.

R. H. TRUMBULL.

**Approving and Endorsing Program of Joint Highway District No.  
9 for Financing Lobitas to Lake Lucerne Unit of Ocean Shore  
Highway.**

Supervisor Roncovieri presented, for the Finance Committee, resolution approving and endorsing program of Joint Highway District No. 9 for financing Lobitas to Lake Lucerne Unit of Ocean Shore Highway.

**Opinion From City Attorney.**

The City Attorney, in reply to questions previously asked, stated that the Board of Supervisors could allocate future receipts from the County Road Fund for a specific purpose. He answered, regarding Joint Highway District's right to levy taxes against San Francisco, that the District did not have that right. As to the binding effect the



proposed legislation would have on future Boards of Supervisors, the City Attorney stated, that the proposed resolution, as drafted, was merely an approval or endorsement of a proposed program, and could not, as written, bind any future Board.

### Adopted.

Thereupon, the following resolution was adopted by the following vote:

### Approving and Endorsing Program of Joint Highway District No. 9 for Financing Lobitas to Lake Lucerne Unit of Ocean Shore Highway.

(Code No. 12.05)

Resolution No. 4300, as follows:

Whereas, the Directors of Highway District No. 9 adopted the following resolution:

"Resolution No. 71 of Joint Highway District No. 9 of the State of California adopting a program for financing the Lobitas to Lake Lucerne unit of the Ocean Shore boulevard, and declaring intention to assess the counties comprising this district for the same.

"Whereas, a reconnaissance report of a unit of the Ocean Shore Highway between Lobitas and Lake Lucerne was received by the Directors of Joint Highway District No. 9 from the engineers of the State Highway Commission on May 23, 1938; and

"Whereas, this report shows a location for a portion of said Ocean Shore Highway 11.7 miles in length, estimated total cost of which is \$1,106,000; and

"Whereas, the Chairman of the State Highway Commission, Hon. R. H. Judah, has personally assured the Directors of this District that the State of California would consider favorably budgeting a contribution of a sum sufficient to defray the cost of one-half of the said project on condition that the counties comprising this district approve and endorse this four-year program; and

"Whereas, the Board of Directors has considered said report and together with the State Highway Representative have tentatively fixed the cost of this project at \$1,000,000; and

"Whereas, it is found that Santa Cruz County, on account of its limitation of the five-cent rate per \$100 on the assessed valuation of property will be unable to raise any sum greater than \$16,000 per annum;

"Now, therefore, Be It Resolved, That the following program be and the same is hereby adopted.

"Resolved, That the Board of Directors of Joint Highway District No. 9 hereby declare their intention to assess the counties comprising this district as follows on the following pro rata:

"Santa Cruz County (13 1/3%), \$16,000 annually for four successive years.

"San Mateo County (31 2/3%), \$38,000 annually for four successive years.

"City and County of San Francisco (55%), \$66,000 annually for four successive years.

"Be It Further Resolved, That said above proposed intended assessments of the counties comprising this district will be payable only on condition that the State of California contribute the balance required for the construction of this project, to-wit: Approximately \$520,000.

"And Be It Further Resolved, That the secretary shall certify to this resolution and transmit certified copies to the Boards of Supervisors of the Counties of Santa Cruz, San Mateo and San Francisco, and that

said counties be requested to approve and endorse the above program by proper resolution.

"Adopted: July 22, 1938.

"Ayes: Ratto, Hatch and Ley.

"Noes: None.

"JOHN M. RATTO.

"ALVIN S. HATCH.

"GEO. N. LEY."

Whereas, allocations by the State Highway Commission of a sum sufficient to defray one-half of the cost of said project is conditioned on the approval of the program involved by all of the counties comprising this district; and

Whereas, the County of Santa Cruz and the County of San Mateo have expressed their approval of the plan for financing the project; and

Whereas, it would be to the best interests of San Francisco to have this project completed; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco do hereby approve and endorse the program above outlined and proposed by Joint Highway District No. 9; and be it

Further Resolved, That San Francisco's approval and endorsement of the above-mentioned program is conditioned upon an agreement on the part of all the parties concerned that in the event the State of California or any of the participating counties in Joint Highway District No. 9 shall make application for and receive a P.W.A. grant from the Federal Government or any of its agencies, in connection with this project, such grant shall be apportioned among the various contributing agencies to Joint Highway No. 9 in the same ratio as the State of California and the Counties of Santa Cruz, San Mateo and San Francisco are intended and required to contribute under the terms of this resolution.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Reilly—1.

#### **Authorizing Sale of \$1,000,000 Tax Anticipation Notes.**

(Code No. 9.033)

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

Resolution No. 4321, as follows:

Whereas, Ordinance No. 9.03310, heretofore enacted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, authorized the issuance of certain Tax Anticipation Notes, the same to be issued as needed for the immediate requirements of the City and County in order to meet and pay the appropriations heretofore made for the current fiscal year, as authorized by the Charter of the City and County, which appropriations will become due and payable prior to June 30, 1939, and which may be paid in advance of receipt of the income for said fiscal year; and

Whereas, in order to meet the said immediate requirements of the said City and County it is necessary to forthwith issue and offer for sale Tax Anticipation Notes as authorized by said ordinance above mentioned to the amount of one million (\$1,000,000) dollars; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, the Controller of said City and County, and the Treasurer thereof, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute and issue for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, the aforesaid Tax Anticipation Notes, to the amount of one million (\$1,000,000) dollars, and that said notes be offered for sale by this Board of Supervisors; and be it



Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board be and he is hereby directed to advertise in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco a notice of the sale of said Tax Anticipation Notes to the amount of one million (\$1,000,000) dollars, and that sealed bids or offers for all or any part of said notes will be received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on October 24, 1938, and that said Tax Anticipation Notes will be sold to the bidder offering to accept and pay for said note or notes so sold at the lowest net interest cost to the City and County of San Francisco computed from the date fixed for the presentation of bids to December 21, 1938.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Reilly—1.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Warren Shannon, Member, Board of Supervisors.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 4318, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Warren Shannon, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for the period of October 21, 22 and 23, inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Reilly—1.

**Leave of Absence—Hon. Arthur M. Brown, Jr., Supervisor.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 4319, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Arthur M. Brown, Jr., member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for the period of six days, commencing October 19, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Reilly—1.

**Leaves of Absence—Hon. John M. Ratto and Hon. Dewey Mead, Members of the Board of Supervisors.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 4320, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable John M. Ratto and Honorable Dewey Mead, members of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby granted leaves of absence for a period of seven days, commencing October 21st, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Reilly—1.

# **Approving State Highway Project Statements Under State Gas Tax Fund.**

(Code No. 5.31)

Streets Committee presented:

Resolution No. 4322, as follows:

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby approve the following supplemental project statements covering portions of the State Highway system upon which, in their regular order, moneys allocated by the State out of the one-quarter cent gas tax fund shall be expended:

*Project No. 5a.*

Nineteenth Avenue, Sloat Boulevard to Lincoln Way.

Rights of Way; Surveys and Plans:..... \$92,000

*Project No. 5d.*

Nineteenth Avenue, Pacheco Street to Taraval Street.

Construction ..... 93,500

*Projects Nos. 20, 22 Combined.*

Repaving of Alemany Boulevard from Bay Shore Boulevard westerly, and the Construction of Safety Islands at Alemany Boulevard and Bay Shore Boulevard..... 10,000

Further Resolved, That the Chief Administrative Officer be and he is hereby authorized and requested to execute the foregoing supplemental project statements for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, and to transmit them to the District Engineer of the State Department of Public Works.

*Referred to Finance Committee, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy.*

## **Recommendations for Projects to Be Included in State Highway Commission's Budget for Biennium, 1939-1941.**

Supervisor Ratto presented the following:

October 15, 1938.

### *State Highway Funds Biennium 1939-41.*

The Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, San Francisco, California.

*Attention Finance Committee.*

Gentlemen:

Pursuant to a request of your Finance Committee, I am submitting a revised list of projects which I recommend for State Highway Budget consideration for the biennium 1939-41.

(Please refer to letter of City Engineer John J. Casey, dated September 29, 1938.)

Specific State Highway projects for inclusion in the 1939-41 biennial budget:

- (a) Route 68 Primary: Bay Shore Boulevard Divided Highway. Cost not known, as work is to be done by the State.
- (b) Route 56 Secondary: Joint Highway District No. 9, Ocean Shore Boulevard, Lobitas to Lake Lucerne Bridge—12.7 miles.  
Total estimated cost ..... \$1,000,000
- (c) Joint Highway District No. 10, Junipero Serra Boulevard Extension, Cypress Lawn to Sneath Road—2.95 miles.  
Total estimated cost..... 540,000



- (d) Route 56 Secondary: Nineteenth Avenue, unfinished portion, Lawton Street to Taraval Street—1.0 mile.  
Total estimated cost ..... 600,000
- (e) Route No. 2 Primary: Lombard Street, Van Ness Avenue to Richardson Avenue—1.1 miles.  
Total estimated cost..... 1,200,000

In explanation of project (a), the proposal of the State Highway Commission and the local division engineers to separate this highway by the construction of a center island to divide opposing traffic should be strongly supported at this time. For the most part, this refers to the portion of Bay Shore Boulevard in San Mateo County, which portion is dangerous, and there are continual head-on collisions involving citizens of San Francisco. It is, therefore, highly important that we should urge the conversion of this highway into a new type of divided highway at the earliest date possible.

Projects (b) and (c) are Joint Highway District projects of Districts Nos. 9 and 10, respectively, of which San Francisco is a member. Both these highway projects are very important to the City of San Francisco, and the State Highway Commission should give the Districts all the financial aid it possibly can to help construct these projects at an early date.

Projects (d) and (e) are both State highways within the City and County of San Francisco. They are of extreme importance as they connect with both the Golden Gate and Bay bridges, and they should be completed as soon as possible. (The citizens of San Francisco are continually demanding early completion.) The State should assist in financing both these needed projects.

I recommend that your Honorable Board approve the above recommendations, and that you address a communication to Mr. Earl Lee Kelly, Director of Public Works, Sacramento, requesting him to include funds for the above projects in the 1939-41 biennial budget.

Yours respectfully,

A. D. WILDER,

Director, Department of Public Works.

Approved:

JOHN J. CASEY,  
City Engineer.

#### Approval of Recommendations.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved that the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco approve the recommendations of the Director of Public Works and that the Clerk so notify the authorities at Sacramento.

*Motion carried.*

#### Appointment of Committee to Attend Meeting of State Highway Commission, Sacramento, October 20, 1938.

At the suggestion of Supervisor Ratto, President Warren Shannon appointed the Streets Committee to represent the Board of Supervisors at the meeting of the State Highway Commission, in Sacramento, on October 20, 1938, at which time the Commission will consider specific State Highway projects for inclusion in the 1939-1941 biennial budget.

#### Salary Standardization—Per Diem Employees.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved that the matter of salary standardization for per diem laborers be made a special order of business for Monday, October 24, 1938, at 3 p. m.

*No objection and so ordered.*

**Opinion From City Attorney.**

Supervisor Colman requested that the Board ask for an opinion from the City Attorney as to the legality of taking up the matter of salary standardization in part.

No objection and *so ordered*.

**Requesting Paving of Portion of Ocean Shore Highway Under the Jurisdiction of State Highway Commission.**

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Clerk be instructed to request that the State Highway Commission give attention to paving that section of the Ocean Shore Highway from the southerly end of the new highway to the beginning of the new road at Montara, in order to make it safe for traffic.

No objection and *so ordered*.

**Report From Bureau of Delinquent Revenue Collection.**

Report from I. A. Richardson, Director, Bureau of Delinquent Revenue Collection, was presented by the Clerk. Report covering the first quarter of the present fiscal year, ending September 30, 1938, shows a collection of \$48,550.40, an increase of \$10,158.32 over collections of the first quarter of the past fiscal year.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 4:50 p. m., adjourned.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, October 24, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, David A. Barry, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

DAVID A. BARRY,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.



Vol. 33

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

No. 45

Monday, October 24, 1938

Thursday, October 27, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, OCTOBER 24, 1938, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, October 24, 1938,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Reilly, Roncovieri—4.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

Supervisor Roncovieri was noted present at 2:25 p. m.

Supervisor Mead excused.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of October 17, 1938, was considered read and approved.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

### Hearing of Appeal, Rezoning of Northwesterly Corner of Davis and Pacific Streets.

Hearing of appeal from decision of City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1844, dated September 1, 1938, granting application to rezone from Light Industrial District to Heavy Industrial District, property located at the northwesterly corner of Davis and Pacific streets.

### Opinion from City Attorney.

At the request of Mr. Robert McGahie, Attorney, representing the appellants, for continuance of hearing of above matter one week, the City Attorney, after reviewing the action of the Board at its meeting of October 17, 1938, ruled that the hearing on appeal had commenced on October 17, 1938, and, therefore, must be concluded not later than October 27, 1938.

### Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. E. C. Easton was granted the privilege of the floor, following reading communication from North Central Improvement Association, opposing the rezoning of the northwesterly corner of Davis and Pacific streets. He discussed at length the objections of the North Central Improvement Association and urged that the decision of the City Planning Commission, in granting the rezoning of the property in question from Light Industrial to Heavy Industrial District be overruled.

Mr. Joseph A. Pritchard, representing Mrs. Herbert L. Rothschild, Mr. George Lagomarsino of the Colma Vegetable Association, Mr.

James Otis, representing the Whitcomb Estate; Mr. E. H. Jenanyan, representing the Planters Milk and Chocolate Company, and Mr. William F. Traverso, appearing as partner in the D. Traverso Grocery Company, urged that the decision of the City Planning Commission in granting the rezoning in question be overruled.

Mr. Robert McGahie summed up the case for the appellants.

Mr. F. M. McAuliffe, Attorney, represented the respondents. Mr. T. L. Smart, representing Swift & Co., owner of building on the corner of Pacific and Davis streets and Mr. M. S. McKenna, Freight Agent, Drum Street Station, Southern Pacific Company, presented testimony in behalf of respondents.

Mr. Paul Bissinger, of Bissinger & Co., presented at length the views of his company, the plans for the future and the reasons why rezoning of the corner in question had been asked.

### Communications.

The Clerk read communications from A. Giurlani & Bro., Dixon Flavor & Extract Co., Verleger & Gyscetti, Hotel Melba & Falstaff Bar, and from Growers Refrigeration Co., all in behalf of Bissinger & Company. A communication was read from Lloyd A. Myers, asking that his name be withdrawn from protest against proposed rezoning.

### In Hands of the Board.

All interested persons having been heard, the matter, on motion by Supervisor Uhl, was taken into the hands of the Board. Mr. Mark Jorgensen, representing the City Planning Commission, explained the Commission's views and the reason for the rezoning.

### Further Consideration Postponed.

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Ratto, moved that when the Board adjourns, it does so to meet on Thursday, October 27, 1938, at 4 p. m., under call of the Board, for the purpose of considering further the appeal from the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1844, granting application to rezone from Light Industrial District to Heavy Industrial District, property located at the north-westerly corner of Davis and Pacific streets.

Motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Reilly—3.

### Sale \$1,000,000 of Tax Anticipation Notes—3 P. M.

Sealed bids for the purchase of Tax Anticipation Notes issued by the City and County of San Francisco, pursuant to Ordinance No. 9.03310, in the amount of one million dollars (\$1,000,000) were received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, October 24, 1938, and were opened by said Board at said time.

The Tax Anticipation Notes are described as follows, to-wit:

Notes in the amount of one million dollars (\$1,000,000) in denominations of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) each, to be dated as of the day of delivery thereof and to be payable to bearer on December 21, 1938, and issued under authority of Ordinance No. 9.03310 and payable exclusively out of taxes levied by said City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1938-1939 without preference or priority of any one note over any other note. All of said notes shall constitute a first lien and charge against said taxes collected during the half of the fiscal year 1938-1939 in which said money represented by said notes respectively shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from



the first moneys received from said taxes and before any part thereof is used for any other purpose. Any of said notes not paid at maturity shall nevertheless be paid out of moneys received from the taxes of said fiscal year 1938-1939 irrespective of the date the same shall be so received.

Said notes shall bear interest at the rate or rates not to exceed six (6) per cent per annum as shall be named by the bidder, said interest to be paid at maturity of said notes.

The said notes will be sold and awarded to the bidder or bidders offering to purchase the same at the lowest rate or rates of interest computed from the date fixed for the presentation of bids to December 21, 1938. If two or more bidders offer to purchase said notes at the same lowest rate or rates of interest, the Board of Supervisors shall determine which bid shall be accepted. Interest shall be computed on the basis of three hundred sixty-five (365) days per year.

The right is reserved by the Board of Supervisors to reject any or all bids.

All proposals for the purchase of said notes shall be accompanied by a deposit of five (5) per cent of the amount of the bid in lawful money of the United States, or by the deposit of a certified check or cashier's check for said five (5) per cent payable to David A. Barry, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, provided that no deposit need exceed the sum of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000); which deposit of money or check shall be forfeited by the bidder in case he fails to accept and pay for the notes bid for by him if his bid is accepted.

The approval of Messrs. Orrick, Dahlquist, Neff & Herrington, attorneys at law, San Francisco, California, as to the legality of the aforesaid notes will be furnished to the successful bidder or bidders for said notes without cost.

This notice is given pursuant to direction of Resolution No. 4321 of the Board of Supervisors, adopted Monday, October 17, 1938.

#### Bids.

The following bids were received, opened, read and *referred to the Finance Committee*:

American Trust Company, by L. H. Empey, Assistant Cashier. For the one million dollars (\$1,000,000) par value legally issued Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco, as advertised in your regular printed Notice of Sale, we hereby bid you par, plus a premium of one dollar (\$1); said Notes to be in the denomination of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) each and to be dated as of the day of delivery; said Notes to bear interest at the rate of thirty-five hundredths of one per centum (.35%) per annum, said interest to be paid at maturity of said Notes (December 21, 1938).

The First Boston Corporation, D. H. McCarthy, Municipal Department. For all but not for any part of \$1,000,000 par value City and County of San Francisco Tax Anticipation Notes maturing December 21, 1938, we will pay \$1,000,000 for Notes to bear interest at the rate of forty-nine one-hundredths of one per cent (.49 of 1%) per annum, interest to be computed on the basis of three hundred and sixty-five (365) days per year.

Weeden & Co., by Vernon Kimball. We will pay you par and accrued interest to date of delivery for \$1,000,000 par value of Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco to bear interest at the rate of five-eighths of one per cent (.625%) per annum.

These notes are as described in your notice of sale, to be due and payable on December 21, 1938, and to be accompanied with the approving legal opinion of Messrs. Orrick, Dahlquist, Neff and Herrington.

Bankamerica Company, by A. K. Browne. For the \$1,000,000 par value Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco, as described in your regular printed notice of sale, we hereby bid you par and accrued interest to the date of delivery.

The Notes above bid for are more particularly described as follows: \$1,000,000 par value Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco, California; of the denomination of \$10,000 each; said Notes to be dated as of the day of delivery thereof and to be payable to bearer on December 21, 1938; said Notes to bear interest at the rate of sixty hundredths of one per centum per annum, said interest to be paid at the maturity of said Notes.

#### Adopted.

Subsequently during the proceedings, the Finance Committee presented the following resolution, which was *adopted* by the following vote:

#### Sale of \$1,000,000 Tax Anticipation Notes.

(Code No. 9.033)

Resolution No. 4332, as follows:

Whereas, after due notice given as provided by Ordinance No. 9.03310 that sealed proposals for the purchase of one million (\$1,000,000) dollars Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco would be received up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, October 24, 1938; and

Whereas, four bids were received and opened in accordance with the aforesaid notice of sale, and the same having been duly considered; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the bid of American Trust Company, being the best bid offered, is hereby accepted, to-wit:

"For the one million dollars (\$1,000,000) par value legally issued Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco, as advertised in your regular printed Notice of Sale, we hereby bid you par, plus a premium of one dollar (\$1); said Notes to be in the denomination of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) each and to be dated as of the day of delivery; said Notes to bear interest at the rate of thirty-five hundredths of one per centum (.35%) per annum, said interest to be paid at maturity of said Notes (December 21, 1938).

"The above bid is made with the understanding that, if successful, we shall be furnished, at no expense to ourselves, the unqualified opinion of Messrs. Orrick, Dahlquist, Neff, and Herrington, attorneys-at-law, San Francisco, California, approving in all respects the legality of the above described Notes.

"As evidence of our good faith, we hand you herewith a Cashier's Check for ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) with the understanding that this check is to be applied as part payment if our bid is accepted, otherwise it is to be promptly returned to us."

"Respectfully submitted, American Trust Company, by L. H. Empey, Assistant Cashier."

The Clerk is directed to return the checks to the unsuccessful bidders.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Reilly—3.



**SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.**

Salary standardization relating to per diem men. To be considered by the Board, sitting as a Committee of the Whole, pursuant to motion by Supervisor Uhl.

**Action Deferred.**

On motion by Supervisor Uhl, consideration of salary standardization relating to per diem men, was *postponed one week and made a Special Order of Business for Monday, October 31, 1938, at 2:30 p. m.*

**UNFINISHED BUSINESS.****Final Passage.**

The following recommendation of Finance Committee heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up:

**Authorizing Compromise of Claim of Albert Cunningham in the Sum of \$3,924.**

(Code No. 6.0222)

Bill No. 1733, Ordinance No. 6.022233, as follows:

Authorizing compromise of claim of Albert Cunningham in the sum of Three Thousand Nine Hundred and Twenty-four and no/100 Dollars (\$3,924).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney having recommended the settlement and compromise of the action of *Albert Cunningham v. City and County of San Francisco*, a municipal corporation, for the recovery of damages for personal injuries and automobile damage sustained by Albert Cunningham while sitting in a parked automobile at Twenty-fourth street and Van Ness avenue South, on March 26th, 1935, which suit is numbered 257336 in the Superior Court, said action being for the recovery of Seventy-five Thousand Dollars (\$75,000), and said plaintiff having agreed to accept the sum of Three Thousand Nine Hundred Twenty-four and no/100 Dollars (\$3,924) in full settlement, said City Attorney is hereby ordered and authorized to settle said pending litigation by the payment of the sum of Three Thousand Nine Hundred Twenty-four and no/100 Dollars (\$3,924), and the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to draw his warrant for said sum.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Reilly, Roncovieri—4.

**Ordering the Improvement of La Salle Avenue Between Mendell Street and Third Street.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

On recommendation of Streets Committee:

Bill No. 1732, Ordinance No. 12.0611103, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the Assessment District, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, September 20, 1938, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten (10) installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of La Salle avenue between Mendell street and Third street by grading to the proposed official line and grade, including the reconstruction of the intersection of La Salle avenue and Third street, and by the construction and reconstruction of the following items:

Item No.	Item
1.	Grading (excavation).
2.	Grading (fill).
3.	Unarmored concrete curb.
4.	Two-course concrete sidewalk.
5.	6-inch Class "E" concrete pavement.
6.	Asphalt-Concrete Pavement, consisting of a 6-inch Class "E" (5-sack) concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.
7.	Asphaltic concrete conform pavement.
8.	Asphaltic concrete wearing surface removed.
9.	8-inch Class "E" (5-sack) concrete parking lane pavement.
10.	Existing concrete parking lane pavement removed.
11.	Brick manholes, complete.
12.	Brick catchbasins, complete.
13.	10-inch vitrified clay pipe culvert, in place.
14.	12-inch vitrified clay pipe sewer, in place.
15.	8-inch vitrified clay pipe sewer, in place.
16.	8-inch x 6-inch V. C. P. "Y" branches, in place.
17.	6-inch vitrified clay pipe sidesewer, in place.
18.	Water services.
19.	Water main.

The Assessment District hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as:

Block 5291, Lots 1, 2, 4, 5, 6 and 6A; and Block 5296, Lots 1, 23, 24 and 25; all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the Assessment Book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Reilly, Roncovieri—4.



**NEW BUSINESS.****Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

**Retaining Orrick, Dahlquist, Neff & Herrington to Pass on the Validity of \$2,800,000 Bond Issue 1938.**

(Code No. 21.31)

Resolution No. 4323, as follows:

Resolved, That the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors is directed to retain Orrick, Dahlquist, Neff & Herrington, attorneys of San Francisco, to render opinions upon the validity of the bonds of \$2,800,000, for the erection of schools and appurtenances thereto, which said bonds were authorized by more than two-thirds of the voters voting at the special election held in the City and County of San Francisco on September 27, 1938, and for said services said firm shall be paid the fee of \$1,400.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Reilly, Roncovieri—4.

**Refund Duplicate Payment of Taxes.**

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 4324, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amount be and is hereby authorized to be paid to the following, being refund of duplicate payment of taxes:

City Title Insurance Company, per Vol. 38, Lot 14-B and  
Improvements, Block 6462, 2d installment, fiscal year  
1937, duplicate payment, together with penalties and  
costs .....\$27.27

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Reilly, Roncovieri—4.

**Controller to Make Necessary Entries in Library Accounts and Reimburse Funds on Account of Burglary Losses.**

(Code No. 22.01)

Also, Resolution No. 4325, as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Library Commissioners in the conduct of its Park Branch Library through robbery under date of July 11, 1938, sustained a loss of \$4.27, being \$1.50 Revolving Fund—Change Fund, and \$2.77 receipts from fines and postal card sales for the day of July 11th; and

Whereas, said losses were sustained without any negligence or carelessness of the Board of Library Commissioners and without fault of said Commissioners or their agents or employees; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco be and he is hereby authorized and directed to make the necessary entries in the accounts of the Library Commission crediting said accounts with the losses herein mentioned and to reimburse the Revolving Fund in the amount of \$1.50.

Approved as to form by Dion R. Holm, Acting City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Recommended by Thomas Rolph, President of the Board of Library Commissioners.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Reilly, Roncovieri—4.

## Acceptance Federal Grant to Aid in Construction of Hospital Buildings.

(Code No. 18.01)

Also, Resolution No. 4326, as follows:

A resolution accepting the offer of the United States to the City and County of San Francisco to aid by way of grant in financing the construction of hospital buildings and of additions to and alterations of hospital buildings, including necessary equipment, water and sewage facilities and ground improvements.

Be It Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. That the offer of the United States of America to the City and County of San Francisco to aid by way of grant in financing the construction of hospital buildings and of additions to and alterations of hospital buildings, including necessary equipment, water and sewage facilities and ground improvements, a copy of which offer reads as follows:

"P. W. 83565-37.

### "FEDERAL EMERGENCY ADMINISTRATION OF PUBLIC WORKS

Washington, D. C.

Dated: Oct. 17, 1938.

Docket No. Calif. 1902-F.

"City and County of San Francisco, San Francisco, California.

"1. Subject to the Terms and Conditions (PWA Form No. 230, as amended to the date of this offer), which are made a part hereof, the United States of America hereby offers to aid in financing the construction of hospital buildings and of additions to and alterations of hospital buildings, including necessary equipment, water and sewage facilities and ground improvements (all herein called the 'Project') by making a grant to the City and County of San Francisco (herein called the 'Applicant') in the amount of 45 per cent of the cost of the Project upon completion, as determined by the Federal Emergency Administrator of Public Works (herein called the 'Administrator'), but not to exceed, in any event, the sum of \$1,027,561.

"2. By acceptance of this offer the Applicant covenants to begin work on the Project as early as possible, but in no event later than eight weeks from the date of this offer and to complete such Project with all practicable dispatch, and in any event within eighteen months from the commencement of construction.

"3. This offer is made subject to the express condition that, if the Administrator shall determine at any time that the Applicant has paid or agreed to pay, whether directly or indirectly, a bonus, commission or fee to any person, firm or corporation for attempting to procure an approval of the Applicant's application, or for alleged services in procuring or in attempting to procure such approval, or for activities of the nature commonly known as lobbying performed or agreed to be performed in connection with the application, then the Administrator shall have the right, in his discretion, to rescind this offer and any agreements resulting herefrom, and, in the event of such rescission, the United States of America shall be under no further obligation hereunder.

"UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

"Federal Emergency Administrator  
of Public Works.

"By (Signed) H. A. GRAY,  
Assistant Administrator."

be and the same is hereby in all respects accepted.



Section 2. That the said City and County of San Francisco agrees to abide by all the Terms and Conditions of said offer, including the Terms and Conditions annexed thereto and made a part thereof.

Section 3. That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco be and he is hereby authorized and directed forthwith to send to the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works three certified copies of the proceedings of this Board of Supervisors in connection with the adoption of this resolution, setting forth this resolution in full, and such further documents or proofs in connection with the acceptance of said offer as may be requested by the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Reilly, Roncovieri—4.

**Authorizing Acquisition of Certain Land for San Francisco Airport by Condemnation Proceedings.**

(Code No. 6.0211)

Also, Resolution No. 4327, as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following described real property situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, and described as follows:

PARCEL 1. Tide Land Lots Nos. 27, 28, 29 and 30, in Section 26, Township 3 South, Range 5 West, Mount Diablo Base and Meridian, as shown on Map No. 1 of Salt Marsh and Tide Lands situate in the County of San Mateo, State of California, prepared by order of the Board of Tide Land Commissioners, approved April 1st, 1870, and described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the southerly line of said Section 26, distant thereon 20 chains easterly from the southwesterly corner of said section; running thence easterly along said southerly line 40 chains; thence at right angles northerly 20 chains; thence at right angles westerly 40 chains; thence at right angles southerly 20 chains to the point of beginning. Containing 80 acres.

PARCEL 2. Tide Land Lot No. 25, in Section 26, and Tide Land Lot No. 32, in Section 27, all in Township 3 South, Range 5 West, Mount Diablo Base and Meridian, as shown on Map No. 1 of Salt Marsh and Tide Lands situate in the County of San Mateo, State of California, prepared by order of the Board of Tide Land Commissioners, approved April 1st, 1870, and described as follows:

Beginning at the corner common to Sections 26, 27, 34 and 35, in said Township and Range; running thence along the line between said Sections 27 and 34 west 10.00 chains; thence north 20.00 chains; thence east 20.00 chains; thence south 20.00 chains; thence west 10.00 chains to the point of beginning. Containing 40 acres.

PARCEL 3. Fractional Tide Land Lot No. 31, in Section 27, Township 3 South, Range 5 West, Mount Diablo Base and Meridian, as shown on Map No. 1 of Salt Marsh and Tide Lands situate in the County of San Mateo, State of California, prepared by order of the Board of Tide Land Commissioners, approved April 1st, 1870, and described as follows:

Beginning at a point in San Francisco Bay on the south line of Section 27, distant 70 chains due east of a granite monument set at the southwest corner of said Section 27; thence along said south line, west 4.19 chains to outer line of Salt Marsh; thence along last-mentioned line north 0.03 chain, north 4 degrees 30 minutes east 16.18 chains and north 20 degrees 15 minutes east 4.10 chains; thence across

tide lands east 1.50 chains and thence south 20 chains to the point of beginning. Containing 6.6 acres.

**PARCEL 4.** Lot II of Tide Land Survey No. 12, and the southwest  $\frac{1}{4}$  of the northeast  $\frac{1}{4}$  of Section 2, Township 4 South, Range 5 West, Mount Diablo Base and Meridian, which also is a portion of Tide Land Survey No. 12; said Tide Land Survey No. 12 being as surveyed March 23, 1868, for A. H. Pearson by A. S. Easton, County Surveyor of San Mateo County, and recorded at page 169 in Volume entitled "Tide Land Surveys 1868-1878," San Mateo County records. Said Lot II and said portion of said Section 2 are more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at the southwest corner of the northeast  $\frac{1}{4}$  of said Section 2; thence east 1320 feet; thence north 1320 feet; thence west 2574 feet; thence south 6 degrees 01 minutes 21 seconds east 1327.326 feet along the westerly boundary of said Tide Land Survey No. 12; thence east 1114.74 feet to the point of beginning. Containing 75.89 acres.

Be It Further Resolved, That said lands are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for use of the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the construction, operation and maintenance of the San Francisco Airport. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken to said lands.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcels of land and of any and all interests therein or claim thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

Resolution No. 3026 of this Board is hereby repealed.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Reilly, Roncovieri—4.

#### **San Francisco Airport—Land Acquisition.**

(Code No. 12.17154)

Also, Resolution No. 4328, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Nettie M. Murray to 40 acres of land in Section 8, Township 4 South, Range 4 West, M. D. B. & M., San Mateo County, California, required for the San Francisco Airport, and that the sum of \$1,600 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 99.901.57.00.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Reilly, Roncovieri—4.

#### **Land Purchase—Nineteenth Avenue Widening.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 4329, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain parcels of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, for the sums set forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 951.908.57, Project No. 5-A:



Edwin C. Baraco, portion of Lot 23, Assessor's Block  
2138 .....\$1,975  
Niles J. Lind, portion of Lot 26, Assessor's Block 2031.. 750

The above amounts include damages in full to the improvements now located on the property, said improvements to be relocated by the grantors within 30 days after recording the deeds to the City.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named parties for a particular description of said parcels of land.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Reilly, Roncovieri—4.

### Approving State Highway Project Statements Under State Gas Tax Fund.

(Code No. 5.31)

Also, Resolution No. 4322, as follows:

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby approve the following supplemental project statements covering portions of the State Highway System upon which, in their regular order, moneys allocated by the State out of the one-quarter cent gas tax fund shall be expended:

#### *Project No. 5a.*

Nineteenth avenue, Sloat boulevard to Lincoln way;  
rights of way, surveys and plans.....\$92,000

#### *Project No. 5d.*

Nineteenth avenue, Pacheco street to Taraval street;  
construction ..... 93,500

#### *Projects Nos. 20, 22 Combined.*

Repaving of Alemany boulevard from Bay Shore boulevard westerly, and the construction of safety islands at Alemany boulevard and Bay Shore boulevard..... 10,000

Further Resolved, That the Chief Administrative Officer be and he is hereby authorized and requested to execute the foregoing supplemental project statements for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, and to transmit them to the District Engineer of the State Department of Public Works.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Reilly, Roncovieri—4.

### Passage for Second Reading.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

Amendment to Section 67, Annual Salary Ordinance, Controller, to Reflect Reclassification of Accountant to Senior Bookkeeper at Same Salary.

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 1742, Ordinance No. 9.053165, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 67 of Ordinance 9.053128 by increas-

ing the number of employments under Item 6 from 1 to 2 B6 Senior Bookkeeper at \$225, and by deleting Item 12 1 B10 Accountant at \$225.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 67 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

### Section 67. CONTROLLER

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Controller .....	\$ 833.33
3	6	B4	Bookkeeper .....	185
4	4	B4	Bookkeeper .....	180
5	7	B4	Bookkeeper .....	175
6	2	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	225
7	3	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	210
8	4	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	200
9	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	190
10	1	B7	Asst. Supervisor of Disbursements.....	240
10½	1	B7	Asst. Supervisor of Disbursements.....	225
11	1	B8	Supervisor of Disbursements.....	275
13	1	B14	Senior Accountant .....	325
14	2	B14	Senior Accountant .....	285
15	1	B21	Chief Assistant Controller.....	625
16	1	B26	Supervisor of Budget Statistics .....	250
17	1	B28	Supervisor of General Audits .....	400
18	1	B30	Supervisor of Utilities Audits .....	400
19	1	B55	Supervisor of Pay Rolls .....	325
20	2	B210	Office Assistant (part time).....	79.50
21	3	B222	General Clerk .....	200
22	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
23	2	B222	General Clerk .....	185
24	2	B222	General Clerk .....	175
25	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	250
26	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	200
26½	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	175
27	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	210
28	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	300
29	2	B234	Head Clerk .....	225
30	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	240
30½	1	B237	Tax Redemption Clerk.....	200
31	1	B301	Pay Roll Machine Operator.....	190
32	3	B301	Pay Roll Machine Operator.....	175
33	3	B301	Pay Roll Machine Operator.....	165
34	2	B302	Addressing Machine Operator.....	155
35	1	B310b	Tabulating Numerical Key Punch Operator .....	175
36	1	B310b	Tabulating Numerical Key Punch Operator .....	155
37	1	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator.....	175
38	3	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator.....	165
39	1	B312	Senior Bookkeeping Machine Operator..	185
40	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
41	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
42	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
43	1	B417	Executive Secretary to the Controller..	250
44	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator.....	155
44½	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator (part time) .....	75
45	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
45½	3	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	155
46	1	K6	Senior Attorney—Civil .....	400



**Section 67. CONTROLLER (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
47			Seasonal, Clerical and other Temporary Services (as needed) at rates not in excess of Salary Standardization Schedules.	
			Field Bookkeepers or Accountants (Construction Work outside S. F.) (as needed) at rates fixed in Salary Standardization Report.	

Approved, Civil Service Commission, by W. E. Henderson, Personnel Director.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Reilly, Roncovieri—4.

**Providing for Five-Day Working Week for Municipal Employees on Monthly Compensation, Where Practical, Without Additional Employments or Expense to City and County.**

(Codé No. 4.051)

Also, Bill No. 1594, Ordinance No. 4.0511, as follows:

Providing that appointing officers may, in their discretion, arrange the total number of hours to be worked by their several employees whose compensation is fixed on a monthly basis so that the number of hours required of said employees may be worked within five days when the same can be done without increasing the number of employees in said department or causing additional expense to the City.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Appointing officers may, in their discretion, so arrange the total number of hours of work required each week for those employees in their respective departments or offices who are paid on the basis of a fixed monthly compensation, so that, when possible, said required total number of hours of work may be rendered within five days; provided such arrangement shall cause: no need for additional employments; no additional expense to the City and County; no diminution in employee production; no curtailment of service to the public; no reduction in the total number of hours of service per week required of each employee. Heads of departments in submitting the time and payrolls for their respective departments to the Controller and to the Civil Service Commission shall by appropriate notation thereon indicate those employees whose services have been arranged on a five-day week basis, as provided in this ordinance.

Section 2. When, in the judgment of the appointing officer, the arrangement of hours provided for in Section 1 hereof, would require additional employments, or cause additional expense to the City and County, or diminution in employee production, or curtailment of service to the public, or reduction in the total number of hours of service per week required of each employee in any department, office, bureau, or employment, he shall forthwith suspend such arrangement in such department, office, bureau or employment. The Civil Service Commission shall have power to suspend any of the provisions of this ordinance when it shall determine that such provisions are detrimental to the public service.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Reilly—3.

**Denying Petition of the Market Street Railway Company to Discontinue Operation of Its Street Railway Line on Castro Street From Eighteenth Street to Twenty-sixth Street.**

(Code No. 15.091)

The following recommendation of Public Utilities Committee was taken up:

Resolution No. 4330, as follows:

Resolved, That the petition of the Market Street Railway Company for permission to discontinue the operation of its street railway line along and upon Castro street, from Eighteenth street to Twenty-sixth street, be and the same is hereby denied.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

Mr. L. V. Newton, representing the Market Street Railway Company was granted the privilege of the floor, and stated the position of the company. In reply to questioning by Supervisor Uhl, Mr. Newton stated that undoubtedly the company would, as suggested by Assistant City Attorney Holm, from time to time request permission to discontinue the operation of street railway lines that were losing money.

City Attorney O'Toole answered Mr. Newton, stating that the City Attorney's office does not dictate policy, and that Mr. Holm did not mention the Castro street line. His statement was, that if the company felt it was losing money on a particular line, the remedy was to make application to abandon it.

**Consideration Continued.**

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved that the matter remain on the Calendar until Thursday, October 27, after the conclusion of the hearing on rezoning of the northwesterly corner of Pacific and Davis streets.

*So ordered.*

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of Exposition Affairs and Commercial and Industrial Development Committee was taken up:

**Authorizing Execution of Agreement for Construction of San Francisco's Building at Exposition; San Francisco to Complete and Decorate Interior of Building at Cost of Not Less than \$200,000.**

(Code No. 5.95)

Resolution No. 4331, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco enter into an agreement with the California Commission for the Golden Gate International Exposition wherein and whereby the said Commission will agree to construct upon Treasure Island a building to be used and occupied by the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of exhibiting its resources, industries and products during the Golden Gate International Exposition, together with objects of historical interest to the City and County of San Francisco, and examples and replicas of its tourist and other scenic attractions, with other displays as illustrate the function and administrative faculty of the government of said City and County in the advancement of industry, education, recreation and the arts, and the adaption of its institutions and activities to the wants and welfare of the people of the City and County of San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That the said agreement further provide that the City and County of San Francisco will complete the interior of said building and decorate the same when it is turned over to the City and County and install and maintain the necessary exhibits therein. The



cost of the completion and decorating of said building to be not less than two hundred thousand dollars (\$200,000); and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors be and they are hereby authorized to execute the said agreement for and on behalf of the said City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Reilly—4.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

**In Memoriam—Thomas Cleary.**

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 4333, as follows:

Whereas, the long career of Thomas Cleary is closed and the Board of Supervisors deeply regrets his passing;

Whereas, he began his labors for the City and County of San Francisco with the Recorder's office in 1905 as a Deputy Recorder; went to the Assessor's office as Deputy Assessor in 1906; was again with the Recorder in 1908, when he transferred to the Board of Supervisors on January 8, 1912, where he served as Assistant Clerk until his retirement on June 1, 1927;

Whereas, he was the son of a pioneer, and himself an authority on the colorful history of San Francisco, and was often called upon to entertain his audiences with interesting facts of famous and interesting events in the history of the city he loved;

Whereas, although he ceased to serve the Board of Supervisors more than ten years ago, his amiable disposition, patience and regard for his fellow man will live long in the memory of those who enjoyed his friendship; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors express its profound sorrow at the death of Thomas Cleary, and extends to the bereaved family its sincere sympathy; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk transmit a copy of this resolution to the family of the deceased; and be it

Further Resolved, That when the Board adjourns this day it does so as a mark of respect to the memory of the late Thomas Cleary.

*Unanimously adopted by rising vote.*

**In Memoriam—Captain John J. Murray, Former Member of the San Francisco Fire Department.**

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Resolution No. 4334, as follows:

Whereas, our Heavenly Father has called Captain John J. Murray, former member of the San Francisco Fire Department to his just reward; and

Whereas, Captain Murray had given unflinchingly and untiringly of his time and effort toward the betterment of the San Francisco Fire Department looking toward the welfare of the citizens of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That when this Board adjourns, it does so out of respect to the memory of the late Captain John J. Murray; and be it further

Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be sent to the family of the deceased.

*Unanimously adopted by rising vote.*

**In Memoriam—Ira W. Coburn.**

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 4335, as follows:

Whereas, Ira W. Coburn has been summoned to his eternal reward by our Heavenly Father; and

Whereas, Ira W. Coburn has served our City faithfully and well in the role of member of the City Planning Commission as well as President of the Board of Education; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That when this Board adjourns, it does so out of respect to the memory of the late Ira W. Coburn; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board transmit a copy of this resolution to the family of the deceased.

*Unanimously adopted by rising vote.*

**In Memoriam—Dr. Emma Sutro Merritt.**

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Resolution No. 4336, as follows:

Whereas, the soul of the late Dr. Emma Sutro Merritt has been called to its eternal reward by our Heavenly Father; and

Whereas, Dr. Merritt has done much for the welfare and prosperity of San Francisco; and

Whereas, San Francisco deeply grieves over this inconsolable loss which will be felt throughout the length and breadth of our City; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That when this Board adjourns, it does so out of respect to the loving memory of the late Dr. Emma Sutro Merritt; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forwarded to the family of the deceased.

*Unanimously adopted by rising vote.*

**Mayor Requested to Appoint Citizens' Committee for 'Proper Observance of National Education Week, November 6 to 12, 1938.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Resolution No. 4337, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee for the proper observance of National Education Week, November 6 to 12, 1938.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Reilly—3.

**General Electric Company Invited to Show Film Depicting Modern Bus Transportation**

Supervisor Uhl moved that the General Electric Company be invited to appear before the Board on Monday, October 31, 1938, at the conclusion of the regular business, and show to the Board their film depicting the use of buses in modern transportation.

No objection, and *so ordered.*



**Second Annual "Women's Day at the Port."**

The Clerk read communication from the San Francisco Women's Chamber of Commerce, inviting the members of the Board to be guests at the second annual "Women's Day at the Port" on Thursday, October 27, 1938, at 2 p. m., second floor, Ferry Building.

**Franchise Tax, Market Street Railway.**

The Clerk presented communication from the Controller, calling attention to the failure of the Market Street Railway to make payment of franchise tax due the City and County of San Francisco pursuant to the terms of Ordinance No. 15.0919.

A second communication was received from the Controller, transmitting check for \$46,065.71, amount of franchise tax due on May 2, 1938, and paid on October 21, 1938.

*Referred to Finance and Public Utilities Committee and City Attorney.*

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 5:45 p. m., adjourned to meet on Thursday, October 27, 1938, at 4 p. m.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.

**THURSDAY, OCTOBER 27, 1938, 4 P. M.**

The Board of Supervisors met in adjourned meeting.

**CALLING THE ROLL.**

The roll was called and the following supervisors were noted present: Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

**Motion to Take Up Matter of Discontinuance of Operation of Castro Street Railway Line Ruled Out of Order.**

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved that the Board take up the matter of petition of the Market Street Railway Company to discontinue operation of its street railway line on Castro street from Eighteenth street to Twenty-sixth street.

Chair ruled motion *out of order*.

**Hearing of Appeal, Rezoning of Northwesterly Corner of Davis and Pacific Streets.**

Hearing of appeal from decision of City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1844, dated September 1, 1938, granting application to rezone from Light Industrial District to Heavy Industrial District, property located at the northwesterly corner of Davis and Pacific streets.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

On motion by Supervisor McSheehy, both appellants and respondents were allowed ten minutes to review briefly their previous presentations. Mr. Robert J. McGahie and Mr. Prahl appeared for the appellants; Mr. Paul Bissinger and Mr. F. M. McAuliffe represented the respondents. Mr. Mark Jorgensen represented the City Planning Commission.

Supervisor Uhl, his request for the privilege of the floor for Mr. Jenanyan, Manager of the Planters Nut and Chocolate Company, being

denied, restated briefly arguments previously presented by Mr. Jenan-yan. Mr. Uhl also read letters from the Western Pacific Company and from the Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railroad Company, outlining their rules and practice regarding shipments of hides in less than carload lots, indicating the practice was not to ship hides in same cars with certain food products. Mr. Uhl further opposed the rezoning.

Supervisors Ratto and McSheehy in brief statements, opposed the rezoning in question. Supervisors Colman and Roncovieri, urged that the City Planning Commission be sustained and the rezoning be allowed.

#### Refused Adoption.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the following resolution, presented by the Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee, was *refused adoption* by the following vote:

**Disapproving Decision of City Planning Commission Granting Application to Rezone Northwesterly Corner of Davis and Pacific Streets from Light Industrial District to Heavy Industrial District.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 4338, as follows:

Resolved, That the decision of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1844, dated September 1, 1938, granting application to rezone from Light Industrial to Heavy Industrial District, property located at the northwesterly corner of Davis and Pacific streets, is hereby disapproved.

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### Discontinuance of Operation of Castro Street Line of Market Street Railway Company.

The recommendation of the Public Utilities Committee that the petition of the Market Street Railway Company for permission to discontinue the operation of its street railway line on Castro street, between Eighteenth and Twenty-sixth streets, consideration of which was continued from October 24, 1938, was taken up.

Supervisor Meyer reported on committee hearing on the foregoing matter.

#### Motion to Re-refer to Committee.

Supervisor Roncovieri, seconded by Supervisor Colman, moved that the foregoing resolution be re-referred to the Public Utilities Committee, with a request from the Board to the Market Street Railway Company that the company give the Board its entire program respecting abandonment of operation of lines, in order that the entire matter can be taken up as a whole.

Supervisor Colman spoke at length in favor of re-reference to committee. Supervisor McSheehy opposed any further public hearing of the matter.

#### Motion Failed.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing motion *failed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Roncovieri—2.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.



### Privilege of the Floor.

On motion by Supervisor McSheehy, the privilege of the floor was granted Judge Hugh Smith, Mr. Joseph Osterloh, President of East and West of Castro Street Improvement, and John Brady, Deputy Superintendent, San Francisco School Department, who urged the petition be denied.

Mr. L. V. Newton, represented the Market Street Railway Company.

### Explanations of Votes.

Supervisor Uhl explained his vote saying, that while he recognized the seriousness of the situation, and was naturally sympathetic toward any corporation having financial difficulties, the service was there when the people built their homes, and he could not vote to abandon it, because he felt it to be absolutely necessary.

Supervisor Colman stated that he could not vote to discontinue transportation service in one part of the city without a study being made of the whole situation.

### Adopted.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the following resolution was adopted by the following vote:

**Denying Petition of the Market Street Railway Company to Discontinue Operation of Its Street Railway Line on Castro Street From Eighteenth Street to Twenty-sixth Street.**

(Code No. 15.091)

Resolution No. 4330, as follows:

Resolved, That the petition of the Market Street Railway Company for permission to discontinue the operation of its street railway line along and upon Castro street, from Eighteenth street to Twenty-sixth street, be and the same is hereby denied.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Adopted.

The following resolution was presented by the Finance Committee.

**Acceptance of Offer of Federal Aid in School Building Construction.**

(Code No. 21.31)

Resolution No. 4339, as follows:

A resolution accepting the offer of the United States to the City and County of San Francisco to aid by way of grant in financing the construction of school buildings and of additions to and alterations of school buildings, including necessary equipment, the construction of athletic fields, the making of ground improvements and the acquisition of necessary lands.

Be it resolved by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. That the offer of the United States of America to the City and County of San Francisco to aid by way of grant in financing the construction of school buildings and of additions to and alterations of school buildings, including necessary equipment, the construction of athletic fields, the making of ground improvements and the acquisition of necessary land, a copy of which offer reads as follows:

"P.W. 84977-16

**"FEDERAL EMERGENCY ADMINISTRATION  
OF PUBLIC WORKS**

"Washington, D. C.,

"Dated: Oct. 26, 1938

"Docket No. Calif. 1578-F

"City and County of San Francisco

San Francisco, California

"1. Subject to the Terms and Conditions (PWA Form No. 230, as amended to the date of this Offer), which are made a part hereof, the United States of America hereby offers to aid in financing the construction of school buildings and of additions to and alterations of school buildings, including necessary equipment, the construction of athletic fields, the making of ground improvements and the acquisition of necessary land (all herein called the "Project"), by making a grant to the City and County of San Francisco (herein called the "Applicant") in the amount of 45 per cent of the cost of the Project upon completion, as determined by the Federal Emergency Administrator of Public Works (herein called the "Administrator"), but not to exceed, in any event, the sum of \$2,450,591.

"2. By acceptance of this Offer the Applicant covenants to begin work on the Project as early as possible but in no event later than 8 weeks from the date of this Offer and to complete such Project with all practicable dispatch, and in any event, prior to June 30, 1940.

"3. This Offer is made subject to the express condition that, if the Administrator shall determine at any time that the Applicant has paid or agreed to pay, whether directly or indirectly, a bonus, commission or fee to any person, firm or corporation for attempting to procure an approval of the Applicant's application, or for alleged services in procuring or in attempting to procure such approval, or for activities of the nature commonly known as lobbying performed or agreed to be performed in connection with the application, then the Administrator shall have the right, in his discretion, to rescind this Offer and any agreements resulting herefrom, and, in the event of such rescission, the United States of America shall be under no further obligation hereunder.

**"UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
"Federal Emergency Administrator  
of Public Works**

**"By (Signed) H. A. GRAY  
Assistant Administrator"**

be and the same is hereby in all respects accepted.

Section 2. That the said City and County of San Francisco agrees to abide by all the Terms and Conditions of said Offer, including the Terms and Conditions annexed thereto and made a part thereof.

Section 3. That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco be and he is hereby authorized and directed forthwith to send to the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works three certified copies of the proceedings of this Board of Supervisors in connection with the adoption of this Resolution, setting forth this Resolution in full, and such further documents or proofs in connection with the acceptance of said Offer as may be requested by the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.



**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 6:15 p. m., adjourned.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors October 31, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, David A. Barry, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journals of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

DAVID A. BARRY,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

*Journal of Proceedings*

*Board of Supervisors*

*City and County of San Francisco*





SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

Monday, October 31, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco







# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

---

MONDAY, OCTOBER 31, 1938, 2 P. M.

---

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, October 31, 1938,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding

Supervisor Brown was noted present at 2:35 p. m.

Supervisor Roncovieri was excused at 3:35 p. m.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of October 24, 1938, was considered read and approved.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P M.

Salary standardization relating to per diem men. To be considered by the Board, sitting as a Committee of the Whole, pursuant to motion by Supervisor Uhl.

### Committee of the Whole.

On motion by Supervisor Uhl, the Board resolved itself into a Committee of the Whole, President Warren Shannon acting as chairman.

### Opinion of City Attorney.

In reply to questions by Supervisor Uhl, the City Attorney stated that the Civil Service Commission could make recommendation to the Board for partial standardization of salaries, i. e., the Commission could recommend standardization of salaries for any particular classification or classifications it desired, and the Board could then act on such recommendation. The City Attorney stated further, that the Board could call on the Civil Service Commission to make such recommendation noted above.

### Consideration Postponed.

On motion by Supervisor Uhl, further consideration was *postponed until Monday, November 14, 1938, at 2:30 p. m.*

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

## Final Passage.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up:

**Amending Section 41, Salary Ordinance, Department of Public Works, to Reflect Change in Classification of General Clerk to Senior Clerk.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 1736, Ordinance No. 9.053164, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 41 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 by decreasing the number of employments under Item 1 from 7 to 6, B222, General Clerk, at \$200; by increasing the number of employments under Item 4 from 2 to 3, B228, Senior Clerk, at \$200; and by omitting the asterisk note.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 41 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 41. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—  
BUREAU OF ACCOUNTS**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	6	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 200
2	1	B222	General Clerk .....	165
3	1	B222	General Clerk .....	155
4	3	B228	Senior Clerk .....	200
5	1	B31	Supervisor, Bureau of Cost Accounts, Department of Public Works.....	325
6	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	185
7	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	165
8	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	185

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Authorizing Exchange of Land with City Title Insurance Company.**

(Code No. 12.1743)

Also, Bill No. 1737, Ordinance No. 12.17435, as follows:

Authorizing exchange of land with City Title Insurance Company.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, the Director of Property, in lieu of sale, is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for trading certain City owned land hereinafter referred to as Parcel "A" to the City Title Insurance Company, in exchange for certain real property hereinafter referred to as Parcels 1 and 2.

Section 2. Said Parcel "A" is that certain tract of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and more particularly described in Ordinance No. 12.17232, Bill No. 1685, approved by the Mayor of San Francisco on September 8, 1938, which parcel was proposed to be sold under the provisions of Ordinance No. 12.17232.



Section 3. The Director of Property has made an appraisal of said real property and estimates the value of Parcel "A" to be \$520 and the combined value of Parcels 1 and 2 to be \$500.

Section 4. Said Parcels 1 and 2 hereinbefore referred to are situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and are more particularly described as follows:

Parcel 1: Commencing at a point on the northeasterly line of Amherst street, distant thereon 25 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Mansell street; running thence northwesterly along the northeasterly line of Amherst street 75 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 100 feet to a point on the northwesterly line of Mansell street; thence southwesterly along last named line 25 feet to a point distant thereon 95 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Amherst street; thence at a right angle northwesterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 95 feet to the northeasterly line of Amherst street and the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Block No. 112, University Mound Tract Survey.

Parcel 2: Commencing at a point on the northeasterly line of Raymond avenue, distant thereon 100 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Sawyer street; running thence northwesterly along the northeasterly line of Raymond avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 100 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 100 feet to the northeasterly line of Raymond avenue and the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Block No. 4, Reis Tract.

Section 5. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute the necessary deed for the conveyance of Parcel "A" to the City Title Insurance Company, or its assignee. The Director of Property shall deliver said conveyance to the grantee upon receipt of the necessary deed to Parcels 1 and 2 and the sum of \$20.00.

The Director of Property is hereby authorized to record the deed to the City and County of San Francisco.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### **Appropriating \$3,000 From County Road Fund as San Francisco's Contribution Toward Oiling Streets in Hunter's Point District.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1738, Ordinance No. 9.051519, as follows:

Appropriating \$3,000 from County Road Fund, being San Francisco's contribution toward the improvement of streets in Hunter's Point District by oiling. This work is to be prosecuted by the W. P. A.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$3,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the County Road Fund, being San Francisco's contribution toward the improvement of streets in Hunter's Point District by oiling. This work is to be prosecuted by the W. P. A.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Opinion of City Attorney.

Subsequently, during the proceedings, the City Attorney rendered his opinion as to the legality of the foregoing ordinance, as follows:

October 31, 1938.

*In Re, Appropriation from County Road Fund for Oiling  
Non-Accepted Streets in Hunter's Point District.*

Dear Sirs: I have your letter under date of October 19th, which reads as follows:

"On motion of Supervisor Roncovieri, you are respectfully requested to furnish an opinion as to the legality of the following ordinance:

"'Appropriating \$3,000 from County Road Fund as San Francisco's Contribution toward Oiling Streets in Hunter's Point District.

(Code No. 9.051)

"'Bill No. 1738, Ordinance No. 9.051519, as follows:

"'Appropriating \$3,000 from County Road Fund, being San Francisco's contribution toward the improvement of streets in Hunter's Point District by oiling. This work is to be prosecuted by the W.P.A.

"'Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

"'Section 1. The sum of \$3,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the County Road Fund, being San Francisco's contribution toward the improvement of streets in Hunter's Point District by oiling. This work is to be prosecuted by the W.P.A.'"

Further investigation of the matter provides the additional fact that this particular work is recommended by the Board of Supervisors in order to prevent damage to certain preliminary street work which has already been performed on the streets in this district at the expense of the property owners and the expense of the City, and that at the present time the value of the property fronting upon these streets or in the district in which they are situated, is such that they will not bear additional assessments for further improvements.

I further understand that this work has not been recommended by the Director of Public Works and that no supplemental appropriation has been recommended as provided by the Charter for the purpose of doing the work in question.

### *Opinion.*

In view of the foregoing, we must approach the matter, not only from the point as to the right of the City to expend its money for the improvement of these non-accepted streets but also as to whether the budgetary procedure provided in the Charter has been followed in the instant case.

There is no question that a municipality has inherent power to protect its streets, even when they have not been accepted by the City as streets paved in accordance with the various ordinances. It is quite true that under our ordinances the City cannot ordinarily do the entire work for which the property might be liable but there is no question that, pending the doing of the entire work, it can protect streets that had existed and are being used by the public.

I understand that the appropriation asked for is to oil the streets which have been graded at the expense of the City and the property owners to the end that there will be no further damage by winter rains and run-off from the surrounding hills. I understand that this appropriation was instituted directly by the Board of Supervisors without any recommendation from the Department of Public Works.

This appropriation is in the nature of a supplemental appropriation for the amount was not included in the annual budget and appropria-



tion ordinance. As far as I have been advised, the expenditure has not been certified that funds are available for the purpose mentioned.

Your attention is directed to Section 86 of the Charter, which provides:

"No ordinance or resolution for the expenditure of money, except the annual appropriation ordinance, shall be passed by the Board of Supervisors unless the Controller first certify to such board that there is a sufficient unencumbered balance in a fund that may legally be used for such proposed expenditure. . . ."

The section further provides:

"No obligation involving the expenditure of money shall be incurred or authorized by any officer, employee, board or commission of the City and County unless the Controller first certify that there is a valid appropriation from which the expenditure may be made, . . ."

I understand that this certification has not been made as to the present appropriation.

However, in my opinion, if the matter were properly initiated—that is, on the recommendation of the Department of Public Works—and a surplus exists in the fund mentioned in your letter, an appropriation might be made to do the suggested work.

Your attention is directed to Section 80 of the Charter, which provides:

". . . provides, however, that any such surplus created in the fiscal year 1933-34, or created or existing in any subsequent fiscal year may be appropriated by the Board of Supervisors at the last meeting of such Board in any month, by means of an ordinance designated as a supplemental appropriation ordinance, on the recommendation of the chief administrative officer, or any board, commission or elective officer, respectively, and the approval and submission by the Mayor of a supplemental budget estimate or request, . . ."

You are therefore advised that if the Director of Public Works will recommend the doing of this work and the Chief Administrative Officer will recommend a supplemental appropriation ordinance and this recommendation be concurred in by the Mayor, you may make the appropriation for the purposes mentioned. I understand that if funds are available, the Director of Public Works is not adverse to making the necessary recommendation.

Very truly yours,

(Signed) Jno. J. O'Toole,  
City Attorney.

To the—

Board of Supervisors.

Copies to—

Director of Public Works,  
Controller.

#### Action Rescinded.

Whereupon, on motion by Supervisor Uhl, the previous action of the Board, whereby the foregoing ordinance was finally passed, was rescinded.

#### Referred to Streets Committee.

Thereupon, on motion by Supervisor Uhl, the foregoing bill was referred to the Streets Committee, with instructions to be returned to the Board for the meeting of November 7, 1938.

## Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Streets Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

Changing and Reestablishing Grades on Moraga Street, Between Thirty-second and Thirty-sixth Avenues, and on Thirty-third, Thirty-fourth and Thirty-fifth Avenues, Between Lawton and Noriega Streets.

(Code No. 12.0722)

Bill No. 1739, Ordinance No. 12.072240, as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Moraga street, between Thirty-second and Thirty-sixth avenues; and on Thirty-third, Thirty-fourth and Thirty-fifth avenues, between Lawton and Noriega streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did, on the 22nd day of August, 1938, by Resolution No. 4190, declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Moraga street, between Thirty-second and Thirty-sixth avenues; and on Thirty-third, Thirty-fourth and Thirty-fifth avenues, between Lawton and Noriega streets.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for two days, and the Director of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than thirty days have elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

<i>Moraga Street:</i>	<i>Feet</i>
Thirty-second avenue, westerly line.....	282
(The same being the present official grade)	
Thirty-third avenue, easterly line.....	268
Thirty-third avenue, westerly line.....	265
Thirty-fourth avenue, easterly line.....	241
Thirty-fourth avenue, westerly line.....	238
Thirty-fifth avenue, easterly line.....	209
Thirty-fifth avenue, westerly line.....	206
Thirty-sixth avenue, easterly line.....	179
(The same being the present official grade)	
<i>Thirty-third Avenue:</i>	
Westerly line of, at Lawton street.....	266
(The same being the present official grade)	
Easterly line of, at Lawton street.....	266.90
(The same being the present official grade)	
100 feet southerly from Lawton street.....	270.30
200 feet southerly from Lawton street.....	274.15
300 feet southerly from Lawton street.....	276.08
400 feet southerly from Lawton street.....	274.17
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
100 feet northerly from Moraga street.....	270.33
Easterly line of, at Moraga street.....	268
Westerly line of, at Moraga street.....	265
100 feet southerly from Moraga street.....	260.70
190 feet southerly from Moraga street.....	255.48



<i>Thirty-third Avenue (continued):</i>	<i>Feet</i>
250 feet southerly from Moraga street.....	251.45
310 feet southerly from Moraga street.....	246.32
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
93 feet northerly from Noriega street.....	228.31
Westerly line of, at Noriega street.....	218
(The same being the present official grade)	
Easterly line of, at Noriega street.....	221
(The same being the present official grade)	

*Thirty-fourth Avenue:*

Westerly line of, at Lawton street.....	239
(The same being the present official grade)	
Easterly line of, at Lawton street.....	242
(The same being the present official grade)	
100 feet southerly from Lawton street.....	243.66
200 feet southerly from Lawton street.....	246.84
300 feet southerly from Lawton street.....	248.33
400 feet southerly from Lawton street.....	246.50
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
100 feet northerly from Moraga street.....	243
Easterly line of, at Moraga street.....	241
Westerly line of, at Moraga street.....	238
100 feet southerly from Moraga street.....	233.09
93 feet northerly from Noriega street.....	207.46
Westerly line of, at Noriega street.....	200
(The same being the present official grade)	
Easterly line of, at Noriega street.....	203
(The same being the present official grade)	

*Thirty-fifth Avenue:*

Westerly line of, at Lawton street.....	212
(The same being the present official grade)	
Easterly line of, at Lawton street.....	215
(The same being the present official grade)	
100 feet southerly from Lawton street.....	213.36
100 feet northerly from Moraga street.....	209.36
Westerly line of, at Moraga street.....	206
Easterly line of, at Moraga street.....	209
100 feet southerly from Moraga street.....	200.52
200 feet southerly from Moraga street.....	193.54
300 feet southerly from Moraga street.....	188.11
400 feet southerly from Moraga street.....	185.81
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
93 feet northerly from Noriega street.....	185.06
Westerly line of, at Noriega street.....	182
(The same being the present official grade)	
Easterly line of, at Noriega street.....	185
(The same being the present official grade)	

On Moraga street between Thirty-second and Thirty-sixth avenues, and on Thirty-third, Thirty-fourth, and Thirty-fifth avenues between Lawton and Noriega streets be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Accepting the Roadways of Nevada Street and Bronte Street, Between Jarboe Avenue and Tompkins Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 1740, Ordinance No. 12.081145, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Nevada street between

Jarboe avenue and Tompkins avenue; Bronte street between Jarboe avenue and Tompkins avenue, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Nevada street between Jarboe avenue and Tompkins avenue; Bronte street between Jarboe avenue and Tompkins avenue; including the curbs.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Accepting the Roadway of Vallejo Street, Between Montgomery Street and a Point 137 Feet 5 Inches Westerly Therefrom.**

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 1741, Ordinance No. 12.081146, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Vallejo street between Montgomery street and a point 137 feet 5 inches westerly therefrom, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Vallejo street between Montgomery street and a point 137 feet 5 inches westerly therefrom, including the curbs.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Adopted.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

### Refunds of Erroneous Payments of Taxes.

(Code No. 9.059)

Resolution No. 4340, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized to be paid to the following, being refunds of erroneous payments of taxes:

*From Appropriation No. 905—Duplicate Tax Fund.*

- |  |          |
|--|----------|
| (1) Mariel M. Rushmore, per Vol. 25, Bill 2827, Lot 37, Block 3735, both installments, fiscal year 1937..... | \$326.32 |
| (2) John F. Millerick, per Vol. 11, Bill 3273, Lot 2, Block 1616, both installments, fiscal year 1937.....   | 36.78    |



*From General Fund—Appropriation No. 60.969.00.*

- (3) Manitobian Cigar Store, per Assessor's Receipt No. 26340,  
duplicate payment, Personal Property Tax..... 9.10

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly,  
Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Nineteenth Avenue Widening—Settlement of Damage Claims.**

(Code No. 6.05)

Also, Resolution No. 4341, as follows:

Whereas, the grades of portions of Judah and Kirkham streets are being changed in connection with the widening of Nineteenth avenue; and

Whereas, the following parties have filed claims with the Controller and have agreed to release the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, its contractors and agents, from all claims of damages to their lands and improvements resulting from such grade change upon payment to them of the amounts set forth below, which lands and improvements are located at the hereinafter mentioned addresses in San Francisco, California:

Ellen Lyons, 1430 Judah street.....	\$250
Carl Olson and Anna M. Olson, 1495 Eighteenth avenue..	285
Joseph Droubie and Olga Droubie, 1501 Eighteenth avenue .....	525

Whereas, said claims are not in litigation, and the Director of Public Works has recommended and the City Attorney has approved settlement of these claims pursuant to the provisions of Ordinance No. 6.041, Bill No. 680, approved March 7, 1935; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be and is hereby authorized and directed to pay said claims from Appropriation No. 951.908.57. The Director of Property shall conclude the negotiations with said property owners.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly,  
Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Authorizing Application for Membership in Institute of Municipal Law Officers; City Attorney to Represent City in Said Institute.**

(Code No. 6.01)

Also, Resolution No. 4342, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco acquire a membership in the Institute of Municipal Law Officers and that the City Attorney of said City and County be, and he is, hereby authorized to make application to the Institute of Municipal Law Officers for membership therein and, if said membership is granted, to represent the City and County of San Francisco in said Institute.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly,  
Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Approval of Public Welfare Department Recommendations.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 4343, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Public Welfare Department containing the names and amounts to be paid as Old Age Security Aid, Blind Pensions and Widows' Pensions for the month of November, 1938 (and prior thereto), including amounts, decreases, cancellations and denials and other transactions, are hereby approved and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is directed to transmit this approval to the Controller; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors declares an extension of time is necessary in certain cases as requested by the Public Welfare Department.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Authorizing Exchange of Land with City Title Insurance Company.**

(Code No. 12.1743)

Bill No. 1743, Ordinance No. 12.17436, as follows:

Authorizing exchange of land with City Title Insurance Company.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, the Director of Property, in lieu of sale, is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for trading certain City-owned land hereinafter referred to as Parcel "A" to the City Title Insurance Company, in exchange for certain real property hereinafter referred to as Parcels 1, 2 and 3.

Section 2. Said Parcel "A" is that certain tract of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and more particularly described in Ordinance No. 12.17233, Bill No. 1722, approved by the Mayor of San Francisco on October 11, 1938, which parcel was proposed to be sold under the provisions of Ordinance No. 12.17233.

Section 3. The Director of Property has made an appraisal of said real property and estimates the value of Parcel "A" to be \$600 and the combined value of Parcels 1, 2 and 3 to be \$550.

Section 4. Said Parcels 1, 2 and 3, hereinbefore referred to, are situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and are more particularly described as follows:

PARCEL 1. Commencing at a point on the northeasterly line of Princeton street, distant thereon 150 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Dwight street; running thence southeasterly along the northeasterly line of Princeton street, 25 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet to the northeasterly line of Princeton street and the point of commencement.

Being a portion of University Mound Tract Survey, Block No. 76.

PARCEL 2. Commencing at a point on the southeasterly line of Wyant street, distant thereon 75 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Campbell avenue; running thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of Wyant street, 25 feet; thence at a right angle



southeasterly 100 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 100 feet to the southeasterly line of Wyant street and the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Block No. 13 of the Reis Tract.

**PARCEL 3.** Commencing at a point on the southeasterly line of Wyant street, distant thereon 375 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Campbell avenue; running thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of Wyant street, 125 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 100 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 125 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 100 feet to the southeasterly line of Wyant street and the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Block No. 13 of the Reis Tract.

Section 5. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute the necessary deed for the conveyance of Parcel "A" to the City Title Insurance Company, or its assignee. The Director of Property shall deliver said conveyance to the grantee upon receipt of the necessary deed to Parcels 1, 2 and 3, plus the sum of \$50.

The Director of Property is hereby authorized to record the deed to the City and County of San Francisco.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### Adopted.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

#### Confirming Sale of School Land on Thirtieth Avenue to the Happy Home Building Co.

(Code No. 12.1722)

Resolution No. 4344, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.172216, Bill No. 1639, the Director of Property, advertised in the official newspaper that bids would be received by him on October 24, 1938, for the sale of Parcel No. 5 in said ordinance, which parcel of land is situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue, distant thereon 225 feet southerly from the southerly line of Quintara street; thence running southerly along the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue, 150 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 240 feet to a point on the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue; thence at a right angle northerly along last-named line 150 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 240 feet to the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue and the point of commencement.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement Happy Home Building Co. offered to purchase said land for the sum of \$8,250 cash, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, said sum of \$8,250 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being \$7,500; and

Whereas, Happy Home Building Co., has paid the sum of \$825 to the Director of Property as a deposit in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, the Board of Education has recommended the sale of said land; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed for the conveyance of said land to the Happy Home Building Co., or its assignee. The buyer shall pay the balance of the purchase price upon delivery of the deed by the city.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Authorizing Settlement of Claim of Herbert L. Sommer for and on Behalf of Donald Sommer, a Minor.**

(Code No. 6.05)

Also, Resolution No. 4345, as follows:

Whereas, it appears that by reason of a dangerous and defective condition of the sidewalk on the easterly side of Taylor street between California and Sacramento streets, Donald Sommer, a minor, sustained a spiral fracture of one of his legs and by reason thereof Herbert L. Sommer has been forced to expend the sum of \$636.40, and has filed claim against the City and County of San Francisco in the sum of \$1,043; and

Whereas, the City Attorney has agreed upon a settlement of all claims for damages held by said parties against the City and County of San Francisco for the sum of \$450, and the City Attorney recommends said sum as a fair, just and reasonable amount to be paid for said purpose; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized to fully compromise and settle all claims held by Herbert L. Sommer and Donald Sommer for the sum of \$450, and the Controller is hereby directed to draw his warrant in favor of said parties in said sum in payment therefor.

Recommended and Approved: John J. O'Toole, City Attorney, by Henry Heidelberg, Deputy City Attorney.

Recommended and Approved by A. D. Wilder, Director of Public Works.

Funds available H. J. Boyd, Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—8.

No—Supervisor Uhl—1.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Reilly—2.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Authorizing Settlement of Claims of Herbert Green, a Minor, by Morris Green, His Guardian Ad Litem, and of Morris Green Against the City and County of San Francisco.**

(Code No. 6.05)

Bill No. 1744, Ordinance No. 6.054, as follows:

Authorizing settlement of claims of Herbert Green, a minor, by Morris Green, his guardian ad litem, and of Morris Green against the City and County of San Francisco.



The City Attorney having recommended settlement of the claims of Herbert Green, a minor of the age of seven years, and of Morris Green, against the City and County of San Francisco, for the sum of six hundred dollars (\$600) for damages; now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized to fully compromise and settle all claims for damages held by Herbert Green and Morris Green, his guardian ad litem, and to settle all claims and damages held by Morris Green, father of said minor, for the sum of six hundred dollars (\$600), and the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to draw his warrant for said sum of six hundred dollars (\$600) and to charge the same to Appropriation No. 712.800.00.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Finally Passed.

The following emergency ordinance, recommended by the Finance Committee, was taken up:

### Appropriation \$12,900 From Emergency Reserve Fund for Opening of Additional Ward at Laguna Honda Home. Emergency Ordinance.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1745, Ordinance No. 9.051520, as follows:

Authorizing an appropriation of \$12,900 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of the Department of Public Health for the purpose of providing funds for the opening of an additional ward at the Laguna Honda Home; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$12,900 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of the following appropriations for the purposes recited and in the amounts indicated:

Appropriation No. 851.101—Permanent Salaries.....	\$7,245
Appropriation No. 851.108.00—Employees' Room Allowance..	705
Appropriation No. 851.350.00—Foodstuffs.....	2,970
Appropriation No. 851.300.00—Materials and Supplies.....	1,980

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists, as this department is without sufficient funds to adequately provide for the health of our people.

Approved as to form: John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended: J. C. Geiger, Director of Public Health.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Funds available: H. J. Boyd, Controller.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Public Health Committee were taken up:

**Abatement Proceedings—1452 La Playa Street.**

(Code No. 17.09)

Resolution No. 4346, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Health, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, does hereby declare that the premises numbered, known and designated as 1452 La Playa street, in the City and County of San Francisco, be and the same is hereby declared to be a public nuisance and the City Attorney of said City and County is hereby directed to abate the same in conformity with the provisions of Section 731 of the Code of Civil Procedure of the State of California.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Abatement Proceedings—224 El Camino Del Mar (Rear).**

(Code No. 17.09)

Also, Resolution No. 4347, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Health, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, does hereby declare that the premises numbered, known and designated as 224 El Camino del Mar (rear), in the City and County of San Francisco, be and the same is hereby declared to be a public nuisance and the City Attorney of said City and County is hereby directed to abate the same in conformity with the provisions of Section 731 of the Code of Civil Procedure of the State of California.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Abatement Proceedings—2971-73 Washington Street.**

(Code No. 17.09)

Also, Resolution No. 4348, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Health, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, does hereby declare that the premises numbered, known and designated as 2971-73 Washington street, in the City and County of San Francisco, be and the same is hereby declared to be a public nuisance and the City Attorney of said City and County is hereby directed to abate the same in conformity with the provisions of Section 731 of the Code of Civil Procedure of the State of California.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.



**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of Public Utilities Committee was taken up:

**Extending Time of Furnishing Water to Land of Margaret R. Turner.**

(Code No. 15.034)

Resolution No. 4349, as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, under authority of Resolution No. 29551 (New Series), of this Board, accepted a certain deed dated October 23, 1928, from Margaret R. Turner, et al., to an easement for a portion of the Coast Range Tunnel of the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct through their land in Alameda County, California; and

Whereas, said deed provides a time limit for the ascertainment of the amount of damage, if any, which may be caused by the disappearance or diminution of the natural flow of water in any springs or wells on the land of the Grantors as a result of the construction of said tunnel; and

Whereas, by Resolution No. 3596, adopted by this Board on October 18, 1937, said time limit was extended to November 1, 1938; and

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission has recommended the further extension of said time limit to November 1, 1939; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute a written agreement with Margaret R. Turner, et al., extending said time limit to November 1, 1939, and providing for the furnishing of water to said land until said time, subject to all conditions contained in said deed, except as expressly modified by said agreement.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Commending National Broadcasting Company for Publicizing San Francisco and Golden Gate International Exposition.**

(Code No. 5.94)

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 4350, as follows:

Whereas, San Franciscans were recently honored and thrilled by the artists of the San Francisco Opera Company broadcasting a program of song and orchestra music from the San Francisco Opera House through the very generous donation of the services of the National Broadcasting Company; and

Whereas, part of the program broadcast was made from an airplane, describing the view as seen from the sky, of San Francisco, Treasure Island and the Exposition in general; and

Whereas, San Franciscans are deeply grateful to the National Broadcasting Company and the artists of the San Francisco Opera Company for their gracious act in giving world-wide publicity to San Francisco's

manifold tractions, and the glorious Exposition now in the making; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors commends the National Broadcasting Company for this splendid gesture and its generosity in promoting the interests of the Golden Gate International Exposition. On behalf of the people of San Francisco, this Board of Supervisors extends to all concerned its profound appreciation for this timely and magnificent tribute.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**City Attorney to Institute Suit to Recover Value of Use of Streets for Distribution of Gas and Electric Energy for Other Than Lighting Purposes.**

(Code No. 15.09)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 4351, as follows:

Whereas, on April 18, 1938, I introduced a resolution instructing the City Attorney to file suit against the Pacific Gas and Electric Company for damages for the City of San Francisco for selling and disposing of gas and electricity for other than lighting purposes, without a franchise or any other legal right to do so; and

Whereas, during the year 1936, the gross receipts for gas and electricity for the City of San Francisco were \$24,155,470, according to law a 2 per cent franchise tax should be charged on \$15,728,008 and which represents gas and electricity that the Pacific Gas and Electric Company has no distributing franchise for, or, in other words, this City lost for the year 1936 \$134,560 on account of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company operating without a franchise, this amounting to almost \$1,000 a day; and

Whereas, the City Attorney reported that he could come to no conclusions with the officials of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company as to a financial settlement, and on May 16, 1938, I introduced a resolution which was unanimously adopted instructing the City Attorney to bring action in the proper court against the Pacific Gas and Electric Company for the distribution of gas and electricity for other than lighting purposes, unless a settlement was made before June 1, 1938; and

Whereas, a settlement was made on June 1, 1938, and on June 6, 1938, at a regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors, I asked the City Attorney if the suit had been filed and he told the Board, "No", and that the same would be filed during the week, and on the following Monday, June 13, 1938, Supervisor Colman introduced a resolution requesting that we rescind our action taken on May 16, 1938, calling for the filing of a suit against the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, and he stated that a financial settlement would be made before September 1, 1938, which would be reflected in a reduction in the tax rate, and by a vote of 7 to 4 Supervisor Colman's resolution was carried which had for its effect placing the Pacific Gas and Electric Company in the same position that they have been occupying for the past 60 years, conducting a \$24,000,000 a year business using the streets of San Francisco without a franchise, and the law clearly states that they are subject to a franchise tax of about \$1,000 a day, and as the Statute of Limitations will not permit the City to collect this tax for over four years, we find ourselves in the position, by not entering into suit on June 1, 1938, that the City is being penalized for the sum of about \$1,000 a day instead of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company; and



Whereas, a great mistake was made in not allowing the City Attorney to file suit against the Pacific Gas and Electric Company on June 1, 1938, as called for in the resolution dated May 16, 1938, as the Statute of Limitations would have run against the Pacific Gas and Electric Company and not the City of San Francisco amounting to about \$153,000; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That unless the City Attorney can report to this Board of Supervisors by November 14th of the present year, some concrete proposition from the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, to compensate the City for the use of its streets during the past years, the City Attorney be and is hereby instructed to bring action in the proper court against the Pacific Gas and Electric Company to recover the reasonable value of the use of said streets by the Pacific Gas and Electric Company for the distribution of gas and electricity for other than lighting purposes.

*Referred by Chair to Public Utilities Committee.*

**Mayor Requested to Appoint Citizens' Committee for Celebration of Restoration of Dollar Line Round-the-World Service, November 18, 1938.**

(Code No. 4.93)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 4352, as follows:

Whereas, November 18, 1938, will mark another important day in the annals of San Francisco and its shipping industry when operation of the Oriental and Round-the-World steamship service, formerly conducted by the Dollar Line, will be resumed; and

Whereas, the sailing of the President Coolidge on that day will inaugurate the restoration of this great industry, so vitally necessary to the welfare and progress of San Francisco, the home of its operations; and

Whereas, public enthusiasm and support should be rallied in the cause of this new venture and encouragement lent to those engaged therein; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor, the Mayor, be and is hereby requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee to arrange for a fitting celebration in appreciation of this momentous occasion.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Citizens' Committee, United Thanksgiving Service, Auditorium.**

(Code No. 4.93)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 4353, as follows:

Whereas, San Francisco is to again have a united Thanksgiving service this year in the Civic Auditorium, to be participated in by members of the Jewish faith; the Protestant faith, and the Catholic faith; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee to assist in the preparation of arrangements for this most happy event.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Limiting Heights of Buildings, Territory Bounded by San Francisco Bay, Laguna Street, Beach Street and Marina Boulevard.**

(Code No. 11.08)

Supervisor Schmidt presented at the request of Marina Home Owners Association:

Bill No. 1746, Ordinance No. 11.0828, as follows:

Limiting the Height of Buildings Hereafter to Be Erected in a Certain District in the City and County of San Francisco, and Establishing the Boundaries of Said District, and Providing Penalties for the Violation of Its Provisions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. No building shall be erected in the City and County of San Francisco to a height greater than forty (40) feet within the territory bounded by San Francisco Bay on the north, by Laguna street on the east, by Beach street and Marina boulevard on the south, and by Webster street on the west.

Section 2. No addition to or alteration or improvement of any building within the above described district shall be made which shall increase the height of any building as limited by this ordinance.

Section 3. No limitation of the height of buildings in the City and County of San Francisco, as provided by this ordinance shall apply to public buildings, churches, schools, steeples, towers, domes, cupolas, belfries (not used for human occupancy), nor to chimneys, gas holders, skylights, ventilators, water tanks, flag staffs, railings, weather vanes, nor to other similar structures such as are usually erected or maintained above the roof line of buildings.

Section 4. The method of determining the height of buildings for the purpose of this ordinance shall be the same as provided in Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), and the provisions of Section 78 shall apply within said territory.

Section 5. No building permit shall be issued by the Board of Public Works for the erection or alteration of any building or structure contrary to the provisions of this ordinance, and any permit so issued shall be void.

Section 6. Any person, firm, or corporation violating any provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punishable by a fine not exceeding \$500 or by imprisonment for a term of not exceeding six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment. Such persons, firm, or corporation shall be deemed guilty of a separate offense for each and every day during any portion of which any violation of this ordinance is committed, continued, or permitted by such person, firm, or corporation, as herein provided.

Section 7. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

*Referred to Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee.*

**Endorsing Charter Amendments Nos. 1 and 2, Relating to Fire Department.**

(Code No. 1.08)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 4354, as follows:

Whereas, there will be before the electorate for approval, Charter Amendments Nos. 1 and 2, relating to Fire Department, pensions and length of service, on Tuesday, November 8, 1938; and

Whereas, said amendments have for their purpose the eradication of certain inequalities existent in working and pension conditions in the Fire Department; and



Whereas, Charter Amendments Nos. 1 and 2 have the unqualified support of the different branches of the municipality having to do with their makeup, as well as the endorsement of numerous civic, fraternal and labor organizations; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby place itself on record as being in full accord with the provisions of Charter Amendments Nos. 1 and 2 and bespeaks the favorable consideration of the voting public thereon.

### Objection.

Supervisor Colman objected to the Board going on record in favor of the proposed Charter amendment. He stated, however, he had no objection to the consideration of the foregoing resolution at the present time.

### Privilege of the Floor.

Eugene Mulligan, representing members of the Fire Department, was granted the privilege of the floor, and urged the endorsement of Charter Amendments Nos. 1 and 2, relating to the Fire Department.

Supervisor Colman discussed the foregoing resolution, stating that he had voted to submit the Charter amendments to the voters; that he had not, nor would not oppose them; but he did not think endorsing them a proper act for the Board to take, and must, therefore, vote against the resolution.

Supervisors Uhl, McSheehy and Brown urged the adoption of the resolution.

### Roll Call on Suspension of the Rules.

The roll was called and the rules were *suspended* for the purpose of consideration of the foregoing resolution by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Adopted.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

No—Supervisor Colman—1.

### Telegram.

Subsequently, during the meeting, a telegram from Alex. J. McDonald, Public Relations Counsel for the San Francisco Fire Department, expressing the appreciation of the Fire Department for the enforcement of Charter Amendments Nos. 1 and 2, was received and read by the Clerk.

### Endorsing Charter Amendment No. 3, Relating to Police Department.

(Code No. 1.08)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 4355, as follows:

Whereas, on Tuesday, November 8, 1938, there will be on the ballot Charter Amendment No. 3, relating to Police Department pensions, widows' benefits, and care of minors subsequent to death of policemen in line of duty; and

Whereas, the role of policeman is one at all times hazardous and trying, calling as it does for physical fitness, mental alertness and unflinching valour; and

Whereas, heretofore the widow and orphan of the policeman killed in line of duty has only to a very small degree been financially assisted by the City, and to the end that this condition be corrected, this Charter amendment is written; and

Whereas, retirement at age sixty-three or twenty-five years continuous service is much to be desired, and would make way for younger and more active men on the force; and

Whereas, all the aforementioned points of advantage of the amendment have the unqualified support of the departments of City government having to do with its presentation, as well as the endorsement of numerous civic, fraternal and labor organizations; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors hereby endorses Charter Amendment No. 3 and asks favorable consideration of it at the polls.

#### Suspension of the Rules.

Supervisor Colman announced that he would not object to the suspension of the rules for the purpose of considering the foregoing resolution, although he would object to the adoption of the resolution. Thereupon, the roll was called and the rules were *suspended* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Colman, in explaining his vote, stated that he was compelled to vote "No" on the adoption of the foregoing resolution, because he did not think it proper for the Board to go on record endorsing such measures that had been ordered submitted to the voters.

#### Adopted.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

No—Supervisor Colman—1.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### Endorsements of City's Propositions Nos. 4 and 5 on the Ballot for the November Election Refused.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved that the Board approve Propositions Nos. 4 and 5 on the ballot for the November election.

#### Suspension of the Rules.

Thereupon, Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved for suspension of the rules for the purpose of consideration of the foregoing motion.

Supervisors McSheehy and Uhl spoke at length, urging suspension of the rules, and replying to objections raised by Supervisor Colman.

#### Motion Failed.

Whereupon, the roll was called and the motion to suspend the rules *failed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly, Roncovieri—4.



**Preceding Arguments Not to Be Used as Precedent.**

During the foregoing discussion on Suspension of the Rules, President Shannon called the attention of the Board that any discussion of the question was out of order, and that it is necessary for only one objection to present such suspension. President Shannon stated further, that since considerable latitude had been allowed during the immediately preceding discussions on Suspension of the Rules, he did not want any member of the Board to be able to point to such arguments in the future as a precedent.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were adopted:

**Leave of Absence—Arthur M. Brown, Jr., Member of the  
Board of Supervisors.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 4356, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Arthur M. Brown, Jr., member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty (30) days, commencing November 2, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

**Leave of Absence—Chief William J. Quinn.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 4357, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his honor the Mayor, William J. Quinn, Chief of Police, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing November 7, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

**Record to Indicate When Absent Members Have Been Granted  
Leave of Absence.**

Supervisor Brown called attention to reference in Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of October 24, 1938, wherein his absence was noted, and no excuse indicated, although he had been granted leave of absence by the Board.

Thereupon, at the suggestion of the President, Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor Mead, moved that it be noted in the minutes when absent members have been granted leaves of absence.

No objection and *so ordered*.

**Defective Sidewalks.**

Supervisor Uhl called to the attention of the Director of Public Works, the matter of defective sidewalks, with the resultant damage claims for personal injuries, to which Mr. A. D. Wilder, replied that the department was doing the best it could, with the limited personnel available, in noting and correcting such defects. The City Attorney,

on being questioned in the matter, stated that the remedy would be an amendment to the Charter, making the property owner liable, with the City, for damages resulting from defective sidewalks fronting his property.

#### **Fees for Patent Chimney Permits.**

Supervisor Uhl called the Board's attention to the ordinance fixing fees for permits for the erection of patent chimneys, providing that a contractor can, for a fee of \$75 per quarter, obtain a permit for the erection of an unlimited number of chimneys, whereas the fee for the erection of a single chimney is \$2, and suggested that the ordinance should be amended to provide for more equitable fees.

*Referred to Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee.*

#### **Civil Service for Park Employees.**

Supervisor Uhl requested the City Attorney to draft a proposed Charter amendment to provide for placing Park Employees under Civil Service.

#### **ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 3:50 p. m., adjourned.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors November 7, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, David A. Barry, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

DAVID A. BARRY,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.



Vol. 33

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

No. 47

Monday, November 7, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 7, 1938, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, November 7, 1938,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown (on leave)—1.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Reilly excused at 4:05 p. m.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of October 31, 1938, was  
considered read and approved.

### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

#### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee, heretofore  
passed for second reading, were taken up:

Amendment to Section 67, Annual Salary Ordinance, Controller,  
to Reflect Reclassification of Accountant to Senior Bookkeeper  
at Same Salary.

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 1742, Ordinance No. 9.053165, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 67 of Ordinance 9.053128 by increas-  
ing the number of employments under Item 6 from 1 to 2 B6 Senior  
Bookkeeper at \$225, and by deleting Item 12 1 B10 Accountant at \$225.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Fran-  
cisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 67 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 is hereby amended  
to read as follows:

#### Section 67. CONTROLLER

Item	No. of	Class		Maximum
No.	Employees	No.	Class Title	Monthly Rate
1	1		Controller . . . . .	\$ 833.33
3	6	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	185
4	4	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	180
5	7	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	175
6	2	B6	Senior Bookkeeper . . . . .	225

## Section 67. CONTROLLER (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
7	3	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	210
8	4	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	200
9	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	190
10	1	B7	Asst. Supervisor of Disbursements.....	240
10½	1	B7	Asst. Supervisor of Disbursements.....	225
11	1	B8	Supervisor of Disbursements.....	275
13	1	B14	Senior Accountant .....	325
14	2	B14	Senior Accountant .....	285
15	1	B21	Chief Assistant Controller.....	625
16	1	B26	Supervisor of Budget Statistics .....	250
17	1	B28	Supervisor of General Audits .....	400
18	1	B30	Supervisor of Utilities Audits .....	400
19	1	B55	Supervisor of Pay Rolls .....	325
20	2	B210	Office Assistant (part time).....	79.50
21	3	B222	General Clerk .....	200
22	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
23	2	B222	General Clerk .....	185
24	2	B222	General Clerk .....	175
25	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	250
26	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	200
26½	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	175
27	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	210
28	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	300
29	2	B234	Head Clerk .....	225
30	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	240
30½	1	B237	Tax Redemption Clerk.....	200
31	1	B301	Pay Roll Machine Operator.....	190
32	3	B301	Pay Roll Machine Operator.....	175
33	3	B301	Pay Roll Machine Operator.....	165
34	2	B302	Addressing Machine Operator.....	155
35	1	B810b	Tabulating Numerical Key Punch Operator .....	175
36	1	B310b	Tabulating Numerical Key Punch Operator .....	155
37	1	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator.....	175
38	3	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator.....	165
39	1	B312	Senior Bookkeeping Machine Operator..	185
40	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
41	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
42	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
43	1	B417	Executive Secretary to the Controller..	250
44	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator.....	155
44½	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator (part time) .....	75
45	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
45½	3	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	155
46	1	K6	Senior Attorney—Civil .....	400
47			Seasonal, Clerical and other Temporary Services (as needed) at rates not in excess of Salary Standardization Schedules.	
			Field Bookkeepers or Accountants (Construction Work outside S. F.) (as needed) at rates fixed in Salary Standardization Report.	

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.



**Providing for Five-Day Working Week for Municipal Employees on Monthly Compensation, Where Practical, Without Additional Employments or Expense to City and County.**

(Code No. 4.051)

Also, Bill No. 1584, Ordinance No. 4.0511, as follows:

Providing that appointing officers may, in their discretion, arrange the total number of hours to be worked by their several employees whose compensation is fixed on a monthly basis so that the number of hours required of said employees may be worked within five days when the same can be done without increasing the number of employees in said department or causing additional expense to the City.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Appointing officers may, in their discretion, so arrange the total number of hours of work required each week for those employees in their respective departments or offices who are paid on the basis of a fixed monthly compensation, so that, when possible, said required total number of hours of work may be rendered within five days; provided such arrangement shall cause: no need for additional employments; no additional expense to the City and County; no diminution in employee production; no curtailment of service to the public; no reduction in the total number of hours of service per week required of each employee. Heads of departments in submitting the time and payrolls for their respective departments to the Controller and to the Civil Service Commission shall by appropriate notation thereon indicate those employees whose services have been arranged on a five-day week basis, as provided in this ordinance.

Section 2. When, in the judgment of the appointing officer, the arrangement of hours provided for in Section 1 hereof, would require additional employments, or cause additional expense to the City and County, or diminution in employee production, or curtailment of service to the public, or reduction in the total number of hours of service per week required of each employee in any department, office, bureau, or employment, he shall forthwith suspend such arrangement in such department, office, bureau or employment. The Civil Service Commission shall have power to suspend any of the provisions of this ordinance when it shall determine that such provisions are detrimental to the public service.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**NEW BUSINESS.**

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

**Release of Lien Filed re Old Age Security—Rudolph F. Muller.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Resolution No. 4358, as follows:

Resolved, That the lien heretofore placed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, on recommendation of the County Welfare Department, is released against the property described as follows:

Rudolph F. Muller, recorded July 12, 1937, in Vol. 117, page 49, of Mendocino County Official Records; legal description, E½ of NW¼.

NW¼ of NE¼, Section 25, and SW¼ of SE¼, Section 24, and NE¼ of SE¼, Section 23, all in Township 20, Range 14 West.

Money to satisfy the claim of San Francisco County under the lien, \$70, for Old Age Security paid Rudolph F. Muller, is on deposit awaiting release of the lien.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Release of Lien Filed re Old Age Security—Annie Wood.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 4359, as follows:

Resolved, That the lien heretofore placed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, on recommendation of the County Welfare Department, is released against the property described as follows:

Annie Wood, recorded August 10, 1936, in Vol. 3011, page 111, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco; legal description, Lot 45, Block 1705.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Approval Supplemental Recommendations, Public Welfare Department, for November, 1938.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 4360, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Public Welfare Department, containing the additional names of persons and amounts to be paid as Old Age Security and Blind Pensions and Widows' Pensions for the month of November, 1938, and also denials, are hereby approved, and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is directed to transmit this approval to the Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Cancellation of Taxes, City Property.**

(Code No. 9.022)

Also, Resolution No. 4361, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that a portion of Lot 18, Block 2407, is now recorded in the name of the City and County of San Francisco, and being City property, taxes thereon should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; now, therefore, be it

Resolved. That, in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804 of the Political Code, taxes in the amount of \$3.10 are hereby cancelled against that portion of Lot 18, Block 2407, described as follows:

Beginning on the east side of Nineteenth avenue, 225 feet north of Ulloa street; running north 25 feet, east 25.514 feet, thence deflecting to the right and running south 25.007 feet, thence deflecting to the right and running west 24.925 feet.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.



**Cancellation of Tax Sale Property Assessed to Mary E. Pitcher.**

(Code No. 9.0412)

Also, Resolution No. 4362, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has requested cancellation of Sale No. 395, for the second installment of 1937-1938 taxes on the one-third portion of Lot 4, Block 956, assessed to Mary E. Pitcher, for the reason that due to clerical error taxes on the one-third portion assessed to Annie O'Callaghan were reported paid in duplicate instead of one payment being for Mary E. Pitcher; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That with the consent of the City Attorney, the Tax Collector is hereby authorized to cancel this sale in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Refund Erroneous Payment of Taxes.**

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 4363, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amount be and is hereby authorized to be paid to the following, being refund of erroneous payment of taxes:

*From General Fund—Appropriation No. 860.969.00.*

J. Corradetti, per Vol. 43, Lot 21, Block 7032A, both installments, fiscal year 1936, erroneous payment, \$77.13.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Land Acquisition—Grattan Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 4364, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Recreation Department, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Fred T. Williams, et ux., or the legal owner, to Lot 16, Assessor's Block 1283, required for the Grattan School Playground, for the sum of \$4,500, payable from Appropriation No. 813.600.11.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Recreation Department.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Authorizing Execution of Agreements Between State Relief Administrator and City for Reimbursement of WPA "White Collar" Project Costs.**

(Code No. 19.071)

The following matter was taken up:

Resolution No. 4365, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco enter into an agreement with the State Relief Administrator wherein and whereby the said State Relief Administrator will agree to reimburse the City and County of San Francisco for the cost of sponsorship of certain WPA "white collar" and light work projects which are to be sponsored by the City and County of San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Controller and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors be and they are hereby directed to execute for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco the necessary agreement or agreements relative to said WPA projects.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Controller Harold J. Boyd, at the request of Supervisor Mead, explained the purpose of the foregoing resolution, but suggested that action thereon be deferred, stating that he had just been advised that the State is unable to put up the necessary sponsorship cost of \$7.50 per man per month for the WPA "white collar" and light work projects.

**Action Deferred.**

Thereupon, on motion by Supervisor Mead, consideration was *deferred for one week*.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Land Purchase—Mission High School.**

(Code No. 12.1712)

Resolution No. 4366, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Education, that the City and County of San Francisco purchase certain real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, from the below-named parties, or the legal owners, for the sums set forth opposite their names, payable from Appropriation No. 84.063.58, required for Mission High School:

Emma Lytgens, Lot 3, Assessor's Block 3579.....	\$6,700
Max Sambal, et ux., Lot 2, Assessor's Block 3579.....	6,700

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Recommended by the Board of Education.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.



## Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Amending Salary Ordinance, Department of Public Health, to Change Title of Veterinarian to Chief Abattoir Inspector, at Same Salary.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 1747, Ordinance No. 9.053166, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 54 (a) (Department of Public Health—Central Office) of Ordinance No. 9.053128 by decreasing the number of employments under Item 27 from 3 to 2 N62 Veterinarian at \$201; by increasing the number of employments under Item 28 from 4 to 5 N62 Veterinarian at \$200, and by eliminating Item 28½ 1 N63 Chief Abattoir Inspector at \$200, and inserting in lieu thereof Item 28½ 1 N63 Chief Abattoir Inspector at \$201.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 54 (a) of Ordinance No. 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 54(a). DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)**

**STATISTICS**

Item	No. of No. Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
17	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
18	1	B222	General Clerk .....	185
19	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	190
20	2	B238	Hospital Statistician .....	190
21	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
22	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125

**MEAT INSPECTION**

23	8	N56	Market Inspector .....	175
24	6	N56	Market Inspector .....	200
25	1	N58	Chief Market Inspector .....	225
26	8	N60	Abattoir Inspector .....	200
27	2	N62	Veterinarian .....	201
28	5	N62	Veterinarian .....	200
28½	1	N63	Chief Abattoir Inspector.....	201

**COMMUNICABLE DISEASES**

29	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
29½	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time).	79.50
30	4	J71	Rat Catcher .....	115
30½	2	J74	Rat Catcher .....	110
31	4	L370	Epidemiologist (part time).....	225
32	1	L371	Director, Bureau of Communicable Dis- eases (part time) .....	350
33	1	P60	Supervising Nurse, Bureau of Com- municable Diseases .....	165

**SYPHILIS UNIT**

34	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
35	1	P102	Registered Nurse .....	135
36	1	L360	Physician .....	150

Section 54(a). DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)

## CLINICS

*Diagnostic Center*

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
37	2	L360	Physician (part time) .....	150
38	1	L364	Pediatrician (part time).....	100
39	1	P52	Field Nurse .....	165

*Bureau of Mental Hygiene*

40	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time)	75
41	1	L404	Psychologist .....	175
42	4	L404	Psychologist .....	150
43	1	L404	Psychologist (part time) .....	75
44	1	L408	Psychiatrist (part time).....	200
45	1	L408	Psychiatrist (part time).....	150

## BACTERIOLOGICAL LABORATORY

46	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
47	1	C102	Janitress . . . . .	75
47½	1	I204	Porter (deduct for B. R. & L.).....	85
48	1	L52	Bacteriological Laboratory Technician (part time) .....	79.50
49	2	L52	Bacteriological Laboratory Technician..	125
50	1	L56	Bacteriologist . . . . .	225
51	3	L56	Bacteriologist . . . . .	175
52	1	L58	Director of Laboratories .....	275
53	1	L60	Bacteriological Milk Inspector .....	250
54	1	L64	Consultant, Bacteriologist (part time).	75

Approved by the Civil Service Commission, W. E. Henderson, Personnel Director.

*Explanation—This amendment to the salary ordinance is made necessary due to the fact that the eligible list resulting from the promotional examination to fill the position of Chief Abattoir Inspector is headed by a Veterinarian now receiving \$201. This amendment to the salary ordinance will allow him to assume the title of Chief Abattoir Inspector, and continue to receive his present salary of \$201.*

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Amending Salary Ordinance, Department of Public Health, to Reflect Reclassification of Two Positions of General Clerk to General Clerk-Typist, at Same Salary.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1748, Ordinance No. 9.053167, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 58 (Department of Public Health—San Francisco Hospital) of Ordinance No. 9.053128 by decreasing the number of employments under Item 3½ from 12 to 10 B222 General Clerk (part time) (deduct 1 meal) at \$79.50, and by adding Item 12½ 2 B512 General Clerk-Typist (part time) deduct 1 meal) at \$79.50.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 58 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:



# Section 58. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH— SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 175
2	1	B222	General Clerk .....	160
3	1	B222	General Clerk .....	125
3½	10	B222	General Clerk (part time) (deduct 1 meal) .....	79.50
4	1	B234	Head Clerk (deduct for B., R. & L.)....	285
5	1	B238	Hospital Statistician .....	190
6	1	B238	Hospital Statistician .....	160
7	6	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
8	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	100
9	8	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time)..	79.50
10	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
11	2	B454	Telephone Operator .....	135
12	1	B454	Telephone Operator (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	125
12½	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist (part time) (deduct 1 meal) .....	79.50
13	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
14	1	C6	Supt. of Building T. B. Hosp. ....	200
15	2	C152	Watchman .....	145
16	2	E108	Electrician .....	237.50
16½	82	I2	Kitchen Helper (deduct for R. & L.)...	75
17	1	I6	Pastry Cook .....	175
17½	1	I10	Cook's Assistant (deduct for R. & L.)..	95
17¾	7	I10	Cook's Assistant (deduct for R. & L.)..	75
18	8	I12	Cook .....	165
19	1	I16	Chef .....	200
20	8	I54	Waitress .....	110
21	8	I56	Waiter .....	110
21½		I102	Inmate Help (not over \$50) .....	
22	152	I116	Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	85
23	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
24	1	I122	House Mother (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	125
25	1	I122	House Mother (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	87.50
26	14	I152	Flat Work Ironer .....	90
27	17	I154	Laundress .....	100
28	1	I156	Starcher .....	130
29	1	I158	Sorter .....	130
30	1	I164	Marker and Distributor .....	130
31	1	I166	Wringerman .....	136.33
32	2	I170	Washer .....	135
33	1	I172	Head Washer .....	155
34	1	I178	Superintendent of Laundry .....	200
34½	144	I204	Porter (deduct for B. R. & L.) .....	85
35	1	I206	Porter Sub-Foreman (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	92
36	1	I208	Porter Foreman (deduct for B., R. & L.)	100
37	1	I210	Head Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.)....	140
38	2	I254	Seamstress .....	90
39	1	I256	Head Seamstress (deduct for B., R. & L.)	150
40	1	O58	Gardener (deduct for B. R. & L.) .....	87.50

Approved by the Civil Service Commission, W. E. Henderson, Personnel Director.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

## Authorizing Exchange of City Land for School Site in Merced Manor.

(Code No. 12.1742)

Also, Bill No. 1749, Ordinance No. 12.17421, as follows:

Authorizing exchange of City land for school site in Merced Manor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 92 of the Charter, and in accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Education, the Director of Property, in lieu of sale, is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for trading the first four parcels of City-owned land described in Ordinance No. 12.172216, to the Lang Realty Corporation, or its agent, in exchange for certain real property hereinafter referred to as Parcel A.

Section 2. Said four parcels of City-owned land are situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and are more particularly described as Parcels 1, 2, 3 and 4, in said Ordinance No. 12.172216, Bill No. 1639, approved by the Mayor of San Francisco on August 9, 1938, which parcels were proposed to be sold under the provisions of said ordinance.

Section 3. The Director of Property has made an appraisal of all of said real property and estimates the value of the land to be traded to the Lang Realty Corporation, or its agent, to be \$7,000 less than the value of the parcel to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 4. Said Parcel A hereinbefore referred to is situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and is more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at a point on the southerly tangent line of Eucalyptus drive (the bearing of which tangent line is taken to be north 89 degrees 08 minutes 09 seconds west for the purpose of this description), said point of commencement being the northwesterly extremity of that certain curve with a radius of 10 feet which connects said tangent line with the westerly tangent line of Nineteenth avenue, as said curve and said tangent lines are shown on Map of Merced Manor, which was filed January 2, 1932, in Book "M" of Maps, pages 53 to 56, inclusive, in the Recorder's Office of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California; running thence north 89 degrees 08 minutes 09 seconds west along said southerly tangent line 1292.47 feet to the true point of beginning; thence running north 89 degrees 08 minutes 09 seconds west along said southerly tangent line 486.3 feet; thence south 0 degrees 51 minutes 51 seconds west at right angles to said southerly tangent line 91.36 feet to the center line of a certain sewer; thence along said sewer center line south 3 degrees 50 minutes east 214.46 feet and south 33 degrees 41 minutes east 120.61 feet; thence leaving said sewer line north 75 degrees 04 minutes 10 seconds east 152.618 feet, north 42 degrees 08 minutes 40 seconds east 175.876 feet, north 71 degrees 09 minutes 20 seconds east 105.952 feet, south 89 degrees 08 minutes 09 seconds east parallel with said southerly tangent line 37.706 feet and north 0 degrees 51 minutes 51 seconds east at right angles to said southerly tangent line 195 feet to the true point of beginning.

Being a portion of the Rancho Laguna de la Merced in said City and County of San Francisco.

Section 5. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed, or deeds, for the conveyance of the first four parcels of land described in said Ordinance No. 12.172216 to the Lang Realty Corporation, or its agent. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the grantee upon receipt of the necessary deed to Parcel "A," and shall record the latter deed.



Section 6. The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to draw a warrant on the Treasurer in the amount of \$7,000 against the San Francisco Unified School District Reserve for Capital Outlay to the order of California Pacific Title & Trust Company as agent for the Lang Realty Corporation, to compensate for the difference in valuations referred to in Section 3 of this ordinance.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Authorizing Appropriation of \$23,626.85, Said Amount Representing Unrecovered Value of Stores Which Have Been Disposed Of.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1750, Ordinance No. 9.051521, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$23,626.85 from the surplus existing in the Water Department Revenue Fund to the credit of Water Department's Stores Revolving Fund, representing the unrecovered value of the stores which have been disposed of.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$23,626.85 is hereby appropriated from the surplus existing in the Water Department Revenue Fund to the credit of the Water Department's Stores Revolving Fund, the said amount representing the unrecovered value of the stores which have been disposed of.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### Final Passage.

The following emergency ordinance, recommended by the Finance Committee, was taken up:

**Authorizing Appropriation of \$4,291 for Purpose of Providing Funds for Increase in Number of Patients at San Francisco Hospital; an Emergency Ordinance.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1751, Ordinance No. 9.051522, as follows:

Authorizing an appropriation of \$4,291 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of the San Francisco Hospital for the purpose of providing funds for personnel to care for an increase in the number of patients; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$4,291 is hereby appropriated and set aside

out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of the following appropriations for the purposes recited and in the amounts indicated:

Appropriation No. 853.101.02—Nurses .....\$3,850

Appropriation No. 853.101.03—Institutional Help ..... 441

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists, as this department is without sufficient funds to adequately provide for the health of the people of San Francisco.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Director of Public Health.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by the Mayor.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Finally passed by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Adopted.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

#### Wage Scale—Private Employment on Public Contracts.

(Code No. 9.092)

Resolution No. 4367, as follows:

Resolved, That the highest general prevailing rate of wages paid in private employment to various crafts in the City and County of San Francisco, including wages paid on holidays and for overtime work, is hereby determined and declared to be as herein set forth. Except as herein otherwise specifically provided, the wages herein fixed are based on an eight-hour day, five days per week.

#### SECTION 1—BUILDING AND CONSTRUCTION TRADES

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Asbestos Workers .....	\$1.25	Double time after 8 hours.
Asphalt Rakers and Ironers..	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Asphalt Shovelers .....	.81¼	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Bricklayers and Stone Masons (6-hour day), (including granite curbs).....	1.75	Double time after 6 hours.
Bricklayers' tenders and hod carriers (6-hour day), (\$1 day extra for work underground) .....	1.25	Double time after 6 hours.
Carpenters & cabinet makers (including hardwood floor-men) .....	1.25	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Cement Finishers .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours.
Cribbers .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours, after 8 hours; double thereafter.
Electrical Workers (Including Fixture Hangers) .....	1.37½	Double time after 8 hours
Elevator Constructors .....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Elevator Constructors' Helpers	1.05	Double time after 8 hours



<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
<b>Engineers:</b>		
Compressor operators (on steel erection) .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Derricks and Asphalt Plant.	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Building Material Hoists..	1.37½	Double time after 8 hours
Pile Drivers, Locomotive Cranes .....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Roller Engineers and Clamshell Operators, Concrete Mixers and Mechanical Finishers, Asphalt Burners and Concrete Busters.	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Trench Machine .....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Firemen (Asphalt Plants).	1.10	Double time after 8 hours
Truck Crane Engineers...	1.66⅔	Double time after 8 hours
Caterpillar Engineer, 50 h.p. and Over .....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Operators of Power Shovels and/or Other Excavating Equipment with Power Shovel Control When Used on Excavating Operations (6-hour day) .....	2.00	Double time after 6 hours
Shovel Firemen, Watchman Oiler (6-hour day) .....	1.33⅓	Double time after 6 hours
<b>Glass Workers, Including Art Glass .....</b>		
	1.21	Time and one-half first 2 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
<b>Gunite Workers:</b>		
Nozzle Men .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Rod Men .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Finishers .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Ground Wire Men.....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Gun Men .....	.90	Double time after 8 hours
Mixer Men .....	1.00	Double time after 8 hours
Rebound Men .....	.81¼	Double time after 8 hours
Foremen (\$1 per day above highest craftsman) .....		Double time after 8 hours
<b>Housesmiths:</b>		
Reinforced Concrete .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
<b>Iron Workers:</b>		
Bridge, Structural, Rigger.	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Derrick Engineers .....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Bronze and Ornamental (including erection of steel and iron fences).....	1.25	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Jackhammer Men .....	.97	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
<b>Laborers:</b>		
.....	...	Overtime after 8 hours; and Saturday morning time and one-half; double thereafter
Construction and General..	.81¼	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Mixer Operators .....	.87½	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter

Hours of Work for Laborers: Hours of work for laborers shall not exceed eight hours in any one day, said eight hours to commence at 8:00 a. m. and to continue for eight continuous hours thereafter,

provided, however, that where shift work is necessary the following conditions shall prevail:

First shift shall commence at 8:00 a. m. and continue for eight hours of continuous work, and the second shift shall commence at 5:00 p. m. and continue for eight hours continuous work. Provided further, that the time not exceeding one hour allowed for meals during any one shift shall not be deemed to break the continuity of work. Where more than two shifts are employed, each shift shall work seven hours of continuous work.

Compensation for all service between 8:00 a. m. and 5:00 p. m., 81¼ cents per hour. Where second shift only is used, 81¼ cents per hour. Where three shifts are used, eight hours' pay at 81¼ cents per hour for seven hours' work, except in shift work all time before 8:00 a. m. and after 5:00 p. m. overtime rate shall prevail.

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Lathers (6-hour day) wood and/or metal .....	1.60	Double time after 6 hours
Marble Trades:		
Shopmen and Helpers.....	.81¼	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Polishers .....	.78¾	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Bed Rubbers .....	.84¾	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Cutters, Copers, Carborundum Men .....	.90	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Carvers .....	1.02½	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Marble Masons and Setters..	1.31¼	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Mosaic and Terazzo Workers.	1.12½	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Mosaic and Terazzo Workers' Helpers .....	.75	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Mucker .....	.90	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Painters .....	1.25	Time and one-half after 7 hours
Painters, Structural Iron Work .....	1.37½	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Painters, Varnishers and Polishers .....	1.10	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Pile Drivers .....	1.40	Double time after 8 hours
Plasterers (6-hour day).....	1.66¾	Double time after 6 hours
Plasterers' Tenders and Hod Carriers (6-hour day).....	1.40	Double time after 6 hours
Plumbers and Gas Fitters (including pipe calking)...	1.40	Double time after 8 hours; Saturday morning at straight time on existing installations.
Ornamental Plasterers:		
Casters (6-hour day) .....	1.50	Double time after 6 hours
Model Makers (6-hour day)	1.50	Double time after 6 hours
Modelers (6-hour day).....	2.00	Double time after 6 hours
Roofers and Waterproofers...	1.21	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Sheet Metal Workers .....	1.25	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Sprinkler Fitters .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Steam Fitters .....	1.37½	Double time after 8 hours
Stone Cutters:		
Soft and granite, including granite curbs .....	1.12½	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter



<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Stone Derrickmen .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Tile Setters .....	1.37½	Double time after 8 hours
Tile Setters' Helpers .....	.87½	Double time after 8 hours
Timberman (tunnel) .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter

**Dump Truck Drivers (7-hour Day)**

2 yards or less, water level per day.....	\$ 7.00
3 yards, water level per day .....	7.50
4 yards, water level per day .....	8.00
5 yards, water level per day .....	8.00
6 yards, water level per day .....	8.50
7 yards or over, water level per day.....	9.00

**Truck Drivers of Concrete Mixer Trucks (7-hour Day)**

2 yards or less per day.....	\$7.50
3 yards per day .....	8.00
4 yards per day .....	8.50
5 yards per day .....	8.50
6 yards per day .....	9.00

**Tractor Driver (up to 35 h.p.)**

(6-hour day) .....	8.00	Time and one-half after 6 hours
--------------------	------	---------------------------------

**Tractor Driver (over 35 h.p. to**

50 h.p.) (6-hour day).....	9.00	Time and one-half after 6 hours
----------------------------	------	---------------------------------

(Working time for truck drivers, tractor drivers and all engineers shall be reckoned by half day and full day. Overtime for truck drivers at time and a half after seven hours.)

**SECTION 2—BUILDING TRADE—SHOP RATES**

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>
Cabinet Workers, Millmen, Machine and Bench Hands (Shop) .....	\$1.06¼
Varnishers and Polishers (shop) .....	1.10

**SECTION 3—METAL TRADES—FIELD RATES**

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Blacksmiths .....	1.30	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Boilermakers .....	1.50	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Boilermakers' Helpers .....	1.25	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Machinists .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
(On new work)		
Machinists' Helpers .....	.85	Double time after 8 hours
(On new work)		
Machinist (maintenance) ...	1.12½	Double time after 8 hours

**SECTION 4—METAL TRADES—SHOP RATES**

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Pattern Makers (based on 7- hour day) .....	1.50	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 7 hours; double thereafter
Molders and Coremakers.....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Blacksmiths .....	1.30	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Blacksmiths' Helpers .....	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Boilermakers .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Boilermakers' Helpers .....	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Machinists .....	1.00	Double time after 8 hours

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Machinists (Maintenance) ..	1.12½	Double time after 8 hours
Machinists' Helpers .....	.75	Double time after 8 hours
Machinists' Helpers (Maintenance) .....	.85	Double time after 8 hours
Structural and Ornamental Ironworkers (shop) .....	.90	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Toolmaker .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter

## SECTION 5—MISCELLANEOUS TRADES

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Well Drillers .....	1.10	
Well Drillers (hand tool foremen) .....	1.10	
Diamond Drillers .....	1.10	
Powderman .....	1.10	
Washers, Polishers and Greasers (garagemen) .....	.75	

## SECTION 6—CULINARY WORKERS

(8-hour day—5-day week)

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Head Cook .....	\$37.50	\$1.50 hour
Other Cooks .....	32.50	\$1.50 hour
Cooks' Helpers .....	25.00	\$1.50 hour (Short shifts, not less than 3 hours, \$1 hour)
Waiters .....	21.00	\$0.75 hour
Waitresses .....	21.00	\$0.75 hour
Dishwasher and Vegetable Man (straight shift).....	17.50	\$0.50 hour
Dishwasher and Vegetable Man (broken shift).....	20.00	\$0.50 hour

## SECTION 7—FURNITURE TRADES

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Carpet Layers, Cutters and Measurers (Linoleum, Cork, Rubber and Mastic).....	\$10.00	Double time after 8 hours
Carpet Seamstresses (large machines) .....	6.60	Double time after 8 hours
Carpet Seamstresses (small machines) .....	6.05	Double time after 8 hours
Carpet Layers' Apprentices:		
1st 6 months out .....	5.00	Double time after 8 hours
2d 6 months out .....	5.50	Double time after 8 hours
3d 6 months out .....	6.25	Double time after 8 hours
4th 6 months out .....	7.00	Double time after 8 hours
5th 6 months out .....	8.00	Double time after 8 hours
6th 6 months out .....	9.00	Double time after 8 hours
Shade and Drapery Makers and Hangers (including Venetian Blinds) .....	8.80	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Upholsterers .....	8.80	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Furniture Handlers, Packers and Strippers .....	6.60	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Drapery Seamstresses .....	5.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter



## SECTION 8—DREDGE BOATS

(8-hour day—4-hour Saturday)

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Per Month</i>	
	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Dredge Captain .....	\$270	Double time after 8 hours
Leverman . . . . .	245	Double time after 8 hours
Fireman . . . . .	170	Double time after 8 hours
Deckhand . . . . .	170	Double time after 8 hours
Bargeman . . . . .	170	Double time after 8 hours

Wherever welding processes are involved the rate paid for such shall be as herein fixed for the crafts performing the work.

Saturdays and holiday work at double time. Holidays are New Year's Day, Decoration Day, Fourth of July, Labor Day, Admission Day, Thanksgiving and Christmas.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

## Canvass of Election Held November 8, 1938.

(Code No. 3.02)

Also, Resolution No. 4370, as follows:

Resolved, That the Registrar of Voters and this Board of Supervisors proceed on Monday, November 14, 1938, at the hour of 10:00 a. m., to canvass the returns of the State General Election and the Special Election consolidated with it by Resolution No. 4250 of the Board of Supervisors, held Tuesday, November 8, 1938, by opening the same and estimating the vote of the 1055 election precincts and declare the result thereof, and such count shall continue each day according to law; and be it

Further Resolved, That the canvass of said election returns will be conducted in the office of the Department of Elections, City Hall, in the City and County of San Francisco, which office is designated as the necessary place of meeting to conduct such canvass, as all ballots and records pertaining to such election are on file in said office, and to be conducted in accordance with the provisions of the Political Code relating thereto, and to be continued until completed in the manner provided by the Political Code of the State of California; and that the several persons hereinafter named and mentioned are hereby appointed as Clerks to perform the clerical work of the official canvass of the returns of said election in the manner provided by Section 1280 of the Political Code of the State of California:

Cameron H. King, E. R. Faucompre, Wm. E. Monahan, Lester Stern, Earl Sheble, Roy Tyson, Michael Fahey, Myrtle R. Block, Florence L. Carrasco, Virginia L. Cookson, Ann E. Doherty, Ethel H. Flynn, Claire Fuller, Alice L. Garnett, Ethel M. Gray, Velma Groover, Bertie Hansen, Cornelia A. Kelley, Henryetta J. Levy, Helen L. Lansing, Isabelle Mas-sing, M. L. Matheson, Nan O'Connell, Queenie Owensby, Evelyn B. Parker, Alice B. Remley, Gretchen Siemens, Carlotta S. Steele, Stella J. Tackney, Ethel V. Thieler, Lillian Vander Wal, Hazel F. Walsh, H. J. Charlton, John Curran, Wm. T. Dwyer, Norbert F. Doyle, Roy W. Grumbine, Clinton L. Mayhood, Frank J. Salmon, J. L. Sarraile, Eunice S. Egan, Alice Drady, Mary Hause, Flossie Jacobs, Bessie McWhirter, Helen Ormsby, Sophie Witchie.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of Streets Committee were taken up:

**Accepting the Roadway of Encline Court Between Marietta Drive  
and the Easterly Termination of Encline Court.**

(Code No. 12.0811)

Bill No. 1753, Ordinance No. 12.081148, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Encline court between Marietta drive and the easterly termination of Encline court, including the crossing of Encline court with Del Vale avenue, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Encline court between Marietta drive and the easterly termination of Encline court, including the crossing of Encline court with Del Vale avenue, including the curbs.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Changing Walk Widths on Irwin Street Between Seventh and  
Eighth Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1754, Ordinance No. 12.0731138, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Two Hundred and Fifty-four (254) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office October 11, 1938, by amending Section Two Hundred and Fifty-four thereof to read as follows:

Section 254. The width of sidewalks on Irwin street between Third and Fourth streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Irwin street between Sixth and Seventh streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Irwin street between Seventh and Eighth streets shall be 10 feet.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Changing and Establishing Grades on Innes Avenue, and on  
Certain Other Streets in the Hunters Point District.**

(Code No. 12.0722)

Also, Bill No. 1755, Ordinance No. 12.072241, as follows:

Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Innes avenue be-



tween a line 664 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street and Lane street; and on certain other streets in the Hunters Point District.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 22nd day of August, 1938, by Resolution No. 4191, declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Innes avenue between a line 664 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street and Lane street; and on certain other streets in the Hunters Point District.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for two days, and the Director of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said Resolution of Intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than thirty days have elapsed since the first publication of said Resolution of Intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as herein-after stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

<i>Innes Avenue:</i>	<i>Feet</i>
664 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	176
(The same being the present official grade)	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 150 feet northwesterly from Jennings street	172.40
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 250 feet northwesterly from Jennings street..	165.16
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 350 feet northwesterly from Jennings street..	148.27
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, 160 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	128.69
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, 120 feet southeasterly from Keith street .....	121.81
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, 80 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	118.56
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 160 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	128.69
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 120 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	121.86
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 80 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	118.72
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Keith street	115.68
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Keith street	116.38
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly, and 13 feet southeasterly from Keith street center line.....	115
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 50 feet northwesterly from Keith street.....	119.36
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 225 feet southeasterly from Lane street.....	141.27
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 125 feet southeasterly from Lane street.....	142.31
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 25 feet southeasterly from Lane street.....	132
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
Lane street .....	128
(The same being the present official grade)	

*Jerrold Avenue:**Feet*

13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Donahue street .....	87.35
(The same being the present official grade)	
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Donahue street .....	88.65
(The same being the present official grade)	
Northeasterly line of, at points 22 feet northwesterly and 22 feet southeasterly from Donahue street center line.....	86
(The same being the present official grade)	
Southwesterly line of, at points 22 feet northwesterly and 22 feet southeasterly from Donahue street center line.....	90
(The same being the present official grade)	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 50 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....	92.75
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 150 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....	102.25
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 200 feet northwesterly from Donahue street....	106.51
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 250 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....	109.80
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 200 feet southeasterly from Earl street.....	118.20
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 150 feet southeasterly from Earl street.....	120.55
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 100 feet southeasterly from Earl street.....	122
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Earl street.....	124
Southwesterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Earl street center line.....	125
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 130 feet northwesterly from Earl street.....	122.55
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 180 feet northwesterly from Earl street.....	121.55
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 230 feet northwesterly from Earl street.....	119.66
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 170 feet southeasterly from Fitch street.....	110.30
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 150 feet southeasterly from Fitch street.....	109.55
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 130 feet southeasterly from Fitch street.....	109.18
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Fitch street.....	108
Southwesterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Fitch street center line.....	109
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 96 feet northwesterly from Fitch street.....	105.37
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 146 feet northwesterly from Fitch street.....	103.28
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 196 feet northwesterly from Fitch street.....	99.79
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	



*Jerrold Avenue (Continued):**Feet*

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 314 feet southeasterly from Griffith street.....	92.21
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 264 feet southeasterly from Griffith street.....	88.25
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 216 feet southeasterly from Griffith street..	84.78
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Griffith street.....	71
Northeasterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Griffith street center line.....	70
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 178 feet southeasterly from Hawes street.....	86.20
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 128 feet southeasterly from Hawes street.....	88.30
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 78 feet southeasterly from Hawes street.....	91.00
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Hawes street southeasterly line.....	95.68
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Hawes street northwesterly line.....	99.52
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 58 feet northwesterly from Hawes street.....	103
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 108 feet northwesterly from Hawes street....	106.68
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 158 feet northwesterly from Hawes street.....	111.71
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 382 feet southeasterly from Ingalls street.....	118.57
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 282 feet southeasterly from Ingalls street.....	124.48
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 182 feet southeasterly from Ingalls street.....	119.36
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Ingalls street.....	100
Northeasterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Ingalls street center line.....	100
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 290 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	129.86
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 340 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	136.01
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 390 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	144.19
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Jennings street southeasterly line.....	182.80
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet southeasterly from Jennings street center line.....	183.75
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet southeasterly from Jennings street center line.....	183.75
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly from Jennings street center line.....	185.05
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly from Jennings street center line.....	185.05

<i>Jerrold Avenue (Continued):</i>	<i>Feet</i>
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Jennings street northwesterly line.....	186.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	204.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 150 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	209.90
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 200 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	209.55
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 254 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	199.46
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 204 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	196.77
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 154 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	195.62
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Keith street northwesterly line.....	194.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 278 feet southeasterly from Lane street.....	180.15
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 228 feet southeasterly from Lane street.....	177.55
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 178 feet southeasterly from Lane street.....	174.06
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 50 feet southeasterly from Lane street.....	163.94
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Lane street..	159.35
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Lane street..	160.65
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Lane street center line.....	158.00
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Lane street center line.....	162.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 50 feet northwesterly from Lane street.....	154.84
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 292 feet southeasterly from Mendell street.....	128.16
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 242 feet southeasterly from Mendell street.....	121.39
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 192 feet southeasterly from Mendell street.....	111.43
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
Mendell street .....	67.00
(The same being the present official grade)	

*Kirkwood Avenue:*

Northeasterly line of, at points 22 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Donahue street center line.....	96.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
Southwesterly line of, at points 22 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Donahue street center line.....	94.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Donahue street northwesterly line .....	95.33
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Donahue street northwesterly line .....	94.67
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....	107.78
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, 150 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....	113.65



<i>Kirkwood Avenue (Continued):</i>	<i>Feet</i>
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, 200 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....	118.83
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....	107.56
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 150 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....	113.59
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 200 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....	118.83
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 200 feet southeasterly from Earl street.....	138.17
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 150 feet southeasterly from Earl street.....	142.62
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 100 feet southeasterly from Earl street.....	146.33
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Earl street.....	153.00
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Earl street center line.....	152.00
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Earl street center line.....	153.00
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Fitch street..	158.35
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Fitch street..	159.65
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Fitch street center line.....	157.00
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Fitch street center line.....	161.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 50 feet northwesterly from Fitch street.....	157.25
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Griffith street.....	138.00
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Griffith street center line.....	139.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 172 feet northwesterly from Griffith street.....	139.90
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 272 feet northwesterly from Griffith street.....	142.84
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 372 feet northwesterly from Griffith street.....	149.48
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Hawes street southeasterly line.....	168.80
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Hawes street northwesterly line.....	172.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 208 feet northwesterly from Hawes street.....	190.44
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 308 feet northwesterly from Hawes street.....	195.43
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 408 feet northwesterly from Hawes street.....	192.69
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Ingalls street.....	180.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 250 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	190.84

<i>Kirkwood Avenue (Continued):</i>	<i>Feet</i>
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 300 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	194.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 350 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	199.16
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 50 feet southeasterly from Jennings street.....	223.84
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Jennings street	229.35
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Jennings street	230.65
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Jennings street center line.....	228.00
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Jennings street center line.....	232.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 50 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	236.41
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 106 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	243.59
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 156 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	249.09
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 206 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	252.78
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 314 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	257.23
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 264 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	259.21
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 214 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	259.62
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Keith street.....	258.00
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Keith street center line.....	259.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 97 feet northwesterly from Keith street.....	252.72
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 147 feet northwesterly from Keith street.....	249.25
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 197 feet northwesterly from Keith street.....	244.31
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 178 feet southeasterly from Lane street.....	218.69
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 128 feet southeasterly from Lane street.....	212.37
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 78 feet southeasterly from Lane street.....	204.80
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Lane street.....	192.00
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Lane street center line.....	192.00
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Lane street center line.....	192.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 88 feet northwesterly from Lane street.....	180.52
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 138 feet northwesterly from Lane street.....	172.66



*Kirkwood Avenue (Continued):**Feet*

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 188 feet northwesterly from Lane street.....	162.15
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 242 feet southeasterly from Mendell street.....	121.85
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 192 feet southeasterly from Mendell street.....	110.29
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 142 feet southeasterly from Mendell street.....	99.33
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
Mendell street easterly line.....	69.00
(The same being the present official grade)	

*La Salle Avenue:*

Northeasterly line of, at Donahue street.....	70.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
Southwesterly line of, at Donahue street.....	66.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Donahue street northwesterly line .....	68.65
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Donahue street northwesterly line .....	67.35
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....	91.91
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 180 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....	111.04
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 230 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....	121.57
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 280 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....	129.25
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 300 feet southeasterly from Earl street.....	131.75
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 250 feet southeasterly from Earl street.....	136.94
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 200 feet southeasterly from Earl street.....	140.00
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Earl street.....	148.00
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Earl street center line.....	149.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 280 feet southeasterly from Fitch street.....	157.52
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 230 feet southeasterly from Fitch street.....	159.72
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 180 feet southeasterly from Fitch street.....	163.37
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 120 feet southeasterly from Fitch street.....	168.63
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 70 feet southeasterly from Fitch street.....	172.08
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 20 feet southeasterly from Fitch street.....	173.71
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	

<i>La Salle Avenue (Continued):</i>	<i>Feet</i>
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Fitch street.....	174.00
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Fitch street center line.....	174.00
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Griffith street.	179.35
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Griffith street.	180.65
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Griffith street center line.....	178.00
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Griffith street center line.....	182.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Griffith street.....	186.66
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Hawes street southeasterly line.....	220.00
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet southeasterly from Hawes street center line .....	220.59
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly from Hawes street center line .....	221.41
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Hawes street northwesterly line.....	222.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 342 feet southeasterly from Ingalls street.....	242.18
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 242 feet southeasterly from Ingalls street.....	247.11
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 142 feet southeasterly from Ingalls street.....	246.28
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Ingalls street.....	241.00
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Ingalls street center line.....	241.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 250 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	242.67
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 300 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	243.63
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 350 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	245.83
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Jennings street.....	260.00
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Jennings street center line.....	260.00
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Jennings street center line.....	260.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 136 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	265.85
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 186 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	267.76
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 236 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	269.20
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 174 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	273.80
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 124 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	274.50
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 74 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	274.20



*La Salle Avenue (Continued):**Feet*

Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Keith street.....	273.00
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Keith street center line.....	273.00
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Keith street center line.....	272.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 192 feet northwesterly from Keith street.....	226.19
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 242 feet northwesterly from Keith street.....	214.93
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 292 feet northwesterly from Keith street.....	205.54
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, 278 feet southeasterly from Lane street.....	200.46
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, 228 feet southeasterly from Lane street.....	191.31
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, 178 feet southeasterly from Lane street.....	180.81
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 278 feet southeasterly from Lane street.....	200.46
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 228 feet southeasterly from Lane street.....	191.24
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 178 feet southeasterly from Lane street.....	180.52
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Lane street southeasterly line .....	140.95
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Lane street southeasterly line .....	139.65
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet southeasterly from Lane street center line .....	142.30
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly from Lane street center line .....	141.00
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet southeasterly from Lane street center line .....	138.30
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly from Lane street center line .....	137.00
10 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Lane street northwesterly line.....	137.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
35 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Lane street northwesterly line.....	138.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
10 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Lane street northwesterly line.....	145.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
Northeasterly line of, at Lane street northwesterly line.....	145.00
(The same being the present official grade)	

*McKinnon Avenue:*

Northeasterly line of, at Donahue street.....	38.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
Southwesterly line of, at Donahue street.....	34.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, 20 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....	40.32

<i>McKinnon Avenue (Continued):</i>	<i>Feet</i>
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....	51.77
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, 180 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....	56.76
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 20 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....	39.28
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....	51.51
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 180 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....	56.76
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 60 feet southeasterly from Earl street.....	64.68
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Earl street southeasterly line.....	66.63
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 60 feet northwesterly from Earl street, southeasterly line .....	69.86
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 150 feet northwesterly from Earl street.....	79.78
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 200 feet northwesterly from Earl street.....	83.94
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 250 feet northwesterly from Earl street.....	90.00
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 300 feet southeasterly from Fitch street.....	97.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 200 feet southeasterly from Fitch street.....	108.16
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 100 feet southeasterly from Fitch street.....	113.65
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Fitch street southeasterly line.....	116.30
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Fitch street northwesterly line.....	118.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 164 feet southeasterly from Griffith street.....	135.94
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 114 feet southeasterly from Griffith street.....	139.67
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 64 feet southeasterly from Griffith street.....	146.77
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Griffith street southeasterly line .....	158.00
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 30 feet southeasterly from Griffith street.....	152.74
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Griffith street southeasterly line .....	156.68
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 30 feet northwesterly from Griffith street southeasterly line.....	158.00
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Griffith street center line.....	159.00



<i>McKinnon Avenue (Continued):</i>	<i>Feet</i>
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Griffith street northwesterly line .....	158.00
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 30 feet south- easterly from Griffith street northwesterly line.....	158.00
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Griffith street northwesterly line .....	159.26
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 30 feet north- westerly from Griffith street.....	163.05
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 102 feet northwesterly from Griffith street.....	175.17
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 202 feet northwesterly from Griffith street.....	187.54
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 302 feet northwesterly from Griffith street.....	191.00
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Hawes street.....	188.00
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet south- easterly from Hawes street center line.....	189.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 38 feet northwesterly from Hawes street.....	195.72
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 138 feet northwesterly from Hawes street.....	210.65
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 238 feet northwesterly from Hawes street.....	214.90
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Ingalls street.....	211.00
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet south- easterly from Ingalls street center line.....	212.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 150 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	219.25
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 200 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	221.69
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 250 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	223.50
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 250 feet southeasterly from Jennings street.....	226.50
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 200 feet southeasterly from Jennings street.....	228.62
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 150 feet southeasterly from Jennings street.....	232.00
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 50 feet southeasterly from Jennings street.....	240.00
13 feet northwesterly from the center line of, at Jennings street	244.65
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Jennings street	243.35
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet south- easterly from Jennings street center line.....	246.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	243.19
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, 164 feet south- easterly from Keith street.....	240.41
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, 114 feet south- easterly from Keith street.....	238.64

<i>McKinnon Avenue (Continued):</i>		<i>Feet</i>
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, 64 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....		234.15
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points		
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 164 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....		240.41
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 114 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....		238.49
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 64 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....		233.58
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points		
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Keith street southeasterly line .....		226.65
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Keith street southeasterly line .....		225.35
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Keith street center line.....		228.00
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Keith street center line.....		224.00
15 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Keith street northwesterly line .....		227.25
15 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Keith street northwesterly line .....		224.75
Northeasterly and southwesterly curb lines 50 feet northwesterly from Keith street.....		215.13
Northeasterly and southwesterly curb lines, 225 feet northwesterly from Keith street.....		177.06
(The same being the present official grade)		
<i>Newcomb Avenue:</i>		
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Fitch street.....		50.00
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Fitch street center line.....		49.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 86 feet northwesterly from Fitch street.....		55.03
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line, 136 feet northwesterly from Fitch street.....		65.70
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 186 feet northwesterly from Fitch street.....		69.77
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations		
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 124 feet southeasterly from Griffith street.....		71.61
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 74 feet southeasterly from Griffith street.....		73.39
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 24 feet southeasterly from Griffith street.....		77.95
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations		
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Griffith street southeasterly line.....		80.81
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Griffith street northwesterly line.....		88.42
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 22 feet northwesterly from Griffith street.....		91.05
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 72 feet northwesterly from Griffith street.....		96.14
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 122 feet northwesterly from Griffith street.....		99.50



**Newcomb Avenue (Continued):****Feet**

Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 222 feet northwesterly from Griffith street..... 104.50

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 272 feet northwesterly from Griffith street..... 106.48

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 322 feet northwesterly from Griffith street..... 107.45

Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Hawes street southeasterly line..... 110.00

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Hawes street northwesterly line..... 112.00

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 188 feet northwesterly from Hawes street..... 130.96

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 238 feet northwesterly from Hawes street..... 134.84

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 288 feet northwesterly from Hawes street..... 136.40

Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 162 feet southeasterly from Ingalls street..... 137.60

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 112 feet southeasterly from Ingalls street..... 138.75

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 62 feet southeasterly from Ingalls street..... 141.41

Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Ingalls street southeasterly line..... 145.64

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Ingalls street northwesterly line..... 150.00

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Jennings street..... 184.00

Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Jennings street center line..... 183.00

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 46 feet northwesterly from Jennings street..... 182.61

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 66 feet northwesterly from Jennings street..... 181.68

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 86 feet northwesterly from Jennings street..... 180.12

Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 166 feet northwesterly from Jennings street..... 172.59

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 236 feet northwesterly from Jennings street..... 168.51

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 306 feet northwesterly from Jennings street..... 169.46

Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations

Keith street ..... 184.00

(The same being the present official grade)

**Oakdale Avenue:**

30 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 114 feet southeasterly from Jennings street..... 132.16

(The same being the present official grade)

*Oakdale Avenue (Continued):**Feet*

30 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 64 feet southeasterly from Jennings street .....	133.63
30 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 14 feet southeasterly from Jennings street.....	134.39
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
15 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 114 feet southeasterly from Jennings street.....	132.16
(The same being the present official grade)	
15 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 64 feet southeasterly from Jennings street.....	133.30
15 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 14 feet southeasterly from Jennings street.....	133.02
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
12 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 64 feet southeasterly from Jennings street.....	140.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
12 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 34 feet southeasterly from Jennings street.....	140.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
30 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Jennings street southeasterly line.....	134.50
15 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Jennings street southeasterly line.....	132.75
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Jennings street center line.....	136.00
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Jennings street center line.....	132.00
12 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Jennings street northwesterly line.....	136.00
15 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Jennings street northwesterly line.....	132.75
12 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 70 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	123.86
12 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 150 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	111.17
12 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 230 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	120.80
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
30 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 70 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	120.26
30 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 150 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	110.76
30 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 230 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	114.80
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
15 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 70 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	119.33
15 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 150 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	110.46
15 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 230 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	114.52
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
12 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 250 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	137.00
12 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 200 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	142.15



*Oakdale Avenue (Continued):**Feet*

12 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 150 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	144.13
(The same being the present official grade)	
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
30 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 250 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	131.00
30 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 200 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	136.16
30 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 150 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	138.13
(The same being the present official grade)	
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
15 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 250 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	130.31
15 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 200 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	135.33
15 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 150 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	137.26
(The same being the present official grade)	
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	

*Earl Street:*

Abolish grades between Innes and Jerrold avenues.	
At points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Jerrold avenue southwesterly line.....	125.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 50 feet southwesterly from Jerrold avenue	135.50
At points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 100 feet southwesterly from Jerrold avenue	144.12
At points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 150 feet southwesterly from Jerrold avenue	149.00
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
At points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Kirkwood avenue northeasterly line...	152.00
Northwesterly and southeasterly lines of, 27 feet southwesterly from Kirkwood avenue northeasterly line.....	153.00
Northwesterly and southeasterly lines of, 27 feet northeasterly from Kirkwood avenue southwesterly line.....	153.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Kirkwood avenue southwesterly line..	153.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 60 feet southwesterly from Kirkwood avenue .....	156.82
At points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 110 feet southwesterly from Kirkwood avenue .....	157.68
At points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 160 feet southwesterly from Kirkwood avenue .....	153.89
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
At points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at La Salle avenue northeasterly line...	149.00
At points on the northwesterly and southeasterly lines of, 27 feet southwesterly from La Salle avenue northeasterly line	148.00
At points on the northwesterly and southeasterly lines of, 27	

*Earl Street (Continued):*

	<i>Feet</i>
feet northeasterly from La Salle avenue southwesterly line	148.00
Abolish grades between La Salle avenue and McKinnon avenue southwesterly line.	

*Fitch Street:*

Abolish grades between Innes and Jerrold avenues.	
At points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Jerrold avenue southwesterly line....	109.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Kirkwood avenue northeasterly line .....	157.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Kirkwood avenue southwesterly line .....	161.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 30 feet southwesterly from Kirkwood avenue.....	166.25
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 80 feet southwesterly from Kirkwood avenue.....	172.71
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 130 feet southwesterly from Kirkwood avenue.....	174.59
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at La Salle avenue northeasterly line.....	174.00
Abolish grades between La Salle and Newcomb avenues.	
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Newcomb avenue southwesterly line.....	49.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Oakdale avenue northeasterly line.....	14.00
Northwesterly line of, 30 feet southwesterly from Oakdale avenue northeasterly line.....	13.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
Northwesterly line of, 15 feet northeasterly from Oakdale avenue southwesterly line.....	13.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
Southeasterly line of, 30 feet southwesterly from Oakdale avenue northeasterly line.....	13.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
Southeasterly line of, 15 feet northeasterly from Oakdale avenue southwesterly line.....	13.00
(The same being the present official grade)	

*Griffith Street:*

Innes avenue .....	22.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from center line of, at Jerrold avenue northeasterly line.....	70.00
Abolish grades between Jerrold and Kirkwood avenues.	
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Kirkwood avenue southwesterly line.....	139.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at La Salle avenue northeasterly line.....	178.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at La Salle avenue southwesterly line.....	182.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 70 feet southwesterly from La Salle avenue.....	176.40
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 100 feet southwesterly from La Salle avenue.....	173.47
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 130 feet southwesterly from La Salle avenue.....	169.50
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	



*Griffin Street (Continued):**Feet*

13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at McKinnon avenue northeasterly line.....	159.00
Abolish grades between McKinnon and Oakdale avenues.	

*Hawes Street:*

Abolish grades between Innes and La Salle avenues.	
13 feet northwesterly from the center line of, at La Salle avenue southwesterly line.....	221.41
13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at La Salle avenue southwesterly line .....	220.59
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 50 feet southwesterly from La Salle avenue.....	213.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at McKinnon avenue northeasterly line.....	189.00
Abolish grades between McKinnon and Oakdale avenues.	

*Ingalls Street:*

Abolish grades between Jerrold and La Salle avenues.	
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at La Salle avenue, southwesterly line.....	241.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 20 feet southwesterly from La Salle avenue.....	240.89
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 70 feet southwesterly from La Salle avenue.....	237.92
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 120 feet southwesterly from La Salle avenue.....	229.60
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at McKinnon avenue northeasterly line.....	212.00
Abolish grades at McKinnon and Oakdale avenues.	

*Jennings Street:*

Northwesterly and southeasterly lines of, 27 feet southwesterly from Innes avenue northeasterly line.....	176.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
Northwesterly and southeasterly lines of, 27 feet northeasterly from Innes avenue southwesterly line.....	176.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Innes avenue southwesterly line.....	176.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 50 feet northeasterly from Jerrold avenue.....	182.30
13 feet northwesterly from the center line of, at Jerrold avenue northeasterly line .....	185.05
13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Jerrold avenue northeasterly line .....	183.75
Southeasterly line of, 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from Jerrold avenue center line.....	182.80
Northwesterly line of, 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from Jerrold avenue center line.....	186.00
13 feet northwesterly from the center line of, at Jerrold avenue southwesterly line .....	185.05
13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Jerrold avenue southwesterly line .....	183.75
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 50 feet southwesterly from Jerrold avenue.....	195.30
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Kirkwood avenue northeasterly line.....	228.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Kirkwood avenue southwesterly line.....	232.00

*Jennings Street (Continued):**Feet*

13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 50 feet southwesterly from Kirkwood avenue.....	242.80
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 100 feet southwesterly from Kirkwood avenue.....	249.20
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 150 feet southwesterly from Kirkwood avenue.....	254.00
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at La Salle avenue northeasterly line.....	260.06
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at La Salle avenue southwesterly line.....	260.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 60 feet southwesterly from La Salle avenue.....	259.45
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 110 feet southwesterly from La Salle avenue.....	257.30
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 160 feet southwesterly from La Salle avenue.....	251.78
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at McKinnon avenue northeasterly line.....	246.00
Abolish grades between McKinnon and Newcomb avenues.	
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Newcomb avenue southwesterly line.....	183.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Oakdale avenue northeasterly line.....	136.00
Southeasterly line of, 30 feet southwesterly from Oakdale avenue northeasterly line.....	134.50
Southeasterly line of, 15 feet northeasterly from Oakdale avenue southwesterly line.....	132.75
Northwesterly line of, 12 feet southwesterly from Oakdale avenue northeasterly line.....	136.00
Northwesterly line of, 30 feet southwesterly from Oakdale avenue northeasterly line.....	134.50
Northwesterly line of, 15 feet northeasterly from Oakdale avenue southwesterly line.....	132.75
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Oakdale avenue southwesterly line.....	132.00
Palou avenue northeasterly line.....	89.00
(The same being the present official grade)	

*Keith Street:*

Southeasterly line of, at Hudson avenue southwesterly line..	77.20
(The same being the present official grade)	
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Hudson avenue southwesterly line.....	76.50
Northwesterly line of, at Hudson avenue southwesterly line..	77.20
(The same being the present official grade)	
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Innes avenue northeasterly line.....	115.00
Abolish grades between Innes and Kirkwood avenues.	
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Kirkwood avenue southwesterly line.....	259.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 130 feet northeasterly from La Salle avenue.....	268.34
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 80 feet northeasterly from La Salle avenue.....	273.02
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 30 feet northeasterly from La Salle avenue.....	273.75
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	



*Keith Street (Continued):**Feet*

13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at La Salle avenue northeasterly line.....	273.00
Northwesterly and southeasterly lines of, 27 feet southwesterly from La Salle avenue northeasterly line.....	273.00
Northwesterly and southeasterly lines of, 27 feet northeasterly from La Salle avenue southwesterly line.....	273.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at La Salle avenue southwesterly line.....	272.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at McKinnon avenue northeasterly line.....	228.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at McKinnon avenue southwesterly line.....	224.00
Newcomb avenue .....	184.00
(The same being the present official grade)	

*Lane Street:*

Innes avenue .....	128.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Jerrold avenue northeasterly line.....	158.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Jerrold avenue southwesterly line.....	162.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 60 feet southwesterly from Jerrold avenue.....	177.27
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 110 feet southwesterly from Jerrold avenue.....	184.48
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 160 feet southwesterly from Jerrold avenue.....	188.66
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Kirkwood avenue northeasterly line.....	192.00
Northwesterly and southeasterly lines of, 27 feet southwesterly from Kirkwood avenue northeasterly line.....	192.00
Northwesterly and southeasterly lines of, 27 feet northeasterly from Kirkwood avenue southwesterly line.....	192.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Kirkwood avenue southwesterly line.....	192.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 50 feet northeasterly from La Salle avenue.....	154.23
13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at La Salle avenue northeasterly line.....	142.30
13 feet northwesterly from the center line of, at La Salle avenue northeasterly line.....	141.00
Southeasterly line of 27 feet southwesterly from La Salle avenue northeasterly line.....	140.95
Southeasterly line of, 27 feet northeasterly from La Salle avenue southwesterly line.....	139.65
Northwesterly line of, at La Salle avenue northeasterly line..	145.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
Northwesterly line of, 10 feet southwesterly from La Salle avenue northeasterly line.....	145.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
Northwesterly line of, 35 feet southwesterly from La Salle avenue northeasterly line.....	138.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
Northwesterly line of, 10 feet northeasterly from La Salle avenue southwesterly line.....	137.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
13 feet northwesterly from the center line of, at La Salle avenue southwesterly line.....	137.00

*Lane Street (Continued):**Feet*

13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at La Salle avenue southwesterly line.....	138.30
Southeasterly line of, at McKinnon avenue..... (The same being the present official grade)	103.00
Northwesterly line of, at McKinnon avenue..... (The same being the present official grade)	106.00

On Innes avenue, between a line 664 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street, and Lane street on Jerrold avenue between Donahue street and Mendell street, on Kirkwood avenue between Donahue street and Mendell street, on La Salle avenue between Donahue street and Lane street, on McKinnon avenue between Donahue street and a line 225 feet northwesterly from Keith street, on Newcomb avenue between Fitch street and Keith street, on Oakdale avenue between a line 114 feet southeasterly from Jennings street and a line 150 feet southeasterly from Keith street, on Earl street between Innes avenue and McKinnon avenue southwesterly line, on Fitch street between Innes avenue and Oakdale avenue, on Griffith street between Innes avenue and Oakdale avenue, on Hawes street between Innes avenue and Oakdale avenue, on Ingalls street between Jerrold avenue and Oakdale avenue, on Jennings street between Innes avenue and Palou avenue, on Keith street between Hudson avenue and Newcomb avenue, and on Lane street between Innes avenue and McKinnon avenue, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.  
Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Amending Section 24 of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series) by  
Deleting Geary Boulevard From the List of Arterial Streets.**

(Code No. 11.02)

Also, Bill No. 1756, Ordinance No. 11.0243, as follows:

Amending Section 24 of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled, "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith," *by deleting "Geary boulevard from the west line of Presidio avenue to Point Lobos avenue, thence along Point Lobos avenue from Geary boulevard to the Great Highway."*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 24 of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 24. *Arterial Streets*—The following streets and parts of streets are hereby declared to constitute arterial streets for the purpose of this section:

Alemaný boulevard from the west line of Bay Shore boulevard to the midline of San Jose avenue;

Bay Shore boulevard from the west line of San Bruno avenue to the County Line of San Francisco;

Bernal avenue southerly from the midline of Randall street to San Jose avenue, thence on San Jose avenue to the north line of Sagamore street, and from the south line of Alemaný boulevard to the City and County line of San Francisco;

California street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the west line of Arguello boulevard;

Fell street from the west line of Polk street to the east line of Van Ness avenue, and from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the west line of Stanyan street;



Fulton street from the west line of Franklin street to the east line of the Great Highway;

~~Geary boulevard from the west line of Presidio avenue to Point Lobos avenue, thence along Point Lobos avenue from Geary boulevard to the Great Highway;~~

Howard street from the north line of Thirteenth street to the north line of Army street;

Junipero Serra boulevard from the west line of Portola drive to the City and County line of San Francisco;

Lincoln way from the east line of the Great Highway to the west line of Third avenue, thence on the Kezar Stadium road in Golden Gate Park to the west line of the intersecting roadway approximately 500 feet westerly of Stanyan street;

Lombard street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the west line of Lyon street;

Market street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to Portola drive, thence on Portola drive to the east line of Claremont boulevard and on Portola drive from the west line of Claremont boulevard to the main roadway of Junipero Serra boulevard;

Monterey boulevard from the west line of San Jose avenue to Santa Clara avenue, thence on Santa Clara avenue to the south line of Portola drive;

Nineteenth avenue from the north line of Lincoln way to the west line of Junipero Serra boulevard;

Ocean avenue from the east line of the main roadway of Junipero Serra boulevard to the west line of San Jose avenue, from the east line of San Jose avenue to the west line of Alemany boulevard, and from the east line of Alemany boulevard to the west line of Mission street;

Post street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the west line of Presidio avenue;

Potrero avenue from the north line of Division street to the west line of San Bruno avenue;

Seventh avenue from the south line of Lincoln way to Laguna Honda boulevard, thence on Laguna Honda boulevard to Dewey boulevard, thence on Dewey boulevard to Claremont boulevard, thence on Claremont boulevard to the center line of Portola drive;

Sloat boulevard from the east line of the Great Highway to the west line of Nineteenth avenue and from the east line of Nineteenth avenue to the west line of Portola drive;

Tenth street from the south line of Market street to the north line of Division street;

Twenty-fifth avenue from the center line of Camino Del Mar to the south line of Fulton street, thence on the roadway through Golden Gate Park to the north line of Lincoln way at Nineteenth avenue;

Twenty-fourth street from the west line of Dolores street to the west line of Hoffman avenue;

Van Ness avenue from the south line of North Point street to the north line of Market street;

Van Ness avenue south from the south line of Market street to the center line of Howard street;

Van Ness avenue south from the north line of Thirteenth street to the north line of Army street.

Every operator of a vehicle or street car, cable car excepted, traversing any street intersecting any arterial street shall bring such vehicle or street car to a full stop at the place where such street meets the prolongation of the nearer property line of such arterial street, or at such other place as may be indicated by a sign erected in accordance with this section, subject, however, to the directions of any stop and go signal or police officer at such intersection.

The Police Department is hereby authorized and required to cause to be placed and maintained, upon each and every street intersecting

an arterial street, and at or near the property line of the arterial street, suitable signs bearing the word "STOP".

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### Action Rescinded.

Subsequently during the proceedings on motion by Supervisor Ratto, the action of the Board whereby the foregoing bill was passed for second reading, was *rescinded* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### Re-referred to Streets Committee.

Thereupon, Supervisor Ratto moved that the proposed amendment to Section 24 of the Traffic Ordinance, Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), by deleting Geary boulevard from the list of Arterial streets, be re-referred to Streets Committee in order that interested citizens could be heard.

No objection and *so ordered*.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendations of the Streets Committee were taken up:

#### Amending Traffic Ordinance by Repealing Section 37c Thereof.

(Code No. 11.02)

Bill No. 1757, Ordinance No. 11.0244, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series) entitled "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith," *by repealing Section 37c, "No Parking Day or Night on Saturdays, Sundays and Holidays Only."*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7691, the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by repealing Section 37c thereof.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### Creating Underground District, Columbus Avenue, Between Union Street and the North Line of Bay Street.

(Code No. 11.12)

Also, Bill No. 1758, Ordinance No. 11.1225, as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled, "Providing for Placing Wires and Conduits Underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section to be known as Section AAAA.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Order No. 214 (Second Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding a new section to be known as Section AAAA.

Section AAAA. An additional district to those heretofore described, within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires except trolley poles and wires, after the permanent improvement by



physical widening of Columbus avenue, between Union street and the north line of Bay street, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 93. Columbus avenue, between Union street and the north line of Bay street.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Accepting the Roadway of Normandie Terrace Between Broadway and Vallejo Street.**

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 1752, Ordinance No. 12.081147, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Normandie terrace between Broadway and Vallejo street, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Normandie terrace between Broadway and Vallejo street, including the curbs.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of Public Utilities Committee was taken up:

**Conveying 20.53 Acres of Airport Lands for Use as a United States Coast Guard Aviation Station.**

(Code No. 12.17154)

Resolution No. 4368, as follows:

Resolved, That pursuant to Resolution No. 2898 of the Public Utilities Commission, the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a good and sufficient deed to the United States, conveying all and singular the hereinafter described real property to the United States of America for the use and benefit of the War Department and the Customs Service and Coast Guard of the United States:

That certain lot, tract or parcel of land, situate, lying and being in the County of San Mateo, State of California, described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at point "1", which is located by running from the northwest corner of said Section 34, east along the north line of said Section 34, 4221.27 feet, and thence at right angles to said north line of Section 34, south 617.77 feet to point "1", the point of beginning of this boundary line description; thence north 66 degrees 45 minutes 10 seconds east 310.90 feet to point "2"; thence south 72 degrees 14 minutes 57 seconds east 723.52 feet to point "3"; thence south 1119.35 feet to point "4"; thence west 451.84 feet to point "5"; thence north 23 degrees 14 minutes 50 seconds west 1324.79 feet to point "1", the point of beginning. Containing 20.53 acres.

Being a portion of Section 34, Township 3 South, Range 5 West, M. D. B. & M.

The said deed shall contain the following conditions:

That said grant to the United States of America be made upon the condition that the above described land is to be used as a United States Coast Guard Aviation Station and that in connection with said land the United States of America shall have the right (a) to use, in common with other parties, the seaplane harbor or turning basin, and the channel approach thereto, to be constructed to the east of the above described land; (b) the right to use, in common with other parties, a road extending from Bayshore highway across land of said City and County to the boundary of the above described tract, which said road may be either the road existing at the date hereof, or any other suitably located road that may be hereafter constructed by the Public Utilities Commission; (c) the right to use, in common with other parties, the landing field as the same now exists or may be hereafter constructed by the Public Utilities Commission, which said landing field is adjacent to the above described property and the use of which said field shall be necessary for the landing and take-off and other movement of aircraft in connection with the operation of said Coast Guard on the above described property; which said use shall be subject to field rules governing the use of said landing field; (d) the right to construct across adjacent lands of said City and County, power and light and telephone lines, and gas and water mains, all of which shall be constructed below the surface of the ground at such depths and at such locations as shall be approved by the Manager of Utilities.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of his Honor the Mayor was *adopted*:

**Leave of Absence—Hon. Alfred Ehrman, Fire Commissioner.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 4369, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Alfred Ehrman, member of the Fire Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty (60) days, commencing November 22, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Acceptance from Frank A. Prestidge of \$945, Reimbursement of Amounts Received Under the Old Age Security Act.**

(Code No. 9.029)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Resolution No. 4375, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept the sum of \$945 tendered to said City and County by Frank A. Prestidge, to



recompense the City and County for the amount which said Frank A. Prestidge has received under the Old Age Security Act, and the Treasurer be and is hereby directed to credit said sum to the proper fund; and, be it

Further Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby express to Frank A. Prestidge its appreciation for the prompt reimbursement to the City and County of all sums which he has received under the Old Age Security Act, and that the Board does hereby commend him for his honesty and integrity in making such reimbursement. The Board also expresses the hope to Frank A. Prestidge, that in the future years he may never be compelled to request the benefits of the Old Age Security Act, but assures him that should his present condition change, his fairness and honesty in reimbursing the City and County will always be remembered.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### **Treasure Island.**

Supervisor Colman reported to the Board on a visit he had made to Treasure Island, and recommended that all citizens who could do so, visit Treasure Island. Supervisor Colman reported that remarkable progress has been made in the grounds, many of the buildings are completed, or practically completed, and that the plant life there is most remarkable.

#### **Requesting Acoustical Treatment of Supervisors' Office and Committee Room.**

(Code No. 12.14)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 4371, as follows:

Resolved, That the Director of Public Works be and is hereby requested to include in his budget for Building Repairs, in the fiscal year 1939-1940, a sum sufficient for the acoustical treatment of Rooms 235 and 228, City Hall, by the application of Celotex or some similar substance.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

#### **Authorizing Visit by Supervisor McSheehy, to Los Angeles, to Secure Information Relative to Use of Streets in Los Angeles, by Southern California Utilities Companies for Distribution of Gas and Electricity.**

Supervisor McSheehy read a communication, signed by himself, addressed to the Board, reporting on hearing by the Public Utilities Committee on Resolution instructing the City Attorney to file suit against the Pacific Gas and Electric Company for damages to the City and County of San Francisco for selling and disposing of gas and electricity for other than lighting purposes without a franchise so to do, this communication being introductory to the presentation of the following resolution:

(Code No. 15.096)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 4372, as follows:

Resolved, That Supervisor James B. McSheehy, representing this Board of Supervisors, be and is hereby authorized to visit the City of Los Angeles for the purpose of procuring information from city officials of Los Angeles, in connection with the solution of that problem, re-

cently arrived at, relative to the use of the streets in Los Angeles by the Southern California utility companies for the distribution of gas and electricity, without a franchise and without payment for such privilege.

#### Objection.

Supervisor Colman objected to the suspension of the rules for the purpose of immediate consideration. Whereupon, the Chair *referred the foregoing resolution to the Finance Committee.*

#### Motion.

Subsequently during the proceedings, Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Mead, moved that he be authorized to visit the City of Los Angeles for the purpose of procuring information from the city officials in Los Angeles relative to the use of streets by Southern California utilities companies for the distribution of gas and electricity.

#### Point of Order.

Supervisor Colman raised a point of order, that the foregoing motion was a legislative act, and, therefore, could not be passed on the day of its introduction without the unanimous consent of the Board.

Chair ruled the Point of Order not well taken, and that the motion was in order.

#### Amendment to Motion.

Thereupon, Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Ratto, moved as an amendment to the motion, that the City Attorney be authorized to write to the City Attorney of Los Angeles, or to whatever official he deems necessary, and find out just what Los Angeles has done in the matter, or if necessary, that the City Attorney, or his representative be authorized to visit Los Angeles to obtain the desired information.

Before the roll was called on the foregoing motion, the City Attorney, in reply to question by Supervisor Uhl, stated that he was familiar, in a general way, with the subject-matter under discussion. He stated further, he did not think it necessary to visit Los Angeles, although he did feel it to be better to sit down with people to get the desired information.

#### Amendment to the Amendment.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved, as an amendment to the amendment, that Supervisor McSheehy be authorized to visit Los Angeles with the City Attorney, in order to obtain the information desired.

Chair ruled motion *out of order.*

#### Amendment to Motion Failed.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the amendment to the original motion *failed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Ratto, Schmidt—3.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### Roll Call on Original Motion.

Whereupon, the roll was called on the original motion, which *failed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—5.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.



**Supervisor McSheehy Excused from Attendance at Next Meeting  
of the Board.**

Supervisor McSheehy requested that he be excused from the next meeting of the Board because of his prospective visit to Los Angeles for the purpose of obtaining certain desired information.

*Excuse granted.*

**City Attorney to Prepare Legislation for Franchise for  
Distribution of Gas for Heating and Power Purposes.**

Pursuant to statement by the City Attorney, Supervisor Uhl requested that the City Attorney prepare legislation necessary for the awarding of franchise for the distribution of gas for purposes other than lighting purposes.

**Requesting his Honor, the Mayor, to Appoint Citizens' Committee  
for the Proper Observance of Columbus Day, October 12, 1938.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 4373, as follows:

Whereas, because of the fact that next year will be Exposition year in San Francisco, it is intended to conduct a celebration on Columbus Day which will surpass in magnitude and grandeur any such previous event; and

Whereas, much time and preparation will be required in order to perfect detailed plans for such a celebration; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee to arrange for this proposed exceptional observance of Columbus Day, in 1939, at his earliest convenience.

*Referred to Exposition Affairs and Industrial Development Committee.*

**Appropriation \$3,000 for Oiling Roads, Hunters Point District.**

Supervisor Mead called attention to appropriation of \$3,000, for the oiling of newly graded roads in Hunters Point District, previously passed for second reading, and then referred to the Streets Committee. Supervisor Ratto explained the reason for delay, as due to lack of recommendation from Department of Public Works, and endorsement by Controller as to availability of funds. However, appropriation can be made at the next meeting of the Board, as an emergency measure, if properly recommended and endorsed.

**Dedication New Highway, San Rafael to Ignacio.**

Supervisor Ratto referred to the dedication of the recently completed widening of the highway between San Rafael and Ignacio, to take place on Sunday, November 13, 1938, and the desire of the Redwood Empire Association to receive the names of members who will attend the ceremonies.

Whereupon, the Chair requested that any members of the Board who desire to attend the dedicatory ceremonies so notify the Clerk, who will, in turn, notify the Redwood Empire Association.

**Regulatory Powers of Director of Public Health to Cope  
With Certain Emergencies.**

Dr. J. C. Geiger, Director of Public Health, at the request of Supervisor Schmidt, confirmed the report that rabies had made its appearance in San Francisco, and as the result thereof, and to prevent its spread, he had, under the terms of Section 2979a of the Penal Code, ordered all dogs in San Francisco on leash. Dr. Geiger stated further,

that under the provisions of State legislation, he had sufficient power to make the necessary rules and regulations to enable him to cope with the situation. Re foods and drugs, Dr. Geiger stated, there were no rules and regulations under which he could issue an executive order to protect the public health.

**Proposed Ordinance Regarding Reclassification of the Use to Which Certain Property May Be Put.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Bill No. 1759, Ordinance No. 13.025, as follows:

Reclassification of the use to which certain property in the City and County of San Francisco may be put and defining the boundaries of the property so reclassified.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The property hereinafter described is hereby reclassified from Light Industrial to First Residential District:

All that certain real property situate, lying and being in the City and County of San Francisco and bounded by Laguna street to the east, Beach street and Marina boulevard to the south, Webster street to the west, and the northerly line of Lewis street to the north.

Section 2. No building permit shall be issued by the Board of Public Works for the erection or alteration of any building or structure contrary to the provisions of this ordinance, and any permit so issued shall be void.

Section 3. Any person, firm, or corporation violating any provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punishable by a fine not exceeding \$500 or by imprisonment for a term of not exceeding six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment. Such person, firm, or corporation shall be deemed guilty of a separate offense for each and every day during any portion of which any violation of this ordinance is committed, continued, or permitted by such person, firm or corporation, as herein provided.

*Referred to Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee.*

**Referred to City Planning Commission, By Committee.**

Supervisor Uhl, Chairman of Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee, announced that with the consent of Supervisors Reilly and Schmidt, members of the Committee, and pursuant to the provisions of Section 117 of the Charter, the foregoing bill would be referred by the Committee to the City Planning Commission, for report and recommendation.

No objection and *so ordered*.

**In Memoriam—William J. Hamilton.**

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 4374, as follows:

Whereas, the public career of William J. Hamilton is closed and this Board of Supervisors deeply regrets his passing;

Whereas, he held the office of Supervisor of the County of Alameda for more than 22 years, 16 years of which he was honored by being Chairman of the Board;

Whereas, he was Secretary of the Oakland Lodge of Moose, and oldest in point of service as such in any lodge in this country, and was honored a number of times by being a member of the Grand Council;



Whereas, he was a beloved member of Alameda Council Knights of Columbus; Alameda Lodge B.P.O.E. 1015; Oakland Aerie, F.O.E. No. 7; past president of Ignatian Council No. 35, Y.M.I. of San Francisco; and

Whereas, he gave untiringly of his time and effort toward the betterment of his city and the welfare of the citizens thereof, as demonstrated by his long and honorable public career; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors express its profound sorrow at the death of William J. Hamilton and extends to the bereaved family its sincere sympathy; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk transmit a copy of this resolution to the family of the deceased; and be it

Further Resolved, That when the Board adjourns this day it does so as a mark of respect to the memory of the late William J. Hamilton.

*Unanimously adopted by rising vote.*

**In Memoriam—George M. Geimann.**

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 4376, as follows:

Whereas, our Heavenly Father has summoned George M. Geimann to his eternal reward; and

Whereas, Officer Geimann of the Police Department, retired in 1938 after having devoted 38 years of faithful service to the people of San Francisco, always having their welfare and protection in mind; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors deeply mourns the passing of Officer George M. Geimann and when it adjourns it does so out of respect to his memory; and be it further

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board be directed to forward a copy of this resolution to the family of the deceased.

*Unanimously adopted by rising vote.*

### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 4:10 p. m., adjourned.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, November 14, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, David A. Barry, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

DAVID A. BARRY,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.





Vol. 33

No. 48

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

Monday, November 14, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.

THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
OF THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
HOLDING A REGULAR MEETING

Monday, November 12, 1906

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 14, 1938, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, November 14, 1938,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:  
Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri, Schmidt,  
Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Ratto, Reilly—3.

Supervisors Ratto and Reilly were noted present at 2:15 p. m.

Supervisor Brown on leave.

President Shannon presiding.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of November 7, 1938, was  
considered read and approved.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

Salary standardization relating to per diem men. To be considered  
by the Board, sitting as a Committee of the Whole, pursuant to motion  
by Supervisor Uhl.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the entire matter of salary standardiza-  
tion be referred to the Finance Committee, for study, and that the  
Finance Committee be instructed to report its findings and recom-  
mendation thereon within thirty days.

No objection and *so ordered*.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee heretofore  
passed for second reading were taken up:

Authorizing Exchange of Land with City Title Insurance  
Company.

(Code No. 12.1743)

Bill No. 1743, Ordinance No. 12.17436, as follows:

Authorizing exchange of land with City Title Insurance Company.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Fran-  
cisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 92 of the Charter of the City and  
County of San Francisco, the Director of Property, in lieu of sale,

is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for trading certain City-owned land hereinafter referred to as Parcel "A" to the City Title Insurance Company, in exchange for certain real property hereinafter referred to as Parcels 1, 2 and 3.

Section 2. Said Parcel "A" is that certain tract of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and more particularly described in Ordinance No. 12.17233, Bill No. 1722, approved by the Mayor of San Francisco on October 11, 1938, which parcel was proposed to be sold under the provisions of Ordinance No. 12.17233.

Section 3. The Director of Property has made an appraisal of said real property and estimates the value of Parcel "A" to be \$600 and the combined value of Parcels 1, 2 and 3 to be \$550.

Section 4. Said Parcels 1, 2 and 3, hereinbefore referred to, are situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and are more particularly described as follows:

PARCEL 1. Commencing at a point on the northeasterly line of Princeton street, distant thereon 150 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Dwight street; running thence southeasterly along the northeasterly line of Princeton street, 25 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet to the northeasterly line of Princeton street and the point of commencement.

Being a portion of University Mound Tract Survey, Block No. 76.

PARCEL 2. Commencing at a point on the southeasterly line of Wyant street, distant thereon 75 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Campbell avenue; running thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of Wyant street, 25 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 100 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 100 feet to the southeasterly line of Wyant street and the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Block No. 13 of the Reis Tract.

PARCEL 3. Commencing at a point on the southeasterly line of Wyant street, distant thereon 375 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Campbell avenue; running thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of Wyant street, 125 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 100 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 125 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 100 feet to the southeasterly line of Wyant street and the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Block No. 13 of the Reis Tract.

Section 5. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute the necessary deed for the conveyance of Parcel "A" to the City Title Insurance Company, or its assignee. The Director of Property shall deliver said conveyance to the grantee upon receipt of the necessary deed to Parcels 1, 2 and 3, plus the sum of \$50.

The Director of Property is hereby authorized to record the deed to the City and County of San Francisco.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisor Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Ratto, Reilly—3.

**Authorizing Settlement of Claims of Herbert Green, a Minor, by Morris Green, His Guardian Ad Litem, and of Morris Green Against the City and County of San Francisco.**

(Code No. 6.05)

Also, Bill No. 1744, Ordinance No. 6.054, as follows:

Authorizing settlement of claims of Herbert Green, a minor, by Morris Green, his guardian ad litem, and of Morris Green against the City and County of San Francisco.



The City Attorney having recommended settlement of the claims of Herbert Green, a minor of the age of seven years, and of Morris Green, against the City and County of San Francisco, for the sum of six hundred dollars (\$600) for damages; now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized to fully compromise and settle all claims for damages held by Herbert Green and Morris Green, his guardian ad litem, and to settle all claims and damages held by Morris Green, father of said minor, for the sum of six hundred dollars (\$600), and the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to draw his warrant for said sum of six hundred dollars (\$600) and to charge the same to Appropriation No. 712.800.00.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Ratto, Reilly—3.

### NEW BUSINESS.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

**Authorizing Execution of Agreements Between State Relief Administrator and City for Reimbursement of WPA "White Collar" Project Costs.**

(Code No. 19.071)

Resolution No. 4365, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco enter into an agreement with the State Relief Administrator wherein and whereby the said State Relief Administrator will agree to reimburse the City and County of San Francisco for the cost of sponsorship of certain WPA "white collar" and light work projects which are to be sponsored by the City and County of San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Controller and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors be and they are hereby directed to execute for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco the necessary agreement or agreements relative to said WPA projects.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Supervisor Mead announced that he had received many complaints of W. P. A. workers replacing Civil Service employees, and inquired if the proposed legislation would have any effect thereon.

In reply, the Controller stated that the proposed legislation had no bearing on that matter, the only purpose being to make it possible for the state to provide funds for the sponsorship of projects thus far not even in existence.

### Adopted.

Thereupon, Supervisor Mead, announcing that he had no objection to this particular resolution, the foregoing resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Ratto, Reilly—3.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Refunds of Erroneous Payments of Taxes.**

(Code No. 9.059)

Resolution No. 4377, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and they are hereby authorized to be paid to the following, being refunds of erroneous payments of taxes:

**FROM DUPLICATE TAX FUND—APPROPRIATION 905**

1. Edward F. Bryant, Tax Collector, per Vol. 6, Bill 1584, Lot 4, Block 956, 2d Installment, Fiscal Year 1937.....\$18.39
2. Thos. F. Garrett, per Vol. 26, Bill 239, Lot 129/130, Block 3751, 2d Installment, Fiscal Year 1937..... 36.39
3. Mary Gallagher, per Vol. 41, Bill 1651, Lot 8, Block 6756, 1st Installment, Fiscal Year 1937..... 13.55

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Ratto, Reilly—3.

**Cancellation Erroneous and Duplicate Assessments.**

(Code No. 9.0411)

Also, Resolution No. 4378, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the request of the Assessor, in a communication dated November 4, 1938, and with the consent of the City Attorney and the recommendation of the Controller, the following duplicate and erroneous assessments appearing on the unsecured personal property rolls of 1938, in conformity with Section 3804A of the Political Code, be and are hereby canceled:

<i>Vol. Page Line</i>				<i>Amount of Assessment</i>	
1	17	18	Guy F. Atkinson Co.—Erroneous assessment; reassessed .....	\$32,622	
1	48	1	John A. Buck—Duplicate assessment.....	550	
1	56	3	Henry Camozzi—Duplicate assessment .....	55	
1	59	20	J. T. Casey Auto Loan Co.—Duplicate assessment .....	50	
1	69	18	Cline Piano Co.—Duplicate assessment.....	1,800	
2	8	16	Elite Cleaners (S. Weinberg)—Duplicate assessment .....	110	
1	99	3	Morgan J. Doyle—Duplicate assessment .....	370	
2	29	10	Freeman Bros. Assoc.—Duplicate assessment...	150	
2	30	7	Eddie Friedman—Erroneous assessment; reassessed .....	22,334	
2	70	6	B. J. Holmes Sales Co.—Duplicate assessment..	23,862	
3	59	19	National Shoe Store—Duplicate assessment....	6,500	
3	6	5	L. A. Lazarus & Sons—Erroneous assessment; reassessed .....	11,122	
3	80	20	Pacific Coast Tile Co.—Erroneous entry.....	130	
3	100	21	Pride of the Valley Grocery—Duplicate assessment .....	415	
4	2	5	Ramsey Accessory Mfg.—Duplicate assessment..	3,150	
4	41	20	Singer Sewing Machine Co.—Duplicate assessment .....	231	



<i>Vol.</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Line</i>		<i>Amount of Assessment</i>
4	54	3	Starks & Ford—Erroneous assessment; re-assessed .....	250
4	94	6	Vinton Co.—Erroneous assessment; reassessed..	240
4	107	20	Sam Whitehead—Duplicate assessment.....	200
4	117	6	Yong Shee—Erroneous assessment; reassessed..	300

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Ratto, Reilly—3.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Authorizing Supplemental Appropriation of Three Thousand (\$3,000) Dollars From the County Road Fund to the Credit of Appropriation 848.804.31, for the Oiling of Roadways in the Hunters Point District, Being San Francisco's Contribution Toward This Improvement; Work to Be Prosecuted by Works Progress Administration Forces.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1760, Ordinance No. 9.051523, as follows:

Authorizing supplemental appropriation of three thousand (\$3,000) dollars from the County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation 848.804.31, for the oiling of roadways in the Hunter's Point District, being San Francisco's contribution toward this improvement; work to be prosecuted by Works Progress Administration forces.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of three thousand (\$3,000) dollars is hereby appropriated and set aside from the County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation 848.804.31, for the oiling of roadways in Hunter's Point District, being San Francisco's contribution toward this improvement, work to be prosecuted by Works Progress Administration forces.

Recommended by: A. D. Wilder, Director, Department of Public Works.

Approved: Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available: Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved: Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to form: John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Ratto, Reilly—3.

**Action Rescinded.**

Subsequently during the proceedings, Supervisor Mead moved that the action whereby the foregoing bill was passed for second reading be rescinded, in order that it might be amended and finally passed as an emergency measure.

No objection and so ordered.

**Amendment.**

Thereupon, the foregoing bill was amended by the addition of the following:

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency ordinance and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which

this measure is passed that an actual emergency exists in that the newly constructed streets in the Hunters Point District must be protected from damage by rain.

Amendment *accepted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

#### Finally Passed.

Whereupon, on motion by Supervisor Mead, the following ordinance was *finally passed* as an emergency measure, by the following vote:

**Authorizing Supplemental Appropriation of Three Thousand (\$3,000) Dollars From the County Road Fund to the Credit of Appropriation 848.804.31, for the Oiling of Roadways in the Hunters Point District, Being San Francisco's Contribution Toward This Improvement; Work to Be Prosecuted by Works Progress Administration Forces. An Emergency Ordinance.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1760, Ordinance No. 9.051523, as follows:

Authorizing supplemental appropriation of three thousand (\$3,000) dollars from the County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation 848.804.31, for the oiling of roadways in the Hunters Point District, being San Francisco's contribution toward this improvement; work to be prosecuted by Works Progress Administration forces; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of three thousand (\$3,000) dollars is hereby appropriated and set aside from the County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation 848.804.31, for the oiling of roadways in Hunters Point District, being San Francisco's contribution toward this improvement, work to be prosecuted by Works Progress Administration forces.

Section 3. This ordinance is passed as an emergency ordinance and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this measure is passed that an actual emergency exists in that the newly constructed streets in the Hunters Point District must be protected from damage by rain.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

#### **ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**In Memoriam—Father James O'Kelly.**

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Resolution No. 4384, as follows:

Whereas, God Almighty in His infinite wisdom, has summoned Father O'Kelly to his heavenly reward; and

Whereas, Father O'Kelly had been for many years the Director of the Apostleship of the Sea, catering to the wants of seamen of all creeds; and

Whereas, his loss will be deeply felt by the Church and the City of San Francisco, particularly by all seafaring men; now, therefore, be it



Resolved, That when this Board adjourns, it does so out of respect to the loving memory of Father O'Kelly; and, be it further

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board be directed to forward a copy of this resolution to the family of the deceased.

*Unanimously adopted by rising vote.*

**Statement on Result of Vote on Proposition No. 13, Garrison Revenue Bond Act, at Election of November 8, 1938.**

Supervisor Colman presented:

I call the attention of the Board of Supervisors to the attitude of the voters on Proposition No. 13 on the state ballot, which, in my opinion, should have a special significance to the members of our Board. The Legislature passed by practically a unanimous vote, the Garrison Bill, which provided among other provisions, for the issuance of revenue bonds by a majority vote of the electorate and also provided a means of evading the bonded debt limit provision set up by law.

The bill was prevented from becoming a law by the filing of a referendum petition. As a result of this, the bill came before the voters for their approval or disapproval. The passage of the Garrison Bill would have made it easier to go into debt by reducing the now necessary majority of two-thirds of the vote cast to a bare majority and would have made it possible to evade the bonded debt limits as heretofore set up.

Throughout the entire state the voters expressed themselves in no uncertain terms. No. 13, the Garrison Revenue Bond Act, was overwhelmingly defeated, the last available unofficial vote returns for the state being YES—511,305, NO—1,350,861, or nearly three to one. In our sister city of Los Angeles, the vote cast against it was approximately two and one-half to one, but naturally it is the vote of the City and County of San Francisco which interests us the most. This is the fifth time in approximately ten years that our voters have gone on record against revenue bonds, this time by a larger most overwhelming vote by far, namely YES—50,638, NO—133,051, or better than two and one-half to one.

Surely this is an indication which cannot be ignored of what San Franciscans think of revenue bonds. This is my interpretation of their action: The voters of San Francisco would have made it easier to go into debt by letting down the bars from a two-thirds majority to a bare majority and they opposed ignoring or evading the bonded debt limit as established in our charter. Our citizens are proud of the financial standing of our city and would not make any change that would tend to undermine that standing.

**Reply to Foregoing Statement**

Supervisor McSheehy replied to the foregoing statement, as follows:

I wish my statement on this same particular matter to be printed in the Journal.

Members of the Board, the Garrison Act was an act presented to the last Assembly and Senate of our State. It was passed almost unanimously by both Houses and signed by the Governor, and it had for its effect the allowing of any political subdivision in the State to bond itself for any purpose whatsoever in the line of utility bonds. The Governor signed it and it became the law. A referendum vote was taken up, and one cannot take up a referendum vote in the State of California without a great cost. The proper number of signatures were signed to it and it was duly investigated by the Secretary of State and certified to, and went on the ballot. Of my own knowledge, I know that a huge sum of money was spent to defeat the act. What the amount was, I do not know.

The act was so written that it called for a "No" vote. You have a wonderful memory. May I state I can see no real reasons for the presentation of this particular statement by the Supervisor who just made it. Only an attempt to gloat over a condition which was created in the State of California by certain large interests that expended huge sums of money for defeating a bill which means to the people of this State of California a reduction some day of the monies that they are paying for electric power throughout the State of California. We know that their representatives are well established, those elected to represent this State of California in the legislative halls of our state. That was proven at the last session of the Legislature and perhaps it might be proven again, we don't know. Whether we have those representatives on this Board I don't know but I do know what we have in Sacramento.

Now, I will say this to you, Mr. President, I will carefully prepare a statement in writing, one week from today, as a rebuttal to the statement made by Supervisor Colman. I will ask our Clerk for a copy of his statement which we have heard today. You can publish my verbal statement as you wish, but one week from today I will make and present to this Board a written statement in rebuttal to the statement made by Supervisor Colman.

**President of the Board to Appoint Three Members of the Board to Attend Inaugural Ceremonies for the Governor, January 2, 1939.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 4379, as follows:

Resolved, That the President of the Board of Supervisors be and he is hereby authorized to appoint a committee of three members of this Board to attend the inaugural ceremonies for the Governor at Sacramento on Monday, January 2, 1939.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Appointment of Committee.**

Thereupon, the President announced that he would appoint Supervisor McSheehy as Chairman and would appoint the other two members of the committee at a later date.

**Requesting Mayor and Chief of Police to Provide Watchmen With Badges and Police Authority.**

(Code No. 11.01)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 4380, as follows:

Whereas, the watchmen who patrol the City Hall during the night, work under a serious disadvantage in that they are not clothed with some indicia of authority; and

Whereas, such a condition not only endangers the watchmen, but impairs the efficiency of their operations; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Director of Public Works be and is hereby requested to consult with his Honor the Mayor and the Chief of Police to the end that the watchmen shall be vested with police authority and that they shall be provided with suitable badges to indicate such authority.

*Referred to Fire, Safety and Police Committee.*



**Franchise for Distribution of Gas and Electricity for Other Than Lighting Purposes, by Pacific Gas and Electric Company.**

November 14, 1938.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors.

Gentlemen: On October 31st, 1938, I submitted a resolution calling attention that the Pacific Gas and Electric Company was selling and disposing of gas and electricity for other than lighting purposes, without a franchise.

This resolution, which was No. 4351, Code No. 15.09, was referred to the Public Utilities Committee, of which I am a member. A meeting was held on November 3, 1938. At this meeting the City Attorney stated that his office would be placed in an embarrassing position on account of this resolution, as he was instructed by this Board to defend the City in a suit against the United States Government for the sale of power generated at Hetch Hetchy. He also stated that a mistake would be made if we attempted charging a franchise tax on power that we are selling direct to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company.

On November 7, 1938, one week ago today, I read to you a letter calling your attention to the City of Los Angeles, who was in the exact position that we are in today, and they made a very advantageous contract with the power companies, and gave to them a franchise for the distribution of gas and electricity, the details I didn't know till now, but during the week I spent several days in Los Angeles seeking first-hand information as to the details that resulted in the City of Los Angeles selling \$47,000,000 of revenue bonds, and paying the same for the distribution plant of the power companies in Los Angeles.

Gentlemen, I am now going to withdraw Resolution No. 4351, Code No. 15.09, now pending before the utilities of this Board.

Very truly yours,

JAMES B. McSHEEHY, Supervisor.

**Requesting That an Injunction Be Issued Against Pacific Gas and Electric Company for the Distribution of Gas and Electricity for Power Purposes Here in San Francisco, as They Have No Franchise So to Do.**

(Code No. 15.096)

Resolution No. 4381, as follows:

Whereas, on December 19, 1913, President Wilson signed the Raker Act, which gave to the City of San Francisco the right to use the waters from Hetch Hetchy for domestic purposes and the right to impound these waters so as to generate hydro-electric power;

Whereas, this act is a contract with the Government and three Secretaries of the Interior have told us that we are violating the Raker Act by selling power to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, instead of distributing it ourself;

Whereas, it has taken twenty-five years at a cost of \$160,000,000 to complete Hetch Hetchy;

Whereas, seven bond issues were carried by the City of San Francisco for Hetch Hetchy the citizens voting ten to one, and four times when they were requested to vote on the bond issues for a distributing system these bond issues were defeated;

Whereas, in the annual report of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company to the California Railroad Commission for the year 1933 they set up an expenditure of \$45,500 as an advertising item for defeating the bond issues dated August 26, 1930. Also, in the annual report for the year 1936, there was shown an expenditure of \$150,389 for donation to fraternal organizations charged as operating expenses. The last two bond issues that the City took up were treated in the same manner by the expenditure of large sums of money by the Pacific Gas and Electric Company;

Whereas, the gross receipts as shown by the California State Rail-

road Commission report for the year 1936 for the sale of gas and electricity in San Francisco was \$24,150,470 and it is estimated that this year, 1938, the gross receipts will be about twenty-seven millions of dollars;

Whereas, on April 11, 1938, the United States Circuit Court rendered a decision in the form of an injunction that will go into effect December 28, 1938, prohibiting the City of San Francisco from selling the power generated in Hetch Hetchy to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company amounting to about \$2,300,000. If this injunction is sustained by the higher court and the estimated time is not extended in its enforcement on the date specified we will find ourself in a position that we cannot meet our current bills for the fiscal year 1938 and 1939. Which will mean a deficit of \$1,200,000, and the only way this deficit can be met is by laying off City employees in various departments;

Whereas, the cost of a special election is about \$50,000 and if we hold one for the purpose of bonding our City for either revenue bonds or obligation bonds, for the distribution of our power generated in Hetch Hetchy, this money will be wasted. The Pacific Gas and Electric Company will treat these bond issues just as they have treated those in the past, defeat them by the expenditure of a large sum of money that they will charge to their maintenance or advertising cost;

Whereas, some nine years ago, the City of Los Angeles was in the same position that we are in today. The power companies were distributing gas and electricity for power and heating without a franchise. Two suits were filed, one in 1929 and one in 1931, an injunction was rendered by the Superior Court of Los Angeles against the power companies, an appeal was taken to the State Supreme Court. During the interim of some 13 months a compromise was reached in which revenue bonds to the amount of 47 million dollars were issued, and Los Angeles today is the proud owner of its own distributing plant, whereby an average rate of electricity throughout the entire city of Los Angeles is 25 per cent less than that here in San Francisco and a sliding scale is so arranged that the manufacturers throughout the City of Los Angeles are receiving rates of almost 50 per cent less than the manufacturers we have here in San Francisco are receiving;

Whereas, a precedent has been established by the City of Los Angeles in their settlement with the power companies as to the distributing of hydro-electric power showing conclusively that we have the sole right in reference to the granting of a franchise. All we have to do is to file suit requesting an injunction prohibiting the use of our streets by the Pacific Gas and Electric Company and we will be in the same position that the City of Los Angeles was in, and we can make the same terms by so doing with the Pacific Gas and Electric Company.

Resolved, That the City Attorney be instructed to file suit, no later than November 26, 1938, in the Superior Court of this city requesting that an injunction be issued against the Pacific Gas and Electric Company for the distribution of gas and electricity for power and heating purposes, as they have no franchise so to do.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

**Mayor Requested to Appoint Citizens' Committee for Observance of Independence Day, July 4, 1939.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Mead presented:

Resolution No. 4382, as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and he is hereby respectfully requested to appoint a suitable Citizens' Committee for the proper observance of Independence Day, July 4, 1939.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.



### Widening of Columbus Avenue.

Supervisor Ratto called attention to the proposed widening of Columbus avenue by reducing the width of sidewalks, stating that he did not think it right to penalize property owners by making them bear the cost of moving sub-surface retaining walls, etc., for the widening of a street, the benefit of which would be for the whole city, inasmuch as the proposed widening is planned to take care of traffic over the Golden Gate Bridge. Supervisor Ratto urged rescinding action previously taken, or amendment to ordinance whereby the replacement of sub-surface facilities, etc., must be performed by property owners. He announced he would report further at a later date.

### Rapid Transit Bus Proposal.

Supervisor Uhl called attention to bus proposal presented by himself in November, 1937, and referred to the Public Utilities Commission for study and report, and moved that the Public Utilities Commission be requested to make a report thereon, in detail, showing cost of operation, investment, etc.

No objection and so ordered.

### Widening of Post Street.

Supervisor Uhl announced that it was his intention to call out from Streets Committee the matter of widening of Post street. However, after statements by Supervisor Ratto, Chairman of the Streets Committee, and Supervisor Shannon, as to the consideration thereof in Committee, Supervisor Uhl expressed his willingness to hold in abeyance for a week his request for calling the matter out from Committee.

### Franchise Tax, Market Street Railway Company.

Supervisor Uhl inquired as to the disposition of check for \$46,065.71, received from the Market Street Railway Company, in payment of franchise tax. In reply to Supervisor Uhl's inquiry, City Attorney O'Toole stated that it was his opinion that the company had forfeited, by the tardiness of its payment, any of its franchise rights, and would so report in writing to the Joint Committee of Finance and Public Utilities to which the matter had been referred. Thereupon, President Shannon announced that the matter would be considered by committee on Friday, November 18, 1938.

### Reclassification of Property in Marina District.

The following communication from the City Planning Commission, re ordinance proposing the rezoning of property in the Marina District, previously referred to the Commission for its consideration, was read by the Clerk:

*Re: Ordinance Proposing the "Use" Reclassification of Property bounded by Laguna, Beach, Marina, Webster and Lewis Streets.*

November 14, 1938.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco, Calif.

Gentlemen:

By letter of transmittal dated November 9th, you forwarded a copy of an ordinance proposing the Use Reclassification of the property in the area as above described. As is required by Section 117 of the Charter you properly submitted aforesaid ordinance for the report and recommendation of this Commission.

By implication, it would appear from a reading of the Charter that the instituting of a proceeding to propose by ordinance the reclassification of property can properly be done. The same section of the Charter, however, sets forth, affirmatively, a definite procedure for

filing applications directly with this department for proposing such reclassifications. The latter proceeding, i. e., by direct application to the Commission, has by custom become the usual one to follow and it is felt the optional procedure of proposing changes by ordinance introduced to the Board of Supervisors should not be adopted unless it be shown in each particular instance that a proceeding instituted by application directly to the Commission would not afford the proponents of a change to the Zoning Ordinance a full and ample remedy for the purposes sought to be accomplished.

It is respectfully submitted, in the interests of your Department as a whole and individually as Members of the Board of Supervisors, that unless you require justification be given for the introduction of such ordinances, in lieu of applications directly to this Commission, you might conceivably find yourselves constantly being beseeched to do the same thing for every citizen and property owner and thereby circumvent the direct proceeding by application as specifically set forth by Charter provisions.

If in this particular instance the proponents can show that a proceeding instituted by application direct to this Commission would not provide them with a full remedy for the results sought to be accomplished let your records so show in the interests of orderly inter-departmental procedure. If such showing of justification is made as will substantiate the introduction of the ordinance then return same and it will in turn receive all due consideration of this Commission as is required by Charter. In the meantime, the ordinance heretofore submitted is returned with the recommendation that same be disapproved by your Honorable Board on the technical grounds that a proceeding by application should be instituted directly with the City Planning Commission instead of by ordinance before the Board of Supervisors.

By order of the City Planning Commission.

Respectfully submitted,

MARK JORGENSEN, Secretary,  
City Planning Commission.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. Mark Jorgensen, Secretary, City Planning Commission, representing the Commission, explained the reasons prompting the action of the Commission in re-referring the proposed ordinance to the Board with its adverse recommendation. Mr. Martin Minney, representing the Marina Home Owners Association and Marina Improvement Association, stated that due to government ownership of land on two sides of that sought to be rezoned it was considered necessary to seek the rezoning by ordinance of the Board of Supervisors.

Thereupon, the chair announced that the entire matter, including the communication from the City Planning Commission, was *referred to the Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee.*

#### Final Passage.

The following emergency measures, recommended by the Finance Committee, were *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Appropriating \$1,240 for Creation of Position of General Clerk Stenographer, Police Department. Emergency Ordinance.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1761, Ordinance No. 9.051524, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$1,240 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation 809.101 to the credit of Appropriation 809.101, creating an additional position of one B-408 General Clerk-Stenographer in the Police Department, an emergency ordinance.



Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$1,240 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation 809.101.00 to the credit of Appropriation 809.101.00 to provide funds for the compensation of one B-408 General Clerk-Stenographer for the balance of this fiscal year, in the Police Department, and said position is hereby created.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists in order to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the Police Department.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

**Establishing One Permanent Employment, General Clerk Stenographer, Police Department. Emergency Ordinance.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1762, Ordinance No. 9.053168, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 11 (Police Department) of Ordinance 9.053128 by establishing as a permanent employment 1 General Clerk-Stenographer position at \$155 per month, which position has been continued over a period of 16 months as a temporary employment; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

**Section 1.**

Section 11 of Ordinance 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 11. POLICE DEPARTMENT**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3		Commissioner .....	\$ 100
2	1		Chief of Police.....	600
3	1		Deputy Chief of Police.....	450
4	1		Property Clerk .....	300
5	1		Police Surgeon .....	200
6	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	175
7	1		Department Secretary .....	400
8	1	B310a	Tabulating Alphabetic Key Punch Operator .....	150
8½	1	B310b	Tabulating Numerical Key Punch Operator .....	165
8¾	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
9	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
10	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	230
11		B420	Phonographic Reporter (as needed) \$12.50 day plus transcriptions	
12	7	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
13	1		Director of Criminal Information.....	333.33
14	1		Director of Personnel.....	333.33
15	1		Director of Special Services .....	250
<b>BUREAU OF INSPECTORS</b>				
16	1		Captain of Inspectors .....	416.66
17	75		Inspector .....	230
18	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
19	1	D152	Criminologist .....	300

## Section 11. POLICE DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
20	3	Q20	Police Women .....	200
21	7	Q60	Lieutenants .....	250**
22	1	Q62	Photographer, Police Department.....	225
UNIFORM FORCE				
23	1		Supervising Captain .....	333.33
24	1		Captain of Traffic .....	333.33
25	1		Inspector of Motor Vehicles.....	230
26	1		Inspector of Junior Traffic.....	230
27	1		Inspector of Horses and Equipment.....	230
28	1		Inspector of Repairs and Maintenance...	230
29	3	D52	Jail Matron .....	175
30	1	D52	Jail Matron .....	170
31	1	I14	Junior Chef .....	195
32	10	J70	Hostlers .....	180
33	3	O158	Motor Boat Operator.....	200
34	1	O158	Motor Boat Operator (Relief) at rate of	200
35	966	Q2	Policemen .....	200
36	25	Q30	Police Patrol Driver.....	200
37	164	Q50	Sergeant .....	220**
38	36	Q60	Lieutenant .....	250**
39	*12	Q80	Captain .....	300**

\*Not more than nine positions to be filled. Appropriation Ordinance provides for only nine positions.

\*\*In event of a vacancy in this rank the position may be abolished and the number of Policemen correspondingly increased without amendment of this ordinance and the Policeman's position may be filled subject to the provisions of Sections 1 and 2 hereof.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists in order to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the Police Department.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

Amending Ordinance No. 12.2022, Granting Spur Track Permit to Walkup Drayage & Warehouse Company, Hawthorne Street.

(Code No. 12.20)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Bill No. 1763, Ordinance No. 12.2024, as follows.

Amending Ordinance No. 12.2022 entitled "Granting Permission, Revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Walkup Drayage and Warehouse Company, to lay down, construct, maintain and operate standard gauge spur tracks in Hawthorne Street between Howard and Folsom streets, as hereinafter described", by adding a new provision thereto, regulating the hours during which cars may be switched.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Ordinance No. 12.2022, the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors is hereby granted to Walkup Drayage and Warehouse Company, to lay down, construct, maintain and operate standard gauge spur tracks along and upon Hawthorne street between Howard and Folsom streets, said location being more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Track No. 1. Beginning at a point in the existing track in the cen-



ter of Hawthorne street approximately 113 feet northerly from the northerly line of Folsom street, thence northerly on a curve to the right to a point in the easterly line of Hawthorne street approximately 203 feet northerly from the northerly line of Folsom street, thence into private property.

Track No. 2. Beginning at a point in the existing track in the center of Hawthorne street approximately 126 feet northerly from the northerly line of Folsom street, thence northerly along the center line of Hawthorne street to a point that is 155 feet southerly from the southerly line of Howard street, thence continuing northerly on a curve to the right to a point that is nine (9) feet westerly from the easterly line of Hawthorne street and 75 feet southerly from the southerly line of Howard street.

Provided that said spur tracks shall be laid under the supervision of and to the lines and grades as provided by the Bureau of Engineering, Department of Public Works, and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the tracks, restoration of the pavement, and any additional requirements for surface drainage are to be paid for by the Walkup Drayage and Warehouse Company.

Provided, further, that girder rail is to be installed within the lines of the street; all drainage intercepted by the tracks is to be provided for by constructing catchbasins and connecting the same with the sewer and where the tracks are over or cross over the existing sewer, the sewer shall be reinforced where necessary.

Provided, further, that there shall be no switching of cars upon the aforementioned spur tracts during the hours from 7 a. m. to 7 p. m., and that violation of this provision shall constitute good and sufficient cause for the revocation of said spur track permits.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

#### **Adopted.**

The following recommendation of his Honor the Mayor was taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Hon. William F. Benedict, Member  
Board of Education.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 4383, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. William F. Benedict, member of the Board of Education, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing November 16, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### **Franchise for Distribution of Gas for Other Than Lighting Purposes.**

Supervisor Uhl moved that the City Attorney be directed to advise the Pacific Gas and Electric Company that if it should make an application for permission to distribute gas for heating purposes the application would be considered by the Board of Supervisors.

### Discussion.

Supervisor McSheehy objected to the foregoing being presented in the form of a motion, stating he thought the matter should be in form of a resolution, thus affording, in case of objection by a member of the Board, an opportunity for committee hearing.

Supervisors Reilly and Roncovieri objected to the motion as a more or less idle act, stating that the Board should not invite the Pacific Gas and Electric Company to do anything, but should they make application for a franchise, the Board would, of course, consider that application.

### Motion Withdrawn.

Thereupon, on the advice of the City Attorney that the Board could not take any action until the company had presented an application for a franchise for the distribution of gas for heating and power purposes, and that he felt that the Board should not invite such application, Supervisor Uhl *withdrew* his motion.

### City Attorney to Advise the Board as to Necessary Steps to Be Taken in the Matter of Franchise for Distribution of Gas.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the City Attorney be requested to bring into the Board, at its next meeting, the necessary steps to be taken regarding franchise for distribution of gas.

No objection and *so ordered*.

### Investigation on Rates Charged for Parking Automobiles.

Supervisor Mead requested that the City Attorney be asked if there is any authority for increased rates being charged for parking automobiles during any event or exhibition of public interest, such as a football game.

*So ordered.*

### Congratulations and Felicitations to Supervisor Reilly on His Election as Member of the State Board of Equalization.

Supervisor Uhl congratulated Supervisor Reilly upon his election to the position as member of the State Board of Equalization, and moved, seconded by Supervisor Mead, That the Board of Supervisors regrets it is going to lose Mr. George Reilly as a member of the Board.

*Motion carried unanimously.*

Supervisor Reilly responded briefly to congratulations and felicitations offered by Supervisors Uhl, Roncovieri and Shannon.

### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 3:50 p. m., adjourned.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, November 21, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, David A. Barry, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

DAVID A. BARRY,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.



SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

Vol. 33

No. 49

Monday, November 21, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 21, 1938, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, November 21, 1938, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

Supervisor Brown was noted present at 2:15 p. m.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of November 14, 1938, was considered read and approved.

## Correction in Journal.

Supervisor Ratto called attention to error in Journal of November 7, 1938, which the Clerk was directed to have corrected.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

Amending Salary Ordinance, Department of Public Health, to  
Change Title of Veterinarian to Chief Abattoir Inspector, at  
Same Salary.

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 1747, Ordinance No. 9.053166, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 54 (a) (Department of Public Health—Central Office) of Ordinance No. 9.053128 by decreasing the number of employments under Item 27 from 3 to 2 N62 Veterinarian at \$201; by increasing the number of employments under Item 28 from 4 to 5 N62 Veterinarian at \$200, and by eliminating Item 28½ 1 N63 Chief Abattoir Inspector at \$200, and inserting in lieu thereof Item 28½ 1 N63 Chief Abattoir Inspector at \$201.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 54 (a) of Ordinance No. 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 54(a). **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)**

**STATISTICS**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
17	1	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 190
18	1	B222	General Clerk .....	185
19	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	190
20	2	B238	Hospital Statistician .....	190
21	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
22	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125

**MEAT INSPECTION**

23	8	N56	Market Inspector .....	175
24	6	N56	Market Inspector .....	200
25	1	N58	Chief Market Inspector .....	225
26	8	N60	Abattoir Inspector .....	200
27	2	N62	Veterinarian .....	201
28	5	N62	Veterinarian .....	200
28½	1	N63	Chief Abattoir Inspector.....	201

**COMMUNICABLE DISEASES**

29	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
29½	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time).	79.50
30	4	J74	Rat Catcher .....	115
30½	2	J74	Rat Catcher .....	110
31	4	L370	Epidemiologist (part time).....	225
32	1	L371	Director, Bureau of Communicable Diseases (part time) .....	350
33	1	P60	Supervising Nurse, Bureau of Communicable Diseases .....	165

**SYPHILIS UNIT**

34	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
35	1	P102	Registered Nurse .....	135
36	1	L360	Physician .....	15¢

**CLINICS**

*Diagnostic Center*

37	2	L360	Physician (part time) .....	15¢
38	1	L364	Pediatrician (part time).....	100
39	1	P52	Field Nurse .....	165

*Bureau of Mental Hygiene*

40	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time)	75
41	1	L404	Psychologist .....	175
42	4	L404	Psychologist .....	150
43	1	L404	Psychologist (part time) .....	75
44	1	L408	Psychiatrist (part time).....	200
45	1	L408	Psychiatrist (part time).....	150

**BACTERIOLOGICAL LABORATORY**

46	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
47	1	C102	Janitress . . . . .	75
47½	1	I204	Porter (deduct for B. R. & L.).....	85
48	1	L52	Bacteriological Laboratory Technician (part time) .....	79.50
49	2	L52	Bacteriological Laboratory Technician..	125
50	1	L56	Bacteriologist . . . . .	225
51	3	L56	Bacteriologist . . . . .	175



Section 54(a). DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
52	1	L58	Director of Laboratories .....	275
53	1	L60	Bacteriological Milk Inspector .....	250
54	1	L64	Consultant, Bacteriologist (part time).	75

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Amending Salary Ordinance, Department of Public Health, to  
Reflect Reclassification of Two Positions of General Clerk to  
General Clerk-Typist, at Same Salary.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1748, Ordinance No. 9.053167, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 58 (Department of Public Health—San Francisco Hospital) of Ordinance No. 9.053128 by decreasing the number of employments under Item 3½ from 12 to 10 B222 General Clerk (part time) (deduct 1 meal) at \$79.50, and by adding Item 12½ 2 B512 General Clerk-Typist (part time) deduct 1 meal) at \$79.50.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 58 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 58. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 175
2	1	B222	General Clerk .....	160
3	1	B222	General Clerk .....	125
3½	10	B222	General Clerk (part time) (deduct 1 meal) . . . . .	79.50
4	1	B234	Head Clerk (deduct for B., R. & L.)....	285
5	1	B238	Hospital Statistician .....	190
6	1	B238	Hospital Statistician .....	160
7	6	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
8	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	100
9	8	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time).	79.50
10	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
11	2	B454	Telephone Operator .....	135
12	1	B454	Telephone Operator (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	125
12½	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist (part time) (deduct 1 meal).....	79.50
13	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
14	1	C6	Supt. of Building T. B. Hosp. ....	200
15	2	C152	Watchman .....	145
16	2	E108	Electrician .....	237.50
16½	82	I2	Kitchen Helper (deduct for R. & L.)...	75
17	1	I6	Pastry Cook .....	175
17½	1	I10	Cook's Assistant (deduct for R. & L.)..	95
17¾	7	I10	Cook's Assistant (deduct for R. & L.)..	75
18	8	I12	Cook .....	165
19	1	I16	Chef .....	200
20	8	I54	Waitress .....	110
21	8	I56	Waiter .....	110
21½		I102	Inmate Help (not over \$50).....	

**Section 53. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
22	152	I116	Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	85
23	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
24	1	I122	House Mother (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	125
25	1	I122	House Mother (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	87.50
26	14	I152	Flat Work Ironer.....	90
27	17	I154	Laundress .....	100
28	1	I156	Starcher .....	130
29	1	I158	Sorter .....	130
30	1	I164	Marker and Distributor .....	130
31	1	I166	Wringerman .....	136.33
32	2	I170	Washer .....	135
33	1	I172	Head Washer .....	155
34	1	I178	Superintendent of Laundry .....	200
34½	144	I204	Porter (deduct for B. R. & L.).....	85
35	1	I206	Porter Sub-Foreman (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	92
36	1	I208	Porter Foreman (deduct for B., R. & L.)	100
37	1	I210	Head Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.)....	140
38	2	I254	Seamstress .....	90
39	1	I256	Head Seamstress (deduct for B., R. & L.)	150
40	1	O58	Gardener (deduct for B. R. & L.).....	87.50

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Authorizing Exchange of City Land for School Site in Merced Manor.**

(Code No. 12.1742)

Also, Bill No. 1749, Ordinance No. 12.17421, as follows:

Authorizing exchange of City land for school site in Merced Manor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 92 of the Charter, and in accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Education, the Director of Property, in lieu of sale, is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for trading the first four parcels of City-owned land described in Ordinance No. 12.172216, to the Lang Realty Corporation, or its agent, in exchange for certain real property hereinafter referred to as Parcel A.

Section 2. Said four parcels of City-owned land are situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and are more particularly described as Parcels 1, 2, 3 and 4, in said Ordinance No. 12.172216, Bill No. 1639, approved by the Mayor of San Francisco on August 9, 1938, which parcels were proposed to be sold under the provisions of said ordinance.

Section 3. The Director of Property has made an appraisal of all of said real property and estimates the value of the land to be traded to the Lang Realty Corporation, or its agent, to be \$7,000 less than the value of the parcel to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 4. Said Parcel A hereinbefore referred to is situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and is more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at a point on the southerly tangent line of Eucalyptus drive (the bearing of which tangent line is taken to be north 89 degrees 08 minutes 09 seconds west for the purpose of this description),



said point of commencement being the northwesterly extremity of that certain curve with a radius of 10 feet which connects said tangent line with the westerly tangent line of Nineteenth avenue, as said curve and said tangent lines are shown on Map of Merced Manor, which was filed January 2, 1932, in Book "M" of Maps, pages 53 to 56, inclusive, in the Recorder's Office of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California; running thence north 89 degrees 08 minutes 09 seconds west along said southerly tangent line 1292.47 feet to the true point of beginning; thence running north 89 degrees 08 minutes 09 seconds west along said southerly tangent line 486.3 feet; thence south 0 degrees 51 minutes 51 seconds west at right angles to said southerly tangent line 91.36 feet to the center line of a certain sewer; thence along said sewer center line south 3 degrees 50 minutes east 214.46 feet and south 33 degrees 41 minutes east 120.61 feet; thence leaving said sewer line north 75 degrees 04 minutes 10 seconds east 152.618 feet, north 42 degrees 08 minutes 40 seconds east 175.876 feet, north 71 degrees 09 minutes 20 seconds east 105.952 feet, south 89 degrees 08 minutes 09 seconds east parallel with said southerly tangent line 37.706 feet and north 0 degrees 51 minutes 51 seconds east at right angles to said southerly tangent line 195 feet to the true point of beginning.

Being a portion of the Rancho Laguna de la Merced in said City and County of San Francisco.

Section 5. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed, or deeds, for the conveyance of the first four parcels of land described in said Ordinance No. 12.172216 to the Lang Realty Corporation, or its agent. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the grantee upon receipt of the necessary deed to Parcel "A," and shall record the latter deed.

Section 6. The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to draw a warrant on the Treasurer in the amount of \$7,000 against the San Francisco Unified School District Reserve for Capital Outlay to the order of California Pacific Title & Trust Company as agent for the Lang Realty Corporation, to compensate for the difference in valuations referred to in Section 3 of this ordinance.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Appropriating \$23,626.85 to Reimburse Water Department Stores Revolving Fund.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1750, Ordinance No. 9.051521, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$23,626.85 from the surplus existing in the Water Department Revenue Fund to the credit of Water Department's Stores Revolving Fund, representing the unrecovered value of the stores which have been disposed of.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$23,626.85 is hereby appropriated from the surplus existing in the Water Department Revenue Fund to the credit of the Water Department's Stores Revolving Fund, the said amount representing the unrecovered value of the stores which have been disposed of.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Accepting the Roadway of Normandie Terrace Between Broadway and Vallejo Street.**

(Code No. 12.0811)

The following recommendations of the Streets Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

Bill No. 1752, Ordinance No. 12.081147, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Normandie terrace between Broadway and Vallejo street, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Normandie terrace between Broadway and Vallejo street, including the curbs.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Accepting the Roadway of Encline Court Between Marietta Drive and the Easterly Termination of Encline Court.**

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 1753, Ordinance No. 12.081148, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Encline court between Marietta drive and the easterly termination of Encline court, including the crossing of Encline court with Del Vale avenue, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Encline court between Marietta drive and the easterly termination of Encline court, including the crossing of Encline court with Del Vale avenue, including the curbs.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Changing Walk Widths on Irwin Street Between Seventh and Eighth Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1754, Ordinance No. 12.0731138, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Two Hundred and Fifty-four (254) thereof.



Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office October 11, 1938, by amending Section Two Hundred and Fifty-four thereof to read as follows:

Section 254. The width of sidewalks on Irwin street between Third and Fourth streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Irwin street between Sixth and Seventh streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Irwin street between Seventh and Eighth streets shall be 10 feet.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Changing and Establishing Grades on Innes Avenue, and on Certain Other Streets in the Hunters Point District.

(Code No. 12.0722)

Also, Bill No. 1755, Ordinance No. 12.072241, as follows:

Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Innes avenue between a line 664 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street and Lane street; and on certain other streets in the Hunters Point District.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 22nd day of August, 1938, by Resolution No. 4191, declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Innes avenue between a line 664 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street and Lane street; and on certain other streets in the Hunters Point District.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for two days, and the Director of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said Resolution of Intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than thirty days have elapsed since the first publication of said Resolution of Intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

<i>Innes Avenue:</i>	<i>Feet</i>
664 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	176
(The same being the present official grade)	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 150 feet northwesterly from Jennings street	172.40
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 250 feet northwesterly from Jennings street..	165.16
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 350 feet northwesterly from Jennings street..	148.27
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, 160 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	128.69
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, 120 feet southeasterly from Keith street .....	121.81
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, 80 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	118.56

<i>Innes Avenue (Continued):</i>		<i>Feet</i>
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points		
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 160 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....		128.69
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 120 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....		121.86
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 80 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....		118.72
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points		
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Keith street		115.68
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Keith street		116.38
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly, and 13 feet southeasterly from Keith street center line.....		115
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 50 feet northwesterly from Keith street.....		119.36
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 225 feet southeasterly from Lane street.....		141.27
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 125 feet southeasterly from Lane street.....		142.31
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 25 feet southeasterly from Lane street.....		132
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations		
Lane street .....		128
(The same being the present official grade)		
<i>Jerrold Avenue:</i>		<i>Feet</i>
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Donahue street .....		87.35
(The same being the present official grade)		
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Donahue street .....		88.65
(The same being the present official grade)		
Northeasterly line of, at points 22 feet northwesterly and 22 feet southeasterly from Donahue street center line.....		86
(The same being the present official grade)		
Southwesterly line of, at points 22 feet northwesterly and 22 feet southeasterly from Donahue street center line.....		90
(The same being the present official grade)		
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 50 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....		92.75
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 150 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....		102.25
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 200 feet northwesterly from Donahue street....		106.51
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 250 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....		109.80
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations		
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 200 feet southeasterly from Earl street.....		118.20
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 150 feet southeasterly from Earl street.....		120.55
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 100 feet southeasterly from Earl street.....		122
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations		
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Earl street.....		124
Southwesterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Earl street center line.....		125



*Jerrold Avenue (Continued):**Feet*

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 130 feet northwesterly from Earl street.....	122.55
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 180 feet northwesterly from Earl street.....	121.55
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 230 feet northwesterly from Earl street.....	119.66
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 170 feet southeasterly from Fitch street.....	110.30
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 150 feet southeasterly from Fitch street.....	109.55
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 130 feet southeasterly from Fitch street.....	109.18
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Fitch street.....	108
Southwesterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Fitch street center line.....	109
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 96 feet northwesterly from Fitch street.....	105.37
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 146 feet northwesterly from Fitch street.....	103.28
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 196 feet northwesterly from Fitch street.....	99.79
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 314 feet southeasterly from Griffith street.....	92.21
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 264 feet southeasterly from Griffith street.....	88.25
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 216 feet southeasterly from Griffith street..	84.78
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Griffith street.....	71
Northeasterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Griffith street center line.....	70
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 178 feet southeasterly from Hawes street.....	86.20
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 128 feet southeasterly from Hawes street.....	88.30
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 78 feet southeasterly from Hawes street.....	91.00
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Hawes street southeasterly line.....	95.68
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Hawes street northwesterly line.....	99.52
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 58 feet northwesterly from Hawes street.....	103
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 108 feet northwesterly from Hawes street.....	106.68
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 158 feet northwesterly from Hawes street.....	111.71
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 382 feet southeasterly from Ingalls street.....	118.57

*Jerrold Avenue (Continued):*

Feet

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 282 feet southeasterly from Ingalls street.....	124.48
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 182 feet southeasterly from Ingalls street.....	119.36
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Ingalls street.....	100
Northeasterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Ingalls street center line.....	100
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 290 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	129.86
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 340 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	136.01
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 390 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	144.19
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Jennings street southeasterly line.....	182.80
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet southeasterly from Jennings street center line.....	183.75
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet southeasterly from Jennings street center line.....	183.75
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly from Jennings street center line.....	185.05
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly from Jennings street center line.....	185.05
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Jennings street northwesterly line.....	186.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	204.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 150 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	209.90
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 200 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	209.55
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 254 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	199.46
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 204 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	196.77
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 154 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	195.62
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Keith street northwesterly line.....	194.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 278 feet southeasterly from Lane street.....	180.15
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 228 feet southeasterly from Lane street.....	177.55
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 178 feet southeasterly from Lane street.....	174.06
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 50 feet southeasterly from Lane street.....	163.94
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Lane street..	159.35
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Lane street..	160.65
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Lane street center line.....	158.00
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Lane street center line.....	162.00



*Jerrold Avenue (Continued):* *Feet*

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 50 feet northwesterly from Lane street.....	154.84
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 292 feet southeasterly from Mendell street.....	128.16
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 242 feet southeasterly from Mendell street.....	121.39
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 192 feet southeasterly from Mendell street.....	111.43
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
Mendell street .....	67.00
(The same being the present official grade)	

*Kirkwood Avenue:*

Northeasterly line of, at points 22 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Donahue street center line.....	96.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
Southwesterly line of, at points 22 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Donahue street center line.....	94.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Donahue street northwesterly line .....	95.33
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Donahue street northwesterly line .....	94.67
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....	107.78
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, 150 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....	113.65
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, 200 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....	118.83
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....	107.56
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 150 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....	113.59
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 200 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....	118.83
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 200 feet southeasterly from Earl street.....	138.17
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 150 feet southeasterly from Earl street.....	142.62
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 100 feet southeasterly from Earl street.....	146.33
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Earl street.....	153.00
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Earl street center line.....	152.00
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Earl street center line.....	153.00
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Fitch street..	158.35
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Fitch street..	159.65
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Fitch street center line.....	157.00
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Fitch street center line.....	161.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 50 feet northwesterly from Fitch street.....	157.25

**Kirkwood Avenue (Continued):****Feet**

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Griffith street.....	138.00
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Griffith street center line.....	139.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 172 feet northwesterly from Griffith street.....	139.90
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 272 feet northwesterly from Griffith street.....	142.84
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 372 feet northwesterly from Griffith street.....	149.48
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Hawes street southeasterly line.....	168.80
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Hawes street northwesterly line.....	172.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 208 feet northwesterly from Hawes street.....	190.44
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 308 feet northwesterly from Hawes street.....	195.43
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 408 feet northwesterly from Hawes street.....	192.69
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Ingalls street.....	180.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 250 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	190.84
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 300 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	194.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 350 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	199.16
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 50 feet southeasterly from Jennings street.....	223.84
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Jennings street	229.35
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Jennings street	230.65
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Jennings street center line.....	228.00
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Jennings street center line.....	232.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 50 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	236.41
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 106 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	243.59
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 156 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	249.09
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 206 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	252.78
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 314 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	257.23
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 264 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	259.21
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 214 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	259.62
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Keith street.....	258.00
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Keith street center line.....	259.00



*Kirkwood Avenue (Continued):**Feet*

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 97 feet northwesterly from Keith street.....	252.72
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 147 feet northwesterly from Keith street.....	249.25
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 197 feet northwesterly from Keith street.....	244.31
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 178 feet southeasterly from Lane street.....	218.69
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 128 feet southeasterly from Lane street.....	212.37
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 78 feet southeasterly from Lane street.....	204.80
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Lane street.....	192.00
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Lane street center line.....	192.00
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Lane street center line.....	192.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 88 feet northwesterly from Lane street.....	180.52
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 138 feet northwesterly from Lane street.....	172.66
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 188 feet northwesterly from Lane street.....	162.15
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 242 feet southeasterly from Mendell street.....	121.85
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 192 feet southeasterly from Mendell street.....	110.29
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 142 feet southeasterly from Mendell street.....	99.33
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
Mendell street easterly line.....	69.00
(The same being the present official grade)	

*La Salle Avenue:*

Northeasterly line of, at Donahue street.....	70.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
Southwesterly line of, at Donahue street.....	66.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Donahue street northwesterly line .....	68.65
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Donahue street northwesterly line .....	67.35
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....	91.91
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 180 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....	111.04
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 230 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....	121.57
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 280 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....	129.25
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 300 feet southeasterly from Earl street.....	131.75

*La Salle Avenue (Continued):**Feet*

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 250 feet southeasterly from Earl street.....	136.94
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 200 feet southeasterly from Earl street.....	140.00
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Earl street.....	148.00
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Earl street center line.....	149.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 280 feet southeasterly from Fitch street.....	157.52
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 230 feet southeasterly from Fitch street.....	159.72
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 180 feet southeasterly from Fitch street.....	163.37
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 120 feet southeasterly from Fitch street.....	168.63
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 70 feet southeasterly from Fitch street.....	172.08
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 20 feet southeasterly from Fitch street.....	173.71
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Fitch street.....	174.00
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Fitch street center line.....	174.00
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Griffith street.	179.35
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Griffith street.	180.65
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Griffith street center line.....	178.00
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Griffith street center line.....	182.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Griffith street.....	186.66
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Hawes street southeasterly line.....	220.00
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet southeasterly from Hawes street center line .....	220.59
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly from Hawes street center line .....	221.41
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Hawes street northwesterly line.....	222.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 342 feet southeasterly from Ingalls street.....	242.18
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 242 feet southeasterly from Ingalls street.....	247.11
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 142 feet southeasterly from Ingalls street.....	246.28
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Ingalls street.....	241.00
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Ingalls street center line.....	241.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 250 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	242.67
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 300 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	243.63



<i>La Salle Avenue (Continued):</i>		Feet
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 350 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....		245.83
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations		
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Jennings street.....		260.00
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Jennings street center line.....		260.00
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Jennings street center line.....		260.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 136 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....		265.85
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 186 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....		267.76
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 236 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....		269.20
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations		
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 174 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....		273.80
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 124 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....		274.50
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 74 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....		274.20
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations		
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Keith street.....		273.00
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Keith street center line.....		273.00
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Keith street center line.....		272.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 192 feet northwesterly from Keith street.....		226.19
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 242 feet northwesterly from Keith street.....		214.93
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 292 feet northwesterly from Keith street.....		205.54
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations		
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, 278 feet southeasterly from Lane street.....		200.46
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, 228 feet southeasterly from Lane street.....		191.31
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, 178 feet southeasterly from Lane street.....		180.81
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points		
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 278 feet southeasterly from Lane street.....		200.46
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 228 feet southeasterly from Lane street.....		191.24
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 178 feet southeasterly from Lane street.....		180.52
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points		
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Lane street southeasterly line .....		140.95
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Lane street southeasterly line .....		139.65
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet southeasterly from Lane street center line .....		142.30
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly from Lane street center line .....		141.00

<i>La Salle Avenue (Continued):</i>	<i>Feet</i>
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet southeasterly from Lane street center line .....	138.30
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly from Lane street center line .....	137.00
10 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Lane street northwesterly line.....	137.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
35 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Lane street northwesterly line.....	138.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
10 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Lane street northwesterly line.....	145.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
Northeasterly line of, at Lane street northwesterly line.....	145.00
(The same being the present official grade)	

*McKinnon Avenue:*

Northeasterly line of, at Donahue street.....	38.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
Southwesterly line of, at Donahue street.....	34.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, 20 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....	40.32
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....	51.77
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, 180 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....	56.76
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 20 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....	39.28
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....	51.51
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 180 feet northwesterly from Donahue street.....	56.76
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 60 feet southeasterly from Earl street.....	64.68
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Earl street southeasterly line.....	66.63
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 60 feet northwesterly from Earl street, southeasterly line .....	69.86
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 150 feet northwesterly from Earl street.....	79.78
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 200 feet northwesterly from Earl street.....	83.94
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 250 feet northwesterly from Earl street.....	90.00
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations.	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 300 feet southeasterly from Fitch street.....	97.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 200 feet southeasterly from Fitch street.....	108.16
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 100 feet southeasterly from Fitch street.....	113.65
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	



*McKinnon Avenue (Continued):**Feet*

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Fitch street southeasterly line.....	116.30
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Fitch street northwesterly line.....	118.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 164 feet southeasterly from Griffith street.....	135.94
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 114 feet southeasterly from Griffith street.....	139.67
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 64 feet southeasterly from Griffith street.....	146.77
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Griffith street southeasterly line .....	158.00
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 30 feet southeasterly from Griffith street.....	152.74
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Griffith street southeasterly line .....	156.68
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 30 feet northwesterly from Griffith street southeasterly line.....	158.00
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Griffith street center line.....	159.00
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Griffith street northwesterly line .....	158.00
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 30 feet southeasterly from Griffith street northwesterly line.....	158.00
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Griffith street northwesterly line .....	159.26
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 30 feet northwesterly from Griffith street.....	163.05
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 102 feet northwesterly from Griffith street.....	175.17
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 202 feet northwesterly from Griffith street.....	187.54
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 302 feet northwesterly from Griffith street.....	191.00
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Hawes street.....	188.00
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Hawes street center line.....	189.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 38 feet northwesterly from Hawes street.....	195.72
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 138 feet northwesterly from Hawes street.....	210.65
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 238 feet northwesterly from Hawes street.....	214.90
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Ingalls street.....	211.00
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Ingalls street center line.....	212.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 150 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	219.25
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 200 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	221.69
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 250 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	223.50

**McKinnon Avenue (Continued):****Feet**

Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 250 feet southeasterly from Jennings street.....	226.50
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 200 feet southeasterly from Jennings street.....	228.62
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 150 feet southeasterly from Jennings street.....	232.00

Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 50 feet southeasterly from Jennings street.....	240.00
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Jennings street	244.65
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Jennings street	243.35
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Jennings street center line.....	246.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	243.19
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, 164 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	240.41
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, 114 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	238.64
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, 64 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	234.15

Vertical curve passing through the last three described points

13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 164 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	240.41
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 114 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	238.49
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 64 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	233.58

Vertical curve passing through the last three described points

13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Keith street southeasterly line .....	226.65
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Keith street southeasterly line .....	225.35
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Keith street center line.....	228.00
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Keith street center line.....	224.00
15 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Keith street northwesterly line .....	227.25
15 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Keith street northwesterly line .....	224.75
Northeasterly and southwesterly curb lines 50 feet northwesterly from Keith street.....	215.13
Northeasterly and southwesterly curb lines, 225 feet northwesterly from Keith street.....	177.06

(The same being the present official grade)

**Newcomb Avenue:**

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Fitch street.....	50.00
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Fitch street center line.....	49.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 86 feet northwesterly from Fitch street.....	55.03
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line, 136 feet northwesterly from Fitch street.....	65.70



*Newcomb Avenue (Continued):**Feet*

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 186 feet northwesterly from Fitch street.....	69.77
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 124 feet southeasterly from Griffith street.....	71.61
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 74 feet southeasterly from Griffith street.....	73.39
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 24 feet southeasterly from Griffith street.....	77.95
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Griffith street southeasterly line.....	80.81
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Griffith street northwesterly line.....	88.42
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 22 feet northwesterly from Griffith street.....	91.05
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 72 feet northwesterly from Griffith street.....	96.14
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 122 feet northwesterly from Griffith street.....	99.50
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 222 feet northwesterly from Griffith street.....	104.50
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 272 feet northwesterly from Griffith street.....	106.48
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 322 feet northwesterly from Griffith street.....	107.45
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Hawes street southeasterly line.....	110.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Hawes street northwesterly line.....	112.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 188 feet northwesterly from Hawes street.....	130.96
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 238 feet northwesterly from Hawes street.....	134.84
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 288 feet northwesterly from Hawes street.....	136.40
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 162 feet southeasterly from Ingalls street.....	137.60
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 112 feet southeasterly from Ingalls street.....	138.75
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 62 feet southeasterly from Ingalls street.....	141.41
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Ingalls street southeasterly line.....	145.64
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Ingalls street northwesterly line.....	150.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Jennings street.....	184.00
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Jennings street center line.....	183.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 46 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	182.61

*Newcomb Avenue (Continued):**Feet*

13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 66 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	181.68
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 86 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	180.12
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 166 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	172.59
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 236 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	168.51
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 306 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	169.46
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
Keith street .....	184.00
(The same being the present official grade)	

*Oakdale Avenue:*

30 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 114 feet southeasterly from Jennings street.....	132.16
(The same being the present official grade)	
30 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 64 feet southeasterly from Jennings street .....	133.63
30 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 14 feet southeasterly from Jennings street.....	134.39
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
15 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 114 feet southeasterly from Jennings street.....	132.16
(The same being the present official grade)	
15 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 64 feet southeasterly from Jennings street.....	133.30
15 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 14 feet southeasterly from Jennings street.....	133.02
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
12 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 64 feet southeasterly from Jennings street.....	140.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
12 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 34 feet southeasterly from Jennings street.....	140.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
30 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Jennings street southeasterly line.....	134.50
15 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Jennings street southeasterly line.....	132.75
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Jennings street center line.....	136.00
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Jennings street center line.....	132.00
12 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Jennings street northwesterly line.....	136.00
15 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Jennings street northwesterly line.....	132.75
12 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 70 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	123.86
12 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 150 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	111.17
12 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 230 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	120.80



*Oakdale Avenue (Continued):**Feet*

Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
30 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 70 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	120.26
30 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 150 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	110.76
30 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 230 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	114.80
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
15 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 70 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	119.33
15 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 150 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	110.46
15 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 230 feet northwesterly from Jennings street.....	114.52
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
12 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 250 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	137.00
12 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 200 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	142.15
12 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 150 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	144.13
(The same being the present official grade)	
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
30 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 250 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	131.00
30 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 200 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	136.16
30 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 150 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	138.13
(The same being the present official grade)	
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
15 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 250 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	130.31
15 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 200 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	135.33
15 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 150 feet southeasterly from Keith street.....	137.26
(The same being the present official grade)	
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	

*Earl Street:*

Abolish grades between Innes and Jerrold avenues.	
At points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Jerrold avenue southwesterly line....	125.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 50 feet southwesterly from Jerrold avenue	135.50
At points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 100 feet southwesterly from Jerrold avenue	144.12
At points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 150 feet southwesterly from Jerrold avenue	149.00
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
At points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Kirkwood avenue northeasterly line...	152.00
Northwesterly and southeasterly lines of, 27 feet southwesterly from Kirkwood avenue northeasterly line.....	153.00

<i>Earl Street (Continued):</i>	<i>Feet</i>
Northwesterly and southeasterly lines of, 27 feet northeasterly from Kirkwood avenue southwesterly line.....	153.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Kirkwood avenue southwesterly line..	153.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 60 feet southwesterly from Kirkwood avenue .....	156.82
At points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 110 feet southwesterly from Kirkwood avenue .....	157.68
At points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 160 feet southwesterly from Kirkwood avenue .....	153.89
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
At points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at La Salle avenue northeasterly line...	149.00
At points on the northwesterly and southeasterly lines of, 27 feet southwesterly from La Salle avenue northeasterly line	148.00
At points on the northwesterly and southeasterly lines of, 27 feet northeasterly from La Salle avenue southwesterly line	148.00
Abolish grades between La Salle avenue and McKinnon avenue southwesterly line.	

*Fitch Street:*

Abolish grades between Innes and Jerrold avenues.	
At points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Jerrold avenue southwesterly line....	109.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Kirkwood avenue northeasterly line .....	157.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Kirkwood avenue southwesterly line .....	161.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 30 feet southwesterly from Kirkwood avenue.....	166.25
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 80 feet southwesterly from Kirkwood avenue.....	172.71
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 130 feet southwesterly from Kirkwood avenue.....	174.59
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at La Salle avenue northeasterly line.....	174.00
Abolish grades between La Salle and Newcomb avenues.	
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Newcomb avenue southwesterly line.....	49.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Oakdale avenue northeasterly line.....	14.00
Northwesterly line of, 30 feet southwesterly from Oakdale avenue northeasterly line.....	13.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
Northwesterly line of, 15 feet northeasterly from Oakdale avenue southwesterly line.....	13.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
Southeasterly line of, 30 feet southwesterly from Oakdale avenue northeasterly line.....	13.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
Southeasterly line of, 15 feet northeasterly from Oakdale avenue southwesterly line.....	13.00
(The same being the present official grade)	



*Griffith Street:*

	<i>Feet</i>
Innes avenue .....	22.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from center line of, at Jerrold avenue northeasterly line.....	70.00
Abolish grades between Jerrold and Kirkwood avenues.	
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Kirkwood avenue southwesterly line.....	139.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at La Salle avenue northeasterly line.....	178.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at La Salle avenue southwesterly line.....	182.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 70 feet southwesterly from La Salle avenue.....	176.40
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 100 feet southwesterly from La Salle avenue.....	173.47
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 130 feet southwesterly from La Salle avenue.....	169.50
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at McKinnon avenue northeasterly line.....	159.00
Abolish grades between McKinnon and Oakdale avenues.	

*Hawes Street:*

Abolish grades between Innes and La Salle avenues.	
13 feet northwesterly from the center line of, at La Salle avenue southwesterly line.....	221.41
13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at La Salle avenue southwesterly line .....	220.59
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 50 feet southwesterly from La Salle avenue.....	213.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at McKinnon avenue northeasterly line.....	189.00
Abolish grades between McKinnon and Oakdale avenues.	

*Ingalls Street:*

Abolish grades between Jerrold and La Salle avenues.	
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at La Salle avenue, southwesterly line.....	241.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 20 feet southwesterly from La Salle avenue.....	240.89
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 70 feet southwesterly from La Salle avenue.....	237.92
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 120 feet southwesterly from La Salle avenue.....	229.60
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at McKinnon avenue northeasterly line.....	212.00
Abolish grades at McKinnon and Oakdale avenues.	

*Jennings Street:*

Northwesterly and southeasterly lines of, 27 feet southwesterly from Innes avenue northeasterly line.....	176.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
Northwesterly and southeasterly lines of, 27 feet northeasterly from Innes avenue southwesterly line.....	176.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Innes avenue southwesterly line.....	176.00
(The same being the present official grade)	

**Jennings Street (Continued):****Feet**

13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 50 feet northeasterly from Jerrold avenue.....	182.30
13 feet northwesterly from the center line of, at Jerrold avenue northeasterly line .....	185.05
13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Jerrold avenue northeasterly line .....	183.75
Southeasterly line of, 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from Jerrold avenue center line.....	182.80
Northwesterly line of, 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from Jerrold avenue center line.....	186.00
13 feet northwesterly from the center line of, at Jerrold avenue southwesterly line .....	185.05
13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Jerrold avenue southwesterly line .....	183.75
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 50 feet southwesterly from Jerrold avenue.....	195.30
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Kirkwood avenue northeasterly line.....	228.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Kirkwood avenue southwesterly line.....	232.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 50 feet southwesterly from Kirkwood avenue.....	242.80
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 100 feet southwesterly from Kirkwood avenue.....	249.20
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 150 feet southwesterly from Kirkwood avenue.....	254.00
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at La Salle avenue northeasterly line.....	260.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at La Salle avenue southwesterly line.....	260.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 60 feet southwesterly from La Salle avenue.....	259.45
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 110 feet southwesterly from La Salle avenue.....	257.30
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 160 feet southwesterly from La Salle avenue.....	251.78
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at McKinnon avenue northeasterly line.....	246.00
Abolish grades between McKinnon and Newcomb avenues.	
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Newcomb avenue southwesterly line.....	183.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Oakdale avenue northeasterly line.....	136.00
Southeasterly line of, 30 feet southwesterly from Oakdale avenue northeasterly line.....	134.50
Southeasterly line of, 15 feet northeasterly from Oakdale avenue southwesterly line.....	132.75
Northwesterly line of, 12 feet southwesterly from Oakdale avenue northeasterly line.....	136.00
Northwesterly line of, 30 feet southwesterly from Oakdale avenue northeasterly line.....	134.50
Northwesterly line of, 15 feet northeasterly from Oakdale avenue southwesterly line.....	132.75
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Oakdale avenue southwesterly line.....	132.00
Palou avenue northeasterly line.....	89.00
(The same being the present official grade)	



*Keith Street:**Feet*

Southeasterly line of, at Hudson avenue southwesterly line.. (The same being the present official grade)	77.20
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Hudson avenue southwesterly line.....	76.50
Northwesterly line of, at Hudson avenue southwesterly line.. (The same being the present official grade)	77.20
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Innes avenue northeasterly line.....	115.00
Abolish grades between Innes and Kirkwood avenues.	
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Kirkwood avenue southwesterly line.....	259.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 130 feet northeasterly from La Salle avenue.....	268.34
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 80 feet northeasterly from La Salle avenue.....	273.02
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 30 feet northeasterly from La Salle avenue.....	273.75
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at La Salle avenue northeasterly line.....	273.00
Northwesterly and southeasterly lines of, 27 feet southwesterly from La Salle avenue northeasterly line.....	273.00
Northwesterly and southeasterly lines of, 27 feet northeasterly from La Salle avenue southwesterly line.....	273.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at La Salle avenue southwesterly line.....	272.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at McKinnon avenue northeasterly line.....	228.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at McKinnon avenue southwesterly line.....	224.00
Newcomb avenue .....	184.00
(The same being the present official grade)	

*Lane Street:*

Innes avenue .....	128.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Jerrold avenue northeasterly line.....	158.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Jerrold avenue southwesterly line.....	162.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 60 feet southwesterly from Jerrold avenue.....	177.27
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 110 feet southwesterly from Jerrold avenue.....	184.48
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 160 feet southwesterly from Jerrold avenue.....	188.66
Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations	
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Kirkwood avenue northeasterly line.....	192.00
Northwesterly and southeasterly lines of, 27 feet southwest- erly from Kirkwood avenue northeasterly line.....	192.00
Northwesterly and southeasterly lines of, 27 feet northeast- erly from Kirkwood avenue southwesterly line.....	192.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Kirkwood avenue southwesterly line.....	192.00
13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, 50 feet northeasterly from La Salle avenue.....	154.23
13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at La Salle avenue northeasterly line.....	142.30

<i>Lane Street (Continued):</i>	<i>Feet</i>
13 feet northwesterly from the center line of, at La Salle avenue northeasterly line.....	141.00
Southeasterly line of 27 feet southwesterly from La Salle avenue, northeasterly line.....	140.95
Southeasterly line of, 27 feet northeasterly from La Salle avenue southwesterly line.....	139.65
Northwesterly line of, at La Salle avenue northeasterly line..	145.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
Northwesterly line of, 10 feet southwesterly from La Salle avenue northeasterly line.....	145.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
Northwesterly line of, 35 feet southwesterly from La Salle avenue northeasterly line.....	138.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
Northwesterly line of, 10 feet northeasterly from La Salle avenue southwesterly line.....	137.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
13 feet northwesterly from the center line of, at La Salle avenue southwesterly line.....	137.00
13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at La Salle avenue southwesterly line.....	138.30
Southeasterly line of, at McKinnon avenue.....	108.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
Northwesterly line of, at McKinnon avenue.....	106.00
(The same being the present official grade)	

On Innes avenue, between a line 664 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street, and Lane street on Jerrold avenue between Donahue street and Mendell street, on Kirkwood avenue between Donahue street and Mendell street, on La Salle avenue between Donahue street and Lane street, on McKinnon avenue between Donahue street and a line 225 feet northwesterly from Keith street, on Newcomb avenue between Fitch street and Keith street, on Oakdale avenue between a line 114 feet southeasterly from Jennings street and a line 150 feet southeasterly from Keith street, on Earl street between Innes avenue and McKinnon avenue southwesterly line, on Fitch street between Innes avenue and Oakdale avenue, on Griffith street between Innes avenue and Oakdale avenue, on Hawes street between Innes avenue and Oakdale avenue, on Ingalls street between Jerrold avenue and Oakdale avenue, on Jennings street between Innes avenue and Palou avenue, on Keith street between Hudson avenue and Newcomb avenue, and on Lane street between Innes avenue and McKinnon avenue, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### **Amending Traffic Ordinance by Repealing Section 37c Thereof.**

(Code No. 11.02)

Also, Bill No. 1757, Ordinance No. 11.0244, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series) entitled "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith," *by repealing Section 37c, "No Parking Day or Night on Saturdays, Sundays and Holidays Only."*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:



Section 1. Ordinance No. 7691, the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by repealing Section 37c thereof.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Indefinitely Postponed.**

The following matter was *indefinitely postponed* by the following vote:

**Creating Underground District, Columbus Avenue, Between Union Street and the North Line of Bay Street.**

(Code No. 11.12)

Bill No. 1758, Ordinance No. 11.1225, as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled, "Providing for Placing Wires and Conduits Underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section to be known as Section AAAA.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Order No. 214 (Second Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding a new section to be known as Section AAAA.

Section AAAA. An additional district to those heretofore described, within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires except trolley poles and wires, after the permanent improvement by physical widening of Columbus avenue, between Union street and the north line of Bay street, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 93. Columbus avenue, between Union street and the north line of Bay street.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

## **NEW BUSINESS.**

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Refunds of Erroneous and Duplicate Payments of Taxes.**

(Code No. 9.059)

Resolution No. 4385, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and they are hereby authorized to be paid to the following, being refunds of erroneous payments of taxes, as follows:

*From Duplicate Tax Fund—Appropriation 905.*

1. S. A. Schwartz, per Vol. 16, Bill 2554, Lot 39, Block 2171 2d installment, Fiscal Year 1937.....	\$ 4.84
2. Marie F. Marchi, per Vol. 24, Bill 698, Lot 59, Block 3587, 2d installment, Fiscal Year 1937.....	21.10
3. Clara Bankey, per Vol. 27, Bill 2281, Lot 27, Block 4162/4197, both installments, Fiscal Year 1936; \$5.68 per Vol. 27, Bill 2276, Lot 27, Block 4162/4197, both installments, Fiscal Year 1937, \$5.80 .....	11.48
4. Dr. J. L. Branick, per Vol. 40, Bill 422, Lot 7, Block 6597, both installments, Fiscal Year 1937.....	140.52

5. Joseph M. Sala, per Vol. 24, Bill 1513, Lot 17, Block 3602, 2d installment, Fiscal Year 1936..... 25.16
6. Sweeper-Vac Company, per Vol. 4, Page 63, Line 5, 1938 Un-secured Personal Property Rolls..... 2.71

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Appropriation of \$450 From Surplus in 1937 Equipment Fund, Palace of the Legion of Honor, to Provide Funds for Landscaping at the California Palace of the Legion of Honor.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1764, Ordinance No. 9.051525, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$450 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation Number 717.400.00 to the credit of Appropriation Number 817.313.00 for the purpose of providing funds for landscaping at the California Palace of the Legion of Honor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$450 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation Number 717.400.00 to the credit of Appropriation Number 817.313.00 for the purpose of providing funds for landscaping at the California Palace of the Legion of Honor.

Recommended by Director Palace of Legion of Honor.

Approved by Board of Trustees California Palace Legion of Honor.

Approved by the Mayor.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Amendment to Salary Ordinance, Department of Electricity, by Adding Item 30, 3 Machinists, at \$215.25 Per Month, Under Heading "Interdepartmental," and Transferring Item 29, 2 Cable Splicer Helpers, From Regular Organization to Interdepartmental Organization.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1765, Ordinance No. 9.053169, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 53 (Department of Electricity) of Ordinance 9.053128 by adding Item 30 3 M254 Machinist at \$215.25 per month thereto under the sub-heading "Interdepartmental," and by transferring Item 29 2 E155 Cable Splicer Helper at \$8 per day from the regular organization to the interdepartmental organization.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 53 of Ordinance 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:



## Section 53. DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A354	Painter at \$9.75 per day.....	
2	1	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 225
3	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
4	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	250
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
6	4	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
7	1	E2	Line Inspector .....	225
8	16	E4	Electrical Inspector .....	250
9	1	E8	Chief Electrical Inspector .....	260
10	8	E52	Fire Dispatcher .....	225
11	1	E54	Chief Fire Dispatcher .....	275
12	1	E108	Electrician .....	240
13	1	E108	Electrician at \$10 per day.....	
14	1	E110	Radio Maintenance Man at \$8 per day..	
15	1	E116	Superintendent of Plant, Department of Electricity .....	325
16	1	E154	Lineman .....	220
17	10	E154	Lineman .....	215
18	2	E154	Lineman .....	185
19	1	E156	Cable Splicer at \$9.50 per day.....	
20	2	E160	Foreman Lineman .....	240
21	1	F366	Chief, Department of Electricity.....	500
22	3	J4	Laborer at \$6 per day.....	
23	1	J12	Labor Foreman .....	195
24	1	J66	Garageman .....	162.50
25	1	J76	Traffic Button Maintenance Man at \$9 per day .....	
26	2	M254	Machinist .....	225
27	5	M260	Instrument Maker .....	225
28	1	M264	Foreman Instrument Maker .....	250

## INTERDEPARTMENTAL

The following positions are in interdepartmental service and the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

29	2	E155	Cable Splicer's Helpers at \$8 per day	
30	3	M254	Machinist .....	215.25

Approved by Civil Service Commission.

Approved by Personnel Director.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Authorizing and Directing the City Attorney to Settle and Compromise the Claim of the City and County of San Francisco Against the Estate of Joseph W. Daly, Deceased.**

(Code No. 6.0229)

Also, Bill No. 1766, Ordinance No. 6.022235, as follows:

Authorizing and directing the City Attorney to settle and compromise the claim of the City and County of San Francisco against the Estate of Joseph W. Daly, Deceased.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney of the City and County of San Fran-

cisco having recommended the settlement and compromise of its claim against the Estate of Joseph W. Daly, Deceased, No. 78031 of the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, for the payment of six hundred (\$600) dollars to the City and County of San Francisco in full payment and satisfaction of its claim on file against the said estate; and it appearing that said compromise is fair and reasonable, the City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle and compromise the above mentioned claim by the payment of six hundred (\$600) dollars in full settlement of all amounts claimed by said City and County of San Francisco against the said estate; said payment to be made to the City and County of San Francisco.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Establishing Revolving Funds for the Recorder and Providing for the Operation of Said Funds and Appropriating the Moneys Therefor.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1767, Ordinance No. 9.051526, as follows:

Establishing revolving funds for the Recorder and providing for the operation of said funds and appropriating the moneys therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There are hereby established the following revolving funds for the Recorder:

(a) The Recorder's Change Fund, the amount of which shall not exceed \$50.

(b) The Recorder's Revolving Fund, the amount of which shall not exceed \$50.

Section 2. The Recorder's Change Fund shall be used by the Recorder's cashier for the purpose of making change for those persons who pay the prescribed statutory fees for the recordation of documents and for other services performed by the Recorder.

Section 3. The Recorder's Revolving Fund shall be used to pay expenses incident to the conduct of the Recorder's office which cannot be conveniently paid by warrants drawn upon the Treasurer of the City and County. All expenditures from the said Recorder's Revolving Fund shall be made in accordance with rules and regulations of the Controller.

Section 4. The Recorder shall keep a full, true and correct account of all moneys received for or disbursed from said Recorder's Revolving Fund, and shall at least once during each month after the establishment of said fund, render to the Controller a full, true and correct account of all disbursements made from said fund, together with proper vouchers supporting said disbursements, and upon said disbursements being approved by the Controller, the said Controller shall draw his warrant in favor of the said Recorder for the aggregate amount of said disbursements, and when the amount of said warrant so drawn is received by the Recorder, it shall be placed to the credit of said Recorder's Revolving Fund.

Section 5. For the purpose of providing funds for the establishment of said funds, there is hereby appropriated from the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 830.101.00 1938-1939 Annual Appropriation Ordinance, the sum of \$100, and the Controller is directed to draw



respective warrants in favor of the Recorder for the respective amounts mentioned in Section 1 of this ordinance.

Recommended by Edmond Godchaux, Recorder.

Recommended by Arthur E. Curtis, Director, Finance and Records.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Funds available H. J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved as to form Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Adopted.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

### Providing for Issuance and Redemption of "School Bonds 1938."

(Code No. 21.31)

Resolution No. 4390, as follows:

Providing for the issuance and redemption of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco authorized by special election held September 27, 1938, for the acquisition, construction and completion of new schoolhouse buildings, including furnishings and structures necessary to be used in and about said school buildings; prescribing the date and form of said bonds and the time of payment thereof; authorizing their execution and providing for the levy and collection of taxes sufficient for the payment thereof.

Whereas, all the requirements of law have been complied with in calling and holding the special election held in the City and County of San Francisco on the 27th day of September, 1938, at which election the proposition to issue bonds of said City and County in the principal sum of \$2,800,000 for the object and purpose of acquiring, constructing and completing the following municipal improvement, to wit: New schoolhouse buildings in the City and County of San Francisco and the acquisition of certain lands therefor, including the furnishings and structures necessary to be used in and about said schoolhouse buildings, was submitted to the voters of said City and County; and the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco did meet and canvass the returns of said election and did as the result of such canvass determine and declare, and do hereby certify, that said proposition received at said special election the affirmative vote of more than two-thirds of all of the voters voting on said proposition at said election, and was thereby carried and adopted by said election, and the issuance of all of said bonds by said City and County was duly authorized; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Said Board of Supervisors hereby determines that the United States of America, by and through one of its agencies, to wit, the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works, has made and agreed to make a grant of moneys in aid of said improvement hereinabove recited, satisfactory to this Board of Supervisors, of approximately forty-five (45) per cent of the estimated cost of said project (exclusive of land) for which said bonds are proposed to be issued as determined by the Administrator of the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works, and that all conditions prescribed in the ordinance calling the election to authorize the issuance of said bonds have been fulfilled, and that, accordingly, bonds for the

purpose mentioned in the proposition voted upon at said election may be issued by said City and County.

Section 2. That bonds of the City and County of San Francisco in the aggregate principal sum of two million eight hundred thousand (\$2,800,000) dollars, to be known as "School Bonds 1938," shall issue to pay the cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of the municipal improvement of said City and County set forth in the following proposition, to wit:

PROPOSITION NO. 4: Shall the City and County of San Francisco incur a bonded indebtedness in the principal amount of \$2,800,000 for the acquisition, construction and completion of the following municipal improvement, to wit New schoolhouse buildings in the City and County of San Francisco and the acquisition of certain lands therefor, including the furnishings and structures necessary to be used in and about said schoolhouse buildings?

Section 3. That said bonds shall be issued as hereinafter set forth, and shall be sold in such manner as the Board of Supervisors shall determine, at not less than their par value and accrued interest. Said bonds shall be negotiable in form, and of the character known as serial, and shall be two thousand eight hundred (\$2,800) in number, numbered consecutively from 1 to 2800, both inclusive, of the denomination of \$1,000 each. All of said bonds shall bear interest from their date until paid, at the rate or rates designated in the bid of the successful bidder at the time of the sale of said bonds but not to exceed six (6) per cent per annum, payable semi-annually. Such interest shall be evidenced by coupons attached to each bond, and each of said coupons shall represent six months' interest on the bond to which it is attached. The principal of and interest on said bonds shall be payable at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York, in lawful money of the United States. Said bonds shall be dated December 1, 1938 (which is hereby fixed as and determined to be the date of the issuance of such bonds), and shall mature and be payable in consecutive numerical order from lower to higher, as follows: \$280,000 principal amount of said bonds shall mature and become payable on December 1st in each of the years 1939 to 1948, both inclusive. Said interest coupons shall be payable on the 1st day of June and the 1st day of December in each year until and at the respective dates of maturity of said bonds, the first coupons on each bond being payable on June 1, 1939.

Section 4. Said bonds shall each be substantially in the following form, the blanks in said form and in the form of coupon given below to be filled with appropriate words or figures, namely:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

No.

\$1,000

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
SCHOOL BOND 1938

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer hereof (unless this bond be registered) on the 1st day of December, 19...., the sum of one thousand dollars (\$1,000), together with interest thereon at the rate of .... per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, on June 1st and December 1st of each year from date hereof until paid, but prior to the date of maturity only (unless this bond be registered), on presentation and surrender



of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in lawful money of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and laws of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken and a vote and the assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting on the proposition of issuing said bonds at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or laws of said State or the Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made, as required by the Constitution and laws of said State and the Charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual ad valorem tax sufficient to pay the principal of and interest on this bond as the same become due. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal of and interest on this bond.

This bond may be registered in the manner provided by law, and thereafter the principal of and interest on this bond shall be payable to such registered owner.

In Witness Whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by the Mayor, by his facsimile signature, and by the Treasurer of said City and County and countersigned by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and has caused the interest coupons attached thereto to be signed by the facsimile signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the 1st day of December, 1938.

.....  
Mayor.

.....  
Treasurer.

.....  
Countersigned:  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

(Coupon)

On ....., 19..., the City and County of San Francisco, California, will (unless the within-mentioned bond be registered) pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York, .....dollars (\$.....) in lawful money of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its 1938 School Bond, dated December 1, 1938, Number.....

.....  
Treasurer.

(Form of Registration)

This bond is registered pursuant to the statute in such cases made and provided in the name of.....

and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

Dated the ..... day of ....., 19....

.....  
Treasurer of the City and County  
of San Francisco.

Section 5. The Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco and the Treasurer of said City and County are hereby authorized and directed, respectively, as such officers, to execute each of said bonds on behalf of said City and County, and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby authorized and directed to countersign each of said bonds and to affix the corporate seal of said City and County thereto. The Mayor of said City and County is hereby authorized to execute said bonds by his lithographed printed or engraved facsimile signature. The Treasurer of said City and County is hereby authorized and directed to sign each of the interest coupons by his lithographed printed or engraved facsimile signature. Such signing, countersigning and sealing, as herein provided, shall be a sufficient and binding execution of said bonds and coupons by said City and County.

Section 6. For the purpose of paying the principal of and interest on said bonds the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy and in the manner for such general tax levy provided, levy and collect during each fiscal year, until such bonds are paid or until there shall be a sum in the treasury of said City and County set apart for that purpose, to meet all sums becoming due for principal and interest on said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on said bonds and also such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time for fixing the next general tax levy. Said tax shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected, and as collected shall be forthwith paid into the fund for which the same was levied and collected. Such fund shall be designated "School Bonds (1938) Interest and Redemption Fund," and all sums to become due for the principal and interest on said bonds shall be paid from such fund. There shall likewise be deposited in said fund any moneys received on account of interest accrued on said bonds from their date to the date of delivery and actual payment of the purchase price thereof.

Section 7. There is hereby created and established a fund to be known as "1938 School Bonds Fund," which shall be kept by the Treasurer of said City and County, and all proceeds of sale of any of said bonds (except accrued interest received on such sale) shall be placed by the Treasurer to the credit of the said fund and shall be kept separate and distinct from all other municipal funds, and shall be applied exclusively to the acquisition, construction and completion of the aforesaid improvement.

Section 8. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is directed to cause a sufficient number of blank bonds and coupons of suitable quality to be prepared, and to cause the blank spaces thereof to be filled in to comply with the provisions hereof, and to procure their execution by the proper officers, and to deliver them, when so executed, to the Treasurer of said City and County, who shall safely keep the same until they shall be sold by the Board of Supervisors; whereupon he shall deliver them to the purchaser or purchasers thereof, on receipt of the purchase price.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.



**Clerk to Advertise Sale of \$1,943,000 School Bonds 1938, on December 5, 1938, at 3 P. M.**

(Code No. 21.31)

Also, Resolution No. 4386, as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to advertise in the official newspaper, a notice of sale that, on the 5th day of December, 1938, the Board of Supervisors will receive sealed proposals up to the hour of 3 p.m. on said date, for the purchase of:

\$1,943,000 1938 School Bonds, dated December 1, 1938.

Said lots of bonds is comprised of 216 bonds of \$1,000 denomination maturing each year from 1940 to 1947, inclusive, and 215 bonds of \$1,000 denomination maturing 1948, bearing interest at a rate or rates not exceeding six per centum per annum, as shall be named by the bidder, and the bidder or bidders shall specify in their bids the interest rates desired not exceeding six per centum per annum. Interest on said bonds will be payable semi-annually June 1 and December 1.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Final Passage.**

The following emergency ordinance, recommended by the Finance Committee, was taken up:

**Authorizing Appropriation of \$5,445,759 Out of the Accrued Surplus Existing in School Bond 1938 Fund (PWA Docket Calif. 1578-F) for the Purpose of Carrying Out the Provisions of the Bond Fund Authorization and the Conditions Contained in the Acceptance of Offer of Federal Grant Under This Docket; an Emergency Ordinance.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1768, Ordinance No. 9.051527, as follows:

Authorizing an appropriation of \$5,445,759 out of the accrued surplus existing in School Bond 1938 Fund (PWA Docket Calif. 1578-F) for the purpose of carrying out the provisions of the bond fund authorization and the conditions contained in the acceptance of offer of Federal grant under this docket; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$5,445,759 is herein appropriated and set aside out of the accrued surplus existing in the School Bond 1938 Fund (PWA Docket Calif. 1578-F) to the credit of the following appropriations for the purposes recited and in the amounts indicated:

*General Project Preliminary, Legal and Administrative Expenses, Including Office Equipment and Supplies, Retirement System, Etc.—*

84-031-00 . . . . .	\$ 12,548	
84-051-00 . . . . .	23,798	\$ 36,346

*Interest During Construction—*

84-058-00 . . . . .	168,000
---------------------	---------

*San Francisco Junior College Division—*

84-040-00—Academic Unit . . . . .	\$1,072,605	
84-060-00—Two Gymnasiums . . . . .	398,740	1,471,345

*George Washington High School—*

84-041-00—Gymnasiums and Athletic Field...	\$ 471,233	
84-061-00—Auditorium . . . . .	249,180	720,413

*Horace Mann Junior High School—*

84-042-00—Gymnasium and Cafeteria . . . . .		124,650
---	--	---------

*Marina Junior High School—*

84-043-00—Auditorium . . . . .		200,123
--------------------------------	--	---------

*Portola Junior High School—*

84-044-00—Auditorium . . . . .		79,275
--------------------------------	--	--------

*Franklin Elementary School—*

84-045-00—Classroom Additions . . . . .		53,155
---	--	--------

*Francis Scott Key School—*

84-046-00—Auditorium . . . . .	\$ 60,775	
84-062-00—Kindergarten . . . . .	37,860	98,635

*Lawton School—*

84-047-00—Auditorium and Kindergarten. . . . .		76,945
--	--	--------

*Mission High School—*

84-063-00—Athletic Field . . . . .		65,155
------------------------------------	--	--------

*Abraham Lincoln Secondary School—*

84-064-00—First Unit . . . . .		748,500
--------------------------------	--	---------

*Outer Mission District Secondary School—*

84-065-00—First Unit . . . . .		882,875
--------------------------------	--	---------

*Samuel Gompers Trades School—*

84-066-00—Second Unit . . . . .		191,090
---------------------------------	--	---------

*Visitacion Valley School—*

84-067-00—Kindergarten . . . . .		25,365
----------------------------------	--	--------

*Glen Park School—*

84-068-00—Kindergarten . . . . .		23,185
----------------------------------	--	--------

*Reserve for Contract Extras and Contingencies—*

84-030-00 . . . . .	\$ 326,450	
84-050-00 . . . . .	154,252	480,702

TOTAL HEREIN APPROPRIATED..... \$5,445,759

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare, by the vote by which this ordinance is passed, that an actual emergency exists, as immediate action is required to comply with the time limitations as established under PWA regulations.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Public Works Director.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

Finally passed by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.



**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Clerk to Advertise Sale of \$1,256,000 Hospital Bonds 1938, on December 5, 1938, at 3 P. M.**

(Code No. 12.123)

Resolution No. 4387, as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to advertise in the official newspaper, a notice of sale that, on the 5th day of December, 1938, the Board of Supervisors will receive sealed proposals up to the hour of 3 p.m. on said date, for the purchase of:

\$1,256,000 Hospital Bonds 1938, dated January 1, 1938.

Said lot of bonds is comprised of 140 bonds of \$1,000 denomination maturing each year from 1940 to 1944, inclusive, and 139 bonds of \$1,000 denomination maturing each year from 1945 to 1948, inclusive, bearing interest at a rate or rates not exceeding six per centum per annum, as shall be named by the bidder, and the bidder or bidders shall specify in their bids the interest rates desired not exceeding six per centum per annum. Interest on said bonds will be payable semi-annually July 1 and January 1.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Final Passage.**

The following emergency ordinance, recommended by the Finance Committee, was taken up:

**Authorizing an Appropriation of \$2,223,470 Out of the Accrued Surplus Existing in Hospital Bond 1938 Fund (PWA Docket Calif. 1902-F) for the Purpose of Carrying Out the Provisions of the Bond Fund Authorization and the Conditions Contained in the Acceptance of Offer of Federal Grant Under This Docket; an Emergency Ordinance.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1769, Ordinance No. 9.051528, as follows:

Authorizing an appropriation of \$2,223,470 out of the accrued surplus existing in Hospital Bond 1938 Fund (PWA Docket Calif. 1902-F) for the purpose of carrying out the provisions of the bond fund authorization and the conditions contained in the acceptance of offer of Federal grant under this docket; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,223,470 is herein appropriated and set aside out of the accrued surplus existing in Hospital Bond 1938 Fund (PWA Docket Calif. 1902-F) to the credit of the following appropriations for the purposes recited and in the amounts indicated:

*General Project Preliminary, Legal and Administrative Expenses, Including Office Equipment and Supplies, Retirement System, Etc.—*

80-901-00 . . . . .	\$ 31,600
---------------------	-----------

*Interest During Construction—*

80-908-00 . . . . .	96,000
---------------------	--------

*Laguna Honda Home—*

80-911-01—Wards "M and N" and Alterations to Wards "K, L, F and G".....	\$775,600	
80-911-06—Alterations to Infirmary and Service Buildings . . . . .	150,790	
80-911-11—Five Elevators—Generator—Pumps, etc. . . . .	120,735	
80-911-16—Concrete Garage . . . . .	25,595	
80-911-21—Furniture and Equipment . . . . .	121,290	1,194,010

*San Francisco Hospital—*

80-912-01—Alterations to Service Building, Pharmacy, Dietitian's Laboratory, X-Ray Rooms . . . . .	\$206,560	
80-912-06—Gate Shelter at Entrance.....	4,256	
80-912-11—Gate Shelter Southeast Wing.....	15,226	
80-912-16—Gate Lodges (3) . . . . .	15,270	
80-912-21—Additions to Laundry Building.....	23,878	
80-912-26—Concrete Garage . . . . .	13,178	
80-912-31—Psychopathic Building — Elevators and Cubicles . . . . .	26,737	
80-912-36—Psychopathic Building — Improve- ments to Grounds . . . . .	46,435	
80-912-41—Furniture and Equipment.....	121,483	473,023

*Hassler Health Farm—*

80-913-01—Kitchen and Dining Room Building.\$	45,215	
80-913-06—New Ward Building with connecting passage . . . . .	105,470	
80-913-11—Children's Building . . . . .	84,350	
80-913-16—Doctor's Residence . . . . .	23,030	
80-913-21—Duplex Residence—Internes and Nurses . . . . .	16,065	
80-913-26—Dormitory Type Cottages (8).....	105,654	
80-913-31—Service Building for Cottages.....	21,743	
80-913-36—Concrete Water Tank—Fire Protec- tion . . . . .	10,105	
80-913-41—Sewage Treatment Plant . . . . .	35,520	
80-913-46—Furniture and Equipment . . . . .	33,292	480,444

*Reserve for Contract Extras and Contingencies—*

80-900-00 . . . . .	8,393
---------------------	-------

<i>Total Hospital Bond 1938 Fund (PWA Docket Calif. 1902-F)</i>	\$2,283,470
<i>Less previously appropriated (Ordinance No. 9.051454)....</i>	60,000

TOTAL HEREIN APPROPRIATED..... \$2,223,470

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare, by the vote by which this ordinance is passed, that an actual emergency exists, as immediate action is required to comply with the time limitations as established under PWA regulations.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Public Works Director.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.



## Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Amending Electrical Inspection Ordinance by Reducing Reregistration Fee From \$100 to \$50.**

(Code No. 11.11)

Bill No. 1776, Ordinance No. 11.118, as follows:

Amending section 7c of Ordinance No. 11.114, entitled "Regulating the doing of electrical and fixture work in or about buildings or structures in the City and County of San Francisco; providing for the enforcement of the ordinance; providing for the registration of all persons, firms or corporations doing such work and for the examination of master electricians or specialty electricians and the issuance of certificates of competency to them; fixing fees for registration and examinations; providing for the appointment of an examining board and for the compensation of said board; fixing fees for renewal of registrations; giving power to the Chief of the Department of Electricity to cancel or suspend certificates of registration and competency; providing for the appeal therefrom; exempting master electricians, specialty electricians now registered, and plant owners from taking examinations; providing for the issuance of permits for doing electrical work and fixture work and fees therefor and for the issuance of certificates of approval; providing for the adoption and promulgation of rules and regulations by the Chief of the Department of Electricity; fixing a standard for the installation, construction and operation of electrical work and fixture work; providing for the condemnation of electrical work not in conformity herewith; forbidding the furnishing of electrical current to said condemned or unapproved installations; fixing penalties for the violation of the ordinance and any rules and regulations adopted under authority hereof; and repealing any and all ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict therewith and particularly Ordinance No. 11.111, Ordinance No. 5192 (New Series) and Ordinance No. 5230 (New Series)," to provide for a reregistration fee of \$50.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 7c of Ordinance No. 11.114, the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 7c. Registration Fees. Before any Master Electrician, Specialty Electrician or Plant Owner shall become registered as provided for in section 7, he shall pay the following fees to cover the cost of handling said registrations, the cost of checking plans and specifications for the rendering of other services necessary for the correct installation and approval of electrical fixture work:

Classification of Registration	Fees For	Fees For
	First Year	Annual Renewal
Master Electrician .....	\$100.00	\$50.00
Specialty Electrician .....	100.00	50.00
Plant Owner .....	10.00	10.00

Passed for second reading by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of Joint Finance and Public Utilities Committee was taken up:

**Recommending the Acceptance of \$46,065.71 From the Market Street Railway Company in Payment of Franchise Tax for the Calendar Year 1937.**

(Code No. 9.029)

Resolution No. 4391, as follows:

Whereas, communications were received from the Controller relative to the acceptance of a check of the Market Street Railway Company in the sum of \$46,065.71 in payment of the franchise tax of said company for the calendar year 1937 involving a question of franchise forfeiture, by reason of the failure of the company to pay said tax within the specified time, and referred by the Board to the City Attorney for his legal opinion; and

Whereas, the City Attorney has advised in view of the law and similar cases considered, that it was his opinion that the courts would not sustain a forfeiture of the present operating permits of the Market Street Railway Company should that be ordered by the Board of Supervisors, and has declared that the Board, in his opinion, is justified in accepting the check; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors, in accordance with said opinion, recommends the acceptance of the check tendered by the Market Street Railway Company in the amount of \$46,065.71, and that the Clerk of this Board be directed to deposit same with the Treasurer to the credit of the proper fund.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up:

**Repealing Ordinance Ordering the Improvement of Carolina Street Between Twenty-second and Twenty-third Streets (Wherenot).**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Bill No. 1770, Ordinance No. 12.0611104, as follows:

Repealing Bill No. 1349, Ordinance No. 12.061175, entitled, "Ordering the Performance of Certain Street Work to be Done in the City and County of San Francisco, Approving and Adopting Specifications Therefor, Describing and Approving the Assessment District, and Authorizing the Director of Public Works to Enter Into Contract for Doing the Same."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill No. 1349, Ordinance No. 12.061175, the title of which is recited above, is hereby repealed.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.



**Consideration Postponed.**

On motion of Supervisor Colman, consideration of the following matter was *postponed until Monday, December 5, 1938*:

**Creating Underground District, Columbus Avenue Between Union and Bay Streets, and Repealing Ordinance No. 11.1225.**

(Code No. 11.12)

Bill No. 1771, Ordinance No. 11.1226, as follows:

An ordinance designating underground District No. 93, Columbus avenue between Union street and the north line of Bay street; and repealing Ordinance No. 11.1225 (Bill No. 1758).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. An additional district within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires except trolley poles and wires, after the permanent improvement by physical widening of Columbus avenue, between Union street and the north line of Bay street, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 93. Columbus avenue, between Union street and the north line of Bay street.

Section 2. Ordinance No. 11.1225 (Bill No. 1758) is hereby repealed.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of the Streets Committee were taken up:

**Accepting the Roadway of Lurmont Terrace, Off the West Side of Leavenworth Street 117 Feet 6 Inches North of and Parallel to the North Side of Greenwich Street.**

(Code No. 12.0811)

Bill No. 1772, Ordinance No. 12.081149, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Lurmont terrace, off the west side of Leavenworth street 117 feet 6 inches north of and parallel to the north side of Greenwich street, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Lurmont terrace, off the west side of Leavenworth street 117 feet 6 inches north of and parallel to the north side of Greenwich street, including the curbs.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Accepting the Roadways of Wabash Terrace, Racine Lane and Beeman Lane.**

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 1773, Ordinance No. 12.081150, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Wabash terrace, Racine lane, and Beeman lane, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having

been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Wabash terrace, Racine lane, and Beeman lane, including the curbs.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Declaring Intention to Close and Abandon Portion of Meade Avenue, Between Bayshore Boulevard and Third Street.**

(Code No. 12.0621)

The following matter was taken up:

Resolution No. 4388, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portion of Meade avenue, lying between Bayshore boulevard and Third street, be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all that portion of Meade avenue, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

All that portion of Meade avenue, lying between Bayshore boulevard and Third street.

Said closing and abandonment of said portion of Meade avenue shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost, and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of Meade avenue in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

On motion by Supervisor Uhl, Mr. Robert J. McGahie, at the request of Mr. Lynch, protestant, was granted the privilege of the floor, and requested consideration of the foregoing resolution be postponed for one week.

**Postponement.**

Thereupon, consideration of the foregoing resolution, on motion by Supervisor Uhl, was *postponed until November 28, 1938.*

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up:

**Sewer Easement, Lawton Street Between Sixteenth Avenue and Seventeenth Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.0824)

Resolution No. 4389, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco



accept that certain deed from Henry Doelger, Builder, Incorporated, dated October 18, 1938, to a sewer easement 10 feet in width, running 90 feet northerly from the northerly line of Lawton street between Sixteenth avenue and Seventeenth avenue, San Francisco.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of the Streets Committee were taken up:

**Changing and Establishing Grades on Armstrong Avenue Between Third and Mendell Streets; Lane and Mendell Streets Between Yosemite and Armstrong Avenues.**

(Code No. 12.0722)

Bill No. 1774, Ordinance No. 12.072242, as follows:

Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Armstrong avenue between Third and Mendell streets; Lane and Mendell streets between Yosemite and Armstrong avenues.

Whereas, The Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 3rd day of October, 1938, by Resolution No. 4268 declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Armstrong avenue between Third and Mendell streets; Lane and Mendell streets between Yosemite and Armstrong avenues.

Whereas, Said resolution was so published for two days, and the Director of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, More than thirty days have elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore, be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

<i>Armstrong avenue:</i>	<i>Feet</i>
Southerly line of, at Third street westerly line.....	10.75
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Northerly line of, at Third street westerly line.....	11.50
(The same being the present official grade.)	
15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 3 feet easterly from Lane street .....	11.63
At a point 15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, cut by a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 3 feet easterly from Lane street.....	11.63
Northerly line of, 12 feet westerly from Lane street easterly line .....	12
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Northerly line of, 12 feet easterly from Lane street westerly line .....	12
(The same being the present official grade.)	
15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 3 feet westerly from Lane street .....	12.06
At a point 15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, cut by a line at right angles to the northerly line of, at Lane street westerly line .....	12
(The same being the present official grade.)	
On a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 441.90 feet westerly from Lane street .....	20.43

*Armstrong avenue (Continued):* *Feet*

15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 525.82 feet westerly from Lane street produced.....	22
15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 570.63 feet westerly from Lane street .....	23.20

Grade at Mendell street be abolished.

*Lane street:*

Westerly line of, at Yosemite avenue.....	19
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Easterly line of, at Yosemite avenue southerly line.....	18.16
(The same being the present official grade.)	
12 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 50 feet north- erly from Armstrong avenue.....	13
12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 50 feet north- erly from Armstrong avenue .....	12.60
Armstrong avenue northerly line .....	12
(The same being the present official grade.)	

On Armstrong avenue between Third and Mendell streets,  
and on Lane and Mendell streets between Yosemite and  
Armstrong avenues be changed and established to conform  
to true gradients between the grade elevations above given  
therefor.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto,  
Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Ordering the Improvement of Portions of Lawton Street (South-  
erly One-Half) Between Thirty-seventh Avenue and Thirty-  
eighth Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 1775, Ordinance No. 12.0611105, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the  
City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifica-  
tions therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and  
authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for  
doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Fran-  
cisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication  
filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 31,  
1938, having recommended the ordering of the following street work,  
the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San  
Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement  
Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said  
work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public  
Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared  
therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his  
office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and  
adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street  
Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San  
Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to  
be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may  
be paid in ten (10) installments; that the period of time after the  
time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeed-  
ing installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of pay-  
ment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest  
to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum  
per annum.

The improvement of portions of: Lawton street (southerly one-half),



between Thirty-seventh avenue and Thirty-eighth avenue, by grading to official line and grade and by the construction of the following:

Item

- | No. | Item   |
|-----|--|
| 1   | Asphaltic concrete-rock sub-base pavement, consisting of a 6-inch compacted rock sub-base, a 4-inch asphaltic concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface. |
| 2   | Unarmored concrete curb.   |
| 3   | 6-inch vitrified clay pipe side-sewers.  |
| 4   | Water services.  |

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated, and numbered respectively as:

Block 1906; Lots 1, 33, 34 and 34-A;

being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **Establishing Walk Widths on Post Street Between Market Street and Van Ness Avenue.**

(Code No. 10.0731)

The following matter was taken up:

Bill No. 1777, Ordinance No. 12.0731139, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Eight Hundred and Thirty-seven (837) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office November 21, 1938, by amending Section Eight Hundred and Thirty-seven (837) thereof, to read as follows:

#### **Section 837:**

The width of sidewalks on Post street between Market and Powell streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Post street (the northerly side of) between Powell and Mason streets shall be 12 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Post street (the southerly side of) between Powell and Mason streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Post street between Mason and Taylor streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Post street between Taylor street and Van Ness avenue shall be 10 feet.

#### **Privilege of the Floor.**

Captain Charles Goff, representing the Police Department, recommended the reduction of sidewalk widths on Post street to ten feet. Mr. Joseph Cumming, representing merchants and property owners on Post street, protested any reduction of sidewalks.

#### **Motion for Indefinite Postponement.**

Supervisor Colman moved that the question of narrowing of sidewalks on Post street be indefinitely postponed.

*No second.*

**Motion for Postponement for One Week.**

Supervisor Ratto moved that the question of fixing sidewalk widths on Post street be postponed for one week, and that the Clerk prepare draft of ordinance to establish the width of sidewalks on Post street from Taylor to Van Ness avenue as they actually exist today.

Supervisor Roncovieri stated that he had no objection to a week's postponement of the matter, but did object to the fixing of the sidewalk width at fifteen feet.

**Consideration Postponed.**

Thereupon, the roll was called on motion for postponement for one week and the preparation of ordinance to establish the width of sidewalks on Post street from Taylor street to Van Ness avenue as they actually exist today and the same was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon—8.

Noes—Supervisors Roncovieri, Uhl—2.

Absent—Supervisor Meyer—1.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS,  
BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR  
REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.****Shipbuilding Industry in San Francisco.**

Supervisor Colman called the attention of the Board to the importance of reviving the shipbuilding industry in San Francisco, and to the efforts of our Congressmen in that direction, and moved that the Mayor be instructed to appoint a committee consisting of members from civic groups, labor groups, patriotic groups and a general representative group of the city's life, in order that we can get behind the efforts of our Congressmen and that something constructive can be done in bringing back the shipbuilding industry to San Francisco.

**Objection.**

Supervisor McSheehy objected to the motion, stating that he intended to ask the President of the Board to appoint three members of the Board to make an intensive study of the matter.

**Motion Withdrawn.**

Following a ruling of the Chair, that because of Supervisor McSheehy's objection, the foregoing motion would have to be referred to Committee, Supervisor Colman stated that he would be glad to have both matters go to Committee, his motion and the intended motion of Supervisor McSheehy. However, he stated, he would be glad to withdraw his motion if Supervisor McSheehy wished to present his own resolution.

Thereupon, Supervisor Colman withdrew his motion, and Supervisor McSheehy stated that he would present a resolution along the same lines at the next meeting of the Board.

**Reintroduction of Motion.**

Subsequently during the proceedings, Supervisor Colman stated that he had found that there was not necessarily any conflict between his motion and that of Mr. McSheehy, with regard to shipbuilding, and that he desired to reintroduce his motion. Accordingly he moved that the Mayor appoint a Citizens' Committee consisting of our legislators and members of civic bodies, patriotic groups and a cross section of the city's life to get to work and try to bring about a resumption of shipbuilding in San Francisco.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee on motion of Supervisor Uhl.*



Supervisor McSheehy read editorial from San Francisco News of November 14, 1938, stressing the importance to San Francisco of the revival of shipbuilding.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

Later during the proceedings Supervisor Uhl announced that he had attended a meeting in the War Memorial Building when the matter of shipbuilding was discussed, and moved that Congressman Welch be invited to appear before the Board on November 28, 1938, at 2:30 p. m., in order that his views on the subject may be presented.

No objection and *so ordered*.

### Comparison of Electric Rates, San Francisco and Los Angeles.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Last Monday, Supervisor Colman made the statement here, in reference to Proposition No. 13 on the last election ballot, some two weeks ago, and I stated that I would present a statement here in writing as a rebuttal of the statement made by Supervisor Colman; I will carefully read it:

San Francisco, California, November 21, 1938.

Statement by Supervisor James B. McSheehy in rebuttal to statements by Supervisor Jesse C. Colman in reference to the result of vote on Proposition No. 13, "Garrison Revenue Bond Act," at the election of November 8, 1938.

Replying to the remarks of Supervisor Colman as to the defeat of Proposition No. 13, "Garrison Revenue Bond Act," at the general election held November 8, 1938, in which he states that the results should be taken as a warning of how people feel in reference to revenue bonds. He stated that the last Legislature passed the Garrison bill by a unanimous vote which proposed among other things, for the issuance of revenue bonds by a majority vote of the electorate. This act was signed by the Governor and became a law of this State which would allow every political subdivision of the State to issue revenue bonds which are not obligation bonds and do not become a lien on any private property and which would enable every political subdivision of the State to issue revenue bonds for any utility that they desired.

He stated that the Garrison Revenue Bond Act was defeated by a vote of almost two and one-half to one, which is correct; but he did not state that Clem Whitaker of San Francisco, Manager of Campaigns, Incorporated, and of the State Association Against the Garrison Act, reported to Secretary of State Frank C. Jordan, in a sworn statement October 31, 1938, that \$70,143 had been expended since September 19th to defeat the act. In a previous report filed with Jordan, Whitaker declared that \$44,170 was spent prior to September 19th to defeat the proposal; making a total of \$114,313.

What other moneys were spent to defeat the act I do not know; I do know that this sum was spent because a sworn statement shows it. The advocates of the act did not spend scarcely a dollar. They are a small group of men who have no selfish interests and have but one desire, to operate public utilities for the people of this State and not for those who live in the East and in Europe, who own the greater part of the stock and bonds of a number of public utility companies that are today monopolizing the sale of power to the many political subdivisions of the State. He did not state that the Charter of Los Angeles permits that city to issue revenue bonds, and the framers of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco took great care that no such legislation was written into our Charter.

SUPERVISOR UHL: "Inserted."

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Los Angeles County represents about half the voting population of this State. They are not interested, and the \$114,313 that was expended influenced a great many people of that city who were not interested in revenue bonds.

He did not state that the Federal Court has given San Francisco only until December 26th to obey the Raker Act and stop selling power to or through the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, and the court has flatly denied two attempts by counsel to disturb this mandate. Whether another request will be made, or whether or not it will be successful, of course, none of us know. But we do know if the mandate is carried out on the date specified San Francisco is facing a loss in this year's budget of \$1,200,000, which will mean the laying off of a number of our City employees. We also know that in making up next year's budget we will find ourselves with an increase of about \$2,300,000, which will mean about 30 cents in the tax rate. He did not tell you that the Secretary of the Interior told the Mayor of this City in no uncertain words that we were not keeping faith with Section 6 of the Raker Act, and that it is his duty as Secretary of the Interior to request an injunction against the sale of Hetch Hetchy power to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company for re-sale purposes.

He did not tell you that the power generated in Hetch Hetchy is brought down to Newark and from there distributed by the Pacific Gas and Electric Company to the northern part of this State. He did not tell you that that company has an abundance of power and that they do not require the power generated at Moccasin Creek. They simply have purchased it for the past 13 years to keep San Francisco from going into the power business. As I have stated a number of times, for the last 13 years they have paid an average of \$2,000,000 for our power delivered to Newark, which is 40 miles from San Francisco, and carry it across the Bay and distribute it to us for \$10,000,000. He did not tell you that we are paying more here in San Francisco for power and water than any other city in the United States of comparable size and that is why our factories are leaving us. We are becoming a ghost city and we will become one unless we create conditions here so that we can sell power and water to our people for the same price that they are paying in other cities. These are facts and they cannot be denied.

I am going to ask that the Clerk of this Board mail a copy of this statement that I am making here today to every member of this Board, so that each and every one of us can read it over carefully and see if we cannot do something that will place this old City of Saint Francis where it belongs and not allow it to become a third rate city here in California. We are now a second rate city, and we'll be a third rate city if we do not watch our step. Oakland is increasing by leaps and bounds, and we are going back simply because we are paying more for water and power than any other large city in the United States, and we have the most wretched transportation system of any city in this great nation.

And it is signed, "James B. McSheehy, Supervisor." I am going to ask that the Clerk of this Board mail a copy of this statement I am making here today to every member of the Board so that each and every one of us can read it over carefully.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Through the Chair, might I ask who compiled that statement?

THE PRESIDENT: The statement will be made a part of the record.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I want to move the deletion of any reference to a ghost city, from this statement, in any reference made on this Board.

THE PRESIDENT: The Chair ruled last Monday, when Supervisor Colman made his statement, that any Supervisor had a right to read anything into the record he wanted, and I don't see how I can stop it on Supervisor McSheehy's part.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I move that portion of the statement be deleted.

THE PRESIDENT: You can't delete anything he reads into the record—



SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I have the floor, Mr. President. Now, Mr. Uhl, may I ask you one or two questions?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Certainly. I want you to answer one or two questions I have to ask, also.

THE PRESIDENT: What are you going to touch on now?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I am going to touch on a question of personal privilege, if you want to call it that.

THE PRESIDENT: You haven't been attacked.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Yes, I have, because I have received a letter, sent to me by Supervisor Uhl, a special delivery letter, and I simply want to touch on that special delivery letter.

THE PRESIDENT: It is not in connection with this matter, then; this matter is closed.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I request, then, for the information of the Board, that the letter be read into the record; he refers to a special delivery letter.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: The letter positively will be read to the Board—

SUPERVISOR UHL (interrupting): All right, let's go.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Are you in a hurry? Mr. Uhl, did you send a letter to the City Attorney requesting certain information as to the cost of distribution of power?

SUPERVISOR UHL: No, sir.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: You never sent such a letter?

SUPERVISOR UHL: For the distribution of power? No, sir; at no time.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Did you send a letter to the City Attorney—I will refresh your memory, perhaps, to some degree. I have here a letter from—which is in reply to a letter that you sent to Mr. O'Toole. I am asking—I will read it to you, just to refresh your memory. It is addressed to "John J. O'Toole"—

SUPERVISOR UHL: Under what date?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: "Dear sir"—

THE PRESIDENT (interrupting): Under what date?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Yes, under what date?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: This particular letter is November 15th.

SUPERVISOR UHL: What year?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: 1938. I will just read the first portion of it for the purpose of refreshing the Supervisor's memory. "John J. O'Toole, City Attorney, Office, Dear Sir: Under date of June 9, 1938, I reported to you, on a motion of Supervisor Adolph Uhl, asking for comparisons of the electric rates of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company in San Francisco and of the Bureau of Light and Power, City of Los Angeles, for the two classes, 'Domestic Service' and 'Commercial Service.' Details of the rates and computations were set forth in that report, and I am now submitting a summary of that information, with certain revisions where the element of taxes is considered."

I simply make this statement, that on the date stated, I think our records will show a motion was made by Supervisor Uhl and forwarded to the City Attorney, and the outcome of it was this particular letter, and in doing so I simply particularly want to stress the fact that that was on June 9, 1933—

SUPERVISOR UHL (interrupting): 1938.

THE PRESIDENT: Yes, 1938.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: June 9, 1938, practically five months ago, and at this time I want to read to you an article published in the San Francisco Chronicle, dated November 13th—I would like some attention, because I know you are going to be interested in this matter sooner or later—

"Supervisor Uhl challenged his colleague, Supervisor McSheehy, yes-

terday to substantiate his statement that average electric rates in Los Angeles are 25 per cent below those here.

"At a Utilities Committee meeting Thursday, Randall Ellis, City rate expert, read a report showing only slight differences between the rate schedule of the two cities. The Los Angeles rates were for the municipally owned utility.

"Quoting figures from the Ellis report, Uhl wrote:

"In spite of the foregoing rate schedule by accredited experts, you, as a layman, contend you are correct. Therefore, the statements by experts are erroneous.

"I challenge you to produce the rate schedules indicating the average 25 per cent lower rate and the sliding scale covering industrial rates of 50 per cent less than given manufacturers in San Francisco.

"Failing to present these rates, you should retract your misleading statement. This is a serious matter. Citizens expect dependable statements from a Supervisor. They are influenced by them. I contend the foregoing statements of yours are erroneous and misleading to the citizenship."

This letter was received by me at my home on November 18, 1938, at 9:45 p. m., special delivery. It is what you might term an open letter, and it was delivered to me on the Supervisor's stationery. I am kind of glad Captain Goff is here, or some expert from the Police Department is here, because certain matters pertaining to this, not being a police official, I would like to know.

"Honorable James G. McSheehy, Supervisor, City and County of San Francisco. Dear Sir: You maintain, in spite of the rate——"

SUPERVISOR UHL: Signed by whom, is that letter?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: This is signed by the Honorable—it is not stated that way here, I state it, but it is signed Adolph Uhl. "Dear Sir: You maintain, in spite of the rate presentation by Randall Ellis, rate expert, and agreed to by Paul Ost, electrical engineer, both in the employ of the City and County of San Francisco, that the average rate of electricity throughout the entire City of Los Angeles is 25 per cent less than here in San Francisco; and the sliding scale is so arranged that the manufacturers throughout the City of Los Angeles are receiving rates almost 50 per cent less than the manufacturers we have here in San Francisco are receiving. The rate schedule presented by Randall Ellis, and agreed in by Paul Ost, are as follows: Monthly bill——" Now that was only five rates given, and as I told you last week, there are 500 rates——

SUPERVISOR REILLY: Get that?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Sure.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: "Monthly bill, domestic consumption, 25 kilowatts per month——"

SUPERVISOR UHL (interrupting): Kilowatt hours.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I am reading this.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I only want to correct it; this is not kilowatts, it is kilowatt hours.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I am reading this, Mr. Uhl.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Read it correctly, then.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: "Domestic consumption, 35 k. w."——which is "kilowatt hours." I stand corrected, "per month, \$1.37; Los Angeles, \$1.33. 50 kilowatt hours, San Francisco, \$1.65; Los Angeles, \$1.61 per month. 100, ditto, ditto, \$2.50——"

SUPERVISOR UHL: \$2.56.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: "\$2.56, \$2.56."

SUPERVISOR UHL: Read it the way it is, "San Francisco, \$2.56; Los Angeles, \$2.56."

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I stand corrected again. "Commercial consumption, 250 kilowatt hours per month——"

SUPERVISOR UHL (interrupting): "350."

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: "350 kilowatt hours per month, \$9.39, San Francisco, and Los Angeles, \$9.59. 500, ditto, ditto, ditto, San



Francisco, \$12.86; Los Angeles, \$13.21. 750 kilowatt hours per month, ditto, ditto, ditto, San Francisco, \$18.66; Los Angeles, \$19.24.

"I repeat, in spite of the foregoing rate schedule by accredited experts in the matter of electricity rates that you, as a layman, contend you are correct; therefore, the foregoing statements by experts are erroneous. Supervisor McSheehy, I challenge you to produce the rate schedules indicating the average 25 per cent lower rate, and the sliding scale covering industrial rates of 50 per cent less than given manufacturers in San Francisco. Failing to present these rates, you should retract your misleading statement. This is a serious matter. Citizens expect dependable statements from a Supervisor. They are influenced by them. I contend the foregoing statements of you are erroneous and misleading to the citizenship."

Now——

SUPERVISOR UHL (interrupting): Will you accept the challenge?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Now listen to this last word, listen to this last word——

SUPERVISOR UHL: Will you accept my challenge?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: "Yours truly, Adolph Uhl, Supervisor."

Now, Mr. Uhl, may I ask you another question that I forgot? Do you usually attend meetings of this Board where you are not on the committee?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Frequently I do, especially when it is an interesting matter, such as referred to here.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Now, may I make this statement to this Board: That Mr. Uhl asked these rates from the expert five months ago, and he received some reply; I don't know just what it was. This letter was written last week, and I am positively going to contest his statement, but I am not doing so in any shape, manner or form in the form of a challenge; I didn't know that the Pacific Gas and Electric Company had a real champion on this Board.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Who is he?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Adolph Uhl.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I will challenge you on that, too.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: That word "challenge" is a word that I don't know as I ever heard used on this Board until Adolph Uhl became a member of it.

SUPERVISOR UHL: High time you did.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I never remember of it; I have heard of the word "challenge" in certain athletic affairs, champion wrestlers, prize fighters, golf players, but I never heard it used on this Board, so I am more than pleased to think that the Pacific Gas and Electric Company have a white hope on this Board, that that white hope is no less a person than Adolph Uhl, because here it is in writing.

Now I simply state to the membership of this Board that you shall receive from me, in the very near future, a direct statement as to the cost of lighting in the City of Los Angeles, and I trust, members of this Board, in closing, that you give some attention to the statement that I made, and that I am asking our Clerk to send to every member of this Board, because we are very liable, very liable, I don't know, to find ourselves in December, about December 28th, or thereabouts, the end of this year or the first part of next year—we are liable to find ourselves facing a deficit of \$1,200,000, and we are very liable to find ourselves when making up next year's budget under the necessity of having to place perhaps \$2,300,000 in that budget to face this deficit. That is just a start, but nevertheless it is a problem before us, and it requires thought, not challenges.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Mr. President, as a matter of personal privilege, I desire to answer the attack by Supervisor McSheehy, in saying I am representing the Pacific Gas and Electric Company. I won't answer that, but I will let my attorney answer it. I will say this; that Supervisor McSheehy, of all the members of this Board, he is the only one that keeps handing out misleading statements to the pub-

lic; he used to say that we were selling our power to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company and that we bought it back for twelve times what it cost them—

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY (interrupting): My thought, I wish the Clerk would instruct the stenographer, as far as he can, to take down every word that is being said. I rise to a point of order—

THE PRESIDENT: That is not a point of order; that is a request.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Thank you, Mr. President. It is still fresh in our memories that Supervisor McSheehy came in here during the recent campaign and talked, and talked, about a reduction in water rates, and handed out a lot of bunk—that's all you can call it—to fool the voters of San Francisco, and he did it pretty successfully. Now, I don't intend to sit idly by and see him get away with it any more; I am here to take care of the interests of the people of San Francisco, the people who pay the bills. Now, if Supervisor McSheehy is interested in just what has happened, I wanted to secure for the record a comparison of the rates on electricity between Los Angeles and here, because the Supervisor keeps harping on it; harping on the fact that we are paying exorbitant rates, and therefore I wouldn't be challenged as a layman trying to set my opinion up against that of experts, and so I requested our rate expert in the City Attorney's office to secure for me a comparison of the rates between the two cities. Now I really think it is a sufficiently important matter that Randall Ellis should come in here and read to us all of the records and show he corresponded back and forth with Mr. Scattergood in Los Angeles; whether is this correct or is that correct, so that when the matter came to us it would be 100 per cent correct, and I wanted to answer particularly that statement. I am not going to sit by here and see Supervisor McSheehy get by with anything of that sort again to fool the people of San Francisco. That is an important matter. Further, I think we should know that there is a fair comparison between the rates in San Francisco and Los Angeles, and when Supervisor McSheehy comes along and wants to make the statement that the average rates in San Francisco are 25 per cent higher than those in Los Angeles; and that on a sliding scale the power rates for industries are as much as 50 per cent lower for the manufacturers in Los Angeles than they are in San Francisco, I think it is high time that he be called down, and I will attend all meetings to see that these matters are properly brought to the attention of the committee.

By the way, I would like a transcript of that particular statement by Supervisor McSheehy?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: And I ask that a transcript of the statements made by Supervisor Uhl, and myself, be furnished this Board.

THE PRESIDENT: Who else?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: The statements by Supervisor Uhl and myself, that they be recorded in the record.

THE PRESIDENT: So ordered.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Just for the record, I wish to file as part of this record, a letter from Randall Ellis, Chief Valuation and Rate Engineer, to City Attorney O'Toole.

THE PRESIDENT: Okay (gavel).

(The letter ordered made a part of this record is as follows:)

"November 15, 1938.

"Mr. John J. O'Toole, City Attorney, Office.

"Dear Sir: Under date of June 9, 1938, I reported to you on a motion by Supervisor Adolph Uhl, asking for comparisons of the electric rates of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company in San Francisco, and the Bureau of Light and Power, City of Los Angeles, for the two classes 'Domestic Service' and 'Commercial Service.' Details of the rates and computations were set forth in that report, and I am now



submitting a summary of that information, with certain revisions where the element of taxes is considered.

"1. Existing domestic rates:

"(a) Consumption of 35 kilowatt hours per month. Monthly bill, San Francisco, \$1.68; monthly bill, Los Angeles, \$1.54. (San Francisco bill 7.8 per cent higher than in Los Angeles.)

"(b) Consumption of 50 kilowatt hours per month; the average domestic bill. Monthly bill, San Francisco, \$1.99; monthly bill, Los Angeles, \$1.87. (San Francisco bill 6 per cent higher than in Los Angeles.)

"(c) Consumption of 100 kilowatt hours per month. Monthly bill, San Francisco, \$3.09; monthly bill, Los Angeles, \$2.97. (San Francisco bill 4 per cent higher than that in Los Angeles.)

"2. Commercial service:

"(d) Consumption of 350 kilowatt hours per month. Monthly bill, San Francisco, \$11.35; monthly bill, Los Angeles, \$11.13. (San Francisco bill 2 per cent higher than that in Los Angeles.)

"(e) Consumption of 500 kilowatt hours per month, the average commercial bill. Monthly bill, San Francisco, \$15.53; monthly bill, Los Angeles, \$15.33. (San Francisco bill 1.4 per cent higher than that in Los Angeles.)

"(f) Consumption of 750 kilowatt hours per month. Monthly bill, San Francisco, \$22.55; monthly bill, Los Angeles, \$22.33. (San Francisco bill 1 per cent higher than that in Los Angeles.)

"3. Supervisor Uhl further requested a comparison of rates if all taxes were eliminated. In order to make a comparison through the elimination of items in the rates which are not common to both the P. G. and E. and the Bureau of Power and Light, I have deducted from the P. G. and E. bills 17.28 per cent as representing all taxes, Federal, State and City and County applicable to these two classes of service; and from the Los Angeles bills 13.85 per cent as representing bond retirement and outside taxes paid through rates, with the following results:

*Monthly Bills.*

Domestic Consumption—	San Francisco	Los Angeles
35 k. w. h. per month.....	\$ 1.37	\$ 1.33
50 k. w. h. per month.....	1.65	1.61
100 k. w. h. per month.....	2.56	2.56
Commercial Consumption—		
350 k. w. h. per month.....	9.39	9.59
500 k. w. h. per month.....	12.86	13.21
750 k. w. h. per month.....	18.66	19.24

"Mr. Uhl made further inquiry as to the method pursued in determining electric rates for San Francisco. Such rates are not determined on a study of San Francisco as a separate entity. The California Railroad Commission considers the electric properties of the P. G. and E. Co. as a whole, and determines a fair average rate of return for the consolidated system. Generally, San Francisco and other metropolitan areas yield a higher rate of return than the average, and conversely, the suburban and rural areas yield a lower rate of return. It follows that if San Francisco were considered as a separate entity, with no relation to the other territory in the system, and if the fair average rate of return were applied to San Francisco, it would result in a reduction in rates. To determine the degree of this reduction would require considerable detailed study, as the various elements of the rate problem are constantly changing.

"Respectfully submitted,

"M. RANDALL ELLIS,  
"Chief Valuation and Rate Engineer."

**Mayor to Appoint Citizens' Committee for Proper Observance of  
Lincoln's Birthday, February 12, 1939.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Meyer presented:

Resolution No. 4392, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee to arrange for the proper observance of Lincoln's Birthday, February 12, 1939.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Appointment of Arthur M. Brown, Jr., John P. McLaughlin,  
James E. Ricketts, Richard J. Welch and Hugo D. Newhouse  
as Directors of Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, Vice  
Themselves.**

(Code No. 12.111)

The following recommendation of the Public Utilities Committee was taken up:

Resolution No. 4393, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of this City and County does hereby elect the following named persons to act as Directors of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District to represent the City and County of San Francisco from and after December 24, 1938: Arthur M. Brown, Jr., John P. McLaughlin, James E. Ricketts and Richard J. Welch; and be it

Further Resolved, That Hugo D. Newhouse be and is hereby elected as a Director of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District to represent the City and County of San Francisco from and after November 25, 1938.

**Motion for Postponement.**

Supervisor Reilly, seconded by Supervisor Roncovieri, moved that consideration of the foregoing resolution be postponed for one week.

Before the motion was put, Supervisor Reilly stated that nothing personal prompted his motion; all the Directors whose names were mentioned were splendid men and would, no doubt, be reappointed, and he would probably vote for them all. He did, however, object to the way the appointments were being made; it looked like programming, and he did not like it.

**Objection to Postponement.**

Supervisor Colman objected to postponement, stating that he would be absent at the next meeting of the Board, and he would like to cast his vote for the reappointment of the Directors named. He stated further, that since no new names were being presented, and that the present Directors had served well that he could see no objection to their continuance in office.

Supervisor Uhl also objected to postponement of action, since there appeared no objection to any of the Directors named. However, he would be willing to vote for postponement, provided action could be had on the reappointment of Director Hugo Newhouse, whose term would expire on November 25, 1938.

In reply to objections raised against postponement, Supervisor Reilly stated that he had no objection to the names of the Directors presented. His objection was to the manner of procedure. He did not know that the matter was coming before the Board, and if he had a



candidate to propose for appointment he would not have been given the opportunity of presenting his name.

Supervisor Roncovieri stated that he had seconded the motion for postponement because the matter savored very much of the rush act. Supervisor Roncovieri also expressed doubt about some of the Directors who, he believed, were not very favorable to San Francisco, but who have voted to spend money for approaches on the Sausalito end of the bridge, but have voted against expenditures on the San Francisco end. In reply to question by Supervisor Roncovieri, Supervisor Brown stated that Director Newhouse had been opposed to the expenditure of money on both the Sausalito and the San Francisco approaches to the bridge.

Supervisor Uhl called attention to the expiration of Director Newhouse's term before the time of the next meeting of the Board.

Thereupon, Supervisor Reilly announced that if Supervisor Roncovieri was satisfied he would withdraw his motion. Supervisor Roncovieri stated he was not satisfied.

#### Roll Call on Motion.

The roll was called and the motion to postpone action on resolution reappointing Directors to the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District failed by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Reilly, Roncovieri—2.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

#### Motion to Amend Resolution.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved that the proposed resolution be amended to provide that each candidate for appointment as Director be required to take an oath of office.

*Motion failed for want of a second.*

#### Explanation of Vote.

Before the roll was called on adoption of the proposed resolution, Supervisor Reilly explained his vote as follows:

"Speaking on the motion to adopt the resolution, I remember one of the bridge directors who was criticized severely because he could not attend the meetings due to the fact that he was absent from San Francisco. I remember the very severe criticism against Congressman Welch, and that came from none other than the Chairman of the Bridge Directors. I am going to vote for the five but I want my expressions recorded that there have been some criticisms by Bridge Directors themselves. I do not know why the Directors seem silent. They seem to be in accord now and not so long ago they were not in accord."

#### Statement by President Shannon.

Thereupon, President Shannon relinquished the chair temporarily, taking the floor to reply to Supervisor Reilly's remarks, stating that he had not intended to enter into the discussion, but since Mr. Reilly had called attention to the fact that when he (President Shannon) had taken the chair as President of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District he had requested Mr. Welch to resign, he desired to reply. President Shannon reviewed the situation referred to by Supervisor Reilly, repeating statements previously made by him. However, he stated, that due to the attitude of the various improvement clubs, he was willing to go along and vote for Congressman Welch as Director for another four years.

### Resolution Adopted.

Whereupon, the roll was called and the resolution, as presented, was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Appointment of Committee to Attend Gubernatorial Inaugural Ceremonies at Sacramento.

Supervisor Ratto presented resolution, requesting the President of the Board to appoint a committee of all Supervisors who wished to attend, to represent San Francisco at the gubernatorial inaugural ceremonies at Sacramento, in January, and repealing Resolution No. 4379, adopted by the Board on November 14, 1938, providing for the appointment of a committee of three Supervisors to attend the inaugural ceremonies.

### Objection.

Supervisor McSheehy objected to the suspension of the rules for the purpose of considering the resolution presented, stating he had no objection to the appointment of as many Supervisors who desired to attend the ceremonies, but he did object to the repeal of resolution presented by him the week previous and adopted by the Board. He stated further that he would be glad to move to amend his own resolution to provide for the appointment of any or all members who desired to go to Sacramento.

### Resolution Withdrawn.

Thereupon, Supervisor Ratto *withdrew his resolution*.

### Amendment to Resolution.

Subsequently during the proceedings Supervisor McSheehy moved that his resolution (Resolution No. 4379) be amended to read that all members of the Board who wished to attend the inaugural ceremonies be appointed.

### Amendment to Amendment.

Supervisor Ratto moved as an amendment to the amendment that there be added to the amendment the provision that attendance at the inaugural ceremonies shall be at the expense of those appointed.

*Motion failed for want of a second.*

### Adopted.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the following resolution, presented by Supervisor McSheehy, was *adopted* by the following vote:

### Appointment of Committee to Attend Inaugural Ceremonies for the Governor, January 2, 1939.

(Code No. 5.93)

Resolution No. 4394, as follows:

Resolved, That Resolution No. 4379, presented by Supervisor James B. McSheehy and adopted by the Board of Supervisors on November 14, 1938, be amended to read as follows:

Resolved, That the President of the Board of Supervisors be and he is hereby authorized to appoint a committee of all members of the Board who so desire, to attend the inaugural ceremonies for the Governor at Sacramento, on Monday, January 2, 1939.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—9.

No—Supervisor Uhl—1.

Absent—Supervisor Reilly—1.



**Commending William Randolph Hearst for Efforts on Behalf of Persecuted Central European Jews.**

(Code No. 5.1)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 4395, as follows:

Whereas, the plight of the oppressed and persecuted Jews of Central Europe is of international concern; and

Whereas, a solution of this problem has been proposed by William Randolph Hearst through settlement of the refugees in colonies in Africa; and

Whereas, the "Hearst Plan" has been hailed as a genuine solution of the problem of grave importance; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco commend the proposal and its author for his humanitarian endeavor.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**New Year's Eve Celebration on Treasure Island for the Benefit of the San Francisco Shut-In Association.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 4396, as follows:

Whereas, under the joint sponsorship of the Sunrise Breakfast Club and the South of Market Boys a gala New Year's eve celebration will be held on Treasure Island; and

Whereas, the elaborate plans now being made for dancing and star entertainment at this affair will provide one of the most colorful and enjoyable events of the season; and

Whereas, the proceeds from this endeavor are to be deposited in the treasury of the San Francisco Shut-In Association for use in that organization's vital and humanitarian work; and

Whereas, the Shut-In Association was organized for, and successfully carries on the work of caring and providing for the needs, particularly medical and recreational, of those unfortunate people in our midst who would not otherwise enjoy such benefits; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby lend its approbation to this most laudable endeavor and does urge the citizenship to give its whole-hearted support thereto, both morally and by attendance at the celebration; and be it

Further Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee to assist in the arrangements for this celebration and to work for its financial success; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be sent to his Honor the Mayor, to Sergeant Peter R. Maloney, president of the Shut-In Association, and to the press.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Communication From Women's Safety League of Northern California.**

The following communication was presented by Supervisor Reilly:

November 17, 1938.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: At a recent meeting of the Women's Safety League of Northern California, the matter of establishing an accident investiga-

tion squad within the San Francisco Police Department was thoroughly considered, following a report of a special committee which had the matter under consideration for several months.

As a result of this study, supported by reports from other sections of the United States, the following resolution was unanimously adopted by this organization:

"Whereas, many thousands of visitors will be in San Francisco to attend the Golden Gate International Exposition in 1939; and

"Whereas, this additional congestion coupled with the present traffic hazards must be considered an emergency to be met by every possible facility; and

"Whereas, a competent Accident Investigation Squad may be immediately established within the Police Department by the expenditure of approximately \$6,000 for equipment such as cameras, supplies, first-aid kits, measuring tapes, et cetera; and

"Whereas, the Chief of Police and the District Attorney have expressed a desire for the establishment of such a squad and the Police Department is ready to assign fifty trained and qualified officers for this special selective traffic duty; therefore, be it

"Resolved, That the Women's Safety League of Northern California respectfully requests the Honorable Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to take the necessary steps to provide the requested \$6,000 for this accident prevention traffic control and life-saving activity."

In accordance with instructions from the Women's Safety League, I respectfully ask that this resolution be given your immediate attention, and this \$6,000 provided without further delay in order that these men may be started on this work prior to December 1 of this year.

Sincerely yours,

MRS. LOTTIE HUENERGARDT,  
President Women's Safety League of  
Northern California.

Privilege of the Floor.

Chief of Police William J. Quinn was granted the privilege of the floor. He stressed the importance of such accident prevention control and life-saving activity and urged, that if possible, the suggested appropriation be authorized.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

#### Land Purchase—Nineteenth Avenue Widening.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Supervisor Roncovieri presented for the Finance Committee:

Resolution No. 4397, as follows:

Resolved, in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco purchase from J. Alan Mohr and Elsie Mohr, or the legal owner, a portion of Lot 19, Block 2138, San Francisco, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, and that the sum of \$1,975 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 951.908.57, Project No. 5-A.

The above sum includes damages in full to the improvements now located on the property, said improvements to be relocated by the grantors within thirty days after recording the deed to the city.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named parties for a particular description of said parcel of land.



The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing the City Attorney to Appear Before the Railroad Commission and Oppose the Application for the Abandonment of the San Francisco-Alameda Ferry Service.**

(Code No. 15.093)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 4398, as follows:

Whereas, an application for the abandonment of the San Francisco-Alameda ferry service will be heard by the Railroad Commission on December 7, 1938; and

Whereas, this ferry service forms the only convenient connecting link between San Francisco and the site of the \$15,000,000 Naval Air Base at Alameda, which San Francisco fought so vigorously to establish; and

Whereas, it is of vital importance to San Francisco that a facile and direct means of transportation be maintained between this city and the new air base, as well as Alameda; and

Whereas, if necessary, the service on this run can be curtailed without complete abandonment thereof; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize and instruct the City Attorney, representing the City and County of San Francisco, to appear at the hearing of this application before the Railroad Commission and to intervene in and resist the granting thereof.

#### **Privilege of the Floor.**

The privilege of the floor was granted Mr. George Gearhardt, who urged the adoption of the foregoing resolution.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **Breakdown of Costs for Bus Operation.**

Supervisor Uhl called attention to request for information concerning the cost of operation of buses, sent to the Public Utilities Commission under date of August 27, 1938, to which he had not received a satisfactory reply. Supervisor Uhl stated that he felt too great a proportion of certain administrative and other costs were charged against bus operation, and moved that the Clerk be directed to follow up his request for proper breakdown of costs charged to trolley and bus operation.

*Motion failed for lack of a second.*

#### **Rezoning of Property in Marina District.**

Supervisor Uhl reported on meeting of Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee held Monday, November 14, 1938, at the conclusion of the regular meeting of the Board on that date, as follows:

November 21, 1938.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors.

Gentlemen: Your Committee on Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning, to which you referred the communication dated November 14, 1938, from the City Planning Commission relative to ordinance

providing for institution of proceedings for rezoning the property bounded by Laguna-Beach-Marina-Webster-Lewis streets, by the Board of Supervisors instead of the customary procedure by filing application directly with the City Planning Commission in which communication said Commission recommends as a matter of policy that such ordinance be not adopted, beg leave to report that your Committee met immediately after the meeting of the Board of Supervisors on Monday, November 14, 1938, gave the matter careful consideration and now recommends that same be rereferred to the City Planning Commission, and that the City Attorney be requested to draft necessary ordinance whereby any application for rezoning, etc., when made through the Board of Supervisors, that the same conditions attach thereto with respect to payment of fees and the filing of plans and maps as now apply in the case of applications made to the City Planning Commission.

Your Committee respectfully requests that the Board of Supervisors concur in and approve this recommendation.

Respectfully submitted,

ADOLPH UHL (Chairman),  
DR. ADOLPH E. SCHMIDT.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. Mark Jorgensen, Secretary of the City Planning Commission, who was granted the privilege of the floor, repeated his statements of the week previous regarding the attitude of his Commission with respect to rezoning procedure.

#### Re-reference to Committee.

Thereupon, Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Colman, moved that the matter be re-referred to the Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee for further consideration, and that Mr. Jorgensen be invited to sit in with the Committee.

No objection and *so ordered*.

#### Supervisor Colman Excused From Attendance at Next Meeting of the Board.

Supervisor Colman requested to be excused from attending the next meeting of the Board, November 28, 1938, stating it would be necessary for him to be in Los Angeles.

Request *granted*.

#### San Francisco Exhibit at Exposition.

The following communication was presented by his Honor the Mayor and ordered inserted in the record:

#### CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Mayor's Office

November 19, 1938.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall.

Gentlemen: On Monday, August 1, 1938, your Honorable Board by unanimous vote passed an ordinance authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$20,000 from the several public utility funds in order to make available that amount of money in addition to \$20,000 from the Exposition Fund of the City and County for the installation of an Exposition Exhibit of the Public Utilities Commission.

In other words, it was the desire of the Public Utilities Commission to have an exhibit at the Exposition which would cost \$40,000.

I have prevailed upon the Commission to abandon certain of their original plans so that there may be available for the maintenance and operation of *all* of the municipal exhibits at the Exposition a greater sum than would have been otherwise available.



I deem it my duty to advise you of this change in policy on the part of the Public Utilities Commission. I would appreciate it if this letter could be made a portion of your Journal of Proceedings of Monday, November 21, 1938, in order that the record might show that this \$20,000 from the Exposition Fund of the City and County instead of being used by the Public Utilities Commission exclusively for its exhibit will be made available for the maintenance and operating expenses of the San Francisco Exhibit in its entirety.

### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 5:25 p. m., adjourned.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors November 28, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, David A. Barry, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

DAVID A. BARRY,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.





Monday, November 28, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 28, 1938, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, November 28, 1938,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Reilly, Roncovieri—5.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

Supervisors Brown and Mead were noted present at 2:10 p. m.

Supervisor Roncovieri was noted present at 2:15 p. m.

Supervisor Reilly was noted present at 2:20 p. m.

Supervisor Colman was excused.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of November 21, 1938, was considered read and approved.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

Address on shipbuilding and national defense program, by Congressman Richard J. Welch, pursuant to motion by Supervisor Uhl, November 21, 1938.

The Clerk announced that Congressman Welch was unable to be present, but would be glad to appear before the Board at a later date. Whereupon, the matter was *postponed until Congressman Welch could be present.*

## Privilege of the Floor.

Subsequently during the proceedings, on motion by Supervisor Meyer, Dr. Howard McKinley was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board briefly on the subject of national defense. Mr. Joseph Sturm, representing the National Defense Committee, County Council, American Legion, also addressed the Board, supplementing remarks made by Dr. McKinley.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

None.

**NEW BUSINESS.****Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Approval of Warrants, Islais Creek Reclamation District.**

(Code No. 12.04)

Resolution No. 4399, as follows:

Be It Resolved, That the following warrants of Islais Creek Reclamation District: No. 842, to Charles L. Tilden for \$32.84; No. 843, to Charles L. Tilden for \$182.40; No. 844, to Charles L. Tilden for \$75.26; No. 845, to Charles L. Tilden for \$31.73; No. 846, to Charles L. Tilden for \$560.37, and No. 847, to Coldwell, Cornwall & Banker for \$64.60, payable out of the funds of said district, be and the same are hereby approved; and that the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as Chairman of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and the Clerk of said Board be and they are hereby authorized and directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Reilly, Roncovieri—5.

**Approval of Public Welfare Department Recommendations.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 4400, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Public Welfare Department containing the names and amounts to be paid as Old Age Security Aid, Blind Pensions and Widows' Pensions for the month of December, 1938 (and prior thereto), including amounts, decreases, cancellations and denials and other transactions, are hereby approved and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is directed to transmit this approval to the Controller; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors declares an extension of time is necessary in certain cases as requested by the Public Welfare Department.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Reilly, Roncovieri—5.

**Release of Lien Filed re Old Age Security—Asmus Franzen.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 4401, as follows:

Resolved, That the lien heretofore placed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, on recommendation of the County Welfare Department, is released against the property described as follows:

Asmus Franzen and wife, Ingeborg—recorded September 24, 1936, in Vol. 3011, page 137, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco; legal description, Lot 10, Block 3118; also, lien filed against same property, in name of Ingeborg Franzen, recorded October 22, 1936, in Vol. 3011, page 152, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Reilly, Roncovieri—5.



**Sale of Buildings—La Salle Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1721)

Also, Resolution No. 4402, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the Director of Property be and is hereby authorized to sell at public auction all buildings now owned or hereafter acquired by the City and County of San Francisco, for the extension of La Salle avenue from Third street to Mendell street, and not required for municipal purposes.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bills of sale to be executed by the Director of Property.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Reilly, Roncovieri—5.

**Land Purchase—Outer Mission Junior High School.**

(Code No. 12.1712)

Also, Resolution No. 4403, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Education, that the City and County of San Francisco purchase certain real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, from the below-named parties, or the legal owners, for the sums set forth opposite their names, payable from Appropriation No. 84.065.58, required for the Outer Mission Junior High School:

Teresa Cardinale, Lots 24 and 25, Assessor's Block 6961..\$3,025

Alice Rigadini, Lot 3, Assessor's Block 6961..... 2,060

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Reilly, Roncovieri—5.

**Land Purchase—Fleishhacker Playfield, Parcel 4.**

(Code No. 12.1713)

Also, Resolution No. 4404, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Park Department and pursuant to Resolution No. 1828, adopted by this Board on April 1, 1935, that the City and County of San Francisco accept deeds from the following parties, or the legal owners, to a portion of Lot 41, Assessor's Block 7201, San Francisco, required for Fleishhacker Playfield, and that the total sum of \$18,806.74 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 812.600.01:

Wells Fargo Bank & Union Trust Company, 56/100

interest .....\$10,531.77

The Bank of California, 44/100 interest..... 8,274.97

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Reilly, Roncovieri—5.

**Land Purchase—McLaren Park.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 4405, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Park Department, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from John S. Roberts, or the legal owner, to Lot 4, Assessor's Block 6213, and Lot 1, Assessor's Block 6185, San Francisco, required for the proposed McLaren Park, and that the sum of \$200 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 712.600.03.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Reilly, Roncovieri—5.

**Land Purchase—Lafayette Park, Parcel 5.**

(Code No. 12.1713)

Also, Resolution No. 4406, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Park Department and pursuant to Resolution No. 1917, adopted by this Board on May 6, 1935, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Coast Bay Company, or the legal owner, to a portion of Lots 1 and 2, Assessor's Block 616, San Francisco, required for Lafayette Park, and that the sum of \$20,000 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 812.600.02.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Reilly, Roncovieri—5.

**Third Street Widening Dedication.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 4407, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the land to be acquired from Pope Estate Company, pursuant to Resolution No. 4107, adopted by this Board on July 25, 1938, be dedicated for public street purposes as a part of Third street. Said dedication shall become effective upon recording of the deed from Pope Estate Company to the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Reilly, Roncovieri—5.

**Authorizing Acquisition of Certain Land by Eminent Domain Proceedings, Required for the Extension of La Salle Avenue.**

(Code No. 6.0211)

Also, Resolution No. 4408, as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of



the following described real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at a point in the northerly line of South San Francisco Homestead and Railroad Association, as said line is shown on map thereof filed in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, in Map Book 2A and B, page 39, distant thereon 200 feet easterly from Third street, formerly Railroad avenue (which point bears south 73 degrees 45 minutes east from point on Third street, formerly Railroad avenue, 331 feet 9 inches southerly from Kirkwood avenue) said line and point being also on the northwesterly termination line of La Salle avenue near Mendell street, and running thence easterly along said termination line 50 feet; thence northerly and parallel with Third street 29 feet 6 $\frac{3}{4}$  inches to the northeasterly line of La Salle avenue, if produced and extended northwesterly; thence northwesterly along said line of La Salle avenue 52 feet 9 inches more or less to a line parallel with Third street, which passes through the point of commencement; thence southwesterly along said parallel line 46 feet 2 $\frac{3}{4}$  inches to the point of commencement.

Be It Further Resolved, That said land is suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the extension of La Salle avenue from Third street to Mendell street. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcel of land and any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Reilly, Roncovieri—5.

### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

### Interdepartmental Transfer of Funds.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1778, Ordinance No. 9.051529, as follows:

Reappropriating \$1,400 out of the surplus in existing appropriations, No. 850.101.05, \$80, and No. 850.101.18, \$1,320, to the credit of Appropriation No. 850.101.19 to transfer funds to the proper appropriation for the transfer from Bureau of Communicable Diseases of 1 Supervising Field Nurse at \$175 per month to Field Nursing—Other, as a Field Nurse at \$175, and the transfer from Field Nursing—Schools, of 1 Field Nurse at \$165 per month to Bureau of Communicable Diseases as a Supervising Field Nurse at \$165.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby reappropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 850.101.05, \$80, and Appropriation No. 850.101.18, \$1,320, to the credit of Appropriation No. 850.101.19 to transfer funds to the proper appropriation for the transfer from Bureau of Communicable Diseases of 1 Supervising Field Nurse at \$175 per month to Field Nursing—Other, as a Field Nurse at \$175, and the transfer from Field Nursing—Schools, of 1 Field Nurse at \$165 per month to Bureau of Communicable Diseases as a

Supervising Field Nurse at \$165. The employments involved have been continuously employed and this ordinance will provide for the payment of the salaries thereof in accordance with the Salary Ordinance as amended by Bill No. 1717, approved October 5, 1938, and Bill No. 1718, approved October 5, 1938.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Reilly, Roncovieri—5.

**Declaring Intention to Close and Abandon Portion of Meade Avenue, Between Bayshore Boulevard and Third Street.**

(Code No. 12.0621)

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up:

Resolution No. 4388, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portion of Meade avenue, lying between Bayshore boulevard and Third street, be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all that portion of Meade avenue, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

All that portion of Meade avenue, lying between Bayshore boulevard and Third street.

Said closing and abandonment of said portion of Meade avenue shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of Meade avenue in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

Mr. Robert J. McGahie, on being granted the privilege of the floor, urged that the matter be not re-referred to committee, but that the resolution of intention to close Meade avenue be adopted, and before the final closing was consummated the matter of the amount to be paid could be determined. Mr. McGahie suggested the amount of \$1,500 as reasonable for the property involved. However, after further discussion by the Director of Property, with his recommendation that due to the circumstances the amount of \$2,000 would be a reasonable amount to pay for the property. Mr. McGahie announced that his clients would be willing to pay that sum for the property to be acquired due to the proposed closing.

**Adopted.**

Thereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing resolution was adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.



**Establishing Walk Widths on Post Street Between Market Street  
and Van Ness Avenue.**

(Code No. 10.0731)

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up:

Bill No. 1777, Ordinance No. 12.0731139, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Eight Hundred and Thirty-Seven (837) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office November 26, 1938, by amending Section Eight Hundred and Thirty-seven (837) thereof, to read as follows:

**Section 837:**

The width of sidewalks on Post street between Market and Powell streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Post street (the northerly side of) between Powell and Mason streets shall be 12 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Post street (the southerly side of) between Powell and Mason streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Post street between Mason and Taylor streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Post street between Taylor street and Van Ness avenue shall be 15 feet.

**Motion to Amend.**

Supervisor Uhl moved that the foregoing bill be amended by changing Section 837 to read as follows:

Section 837. The width of sidewalks on Post street between Market street and Van Ness avenue shall be 12 feet.

He moved, further, that the effective date of ordinance providing for the above reduction of sidewalk widths be January 1, 1940.

Seconded by Supervisor Roncovieri.

**Amendment to Amendment.**

At the suggestion of the Chair, Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved that the entire matter be re-referred to committee in order that the property owners affected might be heard.

Supervisor McSheehy voiced opposition to motion by Supervisor Uhl, and requested that Supervisor Brown withdraw his motion in order that the Board could vote on Supervisor Uhl's motion.

**Amendment to the Amendment Withdrawn.**

Whereupon, Supervisor Brown, with the consent of his second, Supervisor Schmidt, *withdrew his motion.*

**Roll Call on Original Motion.**

Thereupon, the roll was called and Supervisor Uhl's motion to amend the bill fixing the width of sidewalks on Post street between Market

street and Van Ness avenue at 10 feet, was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri, Uhl—3.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon—7.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

The roll was called and the foregoing ordinance, as recommended by the Streets Committee, was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—9.

No—Supervisor Uhl—1.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Referring to City Planning Commission for Recommendation and Report, Proposed Zone Change and Height Limitation of Buildings in District Bounded by Laguna Street; Beach Street and Marina Boulevard; Webster Street, and Lewis Street.

(Code No. 13.02)

The following recommendation of the Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee was taken up:

Resolution No. 4409, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the provisions of Section 117 of the Charter, Bill No. 1759, Ordinance No. 13.025, Code No. 13.02, which has for its purpose the rezoning from Light Industrial District to First Residential District, the district bounded by Laguna street to the east, Beach street and Marina boulevard to the south, Webster street to the west, and the northerly line of Lewis street to the north, be referred to the City Planning Commission for recommendation and report thereon; and be it

Further Resolved, That Bill No. 1746, Ordinance No. 11.0828, Code No. 11.08, which has for its purpose the limitation of height of buildings in the identical district mentioned in Bill No. 1759, establishing the boundaries of such district, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions, be also referred to the City Planning Commission for recommendation and report thereon.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. Delaney, attorney representing interested property owners and citizens, was granted the privilege of the floor. He urged the Board to refuse adoption to the foregoing resolution and let the matters be initiated before the City Planning Commission.

Supervisor Schmidt reminded the Board that the matters set forth in the proposed resolution had already been introduced in the Board, and referred to committee, and that the sole effect of the proposed resolution was to refer them to the City Planning Commission for report and recommendation in accordance with the provisions of the Charter and the City Attorney's advice.

#### Adopted.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Noes—Supervisors Reilly, Shannon—2.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.



**Abolishing Set-Back Lines Southerly Side of Lombard Street, Between Broderick Street and Lyon Street.**

(Code No. 13.03)

The following recommendation of the Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee was taken up:

Resolution No. 4410, as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1884, passed November 17, 1938, reading as follows:

Resolved, That subject to the approval of the Board of Supervisors, in accordance with Section 117 of the Charter, the following building set-back line be, and the same is hereby abolished:

Along the southerly side of Lombard street, between Broderick street and Lyon street; said set-back line being 31 feet 3 inches; and be it

Resolved Further, That a copy of this resolution shall forthwith be transmitted to the Board of Supervisors in accordance with the provisions of Section 117 of the Charter, is hereby approved.

On motion by Supervisor Uhl, consideration of the foregoing resolution was *postponed until December 5, 1938.*

**Re-referred to Committee.**

Subsequently during the proceedings the foregoing action was rescinded and the resolution, at the request of Supervisor Uhl, was *re-referred to the Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee.*

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of the Public Utilities Committee was taken up:

**Requesting His Honor the Mayor to Appoint Committee Including Three Supervisors to Make a Study and Recommendation as to Shipbuilding Industry in San Francisco.**

(Code No. 5.7)

Resolution No. 4411, as follows:

Whereas, despite the unceasing efforts of San Francisco's representatives in the Congress and the Senate, shipbuilding activities in San Francisco have, in recent years, suffered to the point of extinction; and

Whereas, if something drastic is not done in the immediate future to procure some portion of the recent revival of shipbuilding activity in the East for the benefit of San Francisco, that industry will be well nigh defunct; and

Whereas, the recent activities of the National Defense Council of the American Legion indicate that there is hope for early resumption of shipbuilding activities on the Pacific Coast; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby respectfully requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee to include at least three Supervisors, to make a study of the present critical situation in conjunction with the best advice obtainable from labor, capital and industry, to the end that a return of prosperity may be brought to San Francisco by acquiring its share of the contracts for marine tonnage.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS,  
BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR  
REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Re the Advisability of Abolishing White Gloves as Part of the Regulation Uniform of Traffic Officers of the Police Department.**

(Code No. 11.01)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 4412, as follows:

Whereas, as part of the regulation uniform, officers in the Traffic Division of the Police Department are required to wear white cotton gloves; and

Whereas, these gloves not only cause great discomfort to many traffic officers but they also seriously hamper them in the conduct of their duties; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby request the Police Commission to give consideration to the advisability of abolishing white gloves as part of the regulation uniform of traffic officers; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be sent to the Police Commission.

*Referred to Fire, Safety and Police Committee.*

**Requesting Department of Public Works to Permit the Designation of Mission Street Between Sixteenth and Army Streets as "Santa Claus Lane" During the Christmas Season.**

(Code No. 5.94)

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Resolution No. 4413, as follows:

Whereas, the Mission Street Merchants' Association, for publicity purposes and during the Christmas holidays, has arranged with the Post Office Department to use the name of "Santa Claus Lane" in lieu of Mission street, for the period December 1 to December 26, 1938, inclusive, and wish to place street name signs, "Santa Claus Lane", upon all street signs bearing the name of Mission street between Sixteenth and Army streets, during this period; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors approves this action and respectfully refers this matter to the Department of Public Works with the request that they cooperate in granting permission to so designate Mission street between the points indicated, if there is no objection legally to do so.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

**Authorizing Expenditure of \$6,000 From Any Unexpended Balances in Police Funds to Provide Necessary Protection Against Automobile Accidents.**

(Code No. 11.01)

Supervisor Roncovieri presented for Finance Committee:

Resolution No. 4414, as follows:

Resolved, That the Chief of Police be and he is hereby authorized to expend not to exceed \$6,000 out of any unexpended balance existing in the Police Fund, for the purpose of providing such protection as he may deem necessary against automobile accidents; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Controller is hereby empowered and au-



thorized to transfer and allow any unexpended balance in the Police Fund for this purpose.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

**Controller to Credit Funds of Public Welfare Department With \$14.51, Amount of Loss Sustained Through Theft.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Supervisor Roncovieri presented for Finance Committee:

Resolution No. 4415, as follows:

Whereas, the Department of Public Welfare, in the conduct of its district office at 1015 Polk street, through theft on or about July 9, 1938, sustained a loss of \$14.51 from its cash on hand; and

Whereas, said loss was sustained without any negligence or carelessness on the part of the Department of Public Welfare, its Director, or its employees; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco be and he is hereby authorized and directed to write off as a loss the said \$14.51.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

**Requesting the Market Street Railway Company to Make Arrangements for the Removal of Its Railway Tracks and Restoration of Pavement on the Roadways of Post and Leavenworth Streets Prior to the Opening of the Exposition in February of 1939.**

(Code No. 15.091)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Resolution No. 4416, as follows:

Whereas, the roadways of Post and Leavenworth streets are in a deplorable condition, particularly upon those portions bearing street railway tracks upon which service has been abandoned; and

Whereas, it is vitally necessary that these streets be made comfortably passable for the great influx of vehicular traffic which will present itself during the Exposition; and

Whereas, reputable contractors have estimated that the work of removing the abandoned car tracks on Post and Leavenworth streets can easily be accomplished within thirty days, to be replaced with a temporary strip of pavement; and

Whereas, the work of removing the car tracks on these streets is the obligation of the Market Street Railway Company; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That in a spirit of cooperation, the Market Street Railway Company be and is hereby requested to make arrangements for the immediate removal of its abandoned tracks on the above-named streets, in order that those portions of the roadway may be repaved prior to the opening of the Exposition in February of 1939.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

**In Memoriam—Frank P. Noon.**

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 4418, as follows:

Whereas, Frank P. Noon has been summoned by his Maker to his heavenly abode; and

Whereas, Frank Noon was, for over 20 years, a sports writer on the Call-Bulletin, during which time his comments elicited much praise; and

Whereas, his endeavors have been in no small measure responsible for the high standard enjoyed by the sports world; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board notes with extreme regret of the passing of Frank P. Noon and when it adjourns it does so out of respect to his memory; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board transmit a copy of this resolution to the family of the deceased.

*Unanimously adopted by rising vote.*

#### Thanksgiving Day Dinner at Bernstein's Grotto.

Supervisor Schmidt reported on the annual Thanksgiving Day dinner for the poor at Bernstein's Grotto, as follows:

"I, too, Mr. President, was present on Thanksgiving Day at Bernstein's Grotto in which so many of our worthy poor of San Francisco were fed in a very hospitable fashion and certainly not niggardly fashion because observation soon showed that the meal that was offered to the poor on that day was certainly fitting for any private home. In other words, nothing was left undone. They had entertainment, music, they had, I believe, services donated by the Waiters' Union, by the Musicians', etc., and all entered into the spirit.

"It was a remarkably fine showing and about 1500 people were fed on that day and that the people of San Francisco owe a debt of gratitude to the Bernstein family, Mrs. Bernstein and her son, Joseph, and that the least this Board can do is to pass a motion expressing the appreciation on the part of the people of San Francisco for their very generous gesture and I so move."

Whereupon, the Board, by *unanimous vote adopted* a motion of Supervisor Schmidt, seconded by Supervisor Roncovieri, expressing the thanks and appreciation of the people of San Francisco and of the Board to the Bernstein family for their very outstanding generosity to the poor.

#### Franchise for Distribution of Gas for Heating Purposes.

Supervisor Uhl requested that the City Attorney phrase the necessary motion to bring before the Board for consideration the matter of franchise for the distribution of gas for heating purposes by the Pacific Gas and Electric Company. Thereupon, Supervisor Uhl moved (the City Attorney having supplied the language) that the City Attorney be and he is hereby instructed to notify the Pacific Gas and Electric Company that if application is not made for a franchise to distribute gas for heating purposes in San Francisco prior to the 1st of January, 1939, the Board will recommend the necessary steps to prevent further distribution of gas for such heating purposes.

*Motion failed for want of a second.*

#### Communication From City Attorney Re Franchise for Distribution of Gas for Other Than Lighting Purposes.

The following communication was read by the Clerk and *referred to the Public Utilities Committee*:

November 21, 1938.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Dear Sirs: You have advised me that at the meeting of your Board held on the 14th instant, Supervisor Uhl moved that the City Attorney be requested to bring into the Board at its next meeting the necessary



steps to be taken regarding a franchise for the distribution of gas. There being no objection to the motion it was declared adopted.

I take it that, as the Pacific Gas and Electric Company already has its constitutional franchise for the distribution of gas for lighting purposes, you refer to a franchise solely for heating purposes. Your attention is directed to the Act of 1937, entitled "An Act providing for the granting by legislative bodies of municipalities of franchise for transmitting and distributing electricity or gas, providing for the duration and terms of such franchises and conditions for granting same and providing for the forfeiture thereof for noncompliance therewith." This Act is found in Statutes of 1937, at page 1781.

Section 2 of the Act provides:

"Sec. 2. An applicant for any franchise above mentioned shall file with the legislative body of the municipality in which such franchise shall be desired an application which shall state (a) the name of the applicant, (b) the purpose and term, whether definite or indeterminate, for which the franchise will be desired, (c) that the applicant if granted the franchise will pay to such municipality during the life of such franchise the amount specified in the Act."

Section 3 of the Act provides:

"Sec. 3. Upon receipt of said application the legislative body of the municipality may pass its resolution declaring its intention to grant the franchise applied for, stating the character of the same, setting forth a notice of the day, hour and place when and where any and all persons having any objection to the granting thereof may appear before the legislative body and be heard thereon, and directing the clerk of said legislative body to publish said notice at least once within fifteen (15) days after the passage of said resolution . . . The time fixed for such hearing shall be not less than twenty (20) nor more than sixty (60) days after the date of the passage of said resolution. Said notice shall state that the grantee of said franchise . . . will, during the life of said franchise, pay to the municipality the percentage specified in said application."

This section also provides that at the time of the hearing the legislative body shall proceed to hear and pass upon any objection made against the granting of the franchise and may grant or refuse such franchise.

You will note from the portions of the Act quoted that the application must be initiated by the person desiring the franchise. Therefore, in the instant case, if you desire to have the Pacific Gas and Electric Company make an application for the franchise, they should be advised to do so or that they will no longer be permitted to operate without a franchise.

This office will be very glad to be of such further service to you in the premises as to your Board may seem desirable.

Yours very truly,

JOHN J. O'TOOLE, City Attorney.

Adopted.

The following recommendation of his Honor the Mayor was taken up:

Leave of Absence—Hon. Charles F. Traung, Member Board of Police Commissioners.

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 4417, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Charles F. Traung, member of the Board of Police

Commissioners, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period not to exceed thirty days, commencing December 1, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

### Exposition Plaque.

On motion by Supervisor Uhl, a Mr. Farber was granted the privilege of the floor to present to the Board a plaque designed for advertising the Exposition. Mr. Farber proposed to sell plaques to the City and County to be placed in public buildings and along the streets, to advertise the Exposition. Supervisor Reilly objected to the expenditure of any municipal funds for the purchase of plaques.

On motion by Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, the matter was *referred to the Exposition Affairs and Industrial Development Committee.*

### Sale of Park Property, Alta Plaza Square.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Director of Property report to the Board what amount of money, in his judgment, could be realized from the sale of Alta Plaza Square. Supervisor Uhl stated that there were four blocks receiving very little use, and, if the property could be disposed of, he would like to see this property converted into sites for apartment houses and homes.

Supervisor Roncovieri objected to the sale of land, calling attention to the fact that land dedicated for park purposes in perpetuity could not be sold.

Thereupon, Supervisor Uhl moved that the entire matter be referred to the Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee.

*So ordered.*

### Request for Repeal of Jitney Bus Ordinances.

Communication from the Market Street Railway Company, requesting the repeal of the so-called Jitney Ordinances was read by the Clerk and *referred to the Board, sitting as a Committee of the Whole.*

### Petition for Permission to Abandon Certain Unprofitable Market Street Railway Street Car Lines.

Mr. Mills, representing the Market Street Railway Company, informed the Board that petitions for permission to abandon certain unprofitable lines were in the course of preparation and would be filed by his company during the week.

Petitions, when received, to be referred to the Board, sitting as a Committee of the Whole.

**Board to Sit as Committee of the Whole, Monday, December 5, 1938, at 3 p. m.**

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Brown, moved that the Board of Supervisors sit as a Committee of the Whole, on Monday, December 5, 1938, at 3 p. m., to consider the ruling of the Railroad Commission regarding the increased street car fares for the Market Street Railway Company, together with the petitions filed by the Market Street Railway Company pursuant to said ruling.

No objection and *so ordered.*



**Statement of Official Canvass of Votes Cast at General Election,  
November 8, 1938.**

The following statement of Official Canvass of Votes cast at the General Election, held November 8, 1938, was read by the Clerk and ordered filed.

**CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE AND RECORDS

OFFICE OF REGISTRAR OF VOTERS

November 28, 1938.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: I hereby certify that pursuant to the provisions of Resolution No. 4370 of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, I proceeded to conduct the canvass of all votes cast at the General Election held in said City and County on Tuesday, the 8th day of November, 1938, and of the Special Election called by the said Board of Supervisors as per Resolution No. 4250 to be held and consolidated with such General Election.

I further certify that in conducting such official canvass, commencing on Monday, November 14th, 1938, as provided by law, I proceeded to canvass the returns from Precinct 1, Assembly District 20, and proceeded in orderly manner each day as required by law, taking the returns from each precinct in numerical order until each and every voting precinct returns were thus canvassed, finishing with Precinct 154, Assembly District 28.

That as a result of such official canvass and a tabulation made of all votes thus canvassed, I herewith present a complete record set forth in full in volume entitled "Statement of Votes of General Election, City & County of San Francisco, November 8, 1938" and bearing identification letters "A-Y".

That said volume contains the total vote cast in each voting precinct, the total vote cast in each voting precinct for each candidate for the various offices as therein indicated, the vote cast "yes" and "no" on each constitutional amendment, each initiative, referendum, or other state proposition; on each Charter amendment, and Declaration of Policy; also "yes" and "no" on election of sundry judicial nominees and "yes" and "no" on confirmation of nominee for Member of Board of Education.

That such volume shows that the total vote cast in the entire City and County was 252,597, and also shows the total vote cast in said City and County for each candidate and proposition as enumerated above and in particular shows the following results of those candidates, Charter amendments and Declarations of Policy voted for exclusively in this City and County.

Total Vote Cast .....	252,597
For Public Defender. Gerald J. Kenny.....	162,444
For Member, Board of Education (nominated by Mayor for confirmation by electors), Richard E. Doyle.....	Yes 107,302 No 35,066
Charter Amendment No. 1. Fire Department. Amending section 36 of Charter designating salaries of certain officers of the Fire Department, number of personnel, and prescribing hours to be performed by members of Department .....	Yes 80,352 No 115,685
Charter Amendment No. 2. Fire Pensions. Amending section 171 of the Charter relating to retirement of cer-	

tain members of the Fire Department and prescribing contributions and conditions and amounts of pensions to be paid .....	Yes 93,840
	No 100,349

Charter Amendment No. 3. Police Pensions. Adding new section 168.1 to Charter relating to retirement of members of the Police Department and prescribing contributions and conditions and amounts of pensions to be paid .....	Yes 91,630
	No 101,128

Declaration of Policy. No. 4. Shall the City and County of San Francisco endeavor to purchase the operating properties of Market Street Railway for an amount not exceeding five million dollars or a lesser sum?.....	Yes 49,932
	No 128,320

Declaration of Policy. No. 5. Shall San Francisco issue nine million dollar bonds for passenger buses and facilities therefor, to be used with property of Market Street Railway if acquired or as adjunct of Municipal Railway? .....	Yes 49,948
	No 128,466

A copy of such Statement of Votes has been made and will be certified to the Secretary of State as required by law.

**C. J. COLLINS,**  
Registrar of Voters.

### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 4:40 p. m., adjourned.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors December 5, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, David A. Barry, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

DAVID A. BARRY,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.



Vol. 33

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY

No. 51

Monday, December 5, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.

Journal of Proceedings  
Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, DECEMBER 5, 1938, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, December 5, 1938,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

Supervisor McSheehy was noted present at 2:20 p. m.

Supervisor Brown was excused at 5 p. m.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of November 28, 1938, was considered read and approved.

### Report and Recommendation of City Planning Commission to the Board of Supervisors on

Bill No. 1746, Ordinance No. 11.828, Code No. 11.08, entitled "Limiting the height of buildings hereafter to be erected in a certain district of the City and County of San Francisco, and establishing the boundaries of said district, and providing penalties for the violation of this ordinance";

Also, Bill No. 1759, Ordinance No. 13.025, Code No. 13.02, entitled "Reclassification of the use to which certain property in the City and County of San Francisco may be put and defining the boundaries of the property so reclassified."

### Report and Recommendation of City Planning Commission.

December 3rd.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: In compliance with the provisions of Section 117 of the Charter, your Honorable Board passed a resolution transmitting two ordinances proposing changes in the zoning regulations now governing land bounded by Laguna street, Marina boulevard, Webster street and Lewis street.

The Commission held a public hearing on the proposed ordinances on its regular meeting day following receipt of aforesaid resolutions of your Board. This meeting was held on Thursday, December 1, 1938, at 3 p. m. At the conclusion of the public hearing the matter was taken under submission.

After a thorough consideration of all facts presented to the Com-

mission, a special meeting was called for the following day, Friday, December 2, 1938, at 4:15 p. m. On this day the Commission reported its recommendation on aforesaid ordinances and directed me, as Secretary of the Commission, to transmit to your Honorable Board, copies of the report and recommendations on the ordinances as submitted as is required by Section 117 of the Charter.

Herewith attached please find copies of such report and recommendations with return of the ordinances submitted.

Respectfully yours,

(Signed) MARK JORGENSEN,  
Secretary, City Planning Commission.

**Report and Recommendation of the City Planning Commission on  
Limiting the Height of Buildings Hereafter to Be Erected in a  
Certain District of the City and County of San Francisco.**

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco:

Bill No. 1746, Ordinance No. 11,828, Code No. 11.08, entitled: "Limiting the height of buildings hereafter to be erected in a certain district of the City and County of San Francisco, and establishing the boundaries of said district, and providing penalties for the violation of this ordinance."

The following report and recommendation of the City Planning Commission in connection with the above described ordinance is hereby submitted in compliance with the provisions of Section 117 of the Charter:

In considering the height ordinance it was found that immediately abutting this area to the east are the U. S. Army Transport Docks, built to a height of approximately 50 feet, or the equivalent of about five stories, and to the south, the P. G. and E. power station, built to a height of approximately 67 feet, or the equivalent of about seven stories. With these conditions now existing, it is not considered that a uniform height limitation of 40 feet over the entire area would be proper; therefore, the City Planning Commission recommends against the adoption of the ordinance as submitted.

While it is true the Marina district proper has such a height regulation, it must be observed that the development existing around this particular area is quite different than that surrounding the Marina district. The property fronting on Marina boulevard between Buchanan street and Webster street, being in the First Residential District, any single family dwellings built thereon would not likely extend to a height as great as 40 feet, so the boulevard frontage appears to be amply protected by that regulation from an aesthetic viewpoint. It is difficult to prescribe a regulation to recommend in lieu of the ordinance, but it is believed in the normal development of the area it would be built upon in a manner that will realize the greatest advantage to the area as a whole. A limitation of 40 feet would not protect the view of any property south of this area.

Respectfully submitted,

By order of the City Planning Commission,

(Signed) MARK JORGENSEN,  
Secretary.

**Report and Recommendation of the City Planning Commission on  
Reclassification of Certain Property in the City and County of San  
Francisco and Defining the Boundaries.**

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco:

Bill No. 1759, Ordinance No. 13,025, Code No. 13.02, entitled: "Reclassification of the use to which certain property in the City and



County of San Francisco may be put and defining the boundaries of the property so reclassified."

In considering the aforesaid ordinance proposing a change in the use district classification of the property described in aforesaid ordinance it was found that:

The property immediately adjoining the area in question to the east is owned by the U. S. Army and used as transport docks. The property to the south, between Laguna and Buchanan streets, is classified in the Heavy Industrial District and is used for a standby electric power plant and a large gas storage tank belonging to the P. G. and E. Co. The property fronting on Marina boulevard between Buchanan and Webster streets, to the southwest, is classified in the First Residential District and is improved with single family dwellings. The property to the west and north of Marina boulevard is a public park owned by the City. To the north of the area in question lies the San Francisco Bay.

From the foregoing it is quite apparent that the area in question is entirely surrounded by a wide variation of use district classifications, ranging from the least restricted to the most restricted, with development and improvements consistent with each classification as well as public property and water frontage. The various use district classifications and development surrounding this particular area is quite different than that which prevails in the area generally surrounding the Marina district proper.

Considering the Marina district proper as the area north of Chestnut street and west of Buchanan street, we find the entire area is classified in the Second Residential District save and excepting the property fronting on Lyon street, Baker street and Marina boulevard.

In view of the actual conditions existing and use classifications regulating the property in the Marina proper, it is impossible to conceive upon what logical basis of planning or zoning it could be recommended the area here under consideration be classified in the First Residential District. On the contrary to so recommend could well be regarded as an arbitrary exercise and abuse of the powers vested in the Planning Commission and discriminatory action against the owners of this particular land. Accordingly we recommend against the adoption of the ordinance as submitted, proposing the classification of the property in the First Residential District.

In lieu of the regulation proposed by the ordinance as submitted it is recommend the ordinance be amended so as to classify the property on the north side of Marina boulevard between Laguna and Buchanan streets to a depth of 100 feet in the First Residential District and all remaining portions of the area, classified in the Second Residential District where not already now classified in the First Residential District.

The amendments recommended would classify the property in a manner consistent with the classifications now applying to the Marina proper, which, when you consider the development and use of land surrounding this particular area is the greatest restriction which should be considered.

No sound logical reasons were advanced by any of the proponents of this ordinance upon which the Commission could predicate a recommendation in favor thereof.

Considerable stress was placed on the contention that the property should be acquired by the City for a further extension of Yacht Harbor. The City Planning Commission is quite in accord with this contention and recommended by a resolution passed in the year 1932, the acquisition of the property for such purposes, but in the consideration of any proposal for the classification of the use of the land under the Zoning Ordinance all such matters must be entirely disregarded as not per-

tinient to the question and not a basis upon which to predicate a recommendation as to zoning.

Respectfully submitted,

By order of the City Planning Commission.

(Signed) MARK JORGENSEN,  
Secretary.

**Motion for Immediate Consideration of City Planning Commission's Reports and Recommendations.**

Following the reading of the foregoing reports and recommendations by the City Planning Commission, Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Mead, moved that consideration thereof be had immediately.

**Consideration Postponed.**

Whereupon, Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Brown, moved as an amendment to the foregoing motion, that consideration of the foregoing be postponed for two weeks, and made a Special Order of Business at 2:30 p. m.

No objection and *so ordered*.

**Sale of Bonds—3 P. M.**

Sealed bids for the purchase of certain bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, were received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, December 5, 1938, and were opened by said Board at said time.

The bonds offered are described as follows:

\$1,943,000 School Bonds, 1938, dated December 1, 1938, comprising 216 bonds of \$1,000 denomination, maturing each year from 1940 to 1947, inclusive, and 215 bonds of \$1,000 denomination, maturing in 1948.

These said described bonds will bear interest at a rate or rates not exceeding 6 per centum per annum, as shall be named by the bidder, and bidders for said bonds shall specify in their bids the interest rate or rates desired, not exceeding 6 per centum per annum. Interest on said bonds will be payable semi-annually June 1 and December 1. Said bonds will not be sold at a price less than par value thereof together with accrued interest at the rate or rates named on said bonds to date of delivery.

\$1,256,000 Hospital Bonds, 1938, dated January 1, 1938, comprising 140 bonds of \$1,000 denomination, maturing each year from 1940 to 1944, inclusive, and 139 bonds of \$1,000 denomination, maturing each year from 1945 to 1948, inclusive.

The said described bonds will bear interest at a rate or rates not exceeding 6 per centum per annum, as shall be named by the bidder, and bidders for said bonds shall specify in their bids the interest rate or rates desired, not exceeding 6 per centum per annum. Interest on said bonds will be payable semi-annually July 1 and January 1. Said bonds will not be sold at a price less than the par value thereof, together with accrued interest, at the rate or rates named, on said bonds to date of delivery.

The right is reserved by the Board of Supervisors to reject any and all bids.

The bonds offered are tax exempt, State and Federal.

No alternative bids will be considered by the Board of Supervisors.

All proposals for the purchase of said bonds shall be accompanied by a deposit of 5 per cent of the amount bid, in lawful money of the United States, or by a deposit of a certified check payable to David A. Barry, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, for a like amount, provided that no deposit need exceed the sum of \$10,000, and that no deposit need be given by the State of California, which money or check shall be forfeited by the



bidder in case he fails to accept and pay for the bonds bid for by him, if his bid is accepted.

The bonds will be awarded to the bidder or bidders offering to purchase the same, bearing the lowest rate or rates of interest, and if two or more bidders offer to purchase the bonds bearing the same lowest rate or rates of interest, the bonds will be awarded to the bidder offering to purchase the same at such rates of interest and in such amounts that the net interest cost to the City and County of San Francisco of the accepted bid will be the lowest net interest cost, considering the amount of interest to be paid on said bonds during the life thereof at the rates specified, and deducting any premium or premiums bid in addition.

The approval of Orrick, Dahlquist, Neff & Herrington, attorneys, San Francisco, as to the legality of these bonds will be furnished to the successful bidder without cost.

### Bids.

The following bids were presented, opened, read and *referred to the Finance Committee*:

1. Bankamerica Company; Blyth & Co., Inc.; American Trust Company; R. W. Pressprich & Co., by Bankamerica Company, by A. K. Brown:

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$3,200,631 and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery. Said bonds are more particularly described as follows:

\$2,845,000 par value to bear interest at the rate of two per centum (2%) per annum, maturing: \$216,000 par value School Bonds, 1938, on December 1st in each of the years 1940 to 1947, both years inclusive, and \$140,000 par value Hospital Bonds, 1938, on January 1st in each of the years 1940 to 1944, both years inclusive, and \$139,000 par value Hospital Bonds, 1938, on January 1st in each of the years 1945 to 1947, both years inclusive.

\$354,000 par value to bear interest at the rate of one and three-quarters per centum (1¾%) per annum, maturing: \$215,000 par value School Bonds, 1938, on December 1, 1948, and \$139,000 par value Hospital Bonds, 1938, on January 1, 1948.

2. Halsey, Stuart & Co., Inc.; Bancamerica-Blair Corporation; Stone & Webster and Blodget, Inc.; Hemphill, Noyes & Co.; Geo. B. Gibbons & Co., Inc.; First of Michigan Corporation; Banks, Huntley & Co., by Halsey, Stuart & Co., Inc.:

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$3,199,210.00 (three million one hundred ninety-nine thousand two hundred ten and no/100 dollars) and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery.

\$1,296,000 (one million two hundred ninety-six thousand dollars) principal amount of School Bonds, being all the bonds maturing December 1, 1940 to 1945, inclusive, to bear interest at the rate of two per cent (2%) per annum and \$647,000 (six hundred forty-seven thousand dollars) principal amount of School Bonds, being all the bonds maturing December 1, 1946 to 1948, inclusive, to bear interest at the rate of one and three-quarters per cent (1¾%) per annum.

\$839,000 (eight hundred thirty-nine thousand dollars) principal amount of Hospital Bonds, being all the bonds maturing January 1, 1940 to 1945, inclusive, to bear interest at the rate of two per cent (2%) per annum and \$417,000 (four hundred seventeen thousand dollars) principal amount of Hospital Bonds, being all the bonds maturing January 1, 1946 to 1948, inclusive, to bear interest at the rate of one and three-quarters per cent (1¾%) per annum.

3. Brown, Harriman & Co.; Wm. R. Staats Co.; Weeden & Co., by Vernon Kimball:

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$3,199,000 and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery, interest rates as follows:

\$1,943,000 School Bonds—\$216,000 maturing December 1st, 1940, to bear interest at the rate of one and one-half per cent ( $1\frac{1}{2}\%$ ) per annum. \$216,000 maturing each year 1941 to 1947, inclusive, and \$215,000 maturing in 1948 to bear interest at the rate of two per cent (2%) per annum.

\$1,256,000 Hospital Bonds—\$140,000 maturing January 1st, 1940, to bear interest at the rate of one per cent (1%) per annum. \$140,000 maturing each year 1941 to 1944, inclusive, and \$139,000 maturing each year 1945 to 1948, inclusive, to bear interest at the rate of two per cent (2%) per annum.

4. The National City Bank of New York; Kean Taylor & Co.; L. F. Rothschild & Co.; Eldridge & Co.; C. F. Childs & Company; Mackey Dunn & Co., Inc., by C. F. Childs & Company, by Benj. R. Brindley, Assistant Vice-President:

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$3,201,527.21 and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery all of said \$3,199,000 bonds to bear interest at the rate of 2 per cent.

5. R. H. Moulton & Company; Bankers Trust Company; Dean Witter & Co.; The First Boston Corporation; Security First National Bank of Los Angeles, by R. H. Moulton & Company, Syndicate Manager, by V. E. Breeden:

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$3,215,539 and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery.

\$1,256,000 Hospital Bonds, bearing interest at the rate of 2 per cent: \$140,000 January 1, 1940-44, inclusive. \$139,000 January 1, 1945-48, inclusive.

\$1,943,000 School Bonds, bearing interest at the rate of  $2\frac{1}{4}$  per cent: \$216,000 December 1, 1940-47, inclusive. \$215,000 December 1, 1948.

6. The Anglo California National Bank; Heller, Bruce & Co.; Mercantile-Commerce Bank & Trust Company; The Boatmen's National Bank; Union Bank & Trust Company; Kelley, Richardson & Co.; Wells-Dickey Company, by The Anglo California National Bank, Alger J. Jacobs, Representative:

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$3,211,476.10 and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery. Said bonds to bear interest at the rate of two (2) per cent.

7. Harris Trust and Savings Bank; The Chase National Bank; Smith Barney and Company; The Northern Trust Company; Wells Fargo Bank & Union Trust Co.; R. H. Rebele; Wells Fargo Bank & Union Trust Co., Representative R. H. Rebele:

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$3,199,544 and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery as follows:

For \$839,000 Hospital Bonds, maturing January 1, 1940, to and including January 1, 1945, at the rate of  $2\frac{1}{4}$  per cent per annum.

For \$417,000 Hospital Bonds maturing January 1, 1946, to and including January 1, 1948, at the rate of 2 per cent per annum.

For \$1,080,000 School Bonds maturing December 1, 1940, to and including December 1, 1944, at the rate of  $2\frac{1}{4}$  per cent per annum.

For \$863,000 School Bonds maturing December 1, 1945, to and including December 1, 1948, at the rate of 2 per cent per annum.

8. Lehman Brothers; E. H. Rollins & Sons; Paine, Webber & Co.; Sargent, Taylor & Co.; Stern Brothers & Co.; Stone & Youngberg; Phelps, Fenn & Co.; Schwabacher & Co.; F. S. Moseley & Co.; Charles Clark & Co.; Morse Bros. & Co., Inc.; M. H. Lewis & Company, by Lehman Bros., Account Managers:



For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$3,199,000 and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery.

The \$216,000 School Bonds due December 1, 1940, bearing interest at the rate of 6 per cent per annum. The \$432,000 School Bonds due December 1, 1941, and December 1, 1942, bearing interest at the rate of 1½ per cent per annum. The \$1,295,000 School Bonds due from December 1, 1943, to December 1, 1948, inclusive, bearing interest at the rate of 2 per cent per annum.

The \$280,000 Hospital Bonds due January 1, 1940, and January 1, 1941, bearing interest at the rate of 6 per cent per annum. The \$420,000 Hospital Bonds due from January 1, 1942, to January 1, 1944, inclusive, bearing interest at the rate of 1½ per cent per annum; and the \$556,000 Hospital Bonds due from January 1, 1945, to January 1, 1948, inclusive, bearing interest at the rate of 2 per cent per annum.

9. Lazard Freres & Co.; Union Securities Corporation; Kaiser & Co.; B. J. Van Ingen & Co., Inc.; California Bank; The Milwaukee Company; Commerce Trust Company; Donnellan & Co., Incorporated; Brush, Slacumb & Co.; Eastland & Co., by Kaiser & Co., E. R. Foley:

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$3,199,429 and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery for amounts, maturities and coupon rates as follows:

\$1,943,000 School Bonds, 1938—\$216,000 par value due December 1, 1940, at the rate of 1½ per cent per annum. \$1,727,000 par value due December 1, 1941, to 1948, inclusive, at the rate of 2 per cent per annum.

\$1,256,000 Hospital Bonds, 1938—\$280,000 par value due January 1, 1940 and 1941, at the rate of 1½ per cent per annum. \$976,000 par value due January 1, 1942 to 1948, inclusive, at the rate of 2 per cent per annum.

2. Halsey, Stuart & Co., Inc., (*successful bid*).

### Adopted.

Subsequently the Finance Committee reported and recommended the following resolutions:

**Sale of \$1,943,000 School Bonds, 1938; \$1,256,000 Hospital Bonds, 1938.**

(Code No. 21.31)

(Code No. 12.123)

Resolution No. 4434, as follows:

Whereas, due notice was given as provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco that sealed proposals for the purchase of certain bonds of said City and County would be received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, December 5, 1938, and opened and considered by said Board at said time.

The bonds offered are described as follows:

\$1,943,000 School Bonds, 1938, dated December 1, 1938, comprising 216 bonds of \$1,000 denomination, maturing each year from 1940 to 1947, inclusive, and 215 bonds of \$1,000 denomination, maturing in 1948. Interest payable June 1 and December 1.

\$1,256,000 Hospital Bonds, 1938, dated January 1, 1938, comprising 140 bonds of \$1,000 denomination, maturing each year from 1940 to 1944, inclusive, and 139 bonds of \$1,000 denomination, maturing each year from 1945 to 1948, inclusive. Interest payable July 1 and January 1.

Whereas, sundry bids were received and opened in accordance with

the aforesaid notice of sale, and the same having been duly considered; therefore,

Resolved, That the following bid of Halsey, Stuart & Co., Inc., Bancamerica-Blair Corporation, Stone & Webster and Blodget, Inc., Hemphill, Noyes & Co., Geo. B. Gibbons & Co., Inc., First of Michigan Corporation, Banks, Huntley & Co., by Halsey, Stuart & Co., Inc.:

"For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$3,199,210.00 (three million one hundred ninety-nine thousand two hundred ten and no/100 dollars) and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery.

"\$1,296,000 (one million two hundred ninety-six thousand dollars) principal amount of School Bonds, being all the bonds maturing December 1, 1940 to 1945, inclusive, to bear interest at the rate of two per cent (2%) per annum and \$647,000 (six hundred forty-seven thousand dollars) principal amount of School Bonds, being all the bonds maturing December 1, 1946 to 1948, inclusive, to bear interest at the rate of one and three-quarters per cent (1¾%) per annum.

"\$839,000 (eight hundred thirty-nine thousand dollars) principal amount of Hospital Bonds, being all the bonds maturing January 1, 1940 to 1945, inclusive, to bear interest at the rate of two per cent (2%) per annum and \$417,000 (four hundred seventeen thousand dollars) principal amount of Hospital Bonds, being all the bonds maturing January 1, 1946 to 1948, inclusive, to bear interest at the rate of one and three-quarters per cent (1¾%) per annum," be and the same is hereby accepted and said bonds are hereby struck off and sold to Halsey, Stuart & Co., Inc., Bancamerica-Blair Corporation, Stone & Webster and Blodget, Inc., Hemphill, Noyes & Co., Geo. B. Gibbon & Co., Inc., First of Michigan Corporation, Banks, Huntley & Co., by Halsey, Stuart & Co., Inc., in accordance with the foregoing bid.

That all other bids for said bonds be rejected and the Clerk is hereby directed to return certified checks accompanying same.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Fixing the Rate of Interest on \$1,256,000 Principal Amount Hospital Bonds 1938 Sold by Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco on December 5, 1938.**

(Code No. 12.123)

Resolution No. 4435, as follows:

Whereas, after due notice and proper proceedings had in that behalf the Board of Supervisors offered for sale and sold to the highest and best bidder therefor \$1,256,000 principal amount Hospital Bonds, 1938, in accordance with Resolution No. 4434; Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the rate of interest on said \$1,256,000 principal amount of said bonds be and the same is hereby fixed as follows, to-wit:

For bonds numbered as follows at the rate of 2 per cent per annum:

161 to 300—both numbers inclusive.  
321 to 460—both numbers inclusive.  
481 to 620—both numbers inclusive.  
641 to 780—both numbers inclusive.  
801 to 940—both numbers inclusive.  
961 to 1099—both numbers inclusive.

For bonds numbered as follows at the rate of 1¾ per cent per annum:

1121 to 1259—both numbers inclusive.  
1281 to 1419—both numbers inclusive.  
1441 to 1579—both numbers inclusive.

Said interest on all of said bonds being payable semi-annually on the 1st day of January and July of each year.



Be It Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board be and he is hereby authorized and directed to insert the aforesaid interest rates in the interim certificates authorized by Resolution No. 4419 this day adopted by this Board and in the bonds represented thereby.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Fixing the Rate of Interest on \$1,943,000 Principal Amount of School Bonds 1938 Sold by Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco on December 5, 1938.**

(Code No. 21.31)

Resolution No. 4436, as follows:

Whereas, after due notice and proper proceedings had in that behalf the Board of Supervisors offered for sale and sold to the highest and best bidder therefor \$1,943,000 principal amount School Bonds, 1938, in accordance with Resolution No. 4434; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the rate of interest on said \$1,943,000 principal amount of aforesaid bonds be and the same is hereby fixed as follows:

For bonds numbered as follows at the rate of 2 per cent per annum:

- 281 to 496—both numbers inclusive.
- 581 to 776—both numbers inclusive.
- 841 to 1056—both numbers inclusive.
- 1121 to 1336—both numbers inclusive.
- 1401 to 1616—both numbers inclusive.
- 1681 to 1896—both numbers inclusive.

For bonds numbered as follows at the rate of 1¾ per cent per annum:

- 1961 to 2176—both numbers inclusive.
- 2241 to 2456—both numbers inclusive.
- 2521 to 2735—both numbers inclusive.

Said interest on all of said bonds being payable semi-annually on the 1st day of December and June of each year.

Be It Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board be and he is hereby authorized and directed to insert the aforesaid interest rates in the interim certificates authorized by Resolution No. 4420 this day adopted by this Board and in the bonds represented thereby.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Consideration of petition of Market Street Railway for the repeal of Jitney Bus Ordinance and the abandonment and curtailment of service on certain of its street car lines.

#### Committee of the Whole.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Reilly, moved that the Board resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole, and that President Shannon be Chairman of the Committee.

No objection and *so ordered*.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the matter of abandonment of street car lines be taken up seriatim, and then the question of repeal of jitney ordinances be considered.

No objection and *so ordered*.

### Privilege of the Floor.

Miss Carsten, representing the Communist Party, read a communication from the Communist Party, urging the Board to deny the petitions of the Market Street Railway Company for the abandonment of certain lines and for the repeal of the jitney ordinances. Miss Carsten further urged the Board strongly to protest to the State Railroad Commission against a seven-cent carfare.

Mr. John F. Brady, Deputy Superintendent of Schools; Mrs. A. B. Bauman, representing the Eureka Valley Promotion Association, and Mr. Alfred C. Skaife, resident of Noe Valley, protested the proposed abandonment of the Castro street cable line. Mr. William C. Varley, representing the Southern Council of Civic Clubs, urged retention of the five-cent carfare, with a universal transfer system. He protested the abandonment of car service. Mr. E. P. Troy urged the Board to deny petitions of the Market Street Railway Company.

Mr. A. C. Griffith, representing himself as a rider on jitney buses; Mr. Ernest Lotti, president of Chauffeurs' Union; Nicholas Spararas, president of Jitney Bus Drivers' Union; Mr. A. Fairbanks, a jitney driver; Mrs. Franchia of the Bay View Improvement Club; Mr. John J. Ryan of the Bay View Civic Club, and Mr. Samuel A. Caldwell, representing himself and other jitney drivers, all spoke against repeal of the jitney bus ordinances.

Mr. L. V. Newton of the Market Street Railway Company, presented the company's opinions and urged the Board to grant the petitions.

Mr. S. W. Douglass, representing the Carmen's Union, opposed the operation of jitney buses, stating that their operation was direct discrimination against his organization. On being questioned by Supervisor Colman, Mr. Douglass stated that regarding petition for abandonment of certain street car lines, his organization did not advocate anything that would lose jobs for its members, but must, though, carefully consider anything for the protection of the wages of the great majority who are left.

### Committee of the Whole Arises.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the Committee of the Whole arise and report to the Board.

No objection and *so ordered*.

### Question on Postponement.

During the foregoing discussion, Supervisor Brown requested the Board to postpone its vote on the matter for one week, since it would be necessary for him to leave the meeting, pursuant to request already made, but would like to vote on the matter. He stated, also, that he desired information by the following week on: 1. What does the Market Street Railway Company intend to do to accommodate the people in those various districts in which they are asking abandonment of street-car lines or curtailment of service? 2. How many people use jitney buses in San Francisco by the month or by the year? Supervisor Colman seconded motion for postponement for one week.

### Motion Withdrawn.

After discussion on question of postponement, to which Supervisors McSheehy and Reilly objected, Supervisor Brown *withdrew his motion*.

### Motion to Postpone Consideration Renewed.

Subsequently during the proceedings, after the Committee of the Whole arose, Supervisor Colman renewed the motion previously made



by Supervisor Brown, that further consideration of the entire matter be postponed for one week.

#### Removal of Abandoned Car Tracks.

In reply to question by Supervisor Uhl, the City Attorney stated that in his opinion, it would be an obligation of the company to remove car tracks upon the abandonment of any of the car lines, but suggested that the Board come to some agreement thereon with the company in advance of voting abandonment.

#### Turnover of Jitney Permits.

Supervisor Meyer requested that information be requested from the Police Commission or from whomever handles permits or licenses, as to the turnover in jitney permits or licenses.

#### Roll Call on Postponement.

The roll was called and the entire matter was *postponed for one week* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Reilly—2.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead—2.

#### Special Order of Business.

Thereupon, on motion by Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration on the entire matter was *made a Special Order of Business for 3 p. m. on Monday, December 12, 1938.*

### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

#### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

**Appropriation of \$450 From Surplus in 1937 Equipment Fund, Palace of the Legion of Honor, to Provide Funds for Landscaping at the California Palace of the Legion of Honor.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1764, Ordinance No. 9.051525, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$450 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation Number 717.400.00 to the credit of Appropriation Number 817.313.00 for the purpose of providing funds for landscaping at the California Palace of the Legion of Honor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$450 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation Number 717.400.00 to the credit of Appropriation Number 817.313.00 for the purpose of providing funds for landscaping at the California Palace of the Legion of Honor.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Amendment to Salary Ordinance, Department of Electricity, by Adding Item 30, 3 Machinists, at \$215.25 Per Month, Under Heading "Interdepartmental," and Transferring Item 29, 2 Cable Splicer Helpers, From Regular Organization to Interdepartmental Organization.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1765, Ordinance No. 9.053169, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 53 (Department of Electricity) of Ordinance 9.053128 by adding Item 30 3 M254 Machinist at \$215.25 per month thereto under the sub-heading "Interdepartmental," and by transferring Item 29 2 E155 Cable Splicer Helper at \$8 per day from the regular organization to the interdepartmental organization.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 53 of Ordinance 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 53. DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A354	Painter at \$9.75 per day.....	
2	1	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 225
3	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
4	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	250
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
6	4	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
7	1	E2	Line Inspector .....	225
8	16	E4	Electrical Inspector .....	250
9	1	E8	Chief Electrical Inspector .....	260
10	8	E52	Fire Dispatcher .....	225
11	1	E54	Chief Fire Dispatcher .....	275
12	1	E108	Electrician .....	240
13	1	E108	Electrician at \$10 per day.....	
14	1	E110	Radio Maintenance Man at \$8 per day..	
15	1	E116	Superintendent of Plant, Department of Electricity .....	325
16	1	E154	Lineman .....	220
17	10	E154	Lineman .....	215
18	2	E154	Lineman .....	185
19	1	E156	Cable Splicer at \$9.50 per day.....	
20	2	E160	Foreman Lineman .....	240
21	1	F366	Chief, Department of Electricity.....	500
22	3	J4	Laborer at \$6 per day.....	
23	1	J12	Laborer Foreman .....	195
24	1	J66	Garageman .....	162.50
25	1	J76	Traffic Button Maintenance Man at \$9 per day .....	
26	2	M254	Machinist .....	225
27	5	M260	Instrument Maker .....	225
28	1	M264	Foreman Instrument Maker .....	250

**INTERDEPARTMENTAL**

The following positions are in interdepartmental service and the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

29	2	E155	Cable Splicer Helper at \$8 per day.....	
30	3	M254	Machinist .....	215.25

Finally passed by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.



**Authorizing and Directing the City Attorney to Settle and Compromise the Claim of the City and County of San Francisco Against the Estate of Joseph W. Daly, Deceased.**

(Code No. 6.0222)

Also, Bill No. 1766, Ordinance No. 6.022235, as follows:

Authorizing and directing the City Attorney to settle and compromise the claim of the City and County of San Francisco against the Estate of Joseph W. Daly, Deceased.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney of the City and County of San Francisco having recommended the settlement and compromise of its claim against the Estate of Joseph W. Daly, Deceased, No. 78031 of the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, for the payment of six hundred (\$600) dollars to the City and County of San Francisco in full payment and satisfaction of its claim on file against the said estate; and it appearing that said compromise is fair and reasonable, the City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle and compromise the above mentioned claim by the payment of six hundred (\$600) dollars in full settlement of all amounts claimed by said City and County of San Francisco against the said estate; said payment to be made to the City and County of San Francisco.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Establishing Revolving Funds for the Recorder and Providing for the Operation of Said Funds and Appropriating the Moneys Therefor.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1767, Ordinance No. 9.051526, as follows:

Establishing revolving funds for the Recorder and providing for the operation of said funds and appropriating the moneys therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There are hereby established the following revolving funds for the Recorder:

(a) The Recorder's Change Fund, the amount of which shall not exceed \$50.

(b) The Recorder's Revolving Fund, the amount of which shall not exceed \$50.

Section 2. The Recorder's Change Fund shall be used by the Recorder's cashier for the purpose of making change for those persons who pay the prescribed statutory fees for the recordation of documents and for other services performed by the Recorder.

Section 3. The Recorder's Revolving Fund shall be used to pay expenses incident to the conduct of the Recorder's office which cannot be conveniently paid by warrants drawn upon the Treasurer of the City and County. All expenditures from the said Recorder's Revolving Fund shall be made in accordance with rules and regulations of the Controller.

Section 4. The Recorder shall keep a full, true and correct account of all moneys received for or disbursed from said Recorder's Revolving

Fund, and shall at least once during each month after the establishment of said fund, render to the Controller a full, true and correct account of all disbursements made from said fund, together with proper vouchers supporting said disbursements, and upon said disbursements being approved by the Controller, the said Controller shall draw his warrant in favor of the said Recorder for the aggregate amount of said disbursements, and when the amount of said warrant so drawn is received by the Recorder, it shall be placed to the credit of said Recorder's Revolving Fund.

Section 5. For the purpose of providing funds for the establishment of said funds, there is hereby appropriated from the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 830.101.00 1938-1939 Annual Appropriation Ordinance, the sum of \$100, and the Controller is directed to draw respective warrants in favor of the Recorder for the respective amounts mentioned in Section 1 of this ordinance.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncoviari, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Amending Electrical Inspection Ordinance by Reducing Reregistration Fee From \$100 to \$50.**

(Code No. 11.11)

Also, Bill No. 1776, Ordinance No. 11.118, as follows:

Amending section 7c of Ordinance No. 11.114, entitled "Regulating the doing of electrical and fixture work in or about buildings or structures in the City and County of San Francisco; providing for the enforcement of the ordinance; providing for the registration of all persons, firms or corporations doing such work and for the examination of master electricians or specialty electricians and the issuance of certificates of competency to them; fixing fees for registration and examinations; providing for the appointment of an examining board and for the compensation of said board; fixing fees for renewal of registrations; giving power to the Chief of the Department of Electricity to cancel or suspend certificates of registration and competency; providing for the appeal therefrom; exempting master electricians, specialty electricians now registered, and plant owners from taking examinations; providing for the issuance of permits for doing electrical work and fixture work and fees therefor and for the issuance of certificates of approval; providing for the adoption and promulgation of rules and regulations by the Chief of the Department of Electricity; fixing a standard for the installation, construction and operation of electrical work and fixture work; providing for the condemnation of electrical work not in conformity herewith; forbidding the furnishing of electrical current to said condemned or unapproved installations; fixing penalties for the violation of the ordinance and any rules and regulations adopted under authority hereof: and repealing any and all ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict therewith and particularly Ordinance No. 11.111, Ordinance No. 5192 (New Series) and Ordinance No. 5230 (New Series)," to provide for a reregistration fee of \$50.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 7c of Ordinance No. 11.114, the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 7c. Registration Fees. Before any Master Electrician, Specialty Electrician or Plant Owner shall become registered as provided for in section 7, he shall pay the following fees to cover the cost of handling said registrations, the cost of checking plans and specifica-



tions for the rendering of other services necessary for the correct installation and approval of electrical fixture work:

<i>Classification of Registration</i>	<i>Fees For First Year</i>	<i>Fees For Annual Renewal</i>
Master Electrician .....	\$100.00	\$50.00
Specialty Electrician .....	100.00	50.00
Plant Owner .....	10.00	10.00

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

#### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of the Streets Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

#### Repealing Ordinance Ordering the Improvement of Carolina Street Between Twenty-second and Twenty-third Streets (Wherenot).

(Code No. 12.0611)

Bill No. 1770, Ordinance No. 12.0611104, as follows:

Repealing Bill No. 1349, Ordinance No. 12.061175, entitled, "Ordering the Performance of Certain Street Work to be Done in the City and County of San Francisco, Approving and Adopting Specifications Therefor, Describing and Approving the Assessment District, and Authorizing the Director of Public Works to Enter Into Contract for Doing the Same."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill No. 1349, Ordinance No. 12.061175, the title of which is recited above, is hereby repealed.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

#### Accepting the Roadway of Lurmont Terrace, Off the West Side of Leavenworth Street 117 Feet 6 Inches North of and Parallel to the North Side of Greenwich Street.

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 1772, Ordinance No. 12.081149, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Lurmont terrace, off the west side of Leavenworth street 117 feet 6 inches north of and parallel to the north side of Greenwich street, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Lurmont terrace, off the west side of Leavenworth street 117 feet

6 inches north of and parallel to the north side of Greenwich street, including the curbs.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncoviari, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Accepting the Roadways of Wabash Terrace, Racine Lane and Beeman Lane.**

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 1773, Ordinance No. 12.081150, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Wabash terrace, Racine lane, and Beeman lane, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Wabash terrace, Racine lane, and Beeman lane, including the curbs.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncoviari, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Changing and Establishing Grades on Armstrong Avenue Between Third and Mendell Streets; Lane and Mendell Streets Between Yosemite and Armstrong Avenues.**

(Code No. 12.0722)

Also, Bill No. 1774, Ordinance No. 12.072242, as follows:

Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Armstrong avenue between Third and Mendell streets; Lane and Mendell streets between Yosemite and Armstrong avenues.

Whereas, The Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 3rd day of October, 1938, by Resolution No. 4268 declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Armstrong avenue between Third and Mendell streets; Lane and Mendell streets between Yosemite and Armstrong avenues.

Whereas, Said resolution was so published for two days, and the Director of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, More than thirty days have elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

<i>Armstrong avenue:</i>	<i>Feet</i>
Southerly line of, at Third street westerly line.....	10.75
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Northerly line of, at Third street westerly line.....	11.50
(The same being the present official grade.)	



*Armstrong Avenue (Continued):* *Feet*

15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 3 feet easterly from Lane street .....	11.63
At a point 15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, cut by a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 3 feet easterly from Lane street.....	11.63
Northerly line of, 12 feet westerly from Lane street easterly line .....	12
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Northerly line of, 12 feet easterly from Lane street westerly line .....	12
(The same being the present official grade.)	
15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 3 feet westerly from Lane street .....	12.06
At a point 15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, cut by a line at right angles to the northerly line of, at Lane street westerly line .....	12
(The same being the present official grade.)	
On a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 441.90 feet westerly from Lane street .....	20.43
15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 525.82 feet westerly from Lane street produced.....	22
15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 570.63 feet westerly from Lane street .....	23.20
Grade at Mendell street be abolished.	

*Lane street:*

Westerly line of, at Yosemite avenue.....	19
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Easterly line of, at Yosemite avenue southerly line.....	18.16
(The same being the present official grade.)	
12 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 50 feet northerly from Armstrong avenue.....	13
12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 50 feet northerly from Armstrong avenue .....	12.60
Armstrong avenue northerly line .....	12
(The same being the present official grade.)	

On Armstrong avenue between Third and Mendell streets, and on Lane and Mendell streets between Yosemite and Armstrong avenues be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

### Ordering the Improvement of Portions of Lawton Street (Southerly One-Half) Between Thirty-seventh Avenue and Thirty-eighth Avenue.

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 1775, Ordinance No. 12.0611105, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 31,

1938, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten (10) installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per cent per annum.

The improvement of portions of: Lawton street (southerly one-half), between Thirty-seventh avenue and Thirty-eighth avenue, by grading to official line and grade and by the construction of the following:

#### Item

No.

Item

- 1 Asphaltic concrete-rock sub-base pavement, consisting of a 6-inch compacted rock sub-base, a 4-inch asphaltic concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.
- 2 Unarmored concrete curb.
- 3 6-inch vitrified clay pipe side-sewers.
- 4 Water services.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated, and numbered respectively as:

Block 1906; Lots 1, 33, 34 and 34-A;

being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

### NEW BUSINESS.

#### Adopted.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Providing for Interim Certificates Pending the Printing and Issuance of "Hospital Bonds 1938."**

(Code No. 12.123)

Resolution No. 4419, as follows:

Whereas, the electors of the City and County of San Francisco did on the 2d day of November, 1937, at a special election duly called and held in the said City and County on said day, by more than a two-thirds vote of said electors voting on said proposition at said election, approve incurring of a bonded indebtedness of \$1,600,000 for the purpose of enlarging and remodeling the Hassler Health Home, Laguna Honda Home and the San Francisco Hospital, and increasing the quarters for the use of X-ray, all designed to aid and facilitate the



taking care of the aged persons and the infirm and indigents who attend the hospital conducted by San Francisco; and

Whereas, the said bonds authorized to be issued as aforesaid have not as yet been printed and, therefore, cannot be delivered to the purchasers thereof, but pending the preparation and issuance of said bonds, it is necessary that said City and County receive the proceeds of the sale of said bonds in order to comply with the terms and conditions of the grant heretofore made to said City and County of San Francisco by the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works in aid of the project for which said bonds are authorized to be issued, and that accordingly, for the purpose of obtaining the purchase price of said bonds prior to the actual execution and delivery thereof, it is necessary that interim certificates be issued to the purchaser of said bonds upon payment of such purchase price, which interim certificates shall be exchangeable for said definitive bonds upon their execution and delivery and upon the surrender of such interim certificate or certificates and without any additional payment on the part of the holder of such certificates; and

Whereas, \$1,256,000 principal amount of the aforesaid bonds were, after due notice and proper proceedings had in that behalf, offered for sale and sold on the 5th day of December, 1938, the rate of interest on said bonds having, by resolution of this Board of Supervisors, been fixed at two (2) per cent per annum on bonds numbered—

161 to 300—both numbers inclusive

321 to 460—both numbers inclusive

481 to 620—both numbers inclusive

641 to 780—both numbers inclusive

801 to 940—both numbers inclusive

961 to 1099—both numbers inclusive

and at one and three-quarters per cent (1¾%) per annum on bonds numbered as follows:

1121 to 1259—both numbers inclusive

1281 to 1419—both numbers inclusive

1441 to 1579—both numbers inclusive

Said interest on all of said bonds being payable semi-annually on the 1st day of January and July of each year; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That Angelo J. Rossi, the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, and Duncan Matheson, the Treasurer thereof, be and they are hereby authorized, empowered and directed to make and execute for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco an interim receipt or interim receipts or certificates evidencing payment in full of the purchase price of the bonds of the aforesaid issue which have been sold as aforesaid and which up to date hereof have not been printed or executed by all of the officials of the City and County of San Francisco as required by law; and be it

Further Resolved, That David A. Barry, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the said City and County of San Francisco, be and he is hereby authorized, empowered and directed to countersign said interim receipt or receipts or certificates and thereupon said interim receipt or receipts or certificates shall be delivered to the purchaser or purchasers of said bonds upon the payment of the purchase price for said bonds, including premium and accrued interest, provided that the signature of Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, may be the facsimile signature of said Mayor; and be it

Further Resolved, That said receipts or certificates when executed and delivered as aforesaid, shall be exchangeable at the office of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco for the bond or bonds which they represent, whenever said bonds are executed by all of the officials required by law to execute the same; and be it

Further Resolved, That when said \$1,256,000 principal amount of said Hospital Bonds 1938 shall have been duly executed, the Treasurer of said City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized

and directed to deliver the same to the holder or holders of said interim certificate or certificates upon surrender of the same and without payment of any further amounts, whether on account of principal or interest or premium of said City and County of San Francisco Hospital Bonds 1938. If for any reason said City and County of San Francisco Hospital Bonds 1938 shall not be executed and delivered by the City and County of San Francisco on or before February 1, 1939, upon surrender of such interim certificate or certificates evidencing the same, the holder or holders of such interim certificate or certificates shall be entitled to the return of the par value of said Hospital Bonds 1938 in cash in the amount set forth in said interim certificate or certificates but without interest thereon, within ten (10) days after written notice by such holder or holders to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco. Upon such written notice, the Treasurer of said City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to re-deliver in cash the par value of said Hospital Bonds 1938 evidenced by said interim certificate or certificates, and likewise upon ten days' notice, said Treasurer is further authorized and directed to re-deliver in cash to the purchaser of said bonds named in the resolution of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco passed and adopted on December 5, 1938, awarding said bonds to the highest and best bidder therefor, the amount representing (a) the premium agreed to be paid on account of the purchase price of said bonds and (b) the amount representing the accrued interest paid on said bonds from July 1, 1938, to the date of issuance of such interim certificates; provided, however, that if only one interim certificate shall be issued to represent the entire purchase price, including principal, premium and accrued interest on all of said \$1,256,000 principal amount of said Hospital Bonds 1938, then the entire amount so paid, if said bonds are not executed and delivered on or before February 1, 1939, shall be re-delivered in cash to the holder of such interim certificate upon surrender of the same; and be it

Further Resolved, That said interim certificates may be issued in the form of one interim certificate for all of said \$1,256,000 principal amount of said Hospital Bonds 1938, or in separate certificates representing one or more of said Hospital Bonds 1938, as the purchaser of said bonds may elect; provided, that in the latter case the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco is authorized and directed to deliver to the purchaser of said bonds a separate receipt evidencing the amount of (a) premium paid on account of the purchase price of said bonds, and (b) accrued interest paid on account of the purchase price of said bonds, and provided, further, that in the event such certificates are issued to represent one or more of said bonds, but not all, each of such certificates shall be numbered to correspond with the number of the bond which the same evidences; and be it

Further Resolved, That the full faith and credit of the City and County of San Francisco are hereby pledged to the holder or holders of such interim certificate or certificates for the due and punctual performance by said City and County of San Francisco, and each and all of the officers thereof, of the terms and conditions in this resolution set forth; and be it

Further Resolved, That said interim receipts or certificates be substantially in the following words and figures, to-wit:

#### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

State of California, City and County  
of San Francisco

#### HOSPITAL BONDS 1938 INTERIM RECEIPT

For Bonds Numbered ..... to ....., Inclusive

\$.....

Certificate No. ....

The City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation duly organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of



California, acknowledges receipt of \$..... as payment to it in full of the principal amount of Hospital Bonds 1938 (the amount of premium and accrued interest on said bonds being represented by a separate receipt signed and delivered by the Treasurer of said City and County) issued for the purpose of enlarging and remodeling the Hassler Health Home, Laguna Honda Home and the San Francisco Hospital, and increasing the quarters for the use of X-ray, all designed to aid and facilitate the taking care of the aged persons and the infirm and indigents who attend the hospital conducted by San Francisco, which said bonds represented by this certificate are dated Januray 1, 1938, and numbered ..... to ....., both numbers inclusive, and maturing on the first day of January, 194—, with coupons evidencing the interest on said bonds at the rate of ..... per cent per annum, payable semi-annually, whereof \$1,256,000 principal amount of said bonds are authorized to be issued pursuant to the Constitution and Statutes of the State of California and the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto.

This certificate evidences the due payment to the City and County of San Francisco of the full par value of said Hospital Bonds 1938 covered by this certificate (the premium agreed to be paid therefor, and all interest to accrue on said bonds from July 1, 1938, until this certificate shall be exchanged for such bonds, having been duly paid). This certificate does not bear interest, but the holder shall not be required to pay any accrued interest on said bonds from July 1, 1938, to the date of exchange of this certificate for said bonds.

The bearer hereof is entitled to receive the bonds mentioned herein when the same have been executed upon the surrender of this receipt to the Treasurer of the City and County.

This receipt is executed and delivered pursuant to a resolution of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco dated December 5, 1938, and is signed by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, by his facsimile signature, by the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, and countersigned by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco pursuant to the authority of said resolution.

Witness our hands and the seal of the City and County of San Francisco this ..... day of December, 1938.

.....  
Mayor.

.....  
Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Providing for Interim Certificates Pending the Printing and Issuance of "School Bonds 1938."**

(Code No. 21.31)

Also, Resolution No. 4420, as follows:

Whereas, the electors of the City and County of San Francisco did on the 27th day of September, 1938, at a special election duly called and held in the said City and County of San Francisco on said day, by more than a two-thirds vote of said electors voting on said proposition at said election, approve incurring of a bonded indebtedness of \$2,-

800,000 for the purpose of the acquisition, construction and completion of new school house buildings in the City and County of San Francisco, including furnishings and structures necessary to be used in and about said school buildings; and

Whereas, the said bonds authorized to be issued as aforesaid have not as yet been printed and, therefore, cannot be delivered to the purchasers thereof, but pending the preparation and issuance of said bonds, it is necessary that said City and County receive the proceeds of the sale of said bonds in order to comply with the terms and conditions of the grant heretofore made to said City and County of San Francisco by the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works in aid of the project for which said bonds are authorized to be issued, and that accordingly, for the purpose of obtaining the purchase price of said bonds prior to the actual execution and delivery thereof, it is necessary that interim certificates be issued to the purchaser of said bonds upon payment of such purchase price, which interim certificates shall be exchangeable for said definitive bonds upon their execution and delivery and upon the surrender of such interim certificate or certificates and without any additional payment on the part of the holder of such certificates; and

Whereas, \$1,943,000 principal amount of the aforesaid bonds were, after due notice and proper proceedings had in that behalf, offered for sale and sold on the 5th day of December, 1938, the rate of interest on said bonds having, by resolution of this Board of Supervisors, been fixed at 2 per cent per annum on bonds numbered—

281 to 496—both numbers inclusive  
561 to 776—both numbers inclusive  
841 to 1056—both numbers inclusive  
1121 to 1336—both numbers inclusive  
1401 to 1616—both numbers inclusive  
1681 to 1896—both numbers inclusive

and at 1¾ per cent per annum on bonds numbered as follows:

1961 to 2176—both numbers inclusive  
2241 to 2456—both numbers inclusive  
2521 to 2735—both numbers inclusive

Said interest on all of said bonds being payable semi-annually on the 1st day of December and June of each year; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That Angelo J. Rossi, the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, and Duncan Matheson, the Treasurer thereof, be and they are hereby authorized, empowered and directed to make and execute for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco an interim receipt or interim receipts or certificates evidencing payment in full of the purchase price of the bonds of the aforesaid issue which have been sold as aforesaid and which up to date hereof have not been printed or executed by all of the officials of the City and County of San Francisco as required by law; and be it

Further Resolved, That David A. Barry, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the said City and County of San Francisco, be and he is hereby authorized, empowered and directed to countersign said interim receipt or receipts or certificates and thereupon said interim receipt or receipts or certificates shall be delivered to the purchaser or purchasers of said bonds upon the payment of the purchase price for said bonds, including premium and accrued interest, provided that the signature of Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, may be the facsimile signature of said Mayor; and be it

Further Resolved, That said receipts or certificates when executed and delivered as aforesaid, shall be exchangeable at the office of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco for the bond or bonds which they represent, whenever said bonds are executed by all of the officials required by law to execute the same; and be it

Further Resolved, That when said \$1,943,000 principal amount of said School Bonds 1938 shall have been duly executed, the Treasurer



of said City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to deliver the same to the holder or holders of said interim certificate or certificates upon surrender of the same and without payment of any further amounts, whether on account of principal or interest or premium of said City and County of San Francisco School Bonds 1938. If for any reason said City and County of San Francisco School Bonds 1938 shall not be executed and delivered by the City and County of San Francisco on or before February 1, 1939, upon surrender of such interim certificate or certificates evidencing the same, the holder or holders of such interim certificate or certificates shall be entitled to the return of the par value of said School Bonds 1938 in cash in the amount set forth in said interim certificate or certificates but without interest thereon, within ten (10) days after written notice by such holder or holders to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco. Upon such written notice, the Treasurer of said City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to re-deliver in cash the par value of said School Bonds 1938 evidenced by said interim certificate or certificates, and likewise upon ten days' notice, said Treasurer is further authorized and directed to re-deliver in cash to the purchaser of said bonds named in the resolution of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco passed and adopted on December 5, 1938, awarding said bonds to the highest and best bidder therefor, the amount representing (a) the premium agreed to be paid on account of the purchase price of said bonds and (b) the amount representing the accrued interest paid on said bonds from December 1, 1938, to the date of issuance of such interim certificates; provided, however, that if only one interim certificate shall be issued to represent the entire purchase price, including principal, premium and accrued interest on all of said \$1,943,000 principal amount of said School Bonds 1938, then the entire amount so paid, if said bonds are not executed and delivered on or before February 1, 1939, shall be re-delivered in cash to the holder of such interim certificate upon surrender of the same; and be it

Further Resolved, That said interim certificates may be issued in the form of one interim certificate for all of said \$1,943,000 principal amount of said School Bonds 1938, or in separate certificates representing one or more of said School Bonds 1938, as the purchaser of said bonds may elect; provided, that in the latter case the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco is authorized and directed to deliver to the purchaser of said bonds a separate receipt evidencing the amount of (a) premium paid on account of the purchase price of said bonds, and (b) accrued interest paid on account of the purchase price of said bonds, and provided, further, that in the event such certificates are issued to represent one or more of said bonds, but not all, each of such certificates shall be numbered to correspond with the number of the bond which the same evidences; and be it

Further Resolved, That the full faith and credit of the City and County of San Francisco are hereby pledged to the holder or holders of such interim certificate or certificates for the due and punctual performance by said City and County of San Francisco, and each and all of the officers thereof, of the terms and conditions in this resolution set forth; and be it

Further Resolved, That said interim receipts or certificates be substantially in the following words and figures, to-wit:

#### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

State of California, City and County of  
San Francisco

#### SCHOOL BONDS 1938 INTERIM RECEIPT

For Bonds Numbered ..... to ....., Inclusive

\$..... Certificate No. ....

The City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation duly

organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California, acknowledges receipt of \$..... as payment to it in full of the principal amount of School Bonds 1938 (the amount of premium and accrued interest on said bonds being represented by a separate receipt signed and delivered by the Treasurer of said City and County), issued for the purpose of the acquisition, construction and completion of new school house buildings in the City and County of San Francisco, including furnishings and structures necessary to be used in and about said school buildings, which said bonds represented by this certificate are dated December 1, 1938, and numbered ..... to ....., both numbers inclusive, and maturing on the 1st day of December, 194—, with coupons evidencing interest on said bonds at the rate of ——— per cent per annum, payable semi-annually, whereof \$1,943,000 principal amount of said bonds are authorized to be issued pursuant to the Constitution and Statutes of the State of California and the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto.

This certificate evidences the due payment to the City and County of San Francisco of the full par value of said School Bonds 1938 covered by this certificate (the premium agreed to be paid therefor, and all interest to accrue on said bonds from December 1, 1938, until this certificate shall be exchanged for such bonds having been duly paid). This certificate does not bear interest, but the holder shall not be required to pay any accrued interest on said bonds from December 1, 1938, to the date of exchange of this certificate for said bonds.

The bearer hereof is entitled to receive the bonds mentioned herein when the same have been executed upon the surrender of this receipt to the Treasurer of the City and County.

This receipt is executed and delivered pursuant to a resolution of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco dated December 5, 1938, and is signed by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, by his facsimile signature, by the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, and countersigned by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco pursuant to the authority of said resolution.

Witness our hands and the seal of the City and County of San Francisco this ..... day of December, 1938.

.....  
Mayor.

.....  
Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Approval of Supplemental Recommendations, Public Welfare Department, for December, 1938.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 4421, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Public Welfare Department, containing the additional names of persons and amounts to be paid as Old Age Security and Blind Pensions and Widows' Pensions for the month of December, 1938, and also denials, are hereby ap-



proved, and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is directed to transmit this approval to the Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Release of Lien Filed re Old Age Security—Otto Weidle.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 4422, as follows:

Resolved, That the lien heretofore placed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, on recommendation of the County Welfare Department, is released against the property described as follows:

Otto Weidle—recorded April 27, 1937, in Book 3465, page 2225, Official Records of Alameda County.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Release of Lien Filed re Old Age Security—George Sandman.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 4423, as follows:

Resolved, That the lien heretofore placed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, on recommendation of the County Welfare Department, is released against the property described as follows:

George Sandman—recorded August 10, 1936, in Vol. 3011, page 44, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Release of Lien Filed re Old Age Security—Martha Andersen.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 4424, as follows:

Resolved, That the lien heretofore placed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, on recommendation of the County Welfare Department, is released against the property described as follows:

Martha Andersen—recorded June 2, 1937, in Book 3011, page 231, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Release of Lien Filed re Old Age Security—Lillie and Joseph Clark.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 4425, as follows:

Resolved, That the liens heretofore placed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, on recommendation

of the County Welfare Department, is released against the property described as follows:

Joseph Clark—recorded August 11, 1938, in Vol. 3340, page 3, Official Records, City and County of San Francisco.

Lillie Clark—recorded August 11, 1938, in Vol. 3340, page 11, Official Records, City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncoviari, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Release of Lien Filed re Old Age Security—Thomas F. Byron.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 4433, as follows:

Resolved, That the lien heretofore placed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, on recommendation of the County Welfare Department, is released against the property described as follows:

Thomas F. Byron—recorded March 16, 1937, in Volume 3011, Page 206, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncoviari, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Providing for Identification of Privately Owned Automobiles Whose Use Is Paid for by the City and County.**

(Code No. 9.08)

Also, Resolution No. 4426, as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco has in its service numerous automobiles owned by private persons which are operated by employees of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, it is important that each of said automobiles should be identified as being in the service of the City and County; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That for the purpose of identifying the privately owned automobiles whose use is paid for by the City and County, the Purchaser of Supplies is hereby authorized to compel the owner of each privately owned automobile in the service of the City and County of San Francisco to carry directly over the license plate attached to their respective automobiles suitable insignia showing that said automobile is in the service of the City and County; and be it

Further Resolved, That said Purchaser of Supplies be, and he is hereby, authorized to determine the character of said insignia; and be it

Further Resolved, That from and after the first day of January, 1939, the Purchaser of Supplies shall not approve the payment of any amount for the use of any privately owned automobile unless said identifying insignia is attached to said automobile.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncoviari, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.



## Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Salary Ordinance Amendment to Reflect Reclassification of Position of Orderly to That of Telephone Operator, at Same Salary.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 1779, Ordinance No. 9.053170, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 58 (Department of Public Health—San Francisco Hospital) of Ordinance No. 9.053128 by reducing the number of employments under Item 22 from 152 to 151 I—116 Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.) at \$85, and by inserting in lieu thereof Item 10½ 1 B—454 Telephone Operator (deduct for B., R. & L.) at \$85.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 58 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 58. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 175
2	1	B222	General Clerk .....	160
3	1	B222	General Clerk .....	125
3½	10	B222	General Clerk (part time) (deduct 1 meal) .....	79.50
4	1	B234	Head Clerk (deduct for B., R. & L.)....	285
5	1	B238	Hospital Statistician .....	190
6	1	B238	Hospital Statistician .....	160
7	6	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
8	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	100
9	8	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time).	79.50
10	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
10½	1	B454	Telephone Operator (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	85
11	2	B454	Telephone Operator .....	135
12	1	B454	Telephone Operator (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	125
12½	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist (part time) (deduct 1 meal).....	79.50
13	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
14	1	C6	Supt. of Building T. B. Hosp. ....	200
15	2	C152	Watchman .....	145
16	2	E108	Electrician .....	237.50
16½	82	I2	Kitchen Helper (deduct for R. & L.)...	75
17	1	I6	Pastry Cook .....	175
17½	1	I10	Cook's Assistant (deduct for R. & L.)..	95
17¾	7	I10	Cook's Assistant (deduct for R. & L.)..	75
18	8	I12	Cook .....	165
19	1	I16	Chef .....	200
20	8	I54	Waitress .....	110
21	8	I56	Waiter .....	110
21½		I102	Inmate Help (not over \$50).....	
22	151	I116	Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	85
23	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
24	1	I122	House Mother (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	125
25	1	I122	House Mother (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	87.50
26	14	I152	Flat Work Ironer.....	90
27	17	I154	Laundress .....	100
28	1	I156	Starcher .....	130
29	1	I158	Sorter .....	130

**Section 58. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)**

Item	No. of	Class		Maximum
No.	Employees	No.	Class Title	Monthly Rate
30	1	I164	Marker and Distributor .....	130
31	1	I166	Wringerman . . . . .	136.33
32	2	I170	Washer . . . . .	135
33	1	I172	Head Washer .....	155
34	1	I178	Superintendent of Laundry .....	200
34½	144	I204	Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	85
35	1	I206	Porter Sub-Foreman (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	92
36	1	I208	Porter Foreman (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	100
37	1	I210	Head Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.) ....	140
38	2	I254	Seamstress . . . . .	90
39	1	I256	Head Seamstress (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	150
40	1	O58	Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	87.50

Approved by the Civil Service Commission, W. L. Henderson, Personnel Director.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Land Purchase—Sigmund Stern Recreational Grove—Parcel 2.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Resolution No. 4427, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Recreation Department and pursuant to Resolution No. 3797, adopted by this Board on February 28, 1938, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from George I. Butler, or the legal owner, to that certain tract of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, bounded on the north by the southerly line of Wawona street and on the west by the center line of Twenty-first avenue, with a frontage of 440 feet on Wawona street and a depth of 100 feet, required for the Sigmund Stern Recreational Grove; and be it

Further Resolved, That the sum of \$10,000 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 813.600.10.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Noted and approved by the Recreation Commission, W. L. McElvaney, Business Manager.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Nineteenth Avenue Widening Cancellation of Tax Sales and Assessments.**

(Code Nos. 9.0411 and 9.0412)

Also, Resolution No. 4428, as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, acquired by deeds recorded on the following dates portions of the below mentioned lots as per the San Francisco 1937 Block Books of the Assessor, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue:



Grantor—Harriet Canavan, Lot 40, Block 2055; deed recorded, May 26, 1937.

Grantor—Clara M. Newman, Lot 27, Block 2407; deed recorded, March 12, 1937.

Grantor—Thomas W. Toomey, Lot 29, Block 2407; deed recorded, July 27, 1937.

Whereas, the following lots were conveyed to the above named parties in exchange for the lands acquired by the City:

Grantee—Harriet Canavan, Lot 41, Block 2055; deed recorded, May 26, 1937.

Grantee—Clara M. Newman, Lot 30, Block 2407; deed recorded, March 12, 1937.

Grantee—Thomas W. Toomey, Lot 30-A, Block 2407; deed recorded, July 27, 1937.

Whereas, said parties paid the 1937-38 taxes on the entire Lots 27, 29 and 40, including the portions conveyed to the City; and

Whereas, the City Attorney has consented to the cancellation of the hereinafter mentioned sales and assessments; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be and is hereby authorized and directed to cancel the following sales to the State of California and assessments for the fiscal year 1937-1938:

<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Sale</i>	<i>Tax</i>	<i>Penalty</i>	<i>Costs</i>
41	2055	1267	\$ 4.26	\$ .29	\$ .50
30	2407	1533	11.22	.79	.50
30-A	2407	1534	22.84	1.59	.50

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Supplemental Appropriation, \$15,000, From Emergency Reserve Fund, to Provide for Estimated Deficiency in Budgeted Revenue for Fiscal Year, Because of Reduced Charges to Commercial Airline Transportation Companies.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1781, Ordinance No. 9.051530, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$15,000 from the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of the San Francisco Airport Operating Fund to provide for estimated deficiency in budgeted revenue for the fiscal year 1938-1939.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$15,000 is hereby appropriated out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of San Francisco Airport Operating Fund to provide the necessary funds to enable the San Francisco Airport to continue its operations during the remainder of the fiscal year 1938-1939, under the basis of reduced charges to commercial air line transportation companies as provided by schedule of rates approved by Resolution No. 4247 of the Board of Supervisors.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved by Public Utilities Commission (Resolution No. 2948).

Funds available: Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Reilly—1.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of the Exposition Affairs and Commercial and Industrial Development Committee was taken up:

**Endorsing Plaque of Golden Gate International Exposition.**

(Code No. 5.95)

Resolution No. 4429, as follows:

Whereas, the Golden Gate International Exposition will be held on Treasure Island, in San Francisco Bay, during the greater part of 1939; and

Whereas, the importance to San Francisco of the successful conduct of said Golden Gate International Exposition is beyond measure; and

Whereas, to assure the successful conduct of the Exposition one of the most important factors is appropriate and effective publicity; and

Whereas, M. Farber has shown to this Board of Supervisors, a plaque, acceptable to and endorsed by the San Francisco Bay Exposition, which does, most appropriately and effectively, call to the attention of all who see it, the beauty and magnificence of San Francisco's Exposition, and the motive prompting it; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That M. Farber be and he is hereby commended for his outstanding plaque, so effectively symbolizing the Exposition, a rich jewel in a grand setting, framed by the world's masterpieces of bridge construction, and the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does whole-heartedly endorse this plaque and recommend it to all our citizens who are interested in the welfare of their city and the immeasurable benefits to be derived by the successful conduct of the Golden Gate International Exposition during the year 1939.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up:

**Accepting Certain Streets in Lakeside Subdivision No. 1.**

(Code No. 12.0811)

Bill No. 1780, Ordinance No. 12.081151, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadways of Junipero Serra boulevard (West Service Road) between Ocean avenue and Woodacre drive, Woodacre drive between Junipero Serra boulevard and Ocean avenue, Cranleigh drive between Sloat boulevard and Lagunitas drive, Lagunitas drive between Sloat boulevard and Ocean avenue and Beachmont drive between Sloat boulevard and Lagunitas drive.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Junipero Serra boulevard (West Service Road) between Ocean avenue and Woodacre drive, Woodacre drive between Junipero Serra



boulevard and Ocean avenue, Cranleigh drive between Sloat boulevard and Lagunitas drive, Lagunitas drive between Sloat boulevard and Ocean avenue and Beachmont drive between Sloat boulevard and Lagunitas drive.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Adopted.

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up:

### Approving Map Showing the Opening of Desmond Street.

(Code No. 12.0821)

Resolution No. 4430, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain diagram entitled "Map Showing the Opening of Desmond Street, Between Visitation and Sunnysdale Avenues," approved the 9th day of November, 1938, by Director of Public Works Order No. 9289, be and is hereby approved and made official, and the parcels shown hatched thereon are hereby declared to be an open public street to be known as Desmond street; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept those certain deeds dated the 17th day of March, 1938, from Edward P. E. Kruse and Emil T. Kruse; the 9th day of February, 1938, from The Ruegg Company, a corporation; the 19th day of May, 1937, from George Ostertag and Clara H. Ostertag, his wife; the 22d day of March, 1938, from Edward P. E. Kruse and Emil T. Kruse, The Ruegg Company, a corporation, and George Ostertag and Clara H. Ostertag, his wife, covering all the necessary property as shown on the map, required for the opening of Desmond street.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up:

### Creating Underground District, Columbus Avenue Between Union and Bay Streets.

(Code No. 11.12)

Bill No. 1771, Ordinance No. 11.1226, as follows:

An ordinance designating Underground District No. 93, Columbus avenue between Union street and the north line of Bay street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. An additional district within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires except trolley poles and wires, after the permanent improvement by physical widening of Columbus avenue, between Union street and the north line of Bay street, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 93. Columbus avenue, between Union street and the north line of Bay street.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee were taken up:

**Abolishing Set-back Lines Southerly Side of Lombard Street  
Between Broderick Street and Lyon Street.**

(Code No. 13.03)

Resolution No. 4410, as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1884, passed November 17, 1938, reading as follows:

"Resolved, That subject to the approval of the Board of Supervisors in accordance with section 117 of the Charter, the following building set-back line be, and the same is hereby abolished:

"Along the southerly side of Lombard street, between Broderick street and Lyon street; said set-back line being 31 feet 3 inches; and be it

"Resolved Further, That a copy of this resolution shall forthwith be transmitted to the Board of Supervisors in accordance with the provisions of section 117 of the Charter."

is hereby approved.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Fixing Date for Hearing of Appeal, Southwesterly Corner of Portola Drive and Woodside Avenue.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Also, Resolution No. 4431, as follows:

Resolved, That the time for hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission, denying an application to rezone from First Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the southwesterly corner of Portola drive and Woodside avenue, is hereby set for Monday, December 12, 1938, at 2 o'clock p. m.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Fixing Date for Hearing of Appeal From Decision of City Planning Commission, Approving Application to Rezone From Second Residential District to Light Industrial District, Property Located at the Westerly Side of Guerrero Street, 132 Feet 2 Inches North of Sixteenth Street.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Also, Resolution No. 4432, as follows:

Resolved, That the time for hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1863, dated October 20, 1938, approving application to rezone from Second Residential District to Light Industrial District, property located at the westerly side of Guerrero street, 132 feet 2 inches northerly from Sixteenth street, is hereby set for Monday, December 12, 1938, at 2:30 o'clock p. m.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.



**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS,  
BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR  
REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Reception of Kilsoo K. Haan, Representative of Sino-Korean  
Patriotic League.**

President Shannon presented to the Board, Kilsoo K. Haan, Sino-Korean Patriotic League Representative, who addressed the Board, praising particularly our educational system. Supervisor McSheehy, at the request of President Shannon, replied briefly to the remarks of Mr. Haan.

**Commendation and Felicitations to Hon. James J. Maher, Retired  
Secretary and Chief Examiner, Civil Service Commission.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 4437, as follows:

Whereas, on December 1, 1938, the Hon. James J. Maher, having attained the age of three score and ten years, retired from the position of Secretary and Chief Examiner of the Civil Service Commission; and

Whereas, Mr. Maher for nearly half a century has conducted with such fidelity, loyalty and integrity the important public affairs entrusted to him that he has earned for himself a nation-wide reputation and brought honor to his native city; and

Whereas, his life exemplifies the very highest type of honorable and unselfish public service and will be an inspiration to all our citizens; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors, on behalf of the people of San Francisco, does hereby formally express to Mr. Maher and to his family its gratitude and appreciation for the service he has rendered; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution, suitably engrossed and bearing the signature of each member of this Board, be prepared and presented to Mr. Maher.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Requesting Appointment of Citizens' Committee for Observance  
of National Defense Week, February 15 to 22, 1939.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 4438, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby respectfully requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee to arrange for the proper observance of National Defense Week, February 15 to 22, 1939.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Expressing Regret at Resignation of William P. Filmer From  
Directorate of Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District.**

(Code No. 12.111)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 4439, as follows:

Whereas, William P. Filmer has resigned from the Board of Directors of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District; and

Whereas, it is with sincere reluctance that this Board accepts the withdrawal of Mr. Filmer who, representing the City and County of San Francisco, has served with integrity and distinction in the capacity of Director and President of the organization since its inception; and

Whereas, this wondrous structure, swung gracefully in the path of the setting sun, symbolizes in its giant piers planted solidly in turbulent waters, the man's strength of purpose throughout the long years between the project's conception and its completion; its lofty towers reaching skyward characterize the high ideals and principles which were his in the conduct of his office as President of the Directorate, and the massive cables joining San Francisco with the northern counties portray a lasting bond of friendship and cooperation which was inspired by his patient, kindly and intelligent leadership and spun through his arduous toil and unstinted devotion to the cause; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors, representing the people of the City and County of San Francisco, notes with sincere regret the severance of William P. Filmer's official connection with the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District and takes this opportunity to pay him tribute and to express its heartfelt gratitude for his distinguished service thereon.

*Referred to Public Welfare Committee.*

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Authorizing Lease of Building at 1120 Howard Street.**

(Code No. 12.1739)

Resolution No. 4440, as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, as lessee, be and are hereby authorized and directed to execute a lease with R. S. Norris and M. L. Norris, as lessors, of the premises located at 1120 Howard street, San Francisco, required for the National Youth Administration. The lease shall be for a period of one year, at a rental of \$225 per month, payable from Appropriation No. 878.000.00.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Supplemental Appropriation of \$250 for Purchase of Adding  
Machine for Bureau of Architecture.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1782, Ordinance No. 9.051531, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$250 from the surplus



existing in Appropriation 836.996.11 to the credit of Appropriation No. 836.996.17 to provide funds for the purchase of an adding machine in the Bureau of Architecture, Department of Public Works.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$250 is hereby set aside from Appropriation 836.996.11 to the credit of Appropriation 836.996.17, to provide funds for the purchase of an adding machine in the Bureau of Architecture, Department of Public Works.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Final Passage.

The following emergency ordinance, recommended by the Finance Committee, was taken up:

### Supplemental Appropriation of \$300 From Emergency Reserve Fund for Employment of Engineer and Watchman During Illness of Two Permanent Employees, Bureau of Building Repair.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1783, Ordinance No. 9.051532, as follows:

Authorizing a supplementary appropriation of \$300 from the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 838.102.00, temporary salaries, Bureau of Building Repair, for the employment of an engineer and watchman during the illness of two permanent employees. An emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$300 is hereby appropriated and set aside from the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 838.102.00, temporary salaries, Bureau of Building Repair, for the employment of an engineer and watchman during the illness of two permanent employees.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists and funds must be immediately provided for the uninterrupted operation of the Bureau of Building Repairs, Department of Public Works.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Endorsing Movement for the Establishment of a United States Naval Academy Upon San Francisco Bay.

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 4441, as follows:

Whereas, a campaign is now in progress for the purpose of establishing a naval academy on the Pacific Coast, similar to the one maintained at Annapolis, Maryland; and

Whereas, because of what is transpiring in world affairs it would seem strategic that the Pacific Coast should be supplied with complete facilities for major naval operations, a chief factor among which is a training school for future officers of the United States Navy; and

Whereas, San Francisco Bay is the logical fleet home base because

of its many maritime facilities as well as geographical location; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby endorse the movement for the establishment of a United States Naval Academy upon San Francisco Bay; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be sent to the Secretary of the Navy, to Senator Hiram W. Johnson, to Senator-elect Sheridan Downey, to Congressmen Franck R. Havenner and Richard J. Welch.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### **Adopted.**

The following recommendation of his Honor the Mayor was taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Hon. Arthur M. Brown, Member Board of Supervisors.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 4442, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Arthur M. Brown, Jr., member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of three days, commencing December 5, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **Mission Trails Association Annual Convention at Santa Barbara.**

Supervisor Brown presented communication from Mission Trails Association, inviting him to attend their annual convention in Santa Barbara on December 9 and 10, 1938. After the reading of the communication by the Clerk, Supervisor Brown called the Board's attention to the responsibility of the Board during the next year as host to visiting dignitaries and supervisors from other counties and requested that that problem be referred to the Exposition Committee for careful consideration.

Referring to the invitation to attend the convention at Santa Barbara, Supervisor Brown moved that a committee be appointed to represent the Board at the convention.

The Chair thereupon stated that he felt any desired information could be conveyed to the association by writing, and that a representative of the Board at the convention was unnecessary.

#### **Motion Withdrawn.**

Whereupon, Supervisor Brown *withdrew his motion.*

#### **Referred to President Shannon.**

Thereupon, on motion by Supervisor McSheehy, the communication was *referred to the President of the Board for reply.*

#### **Proposed Method of Financing Future Improvements.**

Supervisor Colman announced that he had read with interest the recommendations of the Controller proposing a method of financing future improvements without issuance of bonds therefor, and that while



the Controller's plan appeared to have a great deal of merit, he desired to present an idea of his own on the subject. His plan, briefly, is: In case of future needed improvements, the cost of which would be too great for one year's expenditure, Charter amendment should be submitted to the voters, to provide for the compulsory inclusion in the budget of sufficient amounts, spread over a limited period of years, to take care of that improvement. By this method, a majority vote of the electors would be sufficient to authorize any needed improvements, and the necessity for provision in the tax rate for raising large sums of money for bond interest and redemption would be obviated.

#### Removal of Car Tracks.

Supervisor Uhl requested that the Clerk write to Samuel Kahn and inquire if the Supervisors should vote the abandonment of either Guerrero or Divisadero street car lines, would the Market Street Railway Company remove the tracks immediately and do the necessary street paving.

#### Request for Comparable Electric Power Bills.

Supervisor Uhl requested that the Clerk obtain from the Public Utilities Commission and the rate expert of the City Attorney, typical power bills from the municipal power company of Los Angeles, and from the Pacific Gas and Electric Company for comparison purposes.

#### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 6:18 p. m., adjourned.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors December 12, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, David A. Barry, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

DAVID A. BARRY,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

The first of these is the fact that the United States is a young nation, and that its history is a history of growth and development. It is a history of a people who have been able to overcome many difficulties and to build a great nation out of a small colony. The second is the fact that the United States is a nation of immigrants, and that its history is a history of the struggle for the rights of these immigrants. The third is the fact that the United States is a nation of free men, and that its history is a history of the struggle for the rights of these free men.

The fourth is the fact that the United States is a nation of law, and that its history is a history of the struggle for the rights of these laws. The fifth is the fact that the United States is a nation of progress, and that its history is a history of the struggle for the rights of these progress. The sixth is the fact that the United States is a nation of peace, and that its history is a history of the struggle for the rights of these peace.

The seventh is the fact that the United States is a nation of justice, and that its history is a history of the struggle for the rights of these justice. The eighth is the fact that the United States is a nation of liberty, and that its history is a history of the struggle for the rights of these liberty. The ninth is the fact that the United States is a nation of equality, and that its history is a history of the struggle for the rights of these equality.

The tenth is the fact that the United States is a nation of unity, and that its history is a history of the struggle for the rights of these unity. The eleventh is the fact that the United States is a nation of strength, and that its history is a history of the struggle for the rights of these strength. The twelfth is the fact that the United States is a nation of wisdom, and that its history is a history of the struggle for the rights of these wisdom.

The thirteenth is the fact that the United States is a nation of courage, and that its history is a history of the struggle for the rights of these courage. The fourteenth is the fact that the United States is a nation of honor, and that its history is a history of the struggle for the rights of these honor. The fifteenth is the fact that the United States is a nation of glory, and that its history is a history of the struggle for the rights of these glory.

The sixteenth is the fact that the United States is a nation of power, and that its history is a history of the struggle for the rights of these power. The seventeenth is the fact that the United States is a nation of wealth, and that its history is a history of the struggle for the rights of these wealth. The eighteenth is the fact that the United States is a nation of fame, and that its history is a history of the struggle for the rights of these fame.

The nineteenth is the fact that the United States is a nation of love, and that its history is a history of the struggle for the rights of these love. The twentieth is the fact that the United States is a nation of hope, and that its history is a history of the struggle for the rights of these hope. The twenty-first is the fact that the United States is a nation of faith, and that its history is a history of the struggle for the rights of these faith.

The twenty-second is the fact that the United States is a nation of charity, and that its history is a history of the struggle for the rights of these charity. The twenty-third is the fact that the United States is a nation of kindness, and that its history is a history of the struggle for the rights of these kindness. The twenty-four is the fact that the United States is a nation of gentleness, and that its history is a history of the struggle for the rights of these gentleness.

The twenty-fifth is the fact that the United States is a nation of meekness, and that its history is a history of the struggle for the rights of these meekness. The twenty-six is the fact that the United States is a nation of mildness, and that its history is a history of the struggle for the rights of these mildness. The twenty-seventh is the fact that the United States is a nation of lowliness, and that its history is a history of the struggle for the rights of these lowliness.



Vol. 33

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

No. 52

Monday, December 12, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.

Monday, December 22, 1886

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, DECEMBER 12, 1938, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, December 12, 1938, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Ratto presiding, on motion by Supervisor Roncovieri.

Supervisors Reilly and Roncovieri excused at 6 p. m.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of December 5, 1938, was considered read and approved.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

**Rezoning of Southwesterly Corner of Portola Drive and Woodside Avenue.**

Appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1867 denying application to rezone from First Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the southwesterly corner of Portola drive and Woodside avenue.

### Privilege of the Floor.

Harry S. Young, attorney, representing appellant, F. E. Mayn, being granted the privilege of the floor, explained the situation and requested that the decision of the City Planning Commission, in denying application, be disapproved.

### Further Consideration Deferred.

On motion by Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Brown, further consideration of the foregoing appeal was *deferred until Monday, December 19, 1938, and made a Special Order of Business at 2 p. m.*

## SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

**Appeal From Decision of City Planning Commission, Rezoning of Westerly Side of Guerrero Street 132 Feet 2 Inches North of Sixteenth Street.**

Appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1863, dated October 20, 1938, approving application to rezone from Second Residential District to Light Industrial District,

property located at the westerly side of Guerrero street 132 feet 2 inches north of Sixteenth street.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Ray Williamson, attorney, representing property owners within a distance of 300 feet of that property sought to be rezoned, and other residents, associations and organizations in the neighborhood, presented three protests, in addition to those heretofore filed, containing a total of 58 signatures, opposed the decision of the City Planning Commission in granting the rezoning of property located at the westerly side of Guerrero street, 132 feet 2 inches north of Sixteenth street, from Second Residential District to Light Industrial District. Frank Schuler, property owner, of 359 Guerrero street, representing himself; Reverend Herman Lucas, pastor of St. Matthew's Lutheran Church, representing his church and the Ebenezer Lutheran Church; Eugene Pfeffel, representing Market and Guerrero Street Improvement Club, and Frank J. O'Brien, secretary of Central Mission Improvement Association, protested the granting of the rezoning sought.

Robert J. McGahie, attorney, represented the applicant for the rezoning, the Golden State Milk Company. Milton Buckley, vice-president of the Golden State Milk Company, outlined the intentions of his company. James E. Ricketts, representing the Building Trades Council, supported the applicant for the rezoning.

Mark Jorgensen, secretary of the City Planning Commission, stated that the Golden State Milk Company had stipulated with the Commission that the property would be used solely for the processing of dairy products, milk, etc., and such stipulations were incorporated in the resolution of the City Planning Commission.

#### City Planning Commission Sustained.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the following resolution was *refused adoption* by the following vote:

**Disapproving Decision of City Planning Commission by Its Resolution No. 1863, Dated October 20, 1938, Approving Application to Rezone From Second Residential District to Light Industrial District, Property Located at the Westerly Side of Guerrero Street, 132 Feet 2 Inches North of Sixteenth Street.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 4452, as follows:

Resolved, That the decision of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1863, dated October 20, 1938, approving application to rezone from Second Residential District to Light Industrial District, property located at the westerly side of Guerrero street, 132 feet 2 inches north of Sixteenth street, is hereby disapproved.

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt—3.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Reilly, Roncovieri, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

#### SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

**Consideration of Petition of Market Street Railway re Jitney Bus Ordinance and Street Car Service.**

Consideration of petition of Market Street Railway for the repeal of jitney bus ordinance and the abandonment and curtailment of service on certain of its street car lines.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Supervisor Brown moved the privilege of the floor to anyone who desired to express his opinion on the subject matter before the Board.

Thereupon, S. W. Douglass informed the Board that the Chauffeurs'



Union had withdrawn their protest against the elimination of the jitney buses.

A. Fairbanks, a jitney driver, representing himself and other drivers, stated that the Chauffeurs' Union did not represent the jitney drivers; the jitney drivers had their own organization, and they were, of course, opposed to the granting of the petition of the Market Street Railway Company for the repeal of the jitney ordinances.

#### Motion for Segregation of Matters Under Consideration.

Supervisor McSheehy called attention to the order of business as printed, the consideration of petition of the Market Street Railway for repeal of jitney bus ordinances and the abandonment and curtailment of service on certain of its street car lines, and moved for a segregation thereof. Seconded by Supervisor Mead.

No objection and *so ordered*.

#### Motion for Postponement of Consideration of Petition for Repeal of Jitney Ordinances.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that consideration of that portion of the order of business affecting jitney buses be postponed for one week, and that the Clerk notify the jitney drivers' organization that the Board wanted a statement from the organization as to their withdrawal of protest against repeal of jitney ordinances.

Motion *failed for want of a second*.

#### Consideration of Repeal of Jitney Ordinances.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved that the petition of the Market Street Railway Company for the repeal of the jitney ordinances be denied.

#### Explanations of Vote.

Supervisor Brown, in explanation of his position respecting repeal of the jitney bus ordinances, as requested by the Market Street Railway Company, stated that since the City had legalized the operation of the Market Street Railway by granting franchises, and it had legalized the operation of jitney buses by ordinance of some twenty years' standing, were the situation reversed and the jitney bus operators to come in and ask for the termination of the franchises of the Market Street Railway, the Board would have only one answer. Since the jitney bus operators have the right to operate granted by due process of law, they are entitled to due protection of their investments and their positions.

Supervisor Colman, in explanation of his vote, stated that he believed the jitney competition was very unfair, but since it had gone along for so many years he could not vote to take away the livelihood of 135 men. It is an unfair thing, but it is there, and it is too late to stop it.

Supervisor Reilly questioned the unfairness of the competition by the jitney buses. Since the fare charged by the jitneys was double that charged by the Market Street Railway Company, until recently, and since the buses were supplying a service that the railway company were not supplying, nor could they supply it, it was not an unfair competition. The service being supplied by the jitneys is the only rapid transit the city enjoys. Don't abandon it, he urged.

#### Petition Denied.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the petition of the Market Street Railway Company for the repeal of the so-called jitney ordinances was *denied* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

### **Curtailment of Service of Certain Market Street Railway Company's Lines.**

On motion by Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, the various requests for abandonment and curtailment of service on certain of the Market Street Railway Company's lines, were taken up seriatim.

#### **Richland Avenue Line, No. 23—Petition Denied.**

Mr. L. V. Newton, representing the Market Street Railway Company, on being questioned by Supervisor Uhl, stated that he was not prepared to agree to furnishing bus service to the people served by the Richland avenue line in case service on that line should be discontinued.

Thereupon, Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved that petition for abandonment of the Richland avenue line, No. 23, be denied.

Motion *carried* and petition *denied* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

#### **Procedure in Hearing Petition of Market Street Railway Company.**

In reply to a statement by Supervisor Roncovieri that he understood that the Board must vote for or against granting the petition of the Market Street Railway Company in its entirety, Supervisor Uhl stated that such was not his understanding, and in support of his contention, read as follows from the Order of the Railroad Commission:

"III—In so far as this Commission may have jurisdiction, Market Street Railway Company is hereby authorized to abandon operation, in whole or in part, on such of the following lines as to which abandonment may be sought by applicant and authorized or permitted, on or prior to January 1, 1939, by the appropriate boards of officers of the City and County of San Francisco:

<i>Number</i>	<i>Name</i>
23	Richland Avenue
8	Market Street
24	Divisadero Street
30	Army Street
35	Howard Street
—	South San Francisco
—	Sacramento Cable
—	Castro Cable
54	Sunset Coach
10 and 26	Guerrero street (Rearrangement of service on Nos. 10 and 26 lines)."

Supervisor Brown, speaking on the subject of abandonment of street car service, stated that it seemed to him that an effort was being made to permit the Board of Supervisors to do a little first class chestnut pulling. The Board was being asked, he said, to pass on something that was not in its jurisdiction, the real question being, what rate should be charged by the Market Street Railway. The question of the abandonment of those street car lines should be acted on by them and not by the Board of Supervisors. To leave any part of San Francisco without transit service, was, in his opinion wrong. Transportation service must be continued. Where no substitution for present service is to be provided, he would not vote for any abandonment but would propose to put the whole question back where it belonged, in the laps of the Railroad Commission.



Supervisor Uhl, following the previous statement, reminded the Board that during the consideration of the petitions by the Market Street Railway Company on December 5, 1938, the City Attorney had advised the Board that the Market Street Railway must come to the Board if they desired to abandon service on any of their lines. Supervisor Uhl called attention to other lines on which the Company was losing money, and wondered why the Company had not petitioned for their abandonment.

Supervisor Brown, thereupon, supplementing his previous statement, said he realized that the Board had the responsibility of permitting or denying the abandonment of service on any street car line, but it also had the responsibility of seeing that transportation was given to the people, and he could not vote to deny them transportation.

#### Market Street Line, No. 8.

Supervisor McSheehy called attention to curtailment of service on the No. 8 line of the Market Street Railway Company. Except during the peak hours, the present schedule for the No. 8 line provides for turning all inbound cars back at Twelfth and Market streets, instead of their continuing to the Ferry.

Mr. Newton, in reply to question by Supervisor McSheehy, stated that the Company did not need the permission of the Board to curtail that service, inasmuch as it was complying with all the requirements of its franchise.

Thereupon, Supervisor McSheehy requested that it be asked what authority the Market Street Railway had to curtail the service on that line.

Supervisor Brown contended that the Market Street Railway Company was not requesting any permission for abandonment or curtailment of service on its No. 8 line, but was merely informing the Board of the action it had already taken thereon.

*No action taken.*

#### Divisadero Street Line, No. 24.

Supervisor Schmidt, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved that the petition for abandonment of service on the Divisadero street line No. 24, from O'Farrell to Sacramento street, be disapproved.

Before the roll on the motion was called, Supervisor Schmidt stated that the statement made at the previous meeting, that the merchants on Divisadero street had requested the abandonment of that line, was incorrect. The merchants had, he continued, requested the abandonment of that line and the removal of the tracks, provided that a bus service would be substituted therefor.

#### Petition Denied.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the motion that the petition for abandonment of service on the Divisadero street line, No. 24, from O'Farrell street to Sacramento street, be disapproved, was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

#### Army Street Line, No. 30.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved the petition for permission to curtail service on the Army street line be denied.

Thereupon, Mr. Newton, in reply to question by Supervisor Brown,

stated that his company had already curtailed service on that line, that it was complying with its franchise in so doing, and was not making any petition thereon, but was merely advising the Board of its action.

#### Point of Order.

Whereupon, Supervisor Brown raised the point of order, that, in the matter of the Army street line, there was nothing before the Board.

Chair ruled the *point of order well taken*.

#### Appeal From Decision of the Chair.

Thereupon, Supervisor McSheehy appealed from the decision of the Chair, stating that he did not like so to do, but that he felt that he was being deprived of his right to vote on a petition of the Market Street Railway Company.

Supervisor Colman, at the request of the Chair, put the question, stating that a vote "Aye" sustains the Chair, and a vote "No" overrules the Chair. Before the roll was called statements by Supervisor McSheehy and by the Chair, Supervisor Ratto, were made, as follows:

#### Statement by Supervisor McSheehy.

"Mr. Chairman, and Members of the Board. Supervisor Brown raised a question, a technical one. His objection was sustained by the Chair, and if the Chair is sustained in the entire matter, I feel, as one member of this Board, that I am being deprived of a very important vote with reference to this petition of the Market Street Railway Company. This question is a technical one, and therefore, I feel we will make a great mistake if we uphold the Chair. I want to say that I believe that the Chair is ruling in good faith, but I trust under these conditions that the Chair will not be upheld in his ruling here today, because we are being deprived of a vote. We will be giving the Market Street Railway Company the right to create their own conditions of transportation in San Francisco. Our people are being deprived of transportation and we are being deprived, as representatives of the people of casting our vote, and I trust that the decision of the Chair will not be sustained."

#### Statement by the Chair.

"I want to say that the Market Street Railway Company has already taken action. Any action that the Board may take at this time does not alter the schedule upon their particular lines."

#### Chair Sustained.

Thereupon, the question was put and the Chair *sustained* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Reilly, Roncovieri—5.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Meyer, Schmidt, Uhl—4.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Excused—Supervisor Ratto—1.

#### Castro Street Cable Line—Petition Denied.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Reilly, moved that the Board disapprove the petition for abandonment of service on the Castro street cable line, from Eighteenth and Castro streets, along Castro street to Twenty-sixth street.

Motion *carried* and petition *denied* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.



**Guerrero Street, Lines 10 and 26—Removal of Rails and Paving Guerrero Street.**

Supervisor Uhl inquired if the representative of the Market Street Railway Company was prepared to state that, upon abandonment of service on Guerrero street, the rails would be immediately removed and the street repaved.

**Communication From Market Street Railway Company.**

Thereupon, the following communication was read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, California,  
December 9, 1938.

Mr. David A. Barry, Clerk, Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Dear Sir: Answering your letter of December 6th, relating to abandonment of service on Guerrero street and Divisadero street, we wish to say that it is our intention to lift the rails at such time as the City reconditions the entire street.

Very truly yours,

SAMUEL KAHN, President.

**Petition Denied.**

Thereupon, Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Reilly, moved that the petition for the abandonment of service on the Guerrero street line, lines 10 and 26, from Valencia and Fourteenth streets, along Fourteenth street to Guerrero street, thence along Guerrero street to San Jose avenue, and thence along San Jose avenue to Twenty-ninth street, be denied.

Motion *carried* and petition *denied* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Howard Street Line, No. 35.**

Request to inaugurate a modified service after 7:00 p. m. on week days including Saturdays, and on Sundays and holidays by operating only a shuttle service from Twenty-fourth street and Rhode Island street to Twenty-second street and Mission street, via Twenty-fourth street, Van Ness avenue south and Twenty-second street.

Mr. L. V. Newton, representing the Market Street Railway Company, stated that his company was operating on Market street, only under sufferance, and that it was the opinion of Mr. Samuel Kahn that as long as they were so operating, any change in the service should be approved by the Board of Supervisors.

Supervisor McSheehy announced his objection to the withdrawal of any transportation service unless some substitute service be furnished.

**Motion to Deny Petition.**

Thereupon, Supervisor Uhl moved that the request of the Market Street Railway Company to inaugurate a modified service on the Howard street, No. 35, line, be denied. Seconded by Supervisor McSheehy.

**Amendment to Motion.**

Supervisor Brown moved as an amendment to the motion, that further consideration be postponed for one week, and that in the

meantime the City Attorney be requested to furnish an opinion thereon. Seconded by Supervisor Uhl.

Amendment *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisors Meyer, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

#### Final Passage.

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up:

#### Interdepartmental Transfer of Funds.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1778, Ordinance No. 9.051529, as follows:

Reappropriating \$1,400 out of the surplus in existing appropriations, No. 850.101.05, \$80, and No. 850.101.18, \$1,320, to the credit of Appropriation No. 850.101.19 to transfer funds to the proper appropriation for the transfer from Bureau of Communicable Diseases of 1 Supervising Field Nurse at \$175 per month to Field Nursing—Other, as a Field Nurse at \$175, and the transfer from Field Nursing—Schools, of 1 Field Nurse at \$165 per month to Bureau of Communicable Diseases as a Supervising Field Nurse at \$165.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby reappropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 850.101.05, \$80, and Appropriation No. 850.101.18, \$1,320, to the credit of Appropriation No. 850.101.19 to transfer funds to the proper appropriation for the transfer from Bureau of Communicable Diseases of 1 Supervising Field Nurse at \$175 per month to Field Nursing—Other, as a Field Nurse at \$175, and the transfer from Field Nursing—Schools, of 1 Field Nurse at \$165 per month to Bureau of Communicable Diseases as a Supervising Field Nurse at \$165. The employments involved have been continuously employed and this ordinance will provide for the payment of the salaries thereof in accordance with the Salary Ordinance as amended by Bill No. 1717, approved October 5, 1938, and Bill No. 1718, approved October 5, 1938.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

#### Final Passage.

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up:

#### Establishing Walk Widths on Post Street Between Market Street and Van Ness Avenue.

(Code No. 12.0731)

Bill No. 1777, Ordinance No. 12.0731139, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Eight Hundred and Thirty-seven (837) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office November 26, 1938, by amending Section Eight Hundred and Thirty-seven (837) thereof, to read as follows:



**Section 837:**

The width of sidewalks on Post street between Market and Powell streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Post street (the northerly side of) between Powell and Mason streets shall be 12 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Post street (the southerly side of) between Powell and Mason streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Post street between Mason and Taylor streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Post street between Taylor street and Van Ness avenue shall be 15 feet.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Schmidt—6.

No—Supervisor Uhl—1.

Absent—Supervisors Meyer, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

**NEW BUSINESS.****Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Authorizing Temporary Transfers of Public Funds by Treasurer  
Pending Receipt of Second Installment of Taxes, Fiscal Year  
1938-1939.**

(Code No. 9.052)

Resolution No. 4443, as follows:

Resolved, That pursuant to the provisions of Section 31 of Article IV of the Constitution of the State of California, the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco be and he is hereby authorized and directed to make after the 1st day of January, 1939, such temporary transfers from funds in his custody as may be necessary for meeting the obligations incurred for the maintenance of the City and County functions of said City and County of San Francisco, from the 1st day of January, 1939, until the second installment of taxes for the fiscal year 1938-1939 are collected, or are delinquent; that such temporary transfers of said funds shall not exceed eighty-five (85%) per cent of the second installment of taxes to accrue to the City and County for said fiscal year, and said sums so transferred shall be replaced to the funds from which the same were transferred on or before June 30, 1939, and before any other obligation of the said City and County is met from such taxes.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Refund of Duplicate Payment of Taxes.**

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 4444, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amount be and is hereby authorized to be paid to the following, being refund of duplicate payment of taxes, as follows:

*From Duplicate Tax Fund—Appropriation No. 905.*

Laura L. Heineman, per Vol. 37, Bill 760, Lot 24, Block 6191, 2d Installment, fiscal year 1937, \$22.26.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Authorizing Sale of Buildings Outer Mission Junior High School.**

(Code No. 12.1722)

Also, Resolution No. 4445, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Education, that the Director of Property be and is hereby authorized to sell at public auction all buildings now owned or hereafter acquired by the City and County of San Francisco in Assessor's Block 6961, San Francisco, and not required for school purposes.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bills of sale to be executed by the Director of Property.

Approved by the Board of Education.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Cancellation of Tax Sales and Assessments—State Property.**

(Code Nos. 9.0411 and 9.0412)

Also, Resolution No. 4446, as follows:

Whereas, the Tax Collector has reported that the following properties, now recorded in the name of the State of California, the State Compensation Insurance Fund and in the City and County of San Francisco, were sold to the State for unpaid taxes. Being State and City properties, these sales and assessments should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be directed to cancel the following sales and assessments, in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804-A of the Political Code:

The following property is now recorded in the name of the State of California:

<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Assessed to</i>	1937 <i>Tax</i>	<i>Del.</i> <i>Pen.</i>	<i>Cost</i>	1938 <i>Tax</i>
8	3721	E. B. Jennings, et al....	\$258.58	\$18.10	\$0.50	\$269.88

The following property is now recorded in the name of the State Compensation Insurance Fund:

<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Assessed to</i>	1938 <i>Tax</i>
11	766	Walter H. Stevens, et al.....	\$608.02

The following property is now recorded in the name of the City and County of San Francisco, but was assessed in the names of the original owners:

<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Assessed to</i>	1937 <i>Tax</i>	<i>Del.</i> <i>Pen.</i>	<i>Cost</i>	1938 <i>Tax</i>
2L	2146	W. M. Mohrmann .....	\$15.10	\$0.45	\$0.50	\$31.52
2A	4172-4187	Annie Reidy .....				43.64

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Authorizing Lease of Property at 1980 Mission Street for School Purposes.**

(Code No. 12.1732)

Also, Resolution No. 4447, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Education, that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,



in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and the Board of Education, as lessees, be and are hereby authorized and directed to enter into a lease with Isidor Weinstein Investment Co., as lessor, of the northerly 60 feet of the first floor and the entire second floor of the building at 1980 Mission street, San Francisco, required for school purposes. The lease shall be for a term of one year beginning January 1, 1939, with an option to renew for an additional term of one year, at a rental of \$250 per month, payable from Appropriation No. 869.800.00.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

### **Authorizing Renewal of Lease of Building From American Trust Company for Branch Library.**

(Code No. 12.1739)

Also, Resolution No. 4448, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Library Department, that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, as lessee, are hereby authorized and directed to enter into a written lease with the American Trust Company, as lessor, for a branch library in the building at No. 7 San Juan avenue and 8 Ocean avenue, San Francisco, for a term of one year, beginning November 1, 1938, at a rental of \$45 per month, payable from Appropriation No. 814.809.00.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

### **Nineteenth Avenue Widening—Settlement of Damage Claim.**

(Code No. 6.0229)

Also, Resolution No. 4449, as follows:

Whereas, the grade of a portion of Kirkham street is being changed in connection with the widening of Nineteenth avenue; and

Whereas, the following parties have filed a claim with the Controller and have agreed to release the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, its contractors and agents, from all claims of damages to their land and improvements resulting from such grade change upon payment to them of the amount set forth below, which land and improvements are located at 1425 Kirkham street, San Francisco:

Frank L. Stephens, et al.....\$2,520

Whereas, said claim is not in litigation and the Director of Public Works has recommended and the City Attorney has approved settlement of this claim pursuant to the provisions of Ordinance No. 6.041, Bill No. 680, approved March 7, 1935; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be and is hereby authorized and directed to pay said claim from Appropriation No. 951.908.57.

The Director of Property shall conclude the negotiations with said property owners.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved by the Director of Property and the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Authorizing Supplemental Appropriation of \$126,000 for the Purchase of Twelve Motor Buses for Operation by the Municipal Railway.**

(Code No. 9.051)

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

Bill No. 1784, Ordinance No. 9.051533, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$126,000 from the surplus existing in the Municipal Railway Operating Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 865.400.00 for the purchase of twelve motor buses for operation by the Municipal Railway.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$126,000 is hereby appropriated from the surplus existing in the Municipal Railway Operating Fund to Appropriation No. 865.400.00 for the purchase of twelve motor buses for use in the extension of the Municipal Railway service.

**TENTATIVE ROUTES.**

*No. 1. From Forest Hill Station to Rio court and El Serano court via Laguna Honda boulevard, Portola drive, Evelyn way and Teresita boulevard; returning via Teresita boulevard, Fowler avenue, Portola drive and Laguna Honda boulevard.*

*No. 2. From West Portal of Twin Peaks tunnel to San Jose and Plymouth avenues via West Portal avenue, Junipero Serra boulevard, Worcester avenue, Randolph street, Orizaba avenue, Broad street and San Jose avenue; returning via Plymouth avenue, Broad street, Orizaba avenue, Randolph street, Worcester avenue, Junipero Serra boulevard and West Portal avenue.*

*No. 3. From Seventeenth avenue and Judah street to Fourteenth avenue and Taraval street via Judah street, Eighteenth avenue, Kirkham street, Seventeenth avenue, Ortega street, Sixteenth avenue, Rivera street, Fifteenth avenue and Taraval street, returning via Taraval street, Fourteenth avenue, Santiago street, Fifteenth avenue, Rivera street, Sixteenth avenue, Ortega street and Seventeenth avenue.*

**Consideration Postponed.**

On motion by Supervisor Uhl, consideration of the foregoing, which previously during the meeting had been temporarily postponed, was continued to Monday, December 19, 1938, and made a Special Order of Business at 2:15 p. m.

**Motion to Rescind Action.**

Subsequently Supervisor Colman, who was temporarily absent from the chambers at the time of the previous action, moved that the action of the Board, whereby consideration of the appropriation of \$126,000 for the purchase of motor buses for the Municipal Railway had been



made a Special Order of Business for Monday, December 19, 1938, at 2:15 p. m., be rescinded.

### Motion Defeated.

After brief discussion, during which Supervisors Colman and Mead spoke in favor of the motion, and Supervisor McSheehy objected, the motion was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt—5.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Uhl—2.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

#### Authorizing Sale of City-Owned Land Near Pleasanton.

(Code No. 12.17252)

Bill No. 1785, Ordinance No. 12.1725212, as follows:

Authorizing sale of City-owned land near Pleasanton.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City-owned land situated in the County of Alameda, State of California:

Commencing at a point in the northerly boundary line of that certain 100-foot strip of land described in Parcel 71 of Alameda County Lands, in deed from Spring Valley Water Company to the City and County of San Francisco, dated March 3, 1930, and recorded March 3, 1930, in the office of the County Recorder of Alameda County, State of California, in Liber 2350 of Official Records at page 1; said point being the northwest corner of that certain portion of said 100-foot strip conveyed to Henry J. Kaiser Company by the City and County of San Francisco by deed dated April 12, 1937, and recorded August 20, 1937, Alameda County Records; running thence from said point of commencement along said northerly boundary line north 87 degrees 56 minutes west 1,474.67 feet to Corner No. 10 of the boundary of the Santa Rita Rancho; thence continuing along said northerly boundary line of Parcel 71, north 67 degrees 15 minutes west 119.43 feet to an intersection with the northeasterly boundary line of Alameda County Road No. 1533; thence leaving said northerly boundary line and running southeasterly along said northeasterly boundary line of said road south 15 degrees 24 minutes 30 seconds east 127.18 feet to an intersection with the southerly boundary line of said 100-foot strip; thence along said southerly boundary line south 67 degrees 15 minutes east 58.20 feet; thence continuing along said southerly boundary line south 87 degrees 56 minutes east 1,495.15 feet to the southwest corner of the hereinabove mentioned portion of said 100-foot strip of land conveyed to Henry J. Kaiser Company; thence leaving said southerly boundary line and running along the westerly boundary line of said portion of 100-foot strip of land conveyed to Henry J. Kaiser Company north 0 degrees 47 minutes east 100.03 feet to the point of commencement.

Section 2. The above described land shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, subject to the following reservations and conditions:

1. In order that connections can be made between the remaining portions of the 100-foot strip of land and the 50-foot strip of land of the City and County of San Francisco, the City hereby reserves a right of way easement to lay, relay, construct, reconstruct, maintain, operate, patrol, repair, replace, remove, increase and/or change the num-

ber and size of pipes, pipe lines, conduits and/or connections, appurtenances and appliances for the conveyance, distribution, supply, and/or sale of water and to construct, reconstruct, operate, patrol, repair, renew, replace, remove, increase and/or change the number of electric transmission lines and/or telephone lines, consisting of wires supported on poles, with the usual and necessary fittings and appurtenances thereto, along and/or across the strip of land hereinabove described and extending from the northeasterly boundary line of Alameda County Road No. 1533 to the westerly boundary line of that certain portion of said 100-foot strip of land heretofore conveyed to Henry J. Kaiser Company by the City and County of San Francisco by deed dated April 12, 1937, and recorded August 20, 1937, Alameda County records.

2. There is also hereby expressly reserved by the City, its successors and assigns, as against the parcel of land hereinbefore described, all water which may or might flow in any stream or water course to which said land or any portion thereof is or may be riparian; and the right to withdraw, drain and divert to any point such water from any such stream or water course at any point above or below said land; also the right to take by pumps or other means located on any lands other than the parcels of land hereinabove described, from the subterranean strata underlying said parcel of land, such water as it may now or hereafter desire for use or disposal either upon such lands or elsewhere. However, the grantee, subject to the foregoing paramount rights of the City, its successors and assigns, shall have the right to sink a well or wells on said parcel of land and to use the water therefrom on said parcel of land, but the said water shall not be used or disposed of for use elsewhere by the grantee.

3. Subject to the reservations of the Spring Valley Water Company as set forth in Parcel 71, Alameda County Lands, in the hereinabove mentioned deed from the Spring Valley Water Company to the City and County of San Francisco.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Appropriation of \$6,000.35 to Take Care of Extras in Excess of Ten Per Cent of Construction of Portion of Alemany Sewer.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1786, Ordinance No. 9.051534, as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$6,000.35 from Appropriation No. 86.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 86.902.01 to take care of extras in excess of 10 per cent of the construction of the reinforced concrete Alemany sewer, between Evans avenue and Napoleon street, which was awarded on a unit cost price and wherein the piling necessary exceeded the estimated footage as set forth in the specifications of the City Engineer.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$6,000.35 is hereby set aside from Appropriation No. 86.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 86.902.01 to take care of extras in excess of 10 per cent of the construction of the reinforced concrete Alemany sewer between Evans avenue and Napoleon street which was awarded on a unit cost price and wherein the piling necessary exceeded the estimated footage as set forth in the specifications of the City Engineer.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.



**Procedure for Acquisition and Disposal of Real Property.**

(Code No. 12.176)

Also, Bill No. 1787, Ordinance No. 12.1761, as follows:

Procedure for acquisition and disposal of real property.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Sections 91, 92, 93 and 94 of the Charter, each Department, Board and Commission of the City and County of San Francisco shall conduct all negotiations through the Director of Property for purchasing, acquiring, accepting, exchanging, leasing, renting, selling, granting, conveying and/or relinquishing any real property or interest therein, required for or owned by the City and County, except as otherwise specifically provided by the Charter.

Section 2. The provisions of this ordinance shall apply to all lands and easements required for City and County purposes acquired by purchase, gift, devise, bequest, dedication or otherwise; and also apply to the relinquishment of any City-owned real property or interest therein caused or to be caused by the closing or abandonment of streets and easements; except as otherwise specifically provided by the Charter.

Section 3. All resolutions and ordinances involving transactions covered by this ordinance must be approved by the Director of Property before adoption or enactment.

Section 4. This ordinance shall not affect the sale of real property and improvements for delinquent taxes or assessments, and shall not affect the granting of permits or franchises to use public streets.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Amendment Salary Ordinance, San Francisco Hospital, by Increasing Employments Under Item 68 From 137 to 142 Registered Nurse (Deduct for B., R. & L.) at \$135.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1788, Ordinance No. 9.051171, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 60 (Department of Public Health—San Francisco Hospital) of Ordinance No. 9.053128 by increasing the number of employments under Item 68 from 137 to 142 P102 Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) at \$135.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 60 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 60. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
68	142	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	\$ 135
69		P103	Special Nurses (as needed), 8 hrs. at \$5 per day or fraction of a day .....	
70		P103	Special Nurses (as needed), 10 hrs. at \$6 per day with meals .....	
71		P103	Special Nurses (as needed), 12 hrs., with meals, at \$7 per day .....	
72		P103	Special Nurses (Virulent Communicable	

Section 60. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
			Diseases and Violent Patients), 8 hrs. at \$6 per day .....	
73		P103	Special Nurses (Virulent Communicable Diseases and Violent Patients), 10 hrs. at \$9 per day .....	
74		P103	Special Nurses (Virulent Communicable Diseases and Violent Patients), 12 hrs. at \$10 per day .....	
75		P103	Special Nurses, Additional Patient \$2 extra (not to exceed \$12) .....	
76	33	P104	Head Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)...	145
78	1	P110	Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	210
79	1	P110	Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	185
80	2	P110	Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	170
81	1	P122	Director of Institutional Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	285
82	4	P204	Anaesthetist (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	160
83	1	P206	Senior Anaesthetist (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	185
84	8	P208	Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	145
85	11	P208	Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
86	1	P210	Senior Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	185
87	1	P212	Head Nurse Obstetrical (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	160
88	1	P214	Head Nurse Pediatrics (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	150
89	1	P216	Head Nurse Psychiatric (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	160
90		P254	Student Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	32
91	2	P304	Instructor of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	160
92	1	P306	Senior Instructor of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	185
PSYCHIATRIC BUILDING				
93	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
94	2	C152	Watchman .....	145
95	2	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.)...	47
96	1	L357	Resident Physician .....	250

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Amendment Salary Ordinance, San Francisco Hospital, by Increasing Employments Under Item 22 From 151 to 152, Orderly (Deduct for B., R. & L.) at \$85.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1789, Ordinance No. 9.051171, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 58 (Department of Public Health—San Francisco Hospital) of Ordinance No. 9.053128 by increasing the



number of employments under Item 22 from 151 to 152, I116 Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.) at \$85.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 58 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 58. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 175
2	1	B222	General Clerk .....	160
3	1	B222	General Clerk .....	125
3½	10	B222	General Clerk (part time) (deduct 1 meal) ..	79.50
4	1	B234	Head Clerk (deduct for B., R. & L.)...	285
5	1	B238	Hospital Statistician .....	190
6	1	B238	Hospital Statistician .....	160
7	6	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
8	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	100
9	8	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time)	79.50
10	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
10½	1	B454	Telephone Operator (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	85
11	2	B454	Telephone Operator .....	135
12	1	B454	Telephone Operator (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	125
12½	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist (part time) (deduct 1 meal) .....	79.50
13	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
14	1	C6	Superintendent of Building T. B. Hospital .....	200
15	2	C152	Watchman .....	145
16	2	E108	Electrician .....	237.50
16½	82	I2	Kitchen Helper (deduct for R. & L.)....	75
17	1	I6	Pastry Cook .....	175
17½	1	I10	Cook's Assistant (deduct for R. & L.)..	95
17¾	7	I10	Cook's Assistant (deduct for R. & L.)..	75
18	8	I12	Cook .....	165
19	1	I16	Chef .....	200
20	8	I54	Waitress .....	110
21	8	I56	Waiter .....	110
21½		I102	Inmate Help (not over \$50).....	
22	152	I116	<b>Orderly</b> (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	85
23	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	102.50
24	1	I122	House Mother (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	125
25	1	I122	House Mother (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	87.50
26	14	I152	Flat Work Ironer .....	90
27	17	I154	Laundress .....	100
28	1	I156	Starcher .....	130
29	1	I158	Sorter .....	130
30	1	I164	Marker and Distributor .....	130
31	1	I166	Wringerman .....	136.33
32	2	I170	Washer .....	135
33	1	I172	Head Washer .....	155
34	1	I178	Superintendent of Laundry .....	200
34½	144	I204	Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	85
35	1	I206	Porter Sub-Foreman (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	92
36	1	I208	Porter Foreman (deduct for B., R. & L.)	100
37	1	I210	Head Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.)...	140

**Section 58. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
38	2	I254	Seamstress . . . . .	90
39	1	I256	Head Seamstress (deduct for B., R. & L.)	150
40	1	O58	Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.) . . . . .	87.50

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.  
Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Amendment Salary Ordinance, San Francisco Hospital, by Adding  
Item 4, 1 Registered Nurse (Deduct for B., R. & L.) at \$135.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1790, Ordinance No. 9.051173, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 60(c) (San Francisco Hospital—Interdepartmental) of Ordinance No. 9.053128 by adding Item 4, 1 P102 Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) at \$135.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 60(c) of Ordinance No. 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 60(c). SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL—  
INTERDEPARTMENTAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	I2	Kitchen Helper (deduct for B. & L.)..	75
2	5	I116	Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.) . . . . .	85
3	1	I204	Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.) . . . . .	85
4	1	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) . . . . .	135

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.  
Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Amendment Salary Ordinance, Emergency Hospitals, by Deleting  
Item 15, 1 Registered Nurse (Relief) at \$5 Per Day.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1791, Ordinance No. 9.051174, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 61 (Department of Public Health—Emergency Hospitals) of Ordinance No. 9.053128 by deleting Item 15 1 P102 Registered Nurse (Relief) at \$5 per day.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 61 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 61. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
EMERGENCY HOSPITALS**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	\$ 190
1½	1	I2	Kitchen Helper (deduct for B. & L.) . . . . .	75
2	12	L504	Emergency Hospital Surgeon . . . . .	200



Section 61. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
EMERGENCY HOSPITALS (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
3	1	L506	Assistant Chief Surgeon Emergency Hospitals . . . . .	225
4	1	L508	Chief Surgeon . . . . .	250
5	14	O6	Ambulance Driver . . . . .	200
6	1	O6	Ambulance Driver . . . . .	195
7	1	O6	Ambulance Driver . . . . .	185
8	3	O6	Ambulance Driver . . . . .	175
9	7	P2	Emergency Hospital Steward . . . . .	165
10	4	P2	Emergency Hospital Steward . . . . .	175
11	16	P2	Emergency Hospital Steward . . . . .	200
11½	1	P3	Senior Emergency Hospital Steward . . . . .	175
12	1	P4	Chief Emergency Hospital Steward . . . . .	250
13	12	P102	Registered Nurse . . . . .	165
14	7	P102	Registered Nurse . . . . .	135

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.  
Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Creating Commission to Be Known as the Coordinating Council for the Purpose of Furthering the Cooperation and Coordination Among the Public Departments and Between the Public Departments and Social Agencies in a More Effective Program for the Youth of San Francisco.**

(Code No. 19.10)

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

Bill No. 1792, Ordinance No. 19.101, as follows:

Creating commission to be known as the Coordinating Council for the Purpose of Furthering the Cooperation and Coordination Among the Public Departments and Between the Public Departments and Social Agencies in a More Effective Program for the Youth of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby created a Coordinating Council to be appointed by the Mayor. The Coordinating Council shall consist of the Chief of Police, the Chief Probation Officer of the Juvenile Court, the Superintendent of Public Schools, the Superintendent of the Recreation Department, the Director of Public Welfare, the Director of the Bureau of Child Hygiene of the Department of Public Health, and four additional members to be appointed by the Mayor, who shall be qualified to serve by reason of their knowledge and experience in the coordinating of youth activities.

Section 2. All members of the Coordinating Council shall serve without compensation except in so far as compensation is provided for other official positions which said persons may hold.

Said Coordinating Council shall, pursuant to the provisions of Subdivision (h) of Section 19 of the Charter, appoint a Secretary who shall not be subject to the civil service provisions of the Charter and who shall hold office at the pleasure of said Coordinating Council. Said Secretary shall be the administrative head of said Council and shall have all the powers and duties of a department head as provided for in Section 20 of the Charter. Said administrative head shall, with the approval of said Coordinating Council, pursuant to the civil service and budgetary provisions of the Charter, appoint such additional employees as may be necessary to conduct the affairs of said Coordinating Council.

The salary of the said Secretary shall be fixed by the Coordinating Council in accordance with the budgetary provisions of the Charter, but in no event shall said salary exceed the sum of four thousand dollars (\$4,000) per year.

Section 3. Upon the recommendation of the Mayor and the approval of the Board of Supervisors, an amount not to exceed seven thousand five hundred dollars (\$7,500) shall be appropriated each year to said Coordinating Council for the purpose of defraying cost of carrying on its work, which said cost shall include salaries and all other expenses incidental to said work.

Section 4. The duty of said Coordinating Council shall be to work toward a more efficient coordination and cooperation among the public departments and between the public departments and social agencies in establishing and carrying out an effective program for the youth of San Francisco.

Said Coordinating Council shall meet at least once during each month, and said Coordinating Council shall specify a regular time and place for said meeting and said Coordinating Council may hold such additional regular or special meetings as it may provide; said meetings to be called and held in accordance with provisions of the Charter and ordinances of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 5. A majority of the membership of said Coordinating Council shall constitute a quorum.

Section 6. The Coordinating Council shall, at a regular meeting in June of each year, elect a Chairman to act for the term of one year, which term shall be from July 1st to June 30th, inclusive. The Council shall have authority to establish district councils and to determine the membership thereof, in such manner as they deem best, and said district councils shall, in so far as their own districts are concerned, organize and carry out the work outlined in the duties of said Coordinating Council, but under the control and direction of the Coordinating Council.

Section 7. Said Coordinating Council shall have full power and authority to adopt such rules and regulations not in conflict with the provisions of the Charter or ordinances of the Board of Supervisors, as may be necessary for the conduct of its work.

Section 8. Pursuant to the provisions of Section 9 of the Charter, the Board of Supervisors does, by this ordinance, create said Coordinating Council which is hereby placed under the Chief Administrative Officer, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby confer upon the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco full power and authority to appoint the members of said Coordinating Council.

Section 9. Whenever any of the officials of the City and County of San Francisco who are made ex-officio members of the Coordinating Council shall cease to hold their official positions as such officers then, in that event, their membership in said Coordinating Council shall cease and determine and their respective successors shall be appointed to succeed them as members of said Council.

The first four additional members to be appointed to said Coordinating Council, pursuant to the provisions of Section 1 of this ordinance, shall be appointed respectively for one, two, three and four years, and upon the expiration of the term of each of said persons so appointed the Mayor shall appoint their successors to serve for a term of four years.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

On motion by Supervisor Colman, the privilege of the floor was granted to permit Department heads and others interested to explain the functions of the Coordinating Council and to urge passage of the foregoing bill.

Hon. William J. Quinn, Chief of Police; Dr. Paul Barrett, of the Department of Public Health; Major Joseph Nourse, Superintendent of



Schools; Miss Josephine Randall, Secretary of the Recreation Commission, and Father William Flannagan, representing Catholic Charities, explained the purport of the proposed legislation, stressed its importance and strongly urged favorable consideration thereof by the Board.

Hon. Harold J. Boyd, Controller, reviewed the action taken on appropriation request at the time of consideration of the budget requests for the current fiscal year and stated that he did not, at the present time, know of any available funds for the operation of the proposed Coordinating Council during the last half of the present fiscal year.

Hon. John J. O'Toole, City Attorney, informed the Board that the question of funds was not before the Board at present, but it was important that the proposed legislation creating the Coordinating Council, and urged the passage thereof in order that Council could present its request for appropriation in time for inclusion with other budget requests for the next fiscal year.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing bill was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

#### Adopted.

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

#### Authorizing Transfer of Property of Patrick Quinn to Daughter, May Quinn, on Which Lien for Old Age Security Is Recorded.

(Code No. 19.02)

Resolution No. 4450, as follows:

Whereas, the Public Welfare Commission has recommended that permission be granted to Patrick Quinn to transfer to his daughter, May Quinn, certain property heretofore liened to the City and County of San Francisco covering his Old Age Security pension; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That, in accordance with the provisions of Section 2007, Chapter 1, Division III, of the Public Welfare and Institutions Code of the State of California, the Board of Supervisors hereby grants permission to Patrick Quinn to transfer to his daughter, May Quinn, the said described property, being Lot 9, Block 6281, recorded August 10, 1936, in Book 3011, page 82, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

#### Adopted.

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up:

#### Intention to Close Portions of Pemberton Place.

(Code No. 12.0621)

Resolution No. 4451, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portions of Pemberton place be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all those portions of Pemberton place more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

The northwesterly one foot and the southeasterly one foot of Pemberton place lying between Clayton street and Villa terrace.

Said closing and abandonment of said portions of Pemberton place shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portions of Pemberton place, in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

#### **Adopted.**

The following recommendation of the Public Welfare Committee was taken up:

#### **Expression of Regret at Resignation of William P. Filmer From Directorate of Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District.**

(Code No. 12.111)

Resolution No. 4439, as follows:

Whereas, William P. Filmer has resigned from the Board of Directors of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District; and

Whereas, it is with sincere reluctance that this Board accepts the withdrawal of Mr. Filmer who, representing the City and County of San Francisco, has served with integrity and distinction in the capacity of Director and President of the organization since its inception; and

Whereas, this wondrous structure, swung gracefully in the path of the setting sun, symbolizes in its giant piers planted solidly in turbulent waters, the man's strength of purpose throughout the long years between the project's conception and its completion; its lofty towers reaching skyward characterize the high ideals and principles which were his in the conduct of his office as President of the Directorate, and the massive cables joining San Francisco with the northern counties portray a lasting bond of friendship and cooperation which was inspired by his patient, kindly and intelligent leadership and spun through his arduous toil and unstinted devotion to the cause; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors, representing the people of the City and County of San Francisco, notes with sincere regret the severance of William P. Filmer's official connection with the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District and takes this opportunity to pay him tribute and to express its heartfelt gratitude for his distinguished service thereon.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.



**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS,  
BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR  
REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Revoking Permit for Operation of Street Cars on Van Ness Avenue South; Granting Petition for Abandonment of Service on Guerrero Street; Providing for Purchase and Operation of Forty Buses to Replace Street Cars on Above Lines.**

(Code No. 15.091)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 4453, as follows:

Whereas, two elections were held during the past two years for the purchase and rehabilitation of the Market Street Railway which were in each instance decisively defeated by the people, showing conclusively that the acquisition of this property is not desired by the electorate; and

Whereas, the streets traversed by the Market Street Railway are in such a deplorable state of upkeep that they are impassable through neglect on the part of the company to live up to the terms of franchise; and

Whereas, the City Engineer on September 1, 1936, submitted estimate to the effect that it would entail an expenditure of \$1,118,171 if the company did not at once commence the resurfacing and repair of streets traversed by its lines to bring the streets most in need of attention to the point where they were in accord with the terms of their franchise; and

Whereas, the transportation question in general is a subject most vital to the people of San Francisco, and if something immediate is not done to remedy at least partially the acute situation that now confronts them, they will rise in indignant protest against the men in office they elected to give the traveling public the up-to-date, modernized and adequate service so necessary for the growth of a city; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors during the past two months have denied the request of the Market Street Railway to abandon ten different car lines on account of having no adequate service to offer the public in their stead; and

Whereas, the Market Street Railway Company has no franchise to operate their No. 35 line, which traverses Howard street and other streets, but operates same on a day to day permit; and

Whereas, the Market Street Railway Company has requested permission to abandon lines No. 10 and No. 26, which traverse Mission street and other streets; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That proper legal action be taken by the City Attorney so that the day to day permit by which the Market Street Railway Company is operating the No. 35 line be revoked and that they be required to take up the tracks and repair the streets along the entire route of this line, as called for in the City Engineer's report of September 1, 1936; and be it

Further Resolved, That the request of the Market Street Railway Company, with regard to lines No. 10 and No. 26, designated as the Guerrero street lines, be complied with, in the abandonment of the service, and that all franchise rights that were granted to them on those two lines be made part of this agreement of abandonment, and that they be instructed to take up the tracks and repair the streets as called for in the City Engineer's report, along the routes in question; and be it

Further Resolved, That ways and means be devised for the purchase of 40 modern single deck buses similar to those in New York City, to replace the present street cars, now designated as lines No. 10, No. 26

and No. 35, and that the purchase of these buses be on a pay as you go plan; and be it

Further Resolved, That all rules and regulations that are now invoked by our Municipal Railroad be made part of the rules and regulations governing the operation of these buses, and that all the buses be manned by two men so as to give the best possible service, which it is impossible to give by the manning of buses with one man, and that the five cent fare prevail, with transfer privilege.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

**In Memoriam—Harry G. McKannay.**

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 4454, as follows:

Whereas, Our Heavenly Father has summoned Harry G. McKannay to his eternal reward; and

Whereas, during his lifetime Mr. McKannay was one of San Francisco's outstanding citizens, a loving husband and an ideal father; and

Whereas, Mr. McKannay was at one time a member of our official family, acting as secretary to Mayor Taylor, in which position he served with the utmost integrity, with dignity and efficiency; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors notes with sincere regret the passing of Harry G. McKannay and takes this opportunity to express its heartfelt condolences to his bereaved widow and family; and be it

Further Resolved, That an engrossed copy of this resolution be sent to the family of the late Harry G. McKannay.

*Unanimously adopted by rising vote.*

**Commending Most Highly Convention Activities of the Northern California Chapter, Associated General Contractors.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Mead presented:

Resolution No. 4455, as follows:

Whereas, the Twentieth Annual Convention of the Northern California Chapter, Associated General Contractors of America, saw its conclusion in a brilliant banquet at the Palace Hotel, Saturday night last; and

Whereas, at the convention, which was attended by approximately one thousand contractor-delegates and their guests, most of whom came from other parts of California, much thought and consideration was given to a comprehensive program for publicity and advertising in connection with the National Convention of the Associated General Contractors of America which is to be held in San Francisco, in conjunction with the Golden Gate International Exposition, March 5 to 11, 1939; and

Whereas, great benefits will redound to the City and County of San Francisco from the publicity, advertising and tourist trade dollars to come as a result of the Associated General Contractors National Convention, which it is conservatively estimated will be attended by fifteen thousand delegates and their families and friends; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does commend most highly the convention activities of the Northern California Chapter, Associated General Contractors, conducted by its president, R. G. Clifford, its directors and personnel, and does urge that every facility be placed



at the disposal of the association to the end that its Fair Year Convention may culminate in that success which is traditional to them.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

### Celebration of National Re-Dedication Day, December 15, 1938.

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Mead presented for Supervisor Reilly:

Resolution No. 4456, as follows:

Whereas, Thursday, December 15, National Re-Dedication Day, marks the anniversary celebration of the ratification of the first ten amendments to the Constitution of the United States, commonly known as the Bill of Rights; and

Whereas, other nations are now witnessing, within their borders, oppression and persecution of free people, suppression of free thought and speech and prohibitions against the belief in and practice of religious principles; and

Whereas, subversive influences are constantly at work in America seeking the abrogation of those cherished principles which our forefathers prudently added to the Constitution, in the Bill of Rights; and

Whereas, it is desirable, in America, to inculcate upon our people the immeasurable value of a prohibition against despotism and to inspire widespread and enthusiastic fervor for the preservation of the tenets contained in our Bill of Rights, designed for and persisted in by a liberty-loving and democratic people; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors views with approbation the spirit of patriotism which inspires the movement for the celebration of National Re-Dedication Day and does urge all of the people of the City and County of San Francisco to read and reflect upon the Bill of Rights and to join with enthusiastic support in its commemoration.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

### Appointment of War Memorial Trustees.

The following communication from his Honor, the Mayor, was read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, December 9, 1938.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall.

Gentlemen: This is to advise you that I have this day named Dr. Alanson Weeks, Messrs. Harry A. Milton, Horace B. Clifton and Ramsay Moran, as members of the Board of War Memorial Trustees, for the term of six years beginning January 2, 1939.

In consonance with Section 44 of the Charter, I respectfully ask your Honorable Board to confirm these appointments.

Yours very truly,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

### Appointments Confirmed.

Thereupon, Supervisor Colman moved that the Board of Supervisors confirm the appointments of Dr. Alanson Weeks, Messrs. Harry A. Milton, Horace B. Clifton and Ramsay Moran as members of the Board of War Memorial Trustees, for the term of six years, beginning January 2, 1939.

No objection and so ordered.

**Request for Estimates for Cost of Cleaning City Hall and Re-gilding Dome.**

Supervisor Uhl requested that there be secured from the Director of Property, estimate of cost for sand-blasting the City Hall and for the cleaning and painting, or re-gilding, the dome of the City Hall.

**Elimination of Parking on Telegraph Hill Approaches.**

Supervisor Uhl called attention to dangerous situation prevailing on Telegraph Hill approaches, caused by parking of automobiles, and requested that the Traffic Department be asked to check up on the situation with a view, if possible, to reduce or eliminate the hazard existing there.

**Request for Estimate for Refinishing the Chambers of the Board of Supervisors.**

Supervisor McSheehy requested that the Director of Property present to the Board an estimate of the cost of re-varnishing and re-decorating the Chambers of the Board of Supervisors. During consideration of Supervisor McSheehy's request, Supervisor Mead stated that an estimate of not less than \$5,000 for such purpose had already been furnished.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 6:35 p. m., adjourned.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors December 19, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, David A. Barry, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

DAVID A. BARRY,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.



Monday, December 19, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, DECEMBER 19, 1938—2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, December 19, 1938,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Ratto presiding, on motion by Supervisor Colman.

Supervisor Brown was noted present at 2:40 p. m.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of December 12, 1938, was  
considered read and approved.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

### Rezoning of Southwesterly Corner of Portola Drive and Wood- side Avenue.

Appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission by its  
Resolution No. 1867 denying application to rezone from First Resi-  
dential District to Commercial District, property located at the south-  
westerly corner of Portola drive and Woodside avenue.

### Privilege of the Floor.

Harry S. Young, attorney representing appellant, F. E. Mayn, being  
granted the privilege of the floor, repeated briefly his presentation of  
December 12, 1938.

Mr. Mark Jorgensen, Secretary of the City Planning Commission,  
on being questioned by Supervisor Reilly, stated that the applicant had  
not made the same presentation before the Commission, but on the basis  
of the presentation made, the Commission had denied the application.

### City Planning Commission Overruled.

Thereupon, the following resolution, presented by the Public Build-  
ings, Lands and City Planning Committee, was *adopted* by the following  
vote:

Disapproving Decision of City Planning Commission in Denying  
Application to Rezone From First Residential District to Com-  
mercial District, Southwesterly Corner of Portola Drive and  
Woodside Avenue.

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 4464, as follows:

Resolved, That the decision of the City Planning Commission by its

Resolution No. 1867, dated October 20, 1938, denying application to rezone from First Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the southwesterly corner of Portola drive and Woodside avenue, is hereby disapproved.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

### SPECIAL ORDER—2:15 P. M.

**Authorizing Supplemental Appropriation of \$126,000 for the Purchase of Twelve Motor Buses for Operation by the Municipal Railway.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1784, Ordinance No. 9.051533, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$126,000 from the surplus existing in the Municipal Railway Operating Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 865.400.00 for the purchase of twelve motor buses for operation by the Municipal Railway.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$126,000 is hereby appropriated from the surplus existing in the Municipal Railway Operating Fund to Appropriation No. 865.400.00 for the purchase of twelve motor buses for use in the extension of the Municipal Railway service.

#### TENTATIVE ROUTES.

*No. 1. From Forest Hill Station to Rio court and El Serano court via Laguna Honda boulevard, Portola drive, Evelyn way and Teresita boulevard; returning via Teresita boulevard, Fowler avenue, Portola drive and Laguna Honda boulevard.*

*No. 2. From West Portal of Twin Peaks tunnel to San Jose and Plymouth avenues via West Portal avenue, Junipero Serra boulevard, Worcester avenue, Randolph street, Orizaba avenue, Broad street and San Jose avenue; returning via Plymouth avenue, Broad street, Orizaba avenue, Randolph street, Worcester avenue, Junipero Serra boulevard and West Portal avenue.*

*No. 3. From Seventeenth avenue and Judah street to Fourteenth avenue and Taraval street via Judah street, Eighteenth avenue, Kirkham street, Seventeenth avenue, Ortega street, Sixteenth avenue, Rivera street, Fifteenth avenue and Taraval street, returning via Taraval street, Fourteenth avenue, Santiago street, Fifteenth avenue, Rivera street, Sixteenth avenue, Ortega street and Seventeenth avenue.*

#### Statement by Supervisor Uhl and Requested Answers From Manager of Utilities.

Supervisor Uhl, preliminary to the consideration of the requested appropriation of \$126,000 for the purchase of twelve buses, presented the following statement, and requested answers from the Manager of Utilities to questions contained therein:

Kindly advise why on last Tuesday you phoned Mr. Henry Doelger as follows: "Uhl voted against the purchase of 12 buses."

I desire to compliment you for becoming bus-minded in that you are substituting buses for the "M" trolley line, your first step in the right direction. Our agitation for buses is evidently taking root. Better late than never.

Question No. 1. In recommending substitution of buses for the "M" line and establishing feeder bus lines between Taraval and Judah, what consideration did you give to merging these two lines and the establishment of a bus route from San Jose avenue and Alemany boulevard across town to Twenty-fifth and Lake street?



Question No. 2. At what hour of the morning do you expect to start operation of the three proposed bus routes; what is the headway proposed between buses on the several bus routes during their hours of operation; what is the average speed you expect these buses to maintain, and in the case of each route, at what hour do you expect to discontinue operation?

Question No. 3. What are the estimated bus hour and bus mile cost of the feeder lines you propose?

Question No. 4. Where do you propose to locate a garage to accommodate repair and service the twelve buses contemplated to be purchased? Do you propose building a garage and at what cost?

Question No. 5. In connection with the last question, please give your estimate of the idle running time necessary to reach the garage while buses are not in service?

Question No. 6. What capacity buses do you contemplate purchasing for these three feeder routes?

Question No. 7. Do you propose to operate these twelve buses by one man or two men?

Question No. 8. What do you figure will be the average life of the buses you contemplate purchasing?

Question No. 9. Why did you fail to call for bids covering purchase of the previous buses? Will bids be called for covering the twelve buses in contemplation?

Question No. 10. May I have the data regarding possible bus patrons within the area covered by the proposed feeder routes inasmuch as you stated in your letter to me under date of December 13, 1937, I assure you that the engineering staff of the Public Utilities Commission is fully competent to obtain the data requested. I presume you have this information available.

Question No. 11. In your letter to me under date of November 26, 1937, you stated existing municipal lines are already loaded to capacity during peak hours of traffic. What is your plan for handling these additional passengers on the primary street car routes in view of the present crowded condition of these cars? I now ask you to answer that question inasmuch as you are designating three bus feeder routes. Section 121 of the Charter provides that where betterments and extensions from public funds are to be provided, that in each such case the Manager of Utilities shall furnish an analysis of cost, service and estimated revenues for any proposed extension. Therefore, I respectfully request an answer to my inquiry of December 16th covering the operating cost and revenues for the proposed three feeder bus routes?

Mr. Cahill, why not do a real job, one that will give persons in the Richmond, as well as in the Sunset District, a real, improved transportation service? Substituting bus route for the "M" car from San Jose avenue and Alemany boulevard to West Portal, the Tunnel and another bus feeder route via Seventeenth, Eighteenth, Sixteenth, Fifteenth and Fourteenth avenues between Judah and Taraval, I construe more as a political expediency than giving proper crosstown service which can be done by the purchase of six instead of four buses and routing same between San Jose avenue and Alemany boulevard via Eighteenth and Nineteenth avenues, Irving street to Nineteenth and across Golden Gate Park into Twenty-fifth avenue, terminal at Lake street. At the present time it requires 45 minutes for a person to travel between Twenty-fifth avenue and Lake street and San Jose avenue and Alemany boulevard. I respectfully urge you give this suggestion your favorable consideration. It carries out your statement to me in your letter of November 26, 1937: "I agree with you that service must be the paramount consideration of a publicly owned utility." Therefore, I respectfully request that you confer with the Public Utilities Commissioners regarding the crosstown bus route from the above-mentioned points in place of the contemplated bus routes above referred

to. I also might mention that I hope in time you will see fit to extend the bus line from San Jose avenue and Alemany boulevard via Alemany, Bayshore, Potrero, Brannan and Fifth to Market. Without doubt, such a line would be a paying line whereas your present proposed bus feeders will be operated at a loss.

### Point of Order.

During the reading of the above statement by Supervisor Uhl, Supervisor Reilly rose to a point of order, his point of order being that Supervisor Uhl was out of order because he was not speaking to the matter before the Board, that is, an appropriation of \$126,000 for the purchase of twelve buses, and that the routing of buses or the establishment of new services was not before the Board.

Chair ruled the *point of order well taken*.

### Appeal From the Ruling of the Chair.

Thereupon, Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, appealed from the decision of the Chair.

Supervisor Colman at the request of the Chair put the appeal.

### Chair Overruled.

After brief statements by Supervisor McSheehy, and the Chair, the Chair was *overruled* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Reilly, Roncovieri—2.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

Excused—Supervisor Ratto—1.

### Statement by Manager of Utilities in Reply to Questions of Supervisor Uhl.

During the reading of the foregoing questions by Supervisor Uhl, Mr. E. G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities, in reply to Questions Nos. 4, 6, 7, 8 and 9, stated that the city did need a bus garage, and that the logical site for same was on Water Department land on Seventh avenue, at the north end of the Laguna Honda Reservoir. This site he had recommended to the Public Utilities Commission. The buses he contemplated purchasing were 31 seat, one-man-operated buses, with an estimated life of seven years. Bids had been called for, through the Purchaser of Supplies, but only two bids had been submitted, one from the American Car and Foundry Company and one from White. Partially replying to Question No. 11, Mr. Cahill stated that it was his intention to request an appropriation, probably in the near future, to permit the purchase of four modern street cars.

Regarding the list of questions in general, propounded by Supervisor Uhl, Mr. Cahill stated that it was obvious that no man could answer, immediately a set of ten intricate questions that had taken several days to formulate. He stated further that if the Board should request, by motion or resolution, answers to Supervisor Uhl's questions, such request would, of course, be referred to his Commission, and undoubtedly would be acted on.

### Question of Personal Privilege.

During the foregoing proceedings, Supervisor McSheehy rose to a question of personal privilege, stating he had received a paper asking a commitment by the Supervisors on a matter before any evidence had been submitted to the Board.

The Chair ruled Supervisor McSheehy out of order, since the matter referred to, the question of building height limitation and rezoning in the Marina District was not before the Board.



### Appeal From Ruling of the Chair.

Thereupon, Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Mead, appealed from the ruling of the Chair. Supervisor Colman, at the request of the Chair, put the appeal.

#### Chair Sustained.

After statements by the maker of the appeal, Supervisor McSheehy, and by the Chair, Supervisor Ratto, the chair was *sustained* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer—3.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Excused—Supervisor Ratto—1.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. H. S. Foley, representing the Municipal Carmen's Union, was, at the request of Supervisor McSheehy, granted the privilege of the floor. Mr. Foley expressed opposition to the appropriation under consideration, stating that his organization was opposed to such haphazard methods, but that there should be a planned service. He stated further that a resolution, introduced at the meeting of the Labor Council on December 16, 1938, asking that the foregoing ordinance be referred to the Joint Finance and Public Utilities of the Board, was unanimously adopted.

#### Motion.

Thereupon, Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Mead, moved that the ordinance be referred to Joint Committee on Finance and Public Utilities.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. Herman Weinberger, president of West of Twin Peaks Central Council, on motion by Supervisor Colman, was granted the privilege of the floor and opposed the proposed re-reference to committee. Mr. Ray Schiller, representing the Parkside District Improvement Club; Carl Maritzen and George Allen, also objected to any delay in passage of the proposed ordinance.

#### Request to Withdraw His Second.

Supervisor Mead, in explaining his reason for seconding Supervisor McSheehy's motion, stated he did so under the definite impression that the people had not been heard in the matter. Having learned from discussion of the proposed matter, that a hearing had been held, he requested permission to withdraw his second. However, Supervisor McSheehy objected.

#### Motion Failed.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the motion to re-refer the foregoing matter to the Joint Committee on Finance and Public Utilities was *defeated* by the following vote:

Aye—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

#### Explanations of Votes.

Supervisor Uhl, in explanation of his vote, objected to statements made that he had voted against the appropriation for the purchase of buses. He reviewed the action of the Board during the previous meeting and stated further that the matter had never come to a vote. He

realized the need for the buses and he was happy indeed that Mr. Cahill saw the desirability of substituting them for trolley cars on the "M" line of the Municipal system.

Supervisor McSheehy stated that his objection was not to the proposed purchase of buses, but to the method of procedure. Such matters, he felt, should come to the Board and should be referred, by the Board, to the proper committee.

Supervisor Reilly objected to statements made that there was any irregularity in the presentation of the ordinance. The matter had been before the Finance Committee twice and proper hearings held and it was favorably recommended by the Committee to the Board.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

Thereupon, the foregoing bill was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

#### SPECIAL ORDERS—2:30 P. M.

##### Report and Recommendation of the City Planning Commission to the Board of Supervisors on

##### Limiting Heights of Buildings, Territory Bounded by the Northerly Line of Lewis Street, Laguna Street, Beach Street and Marina Boulevard.

(Code No. 11.08)

Bill No. 1746, Ordinance No. 11.0828, as follows:

Limiting the height of buildings hereafter to be erected in a certain district in the City and County of San Francisco, and establishing the boundaries of said district, and providing penalties for the violation of this ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. No building shall be erected in the City and County of San Francisco to a height greater than forty (40) feet within the territory bounded by the northerly line of Lewis street on the north, by Laguna street on the east, by Beach street and Marina boulevard on the south, and by Webster street on the west.

Section 2. No addition to or alteration or improvement of any building within the above described district shall be made which shall increase the height of any building as limited by this ordinance.

Section 3. No limitation of the height of buildings in the City and County of San Francisco as provided by this ordinance shall apply to public buildings, churches, schools, steeples, towers, domes, cupolas, belfries (not used for human occupancy), nor to chimneys, gas holders, skylights, ventilators, water tanks, flag staffs, railings, weather vanes, nor to other similar structures such as are usually erected or maintained above the roof lines of buildings.

Section 4. The method of determining the height of buildings for the purpose of this ordinance shall be the same as provided in Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), and the provisions of Section 78 shall apply within said territory.

Section 5. No building permit shall be issued by the Board of Public Works for the erection or alteration of any building or structure contrary to the provisions of this ordinance, and any permit so issued shall be void.

Section 6. Any person, firm or corporation violating any provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction



tion thereof shall be punishable by a fine not exceeding \$500 or by imprisonment for a term of not exceeding six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment. Such persons, firm or corporation shall be deemed guilty of a separate offense for each and every day during any portion of which any violation of this ordinance is committed, continued, or permitted by such person, firm or corporation as herein provided.

### Report and Recommendation of the City Planning Commission

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco:

Bill No. 1746, Ordinance No. 11.828, Code No. 11.08, entitled: "Limiting the height of buildings hereafter to be erected in a certain district of the City and County of San Francisco, and establishing the boundaries of said district, and providing penalties for the violation of this ordinance."

The following report and recommendation of the City Planning Commission in connection with the above described ordinance is hereby submitted in compliance with the provisions of Section 117 of the Charter:

In considering the height ordinance it was found that immediately abutting this area to the east are the U. S. Army Transport Docks, built to a height of approximately 50 feet or the equivalent of about five stories, and to the south, the P. G. & E. power station built to a height of approximately 67 feet or the equivalent of about seven stories. With these conditions now existing, *it is not considered* that a uniform height limitation of 40 feet over the entire area would be proper; therefore, the City Planning Commission recommends against the adoption of the ordinance as submitted.

While it is true the Marina district proper has such a height regulation, it must be observed that the development existing around this particular area is quite different than that surrounding the Marina district. The property fronting on Marina boulevard between Buchanan street and Webster street, being in the First Residential District, any single family dwellings built thereon would not likely extend to a height as great as 40 feet, so the boulevard frontage appears to be amply protected by that regulation from an aesthetic viewpoint. It is difficult to prescribe a regulation to recommend in lieu of the ordinance, but it is believed in the normal development of the area it would be built upon in a manner that will realize the greatest advantage to the area as a whole. A limitation of 40 feet would not protect the view of any property south of this area.

Respectfully submitted,

By order of the City Planning Commission.

(Signed) MARK JORGENSEN,  
Secretary.

### Report and Recommendation of the City Planning Commission to the Board of Supervisors on

### Proposed Ordinance Regarding Reclassification of the Use to Which Certain Property May Be Put.

(Code No. 13.02)

Bill No. 1759, Ordinance No. 13.025, as follows:

Reclassification of the use to which certain property in the City and County of San Francisco may be put and defining the boundaries of the property so reclassified.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The property hereinafter described is hereby reclassified from Light Industrial to First Residential District:

All that certain real property situate, lying and being in the City and County of San Francisco and bounded by Laguna street to the east, Beach street and Marina boulevard to the south, Webster street to the west, and the northerly line of Lewis street to the north.

Section 2. No building permit shall be issued by the Board of Public Works for the erection or alteration of any building or structure contrary to the provisions of this ordinance, and any permit so issued shall be void.

Section 3. Any person, firm or corporation violating any provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punishable by a fine not exceeding \$500 or by imprisonment for a term of not exceeding six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment. Such person, firm or corporation shall be deemed guilty of a separate offense for each and every day during any portion of which any violation of this ordinance is committed, continued or permitted by such person, firm or corporation as herein provided.

#### Report and Recommendation of the City Planning Commission

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco:

Bill No. 1759, Ordinance No. 13.025, Code No. 13.02, entitled: "Reclassification of the use to which certain property in the City and County of San Francisco may be put and defining the boundaries of the property so reclassified."

In considering the aforesaid ordinance proposing a change in the Use District classification of the property described in aforesaid ordinance it was found that:

The property immediately adjoining the area in question to the east is owned by the U. S. Army and used as transport docks. The property to the south, between Laguna and Buchanan streets, is classified in the Heavy Industrial District and is used for a standby electric power plant and a large gas storage tank belonging to the P. G. & E. Co. The property fronting on Marina boulevard between Buchanan and Webster streets, to the southwest, is classified in the First Residential District and is improved with single family dwellings. The property to the west and north of Marina boulevard is a public park owned by the City. To the north of the area in question lies the San Francisco Bay.

From the foregoing it is quite apparent that the area in question is entirely surrounded by a wide variation of Use District classifications, ranging from the least restricted to the most restricted, with development and improvements consistent with each classification as well as public property and water frontage. The various Use District classifications and development surrounding this particular area is quite different than that which prevails in the area generally surrounding the Marina District proper.

Considering the Marina District proper as the area north of Chestnut street and west of Buchanan street, we find the entire area is classified in the Second Residential District save and excepting the property fronting on Lyon street, Baker street and Marina boulevard.

In view of the actual conditions existing and Use classifications regulating the property in the Marina proper, it is impossible to conceive upon what logical basis of planning or zoning it could be recommended the area here under consideration be classified in the First Residential District. On the contrary, to so recommend could well be regarded as an arbitrary exercise and abuse of the powers vested in the Planning Commission and discriminatory action against the



owners of this particular land. Accordingly, we recommend against the adoption of the ordinance as submitted, proposing the classification of the property in the First Residential District.

In lieu of the regulation proposed by the ordinance as submitted it is recommended the ordinance be amended so as to classify the property on the north side of Marina boulevard between Laguna and Buchanan streets to a depth of 100 feet in the First Residential District and all remaining portions of the area classified in the Second Residential District where not already now classified in the First Residential District.

The amendments recommended would classify the property in a manner consistent with the classifications now applying to the Marina proper, which, when you consider the development and use of land surrounding this particular area is the greatest restriction which should be considered.

No sound logical reasons were advanced by any of the proponents of this ordinance upon which the Commission could predicate a recommendation in favor thereof.

Considerable stress was placed on the contention that the property should be acquired by the City for a further extension of Yacht Harbor. The City Planning Commission is quite in accord with this contention and recommended by a resolution passed in the year 1932 the acquisition of the property for such purposes, but in the consideration of any proposal for the classification of the use of the land under the Zoning Ordinance all such matters must be entirely disregarded as not pertinent to the question and not a basis upon which to predicate a recommendation as to zoning.

Respectfully submitted,

By order of the City Planning Commission.

(Signed) MARK JORGENSEN,

Secretary.

#### Committee of the Whole.

On motion by Supervisor Schmidt, the Board resolved itself into a Committee of the Whole for the purpose of hearing all persons interested in the matter of limiting the heights of buildings and the rezoning from Light Industrial to First Residential, the property within the boundaries as set forth in the proposed legislation under consideration.

Supervisor Schmidt moved further that the same chairman preside.

No objection and *so ordered*.

#### Discussion.

Mr. Lloyd Dinkelspiel, attorney, appeared in behalf of the Marina Home Owners' Protective Association and the Marina Civic Improvement Association. Dr. Albert Lander, president, Marina Home Owners' Protective Association; George Allen, president of Central Council of Civic Clubs; Ernest L. West, president of Marina Civic Improvement Association; Mrs. Bernard Cody, a resident in the Marina; Mr. George Bannister, in behalf of several troops of Sea Scouts; Mr. Edward J. Dollard, president of San Francisco Yacht Harbor Association; Mrs. Sylvain S. Abrams, president of San Francisco Welfare Council, and Mrs. Helen Doble, president, Association of Pioneer Women of California, all urged approval of ordinances providing for restriction of building heights and the rezoning of property in the Marina District from Light Industrial to First Residential classification.

Mr. Elmer P. Delaney, representing owners of property affected by the proposed legislation; Mr. D. H. Ryan, in behalf of the California State Council of Carpenters; William Coghlin, of the Associated General Contractors; Mr. Thomas Allen, representing Mrs. Emma Bates,

one of the owners of property in the so-called Gas House Cove; Mr. Alexander Watchman, president of the San Francisco Building and Construction Trades Council; Mr. Jack Leonard, of the Laborers' Union No. 261; Arthur C. Nelson, president of Carpenters' Local No. 22; Mr. Robert Lincoln, secretary of the Marina Improvement Association; Mr. James Ricketts, of the San Francisco Building Trades Council, and Mrs. Grace Perego opposed the proposed legislation.

During rebuttal of arguments presented by the opponents, Mr. Lloyd Dinkelspiel, attorney, and Mrs. Philip Yountz were granted the privilege of the floor.

#### Committee of the Whole Rises and Reports.

Supervisor Brown, after extensive presentation by both proponents and opponents of proposed legislation, moved that the Committee of the Whole rise and report.

No objection, and *so ordered*.

#### Reconvention as Board of Supervisors.

Thereupon, Supervisor Brown moved that the Committee of the Whole reconvene as a Board of Supervisors.

No objection, and *so ordered*.

#### Explanations of Votes.

Supervisor McSheehy, in explanation of his vote on the proposed ordinances, stated that his experience as a builder, his personal knowledge of the development of the Yacht Harbor and of the growth of the Marina District, his part in the enactment of the city's zoning ordinance, and his observance of the benefits the city had derived as a result of that ordinance, the necessity of preserving the shoreline in the Marina District from commercialism and the detracting result of tall buildings for the benefit, not only of the residents of the district, but for the whole city, convinced him of the importance of the legislation under consideration, and he urged the passage of the bills as presented.

Supervisor Uhl stated that he wanted to go along with labor, and would do so whenever he felt labor to be right, but in the present instance there were other sites for building. There would be, within the next fourteen or fifteen months, some 50 acres of land, as conveniently situated for development as is the Marina District. That is Calvary Cemetery. Shortly thereafter there would be the Laurel Hill Cemetery. The Marina is a beauty spot, and invaluable. Proper attention should be given to the beautification of Gas House Cove, he held, and he, therefore, must support both ordinances under consideration.

Supervisor Colman, in his explanation of vote, stated that seldom had such unanimity of opinion as to the desirability of maintenance of the beauty of a section of the city been displayed. It is evident that a very representative and sizable group of citizens, from all over the city, are unanimous in the desire for the preservation of the beauty of the Marina. Supervisor Colman stressed particularly the value of beauty, and again urged that the beauty of the Marina be preserved. He suggested further, that provision be made in the budget for the next fiscal year, and for succeeding years, as long as necessary, for the purchase and development of the property under consideration.

Supervisor Brown, in opposing the passage of the proposed legislation, stated that he intended to touch on some points that had not been considered. He had supported the Sea Scouts in their activities for many years. He knew their needs and was sympathetic toward them. However, at the close of the Exposition, there will remain a wonder-



ful yacht harbor that can then be developed. The zoning ordinance established the district affected by the proposed legislation as light industrial. All purchasers of property in the Marina must have known that at the time of acquiring their property. The owners of the property affected by the proposed legislation are satisfied with the present use classification. In this case it is not the owners of property involved who are seeking the change in zoning, and those seeking the rezoning would be protesting any change of zoning of their property not requested by themselves. If the property is rezoned, its value will be greatly depreciated, which fact, in case condemnation proceedings are instituted by the city for the acquisition of the property, will be considered by the courts in fixing the price therefor. If the property is reclassified as a first residential district it cannot be filled in and made ready for use at a price which will permit its use for purposes allowable. Thus the economic value of the property will be destroyed. In concluding his arguments, Supervisor Brown suggested that both bills be re-referred to committee and be allowed to remain there until after sufficient money had been set up in the next year's budget, if it was so determined, to provide for the first year's payment toward the purchase of the property involved.

#### Re-reference to Committee Denied.

Supervisor Brown, in accordance with his suggestion just made, moved that the entire matter be referred to the proper committee of the Board of Supervisors, there to remain until after sufficient money had been set up in the next year's budget to provide for the first year's payment toward the purchase of the property under question.

*Motion failed for lack of a second.*

Supervisor Schmidt called attention to the proposal a few years previous to establish a produce terminal in the Marina District, which, but for the concerted and strenuous protests of the people in the district, probably would have succeeded. He stated that the Marina home owners were within their rights in attempting to protect their property, and were not unreasonable in asking for a 40-foot height limitation.

#### Renewal of Motion to Refer to Committee.

After further brief discussion, and inquiries which elicited the information that option to purchase the Pacific Gas and Electric Company property in the district had expired and would not be renewed until after the coming year, Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor Mead, moved that both the proposed ordinances under discussion be re-referred to the Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee.

#### Objections to Re-reference to Committee.

Supervisors Colman and McSheehy opposed re-reference to committee, and called for the question on postponement. Mr. Jorgensen, secretary of the Planning Commission, reminded the Board that while any proceedings were pending, in committee or elsewhere, no permits for building any homes, or anything else, could be granted.

#### Re-reference to Committee Refused.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the motion to re-refer to committee, the bills providing for rezoning from Light Industrial to First Residential District, and to limit the height of buildings to 40 feet, failed by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Mead—2.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

Thereupon, the following recommendations of the Public Building and Lands and City Planning Committee were *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

**Limiting Heights of Buildings, Territory Bounded by the Northerly Line of Lewis Street, Laguna Street, Beach Street and Marina Boulevard.**

(Code No. 11.08)

Bill No. 1746, Ordinance No. 11.0828, as follows:

Limiting the height of buildings hereafter to be erected in a certain district in the City and County of San Francisco, and establishing the boundaries of said district, and providing penalties for the violation of this ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. No building shall be erected in the City and County of San Francisco to a height greater than forty (40) feet within the territory bounded by the northerly line of Lewis street on the north, by Laguna street on the east, by Beach street and Marina boulevard on the south, and by Webster street on the west.

Section 2. No addition to or alteration or improvement of any building within the above described district shall be made which shall increase the height of any building as limited by this ordinance.

Section 3. No limitation of the height of building in the City and County of San Francisco as provided by this ordinance shall apply to public buildings, churches, schools, steeples, towers, domes, cupolas, belfries (not used for human occupancy), nor to chimneys, gas holders, skylights, ventilators, water tanks, flag staffs, railings, weather vanes, nor to other similar structures such as are usually erected or maintained above the roof line of buildings.

Section 4. The method of determining the height of buildings for the purpose of this ordinance shall be the same as provided in Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), and the provisions of Section 78 shall apply within said territory.

Section 5. No building permit shall be issued by the Board of Public Works for the erection or alteration of any building or structure contrary to the provisions of this ordinance, and any permit so issued shall be void.

Section 6. Any person, firm, or corporation violating any provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punishable by a fine not exceeding \$500 or by imprisonment for a term of not exceeding six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment. Such person, firm, or corporation shall be deemed guilty of a separate offense for each and every day during any portion of which any violation of this ordinance is committed, continued, or permitted by such person, firm, or corporation, as herein provided.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

No—Supervisor Brown—1.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Proposed Ordinance Regarding Reclassification Of the Use to Which Certain Property May Be Put.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Also, Bill No. 1759, Ordinance No. 13.025, as follows:

Reclassification of the use to which certain property in the City and County of San Francisco may be put and defining the boundaries of the property so reclassified.



Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The property hereinafter described is hereby reclassified from Light Industrial to First Residential District:

All that certain real property situate, lying and being in the City and County of San Francisco and bounded by Laguna street to the east, Beach street and Marina boulevard to the south, Webster street to the west, and the northerly line of Lewis street to the north.

Section 2. No building permit shall be issued by the Board of Public Works for the erection or alteration of any building or structure contrary to the provisions of this ordinance, and any permit so issued shall be void.

Section 3. Any person, firm, or corporation violating any provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punishable by a fine not exceeding \$500 or by imprisonment for a term of not exceeding six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment. Such person, firm, or corporation shall be deemed guilty of a separate offense for each and every day during any portion of which any violation of this ordinance is committed, continued, or permitted by such person, firm or corporation, as herein provided.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

No—Supervisor Brown—1.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

### SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

#### Consideration of Petition of Market Street Railway Company re Inauguration of a Modified Service on Certain Lines.

Consideration of petition of the Market Street Railway Company to inaugurate a modified service on the Howard street No. 35 line after 7 p. m. on week days, including Saturdays, and on Sundays and holidays, by operating only a shuttle service from Twenty-fourth and Rhode Island streets to Twenty-second and Mission streets, via Twenty-fourth street, Van Ness avenue South and Twenty-second street.

Referred to Public Utilities Committee.

The foregoing matter, on motion by Supervisor McSheehy, was *referred to the Public Utilities Committee.*

### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

#### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

#### Salary Ordinance Amendment to Reflect Reclassification of Position of Orderly to That of Telephone Operator, at Same Salary.

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 1779, Ordinance No. 9.053170, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 58 (Department of Public Health—San Francisco Hospital) of Ordinance No. 9.053128 by reducing the number of employments under Item 22 from 152 to 151 I—116 Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.) at \$85, and by inserting in lieu thereof Item 10½ 1 B—454 Telephone Operator (deduct for B., R. & L.) at \$85.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 58 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 58. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL**

Item	No. of No. Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 175
2	1	B222	General Clerk .....	160
3	1	B222	General Clerk .....	125
3½	10	B222	General Clerk (part time) (deduct 1 meal) .....	79.50
4	1	B234	Head Clerk (deduct for B., R. & L.)....	285
5	1	B238	Hospital Statistician .....	190
6	1	B238	Hospital Statistician .....	160
7	6	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
8	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	100
9	8	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time)..	79.50
10	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
10½	1	B454	Telephone Operator (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	85
11	2	B454	Telephone Operator .....	135
12	1	B454	Telephone Operator (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	125
12½	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist (part time) (deduct 1 meal) .....	79.50
13	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
14	1	C6	Supt. of Building T. B. Hosp. ....	200
15	2	C152	Watchman .....	145
16	2	E108	Electrician .....	237.50
16½	82	I2	Kitchen Helper (deduct for R. & L.)...	75
17	1	I6	Pastry Cook .....	175
17½	1	I10	Cook's Assistant (deduct for R. & L.)..	95
17¾	7	I10	Cook's Assistant (deduct for R. & L.)..	75
18	8	I12	Cook .....	165
19	1	I16	Chef .....	200
20	8	I54	Waitress .....	110
21	8	I56	Waiter .....	110
21½		I102	Inmate Help (not over \$50) .....	
22	151	I116	Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	85
23	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
24	1	I122	House Mother (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	125
25	1	I122	House Mother (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	87.50
26	14	I152	Flat Work Ironer .....	90
27	17	I154	Laundress .....	100
28	1	I156	Starcher .....	130
29	1	I158	Sorter .....	130
30	1	I164	Marker and Distributor .....	130
31	1	I166	Wringerman .....	136.33
32	2	I170	Washer .....	135
33	1	I172	Head Washer .....	155
34	1	I178	Superintendent of Laundry .....	200
34½	144	I204	Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	85
35	1	I206	Porter Sub-Foreman (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	92
36	1	I208	Porter Foreman (deduct for B., R. & L.)	100
37	1	I210	Head Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.)....	140
38	2	I254	Seamstress .....	90
39	1	I256	Head Seamstress (deduct for B., R. & L.)	150
40	1	O58	Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	87.50

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.



**Supplemental Appropriation, \$15,000, From Emergency Reserve Fund, to Provide for Estimated Deficiency in Budgeted Revenue for Fiscal Year, Because of Reduced Charges to Commercial Airline Transportation Companies.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1781, Ordinance No. 9.051530, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$15,000 from the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of the San Francisco Airport Operating Fund to provide for estimated deficiency in budgeted revenue for the fiscal year 1938-1939.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$15,000 is hereby appropriated out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of San Francisco Airport Operating Fund to provide the necessary funds to enable the San Francisco Airport to continue its operations during the remainder of the fiscal year 1938-1939, under the basis of reduced charges to commercial air line transportation companies as provided by schedule of rates approved by Resolution No. 4247 of the Board of Supervisors.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

**Supplemental Appropriation of \$250 for Purchase of Adding Machine for Bureau of Architecture.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1782, Ordinance No. 9.051531, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$250 from the surplus existing in Appropriation 836.996.11 to the credit of Appropriation No. 836.996.17 to provide funds for the purchase of an adding machine in the Bureau of Architecture, Department of Public Works.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$250 is hereby set aside from Appropriation 836.996.11 to the credit of Appropriation 836.996.17, to provide funds for the purchase of an adding machine in the Bureau of Architecture, Department of Public Works.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Creating Underground District, Columbus Avenue Between Union and Bay Streets.**

(Code No. 11.12)

The following recommendations of the Streets Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

Bill No. 1771, Ordinance No. 11.1226, as follows:

An ordinance designating Underground District No. 93, Columbus avenue between Union street and the north line of Bay street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. An additional district within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires except trolley poles and wires, after the permanent improvement by physical widening of Columbus avenue, between Union street and the north line of Bay street, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 93: Columbus avenue, between Union street and the north line of Bay street.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

### **Accepting Certain Streets in Lakeside Subdivision No. 1.**

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 1780, Ordinance No. 12.081151, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadways of Junipero Serra boulevard (West Service Road) between Ocean avenue and Woodacre drive, Woodacre drive between Junipero Serra boulevard and Ocean avenue, Cranleigh drive between Sloat boulevard and Lagunitas drive, Lagunitas drive between Sloat boulevard and Ocean avenue and Beachmont drive between Sloat boulevard and Lagunitas drive.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Junipero Serra boulevard (West Service Road) between Ocean avenue and Woodacre drive, Woodacre drive between Junipero Serra boulevard and Ocean avenue, Cranleigh drive between Sloat boulevard and Lagunitas drive, Lagunitas drive between Sloat boulevard and Ocean avenue and Beachmont drive between Sloat boulevard and Lagunitas drive.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

### **NEW BUSINESS.**

#### **Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

#### **Authorizing Lease of City-Owned Land at Northwest Corner of Ocean and Phelan Avenues.**

(Code No. 12.17352)

Bill No. 1793, Ordinance No. 12.173526, as follows:

Authorizing lease of city-owned land at northwest corner of Ocean and Phelan avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 93 of the Charter and in accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for leasing that certain City-owned tract of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and bounded on the south by Ocean avenue, on the east by Phelan avenue, on the north by land of the Roman Catholic Archbishop of San Francisco and on the west by Westwood Park.



Section 2. The above described land may be leased as a whole or subdivided, subject to confirmation by the Public Utilities Commission and the Board of Supervisors.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

### Adopted.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken:

#### Land Purchase—Outer Mission Junior High School.

(Code No. 12.1712)

Resolution No. 4457, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Education, that the City and County of San Francisco purchase certain real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, from the below-named parties, or the legal owners, for the sums set forth opposite their names, payable from Appropriation No. 84.050.58, required for the Outer Mission Junior High School:

David Garibaldi, et ux., Lot 26, Assessor's Block 6961...\$2,500

Erina Gilli, Lot 2, Assessor's Block 6961..... 2,700

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

#### Land Purchase—Outer Mission Junior High School.

(Code No. 12.1712)

Also, Resolution No. 4458, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Education, that the City and County of San Francisco purchase certain real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, from the below-named parties, or the legal owners, for the sums set forth opposite their names, payable from Appropriation No. 84.050.58, required for the Outer Mission Junior High School:

Antonio Stagnaro, et ux., Lots 17, 18 and 19, Assessor's Block 6961 ..... 29,500

It is understood and agreed that the above amount includes damages in full to the improvements located on the above described property, said improvements to be removed by the grantors on or before July 1, 1939.

Theresa Franzone and John Franzone, Lots 6 and 7, Assessor's Block 6961 ..... 21,700

It is understood and agreed that the above amount of \$21,700 includes damages in full to the improvements located on said Lots 6 and 7. The buildings known as 260 Seneca avenue and 580 Otsego avenue shall become the property of the City. All other improvements on said lots shall remain the property of and be removed by the grantors on or before July 1, 1939.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Land Purchase—Bernal Heights Boulevard.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 4459, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Wilhelmina Martine and Minnie Mahler, or the legal owners, to Lot 10, Assessor's Block 5547, San Francisco, required for the Bernal Heights boulevard, and that the sum of \$100 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 88.902.17.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Nineteenth Avenue Widening—Settlement of Damage Claim.**

(Code No. 6.0229)

Also, Resolution No. 4460, as follows:

Whereas, the grade of a portion of Kirkham street is being changed in connection with the widening of Nineteenth avenue; and

Whereas, the following parties have filed a claim with the Controller and have agreed to release the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, its contractors and agents, from all claims of damages to their land and improvements resulting from such grade change upon payment to them of the amount set forth below, which land and improvements are located at 1431 Kirkham street, San Francisco:

Veterans' Welfare Board and Henry Honnef, et ux. ....\$2,455

Whereas, said claim is not in litigation and the director of Public Works has recommended, and the City Attorney has approved settlement of this claim pursuant to the provisions of Ordinance No. 6.051, Bill No. 680, approved March 7, 1935; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be and is hereby authorized and directed to pay said claim from Appropriation No. 951.908.57.

The Director of Property shall conclude the negotiations with said property owners.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Consideration Postponed.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Authorizing Execution of Agreement for Joint Construction and Maintenance of Exhibit by Counties Comprising the Redwood Empire, at the Golden Gate Exposition, at Cost to the City and County of San Francisco Not to Exceed \$2,000.**

(Code No. 5.95)

Resolution No. 4461, as follows:

Authorizing the City and County of San Francisco to enter into an agreement with the California Commission for the Golden Gate International Exposition, and with the Redwood Empire Exposition Commission, wherein and whereby the City and County of San Francisco shall agree to join with the Counties of Marin, Sonoma, Napa, Lake,



Mendocino, Humboldt and Del Norte, in California, and Josephine County in Oregon, through the agency of the Redwood Empire Exposition Commission, for the preparation, erection, construction and maintenance of a joint exhibit at the Golden Gate International Exposition, as provided for by Chapter 342 of the Laws of California, Statutes of 1937.

Be It Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco enter into an agreement with the California Commission for the Golden Gate International Exposition and with the Redwood Empire Exposition Commission wherein and whereby the City and County of San Francisco shall agree to join with the Counties of Marin, Sonoma, Napa, Lake, Mendocino, Humboldt and Del Norte in California, and Josephine County in Oregon, through the agency of the Redwood Empire Exposition Commission for the preparation, erection, construction and maintenance of a joint exhibit at the Golden Gate International Exposition, as provided for by Chapter 342 of the Laws of California, Statutes of 1937, the cost to the City and County of San Francisco of said exhibit not to exceed the sum of two thousand (\$2,000) dollars and the loan of one diorama to be loaned by the City and County of San Francisco to the Redwood Empire Exposition Commission; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors thereof be, and they are, hereby directed to execute said agreement for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. Paschal, representing the Redwood Empire Association, upon being granted the privilege of the floor, explained the purpose of the foregoing resolution.

Supervisor Brown stated that he understood some state money was available for the Exposition, and moved that consideration of the foregoing resolution be postponed for one week, and that the Exposition Committee take the matter up with the proper state authorities and see if it would not be possible to obtain some money from that source.

No objection and *so ordered*.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Appropriation of \$800 for Construction of Safety Island at Fell and Stanyan Streets.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1796, Ordinance No. 9.051535, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$800 from Appropriation No. 848.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 848.943.00 for the construction of a safety island at Fell and Stanyan streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$800 is hereby appropriated and set aside from Appropriation No. 848.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 848.943.00 for the construction of a safety island at Fell and Stanyan streets.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of the Streets Committee were taken up:

**Ordering the Improvement of Macondray Street, Easterly From Leavenworth Street, and Leavenworth Street, Northerly From Macondray Street.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Bill No. 1794, Ordinance No. 12.0611106, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the Assessment District, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, November 22, 1938, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three (3) installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Macondray street, easterly from Leavenworth street, and Leavenworth street, northerly from Macondray street, by the construction of the following items:

Item No.	Item
1	Brick manholes complete
2	8-inch vitrified clay pipe sewer
3	6-inch vitrified clay pipe side-sewers
4	8 x 6-inch vitrified clay pipe "Y"-branches

The Assessment District hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated, and numbered respectively as:

Block 121, Lots 9, 10 and 12;

all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.



## Ordering the Improvement of Moraga Street Between Fortieth and Forty-first Avenues (Wherenot).

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 1795, Ordinance No. 12.0611107, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the Assessment District, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, December 5, 1938, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten (10) installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Moraga street between Fortieth avenue and Forty-first avenue, where not already improved, by the construction of the following:

Item No.	Item
1	Armored concrete curb
2	Asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch Class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface
3	6-inch vitrified clay pipe side-sewers

The Assessment District hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated, and numbered respectively as:

Block 1903, Lots 24 and 25;  
being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Hon. Philip L. Bush, Member Board of Education.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 4462, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Philip L. Bush, a member of the Board of Education, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of two weeks, commencing December 22, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Leave of Absence—Mrs. Gertrude Atherton, Member Board of Library Commissioners.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 4463, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mrs. Gertrude Atherton, member of the Board of Library Commissioners, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing December 21, 1938, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS,  
BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR  
REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Final Passage.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Appropriation of \$46,500 From Emergency Reserve Fund for Creation of Positions Necessary for the Operation of the New Richmond Sunset Sewage Treatment Plant.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1797, Ordinance No. 9.051536, as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$46,500 from the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of the Department of Public Works, Bureau of Sewage Pumping Stations, and creating certain positions, all necessary for the operation of the new Richmond Sunset Sewage Treatment Plant to be opened January 1, 1939. An Emergency Ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$46,500 is hereby appropriated and set aside from the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of the following appropriations in the amounts indicated and for the purposes recited and



necessary for the operation of the new Richmond Sunset Sewage Treatment Plant to be opened January 1, 1939.

Appro. No. 844.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	\$20,310
844.102.00	Temporary Salaries—Sick Leave.....	1,040
844.200.00	Contractual Services .....	9,000
844.231.00	Heat, Light and Power.....	6,000
844.300.00	Materials and Supplies.....	8,650
844.900.00	Services of other departments.....	1,500

Total .....\$46,500

Section 2. The following positions are hereby created:

Employees	Civil Service Classification	Title	Salary
1	F527	Supt. Sewage Treatment Plant.....	\$350
1	L115	Asst. Supt. and Technician, Sewage Treatment Plant .....	300
5	O168	Stationary Steam Engineers.....	220
4	O202	Sewage Pumping Station Attendants.....	145
2	J4	Laborers .....	150
3	O1	Chauffeurs (2 Drivers) .....	200
1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (Male).....	155
2	C152	Watchmen (3 months' period).....	145

Section 3. The emergency which necessitates this appropriation is as follows: The Richmond Sunset Sewage Treatment Plant will go into operation on January 1, 1939, and unless this Treatment Plant is operated the health and welfare of the people of San Francisco will be adversely affected.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

**Supplemental Appropriation of \$15,000 to Provide Funds for Completion of Switch and Signal Systems Necessary for the Operation of Street Cars to and From the Bay Bridge Terminal.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1799, Ordinance No. 9.051538, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$15,000 from the Municipal Railway operating fund surplus to provide funds to complete the switch and signal systems deemed necessary for the safe and most economical operation of street cars to and from the Bay Bridge Terminal Station.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$15,000 is hereby appropriated out of the Municipal Railway operating fund surplus to the credit of Appropriation No. 865.500.01, to provide the necessary funds to complete the switch and signal systems deemed necessary for the safe and most economical operation of street cars over the tracks to and from the Bay Bridge Terminal Station.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

**Appropriation of \$45,000 From Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor Fund to Provide Funds for Sponsorship of Certain WPA Projects.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1798, Ordinance No. 9.051537, as follows:

Authorizing an appropriation of \$45,000 out of the surplus existing in the Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor Fund for the purpose of pro-

viding funds for meeting sponsorship cost during the current fiscal year of certain WPA projects, an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$45,000 is hereby appropriated from the surplus existing in the unappropriated balance of the Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor Fund to the credit of the following appropriations, in the amounts indicated, for the purpose of meeting sponsorship cost in connection with the conduct of WPA projects as follows:

Appropriation	Project	Amount
878.149.00	Craft work project .....	\$13,500
878.150.00	Study of criminal justice.....	2,500
878.151.00	History of music .....	2,000
878.152.00	Street record index .....	1,500
878.153.00	House number project .....	2,000
878.154.00	City inventory project .....	2,500
878.155.00	Housing Authority Survey .....	10,000
878.156.00	Object of expenditure analysis.....	6,000
878.157.00	State relief map guides .....	1,000
878.158.00	Pay roll analysis.....	4,000
		<hr/>
		\$45,000
		<hr/>

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists, involving the welfare of a large number of our people, as the WPA program as it now exists does not provide sufficient jobs for the large number of white collar workers needing work, and additional jobs cannot be provided without this money with which to buy materials, supplies, utility services, rent of quarters, and equipment in connection with the projects above named.

*Referred to Joint Public Welfare and Finance Committee.*

**Requesting Mayor to Appoint Citizens' Committee to Assist in Celebration of Welcome of Pan-American Clipper to Home Port at Treasure Island Lagoon, December 23rd.**

(Code No. 5.92)

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 4465, as follows:

Whereas, a Pan-American Clipper will alight in the Lagoon at Treasure Island on December 23rd; and

Whereas, subsequent thereto, test flights will be made from the Lagoon, which because of the favorable atmospheric conditions prevailing there has been chosen as the base for future trans-Pacific operations of the Pan-American Airways to be inaugurated shortly after the first of the year; and

Whereas, this new Boeing Clipper, one of a fleet of six to be placed in trans-Pacific service, is the largest, most modern airplane in the world, weighing 82,000 pounds, carrying 74 passengers, equipped with the finest appointments for comfort and convenience and the latest and most scientific safety devices which the brains of aeronautical engineers have conceived; and

Whereas, this giant plane which will shortly soar out, carrying the name and good will of San Francisco into the Far East, is to be welcomed at its home port in the Lagoon on December 23rd by a committee of representative citizens; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby requested to



appoint a Citizens' Committee to join in the arrangements for a suitable celebration to welcome Pan-American's new Clipper.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Requesting Change of Time for Meetings of Public Utilities Commission in Order That Manager of Utilities May Be Available at Regular Meetings of Board of Supervisors When Needed.**

(Code No. 3.06)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 4466, as follows:

Whereas, almost every Monday the presence of the Manager of the Public Utilities Commission is required at the meeting of the Board of Supervisors for the purpose of supplying reports, recommendations and estimates of cost in connection with utility problems confronting the Board; and

Whereas, these matters are very often so technical in character as to imperatively necessitate the presence of the Manager of Utilities for the purposes of interrogation and counsel; and

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission meets each Monday afternoon at which time its Manager is required to be present to advise and report in connection with affairs under the jurisdiction of the Commission, thus making impossible his attendance at the meetings of the Board of Supervisors; and

Whereas, for many years past the rules of the Board of Supervisors have provided that its regular meetings shall be held on Monday of each week, and a change in such procedure would cause great confusion and inconvenience to the public; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby respectfully request the Public Utilities Commission to change its regular meeting date to some day other than Monday in order that Mr. Cahill may be available at the regular meeting times of the Board of Supervisors for the purpose of supplying such information as is desired of him; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be sent to the Public Utilities Commission.

*Referred to the Public Utilities Committee.*

**In Memoriam—Edward W. Cahill.**

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 4467, as follows:

Whereas, the Honorable Edward W. Cahill has been summoned to his heavenly abode; and

Whereas, Mr. Cahill has for many years past been an honored citizen and civic leader in San Francisco; and

Whereas, for the past five years Mr. Cahill has served in the capacity of U. S. Commissioner of Immigration, which position he filled with honor and efficiency; and

Whereas, San Francisco and the State of California will long mourn the untimely passing of this greatly loved character; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors notes with sincere regret the passing of Edward W. Cahill and takes this opportunity to express its heartfelt sympathy to his bereaved wife and family; and be it

Further Resolved, That an engrossed copy of this resolution be sent to the widow of the late Edward W. Cahill.

*Unanimously adopted by rising vote.*

**Mayor to Appoint Citizens' Committee to Arrange and Participate in the Dedicatory Services of the Opening of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge Rail Terminal.**

(Code No. 5.92)

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Resolution No. 4468, as follows:

Whereas, January 15, 1939, will witness the opening and official dedication, in San Francisco, of the ultra-modern terminal for San Francisco-East Bay interurban electrified train service, via the San Francisco Bay Bridge; and

Whereas, the completion of this great terminal constitutes the final step in the utilization of the purposes for which this colossal bridge was constructed; and

Whereas, this epoch-making event marks the commencement of a new era in local transportation and will tend to strengthen the bonds of friendship and stimulate the commercial relationship between San Francisco and her sister cities in the East Bay so that ultimately, it is hoped, the whole Bay Area may be merged, in spirit at least, into a metropolis which shall lead the Pacific Coast in commerce, in industry and in tourist reception; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee to make fitting arrangements for, and to participate in, the dedicatory services to be held at the official opening of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge Rail Terminal.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Requesting His Honor the Mayor to Extend an Official Invitation to Prime Minister de Valera of Ireland to Visit San Francisco and to Appoint a Citizens' Committee to Arrange for an Appropriate Welcome.**

(Code No. 5.92)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 4469, as follows:

Whereas, His Excellency, Franklin D. Roosevelt, President of the United States, has extended an official invitation to Prime Minister de Valera of Ireland, who is a native born American and an honorary citizen of San Francisco, to visit the United States during the year 1939; and

Whereas, there is no city outside of Ireland where the career and accomplishments of Mr. de Valera have been more warmly followed and more interestedly observed than in San Francisco by reason of the fact that in the days of his struggles to bring about the independence of his nation he visited San Francisco on more than one occasion and received from our hearts that welcome and that encouragement which are so essential to a brave leader struggling against tremendous odds to regain for his country its rightful place among the nations of the world; and

Whereas, the year 1939 will be an appropriate time for the Irish Prime Minister to visit San Francisco because of the added attraction of our Golden Gate International Exposition and because of the fact that the heart of San Francisco still pulsates with appreciation and



regard for the accomplishments of this great statesman of a Christian democracy; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco respectfully requests the Honorable Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor, and the Honorable Leland W. Cutler, president, Golden Gate International Exposition, to extend an official invitation to Mr. de Valera to visit San Francisco in connection with his visit to the United States and to be once again our most welcome guest; and be it

Further Resolved, That his Honor Mayor Angelo J. Rossi be and is hereby respectfully requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee to welcome Prime Minister de Valera of Ireland upon his visit to San Francisco.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Providing for Membership in Various American Medical and Health Associations, the Director of Health to Represent the City and County of San Francisco Therein.**

(Code No. 17.19)

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 4470, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco acquire membership in the American Medical Association, American Public Health Association, American College of Physicians, the Southern Medical Association and the American Association of Tropical Diseases, and that the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco be and he is hereby authorized to make application for membership in each of the aforementioned organizations, and if said membership is granted to represent the City and County of San Francisco at the meetings of said organizations; and be it

Further Resolved, That the annual dues of the Director of Public Health for membership in the American Medical Association be paid out of such funds as may be annually appropriated or set aside for such purpose.

*Referred to Finance and Health Committees.*

**Authorizing Negotiations With Pacific Gas and Electric Company For Exchange of Holdings in the Marina District as an Offset to Back Franchise Taxes for Distribution of Gas and Electricity for Other Than Lighting Purposes.**

(Code No. 12.1749)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Resolution No. 4471, as follows:

Whereas, it has been stated by City Attorney John J. O'Toole that there should be due the City and County of San Francisco from the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, several hundred thousand dollars covering back taxes, for distribution of gas and electricity for other than lighting purposes; and

Whereas, it is desirable for the City to acquire certain properties owned by the Pacific Gas and Electric Company north of Bay street between Webster and Laguna for extension of the Marina parking and future extension of the Yacht Harbor; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney and the Director of Real Estate be instructed to negotiate with the Pacific Gas and Electric Company for the exchange of their holding north of Bay street between Webster and Laguna as offset to the back taxes that should have been paid by the

Pacific Gas and Electric Company to the City and County of San Francisco covering distribution of gas and electricity for other than lighting purposes.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

#### Salary Standardization Called Out of Committee.

Supervisor Uhl announced that the matter of Salary Standardization having been in the Finance Committee for more than thirty days, he was calling it out from Committee, for consideration by the Board at its next meeting.

No objection and *so ordered*.

#### In Memoriam—Senator John McColl.

Supervisor Brown announced that he had just heard with regret of the death of Senator John McColl, and moved that the Board adjourn out of respect to his memory.

Motion *unanimously carried by rising vote*.

#### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 7:40 p. m., adjourned.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors December 27, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, David A. Barry, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

DAVID A. BARRY,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.



Journal of Pharmacology  
Board of Supervisors  
The University of California





Tuesday, December 27, 1938

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

TUESDAY, DECEMBER 27, 1938, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Tuesday, December 27, 1938,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Meyer presiding.

Supervisor Shannon excused.

Supervisor Brown was noted present at 2:28 p. m.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of December 19, 1938, was considered read and approved.

## Salary Standardization.

December 19, 1938—Supervisor Uhl called out of committee proposed salary Standardization legislation.

## Report of the Finance Committee on Salary Standardization.

The following report was read by Supervisor Roncovieri, Chairman of the Finance Committee:

December 27, 1938.

To the Board of Supervisors.

Gentlemen: The Salary Standardization document proposed by the Civil Service Commission has been carefully considered by your Finance Committee, in all its aspects, keeping in mind both those employees for whom the Civil Service Commission has recommended salary increases, and the taxpayers who will be called upon to pay these increases.

The Civil Service Commission is the official fact-finding body in salary standardization matters. The recommendations of the Civil Service Commission are based upon a long and costly study of salaries prevailing in private employments. Your Finance Committee is not in an expert position to question the accuracy of all of these recommendations, and therefore, for the time being, accepts them without comment. However, we deem it our duty to inform you, that the San Francisco Bureau of Governmental Research and the Junior Chamber of Commerce, do question a large number of the salary increases recommended by the Civil Service Commission. There are also a number of employees who claim that their proposed salary increases should be higher. Your Finance Committee recommends that all these

controversial claims be heard by the Board of Supervisors sitting as a Committee of the Whole.

It is the will of the people, as expressed in their Charter, and in the *Prevailing Wage Law* of the State of California, that all salaries in the public service shall equal the salaries prevailing in private employments; and the Charter imperatively makes it the duty of the Board of Supervisors to put this principle into practice through the Civil Service Commission.

It is with a sincere desire to be equally just to both employees and taxpayers, that your Finance Committee has been carefully considering the ability of the taxpayers to carry, in the next fiscal year, the total increased tax burden due to Salary Standardization, and amounting to \$856,549.68. There is a limit beyond which the tax rate for the General Fund cannot be raised; that limit is fixed by the Charter at \$1.65, and San Francisco is now within \$.112 of this limit. Within the limit of \$1.65 all the essential services of the City and County government, and all salaries for these essential services must be provided and maintained.

It is too early to predict the amount of money that will be available to put all the salary standardization recommendations of the Civil Service Commission into effect. San Francisco is facing the possible loss of \$1,150,000 of *Hetch Hetchy* revenues during the next six months of the present fiscal year, and \$2,300,000 annually thereafter. San Francisco is also facing an increase in expenditures for all forms of relief. These relief expenditures have been mounting annually by leaps and bounds during the last few years, so that the taxpayers' share of the total cost of San Francisco relief, amounting to \$3,989,273.93 for this present fiscal year, is actually \$1,386,214.63. And no one can foretell when, and at what point, the cost of relief will stop increasing, especially since the legislative trend is to liberalize old-age pensions, and all other forms of relief.

Prospective mandatory increases in *Relief Expenditures* imposed by the Legislature, and prospective loss of millions in *Hetch Hetchy Revenues*, naturally command extreme caution in predicting, at the time, how many, and how much, of the salary standardization adjustments can safely be put into effect.

Notwithstanding this serious situation, your Finance Committee fully recognizes the fact that, in the case of the per diem men of the various crafts, the City is paying them lower wages than actually prevail in private employment. That this is unfair, no one will gain say, since Organized Labor and Private Industry have signed agreements as to well-settled scales of wages. There is no question that these wages are actually being paid to the members of all the crafts by all employers, except San Francisco, the largest employer. If our large employer, like San Francisco, refuses to recognize the existing wage scales agreed upon between Organized Labor and Employers in private industry, this well-established wage structure, built up through years of industrial strife and sacrifice, is in danger of being destroyed. *Industrial harmony between employers and employees can be maintained only when ALL EMPLOYERS subscribe to the same wage agreements with Organized Labor.*

A scrupulous regard for the rights of all concerned, demands the recognition be given to the wage scales existing under signed agreements between Organized Labor and Employers in Private Industry and that these same wage scales be adopted by the City for its workers.

The increased cost of paying all of the 898 per diem men on parity with the wages actually being paid in private employment will require an additional \$177,066.36 in next year's budget. Every effort should be made to provide the funds for at least this salary adjustment, and for as many more adjustments for other employees, as may be financially possible to accomplish. The entire subject of salary standardization is one of financial possibility, and some time will be required before we can have a more definite financial picture.



*Meanwhile, as a preliminary step in the solution of the salary standardization problem, your Finance Committee is of the opinion that the present wage scales paid by San Francisco to all member crafts of organized labor, should be brought up, in next fiscal year's budget, to the scales mutually agreed upon between Organized Labor and Employers in private industry. AND WE SO RECOMMEND!*

Your Finance Committee also recommends that the study and consideration of salary standardization, and hearings thereon, for all other employees, proceed uninterruptedly; but that final action thereon be deferred until such time as Hetch Hetchy revenues are assured, and the proposed liberalization of old-age security and other forms of relief expenditures are definitely settled by the Legislature.

In conclusion, your Finance Committee appeals to every department of the City and County government to assist the Board of Supervisors in keeping down the tax rate, by exercising the strictest economy in every possible way, and by limiting budget requests to minimum needs, in order that it may be possible to make effective further salary adjustments. *It is self-evident that salary standardization, of necessity, must be limited by the City's income, which in turn is limited by law. The pattern cannot be larger than the cloth, and no benefits can be promised or implied, that cannot be safely financed.*

Respectfully submitted,

YOUR FINANCE COMMITTEE,  
ALFRED RONCOVIERI,  
GEORGE R. REILLY.

### Consideration Postponed.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Civil Service Commission be requested to bring its report on salary standardization up to date, in time for the meeting of the Board of Supervisors on January 9, 1939, and that the matter of salary standardization be considered on that date, at 2:15 p. m.

No objection and so ordered.

### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

#### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

#### Authorizing Sale of City-Owned Land Near Pleasanton.

(Code No. 12.17252)

Bill No. 1785, Ordinance No. 12.1725212, as follows:

Authorizing sale of City-owned land near Pleasanton.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City-owned land situated in the County of Alameda, State of California:

Commencing at a point in the northerly boundary line of that certain 100-foot strip of land described in Parcel 71 of Alameda County Lands, in deed from Spring Valley Water Company to the City and County of San Francisco, dated March 3, 1930, and recorded March 3, 1930, in the office of the County Recorder of Alameda County, State of California, in Liber 2350 of Official Records at page 1; said point being the northwest corner of that certain portion of said 100-foot strip conveyed to Henry J. Kaiser Company by the City and County of San Francisco by deed dated April 12, 1937, and recorded August 20, 1937,

Alameda County Records; running thence from said point of commencement along said northerly boundary line north 87 degrees 56 minutes west 1,474.67 feet to Corner No. 10 of the boundary of the Santa Rita Rancho; thence continuing along said northerly boundary line of Parcel 71, north 67 degrees 15 minutes west 119.43 feet to an intersection with the northeasterly boundary line of Alameda County Road No. 1533; thence leaving said northerly boundary line and running southeasterly along said northeasterly boundary line of said road south 15 degrees 24 minutes 30 seconds east 127.18 feet to an intersection with the southerly boundary line of said 100-foot strip; thence along said southerly boundary line south 67 degrees 15 minutes east 58.20 feet; thence continuing along said southerly boundary line south 87 degrees 56 minutes east 1,495.15 feet to the southwest corner of the hereinabove mentioned portion of said 100-foot strip of land conveyed to Henry J. Kaiser Company; thence leaving said southerly boundary line and running along the westerly boundary line of said portion of 100-foot strip of land conveyed to Henry J. Kaiser Company north 0 degrees 47 minutes east 100.03 feet to the point of commencement.

Section 2. The above described land shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, subject to the following reservations and conditions:

1. In order that connections can be made between the remaining portions of the 100-foot strip of land and the 50-foot strip of land of the City and County of San Francisco, the City hereby reserves a right of way easement to lay, relay, construct, reconstruct, maintain, operate, patrol, repair, replace, remove, increase and/or change the number and size of pipes, pipe lines, conduits and/or connections, appurtenances and appliances for the conveyance, distribution, supply, and/or sale of water and to construct, reconstruct, operate, patrol, repair, renew, replace, remove, increase and/or change the number of electric transmission lines and/or telephone lines, consisting of wires supported on poles, with the usual and necessary fittings and appurtenances thereto, along and/or across the strip of land hereinabove described and extending from the northeasterly boundary line of Alameda County Road No. 1533 to the westerly boundary line of that certain portion of said 100-foot strip of land heretofore conveyed to Henry J. Kaiser Company by the City and County of San Francisco by deed dated April 12, 1937, and recorded August 20, 1937, Alameda County records.

2. There is also hereby expressly reserved by the City, its successors and assigns, as against the parcel of land hereinbefore described, all water which may or might flow in any stream or water course to which said land or any portion thereof is or may be riparian; and the right to withdraw, drain and divert to any point such water from any such stream or water course at any point above or below said land; also the right to take by pumps or other means located on any lands other than the parcels of land hereinabove described, from the subterranean strata underlying said parcel of land, such water as it may now or hereafter desire for use or disposal either upon such lands or elsewhere. However, the grantee, subject to the foregoing paramount rights of the City, its successors and assigns, shall have the right to sink a well or wells on said parcel of land and to use the water therefrom on said parcel of land, but the said water shall not be used or disposed of for use elsewhere by the grantee.

3. Subject to the reservations of the Spring Valley Water Company as set forth in Parcel 71, Alameda County Lands, in the hereinabove mentioned deed from the Spring Valley Water Company to the City and County of San Francisco.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.



**Appropriation of \$6,000.35 to Take Care of Extras in Excess of  
Ten Per Cent of Construction of Portion of Alemany Sewer.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1786, Ordinance No. 9.051534, as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$6,000.35 from Appropriation No. 86.900.00 Alemany sewer, between Evans avenue and Napoleon street, which was awarded on a unit cost price and wherein the piling necessary to the credit of Appropriation No. 86.902.01 to take care of extras in excess of 10 per cent of the construction of the reinforced concrete exceeded the estimated footage as set forth in the specifications of the City Engineer.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$6,000.35 is hereby set aside from Appropriation No. 86.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 86.902.01 to take care of extras in excess of 10 per cent of the construction of the reinforced concrete Alemany sewer between Evans avenue and Napoleon street which was awarded on a unit cost price and wherein the piling necessary exceeded the estimated footage as set forth in the specifications of the City Engineer.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

**Procedure for Acquisition and Disposal of Real Property.**

(Code No. 12.176)

Also, Bill No. 1787, Ordinance No. 12.1761, as follows:

Procedure for acquisition and disposal of real property.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Sections 91, 92, 93 and 94 of the Charter, each Department, Board and Commission of the City and County of San Francisco shall conduct all negotiations through the Director of Property for purchasing, acquiring, accepting, exchanging, leasing, renting, selling, granting, conveying and/or relinquishing any real property or interest therein, required for or owned by the City and County, except as otherwise specifically provided by the Charter.

Section 2. The provisions of this ordinance shall apply to all lands and easements required for City and County purposes acquired by purchase, gift, devise, bequest, dedication or otherwise; and also apply to the relinquishment of any City-owned real property or interest therein caused or to be caused by the closing or abandonment of streets and easements; except as otherwise specifically provided by the Charter.

Section 3. All resolutions and ordinances involving transactions covered by this ordinance must be approved by the Director of Property before adoption or enactment.

Section 4. This ordinance shall not affect the sale of real property and improvements for delinquent taxes or assessments, and shall not affect the granting of permits or franchises to use public streets.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

**Amendment Salary Ordinance, San Francisco Hospital, by Increasing Employments Under Item 68 From 137 to 142 Registered Nurse (Deduct for B., R. & L.) at \$135.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1788, Ordinance No. 9.053171, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 60 (Department of Public Health—San Francisco Hospital) of Ordinance No. 9.053128 by increasing the number of employments under Item 68 from 137 to 142 P102 Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) at \$135.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 60 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 60. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
68	142	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	\$ 135
69		P103	Special Nurses (as needed), 8 hrs. at \$5 per day or fraction of a day .....	
70		P103	Special Nurses (as needed), 10 hrs. at \$6 per day with meals.....	
71		P103	Special Nurses (as needed), 12 hrs., with meals, at \$7 per day .....	
72		P103	Special Nurses (Virulent Communicable Diseases and Violent Patients), 8 hrs. at \$6 per day .....	
73		P103	Special Nurses (Virulent Communicable Diseases and Violent Patients), 10 hrs. at \$9 per day .....	
74		P103	Special Nurses (Virulent Communicable Diseases and Violent Patients), 12 hrs. at \$10 per day .....	
75		P103	Special Nurses, Additional Patient \$2 extra (not to exceed \$12).....	
76	33	P104	Head Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)...	145
78	1	P110	Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	210
79	1	P110	Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	185
80	2	P110	Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	170
81	1	P122	Director of Institutional Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	285
82	4	P204	Anaesthetist (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	160
83	1	P206	Senior Anaesthetist (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	185
84	8	P208	Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	145
85	11	P208	Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
86	1	P210	Senior Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	185
87	1	P212	Head Nurse Obstetrical (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	160
88	1	P214	Head Nurse Pediatrics (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	150
89	1	P216	Head Nurse Psychiatric (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	160



Section 60. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
90		P254	Student Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.).	32
91	2	P304	Instructor of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	160
92	1	P306	Senior Instructor of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	185
PSYCHIATRIC BUILDING				
93	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
94	2	C152	Watchman .....	145
95	2	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.)...	47
96	1	L357	Resident Physician .....	250

Finally passed by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

**Amendment Salary Ordinance, San Francisco Hospital, by Increasing Employments Under Item 22 From 151 to 152, Orderly (Deduct for B., R. & L.) at \$85.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1789, Ordinance No. 9.053172, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 58 (Department of Public Health—San Francisco Hospital) of Ordinance No. 9.053128 by increasing the number of employments under Item 22 from 151 to 152, 1116 Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.) at \$85.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 58 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 58. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 175
2	1	B222	General Clerk .....	160
3	1	B222	General Clerk .....	125
3½	10	B222	General Clerk (part time) (deduct 1 meal) ..	79.50
4	1	B234	Head Clerk (deduct for B., R. & L.)...	285
5	1	B238	Hospital Statistician .....	190
6	1	B238	Hospital Statistician .....	160
7	6	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
8	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	100
9	8	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time)	79.50
10	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
10½	1	B454	Telephone Operator (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	85
11	2	B454	Telephone Operator .....	135
12	1	B454	Telephone Operator (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	125
12½	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist (part time) (deduct 1 meal) .....	79.50
13	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
14	1	C6	Superintendent of Building T. B. Hospital ..	200
15	2	C152	Watchman ..	145

**Section 58. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
16	2	E108	Electrician . . . . .	237.50
16½	82	I2	Kitchen Helper (deduct for R. & L.) . . .	75
17	1	I6	Pastry Cook . . . . .	175
17½	1	I10	Cook's Assistant (deduct for R. & L.) . .	95
17¾	7	I10	Cook's Assistant (deduct for R. & L.) . .	75
18	8	I12	Cook . . . . .	165
19	1	I16	Chef . . . . .	200
20	8	I54	Waitress . . . . .	110
21	8	I56	Waiter . . . . .	110
21½		I102	Inmate Help (not over \$50) . . . . .	
22	152	I116	Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.) . . . . .	85
23	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.) .	102.50
24	1	I122	House Mother (deduct for B., R. & L.) .	125
25	1	I122	House Mother (deduct for B., R. & L.) .	87.50
26	14	I152	Flat Work Ironer . . . . .	90
27	17	I154	Laundress . . . . .	100
28	1	I156	Starcher . . . . .	130
29	1	I158	Sorter . . . . .	130
30	1	I164	Marker and Distributor . . . . .	130
31	1	I166	Wringerman . . . . .	136.33
32	2	I170	Washer . . . . .	135
33	1	I172	Head Washer . . . . .	155
34	1	I178	Superintendent of Laundry . . . . .	200
34½	144	I204	Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.) . . . . .	85
35	1	I206	Porter Sub-Foreman (deduct for B., R. & L.) . . . . .	92
36	1	I208	Porter Foreman (deduct for B., R. & L.) .	100
37	1	I210	Head Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.) . .	140
38	2	I254	Seamstress . . . . .	90
39	1	I256	Head Seamstress (deduct for B., R. & L.)	150
40	1	O58	Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.) . . . .	87.50

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colmán, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

**Amendment Salary Ordinance, San Francisco Hospital, by Adding  
Item 4, 1 Registered Nurse (Deduct for B., R. & L.) at \$135.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1790, Ordinance No. 9.053173, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 60(c) (San Francisco Hospital—Interdepartmental) of Ordinance No. 9.053128 by adding Item 4, 1 P102 Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) at \$135.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 60(c) of Ordinance No. 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 60(c). SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL—  
INTERDEPARTMENTAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	I2	Kitchen Helper (deduct for R. & L.) . .	75
2	5	I116	Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.) . . . . .	85
3	1	I204	Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.) . . . . .	85



Section 60(c). SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL—  
INTERDEPARTMENTAL (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
4	1	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) . . . . .	135

Finally passed by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

**Amendment Salary Ordinance, Emergency Hospitals, by Deleting Item 15, 1 Registered Nurse (Relief) at \$5 Per Day.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1791, Ordinance No. 9.053174, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 61 (Department of Public Health—Emergency Hospitals) of Ordinance No. 9.053128 by deleting Item 15 1 P102 Registered Nurse (Relief) at \$5 per day.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 61 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 61. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
EMERGENCY HOSPITALS**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	\$ 190
1½	1	I2	Kitchen Helper (deduct for R. & L.) . . . . .	75
2	12	L504	Emergency Hospital Surgeon . . . . .	200
3	1	L506	Assistant Chief Surgeon Emergency Hospitals . . . . .	225
4	1	L508	Chief Surgeon . . . . .	250
5	14	O6	Ambulance Driver . . . . .	200
6	1	O6	Ambulance Driver . . . . .	195
7	1	O6	Ambulance Driver . . . . .	185
8	3	O6	Ambulance Driver . . . . .	175
9	7	P2	Emergency Hospital Steward . . . . .	165
10	4	P2	Emergency Hospital Steward . . . . .	175
11	16	P2	Emergency Hospital Steward . . . . .	200
11½	1	P3	Senior Emergency Hospital Steward . . . . .	175
12	1	P4	Chief Emergency Hospital Steward . . . . .	250
13	12	P102	Registered Nurse . . . . .	165
14	7	P102	Registered Nurse . . . . .	135

Finally passed by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

**Creating Commission to Be Known as the Coordinating Council for the Purpose of Furthering the Cooperation and Coordination Among the Public Departments and Between the Public Departments and Social Agencies in a More Effective Program for the Youth of San Francisco.**

(Code No. 19.10)

Also, Bill No. 1792, Ordinance No. 19.101, as follows:

Creating commission to be known as the Coordinating Council for the Purpose of Furthering the Cooperation and Coordination Among the Public Departments and Between the Public Departments and Social

Agencies in a More Effective Program for the Youth of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby created a Coordinating Council to be appointed by the Mayor. The Coordinating Council shall consist of the Chief of Police, the Chief Probation Officer of the Juvenile Court, the Superintendent of Public Schools, the Superintendent of the Recreation Department, the Director of Public Welfare, the Director of the Bureau of Child Hygiene of the Department of Public Health, and four additional members to be appointed by the Mayor, who shall be qualified to serve by reason of their knowledge and experience in the coordinating of youth activities.

Section 2. All members of the Coordinating Council shall serve without compensation except in so far as compensation is provided for other official positions which said persons may hold.

Said Coordinating Council shall, pursuant to the provisions of Subdivision (h) of Section 19 of the Charter, appoint a Secretary who shall not be subject to the civil service provisions of the Charter and who shall hold office at the pleasure of said Coordinating Council. Said Secretary shall be the administrative head of said Council and shall have all the powers and duties of a department head as provided for in Section 20 of the Charter. Said administrative head shall, with the approval of said Coordinating Council, pursuant to the civil service and budgetary provisions of the Charter, appoint such additional employees as may be necessary to conduct the affairs of said Coordinating Council.

The salary of the said Secretary shall be fixed by the Coordinating Council in accordance with the budgetary provisions of the Charter, but in no event shall said salary exceed the sum of four thousand dollars (\$4,000) per year.

Section 3. Upon the recommendation of the Mayor and the approval of the Board of Supervisors, an amount not to exceed seven thousand five hundred dollars (\$7,500) shall be appropriated each year to said Coordinating Council for the purpose of defraying cost of carrying on its work, which said cost shall include salaries and all other expenses incidental to said work.

Section 4. The duty of said Coordinating Council shall be to work toward a more efficient coordination and cooperation among the public departments and between the public departments and social agencies in establishing and carrying out an effective program for the youth of San Francisco.

Said Coordinating Council shall meet at least once during each month, and said Coordinating Council shall specify a regular time and place for said meeting and said Coordinating Council may hold such additional regular or special meetings as it may provide; said meetings to be called and held in accordance with provisions of the Charter and ordinances of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 5. A majority of the membership of said Coordinating Council shall constitute a quorum.

Section 6. The Coordinating Council shall, at a regular meeting in June of each year, elect a Chairman to act for the term of one year, which term shall be from July 1st to June 30th, inclusive. The Council shall have authority to establish district councils and to determine the membership thereof, in such manner as they deem best, and said district councils shall, in so far as their own districts are concerned, organize and carry out the work outlined in the duties of said Coordinating Council, but under the control and direction of the Coordinating Council.

Section 7. Said Coordinating Council shall have full power and authority to adopt such rules and regulations not in conflict with the provisions of the Charter or ordinances of the Board of Supervisors, as may be necessary for the conduct of its work.

Section 8. Pursuant to the provisions of Section 9 of the Charter,



the Board of Supervisors does, by this ordinance, create said Coordinating Council which is hereby placed under the Chief Administrative Officer, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby confer upon the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco full power and authority to appoint the members of said Coordinating Council.

Section 9. Whenever any of the officials of the City and County of San Francisco who are made ex-officio members of the Coordinating Council shall cease to hold their official positions as such officers then, in that event, their membership in said Coordinating Council shall cease and determine and their respective successors shall be appointed to succeed them as members of said Council.

The first four additional members to be appointed to said Coordinating Council, pursuant to the provisions of Section 1 of this ordinance, shall be appointed respectively for one, two, three and four years, and upon the expiration of the term of each of said persons so appointed the Mayor shall appoint their successors to serve for a term of four years.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Adopted.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

#### Sale of Building—Roosevelt Junior High School.

(Code No. 12.1722)

Resolution No. 4472, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Education that the Director of Property be and is hereby authorized to sell the City-owned building at 171-173 Palm avenue, San Francisco, at public auction. The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale to be executed by the Director of Property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

#### Refunds of Erroneous Payments of Taxes.

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 4473, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized to be paid to the following, being refunds of erroneous payments of taxes:

*From Duplicate Tax Fund—Appropriation No. 905.*

- |  |         |
|--|---------|
| (1) Theresa M. McCullough, per Vol. 15, Bill 3222, Lot 7, Block 2050A, 2d Installment, fiscal year 1937.....             | \$ 9.29 |
| (2) Henry A. Machria, per Vol. 22, Bill 1032, Lot 28, Block 3152, 1st Installment, fiscal year 1937.....                 | 34.07   |
| (3) Home Mutual Deposit-Loan Co., per Vol. 32, Bill 1416, Lot 37, Block 5377, 2d Installment, fiscal year 1937.....      | 17.23   |
| (4) Provident Mutual Loan Association, per Vol. 43, Bill 1501, Lot 40, Block 7048, 2d Installment, fiscal year 1937..... | 29.03   |

(5) Joseph M. Gonzales, per Vol. 32, Lots 28A and 28B, Block 5307, and Lot 28A, Block 5307, 2d Installment, fiscal year 1937, overpayment .....	27.08
(6) Northern Counties Title Ins. Co., per Vol. 9, Bill 1453, Lot 13, Block 1384, 1st Installment, fiscal year 1938.....	68.68
(7) Gertrude M. Cohan, per Vol. 13, Bill 1973, Lot 7, Block 1752, 1st Installment, fiscal year 1938.....	58.78
(8) Emco Inv. Co., per Vol. 18, Bill 3225, Lot 33, Block 2607, 1st Installment, fiscal year 1938.....	69.28
(9) Margaret Bradford, per Vol. 37, Bill 855, Lot 13, Block 6194, 1st Installment, fiscal year 1938.....	6.46

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

### **Approval of Public Welfare Department Recommendations.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 4474, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Public Welfare Department containing the names and amounts to be paid as Old Age Security Aid, Blind Pensions and Widows' Pensions for the month of January, 1939 (and prior thereto), including amounts, decreases, cancellations and denials and other transactions, are hereby approved and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is directed to transmit this approval to the Controller; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors declares an extension of time is necessary in certain cases as requested by the Public Welfare Department.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

### **Land Purchase—McLaren Park.**

(Code No. 12.1713)

Also, Resolution No. 4475, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Park Department, that the City and County of San Francisco accept deeds from the following named parties, or the legal owners, to certain land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the proposed McLaren Park, and that the sums set forth below be paid for said property from Appropriation No. 712,600.03:

Hugo D. Newhouse, Lot 6, Assessor's Block 6266.....	\$450
John P. Smith, Lot 11, Assessor's Block 6185.....	185
Edmund P. Mogan, et al., Lots 6 and 7, Assessor's Block 6288 .....	452

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Park Department.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.



**Land Purchase—McLaren Park.**  
(Code No. 12.1713)

Also, Resolution No. 4476, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Park Department, that the City and County of San Francisco accept deeds from the following named parties, or the legal owners, to certain land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the proposed McLaren Park, and that the sums set forth below be paid for said property from Appropriation No. 712.600.03:

Wm. W. Robinson, et ux., Lot 12, Assessor's Block 6184..\$100

Commercial Centre Realty Company, Lot 14, Assessor's

Block 6183 ..... 500

City Title Insurance Company, 13/36 interest in Lot 7,

Assessor's Block 6266 ..... 20

J. E. Reynolds, et vir., Lot 2, Assessor's Block 6215..... 100

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Park Department.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Supplemental Appropriation of \$15,000 From Surplus in Municipal Railway Operating Fund for Completion of Switch and Signal Systems Necessary for Operation of Street Cars to and From Bay Bridge Terminal Station.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1799, Ordinance No. 9.051538, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$15,000 from the Municipal Railway Operating Fund Surplus to provide funds to complete the switch and signal systems deemed necessary for the safe and most economical operation of street cars to and from the Bay Bridge Terminal Station.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$15,000 is hereby appropriated out of the Municipal Railway Operating Fund Surplus to the credit of Appropriation No. 865.500.01, to provide the necessary funds to complete the switch and signal systems deemed necessary for the safe and most economical operation of street cars over the tracks to and from the Bay Bridge Terminal Station.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission (Resolution No. 2993).

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

**Supplemental Appropriation of \$1,728.24 From Surplus in San Francisco Water Department Revenue Fund to Pay for Extra Work in Excess of 10 Per Cent of Estimated Contract Price for Gathering and Delivering Walnuts on Sunol Walnut Orchard, Sunol, Season of 1938.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1800, Ordinance No. 9.051539, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$1,728.24 from the surplus in the San Francisco Water Department Revenue Fund to pay for extra work in excess of 10 per cent of the certified estimated contract price for gathering and delivering of walnuts on the Sunol Walnut Orchard, Sunol, Alameda County, season of 1938.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$1,728.24 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the San Francisco Water Department Revenue Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 866.200.00, for the purpose of paying for extra work in excess of 10 per cent of the certified estimated contract price under San Francisco Water Department Contract No. 189: "For the Gathering and Delivering of the Walnut Crop on the Sunol Walnut Orchard, Sunol, Alameda County, Season of 1938." The contract as awarded was predicated on an estimated yield of 100 tons of walnuts and the actual tonnage harvested amounted to 129.647 tons. By reason of the necessity of preserving the walnut crop and retaining to the City an income that otherwise would have been lost, the contractor, Charles H. Schween & Son, was ordered to proceed with the harvesting of said excess over estimated tonnage.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission (Resolution No. 3001).

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

**Supplemental Appropriation of \$112,000 From Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund for Purchase of Four New Street Cars.**

(Code No. 9.051)

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

Bill No. 1801, Ordinance No. 9.051540, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$112,000 from the Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund for purchase of four new street cars and incidental expenses in connection therewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$112,000 is hereby appropriated out of the Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 65.913.14, to provide funds for the purchase of four new street cars, spare parts therefor and the engineering and traveling expenses in connection with the preparation of plans, specifications and the inspection of construction of said cars.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission (Resolution No. 2991).

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.



## Discussion.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Mr. Chairman and members of the Board: I would like to ask Supervisor Roncovieri a question. Supervisor Roncovieri, number sixteen is on the calendar, and you as Chairman of the Finance Committee, were there any other members of the Finance Committee present last Friday but yourself?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Ask the Clerk, who was present.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Pardon me, Supervisor?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Ask the Clerk, who was present. He'll tell you.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I would like to ask the Chairman of the Committee first. Were there any other members present than yourself?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: No.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: You passed the calendar as presented to you?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: What are you speaking of, number sixteen?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: No, sir.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: What are you referring to?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I am asking you if you passed the Finance Committee calendar?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: No, we passed number sixteen.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: You passed number sixteen?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Yes, that was passed a week ago by Supervisor Reilly and myself. Supervisor Reilly wasn't there last Friday, but I knew he was in favor of it.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: Might I answer you, Supervisor McSheehy. This matter of number sixteen on the calendar, the week previous to last Friday came up before the committee and I requested you lay it over a week for further information from Mr. Cahill. I conferred with Mr. Cahill during the week and it was satisfactory as far as I was concerned, the passage of number sixteen. Last Friday I was not present when the matter came before the Finance Committee, but Supervisor Roncovieri was there and he passed it on to the Board. I satisfied myself about it, however.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Members of the Board, that is just really a technical matter. In a way it is all right, I have no objection; Supervisor Roncovieri passed it, but it was not passed a week ago. Supervisor Reilly told you it was on the calendar a week ago last Friday, but it was only passed by the Finance Committee last Friday, the Finance Committee represented by one man at that time. Now, we cannot delegate our work to others on this Board, it is a Board of which everything is made of record, all our work is official. The question now before you is the matter in reference to the appropriation of \$112,000 for the purchase of four municipal cars.

SUPERVISOR UHL: What was the committee's recommendation?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: The Chairman of the Finance Committee?

SUPERVISOR UHL: No, the Utilities Committee.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: We asked that it go over until certain financial information could be acquired, and as we never received that financial information in any manner or form, I don't know how it was referred to or how it got into the Finance Committee, I don't know, and it was passed by the Finance Committee, and the Finance Committee consisted of the Chairman of the Finance Committee at that time. That is the record.

SUPERVISOR UHL: May I ask this question through the Chair of the Clerk, wasn't this matter referred to the Public Utilities Committee?

THE CLERK: It was referred to the Utilities Committee and the Finance Committee, to the Finance Committee first, it being an appro-

priation of \$112,000, there is nothing there except that it is a matter of the appropriation of \$112,000.

SUPERVISOR MEYER: The Public Utilities Commission approved of it.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Are you sure about the Finance Committee meeting?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: So I am informed.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: You weren't there yourself?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: No, but all we can take is the record. I am going to present, members of the Board, the facts of the entire matter here to you today, and if six members of the Board agree to the findings of the Finance Committee—

THE CHAIRMAN: The Clerk has the information you are seeking, Supervisor.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I have the information here, and I am going to present it to the Board in my way. I received no information from the Utilities Commission in any shape, manner or form. It was promised to me, but to this very moment I haven't received it, but I want to say to you, Mr. President, and members of this Board, that I have learned, during the years I have been here, that if you cannot get information from one department of this City government, you can get it from another. I want to say that I received all the information I desired from the Controller, and I want to thank the Controller for giving me the information.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: Pardon me, Mr. Chairman, was this matter before the Public Utilities Committee the past week?

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: May I ask Supervisor McSheehy, were you present when the Public Utilities Committee met?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: No, I was not. Were you present when the Finance Committee met?

SUPERVISOR REILLY: No, I was not.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I would like to know.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: I missed last Friday's meeting because I was not in San Francisco, but I don't believe I have missed more than one meeting of the Board during the year and a half I have been on the Board, or one committee meeting, and very few meetings of the Board. It might be a good idea, maybe, if more members missed a few; I don't know.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Well, you have made wonderful progress since you have been on the Board anyhow.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right, go on.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: What is the parliamentary situation?

THE CHAIRMAN: Supervisor McSheehy still has the floor, I believe.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: What are we talking about?

THE CHAIRMAN: Whether or not Supervisor Reilly was at the Finance Committee meeting last Friday.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Let's go.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: All right, if I can get the attention of the Controller. Members of the Board, you have before you the appropriation of \$112,000 for the purpose of purchasing four Municipal cars for our railroad here in San Francisco. May I state the facts and a little history on the entire matter, because I think it might enlighten some of the members to some degree, and perhaps they might see this entire problem the way I see it.

Twenty-six years ago we started our Municipal Railroad here in this City, and we bonded our City, through the issuance of several bond issues to the amount of ten million dollars. Today we have 232 cars traversing our City. The first 100 cars were purchased by our City at a cost of \$7,700 apiece, and they are running today, or most of them. The next batch of cars we purchased were purchased in 1928



at a cost of \$19,200 apiece. These four cars that we are about to purchase now will cost \$28,000.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Apiece?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Apiece.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Apiece. We will have left in our depreciation fund after we purchase these four cars, \$240,950. That is a very small amount of money to have in our depreciation fund, \$240,950. Last week we purchased a number of buses amounting to \$126,000, and we have now in our operating fund one hundred and forty thousand and some hundred dollars—I can't find the figure here right now. So you can see the position we are in financially.

Now, members of this Board, every man on this Board recognizes the fact that we are perhaps giving the most wretched transportation of any city in the United States. Only today—I ride almost entirely in my own automobile around this city, and have been for the past year, but only today I had a puncture, and I had to leave the machine at home, and I took the trackless trolley car at Eighteenth and Ashbury, and rode down to Eighteenth and Castro, and then took the Castro street car and we had to transfer, and there were two elderly ladies on that car, and they said, "Why do we have to transfer?" And the conductor said, "Yes, you have to transfer." Now, that is the kind of service we are receiving in the Mission district today, we have to transfer on that popular line. I don't know why, but nevertheless it is going on. The same thing throughout the entire city. At no time in this city have we ever received the wretched transportation we are receiving here today, and now we are asked to pass this huge appropriation for the purchase of four cars for our municipal railroad.

SUPERVISOR UHL: May I ask you a question right there? You said you took a bus and transferred to Castro.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Yes, sir.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Then did you have to transfer again at Twelfth street?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Yes, sir.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: For one fare,

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Yes, sir. If it is a joke, Supervisor Brown doesn't live in the Mission, and I simply say this, if you want to make a joke out of this, I don't care. It is not the first time and it won't be the last, but you have a problem before you, and your problem is, "Shall we make this appropriation?" The Public Utilities Commission says, "Yes, make the appropriation." A few weeks ago Mr. Cahill told you the responsibility is yours. The responsibility is ours, it positively is ours, if we make the appropriation the responsibility is ours. We have been advised to make it by the Public Utilities Commission. Now, gentlemen, should we make this appropriation under these conditions? I don't know; we are supposed to have vision, and I think we ought to have some, but are we going to vote \$28,000 apiece at this time for four cars for the municipal railroad with the funds of the municipal railroad in the condition they are in today? Are we going to give the people of our city some day the advanced transportation they are getting in other cities? If not, go ahead and make the appropriation. If you don't make the appropriation, you can take that money, and you will find Howard street, the fourth largest street in San Francisco, with the Market Street Railway company running six cars on that line, three one way and three the other, a street about three miles long. They have got a franchise running only from day to day, or a permit, rather, from day to day, and we could take that entire \$112,000, if we see fit, and we could buy buses for Howard street and give transportation to that entire section of the city, and take up the tracks there and make a real boulevard out of Howard street, it is 83 feet from property line to property line.

This is entirely a matter of policy, there is no great engineering problem here, there is no question of figuring the strength of a piece

of timber, or what point concrete will crush at, or what weight a bridge will carry. This is just a question of good common horse sense.

If you want to do it, all right, what will be the outcome? You will deplete this fund almost down to the dollar, because what is \$240,000 in our own Municipal Railroad depreciation fund, a railroad that perhaps has a value today, a replacement value, I dare say, of at least \$20,000,000, and a depreciation value of \$3,700,000. I have the figures all here somewhere.

If you want to spend it, do it where it will do some good and give the people some transportation, and it is up to you, members of this Board, you can refuse to countenance this appropriation here this afternoon. You can refuse it, and you can, by resolution, ask our City Attorney to foreclose the permit that the Market Street Railroad Company has in reference to Howard street, and then come back to our Public Utilities Commission and ask for buses on Howard street, and give some real transportation to our city.

What will these four cars mean? They will mean four modern up-to-date cars, somewhat similar to what they have in Los Angeles. I saw the cars down there, and they are fine cars, and remember, that they cost \$28,000 each, and you can buy three buses for \$28,000. Remember, that it is going to cost that amount of money, and remember, that these cars that we purchased originally cost only \$7,700, and the last batch of cars that we purchased cost only \$19,000 apiece. Why should we go into an extravagant expenditure of such a huge sum of money when we need the money so badly for transportation here in our city? This is a matter of policy, it is not a matter of engineering, and the responsibility is yours, everybody on this Board, because we are directly responsible to the people. Mr. Cahill doesn't owe his position to the people of San Francisco, he owes it to an appointment, and his commission doesn't owe their positions to the people of San Francisco, but they owe it to appointments. We do, and we are responsible, and so, members of the Board, I say to you, the last Monday of this year, that you will be making a great mistake if you countenance the expenditure of this huge sum of money under the conditions existing today.

**SUPERVISOR COLMAN:** Again, the question is shall we appropriate \$112,000 to buy four new street cars? Assuming, and I do not agree, but if the transportation in our city is as bad as stated by the previous speaker, it constitutes the strongest argument in favor of buying four new street cars, because at least to that extent we will alleviate these conditions which he says are so bad. It is a sound recommendation, and it is a proper use to which to put the depreciation fund, the replacement of cars and the buying of four new cars for use for experimental purposes, to see which would be the best and to see which will last the longest time. It is a very proper appropriation with good common sense back of it, and I certainly think we should appropriate the money, and I so move.

**SUPERVISOR UHL:** I should like to ask, through the chair, of Mr. Cahill, why did you arrive at four cars?

**MR. CAHILL:** Supervisor Uhl, as I stated before the committee, at which time you were present last week, we can only go ahead piecemeal. If we could afford eight cars, I would have asked for them. We have only asked the Board to appropriate moneys which we have earned and which is now in the treasury, and which the Controller can certify is ready and available.

Now, I want to correct Supervisor McSheehy on one thing, the cost of these cars will not be \$28,000 each, but they will cost from twenty-one to twenty-two thousand dollars, and the balance of that money is to be used for engineering costs, and once the necessary engineering has been accomplished we can buy any number of additional cars we need or wish to without the necessity of any further engineering costs, and therefore, these cars, if appropriated by the people—if appropriated



by the Board of Supervisors—will cost somewhere in the neighborhood of twenty-one or twenty-two thousand dollars. The last cars we purchased cost \$19,200, and that is not a very great increase in cost in some ten years.

SUPERVISOR UHL: May I ask you, you refer to engineering—whose engineers would those be?

MR. CAHILL: Our own engineers.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Have we the staff necessary for it?

MR. CAHILL: We have the necessary engineers, and, as I explained to you, through Mr. Ost and the others they are competent to go to the various places necessary and make the drawings required with the draftsmen in the engineering department. Now, bear in mind there is nothing in the United States exactly the same as we can use here in our city, and that is on account of the vertical curves caused by our hills.

SUPERVISOR UHL: That would be an argument for the purchase of one car rather than four, if they are more for demonstration purposes, if it is necessary to make the changes for the purpose you now assign, if they are merely experimental.

MR. CAHILL: These things are not so experimental, they have been in use in every other major city in the United States, as you probably know and have seen, and, as I said, if I could purchase eight, and had the money, I would be glad to do it, but we are not recommending to the Board that you purchase eight. I would have recommended eight for the very same reason that last week you authorized the purchase of twelve buses. Now, those twelve buses are going to carry twelve additional loads of passengers to our primary lines, there is going to be those additional feeders, and, as you probably know, our cars are crowded now to the extent that we can't get any more people on. Now, plan X terminal is coming into existence and only half of the cars will thereafter go to the ferry and half to Plan X, or something along those lines, may be it will be sixty-forty, and then there will be a chance to get more cars on lower Market street than there have been before. Consequently, I am now recommending here four primary transportation units which are going to be necessary to take away the people brought to them by these additional feeder lines. That is why I am asking for four cars and not one, and if we had the money I would ask for eight, and not for only four.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I understand you to say that the cost of these cars will be \$21,000, or \$22,000, that would be \$6,000 apiece, or on four cars, \$24,000 for engineering costs.

MR. CAHILL: That's right.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Why not, then, spend only the amount necessary for one car, if it is for experimentation?

MR. CAHILL: Because I have to have the engineering anyway.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Why not spend it on one car, that is a huge sum of money for engineering costs.

MR. CAHILL: It may sound like a huge sum of money, but the P. C. C. car was built at a tremendously greater cost, it probably cost a half million dollars to develop that car.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I am aware of that.

MR. CAHILL: Now, I am asking for \$24,000 to make the necessary changes in those things and to have it approved,—

SUPERVISOR UHL: But you said the engineers were in your department.

MR. CAHILL: There are some, but I can tell you that I have not a man that can do everything that is required, and what I do require is a correct design and not a design by some car builder.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Then all right, I will be willing to go along with the purchase of one car, and then provide additional money later when it is proven, after these changes are made and your engineers say this is the car for San Francisco, but I am not going to vote for

four cars if it is in an experimental stage, and you have indicated that it will take \$24,000 for engineers to change the plans of the car in order to have it fit in with San Francisco.

SUPERVISOR MEAD: Isn't it a fact that it will cost just as much to turn out one car as four?

MR. CAHILL: Correct.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Why buy four when we are going to have to make these changes?

SUPERVISOR MEAD: Why buy one car when we can get four for the same price?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I understand, Mr. Cahill, in fact you made reference to it just a moment ago, the P. C. C. car, that at a conference of engineers called by the President, the street car design known as the "President's Conference Car" was developed and it was put in use in Los Angeles to some extent. Now, your reference to vertical curves perhaps explains my next question. Is the President's conference car adapted to use in San Francisco?

MR. CAHILL: The President's conference car is not adapted in some of its features. We cannot use the one-end car here. In some of its features, that is, in the main feature which make it the modern car, it is adaptable, modern braking, modern feeding, modern rubber bearings and modern rubber wheels. All of those things make for greater silence and comfort. The one-end feature is not usable in San Francisco because of the fact that we have such a congestion of cars here in our city and they are running so close together, and because of the fact that in our downtown section we have no rapid transit. Consequently, we cannot use the one-end car, nor can we use the center entrance car in this city, we must have double loading. There are a number of details that must be changed in the President's conference car. However, in the fundamental things that make it a modern car, they will be of the same type. The experimentation is merely between two or three different types of control, the Westinghouse versus the General Electric, and that type of thing, that is where the experimentation comes in, and I have no doubt either will work, but probably one will be better than the other, and consequently we desire to have different electrical controls on the cars so that we can make up our minds on future purchases, because every one of the cars we now have is going to have to be replaced, and that in the not-distant future. We have to make up our minds which is the best electric control, which is the best door, what should we buy, and the only experimental part of these cars are in details of engineering. \$24,000 may seem a large sum for engineering, gentlemen, but when I tell you that it cost half a million dollars to design the P. C. C. car, it is not a very great sum to give us the details of change which will make it suitable for our hills in this city. You will get a modern car with modern seating, modern braking, quick acceleration, and you will have the silence of the President's conference car; about the only things we have to determine is how to change from a one-end car to a two-end car, with proper gates that will fold up after the passengers are on, preventing people from hanging onto the side and being pushed off and thus causing a lot of accidents. Those things have all got to be eliminated, and those are the things for which we want the money. I repeat, that these cars will cost from \$21,000 to \$22,000 each, and the balance is for engineering costs, and once we get the engineering determined, we can go ahead and buy 100 or 200, or as many cars as we like, and, don't forget, gentlemen, that sooner or later, and it isn't very far now when all of our cars will have to be replaced, because the Municipal Railway is now twenty-five years old, and the equipment is beginning to fall to pieces like the one-horse shay in many parts, and we will have to replace not only the rails and overhead but we will have to change in some parts to trolley buses and some parts to gasoline buses as we did last week in the case of the M line.



SUPERVISOR BROWN: While on that subject, may I ask how many cars now on the M line will be replaced by buses?

MR. CAHILL: At the present time, Supervisor Brown, there are two cars of the large type we have operating on the M line, and they will be replaced by three buses which will change the twenty-four minute headway to somewhere between eight and ten minutes, and they will run a half mile further. In other words, they will not stop at the St. Francis Circle as the M cars do, but will run clear to the entrance to the tunnel, so that people riding the buses can go to the business district at the entrance to the tunnel and transfer to the L line or the K line, and go to the Fleishhacker pool. They will also bring the children to the Portola school. It will also enable people coming on either the L or the K line to go out and catch a bus regardless of whether it is a K or an L comes along first, and probably much more important, the women object at night to getting off a car and waiting for a transfer among the trees at St. Francis Circle.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: How many more passengers will these proposed new cars hold than the ones now in use?

MR. CAHILL: These cars, doctor, are about three feet longer, and at the present time they have sixty feet compared with fifty-four or fifty-five feet, and a corresponding increase in standing space; it is a larger car.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Can you tell me why the middle entrance type car is not adaptable in San Francisco?

MR. CAHILL: The people have the habit, doctor, of entering at the rear and exiting at the front and the rear, and it helps loading to have two places where in these congested downtown districts we can put on a street conductor and help load from both ends.

SUPERVISOR MEAD: Are these new buses to be operated by one man or two?

MR. CAHILL: They are the same as we have, one man bus and the street cars, of course, two men.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Mr. Cahill, you said that there were two things involved, that what is involved is a question of electrical control, the Westinghouse or General Electric.

MR. CAHILL: Or Chalmers. We expect to try the different controls on the different cars.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I feel, members of the Board, that we are not justified in voting for such a large appropriation for four cars, that we should put on one for experimental purposes and see what it will do, but to turn around and vote \$112,000 for these four cars, twenty-four to twenty-eight thousand dollars of which is for engineering purposes, I am not sold on that idea.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Call the roll.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: In answer to Mr. Cahill's question, nobody knows better than Mr. Cahill, because he has been a contractor for a number of years, that the architect fees are always included in the cost of a building. A building worth \$10,000, you pay the architect \$600. So, therefore, when I say that \$28,000 is the cost of these cars, I mean \$28,000, that is what they cost. I cannot understand a man like Mr. Cahill, occupying the important position that he does here in our city government, making the statement that it will cost \$24,000 for engineering, and then that followed by the statement that this will be the same cost for one car or for four. Can you picture a building costing \$10,000 and you paying \$12,000 for the architect's fee? Can you picture any commodity you buy, if you design a desk like that one there costing four dollars, that is what the desk actually costs, and yet that statement was made here today by Mr. Cahill.

Now, you come to the depreciation fund, members of the Board, and what position are we in? I don't know why the framers of our Charter left the depreciation fund the way it is, so elastic today that no specific sum is in the depreciation fund. If the Public Utilities Com-

mission see fit tomorrow—not tomorrow, but two weeks or a month from tomorrow to bring in a recommendation for \$240,000 for eight more cars, they can do so, because they can take it out of the depreciation fund.

Now, Mr. Cahill states to you, and we know that our railway is twenty-five years old, and we know that the cost of maintenance is continuously increasing, and yet we are not providing ourselves with a dollar to meet that contingency. Is that business? Well, I hope that some day some people will have to give an accounting of their stewardship to the people of this city.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Members of the Board, I am going to ask—

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I have the floor. May I simply say this to the membership of this Board: It is your responsibility here today. Mr. Cahill has recommended to you this appropriation. You have 232 cars in your city today, and he recommends an increase of only four cars that will cost \$112,000 or \$28,000 apiece. Very well, pass the appropriation and deplete the fund, it is your responsibility. I know how my vote will be cast here today, but I say to you, members of this Board, in closing, that I cannot understand why Mr. Cahill predicts that we are going to continue entirely as a city with surface railroads when every other city in the United States is changing from surface railroads to bus transportation. Are we going to become a real ghost city? Are we going to do anything to correct that condition?

(Interrupted by boos from members of the Board.)

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I cannot understand it. San Francisco, the city that knows how. Why, I can't see how she claims knowing how if we are going to go ahead continuing with transportation that no other city in the United States is continuing.

I say to you, members of the Board, I think that we will make a great mistake here today if we attempt to pass this appropriation, first, on account of the exorbitant cost of the cars, and second, and above all things, on account of the exorbitant engineering costs. Can one picture such a huge sum being spent for engineering, and from a really depleted depreciation fund? Why, we know we will require that fund to meet various contingencies that will arise.

Now, here only a short time ago, a few years ago, the Municipal Railway was running in the red, but now it is in the black, and it is not in the red and is simply caused because our competitors are not giving the transportation they should, and have raised the fare, but if somebody took told of the Market Street Railroad and entirely turned it over into a bus line, and they would have the use of our city streets by paying the franchise, something similar to what they did with the trackless trolley on Eighteenth street, perhaps we would again find ourselves in the red, when we would do well to hold some money in this depreciation fund, but no, we are depleting it, and Mr. Cahill can come in again in a few months and ask for eight more. Very well, you may do it, members of the Board, but I say you are making a great mistake if you follow the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission today and make this appropriation in the condition our railroad funds are in, and paying the exorbitant price of \$28,000 for a car.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Mr. Chairman, and members of the Board, I am going to ask that this remain on the calendar for one week. The reason I am asking for this, I want to call on Mr. Lundberg in Oakland, who is the vice-president of the Transit Company of the United States, with a capital of \$500,000,000, and who had as much say regarding the new President' car as anybody else, and I should like to discuss with him the question of the ability of that car to satisfy the conditions in San Francisco, and again, whether the engineering forces are still there, I believe the St. Louis Car Company built these cars, and I would like some first-hand information from him, and therefore, I would request that this remain on the calendar for one week.



SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Would you make it two weeks?

SUPERVISOR MEAD: A point of information, does this matter require nine votes?

THE CHAIRMAN: Six votes.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I should like to talk to Mr. Lundberg.

SUPERVISOR RATTO: I would like to ask Mr. Cahill if he has had any discussion with Mr. Lundberg.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: Why should he?

MR. CAHILL: Not at all.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I am opposed to that, I know Mr. Lundberg would not attempt to advise Mr. Cahill on the conduct of San Francisco's utilities.

SUPERVISOR UHL: That is not the thought, I have had conferences with him.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I have a high regard for him, and I feel that should be his attitude, and—

SUPERVISOR UHL: That is not the question.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: You are asking Mr. Lundberg whether a certain car would answer San Francisco's purposes, and he is not competent to answer that question and would so tell you, and as Mr. Cahill would tell you if you asked him if certain cars were appropriate for Oakland use. It is a rather absurd request. Pardon me, I did not mean to belittle you, Supervisor, but it is something a man wouldn't do in that particular position, it is not proper, not a proper thing. Mr. Cahill is running our institution.

I think again this in my opinion is a proper and sensible proposal. Those costs are not exorbitant, and of course, the statements about buses in other cities are very much exaggerated, the facts show that many cities are still using street cars and that there is a demand for street cars throughout the United States, and they are still being manufactured in large quantities, the industry has not shown a decrease in that regard.

Now, back to where we were, if we favor transportation for the people of San Francisco, here is a chance to accomplish that, the money is in the depreciation fund, and the railroad is making money, and we will add to that fund through the months to come a very substantial amount, and it is certainly good business, and I am opposed to any postponement.

SUPERVISOR MEAD: It is not a question at this time of spending \$24,000, but is it not a question of spending that amount now or many, many times that amount later on? Is that not correct, or am I misinformed?

MR. CAHILL: Before you can buy one car, you have to do all of the engineering required to make the plans and specifications, but once you have made those, with minor variations, they will do for all the cars you want to buy, one or two hundred, it doesn't matter, but once you have paid that \$24,000 for the engineering cost of that one car, you can buy all you want. I have told you before this afternoon that sooner or later, and not very much later, this engineering cost will have to be paid for whatever you propose to do in that line.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: How much are we adding, approximately, to the depreciation fund each month?

MR. CAHILL: During the past five months of this fiscal year we added \$112,500 to the depreciation fund, which was \$27,500 per month, and that is continuous all the time.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: One other question I just wanted to bring out. Mr. Cahill, I realize there are some people who are very much interested in buses, some members on the Board here, and I appreciate, I think, to a certain extent the necessity for bus lines in certain parts of our city, but from what I have heard here on the Board in the past, from impartial experts, especially during the time the transportation over the bridges, at least over the San Francisco-

Oakland Bay Bridge took place, the point was brought out that in order to transport a large number of people in the shortest space of time, at least during the so-called peak hour, that transportation must take place on rails, whether it be on, above or under the ground, am I right in that?

MR. CAHILL: That is right.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: So as long as we have not developed a subway system, and as long as we have not developed an elevated system, which is apparently antiquated, our only recourse is to develop the surface rail part of our system.

MR. CAHILL: That is right.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: I just wanted to make sure of that feature, because that opinion is not my own opinion but is based upon the opinions of the experts.

I was also interested to hear Mr. Cahill say that it will only be within a short space of time when we must replace all of our surface equipment, so I believe there is no time like the present to begin. After all, four cars over what we will have to replace is a small number, and I think we should also keep in mind that we are going to save a great deal in engineering costs, as just stated by Mr. Cahill, and I think the only way to make any progress at all is to begin when we have got the set-up presented to us. I think this is a good start, and I think we ought to begin. So often when a matter of this kind comes up we have a tendency to lag and progress is not made as fast as it could be.

I was very sorry to hear a word used on this Board in connection with San Francisco which I mentioned here a week ago, and a word which should not be in the vocabulary of any citizen of this city; I think it is something we ought to relegate to the limbo of forgotten things.

I think this act here today is important, this is a part of our transportation system, and we will demonstrate to ourselves as members of this Board, and to the people we represent that we are up and coming. I think we ought to go ahead and I think we should begin today. I think we have had a very clear picture presented here today by Mr. Cahill regarding the engineering part of this thing, and that it is not, strictly speaking, an experimental thing, but we are going to find out certain things we ought to know in order to adapt these surface cars to the contour of the city of San Francisco.

I think our utilities department has also demonstrated to the people of San Francisco, and they have certainly demonstrated to me, that they are also interested in bus transportation where buses fit into the picture. I think that has been amply demonstrated, and I have voted accordingly, and I think we ought to go ahead and demonstrate to the people that we are trying to give them the best transportation possible.

SUPERVISOR MEAD: Roll call.

SUPERVISOR UHL: May I ask, through the Chair of Mr. Cahill, what particular line or location doesn't fit the present car or the Chicago car?

MR. CAHILL: I can't tell whether the present P. C. C. car—

SUPERVISOR UHL: Or the Chicago car.

MR. CAHILL: Whether that or the Chicago car, I can't tell whether they will require modification to go up the Geary street hill this side of Presidio avenue, which is a very important place and a very important thing to know.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I am going to move that the matter remain on the calendar for two weeks.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I will second that motion. The motion has been made and I second it that the matter remain on the calendar for two weeks.

THE CHAIRMAN: You seconded it?



SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Yes, and I am talking on the motion to postpone.

Members of the Board, I have given you some of the facts as I have gathered them. I have told you that Mr. Cahill can advise another appropriation of \$200,000 a month from now. Mr. Cahill has just told you that the depreciation fund is only being increased by \$27,000 a month, and that is only a little over \$300,000 a year, and he tells you all that you are going to be confronted with expenditures in reference to depreciation. Members of the Board, I cannot say anything further to you except this: I think we should postpone this matter for two weeks and see if we cannot find out certain facts from the Oakland Transportation Company. If it is going to cost us \$24,000 for engineering—I remember well, gentlemen, I remember well when we made an appropriation of \$19,200 each for the purchase of cars, and I remember the huge sum of money we were asked for at that time for engineering, and you will be asked for another large sum of money for engineering, because street cars, like automobiles, continuously change, some other company will show a better car, and you will have another large sum for engineering. Now, that has been the history in the past in reference to engineering.

I think as prudent men that you can afford to wait for two weeks. These cars, and Mr. Cahill made the statement, will take at least six months to construct. We are not going to get the cars tomorrow, and two weeks means very little. Suppose you gather information in reference to Oakland on this entire set-up, and it may mean a saving of many thousands of dollars, and we ought to wait two weeks. Is there any object in the rush? I hear none whatever, so, members of the Board, I trust and hope this matter will be postponed for two weeks, and see if we cannot create a condition whereby we can purchase cars for less than this amount.

THE CHAIRMAN: Call the roll.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I trust that this matter will not go over, and that we will act today; action is what the people want. Everything is perfectly clear to me, and I have expressed my confidence in Mr. Cahill.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I see there is a special reporter here, and I am going to ask that a transcript of this entire matter be furnished to the Board.

THE CHAIRMAN: No objection, so ordered. Call the roll on postponement.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Brown?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Colman?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor McSheehy?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Mead?

SUPERVISOR MEAD: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Meyer?

SUPERVISOR MEYER: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Ratto?

SUPERVISOR RATTO: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Reilly?

SUPERVISOR REILLY: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Roncovieri?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Schmidt?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Shannon? Absent. Supervisor Uhl?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Aye.

THE CLERK: 2 ayes, 8 noes, 1 absent.

THE CHAIRMAN: Call the roll on the appropriation, number 16 on the calendar.

THE CLERK: Number 16, supplemental appropriation of \$112,000 from the Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund for purchase of four new street cars.

Supervisor Brown?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Colman?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor McSheehy?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Mead?

SUPERVISOR MEAD: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Meyer?

SUPERVISOR MEYER: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Ratto?

SUPERVISOR RATTO: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Reilly?

SUPERVISOR REILLY: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Roncovieri?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Schmidt?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Shannon? Absent. Supervisor Uhl?

SUPERVISOR UHL: No.

THE CLERK: 8 ayes, 2 noes, and 1 absent.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Amendment to Section 56, Salary Ordinance, Laguna Honda Home, by Addition of 1 Kitchen Helper, 7 Orderlies and 1 Porter; Also Covers Reclassification of 4 Porter Positions to Orderly Positions.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 1802, Ordinance No. 9.053175, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 56 (Department of Public Health—Laguna Honda Home) of Ordinance No. 9.053128 by increasing the number of employments under Item 3½ from 8 to 9 I-2 Kitchen Helper (deduct for R. & L.) at \$75; by increasing the number of employments under Item 15 from 62 to 73 I-116 Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.) at \$85, and by decreasing the number of employments under Item 26½ from 26 to 23 I-204 Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.) at \$85.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 56 of Ordinance No. 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

#### Section 56. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH— LAGUNA HONDA HOME

Item	No. of No. Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 100
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
3	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	135
3½	9	I2	Kitchen Helper (deduct for R. & L.)..	75
4	1	I8	Head Baker .....	190
4½	1	I10	Cook's Assistant (deduct for R. & L.)..	95
4¾	1	I10	Cook's Assistant (deduct for R. & L.)...	75
5	3	I12	Cook .....	165
6	1	I12	Cook (part time).....	75



Section 56. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
LAGUNA HONDA HOME (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
7	1	I14	Junior Chef .....	175
8	1	I16	Chef . . . . .	200
9	1	I22	Butcher . . . . .	125
10	1	I24	Senior Butcher .....	235
11		I26	Hog Killer, \$9 per day (as needed)	
12	4	I54	Waitress . . . . .	110
13	1	I58	Dining Room Steward .....	140
14		I102	Inmate Help, not over .....	50
15	73	I116	Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	85
16	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.) .	140
17	3	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.) .	135
18	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.) .	125
19	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.) .	120
19½	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.) .	115
20	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.) .	102.50
21	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.) .	87.50
22	1	I212a	Steward (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	235
22½	1	I212b	Stewardess (deduct for B., R. & L.) ....	235
23	1	I154	Laundress .....	100
23½	1	I154	Laundress (deduct for B., R. & L.) ....	85
24	1	I164	Marker and Distributor.....	130
24½	1	I166	Wringerman (deduct for B. R. & L.) .	85
25	1	I170	Washer (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	102.50
26	1	I174	Superintendent of Laundry .....	175
26½	23	I204	Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	85
27	1	I254	Seamstress .....	100
28	1	I256	Head Seamstress (deduct for R.).....	125

Approved by the Civil Service Commission, W. L. Henderson, Personnel Director.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

**Amending Section 56a, Salary Ordinance, Laguna Honda Home, by Addition of 3 Positions of Registered Nurse, Required by Opening of New Ward at Laguna Honda Home.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1803, Ordinance No. 9.053176, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 56(a) (Department of Public Health—Laguna Honda Home) of Ordinance No. 9.053128 by increasing the number of employments under Item 47 from 28 to 31 P102 Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) at \$135.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 56(a) of Ordinance No. 9.053128 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 56(a). DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
LAGUNA HONDA HOME (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
29	1	I302	Instructor, Basketry (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....\$	102.50
30	1	I304	Instructor, Weaving (deduct for R.)...	110
31	1	L8	Assistant to Superintendent .....	275

Section 56(a). DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
LAGUNA HONDA HOME (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
32	1	L10	Superintendent (deduct \$150 for full family maintenance) .....	733.33
33	1	L54	Assistant Bacteriologist .....	100
34	1	L202	Dietitian (deduct for R. & L.) .....	137.50
35	1	L306	Senior Pharmacist .....	210
36	6	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	32
37	2	L360	Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	185
39	1	L360	Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	235
40	1	L452	X-ray Technician .....	150
41	1	O1	Chauffeur (less B., R. & L.) .....	185
42	1	O52	Farmer (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	130
43	1	O54	Foreman, Building and Grounds (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	220
44	1	O58	Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
45	1	O60	Head Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	185
46	3	O168	Engineer Sta. Steam Engines .....	220
47	31	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
48	4	P104	Head Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	145
49	1	P118	Superintendent of Nurses (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	235
50	1	P208	Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	145

Approved by the Civil Service Commission, W. L. Henderson, Personnel Director.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

**Authorizing Execution of Agreement for Joint Construction and Maintenance of Exhibit by Counties Comprising the Redwood Empire, at the Golden Gate Exposition, at Cost to the City and County of San Francisco Not to Exceed \$2,000.**

(Code No. 5.95)

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

Resolution No. 4461, as follows:

Authorizing the City and County of San Francisco to enter into an agreement with the California Commission for the Golden Gate International Exposition, and with the Redwood Empire Exposition Commission, wherein and whereby the City and County of San Francisco shall agree to join with the Counties of Marin, Sonoma, Napa, Lake, Mendocino, Humboldt and Del Norte, in California, and Josephine County in Oregon, through the agency of the Redwood Empire Exposition Commission, for the preparation, erection, construction and maintenance of a joint exhibit at the Golden Gate International Exposition, as provided for by Chapter 342 of the Laws of California, Statutes of 1937.

Be It Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco enter into an agreement with the California Commission for the Golden Gate International Exposition and with the Redwood Empire Exposition Commission wherein and whereby the City and County of San Francisco shall agree to join with the Counties of Marin, Sonoma, Napa, Lake, Mendocino, Humboldt and Del Norte in California, and



Josephine County in Oregon, through the agency of the Redwood Empire Exposition Commission for the preparation, erection, construction and maintenance of a joint exhibit at the Golden Gate International Exposition, as provided for by Chapter 342 of the Laws of California, Statutes of 1937, the cost to the City and County of San Francisco of said exhibit not to exceed the sum of two thousand (\$2,000) dollars and the loan of one diorama to be loaned by the City and County of San Francisco to the Redwood Empire Exposition Commission; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors thereof be, and they are, hereby directed to execute said agreement for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

### Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. Paschal, representing the Redwood Empire Association, on being granted the privilege of the floor, explained the purpose of the foregoing resolution.

Thomas Toomey, Secretary to the Chief Administrative Officer, informed the Board that it was originally contemplated that the necessary subsequent appropriation for the proposed exhibit at the Exposition was to come from the Publicity and Advertising Fund. For that reason, it had been approved by the Chief Administrative Officer. Since it is now planned that the required appropriation is to be made from the Mayor's Exposition Fund, this legislation should have the approval of his Honor the Mayor.

Supervisor Brown reminded the Board that ultimately, of course, the Mayor could approve or disapprove the proposed resolution.

### Adopted.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

### Final Passage.

The following recommendation of the Joint Public Welfare and Finance Committees was taken up:

**Appropriation of \$45,000 From Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor Fund to Provide Funds for Sponsorship of Certain WPA Projects.  
Emergency Ordinance.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1798, Ordinance No. 9.051537, as follows:

Authorizing an appropriation of \$45,000 out of the surplus existing in the Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor Fund for the purpose of providing funds for meeting sponsorship cost during the current fiscal year of certain WPA projects; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$45,000 is hereby appropriated from the surplus existing in the unappropriated balance of the Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor Fund to the credit of the following appropriations,

in the amounts indicated, for the purpose of meeting sponsorship cost in connection with the conduct of WPA projects as follows:

<i>Appropriation</i>	<i>Project</i>	<i>Amount</i>
878.149.00	Craft work project .....	\$13,500
878.150.00	Study of criminal justice.....	2,500
878.151.00	History of music .....	2,000
878.152.00	Street record index .....	1,500
878.153.00	House number project .....	2,000
878.154.00	City inventory project .....	2,500
878.155.00	Housing Authority Survey .....	10,000
878.156.00	Object of expenditure analysis .....	6,000
878.157.00	State relief map guides .....	1,000
878.158.00	Pay roll analysis .....	4,000
		<hr/> \$45,000

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists, involving the welfare of a large number of our people, as the WPA program as it now exists does not provide sufficient jobs for the large number of white collar workers needing work, and additional jobs cannot be provided without this money with which to buy materials, supplies, utility services, rent of quarters and equipment in connection with the projects above named.

Recommended and approved by the Mayor.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Requesting Public Utilities Commission to Change Its Regular Meeting Date in Order That Manager of Utilities May Be Available at Meetings of the Board of Supervisors When Required.**

(Code No. 3.06)

The following recommendation of Public Utilities Committee was taken up:

Resolution No. 4466, as follows:

Whereas, almost every Monday the presence of the Manager of the Public Utilities Commission is required at the meeting of the Board of Supervisors for the purpose of supplying reports, recommendations and estimates of cost in connection with utility problems confronting the Board; and

Whereas, these matters are very often so technical in character as to imperatively necessitate the presence of the Manager of Utilities for the purposes of interrogation and counsel; and

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission meets each Monday afternoon, at which time its Manager is required to be present to advise and report in connection with affairs under the jurisdiction of the Commission, thus making impossible his attendance at the meetings of the Board of Supervisors; and

Whereas, for many years past the rules of the Board of Supervisors have provided that its regular meetings shall be held on Monday of each week, and a change in such procedure would cause great confusion and inconvenience to the public; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby respectfully request the Public Utilities Commission to change its regular meeting



date to some day other than Monday, in order that Mr. Cahill may be available at the regular meeting times of the Board of Supervisors, for the purpose of supplying such information as is desired of him; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be sent to the Public Utilities Commission.

### Adoption Refused.

After a brief discussion of the foregoing resolution, during which Supervisor McSheehy urged its passage and Supervisors Colman, Schmidt and Mead expressed opposition thereto, the roll was called and the foregoing resolution was *refused adoption* by the following vote:

Aye—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

### ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

#### Resignation of Supervisor George R. Reilly as Member of the Board of Supervisors.

The following communication was read by the Clerk:

December 27, 1938.

David A. Barry, Clerk, Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Dear Sir: Having been elected to the State Board of Equalization for the First District of the State of California, I hereby tender my resignation as a member of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, said resignation to be effective on January 3, 1939, at 12 o'clock noon.

Respectfully,

GEORGE R. REILLY.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Colman, moved that the Board accept reluctantly Supervisor Reilly's resignation, and express regret at his departure from the Board.

*Unanimously carried.*

#### Estimate of Cost for Sand-Blasting City Hall and Regilding the Dome.

In response to request by Supervisor Uhl, December 12, 1938, for estimate of cost for sand-blasting the City Hall and for cleaning and painting, or regilding the dome of the City Hall, the following estimate was submitted by the Director of Public Works:

For sand-blasting the City Hall and regilding the dome,	
using gold leaf.....	\$40,500
For sand-blasting the City Hall and regilding the dome,	
using "Nobsmetal" in lieu of gold leaf.....	17,500

Following the reading of the above estimate, Supervisor Uhl announced that he intended to follow through the matter of cleaning the City Hall and refinishing the dome.

Supervisor Schmidt announced that the Citizens' Beautification Committee had considered the matter, and that it would again be brought to the Committee's attention.

### Seven Cent Fare on Market Street Railway.

The following communication, at the request of Supervisor Mead, was read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, California, December 23, 1938.

Board of Supervisors of City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Gentlemen: I request that your Board take such action as will protect the people of San Francisco from the enforcement of the seven cent fare on the Market Street Railway cars.

Immediate action by your Board is necessary. The legal procedure must be commenced before the decision becomes effective, the end of the month.

During the regular meeting of your Board, I told you of the exhibit in the fare case of the Finance Department of the Railroad Commission of figures from the books of the Market Street Railway showing that it had bought bonds and paid the Byllesby Corporation subsidiary and payments they refused to account for of \$100,000 to their Attorney Abbott, of about \$11,000,000 within a few years, all out of car fares.

If your Board refuses to take proper action to stay the enforcement of this seven cent car fare, through the courts, you will be responsible before the people of San Francisco for the seven cent fare.

Respectfully,

(Signed) EDMUND P. E. TROY,  
1263 Oak Street, San Francisco.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

### Franchise Obligations of Market Street Railway Company.

City Attorney John J. O'Toole, in reply to question by Supervisor McSheehy, stated that the franchises on some of the Market Street Railway Company's lines set up a minimum of service which must be maintained, although on many of their lines no minimum of service is so set up. The City Attorney promised to submit his complete report on the subject when completed.

### In re Sale of Hetch Hetchy Power.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: While Mr. O'Toole is here I should like to ask him a question.

Mr. O'Toole, the other day in the newspapers I saw an account of the action of Judge Roche in extending the Hetch Hetchy matter for thirty days.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Yes, sir, to the 27th of January.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: That is, his action in granting an extension—

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Granting an extension of the injunction to the 27th of January, yes.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I should like to ask, has the City any surety that after the 27th of January we will be able to derive any income from Hetch Hetchy?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: That, of course, is very debatable, sir, because we asked the direct question whether they would extend the time beyond that, and Judge Roche said that it would depend on the speed and progress in which we made our appeal.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Yes.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Now, the City has not been at all dilatory in the matter of perfecting the appeal, and in fact the last four continuances were asked for by the Government, and while it is true that I do not criticize the United States Attorney for that, because he has been engaged in a rather important murder trial, which



took the entire time of both himself and his assistant in the prosecution.

Now, in the Hetch Hetchy matter during the trial we asked Judge Roche whether he would commit himself as to whether or not he would entertain a further request for a suspension of the injunction.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Yes.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: And he refused to commit himself on that. He said he would determine that when the matter came before him. Now, our statement of the evidence and our assignments have all been completed and paid for, and the United States Circuit Court does the printing of those. When they are completed, then the clerk of the Circuit Court of Appeals prints them, and after they are printed we have thirty days in which to file our brief. Now, our brief is well on its way. Of course, we cannot complete it or work on it to a certain extent until we know the various page numbers of the evidence, so we cannot really start to set up our brief until the record is complete, and I think that would take two or three weeks.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: What would the practical effect of that be, Mr. O'Toole? Suppose that the brief is not ready for filing at the time?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: I will give you the practical effect. I think that if we can make a showing before Judge Roche that we are proceeding with all possible haste, which we are, that he will grant us a further extension. Now, if Judge Roche denies the extension, then we would have the right to go to the Circuit Court of Appeals, and ask for a writ of supersedeas on the ground that the City would be placed in a position to suffer a very great loss and the Government none, the Federal Government. Under the ordinary rules, such supersedeas would be granted.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: When this matter was discussed by the Board of Supervisors some time since, two courses of procedure were suggested: One of the courses of procedure suggested was that it be carried through the courts in the ordinary manner.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I can't hear you, Supervisor.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I was just saying that when we discussed this matter some time since two courses of procedure were suggested, and that one was to go through the courts as we now are doing and taking an appeal, which is now being prepared and will be heard in due course of time, and which, should that appeal go against us, may further result in an ultimate appeal to the Supreme Court of the United States, is that correct?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: That would be a matter for the City authorities.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Yes, and that is still one of the possibilities. Now, there was a second course of procedure suggested, and at that time it was decided that nothing should be done along those lines. What I have reference to is the possibility of an amendment to the Raker Act. Now, as I understand the situation, if the Raker Act is amended, it will, at one stroke, make unnecessary all of the legal procedure we are now going through, is that correct, Mr. O'Toole?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Yes, that is by revising certain sections, Section 9.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: It seems to me, now that Congress is about to be convened, and in the convening of Congress a motion to amend the Raker Act could very well be made an order of business, and should our legislative activities eventually prove unavailable, it might be the only course we could follow would be through the courts, but should we be successful in getting the Raker Act amended, it would obviate any possibility of loss in revenue from the upholding of Judge Roche's decision by the courts. An amendment to an act of Congress is not a difficult thing provided the case presented is a good one, and it seems to me, the Raker Act having been passed originally in 1910, was it not?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: I think it was later on than that, about 1913, when it was finally passed.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Well, about that long ago, it is about the second decade, and it seems to me the opinion not only of Congress but of the Federal Government as a whole and the opinions of the various members of the body politic has undergone a very serious change. We see now development by the Federal Government of electric power on various Federal properties for sale to private corporations for distribution. We have, in fact, right next door to our own Hetch Hetchy in the Yosemite Valley a Federal project which is primarily intended for the development of electrical energy and the surplus from it is to be sold to the San Joaquin Light & Power Company. We have the TVA, the Tennessee Valley Authority, which disposes, as I understand, of about 50 per cent of the power developed to private distributors in that area, and we have the Bonneville and Grand Coulee Dams on the Columbia River, and a large part of the output from both projects will eventually find itself in the hands of private corporations for distribution.

Now, in the face of all that, it does not seem to me that San Francisco is getting a fair break from the Federal Government in not being permitted to dispose, in the same way, of all or part of its hydroelectric energy to private distribution facilities if it desires to do so, and I am inclined to believe that if our representatives in Congress are alert and active in our behalf, and I believe that they are, and if they are sympathetic to the interests of San Francisco, as I am sure they are, that getting some amendment to the Raker Act is not impossible.

Now, in order to bring the matter before the Board of Supervisors, I am going to move—I have no objection to the motion being referred to committee—but I am going to move that this Board of Supervisors memorialize the members of Congress from San Francisco requesting them to proceed to secure an amendment to the Raker Act, which will permit the sale of power developed at Hetch Hetchy. I am going to ask that the same be referred, if I receive a second to my motion—

SUPERVISOR MEYER: I will second that motion.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I am going to ask that the matter be referred to the Public Utilities Committee of this Board for an early hearing.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I am not going to debate the question, but I am going to rise and demand that this matter, according to our rules, be placed in writing in the form of a resolution.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I have no objection to that, Mr. Chairman, and I am going to ask our City Attorney if he will be kind enough to draft the proper resolution, which I will present at our next meeting.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Along the lines just suggested by you.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Yes, along the lines I have just stated. I should like to ask also, that my remarks be made a part of the record.

THE CHAIRMAN: Very well, *so ordered*.

### In Memoriam—Gilbert G. Weigle.

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 4477, as follows:

Whereas, Gilbert G. Weigle, Public Relations Expert, well-known newspaper writer, and distinguished lecturer of the University of California on the subject of Journalism and English, has departed this life; and

Whereas, Gilbert Weigle endeared himself to the citizens of San



Francisco by his tireless work for the clean-up campaign of the Mayor's Citizens' City Beautiful Committee; and

Whereas, Gilbert Weigle was well known and well beloved by all members of this Board of Supervisors over a long number of years for his fairness as a newspaperman in reporting activities of this Board of Supervisors as well as for his genial and sterling character as a man and a citizen of this City; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors deeply deplores the passing of our dear friend Gilbert Weigle, and expresses to his widow and relations its sincere commiseration in their hour of sorrow; and be it

Further Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors, when it adjourns today, does so out of respect to the memory of Gilbert Weigle, and that a copy of this resolution be sent to the family of the deceased.

*Unanimously adopted by rising vote:*

**Resolution on Retirement of George R. Reilly From Membership on Board of Supervisors.**

(Code No. 5.92)

Supervisor Mead presented:

Resolution No. 4478, as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, this day, witnesses for the last time the attendance of the Honorable George R. Reilly in his official capacity as a representative in this legislative body; and

Whereas, it has been a happy and refreshing experience which gave to the other members of this Board, the opportunity to sit in close association with George Reilly and to observe the manifestation of:

His enthusiasm for his chosen work in the field of political science;

His tireless efforts to accomplish those purposes to which he pledged himself before the electorate;

His ability to analyze and act without equivocation upon momentous and perplexing civic problems;

His vindication of the confidence which was reposed in him by his friend and benefactor, the Honorable Angelo J. Rossi, when the Mayor charged him with such serious responsibility;

His sparkling personality;

His gentlemanly demeanor and solicitous attitude for the rights and views of others; and

His philosophy of live and let live and that spontaneous generosity which denoted him, "Approved and Accepted"; and

Whereas, it is with mingled feelings of sorrow and joy that this Board views the departure of George Reilly; sorrow because we have come to know, love and respect him and to appreciate his value as a member of this Board; happiness because he has advanced one more step in the field of his chosen work, and in which soon, we confidently predict, he will be an outstanding figure; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors hereby expresses its sincere regrets upon the departure of George Reilly from its midst and takes this opportunity to wish him continued success in his career and the fullest measure of happiness; and be it

Further Resolved, That a suitably engrossed copy of this resolution be prepared for the signature of his Honor the Mayor and each member of this Board of Supervisors and presented to the Honorable George R. Reilly.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**In Memoriam—Arthur J. Dolan.**

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 4479, as follows:

Whereas, God, in His Wisdom, has taken from our midst the person of the late Arthur J. Dolan, for many years a member of the San Francisco Police Department, well known in fraternal circles for his charitable attitude toward his fellow man; and .

Whereas, San Francisco faces a distinct loss in the passing of the late Arthur Dolan; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That when this Board adjourns it does so out of respect to the memory of the late Arthur Dolan, and that a copy of this resolution be transmitted to the family of the deceased.

*Adopted unanimously by rising vote.***In Memoriam—George S. Hollis.**

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 4480, as follows:

Whereas, death has taken from the bosom of his family the person of George S. Hollis, for years well and favorably known as President of the Typographical Union, President of the San Francisco Labor Council and Commissioner of Housing and Inspection; and

Whereas, Mr. Hollis' career in the labor movement was one which merited the respect and admiration of all, a man of sterling character and integrity, whose demise will mean a distinct loss to the organizations of labor which he served so faithfully and well, and to this City of which he was a worthy and distinguished citizen; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors learns with sorrow of his passing, and extends its heartfelt commiseration to his bereaved widow and family; and be it

Further Resolved, That when the Board adjourns today it do so out of respect to the memory of the late George Hollis; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be presented to the family of the deceased.

*Unanimously adopted by rising vote.***In Memoriam—Mrs. Margaret M. O'Reilly.**

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 4481, as follows:

Whereas, Our Heavenly Father has summoned Mrs. Margaret M. O'Reilly to her eternal reward; and

Whereas, during her lifetime Mrs. O'Reilly was a devoted wife and an ideal mother; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors notes with sincere regret the passing of Margaret M. O'Reilly, and takes this opportunity to express its heartfelt condolences to her bereaved widower and family; and be it

Further Resolved, That when this Board adjourns, it does so out of respect to the loving memory of Mrs. Margaret M. O'Reilly.

*Adopted unanimously by rising vote.***Reconditioning and Preservation of the "Gjoa."**

Supervisor Reilly presented resolution adopted by the Scandinavian Civic League of San Francisco, urging reconditioning of the vessel



"Gjoa," and its permanent housing in a "Maritime Museum" at the Aquatic Park or other suitable location.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 4:25 p. m., adjourned.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, January 3, 1939.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, David A. Barry, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

DAVID A. BARRY,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

No 22 miles.

Mar 21





















